

Report of  
AN ACTUARIAL VALUATION  
December 31, 1982 of the  
Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
Faribault, Minnesota

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Pages</u>	<u>Item</u>
1	Signature Page
A-1	Comments
A-2	Contribution Rate
A-3	Present Actuarial Condition
A-5	Comparative Contribution Schedule
A-6	Contribution Worksheet
B-1	Retirant and Beneficiary Data
B-4	Active Member Data
B-6	Brief Summary of Benefits
C-1	Valuation Method and Assumptions
D-1	Accumulated Plan Benefits Schedule (for FASB 35 compliance)
Appendix I	Financial Principles and Operational Techniques
Appendix II	Meaning of Unfunded Accrued Liabilities

GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH & COMPANY  
ACTUARIES & CONSULTANTS

2090 First National Building  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Area 313: 961-3346

May 26, 1983

Board of Trustees  
Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
Faribault, Minnesota

Submitted in this report are the results of the December 31, 1982 actuarial valuation of the assets, actuarial values, and contribution requirements associated with the benefits provided by the Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association.

The valuation results contained in Section A provide the actuarial information needed to determine the employer's "minimum obligation" effective January 1, 1984. Section A also contains comments regarding the valuation results.


The valuation was based upon information furnished by the association concerning benefits, financial transactions, active members, terminated members, retirants and beneficiaries. Data was checked for year to year consistency but was not otherwise audited by us. This information is summarized in Section B.


Section C contains a description of the actuarial funding method and the risk experience assumptions used. The economic risk experience assumptions, as well as the actuarial funding method to be used, are established by state law.

Information needed to comply with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 35 is contained in Section D.

The actuarial valuation was prepared using generally accepted actuarial principles and practices based upon the methods, assumptions, summary of plan provisions and the member and financial data described in this report.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Robert M. O'Keefe

  
Gary W. Findlay

SECTION A  
RESULTS OF THE VALUATION



## COMMENTS

### Economic Assumptions and Financing Method

The economic assumptions of 5% annual investment return and 3 1/2% annual salary increases are established by state law. State law also specifies that the annual minimum obligation of the municipality shall be determined by adding (i) the employer normal cost percent times covered payroll to (ii) the level dollar amount required to amortize the unfunded accrued liability by December 31, 2010.

Over the past few years, both the actual rates of salary increase and investment return have generally exceeded the assumed rates, resulting in increases in the dollar amount of unfunded accrued liabilities. If the financial experiences of recent years persist, and the economic assumptions and financing method are not changed, it is reasonable to expect that unfunded accrued liabilities will increase in actual dollar amount for a number of years. This is true even though a level dollar amortization schedule is being followed. Accordingly, it is reasonable to expect that under the described conditions the actual dollar contributions required to make amortization payments will increase for a number of years. On the other hand, if inflation subsides and actual economic activity approaches assumed experience, it is reasonable to expect the dollar amount of the contribution to amortize the unfunded accrued liability to remain relatively constant. The notion that amortization dollar amounts may be increasing is not necessarily cause for alarm. If adjusted for changes in purchasing power, any future increases in the dollar contributions may or may not reflect increases in terms of real dollars (inflation adjusted dollars).

It is also worth noting that when the same assumptions and methods are applied to plans which differ in nature, the valuation results may not be comparable (for example, it is currently not valid to compare valuation results for a plan having full escalation to valuation results for a plan having a 3 1/2% cap on escalation). Caution should be exercised when attempting to assess the financial condition of one Association relative to another on the basis of valuation results produced using the assumptions and methods mandated by state law.

### Status of Plan

The City Council of Faribault, Minnesota passed a resolution to retain the Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association as an open plan. An open plan continues to admit new hires to its membership.

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association

CONTRIBUTION RATE TO PROVIDE BENEFITS

Member portion & Employer portion

Effective January 1, 1984

Contributions for	If Paid Equally Throughout Year		
	Normal Cost % of Active Payroll for 1983	+	U.A.L. Dollars
Normal cost of annuities:			
Age & service: to members	14.87%		
Age & service: to survivors	0.20		
Disability	1.97		
Death before retirement	0.28		
Refunds of member contributions	0.52		
Total Normal Cost	17.84%		
Amortization of unfunded accrued liabilities (UAL) (27 year level dollar payment)			
Retired lives			\$ 9,901
Active members			76,899
Total			86,800
Total Cost of Benefits	17.84%	+	\$86,800
Member contributions	8.00%		
COMPUTED EMPLOYER RATE:			
(a) If Paid Equally Throughout Year	9.84%	+	\$86,800
(b) IF PAID AT CALENDAR YEAR END	10.08%	+	\$88,944

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
Present Actuarial Condition

---

The Association accrued actuarial assets were in excess of \$1.3 million on December 31, 1982 -- a considerable sum of money if unencumbered and allocated among a small group of persons. This is not the case with Association assets.

