DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

2024 Report on the

Life-Cycle Cost Analyses

January 2025

Prepared by:

The Minnesota Department of Transportation 395 John Ireland Boulevard Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155-1899

Phone: 651-296-3000 Toll-Free: 1-800-657-3774 TTY, Voice or ASCII: 1-800-627-3529

To request this document in an alternative format, call 651-366-4718 or 1-800-657-3774 (Greater Minnesota). You may also send an email to <u>ADArequest.dot@state.mn.us</u>

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

January 3, 2025

The Honorable Frank Hornstein, Chair House Transportation Finance & Policy Committee 5th Floor, Centennial Office Building 658 Cedar Street Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

The Honorable Scott Dibble, Chair Senate Transportation Committee 3107 Minnesota Senate Building Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

The Honorable Erin Koegel, Chair House Sustainable Infrastructure Policy Committee 5th Floor, Centennial Office Building 658 Cedar Street Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155 The Honorable John Petersburg, Republican Lead House Transportation Finance & Policy Committee 2nd Floor, Centennial Office Building 658 Cedar Street Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

The Honorable John Jasinski, Ranking Minority Member Senate Transportation Finance & Policy Committee 2227 Minnesota Senate Building Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

The Honorable Mary Franson, Republican Lead House Sustainable Infrastructure Policy Committee 2nd Floor, Centennial Office Building 658 Cedar Street Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

Re: 2024 Life-Cycle Cost Analyses Report

Dear Legislators,

The Minnesota Department of Transportation is pleased to provide the annual report on pavement life-cycle cost analysis, as required under <u>Minn. Stat. 174.185, subd. 3</u>.

In 2024, 23 construction projects were in the reconditioning, resurfacing and road repair funding categories and required a LCCA according to the MnDOT Pavement Design Manual.

MnDOT has conducted LCCAs on road rehabilitation projects since 1999. In addition, MnDOT is innovating new methods to design and select the most cost-effective pavement structure. Innovations include new pavement design procedures and refining the alternate bidding process to allow bidders of both pavement materials to bid on a project.

Please contact me if you have questions or comments about this report at <u>nancy.daubenberger@state.mn.us</u>, or you may contact Curt Turgeon at <u>curt.turgeon@state.mn.us</u>, or 651-366-5535.

Sincerely,

ancy Daubenburger

Nancy Daubenberger, P.E. Commissioner

Contents

Cover Letter
Legislative Request5
Life-Cycle Cost Analysis Report
Implementation6
Results
Discussion7
Conclusion8
Appendix A: Summary of LCCA Results9
Appendix B: Copies of LCCAs
Appendix C: Copies of LCCA Exceptions
Appendix D: 2024 Legislative Changes to Minn. Stat. 174.185 Effective July 1, 2025

Legislative Request

This report is issued to comply with <u>2022 Minnesota Statutes 174.185.¹</u> Changes to this section were made in the 2024 Legislative Session but do not become effective until July 1, 2025 (see Appendix D).

The statute requires a life-cycle cost analysis for every project in the reconditioning, resurfacing and road repair funding categories constructed after July 1, 2011. The LCCA is a comparison of life-cycle costs among competing paving materials using equal design lives and equal comparison periods. Documentation required by the statute includes:

- Lowest life-cycle cost
- Alternatives considered

- Chosen strategy
- Documented justification, if the chosen strategy is not the low-cost option

174.185 PAVEMENT LIFE-CYCLE COST ANALYSIS.

Subdivision 1. Definitions.

For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply.

(a) "Life-cycle cost" is the sum of the cost of the initial pavement project and all anticipated costs for maintenance, repair, and resurfacing over the life of the pavement. Anticipated costs must be based on Minnesota's actual or reasonably projected maintenance, repair, and resurfacing schedules, and costs determined by the Department of Transportation district personnel based upon recently awarded local projects and experience with local material costs.

(b) "Life-cycle cost analysis" is a comparison of life-cycle costs among competing paving materials using equal design lives and equal comparison periods.

Subd. 2. Required analysis.

For each project in the reconditioning, resurfacing, and road repair funding categories, the commissioner must perform a life-cycle cost analysis and document the lowest life-cycle costs and all alternatives considered. The commissioner must document the chosen pavement strategy and, if the lowest life cycle is not selected, document the justification for the chosen strategy.

Subd. 3. Report.

The commissioner shall report annually to the chairs and ranking minority members of the senate and house of representatives committees with jurisdiction over transportation finance beginning on January 1, 2012, the results of the analyses required in subdivision 2.

The cost of preparing this report is less than \$5,000.

¹ Minn. Stat. 174.185 was changed in <u>2024 Laws of Minnesota, Ch. 127, Art. 3, Sec. 75-78</u>. The new requirements will be reported on in the 2025 report. The language of the 2024 changes is shown in Appendix D.

Life-Cycle Cost Analysis Report

In the 2024 Session changes were passed to Minn. Stat. 174.185. Many of the changes passed in the new language go into effect on July 1, 2025. Those changes are being implemented by MnDOT and will be reported on in the 2025 Life-Cycle Cost Analyses Report. This report, the 2024 Life-Cycle Cost Analyses Report, is written to satisfy the requirements under <u>2022 Minn. Stat. 174.185</u>.

Implementation

<u>Minn. Stat. 174.185</u> requires a life-cycle cost analysis for every project in the reconditioning, resurfacing and road repair funding categories constructed after July 1, 2011.

The Minnesota Department of Transportation first implemented a LCCA process for roadway rehabilitation projects in 1999. The LCCA process was modified in 2010 to meet the specific requirements of legislation and was presented in Technical Memorandum 10-04-MAT-01. After the technical memorandum expired, the LCCA process, with some modifications, was incorporated into the MnDOT Pavement Design Manual which went into effect October 31st, 2014.

The LCCA process, which is consistent with Federal Highway Administration guidelines, is performed on all pavement projects regardless of funding category, but only the results of projects in the reconditioning, resurfacing and road repair funding categories are included in this report. The LCCA process limits the requirement to perform a LCCA to projects with more than 60,000 square yards of pavement and to projects that include placing more than two-inch thickness of pavement material. Thin overlays (two inches or less) are considered short-term preventive maintenance and do not have a viable concrete alternative with an equal design life.

