Session Weekly is a non-partisan publication of the Minnesota House of Representatives Public Information Office. During the 1995-96 Legislative Session, each issue reports daily House action between Thursdays of each week, lists bill introductions and upcoming committee meeting schedules, and provides other information. The publication is a service of the Minnesota House. No fee.

To subscribe, contact:
Minnesota House of Representatives
Public Information Office
175 State Office Building
St. Paul, MN 55155-1298
(612) 296-2146 or
1-800-657-3550
TDD (612) 296-9896

Director
LeClair G. Lambert

Assistant Director/Editor
Peg Hamerston

Assistant Editors
John T. Tschida
K. Darcy Hanzlik

Writers
Kendall Anderson, Nick Healy,
Joel Larson, Matthew A. Niewohner

Art & Production Coordinator
Paul Battaglia

Photographers
Tom Olmscheid, Laura Phillips,
Andrew Von Bank

Office Manager
Matthew A. Niewohner

Staff Assistants
Toinette Lisa Battle, Dianna Kennedy

Session Weekly (ISSN 1049-8176) is published weekly during the legislative session by the Minnesota House of Representatives Public Information Office, 100 Constitution Ave., St. Paul, MN 55155-1298. Second Class postage paid at St. Paul, MN, and at additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Session Weekly, Public Information Office, Minnesota House of Representatives, 175 State Office Building, 100 Constitution Ave., St. Paul, MN 55155-1298.

Printed on recycled paper which is 100% recycled, 20% post-consumer content.

Session Weekly is a non-partisan publication of the Minnesota House of Representatives Public Information Office. During the 1995-96 Legislative Session, each issue reports daily House action between Thursdays of each week, lists bill introductions and upcoming committee meeting schedules, and provides other information. The publication is a service of the Minnesota House. No fee.

To subscribe, contact:
Minnesota House of Representatives
Public Information Office
175 State Office Building
St. Paul, MN 55155-1298
(612) 296-2146 or
1-800-657-3550
TDD (612) 296-9896

Director
LeClair G. Lambert

Assistant Director/Editor
Peg Hamerston

Assistant Editors
John T. Tschida
K. Darcy Hanzlik

Writers
Kendall Anderson, Nick Healy,
Joel Larson, Matthew A. Niewohner

Art & Production Coordinator
Paul Battaglia

Photographers
Tom Olmscheid, Laura Phillips,
Andrew Von Bank

Office Manager
Matthew A. Niewohner

Staff Assistants
Toinette Lisa Battle, Dianna Kennedy

Session Weekly (ISSN 1049-8176) is published weekly during the legislative session by the Minnesota House of Representatives Public Information Office, 100 Constitution Ave., St. Paul, MN 55155-1298. Second Class postage paid at St. Paul, MN, and at additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Session Weekly, Public Information Office, Minnesota House of Representatives, 175 State Office Building, 100 Constitution Ave., St. Paul, MN 55155-1298.

Printed on recycled paper which is 100% recycled, 20% post-consumer content.

Week at a glance

Kids and boats — A bill on its way to the governor aims to improve safety on Minnesota lakes by keeping young children away from the controls of high-powered watercraft. ................................................................. Page 5

Penalties and prevention — A $17.4 million proposal to fund corrections, crime prevention programs, and more police officers on the streets in neighborhoods and in schools passed the House March 27. ........................................ Page 5

Poverty wage — Many Minnesota businesses would have to pay employees at least $7.28 per hour, including benefits, under a bill passed by the House March 27. ................................................................. Page 10

State government finance law — The House passed a $9.5 million state government omnibus bill March 21 which Gov. Arne Carlson has signed into law (with the exception of one section). ......................................................... Page 12

Omnibus higher ed — A $16 million higher education funding bill on its way to the governor would require changes in the tenure code for the University of Minnesota academic health center. ........................................................................................................ Page 14

Human Services veto — Gov. Arne Carlson vetoed a $12.5 million health and human services supplemental budget bill March 26 that would have set aside $4.7 million to restore cuts to two programs that help people with disabilities, spent another $5 million on nursing homes and attached a 30-day residency requirement for some welfare recipients. ................................................................. Page 15

Free driver's license — The state would provide a money-back guarantee that driver's licenses will be issued in a timely manner under a bill passed by the House March 27. ................................................................. Page 16

Gulf War bonuses — The House approved a proposed constitutional amendment March 28 that would permit the state to pay Persian Gulf War veterans monetary bonuses. ......................................................... Page 16

INSIDE

Highlights ................................................................. 3

A closer look: Constitutional provision for hunting and fishing ........ 18

Feature: Spring storm brings Legislature to a grinding halt .......... 20

Stepping Down: Rep. Walt Perlt ............................................ 21

Bills signed by the Governor .................................................. 22

Feature: Bipartisan spirit invades legislative hockey team .......... 24

Feature: First Minnesota congresswomen .............................. 25

Bill Introductions (HF3277 - HF3278) ................................. 26

Committee Schedule (April 1 - 5) ........................................ 26
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— Photo by Andrew Von Bank
A $32.3 million K-12 education spending bill is on its way to the governor minus a controversial provision to allow alternative testing for teaching candidates. The House passed the bill (HF2156*/ SF1884) March 28. The vote was 117-16. The Senate passed it the same day, 62-1.

House members had earlier rejected the bill after members on both sides of the aisle voiced objections to a plan to provide alternatives to the state's basic skills test for teachers.


This year a plan emerged to provide a waiver to prospective teachers who fail the test three times. The measure would have required alternative testing methods for those teaching candidates.

Equivalent alternative tests would have maintained requirements for mastery of basic skills, proponents argued.

"We're not lowering any standards," said Rep. Alice Johnson (DFL-Spring Lake Park), House sponsor of the education funding bill.

But critics said alternative testing is not the best way to deal with problems that may exist with the current test. If it is racially biased, as some claim, Jefferson said the solution is clear.

Testing teachers before certification became a hotly debated provision in the $32.3 million K-12 education spending bill. The House approved the measure but only after a controversial provision to allow alternative testing for teaching candidates was stripped from the bill.

House members on March 26 voted 98-32 to send the bill back to conference committee, where the alternative testing provision was then removed.

In 1995, a measure requiring the State Board of Teaching to study alternatives to the skills test was passed into law after legislators heard complaints that the test was preventing otherwise qualified and capable minorities and people with disabilities from becoming teachers.

"You remove the bias from the test. You don't remove the test," Jefferson said. "You make it fair."

Johnson said she was "very sorry" alternative testing had become a race issue. In fact, she added, a vast majority of those who have failed the test have been white.

The controversial provision was intended to help teaching candidates who have the necessary knowledge but just cannot pass the test, Johnson said. Alternative testing, she said, would allow accommodations for those people without decreasing standards for reading, writing, and math skills.

"It could be something as simple as extending the time limit," Johnson said during House floor debate.

But opponents of alternative testing were not convinced.


The education spending proposal would bolster the use of technology in Minnesota's public schools and would devote funds to after-school programs, full-day kindergarten, and other projects designed to help disadvantaged students.

Additionally, the measure would narrow the focus of the state's proposed graduation standards and would support school discipline policies that focus on keeping kids in the classroom.

Here's a look at the major provisions in the bill.

**Boosting technology**

A total of $11.9 million would be spent on technology programs under the bill. Much of the money would be used to expand Internet access in schools and libraries.

The 1995 Legislature passed a law that included a $10.5 million grant program to connect schools and regional public library systems to the information superhighway.

This year's bill would make an additional $5 million in grant funding available in 1997.

Another $3.5 million would go to school districts for training teachers in the use of technology and acquiring new computer hardware.

Gov. Arne Carlson pushed for money to purchase computer hardware to be included in the bill. The House earlier approved a spending package without such a provision.

Another of the current bill's provisions — although a seemingly minor one — could greatly help to improve Internet access in rural Minnesota schools.

The measure would allow telephone companies to provide service to schools and
libraries at reduced prices or for no cost.

Such a change could make a significant impact in rural areas where a long-distance call is required to connect to the worldwide communications network.

Also, the omnibus bill would set up a grant program to enhance the use of technology in after-school programs.

A total of $1 million would be offered in grants to school districts and other organizations that operate after-school programs giving children access to computers.

All-day kindergarten

The bill includes a provision crafted to ensure that disadvantaged children are ready for first grade by having them spend more time in a kindergarten classroom.

Under the bill, $3.5 million in grant funding would allow certain schools to offer full-day kindergarten or to provide half-day kindergarten for 4-year-olds.

The goal of the expanded kindergarten proposal is to make sure students arrive in first grade prepared to handle academic basics such as reading and writing.

Proponents of the measure also said it would have benefits that reach far beyond the first grade. They argued that kids who fall behind at a young age often end up with serious academic and behavioral problems in later grades.

The grant funding would be divided evenly among schools in Minneapolis, St. Paul, Twin Cities suburbs, and Greater Minnesota.

Districts receiving grant funds would decide whether to use the money for all-day kindergarten programs or to initiate half-day kindergarten for 4-year-olds, which would put kids in the classroom a year earlier than usual.

Afternoon activities

Children in some of the state's poorest areas would be the beneficiaries of $5 million for after-school programs.

The governor, in his January State of the Address, identified after-school enrichment programs as a top spending priority.

The bill matches Carlson's request for funds to finance after-school programs in neighborhoods with especially high rates of poverty, teen pregnancy, and juvenile crime.

Under the bill, grant funding would go to school districts and community organizations that run after-school programs.

Minneapolis and St. Paul each would get $2 million for the programs. The remaining $1 million would go to other areas with high percentages of needy students.

Children ages eight to 13 who live in troubled neighborhoods would be the target of programs aiming to reduce juvenile crime and school behavioral problems.

The programs also would seek to increase academic achievement, school attendance, and skills in computers, the arts, and athletics.

Graduation standards

The bill includes $2.9 million for continued development of the state's graduation rule, but the bill would narrow the scope of the proposed standards.

The state has spent about $20 million developing and testing the new graduation standards.

Requirements for reading and math proficiency are slated to take effect beginning with the ninth-grade class in 1996-97. Those students will have to meet math and reading requirements before they can receive a diploma.

Ninth graders beginning in 1997-98 would have been expected to be tested on writing and science standards in addition to the math and reading requirements. Other tests in government, geography, and physical health and safety were expected to follow.

But the omnibus education bill would change that.

Minimum proficiency tests would be limited to the subjects of math, reading, and writing.

Assessment of performance in the other subjects would be shifted from minimum standards testing to the Profile of Learning, a broad analysis of each student's overall performance.

The changes in the graduation standards follow the direction taken in recent months by the newly created Department of Children, Families, and Learning.

Creative discipline

The bill includes $300,000 to help schools address behavior problems before they get out of hand.

The money would be distributed in grants to schools that implement constructive discipline policies that are focused on early intervention.

The bill would encourage schools to engage parents in a collaborative effort to alter inappropriate behavior and to see that such misbehavior does not become chronic.

It also would encourage schools to penalize students for misbehavior but to work toward returning them to their regular classrooms.

Grant recipients would be required to remove from regular classrooms students who violate the school's conduct code, to provide an alternative education site within the school for those students, and to make the alternative education constructive.

Gang resistance

The bill would allow existing tax revenue to pay for education programs that teach children how to resist gangs.

Currently, school districts can collect an extra $1 per resident in taxes to help pay for school police officers and drug abuse prevention programs.

The optional levy provides funding for efforts such as the DARE (Drug Abuse Resistance Education) program, which teaches fifth and sixth graders about the dangers of drugs.

The education bill would simply expand the law to allow districts to pay for gang-resistance education with money collected through the tax.

Local control

The conference committee scrapped a provision earlier approved by the House that would have given school sites more authority to make budget and programming decisions.

The proposal called for school site councils to be given control of a small share of the per pupil revenue given to districts and for additional grant funding to be offered to site councils. The site councils would have been allowed to spend the money without school board approval.

The governor strongly opposed the proposal.

Name change

The name of the state arts high school located in Golden Valley, Minn., would be changed to the Lola and Rudy Perpich Minnesota Center for Arts Education. The name change would honor late Gov. Rudy Perpich, who was instrumental in efforts to create and support the school.

Moment of silence

A measure to allow a moment of silence to be observed in public schools won approval earlier in both the House and Senate. But critics in both chambers described the provision as a thinly veiled effort to bring prayer into the schools.

The conference committee reduced the provision to a single sentence, "A moment of silence may be observed," the final bill reads.

— Nick Healy
CHILDREN

Kids and boats

A bill on its way to the governor aims to improve safety on Minnesota lakes by keeping young children away from the controls of high-powered watercraft.

The proposal was approved by the House March 26 on a 94-39 vote. It passed the Senate the same day, 56-5.

Under the bill, children under 13 would be prohibited from operating personal watercraft, or Jet Ski-style machines, and children under 12 would be barred from operating motorboats of more than 75 horsepower.

