

## 2003 - 2006

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

### **FOR THE**

## TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA



**Publication # 35-02-033** 

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cha <sub>l</sub>	pter Le la 16 11 W/15 Co	Page
	SUMMARY. IS GE IN THE SUMMARY. SUMMARY. ST. 2.5. 2002	1
1.	INTRODUCTION GCT 2 5 2002	2
	EGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY	
	Federal Requirements RTATE OFFICE BUILDING	2
	Regional Planning Process St. PAUL, MN 55155	4
	Public Participation Opportunities in Preparation of the TIP	5
	Development and Content of the Transportation	
	Improvement Program	
	Program Areas in the Transportation Improvement Program	8
2.	SUMMARY OF REGIONAL PLANS AND PRIORITIES	Q
<b>.</b>	Somethic of Regional Period And Priodiffes and American	•••••••
	Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan	9
	Purpose and Authority	9
	Public Participation Process	11
	Executive Summary	12
	Transportation Air Quality Control Plan	29
	Conformity to the Clean Air Act Amendments	29
3.	PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS	
٦.	WITH THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES	21
	WITH THE LIVER COURCED	
	State Process for Allocation of Federal and State Funds	31
	Resources Available	31
	Project Selection Process and Criteria	
	Competitive Regional Selection Process	
	Project Selection for Additional Title I Funds	
	Metropolitan Transit Selection of Sections 5307 and 5309 Projects	40
	Mn/DOT Office of Transit	
	Balance of Selected Projects with Available Financial Resources	
	Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan	
	Plan Implementation Progress	
	Status of Major Projects	46
	Projects Authorized in Fiscal Year 2002	46

#### **FIGURES**

<u>Number</u>							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	etropolitan Area ansportation Capital Funding Process  th Strategy Policy Areas t Cooridors roposed Freeway Transit Cooridors Dedicated Rights of Way 2025 Plan Dedicated Rights of Way 2010 Plan itan Highway System Investment Priorities	6 10 17 18 19 20					
		TABLES					
Numbe	e <u>r</u>	<u>I</u>	Page				
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Metropolitan F Projects 2001- Twin Cities Tr Federal Title I Federal Title II Summary of Pr Distribution of Distribution of 2003-2006 All Status of Majo	APPENDICES  Highway System Expansion Projects 2001-2025  Highway System Improvement, Replacement & Bottleneck Removal 2025  ansportation Program, Source of Funds, 3 year Summary  and State Highway Funds Available to Region 2003-2006  IT Transit Funds Available to the Region 2003-2006  Projects Selected Competitively in 1999/2000 and 2001/2002  Title I, State Trunk Highway and Matching Funds: 2003-2006  Title I, State Trunk Highway and Matching Funds – 2003  Cocation of Federal Title I and State Trunk Highway Funds by Work Type  Transit Projects  APPENDICES	27 34 35 35 41 43 43 45				
APPENDIX A		Detailed Project Descriptions, Title I, Title III and State Funded Projects Listed by Funding Category and by Route Number of Project Code					
APPENDIX B		Conformity of the 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program with the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments					
APPE	NDIX C	Private Transit Provider Involvement in Preparation of the TIP					
APPE	NDIX D	Regional Transportation Financial Plan	inancial Plan				

#### 2003 - 2006 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

#### SUMMARY

The Twin Cities Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 2003 through 2006 responds to procedures required by the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA 21). The legislation requires that all federally funded transportation projects within the entire seven county area be included in the regional TIP. The TIP must be consistent with the projections of federal funds and local matching funds. All major transportation projects in the federally defined carbon-monoxide non-attainment area must be evaluated for their conformity with the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990. This analysis must also include regionally significant non-federally funded projects. The 2003-2006 TIP is fiscally constrained, is in conformity with the CAAA of 1990 and had adequate opportunity for public involvement.

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 2003 through 2006 is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bicycle, pedestrian and transportation enhancement projects proposed for federal funding for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. Federal regulations require that a TIP be developed at least every two years. The region has chosen to revise its TIP every year. Last year the region developed a TIP that covered three years, 2002-2004. This year the 2002 projects that have had contracts let, or in some manner have been authorized, were deleted. This resulted in a TIP for four years (2003-2006).

The region developed separate processes to solicit projects for 2005 to 2006 utilizing Surface Transportation Program Urban Guarantee funds (STP), Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Funds (CMAQ), and Transportation Enhancement Funds (TEP). Mn/DOT, working with the region, solicited for and prioritized projects for Bridge Improvement/Replacement, Hazard Elimination and Rail Safety. A cooperative process was followed to prioritize the remaining "federal highway funds" (Title I), and to a limited degree, state highway funds.

The 2003-2006 TIP for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area includes Title I type projects valued at approximately \$2,434 million for highway, transit, enhancement, bike and walk projects, of which approximately \$980 million is requested of the federal government including High Priority Project funds allocated to regional projects.

The region has assumed it will receive approximately \$419 million in federal transit funds (Title III) over the 2003-2006 period. The region will receive \$88 million in Title III, Sections 5307 and 5309 in 2003. The region is also requesting \$70 million in Section 5309 funds for LRT in 2003. The region will receive \$8 million annually in Section 5307 funds that may be used for operating and maintenance activities. Title I funds approved for transit capital projects, new service operating costs, and transportation demand management projects over the four year period total to approximately \$103 million.

The Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) held two public meetings and a public hearing on the TIP prior to adoption. Over 300 groups were mailed notices of these meetings, in addition to the various public notifications carried out in accordance with Council requirements. The TAB considered and responded to comments received on the draft TIP prior to adopting the final TIP.

The 2003-2006 TIP, adopted by the Transportation Advisory Board and approved by the Metropolitan Council, implements and is consistent with the regional <u>Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan (TPP)</u> adopted on January 24, 2001. All projects included are consistent with the regional transportation plan. In many cases, the major projects are specifically identified in the regional plan. Identified projects are subject to the approvals of various agencies.

The inclusion of a specific project as part of the TIP does not imply an endorsement of the specific design alternative or engineering details. Inclusion in the TIP is a funding commitment assuming the individual project development process has addressed all local, state or federal requirements.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION


The 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area (shown in Figure 1) is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bike, walk and transportation enhancement projects and programs proposed for federal funding throughout the seven-county metropolitan area in the next four years. The TIP is prepared by the Metropolitan Council in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MN/DOT). The projects contained in the TIP are consistent with and implement the region's transportation plan and priorities.

#### FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

Federal regulations require that a Transportation Improvement Program:

- Be developed and updated every two years.
- Must cover a period of at least three years.
- Be a product of a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative (3C) planning process.
- Be consistent with regional land use and transportation plans as well as the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality.
- Fulfill requirements of the Aug. 15, 1997 final rule as required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Transportation Conformity Rule.
- Identify transportation improvements proposed in the <u>Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan</u> and recommended for federal funding during the program period.
- Contain projects that are from a transportation plan approved by the Federal Highway Administration.
- Be developed from a conforming regional metropolitan transportation plan that is fiscally constrained.
- Be fiscally constrained.
- Be initiated by locally elected officials of general-purpose governments.
- Include both highway and transit projects.
- Allow opportunities for public participation in preparation of the TIP.
- Afford an opportunity for participation of private transit providers in preparation of the TIP.
- Indicate the priorities in the seven-county metropolitan area.
- Indicate year in which initial contracts will be let.
- Indicate appropriate source of federal funds.
- Include realistic estimates of total costs and revenues for the program period.
- Fulfill requirements of the final order on Environmental Justice

Figure 1
Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
Political Boundaries



The 2003-2006 TIP for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area meets all these requirements and will be submitted to Mn/DOT for inclusion in the STIP to be approved by the Governor's designee

The following detailed information on each project that will use federal funds is provided in Appendix A:

- Identification of the project;
- Description of the scope of project;
- Estimated total cost and the amount of federal funds proposed to be obligated during each of the program years;
- Proposed source of federal and nonfederal funds; and
- Identification of the regional or state local agencies that are the recipients responsible for carrying out the project.
- Air Quality Analysis Category
- Identification of projects from ADA implementation plans

#### **REGIONAL PLANNING PROCESS**

The transportation planning process in the Twin Cities region is based on Minnesota Statutes and requirements of federal rules and regulations on urban transportation planning that first became effective June 30, 1983 when they were published in the Federal Register. The Metropolitan Council is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and is responsible for continuing, comprehensive and cooperative transportation planning in the Metropolitan Area. Since transportation planning cannot be separated from land use and development planning, the transportation planning process is integrated with the total comprehensive planning program of the Metropolitan Council.

The Twin Cities regional transportation planning process is defined in the <u>Prospectus</u> revised in 1996. Administered and coordinated by the Metropolitan Council, this process is a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative effort, involving municipal and county governments, the Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC), the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT), the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), transit operations and FHWA and FTA. Elected local government officials are ensured participation in the process through the Metropolitan Council's Transportation Advisory Board (TAB). The TAB provides a forum for the cooperative deliberation of state, regional and local officials, intermodal interests and private citizens.

The Metropolitan Reorganization Act of 1994 merged the Metropolitan Transit Commission (MTC), the Metropolitan Waste Control Commission (MWCC) and the Regional Transit Board (RTB) into the Metropolitan Council, transferring the duties, functions, property and obligations of the abolished agencies to the Council. This restructuring changes the roles and responsibilities for transit planning and service provision significantly throughout the region.

Private transit operators are informed of transit projects and competitive bidding opportunities, and participate in the planning process through the Transit Providers Advisory Committee (TPAC) and quarterly providers meetings. A representative of the TPAC is a member of the TAB's TAC.

#### <u>PUBLIC PARTICIPATION OPPORTUNITIES IN PREPARATION OF THE</u> TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

A concerted effort was made to insure all interested and concerned parties were offered opportunities to participate in the preparation of the TIP. Two public meetings and a public hearing were held by the Transportation Advisory Board to provide information and to get public reaction to the TIP.

- A public meeting was held on April 17, 2002 to explain the TIP schedule and approval process and to initiate public comment on the draft TIP.
- A public hearing was held on July 17, 2002 beginning at 3:30 pm to hear comments on the draft TIP.
- The public comment period ended on August 5, 2002.
- A public meeting was held on August 21, 2002 to consider comments submitted, subsequent changes and to adopt the TIP and forward it to the Metropolitan Council for adoption.

In preparation for these meetings, 300 mailings were sent, notification was made in the State Register, press announcements were sent to the media, and the schedule was published in the Metropolitan Digest which is mailed to 600 local elected officials and legislators. Notification of adoption of final TIP 2003-2006 by the Metropolitan Council was made in the State Register.

In 2002 the Transportation Advisory Board conducted a solicitation to allocated TEA-21 funds. In that process 700 informational letters were sent to cities, counties, agencies and special interest groups. A forum was held to discuss the solicitation process, criteria and answer questions. The projects recommended for a total of \$75,000,000 in federal funds.


In addition to the presentations identified above, the meetings of the Transportation Advisory Board's TAC, TAB, Metropolitan Council's Transportation Committee and Council when actions were taken, concerning the Solicitation and the TIP, were noticed and open to the public.

## <u>DEVELOPMENT AND CONTENT OF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</u>

The Twin Cities Capital Funding process is shown in Figure 2. The TIP is a federal requirement. The Metropolitan Council and TAB have chosen to prepare a four year document with a major amendment in alternating years. Last year a three-year TIP was adopted for 2002-2004. This year a four-year 2003-2006 TIP has been prepared. The TIP is an integral part of the overall regional transportation planning and implementing process. The preparation is a cooperative effort among local units of government and metropolitan and state agencies. This cooperative process uses technical skills and resources of the various agencies, and minimizes duplication by the participants.

# FIGURE 2 TWIN CITIES TRANSPORTATION CAPITAL FUNDING PROCESS

Average Annual Percentages (Excludes LRT Funding)



The planning base for the TIP comes from the following planning documents:

- The Regional Blueprint sets the overall priorities for regional facilities and services in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.
- The Metropolitan Council's 2025 Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan (TPP) sets overall regional transportation policy and details major long-range transportation plans. This plan was adopted in 2001 and addresses all applicable TEA 21 requirements and considerations.
- The <u>Transportation Air Quality Control Plan</u>, prepared by the Metropolitan Council, sets objectives and implementation strategies for transportation improvements to address air quality problems.
- Local comprehensive plans and transportation programs contain transportation elements that must be consistent with the Metropolitan Council's plans for transportation.

The TPP and the <u>Air Quality Control Plan</u> provide a framework for the development of specific projects by Mn/DOT, MC, the county and local governmental units and agencies which are responsible for planning, construction and operation of transportation facilities and services. All projects contained in this TIP must be consistent with the <u>Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan</u> and the transportation <u>Air Quality Control Plan</u>.

The Metropolitan Council identifies transit service needs and objectives, planned transit service and capital improvements, and costs and funding sources that help implement the TPP with input from the TPAC.

Many of the highway construction projects included in this TIP are under Mn/DOT jurisdiction. They originate from ongoing Mn/DOT planning and programming activities and respond to the region's transportation plan. The projects that lead to the completion of the metropolitan highway system, along with the projects on other major arterials, are based on the Council's TPP and on Mn/DOT's Transportation System Plan and programming process.

The TPP is further refined through various implementation and corridor studies. These studies, included the needed environmental analysis, lead to specific project recommendations that are included in implementation programs. Other projects, such as those concerned with resurfacing, bridge improvements and safety, arise from continual monitoring and evaluation of existing highway facilities through Mn/DOT's pavement and bridge management plans.

City and county federal aid projects are products of local comprehensive and transportation planning programs, and reflect local and regional priorities. These projects have been determined to be consistent with regional plans before being included in the TIP. Such plans must be consistent with the TPP.

#### PROGRAM AREAS IN THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

TEA 21 establishes a number of highway funding programs. In most cases, transit projects can also be funded through these programs. These program areas are described below.

National Highway System (NHS). The NHS, signed into law on Nov. 28, 1995, consists of 161,000 miles of major roads in the United States. Included are all interstates and a large percentage of urban and rural principal arterials, the defense strategic highway network, and strategic highway connectors. All NHS routes in the Region are eligible to use NHS funds.

Interstate Maintenance (IM). These funds will finance projects to rehabilitate, restore, and resurface the interstate system. Reconstruction is also eligible if it does not add capacity. However, high occupancy vehicles (HOV) and auxiliary lanes can be added.

Surface Transportation Program (STP). STP is a block grant type program that may be used for any roads (including NHS) that are not functionally classified as local or rural minor collectors. These roads are now collectively referred to as federal-aid roads. Bridge projects paid for with STP funds are not restricted to federal-aid roads but may be on any public road. Transit capital projects are also eligible under this program. Transportation Enhancement Projects are funded as part of this program.

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program. CMAQ directs funds toward transportation projects in non-attainment areas and maintenance for ozone and carbon monoxide (CO). These projects contribute to meeting or maintaining the attainment of national ambient air quality standards.

**Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program.** The Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program is continued to provide assistance for any bridge on a public road. The program is basically unchanged from previous years in its formula and requirements.

**Hazard Elimination Safety Program.** Is continued but has changed in focus to safety at railroad crossings.

FTA Title III Section 5309 and 5307 Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Programs. These programs provide assistance with capital and operating costs.

**FTA Title III Section 5310 Program.** This program funds the purchase of lift-equipped vehicles by nonprofit organizations, which provide transportation for the elderly and handicapped.

FTA Title III Section 5311 Program. This program is available for operating and capital assistance to areas with less than 50,000 population (small urban and rural programs).

#### 2. SUMMARY OF REGIONAL PLANS AND PRIORITIES

All projects in the TIP are reviewed by the Transportation Advisory Board and the Metropolitan Council for consistency with the <u>Transportation Development Guide Chapter/Policy Plan (TPP)</u> and the <u>Air Quality Control Plan</u>. This chapter summarizes the TPP, indicates Council priorities and identifies air quality control measures undertaken in the region. The Council adopted a new TPP on January 24, 2001. The Plan is in balance with forecasted revenues over the 24-year planning period and is in conformity with the CAAA of 1990. The Council held open houses, four focus groups, and a public hearing on the TPP prior to adoption. The material below describes the plan. The Regional Transportation Financial Plan is provided in Appendix D.

#### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT GUIDE CHAPTER/POLICY PLAN

#### Purpose and Authority

The Metropolitan Council is directed by Minnesota Statutes, Sec. 473.145 to prepare a comprehensive development guide for the metropolitan area. The development guide, as currently implemented, consists of the *Regional Blueprint* and four "chapters," dealing with transportation, aviation, wastewater and regional recreation open space. Minn. Stat., Sec. 473.146 provides direction to the Council to adopt these comprehensive policy plans for transportation, airports, and wastewater treatment as chapters of the metropolitan development guide.

Legislation related to the Metropolitan Council and metropolitan land use planning states that the Metropolitan Council shall review and comment on the apparent consistency of the local comprehensive plans and capital improvement programs with adopted plans of the Council and that the Council may require a local government to modify any comprehensive plan or part thereof which may have a substantial impact on or contain a substantial departure from metropolitan system plans (Minn. Stat., Sec. 473.175). Further, local governments may not adopt any fiscal device or official control, which permits activity in conflict with metropolitan system plans (Minn. Stat., Sec. 473.858).

The metropolitan systems plans are defined in Minn. Stat., Sec. 473.852, Subd. 8, as the airports and transportation portions of the metropolitan development guide, and the policy plans and capital budgets for metropolitan wastewater service, transportation and regional recreation open space. The system plan for transportation consists of this entire *Transportation Policy Plan*.


The Regional Blueprint presents the overall priorities for regional facilities and services in the Twin Cities metropolitan area. The Transportation Policy Plan incorporates the transportation policies and plans that support the Metropolitan Council's Regional Blueprint and describes the Council's approach to investments between now and 2025. This is the ninth update of the regional transportation plan first adopted by the Council in 1971. It replaces the 1996 version and represents the fifth decade of coordinated effort in planning and implementing this region's metropolitan urban transportation system.

The Transportation Policy Plan has been prepared pursuant to the federal Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> century (TEA-21) requirements and to Minn. Stat., Sec. 473.145 and 146. Minnesota Statutes require the Council to review and revise the transportation guide at least every five years; TEA-21 requires an update every three years. The plan preparation process includes the involvement of local elected officials through the Council's Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) and the participation of citizens. The roles and responsibilities of all participants in the regional transportation planning process are fully described in the TAB's Prospectus. Chapter 6, Federal Requirements, describes how this plan meets the provisions of TEA-21, which have been changed since the 1991 ISTEA guided development of the previous plan.

The *Transportation Policy Plan* conforms to TEA-21 and the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA). The conformity of regional transportation plans and programs to CAAA requirements is determined by the air quality analysis methods as discussed in Appendix K.

The Metropolitan Council's regional growth strategy was adopted as part of its *Regional Blueprint* (see Figure 3 for growth strategy policy areas). To ensure that this regional growth strategy is implemented, the Council's regional growth strategy is hereby incorporated into the Council's system plan for transportation. Local government plans will be reviewed by the Council for their consistency with the Council's metropolitan system plans. The Council's metropolitan system plans, including the regional growth strategy, will serve as the basis for the Council's determination to require a local plan modification if a local plan or any part of a local plan has a substantial impact on or contains a substantial departure from the Council's metropolitan system plans.

Figure 3.



10

#### **Public Participation Process**

The Council provided a variety of methods for interested parties and the public to participate in the formulation of the region's *Transportation Policy Plan*. Described below are the specific activities undertaken to encourage public participation into the development of this regional transportation plan. These activities are consistent with the Council's proposed *Citizen Participation Plan*, found in Appendix D of this plan.

- Preliminary draft presented and discussed with TAC/TAB committees.
- Public notice of participation process and key dates:
  - October 11, 2000 Council adopted for purpose of public hearing.
  - November 20, 2000 Public hearing.
  - December 1, 2000 Record closed on public comments.
- Four public open houses were held throughout the region.
- Four focus groups were held.

To broaden public participation in development of the policy plan, four focus groups were conducted. Comments were solicited from key stakeholders who would be impacted by the plan. Feedback was also sought from groups of people who rely upon transit but have traditional been underrepresented in the planning process. The Council compiled questions specific to each group and learned much from the responses. The following groups were invited to the focus groups:

City planners, engineers and consultants Transit and bicycle advocates Non-traditional stakeholders Business representatives

- Copies of the draft plan and background material were provided free upon request. The draft plan was sent to area libraries for public access. The executive summary, including the key transit and highway investment maps, was posted on the Council's website.
- The draft policy plan was presented to the TAB Policy Committee and TAB, the TAC Planning and Funding and Programming Committees and TAC. Comments were received from the TAC and TAB.
- Comments were received at the public hearing, open houses via comment cards, focus group meetings, mail, facsimile, a comment telephone line and website postings.
- Copies of all comments received were sent to Council members.
- The Council's Transportation Committee considered the public hearing report at its January 8, 2001, meeting. Subsequently copies of the report and recommended revisions were sent to all those who submitted comments and provided names and mailing addresses.
- The Council considered the public hearing report at is January 24, 2001, meeting and adopted the plan with recommended changes.
- The pending Council action on the plan was officially noticed in the January 2, 2001, *State Register* and advertised in the principal regional newspapers.

#### **Executive Summary**

## Transportation's challenge is to support regional livability, economic competitiveness and Smart Growth.

Transportation – the link to countless destinations within our metro area and beyond – is a vital tool for keeping our region competitive in the world economy and improving our quality of life. Together with wise land use decisions, transportation helps support attractive, livable communities with thriving businesses, affordable housing and viable neighborhoods.

To keep the region strong and vital, the Metropolitan Council's Transportation Policy Plan aims to:


- Sharpen the regions' economic competitiveness by ensuring the convenient, affordable movement of people and the timely efficient movement of goods.
- Enhance community and neighborhood livability with connected streets, sidewalks and bikeways and convenient development that incorporates offices, homes and retail in ways that are conducive to transit services.
- Expand mobility options besides the car to connect jobs, services and housing.
- Improve environmental quality of the region's air and water.
- Promote savings through cost-effective use of regional and local infrastructure.

#### Congestion problems will mount in future years.

Keeping the region mobile and livable will become more difficult. According to Metropolitan forecasts, between the years 2000 and 2025 the region will gain approximately 635,000 more people, 320,000 more households and 312,000 more jobs.

A trip during the morning rush-hour is estimated to take 26 percent longer than the same trip taken in off-peak times. (Texas Transportation Institute, 1997 data—the most recent available) And congestion is worsening at a faster rate than in the past. The amount of travel—measured as vehicle miles traveled—is expected to increase faster (+38%) than the population (+28%) over the next 25 years. The result will be an even bigger congestion problem.

### Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled, 1980 - 2025




Twin Cities residents are already feeling the effects. Traffic congestion is now the top metro concern (31%). (Metro State University 2000 Civic Confidence Survey) Eighty-two percent of Twin Cities area residents think traffic congestion has increased in the last year. (1999 Twin Cities Area Survey)

## What is the chief problem facing the region? Metro State University Poll, 2000

## 35 30 25 20 15

#### Did congestion increase over past year? Twin Cities Area Survey, 1999



Smart Growth – with transportation and wise land use decisions – can help keep the region livable and mobile.

The issue facing the Twin Cities area is how to grow in ways that make this region more economically competitive and maintain our high quality of life. The Metropolitan Council's response to this challenge is the regional Smart Growth strategy – a pro-growth approach to guiding development into more convenient patterns and into areas where infrastructure allows growth to be sustained over the long term.

Smart Growth envisions developments of complementary land uses, including affordable and lifecycle housing, retail and offices, on interconnected streets amenable to walking, bicycling, or using transit or car to destinations.

#### The region needs a variety of transportation modes working together, with a stronger role for transit.

Transportation is the business of moving people and freight. Various forms of transportation are necessary to strengthen the region's economy and quality of life – cars on highways, buses on local streets, express buses on bus-only highway shoulders, light rail transit, exclusive busways, commuter rail, truck transport, air freight and water, bikeways and pedestrian facilities.

At the same time, the future transportation system will need to rely more on innovative transit solutions to slow the growth of congestion and support attractive, convenient neighborhoods with a diversity of complementary land uses – housing, retail, business offices and professional services.

High-quality transit service planned for the region will offer new technologies, special transitways, faster express service, more routes, new buses and customer incentives, providing superior transit service to more people. Transit will link development along major transportation corridors, including the downtowns. The building block of Smart Growth neighborhoods will be a network of interconnected streets that promote walking, bicycle use and transit.

Exclusive transitways will provide alternatives to congested highway lanes. Bus-only shoulders on highways, high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, exclusive busways, LRT and commuter rail will offer a transit-time advantage over single-occupant autos, improve transit service reliability and boost the potential for transit-oriented development.

The regional highway system will see some expansion in capacity but few additional freeway lanes over the next 25 years. The focus will be on maintaining and managing existing highways in ways that move more people without many more vehicles. Funding, ridership and coordination with land use are the crucial issues confronting transit over the next 25 years.

Transit needs adequate and stable funding sources. The level of funding support from local and state governments is a critical factor in the performance of public transportation. Per capita spending for operating transit in the Twin Cities area (1998) ranks second to last among its 10 peer regions. Most cities with higher spending have a state or local revenue source dedicated to transit. An adequate and dedicated funding source allows lower fares, thus maximizing ridership. The Twin Cities area receives funds from property taxes and state general funds. This arrangement creates pressure to shift more costs to fares, depressing ridership. Without an adequate funding source, the region will not be able to meet its mobility needs and achieve its Smart Growth goals.

Ridership will need to grow enough over the next 25 years to make an impact on highway congestion and provide attractive alternatives to the single-occupant automobile. Expanding ridership will require providing the appropriate type of transit service in terms of location, quality and frequency, within increasingly tight financial constraints. Transit has the greatest long-term potential for ridership in major transportation corridors with concentrations of compact, mixed-use development.

To fully promote Smart Growth, transit decisions will need to be closely tied to questions of land use. This will require close coordination among transit providers, local government, development interests and others. The challenge will be to develop solutions that reconcile diverse needs and viewpoints.

The plan for transit is to greatly expand the bus system, develop exclusive transitways and foster Smart Growth in transit corridors.

The goal over the next 25 years is to develop a regional transit system that:

- More than doubles the capacity of the bus system by 2025 the backbone of the transit system;
- Includes a network of dedicated transit corridors; and
- Supports Smart Growth by fostering more efficient use of land and public infrastructure.

The 2025 transit system will be capable of carrying more than twice the current number of riders, providing high quality, easy-to-use service. This is the equivalent of capturing 10% of the travel-demand growth in the region over the next 20 years.

#### The bus system will remain the foundation of future transit services.

- Service will be greatly expanded and reorganized to better meet customer needs and promote more efficient use of public facilities consistent with Smart Growth principles. The Metro Transit vehicle fleet and related public and support facilities including garages, transit stations and park-and-rides will be doubled.
- Local routes will benefit from increased frequency, greater coverage and restructuring using a gridstyle network, rather than the current radial pattern oriented to the downtowns.
- "Arterial corridors" selected high-traffic urban and suburban streets will receive the highest level of local bus service very frequent, 7-day, up-to-24-hour service, with highly visible facilities at major stops.

#### A network of dedicated transit corridors will be developed.

• These transitways – consisting of bus-only shoulders, high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, exclusive busways, LRT and commuter rail – will provide a transit-time advantage over single-occupant autos, improve transit service reliability and boost the potential for transit-oriented development.

The state of the s

- By 2010, these transitways would include 2 LRT lines (Hiawatha and a second line to downtown Saint Paul), at least 1 commuter rail line coming from outside the region, and 2 exclusive busways to Minneapolis and Saint Paul.
- In addition, the current network of bus-only shoulders will be significantly expanded in congested highway corridors and upgraded to improved standards, including wider lanes. Supporting these corridors will be extensive park-and-ride facilities, ramp meter bypasses and transfer points.

#### Smart Growth development will be fostered along dedicated transit corridors.

- Linked to high-quality transit service, development following Smart Growth principles will include a mix of housing, retail, offices and open space in a pedestrian-friendly environment.
- Transit's support of Smart Growth will strengthen the region's economic competitiveness by maintaining mobility within the area, crucial for commuter travel and goods movement. It will also give people more choices in the way they travel around the region and in their communities.

Other bus services will also be expanded, including the suburban opt-out systems, Metro Mobility and the small urban-rural systems, along with related support facilities.

The future transit system will save public facility costs and reduce auto trips, congestion and land consumption.

#### Savings in local roads and utilities are estimated at \$2 billion.

- \$1.48 billion will be saved because of the reduced need for water lines, sewer lines and storm water facilities from concentrating development along transit corridors.
- \$538 million in savings will result from the reduced need for local roads because of more compact development patterns.

#### The savings in congestion costs will total \$2 billion.

- More compact development patterns along transit corridors with enhanced transit services will slow the growth in vehicle-miles traveled and congestion on roadways by at least 10%, resulting in an annual average saving of \$50 million and a 20-year total saving of \$1 billion. Congestion costs an estimated \$1 billion now and is estimated to double by 2020.
- It would cost an estimated \$1 billion to build highway improvements to relieve unacceptable congestion in the 6 proposed major transit corridors. The transit improvements proposed by this plan will lessen the need for these highway investments.

#### Travel, fuel consumption and pollution will be reduced.

- 245,000 daily auto trips will be eliminated through expanded transit service and changes in development densities along transit corridors. Transit improvements will be responsible for more than 80% of this reduction the equivalent of one or two lanes of traffic in each congested corridor.
- 550 million miles in travel per year will be cut.
- 27 million gallons of fuel will be saved annually.
- 6,600 tons of carbon monoxide will be eliminated per year.
- The region's dependency on sometimes volatile energy supplies will be reduced, and greenhouse effects will diminish.

More compact development will reduce auto trips per person by an estimated 30% and produce 100% more transit trips. If just 10% (27,000 units) of the households the region gains between 2000 and 2020 develop at higher densities, it will result in an estimated 45,000 fewer daily auto trips and 17,000 more transit trips.

#### Affordable housing will increase and land consumption will slow.

- 7,500 additional affordable housing units will be built in transit corridors by 2020.
- 110,500 acres (173 square miles) of rural land will be saved through more compact development patterns along transit corridors.

#### Transit improvements will be phased over the next 25 years.

- The lower-investment corridors will be constructed early on (2000-2005) to produce the greatest
  possible benefits as quickly as possible. This will involve enhancing service along major
  urban/suburban arterial streets, providing bus-only shoulders along major highways, expanding parkand-ride capacity, developing transfer points, and expanding and enhancing high-occupancy vehicle
  lanes.
- The bus fleet, garages and support facilities will be vigorously expanded and bus service improved year by year so that, by 2020, the system can carry twice the ridership it does today and three times the ridership by 2040.
- Two busways would be constructed in the next 10 years and three more busways between 2010 and 2025 to complete the regional transit plan.
- After completion of the Hiawatha line in 2004, a second LRT line would be built by 2010. Between 2010 and 2025, a third would be completed and a fourth would start construction.
- The non-transitway corridors could be upgraded to busways or busways to LRT after 2010, as appropriate, based on updated ridership forecasts and travel demand.





Figure 4
Arterial Transit Corridors






Figure 6
Transitways on Dedicated Rights-of-Way 2025 Plan





Figure 7

Transitways on Dedicated Rights-of-Way 2010 Plan



## Timing of Major Transit Improvements

2000 – 2010	2010 – 2025					
Bus System						
• Expansion of bus system by 50%	<ul> <li>Continued expansion of bus system to double size by 2020; continued expansion after 2020 by 3.5% per year</li> </ul>					
Arterial Corridors						
<ul> <li>Arterial transit corridor improvements</li> </ul>						
Freeway Corridors						
<ul> <li>Bus-only shoulders with improved standards</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Extension of HOV lane on I-35W from I-494 to 46<sup>th</sup> Street</li> </ul>						
<b>Dedicated Transitways</b>						
Busways						
<ul> <li>Two exclusive busways, with corridor candidates that include:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Three additional busways to complete 2025 transit plan (the</li> </ul>					
- Riverview	other two candidate corridors plus					
- St. Paul Northeast	Minneapolis East)					
<ul> <li>Mpls. Southwest/Midtown Greenway</li> </ul>						
- Mpls. Northwest						
Light Rail Transit						
<ul> <li>Second LRT line will be built along the Central Corridor.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Extension of Hiawatha into Dakota County and possible conversion of a busway to LRT if ridership is sufficiently high</li> </ul>					
Commuter Rail						
<ul> <li>At least one commuter rail line, with candidates in order of priority:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Completion of two additional commuter rail lines to include:</li> </ul>					
- Northstar Corridor	- The corridor not developed by					
- Red Rock Corridor to Minneapolis	2010;					
	<ul> <li>Dan Patch Corridor (after completion of Red Rock)</li> </ul>					

Two-thirds (66%) of 25-year transit capital costs (\$5,814 million) would be spent on maintaining and expanding the regional bus system, constructing dedicated busways and building bus-only shoulders. Another 22% would be spent on LRT and 12% on commuter rail.

#### **Bus System Expansion**

The total cost of this program is \$1,415 million from 2000 to 2025. This figure includes the cost of new buses, replacement of these new buses after 2012, new garages to house the buses, new public facilities (such as park-and-rides and transit stations), radios, computers, and capital equipment.

These figures also include the capital needs of all providers in the region – Metro Transit, contracted transit services, opt-out communities, rural/small urban programs and Metro Mobility.

Approximately 45% of the cost of expanding the bus system is projected to come from federal sources, 6% from state sources and 14% from Metropolitan Council-issued bonds. The balance of this program – 33% – requires a new funding source.

#### **Existing Bus System**

The region's existing bus system – which includes vehicles and extensive support and public facilities – will need to be replaced or rebuilt as equipment and facilities age. Over the next 25 years, these costs are estimated at \$1,750 million.

Of this total, approximately 60% can be funded from federal sources and 40% from bonds.

#### **Dedicated Busways**

Five busways would be built in the next 25 years – two by 2010 and three more by 2025. Costs through 2025 are projected to be \$540 million dollars. Corridors initially identified include Riverview, Midtown Greenway/Southwest, Minneapolis Northwest, St. Paul Northeast, and Minneapolis Northeast.

Half of this program is projected to come from federal sources, 40% from state sources and 10% from local sources.

#### **Light Rail Transit**

By 2025, two lines in addition to the Hiawatha corridor would be completed and another would be under construction. The total cost to 2025 would be \$1,250 million. Potential corridors include Central, an extension of Hiawatha or any busway that has high enough ridership to justify conversion to LRT.

Fifty percent of the capital cost is projected to come from federal sources, 40% from state sources and 10% from local sources.

#### Commuter Rail

By 2025, three commuter rail lines would be completed and a fourth under construction, at an estimated cost of \$725 million. Potential corridors include Northstar, Red Rock, Dan Patch and Central, which would connect the Northstar and Red Rock lines.

Half of the capital cost is projected to come from federal sources, 40% from state sources and 10% from local sources.

#### **Bus-Only Shoulders**

A total of 125 miles of bus-only shoulders would be built by 2010. Construction and reconstruction costs would bring the total to \$134 million by 2025. All the capital funding is projected to come from Trunk Highway funds.

**Summary of Transit Capital Costs by 2025** 

Existing Expand Bus System Bus System		Busway LRT		Commuter Rail	Bus-Only Shoulders	TOTAL	
\$1,750	\$1,415	\$540	\$1,250	\$725	\$134	\$5,814	

#### Added Revenue Needed

The implementation of the Council's transit plan will require more capital and operating funds than are now available. The capital cost for transit improvements will be approximately \$5.8 billion. Capital funds estimated to be available for the 21 years 2005 to 2025 are approximately \$2 billion. The annual operating cost for the 2025 transit system will be \$262 million more than they are now. Assuming fares will make up thirty percent (30%) of the operative cost approximately \$180 million annually will need to be attained from other sources. Many highway needs will go unmet over this time period given the funding available.

#### **ADA Plan**

- The disabled population is forecasted to increase by 48% from 1990 to 2010 and 70% by 2020.
- The region will need to expand service to accommodate about a 30% increase in ridership by 2010 and about 50% by 2020.
- If Metro Transit extends 24 hour service to first ring suburbs, then Metro Mobility will be required to make a comparable adjustment, thus resulting in the purchase of additional service hours.

#### The metropolitan highway system faces more travel demand but insufficient funding.

The metropolitan highway system of freeways and expressways carries the majority of vehicle travel in this region and the longest trips at the highest speeds. It faces a number of major problems over the next 25 years:

- Significant increases in travel demand;
- Inefficient use of the highway system by vehicles with only one person;
- Increasing maintenance costs for an aging system of highways;
- Insufficient funding:
- Funding sources that return too little of the revenues to the areas in which they are collected; and
- Funding sources that do not provide incentives to improve the efficiency of the transportation system.

The strategy for metropolitan highways is to focus expansions generally on or inside the I-494/694 ring, increase the efficiency of the highway system and better coordinate highway investments with development.


The goal between now and 2025 is to:

• Focus highway expansions on removing bottlenecks and modest increases in existing capacity, but not major expansions in freeway capacity that could promote the outward spread of unplanned development. (Figure 8)

- Make highways operate more efficiently so they carry more people without a lot more cars. This goal includes:
  - Pursuing the use of tolls, value pricing and new parking policies; and
  - Providing incentives for people and businesses to share rides, schedule commuting time outside the peak periods and to use arterial streets for shorter trips.
- Coordinate highway investments with development decisions in major travel corridors to:
  - Foster Smart Growth projects that include a variety of complementary land uses:
  - Concentrate job locations within and adjacent to the I-494/I-694 ring; and
  - Encourage more compact, convenient development within the urban service area, where regional services are already planned or available.
- Maintain the existing highway system to serve existing and planned development.

A number of freeways and expressways would be expanded to complete the metropolitan highway system. **Expansion** means the addition of one or more through lanes (for high-occupancy vehicles or mixed traffic), expressways rebuilt to freeway design, new principal arterials in new alignments or the construction or substantial increase of transit services. (Table 1) Studies are under way to identify the appropriate type of expansion project.

Other highways are selected for improvements. **Improvements** involve pavement reconstruction and bridge replacement. They also include select intersection and interchange construction or reconstruction, corridor reconstruction, and larger safety management projects. In a few instances, lanes are added for short distances. (Table 2)






Table 1.
Metropolitan Highway System Expansion Projects, 2001-2025

Highway	From	То	Length (miles)	Status/ Study Type	Subarea or Major Investment Study Alternatives	Preserve (dollars in millions)	Manage (dollars in millions)	Expand (dollars in millions)	Right-of-Way (dollars in millions)	Total (dolla in millions
I-94	McKnight	TH 120	1.7	Subarea study	HOV, bus shoulder, mixed	20	5	25	5	55
I-35E	TH 110	TH 5	2.3	Corridor improvement to be defined	HOV/ mixed, bus shoulder lanes	30	5	20	15	70
I-35E	I-94	1-694	5.6	North Metro Subarea Study	HOV, bus shoulders, mixed	70	10	80	45	205
[-494	1-394	1-94	5.5		HOV, bus shoulders, mixed	15	15	40	10	80
1-494	TH 212	1-394	7.9		HOV, bus shoulders, mixed	25	10	25	10	70
[-494	TH 77	TH 100	5.1	Major Investment Study/Final EIS completed 1/97	Add HOV, bus shoulders, mixed	20	80	200	100	400
1-694	1-35W	W. Jet. I- 35E	5.6			35	15	60	20	130
1-694	E. Jet. 1-35E	TH 36	5.5	Corridor Study Needed	HOV, bus shoulders, mixed	40	3	22	5	70
1-694	W. Jet. I-35E	E. Jet. I-35E	1.5	i		17	3	45	5	70
TH 36	I-35W	I-35E	5.3	North Metro Subarea Study	HOV, bus shoulders, transitway, mixed	45	5	40	20	110
TH 41	TH 169	TH 212	3.0	Right-of-Way Preservation			-		5	5
TH 100	36 <sup>th</sup> St.	Cedar Lake Rd.	1.0		-	7		28	10	45
TH 212	CSAH 4	To old align- ment	10.0			·	<u></u>	85	15	100
TH 252	73 <sup>rd</sup> Av.	TH 610	2.9	Corridor needs unclear; transit enhancement req.		5	1	9	5	20
TH 610	CR 130	I-94	5.0			2		43	15	60
TOTAL			67.9			331	152	722	285	1,490

Table 2.

Metropolitan Highway System Improvement, Replacement and Bottleneck Removal Projects, 2001-2025

Highway	From	То	Length (miles)	Status/Study Type	Alternatives	Preserve (dollars in millions)	Manage (dollars in millions)	Improve (dollars in millions)	Right-of-Way (dollars in millions)	Total (dollars in millions)
1-35W	46 <sup>th</sup> Street	West I-94	5.3	Corridor Design Study		50	10	80	20	160
I-35W	Washing- ton Ave.	TH 36	4.2			35	25	100	25	185
I-35W	TH 36	Ramsey County Line	8.0	Subarea Study	HOV, bus shoulders, mixed	20	5	10	10	45
TH 52	Concord Blvd.	I-94 Lafayette	2.8	Select Interchange Improvements/ Access Control		55		15	20	90
TH 61	Hastings Bridge		0.6			0		20	25	55
TH 169	I-494	1-94	15.8			50	5	55	25	135
TH 169	I-94	TH 610	2.8			15	10	15	15	55
TH 36	1-35E	1-694	6.7			8	l	5	3	18
TH 62	1-494	1-35W	8.1			35	5	20	25	85
TH 62	I-35W	TH 55	3.9			18	2	10	15	45
TH 280	Como	TH 36	2.0			28	2	15	25	70
Total			60.2			314	25	345	208	943

## Expansion and improvement projects on the metropolitan highway system are expected to total \$2,433 million between 2001 and 2025.

- Expansion of metropolitan highway system freeways and expressways will cost \$1,490 million.
- Improvements and bottleneck removal on these highways will cost \$943 million.
- Management of all trunk highways in the region which include freeways, expressways and other major highways will cost \$510 million. Management focuses on moving more people, not more vehicles. It provides incentives to those willing to share rides and reduce vehicle travel.
  - Funding sources for expansion, improvement and management projects are the state Trunk Highway Trust Fund and federal dollars. Funding for projects scheduled for 2001 to 2004 has been approved.
- **Preservation** of all trunk highways from 2005 to 2025 will be \$1,430. Preservation includes pavement and bridge repair.

#### Unmet Highway needs could cost \$9 billion

- Limited expansion of "A" minor arterials are included in this plan.
- Non-MnDOT owned principal arterial improvements or expansions are not funded
- New "A" minor arterials and principal arterials needed to implement the Regional Blueprint and the local and county comprehensive plans are not funded.
- Many interchanges need to be reconstructed.

#### Bicycling and walking can be feasible alternatives to the automobile for shorter trips.

As the Council works with communities to promote Smart Growth principles in future development patterns, walking and bicycling become increasingly important as means of travel in compact, mixed-use neighborhoods. Continuous, barrier-free bicycle and pedestrian systems are crucial to the success of these Smart Growth developments.

Pedestrian trips, which average one-quarter to one-half mile, can best access transit service in areas where higher frequency service and sidewalks are provided. Bicycle trips, which average two miles, also provide easy access to transit. As light rail, commuter rail and busway corridors are developed, bicycle and pedestrian connections will be important aspects of planning for the region at the local level.

The majority of cities and counties in the seven-county region recognize the need for facilities for bicyclists and pedestrians in their community, and to a varying degree provide plans and policies in their local comprehensive plans to support these transportation and recreational needs.

#### A high-capacity, cost-effective freight transportation network is a key to the region's economic vitality.

Recommendations from a statewide study of freight movement suggest broad freight policy objectives and project-level specifics to address the needs of freight modes in Minnesota.

Suggestions included closer cooperation between Mn/DOT and the freight industry in sharing of industry-collected data, broader use of intelligent-transportation system technology, removing highway bottlenecks, addressing regulatory control inefficiencies, using statewide performance measures, and greater coordination for planning of and investments into public infrastructure and related freight facilities to increase operational efficiencies and expand capacity.

#### TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

The Metropolitan Council's <u>Transportation Air Quality Control Plan</u> (TAQCP), a supplement to the TPP, sets forth three principal objectives: to attain and maintain National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone; to implement transportation systems management (TSM) strategies that effectively contribute to air quality attainment and maintenance; and to meet federal and state air quality standards in the most economical and equitable manner. The Twin Cities area meets the ozone standard and is designated as an attainment area for CO. Planning for control of carbon monoxide pollution caused by transportation sources in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area is the responsibility of the Metropolitan Council as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The TAQCP specifies strategies to improve the management of the region's transportation system, based on an analysis of the air quality problems in the seven-county Twin Cities area.

The 1977 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) required a State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality for all areas that have not attained the NAAQS. The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) retained this requirement. The SIP is a planning document prepared by the MPCA, and submitted by the its Commissioner as the Governor's representative. The SIP contains the programs and plans that will result in achievement of the NAAQS. The SIP serves as the state's legally binding commitment to actions that will reduce or eliminate air quality problems. At the time of passage of the CAA, the seven-county Fwin Cities Area was designated as a nonattainment for NAAQS CO standards.

The TAQCP and the SIP contain the same measures to control CO but the SIP contains additional measures, including a mandated oxygenated gasoline program and a vehicle emissions and inspection program. This program was terminated in 1999. All federally approved or financially funded functions must "conform" to the SIP, and be consistent with the TPP and other officially adopted transportation plans of the MPOs under the 1977 and 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. MPOs can only legally approve projects, plans, or programs that conform to the SIP.

#### CONFORMITY TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS

Conformity Determination Based on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Final Rule

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 require transportation conformity in nonattainment and maintenance areas. Conformity is the process that links transportation to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) to reduce emissions and bring (or keep) the area in compliance with air quality standards. Conformity determinations are required on Transportation Plans, TIPs and federally funded or federally approved transportation projects. In Minnesota, the Twin Cities is a maintenance area for carbon monoxide (CO). The term "maintenance area" means EPA previously cited the area for not meeting CO standards but now legally recognizes the area as meeting (attaining) these standards. Maintenance areas must continue to demonstrate that they will meet the standards. EPA designated the Twin Cities to maintenance status on October 29, 1999. The Conformity Rules of 1993, and as amended in 1995, 1997, 1999 and 2000, lay out technical and procedural requirements of conformity and require states to develop their own conformity procedures as part of their State Implementation Plan (SIP).

As described in the rule, the MPO must make a conformity determination on transportation plans and programs for maintenance areas, including federally funded or approved projects, as well as non-federal projects which are regionally significant. The MPO prepared the 2003-2006 TIP following the requirements of the final conformity rule. A consultation process was followed, involving the MPCA, Mn/DOT, U.S.DOT and the Council, as described in the provision of the interagency consultation process and in Appendix B.

#### Projects Included in TIP Conformity Analysis

The TIP conformity analysis involves review of all federally funded or approved highway and transit projects, all state trunk highway projects, and all projects which meet the federal definition of regionally significant (see Appendix B) in the Twin Cities nonattainment area. Certain project types will not have regional or local emissions impact. The TIP project tables annotate the projects "exempt" from regional emission analysis with a code under the column "AQ," corresponding to the appropriate category listed in Exhibit 3. Certain types of exempt projects may require a hotspot analysis. Those projects which are not exempt and can be modeled in the regional network used for computer modeling, are included in the regional emissions analysis for the TIP. In addition, those projects in the portion of Wright County and New Prague within the nonattainment area are also included as appropriate in the analysis as documented in Appendix B.

#### Conformity of the TIP

The Metropolitan Council and TAB have determined that the TIP conforms to the broad intentions of the CAAA and to the specific requirements of the final transportation conformity rules (EPA's 40 CFR PARTS 51 and 93). The TIP emissions analysis, using the latest available planning assumptions, traffic forecast models and EPA emission analysis approved models, shows that the TIP continues to remain below the 1996 motor vehicle emissions budget established for the region. The TIP is fiscally constrained, and comes from the conforming metropolitan long range transportation plan. Interagency consultation and public participation processes specified in the EPA rule and in the Transportation Policy Plan were followed in the development of the TIP and the conformity analysis. A detailed description of the conformity analysis is found in Appendix B.

#### Original and New SIP Measures

The region has implemented all of the adopted transportation control measures in the SIP strategies contained in the original Air Quality Control Plan. A list of the plan amendments, strategies, their status, and how they have changed with new improvements, is in Appendix B.

## 3. PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS WITH THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES

This chapter discusses the sources (federal, state, regional, local) and level of transportation funds available for projects and programs in the region, the process used to select them for inclusion in the TIP and the balance between selected projects and resources. A key element in this TIP is the balance between resources and projects. Also included here is a discussion of the consistency of projects and programs with the Regional Transportation Plan (TPP).

The detailed description of projects approved for Federal Title I and Title III funds, State Trunk Highway funds and Regional Capital Bonding projects are recorded in the attached Appendix A.

#### STATE PROCESS TO ALLOCATE FEDERAL AND STATE FUNDS

MN/DOT has developed a process of fund allocation to the Area Transportation Partnership regions in the state to ensure the regional TIPs and the State TIP meet the fiscally constrained requirement.

This process has four basic steps.