The LCCA process requires the inclusion of at least one portland cement concrete and one hot-mix asphalt alternate with equal design lives. To best determine the most cost-effective design, the LCCA may include additional alternatives with other design lives.

Results

In 2024, 23 construction projects were in the reconditioning, resurfacing and road repair funding categories and required a LCCA according to the MnDOT Pavement Design Manual.

The results of the 23 LCCAs are as follows:

- Hot-mix asphalt was the low-cost option for 22 LCCAs and 21 were selected for construction; one project changed its selection from HMA to PCC and an exception letter is provided in Appendix C.
- Portland cement concrete was the low-cost option for one LCCA and was selected for construction.

A table of LCCA results and copies of the LCCAs submitted by MnDOT districts are attached.

Discussion

Hot-mix asphalt is most often the low-cost option in the submitted LCCAs. Portland cement concrete options usually have a greater initial cost than hot-mix asphalt, but become competitive by having lower maintenance costs over the life of the pavement. However, the relatively short design lives of these rehabilitation-type projects do not allow portland cement concrete options to exploit this relative advantage. Portland cement concrete options with longer design lives than hot-mix asphalt alternates are more competitive than the portland cement concrete options with the equal design lives required by the statute.

MnDOT continues to improve and refine its portland cement pavement design procedures. The design program for portland cement pavement thickness design has been updated and a research project is developing a new procedure to design portland cement concrete pavements that are built on top of existing portland cement concrete pavements.

No projects used the alternate bidding process in 2024, but MnDOT continued to provide for its use on projects that were likely to have competitive hot-mix asphalt and portland cement concrete options.

The alternate bidding process is similar to using a LCCA to determine the low-cost option. However, instead of using an estimate for the initial cost of an option, alternate bidding uses actual bid prices.

The process is as follows:

- 1. MnDOT lets a project with two options, one hot-mix asphalt and one portland cement concrete.
- 2. MnDOT calculates a maintenance factor. This is the difference between the maintenance costs of the two options.
- 3. Each contractor bids on either of the two options.
- 4. MnDOT adjusts the bids by adding the maintenance factor to the bids of the option with the greater maintenance costs.
- 5. MnDOT selects the bid with the lowest adjusted bid.

Conclusion

MnDOT is implementing the new requirements in <u>2024 Minn. Stat. 174.185</u>, <u>subd. 2a and 2b</u> for 2025. The 2025 Life-Cycle Cost Analyses Report will have the results using the new requirements.

MnDOT implemented the requirements of <u>2022 Minn. Stat. 174.185</u> and provided the required results in this report. MnDOT continues to work to ensure that all future projects meet the requirements of the legislation. In addition, MnDOT is innovating new pavement design methods to design the most cost-effective pavement structure.

Appendix A: Summary of LCCA Results

State Project Number (SP#)	Existing Pavement Type	Exception for low- cost option?	Design Life (in years)	Option Description	Present Worth	Optional Material (1)	Selected Option (2)	Alternate Bid? (3)
0102-28	HMA	No	20	PCC Overlay	\$12,730,098.78	PCC		No
			20	CIR	\$7,106,316.80	HMA	Х	
			35	PCC Overlay	\$9,240,362.85	PCC		
0208-165	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$15,852,262.26	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$41,635,627.68	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$38,884,188.10	PCC		
0801-35	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$5,362,230.22	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$12,092,881.73	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$11,901,454.86	PCC		
1505-25	НМА	No	15	M&OL	\$2,654,203.39	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$5,195,830.90	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$8,034,186.85	PCC		
1926-23	HMA	No	17	M &OL	\$4,478,712.25	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$12,202,245.64	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$12,660,409.93	PCC		
2002-37	НМА	No	15	M&OL	\$7,207,903.30	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$17,544,728.49	HMA		
			20	PCC Overlay	\$12,648,837.46	PCC		
2180-125	HMA	No	20	PCC Overlay	\$17,536,463.15	PCC		No
			20	New HMA	\$19,019,361.06	HMA		
			35	PCC Overlay	\$14,555,484.43	PCC	Х	
2723-144	HMA	No	17	M&OL	\$7,331,506.56	HMA	Х	No
			20	PCC Overlay	\$9,915,212.12	PCC		
			20	New HMA	\$13,173,852.10	HMA		
2909-18	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$3,593,925.08	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$6,107,034.09	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$9,430,853.01	PCC		
4204-40	HMA	Yes	20	New HMA	\$3,752,962.36	HMA		No
			20	New PCC	\$4,720,659.52	PCC		
			35	New PCC	\$4,799,903.98	PCC	Х	
4508-35	HMA	No	17	M&OL	\$6,210,916.49	HMA	Х	No
			17	M&OL	\$7,482,758.71	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$15,789,022.31	PCC		
4811-76	HMA	No	13	M&OL	\$9,397,791.76	HMA	X	No
			20	New HMA	\$17,203,210.46	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$16,366,914.26	PCC		
4814-56	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$3,390,561.81	HMA	Х	No
			20	FDR	\$4,537,862.54	HMA		
			20	PCC Overlay	\$6,314,659.54	PCC		

State Project Number (SP#)	Existing Pavement Type	Exception for low- cost option?	Design Life (in years)	Option Description	Present Worth	Optional Material (1)	Selected Option (2)	Alternate Bid? (3)
4902-63	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$7,088,713.20	HMA	Х	No
			20	PCC Overlay	\$10,487,975.66	PCC		
			20	New HMA	\$11,693,685.68	HMA		
4908-24	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$3,509,784.71	HMA	Х	No
			20	PCC Overlay	\$5,801,127.80	PCC		
			20	New HMA	\$7,695,473.95	HMA		
4911-15	HMA	Yes	15	M&OL	\$6,418,749.45	HMA	Х	No
			20	PCC Overlay	\$9,234,958.72	PCC		
			20	CIR	\$5,945,276.33	HMA		
4913-26	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$6,793,692.07	HMA	Х	No
			20	PCC Overlay	\$12,182,237.36	PCC		
			20	FDR	\$7,499,579.35	HMA		
5505-30	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$6,245,587.00	HMA	Х	No
			20	Heavy M&OL	\$6,424,441.00	HMA		
			20	PCC Overlay	\$11,144,319.00	PCC		
5902-25	HMA	No	17	M&OL	\$12,125,456.48	HMA	Х	No
			20	SFDR	\$20,001,878.28	HMA		
			20	PCC Overlay	\$25,946,134.83	PCC		
6111-26	HMA	No	16	M&OL	\$3,289,489.26	HMA	Х	No
			20	PCC Overlay	\$6,563,841.53	PCC		
			20	FDR	\$3,634,104.02	HMA		
6212-192	HMA	No	15	M&OL	\$7,199,726.24	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$13,687,470.95	HMA		
			20	New PCC	\$16,028,777.40	PCC		
6906-19	HMA	No	13	M&OL	\$13,804,381.01	HMA	Х	No
			20	FDR	\$17,362,633.49	HMA		
			20	PCC Overlay	\$21,312,001.14	PCC		
8302-48	HMA	No	17	M&OL	\$5,884,496.65	HMA	Х	No
			20	New HMA	\$13,002,869.24	HMA		
			35	New PCC	\$12,998,417.85	PCC		

(1) Option material - The pavement material that each option utilizes.

