



Prepared by the staff of the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 520 Lafayette Road St. Paul, MN 55155 (612) 296-6300

.

.....



520 Lafayette Road, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155 Telephone (612) 296-6300

November 1, 1989

The Honorable Willard Munger Chair, Environment and Natural Resources Committee 1121 South 70th Avenue West Duluth, Minnesota 55807

Dear Representative Munger:

It is my pleasure to present to you the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) report on the use of the Environmental Response, Compensation and Compliance Fund (Fund) during Fiscal Year 1989. This report fulfills the requirements of Minn. Stat. § 115B.20, subd. 6. Although the main focus of this report is a summary of Fund expenditures during Fiscal Year 1989, it also provides a summary of accomplishments under Minnesota's Superfund program and MPCA staff recommendations for future legislative actions.

If you should have any questions concerning the contents of this report, please contact Gary A. Pulford of my staff at (612) 296-7290.

Sincerely Gerald L. llet /Wi] Commissioner GLW/ jmp

Enclosure



520 Lafayette Road, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155 Telephone (612) 296-6300

November 1, 1989

The Honorable Bob Lessard Chair, Senate Environment and Natural Resources 111 Capitol St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Chairman Lessard:

It is my pleasure to present to you the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) report on the use of the Environmental Response, Compensation and Compliance Fund (Fund) during Fiscal Year 1989. This report fulfills the requirements of Minn. Stat. § 115B.20, subd. 6. Although the main focus of this report is a summary of Fund expenditures during Fiscal Year 1989, it also provides a summary of accomplishments under Minnesota's Superfund program and MPCA staff recommendations for future legislative actions.

If you should have any questions concerning the contents of this report, please contact Gary A. Pulford of my staff at (612) 296-7290.

Sincerely Gerald L **lilet** Commissioner GLW/ 1mp Enclosure



520 Lafayette Road, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155 Telephone (612) 296-6300

November 1, 1989

The Honorable Gene Merriam Chair, Senate Finance Committee Chair, Legislative Commission On Waste Management 122 Capitol St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Senator Merriam:

It is my pleasure to present to you the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) report on the use of the Environmental Response, Compensation and Compliance Fund (Fund) during Fiscal Year 1989. This report fulfills the requirements of Minn. Stat. § 115B.20, subd. 6. Although the main focus of this report is a summary of Fund expenditures during Fiscal Year 1989, it also provides a summary of accomplishments under Minnesota's Superfund program and MPCA staff recommendations for future legislative actions.

If you should have any questions concerning the contents of this report, please contact Gary A. Pulford of my staff at (612) 296-7290.

Sincerely Gerald L. Wil ot Commissioner GLW/jmp Enclosur



520 Lafayette Road, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155 Telephone (612) 296-6300

November 1, 1989

The Honorable Wayne Simoneau Chair, House Appropriations Committee 365 State Office Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Representative Simoneau:

It is my pleasure to present to you the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) report on the use of the Environmental Response, Compensation and Compliance Fund (Fund) during Fiscal Year 1989. This report fulfills the requirements of Minn. Stat. § 115B.20, subd. 6. Although the main focus of this report is a summary of Fund expenditures during Fiscal Year 1989, it also provides a summary of accomplishments under Minnesota's Superfund program and MPCA staff recommendations for future legislative actions.

If you should have any questions concerning the contents of this report, please contact Gary A. Pulford of my staff at (612) 296-7290.

Sincerely Gerald L. Willet Commissioner GLN/jmp Enclosur

Executive Summary

The Minnesota Environmental Response and Liability Act (MERLA) of 1983 established the Environmental Response, Compensation and Compliance Fund (Fund) and authorized the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) to spend Fund dollars to investigate and clean up releases of hazardous substances. The directives of MERLA are carried out through the Minnesota Superfund Program. This report details, as required by Minn. Stat. § 115B.20, subd. 6, the activities for which Fund dollars have been spent during Fiscal Year (FY) 1989. The following is a summary of the accomplishments of the Superfund Program, as well as, detail concerning income to the Fund and expenditures from the Fund.

I. Superfund Program Expenditures and Income

II.

Ē	Expenditures from the Fund	FY 89	Cumulative Since FY 83
M	ERLA Fund Expenditures	\$4,177,916	\$17,290,304
1	income to the Fund		
F H	Appropriations Reimbursements by Responsible Parties Hazardous Waste Tax Interest on Investments	5,900,000 824,119 805,380 1,153,663	
M	ERLA Fund Balance as of June 30, 1989		13,626,289
F	ederal Superfund Dollars Secured	9,146,840	23,580,000
F	ederal Superfund Dollars Expended	3,625,816	10,690,989
<u>s</u>	Superfund Program Accomplishments	<u>FY 89</u>	Cumulative Since FY 83
S S F M F	Sites Added to the State's Permanent List of Priorities Sites Added to the Federal National Priorities List Responsible Party Response Actions Ini ERLA Funded Response Actions Initiate Vederally Funded Response Actions Init	19 0 tiated 14 d 4 iated 1	157 40 87* 26* 15*
S S R M F R M	Sites Added to the State's Permanent List of Priorities Sites Added to the Federal National Priorities List Desponsible Party Response Actions Initiate Dederally Funded Response Actions Initiate Dederally Funded Response Actions Init Demedial Actions Complete or Ongoing IPCA Involvement in Lawsuits	19 0 tiated 14 d 4 iated 1	157 40 87* 26* 15* 44 9
S S R M F R M E R M E A	Sites Added to the State's Permanent List of Priorities Sites Added to the Federal National Priorities List Mesponsible Party Response Actions Ini ERLA Funded Response Actions Initiate Vederally Funded Response Actions Init Memedial Actions Complete or Ongoing	19 0 tiated 14 d 4	157 40 87* 26* 15* 44

* Response Actions are ongoing at most of these sites and MERLA Fund, federal, and responsible party dollars continue to finance investigations and cleanups at these sites.

To ensure the continued success of the Superfund Program, the MPCA staff offers the following recommendations:

- 1. Considering the demand landfills are placing on the Superfund Program funding sources (both federal and state Funds) and the need for a better landfill regulatory program to prevent future contamination problems, significant additional resources will be needed in the future to address response actions at these sites.
- 2. A projection of costs to finance Minnesota Department of Agriculture response actions must be made so that sufficient monies are added to the MERLA fund to cover the added demand on the Fund.
- 3. MERLA should be amended to provide the MPCA with the authority to transfer title or interest in personal and real property for conducting response actions. Also, Minn. Stat. ch. 105.405, subd. 3 requires the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and legislative approval for any ground water appropriation which exceeds 2,000,000 per day in any 30-day period. The MPCA is requesting approval for the LeHillier remedial action (i.e., ground water extraction system) and also is requesting a blanket exemption from this requirement (i.e., exempt the legislative approval component) for future Superfund actions.
- 4. Considering the anticipated demand in the property transfer program, in admitional two staff positions are needed to provide the necessary level of staff services. Also, additional staff resources may be necessary to conduct the state funded Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation (PASI) efforts.

-2-

MPCA REPORT ON THE USE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION AND COMPLIANCE FUND DURING FISCAL YEAR 1989

I. Introduction

8 3

The Minnesota Environmental Response and Liability Act (MERLA) of 1983 established the Environmental Response, Compensation and Compliance Fund (Fund) and authorized the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) to spend Fund dollars to investigate suspected releases of hazardous substances and clean up releases and threatened releases. This report outlines the use of the MERLA Fund during Fiscal Year (FY) 1989 and summarizes the status of the Minnesota Superfund program.

II. Program Overview

The Minnesota Superfund program is composed of the following functions: 1) to discover and conduct preliminary investigations of hazardous substance releases from abandoned hazardous waste or solid waste sites and to identify responsible parties; 2) to respond to emergency situations, such as a contaminated drinking water supply or drum removal; 3) to initiate remedial investigations/feasibility studies at identified sites; 4) to develop remedial designs and implement remedial actions for the final cleanup of sites; 5) to conduct the administrative activities for the management of response action contractors, the MERLA Fund, and federal Superfund money secured under Cooperative Agreements with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); 6) to conduct public information and community relations activities; and 7) to provide assistance to buyers and sellers in the transfer of property where potential contamination problems and liability issues may or do exist.

