Report on Study of Coeducational Sports Activities

Preliminary Investigation

At least one, but usually two or three people in 10 different school districts were interviewed about their perceptions of the effects of Title IX and S.S. 126.21 in physical education. Dean Eloise Jaeger and Dr. John Alexander of the U of M were also consulted. The purpose of these interviews was to determine the problems and concerns to be addressed in the study.

Formal Survey

A stratified random sample of 200 schools was drawn, randomly divided among elementary, junior high school and senior high schools. One level of school per district was surveyed, except for Minneapolis (two of each level, St. Paul, Anoka, and Duluth (one of each level). Survey forms were sent with a covering letter from the Commissioner, soliciting cooperation and stressing that this survey was focused on results rather than on compliance. (However, compliance is necessary before results can be measured.) Of the 200 surveys sent out, 174 were filled out and returned. Summarized results are attached to this report.

In-Depth Study

Schools in six high-compliance districts were selected for an in-depth study. Goodridge and Tyler represented small districts, Hibbing and St. Louis Park represented medium, and Anoka and St. Paul represented large districts. Students in grades 7-10 were surveyed in person, and parents were surveyed by telephone. Neither sample was truly random, but it is believed that neither sample was systematically biased in any important way. Results of these surveys are also attached. In addition, the Parks and Recreation Department of St. Louis Park was assisted in developing a survey on the subject of coeducational recreational activities. Results of this study were not tabulated in time for this report.

Highlights of Responses

- It seems clear that elementary school physical education programs are in compliance with the law. Most of these programs were coed before the laws were passed, so no changes were noted. The different standards for the President's physical fitness ratings do not appeal to favor strongly either boys or girls.
- 2. Elementary school voluntary after-school programs appear not to be fully coeducational in many cases. The legislation does not seem to have had much effect in this area.

- Physical education programs at the secondary level appear to be coeducational in a large minority of schools. The legislation does not appear to have had large impact on numbers or types of classes offered, or on accidents or injuries. Coeducational classes create problems and require rules changes primarily in contact sports, and appear to favor high ability girls primarily, in the view of staff members responding. About half of the respondents report that coeducational classes make no difference in participation, skill development, or liking for sports. The laws have had little apparent impact on employment ratios or graduation requirements. Locker room supervision and scheduling are the main problems encountered. About half of the respondents report no inservice dealing with this legislation. Cooperation within the physical education department is seen as the major factor in successful coed programs. Many more negative than positive comments were volunteered. (It might be noted that respondents were almost two to one, male to female.)
- 4. A small minority of schools report all voluntary sports activities as coed. The legislation has apparently increased opportunities for girls but have made no changes in the types of activities offered in about half of the schools responding. A slight increase in school-sponsored activities. Locker room supervision and scheduling are problems associated with these laws. Participation by girls has increased; that by boys has not changed much. Again, high ability girls appear the primary beneficiaries of this legislation. Accidents and injuries do not seem to have been affected, nor have rules changes been extensive. More negative than positive comments were volunteered.
- 5. Students generally favor coed physical education classes in some, but not all, sports. They perceive coed classes as favoring participation by high ability girls and boys and reduced participation by low ability boys. Coed classes favor skill development among high ability girls and both groups of boys. Liking for sports is enhanced by coed classes for high ability girls and boys, according to these students. They are also in favor of coed voluntary activities, and their perceptions about the effects are similar to the effects of coed physical education classes. Comments were almost evenly split between positive and negative.
- Most parents interviewed were caught by surprise, and their reactions were generally not strong. The majority favored coed physical education classes, at least for some sports. Of those parents approving of coed physical education most indicated exceptions would be all contact sports, health classes, and sex education. No strong consensus appeared about the effects of this legislation. Parents also generally favored coed voluntary (after-school) activities although most specified contact sports should not be coed. No strong concensus among parents was evident concerning the effects of school compliance with the law.

Elementary School Survey N=51

Responses are reported in $\underline{\text{percentages}}$ of those responding to the question. Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding.

