

1986 Economic Profile of Minnesota

July 1986

Policy Analysis Division Minnesota Department of Energy and Economic Development 900 American Center Building 150 East Kellogg Boulevard St. Paul, Minnesota 55101 At certain junctures, all businesses consider where to locate their operations in order to maximize results. The choice is not a simple one, often muddied by a lack of data, the multitude of factors to be considered and the unique way each business must weigh them.

The purpose of the <u>Economic Profile of Minnesota</u> is to assist businesses with these choices by providing broad state and regional information most relevant to their location decision. Minnesota data on eighty-two separate items are presented, with comparisons made with the United States, the North Central region and/or other states. The booklet is organized into nine sections, each covering a major factor affecting a firm's input costs or markets: Demographics, Industry Mix, Basic Industries, Transportation, Resources, Government, Labor, Education and Quality of Life. Due to this comprehensive scope of topics, the profile can also serve as a primer on the Minnesota economy.

Within the publication, no conclusions or overall rankings are attempted, for we recognize that each company's decision rests on its specific situation. We believe the facts will speak for themselves: Minnesota is a good place to live, work and do business.

In all cases, the profile contains information which is the most recent available at the time of publication. In most cases, figures are from the last few years, the exceptions being those drawn from special censuses. The U.S. Bureau of the Census definitions of regions are used throughout. The original information source is cited by each table along with select definitions and methodology clarifications. When data is available for only forty-eight states, it is the states of Alaska and Hawaii which are missing.

Staff members who participated in this publication include the following:

principal author: Don Hilber editing: Mary Williams layout and design: Mary Andersen, Elsa Larson, Nancy Sheely

The following units of the Department of Energy and Economic Development are willing to assist you. Please call the following for help:

Information Resources (612)297-1208	- (overall publication format and additional copies
Policy Analysis (612)296-1778	- (data content
Marketing (612)297-1300	- ;	additional information on Minnesota
Development Resources (612)296-5022	- :	specific programs (loans, grants, assistance)

DEMOGRAPHICS

 1.1 Population, Households and Annual Percent Change, 1970 to 2000 1.2 Net Migration Rates, 1980 to 1984 1.3 Percent Distribution of Population by Age, 1984 1.4 Percent Distribution of Households by Type, 1980 1.5 Per Capita Personal Income and Effective Buying Income, 1984/1985 1.6 Real Personal Income Per Capita (1985 Dollars), 1970 to 1985 1.7 Incidence of Poverty Among All Persons, 1983 1.8 Percent of Households by Income Group, 1984 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
INDUSTRY MIX	
 2.1 Number of Minnesota Business Establishments by Industry Group, 1977 and 1985 2.2 Percent Distribution of Minnesota Businesses by Gross Sales, 1984 2.3 Percent Distribution of Earnings by Industry Group, 1977 and 1984 2.4 Percent Change in Employment by Industry Group, 1977 to 1985 2.5 Employment by Manufacturing Industry, 1977 to 1985 2.6 Twenty Key Industries in Minnesota 2.7 Distribution of Employment by Size of Establishment, First Quarters 1977 and 1985 2.8 Business Failures per 10,000 Concerns, 1977 and 1983 2.9 Patterns of Job Growth by Firm Size, 1976 to 1982 2.10 Number of Large Corporate Headquarters Per Million Residents, 1985 2.11 Minnesota Firms Among the Nation's Top 500 In Gross Sales, 1985 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
BASIC INDUSTRIES	
 3.1 Farm Population as Percent of Total Population, 1980 3.2 Distribution of Minnesota Cash Farm Receipts, 1983 3.3 Value Added in the Manufacture of Agricultural Products - Minnesota as a Percent of the United States by Industry, 1982 3.4 Agricultural Exports as a Percent of Total Farm Cash Receipts, 1983 3.5 Minnesota Iron Ore Production as a Percent of World Total, 1965 to 1984 3.6 Manufacturers' Value Added by Industry, 1982 3.7 Production Workers as a Percent of Manufacturing Employment, 1984 3.8 Percent of Manufacturing Employment in Export Production, 1981 3.9 High-Technology Employment as a Percent of Total Employment, 1983 3.10 Per Capita Tourism Expenditures by Destination, 1981 	21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
TRANSPORTATION	
 4.1 Distance and Travel Time to Major U.S. Cities from Twin Cities, 1986 4.2 Activity at Large Air Traffic Hubs, 1984 4.3 Percent of Highway Miles in Poor Condition, 1984 4.4 Percent Distribution of Commerce at Minnesota Ports, 1984 4.5 Minnesota Agricultural Commody Shipments by Destination and Means 4.6 Transportation Costs for Minnesota Manufacturing Industries Compared with the U.S. Mean 4.7 Value of Minnesota Manufactured Goods Shipments and Percent Distribution by Means of Transport, 1977 4.8 Percent Distribution of Minnesota Manufacturing Shipments by Destination, 1977 	31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38

; ;

.

Î

1

NATURAL AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9	Percent Distribution of Land Use by Type, 1982 Building Cost Estimates as a Percent of the U.S. Average, 1986 Percent of Renewable Water Supply Available by Resource Region, 1983 Average Electricity Prices for Commercial/Industrial Users, 1984 Average Natural Gas Prices for Commercial/Industrial Users, 1984 Percent Distribution of Total Energy Use by Source, 1983 Characteristics of Foreign Direct Investment Transactions, 1977 to 1984 Domestic Deposits Per Capita of Financial Institution, 1983 Commercial/Industrial Loans and Small Business Investment Corporation (SBIC) Financing in Dollars Per Capita, 1983	39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
GOVER	NMENT	
	Percent Distribution of State/Local General Revenue by Source, 1984 Minnesota State and Local Tax Revenue as a Percent of Personal Income, 1978 and 1986 State and Local Corporate Income Tax Collections Per \$1,000 of Personal Income, 1979 to 1984 State and Local Taxes Collected Per \$1,000 of Personal Income, 1984 Real Estate Tax Collections as a Percent of Market Value, 1981 Maximum Weekly Payment Under Workers' Compensation, 1984 Unemployment Insurance Indicators, 1983 State & Local Government Expenditures in Dollars Per Capita, 1984 Business Incentive Programs Available in Minnesota and Total Number of Other States with Similar Programs, 1985	49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57
Labor		
7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 7.8 7.9	Labor Force Participation Rate and Percent Distribution of the Labor Force by Age, Race and Sex, 1984 Percent Distribution of Labor Force by Occupation, 1984 Annual Average Unemployment Rates, 1978 to 1985 Unemployment Rates by Occupation, 1984 Annual Average Wages Per Employee by Industry, 1983 Hourly Wages for Manufacturing Production Workers by Industry, 1985 Value Added Per Dollar of Payroll for Manufacturing Industries, 1982 Indicators of Union Membership Percent of Working Time Idled by Work Stoppages, 1973 to 1981 Health Care Cost Factors	59 60 62 63 64 65 66 67
EDUCA	TION	
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	High School Graduation Rates, 1984 Percentage of Adults Aged 25 to 64 with High School Educations, 1984 Percent of Population with Post-Secondary Education, 1980 Total SAT Score Adjusted for Number of Test Takers, 1982 Most Common Programs Offered at Minnesota Vocational-Technical Institutes with Number of Schools Involved, 1985-1986 School Year	69 70 71 72 73

- 8.6 Average Units of Microcomputers Per Building in Public Schools, 1984
 8.7 Number of Scientists and Engineers Per Thousand Residents, 1982
 8.8 Patents Issued in State Per Million Residents, 1983 74 75 76

PAGE QUALITY OF LIFE 77 9.1 Indicators of Resident Stability 78 9.2 Death Rates by Cause of Death, 1982 9.3 Crime Rates by Type (Offenses Per 100,000 Population), 1984 79 80 9.4 Climatic Averages for Minneapolis-St. Paul 9.5 Ratio of Pollutant Concentration to Air Quality Standard, 1983 81 9.6 Seasonal Homes as a Percent of Total Housing Units, 1980 82 9.7 Average Round-Trip Commuting Time for Thirty Largest Metro Areas, 1980 83 9.8 Average Property Value of Single-Family Homes, 1983 84 85

9.9 Standard of Living Index for Largest Metropolitan Areas, 1984

DEMOGRAPHICS

TABLE 1.1:	POPULATION,	HOUSEHOLDS	and	annual	PERCENT	CHANGE,	1970	TO 2000	
------------	-------------	------------	-----	--------	---------	---------	------	---------	--


	NUMBER (in thousands)					
	1970 Census	1980 Census	1984 Estimate	1990 Projection	2000 Projection	
POPULATION Minnesota North Central United States	3,806 56,589 203,302	4,076 58,866 226,546	4,162 59,117 236,158	4,353 60,265 249,203	4,489 59,714 267,462	
MN as % of US MN as % of NC	1.87 6.73	1.80 6.92	1.76 7.04	1.75 7.22	1.68 7.52	
HOUSEHOLDS Minnesota North Central United States	1,154 17,537 63,450	1,445 20,858 80,136	1,519 21,543 85,765	- - -	- - -	

AUBADED (the discounds)

	ANNUAL PERCENT CHANGE				
POPULATION	1970- 1980	1980- 1984	Proj. 1980- 1990	Proj. 1990- 2000	
Minnesota North Central United States	0.7 0.4 1.1	0.5 0.1 1.1	0.7 0.2 1.0	0.3 -0.1 0.7	
HOUSEHOLDS Minnesota North Central United States	2.5 1.9 2.6	1.3 0.8 1.8	-	- -	

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25

- * Population growth in Minnesota has exceeded that of the North Central region since 1970, but has lagged behind that of the United States.
- * The decrease in Minnesota's population growth rate from the 1970s to the 1980s has not been as sharp as in the North Central region.
- * The difference in growth rates between Minnesota and the United States is expected to narrow for the remainder of this century.
- * By the year 2000, Minnesota will contain a much larger share of the North Central region's population than it does now.
- * The number of households has grown faster than population in all three areas during the 1970-1984 period.
- * The gap between Minnesota and United States household growth is less than the population change differential, implying that household formation for the state exceeds national figures.



SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25

- * Minnesota experienced a net outmigration of 58,000 people between 1980 and 1984.
- * Minnesota's four-year rate of net migration shows less net outflow than the North Central region.
- * Of the twelve North Central states, seven have displayed more net outmigration than Minnesota over the 1980 to 1984 period.
- * Only one state in the North Central region, North Dakota, exhibited net in-migration between 1980 and 1984.




TABLE 1.3: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION BY AGE, 1984

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25

- * The age distribution of Minnesota's population is very similar to that of the United States.
- * In every bracket encompassing ages zero to thirty-four, Minnesota's population share is equal to or greater than the nation's.
- * The twenty-five to thirty-four age group is not only Minnesota's largest, but also contains the highest proportion relative to the United States.
- * In each of the three brackets covering ages thirty-five to sixtyfour, the relative share of the population found in Minnesota is lower than the national distribution.
- * The elderly, those aged sixty-five and older, make up 12.4 percent of Minnesota's population, a higher proportion than that of the United States.




TABLE 1.4: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE, 1980

	A	D	C	U	Ľ	Г
	Married - Children	Married-No Children	Female Headed	Male Headed	One-Person Household	Unrelated Individuals
United States	31.48%	28.69%	10.46%	2.61%	22.70%	4.06%
North Central	32.66	29.00	9.54	2.39	22.78	3.63
Minnesota	33.89	28.22	7.40	2.28	23.34	4.98


SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, 1980 Census of Population

- * The largest household type is that of married couples with children, of which Minnesota has a significantly higher proportion than either the U.S. or North Central region.
- * Both the nation and the region have a far greater proportion of female headed households with no spouse present than Minnesota does.
- * Minnesota has a slightly greater share of one-person households than the nation or North Central region.
- * Minnesota is atypical in its high share of unrelated individuals forming households. This catch-all group, predominantly young, includes both unmarried couples and roommates of the same sex.

TABLE 1.5: PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME AND EFFECTIVE BUYING INCOME, 1984/1985

UNITED STATES \$13,451 \$25,496	28,191 9
	· ·
1 Alaska 17,756 36,028 1 26 Wyoming 12,955 2 Connecticut 17,627 34,107 2 27 Wisconsin 12,883 3 New Jersey 16,368 32,556 3 28 Missouri 12,784 4 Massachusetts 15,790 29,471 5 29 Iowa 12,779 5 Maryland 15,356 28,976 6 30 Arizona 12,454 6 California 15,255 28,348 8 31 Indiana 12,276 7 New York 15,237 27,161 14 32 Oregon 12,165 8 Colorado 14,413 26,549 19 33 Georgia 12,158 9 Illinios 14,397 28,542 7 34 Oklahoma 12,103 10 Delaware 14,377 28,023 10 35 Vermont 11,599 11 New Hampshire 14,308 27,648 11 36 Maine 11,423	25,695 21 24,002 29 23,963 30 22,658 36 24,802 25 22,796 33 23,393 31 22,913 32 22,235 40 22,359 37 21,823 41 22,304 39 22,745 34 21,328 45 21,529 43 21,529 43 21,529 43 21,546 42 22,705 35 21,373 44 20,969 46 19,846 48 19,018 49 24,263 28 20,183 47 18,099 50


- SOURCES: US Dept of Commerce, <u>Survey of Current Business</u>, April 1986 Sales and Marketing Management, <u>Annual Survey of Buying Power</u>, 1985 (In contrast to per capita personal income, median household effective buying income considers the household as the buying unit and accounts only for funds at their disposal for consumer purchases.)
- * Minnesota is in the top third of all states in both per capita personal income and household effective buying power.
- * Minnesota's median household effective buying income was \$27,037 in 1984, six percent higher than the United States average.
- * Of the twelve North Central states, only one, Illinois, ranks ahead of Minnesota in each measure of wellbeing.
- * Minnesota's rank in effective buying power may be lower than its rank in per capita personal income due to smaller average household size than in other states.




