Report of AN ACTUARIAL VALUATION December 31, 1985 of the City of New Ulm Police Relief Association New Ulm, Minnesota

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pages	Item
1	Signature Page
A-1	Comments
A-2	Contribution Rate
A-3	Present Actuarial Condition
A-5	Comparative Contribution Schedule
A-6	Contribution Work Sheet
B-1	Retirant and Beneficiary Data
B-4	Active Member Data
B-6	Brief Summary of Benefits
C-1	Valuation Method and Assumptions
D-1	Accumulated Plan Benefits Schedule (for FASB 35 compliance)
Appendix I	Financial Principles and Operational Techniques

Appendix II Meaning of Unfunded Accrued Liabilities

GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH & COMPANY

ACTUARIES & CONSULTANTS

2090 First National Building Detroit, Michigan 48226 Area 313: 961-3346

March 24, 1986

Board of Trustees City of New Ulm Police Relief Association New Ulm, Minnesota

<u>Submitted in this report</u> are the results of the December 31, 1985 actuarial valuation of the assets, actuarial values, and contribution requirements associated with the benefits provided by the City of New Ulm Police Relief Association.

The valuation results contained in Section A provide the actuarial information needed to determine the employer's "minimum obligation" effective January 1, 1987. Section A also contains comments regarding the valuation results.

The valuation was based upon information furnished by the association concerning benefits, financial transactions, active members, terminated members, retirants and beneficiaries. Data was checked for year to year consistency but was not otherwise audited by us. This information is summarized in Section B.

Section C contains a description of the <u>actuarial funding method</u> and the risk experience assumptions used. The economic risk experience assumptions, as well as the actuarial funding method to be used, are established by state law.

Information needed to comply with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 35 is contained in Section D.

The actuarial valuation was prepared using generally accepted actuarial principles and practices based upon the methods, assumptions, summary of plan provisions and the member and financial data described in this report.

Respectfully submitted,

/dan

Gary W. Find

SECTION A RESULTS OF THE VALUATION

COMMENTS

Economic Assumptions and Financing Method

The economic assumptions of 5% annual investment return and 3-1/2% annual salary increases are established by state law. State law also specifies that the annual minimum obligation of the municipality shall be determined by adding (i) the employer normal cost percent times covered payroll to (ii) the <u>level dollar</u> amount required to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by December 31, 2010.

It is worth noting that when the same assumptions and methods are applied to plans which differ in nature, the valuation results may not be comparable (for example, it is currently not valid to compare valuation results for a plan having full escalation to valuation results for a plan having a 3-1/2% cap on escalation). Caution should be exercised when attempting to assess the financial condition of one Association relative to another on the basis of valuation results produced using the assumptions and methods mandated by state law.

Change due to Plan Amendment

A plan amendment has been enacted for a supplemental benefit for retirants of \$80 per month payable from date of retirement to age 65 to a member who retires after 10/15/85. This increased normal cost 0.40% of payroll, increased the amortization payment \$2,266 and increased unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities \$32,046.

In addition, member contributions were increased from 8.00% to 8.75% of payroll which increased refund normal cost by 0.02%, decreased the City's contribution by 0.73% of payroll, increased the amortization payment \$12 and increased unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities \$164.

Therefore, the net effect on the City's contribution is a decrease in normal cost of 0.33% of payroll, an increase in amortization payment of \$2,278 and an increase in unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of \$32,210.

