The Report of the GAIN/LOSS ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL EXPERIENCE During calendar 1981 COLUMBIA HEIGHTS POLICE RELIEF ASSOCIATION Columbia Heights, Minnesota

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pages	Item
. 1	Signature Page
2	Purpose of Gain/Loss Analysis
3	Activity Which Results in Gains or Losses
	Composite Results of Gain/Loss Analysis
4	1981
5	1979 thru 1981
6	Schedule of Active Employees
7	Schedule of Separations From Active Service
8	Schedule of Age & Service Retirement
9	Schedule of Death After Retirement
10	Comments

Appendices

Valuation Methods and Assumptions Summary of Benefit Provisions

GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH & COMPANY

ACTUARIES & CONSULTANTS

2090 First National Building Detroit, Michigan 48226 Area 313: 961-3346

May 4, 1982

Board of Trustees Columbia Heights Police Relief Association Columbia Heights, Minnesota

<u>Submitted in this report</u> are the results of the 1981 <u>gain/loss analysis</u> of the financial experiences of the Columbia Heights Police Relief Association.

The <u>composite results</u> of this study are reported on Schedule 1, and comments regarding the results are on page 10.

The gain/loss analysis was based upon statistical data furnished by the Association regarding active and retired member changes and related financial transactions.

The actuarial assumptions used for regular valuation purposes and which produce "expected" experience data are shown in the appendix of this report. A brief summary of the Association's benefits is also included in the appendix.

Respectfully submitted, ama Robert M. 0'Keefe Gary Findla

-1-

RMO:dmb

PURPOSE OF GAIN/LOSS ANALYSIS

Actual financial experience will not coincide exactly with assumed financial experience--differences are to be expected since the future cannot be predicted with absolute precision. The changes in computed liabilities resulting from differences between actual and assumed experiences are called <u>actuarial gains</u>, if the experience was financially favorable and <u>actuarial losses</u>, if the experience was financially unfavorable. Actuarial gains result in decreases in contribution rates and actuarial losses result in increases.

Regular actuarial valuations provide information about aggregate computed liabilities. However, regular valuations do not develop the information needed to explain the year to year changes in computed liabilities attributable to each activity within the retirement system financial mechanism. <u>The purpose of a gain/loss analysis</u> is to determine the change in computed liabilities and contribution rates attributable to variations between actual and assumed experience.

Once a difference between actual and assumed experience in a risk area has been observed to be sizeable and persistent, the assumed experience should be changed to reflect the observed reality. However, gains and losses over a relatively short period of time may not be indicative of long term trends which provide the basis for selection of actuarial assumptions.

-2-

ACTIVITY WHICH RESULTS IN GAINS OR LOSSES

Age & Service Retirement.

If members retire at older ages than assumed, there is a gain. If retirements occur at younger ages than assumed there is a loss.

Disability & Death-in-Service.

If casualty claims are less than assumed, there is a gain. If there are more casualty losses than assumed, there is a loss.

Withdrawal.

If more liabilities are released by withdrawal than assumed, there is a gain. If there are fewer withdrawals than assumed, there is a loss.

If there are smaller salary increases than assumed, there is a gain.

If salary increases are greater than assumed, there is a loss.

Investment Income.

Salary Increases.

If there is greater investment income than assumed, there is a gain.

If investment income is less than assumed, there is a loss.

Post Retirement Mortality.

If benefit recipients die at younger ages than assumed, there is a gain. If they live longer than assumed, there is a loss.

Contribution.

Gains or losses arise due to the delay in implementing changes in the recommended contribution.

Miscellaneous.

Miscellaneous gains and losses include changes due to data adjustments, rounding and changes in the average age and service characteristics of the group.

-3-

Schedule 1.

Gains & Losses in Accrued Liabilities and Changes in Contribution Requirements During Calendar 1981

Type of Activity	(Gain Active Members	Liabilities) or Loss* Retirants & Beneficiaries 1,000)	Normal Cost	Requirements or Loss * \$ Payment On UAL 1,000)
Age & Service Retirements	\$ 0.0	\$ N/A	0.0%	\$ 0.0
Disability & Death-in-Service				
a. <u>Disability</u>	(2.8)	N/A	0.0	(0.2)
b. <u>Death-in Service</u>	(3.0)	N/A	0.0	(0.2)
Withdrawal	3.8	N/A	0.0	0.2
Salary Increases	45.4	102.8	N/A	9.7
Investment Income	(0.9)	(10.6)	N/A	(0.7)
Post Retirement Mortality	N/A	(19.0)	N/A	(1.2)
Contribution	0.0	26.1	N/A	1.7
Miscellaneous	0.3	3.5	0.0	0.2
EXPERIENCE RELATED (GAIN)/LOSS & CORRESPONDING CHANGE IN CONTRIBUTION REQUIREMENTS	42.8	102.8	0.0	9.5
Changes due to plan amendments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL (GAIN)/LOSS DURING YEAR	\$42.8	\$102.8	0.0%	\$ 9.5

* Accrued liabilities and contribution requirements are affected by gains and losses. Gains result in reductions in both and losses result in increases in both.

