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## Memo

State Ald for Local Transportation Division
Mall Stop 500, Room 420

October 9, 1995

| TO: | County Engineers <br> District State Aid Engineers |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Ken Hoeschen, Manager <br> Needs Unit <br> (612) 296-1660 |

## SUBJECT: County Screening Board Report

Enclosed is a copy of the 1995 Fall County Engineers' Screening Board Report. This report, compiled from data submitted by each county engineer, reflects the estimated cost of constructing the County State Aid Highway System over a 25-year period.

The data included in this report will be used by the County Screening Board at their October 25-26, 1995 meeting in making their annual mileage and money needs recommendation to the Commissioner of Transportation for the 1996 Apportionment.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact your Screening Board representative or this office. The district representatives should be well informed regarding any mileage requests or other specific items which may involve your county. Probably, district meetings will be held in advance of the Screening Board meeting to discuss any problems.

This presentation has only preliminary. status. The final determination of the apportionment will be made in January by the Commissioner with the assistance of the recommendations of the County Screening Board.

## Enclosure: County Screening Board Report
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## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD

| Doug Grindall | $(95-96)$ | - Koochiching County | - District 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Russ Larson | $(94-95)$ | - Roseau County | - District 2 |
| Steve Backowski | $(95-96)$ | - Morrison County | - District 3 |
| Dale Wegner | $(94-95)$ | - Pope County | - District 4 |
| Jon Olson | $(95-96)$ | - Anoka County | - District 5 |
| Craig Falkum | $(94-95)$ | - Wabasha County | - District 6 |
| Alan Forsberg | $(95-96)$ | - Blue Earth County | - District 7 |
| Gordon Regenscheid | $(94-95)$ | - Meeker County | - District 8 |
| Don Wisniewski | $(95-96)$ | - Washington County | - District 9 |
| Dave Olsonawski, Secretary |  | - Hubbard County |  |

## 1995 SCREENING BOARD ALTERNATES

| Phil Bergem | - Pine County | District 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lee Berget | - Clearwater County | District 2 |
| Mark Daly | - Wadena County | District 3 |
| Rick West | - Otter Tail County | District 4 |
| Vern Genzlinger | - Hennepin County | District 5 |
| Gene Ulring | - Fillmore County | District 6 |
| Marlin Larson | - Cottonwood County | District 7 |
| Luke Hagen | - Lincoln County | District 8 |
| Ken Anderson | - Chisago County | District 9 |

## 1995 CSAH GENERAL SUBCOMMITTEE

| Jack Cousins, Chairman |
| :--- |
| Greg Isakson |
| Paul Kirkwold |

(June, 96) Clay County (June 97) Faribault Country
(June, 98) - Ramsey County

## 1995 CSAH MILEAGE SUBCOMMITTEE

| Dave Everds, Chairman | (Oct., 95) - Dakota County |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lee Berget | (Oct., 96) - Clearwater County |
| Dave Robley | (Oct., 97) .- Douglas County |

## CSAH VARIANCE SUBCOMMITTEE

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

C.S.A.H. Mileage, Needs and Apportionment - 1958 through 1996

The information listed below is presented as historical data for the 38 years of County State Aid Apportionments and preliminary data for the 39th year.

Since 1958, the first year of State Aid apportionment, County State Aid mileage has increased almost 1,200 miles of which over 860 miles can be attributed to the turnback law which was enacted in 1965. Needs have increased since 1958 substantially due to revised design standards, increasing traffic, and ever rising construction costs.

The apportionment for 1996 has been estimated to be approximately \$250 million (the same as for 1995). The actual apportionment which will be made by the Commissioner in January will reflect any additional change in income to the County State Aid Highway Fund.

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA 

остоber, 1995
C.S.A.H. Mileage, Needs and Apportionment - 1958 through 1996

| Year | Mileage | Needs | Aprortiomment | Accumulative Asportionment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 29,003.30 | \$705,318,817 | \$23,895,255 |  |
| 1959 | 29,128.00 | 792,766,387 | 26,520,631 | \$50,415,886 |
| 1960 | 29,109.15 | 781,163,725 | 26,986,118 | 77,402,004 |
| 1961 | 29,177.31 | 881,168,466 | 29,195,071 | 106,597,075 |
| 1962 | 29,183.50 | 836,684,473 | 28,398,346 | 134,995,421 |
| 1963 | 29,206.63 | 812,379,561 | 30,058,060 | 165,053,481 |
| 1964 | 29,250.40 | 844,850,828 | 34,655,816 | 199,709,297 |
| 1965 | 29,285.26 | 1,096,704,147 | 35,639,932 | 235,349,229 |
| 1966 | 29,430.36 | 961,713,095 | 36,393,775 | 271,743,004 |
| 1967 | 29,518.48 | 956,436,709 | 39,056,521 | 310,799,525 |
| 1968 | 29,614.63 | 920,824,895 | 45,244,948 | 356,044,473 |
| 1969 | 29,671.50 | 907,383,704 | 47,316,647 | 403,361,120 |
| 1970 | 29,732.84 | 871,363,426 | 51,248,592 | 454,609,712 |
| 1971 | 29,763.66 | 872,716,257 | 56,306,623 | 510,916,335 |
| 1972 | 29,814.83 | 978,175,117 | 56,579,342 | 567,495,677 |
| 1973 | 29,806.67 | 1,153,027,326 | 56,666,390 | 624,162,067 |
| 1974 | 29,807.37 | 1,220,857,594 | 67,556,282 | 691,718,349 |
| 1975 | 29,857.90 | 1,570,593,707 | 69,460,645 | 761,178,994 |
| 1976 | 29,905.06 | 1,876,982,838 | 68,892,738 | 830,071,732 |
| 1977 | 29,929.57 | 2,014,158,273 | 84,221,382 | 914,293,114 |
| 1978 | 29,952.03 | 1,886,535,596 | 86,001,153 | 1,000,294,267 |
| 1979 | 30,008.47 | 1,964,328,702 | 93:482.005 | 1,093,776,272 |
| 1980 | 30,008.25 | 2,210,694,426 | 100,581,191 | 1,194,357,463 |
| 1981 | 30,072.55 | 2,524,102,659 | 104,003,792 | 1,298,361,255 |
| 1982 | 30,086.79 | 2,934,808,695 | 122,909,078 | 1,421,270,333 |
| 1983 | 30,084.16 | 3,269,243,767 | 127,310,171 | 1,548,580,504 |
| 1984 | 30,087.24 | 3,363,921,407 | 143,696,365 | 1,692,276,869 |
| 1985 | 30,089.03 | 3,628,382,077 | 171,133,770 | 1,863,410,639 |
| 1986 | 30,095.37 | 4,742,570,129 | 176,412,995 | 2,039,823,634 |
| 1987 | 30,095.26 | 4,656,668,402 | 169,035,460 | 2,208,859,094 |
| 1988 | 30,101.37 | 4,694,034,188 | 176,956,052 | 2,385,815,146 |
| 1989 | 30,119.91 | 4,801,166,017 | 224,066,256 | 2,609,881,402 |
| 1990 | 30,139.52 | 4,710,422,098 | 234,971,125 | 2,844,852,527 |
| 1991 | 30,144.88 | 4,905,899,327 | 228,425,033 | 3,073,277,560 |
| 1992 | 30,142.84 | 4,965,601,700 | 244,754,252 | 3,318,031,812 |
| 1993 | 30,130.03 | 5,231,566,081 | 244,499,683 | 3,562,531,495 |
| 1994 | 30,149.73 | 5,313,983,542 | 245,557,356 | 3,808,088,851 |
| 1995 | 30,200.17 | 5,390,579,832 | 249,926,147 | 4,058,014,998 |
| 1996 | 30,202.50 | 445,534,654 | \$249,926,147 | 4,307,941,145 |
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## Comparison of the Basic 1994 to the Basic 1995 25-Year Construction Needs

The following tabulation indicates the various stages of the 1995 C.S.A.H. needs study update and shows the needs effect each phase produced.


1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA
OCTOBER, 1995
Comparison of the Basic 1994 to the Basic 1995 25-Year Construction Needs

| County | Revised Basic 1994 25-Year Const, Needs | Effect of Normal Update |  | Effect of Unit Price Upiate |  | Basic 1995 25-Year Const. Needs | Total Change From 1994 Needs |  | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | \$52,426,823 | \$1,280,374 | 2.4\% | (\$574,960) | -1.1\% | \$53,132,237 | \$705,414 | 1.3\% | Carlton |
| Cook | 37,255,170 | 836,459 | 2.2\% | $(572,638)$ | -1.5\% | 37,518,991 | 263,821 | 0.7\% | Cook |
| Itasca | 113,917,409 | 2,482,982 | 2.2\% | $(1,747,706)$ | -1.5\% | 114,652,685 | 735,276 | 0.6\% | Itasca |
| Koochiching | 28,700,568 | 1,272,476 | 4.4\% | $(769,879)$ | -2.6\% | 29,203,165 | 502,597 | 1.8\% | Koochiching |
| Lake | 66,968,640 | $(91,588)$ | -0.1\% | $(2,637,851)$ | -3.9\% | 64,239,201 | $(2,729,439)$ | -4.1\% | Lake |
| Pine | 103,331,250 | $(1,463,660)$ | -1.4\% | 455,512 | 0.4\% | 102,323,102 | $(1,008,148)$ | -1.0\% | Pine |
| St. Louis | 342,471,433 | 62,927 | 0.0\% | 48,343 | 0.0\% | 342,582,703 | 111,270 | 0.0\% | St. Louis |
| District 1 Totals | 745,071,293 | 4,379,970 | 0.6\% | $(5,799,179)$ | -0.8\% | 743,652,084 | $(1,419,209)$ | -0.2\% | District 1 Totals |
| Beltrami | 69,755,911 | $(707,637)$ | -1.0\% | 2,200,051 | 3.2\% | 71,248,325 | 1,492,414 | 2.1\% | Beltrami |
| Clearwater | 37,510,567 | $(292,335)$ | -0.8\% | $(938,530)$ | -2.5\% | 36,279,702 | $(1,230,865)$ | -3.3\% | Clearwater |
| Hubbard | 39,809,947 | $(278,832)$ | -0.7\% | $(248,244)$ | -0.6\% | 39,282,871 | $(527,076)$ | -1.3\% | Hubbard |
| Kittson | 47,522,093 | $(774,974)$ | -1.6\% | $(157,686)$ | -0.3\% | 46,589,433 | $(932,660)$ | -2.0\% | Kittson |
| Lake of the Woods | 18,551,498 | 1,076,033 | 5.8\% | 183,006 | 0.9\% | 19,810,537 | 1,259,039 | 6.8\% | Lake of the Woods |
| Marshall | 63,943,643 | 1,448,100 | 2.3\% | $(193,326)$ | -0.3\% | 65,198,417 | 1,254,774 | 2.0\% | Marshall |
| Norman | 38,107,422 | $(551,238)$ | -1.4\% | 629,028 | 1.7\% | 38,185,212 | 77,790 | 0.2\% | Norman |
| Pennington | 19,512,632 | 205,998 | 1.1\% | $(640,275)$ | -3.2\% | 19,078,355 | (434,277) | -2.2\% | Pennington |
| Polk | 101,532,088 | 2,246,140 | 2.2\% | $(1,274,953)$ | -1.2\% | 102,503,275 | 971,187 | 1.0\% | Polk |
| Red Lake | 21,155,883 | 37,913 | 0.2\% | $(137,751)$ | -0.6\% | 21,056,045 | $(99,838)$ | -0.5\% | Red Lake |
| Roseau | 52,280,053 | 1,209,391 | 2.3\% | $(2,157,832)$ | -4.0\% | 51,331,612 | $(948,441)$ | -1.8\% | Roseau |
| District 2 Totals | 509,681,737 | 3,618,559 | 0.7\% | $(2,736,512)$ | -0.5\% | 510,563,784 | 882,047 | 0.2\% | District 2 Totals |
| Aitkin | 43,620,021 | 1,074,851 | 2.5\% | $(39,559)$ | -0.1\% | 44,655,313 | 1,035,292 | 2.4\% | Aitkin |
| Benton | 27,844,852 | $(640,225)$ | -2.3\% | $(12,781)$ | 0.0\% | 27,191,846 | $(653,006)$ | -2.3\% | Benton |
| Cass | 72,356,391 | 1,291,876 | 1.8\% | $(1,271,399)$ | -1.7\% | 72,376,868 | 20,477 | 0.0\% | Cass |
| Crow Wing | 46,728,025 | $(1,071,181)$ | -2.3\% | $(669,199)$ | -1.5\% | 44,987,645 | $(1,740,380)$ | -3.7\% | Crow Wing |
| Isanti | 33,249,606 | 1,798,920 | 5.4\% | 93,645 | 0.3\% | 35,142,171 | 1,892,565 | 5.7\% | Isanti |
| Kanabec | 24,605,939 | 1,062,485 | 4.3\% | 41,334 | 0.2\% | 25,709,758 | 1,103,819 | 4.5\% | Kanabec |
| Mille Lacs | 38,284,760 | 323,425 | 0.8\% | 345,942 | 0.9\% | 38,954,127 | 669,367 | 1.7\% | Mille Lacs |
| Morrison | 56,288,542 | 2,006,466 | 3.6\% | 568,744 | 1.0\% | 58,863,752 | 2,575,210 | 4.6\% | Morrison |
| Sherburne | 19,460,224 | 1,102,993 | 5.7\% | $(15,896)$ | -0.1\% | 20,547,321 | 1,087,097 | 5.6\% | Sherburne |
| Stearns | 115,868,411 | 1,375,078 | 1.2\% | $(1,671,809)$ | -1.4\% | 115,571,680 | $(296,731)$ | -0.3\% | Stearns |
| Todd | 49,387,908 | 427,769 | 0.9\% | $(2,057,283)$ | -4.1\% | 47,758,394 | $(1,629,514)$ | -3.3\% | Todd |
| Wadena | 29,420,552 | 1,066,568 | 3.6\% | $(1,130,283)$ | -3.7\% | 29,356,837 | $(63,715)$ | -0.2\% | Wadena |
| Wright | 90,437,229 | 2,232,160 | 2.5\% | 1,123,238 | 1.2\% | 93,792,627 | 3,355,398 | 3.7\% | Wright |
| District 3 Totals | 647,552,460 | 12,051,185 | 1.9\% | $(4,695,306)$ | -0.7\% | 654,908,339 | 7,355,879 | 1.1\% | District 3 Totals |
| Becker | 48,462,779 | 1,134,723 | 2.3\% | $(32,524)$ | -0.1\% | 49,564,978 | 1,102,199 | 2.3\% | Becker |
| Big Stone | 20,088,477 | $(85,279)$ | -0.4\% | $(41,938)$ | -0.2\% | 19,961,260 | $(127,217)$ | -0.6\% | Big Stone |
| Clay | 56,415,863 | 6,467,715 | 11.5\% | $(842,646)$ | -1.3\% | 62,040,932 | 5,625,069 | 10.0\% | Clay |
| Douglas | 49,597,606 | 661,443 | 1.3\% | $(300,141)$ | -0.6\% | 49,958,908 | 361,302 | 0.7\% | Douglas |
| Grant | 19,164,590 | 672,119 | 3.5\% | $(468,044)$ | -2.4\% | 19,368,665 | 204,075 | 1.1\% | Grant |
| Mahnomen | 14,961,209 | $(572,040)$ | -3.8\% | 883,950 | 6.1\% | 15,273,119 | 311,910 | 2.1\% | Mahnomen |
| Otter Tail | 129,761,694 | 3,039,767 | 2.3\% | 2,571,001 | 1.9\% | 135,372,462 | 5,610,768 | 4.3\% | Otter Tail |
| Pope | 33,362,960 | 1,112,158 | 3.3\% | $(557,095)$ | -1.6\% | 33,918,023 | 555,063 | 1.7\% | Pope |
| Stevens | 24,325,379 | $(62,277)$ | -0.3\% | 112,677 | 0.5\% | 24,375,779 | 50,400 | 0.2\% | Stevens |
| Swift | 35,906,070 | 399,524 | 1.1\% | 1,099,990 | 3.0\% | 37,405,584 | 1,499,514 | 4.2\% | Swift |
| Traverse | 25,948,642 | 48,488 | 0.2\% | 16,270 | 0.1\% | 26,013,400 | 64,758 | 0.2\% | Traverse |
| Wilkin | 30,872,380 | 1,490,728 | 4.8\% | $(488,246)$ | -1.5\% | 31,874,862 | 1,002,482 | 3.2\% | Wilkin |
| District 4 Totals | 488,867,649 | 14,307,069 | 2.9\% | 1,953,254 | 0.4\% | 505,127,972 | 16,260,323 | 3.3\% | District 4 Totals |

Comparison of the Basic 1994 to the Basic 1995 25-Year Construction Needs

| County | Revised Basic 1994 25-Year Const. Needs | Effect of Normal Update | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | Effeotof Unit Prico Update | \% Chenge | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basic } 1995 \\ 25-Y e a r \\ \text { Const. Needs } \end{gathered}$ | Total Change From 1994 Needs | Total \% Change | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 86,741,879 | \$7,486,188 | 8.6\% | \$232,273 | 0.2\% | 94,460,340 | \$7,718,461 | 8.9\% | Anoka |
| Carver | 62,942,596 | $(1,514,301)$ | -2.4\% | 1,348,343 | 2.2\% | 62,776,638 | $(165,958)$ | -0.3\% | Carver |
| Hennepin | 509,756,729 | $(22,499,726)$ | -4.4\% | 5,740,171 | 1.2\% | 492,997,174 | $(16,759,555)$ | -3.3\% | Hennepin |
| Scott | 60,019,143 | $(7,663)$ | 0.0\% | 949,146 | 1.6\% | 60,960,626 | 941,483 | 1.6\% | Scott |
| District 5 Totals | 719,460,347 | $(16,535,502)$ | -2.3\% | 8,269,933 | 1.2\% | 711,194,778 | $(8,265,569)$ | -1.1\% | District 5 Totals |
| Dodge | 33,544,471 | $(435,140)$ | -1.3\% | 144,534 | 0.4\% | 33,253,865 | $(290,606)$ | -0.9\% | Dodge |
| Fillmore | 101,197,831 | $(2,525,753)$ | -2.5\% | 439,819 | 0.4\% | 99,111,897 | (2,085,934) | -2.1\% | Fillmora |
| Freaborn | 61,675,327 | 999,814 | 1.6\% | (164,521) | -0.3\% | 62,510,620 | 835,293 | 1.4\% | Freaborn |
| Goodhue | 58,800,492 | 2,119,594 | 3.6\% | 2,050,453 | 3.4\% | 62,970,539 | 4,170,047 | 7.1\% | Goodhue |
| Houston | 58,295,456 | 3,278,682 | 5.6\% | $(401,153)$ | -0.7\% | 61,172,985 | 2,877,529 | 4.9\% | Houston |
| Mower | 65,910,472 | 2,867,898 | 4.4\% | 916,287 | 1.3\% | 69,694,657 | 3,784,185 | 5.7\% | Mower |
| Olmsted | 79,468,452 | 476,649 | 0.6\% | 1,962,180 | 2.5\% | 81,907,281 | 2,438,829 | 3.1\% | Olmsted |
| Rice | 54,871,021 | 844,084 | 1.5\% | 1,948,529 | 3.5\% | 57,663,634 | 2,792,613 | 5.1\% | Rice |
| Steele | 46,730,918 | 346,899 | 0.7\% | 640,202 | 1.4\% | 47,718,019 | 987,101 | 2.1\% | Steele |
| Wabasha | 56,686,322 | 960,411 | 1.7\% | 898,967 | 1.6\% | 58,545,700 | 1,859,378 | 3.3\% | Wabasha |
| Winona | 70,577,850 | $(118,934)$ | -0.2\% | 964,564 | 1.4\% | 71,423,480 | 845,630 | 1.2\% | Winona |
| District 6 Totals | 687,758,612 | 8,814,204 | 1.3\% | 9,399,861 | 1.3\% | 705,972,677 | 18,214,065 | 2.6\% | District 6 Totals |
| Blue Earth | 89,161,692 | 4,100,912 | 4.6\% | $(5,233,526)$ | -5.6\% | 88,029,078 | $(1,132,614)$ | -1.3\% | Blue Earth |
| Brown | 40,064,197 | $(306,632)$ | -0.8\% | $(1,265,356)$ | -3.2\% | 38,492,209 | $(1,571,988)$ | -3.9\% | Brown |
| Cottonwood | 37,285,368 | 1,252,914 | 3.4\% | $(243,724)$ | -0.6\% | 38,294,558 | 1,009,190 | 2.7\% | Cottonwood |
| Faribault | 59,475,134 | $(1,224,172)$ | -2.1\% | 1,002,158 | 1.7\% | 59,253,120 | $(222,014)$ | -0.4\% | Faribault |
| Jackson | 53,572,502 | 2,367,643 | 4.4\% | 327,742 | 0.6\% | 56,267,887 | 2,695,385 | 5.0\% | Jackson |
| Le Sueur | 45,034,072 | $(793,946)$ | -1.8\% | $(101,845)$ | -0.2\% | 44,138,281 | $(895,791)$ | -2.0\% | Le Sueur |
| Martin | 49,089,754 | 925,173 | 1.9\% | $(471,936)$ | -0.9\% | 49,542,991 | 453,237 | 0.9\% | Martin |
| Nicollet | 40,819,693 | $(379,092)$ | -0.9\% | $(216,664)$ | -0.5\% | 40,223,937 | $(595,756)$ | -1.5\% | Nicollet |
| Nobles | 53,446,670 | 948,698 | 1.8\% | $(961,511)$ | -1.8\% | 53,433,857 | $(12,813)$ | 0.0\% | Nobles |
| Rock | 32,436,754 | 73,128 | 0.2\% | $(702,796)$ | -2.2\% | 31,807,086 | $(629,668)$ | -1.9\% | Rock |
| Sibley | 38,720,078 | 1,232,830 | 3.2\% | $(558,010)$ | -1.4\% | 39,394,898 | 674,820 | 1.7\% | Sibley |
| Waseca | 42,894,610 | $(611,238)$ | -1.4\% | 1,089,926 | 2.6\% | 43,373,298 | 478,688 | 1.1\% | Waseca |
| Watonwan | 29,163,083 | $(38,987)$ | -0.1\% | 1,193,879 | 4.1\% | 30,317,975 | 1,154,892 | 4.0\% | Watonwan |
| District 7 Totals | 611,163,607 | 7,547,231 | 1.2\% | $(6,141,663)$ | -1.0\% | 612,569,175 | 1,405,568 | 0.2\% | District 7 Totals |
| Chippewa | 30,612,109 | 1,823,056 | 6.0\% | 85,835 | 0.3\% | 32,521,000 | 1,908,891 | 6.2\% | Chippewa |
| Kandiyohi | 59,979,251 | 1,351,107 | 2.3\% | 1,121,148 | 1.8\% | 62,451,506 | 2,472,255 | 4.1\% | Kandiyohi |
| Lac Qui Parle | 32,703,527 | 803,980 | 2.5\% | $(293,258)$ | -0.9\% | 33,214,249 | 510,722 | 1.6\% | Lac Qui Parle |
| Lincoln | 25,349,752 | 695,007 | 2.7\% | 851,934 | 3.3\% | 26,896,693 | 1,546,941 | 6.1\% | Lincoln |
| Lyon | 47,817,574 | $(735,613)$ | -1.5\% | 15,993 | 0.0\% | 47,097,954 | $(719,620)$ | -1.5\% | Lyon |
| Mc Leod | 41,001,511 | $(174,683)$ | -0.4\% | $(926,868)$ | -2.3\% | 39,899,960 | $(1,101,551)$ | -2.7\% | Mc Leod |
| Meeker | 30,258,639 | 1,250,703 | 4.1\% | 283,038 | 0.9\% | 31,792,380 | 1,533,741 | 5.1\% | Meeker |
| Murray | 33,798,171 | 479,381 | 1.4\% | $(125,290)$ | -0.4\% | 34,152,262 | 354,091 | 1.0\% | Murray |
| Pipestone | 27,547,823 | 11,246 | 0.0\% | $(505,628)$ | -1.8\% | 27,053,441 | $(494,382)$ | -1.8\% | Pipestone |
| Redwood | 61,779,326 | 233,584 | 0.4\% | $(435,354)$ | -0.7\% | 61,577,556 | $(201,770)$ | -0.3\% | Redwood |
| Renville | 74,404,775 | $(4,384,231)$ | -5.9\% | 1,979,899 | 2.8\% | 72,000,443 | $(2,404,332)$ | -3.2\% | Renville |
| Yellow Medicine District 8 Totals | $\begin{array}{r} 46,268,563 \\ 511.521 .021 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 687,274 \\ 2,040,811 \end{array}$ | $1.5 \%$ $0.4 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,171,563 \\ 3,223,012 \end{array}$ | 2.5\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48,127,400 \\ 516,784,844 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,858,837 \\ 5,263,823 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \% \\ & 1.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | Yellow Medicine District 8 Totals |
| Chisago | 48,310,413 | 3,100,465 | 6.4\% | 236,913 | 0.5\% | 51,647,791 | 3,337,378 | 6.9\% | Chisago |
| Dakota | 123,238,831 | $(948,817)$ | -0.8\% | 3,867,199 | 3.2\% | 126,157,213 | 2,918,382 | 2.4\% | Dakota |
| Ramsey | 211,531,115 | 3,392,861 | 1.6\% | 1,867,723 | 0.9\% | 216,791,699 | 5,260,584 | 2.5\% | Ramsey |
| Washington | 86,422,747 | 1,667,473 | 1.9\% | 2,074,078 | 2.4\% | 90,164,298 | 3,741,551 | 4.3\% | Washington |
| District 9 Totals | 469,503,106 | 7,211,982 | 1.5\% | 8,045,913 | 1.7\% | 484,761,001 | $15,257,895$ | 3.2\% | District 9 Totals |



NEEDS

## ADJUSTMENTS
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Restriction of 25-Year Construction Needs Changes

In order to temper any large needs changes, the 1975 County Screening Board adopted the resolution below:


#### Abstract

That, the C.S.A.H. construction needs change in any one county from the previous year's restricted C.S.A.H. needs to the current year's basic 25 year C.S.A.H. construction needs shall be restricted to 20 percentage points greater than or less than the statewide average percent change from the previous year's restricted C.S.A.H. needs to the current year's basic 25 year C.S.A.H. construction needs. Any needs restriction determined by this resolution shall be made to the regular account of the county involved.


This year the statewide needs increased $1.0 \%$, thereby limiting any individual county's needs change to a range from a minus $19.0 \%$ to a plus $21.0 \%$. As you can see on the following tabulation no restrictions are necessary for 1995.

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## RESTRICTION OF 25 YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS CHANGES

| COUNTY | RESTRICTED 1994 25 YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS | BASIC 1995 $25-$-YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS | ADJUSTED <br> 1995 <br> 25-YEAR <br> CONSTRUCTION <br> NEEDS | CHANGE FROM RESTRICTED 1994 NEEDS | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CHANGE } \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { RESTRICTED } \\ 1994 \\ \text { NEEDS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RESTRICTED $\%$ CHANGE | RESTRICTED 1995 25 YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS | $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { SCREENING } \\ \text { BOARD } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \end{gathered}$ | COUNTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | \$52,426,823 | \$53,132,237 | \$53,132,237 | \$705,414 | 1.4\% |  |  |  | Carlton |
| Cook | 37,255,170 | 37,518,991 | 37,518,991 | 263,821 | 0.7\% |  |  |  | Cook |
| Itasca | 113,917,409 | 114,652,685 | 114,652,685 | 735,276 | 0.7\% |  |  |  | Itasca |
| Koochiching | 28,700,568 | 29,203,165 | 29,203,165 | 502,597 | 1.8\% |  |  |  | Koochiching |
| Lake | 66,968,640 | 64,239,201 | 64,239,201 | $(2,729,439)$ | -4.1\% |  |  |  | Lake |
| Pine | 103,331,250 | 102,323,102 | 102,323,102 | $(1,008,148)$ | -1.0\% |  |  |  | Pine |
| St. Louis | 342,471,433 | 342,582,703 | 342,582,703 | 111,270 | 0.0\% |  |  |  | St. Louis |
| District 1 Totals | 745,071,293 | 743,652,084 | 743,652,084 | $(1,419,209)$ | -0.2\% |  |  |  | District 1 Totals |
| Beltrami | 69,755,911 | 71,248,325 | 71,248,325 | 1,492,414 | 2.1\% |  |  |  | Beltrami |
| Clearwater | 37,510,567 | 36,279,702 | 36,279,702 | $(1,230,865)$ | -3.3\% |  |  |  | Clearwater |
| Hubbard | 39,809,947 | 39,282,871 | 39,282,871 | $(527,076)$ | -1.3\% |  |  |  | Hubbard |
| Kittson | 47,522,093 | 46,589,433 | 46,589,433 | $(932,660)$ | -2.0\% |  |  |  | Kittson |
| Lake of 'Woods | 18,551,498 | 19,810,537 | 19,810,537 | 1,259,039 | 6.8\% |  |  |  | Lake of 'Woods |
| Marshall | 63,943,643 | 65,198,417 | 65,198,417 | 1,254,774 | 2.0\% |  |  |  | Marshall |
| Norman | 38,107,422 | 38,185,212 | 38,185,212 | 77,790 | 0.2\% |  |  |  | Norman |
| Pennington | 19,512,632 | 19,078,355 | 19,078,355 | $(434,277)$ | -2.2\% |  |  |  | Pennington |
| Polk | 101,532,088 | 102,503,275 | 102,503,275 | 971,187 | 1.0\% |  |  |  | Polk |
| Red Lake | 21,155,883 | 21,056,045 | 21,056,045 | $(99,838)$ | -0.5\% |  |  |  | Red Lake |
| Roseau | 52,280,053 | 51,331,612 | 51,331,612 | $(948,441)$ | -1.8\% |  |  |  | Roseau |
| District 2 Totals | 509,681,737 | 510,563,784 | 510,563,784 | 882,047 | 0.2\% |  |  |  | District 2 Totals |
| Aitkin | 43,620,021 | 44,655,313 | 44,655,313 | 1,035,292 | 2.4\% |  |  |  | Aitkin |
| Benton | 27,844,852 | 27,191,846 | 27,191,846 | $(653,006)$ | -2.4\% |  |  |  | Benton |
| Cass | 72,356,391 | 72,376,868 | 72,376,868 | 20,477 | 0.0\% |  |  |  | Cass |
| Crow Wing | 46,728,025 | 44,987,645 | 44,987,645 | $(1,740,380)$ | -3.7\% |  |  |  | Crow Wing |
| Isanti | 33,249,606 | 35,142,171 | 35,142,171 | 1,892,565 | 5.7\% |  |  |  | Isanti |
| Kanabec | 24,605,939 | 25,709,758 | 25,709,758 | 1,103,819 | 4.5\% |  |  |  | Kanabec |
| Mille Lacs | 38,284,760 | 38,954,127 | 38,954,127 | 669,367 | 1.8\% |  |  |  | Mille Lacs |
| Morrison | 56,288,542 | 58,863,752 | 58,863,752 | 2,575,210 | 4.6\% |  |  |  | Morrison |
| Sherburne | 19,460,224 | 20,547,321 | 20,547,321 | 1,087,097 | 5.6\% |  |  |  | Sherburne |
| Stearns | 115,868,411 | 115,571,680 | 115,571,680 | $(296,731)$ | -0.3\% |  |  |  | Stearns |
| Todd | 49,387,908 | 47,758,394 | 47,758,394 | $(1,629,514)$ | -3.3\% |  |  |  | Todd |
| Wadena | 29,420,552 | 29,356,837 | 29,356,837 | $(63,715)$ | -0.2\% |  |  |  | Wadena |
| Wright | 90,437,229 | 93,792,627 | 93,792,627 | 3,355,398 | 3.7\% |  |  |  | Wright |
| District 3 Totals | 647,552,460 | 654,908,339 | 654,908,339 | 7,355,879 | 1.1\% |  |  |  | District 3 Totals |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

RESTRICTION OF 25 YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS CHANGES

| COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESTRICTED } \\ & 1994 \\ & 25 \text { YEAR } \\ & \text { CONSTRUCTION } \\ & \text { NEEDS } \end{aligned}$ | BASIC 1995 $25-$ YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS | ADJUSTED 1995 25-YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS | CHANGE <br> FROM <br> RESTRICTED <br> 1994 <br> NEEDS | \% CHANGE <br> FROM <br> RESTRICTED <br> 1994 <br> NEEDS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESTRICTED } \\ \% \\ \text { CHANGE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { SCREENING } \\ \text { BOARD } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \end{gathered}$ | COUNTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Becker | 48,462,779 | 49,564,978 | \$49,564,978 | \$1,102,199 | 2.3\% |  |  |  | Becker |
| Big Stone | 20,088,477 | 19,961,260 | 19,961,260 | $(127,217)$ | -0.6\% |  |  |  | Big Stone |
| Clay | 56,415,863 | 62,040,932 | 62,040,932 | 5,625,069 | 10.0\% |  |  |  | Clay |
| Douglas | 49,597,606 | 49,958,908 | 49,958,908 | 361,302 | 0.7\% |  |  |  | Douglas |
| Grant | 19,164,590 | 19,368,665 | 19,368,665 | 204,075 | 1.1\% |  |  |  | Grant |
| Mahnomen | 14,961,209 | 15,273,119 | 15,273,119 | 311,910 | 2.1\% |  |  |  | Mahnomen |
| Otter Tail | 129,761,694 | 135,372,462 | 135,372,462 | 5,610,768 | 4.3\% |  |  |  | Otter Tail |
| Pope | 33,362,960 | 33,918,023 | 33,918,023 | 555,063 | 1.7\% |  |  |  | Pope |
| Stevens | 24,325,379 | 24,375,779 | 24,375,779 | 50,400 | 0.2\% |  |  |  | Stevens |
| Swift | 35,906,070 | 37,405,584 | 37,405,584 | 1,499,514 | 4.2\% |  |  |  | Swift |
| Traverse | 25,948,642 | 26,013,400 | 26,013,400 | 64,758 | 0.3\% |  |  |  | Traverse |
| Wilkin | 30,872,380 | 31,874,862 | 31,874,862 | 1,002,482 | 3.3\% |  |  |  | Wilkin |
| District 4 Totals | 488,867,649 | 505,127,972 | 505,127,972 | 16,260,323 | 3.3\% |  |  |  | District 4 Totals |
| Anoka | 86,741,879 | 94,460,340 | 94,460,340 | 7,718,461 | 8.9\% |  |  |  | Anoka |
| Carver | 62,942,596 | 62,776,638 | 62,776,638 | $(165,958)$ | -0.3\% |  |  |  | Carver |
| Hennepin | 509,756,729 | 492,997,174 | 492,997,174 | $(16,759,555)$ | -3.3\% |  |  |  | Hennepin |
| Scott | 60,511,936 | 60,960,626 | 60,960,626 | 448,690 | 0.7\% |  |  |  | Scott |
| District 5 Totals | 719,953,140 | 711,194,778 | 711,194,778 | $(8,758,362)$ | -1.2\% |  |  |  | District 5 Totals |
| Dodge | 33,544,471 | 33,253,865 | 33,253,865 | $(290,606)$ | -0.9\% |  |  |  | Dodge |
| Fillmore | 101,197,831 | 99,111,897 | 99,111,897 | $(2,085,934)$ | -2.1\% |  |  |  | Fillmore |
| Freeborn | 61,675,327 | 62,510,620 | 62,510,620 | 835,293 | 1.4\% |  |  |  | Freeborn |
| Goodhue | 58,800,492 | 62,970,539 | 62,970,539 | 4,170,047 | 7.1\% |  |  |  | Goodhue |
| Houston | 58,295,456 | 61,172,985 | 61,172,985 | 2,877,529 | 4.9\% |  |  |  | Houston |
| Mower | 65,910,472 | 69,694,657 | 69,694,657 | 3,784,185 | 5.7\% |  |  |  | Mower |
| Olmsted | 79,468,452 | 81,907,281 | 81,907,281 | 2,438,829 | 3.1\% |  |  |  | Olmsted |
| Rice | 54,871,021 | 57,663,634 | 57,663,634 | 2,792,613 | 5.1\% |  |  |  | Rice |
| Steele | 46,730,918 | 47,718,019 | 47,718,019 | 987,101 | 2.1\% |  |  |  | Steele |
| Wabasha | 56,686,322 | 58,545,700 | 58,545,700 | 1,859,378 | 3.3\% |  |  |  | Wabasha |
| Winona | +577,850 | 71,423,480 | 71,423,480 | 845,630 | 1.2\% |  |  |  | Winona |
| District 6 Totals | 687,758,612 | 705,972,677 | 705,972,677 | 18,214,065 | 2.7\% |  |  |  | District 6 Totals |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## RESTRICTION OF 25 YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS CHANGES

| COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESTRICTED } \\ & 1994 \\ & 25 \text { YEAR } \\ & \text { CONSTRUCTION } \\ & \text { NEEDS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BASIC } \\ 1995 \\ 25-Y E A R \\ \text { CONSTRUCTION } \\ \text { NEEDS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ADJUSTED 1995 $25-Y E A R$ CONSTRUCTION NEEDS | CHANGE FROM RESTRICTED 1994 NEEDS | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CHANGE } \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { RESTRICTED } \\ 1994 \\ \text { NEEDS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESTRICTED } \\ \% \\ \text { CHANGE } \end{gathered}$ | RESTRICTED 1995 25 YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS | $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { SCREENING } \\ \text { BOARD } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \end{gathered}$ | COUNTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue Earth | 89,161,692 | 88,029,078 | \$88,029,078 | (\$1,132,614) | -1.3\% |  |  |  | Blue Earth |
| Brown | 40,064,197 | 38,492,209 | 38,492,209 | $(1,571,988)$ | -3.9\% |  |  |  | Brown |
| Cottonwood | 37,285,368 | 38,294,558 | 38,294,558 | 1,009,190 | 2.7\% |  |  |  | Cottonwood |
| Faribault | 59,475,134 | 59,253,120 | 59,253,120 | $(222,014)$ | -0.4\% |  |  |  | Faribault |
| Jackson | 53,572,502 | 56,267,887 | 56,267,887 | 2,695,385 | 5.0\% |  |  |  | Jackson |
| Le Sueur | 45,034,072 | 44,138,281 | 44,138,281 | $(895,791)$ | -2.0\% |  |  |  | Le Sueur |
| Martin | 49,089,754 | 49,542,991 | 49,542,991 | 453,237 | 0.9\% |  |  |  | Martin |
| Nicollet | 40,819,693 | 40,223,937 | 40,223,937 | $(595,756)$ | -1.5\% |  |  |  | Nicollet |
| Nobles | 53,446,670 | 53,433,857 | 53,433,357 | $(12,813)$ | -0.0\% |  |  |  | Nobles |
| Rock | 32,436,754 | 31,807,086 | 31,807,086 | $(629,668)$ | -1.9\% |  |  |  | Rock |
| Sibley | 38,720,078 | 39,394,898 | 39,394,898 | 674,820 | 1.7\% |  |  |  | Sibley |
| Waseca | 42,894,610 | 43,373,298 | 43,373,298 | 478,688 | 1.1\% |  |  |  | Waseca |
| Watonwan | 29,163,083 | 30,317,975 | 30,317,975 | 1,154,892 | 4.0\% |  |  |  | Watonwan |
| District 7 Totals | 611,163,607 | 612,569,175 | 612,569,175 | 1,405,568 | 0.2\% |  |  |  | District 7 Totals |
| Chippewa | 30,612,109 | 32,521,000 | 32,521,000 | 1,908,891 | 6.2\% |  |  |  | Chippewa |
| Kandiyohi | 59,979,251 | 62,451,506 | 62,451,506 | 2,472,255 | 4.1\% |  |  |  | Kandiyohi |
| Lac Qui Parle | 32,703,527 | 33,214,249 | 33,214,249 | 510,722 | 1.6\% |  |  |  | Lac Qui Parle |
| Lincoln | 25,349,752 | 26,896,693 | 26,896,693 | 1,546,941 | 6.1\% |  |  |  | Lincoln |
| Lyon | 47,817,574 | 47,097,954 | 47,097,954 | (719,620) | -1.5\% |  |  |  | Lyon |
| Mc Leod | 41,001,511 | 39,899,960 | 39,899,960 | $(1,101,551)$ | -2.7\% |  |  |  | Mc Leod |
| Meeker | 30,258,639 | 31,792,380 | 31,792,380 | 1,533,741 | 5.1\% |  |  |  | Meeker |
| Murray | 33,798,171 | 34,152,262 | 34,152,262 | 354,091 | 1.1\% |  |  |  | Murray |
| Pipestone | 27,547,823 | 27,053,441 | 27,053,441 | $(494,382)$ | -1.8\% |  |  |  | Pipestone |
| Redwood | 61,779,326 | 61,577,556 | 61,577,556 | $(201,770)$ | -0.3\% |  |  |  | Redwood |
| Renville | 73,564,592 | 72,000,443 | 72,000,443 | $(1,564,149)$ | -2.1\% |  |  |  | Renville |
| Yellow Medicine | 46,268,563 | 48,127,400 | 48,127,400 | 1,858,837 | 4.0\% |  |  |  | Yellow Medicine |
| District 8 Totals | 510,680,838 | 516,784,844 | 516,784,844 | 6,104,006 | 1.2\% |  |  |  | District 8 Totals |
| Chisago | 48,310,413 | 51,647,791 | 51,647,791 | 3,337,378 | 6.9\% |  |  |  | Chisago |
| Dakota | 123,238,831 | 126,157,213 | 126,157,213 | 2,918,382 | 2.4\% |  |  |  | Dakota |
| Ramsey | 211,531,115 | 216,791,699 | 216,791,699 | 5,260,584 | 2.5\% |  |  |  | Ramsey |
| Washington | 86,422,747 | 90,164,298 | 90,164,298 | 3,741,551 | 4.3\% |  |  |  | Washington |
| District 9 Totals | 469,503,106 | 484,761,001 | 484,761,001 | 15,257,895 | 3.3\% |  |  |  | District 9 Totals |
| STATE TOTALS | \$5,390,232,442 | \$5,445,534,654 | \$5,445,534,654 | \$55,302,212 | 1.0\% |  |  |  | STATE TOTALS |
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County State Aid Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deductions

The resolution below was originally adopted by the Screening Board at its May, 1975 meeting. The latest revision was made by the Screening Board at the October, 1988 meeting.

