



---

# **Report on Learning Year Programs**

Report to the Legislature

As required by Minnesota Statutes 2023, section 120B.35, subdivision 3(e)

July 1, 2024

---

## **For more information:**

Christy Irrgang  
Career and College Success Division  
Minnesota Department of Education  
400 NE Stinson Blvd.  
Minneapolis, MN 55413  
651-582-8784  
[christy.irrgang@state.mn.us](mailto:christy.irrgang@state.mn.us)  
[education.mn.gov](http://education.mn.gov)

As requested by Minnesota Statutes, section 3.197: This report cost approximately \$21,834 to prepare, including staff time, printing and mailing expenses.

*Upon request, this material will be made available in an alternative format such as large print, braille or audio recording. Printed on recycled paper.*

## Table of Contents

For more information:.....	2
Legislative Charge.....	4
Introduction.....	4
Data Collection and Analysis .....	6
Demographic Data: ALC, ALP, CAP .....	6
Demographic Data: Targeted Services .....	11
Graduation Rates.....	13
Career and College Readiness Benchmarks .....	15
Success of Learning Year Program Providers .....	16
Conclusion .....	19
Appendix A: Fiscal Year 2023 Credits Earned .....	21
Table 1: Fiscal Year 2023 Credits Earned.....	21
Appendix B: Glossary of Terms.....	26

## Legislative Charge

The legislative charge is to report on the learning year programs as outlined in [Minnesota Statutes 2023, section 120B.35, subdivision 3\(e\)](#):

For purposes of statewide educational accountability, the commissioner must identify and report measures that demonstrate the success of learning year program providers under sections [123A.05](#) and [124D.68](#), among other such providers, in improving students' graduation outcomes. The commissioner, beginning July 1, 2015, must annually report summary data on:

- the four- and six-year graduation rates of students under this paragraph;
- the percent of students under this paragraph whose progress and performance levels are meeting career and college readiness benchmarks under section [120B.30, subdivision 1](#); and,
- the success that learning year program providers experience in:
  - (i) identifying at-risk and off-track student populations by grade;
  - (ii) providing successful prevention and intervention strategies for at-risk students;
  - (iii) providing successful recuperative and recovery or reenrollment strategies for off-track students; and
  - (iv) improving the graduation outcomes of at-risk and off-track students.

## Introduction

The purpose of alternative education is defined in [Minnesota Statutes 2023, section 124D.68, subdivision 1](#):

“The legislature finds that it is critical to provide options for children to succeed in school. Therefore, the purpose of this section is to provide incentives for and encourage all Minnesota students who have experienced or are experiencing difficulty in the traditional education system to enroll in alternative programs.”

[Minnesota Statutes 2023, section 123A.05, subdivision 1](#), defines the types of alternative programs that Minnesota authorizes. A district may establish the following types as detailed in [sections 124D.68, subdivision 3, paragraph \(d\)](#), and [124D.69](#):

- a) Area Learning Center (ALC),
- b) Alternative Learning Program (ALP), and/or
- c) Contract Alternative Program (CAP)

Additionally, [Minnesota Statutes 2023, section 123A.06](#), states that “a center may also provide programs and services for elementary and secondary pupils who are not attending the state-approved alternative program to assist them in being successful in school.” At the elementary level, these are termed Targeted Services programs, which occur outside of the core school day and/or year.

Targeted Services (TS), programs under the umbrella of the ALC program, provide extended day and extended year programs only. TS assists students in grades K-8 who are struggling in the traditional school program and may need additional time to develop skills and abilities. Programs support students to meet academic standards by providing them with enriching and personalized academic instruction by a licensed teacher during out of

school time (i.e., before school, after school, and summer). Programs target the students’ academic needs and are aligned with the instruction students receive during the school day, but instruction takes a different approach to engage students with the teacher, school, and community to support success and grade progression during the school day.

Alternative programs as a whole are generally characterized by:

- Smaller class sizes
- Year-round programming
- Personalized learning
- Independent study options (available for students ages 14 and older)

Minnesota’s State-Approved Alternative Programs (SAAPs) are funded with General Education Revenue, and students are eligible to generate up to 1.2 Average Daily Membership (ADM), the basis on which schools generate per pupil funding. This revenue funds out-of-school time programs so that students have the opportunity for the extra time they need to be successful. However, the .2 extended time ADM that is generated above and beyond the core year enrollment is funded at a lower rate of \$5,117.

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023, 135 districts in the state of Minnesota offered services to 123,646 students. This represents 14% of all public school K-12 students in the state, as students participate on a part-time or full-time basis. The average daily memberships (ADM)s and extended ADMs generated during this time frame were 10,946.59 and 8,340.21, respectively.

Chart 1 reflects the type of alternative program relative to the total operated in the state in FY 2023. There were a total of 507 separate programs reported that occurred during the core and extended school day.





Chart 2 reflects the total ADMs generated by each program type.