-4-

Schedule 2.

Gains & Losses in Accrued Liabilities From January 1, 1979 thru December 31, 1981

Type of Activity	Accrued (Gain Active Members	79 - 12/31/79 Liabilities) or Loss Retirants & Beneficiaries in 1,000)	Accrued (Gain Active Members	0 - 12/31/80 Liabilities) or Loss Retirants & <u>Beneficiaries</u> in 1,000)	Accrued (Gain Active Members	B1 - 12/31/81 1 Liabilities 1) or Loss Retirants & Beneficiaries in 1,000)
Age & Service Retirements	\$ 0.0	\$ N/A	\$ 82.0	\$ N/A	\$ 0.0	\$ N/A
Disability & Death-in-Service						
a. <u>Disability</u>	(2.2)	N/A	(2.4)	N/A	(2.8)	N/A
b. <u>Death-in Service</u>	(8.6)	N/A	(8.9)	N/A	(3.0)	N/A
Withdrawal	4.6	N/A	4.0	N/A	3.8	N/A
Salary Increases	39.6	78.2	48.0	116.8	45.4	102.8
Investment Income	(1.9)	(15.0)	(3.2)	(21.3)	(0.9)	(10.6)
Post Retirement Mortality	N/A	15.2	N/A	20.3	N/A	(19.0)
Contribution	4.8	8.7	4.7	8.5	0.0	26.1
Miscellaneous	1.7	4.2	5.3	5.3	0.3	3.5
EXPERIENCE RELATED (GAIN)/LOSS	38.0	91.3	129.5	129.6	42.8	102.8
Method Change for Casualty Cost			39.8			
Changes Due to Plan Amendments	0.0	0.0	14.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL (GAIN)/LOSS DURING 3 YEAR PERIOD	\$38.0	\$91.3	\$184.2	\$129.6	\$42.8	\$102.8

5-

Schedule 3.

Employees Active at Both Beginning & End of 1981

Age Group Beg. Year	No.	Beginning Salary	Ending Salary	% Increase In Salary
30-34	1	\$ 23,100	\$ 25,176	9.0%
35-39	2	46,200	50,352	9.0
40-44	2	46,200	50,352	9.0
45-49		46,200	50,352	9.0
TOTALS	7	\$161,700	\$176,232	9.0%

Employees Active at Either Beginning or End of 1981

Years Service	Beginning of Year	End of Year	
0 1 2 3 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
5 or more	7	7	

Average Age: 42.0 years. Average Service: 16.2 years.

Schedule 4.

Separations From Active Service (Other Than Age & Service Retirement) During 1981

Age at Termination	With Actual	drawal Expected	Disa Actual	bility Expected	Act	De ual	eath Expected
30-34 35-39 40-44		* * 0.1		* * *			* * *
45-49	·	*		*	-		*
TOTALS	0	0.1	0	0.0		0	0.0

* Less than 0.1%

Years Service at Termination	Actual	Expected
1 2 3 4	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
5 or more		0.1
TOTALS	0	0.1

Average age at separation: N/A years. Average service at separation: N/A years.

Schedule 5.

Separations From Active Service For Age & Service Retirement

Age at	19	979	1	980	19	981
Termination	Actual	Expected	Actual	Expected	Actual	Expected
51 54			1			
TOTALS	0	0.0	2	0.0	0	0.0

Average age at retirement during period examined was 52.5 years. Average service at retirement during period examined was 20 years.

Schedule 6.

Death After Retirement (Disability and Service Retirants)

Age at Death	Actual	979 Expected	19 Actual	980 Expected	19 Actual	981 Expected
45-49		0.0081				
50 - 54		0.0111		0.0312		0.0343
55-59		0.0142		0.0296		0.0320
60-64		0.0497		0.0540		0.0258
65-69		0.0731		0.0787		0.1173
TOTALS	0	0.1562	0	0.1935	0	0.2094

COMMENTS

Economic Assumptions and Financing Method

The economic assumptions of 5% annual investment return and 3 1/2% annual salary increases are established by state law. State law also specifies that the annual minimum obligation of the municipality shall be determined by adding (i) the employer normal cost percent times covered payroll to (ii) the <u>level dollar</u> amount required to amortize the unfunded accrued liability by December 31, 2010.

