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## The effects of the pandemic across the state are starting to show up in the data.
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## The takeaways for 2022

## People

In 2020 and 2021, the decades-long trend of population loss in rural counties and population gain in urban ones was disrupted by the pandemic in a noticeable way.

- The newest population estimates reveal that rural Minnesota counties experienced a population increase from in-migration, while at the same time, the Twin Cities' urban counties experienced enough out-migration to result in their first population decline in many years. Meanwhile, Greater Minnesota counties with higher percentages of non-white populations continued to see growth as well.
- It should be noted that these recent shifts are sudden and modest. Unless something happens to cause significant changes over the long term in in-migration, either internationally or from other states, Minnesota's population is still projected to decline across much of the state over the next 20 to 30 years.


## Economic Vitality

There are only a few significant differences among the industries that employ Minnesota residents when comparing urban and rural areas.

- The education and health services sector is the largest employer in a majority of Minnesota counties.
- Rural counties have a higher percentage of people employed in agriculture and government jobs or who are self-employed, while the Twin Cities area has a significant share of people employed in the professional and business services sector, which includes jobs like management of companies, legal advice and representation, and accounting.
- Greater Minnesota's climbing workforce vacancy rates paused only briefly during the pandemic and are now at all-time highs. The largest increases in wages for job vacancies have occurred in Greater Minnesota as well, although wages are now rising in the Twin Cities as the workforce shortage hits the metro area.
- Although rural Minnesota's median wages are still below those of the Twin Cities, the region's lower cost of living makes up for the difference.


## Agriculture

After a rollercoaster decade, farmers appear to be getting some relief.

- After a decline in land values from their peak in 2014, prices have plateaued but continue to be historically high. Land along the western side of the state has increased in value as much as 700\% since 2000.
- Ag markets have improved over the last few years. Average incomes are finally exceeding expenses, resulting in some of the highest net incomes farms have seen recently.


## People

## Domestic migration driving changes in population growth rates.

While a majority of the state's most rural counties experienced a steady population decline during the 2010s, a shift seems to have occurred at the beginning of the 2020s.

In 2019, 46 counties (all rural) had a lower population than in 2010, but just two years later, in 2021, only 37 counties (all rural) had lower populations compared to 2010. There are two factors that drive population change: natural change (births minus deaths) and migration (out- and in-migration). And in Greater Minnesota, population growth can typically be found in three types of counties: counties that are considered recreational (central lakes), counties where non-white populations are concentrated (e.g. Nobles), and in metropolitan counties such as Blue Earth and Olmsted. The counties seeing growth since 2019, however, don't fit into these three types.

Percent change in population from 2010-2021
Quite a few rural counties now have a higher population compared to 2010.


Figure 1: Population gains outside of the seven-county metro are in "recreational" counties and where non-white populations are

Migration during the pandemic has been driving this distinct population shift that is now showing up in the data. Figure 2 provides the annual change in numbers of people for 2010-2019 and 2020-2021 based on both natural change and migration. As the data show, population driven by in-migration between 2020 and 2021 (orange) is significantly different from the average annual inmigration between 2010 and 2019 (red). For example, the entirely rural group of counties experienced an average out-migration of 276 people per year between 2010 and 2019. Between 2020 and 2021, they experienced an in-migration of 518 people. This shift is similar across town/rural and urban/town/rural mix counties. Interestingly, entirely urban counties experienced just the opposite, a significant out-migration between 2020 and 2021 that overwhelmed their modest growth through natural change.

Average annual components of population change, 2010-2019 \&

## 2020-2021

Rural areas experiencing a significant in-migration


## Urban/town/rural mix




Entirely urban


Figure 2 : Compared to the annual change from 2010 to 2019, rural areas of Minnesota are experiencing population gains due to significant in-migration. Data: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates

But it wasn't this way for all entirely urban counties. The loss was mostly felt in the seven-county metro, not necessarily in the urban areas in Greater Minnesota. Figure 3 provides just the net migration change and splits up our entirely urban counties into two groups: entirely urban counties in Greater Minnesota-counties with the largest population centers-and the seven-county Twin Cities metro. The chart shows that the Twin Cities metro took the brunt of the loss due to out-migration-between 2020 and 2021, 19,764 residents left the seven-county metro region, compared to gaining nearly 10,000 annually from in-migration between 2010 and 2019. On the other hand, Greater Minnesota's population centers continued to grow, gaining more than 1,600 residents in 2020-2021, compared to an annual average of 1,100 previously.

