

no. 415

\$

.

. . .

. .

MACROPHYTE REMOVAL TO ENHANCE BLUEGILL, LARGEMOUTH BASS AND NORTHERN PIKE POPULATIONS¹

Timothy K. Cross, Michael C. McInerny and Robert A. Davis

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources Section of Fisheries 500 Lafayette Road St. Paul, MN 55155

Abstract.--We examined effects of systematic macrophyte removal on bluegill, largemouth bass, and northern pike in three Wright County lakes with dense populations of slow growing bluegill. In Mary and Ida lakes, 6 to 11% of the macrophyte cover was mechanically harvested during 1988 and 1989. Macrophyte cover was harvested in quadrants (0.8 and 1.0 ha) at rates of 50, 75, and 100 percent. Macrophytes were not harvested in Bass Lake. Lakewide changes in density, size structure, and growth of bluegill, largemouth bass, and northern pike were not significantly affected by macrophyte harvesting. Only first-year growth of largemouth bass was improved. Bluegill populations were strongly affected by factors other than macrophyte harvesting that caused variability in year-class strength. Mechanical harvesting as a management tool to improve fish populations may be restricted by cost and harvesting equipment capabilities.

Introduction

Lakes that contain many small bluegill Lepomis macrochirus but not enough large bluegill to satisfy anglers are common in Minnesota. Excessive aquatic macrophyte cover in these lakes is thought to be a major factor affecting bluegill size. At high densities, macrophyte cover protects bluegill from predation and allows them to proliferate, leading to density-dependent growth reduction. Hypothetically, removal of excess aquatic macrophyte cover will improve conditions for bluegill populations and their predators. The amount of macrophyte cover in lakes has been shown to influence growth of bluegill (Engel 1985); year class formation of bluegill (DiCostanzo 1957); largemouth bass *Micropterus salmoides* (Reynolds and Babb 1978; Durocher et al. 1984) and northern pike *Esox lucius* (Holland and Huston 1984); and physical condition of bluegill and largemouth bass (Colle and Shireman 1980).

For decades, improvements in growth and size structure of bluegill populations have been fishery management goals. Experimental removal of bluegill to increase the growth and size structure of bluegill has not been effective or has resulted in only

¹ This project was funded in part by the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration (Dingell-Johnson) Program. Completion Report, Study 132, D-J Project F-26-R Minnesota.

temporary improvements (Beckman 1950; Scidmore 1959; Layzer and Clady 1981). The most effective technique has been to maintain predation on bluegill at a level that results in a balanced population (Swingle and Smith 1942; Anderson 1973). Predation on bluegill is most effective when concentrated on small fish (Novinger and Legler 1978; Clark and Lockwood 1990; Guy and Willis 1990).

Predator effectiveness decreases with the increased structural complexity of cover (Glass 1971; Stein 1977; Saiki and Tash 1979). Savino and Stein (1982) determined that largemouth bass became less efficient at capturing small bluegill as stem density increased in experimental ponds. Feeding rates of predator fish are optimized in cover that holds high densities of prey while still providing adequate space for predator fish to maneuver and capture prey (Crowder and Cooper 1979a). Wiley et al. (1984) showed that largemouth bass grew best with intermediate densities (52 g dry weight/m²) of aquatic macrophyte cover in experimental ponds.

Predation and cover complexity affect growth and feeding of bluegill. In response to predatory pressures, bluegill < 100 mmTL were restricted to areas with dense macrophyte cover in littoral zones of lakes (Hall and Werner 1977). Larger bluegill were less susceptible to predation and occupied areas away from macrophyte cover where more food was easier to obtain (Mittlebach 1981; Werner et al. 1983). Excessive macrophyte cover restricts the ability of bluegill to use invertebrate foods (Mittlebach 1981). In experimental ponds, Crowder and Cooper (1979b) showed that bluegill grew best at intermediate macrophyte densities (111 stems/m²).

Manipulation of bluegill populations in lakes with dense macrophyte cover may be possible with macrophyte removal. In Minnesota lakes, mechanical harvesting is the preferred technique to remove macrophytes. Mechanical harvesting is similar in cost to herbicide treatment for large areas (Smith 1979) and is the most efficient technique where the use of chemicals is undesirable. Mechanical harvesting can also be used to selectively harvest areas within macrophyte beds to create areas for boating and fishing, and to enhance habitat for predator fish (Smith 1986).

Changes in fish populations due to manipulation of macrophyte densities with mechanical harvesting have rarely been Engel (1987) showed that documented. largemouth bass used channels cut through macrophyte beds by a mechanical harvester. Conversely, Theisfield (1989) determined that the distribution of largemouth bass and bluegill was not influenced by macrophyte removal. Improvements in condition of bluegill and largemouth bass were observed by Colle and Shireman (1980) following mechanical harvesting of Hydrilla spp. in a Florida lake. Mechanical harvesting of aquatic macrophytes also removes some juvenile fish associated with the macrophytes (Mikal 1985; Haller et al. 1980).

In this study we evaluated the effects of systematic mechanical harvesting of aquatic macrophytes on fish populations. The objective was to improve the size structure and growth of bluegill, largemouth bass, and northern pike in two Minnesota lakes with dense macrophyte cover.

Study Area

Three Wright County lakes, Mary, Ida, and Bass, were selected for this study. These lakes are representative of small, central Minnesota lakes that contain an abundance of small bluegill. Physical characteristics of the study lakes were similar, although Lake Mary was more fertile and less transparent than either Ida or Bass lakes (Table 1). In Lake Mary, few emergent macrophytes were found and submerged macrophytes were usually limited to depths less than 3 m. The macrophyte community in Lake Mary was dominated by Potamogeton crispus and Myriophyllum exalbescens (Table 2). In Ida and Bass lakes, emergent macrophyte forms were common and a diverse submergent macrophyte community occurred to 9 m (Table 2).

| Selected characteristic | Mary | Ida | Bass |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Surface area (ba) | 72.9 | 93.6 | 86.3 |
| Maximum depth (m) | 14 | 18.3 | 10.4 |
| Percent littoral (<4.6 m) | 53 | 47 | 45 |
| Percent macrophyte coverage* | 24 | 20 | 30 |
| Secchi disk transparency (m) | 1.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 |
| Chlorophyll a (ug/l) | 29.5 | 7.9 | 5.6 |
| Total phosphorous (mg/l) | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.011 |
| Specific conductance (umhos/cm) | 266 | 292 | 324 |

Table 1. Selected physical and chemical characteristics of Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes.

* Determined from aerial photos taken 8 August 1989.

Table 2. Relative abundance of aquatic macrophytes in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes(a-abundant, c-common, o-occasional, r-rare, p-present).^a

| Plant species | Mary | Ida | Bass |
|---|------|--------|------|
| | | | |
| Ceratophyllum demersum | 0 | a | 0 |
| Chara spp. | С | a | а |
| Elodea canadensis | | а | r |
| Myriophyllum exalbescens | a | a | а |
| Najas flexilis | С | | 0 |
| Nuphar microphylumm | | | a |
| Nuphar variegatum | | а | r |
| Nymphaea tetragona | | a | |
| Nymphaea tuberosa | | a | |
| Potamogeton amplifolius | 0 | 0 | r |
| Potamogeton crispus | a | - | 0 |
| Potamogeton foliosus | 0 | | - |
| Potamogeton natan | | o | |
| Potamogeton pectinatus | C | • • | 0 |
| Potamogeton praelongus | • | | a |
| Potamogeton richardsonii | | a | 0 |
| Potamogeton zosteriformis | а | 2 | r |
| Scirnus acutus | | Č | ± |
| Sparganium eurugareum | | د ۳ | |
| Panunculus spn | | 1 | ~ |
| Nanunculus spp. Utricularia uulaaria | | a | |
| Vollignomia emeridana | | _ | 0 |
| vallisnella americana | | a | 0 |
| | • | | |

* Compiled from MNDNR lake survey file information.

Below average precipitation in 1988 and 1989 resulted in a 1 m drop in water levels in these study lakes. Corresponding changes in macrophyte growth patterns were also observed. Emergent macrophyte stands were largely reduced in the study lakes. A reduction of *P. crispus* in Lake Mary was observed in 1989.

Lake Mary is managed by the MNDNR Fisheries Section as a centrarchid-walleye lake. This lake has been stocked with northern pike (125 - 500 fingerlings/littoral ha and 125 adults/ha, annually), walleye *Stizostedion vitreum* (0.47 - 0.89 kg fingerlings and yearlings/littoral ha, alternate years; 200 - 400 fry/littoral ha in 1983 and 1985), yellow perch *Perca flavescens* (1.87 kg fingerlings/ha, between 1987 and 1988), and black crappie *Pomoxis nigromaculatus* (1.16 kg adults/ha, 1986). Lakes Ida and Bass are managed as centrarchid lakes and have not been stocked with fish since 1979.

Fish populations in Bass and Ida lakes were similar to each other and different from fish populations in Lake Mary. Higher catches of walleye, largemouth bass, and black crappie are characteristic of Lake Mary (MN DNR file data). Catches of bowfin *Amia calva*, northern pike, yellow perch, brown bullhead *Ameiurus nebulosus*, green sunfish *Lepomis cyanellus*, pumpkinseed *L. gibbosus*, and sunfish hybrids *Lepomis spp*. are highest in Ida and Bass lakes (MN DNR file data). Fish diversity is higher in Ida and Bass lakes than in Lake Mary (MN DNR file data).

Methods

Macrophyte Removal

Macrophytes in selected sites within Lakes Mary and Ida were removed with mechanical harvesters in 1988 and 1989. Mechanical harvesting was not done in Bass Lake during this period. Private firms were contracted to perform the harvest. Harvesting started when macrophyte growth neared the water surface (late June) within the selected sites. Harvesting was conducted again approximately one month later when the regrowth of macrophytes neared the surface of the lake.

Sites and removal patterns for macrophyte harvesting within each lake were identical in both years. In Lake Mary, macrophytes from two 0.8 ha quadrants were harvested in a grid pattern, forming square islands of vegetation. Fifty percent of the surface area was harvested in one quadrant and 75 percent of the surface area was harvested in the other quadrant. In Lake Ida, two 1.0 ha sites were clearcut. Approximately 6% and 11% of the macrophyte cover in Lakes Mary and Ida, respectively, were removed (Table 3). More edge (interface between harvested and unharvested areas) was created with the grid pattern than with clear cutting (Table 3).