(2) Selected Option- This is marked (X) if the pavement option was selected to be constructed.

* If the project uses alternate bidding, more than one option will be marked and the constructed option will be the low-cost option as determined by alternate bidding.

(3) Alternate Bidding? - 'Yes' if the project used alternate bidding to select which option to construct.

Definitions:

HMA = Hot-Mix Asphalt

M&OL = Mill and overlay HMA

PCC = Portland Cement Concrete

BOC = Bituminous over Concrete

FDR = Full-Depth Reclamation (recycle existing HMA and Base to use as a new base)

SFDR = Stabilized Full-Depth Reclamation (recycle existing HMA & Base stabilized with emulsion or foamed asphalt to use as a new base)

CIR = Cold-in-Place Recycling (Recycle a layer of existing HMA with Cold-Mix Asphalt)

CPR = Concrete Pavement Repair

Rubblize = Break the existing PCC into pieces to act as the new base for HMA pavement

Crack & Seat = Crack and compact the existing PCC pavement to delay reflective cracking in an HMA overlay

Appendix B: Copies of LCCAs



	35-Year Ana	lvsis Period		
	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	0208-165	35	Change to:	Change to:
DELETELOCA	Highway	Discount Rate	50.14	
DELETE LCCA	MN 65	0.66%	50-Year	35-Year
INDUTS	Date		Analysis	Analysis
	7/11/2023	District 5	Analysis	Analysis
	Performed By	2021/2022 Drices	Period	Period
	Ethan Rossow	2021/2022 Prices		
	LCC	A SUMMARY		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate 4	3 Length
Segment #1	Mill and Overlay	20 YR Bit Reconstruct	20 YR Concrete Ree	construct 16.8
Net Present Cost	\$15,852,262.26	\$41,635,627.68	\$38,884,188.	10 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$15,852,262.26	\$41,635,627.68	\$38,884,188	.10 Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	262.6%	245 294	45.0

	35-Voar An	alveis Period		
	JJ-Teal All	alysis reliou		
	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	0801-35	35	Change to:	Change to:
DELETELOGA	Highway	Discount Rate		enunge to.
DELETE LCCA	4	0.66%	50-Year	35-Year
INPLITS	Date		Analysis	Analysis
111013	9/15/2021	District 1	Analysis	Analysis
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Period
	Mike Schoeb	2021/2022111003		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #	3 Length
Segment #1	Mill and overlay	Biutuminous Reconstruct	Concrete Recon	struct 11.7
Net Present Cost	\$5,362,230.22	\$12,092,881.73	\$11,901,454.3	36 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$5,362,230.22	\$12,092,881.73	\$11,901,454	.86 Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	225.5%	221.9%	11.7

	35-Year Ana	lysis Period		
DELETE LCCA INPUTS	Project Number 1505-25 High# ay 200 Date 9/20/2022 Performed By KD	Analysis Period 35 Discount Rate 0.66% District 2 2021/2022 Prices	Change to: 50-Year Analysis Period	Change to: 35-Year Analysis Period
Notes:				
	LC	CA SUMMARY		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate 4	3 Length
Segment #1	3" Mill & Overlay	New HMA	20 yr Concre	te 6.4
Net Present Cost	\$2,654,203.39	\$5,135,830.30	\$8,034,186.8	ito Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Lost				miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$2,654,203.39	\$5,195,830,90	\$8,034,186.	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		85 Total

35-Year Analysis Period

DELETE LCCA
INPUTS

Project Number	Analysis Period		
1926-23	35	Change to:	Change to:
Highway	Discount Rate		2E V
316	0.66%	50-Year	35-Year
Date	D ¹ · 1 · F	Δnalvsis	Δnalysis
2/8/2024	District 5		Anarysis
Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Period
Ben Nixa	2022, 2022 1 11000		

Notes:

LCCA SUMMARY							
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length			
Segment #1	" mill, 4" overlay, 2" overlay on shoulder	20 year HMA reconstruction	20 year concrete reconstruction	8.6			
Net Present Cost	\$4,478,712.25	\$12,202,245.64	\$12,660,409.93	Miles			
Segment #2				0.0			
Net Present Cost				Miles			
Segment #3				0.0			
Net Present Cost				Miles			
Segment #4				0.0			
Net Present Cost				Miles			
Project Net Present Cost	\$4,478,712.25	\$12,202,245.64	\$12,660,409.93	Total			
% of Low Cost	100.0%	272.4%	282.7%	8.6			