A-1

CONTRIBUTION RATE TO PROVIDE BENEFITS

Member portion & Employer portion

Effective January 1, 1987

	If Paid Equally	Thro	oughout Year
Contributions for	Normal Cost % of Active Payroll for 1987	+	UAAL Dollars
Normal cost of annuities: Age & service: to members Age & service: to survivors Disability Death before retirement Refunds of member contributions Total Normal Cost	15.98% 5.99 2.50 3.52 0.33 28.32%		
Amortization of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities (UAAL) (24 year level dollar payment) Retired lives Active members Total			\$0 <u>73,367</u> 73,367
Total Cost of Benefits	28.32%	+	\$73,367
Member contributions	8.75%		
COMPUTED EMPLOYER RATE: (a) If Paid Equally Throughout Year (b) IF PAID AT CALENDAR YEAR END	19.57% 20.05%	+ +	\$73,367 \$75,179

Present Actuarial Condition

The Association's accrued actuarial assets were in excess of \$1,729,651 on December 31, 1985 -- a considerable sum of money if unencumbered and allocated among a small group of persons. This is not the case with the Association's assets.

The following schedule puts the \$1,729,651 into perspective by showing the relationship between accrued assets, actuarial accrued liabilities, and the number of persons with actual and potential claims on the Association's assets.

	Accrued Actuarial Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liabilities	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities	% Funded	
Retirants and Beneficiaries Retired Members (6) Surviving Spouses (1) Surviving Children (0)		\$ 790,392 53,640 0			
Total (7)	\$ 844,032	\$ 844,032	\$0	100.0%	
Deferred Members (0)	0	0	0		
Active Members (12)	885,619	1,923,089	1,037,470	46.1	
Total	\$1,729,651	\$2,767,121	\$1,037,470	62,5%	

Actuarial accrued liabilities represent the value, computed as of December 31, 1985 of:

- (i) retirement allowances likely to be paid the 7 retirants and beneficiaries; and
- (ii) the contributions assumed to have been made for the 12 active members from their entry into the plan until December 31, 1985.

To illustrate, the value of retirement allowances likely to be paid the 7 retirants and beneficiaries, discounted for investment earnings and mortality, was computed to be \$844,032, as of December 31, 1985. This means that if the 7 retirants and beneficiaries live and die according to the assumed mortality and if the \$844,032 can be invested to yield an average annual return of 5.0 percent over the remaining lifetimes of the 7 retirants and beneficiaries, then the \$844,032 together with investment earnings thereon will just be sufficient to pay the 7 retirants and beneficiaries their allowances for their remaining lifetimes.

With respect to active members, the actuarial accrued liability of \$1,923,089 represents the amount that would have been accumulated by December 31, 1985 if the normal cost (which is expressed as a level percentage of pay) had been contributed from the date of hire until December 31, 1984 for each of the 12 actives, if these amounts had earned 5.0% interest and if the members in the past had lived, died, withdrawn, retired and received salary increases according to the actuarial assumptions shown in this report.

Valuation Date December 31	Accrued Liabilities	Accrued Assets	Percent Funded
1978	\$1,355	\$ 419	31.0%
1979	N/A	N/A	N/A
1980	1,780	734	41.2
1981	2,010	876	43.6
1982	2,217	1,064	48.0
1983	2,444	1,261	51.6
1983*	2,591	1,261	48.7
1984	2,557	1,470	57.5
1985	2,735	1,730	63.2
1985**	2,767	1,730	62.5

Historical Funding Ratio Schedule (\$ in thousands)

* After change in assumptions.

** After plan amendment.

Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

Year End December Valuation		Total Normal Cost as a Percent of Valuation Payroll*	Contribution For Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - \$ or %
1978	1980	25,45%	\$58,552
1979	1981	N/A	N/A
1980	1982	27.52	67,403
1981	1983	27.53	74,313
1982	1984	27.69	76,850
1983	1985	26.38	80,331
1983	1985**	27,89	90,331
1984	1986	27.88	75,252
1985	1987	27.90	71,089
1985	1987#	28.32	73,367

- * Includes employee contributions.
- ****** After change in assumptions.
 - # After plan amendment.

CONTRIBUTION FOR CALENDAR YEAR EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1987

For any period of time the percent-of-payroll contribution rate is converted to dollars. The amount of dollars for any calendar year depends upon the results of the last actuarial valuation, and upon the timing of contributions within the year. The later the contribution date, the greater the dollar amount will be.