Fish Population Assessment

Fish populations in all three study lakes were sampled two years immediately before macrophyte harvesting (1986-1987) and two years with harvesting (1988-1989). In 1986, sampling was done in August and September. From 1987 to 1989, sampling was done from May through September.

Six trap nets with 1.9-cm mesh and a 76-m, five panel (1.9-, 2.5-, 3.2-, 3.8-, and 5.1-cm mesh) experimental gill net were set at permanent stations in each lake. Captured fish were identified to species, counted, and measured (TL mm). Scale samples and weights were taken from bluegill subsamples, and from all largemouth bass and northern pike. Cleithra were collected from northern pike killed during capture.

Fish were also sampled with a boat mounted electrofisher. A Coffelt VVP-15 unit was used for pulsed DC current output, except during mechanical failure, when pulsed AC current was substituted. Voltage ranged from 300 to 600 volts and current ranged from 4 to 6 amps. Differences in catches between the two current types were not observed; therefore, all data were treated identically. Macrophyte harvest quadrants, adjacent unharvested quadrants (representa-

| Removal | pat | ttern | Total area | (m ²) | Percent harvested | Edge | length |
|--------------|--------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Site Site | 1 2 | grid grid | 8,000 8,000 | Lake | Mary 75 50 | 920 1176 | (340%)° (435%)° |
| Site Site | 1 2 | clearcut clearcut | 10,000 10,000 | Lake | Ida 100 100 | 300 300 | |

Table 3. Total area of experimental quadrants, percent harvested, and length of interface between harvested and unharvested areas (edge) at Mary and Ida lakes.

* Percentage increase in edge over total removal with clearcut.

tive of harvested quadrants without treatment), and selected trap net locations (representative of the littoral zone in each lake) were electrofished in 1988 and 1989. Each site was electrofished for 10 minutes during the day and again at night. All fish species were collected except that only largemouth bass and northern pike were collected during night runs in 1987. After each run, fish were identified and measured (TL mm). Scale samples and weight (g) were collected from all captured largemouth bass and northern pike. Stomach samples were dissected from a representative sample of bluegill and largemouth bass < 200 mm TL. Stomach contents of largemouth bass > 200 mm and northern pike were collected with a stomach pump (Seaburg 1957).

All scale samples were pressed on acetate strips. Annual marks on northern pike cleithra were used to confirm ages determined with scales. Food items contained in stomach samples were identified to the nearest practical taxon.

Data Analysis

Data collected in this study were used to describe differences in bluegill, largemouth bass, and northern pike populations before and after treatment with mechanical harvesting as well as among sites within each lake. Relative abundance, length distribution, physical condition, age distribution, growth, and diet were determined.

Early summer (May - July 9) and late summer (July 10 - September) trap net samples were analyzed separately because substantial differences in catch rates and size structure were observed. Trap net and gill net CPUE were expressed as the number of fish caught per set. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) were calculated (Anderson and Gutreuter 1983). Statistical differences in trap net CPUE and PSD of bluegill between preharvest and harvest years were determined with paired T-tests. Relative weight (Wr) of northern pike captured in gill nets were calculated as suggested by Willis and Scalet (1989).

Electrofishing CPUE was expressed as the number of bluegill < 80 mm, bluegill \geq 80 mm, largemouth bass < 200 mm, largemouth bass \geq 200 mm to < 300 mm, and largemouth bass \geq 300 mm captured per h. Day and night electrofishing samples were analyzed separately. Differences in electrofishing CPUE of bluegill and largemouth bass were summarized using two-way ANOVA (macrophyte harvest treatments by sampling date) with LSD pairwise comparison of means. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to examine differences between length frequencies of bluegill and largemouth bass captured with electrofishing. Relative weight (Wr) were calculated (Anderson and Gutreuter 1983) and significant differences in mean Wr between harvest and preharvest periods were determined with Tukey HSD tests.

Growth was determined with the Frazer-Lee method using standard *a* intercept values (Carlander 1982) and the Disbcal computer program (Frie 1982). Two sample T-tests were used to determine differences between average back-calculated annual growth increments. Age, environment, and age*environment interaction effects on annual scale growth increments were identified using linear models developed by Weisburg and Frie (1989).

Percent occurrence of food items in stomachs of bluegill ≤ 60 mm, bluegill > 60 mm, largemouth bass < 100 mm, largemouth bass ≥ 100 mm, and northern pike collected from July through September were calculated. After macrophyte harvest, we looked for increases of zooplankton in stomachs of bluegill, and increases of *Lepomis* spp. in stomachs of largemouth bass and northern pike. To estimate similarities in diet of bluegill ≤ 60 mm and > 60 mm among harvested and unharvested quadrants within Ida and Mary lakes, Spearman rank coefficients were calculated (Fritz 1974).

Statistical significance were set at P < 0.05 for all tests unless otherwise stated.

Results

Lakewide comparisons

Bluegill.--Macrophyte harvesting did not appear to affect density, age or size structure, condition, growth, or diet of bluegill. Bluegill CPUE and PSD in trap nets at Lake Ida during preharvest years were not significantly different than during harvest years (Tables 4 and 5). Late summer PSD of bluegill was significantly lower in Lake Mary during harvest years, but no other significant differences were found. Trap net CPUE during early summer at Bass Lake was significantly higher during harvest years, but no other significant differences in catch indices were observed.

Year class strengths of bluegill were highly variable within each lake and inconsistent among lakes (Table 6). Lake Mary bluegill was dominated by 1982, 1985, and 1986 year classes; Lake Ida bluegill was dominated by the 1982 and 1983 year classes; and Bass Lake was dominated by the 1983 and 1986 year classes. These strong year-classes were established before we started macrophyte harvesting and did not appear to change as a result of harvesting.

Average Wr of bluegill were lower for harvest years than preharvest years in all three lakes (Table 7). Significant decreases in Wr were found for all sizes of bluegill in Lake Ida and for bluegill in the 80 - 150 mm size class in Bass Lake.

An increase in bluegill growth rates corresponding to macrophyte harvesting was not found. Annual growth increments for 1988 were usually less than growth increments in previous (unharvested) years in all three lakes (Table 8). Environmental effects were indicated for changes in age 1 to 3 bluegill in Bass Lake, age 2 bluegill in Lake Ida, and age 1 and 3 bluegill in Lake Mary (Table 9). However, environmental effects did not correspond to harvesting in 1988 and 1989.

Macrophyte harvest did not appear to affect diet of bluegill. Before and during macrophyte harvesting, copopods, *Daphnia* spp., amphipods, and dipterans were the more frequently observed food items in stomachs of bluegill ≤ 60 mm in each lake (Table 10). Bluegill ≥ 60 mm fed mostly on amphipods, dipterans, trichopterans, and vegetation before and during years of macrophyte harvest (Table 10). Increased occurrences of copopods and cladocerans were not observed (Table 10).

Largemouth bass.--Macrophyte harvesting did not affect density, age or size structure, condition, or diet, but could have affected first-year growth of largemouth bass in Mary and Ida lakes. Electrofishing CPUE of all size groups of largemouth bass

| <u>Removal</u> | pat | ttern | Total area | (m ²) | Percent harvested | Edge | length |
|----------------|--------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Site Site | 1 2 | grid grid | 8,000 8,000 | Lake | Mary 75 50 | 920 1176 | (340%)° (435%)° |
| Site Site | 1 2 | clearcut | 10,000 10,000 | Lake | Ida 100 100 | 300 300 | |

Table 3. Total area of experimental quadrants, percent harvested, and length of interface between harvested and unharvested areas (edge) at Mary and Ida lakes.

* Percentage increase in edge over total removal with clearcut.

tive of harvested quadrants without treatment), and selected trap net locations (representative of the littoral zone in each lake) were electrofished in 1988 and 1989. Each site was electrofished for 10 minutes during the day and again at night. All fish species were collected except that only largemouth bass and northern pike were collected during night runs in 1987. After each run, fish were identified and measured (TL mm). Scale samples and weight (g) were collected from all captured largemouth bass and northern pike. Stomach samples were dissected from a representative sample of bluegill and largemouth bass < 200 mm TL. Stomach contents of largemouth bass > 200 mm and northern pike were collected with a stomach pump (Seaburg 1957).

All scale samples were pressed on acetate strips. Annual marks on northern pike cleithra were used to confirm ages determined with scales. Food items contained in stomach samples were identified to the nearest practical taxon.

Data Analysis

Data collected in this study were used to describe differences in bluegill, largemouth bass, and northern pike populations before and after treatment with mechanical harvesting as well as among sites within each lake. Relative abundance, length distribution, physical condition, age distribution, growth, and diet were determined.

Early summer (May - July 9) and late summer (July 10 - September) trap net samples were analyzed separately because substantial differences in catch rates and size structure were observed. Trap net and gill net CPUE were expressed as the number of Proportional stock fish caught per set. density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) were calculated (Anderson and Gutreuter 1983). Statistical differences in trap net CPUE and PSD of bluegill between preharvest and harvest years were determined with paired T-tests. Relative weight (Wr) of northern pike captured in gill nets were calculated as suggested by Willis and Scalet (1989).

Electrofishing CPUE was expressed as the number of bluegill < 80 mm, bluegill \geq 80 mm, largemouth bass < 200 mm, largemouth bass \geq 200 mm to < 300 mm, and largemouth bass \geq 300 mm captured per h. Day and night electrofishing samples were analyzed separately. Differences in electrofishing CPUE of bluegill and largemouth bass were summarized using two-way ANOVA (macrophyte harvest treatments by sampling date) with LSD pairwise comparison of means. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to examine differences belargemouth bass captured with electrofishing. Relative weight (Wr) were calculated (Anderson and Gutreuter 1983) and significant differences in mean Wr between harvest and preharvest periods were determined with

Tukey HSD tests. Growth was determined with the Frazer-Lee method using standard *a* intercept values (Carlander 1982) and the Disbcal computer program (Frie 1982). Two sample T-tests were used to determine differences between average back-calculated annual growth increments. Age, environment, and age*environment interaction effects on annual scale growth increments were identified using linear models developed by Weisburg and Frie (1989).

tween length frequencies of bluegill and

Percent occurrence of food items in stomachs of bluegill ≤ 60 mm, bluegill > 60 mm, largemouth bass < 100 mm, largemouth bass ≥ 100 mm, and northern pike collected from July through September were calculated. After macrophyte harvest, we looked for increases of zooplankton in stomachs of bluegill, and increases of *Lepomis* spp. in stomachs of largemouth bass and northern pike. To estimate similarities in diet of bluegill ≤ 60 mm and > 60 mm among harvested and unharvested quadrants within Ida and Mary lakes, Spearman rank coefficients were calculated (Fritz 1974).