The following schedule puts the \$1.3 million into perspective by showing the relationship between accrued assets, accrued liabilities, and the number of persons with actual and potential claims on the Association's assets.

	<u>Accrued Actuarial Assets</u>	<u>Accrued Liabilities</u>	<u>Unfunded Accrued Liabilities</u>	<u>% Funded</u>
Retirants and Beneficiaries				
Retired Members (11)		\$1,271,616		
Surviving Spouses (0)		0		
Surviving Children (0)		<u>0</u>		
Total (11)	\$1,123,041	\$1,271,616	\$ 148,575	88.3%
Deferred Members (0)	0	0	0	
Active Members (21)	<u>205,649</u>	<u>1,359,612</u>	<u>1,153,963</u>	15.1
Total	\$1,328,690	\$2,631,228	\$1,302,538	50.5%

Accrued liabilities represent the value, computed as of December 31, 1982 of:

- (i) retirement allowances likely to be paid the 11 retirants and beneficiaries;  
and
- (ii) the contributions assumed to have been made for the 21 active members  
from their entry into the plan until December 31, 1982.

To illustrate, the value of retirement allowances likely to be paid the 11 retirants and beneficiaries, discounted for investment earnings and mortality, was computed to be \$1,271,616 as of December 31, 1982. This means that if the 11 retirants and beneficiaries live and die according to the assumed mortality and if the \$1,271,616 can be invested to yield an average annual return of 5.0 percent over the remaining lifetimes of the 11 retirants and beneficiaries, then the \$1,271,616 together with investment earnings thereon will just be sufficient to pay the 11 retirants and beneficiaries their allowances for their remaining lifetimes.

With respect to active members, the accrued liability of \$1,359,612 represents the amount that would have been accumulated by December 31, 1982 if the normal cost (which is expressed as a level percentage of pay) had been contributed from the date of hire until December 31, 1982 for each of the 21 actives, if these amounts had earned 5.0% interest and if the members in the past had lived, died, withdrawn, retired and received salary increases according to the actuarial assumptions shown in this report.

Historical Funding Ratio Schedule  
(\$ in thousands)

---

<u>Valuation Date December 31</u>	<u>Accrued Liabilities</u>	<u>Accrued Assets</u>	<u>Percent Funded</u>
1978	\$1,756	\$ 683	38.9%
1979	N/A	N/A	N/A
1980	1,901	1,033	54.3
1981	2,385	1,114	46.7
1982	2,631	1,329	50.5



Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
 Computed Contributions - Comparative Schedule

---

Year Ended December 31		Total Normal Cost as a Percent of Valuation Payroll*	Contribution For Unfunded Accrued Liabilities - \$ or %
<u>Valuation</u>	<u>Fiscal</u>		
1978	1980	18.24%	\$ 52,325
1979	1981	N/A	N/A
1980	1982	17.89	55,950
1981	1983	N/A	N/A
1982	1984	17.84	86,800

\* Includes employees contributions.

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
CONTRIBUTION FOR CALENDAR YEAR EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984

---

For any period of time the percent-of-payroll contribution rate is converted to dollars. The amount of dollars for any calendar year depends upon the results of the last actuarial valuation, and upon the timing of contributions within the year. The later the contribution date, the greater the dollar amount will be.

The municipality's dollar contribution for the year may be determined as follows:

- |  |          |          |
|--|----------|----------|
| (1) Estimated covered payroll for 1983   | \$ _____ |          |
| (2) Total normal cost % from page A-2  | 17.84%   |          |
| (3) Total normal cost (Line 1 times line 2)  |          | \$ _____ |
| (4) Amortization payment on UAL from page A-2  |          | 86,800   |
| (5) Total contributions required (Line 3 plus line 4)  |          | _____    |
| (6) Employee contributions (Line 1 times 8%)   | \$ _____ |          |
| (7) State amortization aid   | _____ *  |          |
| (8) Estimated insurance premium aid  | _____    |          |
| (9) Estimated total contributions from<br>other sources (Line 6 plus line 7 plus line 8)                                     |          | _____    |
| (10) Employer's Minimum Obligation if payment is made<br>in equal installments throughout the year.<br>(Line 5 minus line 9) |          | \$ _____ |
| (11) EMPLOYER'S MINIMUM OBLIGATION IF PAYMENT IS MADE<br>AT YEAR END (Line 10 times 1.0247)                                  |          | \$ _____ |

\* See comment on page A-1 "Status of Plan".