YEAR	REAL I PER C	NCOME APITA	pero Chan	
	U.S.	MiN	U.S.	MN
70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85	\$10,929 11,068 11,610 12,128 11,885 11,677 12,032 12,398 12,815 12,821 12,395 12,472 12,385 12,613 13,228 13,451	\$10,785 10,853 11,402 12,692 12,072 11,749 11,947 12,741 13,071 13,176 12,614 12,668 12,537 12,698 13,683 14,071	0.3 1.3 4.9 4.5 -2.0 -1.7 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.4 0.0 -3.3 0.6 -0.7 1.8 4.9 1.7	$1.1 \\ 0.6 \\ 5.1 \\ 11.3 \\ -4.9 \\ -2.7 \\ 1.7 \\ 6.6 \\ 2.6 \\ 0.8 \\ -4.3 \\ 0.4 \\ -1.0 \\ 1.3 \\ 7.8 \\ 2.8 \\$

SOURCE: US Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Area Personal Income

- * Per capita personal income in Minnesota has exceeded that of the United States in every year since 1976.
- * Minnesota's 1985 per capita personal income was 4.6 percent higher than the U.S. average, having grown faster for the second year in a row.
- * Years in which the national economy is strong tend to bode well for Minnesota - in 1973, 1977 and 1984 the state gain in real per capita personal income greatly surpassed that of the nation.
- * Recessionary periods usually hurt Minnesota more than the U.S., as the sharper drops in 1974, 1975, 1980 and 1982 indicate.



* Minnesota's poverty rate has increased from 8.05% in 1978, but the national rate also has risen proportionally over the same period. * Minnesota is one of only four states outside the Atlantic Seaboard to have a poverty rate below 12.5 percent.



EFFECTIVE BUYING INCOME

	Under \$10,000	\$10,000- 19,999	\$20,000- 34,999	\$35,000- 49,999	\$50,000 & Over
United States	16.9	21.6	29.4	18.7	13.4
North Central	16.2	20.9	30.7	19.9	12.3
Minnesota	15.1	20.5	29.7	20.8	13.9

SOURCE: Sales and Marketing Management, Annual Survey of Buying Power, 1985

- * Minnesota and the United States have nearly identical proportions of middle-class households (those with \$20,000 to \$35,000 in income).
- * One of Minnesota's greatest differences from the United States and North Central region is its much lower share of households with inincome less than \$10,000.
- * The state also deviates widely from the nation and the region in its greater preponderance of households in the upper-middle-income range (\$35,000 to \$50,000).
- * Minnesota has a much higher share of its residents in the upper income category (\$50,000 & more) than the North Central region.


INDUSTRY MIX

TABLE 2.1:	NUMBER OF	MINNESOTA	BUSINESS	ESTABLISHMENTS	BY	INDUSTRY	GROUP,
	1977 AND	1985					

	ESTA	PERCENT	
	1977	1985	CHANGE
TOTAL	81,113	101,466	25.1
Agriculture	1,512	1,924	27.2
Mining	183	199	8.7
Construction	9,916	11,131	12.3
Manufacturing	5,800	7,225	24.6
Transportation and Utilities	4,175	5,037	20.6
Trade	31,946	37,400	17.1
Finance, Insurance, Real Estate	7,353	9,150	24.4
Services	20,228	29,400	45.3

- SOURCE: MN Dept of Jobs & Training, ES-202 data (Establishments represent reporting units of firms with payroll employment covered under Unemployment Insurance law. Data is from first quarter of each year.)
- * In 1985, Minnesota had more than one hundred thousand business units that employ workers, an increase of twenty thousand from 1977.
- * The twenty-five percent increase in establishments since 1977 is similar to the twenty-three percent growth in employment over the same period.
- * Trade, services and the financial industries account for over three-fourths of all business units. Manufacturing has less than ten percent of the total.
- * The largest increase in the number of establishments and most rapid rate of growth from 1977 to 1985 occurred in the service sector.

- * The manufacturing sector displayed a twenty-five percent rise in establishments, higher than its employment growth of fourteen percent. This implies a decrease in the average unit size.
- * At a rate of less than twentyfive percent, the growth in establishments has been moderate for finance/insurance/real estate despite the large employment increase of thirty-four percent for the same period.
- * The number of operating units has remained the most stable in the mining and construction industries while close to half of the net establishment growth has occurred in the service sector.



SIZE CLASS	LEVEL OF GROSS SALES	NUMBER	PERCENT DISTRIBUTION
Very Small Small Medium Large Very Large	Less Than \$1,000 \$1,000 to \$9,999 \$10,000 to \$99,999 \$100,000 to \$1,000,000 More Than \$1,000,000	23,058 25,748 30,465 28,558 9,624	19.6% 21.9% 25.9% 24.3% 8.2%
ALL ESTABLISHMENTS		117,453	100.0%

- SOURCE: MN Dept of Revenue (Businesses refer to all establishments which file sales tax.)
- * According to sales tax receipts, there are 117,453 establishments doing business in the State of Minnesota.
- * A large number of businesses are found in each of the five size classes, with no one grouping dominant.
- * The largest number of Minnesota businesses are of medium size, with annual gross sales between \$10,000 and \$99,999.

- * Two-thirds of all business estestablishments in Minnesota have gross sales of less than \$100,000.
- * The number of business establishments drops off beyond gross annual sales of \$1,000,000.
- * Less than ten percent of all Minnesota businesses fall into the category of sales greater than \$1,000,000.


TABLE 2.3: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	0F	EARNINGS	BY	INDUSTRY	GROUP,	1977	and	1984
---------------------------------	----	----------	----	----------	--------	------	-----	------

	MINN	ESOTA	UNITED S	STATES
	1977	1984	1977	1984
Agriculture	8.05	4.28	2.67	2.43
Mining	1.11	0.79	1.54	1.61
Construction	6.05	5.25	5.88	5.41
Manufacturing	23.84	25.28	26.19	23.75
Transportation/ Communication/ Public Utilities	7.79	7.83	7.58	7.53
Wholesale Trade	7.98	7.80	6.54	6.70
Retail Trade	10.26	9.54	10.16	9.56
Finance/Insurance/ Real Estate	5.51	6.33	5.62	6.44
Services	14.91	18.90	16.51	20.25
Government	14.50	14.00	17.32	16.34

SOURCE: US Bureau of Economic Analysis, <u>Quarterly Personal Income by Major</u> <u>Source and Earnings by Industry</u> (Earnings is that portion of personal income generated by wages and salaries measured on an industryby-industry basis.)

- * Manufacturing commands the largest share of industry earnings in both Minnesota and the United States, significantly higher than the next largest sector, services.
- * From 1977 to 1984, the state's share of earnings derived from manufacturing grew as the nation's shrunk. Minnesota now has a greater proportion of its earnings from this sector than the United States.
- * The share of earnings coming from agriculture, mining, construction and government has declined in recent years.

- * The Minnesota agriculture sector (farm income, agricultural services and forestry/fisheries) contributes a much higher share to earnings than its U.S. counterpart.
- * Mining, which includes oil, gas, coal and minerals as well as iron ore, adds a lower share to earnings in Minnesota than in the nation.
- * Both services and government represent a smaller share of total earnings in Minnesota than in the U.S.
- * The service sector's share of income has increased fastest of all industries over the seven years.



M	IINNESOTA	EMPLOYME	T	U.S. EM		
	(in th	ousands)	PERCENT	(in the	ousands)	PERCENT
	1977	1985	CHANGE	Ì977	1985	CHANGE
TOTAL	1,597	1,866	16.8	82,471	97,692	18.5
Mining	13	8	-35.2	813	969	19.2
Construction	69	71	3.3	3,851	4,661	21.0
Manufacturing	339	375	10.5	19,682	19,424	-1.3
Trans/Comm/Pub Util	92	99	6.8	4,713	5,301	12.5
Wholesale Trade	108	116	7.8	4,708	5,770	22.6
Retail Trade	296	351	18.6	13,808	17,418	26.1
Finance/Insur/Real Est	82	111	34.8	4,467	5,924	32.6
Services	312	434	39.1	15,303	21,931	43.3
Government	286	302	5.3	15,127	16,294	7.7

SOURCE: MN Dept of Jobs & Training, ES-790 program data US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Employment and Earnings</u> (Table refers to payroll employment in non-agricultural industries.)

* Total employment changes in Minnesota and the United States from 1977 to 1985 were remarkably similar considering the more rapid population growth in the U.S. over that period. * The two sectors which have grown faster in Minnesota than in the nation are finance/insurance/real estate and manufacturing. In both areas, services have added the most jobs of any sector. TABLE 2.5: EMPLOYMENT BY MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY, 1977 TO 1985

SIC	INDUSTRY	MINNESOTA EMPLOYMENT	PERCENT	CHANGE
510		1985	MINNESOTA	U.S.
	ALL MANUFACTURING	374,883	10.5%	-1.3%
20 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30/31 32 33 34 35 36	Food and Kindred Products Textile Mill Products Apparel Lumber and Wood Products Furniture and Fixtures Paper and Allied Products Printing and Publishing Chemicals and Allied Products Petroleum and Coal Products Rubber, Plastics and Leather Stone, Clay and Glass Primary Metals Fabricated Metals Non-electrical Machinery Electrical Machinery	1,670 13,781 7,695 6,195 35,712 89,950 28,288	-11.1 -33.4 -62.3 5.4 38.9 7.2 48.9 33.9 -1.1 14.1 -16.0 -1.8 8.4 29.3 9.5	0.9 -22.8 -11.8 -2.7 7.0 -1.2 24.5 -2.9 -12.4 0.1 -10.2 -31.0 -7.0 0.3 17.5
37 38 39	Transportation Equipment Scientific Instruments Miscellaneous Manufacturing	7,367 27,912 5,634	-33.4 29.5 -28.1	6.3 17.7 -14.2

SOURCES: US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Employment</u> and <u>Earnings</u> MN Dept of Jobs and Training

- * Employment in Minnesota's manufacturing sector grew by more than ten percent from 1977 to 1985, while decreasing in the United States.
- * The fastest growing manufacturindustries in Minnesota during the 1977 to 1985 period were printing/publishing, furniture, chemicals, instruments and non-electrical machinery.
- * Large declines occurred in the textile, apparel, stone/clay/ glass and miscellaneous manufacturing industries both in Minnesota and the United States.
- * From 1977 to 1985, Minnesota's percent change in employment surpassed the nation's for eleven of the eighteen manufacturing industries.

- * Over the past eight years, four manufacturing industries experienced employment growth in Minnesota and declines in the rest of the country: lumber and wood, paper, chemicals and fabricated metals.
- * Minnesota's largest manufacturing employer, non-electrical machinery (which includes office computing equipment), grew nearly thirty percent in in the state while remaining stable throughout the nation.
- * In the 1977 to 1985 period, more than forty thousand net jobs were created in just three Minnesota manufacturing industries: printing and publishing, non-electrical machinery and scientific instruments.

TABLE 2.6: TWENTY KEY INDUSTRIES IN MINNESOTA

				EMPLOYMENT	
		CHANGE	CHANGE	LEVEL	QUOTIENT
SIC	INDUSTRY	1977-84	1977-84	1984	1984
357	Office and Computing Machines	18,757	39.1	47,964	4.88
805	Nursing and Personal Care Facilities		22.5	39,441	1.85
801	Offices of Physicians	5,291	21.0	25,217	1.49
275	Commercial Printing	3,716	24.3	15,318	1.75
596	Nonstore Retailers	2,984	30.0	9,933	2.06
348	Ordnance and Accessories	2,938	23.1	12,707	8.97
271	Newspapers	2,200	21.1	10,441	1.27
356	General Industrial Machinery	2,129	27.3	7,796	1.53
384	Medical Instruments and Supplies	2,084	28.2	,	2.30
272	Periodicals	2,079	61.6	3,377	1.70
381	Engineering and Scientific Instrumen		31.3	5,526	3.72
573	Radio, Television and Music Stores	966	20.3		1.27
274	Miscellaneous Publishing	850	31.4	2,711	2.22
415	School Bus Transportation	842	16.8	5,006	3.09
278	Blankbooks and Bookbindings	805	33.1	2,434	1.88
546	Retail Bakeries	775	16.6	4,670	1.64
336	Nonferrous Foundries	691	23.4	2,949	1.83
284	Soaps, Cleaners and Toilet Goods	655	18.8	3,485	1.28
347	Metal Services	505			1.30
385	Opthalmic Goods	419	16.2	2,584	3.49

SOURCES: MN Dept of Jobs & Training, ES-202 data

US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Supplement to Employment and Earnings</u> (Due to disclosure restrictions at the state level and lack of detail at the national level, only those three-digit SIC industries on which information is available are included. Industries were selected by the following criteria: employment level above 2,000, employment change of fifteen percent or more, and location quotient above 1.25. The location quotient measures geographic concentration. For example, a location quotient of 2.0 would mean that that industry in Minnesota has twice the share of employment it has across the U.S.).

- * The twenty industries depicted are all large, growing and more prevalent in Minnesota than in the United States.
- * The designated key industries tend to cluster in a few groups: hightech instruments and machinery, medical supplies and services, and printing/publishing.
- * The fastest growing industries in Minnesota are not necessarily the smallest; the six largest had growth of over twenty percent.

- * Employment in office and computing machines grew by 18,757 between 1977 and 1984, more than double the number in any other industry.
- * Of the twenty key industries, the fastest growing of the period was periodicals, which increased its employment by 61.6 percent.
- * The two key industries most concentrated in Minnesota are ordnance and accessories, with employment nine times the U.S. average, and office and computing machines, with employment five times as prevalent here.




TABLE 2.7: DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT BY SIZE OF ESTABLISHMENT, FIRST QUARTERS 1977 AND 1985

- SOURCE: MN Dept of Jobs & Training, ES-202 data (Establishments represent reporting units of firms with payroll employment covered under Unemployment Insurance law.)
- * Seventy-five percent of all establishments have less than ten workers, but employment in this size class is only thirteen percent of the total.
- * Employment in small and medium sized establishments has grown the most during the eight year period.
- * Both the number of establishments and total employment have decreased for the 1000-and-over class since the first quarter of 1977, resulting in a sharp drop in that group's share of total employment.




TABLE 2.8: BUSINESS FAILURES PER 10,000 CONCERNS, 1977 AND 1983

MINNESOTASTATESEASTCENTRALSOUTHWEST197745.828.039.231.523.741.7198394.0110.073.1128.1118.7193.3

SOURCE: Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, The Failure Record Through 1983

- * The 1983 failure rate for industrial and commercial businesses is lower in Minnesota than in either the United States or the North Central region, a reversal of the 1977 situation.
- * The Northeast is the only region which had failure rates higher than the U.S. average in 1977 and lower in 1983.
- * Business failures have increased throughout the nation over the six year period.
- * The South and West had four to five times as many businesses failing in 1983 as in 1977. Both areas are heavily reliant upon natural resources (oil and gas, forestry, minerals) and susceptible to failure as commodity prices fall.
- * The Minnesota failure rate approximately doubled between 1977 and 1983, while failures in the North Central region and the U.S. as a whole quadrupled.

