

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Canby Police Department



JULY 10TH, 2024
RAMPART AUDIT LLC

Audit Overview and Recommendations

Dear Canby City Council and Chief Walker:

We have audited the body-worn camera (BWC) program of the Canby Police Department (CPD) for the two-year period ended 1/31/2024. Minnesota Statute §13.825 mandates that any law enforcement agency operating a portable recording system (PRS)¹ program obtain an independent, biennial audit of its program. This program and its associated data are the responsibility of the Canby Police Department. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the operations of this program based on our audit.

On April 15, 2024, Rampart Audit LLC (Rampart) met with Chief Josh Walker, who provided information about CPD's BWC program policies, procedures and operations. As part of the audit, Rampart reviewed those policies, procedures and operations for compliance with Minnesota Statute §626.8473, which sets forth the requirements for creating and implementing a BWC program, and Minnesota Statute §13.825, which governs the operation of BWC programs. In addition, Rampart also conducted a sampling of BWC data to verify CPD's recordkeeping.

The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of this audit, and to provide recommendations to improve the CPD BWC program and enhance compliance with statutory requirements.

CPD BWC Program Implementation and Authorization

Effective August 1, 2016, Minnesota Statute §626.8473 Subd. 2 requires that:

A local law enforcement agency must provide an opportunity for public comment before it purchases or implements a portable recording system. At a minimum, the agency must accept public comments submitted electronically or by mail, and the governing body with jurisdiction over the budget of the law enforcement agency must provide an opportunity for public comment at a regularly-scheduled meeting.

Chief Walker provided documentation showing these requirements had been met prior to the implementation of CPD's BWC program. Specifically, Chief Walker provided the following:

- 1. A clipping of the notice from the *Canby News* announcing CPD's proposed BWC program, and providing an internet link to the proposed BWC policy, as well as locations where physical copies of the policy were available for review. The notice also included instructions for submitting written comments via mail or email in advance of a public hearing to be held on June 1, 2021.
- 2. A copy of the invoice for the notice from the *Canby News*.

¹ It should be noted that Minnesota statute uses the broader term "portable recording system" (PRS), which includes body-worn cameras. Because body-worn cameras are the only type of portable recording system employed by CPD, these terms may be used interchangeably in this report.

- 3. A copy of an affidavit of publication signed by the publisher of the *Canby News* and documenting that the notice appeared in the May 11, 2021, edition.
- 4. Minutes of the June 1, 2021, Canby City Council meeting, which document that a public hearing was opened during the meeting for the purpose of receiving comments from the public regarding the proposed BWC program. After no comments were received, the public hearing was closed and the City Council voted to approve the proposed BWC policy. The City Council then reviewed two options for camera systems presented by then-Chief Eric Diekmann before voting to proceed with the proposal from Axon.

Copies of these documents have been retained in Rampart's audit files. In our opinion, Canby Police Department met the public notice and comment requirements prior to the implementation of their BWC program on or about July 1, 2021.²

In addition, §626.8473 Subd. 3(a) requires that the law enforcement agency establish and enforce a written policy governing the use of its portable recording system, and states "[t]he written policy must be posted on the agency's Web site, if the agency has a Web site."

Chief Walker advised us that CPD does not have its own website; however, a printed copy of the current BWC policy is posted publicly outside of the Canby Police Department. In addition, copies of both the BWC policy and the retention schedule are located in a policy binder that is available for public review. While CPD does not have its own website or dedicated page on the City of Canby's website, Rampart located a working link to a previous version of CPD's BWC policy on the homepage of the city's website. While it is our opinion that CPD is not required to post its BWC policy online as it does not have its own website, or dedicated page on the City of Canby website, as addressed in §626.8473 Subd. 3(a), we recommend that CPD replace the outdated BWC policy linked to the City of Canby website with the current BWC policy.

CPD BWC WRITTEN POLICY

As part of this audit, we reviewed CPD's BWC policy, a copy of which is attached to this report as Appendix A.

Minnesota Statute §626.8473 Subd. 3(b) requires a written BWC policy to incorporate the following, at a minimum:

- The requirements of section 13.825 and other data classifications, access procedures, retention
 policies, and data safeguards that, at a minimum, meet the requirements of chapter 13 and other
 applicable law;
- 2) A prohibition on altering, erasing or destroying any recording made with a peace officer's portable recording system or data and metadata related to the recording prior the expiration of the applicable retention period under section 13.825 Subdivision 3, except that the full, unedited, and unredacted recording of a peace officer using deadly force must be maintained indefinitely;

² Chief Walker advised us that Canby PD's BWC program was implemented prior to his employment with the agency. From the limited records available, he determined the implementation most likely occurred on or about 7/1/2021.

- A mandate that a portable recording system be worn at or above the mid-line of the waist in a
 position that maximizes the recording system's capacity to record video footage of the officer's
 activities;
- 4) A mandate that officers assigned a portable recording system wear and operate the system in compliance with the agency's policy adopted under this section while performing law enforcement activities under the command and control of another chief law enforcement officer or federal law enforcement official;
- 5) A mandate that, notwithstanding any law to the contrary, when an individual dies as a result of a use of force by a peace officer, an involved officer's law enforcement agency must allow the deceased individual's next of kin, the legal representative of the deceased individual's next of kin, and the other parent of the deceased individual's child, upon their request, to inspect all portable recording system data, redacted no more than what is required by law, documenting the incident within five days of the request, with the following exception:
 - a) A law enforcement agency may deny a request if the agency determines that there is a compelling reason that inspection would interfere with an active investigation. If the agency denies access, the chief law enforcement officer must provide a prompt, written denial to the individual who requested the data with a short description of the compelling reason access was denied and must provide notice that relief may be sought from the district court pursuant to section 13.82 subdivision 7;
- 6) A mandate that, when an individual dies as a result of a use of force by a peace officer, an involved officer's law enforcement agency shall release all portable recording system data, redacted no more than required by law, documenting the incident no later than 14 days after the incident, unless the chief law enforcement officer asserts in writing that the public classification would interfere with an ongoing investigation, in which case the data remain classified by section 13.82 subdivision 7;
- 7) Procedures for testing the portable recording system to ensure adequate functioning;
- 8) Procedures to address a system malfunction or failure, including requirements for documentation by the officer using the system at the time of a malfunction or failure;
- 9) Circumstances where recording is mandatory, prohibited, or at the discretion of the officer using the system;
- 10) Circumstances under which a data subject must be given notice of a recording;
- 11) Circumstances under which a recording may be ended while an investigation, response, or incident is ongoing;
- 12) Procedures for the secure storage of portable recording system data and the creation of backup copies of the data; and
- 13) Procedures to ensure compliance and address violations of the policy, which must include, at a minimum, supervisory or internal audits and reviews, and the employee discipline standards for unauthorized access to data contained in section 13.09.

