

PRAYER BY CHAPLAIN . . . . . dew of Mount Herman which descends with gentle blessing on the neighboring hills and are reflections . . there are often dangers of friction and disagreement. If we remember this Psalm, our charity will bring forth peace and happiness. Behold how good and how pleasant it is for brethren to dwell together in unity. We pray to Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

MR. PRESIDENT: The secretary will call the roll.

MR. FLAHAVEN:	Anderson, E. J.	Anderson, E. J.- Here
	Anderson, J. C.	Anderson, J. C.- Here
	Anderson, J. T.	Anderson, J. T.- Here
	Arnold	Arnold - Here
	Ashbach	Ashbach - Here
	Benson	Benson - Here
	Bergerud	Bergerud - Here
	Blatz	Blatz - Here
	Borden	Borden - Here
	Brown	Brown - Here
	Chenoweth	Chenoweth - Here
	Chmielewski	Chmielewski - Here
	Coleman	Coleman - Here
	Conzemius	Conzemius - Here
	Davies	Davies - Here
	Dosland	Dosland - Here
	Doty	Doty - Here
	Frederick	Frederick - Here
	Gage	Gage - Here
	Gearty	Gearty - Here
	Glewwe	Glewwe - Here
	Gustafson	Gustafson - Here
	Hansen, Baldy	Hansen, Baldy - Here
	Hansen, Mel	Hansen, Mel - Here
	Hanson, N. W.	Hanson, N. W. - Here

Holsten	Holsten	- Here
Hughes, J. M.	Hughes, J. M.	- Here
Hughes, Keith	Hughes, Keith	- Here
Jensen, C. A.	Jensen, C. A.	- Here
Jensen, V. K.	Jensen, V. K.	- Here
Josefson	Josefson	- Here
Jude	Jude	- Here
Kalina	Kalina	- Here
Kirchner	Kirchner	- Here
Krieger	Krieger	- Here
Larson	Larson	- Here
Laufenburger	Laufenburger	- Here
Mammenga	Mammenga	- Here
McCarty	McCarty	- Here
McCutcheon	McCutcheon	- Here
Metcalf	Metcalf	- Here
Moe	Moe	- Here
Novak	Novak	- Here
Nyquist	Nyquist	- Here
Ogdahl	Ogdahl	- Here
Olson, A. G.	Olson, A. G.	- Here
Olson, H. D.	Olson, H. D.	- Here
Olson, J. L.	Olson, J. L.	- Here
O'Neill	O'Neill	- Here
Overgaard	Overgaard	- Here
	PALMER	- Palmer is here
Parish	Parish	- Here
Perpich, A. J.	Perpich, A. J.	- Here
Perpich, George	Perpich, G.	- Here

MR. FLAAVEN:	Pillsbury	Pillsbury	- Here
	Popham	Popham	- Here
	Purfeerst	Purfeerst	- Here
	Renneke	Renneke	- Here
	Schrom	Schrom	- Here
	Sinclair	Sinclair	- Here
	Tennessen	Tennessen	- Here
	Thorup	Thorup	- Here
	Ukkelberg	Ukkelberg	- Here
	Wegener	Wegener	- Here
	Willet	Willet	- Here
	Wolfe	Wolfe	- Here

MR. DAVIES: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Davies .

MR. DAVIES: Mr. President, I rise to a point of parliamentary inquiry.

MR. PRESIDENT: . . . . . point of parliamentary inquiry.

MR. DAVIES: Mr. President, when in this morning's session would a motion to seat Richard Palmer as a Senator be in order?

MR. PRESIDENT: Under motions and resolutions.

MR. DAVIES: Mr. President, would you rule at that time if a motion were made that it was in order, a motion to seat Mr. Palmer.

MR. PRESIDENT: Under motions and resolutions, yes.

MR. DAVIES: Mr. President, one more point of parliamentary inquiry. If that motion, when put, received a majority vote, would that motion then be adopted and effective? If it at that time received a majority vote?