## Average annual migration change, 2010-2019 \& 2020-2021

Major shift in migration between 2020 and 2021



Town/rural mix



Seven County Mpls-St Paul


Figure 3: Entirely urban counties outside of the seven-county metro continued to experience net in-migration in 2020-2021, similar to 2010-2019. On the other hand, the seven-county metro experienced out-migration from 2020-2021 which was opposite of the 2010-2019
trends. Data: U.S. Census Bureau - Population Estimates

## Annual change in population

Population change in rural areas are trending positivre


Figure 4: In 2015, population trends began to shift toward growth for Minnesota's rural counties. Data: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates

As Figure 4 indicates, the positive change in population in rural areas mirrors the trend seen in Figure 1 and is a significant deviation from the last 20 years. From 2000 to 2010, our more rural areas of Minnesota experienced modest population loss each year or very little growth. However, around 2015 the trends started shifting, and by 2020, Minnesota counties in the entirely rural group were experiencing modest population gains.

## Population gains partially driven by race and ethnicity

In Greater Minnesota, nonwhite and Latino populations tend to be concentrated in a few areas, such as St. Cloud, Worthington, and Rochester, while the demographics in the rest of the region have stayed largely unchanged. The counties where these populations are concentrated in Greater Minnesota are the counties that usually experience population gains in Greater Minnesota. This becomes more evident in the southern half of Minnesota, where much of the overall population decline is concentrated in counties with low percentages of non-white or Latino populations. Unfortunately, the race and ethnicity data for 2020 and 2021 has not be released yet as of this writing. Figure 5 provides the 2019 data.


Figure 5: Non-white and Latino populations typically make up a larger percentage of the population in southern Minnesota and in northern counties that are home to native American communities. The Greater Minnesota counties with the highest percentages of minority populations also tend to see the highest gains in their

## People recruitment: in-migration of 30- to 49-year-olds

One aspect of migration data that can be hidden is the trend in migration by age group. Even though most rural areas have been experiencing an overall out-migration, it is not always a loss among all age groups. In fact, many rural counties see an in-migration of people between the ages of 30 and 49. In lake regions, that age range extends out to include even older households as they retire and move to lake homes.

Many rural development organizations, county boards, and municipal organizations are participating in "people recruitment" strategies to take advantage of this migration pattern, which is well documented by the University of Minnesota Extension[1]and in our report on recruiting workforce.

Figure 6 provides a glimpse into this trend. For any location in the state, it can be expected that if all conditions stay the same, the number of 25 - to 29 -year-olds counted in the 2010 Census will be equal to the number of 15 - to 19 -year-olds in the 2000 Census-the same people, just ten years older. All conditions do not stay the same, however: at the end of that ten-year period there may be more or fewer people than would be expected for that age grouphence an in-migration or out-migration.

Such is the case in Minnesota. Between 2000 and 2010, almost all rural counties experienced an out-migration of people who would be 25 to 29 years old in 2010 (Figure 6). They had migrated away somewhere in the previous ten years. But while this age group was migrating out, the next age group older, those entering their early 30s in 2010, were migrating into these rural counties. The question now, of course, is whether the 2020 Census will show this trend continuing. Given the patterns seen in figures 2 and 3 , this trend likely held steady through the 2010-2020 decade as well.

## Change in age cohort from previous census



Figure 6: The percent change in the number of 25- to 29-year-olds between 2000 and 2010. All counties outside the Twin Cities area

## Economic vitality

Like the state's urban areas, the rural economy is diverse, and while the education and health services sector is the top employer in most counties, other industries, such as agriculture in the western counties, are also significant.

## Where do people work?

Note: One issue that arises when looking at jobs and employment in rural areas is that many data sources only capture workers covered by unemployment insurance, which does not include most farm jobs. The information provided below is a mix of two data sources. Although mixing these data can be problematic, we feel that doing so more accurately captures the employment impacts of agriculture on the state's economy. It should also be kept in mind that, as our report on the impact of agriculture on rural Minnesota's economy. shows, a large part of what we think of as agriculture-food processing, non-food processing, commodities trading-is in reality "ag-related industry" but is categorized into several separate industry sectors, including manufacturing, transportation, and financial.

As Figure 7 shows, the highest percentage of employment continues to be in the education and health services industry sector across most of Minnesota, but agriculture becomes more prominent in western counties, leisure and hospitality in a few northern counties, and manufacturing in central and southern Minnesota (Figure 7).