III. Status of the Fund

The status of the Fund as of June 30, 1989, is detailed in Table 1 (General Ledger). The Fund balance at the end of FY 89 is \$13,626,289.

|--|

GENERAL LEDGER BALANCE AS OF JUNE 30, 1989 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE FUND

Appropriations to Date Original Transfer From General Funds (FY 83)	\$5,000,000
Water Pollution Control Fund (FY88 - FY89)	10,400,000
Income to Date (FY 83 - FY 89)	
Interest on Investments	3,956 ,82 5
Reimbursements to the Fund	5,541,713
Hazardous Waste Taxes	6,018,055
Expenditures to Date (FY 83 - FY 89)	17,290,304
Fund Balance as of June 30, 1989	13,626,289

In 1983, the Fund was established with a \$5,000,000 transfer from the General Fund. An additional \$4,500,000 in FY 88 and \$5,900,000 in FY 89 was appropriated from the Water Pollution Control Fund. The Fund investments are managed by the Department of Finance and the Hazardous Waste Tax is collected by the Department of Revenue. The MPCA has recovered \$5,541,713 in the form of penalties and reimbursements from responsible parties since the Fund was established.

A summary of Fund expenditures during FY 89 is presented in Table 2 below.

TABLE 2

FY 89 STATE SUPERFUND EXPENDITURES BY MPCA

Administrative Costs	\$2,445,688
Legal Costs	150,670
Specific Contractual Costs*	1,581,558
TOTAL	4,177,916

* Specific expenditures provided in Table 4.

The administrative cost represents salaries for 56 positions, as well as office space rental, travel, equipment, and supply expenditures associated with

-2-

responding to emergencies and implementing site cleanups. MPCA staff estimates that greater than fifty percent of the administrative costs that are incurred by MPCA staff are expenditures that result in securing response action commitments from responsible parties. The legal cost represents the cost for services rendered by the State Attorney General's Office. Laboratory costs are expenses paid to the Minnesota Department of Health for analytical services.

IV. Status of the Superfund Program

The Minnesota Superfund process for hazardous waste site cleanup is diagrammed in Figure 1. Potential hazardous waste sites are identified to the MPCA through telephone calls from concerned citizens, routine inspections by MPCA staff, reports of hazardous substance spills, and analyses of drinking water supplies sampled by the Minnesota Department of Health. Through a Cooperative Agreement with the EPA the MPCA has established a program to assess potential hazardous waste sites in Minnesota. Initially, a Preliminary Assessment is conducted involving a general review of readily accessible information to characterize the site and to determine if the site warrants further action. If further action is warranted, a site investigation is conducted and data collected is used to rank or score a site using the Hazard Ranking System. The Hazard Ranking System scores are used to establish priorities among sites and to determine a site's eligibility for federal and/or state Superfund monies for response actions. If a site is then added to the EPA's and/or MPCA's priorities lists, a remedial investigation/feasibility study is conducted to determine the extent of contamination and to evaluate remedial action alternatives.

The MPCA has identified and listed 423 sites on Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System (CERCLIS), a nation wide inventory of potential hazardous waste sites. Twenty of these sites were identified during FY 89. Preliminary Assessments have been

-3-

Figure 1

Minnesota Superfund-Site Cleanup Process



* For Emergency Actions, Federal or State funds may be used for alternate drinking water, removal actions, or a limited RI/FS. conducted at 372 of these sites; 30 were conducted during FY 89. Eighteen site investigations were completed during FY 89.

Currently there are 157 sites listed on the state's Permanent List of Priorities for investigation and cleanup, 19 of these were added to the list during FY 89. An additional nine sites are proposed to be added to the Permanent List of Priorities in December 1989 for a total of 166 sites. Forty of the 157 sites currently listed on the state Permanent List of Priorities are also included on the federal National Priorities List; therefore, activities at those 40 sites are eligible for federal funding, if monies are available.

On June 30, 1989, there were 117 sites in the cleanup process "pipeline" (i.e., response actions initiated which include remedial investigation and feasibility study, remedial design and implementation of final remedial action). Response actions at 87 of these sites are being conducted by responsible parties. MERLA Fund dollars have been spent at 26 sites for response actions. Federal dollars have been spent at 15 sites for response actions. In addition, arsenic contaminated sites have been cleaned up using MERLA Fund dollars during FY 89. (See Attachment A, Site Status Report, for detail on the sites and Attachment B which is a map showing the distribution of sites by county.)

Since 1983, the Agency has responded to 18 emergencies involving contaminated drinking water supplies and taken action to provide affected residences with alternate drinking water. These communities include: Adrian, Askov, Atwater, Isanti, Lakeland Township, Lansing, LeHillier, Long Prairie, New Brighton/Arden Hills, Northern Township, St. Anthony, Waite Park, St. Paul Park, Spring Grove, Hadder, Garfield, and the residents adjacent to the former Duluth Dump and residents near the Arrowhead Site. The MPCA continues to supply safe drinking water to affected residences at 6 of these sites.

-4-

In May 1989, a drinking water emergency was declared at the Miller Trunk Highway near the Arrowhead Site in Duluth. The MPCA responded by using Fund dollars to provide safe drinking water to the affected residents and to conduct preliminary investigations of the contamination.

In January 1989, an emergency was declared as the MPCA responded to the tire fire at South Andover. This site held approximately 500,000 tires of which almost two-thirds burned. On site, burned with the tires, were 100+ barrels of unknown wastes. MERLA dollars were used to extinguish the fire, sample the air, soil, ground water and the barrels and to dispose of hazardous waste.

An arsenic emergency was declared on December 14, 1988, regarding a farmstead located near Beltrami, Minnesota. MERLA funds were used to investigate and remove and dispose of 65 tons of arsenic contaminated soils.

Under the abandoned barrel program the MPCA investigated and disposed of 14 abandoned containers discovered near Lillydale. The contents of the containers had to be analyzed, repacked and disposed of in the city of St. Paul, at a cost of approximately \$30,000.

In addition to the abandoned barrels at Lillydale, the MPCA responded to over 20 incidences of abandoned barrels. Approximately 75 abandoned barrels barrels were discovered that needed to be analyzed, stored and/or handled for disposal.

The MPCA Emergency Response Team responded to 44 incidents in FY 89 for which MERLA dollars were spent. Activities at these sites included excavating and/or sampling leaking drums, response actions at spills, sampling at pipeline spills and excavation of hazardous materials.

As of June 30, 1989, the Minnesota Superfund Program lists 33 sites where response actions have been completed and operation and maintenance or long term monitoring are ongoing. The sites include those listed in the Class B category

-5-

the state's proposed Permanent List of Priorities listed below. In addition, 11 sites that have been deleted from the Permanent List of Priorities because cleanup of known contamination at these sites has been completed and no further action is thought to be necessary (sites listed below).

> STATE OF MINNESOTA Environmental Response and Liability Act Proposed December 1989 Permanent List of Priorities

Class B Sites Response Actions Completed and Operation and Maintenance/Long-Term Monitoring Ongoing (33)

Site

FMC Corp-Fridley Plant (vault) Boise Cascade/Medtronic, Fridley Boise Cascade/Onan, Fridley Oakdale Dump *Reilly Tar, St. Louis Park St. Regis Paper, Cass Lake PCI, Inc., Shakopee *Faribault Coal Gasification Plant Site, Faribault Burlington Northern, Brainerd Whittaker Corporation, Minneapolis General Mills, Minneapolis *Electric Machinery, St. Cloud Perham Arsenic Site, Otter Tail County Nutting Truck and Caster, Faribault Winona County Sanitary Landfill Ironwood Sanitary Landfill (Advance Transformer), Spring Valley Waite Park Ground Water Contamination Site Kurt Manufacturing, Fridley Atwater Municipal Well Field Hastings Former City Dump Jackson Municipal Well Field Wadena Arsenic Site Weisman Scrap, Winona Boise Cascade Paint Waste Dump, Ranier *Lund's Farmer Seed and Nursery, St. Cloud West Duluth Industrial Site **DNR-Duxbury Pesticide Site** 3M Kerrick Disposal Site, Kerrick Hutchison Technology, Inc. Tonka/Woyke Site, Annandale *McLaughlin Gormley King Co., Minneapolis *Hopkins Agricultural/Chemical/Allied Chemicals, Minneapolis Minneapolis Community Development Agency/FMC

*Added to Class B status during FY 89

Sites Deleted from the Permanent List of Priorities (11)

DNR-Nett Lake/Orr Pesticide Site Polymetals Products, Inc. 43 East Water Street Airco Lime Sludge Pit Former McKay Mfg. Company Maple Plain Dump Sonford Products/Abandoned Trailer Site Lost Lake Dump Site Morris Arsenic Site Ecolotech Inc. Northern Township Ground Water Contamination

Responsible Party Actions

Since the passage of MERLA, responsible parties have committed an estimated \$147 million to the cleanup of hazardous waste sites and have made reimbursements to the Fund of \$5,541,713 to cover penalties and costs incurred by the MPCA in administering and overseeing the site cleanup activities. During FY 89, \$824,119 were reimbursed.