## Data Collection and Analysis

Several methods were used to gather information for this report. The department asked each state-approved alternative program to participate by sharing the following information:

1) Which types of credit recovery options were available to students as well as districtwide prevention and intervention systems and to what degree these have been implemented, requested via survey in January 2023. There were a limited number of responses to this request, with a total of 72 district submissions out of 135 requests.

2) The number of credits that were earned by students in their programs for FY 2023. The department has developed a report for each district that lists the enrollment for each student from the 2021-22 school year. The department sent these spreadsheets to 135 districts. One hundred and twenty-five (125) were returned by March 15, 2024.


Enrollment data was also collected via Minnesota Department of Education (MDE) Data and Analytics and Student Accounting.

### Demographic Data: ALC, ALP, CAP

The data for this year's report is based on final MARSS enrollment counts. In the reports for fiscal years 2020-2022, the data was based on MDE's official October 1 enrollment counts, which missed any students who did not start the year in alternative programs. Therefore, the data for this year's report includes MARSS data to capture a better picture of demographic enrollments in the alternative programs.

## Grades Served


The majority of enrollments for all SAAPs are students in their 11th- and 12th-grade year. This is reflected in Chart 3 below. Most students participating in alternative programs are in high school, as they enroll in programs that are primarily focused on credit recovery. Middle school programming is almost exclusively present in ALCs, as these programs are required by statute to implement core-day mid-level programming.



## Ethnicity


Beginning in 2023, MDE began using a modified set of eight racial and ethnic student groups: American Indian as defined in Minnesota state law, which includes students with heritage from any North American tribe regardless of other racial or ethnic heritage; Asian; Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino; Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander; Other Indigenous Peoples, which includes students from tribes outside of North America who meet the federal definition of American Indian or Alaska Native but not Minnesota's state definition; Two or more races; and White.

Chart 4 highlights the enrollments of the race and ethnicity groups across the different types of programs. Chart 5 highlights the enrollments of the race and ethnicity groups overall in the SAAPs compared to the statewide total for grades 7 through 12. The representation of American Indian, Hispanic and African American students is higher in the state’s alternative programs than in other state programs. Enrollment differences are significant, and likely demonstrate the struggle of these communities in traditional education programs. Students from these same communities enroll primarily at the CAPs, which currently exist in the cities of Minneapolis and Saint Paul.






**Chart 5: Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity: Comparison by SAAP and Statewide, FY 2023**



## Gender

When gender is examined (Chart 6), more male students enroll in alternative programs.


**Chart 6: Enrollment by Gender: Comparison by SAAP Type, FY 2023**




# Special Populations

Chart 7 highlights the enrollments of special populations in alternative programs compared to the statewide total for grades 7 through 12. Overall, the alternative programs served a lesser rate of students receiving special education supports than the state special education enrollment percentage of 15.4%. Additionally, the percentage of students experiencing homelessness who are enrolled in SAAPs is two times the statewide total percentage.

When examining the breakdown of enrollment of special populations in the various programs (Chart 8), the data shows that, compared to the other programs, CAPs served higher rates of English learners, students receiving special education supports, students eligible for free and reduced-price meals, and students experiencing homelessness.



**Chart 8: Enrollment by Special Population: Comparison by SAAP Type, FY 2023**




## Demographic Data: Targeted Services

As a reminder, Targeted Services (TS), programs fall under the umbrella of the ALC program and provide extended day and extended year programs only. TS assists students in grades K-8 who are struggling in the traditional school program and may need additional time to develop skills and abilities.

### Grades Served


The largest enrollments in TS programs occurred in grade 1-5 for FY 2023.

**Chart 9: Enrollment by Grade: Targeted Services Programs, FY 2023**




# Ethnicity

In FY 2023, the percentage of students enrolled in targeted services in groups other than white total 52.4% compared to the statewide percentage of 38.24% for grades K-8. The breakdown by the various race/ethnicity student groups is seen in Chart 10.



# Special Populations

As seen in Chart 11, there were higher percentages of English Learners enrolled in TS programs than the statewide average. Alternatively, there were lower percentages of students receiving special education services than the statewide average. A similar number of students eligible for free or reduced-price meals and students experiencing homelessness were served in TS programs when compared to the statewide averages.



## Graduation Rates

MDE calculates four-, five-, six-, and seven-year graduation rates. The five-year rate indicates students who would have been expected to graduate the year before, the six-year rate indicates students who would have been expected to graduate two years before, and the seven-year rate indicates students who would have been expected to graduate three years before. The four-year graduation rate is calculated by dividing the number of graduates by the number of students in the cohort who would have been expected to graduate. The cohort in the denominator is created by compiling first-time ninth-graders plus any transfers into that cohort and removing any students who transferred out of the cohort into another program.

The five-, six- and seven-year graduation rates show the number of students who graduated in four years added to the number of students who took additional time to earn sufficient credits or meet other graduation requirements and to receive a high school diploma from their district. These three extended-year graduation rates are calculated in the same way as the four-year rate but instead determine the percentage of students graduating in five, six and seven years. Given an additional year or two beyond the traditional four-year trajectory, many students enrolled in SAAPs eventually complete graduation requirements.