The municipality's dollar contribution for the year may be determined as follows:

(1)	Estimated covered payroll for 1987	\$	
(2)	Total normal cost % from page A-2	28.32%	
(3)	Total normal cost (Line 1 times line 2)		\$
(4)	Amortization payment on UAAL from page A-2		73,367
(5)	Total contributions required (Line 3 plus line 4)		
(6)	Employee contributions (Line 1 times 8.75%)	\$	
(7)	 (a) State amortization aid based on 12/31/78 UAAL of \$935,654 (b) State amortization aid based on 1984 legislation (c) Total state amortization aid 	\$16,222	
(8)	Estimated insurance premium aid		
(9)	Estimated total contributions from other sources (Line 6 plus line 7 plus line 8)		
(10)	Employer's Minimum Obligation if payment is made in equal installments throughout the year. (Line 5 minus line 9)		\$
(11)	EMPLOYER'S MINIMUM OBLIGATION IF PAYMENT IS MADE AT YEAR END (Line 10 times 1.0247)		\$

SECTION B

VALUATION DATA

AND

SUMMARY OF BENEFIT PROVISIONS

· . · · .

Retirants and Beneficiaries December 31, 1985

By Type of Annuity Being Paid

Type of Annuity Being Paid	No.	Monthly Amounts	Computed Actuarial Accrued Liabilities
Retirants receiving: Age & Service Disability	6 0	\$4,739 0	\$790,392 0
Totals	6	4,739	790,392
Beneficiaries receiving: Spouse Child	1	772	53,640 0
Totals	1	772	53,640
Totals	7	\$5,511	\$844,032

Inactive Members Eligible for Deferred Benefits

December 31, 1985

No.	Monthly Amount	Computed Actuarial Accrued Liabilities	
0	\$0	\$0	

· · · · · · · · ·

. . . .

Retirants and Beneficiaries December 31, 1985

		Number	
Attained Ages	Age & Service	Disability	Death Before Retirement
55-59	3		
75-79	1		
80-84	1		
85-89	_2		
Totals	7	0	0

By Attained Ages

.

Retirants and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Rolls

Comparative Statement

Valuation Date December 31	No. Added to Rolls	No. Removed from Rolls	Roll: No.	s End of Year Annual Allowances	% Incr. in Annual Allowances	Average Allowances	Discour Value of Al Total	
1978			11	\$63,571	- %	\$5,779	\$ 711,225	\$ 64,657
1979	0	0	11	68,260	7.4	6,205	779,534	70,867
1980	0	1	10	67,498	(1.1)	6,750	750,539	75,054
1981	0	1	9	65,504	(3.0)	7,278	750,538	83,393
1982	2	2	9	69,482	6.1	7,720	745,626	82,847
1983	1	0	10	86,022	23.8	8,602	1,094,179	109,418
1984	0	2	8	72,348	(18.9)	9,004	885,590	110,699
1985	0	1	7	66,132	(8.6)	9,447	844,032	120,576

B-3

. . . .

Active Members December 31, 1985

By	Attained	Age	and	Years	of	Service	

Attained		Years	of Serv	ice to	Valuati	on Date	T	otals Valuation
Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29 30 Plus	No.	Payroll
40-44				4	2		6	\$152,800
45-49				3	1		4	101,600
50-54						1	1	31,700
55-59						1	1	26,000
Totals				7	3	2	12	\$312,100

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 45.3 years.

Service: 19.6 years.

Annual Pay: \$26,008.

. . . .

. .

