1 cops

The Report of the GAIN/LOSS ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL EXPERIENCE During calendar 1981
Red Wing Police Relief Association Red Wing, Minnesota

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Pages</u>	Item		
1	Signature Page		
2	Purpose of Gain/Loss Analysis		
3	Activity Which Results in Gains or Losses		
	Composite Results of Gain/Loss Analysis		
4	1981		
5	1979 thru 1981		
6	Schedule of Active Employees		
7	Schedule of Separations From Active Service		
8	Schedule of Age & Service Retirement		
9	Schedule of Death After Retirement		
10	Comments		
Appendices			
	Valuation Methods and Assumptions		
	Summary of Ronofit Provisions		

# GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH & COMPANY ACTUARIES & CONSULTANTS

2090 First National Building Detroit, Michigan 48226 Area 313: 961-3346

June 30, 1982

Board of Trustees

Red Wing Police Relief Association

Red Wing, Minnesota

<u>Submitted in this report</u> are the results of the 1981 <u>gain/loss analysis</u> of the financial experiences of the Red Wing Police Relief Association.

The <u>composite results</u> of this study are reported on Schedule 1, and comments regarding the results are on page 10.

The gain/loss analysis was based upon statistical data furnished by the Association regarding active and retired member changes and related financial transactions.

The actuarial assumptions used for regular valuation purposes and which produce "expected" experience data are shown in the appendix of this report. A brief summary of the Association's benefits is also included in the appendix.

Respectfully submitted,

Gary W. Findlay

Robert M. O'Keetle

#### PURPOSE OF GAIN/LOSS ANALYSIS

Actual financial experience will not coincide exactly with assumed financial experience—differences are to be expected since the future cannot be predicted with absolute precision. The changes in computed liabilities resulting from differences between actual and assumed experiences are called <u>actuarial gains</u>, if the experience was financially favorable and <u>actuarial losses</u>, if the experience was financially unfavorable. Actuarial gains result in decreases in contribution rates and actuarial losses result in increases.

Regular actuarial valuations provide information about aggregate computed liabilities. However, regular valuations do not develop the information needed to explain the year to year changes in computed liabilities attributable to each activity within the retirement system financial mechanism. The purpose of a gain/loss analysis is to determine the change in computed liabilities and contribution rates attributable to variations between actual and assumed experience.

Once a difference between actual and assumed experience in a risk area has been observed to be sizeable and persistent, the assumed experience should be changed to reflect the observed reality. However, gains and losses over a relatively short period of time may not be indicative of long term trends which provide the basis for selection of actuarial assumptions.

#### Age & Service Retirement.

If members retire at older ages than assumed, there is a gain. If retirements occur at younger ages than assumed there is a loss.

#### Disability & Death-in-Service.

If casualty claims are less than assumed, there is a gain. If there are more casualty losses than assumed, there is a loss.

# Withdrawal.

If more liabilities are released by withdrawal than assumed, there is a gain.

If there are fewer withdrawals than assumed, there is a loss.

#### Salary Increases.

If there are smaller salary increases than assumed, there is a gain.

If salary increases are greater than assumed, there is a loss.

#### Investment Income.

If there is greater investment income than assumed, there is a gain.

If investment income is less than assumed, there is a loss.

# Post Retirement Mortality.

If benefit recipients die at younger ages than assumed, there is a gain.

If they live longer than assumed, there is a loss.

#### Contribution.

Gains or losses arise due to the delay in implementing changes in the recommended contribution.

# Miscellaneous.

Miscellaneous gains and losses include changes due to data adjustments, rounding and changes in the average age and service characteristics of the group.

# Schedule 1.

Gains & Losses in Accrued Liabilities and Changes in Contribution Requirements During Calendar 1981

Type of Activity	(Gair Active Members	Retirants & Beneficiaries in 1,000)	Contribution (Gain) o Normal Cost % of Payroll	
Age & Service Retirements	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	0.19%	\$ 0.00
Disability & Death-in-Service				
a. <u>Disability</u>	216.80	N/A	0.00	14.20
b. <u>Death-in Service</u>	(9.73)	N/A	0.01	(0.64)
Withdrawal	12.41	N/A	(0.02)	0.81
Salary Increases	109.85	164.07	N/A	17.94
Investment Income	(7.70)	(52.86)	N/A	(3.97)
Post Retirement Mortality	N/A	(8.35)	N/A	(0.54)
Contribution	4.38	67.05	N/A	4.68
Miscellaneous	3.41	1.78	N/A	0.34
EXPERIENCE RELATED (GAIN)/LOSS & CORRESPONDING CHANGE IN	¢220 42	¢171 60	0.10%	¢22 02
CONTRIBUTION REQUIREMENTS	\$329.42	\$171.69	0.18%	\$32.82
Changes due to plan amendments	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL (GAIN)/LOSS DURING YEAR	\$329.42	\$171.69	0.18%	\$32.82

<sup>\*</sup> Accrued liabilities and contribution requirements are affected by gains and losses. Gains result in reductions in both and losses result in increases in both.