	35-Year Ana	alysis Period			
DELETE LCCA INPUTS	Project Number 2002-37 & 5501-45 Highway 14 EB & WB Date 12/28/2022 Performed By trm	Analysis Period 35 Discount Rate 0.66% District 6 2021/2022 Prices	Change to: 50-Year Analysis Period	Chang 35-Y Anal Peri	ge to: 'ear ysis iod
Notes: From 1.09 Mi. W. of Jct. TH 57 Alternate #1 & #2 did not take into a other two lanes.	to 0.195 Mi. W. CSAH 5 account the cost of moving all the	e traffic to the other two lanes (4 lan	e divided roadway) when	constructin	g the
	L	LCCA SUMMARY			
	l Alternate #1	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2	Alternate #3	3	Length
Segment #1	Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill	3 and 3" OL	Length 1.8
Segment #1 Net Present Cost	I Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60	and 3" OL	Length 1.8 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2	I Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34 PCC-7" UBOL	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46 6" HMA Reconstruct	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60 15 YR HMA- 4.5	3 and 3" OL) " OL	Length 1.8 Miles 10.0
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost	I Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34 PCC-7" UBOL \$10,668,423.12	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46 6" HMA Reconstruct \$14,869,166.02	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60 15 YR HMA- 4.5 \$6,273,002.7	and 3" OL) " OL 0	Length 1.8 Miles 10.0 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3	Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34 PCC-7" UBOL \$10,668,423.12	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46 6" HMA Reconstruct \$14,869,166.02	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60 15 YR HMA- 4.5 \$6,273,002.7	3 and 3" OL) " OL 0	Length 1.8 Miles 10.0 Miles 0.0
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost	Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34 PCC-7" UBOL \$10,668,423.12	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46 6" HMA Reconstruct \$14,869,166.02	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60 15 YR HMA- 4.5 \$6,273,002.7	; and 3" OL) " OL 0	Length 1.8 Miles 10.0 Miles 0.0 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost Segment #4	L Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34 PCC-7" UBOL \$10,668,423.12	CCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46 6" HMA Reconstruct \$14,869,166.02	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60 15 YR HMA- 4.5 \$6,273,002.7	; and 3" OL) " OL 0	Length 1.8 Miles 10.0 Miles 0.0 Miles 0.0
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost Segment #4 Net Present Cost	Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34 PCC-7" UBOL \$10,668,423.12	LCCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46 6" HMA Reconstruct \$14,869,166.02	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60 15 YR HMA- 4.5 \$6,273,002.7	; and 3" OL) " OL 0	Length 1.8 Miles 10.0 Miles 0.0 Miles 0.0 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost Segment #4 Net Present Cost Project Net Present Cost	Alternate #1 PCC-7" UBOL \$1,980,414.34 PCC-7" UBOL \$10,668,423.12 \$12,648,837.46	LCCA SUMMARY Alternate #2 6" HMA Reconstruct \$2,675,562.46 6" HMA Reconstruct \$14,869,166.02 \$14,869,166.02 \$14,869,166.02 \$14,869,166.02 \$14,869,166.02	Alternate #3 15 YR HMA-1.5" Mill \$934,900.60 15 YR HMA-4.5 \$6,273,002.7 \$7,207,903.	3 and 3" OL) " OL 0 30	Length 1.8 Miles 10.0 Miles 0.0 Miles 0.0 Miles Total

	50-Vear Ana	lysis Pariod			
		ilysis i erioù			
	Project Number	Analysis Period			
	2180-125	50	Change to:	Chang	e to:
DELETELOCA	Highway	Discount Rate		0.101.0	
DELETE LCCA	I-94 WB	1.02%	50-Year	35-Y	ear
INPLITS	Date		Analycic	Anab	veie
1111013	4/2/2021	District 4	Analysis	Allan	y 515
	Performed By	2020/2021 Prices	Period	Peri	od
	Nathan Bausman	2020/202111003			
	10	CA SUMMARY			
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3		Length
Segment #1	8.5" Unbonded Concrete Overlay	7.5" Unbonded Concrete Overlay	12" Bituminous Reco	nstruction	6.0
Net Present Cost	\$6,750,769.38	\$8,132,874.68	\$8,981,653.6	7	Miles
Segment #2	8.5" Concrete Reconstruction	7.5" Concrete Reconstruction	12" Bituminous Reco	nstruction	0.2
Net Present Cost	\$327,615.12	\$387,773.69	\$283,974.90		Miles
Segment #3	8.5" Unbonded Concrete Overlay	7.5" Unbonded Concrete Overlay	12" Bituminous Reco	nstruction	6.3
Net Present Cost	\$7,049,456.12	\$8,492,712.44	\$9,381,987.3	6	Miles
Segment #4	8.5" Concrete Reconstruction	7.5" Concrete Reconstruction	12" Bituminous Reco	nstruction	
Net Present Cost	\$427,643.81	\$523,102.54	\$371,745.14		0.3
Droject Not Drocont Cost	\$1A 555 A9A A2	\$17 526 462 25	\$19,019,361		0.3 Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$14,555,464.45	317,330,403.33	\$15,015,501	06	0.3 Miles Total

	50-Year Ana	lysis Period			
DELETE LCCA INPUTS	Project Number 2723-144 Highway TH 55 Date 4/21/2021 Performed By	Analysis Period 50 Discount Rate 1.02% District 5 2020/2021 Prices	Change to: 50-Year Analysis Period	Chang 35-Ye Analy Perie	e to: ear /sis od
Notes:					
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3		Length
Segment #1	4: mill & overlay and UTBWC underlayer	BCOA	20 YR BITUMINOUS RECO	NSTRUCTION	8.8
Net Present Cost	\$7,331,506.56	\$9,915,212.12	\$13,173.852.1	10	Miles
Segment #2			. , .,		0.0
Net Present Cost					Miles
Segment #3					0.0
Net Present Cost					Miles
Segment #4					0.0
Net Present Cost					Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$7,331,506.56	\$9,915,212.12	\$13,173,852.	10	Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	135.2%	179.7%		8.8

	35-Year An	alvsis Period		
	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	2909-18	35	Change to:	Change to:
DELETELCCA	Highway	Discount Rate	EO Voor	DE Voor
DELETE LCCA	87	0.66%	SU-rear	35-rear
INPUTS	Date	District 2	Analysis	Analysis
	9/29/2022	District 2		
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Period
	Adam Wick	-		
		CCA SUMMARY		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length
Segment #1	3.0" Mill and Overlay	Remove & Replace	20 Year Concr	ete 8.1
Net Present Cost	\$3,593,925.08	\$6,107,034.09	\$9,430,853.0	1 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$3,593,925.08	\$6,107,034.09	\$9,430,853.	01 Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	169.9%	262.4%	8.1