In our opinion, the CPD BWC policy is compliant with respect to clauses 7 - 11.

Due to their complexity and interrelatedness, clauses 1 and 12 are discussed separately below. Clause 13 is also discussed separately.

Clauses 2 – 6 are newly added as a result of 2023 legislation and will also be discussed separately below.

CPD BWC Data Retention

Canby Police Department's BWC policy states that: "[a]II recordings shall be retained for a period consistent with the requirements of the organization's records retention schedule but in no event for a period less than 90 days."

Minn. Stat. §13.825 Subd. 3(b) requires that the following categories of BWC data be retained for a minimum period of one year:

- 1) any reportable firearms discharge;
- 2) any use of force by an officer that results in substantial bodily harm; and
- 3) any incident that results in a formal complaint against an officer.

A review of CPD's BWC policy and the associated records retention schedule, a copy of which is attached to this report as Appendix C, prescribes six-year retention periods for the following BWC data classifications:

- 1. Evidence-force, defined as an event involving "the application of force by a Law Enforcement Officer of this or another agency."
- Evidence-administrative, defined as an "incident [involving] an adversarial encounter or [resulting] in a complaint against the officer." However, this retention period is reduced to 365 days if no complaint is made against the officer.

While these categories address items (2) and (3) above, we note that it is possible for a firearm discharge to be "reportable" under Minn. Stat. §626.553 Subd. 2 without necessarily constituting a use of force, such as a malfunction or accidental discharge. We recommend adding language to ensure all reportable firearms discharges are retained for a minimum of one year.

Minn. Stat. §13.825 Subd. 3(c) requires that any portable recording system data documenting a peace officer's use of deadly force must be maintained indefinitely.

While CPD's BWC policy contains a "Homicides" data classification that specifies permanent retention if "the information has evidentiary value and is involved in apparent or suspected cases involving suspicious deaths or known homicides," and the use of deadly force by an officer would presumably be classified as a homicide, we recommend that CPD either explicitly include deadly force incidents within this retention category or create a separate, officer-specific category with a permanent (or indefinite) retention period.

Minn. Stat. §13.825 Subd. 3(d) requires that any portable recording system data be retained for an additional period of up to 180 days when so requested in writing by a data subject. CPD's policy describes such additional retention as mandatory and notes that the BWC coordinator is responsible for notifying the data subject prior to the destruction of the recording. We recommend adding language to specify that the additional retention period shall be up to 180 days.

Prior to the submission of this report, Canby Police Department submitted a revised BWC policy with an updated retention schedule. A copy of the revised policy is attached to this report as Appendix B. The updated retention schedule adds a new "Deadly Force" category with a retention period of "Indefinite/Permanent" and a new "Reportable Firearms Discharge" category with a retention period of

one year. In addition, the Retention of Recordings section was revised to include the 180-day additional retention period described in Minn. Stat. §13.825 Subd. 3(d).

As noted above, CPD's BWC policy states that: "[a]Il recordings shall be retained for a period consistent with the requirements of the organization's records retention schedule but in no event for a period less than 90 days;" however, it does not comprehensively address the requirements of Clause 2 of the Policy section, which also includes prohibitions on altering or destroying BWC data or metadata prior to the expiration of the corresponding retention period. Although administrator access to CPD's Evidence.com account is required in order to delete or otherwise alter BWC data, we recommend that CPD add language to prohibit erasing, altering or destroying BWC data or metadata prior to the expiration of the corresponding retention period as such a prohibition is specifically required by statute.

Prior to submission of this report, CPD submitted a revised BWC policy with language addressing these concerns. Specifically, the Retention of Recordings section of the policy now states: "It shall be a violation of this policy for members of the Canby Police Department to alter or destroy BWC video prior to its expiration period as outlined in the retention schedule," while the Accountability section of the policy now states: "Any member who alters or destroys BWC video prior to its expiration period outlined in the retention schedule may be subject to discipline and criminal consequences."

CPD employs Axon Body 3 (AB3) body-worn cameras and utilizes Axon's Cloud Service storage (Evidence.com) and manages BWC data retention through automated retention settings in Axon's video management software. The retention period for each video is determined by the data classification assigned at the time of upload; however, this retention period can be adjusted as needed. If an officer fails to assign a data classification, the default retention period is indefinite to avoid the accidental loss of data.

CPD's BWC policy states that "members should download, tag, or mark the recordings in accordance with procedure and document the existence of the recording in any related case report." Though not specified in the written policy, Chief Walker advised us that each officer is responsible for transferring or assuring the proper transfer of the data from his/her BWC by the end of their shift.

Chief Walker advised us that the Axon body-worn cameras utilize a physical docking station located at the Canby Police Department.

In our opinion, CPD's revised written BWC policy is compliant with respect to applicable data retention requirements.

CPD BWC Data Destruction

As discussed above, CPD utilizes Axon's Evidence.com for storage, with retention periods determined based on the classification assigned to BWC data. Axon certifies that its Cloud Service is compliant with the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Criminal Justice Information System Security Division Policy as required by Minnesota Statute §13.825 Subd. 11(b). Data destruction is achieved through automated deletion and overwriting, with storage devices sanitized (overwritten three or more times or degaussed) or physically destroyed upon being removed from service.

FBI CJIS policy requires that hard drives used for CJIS data storage are sanitized by overwriting at least three times or degaussing prior to being released to unauthorized individuals, while inoperable drives must be destroyed through physical means such as shredding.

In our opinion, CPD's written BWC policy is compliant with respect to the applicable data destruction requirements.

CPD BWC Data Access

Chief Walker advised us that that all requests from the public or media are made in writing using Canby Police Department's BWC data disclosure form, which is submitted to him. Requests from other law enforcement agencies follow the same process. CPD's BWC policy states that BWC data may be reviewed "in compliance with the Minnesota Data Practices Act request, if permitted or required by the Act, including pursuant to Minn. Stat. §13.82, Subd. 15, and in accordance with the Records Maintenance and Release Policy." BWC video is shared via Evidence.com internet link, subject to any required redaction. Chief Walker indicated to us that CPD's data disclosure form contains a written acknowledgment of the receiving agency's obligations under §13.825 Subd. 7 and Subd. 8, which include a requirement to maintain BWC data security. CPD maintains a copy of each such form it receives.