Schedule 2.

Gains & Losses in Accrued Liabilities From January 1, 1979 thru December 31, 1981

Type of Activity	Accrued (Gain Active Members	- 12/31/79 Liabilities ) or Loss Retirants & Beneficiaries 1,000)	Accrued (Gain) Active Members	- 12/31/80 Liabilities ) or Loss Retirants & Beneficiaries 1,000)	Accrued (Gain) Active Members	- 12/31/81 Liabilities or Loss Retirants & Beneficiaries n 1,000)
Age & Service Retirements	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 44.90	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Disability & Death-in-Service						
a. <u>Disability</u>	194.38	N/A	(4.45)	N/A	216.80	N/A
b. <u>Death-in Service</u>	(5.98)	N/A	(3.78)	N/A	(9.73)	N/A
Withdrawal	(70.12)	N/A	15.47	N/A	12.41	N/A
Salary Increases	146.11	24.67	299.33	205.41	109.85	164.07
Investment Income	(1.57)	(6.99)	(6.80)	(39.01)	(7.70)	(52.86)
Post Retirement Mortality	N/A	7.77	N/A	(180.47)	N/A	(8.35)
Contribution	40.45	33.53	10.11	12.24	4.38	67.05
Miscellaneous	16.54	205.97	(33.23)	(12.95)	3.41	1.78
EXPERIENCE RELATED (GAIN)/LOSS	\$319.81	\$264.95	\$321.55	\$(14.78)	\$329.42	\$171.79
Method Change for Casualty Cost			84.43			
Changes Due to Plan Amendments	N/A	N/A	N/A	56.80	0.00	0.00
TOTAL (GAIN)/LOSS DURING 3 YEAR PERIOD	\$319.81	\$264.95	\$405.98	\$ 42.02	\$329.42	\$171.79

Red Wing Police Relief Association

Schedule 3.

Employees Active at Both Beginning & End of 1981

Age Group Beg. Year	No.	Beginning Salary	Ending Salary	% Increase In Salary
30 <b>-</b> 34	3	\$ 60,804	\$ 66,600	9.5%
35 <b>-</b> 39	5	113,972	124,200	9.0
40 <b>-</b> 44	4	40,536	44,400	9.5
45 <b>-</b> 49		91,968	100,236	9.0
TOTALS	14	\$307,280	\$335,436	9.2%

Employees Active at Either Beginning or End of 1981

Years Service	Beginning of Year	End of Year
0 1 2 3 4		
5 or more	15	14

Average Age: 41.0 years.

Average Service: 15.1 years.

# Schedule 4.

Separations From Active Service (Other Than Age & Service Retirement) During 1981

Age at Termination	With Actual	drawal Expected	Disa Actual	bility Expected	De Actual	ath Expected
30-34 35-39		* 0.1	1	*		*
40-44 45-49	-	*		*	-	* * 
TOTALS	0	0.1	1	0.0	0	0.1

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.1%

Years Service at Termination	<u>Actual</u>	Expected
0 1 2 3 4		
5 or more		0.1
TOTALS	0	0.1

Average age at separation: N/A years.

Average service at separation: N/A years.

# Schedule 5.

# Separations From Active Service For Age & Service Retirement

Age at Termination	19	979	1	980	19	981
<u>Termination</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Expected	Actual	Expected	Actual	Expected
50			1			
TOTALS	0	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0

Average age at retirement during period examined was 50.0 years.

Average service at retirement during period examined was 25.8 years.

Red Wing Police Relief Association

# Schedule 6.

# Death After Retirement (Disability and Service Retirants)

Age at Death	Actual Actual	Expected	Actual 19	980 Expected	Actual	81 Expected
30-34		0.0017				
35-39		0.0022		0.0024		0.0046
50-54		0.0222		0.0333		0.0222
55-59		0.0426		0.0460		0.0641
65-69		0.0327		0.0352		0.0379
70-74		0.0630				
75-79	_	0.0735	,	0.1476	1	0.0735
TOTALS	0	0.2379	0	0.2645	1	0.2023


#### COMMENTS

# Economic Assumptions and Financing Method

The economic assumptions of 5% annual investment return and 3 1/2% annual salary increases are established by state law. State law also specifies that the annual minimum obligation of the municipality shall be determined by adding (i) the employer normal cost percent times covered payroll to (ii) the <u>level dollar</u> amount required to amortize the unfunded accrued liability by December 31, 2010.