During FY 89 the MPCA has been involved, as in previous years, with a number of lawsuits related to Superfund activities. The lawsuit with Freeway Landfill to recover past MPCA costs was started in FY 88 and is still being prosecuted. In FY 89, Attorney General Staff argued before the state Supreme Court, regarding the 3M-Oakdale, Joslyn and Tonka-Woyke sites, that Superfund costs should be covered by General Comprehensive Liability Insurance Coverage. The Minnesota Department of Agriculture, MPCA staff and the Attorney General Staff is involved in a lawsuit over recovery of MERLA funds on the Lund Nursery Site. The MPCA has also been involved in bankruptcy proceedings regarding the MacGillis and Gibbs, Dakhue Sanitary landfill and Cooper Industry Sites.

Use of Federal Dollars

The MPCA has secured a total of 23.58 million in federal Superfund dollars (\$9,146,840 secured during FY 89) for: 1) conducting preliminary assessments and preliminary site investigations at Minnesota sites included on the federal

-7-

inventory (i.e., CERCLIS) of potential hazardous waste sites; 2) conducting remedial investigation/feasibility study or remedial design/remedial action activities at Minnesota sites included on the federal National Priorities List; and, 3) oversight of responsible parties at the Reilly Tar Site. The federal dollars secured are to be expended over several fiscal years.

During FY 89 the MPCA spent \$3,625,816 federal Superfund dollars for response action activities at 14 sites. Table 3 details expenditures of federal Superfund dollars. In addition, federal Superfund dollars were used to fund salaries for 38 positions during FY 89.

TABLE 3

FY 89 Expenditures of Federal Superfund Dollars

Site	Amount Spent	Activity
Adrian Arrowhead	\$ 54,668	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
	22,900	Federal Predesign Oversight
Interlake	48,057	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
Kummer Landfill	140,479	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
Kummer Landfill	887,587	Remedial Design/Remedial Action
LaGrand Landfill	49,719	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
LeHillier	1,099,220	Remedial Design/Remedial Actions
Long Prairie	2,402	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
New Brighton	270,294	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
Oak Grove Landfill	244,996	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
PA/SI	441,779	Preliminary Assessments & Site Investigations
Program Management	255,999	Management and Program Development
Reilly	39,170	Responsible Party Oversight
Ritari	23,252	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
South Andover	21,724	Federal Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study Oversight
Union Scrap	10,189	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
MacGillis & Gibbs	13,381	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
Total	3,625,816	

MERLA Funded Site Cleanups

During FY 89 \$1,581,558 from the Fund was used by the MPCA to cover the

-8-

contractual costs of responding to releases of hazardous substances at 16 sites listed on the Permanent List of Priorities and hazardous waste incidents and responding to numerous reports of abandoned barrel containing potentially hazardous substances. Table 4 details FY 89 expenditures of MERLA dollars.

TABLE 4

FY 89 Contractual Expenditures of MERLA Dollars

<u>Site</u>	Amount Spent	Activity
Arsenic	\$ 52,983	Investigation/cleanup
Abandoned Barrel	55,287	Responded to reports of abandoned drums
Askov	20,636	Treatment of municipal drinking water supply
Duluth Dump	1,429	Bottled drinking water and connection to carbon system
Hadder	206	Bottled drinking water
Lakeland	17 9,54 0	Bottled drinking water and Remedial
		Investigation/Focused Feasibility Study
Lansing	127,178	Bottled Drinking Water and Remedial Investigation
LeHillier	100	States required 10% of Remedial Actions costs at federally funded sites
Lund Nursery	391,290	Removal of pesticide contaminated fire debris and MDA staff expenses
Neihorster	25,788	Drum removal from abandoned warehouse
St. Paul Park	75,569	Bottled Drinking Water and Remedial Investigation
West Duluth	149,630	Remedial Actions (vault construction)
Bell Lumber & Pole	5,539	Remedial Investigation
Hazardous Waste Spill Response	113,817	Response activities to investigate and stabilize spills and complaints
TCAAP	72,648	Program administration
South Andover	96,760	Tire fire emergency response
Killian/Leech Lake L	F 22,239	Well installation pre-Remedial Investigation
Hermantown Emergency	91	Bottled drinking water
Laboratory/Analytica Services		Laboratory Services at Minnesota Department of Health
State PASI Well Installation	4,122	Well installation for site assessment
Total	1,581,558	

-9-

V. Status of the Property Transfer Program

The MPCA Property Transfer program was created through legislative action in the 1988 Waste Management Act Amendments in response to requests of the MPCA from business and industry concerning the liability associated with real estate transactions (i.e., buying and selling property). MERLA imposes liability on parties who knew or reasonably should have known that a hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant was located on the property at the time right, title or interest in the property was acquired and the buyer associated themselves with the release by their activities on the site. Buyers, sellers, lending institutions and insurers request MPCA staff assistance in determining whether property of interest has been the site of a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. MPCA assistance consists of conducting file searches, reviewing the investigation and response action work plans and assisting in or supervising the implementation of reasonable and necessary response actions. The legislation authorized the MPCA to recover staff costs associated with these actions. During FY 89 the MPCA responded to 1082 property transfer assistance requests.

The MPCA began to charge for this service during the second half of FY 89 and has thus far recovered \$43,000 of the cost of providing the service. In addition, the MPCA is building a computerized data base for the purpose of automating the file search process. Four of the six positions created by the legislation are devoted to responding to file evaluation requests and building the data base. The MPCA anticipates that the number of requests for file searches will continue to increase, and that the file search process will become fully automated during FY 90.

Two of the six positions created by the legislation are devoted to reviewing investigation and response action workplans and assisting in or

-10-

supervising the implementation of response actions. Since August 1988, Property Transfer Review staff have overseen a total of eighty-one (81) projects. Thirty-two (32) of these projects were in the investigative stage and twenty-nine (29) projects reached some type of end point (terminated or cleaned up). The MPCA anticipates that these responsibilities (reviewing work plans and assisting implementation of response actions) will continue to increase during the next year.

VI. Response Actions at Sanitary Landfills

The Superfund process continues to be used to address the release of hazardous substances from permitted sanitary landfills. Currently there are 54 landfills on the state's Permanent List of Priorities and that number is expected to grow as additional permitted landfills with hazardous substance releases are identified (two are presently proposed to be included on the December 1989 update of the Permanent List of Priorities).

The 1989 legislature clarified existing language in the Superfund law regarding the liability of political subdivisions identified as responsible parties at Superfund sites. This clarification limits requested Superfund action liability to \$400,000 for one political subdivision, \$800,000 for two political subdivisions and \$1,200,000 for three or more political subdivisions at each site. Negotiation and long-term operation and maintenance costs are excluded from the liability limits.

While a national Municipal Settlement Policy is being finalized by EPA to address landfills on the National Priorities List or NPL (the federal Superfund list), this is not expected to have a major impact on Minnesota sites. Of the 54 landfills currently on the state's list, only 10 are listed on the NPL. Of these 10, only two have local governments identified as responsible parties.

-11-

A much greater impact on the state Superfund will result from the state's liability limit since there are currently 29 Superfund non-NPL landfills (i.e., no ability to obtain federal funding) with some local government involvement. Because of the known political subdivision liability limits and anticipated inability of many private landfills operators/owners to fund response actions, the state will be required to fund a significant portion of cleanup activities at landfill sites. The following table illustrates what the state may have to consider in terms of funding to address Superfund landfills over the next few years.

TABLE 5

Total Potential State Superfund Costs for Landfill Cleanup FY 90-94 (In Millions of Dollars)

Dollar Commitment

STATE COSTS	<u>FY 90</u>	<u>FY 91</u>	<u>FY 92</u>	<u>FY 93</u>	FY 94	TOTAL
Municipal LFs*	0.2	0.2	11.2	35.3	10.0	56.9
Nonmunicipal LFs** (Nonparticipating)	1.0	0.5	20.9	20.1	5.4	47.9
Federal Match Landfi (Nonmunicipal/Non-	lls					
participating)	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>2.4</u>
TOTAL	2.7	0.9	32.3	55.7	15.6	107.2

11 Municipal LFs

12 Nonmunicipal LFs (including 3 federal funded sites)

*State share for municipal LFs with political subdivision liability limit. **Private landfills with owners/operators unwilling or unable to fund cleanup.