As discussed in Clauses 5 and 6 of the Policy section of this report, the Minnesota State Legislature in 2023 added specific access requirements related to BWC data that document deadly force incidents, and specified that these requirements must be included in the agency's BWC policy. At the time of our audit, CPD had not revised its BWC policy to address these requirements.

Prior to submission of this report, CPD submitted a revised BWC policy with a new section entitled, "Death Resulting from Force – Release of Public Data." This new section contains the text of 13.825 Subd. 2(5)(b) - (d), which establishes the access requirements described in the preceding paragraph.

CPD's BWC policy does not specifically address the sharing of BWC data with other law enforcement agencies, though it notes that BWC data may be reviewed "[p]ursuant to lawful process or by court personnel who are otherwise authorized to review evidence in a related case." Chief Walker advised us that any associated BWC data are shared with the prosecutor as part of the case submission process.

Prior to submission of this report, CPD submitted a revised BWC policy that added the following language:

BWC data may be shared with other law enforcement agencies only for legitimate law enforcement purposes that are documented in writing at the time of the disclosure. All written requests shall be made through the requestor's government email.

BWC data shall be made available to prosecutors, courts, and other criminal justice entities as provided by law. All written requests shall be made through the requestor's government email.

In our opinion, CPD's revised BWC policy is compliant with respect to the applicable data access requirements.

CPD BWC Data Classification

CPD's BWC Policy states that "[e]xcept as provided by Minn. Stat. §13.825, Subd. 2, audio/video recordings are considered private or nonpublic data," and further states that "BWC recordings are classified as private data about the data subjects unless there is a specific law that provides differently."

As noted in the preceding section, prior to the issuance of this report, CPD submitted a revised BWC policy that addresses the changes the Minnesota State Legislature made in 2023 regarding BWC data documenting incidents involving the use of deadly force. In our opinion, this revised policy is compliant with respect to the applicable data classification requirements.

CPD BWC Internal Compliance Verification

The CPD BWC Compliance section states that recorded files may be reviewed "[b]y a supervisor as part of internal audits and reviews as required by Minn. Stat. §626.8473." Chief Walker advised us that he is the only officer currently employed by Canby Police Department, so he reviews his videos as part of his case file preparation. All access to BWC data is documented automatically, with reviews logged in the Axon Evidence software as "review/audit." Chief Walker is able to monitor access, and also adds a separate note documenting his reason for accessing each recording.

Prior to the submission of this report, CPD submitted a revised BWC policy that strengthens the internal compliance verification procedures by adding the following requirements to the Compliance section of the policy:

The CLEO [chief law enforcement officer] or designee will review BWC recordings of each recording device/officer randomly on a weekly basis. The [CLEO] or designee will randomly audit the history of the BWC files to ensure that no unauthorized downloading or viewing took place. In the event of a violation, the violator will be disciplined in accordance with department policy.

CPD's BWC policy states that:

Prior to going into service, each uniformed member will be responsible for making sure that he/she is equipped with a portable recorder issued by the Department, and that the recorder is in good working order... If the recorder is not in working order or the member becomes aware of a malfunction at any time, the member shall promptly report the failure to his/her supervisor and obtain a functioning device as soon as practicable. Uniformed members shall wear the recorder in a conspicuous manner or otherwise notify persons that they are being recorded, whenever reasonably practicable.

As noted in Clause 3 of the Policy section of this report, the 2023 legislative updates require that a BWC policy specify that the device be worn at or above the mid-line of the waist. Prior to submission of this report, CPD submitted a revised BWC policy that amends the above passage to read, in part, "...Uniformed members shall wear the recorder in a conspicuous manner located at or above the mid-line of the waist..."

The Prohibited Use of Audio/Video Recorders section of the policy states that:

Members are expressly prohibited from using personally owned recording devices while on duty without the express consent of the Chief of Police or designee. Any member who uses a personally owned recorder for department-related activities shall comply with the provisions of this policy, including retention and release requirements and should notify the on-duty supervisor of such use as soon as reasonably practicable.

Minn. Stat. §13.825 Subd. 6 states that "[w]hile on duty, a peace officer may only use a portable recording system issued and maintained by the officer's agency in documenting the officer's activities."

Prior to the submission of this report, CPD submitted a revised BWC policy that amends the passage quoted above to read: "Members are prohibited from using personally owned recording devices while on duty." The update removes the language allowing the chief or designee to authorize the use of personally-owned recording devices.

As discussed in Clause 4 of the Policy section of this report, the 2023 legislative changes require that an agency's BWC policy must specify that an officer assigned a BWC must wear and operate the system in compliance with the agency's BWC policy while performing law enforcement activities under the command and control of another chief law enforcement officer or federal law enforcement official. CPD's BWC policy does not contain this required provision.

Prior to the issuance of this report, CPD submitted a revised version of their BWC policy adding the language described in the preceding paragraph.

The Accountability section of CPD's written BWC policy addresses disciplinary consequences associated with the inappropriate access to or release of BWC recordings, while the revised policy addresses unauthorized alteration, destruction, downloading or viewing of BWC data. We recommend that CPD revise this language to clarify that any violation of the policy may result in disciplinary action as well as potential criminal penalties.

In our opinion, CPD's revised policy is compliant with respect to the compliance and disciplinary requirements contained in §626.8473 Subd. 3(b)(8).

CPD BWC Program and Inventory

CPD currently possesses three (3) Axon Body 3 body-worn cameras.

The CPD BWC policy identifies those circumstances in which officers are expected to activate their bodyworn cameras, as well as circumstances in which they are prohibited from activating their body-worn cameras. The policy also provides guidance for those circumstances in which BWC activation is deemed discretionary.

Chief Walker advised us that he is able to determine the number of BWCs deployed by reviewing the schedule and/or payroll data, and can also review Evidence.com entries showing when a BWC was signed out by an officer.

As of the audit date, April 15, 2024, CPD maintained 338 BWC recordings.