PATTERN OF JOB GROWTH	CHANGE FROM 1976 EMPLOYMENT		FIRM SIZE BY NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES	PERCENT CONTRIBUTION TO NET CHANGE		
	Minnesota	United States		Minnesota	United States	
Net Change	19.4%	15.6%	All Firms	100.0%	100.0%	
Births	25.9	28.7	Very Small (0-19)	33.7	38.5	
Expansions	26.2	22.4	Small (20-99)	15.7	14.1	
Deaths	-18.7	-22.2	Medium (100-499)	7.8	9.8	
Contractions	-14.0	-13.4	Large (500+)	42.8	37.6	

TABLE 2.9: PATTERNS OF JOB GROWTH BY FIRM SIZE, 1976 TO 1982

SOURCE: Social and Scientific Systems, Inc. (under contract with U.S. Small Business Administration), "Tabulation of USLEM Data for Paired Years" (Firm size classes are assigned by overall employment level in the corporation, not that of the branch location. Job growth patterns are defined as follows:

birth = new business entity through startup, merger, or divestiture or new branch location from parent company in another state expansion = net internal growth or new intrastate branch location death = bankruptcy, dissolution or complete transfer to another state contraction = net internal decline or branch closure)

- * Minnesota's net employment change in the 1976 to 1982 period was 19.4 percent, higher than the U.S. growth of 15.6 percent.
- * The major patterns contributing to Minnesota's relatively greater employment growth are its lower rate of firm deaths and higher rate of business expansions.
- * Minnesota experienced more employment growth than the United States despite having comparatively fewer births of new firms.
- * Employment losses due to firms contracting in size between 1976 and 1982 are roughly proportional in both the U.S. and Minnesota.

- * In the United States, births added more to net job growth than expansions did, while in Minnesota their contributions were nearly equivalent.
- * Three-fourths of net employment growth in both the United States and Minnesota occurred at both very small and large firms.
- * From 1976 to 1982, less than ten percent of net job generation in Minnesota took place at companies with employment levels between 100 and 500.
- * Large firms in Minnesota accounted for forty-three percent of the net change in employment over the six year period, slightly higher than the national average and the most of any size category.

TABLE 2.10: NUMBER OF LARGE CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS PER MILLION RESIDENTS, 1985

	0 HE	BER F AD- TERS	NUMBER PER MILLION RESIDENTS		NUMBER OF HEAD- QUARTERS	NUMBER PER MILLION RESIDENTS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QUAR UNITED STATES Delaware Connecticut New York Illinois MINNESOTA New Jersey Idaho Ohio Pennsylvania Missouri Texas California Massachusetts Oklahoma	TERS 500 5 25 81 46 16 23 31 34 14 37 57 12 6	RESIDENTS 2.12 8.16 7.93 4.57 4.00 3.84 3.06 3.00 2.88 2.86 2.80 2.31 2.22 2.07 1.82	25 Kentucky 26 Tennessee 27 Rhode Isl 28 Florida 29 Hawaii 30 Kansas 31 Indiana 32 Arizona 33 Wisconsir 34 Utah 35 Alabama 36 Louisiana Alaska Wyoming Vermont North Dak	QUARTERS 4 5 and 1 11 2 4 2 4 2 1 2 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	RESIDENTS 1.07 1.06 1.04 1.00 0.96 0.82 0.73 0.66 0.63 0.61 0.50 0.22 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
17 18 18 20 21 22 23	Virginia Colorado Michigan Georgia Washington Arkansas	10 9 5 14 9 6 3 2 5 3	$1.62 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.57 \\ 1.54 \\ 1.54 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.12$	South Dak Montana Nevada New Hamps Maine New Mexic West Virg Mississip Iowa South Car	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

- SOURCE: <u>Forbes</u>, "Forbes 500 Annual Directory" (Tabulation includes top 500 public corporations, both manufacturing and non-manufacturing, in order of revenues. Seven corporations located in Washington D.C., are not assigned to any state.)
- * Minnesota is the headquarters site of sixteen corporations which are among the top five hundred nationally in terms of gross sales.
- * Only eight states have more large corporate headquarters than Minnesota does.
- * Minnesota ranks fifth in the number of large corporate headquarters per million residents, surpassed only by Delaware, Connecticut, New York and Illinois.
- * Large corporations cluster in a few centers: only a dozen states exceed the national average of 2.12 headquarters per million residents.
- * Fourteen states do not contain a single large corporate headquarters including three of Minnesota's four neighbors.
- * To qualify among the top five hundred, a firm needed gross revenues of approximately \$1.25 billion in 1985.

TABLE 2.11: MINNESOTA FIRMS AMONG THE NATION'S TOP 500 IN GROSS SALES, 1985

1

COMPANY	REVENUE (\$MIL)	Rank	MINNESOTA EMPLOYMENT	MAJOR INDUSTRY
PUBLICLY OWNED				
Dayton-Hudson 3M Super Valu Stores Honeywell Pillsbury Control Data General Mills St. Paul Companies Northwest Airlines Northest Bancorporation First Bank System Northern States Power Republic Airlines Hormel, George A. Nash Finch International Multifood	8,793 7,846 7,538 6,625 5,146 4,810 4,411 2,672 2,655 2,516 2,510 1,789 1,734 1,626 1,323 Is 1,314	64 77 82 94 125 140 156 268 270 282 283 385 399 417 482 484	not avail. 22,900 not avail. 17,000 4,400 16,000 3,000 not avail. 8,000 6,000 7,000 not avail. 4,500 2,500 not avail. not avail.	general retailing paper & allied products food wholesaling instruments food products computing equipment food products insurance air transportation banking gas & electric utility air transportation food products food wholesaling food products
PRIVATE, COOPERATIVE AND	MUTUALLY O	WNED		
	st 2,282	(10) (244) (272) (303) (442)	3,000 not avail. 7,000 4,700 1,100	grain marketing grain marketing hospitality food products farm supplies
SOURCES: <u>Forbes</u> , "Forbes <u>Corporate</u> <u>Report</u>				ational Companies"
* In addition to the six corporations among the five hundred, Minnesot other business entities qualify based on their volume.	nation's to a has fight which wou	op ve 1d	sota range tional gian	ations based in Minne- in scope from interna- ts to nationally known o those that serve re- ts.
* The largest Minnes Cargill, is a priv business which would nationally were it corporation.		ed th	sales in ex are heavily ture, eithe	e Minnesota firms with cess of \$1.25 billion involved with agricul- r as suppliers, market- cturers or wholesalers.


* All but one of the twenty-one companies listed have their headquarters in the Twin Cities metropolitan area.

* Minnesota's top corporations are involved in a varied group of industries: agriculture, manufactur-

ing, transportation, utilities,

trade and services.

BASIC INDUSTRIES






TABLE 3.2: DISTRIBUTION OF MINNESOTA CASH FARM RECEIPTS, 1983

	CASH RECEIPTS (\$000)	STATE RANK	I	CASH RECEIPTS (\$000)	STATE RANK
TOTAL	6,277	5	OTHER CROPS Sugarbeets Barley	595 159 85	2 4
Dairy	1,402	4	Hay Oats	65 62	4 2 2
Soybeans	1,058	3	Potatoes Sunflowers	55 45	9 3
Corn	838	5	Sweet Corn Not Specified	26 117	1
Cattle/Calves	835	10	OTHER LIVESTOCK	357	
Hogs	734	4	Turkeys Eggs	158 102	2 11
Wheat	440	7	Broilers/Chickens Not Specified		11

SOURCE: MN Dept of Agriculture, Agricultural Statistics, 1985

- * Minnesota ranks fifth among states in the overall gross sales derived from agricultural products.
- * Six major products constitute eighty-five percent of total farm sales. In order of receipts they are dairy, soybeans, corn, cattle/ calves, hogs and wheat.
- * Minnesota ranks high in the production of other agricultural goods including sugarbeets, turkeys, barley, hay, oats, sunflowers and sweet corn for processing.
- * There are fourteen different agricultural goods of which Minnesota is one of the top ten producers.





TABLE 3.3: VALUE ADDED IN THE MANUFACTURE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS -MINNESOTA AS A PERCENT OF THE UNITED STATES BY INDUSTRY, 1982

TABLE 3.4: AGRICULTURAL EXPORTS AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL FARM CASH RECEIPTS, 1983

		EXPORTS O	ERCENT F CASH CEIPTS			EXPORTS OF	ERCENT F CASH CEIPTS
	UNITED STATES	34,765.5	25.1	25	Virginia	277.4	19.3
_				26	Alabama	399.4	18.9
1	North Dakota	1,312.1	48.8	27	Texas	1,679.5	18.7
2	Indiana	1,482.0	37.0	28	Georgia	617.2	18.6
3	Illinois	2,959.4	36.3	29	California	2,461.3	18.2
4	Kansas	1,944.6	36.0	30	Arizona	291.1	17.7
5	Mississippi	772.8	33.7	31	Maryland	153.7	14.9
6	Louisiana	602.7	32.5	32	Florida	543.9	12.6
7	Arkansas	957.3	31.9	33	Wyoming	62.7	10.6
8	Montana	474.6	31.6	34	Wisconsin	545.8	10.5
9	Nebraska	1,871.9	31.1	35	Delaware	38.1	8.4
9	Iowa	2,903.3	31.1	36	New Jersey	42.9	7.9
11	South Carolina	325.8	30.8	37	Hawaii	35.7	6.6
12	North Carolina	1,126.9	29.8	38	New York	169.4	6.3
13	Ohio	1,075.9	29.3	39	Pennsylvania	153.7	5.2
14	MINNESOTA	1,810.9	28.8	40	Utah	26.6	4.6
15	Washington	853.8	27.9	41	Massachusetts	15.6	4.3
16	Kentucky	770.6	27.5	42	Maine	17.5	4.2
16	Idaho	553.4	27.5	43	West Virginia		4.1
18	0k1ahoma	655.4	24.3	44	New Mexico	38.2	4.0
19	Missouri	966.2	24.2	45	Connecticut	10.5	3.3
20	Michigan	713.8	23.8	46	Nevada	2.9	1.3
21	South Dakota	575.2	22.2	47	Vermont	2.1	0.5
22	Tennessee	383.5	19.9	48	Rhode Island	0.1	0.3
23	Colorado	573.9	19.6	49	New Hampshire	0.2	0.2
24	Oregon	331.1	19.5	50	Alaska	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: US Dept of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, <u>Foreign Agricultural</u> <u>Trade of the United States</u>

- * Minnesota ranks fourteenth among all states in the share of its farm output which goes to foreign markets, only slightly above the national average.
- * Minnesota is among the top ten states in the dollar volume of agricultural exports.
- * The major agricultural commodities exported from Minnesota are (in order of value) corn, soybeans and wheat.
- * States which obtain a high proportion of their farm cash receipts from international marketing tend to concentrate in one or more of the top five export crops: corn, soybeans, wheat, cotton and tobacco.
- * Since Minnesota has a diversified agricultural economy with its major good being dairy products, its dependence upon international trade is minimized.



SOURCE: US Bureau of Mines, Minerals Yearbook

836,442

883,834

7.2

6.6

* Iron ore production follows cyclical patterns, with the all-time high reached in 1973 and approaching that milestone in 1979.

60,021

58.484

1973

1974

- * While Minnesota iron ore production has risen from its trough in 1983, it remains approximately forty percent below the 1973 peak.
- * Minnesota's share of world iron ore production has been cut nearly in half over the last twenty years.

723,893

789,440

3.6

4.6

26,255

36,697

- * World iron ore production has dropped off since peaking in 1979.
- * Both Minnesota's overall production of iron ore and its share of the world market have fluctuated more wildly since the mid-1970's.

1983

1984

TABLE 3.6: MANUFACTURERS' VALUE ADDED BY INDUSTRY, 1982

			VALUE(\$MIL)	PERCENT OF	TOTAL	
mn Rank	SI	C INDUSTRY	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	U.S.	U.S. RANK
		Total Manufacturing	15,366.9	100.0	100.0	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ND 20	20 34 27 36 38 26 32 28 37 30 24 33 25 31 22 23	Non-electrical Machinery Food and Kindred Products Fabricated Metals Printing and Publishing Electrical Machinery Scientific Instruments Paper and Allied Products Stone, Clay and Glass Chemicals and Allied Products Transportation Equipment Rubber and Plastics Lumber and Wood Products Miscellaneous Manufacturing Primary Metals Furniture and Fixtures Leather Products Textile Mill Products Apparel Petroleum and Coal Products	3,567.4 2,391.9 1,292.7 1,222.5 1,119.5 965.7 769.8 609.0 517.4 496.1 473.0 382.0 231.6 226.2 131.2 69.1 58.6 ND ND 0.0	23.2 15.6 8.4 8.0 7.3 6.3 5.0 4.0 3.4 3.2 3.1 2.5 1.5 1.5 0.9 0.4 0.4 ND ND 0.0	$12.4 \\ 10.7 \\ 7.2 \\ 6.6 \\ 10.3 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 2.8 \\ 9.4 \\ 10.3 \\ 3.4 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 4.0 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.2 \\ 2.7 \\ 1.1 \\ $	$(1) \\ (2) \\ (6) \\ (7) \\ (4) \\ (8) \\ (9) \\ (13) \\ (5) \\ (3) \\ (11) \\ (16) \\ (17) \\ (16) \\ (17) \\ (10) \\ (18) \\ (20) \\ (15) \\ (12) \\ (14) \\ (19) \\ (19) \\ (11) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (14) \\ (19) \\ (11) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (14) \\ (19) \\ (11) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (14) \\ (19) \\ (11) \\ (12) \\ (1$

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, <u>1982</u> <u>Census</u> <u>of Manufactures</u> (ND = non-disclosable due to firm confidentiality restrictions)


- * In Minnesota, the top four industries account for more than half of all value-added in manufacturing.
- * In terms of value added, the largest manufacturing industry in both Minnesota and the United States is non-electrical machinery. However, the state derives almost twice its total manufacturing value from this source as the U.S. does.
- * Though ranked second in both Minnesota and the United States, the food products industry contributes a larger share to total manufacturing value added in the state than it does in the nation.
- * Fabricated metals, printing and publishing, and scientific instruments constitute other large Minnesota industries which contribute a larger share to the total value added than their national counterparts.
- * Transportation equipment and chemicals rank among the largest manufacturing industries nationally, but are comparatively small in Minnesota.
- * The relative contributions of apparel and petroleum are unknown due to disclosure restrictions. Their combined share of Minnesota value added is 5.5 percent, close to the national figure of 5.9 percent.