Over the past few years, both the actual rates of salary increase and investment return have generally exceeded the assumed rates, resulting in increases in the dollar amount of unfunded accrued liabilities. If the financial experiences of recent years persist, and the economic assumptions and financing method are not changed, it is reasonable to expect that unfunded accrued liabilities will increase in actual dollar amount for a number of years. This is true even though a level dollar amortization schedule is being followed. Accordingly, it is reasonable to expect that under the described conditions the actual dollar contributions required to make amortization payments will increase for a number of years. On the other hand, if inflation subsides and actual economic activity approaches assumed experience, it is reasonable to expect the dollar amount of the contribution to amortize the unfunded accrued liability to remain relatively constant. The notion that amortization dollar amounts may be increasing is not necessarily cause for alarm. If adjusted for changes in purchasing power, any future increases in the dollar contributions may or may not reflect increases in terms of real dollars (inflation adjusted dollars).

It is also worth noting that when the same assumptions and methods are applied to plans which differ in nature, the valuation results may not be comparable (for example, it is currently not valid to compare valuation results for a plan having full escalation to valuation results for a plan having a 3 1/2% cap on escalation.) Caution should be exercised when attempting to assess the financial condition of one Association relative to another on the basis of valuation results produced using the assumptions and methods mandated by state law.



# Red Wing Police Relief Association Valuation Methods and Assumptions

The Entry Age Normal Cost method was used to determine the normal cost of all benefits.

The rate of investment return (interest) used in making the valuation was 5.0 percent per annum, compounded annually. State law requires use of this assumption.

The mortality table used was the United States Life Table, 1959-61, White Males and White Females.

Single Life Values:

Present Value of \$1 Monthly								
		Le	vel	Increa	asing	Future	Life	
	Sample	For	Life	3.5%	/early	Expectancy	y (Years)	
	Ages	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	-
	45	\$169.61	\$186.84	\$263.23	\$304.86	27.33	32.52	
	50	154.85	174.20	229.51	270.80	23.22	28.08	
	55	139.29	159.62	197.24	236.11	19.45	23.81	
	60	122.79	142.73	166.26	200.76	16.01	19.69	
	65	106.31	124.22	137.82	166.16	12.97	15.88	
	70	89.86	104.31	111.71	132.82	10.29	12.38	
	75	73.39	83.92	87.66	101.94	7.92	9.28	
	80	57.54	64.24	66.29	74.77	5.89	6.67	

Age & service retirement was assumed to occur at age 53, or attained age if older.

Sample Rates of Separation From Active Employment Before Retirement, Death or Disability

Sample	% of Active Members
Ages	Separating Within Next Year
20	3.00%
25	2.50
30	2.00
35	1.50
40	1.00
45	0.50
50+	0.00

Sample Ages	Present Pay Resulting in Pay of \$1,000 at Age 60	Percent Increase in Pay During Next Year
20	\$ 253	3.5%
25	300	3.5
30	356	3.5
35	423	3.5
40	503	3.5
45	597	3.5
50	709	3.5
55	842	3.5
60	1,000	3.5

Use of the pay adjustment factor illustrated above is required by state law.

# Disability retirements were assumed to occur as indicated below:

Sample Ages	ve Members Becoming Within Next Year
20 25 30 35 40	0.08% 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.20
45 50 55	0.26 0.49 0.89

# Brief Summary (12/31/81) of Benefit Provisions Evaluated and/or Considered

#### Age & Service Retirement

Eligibility. 20 years of service and 50 years of age.

Amount. 50% of final year's salary for rank held at retirement.

#### Disability Retirement

<u>Eligibility.</u> Disabled to the extent that no longer able to perform the duties of police officer before being eligible for age & service retirement.

Amount. 50% of salary at time of disability.(Off-set by worker's comp.)

# Member's Death While Active, Or In Deferred Status, Or Retired

#### Eligibility.

Spouse. Legally married to member at time of separation from service and residing with member at time of death. Benefits terminate upon remarriage.

Child. Younger than age 18 and dependent.

#### Amount.

Spouse. Same as age & service benefit. (Also, family maximum.)
Child. \$300 per child per year.

<u>Vested Deferred.</u> 20 years of service and separated before age 50. Payment beginning is deferred to attainment of age 50.

<u>Post Retirement Adjustments ("Escalator").</u> Benefits are increased in accordance with CPI increases if on active duty January 1, 1973 or later. (Applied to service, disability, and surviving spouse benefits.)

Member Contributions. 8% of basic salary. Total member contributions are refundable, without interest, if no monthly benefit is payable upon separation from service.