- MN/DOT's Office of Investment Management (OIM) determines the funds available for the TIP period year 2003 to 2006. These funding targets are sent to the ATPs for comments.
- The regions develop their draft TIPs using these funding targets. The regions can include funding for additional projects or programs for consideration by OIM.
- OIM assembles the regional TIPs and all requests for additional funds and informs the regions if their request for a higher level of funds will be honored.
- The regions modify their list of projects and adopt their TIPs and submit them to MN/DOT for inclusion in the STIP.

The metro region submitted its preliminary fiscal constraint analysis to Mn/DOT in April 2002.

#### RESOURCES AVAILABLE 2003-2006

The Region receives federal Title I and III funds, state trunk highway funds and regional transit capital bond funds. In addition, all federally funded projects require a local match provided by the sponsoring agency. These can come from state trunk highway funds, regional bond funds, city or county funds or from other groups such as the DNR. These add to the resource available to pay for the projects in the TIP.

Transportation resources available to the region for highway, transit, and alternative mode projects are approximately \$2,434 million/year over the 2003 to 2006 period (See Tables 3, 4 and 5). These funds include capital investments for highway, transit and alternative modes and some operating funds for the metropolitan and small area transit systems. Federal Title I and State Trunk Highway funds represent approximately 62 percent of the funds available, while Federal Title III and other state and local taxes represent the remaining 38%. A major portion of the local funds comes from property taxes that help fund the regional transit system and the city and county highway systems.

Recorded in Table 4 are the traditional highway funding sources available to the region. The region's "target" for Federal Title I and state trunk highway funds are identified in lines one and two. These targets set out the parameters that are used in the regional and MN/DOT process for project selection. The total funds available to the region over three years are \$1,780 million.

The target for the region is made up of Federal Title I funds and State Trunk Highway funds that Mn/DOT distributes. These funds come to the Area Transportation Partnership regions based on a formula that takes into account various attributes of the existing transportation system and the future populations of the regions. The share of federal funds for the four years is \$982 million. This includes the target or annual allocations that are \$572 million for the four years. This is \$3 million lower annually than in the 2002-2004 TIP. Also included are funds obtained through the advance construction process. These are federal funds borrowed from future years. The target for State Trunk Highway funds is \$452 million.

Additional funds are made available to the region in various ways. Over the past years, the region has requested and received additional allocations through the Mn/DOT process described above. At this time, there are \$69 million still available to the region for specific projects. The 2000 Minnesota State Legislature made \$205 million of new resources available to the region. In 2003, \$88 million has yet to be spent. The region assumes \$62 million of projects will lapse and the funds will be available for the four years. High priority projects have received federal funds earmarked by Congress. At present, \$68 million is available over the four-year period for specific projects. (\$13 million is designated for Chisago County)

This year Mn/DOT will take advantage of the Advanced Construction (AC) process to extend available resources. Mn/DOT has requested and received approval to construct federal aid projects in advance of the apportionment of authorized federal aid funds. Mn/DOT has to meet a number of conditions to use the AC process. Mn/DOT can commit future federal funds to projects as long as they go through the normal FHWA approval and authorization process. The projects using AC must be fully encumbered in the state budget for both the amount of state funds and the federal AC amount. The state funds available at contract letting must equal 100% of the local match of federal funds. This is normally 10% or 20% of the project costs. The AC amounts must be shown in the TIP. (The detailed tables in Appendix A identify AC by project.) The AC must be shown in the year incurred and in each year the conversion takes place. Sufficient cash must exist to make project payments until AC is converted or that the amount of work to be undertaken in a given construction season that does not exceed the actual federal funds available for that year. Mn/DOT estimates, given the level of federal funds allocated to the state, an AC level of \$1 billion are feasible. Mn/DOT believes a level of \$400 million is more appropriate. This will ensure there will be flexibility to advance projects should they be ready for contract letting prior to the existing program year.

While \$ 342 million is recorded in Table 4 as funds available to the region during the TIP period 2003 to 2006, approximately \$159 million is from future year resources. Use of advance construction recognizes major projects require a number of years to complete. These projects will be paid for out of resources received during the four years of this TIP and the next four years. The specific amounts to be paid by year is as follows:

	Advance Construction	AC Pay Back
2000	\$ 31 M	_
2001	44 M	16 M
2002	33 M	48 M
2003	171 M	28 M
2004	3 M	82 M
2005	40 M	66 M
2006	128 M	51 M
2007-10	0	159 M
Totals	S 450 M	S 450 M

The last category of funds included in Table 4 is Transportation Revolving Loan Fund (TRLF) and local funds necessary to match the federal funds. The majority of the projects on the trunk highway system are matched with trunk highway funds included in the targets and not in the local match figure. In all other cases, the federal funds are matched by city or county funds, regional transit capital or operating funds or funds from other agencies such as the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. In most cases, these funds represent 20 percent of the project cost although this can be significantly higher. This represents \$127 million over four years. The TRL funds are allocated annually by MN/DOT.

# Table 3 Twin Cities Transportation Program Source of Funds 4 Year Summary

Federal Title I  Target  Advance Construction  High Priority	S 572 342 68	\$	982 Million
Federal Title III			419 Million
Formula/Discretionary	244		
• LRT	175		
Property Tax and Other State Taxes			450 Million
<ul> <li>Local and TRLF</li> </ul>	127		
• Local Share LRT	16		
• Regional Bonds	219		
• Legislative Allocation	88		
Trunk Highway			521 Million
• Target	452		
Additional Allocation	69		
Anticipated Lapsed Projects	62		62 Million
TOTAL:	S 2,434	\$ 2	2,434 Million

Table 4
FEDERAL TITLE 1 AND STATE HIGHWAY FUNDS
AVAILABLE TO REGION - 2003-2006

(Millions)

	2003	2004	2005	2006	Total
Federal Title I Funds	\$ 143	\$ 143	\$ 143	\$ 143	\$ 572
State Funds	113	113	113	113	452
Target for Region	256	256	256	256	1,024
Additional MN/DOT Allocations	36	11	11	11	69
Legislative Allocation and	102	11	11	26	150
anticipated lapsed projects					
High Priority Projects	25	28	15	0	68
Total Funds	\$ 419	\$ 306	\$ 293	\$ 293	\$1,311
Advance Construction	171	3	40	128	342
Local and TRLF	0	0	0	0	127
Total	\$ 590	\$ 309	\$ 333	\$ 421	\$1,780*

<sup>\*</sup>Includes \$13 M of high priority projects, \$ 16 M of federal and \$4 M of state and \$5 M of local funds for Chisago Co. Projects.

Table 5
FEDERAL TITLE III AND MATCHING FUNDS AVAILABLE
AND REQUESTED BY REGION 2003-2006

(Millions)

	2003	2004	2005	2006	Total
Section 5307 Formula	52.4	32.0	32.0	32.0	148.4
Section 5309 – Fixed Guideway	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	33.6
Section 5309 – Discretionary	26.3	12.5	9.0	9.0	56.8
Section 5310					
Section 5311	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	5.2
LRT/Fed Share	70.0	75.0	30.4		175.4*
Total Federal Funds	158.4	129.2	81.1	50.7	419.4
LRT Local Share	16.0	0	0	0	16.0
Regional Capital Bonds	54.0	55.0	55.0	55.0	219.0
Total Local	70.0	55.0	55.0	55.0	235.0
Total Local and Federal	\$ 228.4	S 184.2	\$ 136.1	\$ 105.7	\$ 654.4

<sup>\*</sup> Includes \$10 Million contributions from Table 4 sources.

Transit funds available to the region in 2003-2006 are recorded in Table 5. Included are Federal Title III funds, regional capital bonds and other regional resources used to match federal funds. This table does not show the Title I funds allocated to transit. These are shown as expenditures in Tables 7 and 8. The establishment of the level of Title III funds available for use by the region is done in a completely different manner than the Title I Funds. There are four different Title III section funds that come to the region. The region estimates a total of \$419 million in Title III funds will be received by the region in the next four years. This includes approximately \$175 million for LRT.

Section 5307 is capital formula funds provided to Metro Transit and other transit operators as the region's major transit providers. These funds have continued to increase year to year under TEA-21. TEA-21 ends in 2003. The TIP assumes the level of funding in 2003 will also be available in 2004, 2005 and 2006 for the various Title III programs. The total 5307 formula funds are approximately \$148.4 million. The region estimates \$52.4 million will be available in 2003.

Section 5309 is discretionary funds that are allocated to Metro Transit on request by Congress within the appropriation bills. The level of funds received varies from year to year. The level of funding in 2003 and 2004 are the regions best estimate of what might be available. These estimates are reflective of the Regional Capital Improvement Program. The \$9 million in years 2005 and 2006 is based on historic averages. A separate category in Section 5309 is Fixed Guideway funds. These are distributed to all metropolitan areas based on the miles of fixed guideways they maintain and operate. In this region it includes shoulder bus lanes, HOV lanes, the University transitway and Nicollet Mall. Over the four years, these funds total almost \$33.6 million

Sections 5310 and 5311 funds are provided to MN/DOT as the state's agent. The Section 5310 provides capital funds for lift-equipped vehicles to non-profit agencies providing transit services for elderly and handicapped. The Section 5311 funds provide operating assistance for small city operators.

There are two entries related to LRT funding in Table 5. The first is the federal funds and the second is the local share of the project. Over the four year period, the region has estimated approximately \$181.4 million will be allocated for and spent on the project. This is not the total cost of the project since some of the funds have already been authorized. The local share comes from various sources including the state legislature, Metropolitan Airports Commission, Hennepin County and Mn/DOT.

The region generates transit capital and operating funds from four principal sources: fares, state motor vehicle sales tax for operations, regional property taxes that are dedicated to repay bonds that fund capital projects, and state general funds that are directed to the region's ADA service, the regular transit service or to repay state bonds for transit projects. The transit opt-out providers may also use local general funds to subsidize operating cost or to match federal funds. Regional Capital Bonds of \$219 million will be used to match federal Title I and Title III funds as well as fund 100% of various capital transit investments. This year the legislature approved \$54 million in bonding authority for the region. The region has assumed \$55 million per year can be reasonably expected in the future from regional bonding authority.

#### PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CRITERIA

The processes followed for selection of projects to use the resources described above vary depending on the type of funds. Summarized below are the sources of transportation funds that come to the region and the processes followed for project selection and the agency that is responsible for the selection process. These processes are described on the following pages.

Funding Category	<b>Project Selection Process Followed</b>				
<ul> <li>Title I Federal Funds (Traditional Highways Fund)</li> <li>STP Urban Guarantees, Enhancement, Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality, Bridge</li> <li>Improvement/Replacement, Railroad Surface and Signals, and Hazard Elimination/Safety funds</li> </ul>	Competitive Regional Solicitation Process conducted by the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) Competitive regional solicitation process conducted by Mn/DOT and TAB				
National Highway System Interstate     Maintenance, STP, Non-Urban Guarantee,     Intelligent Transportation System	MN/DOT/Metro Division Process with assistance from Capital Improvement Committee (CIC)				
<ul> <li>Federal Title III Funds</li> <li>Sections 5307 and 5309</li> <li>Section 5310</li> <li>Section 5311</li> </ul>	Metropolitan Transit Selected MN/DOT Office of Transit/Statewide Competitive Process MN/DOT Office of Transit/Categorical Allocation				
State Trunk Highway Funds	MN/DOT Metro Division Process with CIC assistance				
Regional Capital Transit Bond Funds	Competitive Regional Solicitation Process conducted by the Metropolitan Council				
State Transportation Revolving Loan Fund (TRLF)	Statewide competitive solicitation process conducted by Mn/DOT				

#### COMPETITIVE REGIONAL SELECTION PROCESS - 2001/02

A competitive process was developed by the region to select projects for use of Title I federal funds. Projects to utilize the following funding programs are selected through this process: STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ, TEP, Bridge Improvement/Replacement, Hazard Elimination and Rail Safety. This process prioritizes approximately 35 percent of the Title I target funds that are available to the region. (See Table 3.) The regional partners designed the process to insure federal Title I funds would help the region implement its plans and high priority projects and programs. The priorities are based on the goals and policies in the Regional Blueprint and Transportation Plan. Specifics of the process are described below.

## Projects have been solicited in the following categories:

- Principal Arterials
- "A" Minor Arterials (A category of minor arterials with regional importance)
  - Reliever
  - Augmenters
  - Expanders
  - Connectors
- CMAQ Transit Expansion
- CMAQ Other
- Bikeway
- Walkway
- Enhancements
- Bridge Improvement/Replacement
- Hazard Elimination/Safety
- Railroad Surface and Signals

Subcommittees of the TAC's Funding and Programming Committee (F&PC) ranked all categories of projects except the last three categories which were ranked by Mn/DOT staff. In turn, the recommended projects were reviewed and approved by the F&PC. Using these rankings, the F&PC recommended three allocation options to be included in the Draft 2002-2006 TIP to the TAC. Subsequently, the TAB Programming Committee approved one option. There was no predetermined distribution of funds by category or geographic subarea other than the level of funding identified for enhancements and CMAQ.

Separate qualifying and prioritizing criteria were used for each category. A numerical rating was completed for each project in each category. The qualifying and prioritizing criteria used were selected to be consistent with and implement regional priorities and plans. Recorded below are the most commonly used qualifying criteria. These are followed by the subject matter of the prioritizing criteria used. (The complete solicitation package is available upon request.)

#### **Examples of Qualifying Criteria**

- The project must be consistent with the policies of the Metropolitan Council's officially adopted Regional Blueprint that includes the Transportation Policy Plan (TPP).
- The project must implement a solution to a transportation problem discussed within the local or county comprehensive plan and/or in an approved Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
- The proposer must include with the submittal a letter from the agency with jurisdiction over the facility affected indicating it is aware of and understands the project being submitted and that it commits to operate and maintain the facility for its design life.
- The proposer must show that the project has been coordinated with all affected communities, the appropriate transit operator, and other levels of government.

## Categories of Prioritizing Criteria

- Consistency with the Region's Development Guide (Blueprint)
- Integration of Land Use and Transportation
- City/County efforts to provide affordable housing
- Demonstrated Need for Facility Present and Future.
- Service Provided.
- Characteristics of Area or Population Served.
- Integration of Modes
- Reduction of congestion on principal or minor arterials
- Increase in hourly person through-put
- Accident Prevention and Control.
- Personal Safety
- Cost Effectiveness
- Air Quality

## **Regionally Selected Projects**

Recorded in Table 6 is a summary of the projects selected by category through the regional competitive process in 1999/2000 and 2001/2002. This table only records the federal funds allocated to the projects. The 1999/2000 selection process covered the letting years 2000 to 2004. The 2001/2002 process selected projects for 2005 and 2006. These projects are recorded in this draft material but are not approved until the TIP is approved in final form. Mn/DOT solicited projects for Hazard Elimination/Safety, Railroad Surface and Signals and Bridge Improvement and Replacement. The criteria for project evaluation were reviewed and approved by the Funding and Programming Committee of the TAC. Once MN/DOT staff evaluated the projects, the Funding and Programming Committee selected the projects to be funded. The Enhancement (EN), Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality (CMAQ) and Surface Transportation Program (STP) projects were evaluated by subcommittees of the Funding and Programming Committee and selected through the TAB process.

These totals do not equal the amounts shown in Table 7 and 8 for a number of reasons. Only federal amounts are shown in Table 6 and projects selected in the solicitations could have already been authorized, dropped or modified.

## PROJECT SELECTION FOR ADDITIONAL TITLE I FUNDS BY MN/DOT METRO DIVISION WITH ADVISE FROM THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE PROCESS

The MN/DOT Metro Division with the advice of the Capital Improvement Committee (CIC) identifies MN/DOT projects for inclusion in the TIP. Metro Division selects projects on the state trunk highway system that use National Highway System, Interstate Maintenance, Non-Urban Area Guarantee, and Intelligent Transportation System funds. The Capital Improvement Committee assists in developing investment strategies for MN/DOT programs and prioritizes projects across program categories; it identifies and carries major programming issues to MN/DOT Metro Division management and to the TAC Funding and Programming Committee. Participation on the committee includes staff of MN/DOT Metro Division functional areas, Transportation Advisory Board, The Metropolitan Council and four members of the Technical Advisory Committee.

The Council and MN/DOT have cooperatively identified priorities to be used to direct the inclusion of major projects into the TIP. In large part, the priorities and projects are drawn from the regional plans of the Council and MN/DOT. Projects are identified to follow the four broad regional plan priorities recorded in the order of importance: preserve, manage, improve, and expand. The "preserve" and "manage" projects are considered the highest priority and those "needs" are attempted to be met first within the available resources. With the remaining funds, improvement and than expansion projects are selected.

#### METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SELECTION OF SECTIONS 5307 AND 5309 PROJECTS

The Title III federal funds come to Metro Transit as the principal transit provider in the region. The agency uses the federal funds for bus purchase, bus rebuilding, shelters, guideway improvements such as, shoulder/bus lanes and maintenance and operations. These projects are identified in Metro Transit's 5-year Capital Improvement Program. This is developed as a tool to implement the regional transportation plan. Metro Transit also submits projects for funding with Title I and Regional Capital Bonds.

#### MN/DOT OFFICE OF TRANSIT

The Title III Section 5310 and 5311 are allocated by MN/DOT's Office of Transit. The Section 5310 funds are competitively allocated to non-profit agencies for vehicles. This is a statewide process. The projects selected in the region are recorded in the TIP. Projects are selected annually so each year the TIP is revised or amended and a new table of projects is included for the next fiscal year.

Section 5311 allocates operating funds for small city transit service. The amount is determined based on formula. There are three transit services in the region that receives funds.

Table 6
SUMMARY OF PROJECTS SELECTED
COMPETITIVELY IN 2000 and 2002\*

(Federal Funds/in millions)

	2003	2004	2005	2006	Total
	Selected 1999/2000	Selected 1999/2000	Selected 2001/2002	Selected 2001/2002	
PROGRAM CATEGORY					
Hazard Elimination/Safety (HES)	1.480	1.880	2.295	1.840	7.495
Railroad Surface & Signals (RRSS)	0.962	1.102	1.700	1.275	5.039
Bridge Improvement/Replacement (BIR)	1.598	0.828	4.965	5.560	12.951
Enhancements (EN)	6.729	6.484	3.128	5.478	21.819
Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ)	17.407	11.904	7.302	13.302	79.915
Surface Transportation Program (STP)	23.882	33.36	17.122	29.393	103.757
TOTALS	52.058	55.558	36.512	56.848	200.976

## BALANCE OF SELECTED PROJECTS WITH AVAILABLE FINANCIAL RESOURCES

TEA 21 requires that the region's TIP must be consistent with funds reasonably expected to be available. This means the projects recorded in the TIP cannot significantly exceed expected revenues. The state and region have agreed on a process that ensures a balance exists between resources and expenditures. The project costs identified for 2003 to 2006 closely match the funds available. The MN/DOT process of fund allocation to the Area Transportation Partnership regions in the state ensures the regional project commitments and the STIP are in balance with the funds available from Title I and State Trunk Highways. MN/DOT sets funding targets for each of the regions to use as they developed their draft TIPs. The draft TIPs submitted to MN/DOT can be over programmed by the regions as a means of requesting additional federal and state funds. MN/DOT sets the final regional funding levels that are in balance for the state. The regions, in turn, make final modifications to their TIPs to reflect these funding levels

The allocation of Federal Title I and state Trunk Highway funds to various expenditure categories are recorded in Table 7 for the four-year TIP period. This Table uses the major funding programs within Title I to illustrate how the funds are allocated. These reflect the programs followed in the selection processes. Comparing Table 7 with the resource recorded in Table 4 illustrates the use of Title I and State Trunk Highway funds.

The total Title I, Trunk Highway and Local funds allocated over four years is \$1,780. The use of the advance construction process in the 2003-2006 period (total \$342 million) brings forward \$159 million of federal funds from years 2007 to 2010. The high priority project funds allocated by Congress represent \$55 million in resources but they do not fully fund the projects. The region has allocated \$89 million to these projects to fully fund them.

In Table 8 the 2003 funds are allocated to various expenditures categories. By comparing this total to the 2003 figure from Table 4 it can be seen revenues generally balances with expenditures.

Federal guidance only requires The ail hands have to match the approved projects in the first year of the TIP. The 2003 projects funded with Title III have a total value of approximately \$228.4 million. This includes LRT funding and regional bonds (from Table 5). Additional funds are available to transit from CMAQ and STP Urban Guarantee funds (See detail tables attached).

Table 7
DISTRIBUTION OF TITLE 1, STATE TRUNK HIGHWAY
AND MATCHING FUNDS (000S)

2003 - 2006

	TOTAL	FEDERAL	STATE	Advance Construction	OTHER
CMAQ	\$ 94	\$ 67	0	3	\$ 24
Enhancements	44	31	_0	0	13
STP Urban	214	149	8	19	38
Guarantee					
STP Non-Urban	76	60	12	2	2
Mn/DOT & State	47	18	3	8	18
Aid Bridge					
HPP*	89	5.5	14	8	12
MN Interstate	533	201	54	266	12
Maintenance		111.4.12			
ITS	2	0		0	0
NHS	165	105	15	37	8
TRLF	0	0	0	0	0
Chisago County	38	29	4	0	5
Section 5309	10	10	0	0	0
100% State	468	0	388	0	80
Funded					
TOTAL	\$ 1,780	\$ 725	\$ 500	\$ 343	\$ 212

# Table 8 DISTRIBUTION OF TITLE 1, STATE TRUNK HIGHWAY AND MATCHING FUNDS (millions)

## 2003 Annual Element

	TOTAL	FEDERAL	STATE	Advance Construction	OTHER
CMAQ	20	16	0	0	4
Enhancements	15	12	0	0	3
STP Urban	80	49	4	12	15
Guarantee					
STP Non-Urban	17	14	2	0	1
MnDOT & State	7	5	0	0	2
Aid Bridge					
HPP*	21	15	0	0	6
MN Interstate	200	35	23	133	9
Maintenance					
ITS	1	0	1	0	0
NHS	73	32	7	26	8
TRLF	0	0	0	0	0
Chisago County	16	12	0	0	4
Section 5309	10	10	0	0	0
100% State	169	0	94	0	75
Funded					
TOTAL	\$ 629	\$ 200	\$ 131	\$ 171	\$ 127

## CONSISTENCY WITH THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN (TPP) AND PRIORITIES

All projects in the TIP must be consistent with the TPP. The priorities of the TPP are recorded in Chapter 2, Summary of the Regional Plans and Priorities. The region's priorities for the trunk highways are to maintain and preserve all 1200 miles of the system in the region. The region has stated the order of priority, which is: to preserve, to manage, to reconstruct, and to expand the principal arterial system as funds are available. Significant investments to be made in the later three categories are recorded in the TPP. The region also identifies transit priorities as recorded in the plan summary in Chapter 2. The priorities for transit are to serve four primary markets: alleviate congestion, provide better accessibility to jobs, promote higher density development and revitalize the core area of the region.

No attempt has been made to point out the projects that are consistent with maintaining the trunk highways. (See Table 9.) Funds assigned to preservation projects are \$346 million. Preservation distinguishes the more routine activities such as road resurfacing and bridge improvement from the periodic major investment needed such as reconstruction. This represents 26 percent of total federal and state funds available to the region.

The region's second highest priority for the highway system is to manage the transportation system. Management projects are advanced by Mn/DOT and other agencies. Approximately \$141 million or 11% will be spent on traffic management. The detailed project descriptions are found in Appendix A. A number of these projects put in place the facilities and equipment needed by Mn/DOT to manage all freeways in the urban area to ensure these highway segments are used effectively. These projects include ramp meters and HOV bypasses of meters. Many of the projects selected for STP and CMAQ are in part management projects. This is due to the criteria used to select the projects (see discussion above). This is especially true of the principal arterial and "A" minor arterial projects. In large part, these categories were developed to promote traffic management activities.

The fourth priority for funding is the expansion category. All of the major projects identified in Table 10 are consistent with and in most cases, specifically identified in the TPP. The combined federal and state funds allocated to expansion projects represent approximately 30% or \$398 million of the four-year target. A significant part of these funds labeled expansion are, in fact, required to reconstruct the highways as the expansion projects are carried out. It is difficult to separate one part of the work from another. The new HOV lanes on I-35W are included in the expansion project category.

The "A" minor arterial system is intended to provide for a more than local need. The "A" minor arterial system was adopted and is included in the regional transportation plan. The funding for "A" minor arterials are contained in the three categories discussed above depending on the particular project.

The TIP contains a number of "set-asides" that reserve funds for certain activities that are difficult to identify in advance. These include right-of-way needed for projects, which varies significantly by locale or based on court decisions. Also included in the \$153 million are supplemental agreements. These funds are set aside to cover contract changes due to unforeseen costs, such as poor or polluted soils or for cost overruns.

The "other" category in Table 9 includes agreements with local governments, enhancements and transit projects. These projects represent 14 percent or \$185 million. Local agreements cover work in Mn/DOT right-of-way and Mn/DOT is contributing to the cost of the project. These projects are difficult to characterize due to the variety of activities that are included. The enhancement funds are allocated

through the regional process. Finally, transit projects are included. Many projects selected for funding can be found in the TPP or are consistent with adopted policies. This has come about in part due to the criteria used to select the projects which are in part intended to implement regional policies.

In Appendix A, Tables A-1 and A-3, all transit and TDM projects funded with Title I funds are recorded. The region is committed to providing regional transit service consistent with the regional Blueprint and TPP. All Title I and Title III transit projects sponsored by Metro Transit have been developed with this end in mind.

The TPP emphasizes the need for bike and walk projects. Specific facilities are not identified relative to bike, walk or enhancement projects in the plan. There are policies that define needs in these areas. The criteria used to select projects are intended to encourage projects that fulfill these policies. Therefore, the projects selected are consistent with the TPP.

Table 9
2003-2006 ALLOCATION OF FEDERAL TITLE I AND
STATE TRUNK HIGHWAY FUNDS BY WORK TYPE
(in millions)

	2003	2004	2005	2006	TCT	`AL
Preservation	\$ 73	\$ 80	\$ 100	\$ 93	\$ 346	26%
Manage	_44	39	28	30	141	11%
Expansion	111	117	86	84	398	30%
Set Asides for R/W, Cost Overruns,	42	35	38	38	153	12%
Supplement Agreements						
Other (agreements, enhancements, transit)	61	35	41	48	185	14%
Legislation Appropriations	88	0	0	0	88	7%
TARGET TOTALS	\$419	\$306	\$293	\$293	\$1,311	100%
Local Match & TRLF		_			\$ 127	
Total Target, Match, and TRLF					\$1,438	

#### PLAN IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS

### **STATUS OF MAJOR PROJECTS**

Federal TIP guidance requires the progress made on implementing the region's transportation plan be reported annually. Discussed below is the progress made on major projects and project's authorized in the last fiscal year, 2002(Table A-11). Over the past ten years, the region has included a list of major projects in the TIP. Separate tables have been prepared on major highway and transit projects. The highway projects are found in Table 10. For each project a summary has been provided. The current letting year, cost and comments on the status of the project are included. (This project will be reviewed in detail by MN/DOT during the public input process to reflect the financial situation given legislative actions.) Table 11 records the major transit projects.

All of the major projects are included in the TPP and recorded in this document in Tables 1 2 and 3, and on Figures 5,6,7 and 8 or are consistent with TPP policies. These tables and maps also show major projects not programmed. In the coming years, these projects can be expected to move into the TIP as funds become available. Due to limited funding, one major project has had to be moved out of this TIP (I-35E/694 commons area, unweave the weave.) This project is now anticipated to begin construction in 2007.

Two major highway projects opened to traffic in 2001 and have been taken off of Table 10. The second TH 610 bridge from TH 252 to TH 10 opened in 2001. TH 212 from TH 5 to CSAH 4 opened to traffic in 2002. No projects are programmed on TH 212 West of CSAH 4 at this time.

The status of major transit projects appears in Table 11. This table records Federal Title I and Title III funded projects, which exceed \$1,000,000. The Hiawatha LRT design/build contract was signed in 2001. Due to the high visibility of this project it continues to be included in Table 11. Replacement bus contracts have been regularly let. A number of service expansion projects are included in Table 11. These are funded in part with CMAQ funds and provide operating funds for up to three years.

#### PROJECTS AUTHORIZED IN FISCAL YEAR 2002.

Another measure of plan implementation are the projects and project value authorized in the previous fiscal year. These projects were in the 2002-2004 TIP. They have now been removed since they have advanced to a point of obligating funds. These projects, in addition to the status of major projects (Tables 10 and 11), illustrate the progress made toward implementing the region's 2025 Transportation Plan.

The total value of these projects is approximately \$460 million, with \$163 million of federal funds, \$17 million federal demonstration funds, \$65 million state funds, \$25 million advance construction, and \$190 million other sources.

Table 10 STATUS OF MAJOR HIGHWAY PROJECTS

Project	Cost Estimates	Current	Program Year-	Assumed year	Project status/comments
Highway and Bridge	(000s)	program years	Last TIP	open to traffic	
1. TH 12	\$61,000	2003	2003	2006	Construct new limited access 2-lane highway between Wayzata Blvd. to CR 6 in Orono.  Parallel to existing TH 12.
2. I-35E, TH 13 to Shepard Rd.	\$33,000	2002	2002	2003	Replace and Expand Miss. River Bridge. Project let.
3. I-35W, HOV lane, 66 <sup>th</sup> St. to 42 <sup>nd</sup> St.	\$162,000	2006	No change	2003	Reconstruct TH 62 and I-35W and add the HOV lane. Stage 1 (I-494 to 60th St.) contracts let 4/99
4 TH 36, St. Croix Bridge	\$124,000	2005	2004		New 4-lane bridge and approaches. Negotiation process underway. \$43.5M will be paid by Wis.
5. TH 55, Hiawatha Av.	\$129,000	1998, 1999, 2003	No change	2003-2004	Reconstruct the 4-lane arterial from Crosstown to 1-94.
6. TH 100, Glenwood Av. to CSAH 152	\$146,000	2000	2000	2004	Construction Underway, to rebuild as 6 lane freeway.
7. I-494/TH 61 interchange, TH 61/local access	\$250,000	2002 ,2003, 2005	2002	2009	Replace and widen I-494 bridge, reconstruct interchange, reconstruct TH 61. Provide local access. First contract let.
8. 1-35E/694 Commons area, unweave the weave	\$89,000	2007	2002		Stage I will reconstruct 3 bridges. Stage 2 to complete the project is scheduled for 2004 letting at \$30 million. This project has been moved out of TIP.
9. I-94 Weaver Lake Rd. to Humbolt Av.	\$80,000	2001, 2003- 2004	2001	2005	Reconstruct, add general use 3 <sup>rd</sup> lane from Hemlock to Brooklyn Blvd.
10. I-494 TH 5 to TH 100	\$74,000	2002, 2003	2002	2004	Add 3 <sup>rd</sup> lane.

Table 11 STATUS OF MAJOR TRANSIT CAPITAL PROJECTS

Project Title	Total Project	Federal	Grant	Туре	Project Status
800 Mhz Communication System	Cost 16,000,000	Participation 12,800,000	Application  To be applied	5307/5309	Ongoing in 1999
Hiawatha LRT from Downtown Mpls. To Mall of America	440,000,000	223,000,000	To be applied	5309	Ongoing. Design/build contract signed in 2001
1-35W North Corridor Operating Assistance	4,216,014	3,372,811	To be applied	CMAQ	Program Year 2003
New Bus Purchases	25,000,000	20,000,000	To be applied	5307/5309	Annual Expense
Engines, Transmissions, Lifts, Tire Leases	4,000,000	3,000,000	To he applied	5307/5309	Annual Expense
St. Paul, West End Area Downtown Multi-Modal Hub	11,000,000	5,500,000	To be applied	STP	Program Year 2003
Maple Grove Transit Hub	6,875,000	5,500,000	To be applied	CMAQ	Program Year 2003
I-35W North Corridor Service Expansion	4,500,000	3,500,000	To be applied	CMAQ	Program Year 2003-2005
Sector 5A - Western St. Paul - Service Expansion	4,300,000	3,500,000	To be applied	CMAQ	Program Year 2003-2005
Sector 5B - Hiawatha Corridor - Service Expansion	6,000,000	4,800,000	To be applied	CMAQ	Program Year 2003-2005
Sector 5 C- 1-35W South – Service Expansion	7,300,000	5,800,000	To be applied	CMAQ	Program Year 2003-2005
Hiawatha LRT - Operating Assistance	20,000,000	10,000,000	To be applied	CMAQ	Program Year 2004-2006

To be applied: This means that prior to spending these federal transit funds, an application must be submitted to and approved by the Federal Transit Administration

## Appendix A.

## DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION BY FUNDING CATEGORY

	Title I Funded Projects	Page
A-1	Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects	. A-4
A-2	Enhancement Projects	. A-7
A-3	STP Urban Guarantee Projects	A-10
A-4	STP Non-Urban Guarantee Projects	A-13
A-5	Mn/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects	A-17
A-6	Demonstration/High Priority/TCSP Projects	A-18
A-7	Mn/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects	A-20
A-8	ITS Projects	A-22
A-9	NHS Projects	A-23
A-10	0 100% State Funded Projects	A-25
A-1	1 Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year	A-36
	Title III Funded Projects	
A-12	2 Transit Section 5309 Funds	A-47
A-13	3 Transit Section 5307	A-49
A-14	4 Transit Section 5310	A-52
A-1:	5 Transit Section 5311	<b>A-</b> 53
	Other Funded Projects	
A-1	7 Miscellaneous Federal Projects	۸-54
A-26	0 All Projects by Route Number	A-55
A-2	1 Federal Scenic Byway Projects	<b>A-8</b> 5

## Appendix A

### KEY TO TABLES

The tables are broken into the various "most likely" funding categories and are sorted by: Local/Mn/DOT, Agency, Trunk Highway, State Project Number. The description of each column is shown below.

Year The Federal Fiscal year the project is scheduled to be let.
PRT The major project this project is a part of - see attached list.

Route The highway the project is located on. A "999" means multiple routes or

a location has yet to be determined.

Project Number The Mn/DOT project number.

Description The location and work to be accomplished by the project.

Agency The agency with jurisdiction over the project.

Category The project type: Preservation, Replacement, Management, Expansion.

Transit, Trails or Other.

PRG Mn/DOT Program categories

AM Agreements SR Safety Rail

BI Bridge Improvement
BR Bridge Replacement
RC Reconstruction
RS Resurfacing
BR Bike Trails, Trails
MC Major Construction
RD Reconditioning
RX Road Repair

SC Safety-Capacity SH Safety Hazard Elimination

TM Traffic Management TR Transit

AQ TIP air quality category. See Appendix C for description of codes.

Total S Total estimated cost of project.

Fed \$ Federal funding for the project. In some instances the federal funding is

greater than the funding allocated by the STP selection process. This

was necessary to completely fund the larger projects.

DEMO \$ Total federal demonstration funding for the project.

State \$ Mn/DOT state funding for the project.

Local \$ Total contribution from the local agency involved in the project.

# MN/DOT Metro Division Construction Projects 2003- 2006 PARENT Projects

Parent Number	Highway	Location	Description	Expansion	Lanes Before	Lanes After
1	TH 12	Wayzata to Long Lake	Construct Freeway	Yes	2	2
2	1-35E/1-694	Common Section in Vadnais Hts/Little Canada	Reconstruct & Weave Areas	Yes	6	8
3	1-35W/62	Junction 1-35E to Minneapolis	Preservation - Temporary HOV Lanes	Yes	Varies	Varies
4	TH 36 TH 5	St. Crotx River Crossing	Construct New River Crossing	Yes	NA	4
5	TH 55	Hiawatha Corridor	Light Rail Transit	Yes	NA	NA
6	TH 55	Hiawatha Avenuc	Reconstruct Road	Yes	4	6
7	1-94	TH 252 to Weaver Lake Rd	Reconstruct - Add Lane	Yes	4	6
8	TH 100	1-394 to Indiana Avenue	Upgrade Per EIS Recommendation	Yes	4	Ó
9	THE 212	F-494 to Cologne	Construct Freeway	Yes	NA	4
10	1-494	Wakoa Bridge/Newport	New River Crossing, Freeway	Yes	4	6
11	[-494	TH 100 to TH 5	Reconstruct - Add Lane	Yes	4	6
12	TH 610	I-94 to TH 10	Construct Freeway	Yes	NA	4

These are significant projects that will be constructed over a number of years and divided into numerous small projects. The Parent number is provided in a separate column on the tables in Appendix A to help the reader identify these projects.

# Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

# TABLE A-1 Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		CMAQ	CM-25-99	ТМ	187,885	150,310	0	0	37,575	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION	Manage	AQ1
2003		CMAQ	189-595-001	TM	7,287,500	5,830,000	Ö	0	1,457,500	CONSTRUCT MAPLE GROVE TRANSIT HUB AT I-94 AND HEMLOCK LANE	MAPLE GROVE	Manage	E6
2003		CMAQ	90-070-13	TM	4,468,975	3,575,180	0	0	893,795	1-35W NORTH CORRIDOR-TRANSIT SERVICE EXPANSION PLAN	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	T1
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	970,850	776,680	Ö	0	194,170	SECTOR 5C - 1-35W SOUTH CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	764,020	611,220	0	O	152,800	SECTOR 5B - HIAWATHA CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	991,700	793,360	0	0	198,340	SECTOR 5A - WESTERN ST PAUL SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	399,985	319,985	0	0	80,000	WOODBURY PARK & RIDE SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	927,500	742,000	0	0	185,500	SECTOR 1 AND 2 - TRANSIT SERVICE RESTRUCTURING PLAN	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	795,000	636,000	0	0	159,000	SECTOR 7 - WEST METRO SUBURBAN SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	CM-3-99	TM	2,082,900	1,666,320	0	0	416,580	REGIONAL TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	METROPOLITA N COUNCIL	Manage	AQ1
2003		CMAQ	CM-20-99	TM	341,320	273,055	0	0	68,265	DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION	MINNEAPOLIS	Manage	AQ1
2003		CMAQ	TRS-SWMT-(	TM	1,035,125	828,100	0	0	207,025	PURCHASE 2 ADDITIONAL LARGE VEHICLES	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT AUTH	Manage	T10
2004		CMAQ	CM-25-99A	ŤΜ	199,158	159,326	Ó	0	39,832	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION	Manage	AQ1
2004		CMAQ	090-595-005	TM	2,809,000	2,247,200	0	O	561,800	AT I-694 AND RICE ST-CONSTRUCT TRANSIT HUB AND PARK AND RIDE LOT	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	E6
2004		CMAQ	TRS-LRT-04	ОВ	6,000,000	3,000,000	0	Ö	3,000,000	HIAWATHA CORRIDOR LRT-OPERATING ASSISTANCE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T1
2004		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	ТМ	3,792,150	3,033,720	0	0	758,430	SECTOR 5C - I-35W SOUTH CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2004		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	3,230,350	2,584,280	0	0	646,070	SECTOR 5B - HIAWATHA CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2004		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	ТМ	1,544,950	1,235,960	0	0	308,990	SECTOR 5A - WESTERN ST PAUL SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05

TABLE A-1
Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		CMAQ	CM-20-99A	тм	378,653	302,923	0	0	,	DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION	MINNEAPOLIS	Manage	AQ1
2004		CMAQ	TRS-SWMT-(	TM	1,097,236	877,789	0	0	219,447	PURCHASE 2 ADDITIONAL LARGE VEHICLES	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT AUTH	Manage	T10
2004		CMAQ	CM-3-99A	TM	2,320,234	1,856,187	0	0	464,047	REGIONAL TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	Manage	AQ1
2005	-	CMAQ	CM-25	TM	2,407,538	1,926,030	0	0		REGIONAL TOM & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	MET COUNCIL	Manage	AQ1
2005		CMAQ	TRS-LRT-05	ОВ	6,000,000	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,000	HIAWATHA CORRIDOR LRT-OPERATING ASSISTANCE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T1
2005		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	2,500,000	2,000,000	0	0	500,000	SECTOR 5C - I-35W SOUTH CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2005		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	ТМ	2,000,000	1,600,000	0	0	400,000	SECTOR 5B - HIAWATHA CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2005		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TM	1,750,000	1,400,000	0	0	350,000	SECTOR 5A - WESTERN ST PAUL SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Manage	A05
2005		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TR	3,815,000	Ö	3,052,000	0	763,000	2005 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE LARGE & SMALL FEEDER PASSENGER VEHICLES(AC PROJECT-PAYBACK IN 2006)	MET COUNCIL- T & TE	Transit	A05
2005		CMAQ	CM-36	TM	388,313	310,650	0	Ō	77,663	DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO	MINNEAPOLIS	Manage	AQ1
2005		CMAQ	TRS-SWMT-(	TR	3,992,592	3,194,073	0	C	798,519	SERVICE EXPANSION-PURCHASE 57-PASSENGER VEHICLES	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT COMM	Transit	A05
2006		CMAQ	179-595-01	TR	4,972,000	3,977,600	0	C		AT TH 13 & NICOLLET AVE(SW QUAD)-CONSTRUCT PARK & RIDE RAMP	BURNSVILLE	Transit	E6
2006		CMAQ	CM-25A	TM	2,690,813	2,152,650	0	C		REGIONAL TDM & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	MET COUNCIL	Manage	AQ1
2006		88	CM-15	TR	672,350	537,880	0	(	134,470	TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-PURCHASE 40- FOOT BUSES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2006		CMAQ	TRS-LRT-06	ОВ	8,000,000	4,000,000	C	(	4,000,000	HIAWATHA CORRIDOR LRT-OPERATING ASSISTANCE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T1
2006		CMAQ	CM-23A	TŘ	3,813,750	3,051,000				2005 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE LARGE & SMALL FEEDER PASSENGER VEHICLES	MET COUNCIL- T & TE	Transit	Ť10
2006		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TR	3,052,000	3,052,000	C			2005 T & TÉ RÉGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE LARGE & SMALL FEEDER PASSENGER VEHICLES(AC PAYBACK)			T10
2006		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-0	TR	3,672,500	2,938,000	C			2006 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE BUSES	METROPOLITA N COUNCIL-T & TE		T10
2006		CMAQ	CM-36A	ТМ	423,750	339,000	C		84,750	DOWNTOWN MPLS TMO	MINNEAPOLIS	Manage	AQ1

TABLE A-1
Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	
2006				TR	1,864,500	1,491,600	O	0	372,900	NEAR TH 101/TH 212-PASSENGER STATION, PARK/RIDE STALLS, ETC	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT COMM	Transit	E6
			İ										

93,639,597 66,470,078 3,052,000

0 24,117,519

# Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

## TABLE A-2 Enhancement Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		EN	107-090-003	EN	909,480	727,584	0			ALONG NSP AERIAL TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR FROM 79TH ST TO 105TH ST NEAR MINN RIVER WILDLIFE REFUGE AREA -CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE TRAIL & BRIDGE@OLD SHAKOPEE RD	BLOOMINGTON		O9
2003		ÉN	107-090-004	EN	1,321,820	742,000	0	0		ALONG E BUSH LAKE RD FROM 84TH ST TO 106TH ST IN BLOOMINGTON-CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE TRAIL	BLOOMINGTON	Other	<b>Ö</b> 9
2003		TH 252	110-090-002	E	636,000	<b>508</b> ,800	0	0	127,200	OVER TH 252 NORTH OF 85TH AVE N IN BROOKLYN PARK-CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN/BIKEWAY BRIDGE	BROOKLYN PARK	Other	09
2003		EN	19-090-01	EN	795,000	636,000	0	0	159,000	NORTH URBAN REGIONAL TRAIL-THOMPSON KOPOSIA SEGMENT	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	O9
2003		EN	19-090-02	EN	971,939	742,000	0	0	229,939	BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL EXTENSION	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	O9
2003		EN	92-090-14	EN	849,034	679,227	0	0		BLOOMINGTON FERRY BRIDGE TO SHAKOPEE- MINNESOTA VALLEY TRAIL	DNR	Other	O9
2003		CSAH 47	130-090-003	EN	318,000	254,400	0	0	63,600	UNDER TH 61 ADJACENT TO THE VERMILLION RIVER IN HASTINGS-CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE UNDERPASS & TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS	HASTINGS	Other	09
2003		EN	091-595-012	EΝ	875,000	446,500	0	Ō	428,500	JACKSON STREET ROUNDHOUSE POWERHOUSE RESTORATION	MINN TRANSPORTAT ION MUSEUM	Other	O9
2003		EN	091-595-014	EN	583,000	466,400	O	0	116,600	COMO-HARRIET STREETCAR LINE EXTENSION & IMPROVEMENTS	MINN TRANSPORTAT ION MUSEUM	Other	O9
2003		EN	141-090-20	EN	1,353,620	1,082,896	0	0	270,724	FROM 5TH AVE SE TO MISS RIVER IN MINNEAPOLIS-MIDTOWN GREENWAY SAFETY ELEMENTS FOR PHASES 2 & 3(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	MINNEAPOLIS	Other	O9
2003		EN	91-090-13	EN	344,500	275,600	0	C	1	FRANKLIN AVE TO EMERALD ST-EAST RIVER PARKWAY BIKE TRAIL	MINNEAPOLIS	Other	09
2003	1	EN	91-090-15	EN	651,900	521,520	0	C	130,380	THEODORE WIRTH PARK BIKE TRAIL-REPAVING	MINNEAPOLIS	Other	09
2003		EN	091-090-026	EN	894,640	715,712	0	C	178,926	GRAND ROUNDS WAYFINDING IMPROVEMENTS FOR PEDESTRIANS & BICYCLISTS	MINNEAPOLIS PARK/REC BOARD	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2003		EN	091-090-027	EN	858,600	686,880	0	(	171,720	MILL RUINS PARK PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION SYSTEM/LANDSCAPING, LIGHTING, ETC	MINNEAPOLIS PARK/REC BOARD	Other	O9
2003	1	EN	145-090-01	EN	676,280	527,498	0	(	148,782	LOST LAKE MULTI-MODAL TRANSIT FACILITY	MOUND	Other	O9
2003		EN	160-020-14	EN	375,000	300,000	C	,	75,000	ON CO RD B FROM HAMLINE AVE TO DALE ST IN ROSEVILLE-STREETSCAPING(PHASE 2)	ROSEVILLE	Other	O9

A--

TABLE A-2 Enhancement Projects

							En	ınancem	ent Proj	ects			
Year	Prt	Route	Pri Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 169	166-090-001A	ĒΝ	385,501	385,501	0	0		OVER TH 169 ON CR 79 FROM 10TH AVE TO S OF TH 169 IN SHAKOPEE-CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE BRIDGE & TRAIL(AC PAYBACK)	SHAKOPEE	Other	<b>0</b> 9
2003		TH 169	166-090-002A	ĒΝ	368,541	368,541	0	0		OVER TH 169 ON CSAH 17 FROM ST FRANCIS AVE TO VIERLING DR IN SHAKOPEE-CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE BRIDGE & TRAIL(AC PAYBACK)	SHAKOPEE	Other	09
2003		TH 49	167-090-06	EN	178,080	142,464	o	0	35,616	CO RD J TO CO RD I IN SHOREVIEW-CONSTRUCT	SHOREVIEW	Other	09
2003		TH 5	164-010-54	EN	1,772,000	1,242,000	0	0	530,000	FORT SNELLING STATE PARK TO MUNSTER ST- LANDSCAPE, LIGHTING, ETC	ST PAUL	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2003		EN	209-090-002	EΝ	804,904	643,923	Ó	0	160,981	ALONG CENTERVILLE RD FROM HORIZON AVE S TO EDGERTON ST-CONSTRUCT CENTERVILLE ROAD TRAIL	VADNAIS HEIGHTS	Other	09
2004		TH 169	193-090-001	EΝ	1,114,611	786,520	0	0	328,091	OVER TH 169 BETWEEN 114TH AVE & 117TH AVE IN CHAMPLIN-CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN/BIKE TRAIL BRIDGE	CHAMPLIN	Other	O9
2004		EN	019-090-006	EN	623,598	498,878	O,	Ō	124,720	NORTH SIDE OF TH 110 FROM TH 149 IN MENDOTA HEIGHTS TO CHARLTON RD IN WEST ST PAUL- NORTH URBAN REGIONAL TRAIL(PHASE 2)	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2004		EN	091-595-015	EN	1,320,230	786,520	0	0	533,710	AT THE SITE OF HISTORIC MURPHY'S INN & LANDING-RECONSTRUCT INN, BOAT & FERRY LANDING, TRAILS, ETC	MINN VALLEY RESTORATION PROJ	Other	09
2004		EN	141-080-027	ĒΝ	337,080	269,664	0	0	67,416	AT THE GREAT LAKE CENTER NEAR LAKE ST AND CHICAGO AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS-BICYCLE STATION	MINNEAPOLIS	Other	09
2004	-	EN	141-090-015	EN	1,101,128	786,520	0	0	314,608	NEAR NORTHSIDE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT- PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE TRAILS	MINNEAPOLIS	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2004		EN	141-090-016	ĒΝ	1,544,950	1,235,960	Ö	O	308,990	FROM GROVELAND TO VINELAND AND THE WEDGE TRIANGLE-LORING PARK BIKEWAY(PHASE 2-LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	MINNEAPOLIS	Other	O9
2004		EN	91-090-33	EN	1,815,738	1,235,960	0	O	579,778	LINKING PHALEN CREEK TRAIL, SWEDE HOLLÓW PARK, & INDIAN MOUNDS PARK TO LOWERTOWN/GREAT RIVER RD TRAIL IN ST PAUL- CONSTRUCT LOWER PHALEN CREEK TRAIL(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	ST PAUL PARK/REC	Other	09
2005		EN	027-603-032	EN	1,573,040	786,520	0		786,520	OAKLAND AVE TO 21ST AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS-LAKE STREET STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	O9
2005		EN	027-603-033	EΝ	1,573,040	786,520	0		786,520	LYNDALE AVE TO OAKLAND AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS- LAKE STREET STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	09
2005		EN	027-603-034	EN	1,573,040	786,520	0		786,520	HIAWATHA AVE TO WEST RIVER PARKWAY IN MINNEAPOLIS-LAKE STREET STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	O9
2005		EN	91-090-32	EN	1,046,400	837,120	0	(	209,280	LOWRY AVE TO 45TH AVE TO LYNDALE AVE IN MPLS-RECONSTRUCT VICTORY MEM PKWY BIKE TRAIL	MINNEAPOLIS PARK BOARD	Other	09
2005	1	TH 36	151-090-01	EN	1,015,000	812,000	0	(	203,000	OVER TH 36 BETWEEN 3RD ST AND MARGARET- PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	NO ST PAUL	Other	09
2005		EN	164-595-03	EN	1,702,580	1,090,000	Ô	(	612,580	HARVEST STATES HEAD HOUSE & SACK HOUSE- ADAPTIVE REUSE OF GTA	ST PAUL	Other	<u>O9</u>