SECTION B  
VALUATION DATA  
AND  
SUMMARY OF BENEFIT PROVISIONS

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
Retirants and Beneficiaries December 31, 1982  
By Type of Annuity Being Paid

---

<u>Type of Annuity Being Paid</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Monthly Amounts</u>	<u>Computed Accrued Liabilities</u>
Retirants receiving:			
Age & Service	10	\$7,922.85	\$1,067,772
Disability	<u>1</u>	<u>649.13</u>	<u>203,844</u>
Totals	11	8,571.98	1,271,616
Beneficiaries receiving:			
Spouse	0	0	0
Child	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Totals	0	0	0
Totals	<u>11</u>	<u>\$8,571.98</u>	<u>\$1,271,616</u>

Inactive Members Eligible for Deferred Benefits  
December 31, 1982

---

<u>No.</u>	<u>Monthly Amount</u>	<u>Computed Accrued Liabilities</u>
0	\$0	\$0



Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
Retirants and Beneficiaries December 31, 1982  
By Attained Ages

---

<u>Attained Ages</u>	<u>Number</u>		
	<u>Age &amp; Service Retirants</u>	<u>Disability</u>	<u>Death Before Retirement</u>
35-39		1	
50-54	1		
60-64	4		
65-69	4		
70-74	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	
Totals	10	1	

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
Retirants and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Rolls  
Comparative Statement

---

Valuation Date <u>December 31</u>	<u>No. Added to Rolls</u>	<u>No. Removed from Rolls</u>	<u>Rolls End of Year</u>		<u>% Incr. in Annual Allowances</u>	<u>Average Allowances</u>	<u>Discounted Value of Allowances</u>	
			<u>No.</u>	<u>Annual Allowances</u>			<u>Total</u>	<u>Average</u>
1978			13	\$70,992		\$5,461	\$ 837,656	\$ 64,435
1979	0	2	11	78,984	11.3%	7,180	884,681	80,426
1980	1	1	11	81,744	3.5	7,431	881,266	80,116
1981	3	1	13	98,858	20.9	7,604	1,245,718	95,824
1982	0	2	11	102,864	4.1	9,351	1,271,616	115,601

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association

Active Members December 31, 1982

By Attained Age and Years of Service

Attained Age	Years of Service to Valuation Date							Totals	
	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30 Plus	No.	Valuation Payroll
25-29	4							4	\$ 92,352
30-34	2		1					3	70,179
35-39		1	1					2	49,962
40-44		1	2	4				7	177,939
45-49			1	1	1			3	77,820
55-59					1	1		2	54,808
Totals	6	2	5	5	2	1		21	\$523,060

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Age: 39.2 years.

Service: 11.6 years.

Annual Pay: \$24,908.

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association  
Comparative Schedule  
Of Active Members

---

Valuation Date December 31	Active Members	Valuation Payroll	Average			
			Age	Service	Pay	% Incr.
1978	22	\$362,138	38.7 yrs.	11.7 yrs.	\$16,461	
1979	21	373,533	39.1	11.9	17,787	8.1%
1980	22	408,990	38.4	10.9	18,590	4.5
1981	21	479,509	38.2	10.6	22,834	22.8
1982	21	523,060	39.2	11.6	24,908	9.1



Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association

Brief Summary (12/31/82) of Benefit Provisions Evaluated and/or Considered

---

Age & Service Retirement

Eligibility. 20 years of service and 50 years of age.

Amount. 50% of final salary.

Disability Retirement.

Eligibility. Disabled to the extent that unable to perform duties of a police officer before being eligible for age & service retirement.

Amount. For service up to 6 years, 12 1/2% of salary of first class patrolman. For each year of service in excess of 5 years, the percentage is increased by 2 1/2% to a maximum of 50% of salary of first class patrolman.

Member's Death While Active, Or In Deferred Status, Or Retired

Eligibility.

Spouse. Legally married to member at separation from service and residing with member at time of death. Benefits terminate upon remarriage.

Child. Younger than age 18.

Amount.

Spouse. \$1,200 per year.

Child. \$300 per child per year. Children's maximum is \$1,500 per year.

Vested Deferred. 20 years of service and separated before age 50. Payment beginning is deferred to attainment of age 50.

Post Retirement Adjustments ("Escalator"). Benefit adjustments are made equal to 1/2 of any adjustment in salary of active first class patrolman.

(Member's benefit only.)

Member Contributions. 8% of current compensation. Total member contributions are refundable, without interest, if no monthly benefit is payable upon separation.