Statistical significance were set at P < 0.05 for all tests unless otherwise stated.

Results

Lakewide comparisons

Bluegill.--Macrophyte harvesting did not appear to affect density, age or size structure, condition, growth, or diet of bluegill. Bluegill CPUE and PSD in trap nets at Lake Ida during preharvest years were not significantly different than during harvest years (Tables 4 and 5). Late summer PSD of bluegill was significantly lower in Lake Mary during harvest years, but no other significant differences were found. Trap net CPUE during early summer at Bass Lake was significantly higher during harvest years, but no other significant differences in catch indices were observed.

Year class strengths of bluegill were highly variable within each lake and inconsistent among lakes (Table 6). Lake Mary bluegill was dominated by 1982, 1985, and 1986 year classes; Lake Ida bluegill was dominated by the 1982 and 1983 year classes; and Bass Lake was dominated by the 1983 and 1986 year classes. These strong year-classes were established before we started macrophyte harvesting and did not appear to change as a result of harvesting.

Average Wr of bluegill were lower for harvest years than preharvest years in all three lakes (Table 7). Significant decreases in Wr were found for all sizes of bluegill in Lake Ida and for bluegill in the 80 - 150 mm size class in Bass Lake.

An increase in bluegill growth rates corresponding to macrophyte harvesting was not found. Annual growth increments for 1988 were usually less than growth increments in previous (unharvested) years in all three lakes (Table 8). Environmental effects were indicated for changes in age 1 to 3 bluegill in Bass Lake, age 2 bluegill in Lake Ida, and age 1 and 3 bluegill in Lake Mary (Table 9). However, environmental effects did not correspond to harvesting in 1988 and 1989.

Macrophyte harvest did not appear to affect diet of bluegill. Before and during macrophyte harvesting, copopods, *Daphnia* spp., amphipods, and dipterans were the more frequently observed food items in stomachs of bluegill ≤ 60 mm in each lake (Table 10). Bluegill ≥ 60 mm fed mostly on amphipods, dipterans, trichopterans, and vegetation before and during years of macrophyte harvest (Table 10). Increased occurrences of copopods and cladocerans were not observed (Table 10).

Largemouth bass.--Macrophyte harvesting did not affect density, age or size structure, condition, or diet, but could have affected first-year growth of largemouth bass in Mary and Ida lakes. Electrofishing CPUE of all size groups of largemouth bass

| | Preharves | st | | Harve | st | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| N | Mean CPUI | E SE | N | Mean C | PUE SE | |
| | | Early | summer | | | |
| 5 | 64 | 20.7 | 2 | 30 | 10.4 | |
| 4 | 84 | 30.6 | 2 | 100 | 12.9 | |
| 3 | 51 | 9.5 | 2 | 156 | 13.9 | |
| | | Late | summer | | | |
| 3 | 12 | 4.1 | 4 | 21 | 7.6 | |
| 3 | 19 | 4.8 | 4 | 19 | 4.6 | |
| 4 | 20 | 9.3 | 4 | 17 | 2.9 | |
| | N 5 4 3 3 3 4 | <u>Preharves</u> <u>N Mean CPUI</u> 5 64 4 84 3 51 3 12 3 19 4 20 | Preharvest N Mean CPUE SE Early 5 64 20.7 4 84 30.6 3 51 9.5 Late 3 12 4.1 3 19 4.8 4 20 9.3 | Preharvest N N Mean CPUE SE N Early summer Summer | Preharvest Harvest N Mean CPUE SE N Mean C Early summer S 64 20.7 2 30 30 30 64 20 100 30 31 9.5 2 156 | Preharvest Harvest N Mean CPUE SE N Mean CPUE SE Early summer 30 10.4 30.6 2 100 12.9 30 10.4 30.6 2 100 12.9 30 10.4 30.6 2 100 12.9 30 10.4 30.6 2 100 12.9 30 10.4 30.6 2 100 12.9 30 30.6 30.9 30.6 30.6 30.9 30.6 30.9 30.6 30.9 30.6 30.9 |

Table 4. Mean daily trap net CPUE (no./lift) of bluegill sampled early summer and late summer in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes before mechanical harvesting (1986-1987) and after (1988-1989).

Table 5. Mean, standard error (SE), and sample size (N) of daily average bluegill PSD sampled during early and late summer in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes before mechanical harvesting (1986-1987) and after (1988-1989).

| | | Preharves | it | | Harve | est | |
|-------------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|-----|------|
| <u>Lake</u> | <u>N</u> | Mean PSD | SE | <u> </u> | Mean | PSD | SE |
| | | | Early | summer | ~ | | |
| Mary | 5 | 57 | 6.1 | 2 | 50 |) | 13.7 |
| Ida | 4 | 40 | 9.5 | 2 | 69 |) | 4.8 |
| Bass | 3 | 38 | 7.2 | 2 | 69 |) | 16.1 |
| | | | Late | summer | | | |
| Mary | 3 | 48 | 3.6 | 4 | 6* | • | 4.4 |
| Ida | 3 | 13 | 6.8 | 4 | 37 | 7 | 8.8 |
| Bass | 4 | 49 | 10.5 | 4 | 26 | 5 | 9.0 |

* Indicates significant (P < 0.05) difference between preharvest and harvest means.

| | | | | A | qe | | | | |
|-------|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ma | ry | | | | |
| 1986° | 0 | 3 | 10 | 48 | 26 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 8 | 45 | 8 | 14 | 23 | 2 | <1 | <1 |
| 1989 | <1 | 6 | 38 | 21 | 2 | 7 | 22 | 3 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ida | 3 | | | | |
| 1986° | 1 | 10 | 45 | 29 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | <1 | 5 | 21 | 33 | 30 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 22 | 36 | 24 | 9 | 1 | <1 |
| 1989 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 45 | 19 | 8 | <1 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Bas | 55 | | | | |
| 1986° | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 78 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 6 | 37 | 39 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | · 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 14 | 33 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1989 | <1 | 5 | 17 | <1 | 15 | 51 | 11 | <1 | <1 |
| | | | | _ | | | | | _ |

Table 6. Percent of bluegill at age in trap net samples in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes, 1986-1989.

* Only one sample date (August) was used in 1986.

Table 7. Mean relative weight (Wr) of bluegill 80 to 150 mm and bluegill ≥ 150 mm collected in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes before (preharvest) and during mechanical harvest (harvest) of macrophytes.

| | M | ary | Ida | a | Ba | ss | |
|-------------------|-----|------|--------|-----|------|-----|--|
| <u>Size group</u> | Wr | N | Wr | N | Wr | N | |
| | | Preh | arvest | | | | |
| 80 - 150 mm | 106 | 46 | 118 | 23 | 122 | 14 | |
| > 150 mm | 116 | 20 | 116 | 4 | 120 | 5 | |
| | | Har | vest | | | | |
| 80 - 150 mm | 109 | 256 | 107* | 150 | 111* | 156 | |
| > 150 mm | 111 | 28 | 106* | 84 | 115 | 45 | |

* Indicates significant (P < 0.05) differences between harvest and preharvest means.

| | | 1985 | | | 1986 | | | 198 | 7 | 1 | 988 | |
|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------------------|-------|------|----------|--------|------|-----|-----|
| Age | Inc. | N | SE | Inc. | <u>1 700</u> N | SE | Inc. | <u>N</u> | SE | Inc. | N | SE |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | Lake | Mary | | \sim | | | |
| 1 | 37 | 8 | 1.1 | 41 | 11 | 1.9 | - | | | 42 | 1 | |
| 2 | 28 | 1 | | 24 | 37 | 0.7** | 28 | 3 | 1.9** | 20 | 18 | 0.6 |
| 3 | 25 | 4 | 3.3 | 31 | 13 | 1.5** | 24 | 14 | 1.4* | 22 | 51 | 0.6 |
| 4 | 33 | 16 | 1.2** | 31 | 19 | 1.5** | 29 | 7 | 1.9 | 27 | 76 | 0.7 |
| 5 | 31 | 7 | 2.2** | 29 | 40 | 1.2** | 27 | 8 | 2.0 | 24 | 8 | 1.7 |
| 6 | 31 | 3 | 1.5** | 22 | 12 | 1.4 | 26 | 27 | 1.4** | 19 | 11 | 2.3 |
| | | | | | | Lake | Ida | | | | | |
| 1 | 43 | 1 | | 41 | 7 | 1.2 | | | | | | |
| 2 | 17 | 3 | 1.8 | 22 | 13 | 0.7** | | | | 19 | 34 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 24 | 20 | 1.1** | 20 | 27 | 0.7 | 15 | 4 | 2.2** | 20 | 28 | 0.6 |
| 4 | 30 | 22 | 1.7** | 22 | 42 | 0.8 | 22 | 15 | 2.2 | 23 | 25 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 26 | 7 | 1.1** | 23 | 29 | 1.2 | 28 | 29 | 1.3** | 23 | 45 | 0.8 |
| 6 | 16 | 1 | | 20 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 19 | 1.6 | 22 | 58 | 0.8 |
| | | | | | | Bass | Lake | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | 2400 | | | | 46 | 13 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 22 | 1 | | 33 | 5 | 2.2** | 31 | 9 | 0.9** | 25 | 25 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 29 | 1 | | 32 | 13 | 2.1** | | | | 28 | 73 | 0.7 |
| 4 | 24 | 15 | 1.1 | 27 | $\frac{1}{24}$ | 1.2 | 24 | 15 | 1.1 | 26 | 2 | 5.6 |
| 5 | 24 | | 2.7 | 21 | 4 | 5.5 | 23 | 34 | 1.2 | 22 | 36 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 24 | 3 | 1.8** | 13 | 4 | 2.2** | 21 | 26 | 0.8** | 16 | 52 | 0.6 |

Table 8. Average back-calculated annual growth increments (inc.), sample size (N), and standard error (SE) for each age of bluegill collected in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes from 1986 through 1989.

* Indicates a significant P < 0.05* or P < 0.01** difference from the 1988 mean increment at age.

Table 9. Results of analysis of variance on scale increments between bluegill cohorts at the same age indicating a growth effect due to environmental factors.

| | | F statistic | |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Age | Lake Mary | Lake Ida | Bass Lake |
| 1 | 2.30* | 0.90 | 5.80** |
| 2 | 3.34** | 4.84** | 1.97 |
| 3 | 10.61** | 1.14 | 4.61** |
| 4 | 1.56 | 2.00 | 1.58 |
| 5 | 0.24 | 1.10 | 1.96 |
| 6 | 2.74 | 4.14 | 10.50* |

* Indicates a significant P < 0.05* or P < 0.01** F statistic.

