

 $\triangle$ 

900 Pillsbury Center Minneapolis, MN 55402-1483 Telephone: (612) 333-2301 Telecopy: (612) 375-5418

Board of Directors Minnesota State Retirement System 529 Jackson at 10th Street St. Paul, Minnesota

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are pleased to present our report on the June 30, 1989 actuarial valuation of the Minnesota State Retirement System, General Employees' Retirement Plan.

Our report is divided into the following sections:

Section I Section II Section IV Section V Section VI		Introduction and Purpose Comparison of Valuation Results Explanation of Differences Changes in the Unfunded Liability Sensitivity Analysis Summary of Historical Valuation Results
Section VI	-	Summary of Historical Valuation Result

Appendices

- A. Summary of Employee Data
- B. Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989
- C. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The report was completed on the basis of accepted actuarial methods and procedures in accordance with the provisions stipulated in the contract between the State of Minnesota and Deloitte & Touche.

We would be pleased to answer any questions you may have regarding this report.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE

F. Jav

### I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) administers several retirement funds and plans. The plans administered are the: General State Employees' Plan, Unclassified Employees' Plan, Correctional Employees' Plan, State Patrol Employees' Plan, Judges' Plan, Legislators' Plan, Elective Officers' Plan, Military Affairs Plan, Transportation Pilots' Plan, and a statewide Deferred Compensation Plan for public employees.

The plans that MSRS administers are overseen by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement (LCPR). The LCPR consists of members of the Minnesota State Senate and Minnesota House of Representatives. Its members duties include:

- o Reviewing investment performance.
- o Establishing policy for public retirement plans.
- o Recommending necessary changes to retirement plan provisions.
- o Hiring an actuary to perform annual actuarial valuations and experience studies.
- o Overseeing the work of the actuary.

Minnesota Statutes, Sections 356.20 and 356.215, require annual actuarial valuations and periodic experience studies. The Board of Directors is concerned with the valuations and experience studies which must be performed for:

- o The General State Employees' Plan;
- o The Correctional Employees' Plan;
- o The State Patrol Employees' Plan; and
- o The Judges' Plan

These valuations and experience studies are prepared by the Wyatt Co., the actuary retained by the LCPR. Since the Minnesota State Retirement System does not have an actuary on its staff, it has retained Deloitte & Touche to review, analyze, and critique the actuarial valuations and experience studies.

This report evaluates the accuracy of the Wyatt Co.'s results and expands on any items of particular significance.

#### II. COMPARISON OF VALUATION RESULTS

We attempted to duplicate the figures shown in the Wyatt Co.'s June 30, 1989 valuation reports. In doing so we had several discussions with the Wyatt Co.'s personnel who prepared the reports. Where we were able to discover reasonable justification for the Wyatt Co.'s approach, we adjusted our methods and assumptions to match theirs. (Descriptions of those adjustments are included in Section III.)

In this section of the report, we compare the results that the Wyatt Co. reported with our valuation results. Three tables are included. Table A shows the derivation of the unfunded liability. Table B shows the annual contribution requirements under Sections 352 and 356. Table C shows the depth of plan funding based on liabilities incurred to date. These figures are also required for Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) reporting.

### TABLE A (000's Omitted)

Present Value of Benefits:	<u>Wyatt Co</u> .	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage <u>Difference</u>
Actives:			
Retirement Death Disability Withdrawal Total actives Deferred Annuitants Former members without		\$1,768,524 113,028 93,718 <u><math>515,671</math></u> \$2,490,941 21,819	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3\% \\ 0.9 \\ (1.9) \\ (2.9) \\ (0.4) \\ (0.1) \end{array}$
vested rights Participants in MPRI Fund Non-MPRI Benefit Total	2,178 $708,697$ $5,572$ $3,239,884$	$2,208 \\ 709,996 \\ \underline{6,418} \\ 3,231,382 $	1.4 0.2 15.2 (0.3)
Portion allocated to future service	783,198	747,933	(4.5)
Accrued liability (reserves required)	\$2,456,686	\$2,483,449	1.1
Valuation assets	_1,871,542	<u>1,871,542</u>	0.0
Unfunded accrued liability	\$ 585,144	\$ 611,907	4.6
Funded ratio	76.2%	75.4%	

.

### **CONTRIBUTIONS**

Chapters 352 and 356 set forth requirements about the level of contributions. Chapter 352 prescribes the actual amount of contributions and Chapter 356 describes the methods used to determine the amount of contribution required to fund the normal cost and the unfunded accrued liability. Together, the actual contribution and required contribution are used to determine the sufficiency of the actual contribution. These calculations are illustrated in Table B. Amounts in parentheses show dollar amount as a percent of payroll.\*

	TABLE B (000's omitted)				
Act	uarially Determined Contribution	Wyatt Co.	<u>&amp; Touche</u>		
1.	Normal cost	\$ 86,543 (6.10%)	\$ 84,961 (5.99%)		
2.	Assumed operating expense	\$ 3,262 (.23%)	\$ 3,262 (.23%)		
3.	Amortization by June 30, 2020 of the unfunded accrued liability	\$ 25,669 (1.81%)	\$ 26,892 (1.90%)		
4.	Total Chapter 356 requirement: (1) + (2) + (3)	\$115,474 (8.14%)	\$115,115 (8.12%)		
Pre	escribed Contributions				
1.	Employee contributions	\$ 61,548 (4.34%)	\$ 61,548 (4.34%)		
2.	Employer contribution	\$ 63,959 (4.51%)	\$ 63,959 (4.51%)		
3.	Total Chapter 352A prescribed contribution	\$125,507 (8.85%)	\$125,507 (8.85%)		
Co	ntribution Sufficiency	.71%	.73%		

\* Assuming that contributions are paid during each payroll period throughout the year ending June 30, 1990. The expected annual payroll is \$1,418,159,000.

The depth of funding indicates the extent to which the accrued benefits are funded and is measured by the ratio of valuation assets to the present value of accrued benefits. These measurements are made on the plan continuation basis (applying <u>all</u> ongoing actuarial assumptions, including assumed salary increases and turnover) and are illustrated as follows:

### TABLE C (000's omitted)

### Depth of Funding June 30, 1989

		Wyatt Co.	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage Difference
1.	Active members	\$1,370,989	\$1,362,664	(0.6%)
2.	Deferred annuitants	21,836	21,819	(0.1)
3.	Former members without vested rights	2,178	2,208	1.4
4.	Participants in MPRI fund	708,697	709,996	.2
5.	Participants not in MPRI fund	5,572	<u> </u>	15.2
6.	Total present values of accrued benefits	\$2,109,272	\$2,103,105	(0.3)
7.	Valuation assets	1,871,542	1,871,542	0.0
8.	Depth of funding	88.7%	89.0%	
9.	Depth of funding excluding MPRI members	83.0%	83.4%	

(

### III. EXPLANATION OF DIFFERENCES

In this section of the report, we present our best explanations for any differences between the Wyatt Co.'s methods and assumptions and ours, the changes we made where appropriate to be consistent with the Wyatt Co., and the effects of these changes.

Our calculations for the General Employees' Retirement Plan are very similar to those of the Wyatt Co., and our valuation results in Table A of Section II are essentially the same. Our total present value of benefits is .3% lower than the Wyatt Co.'s, and our total accrued liability is only 1.1% higher than the Wyatt Co.'s total. With a plan of this size and complexity, duplicating results this closely is unusual.

Our Chapter 356 required contribution is 8.12% of payroll. This is only .02% lower than the Wyatt Co.'s number of 8.14% of payroll. The difference in our numbers is not statistically significant.

When we subtracted the actuarial value of assets to derive the unfunded accrued liability, the percentage difference increased to 4.6%. This difference is misleading since it only occurs because the plan is well funded.

During our discussions with the Wyatt Co., they indicated that they expect the IRS limits on benefits to increase 5% per year. The Wyatt Co. changed their assumption from a rate of 6.5% used last year. We believed that a 6.5% assumption was too high and agree that a 5% increase is reasonable. This assumption along with a 6.5% salary scale results in some IRS limitation for the benefits that this plan provides. The net effect is a slight decrease in potential liabilities.

The General Employees' Retirement Plan has a cash refund annuity. The Wyatt Co. has elected not to adjust for this benefit. In the past, we have recognized this potential liability by applying a 1% load. Because the plan now provides a bounceback annuity at no extra cost, the frequency of cash refund annuities will decrease. Therefore, we now agree with Wyatt that this amount is too insignificant to value.

### IV. CHANGES IN THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY

The General Plan currently has an unfunded liability. An unfunded liability is not necessarily undesirable for an ongoing plan, as long as some provision is made to pay off the liability over time. However the unfunded liability becomes a problem when it is so large that it precludes benefit security, or when, like any debt, interest on the liability becomes unmanageable.

Generally, unfunded liabilities tend to decrease over time, although some year-to-year fluctuation is normal. One symptom of a troubled pension plan is a constantly increasing unfunded liability. Annual changes in the unfunded liability occur when:

- o Part of the contribution made each year goes to pay the normal costs (including expenses). The remaining contribution is called the past service contribution. The unfunded liability is automatically increased each year by the interest requirement (8% last year, 8.5% in future years). If the past service contribution is less than the interest requirement, there will be a net increase in the liability. When the past service contribution is greater than the interest requirement, part of the liability is "paid off," and the liability decreases.
- o The unfunded liability is an actuarial projection of liabilities based on certain assumptions. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions, actuarial gains and losses may occur. An actuarial gain will decrease the unfunded liability; an actuarial loss will increase the unfunded liability.
- o The assumptions and techniques used in calculating the unfunded liability are changed when circumstances warrant. These changes can produce increases or decreases in the unfunded liability.
- o Changes in the legal, economic, and sociological environment often result in changes to retirement plans. These changes frequently result in improved benefits. When these changes result in higher retirement benefits, unfunded liabilities are increased. When the changes increase benefits paid in lieu of retirement benefits, unfunded liabilities can increase or decrease.

During the year ended June 30, 1989, the General Employees' Fund showed an increase in the unfunded liability. This increase was caused because:

### 1. <u>Contribution Rate</u>

The total contributions to the plan were approximately \$90 million. However, expected normal cost, expenses and interest combined to equal \$113 million. The Wyatt Co. decreased the \$23 million difference by \$9 million which is a gain caused by actual salaries being less than the salaries projected last year. The net result was a shortfall of \$14 million, which increases the unfunded liability.

### 2. <u>Actuarial Gains</u>

The Fund experienced an actuarial gain of approximately \$31 million from salary increases which were less than expected. The Fund experienced a \$63 million gain on investments. There was also a loss on postretirement mortality of \$1 million due to retirees living longer than anticipated.

The Wyatt Co. reported a \$35 million loss on "other items." Wyatt's loss on other items is about 1% of the accrued liability and is within the normal range of miscellaneous losses.

Overall, the Wyatt Co. reported a net gain (decrease in unfunded liability) from actuarial experience of \$58 million.

### 3. Changes in Assumptions and Plan Provisions

Legislation passed in 1989 made many changes to the plan provisions. The most significant changes are detailed in the back of the report and summarized below:

- A reduction in service requirements from five years to three years for all benefits.
- o An increase in the normal retirement benefit amount.
- A change in eligibility requirements and benefit amounts for early retirement.
- An increase in the augmentation for deferred annuities to 5% for each year beyond age 55.
- An increase in the interest rate credited on refunds of member contributions from 5% to 6%.
- o A Bounceback annuity is now provided at no additional cost.
- o An additional annual payment (valued at \$25 times years of service) is made to annuitants who retired before July 1, 1973.

Changes in actuarial assumptions included an increase in the preretirement interest rate assumption from 8% to 8.5%. Also, the date of full amortization of the unfunded liability was changed from June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2020.

The changes in provisions increased the unfunded liability by \$231 million. This was offset by a decrease of \$74 million due to changes in assumptions. The net effect of these changes was a \$157 million increase in unfunded liability.

### Changes in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability\*

Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year	\$471,331
Change due to interest requirement and current rate of funding	13,857
Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(A) + (B)$	485,188
Actuarial losses (gains)	(57,584)
Changes in assumptions and plan provisions	157,540
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(C) + (D) + (E)$	<u>585,144</u>
	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year Change due to interest requirement and current rate of funding Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: (A) + (B) Actuarial losses (gains) Changes in assumptions and plan provisions Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: (C) + (D) + (E)

\* Results prepared by the Wyatt Company.

### V. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

When performing an actuarial valuation, liabilities and contributions are developed under a single actuarial cost method and one set of actuarial assumptions. However, since it is unlikely that any given assumption will prove to be exactly correct, we analyze the impact of a variation in an assumption. This analysis is called a sensitivity analysis.

We have analyzed the sensitivity to change of three of these assumptions and methods mandated by state law. Each of these plays a major role in determining costs:

- 1. Interest is currently assumed to be 8.5% for all years until retirement, and 5% thereafter. We examined the effect of changing 8.5% to 7.5%.
- 2. Salaries are assumed to increase 6.5% each year. We examined the effect of a 6% salary increase assumption.
- 3. The unfunded liability is amortized as a level percent of future payroll. This approach is not permitted for a private sector plan. We examined the effect of amortizing the unfunded liability using a level dollar amount.

	~	Value After Change			
	Current Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Interest	Salary Increase	Amortization	
Unfunded liability	\$ 611,907	\$ 780,753	\$ 554,907	\$ 611,907	
Actuarially determined Contribution:					
Amount Percent Sufficiency/	115,115 8.12%	131,588 9.28%	109,433 7.72%	142,655 10.06%	
(Deficiency)	.73%	(.43%)	) 1.13%	(1.21)%	
Plan contribution liability	\$2,103,105	\$2,246,994	\$2,057,865	\$2,103,105	
Depth of funding:	89.0%	83.3%	90.9%	89.0%	

### GENERAL EMPLOYEES SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS\*\* (000'S Omitted) <u>VI.</u>

Report as of <u>June 30</u>	Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Valuation <u>Assets</u>	Unfunded Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Normal <u>Cost</u>	Actuarial <u>Contribution</u>	Prescribed <u>Contribution</u>	<u>Sufficiency</u>
1980 *	\$ 737,996	\$546,988	\$191,008	\$46,831 (6.82%)	\$60,682 (8.84%)	\$68,667 (10.00%)	1.16%
1981	831,782	648,943	182,839	52,378 (6.73%)	66,051 (8.49%)	77,796 (10.00%)	1.51
1982	1,004,388	753,250	251,138	54,668 (6.84%)	72,646 (9.09%)	67,898 (8.50%)	(.59)
1983	1,127,574	866,439	261,135	59,653 (6.96%)	78,600 (9.17%)	79,964 (9.33%)	.16
1984	1,267,662	955,850	311,812	55,387 (6.13%)	71,786 (7.95%)	68,874 (7.63%)	(.32)
1985	1,465,114	1,109,683	355,431	62,720 (6.11%)	82,981 (8.08%)	78,349 (7.63%)	(.45)
1986	1,680,837	1,312,577	368,260	61,655 (5.43%)	83,362 (7.34%)	86,654 (7.63%)	.29
1987*	1,894,142	1,518,483	375,659	65,801 (5.45%)	88,150 (7.30%)	92,174 (7.63%)	.33
1988*	2,115,476	1,644,145	471,331	72,086 (5.47%)	100,262 (7.61%)	100,462 (7.63%)	.02
1989*	2,456,686	1,871,542	585,144	86,543 (6.10%)	115,474 (8.14%)	125,507 (8.85%)	.71

\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.
 \*\* Figures shown in parentheses are as a percentage of payroll under normal retirement age.