As noted in Table 5 above, the state's share of response action costs at permitted sanitary landfills could be more than \$107 million over the next five

years. Of this amount, \$57 million would be needed to address municipal landfills, \$48 million to address nonmunicipal landfills and nearly \$3 million needed for federal match. Over the next biennium (1992-93), this could total more than \$88 million.

The landfill costs have been estimated utilizing the MPCA's most current work plan schedule for Superfund landfill activities as well as anticipated costs to complete response actions (i.e. remedial investigation, feasibility study, remedial design and remedial action) and conduct, at nonparticipating private landfills, long-term operation and maintenance (0 & M) at the sites. The costs of these activities will vary from site to site but, typically, remedial action implementation is the most expensive response activity followed by remedial investigations, remedial design and feasibility study. Unfortunately, there are no new cost-effective technologies on the horizon to address landfill hazardous substance releases and the containment (capping) remedy remains the preferred option along with ground water remediation. Given MPCA staff experience, the costs of capping a landfill, coupled with potential ground water and air containment/treatment can necessitate a cleanup cost in excess of \$5 million per site. Superfund actions at landfills would be consistent with all Minnesota Solid Waste Rules with regard to cleanup and 0 & M activities.

The costs to the state Fund are expected to rise as fewer landfills will qualify for federal funding support and as more cleanups begin at landfills. Also, the political subdivision liability limit puts the financial burden of municipal landfill cleanup on the state Fund.

VII. The Future of the Superfund Program

The MPCA will continue its efforts to identify new hazardous waste sites in the state. Based on the number of sites undergoing preliminary assessment at

-13-

this time and the number of hazardous waste sites discovered each year historically, the MPCA projects that 193 sites will be on the state's Permanent List of Priorities by the end of FY 92, 36 more sites than in FY 89.

Consistent with the directives of MERLA, the MPCA will continue to be aggressive in its efforts to seek out responsible parties and maximize the use of federal Superfund dollars. During FY 90, the MPCA will continue its efforts to secure federal Superfund dollars for program management and response actions at specific sites. In addition, negotiations are underway with EPA in an effort to obtain federal Superfund dollars for enforcement activities at specific sites.

The MPCA will continue to place a high priority on those hazardous waste sites at which response actions are currently underway. New site starts will be considered as a lower priority and will be initiated as staff resources become available. These priorities are consistent with the overall program goals to achieve site cleanups which are necessary to protect the public health and achieve permanent environmental remedies that are conducted in an expeditious manner.

A. Accomplishments of Program Initiatives Identified in FY 88 Legislative Report.

<u>Superfund Memorandum of Agreement</u>. Pursuant to Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act (SARA) of 1986, the EPA has been directed by Congress to encourage state involvement at Superfund sites listed on the federal National Priorities List. The EPA and the MPCA entered into a Superfund Memorandum of Agreement (SMDA) in August 1989 that identified the roles and responsibilities of the lead and support agencies at these Superfund sites. The MPCA's goal is to avoid duplication of efforts and maximize the number of sites being addressed by acting as the lead agency at all NPL sites.

-14-

<u>Assurance</u>. Congress has mandated that by 1989 each state must certify has 20-year capacity for hazardous waste disposal. States who fail to his deadline may lose Superfund funding. The Office of Waste Management, IPCA assistance, has submitted the required capacity assurance fication to EPA within the required timeframe.

<u>reement Cooperative Agreement</u>. The MPCA and EPA entered into an Enforcement erative Agreement on September 29, 1989. This is the first Enforcement perative Agreement between EPA and any state. The EPA has awarded \$405,000 Minnesota and will be used for staff activities which include Potential sponsible Party searches and negotiations with Responsible Party's at selected PL sites.

3. Superfund Program Initiatives.

The MPCA began in FY 88, and intends to finalize in FY 90, a number of initiatives designed to enhance the Minnesota Superfund Program. A brief discussion of each initiative follows.

<u>Ground Water Strategy - Establish Cleanup Criteria</u>. In an effort to assist responsible parties in their investigations and cleanups of contaminated ground water associated with most Superfund sites, the MPCA is continuing to develop guidance on establishing site-specific ground water cleanup goals. The cleanup goals for a specific site must be consistent with the overall state ground water protection strategy and EPA policies.

<u>Contaminated Soils Strategy - Establish Cleanup Criteria</u>. In an effort to assist responsible parties in their investigations and cleanups of contaminated soils associated with most Superfund sites, the MPCA is developing guidance on establishing site-specific soil cleanup goals. The cleanup goals for a specific site must be consistent with state and EPA policies. MPCA Involvement in National Superfund Issues. The Minnesota Superfund program is recognized nationally as being very effective at insuring the cleanup of hazardous waste sites. Minnesota's streamlined approach and emphasis on responsible party involvement early in the response action process is of considerable interest to EPA and other states with developing Superfund programs. In an effort to share our experience and shape national Superfund policy, during FY 90, the MPCA staff will: 1) maintain an active involvement in the Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials and EPA/3tate Superfund policy development Work Groups; and 2) make contact with the state congressional delegation concerning federal Superfund reauthorization issues. We believe that these efforts will be beneficial to the national Superfund program and the information exchange with EPA and other states will enhance Minnesota's Superfund program.

MPCA, Department of Agriculture, Response Actions Involving Pesticides. In January 1987, the MPCA staff and the Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA) began to evaluate our respective roles in pesticide site cleanups. On September 18, 1989, the MPCA Commissioner sent the Commissioner of the MDA a memorandum setting out the MPCA's understanding that it was the intent of the 1989 Legislature to phase the MPCA out of pesticide cleanup cases and suggesting that the agencies begin to renegotiate the existing Memorandum of Agreement to reflect this understanding.

MDA has identified 70 sites which have some pesticide contamination. It is estimated that 15-20 of those sites have ground water contamination. The MDA is working with the MPCA to establish rankings for these sites to be used to determine access to MERLA Funds. At this time, it is too early to tell how much MERLA funding may be required by MDA, but it is expected that more pesticide sites will be found as further investigation will turn up new sites. During FY

-16-

90 the MPCA intends to assist the MDA in any way it can through sharing MPCA experience in administration of a site cleanup program.

<u>Property Transfer</u>. Property Transfer Review (PTR) staff experience has shown that due to the nature of property transactions, the use of PTR services often required staff to attend meetings, review work plans and write response letter within a day or so after initial request or contact is made. Unfortunately, the two PTR staff are unable to provide this type of service. It is more typical that a meeting will be arranged within 2 weeks of a request and that review of work plans and reports takes 3 to 4 weeks. Request for service are accommodated on a first come, first serve basis, and as more people begin to use the service, these turn around times will, unfortunately, become longer. The PTR staff can best serve the users of the program by timely review and oversight of investigations and response actions.

During first half of FY 90 MPCA intends to evaluate options to expand the staffing resources to keep up with the demand and provide a reasonable level of service in the property transaction area. One option under consideration would be to seek additional staffing appropriations during the 1990 legislative session.

<u>State PA/SI Program</u>. After a reassessment of the state and federal PA/SI program additional staff may be requested. During FY 89, \$72,000 in MERLA funds were authorized to supplement federal funds for the performance of site investigations at suspected hazardous waste sites. The funds are to be used for the installation of monitoring wells where the use of federal funds for this activitiy has been disallowed by the EPA as being beyond the scope of the preliminary site investigation. During FY 89, only \$4,122 of MERLA funds were spent to conduct site investigations. The MPCA staff believe that if additional

-17-

staff resources were provided, then sufficient MERLA funds could be expended to adequately investigate additional suspected hazardous waste sites.

VIII. Superfund Program Needs

The 89 Legislature appropriated \$2.5 million to the MERLA fund over the 90-91 biennium. Our projected uses of the MERLA fund for FY 90, FY 91 and 92 are provided in Attachment C. Based upon those projections a MERLA Fund balance sheet is provided in Attachment D. It appears that a budget shortfall may occur in FY 91. This shortfall is anticipated even without any expenditures by the Department of Agriculture being taken into consideration.