CPD BWC Physical, Technological and Procedural Safeguards

CPD BWC data are initially recorded to a hard drive in each officer's BWC. Data from each BWC is then uploaded to Axon's Evidence.com Cloud Service via a physical docking station located at the Canby Police Department. In the event an officer also fails to label a video, the default retention period is permanent to avoid the accidental loss of data.

Officers have view-only access to their own data for report writing, trial preparation, data administration, investigatory purposes and other legitimate law enforcement purposes, as well as the ability to add or edit case numbers and titles. All BWC data access is logged automatically and available for audit purposes.

BWC data is only destroyed via an automated process upon the expiration of the retention period defined for the specific data classification in Evidence.com.

Enhanced Surveillance Technology

CPD currently employs BWCs with only standard audio/video recording capabilities. While Chief Walker advised us that CPD intends to upgrade from Axon Body 3 to Axon Body 4 BWCs in the coming months, CPD has no plans at this time to add enhanced BWC surveillance capabilities, such as thermal or night vision, or to otherwise expand the type or scope of their BWC technology.

If CPD should obtain such enhanced technology in the future, Minnesota Statute §13.825 Subd. 10 requires notice to the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension within 10 days. This notice must include a description of the technology and its surveillance capability and intended uses.

Data Sampling

Rampart selected a random sample of 132 calls for service (CFS) from which to review any available BWC recordings. It should be noted that not every call will result in an officer activating his or her BWC. For example, an officer who responds to a driving complaint but is unable to locate the suspect vehicle would be unlikely to activate his or her BWC. It should also be noted that because the audit covers a period of two years, while most BWC data is only required to be retained for 90 days, there is a significant likelihood that the sample population will include calls for which BWC data was created, but which has since been deleted due to the expiration of the retention period. The auditor reviewed the retained BWC videos to verify that this data was accurately documented in CPD records.

Audit Conclusions

In our opinion, the Canby Police Department's Body-Worn Camera Program is substantially compliant with Minnesota Statutes §13.825 and §626.8473.

Rampart Audit LLC

Daniel E. Gazelka II OS

7/10/2024

APPENDIX A:

Portable Audio/Video Recorders:

PURPOSE AND SCOPE:

This policy provides guidelines for the use of portable audio/video recording devices by members of this department while in the performance of their duties (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473). Portable audio/video recording devices include all recording systems whether body-worn, hand-held, or integrated into portable equipment.

This policy does not apply to mobile audio/video recordings, interviews, or interrogations conducted at any Canby Police Department facility, undercover operations, wiretaps, or eavesdropping (concealed listening devices).

DEFINITIONS:

Definitions related to this policy include:

Portable recording system - A device worn by a member that is capable of both video and audio recording of the member's activities and interactions with others or collecting digital multimedia evidence as part of an investigation and as provided in Minn. Stat. § 13.825.

POLICY:

The Canby Police Department provides members with access to portable recorders for use during the performance of their duties. The use of recorders is intended to enhance the mission of the Department by accurately capturing contacts between members of the Department and the public.

COORDINATOR:

The Chief of Police or the authorized designee should designate a coordinator responsible for (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473; Minn. Stat. § 13.825):

- Establishing procedures for the security, storage and maintenance of data and recordings.
 - 1. The coordinator should work with the Chief of Police and the member assigned to coordinate the use, access and release of protected information to ensure that procedures comply with requirements of the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act (MGDPA) and other applicable laws (Minn. Stat. § 13.01 et seq.) (See the Protected Information and the Records Maintenance and Release policies).

- Establishing procedures for accessing data and recordings.
 - 1. These procedures should include the process to obtain written authorization for access to non-public data by FPD members and members of other governmental entities and agencies.
- Establishing procedures for logging or auditing access.
- Establishing procedures for transferring, downloading, tagging, or marking events.
- Establishing an inventory of portable recorders including:
 - 1. The ability to provide the total number of devices owned or maintained by the Canby Police Department.
 - 2. The ability to determine the total amount of recorded audio and video data collected by the devices maintained by the Canby Police Department.
- Preparing the biennial audit required by Minn. Stat. § 13.825, Subd. 9.
- Notifying the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension (BCA) in a timely manner when new equipment is obtained by the Canby Police Department that expands the type or scope of surveillance capabilities of the department's portable recorders.
- Ensuring that this portable Audio/Video Recorders Policy is posted on the Department website.

MEMBER PRIVACY EXPECTATION:

All recordings made by members on any department-issued device at any time or while acting in an official capacity of this department, regardless of ownership of the device, shall remain the property of the Department. Members shall have no expectation of privacy or ownership interest in the content of these recordings.

MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES:

Prior to going into service, each uniformed member will be responsible for making sure that he/ she is equipped with a portable recorder issued by the Department, and that the recorder is in good working order (Minn. Stat. § 13.825). If the recorder is not in working order or the member becomes aware of a malfunction at any time, the member shall promptly report the failure to his/her supervisor and obtain a functioning device as soon as reasonably practicable. Uniformed members should wear the recorder in a conspicuous manner or otherwise notify persons that they are being recorded, whenever reasonably practicable (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473).

Any member assigned to a non-uniformed position may carry an approved portable recorder at any time the member believes that such a device may be useful. Unless conducting a lawful recording in an authorized undercover capacity, non-uniformed members should wear the recorder in a conspicuous manner when in use or otherwise notify persons that they are being recorded, whenever reasonably practicable.

When using a portable recorder, the assigned member shall record his/her name, employee number and the current date and time at the beginning and the end of the shift or other period of use, regardless of whether any activity was recorded. This procedure is not required when the recording device and related software captures the user's unique identification and the date and time of each recording.

Members should document the existence of a recording in any report or other official record of the contact, including any instance where the recorder malfunctioned, or the member deactivated the recording (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473). Members should include the reason for deactivation.

ACTIVATION OF THE AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDER:

This policy is not intended to describe every possible situation in which the recorder should be used, although there are many situations where its use is appropriate. Members should activate

the recorder any time the member believes it would be appropriate or valuable to record an incident.

The recorder should be activated in any of the following situations:

- All enforcement and investigative contacts including stops and field interview (FI) situations.
- Traffic stops including, but not limited to, traffic violations, stranded motorist assistance and all crime interdiction stops.
- Self-initiated activity in which a member would normally notify Dispatch.
- Any other contact that becomes adversarial after the initial contact in a situation that would not otherwise require recording.