Table 10. Percent occurrence of food items in stomachs of bluegill ≤ 60 mm and > 60 mm collected at Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes during summer (July - September) 1987, 1988, and 1989.

| | | Mary | | | Ida | | | Bass | |
|---------------|------|------|------|----------|---------|------|----------|------|------|
| Item | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | ≤ 60 mu | 01 | _ | | |
| Copopoda | 10 | | 38 | 0 | - | 34 | 0 | - | 22 |
| Bosmina spp. | 20 | | 3 | 0 | - | 6 | 20 | - | 27 |
| Daphnia spp. | 30 | - | 12 | 17 | | 23 | 50 | - | 35 |
| Amphipoda | 10 | - | 25 | 0 | | 31 | 30 | - | 32 |
| Ostrocoda | 0 | | 56 | 0 | | 25 | 0 | - | 43 |
| Hydracarina | 0 | - | 8 | 0 | - | 20 | 0 | - | 22 |
| Diptera | 33 | - | 63 | 83 | - | 77 | 20 | - | 59 |
| Trichoptera | 3 | | 14 | 0 | | 29 | 10 | - | 35 |
| Ephemeroptera | 0 | - | 22 | 0 | | 23 | 0 | - | 8 |
| Odonata | 0 | | 2 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| Terrestrial | - | | - | - | | | - | | _ |
| insects | 0 | - | 7 | 0 | ***** | 1 | 0 | | 3 |
| Other insects | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Gastropoda | 0 | - | 7 | 0 | | 6 | 0 | | 22 |
| Pelecyopoda | 0 | | 2 | 0 | . – | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Vegetation | 3 | - | 6 | 33 | ~ | 11 | 0 | | 5 |
| Empty | 23 | - | 8 | 0 | - | 8 | 20 | - | 5 |
| Sample size | 30 | 0 | 259 | 6 | 0 | 142 | 10 | 0 | 122 |
| | | | | , | > 60 mi | m | | | |
| Copopoda | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 10 |
| Bosmina spp. | ŏ | 1 | | 10 | õ | 0 | ğ | õ | 1 |
| Daphnia spp. | ō | 4 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 50 |
| Amphipoda | Ō | 16 | 25 | 26 | 35 | 31 | 4 | 71 | 31 |
| Ostrocoda | Ō | 6 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 9 | Ō | 0 | 5 |
| Hvdracarina | Ō | 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 14 | ō | 5 | 15 |
| Diptera | 24 | 64 | 80 | 44 | 72 | 75 | 24 | 88 | 70 |
| Trichoptera | 7 | 26 | 40 | 24 | 16 | 27 | 6 | 4 | 21 |
| Ephemeroptera | Ó | 1 | 18 | 0 | 16 | 24 | õ | 16 | 14 |
| Odonata | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Terrestrial | _ | - | - | | • | | _ | • | - |
| insects | 5 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 29 | 24 | 11 | 12 | 10 |
| Other insects | 5 | 2 | 2 | · 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| Gastropoda | 2 | 16 | 20 | 4 | 18 | 13 | ō | 5 | 11 |
| Pelecyopoda | 0 | 1 | 4 | Ō | 0 | 7 | Ō | Ō | 2 |
| Vegetation | 48 | 44 | 51 | 39 | 51 | 51 | 41 | 73 | 36 |
| Empty | 24 | 8 | 4 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Sample size | 42 | 110 | 611 | 70 | 189 | 540 | 54 | 56 | 331 |
| - | | | | | | | - | | - |

in the study lakes, after macrophyte harvesting, did not appear to differ from CPUE before harvesting (Table 11). Age structures in all three lakes also remained relatively unchanged during the study period (Table 12). Changes in largemouth bass Wr

were similar among all three lakes indicating that change occurred irrespective of macrophyte harvesting. Wr after macrophyte harvesting was lower for largemouth bass < 200 mm and higher for largemouth bass > 300 mm (Table 13).

| <u>Lake</u> | Year | <u></u> | Night e group 200-30 | <u>(mm)</u> 0 >300 | <u></u> <20 | Day ze group 0 200-30 | (mm) 0 >300 |
|-------------|-------|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Mary | 1986 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 1 |
| | 1987* | 24 | 46 | 12 | 32 | 6 | 4 |
| | 1988 | 41 | 16 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 1 |
| | 1989 | 25 | 11 | 5 | 36 | 7 | 4 |
| Ida | 1986 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 2 |
| | 1987° | 13 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1988 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1989 | 33 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 |
| Bass | 1986 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| | 1987° | 14 | 10 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 5 |
| | 1988 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1989 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 |

Table 11. Electrofishing CPUE (no./h) of largemouth bass < 200 mm, 200-300 mm, and > 300 mm during night and day in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes, August-September, 1986-1989.

* During night sampling in 1987 only largemouth bass and northern pike were collected, whereas all species were collected in other years.

Table 12. Percent of largemouth bass at age in electrofishing samples in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes, 1986-1989.

| | | | | | , Age | | | | 4.7.00000000000000000000000000000000000 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ma | ary | | | | |
| 1986 | 25 | 40 | 14 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 19 | 23 | 13 | 21 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 25 | 29 | 21 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 44 | 13 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Id | la | | | | |
| 1986 | 21 | 30 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 38 | 22 | 25 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 56 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 20 | 36 | 30 | 10 | Ō | Õ | 3 | Ō | 1 |
| | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | • | | Ва | ASS | | | | |
| 1986 | 35 | 21 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 1987 | 28 | 18 | 36 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 42 | 26 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 40 | 8 | 20 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 3 | Ō | 2 |
| | | | | | - | | _ | - | |

| | Ma | ry | Id | Bass | | | |
|--------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|--|-----|----|
| Size group | Wr | N | Wr | N | | Wr | N |
| | | | Preha | rvest | | | |
| < 200 mm | 105 | 137 | 97 | 35 | | 112 | 62 |
| 200 - 300 mm | 106 | 84 | 99 | 23 | | 102 | 24 |
| > 300 mm | 102 | 17 | 95 | 13 | | 96 | 12 |
| | | | Harv | est | | | |
| < 200 mm | 94* | 133 | 93 | 67 | | 96* | 44 |
| 200 - 300 mm | 100 | 55 | 97 | 12 | | 103 | 20 |
| > 300 mm | 107 | 15 | 104* | 3 | | 98 | 7 |

Table 13. Mean relative weight (Wr) of three size groups of largemouth bass collected in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes, before and during mechanical harvest of macrophytes.

* Indicates significant (P < 0.05) differences between harvest and pre-harvest means.

First year growth of largemouth bass may have been affected by macrophyte harvesting. In both Mary and Ida lakes, first year growth increments were significantly (P < 0.01) greater during macrophyte harvesting than in previous years (Table 14). This increase in first year growth was not observed in Bass Lake. Consistently higher annual growth increments with macrophyte harvesting were not observed for largemouth bass older than age 1. A significant (P < 0.01) environmental effect on first year growth in lakes Mary and Ida were indicated (Table 15).

Diet of largemouth bass was also unaffected by macrophyte harvesting. Largemouth bass < 100 mm at Ida and Mary lakes, and largemouth bass \geq 100 mm at each lake fed mostly on fish before and during years of macrophyte harvest (Table 16). Largemouth bass < 100 mm at Bass Lake fed mostly on zooplankton and small insects during each period (Table 16). Occurrence of *Lepomis* spp. in largemouth bass stomachs did not increase after macrophyte removal (Table 16).

Northern Pike.--Macrophyte removal did not affect density, size structure, age structure, growth, or diet of northern pike. Gill net CPUE and length distribution of the catch before and after mechanical harvesting were similar (Table 17). The age structure of northern pike in the study lakes also did not appear to change (Table 18). A dominant 1988 year class was found in Lake Mary which correlates with a high stocking rate of large (77/kg) northern pike fingerling that year. Changes in northern pike Wr were similar among study lakes (Table 17).

The 1988 growth increments of Age 2 northern pike in Ida and Bass lakes were significantly (P < 0.01) higher than previous years, but not in Lake Mary (Table 19). Furthermore, growth increments for age 3 fish decreased in 1988 for all three lakes and decreased significantly (P < 0.01) in Ida and Mary lakes. Significant differences among years were not found for other ages of pike. A significant environmental effect on growth was not identified.

We did not observe changes in diet of northern pike attributable to macrophyte harvesting. *Lepomis* spp. and other fish species were not found more frequently in northern pike stomachs after macrophytes were harvested (Table 20). Although fish comprised all of the food eaten by northern pike, most stomachs were empty (Table 20).

| | | 1985 | 5 | | 1986 | | | 198 | 7 | | 1988 | |
|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|-----|------------------|------|------|------|
| Aqe | Inc. | N | SE | Inc. | N | SE | Inc. | N | SE | Inc. | N | SE |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Lake | Mary | | | | | |
| 1 | 77 | 41 | 1.7 | 78 | 29 | 1.9** | 85 | 12 | 2.1** | 92 | 32 | 2.4 |
| 2 | 60 | 14 | 3.6** | 79 | 19 | 3.4** | 58 | 12 | 3.3** | 69 | 42 | 2.1 |
| 3 | 63 | 17 | 3.4 | 73 | 14 | 4.1** | 53 | 10 | 5.8** | 63 | 39 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 57 | 7 | 5.2 | 66 | 12 | 4.3** | 57 | 6 | 6.4 | 53 | 27 | 1.9 |
| 5 | | | | 53 | 4 | 5.1 | 60 | 7 | 5.6* | 50 | 9 | 3.1 |
| | | | | | | Take | Tda | | | | | |
| 1 | 60 | 20 | 2.0** | 51 | 19 | 2 6** | £1 | 7 | 2 1** | 74 | 38 | 18 |
| 2 | 50 | ~ 7 | 5.3** | 103 | 12 | 5 6** | 44 | ś | <u></u> 6 Δ** | 64 | 72 | 3 0 |
| วิ | 61 | Ŕ | 5 9 | 84 | - 6 | 1 7** | 80 | 4 | 8 15* | 64 | 13 | 3 1 |
| 4 | 53 | 7 | 3.3 | 74 | 1 | 3.7 | 83 | 4 | 9.8 | 65 | 2 | 16.8 |
| 5 | 33 | 4 | 2.3 | 66 | 2 | 11.8 | 42 | 3 | 14.7 | 42 | 7 | 6.1 |
| | | | | | | _ | - | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | Bass | Lake | | | | _ | |
| 1 | 69 | 11 | 2.9 | 73 | 17 | 4.7* | 67 | 6 | 0.6 | 65 | 9 | 1.4 |
| 2 | 49 | 7 | 10.4 | 89 | 20 | 3.6 | 44 | 2 | 3.9** | 65 | 14 | 3.6 |
| 3 | 60 | 6 | 7.3* | 90 | 3 | 8.7* | 47 | 5 | 1.9** | 75 | 20 | 4.7 |
| 4 | 51 | 2 | 9.9 | 71 | 1 | | 39 | 1 | | 61 | 9 | 6.1 |
| 5 | 62 | 1 | | 49 | 1 | | 18 | 1 | | 49 | 3 | 9.4 |

Table 14. Average back-calculated annual growth increments (inc), sample size (N), and standard error (SE) for each age of largemouth bass collected in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes from 1986 through 1989.

