

MINNESOIA OFFICEOFILE STATE AUDITOR

1994 LOCAL GOVERNIENT LOBINING EXPENDITERS

> MATRICE DAYLOR STATE-AUDITOR

The Office of the State Auditor is a Constitutional Office which serves as a watchdog for Minnesota taxpayers and helps to assure integrity, accountability, and cost-effectiveness in government throughout the state.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the Office of the State Auditor helps to assure that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of integrity. The Office works actively with local government officials to find more efficient and cost effective ways to spend tax dollars.

The Office performs approximately 320 audits per year. The State Auditor has oversight responsibilities for 4,300 units of local governments throughout the state. The local units of government include:

- 1803 townships
- 855 cities
- 429 educational districts
- 87 counties
- 703 police and fire relief association funds
- 145 housing and redevelopment authorities
- 22 port authorities
- 91 soil and water conservation districts
- 150 (approximate) special districts

Through its reports and research, the Office helps local governments to find new ways to provide essential services more economically and efficiently in order that they may respond to growing needs with limited financial resources.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employee's Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and Rura! Finance Administration Board.





1993 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES

Prepared by the Research and Information Division Office of the State Auditor May 25, 1994

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Report on the lobbying expenditures of Minnesota counties, cities, school districts and metropolitan agencies is prepared in accordance with Minnesota Statute (1992) § 6.76. The statute requires local governments to report their lobbying expenditures to the Office of the State Auditor on an annual basis. The report also summarizes lobbying expenditures of various local government organizations that are funded through membership dues paid by local governments.

Many state policies established by the Minnesota Legislature have a direct impact on Minnesota's local governments. Therefore, it is appropriate that local governments work with the Legislature in the development of these laws. This Report is not intended to question the appropriate role of local governments in the state's legislative process. Instead, it is intended to inform Minnesota citizens of the amount spent by their local governments to influence the development of state policies.

A SUMMARY OF 1993 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES

During 1993, Minnesota local governments reported \$2,254,882 in direct lobbying expenditures. The 1993 direct expenditures reflect a 6.8 percent increase over the \$2,111,709 in local government lobbying expenditures reported during 1992. A direct lobbying expenditure is the amount paid directly to a local government employee or a contract lobbyist for the purpose of lobbying the Legislature or a state administrative agency.

In addition to the direct lobbying expenditures of local governments, 30 organizations representing multiple local governments filed reports with the State Ethical Practices Board that disclosed lobbying expenditures ranging from \$500 to \$500,000 each. The cumulative total expenditures of these 30 organizations ranged from \$1,160,500 to \$3,400,000.¹ Therefore, the total amount of 1993 local tax dollars spent on lobbying the state Legislature ranged from \$3,415,382 to \$5,654,882. Furthermore, because local governments are not required to report administrative overhead expenditures related to legislative lobbying, the actual cost of legislative lobbying by local governments may be much higher than what is reported here.

¹The State Ethical Practices Board reporting requirement does not require lobbying organizations to report a specific expenditure amount. These organizations report their expenditures using broad ranges, and therefore, a precise amount of total lobbying expenditures for these organizations can not be determined.

DIRECT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Fifty-one of Minnesota's cities, counties, school districts, special districts and metropolitan agencies have opted to directly employ staff and/or contract lobbyists to lobby the Legislature on their behalf. These 51 local government entities account for slightly less than 4 percent of the total number of cities, counties, educational districts, and special purpose districts throughout the state. Five of the 51 local governments reported over \$100,000 in lobbying expenditures, for a combined total of \$990,906 - accounting for approximately 44 percent of all direct lobbying expenditures by local governments. The City of Minneapolis reported \$330,601 in lobbying expenditures, the most reported by any single entity.

Direct expenditures by local government units for lobbying the Legislature included the use of hired contract lobbyists and local government employees. Approximately 51.5 percent (\$1,161,237) of the total direct lobbying expenditures were made to various contract lobbyists. The remaining 48.5 percent (\$1,093,645) of the expenditures were made to employees of 15 government units to lobby on behalf of the local unit of government.

OTHER LOBBYING EXPENDITURES ON BEHALF OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

While 51 local government units have opted to pay lobbyists directly to lobby on their behalf, most local governments rely on various local government organizations to represent them at the Legislature. Organizations that lobby on behalf of local governments include the League of Minnesota Cities, the Association of Minnesota Counties, the Minnesota School Boards Association and the Minnesota Association of Townships.

Thirty local government organizations reported lobbying expenditures to the State Ethical Practices Board. Total cumulative spending by these 30 organizations ranged from \$1,160,500 to \$3,400,000. Eleven of the 30 organizations represented the interests of school districts; five of the 30 organizations represented the interests of cities; five organizations represented local economic development and housing interests; four of the 30 organizations represented the interests of counties; and five organizations represented other local government interests.

SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS

While compiling data and conducting our analysis, we noted the identical concerns that have been identified in prior years. These concerns include:

- A large number of local government organizations represent local government interests at the Legislature. Local governments may want to evaluate the actual need for this many organizations representing their interests at the Legislature. With that many organizations speaking on behalf of local governments, it is important that, at a minimum, the organizations speak with a unified voice on those issues upon which they agree.
- Reports on lobbying expenditures made by local government units understate the actual amount of tax dollars spent by local governments for legislative lobbying. Lobbying expenditures that are not reported to the State Auditor include all administrative overhead, including the cost of support staff, rent, telephones, supplies and equipment and the assistance of other local government employees who are not required to be included in the local government's lobbying expenditures Report.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that Minnesota Statute §6.76 be amended to require local governments to estimate, and report to the State Auditor, the amount of overhead expenditures they have relative to legislative lobbying activities. Furthermore, local government organizations should also be required to report their specific lobbying expenditures to the State Auditor. This new reporting requirement should reflect the reporting standard established for all units of local governments, thereby enabling the State Auditor to compile a report to the Legislature that identifies the actual total cost of lobbying by local units of government.

PREFACE

This Report on the lobbying expenditures of Minnesota counties, cities, school districts and metropolitan agencies is prepared in accordance with Minnesota Statute (1992) § 6.76. The statute states:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES FOR LOBBYISTS

On or before January 31, 1990, and each year thereafter, all counties, cities, school districts, metropolitan agencies, regional railroad authorities, and the regional transit board shall report to the state auditor, on forms prescribed by the auditor, their estimated expenditures paid for the previous calendar year to a lobbyist as defined in section 10A.01, subdivision 11, and to any staff person not registered as a lobbyist but who spends over 25 percent of his or her time during the legislative session on legislative matters.