That, for the determination of the County State Aid Highway needs, the amount of the unencumbered construction fund balance as of September 1 of the current year; not including the current year's regular account construction apportionment and not including the last three years of municipal account construction apportionment or $\$ 100,000$ whichever is greater; shall be deducted from the $\mathbf{2 5}$ year construction needs of each individual county. Also, that for the computation of this deduction, the estimated cost of right-of-way acquisitions which is being actively engaged in shall be considered encumbered funds.

That, for the computation of this deduction, a Report of State Aid Contract (Form \#30172) that has been received before September 1 by the District State Aid Engineer for processing or Federallyfunded projects the have been let but not awarded shall be considered as being encumbered and the construction balsinces shall be so adjusted.

The following listing indicates the balances, the maximum allowable balances, and the "needs" deduction, in the respective accounts, which will be made to the 199525 -year construction needs pursuant to this resolution.
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 COUNTY STATE AID CONSTRUCTION FUND BALANCE "NEEDS" DEDUCTIONS| Countr | Regular Account |  |  | Municipal Account |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unencumbered Construction Fund Balance As of <br> Sept. 1, 1995 | Maximum Balance 1995 Const. Apportionment | 1995 <br> Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction | Unencumbered <br> Construction <br> Fund Balance <br> As of <br> Sept. 1, 1995 | ```Maximum Balance Larger of Either \(\$ 100,000\) or 1993-1995 Const. Apport.``` | 1995 <br> Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction | Total 1995 Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction | County |
| Carlton | 1,821,215 | 1,390,426 | \$430,789 | 278,146 | 353,900 | \$0 | \$430,789 | Carlton |
| Cook | 1,192,253 | 1,015,454 | 176,799 | 11,236 | 127,385 | 0 | 176,799 | Cook |
| Itasca | 645,498 | 2,807,620 | 0 | 203,712 | 709,307 | 0 | 0 | Itasca |
| Koochiching | 1,253,586 | 1,596,273 | 0 | 109,472 | 147,204 | 0 | 0 | Koochiching |
| Lake | 3,840,637 | 1,587,545 | 2,253,092 | 512,801 | 176,562 | 336,239 | 2,589,331 | Lake |
| Pine | 700,243 | 2,222,580 | 0 | 345,065 | 1,020,708 | 0 | 0 | Pine |
| St. Louis | 7,805,048 | 7,909,284 | 0 | 841,216 | 1,398,654 | 0 | 0 | St. Louis |
| District 1 Totals | 17,258,480 | 18,529,182 | 2,860,680 | 2,301,648 | --- | 336,239 | 3,196,919 | District 1 Totals |
| Beltrami | 2,833,337 | 1,849,493 | 983,844 | 117,135 | 311,661 | 0 | 983,844 | Beltrami |
| Clearwater | 489,592 | 1,065,029 | 0 | 57,164 | 254,884 | 0 | 0 | Clearwater |
| Hubbard | 735,312 | 1,184,203 | 0 | 0 | 166,011 | 0 | 0 | Hubbard |
| Kittson | 504,252 | 1,247,814 | 0 | 162,460 | 385,562 | 0 | 0 | Kittson |
| Lake of the Woods | 10,965 | 1,077,055 | 0 | 106,328 | 132,485 | 0 | 0 | Lake of the Woods |
| Marshall | 610,859 | 1,879,305 | 0 | 354,340 | 360,633 | 0 | 0 | Marshall |
| Norman | 1,113,422 | 1,203,083 | 0 | 219,597 | 268,902 | 0 | 0 | Norman |
| Pennington | 503,959 | 846,476 | 0 | 64,196 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | Pennington |
| Polk | 916,789 | 2,679,571 | 0 | 0 | 558,555 | 0 | 0 | Polk |
| Red Lake | 1,957,308 | 816,032 | 1,141,276 | 588,591 | 202,950 | 385,641 | 1,526,917 | Red Lake |
| Roseau | 213,168 | 1,489,373 | 0 | 91,633 | 338,356 | 0 | 0 | Roseau |
| District 2 Totals | 9,888,963 | 15,337,434 | 2,125,1:0 | 1,761,444 | --- | 385,641 | 2,510,761 | District 2 Totals |
| Aitkin | 1,224,514 | 1,423,955 | 0 | 261,616 | 135,918 | 125,698 | 125,698 | Aitkin |
| Benton | 857,834 | 922,500 | 0 | 171,969 | 218,377 | 0 | 0 | Benton |
| Cass | 824,193 | 1,850,422 | 0 | 316,902 | 600.101 | 0 | 0 | Cass |
| Crow Wing | 2,227,599 | 1,091,528 | 1,136,071 | 711,555 | 1,086,152 | 0 | 1,136,071 | Crow Wing |
| Isanti | 612,819 | 1,075,993 | 0 | 23,440 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | Isanti |
| Kanabec | 1,464,235 | 811,495 | 652,740 | 66,408 | 190,421 | 0 | 652,740 | Kanabec |
| Mille Lacs | 726,654 | 1,115,414 | 0 | 399,604 | 544,860 | 0 | 0 | Mille Lacs |
| Morrison | 589,014 | 1,425,357 | 0 | 192,798 | 487,623 | 0 | 0 | Morrison |
| Sherburne | 1,105,784 | 802,833 | 302,951 | 102,524 | 139,636 | 0 | 302,951 | Sherburne |
| Stearns | 1,607,212 | 2,586,485 | 0 | 0 | 956,893 | 0 | 0 | Stearns |
| Todd | 1,018,382 | 1,306,729 | 0 | 367,479 | 513,031 | 0 | 0 | Todd |
| Wadena | 929,915 | 855,406 | 74,509 | 0 | 360,313 | 0 | 74,509 | Wadena |
| Wright | 2,093,828 | 2,071,306 | 22,5:22 | 906,613 | 997,251 | 0 | 22,522 | Wright |
| District 3 Totals | 15,281,983 | 17,339,423 | 2,188,793 | 3,520,908 | --- | 125,698 | 2,314,491 | District 3 Totals |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## COUNTY STATE AID CONSTRUCTION FUND BALANCE "NEEDS" DEDUCTIONS

| County | Regular Account |  |  | Municipal Account |  |  | Total 1995 Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unencumbered <br> Construction <br> Fund Balance <br> As of <br> Sept. 1, 1995 | Maximum Balance 1995 Const. Apportionment | 1995 Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction | Unencumbered <br> Construction <br> Fund Balance <br> As of <br> Sept. 1, 1995 | Maximum Balance Larger of Either $\$ 100,000$ or 1993-1995 Const. Apport. | 1995 Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction |  | County |
| Becker | 0 | 1,498,444 | \$0 | 249,106 | 308,443 | \$0 | \$0 | Becker |
| Big Stone | 2,083,052 | 785,059 | 1,297,993 | 187,180 | 286,638 | 0 | 1,297,993 | Big Stone |
| Clay | 423,365 | 1,586,846 | 0 | 548,392 | 363,719 | 184,673 | 184,673 | Clay |
| Douglas | 838,038 | 1,315,516 | 0 | 177,610 | 524,925 | 0 | 0 | Douglas |
| Grant | 1,572,251 | 806,264 | 765,987 | 302,635 | 224,959 | 77,676 | 843,663 | Grant |
| Mahnomen | 751,005 | 845,245 | 0 | 39,591 | 112,301 | 0 | 0 | Mahnomen |
| Otter Tail | 1,672,240 | 2,973,904 | 0 | 1,696,332 | 1,181,694 | 514,638 | 514,638 | Otter Tail |
| Pope | 0 | 1,187,495 | 0 | 0 | 204,256 | 0 | 0 | Pope |
| Stevens | 684,690 | 815,063 | 0 | 193,272 | 181,883 | 11,389 | 11,389 | Stevens |
| Swift | 257,565 | 1,074,370 | 0 | 48,643 | 318,531 | 0 | 0 | Swift |
| Traverse | 200,039 | 755,317 | 0 | 258,106 | 314,226 | 0 | 0 | Traverse |
| Wilkin | 0 | 1,018,022 | 0 | 471,082 | 369,858 | 101,224 | 101,224 | Wilkin |
| District 4 Totals | 8,482,245 | 14,661,545 | 2,063,980 | 4,171,949 | ---- | 889,600 | 2,953,580 | District 4 Totals |
| Anoka | 1,010,889 | 2,357,905 | 0 | 671,705 | 526,114 | 145,591 | 145,591 | Anoka |
| Carver | 2,197,599 | 1,140,451 | 1,057,148 | 1,093,510 | 725,689 | 367,821 | 1,424,969 | Carver |
| Hennepin | 12,179,302 | 8,973,009 | 3,206,293 | 3,924,652 | 3,551,986 | 372,666 | 3,578,959 | Hennepin |
| Scott | 0 | 1,523,544 | 0 | 160,800 | 252,386 | 0 | 0 | Scott |
| District 5 Totals | 15,387,790 | 13,994,909 | 4,263,441 | 5,850,667 | --- | 886,078 | 5,149,519 | District 5 Totals |
| Dodge | 114,305 | 917,342 | 0 | 246,122 | 356,904 | 0 | 0 | Dodge |
| Fillmore | 725,755 | 1,911,308 | 0 | 494,322 | 882,138 | 0 | 0 | Fillmore |
| Freeborn | 2,071,325 | 1,771,215 | 300,110 | 0 | 270,730 | 0 | 300,110 | Freeborn |
| Goodhue | 0 | 1,461,771 | 0 | 0 | 568,939 | 0 | 0 | Goodhue |
| Houston | 2,086,708 | 1,235,363 | 851,345 | 399,572 | 261,585 | 137,987 | 989,332 | Houston |
| Mower | 1,147,377 | 1,633,139 | 0 | 173,800 | 363,824 | 0 | 0 | Mower |
| Olmsted | 0 | 1,921,651 | 0 | 138,145 | 181,060 | 0 | 0 | Olmsted |
| Rice | 702,047 | 1,390,686 | 0 | 613,596 | 293,599 | 319,997 | 319,997 | Rice |
| Steele | 1,246,198 | 1,322,053 | 0 | 228,848 | 166,455 | 62,393 | 62,393 | Steele |
| Wabasha | 95,247 | 1,208,750 | 0 | 1,648,630 | 804,249 | 844,381 | 844,381 | Wabasha |
| Winona | 485,801 | 1,611,641 | 0 | 144,688 | 303,307 | 0 | 0 | Winona |
| District 6 Totals | 8,674,763 | 16,384,919 | 1,151,455 | 4,087,723 | --- | 1,364,758 | 2,516,213 | District 6 Totals |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

 COUNTY STATE AID CONSTRUCTION FUND BALANCE "NEEDS" DEDUCTIONS| County | Regular Account |  |  | Municipal Account |  |  | Total 1995 Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unencumbered <br> Construction <br> Fund Balance <br> As of <br> Sept. 1, 1995 | Maximum Balance 1995 Const. Apportionment | 1995 Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction | Unencumbered Construction Fund Balance As of Sept. 1, 1995 | Maximum Balance Larger of Either $\$ 100,000$ or 1993-1995 <br> Const. Apport. | 1995 <br> Construction Fund Balance "Needs" Deduction |  | County |
| Blue Earth | 1,024,492 | 2,007,610 | \$0 | 443,915 | 475,577 | \$0 | \$0 | Blue Earth |
| Brown | 150,444 | 1,156,871 | 0 | 190,344 | 291,015 | 0 | 0 | Brown |
| Cottonwood | 167,661 | 1,150,228 | 0 | 512,180 | 249,872 | 262,308 | 262,308 | Cottonwood |
| Faribault | 283,691 | 1,272,988 | 0 | 106,528 | 868,398 | 0 | 0 | Faribault |
| Jackson | 22,765 | 1,349,077 | 0 | 515,186 | 462,306 | 52,880 | 52,880 | Jackson |
| Le Sueur | 574,276 | 1,102,121 | 0 | 188,394 | 735,345 | 0 | 0 | Le Sueur |
| Martin | 0 | 1,375,337 | 0 | 1,746 | 276,026 | 0 | 0 | Martin |
| Nicollet | 7,394 | 1,139,913 | 0 | 67,496 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | Nicollet |
| Nobles | 0 | 1,385,502 | 0 | 398,969 | 318,858 | 80,111 | 80,111 | Nobles |
| Rock | 1,391,331 | 887,360 | 503,971 | 259,738 | 461,485 | 0 | 503,971 | Rock |
| Sibley | 221,159 | 1,113,184 | 0 | 6,753 | 334,769 | 0 | 0 | Sibley |
| Waseca | 60,855 | 1,127,552 | 0 | 115,641 | 216,966 | 0 | 0 | Waseca |
| Watonwan | 52,127 | 792,497 | 0 | 122,323 | 445,259 | 0 | 0 | Watonwan |
| District 7 Totals | 3,956,195 | 15,860,240 | 503,971 | 2,929,213 | --- | 395,299 | 899,270 | District 7 Totals |
| Chippewa | 738,846 | 939,523 | 0 | 36,938 | 236,124 | 0 | 0 | Chippewa |
| Kandiyohi | 370,020 | 1,695,937 | 0 | 309,371 | 438,926 | 0 | 0 | Kandiyohi |
| Lac Qui Parle | 722,965 | 1,113,137 | 0 | 80,377 | 312,517 | 0 | 0 | Lac Qui Parle |
| Lincoln | 630,305 | 791,895 | 0 | 51,257 | 354,921 | 0 | 0 | Lincoln |
| Lyon | 0 | 1,085,356 | 0 | 782,515 | 668,404 | 114,111 | 114,111 | Lyon |
| Mc Leod | 0 | 1,055,341 | 0 | 0 | 422,581 | 0 | 0 | Mc Leod |
| Meeker | 1,670,734 | 1,013,179 | 657,555 | 478,128 | 192,214 | 285,914 | 943,469 | Meeker |
| Murray | 678,717 | 997,970 | 0 | 505,072 | 348,785 | 156,287 | 156,287 | Murray |
| Pipestone | 0 | 717,363 | 0 | 18,071 | 613,327 | 0 | 0 | Pipestone |
| Redwood | 315,491 | 1,308,363 | 0 | 694,028 | 809,505 | 0 | 0 | Redwood |
| Renville | 0 | 1,735,884 | 0 | 0 | 278,659 | 0 | 0 | Renville |
| Yellow Medicine | 465,835 | 1,238,489 | 0 | 214,093 | 387,390 | 0 | 0 | Yellow Medicine |
| District 8 Totals | 5,592,913 | 13,692,437 | 657,555 | 3,169,850 | --- | 556,312 | 1,213,867 | District 8 Totals |
| Chisago | 1,746,559 | 1,064,882 | 681,677 | 312,667 | 895,273 | 0 | 681,677 | Chisago |
| Dakota | 2,774,398 | 2,908,467 | 0 | 1,072,063 | 255,760 | 816,303 | 816,303 | Dakota |
| Ramsey | 0 | 4,760,693 | 0 | 0 | 218,797 | 0 | 0 | Ramsey |
| Washington | 1,204,056 | 1,437,636 | 0 | 51,035 | 1,728,793 | 0 | 0 | Washington |
| District 9 Totals | 5,725,013 | 10,171,678 | 681,677 | 1,435,765 | --- | 816,303 | 1,497,980 | District 9 Totals |
| Sidate totals | \$90,248,345 | \$135,971,767 | \$16,496,672 | \$29,229,167 | \$40,642,545 | \$5,755,928 | \$22,252,600 | STATE TOTALS |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA

## OCTOBER, 1995

## Special Resurfacing Proiects

Due to the necessity for some counties to resurface certain substandard bituminous County State Aid Highways, the 1967 County Screening Board adopted the following resolution:

That any county using non-local construction fund for special bituminous resurfacing or concrete joint repair projects shall have the non-local cost of such special resurfacing projects annually deducted from its 25-year County State Aid Highway construction needs for a period of ten (10) years.

The following list shows the counties, by district, that awarded special resurfacing projects from 1985 through 1994, the number of projects awarded and the project costs in each accour which have been deducted from the 1995 County State Aid Highway Money needs. In 1994 alone, more than $\$ 15.5$ million of special resurfacing projects were awarded.

| County | Number of Special Resurf: Projects 1985-1994 | Spec. Resurt. 1994 | Regular Account Deduction | Municipal Account Deduction | Total Special Resurfacing Cost Deducted from 1995 25 Vr. Const. Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | 12 | 4 | \$1,010,311 | \$130,524 | \$1,140,835 |
| Cook | 5 | 0 | 708,321 | 9,152 | 717,473 |
| Itasca | 13 | 2 | 2,122,367 | 126,622 | 2,248,989 |
| Koochiching | 10 | 2 | 1,374,129 | 113,382 | 1,487,511 |
| Lake | 2 | 0 | 565,019 | 0 | 565,019 |
| Pine | 6 | 0 | 966,839 | 115,744 | 1,082,583 |
| St. Louis | 17 | 0 | 2,858,915 | 75,015 | 2,933,930 |
| District 1 Totals | 65 | 8 | 9,605,901 | 570,439 | 10,176,340 |
| Beltrami | 14 | 0 | 1,181,395 | 36,171 | 1,217,566 |
| Clearwater | 6 | 0 | 1,153,273 | 0 | 1,153,273 |
| Hubbard | 6 | 0 | 969,561 | 0 | 969,561 |
| Kittson | 8 | 0 | 1,236,453 | 105,287 | 1,341,740 |
| Lake of the Woods | 2 | 0 | 112,853 | 6,479 | 119,332 |
| Marshall | 7 | 0 | 968,156 | 171,339 | 1,139,495 |
| Norman | 6 | 0 | 787,692 | 14,826 | 802,518 |
| Pennington | 1 | 0 | 15,846 | 0 | 15,846 |
| Polk | 18 | 1 | 1,588,003 | 176,321 | 1,764,324 |
| Red Lake | 1 | 1 | 239,462 | 0 | 239,462 |
| Roseau | 5 | 2 | 1,312,256 | 0 | 1,312,256 |
| District 2 Totals | 74 | 4 | 9,564,950 | 510,423 | 10,075,373 |


| County | Number of Special Resurf. Projects 1985-1994 | Spec. Resurf: 1994 | Regular <br> Account <br> Deduction | Municipal Account Deduction | Total Special <br> Resurfacing Cost Deducted from 1995 25 Yr. Const. Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aitkin | 3 | 0 | \$680,258 | \$16,850 | \$697,108 |
| Benton | 6 | 0 | 581,551 | 12,412 | 593,963 |
| Cass | 6 | 2 | 2,396,474 | 15,891 | 2,412,365 |
| Crow Wing | 3 | 2 | 248,136 | 0 | 248,136 |
| Isanti | 7 | 5 | 605,125 | 0 | 605,125 |
| Kanabec | 7 | 2 | 330,853 | 115,826 | 446,679 |
| Mille Lacs | 7 | 0 | 440,984 | 98,290 | 539,274 |
| Morrison | 22 | 4 | 4,489,171 | 141,416 | 4,630,587 |
| Sherburne | 5 | 0 | 508,707 | 0 | 508,707 |
| Stearns | 41 | 2 | 6,900,657 | 225,168 | 7,125,825 |
| Todd | 15 | 0 | 2,438,016 | 15,633 | 2,453,649 |
| Wadena | 5 | 0 | 1,296,824 | 0 | 1,296,824 |
| Wright | 6 | 1 | 800,056 | 180,593 | 980,649 |
| District 3 Totals | 133 | 18 | 21,716,812 | 822,079 | 22,538,891 |
| Becker | 16 | 3 | 1,798,739 | 118,454 | 1,917,193 |
| Big Stone | 5 | 0 | 621,504 | 0 | 621,504 |
| Clay | 2 | 0 | 49,082 | 49,879 | 98,961 |
| Douglas | 12 | 3 | 1,336,103 | 37,033 | 1,373,136 |
| Grant | 6 | 1 | 1,076,264 | 6,338 | 1,082,602 |
| Mahnomen | 5 | 1 | 298,162 | 0 | 298,162 |
| Otter Tail | 48 | 5 | 9,378,437 | 301,943 | 9,680,380 |
| Pope | 7 | 0 | 919,617 | 68,133 | 987,750 |
| Stevens | 7 | 1 | 1,839,050 | 0 | 1,839,050 |
| Swift | 10 | 1 | 1,081,634 | 81,808 | 1,163,442 |
| Traverse | 7 | 3 | 749,303 | 236,890 | 986,193 |
| Wilkin | 8 | 2 | 1,000,010 | 69,591 | 1,069,601 |
| District 4 Totals | 133 | 20 | 20,147,905 | 970,069 | 21,117,974 |
| Anoka | 3 | 3 | 699,370 | 0 | 699,370 |
| Carver | 15 | 0 | 1,010,938 | 163,146 | 1,174,084 |
| Hennepin | 11 | 0 | 3,439,308 | 0 | 3,439,308 |
| Scott | 8 | 0 | 1,244,700 | 8,095 | 1,252,795 |
| District 5 Totals | 37 | 3 | 6,394,316 | 171,241 | 6,565,557 |
| Dodge | 6 | 1 | 821,743 | 36,048 | 857,791 |
| Fillmore | 14 | 0 | 931,287 | 201,142 | 1,132,429 |
| Freeborn | 35 | 5 | 3,500,822 | 264,166 | 3,764,988 |
| Goodhue | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51,309 | 51,309 |
| Houston | 4 | 0 | 1,040,904 | 0 | 1,040,904 |
| Mower | 22 | 3 | 2,864,906 | 66,554 | 2,931,460 |
| Olmsted | 4 | 0 | 156,205 | 31,213 | 187,418 |
| Rice | 16 | 2 | 1,845,877 | 82,930 | 1,928,807 |
| Steele | 4 | 1 | 173,803 | 0 | 173,803 |
| Wabasha | 9 | 3 | 481,567 | 175,900 | 657,467 |
| Winona | 33 | 11 | 2,874,047 | 21,755 | 2,895,802 |
| District 6 Totals | 148 | 26 | 14,691,161 | 931,017 | 15,622,178 |


| County | Number of Special Resurf: Projects 1985-1994 | Spec. Resurf 1994 | Regular <br> Account <br> Deduction | Municipal <br> Account Deduction | Total Special Resurfacing Cost Deducted from 1995 25 Yr. Const Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue Earth | 10 | 1 | \$745,576 | \$0 | \$745,576 |
| Brown | 11 | 1 | 743,116 | 23,332 | 766,448 |
| Cottonwood | 21 | 3 | 2,500,043 | 10,758 | 2,510,801 |
| Faribault | 2 | 0 | 342,286 | 0 | 342,286 |
| Jackson | 12 | 0 | 2,536,978 | 31,855 | 2,568,833 |
| LeSueur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66,914 | 66,914 |
| Nicollet | 2 | 0 | 200,641 | 0 | 200,641 |
| Nobles | 18 | 2 | 1,995,447 | 57,006 | 2,052,453 |
| Rock | 9 | 0 | 631,846 | 89,737 | 721,583 |
| Sibley | 22 | 3 | 3,016,795 | 0 | 3,016,795 |
| Waseca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Watonwan | 16 | 1 | 1,163,453 | 73,618 | 1,237,071 |
| District 7 Totals | 124 | 11 | 13,876,181 | 353,220 | 14,229,401 |
| Chippewa | 3 | 0 | 237,674 | 0 | 237,674 |
| Kandiyohi | 3 | 0 | 175,995 | 68,170 | 244,165 |
| Lac Qui Parle | 11 | 6 | 839,830 | 24,258 | 864,088 |
| Lincoln | 12 | 2 | 1,022,622 | 18,387 | 1,041,009 |
| Lyon | 27 | 1 | 3,346,534 | 94,186 | 3,440,720 |
| Mc Leod | 3 | 0 | 410,451 | 39,569 | 450,020 |
| Meeker | 10 | 1 | 833,911 | 48,290 | 882,201 |
| Murray | 16 | 3 | 1,678,569 | 70,259 | 1,748,828 |
| Pipestone | 13 | 3 | 791,971 | 254,203 | 1,046,174 |
| Redwood | 33 | 0 | 4,630,185 | 325,297 | 4,955,482 |
| Renville | 27 | 3 | 5,906,454 | 50,744 | 5,957,198 |
| Yellow Medicine | 9 | 0 | 1,422,466 | 17,472 | 1,439,938 |
| District 8 Totals | 167 | 19 | 21,296,662 | 1,010,835 | 22,307,497 |
| Chisago | 10 | 2 | 2,095,602 | 95,853 | 2,191,455 |
| Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ramsey | 5 | 2 | 589,719 | 0 | 589,719 |
| Washington | 8 | 3 | 611,393 | 66,145 | 677,538 |
| District 9 Totals | 23 | 7 | 3,296,714 | 161,998 | 3,458,712 |
| STATE TOTALS | 904 | 116 | \$120,590,602 | \$5,501,321 | \$126,091,923 |

NOTES \& COMMENTS
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## Comparison of 1985-1994 Rural_Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

In order to partially offset the expected rapid rate of inflation without reviewing all rural design complete grading costs each year, the 1968 County Screening committee adopted the resolution below.

That, annually a separate adjustment to the rural and the urban complete grading costs in each county be considered by the Screening Board. Such adjustment shall be made to the regular account and shall be based on the relationship of the actual cost of grading to the estimated cost of grading reported in the needs study. The method of determining and the extent of the adjustment shall be approved by the Screening Board. Any "Final" costs used in the comparison must be received by the Needs Section by July 1 of the Needs Study year involved.
The original adjustment procedure established that if a county had $30 \%$ or more of its rural design mileage in the grading study, then $100 \%$ of the rural grading cost factor was used to adjust the remaining rural design complete grading needs.

This procedure was revised in 1984 so that the entire Rural Grading Cost Factor would be applied if the mileage in the grading comparison equaled $10 \%$ or more of that county's rural design system that had complete grading remaining in the needs study.

All rural complete grading costs in the needs study were updated in 1984. Because of this, it was necessary to begin the grading comparison over again starting with the 1984 projects.

Below is an example showing St. Louis County's rural design grading cost adjustment computation for the 1996 apportionment.

1) 104.6 miles of C.S.A.H. 's which had rural design complete grading needs were graded in St. Louis County in 1984-1994. This represents $10 \%$ of the $1,069.41$ miles of rural design C.S.A.H.'s which still have complete grading required in their needs study.
2) The Rural Grading Cost Factor of $40 \%$ was computed by dividing the difference between the average construction cost/mile and the average needs cost/mile by the average needs cost/mile.

## $\frac{\$ 284,967-\$ 203,500}{\$ 203,500}=40 \%$

3) The Adjusted Rural Grading Cost Factor of $40 \%$ was arrived at by dividing the $10 \%$ (as explained in 1 above) by $10 \%$ (the maximum \%) and multiplying the result by the Rural Grading Cost Factor ( $40 \%$ ) as shown in 2 above.

$$
\frac{10}{10} \times 40 \%=40 \%
$$

4) Then by multiplying the Adjusted Factor ( $40 \%$ ) times the complete rural design grading needs remaining in the 1995 study ( $\$ 171,071,360$ ) an adjustment $(+\$ 68,428,544)$ to the 1995 needs is computed.
5) In the last column we have shown what each county is actually receiving per mile of complete rural grading needs after the adjustment is applied.