## Overall State Four-, Five-, and Six-Year Graduation Rates

In Chart 12, which details the FY 2020 through FY 2023 graduation rates, showed slight increases for five- and six-year graduation rates from 2022 to 2023, however the four-year rate did not show great change from the prior year. When reviewing the rates over the span of the four fiscal years, there is an increase in the four-year rates from FY 2020 to FY 2023.

**Chart 12: Graduation Rates: Comparison of SAAP Four-, Five-, and Six-Year Rates for FY 2020 through FY 2023**





Chart 13 shows the four-, five-, six- and seven-year rates of graduation for FY 2023 for all alternative programs, and broken out by the type of program. There are increases in the rates when students continue on in five-, six- and even seven-year cohorts.

**Chart 13: Graduation Rates: Comparison by Alternative Program Type in FY 2023**





# Career and College Readiness Benchmarks

Multiple indicators can be used to demonstrate career and college readiness. There is no one single statewide metric that is used to measure whether students are prepared for postsecondary and the workforce, as metrics are locally determined.

For this report, the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment (MCA) performance data was used as the statute-defined career and college readiness measure. The MCAs are aligned to the Minnesota K-12 Academic Standards which are designed to prepare students for career and college.

When reviewing the data in Charts 14 and 15, it is important to note that the majority of the students in alternative programs are in extended-day or extended-year programs, not in core school day programs. In this analysis, no differentiation is made for length of enrollment in the alternative programs. Enrollments ranged from two hours to over 1,200 hours.





## Success of Learning Year Program Providers

### Identifying At-Risk and Off-Track Students

The legislation requests information for the success that learning year program providers experience in identifying at-risk and off-track students and how successful they are in providing prevention and intervention strategies for them. To look at this, both terms needed to be defined. At-risk students are defined [in Minnesota Statutes 2023, section 124D.68, subdivision 2, Graduation Incentives Program](#), and the statute lists several qualifying factors. Off-track is not yet defined in legislation. For the purposes of this report, off-track students are defined as those students who are not on track to graduate on time, in four years, with their peers.

### Intervention and Prevention Strategies for At-Risk and Off-Track Students

The state has not defined what constitutes an intervention or a comprehensive list of prevention strategies. In January 2023, a survey was sent to districts and programs that provided specific strategy examples and an opportunity to add others not specifically listed. While the legislation asked both prevention and intervention information of the learning year program providers, it is important to distinguish that SAAPs are not a prevention strategy. State-Approved Alternative Programs are most often an intervention that a district might use for a student who is already off-track. Students enrolling in an SAAP are already identified as at-risk of educational failure. One-hundred thirty-five (135) districts were queried on specific intervention strategies, and 72 responded to the degree of implementation of each category. The following charts summarize these responses.

Chart 16 shows alternative program responses related to their use of intervention systems to support students. These systems review student data to target support and intervention. Programs selected a self-perceived level of implementation for the strategy indicated.



**Chart 16: Implementation Level of Student Intervention Strategies**






Chart 17 represents program responses to implementation levels of expanded learning opportunities available to state-approved alternative programs. Summer programming is resoundingly the most frequently implemented tool in the realm of extended-time options.

**Chart 17: Implementation Level of Expanded Learning Strategies**



Student supports vary greatly across the state, region, and often from school to school. In this survey question, programs were asked to indicate the level of specific types of interventions. Counselor supports were indicated to be the most fully implemented support to students. However, school counselors often focus on academic measures towards graduation, and it is unclear from the survey results what the predominant role of, and activities implemented by, these counselors were in those schools and programs. Social work services were also indicated to be partially or fully implemented at 45% of districts; mental health services were indicated to be partially or fully implemented at 44% of districts. This is an indication that these are priority areas for alternative programs.

**Chart 18: Implementation of Student Supports**



## Recuperative and Recovery Strategies

As previously mentioned, defining the success rates of recuperative and recovery strategies that were undefined both in terms of what they are and what success would look like, provided a challenge for data collection and caused some inconsistent interpretation.

1. To the question of whether credit recovery was offered, 100 percent of districts stated yes.
2. To the question about the most frequently implemented methods of credit recovery, the majority of responding districts selected that students independently complete online coursework (69%) followed by a modified credit recovery course (61%). Forty-four percent (44%) of districts require students to repeat the entire course and Forty-two percent (42%) of districts utilize an independent coursework arranged by a teacher.
3. As seen on Chart 17, summer programming is utilized by 100% of districts. The second most common credit recovery programming is offered in after school programming, which is utilized by 81% of districts.

## Credits Earned

To address the effectiveness of programs, districts were asked to supply the number of credits students earned in their programs. This data was requested for FY 2023. The department sent these spreadsheets to 135 districts. One hundred and twenty-four (124) were returned by March 1, 2024. The department compared this with student enrollment hours submitted to obtain an average number of enrollment hours for each full credit earned. Of note is that the amount of credit awarded for the same class varies from district to district. Further analysis is needed to interpret this data.