TABLE 3.7: PRODUCTION WORKERS AS A PERCENT OF MANUFACTURING EMPLOYMENT, 1984

SIC	INDUSTRY	MINNESOTA	U.S.
	All Manufacturing Industries	61.0	68.6
20 22/23 24/25 26 27 28/29 30/31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38/39	Food & Kindred Products Textiles & Apparel Lumber, Wood Products & Furniture Paper & Allied Products Printing & Publishing Chemicals & Petroleum Rubber, Leather & Plastics Stone, Clay & Glass Primary Metals Fabricated Metals Non-electrical Machinery Electrical Machinery Transportation Equipment Scientific Instruments & Miscellaneous	66.4 77.6 79.1 64.0 63.8 59.2 77.8 81.2 77.8 57.3 47.4 70.8 77.1 51.8	69.4 85.3 82.3 75.1 55.1 56.0 79.0 76.8 76.0 73.7 60.5 61.3 63.9 61.7

- SOURCES: MN Dept of Jobs & Training, CES-790 program data US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Employment and Earnings</u>
- * In Minnesota only sixty-one percent of manufacturing employment is composed of production workers compared with sixty-nine percent in the United States.
- * Minnesota's lower proportion of production workers means that state manufacturers use a greater share of other occupations (managerial, professional, technical, clerical and sales), implying a higher than average preponderance of research facilities and corporate headquarters.
- * Minnesota exhibits a lower proportion of production workers than the United States in eight of the fourteen manufacturing industries.

- * The six industries that have a higher than national share of production workers are printing/ publishing, chemicals/petroleum, electrical machinery, stone/clay/ glass, primary metals and transportation equipment.
- * Four industries stand out as having very low proportions of production workers compared with the United States average: paper and allied products, fabricated metals, non-electrical machinery and scientific instruments.
- * The four Minnesota industries that have relatively low shares of production workers are each represented by a major, billiondollar corporation with its headquarters in the state: paper -3M, fabricated metals and instruments - Honeywell and nonelectrical machinery - Control Data.




(Includes those employed in producing intermediate goods as well as final ones.)

- * Only three states have more than fifteen percent of their manufacturing employment devoted to international exports.
- * Conversely, only a few states, mostly from the South and Plains regions, have low export activity.
- * Minnesota, ranking nineteenth, sits squarely within the large group of states that devote roughly oneeighth of their manufacturing employment to international exports.

UNITED STATES	6.3%	RANK			
NORTHEAST Connecticut Massachusetts New Hampshire New Jersey Vermont New York Pennsylvania	12.5 11.5 11.1 10.0 9.6 6.5 5.7	(2) (3) (4) (5) (7) (13) (21)	NORTH CENTRAL Indiana MINNESOTA Kansas Missouri Illinois Ohio Wisconsin	7.4 7.0 6.5 5.8 5.7 5.3	(9) (11) (13) (13) (20) (21) (24) (24)
Rhode Island Maine	4.2 3.0	(32) (43)	Michigan Nebraska Iowa	3.7 3.4 3.1	(36) (30) (42)
SOUTH Delaware	14.2	(1)	South Dakota North Dakota	2.6 0.5	(45) (48)
Tennessee South Carolina	6.1 5.9	(17) (18)	WEST	0.0	(10)
Texas	5.9	(18)	California	9.7	(6)
Maryland	5.4	(23)	Arizona	8.1	(8)
North Carolina	5.1	(25)	Washington	7.2	(10)
Virginia	4.8	(26)	Colorado	6.7	(12)
Arkansas	4.5	(28)	Utah	6.3	(16)
Florida	4.4	(30)	Idaho	4.7	(27)
West Virginia	4.4	(30)	New Mexico	4.5	(28)
Alabama	3.9	(34)	Oregon	4.1	(33)
Louisiana	3.8	(35)	Nevada	2.8	(44)
Kentucky	3.7	(36)	Wyoming	1.1	(46)
Mississippi	3.6	(38)	Montana	0.9	(47)
Oklahoma	3.6	(38)	Alaska	0.5	(48)
Georgia	3.3	(41)	Hawaii	0.5	(48)

- SOURCE: <u>Monthly Labor Review</u>, "Cyclical Behavior of High Tech Industries" (A high-technology industry is defined as one with 1) a proportion of technology-oriented workers equal to or greater than the average for all manufacturing industries and 2) a ratio of R & D expenditures to sales close to or above the all-industry average. Classified as high-tech are: chemicals, petroleum refining, ordnance, office computing machines, engines and special industrial machinery, electronic equipment, aircraft and missiles, instruments, data processing services and R & D labs. Total employment refers to nonagricultural employees.)
- * Seven percent of Minnesota's employment is in the high-tech industries compared with 6.3 percent for the United States as a whole.
- * Minnesota ranks second in the North Central region and eleventh among all states in the proportion of its employment in high-tech industries.
- * States with the highest share of their employment in high-tech are found on the East and West coasts.
- * The states bordering Minnesota are all lower than the national averge in their relative prevalence of high-technology industries.



(Tourism expenditures include spending by residents within their own state and exclude spending by foreign visitors to the U.S.).

- * Minnesota ranks thirteenth among states in per capita tourism expenditures, with \$964 spent annually for every citizen of the state.
- * Minnesota is one of only three North Central states with tourism spending above the U.S. average.
- * With per capita spending higher than the national average, Minnesota's tourist industry is a significant source of export income.
- * The highest ranking states have oceans, mountains and/or gambling as their main attraction.

TRANSPORTATION

	ROAD DISTANCE (miles)	ROAD TIME (nearest hour)	AIR TIME (hours & minutes)	NUMBER OF DAILY NON- STOP FLIGHTS
Atlanta	1,152	22	2:30	11
Boston	1,439	28	3:00	6
Chicago	430	8	1:15	55
Dallas	1,013	20	2:00	9
Denver	941	18	1:45	18
Detroit	717	14	1:45	12
Los Angeles	2,007	39	3:15	9
Miami	1,840	35	3:30	1
New Orleans	1,218	25	3:45	0
New York City	1,261	24	2:45	18
St. Louis	540	12	1:30	16
San Francisco	2,005	40	3:15	7
Seattle	1,684	32	3:00	7
Washington, D.C.	1,183	23	2:30	8

SOURCES: Rand McNally Road Atlas, Official North American Airlines Guide


- * None of the fourteen major urban centers is more than forty hours from the Twin Cities by road. Every other city on the list has at least one destination which is further.
- * Minneapolis is only 540 miles from St. Louis, which is the approximate center of population for the United States.
- * Non-stop flights are available to all of the cities listed except New Orleans.

- * There are at least a half-dozen daily non-stop flights available from Minneapolis-St. Paul to twelve of the fourteen major destinations.
- * All of the cities shown can be reached in less than four hours by air from the Twin Cities.
- * Chicago, Denver, Detroit and St. Louis are all less than two hours from the Twin Cities by air and served by at least a dozen daily non-stop flights.

TABLE 4.2: ACTIVITY AT LARGE AIR TRAFFIC HUBS, 1984


		AIRCRAFT DEPARTURES (per 1,000 residents)	REVENUE PASSENGERS ENPLANED (per 1,000 residents)	rank	REVENUE TONS ENPLANED RANK (per 1,000 residents)
$\begin{array}{c}1\\1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\1\\2\\1\\3\\1\\4\\1\\5\\1\\6\\1\\7\\8\\9\\2\\1\\2\\3\\4\\2\\5\end{array}$	Atlanta Las Vegas Denver Dallas/Ft. Worth Charlotte Orlando Honolulu Phoenix Salt Lake City St. Louis MINNEAPOLIS/ST.PAUL Pittsburgh Miami Houston Chicago Washington, D.C. Tampa/St. Petersburg Seattle San Francisco/Oaklau Boston San Diego Detroit New York/Newark Los Angeles Philadelphia	32	7,950 8,065 7,154 5,520 4,099 4,986 7,427 3,353 3,393 3,314 2,747 2,639 3,555 2,580 2,518 2,293 2,188 2,293 2,188 2,293 2,161 1,698 1,170 1,783 1,432 759	(2) (1) (4) (5) (7) (6) (3) (10) (9) (11) (12) (13) (13) (14) (15) (16) (18) (17) (20) (19) (22) (24) (21) (23) (25)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

- SOURCE: US Federal Aviation Administration, <u>Airport Activity Statistics</u> (A large air traffic hub is one that carries at least one percent of all air traffic of U.S. certificated route air carriers.)
- * Minneapolis-St. Paul is one of twenty-five metropolitan areas to be designated as a large air traffic hub by the Federal Aviation Administration.
- * Minneapolis-St. Paul is one of only four large air traffic hubs serving the North Central region. None of the states bordering Minnesota contains one.
- * Minneapolis-St. Paul ranks eleventh among the large air traffic hubs in aircraft departures per thousand residents.
- * Cities that rank high in aircraft departures per capita tend to be tops in passenger, but not necessarily freight, enplanements.
- * Among large air traffic hubs, the Twin Cities rank higher in freight than passenger enplanements.



	INTERSTATE	OTHER URBAN	OTHER RURAL
Minnesota	3.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Minnesota & Neighboring States	4.1	13.7	2.9
United States	8.0	5.9	5.6

- SOURCE: US Dept of Transportation, <u>Highway Statistics</u> (A poor road condition refers to pavement with a present serviceability rating (PSR) of less than 2.5. Neighboring states include Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wisconsin.)
- * Minnesota's interstate, urban and rural highways all have a lesser share of their miles in poor condition than the U.S. as a whole.
- * Only 3.1 percent of Minnesota's interstate highway miles are in poor condition.
- * Interstate and rural highways in both Minnesota and its neighboring states have a lower share of miles in poor condition than the nation does.
- * The condition of urban highways in states bordering Minnesota is poor relative to the United States.




	COMM (1,000	PERCENT CHANGE	
	1972	1984	
GREAT LAKES PORTS			
Duluth-Superior	37,269	28,365	-23.9%
Two Harbors	6,428	7,501	16.7
Silver Bay	9,481	3,999	-57.8
Taconite Harbor	10,600	3,606	-66.0
MISSISSIPPI RIVER PORTS			
St. Paul	5,060	8,387	65.7
Minnesota River	4,315	5,819	34.9
Other Mississippi River			
Winona	NA	1,446	
Minneapolis	1,671	977	-41.5
Red Wing	NA	592	

SOURCES: MN Dept of Transportation, Railroads and Waterways Section US Army Corps of Engineers

- * More than two-thirds of all Minnsota waterborne commerce (measured in tons) goes through the Great Lakes, with Duluth-Superior accounting for nearly half the total.
- * Farm products constitute two-thirds of all tonnage at Mississippi River ports while iron ore accounts for most of the Great Lakes traffic.
- * The largest Mississippi River port is St. Paul, which handles fourteen percent of all waterborne traffic.
- * Great Lakes commerce has generally decreased since 1972 due to the decline of the iron ore mining industry, while the busiest Mississippi River ports have seen an increase in activity.

TABLE 4.5: MINNESOTA AGRICULTURAL COMMODITY SHIPMENTS BY DESTINATION AND MEANS






	METRIC TONS		CENT BY ME		
	(Thousands)	Water	Rail	Truck	
TOTAL	20,675	70%	26%	4%	
North Central	1,352	4	54	42	
Northeast	1,916	65	35	0	
South	47	0	100	0	
West	1,229	0	100	0	
Great Lakes Exports	6,695	98	1	1	
Gulf Exports	8,906	77	23	0	
East Coast Exports	530	0	94	6	

- SOURCE: MN Dept of Transportation, <u>Minnesota</u> <u>State</u> <u>Rail</u> <u>Plan</u> (1977 data used is latest available.)
- * Over seventy-five percent of the commodities shipped from Minnesota are bound directly for overseas markets through Great Lakes and Gulf ports.
- * Railroads account for roughly one-quarter of all commodities shipped, but are the primary means for those destined to East Coast ports and locations within the United States.
- * Due to the huge volume of shipments destined for international locations, water is the primary means of transporting commodities.
- * The Northeast is the major destination of Minnesota commodities remaining within the United States.
- * In 1977, trucking shipped only four percent of all commodities, primarily to other North Central states. Growth in this means has most likely occurred since then.

TABLE 4.6: TRANSPORTATION COSTS FOR MINNESOTA MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES COMPARED WITH THE U.S. MEAN



- SOURCE: Indiana University Center for Urban and Regional Analysis, <u>A Comparative</u> <u>Analysis</u> of <u>Interstate</u> <u>Variation</u> in <u>Manufacturing</u> <u>Industry</u> <u>Costs</u> (Transportation costs are derived by estimating how much a hypothetical firm in each industry spends to ship its products to likely destinations. Data is from the 1977 to 1982 period.)
- * Transportation costs for all Minnesota manufacturers run eleven percent below the national average, with durable goods industries tending to have a greater cost advantage than non-durables.
- * In all but one manufacturing industry (textiles), shipping costs are lower for Minnesota businesses than for the typical U.S. firm, with four enjoying a differential of at least twenty percent.

TABLE 4.7: VALUE OF MINNESOTA MANUFACTURED GOODS SHIPMENTS AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION BY MEANS OF TRANSPORT, 1977

	ALUE \$MIL)	RAIL	MOTOR I CARRIER	PRIVATE TRUCK	AIR & PARCEL	OTHER
All Industries 22	2,726	14.3%	49.4%	24.0%	7.7%	4.6%
22/23 Textiles and Apparel24Lumber and Wood25Furniture and Fixtures26Paper and Allied27Printing and Publishing28Chemicals and Allied29Petroleum and Coal30/31Rubber, Plastics and Leather32Stone, Clay and Glass33Primary Metals34Fabricated Metals35Non-electrical Machinery	304 807 167 1,017 1,134 810 1,393 443 914 490 1,278 3,714 1,694 997 478	21.3 3.0 42.9 4.2 32.7 0.0 21.6 0.5 0.0 0.8 0.6 0.2 5.5 6.8 49.2 9.6 19.2	52.6 31.6 23.2 75.4 51.4 36.7 68.6 19.5 28.9 65.3 35.5 56.9 59.1 53.4 36.4 44.8 60.6	22.8 23.7 33.7 19.8 13.6 34.2 8.9 43.7 53.3 32.8 60.6 38.8 17.4 8.7 10.9 12.6 8.4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 23.7\\ 0.2\\ 0.6\\ 0.4\\ 26.2\\ 0.6\\ 0.1\\ 15.6\\ 1.1\\ 1.4\\ 3.1\\ 15.7\\ 30.6\\ 0.1\\ 25.3\\ 9.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.2\\ 18.1\\ 0.0\\ 1.9\\ 2.9\\ 0.2\\ 36.2\\ 2.3\\ 0.0\\ 1.8\\ 0.9\\ 2.4\\ 0.6\\ 3.3\\ 7.7\\ 2.8\end{array}$

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, <u>Census of Transportation</u> (1977 is the latest data available on flows of goods. "Other" category includes water, pipelines and unknown means.)