The bill (HF2834*/SF2363), sponsored by Rep. Kris Hasskamp (DFL-Hermantown), would prohibit children under 12 from operating boats from 25 to 75 horsepower unless there is someone 21 or older within immediate reach of the controls. Those children would be allowed to operate boats of less than 25 horsepower without supervision.

The bill comes in response to a 1995 boating accident that claimed the life of 10-year-old Aaron Sahli of Ham Lake, Minn.

Aaron was riding in a pontoon boat on a Crow Wing County lake last Memorial Day when the pontoon was struck by a 175-horsepower boat being driven by an 8-year-old boy.

The father of the 8-year-old was in the speedboat but was not at the controls.

Current law allows children under 12 to operate boats of less than 30 horsepower. They can operate more powerful boats as long as an adult is on board.

(See Feb. 9, 1996, Session Weekly, page 5)

CONSUMERS

Notaries public

A bill that aims to prevent notaries public from exploiting immigrants passed the House March 26. The vote was 131-2.

HF2478, sponsored by Rep. Carlos Mari nni (DFL-St. Paul), would require anyone who is not an attorney and provides immigration assistance services to post a notice in English and in the appropriate foreign language which says he or she is not an attorney. HF2478 also would prohibit anyone who is not an attorney and offers such services from giving legal advice. A written contract — in both English and the appropriate foreign language — also would be required and must include an explanation of services to be offered and the corresponding charges.

Any notary violating the provisions would be guilty of a misdemeanor.

The measure was proposed by Mariani in response to reports in Minnesota and elsewhere of notaries public charging excessive amounts for legal services they were not legally qualified to offer.

Because notary public means "super attorney" in Spanish, Mariani said many Spanish-speaking immigrants mistakenly believe notaries are the same as attorneys.

The proposal now moves to the governor.

CRIME

Penalties and prevention

A $17.4 million proposal to fund corrections, crime prevention programs, and more police officers on the streets in neighborhoods and in schools passed the House March 27. The vote was 130-3.


Also under the bill, neighbors would be notified when serious sex offenders are released to communities and state prisoners could be housed at the Prairie Correctional Facility in Appleton, Minn.

Dropped from the proposal during conference committee negotiations was a provision that would have made it easier for Minnesotans to carry a concealed weapon. Other proposed changes calling for increased prison sentences for certain crimes were also removed, which drew harsh criticism from some members.

But Murphy explained to members that continuing to impose longer and harsher prison sentences is something the state simply cannot afford.

These concerns were bolstered by the timely release of Paying the Price: The Rising Cost of Prison, published this month by Minnesota Planning.

According to the report, Minnesota imposes some of the longest prison sentences in the United States, with only the state of Maine reporting longer average sentences for violent offenders. For drug and property crimes, Minnesota’s sentences are 50 to 70 percent higher than the national median.

And the number of prisoners is growing exponentially. The report shows that Minnesota’s adult prison population has more than doubled in the last 10 years to some 5,700 inmates in 1995. By the year 2005, an estimated 7,000 inmates will create an even greater demand on taxpayers.

The cost of running state prisons, at $162 million in 1995, is expected to grow to $234 million by 1999, which does not include the costs of building more prisons. (The governor requested $100 million in bonding to build a new prison this year)

Currently, there is a shortage of about 300 prison beds. The shortage is expected to grow to about 1,200 beds by the year 2000, based on estimates that stem from current sentencing policies.

By removing a number of sentence increases that were in the House bill, the proposal would add 50 to 85 prison beds to current demands, noted Murphy, stressing the importance of controlling future costs.

The bill includes a provision that would require the Department of Administration to conduct a systemwide evaluation of the state correctional system.

Stopping crime before it occurs is the best way to trim the budget, according to supporters of crime prevention programs. The bill includes a number of measures that are intended to stop crime before it starts. Community safety and law enforcement grants are a key feature of the bill and would receive over $8 million in funding.

The bill, which now goes to the governor, also includes proposals that would prohibit prison inmates from smoking and provide funding for an automobile theft prevention program.

Below are some highlights from the bill.

COPS, Weed and Seed

Two new programs would garner $4.7 million of the bill’s grant dollars.

The community-oriented policing programs, known as “COPS,” aims to increase the amount of officers in local law enforcement agencies. The goal is to assign officers with community experience to investigate and prevent juvenile crime. COPS would expand community policing duties, such as block clubs and community-based crime watch programs. COPS would also assign overtime officers to high crime areas within their jurisdictions.

Weed and Seed, another grant program established by the bill, would assist local communities in eradicating violent crime, illegal drug activity, and illegal gang activity in targeted neighborhoods. The weed and seed program also would aim to revitalize neighborhoods, both economically and physically. Local law enforcement agencies would work with members of targeted neighborhoods to develop plans and apply for funding.
Community crime prevention

Community crime prevention grants that aim to enhance communities' sense of personal security and to assist in crime control and prevention efforts are a key feature of the bill.

Programs that may qualify for the grants include those that provide services for at-risk children, neighborhood youth centers, block clubs, community-based crime prevention programs, and community-based collaboratives.

The measure would appropriate nearly $1.8 million in funding for the grants.

Knock and Talk

A proposal in the bill, dubbed "Knock and Talk," would give Hennepin County $100,000 to establish a community-oriented chemical dependency pilot project. The project would take a comprehensive public health approach to chemical dependency problems, focusing on cocaine abuse in certain neighborhoods.

Knock and Talk would allow law officers and health workers to knock on the doors of so-called crack houses and offer chemical dependency treatment to addicts. Under the program, officers would be able to approach homes that have been identified as crack houses and offer to transport crack users to treatment programs, including aftercare programs that assist addicts in staying off drugs and securing jobs and housing.

Rep. Karen Clark (DFL-Mpls), a key sponsor of the project, has pointed out that many of the people who spend their time in crack houses, particularly women with children, find themselves in an "intimidating" situation and don't know how to extricate themselves.

Car theft prevention

Increasing occurrences of car theft led to the approval of a $930,000 proposal by Gov. Arne Carlson to combat the problem. The bill would establish an automobile theft prevention board, which would develop, coordinate, and implement statewide plans on car theft.

To help pay for the program, each automobile insurance policy sold in Minnesota would carry a surcharge of $0.50 per car for each six months of coverage. Critics argued against the surcharge and the board, saying it was nothing more than a tax increase. However, proponents of the plan noted that several states have adopted this approach, which has led to drops of 16 to 20 percent in insurance rates, netting a gain for consumers because of the reduction in auto theft.

Proponents also stressed that the funds would be used to pay for local prosecutors, investigations, and more police, as well as neighborhood and business groups trying to fight the problem.

Council on Black Minnesotans

The bill would appropriate $200,000 to the Council on Black Minnesotans to complete the Martin Luther King Jr. nonviolent institutional child development pilot project. The project would provide community violence prevention and intervention programs.

Before the project starts, the commissioner of public safety would need to evaluate it based on specific outcomes, according to the bill. The proposals would require the project to begin by Jan. 2, 1997, and end by July 1, 1998, at which time it would be reviewed by several state department commissioners.

Community notification

A key part of the bill that has received wide support throughout the process would authorize local law enforcement agencies to notify the public when a sex offender is released from prison. The community notification policy, co-sponsored by Rep. Dave Bishop (R-Rochester) and Skoglund, has been debated publicly since 1994 when Minnesota Supreme Court rulings released two men who had been committed under the state's sexual psychopathic personality law.

Lawmakers met in special session that year to pass legislation to keep sexual psychopaths off the street.

The level of notification would depend on a risk assessment of the offender. Offenders would be classified as either low, intermediate, or high risk to the community.

If the offender is considered low-risk, police may notify other law enforcement agencies and any victims of, or witnesses to, the offender's crime. For intermediate-risk offenders, schools, day care centers, and other groups that serve individuals likely to be victimized by the offender could be notified. Anyone a high-risk offender is "likely to encounter" could be notified. Widespread notification, however, would not occur while an offender is living in a residential treatment home.

The provision also amends the sex offender registration law to include persons from the same set of circumstances.

If passed, Minnesota would follow some of the 20 other states with similar laws.

The legislation would provide $340,000 to ensure the program's implementation and for legal challenges that may be brought against the law.

Victims of violence

In accordance with the state's policy of "zero tolerance" for violence, the bill outlines state goals that would give crime victims access to the following services: crisis intervention, including a 24-hour emergency telephone line; safe housing; counseling and peer support; assistance in pursuing legal remedies; and appropriate medical care.

The goals also include giving children who are witnesses to abuse and victims of violence access to crisis child care; safe supervised child visitation, when needed; age-appropriate counseling and support; and assistance with legal remedies, medical care, and needed social services.

In an effort to increase efficiency in the administration of victim services, the bill requires several offices that deal with crime victims to meet four times a year. The so-called "crime victims services roundtable" would discuss methods for improving the delivery of services, in addition to securing increased funding.

The witness and victim protection fund would receive $50,000 in grant money from the bill.

Juvenile monitoring

The bill would allot $225,000 for an intensive juvenile monitoring pilot program that focuses on juveniles who have committed or are at risk to commit crimes. Peace officers, juvenile courts, and juvenile probation officers would refer certain juveniles to the program. Qualifed college and graduate students with related majors would monitor and supervise the juveniles.

College students would work with a juvenile's probation officer and serve as a "big brother" or "big sister" to a teen who has committed, or is at risk for committing, delinquent acts or crimes.

The Department of Corrections would determine the particulars of the monitoring program. In addition, the department would work with colleges, universities, and community corrections agencies to establish the criteria for college students to participate in the program, what kind of training they should receive, and how they should be compensated.

Their responsibilities could include checking on the juvenile in the morning, reminding them about homework, checking on them after school, and helping them with homework several times a week.

The college student would then report...
back to the probation officer so the officer could determine which juveniles need more help.

**Truancy, curfew**
A total of $340,000 would be available to eligible cities and counties for the creation and expansion of programs for curfew enforcement, truancy prevention, pretrial diversion, and for juveniles who are at risk of incarceration.

**Crisis nurseries**
The bill would appropriate $250,000 to the Department of Human Services to assist eligible private and public organizations that provide crisis nurseries.

Children's advocates have said that the increase in violent crime is directly related to an increase in child abuse and neglect. Crisis nurseries offer temporary, safe nurturing care for children and support services for parents in times of crisis. The nurseries may also offer family counseling, in-home crisis intervention, parenting support, information and referral services, and service coordination.

**Home visits**
As part of the state's on-going effort to prevent child abuse and neglect, the bill appropriates $250,000 to the Department of Health for grants to home visiting projects. The funding would increase the efforts of public health nurses who visit homes with at-risk babies, giving advice on nutrition and other parenting issues.

**Group conferencing**
A pilot program for family group conferencing programs in Dakota County would receive $95,000 under the bill. The program would provide an administrative alternative to a courtroom prosecution. In the forums, persons accused of crimes would meet with victims, family members of victims or the offender, law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, and community members. The forums would focus on the impact that crimes have on victims and the community. Members of the group would then determine an appropriate sanction for offender reparations, including community service and other options.

**Tattoos**
Kids under the age of 18 who want to get a tattoo would need written parental consent to do so. Under the bill, anyone who provides a tattoo to a minor in violation of the provision would be guilty of a misdemeanor.

**Inmate health care**
Inmates would have to make a co-payment for health care services that they receive in prison under the bill. The co-payments would be deducted from inmates' earnings accounts. Supporters of the measure said they included it in the bill to help curb the rise in prison health care costs caused by overuse of services.

**Tougher gun penalties**
A felon who has been convicted of a crime of violence who is later found to be illegally possessing a firearm would receive a mandatory minimum prison sentence of 18 months and could get up to 15 years and a fine of up to $30,000, under the bill.

A provision that imposes a mandatory minimum for felons illegally in possession of a firearm has been loudly applauded by county prosecutors who say it will make their jobs easier. According to the provision, it doesn't matter if the felon is using the firearm to commit another crime or has it in his or her car — it is an automatic 18-month minimum sentence.

The provisions are not designed to limit the rights of law-abiding citizens to own a gun, but punish criminals caught with guns, Skoglund has said.

**Guns and kids**
An adult who negligently stores a loaded firearm that ends up in the hands of someone under age 18 would be guilty of a gross misdemeanor under the bill.

Current law applies only to minors under the age of 14.

A provision to require guns and ammunition to be locked and stored separately in households with minors was defeated earlier this session.

**Code grabbing devices**
The bill provides a three-year felony penalty for possessing a code-grabbing device with the intent to use it to commit a crime. Criminals use the devices to gain access to locked cars, garages, and other things that use a remote control and radio wave security code.

Once a home or car owner presses a remote

---

**Prairie Correction Facility**
A city-owned correctional facility in Appleton, Minn., may receive some Minnesota prisoners if the bill becomes law. The non-profit facility presently sells space to prisoners from Idaho and Colorado. The city gains economic benefits from the facility through job opportunities for residents and increased business for local merchants who sell food and supplies to the prison.