х., **х**., ж

Comparative Schedule

Of Active Members

Valuation Date	ion Valuation			Avera	Average		
December 31	Active Members	Payroll	Age	Service	Pay	% Incr.	
1978	13	\$195,521	39.5 yrs.	12.8 yrs.	\$15,040	- %	
1979	13	219,317	40.5	13.8	16,871	12.2	
1980	13	230,080	41,5	14.8	17,698	4.9	
1981	13	262,162	42.5	15.8	20,166	13.9	
1982	13	286,500	43.5	16.8	22,038	9.3	
1983	12	277,979	43.3	17.6	23,165	5.1	
1984	12	291,934	44.3	18.6	24,328	5.0	
1985	12	312,100	45.3	19,6	26,008	6.9	

· · · ·

Brief Summary (12/31/85) of Benefit Provisions Evaluated and/or Considered

Age & Service Retirement

Eligibility. 20 years of service and 50 years of age.

<u>Amount</u>. 50% of final year's salary with minimum of 40% of salary of highest salaried patrolman. For each of the first 3 years beyond 20 years of service, an additional 1/2% of final year's salary is added to the benefit. (The additional benefit is not subject to the post retirement adjustment provisions.)

Disability Retirement

<u>Eligibility</u>. Disabled to the extent that no longer able to perform the duties of police officer before being eligible for age & service retirement.

Amount. Same as age & service retirement benefit.

Member's Death While Active, Or In Deferred Status, Or Retired

Eligibility.

<u>Spouse</u>. Legally married at time of separation from service. Benefits terminate upon remarriage.

Child. Younger than age 18.

Amount.

Spouse. 40% of salary of highest salaried patrolman.

<u>Child</u>. \$300 per year per child. If no spouse benefit is payable, children receive spouse benefit in lieu of \$300 each per year.

<u>Vested Deferred</u>. 20 years of service and separated before age 50. Payment beginning is deferred to attainment of age 50.

<u>Supplemental Benefit for Retirants</u>. \$80 per month payable from date of retirement to age 65 to a member who retires after 10/15/85.

<u>Post-Retirement Adjustments ("Escalator")</u>. Benefits which are based on a percentage of the prevailing pay of the highest salaried patrolmen are changed at the same time and by the same percent of changes in the salary of active highest salaried patrolmen. (Children's benefits are not escalated unless spouse is not receiving benefits.)

<u>Member Contributions</u>. 8.75% of compensation. Total member contributions are refundable, without interest, if no monthly benefit is payable upon separation from service.

SECTION C

and the second second

VALUATION METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

Valuation Methods and Assumptions

The Entry Age Normal Cost method was used to determine the normal cost of all benefits.

The rate of investment return (interest) used in making the valuation was 5.0 percent per annum, compounded annually. State law requires use of this assumption.

The mortality table used was the UP-1984 Table set forward 2 years for males and set back 3 years for females.

		Single Li1				
	Pres	sent Value	of \$1 Mont	:hly		
	Lev	/el	Increa	asing	Future	Life
Sample	For l	For Life		learly	Expectancy (Years)	
Ages	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
45	\$177.21	\$189.58	\$280.82	\$314.75	29.50	34.00
50	163.12	177.21	246.55	280.82	25,20	29.50
55	147,50	163.12	212.60	246.55	21.16	25.20
60	130.52	147.50	179.49	212.60	17.42	21.16
65	112.87	130,52	148,28	179.49	14.05	17.42
70	95.20	112.87	119.70	148.28	11.09	14,05
75	77.77	95,20	93.83	119.70	8,52	11.09
80	61.71	77.77	71.69	93.83	6.39	8.52

Age & service retirement was assumed to occur at age 56, or attained age if older.

Sample Rates of Separation From Active Employment Before Retirement, Death or Disa-

bility

Sample	% of Active Members
Ages	Separating within Next Year
20	1.50%
25	1.25
30	1.00
35	0.75
40	0.50
45	0.25
50+	0.00

. . . <u>.</u>

Sample _Ages	Present Pay Resulting in Pay of \$1,000 at Age 60	Percent Increase in Pay During Next Year
20 25 30 35 40	\$ 253 300 356 423 503	3.5% 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5
45 50 55 60	597 709 842 1,000	3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5

Pay Adjustment Factor used to Project Current Pays

Use of the pay adjustment factor illustrated above is required by state law.