The Office of the State Auditor has collected and published this data for lobbying expenditures made by local governments during calendar years 1989 through 1992. This Report summarizes local government lobbying expenditures during calendar year 1993. Due to a change in methodology, the data in the 1993 and 1992 Reports are not comparable to data for prior years.

Data for this Report was collected from local governments by the Research and Information Division of the Office of the State Auditor. Data on lobbying expenditures of local government organizations was collected from reports filed by the organizations with the State Ethical Practices Board.

In January, a lobbying reporting form was mailed to all local governments that have reported loobying expenditures during the past three years. (See Appendix A) A review of individual lobbyist registrations with the State Ethical Practices Board identified additional local governments that have paid individuals to lobby on their behalf during 1993. The lobbying expenditures are the amounts reported by the local governments; the Office of the State Auditor did not attempt to verify the accuracy of those amounts. We did cross-reference our data with data collected by the State Ethical Practices Board, which requires lobbyists, and organizations that pay lobbyists, to file periodic reports.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

LOBBYING IN 1993

INTRODUCTION

This Report on the lobbying expenditures of Minnesota counties, cities, school districts and metropolitan agencies is prepared in accordance with Minnesota Statute (1992) § 6.76. The statute requires local governments to report their lobbying expenditures to the Office of the State Auditor on an annual basis.

The Report focuses on the amount paid directly to local government employees and contract lobbyists who lobby the Minnesota Legislature and administrative agencies. The report also summarizes lobbying expenditures of various local government organizations that are funded through membership dues paid by local governments. While these organizations are not required to file lobbying expenditure reports with the State Auditor, the Office of the State Auditor was able to obtain summary expenditure data from the State Ethical Practices Board.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING IN 1993

Many state policies, appropriations and tax laws established by the Minnesota Legislature and state administrative agencies have a direct impact on Minnesota's local governments. Therefore, it is appropriate that local governments work with the Legislature and administrative agencies in the development of these laws and administrative rules. This Report is not intended to question the appropriate role of local governments in the state's legislative and administrative processes. Instead, it is intended to inform Minnesota citizens of the amount spent by their local governments to influence the development of state policies.

This Report focuses on the local government lobbying expenditures made during 1993. Specifically, the Report:

- o summarizes the total amount spent directly by local governments for lobbying the Legislature and administrative agencies, including a discussion of the number of local governments that recorded lobbying expenditures;
- o categorizes the direct local government lobbying expenditures by the amount spent on contract lobbyists and the amount spent on local government employees who spend over 25 percent of their time during the legislative session on legislative matters;

- o identifies the local governments that recorded the highest lobbying expenditures during 1993;
- o reports the amount spent by local government organizations that lobby the Legislature on behalf of local governments; and
- o identifies the amount collected by contract lobbyists who are hired directly by various local governments to lobby the Legislature on issues affecting those local units of government.

A SUMMARY OF 1993 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES

During 1993, Minnesota local governments reported \$2,254,882 in direct lobbying expenditures.² A direct lobbying expenditure is the amount paid directly to a local government employee or a contract lobbyist for the purpose of lobbying the Legislature or a state administrative agency. Direct lobbying expenditures do not include dues and membership fees paid to local government organizations, even though the local government organizations spend a portion of those dues and membership fees for lobbying activities.

In addition to the direct lobbying expenditures of local governments, 30 local government organizations filed reports with the State Ethical Practices Board that disclosed lobbying expenditures ranging from \$500 to \$500,000 each. Based on the expenditure ranges reported to the Ethical Practices Board, the cumulative total expenditures of these 30 organizations during 1993 ranged from \$1,160,500 to \$3,400,000. Therefore, based on reports filed with the State Auditor and the State Ethical Practices Board, the total amount of 1993 local tax dollars spent on lobbying the state Legislature by local governments and local government organizations ranged from \$3,415,382 to \$5,654,882. Furthermore, since local governments are not required to report administrative overhead expenditures related to legislative lobbying, the actual cost of legislative lobbying by local governments may be much higher than what is reported here.

DIRECT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES OF MINNESOTA LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Fifty-one of Minnesota's cities, counties, school districts, special districts and metropolitan agencies have opted to directly employ staff and/or contract lobbyists to lobby the Legislature on their behalf. The 51 local government units included:

o twenty cities that reported a combined total of \$788,385 in direct lobbying expenditures;

² Due to an improved methodology in reporting local government lobbying expenditures, the 1992 and 1993 expenditures are not comparable to lobbying expenditures reported in previous years. While the law requires local governments to report the total annual cost of salaries and benefits of employees who spend time on legislative iscues, local governments contend that these figures artificially inflate their total lobbying expenditures. Therefore, for the past two years (1992 and 1993) local governments were asked to report the percentage of an employee's time spent on legislative issues. This percentage was then used to prorate the total annual salary and benefit expenditures of the employee as a means of determining the amount of their total compensation related to legislative issues. See Appendix B for the total amount of salaries and benefits paid to local government employees who spend more than 25 percent of their time during the legislative session on legislative issues.

- o fourteen counties that reported a combined total of \$689,126 in direct lobbying expenditures;
- o nine school districts or other educational entities that reported a combined total of \$254,793 in direct lobbying expenditures;
- o six metropolitan agencies that reported a combined total of \$426,254 in direct lobbying expenditures; and
- two special purpose districts that reported a combined total of \$96,324 in direct lobbying expenditures.

These 51 local government units account for slightly less than four percent of the total number of cities, counties, educational districts, and special purpose districts throughout the state.

Five of the 51 local governments reported over \$100,000 in lobbying expenditures, for a combined total of \$990,906. These five local governments account for 43.9 percent of the total direct local government lobbying expenditures. The five local governments are:

Minneapolis (\$330,601) Ramsey County (\$134,845)
Hennepin County (\$239,441) Metropolitan Airports Commission (\$126,388)
Saint Paul (\$159,631)

(See Table 1 on page __ for a complete list of all local governments that reported direct lobbying expenditures to the State Auditor.)