\$

	<u>A</u>	<u>ctive Members</u>	Retired	<u>Members*</u>	Deferred	Annuitants	Formon
Report as of <u>June 30</u>	<u>Number</u>	Valuation Payroll	<u>Number</u>	Avg. Annual <u>Benefits</u>	<u>Number</u>	Avg. Annual <u>Benefits</u>	Members Without <u>Vested Rights</u>
1980*	46,242	\$ 686,674,348	9,247	\$2,272	765	\$2,627	3,516
1981	46,669	777,961,014	9,642	2,432	793	2,944	4,752
1982	43,627	809,410,816	10,211	2,744	880	3,105	4,954
1983	43,191	868,528,661	10,477	2,987	983	3,194	4,881
1984	44,158	922,951,956	10,843	3,271	852	3,859	5,495
1985	44,412	1,048,639,187	11,367	3,651	901	3,944	4,881
1986	45,171	1,135,706,412	11,867	4,069	955	4,029	4,401
1987*	45,707	1,208,043,000	12,341	4,589	1,014	4,271	4,496
1988*	47,040	1,316,671,000	12,877	5,050	1,162	4,501	4,084
1989*	48,653	1,418,160,000	13,079	5,422	1,355	5,235	3,924

# GENERAL EMPLOYEES <u>VI. SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS (continued) \*\*</u>

.

\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.
\*\* Including beneficiaries and disabled members.

- 12 -

D363

### **APPENDIX A**

### SUMMARY OF EMPLOYEE DATA

The Executive Director gave us employee information for all active members, inactive members, and retired members of the Fund. The following tables summarize the changes in active, inactive, and retired membership during the year.

To reflect anticipated current year salary increases, all salaries provided were increased by 6.5%.

-

### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM GENERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

### <u>Covered General Employees' Census</u> <u>Data as of June 30, 1989</u>

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Annual Payroll</u>
General Actives at June 30, 1988 New Entrants * Total	47,040 <u>5,860</u> 52,900	\$1,316,803,641
Less Separations from Active Service:		
Refund of Contributions * Separation with a Deferred Annuity Separation with Neither Refunds nor	2,631 354	
Right to a Deferred Annuity Disability	902 49	
Deaths Service Retirement Total Separations	95 <u>556</u> 4,587	
Net Adjustments	(335)	
General Actives at June 30, 1989	48,648	1,417,943,087
Military Actives at June 30, 1989	5	215,574
Total Actives at June 30, 1989	48,653	<u>\$1,418,158,661</u>

### Average Entry Age of New Employees

For the Fiscal Year Ending	Male	<u>Female</u>	Average of <u>Total</u>
6/30/84	29.7	29.4	29.6
6/30/85	31.6	31.0	31.2
6/30/86	32.0	31.2	31.5
6/30/87	32.4	31.9	32.1
6/30/88	33.5	33.6	33.6
6/30/89	32.1	32.2	32.2

\* Includes those who entered the plan and terminated during the period from July 1, 1988 to June 30, 1989.

### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM GENERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

### <u>General Employees' Annuitant Census</u> <u>Data as of June 30, 1989</u>

		Number	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
<b>A.</b>	Service Retirement Annuitants		
	Generals		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	11,438	\$59,530,626
	New Deaths Adjustments Receiving at June 30, 1989	621 (447) <u>(65</u> ) 11,547	3,295,431 (1,803,927) <u>3,519,202</u> \$64,541,332
	Military Affairs		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	2	\$ 32,327
	New Deaths Adjustments Receiving at June 30, 1989	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ -0\\ 2\end{array}$	0 0 <u>2,238</u> \$ 34,565
	Unclassified Plans		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	15	\$ 40,023
	New Deaths Adjustments Receiving at June 30, 1989	$0\\0\\\frac{0}{15}$	0 0 <u>2,770</u> \$ 42,793
	Total service annuitants receiving at June 30, 1989	<u>11,564</u>	<u>\$64,618,690</u>

-

### <u>Appendix A</u> (continued)

		Number	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
<b>B.</b>	Disabled Employees		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	669	\$2,455,762
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	53 (49) <u>(8</u> )	218,850 (185,566) 119,783
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	665	\$2,608,829
C.	Widows Receiving an Annuity or Survivor Benefit		
	Beneficiaries Receiving an Optional or Reversionary Annuity:		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	753	\$2,970,191
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	108 (50) _40	488,025 (152,322) <u>383,875</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	851	\$3,689,769
D.	Deferred Annuitants		
	Deferred as of June 30, 1988	1,162	\$5,230,658
	New Began Receiving Adjustments - Net Result	446 (226) (27)	
	Deferred as of June 30, 1989	1,355	\$7,093,137

### Average Age at Retirement of New Service Annuitants

Fiscal Year	Average Retirement
Ending	Age
6/30/83	63.3
6/30/84	64.0
6/30/85	64.0
6/30/86	62.6
6/30/87	62.7
6/30/88	62.9
All Existing Service Annuitants	63.8

### **APPENDIX B**

### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM GENERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

#### Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989

### **General Employees**

1. Coverage:

a.

b.

- 2. Service Credit:
- From first date of employment.

Service is credited from date of coverage.

**Employee:** 

- 3. **Contributions**:
- 4.34% of salary. (Changed from 3.73%)
- State of Minnesota: 4.51% of salary. (Changed from 3.90%)
- 4. Final Average Salary:
- 5. Normal Retirement:
  - a. Eligibility:

Monthly average for the highest five successive years of salary.

For participants hired before July 1, 1989 eligibility is the earlier of:

- o Attainment of age 65 and completion of three years of service. (Changed from five years of service) and
- o Attainment of age 62 with 30 years of service.

For participants hired after June 30, 1989, eligibility is the age at which unreduced Social Security benefits commence and completion of three years of service.

b. Benefit Amount: 1.5% of Average Salary for each year of Allowable Service. (Changed from 1% of final average salary for each of the first 10 years of service plus 1.5% of final average salary for each year of service thereafter.)

### <u>Appendix B</u> (continued)

- 6. Early Retirement:
  - a. Eligibility:

For participants hired before July 1, 1989, eligibility is the earlier of:

- o Attainment of age 55 and completion of three years of service. (Changed from five years of service); and
- o Completion of 30 years of service.
- o The age at which age plus service equals at least 90. (Rule of 90)

For participants hired after June 30, 1989, eligibility is attainment of age 55 and completion of three years of service.

## b. Benefit Amount: For participants hired before July 1, 1989, the benefit is the greater of:

- 1% of final average salary for each of the first 10 years of service plus 1.5% of final average salary for each subsequent year of service, reduced 0.25% for each month under age 65 (or age 62 if 30 years of service have been completed). No reduction is applied if participant has satisfied the Rule of 90.
- o Normal retirement benefit augmented to age 65 at 3% per year actuarially reduced for each month under age 65.

<u>Appendix B</u> (continued)

For participants hired after June 30, 1989, the benefit is: the normal retirement benefit augmented to the age unreduced Social Security benefits commence at 3% per year and actuarially reduced for each month before that age. (Changed from normal retirement benefit formula based on service and final average salary to date of early retirement, but actuarially reduced to reflect payment before age 65, or age 62 if 30 years of service have been completed.)

7. **Pre-73 lump sum payments:** Participants retired before July 1, 1973 will receive an additional lump sum payment each year. The initial benefit is the greater of \$25 times each year of service or \$400 times each year of service less Social Security and any benefits received from a Minnesota public employee pension plan. Benefits will increase by the MPRI fund increase (added in 1989).

8. Form of Payment: Life annuity with return on death of any balance of contributions over aggregate monthly payments. Actuarially equivalent options are available, including (new this year) a 50% or 100% Joint & Survivor annuity which at no extra charge reverts to the life annuity amount if the spouse dies before the member.

### 9. **Disability Retirement:**

a.	Eligibility:	Completion of three years of service. (Changed from five years of service.)
b.	Benefit Amount:	Normal retirement benefit formula based on service and final average salary to date of disability retirement.

### <u>Appendix B</u> (continued)

10.	Def Ret	erred Service irement:			
	a. Eligibility:		Completion of three years of service a election to leave employee contribution on deposit. (Changed from five years service.)		
	Ь.	Benefit Amount:	Retir retire accor benef final termi to an betwo years year 1 foll there retire Janua	ement benefits payable at normal ment date are determined ding to the normal retirement fit formula based on the member's average salary and service at nation; such amount being subject increase of 5% for each year een termination and retirement for before January 1, 1981; 3% for each from January 1, 1981 to the January owing age 55 and 5% for each year after until early or normal ement. (Changed from 5% to ary 1, 1981 and 3% thereafter.)	
11.	Ret	urn of Contributions:	Upor mem contr comp benet 5%.)	a termination of employment, a ber may elect the return of ibutions with 6% interest bounded annually in lieu of all other fits under the plan. (Changed from	
12.	Sur Ber	viving Spouse Death lefit:			
	a.	Eligibility:	Deat with any a (Cha	h of member in service at age 50 at least three years of service or at ge with 30 years of service. nged from five years of service.)	
	b.	Benefit Amount:	The s follow	surviving spouse may elect one of the wing:	
			0	Refund of member contributions with 6% interest. (Changed from 5%); or	

Appendix B (continued)

0 100% of the annuity the member would have received had he retired early (if eligible) and elected a 100% joint and survivor annuity commencing on the later of age 55 or his date of death. Benefit will commence at the later of the members age 55 or date of death. 13. **Combined Service Provision: Eligible Members:** Members who have had coverage under two or a. more Minnesota Public Retirement Systems, with a total of at least five years of credited service. **Benefit Provisions:** b. Benefits under both plans are based on the highest final average salary, including all years from both plans, and on the plans in effect on the member's last day in covered public employment. 14. **Proportionate Annuity:** Any member who terminated after attaining age 65 and completing at least one year of service is entitled to a proportionate retirement annuity based on his allowable service credit.

### **APPENDIX C**

### ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

### **ACTUARIAL METHODS**

Chapter 356 of the Minnesota Statutes calls for the determination of normal cost and accrued liability in accordance with the entry age normal cost method, one of several available projected cost methods. For the June 30, 1989 valuation, we used the individual entry age normal method, with salary scale.

The unfunded liability is amortized by the level percent of payroll method. (Each amortization payment is calculated as if the following year's payment would increase by 6.5%.)

### **ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS**

The tables on the following pages summarize the actuarial assumptions used for this valuation.

### CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The preretirement interest rate assumption has been changed from 8.0% to 8.5%. Also, the period over which the unfunded liability is amortized has been increased by nine years. The new amortization target date is June 30, 2020.

### <u>Appendix C</u> (continued)

### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM GENERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

### Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

1.	Mortality:	1971 Group Annuity Mortality Table with ages set back eight years for females.
2.	Post-Disablement Mortality:	Combined Annuity Mortality Table.
3.	Withdrawal:	Graded rates based on actual experience developed by the June 30, 1971 and subsequent experience analyses and set forth in the Separation from Active Service Table.
4.	Expenses:	Prior year's expenses expressed as a percentage of prior year's payroll.
5.	Interest Rate:	Preretirement - 8.5% per annum. (Changed from 8%.) Postretirement - 5% per annum.
6.	Salary Scale:	6.5% per annum.
7.	Assumed Retirement Age:	Graded rates beginning at age 58 set forth in the Separation from Active Service Table. Fifty percent of those eligible to retire under the Rule of 90 are assumed to do so, and members age 65 or over are assumed to retire one year hence.
8.	Actuarial Cost Method:	Entry age cost method, with normal cost determined as a level percentage of future covered payroll, on an individual basis.
9.	Return of Contributions:	Effective June 30, 1987, all employees withdrawing after becoming eligible for a deferred benefit were assumed to take the larger of their contributions, accumulated with interest, or the value of their deferred benefit. Previously, 60% of employees withdrawing before retirement were assumed to elect return of contributions in lieu of a deferred benefit.

### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM GENERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

### Male General Members <u>Probabilities of Separation from Active Service</u> (Number Separating at Each Age Per 10,000 Working at That Age)

Age	<u>Withdrawal</u>	<u>Death</u>	<b>Disability</b>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>
20 21 22 23 24	2,400 2,250 2,080 1,920 1,760	5 5 5 6 6		
25 26 27 28 29	1,600 1,470 1,340 1,230 1,130	6 7 7 7 8		
30 31 32 33 34	1,040 950 890 830 770	8 9 9 10 10	2 2 2 2 2 2	
35 36 37 38 39	720 680 640 600 560	11 12 13 14 15	2 2 2 2 2 2	
40 41 42 43 44	530 500 480 460 430	16 18 20 23 26	2 2 2 3 3	
45 46 47 48 49	410 390 370 350 340	29 33 38 42 47	3 5 7 9 11	

### <u>Appendix C</u> (continued)

<u>Age</u>	<u>Withdrawal</u>	Death	<b>Disability</b>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>
50 51 52 53 54	320 300 280 260 240	53 59 65 71 78	14 16 20 24 28	
55 56 57 58 59	210 170 140 90 40	85 93 100 109 119	34 40 46 56 66	50 50
60 61 62 63 64		131 144 159 174 192	76 90 110 146 174	150 150 500 350 1,100
65				10,000

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM GENERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

### Female General Members <u>Probabilities of Separation from Active Service</u> (Number Separating at Each Age Per 10,000 Working at That Age)

Age	<u>Withdrawal</u>	Death	<b>Disability</b>	Age and Service Retirement
20 21 22 23 24	3,700 3,550 3,390 3,230 3,070	4 4 4 4		
25 26 27 28 29	2,910 2,750 2,600 2,430 2,270	5 5 5 5 5		
30 31 32 33 34	2,120 1,970 1,820 1,680 1,540	5 6 6 7		
35 36 37 38 39	1,410 1,300 1,190 1,090 1,000	7 7 8 8 9	1 1 1 2	
40 41 42 43 44	920 850 780 720 680	9 10 10 11 12	2 2 4 4 4	
45 46 47 48 49	630 590 560 530 500	13 14 15 16 18	5 6 7 7 10	

### <u>Appendix C</u> (continued)

Age	<u>Withdrawal</u>	<u>Death</u>	<b>Disability</b>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>
50 51 52 53	470 440 410 390 360	20 23 26 29	10 12 14 16 20	
55 56 57 58 59	330 290 230 170 90	38 42 47 53 59	24 30 36 44 52	50 50
60 61 62 63 64		65 71 78 85 93	62 74 88 104 122	150 150 200 350 1,100
65				10,000

.