50-Year Analysis Period						
So real Analysis renou						
	Project Number	Analysis Period				
	4204.40	Analysis Feriou	Change tou Chang	o to t		
	4204-40	Discount Pata	Change to: Change	ge to:		
DELETE LCCA	10	0.82%	50-Year 35-Y	'ear		
	Date	0.0276				
INPUTS	11/7/2024	District 8	Analysis Anal	ysis		
	Performed By	2022/2023 Prices	Period Per	iod		
	Jacob Miller	2022/2023 Flices	T entou	- Cu		
Notes: Roundabout and mill/ove	rlay segments not considered in LCCA	due to high complexity and short le	ngth.			
Project extended west 0.3	7 miles. Included in segment 1 length	L.				
	LC	CA SUMMARY				
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length		
Segment #1	U Year Design (24' wide & two 8' shou	rear Design (24' wide & two 8' shou	rear Design (24' wide & two 8' sho	u 0.5		
Net Present Cost	\$1,226,799.89 A 20 Year Desire (25) wide & 7) should	\$1,519,973.59 20 Year Desire (26) wide & 7) showl	\$1,545,387.84	Milles		
Segment #2	A 20 Year Design (56 Wide & 7 Should	20 rear Design (56 wide & 7 should	55 Tear Design (56 Wide & 7 Shou	0 U.4		
Segment #2	3962,155.15 A 20 Year Design (27) wide no should	\$1,241,751.87	\$1,202,401.81	d 0.6		
Segment #5	A 20 Tear Design (37 wide, no should	20 Teal Design (37 Wide, no should	\$1 770 622 06	u U.O		
Segment #4	A 20 Year Design (60' wide, no should	20 Vear Design (60' wide, po should	35 Vear Design (60' wide, no shoul	d 0.1		
Net Present Cost	\$156 932 05	\$210 948 91	\$212 492 27	Miles		
	\$150,552.05		V212, TJ2.27			
Project Net Present Cost	\$3,752,962.36	\$4,720,659.52	\$4,799,903.98	Total		
9/ of Low Cost	100.0%	105.09/	127.0%			

	35-Year Ana	alysis Period	_	
DELETE LCCA INPUTS	Project Number 4508-35 Highway 89 Date 10/20/2021 Performed By Adam Wick	Analysis Period 35 Discount Rate 0.66% District 2 2021/2022 Prices	Change to: 50-Year Analysis Period	Change to: 35-Year Analysis Period
Notes:				
	L	CCA SUMMARY		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length
Segment #1	3.0" Mill and Overlay	4.5" Mill and Overlay	20 Year Concr	ete 16.5
Net Present Cost	\$6,210,916.49	\$7,482,758.71	\$15,789,022.3	1 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				
Net Present Cost		1		0.0
				0.0 Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$6,210,916.49	\$7,482,758.71	\$15,789,022	0.0 Miles 31 Total

	35-Year Ana	alysis Period		
DELETE LCCA INPUTS	Project Number 4811-76 Highway 169 Date 9/7/2021 Performed By	Analysis Period 35 Discount Rate 0.66% District 3 2021/2022 Prices	Change to: 50-Year Analysis Period	Change to: 35-Year Analysis Period
Notes:				
	10			
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length
Segment #1	2" Mill & 3" Overlay (NB)	6" HMA Option (NB)	7" PCC Constructio	on (NB) 8.6
Net Present Cost	\$4,640,155.59	\$8,804,520.59	\$9,004,304.9	5 Miles
Segment #2	3" Mill & 3" Overlay (SB)	6" HMA Option (SB)	7" PCC Overlay	(SB) 8.6
Net Present Cost	\$4,757,636.17	\$8,398,689.87	\$7,362,609.3	0 Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$9,397,791.76	\$17,203,210.46	\$16,366,914	26 Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	183.1%	174.2%	17.3

	35-Year Ana	lysis Period		
		rysis i chou		
	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	4814-56	35	Change to:	Change to:
DELETELOCA	Highway	Discount Rate		
DELETE LCCA	169	0.66%	50-Year	35-Year
INPLITS	Date		Analycic	Analycic
1111013	6/16/2022	District 3	Analysis	Analysis
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Period
	Scott Zeidler	2021/2022111003		
	100			
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	length
Segment #1	O on Mainline w/ 1.5" M & O on Shot	FDR Option	6" White Topp	ing 6.4
Net Present Cost	\$3,390,561.81	\$4,537,862.54	\$6,314,659.5	4 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$3,390,561.81	\$4,537,862.54	\$6,314,659.	54 Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	133.8%	186.2%	6.4

35-Year Analysis Period

	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	4902-63	35	Change to:	Change to:
TELCCA	Highway	Discount Rate		
TE LUCA	10/371	0.66%	50-year	35-Year
PUTS	Date	District 2	Analysis	Analysis
	1/5/2022	District 3	7 (1) (1) (1)	711017515
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Period
	SJN	,		

Notes:

DELE

IN

LCCA SUMMARY						
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length		
Segment #1	2" Mill & 3.5" HMA	6.5" Remove & 8" HMA 20 yrs	4.5" Mill & 6" PCC 20 yrs	10.7		
Net Present Cost	\$5,220,820.14	\$8,606,935.83	\$7,740,489.35	Miles		
Segment #2	2" Mill & 3.5" HMA	7" remove & 8" HMA 20 yrs	4.5" Mill & 6" PCC 20 yrs	0.6		
Net Present Cost	\$495,062.09	\$826,747.72	\$728,472.08	Miles		
Segment #3	2" Mill & 3.5" HMA	7" remove & 8" HMA 20 yrs	4.5" Mill & 6" PCC 20 yrs	0.1		
Net Present Cost	\$97,577.77	\$161,900.00	\$143,418.25	Miles		
Segment #4	2" Mill & 3.5" HMA	7" remove & 8" HMA 20 yrs	4.5" Mill & 6" PCC 20 yrs	2.3		
Net Present Cost	\$1,275,253.20	\$2,098,102.13	\$1,875,595.99	Miles		
Project Net Present Cost	\$7,088,713.20	\$11,693,685.68	\$10,487,975.66	Total		
% of Low Cost	100.0%	165.0%	148.0%	13.7		