Direct Lobbying Expenditures For Contract Lobbyists And Local Government Employees

Direct expenditures by local government units for lobbying the Legislature included the use of hired contract lobbyists and local government employees. During 1993:

- o ten local units of government hired contract lobbyists and used their employees for lobbying the Legislature;
- o five local units of government relied entirely upon their employees to represent them at the Legislature;
- o thirty-one units of government relied entirely upon contract lobbyists to represent them at the Legislature; and
- o five counties in Northeastern Minnesota contracted with Saint Louis County for representation at the Legislature.

Approximately 51.5 percent (\$1,161,237) of the total direct lobbying expenditures were made to various contract lobbyists. The remaining 48.5 percent (\$1,093,645) of the expenditures were made to employees of 15 government units to lobby on behalf of the local unit of government.

The \$1,161,237 expended by Minnesota local governments for contract lobbyists was received by 29 contract lobbyists or lobbying firms. Seven lobbyists/firms received \$50,000 or more from local governments. These seven lobbyists/firms received a combined total of \$635,623, accounting for 55 percent of all contract lobbying expenditures by Minnesota local governments. The seven contract lobbyists are:

Messerli & Kramer (\$204,116) Best and Flanagan (\$109,496) Ronald A. Jerich & Associates (\$86,433) North State Advisors (\$64,097) Barry Tilley (\$61,112) Mary Gilbert (\$59,660) Roger J. Aronson (\$50,709)

(See Tables 2 through 4 on pages __ through __ for more detailed information on the direct expenditures of the 51 local units of government that filed reports with the State Auditor.)

OTHER LOBBYING EXPENDITURES ON BEHALF OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

While 51 local government units have opted to pay lobbyists directly to lobby on their behalf, most local governments rely on various local government organizations to represent them at the Legislature. These local government organizations charge membership dues to individual local governments throughout the state. The amount of resources spent by these organizations on lobbying varies substantially from one organization to another; however, all organizations are required to file reports with the State Ethical Practices Board that summarize ranges of amounts spent on lobbying activities.

Thirty local government organizations reported lobbying expenditures to the State Ethical Practices Board.³ Total cumulative spending by these 30 organizations ranged from \$1,160,500 to \$3,400,000.⁴

- o Eleven of the 30 organizations represented the interests of school districts;
- o five of the 30 organizations represented the interests of cities;

³The local government organizations identified in this report do not include various professional organizations, other than local government management associations, that lobby on behalf of specific professional occupations within local governments. For example, the Minnesota Education Association (MEA) is registered with the State Ethical Practices Board; however, since the organization does not specifically represent school districts, or school district management personnel, the MEA's lobbying expenditures are not included in this Report.

⁴The Ethical Practices Board requires organizations that lobby the Legislature to report their lobbying expenditures within a given range. Therefore, it is impossible to determine precisely how much was spent by these 30 organizations on legislative lobbying.

- o five of the 30 organizations represented the interests of local economic development and housing organizations;
- o four of the 30 organizations represented the interests of counties; and
- o five of the 30 organizations represented other local government interests.

Among the 30 local government organizations that lobbied the Legislature on behalf of their local government members, five organizations reported lobbying expenditures in excert of \$150,000. These five organizations are:

The Association of Metropolitan Municipalities (\$150,001 to \$250,000)

The Association of Minnesota Counties (150,001 to \$250,000)

The Coalition of Greater Minnesota Cities (\$250,001 to \$500,000)

The League of Minnesota Cities (\$250,001 to \$500,000)

The Metropolitan Inter-County Association (\$150,001 to \$250,000)

(See Table 5 on page __ for the complete list of the 30 local government organizations that filed lobbying expenditure reports with the State Ethical Practices Board, including the range of expenditures reported for each organization.)

SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS

While compiling data and conducting our analysis, the Office of the State Auditor noted the following findings.

- A large number of local government organizations represent local government interests at the Legislature. An analysis of the reports filed with the State Auditor, and the Ethical Practices Board records, identified 30 local government organizations and 51 local government units that are lobbying on behalf of local governments. Local governments may want to evaluate the actual need for this many organizations representing their interests at the Legislature. With that many organizations speaking on behalf of local governments, it is important that, at a minimum, the organizations speak with a unified voice on those issues upon which they agree. The lobbying efforts of all local governments will be undermined if Legislators begin hearing conflicting messages from individual local government organizations.
- Reports to the State Ethical Practices Board do not require organize us to specifically report the amount they spend on lobbying. Instead, organizations are required to report their expenditures in broad spending categories. These categories are: \$500 to \$50,000; \$50,001 to \$150,000; \$150,001 to \$250,000; and \$250,000 to \$500,000. This reporting makes it impossible to provide a more precise cumulative total of lobbying expenditures by local government organizations.
- o Reports on lobbying expenditures made by local government units understate the actual amount of tax dollars spent by local governments for legislative lobbying. The Statute that requires local governments to report their lobbying expenditures to the State Auditor merely requires an accounting for amounts spent on employee compensation and

expenses, and expenditures for contract lobbyists. Lobbying expenditures that are not reported to the State Auditor include all administrative overhead, including the cost of support staff, rent, telephones, supplies and equipment and the assistance of other local government employees who are not required to be included in the local government's lobbying expenditures Report. By enacting a law requiring local governments to report their annual lobbying expenditures to the Office of the State Auditor, the Legislature clearly intended to inform itself and the public as to the amount being expended by their local governments on state lobbying activities. By omitting the administrative overhead expenses from the reporting requirement, local governments are not giving the public an accurate account of the total tax dollars spent on lobbying the Legislature.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the Legislature amend Minnesota Statute §6.76 to require local governments to estimate, and report to the State Auditor, the amount of overhead expenditures they have relative to legislative lobbying. Furthermore, local government organizations should also be required to report their specific lobbying expenditures to the State Auditor. This new reporting requirement should reflect the reporting standard established for all units of local government, thereby enabling the State Auditor to compile a report to the Legislature that identifies the actual total cost of lobbying by local units of government.