IX. Conclusions and Recommendations

The Minnesota Superfund Program has been very effective. Response actions are underway at 117 sites. Responsible parties are undertaking the work at 87 of these sites. The MPCA has been successful in its efforts to seek out responsible parties and secure federal dollars to fund cleanup activities. Despite these efforts, the continued success of the Superfund program is dependent on the availability of Fund dollars to encourage cooperation by responsible parties, provide the state's required 10% match for federally funded cleanups, and conduct cleanups of sites not eligible for federal funding (i.e., sites typically located in rural, less populated areas and not included on the federal National Priorities List).

Landfills are becoming increasingly important within the Superfund program. Fifty-four landfills are currently listed on the state's Permanent List of Priorities and that will number increase. It is anticipated that in the future significant additional state Fund monies will be necessary to address these sites. In the 1990-1991 biennium, almost \$4 million is projected for Superfund activities at 10 landfills, of which more than \$1.2 million would be

-18-

used for 10 percent state match of federal money for remedial action implementation. The costs to the state Fund are expected to rise as cleanups begin at more landfills. Fewer landfills will qualify for federal funding support due to not scoring sufficiently high on the Hazard Ranking System. In uddition, government owned landfills have liability caps which shift the burden to the Fund.

To ensure the continued success of the Superfund Program, the MPCA staff offers the following recommendations:

- Considering the demand landfills are placing on the Superfund Program funding sources (both federal and state Funds) and the need for a better landfill regulatory program to prevent future contamination problems, significant additional resources will be needed in the future to address response actions at these sites.
- A projection of costs to finance Minnesota Department of Agriculture response actions must be made so that sufficient monies are added to the MERLA fund to cover the added demand on the fund.
- 3. MERLA should be amended to provide the MPCA with the authority to transfer title or interest in personal and real property for conducting response actions. Also, Minn. Stat. ch. 105.405, subd. 3 requires the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and legislative approval for any ground water appropriation which exceeds 2,000,000 per day in any 30-day period. The MPCA is requesting approval for the LeHillier remedial action (i.e., ground water extraction system) and also is requesting a blanket exemption from this requirement (i.e. exempt the legislative approval component) for future Superfund actions.

-19-

4. Considering the anticipated demand in the property transfer program, an additional two staff positions are needed to provide the necessary level of staff services. Also, additional staff resources may be necessary to conduct the State funded PASI efforts. Attachment A

٠.

STATUS OF MINNESUTA HAZARDOUS MISTE SITES

OCTOBER 1989

LATER HOUTON ON O, • æ æ • 뼖 I DATING I GAD- I DA 2 CLEMUP PHOSE g --2 2 S 8 S Ľ PARTY & : RI 0. 300 : 0. 125 : 0.750 2.000 : 2.000 :5 0, 150 : DIR : ROD : CERCA & : REA.A & : ESTINATE OF : ISSUED : EXECUTED : (MILLION) : (MILLION) : RESADINGIBLE : --905 0 0.100 8.2 0.100 e. 100 1 3.000 900.0 0.2° 9.2° 0, 300 0. 0 8 8 2 80°2 8 0.030 0. 400 : 0. 165 : 0.260 0.200 0.240 2.18 1 0.20 : 69/02/6 : 3129/08 : 9/11/87 5/10/0K 1 9/27/0 : CONSENT ONDER : I NEW : CONSENT ONDER : I ISONED : EXECUTED : 2/28/09 4/26/80 1/27/87 1/24/04 12/2**8/8** 6/22/9 6/23/07 3/26/02 5/20/02 : 10/20/16 : 1 3/5/12 1 : 21/22/11 : 16/23/07 1 12/10/04 : 2/2/15 ••• ---8 ; WHER MANICIPAL MELL FIELD SEETE CHEDVINNING MEDRE DURG, NINGENRLIS 10 HTLE LANE MEN SML LIPLL, OTTER THIL COMPT 13 M ATTOM TAM. SMR. NTL. CONTRAINSTIDA, MONIMETON CO.1 20 ATTOM TAM. SMR. NTL. CONTRAINSTIDA, MONIMETON CO.1 20 2 **A** ---5 8 8 3 ≝ \$ • 1 2 N N -- --2 5 2 3 33 ¥ 2 2 nland oti. Ci. - Pike County Diland oli./maak kentaysofood Misia, si. Mile. Max XISHOP-ISHITI COUNTY SHIL LIPL., ISHUTI COUNTY CATROL BATA COMP. - PRINTED CIRCUITS OFERITON DAGO RETRET CO., REMANDAR BRIC STYS - ROCE MADA, STATBAIRE -BRIC STYS - RELAK GROAA, STATBAIRE -LECKERS SMITTARY LANGFILL, STEMMES COUNTY NORE SMITTARY LANDFILL, SMOTA CRUATY ur instru notinen, draifen ur instru notinen om sior, draifen NEE CONCINE MINT MARTE BURD, REVIER ENTRY, CODEMPTIVE, OIL ASDI, HERFORD ERICH HOIST ME HENRICH, ST. MIL Oder Auss contriver, Shorke NUM NEWS COUNTY SHALTARY LANGTLA ESE ONDONE/NEDTADNIC, FRIBLEY \ M MARCINE SHITTER LOOFILL - MERGALL RETINCT NUND REVEN, ST. PAL MAK HUND BIL CL. - COTTARE BADA DUPY COURTY SHIFTARY LANGFILL UNIONICLE SIMITARY LABORILL DISE CRECKERING, FRIBLEY ITH MNICIAL NUL FIELD DEREN WINDLE DAYPORT THE LANE SCHAP YAND SITE INVERLIGATION 9000 INC.

STATUS OF MIMESUTA HAZANDOUS WISTE SITES

LTR UNEALDANTEN TOTAL TARE THE TARE TH		CONSENT ONDER : EXECUTED :	DIN ISSED	EXECUTED		KERA S	I REALA & I ESTIMATE OF I				CLEMMP PAGE	Me			
M MENERE ALTE MT SWITHMY LAND'LL AND UCCOPINE SADA, BAUNTI A FAILE AND MEN CITY SAND			ISSUED	ELECUED											
IN PERIESTE STE AN PERIESTE STE AND UNDOTING SOUN, BULUTS AND UNDOTING SOUN, BULUTS AND UNDOTING SOUN, BULUTS AND UTDO AND AND CTT SUP			'				PARTY 5	2	£	2	£ 	: DRINKING			5
IN MEXICIE STE In Sector Ste de uccerne son, Alum Prese de Mexicitade					• ••									: MATER : MONITOR : Ma :	
ANY SAVETARY CORP. LAL. AND LUCDATIVE SAVE, BALIFIC REAL CATT SAVE	1 12/19/04 1				•••		0.22.0	-	-	-					
R FUNCE INC.			• •-		••••		1.800 1	•	•	•	• •• ••			•• ••	
	1 00/23/0		11/22/08		•••••	9.020 1		0 0	-	•	.	8 			 E
g	-	1 4/28/87					0.700 :	•	-	-					
GART NEMBER BENTRATIN LANDFILL, ST. LOUIS CAUNTY 114 1 BEQLEREDA, INC ST. MALL, MLS. 1 1015 CAUNTY 1 3 1		•• ••			•• ••	0.020 :	1.000 :		*	m 	×				 o
ALETTER NUMBER, DUIT CADA ALETTIQUE INDURTIES, INC., NEU NOVE 1 24 1 ALETTIQUE INDURTIES, INC., NEU NOVE 1 24 1	1 3/25/16	1/24/94 :		1/5/89	•••••		2.500 l	- 0	- 0	- u		9 			
BA AND SWITTER LASTILL . 1 25 1	-												-	-	-
FINITIAL CON DISFLORIDA AND SITE 145	1 10/20/06 1	1 7/26/00 1		6/07/04			0°.200	-	-	×	m 	u n			 0
							-	-	•	e 	•		•		
	-				-		- m.	-	-		-	-	•	-	-
PRE CORD FRISLEY ALUNY (NALT) 1 66 1 F		1 6/00/83				••••	000 3	ں ،	υ·	·			·	•	
THE LA TRANS LINE PRODUCT AND THE VIEW IS NOT					• ••			-	-	-			• ••		·
AV AJAN, SI, MAL							0.050	•							
	1 2/2/1					0,060	0.200	-	-	-	-		-		
FRITZ COME SALVEE OFENITON, NEX 20116 1 1 1	-														
		1 10/23/M					1.500 1	u	U	.	•		2 		·
LINES, NINGARLIS CONER DIL-FRANK, NINGARLIS 1 2 1															
-140	-														
LIAN LANFILL, NAMIN COUTY .															
				•											
MUSICARE FUNCTION VALUEY PART 1 31 1 MUSEVARIL, INC STARN VALLEY PART 1 31 1		: 59/61/11 1		12/01/06			- 000 F		J	•	• • • •		9 		
EL, NNEADLIS I	1 6/2/18						1.000 :								
I BOOLTNEY LANGEILL															
						-									
NATIONINGON TEOMELICAY, LIC., NATIONINGON 1.9 1 New Brit, Vietore New D.S. A. Itdorfeld New 1.24 1.7								J	•						
I WE I ATTEN SULLAS "("BLEWEL "NON THE" THE REPORT		91/52/00			•••	••	. 220	-	H	-		2			

.