## SECTION C

### VALUATION METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

# Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association

## Valuation Methods and Assumptions

The Entry Age Normal Cost method was used to determine the normal cost of all benefits. Disability and death before retirement benefits were valued in previous valuations on a terminal funding basis (one year term cost).

The rate of investment return (interest) used in making the valuation was 5.0 percent per annum, compounded annually.

The mortality table used was the United States Life Table, 1959-61, White Males and White Females.

Sample Ages	Single Life Values: Present Value of \$1 Monthly				Future Life Expectancy (Years)	
	Level		Increasing			
	For Life		3.5% Yearly			
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
45	\$169.61	\$186.84	\$263.23	\$304.86	27.33	32.52
50	154.85	174.20	229.51	270.80	23.22	28.08
55	139.29	159.62	197.24	236.11	19.45	23.81
60	122.79	142.73	166.26	200.76	16.01	19.69
65	106.31	124.22	137.82	166.16	12.97	15.88
70	89.86	104.31	111.71	132.82	10.29	12.38
75	73.39	83.92	87.66	101.94	7.92	9.28
80	57.54	64.24	66.29	74.77	5.89	6.67

Age & service retirement was assumed to occur at age 58, or attained age if older.

Sample Rates of Separation From Active Employment Before Retirement, Death or Disability

Sample Ages	% of Active Members Separating Within Next Year
20	3.00%
25	2.50
30	2.00
35	1.50
40	1.00
45	0.50
50+	0.00



Sample Pay Adjustment Factor used to Project Current Pays

---

<u>Sample Ages</u>	<u>Present Pay Resulting in Pay of \$1,000 at Age 60</u>	<u>Percent Increase in Pay During Next Year</u>
20	\$ 253	3.5%
25	300	3.5
30	356	3.5
35	423	3.5
40	503	3.5
45	597	3.5
50	709	3.5
55	842	3.5
60	1,000	3.5

Disability retirements were assumed to occur as indicated below:

<u>Sample Ages</u>	<u>% of Active Members Becoming Disabled Within Next Year</u>
20	0.08%
25	0.08
30	0.08
35	0.08
40	0.20
45	0.26
50	0.49
55	0.89

SECTION D  
ACCUMULATED PLAN BENEFITS

Faribault Policemen's Benefit Association

Statement of the Present Value of Accumulated Plan Benefits

December 31, 1982

---

Actuarial Present Value of  
Accumulated Plan Benefits

Vested Benefits:

Participants currently receiving payments	\$1,260,908
Other participants	<u>451,228</u>
Total Vested Benefits	<u>1,712,136</u>

Non-Vested Benefits	<u>520,393</u>
---------------------	----------------

Total Actuarial Present Value of Accumulated Plan Benefits	<u>\$2,232,529</u>
---	--------------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Statement of the Present Value of Accumulated Plan Benefits.

1. The actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits presented in this statement was determined using the following assumptions:
  - a. Future salary increases prior to retirement were not considered for active members.
  - b. Future service was considered only to the extent that it would permit active plan participants to become eligible for benefits attributable to service rendered prior to the date of determination.
  - c. Regular valuation assumptions were used as to mortality, withdrawal, retirement ages, and disability.
  - d. Investment return was assumed to be at the rate of 7% compounded annually.
  - e. Salary increase related post retirement benefit adjustments were assumed to be at the rate of 5 1/2% compounded annually unless a lower rate is specified by law.
2. The calculation of the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits was made because of the requirements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board. Comparison of this value with plan assets is not indicative of the future ability of the plan to pay benefits when due or of their security in a termination situation.

Calculation of contribution requirements and related benefit value information in a "going concern" environment according to the principles of level cost financing is made by the annual actuarial valuations. The results of the contribution rate calculations cannot be simply replaced by the accumulated plan benefit results. To do so will mislead.

## APPENDICES



## APPENDIX I

### FINANCIAL PRINCIPLES AND OPERATIONAL TECHNIQUES

---

Promises Made, and Eventually Paid. As each year is completed, the plan in effect hands an "IOU" to each member then acquiring a year of service credit -- the "IOU" says: "The Pension Plan owes you a portion of your retirement benefits, payments to be made in cash, commencing when you qualify for retirement."

The related key financial questions are:

Which generation of taxpayers contributes the money to cover the IOU?

The present taxpayers, who receive the benefit of the member's present year of service?

Or the future taxpayers, who happen to be in town paying taxes at the later time when the IOU becomes a cash demand?