- * The food products industry is by far the largest shipper of manufactured goods in Minnesota with more than thirty percent of total value.
- * Close to three-fourths of all manufactured goods are shipped over the road, mostly by motor carrier, but also by private truck.
- * Only a half-dozen industries use rail to any great extent. They are food, lumber, paper, chemicals, transportation equipment and miscellaneous products.
- * Virtually all industries rely on trucks to carry the majority of their products, with the single exception of transportation equipment.

- * While there is widespread use of motor carriers across industry lines, great variation exists in the prevalence of private trucks.
- * Industries using company-owned trucks to the greatest extent are primary metals, fabricated metals, petroleum/coal, printing/publishing and rubber/plastics/leather.
- * Only a few industries use air and parcel service to a large extent. These include electronic equipment, printing/publishing,instruments and textiles/apparel.
- * The petroleum and coal industry's use of pipelines and barges accounts for the majority of shipments in the "other" category.


TABLE 4.8: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF MINNESOTA MANUFACTURING SHIPMENTS BY DESTINATION, 1977

SIC	INDUSTRY	North Central	North East	SOUTH	WEST	UNKNOWN
	All Industries	62.2%	10.9%	12.9%	9.2%	4.7%
20 22/23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30/31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Food and Kindred Textiles and Apparel Lumber and Wood Furniture and Fixtures Paper and Allied Printing and Publishing Chemicals and Allied Petroleum and Coal Leather and Rubber Stone, Clay and Glass Primary Metals Fabricated Metals Nonelectrical Machinery Electronic Equipment Transportation Equipment Instruments Miscellaneous Goods	63.4 54.0 66.8 50.6 79.1 63.3 44.0 99.6 84.8 95.1 77.6 75.5 37.5 45.4 57.6 51.5 45.0	$13.8 \\ 4.7 \\ 19.4 \\ 9.0 \\ 6.1 \\ 9.1 \\ 11.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 3.5 \\ 1.1 \\ 3.5 \\ 10.1 \\ 14.4 \\ 14.4 \\ 14.4 \\ 14.2 \\ 14.2 \\ 14.2 \\ 17.9 \\ 17.9 \\ 19.1 \\ 19.1 \\ 10.1 \\ 1$	10.9 9.4 8.4 13.5 8.3 6.6 27.2 0.0 7.5 2.6 6.9 8.7 22.0 20.7 24.3 12.8 21.8	$\begin{array}{c} 6.0\\ 7.2\\ 5.2\\ 26.9\\ 3.4\\ 4.7\\ 17.3\\ 0.4\\ 4.0\\ 1.3\\ 9.5\\ 4.3\\ 19.9\\ 18.0\\ 10.4\\ 14.1\\ 11.8 \end{array}$	5.924.80.10.03.216.20.10.10.30.02.41.46.31.53.37.43.6

- SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, <u>Census of Transportation</u> (1977 is latest data available on goods flows. Final destinations of international exports are excluded from the data and shipments to other Minnesota businesses are part of North Central.)
- * Close to two-thirds of all manufactured goods shipments are destined for the North Central region, signifying a predominance of regional rather than national markets.
- * Only four industries ship more than half their products outside the North Central region. They include chemicals, non-electrical machinery (including computers as a large subcomponent), electronic equipment and miscellaneous goods.
- * Both Minnesota's petroleum/coal and stone/clay/glass industries ship ship virtually all of their output only to the North Central region.


- * In general, the Northeast, South and West regions of the U.S. receive roughly equal values of manufactured goods from Minnesota.
- * Minnesota ships a relatively high share of lumber and wood products to the Northeast, furniture and fixtures to the West and chemicals, machinery and transportation equipment to the Southern states.
- * Food products, the largest Minnesota industry in terms of value shipped, does not serve a national market to any greater extent than other manufacturers.

NATURAL AND CAPITAL RESOURCES



	BUILT-UP	CROPS	GRAZING	FUREST	COVER
United States	4.9%	28.2%	36.0%	26.3%	4.7%
Minnesota	4.3%	48.5%	8.0%	29.4%	9.8%
Minnesota Rank	28	6	40	28	7

- SOURCE: US Soil Conservation Service, <u>1982 Natural Resources Inventory</u> (The built-up category includes land used for residential, commercial, industrial and transportation purposes. Cover pertains to generally undeveloped land such as marshes, beaches, bare rock, and desert.)
- * Nearly half of Minnesota's land is devoted to crops, much greater than the national average of twentyeight percent.
- * Covering twenty-nine percent of Minnesota's area, forests are the second largest land use type in the state, with a share above the national average.
- * Minnesota ranks high among states in land used for crops, but low in that slated for grazing.
- * Built-up land is slightly less prevalent in Minnesota than in the nation.
- * Nearly ten percent of Minnesota's land consists of minor undeveloped uses, twice the U.S. average.



SOURCE: McGraw-Hill, Dodge Digest of Building Cost and Specifications

- * Of the fourteen Minnesota cities for which data is compiled, eleven have building costs below the national average.
- * Building costs are above average only in Minneapolis-St. Paul and those smaller communities in close proximity to this metropolitan area.
- * City size has little bearing on building costs in Minnesota; small cities can be found among both the lowest and highest estimates.
- * In all Minnesota localities, material costs are relatively higher than labor expenses. Labor costs are below the U.S. average in every instance.





TABLE 5.3: PERCENT OF RENEWABLE WATER SUPPLY AVAILABLE BY RESOURCE REGION, 1983

NE	New England	99%	LM	Lower Mississippi	91%
ΤN	Tennessee	99	AR	Arkansas-White-Red	84
GL	Great Lakes	98	TG	Texas-Gulf	75
MA	Mid-Atlantic	98	UC	Upper Colorado	71
OH	Ohio	98	MO	Missouri	69
SA	South Atlantic-Gulf	98	CA	California	66
UM	Upper Mississippi	97	GB	Great Basin	59
PN	Pacific Northwest	95	RG	Rio Grande	41
SR	Souris-Red-Rainy	92	LC	Lower Colorado	0

- SOURCES: US Geological Survey, <u>Water Supply</u> Paper 2250, "National Water Summary 1983"; <u>Circular 1001</u>, "Estimated Use of Water in the United States, 1980" (Data refers to the percentage of the renewable water supply in each water resource region which remains after consumption.)
- * Minnesota is served by four water resource regions: Upper Mississippi, Great Lakes, Souris-Red-Rainy, and Missouri.
- * Of Minnesota's four water basins, only the Missouri, serving a small corner of the state, has had more than ten percent of the renewable water supply consumed.
- * Consumption in the Upper Mississippi basin, which serves the largest area of Minnesota, takes up only three percent of the renewable water supply.
- * A sharp distinction in available water supply exists between the southwestern states, which consume more than twenty-five percent of this resource, and the rest of the U.S. including Minnesota.






TABLE 5.5: AVERAGE NATURAL GAS PRICES FOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL USERS, 1984

prices in the North Central states

range MMBtu. from \$3.97 to \$5.82 per

prices are highest in the Northeast

and California, and well above the

national average.




TABLE 5.6: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL ENERGY USE BY SOURCE, 1983

	NATURAL			HYDRO	NUCLEAR	
	PETROLEUM	GAS	COAL	POWER	POWER	
Minnesota	42.7%	20.7%	24.5%	1.3%	10.9%	
North Central	35.6	24.8	33.3	1.4	4.8	
United States	42.6	24.6	22.7	5.5	4.6	

SOURCE: US Energy Information Administration, <u>State Energy Data Report</u> (Coal category includes electricity imports.)

- * As the chief fuel for transportation uses, petroleum is Minnesota's and the nation's largest energy source, accounting for forty-three percent of total consumption.
- * With the exception of hydropower, a minor contributor, Minnesota's share of energy from each source is closer to that of the United States than that of the North Central region.
- * Used primarily in the generation of electricity, coal is Minnesota's second largest fuel source.
- * Despite being the primary source for residential and commercial users, the share of energy from natural gas is below the U.S. average.
- * Minnesota gets eleven percent of its total energy from nuclear power, double the share of both the U.S. and the North Central region.

TABLE 5.7: CHARACTERISTICS OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS, 1977 TO 1984

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN			PERCENT DISTRI INDUSTRY INVE		
	Minnesota	United States	М	innesota	United States
Canada United Kingdom West Germany Japan All Others	33% 17% 15% 12% 23%	18% 17% 11% 15% 41%	Manufacturing Trade Finance & Services Other Industries Real Property	35% 19% 13% 4% 29%	33% 15% 12% 14% 26%

PERCENT DISTRI BY TYPE OF INV	NUMBER OF TRANSACTIONS PER MILLION RESIDENTS			
		United		
М	innesota	States		
Acquisition/Merger	35%	28%	United States	30
Real Estate Purchase	31%	28%	Minnesota	13
Equity Increase	13%	4%	North Central	13
New Plant/Expansion	6%	14%	Northeast	37
Joint Venture	2%	4%	South	34
Other & Unknown	13%	21%	West	33

- SOURCE: US International Trade Administration, <u>Foreign Direct Investment in the</u> <u>United States</u> (Only transactions identified by this agency are included.)
- * Four nations account for over three-fourths of Minnesota's foreign investment: Canada, United Kingdom, West Germany and Japan.
- * One-third of foreign investments in Minnesota originate from Canada compared with only eighteen percent nationwide.
- * On a per million-residents basis, Minnesota and the North Central states experience less foreign investment than other regions of the United States.

- * Approximately one-third of total foreign investment is slated for the manufacturing sector.
- * In both Minnesota and the United States, most foreign investments are either acquisitions/mergers or real estate purchases.
- * Compared with the nation, Minnesota has a much larger share of its foreign investments going toward equity increases and acquisitions at the expense of plant expansions.




TABLE 5.8: DOMESTIC DEPOSITS PER CAPITA BY TYPE OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, 1983

SOURCES: US Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; US Federal Home Loan Bank Board; National Council of Savings Institutions; Credit Union National Association; US Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports

2.866

6,684

* Minnesota financial institutions have \$10,166 in deposits for every resident, slightly lower than the national average, but above that of the North Central region.

9,917

North Central

- * If New York, the nation's financial center, is excluded from the U.S. tally, the average per capita deposit drops to \$9,748, four percent below the Minnesota amount.
- * Three-fourths of all Minnesota deposits are housed in commercial banks compared with only two-thirds in the region and sixty-three percent nationally.

367

10

* After commercial banks, most of the remaining deposits in Minnesota reside in savings and loans institutions.

TABLE 5.9: COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL LOANS AND SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT CORPORATION (SBIC) FINANCING IN DOLLARS PER CAPITA, 1983


	Comm/ Loan & Ra	IS	SBIC FINANCING & RANK		Comm/I Loans & Ran	FINANCING
NORTHEAST New York Massachusetts Pennsylvania Connecticut Rhode Island New Jersey Vermont Maine New Hampshire	1,190 926 572	(2) (5) (8) (11) (15) (27) (44) (46)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NORTH CENTRAL Illinois MINNESOTA Michigan Ohio South Dakota Missouri Indiana Wisconsin North Dakota Kansas	\$2,406 (4 1,673 (10 1,126 (19 982 (23 942 (26 835 (31 835 (31 697 (39 576 (43 559 (45	3.70 (5) 1.60 (18) 0.90 (33) 0.60 (39) 0.20 (45) 1.20 (26) 1.90 (11) 0.00 (48)
SOUTH Delaware Texas	6,866 2,435	(1) (3)	0.50 (41) 4.70 (4)	Nebraska Iowa	509 (47 474 (48) 1.50 (22)
Oklahoma North Carolina Louisiana Virginia Maryland Georgia Tennessee Florida Kentucky Alabama Arkansas Mississippi South Carolina West Virginia	1,280 1,124 1,106 1,007 982 884 836 816 815 725 696 581	(14) (20) (21) (22) (23) (28) (30) (34) (35) (37) (40) (42) (49) (50)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.60 & (18) \\ 1.10 & (30) \\ 1.30 & (24) \\ 1.20 & (26) \\ 1.00 & (32) \\ 1.20 & (26) \\ 0.80 & (34) \\ 1.50 & (22) \\ 0.50 & (41) \\ 1.90 & (11) \\ 0.80 & (34) \\ 2.00 & (10) \\ 1.80 & (15) \\ 0.00 & (48) \end{array}$	WEST California Alaska Washington Arizona Hawaii Oregon Idaho Nevada Utah Colorado New Mexico Montana Wyoming	1,903 (6 1,694 (9 1,328 (12 1,299 (13 1,167 (16 1,139 (17 1,136 (18 952 (25 864 (29 830 (33 792 (36 705 (38 613 (41	

SOURCE: Data Resources Inc.; US Small Business Administration, SBIC Digest

- * Minnesota ranks tenth among states in total commercial and industrial loans per capita and fifth in small business loans financed by the SBIC.
- * Minnesota is the only state in the North Central region to rank in the top ten in both measures of financing activity.
- * All of Minnesota's neighbors rank in the bottom half of states in per capita underwriting of commercial and industrial loans.
- * The amount of small business financing through the SBIC in Minnesota is triple that of the median and ten times more than the lowest states.
- * Even on a per capita basis, the four largest states in the nation -- California, New York, Texas and Illinois -- are among the top half-dozen in commercial and industrial loan activity.

GOVERNMENT





- SOURCE: US Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, <u>Significant</u> <u>Features of Fiscal Federalism</u> (Other taxes include excise, gambling, severance and motor fuels. Miscellaneous charges include licenses, liquor sales and utility fees.)
- * Minnesota governments derive 58.2 percent of their total revenue from taxes, slightly less than the average for all states.
- * Property, sales and corporate income taxes all contribute less to state and local revenue in Minnesota than in most other states.
- * Minnesota relies upon the individual income tax for nineteen percent of its general revenue, more than any other tax source.
- * Minnesota's reliance on federal aid for revenue is lower than the fifty-state average. A relatively greater share of funds comes from earnings and miscellaneous charges.




TABLE 6.2: MINNESOTA STATE AND LOCAL TAX REVENUE AS A PERCENT OF PERSONAL INCOME, 1978 TO 1986

- SOURCES: US Census Bureau, <u>Governmental Finances</u> State of Minnesota, <u>Economic Report to the Governor</u> (Projections made by Minnesota Dept of Revenue for 1985 and 1986.)
- * For 1986, total Minnesota state and local tax revenue as a share of personal income is expected to be 12.16 percent, down from 14.38 percent in 1984.
- * Individual income tax collections as a percent of personal income peaked in 1984 due in part to a temporary tax surcharge and higher rates in effect at that time.
- * The share of Minnesota's personal income going to individual income taxes is expected to decline thirty percent between 1984 and 1986, to its lowest level in recent times.
- * In addition to the dramatic decline anticipated in individual income tax revenue by 1986, other tax collections as a percent of personal income (primarily property and sales) are not projected to increase.