•

STATUS OF MIMESOTA MALANDOUS MASTE SITES CONTRACTION MALANDOUS MASTE SITES CONCER 1969

•

•

	1 1/31/09 1 1/31/09 1 2/13/06 1 5/13/06 1 5/13/06 1 5/13/06	0 0100 0 0 0000 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0		-	2 ×00 × × ×	2 e e e o o					
Image: Section of the sectio					×00 × ×				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
I I	····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···				×00 × × 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Contrast 1 7/17/04 1/17/201 9/20/04 1.250 Contrast 1 1 1/12/01 9/20/04 1.250 1.250 Mark 1 1 1 1/12/01 9/20/04 1.1200 Mark 1 1 1 1 1/12/01 9/20/04 1.1200 Mark 1 1 1 1 1 1 1/12/01									• •		
Child N F SV2NL3 SV3MC5 N						• • • • • • • • • • •					
III TRIA III III III III III III III III III III III IIII IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII					= 0	•					
D. R. Mat. 1				o							
Manter 10 1 </th <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>• • • • •</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td> </td> <td>•</td>						•	• • • • •		•		•
Image: Section is an interview is an in							.		•	.	
Matrix Matrix<						• •					·
Control 25 F LVENAR 0.224/04 6/12/15 1.200 131 F 6/76/04 0.224/04 6/12/15 1.200 131 F 1.676/04 0.721/15 0.121/16 0.721 117 1 4/71/16 0.721/16 0.721 0.721 117 1 4/11/16 0.721/16 0.721/16 0.721 118 1 1 0.721/16 0.721/16 0.721 118 1 1 1 0.721/16 0.510 118 1 1 1 0.510 0.510 118 1 1 1 0.510 0.510 119 2 1 2/20/05 2.400 1 1 1 2/20/05 2.400 1 0.510 1 1 2/20/05 2.400 1 1 0.510 1 1 2/20/05 2.400 1 1 0.510				- 2 - 2	G						
Comm LC m F LC m F LC m LC m <thl< th=""> <thlc m<="" th=""> <thlc m<="" th=""></thlc></thlc></thl<>				8 - X	>						-
MIT 3.4 F 7784/01 9/22/01 0.501 MIL 3.4 F 7784/01 9/22/01 0.501 MIL 3.4 F 7784/01 9/22/01 0.501 MIL 2.6 F 1 0.601 1 MIL 2.6 F 1 0.601 1 MIL 2.78/01 S/30/05 S/20/05 0.501 0.501 MIL 4 F 1/19/05 S/20/05 S/20/05 1 MIL 4 F 1/11/04 S/30/05 S/20/05 1 1 MIL 4 F 1/11/04 S/20/05 S/20/05 1 1 MIL 40 F F S/20/05 S/20/05 1 1 </th <td></td> <td>. </td> <td></td> <td>. 2</td> <td>8.</td> <td>8 c </td> <td> •</td> <td>8 e</td> <td>2 55</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>		.		. 2	8.	8 c 	•	8 e	2 55	-	-
Cuberty 3A F T/Cutvity 9/22/161 0.721 11 1 1 4/11/19 9/22/161 0.721 11 1 1 4/11/19 1 1 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 11 1				ž	•		-	-	-	-	
Mile 2 4	1 50/06/6 1 1	. 0.370		5	-						
Mile 24 mile 7 34 mile 24 mole Mile 24 mile 1 1 54 mile 24 mole Mile 24 mile 1 94 mile 24 mole 1 0.610 Mile 24 mile 1 94 mile 24 mole 1 0.610 24 mole Mile 24 mile 24 mile 24 mile 24 mole 24 mile 24 mile <th< th=""><td>1 81/92/12</td><td>. 0</td><td></td><td>ße</td><td>g .</td><td>B •</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	1 81/92/12	. 0		ße	g .	B •					
Mile 22 M I 1 6/27/16 0.610 Mile 12 M M 272/06 1 9/22/06 0.519 Date 1 M 1 2/20/06 1 0.610 1 Mile 1 M 1 2/20/06 1 9/22/06 0.519 0.519 Mile 1 2/20/06 1 9/20/06 1 0.519 0.519 Mile 1 2/20/06 1 9/20/06 1 0.519 0.519 Mile 1 2/20/06 1 9/20/06 0 0 0 Mile 1 1 1/20/06 1 1/20/06 1 0 0 0 Mile 1 1 1/20/05 1 1/20/06 1 0 1 0 1 Mile 1 1 1/20/05 1 1/20/06 1 1/20/06 1 1 Mile 1 1 1/20/06 1 <	: 6/27/06 :	2.400 1 0.150 1	·	, H	- 14	· 25			SI		
All 1 29 1 572/06 1 572/06 1 572/06 1 1 1 1 2720/06 1 1 1 1 1 2720/06 1 1 1 1 1 2720/06 1 1 1 1 1 2720/06 1 1 1 1 1 2720/06 1 1 1 1 1 2720/06 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ولاجاب وقيفيه وقب وفاويروي ويقد ويحول			1	¥1	8 			: SI		
0.000 1 14 1 2.000 1 0.519 1 1 1 2.000 1 2.000 0.519 1 1 1 1 2.000 1 0.519 1 1 1 1 2.000 1 0.519 1 1 1 1 2.000 1 0.519 1 1 1 1 2.000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			0.150 1	1	0	•					
N/ I I I 2/34/65 I 1 I I N/ I I 2/34/65 I 2/34/65 I I S I I I 1/121/65 I I/11/121/65 I I S I I I I I/11/121/65 I I/11/121/65 I I S I I I I I I I/11/21/65 I I S I I I I I I I I S I I I I I I I I S I I I I I I I I S I I I I I I I I S I I I I I I I I S I I I I I I I S I I I I I I I S I I I I I I I	 	1 0.519 1 0.203		28	28	88 		 12			
St Rt, MON 20 1 1 1 Rt, MINEGNALIS 20 1 1 1 Pri LINELIL 15 1 1 1 ANAL 1 1 1 1				-				 0		• 0	
ING. NINGENELIS I 4 I 11/22/05 I 11/19/05 I 11/19/05 I 11/19/05 I 11/19/05 I 11/19/05 I 11/19/05 I 11/10/05 I I I1/10/05 I I I I1/10/05 I I I1/10/05 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I											
Mill 10 1	3/20/01		0.200 :	-	-						
A. ABBCY/FRC, MIMEDINE.IS I I I I I I 1/26/15 I I LES I E/26/16 I E/26/16 I I I 2/26/15 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	 	·•						•	•		
LTS I COMPANY I ALL ALL			1.000 1	-	-	-					
Production rund, St. Librits Prime : 1 40 1 F 1 1/11/104 1 2/25/05 1 1			0.500	•	•	•		•••			
					-						
THE BOULTHAN LOOP ILL. ST. LOUIS COMPTY 11 10 1 10 1	·							••			
MUTING TREAT & GREET CA., FARIBALT : 34 : F : 9/22/42 : 4/25/44 : 1 : :	 		. 0.140	-	-						