The next ten pages show the results of this study by individual counties by district. These adjustments (effect on 199525 -year construction needs) have been used in calculating the 1995 annual County State Aid Highway money needs.
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Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Grading Cost Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjustment To The 1995 25 Year Construction Neds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projeots |  | $\%$ of System With Complete Grading Needs Col 2.1 Col. 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average <br> Needs: <br> Cost/Mille: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# | (Col 2) <br> Miles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col. 8) <br> Milles | $\begin{gathered} \text { or rotel } \\ \text { Rural } \\ \text { Miles } \end{gathered}$ | Total Cost | Average Cost Per Mile |  | Atual Adjusted Nedo Cost Per Mile |
| Carlton | 13 | 32.9 | 17\% | \$141,992 | \$108,086 | 31\% | 31.0\% | 193.62 | 69.5\% | \$22,608,832 | \$116,769 |  | \$7,008,738 | \$152,968 |
| Cook | 9 | 23.4 | 17\% | 218,834 | 157,757 | 39\% | 39.0\% | 140.40 | 80.2\% | 21,156,915 | 150,690 | 8,251,197 | 209,459 |
| Itasca | 20 | 59.7 | 12\% | 139,191 | 91,740 | 52\% | 52.0\% | 489.95 | 78.4\% | 43,653,673 | 89,098 | 22,699,910 | 135,429 |
| Koochiching | 14 | 47.7 | 33\% | 94,011 | 54,959 | 71\% | 71.0\% | 143.08 | 61.9\% | 9,123,194 | 63,763 | 6,477,468 | 109,035 |
| Lake | 13 | 24.9 | 14\% | 275,573 | 192,393 | 43\% | 43.0\% | 171.93 | 78.4\% | 33,727,682 | 196,171 | 14,502,903 | 280,525 |
| Pine | 28 | 55.1 | 15\% | 174,330 | 129,832 | 34\% | 34.0\% | 357.62 | 77.8\% | 52,730,511 | 147,448 | 17,928,374 | 197,581 |
| St. Louls | 53 | 104.6 | 10\% | 284,967 | 203,500 | 40\% | 40.0\% | 1,069.41 | 81.9\% | 171,071,360 | 159,968 | 68,428,544 | 223,955 |
| District 1 Totals | 150 | 348.3 | 14\% | \$197,687 | \$139,451 | 42\% |  | 2,566.01 | 77.9\% | \$354,072,167 | \$137,985 | \$145,297, 134 | \$194,609 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Rural Grading Cost Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remalning in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjustment to The 1995 25 Year Construction Neads |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects |  | \% of System with Complete Grading Needs Col. 2.5 Col. 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average Needs Cost/Mille |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# | (CO1 2 ) <br> Miles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col 8 ) <br> Milles | \% ot total Rural Miles. | Total Cost | Average Cost Per Mile |  | Aotual <br> Adjusted <br> Needscost <br> Per Mile |
| Beltraml | 16 | 65.3 | 23\% | \$106,436 | \$94,940 | 12\% | 12.0\% | 290.05 | 64.6\% | \$23,521,106 | \$81,093 |  | \$2,822,533 | \$90,824 |
| Clearwater | 19 | 54.4 | 27\% | 60,141 | 74,212 | -19\% | -19.0\% | 198.03 | 62.5\% | 13,146,026 | 66,384 | (2,497,745) | 53,771 |
| Hubbard | 9 | 38.8 | 16\% | 103,011 | 92,612 | 11\% | 11.0\% | 240.74 | 75.3\% | 16,932,865 | 70,337 | 1,862,615 | 78,074 |
| Kittson | 17 | 52.8 | 20\% | 54,184 | 57,758 | -6\% | -6.0\% | 259.11 | 70.2\% | 17,642,770 | 68,090 | $(1,058,566)$ | 64,004 |
| Lake of the Woods | 11 | 34.9 | 32\% | 64,099 | 58,408 | 10\% | 10.0\% | 108.15 | 56.4\% | 6,513,304 | 60,225 | 651,330 | 66,247 |
| Marshall | 30 | 121.0 | 32\% | 51,195 | 57,645 | -11\% | -11.0\% | 383.14 | 60.6\% | 21,826,236 | 56,967 | (2,400,886 | 50,700 |
| Norman | 20 | 42.2 | 18\% | 59,591 | 58,791 | 1\% | 1.0\% | 235.60 | 61.3\% | 13,547,520 | 57,502 | 135,475 | 58,077 |
| Pennington | 7 | 32.5 | 25\% | 46,366 | 47,946 | -3\% | -3.0\% | 132.57 | 51.6\% | 6,855,000 | 51,709 | $(205,650)$ | 50,157 |
| Poik | 30 | 132.2 | 30\% | 64,959 | 68,694 | -5\% | -5.0\% | 441.06 | 55.8\% | 33,251,142 | 75,389 | $(1,662,557)$ | 71,620 |
| Red Lake | 8 | 21.6 | 17\% | 71,083 | 67,835 | 5\% | 5.0\% | 127.95 | 70.3\% | 9,030,656 | 70,580 | 451,533 | 74,109 |
| Roseau | 21 | 86.8 | 32\% | 46,179 | 58,027 | -20\% | -20.0\% | 273.76 | 57.9\% | 15,375,703 | 56,165 | (3,075,141 | 44,932 |
| District 2 Totals | 188 | 682.5 | 25\% | \$63,975 | \$66,688 | -4\% |  | 2,690.16 | 61.6\% | \$177,642,328 | 66,034 | (\$4,977,059) | \$64,184 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Studv Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Rural <br> Grading Cost <br> Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjust ment To The 1995 25 Year construction Neads |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (COI) 2) Miles | ```With \\ Complete Grading Needs Col. 2.1 Col. 8``` | Average Construction Cost MMillo | Average Needs Cost/Mile |  |  | (Col, 8) <br> Miles | $\%$ of rotal Rural $\%$ miles, | Total Cost | Average Cost Per Mile |  | Aotual Adjusted Needs Cost Pet Mile |
| Altkin | 14 | 63.8 | 24\% | \$100,911 | \$73,129 | 38\% | 38.0\% | 265.66 | 71.4\% | \$23,158,293 | \$87,173 | \$8,800,151 | \$120,298 |
| Benton | 21 | 41.2 | 30\% | 83,944 | 50,383 | 67\% | 67.0\% | 139.33 | 65.3\% | 6,589,889 | 47,297 | 4,415,226 | 78,986 |
| Cass | 12 | 40.2 | 10\% | 96,938 | 79,278 | 22\% | 22.0\% | 385.38 | 74.0\% | 28,215,963 | 73,216 | 6,207,512 | 89,323 |
| Crow Wing | 17 | 47.5 | 29\% | 58,543 | 53,764 | 9\% | 9.0\% | 165.25 | 46.7\% | 1,1,329,617 | 68,560 | 1,019,666 | 74,731 |
| Isanti | 10 | 22.9 | 13\% | 117,435 | 86,303 | 36\% | 36.0\% | 170.37 | 75.6\% | 13,924,780 | 81,733 | 5,012,921 | 111,156 |
| Kanabec | 15 | 34.0 | 25\% | 79,347 | 85,396 | -7\% | -7.0\% | 138.20 | 66.4\% | 11,415,820 | 82,604 | (799,107) | 76,821 |
| Mille Lacs | 8 | 20.0 | 13\% | 141,686 | 73,974 | 92\% | 92.0\% | 154.24 | 64.3\% | 12,676,324 | 82,186 | 11,662,218 | 157,797 |
| Morrison | 2 | 6.7 | 2\% | 32,339 | 54,882 | -41\% | -8.2\% | 333.26 | 77.3\% | 22,159,245 | 66,492 | (1,817,058) | 61,040 |
| Sherburne | 10 | 36.6 | 40\% | 33,398 | 36,640 | -9\% | -9.0\% | 90.64 | 43.8\% | 3,249,186 | 35,847 | $(292,427)$ | 32,621 |
| Stearns | 12 | 30.3 | 7\% | 95,946 | 77,483 | 24\% | 16.8\% | 443.47 | 78.2\% | 35,028,905 | 78,988 | 5,884,856 | 92,258 |
| Todd | 1 | 1.0 | 0\% | 65,978 | 64,850 | 2\% | 0.0\% | 237.40 | 59.4\% | 15,220,325 | 64,113 | 0 | 64,113 |
| Wadena | 6 | 14.3 | 8\% | 92,259 | 61,427 | 50\% | 40.0\% | 170.11 | 77.6\% | 8,932,313 | 52,509 | 3,572,925 | 73,513 |
| Wright | 19 | 43.2 | 16\% | 176,697 | 95,163 | 86\% | 86.0\% | 268.56 | 71.4\% | 24,121,759 | 89,819 | 20,744,713 | 167,063 |
| District 3 Totals | 147 | 401.7 | 14\% | \$95,015 | \$69,597 | 37\% |  | 2,961.87 | 68.3\% | \$216,022,419 | \$72,934 | \$64,411,596 | \$94,681 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Grading Cost Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study $\qquad$ |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjustment to The 1995 25 25 Year Construction Neads |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects |  | \% of System With Complete Grading Needs Col 2 / Col 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average <br> Needs: <br> Cost/Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (CO) 2) Miles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col 8 ) Milles | $\%$ of 70 tal Hural Miles | Total Cost | Averige Costsper Mile |  | Astual <br> Adiusted Ne eds Cost <br> Per Mile: |
| Becker | 15 | 52.6 | 18\% | \$48,896 | \$43,736 | 12\% | 12.0\% | 284.52 | 63.7\% | \$13,688,457 | \$48,111 |  | \$1,642,615 | \$53,884 |
| Big Stone | 9 | 21.8 | 14\% | 65,695 | 42,482 | 31\% | 31.0\% | 151.78 | 76.1\% | 6,929,199 | 45,653 | 2,148,052 | 59,805 |
| Clay | 17 | 65.9 | 26\% | 66,641 | 40,915 | 63\% | 63.0\% | 258.20 | 66.6\% | 10,556,994 | 40,887 | 6,650,906 | 66,646 |
| Douglas | 9 | 33.9 | 15\% | 67,089 | 52,864 | 27\% | 27.0\% | 230.32 | 63.6\% | 12,038,190 | 52,267 | 3,250,311 | 66,379 |
| Grant | 2 | 21.1 | 13\% | 55,251 | 39,945 | 38\% | 38.0\% | 166.20 | 73.8\% | 7,182,383 | 43,215 | 2,729,306 | 59,637 |
| Mahnomen | 6 | 39.1 | 39\% | 91,751 | 42,142 | 118\% | 118.0\% | 100.75 | 52.5\% | 4,266,080 | 42,343 | 5,033,974 | 92,308 |
| Otter Tail | 21 | 59.3 | 10\% | 71,845 | 73,250 | -2\% | -2.0\% | 623.68 | 71.5\% | 51,667,436 | 82,843 | (1,033,349) | 81,186 |
| Pope | 10 | 28.7 | 14\% | 116,190 | 65,200 | 78\% | 78.0\% | 204.87 | 70.9\% | 14,683,625 | 71,673 | 11,453,228 | 127.678 |
| Stevens | 1 | 5.0 | 3\% | 49,490 | 42,096 | 18\% | 5.4\% | 186.62 | 78.3\% | 9,825,994 | 52,652 | 530,604 | 55.496 |
| Swift | 21 | 57.6 | 29\% | 47,526 | 40,163 | 18\% | 18.0\% | 200.35 | 61.7\% | 10,257,285 | 51,197 | 1,846,311 | 60,412 |
| Traverse | 3 | 22.1 | 13\% | 32,447 | 42,865 | -24\% | -24.0\% | 172.98 | 72.0\% | 9,828,182 | 56,817 | $(2,358,764)$ | 43,181 |
| Wilkin | 11 | 29.9 | 14\% | 59,035 | 32,773 | 80\% | 80.0\% | 210.21 | 68.8\% | 7,612,994 | 36,216 | 6,090,395 | 65,189 |
| District 4 Totals | 125 | 437.0 | 16\% | \$64,674 | \$47,756 | 35\% |  | 2,790.48 | 68.3\% | \$158,536,819 | \$56,813 | \$37,983,589 | \$70.425 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA <br> OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Rural <br> Grading <br> Cost <br> Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjusthent <br> To The <br> 1995 25 Year <br> Construction <br> Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prolects |  | \% of System Whth Complete Grading Needs col. 2 col. 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average Needs CostMMile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (Col 2) <br> Miles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col 8) <br> Miles | \% of Total Rural Miles. | Total cost | Average Cost Per Mile |  | Aotual <br> Adjusted <br> Ne dos Cost <br> Per Mile |
| Anoka | 9 | 24.0 | 22\% | \$194,600 | \$149,487 | 30\% | 30.0\% | 110.72 | 61.7\% | \$17.487,537 | \$157,944 |  | \$5,246,261 | \$205,327 |
| Carver | 8 | 15.1 | 12\% | 107.601 | 101,727 | 6\% | 6.0\% | 128.62 | 73.0\% | 12,670,054 | 98,508 | 760,203 | 104,418 |
| Hennepin | 8 | 17.4 | 15\% | 337,478 | 304,145 | 11\% | 11.0\% | 119.55 | 82.4\% | 19,680,668 | 164,623 | 2,164,873 | 182,731 |
| Scott | 8 | 11.4 | 10\% | 205,650 | 81,675 | 152\% | 152.0\% | 113.04 | 72.5\% | 11,812,762 | 104,501 | 17,955,398 | 263,342 |
| District 5 Totals | 33 | 67.9 | 14\% | \$213,661 | \$167,049 | 28\% |  | 471.93 | 71.9\% | \$61,651,021 | \$130,636 | \$26,126,735 | \$185,997 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Rural Grading Cost Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjustment To The 1996 25 Year Constriction Needs. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects |  |  | Average Construction Cost/Mile: | Average Needs Cost/Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (Col 2) <br> Miles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col 8 ) <br> Milles |  | Total Cost | Average Cost Per MIle. |  | Aotual Adusied Needsocost per mile: |
| Dodge | 14 | 35.5 | 25\% | \$73,315 | \$62,994 | 16\% | 16.0\% | 141.40 | 58.5\% | \$9,012,025 | \$63,734 |  | \$1.441.924 | \$73,932 |
| Fllimore | 17 | 44.6 | 15\% | 146,046 | 151,965 | -4\% | -4.0\% | 296.49 | 75.3\% | 40,670,932 | 137,175 | (1,626,837 | 131,688 |
| Freeborn | 12 | 33.1 | 11\% | 120,180 | 66,496 | 81\% | 81.0\% | 305.56 | 70.4\% | 15,646,957 | 51,207 | 12,674,035 | 92,686 |
| Goodhue | 14 | 52.6 | 29\% | 142,005 | 104,022 | 37\% | 37.0\% | 179.98 | 57.3\% | 18,498,337 | 102,780 | 6,844,385 | 140,809 |
| Houston | 8 | 18.2 | 10\% | 161,318 | 168,341 | -4\% | -4.0\% | 173.53 | 71.9\% | 29,434,085 | 169,620 | $(1,177,363)$ | 162,835 |
| Mower | 15 | 33.6 | 14\% | 85,615 | 61,784 | 39\% | 39.0\% | 245.58 | 68.7\% | 16,282,612 | 66,303 | 6,350,219 | 92,161 |
| Olmsted | 13 | 33.9 | 16\% | 126,480 | 128,745 | -2\% | -2.0\% | 217.48 | 71.0\% | 23,171,567 | 106,546 | $(463,431)$ | 104,415 |
| Rice | 13 | 33.9 | 19\% | 101,710 | 59,669 | 70\% | 70.0\% | 181.18 | 69.1\% | 11,585,301 | 63,946 | 8,110,061 | 108,709 |
| Steele | 14 | 32.9 | 19\% | 79,019 | 52,599 | 50\% | 50.0\% | 175.85 | 64.5\% | 10,279,550 | 58,456 | 5,139,775 | 87,685 |
| Wabasha | 10 | 26.9 | 16\% | 162,212 | 139,275 | 16\% | 16.0\% | 173.13 | 67.4\% | 21,999,323 | 127,068 | 3,519,892 | 147,399 |
| Winona | 17 | 27.6 | 13\% | 121,253 | 116,828 | 4\% | 4.0\% | 211.67 | 70.9\% | 24,297,148 | 114,788 | 971,886 | 119,379 |
| District 6 Totals | 147 | 372.8 | 16\% | \$119,165 | \$99,029 | 20\% |  | 2,301.85 | 68.1\% | \$220,878,337 | \$95,957 | \$41,784,546 | \$114,109 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Rural <br> Grading <br> Cost <br> Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjustment To The 1995 25 Year Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average Needs Cost/Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (COI 2) <br> Milles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col 8 ) <br> Milles | \% of rotal Rurrlal Milas | Total <br> Cost | Average <br> CostPar <br> Mile |  | Atitual <br> Adjusted Needs Cost Per Mile |
| Blue Earth | 18 | 58.0 | 23\% | \$72,305 | \$66,760 | 8\% | 8.0\% | 250.55 | 64.3\% | \$22,164,364 | \$88,463 |  | \$1,773,149 | \$95,540 |
| Brown | 11 | 37.5 | 22\% | 110,541 | 110,713 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 167.07 | 54.6\% | 10,647,273 | 63,729 | 0 | 63,729 |
| Cottonwood | 10 | 24.8 | 10\% | 81,977 | 54,569 | 50\% | 50.0\% | 236.70 | 76.7\% | 12,379,282 | 52,299 | 6,189,641 | 78,449 |
| Faribault | 13 | 44.4 | 21\% | 75,838 | 59,671 | 27\% | 27.0\% | 211.58 | 63.7\% | 11,955,493 | 56,506 | 3,227,983 | 71,762 |
| Jackson | 9 | 25.3 | 9\% | 72,216 | 47,480 | 52\% | 46.8\% | 267.93 | 74.5\% | 16,620,817 | 62,034 | 7,778,542 | 91,066 |
| Le Sueur | 17 | 52.7 | 41\% | 83,135 | 64,558 | 29\% | 29.0\% | 129.15 | 52.1\% | 8,820,058 | 68,293 | 2,557,817 | 88,098 |
| Martin | 12 | 58.6 | 27\% | 78,027 | 66,984 | 16\% | 16.0\% | 215.73 | 58.1\% | 11,797,978 | 54,689 | 1,887,676 | 63,439 |
| Nicollet | 17 | 33.8 | 24\% | 75,014 | 68,483 | 10\% | 10.0\% | 142.66 | 60.0\% | 12,146,819 | 85,145 | 1,214,682 | 93,660 |
| Nobles | 10 | 34.4 | 19\% | 75,997 | 57,335 | 33\% | 33.0\% | 185.11 | 55.4\% | 11,378,528 | 61,469 | 3,754,914 | 81,754 |
| Rock | 9 | 29.9 | 18\% | 67,663 | 48,183 | 40\% | 40.0\% | 164.91 | 65.9\% | 7,872,904 | 47.741 | 3,149,162 | 66,837 |
| Sibley | 10 | 25.7 | 13\% | 90,685 | 63,559 | 43\% | 43.0\% | 200.01 | 71.1\% | 11,223,926 | 56,117 | 4,826,288 | 80,247 |
| Waseca | 18 | 45.3 | 28\% | 60,812 | 54,896 | 11\% | 11.0\% | 161.40 | 67.7\% | 8,887,576 | 55,066 | 977,633 | 61,123 |
| Watonwan | 10 | 27.1 | 22\% | 70,761 | 64,087 | 10\% | 10.0\% | 120.84 | 54.7\% | 7,157,494 | 59,231 | 715,749 | 65,154 |
| District 7 Totals | 164 | 497.5 | 20\% | \$77,783 | \$64,605 | 20\% |  | 2,453.64 | 63.3\% | \$153,052,512 | \$62,378 | \$38,053,236 | \$77,887 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Rural Grading Cost Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Bural Grading Cost Adjustment To The 1995 26 Year Construction Needs. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | leats <br> (Col) 2) <br> Miles | \% of System With Complete Grading Needs col. 2 . Col. 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average Needs cost/Mille |  |  | (Col. 8 ) <br> Miles | $\%$ of rotal Rural Miles | s Study <br> Total <br> Cost | Average Costper Mile |  | Atual Adjusted Ne do Cost Per Mile |
| Chippewa | 8 | 21.4 | 17\% | \$126,535 | \$85,018 | 49\% | 49.0\% | 125.57 | 52.5\% | \$10,905,628 | \$86,849 | \$5,343,758 | \$129,405 |
| Kandiyohi | 22 | 74.6 | 31\% | 104,823 | 67,895 | 54\% | 54.0\% | 239.20 | 60.7\% | 16,893,271 | 70,624 | 9,122,366 | 108,761 |
| Lac Qui Parle | 14 | 57.3 | 24\% | 54,836 | 46,121 | 19\% | 19.0\% | 236.38 | 66.1\% | 10,337,487 | 43,732 | 1,964,123 | 52,042 |
| Lincoln | 9 | 31.3 | 21\% | 48,076 | 47.612 | 1\% | 1.0\% | 152.44 | 62.1\% | 7,635,409 | 50,088 | 76,354 | 50,589 |
| Lyon | 21 | 54.1 | 27\% | 63,262 | 57,759 | 10\% | 10.0\% | 200.92 | 66.0\% | 10,966,668 | 54,582 | 1,096,667 | 60,040 |
| Mc Leod | 15 | 31.8 | 21\% | 94,784 | 77,562 | 22\% | 22.0\% | 148.75 | 66.8\% | 9,739,026 | 65,472 | 2,142,586 | 79,876 |
| Meeker | 12 | 26.0 | 15\% | 77.559 | 56,360 | 38\% | 38.0\% | 172.01 | 64.7\% | 9,902,722 | 57,571 | 3,763,034 | 79,447 |
| Murray | 13 | 30.8 | 11\% | 38,288 | 47,983 | -20\% | -20.0\% | 284.42 | 81.8\% | 13,905,010 | 48,889 | (2,781,002 | 39,111 |
| Pipestone | 13 | 32.0 | 21\% | 63,502 | 55,565 | 14\% | 14.0\% | 153.50 | 69.0\% | 7,642,595 | 49,789 | 1,069,963 | 56,759 |
| Redwood | 18 | 39.9 | 17\% | 49,882 | 40,072 | 24\% | 24.0\% | 230.64 | 61.7\% | 12,920,076 | 56,018 | 3,100,818 | 69,463 |
| Renville | 5 | 12.4 | 4\% | 67,052 | 48,233 | 39\% | 15.6\% | 349.73 | 79.1\% | 17,592,672 | 50,304 | 2,744,457 | 58,151 |
| Yellow Medicine | 15 | 60.7 | 26\% | 48,158 | 51,550 | -7\% | -7.0\% | 232.12 | 69.0\% | 13,519,299 | 58,243 | $(946,351)$ | 54,166 |
| District 8 Totals |  | 472.3 | 19\% | \$68,999 | \$56,444 | 22\% |  | 2,525.68 | 67.3\% | \$141,959,863 | \$56,207 | \$26,696,773 | \$66,777 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Rural Grading Cost Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjustment To the 1995 . 25 Year Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects |  | $\%$ of System With Complete Grading Needs Col. 2 . Col. 8 | Average Construction cost/Mile | Average Needs Cost/M118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \#. | (Col 2) <br> Miles |  |  |  |  |  | (Cols 8 ) <br> Miles | \% of Total Rural Miless. | Total cost | Average Cost Per Mile |  | Aotupl <br> Adjusted <br> Needs Cost <br> Por Mile |
| Chisago | 8 | 12.9 | 8\% | \$147,918 | \$97,021 | 52\% | 41.6\% | 164.85 | 77.0\% | \$15,503,157 | \$94,044 |  | \$6,449,313 | \$133,166 |
| Dakota | 7 | 8.7 | 7\% | 194,381 | 198,040 | -2\% | -1.4\% | 124.94 | 74.9\% | 14,457,621 | 115,717 | $(202,407)$ | 114,096 |
| Ramsey | 2 | 2.5 | 52\% | 394,350 | 274,943 | 43\% | 43.0\% | 4.8 | 97.0\% | 1,259,820 | 262,463 | 541,723 | 375,321 |
| Washington | 13 | 16.3 | 16\% | 299,143 | 170,513 | 75\% | 75.0\% | 101.05 | 71.0\% | 16,124,597 | 159,570 | 12,093,448 | 279,248 |
| District 9 Totals | 30 | 40.4 | 10\% | \$234,013 | \$159,405 | 47\% |  | 395.64 | 74.9\% | \$47,345,195 | \$119,667 | \$18,882,077 | 167,393 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1984-1994 Rural Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Rural <br> Grading <br> Cost <br> Factor | Rural Complete Grading Remalning in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Rural Grading Cost Adjustment. to The 199525 Year Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Col 2) <br> Miles | \% of System With Complete Grading Needs Col 2 ) Col. 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile. | Average Needs cost/Mile |  |  | (Col. 8 <br> Milles | $\%$ of Tota Rural Miles: | ds Study <br> Total <br> Cost | Average CostPer Mile |  | Actual Adjusted Ne d d Cost Pob Mile |
| District 1 Totals | 150 | 348.3 | 14\% | \$197,687 | \$139,451 | 42\% |  | 2,566.01 | 77.9\% | \$354,072,167 | \$137,985 | \$145,297,134 | \$194,609 |
| District 2 Totals | 188 | 682.5 | 25\% | 63,975 | 66,688 | -4\% |  | 2,690.16 | 61.6\% | 177,642,328 | 66,034 | (4,977,059) | 64,184 |
| District 3 Totals | 147 | 401.7 | 14\% | 95,015 | 69,597 | 37\% |  | 2,961.87 | 68.3\% | 216,022,419 | 72,934 | 64,411,596 | 94,681 |
| District 4 Totals | 125 | 437.0 | 16\% | 64,674 | 47,756 | 35\% |  | 2,790.48 | 68.3\% | 158,536,819 | 56,813 | 37,983,589 | 70,425 |
| District 5 Totals | 33 | 67.9 | 14\% | 213,661 | 167,049 | 28\% |  | 471.93 | 71.9\% | 61,651,021 | 130,636 | 26,126,735 | 185,997 |
| District 6 Totals | 147 | 372.8 | 16\% | 119,165 | 99,029 | 20\% |  | 2,301.85 | 68.1\% | 220,878,337 | 95,957 | 41,784,546 | 114,109 |
| District 7 Totals | 164 | 497.5 | 20\% | 77,783 | 64,605 | 20\% |  | 2,453.64 | 63.3\% | 153,052,512 | 62,378 | 38,053,236 | 77.887 |
| District 8 Totals | 165 | 472.3 | 19\% | 68,999 | 56,444 | 22\% |  | 2,525.68 | 67.3\% | 141,959,863 | 56,207 | 26,696,773 | 66,777 |
| District 9 Totals | 30 | 40.4 | 10\% | 234,013 | 159,405 | 47\% |  | 395.64 | 74.9\% | 47,345,195 | 119,667 | 18,882,077 | 167,393 |
| STATE TOTAL | 1,149 | 3,320.4 | 17\% | \$95,963 | \$77,226 | 24\% |  | 19,157.26 | 67.8\% | \$1,531,160,661 | \$79,926 | \$394,258,627 | \$100,506 |

NOTES \& COMMENTS

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

## Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

In 1986, all counties estimated their grading costs on all urban design segments requiring complete grading. In order to keep their costs relatively up to date, the Screening Board directed that an adjustment to these costs be applied in the same manner as has been done to the rural design complete grading costs.

An explanation of Pine County's urban design grading cost adjustments for the 1996 apportionment is shown below.

1) 0.8 miles of C.S.A.H.'s which had urban design complete grading needs were graded in Pine County in 1987-1994. This represents $7 \%$ of the 10.70 miles of urban design C.S.A.H.'s which still have complete grading required in their needs study.
2) The Urban Grading Cost Factor of $21 \%$ was computed by dividing the difference between the average construction cost/mile and the average needs cost/mile by the average needs cost/mile.
```
$208,981-$172,408}=21
```

3) The Adjusted Urban Grading Cost Factor of $14.7 \%$ was arrived at by dividing the $7 \%$ (as explained in 1 above) by $10 \%$ (the maximum \%) and multiplying the result by the Urban Grading Cost Factor ( $115 \%$ ) as shown in 2 above.

$$
\frac{7}{10} \times 21 \%=14.7 \%
$$

4) Then, by multiplying the Adjusted Factor (14.7\%) times the complete urban design grading needs remaining in the 1995 needs study $(\$ 1,807,409)$ an adjustment $(+\$ 265,689)$ to the 1995 needs is computed.
5) In the last column we have shown what each county is actually receiving per mile of complete urban grading needs after the adjustment is applied.

The next 10 pages show the results of this study by individual counties by district. These adjustments (effect on 1995 25-year construction needs) have been used in calculating the 1995 annual County State Aid Highway money needs.

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Urban Grading Cost Factor | Urben Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustment To The 1995. 25 Year Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#. | jects <br> (Col 2) <br> Miles | \% of System With Complete Grading Needs Coll. 2.4 Col .8 | Average Construction cost/Mile | Average Neads Cost/Mille |  |  | (Coll 8 ) <br> Miles | $\%$ of Total Urban Miles: | s Study <br> Total <br> Cost | Average <br> Cost per <br> Mile |  | ACTUAL ADJUSTED NEEDS COST PER MUE |
| Carlton | 2 | 0.9 | 10\% | \$94,637 | \$131,951 | -28\% | -28.0\% | 8.88 | 59.6\% | \$1,528,620 | \$172,142 | (\$428,014 | \$123,942 |
| Cook | 3 | 0.6 | 27\% | 202,949 | 122,969 | 65\% | 65.0\% | 2.25 | 61.0\% | 297,069 | 132,031 | 193,095 | 217,851 |
| Itasca | 6 | 3.5 | 31\% | 179,657 | 14.7,819 | 22\% | 22.0\% | 11.12 | 48.9\% | 1,879,475 | 169,018 | 413,485 | 206,201 |
| Koochiching | 2 | 0.6 | 6\% | 244,284 | 113,802 | 115\% | 69.0\% | 9.79 | 57.2\% | 1,753,824 | 179,144 | 1,210,139 | 302,754 |
| Lake | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 2.93 | 56.9\% | 683,535 | 233,288 | 0 | 233,288 |
| Pine | 2 | 0.8 | 7\% | 208,981 | 172,408 | 21\% | 14.7\% | 10.70 | 82.6\% | 1,807,409 | 168,917 | 265,689 | 193,747 |
| St. Louls | 7 | 2.4 | 8\% | 601,231 | 339,883 | 77\% | 61.6\% | 29.33 | 45.1\% | 6,964,134 | 237,441 | 4,289,907 | 383,704 |
| District 1 Totals | 22 | 8.8 | 12\% | \$294,436 | \$196,621 | 50\% |  | 75.00 | 53.0\% | \$14,914,066 | \$198,854 | \$5,944,301 | \$278,112 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs



## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Urban Grading Cost Factor | Urban Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustment <br> to The 1995 25 Year Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects. |  |  | Average Construction Cost/Mile: | Average <br> Needs <br> cost/Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ACTUAL |  |
|  | \# | (Col 2) <br> Milles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col: 8 ) Miles | \% of Total Urban Miles | Total Cost: | Average Cost per Mile |  | AbJUSTED NEEDS COST PER MILE |
| Altkin | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 2.49 | 91.2\% | \$494,258 |  | \$198,497 | \$0 | \$198,497 |
| Benton | 3 | 0.8 | 14\% | 193,983 | 159,514 | 22\% | 22.0\% | 5.60 | 52.7\% | 858,592 | 153,320 | 188,890 | 187,050 |
| Gass | 3 | 1.2 | 17\% | 93,893 | 144,390 | -35\% | -35.0\% | 7.16 | 65.9\% | 1,168,445 | 163,191 | (408,956) | 106,074 |
| Crow Wing | 3 | 1.4 | 20\% | 131,776 | 171,735 | -23\% | -23.0\% | 7.14 | 42.0\% | 967,209 | 135,463 | (222,458) | 104,307 |
| Isanti | 3 | 0.4 | 22\% | 125,519 | 302,231 | -58\% | -58.0\% | 1.85 | 61.5\% | 572,243 | 309,321 | (331,901) | 129,915 |
| Kanabec | 1 | 0.5 | 18\% | 43,498 | 110,750 | -61\% | -61.0\% | 2.78 | 68.1\% | 389,937 | 140,265 | $(237,862)$ | 54,703 |
| Mille Lacs | 3 | 1.9 | 18\% | 334,168 | 205,297 | 63\% | 63.0\% | 10.79 | 72.6\% | 1,492,613 | 138,333 | 940,346 | 225,483 |
| Morrison | 4 | 2.6 | 41\% | 157,000 | 107,510 | 46\% | 46.0\% | 6.37 | 47.6\% | 639,160 | 100,339 | 294,014 | 146,495 |
| Sherburne | 1 | 0.3 | 19\% | 193,119 | 84,194 | 129\% | 129.0\% | 1.55 | 18.3\% | 106,119 | 68,464 | 136,894 | 156,783 |
| Stearns | 16 | 6.5 | 34\% | 160,662 | 165,074 | 4\% | 4.0\% | 19.10 | 52.3\% | 2,830,858 | 148,212 | 113,234 | 154,141 |
| Todd | 1 | 0.9 | 10\% | 224,613 | 119,400 | 88\% | 88.0\% | 9.11 | 71.5\% | 1,268,506 | 139,243 | 1,116,285 | 261,777 |
| Wadena | 4 | 1.2 | 31\% | 261,677 | 83,576 | 213\% | 213.0\% | 3.83 | 49.5\% | 556,585 | 145,322 | 1,185,526 | 454,859 |
| Wright | 4 | 1.3 | 9\% | 184,751 | 292,421 | -18\% | -16.2\% | 14.75 | 55.8\% | 3,356,932 | 227,589 | $(543,823)$ | 190.719 |
| District 3 Totals | 46 | 19.0 | 21\% | \$180,242 | \$153,751 | 17\% |  | 92.52 | 54.9\% | \$14,701,457 | \$158,900 | \$2,230,189 | \$183,005 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Studv Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Urban Grading Cost Factor | Urban Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustiment To The 1995. 25 Year Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#\% | jects <br> (Col 2) <br> Miles. | \% of System With Complete Grading Needs Col, 2 . Col. 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average <br> Needs <br> Cobt/Mile: |  |  | (Col: B ) <br> miles: | $\%$ ot 7otal Urban Miles. | ds Study <br> Total <br> Cost | AVerage Cost per Mile |  | ActuAL ADJUSTED NEEDS COST PER MHE |
| Becker | 6 | 1.8 | 18\% | \$89,651 | \$71,093 | 26\% | 26.0\% | 9.91 | 50.4\% | \$989,343 | \$99,833 | \$257,229 | \$125,789 |
| Big Stone | 2 | 0.4 | 28\% | 127,150 | 200,685 | -37\% | -37.0\% | 1.41 | 22.6\% | 200,669 | 142,318 | (74,248) | 89,660 |
| Clay | 2 | 1.2 | 17\% | 121,183 | 228,284 | -47\% | -47.0\% | 7.04 | 52.9\% | 1,455,251 | 206,712 | (683,968) | 109,557 |
| Douglas | 4 | 3.2 | 23\% | 83,778 | 144,122 | -42\% | -42.0\% | 13.83 | 60.5\% | 2,922,591 | 211,323 | (1,227,488) | 122,567 |
| Grant | 2 | 0.8 | 32\% | 90,651 | 119,185 | -24\% | -24.0\% | 2.49 | 69.8\% | 353,141 | 141,824 | (84.754) | 107.786 |
| Mahnomen | 2 | 0.7 | 62\% | 225,40 | 208,131 | 8\% | 8.0\% | 1.13 | 41.2\% | 151,123 | 133,737 | 12,090 | 144,436 |
| Otter Tall | 7 | 3.1 | 9\% | 192,954 | 165,514 | 17\% | 15.3\% | 32.74 | 72.7\% | 6,754,120 | 206.296 | 1,033,380 | 237,859 |
| Pope | 4 | 1.1 | 18\% | 211,082 | 147,642 | 43\% | 43.0\% | 6.28 | 66.0\% | 850,789 | 135,476 | 365,839 | 193,731 |
| Stevens | 2 | 0.4 | 12\% | 159,038 | 166,318 | -4\% | -4.0\% | 3.33 | 61.2\% | 460,314 | 138,232 | $(18,413)$ | 132,703 |
| Swift | 2 | 0.7 | 20\% | 124,121 | 260,290 | -52\% | -52.0\% | 3.51 | 78.0\% | 713,787 | 203,358 | $(371,169)$ | 97,612 |
| Traverse | 2 | 0.6 | 18\% | 117,159 | 154,728 | -24\% | -24.0\% | 3.34 | 65.0\% | 468,441 | 140,252 | (112,426) | 106,591 |
| Wikin | 2 | 0.6 | 15\% | 193,253 | 344,700 | -44\% | -44.0\% | 4.07 | 59.0\% | 647.347 | 159,053 | (284,833) | 89,070 |
| District 4 Totals | 37 | 14.6 | 16\% | \$138,609 | \$165,299 | -16\% |  | 89.08 | 61.5\% | \$15,966,916 | \$179,242 | (\$1,188,761) | \$165,898 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Urban <br> Grading <br> Cost <br> Factor | Adjusted <br> Urban Grading Cost Factor | Urban Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustment <br> To The 1995.25 Year Gonstruction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#, | $(\mathrm{col}, 2)$ <br> Miles | With <br> Complete Grading Needs Col 2. \% Col 8 | Average Construction Costmile | Average Needs Cost/inile |  |  | (COL, 8 ) Miles | $\%$ or Thotal Urban Miles | Total Cost | Average Cost per Mile |  | ACTUAL <br> ADJUSTED <br> NEEDS COST <br> PER MILE |
| Anoka | 5 | 3.3 | 11\% | \$415,993 | \$221,085 | 88\% | 88.0\% | 30.42 | 41.6\% | \$6,173,473 | \$202,941 | \$5,432,656 | \$381,530 |
| Carver | 3 | 1.8 | 8\% | 214,712 | 137,994 | 56\% | 44.8\% | 22.21 | 70.1\% | 3,146,112 | 141,653 | 1,409,458 | 205,113 |
| Hennepin | 27 | 22.7 | 9\% | 596,682 | 54.8,652 | 9\% | 8.1\% | 259.71 | 69.7\% | 103,998,729 | 400,442 | 8,423,897 | 432,878 |
| Scott | 8 | 7.6 | 43\% | 540,654 | 369,577 | 46\% | 46.0\% | 17.80 | 53.0\% | 4,654,340 | 261,480 | 2,140,996 | 381,760 |
| District 5 Totals | 43 | 35.4 | 11\% | \$548,387 | \$458,790 | 20\% |  | 330.14 | 64.6\% | \$117,972,654 | \$357,341 | \$17,407,007 | \$410,067 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Urban Grading Cost Factor | Urban Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustment To. The 1995. 25 Year Construction Neds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | jects. <br> (COI 2) <br> Miles | \% of System With Gomplete Grading Needs Col 2. Col 8 | Average Construction cost/Mile | Average Needs: CobtiMile |  |  | Coll 81 <br> Miles | $\%$ or Total Urban Miles | s Study <br> Total Cost | Average Cost per Nile |  | ACTUAL ADMUSTED NEEDS COST PERMILE |
| Dodge | 3 | 1.1 | 27\% | \$160,285 | \$189,916 | -16\% | -16.0\% | 4.07 | 55.9\% | \$868,674 | \$213,433 | (\$138,988) | \$179,284 |
| Fillmore | 7 | 2.2 | 16\% | 227,823 | 96,328 | 137\% | 137.0\% | 13.41 | 74.6\% | 1,494,448 | 111.443 | 2,047,394 | 264,119 |
| Freeborn | 1 | 0.5 | 7\% | 81,945 | 125,124 | -35\% | -24.5\% | 6.94 | 54.4\% | 880,528 | 126,877 | $(215,729)$ | 95,792 |
| Goodhue | 2 | 0.3 | 3\% | 145,737 | 232,750 | -37\% | -11.1\% | 9.10 | 72.5\% | 1,704,796 | 187,340 | $(189,232)$ | 166,545 |
| Houston | 3 | 1.6 | 43\% | 43,325 | 140,561 | -69\% | -69.0\% | 3.74 | 42.3\% | 467,331 | 124,955 | $(322,458)$ | 38,736 |
| Mower | 4 | 0.9 | 11\% | 91,433 | 245,430 | -63\% | -63.0\% | 8.54 | 53.9\% | 1,847,623 | 216,349 | (1,164,002) | 80,049 |
| Olmsted | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 7.42 | 53.0\% | 1,554,503 | 209,502 | 0 | 209,502 |
| Rice | 1 | 0.6 | 5\% | 176,233 | 261,030 | -32\% | -16.0\% | 11.50 | 67.4\% | 3,395,697 | 295,278 | $(543,312)$ | 248,033 |
| Steele | 2 | 1.1 | 12\% | 258,322 | 195,507 | 32\% | 32.0\% | 9.03 | 46.4\% | 1,603,461 | 177.570 | 513,108 | 234,393 |
| Wabasha | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 9.56 | 57.4\% | 2,725,851 | 285,131 | 0 | 285,131 |
| Winona | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 10.13 | 58.8\% | 2,369,059 | 233,866 | 0 | 233,866 |
| District 6 Totals | 23 | 8.3 | 9\% | \$157,080 | \$165,055 | -5\% |  | 93.44 | 58.5\% | \$18,911,971 | \$202,397 | (\$13,219) | \$202,255 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs


# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Urban <br> Grading Cost Factor | Urban Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustment To The 1995 . 25 Y ear Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | lects. <br> (CO1) 2) <br> Miles | $\%$ of System With Complete Grading Needs Cal. 2 . Col 8 | AVerage Construction Cost/Mile | Average Needs Cost/Mile |  |  | (Col 8 ) <br> Miles: | $\%$ ot Total Urban Miles | s Study <br> Total <br> Cost | Average Cost per Mile |  | ActuAL <br> ADJUSTED NEEDS COST PER MILE |
| Chippewa | 4 | 1.4 | 37\% | \$374,362 | \$269,212 | 39\% | 39.0\% | 3.81 | 77.1\% | \$1,182,196 | \$310,288 | \$461,056 | 431,300 |
| Kandlyohi | 2 | 1.4 | 7\% | 150,053 | 209,759 | -28\% | -19.6\% | 19.72 | 70.2\% | 3,850,839 | 195,276 | (754,764) | 157,002 |
| Lac Qui Parle | 1 | 0.1 | 3\% | 139,491 | 135,473 | 3\% | 0.9\% | 3.47 | 64.4\% | 805,274 | 232,067 | 7,247 | 234,156 |
| Lincoln | 3 | 1.4 | 30\% | 341,176 | 173,467 | 97\% | 97.0\% | 4.63 | 50.5\% | 520,694 | 112,461 | 505,073 | 221.548 |
| Lyon | 8 | 4.2 | 49\% | 90,467 | 245,940 | -63\% | -63.0\% | 8.56 | 58.6\% | 1,736,637 | 202,878 | (1,094,081) | 75,065 |
| Mc Leod | 4 | 1.7 | 20\% | 100,821 | 169,153 | -40\% | -40.0\% | 8.62 | 65.0\% | 1,157,750 | 134,310 | (463,100) | 80.586 |
| Meeker | 2 | 1.1 | 28\% | 58,988 | 67,696 | -13\% | -13.0\% | 3.88 | 61.2\% | 440,513 | 113,534 | (57.267) | 98,775 |
| Murray | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 5.16 | 75.3\% | 506,577 | 98,174 | 0 | 98,174 |
| Pipestone | 8 | 2.8 | 42\% | 115,143 | 121,018 | -5\% | -5.0\% | 6.66 | 57.9\% | 1,198,515 | 179,957 | (59,926) | 170,959 |
| Redwood | 2 | 0.7 | 7\% | 50,606 | 89,734 | -44\% | -30.8\% | 10.17 | 59.0\% | 2,059,520 | 202,509 | (634,332) | 140,136 |
| Renville | 5 | 1.2 | 38\% | 238,902 | 208,309 | 15\% | 15.0\% | 3.19 | 57.1\% | 453,232 | 142,079 | 67,985 | 163,391 |
| Yellow Medicine | 2 | 0.7 | 14\% | 230,933 | 121,110 | 91\% | 91.0\% | 5.01 | 58.2\% | 639,279 | 127,601 | 581,744 | 243,717 |
| District 8 Totals | 41 | 16.7 | 20\% | \$158,520 | \$183,028 | -13\% |  | 82.88 | 63.0\% | \$14,551,026 | \$175,567 | (\$1,440,365) | \$158,188 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs



## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | UrbanGrading Cost Factor | Adjusted <br> Urban Grading Cost Factor | Urban Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustmant To the 1995 25 Year Construction Needs. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects |  |  | Average Construction cost/Mile | Average <br> Needs <br> Cost/Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ACTUAL |  |
|  |  | (Col 21 <br> Milee |  |  |  |  |  | (Col 8 ) <br> Miles | $\%$ or Total Urban Mites: | Total Cost |  |  | ADJUSTED NEEDS COSST PER MILE |
| District 1 Totals | 22 | 8.8 |  | 12\% | \$294,436 | \$196,621 | 50\% |  | 75.00 | 53.0\% | \$14,914,066 |  | \$ 198,854 | \$5,944,301 | \$278,112 |
| District 2 Totals | 21 | 7.9 | 14\% | 132,399 | 136,973 | -3\% |  | 55.44 | 66.7\% | 8,556,152 | 154,332 | 380,068 | 161,187 |
| District 3 Totals | 46 | 19 | 21\% | 180,242 | 153,751 | 17\% |  | 92.52 | 54.9\% | 14,701,457 | 158,900 | 2,230,189 | 183,005 |
| District 4 Totals | 37 | 14.6 | 16\% | 138,609 | 165,299 | -16\% |  | 89.08 | 61.5\% | 15,966,916 | 179,242 | (1,188,761) | 165,898 |
| District 5 Totals | 43 | 35.4 | 11\% | 548,387 | 458,790 | 20\% |  | 330.14 | 64.6\% | 117,972,654 | 357,341 | 17,407,007 | 410,067 |
| District 6 Totals | 23 | 8.3 | 9\% | 157,080 | 165,055 | -5\% |  | 93.44 | 58.5\% | 18,911,971 | 202,397 | (13,219 | 202,255 |
| District 7 Totals | 46 | 24.1 | 25\% | 124,361 | 125,911 | -1\% |  | 94.96 | 57.6\% | 16,035,525 | 168,866 | (362,582) | 165,048 |
| Dlstrict 8 Totals | 41 | 16.7 | 20\% | 158,520 | 183,028 | -13\% |  | 82.88 | 63.0\% | 14,551,026 | 175,567 | (1,440,365 | 158,188 |
| District 9 Totals | 45 | 38.8 | 15\% | 416,067 | 318,248 | 31\% |  | 259.20 | 61.4\% | 79,493,319 | 306,687 | 28,661,940 | 417,266 |
| STATE TOTAL | 324 | 173.6 | 15\% | \$296,843 | \$254,350 | 17\% |  | 1,172.66 | 60.8\% | \$301,103,086 | \$256,769 | \$51,618,578 | \$300,788 |

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA 

OCTOBER, 1995

## Needs Adjustments for Variances Granted on CSAHs

Pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 162.07, subdivision 2: "any variance granted .... shall be reflected in the estimated costs in determining needs."