Other challenges in collecting credit information include that some intermediate and cooperative ALCs are providing programs to multiple districts. The majority of these programs do not graduate students as the students are counted in their enrolling school district data, so it was not possible to determine a correlation between the hours submitted and what we might anticipate the hours needed in the traditional program. Also,

some credit recovery programs are not recording the credit earned in their programs but are reporting the credit in the core school year program. Some Independent Study (IS) programs are reporting membership based on enrollment rather than on work completion.

Table 1 (Appendix A), lists each school district that hosts an SAAP. The data is listed as “NR” for Not Reported if the program did not return the requested data. The data is listed as “NP” for No Program if the district did not submit membership from a summer or core year program. Finally, some programs operate summer programming through education districts, cooperatives, or intermediates. Some of these programs offer their own diploma, and others do not, as this is decided locally with the individual member districts. Analysis of hours per credit to district hours per credit cannot occur because these organizations serve multiple districts.

## Conclusion

In FY 2023, there were a total of 507 active separate SAAP school codes, including 235 area learning centers, 52 alternative learning programs, 16 contract alternative programs and 204 targeted services programs. These active alternative programs served 123,649 individual students. The majority of students participate in extended-time programs, with the most prevalent extended-time program being targeted services. The most common core school day programs are area learning centers. In the core school day programs, the largest group is comprised of students in grade 11 and beyond.

Students in alternative programs are more likely to be students in poverty and students of color. This is especially true of the students in contract alternative programs, where students who qualified for free and reduced-priced lunch for FY 2023 is 84.8 percent. In comparison with the state average, enrollment by gender is closely mirrored in SAAPs.

Students in alternative programs are more likely to be students identified as English learner (EL) students when compared to the state overall. In FY 2023, students in need of special education services in SAAPs was lower than the state average, except at contract alternative programs in which there is a higher percentage of students receiving special education services.

Minnesota Statutes 2023, section 120B.125, requires districts to transcript a graduate’s career and college readiness. However, the state allows districts to determine the measure for career and college success. Determining career and college readiness is an area where clearly defined measures are needed. A low percentage of students attending alternative programs have an MCA test score. This is due to the high numbers of students who may not be enrolled in a core school day SAAP during the MCA testing window or students who are dually enrolled in a traditional program and an alternative program.

Meeting the needs of students from historically underserved communities from traditional school programs is critically important as Minnesota faces a persistent achievement gap and a graduation rate that is currently just over 83 percent overall, but 61.3 percent for American Indian students, 72.1 percent for Black students, 69.2 percent for Hispanic or Latino students. Furthermore, the graduation rate for students receiving special education services is 65.6 percent, 63.4 percent for English learners, and 71.9 percent for students eligible for free or reduced-price meals. There continues to be a population of students for whom the status quo has not proven successful. Alternative programs provide an option for students at-risk of educational failure.

There is great variation between districts in terms of the type and quality of alternative programming offered. While programs identify elements such as positive relationships with trusted adults, early interventions, flexibility of when, where, and how credits are earned, it is unclear from the current data the department has to determine the fidelity of these practices.

Recommendations for further research include the effectiveness of practices in alternative programs, beyond credit data and MCAs; the manner in which students are referred to program; how inter-district agreements and collaborations are succeeding or needing support; and the quality and effectiveness of various credit recovery programming across the state.

## Appendix A: Fiscal Year 2023 Credits Earned

**Table 1: Fiscal Year 2023 Credits Earned**

Districts that did not return credit information are marked as Not Reported (NR). Programs that did not separate out summer enrollment records from core year enrollment records are marked as Enrollments Not Separated (ENS). Programs that did not report enrollments during a time period (either summer or core year) are marked as No Program (NP).

District Name and Number	District Average Hours Per Credit	ALC/ALP Summer Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected Summer Hours	ALC/ALP School Year Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected School Year Hours
Aitkin Public Schools 0001-01	214	ENS	NA	54	25%
Albert Lea Public School District 0241-01	96	46	48%	102	106%
Anoka-Hennepin Public School Dist. 0011-01	156	64	41%	140	90%
Austin Public School District 0492-01	185	92	50%	156	84%
Bagley Public School District 0162-01	173	ENS	ENS	88	51%
Becker Public School District 0726-01	143	124	87%	96	67%
Bemidji Public School District 0031-01	173	131	76%	173	100%
Benson Public School District 0777-01	155	27	17%	301	194%
Bird Island-Olivia-Lake Lillian Public School Dist. 2534-01	174	NR	NR	NR	NR
Bloomington Public School Dist. 0271-01	63	41	65%	49	78%
Brainerd Public School District 0181-01	107	49	46%	95	89%
Breckenridge Public School District 0846-01	179	90	50%	225	126%
Brooklyn Center School District 0286-01	220	689	313%	177	80%
Buffalo-Hanover-Montrose Public School Dist. 0877-01	140	121	86%	131	94%
Burnsville-Eagan-Savage Schools 0191-01	91	81	89%	93	102%
Cambridge-Isanti Public School District 0911-01	79	69	87%	80	101%
Cass Lake-Bena Public Schools 0115-01	192	174	91%	216	113%
Centennial Public Schools 0012-01	182	25	14%	150	82%
Chatfield Public Schools 0227-01	148	NP	NP	151	102%