DATA TABLES

TABLE 1
LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993

Governmental Unit	Employee or Contract Lobbyist	Contract Total	Salary & Benefits Related to Lobbying	Expense Reimbursement	Total Lobbying Expenditures
Altkin County	St. Louis Couray			2,000	2,000
Anoka County	Bennett, Marcia		45,900		45,900
Anoka County	Jerich, Ronald	30,000			30,000
Anoka County	Strand, Trish	_	5,727		5,727
Anoka County Total		\$30,000	\$51,627	•	\$81,627
Anoka - Hennepin ISO #11	Jerich, Ronald	33,600			33,600
Anoka-Hennepin ISD #11	Lundell, Brad	26,000			26,000
Anoka-Hennepin ISD #11 Total		\$59,600			\$59,600
Caritor, County	Walli, Kevin	5,375	······································		5,375
City of Blaine	Jerich, Ronald	6,000			6,000
City of Bloomington	Firm	20,263			20,263
City of Bloomington	Firm	12,000			12,000
City of Bloomington Total		\$32,263			\$32,263
City of Burnsville	Renner Jr., Robert G.	12,000		1,184	13,184
City of Duluth	Firm	27,371		···	27,971
City of Duluth	Ranieri, Eugene	46,429			46,429
City of Duluth Total	. •	\$73,800			\$73,800
City of Eagen	Firm	12,000		207	12,207
City of Eden Prairie	Firm	12,000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12,000
City of Edina	Firm	12,000		1,184	13,184
City of Fergus Falls (RTC)	Horazdovsky, David	22,000		97	22,097
City of Hopkins	Doherty, Rumble & Butler	27,842		625	28,467
City of Minneapolis	Addicks, Jr., Mentor	····	78,409		78,409
City of Minneapolis	Balach, Bonnie	10,000	•		10,000
City of Minneapolis	Barnhart, William J.	•	14,732		14,732
City of Minneapolis	Casserli, Jim	3,500	•		3,500
City of Minneapolis	Graves, Richard	•	35,373		35,373
City of Minneapolis	Herman, John, et al.	13,90∪	•		13,900
City of Minneapolis	Holm, Patty		20,416		20,416
City of Minneapolis	Kajer, Andrea Hart-		58,125		58,125
City of Minneapolis	Kozak, Andrew	42,000			42,000
City of Minneapolis	Van Wychen, Jeff		54,148		54,148
City of Minneapolis Total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$69,400	\$261,201		\$330,601
City of Minnetonka	Firm	12,000			12,000
City of Moorhead	Hutchins, Scott A.		12,061		12,061
City of Plymouth	Renner Jr., Robert G.	12,000			12,000
City of Richfield	Ranieri, Eugene	1,627			1,627
City of Rochester	Hahne, Kathryn S.	21,000		1.172	22,172
City of Roseville	Firm	10,048			10,048
City of Shoreview	Firm	7,474			7,474
City of St. Paul	Empson, Julian		34,381		34,381
City of St. Paul	Larkin, Monika		41,032		41,032

TABLE 1
LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 (Continued)

Governmental Unit	Employee or Contract Lobbyist	Contract Total	Salary & Benefits Related to Lobbying	Expense Reimbursement	Total Lobbying Expenditures
City of St. Paul	Noponen, Lisa	•	17,896		17.806
City of St. Paul	Ongaro, Frank	6,000			17,896
City of St. Paul	Ongaro, Frank	•	60,322		6,000
City of St. Paul Total		\$6,000	\$153,631		
City of Waconia	Boland, John	1,152			1,152
City of Woodbury	Renner Jr., Robert G.	6,418			6,418
Cook County	St. Louis County			2,000	2,000
Dakota County	Tilley, Barry	58,000		3,112	61,112
Dakota County Technical College (ISD # 917)	Aronson, Roger	13,333		0,112	13,333
Duluth School District	Soberg, Ron	,,,,,,,	36,429	 	36,429
Hennepin County	Davidson, Mary E.		43,370		43,370
Hennepin County	Firm	50,000			50,000
Hennepin County	Ginsberg, Rich	25,000			25,000
Hennepin County	Loeffler, Diane L.		47,696		47,696
Hennepin County	Staebler, James L.		57,601		57,601
Hennepin County	Wiborg, Ronald F.		15,774		15,774
Hennepin County Total	,	\$75,000	\$164,441		\$239,441
Hennepin Technical College (ISD 287)	Aronson, Roger J.	13,333			13,333
Itasca County	St. Louis County			2,000	2,000
Koochiching County	St. Louis County	·		2,000	2,000
Lake County	St. Louis County			2,000	2,000
Metropolitan Airports Commission	Apitz, John				2,000
Metropolitan Airports Commission	Dombrowski, David		53,050		53,050
Metropolitan Airports Commission	Kramer, Ross	70,957	••••	2,381	73,338
Metropolitan Airports Commission	McDowall, Wendy			2,001	0,000
Metropolitan Airports Commission	Morris, Randolph				Ô
Metropolitan Airports Commission	Neren, Sandra				0
Metropolitan Airports Commission	Renner Jr., Robert G.				. O
Metropolitan Airports Commission Total		\$70,957	\$53,050	\$2,381	\$126,388
Metropolitan Council	Gohl, Janey		46,522	<u> </u>	46,522
Metropolitan Council	Schwarzkopf, Lyall		26,578		26,578
Metropolitan Council Total			\$73,101		\$73,101
Metropolitan Sports Commission	Jerich, Ronald A.	16,833			16,833
Metropolitan Sports Commission	O'Neill, Joe	28,000		446	28,446
Metropolitan Sports Commission	Redmond, Lary	31,417		1,5	31,417
Metropolitan Sports Commission Total	,	\$76,250		\$446	\$76,696
Metropolitan Transit Commission	Johnson, Tom		53,268	V .70	53,288
Metropolitan Transit Commission	Strauss, Dawn	•	6,274		6,274
Metropolitan Transit Commission Total			\$59,562		\$59,562
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission	Ferderer, Roburt	6,000			6,000
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission	Groschen, Eunice	-,	13,386		13,386
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission	Haugerud, Neil	31,560	,		31,560

TABLE 1
LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 (Continued)