I MATER I MATER I MONITOR I DAN 0 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 CLEANUP PHOSE S 0 0 Ľ 酱 0 험 = : PORTY : 5. 000 2. 000 0.100 2.000 : 9.050 0.800 0.500 : I NOO I CENCLA & I NERLA & ESTIMATE OF I I EKEQUTED I (MILLION) I NESPONSIDLE I 10.000 0.500 0.500 84.0 8.0 .20 0.20 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 Ŝ. 8 9 0 20 0. 100 . 0.078 - 100 200 1.303 0.700 22.1 0.968 .. 8 2/23/86 3/30/08 88/05/6 1 6/06/BA : 2/17/89 DIR 9/27/94 9/22/63 1/22/10 I MAS I MAL I NEIM I CONSENT OMOER : Score I I Issued I Executed : 18/82/1 5/30/82 3/26/85 6/21/82 2/28/82 (122/1 4/23/85 3/23/86 1/22/1 7/26/13 9/22/00 W/22/01 1 1 12/10/04 1/12/12 1/22/11 W/S/W W/W/1 1/22/R 19/13/6 W/13/6 1 WARA I N/2/2 | -:7 2 R # 5 N • 8 8 5 A N *** * 2 8 # 2 2 2 2 2 ٠ 7 ¢ ~-Ŧ ನ 🛪 2 ñ idett smither undfill, manna cunty ne boa/choor menich s.f., imen ande heidits WITH SM. LIFL, /DOEN BUP, STEMPS COURTY MERNIMA SIMITARY LANDFILL, BLLE BARN CONTY RTEC - PIDNEEN DIVISION, NINNEMBLIS / ST. MAL 2008 COUNTY SIMITARY LANDFILL. INE LANE SMITTARY LANDFILL, CHISTED COUNTY DEMALITE DISPOSAL SITE, COTTAGE BADIE THE PART OF PALE, WHEN CONTY BUNDALE PENEDMENT SITE, ROUNDALE R and Switter Learly -trade with FIRE CHER MAL MAK MOUND WITER CONTRIBUTION IT. LIND RIVER/INTER.ME, DAUTH V IT. LOUIS RIVERALS. STEEL, DALITH / METZER INDIA & RETAL CO., ST. PALL MICH ANTIN, INC., NINEMOLIS LA SWITTAN LANGTUL, ROSEN CI. In Conte Swittan Langtul. NET NETAL REVOLTING, RENERVOLES ING MOVE MARCINE NELL FIELD EMICK DISPOSAL SITE, REMAIC LIGTED COURTY SHITTARY LINEFILL BLANC, NOTIFIELD R.CT. COMPY SWITTERY L'ANDFILL ALIJON SWITTET LOOFILL CILLY THE, ST. LAUS MAK BELS MEL, COL LAG NA MIR AMI, IGUN IN MOVER, MOVER CI, INC., SMORE AN ALENIC SITE SETE NVEADONTON Now CITY BUD

STATUS OF ALINESOTA HAZANDOUS WASTE SITES

A. A. Carrier

1		
L	-	
	£	
	5	
	-	
i.	~	
	W	
1	8	
i.	8	
i.	Ħ.	
	-	
	-	
	_	

SVEMIN AMIT 3 II I 1 1 0.000 1 9/25/01 1 0.000 0 9/25/01 1 0.000 0 9/25/01 1 0.000 0 9/25/01 1 0.000 0 9/25/01 1 0.000 0 9/25/01 1 0.000 0 9/25/01 1 0.000 0 9/25/01 1 1 0 9/25/01 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Ξ.			I CONSOLT ONDER	ala	8		I KENA I	ESTIMATE OF 1				CLEMU	CLEMUP PAGE				
With The American State 1 1<										PORTY 5	=	E	2 			DATINKING 1 CAD- LATER 1 LATE		1 000- 1 00 1	2 8
Note: Control Control <thc< th=""><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th> 5</th><th>-</th><th></th></thc<>		-		-													 5	-	
Citize and words Citize and words <thcitize and="" th="" words<=""> <thcitize and="" t<="" th="" words<=""><th></th><th></th><th>NEVE 1</th><th></th><th>1/2/1</th><th>1/2/I</th><th></th><th></th><th>•• ••</th><th>005.0</th><th>-</th><th>- 0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th> E</th><th></th><th></th></thcitize></thcitize>			NEVE 1		1/2/1	1/2/I			•• ••	005.0	-	- 0					 E		
	CITIES AIR FI	*									0								
Image: Control Image:				**					0.607	8	5								
Interface Interface <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1002/01</th><th></th><th>2/31/67 : 1</th><th></th><th>11/2/6</th><th></th><th>•• ••</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>			1002/01		2/31/67 : 1		11/2/6		•• ••										
Image: Second			1/12/2 1							•• ••									
Contribution Contribution Lot Lot <thlot< th=""> Lot Lot</thlot<>			1/12/10 1 1/12/10 1	 22 12							• •	- 5	8 	5 				•	=
Mathematican 1 37200 -3000 1 40000		2	11/18/1	-		3/22/E		1.200			8	*							
A CARANTA METANIC 12 1		33			59/00				8	1 00 2	-	-	.				 •		
Methold: Site 12 1 776/13 972/13 1 0.2271 1 0.2271 1 1 Methold: Site 12 1 176/13 1 1776/13 1 1776/13 1 </th <th>N, SI, MIL It's southery La</th> <th> 2</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	N, SI, MIL It's southery La	2									-								
Mile memory (mile memory user). Mile memory (mile memory mem		 101	1 7/26/1			81/22/6			0.221		2	2	2 					8	
International control control of the control of th			F 1 10/25			W/2/II			0.200		=	-				 g		0	•
Interview Deside Deside Image			[.]		: W/W2/0					1.000	J	J				 0	 g	0	0
Multin indestring, sitted 1 1 11/2001 1	ANTE REPORT BALLERING, MODER		1 1/2/1		: WIIZE	-				3.000 1	-	-	• •••••				 =	-	•
Multini instactinia, sitt 1 1 1 1 1 0,500 1 Multini instactinia, sitt 11 1 1/28/16 1 1/28/16 1 1 0,500 1 Multini instactinia, sitt 11 1 1/28/16 3/76/16 3/76/16 1 1 0,500 1 R Multini instactinia, sitt 11 1 1/28/16 3/76/16 1 1 1 0,500 1 R Multini instactinia, sitt 11 1 1/28/16 3/76/16 1 1 1 1 0,500 1 Multini instactinia, sitt 13 1 1 1/22/16 1 1 1 1 0,500 1 Multini unstructure 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0,500 1 Multini unstructure 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0,500 1 Multini 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		•																	
ALTAN INMERTING. STE LATAN INMERTING. STE E MENCINA SMITTAN INSTRUCT LIPL./MALTAN BURG 34 1 1/2016 1 2/2016 1 1/1001 0,700 1 1/1001 0,700 1 1/1001 0,700 1 1/1001 0,700 1 1/1001 0,700 1 1/1000 0,700 1 1/1000 0,700 1 1/1000 0,700 1 1/1000 0,700 1 1/1000 0,700 1 1/1000 0,700 0,1000 0, Charter Carter Ca		10	12/2							0.500 :	-							6	•
Mix Luc Translip Jury - 000, % Jury 131 11 11 11 12 0.500 1 Gl Chronich, windowski 10 10 10 10 1 0.500 1 Gl Chronich, windowski 10 10 1 1 1 1 0.500 1 Gl Chronich, windowski 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.500 1 And 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.500 1 And 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.500 1 And 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.500 1 And 1 2 1	ANT MALINA INDURING STIE A. State Samples Amilton Streeter 1 (S. Jan Int. Son -	= =	1/92/1		3/00/5	3/26/06			1.100	0.700	-	-	SI		 2		 0	0	•
	WITT BOR LOVE TUMBLIP RUP - (NY, 5, RUP) WITTHER COMMANIA, NINGHALIS		1/2/1							0.500 0.500		-	• •		· ·· ··		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~ 0	* 0
			F I LUENI				4/1/89		-	0.700 :	-	-	•					-	-
			1 3/26/1						•		1 /1	N.N.			 				
	NAME BOUTHAN LANDILL, MILINE COUNTY	8								•••••									
				-				-		-									Ī

•

STATUS OF MIMESOTA HAZANDOUS WASTE SITES

-

- CENTRAL

OCTOBER 1989

STR MEADOWIDM		£		IFIN I CONSOIT ONDER I	NIN .	NOD I	CENCLA &	I NEWLA 9	CENCLA & 1 NENLA & 1 ESTIMATE OF 1			-	CLEANUP PHOSE	PHOSE			
									PARTY 6	1	RI / FS	2	2			2	
•														: MATER : WATER :	I MATE	AUTINGA 1 R	
		-		-											2 		
	-	-							•	8	æ	_		0		17:	31 : 2
		-								\$	£ ,	×		x	3 :		0 0
		-						••		1	2						
									e 		~	~					
										-	•	.					
		-								•	•	•			•	0	
							••			•	5	•					
		••								•	•	-					
									e 	~	•						
											5			- 5			37: 4
										•	0	•				: E	
TURE	: 35 : 28 :	*	5	*	: 51		22 : 25.6M :	: 2.63 :	: 147.515 :	121	: 011	. 8	1	5 : 69			11 1 12