The bill instructs the commissioner of corrections to contract with the facility to house at least 200 inmates, if the cost does not exceed $55 per inmate, per day.

**Inmate health care**
Inmates would have to make a co-payment for health care services that they receive in prison under the bill. The co-payments would be deducted from inmates' earnings accounts. Supporters of the measure said they included it in the bill to help curb the rise in prison health care costs caused by overuse of services.

**Tougher gun penalties**
A felon who has been convicted of a crime of violence who is later found to be illegally possessing a firearm would receive a mandatory minimum prison sentence of 18 months and could get up to 15 years and a fine of up to $30,000, under the bill.

A provision that imposes a mandatory minimum for felons illegally in possession of a firearm has been loudly applauded by county prosecutors who say it will make their jobs easier. According to the provision, it doesn't matter if the felon is using the firearm to commit another crime or has it in his or her car — it is an automatic 18-month minimum sentence.

The provisions are not designed to limit the rights of law-abiding citizens to own a gun, but punish criminals caught with guns, Skoglund has said.

**Guns and kids**
An adult who negligently stores a loaded firearm that ends up in the hands of someone under age 18 would be guilty of a gross misdemeanor under the bill.

Current law applies only to minors under the age of 14.

A provision to require guns and ammunition to be locked and stored separately in households with minors was defeated earlier this session.

**Guns on buses**
To combat continued crime on public buses, the bill would increase the penalty for firing a gun "in" a public transit vehicle or facility.

The proposal expands current law which makes it a crime to recklessly discharge a firearm "at" a public transit vehicle or facility. Penalties would range from up to a three-year felony and a $6,000 fine up to a five-year felony and a $10,000 fine, depending on whether the vehicle or facility was occupied by individuals other than the offender.

The provision stems from recent incidents of gunfire inside buses and transit stations.

In addition, the proposal, sponsored by Rep. Darlene Luther (DFL-Brooklyn Park), would increase the maximum felony penalty for intentionally discharging a firearm under "dangerous circumstances" to five years imprisonment, up from the current two-year penalty. (Dangerous circumstances are defined by the jury in a given case.)

Under current law, it is a felony, punishable by a maximum of five years' imprisonment and a $10,000 fine, to intentionally discharge a firearm in a school zone, park zone, or public housing project.

**Stalkers and guns**
Minnesotans convicted of stalking or violating protection orders would have to surrender their pistols for three years.

The provision also specifies that if defendants in such cases use a firearm while violating the protection order or stalking a victim, they will be required to surrender the gun and could be barred from owning any firearm for the rest of their lives.

The county in which a domestic abuse offense is committed would conduct a domestic abuse assessment and submit a report to the court for any defendant convicted of domestic abuse or any defendant arrested for domestic abuse but convicted of another crime connected to the incident.

Defendants sentenced for domestic abuse, under the proposal, would be required to pay a $125 domestic abuse assessment fee. Anyone who commits domestic assault knowing that a child younger than 18 is likely to witness the assault would be guilty of a gross misdemeanor, punishable by up to a year in jail and a $3,000 fine.
control to open a garage door or unlock a car from a distance, the code grabbing device scrambles to find the code. Once the code is in hand, the criminal can gain easy access to a home or car.

**Sexual conduct**

The bill also would expand the definition of fifth-degree criminal sexual conduct to include instances where a person is forced to touch a perpetrator's intimate body parts.

The provision, sponsored by Rep. Bill Macklin (R-Lakeville), was prompted by a Dakota County case in which prosecutors couldn't charge an offender because such a provision was not in the law.

**Indecent exposure**

It would be a felony to commit a second indecent exposure offense in the presence of a minor under age 16. Under current law, the penalty is a gross misdemeanor regardless of how many times the offense is repeated. The maximum penalty would increase from a one-year jail sentence and a $3,000 fine to a five-year prison sentence and a $10,000 fine.

**Expunging criminal records**

It would be more difficult for people to conceal an arrest or conviction record under provisions in the bill sponsored by Rep. Phil Carruthers (DFL-Brooklyn Center).

People petition the courts to have their records expunged for a variety of reasons — some were arrested but never charged, others were charged but found not guilty. There are also cases where an individual who was found guilty wants the record sealed for personal or employment reasons.

Law enforcement agencies and prosecutors generally oppose the practice because it takes records and information out of the system that could provide leads in current and future criminal investigations.

Currently, there is no definition on the books and the provision tries to address some existing case law that allows judges to return records to defendants or have them destroyed.

Under the measure, expungement would be defined as sealing a record and opening it only under a court order or statutory authority. It would not include the complete destruction of arrest or conviction records.

The process would apply to both arrest records and criminal conviction records. If charges are dismissed for lack of probable cause or if a prosecutor and a grand jury decline to file charges or return an indictment, arrest records are returned at the demand of an arrested person.

Other arrest records may be sealed if the proceedings were resolved in favor of the arrested person. The burden of proof would rest on the government to show, by clear and convincing evidence, why the records should not be sealed, under the proposed law.

**Penalizing domestic abusers**

Minnesotans convicted more than once of domestic assault would be more severely penalized.

The proposal, sponsored by Rep. Tim Pawlenty (R-Eagan), requires that anyone convicted of gross misdemeanor domestic assault must be sentenced to a mandatory minimum of 20 days in jail, with eight of them required to be served continuously.

Those convicted of felony domestic assault would be required to spend at least 45 days in jail, 15 of them consecutively.

Pawlenty noted that prosecutors across Minnesota are concerned about "lenient or inconsistent" treatment of repeat domestic assault offenders.

Current law mandates a minimum of 30 days in jail for repeat convicted drunk drivers, but there is no mandatory minimum jail term for Minnesotans convicted more than once of domestic assault.

Research done by the Minnesota Coalition for Battered Women has found that most residents convicted of domestic assault receive supervised probation sentences with little or no jail time. According to supporters of the provision, the system is failing to hold domestic abusers accountable at the expense of battered women and their children.

— Joel Larson

Japanese journalists captured House floor action March 22. The Minnesota Capitol was one of their many stops on a tour of the Midwest reporting on violence in America and the possible threat to tourists traveling here.
formed on the side of the road. After a patrol officer stops a driver, performs a field test, and suspects the driver is under the influence, the driver could then be taken to a police or highway patrol station where a more extensive physical test would be performed by a DRE officer.

Some of the bill's other provisions would create a new gross misdemeanor crime for violating DWI laws while driving without insurance and provide a longer waiting period before a minor, who has committed a DWI offense, is issued a limited driver's license for work or postsecondary school.

Current law states that a minor must wait 15 days for a limited license if it is the person's first DWI offense; 90 days if it is the offender's second, assuming the minor agrees to take the breathalyzer test. Under the bill, the waiting periods would double.

The bill now heads to the House floor.

Fusion candidates

A bill setting the framework for fusion candidates to appear on the ballot — those nominated by more than one political party — is headed for the governor's desk.

The measure (HF3123/SF2720*), sponsored in the House by Rep. Gene Pelowski (DFL-Winona), comes in the aftermath of an Eighth U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals decision issued in January. The court found state law forbidding fusion candidates on the ballot to be unconstitutional.

The bill would allow more than one nominating party to be listed under a candidate's name on the ballot. With or without the bill, fusion candidates would still have to be allowed under the court decision. Such candidates could have their names appear two or more times — once for each nomination they accept — if no new law is passed.

The state is expected to appeal the court decision to the U.S. Supreme Court, but a decision by the court before the fall 1996 elections is unlikely.

Should the Supreme Court grant a stay or overturn the lower court decision, the state would revert to existing law barring fusion candidacies, under the bill.

The measure would allow a candidate to be listed as the nominee of one major party along with one or more minor parties or as the nominee of multiple minor parties. The candidate and the state chairperson of each nominating party would have to approve a fusion candidacy.

A single candidate would not be allowed to be listed as the nominee of more than one major party.

Candidate leave vetoed

Gov. Arne Carlson vetoed a bill March 26 that would have ensured that public employees who run for elected office are not required to take unpaid leave from their jobs.

Under current law, state employees are allowed to run for office without taking unpaid leave, but there is no state law providing the same right to local government employees.

At least 18 of Minnesota's 87 counties force employees to take unpaid leave if they become a candidate for office, according to House bill sponsor Rep. Don Ostrom (DFL-St. Peter).

That means lost wages and benefits for those employees, Ostrom said, and private sector workers face no such penalties for seeking office.

Carlson vetoed a similar bill in 1995. While he acknowledged that the authors of this year's measure "worked diligently to address the most objectionable portions of last year's bill," the governor believed that a fundamental problem remained.

"Simply put, the state should not unnecessarily interfere with decisions better left to locally elected officials," Carlson wrote in his veto message.

Employees should be allowed to run for office without taking leave as long as they can keep their campaign and job separate, Carlson wrote. But, he added, there are situations where an employee's campaign would be disruptive to the workplace.

"Locally elected officials should be able to keep the latitude they now have to establish policies suited to the local circumstances," Carlson wrote.

If citizens have concerns about the issue, they can take it up with the local officials, he added.

Sen. John Hottinger (DFL-Mankato), sponsored the bill in the Senate.

HF2549/SF2267*/CH368
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**Lobbying prohibition vetoed**

Gov. Arne Carlson has vetoed a bill to prohibit the use of money raised through the state’s political contribution refund program for lobbying purposes.

Under the program, created by the Legislature in 1990, an individual can give up to $50 to a candidate or political party, obtain a receipt for the donation, complete a form from the Department of Revenue, and get the cash back from the state. Married couples can contribute up to $100 and get it back. The program costs the state about $5 million per biennium.

Carlson said the effect of the bill would be to prohibit legislators or constitutional officers from sending out legislative questionnaires "or other communications designed to influence public policy." He called such restrictions "unreasonable."

The governor said if the authors of the bill are offended by the political use of money raised through the state's political contribution refund program, they should "send [him] a bill to repeal the whole program."

The proposal was sponsored by Rep. Roger Cooper (DFL-Bird Island) and Sen. Doug Johnson (DFL-Cook).

HF1106/SF1086*/CH375

---

**PAYING EMPLOYMENT**

**Paying poverty wages**

Many Minnesota businesses would have to pay employees at least $7.28 per hour, including benefits, under a bill passed by the House March 27.


Under the poverty wage bill, medium and large companies that get $25,000 or more in state aid through loans, grants, or tax increment financing (TIF) must pay employees at least $7.28 per hour. That is the current annual federal poverty level guideline for a family of four.

The bill aims to combat poverty by ensuring that employees of state-subsidized companies get paid enough, so they don’t require other state assistance.

The bill exempts small businesses and most nonprofits. A heavily debated amendment by Rep. Ron Abrams (DFL-Minnetonka) removed a provision from the bill that exempted TIF districts that are classified as redevelopment or renovation districts. The provision, now gone, would have exempted 51 of 52 TIF districts in Minneapolis. It would have exempted a smaller proportion of TIF districts in areas outside of Minneapolis.

"What this is, is social engineering at its worst," said Abrams, who has been a vocal critic of the way Minnesota has managed TIF districts. "If [paying a poverty wage] is good enough for Plymouth, for Detroit Lakes, for Worthington, it's certainly good enough for Minneapolis."

A conference committee will negotiate differences with the Senate version of the bill.

---

**ENVIRONMENT**

**Environmental funding**

The House passed the environment and natural resources finance omnibus bill March 26. The proposal (HF2512/SF2167*) passed on a 126-5 vote.

The $10.5 million measure emerged from a conference committee with $2.4 million more than the bill passed originally by the House. It includes a Senate provision that would have allowed non-domestic corporations to own forestry land in Minnesota.

A conference committee will negotiate differences with the Senate version of the bill.

**Timber damage**

The northern Minnesota counties of Aitkin, Becker, Clearwater, Hubbard and St. Louis would get a total of $250,000 in fiscal year 1996 to repair damage from thunderstorms that struck in July 1995. The money would be used to reimburse costs incurred in such areas as timber damage, cleanup, reconstruction, and debris removal.

An estimated 250,000 acres in northern Minnesota were hit, including state, county, federal, and private lands. One hundred seventy-five miles of roads were destroyed in the process. The storms ranged from an area west of Itasca State Park eastward through Clearwater County to south of Grand Rapids.

The DNR has estimated that $22.5 million worth of timber was lost or damaged. Nearly one-half of the annual wood supply needed by the state's forest industries was damaged or destroyed, the DNR has said.

The DNR also would get $240,000 under
the bill to pay for unexpected clean-up costs associated with the 1995 storm.

State park management
The bill appropriates $350,000 in fiscal year 1997 for state park and recreation management. The money will fund the establishment of an electronic state park permit tracking system. The provision also raises state park permit fees for various users. The DNR expects to raise $325,000 through increased fees by June 30, 1997.