Members should remain sensitive to the dignity of all individuals being recorded and exercise sound discretion to respect privacy by discontinuing recording whenever it reasonably appears to the member that such privacy may outweigh any legitimate law enforcement interest in recording. Requests by members of the public to stop recording should be considered using this same criterion. Recording should resume when privacy is no longer at issue unless the circumstances no longer fit the criteria for recording.

At no time is a member expected to jeopardize his/her safety in order to activate a portable recorder or change the recording media. However, the recorder should be activated in situations described above as soon as reasonably practicable.

CESSATION OF RECORDING:

Once activated, the portable recorder should remain on continuously until the member reasonably believes that his/her direct participation in the incident is complete, or the situation no longer fits the criteria for activation. Recording may be stopped during significant periods of inactivity such as report writing or other breaks from direct participation in the incident. Recordings may be stopped during periods where officer is engaged in tactical planning or communication with other officers.

SURREPTITIOUS RECORDINGS:

Minnesota law permits an individual to surreptitiously record any conversation in which one party to the conversation has given his/her permission (Minn. Stat. § 626A.02).

Members of the Department may surreptitiously record any conversation during the

course of a criminal investigation in which the member reasonably believes that such a recording will be lawful and beneficial to the investigation.

Members shall not surreptitiously record another department member without a court order unless lawfully authorized by the Chief of Police or the authorized designee.

EXPLOSIVE DEVICE:

Many portable recorders, including body-worn cameras and audio/video transmitters, emit radio waves that could trigger an explosive device. Therefore, these devices should not be used where an explosive device may be present.

PROHIBITED USE OF AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDERS:

Members are prohibited from using department-issued portable recorders and recording media for personal use and are prohibited from making personal copies of recordings created while on duty or while acting in their official capacity.

Members are also prohibited from retaining recordings of activities or information obtained while on duty. Members shall not duplicate or distribute such recordings, except for authorized legitimate department business purposes. All such recordings shall be retained at the Department.

Members are prohibited from using personally owned recording devices while on duty without the express consent of the Chief of Police or designee. Any member who uses a personally owned recorder for department-related activities shall comply with the provisions of this policy, including retention and release requirements and should notify the on-duty supervisor of such use as soon as reasonably practicable.

Recordings shall not be used by any member for the purpose of embarrassment, harassment, or ridicule.

RETENTION OF RECORDINGS:

All recordings shall be retained for a period consistent with the requirements of the organization's records retention schedule but in no event for a period less than 90 days.

If an individual captured in a recording submits a written request, the recording shall be retained for an additional time period. The coordinator should be responsible for notifying the individual prior to destruction of the recording (Minn. Stat. § 13.825).

RELEASE OF AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDINGS:

Requests for the release of audio/video recordings shall be processed in accordance with the Records Maintenance and Release Policy.

ACCESS TO RECORDINGS:

Except as provided by Minn. Stat. § 13.825, Subd. 2, audio/video recordings are considered private or nonpublic data.

Any person captured in a recording may have access to the recording. If the individual requests a copy of the recording and does not have the consent of other non-law

enforcement individuals captured on the recording, the identity of those individuals must be blurred or obscured sufficiently to render the subject unidentifiable prior to release. The identity of on-duty peace officers may not be obscured unless their identity is protected under Minn. Stat. § 13.82, Subd.

IDENTIFICATION AND PRESERVATION OF RECORDINGS:

To assist with identifying and preserving data and recordings, members should download, tag, or mark the recordings in accordance with procedure and document the existence of the recording in any related case report.

A member should transfer, tag or mark recordings when the member reasonably believes:

- The recording contains evidence relevant to potential criminal, civil or administrative matters.
- A complainant, victim or witness has requested non-disclosure.
- A complainant, victim or witness has not requested non-disclosure but the
 disclosure of the recording may endanger the person. (d) Disclosure may be an
 unreasonable violation of someone's privacy.
- Medical or mental health information is contained.
- Disclosure may compromise an undercover officer or confidential informant.
- The recording or portions of the recording may be protected under the Minnesota Data Practices Act.

Any time a member reasonably believes a recorded contact may be beneficial in a noncriminal matter (e.g., a hostile contact), the member should promptly notify a supervisor of the existence of the recording.

REVIEW OF RECORDED MEDIA FILES:

When preparing written reports, members should review their recordings as a resource (see the Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths Policy for guidance in those cases). However, members shall not retain personal copies of recordings. Members should not use the fact that a recording was made as a reason to write a less detailed report.

Supervisors are authorized to review relevant recordings any time they are investigating alleged misconduct or reports of meritorious conduct or whenever such recordings would be beneficial in reviewing the member's performance.

Recorded files may also be reviewed:

- By a supervisor as part of internal audits and reviews as required by Minn. Stat. § 626.8473.
- Upon approval by a supervisor, by any member of the Department who is participating in an official investigation, such as a personnel complaint, administrative investigation, or criminal investigation.
- Pursuant to lawful process or by court personnel who are otherwise authorized to review evidence in a related case.
- By media personnel with permission of the Chief of Police or the authorized

designee.

 In compliance with the Minnesota Data Practices Act request, if permitted or required by the Act, including pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 13.82, Subd. 15, and in accordance with the Records Maintenance and Release Policy.

All recordings should be reviewed by the Chief of Police of their designee prior to public release (see the Records Maintenance and Release Policy). Recordings that are clearly offensive to common sensibilities should not be publicly released unless disclosure is required by law or order of the court (Minn. Stat. § 13.82, Subd. 7; Minn. Stat. § 13.825, Subd. 2).