## Top employment industry, 2021

Education and health services top employment industry across Minnesota


Figure 7: Education and health services is the top employer in most Minnesota counties. Other industries take the top spot where
expected, such as agriculture in western counties and leisure and hospitality in some northern counties. Data: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages; Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Area Personal Income and Employment.

Besides education and health services, the top industries around the state in terms of employment include trade, transportation, and utilities; leisure and hospitality; manufacturing; construction; farm employment; and professional and business services. The one significant difference between the regions is the high employment in the professional and business services in the entirely urban group of counties (Table 1).

Table 1: 2019 top five employment industries by RUCA group. Includes percent of total employment in each industry. Data: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Area Personal Income and Employment


Another difference is in the percentage of people employed by government. Government is a major employer in many rural counties, where the need for a baseline of services can be disproportionate to the population. In 2021, $16 \%$ of total jobs in the entirely rural county group were in government, $13 \%$ in the town/rural group and urban/town/rural group, and 10\% in the entirely urban county groups (Figure 8).

## Percentage of jobs in government

Rural areas continue to have highest percentage of total jobs in government


Figure 8: Government jobs include the executive, legislative, judicial, administrative, and regulatory activities of federal, state, and local
governments and the military, plus government enterprises, which are government agencies that cover a substantial portion of their operating costs by selling goods and services to the public. These types of jobs make up a significantly higher percentage of the jobs outside of the entirely urban areas. Data: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Personal Income and Employment

## Percentage of jobs in government, 2021

Northern and West Central Minnesota have the highest percentages


Figure 9: The highest percentage of jobs in government is in northern and western Minnesota. Data: Bureau of Economic

It's no surprise that farming is a significant source of employment for the more rural areas of the state. Western counties have the highest percentage of employment in agriculture, with many over 20\%. The largest share is in Marshall County, where $32 \%$ of employment is in agriculture. However, in most southern Minnesota counties, $10 \%$ or fewer of the jobs are in agriculture (Figure 10).

## Percentage of jobs in farm employment, 2021

Farming is $20 \%$ and $30 \%$ of employment along the western border


Figure 10: Farm employment is the number of workers engaged in the direct production of agricultural commodities, either livestock or
crops, whether as a sole proprietor, partner, or hired laborer. These workers as a percentage of employment typically make up $20 \%$ or more of total employment in counties dominated by agricultural. Data: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Region Personal Income and Employment \& U.S. Census Bureau, ACS 5-year

Another notable characteristic of employment in rural regions is the number of non-employers and self-employed. The state's most rural regions have a higher percentage of these entities in relation to total jobs compared to more urban regions (Figure 11). It's particularly high in northern counties, where non-employers and self-employed can represent $12 \%$ to $18 \%$ of total jobs. The highest percentage is in Hubbard and Cook County with 18\% (Figure 12).

## Non-employers/self-employed as a percentage of total jobs

Rural areas have a high proportion of non-employers


Figure 11: The percentage of the workforce recognized as operating non-employer businesses is significant in most rural areas of

Minnesota. Being a non-employer means an individual operates a non-farm business with no employees, has annual business receipts of at least $\$ 1,000$, and is subject to federal income tax. Data: Census

Bureau, Non-Employer Statistics

## Number of self-employed/non-employers

as a percent of jobs, 2019
Northern Minnesota has a higher concentration of non-employers


Figure 12: The highest number of self-employed and non-employers as a percentage of total jobs are in northern Minnesota. Hubbard

## Lower wages but lower cost of living

The gap in wages between rural and metro regions garners a lot of attention. Policy makers and other leaders continue to point at this gap as cause for concern. Although the gap in earnings persists, however, it's important to note that when factoring in the lower cost of living, earnings and wages in our rural areas can be quite competitive with metropolitan areas.
"Average earnings by place of work" shows the wages workers make, as opposed to their income, which can include both earned income, such as wages, and unearned income, such as interest and dividends. "Jobs" includes both full-time and part-time jobs (but is not the same as "employment" or "workers," since one worker can hold more than one job at a time) and includes wage and salary jobs, sole proprietorships, and individual general partnerships, but not unpaid family workers or volunteers. This measure can be especially useful when assessing the economic vitality of areas in Greater Minnesota since it takes into account farm and non-employer incomes that are not captured in many other economic measurements.