TABLE 6.3: STATE & LOCAL CORPORATE INCOME TAX COLLECTIONS PER \$1,000 OF PERSONAL INCOME, 1979 TO 1984

SOURCES: US Bureau of the Census, <u>Governmental Finances</u> US Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business

- * Minnesota's corporate income tax collections per \$1,000 of personal income are lower than the U.S. average.
- * Minnesota corporate income tax revenue as a share of personal income reached its peak in 1979, forty-six percent above the national average. Since that time, it has been cut nearly in half.
- * One half of one percent of all personal income generated in Minnesota is collected through the state corporate income tax.
- * In just three years, Minnesota's rank among states that impose a corporate income tax fell from third to fourteenth, where it currently stands.

TABLE 6.4: STATE AND LOCAL TAXES COLLECTED PER \$1,000 OF PERSONAL INCOME, 1984



^{**} Pertains to tax level before ten percent surtax was removed and prior to additional seventeen percent tax cut effective in 1985.

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, <u>Governmental Finances</u> (Other taxes include excise, motor fuels, severence and gambling.)

- * Of all Minnesota taxes, the individual income tax takes the largest share of personal income. Since the data was collected, Minnesota has reduced its personal income tax by twenty-five percent.
- * In collecting only \$22.75 for every \$1,000 of personal income generated, Minnesota's sales tax is lower than that of other states which collect \$25.06 on average.
- * Minnesota is one of only six states to exempt clothing from its general sales tax.
- * Minnesota's property taxes collected per \$1,000 of personal income are slightly higher than the national average.
- * Minnesota collects slightly more than the national average in other taxes, many of which are imposed on non-residents.


TABLE 6.5: REAL ESTATE TAX COLLECTIONS AS A PERCENT OF MARKET VALUE, 1981

	AVERAGE TAX RATE	Rank		AVERAGE TAX RATE	Rank
U.S. AVERAGE	1.36%				
NORTHEAST New York Massachusetts Rhode Island New Jersey New Hampshire Connecticut Maine Vermont Pennsylvania SOUTH Maryland Virginia Texas Georgia Tennessee West Virginia Kentucky Mississippi South Carolina	3.29 2.81 2.52 2.35 2.10 1.74 1.67 1.62 1.58 1.35 1.21 1.19 1.17 1.13 1.12 1.02 1.02 1.01	 (1) (2) (4) (6) (12) (14) (15) (16) (20) (24) (26) (27) (29) (30) (33) (35) 	NORTH CENTRAL Michigan Illinois Indiana Wisconsin Kansas Ohio Nebraska Missouri Iowa South Dakota MINNESOTA North Dakota WEST Alaska Wyoming Montana Oregon Utah Arizona	2.55 1.92 1.78 1.71 1.53 1.45 1.42 1.27 1.22 1.21 1.17 1.11 2.45 2.24 1.97 1.22 1.04 0.97	<pre>(3) (10) (11) (13) (17) (18) (19) (21) (22) (25) (27) (31) (5) (7) (9) (22) (32) (36)</pre>
North Carolina Florida Louisiana Arkansas Delaware Oklahoma Alabama	0.95 0.80 0.76 0.74 0.73 0.70 0.66	(38) (43) (44) (45) (45) (46) (47) (49)	Washington Colorado Nevada Idaho California New Mexico Hawaii	0.96 0.93 0.89 0.84 0.84 0.67 0.41	(37) (39) (40) (41) (41) (48) (50)

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, <u>Governmental Finances</u>; <u>Census of Governments</u>, <u>Taxable Property Values and Assessment Sales Price Ratios</u>

- * On average, 1.17 percent of a Minnesota property's market value is collected in real estate taxes compared with 1.36 percent nationwide.
- * Minnesota's real estate tax collections as a percent of market value, the effective tax rate, is fourteen percent below the average for all states.
- * Of the twelve North Central states, Minnesota has the second lowest tax rate on real property, and is one of only five to be below the national average in this measure.
- * The Northeast has the highest real estate taxes in the United States with all of its nine states registering rates above the national average, while all the Southern states are below the mean.





- SOURCE: US Chamber of Commerce, <u>Analysis of Workers'</u> <u>Compensation Laws</u> (Measure indicates maximum weekly claim that has to be paid for permanent and temporary total disability.)
- * In 1984, Minnesota law stipulated a maximum weekly payment amount of \$313.00 under workers' compensation insurance.
- * The maximum weekly workers' compensation payment in Minnesota is fourteen percent above the national mean of \$273.75, but below the average of \$317.74 for states in the Great Lakes region. (Includes states not shown above.)
- * Minnesota's maximum weekly workers' compensation payment is lower than three of the neighboring states: Illinois, Iowa and Michigan.
- * All but one of the featured states allow for maximum workers' compensation payments above the national average.
- * Regional states which are high in maximum allowable benefits tend to have a large share of their employment in manufacturing industries.

TABLE 6.7: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE INDICATORS, 1983

	MINNESOTA	UNITED STATES
Overall Unemployment Rate	8.2%	9.6%
Unemployment Rate for Insured Unemployed	3.1%	3,9%
Insured Unemployed as a Percent of Total Unemployed	38%	41%
Average Duration of Claims (in Weeks)	17.0	17.5
Average Weekly Wage in Covered Employment	\$329.05	\$335.07
Average Weekly Benefits Collected by Claimants	\$140.77	\$123.59
Average Weekly Benefit as a Percent of Average Weekly Wage	42.8%	37.2%
Average Annual Benefits Paid per Covered Worker	\$233.53	\$250.02
Total Benefits Paid as a Percent of Total Wages	1.36%	1.43%
Average Employer Tax Rate (Taxes as a Percent of Total Wages)	1.16%	1.20%

SOURCE: US Employment and Training Administration, <u>Handbook of Unemployment</u> <u>Insurance Financial Data</u>

- * A variety of indicators on the unemployment insurance system reveals that Minnesota is comparable to the United States average in most respects.
- * Roughly forty percent of all unemployed workers in 1983 received unemployment compensation, both nationally and in Minnesota.
- * A smaller share of workers received benefits in Minnesota and less was paid out per worker, principally because the state's unemployment rate was lower than the nation's.

- * Unemployed Minnesota workers receiving benefits took in \$17.18 more weekly than those in the rest of the country, but collected for a shorter period of time.
- * On average, Minnesota's unemployed received 42.8 percent of their previous wage, compared 37.2 percent for unemployed workers nationally.
- * In 1983, the average employer tax rate was slightly lower in Minnesota than in the United States as a whole.




TABLE 6.8: STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES IN DOLLARS PER CAPITA, 1984

U.S. PERCENT MINNESOTA AVERAGE DIFFERENCE

EDUCATION (local and higher education, libraries)	\$904.70	\$755.94	19.7%
SOCIAL SERVICES (public welfare, health) TRANSPORTATION (highways, air transportation)	610.53 274.00	470.99 182.51	29.6 50.1
PUBLIC SAFETY (police, fire, corrections)	132.68	162.51	-18.7
ENVIRONMENT (sewerage, housing, parks, resources)	193.59	154.82	25.0
ADMINISTRATION (financial, general, buildings)	127.97	111.60	14.7
INTEREST ON DEBT OTHER GENERAL EXPENDITURES	173.74	$121.51 \\ 170.52$	43.0 13.7
UTTER GENERAL ERPENDITURES	193.80	170.52	13.7

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, Governmental Finances

- * Education is the largest recipient of state and local government expenditures in Minnesota, accounting for more than a third of the total.
- * Minnesota governments spend twenty percent more per capita on education than the national average.
- * Minnesota spends fifty percent more per capita on transportation than the average of other states.
- * Public safety is the only service in which Minnesota spends less per capita than the U.S. average, presumably because of its lower crime rate and prison population.
- * Although Minnesota's per capita spending on administration is higher, the share of total expenditures its governments devote to this function is less than the national average.

TABLE 6.9: BUSINESS INCENTIVE PROGRAMS AVAILABLE IN MINNESOTA AND TOTAL NUMBER OF OTHER STATES WITH SIMILAR PROGRAMS, 1985

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR INDUSTRY

State Sponsored Industrial Development Authority (37) Privately Sponsored Development Credit Corporation (36) State Authority or Agency Revenue Bond Financing (40) State Authority or Agency General Obligation Bond Financing (12) Loans for Building Construction, Equipment, Machinery (39) State Financing Aid for Existing Plant Expansion (38) State Matching Funds for Local Industrial Financing Programs (14) Incentives for Establishing Plants in Areas of High Unemployment (31)

TAX INCENTIVES FOR INDUSTRY

Corporate Income Tax Exemption (30) Personal Income Tax Exemption (23) Excise Tax Exemption or Moratorium on Land, Capital Improvements (33) Tax Exemption or Moratorium on Equipment, Machinery (33) Inventory Tax Exemption on Goods in Transit (46) Tax Exemption on Manufacturers' Inventories (42) Sales/Use Tax Exemption on New Equipment (41) Tax Exemption on Raw Materials Used in Manufacturing (44) Tax Incentive for Creation of Jobs (29) Tax Credits for Use of Specified State Products (4) Tax Exemption to Encourage Research and Development (21) Accelerated Depreciation of Industrial Equipment (33)

SPECIAL SERVICES FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

Government Financed Speculative Building (28) Free Land for Industry Provided by Cities and/or Counties (20) State Funds for Local Development-Related Public Works Projects (43) State Funds for City and/or County Master Plans (29) State Funds for City and/or County Recreational Projects (40) State Funds for Private Recreational Projects (8) State Program to Promote Research & Development (42) State Program to Increase Exports of Products (49) State Supported Training of "Hard-Core" Unemployed (42) State Incentive to Industry to Train "Hard-Core" Unemployed (33) State Help in Bidding on Federal Procurement Contracts (39) State Science and/or Technology Advisory Council (44)

STATE INCENTIVES FOR POLLUTION CONTROL

Real Property Tax Exemption (35) Credit Against Corporate Income Tax (15) Accelerated Depreciation of Pollution Control Equipment (31) State Financing Program for Equipment Purchase and Installation (47)

SOURCE: Industrial Development and Site Selection Handbook, Oct 1985

- * More than three dozen incentives are offered to Minnesota businesses: financial incentives, tax exemptions and special services.
- * Eight of the listed incentives are available in less than half of the nation's states.

and the second second


LABOR


TABLE 7.1:	LABOR FORCE	PARTICIPATIO	on rate and I	PERCENT	DISTRIBUTION OF
	THE LABOR FO	DRCE BY AGE,	RACE AND SET	X, 1984	

	PARTICIPATION RATE			PERCENT DISTRIBUTION			
	United States	North Central	Minnesota	United States	North Central	Minnesota	
TOTAL	64.4	65.2	71.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Male	76.4	77.0	80.9	56.2	56.3	55.7	
Female	53.6	54.5	62.7	43.8	43.7	44.3	
White	64.6	65.7	71.9	86.7	91.1	96.9	
Nonwhite	62.6	60.8	66.0	13.3	8.9	3.1	
Teen	53.9	58.3	69.3	7.0	7.7	8.6	
Adult	65.3	65.9	72.0	93.0	92.3	91.4	

- SOURCE: US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Geographic</u> <u>Profile</u> <u>of</u> <u>Employment</u> <u>and</u> <u>Unemployment</u> (Labor force participation rate is that percentage of the population aged sixteen and over that is employed or seeking work.)
- * Seventy-two percent of Minnesota's working-age population is in the labor force compared with roughly sixty-five percent in the nation and region.
- * Minnesota's labor force participation rate is significantly higher than that of both the United States and the North Central region for all groups.
- * Sixty-nine percent of all Minnesota teens are in the labor force compared with only fifty-four percent in the nation.
- * The labor force participation rate of females in Minnesota is nine percentage points higher than that of the United States.


- * The labor force participation rate of non-white workers in Minnesota is six percent higher than the nation's and nine percent higher than the region's.
- * Nonwhites make up only 3.1 percent of the total labor force in Minnesota compared with 8.9 percent in the North Central region and 13.3 percent in the United States.
- * Due to higher participation rates, females compose a larger share of the total labor force in Minnesota than is found in the nation or region.
- * Teens represent 8.6 percent of the Minnesota work force, a much larger portion than the 7.0 percent share held by teens nationwide.






- * The largest share of Minnesota's labor force is in service occupations, followed by clerical, professional, and craft workers.
- * Minnesota's share is higher than the U. S. average in four occupational groups: professional, technical, service and agricultural.
- * The widest disparity in occupational distribution between Minnesota and the U.S. is the state's prevalence of agricultural workers.
- * Of the blue collar occupations, only machine operators, fabricators and assemblers are much less common in Minnesota than in the nation.





SOURCES: MN Dept of Jobs & Training, LAUS program data US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Employment and Earnings</u>

- * Minnesota's unemployment rate remained one to two percentage points below that of the United States from 1978 to 1985.
- * The unemployment rate in the Twin Cities metropolitan area stayed well below both the Minnesota and U.S. measure over the period.
- * The Northeast region of Minnesota experienced the state's highest unemployment, with double-digit rates in five of the eight years.
- * Of the four outstate areas, the Southeast was the only one with an unemployment rate below the national average over the entire period.



- SOURCE: US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Geographic</u> <u>Profile</u> <u>of</u> <u>Employment</u> <u>and</u> <u>Unemployment</u> (Data derived from separate survey, so rate for all occupations may be inconsistant with official unemployment rate.)
- * Professional, technical and managerial workers had the lowest unemployment rates in both Minnesota and the United States.
- * The unemployment rate was higher in Minnesota than in the U.S. for only three occupations: managers, fabricators and material movers.
- * Service workers experienced the lowest unemployment rate in Minnesota relative to the nation.
- * Material movers include mining equipment operators, which may explain Minnesota's high absolute and relative unemployment rates for this group.