1. How is your physical education program organized?

100 classes and teams within classes coed at all grade levels 0 other

2. Is physical education taught by certified physical education teachers in your school?

20 no

80 yes

If yes, what grades does he/she teach?

80 K-6 or 1-6

16 K-12 or 1-12

4 other

What is his/her sex?

32 female

36 male

32 one of each sex

What other responsibilities does she/he have?

27 coaching

22 secondary physical education or health

51 other or none

3. Do you use the President's physical fitness standards in your program?

36 no

64 yes

If yes, about what percentage of boys and girls meet these standards?

14 more girls than boys

32 more boys than girls

54 mixed/about the same

- 4. What are the effects, if any, of Title IX legislation on students or staff in your school?
 - 59 "none"
 - 33 no response
 - 8 some effects

decreased activity by girls/girls frustrated (mentioned twice) required modification of activities fine at grade school level

After-School Activities

1. Are there any after-school sports activities for your students, sponsored by the school district or outside agency?

16 no 84 yes

- 2. If yes, how are these activities organized?
 - 29 segregated by sex
 - 21 coed
 - 50 some coed, some segregated by sex
- 3. Have Title IX and S.S. 126.21 legislation (not budget cuts) affected your after-school program in anyway?

79 no

21 some effects

increased cost (mentioned 4 times)
limited programs, because of space and time limitations (mentioned 3 times)
facilities and practice increased for girls (mentioned once)
integrated field day caused girls to win fewer ribbons (mentioned once)

Secondary Education Survey-Physical Education N=123

Responses are given in percentages of those responding to the question. Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding.

Sex of respondents: 34 female, 66 male

- How is the physical education program organized in your school?
 - all classes coed
 - 3 all classes separated by sex
 - 40 some sports separated by sex; others coed
 - all classes separated by ability
 - 18 other
- 2. What changes in program have occurred as a result of Title IX and S.S. 126.21?
 - 66 none
 - 25 new courses offered

lifetime sports (mentioned 10 times) archery (7) fitness (4) cross-country skiing (3) dance (3)

10 old courses dropped

wrestling (8) gymnastics (3)

- 3. Has the number of courses changed as a result of this legislation (not budget cuts)?
 - more courses offered
 - fewer courses offered 8
 - 84 no change
- How have coeducational classes affected accidents and/or injuries?
 - 10 increased among girls
- 3 decreased among girls
- 4 increased among boys
- decreased among boys 6

75 no change

- 1 increased among teachers
- In which sports, if any, are particular problems created by being coeducational?
 - 26 football

10 floor hockey

19 wrestling

7 contact sports 5 volleyball

17 soccer

- 16 touch football

6. How have coeducational classes affected class participating among:

4	Increased	Decreased	No Change
High ability girls	34	11	55
Low ability girls	14	42 -	44
-High-ability boys	12	30	58
Low ability boys	15	24	60

7. How have coeducational classes affected skill development among:

High ability girls	45		14	41
Lów ability girls	18	*	37	45
High ability boys	7		36	57
Low ability boys	15		24	61

8. How have coeducational classes affected liking for sports among:

High ability girls	45	13	42
Low ability girls	17	35	48
High ability boys	17	23	60
Low ability boys	17	23	60

9. In which sports, if any, have rules changes been made because of coeducational classes?

22	touch football	12	floor hockey
20	basketball	11	football
15	softball	7	speedball
14	vollevhall		•

10. Do you feel your training was adequate for teaching both sexes?

77 yes

10 no

12 not sure

11. What is the male/female full-time equivalent ratio now, compared to 1976-77?

4 greater proportion of women now

14 smaller proportion of women now

82 no change

12. Have any changes been made in physical education graduation requirements as a result of this legislation?

98 no 2 yes

more lifetime sports being pushed (1) grades computed in honor roll, etc. (1)

- 13. What problems has your school had in complying with Title IX and S.S. 126.21 legislation? (more than one answer could be checked.)
 - 32 none of consequence
 - 57 locker room supervision
 - 31 scheduling
 - 14 community resistance to coed sports
 - 12 expense (e.g. new curriculum guides)
 - 11 physical education teachers not trained or reluctant to teach both sexes
 - 8 staffing classes
 - 7 other

poor facilities (7)
student resistance (2)