MR. PRESIDENT: If the motion to seat Mr. Palmer received a majority vote, he would then be seated, yes.

MR. DAVIES: Thank you, Mr. President.

MR. JENSEN: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Jensen.

MR. JENSEN: Mr. President, another point of inquiry of parliamentary procedure. In the event that such a motion is made, would it be proper at that time to amend the motion to also seat Senator Jack Davies?

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Davies is seated.

MR. JENSEN: Why?

MR. PRESIDENT: Because of the opening day proceedings where 66 Senators took their oath and were seated.

MR. JENSEN; Well, of course in my opinion Mr. Palmer is seated just as officially as he is.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Holmquist.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Has the President of the Senate announced whether or not a quorum is present?

MR. PRESIDENT: A quorum is present.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Holmquist.

MR. HOLMQUIST: I again listened to the quorum call, or the roll call; there were 67 who answered the roll. Mr. President, I now move that the Senate do adjourn to 10:00 A. M. on Friday, January 8th.

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Holmquist has moved that the Senate do now adjourn to 10:00 A. M. Friday, January 8th, 1971

MR. HOLMQUIST: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Senate.

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Holmquist.

MR. HOLMQUIST: I would like to give some reasons why it is necessary for the Senate to adjourn to 10:00 A. M. tomorrow, on Friday. First of all the Minnesota State Senate has not been organized beyond the election of a Secretary of the Senate, Mr. George Goodwin. There are no rules that have been adopted, no committees have been assigned, no business has transpired. Any motions that might have been made when a quorum was not present are a complete nullity. Also, Mr. President, everyone has recognized, or seems to have recognized that the Supreme Court of the state of Minnesota has the question before them. The Court will make a judicial determination. Until that judicial determination is made, we cannot continue with any further business of the Senate. Yesterday members of the Senate arose and announced committee meetings. This, of course, is a travesty. This completely disregards the fact that the Court is dealing with this particular issue. In my judgment this represents a discourtesy that should not prevail in an august body like the Minnesota State Senate. Any committee meeting that is held we all know is a complete nullity. There is no authority to call it, and not until this Court determination has been made can there be any authority, and I want this Senate to know that if that Court determination is such that the 34 Senators who have been duly sworn is not recognized, I am happy to step out of my office and turn over the reigns to those who have 34 votes, and this is the only way it can be done in a course that is dignified, that recognizes law and order. Remember, we are not governed by men. We are governed by law, and the minute we depart from that, the anarchy that results is what we have fought so many years to avoid. That's why, Mr. President, it is important today that we vote on this motion quickly. We know the Court is going to cooperate within the limits to determine this particular issue. I ask for a vote on the question that the Senate do now adjourn

to 10:00 A. M., Friday, January the 8th.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President, I would like to point out to the distinguished Senator from Meeker and other Senators in the body that he is -- and the group that will not participate in the proceedings of the Minnesota State Senate which has been duly organized are responsible and will have to bear the responsibility for heeding the business of the state. I would like to point out, Mr. President, our committees have met. I understand from hearsay that the other body where they presumably did not have this kind of a discussion that they still have to have their first committee meeting. We have had. There was an excellent meeting in Commerce this morning, noted, in part, by the absence of some of the members who have been told they are on that committee.

Mr. President, the distinguished Senator from Meeker County says we have no rules and we are not organized. Yet they sat in this body yesterday for four hours under our rule for a call of the Senate. They have recognized that indeed we are organized and that we do have rules and that we are ready to work. Why then won't they participate in the business. Why do they call to the Courts for help. The Constitution clearly points out that we are the judge of our election contests. The Constitution clearly points out that we must make this decision, and that it is not going to be made in