TABLE A-2 Enhancement Projects

Year	Рπ	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005		EN	164-595-05	EN	1,702,580	1,090,000	o	0	,	•	ST PAUL PARKS & REC	Other	<u>06</u>
2005		EN	91-090-34	EN	872,000	697,600	0	0	174,400	COMO REGIONAL PARK PED/BIKE TRAIL- CONSTRUCT TRAIL & MISC IMPROVEMENTS	ST PAUL PARKS & REC	Other	AQ2
2006		EN	92-090-22	EN	389,850	311,880	0	ō		OVER CSAH 12 IN GRANT TOWNSHIP-GATEWAY STATE TRAIL BRIDGE & APPROACHES	DNR	Other	AQ2
2006		EN	127-090-04	EN	1,130,000	904,000	0	0	226,000	TH 47 TO BNSF RR IN FRIDLEY-85TH AVE TRAIL	FRIDLEY	Other	AQ2
2006		EN	91-090-31	EN	1,076,890	861,512	ō	0			MINNEAPOLIS PARK BOARD	Other	AQ2
2006		EN	160-020-17	EN	2,156,153	1,130,000	0	O	1,026,153	LONG LAKE RD TO LEXINGTON AVE IN ROSEVILLE- STREETSCAPE CONSTRUCTION	ROSEVILLE	Other	06
2006		EN	164-595-01	EN	1,765,060	1,130,000	0	0		UPPER LANDING PARK-MISSISSIPPI RIVERBANK IMPROVEMENTS	ST PAUL	Other	06
2006		EN	164-595-02	EN	1,765,000	1,130,000	0	0	635,000	HARVEST STATES/HIGH BRIDGE BARGE FLEETING AREA-MISSISSIPPI RIVERBANK IMPROVEMENTS	ST PAUL	Other	O6
2006		EN	164-595-04	EN	635,060	406,800	0	0	228,260	COMMERCIAL NAVIGATION INTERPRETIVE MISSISSIPPI RIVER OVERLOOK	ST PAUL	Other	09

43,755,867 30,455,940

0 0 13,299,927

# Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

## TABLE A-3 STP Urban Guarantee Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		CSAH 17	02-617-13	MC	3,057,040	2,445,632	o	0	611,408	ON LEXINGTON AVE FROM MAIN ST TO PHEASANT RIDGE DR- RECONSTRUCT & WIDEN TO 4-LANE ROADWAY	ANOKA COUNTY	Expand	A05
2003		CITY	107-399-25	RC	4,134,000	3,307,200	٥	0	826,800	ON E 79TH ST FROM CEDAR TO 24TH AVE-GRAD. SURF, SIGNALS, ETC	BLOOMINGTON	Replace	E1
2003			107-385-018	RC	2,056,400	1,645,120	0	0	411,280	PENN AVE TO KNOX AVE IN BLOOMINGTON- RECONSTRUCT & GEOMETRIC IMPROVEMENTS	BLOOMINGTON	Replace	E1
2003		MSAS 415	107-415-021	RC	3,256,799	2,365,920	0	0	890,879	FROM W 78TH ST TO W 82ND ST IN BLOOMINGTON -RECONSTRUCT & GEOMETRIC IMPROVEMENTS(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	BLOOMINGTON	Replace	E1
2003		CR 28	019-596-003	MC	3,180,000	2,544,000	0	0	636,000	FROM TH 149 IN EAGAN TO CSAH 63 IN INVER GROVE HTS-CONSTRUCT 4-LANE RDWY, ETC	DAKOTA COUNTY	Expand	A05
2003		CSAH 31	019-631-031	МС	3,125,000	2,500,000	0	0		CSAH 46 TO CSAH 42 IN APPLE VALLEY- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE RDWY, TRANSIT CENTER, ETC(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	DAKOTA COUNTY	Expand	A05
2003		PED/BIKE	027-090-004	ВТ	1,657,840	1,326,272	0	Ó	331,568	FROM HENNEPIN COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY TO MINNEAPOLIS MUNICIPAL PARKING RAMP-CONSTRUCT SKYWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2003		PED/BIKE	027-090-005	ВТ	1,244,440	995,552	O	O	248,888	FROM HENNEPIN COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY TO HAAF PARKING RAMP IN MINNEAPOLIS-CONSTRUCT SKYWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2003		CSAH 61	027-661-034	МС	3,392,000	2,713,600	0	0	678,400	NORTH OF BREN RD TO SOUTH OF CSAH 3- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE ROADWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Expand	A05
2003		CSAH 101	027-701-010	MC	3,498,000	2,798,400	Ö	0	699,600	TH 7 TO CSAH 5 IN MINNETONKA-RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE ROADWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Expand	A05
2003		PED/BIKE	141-090-09	BT	1,571,344	1,257,075	0	0	314,269	MIDTOWN GREENWAY-PHASE II	MINNEAPOLIS	Trails	AQ2
2003		PED/BIKE	141-090-13	BT	1,178,932	943,146	0	Ó	235,786	FROM HIAWATHA TO W RIVER RD-MIDTOWN GREENWAY TRAIL(PHASE III)	MINNEAPOLIS	Trails	AQ2
2003		PED/BIKE	141-090-14	BT	1,451,140	1,160,912	0			LORING PARK BICYCLE/PED CONNECTION FOR UPTOWN TO DOWNTOWN	MINNEAPOLIS	Trails	AQ2
2003		CR C	62-623-40	ŔĊ	4,240,000	3,392,000	0	0	848,000	1-35W TO SNELLING AVE-RECONSTRUCT, ADD TURN LANES, INTERCONNECTED SIGNALS, ETC	RAMSEY COUNTY	Replace	E1
2003		CRC	62-623-41	RC	2,120,000	1,696,000	0	0	424,000	FROM SNELLING AVE TO OXFORD ST- RECONSTRUCTION	RAMSEY COUNTY	Replace	E1
2003		PED/BIKE	160-090-007	ВТ	2,040,500	1,632,400	0	0		ALONG CO RD B2 FROM RICE ST TO WALNUT ST THEN NORTH TO BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAIL CORRIDOR-CONSTRUCT PATHWAY	ROSEVILLE	Trails	AQ2
2003		CSAH 3	163-020-31	Bi	2,120,000	1,696,000	0			CSAH 3(EXCELSIOR BLVD) OVER TH 100-BRIDGE WIDENING, TURN LANES, SIDEWALK, ETC(BRIDGE 27106)	ST LOUIS PARK		E1
2003		CITY	164-080-09	TR	11,660,000	5,830,000		0	5,830,000	WEST END AREA OF DOWNTOWN ST PAUL-MULTI- MODAL HUB	ST PAUL	Transit	Ē6

×

TABLE A-3
STP Urban Guarantee Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		PED/BIKE	164-090-05	ВТ	905,000	724,000	0	0		ENERGY PARK	ST PAUL	Trails	AQ2
2003		CSAH 13		MC	2,756,000	2,204,800	0	Ö	,	ON HINTON/TOWER DRIVE FROM 65TH IN COTTAGE GROVE TO MILITARY RD IN WOODBURY-4-LANE RDWY,TRAIL,SIGNALS,ETC	COUNTY	Expand	A05
	8	TH 100	2755-75	MC	21,000,000	4,600,000		4,200,000		INDIANA AVENUE TO 50TH AVE N-GRAD, SURF, BRS, ETC- UPGRADE TO FREEWAY(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004		TH 5	010-596-001	RC	5,618,000	4,494,400	0	1,123,600	0	TH 5 E OF WACONIA NEAR LAKE WACONIA- RECONSTRUCT, RELOCATE, ETC	CARVER COUNTY	Replace	E4
2004		CSAH 19	27-619-17	RC	5,627,400	4,501,920	0	O	1,125,480	FROM TH 55 TO CO RD 117-RECONSTRUCTION	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S10
2004		BB	TRS-TCMT-0	TR	4,691,030	3,752,824	0	Ö	938,206	REBUILD ENGINES IN 2004	MET COUNCIL- MT /	Transit	Т3
2004		PED/BIKE	141-090-019	<u>l</u> .	862,925	690,340	0	0	172,585	FROM 11TH AVE'S TO HENNEPIN AVE'S IN MINNEAPOLIS-BIKE TRAIL CONNECTION	MINNEAPOLIS	Trails	AQ2
2004		CSAH 78	062-678-010	RC	5,168,560	4,134,848	0	0	1,033,712	FROM TH 280/35W INTERCHANGE TO FÜLHAM ST IN ROSEVILLE-REALIGN & RECONSTRUCT TERMINAL RD/CO RD B2	RAMSEY COUNTY	Replace	E2
2004		CSAH 8	082-608-007	MC	5,056,200	4,044,960	0	0	1,011,240	ON CSAH 8 FROM TH 61 IN HUGO TO WASH/ANOKA CO LINE & ON ANOKA CSAH 14 FROM CO LINE TO I-35E IN LINO LAKES- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE RDWY, PARK/RIDE, ETC	WASHINGTON COUNTY	Expand	A05
2005		CSAH 14	02-614-24	RC	7,630,000	5,995,000	0	0		1-35W TO 1-35E IN CENTERVILLE & LINO LAKES- RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Replace	E1
2005		MSAS 399	107-399-29	RC	4,773,546	3,818,837	0	0	954,709	W 79TH ST FROM FREMONT AVE TO BLAISDELL AVE IN BLOOMINGTON-RECONSTRUCT, WIDEN, TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	BLOOMINGTON	Replace	E1
2005		CSAH 10	010-610-030	RC	5,842,720	4,674,176	0	0	1,168,544	FROM CR 110 TO CSAH 11-RECONSTRUCTION, SHOULDERS, ETC	CARVER COUNTY	Replace	\$10
2005		CSAH 60	19-660-05	RC	3,270,000	2,616,000	0	0	654,000	ON DAKOTA CSAH 60 & SCOTT CSAH 21 FROM KENYON AVE IN LAKEVILLE TO E OF THE CREDIT RIVER IN SCOTT CO-RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE RDWY, ETC	DAKOTA COUNTY	Replace	A10
2005		CSAH 3	027-603-031	RC	7,724,750	6,179,800	0	0	1,544,950	ON CSAH 3(LAKE ST) FROM 2ND AVE S TO 21ST AVE S IN MINNEAPOLIS-RECONSTRUCT, ETC	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	E1
2005		ВВ	TRS-TCMT-0	TR	2,043,750	1,635,000	0	0	408,750	2006 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE BUSES	MET COUNCIL- T & TE	Transit	T10
2005		PED/BIKE	141-090-018	вт	2,368,548	1,894,839		0	,	FROM 19TH AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS TO CORD C IN ROSEVILLE-NORTHEAST MINNEAPOLIS BIKE TRAIL	MINNEAPOLIS	Trails	AQ2
2005		PED/BIKE	141-090-21	ВТ	872,000	697,600				ALONG THE DINKYTOWN RAIL CORRIDOR FROM OAK ST TO MISSISSIPPI RIVER-U OF M TRANSITWAY TRAIL	MINNEAPOLIS	Trails	AQ2
2005			141-090-22	ВТ	2,943,000	2,354,400		0		ROYALSTON AVE TO W RIVER PKWY IN MPLS- CEDAR LAKE TRAIL(PHASE 3)	MINNEAPOLIS	Trails	AQ2
2005		MSAS 201	141-201-02	RC	2,808,930	2,247,144	0	O	561,786	ON RICHFIELD RD/CALHOUN PKWY E FROM SHERIDAN TO 36TH AT S END OF LAKE CALHOUN- RECONSTRUCT, ETC	MINNEAPOLIS	Replace	S10

TABLE A-3
STP Urban Guarantee Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005		TH 36	151-248-013		9,280,000	0	6,380,000	O.		FROM 3RD ST TO CHARLES ST IN N ST PAUL- GRADING, SURFACING, MARGARET ST BRIDGE OVER TH 36, FRONTAGE RDS, ETC(AC PROJECT)	NORTH ST PAUL	Replace	E1
2005		CSAH 35		RC	2,359,560	1,887,648	0	0	471,912	ON PORTLAND AVE FROM 64TH TO 68TH ST & ON 66TH ST FROM CLINTON TO COLUMBUS IN RICHFIELD-RECONSTRUCT & CHANNELIZE, ETC(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	RICHFIELD	Replace	E1
2005		PED/BIKE		вт	1,031,903	825,522	0	0		SIGNING & STRIPING, REMOVAL OF PARKING ON VARIOUS STREETS IN ST PAUL TO EXTEND THE COMO AVE BIKEWAY	ST PAUL	Trails	AQ2
2006		CSAH 12		RC	3,390,000	2,712,000	0	0	678,000	TH 65 TO E OF CSAH 52 IN BLAINE-RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Replace	E1
2006		CSAH 78		RC	5,650,000	4,520,000	0	0		S OF TH 242 IN COON RAPIDS TO N OF CSAH 116 IN ANDOVER-RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANES, SIGNALS, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Replace	A10
2006		CITY		RC	4,934,077	3,947,262	0	0	986,815	ON E BUSH LK RD FROM GR VALLEY DR TO 84TH & ON 84TH FROM E BUSH LK RD TO 8500 84TH- GEOMETRIC, TRAFFIC CONTROL, TRAFFIC MGMT, ETC IMPROVEMENTS	BLOOMINGTON	Replace	E2
2006		CSAH 1		RC	3,616,000	2,892,800	0	0	723,200	W OF W JCT CSAH 4 TO E OF E JCT CSAH 4 IN EDEN PRAIRIE-RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	E2
2006		CSAH 101		RC	6,441,000	5,152,800	Ó	O	1,288,200	FROM S OF 14TH AVE TO 30TH AVE IN PLYMOUTH- RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	\$2
2006		BB	TRS-TCMT-0		1,977,500	1,582,000	0.	0	395,500	2006 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE BUSES	MET COUNCIL- T & TE	Transit	T10
2006		TH 36	151-248-013A		6,380,000	6,380,000	0	0	0	FROM 3RD ST TO CHARLES ST IN N ST PAUL- GRADING, SURFACING, MARGARET ST BRIDGE OVER TH 36, FRONTAGE RDS, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	NORTH ST PAUL	Replace	<b>E</b> 1
2006		CSAH 15		RC	5,763,000	4,610,400	0	0	1,152,600	TH 36 TO 0.3 MIN OF CSAH 12 IN WASHINGTON CO-RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	WASHINGTON COUNTY	Replace	E2
2006		TH 169		MC	7,768,750	6,215,000	0	1,553,750	0	S OF CSAH 81 TO N OF CSAH 109 IN BROOKLYN PARK-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, BRIDGES, PARK/RIDE, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2006		TH 280	6241-41	RC	7,975,000	6,380,000	0	1,595,000	0	N OF LARPENTEUR AVE IN LAUDERDALE TO TH 36/I-35W IN ROSEVILLE-GRADING, SURFACING, ACCESS MANAGEMENT, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	A10

213,512,584 148,615,549 18,580,000 8,472,350 37,844,685

## TABLE A-4 STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		CSAH 1	002-601-040	SH	530,000	477,000	0	0		CSAH 1(COON RAPIDS BLVD) AT EGRET BLVD IN COON RAPIDS-DUAL LEFT TURN LANES, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	\$2
2003		C\$AH 7	02-607-17	SH	385,840	347,256	0	0	38,584	157TH TO 159TH IN ANDOVER-TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION	COUNTY	Manage	S2
2003		CSAH 9	02-609-11	SH	180,200	<b>162</b> ,180	0	0	18,020	AT CSAH 20-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION & LANE ADDITION	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	\$2
2003		CSAH 51	002-610-011	SH	530,000	477,000	0	0	53,000	CSAH 51/CSAH 3(UNIVERSITY EXTENSION) AT FUTURE CSAH 10(OLD TH 10) IN BLAINE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	<b>S</b> 2
2003		CR 8	019-596-004	SH	371,000	333,900	0	0	37,100	ON CR &(WENTWORTH AVE) FROM HUMBOLDT AVE TO TH 52 IN WEST ST PAUL-MILL & OVERLAY, TURN LANES, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC	DAKOTA COÚNTY	Manage	S2
2003		CSAH 81	27-681-10	SH	530,000	477,000	0	0	53,000	AT CO RD 49-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Manage	E2
2003		RR	27-00234	SR	75,000	67,500	0	0	7,500	63RD AVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARK- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	Si
2003		RR	27-00235	SR	75,000	67,500	Ö	0	7,500	JEFFERSON HWY AT BNSF RAILROAD IN OSSEO- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00239	SR	75,000	67,500	Ō	Ö	7,500	ZACHARY LANE AT BNSF RR IN MAPLE GROVE- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00240	SR	185,500	166,950	0	0	18,550	STUBBS BAY RD/BNSF RAILROAD IN ORONO- INSTALL NEW SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00242	SR	75,000	67,500	0	0	7,500	73RD AVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARK- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00249	SR	150,000	135,000	0	0	15,000	N SHORE DRIVE AT CP RR IN GREENFIELD- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00250	SR	175,000	157,500	ō	0	17,500	VALLEY RD AT BNSF RR IN INDEPENDENCE- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2003		RR	27-00255	SR	150,000	135,000	0	0	15,000	N SHORE DRIVE AT CP RR IN GREENFIELD- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	62-00183	SR	424,000	381,600	0	0	12,144	MSAS 232, COMO AVE & MUN 516, COMO PLACE IN ST PAUL-UPGRADE SIGNALS AT COMO, CLOSE COMO PLACE	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	82-00126	SR	185,500	166,950	0	0	18,550	TWP RD 212, NORTHBROOK BLVD IN N BAYTOWN TOWNSHIP-INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		TH 7	2706-200	RC	108,345	86,676	0	21,669	C	AT EXCELSIOR BLVD INTERCHANGE- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Replace	06
2003		TH 13	1901-142	SH	265,000	238,500	Ö	26,500	į	AT MENDOTA HEIGHTS RD IN MENDOTA HEIGHTS- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 13	7001-88	RS	770,000	616,000	0	154,000	C	CSAH 21 TO CSAH 42-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 47	0206-49A	RC	2,120,000	1,696,000	0	424,000	C	ST FRANCIS TO THE N ANOKA CO LINE- RECONSTRUCT, WIDEN SHOULDERS, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	S13

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Pri Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 55	1909-83	SH	265,000	238,500	0		0	AT EAGANDALE BLVD IN EAGAN-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 55	2723-109	RS	2,000,000	1,600,000	0	}		ROCKFORD RD TO 1-494-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 65	0208-107	SH	450,000	405,000	0	10,000		AT 117TH ST IN BLAINE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 212	1012-20	RS	2,200,000	1,760,000	0,	L		W JCT TH 25 TO CO RD 134-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 316	1926-16	SH	450,000	405,000	0	45,000	0	AT 190TH STREET IN RAVENNA TWP-REALIGN INTERSECTION & ADD TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	\$2
2003		TH 999	8825-107	ŔХ	250,000	200,000	0			URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2003		TH 999	TRLF-RW-03		3,700,000	2,960,000	Ö	740,000	O	REPAYMENT IN FY 2003 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12,100,212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		CSAH 9	002-609-013	SH	449,440	404,496	0	0		CSAH 9(ROUND LAKE BLVD) AT CSAH 20(157TH AVE NW) IN ANDOVER-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2004		CR 16	002-596-003	SH	561,800	505,620	0	0		CR 16(ANDOVER BLVD) AT TH 65 IN HAM LAKE- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2004		CSAH 23		SH	404,496	364,047	0	0	40,449	CSAH 23(NAPLES ST/LAKE DR) AT CR 105(NAPLES ST)/I-35W RAMP IN BLAINE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2004		CSAH 116	002-716-006	SH	561,800	505,620	0	0		CSAH 116(BUNKER LAKE BLVD NE) AT JEFFERSON ST IN HAM LAKE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2004		CSAH 116	002-716-007	SH	561,800	505,620	0	0	56,180	CSAH 116(INDUSTRY AVE NW) AT DYSPROSIUM ST/THURSTON AVE IN ANOKA-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2004		RR	27-00258	SR	196,630	176,967	0		19,663	MSAS 245, E 33RD ST IN MINNEAPOLIS-SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	27-00259	SR	196,630	176,967	0	0		CSAH 150, MAIN STREET IN ROGERS-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	62-00184	SR	168,540	151,686	o			CNTY 152, EAGLE AVE IN WHITE BEAR LAKE- INSTALL NEW SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	82-00128	SR	196,630	176,967	0	l		MUN 100, IRONWOOD AVE N IN GRANT TOWNSHIP-SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2004		RR	82-00129	SR	196,630	176,967	0			MUN 69, IRISH AVE N IN GRANT TOWNSHIP- SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	82-00130	SR	196,630	176,967	0			CSAH 21, STAGECOACH TRAIL N IN WASHINGTON COUNTY-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	82-00132	SR	196,630	176,967	0	Ĭ		MSAS 121, HADLEY AVE, OAKDALE-INSTALL NEW GATES AND CANTS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		TH 41	7010-20	SH	1,525,000	981,000	0			AT TH 169-SIGNAL REVISION, ACCESS CLOSURES, FRONTAGE RD, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2004		TH 999	8825-108	RX	250,000	200,000	0	50,000	0	URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004	Î	TH 999	TRLF-RW-04		3,700,000	2,960,000	0	740,000		REPAYMENT IN FY 2004 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12,100,212, OR 610		Other	NC
2005		CITY	880M-AFFH-C	TM	1,875,000	1,500,000	Ō	0	,	FOR FY 2005	MET COUNCIL	Manage	NC
2005		RR	27-00261	SR	190,750	171,675	0	0		BENJAMIN ST, MUN 292, CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS- SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	27-00262	SR	218,000	196,200	0	0	,,,,,	37TH AVE, MSAS 272, CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS- INSTALL CANTILEVERS & CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	27-00263	SR	190,750	171,675	0.	0		JOHNSON ST, MSAS 103, MINNEAPOLIS-INSTALL CANTILEVERS AND CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	62-00185	SR	190,750	171,675	0	0	,,,,	PORTLAND AVE, CSAH 71, WHITE BEAR LAKE- INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2005		RR	70-00114	SR	545,000	490,500	0	0		UP CORRIDOR SAFETY STUDY-SHAKOPEE AND SAVAGE-PHASE 1-INCLUDES VERNON/YOSEMITE & SPENCER/SOMMERVILLE	MNDOT	Manage	01
2005		RR	70-00115	SR	136,250	122,625	0	0	13,625	MARSHALL ROAD, CSAH 17, SHAKOPEE, SCOTT CO-ADD GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	82-00133	SR	190,750	171,675	0.	0	19,075	MANNING AVE N, CSAH 15, LAKE ELMO, WASH CO- INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	82-00134	SR	190,750	171,675	0	0	19,075	122ND ST N, CSAH 7, HUGO(0.5 MILES E)-INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		CSAH 17	166-020-12	SH	545,000	490,500	0	0	54,500	AT 4TH AVE IN SHAKOPEE-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	SHAKOPEE	Manage	E1
2005		CITY	62-665-42	SH	654,000	588,600	0	O	65,400	ON WHITE BEAR AVE AT MARYLAND AVE IN ST PAUL-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	ST PAUL	Manage	E1
2005		TH 8	8213-21	SH	272,500	245,250	0		1	AT TH 61 NORTH RAMPS IN FOREST LAKE- RECONSTRUCT MEDIAN, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2005		1-35	1980-66	SH	436,000	196,200	0	239,800	O	AT CSAH 46 WEST RAMPS(HES) & EAST RAMPS(SC) IN LAKEVILLE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION & INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2005		1-35	8280-35	RB	2,530,000	0	2,024,000	506,000	ļ	ON SOUTHBOUND 1-35-RECONSTRUCT FOREST LAKE REST AREA(AC PROJECT-PAYBACK IN 2006)	MNDOT	Other	S1:
2005		TH 65	0208-116	RS	3,925,000	3,140,000	0	785,000		0.2 MI S OF 153RD AVE IN HAM LAKE TO 217TH AVE NE IN EAST BETHEL-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY		Preserve	S10
2005		TH 999	8825-126	RX	250,000	200,000	0	50,000	Ī	URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2005		TH 999	TRLF-RW-05	RW	3,700,000	2,960,000	0	740,000		REPAYMENT IN FY 2005 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12,100,212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
2006		CITY	195-114-04	SH	502,285	452,057	0	0	<u> </u>	ON DUCKWOOD DRIVE AT PILOT KNOB RD- CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	EAGAN	Manage	\$2
2006		CITY	880M-AFFH-0	TM	1,875,000	1,500,000	0	0	375,000	REGION SET ASIDE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN FY 2006	MET COUNCIL	Manage	NC
2006		RR	27-00264	SR	197,750	177,975	0	0	19,775	NOBLES AVE, MSAS 298, ROBBINSDALE-INSTALL CANTILEVERS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	27-00265	SR	226,000	203,400	0	0	22,600	WEST 79TH ST, MSAS 399, BLOOMINGTON- INSTALL CANTILEVERS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2006		RR	27-00266	SR	169,500	152,550	0	0	16,950	DAKOTA AVE, MSAS 280, ST LOUIS PARK-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S1

A-15

TABLE A-4 STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		RR	62-00188	SR	197,750	177,975	0	O		NORTHWEST AVE, CO 89, WHITE BEAR LAKE-ADD GATES & UPGRADE CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006	į	RR	62-00187	SR	197,750	177,975	0	0	19,775	LEXINGTON AVE, CSAH 51, SHOREVIEW-ADD CANTILEVERS & NEW CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	62-00188	SR	56,500	50,850	0	0		MCMENEMY ST, CSAH 57, VADNAIS HEIGHTS- UPGRADE CIRCUITRY & LED'S	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	62-00189	SR	197,750	177,975	0	0		ARLINGTON AVE, MSAS 109, ST PAUL-INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	82-00135	SR	197,750	177,975	0	0		OTCHIPWE AVE N. CSAH 11, WASHINGTON CO- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		CSAH 17	166-020-11	SH	565,000	508,500	0	0		AT 10TH AVE IN SHAKOPEE-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	SHAKOPEE	Manage	S2
2006		CITY	62-665-41	SH	847,500	762,750		0		ON WHITE BEAR AVE AT MINNEHAHA AVE IN ST PAUL-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	ST PAUL	Manage	S2
2006	H	TH 5	2701-43	SH	56,500	50,850	0	5,650		AT DELL RD IN EDEN PRAIRIE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2006		1-35	8280-35AC	RB	2,024,000	2,024,000	0	0		ON SOUTHBOUND I-35-RECONSTRUCT FOREST LAKE REST AREA(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Other	S15
2006	}	TH 36	8204-48	SH	508,500	457,650	0	33,900	16,950	AT CSAH 17 IN LAKE ELMO-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, ETC(\$\$ ARE STP & HES)	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2006		TH 47	0205-81	SH	226,000	203,400	0	22,600		AT OSBORNE RD IN FRIDLEY-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2006		TH 280	6241-47	S	232,000	208,800	0	23,200	0	HENNEPIN AVE TO I-35W-INSTALL LIGHTING AND CONTINUOUS MEDIAN	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2006		TH 999	880M-RS-06	RS	22,300,000	17,000,000	Ô	5,300,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR RESURFACING PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006		TH 999	8825-127	RX	250,000	200,000	0	50,000	0	URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2006		TH 999	TRLF-RW-06	ŔW	3,700,000	2,960,000	0	740,000	0	REPAYMENT IN FY 2006 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12,100,212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
			<del></del>		70 000 070	60 145 835		12 220 000			l		

76,606,076 60,145,835 2,024,000 12,230,069 2,206,172

## Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

# TABLE A-5 MN/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total\$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		CSAH 10	10-610-29	BR	757,900	424,000	0	0	333,900	CSAH 10 OVER LUCE LINE TRAIL-REPLACE BR 5863	CARVER COUNTY	Replace	S19
2003		CSAH 33	27-633-01	BR	901,000	720,800	0	Ö	180,200	PARK AVENUE OVER SOO LINE-REPLACE BR 90491	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2003		CSAH 116	27-716-03	BR	1,325,000	1,060,000	0	0	265,000	CSAH 116 OVER CROW RIVER-REPLACE BR 6273	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2003		CITY	141-165-15	BR	1,966,300	853,300	0	0	1,113,000	CHICAGO AVE OVER HCRRA RR-REPLACE BR 92349	MINNEAPOLIS	Replace	S19
2003		CITY	141-291-01AC		818,788	818,788	0	ō	0	ROYALSTON AVE OVER THE BNSF RR-BR 27699(REPLACE BR 92339)AC PAYBACK	MINNEAPOLIS	Replace	S19
2003		TH3	1920-37	BR	600,000	480,000	0	120,000	0	OVER DITCH & CHUB CREEK S OF FARMINGTON- REPLACE BRS 3913 & 3914	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2003		TH 3	1921-74	BR	1,100,000	000,088	0	220,000	0	OVER VERMILLION RIVER N OF FARMINGTON- REPLACE BR 6696	MNDOT	Replace	S19
	1	TH 12	2713-66	BR	112,890	90,312	0	22,578	0	UNDER LUCE LINE TRAIL 4.5 MI W OF TH 494- REPLACE BR 4643	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2004		CSAH 35	027-635-025	BR	505,620	404,496	0	0	101,124	CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE) OVER MINNEHAHA CREEK-REPLACE BR 90493	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2004		CITY	141-080-028	BR	947,195	525,845	0	0	421,350	EAST RIVER PARKWAY OVER BRIDAL VEIL FALLS NEAR SUPERIOR ST-REPLACE BR L5761	MINNEAPOLIS	Replace	S19
2005		CITY	141-080-23	BR	5,090,300	2,925,560	0	0	2,164,740	ST ANTHONY PARKWAY OVER BN RR-REHAB BR 90664	MINNEAPOLIS	Replace	S19
2005		MSAS 128	164-128-06	BR	4,857,040	2,486,290	0	0	. ,	EARL STREET OVER 7TH ST & CNW RR-REPLACE BR 90420	ST PAUL	Replace	S19
	4	TH 36	8217-12	BR	20,000,000	0	8,000,000	2,000,000	10,000,000	OVER ST CROIX RIVER NEAR STILLWATER & OAK PARK HEIGHTS-REPLACE BR 4654 & APPROACHES(STAGE 1)	MNDOT	Replace	A05
2006		CSAH 5	27-605-22	BR	226,000	180,800	0	0	45,200	CSAH 5, MINNETONKA BLVD OVER HUTCHINSON SPUR TRAIL-REPLACE BR 27501	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	\$19
2006		CSAH 61	27-661-37	BR	904,000	723,200	0	0	180,800	SHADY OAK RD OVER HORRA CORRIDOR- REPLACE BR 90596	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	\$19
2006		CSAH 73	27-673-08	BR	1,073,500	858,800	0	0	214,700	HOPKINS CROSSROAD OVER BNSF RR-REPLACE BR 27518	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2006		CSAH 153	27-753-09	BI	3,955,000	3,164,000	0	0	791,000	LOWRY AVENUE OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-PAINT BR 2723	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Preserve	S19
2006		TH 36	8214-9115	ВІ	1,695,000	1,356,000	0	339,000	0	EB TH 36 OVER TH 95 OAK PARK HEIGHTS-REPAIR BR 9115		Preserve	S19

46,835,533 17,952,191 8,000,000 2,701,578 18,181,764

# TABLE A-6 Demo/High Priority Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003			27-090-02	ВТ	1,125,000	0,	900,000	Ô	0		HENNEPIN COUNTY BIKEWAY-MIDTOWN 29TH ST GREENWAY PED/BIKE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2003			27-BASSET			10,000,000	0	0	0		BASSETT CREEK VALLEY NORTH-SOUTH- PED/BIKE TRAIL	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2003	1	MUN	98-080-07	МС	880,000	0	704,000	0	0		ON GLEN RD IN NEWPORT-RECONSTRUCT & WIDEN(INCLUDES CONST ENG)		Expand	A10
2003	i	CITY	98-080-11	MC	1,260,000	0	1,008,000	0	0	252,000	ON 7TH AVE IN NEWPORT FROM 12TH ST TO 1ST ST-RECONSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	NEWPORT	Expand	A05
2003		CITY	98-080-13	MC	420,000	0	336,000	0	0	84,000	ON 2ND ST FROM 4TH AVE TO 7TH AVE- RECONSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	NEWPORT	Expand	A05
2003		CITY	164-288-01	MC	562,500	0	450,000	0	0		JOHNSON PKWY TO I-35E(PHALEN BLVD)- GRAD,SURF,RIGHT OF WAY,ETC(PHASE 3)	ST PAUL	Expand	A05
2003	į	CITY	164-288-02	MC.	6,000,000	0	3,700,000	0	0	2,300,000	ON PHALEN BLVD FROM MISSISSIPPI ST TO PAYNE AVE & ON CAYUGA FROM WESTMINSTER TO PHALEN-GRAD, SURF, ETC & CONST ENGR(PHASE 1)	ST PAUL	Expand	A05
2003		CITY	164-288-03	MC	11,000,000	0	8,100,000	0	0.	· .	OVER WESTMINSTER JUNCTION RAILROAD- CONST BR 62598 & CONST ENGR(PHASE 2)	ST PAUL	Expand	A05
2004		CITY	98-080-14	MC	1,345,000	0	1,076,000	O	0.	269,000	ON 4TH AVE FROM 20TH ST TO 2ND ST- RECONSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	NEWPORT	Expand	A05
2004		CITY	98-080-23	MC	122,000	0	97,600	0	0	24,400	ON 4TH AVE FROM 20TH ST TO 2ND ST- PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Expand	A05
2004		CITY		MC	2,569,000	0	2,055,000	0	89,000	425,000	ON 7TH AVE IN ST PAUL PARK- RECONSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	ST PAUL PARK	Expand	A05
2004		TH 36		ВІ	5,000,000	5,000,000	Ō	0	O	0	OVER ST CROIX RIVER NEAR STILLWATER- REHABILITATE BR 4654	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
		TH 610	2771-31	МС	9,000,000	0	7,200,000	0	1,800,000	O	REALIGN CSAH 81 IN THE VICINITY OF TH 610-GRADING, SURFACING, BR, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A10
		TH 610	2771-32	MC	17,750,000	0	14,200,000	0	3,550,000	0	AT CSAH 130, RANCHVIEW, ZACHARY LN, JEFFERSON, PED BR, REVERE LN, HEMLOCK, FERNBROOK-CONSTRUCT OVERPASSES & APPROACHES, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005		CITY	157-363-18A	BR	20,000,000	0	9,700,000	0	5,500,000	4,800,000	LYNDALE AVE OVER 1-494(REPLACE BRIDGE)-RIGHT OF WAY & CONSTRUCTION	RICHFIELD	Replace	S19

TABLE A-6
Demo/High Priority Projects

Year	Pπ	Route	Prj Number	Prg		Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005	10	TH 61		MC	17,075,000		5,475,000	8,200,000	3,400,000		VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE- RECONSTRUCT TH 61 AND ST PAUL PARK INTERCHANGE, FR RDS, BRS, ETC(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT		A10

104,108,500 15,000,000 55,001,600 8,200,000 14,339,000 11,567,900

A-19

# Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

# TABLE A-7 MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		I-35	1980-64	ТМ	630,788	504,630	0	126,158	Ō	CSAH 46 TO CRYSTAL LAKE RD IN LAKEVILLE- INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		1-35E	1982-129AC2	BR	12,000,000	12,000,000	0	0	0	TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE & APPROACHES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Replace	A05
2003		1-35E	6280-6509	BI	1,100,000	990,000	0	110,000	0	OVER ROSELAWN, CO RD B, & TH 36-REPAIR OVERLAY ON BRS 6509,6510,9117,9118,9119,9120	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		I-35E	8825-54	SC	330,000	297,000	O	33,000	0	TH 77 IN EAGAN TO GRAND AVE IN ST PAUL- REPLACE "A" & "OH" SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	O8
2003		1-35E	8825-55	SC	250,000	225,000	0	25,000	- 1	TH 77 IN EAGAN TO GRAND AVE IN ST PAUL- REPLACE "C" & "D" SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2003		I-35W	2782-279	sc	750,000	675,000	0	75,000	0	FROM 1-494 IN BLOOMINGTON TO WASHINGTON AVE IN MPLS-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		I-35W	2783-105	SC	210,000	189,000	0	21,000	ō	AT 1ST ST S & AT 2ND ST SE IN MINNEAPOLIS- REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2003	7	1-94	2786-116	MC	11,300,000	5,800,000	0	0	5,500,000	ZANE AVE TO TH 100-TEMP WIDEN OUTSIDE, REPLACE PAVEMENT & ADD 3RD LANE FROM ZANE TO CSAH 152	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		1-94	2786-120	RS	2,500,000	2,250,000	0	250,000	0	BROOKLYN BLVD TO TH 252-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		1-94	6282-9377	ВІ	2,400,000	2,160,000	0.	240,000	0	UNDER SNELLING, PASCUAL, HAMLINE, LEXINGTON, VICTORIA, DALE ST., 4 PED BRS-PAINT BRS 62849, 9377, 9379, 9381, 9382, 9383, 9736, 9663, 9773, 9387, & 9737	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2003		I-94	8282-95	SC	325,000		0	32,500		FROM I-694 TO ST CROIX RIVER-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2003		1-94	8282-96	RB	444,253	355,402	0	88,851		AT ST CROIX TRAFFIC INFO CENTER-SITE REHABILITATION, SIGNING, LIGHTING, ETC	MNDOT	Other	S15
2003	11	I- <b>4</b> 94	2785-327	MC	36,700,000	6,200,000	22,900,000	1,700,000	5,900,000	TH 5 TO E OF W BUSH LAKE RD-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
	10	1-494	8285-80	MC	132,700,000		110,000,000			ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON 1-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST- GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2004		1-35E	1982-129AC3		12,000,000	12,000,000	l		O	TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE & APPROACHES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Replace	A05
2004	7	1-94	2786-117	MC	15,000,000	9,800,000	3,000,000	2,200,000	0	FROM W JCT 1-494 TO TH 169-TEMP WIDEN, REPLACE PAVEMENT, ADD 3RD LANE, ETC(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2004		1-94	6283-167	RS	2,510,000	2,259,000	0	251,000	0	MOUNDS BLVD TO 0.5 MI E OF TH 61 IN ST PAUL- CONCRETE PAVEMENT REPAIR	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-94	6283-168	RŚ	1,800,000	1,620,000	0	180,000		0.2 MI E OF RUTH ST IN ST PAUL TO 0.3 MI E OF RAMSEYWASHINGTON CO LINE IN WOODBURY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-94	8282-92	RS	4,320,000	3,888,000	0	432,000	0	TH 120 TO ST CROIX RIVER-CONCRETE RETROFIT	MNDOT	Preserve	S10

TABLE A-7
MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Адепсу	Category	AQ
2004	11	1-494	2785-327AC1	MC	11,400,000	11,400,000	0	0	0	TH 5 TO E OF W BUSH LAKE RD-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004	10	1-494		MC	11,900,000		0.	0		VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-CONSTRUCT NORTH RING ROAD, BAILEY, MAXWELL, TH 61, 11 BRIDGES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004	10	I-494	8285-80AC1	MC	22,000,000	22,000,000	O	0	0	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON I-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST- GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005	7	1-94		MC	3,000,000	3,000,000	0	0	0	FROM W JCT 1-494 TO TH 169-TEMP WIDEN, REPLACE PAVEMENT, ADD 3RD LANE, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005		1-494	2785-317	RS	5,618,000	5,056,200	0	561,800		34TH AVE TO TH 100-OVERLAY, GUARDRAIL, MEDIAN BARRIER, CULVERTS, ETC	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005	11		2785-327AC2	MC	11,500,000	11,500,000	0;	0		TH 5 TO E OF W BUSH LAKE RD-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005		I-494	8285-74	TM	3,000,000	0	2,400,000	600,000	0	ON I-494/694 FROM DAKOTA CO LINE TO TH 36- INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2005	10	1-494	8285-79AC2	MC	11,800,000	11,800,000	0	0	0	VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-CONSTRUCT NORTH RING ROAD, BAILEY, MAXWELL, TH 61, 11 BRIDGES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005	10	I-494	8285-80AC2	MC	22,000,000	22,000,000	0	O	0	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON 1-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST- GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT 3,5.	Expand	A05
2006	3	1-35W	2782-266	MC	150,000,000	0	127,500,000	22,500,000	0	66TH ST IN RICHFIELD TO MINNEHAHA CREEK IN MINNEAPOLIS-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC & HOV LANE(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2006		1-94	8281-9400B	ВІ	6,800,000	3,060,000	O	340,000	3,400,000	WB OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT HUDSON-PAINT BR 9400(WISCONSIN LET)	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006		1-494	8285-74AC	TM	2,400,000	2,400,000	O	0	0	ON 1-494/694 FROM DAKOTA CO LINE TO TH 36- INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2006	10	I-494	8285-80AC3	MC	22,000,000			0	0	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON 1-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2006		1-694	6285-9209	ВІ	879,800			87,980		OVER ISLAND LAKE CHAIN-WIDEN & REDECK BRS 9209 & 9210	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2006		I-694	6285-9301	BI	848,000	763,200	Ö	84,800	O	EB OVER NB TH 51 & OVER SB TH 51 RAMP-REHAB DECK ON BRS 9301,9302	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2006		TH 999	880M-BI-06	ВІ	12,800,000	9,000,000	0	3,800,000	C	METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19

535,215,841 200,976,752 265,800,000 53,639,089 14,800,000

Tuesday, July 30, 2002

## Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

# TABLE A-8 Intelligent Transportation Systems Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Other Fed \$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		ITS	880M-ITS-03	ТМ	500,000	0	0	500,000		NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004			880M-1TS-04	TM	500,000	0	0	500,000	Ó	NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2005			880M-ITS-05	TM	500,000	0	0	500,000	0	NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2006		ITS	880M-ITS-06	TM	500,000	0	Ó	500,000	0	NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
	<u></u>	<u></u>		اليــــال	2 000 00				<u></u>				<u> </u>

2,000,000 0 0 0,000 0

### TABLE A-9 NHS Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed\$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 12	2713-75	MC	36,000,000	3,600,000		2,400,000		CO RD 6 TO WAYZATA BLVD-RELOCATE RR TRACK, RECONSTRUCT TH 12, INTERCHANGES, ETC-STAGE 1(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
		TH 55	2725-59	MC	12,000,000	5,300,000		2,400,000		FROM 54TH ST TO TH 62 & ON TH 62-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE & PORTIONS OF TH 55 & TH 62(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003	10	TH 61	8205-99AC1	MC	4,200,000	4,200,000		0		ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON I-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST- GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)		Expand	A05
2003		TH 62	2774-07	RS	5,000,000	4,000,000	0	1,000,000	0	TH 100 TO I-35W-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 62	2774-7263	Ві	1,300,000	1,040,000		260,000	_	UNDER TH 100, PED BRIDGE, FRANCE AVE, XERXES AVE, & PENN AVE IN EDINA & RICHFIELD- PAINT BRS. 9500, 27520, 7263, 27504, & 7268; & OTHER MISC REPAIRS	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003	8	TH 100	2735-159AC2	MC	10,000,000	10,000,000	0	0		39TH AVE N TO INDIANA AVE-RECONSTRUCT EXPRESSWAY, NEW INTERCHANGE AT CSAH 81, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	E3
2003		TH 316	1926-17	RD	4,650,000	3,720,000	0.	930,000	0	S JCT TH 61 TO N JCT TH 61 IN HASTINGS-MILL & OVERLAY, SHOULDER WIDENING, ETC(GOODHUE CO PORTION BEING PAID OUT OF ATP 6)	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2004	1	TH 12	2713-75AC1	MC	11,000,000	11,000,000	0	0	0	CO RD 6 TO WAYZATA BLVD-RELOCATE RR TRACK, RECONSTRUCT TH 12, INTERCHANGES, ETC-STAGE 1(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004	6	TH 55	2725-59AC	MC	4,300,000	4,300,000	0,	0	0	FROM 54TH ST TO TH 62 & ON TH 62-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE & PORTIONS OF TH 55 & TH 62(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004	10	TH 61	8205-99AC2	МС	4,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	O	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE 8 ON I-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST- GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	TOOM	Expand	A05
2004	8	TH 100	2755-75AC1	MC	6,100,000	5,100,000		0	C	INDIANA AVENUE TO 50TH AVE N-GRAD, SURF, BRS, ETC- UPGRADE TO FREEWAY(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2005		TH 12	2713-75AC2	MC	11,000,000	11,000,000	0	0		CO RD 6 TO WAYZATA BLVD-RELOCATE RR TRACK, RECONSTRUCT TH 12, INTERCHANGES, ETC-STAGES 1 & 2(AC CONVERSION)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2005	1	TH 12	2713-83	MC	20,000,000			5,200,000		LUCE LINE TRAIL TO CO RD 6-GRADE, SURFACE, ETC OF NEW INTERCHANGES AT WAYZATA BLVD & AT CO RD 6(STAGE 2)	_∦.	Expand	A05
2005	8	TH 100	2755-75AC2	MC	6,100,000	7,100,000	Ö	0		INDIANA AVENUE TO 50TH AVE N-GRAD, SURF, BRS, ETC- UPGRADE TO FREEWAY(AC CONVERSION)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2006	1	TH 12	2713-83AC	MC	10,400,000	10,400,000	0	0	C	CO RD 6 TO WAYZATA BLVD-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES, ETC(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05

TABLE A-9 NHS Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total\$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	11 R
2006				MC	7,500,000	6,000,000	0	1,500,000	1	AT MCKNIGHT RD IN NORTH ST PAUL- CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	E3
2006	10	TH 61	8205-100AC1	MC	4,800,000	4,800,000	0	0	į	VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-RECONSTRUCT TH 61 & ST PAUL PARK INTERCHANGE, FR RDS, BRS, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2006		TH 120	8220-9883B	Ві	3,000,000	2,400,000	0	600,000	0	OVER I-494 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BRS 9883 & 82017	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2006		TH 999	880M-TM-06	ТМ	3,800,000	3,040,000	0	760,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	S7