	MINNESOTA	UNITED STATES
Total Private Non-agricultural	\$16,035	\$16,511
Total Private Non-agricultural Agriculture Services Mining Metal Mining Construction Manufacturing Food Products Paper & Allied Products Printing & Publishing Fabricated Metals Non-electrical Machinery Electrical Machinery Instruments Transportation & Public Utilities Trucking Communications Electric & Gas Utilities Wholesale Trade Retail Trade General Merchandise Stores Eating & Drinking Places Finance, Insurance, Real Estate Banking Insurance Carriers Services Business Health	\$16,035 10,756 29,752 30,958 19,697 22,263 18,241 23,484 18,695 22,781 23,942 19,445 23,826 21,843 18,239 23,107 26,683 20,424 8,334 7,064 4,779 19,429 16,336 20,889 12,421 13,130 14,686	\$16,511 10,679 27,748 28,993 18,807 20,724 17,765 22,261 18,325 20,062 22,530 21,076 22,444 22,756 18,988 24,823 26,111 20,324 9,215 8,395 5,631 19,461 16,868 19,446 14,135 14,562 16,312
Legal Social Miscellaneous Professional	21,594 8,012 19,060	24,107 8,065 22,491
		-

- SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, <u>County Business Patterns</u> (Annual average wages per employee is defined as total annual payroll (first quarter payroll annualized) divided by the number of employees during the week of March 12th. It does not correct for number of part-time or seasonal workers employed throughout the year.)
- * Minnesota's annual average wages per employee are slightly lower than the U.S. average.
- * The mining, construction and manufacturing sectors in Minnesota have higher average wages than the U.S. while pay in transportation, retail trade and services is lower.
- * Minnesota contains both high- and low-paying manufacturing industries among the seven major ones cited.

* The average annual wages paid per employee in certain retail trade and service industries is ten to fifteen percent lower in Minnesota than in the nation.

SIC	INDUSTRY	MINNESOTA	UNITED STATES
	All Manufacturing	\$10.05	\$9.52
	- adjusted for industry mix	9.59	9.52
20	Food & Kindred Products	8.45	8.55
22	Textiles	7.11	6.70
23	Apparel	5.79	5.72
24	Lumber & Wood Products	11.44	8.18
25	Furniture & Fixtures	9.52	7.19
26	Paper & Allied Products	11.76	10.82
27	Printing & Publishing	10.47	9.69
28	Chemicals	10.43	11.57
29	Petroleum & Coal	13.14	14.04
30/31	Rubber, Plastics & Leather	8.36	8.04
32	Stone, Clay & Glass	10.39	9.82
33	Primary Metals	9.27	11.68
34	Fabricated Metals	11.08	9.66
35	Non-electrical Machinery	10.68	10.29
36	Electrical Machinery	7.89	9.47
37	Transportation Equipment	11.68	12.70
38	Instruments	9.84	9.19
39	Miscellaneous Manufacturing	9.29	7.28


SOURCES: MN Dept of Jobs & Training, ES-790 program data US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Employment and Earnings</u> (Hourly wages are adjusted for industry mix by taking the average of all two-digit industries' hourly wages weighted by the U.S. employment level in each industry.)

- * After correcting for the mix of industries, the Minnesota-to-U.S. wage differential in manufacturing narrows from more than five to less than one percent.
- * Textiles, apparel and electrical machinery are the lowest paying manufacturing industries in Minnesota, with hourly rates slightly above, close to and below the national average, respectively.
- * The four highest paying industries in Minnesotaare petroleum, paper products, transportation equipment and lumber and wood products.

* Among the six highest paying industries nationally, Minnesota offers lower wage rates in four of them: primary metals, transportation equipment, chemicals and petroleum.

.....

- * The two largest manufacturing industries in Minnesota, nonelectrical machinery and food products, both have wage rates within four percent of their corresponding national averages.
- * The largest U.S. industry, electrical machinery, commands hourly wages in Minnesota that are twenty percent below those found throughout the rest of the country.



- dollar of payroll, a measure of nation contain states that place both high and low in this measure.
 - * Minnesota ranks fourth among the twelve North Central states in this measure of labor productivity.

labor productivity.

* Minnesota's value added in the


manufacturing sector is twelve

percent above the national average.

TABLE 7.8: INDICATORS OF UNION MEMBERSHIP

	data Year	MINNESOTA	North Central	UNITED STATES
Total Union Membership	1980	463,000	6,943,000	22,811,000
as a Percent of Payroll Employment	1980	26.2%	29.4%	25.2%
Union Membership in Manufacturing	1984	96,000	2,153,000	4,892,000
as a Percent of Mfg Employment	1984	25.7%	39.0%	20.1%
Percent Change in Manufacturing Union Membership 1982	2-1984	-9.3%	-7.8%	-5.3%
AFL-CIO Membership	1984	181,000	NA	13,265,000
as a Percent of Payroll Employment	1984	9.9%	NA	14.1%

- SOURCES: US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Directory of National Unions and Emp-</u> loyee <u>Associations</u>; Alexander Grant & Co., <u>General Manufacturing</u> <u>Climates</u>; US and MN AFL-CIO (unpublished estimates of membership shares)
- * Depending on the measure and time frame used, union membership in Minnesota ranges anywhere from ten to twenty-six percent of total employment.
- * The last comprehensive tabulation of unionization, published in 1980, showed Minnesota with total union membership of 96,000 representing twenty-six percent of all employees on payrolls.
- * The proportion of all employees belonging to unions in Minnesota is just one percentage point above the U.S. average, but three points below that of the North Central states.
- * According to recent estimates, roughly one-quarter of Minnesota's manufacturing employees belonged to unions compared with twenty percent nationally and almost forty percent in the North Central area.
- * From 1982 to 1984, Minnesota's manufacturing union membership dropped by more than nine percent, despite employment growth of eight percent in this sector.
- * Union membership in manufacturing has decreased faster in Minnesota than in either the nation or the North Central region.
- * Less than ten percent of all Minnesota workers are members of the AFL-CIO, compared with fourteen percent nationally.



	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	AVERAGE
Minnesota	.09	.11	.18	.12	.34	.18	.13	.13	.27	.17
United States	.16	.24	.16	.19	.17	.17	.15	.14	.11	.17

SOURCE: US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Handbook</u> of <u>Labor</u> <u>Statistics</u> (Includes all work stoppages involving six or more employees. Data series discontinued after 1981.)

- * Time lost due to work stoppages constitutes on average less than two-tenths of one percent of all working time, both nationally and in Minnesota.
- * During the nine years from 1973 to 1981, Minnesota experienced less time idled than the U.S. average in five of them.
- * There is no difference in the nine year work stoppage averages of the United States and Minnesota despite two years (1977, 1981) where the state figure was much higher.
- * Time idled in these two errant years is due predominantly to work stoppages affecting the airlines industry in 1977 and communications workers in 1981.

TABLE 7.10: HEALTH CARE COST FACTORS


	YEAR	MINNESOTA	UNITED STATES
Average Daily Hospital Room Charge	1985	\$188	\$212
Average Cost per Day in Hospital	1983	\$299	\$369
Average Cost per Hospital Stay	1983	\$2,743	\$2,789
Hospital Occupancy Rate	1983	73.2%	76.1%
Hospital Beds per 100,000 People	1983	702	577
Physicians per 100,000 People	1982	196	191
Health Maintenance Organizations:			
Enrollment	1983	672,011	-
Enrollment as a Percent of Population	1983	16.2%	-
Average Cost per Enrollee per Month	1983	\$46.50	


SOURCES: Health Insurance Assoc. of America, <u>Sourcebook</u> of <u>Health</u> <u>Insurance</u> <u>Data</u> American Hospital Assoc., <u>Hospital</u> <u>Statistics</u> American Medical Assoc., <u>Distribution</u> of <u>Physicians</u> in the U.S. MN Dept of Health, <u>Statistical</u> <u>Report</u> of <u>Health</u> <u>Maintenance</u> Organization Operations in Minnesota

- * Hospital care costs in Minnesota are lower than the national average under a variety of cost measures.
- * Average daily room charges at Minnesota hospitals are ten percent lower than the U.S. average.
- * Minnesota's average daily hospital costs are more than seventy dollars lower than those in the United States at large.
- * Lower hospital occupancy rates in Minnesota than in the United States exert a greater pressure for competition among hospitals in costcutting measures.

- * Access to health care in Minnesota surpasses that of the nation as indicated by the higher rate of both hospital beds and physicians in the state.
- * As early as 1983, health maintenance organizations were a vital component of the state's medical care system, with 16.2 percent of the population enrolled.
- * Rough estimates put current Minnesota enrollment in health maintenance organizations at forty percent of the population.


EDUCATION





- * States with the highest level of high school graduates are located in the nation's northwest quadrant.
- * Minnesota has a greater share of workers with high school educations than its neighbors.





One to Three Years	17.1%	14.7%	15.7%
Four Years	17.4%	14.7%	16.2%
Total	34.5%	29.4%	31.9%

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, Census of Population

- * More than one-third of Minnesota's population aged twenty-five and older has education beyond high school.
- * The share of the adult population with post-secondary education is higher in Minnesota than in both the United States States and the North Central region.
- * Like the nation and region, Minnesota has roughly equal proportions of adults which have one to three years of schooling beyond high school and those which have four years or more (a college degree).
- * Minnesota is ahead of the nation and the North Central region in both degreed and non-degreed persons with post-secondary training.

71

TABLE 8.4: TOTAL SAT SCORE ADJUSTED FOR NUMBER OF TEST TAKERS, 1982

			USTED CORE		ACTUAL SCORE	ADJUSTED SCORE
UNITED S	STATES	893		25 Maine 26 Pennsylvania	890 885	900 899
1 New Hamp	shire	925		27 California	899	897
2 Washingt	con		939 2	27 New Mexico	997	897
3 Iowa	1,	088		29 Rhode Island	877	896
4 Montana				30 Florida	889	891
5 Colorado				30 Wyoming	1,017	891
6 Wisconsi				32 Missouri	975	889
7 MINNESO	ra 1,			32 New Jersey	869	889
7 Vermont		904		34 Idaho	995	887
9 Kansas				84 Michigan	973	887
9 Nebraska	,	,045		36 Utah	1,022	884
11 Connecti				37 Oklahoma	1,001	875
11 North Da	,	.068		38 Indiana	860	872
13 New York				39 Nevada	917	870
14 Illinois	5			10 Kentucky	985	868
15 Alaska				10 Hawaii	857	868
16 Oregon		908		12 Arkansas	999	861
17 Massachu				13 West Virginia	968	860
18 South Da				l4 Texas	868	859
19 Tennesse				15 Louisiana	975	849
20 Delaware		897		16 Alabama	964	847
20 Maryland	1			17 North Carolina	827	838
20 Ohio				8 Mississippi	988	837
20 Virginia	l			8 Georgia	823	837
24 Arizona		981	901 5	60 South Carolina	790	802

- SOURCE: <u>Harvard Educational Review</u>, Nov '84, "Variations in State SAT Performance: Meaningful or Misleading?" (SAT refers to the Statistical Aptitude Test required of entering freshmen at certain universities. Due to high correlation between number of test takers and SAT scores, each score was adjusted by adding the residual between it and the expected score [derived via regression] to the national average.)
- * Seven percent of Minnesota high school graduates take the SAT and score an average of 1,028 out of 1,600 points on the math and verbal portions combined.
- * After adjusting for the low number of Minnesota graduates who take the SAT, their total score comes out thirty-three points above the national average.
- * Minnesota ranks seventh among all states in SAT scores after the adjustment for test-taker volume is taken into account.
- * States with the highest test scores are scattered throughout the northern portion of the United States, while the lowest ones are in the South.

TABLE 8.5: MOST COMMON PROGRAMS OFFERED AT MINNESOTA VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL INSTITUTES WITH NUMBER OF SCHOOLS INVOLVED, 1985-1986 SCHOOL YEAR

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

SERVICES

Farm Operation & Management12Sheep Business Management4Agric. Supplies, Sales & Service6Agricultural Equipment Mechanics7Horticulture & Landscaping6Forest Harvesting & Management5	Child Care & Guidance Service Apparel Design & Production Building Care & Maintenance Cosmetology Food Service TECHNOLOGY & TRADE	5 4 3 8 22
BUSINESS & OFFICEFashion Merchandising7Financial Services Marketing3General Retail Merchandising7Interior Design3Professional & Industrial Sales4Travel Services Marketing4Marketing Management14Small Business Management23Accounting/Bookkeeping30Banking & Finance5Data Processing6Computer Programming4Data Entry Equipment Operation3General Secretarial26Clerk-Typist15Legal Secretarial13Medical Secretarial13Business Administrative Management3ALLIED HEALTH21Dental Assistant9Surgical Technology6Practical Nursing21Nurse Assistant9Surgical Technology4Occupational Therapy Assistant3Medical Records/Health Unit Coord.6Respiratory Therapy3Human Services Technician5Medical Assistant Education4	Architectural Drafting Civil/Highway Technician Electronics Technology Radio & Television Repair Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Business Machine Repair Robotics Chemical Laboratory Technician Mechanical Drafting Fluid Power Technician Telecommunications Systems Tech. HVAC Technology Major Appliance Repair Automotive Body Repair Auto Mechanics Parts Sales & Service Motorcycle Mechanics Auto Machinist Aircraft Mechanics Commercial Art Carpentry Construction Electrician Plumbing Heavy Equipment Maintenance Truck/Diesel Mechanics Electrical Linework Graphic & Printing Communications Machine Shop Operations Welding Small Engine Mechanics Millwork & Cabinetmaking Industrial Maintenance & Repair Truck Driving	$\begin{matrix} 10 & 4 \\ 17 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \\ 18 & 7 \\ 7 & 4 \\ 17 \\ 9 & 3 \\ 3 & 7 \\ 18 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 16 \\ 19 \\ 23 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5$

SOURCE: MN State Board of Vocational Technical Education

- * Minnesota has thirty-three publicly run vocational-technical institutes located throughout the state.
- * All seventy-five programs listed above are offered in at least three and up to thirty separate locations.




TABLE 8.6: AVERAGE UNITS OF MICROCOMPUTERS PER BUILDING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS, 1984


* None of Minnesota's neighbors are above the national average in personal computers per school.

percent above the national average.