900 Pillsbury Center Minneapolis, MN 55402-1483 Telephone: (612) 333-2301 Telecopy: (612) 375-5418

Board of Directors Minnesota State Retirement System 529 Jackson at 10th Street St. Paul, Minnesota

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are pleased to present our report on the June 30, 1989 actuarial valuation of the Minnesota State Retirement System, Correctional Employees' Retirement Plan.

Our report is divided into the following sections:

Section I-Introduction and PurposeSection II-Comparison of Valuation ResultsSection III-Explanation of DifferencesSection IV-Changes in the Unfunded LiabilitySection V-Sensitivity AnalysisSection VI-Summary of Historical Valuation Results

Appendices

- A. Summary of Employee Data
- B. Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989
- C. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The report was completed on the basis of accepted actuarial methods and procedures in accordance with the provisions stipulated in the contract between the State of Minnesota and Deloitte & Touche

We would be pleased to answer any questions you may have regarding this report.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE

a/mes F.

### I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) administers several retirement funds and plans. The plans administered are the: General State Employees' Plan, Unclassified Employees' Plan, Correctional Employees' Plan, State Patrol Employees' Plan, Judges' Plan, Legislators' Plan, Elective Officers' Plan, Military Affairs Plan, Transportation Pilots' Plan, and a statewide Deferred Compensation Plan for public employees.

The plans that MSRS administer are overseen by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement (LCPR). The LCPR consists of members of the Minnesota State Senate and Minnesota House of Representatives. Its members duties include:

- o Reviewing investment performance.
- o Establishing policy for public retirement plans.
- o Recommending necessary changes to retirement plan provisions.
- Hiring an actuary to perform annual actuarial valuations and experience studies.
- o Overseeing the work of the actuary.

Minnesota Statutes, Sections 356.20 and 356.215, require annual actuarial valuations and periodic experience studies. The Board of Directors is concerned with the valuations and experience studies which must be performed for:

- o The General State Employees' Plan;
- o The Correctional Employees' Plan;
- o The State Patrol Employees' Plan; and
- o The Judges' Plan

These valuations and experience studies are prepared by the Wyatt Co., the actuary retained by the LCPR. Since the Minnesota State Retirement System does not have an actuary on its staff, it has retained Deloitte & Touche to review, analyze, and critique the actuarial valuations and experience studies.

This report evaluates the accuracy of the Wyatt Co.'s results, and expands on any items of particular significance.

### II. COMPARISON OF VALUATION RESULTS

We attempted to duplicate the figures shown in the Wyatt Co.'s June 30, 1989 valuation reports. In doing so, we had several discussions with the Wyatt Co.'s personnel who prepared the reports. Where we were able to discover reasonable justification for the Wyatt Co.'s approach, we adjusted our methods and assumptions to match theirs. (Descriptions of those adjustments are included in Section III.)

In this section of the report, we compare the results that the Wyatt Co. reported with our valuation results. Three tables are included. Table A shows the derivation of the unfunded liability. Table B shows the annual contribution requirements under Sections 352 and 356. Table C shows the depth of plan funding based on liabilities incurred to date. These figures are also required for Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) reporting.

### TABLE A (000's Omitted)

	Wyatt Co.	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage <u>Difference</u>
Present Value of Benefits:	-		
Actives: Retirement Death Disability Withdrawal Total actives Deferred annuitants Former members without vested rights Participants in MPRI Fund Total	\$ 68,397 2,706 1,879 <u>24,183</u> \$97,165 2,828 172 <u>28,492</u> \$128,657		$(0.2\%) \\ (1.4) \\ (4.9) \\ (2.2) \\ (0.8) \\ 0.8 \\ (2.9) \\ (1.5) \\ (0.9) \end{cases}$
Portion allocated to future service	<u> </u>	34,914	(2.9)
Accrued liability (reserves required)	\$ 92,684	\$ 92,570	(0.1)
Valuation assets	85,441	85,441	0.0
Unfunded accrued liability	\$ 7,243	\$ 7,129	(1.6)
Funded ratio	92.2%	92.3%	

### CONTRIBUTIONS

Chapters 352 and 356 set forth requirements about the level of contributions. Chapter 352 prescribes the actual amount of contributions, and Chapter 356 describes the methods used to determine the amount of contribution required to fund the normal cost and the unfunded accrued liability. Together, the actual contribution and required contribution are used to determine the sufficiency of the actual contribution. These calculations are illustrated in Table B. Amounts in parentheses show dollar amounts as a percent of payroll.\*

	TABLE B (000's omitted)				
Actu	arially Determined Contribution	Wyatt Co.	<u>&amp; Touche</u>		
1.	Normal cost	\$4,073 (9.70%)	\$4,131 (9.84%)		
2.	Assumed operating expense	\$  172 (.41%)	\$ 172 (.41%)		
3.	Amortization by June 30, 2020 of the unfunded accrued liability	\$ 319 (.76%)	\$ 313 (.75%)		
4.	Total Chapter 356 requirement: (1) + (2) + (3)	\$4,564 (10.87%)	\$4,616 (11.00%)		
Pres	cribed Contributions				
1.	Employee contributions	\$2,057 (4.90%)	\$2,056 (4.90%)		
2.	Employer contribution	\$3,652 (8.70%)	\$3,650 <u>(8.70%</u> )		
3.	Total Chapter 352A prescribed contribution	\$5,709 (13.60%)	\$5,706 (13.60%)		
Con	<b>Contribution Sufficiency</b> 2.73% 2.60%				

\* Assuming that contributions are paid during each payroll period throughout the year ending June 30, 1990. The expected annual payroll is \$41,954,000.

The depth of funding indicates the extent to which the accrued benefits are funded and is measured by the ratio of valuation assets to the present value of accrued benefits. These measurements are made on the plan continuation basis (applying <u>all</u> ongoing actuarial assumptions, including assumed salary increases and turnover) and are illustrated as follows:

### TABLE C (000's omitted)

### Depth of Funding June 30, 1989

		Wyatt Co.	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage Difference
1.	Active members	\$47,651	\$47,022	(1.3%)
2.	Deferred annuitants	2,828	2,851	0.8
3.	Former members without vested rights	172	167	(2.9)
4.	Participation in MPRI Fund	28,492	28,066	(1.5)
5.	Total present values of accrued benefits	\$79,143	\$78,106	(1.3)
6.	Valuation assets	85,441	85,441	0.0
7.	Depth of funding	108.0%	109.3%	
8.	Depth of funding excluding MPRI participants	112.4%	114.7%	

### III. EXPLANATION OF DIFFERENCES

In this section of the report, we present our best explanations for any differences between the Wyatt Co.'s methods and assumptions and ours, the changes we made where appropriate to be consistent with the Wyatt Co., and the effects of these changes.

Our calculations for the Correctional Employees' Retirement Plan are very similar to those of the Wyatt Company, and our valuation results in Table A of Section II are very close. Our total present value of benefits is 0.9% lower than the Wyatt Co.'s, while our total accrued liability is only 0.1% lower than the Wyatt Co.'s total.

When we subtracted the actuarial value of assets to derive the unfunded accrued liability, the percentage difference increased to almost 1.6%. This difference is very small and is larger than the difference in total accrued liability only because the plan is so well funded.

During our discussions with the Wyatt Co., they indicated that they expect the IRS limits on benefits to increase 5% per year. The Wyatt Co. changed their assumption from a rate of 6.5% used last year. We believed that a 6.5% assumption was too high and agree that a 5% increase is reasonable. This assumption along with a 6.5% salary scale results in some IRS limitations on the benefits that this plan provides. The net effect is a slight decrease in potential liabilities.

The Correctional Employees' Retirement Plan has a cash refund annuity. The Wyatt Co. has elected not to adjust for this benefit. In the past, we recognized this potential liability by applying a 1% load. Because the plan now provides a bounceback annuity at no extra cost, the frequency of cash refund annuities will decrease. Therefore, we now agree with Wyatt that this amount is too insignificant to value.

### IV. CHANGES IN THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY

The Correctional Plan currently has an unfunded liability. An unfunded liability is not necessarily bad for an ongoing plan, as long as some provision is made to pay off the liability over time. However, the unfunded liability becomes a problem when it is so large that it precludes benefit security, or when, like any debt, interest on the liability becomes unmanageable.

Generally, unfunded liabilities tend to decrease over time, although some year-to-year fluctuation is normal. One symptom of a troubled pension plan is a constantly increasing unfunded liability. Annual changes in the unfunded liability occur when:

- o Part of the contribution made each year goes to pay the normal costs (including expenses). The remaining contribution is called the past service contribution. The unfunded liability is automatically increased each year by the interest requirement (8% last year, 8.5% in future years). If the past service contribution is less than the interest requirement, there will be a net increase in the liability. When the past service contribution is greater than the interest requirement, part of the liability is "paid off," and the liability decreases.
- o The unfunded liability is an actuarial projection of liabilities based on certain assumptions. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions, actuarial gains and losses may occur. An actuarial gain will decrease the unfunded liability; an actuarial loss will increase the unfunded liability.
- The assumptions and techniques used in calculating the unfunded liability are changed when circumstances warrant. These changes can produce increases or decreases in the unfunded liability.
- o Changes in the legal, economic, and sociological environment often result in changes to retirement plans. These changes frequently result in improved benefits. When these changes result in higher retirement benefits, unfunded liabilities are increased. When the changes increase benefits paid in lieu of retirement benefits, unfunded liabilities can increase or decrease.

During the year ended June 30, 1989, the Correctional Employees' Fund showed a decrease in the unfunded liability. This decrease was caused because:

### 1. <u>Contribution Rate</u>

The total contributions to the plan were approximately \$4,832,000. Expected normal cost, expenses and interest combined to equal \$4,248,000. The Wyatt Co. increased the \$584,000 difference by \$311,000, which is a gain caused by actual salaries being less than the salaries projected by Wyatt last year. The net result was a reduction of \$895,000 in the unfunded liability.

### 2. <u>Actuarial Losses</u>

The Fund experienced actuarial gains of approximately \$265,000 because of salary increases which were less than anticipated and \$3,031,000 of investment gains. According to the Wyatt Co., the fund also experienced a \$69,000 gain on MPRI fund mortality. Our calculations included an MPRI Mortality gain of \$290,000, but this is not a major difference.

Wyatt reported an additional loss of \$625,000 due to miscellaneous items. This loss is less than 1% of the accrued liability and is within the normal range of miscellaneous losses.

Overall, the Wyatt Co. reported a net gain (decrease in unfunded liability) of \$2,740,000.

### 3. <u>Changes in Assumptions and Plan Provisions</u>

Many changes were made to the plan provisions. The most significant changes are detailed at the end of the report and summarized below.

- A reduction in service requirements from five years to three years for the normal retirement, death and withdrawal benefits.
- o An increase in the normal retirement benefit amount.
- o Allowance for early retirement at age 50 with five years of service.
- A reduction in the service requirement for nonoccupational disability from five years to one year and an increase in the benefit.
- o An increase in the occupational disability benefit amount.
- An increase in the interest rate credited on refunds of member contributions from 5% to 6%.
- o A bounceback annuity is now available at no additional cost.

Changes in actuarial assumptions included an increase in the pre-retirement interest rate assumption from 8% to 8.5%. Also, the date of full amortization of the unfunded liability was changed from June 30, 2017 to June 30, 2020.
# <u>Changes in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability</u>\* (000's omitted)

A.	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year	\$7,389
B.	Change due to interest requirement and current rate of funding	(895)
C.	Expected actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(A) + (B)$	\$6,494
D.	Actuarial losses (gains)	(2,740)
E.	Changes in assumptions and plan provisions	<u>3,489</u>
F.	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(C) + (D) + (E)$	<u>\$7,243</u>
*	Results prepared by the Wyatt Co	

Results prepared by the Wyatt Co.

#### V. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

When performing an actuarial valuation, liabilities and contributions are developed under a single actuarial cost method and one set of actuarial assumptions. However, since it is unlikely that any given assumption will prove to be exactly correct, we analyze the impact of a variation in an assumption. This analysis is called a sensitivity analysis.

We have analyzed the sensitivity to change of three of these assumptions and methods mandated by state law. Each of these plays a major role in determining costs:

- 1. Interest is currently assumed to be 8.5% for all years until retirement, and 5% thereafter. We examined the effect of changing 8.5% to 7.5%.
- 2. Salaries are assumed to increase 6.5% each year. We examined the effect of a 6% salary increase assumption.
- 3. The unfunded liability is amortized as a level percent of future payroll. This approach is not permitted for a private sector plan. We examined the effect of amortizing the unfunded liability using a level dollar amount.