Solid waste
The Pollution Control Agency (PCA) will get $779,000 through fiscal year 1997 to study water quality. The study targets "point source" pollution activities. The appropriation is an increase from the $689,000 appropriation contained in the original House bill.

All-terrain travel
The state would spend $1.35 million to develop an all-terrain vehicle recreation area in the city of Gilbert, Minn. Brown's original bill contained an $850,000 appropriation for an all-terrain vehicle recreation area to be located on the Iron Range. The bill also calls for the commissioner of natural resources to complete a long-range plan that spells out trail maintenance needs and accompanying costs through 2025.

Dairy farms
A total of $150,000 would be used to help both big and small dairy farmers under the bill. The money would help supply new technologies to dairy farmers. A board of farm business instructors, extension specialists and dairy industry representatives would help to administer the technology. The Dairy Producers Board would be charged with reporting on the program to the Legislature by Jan. 15, 1997.

Beaver damage
Local governments affected by beaver damage would share $75,000 to study statewide beaver damage. The appropriation, which requires an equal match by the Beaver Damage Control Joint Powers Board, is less than half the $300,000 appropriated in the original House bill.

Beaver dams in ditches, rivers, and coulees have created flooding in the flat terrain of northern Minnesota. Last year, Gov. Arne Carlson vetoed a $150,000 appropriation for the joint powers board.

Alfalfa
A total of $200,000 is appropriated under the bill for research and development relating to alfalfa production. The appropriation is four times the amount contained in the original House bill. The money would go toward developing alfalfa varieties that have optimal energy and protein levels as well as the development of value-added alfalfa products. The University of Minnesota, the Agricultural Utilization Research Institute, and other public and private groups would be involved in the research.

Pest control
An urban, integrated pest management development and promotion program would be created with Metropolitan State University at a cost of $75,000, under the bill. The goal of the program is to promote integrated pest management in urban areas.

Environmental justice
A total of $20,000 will help the Environmental Quality Board study the concept of "environmental justice." Originally proposed as HF1661 by Rep. Karen Clark (DFL-Mpls), the study would explore the equitable or inequitable distribution of environmental efforts among different socioeconomic and ethnic groups. The board would issue a report to the Legislature by Jan. 1, 1997.

About 38 other states are considering similar legislation in an effort to get federal funding for community-based projects that address environmental equity, Clark has said. President Bill Clinton issued an executive order in 1994 urging states to explore the concept of environmental justice.

River basin funding
The bill calls for $125,000 in continued funding for the Minnesota River Basin Joint Powers Board. The 37-county board is charged with keeping the river clean through public education and local environmental projects. It was established more than a decade ago when the DNR found that the river exceeded acceptable phosphorous and nitrate levels.

Rep. Tom Osthoff (DFL-St. Paul) asked why the joint powers board couldn't be financed by the 37 local municipalities instead of the state.

DNR officials have said the state has a history of financing joint powers boards, such as the North Shore Management Board. In addition, efforts by the 37 counties help hold sewage treatment costs down in the metro area, said Ron Nargang of the DNR.

Fighting river sedimentation
Morrison County would get $75,000 to study and propose a solution to accelerated sedimentation along the eastern shoreline of the Mississippi River. The University of Minnesota would use the money at its St. Anthony Falls laboratory. The appropriation is $25,000 less than the amount appropriated in the original House bill.

Minnesota resources
Projects aimed at preserving the state's resources would get $4.8 million under the bill. The appropriation is about $500,000 more than the provision contained in the original House bill. The larger appropriations fund the development of recreational land. A total of $1 million would fund the development of metro-area parks. Another $1 million would go for state park and recreation development and $895,000 for local grants for the same purposes. A total of $410,000 is earmarked for development of the Chippewa County Regional Trail.

Other items include a $360,000 joint project between the PCA and the DNR to develop an Internet program aimed at increasing public access to information. The state's Reinvest in Minnesota program, which aims to acquire and preserve wetlands and other conservation lands, would get $750,000 under the bill.

Reimbursing the state
A new state law, effective March 27, 1996, will help the state collect more insurance money to cover the costs of cleaning up contaminated landfills.

The law repeals portions of a 1994 law which simplified the cleanup of contaminated landfills. The law came after a rash of lawsuits were filed in connection with the contaminated Oak Grove landfill just north of the Twin Cities. That law took the responsibility for cleaning up contaminated sites away from landfill users and made the state responsible.

But the attorney general's office found the 1994 law brought many problems, especially in recovering costs from insurance companies. Under that law, the state would determine an insurance company's liability in a contaminated landfill case by using general statewide market share percentages. For example, the state would determine that an insurance company owed 25 percent of the costs of cleaning up a given landfill because 25 percent of that company's business was in business liability insurance. The insurance
company, in some cases, would then argue they weren't libel because none of their market share included landfill operators.

The new law, carefully negotiated between the attorney general and the insurance industry, establishes a new process. Instead of using market share to determine what an insurance company should pay, it addresses each contaminated landfill on a case-by-case basis to determine liability. Proponents say this will make it easier for the state to recover cleanup costs from insurance companies.

The case-by-case approach means extra work for the attorney general's office. A total of $689,000 is included in the omnibus environment and natural resources finance bill (HF3231/SF2167*) to cover the additional costs. That proposal is pending before the governor.

The new law was sponsored by Rep. Jean Wagenius (DFL-Mpls) and Sen. Steve Morse (DFL-Dakota).

**HF2388/SF2120/CH370**

**Outbreak**

A new law, effective May 1, 1996, will help the Department of Natural Resources better contain the spread of harmful exotic species.

The measure will create a general description in law applying to all exotic species defined as a wild animal or an aquatic plant that can naturalize and possibly threaten native species and natural resources. Previous laws prohibit the transporting of only Eurasian water milfoil. Since, according to DNR officials, distinguishing between the different types of milfoil is nearly impossible, the DNR did not issue any tickets for illegal transport last year.

The law also prohibits (with limited exceptions) the transport of all aquatic plants, including transport on public highways, an area not covered by existing law. There is an exception for incidental transport of aquatic plants on decoys used during waterfowl hunting season.

The law does not apply to mammals and birds defined by statute as livestock.

The proposal was sponsored by Rep. Loren Jennings (DFL-Harris) and Sen. Len Price (DFL-woodbury).

**HF2379/SF2503*/CH385**

**GAME & FISH**

**New turkey stamp**

A new law will help boost the state's wild turkey population through additional license fees paid by hunters.

Effective March 1, 1997, the state's approximately 12,000 wild turkey hunters will be charged an extra $5 each year.

Under current law, turkey hunters apply for a permit with the Department of Natural Resources (DNR). The extra charge will be for an additional stamp that turkey hunters must purchase. (A similar stamp now exists for those who wish to hunt migratory waterfowl or pheasant.)

About 90 percent of the funds raised by the new turkey stamp will go to the DNR for expanded wild turkey research and habitat preservation. Although the bird is thriving in parts of south central Minnesota, wild turkeys are non-existent in other areas of the state.

House sponsor Rep. Tom Hackbarth (R-Cedar) has said that some turkey hunters were especially concerned, having heard that the DNR considered reducing the amount of funds going toward wild turkey research and habitat development in outstate areas.

The proposal was sponsored in the Senate by Sen. Gene Merriam (DFL-Coon Rapids).

**HF1964/SF1775*/CH364**

**GOVERNMENT**

**State government finance law**

The House passed a $9.5 million state government omnibus bill March 21 which Gov. Arne Carlson has signed into law (with the exception of one section).

The law includes minor changes to the bill originally passed by the House. Those changes include an increase of $2.1 million for a statewide computer system and $200,000 more for the Department of Human Rights.

Items that were dropped in conference committee include a provision which would have required metal shredding companies to get an environmental impact statement before building such a plant. The provision was aimed at a controversial plan for a kondirator project along the Mississippi River in north Minneapolis. Another provision left out of the bill, proposed by Rep. Mike Osskopp (R-Lake City), would have fined state agencies 10 percent of their budget if they did not follow laws.

Carlson line-item vetoed a $50,000 study that would have addressed both the pending kondirator project and the University of Minnesota's controversial plan to refurbish a steam plant along the Mississippi River.

The bill was sponsored by Rep. Tom Rukavina (DFL-Virginia) and Sen. Gene Merriam (DFL-Coon Rapids).

**HF3214/SF2857*/CH390**

**Statewide information system**

Under the law, a one-time appropriation of $6.4 million will be made to fund a statewide operating system. The appropriation will fund updates to computer software and hardware in the state's new operating system, which was put into place this year. The original House bill appropriated $4.3 million.

**Target Center**

The law aims to increase the state's use and efficiency in paying for the use of the Target Center. The provision appropriates $730,000 to the Amateur Sports Commission — a state board — to enter into agreements with the Target Center that stimulate local, national and international amateur sports. The provision also attempts to limit the amount of ancillary costs incurred by the state by allowing the commission to resell reserved dates when necessary. Currently, the commission, which reserves dates at the center for state-sponsored activities, cannot resell reserved dates and must pay for operating costs whether or not an activity takes place on a reserved date.

**Celebrating emancipation**

June 19, the date officially celebrated in other areas of the nation as Juneteenth, will become a day of recognition in Minnesota, under the law.

Juneteenth is observed as the day the Emancipation Proclamation was first publicly read in Texas in 1865. Initially proposed by Rep. Richard Jefferson (DFL-Mpls) as HF1889, the provision will add Juneteenth to the list of state days of recognition such as Arbor Day and Ethnic American Day. It will not be an official state holiday.

**Year 2000 project**

A total of $1.25 million will fund the governor's Year 2000 Project. About 80 percent of the computer programs used by the state will require modifications when the year changes from 1999 to 2000. Many computer programs cannot perform arithmetic operations or sort data fields when working with years outside of 1900 to 1999.
Remembering suffrage

The women's suffrage movement, which observed its 75th anniversary last summer, will be commemorated in a memorial garden on the grounds of the Minnesota State Capitol. The proposal will use $250,000 in conjunction with $50,000 in private dollars.

The private money will be used for plaques, benches, and educational materials to be distributed in connection with the memorial garden.

Plans call for the garden to be constructed on a 100-foot-by-150-foot section of land that is south of Constitution Avenue near Cedar Street, close to the location of the 1996 Winter Carnival ice slide.

Technology provision in budget

The law requires the governor to submit a separate information technology expenditure as part of the biennial budget.

The new component of the budget will include detailed recommendations on the state's technology initiatives for the current and following biennium. It also will require the governor to prioritize each project.

Clearing the backlog

The Department of Human Rights will get $300,000 this biennium to clear its backlog of cases. Originally proposed as HF3083 by Rep. Matt Entenza (DFL-St. Paul), the provision originally appropriated $100,000 to solve the problem, which was the subject of a January report by the Office of the Legislative Auditor. The report found the department had not processed discrimination complaints in a timely manner and had not effectively used the department's case-tracking system.

Claims against the state

A new law will pay individuals who have claims against the state for everything from overdue war veteran bonuses to injuries sustained while performing community service work.

The law, effective March 22, 1996, totals about $97,000.

It also appropriates $4,180 to a Grygla, Minn., individual who overpaid tax on an airplane in 1989 and another $4,000 to one individual who spent $8,000 to build a useless stone wall to prevent erosion based on erroneous information from the Department of Natural Resources. The remaining $4,000 will come from the department's existing budget.

The Claims Committee, a joint panel composed of House and Senate members, considered claims made against the state each year. The panel serves as a court of last resort for those who feel the state is responsible for a financial loss. A person can pay a $5 filing fee and plead their case for reimbursement.

This year's measure, sponsored by Rep. Edgar Olson (DFL-Fosston) and Sen. Randy Kelly (DFL-St. Paul), contains claims that range from $7.50 to $4,180.

Most of the claims contained in the new law apply to the Department of Veterans Affairs to compensate Minnesota veterans for state-authorized bonuses based on their length of military service during wartime. Each veteran must apply for the bonus. The law appropriates about $80,000 for the bonuses.

Other funds in the bill include $7,120 for pre-paid claims against the Department of Corrections as well as for three people who were injured while performing court-ordered community work.

Legislative auditing

A bill relating to the duties of the Office of the Legislative Auditor was vetoed by Gov. Arne Carlson.

"[This] bill unreasonably and substantially expands the scope and power" of the office, Carlson wrote in his veto message. "This is a deliberate attempt to shift power and responsibilities away from the judicial and executive branches to the Legislature while passing it off as non-controversial."

But where the governor sees major changes, Rep. Ann Rest (DFL-New Hope), House sponsor of the bill, sees only a codification of existing practices where current law is vague.

The bill would have provided an appointment process for the auditor and the office's deputy auditors, addressed data privacy issues, and explicitly stated the duties that the legislative auditor already has been conducting at the request of the Legislature.