the Courts of this land or this state. It is not their prerogative to tell us in this branch how we are to run our business. Who is saying that if they can't control the whole thing they are going to walk out every day. It is those who have talked about responsibility but do not share the responsibility and will not bear their load when the time comes to do that. We are ready to work. We are organized. We are meeting. We have had bills introduced. We will have a hearing on the election contest this afternoon for Mr. Palmer. We are proceeding. Those in the other group<sup>that</sup> have been invited to come to that meeting this afternoon, come, say what you wish to say, look at the transcript. We are ready to work. The reason we have not accomplished more in the Minnesota State Senate to this date is because of the obstructionist tactics of those who have lost the game for the first time in 112 years, and so dismayed are they that they no longer have the power of state government that if they can't control, they'll leave. When in this body have we walked out and said, "If we can't rule, we'll leave". Two times they have walked out of this body and refused to participate. When has that ever happened before? When have we turned and said, "The Courts must rescue our cause because we do not have the power any more. We don't know how to operate without that power. We'll turn someplace else and see if they will save us." I would predict that this decision has to be made by this body and we should start making it now. If the majority group insists on walking out, and I am going to ask that we vote no on this motion to adjourn so that we can go on, if they walk out, I guess we will have to face the fact that they are

not willing to participate in the democratic process; that they are going to go away and hope that somebody throws them a lifeline; that someone save them in some other way. Having failed to accomplish their purpose at the last election, they turn someplace else for help.

I don't think that they are going to get it. I think it should be done here. I think it is up to the men of this Senate who are elected to this body to continue to work and get the job done. I rise to speak against the motion to adjourn at this time. The distinguished Senator from Hennepin has clearly pointed out that if there is additional business, if they want to take up the case at this time, if they want to attempt something, that under our order of motions and resolutions they can move then.

Mr. President, let history note who has stalled this Senate. Let us find out who is really responsible for not getting the work done. I oppose the motion to adjourn at this time.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Holmquist.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Mr. President, yesterday after the motion to adjourn was made to 11 A. M. today, the Senator from Ramsey, Mr. Coleman, immediately put on a call of the Senate. This motion was completely out of order. No rules have been adopted. So who delayed the work of the Senate at that time. Who made it impossible for those who might have wanted to go to the other Chamber and listen to the Chief Executive give an address, his inaugural address? We had to stay here for the simple reason that the Senate was not adjourned at that time and the vote had not been taken.

Mr. President, the distinguished Senator from Ramsey has made the statement, and I am going to attribute to that statement sincerity, that he is anxious to have the Court determine this decision. The



Court will have a hearing this afternoon at 2 o'clock. I don't know what's going to happen, but let it be known, that any delay that's taking place is because of some of the arbitrary decisions that have been made that made it impossible for us to follow the statute and have the Senate properly organized. We are not delaying. We are following the law. We say we are governed by law. If the Court in their determination say we are wrong, I repeat again, I will step aside. Mr. President, roll call on this motion.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Jensen.

MR. JENSEN, (C. A.): Mr. President, I think probably it is a good thing Senator Davies raised the issue he did raise so we can get some of the air cleared around here. Senator Coleman made the statement that he thinks that we were proceeding; that we acknowledged that there were rules yesterday when we waited around here until you, Mr. President, finally put the motion to adjourn. Well, in my humble opinion, Mr. President, you were dilatory in not putting that motion immediately upon its being made. Now I'm not criticizing you now so that I don't have to apologize for having made some statement that you were dilatory because I believe you were acting under advice of counsel and possibly under the advice of Senator Coleman, but I do believe that that vote should have been put immediately because there was no provision for a call of the House under our rules, but the more important thing that I feel has been opened up today is what Mr. Davies said. He said, "Can I make a motion to seat Senator Palmer." Now as far as --- and I am speaking in favor of this motion to adjourn, Senator Coleman --

MR. COLEMAN: I rise to a point of order.

MR. JENSEN: I have the floor.

MR. PRESIDENT: Do you want to state your point of order, Mr. Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: Yes. The distinguished Senator -- (inaudible) if Mr. President I think it would help/the distinguished Senator knew what Mr. Davies' question was this morning. It was not whether he could make a motion, but whether a motion would be in order - perhaps that will aid in the discussion.