<b>C</b>	Value After Change		
Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Interest	Salary <u>Increase</u>	<u>Amortization</u>
\$ 7,129	\$13,377	\$ 5,173	\$ 7,129
4,616 11.00% 2.60%	5,488 13.08% .52%	4,318 10.29% 3.31%	4,937 11.77% 1.83%
\$78,106	\$83,921	\$76,413	\$78,106
109.3%	101.8%	111.8%	109.3%
	Current Deloitte & Touche \$ 7,129 4,616 11.00% 2.60% \$78,106 109.3%	Value After           Current Deloitte         Interest           & Touche         Interest           \$ 7,129         \$13,377           4,616         5,488           11.00%         13.08%           2.60%         .52%           \$78,106         \$83,921           109.3%         101.8%	Value After Change           Current Deloitte & Touche         Salary Interest           \$ 7,129         \$13,377         \$ 5,173           4,616         5,488         4,318           11.00%         13.08%         10.29%           2.60%         .52%         3.31%           \$78,106         \$83,921         \$76,413           109.3%         101.8%         111.8%

# CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES VI. SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS\*\* (000'S Omitted)

Report as of <u>June 30</u>	Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Valuation <u>Assets</u>	Unfunded Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Normal <u>Cost</u>	Actuarial <u>Contribution</u>	Prescribed <u>Contribution</u>	<u>Sufficiency</u>
1980 *	\$29,251	\$22,178	\$7,073	\$1,787 (10.64%)	\$2,284 (13.60%)	\$3,359 (20.00%)	6.40%
1981	29,876	26,284	3,592	2,027 (11.05%)	2,301 (12.55%)	3,667 (20.00%)	7.45
1982	34,519	30,400	4,119	2,150 (10.52%)	2,460 (12.04%)	2,568 (12.57%)	.53
1983	39,551	36,068	3,483	2,603 (10.62%)	2,879 (11.75%)	3,998 (16.31%)	4.56
1984	43,888	40,153	3,735	2,562 (9.49%)	2,788 (10.33%)	3,671 (13.60%)	3.27
1985	53,826	48,700	5,126	2,931 (9.43%)	3,269 (10.52%)	4,226 (13.60%)	3.08
1986	58,060	57,472	588	3,113 (9.28%)	3,233 (9.64%)	4,561 (13.60%)	3.96
1987*	72,081	67,488	4,593	3,257 (9.26%)	3,545 (10.08%)	4,782 13.60%)	3.52
1988*	81,454	74,065	7,389	3,586 (9.24%)	4,024 (10.37%)	5,278 (13.60%)	3.23
1989*	92,684	85,441	7,243	4,073 (9.70%)	4,564 (10.87%)	5,709 (13.60%)	2.73

\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.
 \*\* Figures shown in parentheses are as a percentage of payroll under normal retirement age.

2

<b>_</b> .	<u>Active N</u>	<u>lembers</u> <u>Re</u> t	tired M	embers** D	eferred A	<u>nnuitants</u>	_
Report Members as of <u>June 30</u> <u>Rights</u>	<u>Number</u>	Valuation <u>Payroll</u>	<u>Number</u>	Avg. Annual <u>Benefits</u>	Number	Avg. Annual Benefits	Former Without <u>Vested</u>
1980*	990	\$16,795,854	257	\$4,757	7	\$6,714	15
1981	965	18,336,416	275	4,938	5	6,722	38
1982	1,010	20,984,656	293	5,346	10	7,180	39
1983	1,124	25,186,035	295	5,410	12	7,210	27
1984	1,174	26,998,637	326	5,959	25	7,136	95
1985	1,192	31,075,810	329	6,403	29	9,032	79
1986	1,219	33,533,822	328	6,908	35	8,285	83
1987*	1,232	35,155,000	333	7,383	43	7,928	84
1988*	1,267	38,807,000	346	7,983	47	8,572	80
1989*	1,317	41,976,000	357	8,423	58	8,624	57

#### CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS (continued) \* (000'S Omitted) <u>VI.</u>

\* Including beneficiaries and disabled members.
\*\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.

.

D351

#### **APPENDIX A**

#### SUMMARY OF EMPLOYEE DATA

The Executive Director gave us employee information for all active members, inactive members, and retired members of the Fund. The following tables summarize the changes in active, inactive, and retired membership during the year.

To reflect anticipated current year salary increases, all salaries provided were increased by 6.5%.

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

# <u>Covered Correctional Employees'</u> <u>Census Data as of June 30, 1989</u>

	Number	Annual Payroll
Actives at June 30, 1988 New Entrants*	1,267 130	\$38,834,315
Total	1,397	
Less Separations from Active Service:		
Refund of Contributions* Separation with a Deferred Annuity Separation with Neither Refund	48 11	
nor Right to a Deferred Annuity Death Service Retirement	11 3 19	
Disability	4	
Total Separations	96	
Data Adjustments	<u>   16</u>	
Actives at June 30, 1989	1,317	\$41,954,249

### Average Entry Age of New Employees

For the Fiscal Year Ending	Male	Female	Average of <u>Total</u>
6/30/84	28.7	32.4	29.4 29.0
6/30/86	29.2	32.1	29.0 30.4
6/30/87	30.0 29.8	30.1 31.5	30.0 30.3
6/30/89	30.3	29.5	30.1

\* Includes those who entered the plan and terminated during the period from July 1, 1988 to June 30, 1989.

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

#### <u>Correctional Employees' Annuitant Census</u> <u>Data as of June 30, 1989</u>

		Number	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
<b>A.</b>	Service Retirement Annuitants		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	328	\$2,625,310
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	$     \begin{array}{r}       19 \\       (11) \\       \underline{} \\       1     \end{array} $	261,411 (72,504) <u>51,345</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	337	\$2,865,562
B.	Disabled Employees		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	6	\$ 56,952
	New Deaths Retirements Adjustments - Net Result	4 (1) (1) (1) 0	35,527 (10,640) (2,315) (3,595)
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	8	\$ 75,929
C.	Widows Receiving an Annuity or Survivor Benefit		
	Beneficiaries Receiving an Optional or Reversionary Annuity:		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	12	\$ 80,021
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	1 (1) 0	1,977 (20,014) <u>3,443</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	12	\$ 65,427

Appendix A (continued)

		Number	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
D.	Children Receiving a Survivor Benefit	0	\$ 0
E.	Deferred Annuitants		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	47	402,897
	New Began Receiving Return of Actives Adjustments	17 (2) (4)	
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	58	\$ 500,172

# Average Age at Retirement of New Service Annuitants

Fiscal Year Ending	Average Retirement <u>Age</u>		
6/30/83 6/30/84 6/30/85 6/30/86 6/30/87 6/30/88 6/30/89	55.6 57.8 57.8 55.4 56.8 58.0 57.2		
All Existing Service Annuitants	58.4		

# **APPENDIX B**

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

#### Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989

# **Correctional Employees**

1.	Coverage:		From first date of employment.	
2. 3.	Service Credit: Contributions:		Service is credited from date of coverage.	
	a. Employee:		4.90% of salary.	
	b.	State of Minnesota:	8.70% of salary.	
4.	Final Average Salary:		Monthly average for the highest five successive years of salary.	
5.	Nor	mal Retirement:		
	a.	Eligibility:	Attainment of age 55 and completion of three years of service. (Changed from five years of service.)	
	b.	<b>Retirement Benefit:</b>	General Plan benefit plus an additional benefit defined below.	
	c.	Additional Benefit:	Final average salary times 1% for each year of service.	
	d.	Limitation on Additional Benefit:	That amount which, when added to the General Plan benefit, provides a retirement benefit of 75% of final average salary.	
	e.	Additional Benefits Period:	84 months or until attainment of age 65, whichever comes first.	
	f.	Minimum Benefit Following Additional Benefit Period:	That amount which, when added to Social Security benefits, equals the benefit payable during the additional benefit period.	

# <u>Appendix B</u> (continued)

6.	Ear	Early Retirement:		
	a.	Eli	gibility:	Attainment of age 50 and completion of five years of service. (Added in 1989)
	b. <b>Retirement Benefit</b> :		irement Benefit:	Normal Retirement Benefit actuarially reduced for commencement at age 55. (Added in 1989).
7.	Dis	abili	ty Retirement:	
	a.	Eli	gibility:	
		0	In line of duty:	All employees are eligible.
		0	Not in line of duty:	One year of service and less than age 55. (Changed from five years of service.)
	b.	Ber	nefit Amount:	
		0	In line of duty:	50% of average monthly salary plus 2.5% for each year of service in excess of 20 years, offset by Workers' Compensation. (Changed from 2.5% for first five years in excess of 20 and 2% thereafter.)
		0	Not in line of duty:	<ul> <li>2.5% of average monthly salary for each year of service, subject to a minimum of 37.5%.</li> <li>(Changed from 2.5% for first 20 years and 2% thereafter; minimum changed from 25%.)</li> </ul>
	c.	Lin	nitation:	At age 62, General Plan benefit based on credited service is payable subject to a minimum benefit based on 15 years of service. (Changed from 10 years of service.)
7.	De: Ref	ferreo tirem	l Service ent:	
	a.	Eli	gibility:	Completion of three years of service and election to leave employee contributions on deposit. (Changed from five years of service.)

#### <u>Appendix B</u> (continued)

b.	Benefit Amount:	Retirement benefits payable at normal retirement date are determined according to the normal retirement benefit formula based on the member's final average salary and service at termination; such amount being subject to an increase of 5% for each year between termination and retirement for years before January 1, 1981 and 3% compounded annually thereafter.
-		

- 8. **Return of Contributions:** Upon termination of employment, a member may elect the return of contributions with 6% interest compounded annually in lieu of all other benefits under the plan. (Changed from 5%.)
- 9. Surviving Spouse Death Benefit:
  - a. Eligibility:
  - b. Benefit Amount:

Death of member in service at age 50 at least with three years of service or at any age with 30 years of service. (Changed from age 50 with five years of service.)

The surviving spouse may elect one of:

- Refund of member contributions with 6% interest. (Changed from 5%); or
- o 100% of the annuity the member would have received had he retired early (if eligible) and elected a 100% joint and survivor annuity commencing on the later of age 55 or his date of death. Benefit will commence the latter of member age 55 or date of death.

#### 10. **Combined Service Provision:**

a. Eligible Members: Members who have had coverage under two or more Minnesota Public Retirement Systems, with a total of at least five years of credited service. b. Benefit Provisions:

Benefits under both plans are based on the highest final average salary, including all years from both plans, and on the plans in effect on the member's last day in covered public employment.

12. **Proportionate Annuity:** 

Any member who terminated after attaining age 65 and completing at least one year of service is entitled to a proportionate retirement annuity based on his allowable service credit.

### **APPENDIX C**

#### ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

#### **ACTUARIAL METHODS**

Chapter 356 of the Minnesota Statutes calls for the determination of normal cost and accrued liability in accordance with the entry age normal cost method, one of several available projected cost methods. For the June 30, 1989 valuation, we used the individual entry age normal method, with salary scale.

The unfunded liability is amortized by the level percent of payroll method. (Each amortization payment is calculated as if the following year's payment would increase by 6.5%.)

#### ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The tables on the following pages summarize the actuarial assumptions used for this valuation.

#### CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The preretirement interest rate assumption has been changed from 8.0% to 8.5%. Also, the period which the unfunded liability is amortized over has been increased by three years. The new amortization target date is June 30, 2020.

# <u>Appendix C</u> (continued)

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

#### Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

1.	Mortality:	1971 Group Annuity Mortality Table with ages set back eight years for females.	
2.	Post-Disablement Mortality:	Combined Annuity Mortality Table.	
3.	Withdrawal:	Graded rates based on actual experience developed by the June 30, 1971 and subsequent experience analyses and set forth in the Separation from Active Service Table.	
4.	Expenses:	Prior year's expenses expressed as a percentage of prior year's payroll.	
5.	Interest Rate:	Preretirement - 8.5% per annum. (Changed from 8.0%). Postretirement - 5% per annum.	
6.	Salary Scale:	6.5% per annum.	
7.	Assumed Retirement Age:	Age 58, or if over age 58, one year from the valuation date.	
8.	Actuarial Cost Method:	Entry age cost method, with normal cost determined as a level percentage of future covered payroll, on an individual basis.	
9.	Social Security:	Based on the present law and 6.5% salary scale applicable to current salaries. Future Social Security benefits replace the same proportion of salary as at present.	
10.	Return of Contributions:	Effective June 30, 1987, all employees withdrawing after becoming eligible for a deferred benefit were assumed to take the larger of their contributions, accumulated with interest, or the value of their deferred benefit. Previously, all employees withdrawing before retirement were assumed to elect return of contributions in lieu of a deferred benefit.	
11.	Disability	All disabilities are assumed to have been occupational.	

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

#### Male Correctional Members <u>Probabilities of Separation from Active Service</u> (Number Separating at Each Age Per 10,000 Working at that Age)

Age	<u>Withdrawal</u>	Death	<u>Disability</u>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>
20 21 22 23 24	2,400 2,250 2,080 1,920 1,760	5 5 6 6		
25 26 27 28 29	1,600 1,470 1,340 1,230 1,130	6 7 7 7 8		
30 31 32 33 34	1,040 950 890 830 770	8 9 9 10 10	2 2 2 2 2 2	
35 36 37 38 39	720 680 640 600 560	11 12 13 14 15	2 2 2 2 2 2	
40 41 42 43 44	530 500 480 460 430	16 18 20 23 26	2 2 2 3 3	
45 46 47 48 49	410 390 370 350 340	29 33 38 42 47	3 5 7 9 11	

-

# <u>Appendix C</u> (continued)

Age	<u>Withdrawal</u>	Death	<b>Disability</b>	Age and Service Retirement
50 51 52 53 54	320 300 280 260 240	53 59 65 71 78	14 16 20 24 28	
55 56 57 58	210 170 140	85 93 100	34 40 46	10,000

.

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM CORRECTIONAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND

#### Female Correctional Members <u>Probabilities of Separation from Active Service</u> (Number Separating at Each Age Per 10,000 Working at that Age)

Age	<u>Withdrawal</u>	<u>Death</u>	<b>Disability</b>	Age and Service Retirement
20 21 22 23 24	3,700 3,550 3,390 3,230 3,070	4 4 4 4		
25 26 27 28 29	2,910 2,750 2,600 2,430 2,270	5 5 5 5 5		
30 31 32 33 34	2,120 1,970 1,820 1,680 1,540	5 6 6 7		
35 36 37 38 39	1,410 1,300 1,190 1,090 1,000	7 7 8 8 9	1 1 1 2	
40 41 42 43 44	920 850 780 720 680	9 10 10 11 12	2 2 4 4 4	
45 46 47 48 49	630 590 560 530 500	13 14 15 16 18	5 6 7 7 10	

# <u>Appendix C</u> (continued)

Age	<b>Withdrawal</b>	<u>Death</u>	<u>Disability</u>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>
50 51 52 53 54	470 440 410 390 360	20 23 26 29 33	10 12 14 16 20	
55 56 57 58	330 290 230	38 42 47	24 30 36	10,000

•

D351



 $\triangle$ 

900 Pillsbury Center Minneapolis, MN 55402-1483 Telephone: (612) 333-2301 Telecopy: (612) 375-5418

Board of Directors Minnesota State Retirement System 529 Jackson at 10th Street St. Paul, Minnesota

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are pleased to present our report on the June 30, 1989 actuarial valuation of the Minnesota State Retirement System, State Patrol Employees' Retirement Plan.