Figure 13 shows the gap in average earnings between the entirely urban county group and the other three county groups and demonstrates how, in the entirely rural county group, earnings can follow the whims of the ag economy. These counties experienced a
significant increase between 2011 and 2013 followed by a sharp decline. Over the last few years, it's increased again significantly. Figure 14 paints this picture as well. The highest earnings per job outside of the seven-county metro are in ag-dominated counties, whereas the lowest are in the central lakes region.

Currently, average earnings in the entirely rural county group are $75 \%$ of average earnings for the state, while average earnings in the town/rural group and the urban/town/rural mixed group are 78\% and $80 \%$ respectively.


Figure 13: Earnings per job shows a persistent gap between entirely urban counties and the rest of the state. Agricultural income can
have a significant impact on entirely rural counties, which can be seen especially between 2008 and 2014. Data: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Personal Income and Employment

## Earnings per job, 2021

Lowest earnings per job in the central lakes region


Figure 14: Earnings per job is significantly higher in the seven-county metro area while moderately high earnings are scattered throughout

Greater Minnesota. Counties in southern Minnesota typically have higher earnings per job than counties in northern Minnesota. Data:

Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Area Personal Income and

> Employment

Between 2001 and 2021, the entirely rural group saw the largest growth in earnings per job at 113\%, similar to the other county groups but still not enough to close the earnings gap between this group and the entirely urban group (Figure 15).


Figure 15: Increases in earnings among rural counties were significantly higher during the recession but have since dropped and

An often-overlooked aspect of Greater Minnesota's economy is the variation in the cost of living from region to region. Part of the narrative surrounding the gap in wages is the assumption that lower earnings will make it harder to make ends meet. The other half of that equation, the cost of living, is just as important to consider.

Figure 16 provides a map highlighting the percentage that the median wage of that county covers the cost of living in that county. The cost of living is calculated by MN DEED for a three-person household, one person working full-time and another working parttime with one child needing childcare. As the map shows, even though wages tend to be lower in Greater Minnesota, they do tend to cover the local cost of living as well. Much of that difference is due to lower housing costs in rural areas.

Median wages as a percent of cost of living, 2021
Lower wages make up higher percentage of the cost of living in rural Minnesota


Figure 16: Even though wages in Greater Minnesota tend to be lower than in metro regions, they are still competitive when taking the

## Greater Minnesota feeling pressure to fill job vacancies

Job vacancies were increasing across the state and were at their highest levels at any point since 2005 until the pandemic broke that trend, at least for a short time. Job vacancies increased significantly in 2021, and they are expected to continue to do so due to retirements in the workforce, including the many early retirements brought on by the pandemic, as well as continued economic growth.

To get a sense of the pressure a region might feel in filling these vacancies, Figure 17 provides the average quarterly number of job vacancies for each year as a percentage of total jobs in the region. The higher the percentage, the more challenging it is to fill the positions. Northeast Minnesota is currently experiencing the highest percentage, with an average quarterly vacancy rate of $9.35 \%$. While the Twin Cities metro has been continuously lower in job vacancies, it spiked with the rest of the state in 2021.

Job vacancies as a percent of total employment
Highest job vacancy rates exist in Greater Minnesota


Figure 17: The job vacancy rate is the ratio of vacant job positions to all jobs. A high vacancy rate indicates a strong demand for workers.

The highest job vacancy rates are found outside of the Twin Cities seven-county metro. Data: MN DEED Job Vacancy Survey

Directly related to job vacancies is the median wage, which continues to rise across the state. Although the median wage for all job vacancies continues to be lower in all of Greater Minnesota's regions compared to the seven-county metro area, the largest increases between 2005 and 2017 were in Greater Minnesota, closing the gap considerably as rural regions felt the worker shortage earlier and more acutely. Now that the seven-county metro is also beginning to feel the pinch for workers, their wages have begun to increase significantly as well after remaining flat from 2009 to 2016 (Figure 18).

## Median wages of job vacancies

Wages for job vacancies increase as employers feel pinch for workers


Figure 18: The median wages of all job vacancies in regions outside the Twin Cities are increasing steadily, as are the wages in the Twin

## Use of government payments are greatest in the most rural areas

Social Security payments are made up of monthly payments to retired and disabled persons, their dependents and survivors, plus lump-sum payments to survivors but does not include medical payments. The distribution of Social Security dollars from county to county is largely a reflection of the distribution of senior citizens. Therefore, we expect the highest per-capita payments to be in the most rural areas (Figure 19).