•• = STATE LEAD • = STATE LEAD • = STATE NOTIONED FOR LISTING ON MAL ▲ = STATE N = NONUMED FOR LISTING ON MAL F = LISTED AS FINE ON MPL. RENAMENT LIST OF MICRITICS 007 W3 = +

" - PE-EPENA, DESIGN

LIST OF ACADIMIS

- HISNE BURKING BARLEN g
- MATICHAL PRICATTES LIST
- Reduest for resonnee action
 Retentingtion of Indecume response Ē
 - 2
- CERCLA = CONNENENTIVE ENVIRONMENTIAL RESIDUEE CONFIGATION AND LINGILLITY ACT
 - NERLA NIMESOTA ENVIRONENTAL RESPONSE AND LINALLITY ACT
 - REVEDIAL INVESTIGATION
 - · FEASIBILITY STUDY
 - REVEDIAL DESIGN RESPONSE ACTION
- · DPEARTION & INTERPORT 3

RESPONSIBLE PARTY CODES

- = CONPLETED 1 -
 - 9109 ND = 0
- C = CONVLETED MIGN TO CONSENT ONDER R = RUGUINED WAREA CONSENT ONDER, STIPULATION AGREENENT ON IN-NA R

 - 10 = INSTALLED AND OPEANTING

EDVENMENT-FINANCE) CODES

- 06 = DM OUING-USING STATE SUPERFUND MONIES DF = DM SDING-USING FEBERN, SUPERFUND MONIES

- IS = COMPLETE-USING STATE SUPERFUND MANIES IF = COMPLETED-USING FEDERAL SUPERFUND MONIES ISF = COMPLETE-USING STATE AND FEDERAL SUPERFUND MONIES
- - OF = ON COING-USING STATE AND FEDERAL SUPERFUND NONLES

•



ATTACHENT C

::

site mojections for near fund usage

FERENCLY PROPAGE SITES (STATE'S 10% SHORE OF AN CONSTRUCTION CUSIS)

• MUS FYS OTH • FYSO OTH • FYSO OTH • FYSO OTH • FYSI OTH • FYSI OTH3 • FYSI OTH4 •• FYSO OTH1 • FYSO OTH2 • FYSO OTH3 • FYSO OTH4 • • \$500,000 + • • :: • 12,000,000 • • 000 • 0529 • • • + \$170,000 ++ : • • • • 9 • * 77 • Anuma anu

Ĺ

.

	•	• 000	-	•	•	•	•	•		
		\$10,000								
• m, wc	•	•	•	•	500 mu =		•	•		
•	•	•		•	•	• •	- w we	• • • • • • • • •		
•	•	•	•	1 1 1 2 W 1 1						
•	:		: :	: :		: :	: :	: :		
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~								
4400,000 e			• 000 °0025	• 000°00+	•	•	1300,000	•	•	1000 940 940 980 98 ⁰
•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	• 00	• 90	1000
								\$110,000 •	975,000 •	
	- nn 'm.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	:	:	9620° 000 ++	:	:	:	:	:	:	
•	•	•	•	•	+ 440' 400 +	•	•	•	•	
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	•	•	•	•	-	-	-	-	-	
		2	*	X .	3	×.	*	R	3	000000000
			134CH			ST. RUMM	ST. LEWS RIVER		<b>LENLIER</b>	

## STRITE FUNDABLE SITES (1004 STATE DOLLARS)

o **kaso frad atal o frad ataz o frad ataz o frad ante o fraj ataz o fraj ataz o fraj ataz o fraz ataz ataz ataz a fraz ataz o fraz o** Sin me

			10000000000000000000000000000000000000	***********					1150,000	•	•	-
		•		: :	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
				:	•	•	•	ī	•	•	•	
RANK NE SAME		•	1000.000	:	•	•	<b>1200,000 e</b>	2 3	• •	• •	•	• •
WLERTIK-CLAK				:	•	•	•		••	• •	• •	• •
	•	•	- 000 'NG31 - 0	:	•	•	•		•	•	•	-
- ONEM		•		++ 000'000 ++	•	•	•	24 000 002 <b>5</b>	••	••	•	• •
- + HETH-WOW'SHEAN	110,000	•	-	•	•	•		. 1	150.000	•	•	•
Jone	100'0020	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	:	\$150,000 +	•	• con . mo . •			•	•	13,000,000 +
•	•	•	•	:	•	•	•		•	•	•	•
•		• • • • • • • • •	-	•	•	•			417 MM 44 15.000.000 4	•	•	•
	• 2	•	-	:	·J	•	•		•	45,000,000 -	•	•
	• 2	•	•	1500° 000 ••	•	<b>1158,000 +</b>	•				•	•
DEMBICY SITES + -	•	1300,0001	•	:	•	1300,000 +	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
			•	SE00, 000 ++	•	•	•		1000,0001	•		•
		•	•	:	1220,000 +	•	•			•		• •
				COM. 000 11	•	•	•	•	1 1200,000	•	• 000 '0C14	•
						•	•	•	•	•	•	•
				: :	•	•	4250,000 +	1	•	•	•	1200,000
•		•			•	• •	-	:	•	•	•	•
•	-	•	-	** ***	•	•	•	÷	1150.000 -	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	:	•	•	• •		1200.000	•	9156,000 +	•
	•	•	•	:	•	•	•		1200,000	•	150.000 +	•
	•	•	-	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•	•		•	•	1150.000	•
PIRSIDE CL. U + S	•	•	-	:	•	•			•	•	•	1150, 000 1
NOCHONING OR UP + 5	•	•	•		•	•			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•	•
	•	•	•	1	•	•			•	15, 000, 000	•	•
	•	•	-	2	•	•	•		15.000.000 -	•	•	•
EAST NETHER. UF + 1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Ĩ	•	•	1500,000	•
	-				1 000 0023	•	• •	Ĩ	. 000,0011	•	•	•
Static Musice	- 0 1100,000 0	•	-	•		•	101010101010101	10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0				
00000111111111111111111111111111111111	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	10101010101010101010101010101010101010	1.238.838	84. 670. 000	+1, 100, 000	14.15.000	M, 500, 000	9637,000	123, 050, 000 110, 500, 000	10,500,000	42, 750, 000	15, 660, 000
VERALY TOTAL				67, 320,000				46, 872, 000				111, 760, 000

NOTE: 1) SITES SOME IN PREVINESIS ME MOLECTER, NEW SIMPS-BAGTIUNTIONS HAV DE LINELY DE PREMITIES CHARGE 2) AT THIS THE TY 20 SITE MOLECTIONS SOMAD DE VIENER DALY AS MUCH ESTIMATES DAD MILL DE AFFINED DAER THE METI VER

## ATTACHMENT D

::

# PROJECTIONS FOR MERLA FUND USABE

**

*	•	*	•	*	*	*	*	•	•	•	*	•	*	*
	ARD IN		51	EST. FINES/REIMBURGEMENTS	SND	RVRILABLE			STRATION		0	SES		ard out
	BRLANCE FORMARD IN	EST. TAXES	EST. INTEREST	EST. FINES/	APPROPRIATIONS	EST. FUNDS AVAILABLE		<b>OBLIGATIONS</b>	MPCA ADMINISTRATION	<b>INDIRECT</b>	SITE CLEANUP	EST. EXSPENSES		BALANCE FORMARD OUT

# 

. .

•

••

•

Mar.	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ		Ŧ	-	Ŧ	*	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	*	Ŧ	
FY91 QTR4	*************	\$7,370,289	\$1,000,000	\$50,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$10, 520, 283			\$3, 150, 000	\$63B, 000	\$6, 872, 000	\$10,660,000		\$260, 289	,
**	***	*	**	**	**	*	**	*	**	*	**	*	**	**	**	
+ FY90 QTR4	***************	\$13,626,289 ##	\$1,000,000 ##	\$750,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$18,376,289			\$2, 890, 000	\$596,000	\$7, 520,000	\$11,006,000		\$7,370,289 **	
	-															