Carlson's mainly objected to a provision saying the auditor may perform a program evaluation of "any organization in the executive or judicial branches of state government" or the University of Minnesota.

The governor called the provision "a dangerous threat to the distribution of powers" outlined in the state constitution.

Rest said the authority has been in law since the Office of the Legislative Auditor was created in 1973.

"No agency activity or court function which uses taxpayer dollars is exempt from evaluation and this duty has nothing to do with the constitutional separation of powers," Rest said.

The proposal was sponsored in the Senate by Sen. Phil Riveness (DFL-Bloomington).

No environmental assessment

Gov. Arne Carlson has line-item vetoed a $30,000 study that would have addressed two pending projects near the Mississippi River in Minneapolis.

The omnibus state government finance bill contained a provision calling for the state's Environmental Quality Board (EQB) to assess two situations.

The first was to determine whether a metal shredding plant near the river was compatible with "tourism and other non-industrial uses" of the land which has been designated "an area of critical concern." Although unnamed by the legislation, the provision was targeting a specific konditor, or metal-shredding plant which is pending along the river in north Minneapolis.

Secondly, the bill called for the EQB to study the environmental and health effects of burning coal "within or near residential areas of large urban centers." The provision is directly related to the University of Minnesota's controversial plan to refurbish a steam plant on the Mississippi riverfront.

Some members have cited the potential environmental danger of coal-burning facilities as a need for further study and a reason to favor alternative energy-generating technologies. Others have said the issue has been studied enough.

The remainder of the bill was signed into law.
The law was sponsored by Rep. Tom Rukavina (DFL-Virginia) and Sen. Gene Merriam (DFL-Coon Rapids).

**No planning report**

A bill calling for the St. Cloud area planning organization to provide a report and recommend legislative action to state lawmakers was vetoed by the governor.

The bill outlined specific areas of study to be addressed, including regional land use and coordination and the feasibility of a tax-base sharing program for the region. The proposal applied to Benton, Sherburne, and Stearns counties.

Gov. Arne Carlson called the bill an "unnecessary first step to take." He said the "bill amounts to legislative micro-management of the region, while ignoring the many cooperative agreements that are already in place to deal with jurisdictional problems."

The proposal was sponsored by Rep. Joe Opatz (DFL-St. Cloud) and Sen. Dave Kleis (R-St. Cloud).

**Vetoed by the governor.**

**Omnibus bill passes**

A $16 million higher education funding bill on its way to the governor would require changes in the tenure code for the University of Minnesota (U of M) academic health center.

The bill (HF3239/SF2849*) would provide supplemental funding for the state's higher education institutions, including the U of M and the Minnesota State Colleges and Universities (MnSCU) system.

The conference committee version of the bill won final passage in the House March 22 on a 118-12 vote. The Senate passed it March 21 on a 56-5 vote. The bill was sponsored by Rep. Tony Kinkel (DFL-Park Rapids) and Sen. LeRoy Stumpf (DFL-Thief River Falls).

The measure would devote a total of $9 million to the U of M.

Under the proposal, the state would spend $6.6 million to restructure the academic health center, but the money would be contingent upon specific performance goals.

The academic health center includes the medical school and dentistry, public health, nursing, and veterinary programs. Funds for the center would be used to improve technology and update curriculum.

Ninety percent of the academic health center appropriation — about $5.9 million — would be contingent on the U of M making changes to the personnel policies in the center.

The bill states that the Legislature "requests" that the school pursue changes in the tenure code for the academic health center without infringing on academic freedom.

Critics say the tenure code is often used as a job protection device that drains resources from academic programs.

Specifically, U of M trustees would have to allow for changes in base salary for health center faculty and provide streamlined procedures for their separation.

The remaining 10 percent of the $6.6 million for the academic health center would be earmarked for the University of Minnesota-Duluth (UMD) medical school. But the money would hinge on the continued development of the medical school as a rural health center, which aims to produce more medical professionals to serve rural areas.

Under the bill, UMD would have to expand the training of rural nurse practitioners, pharmacists, physician assistants, and general practitioners. The school also would have to develop electronic links to facilitate video conferences and the transmission of images and other information.

Another $2 million included in the bill would be used for interactive communications technology to link academic health center facilities in Minneapolis, St. Paul, and Duluth and other community-based sites.

The bill also includes a total of $5.3 million for the MnSCU system. Most of the money would be used to bolster technology on the campuses.

Under the measure, about $4.5 million would be made available for grants for the use of technology to improve learning in the classroom.

Grants of up to $250,000 would be awarded to state colleges and universities on a competitive basis. A review panel, including faculty, students, and a member of the Minnesota High Technology Council would decide who receives the grants.

Both the governor and lawmakers have established technological upgrades at educational institutions — at all grade levels — as a high priority this year.

Also, the bill includes $800,000 for the U of M and MnSCU to initiate a joint project that would aim to coordinate distance learning efforts and improve such programs.

Other provisions of the bill would:
- devote a total of $1.5 million to increase living and miscellaneous allowances in state grants for college students;
- provide $150,000 to establish a statewide on-line library system. The proposal would fund a library planning task force whose goal would be to set up an on-line system to serve colleges, public libraries, and public schools;
- spend $150,000 to update the technology used in the state's six regional farm business management programs, which aim to help farmers learn business skills and improve profits; and
- provide $50,000 to repay college loans for graduates of Minnesota law schools who practice low-paying, public-interest law.

![The recipients of the 1996 Teaching Excellence Award, left to right, Christopher Anson, University of Minnesota Twin Cities campus; Gary Dauer, Central Lakes Technical College, Staples, Minn.; and Dr. Michael Gold-Biss, St. Cloud State University, were recognized for their achievements on the House floor March 27.](image)
The bill requires the private bar to match the state funds for loan repayments.

**HOUSING**

**Interest on deposits**

Effective March 22, 1996, damage or security deposits held for tenants by landlords will not accrue as much interest. Previously, the annual interest rate for such deposits was 4 percent, which is a full percentage point above what most banks are offering for savings accounts.

Under the new law, landlords must pay only 3 percent interest on a tenant's security deposit.

Should the law remain the same in the year 1999, the interest rate would climb to 4 percent. This provision would be subject to review by the 1998 Legislature.

The proposal was sponsored by Rep. Loren Jennings (DFL-Harris) and Sen. James Metzen (DFL-South St. Paul).

HF2672 *SF2135/CH357

**HUMAN SERVICES**

**Omnibus human services bill**

Gov. Arne Carlson vetoed a $12.5 million health and human services supplemental budget bill March 26.

Lawmakers can attempt to override the veto, which requires a two-thirds vote in both bodies of the Legislature. That means 90 votes in the House and 45 votes in the Senate.

Minority Leader Steve Sviggum (R-Kenyon) has said such an effort will fail in the House. "We will support the governor," he said.

The bill wasn't considered large in terms of dollar value, but it contained policy changes as well as money for a variety of programs.

It would have set aside $4.7 million to restore cuts to two programs that help people with disabilities live independently at home instead of in an institution such as a group home or nursing home.

The bill also would have spent another $5 million on nursing homes and attached a 30-day residency requirement for some welfare recipients who receive Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), Medical Assistance (MA), General Assistance (GA), or General Assistance Medical Care (GAMC).

Carlson cited several reasons for his veto. Among them, the governor said the bill failed to set aside money for medical education and research. He had requested $10 million.

Instead, the bill permitted the Department of Health to set up a trust fund to pay for medical education and research grants necessary to keep Minnesota up-to-date in medical care. The measure appropriated no money but directed a task force to study the potential sources of funding for a trust fund. Recommendations were to be forwarded to the Department of Health and the Legislature by Dec. 15, 1996.

Carlson was also dissatisfied that the bill did not move quickly enough to transform the state's MA program for the poor into a prepaid managed care system.

Managed care is a method of health care that tries to cut costs by channeling patients to a limited network of doctors and other health care workers. The care is regularly reviewed to determine whether it is appropriate and necessary or whether medical procedures are being overused or underused.

Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs) are probably the most common examples of managed care.

The governor wants all counties to participate in what is known as the Prepaid Medical Assistance Plan (PMA) which has been piloted in several counties, including Hennepin, Ramsey, and Dakota, for several years.

Under the plan, the state contracts with a select group of competitive health plans and pays them a fixed monthly rate to care for each enrolled MA recipient. Among other factors, the rate paid by the state per enrollee depends on the person's age, gender, and county of residence. Currently, the state runs the PMA system and directly negotiates contracts with health plan companies.

The bill, however, didn't go as far as the governor would have liked. Instead of mandating that all counties participate right away, it gave counties the option to experiment with a managed care pilot project for their citizens who receive MA, GAMC, and MinnesotaCare. If a county chose not to participate, PMA may have been implemented by Oct. 1, 1996.

The bill also would have allowed each county to run its own managed care health program, solicit competitive bids from health care companies, and negotiate contracts with the health plans it selected.

Carlson not only objected to the optional language in the bill regarding county participation, he opposed the idea of each county managing its own program.

"With such a large group of health care consumers, we could expect a great deal of competition among providers, which would result in the highest quality of care for our most vulnerable citizens. Instead, this bill suggests that we should fragment our purchasing power across county demonstration projects," Carlson stated in his veto message.

Under the current MA fee-for-service program, citizens can choose their own doctors as long as the physician is approved by the state to treat MA patients in addition to their other clientele.

Rep. Jim Rostberg, a former second class petty officer in the U.S. Naval Reserve, wore his uniform on the House floor March 22 as part of a "patriotic" theme day encouraged by lawmakers. Other House members wore red, white, and blue ties, shirts, and hats. One member distributed patriotic stickers.
PMAP not only could limit the doctor selection for MA patients, it could put a dent in the practices of some physicians. Since MA selection for MA patients, it could put a dent likely see their practice diminish.

The proposal was sponsored by Rep. Lee Greenfield (DFL-Mpls) and Sen. Don Samuelson (DFL-Brainerd).

HF2818*/SF2308/CH393

**METRO AFFAIRS**

**Eliminating a perk**

Prominent Minnesotans who for years have enjoyed free, heated, valet parking at Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport have lost that privilege under a new state law.

About 100 people, including a handful of prominent Minnesota politicians, were on a Metropolitan Airports Commission list of those who could park free in a heated underground garage anytime they had business at the airport.

The law, effective March 26, 1996, states that the commission may only provide free airport parking to people who are attending a commission meeting or performing volunteer work at the airport. The commission also must keep records of who receives free parking, the purpose for which the person received free parking.

Sen. John Marty (DFL-Roseville) sponsored the proposal in the Senate.

HF2321*/SF2339/CH378

**TRANSPORTATION**

**Free driver’s license**

The state would provide a money-back guarantee that driver's licenses will be issued in a timely manner under a bill passed by the House March 27. The vote was 117-14.

HF2895, sponsored by Rep. Phil Carruthers (DFL-Brooklyn Center), would require a refund of the fees paid by qualified applicants if they don't receive a driver's license or Minnesota I.D. card within six weeks.

Gov. Arne Carlson vetoed similar legislation last year, saying the bill was unnecessary because the delays which prompted it had been resolved.

"Unfortunately, since then, we've found the department has continued to have problems," Carruthers said. The bill, he added, would "push them" to get the licenses out more quickly.

The bill now goes to the Senate.


**Single plate policy**

A new law for old cars sped to passage this session.

The law, sponsored in the Senate by Sen. Paula Hanson (DFL-Ham Lake), allows owners of antique automobiles to display a single original license plate on the rear of the vehicle if the plates were issued in 1911, 1944, 1945, or 1946.

In these years, the state issued only single plates, said Pellow. State efforts to conserve scrap metal led to the single-plate policy.

Previously, the law only allowed owners of antique automobiles to display original plates, if in good condition. However, another law that requires two plates to be displayed superseded the original plate law, leaving behind owners of vehicles made in the single-plate years. Owners of antique automobiles wanted the change in the law so they don't have to attach new plates, which reduce the vehicles' authenticity and aesthetic value.

The law, effective Aug. 1, 1996, was sponsored in the Senate by Sen. Paula Hanson (DFL-Ham Lake).

HF2098/SF1793*/CH345

**VETERANS**

**Gulf War bonuses**

The House approved a proposed constitutional amendment March 28 that would permit the state to pay Persian Gulf War veterans monetary bonuses. The vote was 130-0.

If HF532 passes the Senate, voters would be asked the following question on the ballot next November: "Shall the Minnesota Constitution be amended to permit the payment of bonuses to veterans of the Persian Gulf War?"

Bill sponsor Rep. Betty McCollum (DFL-North St. Paul) said the state should provide the bonuses to show support for those who served their country.

Some members expressed concerns of equity, asking if the proposed constitutional amendment would set a standard for citizens who served their country in Granada, Somalia, Bosnia, Panama, and other foreign endeavors in which the U.S. armed forces have engaged.