* Indicates a significant P < 0.05* or P < 0.01** difference from the 1988 mean increment at age.

| Table 15. | Results of analysis of variance on scale increments between |
|-----------|--|
| | largemouth bass cohorts at the same age indicating an effect |
| | on growth due environmental factors. |

| | | F statistic | |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Age | Lake Mary | Lake Ida | Bass Lake |
| 1 | 4.39** | 3.90** | 0.76 |
| 2 | 1.81 | 1.09 | 1.10 |
| 3 | 0.83 | 1.49 | 0.29 |
| 4 | 1.46 | 0.86 | 0.38 |
| 5 | 2.19 | 2.30 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 0.12 | 0.76 | 0.56 |

* Indicates a significant P < 0.05* or P < 0.01**
F statistic.</pre>

Table 16. Percent occurrence of food items in stomachs of largemouth bass < 100 mm and ≥ 100 mm collected at Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes during summer (July - September) 1987, 1988, and 1989.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Marv | | | Ida | | | Bass | |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|----------------|------|------|------|
| Item | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | < 1 | 100 m | n | | | |
| Zooplankton | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 33 | 0 | 76 |
| Small insects | | | | | | | | | |
| (< 10 mm) | 12 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 57 | 50 | 76 |
| Large insects | | | | | | | | | |
| (> 10 mm) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crawfish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lepomis spp. | 50 | 40 | 10 | 5 | 33 | 0 | 5 | 50 | 0 |
| Other fish | | | | | | | | | |
| species | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Unidentified fish | 25 | 47 | 28 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| Empty | 12 | 20 | 33 | 30 | 17 | 32 | 24 | 50 | 10 |
| Sample size | 8 | 15 | 69 | 20 | 6 | 19 | 21 | 2 | 29 |
| | | | | | 100 m | n | | | |
| 700plankton | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | [™] ∩ | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Small ingect | 0 | 0 | 2 | U | U | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| (< 10 mm) | 2 | Δ | 7 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 8 |
| Large insects | 2 | . 3 | ' | Ŭ | 5 | 0 | 14 | Ŭ | 0 |
| (> 10 mm) | 2 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (| 2 | 2 | | 0 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Lenomia app | 25 | 24 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 27 | 6 | 10 |
| Other fish | 55 | 24 | 21 | 0 | | 24 | , | 0 | 10 |
| species | 15 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 37 | 16 | 9 | 18 | 16 |
| Unidentified fish | 12 | 46 | -30 | 44 | 37 | 30 | 5 | 24 | 24 |
| Empty | 38 | 33 | 29 | 44 | 16 | 33 | 54 | 53 | 49 |
| Sample size | 48 | 67 | 133 | 9 | 19 | 79 | 44 | 17 | 49 |

Experimental Quadrant Comparisons

Electrofishing catches in harvested and unharvested quadrants indicate that macrophyte harvesting could have affected bluegill density, but not size distribution or diet. Significant differences in bluegill electrofishing CPUE between harvested and unharvested quadrants were found in both Mary and Ida lakes (Table 21). In Lake Mary, CPUE was the highest in the 50% removal quadrant for both sizes of bluegill during both day and night sampling. Night electrofishing showed higher CPUE than daytime electrofishing for bluegill > 80 mm, primarily in quadrants with macrophytes removed. In Lake Ida, CPUE for bluegill > 80 mm was similar between harvested and

unharvested quadrants during day sampling, but significantly higher in the clearcut (100% removal) quadrant at night. The CPUE for bluegill < 80 mm in Lake Ida was significantly higher in the unharvested quadrant during day sampling but was higher in the clearcut quadrant at night. No significant differences were found in the size distribution or diet of bluegill collected by electrofishing between harvested and unharvested quadrants (Tables 22 and 23).

Largemouth bass electrofishing catches in experimental quadrants revealed little difference between harvested and unharvested areas (Table 24). In Lake Mary, no significant differences in day CPUE were found among experimental quadrants. The night CPUE for largemouth bass < 200 mm Table 17. Number (N), catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), mean length with standard deviation (SD), maximum length, proportional stock density (PSD), relative stock density-preferred (RSDP), and relative weight (Wr) of northern pike < 35 cm, 35 to 53 cm, and > 53 cm captured in gill nets in Mary, Ida and Bass lakes from 1986-1989.

| | | | | L | ength | 1 | | | | | |
|------|------|----------|------|----------------|-------|-------------------|-----|------|--------|----------|--------|
| | | | | Mean length | | Maximum length | | | | Wr | |
| Lake | Year | <u>N</u> | CPUE | (mm) | SD | (mm) | PSD | RSDP | <35 cm | 35-53 cm | >53 cm |
| Marv | 1986 | 4 | 4 | 647 | 134 | 768 | | | | | 99 |
| 1 | 1987 | 25 | 5 | 548 | 124 | 830 | 40 | 20 | | | 100 |
| | 1988 | 20 | 7 | 556 | 59 | 697 | 40 | 0 | | 97 | 96 |
| | 1989 | 24 | 6 | 528 | 100 | 785 | 38 | 8 | | 99 | 99 |
| [da | 1986 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1987 | 62 | 12 | 463 | 62 | 633 | 12 | 0 | 87 | 94 | 104 |
| | 1988 | 31 | 10 | 470 | 72 | 652 | 19 | 0 | | 89 | 97 |
| | 1989 | 68 | 17 | 444 | 73 | 705 | 8 | 0 | | 92 | 91 |
| Bass | 1986 | 16 | 16 | 503 | 65 | 666 | 31 | 0 | | 101 | 103 |
| | 1987 | 104 | 21 | 516 | 91 | 725 | 51 | 1 | 95 | 101 | 107 |
| | 1988 | 69 | 23 | 496 | 78 | 764 | 26 | 2 | | 95 | 102 |
| | 1989 | 105 | 26 | 507 | 84 | 765 | 37 | 2 | | 100 | 99 |

15

| | Age | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|-----|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | M | ary | | | | | | | |
| 1987 | 0 | 10 | 43 | 19 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 1988 | 14 | 9 | 32 | 36 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 1989 | 0 | 63 | 15 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 2707 | | ••• | | T | de é | - | Ū | • | | | | |
| 1986 | 18 | ٩ | ٩ | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 1007 | 10 | 1 4 | ~~~ | 22 | 17 | 12 | š | Š | | | | |
| 1987 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 35 | 1/ | 13 | U | 0 | | | | |
| 1988 | 0 | 7 | 30 | 22 | 11 | 19 | 11 | 0 | | | | |
| 1989 | 3 | 14 | 43 | 27 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | B | 855 | | | | | | | |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 44 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 1987 | õ | 7 | 20 | 32 | 30 | | 3 | õ | | | | |
| 1000 | 0 | ć | 20 | 52 | 50 | 1.0 | 5 | Š | | | | |
| 1988 | 0 | 9 | 4⊥ | 24 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 2 | | | | |
| 1989 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 37 | 18 | 12 | 2 | 3 | | | | |

Table 18. Percent of northern pike at age in gill net samples from Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes, 1986-1989.

Table 19. Average back-calculated annual growth increments (inc.), sample size (N), and standard error (SE) for each age of northern pike collected in Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes from 1986 through 1989.

| | | 198 | 5 | | 1986 | | 1 | 1987 | | 19 | 88 | |
|------------|------|-----|--------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|------|-----|------|
| <u>Aqe</u> | Inc. | N | SE | Inc. | N | SE | Inc. | N | SE | Inc. | N | SE |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Lake | Mary | | | | | |
| 1 | 165 | 1 | | 308 | 2 | 20.0 | 196 | 2 | 25.7** | 300 | 37 | 10.2 |
| 2 | | | | 172 | 9 | 16.4 | 178 | 7 | 13.8* | 144 | 9 | 11.3 |
| 3 | | | | 125 | 4 | 17.1** | 166 | * 8 | 11.1** | 71 | 10 | 12.0 |
| 4 | 58 | 1 | | 76 | 2 | 5.9 | 37 | 2 | 10.4 | 56 | 2 | 11.9 |
| 5 | 109 | 1 | | 35 | 4 | 10.3 | | | | 28 | 1 | 37.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Lake | Ida | | | | | |
| 1 | 155 | 1 | | 20 | 10 | 10.5 | 198 | 2 | 13.2 | 189 | 14 | 11.4 |
| 2 | 214 | 1 | | 145 | 16 | 6.4* | 142 | 8 | 8.7** | 161 | 42 | 4.7 |
| 3 | 107 | 6 | 17.6* | 102 | 25 | 8.2** | 108 | 6 | 18.5* | 77 | 26 | 5.2 |
| 4 | 72 | 1 | | - 58 | 12 | 4.5 | 61 | 3 | 7.7 | 52 | ~ 7 | 5.7 |
| 5 | | | | 50 | 9 | 8.3* | 26 | 5 | 1.6 | 32 | 4 | 4.5 |
| 6 | | | | | | | 45 | 3 | 11.7 | 37 | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Bass | Lake | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | 208 | 5 | 21.7 | 187 | 5 | 15.1 | 192 | 8 | 19.7 |
| 2 | 134 | 5 | 11.8** | 162 | 14 | 8.2** | 167 | 24 | 6.4** | 188 | 18 | 8.3 |
| 3 | 124 | 8 | 12.3** | 121 | 23 | 8.6** | 106 | 14 | 6.6 | 99 | 40 | 5.2 |
| 4 | 93 | 3 | 30.3 | 69 | 21 | 4.3 | 60 | 4 | 13.7 | 61 | 19 | 4.7 |
| 5 | 81 | 2 | 2.4** | 62 | 6 | 10.1* | 45 | 8 | 8.4 | 41 | 13 | 3.2 |
| 6 | | | | 52 | 2 | 21.5 | 41 | 3 | 8.3 | 20 | 2 | 1.5 |
| | | | | | | - | | - | | | | |

* Indicates a significant P < 0.05* or P < 0.01** difference from the 1988 mean increment at age.