District Name and Number	District Average Hours Per Credit	ALC/ALP Summer Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected Summer Hours	ALC/ALP School Year Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected School Year Hours
Chisago Lakes School District 2144-01	142	167	118%	144	101%
Cloquet Public School District 0094-01	187	118	63%	106	57%
Dassel-Cokato Public School District 0466-01	77	75	97%	75	97%
Deer River Public School District 0317-01	89	14	16%	107	120%
Detroit Lakes Public School District 0022-01	95	356	375%	91	96%
Duluth Public School District 0709-01	179	124	69%	210	117%
East Central School District 2580-01	183	67	37%	180	98%
Eastern Carver County Public School 0112-01	166	134	81%	150	90%
Eden Valley-Watkins School District 0463-01	189	34	18%	19	10%
Edina Public School District 0273-01	94	96	102%	92	98%
Elk River Public School District 0728-01	96	155	161%	86	90%
Faribault Public School District 0656-01	197	139	71%	156	79%
Farmington Public School District 0192-01	77	44	57%	56	73%
Fergus Falls School District 0544-01-370	88	119	135%	49	56%
Fillmore Central School District 2198-01	158	27	17%	172	109%
Forest Lake Public School District 0831-01	157	106	68%	204	130%
Fridley Public School District 0014-01	158	89	56%	141	89%
Grand Rapids Public School District 0318-01	181	147	81%	125	69%
Hastings Public School District 0200-01	94	36	38%	52	55%
Hermantown Public School District 0700-01	177	NP	NP	154	87%
Hibbing Public School District 0701-01	194	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hinckley-Finlayson School District 2165-01	178	77	43%	71	40%
Houston Public School District 0294-01	89	NP	NP	93	104%
Howard Lake-Waverly-Winsted Public School Dist 2687-01	181	102	56%	164	91%
Hutchinson Public School District 0423-01	151	110	73%	92	61%

District Name and Number	District Average Hours Per Credit	ALC/ALP Summer Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected Summer Hours	ALC/ALP School Year Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected School Year Hours
Inver Grove Heights Schools 0199-01	65	28	43%	36	55%
Isle Public School District 0473-01	185	596	322%	151	82%
Lakeville Public School District 0194-01	187	115	61%	211	113%
Le Sueur-Henderson School District 2397-01	160	183	114%	142	89%
Litchfield Public School District 0465-01	183	278	152%	194	106%
Little Falls Public School District 0482-01	84	48	57%	74	88%
Luverne Public School District 2184-01	208	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mahnomen Public School District 0432-01	161	199	124%	115	71%
Mankato Public School District 0077-01	179	184	103%	275	154%
Marshall Public School District 0413-01	135	47	35%	174	129%
McGregor Public School District 0004-01	83	NR	NR	NR	NR
Milaca Public School District 0912-01	189	ENS	ENS	189	100%
Minneapolis Public School District 0001-03	175	246	141%	180	103%
Monticello Public School District 0882-01	175	111	63%	232	133%
Moorhead Public School District 0152-01	164	99	60%	163	99%
Mora Public School District 0332-01	173	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mounds View Public School District 0621-01	185	157	85%	140	76%
Nevis Public School District 0308-01	210	41	20%	55	26%
New London-Spicer Public School District 0345-01	176	161	91%	117	66%
New Prague Area Schools 0721-01	90	26	29%	81	90%
North Branch Public Schools 0138-01	55	48	87%	83	151%
North St. Paul-Maplewood Oakdale Public School District 0622-01	62	92	148%	NP	NP
Northfield Public School District 0659-01	187	180	96%	183	98%
Ogilvie Public School District 0333-01	172	NP	NP	637	370%
Onamia Public School District 0480-01	180	NR	NR	NR	NR

District Name and Number	District Average Hours Per Credit	ALC/ALP Summer Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected Summer Hours	ALC/ALP School Year Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected School Year Hours
Osseo Public School District 0279-01	65	120	185%	71	109%
Owatonna Public School District 0761-01	150	77	51%	118	79%
Park Rapids Public School District 0309-01	51	60	118%	64	125%
Perham-Dent Public School District 0549-01	122	33	27%	218	179%
Pierz Public School District 0484-01	81	NP	NP	11	14%
Pine City Public School District 0578-01	192	141	73%	261	136%
Pine Island Public School District 0255-01	199	NP	NP	75	38%
Pine River-Backus Public School District 2174-01	89	137	154%	90	101%
Princeton Public School District 0477-01	74	80	108%	44	59%
Prior Lake-Savage Area Schools 0719-01	69	59	86%	68	99%
Proctor Public School District 0704-01	175	117	67%	NP	NP
Red Lake Public School District 0038-01	195	68	35%	814	417%
Redwood Area School District 2897-01	145	NP	NP	74	51%
Richfield Public School District 0280-01	88	NP	NP	59	67%
Robbinsdale Public School District 0281-01	91	68	75%	57	63%
Rochester Public School District 0535-01	182	111	61%	159	87%
Rocori Public School District 0750-01	148	76	51%	720	486%
Roseau Public School District 0682-01	189	52	28%	51	27%
Rosemount Public School District 0196-01	64	26	41%	58	91%
Roseville Public School District 0623-01	80	70	88%	105	131%
Shakopee Public School District 0720-01	87	63	72%	73	84%
South St. Paul Public School Dist. 0006-03	65	57	88%	70	108%
South Washington County School Dist. 0833-01	63	32	51%	49	78%
St. Anthony-New Brighton Schools 0282-01	89	49	55%	72	81%
St. Cloud Public School District 0742-01	66	41	62%	69	105%