	35-Vear Ana	alveis Pariod			
	JJ-Teal And	arysis renou			
	Project Number	Analysis Period			
	4908-24	35	Change to:	Chang	re to:
DELETELOGA	Highway	Discount Rate	chunge to.	Chang	, c to.
DELETE LCCA	115	0.66%	50-Year	35-Y	ear
INDUTS	Date		Analysis	Anal	voie
111 013	11/28/2022	District 3	Analysis	Allal	ysis
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Peri	iod
	SJN	2021/2022111003			
	L	CCA SUMMARY			
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3		Length
Segment #1	1.5" Mill and 3" Overlay	6" HMA 20 yr Reconstruct	5" PCC 20 yr Whitet	topping	0.9
Net Present Cost	\$437,399.03	\$1,004,897.74	\$760,888.54	ł	Miles
Segment #2	1.5" Mill & 3" Overlay	6" HMA 20 yr Reconstruct	5" PCC 20 yr Whitet	topping	7.4
Net Present Cost	\$2,969,301.06	\$6,467,347.25	\$4,863,013.2	4	Miles
Segment #3	Urban 3" M&O	6" HMA 20 yr Reconstruct	5" PCC 20 yr White	topping	0.1
Net Present Cost	\$103,084.62	\$223,228.96	\$177,226.02		Miles
Segment #4					0.0
Net Present Cost					Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$3,509,784.71	\$7,695,473.95	\$5,801,127.	80	Total

219.3%

165.3%

8.4

% of Low Cost

100.0%

	35 Voar Ana	lycic Doriod		
	JJ-Teal Alla	alysis reliou		
	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	4011.15	25	Change ter	Change ter
	4911-13	Discourt Bata	Change to:	Change to:
DELETE LCCA		1 02%	50-Year	35-Year
INDUTC	Date	1.02%		
INPUTS	Date	District 3	Analysis	Analysis
	Performed By	2020/2021 Pricos	Period	Period
	-	2020/2021 FILCES	- Criou	
Notes:				
	10	CCA SUMMARY		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length
Segment #1	4" MILL & OVERLAY	6" WHITE TOPPING	3" MILL, 3" CIR, 3	"HMA 14.0
Net Present Cost	\$6,418,749.45	\$9,234,958.72	\$5,945,276.3	3 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$6,418,749.45	\$9,234,958.72	\$5,945,276.	33 Total
% of Low Cost	108.0%	155.3%	100.0%	14.0

35-Year Analysis Period					
DELETE LCCA INPUTS	Project Number 4913-26 Highway 238 Date	Analysis Period 35 Discount Rate 0.66% District 3	Change to: 50-Year Analysis	Change to: 35-Year Analysis	
	Performed By Scott Zeidler	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Period	
	Alternate #1	CCA SUMMARY	Alternate #2	Length	
Segment #1	3" M & O (Full Width)	FDR	6 White Toppi	ing 19.0	
Net Present Cost	\$6,793,692.07	\$7,499,579.35	\$12,182,237.3	6 Miles	
Segment #2 Net Present Cost				0.0 Miles	
Segment #3				0.0	
Net Present Cost				Miles	
Segment #4				0.0	
Project Net Present Cost	\$6,793,692.07	\$7,499,579.35	\$12,182,237.	36 Total	
% of Low Cost	100.0%	110.4%	179.3%	19.0	

	35-Vear Ana	lysis Period			
		ilysis i chou			
	Project Number	Analysis Period			
	5505-30	35	Change to:	Chang	to to.
	Highway	Discount Rate	change to.	Chang	se to.
DELETE LCCA	30	0.66%	50-Year	35-Y	'ear
INDUITS	Date		Analysis	Anal	voie
INFOIS	2/1/2023	District 6	Analysis	Anai	ysis
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Peri	iod
	trm	2021/2022111083			
	10	CA SUMMARY			
	LC Alternate #1	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2	Alternate #3	3	Lengt
Segment #1	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA)	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA)	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20	B D YR PCC)	Lengt 11.3
Segment #1 Net Present Cost	LC <u>Alternate #1</u> Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA \$4,792,800.22	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 \$8,213,357.4	8 0 YR PCC) 5	Lengt 11.3 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA)	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA \$4,792,800.22 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA)	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 \$8,213,357.4 7" Whitetopping(20	8 D YR PCC) 5 D YR PCC)	Lengti 11.3 Miles 3.4
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$1,708,316.02	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA \$4,792,800.22 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA \$1,631,640.94	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 \$8,213,357.4 7" Whitetopping(20 \$2,930,961.7	8 D YR PCC) 5 D YR PCC) 5	Lengti 11.3 Miles 3.4 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$1,708,316.02	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA S4,792,800.22 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA S1,631,640.94	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 \$8,213,357.4 7" Whitetopping(20 \$2,930,961.7	8 0 YR PCC) 5 0 YR PCC) 5	Lengti 11.3 Miles 3.4 Miles 0.0
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$1,708,316.02	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA S4,792,800.22 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA \$1,631,640.94	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 \$8,213,357.4 7" Whitetopping(20 \$2,930,961.7	8 0 YR PCC) 5 0 YR PCC) 5	Length 11.3 Miles 3.4 Miles 0.0 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost Segment #4	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$1,708,316.02	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA) S4,792,800.22 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA) \$1,631,640.94	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 \$8,213,357.4 7" Whitetopping(20 \$2,930,961.7	8 D YR PCC) 5 D YR PCC) 5	Length 11.3 Miles 3.4 Miles 0.0 Miles 0.0
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost Segment #4 Net Present Cost	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$1,708,316.02	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA) \$4,792,800.22 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA) \$1,631,640.94	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 \$8,213,357.4 7" Whitetopping(20 \$2,930,961.7	8 D YR PCC) 5 D YR PCC) 5	Lengti 11.3 Miles 3.4 Miles 0.0 Miles 0.0 Miles
Segment #1 Net Present Cost Segment #2 Net Present Cost Segment #3 Net Present Cost Segment #4 Net Present Cost Project Net Present Cost	LC Alternate #1 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$4,537,271.48 Med. Bit. Mill & Overlay(15 YR HMA) \$1,708,316.02 \$6,245,587.50	CA SUMMARY Alternate #2 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA) 54,792,800.22 Heavy Bit. Mill & Overlay(20 YR HMA) \$1,631,640.94 \$6,424,441.16	Alternate #3 7" Whitetopping(20 58,213,357.4 7" Whitetopping(20 52,930,961.7 \$11,144,319.	3 0 YR PCC) 5 0 YR PCC) 5 	Length 11.3 Miles 3.4 Miles 0.0 Miles 0.0 Miles