The adjustments shown below are for those variances granted for which projects have been awarded prior to May 1, 1995 and for which no adjustments have been previously made. These adjustments were computed using guidelines established by the Variance Subcommittee and were approved at the June 14-15, 1995 Screening Board meeting.

| County | Project | Variance From | 1995 Needs <br> Adjustments | Approx. 1996 Apport. <br> Loss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASS | 11-617-04 | Design Speed | \$ 200,032 | \$ 4,357 |
| DODGE | 20-609-20 | Shldr + Bridge Widths | 176,610 | 3,846 |
| KOOCHICHING | 36-602-09 | Design Speed | 29,520 | 643 |
| MOWER | 50-707-02 | Roadway Width | 7,850 | 171 |
| RED LAKE | 63-618-08 | Design Speed | 43,610 | 950 |
| RENVILLE | 65-608-09 | Design Speed | 45,450 | 990 |
| STEELE | 74-612-22 | Design Speed | 21,510 | 468 |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$ 524,582 | \$ 11,425 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA

## OCTOBER, 1995

## Bond Account Adiustments

To compensate for unpaid County State Aid Highway bond obligations that are not reflected in the County State Aid Highway Needs Studies, the County Engineers Screening Board passed a resolution which provides that a separate annual adjustment shall be made to the total money needs of a county that has sold and issued bonds pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 162.181, for use on State Aid projects, except bituminous overlay or concrete joint repair projects. This Bond Account Adjustment, which covers the amortization period, and which annually reflects the net unamortized bonded debt, shall be accomplished by adding the adjustment to the $\mathbf{2 5}$-year construction need of the county.

The Bond Account Adjustment consists of the total Bond dollars of projects applied minus the Bond principal paid as of December 31st of the previous year. Since overlay construction does not reduce needs, Bond dollars used for those type of projects would not be used to compute the Bond Account Adjustment.

STATE AID BOND RECORD AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1994

| County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Issue } \end{aligned}$ | Amount of Issue | Total s/s Applied to Projects | Principal Paid to Date | \$s Applied Less Principal Paid | Total Querlay Projects Applied | Bond <br> Account <br> Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Koochiching | 12-15-90 | \$3,500,000 | \$3,500,000 | \$1,300,000 | \$2,200,000 | \$0 | \$2,200,000 |
| District 1 Totals |  | 3,500,000 | 3,500,000 | 1,300,000 | 2,200,000 | 0 | 2,200,000 |
| Beltrami | 11-01-93 | 915,000 | 900,000 | 310,000 | 590,000 |  | 590,000 |
| Kittson | 10-01-87 | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kittson | 10-01-90 | 1,225,000 | 1,225,000 | 820,000 | 405,000 | 1,225,000 | 0 |
| Lake of the Woods | 06-01-91 | 1,500,000 | 1,377,300 | 750,000 | 627,300 | 213,810 | 413,490 |
| Marshall | 03-01-90 | 1,325,000 | 1,325,000 | 1,325,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marshall | 06-13-94 | 1,600,000 | 422,530 | 230,000 | 192,530 | 0 | 192,530 |
| Polk | 06-01-91 | 3,500,000 | 3,500,000 | 2,300,000 | 1,200,000 | 0 | 1,200,000 |
| Red Lake | 12-01-89 | 950,000 | 950,000 | 720,000 | 230,000 | 0 | 230,000 |
| Red Lake | 05-24-93 | 1,445,000 | 1,330,920 | 0 | 1,330,920 | 100,000 | 1,230,920 |
| District 2 Totals |  | 13,660,000 | 12,230,750 | 7,655,000 | 4,575,750 | 1,538,810 | 3,856,940 |


| County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Issue } \end{aligned}$ | Amount $\begin{aligned} & \text { of } \\ & \text { Issue }\end{aligned}$ | Total \$s Applied to Projects | Principal Paid to Date | S's Applied Less Principal Paid | Total Overlay Projects Applied | Bond Account Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Becker | 01-01-93 | \$405,000 | \$400,000 | \$405,000 | $(\$ 5,000)$ | \$0 | $(\$ 5,000)$ |
| Mahnomen | 08-01-93 | 855,000 | 713,824 | 375,000 | 338,824 | 554,014 | 0 |
| Otter Tail | 06-01-86 | 7,735,000 | 7,735,000 | 5,060,000 | 2,675,000 | 348,316 | 2,326,684 |
| District 4 Totals |  | 8,995,000 | 8,848,824 | 5,840,000 | 3,008,824 | 902,330 | 2,321,684 |
| Dodge | 03-01-84 | 1,700,000 | 1,540,000 | 1,700,000 | $(160,000)$ | 0 | $(160,000)$ |
| Steele | 05-01-83 | 1,400,000 | 1,370,388 | 1,400,000 | $(29,612)$ | 15,740 | $(29,612)$ |
| District 6 Totals |  | 3,100,000 | 2,910,388 | 3,100,000 | $(189,612)$ | 15,740 | $(189,612)$ |
| Le Sueur | 07-16-91 | 1,945,000 | 1,945,000 | 455,000 | 1,490,000 | 0 | 1,490,000 |
| Nicollet | 06-01-94 | 2,000,000 | 755,734 | 0 | 755,734 | 125,112 | 630,622 |
| Waseca | 09-01-91 | 2,580,000 | 2,580,000 | 1,110,000 | 1,470,000 | 0 | 1,470,000 |
| District 7 Totals |  | 6,525,000 | 5,280,734 | 1,565,000 | 3,715,734 | 125,112 | 3,590,622 |
| Kandiyohi | 07-01-86 | 1,280,000 | 1,280,000 | 1,280,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow Medicine | 08-01-86 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 755,000 | 1,945,000 | 0 | 1,945,000 |
| District 8 Totals |  | 3,980,000 | 3,980,000 | 2,035,000 | 1,945,000 | 0 | 1,945,000 |
| STATE TOTALS |  | \$39,760,000 | \$36,750,696 | \$21,495,000 | \$15,255,696 | \$2,581,992 | \$13,724,634 |
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## "After the Fact" Right of Way Needs

At your June, 1984 meeting, the following resolution dealing with Right-of-Way needs was adopted:

That needs for Right of Way on County State Aid Highways shall be earned for a period of 25 years after the purchase has been made and shall be comprised of actual monies paid to property owners with Local or State Aid funds. Only Those Right of Way costs actually incurred will be eligible. It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to submit justification to the District State Aid Engineer. His approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1.

The Board directed that R/W needs to be included should begin with that purchased in 1978.

Pursuant to this resolution, the following R/W needs will be added to each county's 1995 25-year needs and are shown on the TENTATIVE 1996 Money Needs Apportionment Form.

| county | After the Fact RMW Needs |  | After the Fact RMW Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | \$308,777 | Aitkin | \$749,439 |
| Cook | 290,821 | Benton | 709,863 |
| Itasca | 146,107 | Cass | 1,023,475 |
| Koochiching | 614,101 | Crow Wing | 549,010 |
| Lake | 509,649 | Isanti | 427,999 |
| Pine | 372,284 | Kanabec | 362,375 |
| St. Louis | 3,671,781 | Mille Lacs | 297,840 |
| District 1 Totals | 5,913,520 | Morrison | 177,325 |
|  |  | Sherburne | 458,486 |
| Beltrami | 878,784 | Stearns | 502,303 |
| Clearwater | 286,605 | Todd | 76,396 |
| Hubbard | 789,632 | Wadena | 159,011 |
| Kittson | 714,192 | Wright | 1,294,631 |
| Lake of the Woods | 79,289 | District 3 Totals | 6,788,153 |
| Marshall | 1,051,190 |  |  |
| Norman | 160,399 |  |  |
| Pennington | 135,585 |  |  |
| Polk | 1,772,600 |  |  |
| Red Lake | 237,832 |  |  |
| Roseau | 423,615 |  |  |
| District 2 Totals | 6,529,723 |  |  |

"After the Fact" Right of Way Needs

| County | After the Fact RMW Needs | County | After the Fact B/W Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Becker | \$478,586 | Blue Earth | \$1,538,453 |
| Big Stone | 194,537 | Brown | 531,466 |
| Clay | 704,769 | Cottonwood | 474,770 |
| Douglas | 451,706 | Faribault | 673,646 |
| Grant | 48,142 | Jackson | 384,633 |
| Mahnomen | 0 | Le Sueur | 620,537 |
| Otter Tail | 420,862 | Martin | 370,481 |
| Pope | 338,295 | Nicollet | 676,096 |
| Stevens | 125,901 | Nobles | 278,742 |
| Swift | 364,608 | Rock | 363,229 |
| Traverse | 160,653 | Sibley | 353,713 |
| Wilkin | 472,125 | Waseca | 241,980 |
| District 4 Totals | 3,760,184 | Watonwan | 425,153 |
|  |  | District 7 Totals | 6,932,899 |
| Anoka | 7,235,245 |  |  |
| Carver | 681,848 | Chippewa | 148,605 |
| Hennepin | 30,294,182 | Kandiyohi | 784,997 |
| Scott | 2,763,935 | Lac Qui Parle | 552,631 |
| District 5 Totals | 40,975,210 | Lincoln | 445,670 |
|  |  | Lyon | 609,133 |
| Dodge | 137.518 | Mc Leod | 1,645,632 |
| Fillmore | 632,566 | Meeker | 398,199 |
| Freeborn | 177,761 | Murray | 125,295 |
| Goodhue | 1,442,721 | Pipestone | 269,198 |
| Houston | 83,385 | Redwood | 585,789 |
| Mower | 187,423 | Renville | 182,190 |
| Olmsted | 3,551,456 | Yellow Medicine | 244,259 |
| Rice | 239,655 | District 8 Totals | 5,991,598 |
| Steele | 87,793 |  |  |
| Wabasha | 617,641 | Chisago | 355,943 |
| Winona | 235,770 | Dakota | 10,441,935 |
| District 6 Totals | 7,393,689 | Ramsey | 4,285,645 |
|  |  | Washington | 2,632,605 |
|  |  | District 9 Totals | 17,716,128 |
|  |  | STATE TOTALS | \$102,001,104 |
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## Miscellaneous "After the Fact" Needs

In 1984, the Screening Board adopted the following resolution dealing with miscellaneous "After the Fact" Needs.

That needs for Traffic Signals, Lighting, Retaining Walls, and Sidewalk las eligible for State Aid participation) on County State Aid Highways shall be earned for a period of 25 years after the construction has been completed and shall consist of only those construction costs actually incurred by the county. It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to justify any costs incurred and to report said costs to the District State Aid Engineer. His approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1.

The Board directed that the initial inclusion of these type items begin with construction costs as of January 1, 1984. Pursuant to the resolution above, the following "After the Fact" needs have been added to each county's 1995 25-year needs.

| County | Traffic Signals | Ughting | Retaining Walls | Sidevalk | Wetland Mitigation | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

District 1

| Cook | \$6,976 | --- | --- | \$16,161 | --- | \$23,137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pine | 58,386 | \$9,112 | --- | 14,612 | --- | 82,110 |
| St. Louis | 11,300 | 62,500 | --- | --- | --- | 73,800 |

District 2

| Beltrami | -- | -- | -- | 775 | -- | 775 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Clearwater | --- | -- | -- | 27,041 | 27,041 |  |
| Hubbard | --- | -- | -- | 18,213 | 18,213 |  |
| Marshall | --- | -- | 18,732 | 18,732 |  |  |
| Polk | ---- | -- | 22,975 |  |  |  |

District 3

| Aitkin | -- | --- | --- | -- | 7,534 | $\mathbf{7 , 5 3 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Benton | 15,150 | --- | -- | 15,150 |  |  |
| Mille Lacs | 70,653 | -- | 16,473 | 42,402 | 44,417 | 173,945 |
| Stearns | 6756 | --- | --- | 6,756 |  |  |
| Todd | 16745 | --- | -- | -- | 16,745 |  |

District 4
Swift --- 20,054 --- $35,904 \quad$---

## District 5

| Anoka |  | $1,443,670$ | 53,007 | 147,154 | 80,304 | 922,907 | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 7 , 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Carver |  | 29,945 | -- | - | - | - | 29,945 |
| Hennepin |  | $6,087,343$ | $1,585,290$ | $1,905,531$ | 835,723 | 39,636 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 5 3 , 5 2 3}$ |
| Scott | 601,858 | 41,500 | 61,113 | 4,523 | --- | $\mathbf{7 0 8 , 9 9 4}$ |  |


| County | Traffic Signals | Lighting | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Retaining } \\ & \text { Walls } \end{aligned}$ | Sidewalk | Wetland Mitigation | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olmsted | \$398,916 | --- | \$90,033 | --- | --- | \$488,949 |
| Wabasha | --- | --- | 57,971 | \$43,808 | --- | 101,779 |
| District 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Earth | --- | --- | 9,942 | --- | --- | 9,942 |
| Faribault | 90,743 |  |  | 3,386 |  | 94,129 |
| Le Sueur | --- | --- | 3,794 | --- | --- | 3,794 |
| Watonwan | 1,626 | --- | --- | 71,696 | --- | 73,322 |
| District 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kandiyohi | --- | --- | --- | ---- | 39,348 | 39,348 |
| Lyon | --- | --- | --- | 48,445 | --- | 48,445 |
| McLeod | --- | --- | 40,294 | 16,400 | --- | 56,694 |
| Pipestone | --- | 216 | 3,150 | 6,176 | --- | 9,542 |
| District 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chisago | --- | --- | 4,599 | 32,093 | --- | 36,692 |
| Dakota | 2,701,810 | --- | 515,667 | 62,437 | --- | 3,279,914 |
| Ramsey | 1,810,154 | 23,552 | 599,999 | 93,237 | 391,223 | 2,918,165 |
| Washingto | 1,061,663 | 720 | 58,336 | 207,022 | 61,842 | 1,389,583 |
| TOTAL | \$14,413,694 | \$1,795,951 | \$3,514,056 | \$1,638,079 | \$1,570,893 | \$22,932,673 |
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"After The Fact" Bridge Deck Rehabilitation Needs
The resolution below dealing with bridge deck rehabilitation was originally adopted in 1882 by the County Screening Board.

> That needs for bridge deck rehabilitation shall be earned for a period of 15 years after the construction has been completed and shall consist of only those construction costs actually incurred by the County. It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to justify any costs incurred and to report said costs to the District State Aid Engineer. His approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1 .

Pursuant to this resolution, the following counties have reported and justified bridge deck rehabilitation costs in the amounts and for the years indicated. These adjustments are shown on the TENTATIVE 1996 Money Needs Apportionment Form.

|  | Letting Date | \# of profect | Reqular Eligible. After the Fact" Bridge Deck | Municipal Eligible \# Atter the Fact" Bridge Deck | Total Bridge Beck | Added to the Needs for these Apport. Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Brilige Deck Rehab. Needs |  |

District 2

| Polk | 1988 |
| :--- | :--- |

District 3
Todd 1985

District 4
Wilkin 1987

District 5

| Hennepin | 1983 | 1 | 189,856 |  | 189,856 | 1985-1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hennepin | 1984 | 4 | 361,808 | 123,842 | 485,650 | 1986-2000 |
| Hennepin | 1985 | 2 | 110,423 |  | 110,423 | 1987-2001 |
| Hennepin | 1989 | 2 | 348,771 |  | 348,771 | 1991-2005 |
| Hennepin | 1994 | 1 | 45,520 |  | 45,520 | 1996-2010 |
| District 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olmsted | 1993 | 1 | 52,831 |  | 52,831 | 1995-2009 |
| District 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackson | 1982 | 1 |  | 5,646 | 5,646 | 1984-1998 |
| District 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mc Leod | 1983 | 1 | 18,800 |  | 18,800 | 1985-1999 |
| District 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chisago | 1986 | 1 | 27,200 |  | 27,200 | 1988-2002 |
| Ramsey | 1988 | 2 | 201,073 |  | 201,073 | 1990-2004 |
| Washington | 1984 | 1 | 54,841 |  | 54,841 | 1986-2000 |
| 50tāte Total |  | 3 | \$1,627,324 | \$167,219 | \$1,794,543 | 1996 Apport |
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October, 1995<br>NEEDS ADJUSTMENT FOR "CREDIT FOR LOCAL EFFORT"

The resolution below dealing with "Credit for Local Effort" was adopted in October 1989 by the County Screening Board.

That annually a needs adjustment for local effort for construction items which reduce State Aid needs shall be made to the CSAH 25 year construction needs.
The adjustment (credit for local effort) shall be the local (not State Aid or Federal Aid) dollars spent on State Aid Construction Projects for items eligible for State Aid participation. This adjustment shall be annually added to the 25 year County State Aid Highway construction needs of the county involved for a period of ten years.
It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to submit this data to their District State Aid Engineer. His submittal and approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1.

Pursuant to this resolution, the following counties have reported and justified "credit for local effort" in the amounts indicated. These adjustments are shown on the 1995 Money Needs Apportionment form.

| Dist | County | Regular <br> Account <br> Adjustment | Municipal <br> Account <br> Adjustment | Total <br> Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Carlton | \$21,550 | --- | \$21,550 |
| 2 | Polk | 4,376,847 | --- | \$4,376,847 |
| 5 | Anoka | 3,720,762 | --- | \$3,720,762 |
|  | Carver | 3,068,446 | --- | \$3,068,446 |
|  | Scott | 66,060 | --- | \$66,060 |
| 6 | Goodhue | 3,313,336 | --- | \$3,313,336 |
|  | Olmsted | 2,316,048 | --- | \$2,316,048 |
| 7 | Blue Earth | 801,277 | --- | \$801,277 |
|  | Brown | 533,246 | --- | \$533,246 |
|  | Faribault | 357,323 | 34,377 | \$391,700 |
|  | Martin | 280,303 | --- | \$280,303 |
|  | Nicollet | 248,689 | --- | \$248,689 |
|  | Waseca | 116,421 | --- | \$116,421 |
| 8 | Kandiyohi | 1,690,334 | --- | \$1,690,334 |
|  | Mcleod | 461,794 | 214,710 | \$676,504 |
|  | Yellow Medicine | 321,624 | 14,416 | \$336,040 |
| 9 | Dakota | 711,441 | --- | \$711,441 |
|  | Ramsey | 453,038 | --- | \$453,038 |
|  | Washington | 1,024,534 | --- | \$1,024,534 |
| State Total |  | 23,883,073 | \$263,503 | \$24,146,5\% ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
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Non Existing CSAH Needs Adjustment

In 1992 the following resolution dealing with non-existing County State Aid Highway designations was adopted.

That all counties which have non-existing CSAH designations, that have drawn needs for 10 years or more, have until December 1, 1992 to either remove them from their CSAH system or to let a contract for the construction of the roadway, or incorporate the route in a transportation plan adopted by the County and approved by the District State Aid Engineer. After that date, any non-existing CSAH designation not a part of a transportation plan adopted by the County and approved by the District State Aid Engineer will have the "Needs" removed from the 25 year CSAH Needs Study after 10 years. Approved non-existing CSAH designations shall draw "Needs" up to a maximum of 25 years or until constructed.

The following segments are covered by this resolution and the corresponding needs will be subtracted from the 199525 year needs, as shown on the TENTATIVE 1996 Money Needs Apportionment Form.

| County | CSAH | Miles | Termini | Year Desig. | Needs Deduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COOK | 18 | 3.90 | Co Rd 57 to 3.4 M W of CSAH 8 | 1962 | \$746,903 |
| ITASCA | 83 | 0.70 | 1.5 M E of TH 169 to TH 65 | 1976 | 497.682 |
| DISTRICT 1 |  | 4.6 |  |  | 1,244,585 |
| KANABEC | 9 | 0.70 | Co Rd 51 to 0.7 M N | 1958 | 107,825 |
| DISTRICT 3 |  | 0.7 |  |  | 107,825 |
| HENNEPIN | 17 | 0.53 | CSAH 3 to th7 in St. Louis Park | 1958 | 1,095,045 |
| HENNEPIN | 17 | 0.57 | CSAH 16 to FAI 394 in Golden Valley | 1958 | 1,646,560 |
| HENNEPIN | 61 | 0.25 | CSAH 5 to CSAH 16 in Minnetonka | 1966 | 523,266 |
| HENNEPIN | 61 | 0.60 | CSAH 10 to Hemlock Lane in Maple Grove | 1973 | 919,025 |
| HENNEPIN | 130 | 0.49 | Pineview Lane to Hemlock Lane in Maple Grove | 1970 | 506,157 |
| SCOTT | 27 | 0.92 | CSAH 16 to TH 13 | 1979 | 454,014 |
| DISTRICT 5 |  | 3.36 |  |  | 5.144,067 |
| OLMSTED | 22 | 1.47 | TH 14 to CSAH 4 | 1969 | 1,560,830 |
| DISTRICT 6 |  | 1.47 |  |  | 1.560 .830 |
| __RAMSEY | 41 | 0.52 | Brown Ave to Concord in St. Paul | 1958 | 392,671 |
| DISTRICT 9 |  | 0.52 |  |  | 392,671 |
| STATE TOTAL |  | 10.65 |  |  | \$8,449,978 |
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## Mill Levy Deductions

Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 162.07, Subdivision 3 and 4 requires that a two-mill levy on each rural county, and a one and two-tenths mill levy on each urban county be computed and subtracted from such county's total estimated construction cost.

The 1971 Legislature amended Laws pertaining to taxation and assessment of property valuations. Previously, the term "full and true" (1/3 of market value) was interpreted to mean Taxable Value. The 1971 Legislature deleted the term "full and true" and inserted "market" value where applicable. Also, all adjustments made to market value to arrive at the full and true value were negated. The result of this change in legislation was an increase in Taxable Value by approximately 300\%.

To obviate any conflict, the 1971 Legislature enacted the following:

> Chapter 273.1102 RATE OF TAXATION, TERMINOLOGY OF LAWS OF
> CHARTERS. The rate of taxation by any political subdivision or of the public corporation for any purpose for which any law or charter now provides a maximum tax rate expressed in mills times the assessed value times the full and true value of taxable property (except any value determined by the state equalization aid review committee) shall not exceed 33 1/3 percent of such maximum tax rate until and unless such law or charter is amended to provide a different maximum tax rate. (1971 C 427 S 24)

We have therefore, reduced the mill rate by the required $331 / 3 \%$ to equal a 0.6667 mill levy for rural counties and a 0.4000 mill levy of urban counties.

> THE 1985 LEGISLATURE REVISED THE DEFINITION OF URBAN COUNTIES FROM those having a population of 200,000 OR mORE TO those having a POPULATION OF 175,000 OR MORE. THIS LEGISLATION GIVES URBAN COUNTY STATUS TO ANOKA AND DAKOTA COUNTIES IN ADDITION TO HENNEPIV, RAMSEY AND ST. LOUIS WHICH WERE CONSIDERED URBAN COUNTIES PRIOR TO 1985.

Action at the 1989 Legislative session resulted in the elimination of references to "Mill Rates". In order to continue the Mill Levy Deduction procedure the Legislature enacted the following:

Chapter 277, Article 4 MILL RATE Conversions, Section 12 \& 13 converts Mill Rate Levy limits based on the old assessed value system to an equivalent percentage of taxable market value limit in order to conform with the new tax capacity system. (Rural counties $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 1 5 9 6 \%}$, Urban counties $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 9 6 7 \%}$ )

The following listed figures comply with the above requirements of computation.

| County | County Total Real \& Personal Market Value Tlaxes Payable 1995) | Mill Levy <br> Deduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | 738,170,700 | \$117,812 |
| Cook | 310,487,500 | 49,554 |
| Itasca | 1,513,418,117 | 241,542 |
| Koochiching | 394,278,000 | 62,927 |
| Lake | 300,771,950 | 48,003 |
| Pine | 668,169,800 | 106,640 |
| St. Louis* | 4,806,552,900 | 464,794 |
| District 1 Totals | 8,731,848,967 | 1,091,272 |
| Beltrami | 728,825,600 | 116,321 |
| Clearwater | 242,321,300 | 38,674 |
| Hubbard | 645,914,500 | 103,088 |
| Kittson | 384,973,900 | 61,442 |
| Lake of the Woods | 134,674,900 | 21,494 |
| Marshall | 538,536,200 | 85,950 |
| Norman | 426,519,800 | 68,073 |
| Pennington | 292,682,300 | 46,712 |
| Polk | 1,256,063,300 | 200,468 |
| Red Lake | 146,470,010 | 23,377 |
| Roseau | 440,811,400 | 70,353 |
| District 2 Totals | 5,237,793,210 | 835,952 |
| Aitkin | 585,817,500 | 93,496 |
| Benton | 836,610,800 | 133,523 |
| Cass | 1,201,619,100 | 191,778 |
| Crow Wing | 2,154,326,149 | 343,830 |
| Isanti | 773,940,300 | 123,521 |
| Kanabec | 323,886,600 | 51,692 |
| Mille Lacs | 501,121,000 | 79,979 |
| Morrison | 833,128,800 | 132,967 |
| Sherburne | 2,177,438,036 | 347.519 |
| Stearns | 3,434,030,700 | 548,071 |
| Todd | 515,555,200 | 82,283 |
| Wadena | 253,813,000 | 40,509 |
| Wright | 2,726,544,750 | 435,157 |
| District 3 Totals | 16,317,831,935 | 2,604,325 |
| Becker | 911,578,600 | 145,488 |
| Big Stone | 236,229,500 | 37,702 |
| Clay | 1,354,194,000 | 216,129 |
| Douglas | 1,071,512,600 | 171,013 |
| Grant | 319,886,900 | 51,054 |
| Mahnomen | 164,388,500 | 26,236 |
| Otter Tail | 1,756,213,900 | 280,292 |
| Pope | 386,241,000 | 61,644 |
| Stevens | 356,893,200 | 56,960 |
| Swift | 417,820,200 | 66,684 |
| Traverse | 305,187,700 | 48,708 |
| Wilkin | 396,762,500 | 63,323 |
| District 4 Totals | \$7,676,908,600 | \$1,225,233 |
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416,889,600
262,235,500
898,862,100
1,008,662,700
699,578,500
550,028,200
359,104,700
874,241,600
996,631,500
532,362,000
8,546,289,600
1,114,425,100
12,511,139,900
16,352,470,750
6,838,891,700
36,816,927,450
169,795,668,959

381,169
4,583,632
413,375
6,201,620
98,043
103,795
180,468
334,118
83,525
183,854
628,634
240,966
175,001
107,725
199,417
2,335,546
292,794
156,973
98,372
131,439
120,571
128,655
167,241
154,495
135,678
73,169
98,080
111,672
76,886
$1,746,025$
88,890
221,962 66,536
41,853
143,458
160,983
111,653
87,785
57,313
139,529
159,062
84,965
1,363,989
177,862
1,209,827
1,581,284
1,091,487
4,060,460
\$21,464,422

```
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
```


## TENTATIVE

## APPORTIONMENT

DATA

```
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
```


# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

Development of the Tentative 1996

## C.S.A.H. Money Needs Apportionment

This chart was prepared in order to determine an annual money needs figure for each county. These figures, along with each county's mileage, must be presented to the Commissioner on or before November 1, for his use in apportioning the 1996 County State Aid Highway Fund. This tabulation also indicates a TENTATIVE 1996 money needs apportionment figure for each county based on an estimated apportionment sum.

The Trunk Highway Turnback Adjustment column is the same as was used for the 1995 money needs apportionment determination because more current data was not available at the time the chart was printed. Current data will be used for the final 1996 Apportionment.

Minor adjustments must be made for any turnback activity in 1995 and possibly for any action taken by this Board.

October 26, 1995
James N. Denn
Minnesota Department of Transportation
Room 411, Transportation Building
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

## Dear Commissioner Denn:

We, the undersigned, as members of the 1995 County Screening Board, having reviewed all information available in relation to the mileage and money needs of the County State Aid Highway System, do hereby submit our findings on the attached sheets.

In making this recommendation, we have considered the needs impact resulting from changes in unit costs and construction accomplishments. After determining the annual needs, adjustments as required by law and Screening Board Resolutions were made to arrive at the money needs as listed. Due to turnback activity in 1995, possible update of traffic counted in 1993 and 1994 and any action taken by this Screening Board, adjustments to the mileage and money needs may be necessary before January 1, 1996.

This Board, therefore, recommends that the mileage and money needs as listed be modified as required and used as the basis for apportioning to the counties the 1996 Apportionment Sum as provided in Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 162.07, Subdivision 5.

Respectfully submitted,

Dave Olsonawski, Secretary
County Screening Board
APPROVED

Doug Grindall, District 1

Russ Larson, District 2

Steve Backowski, District 3

Dale Wegner, District 4

Craig Falkum, District 6

Al Forsberg, District 7

Gordon Regenscheid (Chairman), District 8

Don Wisniewski, District 9

Jon Olson, District 5

## DEVELOPMENT OF THE TENTATIVE 1996 MONEY NEEDS APPORTIONMENT

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline coun \&  \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { comening } \\
\text { Restrictict. }
\end{gathered}
\] \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { saovo } \begin{array}{c}
\text { scou T } \\
\text { ADJust. }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { SPECIAL } \\
\text { RESURFACING } \\
\text { ADJUST. }
\end{gathered}
\] \&  \&  \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { VARIA } \\
\& \text { ADJU }
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \& \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { ANNU } \\
\& \text { MON } \\
\& \text { NEED }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \&  \& \& \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { AD.jus. } \\
\text { MiNoum }
\end{gathered}
\] \&  \&  \& TENTATIVE
1996 MONEY \& ANNUAL \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Carlton } \\
\& \text { Cook } \\
\& \text { Itasca } \\
\& \text { Koochiching } \\
\& \text { Lake } \\
\& \text { Pine } \\
\& \text { St. Louis } \\
\& \text { District } 1 \text { Totals }
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \& \&  \&  \& \((\$ 428,014)\)
193,095
413,485
\(1,210,139\)
0
265,689
\(4,289,907\)
\(5,944,301\) \& \((\$ 430,789)\)
\((176,799)\)
0
0
\((2,589,331)\)
0
0
\((3,196,919)\) \& \begin{tabular}{r} 
80 \\
0 \\
\(2,200,000\) \\
\(\vdots\) \\
0 \\
\(2,20,000\) \\
\\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \((\$ 1,140,835)\)
\((717,473)\)
\((2,248,989)\)
\((1,487,511)\)
\((565,019)\)
\((1,082,583)\)
\((2,933,930)\)
\((10,176,340)\) \& \& \&  \& \((29,520)\) \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
12,221,055 \\
1,735,889 \\
5,165,079 \\
1,464,587 \\
2,995,893 \\
4,688,919 \\
16,179,718 \\
34,451,140 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \&  \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 1,291,907 \\
1,009,703 \\
3,004,339 \\
851,896 \\
1,742,602 \\
2,727,374 \\
9,411,153 \\
20,038,974 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \(\underbrace{\substack{3.003}}_{\text {liche }}\) \&  \& 8893,483
893,483 \&  \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\hline(\$ 26,454) \\
(20,517) \\
(61,047) \\
\\
(35,409) \\
(55,419) \\
(191,291) \\
(390,137) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \&  \&  \\
\hline  \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \begin{tabular}{|c} 
\\
\(\begin{array}{c}3201,689 \\
201.889\end{array}\) \\
20
\end{tabular} \&  \& \[
\begin{gathered}
7757_{1}^{27+41} \\
18,213
\end{gathered}{ }_{18,72}^{18,32}
\] \&  \& \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \&  \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
38,223 \\
193,265
\end{array}
\]
\[
828,892
\] \&  \&  \&  \&  \\
\hline  \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \&  \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
7,534 \\
15,150 \\
73,945 \\
\\
6,756 \\
16,745
\end{array}
\] \& (200,032)

(200.032) \& \& $107,825)$

$1107.825)$ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& ${ }_{2,813}^{7.587}$ \&  \& 43,816
103,900
147.716 \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>

\hline  \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& 31 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
451,106 \\
48,142 \\
0 \\
420,862 \\
338,295 \\
125,901 \\
364,608 \\
160,653 \\
472,125 \\
3,760,184 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \& \& \& \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& 48,026 \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
128,129 \\
217,443 \\
7,821 \\
35,834 \\
559,852 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | 1.226217 0.910240 |
| :--- |
| 2.392713 |
| 0.847233 |
| 0.709568 | \&  \&  \&  <br>

\hline  \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \&  \& \&  \&  \& \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \& $1,688,100$
$15,022,722$ \& \& 8.156166
1.411613 \&  \&  \&  <br>

\hline | $\substack{\text { Podge } \\ \text { Fulmore }}$ |
| :--- |
| Freeborn |
| Houston |
| Mower Olmsted |
| Rice Steele |
| Wabash |
| District 6 Totals | \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& 52,831 \&  \&  \&  \& | 2,316,048 |
| :--- |
| 5,629,384 | \& (1,560.830) \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \[

7,467
\] \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>

\hline  \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
\circ \\
\circ \\
0 \\
1,490,000 \\
1630,62 \\
\hline \\
0 \\
1,470,000
\end{array}
$$ \&  \& 5.446

5.446 \&  \& 181,187 \& \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>
\hline  \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \& $\circ$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$1,945.000$
1.9450 \&  \& 18,800
18.800 \&  \&  \& \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>
\hline  \&  \& \&  \&  \& $26,686,214$
$1,171,046$
$28,661,940$ \& $(1881,677)$
$(816,303)$
0

$1,497,980)$ \&  \& \&  \&  \&  \& \& 1,024,534 \& \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& $$
\underset{\substack{92,995 \\ 1,991}}{\substack{0}}
$$

$$
94,356
$$ \&  \& \&  \& $(99,963)$

$(38,088)$ (216,209) \&  \&  <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## 1995 COUNTY STATE AID HIGHWAY NEEDS STUDY

TABULATION OF THE COUNTY STATE AID HIGHWAY MILEAGE AND MONEY NEEDS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE COUNTY ENGINEERS' SCREENING BOARD FOR USE BY THE COMMISSIONER OF TRANSPORTATION IN APPORTIONING THE 1996 C.S.A.H. FUND

| County | County State Ald Highway Mileage | Annual County State Ald Highway, Money Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | 293.42 | \$2,189,172 |
| Cook | 178.80 | 1,697,812 |
| Itasca | 647.39 | 5,051,787 |
| Koochiching | 248.19 | 2,995,721 |
| Lake | 224.43 | 2,930,180 |
| Pine | 472.77 | 4,586,071 |
| St. Louis | 1,371.59 | 15,829,876 |
| District 1 Totals | 3,436.59 | 35,280,619 |
| Beltrami | 466.45 | 2,742,064 |
| Clearwater | 326.48 | 1,250,965 |
| Hubbard | 324.52 | 1,506,345 |
| Kittson | 373.46 | 1,702,306 |
| Lake of the Woods | 194.81 | 1,844,009 |
| Marshall | 638.08 | 2,377,551 |
| Norman | 391.31 | 1,409,187 |
| Pennington | 260.26 | 777,416 |
| Polk | 806.23 | 3,967,938 |
| Red Lake | 185.43 | 1,182,617 |
| Roseau | 481.82 | 1,782,613 |
| District 2 Totals | 4,448.85 | 20,543,011 |


| Aitkin | 374.83 | $1,997,297$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Benton | 224.16 | $1,118,473$ |
| Cass | 531.85 | $2,808,693$ |
| Crow Wing | 371.04 | $1,422,260$ |
| Isanti | 228.44 | $1,443,003$ |
| Kanabec | 212.30 | 979,973 |
| Mille Lacs | 254.86 | $1,936,168$ |
| Morrison | 444.58 | $1,939,045$ |
| Sherburne | 215.59 | 631,610 |
| Stearns | 603.76 | $4,015,384$ |
| Todd | 412.46 | $1,739,846$ |
| Wadena | 226.92 | $1,247,628$ |
| Wright | 402.35 | $4,045,515$ |
| District 3 Totals | $4,503.14$ | $25,324,895$ |


| Becker | 466.36 | $1,814,664$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Big Stone | 208.36 | $1,066,281$ |
| Clay | 400.78 | $2,465,733$ |
| Douglas | 384.94 | $1,830,352$ |
| Grant | 228.65 | 973,024 |
| Mahnomen | 194.81 | $1,146,541$ |
| Otter Tail | 916.97 | $4,811,374$ |
| Pope | 298.33 | $1,703,655$ |
| Stevens | 243.91 | 881,569 |
| Swift | 329.46 | $1,426,831$ |
| Traverse | 245.42 | 920,046 |
| Wilkin | 312.26 | $1,386,364$ |
| Oistrict 4 Totals | $4,230.25$ | $20,426,434$ |


| County | County State Aid Highway Mileage | Annual County State Aid Highway Money Needs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 252.66 | \$3,807,073 |
| Carver | 207.91 | 2,214,272 |
| Hennepin | 517.65 | 16,400,782 |
| Scott | 189.49 | 2,838,536 |
| District 5 Totals | 1,167.71 | 25,260,663 |
| Dodge | 249.15 | 1,214,713 |
| Fillmore | 411.55 | 3,785,454 |
| Freeborn | 447.12 | 2,604,390 |
| Goodhue | 326.57 | 2,581,214 |
| Houston | 250.34 | 2,176,713 |
| Mower | 373.56 | 2,642,054 |
| Olmsted | 320.41 | 2,753,804 |
| Rice | 279.09 | 2,237,699 |
| Steele | 292.22 | 1,909,041 |
| Wabasha | 273.72 | 2,292,199 |
| Winona | 315.76 | 2,533,186 |
| District 6 Totals | 3,539.49 | 26,730,467 |


| Blue Earth | 416.97 | $3,303,584$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Brown | 318.01 | $1,393,029$ |
| Cottonwood | 318.59 | $1,549,093$ |
| Faribault | 346.80 | $2,345,848$ |
| Jackson | 370.69 | $2,294,825$ |
| Le Sueur | 267.38 | $1,800,467$ |
| Martin | 378.15 | $1,854,242$ |
| Nicollet | 244.65 | $1,507,573$ |
| Nobles | 345.48 | $2,026,015$ |
| Rock | 261.31 | $1,248,929$ |
| Sibley | 289.32 | $1,554,138$ |
| Waseca | 249.85 | $1,674,708$ |
| Watonwan | 235.17 | $1,099,625$ |
| District 7 Totals | $4,042.37$ | $23,652,076$ |


| Chippewa | 244.36 | $1,408,981$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Kandiyohi | 422.08 | $2,642,365$ |
| Lac Qui Parle | 362.91 | $1,299,291$ |
| Lincoln | 254.51 | $1,010,789$ |
| Lyon | 318.93 | $1,589,037$ |
| Mc Leod | 235.91 | $1,545,441$ |
| Meeker | 272.05 | $1,223,729$ |
| Murray | 354.74 | $1,071,835$ |
| Pipestone | 233.85 | $1,011,838$ |
| Redwood | 391.15 | $2,198,148$ |
| Renville | 447.55 | $2,543,592$ |
| Yellow Medicine | 345.22 | $1,827,964$ |
| District 8 Totals | $3,883.26$ | $19,373,010$ |


| Chisago | 228.44 | $2,006,460$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Dakota | 289.83 | $4,461,403$ |
| Ramsey | 231.03 | $8,272,292$ |
| Washington | 201.54 | $3,151,941$ |
| District 9 Totals | 950.84 | $17,892,096$ |

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

Total Tentative 1996 C.S.A.H. Apportionment

The following tabulation lists a TENTATIVE 1996 Apportionment based on an estimate of \$249 million. The Motor Vehicle Registration Apportionment reflects changes caused by the new registration figures. The Mileage Apportionment was computed using the actual 1995 C.S.A.H. needs study mileage, but the 1995 Trunk Highway Turnback mileage is not included. The Money Needs Apportionment is based on the actual 1995 25-year construction needs, however, these needs will be adjusted by 1995 turnback activity, possible 1993 and 1994 traffic update and by any other action taken at this meeting.