Governmental Unit	Employee or Contract Lobbyist	Contract Total	Salary & Benefits Related to Lobbying	Expense Reimbursement	Total Lobbying Expanditures
MWCC Total		\$37,560	\$13,386		\$50,946
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board	Johnson, David	5,971		59	6,030
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board	Nauman, Joyce	12,279		287	12,566
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board	Rice, Brian	40,783		117	40,900
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board Total		\$59,032		\$463	\$59,495
Minneapolis Public Schools	Sandvig, Linda	48,350		45	48,395
Northeast Metropolitan ISD 916	Aronson, Roger	13,333			13,333
Olmsted County	Caucutt, Amy		23,638		23,638
Remsey County	Spano, Wy	37,184			37,184
Remsey County	Abts, James		39,972		39,972
Ramsey County	Lindeke, Terry		47,318		47,318
Ramsey County	Wodele, John		10,371		10,371
Ramsey County Total		\$37,184	\$97,661		\$134,845
Ramsey County Regional Rail Authority	Anderson, Liz	12,000			12,000
Ramsey County Regional Rail Authority	DespiegeLaere, Kathy		24,829		24,829
Ramsey County Regional Rail Authority Total		\$12,000	\$24,829		\$36,829
Region I - ESV	Aronson, Roger J.	5,352			5,352
Region V Computer Cervices	Aronson, Roger J.	5,358		·	5,358
Regional Transit Board	Munyon, Sherry		29,281		29,261
Regional Transit Board	Tennessen, Robert	10,000		280	10,280
Regional Transit Board Total		\$10,000	\$29,281	\$280	\$39,561
Saint Paul Public Schools	Gilbert, Mary	59,500		160	59,660
Scott County	Boland, John	38,500			38,500
St. Louis County	Fisher, Harry	29,760			29,760
St. Louis County	Ongaro, John		39,747 *		39,747
St. Louis County Total	_	\$29,760	\$39,747		\$69,507
Washington County	Ladwig, Susan	24,720		361	25,081
Employee Total			\$1,093,645		\$1,093,645
Contract Total		\$1,139,521		\$21,716	\$1,161,237
Employee & Contract Lobbying Total		\$1,139,521_	\$1,093,645	\$21,716	\$2,254,882

^{*} An additional \$7,989 in expenses were paid by other Arrowhead Counties through an agreement between the counties of the arrowhead region.

TABLE 2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 EMPLOYEE LOBBYISTS

Governmental Unit or Association	Lobbyist	1993 Annual Salary	Employee Benefits	Percent of Time Lobbying	1993 Aliocation of Salary & Benefits For Lobbying	Expenses Related to Lobbying	Total Expenditures Related To Lobbying
Anoka County	Bennett, Marcia	60,894	9,676	60.8%	42,907	2,994	45,900
Anoka County	Strand, Trish	4,060	329	100.0%	4,389	1,338	5,727
Anoka County Total		64,954	10,005	N/A	47,295	4,332	51,627
City of Minneapolis	Addicks, Jr., Mentor	73,018	11,702	90.0%	76,248	2,161	78,409
City of Minneapolis	Bamhert, William J.	62,537	10,587	20.0%	14,625	107	14,732
City of Minneapolis	Graves, Richard	58,672	9,531	50.0%	34,102	1,271	35,373
City of Minneapolis	Kajer, Andrea Hart-	47,110	8,868	100.0%	55,978	2,147	58,125
City of Minneapolis	Holin, Patty	33,670	7,161	50.0%	20,416	0	20,416
City of Minneapolis	Van Wychen, Jeff	52,373	9,916	65.0%	52,946	1,202	54,148
City of Minneapolis Total	-	327,380	57,765	N/A	254,313	6,888	261,201
City of Moorhead	Hutchins, Scott A	51,948	11,556	15.2%	9,653	2,408	12,061
City of St. Paul	Empson, Julian	45,509	11,792	60.0%	34,381	0	34,361
City of St. Paul	Larkin, Monika	48,639	12,603	67.0%	41,032	0	41,032
City of St. Paul	Noponen, Lisa	16,084	1,780	100.0%	17,864	32	17,896
City of St. Paul	Ongaro, Frank	69,059	17,895	67.0%	58,259	2,063	60,322
City of St. Paul Total		179,291	44,070	N/A	151,536	2,095	153,631
Duluth School District	Soberg, Ron	53,995	11,770	40.0%	26,306	10,123	36,429
Hennepin County	Davidson, Mary E.	45,510	8,790	75.0%	40,725	2,645	43,370
Hennepin County	Loeffler, Diane L.	51,923	8,073	75.0%	44,997	2,699	47,696
Hennepin County	Staebler, James L.	68,771	9,402	66.7%	52,141	5,459	57,601
Hennepir, County	Wiborg, Ronald F.	51,843	8,059	25.0%	14,976	799	15,774
Hennepin County Total		218,047	34,324	N/A	152,839	11,602	164,441
Metropolitan Airports Commission	Dombrowski, David	72,000	15,841	60.0%	52,704	346	53,050
Metropolitan Council	Gohl, Janey	53,997	17,/_2	65.0%	46,422	100	46,522
Metropolitan Council	Schwarzkopf, Lyall	68,468	19,943	30.0%	26,523	55	26,578
Metropolitan Council Total		122,464	37,366	N/A	72,946	155	73,101
Metropolitan Transit Commission	Johnson, Tom	66.811	11,646	66.7%	52,331	957	53,288
Metropolitan Transit Commission	Strauss, Dawn	33,872	6,346	15.6%	6,274	0	6,274
Metropolitan Transit Commission Total		100,683	17,992	N/A	58.605	957	59,562
Metrupolitan Waste Control Commission	Groschen, Eunice	41,973	11,572	25.0%	13,386	0	13,386
Olmsted County	Caucutt, Arny	33,746	10,124	50.0%	21,935	1,703	23,638
Ramsey County Regional Rai! Authority	DespiegeLaere, Kathy	71,136	11,629	30.0%	24,829	0	24,829
Ramsey County	Abts. James	69,541	10,403	50.0%	39,972	Ō	39,972
Ramsey County	Lindeke, Terry	67,818	10,212	60.0%	46,818	500	47,318
Ramsey County	Wodele, John	58,177	10,960	15.0%	10,371	0	10,371
Ramsey County Total		195,536	31,576	N/A	97,161	500	97,661
Regional Transit Board	Munyon, Sherry	23,767	4,725	100.0%	28,492	789	29,281
St. Louis County	Ongaro, John	55,315	11,956	58.0%	39,017	730	
Totai		\$1,612,234	\$322,269	N/A	\$1,051,017	\$42,628	\$1,093,645

^{*} An additional \$7,989 in expenses were paid by other Arrowhead Counties through an agreement between the counties of the arrowhead region.