Our report is divided into the following sections:

Section I	-	Introduction and Purpose
Section II	-	Comparison of Valuations
Section III	-	Explanation of Differences
Section IV	-	Changes in the Unfunded Liability
Section V	-	Sensitivity Analysis
Section VI	-	Summary of Historical Valuation Results

Appendices

- A. Summary of Employee Data
- B. Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989

C. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The report was completed on the basis of accepted actuarial methods and procedures in accordance with the provisions stipulated in the contract between the State of Minnesota and Deloitte & Touche.

We would be pleased to answer any questions you may have regarding this report.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE

F.J

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) administers several retirement funds and plans. The plans administered are the: General State Employees' Plan, Unclassified Employees' Plan, Correctional Employees' Plan, State Troopers' Plan, Judges' Plan, Legislators' Plan, Elective Officers' Plan, Military Affairs Plan, Transportation Pilots' Plan, and a statewide Deferred Compensation Plan for public employees.

The plans that MSRS administers are overseen by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement (LCPR). The LCPR consists of members of the Minnesota State Senate and Minnesota House of Representatives. Its members' duties include:

- o Reviewing investment performance.
- o Establishing policy for public retirement plans.
- o Recommending necessary changes to retirement plan provisions.
- o Hiring an actuary to perform annual actuarial valuations and experience studies.
- o Overseeing the work of the actuary.

Minnesota Statutes, Sections 356.20 and 356.215, require annual actuarial valuations and periodic experience studies. The Board of Directors is concerned with the valuations and experience studies which must be performed for:

- o The General State Employees' Plan;
- o The Correctional Employees' Plan;
- o The State Patrol Employees' Plan; and
- o The Judges' Plan

These valuations and experience studies are prepared by the Wyatt Co., the actuary retained by the LCPR. Since the Minnesota State Retirement System does not have an actuary on its staff, it has retained Deloitte & Touche to review, analyze, and critique the actuarial valuations and experience studies.

This report evaluates the accuracy of the Wyatt Co.'s results, and expands on any items of particular significance.

#### II. COMPARISON OF VALUATION RESULTS

We attempted to duplicate the figures shown in the Wyatt Co.'s June 30, 1989 valuation reports. In doing so, we had several discussions with the Wyatt Co.'s personnel who prepared the reports. Where we were able to discover reasonable justification for the Wyatt Co.'s approach, we adjusted our methods and assumptions to match theirs. (Descriptions of those adjustments are included in Section III.)

In this section of the report, we compare the results that the Wyatt Co. reported with our valuation results. Three tables are included. Table A shows the derivation of the unfunded liability. Table B shows the annual contribution requirements under both Chapters 352B and 356. Table C shows the depth of plan funding based on liabilities incurred to date. Table C figures are also required for Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) reporting.

#### TABLE A (000's Omitted)

Present Value of Benefits:	<u>Wyatt Co</u> .	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage Difference
Activacy			
Retirement Death Disability	\$139,040 6,232 14,067	\$138,629 12,784 13,143	(0.3%) 105.1 (6.6)
Withdrawal Total actives Deferred annuitants	<u>15,106</u> \$174,445 1,795	<u>15,847</u> \$180,403 1,801	4.9 3.4 0.3
vested rights Participants in MPRI	59	58	(1.7)
Fund Participants not in	79,129	79,033	(0.1)
MPŘI Fund Total	<u>8,256</u> \$263,684	<u>7,536</u> \$268,831	(8.7) 2.0
Portion allocated to future service	<u>    69,250</u>	73,859	6.6
Accrued liability (reserves required)	\$194,434	\$194,972	0.3
Valuation assets	167,271	167,271	0.0
Unfunded accrued liability	\$27,163	\$27,701	2.0
Funded ratio	86.0%	85.8%	

#### **CONTRIBUTIONS**

Chapters 352 and 356 set forth requirements about the level of contributions. Chapter 352 prescribes the actual amount of contributions, and Chapter 356 describes the methods used to determine the amount of contribution required to fund the normal cost and the unfunded accrued liability. Together, the actual contribution and required contribution are used to determine the sufficiency of the actual contribution. These calculations are illustrated in Table B. Amounts in parentheses show the dollar amounts as a percent of payroll.\*

TABLE B (000's omitted)				
Act	uarially Determined Contribution	Wyatt Co.	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	
1.	Normal cost	\$5,740 (17.61%)	\$6,393 (19.61%)	
2.	Assumed operating expense	\$ 186 (.57%)	\$ 186 (.57%)	
3.	Amortization by June 1, 2020 of the unfunded accrued liability	\$1,193 (3.66%)	\$1,217 (3.74%)	
4.	Total Chapter 356 requirement: (1) + (2) + (3)	\$7,119 (21.84%)	\$7,796 (23.92%)	
Pre	scribed Contributions			
1.	Employee contributions	\$2,770 (8.50%)	\$2,770 (8.50%)	
2.	Employer contribution	\$6,160 <u>(18.90%</u> )	\$6,160 <u>(18.90%</u> )	
3.	Total Chapter 352B prescribed contribution	\$8,930 (27.40%)	\$8,930 (27.40%)	
Contribution Sufficiency 5.56%				

<sup>\*</sup> Assuming that contributions are paid during each payroll period throughout the year ending June 30, 1990. The expected annual payroll is \$32,591,000.

<b>B671</b>

The depth of funding indicates the extent to which the accrued benefits are funded and is measured by the ratio of valuation assets to the present value of accrued benefits. These measurements are made on the plan continuation basis (applying <u>all</u> ongoing actuarial assumptions, including assumed salary increases and turnover) and are illustrated as follows:

# TABLE C (000's omitted)

#### Depth of Funding June 30, 1989

		Wyatt Co.	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage <u>Difference</u>
1.	Active members	\$95,011	\$98,670	3.9%
2.	Deferred annuitants	1,795	1,801	0.3
3.	Former members without vested rights	59	58	(1.7)
4.	Participation in MPRI Fund	79,129	79,033	(0.1)
5.	Participants not in MPRI Fund	8,256	7,536	(8.7)
6.	Total present values of accrued benefits	\$184,250	\$187,098	1.5
7.	Valuation assets	167,271	167,271	0.0
8.	Depth of funding	90.8%	89.4%	
9.	Depth of funding excluding MPRI members	83.8%	81.6%	

#### III. EXPLANATION OF DIFFERENCES

In this section of the report, we present our best explanations for any differences between the Wyatt Co.'s methods and assumptions and ours, the changes we made where appropriate to be consistent with the Wyatt Co., and the effects of these changes.

Our calculation of the liabilities and costs associated with the death benefits were significantly higher than those shown in the Wyatt Co.'s report. We discussed these matters with the Wyatt Co.'s personnel, and they have since acknowledged that their calculations were in error, and that the contribution sufficiency is overstated by 1.24%. Although we did not receive specific figures from the Wyatt Co., we believe that this accounts for the vast majority of the differences in present value of benefits and plan continuation liability.

After adjusting for the 1.24 percent, the Wyatt Co.'s contribution sufficiency is still .84% greater than ours. Based on last year's conversations with the Wyatt Co., we believe this difference is primarily due to interest adjustments on the normal cost. Generally, normal cost is originally calculated as of the beginning of the plan year. We then adjust the normal cost to take into account that part that is paid throughout the year by adding a half year's interest. The Wyatt Co.'s personnel indicated that they do not make this adjustment. The impact of this adjustment is generally minor, but in this case it is significant, and we believe the adjustment should be made.

We increased the withdrawal liability by 6% to account for the death benefit for future vested terminations. The Wyatt Co. has stated that it calculated this liability directly but is unable to give us the exact amount. We believe that a 6% load is a reasonable approximation for this ancillary benefit.

During our discussions, the Wyatt Co. indicated that it expects the IRS limit on benefits to increase at 5% The Wyatt Co. changed its assumption from a rate of 6.5% used last year. We believed that a 6.5% assumption was too high and agree that a 5% increase is reasonable. This assumption along with a 6.5% salary scale results in some IRS limitation on the benefits that this plan provides. The net effect is a slight decrease in potential liabilities.

The current valuation includes an assumption that 100% of the participants are married. The Wyatt Co. has indicated that it believes an 85% assumption is more reasonable. We concur, and with the Board's approval, will use 85% next year.

#### IV. CHANGES IN THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY

The State Troopers Plan currently has an unfunded liability. An unfunded liability is not necessarily bad for an ongoing plan, as long as some provision is made to pay off the liability over time. However, the unfunded liability becomes a problem when it is so large that it precludes benefit security, or when, like any debt, interest on the liability becomes unmanageable.

Generally, unfunded liabilities tend to decrease over time, although some year-to-year fluctuation is normal. One symptom of a troubled pension plan is a constantly increasing unfunded liability. Annual changes in the unfunded liability occur when:

- Part of the contribution made each year goes to pay the normal costs (including expenses). The remaining contribution is called the Past Service Contribution. The unfunded liability is automatically increased each year by the interest requirement (8% last year, 8.5% in future years). When the past service contribution is less than the interest requirement, there will be a net increase in the liability. When the past service contribution is greater than the interest requirement, part of the liability is "paid off," and the liability decreases.
- o The unfunded liability is an actuarial projection of liabilities based on certain assumptions. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions, actuarial gains and losses may occur. An actuarial gain will decrease the unfunded liability; an actuarial loss will increase the unfunded liability.
- o The assumptions and techniques used in calculating the unfunded liability are changed when circumstances warrant. These changes can produce increases or decreases in the unfunded liability.
- o Changes in the legal, economic, and sociological environment often result in changes to retirement plans which frequently result in improved benefits. When these changes result in higher retirement benefits, unfunded liabilities are increased. When the changes increase benefits paid in lieu of retirement benefits, unfunded liabilities can increase or decrease.

During the year ended June 30, 1989, the State Troopers Fund showed a small increase in the unfunded liability. This increase was caused because:

#### 1. <u>Contribution Rate</u>

The total contributions to the plan were \$7.7 million. However, expected normal cost, expenses and interest combined to equal \$7.5 million. The Wyatt Co. increased the \$.2 million difference by another \$.2 million, which was a loss caused by actual salaries being less than the salaries projected by the Wyatt Co. last year. The net result was a surplus of about \$.4 million, which decreased the unfunded liability.

#### 2. <u>Actuarial Losses</u>

According to the Wyatt Co., the Fund experienced actuarial losses of \$1,101,000 from salary increases and \$287,000 from MPRI mortality.

The Fund experienced a \$4,530,000 gain on investments.

The remaining sources of gain or loss, including Mortality of Other Benefit Recipients, combined to produce a loss of approximately \$1.4 million. Overall, there was a net gain (decrease in unfunded liability) from actuarial experience of \$1,790,000.

#### 3. <u>Changes in Assumptions and Plan Provisions</u>

Many changes were made to the plan provisions. The most significant changes are detailed in the back of the report and are summarized below:

- A reduction in service requirements from five years to three years for the normal retirement, death and withdrawal benefits.
- An increase in the normal retirement benefit amount to 2.5% for each year of service.
- Allowance for early retirement at age 50 with five years of service.
- A reduction in the service requirement for nonoccupational disability from five years to one year and an increase in the benefit amount.
- An increase in the occupational disability benefit amount to 50% of final average salary plus 2.5% for each year of service in excess of 20 years.
- An increase in the interest rate credited on refunds of member contributions from 5% to 6%.
- An increase in the surviving spouse death benefit to 50% of final average salary. With three or more years of service the benefit changes to a 100% joint and survivor annuity amount if larger as of the date the employee would have attained age 55.

- o A change in the surviving children death benefit which extends the payments to age 23 if a student. The maximum amount of benefit per family is now 70%.
- o A bounceback annuity is now available at no additional cost.
- o An additional annual payment (valued at \$25 times years of service) is made to annuitants who retired before July 1, 1973.

Actuarial assumptions were changed to reflect the mandated increase in the preretirement interest rate from 8% to 8.5%. Because spouses' benefits were increased, we changed our assumption regarding the value of childrens' benefits (compared to spouses' benefits) from 15% to 6%. The date of full amortization of the unfunded liability was changed from June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2020; this change did not affect the amount of unfunded liability.

The Wyatt Co. calculated that the changes in provisions increased the unfunded liability by \$6,045,000. This was offset by a decrease of \$3,356,000 due to changes in assumptions. The net effect of these changes was a \$2,689,000 increase in unfunded liability.

Because of our difference with the Wyatt Co. in the death benefit amount, and possibly for other reasons as well, we obtained different results for the change due to plan provisions. We calculated the change to be about \$4 million.

# <u>Changes in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability</u>\* (000's omitted)

A.	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year	\$26,707
В.	Change due to interest requirement and current rate of funding	<u>(443)</u>
C.	Expected actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(A) + (B)$	\$26,264
D.	Actuarial losses (gains)	(1,790)
E.	Changes in assumptions and plan provisions	<u>2,689</u>
F.	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(C) + (D) + (E)$	<u>\$27,163</u>

\* Results prepared by the Wyatt Co.

#### V. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

When performing an actuarial valuation, liabilities and contributions are developed under a single actuarial cost method and one set of actuarial assumptions. However, since it is unlikely that any given assumption will prove to be exactly correct, we analyzed the impact of a variation in an assumption. This analysis is called a sensitivity analysis.

We have analyzed the sensitivity to change of three of these assumptions and methods mandated by state law. Each of these plays a major role in determining costs:

- 1. Interest is currently assumed to be 8.5% for all years until retirement, and 5% thereafter. We examined the effect of changing from 8.5% to 7.5%.
- 2. Salaries are assumed to increase 6.5% each year. We examined the effect of a 6% salary increase assumption.
- 3. The unfunded liability is amortized as a level percent of future payroll. This approach is not permitted for a private sector plan. We examined the effect of amortizing the unfunded liability using a level dollar amount.