165,150,000 105,400,000 36,700,000 15,050,000 8,000,000

### TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 242	0212-43	АМ	135,000	0	o.	135,000	o	EAST OF FOLEY TO FLINTWOOD IN COON RAPIDS- ACCESS CLOSURES, FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION	ANOKA COUNTY	Other	ХC
2003		TH 252	2748-49	AM	198,000	Ö	0	198,000	0	AT 85TH AVE IN BROOKLYN PARK-CONSTRUCT EDINBURGH TRAIL BRIDGE	BROOKLYN PARK	Other	AQ2
2003		TH 169	2750-61	АМ	198,000	0	0	198,000	0	BETWEEN 114TH & 117TH AVE IN CHAMPLIN- CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	CHAMPLIN	Other	AQ2
2003		TH 55	2722-63	AM	378,000	Ó	0	3,0,111	0	PIONEER TRAIL TO ROLLING HILLS RD IN CORCORAN-FRONTAGE RD CONSTRUCTION	CORCORAN	Other	NC
2003		TH 55	1909-77	AM	212,000	0	0	212,000	0	AT ARGENTA TRAIL-SIGNAL INSTALLATION & CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	\$2
2003		TH 65	0208-114	AM	139,500	0	. 0	139,500	0	AT CSAH 24 IN EAST BETHEL-FRONTAGE ROAD RECONSTRUCTION	EAST BETHEL	Other	NC
2003		TH 97	8201-13	AM	378,000	0	0	378,000	0	EVERTON AVE TO FENWAY AVE IN FOREST LAKE- CONSTRUCT BACKAGE ROAD	FOREST LAKE	Other	NC
2003		TH 55	2722-62	AM	501,120	0	0	501,120	0	FROM DOGWOOD TO GREENFIELD CITY LIMITS- ACCESS CLOSURES AND FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION(ACCESS MGMT \$\$)	GREENFIELD	Other	NC
2003		TH 120	6227-61	AM	197,650	0	0	197,650	0	LONG LAKE RD TO TH 244 IN MAHTOMEDI-TRAFFIC SIGNAL & ACCESS MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENTS		Other	E1
2003		TH 65	2710-31	AM	7,300,000	0	0	3,790,000		27TH AVE TO 37TH AVE IN MPLS-MEDIAN, MILL & OVERLAY, & CHANNELIZATION	MINNEAPOLIS	Other	Et
2003		TH 5	6201-9300A	Ві	127,200	0	0	,	<u> </u>	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REHABILITATE MODULAR JOINTS ON BR 9300	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 5	6201-9489	Ві	106,000	0	0			W 7TH ST UNDER MISSISSIPPI BLVD- REHABILITATE RAILING & COPING ON BRS 9489 & 9490	MNDOT	Preserve	S9
2003		TH 10	0215-9700	Ві	770,000	0	0	770,000	0	OVER RUM RIVER & OVER BNSF RR IN ANOKA- DECK REPAIR & RAIL REHAB ON BRS 9700 & 9717	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2003	1	TH 12	2713-84RW	RW	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	0	IN LONG LAKE-RIGHT OF WAY FOR PARK AND RIDE SITE	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 12	8825-63	SC	283,907	0	0	283,907	0	ON TH 12 FROM W JCT CSAH 15 IN WAYZATA TO I- 494 AND ON I-394 FROM I-494 TO RIDGEDALE DRIVE IN MINNETONKA-REPLACE "A" & "OH" SIGNS	MNDOT	Manage	08
2003		I-35W	2783-103	RX	90,000	0	0	90,000	C	OUTLET STRUCTURE OF 1-35W STORM SEWER NEAR THE 1-35W/MISS RIVER BRIDGE-REPAIR SHORELINE ON MISSISSIPPI RIVER	MNDOT	Preserve	O9
2003		1-35W	2783-104	RS	2,650,000	0	0	2,650,000	O	STINSON BLVD IN MINNEAPOLIS TO TH 36 IN ROSEVILLE-CONCRETE PAVEMENT REPAIR	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		1-35W	2783-27893	ВІ	1,450,000	0	0	1,450,000	C	OVER TH 88,STINSON,INDUSTRIAL,MC RR,280 RAMPS, 36 OVER CLEVELAND-REPAIR OVERLAYS, JOINT REPLACEMENT & REHAB RAIL ON BRS 27893,27895,27897,27899,62860,62853,9277	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 36	8217-15	BR	42,400	0	0	42,400	0	MUSSELL RELOCATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ST CROIX RIVER BRIDGE	MNDOT	Replace	NC
2003		TH 51	6215-85	RS	390,000	Ö	0	390,000	0	DAYTON AVE TO TAYLOR AVE IN ST PAUL- BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 51	6216-117	SC	60,000	Ō	0	55,555		AT CO RD E IN ARDEN HILLS-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2003		TH 52	1906-47	МС	8,550,000	0	0	6,550,000	2,000,000	RR REALIGNMENT PORTION OF THE 117TH ST INTERCHANGE	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		TH 52	1907-63	MC	16,400,000	0	O <sub>i</sub>	1,200,000	15,200,000	AT 117TH ST IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS- CONSTRUCT NEW INTERCHANGE, BRIDGE, FR RD, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		TH 52	1907-63RW	RW	4,700,000	0	0	3,700,000	1,000,000	AT 117TH ST IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-RIGHT OF WAY FOR NEW INTERCHANGE, RR REALIGNMENT, ETC	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 52	8825-64	SC	100,000	0	0	100,000	0	TH 19 TO 1494 IN INVER GROVE HTS-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	80
2003		TH 55	1910-41	RB	100,000	0	0	100,000	0	W CORP LIMITS OF HASTINGS TO TH 61- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Other	<b>O</b> 6
2003	6	TH 55	2724-112	MC	318,000	0	0	318,000	0	FROM 46TH ST TO 50TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	06
2003	6	TH 55	2724-113	MC	318,000	Ó	O	318,000	0	FROM 50TH ST TO 54TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	<b>O</b> 6
2003		TH 61	1913-59	BI	400,000	0	0	400,000	0	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AT HASTINGS-REMOVE DEBRIS & REPAIR BR 5895	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 61	6220-65	SC	100,000	0	0	100,000	C	AT LOWER AFTON ROAD IN ST PAUL-INSTALL DUAL LEFT TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 61	6222-142	Bi	275,000	0	0	275,000	C	UNDER TH 61 0.5 MI S OF CO RD E & COUNTY DITCH 11 NEAR CSAH 96-REPLACE CULVERTS	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 61	6222-6692	ВІ	137,800	0	0	10.,000		OVER BIKE TRAIL 1.2 MI S OF TH 36-OVERLAY & JOINTS ON BR 6692	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 61	8205-104	RS	850,000	0	0	850,000	C	MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO TH 10 NEAR HASTINGS-MILL & OVERLAY,ETC	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 61	8205-106	TR	1,000,000	0	0	0	1,000,000	IN COTTAGE GROVE-CONSTRUCT PARK & RIDE SITE	MNDOT	Transit	E6
2003		TH 62	2774-10	SC	380,000	0	0	380,000	C	AT XERXES AVE RAMP TERMINII IN RICHFIELD, MINNEAPOLIS, AND EDINA-REBUILD SIGNAL SYSTEM & INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 65	0207-77	SC	220,000	0	0	220,000	C	AT MISSISSIPPI ST IN FRIDLEY-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REBUILD	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 65	2710-2440	Ві	1,770,200	0	0	1,770,200	C	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER & OVER BNSF RR- OVERLAY & REPAIR JOINTS ON BR 2440; REPAIR JOINTS ON BR 27164	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		1-94	6282-184	NO	700,000	0	0	700,000	C	ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF 1-94 FROM CRETIN AVE TO WILDER-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		O3
2003		1-94	6283-155	ТМ	2,800,000	0	0	2,800,000	C	MOUNDS BLVD TO W JCT TH 95-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 95	8825-88	RD	420,000	0	0	420,000	C	ON TH 95 FROM I-94 TO TAYLORS FALLS-CULVERT REPLACEMENT	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003	:	TH 97	8212-20	RD	25,000	0	0	25,000	0	NEAR IDEAL AVE IN FOREST LAKE TOWNSHIP- REPLACE CULVERT	MNDOT	Preserve	S19

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 100	2733-81	SC	25,000	0	Ō	25,000		AT W 50TH ST RAMP TERMINII IN EDINA-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION & MASTER MONITOR SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	TS
2003		TH 100	2734-39	SC	440,000	0	0	440,000		AT W 50TH ST E & AT W RAMPS IN EDINA-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REBUILD	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 100	2755-78	SC	190,000	0	0	190,000	0	AT CSAH 152 & AT CSAH 10 IN BROOKLYN CENTER-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2003		TH 101	1009-15	81	318,000	0	0	318,000	0	OVER BLUFF CREEK NEAR TH 212-REPLACE BR 1822	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 120	6227-56	SC	700,000	0	Ó	700,000	0	AT I-694 & AT JOY ROAD-TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, WIDEN ROADWAY, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 120	6227-58	SC	795,000	0	0	795,000	0	AT LOWER AFTON RD IN WOODBURY/MAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL INSTALLATION & CHANNELIZATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 149	1916-23	SC	40,000	0	0	40,000	0	AT OPPERMAN/BECKER RD(CO RD 73) IN EAGAN- TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 149	6223-62090	ВІ	265,000	0	0	265,000	0	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER & RR-REHABILITATE MODULAR JOINTS ON HIGH BRIDGE 62090	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 169	2750-6890	Ві	106,000	0	0	106,000	0	OVER ELM CREEK-OVERLAY BRS 6890 & 6891	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 169	2776-02	RW	10,000,000	0	0	0	10,000,000	AT ANDERSON LAKES PARKWAY & AT PIONEER TRAIL-RW ACQUISITION FOR FUTURE INTERCHANGE CONSTRUCTION	MNDOT	Other	04
2003		TH 169	7008-45	RW	5,000,000	0	0	]	5,000,000	IN BELLE PLAINE-RW ACQUISITION FOR FUTURE INTERCHANGE CONSTRUCTION	MNDOT	Other	04
2003		TH 212	2745-29	SC	1,400,000	Ô	0	555,555	500,000	AT VALLEY VIEW RD IN EDEN PRAIRIE- CHANNELIZATION, RESTRIPING, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		1-394	2789-117	SC	120,000	0	0	120,000	0	AT RIDGEDALE DRIVE RAMP TERMINII & S FRONTAGE RD IN MINNETONKA-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISIONS	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003	11		2785-301	MC	34,300,000	Ó	0	Ō	34,300,000	E OF W BUSH LAKE RD TO TH 100-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		1-494	2785-331	SC	80,000	0	0	80,000	Ö	E JCT TH 5 TO W JCT 1-94-CAMERA & END EQUIPMENT PRESERVATION	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 610	0217-18	MC	492,900	0	0		0	W RIVER RD TO COON RAPIDS BLVD- LANDSCAPING	MNDÓT	Expand	S10
2003		1-694	0285-61	sc	35,000	0	0	35,000	0	AT E RIVER RD S RAMP IN FRIDLEY-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION/REBUILD & INTERCONNECT	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		I-694	6285-128	ŤM	800,000	0	0	800,000	0	I-35W IN NEW BRIGHTON & ARDEN HILLS TO RICE ST IN SHOREVIEW & VADNAIS HTS-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		1-694	8286-58	NO	400,000	0	0	400,000	0	ON THE WEST SIDE OF I-694 FROM UPPER 36TH ST TO 38TH ST IN OAKDALE-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		О3
2003		TH 999	8200-10	RB	75,000	0	0	75,000	0	IN WILLIAM O'BRIEN STATE PARK-RESTORE MINNOW PONDS WETLAND	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 999	8200-11	RB	150,000	0	0	150,000	0	NEAR CARPENTER NATURE CENTER-RESTORE WETLAND FOR TH 10 MITIGATION	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 999	880M-BI-03	ВІ	900,000	0	0	900,000	Ö	AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN METRO DIVISION- BRIDGE REPAIRS IN FY 2003	MNDOT	Preserve	S19

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 999	880M-CD-03	PL	14,200,000	0	0	14,200,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN- 2003	MNDOT	Other	01
2003		TH 999	880M-PF-03	RB	40,000	0	0	40,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	06
2003		TH 999	880M-RB-03	RB	100,000	0	0	100,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	O6
2003		TH 999	880M-RS-03	RS	1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING & RECONDITIONING PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 999	880M-RW-03	RW	20,500,000	0	0	20,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 999	880M-RX-03	₽X	1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 999	880M-SA-03	SA	10,000,000	0	0	10,000,000	Ö	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 999	880M-SC-03	SC	750,000	0	0	750,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TURN LANE & TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 999	880M-TE-03	SC	725,000	0		725,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR HYDRAULICS & GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Manage	NC
2003		TH 999	880M-TR-03	ŤM	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	1	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-100	SC	150,000	0	0	150,000	0	METROWIDE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER/CABINET REPLACEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 999	8825-101	ŠC	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE CROSS STREET & RAMP SIGNING AT NUMEROUS LOCATIONS ON THE I- 494/1-694 RING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2003		TH 999	8825-53	ŠC	300,000	0	0	555,555	_	METROWIDE-REPLACE & UPGRADE ADVANCE WARNING FLASHERS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-56	SC	80,000	0	Ō	80,000	li .	METROWIDE-LIGHTING CABINET REPLACEMENTS	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
2003		TH 999	8825-59	śc	80,000	0	Ō	80,000	O	METROWIDE-RELOCATE REOCCURING LIGHTING KNOCKDOWNS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-72	TM	100,000	Ō	0	100,000	C	METOWIDE-INDIVIDUALIZE JOINED RAMP METERS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S7</b>
2003		TH 999	8825-75	sc	53,000	0	0	53,000	C	AT 5 RURAL LOCATIONS IN METRO-INTERSECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2003		TH 999	8825-89	ŤМ	120,000	0	0	120,000	C	DIVISIONWIDE-UPGRADE/ADDITIONAL VIDEO EQUIPMENT FOR INCIDENT MANAGEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003	П	TH 999	8825-92	ŤM	400,000	0	0	400,000	0	METROWIDE-PURCHASE TMS CABINETS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-95	TM	200,000	0	0	200,000	1	METROWIDE-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-99	sc	540,000	0	0	540,000	C	METROWIDE-RELAMP LIGHTING FIXTURES(ONE METRO QUADRANT)	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		TH 51	6216-114	АМ	285,000	0	0	285,000	0	AT CO RD C-NORTHBOUND DUAL LEFT TURN LANE	RAMSEY COUNTY	Other	<b>E</b> 1
2003		TH 5	6201-77	AM	108,000	0	0	108,000	(	ST PETER STREET IN ST PAUL-STORM SEWER	ST PAUL	Other	NC
2003	1	TH 5	6201-79	AM	32,400	0	0	32,400	0	AT ALBION ST IN ST PAUL-REPLACE TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLES	ST PAUL	Other	<b>S</b> 7

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 77	2758-27291	AM	952,000	0	0	952,000	0:	UNDER 66TH ST IN RICHFIELD-CONSTRUCT BR 27291	METRO AIRPORT COMMISSION	Other	E3
2004		TH 77	2758-9195A	АМ	168,000	0	0	168,000		UNDER 66TH ST-OVERLAY, REPLACE JOINTS, REPAIR RAILINGS, ETC	METRO AIRPORT COMMISSION	Other	S19
2004		TH 10	0202-81	SC	254,400	0	0	127,200	127,200	AT RAMSEY BLVD IN RAMSEY-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2004		TH 10	0215-58	SC	318,000	0	0	318,000	Ö	FROM THURSTON AVE IN ANOKA TO FOLEY BLVD IN COON RAPIDS-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	O8
2004		TH 12	2713-77	SC	1,165,000	0	0	1,165,000	0	AT CSAH 29(TOWNLINE RD) IN MAPLE PLAIN- CHANNELIZE, SIGNAL, ETC(\$0.75M OF ACCESS MGMT \$\$)	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004	1	TH 12	2713-84	MC	400,000	0	0	400,000	0	IN LONG LAKE-CONSTRUCT PARK AND RIDE SITE	MNDOT	Expand	E6
2004		TH 25	1006-23	RS	2,170,000	Ó	0	2,170,000	0	TH 212 IN NORWOOD YOUNG AMERICA TO TH 7 IN WATERTOWN TWP-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2004		1-35	1980-19807A		230,000	0	]	230,000		OVER CSAH 50 & UNDER 195TH ST IN LAKEVILLE- PAINT BRS 19807, 19808, & 19841	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		I-35E	6280-321	SC	318,000	0	0	318,000	0	GRAND AVE TO UNIVERSITY AVE IN ST PAUL- REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2004		J-35E	6280-322	sc	415,500	0	0	415,500	0	AT TH 36 IN LITTLE CANADA-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2004		1-35E	6280-6515A	ВІ	700,000	0	0	700,000	0	OVER CAYUGA, BNSF RR, & ARCH-PENN-DECK REPAIR ON BRS 6515, 6517, 9265	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 36	6212-152	SC	212,000	0	0	212,000	0	I-35W TO I-35E IN ROSEVILLE & LITTLE CANADA- REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	O8
2004		TH 36	6212-153	SC	395,000	0	0	395,000	0	AT TH 61 IN MAPLEWOOD-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	\$18
2004		TH 36	6212-9212	Ві	790,000	0	0	790,000		UNDER CP RAIL, EDGERTON & ARCADE; OVER CLEVELAND-PAINT BRS 9212,62006,62007,9276 & 9277	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 36	8825-116	SC	212,000	O	0	212,000	Ó	FROM WHITE BEAR AVE IN MAPLEWOOD TO TH 95 IN OAK PARK HEIGHTS-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2004		TH 41	1008-51A	АМ	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,000	0	TH 212 TO ENGLER RD IN CHASKA-RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE ROADWAY(MNDOT PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		TH 51	6216-116	sc	383,000	0	0	245,000	138,000	AT CO RD B IN ROSEVILLE-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL & INSTALL RIGHT TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	E3
2004		TH 52	1906-48	RC	3,100,000	0	0	3,100,000	0	AT CSAH 47 IN HAMPTON-GRADE SEPARATION, FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	NC
2004		TH 52	1928-49	NO	530,000	0	0	530,000	0	E SIDE OF TH 52 FROM THOMPSON AVE TO BROMLEY AVE IN SOUTH ST PAUL-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		О3
2004		TH 52	6244-62026	Ві	1,020,000		0	1,020,000	0	OVER RR & EATON ST.PLATO.CONCORD, & MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN ST PAUL-DECK REPAIR ON BRS 62026, 62027, 62045, & 9800	MNDOT	Preserve	
2004		TH 55	1909-84	RS	910,000	O	0			MENDOTA HEIGHTS RD IN MENDOTA HEIGHTS TO ARGENTA TRAIL IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS- BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19
2004		TH 55	1910-38	sc	1,590,000	Ō	0	1,590,000	0	AT E JCT CSAH 42-REALIGN INTERSECTION, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 55	1910-39	RS	745,000	0	0		0	0.3 MI W OF HASTINGS CITY LIMITS TO TH 61 IN HASTINGS-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 55	2722-64	sc	254,400	0	0	127,200	127,200	AT CSAH 19 IN MEDINA-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	Ē2
2004		TH 55	2723-6721	Ві	100,000	0	0	100,000		WB OVER UP RR IN PLYMOUTH & OVER CP RR IN GOLDEN VALLEY-PAINT BR 6721 & PARTIAL PAINT BR 5891	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 61	6222-62092	BR	2,600,000	0	0	2,000,000		OVER RR NE OF JCT TH 244-REPLACE BR 6688	MNDOT	Replace	\$19
2004		TH 61	8205-9071	Ві	240,000	0	0	240,000		UNDER CHEMOLITE RD & CSAH 22; CSAH 22 OVER CP RAIL-PAINT BRS 9071, 9410 & 9411	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 65	0207-80	SC	254,400	0	0	127,200		AT OSBORNE RD IN SPRING LAKE PARK-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2004		TH 65	0207-81	NO	850,000	0	0	850,000		W SIDE OF TH 65 FROM MISSISSIPPI ST N TO RICE CREEK TERRACE NE IN FRIDLEY-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		О3
2004		TH 65	0208-115	SC	250,000	0	0			AT CROSSTOWN BLVD(CSAH 18) IN HAM LAKE- TRAFFIC SIGNAL REBUILD & INTERCONNECT	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2004		1-94	2780-27967	Ві	350,000	0	0			OVER ELM CREEK & RICE LAKE-PAINT BRS 27967, 27968, 27969, & 27670	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-94	2780-57	TM	900,000	0	0	370,300	0	FROM 95TH IN MAPLE GROVE TO TH 101 IN ROGERS-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		1-94	2781-27727	Bi	100,000	0	0	,00,000		ON RAMP OVER GLENWOOD & RR IN MINNEAPOLIS-PARTIAL PAINT BRS 27727B & 27728	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004	!	1-94	6282-62808	BI	1,380,000	0	0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0	WB OVER TH 280 RAMPS & TH 280 UNDER MC RR & WABASH; NB OVER RAMPS-PAINT BRS 62808, 62812,62842,62843, & 62844	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 100	2735-5598	Bi	100,000	0	0	, 55,		UNDER MINNETONKA BLVD IN ST LOUIS PARK- DECK REPAIR & RAIL REHAB ON BR 5598	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 120	6227-60	RS	1,770,000	0	0	1,110,000		4TH ST N IN MAPLEWOOD TO 0.2 MI N OF CO RD D IN WHITE BEAR LAKE-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 120	8220-9883	BI	500,000	0	0	333,300	0	OVER I-494 IN WOODBURY-REHABILITATE BRS 9883 & 82017	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 149	1916-21	SC	393,260	0	0	393,260	0	AT WESCOTT RD IN EAGAN/INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-REALIGN INTERSECTION, RESTRIPING, TURN LANES, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 149	1916-22	sc	75,000	0	Ō		0	AT S JCT TH 55 IN EAGAN-INSTALL FREE-RIGHT TURN FROM EB TH 149 TO SB TH 55	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 169	2772-27079	BI	225,000	0	0	225,000	0	OVER TH 62/212 & OVER MINNETONKA BLVD-DECK REPAIR ON BRS 27079, 27080, & 27531	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 169	2772-38	NO	600,000	0	0	600,000	0	ON EAST SIDE OF TH 169 FROM 30TH AVE N TO 36TH AVE N IN NEW HOPE-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		О3
2004		TH 244	8219-20	RS	1,110,000	0	0	1,110,000	0	RIDGE WAY IN MAHTOMEDI TO TH 96 IN DELLWOOD-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 280	6241-62853	Ві	310,000	0	0	310,000	0	NB UNDER I-35W RAMP IN ROSEVILLE-PAINT BR 62853	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2004		TH 282	7011-20	RS	1,630,000	. 0	0	1,630,000	0	TH 21 IN JORDAN TO TH 13 IN SPRING LAKE TWP- BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-494	1985-124	SC	70,000	0	0	70,000	0	ON RAMP FROM SB TH 52 TO WB 1-494- INSTALLATION OF SLOTTED VANE DRAINS	MNDOT	Manage	S2

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		1-494	2785-27906	BI	350,000	0	0	350,000		AT W JCT I-94, 49TH AVE N, CP RAIL, & CO RD 47 IN MAPLE GROVE & PLYMOUTH-DECK REPAIR ON BRS 27906, 27907, 27973, 27974, 27975, 27976, 27977, & 27978		Preserve	S19
2004		1-494	2785-9834A	B≀	630,000	0	0	300,000	0	UNDER CHESIRE LN, CSAH 9, & FISH LAKE RD; OVER 49TH AVE N & CP RAIL-PAINT BRS 9834, 27972, 27905, 27973, 27974, 27975 & 27976	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-694	6285-125	RC	7,500,000	0	0	1,000,000		AT TH 49(RICE ST) IN VADNAIS HEIGHTS/SHOREVIEW-REPLACE BR 6580, APPROACHES, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	A10
2004		1-694	628 <del>6-4</del> 6	SC	200,000	0	0	200,000	Ō	EB I-694 OFF RAMP TO TH 61 IN MAPLEWOOD- WIDEN RAMP FOR DUAL RIGHT TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 999	8809-75	TM	3,200,000	0	Ō	3,200,000	0	ON 1-494 FROM PILOT KNOB TO CONCORD, AND ON TH 52 FROM 1-494 TO 1-94-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	880M-AM-04	АМ	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT -	Other	NC
2004		TH 999	880M-BI-04	ВІ	4,370,000	0	0	4,370,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 999	880M-CD-04	PL	11,500,000	Ō	0	11,500,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN- 2004	MNDOT	Other	01
2004		TH 999	880M-PF-04	RB	40,000	0	0	40,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Other	06
2004		TH 999	880M-RB-04	RB	100,000	Ö	0	100,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Other	06
2004		TH 999	880M-RS-04	RS	1,800,000	0	0	1,800,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING & RECONDITIONING PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 999	880M-RW-04	RW	21,650,000	0	0	21,650,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2004(\$20.0M-RW;\$1.65M- ACCESS MGMT)	MNDOT.	Other	NC
2004		TH 999	880M-RX-04	RX	1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 999	880M-SA-04	SA	10,000,000	0	0	10,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		TH 999	880M-TE-04	SC	3,000,000	0	0	0,000,000	Ó	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & HYDRAULICS PRESERVATION(LIGHTING, SIGNING, SIGNALS, CULVERTS, ETC.) PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Manage	NC
2004		TH 999	880M-TE-04A	sc	400,000	0	0	400,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CORRIDOR GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR 2004	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 9
2004		TH 999	880M-TR-04	TM	1,600,000	0	0	1,600,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-113	SC	1,060,000	0	0	1,060,000	0	AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS ON THE I-94/I-694/I-494 RING-REPLACE CROSS-STREET AND RAMP SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2004		TH 999	8825-114	sc	572,400	0	0	572,400	0	METROWIDE-RELAMP LIGHTING FIXTURES IN ONE QUADRANT	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2004		TH 999	8825-115	SC	53,000	0	0	53,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE SIGNAL LOOP DETECTORS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-117	sc	106,000	0	0	106,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE 4 INTERCONNECT SYSTEMS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7

A-32

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total\$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 999	8825-118	sc	85,000	0	0	85,000		METROWIDE-REPLACE LIGHTING CABINETS(APPROX 10)	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2004		TH 999	8825-119	SC	212,000	0	O	212,000		METROWIDE AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS-UPGRADE ACCESS & INTERSECTION STANDARDS	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 999	8825-120	sc	318,000	0	O	318,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE CONTROLLERS AND/OR CABINETS IN SELECTED CORRIDORS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-121	SC	318,000	0	0	318,000		METROWIDE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL STANDARDS UPGRADE	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-122	SC	85,000	0	0	85,000	{	METROWIDE-REPLACE REOCCURRING LIGHTING SYSTEM KNOCKDOWNS	1	Manage	\$18
2004		TH 999	8825-73	TM	200,000	Ó	0	200,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE DETECTOR CARDS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	8825-90	TM	1,000,000	0	Ō	1,000,000	0	METROWIDE-FURNISH & INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
2004		TH 999	8825-93	TM	200,000	0	0	200,000	1	DIVISIONWIDE-UPGRADE/ADDITIONAL VIDEO EQUIPMENT FOR INCIDENT MANAGEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-94	TM	800,000	0	0	800,000		METROWIDE-FURNISH & INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-96	ТМ	400,000	0	0	400,000	0	METROWIDE-PURCHASE TMS CABINETS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	8825-97	TM	120,000	0	0	120,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE RAMP CONTROL SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	8825-98	TM	500,000	0	0	500,000	0	METROWIDE-CABINET UPGRADES FOR ITS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2005		TH 10	0202-80	RS	1,625,000	0	0	1,625,000	O	ANOKA-SHERBURNE CO LINE TO FAIROAK AVE- MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 12	2713-4859	ВІ	2,000,000	0	0	2,000,000	C	UNDER BNSF RR WEST OF MAPLE PLAIN- REPLACE BR 4859	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19
2005		TH 13	7001-91	sc	545,000	0	0	*		2.0 MI N OF TH 19 AT CSAH 2-CHANNELIZATION & TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2005		TH 19	4003-18	SC	545,000	0	0		1	AT CSAH 37 IN NEW PRAGUE-CHANNELIZATION & TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION		Manage	E1
2005		TH 20	1903-06	RS	685,000	0	0		i	N OF TH 19 IN CANNON FALLS TO TH 50-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		1-35	1980-67	RC	2,500,000	0	0	2,500,000		AT CSAH 60 IN LAKEVILLE-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	<b>E</b> 3
2005		1-35E	6280-320	RS	1,475,000	0	0.	.,,	1	TH 5 TO KELLOGG BLVD-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	<b>\$</b> 10
2005		1-35W	1981-99	RS	1,350,000	0.	0	1,350,000		S JCT I-35E TO TH 13-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		TH 41	1008-9010	BR	4,000,000	0	0	4,000,000		OVER MINNESOTA RIVER AT SCOTT/CARVER CO LINE IN CHASKA-REPLACE BR 9010	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2005		TH 47	0205-82	sc	245,000	0	Ō	245,000		AT 85TH AVE IN BLAINE-CONSTRUCT DUAL LEFT TURN & SB ACCELERATION LANE	MNDOT	Manage	S19
2005		TH 52	1907-64	МС	75,000	0	Ö			AT 117TH ST INTERCHANGE IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	<b>O</b> 6
2005		TH 52	1928-48	RS	1,770,000	G	0	11	B	1-494 TO TH 56-CONCRETE REHABILITATION	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		TH 55	2724-115	RS	535,000	0	0	<u> </u>	ł	32ND ST TO 46TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005	6	TH 55	2725-58	MC	337,080	O	0	337,080		FROM 54TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS TO TH 62- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	08

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005		TH 55	2751-49	RS	675,000	0	Ō	675,000	0	1.4 MI W OF I-94 TO I-94-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 56	1911-19	RS	1,385,000	0	0	1,385,000		CSAH 88 IN RANDOLPH TO TH 50 IN HAMPTON- BITUMINOUS SEAL COAT	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 62	2775-11	SC	380,000	0	O	380,000		AT PORTLAND AVE RAMP TERMINII IN RICHFIELD & MINNEAPOLIS-REBUILD SIGNAL SYSTEM & INTERCONNECTION		Manage	S10
2005		TH 62	2775-12	RS	1,045,000	0	0	1,045,000	O	PORTLAND AVE TO TH 77-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		1-94	2781-27851	ВІ	200,000	0	0	200,000	0	I-94 UNDER PORTLAND AVE, PARK AVE, CHICAGO AVE & I-35W UNDER E FRANKLIN AVE IN MPLS- MILL & PATCH BRS 27851, 27852, 27853, & 27872	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		J-94	2781-9421	Ві	1,800,000	ō	0	1,800,000	0	UNDER RIVERSIDE AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS-REDECK BR 9421	МИДОТ	Preserve	S19
2005		I-94	8281-9400A	B!	8,056,000	o	Ö	4,028,000	4,028,000	WB OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT HUDSON-REDECK BR 9400(WISCONSIN LET)	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005		TH 100	2733-9895	Ві	1,140,000	0	0	1,140,000		UNDER PED BRS, EDEN AVE, 50TH ST, MINNEHAHA CR, & EXCELSIOR BLVD; OVER 44TH ST IN EDINA & ST LOUIS PARK-PAINT BRS 9895, 9896,27029,27102,27103,27104,27105,27106	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 101	1009-14	SC	545,000	0	0	545,000	0	AT PIONEER TRAIL IN CHANHASSEN- CHANNELIZATION & TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2005		TH 120	6227-57	SC	1,460,680	0	0	1,460,680	0	I-94 TO CONWAY AVE IN MAPLEWOOD-FRONTAGE RD EXTENSION, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2005		TH 120	8220-9883A	Bi	2,000,000	Ö	O	2,000,000	0	OVER I-494 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BRS 9883 & 82017	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005		TH 149	1916-24	RS	680,000	0	0	680,000	0	TH 3 TO S JCT TH 55-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 212	1013-73	RS	785,000	0	Ō	785,000	0	NORWOOD-YOUNG AMERICA TO COLOGNE-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005	9	TH 212	2762-16	MC	325,000	0.	O	325,000	0	CSAH 4 TO 0.5 MI E OF MITCHELL RD- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	O6
2005		I-694	8286-56	MC	870,000	0	0	870,000	0	AT 10TH ST IN OAKDALE-CONSTRUCT NB ON RAMP	MNDOT	Expand	E3
2005		TH 999	880M-AM-05	АМ	5,000,000	0	0	5,000,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR 2005	MNDOT	Other	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-BI-05	Ві	1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005	1	TH 999	880M-CD-05	PL	11,500,000	0	0	11,500,000	Ó	METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN- 2005	MNDOT	Other	01
2005		TH 999	880M-NO-05	NO	1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE ABATEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT		03
2005		TH 999	880M-PF-05	RB	40,000	0	0	40,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Other	06
2005		TH 999	880M-RB-05	RB	100,000	0	0	100,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Other	06
2005		TH 999	880M-RW-05	RW	21,900,000	0	0	21,900,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MGMT FOR FY 2005(INCLUDES \$5.0M FOR I-35W/TH 62)	MNDOT	Other	NC

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005		TH 999	880M-RX-05	RX	1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		TH 999	880M-SA-05	SA	12,400,000	0	0	12,400,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Other	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-TE-05	SC	10,200,000	0	0		Ö	METRO SETASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & HYDRAULICS PRESERVATION(LIGHTING, SIGNING, SIGNALS, CULVERTS, ETC) PROJECTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Manage	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-TE-05A	\$C	600,000	0	0	600,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CORRIDOR GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR 2005	MNDOT	Manage	S9
2005		TH 999	880M-TR-05	TR	2,000,000	0	0	2,000,000	Ö	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Transit	S7
2005		TH 999	8825-112	sc	300,000	0	0	300,000	0	AT TH 52/TH 50, TH 10/HANSON BLVD, TH8/1-35, & TH 8/TH 61-INTERCHANGE LIGHTING	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2008		1-35E	6280-319	MC	300,000	0	0	300,000	0	TH 13 IN LILYDALE TO SHEPARD RD IN ST PAUL- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	<u>06</u>
2006		1-35W	2782-277	MC	4,000,000	0.	Ö	4,000,000	0	79TH/80TH ST OVER I-35W-CONSTRUCT BRIDGE 27R05(DEBT MGMT PAYBACK FOR 2002-2003 CONSTRUCTION)	MNDOT	Expand	S19
2006		TH 61	8207-54	SC	382,024	0	0	382,024		IN FOREST LAKE-ADD 12 TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	Ē1
2006		TH 61	8207-55	sc	1,250,000	0	0	1,250,000	0	AT S JCT TH 97 IN FOREST LAKE TOWNSHIP- REALIGNMENT, TURN LANES, SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	Ē1
2006		TH 280	6241-48	SC	580,000	0	0	580,000		AT BROADWAY ST IN LAUDERDALE & AT CORD B IN ROSEVILLE-REBUILD SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2006		1-494	2785-328	MC	4,700,000	0	0	4,700,000	Ö	AT PENN AVE IN RICHFIELD-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC(DEBT MGMT PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2006		TH 999	880M-AM-06	ÀΜ	5,000,000	0	0	5,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	NC
2006		TH 999	880M-CD-06		11,500,000	٥	O	11,500,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN- 2006	MNDOT	Other	01
2006		TH 999	880M-NO-06		1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE ABATEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT		О3
2006		TH 999	880M-PF-06	RB	40,000	0	0	40,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	<b>O</b> 6
2006		TH 999	880M-RB-06	RB	100,000	0	0	100,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	06
2006		TH 999	880M-RW-06		21,900,000	0	0	21,900,000	O		MNDOT	Other	NC
2006		TH 999	880M-RX-06	RX	1,500,000	0	O O	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006		TH 999	880M-SA-06	SA	12,400,000	0	0.	12,400,000	C	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	NC
2006		TH 999	880M-SC-06	sc	2,500,000	0	0	-,555,555	O	METRO SETASIDE FOR SAFETY CAPACITY PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	\$5
2006		TH 999	880M-TE-06	SC	10,200,000	0	0	10,200,000	C	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & HYDRAULICS PRESERVATION(LIGHTING, SIGNING, SIGNALS, CULVERTS, ETC) FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	NC

TABLE A-10 100% State Funded Projects

1	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	
2006		H 999	880M-TE-06A	SC	600,000	0	0	600,000		METRO SETASIDE FOR CORRIDOR GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR 2006	MNDOT	Manage	S9
2006		TH 999	880M-TR-06	TR	2,000,000	0	0	2,000,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Transit	<b>\$</b> 7

465,501,221

Λ

0 388,443,621 77,057,600

Tuesday, July 30, 2002

## Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002			02-635-09	SH	500,000	450,000	0	0	0		REALIGN CSAH 35 AT TH 10 AND INSTALL SIGNAL AT PLEASANT VIEW DRIVE	ANOKA CO	Manage	S2
2002			02-611-28	Ÿ	435,000	391,500	0	Ö	0	43,500	CSAH 11 AT EGRET BLVD-TRAFFIC SIGNAL & MINOR CAPACITY REVISIONS	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2002			02-617-17AC	MC	1,591,000	1,272,800	0.	0	0	318,200	ON LEXINGTON AVE FROM NORTH ROAD TO LAKE DRIVE-RECONSTRUCT & WIDEN TO 4- LANE ROADWAY(AC PAYBACK)	ANOKA COUNTY	Expand	A05
2002			02-678-13	SH	500,000	450,000	O	0	0	50,000	AT CO RD 18-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2002			002-596-004	SC	1,017,600	1,017,600	O	0	0	0	E OF HANSON BLVD TO W OF TH 65-ACCESS MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENTS AT 4 LOCATIONS IN COON RAPIDS & BLAINE	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	<b>E</b> 2
2002		CITY	107-399-26	RC	6,900,000	5,500,000	0	0	0	1,400,000	79TH/80TH ST OVER I-35W-CONSTRUCT BRIDGE	BLOOMINGT ON	Replace	A05
2002		CSAH 1		SH	199,000	179,100	0	0	0	19,900	AT OLD CEDAR AVENUE-SEPARATE RIGHT TURN LANE IN NE CORNER	BLOOMINGT ON	Manage	\$2
2002		1-35W	TRLF-02-4	MC	4,903,500	0.	0	ō	0	4,903,500	79TH/80TH OVER I-35W-CONSTRUCT BRIDGE	BLOOMINGT ON	Expand	AQ2
2002		I-94	2786-27V46	мС	1,300,000	0	0	0	1,300,000	0	UNDER ZANE AVE IN BROOKLYN PARK- BRIDGE 27V46(REPLACE BR 27921)	BROOKLYN PARK	Expand	S10
2002		CSAH		RC	3,079,000	0	0	0	Ö	3,079,000	TH 610 TO 109TH AVE-RECONSTRUCT & REALIGN TO ACCOMMODATE OUTDOOR AMPHITHEATER	BROOKLYN PARK	Replace	AQ2
2002		TH 100	128-090-003	EN	800.000	640,000	Ó	0	0	160,000	OVER TH 100 AT 29TH AVE IN TRYSTAL & GOLDEN VALLEY-CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN/BIKEWAY BRIDGE	CRYSTAL	Other	O9
2002		EZ		EN	250,500	200,400	0	0	0		ALONG LILYDALE RD FROM TH 13 TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL WITH LILYDALE RD- CONSTRUCT BRRT-135E PROJECT	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	O9
2002		CSAH 31	19-631-29AC	RC	1.958.000	1,958,000	0	Ó	0	0	CR 58 IN LAKEVILLE TO CSAH 46 IN APPLE	DAKOTA COUNTY	Replace	A05
2002		CITY	98-080-02	BR	1,500,000	1,200,000	0.	Ö	0	300,000		DEEPHAVEN	Replace	S19
2002		CSAH 31	195-020-02	SH	500,000	450,000	O	0	0	}	DUCKWOOD DR TO YANKEE DOODLE RD- ADD THRU LANE DUAL LEFT TURN LANE & REVISE SIGNALS	EAGAN	Manage	S2

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002		CSAH 1	27-601-32	SH	415,000	373,500	O	0	0	41,500	CSAH 1 AT CSAH 34-ADD DUAL LEFT TURN LANES & REBUILD SIGNAL	HENNEPIN CO	Manage	S2
2002			027-090-004		1,030,000	0	0	Ō	0		TRANSPORTATION REVOLVING LOAN FUND FOR HENNEPIN COUNTY SKYWAY CONSTRUCTION FROM THE HENNEPIN COUNTY PUBLIC FACILITY TO THE MPLS MUNICIPAL PARKING RAMP	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2002			027-090-005		294,000	0	0	0	O		TRANSPORTATION REVOLVING LOAN FUND FOR HENNEPIN COUNTY SKYWAY CONSTRUCTION FROM THE HENNEPIN COUNTY PUBLIC FACILITY TO THE HAAF PARKING RAMP	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2002			027-090-006	вт	1,071,000	0	0	0	0		TRANSPORTATION REVOLVING LOAN FUND FOR HENNEPIN COUNTY SKYWAY CONSTRUCTION THROUGH THE HENNEPIN COUNTY PUBLIC FACILITY CONNECTING THE N & S SKYWAYS	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2002		PED/BIKE	90-080-12	ВТ	4,924,375	939,500	3,000,000	0	0	984,875	HENNEPIN COUNTY BIKEWAY-HUMBOLDT GREENWAY PED/BIKE IMPROVEMENT(INCLUDES TCSP FUNDING OF \$939,500)	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2002		I-35W	27-603-30A	PL	1,500,000	0	1,200,000	0	100,000	200,000	AT LAKE ST-ACCESS STUDY/DESIGN	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	01
2002		C\$AH 12:	27-722-01	ВІ	5,580,626	4,464,501	0	O	0	1,116,125	WASHINGTON AVE OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-PAINT & REPLACE RAIL ON BRIDGE	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Preserve	S19
2002		CMAQ	CM-12-97A	TM	120,000	96,000	0	C	0	24,000	1-494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	I-494 CORRIDOR COMM	Manage	AQ1
2002		CSAH 13	189-020-06	RC	2,800.000	2,240,000	0	Ć	. 0	560,000	RECONSTRUCT & WIDEN CSAH 130 FROM HEMLOCK LANE TO TH 169	MAPLE GROVE	Replace	A05
2002		CMAQ	90-070-15A	TM	2,093,750	1,675,000	0	Č	0	418,750	TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	MET COUNCIL	Manage	AQ1
2002		CMAQ	090-080-010	TM	3,500,000	2,800,000	0	C	0	700,000	CONSTRUCT WOODBURY PARK AND RIDE LOT	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	E6
2002		CMAQ	090-080-011	ТМ	3,300,000	2,640,000	Ó		0	660,000	CONSTRUCT PARK AND RIDE LOT AT 1-35W AND 95TH AVE IN BLAINE	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	E6
2002		CMAQ	CM-15-99A	TM	377,344	301,875	0	(	Ö	75,469	WOODBURY PARK & RIDE SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2002		CMAQ	CM-16-99A	ТМ	3,375,000	2,700,000	0		0	675,000	SECTOR 1 AND 2 - TRANSIT SERVICE RESTRUCTURING PLAN	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2002		CMAQ	CM-52-99A	TM	625,000	500,000	0.		0	125,000	SECTOR 7 - WEST METRO SUBURBAN SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Pri Number	Prg	Total\$	Fed \$	Demo\$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002		MUN	88-030-13	ВІ	37,500	30,000	0	O	0	7,500	METROWIDE-UNDERWATER BRIDGE INSPECTION ON LOCAL BRIDGES	METRO REGION	Preserve	01
2002		CMAQ	090-595-004	TM	5.480,000	4,384,000	0	Ó	0	1,096,000	MVTA EAGAN MIXED-USE TRANSIT STATION	MINN VALLEY TRANSIT AUTHORITY	Manage	E6
2002		CMAQ	141-070-10	TM	1,072,000	680,600	Ô	0	0	391,400	PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM ON CHICAGO AVE & CENTRAL AVE	MINNEAPOLI S	Manage	S7
2002		CITY	141-080-25	ВR	2,464,000	1,339,000	0	ō	0	1,125,000	CEDAR LAKE PARKWAY OVER BN RR & CANAL-REPLACE BR 90470	MINNEAPOLI S	Replace	\$19
2002		CITY	141-291-001	BR	2,035,000	0	0	``5, <b>000</b>	Ö	1,260,000	ROYALSTON AVE OVER THE BNSF RR-BR 27699(REPLACE BR 92339)(AC PROJECT)	MINNEAPOLI S	Replace	S19
2002		EN	091-090-028	EΝ	1,350,000	1,080,000	0	0	0	270,000	MILL RUINS PARK PLANK ROADWAY, TUNNEL, LANDSCAPING, LIGHTING, ETC(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	MINNEAPOLI S PARK/REC BOARD	Other	O9
2002		CITY	91-060-17	PL	31,200	24,960	0	0	Ó	6,240	GRAND ROUNDS CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT PLAN ADMINISTRATION-VOLUNTEER MGMT(SEED FUNDS)	MINNEAPOLI S PARK/REC BOARD	Other	NC
2002		CITY	91-060-19	PL	30,000	24,000	0	0	C	6,000	GRAND ROUNDS SCENIC BYWAY-A GRAND JOURNEY OF LEARNING HISTORIC FIGURES AND STORIES OF THE GRAND ROUNDS	MINNEAPOLI S PARK/REC BOARD	Other	NC
2002		RR	02-00131	SR	175,000	157,500	0		0		WARD LAKE DR AT BNSF RR IN ANDOVER- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	19-00123	SR	175,000	157,500	0	O.	0	17,500	WESCOTT RD AT CP RR IN EAGAN-INSTALL SIGNALS & SURFACE	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	19-00129	SR	200,000	180,000	0	Ö	0	20,000	E 117TH ST AT UP RR IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-INSTALL CANTILEVERS & RUBBER SURFACE	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RŘ	19-00130	SR	50,000	45,000	0	O	a	5,000	E 66TH ST AT UP RR IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	19-00133	SR	100,000	90,000	0	0	0	10,000	NICOLS ROAD AT UP RR IN EAGAN-ADD GATES TO EXISTING SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	27-00232	SR	80,000	72,000	0	ol	0	8,000	PENN AVE AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGTON- INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	27-00244	SR	75,000	67,500	0	0	Ó	7,500	W 98TH ST AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGTON- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	27-00246	SR	200,000	180,000	Ó	0	C	20,000	GREENHAVEN DRIVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARK-NEW SIGNALS & INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	27-00247	SR	150,000	135,000	0	0	O	15,000	TAMARACK RD AT CP RR IN MEDINA-INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	27-00248	SR	150,000	135,000	0	o	0	15,000	PIONEER TRAIL AT CP RR IN MEDINA- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2002		RR	27-00253	SR	175,000	157,500	0	0	O	17,500	E BUSH LAKE RD AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGTON-INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002		RR	27-00254	SR	175,000	157,500	0	0	0	17,500	WINNETKA AVE AT UP RR IN GOLDEN VALLEY-SIGNAL MODERNIZATION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	62-00174	ŚR	80,000	72,000	0	0	0		TRANSFER RD AT MC RR IN ST PAUL- INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE	MNDOT	Manage	Si
2002		RR	62-00181	\$R	150, <b>00</b> 0	135,000	0	0	l		BIRCH LAKE BLVD AT CP RR IN NORTH OAKS-INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		RR	82-00127	SR	318,000	286,200	0	0	Ò	31,800	MUN 34, LACOSTA DRIVE & MUN 1, APPLE ORCHARD DRIVE IN DELLWOOD-INSTALL SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2002		EN	8205-99(EN)		895,700	716,560	0	0			IN NEWPORT AS PART OF THE WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT-CONSTRUCT PEDETRIAN/BIKE TRAIL SYSTEM & AMENITIES	MNDOT	Other	O9
2002			2771-TCSP		1,937,719		0	0			TH 169 TO I-94-TH 610 ENGINEERING(TCSP FUNDING)	MNDOT	Expand	A00
2002		CITY		PL.	155,000	0	124,000	0	0	31,000	ON GLEN RD FROM 10TH AVE TO CENTURY AVE-PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Other	01
2002		CITY	98-080-16	RW	220,000	Ö	176,000	Ô	0	44,000	ON GLEN RD FROM 10TH AVE TO CENTURY AVE-RIGHT OF WAY FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Other	NC
2002		CITY	98-080-17	PL.	26,000	0	20,800	0	0	5,200	ON 2ND ST FROM 4TH AVE TO 7TH AVE- PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Other	O1
2002		CITY	98-0 <b>80-</b> 18	R₩	33,000	0	26,400	Ö	0	6,600	ON 2ND ST FROM 4TH AVE TO 7TH AVE- RIGHT OF WAY FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Other	NC
2002		CITY		PL	97,200	Ó	77,760	0	0	19,440	ON 7TH AVE IN NEWPORT FROM 12TH ST TO 1ST ST-PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Other:	01
2002		CITY		RW	330,000	0	264,000	0	0	66,000	ON 7TH AVE IN NEWPORT FROM 12TH ST TO 1ST ST-RIGHT OF WAY FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Other	NC
2002		TH 61	TRLF-02-13		2,517,900	0	0	0	0	2,517,900	AT TH 61 & WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT- DESIGN, RW, AGREEMENTS, ETC	NEWPORT	Expand	AQ2
2002		CSAH 37	164-060-01	EN	195,321	156,257	Ö	0	0	39,064	RENOVATION OF SHEPARD ROAD/MISSISSIPPI RIVER OVERLOOK	RAMSEY COUNTY	Other	S15
2002		CSAH 44	62-644-21	SH	445,440	400,896	0	0	0	44,544	AT 14TH ST IN NEW BRIGHTON-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION & CHANNELIZATION	RAMSEY COUNTY	Manage	S2
2002			62-030-09(A)	TR	7,125,000	4,500,000	0	0	ō	1,125,000	RIVERVIEW/CENTRAL CORRIDOR TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS & STUDY	RAMSEY COUNTY	Transit	01
2002			62-642-03	BR	10,000,000	8,000,000	0	0	ō	2,000,000	FORD PKWY OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REP BR 3575	RAMSEY/HEN NEPIN CO	Replace	S19
2002		CITY		MC	27,000,000	0	7,400,000	0	0	1	PENN AVE OVER I-494-REPLACE BRIDGE & APPROACHES-RECONSTRUCT RAMPS	RICHFIELD	Expand	S19
2002		1-494	TRLF-02-11	MC	6,700,000	0	0	0	0	6,700,000	AT PENN AVE IN RICHFIELD-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE	RICHFIELD	Expand	AQ2