- 14. Did your school have any staff inservice dealing with compliance with Title IX and/or S.S. 126.21?
 - 53 no
 - 47 yes

inservice workshops (10)
State Department Workshops (8)
informal discussions (8)
reviewed at faculty meeting (7)
not specified (18)

- 15. What factors do you think lead to successful coeducational programs?
 - 27 cooperation within department
 - 16 positive staff attitude
 - 15 adequate facilities
 - 15 cooperation between students
 - 10 team teaching
 - 7 class size
 - 7 administrative support
 - 7 enthusiastic instructors to motivate students
- 16. Have you any comments about the effects of this legislation on students or staff in your school?
 - 16 positive comments
 - 71 negative comments
 - 14 neutral/suggestions for improvement

Secondary Voluntary Sports Activities Survey N=53

Interscholastic Athletics were specifically excepted for	com	this study.
Results are reported in percentage of those responding	to	the question.
Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding.	•.	

- 30 no activities relevant to this survey
- 70 have activities covered by this survey (N=37)
- 1. How are these activities organized?
 - 43 segregated by sex
 - 5 coed
 - 51 some coed, some segregated by sex
- 2. Has Title IX and S.S. 126.21 legislation (not budget cuts) caused a change in opportunity for participation in voluntary?
 - 52 increased for girls
- 3 decreased for girls
- -- increased for boys
- decreased for boys

- 45 no change
- 3. What changes, if any, have Title IX and S.S. 126.21 caused in the type of activities offered?
 - 54 no changes
 - 16 more games (as opposed to competitive sports)
 - 8 more individual sports
 - 3 more emphasis on fitness
 - 19 other

more emphasis on lifetime sports (mentioned twice) dropped boys activities (1) dance (1) much harder to schedule gym time (1) more competitive sports (1) coed phy ed (1) cramped facilities for boys, because of increase in girls' program (1) more money available for girls (1)

4. Have Title IX and S.S. 126.21 (not budget cuts) caused any change in sponsorship of activities?

School sponsored activities: (N=13)
100 increased 0 increased

Outside sponsored activities: (N=29)
7 increased 93 no charge

- 5. What problems have arisen in connection with coed activities? (More than one response could be checked)
 - 46 Tlocker room supervision
 - 40 scheduling practice and/or contest times
 - 30 securing adequate coaching
 - 22 financing programs
 - 19 none of consequence
 - 3 other

girls will not participate with the boys (1)

Note: Those with no coed activities skipped to question #11.

6. How does participation in coed activities compare with participation when activities were separate for boys and girls.

girls: 42 more participation

21 less participation

38 no change

boys:

9 more participation

13 less participation

65 no change

7. How have coed activities affected skill development among:

	Increased	Decreased	No Change
High ability girls	61	13	26
Low ability girls	41	17	41
High ability boys	9	36	54
Low ability boys	22	17	61

8. How have coed activities affected liking for sports among:

High ability girls	62		38
Low ability girls	38	19	43
High ability boys	19	24	57
Low ability boys	19	14	71

- 9. How have activities affected accidents and/or injuries?
 - 19 increased among girls
- -- decreased among girls
- 4 increased among boys
- 8 decreased among boys

- 69 no change
- 10. Have any rule changes been made as a result of coed activities?
 - 62 no
 - 37 yes

volleyball (3) modified rules for low-skilled girls (2)

- 11. Have you any comments about Title IX and S.S. 126.21 legislation as it affects after-school sports activities?

 - 21 positive comments
 66 negative comments
 14 neutral/suggestions for improvement

STUDENT RESPONSE IN PER CENT(N=967)

Minnesota
State Department
of Education

Coeducational Sports Activities 721 B Capitol Square - 550 Cedar St. Paul, MN 55101

STUDENT SURVEY COEDUCATIONAL SPORTS ACTIVITIES

ED-01477-01

Please respond to all questions and return the completed survey to the above return address.