Table 20. Percent occurrence of food items in stomachs of northern pike collected at Mary, Ida, and Bass lakes during summer (July - September) 1987, 1988, and 1989.

| | Mary | | | Ida | | | Bass | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Item | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| <i>Lepomis</i> spp. Other fish | 17 | 50 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 23 |
| species | 17 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Unidentified fish | 17 | 50 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 40 | 40 | 15 |
| Empty | 50 | 50 | 73 | 78 | 67 | 52 | 60 | 40 | 62 |
| Sample size | 6 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 21 | 5 | 5 | 13 |

Table 21. Mean day and night electrofishing CPUE^a (no./h) of bluegill < 80 mm and \geq 80 mm in experimental quadrants (0%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of surface area mechanically harvested) in Mary and Ida lakes, 1988-1989.

| Percent surface | Day | 7 | Night | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| area harvested | <80 mm | >80 mm | <80 mm | >80 mm | | | |
| | | | Marv | | | | |
| 0 50 75 | 112 - a 224 b 175 - ab | 82 - a 97 a 99 a | 93 a 216 b 168 ab | 117 - a 180 b 173 - ab | | | |
| 0 100 | 106 a 58 b | 51 a 52 a | Ida 113 - a 152 - a | 67 - a 157 - b | | | |

* CPUE means inside each bracket without a letter in common were significantly different.

was significantly higher in the 75% harvested quadrant than in the unharvested quadrant. However, significant differences were not found for other size groups of largemouth bass sampled at night in Lake Mary. In Lake Ida, the CPUE of largemouth bass < 200 mm were not significantly different between harvested and unharvested quadrants. Largemouth bass ≥ 200 mm were not sampled in sufficient numbers to allow statistical comparison. No significant differences in length distributions were found

among the experimental quadrants in either Mary or Ida lakes (Table 25).

Discussion

Systematic harvest of 6 to 11 percent of the macrophyte cover in Mary and Ida lakes did not affect a measurable lakewide change in bluegill, largemouth bass, or northern pike populations. Positive changes in bluegill and bluegill predator populations should occur at levels of macrophyte cover that

| to macrophyte removal, 1 | 988-1 | 989. | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Site description | N | Mean length (mm) | SD | <u>Maximum</u> length (mm) | Median length (mm) |
| Quadrant with 0% harvested | 183 250 | Mary 73 75 | 23.8 | 157 | 72 72 |

70

Ida

88

81

302

90

72

21.4

37.7

28.1

Table 22. Mean, median, and maximum length, standard deviation (SD), and sample size (N) of bluegill captured by electrofishing in experimental quadrants (0%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of surface area mechanically harvested) during July and August subsequent to macrophyte removal, 1988-1989.

increase predation on bluegill. The absence of an effect could have been the result of insufficient macrophyte harvesting, ineffective predators, or variable bluegill year class strength.

Quadrant with 75% harvested

Quadrant with 0% harvested

Quadrant with 100% harvested

Sufficient macrophyte cover to provide refuge for bluegill from predation was apparently available in the study lakes despite macrophyte harvesting. Diet of bluegill was not significantly different among harvested and unharvested sites, and was similar to the diet of bluegill in other lakes with high macrophyte density (Seaburg and Moyle 1964; Engel 1985). Mittelbach (1984) reported that bluegill apparently prefer Daphnia spp. in open water habitats over dipteran prey in vegetation because of more favorable return energetically; however, strong predation pressure will force bluegill into cover. Juvenile bluegill will seek refuge in the most dense macrophyte cover even when forage opportunities are better in less dense cover (Gotceitas and Colgan 1990).

In our study, predation on bluegill by largemouth bass and northern pike did not appear to directly control bluegill populations. Harvesting macrophytes did not change the selection of fish in the diet of largemouth bass and northern pike. Furthermore, bluegill population dynamics were similar among the study lakes despite large differences in largemouth bass and northern pike populations. This provides indirect evidence that bluegill populations are regulated by mechanisms other than predation by northern pike and largemouth bass.

152

203

150

70

77

77

Our results corroborate with other studies that indicate northern pike are not effective bluegill predators. Beyerle (1971) concluded that northern pike populations did not control bluegill populations, and Anderson and Schupp (1986) observed increased bluegill densities when northern pike densities were increased. Other studies have shown that spiny laterally compressed fish are not preferred prey of northern pike (Beyerle and Williams 1968; Coble 1973; Inskip 1982; Wahl and Stein 1988). Mauck and Coble (1971) observed that bluegill were less vulnerable to northern pike predation than several species of minnows, yellow perch, and largemouth bass. Anderson and Schupp (1986) speculated that the reduction of yellow perch through northern pike predation allowed bluegill numbers to increase. In our study, most northern pike were sampled on the outside edge of macrophyte beds in depths of 3 to 6 m during the period of macrophyte cover (June - August), and were rarely captured in areas with macrophytes removed.

Table 23. Percent occurrence of food items in stomachs of bluegill ≤ 60 mm and > 60 mm in quadrants where macrophytes were harvested (cut) and in adjacent unharvested quadrants (uncut) during summer (July - September) 1988 and 1989. r = Spearman rank correlation coefficient determined for each cut-uncut pair; * denotes r significantly different (P < 0.05; df = 14) from zero.

| | | | Lake Mary | | | | Lake Ida | | | | | |
|---------------|-----|-------|-----------|--------|-----|-------|----------|--------|-----|----------------|-----|-------|
| | <60 |) mm | | ≥60 mm | | | <6 | <60 mm | | ≥60 mm | | |
| | 19 | 989 | 19 | 88 | 19 | 89 | 1 | 989 | 19 | 88 | 19 | 89 |
| Food items | Cut | Uncut | Cut | Uncut | Cut | Uncut | Cut | Uncut | Cut | Uncut | Cut | Uncut |
| Copopoda | 35 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 43 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 15 |
| Bosmina spp. | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Daphnia spp. | 26 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 11 |
| Amphipoda | 25 | 20 | 21 | 11 | 25 | 18 | 27 | 44 | 25 | 28 | 41 | 41 |
| Ostrocoda | 58 | 59 | 12 | 16 | 19 | 24 | 30 | 38 | 4 | 6 | 21 | 14 |
| Hydracarina | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 27 | 19 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 20 |
| Diptera | 55 | 61 | 68 | 58 | 71 | 76 | 25 | 25 | 83 | 83 | 94 | 84 |
| Trichoptera | 13 | 13 | 32 | 32 | 42 | 30 | 17 | 31 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 36 |
| Ephemeroptera | 20 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 21 |
| Odonata | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| Other insects | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| Terrestrial | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| insects | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 44 | 13 | 19 |
| Gastropoda | 11 | 9 | 21 | 26 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 44 | 14 | 19 |
| Pelycypoda | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 |
| Vegetation | 8 | 7 | 41 | 37 | 62 | 63 | 20 | 6 | 67 | 67 | 72 | 60 |
| Empty | 9 | 9 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | [.] O | 0 | 3 |
| r | ο. | 90* | 0. | 93* | 0. | 96* | 0 | .83* | Ο. | 87* | 0. | 89* |
| Sample size | 100 | 46 | 34 | 19 | 236 | 109 | 30 | 32 | 24 | 18 | 108 | 100 |

Table 24. Mean day and night electrofishing CPUE^a (no/h) of largemouth bass < 80 mm and > 80 mm in experimental quadrants (0%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of surface area mechanically harvested) in Mary and Ida lakes, 1988-1989.

| Percent surface area harvested | Le <200 2 | Day ength (m 200-300 | <u>n)</u> >300 | <u>Night</u> Length (mm) <200 200-300 >300 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 0 50 75 | 24 a 14 a 18 a | 3 - a 5 a 3 - a | Mary 4 - a 5 a 4 - a | y 18 a 15 a 4 a 30 ab 16 a 10 a 38 b 19 a 10 a |
| 0 100 | 4-a 11-a | 1 0 | Ida 1 1 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

* CPUE means inside each bracket without a letter in common were significantly different.

Table 25. Mean, maximum, and median length, standard deviation, and sample size of largemouth bass captured by electrofishing in experimental quadrants (0%, 50%, and 75% of surface area mechanically harvested) in Lake Mary during July and August subsequent to macrophyte removal, 1988-1989.

| | Experimental quadrants Percent cover removed | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Statistic | (75%) | (50%) | (0%) | | | |
| | | ÷. | | | | |
| N | 50 | 49 | 23 | | | |
| Maximum length | 370 | 364 | 393 | | | |
| Median length | 174 | 167 | 176 | | | |
| Mean length | 182.0 | 187.4 | 183.9 | | | |
| Standard deviation | 79.5 | 79.8 | 101.2 | | | |

Bluegill size structure in our study lakes was mostly determined by variability in year class strengths set early in life. Bluegill year class strength appears to be regulated at an early life history stage, before recruitment to our trap nets at age 1 or 2. Our results agree with others that found bluegill population dynamics controlled by highly

variable cohort strengths over time (Crowe 1955; Clark and Lockwood 1990). Clark and Lockwood (1990) observed reproductive success to be negatively correlated to the number of bluegill below 100 mm and positively correlated to the numbers of fish in the 120-130 mm size range.

Localized effects of macrophyte harvesting on fish populations were seen among experimental quadrants. We were more effective at capturing bluegill and small bass in harvested quadrants, particularly at night when bluegill and small largemouth bass have been observed to move inshore (Baumann and Kitchell 1974; Werner et al. 1977). Highest catch rates occurred in the quadrant with an intermediate level (50%) of macrophyte harvest following observations of Crowder and Cooper (1979b) indicating the greatest potential for predation at intermediate cover levels. Despite agreement in the literature, sampling bias associated with electrofishing could also have caused the differences we observed.