	Constant	Value Alter Change			
	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Interest	Salary <u>Increase</u>	<u>Amortization</u>	
Unfunded liability	\$27,701	\$34,633	\$25,436	\$27,701	
Actuarially required contribution:					
(Amount) (Percent) (Deficiency)	7,796 23.92% 3.48%	8,924 27.38% 0.02%	7,380 22.64% 4.76%	9,043 27.75% (0.35)	
Plan contribution liability	\$187,098	\$197,706	\$183,499	\$187,098	
Depth of funding:	89.4%	84.6%	91.2%	89.4%	

#### STATE PATROL

·. ·

#### SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS\*\* (000'S Omitted) <u>VI.</u>

Report as of <u>June 30</u>	Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Valuation <u>Assets</u>	Unfunded Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Normal <u>Cost</u>	Actuarial <u>Contribution</u>	Prescribed <u>Contribution</u>	<u>Sufficiency</u>
1980 *	\$85,830	\$49,620	\$36,210	\$2,791 (15.50%)	\$5,214 (28.96%)	\$5,041 (28.00%)	(.96%)
1981	100,518	58,720	41,798	3,149 (15.77%)	5,991 (30.00%)	5,591 (28.00%)	(2.00)
1982	111,455	68,183	43,272	3,323 (16.96)	6,243 (31.85%)	5,488 (28.00%)	(3.85)
1983	132,175	78,775	53,400	3,805 (17.65%)	7,469 (34.64%)	6,361 (29.50%)	(5.14)
1984	119,682	86,784	32,898	<b>4,</b> 300 (18.68%)	5,973 (25.95%)	6,306 (27.40%)	1.45
1985	134,440	100,486	33,954	4,756 (18.38%)	6,625 (25.60%)	7,090 (27.40%)	1.80
1986	148,524	118,175	30,349	5,080 (18.49%)	6,840 (24.90%)	7,528 (27.40%)	2.50
1987*	160,628	136,397	24,231	5,173 (18.10%)	6,685 (23.39%)	7,832 (27.40%)	4.01
1988*	175,062	148,355	26,707	5,291 (18.08%)	6,986 (23.87%)	8,019 (27.40%)	3.53
1989*	194,434	167,271	27,163	5,740 (17.61%)	7,119 (21.84%)	8,930 (27.40%)	5.56

\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.
 \*\* Figures shown in parentheses are as a percentage of payroll under normal retirement age.

- 11 -

#### STATE PATROL

#### VI. SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS\*\* (000'S Omitted)

	<u>Activ</u>	<u>ve Members</u>	<u>Retired</u>	<u>Members*</u>	Deferred	<u>Annuitants</u>	
Report as of <u>June 30</u>	<u>Number</u>	Valuation <u>Payroll</u>	l <u>Number</u> E	Avg. Annual Benefits	Number	Avg. Annual Benefits	Former Members Without <u>Vested Rights</u>
1980*	782	\$18,003,587	302	\$5,030	23	\$ 7,303	13
1981	793	19,967,408	312	5,699	25	8,503	10
1982	763	20,922,575	339	6,614	28	8,636	10
1983	774	23,066,558	359	7,736	22	8,858	10
1984	741	23,016,272	397	8,907	21	8,005	10
1985	765	25,875,980	407	9,749	20	10,507	9
1986	769	27,474,215	425	11,183	17	10,478	10
1987*	771	28,583,000	430	12,619	16	10,009	8
1988*	740	29,267,000	455	14,214	16	9,881	8
1989*	765	32,591,000	455 ***	* 15,506	19	12,340	7

\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.
 \*\* Including beneficiaries and disabled members.
 \*\*\* Does not include children

- 12 -

#### **APPENDIX A**

### SUMMARY OF EMPLOYEE DATA

The Executive Director gave us employee information for all active members, inactive members, and retired members of the Fund. The following tables summarize the changes in active, inactive, and retired membership during the year.

To reflect anticipated current year salary increases, all salaries provided were increased by 6.5%.

### <u>Appendix A</u> (continued)

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE PATROL RETIREMENT FUND

# State Patrol Census Data as of June 30, 1989

	<u>Number</u>	Annual Payroll
Actives at June 30, 1988 New Entrants*	740 46	\$29,266,695
Total	786	
Less Separations from Active Service:		
Refund of Contributions* Separation with a Vested Right	4	
to a Deferred Annuity Separation with Neither Annuity	3	
nor Right to a Deferred Annuity Death While Eligible: Surviving	0	
Spouse Receiving Annuity	0	
Service Retirement	14	
Disability Death	0	
Total Separations	22	
Data Adjustments	1	
Actives at June 30, 1989	765	\$32,591,305

### Average Entry Age of New Employees

For the Fiscal Year Year Ending	Male	Female	Average Age <u>at Entry</u>
6/30/84	28.0	31.7	28.3
6/30/85	27.8	23.5	27.4
6/30/86	26.5	22.8	26.4
6/30/87	26.0	36.7	26.4
6/30/88	32.5	34.2	32.7
6/30/89	28.7	24.3	28.3

Includes those who entered the plan and terminated during the period from July 1, 1988 to June 30, 1989.

\*

# <u>Appendix A</u> (continued)

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE PATROL RETIREMENT FUND

#### State Patrol Census Data as of June 30, 1989

А.	Service Retirement Annuitants	<u>Number</u>	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	339	\$5,601,548
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	14 (13) 0	296,498 (145,042) <u>343,618</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	340	\$6,096,622
B.	Disabled Employees		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988		
	Non-MPRI MPRI	11 _ <u>3</u> 13	\$ 163,510
	New Deaths Adjustments	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 0\end{array}$	9,228 0 9,092
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	14	\$ 181,830
C.	Widows Receiving an Annuity or Survivor Benefit		
	Beneficiaries Receiving an Optional or Reversionary Annuity:		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	98	\$ 689,564
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	5 (3) _1	31,486 (12,204) <u>56,066</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	101	\$ 764,912

# <u>Appendix A</u> (continued)

		Number	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
D.	Children Receiving a Survivor Benefit		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	5	\$ 12,612
	New Reinstated No Longer Eligible Adjustments - Net Result Receiving at June 30, 1989	$\begin{array}{c}3\\0\\(1)\\\underline{}\\7\end{array}$	6,173 0 (2,825) <u>677</u> \$ 16,637
E.	Deferred Annuitants		
	Deferred as of June 30, 1988	16	\$158,099
	New Returned as Active Adjustment - Net Results	4 (2) 1	
	Deferred as of June 30, 1989	19	\$234,458

# Average Age at Retirement of New Service Annuitants \*

Fiscal Year Ending	Average Retirement
6/30/84	58.6
6/30/85	58.3
6/30/86	58.2
6/30/87	57.2
6/30/88	57.5
6/30/89	56.2
All Existing Service	
Annuitants	57.8

#### **APPENDIX B**

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE PATROL RETIREMENT FUND

# Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989

#### State Patrol Employees

1.	Coverage:	From first date of employment.
2.	Service Credit:	Service is credited from date of coverage. For State Police Officers hired after July 1, 1961, no service is credited after age 60.
3.	Contributions:	
	a. Employees:	8.5% of salary.
	b. From the State:	18.9% of salary.
4.	Final Average Salary:	Monthly average for the highest five years of salary.
5.	Normal Retirement:	
	a. Eligibility:	Attainment of age 55 and completion of three years of service. (Changed from five years of service.)
	b. Benefit Amount:	2.5% of final average salary for each year of service. (Changed from 2.5% for each of the first 25 years of service plus 2% thereafter.)
6.	Early Retirement:	
	a. Eligibility:	Attainment of age 50 and completion of five years of service. (Added 1989.)
	b. Benefit Amount:	Normal Retirement Benefit actuarially reduced for commencement before age 55. (Added 1989.)
7.	Form of Payment:	Life annuity with actuarially equivalent options also available.
8.	Disability Retirement:	
	a. Eligibility:	o In line of duty: All participants are eligible.
		o Not in line of duty: One year of service. (Changed from five years of service.)

B671
	b.	Benefit Amount:	0	In line of duty: 50% of average monthly salary plus 2.5% for each year of service in excess of 20 years.
			0	Not in line of duty: 2.5% of average monthly salary for each year of service subject to a minimum of 37.5% of average monthly salary.
	c.	Death Benefits:	If a m benef payat	nember dies while receiving a disability fit, 50% of his final average salary is ole to the surviving spouse for life.
9.	Defe Reti	erred Service rement:		
	a.	Eligibility:	Comj (Chai	pletion of three years of service. nged from five years.)
	b.	Benefit Amount:	Retir retire the n on th servic subje betwo years year follow or no Janua	rement benefit payable at normal ement date are determined according to ormal retirement benefit formula based the member's final average salary and ce at termination; such amount being ext to an increase of 5% for each year een termination and retirement for a before January 1, 1981; 3% for each from January 1, 1981 to the January 1 wing age 55 and 5% each year until early ormal retirement. (Changed from 5% to ary 1, 1981 and 3% thereafter.)
10.	Retu	urn of Contributions:	If a n eligit his en intere	nember terminates before becoming ole for any other benefits under the plan, mployee contributions are returned with est at 6%. (Changed from 5%.)
11.	Sur Ben	viving Spouse Death efit :		
	a.	Eligibility:	Deat	h of member in service.
	b.	Benefit Amount:	50% more joint of the attain avera	of final average salary. With three or e years of service, changes to a 100% and survivor annuity amount if larger as e date the employee would have ned age 55. (Changed from 20% of final age salary and five years of service.)

.

12.	Ch	Children's Death Benefits:						
	a.	Eligibility:	Death benefits are payable to children (under age 18, or 23 if a student) of members who die in active service. (Changed from 22 if a student.)					
	b.	Amount:	10% of final average salary, plus \$20 per month prorated equally to such children. Total benefit to spouse and all children must not be less than 50% of salary. (Minimum benefit added in 1989.)					
	c.	Maximum:	Total benefit to spouse and all children may not exceed 70% of final average salary. (Changed from 40%.)					
13.	Rej	payment of Contributions:						
	a.	Eligible Members:	Rehired members.					
	b.	<b>Repayment Provision:</b>	Such rehired member may repay all refunds made to him, including interest at 5% compounded annually. In such case, service previously credited during the prior period at membership is restored.					
14.	Co	mbined Service Provision:						
	a.	Eligible Members:	Members who have had coverage under two or more Minnesota Public Retirement Systems, with a total of at least five years of credited service.					
	b.	Benefit Provisions:	Benefits under both plans are based on the highest final average salary including all years from both plans, and on the plans in effect on the member's last day in covered public employment.					
15.	Pro	oportionate Annuity:	Any member who terminated after attaining age 65 and completing at least one year of service is entitled to a proportionate retirement annuity based on his allowable service credit.					

٠

#### 16. **Pre-1973 Annuitants**:

State Patrol officers who retired before 1973 are entitled to an annual 6% increase in benefits.

Participants retired before July 1, 1973 will receive an additional lump sum payment each year. The initial benefit is \$25 times each year of service or \$400 times each year of service less Social Security benefits received from a Minnesota Public Employee Pension plan. Benefits will increase at the same rate as benefits from the MPRI fund increase. (Added in 1989.)

#### **APPENDIX C**

#### ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

#### ACTUARIAL METHODS

Chapter 356 of the Minnesota Statutes calls for the determination of normal cost and accrued liability in accordance with the entry age normal cost method, one of several available projected cost methods. For the June 30, 1989 valuation, we used the traditional individual entry age normal method, with normal costs determined as a percentage of salary.

The normal cost as a percentage of payroll for disability, refund, survivor and vested termination benefits is determined by dividing the present value at entry of the applicable benefit by the present value at entry of future compensation.

The unfunded liability is amortized by the level percent of payroll method. (Each amortization payment is calculated as if the following year's payment will increase by 6.5%.)

#### **ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS**

The tables on the following pages summarize the actuarial assumptions used for this valuation.

#### CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The preretirement interest rate assumption has been changed from 8.0% to 8.5%. Also, the period which the unfunded liability is amortized over has been increased by 11 years. The new amortization target date is June 30, 2020.

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE PATROL RETIREMENT FUND

#### Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

#### State Patrol Employees

1.	Mortality:	1971 Group Annuity Mortality Table for Males with ages set back eight years for females.
2.	Withdrawal:	Rates starting at .03 per 10,000 at age 20 and decreasing to zero at age 55, as set forth in the Separation From Active Service Table.
3.	Disability:	The rates of disability were adapted from experience of the New York State Employees' Retirement System, as set forth in the Separation From Active Service Table. 85% of disabilities are assumed to be occupational.
4.	Expenses:	Prior year's expenses expressed as a percentage of prior year's payroll.
5.	Interest Rate:	8.5% per annum preretirement (changed from 8.0%), 5% per annum postretirement.
6.	Salary Scale:	6.5% per annum, disregarding actual salary history. Benefits in excess of IRS Sec. 415 limits caused by salary increases are disregarded.
7.	Assumed Retirement Age:	Later of age 58 for State Troopers and for State Police Officers hired after June 30, 71961, or age 63 for State Police Officers hired before July 1, 1961, and one year hence.
8.	Actuarial Cost Method:	Individual level percent entry age cost method.

- 9. Assumed Survivor Status:
- 10. **Contribution Refund**:

100% assumed married, female spouse three years younger. 6% load on spouse benefits for children's benefits (changed from a 15% load).

All employees withdrawing after becoming eligible for a deferred benefit were assumed to leave their contributions on deposit and receive a deferred annuitant benefit. Effective June 30, 1987, all employees withdrawing after becoming eligible for a deferred benefit were assumed to take the larger of their contributions accumulated with interest or the value of their deferred benefit.

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE PATROL RETIREMENT FUND

## <u>Probabilities of Separation from Active Service</u> (Number Separating at Each Age Per 10,000 Working at That Age)

<b>A</b> go	<u>De</u>	eath*	With drows 1**	Disability**
Age	Males	remates	withdrawar	Disability
20	5	4	300	4
21	5	4	290	4
22	5	4	280	5
23	6	4	270	5
24	6	4	260	6
25	6	5	250	6
26	7	5	240	6
27	7	5	230	7
28	7	5	220	7
29	8	5	210	8
30	8	5	200	8
31	9	6	190	9
32	9	6	180	9
33	10	6	170	10
34	10	7	160	10
35	11	7	150	11
36	12	7	140	12
37	13	8	130	13
38	14	8	120	15
39	15	9	110	16
40	16	9	100	18
41	18	10	90	20
42	20	10	80	22
43	23	11	70	24
44	26	12	60	26
45	29	13	50	29
46	33	14	50	32
47	38	15	50	36
48	42	16	50	41
49	47	18	50	46

	De	eath*		
Age	Males	Females	Withdrawal**	<u>Disability</u> **
50 51 52 53 54	53 59 65 71 78	20 23 26 29 33	200 200 200 200 200 200	50 57 64 72 80
55 56 57 58 59	85 93 100 109 119	38 42 47 53 59	200	88 98 108 118 129
60 61 62	131 144 159	65 71 78		141 154 167

\* 1971 Group Annuity Mortality Table, with age set back 8 years for females.