District Name and Number	District Average Hours Per Credit	ALC/ALP Summer Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected Summer Hours	ALC/ALP School Year Hours per Credit	Percent of Expected School Year Hours
St. Francis Public School District 0015-01	74	19	26%	131	177%
St. Michael-Albertville School Dist. 0885-01	79	NR	NR	NR	NR
St. Paul Public School District 0625-01	44	29	66%	38	86%
St. Peter Public School District 0508-01	158	76	48%	99	63%
Stillwater Area Public School Dist. 0834-01	95	71	75%	84	88%
Swanville Public School District 0486-01	10	NP	NP	38	380%
Thief River Falls School District 0564-01	142	26	18%	76	54%
Waconia Public School District 0110-01	78	41	53%	59	76%
Warroad Public School District 0690-01	140	NP	NP	109	78%
Waseca Public School District 0829-01	58	29	50%	79	136%
Watertown-Mayer Public School District 0111-01	70	NR	NR	NR	NR
Waubun-Ogema-White Earth School District 0435-01	161	71	44%	141	88%
Wayzata Public School District 0284-01	72	27	38%	62	86%
West St. Paul-Mendota Hts.-Eagan 0197-01	175	63	36%	29	17%
White Bear Lake School District 0624-01	186	123	66%	160	86%
Willmar Public School District 0347-01	75	24	32%	83	111%
Winona Area Public School District 0861-01	74	7	9%	251	339%
Worthington Public School District 0518-01	147	129	88%	124	84%

This table does not include the credit data of education districts, cooperatives, and intermediate districts. Some offer their own diploma, and others do not, as this is decided locally with the individual member districts.

Analysis of hours per credit to district hours per credit cannot occur because these organizations serve multiple districts: Freshwater Education District 6004-61, Goodhue County Education District 6051-61, Hiawatha Valley Education District 6013-61, Intermediate District 287-06, Northeast Metro 916-06, Intermediate District 917-06, Minnesota River Valley Education District 6018-61, Northland Learning Center 6076-50, Lakes Country Service Cooperative 6076-50, Region 6 and 8-SW/WC Service Cooperative 099-83, River Bend Education District 6049-61, Runestone Area Education District 6014-61, Southern Minnesota Education Consortium 6083-52, Southern Plains Education Cooperative 0915-52, Southwest Metro Intermediate 0288-06, West Central Education District 6026-61, Wright Technical Center 0966-51, and Zumbro Education District 6012-61.

## Appendix B: Glossary of Terms

**Average Daily Attendance (ADA):** Attendance Days (or hours) divided by Instructional Days (or hours).

**Average Daily Membership (ADM):** Membership Days (or hours) divided by Instructional Days (or hours). This formula is weighted based on the student's grade level to arrive at Pupil Units or Weighted ADM (WADM). The weighting factors are set in statute. ADM/WADM is the basis for general education revenue.

**Area Learning Center (ALC):** Classification of SAAPs that are characterized by:

- An area learning center must provide comprehensive educational services to enrolled secondary students throughout the year, including a daytime school within a school or separate site for both high school and middle school level students. ([Minn. Stat. 123A.05 \[2022\]](#)).
- Must be established in cooperation with other districts and must serve the geographic area of at least two districts (with the exception of Minneapolis, St. Paul and Duluth). ([Minn. Stat. 123A.05 \[2022\]](#)).
- Students must meet eligibility criteria ([Minn. Stat. 124D.68 \[2022\]](#)).
- Must operate year-round (school year starts in June) ([Minn. Stat. 123A.06, subd. 3 \[2022\]](#) and [Minn. Stat. 124D.128, subd. 1 \[2022\]](#)).
- Programs provide out-of-school time programs, also referred to as extended day and extended year programs. Instruction provided to students in extended time programming can generate more than 1.0 ADM when membership exceeds statute minimums ([Minn. Stat. 124D.128 \[2022\]](#), [Minn. Stat. 126C.05, subd. 15 \[2022\]](#), and [Minn. Stat. 126C.10, subd. 2a \[2022\]](#)). Refer to **Learning Year Program (LYP)** and **Average Daily Membership (ADM)** for statute limits.
- All students must have a Continual Learning Plan (CLP) that outlines what instructional services will be provided and informs the student, parent or guardian that the program is optional ([Minn. Stat. 124D.128, subd. 3 \[2022\]](#)).
- Approved programs can apply to provide an independent study component ([Minn. Stat. 126C.05, subd. 15 \[2022\]](#)).
- Cannot deny non-district students who meet eligibility access to programs ([Minn. Stat. 123A.05, subd. 4 \[2022\]](#) and [Minn. Stat. 124D.128, subd. 2 \[2022\]](#)).