## Social security payments per capita, 2021

Northern MN has some of the highest social security payments per capita


Figure 19: Not surprisingly, the largest Social Security payments are in counties with higher percentages of 65-year-olds or older. Data:

Bureau of Economic Analysis Local Region Personal Income and Employment, U.S. Census Bureau ACS 5-year

Public assistance payments include family assistance, food stamp payments, general assistance, supplemental security payments and other income maintenance benefits for families in need. It does not include medical payments or farm program payments.

The highest income maintenance benefits per capita continue to be in more rural areas. A few counties in northern Minnesota, where poverty rates tend to be higher, have some of the highest per-capita payments, exceeding an average of $\$ 1,750$ per person (Figure 20).

Annual income maintenance payments per capita
2021
Highest income maintenance payments per capita exist in northern Minnesota


Figure 20: Public assistance payments include family assistance, food stamp payments, general assistance, supplemental security

## Agriculture

After peaking in 2014, farmland values are stabilizing, as has net income for farmers after years of large fluctuations. (For more on this topic, see our report, "The Impact of Minnesota's Farm

## Economy on Greater Minnesota.")

## Land values stabilizing after decline from 2014 peak, still historically high

Current land value estimates by the University of Minnesota Land Economics department remain historically high as they continue to reflect in part the high returns from farming between 2008 and 2012 (Figure 21). Although prices declined somewhat after their peak, the overall value per acre has stayed relatively stable over the last five years. In 2021, the value of ag land per acre for Minnesota was $\$ 4,778$, down 14\% from 2014. Demand for farmland for residential and commercial development continues to support values, as can be seen in the urban and suburban counties of the Twin Cities, where ag land values are the highest (Figure 22).


Figure 21: Farmland is defined as all agricultural 2a land, including Green Acres, minus the house/garage/first acre and the building site.

This was called "deeded" land prior to 2009. The significant increase in value between 2011 and 2014 is due to the high returns from
farming, while increasing pressure for residential and commercial development is keeping values up in and around metropolitan areas.

Data: University of Minnesota Land Economics

## Value of agricultural land per acre, 2021

Besides southern Minnesota, ag land values in seven county metro are also high due to demand for residential and commercial development


Figure 22: The value of agricultural land is highest in the Twin Cities metropolitan area, where this disappearing resource feels continued
pressure from commercial and residential development. Data: University of Minnesota Land Economics

Despite the slower growth, however, the value of farmland is still at historic highs. The value of ag land located along the western border of Minnesota grew as much as $700 \%$ between 2000 and 2021. Most of Minnesota's other regions experienced considerable increases as well, between $200 \%$ and $400 \%$ (Figure 23).

Change in ag land value, 2000-2021
Largest growth in ag land values are in western Minnesota


Figure 23: Change in agricultural land values since 2000 shows considerable variation across the state. The largest increases have

## Net income for farming improved in 2021 compared to the previous two years

Figure 24 shows the cost of production and cash receipts received per acre for farmers in Minnesota. These elements are defined as:

- Total cash receipts: gross revenue received by farmers from the sale of crops, livestock, and livestock products; and of the value of defaulted loans made by Commodity Credit Corporation and secured by crops.
- Production expenses: purchases of feed, livestock and poultry, seed, fertilizer, agricultural chemicals and lime, and petroleum products; labor expenses; machinery rental and custom work; animal health costs; and all other expenses, including depreciation.

Starting in 2015 and continuing into 2020, the cost of production has equaled or exceeded the cash receipts for farmers in Minnesota due to increases in costs of inputs and decreased commodity prices. Things improved a bit in 2021 when the cost of production was $\$ 796.31$ per acre while cash receipts were $\$ 872.19$ per acre (Figure 24). Although nowhere near the fantastic returns from 2008 to 2012, it's still a positive for farmers.

## Financial components of farms per acre, 2019

Positive net income in 2021


- Cash receipts from marketings (thousands of dollars) Production expenses

Figure 24: After a period between 2014 and 2020 when the overall cost of production equaled or exceeded cash receipts for farms per
acre, farmers are once again making a profit. Data: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Region Personal Income and Employment

Federal government payments to farm operators consist of deficiency payments under price support programs for specific commodities, disaster payments, conservation payments, and direct payments to farmers under federal appropriations legislation.