Systematic harvesting of macrophyte cover in Mary and Ida lakes may have contributed to increased first year growth of largemouth bass. This may have been a localized effect because largemouth bass used for growth determinations were collected mostly at sites at or near macrophyte harvesting. Macrophyte harvesting could have increased vulnerability of young bluegill and large macroinvertebrates to predation by young-of-the-year largemouth bass. Savino and Stein (1982) reported that vulnerability of bluegill to predation was inversely related to plant stem density. Wiley et al. (1984) reported growth of largemouth bass in ponds was higher at intermediate densities than at high densities of macrophyte cover in response to food availability. Other environmental affects or random error (considering the multiple comparisons) may also account for the observed growth differences in largemouth bass between the harvested lakes and Bass Lake.

Several limitations of systematic macrophyte removal with mechanical harvesters were identified in addition to restrictive cost (\$790/acre). We found harvesting with available equipment was confined to water depths ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 m. Wind and wave action hindered the ability to control the harvester and cut precise patterns in macrophyte beds. In many areas, the action of the cutting bar and propulsion unit of the harvester resulted in suspension of bottom sediments. This reduced water clarity made it difficult to cut precise patterns.

Some fish (mostly small centrarchids, and a few northern pike and bullhead) were removed by the macrophyte harvesting equipment. In other lakes, mechanical harvesting of macrophytes has removed 2 to 30% of the fish (mostly juvenile) and 11 to 20% of the macroinvertebrates from harvested areas (Haller et al. 1980; Mikol 1985; Engel 1990a). The removal of fish with harvesting was probably insignificant in our study since only a small proportion of macrophyte cover was removed.

Management Implications

Improvement of fish populations through systematic removal of macrophytes with mechanical harvesting is probably not an appropriate fisheries management technique for most Minnesota lakes. In most lakes, a perceptible change in bluegill, largemouth bass, and northern pike populations would require continuous removal of substantial amounts of macrophyte cover for an extended number of years. At this point the risk of negatively altering fish and plant communities is high (Engel 1990b). Furthermore, mechanical harvesting equipment currently available is relatively expensive and not suited for macrophyte removal in lakes with macrophytes located in depths greater than 3 m or less than 1 m.

Removal of small areas of vegetation (up to at least 11% of the macrophyte cover) with mechanical harvesting for boating lanes and other recreational uses does not adversely affect fish populations in lakes with greater than 20% macrophyte coverage. In addition, removal of macrophytes from small areas may provide some localized benefits to largemouth bass.

To understand the behavior of bluegill populations in lakes managed for bluegill fishing, there is a need to obtain more information on variability in bluegill year class strengths and the influence of angling on populations of bluegill and bluegill predators. Further research should be done to identify factors that regulate bluegill recruitment in the complex environment of Minnesota centrarchid lakes. Current information is limited to a few interactions that occur during the warm water months.

References

- Anderson, D.W., and D.H. Schupp. 1986. Fish community responses to northern pike stocking in Horseshoe Lake. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Section of Fisheries Investigational Report Number 307, St. Paul.
- Anderson, R.O. 1973. Application of theory and research to management of warmwater populations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 102:164-171.
- Anderson, R.O., and S.J. Gutreuter. 1983.
 Length, weight, and associated structural indices. Pages 283-300 in L. A. Nielson and D. L. Johnson, editors. Fisheries Techniques. American Fisheries Society. Bethesda, Maryland.
- Baumann P.C., and J.F. Kitchell. 1974.
 Diel Patterns of Distribution and Feeding of Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) in Lake Wingra, Wisconsin. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 103:255-260.
- Beckman, W.C. 1950. Changes in growth rates of fishes following reduction in population densities by winterkill. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 78:82-90.
- Beyerle, G.B., and J.E. Williams. 1968. Some observations of food selectivity by northern pike in aquaria. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 97:28-31.
- Beyerle, G.B. 1971. A study of two northern pike-bluegill populations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 100:69-73.
- Carlander, K.D. 1982. Standard intercepts for calculating lengths from scale measurements for some centrarchid and

percid fishes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 111:332-336.

- Clark, R.D., and R.N. Lockwood. 1990. Population dynamics of bluegills subjected to harvest within the 5.0 to 6.9 inch size range. Michigan Department of Natural Resources Fisheries Research Report 1961, Ann Arbor.
- Coble, D.W. 1973. Influence of appear ance of prey and satiation of predator on food selection by northern pike (*Esox lucius*). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 30:317-320.
- Coble, D.W. 1988. Effects of angling on bluegill populations: management implications. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 8:277-283.
- Colle, D.E., and J.V. Shireman. 1980. Coefficients of condition for largemouth bass, bluegill, and redear sunfish in hydrilla-infested lakes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 109:521-531.
- Crowder, L.B., and W.E. Cooper. 1979a. The effects of macrophyte removal on the feeding efficiency and growth of sunfishes: evidence from pond studies. Pages 251-268 in J.E.Breck, R.T. Prentki, and O.L. Loucks, editors. Proceedings aquatic plants, lake management and ecosystem consequences of lake harvesting. Institute for Environmental Studies. University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Crowder, L.B. and W.E. Cooper. 1979b. Structural complexity and fish-prey interactions in ponds: a point of view. Pages 2-10 *in* D.L. Johnson and R.A. Stein, editors. Response of fish to habitat structure in standing water. North Central Division American Fisheries Society Special Publication Number 6, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Crowe, W.R. 1955. The bluegill population of Big Bear Lake, Otsego County, Michigan. Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science, Arts, and Letters 60:111-117.
- DiCostanzo, A.J. 1957. Growth of bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus) and pumpkinseed (Lepomis gibbosus) of Clear Lake, Iowa.

Iowa State College Journal of Science 32:19-34.

- Durocher, P.P., W.C. Provine, and J.E. Kraai. 1984. Relationship between abundance of largemouth bass and submerged vegetation in Texas reservoirs. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:84-88.
- Engel, S. 1985. Aquatic community interactions of submerged macrophytes. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Technical Bulletin Number 156, Madison.
- Engel, S. 1987. Impacts of submerged macrophytes on largemouth bass and bluegills. Lake and Reservoir Management 3:227-234. Proceedings of the North American Lake Management Society, Washington, D.C.
- Engel, S. 1990a. Ecological impacts of harvesting macrophytes in Halverson Lake, Wisconsin. Journal of Aquatic Plant Management 28:41-45.
- Engel, S. 1990b. Ecosystem Responses To Growth and Control of Submerged Macrophytes: A Literature Review. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Technical Bulletin Number 170, Madison.
- Frie, R.V. 1982. Measurement of fish scales and back-calculation of body lengths using a digitizing pad and microcomputer. Fisheries 7:5-8.
- Fritz, E.S. 1974. Total diet comparison by Spearman rank correlation coefficients. Copeia 1974:210-214.
- Glass, N.R. 1971. Computer analysis of predation energetics in the largemouth bass. Pages 325-363 *in* B.C. Patten, editor. Systems analysis and simulation in ecology, volume 1. Academic Press, New York City.
- Gotceitas, V., and P. Colgan. 1990. The effects of prey availability and predation risk on habitat selection by juvenile bluegill sunfish. Copeia 1990:409-417.
- Guy, C.S., and D.W. Willis. 1990. Structural relationships of largemouth bass and bluegill populations in South Dakota Ponds. North American Journal of

Fisheries Management 10:338-343.

- Hall, D.J., and E.E. Werner. 1977. Seasonal distribution and abundance of fishes in the littoral zone of a Michigan Lake. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 106:545-555.
- Haller, W.T., J.V. Shireman, and D.F. DuRant. 1980. Fish harvest resulting from mechanical control of hydrilla. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 109:517-520.
- Holland, L.E., and M.L. Huston. 1984. Relationship of young-of-the-year northern pike to aquatic vegetation types in backwaters of the upper Mississippi River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:514-522.
- Inskip, P.D. 1982. Habitat suitability index models: northern pike. United States Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-82/10.17.
- Layzer, J.B., and M.D. Clady. 1981. Evaluation of the striped bass x white bass hybrid for controlling stunted bluegills. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of Southeastern Fish and Wildlife Agencies 35:297-310.
- Mauck, W.L., and D.W. Coble. 1971. Vulnerability of some fishes to northern pike (*Esox lucius*) predation. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 28:957-969.
- Mikal, G.F. 1985. Effects of harvesting on aquatic vegetation and juvenile fish populations at Saratoga Lake, New York. Journal of Aquatic Plant Management 23:59-63.
- Mittlebach, G.G. 1981. Foraging efficiency and body size: a study of optimal diet and habitat use by bluegills. Ecology 62:1370-1386.
- Mittlebach, G.G. 1984. Predation and resource partitioning in two sunfish species (Centrarchidae). Ecology 65:499-513.
- Novinger, G.D., and R.E. Legler. 1978. Bluegill population structure and dynamics. Pages 37-49 in G.D. Novinger and J.G. Dillard, editors. New approaches to the management of small im-

poundments. American Fisheries Society, North Central Division, Special Publication 5, Bethesda, Maryland.

- Reynolds, J.B., and L.R. Babb. 1978. Structure and dynamics of largemouth bass populations. Pages 50-61 in G.D. Novinger and J.G. Dillard, editors. New approaches to the management of small impoundments. American Fisheries Society, North Central Division, Special Publication 5, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Saiki, M.K., and J.C. Tash. 1979. Use of cover and dispersal by crayfish to reduce predation from largemouth bass. Pages 44-48 in D.L. Johnson and R.A. Stein, editors. Response of fish to habitat structure in standing water. American Fisheries Society, North Central Division Special Publication 6, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Savino, J.F., and R.A. Stein. 1982. Predator-prey interaction between largemouth bass and bluegill as influenced by simulated, submersed vegetation. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 111:255-266.
- Scidmore, W.J. 1959. Evaluation of panfish removal as a means of improving growth rates and average size of stunted fish. Minnesota Department of Conservation, Division of Game and Fish, Bureau of Fisheries Investigational Report 198, St. Paul.
- Seaburg, K.G. 1957. A stomach sampler for live fish. Progressive Fish Culturist 19:137-139.
- Seaburg, K.G., and J.B. Moyle. 1964. Feeding habits, digestive rates, and growth of some Minnesota warmwater fishes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 93:269-285.
- Smith, G.N. 1979. Recent case studies of macrophyte harvesting costs-options by which to lower costs. Pages 1-18 in J.E. Breck, R.T. Prentki, and O.L. Loucks, editors. Presented at the Workshop/-Research Conference on the Efficiency and Impact of Intensive Plant Harvest in Lake Management, University of Wis-

consin, Institute of Environmental Studies, Madison.