Disability retirements were assumed to occur as indicated below:

Sample	% of Active Members Becoming
Ages	Disabled within Next Year
20	0.08%
25	0.08
30	0.08
35	0.08
40	0.20
45	0.26
50	0.49
55	0.89

· · · · ·

SECTION D

ACCUMULATED PLAN BENEFITS

Statement of the Present Value of Accumulated Plan Benefits

December 31, 1985

Actuarial Present Value of Accumulated Plan Benefits	
Vested Benefits: Participants currently receiving payments Other participants Total Vested Benefits	\$ 836,376 688,619 1,524,995
Non-Vested Benefits	729,380
Total Actuarial Present Value of Accumulated Plan Benefits	\$2,254,375

The actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits as of January 1, 1985 was \$2,055,594. During the year the plan experienced a net increase of \$198,781 in the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits. Of this increase, \$27,137 was due to the plan amendment and \$171,644 was due to general plan experience.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Statement of the Present Value of Accumulated Plan Benefits.

- 1. The actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits presented in this statement was determined using the following assumptions:
 - a. Future salary increases prior to retirement were not considered for active members.
 - b. Future service was considered only to the extent that it would permit active plan participants to become eligible for benefits attributable to service rendered prior to the date of determination.
 - c. Regular valuation assumptions were used as to mortality, withdrawal, retirement ages and disability.
 - d. Investment return was assumed to be at the rate of 8% compounded annually.
 - e. Salary increase related post retirement benefit adjustments were assumed to be at the rate of 6-1/2% compounded annually unless a lower rate is specified by law.
- 2. The calculation of the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits was made because of the requirements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board. Comparison of this value with plan assets is not indicative of the future ability of the plan to pay benefits when due or of their security in a termination situation.

Calculation of contribution requirements and related benefit value information in a "going concern" environment according to the principles of level cost financing is made by the annual actuarial valuations. The results of the contribution rate calculations cannot be simply replaced by the accumulated plan benefit results. To do so will mislead. APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

FINANCIAL PRINCIPLES AND OPERATIONAL TECHNIQUES

<u>Promises Made, and Eventually Paid</u>. As each year is completed, the plan in effect hands an "IOU" to each member then acquiring a year of service credit -- the "IOU" says: "The Pension Plan owes you a portion of your retirement benefits, <u>payments</u> to be made in cash, commencing when you qualify for retirement."

The related key financial questions are:

Which generation of taxpayers contributes the money to cover the IOU?

The present taxpayers, who receive the benefit of the member's present year of service?

<u>Or the future taxpayers</u>, who happen to be in town paying taxes at the later time when the IOU becomes a cash demand?

<u>A sound principle of sound retirement plan financing is to have this year's tax-</u> payers contribute the money to cover the IOUs being handed out this year. By following this principle, THE CONTRIBUTION RATE WILL REMAIN APPROXIMATELY LEVEL FROM <u>GENERATION TO GENERATION</u> -- our children and grandchildren will contribute the same percents of active payroll we contribute now.

A PENSION PLAN BECOMES CLOSED

The diagram in this appendix shows two important activities which occur after a plan has been closed to employees hired in the future.

Cash benefits paid continue to increase for decades, while active member payroll begins to decrease to zero. Funding Method. A funding method is the long-term, planned pattern for employer contributions.

For an open plan (a plan covering future employees), the level-percent-ofactive-member payroll funding method is the basic funding method.

The level-percent funding method can also be applied to a closed plan. However, the resulting contribution percent usually jumps to a high rate, because the number of covered active members is decreasing.


A preferred funding method for a closed plan consists of: level-percent funding for normal cost (the cost of members' service now being rendered); plus a level dollar contribution for unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities over a limited period of years. The period of years must be limited so that plan assets don't become zero while benefits are still payable.