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	ΑQ
2002		TH 100	TRLF-02-1	RC	1,400,000	O	0	0	0		39TH AVE TO INDIANA AVE- RECONSTRUCTION OF TH 100(LOCAL SHARE-STORM SEWER, SANITARY, WATER, ETC)	ROBBINSDAL E	Replace	AQ2
2002		TH 169	166-090-001	EN	481,876	O	O	385,501	0		OVER TH 169 ON CR 79 FROM 10TH AVE TO S OF TH 169 IN SHAKOPEE-CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE BRIDGE & TRAIL(AC PROJECT- PAYBACK IN 2003)	SHAKOPEE	Other	O9 
2002		TH 169	166-090-002	EN	<b>4</b> 60, <b>6</b> 76	0	0	୍ୟ∂8,541	C		OVER TH 169 ON CSAH 17 FROM ST FRANCIS AVE TO VIERLING DR IN SHAKOPEE- CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE BRIDGE & TRAIL(AC PROJECT-PAYBACK IN 2003)	_	Other	O9
2002		EN	167-090-05	EN	332,900	266,320	0	0	0	66,580	TH 49 TRAIL-CO RD I TO CSAH 96	SHOREVIEW	Other	O9
2002		CMAQ	TRS-3115-0;	TM.	976,536	781,229	O.	0	0	195,307	PURCHASE 2 ADDITIONAL LARGE VEHICLES	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT AUTH	Manage	T10
2002		PED/BIKE		ВТ	2,650,000	2,120,000	0	0	ō	530,000	FROM SIBLEY TO RANDOLPH-EAST BANK MISSISSIPPI RIVER REGIONAL TRAIL	ST PAUL	Trails	AQ2
2002		CITY	184-080-02	PL	233,500	0	186,800	0	46,700		ON 7TH AVE IN ST PAUL PARK-PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR RECONSTRUCTION	ST PAUL PARK	Other	01
2002		CITY	184-080-03	RW	110,000	0	88,000	0	Ō,	22,000	ON 7TH AVE IN ST PAUL PARK-RIGHT OF WAY FOR RECONSTRUCTION	ST PAUL PARK	Other	NC
2002		EN	91-090-02	EN	575,000	460,000	0	0	0	115,000	TH 7 OVERPASS ON THE SOUTHWEST LRT REGIONAL TRAIL	SUB HENN REG PARK DIST	Other	O9
2002		CSAH 38	82-638-10	ŔW	1,756,000	0	1,405,000	0	39,000	312,000	ON CSAH 38-RIGHT OF WAY FOR RECONSTRUCTION	WASHINGTO N COUNTY	Other	NC
2002		CITY	192-102-06A	МС	3,520,000	3,520,000	Ō	O	0	0	TAMARACK RD INTERCHANGE WITH I-494 IN WOODBURY(AC PAYBACK)	WOODBURY	Expand	A05
2002		1-494	192-102-06A		18,250,000	0			0		AT TAMARACK RD IN WOODBURY- CONSTRUCT NEW INTERCHANGE, ETC	WOODBURY	Expand	A05
2002		I-35W	0280-50	AM	2,400,000	Ö	0	0	1,400,000	1,000,000	AT 95TH AVE IN BLAINE-INTERCHANGE CONSTRUCTION, PARK/RIDE, HOV RAMP METER BYPASS, ETC(MNDOT PORTION)	ANOKA COUNTY	Other	E6
2002		TH 242	0212-42	AM	503,280	0	0	0	503,280	0	AT JEFFERSON ST IN BLAINE-ACCESS CLOSURES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	ANOKA COUNTY	Other	E2
2002		TH 252	2748-48	AM	86,400	0	0	0	86,400	0	AT 85TH AVE IN BROOKLYN PARK- ADDITIONAL THRU LANE, SIDEWALK REMOVAL	BROOKLYN PARK	Other	S19
2002		TH 610	2771-30	АМ	300,000	0	0	0	300,000	C	UNDER W RIVER RD-PAINT BR 27244, FENCING, ETC	BROOKLYN PARK	Other	S19
2002		TH 5	1002-76	АМ	365,040	O	0	0	365,040	C	AT CSAH 32 IN WACONIA-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION & TURN LANE CONSTRUCTION	CARVER COUNTY	Other	E2

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002		TH 65	0207-73	AM	540,000	0	0	0	540,000	0	37TH AVE TO 43RD AVE IN COLUMBIA HEIGHTS-RAISED MEDIAN & ACCESS MGMT	COLUMBIA HEIGHTS	Other	E1
2002		1-35	1980-65	AM	288,360	0	0	0	288,360	0	AT CSAH 60 IN LAKEVILLE-FRONTAGE ROAD RELOCATION	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	A05
2002		TH 55	1909-82	AM	410,400	0	0	0	410,400	0	CSAH 43 TO TH 149 IN EAGAN-ACCESS MGMT, MEDIAN CLOSURES, & SIGNAL SYSTEM	EAGAN	Other	E1
2002		TH 3	1921-70	AM	168,000	0	Ö	0	168,000	0	AT WILLOW ST IN FARMINGTON-FRONTAGE ROAD OFFSET, ACCESS CLOSURE	FARMINGTON	Other	E1
2002		TH 55	2722-57	AM	216,000	0	0	0	216,000	0	NEAR CSAH 92 IN GREENFIELD-NEW FRONTAGE ROAD	GREENFIELD	Other	E1
2002		TH 55	2722-60	AM	378,000	0	O	0	378,000	0	IN GREENFIELD-CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE RD IN COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL AREA	GREENFIELD	Other	E1
2002		TH 61	1913-57	АМ	72,550	0	0	0	72,550	0	AT 10TH ST IN HASTINGS-RIGHT TURN LANE	HASTINGS	Other	E1
2002		TH 61	1913-58	AM	54,000	0	0	0	54,000	0	AT CANNON ST IN HASTINGS-ACCESS RELOCATION	HASTINGS	Other	NC
2002		TH 61	8206-32	AM	218,700	0	0	Ó	218,700		AT 140TH ST IN HUGO-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, EVP, ETC	HUGO	Other	E1
2002		TH 282	7011-21	АМ	270,000	0	0	0	270,000	0	FROM SAND CREEK TO MILL ST IN JORDAN- RECONSTRUCTION INCLUDING TURN LANES & TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS	JORDAN	Other	<b>E</b> 2
2002	7	1-94	2786-114	MC	11,500,000	0	0	0	Ō	11,500,000	AT CR 61 IN MAPLE GROVE-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE	MAPLE GROVE	Expand	A05
2002		TH 55	2722-61	AM	540,000	0	0	0	540,000	0	AT WILLOW DRIVE IN MEDINA-FRONTAGE ROAD, SIGNAL, ETC	MEDINA	Other	E2
2002		TH 13	1902-49	AM	97,200	0	Ō	0	97,200	O	IN THE CITY OF MENDOTA-REPLACE DOWNTOWN SIDEWALK	MENDOTA	Other	AQ2
2002		TH 7	2706-205	AΜ	265,000	0	0	0	265,000	0	AT CSAH 73 & AT MINNETONKA MILLS IN MINNETONKA-REVISE SIGNAL, WIDEN TURN LANES, ETC	MINNETONKA	Other	E2
2002		TH 7	2706-207	AM	324,000	0	0	0	324,000	0	AT WILLISTON ROAD IN MINNETONKA- FRONTAGE ROAD RECONSTRUCTION & ACCESS RELOCATION	MINNETONKA	Other	NC
1	12	TH 610		MC	2,500,000	0	2,000,000	0	500,000	0	TH 169 TO CSAH 81-UTILITY RELOCATION	MNCOT	Expand	NC
2002		TH 999	8825-74	sc	200,000	0	O	0	200,000	0	METROWIDE-PURCHASE TMS CABINETS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2002		TH 3	882 <del>5</del> -61	SC	150,000	0	0	0	150,000	ō	RICE/DAKOTA COUNTY LINE TO 1-494- REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2002		TH 5	1002-61AC	MC	4,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	0	0	TH 41 TO CSAH 17-GRADING, SURFACING, BRIDGES, ETC TO A 4-LANE ROADWAY(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		TH 5	1002-74	SC	500,000	0	0	0	500,000		AT ARBORETUM DRIVE IN CHANHASSEN- INTERSECTION REVISIONS	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2002		TH 7	1004-24	RS	6,029,939	0	0	0	6,029,939	·)	CO RD 92 TO TH 41-SHOULDER IMPROVEMENTS, TURN LANES, ETC	MNDOT	Preserve	Ē1

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Рп	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002		TH 12	2713-75A	MC	12,000,000	O	0	0			WAYZATA BLVD TO CO RD 6-RR REALIGNMENT, RECONSTRUCTION OF TH 12-UTILITY & RR AGREEMENTS	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		TH 12	2714-138	SC	504,517	0	0	0	504,517		AT CSAH 101 IN WAYZATA-REBUILD SIGNAL & INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2002		TH 21	7002-36	RD	299,091	0	Ö	0	299,091	0	FROM MEADOWWOOD COURT TO MILL ST IN JORDAN-CULVERT REPLACEMENT & MILL/OVERLAY 1.0 MILE	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2002		1-35	0283-21	SH	550,000	405,000	0	0	145,000		AT RAMP TERMINII WITH TH 97-TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION; REPAIR BR 02806	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2002		1-35	1980-19848	ВІ	300,000	270,000	0	0	30,000	0	NORTHBOUND OVER LAKE MARION-REDECK BR 19848	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2002		1-35E	1982-129AC		7,500,000	7,500,000	0	0	0	0	TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE & APPROACHES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Replace	A05
2002		1-35E	1982-133	sc	10,000	0	0	0		0	AT DIFFLEY RD TO BLACKHAWK RD- INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	E3
2002		1-35E	1982-19859	Ві	1,081,200	0	0	0	1,081,200	0	UNDER TH 77-OVERLAY BRS 19859 & 19860	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2002		1-35W	2782-6652	Ві	720,000	648,000	0	0	72,000	0	UNDER CPRR, I-494,82ND,86TH,90TH,98TH- PAINT 7 BRIDGES	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2002		1-35W	6284-130	NO	510,657	0	0	0	510,657	0	CSAH 96 TO MC RY(EAST SIDE) IN ARDEN HILLS-NOISE WALL	MNDOT		03
2002		TH 36	6211-80	sc	100,000	0	0	0	100,000	0	I-35E TO WHITE BEAR AVE-REPLACE "A" & "OH" S!GNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2002		TH 36	8214-9116	BI	100,000	O	0	0	100,000	0	UNDER CSAH 23 IN OAK PARK HEIGHTS- REPAIR BR 9116	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2002		TH 36	8217-4654B	ВІ	500,000	0	0	0	500,000	O	OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT STILLWATER- PAINT BR 4654	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2002		TH 41	1008-59	AM	108,000	0	0	0	108,000	0	OVER MINNESOTA RIVER AT SCOTT/CARVER CO LINE-REPAIR BR 9010	MNDOT	Other	S19
2002		TH 41	1008-9010	ВІ	159,000	0	0	Ó	159,000	0	OVER MINNESOTA RIVER AT CHASKA- OVERLAY BR 9010	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2002		TH 47	0205-78	SC	35,000	0	0	0	35,000	0	MISSISSIPPI ST TO 85TH AVE IN FRIDLEY- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	TS
2002		TH 47	0205-79	SC	50,000	0	0	Ó	50,000	0	AT JCT OLD TH 10 IN COON RAPIDS- REPLACE LIGHTING	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2002		TH 50	1923-08	RS	1,700,000	0.	0	Ō	1,700,000	0	TH 52 TO TH 61-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY, ETC	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2002		TH 52	1928-47	RS	1,100,000	0	0	0	1,100,000	0	N JCT TH 55 TO I-494-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2002		TH 55	2725-57AC	MC	6,000,000		0	0	O	Ö	AT TH 62 FROM 45TH TO TH 5-GRAD, SURF, BR,ETC-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC(AC CONVERSION)	MNDOT	Expand	S19
2002		TH 55	CM-21-99	TM	6,875,000	5,500,000	O	0	175,000	1,200,000	FORT SNELLING MULTI-MODAL TRANSIT STATION	MNDOT	Manage	E6

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002		TH 61	1913-56	RS	1,510,500	0	0	0	1,510,500	0	S JCT TH 316 TO N JCT TH 316-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2002		TH 61	6221-40	RS	2,226.000	0	0	0	2,226,000	l I	W JCT I-94 TO ROSELAWN AVE-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY		Preserve	S10
2002		TH 61		BR	4,000,000		0	0	800,000	٥	ARCADE ST OVER C&NW RY-RECONSTRUCT BR 5514	MNDOT	Replace	S19
		TH 61	8205-99	MC	43,980,171	21,920,171	0	0	0	22,060,000	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON 1-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC-WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT	MNDOT	Expand	A10
	10	TH 61	8205-99(UG)		6,875,000	5,500,000	0	0		1,375,000	AT GLEN RD IN NEWPORT-GRADING, SURFACING, BRIDGE, ETC AS PART OF NEW INTERCHANGE	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		TH 61	8206-31	RS	88,254	0	0	0	88,254		NEAR 152ND ST N IN HUGO-REPLACE CULVERT	MNDOT	Preserve	A05
2002		TH 62	2775-09	sc	180,000			0	180,000	0	I-35W IN RICHFIELD/MPLS TO TH 55 IN MPLS- REPLACE "A" & "OH" SIGNS	MNDOT	Manage	07
2002		TH 65	0207-67	SH	355,000		0	0			AT 81ST AVENUE-SIGNAL REBUILD & GRADE CORRECTION		Manage	S2
2002		TH 65	0207-71	SH	50,000	45,000	0	0	5,000	0	AT 51ST STREET IN FRIDLEY-CLOSE MEDIAN	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2002		TH 65	0208-102	SH	2,000,000		0	0	200,000	0	89TH AVE TO 93RD AVE IN BLAINE-AUXILIARY LANE;SIGNAL REBUILD W/CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION AT 89TH	MNDOT	Manage	\$2
2002		TH 65	2710-31A	RC	1,750,000		0	0	0	1,750,000	TRANSPORTATION REVOLVING LOAN FUND FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF TH 65 FROM 27TH AVE TO 37TH AVE NE IN MINNEAPOLIS	MNDOT	Replace	S10
2002		TH 77	2758-60	R\$	2,150,000		0	0	2,150,000	li '	MINNESOTA RIVER IN BLOOMINGTON TO TH 62-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY		Preserve	S10
2002		TH 77	2758-9600	ВІ	400,000	0	0	0.	400,000	l	OVER MINNESOTA RIVER-PARTIAL PAINT BR	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2002		TH 77	2758-9600A	ВІ	156,000		0	0	156,000	0	OVER MINNESOTA RIVER-REHABILITATE MODULAR JOINTS ON BR 9600	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2002		TH 77	8825-51	SC	250,000		0	0	250,000	0	FROM CSAH 38 IN APPLE VALLEY TO OLD SHAKOPEE RD IN BLOOMING ON-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	O8
2002		1-94	2780-27967/	ВІ	2,350,000	2,115,000	0	0	235,000	0	OVER ELM CREEK & RICE LAKE-WIDEN & REDECK BRS 27967, 27968, 27969 & 27970	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2002		1-94	2780-53	RS	1,200,000	1	0	0	120,000	0	CROW RIVER TO W JCT 1-494-SHOULDER REPLACEMENT	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2002		1-94	2781-337	RD	1,800,000		0	0	180,000	1	LOWRY HILL TUNNEL-REPLACE LIGHTING, ETC	MNDOT	Preserve	O6
2002		1-94	2781-400	SC	80,000		0	0	80,000	0	IN PORTLAND TUNNEL IN MINNEAPOLIS- REPLACE LIGHTING	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2002		1-94	2781-401	RD	50,000	O	0	0	50,000	0	AT BROADWAY IN MINNEAPOLIS-DRAINAGE AND SLOPE CORRECTIONS	MNDOT	Preserve	E4

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002	7	I-94	2786-115	мС	23,000,000	O.	0	O	O		TH 169 TO ZANE AVE N-TEMP WIDEN OUTSIDE, REPLACE PAVEMENT AND ADD 3RD LANE	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		1-94	6282-181	ΝО	2,000,000	۵	0.	0	2,000,000		VICTORIA TO ST ALBANS(NORTH SIDE) IN ST PAUL-NOISE WALL			O3
2002		TH 100	2735-134AC	МĊ	8,000,000	8,000,000	0	0	Ó		GLENWOOD AVE TO GOLDEN VALLEY RD- GRADING, SURFACING, BRIDGES, ETC(AC CONVERSION)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		TH 100	2735-159AC	MC	10,000,000	10,000,000	0	0			39TH AVE N TO INDIANA AVE- RECONSTRUCT EXPRESSWAY, NEW INTERCHANGE AT CSAH 81, ETD(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	E3
2002	8	TH 100	2735-172	MC	15,000,000	0)	0	4.000,000	0		GOLDEN VALLEY-GRADING, SURFACING, BRIDGE, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		TH 100	2755-76	мС	4,770,000	_	0	0	0	1	CP RR OVER TH 100 NEAR FRANCE AVE-BR 27281(REPLACE BR 6446) & TRACK APPROACH	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		TH 100	2755-77	MC	1,060,000	0	0	0	0	1,060,000	FRANCE AVENUE FRONTAGE RD CONNECTION-GRADE & SURFACE	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2002		TH 169	2772-36	TM	1,897,190	800,000	0	0	1,097,190	0	1-494 TO 1-94-SHOULDER REHABILITATION FOR BUS USE	MNDOT	Manage	A05
2002		TH 169	2772-37	sc	25,000	0	0	0	25,000		AT BETTY CROCKER DRIVE IN PLYMOUTH & GOLDEN VALLEY-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION & DIAL-UP SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	TS
2002		TH 169	27 <b>72-3</b> 9	NO	900,000	0	0	O	900,000	0	ON EAST SIDE OF TH 169 FROM PLYMOUTH AVE TO MENDELSSOHN LANE IN GOLDEN VALLEY-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		O3
2002		TH 212	2744-54	RS	775,000	0	0	0	775,000	1	S OF CSAH 1(PIONEER TRAIL) TO 1-494 IN EDEN PRAIRIE-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY		Preserve	S10
2002	9	TH 212	2762-22	мС	230,000	184,000	0	0	46,000	0	MITCHELL RD TO 1-494-LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	<u>06</u>
2002		TH 212	TRLF-02-5	RW	15,000,000	0	0	0	0	15,000,000	CSAH 4 IN HENNEPIN COUNTY TO COLOGNE-RW ACQUISITION	MNDOT	Other	AQ2
2002		TH 242	0212-41	RC	6,500,000	0	0	0			FROM COON CREEK BLVD TO THRUSH ST- RECONSTRUCT, LAND BRIDGE, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2002		1-494	1986-31	SC	50,000	0	0	0	50,000	0	AT PILOT KNOB RD RAMP TERMINII IN EAGAN & MENDOTA HEIGHTS-SIGNAL REVISIONS	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2002		1-494	2785-325	RS	978,720	880,848	0	0	97,872	0	TH 55 IN PLYMOUTH TO W JCT 1-94 IN MAPLE GROVE-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2002	10		8285-79	MC	38,765,000		925,000	20,000,000	0	10,240,000	VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-CONSTRUCT NORTH RING ROAD, BAILEY, MAXWELL, TH 61, 11 BRIDGES	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2002		1-494	8285-87	МС		1	0	0	0		ON RED ROCK RD IN NEWPORT-RELOCATE CONNECTION TO MAXWELL AVENUE	MNDOT	Expand	NC
2002	11	TH 610	2771-27	RB	171,570	0	0	0	171,570	0	W OF W BROADWAY TO JEFFERSON IN BROOKLYN PARK-LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Other	<u>06</u>

TABLE A-11
Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	ΑQ
2002		1-694	6286-62825		1,765,579	0	0	O	1,765,579	-    -	AT WHITE BEAR AVE, TH 61, TH 36, TRAIL, 50TH ST, TH 5 & UP RR-OVERLAY REPAIR & RAILING REHAB ON BRS 62825,26,51.52; 82805,06,07,08,09,10,11,12,13,14	MNDOT	Preserve	
2002		1-694	8286-82804/	ВІ	390,000	351,000	0	O	39,000		UNDER STILLWATER BLVD, RR, 10TH ST- PAINT BRS 82804, 82805, 82806, & 82818	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2002		TH 999	6200-25AC	TM	5,500,000	5,500,000	0	O	0		CONSTRUCT BUILDING & EQUIPMENT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Manage	NC
2002		TH 999	6200-25B	TM	7,900,000	O	0	ó	5,000,000		REGIONAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER- EQUIPMENT, ETC	<u> </u>	Manage	NC
2002		TH		TM	1,000,000	Ó	0	0	1,000,000	-	REGIONAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER- FIBER OPTIC INSTALLATION	<u> </u>	Manag <del>e</del>	S7
2002		TH 999		RX	250,000	200,000	Ō	0	50,000		URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	
2002		TH 999		sc	540,000	0	0	Ö	540,000		NORTHEAST QUADRANT OF METRO AREA- RELAMP LIGHTING FIXTURES	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2002		TH 999	8825-57	sc	90.000	0	0	0			METROWIDE-UPGRADE AUTOSCOPE CAMERAS(4-6 LOCATIONS)	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2002		TH 999	8825-58	sc	400.000	0	0	0	400,000		METROWIDE-REPLACE TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLERS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2002		TH 999	8825-60	sc	20,000	0	0	0	20,000	0	METROWIDE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL LED INDICATION REPLACEMENTS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2002		TH 999	8825-62	sc	200,000	0	0	0	200,000	0	METROWIDE-PAINT TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2002		TH 999	8825-70	sc	200,000	0	0	Ó	200,000	0	ON METRO AREA FREEWAYS-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
2002		TH 999	8825-71	sc	1,188,161	0	0	Ó	1,188,161	0	ON METRO AREA FREEWAYS-REPLACE CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
2002		TH 999	8825-91	TM	800,000	0	0	0	800,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2002		ITS	DIST-M-ITS-	TM	500,000	0	0	Ó	500,000	0	NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2002	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2002		TH 999	TRLF-RW-0:	RW	3,500.000	2,800,000	0	0	700,000	0	REPAYMENT IN FY 2002 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12, 100,212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
2002		TH 21	7002-38	AM	540,000	0	0	Ö	540,000	0	FROM TH 19 TO CSAH 37 IN NEW PRAGUE- ACCESS CLOSURES, CURB & GUTTER, MILL & OVERLAY, REALIGNMENT	NEW PRAGUE	Other	E2
2002		1-494	2785-329	АМ	158,760	0	0	0	158,760	0	AT PENN AVE IN RICHFIELD-NORTH FRONTAGE ROAD EXTENSION	RICHFIELD	Other	E2
2002		TH 3	1921-73	MA	540,000	Ö	0	O			FROM CSAH 42 TO 145TH ST IN ROSEMOUNT-ACCESS CLOSURES, CURB & GUTTER, STREET SCAPING(ACCESS MGMT \$\$)	ROSEMOUNT	Other	ET
2002		TH 169	7005-79	ΑM	460,000	0	0	O	460,000	0	AT CO RD 79 & AT CSAH 17-CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGES	SHAKOPEE	Other	AQ2

Δ-45

TABLE A-11 Projects Obligated in Previous Fiscal Year

Year	Рπ	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2002		TH 7	2706-204	АМ	75,600	o	O	0	75,600	0	AT FREEMAN PARK IN SHOREWOOD-CLOSE PARK ACCESS TO TH 7	SHOREWOO D	Other	NC
2002		TH 156	1912-53	АМ	64,800	0	0	0	64,800	0		SOUTH ST PAUL	Other	NC
2002		TH 5	1002-77	AM	357,500	0	0	0	357,500	0	MILL LANE TO 10TH ST IN WACONIA-ACCESS MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENTS	WACONIA	Other	Ε1
2002		1-94	8282-98	AM	626,400	0	0	0	626,400		u - + +	WASHINGTO N COUNTY	Other	E2

461,336,702 162,739,99; 16,893,760 3,042 64,676,290 189,997,618

#### TABLE A-12 Transit Section 5309

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	FTA\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		ВВ	TRF-NCDA-02C	B3	2,653,506	0	2,122,805	0	530,701	SECT 5309: CENTRAL CORRIDOR-PLANNING, ENGINEERING, ETC	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	01
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03W	В3	1,300,000	Ö	1,040,000	0		SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- FACILITY FENCING/GATES FOR SECURITY	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2003		]	TRF-NCDA-02	В3	3,538,006	0	2,830,405	0	,	SECT 5309: NORTHSTAR & RED ROCK CORRIDORS-PLANNING, ENGINEERING, ETC	MNDOT	Transit	01
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03A4	В3	361,000	O	288,800	0	72,200	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-ADA BUS STOP IMPROVEMENTS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T7
2003		BB	TRF-TCMT-03BE	В3	250,000	0	200,000	0	50,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- PUBLIC FACILITIES REFURBISHING	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т3
2003		BB	TRF-TCMT-03C0	В3	500,000	0	400,000	O		SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- PUBLIC FACILITIES GATES, BARRIERS, CAMERAS, FOR SECURITY	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2003		BB	TRF-TCMT-03H	В3	11,243,500	Ö	8,994,800	O	2,248,700	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-40-FT BUSES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2003		вв	TRF-TCMT-03K	B3	85,725,067	10,000,000	60,000,000	0	15,725,067	SECT 5309: HIAWATHA CORRIDOR-LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	A05
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03S	В3	14,000,000	0	11,200,000	0	2,800,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PURCHASE BUSES FOR NW CORRIDOR EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03T	B3	10,919,500	0	8,735,600	0	2,183,900	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- BUSES(32-2003 FLEET GROWTH)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03U	<b>B</b> 3	2,080,000	0	1,664,000	0	416,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03V	В3	200,000	0	160,000	0	40,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- UPGRADE LIGHTING EFFICIENCY(PER XCEL)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	17
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03X	В3	500,000	0	400,000	0	100,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- SHELTER MAINTENANCE BUILDING	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т8
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03Y	ВЗ	1,500,000	0	1,200,000	0	300,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-HEAT RECOVERY AT RUTER	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03Z	В3	500,000	0	400,000	0	100,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-INC GEN POWER@SOUTH, RUTER, POLICE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2004		BB	TRF-TCMT-04G	<b>B</b> 3	13,377,000	0	10,701,600	0	2,675,400	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-ARTIC BUSES(25-REPLACEMENT 2006)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2004		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-04K	В3	74,980,000	0	74,980,000	O	O	SECT 5309-HIAWATHA CORRIDOR-LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	A05
2004		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-04L	В3	1,830,000	Ō	1,464,000	0	366,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-40-FT BUSES(5-REPLACEMENT 2005)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10

TABLE A-12 Transit Section 5309

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	FTA\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		B <b>B</b>	TRF-TCMT-04M	В3	7,237,000	0	5,789,600	0		SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-40-FT BUSES(20-GROWTH 2005)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2004		BB	TRF-TCMT-04N	B3	3,750,000	0	3,000,000	0		SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-ARTIC BUSES(7-GROWTH 2006)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2005		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-05J	B3	25,000,000	0	20,000,000	0		SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PURCHASE BUSES(2006 & 2007 FLEET)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2005		вв	TRF-TCMT-05K	B3	30,440,585	0.	30,440,585	0		SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- HIAWATHA CORRIDOR-LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	A05
2006		BB	TRF-TCMT-06J	B3	12,900,000	0	10,320,000	Ó		SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-BUSES AND BUS FACILITIES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2006		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-06K	B3	10,000,000	0	8,000,000	0	2,000,000	SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-BUS FACILITIES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10

314,785,164 10,000,000 264,332,195

0 40,452,969

# TABLE A-13 Transit Section 5307

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	FTA\$	State \$	Other\$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-02U	B9	3,700,000	0	2,960,000	0	740,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-LAKE & CHICAGO HUB	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	<b>O</b> 9
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03	В9	3,635,000	0	2,908,000	0	727,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PURCHASE 40-FOOT BUSES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2003				B9	5,491,000	0	4,392,800	Ö	1,098,200	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PURCHASE/REBUILD BUS ENGINES, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS, ETC	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т3
2003		BB	TRF-TCMT-03B	B9	6,250,000	Ō	5,000,000	0	1,250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- SNELLING GARAGE PROJECT	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2003		ВВ		B9	7,892,500	O.	6,314,000	0	1,578,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т3
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03D	B9	6,000,000	0	4,800,000	Ö	1,200,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- ROSEDALE MALL PARKING DECK	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т8
2003		B8	TRF-TCMT-03E	B9	5,500,000	0	4,400,000	0	1,100,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-I-394 PARK AND RIDE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т8
2003		BB	TRF-TCMT-03F	B9	2,550,000	Ō	2,040,000	0	510,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-INVER GROVE HEIGHTS TRANSIT CENTER	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т8
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03G	B9	1,250,000	0	1,000,000	0	250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- COMPUTERS AND COMPUTER SYSTEMS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T4
2003			TRF-TCMT-03L	В9	462,500	Ö	370,000	0	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-1% SAFETY/SECURITY PROJECTS(PARK & RIDE SECURITY)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т9
2003		BB	TRF-TCMT-03M		231,250	0	185,000	0	46,250	SECT 5307. TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-0.5% TRANS STAFF TRAINING	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T4
2003		BB	TRF-TCMT-03N	В9	10,919,500	Ó	8,735,600	0	2,183,900	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- ARCTIC BUSES(25-2003 REPLACEMENTS)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03P	B9	3,108,063	0	2,486,450	0	621,613	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- ARCTIC BUSES(7-2005 GROWTH)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2003		B8	TRF-TCMT-03Q	B9	462,500	0	370,000	0	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT-1% TRANSIT ENHANCEMENT(SIGNS, ART, SHELTERS)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T7
2003			TRF-TCMT-03R	В9	3,750,000	0	3,000,000	0	750,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES MET COUNCIL MT- RIVERVIEW CORRIDOR HYBRID ELECTRIC BUSES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2003		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-03J	B9	8,000,000	0	6,400,000	0	1,600,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT & TRANPORTATION DEVELOPMENT -METRO MOBILITY OPERATIONS, BUS PURCHASES, & FACILITIES	MET COUNCIL- T & TD	Transit	T10
2004		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-04	B9	8,250,000	0	6,600,000	0	1,650,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PURCHASE 40-FOOT BUSES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10

TABLE A-13
Transit Section 5307

Year	₽π	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	FTA\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-04A	В9	1,250,000	0	1,000,000	0		SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PURCHASE/REBUILD BUS ENGINES, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS, ETC	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т3
2004		BB	TRF-TCMT-04B	B9	11,250,000	0	9,000,000	0	2,250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-NEW BUS GARAGE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2004		вв	TRF-TCMT-04C	B9	5,162,500	0	4,130,000	0	1,032,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	ТЗ
2004		BB	TRF-TCMT-04D	B9	462,500	0	370,000	o	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-1% TRANSIT ENHANCEMENTS(SIGNS, ART, SHELTERS)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т8
2004		вв	TRF-TCMT-04E	B9	462,500	0	370,000	0	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-1% SAFETY/SECURITY PROJECTS(PARK AND RIDE SECURITY)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2004		BB	TRF-TCMT-04F	B9	231,250	0	185,000	0	46,250	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-0.5% TRANSIT STAFF TRAINING	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т9
2004		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-04H	B9	1,250,000	0	1,000,000	0	250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- COMPUTERS AND COMPUTER SYSTEMS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2004		BB	TRF-TCMT-04J	В9	8,000,000	0	6,400,000	0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT & TRANPORTATION DEVELOPMENT -METRO MOBILITY OPERATIONS, BUS PURCHASES, & FACILITIES	MET COUNCIL- T & TD	Transit	T10
2005		88	TRF-TCMT-05	B9	18,250,000	0	14,600,000	0	3,650,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-40-FT BUSES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2005		88	TRF-TCMT-05A	B9	1,250,000	0	1,000,000	0	250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-BUS ENGINE PURCHASE/REBUILD, TIRE LEASE, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т3
2005		88	TRF-TCMT-05B	B9	11,250,000	0	9,000,000	0	2,250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-NEW BUS GARAGE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
20 05		88	TRF-TCMT-05C		5,162,500	Ö	4,130,000	0	1,032,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т3
2005		BB	TRF-TCMT-05D	_	462,500	0	370,000	0	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-1% TRANSIT ENHANCEMENTS(SIGNS, ART, SHELTERS)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	<del>1</del> 77
2005		88	TRF-TCMT-05E	В9	462,500	0	370,000	O	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-1% SAFETY/SECURITY PROJECTS(PARK AND RIDE SECURITY)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т8
2005		88	TRF-TCMT-05F	В9	231,250	0	185,000	0	46,250	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-0.5% TRANSIT STAFF TRAINING	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Ť4
2005		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-05G	В9	1,250,000	Ō	1,000,000	0	250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- COMPUTERS AND COMPUTER SYSTEMS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2005		ВВ	TRF-TCMT-05H	89	8,000,000	0	6,400,000	0	1,600,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT & TRANPORTATION DEVELOPMENT -METRO MOBILITY OPERATIONS, BUS PURCHASES, & FACILITIES	MET COUNCIL- T & TD	Transit	T10

TABLE A-13
Transit Section 5307

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	FTA\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		вв	TRF-TCMT-06	B9	8,250,000	0	6,600,000	0	1,650,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PURCHASE 40-FT BUSES	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2006		88	TRF-TCMT-06A	89	1,250,000	0	1,000,000	0	250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-BUS ENGINE PURCHASE/REBUILD, TIRE LEASE, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	ТЗ
2006		BB	TRF-TCMT-06B	B9	11,250,000	0	9,000,000	0	2,250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-NEW BUS GARAGE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T8
2006	į	88	TRF-TCMT-06C	B9	5,162,500	0	4,130,000	0	1,032,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т3
2006		88		89	462,500	0	370,000	0	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-1% TRANSIT ENHANCEMENTS(SIGNS, ART, SHELTERS)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T7
2006		BB	TRF-TCMT-06E	B9	462,500	0	370,000	0.	92,500	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-1% SAFETY/SECURITY PROJECTS(PARK AND RIDE SECURITY)	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	Т8
2006		BB	TRF-TCMT-06F	B9	231,250	0	185,000	0	46,250	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-0.5% TRANSIT STAFF TRAINING	MET COUNCIL-	Transit	T4
2006		BB	TRF-TCMT-06G		1,250,000	0	1,000,000	0	250,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- COMPUTERS AND COMPUTER SYSTEMS	MET COUNCIL- MT	Transit	T10
2006		B8	TŘF-TCMŤ-06H	B9	8,000,000	0,	6,400,000	0	1,600,000	SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT & TRANPORTATION DEVELOPMENT -METRO MOBILITY OPERATIONS, BUS PURCHASES, & FACILITIES	MET COUNCIL- T & TD	Transit	T10

188,158,563

0 150,526,850

0 37,631,713

Tuesday, July 30, 2002

## Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

#### TABLE A-14 **Transit Section 5310**

lé í	1 1	Route	Pŋ Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	FTA\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003				NB	44,000	0	35,200	0		SECT 5310. CITY OF ANOKA-BUS PURCHASE(CLASS 400)	MNDOT	Transit	T10
2003		BB	TRF-8829-03	NB	44,000	0	35,200	0		SECT 5310 MN MASONIC HOME-SENIOR OUTREACH SERVICES-BUS PURCHASE(CLASS 400)	MNDOT	Transit	T10
					88,000	0	70.400		17.600			<u></u>	<u>'</u>

## TABLE A-15 Transit Section 5311

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	FTA\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		88	TRF-0009-03	ОВ	391,400	0	<b>85</b> ,000	0	306,400	SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	CARVER COUNTY	Transit	Τ1
2003		ВВ	TRF-3703-03	ОВ	226,600	0	45,000	0	181,600	SECT 5311: CITY OF HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	HASTINGS	Transit	T1
2003		ВВ	TRF-0051-03	ОВ	597,400	Ō	95,000	0	502,400	SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	SCOTT COUNTY	Transit	<b>T</b> 1
2004		88	TRF-0009-04	ОВ	403,142	0	85,000	0	318,142	SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	CARVER COUNTY	Transit	T1
2004		BB	TRF-3703-04	ОВ	233,398	0	45,000	O	188,398	SECT 5311: CITY OF HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	HASTINGS	Transit	T1
2004		BB	TRF-0051-04	ОВ	615,322	0	95,000	0	520,322	SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	SCOTT COUNTY	Transit	T1
2005		ВВ	TRF-0009-05	ОВ	403,142	0	85,000	0	318,142	SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	CARVER COUNTY	Transit	T1
2005		ВВ	TRF-3703-05	ОВ	233,398	0	45,000	Ō	188,398	SECT 5311: CITY OF HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	HASTINGS	Transit	Ť1
2005		ВВ	TRF-0051-05	ОВ	615,322	0	95,000	0	520,322	SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	SCOTT COUNTY	Transit	T1
2006		BB	TRF-0009-06	ОВ	403,142	0	85,000	0	318,142	SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	CARVER COUNTY	Transit	Т1
2006		88	TRF-3703-06	ОВ	233,398	0	45,000	Ō	188,398	SECT 5311: CITY OF HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	HASTINGS	Transit	T1
2006		ВВ	TRF-0051-06	ОВ	615,322	O	95,000	0	520,322	SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE	SCOTT COUNTY	Transit	T1

4,970,986

900,000

0 4,070,986

### **TABLE A-17** Miscellaneous Federal Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Other Fed \$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		PED/BIK	27-BASSETT	вт	10,000,000	0	10,000,000	0	0	BASSETT CREEK VALLEY NORTH-SOUTH- PED/BIKE TRAIL	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2003		CSAH 3	27-603-TCSP	PL	5,000,000	0	4,000,000	0	1,000,000	LAKE ST ACCESS TO 1-35W-DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, & R/W	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	01
2003		LOCAL	TRF-JARC-03,		2,000,000	Ō	1,000,000	0	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	JOBS ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM FOR FED FISCAL YR 2002	MET COUNCIL	Other	AQ1
2003		LOCAL	TRF-JARC-03		2,000,000	0	1,000,000	0		JOBS ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM FOR FED FISCAL YR 2003	MET COUNCIL	Other	AQ1
2003		CITY	164-288-TCSP	PL	2,187,500	0	1,750,000	0	437,500	PHALEN BLVD CORRIDOR-DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, & RAW	ST PAUL	Other	01
2003		TH 610	2771-TCSP-03	PL	2,000,000	0	1,600,000	400,000	0	I-94 TO TH 169-DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, R/W	MNDOT	Other	01
2004		TH 36	8217-4654C	ВІ	5,000,000	0	5,000,000	0	0	OVER ST CROIX RIVER NEAR STILLWATER- REHABILITATE BR 4654	MNDOT	Preserve	S19

28,187,500

0 24,350,000 400,000 3,437,500

### TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003			002-601-040		530,000	477,000	0	0	0	53,000	CSAH 1(COON RAPIDS BLVD) AT EGRET BLVD IN COON RAPIDS-DUAL LEFT TURN LANES, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2003			02-607-17	SH	385, <b>84</b> 0	347,256	0	0	Ō		157TH TO 159TH IN ANDOVER-TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2003				SH	180,200	162,180	Ō	0	0		AT CSAH 20-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION & LANE ADDITION	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2004			002-609-013		449,440		0	0	0	44,944	CSAH 9(ROUND LAKE BLVD) AT CSAH 20(157TH AVE NW) IN ANDOVER-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2006				RĊ	3,390,000	2,712,000	0	0	0	678,000	TH 65 TO E OF CSAH 52 IN BLAINE- RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Replace	E1
2005			02-614-24	RC	7,630,000		0	Ö	0	1,635,000	1-35W TO 1-35E IN CENTERVILLE & LINO LAKES-RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Replace	E1
2004			002-596-003		561,800		Ö	0	0	56,180	CR 16(ANDOVER BLVD) AT TH 65 IN HAM LAKE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	\$2
2003				MC	3,057,040	_,	0	0	0	611,408	ON LEXINGTON AVE FROM MAIN ST TO PHEASANT RIDGE DR- RECONSTRUCT & WIDEN TO 4-LANE ROADWAY	ANOKA COUNTY	Expand	A05
2004			002-623-014		404,496	364,047	0	0	0	40,449	CSAH 23(NAPLES ST/LAKE DR) AT CR 105(NAPLES ST)/I-35W RAMP IN BLAINE- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2003			002-610-011	SH	530,000	477,000	0	0	0	53,000	CSAH 51/CSAH 3(UNIVERSITY EXTENSION) AT FUTURE CSAH 10(OLD TH 10) IN BLAINE- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2006				RC	5,650,000	4,520,000	0	0	0	1,130,000		ANOKA COUNTY	Replace	A10
2004			002-716-006		561,800	505,620	0	0	O	56,180	CSAH 116(BUNKER LAKE BLVD NE) AT JEFFERSON ST IN HAM LAKE-TRAFFIC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2004			002-716-007		561,800		0	Ó.	0		TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, TURN LANES, ETC	ANOKA COUNTY	Manage	\$2
2003		CITY	107-399-25	RC	4,134,000	3,307,200	0	0	0	826,800	ON E 79TH ST FROM CEDAR TO 24TH AVE- GRAD, SURF, SIGNALS, ETC	BLOOMINGT ON	Replace	E1

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$		State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		CITY		RC	4,934,077	3,947,262	0		0	0		ON E BUSH LK RD FROM GR VALLEY DR TO 84TH & ON 84TH FROM E BUSH LK RD TO 8500 84TH-GEOMETRIC, TRAFFIC CONTROL, TRAFFIC MGMT, ETC IMPROVEMENTS	BLOOMINGT ON	Replace	E2
2003		EN	107-090-003		909,480	727,584	0	,	0		181,896	ALONG NSP AERIAL TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR FROM 79TH ST TO 105TH ST NEAR MINN RIVER WILDLIFE REFUGE AREA - CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE TRAIL & BRIDGE@OLD SHAKOPEE RD	BLOOMINGT ON	Other	O9
2003		EN	107-090-004		1,321,820	742,000	0		0	0	579,820	ALONG E BUSH LAKE RD FROM 84TH ST TO 106TH ST IN BLOOMINGTON-CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE TRAIL	BLOOMINGT ON	Other	O9
2003			107-385-018	RC	2,056,400	1,645,120	0		0	0	411,280	PENN AVE TO KNOX AVE IN BLOOMINGTON- RECONSTRUCT & GEOMETRIC IMPROVEMENTS	BLOOMINGT ON	Replace	E1
2005				RC	4,773,546	3,818,837	0		0	0	954,709	W' 79TH ST FROM FREMONT AVE TO BLAISDELL AVE IN BLOOMINGTON- RECONSTRUCT, WIDEN, TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	BLOOMINGT ON	Replace	E1
2003			107-415-021		3,256,799	2,365,920	0		0	0		FROM V 78TH ST TO W 82ND ST IN BLOOMINGTON -RECONSTRUCT & G FOMETRIC IMPROVEMENTS(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	BLOOMINGT ON	Replace	E1
2003		TH 252	110-090-002		636,000	508,800			0	0	127,200	OVER TH 252 NORTH OF 85TH AVE N IN BROOKLYN PARK-CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN/BIKEWAY BRIDGE	BROOKLYN PARK	Other	O9
2006			179-595-01	1 1	4,972,000	3,977,600	0		0	0	994,400	AT TH 13 & NICOLLET AVE(SW QUAC)- CONSTRUCT PARK & RIDE RAMP	BURNSVILLE	Transit	E6
2004		<u> </u>	010-596-001		5,618,000	4,494,400				1, (23,600		TH 5 E OF WACONIA NEAR LAKE WACONIA- RECONSTRUCT, RELOCATE, ETC	CARVER COUNTY	Replace	E4
2003		[	10-610-29	BR	757,900	424,000			0	0	333,900	CSAF 10 OVER LUCE LINE TRAIL-REPLACE BR 5:83	CARVER COUNTY	Replace	S19
2005			010-610-030		5,842,720	4,674,176			0	0		FROITICR 110 TO CSAH 11- REC(INSTRUCTION, SHOULDERS, ETC	CARVER COUNTY	Replace	\$10
2004		TH 169	193-090-001		1,114,611	786,520			0	0	328,091	OVER TH 169 BETWEEN 114TH AVE & 117TH AVE II I CHAMPLIN-CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN/BIKE TRAIL BRIDGE	CHAMPLIN	Other	O9
2003		EN	19-090-01	EN	795,000	636,000	0		0	0	159,000	NORTH URBAN REGIONAL TRAIL- THOMFSON KOPOSIA SEGMENT	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	09
2003		EN	19-090-02	EN	971,939	742,000	0		C	0	229,939	BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL EXTENSION	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	O9
2004		EN	019-090-006	EN	623,598	498,878	0		1,	O,	124,720	NORTH SIDE OF TH 110 FROM TH 149 IN MENDOTA HEIGHTS TO CHARLTON RD IN WEST ST PAUL-NORTH URBAN REGIONAL TRAIL(PH-4SE 2)	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	O9

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		CR8	019-596-004	SH	371,000	333,900	0	0	0	37,100	ON CR 8(WENTWORTH AVE.) FROM HUMBOLDT AVE TO TH 52 IN WEST ST PAUL- MILL & OVERLAY, TURN LANES, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC	DAKOTA COUNTY	Manage	S2
2003			019-596-003		3,180,000	2,544,000	0	0	0	636,000	FROM TH 149 IN EAGAN TO CSAH 63 IN INVER GROVE HTS-CONSTRUCT 4-LANE RDWY, ETC	DAKOTA COUNTY	Expand	A05
2003			019-631-031		3,125,000	2,500,000	õ	0	Ō	625,000	CSAH 46 TO CSAH 42 IN APPLE VALLEY- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE RDWY, TRANSIT CENTER, ETC(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	DAKOTA COUNTY	Expand	A05
2005				RC	3.270,000	2,616,000	0	Ó	0		ON DAKOTA CSAH 60 & SCOTT CSAH 21 FROM KENYON AVE IN LAKEVILLE TO E OF THE CREDIT RIVER IN SCOTT CO- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE RDWY, ETC	DAKOTA COUNTY	Replace	A10
2003		EN	92-090-14	EN	849,034	679,227	0	Ö	0		BLOOMINGTON FERRY BRIDGE TO SHAKOPEE-MINNESOTA VALLEY TRAIL	DNR	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2006		EN	92-090-22	EN	389,850	311,880	0	O	0	77,970	OVER CSAH 12 IN GRANT TOWNSHIP- GATEWAY STATE TRAIL BRIDGE & APPROACHES	DNR	Other	ÁQ2
2006		CITY	195-114-04	SH	502,285	452,057	0	O	0	50,228	ON DUCKWOOD DRIVE AT PILOT KNOB RD- CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	EAGAN	Manage	<b>S</b> 2
2006		EN		EN	1,130,000	904,000	0	0	0	226,000	TH 47 TO BNSF RR IN FRIDLEY-85TH AVE TRAIL	FRIDLEY	Other	AQ2
2003			91-060-32	PL	205,870	164,696	o	0	0	41,174	GREAT RIVER ROAD, LEDUC HISTORIC SITE INTERPRETIVE EXHIBIT IMPLEMENTATION	HASTINGS	Other	<b>Ó</b> 9
2003			130-090-003		318,000	254,400	0	0	0	63,600	UNDER TH 61 ADJACENT TO THE VERMILLION RIVER IN HASTINGS- CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE UNDERPASS & TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS	HASTINGS	Other	O9
2005		EN	027-603-032		1,573,040	786,520	0	Ö	O	786,520	OAKLAND AVE TO 21ST AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS-LAKE STREET STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	O9
2005		EN	027-603-033		1,573,040	786,520		0	0		LYNDALE AVE TO OAKLAND AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS-LAKE STREET STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	O9
2005		EN	027-603-034		1,573,040	786,520	0	O	O	786,520	HIAWATHA AVE TO WEST RIVER PARKWAY IN MINNEAPOLIS-LAKE STREET STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	09
2003			027-090-004		1,657,840	_	0	O	0	331,568	FROM HENNEPIN COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY TO MINNEAPOLIS MUNICIPAL PARKING RAMP-CONSTRUCT SKYWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2003			027-090-005		1,244,440	995,552	0	O	0		FROM HENNEPIN COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY TO HAAF PARKING RAMP IN MINNEAPOLIS-CONSTRUCT SKYWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2003		PED/BIKE	27-090-02	ВТ	1,125,000	0	900,000	O	O	225,000	HENNEPIN COUNTY BIKEWAY-MIDTOWN 29TH ST GREENWAY PED/BIKE IMPROVEMENT	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2