ACCOUNTABILITY:

Any member who accesses or releases recordings without authorization may be subject to discipline (See the Standards of Conduct and the Protected Information policies) (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473)

APPENDIX B:

Canby Police Department Policy Manual 119 Portable Audio/Video Recorders: PURPOSE AND SCOPE: This policy provides guidelines for the use of portable audio/video recording devices by members of this department while in the performance of their duties (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473). Portable audio/video recording devices include all recording systems whether body-worn, hand-held, or integrated into portable equipment. This policy does not apply to mobile audio/video recordings, interviews, or interrogations conducted at any Canby Police Department facility, undercover operations, wiretaps, or eavesdropping (concealed listening devices). DEFINITIONS: Definitions related to this policy include: Portable recording system - A device worn by a member that is capable of both video and audio recording of the member's activities and interactions with others or collecting digital multimedia evidence as part of an investigation and as provided in Minn. Stat. § 13.825. BWC - Body worn camera. POLICY: The Canby Police Department provides members with access to portable recorders for use during the performance of their duties. The use of recorders is intended to enhance the mission of the Department by accurately capturing contacts between members of the Department and the public. COORDINATOR: The Chief of Police or the authorized designee should designate a coordinator responsible for (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473; Minn. Stat. § 13.825): • Establishing procedures for the security, storage and maintenance of data and recordings. 1. The coordinator should work with the Chief of Police and the member assigned to coordinate the use, access and release of protected information to ensure that procedures comply with requirements of the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act (MGDPA) and other applicable laws (Minn. Stat. § 13.01 et seq.) (See the Protected Information and the Records Maintenance and Release policies). Canby Police Department Policy Manual 120 2. The coordinator should work with the Chief of Police to identify recordings that must be retained for a specific time frame under Minnesota Law (e.g., firearm discharges, certain use of force incidents, formal complaints). • Establishing procedures for accessing data and recordings. 1. These procedures should include the process to obtain written authorization for access to non-public data by CPD members and

members of other governmental entities and agencies. • Establishing procedures for logging or auditing access. • Establishing procedures for transferring, downloading, tagging, or marking events. • Establishing an inventory of portable recorders including: 1. The ability to provide the total number of devices owned or maintained by the Canby Police Department. 2. The ability to determine the total amount of recorded audio and video data collected by the devices maintained by the Canby Police Department. 3. Total amount of recorded audio and video data collected by the devices and maintained by the Canby Police Department • Preparing the biennial audit required by Minn. Stat. § 13.825, Subd. 9. • Notifying the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension (BCA) in a timely manner when new equipment is obtained by the Canby Police Department that expands the type or scope of surveillance capabilities of the department's portable recorders. • Ensuring that this portable Audio/Video Recorders Policy is posted on the Department website. MEMBER PRIVACY EXPECTATION: All recordings made by members on any department-issued device at any time or while acting in an official capacity of this department, regardless of ownership of the device, shall remain the property of the Department. Members shall have no expectation of privacy or ownership interest in the content of these recordings. MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES: All officers assigned a portable recording system shall wear and operate the system in compliance with the Canby Police Department's portable audio/video recorders policy, while performing law enforcement activities under the command and control of another chief law enforcement officer or federal law enforcement official. Prior to going into service, each uniformed member will be responsible for making sure that he/ she is equipped with a portable recorder issued by the Department, and that the recorder is in good working order (Minn. Stat. § 13.825). If the recorder is not in working order or the member becomes aware of a malfunction at any time, the member shall Canby Police Department Policy Manual 121 promptly report the failure to his/her supervisor and obtain a functioning device as soon as reasonably practicable. Uniformed members should wear the recorder in a conspicuous manner located at or above the mid-line of the waist or otherwise notify persons that they are being recorded, whenever reasonably practicable (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473). Any member assigned to a non-uniformed position may carry an approved portable recorder at any time the member believes that such a device may be useful. Unless conducting a lawful recording in an authorized undercover capacity, nonuniformed members should wear the recorder in a conspicuous manner located at or above the midline of the waist when in use or otherwise notify persons that they are being recorded, whenever reasonably practicable. When using a portable recorder, the assigned member shall record his/her name, employee number and the current date and time at the beginning and the end of the shift or other period of use, regardless of whether any activity was recorded. This procedure is not required when the recording device and related software captures the user's unique identification and the date and time of each recording. Members should document the existence of a recording in any report or other official record of the contact, including any instance where the recorder malfunctioned, or the member deactivated the recording (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473). Members should include the reason for deactivation. ACTIVATION OF THE AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDER: This policy is not intended to describe every possible situation in which the recorder should be used, although there are many situations where its use is appropriate. Members should activate the recorder any time the member believes it would be appropriate or valuable to record an incident. The recorder should be activated in any of the following situations: • All enforcement and investigative contacts including stops and field interview (FI) situations. • Traffic stops including, but not limited to, traffic violations, stranded motorist assistance and all crime interdiction stops. • Self-initiated activity in which a member would normally notify Dispatch. • Any other contact that becomes adversarial after the initial contact in a situation that would

not otherwise require recording. Members should remain sensitive to the dignity of all individuals being recorded and exercise sound discretion to respect privacy by discontinuing recording whenever it reasonably appears to the member that such privacy may outweigh any legitimate law enforcement interest in recording. Requests by members of the public to stop recording Canby Police Department Policy Manual 122 should be considered using this same criterion. Recording should resume when privacy is no longer an issue unless the circumstances no longer fit the criteria for recording. At no time is a member expected to jeopardize his/her safety in order to activate a portable recorder or change the recording media. However, the recorder should be activated in situations described above as soon as reasonably practicable. CESSATION OF RECORDING: Once activated, the portable recorder should remain on continuously until the member reasonably believes that his/her direct participation in the incident is complete, or the situation no longer fits the criteria for activation. Recording may be stopped during significant periods of inactivity such as report writing or other breaks from direct participation in the incident. Recordings may be stopped during periods where the officer is engaged in tactical planning or communication with other officers. SURREPTITIOUS RECORDINGS: Minnesota law permits an individual to surreptitiously record any conversation in which one party to the conversation has given his/her permission (Minn. Stat. § 626A.02). Members of the Department may surreptitiously record any conversation during the course of a criminal investigation in which the member reasonably believes that such a recording will be lawful and beneficial to the investigation. Members shall not surreptitiously record another department member without a court order unless lawfully authorized by the Chief of Police or the authorized designee. EXPLOSIVE DEVICE: Many portable recorders, including body-worn cameras and audio/video transmitters, emit radio waves that could trigger an explosive device. Therefore, these devices should not be used where an explosive device may be present. PROHIBITED USE OF AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDERS: Members are prohibited from using department-issued portable recorders and recording media for personal use and are prohibited from making personal copies of recordings created while on duty or while acting in their official capacity. Members are also prohibited from retaining recordings of activities or information obtained while on duty. Members shall not duplicate or distribute such recordings, except for authorized legitimate department business purposes. All such recordings shall be retained at the Department. Members are prohibited from using personally owned recording devices while on duty. Recordings shall not be used by any member for the purpose of embarrassment, harassment, or ridicule. Canby Police Department Policy Manual 123 RETENTION OF RECORDINGS: See attached retention schedule All recordings shall be retained for a period consistent with the requirements of the organization's records retention schedule but in no event for a period less than 90 days. It shall be a violation of this policy for members of the Canby Police Department to alter or destroy BWC video prior to its expiration period as outlined in the retention schedule. If an individual captured in a recording submits a written request, the recording shall be retained for an additional time period of no less than 180 days. The coordinator should be responsible for notifying the individual prior to destruction of the recording (Minn. Stat. § 13.825). RELEASE OF AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDINGS: Requests for the release of audio/video recordings shall be processed in accordance with the access to recordings section of this policy. ACCESS TO RECORDINGS: Except as provided by Minn. Stat. § 13.825, Subd. 2, audio/video recordings are considered private or nonpublic data. Data Subjects. Under Minnesota law, the following are considered data subjects for purposes of administering access to BWC data: • Any person or entity whose image or voice is documented in the data. • The officer who collected the data. • Any other officer whose voice or image is documented in the data, regardless of whether that officer is or can be identified by the recording. BWC data is presumptively private. BWC