MR. JENSEN: Well, thank you. I thought he was going to raise a more serious point of order than that. I don't care whether Senator Coleman makes the motion or Senator Davies. I am glad that he raised the issue because as far as I am concerned, Mr. President, and members of the Senate, I became a Senator here in this session when I presented my certificate of election at the desk and I was subsequently sworn in. I became a Senator not because you said that somebody could swear me in, but when I presented my certificate and I was then voluntarily sworn in, and I think this follows, just like Governor Anderson was sworn in on Monday morning when he took the oath of office - he took a second oath on the following Wednesday which I presume is really a nullity. The important thing is we presented our Certificates of Election in accordance with law and we were sworn in and we became Senators, and Senator Palmer became a Senator at that same time. Now, if Senator Davies wants to make a motion that I be unseated, he can do that. He can make a motion that anyone be unseated, but we don't have to be seated. You can't say that he, or he is seated. We were seated when we took the oath of office, and the only way that somebody can be unseated is by somebody making a motion and it has to be carried by at least a 34 vote majority of the Senators.

MR. KALINA: I wonder if the Senator would yield to a question.

MR. PRESIDENT: Would the Senator yield? Mr. Kalina.

MR. KALINA: What did all that have to do with the motion to adjourn?

MR. JENSEN: I should have followed my remarks by saying I made these remarks in support of the motion to adjourn so that we will be able to hopefully get a Court decision which will be acknowledged by everyone in this body and to quit making a farce of the proceedings here.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Holmquist.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Mr. President, I call for a roll call on the motion to adjourn.

MR. POPHAM: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Popham.

MR. POPHAM: I think that it is important here to take cognizance of something that has been injected into this discussion this morning by the Senator from Ramsey, and that is the indication here that he does not recognize the jurisdiction of the Court<sup>s</sup> to decide this question. This, I think, is extremely serious, but I am glad it has finally got out here onto the floor in the discussion rather than just in comment. The fact here, as I think we know, that there is deliberate consideration being given to the disregard of any court decision here that would not be found favorable; that reflects itself in the whole strategy here, deliberately attacking the Supreme Court in the public media in advance of this matter going into the Courts; that reflects itself in the fact that rather than taking this matter to Court initially everything has been done to avoid it. We have had to do this to get this obvious question decided as to what is the

interpretation of these statutes and these provisions in the Constitution, and once we've taken the initiative and put the matter in the Court where it will be decided instead of agreeing to wait and observe this--there is this disregarding the fact that it was even in the Court--they are proceeding with committee meetings and everything else. And now finally we have had a public statement right here on the floor that the Court can't decide this matter, it is going to have to be decided here. Members of the Senate, if that is the case, the State of Minnesota faces a Constitutional crisis. If this side is not going to recognize the decision of the Judiciary in the interpretation of the statutes and the Constitution of this State unless it is found favorable and desirable, what are we reduced to? I don't think since I've served in the Senate there has been anything approaching the suggestion here by members of the Senate that the decision of the Court is not going to mean anything here. Certainly the lawyers in this body are going to have to think long and hard about that kind of a position. I would think, Mr. President, the lawyers in this body would have to think long and hard about statements that have been made here about the Supreme Court. It is one thing to have a political dispute; I say it's another thing as a part of that strategy to deliberately take on the Judiciary. I think, Mr. President, that this

motion to adjourn should be supported by everyone and that we should see what happens; what the decision is, and then I would hope that everyone who is a party here in the Senate, or at least a majority, would accept that decision, whatever it is. We have pledged that we will do so. I think we would better serve the State if we would all make that commitment rather than questioning whether or not it will have to be complied with.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: Would the distinguished Senator from Hennepin yield to a question?

MR. POPHAM: I will yield.