7-51

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	ΑQ
2003		PED/BIKE	27-BASSET	вт	10,000,000	10,000,000	0	0	O		BASSETT CREEK VALLEY NORTH-SOUTH- PED/BIKE TRAIL	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Trails	AQ2
2006		CSAH 1	27-601-35	RC	3,616,000	2,892,800	0	0	0		W OF W JCT CSAH 4 TO E OF E JCT CSAH 4 IN EDEN PRAIRIE-RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	E2
2003	ļ	CSAH 3	27-603-TCSI	PL	5,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	0	1,000,000	LAKE ST ACCESS TO 1-35W-DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, & RW	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Other	01
2005	Î	CSAH 3	027-603-031	RC	7,724,750	6,179,800	0	O	O	1,544,950	ON CSAH 3(LAKE ST) FROM 2ND AVE S TO 21ST AVE S IN MINNEAPOLIS- RECONSTRUCT, ETC	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	E1
2006			27-605-22	BR	226,000	180,800	0	C	Ó	45,200	CSAH 5, MINNETONKA BLVD OVER HUTCHINSON SPUR TRAIL-REPLACE BR 27501	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2004		CSAH 19	27-619-17	RC	5,627,400	4,501,920	0	C	0	1,125,480	FROM TH 55 TO CO RD 117- RECONSTRUCTION	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S10
2003		C\$AH 33	27-633-01	BR	901,000	720,800	0	C	0	180,200	PARK AVENUE OVER SOO LINE-REPLACE BR 90491	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2004		CSAH 35	027-635-025	ВR	505,620	404,496	0	Č	0	101,124	CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE) OVER MINNEHAHA CREEK-REPLACE BR 90493	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2003		CSAH 61	027-661-034	мС	3,392,000	2,713,600	0	Č	0	678,400	NORTH OF BREN RD TO SOUTH OF CSAH 3- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE ROADWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Expand	A05
2006		CSAH 61	27-661-37	ВR	904,000	723,200	0	Č	0	180,800	SHADY OAK RD OVER HORRA CORRIDOR- REPLACE BR 90596	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2006		CSAH 73	27-673-08	BR	1,073,500	858,800	0	C	0	214,700	HOPK:NS CROSSROAD OVER BNSF RR- REPLACE BR 27518	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2003		CSAH 81	27-681-10	SH	530,000	477,000	0	C	C	53,000	AT CO RD 49-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Manage	E2
1003		CSAH 10	027-701-010	МС	3,498,000	2,798,400	0	(	C	699,600	TH 7 TO CSAH 5 IN MINNETONKA- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE ROADWAY	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Expand	A05
2006		CSAH 10	27-701-13	RC	6,441,000	5,152,800	Ó	C	0	1,288,200	FROM S OF 14TH AVE TO 30TH AVE IN PLYMOUTH-RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S2
2003		CSAH 11	27-716-03	BR	1,325,000	1,060,000	0	(	, ,	265,000	CSAH 116 OVER CROW RIVER-REPLACE BR 6273	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Replace	S19
2006		CSAH 15:	27-753-09	ВІ	3,955,000	3,164,000	0	(	0	791,000	LOWRY AVENUE OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER- PAINT BR 2723	HENNEPIN COUNTY	Preserve	S19
2003		CMAQ	CM-25-99	TM	187,885	150,310	0	(	C	37,575	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION	Manage	AQ1
2004		CMAQ	CM-25-99A	ТМ	199,158	159,326	O	(	C	39,832	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT	I-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION	Manage	AQ1

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		CMAQ	189-595-001	ТМ	7,287,500	5,830,000	0	C	0	1,457,500	CONSTRUCT MAPLE GROVE TRANSIT HUB AT 1-94 AND HEMLOCK LANE	MAPLE GROVE	Manage	E6
2005		CITY	880M-AFFH-	TM	1,875,000	1,500,000	Oi	C	0	375,000	REGION SET ASIDE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR FY 2005	MET COUNCIL	Manage	NC
2006		CITY	880M-AFFH-	TM	1,875,000	1,500,000	0		0	375,000	REGION SET ASIDE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN FY 2006	MET COUNCIL	Manage	NC
2005		CMAQ	CM-25	TM	2,407,538	1,926,030	0	(	0	481,508	REGIONAL TOM & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	MET COUNCIL	Manage	AQ1
2006		CMAQ	CM-25A	TM	2,690,813	2,152,650	0	(	0	538,163	REGIONAL TOM & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	MET COUNCIL	Manage	AQ1
2003		LOCAL	TRF-JARC-(	NA	2,000,000	1,000,000	0			1,000,000	JOBS ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM FOR FED FISCAL YR 2002	MET COUNCIL	Other	AQ1
2003		LOCAL	TRF-JARC-(	NΑ	2,000,000	1,000,000	0			1,000,000	JOBS ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM FOR FED FISCAL YR 2003	MET COUNCIL	Other	AQ1
2004		ВВ	TRS-TCMT⊣	ŤŘ	4,691,030	3,752,824	0	(	C	938,206	REBUILD ENGINES IN 2004	MET COUNCIL-MT	Transit	Т3
2006		88	CM-15	TR	672,350	537,880	0	(		134,470	TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-PURCHASE 40-FOOT BUSES	MET COUNCIL-MT	Transit	T10
2003		CMAQ	90-070-13	TM	4,468,975	3,575,180	Ö			893,795	I-35W NORTH CORRIDOR-TRANSIT SERVICE EXPANSION PLAN	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	T1
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	ТМ	970,850	776,680	0		Ó	194,170	SECTOR 5C - I-35W SOUTH CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	ТМ	764,020	611,220	C			152,800	SECTOR 5B - HIAWATHA CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	ТМ	991,700	793,360	0			198,340	SECTOR 5A - WESTERN ST PAUL SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	TM	399,985	319,985	C		0 (	80,000	WOODBURY PARK & RIDE SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	TM	927,500	742,000			0	185,500	SECTOR 1 AND 2 - TRANSIT SERVICE RESTRUCTURING PLAN	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2003		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	ĪΜ	795,000	636,000			0 (	159,000	SECTOR 7 - WEST METRO SUBURBAN SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2004		CMAQ	090-595-005	TM	2,809,000	2,247,200	(		0 (	561,800	AT 1-694 AND RICE ST-CONSTRUCT TRANSIT HUB AND PARK AND RIDE LOT	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	E6
2004		CMAQ	TRS-LRT-04	ОВ	6,000,000	3,000,000			0	3,000,000	HIAWATHA CORRIDOR LRT-OPERATING ASSISTANCE	MET COUNCIL-MT	Transit	TI
2004		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	TM	3,792,150	3,033,720			0 (	758,430	SECTOR 5C - I-35W SOUTH CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2004		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-	TM	3,230,350	2,584,280	(		0 (	646,070	SECTOR 5B - HIAWATHA CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		CMAQ			1,544,950	1,235,960	0	0	0	308,990	SECTOR 5A - WESTERN ST PAUL SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2005		CMAQ	TRS-LRT-05		6,000,000	3,000,000	0	0	0	3,000,000	HIAWATHA CORRIDOR LRT-OPERATING ASSISTANCE	MET COUNCIL-MT	Transit	Т1
2005		CMAQ	TRS-TÇMT-		2,500,000	2,000,000	0	0	0	500,000	SECTOR 5C - I-35W SOUTH CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2005		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-		2,000,000	1,600,000	0	0	0	400,000	SECTOR 5B - HIAWATHA CORRIDOR SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2005		CMAQ	TRS-TCMT-		1,750,000	1,400,000	0	0	0	350,000	SECTOR 5A - WESTERN ST PAUL SERVICE EXPANSION	MET COUNCIL-MT	Manage	A05
2006		CMAQ	TRS-LRT-06		8,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	0	4,000,000	HIAWATHA CORRIDOR LRT-OPERATING ASSISTANCE	MET COUNCIL-MT	Transit	T1
2005		88	CM-24	TR	2,043,750	1,635,000	0	0	0	408,750	2006 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE BUSES	MET COUNCIL-T & TE	Transit	T10
2006		BB	CM-24B	TR	1,977,500	1,582,000	0	0	0	395,500	2006 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE BUSES	MET COUNCIL-T & TE	Transit	T10
2005		CMAQ	CM-23	TR	3,815,000	0	0	3,052,000	0	763,000	2005 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE LARGE & SMALL FEEDER PASSENGER VEHICLES(AC PROJECT- PAYBACK IN 2006)	MET COUNCIL-T & TE	Transit	A05
2006		CMAQ	CM-23A	TR	3,813,750	3,051,000	O	0	0	762,7 <b>5</b> 0	2005 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE LARGE & SMALL FEEDER PASSENGER VEHICLES	MET COUNCIL-T & TE	Transit	T10
2006		CMAQ	CM-23AC	TR	3,052,000	3,052,000	0	0	0	0	2005 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE LARGE & SMALL FEEDER PASSENGER VEHICLES(AC PAYBACK)	MET COUNCIL-T & TE	Transit	T10
2003		CMAQ	CM-3-99	TΜ	2,082,900	1,666,320	0	0	0	416,580	REGIONAL TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	METROPOLIT AN COUNCIL	Manage	AQ1
2006		CMAQ	CM-24A	TR	3,672,500	2,938,000	0	0	0	734,500	2006 T & TE REGIONAL FLEET EXPANSION- PURCHASE BUSES	METROPOLIT AN COUNCIL- T & TE	Transit	T10
2003		EN	091-595-012		875,000	446,500	O.	0	0			MINN TRANSPORT ATION MUSEUM	Other	O9
2003		EΝ	091-595-014	EN	583,000	466,400	0	0	0	116,600	COMO-HARRIET STREETCAR LINE EXTENSION & IMPROVEMENTS	MINN TRANSPORT ATION MUSEUM	Other	O9

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		EN	091-595-015		1,320,230	786,520	0	0	0		FERRY LANDING, TRAILS, ETC	MINN VALLEY RESTORATIO N PROJ	Other	O9
2003		CITY		BR	1,966,300	853,300	0	0	0	1,113,000	CHICAGO AVE OVER HCRRA RR-REPLACE BR 92349	MINNEAPOLI S	Replace	<b>S</b> 19
2003		CITY	141-291-01A	BR	818,788	818,788	0	0	0	0	ROYALSTON AVE OVER THE BNSF RR-BR 27699(REPLACE BR 92339)AC PAYBACK	MINNEAPOLI S	Replace	S19
2004		CITY	141-080-028		947,195	525,845	0	0	Ö	421,350	EAST RIVER PARKWAY OVER BRIDAL VEIL FALLS NEAR SUPERIOR ST-REPLACE BR L5761	MINNEAPOLI S	Replace	S19
2005		CITY	141-080-23	BR	5.090,300	2,925,560	0	Ö	0	2,164,740	ST ANTHONY PARKWAY OVER BN RR- REHAB BR 90664	MINNEAPOLI S	Replace	S19
2003		CMAQ	CM-20-99	ТМ	341,320	273,055	0	0	0	68,265	DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION	MINNEAPOLI S	Manage	AQ1
2004		CMAQ	CM-20-99A	TM	378,653	302,923	0	0	0	75,730	DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION	MINNEAPOLI S	Manage	AQ1
2005		CMAQ	CM-36	TM	388,313	310,650	0	0	0	77,663	DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO	MINNEAPOLI S	Manage	AQ1
2006		CMAQ	CM-36A	TM	423,750	339,000	O	0	0	84,750	DOWNTOWN MPLS TMO	MINNEAPOLI S	Manage	AQ1
2003		EN	141-090-20	EN	1,353,620	1,082,896	0	o	0	270,724	FROM 5TH AVE SE TO MISS RIVER IN MINNEAPOLIS-MIDTOWN GREENWAY SAFETY ELEMENTS FOR PHASES 2 & 3(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	MINNEAPOLI S	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2003		EN	91-090-13	EN	344,500	275,600	0	0	O	68,900	FRANKLIN AVE TO EMERALD ST-EAST RIVER PARKWAY BIKE TRAIL	MINNEAPOLI S	Other	O9
2003		EN	91-090-15	EN	651,900	521,520	0	0	0	130,380	THEODORE WIRTH PARK BIKE TRAIL- REPAVING	MINNEAPOLI S	Other	О9
2004		EN	141-080-027		337,080	269,664	O	0	٥	67,416	AT THE GREAT LAKE CENTER NEAR LAKE ST AND CHICAGO AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS- BICYCLE STATION	MINNEAPOLI S	Other	O9
2004		EN	141-090-015	EN	1,101,128	786,520	0	0	Ö	314,608	NEAR NORTHSIDE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT-PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE TRAILS	MINNEAPOLI S	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2004		EN	141-090-016		1,544,950		0	0	Ċ	308,990	FROM GROVELAND TO VINELAND AND THE WEDGE TRIANGLE-LORING PARK BIKEWAY(PHASE 2-LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	MINNEAPOLI S	Other	O9
2003				ΒT	1,571,344	1,257,075	O	0	0	314,269	MIDTOWN GREENWAY-PHASE II	MINNEAPOLI S	Trails	AQ2
2003		PED/BIKE	141-090-13	BŤ	1,178,932	943,146	0	0	O	235,786	FROM HIAWATHA TO W RIVER RD-MIDTOWN GREENWAY TRAIL(PHASE III)	MINNEAPOLI S	Trails	AQ2

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		PED/BIKE	141-090-14	вт	1,451,140	1,160,912	0	(	0		LORING PARK BICYCLE/PED CONNECTION FOR UPTOWN TO DOWNTOWN	MINNEAPOLI S	Trails	AQ2
2004		PED/BIKE	141-090-019	вт	862,925	690,340	0	(	O	172,585	FROM 11TH AVE S TO HENNEPIN AVE S IN MINNEAPOLIS-BIKE TRAIL CONNECTION	MINNEAPOLI S	Trails	AQ2
2005		PED/BIKE	141-090-018	ВΤ	2,368,548	1,894,839	0	(	C		FROM 19TH AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS TO CO RD C IN ROSEVILLE-NORTHEAST MINNEAPOLIS BIKE TRAIL	MINNEAPOLI S	Trails	AQ2
2005		PED/BIKE	141-090-21	ВТ	872,000	697,600	0			174,400	ALONG THE DINKYTOWN RAIL CORRIDOR FROM OAK ST TO MISSISSIPPI RIVER-U OF M TRANSITWAY TRAIL	MINNEAPOLI S	Trails	AQ2
2005		PED/BIKE	141-090-22	вт	2,943,000	2,354,400	ō			588,600	ROYALSTON AVE TO W RIVER PKWY IN MPLS-CEDAR LAKE TRAIL(PHASE 3)	MINNEAPOLI S	Trails	AQ2
2005		MSAS 20	141-201-02	RC	2,808,930	2,247,144	Ó			561,786	ON RICHFIELD RD/CALHOUN PKWY E FROM SHERIDAN TO 36TH AT S END OF LAKE CALHOUN-RECONSTRUCT, ETC	MINNEAPOLI S	Replace	S10
2005		EN	91-090-32	EN	1,046,400	837,120	C			209,280	LOWRY AVE TO 45TH AVE TO LYNDALE AVE IN MPLS-RECONSTRUCT VICTORY MEM PKWY BIKE TRAIL	MINNEAPOLI S PARK BOARD	Other	O9
2006		EN	91-090-31	EN	1,076,890	861,512	0			215,378	37TH AVE NE TO STINSON PKWY IN MPLS-ST ANTHONY PKWY BIKE TRAIL	MINNEAPOLI S PARK BOARD	Other	AQ2
2003		EN	091-090-026	EN	894,640	715,712	0		0 (	178,928	GRAND ROUNDS WAYFINDING IMPROVEMENTS FOR PEDESTRIANS & BICYCLISTS	MINNEAPOLI S PARK/REC BOARD	Other	O9
2003		EN	091-090-027	ΕN	858,600	686,880	O		0	171,720	MILL RUINS PARK PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION SYSTEMLANDSCAPING. LIGHTING, ETC	MINNEAPOLI S PARK/REC BOARD	Other	O9
2003		RR	27-00234	SR	75,000	67,500	0		0 (	7,500	63RD AVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARK- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		ŔŔ	27-00235	SR	75,000	67,500	0			7,500	JEFFERSON HWY AT BNSF RAILROAD IN OSSEO-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00239	SR	75,000				0		ZACHARY LANE AT BNSF RR IN MAPLE GROVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2003		RR	27-00240	SR	185,500	166,950	0			18,550	STUBBS BAY RD/BNSF RAILROAD IN ORONO- INSTALL NEW SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00242	SR	75,000		<u> </u>		0 (	7,500	73RD AVE AT BINSE RR IN BROOKLYN PARK- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00249	SR	150,000		<u> </u>			15,000	N SHORE DRIVE AT CP RR IN GREENFIELD- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00250	SR	175,000		<u> </u>			1	VALLEY RD AT BNSF RR IN INDEPENDENCE- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		RR	27-00255	SR	150,000	135,000	0		0	15,000	N SHORE DRIVE AT CP RR IN GREENFIELD- INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		RR	62-00183	SR	424,000	381,600	0	0	o	42,400	MSAS 232, COMO AVE & MUN 516, COMO PLACE IN ST PAUL-UPGRADE SIGNALS AT COMO, CLOSE COMO PLACE	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2003		RR	82-00126	SR	185,500	166,950	0	0	0	18,550	TWP RD 212, NORTHBROOK BLVD IN N BAYTOWN TOWNSHIP-INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	27-00258	SR	196,630	176,967	0	0	0	19,663	MSAS 245, E 33RD ST IN MINNEAPOLIS- SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	27-00259	SR	196,630	176,967	0	0	0	19,663	CSAH 150, MAIN STREET IN ROGERS- INSTALL NEW SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	62-00184	SR	168,540	151,686	0	0	0	16,854	CNTY 152, EAGLE AVE IN WHITE BEAR LAKE- INSTALL NEW SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	82-00128	SR	196,630	176,967	0	0.	0	19,663	MUN 100, IRONWOOD AVE N IN GRANT TOWNSHIP-SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		ŔŔ	82-00129	SR	196,630	176,967	o	0	0	19,663	MUN 89, IRISH AVE N IN GRANT TOWNSHIP- SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	82-00130	SR	196,630	176,967	0	0	O O	19,663	CSAH 21, STAGECOACH TRAIL N IN WASHINGTON COUNTY-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2004		RR	82-00132	SR	196,630	176,967	Ö	0	0	19,663	MSAS 121, HADLEY AVE, OAKDALE-INSTALL NEW GATES AND CANTS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	27-00261	SR	190,750	171,675	0	0	0	19,075	BENJAMIN ST, MUN 292, CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS-SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	27-00262	SR	218,000	196,200	0	0	0	21,800	37TH AVE, MSAS 272, CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS- INSTALL CANTILEVERS & CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	27-00263	SR	190,750	171,675	0	0	0	19,075	JOHNSON ST, MSAS 103, MINNEAPOLIS- INSTALL CANTILEVERS AND CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2005		RR	62-00185	SR	190,750	171,675	0	0	0	19,075	PORTLAND AVE, CSAH 71, WHITE BEAR LAKE-INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 1
2005		RR	70-00114	SR	545,000	490,500	0	o	0	54,500	UP CORRIDOR SAFETY STUDY-SHAKOPEE AND SAVAGE-PHASE 1-INCLUDES VERNON/YOSEMITE & SPENCER/SOMMERVILLE	MNDOT	Manage	01
2005		RR	70-00115	SR	136,250	122,625	0	0	0	13,625	MARSHALL ROAD, CSAH 17, SHAKOPEE, SCOTT CO-ADD GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	82-00133	SR	190,750	171,675	0	0	0	19,075	MANNING AVE N. CSAH 15, LAKE ELMO, WASH CO-INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2005		RR	82-00134	SR	190,750	171,675	0	Ö	Ō	19,075	122ND ST N, CSAH 7, HUGO(0.5 MILES E)- INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	27-00264	SR	197,750	177,975	ō	0	0	19,775	NOBLES AVE, MSAS 298, ROBBINSDALE- INSTALL CANTILEVERS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	27-00265	SR	226,000	203,400	0	0	0	22,600	WEST 79TH ST, MSAS 399, BLOOMINGTON- INSTALL CANTILEVERS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	27-00266	SR	169,500	152,550	0	0	0	16,950	DAKOTA AVE, MSAS 280, ST LOUIS PARK- INSTALL NEW SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	62-00186	SR	197,750	177,975	0	0	Ö	19,775	NORTHWEST AVE, CO 89, WHITE BEAR LAKE-ADD GATES & UPGRADE CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	S1

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		RR	62-00187	SR	197,750	177,975	O	0	0		LEXINGTON AVE, CSAH 51, SHOREVIEW-ADD CANTILEVERS & NEW CIRCUITRY	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	62-00188	SR	56,500	50,850	0	0	0		MCMENEMY ST, CSAH 57, VADNAIS HEIGHTS-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY & LED'S	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2006		RR	62-00189	SR	197,750	177,975	O	0	0		ARLINGTON AVE, MSAS 109, ST PAUL- INSTALL SIGNALS AND GATES	MNDOT	Manage	\$1
2006		RR	82-00135	SR	197,750	177,975	0	0	0	19,775	OTCHIPWE AVE N, CSAH 11, WASHINGTON CO-INSTALL SIGNALS & GATES	MNDOT	Manage	S1
2003		EN	145-090-01	EN	676,280	527,498	0	0	0	148,782	LOST LAKE MULTI-MODAL TRANSIT FACILITY	MOUND	Other	O9
2003		LOCAL	91-060-31	PL	31,200	24,960	0	0	0	6,240	MINNEAPOLIS GRAND ROUNDS, SEED FUNDS-VOLUNTEER MANAGER	MPLS PARK & REC BOARD	Other	01
2003		CITY	98-080-11	МС	1,260,000	0	1,008,000	0	Ö		ON 7TH AVE IN NEWPORT FROM 12TH ST TO 1ST ST-RECONSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING		Expand	A05
2003				MC	420,000	0		0	0		ON 2ND ST FROM 4TH AVE TO 7TH AVE- RECONSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	NEWPORT	Expand	A05
2004				МС	1,345,000	0		0	0		ON 4TH AVE FROM 20TH ST TO 2ND ST- REC INSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	NEWPORT	Expand	A05
2004				MC	122,000	0	97,600	0	O		ON 4TH AVE FROM 20TH ST TO 2ND ST- PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR RECONSTRUCTION	NEWPORT	Expand	A05
2003		MUN	98- <b>080</b> -07	MC	880,000	0	704,000	٥	0	176,000	ON GLEN RD IN NEWPORT-RECONSTRUCT & WIDEN(INCLUDES CONST ENG)	NEWPORT	Expand	A10
2005		TH 36	151-090-C1	EN	1,015,000	812,000	0	0	0	203,000	OVER TH 36 BETWEEN 3RD ST AND MARGARET-PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	NO ST PAUL	Other	O9
2005		TH 36	151-248-013	RC	9,280,000	0	0	6,380,000	0		FROM 3RD ST TO CHARLES ST IN N ST PAUL-GRADING, SURFACING, MARGARET ST BRIDGE OVER TH 36, FRONTAGE RDS, ETC(AC PROJECT)	NORTH ST PAUL	Replace	E1
2006		TH 36	151-248-013	RC	6,380,000	6,380,000	0	0	0	0	FROM 3RD ST TO CHARLES ST IN N ST PAUL-GRADING, SURFACING, MARGARET ST BRIDGE OVER TH 38, FRONTAGE RDS, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	NORTH ST PAUL	Replace	E1
2003			62-623-40	RC	4,240,000	3,392,000		0	0	848,000	I-35W TO SNELLING AVE-RECONSTRUCT, ADD TURN LANES, INTERCONNECTED SIGNALS, ETC	RAMSEY COUNTY	Replace	E1
2003		CR C	62 <b>-6</b> 23-41	RC	2,120,000	1,696,000	0	0	0	424,000	FROM SNELLING AVE TO OXFORD ST- RECONSTRUCTION	RAMSEY COUNTY	Replace	E1
2004			062-678-010		5,168,560						FROM TH 280/35W INTERCHANGE TO FULHAM ST IN ROSEVILLE-REALIGN & RECONSTRUCT TERMINAL RD/CO RD B2	RAMSEY COUNTY	Replace	E2
2005		CITÝ	157-363-18A	BR	20,000,000	0	9,700,000	0	5,500,000	4,800,000	LYNDALE AVE OVER 1-494(REPLACE BRIDGE)-RIGHT OF WAY & CONSTRUCTION	RICHFIELD	Replace	S19

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005			157-020-019		2,359,560	1,887,648	0	0	0		ON PORTLAND AVE FROM 64TH TO 68TH ST & ON 66TH ST FROM CLINTON TO COLUMBUS IN RICHFIELD-RECONSTRUCT & CHANNELIZE, ETC(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	RICHFIELD	Replace	E1
2003		EN	160-020-14	EN	375,000	300,000	0	0	O	75,000	ON CO RD B FROM HAMLINE AVE TO DALE ST IN ROSEVILLE-STREETSCAPING(PHASE 2)	ROSEVILLE	Other	O9
2006		EN	!	EN	2,156,153	1,130,000	0	0	O	1,026,153	LONG LAKE RD TO LEXINGTON AVE IN ROSEVILLE-STREETSCAPE CONSTRUCTION	ROSEVILLE	Other	06
2003			160-090-007		2,040,500	1,632,400	0	Ö	C	100,100	ALONG CO RD B2 FROM RICE ST TO WALNUT ST THEN NORTH TO BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAIL CORRIDOR-CONSTRUCT PATHWAY	ROSEVILLE	Trails	AQ2
2005		CSAH 17	166-020-12		545,000		0	0	1	54,500	AT 4TH AVE IN SHAKOPEE- CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	SHAKOPEE	Manage	E1
2006		CSAH 17	166-020-11	SH	565,000	508,500	0	0			AT 10TH AVE IN SHAKOPEE- CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	SHAKOPEE	Manage	S2
2003		TH 169	166-090-001.		385,501	385,501	0	0	C		OVER TH 169 ON CR 79 FROM 10TH AVE TO S OF TH 169 IN SHAKOPEE-CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE BRIDGE & TRAIL(AC PAYBACK)	SHAKOPEE	Other	O9
2003		TH 169	166-090-002		368,541	368,541	0	0	C		OVER TH 169 ON CSAH 17 FROM ST FRANCIS AVE TO VIERLING DR IN SHAKOPEE- CONSTRUCT PED/BIKE BRIDGE & TRAIL(AC PAYBACK)	SHAKOPEE	Other	O9
2003		TH 49	167-090-06	EN	178,080	142,464	0	C		1	CORD J TO CORD I IN SHOREVIEW- CONSTRUCT TRAIL	SHOREVIEW	Other	O9
2003		CMAQ	TRS-SWMT		1,035,125	828,100	O	C	C	207,025	PURCHASE 2 ADDITIONAL LARGE VEHICLES	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT AUTH	Manage	T10
2004		CMAQ	TRS-SWMT		1,097,236	877,789	0	Ċ	C	219,447	PURCHASE 2 ADDITIONAL LARGE VEHICLES	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT AUTH	Manage	T10
2005		CMAQ	CM-2	TR	3,992,592	3,194,073	0	C	C	798,519	SERVICE EXPANSION-PURCHASE 57- PASSENGER VEHICLES	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT COMM	Transit	A05
2006		CMAQ	91-595-18	ŤŘ	1,864,500	1,491,600	0	C	C		NEAR TH 101/TH 212-PASSENGER STATION, PARK/RIDE STALLS, ETC	SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT COMM	Transit	E6
2003		CSAH 3	163-020-31	Ві	2,120,000	1,696,000	0	O	C	424,000	CSAH 3(EXCELSIOR BLVD) OVER TH 100- BRIDGE WIDENING, TURN LANES, SIDEWALK, ETC(BRIDGE 27106)	ST LOUIS PARK	Preserve	E1

4-65 4-65

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		CITY		TR	11,660,000	5,830,000	0	0	0	5,830,000	WEST END AREA OF DOWNTOWN ST PAUL- MULTI-MODAL HUB	ST PAUL	Transit	<b>E</b> 6
2003		CITY		MC	562,500	0	450, <b>000</b>	0	0	Ĭ.	JOHNSON PKWY TO I-35E(PHALEN BLVD)- GRAD,SURF,RIGHT OF WAY,ETC(PHASE 3)	ST PAUL	Expand	A05
2003		CITY		MC	6,000,000	_	3,700,000	0	Õ	2,300,000	ON PHALEN BLVD FROM MISSISSIPPI ST TO PAYNE AVE & ON CAYUGA FROM WESTMINSTER TO PHALEN-GRAD, SURF, ETC & CONST ENGR(PHASE 1)	ST PAUL	Expand	A05
2003		CITY		MC	11,000,000		0,100,000	0	ő	2,900,000	OVER WESTMINSTER JUNCTION RAILROAD- CONST BR 62598 & CONST ENGR(PHASE 2)	ST PAUL	Expand	A05
2003		CITY	164-288-TC		2,187,500			O	Ō	437,500	PHALEN BLVD CORRIDOR-DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, & R/W	ST PAUL	Other	Ö1
2005		CITY		SH	654,000	588,600		0	Ö		ON WHITE BEAR AVE AT MARYLAND AVE IN ST PAUL-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	ST PAUL	Manage	E1
2006		CITY	62-665-41	SH	847,500	762,750		0	0		ON WHITE BEAR AVE AT MINNEHAHA AVE IN ST PAUL-CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	ST PAUL	Manage	\$2
2005		EN		EÑ	1,702,580			0	0		HARVEST STATES HEAD HOUSE & SACK HOUSE-ADAPTIVE REUSE OF GTA	ST PAUL	Other	O9
2006		EN		EN	1,765,060		0	0	o	635,060	UPPER LANDING PARK-MISSISSIPPI RIVERBANK IMPROVEMENTS	ST PAUL	Other	O6
2006		EN		EN	1,765,000		0	0	0	635,000	HARVEST STATES/HIGH BRIDGE BARGE FLEETING AREA-MISSISSIPPI RIVERBANK IMPROVEMENTS	ST PAUL	Other	O6
2006	ļ	EN		EN	635,060	406,800		0	Ō	228,260	COMMERCIAL NAVIGATION INTERPRETIVE MISSISSIPPI RIVER OVERLOOK	ST PAUL	Other	O9
2003	- 1			ВТ	905,000		0	0	0		CONSTRUCT BICYCLE/PED BR OVER BN RR N OF ENERGY PARK	ST PAUL	Trails	AQ2
2005				ВТ	1,031,903	825,522	0	0	0	206,381	SIGNING & STRIPING, REMOVAL OF PARKING ON VARIOUS STREETS IN ST PAUL TO EXTEND THE COMO AVE BIKEWAY	ST PAUL	Trails	AQ2
2003		TH 5		EN	1,772,000	1,242,000	0	0	Ó	530,000	FORT SNELLING STATE PARK TO MUNSTER ST-LANDSCAPE, LIGHTING, ETC	ST PAUL	Other	<b>O</b> 9
2005				BR	4,857,040	2,486,290	0	0	0	2,370,750	EARL STREET OVER 7TH ST & CNW RR- REPLACE BR 90420	ST PAUL	Replace	\$19
2004		CITY		MC.	2,569,000	0	2,055,000	0	89,000	425,000	ON 7TH AVE IN ST PAUL PARK- RECONSTRUCTION & CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	ST PAUL PARK	Expand	A05
2004		EN	164-090-008		1,815,738		0	0	0		LINKING PHALEN CREEK TRAIL, SWEDE HOLLOW PARK, & INDIAN MOUNDS PARK TO LOWERTOWNIGREAT RIVER RD TRAIL IN ST PAUL-CONSTRUCT LOV'ER PHALEN CREEK TRAIL(LIVABLE COMMUNITIES PROJECT)	ST PAUL PARK/REC	Other	O9
2005		EN	164-090-08	EN	872,000	697,600	0	0	0	174,400	COMO REGIONAL PARK PED/BIKE TRAIL-	ST PAUL PARKS & REC	Other	AQ2

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	۲rt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005		EN	164-595-05	EN	1,702,580	1,090,000	0	0	0	612,580	CHESTNUT PLAZA MISSISSIPPI RIVER CONNECTION	ST PAUL PARKS & REC	Other	<b>O</b> 6
2004		CMAQ	CM-3-99A	TM	2,320,234	1,856,187	0	0	0	464,047	REGIONAL TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT & COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	Manage	AQ1
2003		EN	209-090-002		804,904	643,923	0	0	O	160,981	ALONG CENTERVILLE RD FROM HORIZON AVE S TO EDGERTON ST-CONSTRUCT CENTERVILLE ROAD TRAIL	VADNAIS HEIGHTS	Other	09
		CSAH 8	082-608-007		5,056,200	4,044,960	Ö	0	Ô	1,011,240	ON CSAH 8 FROM TH 61 IN HUGO TO WASH/ANOKA CO LINE & ON ANOKA CSAH 14 FROM CO LINE TO 1-35E IN LINO LAKES- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE RDWY, PARK/RIDE, ETC	WASHINGTO N COUNTY	Expand	A05
2003			82-613-07	MC	2,756,000	2,204,800	0	0	0	551,200	ON HINTONTOWER DRIVE FROM 65TH IN COTTAGE GROVE TO MILITARY RD IN WOODBURY-4-LANE RDWY,TRAIL,SIGNALS, ETC	WASHINGTO N COUNTY	Expand	A05
2006			82-615-20	RC	5,763,000	4,610,400	0	0	Ō	1,152,600	TH 36 TO 0.3 MI N OF CSAH 12 IN WASHINGTON CO-RECONSTRUCT, SIGNALS, ETC	WASHINGTO N COUNTY	Replace	E2
2003			0212-43	AM	135,000	0	0	0	135,000	0	EAST OF FOLEY TO FLINTWOOD IN COON RAPIDS-ACCESS CLOSURES, FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION	ANOKA COUNTY	Other	NC
2003		TH 252	2748-49	MA	198,000	0	0	0	198,000	0	AT 85TH AVE IN BROOKLYN PARK- CONSTRUCT EDINBURGH TRAIL BRIDGE	BROOKLYN PARK	Other	AQ2
2003		TH 169	2750-61	AM	198,000	0	0	0	198,000	O	BETWEEN 114TH & 117TH AVE IN CHAMPLIN- CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	CHAMPLIN	Other	AQ2
2003	_		2722-63	AM	378,000	0	0	0	378,000	0	PIONEER TRAIL TO ROLLING HILLS RD IN CORCORAN-FRONTAGE RD CONSTRUCTION	CORCORAN	Other	NC
2003		TH 55	1909-77	AM	212,000	0	0	0	212,000	0	AT ARGENTA TRAIL-SIGNAL INSTALLATION & CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION	DAKOTA COUNTY	Other	S2
2003		TH 65	0208-114	АМ	139,500	0	0	0	139,500	O	AT CSAH 24 IN EAST BETHEL-FRONTAGE ROAD RECONSTRUCTION	EAST BETHEL	Other	NC
2003		TH 97	8201-13	АМ	378,000	0	0	0	378,000	0	EVERTON AVE TO FENWAY AVE IN FOREST LAKE-CONSTRUCT BACKAGE ROAD	FOREST LAKE	Other	NC
2003			2722-62	MA	501,120	0	Ö	0	501,120	0	FROM DOGWOOD TO GREENFIELD CITY LIMITS-ACCESS CLOSURES AND FRONTAGE	GREENFIELD	Other	NC
2003			6227-61	AM	197,650	0	0	0	197,650	0	ROAD CONSTRUCTION(ACCESS MGMT \$\$) LONG LAKE RD TO TH 244 IN MAHTOMEDITRAFFIC SIGNAL & ACCESS MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENTS	MAHTOMEDI	Other	E1
2004		TH 77	2758-27291	ÂМ	952,000	0	O	0	952,000	0	UNDER 66TH ST IN RICHFIELD-CONSTRUCT BR 27291	METRO AIRPORT COMMISSION	Other	<b>E</b> 3

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 77	2758-9195A		168,000	0	0	0	168,000		UNDER 66TH ST-OVERLAY, REPLACE JOINTS, REPAIR RAILINGS, ETC	METRO AIRPORT COMMISSION	Other	S19
2003			2710-31	MA		0	0	0	3,790,000	3,510,000	27TH AVE TO 37TH AVE IN MPLS-MEDIAN, MILL & OVERLAY, & CHANNELIZATION	MINNEAPOLI S	Other	E1
2005	LI	ITS	880M-ITS-05		500,000	0	0	0	500,000	0	NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2006		ITS	880M-1TS-06	TM	500,000	0	0	0	500,000	0	NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 3	1920-37	BR	600,000	480,000	. 0	0	120,000	0	OVER DITCH & CHUB CREEK S OF FARMINGTON-REPLACE BRS 3913 & 3914	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2003		TH 3	1921-74	BR	1,100,000	880,000	Ó	O	220,000	0	OVER VERMILLION RIVER N OF FARMINGTON-REPLACE BR 6696	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2003		TH 5	6201-9300A		127,200	0	0	0	127,200	0	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REHABILITATE MODULAR JOINTS ON BR 9300	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 5	6201-9489	ВІ	106,000	0	0	0	106,000	0	W 7TH ST UNDER MISSISSIPPI BLVD- REHABILITATE RAILING & COPING ON BRS 9489 & 9490	MNDOT	Preserve	S9
2006		TH 5	2701-43	SH	56,500	50,850	0	0	5,650	0	AT DELL RD IN EDEN PRAIRIE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003				RC	108,345		Ö	0	21,669	0	AT EXCELSIOR BLVD INTERCHANGE- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Replace	06
2005		TH 8	8213-21	SH	272,500	245,250	0	0	27,250	0	AT TH 61 NORTH RAMPS IN FOREST LAKE- RECONSTRUCT MEDIAN, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003				ВІ	770,000	0	0	0	770,000	0	OVER RUM RIVER & OVER BNSF RR IN ANOKA-DECK REPAIR & RAIL REHAB ON BRS 9700 & 9717	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2004		TH 10	0202-81	sc	254,400	0	0	0	127,200	127,200	AT RAMSEY BLVD IN RAMSEY-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2004		TH 10	0215-58	SC	318,000	0	0	0	318,000	Ô	FROM THURSTON AVE IN ANOKA TO FOLEY BLVD IN COON RAPIDS-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2005		TH 10	0202-80	RS	1,625,000	0	0	0	1,625,000	0	ANOKA-SHERBURNE CO LINE TO FAIROAK AVE-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 12	2713-66	BR	112,890	90,312	0	0	22,578	0	UNDER LUCE LINE TRAIL 4.5 MI W OF TH 494- REPLACE BR 4643	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2003		TH 12	2713-75	MC	36,000,000	3,600,000	0	22,000,000	2,400,000	8,000,000		MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003			2713-84RW		1,000,000	0	0	O	1,000,000	Ŏ	IN LONG LAKE-RIGHT OF WAY FOR PARK AND RIDE SITE	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 12	8825-63	SC	283,907	0	0.	0	283,907	Ö	ON TH 12 FROM W JCT CSAH 15 IN WAYZATA TO I-494 AND ON I-394 FROM I-494 TO RIDGEDALE DRIVE IN MINNETONKA- REPLACE "A" & "OH" SIGNS	MNOOT	Manage	O8

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	التساا	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 12	2713-75AC1		11,000,000	11,000,000	0	0	C	0	CO RD 6 TO WAYZATA BLVD-RELOCATE RR TRACK, RECONSTRUCT TH 12, INTERCHANGES, ETC-STAGE 1(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004		TH 12	2713-77	SC	1,165,000	0	0	0	1,165,000		AT CSAH 29(TOWNLINE RD) IN MAPLE PLAIN- CHANNELIZE, SIGNAL, ETC(\$0.75M OF ACCESS MGMT \$\$)		Manage	E1
2004		TH 12	2713-84	MC	400,000	0	0	0	400,000	0	IN LONG LAKE-CONSTRUCT PARK AND RIDE SITE	MNDOT	Expand	E6
2005		TH 12	2713-4859	₿I	2,000,000	0	0	O	2,000,000	O	UNDER BNSF RR WEST OF MAPLE PLAIN- REPLACE BR 4859	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005		TH 12	2713- <b>75</b> AC2	MC		11,000,000	0	0	0	o	CO RD 6 TO WAYZATA BLVD-RELOCATE RR TRACK, RECONSTRUCT TH 12, INTERCHANGES, ETC-STAGES 1 & 2(AC CONVERSION)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2005		TH 12	2713-83	MC	20,000,000		0	10,400,000	5,200,000	0	LUCE LINE TRAIL TO CO RD 6-GRADE, SURFACE ETC OF NEW INTERCHANGES AT WAYZATA BLVD & AT CO RD 6(STAGE 2)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2006		TH 12	2713-83AC	MC	10,400,000	10,400,000	0	0	Ô	0	CO RD 6 TO WAYZATA BLVD-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES, ETC(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		TH 13	1901-142	SH	265,000	238,500	0	0	26,500	Ō	AT MENDOTA HEIGHTS RD IN MENDOTA HEIGHTS-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 13	7001-88	RS	770,000	616,000	0	0	154,000	0	CSAH 21 TO CSAH 42-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 13	7001-91	SC	545,000	Ō	O	0	545,000	0	2.0 MI N OF TH 19 AT CSAH 2- CHANNELIZATION & TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2005		TH 19	4003-18	SC	545,000	0	0	0	545,000	O	AT CSAH 37 IN NEW PRAGUE- CHANNELIZATION & TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2005		TH 20	1903-06	RS	685,000	0	0	0	685,000	0	N OF TH 19 IN CANNON FALLS TO TH 50-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 25	1006-23	RS	2,170,000	0	0	0	2,170,000		TH 212 IN NORWOOD YOUNG AMERICA TO TH 7 IN WATERTOWN TWP-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		1-35	1980-64	TM	630,788	504,630	0	0.	126,158		CSAH 46 TO CRYSTAL LAKE RD IN LAKEVILLE-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		1-35	1980-19807/	ВІ	230,000	0	0	0	230,000	0	OVER CSAH 50 & UNDER 195TH ST IN LAKEVILLE-PAINT BRS 19807, 19808, & 19841	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		1-35	1980-66	SH	436,000	196,200	O.	0	239,800	0	AT CSAH 46 WEST RAMPS(HES) & EAST RAMPS(SC) IN LAKEVILLE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION & INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2005		1-35	1980-67	RC	2,500,000	0	0	0	2,500,000	0	AT CSAH 60 IN LAKEVILLE-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	E3
2005		1-35	8280-35	RB	2,530,000	0	0	2,024,000	506,000	0	ON SOUTHBOUND 1-35-RECONSTRUCT FOREST LAKE REST AREA(AC PROJECT- PAYBACK IN 2006)	MNDOT	Other	\$15

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Pri Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		I-35	8280-35AC	RВ	2,024,000	2,024,000	0	0	0	0	ON SOUTHBOUND I-35-RECONSTRUCT FOREST LAKE REST AREA(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Other	S15
2003		1-35Ë	1982-129AC	BR	12,000,000	12,000,000	0	0	0.	0	TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE & APPROACHES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Replace	A05
2003		1-35E	6280-6509	Ві	1,100,000	990,000	O	0	110,000	0	OVER ROSELAWN, CO RD B, & TH 36-REPAIR OVERLAY ON BRS 6509,6510,9117,9118,9119, 9120	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		1-35E	8825-54	sc	330,000	297,000	ļ	O	33,000	0	TH 77 IN EAGAN TO GRAND AVE IN ST PAUL- REPLACE "A" & "OH" SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	O8
2003		1-35E	8825-55	SC	250,000	225,000	l l	0	25,000		TH 77 IN EAGAN TO GRAND AVE IN ST PAUL- REPLACE "C" & "D" SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	80
2004		1-35E	1982-129AC	BR	12,000,000	12,000,000	Ō.	0	0,		TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE & APPROACHES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Replace	A05
2004		1-35E	6280-321	śc	318,000	0	0	0	318,000		GRAND AVE TO UNIVERSITY AVE IN ST PAUL-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2004		1-35E	6280-322	SC	415,500	0	0	O	415,500	0	AT TH 36 IN LITTLE CANADA-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	\$18
2004		I-35E	6280-6515A	Ві	700,000	0	0	0	700,000	0	OVER CAYUGA, BNSF RR, & ARCH-PENN- DECK REPAIR ON BRS 6515, 6517, 9265	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005		I-35E	6280-320	RS	1,475,000	0	ol	o	1,475,000	0	TH 5 TO KELLOGG BLVD-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006		1-35E	6280-319	МС	300,000	0	0	0	300,000	0	TH 13 IN LILYDALE TO SHEPARD RD IN ST PAUL-LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	O6
2003		1-35W	2782-279	sc	750,000	675,000	0	0	75,000	0	FROM 1-494 IN BLOOMINGTON TO WASHINGTON AVE IN MPLS-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		1-35W	2783-103	RX	90,000	0	0	0	90,000	0	OUTLET STRUCTURE OF 1-35W STORM SEWER NEAR THE 1-35W/MISS RIVER BRIDGE-REPAIR SHORELINE ON MISSISSIPPI RIVER	MNDOT	Preserve	O9
2003		1-35W	2783-104	RS	2,650,000	0	0	0	2,650,000	0	STINSON BLVD IN MINNEAPOLIS TO TH 36 IN ROSEVILLE-CONCRETE PAVEMENT REPAIR	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2003		1-35W	2783-105	sc	210,000	189,000	O	0	21,000	0	AT 1ST ST S & AT 2ND ST SE IN MINNEAPOLIS-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2003		1-35W	2783-27893	ВІ	1,450,000	0	0	0	1,450,000	O	OVER TH 88, STINSON, INDUSTRIAL, MC RR, 280 RAMPS, 36 OVER CLEVELAND-REPAIR OVERLAYS, JOINT REPLACEMENT & REHAB RAIL ON BRS 27893, 27895, 27897, 27899, 62860, 62853, 9277	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		1-35W	1981-99	RS	1,350,000	0	0	Ō	1,350,000	Ō	S JCT I-35E TO TH 13-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006	3	1-35W	2782-266	МС	150,000,000	0	0	127,500,000	22,500,000	0	66TH ST IN RICHFIELD TO MINNEHAHA CREEK IN MINNEAPOLIS-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC & HOV LANE(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A10

A-/

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		1-35W	2782-277	МС	4,000,000	0	0	0	4,000,000	0	79TH/80TH ST OVER I-35W-CONSTRUCT BRIDGE 27R05(DEBT MGMT PAYBACK FOR 2002-2003 CONSTRUCTION)	MNDOT	Expand	S19
2003		TH 36	8217-15	BR	42,400	0	0	0	42,400		MUSSELL RELOCATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ST CROIX RIVER BRIDGE	MNDOT	Replace	NC
2004		TH 36	6212-152	SC	212,000	0	0	0	212,000	0	I-35W TO I-35E IN ROSEVILLE & LITTLE CANADA-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2004		TH 36	6212-153	SC	395,000	0	0	0	395,000	[	AT TH 61 IN MAPLEWOOD-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2004		TH 36	6212-9212	ВІ	790,000	0	0	0	790,000		UNDER CP RAIL, EDGERTON & ARCADE; OVER CLEVELAND-PAINT BRS 9212,62006, 62007,9276 & 9277	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 36		В	5,000,000	5,000,000	0	Ö	0	0	OVER ST CROIX RIVER NEAR STILLWATER- REHABILITATE BR 4654	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 36	8825-116	sc	212,000	0	0	0	212,000		FROM WHITE BEAR AVE IN MAPLEWOOD TO TH 95 IN OAK PARK HEIGHTS-REPLACE SIGNING	1.0	Manage	O8
2005	4	TH 36	8217-12	BR	20,000,000	0	0	8,000,000	2,000,000	10,000,000	OVER ST CROIX RIVER NEAR STILLWATER & OAK PARK HEIGHTS-REPLACE BR 4654 & APPROACHES(STAGE 1)		Replace	A05
2006		TH 36	6211-81	MC	7,500,000	6,000,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	AT MCKNIGHT RD IN NORTH ST PAUL- CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	E3
2006		TH 36	8204-48	SH	508,500	457,650	0	0	33,900	i	AT CSAH 17 IN LAKÉ ELMO- CHANNELIZATION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION, ETC(\$\$ ARE STP & HES)	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2006		TH 36	8214-9115	ВІ	1,695,000	1,356,000	0	0	339,000	0	EB TH 36 OVER TH 95 OAK PARK HEIGHTS- REPAIR BR 9115	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 41	1008-51A	AM	3,000,000	0	0	0	3,000,000	0	TH 212 TO ENGLER RD IN CHASKA- RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE ROADWAY(MNDOT PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		TH 41	7010-20	SH	1,525,000	981,000	0	0	544,000	0	AT TH 169-SIGNAL REVISION, ACCESS CLOSURES, FRONTAGE RD, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2005		TH 41	1008-9010	BR	4,000,000	0	0	0	4,000,000	0	OVER MINNESOTA RIVER AT SCOTT/CARVER CO LINE IN CHASKA- REPLACE BR 9010	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2003		TH 47	0206-49A	RC	2,120,000	1,696,000	0	0	424,000	0	ST FRANCIS TO THE N ANOKA CO LINE- RECONSTRUCT, WIDEN SHOULDERS, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	S13
2005		TH 47	0205-82	sc	245,000	0	0	0	245,000	0	AT 85TH AVE IN BLAINE-CONSTRUCT DUAL LEFT TURN & SB ACCELERATION LANE	MNDOT	Manage	S19
2006		TH 47	0205-81	SН	226,000	203,400	0	0	22,600	0	AT OSBORNE RD IN FRIDLEY-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 51	6215-85	RS	390,000	0	0	ō	390,000	d	DAYTON AVE TO TAYLOR AVE IN ST PAUL- BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 51	6216-117	sc	60,000	o	0	0	60,000	O	AT CO RD E IN ARDEN HILLS-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S18