We wish to emphasize that the apportionment as shown is TENTATIVE and the final apportionment will be determined in January, 1996, by the Commissioner with the assistance of recommendations by your Screening Board.

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA

ОСТOBER, 1995
Comparison of the Actual 1995 to the TENTATIVE 1996 C.S.A.H. Apportionment

| County | Total 1995 C.S.A.H. Apportionment | tentative 1996 C.S.A.H. Apportionment | Increase <br> or <br> Decrease | $\begin{array}{\|c} 4 \% \\ + \\ +\mathrm{or}_{1} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | \$2,530,221 | \$2,477,415 | $(\$ 52,806)$ | -2.1\% |
| Cook | 1,760,558 | 1,752,167 | $(8,391)$ | -0.5\% |
| Itasca | 5,097,904 | 5,124,778 | 26,874 | 0.5\% |
| Koochiching | 2,748,688 | 2,748,688 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Lake | 2,755,006 | 2,619,804 | $(135,202)$ | -4.9\% |
| Pine | 4,252,440 | 4,271,963 | 19,523 | 0.5\% |
| St. Louis | 14,008,519 | 14,052,343 | 43,824 | 0.3\% |
| District 1 Totals | 33,153,336 | 33,047,158 | $(106,178)$ | -0.3\% |
| Beltrami | 3,259,134 | 3,227,466 | $(31,668)$ | -1.0\% |
| Clearwater | 1,927,515 | 1,877,608 | $(49,907)$ | -2.6\% |
| Hubbard | 2,063,862 | 2,074,835 | 10,973 | 0.5\% |
| Kittson | 2,300,033 | 2,244,665 | $(55,368)$ | -2.4\% |
| Lake of the Woods | 1,873,009 | 1,873,009 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Marshall | 3,337,071 | 3,328,250 | $(8,821)$ | -0.3\% |
| Norman | 2,159,779 | 2,131,970 | $(27,809)$ | -1.3\% |
| Pennington | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Polk | 4,794,881 | 4,782,266 | $(12,615)$ | -0.3\% |
| Red Lake | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Roseau | 2,676,898 | 2,622,005 | $(54,893)$ | -2.1\% |
| District 2 Totals | 27,325,226 | 27,095,118 | $(230,108)$ | -0.8\% |
| Aitkin | 2,449,942 | 2,477,191 | 27,249 | 1.1\% |
| Benton | 1,677,944 | 1,667,154 | $(10,790)$ | -0.6\% |
| Cass | 3,428,611 | 3,391,474 | $(37,137)$ | -1.1\% |
| Crow Wing | 2,432,429 | 2,343,776 | $(88,653)$ | -3.6\% |
| Isanti | 1,850,529 | 1,870,129 | 19,600 | 1.1\% |
| Kanabec | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Milile Lacs | 2,146,430 | 2,172,030 | 25,600 | 1.2\% |
| Morrison | 2,671,315 | 2,711,348 | 40,033 | 1.5\% |
| Sherburne | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Stearns | 4,873,784 | 4,839,801 | $(33,983)$ | -0.7\% |
| Todd | 2,507,636 | 2,468,502 | $(39,134)$ | -1.6\% |
| Wadena | 1,650,662 | 1,659,503 | 8,841 | 0.5\% |
| Wright | 4,031,974 | 4,110,027 | 78,053 | 1.9\% |
| District 3 Totals | 32,654,300 | 32,643,979 | $(10,321)$ | -0.0\% |
| Becker | 2,670,737 | 2,679,519 | 8.782 | 0.3\% |
| Big Stone | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Clay | 2,844,728 | 2,955,727 | 110,999 | 3.9\% |
| Douglas | 2,513,501 | 2,501,813 | $(11,688)$ | -0.5\% |
| Grant | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Mahnomen | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Otter Tail | 5,634,526 | 5,709,719 | 75,193 | 1.3\% |
| Pope | 2,087,719 | 2,088,922 | 1,203 | 0.1\% |
| Stevens | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Swift | 1,976,399 | 2,007,664 | 31,265 | 1.6\% |
| Traverse | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Wilkin | 1,908,339 | 1,918,532 | 10,193 | 0.5\% |
| District 4 Totals | 26,968,559 | 27,194,506 | 225,947 | 0.8\% |

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA 

OCTOBER, 1995
Comparison of the Actual 1995 to the TENTATIVE 1996 C.S.A.H. Apportionment

| County | Total 1995 C.S.A.H. Apportionment | tentative 1996 C.SA. H . Apportionment | lincrease or <br> Decrease |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | \$4,228,364 | \$4,551,858 | \$323,494 | 7.7\% |
| Carver | 2,319,404 | 2,385,045 | 65,641 | 2.8\% |
| Hennepin | 16,984,685 | 16,288,279 | $(696,406)$ | -4.1\% |
| Scott | 2,677,111 | 2,793,268 | 116,157 | 4.3\% |
| District 5 Totals | 26,209,564 | 26,018,450 | $(191,114)$ | -0.7\% |
| Dodge | 1,735,222 | 1,712,730 | $(22,492)$ | -1.3\% |
| Fillmore | 3,741,831 | 3,637,804 | $(104,027)$ | -2.8\% |
| Freeborn | 3,099,564 | 3,120,487 | 20,923 | 0.7\% |
| Goodhue | 2,738,938 | 2,853,634 | 114,696 | 4.2\% |
| Houston | 2,214,626 | 2,284,710 | 70,084 | 3.2\% |
| Mower | 2,923,002 | 2,972,731 | 49,729 | 1.7\% |
| Olmsted | 3,317,195 | 3,319,256 | 2,061 | 0.1\% |
| Rice | 2,476,557 | 2,560,497 | 83,940 | 3.4\% |
| Steele | 2,292,126 | 2,314,830 | 22,704 | 1.0\% |
| Wabasha | 2,429,550 | 2,430,848 | 1,298 | 0.1\% |
| Winona | 2,873,722 | 2,796,261 | $(77,461)$ | -2.7\% |
| District 6 Totals | 29,842,333 | 30,003,788 | 161,455 | 0.5\% |
| Blue Earth | 3,615,361 | 3,539,077 | $(76,284)$ | -2.1\% |
| Brown | 2,091,689 | 2,062,150 | $(29,539)$ | -1.4\% |
| Cottonwood | 2,030,340 | 2,064,852 | 34,512 | 1.7\% |
| Faribault | 2,600,694 | 2,624,532 | 23,838 | 0.9\% |
| Jackson | 2,503,298 | 2,624,421 | 121,123 | 4.8\% |
| Le Sueur | 2,218,709 | 2,154,300 | $(64,409)$ | -2.9\% |
| Martin | 2,450,796 | 2,455,051 | 4,255 | 0.2\% |
| Nicollet | 1,950,169 | 1,921,021 | $(29,148)$ | -1.5\% |
| Nobles | 2,489,940 | 2,454,960 | $(34,980)$ | -1.4\% |
| Rock | 1,737,060 | 1,726,143 | $(10,917)$ | -0.6\% |
| Sibley | 2,035,889 | 2,005,860 | $(30,029)$ | -1.5\% |
| Waseca | 1,998,144 | 1,993,406 | $(4,738)$ | -0.2\% |
| Watonwan | 1,579,560 | 1,586,945 | 7,385 | 0.5\% |
| District 7 Totals | 29,301,649 | 29,212,718 | $(88,931)$ | -0.3\% |
| Chippewa | 1,700,741 | 1,800,949 | 100,208 | 5.9\% |
| Kandiyohi | 3,059,380 | 3,121,994 | 62,614 | 2.1\% |
| Lac Qui Parle | 2,024,475 | 2,004,911 | $(19,564)$ | -1.0\% |
| Lincoln | 1,531,297 | 1,549,708 | 18,411 | 1.2\% |
| Lyon | 2,191,310 | 2,161,178 | $(30,132)$ | -1.4\% |
| Mc Leod | 2,009,254 | 1,990,346 | $(18,908)$ | -0.9\% |
| Meeker | 1,785,022 | 1,813,555 | 28,533 | 1.6\% |
| Murray | 1,878,779 | 1,856,520 | $(22,259)$ | -1.2\% |
| Pipestone | 1,538,151 | 1,520,152 | $(17,999)$ | -1.2\% |
| Redwood | 2,720,995 | 2,656,944 | $(64,051)$ | -2.4\% |
| Renville | 3,043,488 | 3,000,340 | $(43,148)$ | -1.4\% |
| Yellow Medicine | 2,277,556 | 2,288,487 | 10,931 | 0.5\% |
| District 8 Totals | 25,760,448 | 25,765,084 | 4,636 | 0.0\% |
| Chisago | 2,212,695 | 2,250,499 | 37,804 | 1.7\% |
| Dakota | 5,101,976 | 5,125,881 | 23,905 | 0.5\% |
| Ramsey | 8,057,535 | 8,060,133 | 2,598 | 0.0\% |
| Washington | 3,338,526 | 3,508,833 | 170,307 | 5.1\% |
| District 9 Totals | 18,710,732 | 18,945,346 | 234,614 | 1.3\% |
| STATE TOTALS | \$249,926,147 | \$249,926,147 | \$0 | 0.0\% |

NOTES \& COMMENTS

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

Comparison of the Actual 1995 to a TENTATIVE 1996 C.S.A.H. Apportionment

The following two pages indicate a comparison between the actual 1995 C.S.A.H. Apportionment and what each county's 1996 County State Aid Apportionment would be if all mileage, needs and adjustments remained as published in this booklet and if the 1996 C.S.A.H. road user fund would stay the same as 1995 . However, as we stated in the previous write-ups, some revised figures will be used to determine the final 1996 Apportionment. This data is being presented in this manner simply to show the approximate comparison to last year's apportionment, if the Board approves the mileage and money needs as presented.

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

COMPONENTS OF THE "TENTATIVE" 1996 CSAH APPORTIONMENT

| County | Equalization Apportionment | Nótor Vêhicle Registration Apportionment | Mileage Appontionment | Money Needs Apportionment | Total TENTATIVE 1996 CSAH Apportionment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cariton | \$287,271 | \$186,270 | \$728,410 | \$1,275,464 | \$2,477,415 |
| Cook | 287,271 | 31,841 | 443,869 | 989,186 | 1,752,167 |
| Itasca | 287,271 | 287,065 | 1,607,150 | 2,943,292 | 5,124,778 |
| Koochiching | 287,271 | 99,870 | 616,168 | 1,745,379 | 2,748,688 |
| Lake | 287,271 | 68,180 | 557,160 | 1,707,193 | 2,619,804 |
| Pine | 287,271 | 139,109 | 1,173,628 | 2,671,955 | 4,271,963 |
| St. Louis | 287,271 | 1,137,239 | 3,404,968 | 9,222,865 | 14,052,343 |
| District 1 Totals | 2,010,897 | -1,949,574 | 8,531,353 | 20,555,334 | 33,047,158 |
| Beltrami | 287,271 | 184,645 | 1,157,958 | 1,597,592 | 3,227,466 |
| Clearwater | 287,271 | 50,985 | 810,510 | 728,842 | 1,877,608 |
| Hubbard | 287,271 | 104,294 | 805,637 | 877,633 | 2,074,835 |
| Kittson | 287,271 | 38,489 | 927,101 | 991,804 | 2,244,665 |
| Lake of the Woods | 287,271 | 27,767 | 483,607 | 1,074,364 | 1,873,009 |
| Marshall | 287,271 | 71,704 | 1,584,057 | 1,385,218 | 3,328,250 |
| Norman | 287,271 | 52,260 | 971,413 | 821,026 | 2,131,970 |
| Pennington | 287,271 | 80,226 | 646,084 | 452,941 | 1,466,522 |
| Polk | 287,271 | 181,721 | 2,001,458 | 2,311,816 | 4,782,266 |
| Red Lake | 287,271 | 29,866 | 460,364 | 689,021 | 1,466,522 |
| Roseau | 287,271 | 100,020 | 1,196,121 | 1,038,593 | 2,622,005 |
| District 2 Totals | 3,159,981 | 921,977 | 11,044,310 | 11,968,850 | 27,095,118 |
| Aitkin | 287,271 | 95,697 | 930,550 | 1,163,673 | 2,477,191 |
| Benton | 287,271 | 171,749 | 556,485 | 651,649 | 1,667,154 |
| Cass | 287,271 | 147,506 | 1,320,285 | 1,636,412 | 3,391,474 |
| Crow Wing | 287,271 | 306,759 | 921,103 | 828,643 | 2,343,776 |
| Isanti | 287,271 | 174,998 | 567,132 | 840,728 | 1,870,129 |
| Kanabec | 287,271 | 81,276 | 527,019 | 570,956 | 1,466,522 |
| Mille Lacs | 287,271 | 124,038 | 632,663 | 1,128,058 | 2,172,030 |
| Morrison | 287,271 | 190,669 | 1,103,674 | 1,129,734 | 2,711,348 |
| Sherburne | 287,271 | 276,068 | 535,192 | 367,991 | 1,466,522 |
| Stearns | 287,271 | 714,264 | 1,498,807 | 2,339,459 | 4,839,801 |
| Todd | 287,271 | 143,658 | 1,023,897 | 1,013,676 | 2,468,502 |
| Wadena | 287,271 | 82,026 | 563,308 | 726,898 | 1,659,503 |
| Wright | 287,271 | 466,887 | 998,855 | 2,357,014 | 4,110,027 |
| District 3 Totals | 3,734,523 | 2,975,595 | 11,178,970 | 14,754,891 | 32,643,979 |
| Becker | 287,271 | 177,248 | 1,157,733 | 1,057,267 | 2,679,519 |
| Big Stone | 287,271 | 40,738 | 517,272 | 621,241 | 1,466,522 |
| Clay | 287,271 | 236,905 | 994,956 | 1,436,595 | 2,955,727 |
| Douglas | 287,271 | 192,543 | 955,592 | 1,066,407 | 2,501,813 |
| Grant | 287,271 | 44,687 | 567,657 | 566,907 | 1,466,522 |
| Mahnomen | 287,271 | 27,642 | 483,607 | 668,002 | 1,466,522 |
| Otter Tail | 287,271 | 342,824 | 2,276,402 | 2,803,222 | 5,709,719 |
| Pope | 287,271 | 68,430 | 740,631 | 992,590 | 2,088,922 |
| Stevens | 287,271 | 60,107 | 605,521 | 513,623 | 1,466,522 |
| Swift | 287,271 | 71,229 | 817,858 | 831,306 | 2,007,664 |
| Traverse | 287,271 | 33,940 | 609,270 | 536,041 | 1,466,522 |
| Wilkin | 287,271 | 48,336 | 775,196 | 807,729 | 1,918,532 |
| District 4 Totals | 3,447,252 | 1,344,629 | 10,501,695 | 11,900,930 | 27,194,506 |

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA <br> OCTOBER, 1995 

## COMPONENTS OF THE "TENTATIVE" 1996 CSAH APPORTIONMENT

| Countiv | Equalization Apportionment | Miotor Vehicle Registration Apportionment | Mileage Apportionment | Money Needs Apportionment | Total TENTATIVE 1996 CSAH Apportionment. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | \$287,271 | \$1,419,230 | \$627,265 | \$2,218,092 | \$4,551,858 |
| Carver | 287,271 | 291,539 | 516,147 | 1,290,088 | 2,385,045 |
| Hennepin | 287,271 | 5,160,474 | 1,285,045 | 9,555,489 | 16,288,279 |
| Scott | 287,271 | 381,787 | 470,411 | 1,653,799 | 2,793,268 |
| District 5 Totals | 1,149,084 | 7,253,030 | 2,898,868 | 14,717,468 | 26,018,450 |
| Dodge | 287,271 | 99,246 | 618,492 | 707,721 | 1,712,730 |
| Fillmore | 287,271 | 123,389 | 1,021,648 | 2,205,496 | 3,637,804 |
| Freeborn | 287,271 | 205,864 | 1,109,972 | 1,517,380 | 3,120,487 |
| Goodhue | 287,271 | 251,751 | 810,735 | 1,503,877 | 2,853,634 |
| Houston | 287,271 | 107,743 | 621,491 | 1,268,205 | 2,284,710 |
| Mower | 287,271 | 218,735 | 927,401 | 1,539,324 | 2,972,731 |
| Olmsted | 287,271 | 632,113 | 795,440 | 1,604,432 | 3,319,256 |
| Rice | 287,272 | 276,618 | 692,870 | 1,303,737 | 2,560,497 |
| Steele | 287,272 | 189,894 | 725,411 | 1,112,253 | 2,314,830 |
| Wabasha | 287,272 | 128,562 | 679,524 | 1,335,490 | 2,430,848 |
| Winona | 287,272 | 249,201 | 783,893 | 1,475,895 | 2,796,261 |
| District 6 Totals | 3,159,985 | 2,483,116 | 8,786,877 | 15,573,810 | 30,003,788 |
| Blue Earth | 287,272 | 291,914 | 1,035,144 | 1,924,747 | 3,539,077 |
| Brown | 287,272 | 173,824 | 789,442 | 811,612 | 2,062,150 |
| Cottonwood | 287,272 | 84,175 | 790,866 | 902,539 | 2,064,852 |
| Faribault | 287,272 | 109,618 | 860,895 | 1,366,747 | 2,624,532 |
| Jackson | 287,272 | 79,926 | 920,203 | 1,337,020 | 2,624,421 |
| Le Sueur | 287,272 | 154,254 | 663,779 | 1,048,995 | 2,154,300 |
| Martin | 287,272 | 148,731 | 938,722 | 1,080,326 | 2,455,051 |
| Nicollet | 287,272 | 148,081 | 607,320 | 878,348 | 1,921,021 |
| Nobles | 287,272 | 129,612 | 857,671 | 1,180,405 | 2,454,960 |
| Rock | 287,272 | 62,507 | 648,708 | 727,656 | 1,726,143 |
| Sibley | 287,272 | 94,897 | 718,213 | 905,478 | 2,005,860 |
| Waseca | 287,272 | 110,192 | 620,217 | 975,725 | 1,993,406 |
| Watonwan | 287,272 | 75,228 | 583,777 | 640,668 | 1,586,945 |
| District 7 Totals | 3,734,536 | 1,662,959 | 10,034,957 | 13,780,266 | 29,212,718 |
| Chippewa | 287,272 | \$86,125 | \$606,646 | 820,906 | 1,800,949 |
| Kandiyohi | 287,272 | 247,402 | 1,047,815 | 1,539,505 | 3,121,994 |
| Lac Qui Parle | 287,272 | 59,707 | 900,934 | 756,998 | 2,004,911 |
| Lincoln | 287,272 | 41,688 | 631,838 | 588,910 | 1,549,708 |
| Lyon | 287,272 | 156,329 | 791,766 | 925,811 | 2,161,178 |
| Mc Leod | 287,272 | 217,011 | 585,652 | 900,411 | 1,990,346 |
| Meeker | 287,272 | 137,909 | 675,400 | 712,974 | 1,813,555 |
| Murray | 287,272 | 64,156 | 880,615 | 624,477 | 1,856,520 |
| Pipestone | 287,272 | 62,806 | 580,553 | 589,521 | 1,520,152 |
| Redwood | 287,272 | 117,940 | 971,038 | 1,280,694 | 2,656,944 |
| Renville | 287,272 | 120,089 | 1,111,021 | 1,481,958 | 3,000,340 |
| Yellow Medicine | 287,272 | 79,202 | 856,997 | 1,065,016 | 2,288,487 |
| District 8 Totals | 3,447,264 | 1,390,364 | 9,640,275 | 11,287,181 | 25,765,084 |
| Chisago | 287,272 | 227,083 | 567,132 | 1,169,012 | 2,250,499 |
| Dakota | 287,272 | 1,519,801 | 719,487 | 2,599,321 | 5,125,881 |
| Ramsey | 287,272 | 2,379,720 | 573,505 | 4,819,636 | 8,060,133 |
| Washington | 287,272 | 884,762 | 500,402 | 1,836,397 | 3,508,833 |
| District 9 Totals | 1,149,088 | 5,011,366 | 2,360,526 | 10,424,366 | 18,945,346 |
| g\%ATE TOTALS | \$24,992,610 | \$24,992,610 | \$74,977,831 | \$124,963,096 | \$249,926,147 |



## MILEAGE

## REQUESTS
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## OCTOBER, 1995

Criteria Necessary For County State Aid Highway Designation

In the past, there has been considerable speculation as to which requirements a road must meet in order to qualify for designation as a County State Aid Highway The following section of the Minnesota Department of Transportation Rules which was updated in July, 1991, definitely sets forth what criteria are necessary.

## Portion of Minnesota Rules For State Ald Operations

State Aid Routes shall be selected on the basis of the following criteria:
Subp. 2. A county state-aid highway may be selected if it:
(A) is projected to carry a relatively heavier traffic volume or is functionally classified as collector or arterial as identified on the county's functional classification plans as approved by the county board;
(B) connects towns, communities, shipping points, and markets within a county or in adjacent counties; provides access to rural churches, schools, community meeting halls, industrial areas, state institutions, and recreational areas; or serves as principal rural mail route and school bus route; and
(C) provides an integrated and coordinated highway system affording, within practical limits, a state-aid highway network consistent with projected traffic demands.

OCTOBER, 1995
History of C.S.A.H. Additional Mileage Requests
Approved by the County Engineers' Screening Board

| County | $\begin{gathered} 1958, \\ \frac{1964}{6.10} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1965 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 . \\ & 1976 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 1977 . \\ 1982 \end{array} \\ \hline 0.60 \end{gathered}$ | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993. | $\frac{1994}{7.12}$ | ** | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Total Miles } \\ \text { To Date } \end{array} \\ & 13.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 1.33 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.46 | Anoka |
| Becker |  | 10.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.07 | Becker |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beltrami | 6.84 * | 0.69 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.10 | ** | 9.79 | Beltrami |
| Benton | 3.18 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.18 | Benton |
| Big Stone | 1.40 |  | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.56 | Big Stone |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Earth | 15.29 * |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.54 | Blue Earth |
| Brown | 3.81 | 3.63 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.57 | Brown |
| Carlton | 3.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.62 | Carlton |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carver | 1.55 | 0.94 | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.05 | Carver |
| Cass |  | 7.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.80 | ** | 10.70 | Cass |
| Chippewa | 14.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.05 | Chippewa |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chisago | 3.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 5.44 | Chisago |
| Clay | 1.18 | 0.82 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.10 | Clay |
| Clearwater | 0.30 * |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.30 | Clearwater |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cook | 3.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.60 | Cook |
| Cottonwood | 3.37 | 1.80 | 1.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.47 | Cottonwood |
| Crow Wing | 13.00 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.00 | Crow Wing |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dakota | 1.65 * |  | 2.47 |  |  |  | 2.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.38 | Dakota |
| Dodge |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.11 | Dodge |
| Douglas | 7.40 * | 3.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.65 | Douglas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faribault |  | 0.37 | 1.20 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.66 | Faribault |
| Fillmore | 1.12 |  |  | 1.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.22 | Fillmore |
| Freeborn | 0.05 | 0.90 | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.60 | Freeborn |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goodhue |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | Goodhue |
| Grant | 5.30 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.42 | Grant |
| Hennepin | 4.50 |  | 0.24 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.59 | Hennepin |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Houston |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | Houston |
| Hubbard | 0.60 | 1.25 | 0.26 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.17 | Hubbard |
| Isanti | 1.06 | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.80 | Isanti |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Itasca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | Itasca |
| Jackson |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | Jackson |
| Kanabec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | Kanabec | $N$

## History of C.S.A.H. Additional Mileage Requests

Approved by the County Engineers' Screening Board

| Colunty: | $\begin{aligned} & 1958 \\ & 1964 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 1965 \% \\ 1970 \end{array} \\ 0.44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 . \\ & 1976 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \% \\ & 1982 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987. | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1994. | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | , | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tota Miles } \\ & \frac{10 . \mathrm{Dote}}{0.44} \end{aligned}$ | County <br> Kandiyohi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kittson | 6.60 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.60 | Kittson |
| Koochiching | 9.27 * |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.39 | Koochiching |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lac Qui Parle | 1.70 | 0.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.93 | Lac Qui Parle |
| Lake | 3.24 * | 1.58 | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.31 |  |  | 15.69 | Lake |
| Lake of 'Woods | 0.56 | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.65 |  |  | 8.54 | Lake of 'W00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Le Sueur | 2.70 |  | 0.83 |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.55 | Le Sueur |
| Lincoln | 5.65 * | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.55 | Lincoln |
| Lyon | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.50 | Lyon |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mc Leod | 0.09 |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.91 | Mc Leod |
| Mahnomen | 1.00 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.42 | Mahnomen |
| Marshall | 15.00 * |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.00 | Marshall |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Martin |  | 1.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.52 | Martin |
| Meeker | 0.80 |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.30 | Meeker |
| Mille Lacs |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.74 | Mille Lacs |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morrison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.70 | ** | 9.70 | Morrison |
| Mower | 9.28* | 3.83 |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.20 | Mower |
| Murray | 3.52 |  | 1.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.62 | Murray |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nicollet |  |  |  |  | 0.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.60 | Nicollet |
| Nobles |  | 13.71 | 0.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  | 14.06 | Nobles |
| Norman | 1.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.31 | Norman |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olmsted | 10.77 * | 4.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.32 | Oimsted |
| Otter Tail |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 | Otter Tail |
| Pennington | 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.84 | Pennington |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pine | 9.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.25 | Pine |
| Pipestone |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | Pipestone |
| Polk | 4.00 |  | 1.55 | 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.22 | Polk |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pope | 1.63 | 2.00 | 1.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.83 | Pope |
| Ramsey | 9.45* | 0.67 | 0.61 |  | 0.21 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.86 | Ramsey |
| Red Lake |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | Red Lake |

$\underline{\text { History of C.S.A.H. Additional Mileage Requests }}$


* Some Trunk Highway Turnback Mileage
** Great River Road Mileage Added to system by Administrative Decision of the State Aid Division Director.


# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA 

October, 1995
"BANKED" CSAH MILEAGE

The Screening Board, at its June, 1990 meeting, revised the mileage resolution to read as follows:
Mileage made available by an internal revision after July 1, 1990 will be held in abeyance (banked) for future designation.

The following mileage presently represents the "banked" mileage available. Only mileage made available by commissioners orders received before October 1, 1995 is included.

| County | Banked <br> Mileage | Year Made Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 1.08 | 1991 \& 1995 |
| Becker | 0.40 | 1991 |
| Big Stone | 2.50 | 1993 |
| Blue Earth | 0.10 | 1991 |
| Carlton | 0.86 | 1992 \& 1994 |
| Clay | 3.20 | 1993 |
| Dakota | 0.22 | 1994 |
| Dodge | 0.60 | 1994 |
| Douglas | 1.90 | 1992 |
| Faribault | 2.68 | 1993 |
| Fillmore | 0.50 | 1993 |
| Hennepin | 6.82 | 1992 \& 1994 |
| Isanti | 0.22 | 1992 |
| Itasca | 0.25 | 1992 |
| Kandiyohi | 0.20 | 1993 |
| Koochiching | 0.08 | 1994 |
| McLeod | 1.23 | 1992 \& 1994 |
| Marshall | 1.70 | 1994 |
| Mille Lacs | 1.10 | 1992 |
| Nicollet | 1.20 | 1993 |
| Norman | 0.50 | 1993 |
| Pennington | 1.65 | 1995 |
| Polk | 2.00 | 1992 |
| Pope | 0.40 | 1992 |
| Ramsey | 0.24 | 1992 |
| Red Lake | 1.00 | 1994 |
| Renville | 1.35 | 1992 |
| Rice | 0.90 | 1994 |
| Rock | 1.60 | 1993 |
| Roseau | 0.80 | 1991 |
| Stearns | 0.08 | 1992 |
| Wabasha | 0.33 | 1993 |
| Waseca | 0.21 | 1993 |
| Wadena | 1.77 | 1991 \& 1994 |
| Washington | 1.21 | 1994 |
| Wright | 1.07 | 1992 \& 1993 |
| Yellow Medicine | 0.11 | 1993 |
| Total | 42.06 |  |

An updated report showing the available mileages will be included in each Screening Board booklet.

DATE: $\qquad$
TO: Manager, State Aid Needs Unit
FROM: $\qquad$ , District State Aid Engineer

SUBJECT: Request for Approval of a System Revision
(Municipality) (County) of


Attached is a request and supporting data for a revision to the State Aid System. The proposed route meets the following criteria (indicated by an "X") necessary for designation:

## C.S.A.H. CRITERIA



Projected to carry a relatively heavier traffic volume, or is functionally classified as collector or arterialConnects towns, communities, shipping points, and markets within a county or in adjacent counties,
or provides access to rural churches, schools, community meeting halls, industrial areas, state institutions and recreational areas, or serves as a principal rural mail route and school bus route.

Provides an integrated and coordinated highway system affording, within practical limits, a State Aid highway network consistent with projected traffic demands.

## M.S.A.S. CRITERIA



Projected to carry a relatively heavier traffic.volume, or is functionally classified as collector or arterial

Connects the points of major traffic interest within an urban municipality.
Provides an integrated street system affording, within practical limits, a State Aid street network consistent with projected traffic demands.
M.S.A.S. Miles Available + Revoked - Requested $=$ Balance

Comments: $\qquad$ ———
$\qquad$


# MEEKER COUNTY HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT <br> 325 North Sibley Avenue <br> LITCHFIELD, MINNESOTA 55355-2155 

(612) 693-7961

Gordon F. Regenscheid
County Engineer

Marland R. Meyer
Assistant Engineer

Mr Thomas Behm
July 17, 1995
District 8 State Aid Engineer
1800 East College Drive
Box 29
Marshall MN 56258

## Re: County Screening Board Mileage Request

Dear Mr. Behm;
Meeker County is hereby requesting 0.56 miles of additional CSAH designation. The need for additional mileage comes from the construction of a new regional high school on the southern edge of Grove City. While the access to the school will be on Mn Hwy 4, this segment is on the south edge of the school property, and therefore, we are predicting a major increase in traffic when school opens in 1996.

I have reviewed the County's current CSAH system for possible revocations, but taking only a half mile out of the system is difficult without leaving a stub end somewhere believe this segment will meet all of the CSAH criteria when the new school opens. Currently, it is a township road with a small traffic volume.

I trust this is sufficient information to get the ball rolling. Should you require more information, please contact me and I will be happy to provide any information I have available.

Sincerely;



## resolution establishing County state aid highways

WHEREAS it appears to the County Board of the County of Meeker that the road hereinafter described should be designated County State Aid Highway under the provisions of Minnesota Law.

NOW THEREFOREBEIT RESOLVED, by the County Board of the County of Meeker that the road described as follows, to wit:

Beginning at Minnesota Highway Number 4, where it crosses the section line between sections 3 and $10, T 119 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{R} 32 \mathrm{~W}$, and continuing eastward along said section line to the common corners of sections $3,4,10, \& 11$, all in $T 119 N$, R32W. Said segment is approximately 0.5 miles in length.
be, and hereby is established, located, and designated a County State Aid Highway of said County, subject to the approval of the Commissioner of Transportation of the State of Minnesota.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Auditor is hereby authorized and directed to forward two certified copies of this resolution to the Commissioner of Transportation for his consideration, and that upon his approval of the designation of said road or portion thereof, that same be constructed, improved and maintained as a County State Aid Highs, of the County of Meeker, to be numbered and known as County State Aid Highway 40.

## ADOPTED JULY 5. 1995.



Chairman, Meeker County Board of Commissioners

## ATTEST:



CERTIFICATION
I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of a Resolution duly passed, adopted and approved by the County Board of said County on July 5, 1995.



DATE: $\qquad$
TO: Manager, State Aid Needs Unit

## FROM: R.S. BROWN

 , District State Aid EngineerSUBJECT: Request for Approval of a System Revision (County) of


Attached is a request and supporting data for a revision to the State Aid System. The proposed route meets the following criteria (indicated by an " $X$ ') necessary for designation:

## C.S.A.H. CRITERIA

Projected to carry a relatively heavier traffic volume, or is functionally classified as collector or arterial

Connects towns, communities, shipping points, and markets within a county or in adjacent counties,or provides access to rural churches, schools, community meeting halls, industrial areas, state institutions and recreational areas,
or serves as a principal rural mail route and school bus route.


Provides an integrated and coordinated highway system affording, within practical limits, a State Aid highway network consistent with projected traffic demands.

## M.S.A.S. CRITERIA

$\square$
Projected to carry a relatively heavier traffic volume, or is functionally classified as collector or arterial

Connects the points of major traffic interest within an urban municipality.

$\square$
Provides an integrated street system affording, within practical limits, a State Aid street network consistent with projected traffic demands.
M.S.A.S. Miles
Available
$+\quad$ Revoked

- Requested
$=$ Balance

Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$


## RECOMMENDED APPROVAL District State Aid Engineer



RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OR DENIAL: Manager, State Aid Needs Unit


## Public Services Division

HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT
1440 BUNKER LAKE BLVD NW, ANDOVER, MINNESOTA 55304
(612) 754-3520 FAX (612) 754-3532

JON G. OLSON, PE<br>County Engineer

July 28, 1995
Mr. Robert Brown
Metro District State Aid Engineer
Waters Edge
1500 W. County Road B2
Roseville, MN.
55113

## RE: CSAH Mileage Request

Dear Mr. Brown:
In response to rapid development in Anoka County, we are requesting your review and approval of several additions to our County State Aid System. Each of these segments are discussed in detail in the following narrative and illustrated on the attached maps.

Segment 1:
The first segment is an easterly continuation of CSAH 16 (Bunker Lake Boulevard) from Crosstown Drive NW (Old CR 18) to CSAH 17 (Lexington Avenue NE). This segment is 8.585 miles in length and is classified as a Minor Arterial B. The roadway extends across the county in an east and west direction and parallels TH 242 and CSAH 14 (both Principle Arterials) for its entire length. CR 116 is approximately 1.5 miles North of TH 242 and CSAH 14. The traffic volume on this section of CR 116 varies between 14,047 vehicles per day (VPD) on the west end and 1,326 VPD on the east end.

The entire roadway is a two lane undivided section with signals or all way stops at major intersections. In areas of older development, some direct land access to Bunker Lake Boulevard is provided, however in all of the developing areas land access is through the network of local streets abutting Bunker Lake Boulevard. The section is a 40 foot wide rural section with 8 foot paved shoulders west of TH 65 and a 40 foot wide rural section with 8 foot gravel shoulders between TH 65 and CSAH 17 (Lexington Avenue).

## Segment 2:

Segment 2 is the westerly extension of CSAH 16 from CSAH 9 (Round Lake Boulevard) to TH 10 . The alignment is along existing CR 116 which is named Bunker Lake Boulevard on the east side of the Rum River and Industrial Boulevard on the west side of the Rum River. This segment includes a one mile proposed section between CR 56 (Ramsey Boulevard) and CR 83 (Armstrong Boulevard) and also includes the South 0.397 miles of CR 83 which provide connection to TH 10. This highway is 5.84 miles in length and again includes 4.420 miles of CR 116, 1.023 miles of proposed alignment for the westward extension of 116 and 0.397 miles of CR 83 for a total of 5.84 miles.
Traffic signals exist at the east end of the segment (Round Lake Boulevard), at 7th

Avenue, at TH 47 and a proposed signal on TH 10 at CR 83 is included in the Mn/DOT program for 1996 or 1997.

The roadway is classified as a Minor Arterial B and parallels TH 10 for its entire length at a distance of .5 miles to 2 miles North of TH 10. This route forms an attractive alternate for east-west movement to TH 10 and CSAH 14 (Main Street) in Anoka County. The existing traffic volume in this segment is of course zero, and is 1,803 VPD on the west end of the existing alignment near CR 56 , and 10,099 VPD at CSAH 9. The traffic volume on the north-south section of CR 83 is 5,052 VPD.

The section of Segment 2 varies along its length as follows:
CR 83 from TH 10 North to proposed alignment of CR 116 is a two-lane 40 foot rural section with paved shoulders. The proposed alignment of CR 116 (Industrial Boulevard) from CR 83 to CR 56 would be a 40 -foot rural section with paved shoulders. The section of CR 116 from CR 56 to Dysposium Street in the City of Ramsey is a 40foot rural section with 8 -foot paved shoulders and transitions to a four-lane divided roadway at Dysposium Street extending eastward to 7th Avenue or CSAH 7 in Anoka. This segment including the Rum River Bridge crossing was constructed in 1990 and includes a major river crossing of the Kum River. From CSAH 7 (7th Avenue) in Anoka to 12th Avenue in Anoka the roadway is a four-lane undivided rural section where it again transitions to a divided four-lane section from 12th Avenue in Anoka to Round Lake Boulevard where CSAH 16 begins. A major intersection improvement was completed in the Summer of 1993 and 1994 at the intersection of CSAH 9 (Round Lake Boulevard) and CSAH 16 Bunker Lake Boulevard. Round Lake Boulevard at this location is a 6-lane facility on the South side of Bunker Lake Boulevard and a 4-lane facility on the North side of Bunker Lake Boulevard.

## Segment 3:

Section 3 is the northerly extension CR 83 (Armstrong Boulevard NW) from the proposed CR 116 (Industrial Boulevard NW) to CSAH 22 (181st Avenue NW). This highway segment is 4.578 miles in length, and is classified as a Major Collector. This roadway connects TH 10 with CSAH 22 and forms an important connection with Northern Elk River through the Eastern portion of Sherburne County. The traffic volumes on this roadway range from 5,052 VPD at the south end to 2,373 VPD at its connection with CSAH 22.

The south 3.578 miles of this roadway were completely reconstructed in 1989 and 1990 to at 2 -lane 40 -foot wide paved rural section with 8 -foot paved shoulders. The north mile of this roadway is a 24 foot wide paved rural section without shoulders.

## Segment 4:

This segment is the northerly extension of CSAH 7 (7th Avenue N) from CSAH 27 to CSAH 24 in the City of St. Francis. This roadway is classified as a Major Collector, and parallels TH 47 at a distance of approximately 1.5 miles East for its entire length. This highway section is 6.290 miles in length, and is connected to CSAH 7 on the South, CSAH 24 on the North, and CSAH 22 at its lower one third point. These intersection are controlled by stop conditions where appropriate. The traffic volume on this segment of roadway is $3,436 \mathrm{VPD}$ on the south end and $2,800 \mathrm{VPD}$ on the north end.