This survey is being completed by a

Male 48.3 Female 51.7

PHYSICAL EDUCATION

- 1. Do you think coeducational physical education __classes_are_a good_idea?
 - 24.2 Yes, for all sports
 - 62.6 Yes, for some sports
 - 10.4 No
 - 2.8 Not sure
- 2. How do coeducational classes affect class participation in the following groups?

	INCREASE	DECREASE	NO CHANGE
High ability girls	46.6	15.6	37.7
Lower ability girls	20.2	54.2	25.6
High ability boys	58.7	8.7	32.6
Lower ability boys	33.2	26.3	40.5

3. How do coeducational classes affect skill development among the following groups?

	INCREASE	DECREASE	NO CHANGE
High ability girls	47.8	10.0	42.2
Lower ability girls	35.7	31.6	32.7
High ability boys	45.8	7.9	46.3
Lower ability boys	42.8	19.5	37.8

4. How do coeducational classes affect liking for sports among these groups?

	INCREASE	DECREASE	NO CHANGE
High ability girls	54.3	13.1	32.6
Lower ability girls	24.4	45.3	30.2
High ability boys	52.1	12.1	35.8
Lower ability boys	31.9	28.6	39.6

VOLUNTARY ACTIVITIES NOT INCLUDING INTERSCHOLASTIC ATHLETICS

- Do you think intra-mural sports activities should be coeducational?
 - 19.4 Yes, for all sports
 - 54.6 Yes, for some sports
 - 20.5 No
 - 5.5 Not sure
- 2. How do coeducational intra-mural sports affect class participation in the following groups?

	INCREASE	DECREASE	NO CHANGE	
High ability girls	49.3	14.5	36.1	
Lower ability girls	27.4	40.6	32.1	
High ability boys	52.2	11.9	36.0	
Lower ability boys	31.2	22.1	46.7	

3. How do coeducational intra-mural sports affect skill development among the following groups?

INCREASE	DECREASE	NO CHANGE
52.3	11.4	36.3
42.9	27.4	29.6
43.3	10.2	46.5
47.1	16.9	36.0
	52.3 42.9 43.3	52.3 11.4 42.9 27.4 43.3 10.2

4. How do coeducational intra-mural sports affect liking for sports among these groups?

	INCREASE	DECREASE	NO CHANGE
High ability girls	60.4	13.5	26.1
Lower ability girls	33.8	40.0	26.2
High ability boys	55.5	12.7	31.8
Lower ability boys	37.2	27.2	35.6

COMMENTS ABOUT LEGISLATION REQUIRING COEDUCATIONAL PHYSICAL EDUCATION

If you have any comments about the legislation requiring coeducational physical education classes and after-school activities (except interscholastic athletics), please use the space below.

Positive - 82

Negative - 87

Parent Survey N=100

Responses are reported in <u>percentages</u> of those responding to the question. Totals may not equal 100 because of rounding.

- Do you approve of coeducational physical education classes?
 - 23 Yes, for all sports
 - 43 Yes, for some sports

Exceptions-contact sports (mentioned 26 times) health/sex education (2)

- 26 No
- 8 Not sure
- What effects do you think coed physical education classes have had on your child or children?
 - 31 None 8 Don't know
 32 Generally positive 27 Generally negative
 4 Positive for girls 5 Negative for girls
 0 Positive for boys 1 Negative for boys
 - 2 Neutral/suggestions
- 3. The law requires that after-school activities be coeducational (except for interscholastic athletics.) Do you think this is good?
 - 31 Yes, for all sports
 - 35 Yes, for some sports

Exceptions-contact sports (9)

- 20 No
- 2 Not sure
- 4. What effect do you think compliance with this law has/will have on students?
 - 7 None
 - 17 Generally positive
 - 2 Positive for girls
 - Positive for boys
 - 6 Neutral/suggestions
- 15 Don't know
- 16 Generally negative
- 9 Negative for girls
- 2 Negative for boys