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 51	6216-116	SC	383,000		0	0	245,000	<u>L</u>	AT CO RD B IN ROSEVILLE-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL & INSTALL RIGHT TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	<b>E</b> 3
2003		TH 52	1906-47	MC	8,550,000	0	Ö	0	6,550,000	<u> </u>	RR REALIGNMENT PORTION OF THE 117TH ST INTERCHANGE	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		TH 52	1907-63	MC	16,400,000	O	0	0	1,200,000	15,200,000	AT 117TH ST IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS- CONSTRUCT NEW INTERCHANGE, BRIDGE, FR RD, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		TH 52	1907-63RW		4,700,000	0	O	0	3,700,000	1,000,000	AT 117TH ST IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS- RIGHT OF WAY FOR NEW INTERCHANGE, RR REALIGNMENT, ETC	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 52	8825-64	sc	100,000		0	0	100,000	0	TH 19 TO 1-494 IN INVER GROVE HTS- REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2004		TH 52	1906-48	RC	3,100,000		0	0	3,100,000	0	AT CSAH 47 IN HAMPTON-GRADE SEPARATION, FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	NC
2004		TH 52	1928-49	NO	530,000		0	0		0	E SIDE OF TH 52 FROM THOMPSON AVE TO BROMLEY AVE IN SOUTH ST PAUL-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		О3
2004		TH 52		ВІ	1,020,000	0	O	0	1,020,000	0	OVER RR & EATON ST.PLATO CONCORD. & MISS: SSIPPI RIVER IN ST PAUL-DECK REPAIR ON BRS 62026, 62027, 62045, & 9800	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2005		TH 52	1907-64	MC	75,000		0	0	75,000	0	AT 117TH ST INTERCHANGE IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	<u>06</u>
2005		TH 52	1928-48	RS	1,770,000	L	0	0	1,770,000	0	I-494 TO TH 56-CONCRETE REHABILITATION	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2003		TH 55	1909-83	SH	265,000		0	0	26,500	0	AT EAGANDALE BLVD IN EAGAN-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 55	1910-41	RB	100,000		0	0	100,000		W CORP LIMITS OF HASTINGS TO TH 61- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Other	<b>O</b> 6
2003		TH 55	2723-109	RS	2,000,000	1,600,000	0	0	400,000	0	ROCKFORD RD TO 1-494-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 55	2724-112	MC	318,000	0	Ō	0	318,000	0	FROM 46TH ST TO 50TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	06
2003		TH 55	2724-113	МС	318,000	0	0	0	318,000	O	FROM 50TH ST TO 54TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	06
2003		TH 55	2725-XX	MC	12,000,000	5,300,000	0.	4,300,000	2,400,000	·	FROM 54TH ST TO TH 62 & ON TH 62- CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE & PORTIONS OF TH 55 & TH 62(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004		TH 55		RS	910,000	0	0	0	910,000	O	MENDOTA HEIGHTS RD IN MENDOTA HEIGHTS TO ARGENTA TRAIL IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19
2004		TH 55	1910-38	sc	1,590,000	Ō	0	٥	1,590,000	0	ATE JCT CSAH 42-REALIGN INTERSECTION, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 55	1910-39	RS	745,000	0	0	0	745,000	O	0.3 MI W OF HASTINGS CITY LIMITS TO TH 61 IN HASTINGS-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 55	2722-64	SC	254,400	0	0	Ö	127,200	127,200	AT CSAH 19 IN MEDINA-REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	E2

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 55		ВІ	100,000	0	0	o	100,000		WB OVER UP RR IN PLYMOUTH & OVER CP RR IN GOLDEN VALLEY-PAINT BR 6721 & PARTIAL PAINT BR 5891	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 55		MC	4,300,000	4,300,000	0	0	0		FROM 54TH ST TO TH 62 & ON TH 62- CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE & PORTIONS OF TH 55 & TH 62(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2005		TH 55	2724-115	RS	535,000	0	0	0	535,000	]	32ND ST TO 46TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		TH 55	2725-58	MC	337,080	0	0	0	337,080	0	FROM 54TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS TO TH 62- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	06
2005		TH 55	2751-49	RS	675,000	0	0	0	675,000	0	1.4 MI W OF I-94 TO I-94-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 56	1911-19	RS	1,385,000	0	0	0	1,385,000		CSAH 88 IN RANDOLPH TO TH 50 IN HAMPTON-BITUMINOUS SEAL COAT	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2003		TH 61	1913-59	Ві	400,000	0	0	o	400,000	0	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AT HASTINGS- REMOVE DEBRIS & REPAIR BR 5895	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 61	6220-65	sc	100,000	0	. 0	0	100,000	0	AT LOWER AFTON ROAD IN ST PAUL- INSTALL DUAL LEFT TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 61	6222-142	Ві	275,000	0	O	Ö	275,000	0	UNDER TH 61 0.5 MI S OF CO RD E & COUNTY DITCH 11 NEAR CSAH 96-REPLACE CULVERTS	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 61	6222-6692	ВІ	137,800	Ō	0	0	137,800	0	OVER BIKE TRAIL 1.2 MI S OF TH 36- OVERLAY & JOINTS ON BR 6692	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2003		TH 61	8205-104	RS	850,000	0	0	o	850,000	0	MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO TH 10 NEAR HASTINGS-MILL & OVERLAY, ETC	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 61	8205-106	TR	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1,000,000	IN COTTAGE GROVE-CONSTRUCT PARK & RIDE SITE	MNDOT	Transit	E6
2003		TH 61	8205-99AC1	MC	4,200,000	4,200,000	0	0	0	0	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON 1-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004		TH 61	6222-62092	BR	2,600,000	0	0	0	2,600,000	0	OVER RR NE OF JCT TH 244-REPLACE BR 6688	MNDOT	Replace	S19
2004		TH 61	8205-9071	ВІ	240,000	0	0	0	240,000	0	UNDER CHEMOLITE RD & CSAH 22, CSAH 22 OVER CP RAIL-PAINT BRS 9071, 9410 & 9411	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 61	8205-99AC2	MC	4,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	0	0	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON 1-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2005		TH 61	8205-100	МС	17,075,000	0	5,475,000	8,200,000	3,400,000	0	VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE- RECONSTRUCT TH 61 AND ST PAUL PARK INTERCHANGE, FR RDS, BRS, ETC(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A10

1-73

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed\$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		TH 61	8205-100AC	MC	4,800,000	4,800,000	0	0	0	O	VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE- RECONSTRUCT TH 61 & ST PAUL PARK INTERCHANGE, FR RDS, BRS, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2006		TH 61	8207-54	sc	382,024	0	0	0	382,024	0	IN FOREST LAKE-ADD 12 TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2006		TH 61	8207-55	sc	1,250,000	0	O	0	1,250,000	0	ATS JCT TH 97 IN FOREST LAKE TOWNSHIP- REALIGNMENT, TURN LANES, SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 62	2774-07	RS	5,000,000	4,000,000	0	Ō	1,000,000	0	TH 100 TO I-35W-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 62	2774-10	SC	380,000	0	0	Ö	380,000	0	AT XERXES AVE RAMP TERMINII IN RICHFIELD, MINNEAPOLIS, AND EDINA- REBUILD SIGNAL SYSTEM & INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 62	2774-7263	ВІ	1,300,000	1,040,000	0	Ō	260,000	0	UNDER TH 100, PED BRIDGE, FRANCE AVE, XERXES AVE, & PENN AVE IN EDINA & RICHFIELD-PAINT BRS. 9500, 27520, 7263, 27504, & 7268; & OTHER MISC REPAIRS	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		TH 62	2775-11	sc	380,000	0	Ó	0	380,000	0	AT PORTLAND AVE RAMP TERMINII IN RICHFIELD & MINNEAPOLIS-REBUILD SIGNAL SYSTEM & INTERCONNECTION	MNDOT	Manage	S10
2005		TH 62	2775-12	RS	1,045,000	0	O	0	1,045,000	0	PORTLAND AVE TO TH 77-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 65	0207-77	sc	220,000	0	O	0	220,000	0	AT MISSISSIPPI ST IN FRIDLEY-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REBUILD	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 65	0208-107	SH	450,000	405,000	0	0	45,000	0	AT 117TH ST IN BLAINE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL & CHANNELIZATION	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 65	2710-2440	ВІ	1,770,200	0	0	0	1,770,200	ō	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER & OVER BNSF RR- OVERLAY & REPAIR JOINTS ON BR 2440; REPAIR JOINTS ON BR 27164	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 65	0207-80	sc	254,400	0	0	0	127,200	127,200	AT OSBORNE RD IN SPRING LAKE PARK- REBUILD TRAFFIC SIGNAL	MNDOT	Manage	<b>E</b> 2
2004		TH 65	0207-81	NO	850,000	0	0	0	850,000	0	W SIDE OF TH 65 FROM MISSISSIPPI ST N TO RICE CREEK TERRACE NE IN FRIDLEY-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		O3
2004		TH 65	0208-115	sc	250,000	0	0	0	250,000	0	AT CROSSTOWN BLVD(CSAH 18) IN HAM LAKE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REBUILD & INTERCONNECT	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2005		TH 65	0208-116	RS	3,925,000	3,140,000	0	0	785,000		0.2 MI S OF 153RD AVE IN HAM LAKE TO 217TH AVE NE IN EAST BETHEL-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003	7		2786-116	MC	11,300,000	5,800,000	0	0	0	5,500,000	ZANE AVE TO TH 100-TEMP WIDEN OUTSIDE, REPLACE PAVEMENT & AL D 3RD LANE FROM ZANE TO CSAH 152	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		1-94	2786-120	RS	2,500,000	2,250,000	0	0	250,000	0	BROOKLYN BLVD TO TH 252-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
.003		1-94	6282-184	NO	700,000		0	0	700,000	0	ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF 1-94 FROM CRETIN AVE TO WILDER-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		О3
2003		I- <b>94</b>	6282-9377	ВІ	2,400,000	2,160,000	0	0	240,000		UNDER SNELLING, PASCUAL, HAMLINE, LEXINGTON, VICTORIA, DALE ST, 4 PED BRS- PAINT BRS 62849, 9377, 9379, 9381, 9382, 9383, 9736, 9663, 9773, 9387, & 9737	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		1-94	6283-155	ТМ	2,800,000	[	0	0	2,800,000		MOUNDS BLVD TO W JCT TH 95-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		1-94	8282-95	SC	325,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0	0	32,500	0	FROM I-694 TO ST CROIX RIVER-REPLACE SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	Ö8
2003		1-94	8282-96	RB	444,253	l	0	0	88,851	O	AT ST CROIX TRAFFIC INFO CENTER-SITE REHABILITATION, SIGNING, LIGHTING, ETC	MNDOT	Other	S15
2004		1-94		ВІ	350,000		0	٥	350,000	0	OVER ELM CREEK & RICE LAKE-PAINT BRS 27967, 27968, 27969, & 27670	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		I-94	2780-57	TM	900,000	0	0	0	900,000	0	FROM 95TH IN MAPLE GROVE TO TH 101 IN ROGERS-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		1-94	2781-27727		100,000	0	0	0	100,000	0	ON RAMP OVER GLENWOOD & RR IN MINNEAPOLIS-PARTIAL PAINT BRS 27727B & 27728	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-94	2786-117	MC	15,000,000	9.800,000	0	3,000,000	2,200,000	0	FROM W JCT I-494 TO TH 169-TEMP WIDEN, REPLACE PAVEMENT, ADD 3RD LANE, ETC(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2004		I- <b>94</b> -	6282-62808	ВІ	1,380,000	0	Ó	0	1,380,000	0	WB OVER TH 280 RAMPS & TH 280 UNDER MC RR & WABASH; NB OVER RAMPS-PAINT BRS 62808,62812,62842,62843, & 62844	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-94		RS	2,510,000	_,,	0	0	251,000	0	MOUNDS BLVD TO 0.5 MI E OF TH 61 IN ST PAUL-CONCRETE PAVEMENT REPAIR	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-94	6283-168	RS	1,800,000	1,620,000	0	O	180,000	O	0.2 MI E OF RUTH ST IN ST PAUL TO 0.3 MI E OF RAMSEY/WASHINGTON CO LINE IN WOODBURY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-94	8282-92	RS	4,320,000	3,888,000	0	0	432,000	O	TH 120 TO ST CROIX RIVER-CONCRETE RETROFIT	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005		1-94	2781-27851	Ві	200,000	0	O	Ó	200,000	0	I-94 UNDER PORTLAND AVE, PARK AVE, CHICAGO AVE & I-35W UNDER E FRANKLIN AVE IN MPLS-MILL & PATCH BRS 27851, 27852, 27853, & 27872	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
<b>20</b> 05		1-94	2781-9421	Ві	1,800,000	0	0	0	1,800,000	0	UNDER RIVERSIDE AVE IN MINNEAPOLIS- REDECK BR 9421	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005		1-94	2786-117AC		3,000,000	3,000,000	0	0	0	0	FROM W JCT 1-494 TO TH 169-TEMP WIDEN, REPLACE PAVEMENT, ADD 3RD LANE, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005		1-94	8281-9400A		8,056,000	0	0	Ö	4,028,000	4,028,000	WB OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT HUDSON- REDECK BR 9400(WISCONSIN LET)	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2006		1-94	8281-9400B	ВІ	6,800,000	3,060,000	0	0	340,000	3,400,000	WB OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT HUDSON- PAINT BR 9400(WISCONSIN LET)	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 95	8825-88	RD	420,000	0	0	0	420,000	0	ON TH 95 FROM I-94 TO TAYLORS FALLS- CULVERT REPLACEMENT	MNDOT	Preserve	S19

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH 97	8212-20	RD	25,000	0	0	0	25,000	0	NEAR IDEAL AVE IN FOREST LAKE TOWNSHIP-REPLACE CULVERT	MNDOT	Preserve	<b>S</b> 19
2003		TH 100	2733-81	SC	25,000	0	0	O	25,000	0	AT W 50TH ST RAMP TERMINII IN EDINA- TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION & MASTER MONITOR SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	TS
2003		TH 100	2734-39	sc	440,000	0	0	0	440,000	0	AT W 50TH ST E & AT W RAMPS IN EDINA- TRAFFIC SIGNAL REBUILD	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 100	2735-159AC.		10,000,000		0	0	0	0	39TH AVE N TO INDIANA AVE- RECONSTRUCT EXPRESSWAY, NEW INTERCHANGE AT CSAH 81, ETC(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	E3
2003	8	TH 100	2755-75	MC	21,000,000		O	12,200 <b>,000</b>	4,200,000	0	INDIANA AVENUE TO 50TH AVE N-GRAD. SURF, BRS, ETC- UPGRADE TO FREEWAY(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		TH 100	2755-78	sc	190,000	0	0	0	190,000	Ō	AT CSAH 152 & AT CSAH 10 IN BROOKLYN CENTER-REPLACE LIGHTING SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	\$18
2004		TH 100	2735-5598	BI	100,000	0	0	0	100,000	0	UNDER MINNETONKA BLVD IN ST LOUIS PARK-DECK REPAIR & RAIL REHAB ON BR 5598	MNDOT	Preserve	<b>S</b> 19
2004		TH 100	2755-75AC1		6,100,000	5,100,000	0	Ó	0	0	INDIANA AVENUE TO 50TH AVE N-GRAD. SURF, BRS, ETC- UPGRADE TO FREEWAY(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2005		TH 100	2733-9895	Ві	1,140,000	0	0	Ö.	1,140,000	0	UNDER PED BRS, EDEN AVE, 50TH ST, MINNEHAHA CR, & EXCELSIOR BLVD; OVER 44TH ST IN EDINA & ST LOUIS PARK-PAINT BRS 9895,9896,27029,27102,27103,27104, 27105,27106	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 100	2755-75AC2		6,100,000	7,100,000	0	0	0	0	INDIANA AVENUE TO 50TH AVE N-GRAD, SURF, BRS, ETC- UPGRADE TO FREEWAY(AC CONVERSION)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		TH 101	1009-15	ВІ	318,000	O.	0	Ó	318,000	0	OVER BLUFF CREEK NEAR TH 212-REPLACE BR 1822	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005		TH 101	1009-14	SC	545,000	0	0	0	545,000	0	AT PIONEER TRAIL IN CHANHASSEN- CHANNELIZATION & TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 120	6227-56	sc	700,000	O	0	0	700,000	0	AT I-694 & AT JOY ROAD-TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, WIDEN ROADWAY, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 120	6227-58	SC	795,000	0	0	0	795,000	0	AT LOWER AFTON RD IN WOODBURY/MAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL INSTALLATION & CHANNELIZATION	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 120	6227-60	RS	1,770,000	0	0	0	1,770,000	0	ATH ST N IN MAPLEWOOD TO 0.2 MIN OF CO RD D IN WHITE BEAR LAKE-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2004		TH 120	8220-9883	ВІ	500,000	O	0	0	500,000	0	OVER I-494 IN WOODBURY-REHABILITATE BRS 9883 & 82017	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2005		TH 120	6227-57	SC	1,460,680	0	0	0	1,460,680		1-94 TO CONWAY AVE IN MAPLEWOOD- FRONTAGE RD EXTENSION, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E2

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	التسا	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2005		TH 120	8220-9883A		2,000,000	0	0	0	2,000,000		OVER I-494 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BRS 9883 & 82017	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2006		TH 120	8220-9883B		3,000,000	2,400,000	0	0	600,000		OVER I-494 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BRS 9883 & 82017	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 149	1916-23	sc	40,000	O	0	Ó	,,,,,	0	AT OPPERMAN/BECKER RD(CO RD 73) IN EAGAN-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 149	6223-62090		265,000	0	0	0		0	OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER & RR- REHABILITATE MODULAR JOINTS ON HIGH BRIDGE 62090	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 149	1916-21	SC	393,260	O	Ò	0	393,260	0	AT WESCOTT RD IN EAGAN/INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-REALIGN INTERSECTION, RESTRIPING, TURN LANES, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 149	1916-22	SC	75,000	0	0	0	75,000	0	AT S JCT TH 55 IN EAGAN-INSTALL FREE- RIGHT TURN FROM EB TH 149 TO SB TH 55	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2005		TH 149	1916-24	RS	680,000	0	0	0		j l	TH 3 TO S JCT TH 55-MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 169	2750-6890	ВІ	106,000	O		0		i	OVER ELM CREEK-OVERLAY BRS 6890 & 6891	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
		TH 169	2776-02	RW	10,000,000	0	0	0	0		AT ANDERSON LAKES PARKWAY & AT PIONEER TRAIL-RAW ACQUISITION FOR FUTURE INTERCHANGE CONSTRUCTION	MNDOT	Other	04
2003		TH 169	7008-45	RW	5,000,000	0	0	0	0	5,000,000	IN BELLE PLAINE-RW ACQUISITION FOR FUTURE INTERCHANGE CONSTRUCTION	MNDOT	Other	04
2004		TH 169	2772-27079	Ві	225,000	0	0	0	225,000	0	OVER TH 62/212 & OVER MINNETONKA BLVD- DECK REPAIR ON BRS 27079, 27080, & 27531	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		TH 169	2772-38	NO	600,000	Ö	0	0	600,000	0	ON EAST SIDE OF TH 169 FROM 30TH AVE N TO 36TH AVE N IN NEW HOPE-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT	-	O3
2006		TH 169	2750-57	MC	7,768,750	6,215,000	0	0	1,553,750	0	S OF CSAH 81 TO N OF CSAH 109 IN BROOKLYN PARK-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, BRIDGES, PARK/RIDE, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2003		TH 212	1012-20	RS	2,200,000	1,760,000	0	Ö	440,000	0	W JCT TH 25 TO CO RD 134-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 212	2745-29	SC	1,400,000	Ò	0	0	900,000	500,000	AT VALLEY VIEW RD IN EDEN PRAIRIE- CHANNELIZATION, RESTRIPING, ETC	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2005		TH 212		RS	785,000	0	0	Ō	785,000	0	NORWOOD-YOUNG AMERICA TO COLOGNE- MILL & BITUMINOUS OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2005	9		<u> </u>	МС	325,000	0	0	0	325,000	0	CSAH 4 TO 0.5 MI E OF MITCHELL RD- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	06
2004		TH 244	8219-20	R\$	1,110,000	0	0	0	1,110,000	0	RIDGE WAY IN MAHTOMEDI TO TH 96 IN DELLWOOD-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10
2004		TH 280	6241-62853		310,000	0	0	Ö	310,000	0	NB UNDER I-35W RAMP IN ROSEVILLE-PAINT BR 62853	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006		TH 280	6241-41	RC	7,975,000	6,380,000	0	0	1,595,000	ł	N OF LARPENTEUR AVE IN LAUDERDALE TO TH 36/1-35W IN ROSEVILLE-GRADING, SURFACING, ACCESS MANAGEMENT, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	A10

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Үеаг	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		TH 280	6241-47	SH	232,000	208,800	0	0	23,200	0	HENNEPIN AVE TO I-35W-INSTALL LIGHTING AND CONTINUOUS MEDIAN	MNDOT	Manage	\$2
2006		TH 280	6241-48	sc	580,000	0	o	0	580,000	0	AT BROADWAY ST IN LAUDERDALE & AT CO RD B IN ROSEVILLE-REBUILD SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004	:	TH 282	7011-20	RS	1,630,000	0	0	0	1,630,000	0	TH 21 IN JORDAN TO TH 13 IN SPRING LAKE TWP-BITUMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 316	1926-16	SH	450,000	405,000	0	0.	45,000	0.	AT 190TH STREET IN RAVENNA TWP- REALIGN INTERSECTION & ADD TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2003		TH 316	1926-17	RD	4,650,000	3,720,000	0	0	930,000		S JCT TH 61 TO N JCT TH 61 IN HASTINGS- MILL & OVERLAY, SHOULDER WIDENING, ETC(GOODHUE CO PORTION BEING PAID OUT OF ATP 6)	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		1-394	2789-117	SC	120,000	0.	0	0	120,000		AT RIDGEDALE DRIVE RAMP TERMINII & S FRONTAGE RD IN MINNETONKA-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISIONS	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003	11		2785-301	MC	34,300,000	6,200,000	0		1,700,000		E OF W BUSH LAKE RD TO TH 100-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		1-494	2785-327	MC	36,700,000	0	0				TH 5 TO E OF W BUSH LAKE RD-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2003		1-494	2785-331	sc	80,000	0	0	0	80,000	0	E JCT TH 5 TO W JCT I-94-CAMERA & END EQUIPMENT PRESERVATION	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
	10	1-494	8285-80	MC		2,800,000	0	110,000,000	19,900,000		ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON I-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING SURFACING BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2004		I-494	1985-124	SC	70,000	0	o	0	70,000	0	ON RAMP FROM SB TH 52 TO WB 1-494- INSTALLATION OF SLOTTED VANE DRAINS	MNDOT	Manage	S2
2004		1-494	2785-27906		350,000	0	0	0	350,000	1	AT W JCT I-94, 49TH AVE N, CP RAIL, & CO RD 47 IN MAPLE GROVE & PLYMOUTH-DECK REPAIR ON BRS 27906, 27907, 27973, 27974, 27975, 27976, 27977, & 27978	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2004		1-494	2785-301AC		11,400,000	11,400,000	0	0	Ō	0	E OF W BUSH LAKE RD TO TH 100-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2004		i-494	2785-9834A		630,000	0	0	0	630,000		UNDER CHESIRE LN, CSAH 9, & FISH LAKE RD; OVER 49TH AVE N & CP RAIL-PAINT BRS 9834, 27972, 27905, 27973, 27974, 27975 & 27976	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		1-494	8285-79AC1	MC	11,900,000	11,900,000	0	0	ō	1	VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-CONSTRUCT NORTH RING ROAD, BAILEY, MAXWELL, TH 61, 11 BRIDGES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05

A-/

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		I-494	8285-80AC1		22,000,000	22,000,000	0	O	0	a	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON I-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005		1-494	2785-301AC			11,500,000	0	Ō	0		E OF W BUSH LAKE RD TO TH 100-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005		1-494		RS	5,618,000	5,056,200	0	0	561,800	0	34TH AVE TO TH 100-OVERLAY, GUARDRAIL, MEDIAN BARRIER, CULVERTS, ETC	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19
2005		1-494	8285-74	TM	3,000,000		0	2,400,000	600,000		ON 1-494/694 FROM DAKOTA CO LINE TO TH 36-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM(AC PROJECT)	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
2005		1-494	8285-79AC2			11,800,000		0	0	0	VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-CONSTRUCT NORTH RING ROAD, BAILEY, MAXWELL, TH 61, 11 BRIDGES(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2005		1-494	8285-80AC2	_		22,000,000	0	0	0		ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON I-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING, SURFACING BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2006		1-494	2785-328	MC.	4,700,000	0	0	0	4,700,000	0	AT PENN AVE IN RICHFIELD-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC(DEBT MGMT PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A05
2006		1-494	8285-74AC	TM	2,400,000		0	0	0	Ó	ON 1494/694 FROM DAKOTA CO LINE TO TH 36-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
2006		I- <b>4</b> 94	8285-80AC3	МС	22,000,000	22,000,000	0	0	0	0	ON TH 61 FROM ST PAUL PARK TO CARVER AVE & ON I-494 FROM LAKE RD TO CONCORD ST-GRADING SURFACING BRS, ETC -WAKOTA BRIDGE PROJECT(AC PAYBACK)	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2003		TH 610	0217-18	MC	492,900	Ó	0	0	492,900	0	W RIVER RD TO COON RAPIDS BLVD- LANDSCAPING	MNDOT	Expand	S10
2003		TH 610	2771-TCSP-	PL	2,000,000	1,600,000	0	0	400,000	0	I-94 TO TH 169-DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, RW	MNDOT	Other	01
2004		TH 610		MC	9,000,000	0	7,200,000	0	1,800,000	0	REALIGN CSAH 81 IN THE VICINITY OF TH 610-GRADING, SURFACING, BR, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2004		TH 610	2771-32	MO	17,750,000	0	14,200,000	0	3,550,000	0	AT CSAH 130, RANCHVIEW, ZACHARY LN, JEFFERSON, PED BR, REVERE LN, HEMLOCK, FERNBROOK-CONSTRUCT OVERPASSES & APPROACHES, ETC	MNDOT	Expand	A10
2003		1-694	0285-61	sc	35,000	O	0,	0	35,000	O	AT E RIVER RD S RAMP IN FRIDLEY-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION/REBUILD & INTERCONNECT	MNDOT	Manage	<b>E</b> 2
2003		1-694	6285-128	TM	800,000	0	0	0	800,000	Ö	I-35W IN NEW BRIGHTON & ARDEN HILLS TO RICE ST IN SHOREVIEW & VADNAIS HTS- INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		1-694	8286-58	NO	400,000	0	0	0	400,000		ON THE WEST SIDE OF I-694 FROM UPPER 36TH ST TO 38TH ST IN OAKDALE-NOISE ABATEMENT	MNDOT		О3
2004		1-694	6285-125	RC	7,500,000	0	0	0			AT TH 49(RICE ST) IN VADNAIS HEIGHTS/SHOREVIEW-REPLACE BR 6580, APPROACHES, ETC	MNDOT	Replace	A10
2004		1-694	6286-46	SC	200,000	0	0	0	200,000		EB I-694 OFF RAMP TO TH 61 IN MAPLEWOOD-WIDEN RAMP FOR DUAL RIGHT TURN LANES	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2005		1-694	8286-56	MC	870,000	0	0	0	870,000	0	AT 10TH ST IN OAKDALE-CONSTRUCT NB ON RAMP	MNDOT	Expand	E3
2006		1-694	6285-9209	Bi	879,800	791,820	0	0	87,980	0	OVER ISLAND LAKE CHAIN-WIDEN & REDECK BRS 9209 & 9210	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2006		1-694	6285-9301	Ві	848,000	763,200	0	0	84,800	0	EB OVER NB TH 51 & OVER SB TH 51 RAMP- REHAB DECK ON BRS 9301,9302	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19
2006		Н 999	880M-TE-06.		600,000	0	0	0		0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CORRIDOR GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR 2006	MNDOT	Manage	S9
2003		ITS	880M-ITS-03	8 II	500,000	0	0	0	500,000		NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		ITS	880M-ITS-04	ТМ	500,000	0	0	0	500,000	0	NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		TH 999	8200-10	RB	75,000	0	0	0	75,000	Ö	IN WILLIAM O'BRIEN STATE PARK-RESTORE MINNOW PONDS WETLAND	MNDOT	Other	NĊ
2003		TH 999	8200-11	RB	150,000	0	0	0	150,000	0	NEAR CARPENTER NATURE CENTER- RESTORE WETLAND FOR TH 10 MITIGATION	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 999	880M-BI-03	ВІ	900,000	0	0	0	900,000	0	AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN METRO DIVISION-BRIDGE REPAIRS IN FY 2003	MNDOT	Preserve	S19
2003		TH 999	880M-CD-03		14,200,000	0	0	0	14,200,000		METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN-2003	MNDOT	Other	01
2003		TH 999	880M-PF-03	<u> </u>	40,000	0	0	0	40,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	O6
2003		TH 999	880M-RB-03		100,000	0	0	0			METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	O6
2003		TH 999	880M-RS-03		1,500,000	0	0				METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING & RECONDITIONING PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 999	880M-RW-0:	1 1		0	0	0	20,500,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 999	880M-RX-03	<u> </u>	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000	0		MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2003		TH 999	880M-SA-03		10,000,000	0	0	0	10,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Other	NC
2003		TH 999	880M-SC-03		750,000	0	0	Ō	750,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TURN LANE & TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2003		TH 999	880M-TE-03	SC	725,000	o	0	Ō	725,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR HYDRAULICS & GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Manage	NC

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Рп	Route		Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		TH <b>99</b> 9	880M-TR-03		1,000,000	0	0	0	1,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2003	MNDOT	Маладе	<b>S</b> 7
2003		TH <b>99</b> 9	8825-100	sc	150,000	0	0	0	150,000	0	METROWIDE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER/CABINET REPLACEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	E2
2003		TH 999	8825-101	sc	1,000,000	0	0	0	1,000,000		METROWIDE-REPLACE CROSS STREET & RAMP SIGNING AT NUMEROUS LOCATIONS ON THE 1-494/1-694 RING	MNDOT	Manage	08
2003		TH 999	8825-107	RX	250,000	200,000.	0	0	50,000		URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2003		TH 999	8825-53	sc	300,000	0	0	0	300,000		METROWIDE-REPLACE & UPGRADE ADVANCE WARNING FLASHERS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-56	SC	80,000	0	0	0	80,000		METROWIDE-LIGHTING CABINET REPLACEMENTS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		TH 999	8825-59	SC	80,000	0	0	0	80,000	0	METROWIDE-RELOCATE REOCCURING LIGHTING KNOCKDOWNS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		TH 999	8825-72	TM	100,000	0	0	0	100,000	0	METOWIDE-INDIVIDUALIZE JOINED RAMP METERS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-75	sc	53,000	0	0	0	53,000	0	AT 5 RURAL LOCATIONS IN METRO- INTERSECTION LIGHTING	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2003		TH 999	8825-89	MT	120,000	Ó	O	O	120,000		DIVISIONWIDE-UPGRADE/ADDITIONAL VIDEO EQUIPMENT FOR INCIDENT MANAGEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		TH 999	8825-92	TM	400,000	Ó	0	0	400,000	0	METROWIDE-PURCHASE TMS CABINETS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2003		TH 999	8825-95	TM	200,000	Ö	0	O	200,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2003		TH 999	8825-99	sc	540,000	Ō	0	0	540,000	0	METROWIDE-RELAMP LIGHTING FIXTURES(ONE METRO QUADRANT)	MNDOT	Manage	<b>\$</b> 7
2003		TH 999	TRLF-RW-0:	RW	3,700,000	2,960,000	0	0	740,000	0	REPAYMENT IN FY 2003 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12, 100.212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		TH 999	8809-75	TM	3,200,000	0	0	O	3,200,000		ON 1-494 FROM PILOT KNOB TO CONCORD, AND ON TH 52 FROM 1-494 TO 1-94-INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH <b>99</b> 9	880M-AM-04	AM	3,000,000	0	0	Ō	3,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		TH 999		Ві	4,370,000	0	0	0	4,370,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19
2004		TH 999	880M-CD-04	PL	11,500,000	0	0	0	11,500,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN-2004	MNDOT	Other	01
2004		TH <b>99</b> 9	880M-PF-04	RB	40,000	0	0	0	40,000	Ó	METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Other	06
2004		ТН <b>99</b> 9	880M-RB-04	RB	100,000	Ō	0	0	100,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Other	06
2004		TH 999	880M-RS-04	RS	1,800,000	0	0	ő	1,800,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING & RECONDITIONING PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Preserve	\$10

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 999	880M-RW-0-		21,650,000	0.	0	0	21,000,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2004(\$20.0M-R/W;\$1.65M-ACCESS MGMT)	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		TH 999	880M-RX-04		1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2004		TH 999	880M-SA-04		10,000,000	O	0	0	10,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Other	NC
2004		TH 999	880M-TE-04		3,000,000	Ö.	0	0	3,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & HYDRAULICS PRESERVATION(LIGHTING, SIGNING, SIGNALS,CULVERTS,ETC) PROJECTS FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Manage	NC
2004		TH 999	880M-TE-04.		400,000	0	0	0	400,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CORRIDOR GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR 2004	MNDOT	Manage	S9
2004		TH 999	880M-TR-04		1,600,000	O	0	Ó	1,600,000	O	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2004	MNDOT	Manage	\$7
2004		TH 999	8825-108	RX	250,000	200,000	Ö	O	50,000	0	URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2004		TH 999	8825-113	SC	1,060,000	0	0	Ó	1,060,000	Ō	AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS ON THE I-94/I-694/I- 494 RING-REPLACE CROSS-STREET AND RAMP SIGNING	MNDOT	Manage	O8
2004		TH 999	8825-114	SC	572,400	0	0	0	572,400	Ō	METROWIDE-RELAMP LIGHTING FIXTURES IN ONE QUADRANT	MNDOT	Manage	\$18
2004		TH 999	8825-115	sc	53,000	0	0	O	53,000	ō	METROWIDE-REPLACE SIGNAL LOOP DETECTORS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-117	sc	106,000	Ó	0	0	106,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE 4 INTERCONNECT SYSTEMS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	8825-118	sc	85,000	0	0	0	85,000	Ó	METROWIDE-REPLACE LIGHTING CABINETS(APPROX 10)	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2004		TH 999	8825-119	SC	212,000	0	0	0	212,000	0	METROWIDE AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS- UPGRADE ACCESS & INTERSECTION STANDARDS	MNDOT	Manage	E1
2004		TH 999	8825-120	SC	318,000	Ō	0	0	318,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE CONTROLLERS AND/OR CABINETS IN SELECTED CORRIDORS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-121	sc	318,000	O	0	0	318,000	ō		MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-122	sc	85,000	0	0	0	85,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE REOCCURRING LIGHTING SYSTEM KNOCKDOWNS	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2004		TH 999	8825-73	TM	200,000	0	0	0	200,000	0	METROWIDE-REPLACE DETECTOR CARDS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	8825-90	TM	1,000,000	0	0	0	1,000,000	Ó	METROWIDE-FURNISH & INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-93	TM	200,000	O.	0	0	200,000	0	DIVISIONWIDE-UPGRADE ADDITIONAL VIDEO EQUIPMENT FOR INCIDENT MANAGEMENT	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7

A-8-

TABLE A-20 All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2004		TH 999	8825-94	TM	800,000	0	0	C	800,000	0	METROWIDE-FURNISH & INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	8825-96	TM	400,000	0	0	C	400,000	0	METROWIDE-PURCHASE TMS CABINETS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	8825-97	TM	120,000	0	0	C	120,000	Ö	METROWIDE-REPLACE RAMP CONTROL SIGNALS	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2004		TH 999	8825-98	TM	500,000	0	0	C	500,000	Ö	METROWIDE-CABINET UPGRADES FOR ITS	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 7
2004		TH 999	TRLF-RW-0		3,700,000	2,960,000	0	C	740,000	0	REPAYMENT IN FY 2004 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12, 100,212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-AM-05	1 1	5,000,000	0	0	C	5,000,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR 2005	MNDOT	Other	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-BI-05		1,500,000	0	0	C	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Preserve	\$19
2005		TH 999	880M-CD-05		11,500,000	0	0	C	11,500,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN-2005	MNDOT	Other	01
2005		TH 999	880M-NO-05		1,500,000	0	0	C	1,500,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE ABATEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT		03
2005		TH 999	880M-PF-05		40,000	0	0	C	40,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Other	<u>©6</u>
2005		TH 999	880M-RB-05		100,000	0	0	C		0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Other	<b>O</b> 6
2005		TH 999	880M-RVV-0:		21,900,000	0	0	C	21,900,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MGMT FOR FY 2005(INCLUDES \$5.0M FOR I-35W/TH 62)	MNDOT	Other	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-RX-05		1,500,000	0	Ó	Ċ	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2005		TH 999	880M-SA-05		12,400,000	0	0	Ċ	12,400,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Other	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-TE-05		10.200,000	0	0	Č	10,200,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & HYDRAULICS PRESERVATION(LIGHTING, SIGNING, SIGNALS, CULVERTS, ETC) PROJECTS FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Manage	NC
2005		TH 999	880M-TE-05.		600,000	0	Ó	C	600,000	Ó	METRO SETASIDE FOR CORRIDOR GUARDRAIL PRESERVATION PROJECTS FOR 2005	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 9
2005		TH 999	880M-TR-05	TR	2,000,000	0	Ō	Ċ	2,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2005	MNDOT	Transit	S7
2005		TH 999	8825-112	SC	300,000		0	C	300,000	0	AT TH 52/TH 50, TH 10/HANSON BLVD, TH8/I- 35, & TH 8/TH 61-INTERCHANGE LIGHTING	MNDOT	Manage	S18
2005		TH 999	TRLF-RW-0:		3,700,000	2,960,000	0	C	740,000	0	REPAYMENT IN FY 2005 OF TRLF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12, 100,212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
2005		TH 999	UYC-05	RX	250,000	200,000	0	0	50,000	0	URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC

2-X

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed \$	Demo \$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2006		TH 999	880M-AM-06	АМ	5,000,000	0	0	0	5,000,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	NC
2006		TH 999	880M-BI-06	Ві	12,800,000	9,000,000	0	Ö	3,800,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR FY 2006	MNDQT	Preserve	\$19
2006		TH 999	880M-CD-06		11,500,000	0	O	0	11,500,000	`	METRO SETASIDE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGN-2006	MNDOT	Other	01
2006		TH 999	880M-NO-06		1,500,000	0	0	0			METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE ABATEMENT PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT		O3
2006		TH 999	880M-PF-06	RB	40,000	0	Ô	0	40,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2006	1	Other	<b>0</b> 6
2006		TH 999	880M-RB-06	ŔВ	100,000	0	0	0			METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	O6
2006		TH 999	880M-RS-06	<u> </u>		17,000,000	Ó	0			METRO SETASIDE FOR RESURFACING PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006		TH 999	880M-RW-00	RW	21,900,000	0	0	0	21,900,000		METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MGMT(INCLUDES \$5.0M FOR I- 35E/1694) FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	NÇ
2006		TH 999	880M-RX-06	RX	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Preserve	S10
2006		TH 999	880M-SA-06	SA	12,400.000	0	0	0	12,400,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Other	NC
2006		TH 999	880M-SC-06	sc	2,500,000	Ö	0	O	2,500,000	0	METRO SETASIDE FOR SAFETY CAPACITY PROJECTS FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	<b>S</b> 5
2006		TH 999	880M-TE-06	SC	10,200,000	0	0	0	10,200,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & HYDRAULICS PRESERVATION(LIGHTING,SIGNING, SIGNALS,CULVERTS,ETC) FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	NC
2006		TH 999	880M-TM-06	ŤМ	3,800,000	3,040,000	0	Ö	760,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Manage	S7
2006		TH 999	880M-TR-06	TR	2,000,000	0	0	C	2,000,000	0	METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2006	MNDOT	Transit	<b>S</b> 7
2006		TH 999	TRLF-RW-0	RW	3,700,000	2,960,000	0	C	740,000	Ó	REPAYMENT IN FY 2006 OF TRUF LOAN USED FOR RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE ON TH'S 12, 100,212, OR 610	MNDOT	Other	NC
2006		TH 999	UYC-06	RX	250,000	200,000	0	C	50,000	0	URBAN YOUTH CORPS-MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE TASKS	MNDOT	Preserve	NC
2003		TH 51	6216-114	АМ	285,000	0	Ö	C	285,000	0	AT CO RD C-NORTHBOUND DUAL LEFT TURN LANE	RAMSEY COUNTY	Other	E1
2003		TH 5	6201-77	AM	108.000	0	0	C	108,000	0	ST PETER STREET IN ST PAUL-STORM SEWER OUTLET	ST PAUL	Other	NC
2003		TH 5	6201-79	АМ	32,400	0	0	C	32,400	0	AT ALBION ST IN ST PAUL-REPLACE TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLES	ST PAUL	Other	<b>S</b> 7

# Twin Cities Metropolitan Area 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program

## TABLE A-21 Federal Scenic Byway Projects

Year	Prt	Route	Prj Number	Prg	Total \$	Fed\$	AC\$	State \$	Other \$	Description	Agency	Category	AQ
2003		LOCAL		PL.	205,870	164,696	0	0		GREAT RIVER ROAD, LEDUC HISTORIC SITE INTERPRETIVE EXHIBIT IMPLEMENTATION	HASTINGS	Other	09
2003		LOCAL	91-060-31	PL	31,200	24,960	0	0		MINNEAPOLIS GRAND ROUNDS, SEED FUNDS- VOLUNTEER MANAGER	MPLS PARK & REC BOARD	Other	01

237,070 18

189,656

0 47,414

0

### Appendix B.

## **Conformity Documentation**

Of the 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments June 19, 2002

The United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) 40 CFR PARTS 51 and 93 Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Flexibility and Streamlining, Final Rules for determining conformity to state or federal implementation plans of transportation plans, programs, and projects funded or approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act (Conformity Rule), requires the Metropolitan Council to prepare a conformity analysis of the region's 2000 Transportation Policy Plan (TPP) adopted on January 24, 2001 and the 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Based on the air quality analysis, the Council must determine the conformity of the transportation plan to meet the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) schedule to attain carbon monoxide (CO) standards. This appendix describes the procedures used to perform the analysis on the TIP and lists the findings and conclusions to support the Metropolitan Council's (Council) determination that the TIP conforms to the requirements of the CAAA.

### CONTENTS

CONTENTS	
I. CONFORMITY OF 2003-2006 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT P. AND CONCLUSIONS	
II. 2003-2006 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM CONTRIB REDUCTIONS IN THE TWIN CITIES CARBON MONOXIDE NON	
III. DESCRIPTION OF EMISSION ESTIMATION MODEL AND ANALY METHODOLOGY ASSUMPTIONS	
IV. CONSULTATION	B-19
V. TIMELY IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANSPORTATION CONTROL N	MEASURESB-20
VI. EXHIBITS	

## I. CONFORMITY OF THE 2003-2006 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

### FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- A. Pursuant to Section 93.110 of the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that it conforms to the recent estimates of mobile source emissions based on the most current transportation models using population, employment, travel and congestion forecasts:
  - 1. The Council is required by Minnesota statute to prepare regional population and employment forecasts for the Seven County Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The air quality analysis of CO emissions for Wright County is prepared under the guidance of the Council as part of an intergovernmental agreement with the county, MN/DOT and the Council.
  - 2. The published source of socioeconomic data is in the Metropolitan Council's Regional Blueprint. The planning document adopted, in December 1996, provides the Council with the socio-economic data (planning assumptions) to develop long range forecasts of regional highway and transit facilities needs. These forecasts were updated with year 2000 data and used in the TPP and the TIP. They are latest socio-economic forecasts used in the air quality analysis.
- B. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) were consulted during the preparation of the TIP and its conformity review and documentation.
- C. A quantitative analysis of CO emissions impact using the latest emission estimation models was prepared using the projects listed in Tables B-2 through B-5. The 1996 emissions budget analysis conducted used the MOBILE5B and EMIS mobile source emissions models. The analysis shows daily CO emissions in tons/day in the analysis years of 2005, 2010, 2020 and 2025 are less than the CO emission budget if the Action" (build) scenario of the TIP is implemented (see Table B-1). The CO emissions are estimated to be sustained below the budget for a reasonable period beyond the initial analysis year 2005.
- D. No regionally significant projects are planned or programmed for the City of New Prague. A regionally significant project was identified for Wright County to be built within the planning period of the TIP and is included in the air quality analysis. Both areas are also in the attainment area, but are outside the Council's planning jurisdiction.
- E. Exempt projects not included in the regional air quality analysis were identified and classified in accordance with the EPA guidance in Section 93.126 of the Conformity Rule.
- F. The quantitative analysis includes all known federal and nonfederal regionally significant projects as defined in Section 93.101 of the Conformity Rule.

- G. The TIP addresses the requirements of the TEA-21 metropolitan planning rule 23CFR part 450, Section 450.324 and Section 93.108 of the Conformity Rule, to be fiscally constrained. Section 3 of the TIP, documents the consistency of proposed transportation investments with already available and projected sources of revenue.
- H. The Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that the TIP does not conflict with the implementation of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality, and conforms to the requirement to implement the Transportation System Management Strategies which are the adopted Transportation Control Measures for the region.
- I. There are two TIP projects that are not specifically listed in the TPP. These projects are minor arterial projects in scope and has a insignificant impact on annual CO emissions estimates for each analysis year scenario.
- J. The status of major transit projects programmed are provided in the TIP
- K. Although a small portion of the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area is a nonattainment area for PM-10, the designation is due to non-transportation sources.

### RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA IN THE EPA TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY RULE

1.Consistent with the long-range	The TIP is consistent with the TPP.
transportation comprehensive plan	The III is consistent with the III.
2.Consistent with the State Implementation	The TIP does not conflict with the
•	
Plan (SIP) for Air Quality	implementation of the SIP
3. Status of all Transportation Control	Section V in Appendix B describes the status
Measures (TCM's) officially adopted as	of the TCM's listed in the SIP
part of the SIP	
4. The TIP is based on the most recent	The TIP air quality modeling is based on the
planning estimates adopted by the Council	most current Council socioeconomic data used
	in the 2000 TPP.
5. The TIP air quality analysis uses the	The CO emission estimates in Table B-1 of
most recent EPA approved air quality	Appendix B were developed using the latest
models.	EPA approved air quality models. A
	description of the models is in Section III of
	the appendix and samples of the modeling
	outputs are in Exhibit B-2.
6. Demonstrates that regional emissions	The results of the TIP air quality modeling
resulting from implementation of projects	shown in Table B-1 demonstrates that future
of regional significance are less than those	CO emissions, if regionally significant projects
in the regional emissions budget	are built listed in the TIP, will remain below
established by the emissions inventory	the emissions budget.
established by the chilosions inventory	the emissions outget.

7.Includes emissions from nonfederal	No regionally significant projects are funded
funded regionally significant project in the	during the analysis years with nonfederal
plan emission analysis.	sources and therefore are not included in the
pian emission analysis.	
	emissions analysis.
8. Appropriately classify TIP projects as	Exempt projects listed in the TIP tables are
	identified and categorized using the codes
exempt from needing regional emissions	listed in Exhibit B- 3 of Appendix B.
analysis, or in a category in which they may need a hotspot analysis	instea in Exhibit B- 3 of Appendix B.
9. The TIP is fiscally constrained for the	The TIP is fiscally constrained as documented
first two years.	in Section 3 of the TIP document.
10. Leads to no increases in the number or	The TIP air quality modeling demonstrates that
severity of violations at any monitored site	CO emissions will remain below the emissions
currently violating federal air quality	budget; further, there have been no officially
standards.	measured violations of the CO standards at
	any monitored site since 1991.
11. Demonstrates it meets public	The TIP meets the TEA-21 public involvement
involvement requirements of TEA-21.	requirements. Public involvement activities
1	relative to the adoption of the TIP are
	described in Section IV of Appendix B.
	The notice of proposed action by the
	Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) and the
	Council to adopt the TIP were announced in
	regular Council publication of meeting notices
	and on its web site. The MPCA comments to
	the public hearing draft document is attached
	to the document circulated for public
	comments. Public involvement is guided by a
	Citizen Participation Plan in Appendix D of
	the TPP.
13. Include all Title 23 (FHWA) and	All Title 23 and FTA projects are listed in the
Transit Act (FTA) projects	TIP.
14. Identify all projects which have	There are no projects which have received TIP
received National Environmental Policy	approval and have not progressed within three
Act (NEPA) approval, but have not	years.
progressed within three years.	