TABLE 3 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 CONTRACT LOBBYISTS

Governmental Unit	Lobbyist	Firm Name	Contract Total	Expense Reimbursement	Total Expenditures
Aitkin County	St. Louis County	Arrowhead Counties Association		2.000	2,000
Anoka County	Jerich, Ronald	Ronald A. Jerich & Associates	30,000		30,000
Anoka-Hennepin ISD #11	Lundell, Brad	Lundell, Brad	26,000		26,000
Anoka-Hennepin ISD #11	Jerich, Ronald	Ronald A. Jerich & Associates	33,600		33,800
Anoka-Hennepin ISD #11 Total			59,600		59,600
Carlton County	Walli, Kevin	Fryburger-Buchanen (Duluth)	5,375		5,375
City of Blaine	Jerich, Ronald	Ronald A. Jerich & Associates	6,000		6,000
City of Bloomington	Firm	Messerli & Kramer	20,263		20,263
City of Bloomington	Firm	Minnesota Legislative Commission	12,000		12,000
City of Bloomington Total			32,263		32,263
City of Burnsville	Renner Jr., Robert G.	Messerli & Kramer (for MLC)	12,000	1,184	13,184
City of Duluth	Firm	Hessian, McKasy & Soderberg	27,371		27,371
City of Duluth	Ranier, Eugene	Publicorp Inc.	46,429		46,429
City of Duluth Total			73,800		73,800
City of Eagan	Firm	Messerli & Kramer (for MLC)	12,000	207	12,207
City of Eden Prairie	Firm	Messerli & Kramer (for MLC)	12,000		12,000
City of Edina	Firm	Messerli & Kramer (for MLC)	12,000	1,184	13,184
City of Fergus Falls (RTC)	Horazdovsky, David	North State Advisors & Associates	22,009	97	22,097
City of Hopkins	Mark Hanson	Doherty, Rumble & Butler	387		387
City of Hopkins	Dick Nowlin	Doherty, Rumble & Butler	4,725	200	4,925
City of Hopkins	Lona Minnes	Doherty, Rumble & Butler	22,730	425	23,155
City of Hopkins Total			27,842	625	28,467
City of Minneapolis	Balach, Bonnie	Bonnie Balach	10,000		10,000
City of Minneapolis	Casserli, Jim	Casserli-Molzahn	3,500		3,500
City of Minneapolis	Herman, John, et al.	Leonard, Street & Deinard	13,900		13,900
City of Minneapolis	Kozak, Andrew	North State Advisors & Associates	42,000		42,000
City of Minneapolis Total			69,400		69,400
City of Minnetonka	Firm	Messerli & Kramer (for MCL)	12,000		12,000
City of Plymouth	Renner Jr., Robert G.	Messerli & Kramer (for MCL)	12,000		12,000
City of Richfield	Ranieri, Eugene	Publicorp	1,627	0	1,627
City of Rochester	Hahne, Kathryn S.	Larkin, Hoffman, Daly & Lindren	21,000	1,172	22,172
City of Roseville	Firm	Messerli & Kramer (for MCL)	10,048		10,048
City of Shoreview	Firm	Messerli & Kramer (for MCL)	7,474		7,474
City of St. Paul	Ongaro, Frank	Capital City Consultants	6,000		6,000
City of Waconia	Boland, John	Boland & Associates	1,152	0	1,152
City of Woodbury	Renner Jr., Robert G.	Messerli & Kramer	6,418		6,418

TABLE 3
LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993
CONTRACT LOBBYISTS (Continued)

Cook County St. Louis County Arrowhead Counties Association Dakota County Tilley, Barry Capital Hill Associates 58,000 Dakota County Technical College (ISD # 917) Aronson, Roger Rischmiller, Knippel & Aronson 13,933 Hennepin County Firm Best & Flanagan 50,000 Hennepin County Ginsberg, Rich Ginsberg, Rich 25,000	2,000 3,112 2,000	2,000 61,112 13,333 50,000 25,000 75,000 13,333
Dakota County Technical College (ISD # 917) Aronson, Roger Rischmiller, Knippel & Aronson 13,333 Hennepin County Firm Best & Flanagen 50,000		13,333 50,000 25,000 75,000
Hennepin County Firm Best & Flanagan 50,000	2 000	50,000 25,000 75,000
	2 000	25,000 75,000
Hennenin County Ginehera Rich Ginshera Rich 25 000	2 000	75,000
riginaphi county 25,000	2.000	
Hennepin County Total 75,000	2 000	19 999
Hennepin Technical College (ISD 287) Aronson, Roger J. Rischmiller, Knippel & Aronson 13,333	2 000	13,333
Itasca County Arrowhead Counties Association	2,000	2,000
Koochiching County St. Louis County Arrowhead Counties Association	2,000	2,000
Lake County Arrowhead Counties Association	2,000	2,000
Metropolitan Airports Commission McDowall, Wendy Messerli & Kramer		0
Metropolitan Airports Commission Kramer, Ross Messerli & Kramer 70,957	2,381	73,338
Metropolitan Airports Commission Morris, Randolph Messerli & Kramer		0
Metropolitan Airports Commission Neren, Sandra Messerli & Kramer		0
Metropolitan Airports Commission Renner Jr., Robert G. Messerli & Kramer		0
Metropolitan Airports Commission Apitz, John Messerli & Kramer		0
Metropolitan Airports Commission Total 70,957	2,381	73,338
Metropolitan Sports Commission O'Neill, Joe O'Neill, Joe 28,000	446	28,446
Metropolitan Sports Commission Redmond, Larry Redmond, Larry 31,417		31,417
Metropolitan Sports Commission Jerich, Ronald A. Ronald A. Jerich & Associates 16,833		16,833
Metropolitan Sports Commission Total 76,250	446	76,696
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission Ferderer, Robert Ferderer, Robert 6,000		6,000
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission Haugerud, Neil Haugerud, Neil 31,560		31,560
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission Total 37,560		37,560
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board Nauman, Joyce Best & Flansgan 12,279	287	12,566
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board Rice, Brian Best & Flanagan 40,783	117	40,900
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board Johnson, David Best & Flanagan 5,971	59	6,030
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board Total 59,032	463	59,495
Minneapolis Public Schools Sandvig, Linda Sandvig, Linda 48,350	45	48,395
Northeast Metropolitan ISD 916 Aronson, Roger Rischmiller, Knippel & Aronson 13,333		13,333
Ramsey County Spano & Janacek 37,184		37,184
Ramsey County Regional Rail Authority Anderson, Liz Anderson, Liz 12,000		12,000
Region I - ESV Aronson, Roger J. Rischmiller, Knippel & Aronson 5,352		5,352
Region V Computer Cervices Aronson, Roger J. Rischmiller, Knippel & Aronson 5,358		5,358
Regional Transit Board Tennessen, Robert O'Connor & Hannan 10,000	280	10,280
Saint Paul Public Schools Gilbert, Mary Gilbert & Associates 59,50'	160	59,660