<u>Computing Contributions To Support Plan Benefits</u>. From a given schedule of benefits and from the employee data and asset data furnished him, the actuary determines the contribution rates to support the benefits by means of an <u>actuarial valuation and a</u> <u>funding method</u>.

In making an actuarial valuation, <u>assumptions must be made</u> regarding anticipated financial experiences for the next year and for decades in the future. <u>Only the sub-</u> <u>sequent actual experience of the plan can indicate the degree of accuracy of the</u> <u>assumptions</u>. <u>Reconciling Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experi-</u> <u>ence</u>. Once actual experience has occurred and been observed, it will not coincide exactly with assumed experience, regardless of the wisdom of the assumptions or the skill of the actuary and the millions of calculations he made. The future can be predicted with considerable but not 100% precision, <u>except for inflation which seems</u> to defy reliable prediction.

A well-managed plan copes with these continually changing differences by having periodic actuarial valuations. Each actuarial valuation is a complete recalculation of assumed future experience, taking into account all past differences between assumed and actual experience. The result is continuing adjustment in financial position.

A CLOSED PENSION PLAN



YEARS OF TIME

<u>A plan becomes closed</u> when no new hires are admitted to active membership. The persons covered by the plan at the time of closing continue their normal activities and continue to be covered by the plan, until the last survivor dies.

CASH BENEFITS LINE. After a pension plan becomes closed, the usual pattern is for cash benefits to continue to increase for decades of time. Eventually the cash benefits will peak, and then gradually decrease over more decades of time, ultimately to zero. The last cash benefit is likely to occur a century after the time the plan is closed.

The precise amounts of cash benefits cannot be known now, and must be estimated by assumptions of future experiences in a variety of financial risk areas.

APPENDIX II MEANING OF UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Almost every pension plan (public or private) has "unfunded accrued liabilities", so whatever they are, they aren't rare. Since the term is not part of everyday conversation, it needs some definition.

"Accrued liabilities" are the present value \$ of plan promises to pay benefits in the future based upon service already rendered - - - a liability has been established ("accrued") because the service has been rendered, but the resulting monthly cash benefit may not be payable until years in the future. Accrued liabilities \$ are the result of complex mathematical calculations, which are made by the plan's actuary (which is the name given to the specialist who makes such calculations).

If "accrued liabilities" at any time exceed the plan's accrued assets (cash & investments), the difference is "<u>unfunded accrued liabilities</u>". This is the common condition. If the plan's assets equalled the plan's "accrued liabilities", the plan would be termed "fully funded". This is a rare condition.

Each time a plan adds a new benefit which applies to service already rendered, an "accrued liability" is created, which is also an "unfunded accrued liability" because the plan can't print instant cash to cover the accrued liability. Payment for such unfunded accrued liabilities is spread over a period of years, commonly in the 20-40 year range.

Unfunded accrued liabilities can occur in another way: If actual financial experience is less favorable than assumed financial experience, the difference is added to unfunded accrued liabilities. In plans where plan benefits are directly related to an employee's pay near time of retirement (a common plan provision) rather than his average pay throughout his working career, unfunded accrued liabilities have been increasing in recent years because unexpected rates of pay increase have created additional accrued liabilities which could not be matched by reasonable investment results. Some of these unexpected pay increases are the direct result of <u>inflation</u>, which is a very destructive force on financial stability.

The existence of unfunded accrued liabilities is not bad, then (any more than a mortgage on your house is "bad"), but the changes from year to year in amount of unfunded accrued liabilities are important - - - "bad" or "good" or somewhere in between.

Nor are unfunded accrued liabilities a bill payable immediately (your food costs are payable immediately), but it is important that policy-makers prevent the amount from becoming unreasonably high and it is vital that your plan have a sound method for making payments toward them so that they are controlled.

The existence of large amounts of unfunded accrued liabilities indicates that total contributions in past years were less than level - - - an almost certain history if retired life liabilities are not fully funded now.