C+\*12.4



# METHODOLOGY FOR THE CALCULATION OF OUTDOOR RECREATION Participation and Interregional Flow

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Giv

191.42 .M6

Research and Policy Section

Juleau of Comprehensive Planning & Progamming

# METHODOLOGY FOR THE CALCULATION OF OUTDOOR RECREATION PARTICIPATION AND INTER-REGIONAL RECREATION PARTICIPATION FLOWS

Compiled for
The Minnesota Department of Natural Resources,
Bureau of Comprehensive Planning and Programming
St. Paul, Minnesota

by Gail E. Duering

Institute of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism
Utah State University
Logan, Utah

December, 1978

Report Number 2315

LEGISLATIVE DEFINEDE LIGHARY STATE OF WILLIAM GOTA

This project was carried out with funds provided by the Legislative Commission on Minnesota Resources

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| Introduction                                      | 1    |
| The Estimation of Total Occasions                 | 2    |
| Confidence Intervals for Origin Destination Pairs | 3    |

# LIST OF TABLES

|          |   | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| Table 1. | Statewide sample occasions and corresponding expanded totals for all winter activities                    | . 5  |
| Table 2. | Destination regions   | . 7  |
| Table 3. | Sample and 1978 projected population (over six years of age) figures to each Minnesota Development Region | . 9  |

### INTRODUCTION

There were over 23,000 actual, not expanded, recreation occasions reported by Minnesota residents who participated in the Department of Natural Resources sponsored study during the winter season (November through March), 1977-1978. These 23,000+ occasions were generated by a sample of over 30,000 Minnesota residents during that same period.

## The Estimation of Total Occasions

In order to estimate the number of recreation occasions by all residents, it was necessary to "expand" the sample occasions. Table 1 presents a comparison of the number of sample occasions and the expanded number of occasions (state totals) for the various activities in the sample.

In expanding these data, the recreation occasions were paired by the thirteen Minnesota Development Regions of residence and Development Region of destination. Recreation occasions by children less than six years of age were not included. See Table 2 for a list of counties comprising each development region.

For a more complete discussion of sample size validity, see Minnesota Recreation Information Management System Report Number 14.

A formula representing the process of expansion is:

$$0_i = \frac{A_i}{N_i} \times P_i \times 17$$

### where:

- O = number of expanded occasions originating in region i during sample period
- $A_i$  = number of sample occasions by residents of region i
- N<sub>i</sub> = number of people in sample who are residents of region i over six years of age
- $P_i$  = number of people in Minnesota population (1978 estimate) who are residents of region i over six years of age
- 17 = number of weeks in sample period

The Minnesota population figures were extrapolated from the Office of the State Demographer population estimates for 1975 and 1980. Table 3 shows both the sample and population figures for each region.

For reporting purposes, after the number of occasions were expanded to the population, they were grouped by destination where the recreation activities occurred. The possible destinations included the 13 Minnesota Development Regions and such out-of-state locations as Wisconsin, Iowa, Manitoba, Ontario, other U.S. locations, other Canadian and other foreign locations.

For some occasions, it was impossible to locate the area where the recreation occasion occurred. The cases containing missing information were distributed proportionally among those cases with no missing information. For example, assume that 60 percent of the recreation occasions with no missing information occurred on federally managed land and 40 percent occurred on land in the private sector and there are 10 occasions with missing data. The 10 occasions would be split into two groups, one containing 6 and the other 4 occasions each. These occasions would then be added to the number of occasions with no missing information and new percents calculated.

Confidence Intervals for Origin Destination Pairs

Seventy-five percent confidence intervals were calculated for each origin destination pair where occasions occurred. The 75 percent level was chosen during consultation with SCORP planning personnel. The formula used was:

$$C_{ij} = 19.55 \sqrt{N_i^2 \cdot \frac{s^2}{n_i}}$$
 where:  

$$S^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} Y_k^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} Y_k \right)^2$$

$$\frac{1}{n_i - 1}$$

where:

19.55 = 17 \* 1.15

17 - number of weeks in sample

1.15 = number of standard deviations above and below the mean containing 75 percent of the observations under a normal curve

N<sub>i</sub> = number of people in Minnesota population (1978 estimate) who are residents of region i who are six years of age

 $n_i$  = number of people in sample who are residents of region i over six years of age

 $Y_k$  = number of occasions per resident k of region i over six years of age

 $c_{ij}^{-}$  confidence interval for occasions by residents of region i that occur in region j

The expanded occasions by people six years of age and older represent the estimated number of occasions that occurred in a region during the 1977-1978 winter season.