\*\* Same withdrawal and disability rates pertain to males and females.



 $\triangle$ 

900 Pillsbury Center Minneapolis, MN 55402-1483 Telephone: (612) 333-2301 Telecopy: (612) 375-5418

Board of Directors Minnesota State Retirement System 529 Jackson at 10th Street St. Paul, Minnesota

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are pleased to present our report on the June 30, 1989 actuarial valuation of the Minnesota State Retirement System, Judges' Retirement Fund.

Our report is divided into the following sections:

5	Section I Section II Section III Section IV Section V Section VI	- - - -	Introduction and Purpose Comparison of Valuation Results Explanation of Differences Changes in the Unfunded Liability Sensitivity Analysis Summary of Historical Valuation Results
---	---	------------------	---

Appendices

- A. Summary of Employee Data
- B. Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989
- C. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The report was completed on the basis of accepted actuarial methods and procedures in accordance with the provisions stipulated in the contract between the State of Minnesota and Deloitte & Touche.

We would be pleased to answer any questions you may have regarding this report.

**DELOITTE & TOUCHE** 

ames F. Verlautz.

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) administers several retirement funds and plans. The plans administered are the: General State Employees' Plan, Unclassified Employees' Plan, Correctional Employees' Plan, State Patrol Employees' Plan, Judges' Plan, Legislators' Plan, Elective Officers' Plan, Military Affairs Plan, Transportation Pilots' Plan, and a statewide Deferred Compensation Plan for public employees.

The plans that MSRS administer are overseen by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement (LCPR). The LCPR consists of members of the Minnesota State Senate and Minnesota House of Representatives. Its members' duties include:

- o Reviewing investment performance.
- o Establishing policy for public retirement plans.
- o Recommending necessary changes to retirement plan provisions.
- o Hiring an actuary to perform annual actuarial valuations and experience studies.
- o Overseeing the work of the actuary.

Minnesota Statutes, Sections 356.20 and 356.215, require annual actuarial valuations and periodic experience studies. The Board of Directors is concerned with the valuations and experience studies which must be performed for:

- o The General State Employees' Plan;
- o The Correctional Employees' Plan;
- o The State Patrol Employees' Plan; and
- o The Judges' Plan

These valuations and experience studies are prepared by the Wyatt Co., the actuary retained by the LCPR. Since the Minnesota State Retirement System does not have an actuary on its staff, it has retained Deloitte & Touche to review, analyze, and critique the actuarial valuations and experience studies.

This report evaluates the accuracy of the Wyatt Co.'s results, and expands on any items of particular significance.

- 1 -

#### II. COMPARISON OF VALUATION RESULTS

We attempted to duplicate the figures shown in the Wyatt Co.'s June 30, 1989 valuation reports. In doing so, we had several discussions with the Wyatt Co.'s personnel who prepared the reports. Where we were able to discover reasonable justification for the Wyatt Co.'s approach, we adjusted our methods and assumptions to match theirs. (Descriptions of those adjustments are included in Section III.)

In this section of the report, we compare the results that the Wyatt Co. reported with our valuation results. Three tables are included. Table A shows the derivation of the unfunded liability. Table B shows the annual contribution requirements under Chapters 490 and 356. Table C shows the depth of plan funding based on liabilities incurred to date. These figures are also required for Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) reporting.

#### TABLE A (000's Omitted)

Present Value of Benefits:	<u>Wyatt Co</u> .	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage <u>Difference</u>
Actives: Retirement Death Disability Withdrawal Total actives Deferred annuitants	\$ 49,127 7,995 3,638 \$60,760 450	\$ 50,950 7,469 3,479 <u></u>	$3.7\% \\ (6.6) \\ (4.4) \\ \\ 1.9 \\ 9.8$
Former members without vested rights Participants in MPRI Fund Retirement and survivor benefits from Judges' Fund	0 20,169 _ <u>12,395</u>	0 20,383 <u>12,106</u>	0.0 1.1 (2.3)
Total Portion allocated to future service	\$ 93,774 <u>28,920</u>	\$ 94,881 <u>28,978</u>	0.2
Accrued liability (reserves required)	\$ 64,854	\$ 65,903	1.6
Valuation assets	23,352	23,352	0.0
Unfunded accrued liability	\$ 41,502	\$ 42,551	2.5
Funded ratio	36.0%	35.4%	

#### **CONTRIBUTIONS**

Chapters 490 and 356 set forth requirements about the level of contributions. Chapter 490 prescribes the actual amount of contributions, and Chapter 356 describes the methods used to determine the amount of contribution required to fund the normal cost and the unfunded accrued liability. Together, the actual contribution and required contribution are used to determine the sufficiency of the actual contribution. These calculations are illustrated in Table B. Amounts in parentheses show the dollar amounts as a percent of payroll.\*

	Delette			
Act	uarially Determined Contribution	Wyatt Co.	<u>&amp; Touche</u>	
1.	Normal cost	\$2,675 (14.26%)	\$2,829 (15.08%)	
2.	Assumed operating expense	\$58 (.31%)	\$58 (.31%)	
3.	Amortization by June 1, 2020 of the unfunded accrued liability	\$1,825 (9.73 <i>%</i> )	\$1,871 (9.97%)	
4.	Total Chapter 356 requirement: (1) + (2) + (3)	\$4,558 (24.30%)	\$4,758 (25.36%)	
Pre	escribed Contributions			
1.	Employee contributions	\$  806 (4.30%)	\$ 806 (4.30%)	
2.	Employer contribution	\$3,975 (21.19%)	\$0 (0.00%)	
3.	Total Chapter 490 prescribed contribution	\$4,781 (25.49%)	\$ 806 (4.30%)	
Co				
Average Annual State Contribution				

#### **Average Annual State Contribution**

The Deloitte & Touche results shown here include only those prescribed contributions which are not dependent on the plan's benefit provisions and the plan's actuarial experience. (i.e., the contributions required from the judges themselves) The Wyatt results include an estimate of terminal funding payments to be made by the State. See the explanation of differences section for a further discussion.

Assuming that contributions are paid during each payroll period throughout the year ending June 30, 1990. The expected annual payroll is \$18,759,000.

The depth of funding indicates the extent to which the accrued benefits are funded and is measured by the ratio of valuation assets to the present value of accrued benefits. These measurements are made on the plan continuation basis (applying <u>all</u> ongoing actuarial assumptions, including assumed salary increases and turnover) and are illustrated as follows:

#### TABLE C (000's omitted)

#### Depth of Funding June 30, 1989

		Wyatt Co.	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Percentage <u>Difference</u>
1.	Active members	\$31,092	\$31,577	1.6%
2.	Deferred annuitants	450	494	9.8
3.	Former members without vested rights	0	0	0.0
4.	Participation in MPRI Fund	20,169	20,383	1.1
5.	Participants not in MPRIF	12,395	12,106	(2.3)
6.	Total present values of accrued benefits	\$64,106	\$64,560	0.7
7.	Valuation assets	23,352	23,352	0.0
8.	Depth of funding	36.4%	36.2%	
9.	Depth of funding excluding MPRIF members	7.2%	6.7%	

#### III. EXPLANATION OF DIFFERENCES

In this section of the report, we present our best explanations for any differences between the Wyatt Co.'s methods and assumptions and ours, the changes we made where appropriate to be consistent with the Wyatt Co., and the effects of these changes.

Our calculations for the Judges' Retirement Plan are similar to those of the Wyatt Co., and our valuation results in Table A of Section II are close. Our total present value of benefits is 1.2% higher than the Wyatt Co.'s. Our total accrued liability is only 1.6% higher than the Wyatt Co.'s total. With a plan of this complexity, duplicating results this closely is unusual.

When determining 60% of the Normal Retirement Benefit to value death benefits, the Wyatt Co. projects service and earnings forward to the normal retirement date. Our understanding of the death benefit under this plan is that the beneficiary receives 60% of the participant's accrued benefit at date of death without reduction. Because of this difference, our value of death benefits is consistently lower than the Wyatt Co.'s. Also, in valuing Deferred Vested participants, the majority of the liability is for one individual's annuity. We assumed this individual's annuity is payable in the form of a 50% Joint and Survivor annuity, while Wyatt assumes it is a straight life annuity. This causes our value of deferred vested benefits to be slightly higher than Wyatt's.

As noted on page 3, we determined contribution sufficiency in a different manner than the Wyatt Co. did. Objections have been raised that the prior approach (the one we used in this report) does not adequately convey the State's commitment to funding this plan, and as such misleads the reader into thinking there is a problem with the Judges Fund. We agree that this is a legitimate argument. However, the language of Chapter 490 indicates that the necessary contributions will be made to ensure that there are sufficient assets to cover benefits. An exhibit that demonstrates a contribution sufficiency using an approach that by definition must produce a sufficiency is not particularly useful. We believe simply stating that the average annual contribution by the State (as a percentage of pay) that is necessary to cover its obligation produces a more meaningful result.

#### IV. CHANGES IN THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY

The Judges' Plan currently has an unfunded liability. An unfunded liability is not necessarily bad for an ongoing plan, as long as some provision is made to pay off the liability over time. However, the unfunded liability becomes a problem when it is so large that it precludes benefit security, or when, like any debt, interest on the liability becomes unmanageable.

Generally, unfunded liabilities tend to decrease over time, although some year-to-year fluctuation is normal. One symptom of a troubled pension plan is a constantly increasing unfunded liability. Annual changes in the unfunded liability occur when:

- o Part of the contribution made each year goes to pay the normal costs (including expenses). The remaining contribution is called the past service contribution. The unfunded liability is automatically increased each year by the interest requirement (8%). If the past service contribution is less than the interest requirement, there will be a net increase in the liability. When the past service contribution is greater than the interest requirement, part of the liability is "paid off," and the liability decreases.
- o The unfunded liability is an actuarial projection of liabilities based on certain assumptions. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions, actuarial gains and losses may occur. An actuarial gain will decrease the unfunded liability; an actuarial loss will increase the unfunded liability.
- The assumptions and techniques used in calculating the unfunded liability are changed when circumstances warrant. These changes can produce increases or decreases in the unfunded liability.
- Changes in the legal, economic, and sociological environment often result in changes to retirement plans which frequently result in improved benefits.

During the year ended June 30, 1989, the Judges' Fund showed a small increase in the unfunded liability. This increase was caused because:

## 1. <u>Contribution Rate</u>

The total contributions to the plan were \$3,608,000. However, expected normal cost, expenses and interest combined to equal \$5,693,000. The Wyatt Co. reduced the \$2,085,000 difference by \$98,000, which is a gain caused by actual salaries being less than the salaries projected by the Wyatt Co. last year. The net result was a shortfall of \$1,987,000, which increased the unfunded liability.

## 2. <u>Actuarial Losses</u>

The Fund experienced a gain on investments of approximately \$298,000. According to the Wyatt Co., the MPRI fund experienced a mortality loss of \$130,000 caused by retirees living longer than expected. According to our calculations, this loss was about \$420,000. In addition, lower than anticipated mortality for non-MPRI annuitants caused a loss of about \$300,000. The remaining sources of gain and loss combined to produce a loss of \$1,181,000 according to the Wyatt Co.'s calculations, \$516,000 according to our calculations.

#### 3. <u>Changes in Assumptions</u>

Effective June 30, 1989, the pre-retirement interest rate changed from 8.0% to 8.5%. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability decreased by \$738,000 due to this change. Also reflected is a change in the Amortization Target Date from July 1, 2009 to July 1, 2020. This change had no effect on the unfunded liability.

#### 4. <u>Changes in Plan Provisions</u>

The only change in plan provision since the last valuation, was to include Judges in the Combined Service Annuity provision. We did not directly calculate a cost (or cost savings) of this relatively minor change.

## <u>Changes in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability</u>\* (000's omitted)

A.	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year	\$38,948
B.	Change due to interest requirement and current rate of funding	<u>1,987</u>
C.	Expected actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(A) + (B)$	\$40,935
D.	Actuarial losses (gains)	1,305
E.	Changes in assumptions and plan provisions	<u>(738)</u>
F.	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: $(C) + (D) + (E)$	<u>\$41,502</u>

\* Results prepared by the Wyatt Co.

(\_

#### V. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

When performing an actuarial valuation, liabilities and contributions are developed under a single actuarial cost method and one set of actuarial assumptions. However, since it is unlikely that any given assumption will prove to be exactly correct, we analyze the impact of a variation in an assumption. This analysis is called a sensitivity analysis.

We have analyzed the sensitivity to change of three of these assumptions and methods mandated by state law. Each of these plays a major role in determining costs:

- 1. Interest is currently assumed to be 8.5% for all years until retirement, and 5% thereafter. We examined the effect of changing 8.5% to 7.5%.
- 2. Salaries are assumed to increase 6.5% each year. We examined the effect of a 6% salary increase assumption.
- 3. The unfunded liability is amortized as a level percent of future payroll. This approach is not permitted for a private sector plan. We examined the effect of amortizing the unfunded liability using a level dollar amount.

	Current	Value After Change			
	Deloitte <u>&amp; Touche</u>	Interest	Salary <u>Increase</u>	<u>Amortization</u>	
Unfunded liability	\$42,551	\$44,084	\$42,105	\$42,551	
Actuarially required contribution:					
Amount Percent Deficiency	4,758 25.36% 21.06%	4,904 26.14% 21.84%	4,725 25.19% 20.89%	6,671 35.56% 32.06%	
Plan contribution liability	\$64,560	\$67,055	\$63,713	\$64,560	
Depth of funding:	36.7%	34.83%	36.65%	36.17%	

#### JUDGES

# VI. SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS\*\* (000'S Omitted)

Report as of <u>June 30</u>	Accrued Liability	Valuation <u>Assets</u>	Unfunded Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Normal <u>Cost</u>	Actuarial <u>Contribution</u>	Prescribed <u>Contribution</u>
1980 *	\$31,367	\$ 7,641	\$23,726	\$1,610 (15.16%)	\$3,194 (30.08%)	\$504 (4.74%)
1981	32,615	8,514	24,101	1,564 (14.73%)	3,198 (30.12%)	496 (4.67%)
1982	35,217	8,740	26,477	1,537 (15.17%)	3,318 (32.74%)	460 (4.54%)
1983	40,556	11,049	29,507	1,807 (15.09%)	3,830 (31.99%)	543 (4.54%)
1984	42,378	11,792	30,586	1,950 (13.84%)	3,484 (24.73%)	589 (4.18%)
1985	46,843	13,784	33,059	2,041 (13.47%)	3,752 (24.77%)	611 (4.04%)
1986	51,102	15,983	35,119	2,225 (13.39%)	4,110 (24.73%)	675 (4.06%)
1987*	54,034	18,781	35,253	2,180 (13.63%)	4,152 (25.96%)	601 (3.76%)
1988*	59,708	20,760	38,948	2,567 (15.00%)	4,833 (28.25%)	759 (4.44%)
1989*	64,854	23,352	41,502	2,675 (14.26%)	4,558 (24.30%)	806 (4.30%)

\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.
 \*\* Figures shown in parentheses are as a percentage of payroll under normal retirement age.