	C	VERALL RANKING			OVERALL RANKING
UNITED STATES	14.0	-			
NORTHEAST Connecticut Massachusetts New Jersey New Hampshire New York	23.2 22.9 18.9 15.8 15.1	1 2 7 9 10	NORTH CENTRAL MINNESOTA Ohio Michigan Illinois Missouri	14.8 14.5 13.8 13.3 12.8	11 13 19 22 25
Vermont Pennsylvania Rhode Island Maine	14.2 13.9 11.3 9.6	16 17 31 38	Wisconsin Indiana Kansas Iowa North Dakota	12.3 10.6 10.4 9.8 9.4	26 31 33 36 41
SOUTH Delaware Maryland Virginia	21.0 20.5 14.3	4 5 14	Nebraska South Dakota WEST	8.9 7.5	42 47
Texas Oklahoma Tennessee Alabama Georgia	13.9 11.7 10.5 10.3 9.8	18 29 32 34 36	Colorado Washington California New Mexico Utah	22.5 19.2 18.5 14.7 14.3	3 6 8 12 14
North Carolina Louisiana South Carolina Florida West Virginia Kentucky Mississippi Arkansas	9.6 9.5 8.3 8.0 7.7 7.0 6.4 6.0	38 40 43 45 46 48 49 50	Wyoming Hawaii Oregon Alaska Idaho Arizona Montana Nevada	13.6 13.4 13.3 13.1 12.3 12.0 9.9 8.2	20 21 22 24 26 28 35 44

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

- * Minnesota ranks eleventh among all states in the number of scientists and engineers per thousand residents.
- * Within the North Central region, Minnesota is the leader in the relative abundance of scientists and engineers.
- * Only two states in the North Central region (Minnesota is one of them) and sixteen altogether are above the national average in this measure.
- * Seven of the top ten states in scientists and engineers per thousand residents are located along the North Atlantic seaboard.
- * Of the fifteen states with less than ten scientists and engineers per thousand residents, nine are in the South.
- * All of Minnesota's neighbors are in the bottom half of the rankings in this factor.



- * Minnesota ranks fifth among states with 217 patents issued for every million residents.
- * The rate of patents issued in Minnesota is more than double that of its southern and western neighbors.
- * Inventions are concentrated in the Northeast and Great Lakes regions of the country, and low in the South and Great Plains areas.
- * Minnesota leads its region in patents issued per million residents.

QUALITY OF LIFE

	data Year	MINNESOTA	NORTH CENTRAL	UNITED STATES
Percent of Population Born in State Where Now Residing	1980	74.9	71.8	63.9
Percent of Population Residing in Same State Five Years Earlier	1980	92.7	93.0	90.2
Percent of Population Residing in Same House Five Years Earlier	1980	55.6	55.4	53.6
Percent of Year-Round Housing Units That Are Owner-Occupied	1980	71.7	68.8	64.4
Labor Turnover in Manufacturing: Total Separations per 100 Employees	1980	3.8	na	3.9
Divorce Rate (Per 1,000 Population)	1982	3.7	4.6	5.0
Percent of Population That Are Members of a Religious Faith	1984	65.7	54.1	51.8

SOURCES: US Bureau of the Census, <u>Census of Population and Housing</u> US National Center for Health Statistics, <u>Vital Statistics of the U.S.</u> US Dept of Commerce, <u>Statistical Abstract of the United States</u> US Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Handbook of Labor</u> <u>Statistics</u> (Labor turnover series discontinued after 1980.)

- * Minnesota fares better than the United States in each of the measures of resident stability.
- * Minnesota leads the North Central region in all stability measures except the percent residing in the same state five years earlier.
- * Three-fourths of all Minnesotans were born in the state, and over half have not moved from their residence in the last five years.
- * Sixty-six percent of Minnesota's population are members of a religious faith, considerably higher than the fifty-four percent for the North Central region and the fiftytwo percent found in the United States.

- * A higher proportion of Minnesota housing units are owner-occupied than in either the North Central region or the United States.
- * At 3.7 per thousand, Minnesota's divorce rate is substantially below the national and regional averages of 5.0 and 4.6, respectively.
- * Data over the five-year period 1976 to 1980 (approximately one business cycle) indicates that labor turnover is slightly lower in Minnesota than in the United States.
- * On average, only 3.8 percent of Minnesota's manufacturing workers terminate employment in the course of a year for either voluntary or involuntary reasons.

TABLE 9.2: DEATH RATES BY CAUSE OF DEATH, 1982

		H RATE 100,000)	PERC DISTRI	CENT IBUTION	LEADING AGE GROUPS AFFECTED
	US	MN	US	MN	
TOTAL	852.0	798.6	100.0	100.0	
Heart Disease	326.0	298.0	38.3	37.3	50+
Malignant Neoplasms (Cancers)	187.2	174.0	22.0	21.8	35+
Cerebrovascular (Strokes)	68.0	73.1	8.0	9.2	65+
Accidents	40.6	35.7	4.8	4.5	15-49
Obstructive Pulmonary	25.8	23.4	3.0	2.9	A11
Pneumonia & Influenza	21.1	25.6	2.5	3.2	75+
Diabetes	14.9	13.5	1.7	1.7	65-74
Suicide	12.2	11.4	1.4	1.4	15+
Chronic Liver Disease	11.9	8.1	1.4	1.0	35-64
Arteriosclerosis	11.6	14.4	1.4	1.8	75+
Homicide	9.6	2.4	1.1	0.3	20-34
Other	123.1	119.0	14.4	14.9	

- SOURCE: US National Center for Health Statistics, <u>Vital Statistics of the</u> <u>United States: Mortality</u>
- * The average life expectancy for Minnesotans is 76.2 years, compared with the U.S.average of 73.9, ranking Minnesota second among states.
- * As suggested by its longer life expectancy, Minnesota's overall death rate is six percent lower than the United States average.
- * The three leading causes of death heart disease, cancer and stroke account for more than two-thirds of the total in both the United States and Minnesota.
- * Minnesota's death rate exceeds the U.S. average in only three of the ten leading causes of death: stroke (number 3), pneumonia & influenza (6), and arteriosclerosis (10).
- * The three diseases which cause comparatively more deaths in Minnesota than in the nation are not common among those under sixty-five years of age.

- * The two largest killers affecting the middle-aged and older population, heart disease and cancer, account for a lower proportion of total deaths in Minnesota than they do in the United States.
- * Chronic liver disease, a common ailment of the middle-aged population, is much less prevalent in Minnesota than in the nation.
- * Minnesota's rate of death by accident, the leading cause for those aged 15 to 49, is roughly equal to that of the United States.
- * The death rate by homicide, which affects the 20-to-34 age group disproportionately, is much lower in Minnesota than in the rest of the country.
- * At 9.5 per thousand live births, Minnesota's infant mortality rate is lower than the nation's 11.5 and ranks fifth best among all states.

TABLE 9.3: CRIME RATES BY TYPE (OFFENSES PER 100,000 POPULATION), 1984

	MINNESOTA	UNITED STATES	NORTH CENTRAL
TOTAL CRIMES	3,842	5,031	4,675
VIOLENT CRIME	211	539	459
Murder	1.8	7.9	6.0
Forcible Rape	25.3	35.7	32.9
Robbery	71	205	171
Aggravated Assault	113	290	249
PROPERTY CRIME	3,631	4,492	4,217
Burglary	991	1,264	1,104
Larceny-Theft	2,433	2,791	2,683
Motor Vehicle Theft	207	437	430

SOURCE: US Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States

- * For all types of crime, the number of offenses committed per 100,000 population is lower in Minnesota than in the North Central region, which is in turn lower than that of the United States.
- * Minnesota's overall crime rate is nearly twenty-five percent below that of the nation.
- * Only five percent of all crimes committed in Minnesota are violent in nature: murder, rape, robbery and assault.
- * The overall violent crime rate in Minnesota is less than half that of the nation.

- * Both the United States and the North Central region have murder rates more than three times greater than the Minnesota figure.
- * The incidences of aggravated assault and robbery, both violent crimes, are nearly three times higher in the United States than they are in Minnesota.
- * Roughly sixty percent of all reported crimes in each area are of the larceny-theft type, the most minor of the offenses listed.
- * Motor vehicle theft is twice as common in both the U.S. and North Central region as it is in Minnesota.


TABLE 9.4: CLIMATIC AVERAGES FOR MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL

January Maximum	19.9	July Maximum	83.4
January Minimum	2.4	July Minimum	62.7
Relative Humidity			
January Morning	73%	July Morning	80%
January Afternoon	66%	July Afternoon	54%
Annual Precipitation	26.4"	Average Wind Speed	10.5 mph
Annual Snowfall	48.9"	Annual Sunshine	57%
Heating Degree Days	8,007	Cooling Degree Days	150
Number of Days with Min	nimum Temperature B	elow 32 Degrees	156
Number of Days with Max	kimum Temperature A	bove 90 Degrees	15
Number of Days with Pre	ecipitation Above .	01"	115

Normal Daily Temperature (in Degrees Fahrenheit)

- SOURCE: US Weather Bureau, National Climatic Data Center (Minneapolis-St. Paul averages cited, as not all data is available on a statewide basis. A heating or cooling degree day is each degree that the average temperature for that day is below or above 65 degrees, respectively. The annual summation of these degree days yields the given figure.)
- * Minnesota is characterized by four distinct seasons as the normal maximum temperature ranges from twenty degrees in January to eighty-three degrees in July.
- * Summer is one of the more pleasant seasons in Minnesota as the normal low temperature in July is only sixty-three degrees and the afternoon humidity is just fifty-four percent.
- * Minnesota receives sunshine fifty-seven percent of the time and has an average of 250 days, or more than two-thirds of the year, without any measurable precipitation.
- * An average of only fifteen days when the temperature rises above ninety degrees mitigates the need for expensive air-conditioning in Minnesota.

TABLE 9.5: RATIO OF POLLUTANT CONCENTRATION TO AIR QUALITY STANDARD, 1983



		DIOXIDE	OZONE	PARTICULATES	DIOXIDE	LEAD
Minneapolis-St. Paul SMSA	167	37	108	96	32	47
U.S. Large SMSA Average	133	42	156	108	61	55

- SOURCE: US Environmental Protection Agency, <u>National Air Quality</u> and <u>Emission</u> <u>Trends</u> <u>Report</u> (Data collected for twenty-seven largest Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas [SMSAs].)
- * Air quality in the Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan area exceeds the average of other large cities in five of the six measured pollutants.
- * Of the twenty-seven urban areas surveyed, only two surpass Minneapolis-St. Paul in having lower than average concentrations in all six pollutants.
- * Three pollutants in the Twin Cities area, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide and lead, have concentrations of less than half the air quality standard.
- * The ozone and nitrogen dioxide levels in the Minneapolis-St. Paul region are significantly below those found in other large metropolitan areas.





TABLE 9.7: AVERAGE ROUND-TRIP COMMUTING TIME IN THIRTY LARGEST METRO AREAS, 1980

Rank		TIME	RANK		TIME
	US AVERAGE (all areas)	49.7 min.			
1	Milwaukee	42.7	16	Anaheim, CA	51.9
2	San Diego	43.1	17	Miami	52.1
3	MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL	44.2	18	Dallas	52.8
4	Tampa-St. Petersburg	45.1	19	Los Angeles	53.5
5	Phoenix	47.7	20	Atlanta	54.3
6	Riverside, CA	48.4	21	San Francisco	55.2
7	Denver	48.6	22	Newark	55.9
8	Cincinnati	49.3	23	0ak1and	56.3
9	San Jose	50.2	23	Philadelphia	56.3
10	Pittsburgh	50.4	25	Baltimore	58.3
11	St. Louis	50.6	26	Houston	58.5
12	Seattle	50.8	27	Chicago	63.8
13	Detroit	51.3	28	Washington, D.C.	64.5
14	Cleveland	51.5	29	Nassau-Suffolk, NY	70.6
15	Boston	51.7	30	New York City	81.0

SOURCE: US Bureau of the Census, Census of Population and Housing

- * The average daily commuting time for workers in the Minneapolis-St. Paul area is 44.2 minutes, less than the average for all SMSAs.
- * The Twin Cities metropolis has the third shortest daily commuting time among large urban areas.
- * Of the thirty largest metropolitan areas in the United States, only eight have an average commuting time below the national average.
- * Among large metropolitan areas, all but one of those ranked in the top ten in average commuting time are less populated than Minneapolis-St. Paul.
- * There is little geographic pattern to commuting times for metropolitan areas. Cities from all parts of the United States are found among those ranked at the top, as well as those near the bottom.



(Information not available for the states of Alaska and Vermont.)

* Minnesota ranks fifth among states with a mean property value of \$71,170, more than twenty percent above the national average. * States with the highest property values are either located in the West (where the share of newer homes is greater) or have large urban centers. TABLE 9.9: STANDARD OF LIVING INDEX FOR LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS, 1984

	STANDARD					
	OF LIVING	DISPOSABLE	CO3	ST OF LIVI	NG INDIC	ES
	INDEX	INCOME INDEX	Total	Housing	Food	Other
1 Houston	113	123	110	117	103	107
2 Dallas	112	120	108	112	105	105
2 Nassau-Suffolk, N		127	115	134	101	103
4 St. Louis	109	105	96	91	95	101
5 Denver	105	119	114	129	104	103
6 MINNEAPOLIS-ST. F		107	103	115	96	95
7 Cleveland	102	108	106	110	99	105
8 Seattle	100	114	114	122	103	112
9 Philadelphia	99	101	102	100	98	106
9 Milwaukee	99	105	106	122	94	94
9 Detroit	99	101	102	102	100	102
12 Tampa-St. Peterst		92	95	89	96	101
12 Cincinnati	97	95	98	98	102	96
12 Pittsburgh	97	100	103	97	107	107
15 Atlanta	96	97	101	100	104	100
15 Chicago	96	111	115	131	100	106
17 Boston	95	109	114	139	94	97
18 Washington, D.C.	92	123	131	165	107	107
19 Baltimore	91	97	106	110	99	106
20 San Francisco	90	143	153	206	108	120
20 Miami	90	101	111	123	96	107
22 Phoenix	87	94	107	107	105	108
23 San Jose	86	126	140	192	101	104
24 Newark	83	116	133	171	108	105
25 Oakland	82	115	133	157	108	120
25 Los Angeles	82	110	128	166	95	105
27 Anaheim, CA	78	117	139	192	95	105
27 Riverside, CA	78	86	108	117	99	102
29 New York	74	107	133	160	112	114
30 San Diego	69	99	130	173	97	101

SOURCES: American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association, <u>Inter-City Cost</u> of <u>Living Index</u>; Rand McNally, <u>Places Rated Almanac</u> (The standard of living index is the difference between an area's relative cost of living and its relative median household disposable income, therefore adjusting after-tax income by prevailing price levels.)

- * Of the thirty largest metropolitan areas in the U.S., only five have a higher standard of living than the Twin Cities.
- * The Minneapolis-St.Paul area prices are three percent above the average for all metropolitan regions, but its disposable income is seven percent higher, creating an aboveaverage living standard.
- * Housing costs (including taxes and utilities) are above average in the Twin Cities, while prices for food and other items are below those of other metropolitan areas.
- * The highest relative living standards are found in the central portion of the U.S. and the lowest in California and the East Coast, where costs greatly exceed income.