**Alternative Learning Program (ALP):** Category of SAAPs that are characterized by:

- Typically tied closely to one school district, serving a defined grade-level population ([Minn. Stat. 123A.05, subd. 1 \[2022\]](#)).
- Has the option of serving students only from within the district the program is located ([Minn. Stat. 123A.05, subd. 1 \[2022\]](#)).
- Students must meet eligibility criteria ([Minn. Stat. 124D.68 \[2022\]](#)).
- May make program hours and calendar optional ([Minn. Stat. 123A.05, subd. 1 \[2022\]](#)).
- All students must have a Continual Learning Plan (CLP) that outlines what instructional services will be provided and informs the student, parent or guardian that the program is optional ([Minn. Stat. 124D.128, subd. 3 \[2022\]](#)).

- Programs provide out-of-school time programs, also referred to as extended day and extended year programs. Instruction provided to students in extended time programming can generate more than 1.0 ADM when membership exceeds statute minimums ([Minn. Stat. 124D.128 \[2022\]](#), [Minn. Stat. 126C.05, subd. 15 \[2022\]](#), and [Minn. Stat. 126C.10, subd. 2a \[2022\]](#)). Refer to Learning Year Program (LYP) and Average Daily Membership (ADM) for statute limits.
- Approved programs can apply to provide an independent study component ([Minn. Stat. 126C.05, subd. 15 \[2022\]](#)).

**Child Count:** The name applied to the federal reports that generate federal special education dollars. This is a count of all students who have Individual Education Plans or Individual Family Service Plans on December 1 of any given year. It is also referred to as the Unduplicated Child Count.

**Comprehensive Education Program:** ALCs must provide a comprehensive education program at both the middle school and high school levels. Students should be able to grade progress and/or to complete their graduation requirements entirely through the ALC.

**Continual Learning Plan (CLP):** All students enrolled in an SAAP must have an annually updated CLP that addresses their learning objectives and experiences, assessment measurements and requirements for grade level progression. Specific statute requirements can be found in [Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 124D.128, subdivision 3, Learning Year Program to Provide Instruction throughout the Year](#).

**Contract Alternative Program (CAP):** Nonpublic entity that contracts with a public school district to provide instructional services to students who are at-risk of not graduating or grade-progressing. Because these are a category of State-Approved Alternative Programs, all statutes that govern other alternative programs must be adhered to here. Effective FY 1999, these programs are state-designated Learning Year Program Sites at the sponsor program's option; students can generate more than 1.0 (but no more than 1.2) ADM when membership exceeds statute minimums.

**Core Year:** The number of instructional days and length of day required by a school or program for students to make regular grade progression. This is used as the ADM divisor for learning year programs. Statute requires minimums based on grade level but individual schools and programs can require more.

**Dual Enrolled:** Students who are full-time at the traditional school and receive extended day/year instructional services outside the core school day/year. Depending on SAAP status and specific approval, this can occur from kindergarten through 12th grade. Each school/program reports the instructional time it provides to the student.

**Early/Middle College (EMC) Programs:** The Early/Middle College program is defined in [Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 124D.09, subdivision 9, Postsecondary Enrollment Options Act](#), and allows SAAP students to take developmental classes on the college campus. This program must be specifically designed to allow the student to earn dual high school and college credit with a well-defined pathway leading to a postsecondary degree or credential. For more information about these programs, visit the [Early/Middle College \(EMC\) Programs page](#).

**Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA):** On December 10, 2015, President Obama signed the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), which replaced No Child Left Behind (NCLB) and changed many portions of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). [The U.S. Department of Education maintains a page explaining ESSA](#), and

[the text of the law](#) is available online. The 2018-19 school year is the first full year of ESSA implementation. Minnesota's plan for implementation of ESSA is available in full and by section on the [Minnesota State Plan webpage](#).

**Flexible School Year:** Sometimes referred to as Year-Round and refers to a variety of programs.

- Schools who extend the school year over a 10- to 12-month period, but the number of Instructional Days is no greater than those in a traditional school year,
- The requirement that State-Approved Learning Year Programs must provide instruction year-round, i.e., during each of the 12 months.

**General Education Development (GED) Diploma:** Persons aged 17 and above who have not completed a high school diploma program, and are not currently enrolled in classes leading to a high school diploma, may be eligible to take the GED tests in Minnesota.

**Graduation Rates:** Graduation rates describe the percentage of students who graduate from a school. More specifically, graduation rates refer to all students in a given cohort who graduate within a specific period of time (for example, four years).

**Individual Education Plan (IEP):** Student has been formally assessed and identified as having a disability and is receiving special education services. The IEP is the student plan for addressing the student goals and objectives.