The bulk of government payments in 2021 are attributable to agricultural commodity programs. In Minnesota, the median payment was $6 \%$ of total farm income. The largest percentages were in northern Minnesota, where they ranged from 10\% to 20\%.

Percent of income from government payments, 2021
Government subsidies are $2.5 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ of farm income


Figure 25: The bulk of government payments to farmers in 2021 are attributable to agricultural commodity programs. The average payments were 6\% of total income across Minnesota. Data: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Region Personal Income and Employment

When including government payments, farm income (which is total cash receipts and income minus all expenses) gets above breakeven, and farmers have a bit of net income for the year. The highest net income for farms exists in the southern half of Minnesota for 2021 (Figure 26).

Net income per acres, 2021
Counties with the highest net income per acre are in Southwest Minnesota


Figure 26: Net income includes cash receipts from marketings, government subsidies, and other income while subtracting the cost of production. In a majority of southern Minnesota counties, farmers made more than $\$ 100$ per acre. Data: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Local Region Personal Income and Employment

## Appendix: Rural-Urban Commuting Areas

Throughout this report we present information using four county groups developed by the State Demographer and Minnesota's Demographic Center derived from the USDA's Rural-Urban Commuting Area codes. This definition provides a handy way to look at counties by similar characteristics rather than location.

Staff at the Minnesota Demographic Center examined each Census tract in the state to determine its "type" using the definitions in the Rural-Urban Commuting Area framework (explained below). Each county was then classified by its "mix" of Census tracts. For example, if a county has one Census tract that can be defined as "small town" and all other Census tracts could be defined as rural, the county is categorized as "town/rural mix." The number of counties within each category are i) entirely rural: 14; ii) town/rural mix: 35 ; iii) urban/town/rural mix: 25; and iv) entirely urban: 13.

Figure 27 shows how each county is categorized.

County categorizations based on rural-urban commuting areas


Figure 27: These categorizations are based on an analysis of the rural-urban commuting areas at each county's census tract level.

The United State Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service developed the Rural-Urban Commuting Area codes as a way to define geographic areas using more than population alone. These codes incorporate population density, urbanization, and daily commuting to define a geographic area. Below are the ten primary RUCA codes, grouped into their four geography definitions.

## Urban Definition

| $\mathbf{1}$ | Census tract is situated at the metropolitan area's core and the <br> primary commuting flow is within an urbanized area of 50,000 <br> residents or more. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Census tract is within a metropolitan area and has higher primary <br> commuting (30\% or more) to an urbanized area of 50,000 residents <br> or more. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Census tract is within a metropolitan area and has lower primary <br> commuting (10-30\%) to an urbanized area of 50,000 residents or <br> more. |

## Large Town Definition

Census tract is situated at a micropolitan area's core and the primary
4 commuting flow is within a larger urban cluster of 10,000 to 49,999 residents.

Census tract is within a micropolitan area and has higher primary commuting ( $30 \%$ or more) to a larger urban cluster of 10,000 to 49,999 residents.

Census tract is within a micropolitan area and has lower primary commuting (10-30\%) to a larger urban cluster of 10,000 to 49,999 residents.

## Small Town Definition

| $\mathbf{7}$ | Census tract has a primary commuting flow within a small urban <br> cluster of 2,500 to 9,999 residents. |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Census tract has higher primary commuting (30\% or more) to a small <br> urban cluster of 2,500 to 9,999 residents. |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Census tract has lower primary commuting (10-30\%) to a small urban <br> cluster of 2,500 to 9,999 residents. |

## Rural Definition

Census tract has a primary commuting flow outside of urban areas and urban clusters.

The Minnesota State Demographer's office analyzed each county to determine the combinations of census tract types in each one. The counties were then categorized into 4 groups:,

- Entirely rural: every census tract was rural;
- Town/rural mix: the county had at least one census tract that was rural, and small or large town census tracts;
- Urban/town/rural mix: the county had at least one census tract that was rural, small or large town, and urban; and,
- Entirely urban: every census tract was urban.

For more information about these definitions check out their report

- "Greater Minnesota: Refined \& Revisited"

Four primary RUCA definitions by census tract


Figure 28: Each census tract was given one of the four definitions from the table above. Data: MN State Demographic Office

Center For Rural Policy and Development

PO Box 3185
Mankato, MN 56002-3185
(507) 934-7700
(877) RURALMN (toll free)

Privacy Policy

Connect With Us
F is in You STAY CONNECTED

Gold
Transparency
2022
Candid.

