MN HOUSE RESEARCH

The Fiscal Disparities Program: Commercial-Industrial Tax-Base Sharing

September 2026

The fiscal disparities program

The fiscal disparities program is a system for the partial sharing of commercial-industrial (C/I) property tax base among all jurisdictions within a geographic area. In Minnesota, the program operates in two areas: the first one was enacted in 1971 and operates in the seven counties of the Twin Cities metropolitan area; a second version was enacted in 1995 and operates within the Iron Range in northeastern Minnesota.

Goals of tax-base sharing

The main purposes and goals of the program are to:

- *Support a regional approach to development.* Tax-base sharing spreads the fiscal benefit of business development spawned by regional facilities, such as shopping centers, airports, freeway interchanges, and sports stadiums. It also may make communities more willing to accept low-tax-yield regional facilities, such as parks.
- *Equalize the distribution of fiscal resources.* Communities with low tax bases must impose higher tax rates to deliver the same services as communities with higher tax bases. These high tax rates make tax-base poor communities less attractive places for businesses to locate or expand in, exacerbating the problem. Sharing C/I tax base can reduce this effect.
- *Reduce competition for commercial-industrial development.* Communities generally believe that some kinds of C/I properties pay more in taxes than it costs to provide services to them. This encourages communities to compete for these properties by providing tax concessions or extra services, which can weaken their fiscal condition. Tax-base sharing reduces the incentive for this competition, thereby discouraging urban sprawl and reducing the cost of providing regional services such as sewage and transportation.

How the fiscal disparities program works

Contributions to the areawide tax base. Each taxing jurisdiction (taxing jurisdictions include counties, cities, townships, school districts, and special taxing districts) annually contributes 40 percent of the growth in its C/I tax base since the year of enactment to an abstract entity called the "areawide tax base." This contribution value is not available for taxation by the jurisdictions where the property is located.

Distributions from the areawide tax base. Each municipality receives a share of the areawide tax base through a formula based on its share of the area's population and its relative property tax wealth (tax base per capita). The municipality is allowed to tax this distribution value at the same rate as the tax rate paid by its residents. This is known as the municipality's distribution levy. All taxing jurisdictions whose boundaries encompass the municipality are also allowed to tax the municipality's distribution value (i.e., counties, school districts, and special taxing districts).

Calculating the property tax for each commercial-industrial property. The property tax statement for each C/I property has a local portion and an areawide portion, based on the relative amount of the tax

By **Jared Swanson**, jared.swanson@house.mn.gov

base that is contributed and the amount that is retained by the municipality where the property is located. The local portion is subject to local tax rates. The areawide portion is subject to the areawide rate, which is determined by dividing the sum of each taxing jurisdiction's distribution levy by the areawide tax base.

Amount of tax base redistributed through the programs

In 2025, 36.1 percent of all local commercial-industrial property taxes were paid through fiscal disparities, and the areawide tax base accounted for 10 percent of the total tax base in the metropolitan area. In the Iron Range program, 31.6 percent of all local commercial-industrial property taxes were paid through fiscal disparities, and the areawide tax base constituted 4 percent of the total tax base on the Iron Range.

Effects of the metro area program

A House Research Department study based on taxes payable in 2025 found that the average homestead tax in Columbia Heights, which is one of the largest net beneficiaries of the program, would be 15 percent higher without fiscal disparities. The study found that the average homestead tax in Oak Park Heights, which is one of the largest net contributors, would be 7 percent lower without fiscal disparities. Homestead effects throughout the area generally varied between these extremes.

For commercial-industrial properties, average taxes would be 13 percent higher in Columbia Heights without fiscal disparities and 12 percent lower in Oak Park Heights. Commercial-industrial property tax impacts elsewhere in the metro area generally fall between these extremes.

The study looked only at the direct effect of fiscal disparities—that is, the redistribution of tax base—and made no attempt to factor in alternative development patterns that might have occurred without fiscal disparities.

Effects of the Iron Range program

The same study found that the average homestead tax in Calumet (Itasca County) would be 43 percent higher without fiscal disparities, while homestead taxes in Nashwauk (Itasca County) would be 6 percent lower, with other municipalities generally falling between those extremes.

For commercial-industrial properties, average taxes would be 30 percent higher in Calumet without fiscal disparities and 6 percent lower in Nashwauk. Commercial-industrial property tax impacts elsewhere on the Iron Range generally fall between these extremes.

For more information: See the House Research report Minnesota's Fiscal Disparities Programs (March 2026), which provides a more comprehensive overview of the program. A simulation report showing the impact of the fiscal disparities program by municipality is available at: https://www.house.mn.gov/hrd/issinfo/csim25B3.pdf.