The south 2.35 miles of this roadway was reconstructed in 1993 to a two lane 40 foot rural section with 8 foot paved shoulders. In addition, the bridge over Seelye Brook was reconstructed to a 40 foot wide bridge in 1984. The remainder of this roadway is a 30 foot wide rural section which is scheduled for reconstruction in 1996 and when completed, will be a 40 foot roadway with 8 foot paved shoulders.

## Segment 5:

Is the northern extension of CSAH 78 (Hanson Blvd. south of CR 58 and Flamingo St. north of CR 58) from CSAH 20 to CSAH 22 (Viking Blvd. NW). This section of roadway is 4.000 miles in length and is a reliever for TH 65 . 4-way stops are inplace at the intersection of CSAH 20 and CR 58. At the intersection of CSAH 22 only CR 78 has a stop condition, since this is a T-intersection.

The roadway section for the south $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles of roadway is a 48 foot rural section currently striped as a two lane roadway with wide shoulders which is adequate to stripe as 4 lanes in the future. The north $1 \frac{1}{2}$ miles of roadway is a 30 foot wide rural section with paved 3 foot shoulders on each side. This roadway was overlaid in 1987 and is in good condition. The roadway is classified as a Minor Arterial A and serves as a reliever for TH 65. The traffic volumes on this roadway are 4,609 VPD on the south end, and 3,308 VPD on the north end.

Segment 6:
Segment 6 is the northerly extension of CR 52 (Radisson Road). This segment will begin at County State Aid Highway Number 12 (109th Avenue NE) and extend northward across County State Aid Highway 14 (Main Street) to CR 116 (Bunker Lake Boulevard) which is Segment 1 above. This section of roadway is 4.242 miles in length and is a Minor Arterial A route serving as a reliever to TH 65 . Traffic is controlled by a all-way stop at the north and south end of the proposed segment and by a signal system at CSAH 14.

The section of CR 52 is a four-lane undivided rural section from CSAH 12 to $1 / 2$ mile north. From $1 / 2$ mile north of CSAH 12 to CR 116 , CR 52 is a rural two lane section with a surface width of 30 feet. With the exception of the intersection of CSAH 14 which was realigned and widened during the Summer of 1995. The traffic volumes of CR 52 are 5,710 on the south end of the section and 2,043 at its intersection with CR 116.

## Segment 7:

Segment 7 is the easterly extension of CSAH 12 in Lino Lakes. This extension would include a small portion of CR 53 from the east leg of CSAH \# 12 north to the new alignment of Apollo Drive. Apollo Drive then extends eastward to TH 49 at 4th Avenue in Lino Lakes. This section of roadway is a 4 lane 52 foot wide urban section with storm sewer, and construction is expected to be completed in the fall of 1995. This section would include 0.08 miles of CR 53 (Sunset Road) and 1.27 miles of Apolo Drive from CR 53 (to TH 49) 4th Avenue North. This alignment would connect the eastern end of CSAH 12 with an interchange on 35 W .

This roadway is classified as a Minor Arterial A and the traffic volume is 2,719 VPD on CR 53 at its intersection with CSAH 12. The projected traffic on Apoilo Drive is 3,000 VPD. Anoka County would propose to remove the existing designation of CSAH 12 (Elm Street) on the south side of 35 W from CR 53 to TH 49 from the state aid system which involves revoking approximately 1.150 miles of state aid highway. This roadway would be turned back to local jurisdiction. This exchange would result in a 0.200 mile extension of the county state aid highway system in Anoka County.

Currently Anoka County has .58 miles of CSAH mileage in the bank and with a pending change which will be forwarded to you as soon as the County Board passes a resolution on August 8th an additional 0.491 miles will be in the bank, bringing our total to 1.071 miles. With the described above changes. Anoka County is requesting a total of 32.664 additional miles for the state aid system. (See attached Summary). This takes into account the total request of 34.885 miles less revocation on CSAH 12 of 1.150 miles and a bank of 1.071 miles.

It is our opinion that each of these segments by nature of their classification, utilization and location within our system warrant inclusion as segments of our CSAH system. We request your favorable review of the addition of each of the CSAH system and look forward to your preliminary approval of these segments so that the formal request can be included for the Fall Screening Board Meeting. We would be pleased to meet with you to answer questions, or provide additional data as you may need.

Sincerely,

dmh/2MILEAGE

## ANOKA COUNTY HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT PROPOSED CHANGES TO STATE AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM

CREATED：7／26／95

## PROPOSED ADDITIONS TO STATE AID SYSTEM：

|  | ROUTE NUMBER | LOCAL NAME | DESCRIPTION | LENGTH <br> （MILES） | ADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CR 116 | BUNKER LAKE BLVD NW／ BUNKER LAKE BLVD NE | CROSSTOWN DR NW（OLD CR 18）TO CSAH 17 （LEXINGTON AVE NE） | 8.585 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,047 \phi \\ & 1326 \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | CR 116 | INDUSTRY AVE NW／ BUNKER LAKE BLVD NW | CR 83 （ARMSTRONG BLVD NW）TO CSAH 9 （ROUND LAKE BLVD） | $\begin{array}{r} 6.076 \\ \hline 5.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3524 \leftrightarrow \\ & 10,099 \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | CR 83 | ARMSTRONG BLVD NW | TH 10 TO NEW CR 116 （INDUSTRY AVE NW） | 0.397 | 5052 |
| 3 | CR 83 | ARMSTRONG BLVD NW | NEW CR 116 （INDUSTRY AVE NW）TO CSAH 22 （181ST AVE NW） | 4.578 | $\begin{aligned} & 5052 \text { 』 } \\ & 2373 \text { 亿 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | CR 7 | RUM RIVER BLVD NW | CSAH 27 （179TH LN NW）TO CSAH 24 （227TH AVE NW） | 6.290 | $\begin{aligned} & 3436 \Omega \\ & 2800 \uparrow \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | CR 78 | FLAMINGO ST NW | CSAH 20 （1615T AVE NW）TO CSAH 22 （VIKING BLVD NW） | 4.000 | $\begin{aligned} & 4609 』 \\ & 3308 \widehat{~} \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | CR 52 R | RADISSON RD | CSAH 12 （109TH AVE NE）TO CR 116 （BUNKER LAKE BLVD NE） | 4.242 | $\begin{aligned} & 5710 \Omega \\ & 2043 \hat{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | APOLLO DR | CR 53 （SUNSET RD）TO TH 49 （4TH AVE） | 1.270 | 3000＊＊＊ |
|  | $\text { CR } 53$ | SUNSET RD | CSAH 12 （109TH AVE NE）TO APOLLO DR（NEW CSAH 12） | 0.080 | 2719 |

PROPOSED DELETIONS TO STATE AID SYSTEM：

| 7 | ROUTE NUMBER | IOCAL NAME | DESCRIPTION | LENGTH （MILES） | ADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSAH 12 | ELM ST | CR 53 （SUNSET RD）TO TH 49 （LAKE DR） | 1.150 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M39 } \\ & 1567 \leftrightarrows \end{aligned}$ |
|  | KEY： |  |  |  |  |
|  | $* * *=$ ESTIMATED ADT $\hat{0}=$ NORTH END OF DESCRIBED SEGME <br> $\phi=$ WEST END OF DESCRIBED SEGMENT $\leqslant$ SOUTH END OF DESCRIBED SEGMENT <br> $\Rightarrow=$ EAST END OF DESCRIBED SEGMENT  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NOTE：ALL ADTS ARE FROM 1994 （NON－ADJUSTED） |  |  |  |  |



## HIGHWAY SEGMENT (1)

CR 116 (BUNKER LAKE BLVD) FROM CROSSTOWNDR TO CSAH 17


## HIGHWAY SEGMENT (2)

CR 83 (ARMSTRDNG BLVD) FRDM TH 10/169 TD NEW CR 116 AND CR 116 (INDUSTRY AVE/ BUNKER LAKE BLVD) FRDM CR 83 TI CSAH 9




HIGHWAY SEGMENT (5)





## COUNTY OF ANOKA

Public Services Division
HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT
1440 BUNKER LAKE BLVD NW, ANDOVER, MINNESOTA 55304
(612) 754-3520 FAX (612) 754-3532

## MEMORANDUM

TO:
CSAH Mileage Sub-committee Dave Everds, Dakota County Engineer, Chairman

FROM:


Jon Olson, Anoka County Engineer
Phone (612) 754-3520
FAX (612) 754-3532
REGARDING: Mileage Request Review
I would like to take this opportunity to thank you and the CSAH Mileage Subcommittee for touring Anoka County and reviewing the Anoka County Mileage Request on September 1, 1995. It is my understanding that the sub-committee has recommended a compromise position which I will attempt to summarize in the following paragraphs. I will follow the book which we prepared for your tour and which I assume will be part of the request as presented in the County Screening Board Data Book for the Fall of 1995.

Segment 1 - CR 116 from Crooked Lake Blvd. (old CSAH 18) to CSAH 17 (Lexington Avenue NE). The length of this segment is 8.755 miles and it is my understanding that the sub-committee is recommending approval of the addition of this roadway to the state aid system providing that the County revokes the designation of CSAH 16 (Andover Blvd.) approximately 112 miles north of CR 116 (Bunker Lake Boulevard) being 3.564 miles in length and that CSAH 10 (North Road) from CSAH 17 (Lexington Avenue) to TH 49 also be revoked with the length of 1.30 miles. This will result in a 3.891 mile increase in the Anoka County System.

Segment 2 - CR 116 from CR 83 (Armstrong Blvd. NW) to CSAH 9 (Round Lake Blvd.). It is my understanding that the sub-committee is in a position to recommend that portion of CR 116 from CR 57 (Sunfish Lake Blvd.) to CSAH 9 (Round Lake Blvd.) along with that portion of CR 57 from CR 116 south to TH 10. The initial request was from CR 83 to CSAH 9 being 6.523 miles in length. The proposed recommendation is 4.136 miles in length on CR 116 and 0.459 miles in length on CR 57 for a total addition to the County State Aid Highway System in Anoka County of 4.595 miles.

Segment 3 - CR 83 (Armstrong Blvd. NW) from new CR 116 (Industrial Avenue NW) to CSAH 22 (Viking Blvd.) or a total of 4.578 miles. It is my understanding that the sub-committee is proposing to recommend that CR 83 from TH 10 to CSAH 22 be included on the Anoka County CSAH System which results in a 4.975 mile increase in the Anoka County system.

Segment 4 - CR 7 (Rum River Blvd.) from CSAH 27 to CSAH 24 a total length of 6.290 miles. It is my understanding that the sub-committee proposes to recommend approval of CSAH designation for CR 7 from CSAH 27 to CSAH 22 providing that Anoka County revoke CSAH designation on CSAH 27 from TH 47 to CSAH 7. This would be a revocation of 1.374 miles and an addition of 2.289 miles for a net increase to the Anoka County System of 0.915 miles. While we recognize that CSAH 22 is a logical termini for the designation of CSAH 7, we also feel that the portion north of CSAH 22 to CSAH 24 should have included in your recommendation. As indicated in the field tour this 4 mile segment of roadway will be reconstructed in 1997 and consequently its inclusion as a State Aid Route at this time would have minimal affect on needs for the next 25 years.

Segment 5 - CR 78 from CSAH 20 to CSAH 22 and is 4.000 miles in length. It is my understanding that the sub-committee is recommending that this 4.000 miles be included on the Anoka County State Aid System.

Segment 6 - Northward extension of CSAH 52 (Radisson Road) from CSAH 12 to CR 116 (Bunker Lake Boulevard NE) which is being proposed as an inclusion in the System under Segment 1 above. This segment is 4.242 miles in length and it is my
understanding that the sub-committee is not recommending approval of this mileage. Anoka County is still of the opinion that this route is a classic example of a route that should be on the County State Aid Highway System.

Segment 7 - CR 53 and Apollo Drive exchange which we are proposing in conjunction with the revocation of CSAH 12 (Elm St.) plus removing a connecting segment of CR 53 ( 0.26 miles) and adding a connecting segment of CR 53 ( 0.08 miles) as agreed to by the City of Lino Lakes and Anoka County. This change results in a 1.35 mile addition to the Anoka County System combined with a 1.41 mile deletion to the Anoka County System resulting in a net decrease in the Anoka County System of 0.06 miles.

This letter is intended to confirm our interest in pursuing the recommendations of the sub-committee as illustrated above. This will result in a 18.316 mileage increase to Anoka County less the 1.58 miles that Anoka County has in the bank resulting in a net increase in mileage of the State Aid System in Anoka County of 16.736 miles. It is my understanding that based on this information you will draft a report to the County Screening Board for the October 1995 meeting. Should you need additional information, please feel free to contact me at your earliest convenience at 754-3520.

I have discussed the above changes with two members of the Public Works Committee as well as the Cities involved and feel as though the changes indicated above are doable from a political standpoint.

While we are pleased with the sub-committee's recommendation we would like to reserve the right to provide the Screening Board with additional information on the segments which are not recommended.
dmh/2MILEAGE

NOTES \& COMMENTS


# MILEAGE SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT 

## TO THE

## COUNTY STATE AID HIGHWAY SCREENING BOARD

| Date: | September 26, 1995 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subcommittee: | David L. Everds, Dakota County, Chairman David LEen Leer <br> Lee Berget, Clearwater County <br> Dave Robley, Douglas County |
| Requests: | Meeker and Anoka Counties |

The mileage subcommittee completed a field review on September 1 of Meeker County and Anoka County requests.

Subsequent studies and discussions between the subcommittee members and the engineers resulted in the following recommendations.

## Meeker County:

The requested CSAH route does not serve as the primary entrance to the school. Suggestions were made to remove three miles of CSAH 36 from the system and add two miles in Harvey Township between CSAH 32 and TH 22 along with the requested addition near Grove Center.

Mr. Gordon Regenschied has notified the subcommittee that Meeker County discussed the above and continues with their original request. The subcommittee recommends denial of this request.

## Anoka County:

The mileage subcommittee made a number of recommendations for changes to the Anoka County requests. A summary follows and Mr. Jon Olson's September 20 response is attached.

Segment 1: The addition of CR 116 from Crooked Lake Bouldvard to TH 65 is recommended if CSAH 16 from CSAH 78 to TH 65 is removed. The easterly portion of CR 116 from TH 65 to CSAH 17 is recommended for addition if CSAH 10 is removed between CSAH 17 and TH 49.

Segment 2. The proposed segment from CR 83 to $C R 57$ is not recommended for addition to the system. To provide continuity to the system, the addition of CR 57 from TH 10 to CR 116 is necessary. That segment and CR 116 from CR 57 to CSAH 9 is recommended.

Segment 3. The addition of CR 83 from TH 10 to CSAH 22 is recommended.
Segment 4. CR 7 was separated into two parts for recommendations. The segment of CR 7 from CSAH 27 to CSAH 22 is recommended for addition if CSAH 27 is removed between TH 47 and CSAH 7. The subcommittee recommends denial of the segment of CR 7 from CSAH 22 to CSAH 24.

Segment 5. The addition of CR 78 from CSAH 20 to CSAH 22 is recommended.
Segment 6. The subcommittee recommends denial of CR 52 from CSAH 12 to CR 116.

Segment 7. The addition of the new alignment of Apollo Drive and CR 53 from CSAH 12 to TH 49 is recommended in conjunction with the revocation of CSAH 12 from CR 53 to TH 49 and use of the mileage bank. Anoka County has enough mileage in the bank to make this exchange without approval.

The recommendations listed above were based on spacing of roads, connectivity between cities, traffic, classification of roads, and subcommittee discussions.

The help and information provided by Mr. Ken Hoeschen was very valuable to the subcommittee.

Submitted by the CSAH Mileage Subcommittee.

Attachment: Anoka County September 26, 1995 memorandum


## REFERENCE

## MATERIAL



# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA 

OCTOBER, 1995

C.S.A.H. 20-Year Traffic Projection Factors<br>(For Possible Use in the 1995 C.S.A.H. Needs Study)

The map on the following page indicates the 20 -year traffic projection factors used for the 1995 Needs Study.

For some of those counties whose traffic was counted in 1993 and 1994, two factors are shown. The first factor is the one used in the 1995 Needs Study and the second one was computed using 1993 and 1994 traffic and will be used to update the 1995 Needs Study if the Screening board directs the Needs Unit to do so. A slash after the first factor indicates those counties whose new factors have not been completed yet.

The resolution on traffic projection factors limits the change in factors to a decrease of 0.3 from one traffic count interval to the next.

The following counties were counted in 1993.
家

The following counties were counted in 1994.

| Anoka | Hennepin | Marshall | Ramsey |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carlton | Kanabec | Mille Lacs | Rock |
| Carver | Kandiyohi | Nobles | Scott |
| Dakota | Lake of the Woods | Olmsted | Washington |
| Douglas |  |  |  |



Old and new factors are shown for those counties whose traffic was

## MINUTES OF THE COUNTY EANGINEER'S SCREENING BOARD MEETING JUNE 14 AND 15, 1995 <br> RUITGER'S RESORT, GRAND RAPIDS

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m., June 14, 1995 by Chairman, Gordon Regenscheid, Meeker County Engineer.

## ATTENDANCE

Roll call of members:
Doug Grindall, Koochiching District 1
Russ Larson, Roseau District 2
Steve Backowski, Morrison District 3
Dale Wegner, Pope District 4
Jon Olson, Anoka
Craig Falkum, Wabasha
Al Forsberg, Blue Earth
Gordon Regenscheid, Meeker
Don Wisniewski, Washington
Metro West
District 6
District 7
District 8
Metro East

Chairman Regenscheid asked for a motion to approve the October 26 and 27, 1994 Screening Board Minutes held at Izaty's Resort, Onamia. Motion by Dale Wegner, seconded by Steve Backowski, motion passed unanimously.

Roll call of MnDot personnel:

Pat Murphy,
Julie Skallman,
Ken Hoeschen,
Ken Straus,
Marshall Johnston,
Paul Stine,
Bill Croke,
Lou Tasa,
Mike Tardy, Tallack Johnson, Mike Pinsonneault, Doug Haeder, Tom Behm, Bob Brown,

Director, SALT Division
Assistant State Aid Engineer
Manager, County State Aid Needs Unit
Manager, Municipal State Aid Needs Unit
Municipal State Aid Needs Unit
Federal Aid Project Development Engineer
Bistrict 1 State Aid Engineer
Destrict 2 State Aid Engineer
District 3 State Aid Engineer
District 4 State Aid Engineer
District 6 State Aid Engineer
District 7 State Aid Engineer
District 8 State Aid Engineer
Metro Division State Aid Engineer

Chairman Gordon Regenscheid recognized Jack Cousins, Clay County, the representative of the General Subcommittee and Dick Hansen, St. Louis County, Chairman of the Local Road Research Board.

Chairman Gordon Regenscheid recognized the following alternates and other engineers in attendance:

Phil Bergem, Pine
Lee Berget, Clearwater
Mark Daly, Wadena
Rick West, Otter Tail
Vern Genzlinger, Hennepin
Gene Ulring, Fillmore
Marlin Larson, Cottonwood
Luke Hagen, Lincoln
Ken Anderson, Chisago
Others in attendance were:
Delton Schulz, Pennington
Tom Kozojed, Beltrami
Doug Weiszhaar, Stearns
Dave Heyer, Becker
Lee Amundson, Steele
Paul Kirkwold, Ramsey

District 1
District 2
District 3
District 4
Metro West - not present
District 6
District 7
District 8
Metro East

District 2
District 2
District 3
District 4
District 6
Metro East

## ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

Chairman Gordon asked for nominations for vice-chairman from the new members from the odd numbered districts, who will serve as Chairman in 1996. Don Wisniewski nominated Al Forsberg, Blue Earth County - District 7, Steve Backowski seconded the motion, hearing no more nominations Chairman Gordon asked for vote, motion carried.

Congratulations Al.
REVIEW OF SCREENING BOARD REPORT
Chairman Gordon asked Ken Hoeschen to review the screening board book. Ken reviewed the report which he has previously done out in all the Districts. Chairman Gordon suggested that any action taken on the report shall wait until June 15, 1995. Ken Hoeschen identified the members of the General Subcommittee:

| Vern Genzlinger | - | Hennepin County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jack Cousins | - | Clay County |
| Greg Isakson | - | Faribault County |

A) General Information - pages 1-9 No comments or questions.
B) Unit Price Recommendations - Pages 10-16

Ken reviewed the map in Figure A, gravel base unit prices.
C.S.A.H. roadway unit prices were figured using the increment method to determine each county's unit prices. The only exceptions to this unit price procedure were:

1. For concrete surfacing, the recommendation was to use 1994 MnDOT prices for both rural and urban.
2. For urban design subbase, use the individual county's gravel base unit price.
C.S.A.H. miscellaneous unit prices were figured using Mn/DOT recommendations or recommendations from the MSAS subcommittee, who have a better handle on the urban design costs. Changes noted were storm sewer and curb and gutier cosis.
C) Mileage Requests - Pages 17-22

Ken discussed the mileage banking on page 22 , stating that banked miles will remain until they are needed.
D) State Park Road Account - Pages 23-28

Ken discussed the Nicollet County request for approximately $\$ 140,000$ for resurfacing CSAH $29 \&$ CSAH 30 in Fort Ridgely State Park. AI Forsberg stated that District 7 supported this project.

## REFERENCE MATERIAL

1) Needs Adjustments for Variances Granted on CSAHs

Ken discussed the variances granted on page 31, these are adjustments made for projects that ask for something to be built other than what the rules call for and other than what you draw needs for and the adjustment is the difference between what they have been drawing needs for and what the variance allows them to do. Ken stated that Sibley County is not going to use State Aid money so there will not be an adjustment. Craig Falkum asked how is the adjustment made to the needs, Ken referred to pages 56 \& 57; the guidelines for needs adjustments on variances granted. If there is a need to review a variance that these guidelines do not cover the standing committee (Pete Boomgarden, Redwood; Don Wisniewski, Washington; Dave Schwarting, Sherburne) will meet and determine the adjustment. Ken stated that the adjustment is on the portion of the segment that covers the variance.
2) Minutes of the fall Screening Board Meeting, Page 32

No comments.
3) Minutes of the CSAH General Subcommittee Meeting, Page 41

No comments.
4) Current Resolutions of the County Screening Board, Page 43

No comments.

## GENERAL COMMENTS

There was a discussion on the concept of borrowing money from the state Aid Account, with probably having the General Subcommittee help setup the guidelines and review process.

Al Forsberg stated that District 7 discussed concerns about the Truck Highway turn back account and MnDOT's participation in County State Aid Highways over Truck Highways. Pat Murphy stated he was looping into a concept of how to spend 20 million dollars of County State Aid Turn Back funds. The concern is if highways are turned back will there be sufficient funds available to take care of them? Pat indicated he would like to look at different ways of applying this money to turn backs.

Steve Backowski stated that the Executive Board should discuss with the upper staff at MnDOT the cost participation on overpasses that have County State Aid Highways as approaches.

Paul Kirkwold asked the group for some assistance in preparing a project for the State Park Road Account for next fall. (handout "A") He hopes that by next fall he will be able to come back and ask for the necessary money. Pat Murphy suggested that the rules should be in place by this fall and they should clear up some of the issues dealing with the guidelines for the State Park Road Account.

Paul Stine explained the Federal Aid Section Quality Improvement Effort and why now. (handout "B") Russ Larson suggested how the ISTEA process should be streamlined to it's fullest and cut down on the review time. Some discussion followed concerning the time line for Federal Aid Projects and how soon should reports be submitted. Paul suggested at least one to two years in advance.

Dick Hansen, Chairman of the Local Road Research Board, gave a presentation of an overview of the LRRB Program for 1995. (handout "C") Dick stated that his six year term will be over this year and would highly recommend anyone getting involved because it is so interesting. He also asked if anyone has projects that you feel would fit into a research category let him know. Al Forsberg stated that it's real helpful if counties get involved with research projects because it is something that every county can make use of after the project is completed. Dick stated that there's moneys available for these projects. All you have to do is tap into them.

Pat Murphy asked the group to consider a couple of ideas until tomorrow. One is the use of a life cycle costing versus needs. The cities have decided to pursue a study of this type. The other item is the County State Aid Highway mileage limit and talk about how we add mileage to our system.

Chairman Gordon Regenscheid asked for a motion to recess the meeting until June 15, 1995, motion by Russ, seconded by Doug and carried.

The meeting reconvened at 8:30 a.m. June 15 , 1995 with all members present.
Chairman Gordon Regenscheid started the meeting with action on the Screening Book.

## ACTION ON SCREENING BOOK

A) Unit Price Recommendations, Pages 10-15

Motion by Don Wisniewski, seconded by Doug Grindall to accept the Gravel Base Unit Prices and the Miscellaneous Unit Price Report, motion carried.
B) Mileage Requests

No mileage requests.
C) State Park Road Account, Pages 23-27

Chairman Gordon Regenscheid asked if anyone had questions concerning the Nicollet County request for State Park Road Account funds. Steve Backowski asked if they will receive a needs reduction? Ken stated if any road is graded or resurfaced than it will reduce their needs. Gordon felt there could be more information given on these projects in the future. Motion by Craig Falkum, seconded by Al Forsberg to accept the State Park Road Account request, motion carried.
D) Reference Material

Gordon Regenscheid suggested setting up or designating a committee to study how or the best way to borrow money from our State Aid Account. Motion by Dale Wegner, seconded by Russ Larson to refer this item to the General Subcommittee, motion carried. Jack Cousins thanked the group for the upcoming task.

Chairman Gordon Regenscheid thanked outgoing Chairman Vern Genzlinger for his work and dedicated time on the General Subcommittee. His replacement will be from the Metro area, Gordon asked the Metro area engineers for suggestions because with all the committees in place there is not too many engineers to pick from.

Pat Murphy was asked to make comments concerning matters pertaining to Counties and MN/DOT. Pat discussed the removable of the State Aid Budget from the Trunk Highway fund. He felt this will allow the flexibility he needs to run the Division. He also suggested that the Screening Boards be used to review how the Administrative Account is budgeted and spent. A few questions followed but the board felt it is a step in the right direction.

Pat discussed the idea of Life Cycle Costing to provide a different approach in determining our County State Aid Highway reconstruction and maintenance costs. Russ asked if this would include the day to day maintenance costs. Al suggested that the maintenance items like overlays, seal coats, etc. probably need to be considered because they prolong the life of our roads. Don wondered what the State is doing to arrive at their numbers, Pat was not sure. Steve asked why do the review now? Pat thought it would be an opportune time because we are programming new software for our needs and maybe there is a better way to cost out our needs. Ken Anderson supported the idea, however, he felt it will be difficult to project the future of a particular road. Pat commented, hearing no objection he would like to scope out this idea and would like some possible direction. Tom Kozojed suggested that PMS (Pavement Management System) criteria be incorporated into this study also. Motion made by Russ, seconded by Steve to have the General Subcommittee actively assist State Aid in this study, motion carried.

Pat discussed the process of adding additional mileage to our State Aid system. He suggested there is still a concept out there that it is very difficult to add mileage to the system. The criteria goes back to 1958 decisions, that were done differently around the state. Pat is suggesting that every county engineer review their systems and see if there would be changes that could be made within their overall county road system. Don commented the small cities seem to be reluctant to release any of their State Aid roads. Craig commented that most of the mills and creamertes that were functioning years ago are no longer operating, but the cities do not want give up their miles. Don suggested if a county has a major change in growth and develops a plan, that they can bring this preliminary plan to the screening board for a review with hopes of future approval for additional mileage. Jon commented that if we don't come up with some changes, he feels that someone else will do it for us. Ken Anderson suggested this is not a mileage issue, but maybe a way to allow flexibility in spending. Pat said he's not promoting a change but is raising the issue to be looked at. Russ felt the system works good the way it is and feels we have the flexibility and leverage tr shange mileage within our counties. Craig felt the 30,000 mile cap should stay pat said the cap is no longer in the law and that the new rules will change is, bring them in line with the law. Mark Daly asked if CSAH system money could se used on county roads. Pat said it would require legislation to allow this to happen. Lee Berget, as a member of the mileage subcommittee, feels the subcommittee has made each county review their system thoroughly before making a decision on increasing mileage. Doug likes the way the system works and uses it in his county to convince the public and others that possibly a road should not be improved. Pat left the group with the thought, that maybe we should look at this issue even with the possibility nothing will change.

Don Wisniewski moved and Russ Larson seconded a motion to adjourn. Motion carried.

Respectively Submitted,


David A. Olsonawski
Screening Board Secretary Hubbard County Engineer

## CSAH GENERAL SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES <br> August 25, 1995 St. Paul

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Cousins at 12:30 P.M. August 25, 1995 in Room 417 of the Transportation Building.

| Members present: | Greg Isakson <br> Paul Kirkwold <br> Jack Cousins, Chairman | Faribault County <br> Oamsey County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Others in attendance: | Pat Murphy <br> Ken Hoeschen County |  |
|  | Diane Gould |  |

The Screening Board at its Spring 1995 meeting gave the General Subcommittee two items to study: Borrowing of State Aid Construction Funds and the concept of Life Cycle Costing in place of our present Needs Study System.

## Borrowing of State Aid Construction Funds

A State Aid Committee of Paul Stine, Joan Peters, Ken Straus and Ken Hoeschen drafted suggested guidelines for advance funding. Ken explained the proposal to the Subcommittee which generated considerable discussion. Ken stated the normal year end County State Aid Construction Fund Balance is usually 100-120 million dollars.

The recommendations on borrowing of State Aid Construction Funds will be reviewed by State Aid and presented to the Screening Board at their Fall 1995 meeting.

The General Subcommittee approved the following:
(1) The Subcommittee discussed prioritization of projects eligible for advanced State Aid funding. It was the consensus of the Subcommittee that advanced funding be granted on a first come first served basis.
(2) The maximum County State Aid construction dollars which can be advanced in any one year shall be the difference between the County State Aid construction fund balance at the end of the preceding calendar year and $\$ 50$ million.
(3) Total advances to the Regular Account shall be limited to the county's last regular construction allotment, and will be reduced by any scheduled regular bond principal obligations and advance encumbrance repayments. Any advances must be repaid by deducting that amount from the next years CSAH regular construction allotment.
(4) Total advances to the Municipal Account shall be limited to the county's last municipal construction allotment, and will be reduced by any scheduled municipal bond principal obligations and advance encumbrance repayments. Any advances must be repaid by deducting that amount from the next years CSAH municipal construction allotment.

According to Minnesota Statute 162.08 subdivision 5 and 7: Total advances to all state Aid Construction accounts shall not exceed 40 percent of the county's last total apportionment (Construction and Maintenance) preceding the first outstanding advance. Also total advances to the Municipal account shall not exceed $30 \%$ of the county's last total apportionment (Construction and Maintenance) preceding the first outstanding advance. This naturally takes precedence over (3) and (4).
(6) Advance State Aid funding must be project specific and must be requested in the form of a resolution submitted by the county with or in advance of the "Report of State Aid Contract".

The following questions were raised by the Subcommittee and subsequently researched by State Aid.

Prior to letting a project where advance funding is required, the county must contact the State Aid Division's Finance section to ensure State Aid Funds are available for transfer. The Subcommittee wondered if State Aid dollars could be "reserved" until a resolution is submitted. After the meeting; in a discussion with Joan Peters, State Aid Finance; she felt this would be extremely cumbersome and probably reserving dollars would not be necessary.

Also, the Subcommittee felt that if a county had a construction fund balance and requested a "State Aid Advance" for a specific project; the dollars in the original construction fund shall remain to be used for overruns, other State Aid projects, etc. Later, Joan Peters advised the State Aid Needs staff that if a county wishes to earmark the original construction fund balances for specific project overruns or other State Aid projects, documentation to that effect should be submitted to State Aid Finance. If proper documentation is not submitted, the county's construction fund balance will be depleted to zero before the advanced funding kicks in. Another option would be to include funds for these uses in the amount requested to be advanced by resolution.

## Life Cycle Costing

Pat explained his proposal of Life Cycle Costing which, if approved, would modify our present Needs system. He used an example based on a 50 year time period which would include all costs over a 50 year period which preserved or extended the pavement life.

An example for a gravel highway would include grading and additional gravel surfacing over the 50 year period. These costs would remain with the highway over the 50 year period.

An example of a bituminous highway would include grading, base, bituminous paving, several seal coats, a bituminous overlay, and a mill and bituminous overlay. Also bridge and structure costs would be added as appropriate.

There was considerable discussion on this subject and all agreed that there probably would be some winners and losers in this system.

The General Subcommittee did not feel comfortable in recommending or not recommending a study on this subject to the Screening Board.

The General Subcommittee decided to discuss this issue with the Screening Board and if the decision is to send out a questionnaire to all County Engineers, we would work with State Aid and the Screening Board to draft such a document. The questionaire could be used to determine interest and what life cycle activities are common to counties.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:30 P.M.

Sincerely,


Jack Cousins
Chairman/Secretary

## RESOLUTION

Whereas, the County of $\qquad$ has obtained the Commissioners approval of the plans for the following County State Aid Highway Project(s):
S.A.P. No. $\qquad$ C.S.A.H No. $\qquad$
Located $\qquad$
Consisting of $\qquad$
S.A.P. No. $\qquad$ C.S.A.H No. $\qquad$
Located $\qquad$
Consisting of $\qquad$
And, whereas, said county is prepared to proceed with the construction of said project(s) by requesting an advance encumbrance of $\$$ $\qquad$ from the general State Aid Construction Account to supplement the available funds in their (Regular Account)(Municipal Account) of County State Aid Highway funds, and

Whereas, repayment of the funds so advanced by the County is desired in accordance with the provisions of Minnesota Statutes 162.08, Subdivision 7.

Whereas the county requests funds to be reserved in the regular/municipal construction account for the projects listed below. It is understood that if no funds are reserved, the regular/municipal construction account balance will be depleted upon execution of this advance.

Project \#
Amt to be reserved


Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved: That the Commissioner of Transportation be and is hereby requested to approve this advance for financing said construction project(s) and to authorize repayments from the following year's accruals to the (Regular Account)(Municipal Account) of the County State Aid Highway fund for said county, within the limitations provided by law and at the times and in the amount as herein indicated:

On or after February 1, 19 $\qquad$ - \$ $\qquad$ from 19 $\qquad$ Allotment

I, $\qquad$ , duly appointed and qualified Auditor in and for the County of $\qquad$ , State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that the above is a true and full copy of a resolution duly adopted by the County Board of $\qquad$ County, Minnesota, assembled in (regular)(special) session on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 19 $\qquad$

## County Auditor

Submit:
2 Copies - State Aid Division

# CURRENT RESOLUTIONS OF THE COUNTY SCREENING BOARD 

July, 1995

## BE IT RESOLVED:

## ADMINISTRATIVE

Improper Needs Report - Oct 1961 (Rev. Jan 1969)
That the Office of State Aid and the District State Aid Engineer be requested to recommend an adjustment in the needs reporting whenever there is reason to believe that said reports have deviated from accepted standards and to submit their recommendations to the Screening Board with a copy to the county engineer involved.

Type of Needs Study - Oct 1961 (Rev. June 1965)
That the Screening Board shall, from time to time, make recommendations to the Commissioner of Transportation as to the extent and type of needs study to be subsequently made on the County State Aid Highway System consistent with the requirements of law.

## Appearance at Screening Board - Oct 1962

That any individual or delegation having items of concern regarding the study of State Aid Needs or State Aid Apportionment Amounts, and wishing to have consideration given to these items, shall, in a written report, communicate with the Commissioner of Transportation through proper channels. The Commissioner shall determine which requests are to be referred to the Screening Board for their consideration. This resolution does not abrogate the right of the Screening Board to call any person or persons to appear before the Screening Board for discussion purposes.

## Construction Cut Off Date - Oct. 1962 (Rev. June 1983)

That for the purpose of measuring the needs of the County State Aid Highway System, the annual cut off date for recording construction accomplishments based upon the project letting date shall be December 31.

## Screening Board Vice-chairman - June 1968

That at the first County Screening Board meeting held each year, a Vicechairman shall be elected and he shall serve in that capacity until the following year when he shall succeed to the chairmanship.

## Screening Board Secretary - Oct 1961

That, annually, the Commissioner of Transportation may be requested to appoint a secretary, upon recommendation of the County Highway Engineers' Association, as a non-voting member of the County Screening Board for the purpose of recording all Screening Board actions.

## Besearch Account - Oct. 1961

That the Screening Board annually consider setting aside a reasonable amount of County State Aid Highway Funds for the Research Account to continue local road research activity.

## Annual District Meeting - Oct_ 1963 (Rev. June 1985)

That the District State Aid Engineer call a minimum of one district meeting annually at the request of the District Screening Board Representative to review needs for consistency of reporting.

## General Subcommittee - Oct. 1986

That the Screening Board Chairman appoint a Subcommittee to annuaily study all unit prices and variations thereof, and to make recommendations to the Screening Board. The Subcommittee will consist of three members with initial terms of one, two and three years, and representing the north (Districts 1, 2, 3 and 4), the south (Districts 6, 7 and 8) and the metro area (Districts 5 and 9) of the state. Subsequent terms will be for three years.

## Mileage_Subcommittee - Jan. 1989

That the Screening Board Chairman appoint a Subcommittee to review all additional mileage requests submitted and to make recommendations on these requests to the County Screening Board. The Subcommittee will consist of three members with initial terms of one, two and three years and representing the metro (Districts 5 and 9), the north (Districts 1, 2, 3 and 4) and the south area (Districts 6, 7 and 8) of the state respectively. Subsequent terms will be for three years and appointments will be made after each year's Fall Screening Board Meeting. Mileage requests must be in the District State Aid Engineer's Office by April 1 to be considered at the spring meeting and by August 1 to be considered at the fall meeting.

## NEEDS AD.IUSTMENTS

## Deficiency Adjustment - Oct. 1961 (Rev. June 1965)

That any money needs adjustment made to any county within the deficiency classification pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 162.07, Subdivision 4, shall be deemed to have such money needs adjustment confined to the rural needs only, and that such adjustment shall be made prior to computing the Municipal Account allocation.

## Minimum Apportionment - Oct. 1961 (Latest Rev. Dec. 1966)

That any county whose total apportionment percentage falls below .586782, which is the minimum percentage permitted for Red Lake, Mahnomen and Big Stone Counties, shall have its money needs adjusted so that its total apportionment factor shall at least equal the minimum percentage factor.

## Fund to Townships - April 1964 (Rev. June 1965)

That this Screening Board recommend to the Commissioner of Transportation, that he equalize the status of any county allocating County State Aid Highway Funds to the township by deducting the township's total annual allocation from the gross money needs of the county for a period of twenty-five years.