- Smith, K.D. 1986. Effects of manipulating vegetation-open sediment interface on the predator-prey interaction between largemouth bass and bluegills. Michigan Department of Natural Resources Final Report Project F-35-R-11, Study 525-3, Ann Arbor.
- Stein, R.A. 1977. Selective predation, optimal foraging, and the predator-prey interaction between fish and crayfish. Ecology 58:1237-1253.
- Swingle, H.S., and E.V. Smith. 1942. The management of ponds with stunted fish populations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 71:102-105.
- Thiesfeld, S.L. 1989. Utilization of aquatic macrophytes by largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*), and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) in Devils Lake, Oregon. Master's Thesis. University of Washington, Seattle.
- Wahl, D.H., and R.A. Stein. 1988. Selective predation by three esocids: the role of prey behavior and morphology. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 117:142-151.
- Weisburg, S. and R.V. Frie. 1987. Linear models for the growth of fish. Pages 127-143 in R.C. Summerfelt and G.E. Hall editors. Age and Growth of Fish. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Werner, E.E., D.J. Hall, D.R. Laughlin, D.J. Wagner, L.A. Wilsmann, and F.C. Funk. 1977. Habitat partitioning in a freshwater community. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 34:360-370.
- Werner, W.E., J.F. Gilliam, D.J. Hall, and G.G. Mittelbach. 1983. An experimental test of the effects of predation risk on habitat use in fish. Ecology 64:1540-1548.
- Werner, E.E., and D.J. Hall. 1988. Ontogenetic habitat shifts in bluegill: the foraging rate-predation risk trade-off. Ecology 69:1352-1366.

Iowa State College Journal of Science 32:19-34.

- Durocher, P.P., W.C. Provine, and J.E. Kraai. 1984. Relationship between abundance of largemouth bass and submerged vegetation in Texas reservoirs. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:84-88.
- Engel, S. 1985. Aquatic community interactions of submerged macrophytes. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Technical Bulletin Number 156, Madison.
- Engel, S. 1987. Impacts of submerged macrophytes on largemouth bass and bluegills. Lake and Reservoir Management 3:227-234. Proceedings of the North American Lake Management Society, Washington, D.C.
- Engel, S. 1990a. Ecological impacts of harvesting macrophytes in Halverson Lake, Wisconsin. Journal of Aquatic Plant Management 28:41-45.
- Engel, S. 1990b. Ecosystem Responses To Growth and Control of Submerged Macrophytes: A Literature Review. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Technical Bulletin Number 170, Madison.
- Frie, R.V. 1982. Measurement of fish scales and back-calculation of body lengths using a digitizing pad and microcomputer. Fisheries 7:5-8.
- Fritz, E.S. 1974. Total diet comparison by Spearman rank correlation coefficients. Copeia 1974:210-214.
- Glass, N.R. 1971. Computer analysis of predation energetics in the largemouth bass. Pages 325-363 *in* B.C. Patten, editor. Systems analysis and simulation in ecology, volume 1. Academic Press, New York City.
- Gotceitas, V., and P. Colgan. 1990. The effects of prey availability and predation risk on habitat selection by juvenile bluegill sunfish. Copeia 1990:409-417.
- Guy, C.S., and D.W. Willis. 1990. Structural relationships of largemouth bass and bluegill populations in South Dakota Ponds. North American Journal of

Fisheries Management 10:338-343.

- Hall, D.J., and E.E. Werner. 1977. Seasonal distribution and abundance of fishes in the littoral zone of a Michigan Lake. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 106:545-555.
- Haller, W.T., J.V. Shireman, and D.F. DuRant. 1980. Fish harvest resulting from mechanical control of hydrilla. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 109:517-520.
- Holland, L.E., and M.L. Huston. 1984. Relationship of young-of-the-year northern pike to aquatic vegetation types in backwaters of the upper Mississippi River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:514-522.
- Inskip, P.D. 1982. Habitat suitability index models: northern pike. United States Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-82/10.17.
- Layzer, J.B., and M.D. Clady. 1981. Evaluation of the striped bass x white bass hybrid for controlling stunted bluegills. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of Southeastern Fish and Wildlife Agencies 35:297-310.
- Mauck, W.L., and D.W. Coble. 1971. Vulnerability of some fishes to northern pike (*Esox lucius*) predation. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 28:957-969.
- Mikal, G.F. 1985. Effects of harvesting on aquatic vegetation and juvenile fish populations at Saratoga Lake, New York. Journal of Aquatic Plant Management 23:59-63.
- Mittlebach, G.G. 1981. Foraging efficiency and body size: a study of optimal diet and habitat use by bluegills. Ecology 62:1370-1386.
- Mittlebach, G.G. 1984. Predation and resource partitioning in two sunfish species (Centrarchidae). Ecology 65:499-513.
- Novinger, G.D., and R.E. Legler. 1978. Bluegill population structure and dynamics. Pages 37-49 *in* G.D. Novinger and J.G. Dillard, editors. New approaches to the management of small im-

poundments. American Fisheries Society, North Central Division, Special Publication 5, Bethesda, Maryland.

- Reynolds, J.B., and L.R. Babb. 1978. Structure and dynamics of largemouth bass populations. Pages 50-61 in G.D. Novinger and J.G. Dillard, editors. New approaches to the management of small impoundments. American Fisheries Society, North Central Division, Special Publication 5, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Saiki, M.K., and J.C. Tash. 1979. Use of cover and dispersal by crayfish to reduce predation from largemouth bass. Pages 44-48 in D.L. Johnson and R.A. Stein, editors. Response of fish to habitat structure in standing water. American Fisheries Society, North Central Division Special Publication 6, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Savino, J.F., and R.A. Stein. 1982. Predator-prey interaction between largemouth bass and bluegill as influenced by simulated, submersed vegetation. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 111:255-266.
- Scidmore, W.J. 1959. Evaluation of panfish removal as a means of improving growth rates and average size of stunted fish. Minnesota Department of Conservation, Division of Game and Fish, Bureau of Fisheries Investigational Report 198, St. Paul.
- Seaburg, K.G. 1957. A stomach sampler for live fish. Progressive Fish Culturist 19:137-139.
- Seaburg, K.G., and J.B. Moyle. 1964. Feeding habits, digestive rates, and growth of some Minnesota warmwater fishes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 93:269-285.
- Smith, G.N. 1979. Recent case studies of macrophyte harvesting costs-options by which to lower costs. Pages 1-18 in J.E. Breck, R.T. Prentki, and O.L. Loucks, editors. Presented at the Workshop/-Research Conference on the Efficiency and Impact of Intensive Plant Harvest in Lake Management, University of Wis-

consin, Institute of Environmental Studies, Madison.

- Smith, K.D. 1986. Effects of manipulating vegetation-open sediment interface on the predator-prey interaction between largemouth bass and bluegills. Michigan Department of Natural Resources Final Report Project F-35-R-11, Study 525-3, Ann Arbor.
- Stein, R.A. 1977. Selective predation, optimal foraging, and the predator-prey interaction between fish and crayfish. Ecology 58:1237-1253.
- Swingle, H.S., and E.V. Smith. 1942. The management of ponds with stunted fish populations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 71:102-105.
- Thiesfeld, S.L. 1989. Utilization of aquatic macrophytes by largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*), and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) in Devils Lake, Oregon. Master's Thesis. University of Washington, Seattle.
- Wahl, D.H., and R.A. Stein. 1988. Selective predation by three esocids: the role of prey behavior and morphology. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 117:142-151.
- Weisburg, S. and R.V. Frie. 1987. Linear models for the growth of fish. Pages 127-143 in R.C. Summerfelt and G.E. Hall editors. Age and Growth of Fish. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Werner, E.E., D.J. Hall, D.R. Laughlin, D.J. Wagner, L.A. Wilsmann, and F.C. Funk. 1977. Habitat partitioning in a freshwater community. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 34:360-370.
- Werner, W.E., J.F. Gilliam, D.J. Hall, and G.G. Mittelbach. 1983. An experimental test of the effects of predation risk on habitat use in fish. Ecology 64:1540-1548.
- Werner, E.E., and D.J. Hall. 1988. Ontogenetic habitat shifts in bluegill: the foraging rate-predation risk trade-off. Ecology 69:1352-1366.

- Wiley, M.J., and R.W. Gorden, S.W. Waite, and T. Powless. 1984. The relationship between aquatic macrophytes and sport fish production in Illinois ponds: A simple model. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:111-119.
- Willis, D.W., and C.G. Scalet. 1989. Relations between proportional stock density and growth and condition of northern pike populations. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 9:488-492.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Cunningham, D. Ide, C. Nixon, and T. Polomis for the assistance they provided in the field. We also appreciate the assistance and cooperation from the Hutchinson and Montrose Area Fisheries Management Stations.

Edited by:

P.J. Wingate, Fisheries Research Manager D.H. Schupp, Fisheries Research Supervisor

INVESTIGATIONAL REPORTS*

- No. 405 The Distribution of Crayfishes in Minnesota, by J. Helgen. December 1990.
- No. 406 Microhabitat Preferences of Selected Stream Fishes and a Community-Oriented Approach to Instream Flow Assessments, by L. Aadland, C. Cook, M. Negus, H. Drewes and C. Anderson. June 1991.
- No. 407 Use of Channel Catfish to Create an Urban Fishery in Lions' Lake, Mankato, Minnesota, by T. Cross, T. Polomis, and R. Davis. June 1991.
- No. 408 Fluorescent Chemical Marking of Walleye Larva with a Selected Literature Review of Similar Investigations, by J. Younk and M. Cook. June 1991.
- No. 409 Walleye Mortality During a Live-Release Tournament on Mille Lacs, Minnesota, by T. Goeman. Reprint from North American Journal of Fisheries Management, Vol. 11, No. 1, Winter 1991.
- No. 410 Sex Ratios of Young-of-the-Year Walleye from Rearing Ponds and Lakes in Minnesota, by P. Eiler. November 1991.
- No. 411 Genetic Description of Walleye Stocks in Minnesota, by M. McInerny, R. Glazer, G. Zarling, J. Fulton, J. Otis, and K. Guise. March 1992.
- No. 412 The Walleye Sport Fishery of the St. Louis River Estuary, 1980-1982, by T. Osborn, T. Close, S. Colvin, and D. Pereira. March 1992.
- No. 413 The Role of Research in Minnesota Fisheries Management: An Update, by P. Jacobson and R. Davis. November 1991.
- No. 414 Evaluation of Bioenergetics Modeling in the Study of Predator-Prey Dynamics in Minnesota Waters of Lake Superior. March 1992.

*Complete list of all publications in the series available from Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Division of Fish and Wildlife, Section of Fisheries, Box 12, 500 Lafayette Rd., St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4012.