	35-Year Ana	alysis Period		
	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	5902-25	25	Change to:	Change to:
	Highway	Discount Rate	Change to.	Change to.
DELETE LCCA	23	1.02%	50-Year	35-Year
	Date	1.0270		
INPUIS	10/30/2020	District 8	Analysis	Analysis
	Performed By	2020/2021 Driess	Period	Period
	MnDOT	2020/2021 Prices	renou	renou
		CCA SUMMARY		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length
Segment #1	2.5" Mill and Overlay	5" MIII, SFDR, 5" HMA	7" Mill, 7" PC	C 15.1
Net Present Cost	\$5,973,024.20	\$10,683,468.72	\$12,974,114.0	03 Miles
Segment #2	2.5" Mill and Overlay	3" Mill, SFDR, 3" HMA	4" Mill, 4" PC	C 15.6
Net Present Cost	\$6,152,432.27	\$9,318,409.56	\$12,972,020.8	0 Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$12,125,456.48	\$20,001,878.28	\$25,946,134	.83 Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	165.0%	214.0%	30.7

35-Vear Analysis Period							
JJ-Tear Analysis Ferrou							
	Project Number	Analysis Period					
	6111-26	35	Change to:	Chang	re to:		
DELETELOCA	Highway	Discount Rate		0.1.0.10			
DELETE LCCA	TH 114	1.02%	50-Year	35-Y	ear		
INPUTS	Date		Analysis	Anal	veie		
	2/19/2021	District 4	Anarysis	Anai	y 515		
	Performed By	2020/2021 Prices	Period	Peri	iod		
	Nathan Bausman						
Notes.							
	LC	CA SUMMARY					
A A B	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3		Length		
Segment #1	3" Mill & 3" Bituminous Overlay	III, 7" Reclaim & 4.5" Bituminous Ov	3.5" Mill & 5" White	etopping	6.1		
Net Present Cost	\$2,800,064.83	\$3,178,288.64	\$5,844,419.8	5 	Milles		
Segment #2	5 Bituminous Reconstruction	5 Bituminous Reconstruction	6 CONCIELE RECONS	ruction	0.4		
Segment #3	\$465,424.45	\$455,615.55	\$715,421.70		0.0		
Net Present Cost					Miles		
Segment #4					0.0		
Net Present Cost					Miles		
Project Net Present Cost	\$3,289,489.26	\$3,634,104.02	\$6,563,841.	53	Total		
% of Low Cost	100.0%	110.5%	199.5%		6.4		

	25-Voar Ana	lysis Pariod			
	JJ-Teal Alla	IYSIS FEITUU			
	Droject Number	Applyric Daried			
	Project Number	Analysis Period			
	6212-192	35	Change to:	Chang	e to:
DELETELCCA	Highway	Discount Rate	50-Vear	25_V	ar
DELETE LOON	36	0.66%	JU-ICal	55-10	201
INPUTS	Date	District 5	Analysis	Analy	/sis
	6/14/2022	District 5	Deviat		
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Perio	od 🛛
	BN	-			
	LC	CA SUMMARY			
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3		Length
Segment #1	20 Year Concrete Option BOC Segment	Year Bituminous Option BOC Segme	Mill and Overlay BOC	Segment	8.4
Net Present Cost	\$11,800,338.40	\$9,952,054.50	\$5,328,335.20	D	Miles
Segment #2	ear Concrete Option BOB & BAB Segm	r Bituminous Option BOB & BAB Se	lill and Overlay BOB and	I BAB Segmer	3.1
Net Present Cost	\$4,228,439.01	\$3,735,416.45	\$1,871,391.04	4	Miles
Segment #3					0.0
Net Present Cost					Miles
Segment #4					0.0
Net Present Cost					Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$16,028,777.40	\$13,687,470.95	\$7,199,726.2	24	Total
% of Low Cost	222.6%	190.1%	100.0%		11.5

	35-Year Ana	lysis Period		
DELETE LCCA INPUTS	Project Number 6906-19 Highway US 2 Date Performed By	Analysis Period 35 Discount Rate 0.82% District 1 2023/2024 Prices	Change to: 50-Year Analysis Period	Change to: 35-Year Analysis Period
		Version 3 11/13/2023	,	
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	Length
Segment #1	FDR	PCC 20 year Whitetopping	Mill and Ovel	lay 19.9
Net Present Cost	\$17,362,633.49	\$21,312,001.14	\$13,804,381.0	1 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
	1			
Segment #4				0.0
Segment #4 Net Present Cost				0.0 Miles
Segment #4 Net Present Cost Project Net Present Cost	\$17,362,633.49	\$21,312,001.14	\$13,804,381.	0.0 Miles 01 Total

	35-Vear Ana	alveis Pariod		
	JJ-Teal And	arysis i erioù		
	Project Number	Analysis Period		
	8302-48	35	Change to:	Change to:
	Highway	Discount Rate	50 V	25 1/
DELETE LCCA	4	0.66%	50-Year	35-Year
INPUTS	Date	District 1	Analysis	Analysis
	9/14/2021	District 1	7 maryoro	7 analysis
	Performed By	2021/2022 Prices	Period	Period
·	Mike Schoeb	-		
	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Alternate #3	length
Segment #1	Mill and Overlay	Bituminous recostruct	Concrete Recons	struct 12.9
Net Present Cost	\$5,884,496.65	\$13,002,869.24	\$12,998,417.8	32 Miles
Segment #2				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #3				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Segment #4				0.0
Net Present Cost				Miles
Project Net Present Cost	\$5,884,496.65	\$13,002,869.24	\$12,998,417	.82 Total
% of Low Cost	100.0%	221.0%	220.9%	12.9

Appendix C: Copies of LCCA Exceptions

Office Memorandum

TO:	Jon Huseby, P.E. Transportation District Engineer
FROM:	Jacob Miller, E.I.T. Soils Engineer
CONCUR:	Lowell Flaten, P.E. District Materials Engineer
DATE:	September 1, 2023

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR AN EXCEPTION

SP#	4204-40
Highway #	MN 19
Project Limits	RP 33+00.271 to RP 35+00.514
Project Description	Downtown Marshall full reconstruct, pedestrian improvements and bike lane striping. Short mill and overlay section and roundabout construction.

LCCA Results

Alternative	Design Life (years)	Total Present Cost	Requested Selection	% Of Low Cost
HMA Reconstruct	20	\$3,752,962.36	No	100.0%
PCC Reconstruct	20	\$4,720,659.52	No	125.8%
PCC Reconstruct	35	\$4,799,903.98	Yes	127.9%

Reason for Request

Suggesting use of an 8" concrete with 1.25" dowels for this project.