#### JUDGES

# VI. SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL VALUATION RESULTS\*\* (000'S Omitted)

<b>_</b> .	<u>Activ</u>	<u>Active Members</u>		<u>Retired Members*</u>		<u>Annuitants</u>	
Report as of <u>June 30</u>	<u>Number</u>	Valuation <u>Payroll</u>	<u>Number</u>	Avg. Annual Benefits	Number	Avg. Annual <u>Benefits</u>	Former Members Without <u>Vested Rights</u>
1980*	221	\$10,617,000	121	\$11,089	4	\$ 7,048	3
1981	220	10,618,500	126	11,715	4	7,048	3
1982	220	10,616,226	128	12,703	5	10,105	1
1983	229	12,685,000	135	13,906	5	10,105	0
1984	244	14,083,111	136	14,873	4	9,334	2
1985	239	15,145,615	139	16,136	8	18,810	0
1986	242	16,616,138	138	17,594	. 8	19,276	0
1987*	238	15,999,000	152	19,047	7	18,137	1
1988*	246	17,109,000	161	20,301	5	19,940	0
1989*	257	18,759,000	166	21,673	4	18,090	0

\* As prepared by the Wyatt Co.\*\* Including beneficiaries and disabled members.

#### **APPENDIX A**

#### SUMMARY OF EMPLOYEE DATA

The Executive Director gave us employee information for all active members, inactive members, and retired members of the Fund. The following tables summarize the changes in active, inactive, and retired membership during the year.

To be consistent with the Wyatt Co., salaries used in the valuation were different than those that the Executive Director provided. All salaries were taken from the July 25, 1988 memorandum from Jerome F. Hawkins regarding approved salary rates for elected officials and judges. We are unclear as to why these salaries vary so significantly from those included in the MSRS data base.

To reflect anticipated current year salary increases, all salaries provided were increased by 2.5%.

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM JUDGES' RETIREMENT FUND

## <u>Covered Judges' Retirement Fund Employee</u> <u>Census Data as of June 30, 1989</u>

	Number	Annual Payroll
Judges' Retirement Fund		
Actives at June 30, 1988 New Entrants*	245 14	\$17,036,690
Total	259	
Less Separations from Active Service:		
Inactive Nonvested Inactive Vested Service Retirement Death While, Eligible	0 0 5	
Spouse Receiving Annuity	_2	
Total Separations	7	
Data Adjustments	4	
Actives at June 30, 1989	256	\$18,686,446
Supreme Court Justices		
Actives at June 30, 1989	1	\$ 72,539
Total Active Judges at June 30, 1989	257	\$18,758,985

## Average Entry Age of New Employees

For the Fiscal Year	Average Age
Year Ending	<u>at Entry</u>
6/30/86	47.2
6/30/87	46.4
6/30/88	44.6
6/30/89	44.5

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM JUDGES' RETIREMENT FUND

## Judges' Retirement Fund Annuitant Census Data as of June 30, 1989

А.	Service Retirement Annuitants	Number	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	94	\$2,329,969
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	6 (2) _(1)	154,290 (23,064) <u>100,737</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	97	\$2,561,932
	Non-MPRIF MPRIF	20 77	594,172 <u>1,967,760</u>
		<u>97</u>	<u>\$2,561,932</u>
B.	Disabled Employees		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	5	\$ 120,706
	New Adjustments - Net Result	0 0	0 <u>8,350</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	5	\$ 129,056
	Non-MPRIF MPRIF	1	22,737 106,319
		<u>5</u>	<u>\$ 129,056</u>
C.	Widows Receiving an Annuity or Survivor Benefit & Children		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	62	\$ 817,808
	New Deaths Adjustments - Net Result	4 (1) 1	54,213 (6,570) <u>76,195</u>
	Receiving at June 30, 1989	66	\$ 941,646
	Non-MPRIF MPRIF	58 8	800,304 <u>141,342</u>
		<u>66</u>	<u>\$_941,646</u>

D356

- 14 -

		Number	Annual Annuity <u>Benefit Payable</u>
D.	Deferred Annuitants		
	Receiving at June 30, 1988	5	99,698
	New Began Receiving Adjustments	0 (1) 0	(27,336)
	Deferred at June 30, 1989	4	\$ 72,362
	Judges Supreme Court Judges	3 1	36,452 35,910
		_4	<u>\$ 72,362</u>

Average Age at Retirement of New Service Annuitants \*

Fiscal Year Ending	Average Retirement <u>Age</u>		
6/30/84 6/30/85 6/30/86 6/30/87 6/30/88	69.2 68.0 69.1 67.3 65.6		
6/30/89	65.3		

Not including District or Supreme Court, or County Paid Judges or Widows

4

\*

#### **APPENDIX B**

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM JUDGES' RETIREMENT FUND

#### Summary of Principal Plan Provisions as of June 30, 1989

#### JUDGE'S PLAN

b.

1. Coverage:

From first date as a Judge.

- 2. Types of Coverage:
  - a. Including Social Security:

All Judges except those excluded by Item 2(b) are covered by Social Security.

- Not Including<br/>Social Security:Judges before January 1, 1974 were given the<br/>opportunity to elect not to be covered under<br/>Social Security.
- 3. **Contributions**:
  - a. From Judges:

Judges pay the Social Security Tax Rate applied to the entire salary, plus an additional .5% of salary. For those Judges with Social Security coverage, the additional contribution is 1.25%, and the appropriate portion of the total contribution is forwarded to Social Security.

- b. From the State:
- 4. **Final Average Salary:**

The State provides any additional funds necessary to meet obligations as Judges retire.

Monthly average for the highest five years of salary within the last 10 years.

5.	Normal Retirement:			
	a.	Eligibility:	Earlie	er of:
			0	Attainment of age 65 and completion of five years of service; or
			0	Attainment of age 70.
	b.	Benefit Amount:	2.5% servic avera	of final average salary for each year of the before June 30, 1980, plus 3% of final ge salary for each year thereafter.
	C.	Maximum Benefit:	65% prece	of annual salary in the year immediately ding retirement.
6.	Earl	y Retirement:		
	a.	Eligibility:	Attain years	nment of age 62 and completion of five of service.
	b.	Benefit Amount:	Norm servic early mont 65.	hal retirement benefit formula based on the and final average salary to date of retirement, but reduced $1/2\%$ for each h that actual retirement precedes age
7.	For	n of Payment:	Life a Joint	annuity with no guarantees upon death. and survivor options are available.
8.	Disa	ability Retirement:		
	a.	Eligibility:	None	other than disablement while in office.
	b.	Benefit Amount:	0	Full salary for the first two years of disability paid outside the plan.

- 9. Deferred Service Retirement:
- 10. **Return of Contributions**:
- 11. **Pre-Retirement** Survivor's Annuity:
- 12. **Post-Retirement** Survivor's Annuity:
  - a. Joint and Survivor Election:
  - b. **Prior Survivor's** Benefits:

o After two years of disability, an annuity computed in the same way as the full benefit amount for service retirement, subject to a minimum of 25% of final average salary.

Any annuity benefit described above may be deferred until the early or normal retirement date.

Upon termination of employment, if a Judge qualifies for no other benefits under this plan, he will receive his contributions, accumulated with interest, at a rate of 5% compounded annually.

60% of the annuity determined in the same manner as normal service retirement benefits, assuming the Judge retired on his date of death. Subject to minimum of 25% of final average salary.

In lieu of receiving benefits in the standard life annuity form of payment, a retiring Judge may elect actuarially reduced benefits in the joint and survivor annuity form.

Judges who were in office before January 1, 1974 and who continue to make additional contributions of 4% of salary receive benefits in the 50% joint and survivor form, with no actuarial reduction.

13. Social Security Offset:

For Judges participating in Social Security, Judge's Plan benefits are reduced by 50% of the primary Social Security benefit payable.

#### SUPREME COURT JUSTICES' PLAN

1. **Coverage**:

2. Retirement With Continuation of Compensation:

- a. Eligibility:
- b. Benefit Amount:

Supreme Court Justices as of December 31, 1973 who elected coverage under Chapter 490.025 in lieu of coverage under Chapters 490.121 through 490.132.

Attainment of age 70 and completion of 12 years of Supreme Court service, or 15 years of service as a Supreme Court Judge and Judge of District Court.

Continuation of final compensation until the end of the term to which the Supreme Court Justice was elected.

50% of final salary plus an additional 2.5% of final salary for each year of Supreme Court service in excess of 12, except for service after age 73; payable after the continuation of compensation ceases. The maximum benefit is 75% of final salary.

- 3. Retirement Without Continuation of Compensation:
  - a. Eligibility:

Earlier of:

o Attainment of age 65 and completion of 12 years of Supreme Court service; or

4.

5.

		0	Attainment of age 70 and completion of two full terms.
b.	Benefit Amount:	50% final servi	of final salary plus an additional 2.5% of salary for each year of Supreme Court ce in excess of 12.
Dis	ability Benefits:		
a.	Eligibility:	Disal term	blement after completion of two full s.
b.	Benefit Amount:	50% final servi	of final salary plus an additional 2.5% of salary for each year of Supreme Court ce in excess of 12.
Con Jud	tributions from ges:	4% c survi	of salary to provide a 50% joint and vor benefit with no actuarial reduction.

,

#### **APPENDIX C**

#### ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

#### **ACTUARIAL METHODS**

Chapter 356 of the Minnesota Statutes calls for the determination of normal cost and accrued liability in accordance with the entry age normal cost method, one of several available projected cost methods. For the June 30, 1989 valuation, we used the individual entry age normal method, with salary scale.

The unfunded liability is amortized by the level percent of payroll method. (Each amortization payment is calculated as if the following year's payment would increase by 6.5%.)

#### **ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS**

The tables on the following pages summarize the actuarial assumptions used for this valuation.

#### CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The preretirement interest rate was changed from 8.0% to 8.5% effective June 30, 1989. The new amortization target date is July 1, 2020.

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM JUDGES' RETIREMENT FUND

## Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

## JUDGES' RETIREMENT FUND

1.	Mortality:	1971 Group Annuity Mortality Table for Males with ages set back eight years for females.
2.	Withdrawal:	None.
3.	Disability:	Graded rates on actual experience, as adjusted by the June 30, 1979 experience analysis and as set forth in the Separation From Active Service Table.
4.	Expenses:	Prior year's expenses expressed as a percentage of prior year's payroll.
5.	Interest Rate:	8.5% per annum preretirement, 5% per annum postretirement.
6.	Salary Scale:	6.5%.
7.	Assumed Retirement Age:	Later of age 68 or one year hence.
8.	Actuarial Cost Method:	Entry age cost method, with normal cost determined as a level percentage of future payroll on an individual basis.

9.	Social Security:				
	a.	Primary Amount:	Maxin (\$899 with s	mum current primary amount .00 per month for 1989), increasing salary scale.	
	b.	Level Contribution Rate:	7.519	6.	
	c.	Covered Annual Wages:	Curre 1989)	ent annual wage base (\$48,000 for , increasing with salary scale.	
SUPR	EME (	COURT JUSTICES			
1.	Mort Postl	ality (Pre- and Retirement):	1971 Male fema	Group Annuity Mortality Table for s with ages set back eight years for les.	
2.	With	drawal:	None		
3.	Inter	est Rate:	8.5%	preretirement, 5% postretirement.	
4.	Salaı	ry Scale:	6.5% salar Sec. 4 are d	per annum, disregarding actual history. Benefits in excess of IRC 15 limits caused by salary increases isregarded.	
5.	Expe	nses:	Prior perce	year expenses expressed as a entage of prior year's payroll.	
6.	Retir	rement Age:	Lates	st of:	
			0	Attainment of age 70;	
			0	Completion of 12 years of service; or	
			0	One year from valuation date.	

and a second

-

#### MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM JUDGES' RETIREMENT FUND

## Male Judges <u>Probabilities of Separation from Active Service</u> (Number Separating at Each Age Per 10,000 Working at That Age)

Age	Death Disability	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>	Age	<u>Death</u>	<u>Disability</u>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>
20 21 22 23 24	5 5 5 6 6		45 46 47 48 49	29 33 38 42 47	3 5 7 9 11	
25 26 27 28 29	6 7 7 7 8		50 51 52 53 54	53 59 65 71 78	14 16 20 24 28	
30 31 32 33 34	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		55 56 57 58 59	85 93 100 109 119	34 40 46 56 66	
35 36 37 38 39	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		60 61 62 63 64	131 144 159 174 192	76 90 110 136 174	
40 41 42 43 44	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		65 66 67 68	213 236 263		10,000

## Appendix C (Continued)

## MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM JUDGES' RETIREMENT FUND

## Female Judges <u>Probabilities of Separation from Active Service</u> (Number Separating at Each Age Per 10,000 Working at That Age)

Age	<u>Death</u> <u>Disability</u>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>	Age	<u>Death</u>	<u>Disability</u>	Age and Service <u>Retirement</u>
20 21 22 23 24	4 4 4 4		45 46 47 48 49	13 14 15 16 18	5 6 7 7 10	
25 26 27 28 29	5 5 5 5 5		50 51 52 53 54	20 23 26 29 33	10 12 14 16 20	
30 31 32 33 34	5 6 6 7		55 56 57 58 59	38 42 47 53 59	24 30 36 44 52	
35 36 37 38 39	$\begin{array}{ccc} 7 & 1 \\ 7 & 1 \\ 8 & 1 \\ 8 & 1 \\ 9 & 2 \end{array}$		60 61 62 63 64	65 71 78 85 93	62 74 88 104 122	
40 41 42 43 44	$\begin{array}{cccc} 9 & 2 \\ 10 & 2 \\ 10 & 4 \\ 11 & 4 \\ 12 & 4 \end{array}$		65 66 67 68	100 109 119		10,000