MR. PRESIDENT: Popham yields. Mr. Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President, I would ask the distinguished Senator from Hennepin, if he would care to reveal to this body the name of the man who said that he would not follow any court decision, would fly in the face of the court decision, and would bring us to that kind of Constitutional crisis.

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Popham.

MR. POPHAM: Mr. President, it sounds like a little discipline here is felt to be necessary. I don't know the names of the people. I think there were two or three people

yesterday during the time we were waiting to vote on the motion to adjourn that mentioned to me conversations they had had with people here in the Senate. I don't think, Mr. President, that the Senator from Ramsey has ever categorically stated on this floor that he will support a decision of the Judiciary on this matter. And if that is what he intended here by his remarks, that he doesn't think the courts can decide this, and that it will never be decided until it is decided right here, I think then that this would be an opportunity for him to make that commitment.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President, I take it then that the distinguished Senator from Hennepin will not answer the question. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I do think that we need some discipline in this debate and one of the disciplines I would suggest we needed would be a more careful recollection of what has actually been said and not try to put ideas or part of ideas or ideas taken out of context in the minds of anyone else if we are going to have an orderly and fruitful debate of any kind. Let me just review a few of the points that have been brought up this morning by one or other of the distinguished Senators from the other group who have spoken on this matter. The

question was whether or not we in fact held the Senate yesterday for several hours instead of adjourning to hear the Governor's message. The answer is, the other group held us here not the DFL caucus. You will recall I'm sure, members of the body and Mr. President, that I got up on this floor and I asked for a recess until 2:00 p.m. so that we could go to hear the Governor's message and so that we could come back here then and take up further business. I don't know why we were not allowed to recess at that point so that we could do the thing we've been doing for 112 years in the state of Minnesota. We certainly could have. I can speculate it is because of a message that was sent from some members of this body to the members in the other body saying that we were not going to come over; we are not going to march over; we are not going to do what we have done ever since I have been here and for time immemorial; that there was going to be an attempt to obstruct our ability to hear the message from the Governor of the state of Minnesota. It is my understanding that there were messages from one body to the members of the Supreme Court saying we were not organized. We have messages that the clerk in the other body say that we are organized and ready to do business. By what right do they fail to recognize that. Who is holding us up, indeed. I had asked for a recess yesterday, I was perfectly willing to come back, I nor the DFL caucus do not share the blame for nothing happening in that case. I have not deliberately attacked the Court this morning. I have some reservations about a member of the Court participating the way he did the other day and I'll say those on this floor, I'll say those in public meetings, I'll say them in many, many other places because I certainly think he was a member of that proceeding, but Mr. President, I do know

that all I am saying and all I have come close to saying is that what  
kind of maneuver is it when men who get up and claim they have been  
elected Senators walk out because they don't have the bat any more.  
Think back, Mr. President and members of the body, to your own childhood.  
Really the statement you made when somebody who could not be the leader  
left, when somebody would not participate in the process for awhile  
because they couldn't have it all anymore. That's what is going on  
here and that is why we are not doing more senate business.

MR. HUGHES: Mr. President

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Mr. President, members of the Senate, I have chosen  
not to center this debate prior to this time. I should like to take  
personal umbrage at Senator Coleman's remarks that I personally have  
been dilatory or a sulking child in not performing my responsibilities  
as an elected member of this body. To that end, Mr. President, I want  
one thing clearly understood. I have been a member of the Senate since  
1965 which is not a long time. I have always attempted to conduct  
myself in accordance with what I felt was the dignity and responsibility  
of this office and I shall continue to do so. Mr. President, I want  
everybody here to clearly understand when the Supreme Court of this  
state interprets, if and when, the constitution and the statutes of this  
state to the effect that you Mr. President can interject yourself in  
the proceedings of this body as a fact you have I shall again take my  
chair in this chamber and again perform my responsibilities as I have  
in the past.

MR. DOSLAND: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Dosland.