A sound principle of sound retirement plan financing is to have this year's taxpayers contribute the money to cover the IOUs being handed out this year. By following this principle, THE CONTRIBUTION RATE WILL REMAIN APPROXIMATELY LEVEL FROM GENERATION TO GENERATION -- our children and grandchildren will contribute the same percents of active payroll we contribute now.

#### A PENSION PLAN BECOMES CLOSED

The diagram in this appendix shows two important activities which occur after a plan has been closed to employees hired in the future.

Cash benefits paid continue to increase for decades, while active member payroll begins to decrease to zero.



Funding Method. A funding method is the long-term, planned pattern for employer contributions.

For an open plan (a plan covering future employees), the level-percent-of-active member payroll funding method is the basic funding method.


The level-percent funding method can also be applied to a closed plan. However, the resulting contribution percent usually jumps to a high rate, because the number of cover active members is decreasing.

A preferred funding method for a closed plan consists of: level-percent funding for normal cost (the cost of members' service now being rendered); plus a level dollar contribution for unfunded accrued liabilities over a limited period of years. The period of years must be limited so that plan assets don't become zero while benefits are still payable.

Computing Contributions to Support Plan Benefits. From a given schedule of benefits and from the employee data and asset data furnished him, the actuary determines the contribution rates to support the benefits by means of an actuarial valuation and a funding method.

In making an actuarial valuation, assumptions must be made regarding anticipated financial experiences for the next year and for decades in the future. Only the subsequent actual experience of the plan can indicate the degree of accuracy of the assumptions. Reconciling Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experience. Once actual experience has occurred and been observed, it will not coincide exactly with assumed experience, regardless of the wisdom of the assumptions or the skill of the actuary and the millions of calculations he made. The future can be predicted with considerable but not 100% precision, except for inflation which seems to defy reliable prediction.

A well managed plan copes with these continually changing differences by having periodic actuarial valuations. Each actuarial valuation is a complete recalculation of assumed future experience, taking into account all past differences between assumed and actual experience. The result is continuing adjustment in financial position.



**CASH BENEFITS LINE.** This relentlessly increasing line is the fundamental reality of retirement plan financing. It happens each time a new benefit is added for future retirements (and happens regardless of the design for contributing for benefits).

**LEVEL CONTRIBUTION LINE.** Determining the level contribution line requires detailed assumptions concerning a variety of experiences in future decades, including:

- Rates of withdrawal of active members (turnover);
- Rates of mortality;
- Rates of disability;
- Ages at actual retirement;
- Rates of pay increase;
- Investment income;
- Change in active member group size.



APPENDIX II  
MEANING OF UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Almost every pension plan (public or private) has "unfunded accrued liabilities", so whatever they are, they aren't rare. Since the term is not part of everyday conversation, it needs some definition.

"Accrued liabilities" are the present value \$ of plan promises to pay benefits in the future based upon service already rendered - - - a liability has been established ("accrued") because the service has been rendered, but the resulting monthly cash benefit may not be payable until years in the future. Accrued liabilities \$ are the result of complex mathematical calculations, which are made by the plan's actuary (which is the name given to the specialist who makes such calculations).

If "accrued liabilities" at any time exceed the plan's accrued assets (cash & investments), the difference is "unfunded accrued liabilities". This is the common condition. If the plan's assets equalled the plan's "accrued liabilities", the plan would be termed "fully funded". This is a rare condition.

-----  
Each time a plan adds a new benefit which applies to service already rendered, an "accrued liability" is created, which is also an "unfunded accrued liability" because the plan can't print instant cash to cover the accrued liability. Payment for such unfunded accrued liabilities is spread over a period of years, commonly in the 20-40 year range.

Unfunded accrued liabilities can occur in another way: If actual financial experience is less favorable than assumed financial experience, the difference is added to unfunded accrued liabilities. In plans where plan benefits are directly related to an employee's pay near time of retirement (a common plan provision) rather than his average pay throughout his working career, unfunded accrued liabilities have been increasing in recent years because unexpected rates of pay increase have created additional accrued liabilities which could not be matched by reasonable investment results. Some of these unexpected pay increases are the direct result of inflation, which is a very destructive force on financial stability.

-----  
The existence of unfunded accrued liabilities is not bad, then (any more than a mortgage on your house is "bad"), but the changes from year to year in amount of unfunded accrued liabilities are important - - - "bad" or "good" or somewhere in between.

Nor are unfunded accrued liabilities a bill payable immediately (your food costs are payable immediately), but it is important that policy-makers prevent the amount from becoming unreasonably high and it is vital that your plan have a sound method for making payments toward them so that they are controlled.

The existence of large amounts of unfunded accrued liabilities indicates that total contributions in past years were less than level - - - an almost certain history if retired life liabilities are not fully funded now.