

MEMORANDUM

TO: Planning and Environment Committee

FROM: Robert J. Vorpahl, Program Development Engineer

SUBJECT: AIRLAKE, CRYSTAL AND FLYING CLOUD AIRPORTS

DATE: September 25, 1992

Minnesota Statutes 1986, Chapter 473, amended by Minnesota Statutes 1988, Chapter 664 states that "The Commission need not prepare an assessment for an airport when the Capital Improvement Program and Plan for the airport has not changed from the one adopted the previous year or when the changes in the program and plan will have only trivial environmental effects".

At the Airlake, Crystal and Flying Cloud Airports, there has been either no change from plans adopted in the previous year(s) or projects that are characteristics of one or more of the following:

- A rehabilitation project that does not physically alter the original size
- An electrical or mechanical modification that monitors or indicates existing conditions
- A structural, mechanical or electrical modification or airport support function that does not increase size or passenger capacity
- A project that has been previously addressed in an Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) or other official environmental documentation and no change in scope is expected.

Therefore, an Assessment of Environmental Effects will not be prepared for these airports this year.

RECEIVED

NOV 3 1992

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY
STATE CAPITOL
ST. PAUL, MN, 55155

TABLE 1
AIRLAKE AIRPORT
METROPOLITAN AIRPORTS COMMISSION

See Note	Project Description	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
^*	Building Area Development		\$600,000			\$300,000		
(2)	Maintenance Building Modifications	\$120,000						
^*	Partial Parallel Taxiways		\$800,000					
(1)	Pavement Rehabilitation		\$200,000					
(2)	Security Fencing		\$100,000	\$100,000				
Yearly Totals		\$120,000	\$1,700,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$300,000	\$0	\$0

NOTES:

^ Item discussed in Previous Assessment of Environmental Effects.

* The items marked with an asterisk have potential effects that are discussed in the text.

(1) A rehabilitation project which does not physically alter the original size.

(2) A structural or mechanical modification that does not increase size or passenger capacity.

TABLE 1
CRYSTAL AIRPORT
METROPOLITAN AIRPORTS COMMISSION

See Note	Project Description	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
(1)	Pavement Rehabilitation	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000
(2)	Maintenance Building	\$450,000						
Yearly Totals		\$600,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000

NOTES:

- (1) A rehabilitation project which does not physically alter the original size.
- (2) An aviation support facility that does not expand passenger, cargo, vehicle, or aircraft handling capabilities.

TABLE 1
FLYING CLOUD AIRPORT
METROPOLITAN AIRPORTS COMMISSION

See Note	Project Description	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
(1) ^ * *	Pavement Rehabilitation Runway 9R / 27L Extension	\$5,000,000		\$310,000				
(2) ^ *	Security / Deer Fence	\$250,000	\$0					
^ *	South Building Area Construction			\$6,800,000	\$700,000			
^ *	Utility Connections					\$1,500,000		
Yearly Totals		\$5,250,000	\$0	\$7,110,000	\$700,000	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0

NOTES:

- ^ Item discussed in Previous Assessment of Environmental Effects.
- * The items marked with an asterisk have potential effects that are discussed in the text.
- ** Projects which are covered in the text and also in other environmental documents (EA/EIS/EAW)
- (1) A rehabilitation project which does not physically alter the original size.
- (2) A structural or mechanical modification that does not increase size or passenger capacity.