MR. DOSLAND: Mr. President . . . . (inaudible)

MR. PRESIDENT: There being no further discussion, the secretary will call the roll.

	<u>Aye</u>	<u>Nay</u>
MR. FLAHAVEN: Anderson, E. J.	X	
Anderson, J. C.		X
Anderson, J. T.	X	
Arnold		X
Ashbach	X	
Benson		X
Bergerud	X	
Blätz	X	
Borden		X
Brown	X	
Chenoweth		X
Chmielewski		X
Coleman		X
Conzemius		X
Davies		X
Dosland	X	
Doty		X
Frederick	X	
Gage	X	
Gearty		X
Glewwe	X	
Gustafson		X
Hansen, Baldy		X

MR. FLAHAVEN: Hansen, Mel:

Hanson, N. W.

Holmquist:

Holsten:

Hughes, J. M.

Hughes, K. F.

Jensen, C. A.

Jensen, V. K.

Josefson:

Jude:

Kalina:

Kirchner:

Krieger:

Larson:

Laufenburger:

Mammenga:

McCarty:

McCutcheon:

Metcalf:

Moe:

Novak:

Nyquist:

Ogdahl:

Olson, A. G.

Olson, H. D.

Olson, J. L.

O'Neill:

Aye

Nay

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

	<u>Aye</u>	<u>Nay</u>
MR. FLAHAVEN: Overgaard	X	
MR. PALMER: Palmer votes aye		
MR. FLAHAVEN: Perpich, A. J.		X
Perpich, George		X
Pillsbury	X	
Popham	X	
Purfeerst		X
Renneke	X	
Schrom		X
Sinclair	X	
Tennessee		X
Thorup		X
Ukkelberg	X	
Wegener		X
Willet		X
Wolfe	X	

MR. PRESIDENT: There being 33 ayes and 33 nays the motion to adjourn fails.

MR. HOLMQUIST: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Holmquist.

MR. HOLMQUIST: I distinctly again note that there were 67 senators who have been given the oath of office. There were 34 aye votes and 33 no votes so the Senate stands adjourned.

MR. PRESIDENT: The next order of business are petitions, letters (inaudible) . . . . . official communications. We are now under motions and resolutions.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President, is there any business at the desk?

MR. PRESIDENT: No, no further business at the desk.

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President and members of the Senate, it was my hope when the inquiry was made by the distinguished senator from Hennepin, Senator Davies, that indeed if the Senate wished to take some action today on the seating of Mr. Palmer that we could do it. This failed to illicit any response on the part of the other group. They have chosen once more to walk out. I spoke against the motion to adjourn until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow because I wanted them to have the opportunity to move under motions and resolutions the thing that they so devoutly wish, the things that they say the court has to do and I believe that we should do. Mr. President, there being no further business at the desk, I now move that the Senate adjourn until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow and will hold if there are any announcements.

MR. PRESIDENT: Any announcements?

MR. DAVIES: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Davies.

MR. DAVIES: The government operations committee will meet tomorrow morning in room 112 at 8 o'clock, 8:30.

MR. JUDE: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Jude.

MR. JUDE: Mr. President, and members of the Senate there will be a meeting this afternoon in the Highway Building in regard to a west central interstate and I feel that it is very important to those senators who are living in the central part of Minnesota because it will

affect what will happen in their areas in regard to this highway. The meeting will be held at 3 o'clock in room B 9A in the basement of the Highway Building, so you senators from central Minnesota be sure to attend.

MR. PRESIDENT: Are there any further announcements?

MR. PERPICH, A. J.: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Mr. Perpich, A. J.

MR. PERPICH, A. J.: Mr. President .....

MR. COLEMAN: Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: Senator Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: If there is no further business at the desk, and there are no further announcements, I renew my motion.

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Coleman has moved the Senate now adjourn until 10:00 a.m. Friday, January 8, 1971. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

VOICES: Aye

MR. PRESIDENT: Those opposed no, the ayes have it and the Senate stands adjourned.