Report Number 2316 entitled <u>Inter-Regional Winter Recreation Activity</u>

<u>Occasion Flows</u> contains data concerning the flow of recreation occasions

from region of origin to region of destination. Flows have been produced

for all activities investigated during the 17-week period by the winter telephone survey. Calculated confidence intervals appear in Minnesota Recreation Management System Report Series, Report Number 2315.

Inter-regional flows were developed by taking the total number of outdoor recreation occurrances for each region, based on the region of origin, and distributing them to the region of destination based on the number of total occurrances within each destination region. Thirty-one sets of origin-destination tables were produced, one for each of the activities covered by the study. Each table includes all 13 Minnesota Development Regions, plus other states and regions of the U.S. The most important item to remember when utilizing the data is that origin is the region of residence of the participant and destination is the region of participation.

Table 1. Statewide sample occasions and corresponding expanded totals for all winter activities.

| ACTIVITY   | NUMBER OF<br>SAMPLE OCCASIONS  | EXPANDED<br>NUMBER OF OCCASIONS                     |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Camping  | 75                             | 164,039   |
| Cross Country Skiing<br>Free Skiing<br>Trail Skiing<br>Combined Skiing<br>All Cross Country Skiing | 611<br>510<br>89<br>1,637      | 2,136,536<br>1,984,901<br>305,387<br>4,435,715      |
| Oog Sledding   | 24                             | 52,577  |
| Oownhill Skiing  | 1,229                          | 3,648,220   |
| Hunting<br>Upland Birds<br>Big Game<br>Waterfowl<br>Miscellaneous<br>All Hunting                   | 58<br>49<br>3<br>828<br>938    | 83,206<br>87,276<br>8,143<br>1,324,983<br>1,503,609 |
| ce Boating   | 10                             | 14,905  |
| ce Fishing   | 2,886                          | 5,156,011   |
| Ice Skating All Ice Skating Figure Hockey Free   | 4,856<br>355<br>1,171<br>2,547 | 12,718,597<br>995,496<br>4,158,345<br>7,562,535     |
| pen Water Fishing  | 12                             | 36,267  |
| )rienteering   | 25                             | 68,221  |
| Sledding   | 4,298                          | 9,399,203   |
| Snowshoeing<br>Trail<br>Free<br>Combination<br>All Snowshoeing                                     | 39<br>122<br>16<br>318         | 121,668<br>330,150<br>61,412<br>543,846             |

Table 1. cont.

| ACTIVITY  | NUMBER OF<br>SAMPLE OCCASIONS    | EXPANDED<br>NUMBER OF OCCASIONS                   |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Snow Tubing   | 321                              | 741,845   |
| Snowmobiling<br>Trail<br>Free<br>Combined<br>All Snowmobiling | 1,264<br>3,185<br>1,179<br>6,822 | 2,716,610<br>6,107,117<br>2,409,097<br>11,253,703 |
| Trapping  | 431                              | 604,410   |

Table 2. Destination regions.

| Region 1 Kittson Marshall Norman Pennington Polk                          | Region 6(E)*<br>Kandiyohi<br>McLeod<br>Meeker<br>Renville                                 |
|---|---|
| Red Lake<br>Roseau  | Region 7 (6W)*<br>Bigstone<br>Chippewa  |
| Region 2<br>Beltrami<br>Clearwater<br>Hubbard                             | Lac Qui Parle<br>Swift<br>Yellow Medicine   |
| Lake of the Woods<br>Mahnomen   | Region 8 (7E)*<br>Chisago<br>Isanti   |
| Region 3<br>Aitkin<br>Carlton<br>Cook                                     | Kanabec<br>Mille Lacs<br>Pine   |
| Itasca<br>Koochiching<br>Lake<br>St. Cours                                | Region 9 (7W) <sup>*</sup><br>Benton<br>Sherburne<br>Stearns<br>Wright                    |
| Region 4 Becker Clay Douglas Grant Ottertail Pope Stevens Traverse Wilkin | Region 10 (8) * Cottonwood Jackson Lincoln Lyon Murray Nobles Pipestone Redwood Rock      |
| Region 5 Cass Crow Wing Morrison Todd Wadena                              | Region 11 (9) * Blue Earth Brown Faribault Le Seur Martin Nicollet Sibley Waseca Watonwan |

Region 12 (10) \*
Dodge Region 14 Wisconsin Iowa Fillmore South Dakota Freeborn North Dakota Goodhue Manitoba, Canada Ontario, Canada Houston 01msted Other U.S. Other Canadian Rice Steele Other Foreign Wabasha Winona Mower Region 13 (11) \* Anoka Carver Dakota Hennepin Ramsey Scott Washington

 $<sup>^\</sup>star$  Numbers and letters in parentheses refer to Minnesota Development Regions.

Table 3. Sample and 1978 projected population (over six years of age) figures for each Minnesota Development Region.

.