TABLE 3 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 CONTRACT LOBBYISTS (Continued)

Governmental Unit	Lobbyist	Firm Name		Contract Total	Expense Reimbursement	Total Expenditures
Scott County	Boland, John	Boland & Associates		38,500		38,500
St. Louis County	Fisher, Harry	North Shore Fores	oducts	29,760		29,760
Washington County	Ladwig, Susan	Susan J. Ladwig & As	sociates	24,720	361	25,081
Total				\$1,139,521	\$21,716	1,161,237

Table 4 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING FXPENDITURES FOR 1993 CONTRACT LOBBYIST Sorted by Lobbyist

Name of Entity	Total Contract	Expanse Reimbursement	Total Spent
Anderson, Liz			
Ramsey County Regional Rail Authority	\$12,000		\$12,000
Arrownead Counties Association			
Aitkin County		2.000	2.000
Cook County		2,000	2,000
Itasca County		2,000	2,000
Koochiching County		2,000	2,000
Lake County		2,000	2,000
Total for Arrowhead Counties Association	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000
Best & Flanagan			
Hennepin County	50,000		50,000
Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board	59,032	₫ 63	59,495
Total for Best & Fianagan	\$109,032	\$463	109,495
Boland & Associates			
City of Waconia	1,152		1,152
Scott County	38,500		38,500
Total for Boland & Associates	\$39,652	\$0	\$39,652
Bonnie Balach			
City of Minneapolis	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000
Capital City Consultants			
City of St. Paul	\$6,000	30	\$6,000
Barry Tilley			
Dakota County	\$58,000	\$3,112	\$61,112
Casserii-Molahn			•
City of Minneapolis	\$3,500	30	\$3,500
Doherty, Rumbie & Butler			
City of Hopkins	\$27,842	\$625	\$28,467
Ferderer, Robert	,		
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission	\$6,000	\$0	\$6,000
Fryburger-Buchanen (Duluth)			
Carlton County	\$5,375	\$0	\$5,375
Gilbert & Associates			
Saint Paul Public Schools	\$59,500	\$160	\$59,660
Ginsberg, Rich			
Hennepin County	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000
Haugerud, Neil			
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission	\$31,560	\$0	\$31,580

Table 4
LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 (Continued)
CONTRACT LOBBYIST
Sorted by Lobbyist

	Total	Expense	Total
Name of Entity	Contract	Reimbursement	Spent
City of Duluth	\$27,371	\$0	\$27,371
Larkin, Hoffman, Daly & Lindren			
City of Rochester	\$21,000	\$1,172	\$22,172
Leonard, Street & Deinard			
City of Minneapolis	\$13,900	\$0	\$13,900
Lundell, Brad			
Anoka-Hennepin ISD #11	\$26,000	\$0	\$26,000
Messerli & Kramer			
City of Bloomington	20,263		20,263
City of Woodbury	6,418		6,418
City of Minnetonka	12,000		12,000
City of Plymouth	12,000		12,000
City of Roseville	10,048		10,048
City of Shoreview	7,474		7,474
City of Burnsville	12,000	1,184	13,184
City of Eagair	12,000	207	12,207
City of Eden Prairie	12,000	2.01	12,000
City of Edina	12,000	1,184	13,184
City of Bloomington	12,000	1,104	
Metropolitan Airports Commission		0.004	12,000
Total for Messerli & Kramer	70,957 \$199,160	2,381 \$4,956	73,338 \$204,116
Total for Messelli & Mailler	\$199,100	34,830	\$204,110
North Shore Forest Producers			
St. Louis County	\$29,760	\$0	\$29,760
North State Advisors & Associates			
City of Fergus Falls (RTC)	22,000	97	22,097
City of Minneapolis	42,000	91	42,000
Total for North State Advisors & Associates	\$64,000	\$97	\$64,097
Total for Hotel Caste Advisors a Associates		33 1	304,037
O'Connor & Hannan			
Regional Transit Board	\$10,000	\$280	\$10,280
O'Nelli, Joe	4		
Metropolitan Sports Commission	\$28,000	\$446	\$28,446
Publicorp Inc.			
City of Richfield	1,627		1,627
City of Duluth	46,429		46,429
Total for Publicorp Inc.	\$48,056	\$0	\$48,056
Redmond, Larry			
Metropolitan Sports Commission	\$31,417	\$0	\$31,417
Roger J. Aronson			
Dakota County Technical College (ISD # 917)	13,333		13,333
Hennepin Technical College (ISD 287)	13,333		13,333

Table 4
LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 (Continued)
CONTRACT LOBBYIST
Sorted by Lobbyist

	Total	Expense	Total
Name of Entity	Contract	Reimbursement	Spent
Region I - ESV	5,352		5,352
Region V Computer Cervices	5,358		5,358
Total for Roger J. Aronson	\$50,709	\$0	\$50,709
Ronald A. Jerich & Associates			
Anoka County	30,000		30,000
Anoka-Hennepin ISD #11	33,600		33,600
City of Blaine	6,000		ට ,00 0
Metropolitan Sports Commission	16,833		16,83
Total for Ronald A. Jerich & Associates	\$86,433	\$0	\$86,43
Sandvig, Linda			
Minneapolis Public Schools	\$48,350	\$45	\$48,39
Spano & Janacek			
Ramsey County	\$37,184	<u>\$0</u>	\$37,184
Susan J. Ladwig & Associates		•	
Washington County	<u>\$24,720</u>	\$361	\$25,08
otal	\$1,139,521	\$21,716	1,161,237

APPENDIX A

Office of the State Auditor Lobby Disclosure Form

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING 1993 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES REPORTING FORM

The following instructions are intended to assist you in completing the 1993 Lobby Disclosure Reporting Form that is required by Minnesota Statutes § 6.76. You will note the reporting form is identical to the form used for 1992 Lobbying expenditures. While we are asking you to report the total annual salaries and benefits of individual employees who meet the criteria expressed in Chapter 6.76, we are also asking that you make a good faith effort to designate the percentage of the employee's time throughout the year that is spent on activities related to legislative matters. (When estimating the percentage of an employee's time that is spent on activities related to Lobbying the state, please remember that 1993 was a longer legislative session than 1992, so therefore, the percentage of time spent lobbying may have increased from what you reported for 1992.)