McCollum said she respected those concerns, but said the Gulf War is distinct from these examples because it was formally declared by the United States Congress.

Historically, the Legislature has recognized veterans' service in major wars, for example, World War I, World War II, the Korean War, and the Vietnam War. Wars of a smaller scale — the North Russia Expedition of 1918-19; Nicaragua, 1927-32; the Dominican Republic, 1956-66; and Lebanon, 1982-84 — have not been recognized.

If the voters approve the constitutional amendment, the Legislature would decide the amount and method of the payments in a subsequent session.

The proposal now goes to the Senate.

Persian Gulf War veterans would receive monetary bonuses if Minnesotans approve a constitutional amendment, under a bill moving through the Legislature.
Editor's note: This is the third installment of an unofficial listing of House files that have been incorporated into other bills. Ongoing floor and conference committee action may well alter the makeup of these bills before they reach the end of the legislative process. In many cases, the language found in the major bills may not be exactly as it appears in the original House files.

The list was compiled by the House Public Information Office from a variety of sources, including committee administrators, committee legislative assistants, researchers, and fiscal analysts.

An asterisk after a House or Senate file number indicates the version of the bill under consideration.

If you're interested in following conference committee action (and have access to e-mail), you can subscribe to a service that will automatically e-mail an updated schedule of meetings.

To subscribe, simply address your e-mail message to:
listserv@hsched.house.leg.state.mn.us
In the body of the message, enter:
subscribe h-schedules
If you have questions, call the House Public Information Office, (612) 296-2146, or the Senate Information Office, (612) 296-0504.

HIGHER EDUCATION

As of 3/28/96

Omnibus higher education supplemental appropriations bill HF3239/SF2849* (Kinkel)

HF1170 (Kelley) Higher education consortium established to assess learning needs, open learning institution studied, and money appropriated.
HF2212 (Rostberg) Deceased volunteer firefighter survivor benefits provided to dependent children.
HF2874 (Kelso) Law school loan repayment assistance program established, and money appropriated.
HF2896 (Leppik) Permanent university fund financial conditions removed.
HF2960 (Orenstein) Work skills update program demonstration provided, and money appropriated.
HF3136 (Kelley) Statewide online information system established serving state colleges, universities, state government, and public school and private college libraries, and money appropriated.
HF3238 (Kelso) University of Minnesota appropriated money for academic health center and biomedical engineering institute.

INSURANCE

As of 3/28/96

Omnibus department insurance bill HF2378/SF1980* (Oshoff)

HF219 (Murphy) Lyme disease treatment coverage required by health plans.
HF623 (Tomassoni) Life insurance policy replacement information disclosure requirements established, and form provided.
HF2394 (Tomassoni) Prostate cancer screening health insurance coverage required.
HF2430 (Carlson, L.) Self-insurance pool commerce department regulation provisions modified.
HF2611 (Lourey) Disabled Medicare enrollee eligibility provided without underwriting.
HF2819 (Erhardt) Health plan risk adjustment enrollee cost sharing provision disclosure required.
Minnesota could become the first state with a constitutional amendment to protect hunting and fishing privileges.

A proposal to do so (HF1306/SF1111), which voters would have to approve in November, is now pending before the House Rules and Legislative Administration Committee.

As it now stands, the bill would ask if "citizens shall have the privilege (emphasis added) to fish, hunt and take game subject only to restrictions as prescribed by law." The original bill gave citizens the "right"—not privilege—to fish, hunt and take game. The Senate passed a similar bill March 13.

The change from "right" to "privilege" was made to address concerns raised by critics. With the weakened language, some have said the bill, sponsored by Rep. Mark Holsten (R-Stillwater), does little.

But others are nervous, saying it could set a precedent for "frivolous" constitutional amendments. And Holsten and his supporters say the amended bill could be the nation's first step in standing up to anti-hunting and animal rights groups.

"It's important to get the intent clear," said Holsten, after a recent committee meeting. "There are a lot of rumors flying around—that this is a front for the NRA, that this is going after the Indian treaties... All that we are trying to do is allow the citizens to vote on whether they want to preserve their cultural heritage of fishing and hunting."

Currently, no states have a constitutionally protected right or privilege for hunting, fishing, and trapping, according to attorneys and other officials on each side of the issue. Holsten has said about 19 states are considering such an amendment.

Lifelong fishing advocate Frank Schneider has heard from some of those states. The St. Paul resident who is active in many fishing groups testified in support of the bill before the House Environment and Natural Resources Committee March 26. He said Minnesota's history of pioneering environmental legislation gives it an important role in the hunting and fishing rights debate.

"In some of these states they think they can get [a constitutional amendment bill] introduced and maybe they could win, but they're all waiting for Minnesota to get off our duffs and do it," Schneider said. "This group here leads the show...you've never waited for other states. You've always led the fight."

Schneider echoed the concerns raised by other supporters of the bill such as the Minnesota Waterfowl Association and the Minnesota Outdoor Heritage Alliance, changing about animals and their place in the world," said Linda Hatfield, speaking on behalf of the Minnesota Humane Society and Friends of Animals. "As a society, we are moving toward the view that wildlife should be treated with dignity and respect. This will end that dialogue."

Critics of the bill acknowledge that changing the word "right" to "privilege" has made the bill more acceptable. But they say they're still uncomfortable with the proposal.

"There is absolutely no need for this bill. Even if a group would try to ban hunting or fishing, they wouldn't get anywhere legislatively," said Hatfield.

A 1989 law targets animal rights groups and prohibits the harassment of hunters. Supporters of Holsten's bill say that statutory protection is not enough when anti-hunting groups are increasingly using voter referendums to curb hunting rights. Holsten said a Wyoming case that is currently pending in court could limit bear hunting privileges nationwide.

How a constitutional "privilege" to fish and hunt would affect existing laws such as property rights has been at the heart of committee debate. Holsten and other supporters such as Reps. Arlon Lindner (R-Corcoran) and Tom Balk (DFL-Cook) say the bill clearly doesn't supersede statutory law. They point to language in the bill that says such a privilege is "subject only to restrictions as prescribed by law."

Rep. Myron Orfield (DFL-Mpls) has been a vocal critic of the bill. He and others such as Rep. Alice Hausman (DFL-St. Paul) have said the privilege to hunt and fish should not be equated with other constitutionally protected personal rights such as voting or equal protection. Orfield also said if, as supporters claim, the bill doesn't give special privileges above and beyond current law, the measure amounts to constitutional clutter. If the bill does give a privilege above and beyond current law, then it amounts to an additional right that will conflict with current law, he added.

The attorney general's office has expressed...
hunting and fishing right could offer a new constitutional defense for hundreds of laws governing game, fish, and possibly even guns.

Steve Masten of the attorney general's office said the amended bill addresses some of the concerns. But he said there are still questions — many of which cannot be answered because there is little case law on constitutional hunting and fishing rights or privileges.

"Calling it a privilege instead of a right is hopefully less problematic . . . it is more difficult to regulate rights than privileges," said Masten. "But anything you put in the constitution is potentially very problematic."

A recent case in Pennsylvania, where there is no constitutional amendment protecting hunting and fishing rights or privileges, sheds some light on the issue. In Pennsylvania Game Commission v. Marich, Jr. (1995), the Pennsylvania Supreme Court reversed a lower court decision that found the rights of two duck hunters were violated when the gaming commission revoked the hunters' licenses for exceeding the bag limit. The lower court said the commission had violated the defendants' constitutional right to hunt. But the state supreme court reversed the lower court decision, citing an earlier opinion that stated hunting is a privilege, not an inherent right.

Supporters and critics of Holsten's bill both say it's hard to tell how such a case would play out in Minnesota, with or without the proposed constitutional amendment.

Some critics say they're confused: "We have some concerns. Nobody really understands what this [bill] would do," said Judy Bellairs, legislative director of the Minnesota Sierra Club.

Holsten says he rejects the most common complaint about his measure — that it doesn't belong in the constitution.

"If you want to talk about clutter, just open up the constitution," said Holsten. "We've got constitutional amendments in there for a lottery, for a gas tax — our constitution is full of things that we've wanted our constituents to vote on. This is not inconsistent with our constitution."

Since 1858, the Minnesota Legislature has proposed 206 constitutional amendments. The proposed amendments — 113 of which were approved by voters — range from the right for blacks to vote to the authorization of a state lottery approved in 1988. Of the 206 amendments, only a handful relate to personal rights or privileges such as voting.

Holsten said the time is right for such an amendment.

"The citizens are going to say to those [anti-hunting] groups that it is our privilege to fish and hunt and take game," Holsten said. "We're not talking about the exclusive right to hunt or fish. We're talking about . . . managing that resource ourselves."

— Kendall Anderson

---

**Minnesota Senate 1995-96**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District/Member/Party</th>
<th>Room*</th>
<th>Phone (612) 298-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66 Anderson, Ellen R. (DFL)</td>
<td>120 Cap.</td>
<td>5537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Beckman, Tracy L. (DFL)</td>
<td>306 Cap.</td>
<td>5713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Belanger, William V., Jr. (R)</td>
<td>113 SOB</td>
<td>5975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Berg, Charles A. (DFL)</td>
<td>328 Cap.</td>
<td>5094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Berglin, Linda (DFL)</td>
<td>G-9 Cap.</td>
<td>4261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 Betzold, Don (DFL)</td>
<td>G-24 Cap.</td>
<td>2556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 Chandler, Kevin M. (DFL)</td>
<td>111 Cap.</td>
<td>9307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Chmielewski, Florian W. (DFL)</td>
<td>305 Cap.</td>
<td>4182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Cohen, Richard J. (DFL)</td>
<td>317 Cap.</td>
<td>5931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Day, Dick (R)</td>
<td>105 SOB</td>
<td>9457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Dille, Steve (R)</td>
<td>103 SOB</td>
<td>4131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Finn, Harold R. &quot;Skip&quot; (DFL)</td>
<td>306 Cap.</td>
<td>6128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Flischbach, Michelle (R)</td>
<td>152 SOB</td>
<td>2084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Flynn, Carol (DFL)</td>
<td>120 Cap.</td>
<td>4274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Frederickson, Dennis R. (R)</td>
<td>139 SOB</td>
<td>8138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Hanson, Paula E. (DFL)</td>
<td>328 Cap.</td>
<td>3219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hottinger, John C. (DFL)</td>
<td>120 Cap.</td>
<td>6153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Janezich, Jerry R. (DFL)</td>
<td>358 Cap.</td>
<td>8017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Johnson, Dean E. (R)</td>
<td>147 SOB</td>
<td>3826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Johnson, Douglas J. (DFL)</td>
<td>205 Cap.</td>
<td>8881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Johnson, Janet B. (DFL)</td>
<td>322 Cap.</td>
<td>5419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Johnaton, Terry D. (R)</td>
<td>117 SOB</td>
<td>4123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Keily, Randy C. (DFL)</td>
<td>323 Cap.</td>
<td>5265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Kiscaden, Sheila M. (R)</td>
<td>143 SOB</td>
<td>4848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Kleis, David (R)</td>
<td>151 SOB</td>
<td>6455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Knottun, David L. (R)</td>
<td>133 SOB</td>
<td>4120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 Kramer, Don (R)</td>
<td>131 SOB</td>
<td>8669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Krantz, Jane (DFL)</td>
<td>235 Cap.</td>
<td>7061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 Kroening, Carl W. (DFL)</td>
<td>124 Cap.</td>
<td>4302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 Laidig, Gary W. (R)</td>
<td>141 SOB</td>
<td>4351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Langseth, Keith (DFL)</td>
<td>G-24 Cap.</td>
<td>3205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Larson, Cal (R)</td>
<td>153 SOB</td>
<td>5655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Lesesne, Arlene L. (R)</td>
<td>135 SOB</td>
<td>4125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Lessard, Bob (DFL)</td>
<td>111 Cap.</td>
<td>4128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Spring storm brings Legislature to grinding halt

The snow storm that struck Minnesota March 24 left some lawmakers stranded at home, some inactive in St. Paul, and at least one in a ditch.

Rep. Mike Jaros (DFL-Duluth) made it to the Capitol for a scheduled 10 a.m. March 25 floor session, but many of his House colleagues didn’t. The House abruptly adjourned because the number of lawmakers present didn’t amount to a quorum.

But Jaros didn’t arrive without having some trouble along the way.

He set out from home to St. Paul at about 9:30 p.m. on March 24 because he heard the roads would be worse if he waited until morning.

Driving conditions worsened as Jaros drew nearer to the Twin Cities area, and around midnight he found himself driving his car in a ditch. He set out from home at about 9:30 p.m. on March 24 because he heard the roads would be worse if he waited until morning.

“Just kind of pulled me off the road,” Jaros said. “I could have tried to steer out, but I was afraid I was going to roll over.”

Two men in a pick-up truck behind him on the highway saw his car go into the ditch and stopped to help. One half-hour and a few bucks later Jaros was safely back on the road.