The thicker pavement and dowel bars are expected to last longer under the aggressive snow and ice efforts in an urban environment.

Utilities are being replaced under the new pavement, so there it is less risk of settlement over utility trenches.

There will be fewer maintenance actives needed on a concrete pavement, which would result in fewer traffic interruptions over the pavement service life.

Jon Huseby	Digitally signed by Jon Huseby Date: 2023.10.11 09:22:04 -05'00'
Jon Huseby	Digitally signed by Jon Huseby Date: 2023.10.11 09:22:04 -05'00'

District Engineer

Date

Office Memorandum

- TO: Amy Thorson Acting Pavement Engineer
- FROM: Sara Johnson District 3 Materials Engineer

DATE: October 16, 2024

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR AN EXCEPTION TO SELECTING THE LOW-COST ALTERNATE

SP#	4911-15
Highway #	TH25
Project Limits	R.P. 126+00.072 to R.P. 128+00.439 and R.P. 129+00.425 to R.P. 141+00.245
Project Description	4" Mill and Fill from 0.25 miles north of the Skunk River to the Morrison/Crow Wing County Line, with an exception in the town of Pierz.

LCCA Results

Alternative	Design Life	Total Present Cost	Requested Selection	% of Low Cost
Thick Mill and Fill	18	\$6,418,750	Yes	108.0
Whitetopping	20	\$9,234,959	No	155.3
Cold In-Place Recycle	20	\$5,945,277	No	100.0

Reason for Request

This project was originally slated as a cold in-place recycle (CIR). After a road review with MnDOT Pavement Design Office personnel on 3/3/2020, it was determined that a mill and fill was a more appropriate fix due to subgrade issues throughout the entire project. Coring also verified that the bituminous thickness varied from 5.0" to 14.25" on mainline, and the existing 2' paved shoulders also had varying bituminous thicknesses from 3.5" to 11.75". This variability in the mainline and shoulder thicknesses would not be ideal for a CIR design.

The majority of this pavement had a medium mill and overlay in 2007, and it lasted 16 years without any preventive maintenance, other than a crack fill in 2020, before reaching a Ride Quality less than 2.5. Therefore, it is predicted that a thick mill and fill, with the addition of routine preventive maintenance, will have an equivalent design life, if not longer, before requiring a major rehab.

Mike Ginnaty Date: 2024.10.16 12:23:04

District Engineer

Date

Appendix D: 2024 Legislative Changes to Minn. Stat. 174.185 Effective July 1, 2025

174.185 PAVEMENT LIFE-CYCLE COST ANALYSIS.

Subdivision 1. Definitions.

For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply.

(a) "Life-cycle cost" is the sum of the cost of the initial pavement project and all anticipated costs for maintenance, repair, and resurfacing over the life of the pavement. Anticipated costs must be based on Minnesota's actual or reasonably projected maintenance, repair, and resurfacing schedules, and costs determined by the Department of Transportation district personnel based upon recently awarded local projects and experience with local material costs.

(b) "Life-cycle cost analysis" is a comparison of life-cycle costs among competing paving materials using equal design lives and equal comparison periods.

Subd. 2. Required analysis.

For each project in the reconditioning, resurfacing, and road repair funding categories, the commissioner must perform a life-cycle cost analysis and document the lowest life-cycle costs and all alternatives considered. The commissioner must document the chosen pavement strategy and, if the lowest life cycle is not selected, document the justification for the chosen strategy.

Subd. 2a. Review and collaboration.²

(a) Before finalizing a pavement selection, the commissioner must post a draft of the life-cycle cost analysis and the draft pavement selection on the department's Office of Materials and Road Research website for 21 days. During this period, the commissioner must allow industry association representatives to submit questions and comments. The commissioner must collaborate with the person who submitted the question or comment, where necessary, to ensure the commissioner fully understands the question or comment. The commissioner must respond to each question or comment in writing, which must include a description of any associated changes that will be made to the lifecycle cost analysis.

(b) After the review period under paragraph (a) closes, the commissioner may make revisions, when deemed appropriate, to the life-cycle cost analysis in response to questions or comments received. If the commissioner revises the type of pavement from concrete to asphalt or from asphalt to concrete,

² **NOTE:** Subdivisions 2a and 2b, as added by Laws 2024, chapter 127, article 3, sections 76 and 77, are effective July 1, 2025. Laws 2024, chapter 127, article 3, sections 76 and 77, the effective dates.

the commissioner must post the revised life-cycle cost analysis for review in accordance with the requirements under paragraph (a).

Subd. 2b. Selection.³

(a) After the review period required in subdivision 2a and any subsequent changes to the analysis, the commissioner must select the pavement strategy and prepare a document of justification. At a minimum, the document of justification must:

(1) explain why the pavement strategy was selected;

(2) if the lowest life-cycle cost is not selected, justify why a strategy with a higher life-cycle cost was selected; and

(3) include all questions and comments received during the review period and the commissioner's responses to each.

(b) The commissioner must submit the analysis and document of justification to a licensed professional engineer for review. A life-cycle cost analysis is not considered final until it is certified and signed by a licensed professional engineer as provided by Minnesota Rules, part <u>1800.4200</u>.

(c) For all projects that began construction on or after January 1, 2024, the commissioner must store all life-cycle cost analyses and documents of justification on the department's website in a manner that allows the public to easily access the documents.

(d) After completing the certification and signature requirements in paragraph (b) and the posting requirements in paragraph (c), the commissioner may advance the project to substantial plan development.

(e) For purposes of this subdivision, "substantial plan development" means the point in time during the plan development process after which any further activities would preclude any of the feasible pavement alternatives from being selected or constructed.

Subd. 3. Report.⁴

By January 31 of each year, the commissioner must report to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance on life-cycle cost analyses conducted under this section. At a minimum, the report must include information on the results of the analyses under subdivision 2, the public review under subdivision 2a, and the final selection and document of justification under subdivision 2b.

³ **NOTE:** Subdivisions 2a and 2b, as added by Laws 2024, chapter 127, article 3, sections 76 and 77, are effective July 1, 2025. Laws 2024, chapter 127, article 3, sections 76 and 77, the effective dates.

⁴ **NOTE:** The amendment to subdivision 3 by Laws 2024, chapter 127, article 3, section 78, is effective July 1, 2025. Laws 2024, chapter 127, article 3, section 78, the effective date.