REPORTING EXPENDITURES FOR CONTRACT LOBBYISTS

Please identify all contract lobbyists retained by your political subdivision and indicate the total amount paid to that individual or firm for lobbying the legislature and/or state administrative agencies, including the amount paid for expenses of that individual or firm. For purposes of this reporting form, a contract lobbyist is any individual or firm, excluding employees of the political subdivision, that meets the definition of a lobbyist as defined in Minnesota Statutes § 10A.01, subdivision 11 (see attached). Expenditures for Contract Lobbyists do not include dues paid to local government associations that are formed for purposes that extend beyond representing their membership before the legislature and state administrative agencies (e.g. League of Cities, Association of Counties, Minnesota School Boards Association, etc.).

REPORTING EXPENDITURES FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE POLITICAL SUBDIVISION

Please identify all employees of the political subdivision who spend more than 25 percent of their time during the legislative session on legislative matters. For each employee listed, provide the employee's estimated annual salary, the employee's estimated annual expense reimbursements, and the estimated annual cost of benefits (health, dental and employer-paid life insurance and retirement benefits, including employer-paid FICA) for the employee. In addition to these estimates, make a good faith effort to estimate the percent of the employee's annual time that is spent lobbying the state legislature and/or state administrative agencies. When estimating the percent of time spent lobbying, please include time spent preparing for legislative sessions, addressing legislative-related issues with local officials, legislators, state agency staff other local government representatives, local citizens, and other interested organizations. Be sure to include in your estimate the time spent working with state administrative agencies on the development and implementation of administrative rules for programs and policies that affect local governments. Also, estimate the amount of employee expense reimbursements that are related to lobbying the legislature and/or state agencies.

REQUIRED SIGNATURE

Please have the form signed by the senior elected or administrative official of the political subdivision. This signature shall be used to certify that all information is accurate and complete. In addition to the signature of the senior elected or administrative official, please provide the name and phone number of the individual who should be contacted if we have questions relating to the information provided on this form.

Thank you for your assistance on this matter. Please make additional copies of this form if you need additional space to complete this form. If you submit more than one page of information, please indicate on each page the total number of pages submitted.

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA STATE AUDITOR 1993 LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES REPORTING FORM

(Please Print or Type)

Tame of Entity: Person Completing Form:				-		
City, Zip Code:	Phone Number:					
PLEASE LIST A	LL CONTRACT L	OBBYISTS RETAI	INED BY THE POLITICAL	SUBDIVISION		
NAME OF CONTRACT LOBBYIST	FIRM NAME/ADDRESS		TOTAL AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION PAID DURING 1993	TOTAL AMOUNT OF EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENTS PAID DURING 1993		
				·		
			OVER 25 PERCENT OF T ON LEGISLATIVE MATT			
	1993 ANNUAL	1993 ANNUAL	ESTIMATED ANNUAL (1993) COST OF EMPLOYEE'S	ESTIMATED PERCENT OF EMPLOYEE'S ANNUAL (1993) TIME SPENT	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF EMPLOYEES 1993 EXPENSE RELATED TO	
NAME OF EMPLOYEE	SALARY	EXPENSES	BENEFITS	LOBBYING	LOBBYING	

I hereby certify to the best of my know	ledge the informati	on provided on thi	s form is accurate and com	plete.		
Signature of The Senior Elected or App	pointed Official:			Date:		

APPENDIX B

Actual Salaries And Benefits
Paid To Local Government Employees
Who Lobby On Behalf Of Local Governments

LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 EMPLOYEE LOBBYISTS

	1993	E1
Name of Lobbyist	Annual Salary	Employee Benefits
Name of Lobby:st	Salary	Denents
Anoka County		
Bennett, Marcia	60,894	9,676
Strand, Trish	4,060	329
Total for Anoka County	\$64,954	\$10,005
City of Minneapolis		
Addicks, Jr., Mentor	73,018	11,702
Barnhart, William J.	62,537	10,587
Graves, Richard	58,672	9,531
Kajer, Andrea Hart-	47,110	8,868
Holm, Patty	33,670	7,161
Van Wychen, Jeff	52,373	9,916
Total for City of Minneapolis	\$327,380	\$57,765
City of Moorhead		
Hutchins, Scott A.	<u>\$51,948</u>	\$11,556
City of St. Paul		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Empson, Julian	45,509	11,792
Larkin, Monika	48,639	12,603
Noponen, Lisa	16,084	1,780
Ongaro, Frank	69,059	17,895
Total for City of St. Paul	\$179,291	\$44,070
Tour for Only Or Out and		
Duluth School District		
Soberg, Ron	<u>\$53,995</u>	<u>\$11,770</u>
Hannepin County		
Davidson, Mary E.	45,510	8,790
Loeffler, Diane L.	51,923	8,073
Staebler, James L.	68,771	9,402
Wiborg, Ronald F.	51,843	8,059
Total for Hennepin County	\$218,047	\$34,324
Metropolitan Airports Commission		
Dombrowski, David	\$72,000	\$15,841
Metropolitan Council		
Gohl, Janey	53,997	17,422
Schwarzkopf, Lyali	68,468	19,943
Total for Metropolitan Council	\$122,464	\$37,366
Metropolican Transit Commission		
Johnson, Tom	66,811	11,646
Strauss, Dawn	33,872	6,346
Total for Metropolitan Transit Commission	\$100,683	\$17,992
Total for Med opolitan Transit Commission	\$100,003	917,332
Metropolitan Waste Control Commission	A 4 4 5 7 5	644 550
Groschen, Eunice	<u>\$41,973</u>	\$11,572

LOCAL GOVERNMENT LOBBYING EXPENDITURES FOR 1993 (Continued) EMPLOYEE LOBBYISTS

Name of Lobbyist	1993 Annual Salary	Employee Benefits
Olmsted County		
Caucutt, Amy	<u>\$33,746</u>	\$10,124
Ramsey County Regional Rail Authority		
DespiegeLaere, Kathy	<u>\$71,136</u>	\$11,629
Ramsey County		
Abts, James	69,541	10,403
Lindeke, Terry	67,818	10,212
Wodele, John	58,177	10,960
Total for Ramsey County	<u>\$195,536</u>	\$31,576
Regional Transit Board		
Munyon, Sherry	<u>\$23,767</u>	\$4,725
St. Louis County		
Ongaro, John	\$55,315	\$11,956
Total	\$1,612,234	\$322,269