recordings are classified as private data about the data subjects unless there is a specific law that provides differently. As a result: • BWC data pertaining to people is presumed private, as is BWC data pertaining to businesses or other entities. • Some BWC data is classified as confidential (See Below) • Some BWC data is classified as public (See Below) Confidential data. BWC data that is collected or created as part of an active criminal investigation is confidential. This classification takes precedence over the "private" classification listed above and the "public" classifications listed below. Public Data. The following BWC data is public: Canby Police Department Policy Manual 124 ● Data documenting the discharge of a firearm by a peace officer in the course of duty, other than for training or the killing of an animal that is sick, injured, or dangerous. • Data that documents the use of force by a peace officer that results in substantial bodily harm. • Data that a data subject requests to be made accessible to the public, subject to redaction. Data on any data subject (other than a peace officer) who has not consented to the public release must be redacted. In addition, any data on undercover officers must be redacted. Data that documents the final disposition of a disciplinary action against a public employee. • However, if another provision of the Data Practices Act classifies data as private or otherwise not public, the data retains that other classification. For instance, data that reveals protected identities under Minn. Stat. 13.82, subd. 17 (e.g., certain victims, witnesses, and others) should not be released even if it would otherwise fit into one of the public categories listed above. Access to BWC data by non-employees. Officers shall refer members of the media or public seeking access to BWC data to (the responsible authority/data practices designee), who shall process the request in accordance with the MGDPA and other governing laws. In particular: • An individual shall be provided with access and allowed to review recorded BWC data about him- or herself and other data subjects in the recording, but access shall not be granted: 1. If the data was collected or created as part of an active investigation. (A)Data subjects. Under Minnesota law, the following are considered data subjects for purposes of administering access to BWC data: (a) Any person or entity whose image or voice is documented in the data. (b) To portions of the data that the agency would otherwise be prohibited by law from disclosing to the person seeking access, such as portions that would reveal identities protected by Minn Stat. 13.82 subd. 17. 2. Unless the data is part of an active investigation, an individual data subject shall be provided with a copy of the recording upon request, but subject to the following guidelines on redaction. (a) Data on other individuals in the recording who do not consent to the release must be redacted. (b) Data that would identify undercover officers must be redacted. (c) Data on other officers who are not undercover, and who are on duty and engaged in the performance of official duties, may not be redacted. Access by peace officers and law enforcement employees. No employee may have access to the departments BWC data except for legitimate law enforcement or data administration purposes: Canby Police Department Policy Manual 125 • Officers may access and view stored BWC video only when there is a business need for doing so, including the need to defend against an allegation of misconduct or substandard performance. Officers may review video footage of an incident in which they were involved prior to preparing a report, giving a statement, or providing testimony about the incident. • Agency personnel shall document their reasons for accessing stored BWC data in the program audit note file of the specific recording or written log at the time of each access. Agency personnel are prohibited from accessing BWC data for nonbusiness reasons and from sharing the data for non-law enforcement related purposes, including but not limited to uploading BWC data recorded or maintained by this agency to public and social media websites. • Employees seeking access to BWC data for non-business reasons may make a request for it in the same manner as any member of the public. Other authorized disclosures of data. Officers may display portions of BWC footage to witnesses as necessary for purposes of investigation as allowed by Minn. Stat 13.82 Sub 15, as may be amended from time to time. Officers should generally limit these displays in order to protect against the incidental disclosure of individual identities that are not public. Ptotecting against incidental disclosure should involve, for instance, showing only a portion of the video, showing only screen shots, muting the audio, or playing the audio but not displaying video. In addition, • BWC data may be shared with other law enforcement agencies only for legitimate law enforcement purposes that are documented in writing at the time of the disclosure. All written requests shall be made through the requestor's government email. • BWC data shall be made available to prosecutors, courts, and other criminal justice entities as provided by law. All requests must be in writing and shall be made through the requestor's government email. IDENTIFICATION AND PRESERVATION OF RECORDINGS: To assist with identifying and preserving data and recordings, members should download, tag, or mark the recordings in accordance with procedure and document the existence of the recording in any related case report. A member should transfer, tag or mark recordings when the member reasonably believes: • The recording contains evidence relevant to potential criminal, civil or administrative matters. • A complainant, victim or witness has requested non-disclosure. • A complainant, victim or witness has not requested non-disclosure, but the disclosure of the recording may endanger the person. (d) Disclosure may be an unreasonable violation of someone's privacy. • Medical or mental health information is contained. • Disclosure may compromise an undercover officer or confidential informant. Canby Police Department Policy Manual 126 • The recording or portions of the recording may be protected under the Minnesota Data Practices Act. Any time a member reasonably believes a recorded contact may be beneficial in a noncriminal matter (e.g., a hostile contact), the member should promptly notify a supervisor of the existence of the recording. REVIEW OF RECORDED MEDIA FILES: When preparing written reports, members should review their recordings as a resource (see the Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths Policy for guidance in those cases). However, members shall not retain personal copies of recordings. Members should not use the fact that a recording was made as a reason to write a less detailed report. Supervisors are authorized to review relevant recordings any time they are investigating alleged misconduct or reports of meritorious conduct or whenever such recordings would be beneficial in reviewing the member's performance. Recorded files may also be reviewed: • By a supervisor as part of internal audits and reviews as required by Minn. Stat. § 626.8473. • Upon approval by a supervisor, by any member of the Department who is participating in an official investigation, such as a personnel complaint, administrative investigation, or criminal investigation. • Pursuant to lawful process or by court personnel who are otherwise authorized to review evidence in a related case. • By media personnel with permission of the Chief of Police or the authorized designee. • In compliance with the Minnesota Data Practices Act request, if permitted or required by the Act, including pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 13.82, Subd. 15, and in accordance with the Records Maintenance and Release Policy. All recordings should be reviewed by the Chief of Police of their designee prior to public release (see the Records Maintenance and Release Policy). Recordings that are clearly offensive to common sensibilities should not be publicly released unless disclosure is required by law or order of the court (Minn. Stat. § 13.82, Subd. 7; Minn. Stat. § 13.825, Subd. 2). DEATH RESULTING FROM FORCE – RELEASE OF PUBLIC DATA When an individual dies as a result of a use of force by a peace officer, an involved officer's law enforcement agency must allow the following individuals, upon their request, to inspect all portable recoding system data, redacted no more than what is required by law documenting the incident within five days of the request, subject to paragraphs (C) and (D) Canby Police Department Policy Manual 127 • The deceased individuals next of kin; • The legal representative of the deceased individuals next of kin; and • The other parent of the deceased