## Bond Adjustment - Oct. 1962 (Latest Rev. Oct. 1985)

That a separate annual adjustment shall be made in total money needs of a county that has sold and issued bonds pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Section 162.181 for use on State Aid projects except bituminous overlay or concrete joint repair projects. That this adjustment, which covers the amortization period, which annually reflects the net unamortized bonded debt, shall be accomplished by adding said net unamortized bond amount to the computed money needs of the county. For the purpose of this adjustment, the net unamortized bonded debt shall be the total unamortized bonded indebtedness less the unencumbered bond amount as of December 31, of the preceding year.

## County State Aid Construction Fund Balances - May 1975 (Latest Rev. October 19881

That, for the determination of County State Aid Highway needs, the amount of the unencumbered construction fund balance as of September 1 of the current year; not including the current year's regular account construction apportionment and not including the last three years of municipal account construction apportionment or $\$ 100,000$, whichever is greater; shall be deducted from the 25-year construction needs of each individual county. Also,
that for the computation of this deduction, the estimated cost of right-of-way acquisition which is being actively engaged in shall be considered encumbered funds.

That, for the computation of this deduction, a Report of State Aid Contract (Form \#30172) that has been received before September 1 by the District State Aid Engineer for processing or Federally-funded projects that have been let but not awarded shall be considered as being encumbered and the construction balances shall be so adjusted.

## Needs Credit for Local Effort - Oct. 1989 (Latest Rev.

Oct, 1992

That annually a needs adjustment for local effort for construction items which reduce State Aid needs shall be made to the CSAH 25 year construction needs.

The adjustment (credit for local effort) shall be the local (not State Aid or Federal Aid) dollars spent on State Aid Construction Projects for items eligible for State Aid participation. This adjustment shall be annually added to the 25 year County State Aid Highway construction needs of the county involved for a period of ten years beginning with the first apportionment year after the documentation has been submitted.

It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to submit this data to their District State Aid Engineer. His submittal and approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1 to be included in the following years apportionment determination.

## Grading Cost Adjustment - Oct. 1968 (Latest Rev. June, 1988)

That, annually, a separate adjustment to the rural and the urban complete grading costs in each county be considered by the Screening Board. Such adjustments shall be made to the regular account and shall be based on the relationship of the actual cost of grading to the estimated cost of grading reported in the needs study. The method of determining and the extent of the adjustment shall be approved by the Screening Board. Any "Final" costs used in the comparison must be received by the Needs Section by July 1 of the Needs Study year involved.

## Restriction of 25-Year Construction Needs Increase - Oct 1975 (Latest Rev. Oct. 1985)

The CSAH construction needs change in any one county from the previous year's restricted CSAH needs to the current year's basic 25-year CSAH construction needs shall be restricted to 20 percentage points greater than or lesser than the statewide average percent change from the previous year's restricted CSAH needs to the current year's basic 25-year CSAH construction needs. Any needs restriction determined by this Resolution shall be made to the regular account of the county involved.

## Trunk Highway Turnback - June 1965 (Latest Rev. June 1977)

That any Trunk Highway Turnback which reverts directly to the county and becomes part of the State Aid Highway System shall not have its construction needs considered in the money needs apportionment determination as long as the former Trunk Highway is fully eligible for 100 percent construction payment from the County Turnback Account. During this time of eligibility, financial aid for the additional maintenance obligation of the county imposed by the Turnback shall be computed on the basis of the current year's apportionment data and the existing traffic, and shall be accomplished in the following manner:

Existing_ADI Turnback Maintenance/Mile/2 Lanes 0-999 VPD Current mileage apportionment/mile 1,000-4,999 VPD $2 \times$ current mileage apportionment/mile For every additional 5,000 VPD Add current mileage apportionment/mile

Initial Turnback Maintenance Adjustment - Fractional Year Reimbursement:
The initial Turnback adjustment, when for less than 12 full months, shall provide partial maintenance cost reimbursement by adding said initial adjustment to the money needs which will produce approximately 1/12 of the Turnback maintenance per mile in apportionment funds for each month, or part of a month, that the county had maintenance responsibility during the initial year.

Turnback Maintenance Adjustment - Full Year, Initial or Subsequent:

To provide an advance payment for the coming year's additional maintenance obligation, a needs adjustment per mile shall be added to the annual money needs. This needs adjustment per mile shall produce sufficient needs apportionment funds so that when added to the mileage
apportionment per mile, the Turnback maintenance per mile prescribed shall be earned for each mile of Trunk Highway Turnback on the County State Aid Highway System. Turnback adjustments shall terminate at the end of the calendar year during which a construction contract has been awarded that fulfills the County Turnback Account payment provisions, or at the end of the calendar year during which the period of eligibility for 100 percent construction payment from the County Turnback Account expires. The needs for these roadways shall be included in the needs study for the next apportionment.

That Trunk Highway Turnback maintenance adjustments shall be made prior to the computation of the minimum apportionment county adjustment.

Those Turnbacks not fully eligible for 100 percent reimbursement for reconstruction with County Turnback Account funds are not eligible for maintenance adjustments and shall be included in the needs study in the same manner as normal County State Aid Highways.

## MILEAGE

## Mileage Limitation - Oct. 1961 (Latest Rev. Oct. 1994)

Mileage made available by an internal revision after July 1, 1990, will be held in abeyance (banked) for future designation.

That any request, after July 1, 1990, by any county for County State Aid Highway designation, other than Trunk Highway Turnbacks, or minor increases due to construction proposed on new alignment, that results in a net increase greater than the total of the county's approved apportionment mileage for the preceding year plus any "banked" mileage shall be submitted to the Screening Board for consideration. Such request should be accompanied by supporting data and be concurred on by the District State Aid Engineer.

Any requested CSAH mileage increase must be reduced by the amount of CSAH mileage being held in abeyance from previous internal revisions (banked mileage).

All mileage requests submitted to the County State Aid Highway Screening Board will be considered as proposed, and no revisions to such mileage requests will be considered by the Screening Board without being resubmitted prior to publication of the Screening Board Report by the Office of State Aid. The Screening Board shall review such requests and make its recommendation to the Commissioner of Transportation. If approved, the needs on mileage additions shall be submitted to the Office of State Aid for inclusion in the subsequent year's study of needs.

Revisions in the County State Aid Highway System not resulting in an increase in mileage do not require Screening Board review.

Mileage made available by reason of shortening a route by construction shall not be considered as designatable mileage elsewhere.

That any additions to a county's State Aid System, required by State Highway construction, shall not be approved unless all mileage made available by revocation of State Aid roads which results from the aforesaid construction has been used in reducing the requested additions.

That in the event a County State Aid Highway designation is revoked because of the proposed designation of a Trunk Highway over the County State Aid Highway alignment, the mileage revoked shall not be considered as eligible for a new County State Aid Highway designation.

That, whereas, Trunk Highway Turnback mileage is allowed in excess of the normal County State Aid Highway mileage limitations, revocation of said Turnbacks designated after July 1, 1965, shall not create eligible mileage for State Aid designation on other roads in the county, unless approved by the Screening Board.

That, whereas, former Municipal State Aid street mileage located in municipalities which fell below 5,000 population under the 1980 and 1990 Federal census, is allowed in excess of the normal County State Aid Highway mileage limitations, revocation of said former M.S.A.S.'s shall not create eligible mileage for State Aid Designation on other roads in the county.

That, whereas, the county engineers are sending in many requests for additional mileage to the C.S.A.H. system up to the date of the Screening Board meetings, and whereas this creates a burden on the State Aid Staff to prepare the proper data for the Screening Board, be it resolved that the requests for the spring meeting must be in the State Aid Office by April 1 of each year, and the requests for the fall meeting must be in the State Aid Office by August 1 of each year. Requests received after these dates shall carry over to the next meeting.

Non-existing County State Aid Highway Designations - Oct 1990 - (Latest Rev. Oct. 19921

That all counties which have non-existing CSAH designations, that have drawn needs for 10 years or more, have until December 1, 1992 to either remove them from their CSAH system or to let a contract for the construction of the roadway, or incorporate the route in a transportation plan adopted by the County and approved by the District State Aid Engineer. After that date, any non-existing CSAH designation not a part of a transportation plan adopted by the County and approved by the District State Aid Engineer will have the
"Needs" removed from the 25 year CSAH Needs Study after 10 years. Approved non-existing CSAH designations shall draw "Needs" up to a maximum of 25 years or until constructed.

## IRAFFIC

## Traffic Projection Factors - Oct. 1961 - (Latest Rev. Oct. 1992)

That new Traffic Projection Factors for the needs study be established for each county using a "least squares" projection of the vehicle miles from the last four traffic counts and in the case of the seven county metro area from the number of latest traffic counts which fall in a minimum of a twelve year period. This normal factor can never fall below 1.0. Also, new traffic factors will be computed whenever an approved traffic count is made. These normal factors may, however, be changed by the county engineer for any specific segments where conditions warrant, with the approval of the District State Aid Engineer.

Because of the limited number of CSAH's counted in the metro area under a "System 70" procedure used in the mid-1970's, those "System 70" count years shall not be used in the least squares traffic projection. Count years which show representative traffic figures for the majority of their CSAH system will be used until the "System 70" count years drop off the twelve year minimum period mentioned previous/y.

Also, due to the major mileage swap between Hennepin County and Mn/DOT which occurred in 1988, the traffic projection factor for Hennepin County shall be based on the current highway system, using the traffic volumes of that system for the entire formula period.

Also, the adjustment to traffic projection factors shall be limited to a 0.3 point decrease per traffic count interval.

## Minimum Requirements - Oct. 1963 (Rev. June 1985)

That the minimum requirements for 4-12 foot traffic lanes be established as 5,000 projected vehicles per day for rural design and 7,000 for urban design. Traffic projections of over 20,000 vehicles per day for urban design will be the minimum requirements for 6-12 foot lanes. The use of these multiple-lane designs in the needs study, however, must be requested by the county engineer and approved by the District State Aid Engineer.

## ROAD NEEDS

Method of Study - Oct. 1961 (Rev. Nov. 1965)

That, except as otherwise specifically provided, the Manual of Instruction for Completion of Data Sheets shall provide the format for estimating needs on the County State Aid Highway System.

## Soil - Oct. 1961 (Latest Rev. June 1985)

Soil classifications established using a U.S. Soil Conservation Service Soil Map must have supporting verification using standard testing procedures; such as soil borings or other approved testing methods. A minimum of ten percent of the mileage requested to be changed must be tested at the rate of ten tests per mile. The mileage to be tested and the method to be used shall be approved by the District State Aid Engineer. Soil classifications established by using standard testing procedures, such as soil borings or other approved testing methods, shall have one hundred percent of the mileage requested to be changed tested at the rate of ten tests per mile.

All soil classification determinations must be approved by the District State Aid Engineer.

Unit Costs - Oct 1961 (Rev. Nov. 1965)

That the unit costs for base, surface and shouldering quantities obtained from the 5-Year Average Construction Cost Study and approved by the Screening Board shall be used for estimating needs.

## Design - Oct 1961 (Latest Rev. June 1982)

That all roads be divided into proper segments and the highest estimated ADT, consistent with adjoining segments, be used in determining the design geometrics for needs study purposes.

Also, that for all roads which qualify for needs in excess of additional surfacing, the proposed needs shall be based solely on projected traffic, regardless of existing surface types or geometrics.

And, that for all roads which are considered adequate in the needs study, additional surfacing and shouldering needs shall be based on existing geometrics but not greater than the widths allowed by the State Aid Design Standards currently in force.

```
Grading - Oct. 1961 (Rev. June, 1988)
```

That all grading costs shall be determined by the county engineer's estimated cost per mile.

## Rural Design Grade Widening - June 1980

That rural design grade widening needs be limited to the following widths and costs:
Eeet of Widening Needs Cost/Mile

4-8Feet 50\% of Average Complete Grading Cost/Mile
9-12 Feet $75 \%$ of Average Complete Grading Cost/Mile
Any segments which are less than 4 feet deficient in width shall be considered adequate. Any segments which are more than 12 feet deficient in width shall have needs for complete grading.

Storm Sewer - Oct. 1961 (Rev. Nov. 1965)
That storm sewer mains may be located off the County State Aid Highway if, in so doing, it will satisfactorily accommodate the drainage problem of the County State Aid Highway.

## Base and Surface - June 1965 (Rev June 1985)

That base and surface quantities shall be determined by reference to traffic volumes, soil factors, and State Aid standards. Rigid base is not to be used as the basis for estimating needs on County State Aid Highways. Replacement mats shall be 3" bituminous surface over existing concrete or 2" bituminous surface over existing bituminous. To be eligible for concrete pavement in the needs study, 2,500 VPD or more per lane projected traffic is necessary.

## Construction Accomplishments - June 1965 (Latest Rev. Oct 1983)

That any complete grading accomplishments be considered as complete grading construction of the affected roadway and grading needs shall be excluded for a period of 25 years from the project letting date or date of force account agreement. At the end of the 25-year period, needs for complete reconstruction of the roadway will be reinstated in the needs study at the initiative of the County Engineer with costs established and justified by the County Engineer and approved by the State Aid Engineer.

Needs for resurfacing shall be allowed on all county state aid highways at all times.

That any bridge construction project shall cause the needs on the affected bridge to be removed for a period of 35 years from the project letting date or date of force account agreement. At the end of the 35-year period, needs for complete reconstruction of the bridge will be reinstated in the needs study at the initiative of the County Engineer and with approval of the State Aid Engineer.

The restrictions above will apply regardless of the source of funding for the road or bridge project. Needs may be granted as an exception to this resolution upon request by the County Engineer, and justification to the satisfaction of the State Aid Engineer (e.g., a deficiency due to changing standards, projected traffic, or other verifiable causes).

## Special Resurfacing Projects - May 1967 (Latest Rev. June 1990)

That any county using non-local construction funds for special bituminous or concrete resurfacing or concrete joint repair projects shall have the non-local cost of such special resurfacing projects annually deducted from its 25-year County State Aid Highway construction needs for a period of ten (10) years.

For needs purposes, a special resurfacing project shall be defined as a bituminous or concrete resurfacing or concrete joint repair project which has been funded at least partially with money from the CSAH Construction Account and is considered deficient (i.e. segments drawing needs for more than additional surfacing) in the CSAH Needs Study in the year after the resurfacing project is let.

## Items Not Eligible For Apportionment Needs - Oct 1961 (Latest Rev June 1985)

That Adjustment of Utilities, Miscellaneous Construction, or Maintenance Costs shall not be considered a part of the Study of Apportionment Needs of the County State Aid Highway System.

Bight of Way - Oct 1979
That for the determination of total needs, proposed right-of-way widths shall be standardized in the following manner:

Proposed Rural Design

Proposed Urban Design

Projected ADT
Proposed R/W Width
100 Feet
110 Feet
120 Feet
184 Feet
Proposed R/W Width
Width
0-44 Feet 60 Feet
45 \& Over Proposed Roadbed
Width +20 Feet

Also, that the total needs cost for any additional right of way shall be based on the estimated market value of the land involved, as determined by each county's assessor.

Loops and Ramps - May 1966
That any county may include the cost of loops and ramps in the needs study with the approval of the District State Aid Engineer.

## BRIDGE NEEDS

## Bridge Widening - April 1964 (Latest Rev. June 1985)

That the minimum bridge widening be 4 feet.

## Bridge Cost Limitations - July 1976 (Rev. Oct. 1986)

That the total needs of the Minnesota River bridge between Scott and Hennepin Counties be limited to the estimated cost of a single 2-lane structure of approved length until the contract amount is determined. Also, that the total needs of the Mississippi River bridge between Dakota and Washington Counties be limited to the estimated cost of a 2-lane structure of approved length until the contract amount is determined. In the event the allowable apportionment needs portion (determined by Minnesota Chapter 162.07, Subdivision 2) of the contract amount from normal funds (FAU, FAS, State Aid, Local) exceeds the "apportionment needs cost", the difference shall be added to the 25-year needs of the respective counties for a period of 15 years.

## AFTER THE FACT NEEDS

## Bridge Deck Rehabilitation - Dec. 1982 (Latest Rev. Oct. 1992)

That needs for bridge deck rehabilitation shall be earned for a period of 15 years after the construction has been completed and the documentation has been submitted and shall consist of only those construction costs actually incurred by the county. It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to justify any costs incurred and to report said costs to the District State Aid Engineer. His approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1 to be included in the following years apportionment determination.

## Right of Way - June 1984 (Latest Rev. June 1994)

That needs for Right-of-Way on County State Aid Highways shall be earned for a period of 25 years after the purchase has been made and the documentation has been submitted and shall be comprised of actual monies paid to property owners with local or State Aid funds. Only those Right of Way costs actually incurred will be eligible. It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to submit justification to the District State Aid Engineer. His approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1 to be included in the following years apportionment determination.

Traffic Signals, Lighting, Retaining Walls, Sidewalk, and Wetland Mitigation June 1984 (Latest Rev. Oct. 1992)

That needs for Traffic Signals, Lighting, Retaining Walls, Sidewalk, and Wetland Mitigation (as eligible for State Aid participation) on County State Aid Highways shall be earned for a period of 25 years after the construction has been completed and the documentation has been submitted and shall consist of only those construction costs actually incurred by the county. It shall be the County Engineer's responsibility to justify any costs incurred and to report said costs to the District State Aid Engineer. His approval must be received in the Office of State Aid by July 1 to be included in the following years apportionment determination.

## VARIANCES

## Variance Subcommittee - June 1984

That a Variance Subcommittee be appointed to develop guidelines for use in making needs adjustments for variances granted on County State Aid Highways.

That the following guidelines be used to determine needs adjustments due to variances granted on County State Aid Highways:

1) There will be no needs adjustments applied in instances where variances have been granted, but because of revised rules, a variance would not be necessary at the present time.
2) No needs deduction shall be made for those variances which allow a width less than standard but greater than the width on which apportionment needs are presently being computed.

Examples: a) Segments whose needs are limited to the center 24 feet.
b) Segments which allow wider dimensions to accommodate diagonal parking but the needs study only relates to parallel parking (44 feet).
3) Those variances granted for acceptance of design speeds less than standards for grading or resurfacing projects shall have a 10 year needs adjustment applied cumulatively in a one year deduction.
a) The needs deduction shail be for the compiete grading cost if the segment has been drawing needs for complete grading.
b) The needs deduction shall be for the grade widening cost if the segment has been drawing needs for grade widening.
c) In the event a variance is granted for resurfacing an existing roadway involving substandard width, horizontal and vertical curves, etc., but the only needs being earned are for resurfacing, and the roadway is within 5 years of probable reinstatement of full regrading needs based on the 25-year time period from original grading; the previously outlined guidelines shall be applied for needs reductions using the county's average complete grading cost per mile to determine the adjustment. If the roadway is not within 5 years of probable reinstatement of grading needs, no needs deduction shall be made.
4) Those variances requesting acceptance of widths less than standard for a grading and/or base and bituminous construction project shall have a needs reduction equivalent to the needs difference between the standard width and constructed width for an accumulative period of 10 years applied as a single one year deduction.
5) On grading and grade widening projects, the needs deduction for bridge width variances shall be the difference between the actual bridge needs and a theoretical needs calculated using the width of the bridge left in place. This difference shall be computed to cover a 10 year period and will be applied cumulatively in a one year deduction.

Exception: If the county, by resolution, indicates that the structure will be constructed within 5 years, no deduction will be made.
6). On resurfacing projects, the needs deduction for bridge width variances shall be the difference between theoretical needs based on the width of the bridge which could be left in place and the width of the bridge actually left in place. This difference shall be computed to cover a ten year period and will be applied cumulatively in a one year deduction.

Exception: If the county, by resolution, indicates that the structure will be constructed within 5 years, no deduction will be made.
7) There shall be a needs reduction for variances which resto tridge construction less than standard, which is equivalent to the needs difference between what has been shown in the needs study and the structure which was actually built, for an accumulative period of 10 years applied as a single one year deductan.
8) No needs adjustments will be applied where variances have been granted for a recovery area or inslopes less than standard.
9) Those variances requesting acceptance of pavement strength less than standard for a grading and/or base and bituminous construction project shall have a needs reduction equivalent to the needs difference between the standard pavement strength and constructed pavement strength for an accumulative period of 10 years applied as a single one year deduction.

Minnesota Department of Transportation

## Memo

State Ald for Local Transportation Division
Mall Stop 500, Room 420
Offlce tel: 612/296-3013
395 John Ireland Boulevard
Fax: 612/282-2727
St. Paul, MN 55155

October 20, 1995

TO: $\quad$ Recipients of October, 1995 County
Screening Board Report
FROM: Ken Hoeschen, Manager
County State Aid Needs Unit
 (612)296-1660

SUBJECT: Report Corrections

After publishing the October, 1995 County Screening Board Report we discovered two errors; one in Blue Earth County and one in Faribault County.

The attached corrected copies of the pages involved should be inserted in your report.
Sorry for any confusion or inconvenience this has caused.

Comparison of the Basic 1994 to the Basic 1995 25-Year Construction Needs

| County | Revised Basic 1994 25-Year Const. Needs | Effect of Normal Update | \% <br> Change | Effect of Unit Price Update | \% | Basic 1995 $25-Y e a r$ Const. Needs | Total Change From 1994 Needs |  | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | -86,741,879 | \$7,486,188 | 8.6\% | \$232,273 | 0.2\% | 94,460,340 | \$7,718,461 | 8.9\% | Anoka |
| Carver | 62,942,596 | $(1,514,301)$ | -2.4\% | 1,348,343 | 2.2\% | 62,776,638 | $(165,958)$ | -0.3\% | Carver |
| Hennepin | 509,756,729 | $(22,499,726)$ | -4.4\% | 5,740,171 | 1.2\% | 492,997,174 | $(16,759,555)$ | -3.3\% | Hennepin |
| Scott | 60,019,143 | $(7,663)$ | 0.0\% | 949,146 | 1.6\% | 60,960,626 | $941,483$ | $1.6 \%$ | Scott |
| District 5 Totals | 719,460,347 | $(16,535,502)$ | -2.3\% | 8,269,933 | 1.2\% | 711,194,778 | $(8,265,569)$ |  | District 5 Totals |
| Dodge | 33,544,471 | $(435,140)$ | -1.3\% | 144,534 | 0.4\% | 33,253,865 | $(290,606)$ | -0.9\% | Dodge |
| Fillmore | 101,197,831 | $(2,525,753)$ | -2.5\% | 439,819 | 0.4\% | 99,111,897 | $(2,085,934)$ | -2.1\% | Fillmore |
| Freeborn | 61,675,327 | 999,814 | 1.6\% | (164,521) | -0.3\% | 62,510,620 | 835,293 | 1.4\% | Freeborn |
| Goodhue | 58,800,492 | 2,119,594 | 3.6\% | 2,050,453 | 3.4\% | 62,970,539 | 4,170,047 | 7.1\% | ue |
| Houston | 58,295,456 | 3,278,682 | 5.6\% | $(401,153)$ | -0.7\% | 61,172,985 | 2,877,529 | 4.9\% | Houston |
| Mower | 65,910,472 | 2,867,898 | 4.4\% | 916,287 | 1.3\% | 69,694,657 | 3,784,185 | 5.7\% | ower |
| Olmsted | 79,468,452 | 476,649 | 0.6\% | 1,962,180 | 2.5\% | 81,907,281 | 2,438,829 | 3.1\% | Olmsted |
| Rice | 54,871,021 | 844,084 | 1.5\% | 1,948,529 | 3.5\% | 57,663,634 | 2,792,613 | 5.1\% | Rice |
| Steele | 46,730,918 | 346,899 | 0.7\% | 640,202 | 1.4\% | 47,718,019 | 987,101 | 2.1\% | Steele |
| Wabasha | 56,686,322 | 960,411 | 1.7\% | 898,967 | 1.6\% | 58,545,700 | 1,859,378 | 3.3\% | Wabasha |
| Winona | 70,577,850 | $(118,934)$ | -0.2\% | 964,564 | 1.4\% | $71,423,480$ | $\begin{array}{r} 845,630 \\ 18.214,065 \end{array}$ | $1.2 \%$ | Winona |
| District 6 Totals | 687,758,612 | 8,814,204 | 1.3\% | 9,399,861 | 1.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Earth | 89,161,692 | 4,100,912 | 4.6\% | 146,948 | 0.2\% | 93,409,552 | 4,247,860 | 4.8\% | ue Earth |
| Brown | 40,064,197 | $(306,632)$ | -0.8\% | $(1,265,356)$ | -3.2\% | 38,492,209 | (1,571,988) | -3.9\% | Brown |
| Cottonwood | 37,285,368 | 1,252,914 | 3.4\% | $(243,724)$ | -0.6\% | 38,294,558 | 1,009,190 | 2.7\% | Cottonwood |
| Faribault | 59,475,134 | $(1,224,172)$ | -2.1\% | 1,002,158 | 1.7\% | 59,253,120 | $(222,014)$ | -0.4\% | bault |
| Jackson | 53/572,502 | 2,367,643 | 4.4\% | 327,742 | 0.6\% | 56,267,887 | 2,695,385 | 5.0\% | Jackson |
| Le Sueur | 45,034,072 | $(793,946)$ | -1.8\% | $(101,845)$ | -0.2\% | 44,138,281 | $(895,791)$ | -2.0\% | Le Sueur |
| Martin | 49,089,754 | 925,173 | 1.9\% | $(471,936)$ | -0.9\% | 49,542,991 | 453,237 | 0.9\% | Martin |
| Nicollet | 40,819,693 | $(379,092)$ | -0.9\% | $(216,664)$ | -0.5\% | 40,223,937 | $(595,756)$ | -1.5\% | collet |
| Nobles | 53,446,670 | 948,698 | 1.8\% | $(961,511)$ | -1.8\% | 53,433,857 | $(12,813)$ | 0.0\% | Nobles |
| Rock | 32,436,754 | 73,128 | 0.2\% | $(702,796)$ | -2.2\% | 31,807,086 | $(629,668)$ | -1.9\% | Rock |
| Sibley | 38,720,078 | 1,232,830 | 3.2\% | $(558,010)$ | -1.4\% | 39,394,898 | 674,820 | \% | bley |
| Waseca | 42,894,610 | $(611,238)$ | -1.4\% | 1,089,926 | 2.6\% | 43,373,298 | 478,688 | 1.1\% | Waseca |
| Watonwan District 7 Totals | $29,163,083$ $611,163,607$ | $\begin{gathered} (38,987) \\ 7,547,231 \end{gathered}$ | $-0.1 \%$ $1.2 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,193,879 \\ (761,189) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \% \\ -0.1 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,317,975 \\ 617,949,649 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,154,892 \\ & 6,786,042 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \% \\ & 1.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | Watonwan District 7 Totals |
| Chippewa | 30,612,109 | 1,823,056 | 6.0\% | 85,835 | 0.3\% | 32,521,000 | 1,908,891 | 6.2\% | Chippewa |
| Kandiyohi | 59,979,251 | 1,351,107 | 2.3\% | 1,121,148 | 1.8\% | 62,451,506 | 2,472,255 | 4.1\% | andiyohi |
| Lac Qui Parle | 32,703,527 | 803,980 | 2.5\% | $(293,258)$ | -0.9\% | 33,214,249 | 510,722 | 1.6\% | Lac Qui Parle |
| Lincoln | 25,349,752 | 695,007 | 2.7\% | 851,934 | 3.3\% | 26,896,693 | 1,546,941 | 6.1\% | ncoln |
| Lyon | 47,817,574 | $(735,613)$ | -1.5\% | 15,993 | 0.0\% | 47,097,954 | $(719,620)$ | -1.5\% | yon |
| Mc Leod | 41,001,511 | $(174,683)$ | -0.4\% | $(926,868)$ | -2.3\% | 39,899,960 | $(1,101,551)$ | -2.7\% | Mc Leod |
| Meeker | 30,258,639 | 1,250,703 | 4.1\% | 283,038 | 0.9\% | 31,792,380 | 1,533,741 | 5.1\% | Meeker |
| Murray | 33,798,171 | 479,381 | 1.4\% | $(125,290)$ | -0.4\% | 34,152,262 | 354,091 | 1.0\% | Murray |
| Pipestone | 27,547,823 | 11,246 | 0.0\% | $(505,628)$ | -1.8\% | 27,053,441 | $(494,382)$ | -1.8\% | Pipestone |
| Redwood | 61,779,326 | 233,584 | 0.4\% | $(435,354)$ | -0.7\% | 61,577,556 | (201,770) |  |  |
| Renville | 74,404,775 | $(4,384,231)$ | -5.9\% | 1,979,899 | 2.8\% | 72,000,443 | $(2,404,332)$ | -3.2\% | Renville |
| Yellow Medicine District 8 Totals | $\begin{array}{r} 46,268,563 \\ 511,521,021 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 687,274 \\ 2,040,811 \end{array}$ | 1.5\% $0.4 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,171,563 \\ 3,223,012 \end{array}$ | 2.5\% 0.6\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48,127,400 \\ 516,784,844 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,858,837 \\ 5,263,823 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \% \\ & 1.0 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yellow Medicine District 8 Totals |
| Chisago | 48,310,413 | 3,100,465 | 6.4\% | 236,913 | 0.5\% | 51,647,791 | 3,337,378 | 6.9\% | Chisago |
| Dakota | 123,238,831 | $(948,817)$ | -0.8\% | 3,867,199 | 3.2\% | 126,157,213 | 2,918,382 | 2.4\% | Dakota |
| Ramsey | 211,531,115 | 3,392,861 | 1.6\% | 1,867,723 | 0.9\% | 216,791,699 | 5,260,584 | 2.5\% | Ramsey |
| Washington | 86,422,747 | 1,667,473 | 1.9\% | 2,074,078 | 2.4\% | 90,164,298 | 3,741,551 | 3\% |  |
| District 9 Totals | 469,503,106 | 7,211,982 | 1.5\% | 8,045,913 | 1.7\% | 484,761,001 | 15,257,895 | 3.2\% | District 9 Totals |
| STATE TOTALS | \$5,390,579,832 | \$43,435,509 | 0.8\% | \$16,899,787 | 0.3\% | \$5,450,915,128 | \$60,335,296 | 1.1\% | ATE TOTALS |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## RESTRICTION OF 25 YEAR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS CHANGES
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Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs

| County | 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading |  |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Factor | Adjusted Urban Grading Cost Factor | Urban Complete Grading Remaining in the 1995 Needs Study |  |  |  | Urban Grading Cost Adjustment To The 1995 - 25 Year Construction Needs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects |  | \% of System With Complete Grading Needs Col, $2 /$ Col. 8 | Average Construction Cost/Mile | Average Needs Cost/Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# | (Col. 2) <br> Miles |  |  |  |  |  | (Col. 8) <br> Miles | $\%$ of Total Urban Miles | Total Cost | Average Cost per Mile |  | ADJUSTED NEEDS COST PER MILE |
| Blue Earth | 4 | 1.4 | 11\% | \$205,041 | \$178,422 | 15\% | 15.0\% | 12.87 | 46.7\% | \$2,368,372 | \$184,023 |  | \$355,256 | \$211,626 |
| Brown | 5 | 2.0 | 29\% | 197,825 | 84,064 | 135\% | 135.0\% | 6.79 | 56.0\% | 548,599 | 80,795 | 740,609 | 189,869 |
| Cottonwood | 2 | 1.0 | 22\% | 133,775 | 173,809 | -23\% | -23.0\% | 4.53 | 44.6\% | 568,247 | 125,441 | $(130,697)$ | 96,589 |
| Faribault | 5 | 2.5 | 30\% | 176,068 | 152,432 | 16\% | 16.0\% | 8.28 | 55.7\% | 1,695,830 | 204,810 | 271,333 | 237,580 |
| Jackson | 4 | 8.7 | 124\% | 52,403 | 59,797 | -12\% | -12.0\% | 7.04 | 64.5\% | 1,195,851 | 169,865 | $(143,502)$ | 149,481 |
| Le Sueur | 7 | 2.2 | 19\% | 162,441 | 130,925 | 24\% | 24.0\% | 11.84 | 60.5\% | 1,779,226 | 150,272 | 427,014 | 186,338 |
| Martin | 4 | 0.9 | 20\% | 81,324 | 186,849 | -56\% | -56.0\% | 4.41 | 64.4\% | 781,856 | 177,292 | $(437,839)$ | 78,008 |
| Nicollet | 2 | 0.8 | 16\% | 103,283 | 161,293 | -36\% | -36.0\% | 5.16 | 73.4\% | 1,101,260 | 213,422 | $(396,454)$ | 136,590 |
| Nobles | 4 | 1.2 | 16\% | 282,632 | 315,713 | -10\% | -10.0\% | 7.73 | 68.7\% | 1,567,187 | 202,741 | $(156,719)$ | 182,467 |
| Rock | 3 | 1.0 | 16\% | 70,605 | 135,593 | -48\% | -48.0\% | 6.29 | 56.6\% | 711,031 | 113,041 | $(341,295)$ | 58,782 |
| Sibley | 1 | 0.2 | 4\% | 402,095 | 147,000 | 174\% | 69.6\% | 5.52 | 70.5\% | 888,919 | 161,036 | 618,688 | 273,117 |
| Waseca | 2 | 0.6 | 7\% | 110,707 | 207,275 | -47\% | -32.9\% | 8.61 | 75.5\% | 1,765,587 | 205,062 | $(580,878)$ | 137,597 |
| Watonwan | 3 | 1.6 | 27\% | 132,031 | 175,660 | -25\% | -25.0\% | 5.89 | 41.7\% | 1,063,560 | 180,570 | (265,890) | 135,428 |
| District 7 Totals | 46 | 24.1 | 25\% | \$124,361 | \$125,911 | -1\% |  | 94.96 | 57.6\% | \$16,035,525 | \$168,866 | ( $\$ 40,374$ | \$168,441 |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

## Comparison of 1987-1994 Urban Design Grading Construction Costs to Needs Study Costs



## 1995 COUNTY STATE AID HIGHWAY NEEDS STUDY

tabulation of the county state aid highway mileage and money needs as RECOMMENDED BY THE COUNTY ENGINEERS' SCREENING BOARD FOR USE BY THE COMMISSIONER OF TRANSPORTATION IN APPORTIONING THE 1996.C.S.A.H. FUND

| County | County State Aid <br> Highway Mileage | Annual County State <br> Aid Highway Monev Needs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Carlton | 293.42 |  |
| Cook | 178.80 |  |
| Itasca | 647.39 | $1,699,084$ |
| Koochiching | 248.19 | $5,051,533$ |
| Lake | 224.43 | $2,998,907$ |
| Pine | 472.77 | $2,930,040$ |
| St. Louis | $1,371.59$ | $4,585,852$ |
| District 1 Totals | $3,436.59$ | $15,829,125$ |


| Beltrami | 466.45 | $2,741,932$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Clearwater | 326.48 | $1,250,903$ |
| Hubbard | 324.52 | $1,506,276$ |
| Kittson | 373.46 | $1,702,226$ |
| Lake of the Woods | 194.81 | $1,845,970$ |
| Marshall | 638.08 | $2,377,437$ |
| Norman | 391.31 | $1,409,120$ |
| Pennington | 260.26 | 778,242 |
| Polk | 806.23 | $3,967,746$ |
| Red Lake | 185.43 | $1,183,875$ |
| Roseau | 481.82 | $1,782,526$ |
| District 2 Totals | $4,448.85$ | $20,546,253$ |


| Aitkin | 374.83 | $1,997,201$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Benton | 224.16 | $1,118,420$ |
| Cass | 531.85 | $2,808,558$ |
| Crow Wing | 371.04 | $1,422,192$ |
| Isanti | 228.44 | $1,442,950$ |
| Kanabec | 212.30 | 981,016 |
| Mille Lacs | 254.86 | $1,936,076$ |
| Morrison | 444.58 | $1,938,952$ |
| Sherburne | 215.59 | 632,282 |
| Stearns | 603.76 | $4,015,252$ |
| Todd | 412.46 | $1,739,762$ |
| Wadena | 226.92 | $1,247,572$ |
| Wright | 402.35 | $4,045,324$ |
| District 3 Totals | $4,503.14$ | $25,325,557$ |


| Becker | $466.36^{-}$ | $1,814,579$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Big Stone | 208.36 | $1,067,415$ |
| Clay | 400.78 | $2,465,616$ |
| Douglas | 384.94 | $1,830,263$ |
| Grant | 228.65 | 974,059 |
| Mahnomen | 194.81 | $1,147,760$ |
| Otter Tail | 916.97 | $4,811,232$ |
| Pope | 298.33 | $1,703,573$ |
| Stevens | 243.91 | 882,506 |
| Swift | 329.46 | $1,426,764$ |
| Traverse | 245.42 | 921,025 |
| Wilkin | 312.26 | $1,386,299$ |
| District 4 Totals | $4,230.25$ | $20,431,091$ |


| County | County State Aid Highway Mileage | Annual County State Aid Highway Money Needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 252.66 | 3,806,892 |
| Carver | 207.91 | 2,214,167 |
| Hennepin | 517.65 | 16,399,998 |
| Scott | 189.49 | 2,838,400 |
| District 5 Totals | 1,167.71 | 25,259,457 |
| Dodge | 249.15 | 1,214,656 |
| Fillmore | 411.55 | 3,785,285 |
| Freeborn | 447.12 | 2,604,266 |
| Goodhue | 326.57 | 2,581,090 |
| Houston | 250.34 | 2,176,610 |
| Mower | 373.56 | 2,641,927 |
| Olmsted | 320.41 | 2,753,672 |
| Rice | 279.09 | 2,237,592 |
| Steele | 292.22 | 1,908,949 |
| Wabasha | 273.72 | 2,292,087 |
| Winona | 315.76 | 2,533,066 |
| District 6 Totals | 3,539.49 | 26,729,200 |
| Blue Earth | 416.97 | 3,513,913 |
| Brown | 318.01 | 1,392,964 |
| Cottonwood | 318.59 | 1,549,021 |
| Faribault | 346.80 | 2,358,342 |
| Jackson | 370.69 | 2,294,716 |
| Le Sueur | 267.38 | 1,800,380 |
| Martin | 378.15 | 1,854,154 |
| Nicollet | 244.65 | 1,507,503 |
| Nobles | 345.48 | 2,025,919 |
| Rock | 261.31 | 1,248,867 |
| Sibley | 289.32 | 1,554,066 |
| Waseca | 249.85 | 1,674,628 |
| Watonwan | 235.17 | 1,099,571 |
| District 7 Totals | 4,042.37 | 23,874,044 |
| Chippewa | 244.36 | 1,408,916 |
| Kandiyohi | 422.08 | 2,642,238 |
| Lac Qui Parle | 362.91 | 1,299,231 |
| Lincoln | 254.51 | 1,010,740 |
| Lyon | 318.93 | 1,588,962 |
| Mc Leod | 235.91 | 1,545,368 |
| Meeker | 272.05 | 1,223,673 |
| Murray | 354.74 | 1,071,786 |
| Pipestone | 233.85 | 1,011,789 |
| Redwood | 391.15 | 2,198,040 |
| Renville | 447.55- | 2,543,470 |
| Yellow Medicine | 345.22 | 1,827,874 |
| District 8 Totals | 3,883.26 | 19,372,087 |
| Chisago | 228.44 | 2,006,362 |
| Dakota | 289.83 | 4,461,356 |
| Ramsey | 231.03 | 8,271,897 |
| Washington | 201.54 | 3,151,787 |
| District 9 Totals | 950.84 | 17,891,402 |
| STATE TOTALS 30,202.50 <br> Does not include 1995 T.H. Turnback Mileage |  | \$214,711,378 |
|  |  | DMG1001FILE_123-milecomm |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA

OCTOBER, 1995
Comparison of the Actual 1995 to the TENTATIVE 1996 C.S.A.H. Apportionment

| County | Total 1995 C.S.A.H. Apportionment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TENTATIVE } \\ & \text { 1996 C.S.A.H. } \\ & \text { Apportionment } \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Increase } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { Decrease } \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ + \text { or } . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | \$2,530,221 | \$2,476,009 | $(\$ 54,212)$ | -2.1\% |
| Cook | 1,760,558 | 1,751,070 | $(9,488)$ | -0.5\% |
| Itasca | 5,097,904 | 5,121,511 | 23,607 | 0.5\% |
| Koochiching | 2,748,688 | 2,748,688 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Lake | 2,755,006 | 2,617,909 | $(137,097)$ | -5.0\% |
| Pine | 4,252,440 | 4,268,997 | 16,557 | 0.4\% |
| St. Louis | 14,008,519 | 14,042,108 | 33,589 | 0.2\% |
| District 1 Totals | 33,153,336 | 33,026,292 | $(127,044)$ | -0.4\% |
| Beltrami | 3,259,134 | 3,225,692 | $(33,442)$ | -1.0\% |
| Clearwater | 1,927,515 | 1,876,798 | $(50,717)$ | -2.6\% |
| Hubbard | 2,063,862 | 2,073,862 | 10,000 | 0.5\% |
| Kittson | 2,300,033 | 2,243,565 | $(56,468)$ | -2.5\% |
| Lake of the Woods | 1,873,009 | 1,873,009 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Marshall | 3,337,071 | 3,326,712 | $(10,359)$ | -0.3\% |
| Norman | 2,159,779 | 2,131,059 | $(28,720)$ | -1.3\% |
| Pennington | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Polk | 4,794,881 | 4,779,698 | $(15,183)$ | -0.3\% |
| Red Lake | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Roseau | 2,676,898 | 2,620,851 | $(56,047)$ | -2.1\% |
| District 2 Totals | 27,325,226 | 27,084,290 | $(240,936)$ | -0.9\% |
| Aitkin | 2,449,942 | 2,475,899 | 25,957 | 1.1\% |
| Benton | 1,677,944 | 1,666,431 | $(11,513)$ | -0.7\% |
| Cass | 3,428,611 | 3,389,657 | $(38,954)$ | -1.1\% |
| Crow Wing | 2,432,429 | 2,342,856 | $(89,573)$ | -3.7\% |
| Isanti | 1,850,529 | 1,869,205 | 18,676 | 1.0\% |
| Kanabec | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Mille Lacs | 2,146,430 | 2,170,778 | 24,348 | 1.1\% |
| Morrison | 2,671,315 | 2,710,094 | 38,779 | 1.5\% |
| Sherburne | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Stearns | 4,873,784 | 4,837,239 | $(36,545)$ | -0.8\% |
| Todd | 2,507,636 | 2,467,376 | $(40,260)$ | -1.6\% |
| Wadena | 1,650,662 | 1,658,698 | 8,036 | 0.5\% |
| Wright | 4,031,974 | 4,107,412 | 75,438 | 1.9\% |
| District 3 Totals | 32,654,300 | 32,628,689 | $(25,611)$ | -0.1\% |
| Becker | 2,670,737 | 2,678,346 | 7,609 | 0.3\% |
| Big Stone | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Clay | 2,844,728 | 2,954,133 | 109,405 | 3.9\% |
| Douglas | 2,513,501 | 2,500,628 | $(12,873)$ | -0.5\% |
| Grant | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Mahnomen | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Otter Tail | 5,634,526 | 5,706,658 | 72,132 | 1.3\% |
| Pope | 2,087,719 | 2,087,820 | 101 | 0.0\% |
| Stevens | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Swift | 1,976,399 | 2,006,742 | 30,343 | 1.5\% |
| Traverse | 1,466,522 | 1,466,522 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Wilkin | 1,908,339 | 1,917,636 | 9,297 | 0.5\% |
| District 4 Totals | 26,968,559 | 27,184,573 | 216,014 | 0.8\% |

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA

OCTOBER, 1995
Comparison of the Actual 1995 to the TENTATIVE 1996 C.S.A.H. Apportionment

| County | Total 1995 C.S.A.H. Apportionment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TENTATIVE. } \\ & 1996 \text { C.S.A. } H . \\ & \text { Apportionment } \end{aligned}$ | Increase or <br> Decrease | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ +\quad \text { or } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | \$4,228,364 | \$4,549,396 | \$321,032 | 7.6\% |
| Carver | 2,319,404 | 2,383,613 | 64,209 | 2.8\% |
| Hennepin | 16,984,685 | 16,277,671 | $(707,014)$ | -4.2\% |
| Scott | 2,677,111 | 2,791,432 | 114,321 | 4.3\% |
| District 5 Totals | 26,209,564 | 26,002,112 | $(207,452)$ | -0.8\% |
| Dodge | 1,735,222 | 1,711,945 | $(23,277)$ | -1.3\% |
| Fillmore | 3,741,831 | 3,635,363 | $(106,468)$ | -2.9\% |
| Freeborn | 3,099,564 | 3,118,803 | 19,239 | 0.6\% |
| Goodhue | 2,738,938 | 2,851,964 | 113,026 | 4.1\% |
| Houston | 2,214,626 | 2,283,303 | 68,677 | 3.1\% |
| Mower | 2,923,002 | 2,971,022 | 48,020 | 1.6\% |
| Olmsted | 3,317,195 | 3,317,475 | 280 | 0.0\% |
| Rice | 2,476,557 | 2,559,050 | 82,493 | 3.3\% |
| Steele | 2,292,126 | 2,313,595 | 21,469 | 0.9\% |
| Wabasha | 2,429,550 | 2,429,364 | (186) | -0.0\% |
| Winona | 2,873,722 | 2,794,623 | $(79,099)$ | -2.8\% |
| District 6 Totals | 29,842,333 | 29,986,507 | 144,174 | 0.5\% |
| Blue Earth | 3,615,361 | 3,659,445 | 44,084 | 1.2\% |
| Brown | 2,091,689 | 2,061,250 | $(30,439)$ | -1.5\% |
| Cottonwood | 2,030,340 | 2,063,851 | 33,511 | 1.7\% |
| Faribault | 2,600,694 | 2,630,352 | 29,658 | 1.1\% |
| Jackson | 2,503,298 | 2,622,937 | 119,639 | 4.8\% |
| Le Sueur | 2,218,709 | 2,153,135 | $(65,574)$ | -3.0\% |
| Martin | 2,450,796 | 2,453,852 | 3,056 | 0.1\% |
| Nicollet | 1,950,169 | 1,920,047 | $(30,122)$ | -1.5\% |
| Nobles | 2,489,940 | 2,453,650 | $(36,290)$ | -1.5\% |
| Rock | 1,737,060 | 1,725,334 | $(11,726)$ | -0.7\% |
| Sibley | 2,035,889 | 2,004,856 | $(31,033)$ | -1.5\% |
| Waseca | 1,998,144 | 1,992,323 | $(5,821)$ | -0.3\% |
| Watonwan | 1,579,560 | 1,586,233 | 6,673 | 0.4\% |
| District 7 Totals | 29,301,649 | 29,327,265 | 25,616 | 0.1\% |
| Chippewa | 1,700,741 | 1,800,039 | 99,298 | 5.8\% |
| Kandiyohi | 3,059,380 | 3,120,285 | 60,905 | 2.0\% |
| Lac Qui Parle | 2,024,475 | 2,004,072 | $(20,403)$ | -1.0\% |
| Lincoln | 1,531,297 | 1,549,054 | 17,757 | 1.2\% |
| Lyon | 2,191,310 | 2,160,151 | $(31,159)$ | -1.4\% |
| Mc Leod | 2,009,254 | 1,989,347 | $(19,907)$ | -1.0\% |
| Meeker | 1,785,022 | 1,812,765 | 27,743 | 1.6\% |
| Murray | 1,878,779 | 1,855,828 | $(22,951)$ | -1.2\% |
| Pipestone | 1,538,151 | 1,519,497 | $(18,654)$ | -1.2\% |
| Redwood | 2,720,995 | 2,655,520 | $(65,475)$ | -2.4\% |
| Renville | 3,043,488 | 2,998,694 | $(44,794)$ | -1.5\% |
| Yellow Medicine | 2,277,556 | 2,287,303 | 9,747 | 0.4\% |
| District 8 Totals | 25,760,448 | 25,752,555 | $(7,893)$ | -0.0\% |
| Chisago | 2,212,695 | 2,249,200 | 36,505 | 1.7\% |
| Dakota | 5,101,976 | 5,123,091 | 21,115 | 0.4\% |
| Ramsey | 8,057,535 | 8,054,782 | $(2,753)$ | -0.0\% |
| Washington | 3,338,526 | 3,506,791 | 168,265 | 5.0\% |
| District 9 Totals | 18,710,732 | 18,933,864 | 223,132 | 1.2\% |
| STATE TOTALS | \$249,926,147 | \$249,926,147 | \$0 | 0.0\% |

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

COMPONENTS OF THE "TENTATIVE" 1996 CSAH APPORTIONMENT

| County | Equalization Apportionment | Motor Vehicle Registration Apportionment | Mileage Apportionment | Money Needs Apportionment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | \$287,271 | \$186,270 | \$728,410 | \$1,274,058 | \$2,476,009 |
| Cook | 287,271 | 31,841 | 443,869 | 988,089 | 1,751,070 |
| Itasca | 287,271 | 287,065 | 1,607,150 | 2,940,025 | 5,121,511 |
| Koochiching | 287,271 | 99,870 | 616,168 | 1,745,379 | 2,748,688 |
| Lake | 287,271 | 68,180 | 557,160 | 1,705,298 | 2,617,909 |
| Pine | 287,271 | 139,109 | 1,173,628 | 2,668,989 | 4,268,997 |
| St. Louis | 287,271 | 1,137,239 | 3,404,968 | 9,212,630 | 14,042,108 |
| District 1 Totals | 2,010,897 | 1,949,574 | 8,531,353 | 20,534,468 | 33,026,292 |
| Beltrami | 287,271 | 184,645 | 1,157,958 | 1,595,818 | 3,225,692 |
| Clearwater | 287,271 | 50,985 | 810,510 | 728,032 | 1,876,798 |
| Hubbard | 287,271 | 104,294 | 805,637 | 876,660 | 2,073,862 |
| Kittson | 287,271 | 38,489 | 927,101 | 990,704 | 2,243,565 |
| Lake of the Woods | 287,271 | 27,767 | 483,607 | 1,074,364 | 1,873,009 |
| Marshall | 287,271 | 71,704 | 1,584,057 | 1,383,680 | 3,326,712 |
| Norman | 287,271 | 52,260 | 971,413 | 820,115 | 2,131,059 |
| Pennington | 287,271 | 80,226 | 646,084 | 452,941 | 1,466,522 |
| Polk | 287,271 | 181,721 | 2,001,458 | 2,309,248 | 4,779,698 |
| Red Lake | 287,271 | 29,866 | 460,364 | 689,021 | 1,466,522 |
| Roseau | 287,271 | 100,020 | 1,196,121 | 1,037,439 | 2,620,851 |
| District 2 Totals | 3,159,981 | 921,977 | 11,044,310 | 11,958,022 | 27,084,290 |
| Aitkin | 287,271 | 95,697 | 930,550 | 1,162,381 | 2,475,899 |
| Benton | 287,271 | 171,749 | 556,485 | 650,926 | 1,666,431 |
| Cass | 287,271 | 147,506 | 1,320,285 | 1,634,595 | 3,389,657 |
| Crow Wing | 287,271 | 306,759 | 921,103 | 827,723 | 2,342,856 |
| Isanti | 287,271 | 174,998 | 567,132 | 839,804 | 1,869,205 |
| Kanabec | 287,271 | 81,276 | 527,019 | 570,956 | 1,466,522 |
| Mille Lacs | 287,271 | 124,038 | 632,663 | 1,126,806 | 2,170,778 |
| Morrison | 287,271 | 190,669 | 1,103,674 | 1,128,480 | 2,710,094 |
| Sherburne | 287,271 | 276,068 | 535,192 | 367,991 | 1,466,522 |
| Stearns | 287,271 | 714,264 | 1,498,807 | 2,336,897 | 4,837,239 |
| Todd | 287,271 | 143,658 | 1,023,897 | 1,012,550 | 2,467,376 |
| Wadena | 287,271 | 82,026 | 563,308 | 726,093 | 1,658,698 |
| Wright | 287,271 | 466,887 | 998,855 | 2,354,399 | 4,107,412 |
| District 3 Totals | 3,734,523 | 2,975,595 | 11,178,970 | 14,739,601 | 32,628,689 |
| Becker | 287,271 | 177,248 | 1,157,733 | 1,056,094 | 2,678,346 |
| Big Stone | 287,271 | 40,738 | 517,272 | 621,241 | 1,466,522 |
| Clay | 287,271 | 236,905 | 994,956 | 1,435,001 | 2,954,133 |
| Douglas | 287,271 | 192,543 | - 955,592 | 1,065,222 | 2,500,628 |
| Grant | 287,271 | 44,687 | 567,657 | 566,907 | 1,466,522 |
| Mahnomen | 287,271 | 27,642 | 483,607 | 668,002 | 1,466,522 |
| Otter Tail | 287,271 | 342,824 | 2,276,402 | 2,800,161 | 5,706,658 |
| Pope | 287,271 | 68,430 | 740,631 | 991,488 | 2,087,820 |
| Stevens | 287,271 | 60,107 | 605,521 | 513,623 | 1,466,522 |
| Swift | 287,271 | 71,229 | 817,858 | 830,384 | 2,006,742 |
| Traverse | 287,271 | 33,940 | 609,270 | 536,041 | 1,466,522 |
| Wilkin | 287,271 | 48,336 | 775,196 | 806,833 | 1,917,636 |
| District 4 Totals | 3,447,252 | 1,344,629 | 10,501,695 | 11,890,997 | 27,184,573 |

# 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995 

COMPONENTS OF THE "TENTATIVE" 1996 CSAH APPORTIONMENT

| County | Equalization Apportionment | Motor Vehicle Registration Apportionment | Mileage Apportionment | Money Needs Apportionment | $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { Total TENTATIVE } \\ 1996 \text { CSAH } \\ \text { Apportionment }\end{array}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | \$287,271 | \$1,419,230 | \$627,265 | \$2,215,630 | \$4,549,396 |
| Carver | 287,271 | 291,539 | 516,147 | 1,288,656 | 2,383,613 |
| Hennepin | 287,271 | 5,160,474 | 1,285,045 | 9,544,881 | 16,277,671 |
| Scott | 287,271 | 381,787 | 470,411 | 1,651,963 | 2,791,432 |
| District 5 Totals | 1,149,084 | 7,253,030 | 2,898,868 | 14,701,130 | 26,002,112 |
| Dodge | 287,271 | 99,246 | 618,492 | 706,936 | 1,711,945 |
| Fillmore | 287,271 | 123,389 | 1,021,648 | 2,203,055 | 3,635,363 |
| Freeborn | 287,271 | 205,864 | 1,109,972 | 1,515,696 | 3,118,803 |
| Goodhue | 287,271 | 251,751 | 810,735 | 1,502,207 | 2,851,964 |
| Houston | 287,271 | 107,743 | 621,491 | 1,266,798 | 2,283,303 |
| Mower | 287,271 | 218,735 | 927,401 | 1,537,615 | 2,971,022 |
| Olmsted | 287,271 | 632,113 | 795,440 | 1,602,651 | 3,317,475 |
| Rice | 287,272 | 276,618 | 692,870 | 1,302,290 | 2,559,050 |
| Steele | 287,272 | 189,894 | 725,411 | 1,111,018 | 2,313,595 |
| Wabasha | 287,272 | 128,562 | 679,524 | 1,334,006 | 2,429,364 |
| Winona | 287,272 | 249,201 | 783,893 | 1,474,257 | 2,794,623 |
| District 6 Totals | 3,159,985 | 2,483,116 | 8,786,877 | 15,556,529 | 29,986,507 |
| Blue Earth | 287,272 | 291,914 | 1,035,144 | 2,045,115 | 3,659,445 |
| Brown | 287,272 | 173,824 | 789,442 | 810,712 | 2,061,250 |
| Cottonwood | 287,272 | 84,175 | 790,866 | 901,538 | 2,063,851 |
| Faribault | 287,272 | 109,618 | 860,895 | 1,372,567 | 2,630,352 |
| Jackson | 287,272 | 79,926 | 920,203 | 1,335,536 | 2,622,937 |
| Le Sueur | 287,272 | 154,254 | 663,779 | 1,047,830 | 2,153,135 |
| Martin | 287,272 | 148,731 | 938,722 | 1,079,127 | 2,453,852 |
| Nicollet | 287,272 | 148,081 | 607,320 | 877,374 | 1,920,047 |
| Nobles | 287,272 | 129,612 | 857,671 | 1,179,095 | 2,453,650 |
| Rock | 287,272 | 62,507 | 648,708 | 726,847 | 1,725,334 |
| Sibley | 287,272 | 94,897 | 718,213 | 904,474 | 2,004,856 |
| Waseca | 287,272 | 110,192 | 620,217 | 974,642 | 1,992,323 |
| Watonwan | 287,272 | 75,228 | 583,777 | 639,956 | 1,586,233 |
| District 7 Totals | 3,734,536 | 1,662,959 | 10,034,957 | 13,894,813 | 29,327,265 |
| Chippewa | 287,272 | \$86,125 | \$606,646 | 819,996 | 1,800,039 |
| Kandiyohi | 287,272 | 247,402 | 1,047,815 | 1,537,796 | 3,120,285 |
| Lac Qui Parle | 287,272 | 59,707 | 900,934 | 756,159 | 2,004,072 |
| Lincoln | 287,272 | 41,688 | 631,838 | 588,256 | 1,549,054 |
| Lyon | 287,272 | 156,329 | 791,766 | 924,784 | 2,160,151 |
| Mc Leod | 287,272 | 217,011 | 585,652 | 899,412 | 1,989,347 |
| Meeker | 287,272 | 137,909 | 675,400 | 712,184 | 1,812,765 |
| Murray | 287,272 | 64,156 | 880,615 | 623,785 | 1,855,828 |
| Pipestone | 287,272 | 62,806 | 580,553 | 588,866 | 1,519,497 |
| Redwood | 287,272 | 117,940 | 971,038 | 1,279,270 | 2,655,520 |
| Renville | 287,272 | 120,089 | 1,111,021 | 1,480,312 | 2,998,694 |
| Yellow Medicine | 287,272 | 79,202 | 856,997 | 1,063,832 ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 2,287,303 |
| District 8 Totals | 3,447,264 | 1,390,364 | 9,640,275 | 11,274,652 | 25,752,555 |
| Chisago | 287,272 | 227,083 | 567,132 | 1,167,713 | 2,249,200 |
| Dakota | 287,272 | 1,519,801 | 719,487 | 2,596,531 | 5,123,091 |
| Ramsey | 287,272 | 2,379,720 | 573,505 | 4,814,285 | 8,054,782 |
| Washington | 287,272 | 884,762 | 500,402 | 1,834,355 | 3,506,791 |
| District 9 Totals | 1,149,088 | 5,011,366 | 2,360,526 | 10,412,884 | 18,933,864 |
| STATE TOTALS | \$24,992,610 | \$24,992,610 | \$74,977,831 | \$124,963,096 | \$249,926,147 |

FIGURE A

## ****REVISED*

19-Oct-95

## 1995 COUNTY SCREENING BOARD DATA OCTOBER, 1995

DEVELOPMENT OF THE TENTATIVE 1996 MONEY NEEDS APPORTIONMENT

| COUNTY | BASIC 1995 25 YEAR CONST. NEEDS | SCREENING BOARD RESTRICT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESTRICTED } \\ & 1995 \\ & 25-Y E A R \\ & \text { CONST. } \\ & \text { NEEDS } \end{aligned}$ | RURAL COMPLETE GRADING ADJUST. | URBAN COMPLETE gRADING ADJUST. | (MINUS) STATE AID CONST. FUND balance DEDUCT. | BOND AcCOUNT ADJUST. | (MINUS) <br> SPECIAL RESURFACING ADJUST. | (PLUS) <br> BR. DECK REHAB. "AFTER "THE FACT" NEEDS | (PLUS) RIGHT OF WAY <br> "AFTER THE FACT" NEEDS | (PLUS) <br> MISC. <br> "AFTER THE FACT" NEEDS | (MINUS) <br> VARIANCE ADJUST. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlton | \$53,132,237 |  | \$53,132,237 | \$7,008,738 | (\$428,014) | $(\$ 430,789)$ | \$0 | (\$1,140,835) |  | \$308,777 |  |  |
| Cook | 37,518,991 |  | 37,518,991 | 8,251,197 | 193,095 | $(176,799)$ | 0 | (717,473) |  | 290,821 | \$23,137 |  |
| Itasca | 114,652,685 |  | 114,652,685 | 22,699,910 | 413,485 | 0 | 0 | $(2,248,989)$ |  | 146,107 |  |  |
| Koochlching | 29,203,165 |  | 29,203,165 | 6,477,468 | 1,210,139 | O | 2,200,000 | $(1,487,511)$ |  | 614,101 |  | (\$29,520) |
| Lake | 64,239,201 |  | 64,239,201 | 14,502,903 | $\bigcirc$ | $(2,589,331)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(565,019)$ |  | 509,649 |  |  |
| Pine | 102,323,102 |  | 102,323,102 | 17,928,374 | 265,689 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,082,583)$ |  | 372,284 | 82,110 |  |
| St. Louis | 342,582,703 |  | 342,582,703 | 68,428,544 | 4,289,907 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(2,933,930)$ |  | 3,671,781 | 73,800 |  |
| District 1 Totals | 743,652,084 |  | 743,652,084 | 145,297,134 | 5,944,301 | $(3,196,919)$ | 2,200,000 | $(10,176,340)$ | 0 | 5,913,520 | 179,047 | $(29,520)$ |
| Beltraml | 71,248,325 |  | 71,248,325 | \$2,822,533 | ( $\$ 342,032)$ | $(983,844)$ | 590,000 | $(1,217,566)$ |  | 878,784 | 775 |  |
| Clearwater | 36,279,702 |  | 36,279,702 | $(2,497,745)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(1,153,273)$ |  | 286,605 | 27,041 |  |
| Hubbard | 39,282,871 |  | 39,282,871 | 1,862,615 | 96,619 | - | 0 | $(969,561)$ |  | 789,632 | 18,213 |  |
| Kittson | 46,589,433 |  | 46,589,433 | $(1,058,566)$ | 144,815 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,341,740)$ |  | 714,192 |  |  |
| Lake of 'Woods | 19,810,537 |  | 19,810,537 | 651,330 | 201,869 | 0 | 413,490 | $(119,332)$ |  | 79,289 |  |  |
| Marshall | 65,198,417 |  | 65,198,417 | $(2,400,886)$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | 192,530 | $(1,139,495)$ |  | 1,051,190 | 18,732 |  |
| Norman | 38,185,212 |  | 38,185,212 | 135,475 | 43,012 | o | $\bigcirc$ | $(802,518)$ |  | 160,399 |  |  |
| Pennington | 19,078,355 |  | 19,078,355 | $(205,650)$ | O | 0 | 0 | $(15,846)$ |  | 135,585 |  |  |
| Polk | 102,503,275 |  | 102,503,275 | $(1,662,557)$ | $(215,731)$ | - | 1,200,000 | $(1,764,324)$ | \$201,689 | 1,772,600 | 22,975 |  |
| Red Lake | 21,056,045 |  | 21,056,045 | 451,533 | 495,789 | $(1,526,917)$ | 1,460,920 | $(239,462)$ |  | 237,832 |  | $(43,610)$ |
| Roseau | 51,331,612 |  | 51,331,612 | $(3,075,141)$ | $(44,273)$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,312,256)$ |  | 423,615 |  |  |
| District 2 Totals | 510,563,784 |  | 510,563,784 | $(4,977,059)$ | 380,068 | (2,510,761) | 3,856,940 | $(10,075,373)$ | 201,689 | 6,529,723 | 87,736 | $(43,610)$ |
| Altkin | 44,655,313 |  | 44,655,313 | \$8,800,151 | \$0 | $(125,698)$ | 0 | $(697,108)$ |  | \$749,439 | 7,534 |  |
| Benton | 27,191,846 |  | 27,191,846 | 4,415,226 | 188,890 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $(593,963)$ |  | 709,863 | 15,150 |  |
| Cass | 72,376,868 |  | 72,376,868 | 6,207,512 | $(408,956)$ | 0 | - | $(2,412,365)$ |  | 1,023,475 |  | $(200,032)$ |
| Crow Wing | 44,987,645 |  | 44,987,645 | 1,019,666 | $(222,458)$ | $(1,136,071)$ | 0 | $(248,136)$ |  | 549,010 |  |  |
| IsantI | 35,142,171 |  | 35,142,171 | 5,012,921 | $(331,901)$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(605,125)$ |  | 427,999 |  |  |
| Kanabec | 25,709,758 |  | 25,709,758 | $(799,107)$ | $(237,862)$ | $(652,740)$ | 0 | $(446,679)$ |  | 362,375 |  |  |
| Mille Lacs | 38,954,127 |  | 38,954,127 | 11,662,218 | 940,346 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $(539,274)$ |  | 297,840 | 173,945 |  |
| Morison | 58,863,752 |  | 58,863,752 | $(1,817,058)$ | 294,014 | 0 | - | $(4,630,587)$ |  | 177,325 |  |  |
| Sherburne | 20,547,321 |  | 20,547,321 | $(292,427)$ | 136,894 | $(302,951)$ | 0 | $(508,707)$ |  | 458,486 |  |  |
| Stearns | 115,571,680 |  | 115,571,680 | 5,884,856 | 113,234 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(7,125,825)$ |  | 502,303 | 6,756 |  |
| Todd | 47,758,394 |  | 47,758,394 | 0 | 1,116,285 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(2,453,649)$ | 14,512 | 76,396 | 16,745 |  |
| Wadena | 29,356,837 |  | 29,356,837 | 3,572,925 | 1,185,526 | (74,509) | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,296,824)$ |  | 159,011 |  |  |
| Wright | 93,792,627 |  | 93,792,627 | 20,744,713 | $(543,823)$ | $(22,522)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(980,649)$ |  | 1,294,631 |  |  |
| District 3 Totals | 654,908,339 |  | 654,908,339 | 64,411,596 | 2,230,189 | (2,314,491) | 0 | (22,538,891) | 14,512 | 6,788,153 | 220,130 | $(200,032)$ |
| Becker | \$49,564,978 |  | 49,564,978 | \$1,642,615 | \$257,229 | ¢0 | $(5,000)$ | $(1,917,193)$ |  | \$478,586 |  |  |
| Blg Stone | 19,961,260 |  | 19,961,260 | 2,148,052 | $(74,248)$ | (1,297,993) | $\bigcirc$ | $(621,504)$ |  | 194,537 |  |  |
| Clay | 62,040,932 |  | 62,040,932 | 6,650,906 | $(683,968)$ | $(184,673)$ | $\bigcirc$ | (98,961) |  | 704.769 |  |  |
| Douglas | 49,958,908 |  | 49,958,908 | 3,250,311 | $(1,227,488)$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | $(1,373,136)$ |  | 451,706 |  |  |
| Grant | 19,368,665 |  | 19,368,665 | 2,729,306 | $(84,754)$ | $(843,663)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,082,602)$ |  | 48,142 |  |  |
| Mahnomen | 15,273,119 |  | 15,273,119 | 5,033,974 | 12,090 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(298,162)$ |  | - |  |  |
| Otter Tail | 135,372,462 |  | 135,372,462 | $(1,033,349)$ | 1,033,380 | $(514,638)$ | 2,326,684 | $(9,680,380)$ |  | 420,862 |  |  |
| Pope | 33,918,023 |  | 33,918,023 | 11,453,228 | 365,839 | - | $\bigcirc$ | $(987,750)$ |  | 338,295 |  |  |
| Stevens | 24,375,779 |  | 24,375,779 | 530,604 | $(18,413)$ | $(11,389)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,839,050)$ |  | 125,901 |  |  |
| Swift | 37,405,584 |  | 37,405,584 | 1,846,311 | $(371,169)$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,163,442)$ |  | 364,608 | 55,958 |  |
| Traverse | 26,013,400 |  | 26,013,400 | $(2,358,764)$ | $(112,426)$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(986,193)$ |  | 160,653 |  |  |
| Wilkin | 31,874,862 |  | 31,874,862 | 6,090,395 | $(284,833)$ | $(101,224)$ | - ${ }^{\circ}$ | $(1,069,601)$ | 37,731 | 472,125 |  |  |
| District 4 Totals | 505,127,972 |  | 505,127,972 | 37,983,589 | $(1,188,761)$ | (2,953,580) | 2,321,684 | (21,117,974) | 37,731 | 3,760,184 | 55,958 | 0 |
| Anoka | 94,460,340 |  | 94,460,340 | \$5,246,261 | \$5,432,656 | $(145,591)$ | 0 | $(699,370)$ |  | 7,235,245 | 2,647,042 |  |
| Carver | 62,776,638 |  | 62,776,638 | 760,203 | 1,409,458 | (1,424,969) | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,174,084)$ |  | 681,848 | 29,945 |  |
| Hennepin | 492,997,174 |  | 492,997,174 | 2,164,873 | 8,423,897 | $(3,578,959)$ | 0 | $(3,439,308)$ | 1,180,220 | 30,294,182 | 10,453,523 |  |
| Scott | 60,960,626 |  | 60,960,626 | 17,955,398 | 2,140,996 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,252,795)$ |  | 2,763,935 | 708,994 |  |
| District 5 Totals | 711,194,778 |  | 711,194,778 | 26,126,735 | 17,407,007 | $(5,149,519)$ | 0 | $(6,565,557)$ | 1,180,220 | 40,975,210 | 13,839,504 | 0 |
| Dodge | 33,253,865 |  | 33,253,865 | \$1,441,924 | (\$138,988) | $\bigcirc$ | $(160,000)$ | $(857.791)$ |  | 137,518 |  | $(176,610)$ |
| Fillmore | 99,111,897 |  | 99,111,897 | $(1,626,837)$ | 2,047,394 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $(1,132,429)$ |  | 632,566 |  |  |
| Freeborn | 62,510,620 |  | 62,510,620 | 12,674,035 | $(215,729)$ | $(300,110)$ | - | $(3,764,988)$ |  | 177,761 |  |  |
| Goodhue | 62,970,539 |  | 62,970,539 | 6,844,385 | $(189,232)$ | 0 | o | $(51,309)$ |  | 1,442,721 |  |  |
| Houston | 61,172,985 |  | 61,172,985 | $(1,177,363)$ | $(322,458)$ | $(989,332)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,040,904)$ |  | 83,385 |  |  |
| Mower | 69,694,657 |  | 69,694,657 | 6,350,219 | (1,164,002) | 0 | - | $(2,931,460)$ |  | 187,423 |  | $(7,850)$ |
| Olmsted | 81,907,281 |  | 81,907,281 | $(463,431)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(187,418)$ | 52,831 | 3,551,456 | 488,949 |  |
| Rice | 57,663,634 |  | 57,663,634 | 8,110,061 | $(543,312)$ | $(319,997)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(1,928,807)$ |  | 239,655 |  |  |
| Steele | 47,718,019 |  | 47,718,019 | 5,139,775 | 513,108 | $(62,393)$ | $(29,612)$ | $(173,803)$ |  | 87,793 |  | $(21,510)$ |
| Wabasha | 58,545,700 |  | 58,545,700 | 3,519,892 | O | $(844,381)$ | 0 | $(657,467)$ |  | 617,641 | 101,779 |  |
| Winona | 71,423,480 |  | 71,423,480 | 971,886 | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\circ}$ | - | ) | $(2,895,802)$ |  | 235,770 |  |  |
| District 6 Totals | 705,972,677 |  | 705,972,677 | 41,784,546 | $(13,219)$ | (2,516,213) | $(189,612)$ | (15,622,178) | 52,831 | 7,393,689 | 590,728 | $(205,970)$ |
| Blue Earth | \$93,409,552 |  | 93,409,552 | 1,773,149 | 355,256 | \$0 | 0 | $(745,576)$ |  | \$1,538,453 | 9,942 |  |
| Brown | 38,492,209 |  | 38,492,209 | $\bigcirc$ | 740,609 | 0 | 0 | $(766,448)$ |  | 531,466 |  |  |
| Cottonwood | 38,294,558 |  | 38,294,558 | 6,189,641 | $(130,697)$ | $(262,308)$ | 0 | $(2,510,801)$ |  | 474,770 |  |  |
| Faribault | 59,253,120 |  | 59,253,120 | 3,227,983 | 271,333 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $(342,286)$ |  | 673,646 | 94,129 |  |
| Jackson | 56,267,887 |  | 56,267,887 | 7,778,542 | $(143,502)$ | $(52,880)$ | - | $(2,568,833)$ | 5,64.6 | 384,633 |  |  |
| Le Sueur | 44,138,281 |  | 44,138,281 | 2,557,817 | 427,014 | 0 | 1,490,000 | - |  | 620,537 | 3,794 |  |
| Martin | 49,542,991 |  | 49,542,991 | 1,887,676 | $(437,839)$ | 0 | 0 | $(66,914)$ |  | 370,481 |  |  |
| Nicollet | 40,223,937 |  | 40,223,937 | 1,214,682 | $(396,454)$ | 0 | 630,622 | $(200,641)$ |  | 676,096 |  |  |
| Nobles | 53,433,857 |  | 53,433,857 | 3,754,914 | $(156,719)$ | $(80,111)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(2,052,453)$ |  | 278,742 |  |  |
| Rock | 31,807,086 |  | 31,807,086 | 3,149,162 | $(341,295)$ | $(503,971)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(721,583)$ |  | 363,229 |  |  |
| Sibley | 39,394,898 |  | 39,394,898 | 4,826,288 | 618,688 | 0 | 0 | $(3,016,795)$ |  | 353,713 |  |  |
| Waseca | 43,373,298 |  | 43,373,298 | 977,633 | $(580,878)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1,470,000 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 241,980 |  |  |
| Watonwan | 30,317,975 |  | 30,317,975 | 715,749 | $(265,890)$ | 0 | 0 | $(1,237,071)$ |  | 425,153 | 73,322 |  |
| District 7 Totals | 617,949,649 |  | 617,949,649 | 38,053,236 | $(40,374)$ | (899,270) | 3,590,622 | $(14,229,401)$ | 5,646 | 6,932,899 | 181,187 | 0 |
| Chippewa | 32,521,000 |  | 32,521,000 | \$5,343,758 | \$461,056 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $(237,674)$ |  | \$148,605 |  |  |
| Kandiyohi | 62,451,506 |  | 62,451,506 | 9,122,366 | (754,764) | - | - | $(244,165)$ |  | 784,997 | 39,348 |  |
| Lac Qul Parle | 33,214,249 |  | 33,214,249 | 1,964,123 | 7,247 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $(864,088)$ |  | 552,631 |  |  |
| Lincoln | 26,896,693 |  | 26,896,693 | 76,354 | 505,073 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $(1,041,009)$ |  | 445,670 |  |  |
| Lyon | 47,097,954 |  | 47,097,954 | 1,096,667 | (1,094,081) | $(114,111)$ |  | $(3,440,720)$ |  | 609,133 | 48,445 |  |
| Mc Leod | 39,899,960 |  | 39,899,960 | 2,142,586 | $(463,100)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $(450,020)$ | 18,800 | 1,645,632 | 56,694 |  |
| Meeker | 31,792,380 |  | 31,792,380 | 3,763,034 | $(57,267)$ | $(943,469)$ |  | $(882,201)$ |  | 398,199 |  |  |
| Murray | 34,152,262 |  | 34,152,262 | $(2,781,002)$ | 0 | $(156,287)$ | 0 | $(1,748,828)$ |  | 125,295 |  |  |
| Pipestone | 27,053,441 |  | 27,053,441 | 1,069,963 | $(59,926)$ | - | - | $(1,046,174)$ |  | 269,198 | 9,542 |  |
| Redwood | 61,577,556 |  | 61,577,556 | 3,100,818 | $(634,332)$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $(4,955,482)$ |  | 585,789 |  |  |
| Renville | 72,000,443 |  | 72,000,443 | 2,744,457 | 67,985 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $(5,957,198)$ |  | 182,190 |  | $(45,450)$ |
| Yellow Medicine | 48,127,400 |  | 48,127,400 | $(946,351)$ | 581,744 | - | 1,945,000 | $(1,439,938)$ |  | 244,259 |  |  |
| District 8 Totals | 516,784,844 |  | 516,784,844 | 26,696,773 | $(1,440,365)$ | $(1,213,867)$ | 1,945,000 | (22,307,497) | 18,800 | 5,991,598 | 154,029 | $(45,450)$ |
| Chisago | 51,647,791 |  | 51,647,791 | \$6,449,313 | \$89,204 | $(681,677)$ | 0 | $(2,191,455)$ | 27,200 | 355,943 | 36,692 |  |
| Dakota | 126,157,213 |  | 126,157,213 | $(202,407)$ | 715,476 | $(816,303)$ | 0 | 0 |  | 10,441,935 | 3,279,914 |  |
| Ramsey | 216,791,699 |  | 216,791,699 | 541,723 | 26,686,214 | 0 | - | $(589,719)$ | 201,073 | 4,285,645 | 2,918,165 |  |
| Washington | 90,164,298 |  | 90,164,298 | 12,093,448 | 1,171,046 | 0 | 0 | $(677,538)$ | 54,841 | 2,632,605 | 1,389,583 |  |
| District 9 Totals | 484,761,001 |  | 484,761,001 | 18,882,077 | 28,661,940 | $(1,497,980)$ | 0 | (3,458,712) | 283,114 | 17,716,128 | 7,624,354 | 0 |

STATE TOTALS $\$ 5,450,915,128 \quad \$ 0 \quad \$ 5,450,915,128 \quad \$ 394,258,627 \quad \$ 51,940,786 \quad(\$ 22,252,600) \$ 13,724,634 \quad(\$ 126,091,923) \quad \$ 1,794,543 \quad \$ 102,001,104 \quad \$ 22,932,673 \quad(\$ 524,582):$


[^1]


[^0]:    * Denotes Urban County.

[^1]:    $\$ 24,146,57 \mathrm{j}$ ( $\$ 8,449,978$ ) $\$ 5,904,394,988$