**Independent Study (IS):** SAAPs can apply to provide an independent study program/component for students enrolled in their programs who are a minimum of 16 years of age. High schools can also apply for independent study for expelled students only. These students generate membership hours based on successful completion of coursework; 20 percent or more of the membership earned must be student-teacher contact time.

**Learning Year Program Site (LYPS):** State-approved programs that agree to operate on a year-round basis. Students must have a CLP and can generate more than 1.0 ADM (but no more than 1.2 ADM) when they generate more membership hours than the greater of (a) the locally defined core school year or (b) the statute-defined minimum number of instructional hours. Statute-defined minimum instructional hours are:

- Early Childhood (EC): Ineligible
- Handicapped Kindergarten (HK): 875 (with a maximum of 1.0 ADM)
- Kindergarten: 875
- Grades 1-6: 935
- Grades 7-12: 1,020

**Minnesota Early Indicator and Response System (MEIRS):** Early Warning Systems, as a part of a comprehensive school improvement plan and when implemented and monitored effectively, are recognized as an evidence-based practice for school improvement (Rumberger, 2017). Minnesota's Early Indicator Response System (MEIRS) is an Early Warning System that has been intentionally designed with flexibility to address the unique local needs of the various districts, charters, schools and programs that serve students in Minnesota.

**Minnesota Automated Reporting Student System (MARSS):** An individual student record system that serves as the Minnesota Department of Education's primary reporting system for student data.

**Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS):** A systemic, continuous improvement framework for ensuring positive social, emotional, behavioral, developmental, and academic outcomes for every student.

**Notification of Change in Student Enrollment (NCSE) ED-02037:** A means to exchange State Reporting Number, Status Start Date, State Aid Category, and Basic Standards Test information between districts when students transfer.

**Part-Time:** A student who is enrolled less than full-time. Full-time is defined by the traditional school calendar. Any student who is scheduled for less than the entire day at the high school and is not considered absent, or for purposes of dual enrollment, has more than 60 minutes in study hall, is considered part-time.

**Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports (PBIS):** An evidence-based framework for preventing problem behavior, providing instruction and support for positive and prosocial behaviors, and supporting social, emotional and behavioral needs for all students.

**Postsecondary Enrollment Options (PSEO):** Allows high school 11th- and 12th-graders to take courses, full- or part-time, at a postsecondary institution for high school credit.

**Pull-Out Program:** State-approved middle/junior high alternative program. Students are "pulled out" of the traditional school and the alternative program provides instructional services, typically at a separate site. Students are eligible to generate more than 1.0 ADM (but less than 1.2) when they receive more than the statute-defined minimums.

**Pupil Units (PU):** This is the figure that determines state aid and levies.

**Response to Intervention (RTI):** A practice of providing high-quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using data on the child's learning rate and level of performance to make important educational decisions about the necessity for more intense interventions or as part of evaluating eligibility for special education.

**State Aid Category (SAC):** This is the MARSS code that determines how or why a student is enrolled in this district. Every record has an SAC code.

**School within a School:** SAAPs where students receive alternative services for a minimum of 25 percent of their school day. The traditional program and the alternative program each report the student for the percentage of time that each provides the educational services.

**State-Approved Alternative Program (SAAP):** Includes state-approved Area Learning Centers, Alternative Learning Programs, middle level/junior high (School-Within-a-School or Pullout), Targeted Services, including after-school and summer school programming, and Contracted Alternative Programs. Each requires separate approval.

**Seat Time:** Used for MARSS reporting to indicate the number of hours for generating revenue; traditional classroom is used to indicate the instructional model.

**Status End Code (SEC):** This is the MARSS code that indicates why a student's record is being terminated. Every student record has an SEC, except on the fall submissions for students who are still enrolled as of the extract date.

**Special Education Evaluation Status (SEES):** This MARSS data element indicates if the student has received assessment services, had an IEP, or the IEP was terminated during the school year.

**Shared Time:** Nonpublic school students who receive eligible public school instructional services generate shared-time foundation aid based on the portion of the school day they are enrolled in the public school.

**Staff Automated Reporting System (STAR):** This is the means by which public districts report staff employment and assignment data to the department.

**Targeted Services (TS):** State-approved elementary and middle/junior high-level program for at-risk students. Programming occurs on an out-of-school day/year basis. Only ALCs can apply to provide Targeted Services. Students must have a CLP and services must be provided year-round. Students can generate more than 1.0 ADM (but less than 1.2) when they receive more than the statute-defined minimum number of instructional hours.

**Title I:** There are two types of Title I schools:

- Schools can offer a school-wide program when the poverty level at their school is at least 40 percent.
- Targeted Assistance (which is sometimes confused with Targeted Services) is one that receives Part A funds yet is ineligible or has chosen not to operate a Title I school-wide program.

**Traditional Classroom:** Instructional model where student attends a school with teacher instruction in a classroom setting. Classes meet daily and attendance is required.

**Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards (UFARS):** The means by which public districts report revenue and expenditure data to the department.

**Weighted ADM (WADM):** Refer to Pupil Units.