Over the past few years, both the actual rates of salary increase and investment return have generally exceeded the assumed rates, resulting in increases in the dollar amount of unfunded accrued liabilities. If the financial experiences of recent years persist, and the economic assumptions and financing method are not changed, it is reasonable to expect that unfunded accrued liabilities will increase in actual dollar amount for a number of years. This is true even though a level dollar amortization schedule is being followed. Accordingly, it is reasonable to expect that under the described conditions the actual dollar contributions required to make amortization payments will increase for a number of years. On the other hand, if inflation subsides and actual economic activity approaches assumed experience, it is reasonable to expect the dollar amount of the contribution to amortize the unfunded accrued liability to remain relatively constant. The notion that amortization dollar amounts may be increasing is not necessarily cause for alarm. If adjusted for changes in purchasing power, any future increases in the dollar contributions may or may not reflect increases in terms of real dollars (inflation adjusted dollars).

It is also worth noting that when the same assumptions and methods are applied to plans which differ in nature, the valuation results may not be comparable (for example, it is currently not valid to compare valuation results for a plan having full escalation to valuation results for a plan having a 3 1/2% cap on escalation.) Caution should be exercised when attempting to assess the financial condition of one Association relative to another on the basis of valuation results produced using the assumptions and methods mandated by state law.

APPENDICES

Valuation Methods and Assumptions

The Entry Age Normal Cost method was used to determine the normal cost of all benefits.

The rate of investment return (interest) used in making the valuation was 5.0 percent per annum, compounded annually. State law requires use of this assumption.

The mortality table used was the United States Life Table, 1959-61, White Males and White Females.

		Single Lit sent Value vel	<u>chly</u> asing	Future	Life	
Sample	For	Life	3.5%	(early	Expectanc	y (Years)
Ages	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
	and closely designed on					
45	\$169.61	\$186.84	\$263.23	\$304.86	27.33	32.52
50	154.85	174.20	229.51	270.80	23.22	28.08
55	139.29	159.62	197.24	236.11	19.45	23.81
60	122.79	142.73	166.26	200.76	16.01	19.69
65	106.31	124.22	137.82	166.16	12.97	15.88
70	89.86	104.31	111.71	132.82	10.29	12.38
75	73.39	83.92	87.66	101.94	7.92	9.28
80	57.54	64.24	66.29	74.77	5.89	6.67

Age & service retirement was assumed to occur at age 56, or attained age if older.

Sample Rates of Separation From Active Employment Before Retirement, Death or Disability

Sample	% of Active Members
Ages	Separating Within Next Year
20	3.00%
25	2.50
30	2.00
35	1.50
40	1.00
45	0.50
50+	0.00

Sample Ages	Present Pay Resulting in Pay of \$1,000 at Age 60	Percent Increase in Pay During Next Year
20	\$ 253	3.5%
25	300	3.5
30	356	3.5
35	423	3.5
40	503	3.5
45 50 55 60	597 709 842 1,000	3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5

Pay Adjustment Factor used to Project Current Pays

Use of the pay adjustment factor illustrated above is required by state law.

Disability retirements were assumed to occur as indicated below:

Sample	% of Active Members Becoming
Ages	Disabled Within Next Year
20	0.08%
25	0.08
30	0.08
35	0.08
40	0.20
45	0.26
50	0.49
55	0.89

Brief Summary (12/31/81) of Benefit Provisions Evaluated and/or Considered

Age & Service Retirement

Eligibility. 20 years of service and 50 years of age.

<u>Amount.</u> For first 20 years of service, 35/80 of base pay at retirement. For each year in excess of 20, an additional 1/80 is added up to a maximum of 40/80 of base pay for 25 or more years of service.

Pay Used For Plan Purposes. "Base pay" means the salary of a top patrolman.

Disability Retirement

<u>Eligibility.</u> Disabled to the extent that unable to perform duties of patrolman before being eligible for age & service retirement.

Amount. 35/80 of salary at time of disability.

Member's Death While Active, Or In Deferred Status, Or Retired

Eligibility.

<u>Spouse.</u> Legally married to member at separation from service and residing with member at time of death. Benefits terminate upon remarriage.

Child. Younger than age 18.

Amount.

<u>Spouse.</u> 2/3 of amount member was receiving or would have received. Minimum benefit based on 20 years of service and base pay.

Child. \$600 per year per child.

<u>Maximum Family Benefit.</u> Amount member was receiving or would have been eligible to receive.

<u>Vested Deferred.</u> 20 years of service and separated before age 50. Payment beginning is deferred to attainment of age 50.

Post Retirement Adjustments ("Escalator"). Each time base pay is changed, payments to age & service and surviving spouse benefit recipients are changed by the same percent that base pay changes. Disability benefits are changed by the percent change in the salary of an active patrolman holding the rank that the disabled member held at the time of the disability. Children's benefits are not escalated.

<u>Member Contributions.</u> 8% of base pay. Total contributions are refundable, without interest, if no benefit is payabgle upon separation from service. When member has 25 years of service contributions cease.