“I was very lucky,” he said. “I was afraid I was going to have to sit there for a long time.”

The March snowstorm, considered a rite of spring in Minnesota, scuttled most legislative action on March 25. Sixty-six House members made it to the Capitol for that day’s session. Sixty-eight must be present for official business to be transacted on the House floor.

quiet for a day so near the end of a legislative session. (The 1996 session is expected to wrap up soon.)

Many of those who did make it to the Capitol on Monday had wild road stories to tell.

“The roads started out slushy, then they were icy, and then they were snowy,” said Rep. Bill Gunther (R-Fairmont), who traveled at an average speed of 35 mph on his March 24 drive from southern Minnesota to the Capitol.

“There were 29 cars in the ditch on the way up here. I counted them,” Gunther said. “…I was awful happy to get here.”

Several other legislators, especially those representing Greater Minnesota districts, chose to stay off the roads and wait out the storm at home.

Rep. Richard Mulder (R-Ivanhoe) said the storm’s high winds created near white-out conditions around his southwestern Minnesota hometown.

“I knew there was no way I could’ve left,” Mulder said. “We had about an inch of ice on the highways, and the snow on top of it made driving treacherous.”

Mulder stayed at home and spent March 25 at work — seeing patients at his medical practice — before making the drive to St. Paul that evening.

Of course, it wasn’t the first time Minnesota weather has brought action in the Legislature to a virtual halt.

Most recently, a March 4, 1985, floor session was cut short when only 21 members were able to make it to the Capitol through a severe winter storm.

The dreary weather this year didn’t stop Rep. Tom Rukavina (DFL-Virginia) from having a little fun with his colleagues across the aisle, who were without Minority Leader Steve Sviggum (R-Kenyon) for the abbreviated floor session.

“Representative Sviggum asked that I serve as leader of the minority caucus in his absence,” Rukavina joked. “…We’ll be meeting in [a Capitol hearing room] to discuss raising the minimum wage to $10 [per hour].”

— Nick Healy

Q. What happens to bills that are not acted upon during a legislative session? Do they carry over to the next year’s session?

A. The Minnesota Legislature operates on a two-year, biennial schedule. Adjournment of the Legislature at the end of the first year of a biennial session does not mean the end of the road for a bill.

Bills that are in committee at the end of the first annual session may be heard during the second year’s regular session.

Bills pending on the floor after the first session are returned to the committee last acting on the bill.

Bills in conference committee after adjournment are returned to their house of origin, where they are tabled and members of the conference committee are discharged. They may be taken from the table in the second year of a biennium’s regular session and acted upon.

Bills that fail to become law after the second year of the biennium are considered truly dead and must be re-introduced during the next biennium to be considered. Such would be the fate of bills not acted upon at the close of the 1996 Legislative Session.
lawmaker’s consistent theme: control state spending

There are a few things that Rep. Walter Perlt (DFL-Woodbury) is not.

He is not a partisan squabbler. He is not a smooth talker. He is not slick.

"I don’t consider myself much of a politician," Perlt said during a recent interview. "I stay away from the politics. That’s probably why I’ve got as many good friends around here as I do."

The retiring lawmaker won those friends at the Capitol with a no-nonsense approach to dealing with his peers and others.

"Whether you’re talking to a lobbyist, to a constituent, or to another member, you’ve got to say what you mean," Perlt said.

And he insists that such forthrightness comes from personality—not calculated persona.

"It’s just how I am," he said. "Sometimes it helps you and sometimes it doesn’t."

The second-term lawmaker recently announced he will not seek re-election this fall. His departure comes in large part as the result of health problems.

Arthritis at times limits Perlt’s ability to get around. Because flare-ups of the malady make it difficult to get up and down stairs, he is now considering selling his Woodbury home and possibly moving out of the district he represents.

Perlt, 68, said two bills top his list of legislative accomplishments. One helped to bolster government accountability, and the other extended new protection to consumers.

Perlt was an integral part of a three-year effort to pass legislation to reduce state spending on private contractors and consultants. The measure followed a successful 1995 bill that Perlt co-sponsored.

The 1995 law mandated a 5 percent cut in the state’s use of private contractors and consultants. The measure followed a vetoed 1993 bill that would have required a 10 percent cut in such spending.

Perlt said the law represents a solid effort to deal with abuses and growth in state contracting, which increased by 83 percent between 1983 and 1993.

"The important thing is the [1995] bill passed and it’s working," Perlt said. "We never tooted our horns about it, but really it was a pretty good piece of legislation." Perlt also backed 1993 legislation that mandated telephone caller identification systems be made available to metropolitan-area consumers.

The bill also mandated that other services such as selective call acceptance and rejection be made available to all consumers in the metro area.

The law that required caller identification to taxi cab companies in order to protect cab drivers.

The bill grew in scope during the legislative process to require that caller identification and other services such as selective call acceptance and rejection be made available to all consumers in the metro area.

"That was a pretty important bill," Perlt said. "It’s something people find useful."

If there is an overall theme to his time in the Legislature it is his consistent effort to control state spending, according to Perlt.

"More people have to move in that direction," he said. "People are concerned about the cost of government these days, and we need to find ways to reduce government spending without cutting necessary programs."

Perlt is upbeat about his future, although he acknowledges he would not be stepping down if not for health problems.

He hopes to move to a spot on the St. Croix River, where he could “go fishing everyday.” And he looks forward to spending winters with his wife, Dodie—away from the Minnesota cold.

Perlt was an unlikely candidate for the Legislature before mounting his first run in 1992. He had retired from his job as chief of enforcement for liquor control after 38 years in the Department of Public Safety.

“I never had any idea I’d be working again, much less running for office,” Perlt said.

He got into politics only at the urging of DFLers in his district, but proceeded to run an energetic, scrappy campaign in 1992 and a similarly successful re-election bid in 1994.

“You hear people complaining and grumbling all the time, but the thing that surprised me is that the system works,” Perlt said. “It works in large part thanks to some really dedicated people—in particular, the good staff.”

As Perlt prepares to leave the House, he is happy to recall lessons learned and “good friends” made along the way.

“I’m going to miss it a lot,” he said. “No one has enjoyed it more than I have. It’s been a blast.”

— Nick Healy
Once a bill has passed both the House and Senate in identical form, it's ready to be sent to the governor for consideration. The governor, who has several options when considering a bill, can: sign the bill and it will become law; veto the bill; line-item veto individual items within an appropriations bill; or do nothing, which can have two different effects. The timing of these actions is as important as the actions themselves.

In the second year of the biennium (even-numbered years), a bill passed by the Legislature and presented to the governor before the final three days of the session will become law unless the governor vetoes it by returning it to the Legislature within three days. The governor normally signs the bills and files them with the secretary of state, but his signature is not required.

But if a bill is passed during the last three days of session, the governor has a longer time to act on it. He/she must sign and deposit it with the secretary of state within 14 days after the Legislature adjourns "sine die" (Latin for adjournment "without a date certain"). If the governor does not sign a bill within this time frame, it will not become law, an action known as a "pocket veto." The governor is not required to provide a reason for the veto.

Only on appropriations bills can the governor exercise the line-item veto authority. This option allows the governor to eliminate the appropriation items to which he/she objects. As with all vetoes (save pocket vetoes) the governor must include a statement listing the reasons for the veto with the returned bill. Here, too, the timetable is either 14 days after adjournment for bills passed during the final three days of the session, or within three days after the governor receives the bill at any other time.

A two-thirds vote of the members in each house is needed to override a veto. But because only the governor can call a special session of the Legislature, anything vetoed after the Legislature adjourns is history—at least until the next year.

The governor's veto authority is outlined in the Minnesota constitution (Article IV, Section 23).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Signed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>362**</td>
<td>2145</td>
<td>2594</td>
<td>Relating to housing; making technical and policy changes to the low-income housing tax credit program.</td>
<td>3/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>2158</td>
<td>Relating to health professions; providing for the registration of speech-language pathologists.</td>
<td>3/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>1775*</td>
<td>Relating to game and fish; requiring a turkey stamp.</td>
<td>3/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>2778</td>
<td>2672</td>
<td>Relating to courts; extending the streamlined dissolution procedure pilot project.</td>
<td>3/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>2565</td>
<td>2636</td>
<td>Relating to private business, trade, and correspondence schools; modifying licensing standards.</td>
<td>3/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>2242</td>
<td>2196*</td>
<td>Relating to housing; permitting a mortgagee to provide a resident caretaker for a premises.</td>
<td>3/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>2549</td>
<td>2267*</td>
<td>Relating to elections; prohibiting public employers other than the state from requiring employees to take leave of absence upon becoming a candidate for elective office.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td>2256</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>Relating to economic development; changing classification of the director of tourism.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>2588</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>Relating to insurance; providing a process for resolving state claims for certain landfill cleanup costs and associated damages with insurers.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371</td>
<td>3271</td>
<td>2874*</td>
<td>Relating to settlements; authorizing settlement of a lawsuit for age discrimination.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>2264</td>
<td>1905*</td>
<td>Relating to parks and recreation; adding to and deleting from state parks.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>2720</td>
<td>2260*</td>
<td>Relating to state government; modifying classifications for certain positions in the higher education system.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>2867</td>
<td>2552*</td>
<td>Relating to workers' compensation; modifying provisions governing calculation of premiums.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>1086*</td>
<td>Relating to elections; campaign finance; prohibiting lobbying by a principal campaign committee or political party committee that issues refund receipt forms.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chapters 266-363 appear in the March 22, 1996, issue of Session Weekly
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Signed</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>2625*</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Relating to the city of Baxter; allowing the city of Baxter to expand its public utilities commission to five members.</td>
<td>3/26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377</td>
<td>2587</td>
<td>2342*</td>
<td>Relating to motor carriers; providing for deregulation of motor carriers of property.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378</td>
<td>2321*</td>
<td>2339</td>
<td>Relating to the Metropolitan Airports Commission; prohibiting free parking.</td>
<td>filed w/o signature 3/25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>2330*</td>
<td>2107</td>
<td>Relating to land use planning; requesting the St. Cloud area planning organization to assess and report on the land use planning and coordinating issues of the region.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>2842</td>
<td>2275*</td>
<td>Relating to crime; requiring victim’s account of domestic assault or harassment to be considered in determining arrested person’s release.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>1922*</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>Relating to highways; authorizing cities to establish a municipal involvement process for certain trunk highway construction or reconstruction projects.</td>
<td>3/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382</td>
<td>2735</td>
<td>2471*</td>
<td>Relating to labor relations; modifying provisions regarding mandatory arbitration for charitable hospital employers and employees.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383</td>
<td>2556</td>
<td>2194*</td>
<td>Relating to metropolitan government; including Metropolitan Council and its agencies’ employees in a certain definition of employee.</td>
<td>3/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>1981*</td>
<td>Relating to commerce; regulating the enforcement powers of the commissioner of commerce.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>2379</td>
<td>2503*</td>
<td>Relating to exotic species; recodifying, modifying, and expanding provisions relating to regulation and management of harmful exotic species.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>2841*</td>
<td>2397</td>
<td>Relating to employment; modifying provisions regarding minimum wages.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>2163*</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>Relating to motor carriers; prescribing conditions for granting medical waivers to truck drivers.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>2385*</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>Relating to civil actions; establishing an evidentiary privilege for persons who preside at alternative dispute resolution.</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td>697*</td>
<td>1647</td>
<td>Relating to insurance; long-term care; permitting the sale of policies with longer waiting periods with disclosure of the purchaser.</td>
<td>3/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>3214</td>
<td>2857*</td>
<td>State Government Finance Bill</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td>Line-item veto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>2357</td>
<td>1996*</td>
<td>Relating to family law; requiring specificity in visitation orders.</td>
<td>3/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>2127*</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>Relating to human services; amending MN Statutes relating to deaf and hard-of-hearing</td>
<td>3/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393</td>
<td>2818*</td>
<td>2308</td>
<td>Omnibus Health &amp; Human Services Bill</td>
<td>3/26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>2298*</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td>Relating to government efficiency; extending the effective period of certain exemptions granted by the Board of Government Innovation and Cooperation.</td>
<td>3/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res #4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Urging the United Nations to admit the Republic of China as a full member.</td>
<td>filed w/o signature 3/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the ice …

Bipartisan spirit invades legislative hockey team

Reps. Tim Pawlenty and Bob Milbert have been working toward the same goals for some time now.

No, the Eagan Republican and the South St. Paul Democrat haven’t changed their partisan stripes. The two have just found common ground — or ice, to be more accurate.

They and at least three other House members belong to the Golden Sea Horses — a hockey team that’s been playing for more than five years. No one figured the idea that grew from a stressful legislative session six years ago would last this long.