individuals' child. (A) A law enforcement agency may deny a request to inspect portable recording system data under paragraph (b) if the agency determines that there is a compelling reason that inspection would interfere with an active investigation. If the agency denies access under this paragraph, the chief law enforcement officer must provide a prompt, written denial to the individual in paragraph (b) who requested the data with a short description of the compelling reason access was denied and must provide notice that relief may be sought from the district court pursuant to section 13.82, subdivision 7. (B) When an individual dies as a result of a use of force by a peace officer, an involved officer's law enforcement agency shall release all portable recording system data, redacted no more than what is required by law, documenting the incident no later than 14 days after the incident, unless the chief law enforcement officer asserts in writing that the public classification would interfere with an ongoing investigation, in which case the data remains classified by section 13.82, subdivision 7. ACCOUNTABILITY: Any member who accesses or releases recordings without authorization may be subject to discipline (See the Standards of Conduct and the Protected Information policies) (Minn. Stat. § 626.8473) Any member who alters or destroys BWC video prior to its expiration period outlined in the retention schedule may be subject to discipline and or criminal consequences. The CLEO or designee will review BWC recordings of each recording device/officer randomly on a weekly basis. The Cleo or designee will randomly audit the history of the BWC files to ensure that no unauthorized downloading or viewing took place. In the event of a violation, the violator will be disciplined in accordance with department policy. Canby Police Department Policy Manual 128 Portable Audio/Video Recorder Retention Requirements 1. Deadly Force: The information was obtained as part of an incident involving the use of deadly force by an officer of this department or another agency. (Indefinite/Permanent) 2. Reportable Firearms Discharge: Data documenting the discharge of a firearm by a peace officer in the course of duty, other than for training or the killing of an animal that is sick, injured, or dangerous. This shall include accidental or unintentional discharges as well (One year) 3. Evidence-criminal: The information has evidentiary value with respect to an actual or suspected criminal incident or charging decision. (Seven years) 4. Homicides: The information has evidentiary value and is involved in apparent or suspected cases involving suspicious deaths or known homicides. (Permanent) 5. Evidence-force: Whether or not enforcement action was taken, or an arrest resulted, the event involved the application of force by a Law Enforcement Officer of this or another agency. (Six years) 6. Evidence-property: Whether or not enforcement action was taken, or an arrest resulted, an officer seized property from an individual or directed an individual to dispossess property. (One year) 7. Evidence-administrative: The incident involved an adversarial encounter or resulted in a complaint against the officer. (6 years if involved in administrative complaint against officer, 365 days if no complaint.) 8. Evidence-other: The recording has potential evidentiary value for reasons determined by the officer at the time of labeling. (180 days) 9. Training: The event was such that it may have value for training. (180 days) 10. Not Evidence: The recording does not contain any of the foregoing categories of information and has no apparent evidentiary value. Recordings of general citizen contacts and unintentionally recorded footage are not evidence. (180 days) 11. Signal Test: The recording was made for the sole purpose of checking the functionality of the body camera to ensure it meets operational requirements and is ready for shift. (90 days) 12. Mental Health: The recording contains interactions with those suffering from apparent mental health crisis that does not fall into a category with longer retention period. (Until manually deleted, 180 days minimum) 13. Officer Injury: Recording contains evidence of an Officer being injured while on duty regardless of if incident could be categorized differently. (Permanent) If incident falls into multiple categories, the appropriate category with the longest retention period shall be selected.

APPENDIX C:

Canby Police Department Policy

Portable Audio/Video Recorder Retention Requirements

- Evidence-criminal: The information has evidentiary value with respect to an actual or suspected criminal incident or charging decision. (Seven years)
- Homicides: The information has evidentiary value and is involved in apparent or suspected cases involving suspicious deaths or known homicides. (Permanent)
- Evidence-force: Whether or not enforcement action was taken, or an arrest resulted, the
 event involved the application of force by a Law Enforcement Officer of this or another
 agency. (Six years)
- Evidence-property: Whether or not enforcement action was taken, or an arrest resulted, an officer seized property from an individual or directed an individual to dispossess property. (One year)
- Evidence-administrative: The incident involved an adversarial encounter or resulted in a complaint against the officer. (6 years if involved in administrative complaint against officer, 365 days if no complaint.)
- Evidence-other: The recording has potential evidentiary value for reasons determined by the officer at the time of labeling. (180 days)
- 7. Training: The event was such that it may have value for training. (180 days)
- Not Evidence: The recording does not contain any of the foregoing categories of
 information and has no apparent evidentiary value. Recordings of general citizen contacts
 and unintentionally recorded footage are not evidence. (180 days)
- Signal Test: The recording was made for the sole purpose of checking the functionality
 of the body camera to ensure it meets operational requirements and is ready for shift. (90
 days)
- Mental Health: The recording contains interactions with those suffering from apparent mental health crisis that does not fall into a category with longer retention period.. (Until manually deleted, 180 day minimum)
- Officer Injury: Recording contains evidence of an Officer being injured while on duty regardless of if incident could be categorized differently. (Permanent)

If incident falls into multiple categories, the appropriate category with the longest retention period shall be selected.