“It’s a great time,” said Rep. Phyllis Kahn (DFL-Mpls), who organized the team that plays weekly during Minnesota’s frozen months. “It started after a really tough session. [Rep.] Mary Jo [McGuire] and I figured we needed something a little less mellow than cross country skiing and jogging.”

The team includes Kahn, McGuire (DFL-Falcon Heights), Milbert, Rep. Howard Orenstein (DFL-St. Paul), Pawlenty, a few lobbyists and a handful of teenagers. Visiting players have included Sen. Gary Laidig (R-Stillwater), Rep. Andy Dawkins (DFL-St. Paul) and Rep. Thomas Pugh (DFL-South St. Paul). Patrick Murphy, House Journal editor, has also laced up his skates.

The team that doesn’t have an audience but has fun anyway means different things to each legislator. For Pawlenty, it’s a chance to know colleagues in a different setting. For McGuire, it’s a lifelong sport. For Kahn, it’s a chance to do what she couldn’t growing up.

“I think it helps build camaraderie,” said Pawlenty, loosening his skates after a recent game. “It’s really a lot of fun.”

Whether it’s pre-game chatter, intense puck-chasing or just Orenstein’s horseplay, the activity at a recent game contrasts sharply with the formality of legislative duties.

Team members say it takes the edge off the session. Seems it may contribute to bipartisanism as well.

Shooting down the ice like a bullet in a black jersey, Pawlenty handles the puck quickly and easily. He passes it to Milbert, who passes it back for a score.

“I love playing with Pawlenty,” said Milbert of the team’s star player. “But it’s because he’s from South St. Paul. You can’t be from South St. Paul and not be a good hockey player.”

The team includes beginners like Milbert’s wife, Vicky, to veteran players like Danielle Young of Edina High School’s girls’ hockey team. And the rules are simple but strict — no checking and helmets required.

One legislator forgot the helmet rule when he played five years ago. He hasn’t been back, his former teammates chuckle.

“He came in with no helmet and kind of thought he was a hot shot and he ended up in the emergency room with stitches,” recalled Doug Carnival, a lobbyist who often cheers on his teammates from the bench.

Rick Young of Edina was a lone spectator at a recent game at Phalen Arena in St. Paul. His daughter, Danielle, joined the team while she was a House page last year and now both her sisters play, too.

“The girls really enjoy it because this is a fun group,” said Young. “It was neat for Danielle to know [the legislators] from hockey while she was paging. The other pages were really impressed that all these representatives were coming over to talk to her.”

As the 1996 Golden Sea Horse schedule winds down, members say they’re looking forward to next year’s season. Some have begun making plans.

“We’re going to put leg weights on Pawlenty and make him shoot the other way,” said Milbert, after tossing a snowball at a teammate. “He’s too good.”

— Kendall Anderson

A handful of House members, including Rep. Bob Milbert (file photo), have spent years releasing legislative stress by chasing a hockey puck.
Coya Knutson …

First Minnesota congresswoman faced tough test

In 1995, a total of 49 women were seated in the U.S. House of Representatives and another eight in the U.S. Senate. Their presence did not provoke a bevy of news stories detailing their fashion and decorative tastes.

But when Minnesotans elected Coya Knutson as their first — and only — female Congresswoman 41 years ago, local newspapers ran photos of her grocery shopping, washing clothes, and opening the oven door in her new Washington, D.C. residence. The Minneapolis Tribune reported during Knutson’s first week on the job in 1955 that she was planning how to arrange furniture in her apartment, “like any woman.”

While the former Minnesota state representative made news headlines for everything from securing a spot on the powerful Agriculture Committee to helping farmers and passing the nation’s first student loan program, it was her departure from office that became infamous.

The legendary “Coya Come Home” letters, later used for the title of Knutson’s biography, garnered nationwide headlines in 1958. They became the flash point of a national debate on the role of women in politics.

The Fargo Forum first reported the letters, released to the press and allegedly written by Knutson’s husband, Andy. The first asked DFL officials to pick someone else to run for his wife’s seat because he didn’t want her to run again. The second letter, addressed to Coya, begged the legislator to come home to save her family.

News of the letters swept across the country. Newspaper headlines from coast to coast focused on the former school teacher from northwestern Minnesota, including one in the New York Daily News that said: “Demands Wife Quit Congress: Resents Her Right Hand Man.”

Stories about Knutson neglecting her family surfaced, spurring a debate between supporters and critics.

U.S. Rep. Harold Cooley, chair of the powerful House Agriculture Committee, rose to her defense saying he “wouldn’t swap [Knutson’s] service on the committee for any man.”

But others echoed the sentiments of a New Jersey woman who wrote to Knutson, “You are the typical American career woman. You are a disgrace to womanhood.”

Republican challenger Oden Langen tapped into that criticism, making the letter a centerpiece of his election campaign for the seventh district seat. He narrowly beat Knutson in 1958, garnering 50.7 percent of the vote to her 49.3 percent.

A DFL party official later admitted to writing the letter, but said he only followed Mr. Knutson’s orders, according to Gretchen Urnes Beito’s Coya Come Home: A Congresswoman’s Journey.

Throughout her political career, Knutson was referred to as outspoken and spirited. At times she was criticized by strict party liners for her independence, a trait in which she took great pride. In 1956, when Minnesota DFL officials, including Sen. Hubert Humphrey, backed presidential candidate Adlai Stevenson, she rooted for Estes Kefauver. When most Democrats voted against a measure to give tax relief to a taconite company, she voted for it.

During her congressional term, she played an active role in increasing federal aid to mental health centers. The former school teacher also helped increase federal aid to schools.

Knutson also left her mark in the Minnesota House of Representatives where she served from 1951 to 1954. She sponsored a successful dairy act that increased the quality and sales of dairy products, helped pass a $6 million appropriation increase for mental health programs, and helped establish the Fair Employment Practices Commission and Teachers Retirement Act.

Agriculture issues initially drew Knutson into politics as a young woman in 1941 when she began debating acreage allotments and farm support prices with the Agricultural Adjustment Administration. Her civic involvement continued in 1948 when she joined the Red Lake County Welfare Board and was later chosen to chair the county’s DFL Party. She also helped found a local medical clinic and Red Cross chapter in Oklee, Minn.

It’s hardly surprising that Knutson made several runs for political office after her unexpected removal from office.

She ran again for the seventh district seat in 1960 and defeated the DFL-endorsed candidate. Knutson then lost a rematch to sitting U.S. Rep. Langen in the general election.

In 1977, at age 64, she ran unsuccessfully against a DFL-endorsed candidate for a vacant state House seat. She told skeptics, “I may have gray hair but my feet are in good condition. Never say die and don’t take yourself too seriously.”

— Kendall Anderson

See Then and now next page.
Then and now ...

Women in politics

It's hard to imagine today's Minnesotans drumming a woman out of office because her husband wanted her home, say current female office-holders. But subtler shades of sexism persist, they say, four decades after Coya Knutson's time as the state's first and only congresswoman.

"I think there was probably a different standard applied to Coya Knutson than there is to women today," said Secretary of State Joan Growe. "But I believe that today when a woman runs for an office that no woman has run for, the scrutiny and standards are still different from men. There is still discrimination. Sometimes it's subtle and sometimes it's overt."

The fact that Minnesotans haven't elected a woman to Congress since Knutson speaks for itself, said Rep. Dee Long (DFL-Mpls).

"Maybe we haven't come as long a way baby as we think we have," said Long, paraphrasing an advertising jingle that emphasizes women's progress. "Certainly women have greater opportunities running for lower offices."

That lack of women in higher positions contributes to the public's frequent questioning of whether women are "tough enough" for office, said Growe.

"There is still a lack of women in higher positions which means women haven't had the opportunity to be there and perform well," said Growe.

Still, Growe and Long say progress has been made.

"If the kind of sleazy campaign that was run against Congresswoman Knutson were run today, I think the public would react far more negatively than they did then," said Long.

Rep. Barb Vickerman (R-Redwood Falls) remembers when, as a mother with four children, she ran unsuccessfully for a city office in 1979. She said the reaction of one voter illustrated the attitude toward female office-holders back then.

"One of the comments was, 'Oh, I am almost relieved you lost because I was wondering how you were going to take care of the children and do anything,'" recalled Vickerman. "I was ticked. They were making a decision on what my duties should be."

Since that time, the biggest change that's helped women officeholders is women themselves, Vickerman said.

"I really think you had to overcome women being jealous of each other back then," she said. "Women are much more supportive of each other now."

— Kendall Anderson

In the Hopper . . . March 22 - 28, 1996

Bill Introductions

Wednesday, March 27

HF3277—Munger (DFL)
Environment and Natural Resources
State lottery proceed credit to Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund period extended, and constitutional amendment proposed.

HF3278—Rest (DFL)
Taxes
National guard and reserve members serving in Bosnia, Herzegovina, Croatia, and Macedonia provided income tax return and property tax refund filing extension.

Committee Schedule

Session times for next week were unavailable as this issue went to press. Please call (612) 296-2146 for updated information.

For information updates, call House Calls at (612) 296-9283. All meetings are open to the public.

To have the daily and weekly schedules delivered to your e-mail address, send a message to: listserv@hsched.house.leg.state.mn.us

In the body of the message type: subscribe h-schedules
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— Kendall Anderson
New Laws 1996 Order Form

A publication outlining the new laws of 1996 will provide brief, easy-to-read summaries of the bills that were passed by both the House and Senate and signed or vetoed by the governor. New Laws 1996 will be ready a few months after the session ends. Copies will be mailed without charge to those who order them.

Do you want to receive a copy of New Laws 1996?  ____ Yes   ____ No

Please place this form (with the mailing label on the back) in an envelope. Mail it by May 1, 1996, to: Session Weekly, House Public Information Office, 175 State Office Building, 100 Constitution Ave., St. Paul, MN 55155-1298.

1996 Session Weekly Readership Survey

Please take a moment to tell us what you think about the Session Weekly. Your opinions will help in planning for next year.

1. How often do you read the Session Weekly? (Please check one)
   ____ Once a month  ____ Twice a month  ____ Three times a month  ____ Every week

2. On which day of the week does the Session Weekly usually reach your mailbox?

3. Which parts of the Session Weekly do you most often read? (Please check all that apply.)
   ____ Highlights  ____ Bill Introductions  ____ Information (lists, etc.)
   ____ Committee Schedule  ____ Features  ____ Do You Know? It's a Fact!
   ____ Minnesota Index  ____ Member profiles

4. In the last few issues of Session Weekly, we have published unofficial listings of 1996 House files that have been incorporated into other bills. Do you find this information useful?  ____ Yes  ____ No

5. Please rate the following aspects of the Session Weekly by checking one answer in each set.
   Writing  ____ Hard to understand  ____ Somewhat understandable  ____ Easy to understand
   Story Length  ____ Too short  ____ Too long  ____ Just right
   Readability (type size)  ____ Too small  ____ Too large  ____ Just right
   Photographs  ____ Poor  ____ Average  ____ Excellent
   Layout  ____ Poor  ____ Average  ____ Excellent

6. What do you like about the Session Weekly?

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

7. Do you have any suggestions for improving the Session Weekly?

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

8. We plan to continue the Q & A column next year when space allows. If you have a question about the Minnesota House of Representatives or the legislative process, please write it here.

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________
**MINNESOTA INDEX**

**Gambling in the United States, Minnesota**

| States without some form of legalized gambling | 2 |
| Current members of Congress who have co-sponsored bills to create a federal commission to study gambling | 158 |
| Dollars wagered on legal bets, nationwide, in billions, 1974 | $17 |
| in 1994 | $482 |
| Ratio of dollars spent on gambling to dollars spent on all spectator sports combined, 1994 | 6:1 |
| States with non-Indian-run casinos, 1984 | 2 |
| in 1996 | 10 |
| States with Indian-run gambling, 1984 | 0 |
| in 1996 | 24 |
| Different tribes running those games of chance | 182 |
| Net profit from those gambling operations, in billions, 1994 | $2.7 |
| Tribal casinos in Minnesota | 18 |
| Estimated amount wagered annually at Minnesota Indian casinos, in billions | $4 |
| States with lotteries, 1984 | 17 |
| in 1996 | 36 |
| Different lotteries operating in 1831 | 420 |
| Ratio of dollars raised by those lotteries compared to the 1831 federal budget | 5:1 |
| Lotteries still in operation at the beginning of the Civil War | 3 |
| States with video poker machines, 1996 | 6 |
| Video poker machines now permitted, per truck stop, in Louisiana | 50 |
| Truck stops, statewide, before video poker machines were authorized | 30 |
| Truck stops today | 102 |
| Cents of each Minnesota charitable gambling dollar that goes for actual charitable purposes, 1994 | 5.6 |
| Gross receipts from charitable gambling in Minnesota, in billions, FY1994 | $1.26 |
| Minnesota State Lottery sales, FY1994 | $331.3 |
| Percent of Minnesota lottery dollars wagered on instant games, FY1994 | 67 |