# II. 2003-2006 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM CONTRIBUTION TO EMISSION REDUCTIONS IN THE TWIN CITIES CARBON MONOXIDE NON-ATTAINMENT AREA

The EPA in response to a MPCA request, redesignated the Twin Cites seven-county Metropolitan Area and Wright County as in attainment for CO in October 1999. A 1996 motor vehicle emissions budget submitted by the MPCA as part of the redesignation request establishes a not-to-exceed threshold of 1,114 tons per day of CO emissions for the analysis years of 2005, 2010, 2020 and 2025. The results of the emissions analysis is shown in Table B-1. A description of the methods and models used to prepare the CO calculations are in Section III of this appendix.

TABLE B-1
CO EMISSION BUDGET CONFORMITY TEST
TIP ACTION SCENARIOS DAILY CO EMISSIONS FOR ANALYSIS
YEARS 2005, 2010, 2020, 2025 (Short Tons/day)

NETWORK	2005	2010	2020	2025
1996 BASELINE EMISSIONS BUDGET	1,114	1,114	1,114	1,114
ACTION (BUILD) SCENARIO	882	901	1,014	1,074
CO EMISSIONS BELOW THE EMISSIONS BUDGET	232	213	100	40

# III. DESCRIPTION OF EMISSION ESTIMATION MODEL AND ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY, ASSUMPTIONS

### A. 2003-2006 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Pursuant to Sections 93.118 and 93.119 of the Conformity Rule, the Council has reviewed the TIP document. Based on this review, the Council finds that the TIP related CO emissions are below the 1996 motor vehicle emissions budget and contribute to daily emissions reductions consistent with Sections 93.118 and 93.119 for the analysis years 2005, 2010, 2020 and 2025. The following are the descriptions of the emissions budget test used in the emissions analysis to comply with the Conformity Rule.

The networks used in the computer modeling analysis described in Section IV (F) of this Appendix are the future transportation systems for each analysis year. They are developed from all:

- in-place regionally significant highway or transit facilities, services, and activities;
- regionally significant projects (regardless of funding sources) which are currently:
  - under construction, or;
  - undergoing right-of-way acquisition, or;
  - come from the first year of a previously conforming TIP (2002-2004), or;
  - have completed the NEPA process.

Projects used in the year 2005 network (Table B-2) is a revised network of the 2005 action scenario projects in the 2002 - 2004 TIP plus new projects identified in the 2003-2006 TIP. The projects used in the Action Scenarios for the years 2010 -2020 and 2025 networks are the same used in tables K-2 to K-5 in the appendix of the TPP air quality conformity analysis and are listed in Tables B-3 through B-5 with the addition of new regionally significant projects listed in the TIP's subsequent to the adoption of the TPP. There are no regionally significant projects included in the scenarios that are funded from non-federal sources. The networks for the 2010, 2020 and 2025 analysis years were developed by adding regionally significant projects or making the changes by moving projects from one action scenario to another as warranted by changes to the timing of the project.

Conformity Emissions Budget Test: The conformity test as defined in Section 93.118 requires that the CO emissions calculated in the conformity analysis for the TPP and the TIP must be equal to or less than the CO emissions budget established for the region. MPCA's submittal to the EPA for redesignation established a conformity daily emissions budget of 1,114 tons/day. The budget is assumed to remain constant throughout the 25 year planning period of the TPP.

The Action Scenario as described in the Conformity Rules Section 93.119(g) and referenced in Section 93.122(a)(5), is the future transportation system that would result from the implementation of the TPP and other regionally significant projects in the time frame of the TIP.

The results of the emissions budget conformity test for the plan are shown in Table B-1. CO emissions for the analysis years 2005, 2010, 2020 and 2025 remain below the emissions budget. The emissions can be reasonably expected to remain below the emissions budget for the following reasons:

- 1. Continued improvement in auto emissions controls systems and the implementation of an oxygenated gasoline program as required by the modeling assumptions used in the redesignation request to the EPA.
- 2. A regional commitment to continue capital investments to maintain and improve the operational efficiencies of the highway and transit systems.
- 3. A regional commitment to provide customer oriented transit service, seek alternative methods to reduce congestion and the rate of growth of vehicle miles traveled such as the use of congestion pricing, implementation of a regional smart growth strategy that creates more compact, mixed use and pedestrian friendly development patterns, and through the Council's authority to periodically review local comprehensive plans, make capital investments for the regional sewer collection and treatment system which it operates, and approval of the design and capital investments on principal arterials.

- 4. Extensive CO air quality emissions modeling by the MPCA and accepted by the EPA as part of the documentation for the redesignation request indicated that the National Ambient Air Quality standards can be met without the operation of a regional vehicle inspection maintenance program.
- 5. Adoption of a regional long-term (year 2040) growth management strategy in the *Blueprint* to contain growth in the urban fringe, limit growth in the rural areas while promoting more intensive development in the urban core, and;
- 6. The continued involvement of local governmental units in the regional 3C transportation planning process to address local congestion and promote transit supportive land uses and development patterns as part of a coordinated regional smart growth strategy.

Given the long-term nature of the projects listed in the TPP, no major studies have yet been completed to evaluate their alternatives unless otherwise noted. For air quality modeling purposes only, a worst case build alternative was identified and applied to each project where a major investment study has not been completed. This alternative is the addition of one mixed use lane for vehicle traffic in each direction.

A non-attainment area for PM-10 is located in the City of St. Paul. The non-attainment designation is not due to transportation sources. The EPA has approved of MPCA's plan to bring this area in attainment.

### B. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS

### **EPA Transportation Exempt Projects**

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the projects in the 2003-2006 TIP were reviewed and categorized using the following determinations to identify projects that are exempt from a regional air quality analysis, or are regionally significant projects and must be included in the analysis. The classification process used to identify exempt and regionally significant projects was developed through a consultation process involving the MPCA, the Council and MnDOT. The exempt air quality classification codes used in the "AQ" column of project tables of the TIP are listed in Exhibit B-3. Projects which are classified as exempt must meet the following requirements:

- 1. The project does not interfere with the implementation of transportation control measures.
- 2. The project is segmented for purposes of funding or construction and received all required environmental approvals from the lead agency under the NEPA requirements including:
  - a. A determination of categorical exclusion: or
  - b. A finding of no significant impact: or

- c. A final Environmental Impact Statement for which a record of decision has been issued.
- 3. The project is exempt if it falls within one of the categories listed in Section 93.126 in the Conformity Rule. Projects identified as exempt by their nature do not affect the outcome of the regional emissions analyses and add no substance to the analyses. These projects are determined to be within the four major categories described in the conformity rule.
  - a. Safety projects that eliminated hazards or improved traffic flows.
  - b. Mass transit projects that maintained or improved the efficiency of transit operations.
  - c. Air quality related projects that provided opportunities to use alternative modes of transportation such as ride-sharing, van-pooling, bicycling, and pedestrian facilities.
  - d. Other projects such as environmental reviews, engineering, land acquisition and highway beautification.

### C. REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS

Regionally significant projects, as defined in Section 93.101 of the Conformity Rules, were identified and assigned to the appropriate analysis year for the plan air quality analysis. Projects assigned to each scenario analysis year are assumed to be completed and open for operation by the analysis year indicated.

Tables B-2 through B-4 lists the plan projects included in the air quality analysis as part of the "Action Scenario" for the analysis years 2005, 2010, 2020 and 2025.

Two projects CSAH 60 in Dakota County and CSAH 78 in Anoka County were added to the 2010 Action Scenario for improvements to minor arterials. These projects are not specifically listed in the TPP but are consistent with the policies of the TPP for highway investments. The effect of these projects in the TIP air quality analysis is insignificant and doesn't effect the fiscal constraint test of the TIP since they are funded through STP funds available to local units of government.

#### D. WRIGHT COUNTY AND THE CITY OF NEW PRAGUE PROJECTS

A significant portion of Wright County and the City of New Prague are included in the Twin Cities CO non-attainment area as identified in the November 6, 1991, Federal Register. However, since the county or the city are not part of the Seven County Metropolitan Area, Wright County and New Prague projects are not considered in the selection of projects for federal funding through the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) and Council processes. However, Wright County and New Prague projects are evaluated for air quality analysis purposes, and the emissions associated with the regionally significant county projects identified are added to the Seven-County region's emissions total.

No regionally significant projects are planned or programmed for the City of New Prague during the time period of this plan. The construction of 9.32 miles of four-lanes (from two lanes) on TH25 from TH25 in Monticello to Buffalo in Wright County was included in the emissions analysis and added to the 2010 Action Scenario. Exhibit B-1 is the "Average Speed Table" used in preparing the "off model" estimate of CO emissions for Wright County by the Council based on data provided by Mn/DOT.

Table B- 2

REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TIP PROJECTS
2005 ACTION SCENARIO

Route	Year	Description	Agency
CR28	2003	From TH149 in Eagan to CSAH 63 in Inver Grove Heights - Construct 4-lane roadway.	Dakota Co.
CSAH 61	2003	North of Bren Road to South of CSAH 3 - Reconstruct to 4-lane roadway	Hennepin Co.
TH 100	2003	Indiana Ave. to 50 <sup>th</sup> N Grading ,surfacing, Bridge- Upgrade to Freeway	MnDOT
TH 12	2003	CR 6 Wayzata Blvd. Construct New 2-Lane Freeway	MnDOT
I-94	2005	From Weaver Lake Road to Humboldt Ave.; reconstruction and 3 <sup>rd</sup> lane addition	MnDOT

# Table B- 3 REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TPP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE TIP AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS FOR THE YEAR 2010 ACTION SCENARIO

Route	Year	Description	Agency
I-694		From I-35W to Lexington Avenue add additional lanes in each direction	MnDOT
I-694	2010	From West of Jct. 35E to East of Jct. 35E Unweave	MnDOT
I-494		From TH 212 to I-394 add lane in each direction	MnDOT

# Table B- 3 REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TPP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE TIP AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS FOR THE YEAR 2010 ACTION SCENARIO

Route	Year	Description	Agency
I-494		Wakota Bridge from TH 61 to TH 56 - replace	
		bridge and add lane in each direction	MnDOT
I-94		From Mcknight Road to TH 120;	MnDOT
		Add one lane	
I-94		From Weaver Lake Road to I-694	MnDOT
I-35W	2008	Construct interchange at Lake Street to provide	MnDOT
		full directional access.	
I-35W		From TH 36 to Ramsey County Line - Metered	MnDOT
		freeway.	
CSAH 60	2005	From Kenyon Ave. (west of I-35) to east of	DAKOTA CO.
		Credit River widen to four lanes,	
TH 61		From 60 <sup>th</sup> St. to I-494 - reconstruction and add	MnDOT
		interchange	
TH 169		From I-494 to I-94 corridor; complete alternative	MnDOT
		investment study to evaluate needed	
TOTAL 1 CO		improvements.	N. DOT
TH 169		From I-94 to TH 610 corridor; complete alternative investment study to evaluate needed	MnDOT
		improvements.	
TH 62		From I-494 to I-35W corridor; complete	MnDOT
		alternative investment study to evaluate needed	
		improvements.	
CSAH 78	2006	From TH 242 to CSAH 116, widen to 4 lanes	ANOKA CO.
TH 100		From 36 <sup>th</sup> St. to Cedar Lake Rd. corridor;	MnDOT
		add lane in each direction.	
TH 280		From Como Ave. To TH 36; reconstruct	MnDOT
		interchanges.	

# Table B- 3 REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TPP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE TIP AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS FOR THE YEAR 2010 ACTION SCENARIO

Route	Year	Description	Agency
TH 36		Stillwater/Holton - New river crossing over the St. Croix River (replace bridge 6724 river spans and east abuttment.	MnDOT
Phalen Blvd.	2004	From I-35E to Maryland Ave. – construct new urban arterial.	City of St. Paul
CSAH 8		On CSAH 8 from TH 61 in Hugo to Wash/Anoka Co Line & on Anoka CSAH 14 from CO Line to I-35E in Lino Lakes — Reconstruct to 4-Lane Rdwy, Park/Ride, etc. 1.7 miles.	Washington County
I-35W		66 <sup>th</sup> St. in Richfield to Minnehaha Creek in Minneapolis – Grading, Surfacing, Bridges, & HOV Lane	MnDOT

Table B-4

# REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TPP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE TIP AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS FOR THE 2020 ACTION SCENARIO

Route	Year	Description	Agency
I-35W		From Washington Ave. to TH 36 corridor; complete alternative investment study to evaluate expansion needs	MnDOT
I-35W	-	From 46 <sup>th</sup> Street to West of I-94	MnDOT
I-35E	2020	From I-94 to I-694; Add lanes	MnDOT

Table B-4

# REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TPP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE TIP AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS FOR THE 2020 ACTION SCENARIO

Route	Year	Description	Agency
I-35E	2020	From 110 to 5; Widen to 6 lanes	MnDOT
I-494		From I-394 to I-94 corridor;	MnDOT
		Add one lane in each direction.	
I-494		From TH 77 to TH 100 widen to 8 lanes.	MnDOT
TH 610	-	From TH 169 to County Road 130	MnDOT
TH 610	2020	From I-94 to County Road 130;	MnDOT
		Completion of new alignment.	
I-694	2010	Form Lexington Avenue west to junction	MnDOT
		of I-35E - add one lane in each direction	
I-694		From east of junction with I-35E to TH 36	MnDOT
		add lanes	
TH 62		From I-35W to TH 55 corridor; complete	MnDOT
		alternative investment study to evaluate	
		improvement needs	
TH 212		From CSAH 4 to Lyman Blvd.	MnDOT
TH 61		Hastings Mississippi River Bridge	MnDOT
		replacement	
TH 52		From Ramsey County Line to University	MnDOT
		Ave. – Replace Lafayette Bridge	
TH 212	2020	From I-494 to CR 147;	MnDOT
		New 4 lane freeway.	
TH 36		From I-35W to I-35E add lane in each	MnDOT
		direction	

#### Table B-4

## REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TPP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE TIP AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS FOR THE 2020 ACTION SCENARIO

	Route	Year	Description	Agency
,	TH 252		From 73 <sup>rd</sup> Ave. North to TH 610 build six	MnDOT
			lane expressway	

#### E. TRAVEL FORECASTING AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT DOCUMENTATION

The traffic forecasts used to calculate the CO emissions listed in Table B-1 are based on the most recent socioeconomic data prepared by the Council for the 2000 TPP. The following provides a summary of the traffic forecast models used in the air quality analysis. Detailed technical information on the models are found in technical memorandums 1-11 as part of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory (TBI). The information is available through the Council's Data Center. A new regional travel behavior inventory will be completed in 2002. Changes were made to modeling procedures for the transit network used in 2003-2006 TIP conformity analysis to more accurately reflect the goals and future investment priorities contained in the TPP adopted by the Council in 2000. These networks were also used in the TPP conformity analysis. These goals include:

- Doubling the capacity of the region's bus system which is the equivalent of capturing 10% of the travel-demand growth in the region over the next 20 years
- Building a network of dedicated transit corridors
- Creating more efficient use of land and public infrastructure as part of a region wide "Smart Growth" initiative

The changes to the modeling procedures are described in "Transit Network" subsection below.

#### Highway Model Network

Traffic assignment zones (TAZ's) are used in the traffic modeling process as the common geographic unit for data summary. The system of TAZ's covers the entire seven-county, Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. All home-interview data and selected other trip and socioeconomic data were compiled by TAZ. In additions, the TAZ system forms the geographic framework for coding highway and transit networks. Each TAZ is linked to all others by the highway network. Most are linked to one another by the transit network.

The most significant application of the TAZ is as the geographic unit used by the models to predict attractions and productions of person-trips. An example of a TAZ is a shopping mall. A mall has a homogeneous commercial land use that attracts people to work or shop. Another type of TAZ produces person-trips generated in proportion to the number of households, type of household, size of household, and an income variable such as the number of automobiles that each household has available on a daily basis for trip-making.

The 1990 zone system consists of 1,165 internal zones and 35 external stations. Internal zone boundaries most often lie along major highways or arterials streets or on any other significant physical boundary that shapes and directs trip movements, such as a large lake or major river. County boundaries also form edges of zones where appropriate. An external station is a point at the edge of the seven-county area where vehicle trips leave or enter the metro system without being associated with the local land use. In other words, one end of the trip is outside the seven-county area.

The rebuilding of the 1990 highway network was completed by Mn/DOT with assistance from the Council, and the transportation departments of counties and cities. The rebuilt network is based on data from the 1990 TBI.

To reflect some key parameters for related transportation modeling, such as typical speeds by location in the region, the network links are relate to geographical area types of Rural, Developing, Developed, Center City (described as Minneapolis and St. Paul), Central Business District (CBD) which are the Minneapolis and St. Paul CBD's and outlying Business Area.

Rural is defined as areas with population density less than one-person-per-acre. The Developing area is defined as an area with population greater than one-person-per-acre and outside the Interstate 694/Interstate 494 (I-694/I-494) ring. Inside the I-694/I-494 ring is the Developed area the CBD and Center City. The Outlying Business Areas are freestanding areas some distance from Minneapolis and St. Paul which operate like a CBD.

Area types are used to create a matrix by facility types. Facility types are categories of roads which operate in a similar manner. These facility types are:

1. Metered Freeway

6. Undivided Arterial

2. Unmetered Freeway

7. Collector

3. Metered Ramp

8. HOV

4. Unmetered Ramp

9. Centroid Connector

5. Divided Arterial

10. HOV Ramp

The Geographic Information System (GIS) software was used to assign default speed based on 1990 TBI highway speed survey data and capacity values for all the network links. In this process, area type polygons are created that automatically identify all the links inside of the polygon. The area type value is automatically assigned to the link. The relational database software, ORACLE, is used to assign or update speed and capacity of links based on their area type/facility type. Figure 1 illustrates the flow of the trip demand models used in the trip distribution model.

#### The Trip Generation Model

The Trip Generation Model produces productions and attractions for each transportation analysis zone based on the population, number of households, employment level and socio-economic characteristics of each zone. The model was calibrated through the use of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey, Establishment Survey, and Special Generator Surveys for the University of Minnesota, major regional shopping centers, the Central Business Districts of Minneapolis and St. Paul and MSP Airport, which provided several databases of observed daily trips.

#### Trip Distribution Model

The trip distribution model uses the trip ends from the trip generation model, and information on the time and travel cost of traveling to estimate the zone to zone movements for the region. The distribution model for the Twin Cities area is a standard gravity model.

The model generates the number of person trips that are anticipated to be made between any two zones in the regional model on an average weekday, regardless of mode. The model was calibrated through the use of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey which provided a database of observed daily trips.

#### Mode Choice Model

The Mode Choice Model applies a logit model to home-based work, home-base other and non-home based trips. In addition, non-home based trips are further divided into work-related and non-work related. Home-based University of Minnesota trips are dealt with separately, using the work model. The mode choice models use the travel times and costs of the highway and transit systems to estimate the proportion of trips which are allocated to the transit system, single occupancy vehicle trips and high occupancy vehicle trips. Two surveys prepared by the Council provided data for calibrating the mode choice model, the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey and the 1990 Transit Onboard Survey.


#### Temporal Distribution Model

The Temporal Distribution Model splits the daily trip tables into time segments to replicate the peak hours, peak period and off-peak travel periods.

#### Assignment Model

The Assignment model distributes vehicle trips onto the highway system through a capacity restrained equilibrium method. Capacity on the highway system, in proportion to the volume of travel assigned to each link in an iteration, results in a decrease in speed on the link. The relationship between volume and capacity was adjusted for certain facility types based on 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Highway Speed Survey data, rather than solely using the default Bureau of Public Roads ratios.

FIGURE 1
GENERAL FLOW DESCRIPTION OF THE TRIP GENERATION MODELS



#### Transit Network

The transit network used in the forecast model was updated to include CMAQ funded projects for the Hiawatha LRT and the Corridor Service Expansion Plan and the Western Saint Paul Service Expansion Plan . Sufficient detail was available such as routes, headways, and bus speeds to allow coding into the transit network. Other CMAQ funded projects were judged to have sufficient information to be included

in the air quality analysis by using a manual process to applied to the results of the modeling. These projects were:

- 35W Corridor Service Expansion Plan
- Woodbury Park & Ride service expansion
- Transit service Sectors 1&2 Transit Redesign Plan
- Bus only shoulders on TH 269 from I-494 to I-394
- West Metro suburban Services Expansion Plan
- SW Metro service expansion between Chanhassen, Chaska, Eden Prairie and Minneapolis
- TH 212/101 Park and Ride Facility
- Opt Out Communities transit Service Expansion

The manual process used the following method. Adjustments to the CO emissions derived through modeling was based on the VMT reductions as indicated in the project's CMAQ application submitted in the region's 1999 TEA-21 solicitation process for project funding. The CO emission reductions for projects requesting CMAQ funding are evaluated for reasonableness as part of the project selection process. The VMT reduction projected for the projects first year was further projected into the 2005, 2010 and 2020 timeframes based on the annualized VMT growth rates derived from the regional travel demand model. The appropriate CO emission rate from a Mobile5B derived table was then applied to the projected VMT reduction and converted to tons per day. The amount of CO reduction was then subtracted from the modeled CO total for the region plus the Wright County total CO emissions. A description of the method to calculate CO emissions for Wright County is in Section III.D. Average speed factor table used for Wright County is in exhibit B- 1.

#### F. AIR QUALITY MODELING

A regional air quality analysis was prepared using the MOBILE5B and EMIS air quality analysis models. of Section VI. The MOBILE5B model is used to produce carbon monoxide emission factors from mobile sources for the region. It is anticipated the conformity analysis for the next TPP, will be done with the next generation of EPA air quality model, Mobile 6 which was released by the EPA in January, 2002 has a two year grace period before the Metropolitan Council must convert to the new model.

Sample input files for MOBILE5B and EMIS are in Exhibit B-2, along with the output emission factors. EMIS is used to calculate the daily mobile source air pollution. The calculation is based on emission factors from MOBILE5B (in grams per vehicle mile), vehicle miles of travel (VMT), and congested speed from a highway assignment. Travel on Centroid connectors, and intrazonal travel also are accounted for by the model. EMIS summarizes daily pollutant emissions from calculations performed on the model, on a link-by-link basis. Major steps within EMIS are as follows:

Reads the capacity-restrained link loadings, speeds, area types, facility types, and number of lanes.

• Reads the intrazonal vehicle trips, and allocate them to Centroid connectors in proportion to interzonal trip loading on the Centroid connectors.

- For each link, pick the CO emission rate from the MOBILE 5B run. Rates are picked on the
  basis of area type, facility type, and capacity restrained speed. Linear interpolation is used to
  calculate emission rates that fall between the speed increments developed by MOBILE 5B
- Multiply the link distance by the loading to obtain VMT for the link.
- Accumulate VMT, VHT and emissions by geographic area, facility type, area type and number of lanes.
- Outside of EMIS, the emissions for each time period of the regional forecast are aggregated to a daily total and in tons per day.

The series of models currently used are not capable of analyzing individual transportation demand management strategies. This type of analysis must be performed "off-model" by applying CO reduction estimate techniques developed to analyze the benefits of CMAQ types of projects.

#### Table B - 5

#### MOBILE5B INPUT VALUES

The EPA-MOBILE5B model produced the vehicular CO emissions for the inventory using the following input values:

Auto Registration	1990, 7-county area
Gasoline volatility	13.4 RVP
Ambient Temperature	31 degrees F.
Minimum temperature	16 degrees F.
Coldstarts	20.6% (default)
Hotstarts	27.3% (default)
	low altitude
Vehicle mix	MOBILE5B - default for light duty vehicles

#### IV. CONSULTATION

#### A. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

The Council remains committed to a proactive public involvement process used in the development and adoption of the TIP as required by the Council's Citizen Participation Plan (Appendix D of the TPP). The Citizen Participation Plan was updated in the 2000 TPP. Revisions to the Citizen Participation Plan brought it into compliance with the public involvement process as defined in 23 CFR 450.316(b) and the most current revisions to the EPA conformity rules.

In addition to the Citizen Participation Plan, the Council continues to develop, refine and test public involvement tools and techniques as part of extensive ongoing public involvement activities that provide information, timely notices and full public access to key decisions and supports early and continuing involvement to the development of plans and programs. For example, open houses, comment mail-in cards, emails, letters, internet bulletin board, voice messages and notices on its web site are used to attract participation at the open houses, disburse informational materials and solicit public comments. In specific transit corridors where transit system is to be constructed such as the Hiawatha LRT corridor, the Council in partnership with other local governmental units and MnDOT, implemented a far reaching communication plan with a strong stake holder emphasis such as ongoing neighborhood outreach and involvement on system design and operation.

The TIP is adopted after a public comment period. A public hearing is held by the TAB on the TIP with a 45-day public comment period provided. During the comment period, copies of the TIP are available at over 20 public libraries throughout the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area and on the Council's web site. The draft document for public comment and technical information are available at no charge to the public through requests to the Council's Data Center. The Data Center serves approximately 12,000 clients annually.

#### B. INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION PROCESS

An interagency consultation process was used to develop the TPP. Consultation will be continued through the public comment period to respond to comments and concerns raised by the agencies prior to final adoption by the Council.

The Council, MPCA and MnDOT confer on the application of the latest air quality emission models, the review and selection of projects exempted from a conformity air quality analysis, and regionally significant projects that must be included in the conformity analysis of the TPP. In response to concerns raised by the MPCA and to improve the interagency consultative process relative to the conformity determination of the TPP and TIP, an interagency conformity work group provides a forum for interagency consultation. The work group has representatives from the Council, MPCA, MnDOT and FHWA. The following is a list of interagency meetings held and scheduled to consult during the preparation and adoption of the plan document. On going communication occurred through emails and phone calls.

DATE	ACTIVITY
March, 2002	The Council, MPCA and Mn/DOT developed conformity review schedule, identified exempt projects and their classification. TIP revision procedures and conformity review schedule were adopted by the TAB's Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Funding and Programming Committee.
June, 2002	MPCA reviews TAC draft 2003-2006 TIP and provides comments to the Council for inclusion in the public review document adopted by the TAB on June 19 <sup>th</sup> .
July, 2002	TIP public comment period conducted by the TAB.

August., 2002	TAB conducts public hearing and responds to public review comments, adopts TIP and forwards it to the Council for approval. If major issues are raised during the comment period, the TIP adoption process would be extended before a final conformity
	determination is made.
September, 2002	Council adopts TIP and forwards to Mn/DOT for inclusion with the State Transportation Improvement Program that is forwarded to the U.S. DOT by Mn/DOT.

The TAB and its Technical Advisory Committee are involved in the plan preparation and public review processes. The TAB membership provides a forum for the deliberation of regional transportation issues among state, regional and local elected officials, together with private citizens appointed by the Council. The MPCA and Mn/DOT are represented on the TAB. The TAB's comments received on the TIP comment period and the Council's response, will be part of the public hearing record attached to the conformity determination documentation when submitted along with the TIP to Mn/DOT and submitted to the U.S Department of Transportation as part of the State Transportation Improvement Program.

### V. CONFORMITY TO THE SIP AND TIMELY IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANSPORTATION CONTROL MEASURES (TCM's)

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that the TIP does not conflict with the implementation of the SIP. All Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies which were the adopted TCM's for the region have been implemented or ongoing and funded. Table B-6 is a summary and status of the TSM's found in the Transportation Air Quality Control Plan that describes the status of each TSM. There are no TSM projects remaining to be completed. It is anticipated that the Transportation Air Quality Control Plan will be revised in the near future.

There are no fully adopted regulatory new TSM's nor fully funded non-regulatory TSM's that will be implemented during the programming period of the TIP. There are no prior TSM's that were adopted since November 15, 1990, nor any prior TSM's that have been amended since that date.

Table B-6 lists two TCM's that are traffic flow amendments to the SIP. The MPCA added them to the SIP since its original adoption. These include in St. Paul, a CO Traffic Management System at the Snelling and University Avenue CO monitoring site. While not control measures, the MPCA added two additional revisions to the SIP which reduce CO: a vehicle emissions inspection/maintenance program, implemented in 1991, to correct the region-wide carbon monoxide problem, and a federally mandated four-month oxygenated gasoline program implemented in November 1992. In December 1999 the vehicle emissions inspection/maintenance program was eliminated.

The MPCA requested that the U.S. EPA add a third revision to the SIP, a contingency measure consisting of a year-round oxygenated gasoline program if the CO standards were violated after 1995. The U.S. EPA has approved this proposal. Because of current state law which remains in effect, however, the Twin Cities area has had a year-round program starting in 1995, regardless of any U.S. EPA rulemaking.

I make the the there is a second of the second

Table B-6 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN				
TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATEGIES	STATUS			
Vehicle Inspection/Maintenance (listed in Transportation Control Plan as a TSM Strategy)				
Establish VIM Program	Program became operational in July 1991.and was terminated in December 1999			
Exclusive Bus/Carpool Lane				
I-35W Bus/Metered Freeway Project	Metered freeway access locations have bus and carpool bypass lanes at strategic intersections on I-35W and I-394. In March, 2002 a revised metering program became operational.			
Reserved transit lanes in 3rd Ave. distributor in Minneapolis	3rd Ave. distributor project including exclusive bus/carpool lanes was completed in 1992. Auto circulation has been enhanced by installing a system of electronic signage.			
Alternative Fuels or Engines				
Gasohol demonstration project	Council implemented an alternatives fuel testing program for buses in 1992; completed in 1996. Currently testing a biodiesel blend. The Council has purchased 4 hybrid buses for regular service and evaluation.			
Cold Start Emissions Reductions				
Auto plug-in program for cold-start reductions	The measure was studied and found not to be feasible.			
Staggered Work Hours				
Variable work hours implemented by various agencies	City, county and state employees have flex time programs available. Other employers allow flextime and help support van and carpooling programs. These programs are actively promoted and financially supported by employers.			
Improved Public Transit				
Reduced Metro Transit fares	Special marketing concepts such as Metro Pass were implemented in 1998 and targeted to employers and SOV users fare concepts and programs to increase ridership continue to be introduced and tested by Metro Transit.			

## Table B-6 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATEGIES	STATUS
Metro Transit Downtown Fare Zone	Special reduced fares for Mpls. and St. Paul downtowns implemented and ongoing.
Community Centered Transit	"Opt-out" provisions now allow communities to develop local service. Several community-focused transit hubs are now in operation.
Flexible Transit	Alternative transit modes such as dial-a-ride introduced to provide specialized transit service.
<ul> <li>Total Community Service Demonstration (elderly, persons with disabilities service)</li> </ul>	An accessible route service implemented in addition to ongoing Metro Mobility service.
Responsiveness in Routing and Scheduling	Transit agencies have implemented active planning and communication programs with communities such as restructuring of transit service through a regional Transit Redesign program.
CBD Parking Shuttle	Shuttle service incorporated with the CBD regular route special fare zone.
Simplified Fare Structure	Council implemented a simplified fare structure that consists of a base rate with a rush hour and express service supplemental rates. Structure further revised in 1996. Fare structure and collection system is being replaced with a seamless system to service bus, LRT and commuter rail transit services
Bus Shelters	Established ongoing program of installing and retrofitting bus shelters with ADA access.
Rider Information	Region-wide transit information is available through CBD Transit Stores, the Council's web site and a computerized phone system.
Transit Marketing	Transit marketing is ongoing and remains an integral part of transit planning and the provision of services by the Council.
Cost Accounting Transit Performance Funding	Operation computer models developed to monitor and assess transit costs and develop performance measures.
Transit Maintenance Program	New maintenance garages facilities in St . Paul became operational in 2001.
"Real-time" Monitoring	ITS "real time" programs implemented on I-394 corridor. New operations center opened in 2000

## Table B-6 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

All appropriates

TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATEGIES	STATUS
Park and Ride	<ul> <li>Joint Metro Transit-Mn/DOT program for the planning and construction of park-and-ride facilities throughout the region is ongoing through a "Team Transit" program.</li> </ul>
Area-wide Carpool Programs	
Expand Existing Area-wide Shared-ride Programs	Commuter Services (rideshare) program is actively marketed by the Council.
On-street Parking Controls	
Enforcement of Parking Idling and Traffic Ordinances	Ongoing enforcement aggressively pursued by Mpls. And St. Paul.
Park and Ride/Fringe Parking	
CBD Fringe Parking Programs in Mpls. and St. Paul	Mpls. And St. Paul developed and are implementing ongoing programs for fringe parking and incentives to encourage carpooling.
Pedestrian Malls	
Pedestrian Malls  Nicollet Mall (Mpls.)	Nicollet Mall renovations and extension completed.     Street level Passenger waiting great built into pay.
Nicollet Mall (Mpls.)	Street level Passenger waiting areas built into new buildings on the mall.
	Street level Passenger waiting areas built into new
Nicollet Mall (Mpls.)	Street level Passenger waiting areas built into new buildings on the mall.  • Extension of Mpls. Skyway system to the fringe
<ul> <li>Nicollet Mall (Mpls.)</li> <li>Pedestrian Facilities/skyway Systems</li> </ul>	Street level Passenger waiting areas built into new buildings on the mall.  Extension of Mpls. Skyway system to the fringe parking in the 3rd Ave. distributor is completed.  Mpls. And St. Paul are promoting the expansion of street level commercial uses and affordable housing as part of aggressive CBD development strategies to create more urban villages and transit supportive land
<ul> <li>Nicollet Mall (Mpls.)</li> <li>Pedestrian Facilities/skyway Systems</li> <li>CBD Housing and Related Pedestrian Way</li> <li>Employer Programs for Transit, Paratransit and</li> </ul>	Street level Passenger waiting areas built into new buildings on the mall.  Extension of Mpls. Skyway system to the fringe parking in the 3rd Ave. distributor is completed.  Mpls. And St. Paul are promoting the expansion of street level commercial uses and affordable housing as part of aggressive CBD development strategies to create more urban villages and transit supportive land

## Table B-6 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATEGIES	OT A TITO
I WIN CITIES AREA ISM STRATEGIES	STATUS
Bicycle Facilities Implemented by Various Cities in Metropolitan Area	Provisions for bicycle parking are included in fringe parking facilities for downtown Minneapolis. TEA-21 and regional transit capital funds are used to develop bicycle facilities such as trails and storage areas. First segments of the Midtown Greenway in Mpls. open to bike and pedestrian traffic -summer 2000.
Traffic Flow Improvements	
Minneapolis Computerized Traffic Management System	Minneapolis system installed. New hardware and software installation completed in 1992. System has be significantly extended since 1995 using CMAQ funding
St. Paul Computerized Traffic Management System	St. Paul system completed in 1991.
New Construction - Minneapolis; 3rd Ave. Distributor, I-35E, St. Paul	3rd Ave. distributor in Minneapolis with computerized signals completed. I-35E through the downtown St. Paul reconstructed. Messaging signage system installed to direct motorist to available parking.
University and Snelling Avenues, St. Paul; traffic flow improvements	Improvements completed in 1990 and became fully operational in 1991.

#### VI. EXHIBITS

This section contains the exhibits referenced in Sections III(B) and III(G)of this appendix.

Exhibit B-1

AVERAGE SPEED BASED ON VOLUME TO CAPACITY RATIOS
(VOLUME/CAPACITY BY FACILITY TYPES AND BY AREA TYPE)

AVERAGE SPEED (MPH) - Table used in Wright County emission calculations

	FREI	EWAYS		ARTERIA	LS
V/C	CBD/CC	Sub/Rural	CBD	CC	Sub/Rural
0.0	50.0	65.0	21.8	29.8	32.2
0.1	48.0	62.5	21.3	29.5	32.0
0.2	46.0	60.0	20.8	29.2	31.8
0.3	44.0	57.5	20.3	28.8	31.6
0.4	42.0	55.0	19.8	28.5	31.4
0.5	40.0	52.5	19.3	28.2	31.2
0.6	38.0	50.5	18.8	27.8	31.0
0.7	36.0	47.5	18.3	27.5	30.8
0.8	34.0	44.5	17.8	27.2	30.6
0.9	32.0	41.0	16.4	21.1	22.8
1.0	30.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
1.1	27.0	27.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
1.2	24.0	24.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
1.3	21.0	21.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
1.4	18.0	18.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
1.5	15.0	15.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
1.6	15.0	15.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

Source: Special Area Analysis Manual, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1973.

### Exhibit B-2 Sample of MOBILE 5B Input File for 2005 Forecast Year

#### MOBILE 5B Input File for 2005 Forecast Year

```
1 PROMPT 1=NO PROMPT, 2=PROMPT VERT, 3=NO PROMPT HORIZ, 4=PROMPT HORIZ
 MOBILE 5B EMMISSION RATES FOR 2005 (1990 Registration Data) NO I/M, with oxy
fuels
1 TAMFLG 1=DEFAULT TAMPERING RATES, 2=USER'S RATES
1 SPDFLG 1=1 SPD, 2=8 SPDS 3=1+trip length per scenario 4=1+1trip 1.
1 VMFLAG VMT MIX:1=DEFAULT,2=1 CARD PER SCENARIO.,3=1 CARD FOR ALL
3 MYMRFG % AGE, 1=DEFAULT, 2=MILE ACCUM, 3=REGISTRATION, 4=BOTH
1 NEWFLG 1=DEf, 2=mod, 3=def+evap, 4=mod+evap, 5=def+no CAAA, 6=mod+no CAAA
1 IMFLAG 1=NONE, 2=I/M PROG, 3=2 I/M programs
1 ALHFLG AIR COND, LOAD, HUM, 1=DEFAULT, 2=6 INPUTS, 3=10 INPUTS
1 ATPFLG
1=NONE, 2=ATP, 3=press, 4=purge, 5=ATP+press, 6=ATP+rurge, 7=press+purge, 8=ATP+press
+purge
5 RLFLAG 1=UNCONTROLLED REFUEL, 2=STAGE II , 3=ONBOARD, 4=BOTH, 5=NO EM
2 LOCFLG 1=LOCAL AREA PARAMETER FOR EACH SCENARIO, 2=1 LAP FOR ALL
1 TEMFLG 1=USE MIN. & MAX. TEMP, 2=USE 1 VALUE FOR AMBIENT TEMPERATURE
4 OUTFMT 1=221(NUM),2=140(NUM),3=112(DES),4=80(DES),5=mod yr,6=Spread
         1=HC ONLY, 2=CO ONLY, 3=NOX ONLY, 4=ALL THREE POLLUTANTS
4 PRTFLG
         1=NO IDLE, 2=IDLE IS OUTPUT
1 IDLFLG
3 NMHFLG 1=TOT HC, 2=NMHC 3=VOC 4=TOG 5=NMOG
         1=TOT HC only, 2=Tot with Rfl & Comp, 3=Tot without Rfl & Comp
3 HCFLAG
                                                     JULMYR.LDGV..my ages 1-
 .052 .075 .083 .085 .092 .088 .084 .058 .052 .052
 .052 .056 .046 .035 .020 .070 .000 .000 .000 .000
                                                             .LDGV..my ages 11-
20
                                                             .LDGV..my ages 21-
 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
 .063 .084 .084 .084 .084 .069 .059 .044 .036 .031
                                                             .LDGT1.my ages 1-
10
 .030 .053 .047 .046 .036 .028 .017 .022 .017 .014
                                                             .LDGT1.my ages 11-
20
 .009 .008 .008 .005 .025
                                                             .LDGT1.my ages 21-
 .054 .072 .072 .072 .072 .052 .050 .034 .054 .031
                                                             .LDGT2.my ages 1-
 ,028 .080 .084 .049 .039 .030 .018 .023 .018 .015
                                                             .LDGT2.my ages 11-
                                                             .LDGT2.my ages 21-
 .009 .008 .009 .006 .026
                                                             HDGV..my ages 1-
 .023 .047 .047 .047 .047 .038 .033 .021 .026 .029
10
 .034 .064 .054 .058 .051 .038 .043 .041 .035 .029
                                                             .HDGV..my ages 11-
20
                                                             .HDGV..my ages 21-
 .021 .022 .022 .014 .117
 .052 .075 .083 .085 .092 .088 .084 .058 .052 .052 JULMYR.LDDV..my ages 1-
 .052 .056 .046 .035 .020 .070 .000 .000 .000 .000
                                                             .LDDV..my ages 11-
 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
                                                             .LDDV..my ages 21-
                                                             .LDDT .my ages 1-
 .063 .084 .084 .084 .084 .069 .059 .044 .036 .031
10
```

```
.030 .053 .047 .046 .036 .028 .017 .022 .017 .014
                                                          .LDDT .my ages 11-
20
                                                           .LDDT .my ages 21-
 .009 .008 .008 .005 .025
25
 .034 .067 .067 .067 .067 .073 .061 .040 .041 .051
                                                          .HDDV..my ages 1-
10
 .053 .066 .055 .057 .045 .019 .023 .028 .024 .016
                                                           .HDDV..my ages 11-
20
 .011 .009 .007 .005 .016
                                                           .HDDV..my ages 21-
25
.144 .168 .135 .109 .088 .070 .056 .045 .036 .029
                                                           .MC....my ages 1-
.MC... my ages 11-
20
.000 .000 .000 .000 .000
                                                           .MC..., my ages 21-
25
Mpls Stpaul Mn C 16.0 38.0 09.0 09.0 20 2 1 1
                                                 <--LAP record
.000 .900 .000 .027 2<---- %Ether, %Alc, O2% (ether), O2%Alc, 2=waiver, lnot
1 05 3.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 6.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 9.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 12.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 15.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 18.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 21.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 24.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 27.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 30.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 33.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 36.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 39.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 42.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 45.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 48.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 51.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 54.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 57.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 60.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 63.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
1 05 65.0 31.0 20.6 27.3 20.6 01
```

#### Sample of Mobile 5B Output File for 2005 Forecast Year

SCENAR		1								
SPEED VOC	= 3 HC:	.0 7.90	11.40	16.07	12.83	10.92	1.00	1.48	4.48	11.89
9.12	n¢:	7.90	11.40	10.07	12.03	10.92	1.00	1.40	4.40	11.09
Exhst 9.11	HC:	7.89	11.39	16.06	12.82	10.91	1.00	1.48	4.48	11.89
Evap. 0.01	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Rsting	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00 Exhst	CO:	93.20	116.80	155.80	128.76	102.40	4.22	4.86	34.54	167.52
99.20 Exhst	NOX:	2.26	2.87	3.94	3.20	3.90	1.77	2.13	15.26	1.12
3.53 SPEED	_ 6	٥								
VOC 5.28	HC:		6.30	8.87	7.09	8.35	0.85	1.27	3.84	7.06
Exhst 5.27	HC:	4.46	6.29	8.86	7.08	8.34	0.85	1.27	3.84	7.06
Evap. 0.01	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Exhst 57.71	CO:	53.14	66.71	88.45	73.38	78.61	3.32	3.82	27.18	91.05
Exhst 3.01	NOX:	1.87	2.37	3.26	2.65	4.02	1.56	1.88	13.47	1.00
SPEED	= 9	. 0								
VOC 3.94	HC:		4.61	6.47	5.18	6.48	0.74	1.10	3.32	4.90
Exhst	HC:	3.32	4.60	6.46	5.17	6.47	0.74	1.10	3.32	4.90
Evap. 0.01	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
	CO:	39.79	50.01	66.00	54.92	61.56	2.66	3.06	21.75	58.88
	NOX:	1.74	2.21	3.04	2.46	4.14	1.40	1.68	12.04	0.94
SPEED	= 12	. 0								
VOC 3.24	HC:	2.75	3.76	5.27	4.22	5.11	0.64	0.96	2.90	3.80
Exhst 3.24	HC:	2.74	3.75	5.26	4.21	5.10	0.64	0.96	2.90	3.80

Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Rsting	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	٠			0.00
0.00 Exhst	co:	33.11	41.67	54.78	45.69	49.17	2.16	2.49	17.69	42.99
35.93 Exhst 1	XOX:	1.68	2.13	2.92	2.37	4.26	1.26	1.52	10.90	0.93
2.63										
SPEED :		. 0								
VOC 2.81	HC:	2.41	3.25	4.55	3.65	4.09	0.57	0.84	2.55	3.17
Exhst 2.81	HC:	2.40	3.24	4.54	3.64	4.07	0.57	0.84	2.55	3.17
Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Exhst 31.39	CO:	29.11	36.66	48.04	40.15	40.06	1.79	2.06	14.62	34.05
Exhst 1 2.53	NOX:	1.64	2.08	2.86	2.32	4.38	1.16	1.40	10.00	0.95
		•								
SPEED :										0.75
VOC 2.52	HC:	2.18	2.91	4.07	3.27	3.32	0.50	0.75	2.25	2.77
Exhst 2.51	HC:	2.17	2.90	4.06	3.26	3.31	0.50	0.75	2.25	2.77
Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Exhst 28.33	CO:	26.44	33.32	43.55	36.46	33.29	1.50	1.73	12.29	28.38
Exhst 1	NOX:	1.61	2.05	2.81	2.28	4.51	1.08	1.30	9.29	1.00
SPEED	- 21	0								
VOC 2.25	HC:		2.61	3.65	2.93	2.73	0.45	0.67	2.01	2.49
Exhst	HC:	1.93	2.60	3.64	2.92	2.72	0.45	0.67	2.01	2.49
2.24 Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01 Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Rsting	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00 Exhst	CO:	23.27	29.67	38.81	32.47	28.22	1.28	1.48	10.50	24.39

24.98 Exhst N	OX:	1.62	2.03	2.79	2.26	4.63	1.01	1.22	8.74	1.06
2.41 SPEED =	24	. 0								
	HC:	1.71	2.33	3.27	2.62	2.29	0.40	0.60	1.81	2.27
1.99				2 0 6		0.00		2 4 5		
Exhst 1.98	HC:	1.70	2.32	3.26	2.61	2.28	0.40	0.60	1.81	2.27
	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Exhst 21.42	CO:	19.77	25.71	33.72	28.17	24.40	1.11	1.28	9.12	21.30
Exhst N 2.41	OX:	1.65	2.04	2.81	2.28	4.75	0.97	1.16	8.33	1.12
SPEED =	27	. 0								
	HC:	1.53	2.12	2.98	2.38	1.94	0.37	0.54	1.64	2.09
1.79 Exhst 1.78	HC:	1.52	2.11	2.97	2.37	1.93	0.37	0.54	1.64	2.09
	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
	CO:	17.05	22.63	29.76	24.82	21.52	0.98	1.13	8.05	18.77
Exhst N 2.40	OX:	1.67	2.05	2.82	2.29	4.87	0.93	1.12	8.04	1.19
SPEED =	30.	. 0								
1.63	HC:	1.39	1.95	2.74	2.19	1.68	0.33	0.50	1.50	1.93
Exhst 1.62	HC:	1.38	1.94	2.73	2.18	1.67	0.33	0.50	1.50	1.93
	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Exhst 16.44	CO:	14.88	20.17	26.59	22.14	19.35	0.88	1.01	7.22	16.65
Exhst N	OX:	1.68	2.06	2.83	2.29	4.99	0.91	1.10	7.86	1.25
SPEED =	: 33	. 0								
VOC	HC:	1.27	1.81	2.55	2.04	1.47	0.31	0.46	1.38	1.79
1.50 Exhst 1.49	HC:	1.26	1.80	2.54	2.03	1.46	0.31	0.46	1.38	1.79
	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00

0.01										
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00 Exhst	co:	13.10	18.15	24.00	19.95	17.76	0.80	0.93	6.59	14.88
14.64 Exhst	NOX:	1.70	2.06	2.84	2.30	5.11	0.90	1.09	7.78	1.29
2.41 SPEED	_ 26	0								
VOC 1.39	HC:	1.17	1.70	2.39	1.91	1.30	0.29	0.43	1.29	1.68
Exhst	HC:	1.17	1.69	2.38	1.90	1.29	0.29	0.43	1.29	1.68
Evap. 0.01	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Rsting	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00 Exhst	CO:	11.61	16.47	21.84	18.12	16.62	0.75	0.86	6.11	13.42
13.15 Exhst	NOX:	1.71	2.07	2.85	2.31	5.23	0.90	1.09	7.81	1.33
2.43	2.0	•								
SPEED VOC	= 39 HC:		1.60	2.25	1.80	1.18	0.27	0.40	1.20	1.59
1.30 Exhst	HC:	1.08	1.59	2.24	1.79	1.16	0.27	0.40	1.20	1.59
1.29 Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01 Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00 Rsting	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00 Exhst	co:	10.36	15.05	20.01	16.57	15.86	0.70	0.81	5.75	12.27
11.90 Exhst 2.45	NOX:	1.72	2.07	2.85	2.31	5.35	0.92	1.11	7.93	1.36
SPEED	= 42	. 0								
VOC 1.22	HC:	1.02	1.51	2.13	1.70	1.08	0.25	0.38	1.14	1.52
Exhst 1.21	HC:	1.01	1.51	2.12	1.69	1.07	0.25	0.38	1.14	1.52
Evap. 0.01	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting 0.00	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Exhst 10.84	CO:	9.28	13.83	18.44	15.25	15.45	0.67	0.77	5.51	11.38

Exhst 2.48	NOX:	1.72	2.07	2.86	2.31	5.47	0.95	1.14	8.16	1.39
SPEED	= 45	0								
VOC	HC:	0.96	1.44	2.03	1.62	1.00	0.24	0.36	1.08	1.48
	HC:	0.96	1.44	2.03	1.62	1.00	0.24	0.36	1.08	1.40
1.15										
Exhst	HC:	0.95	1.43	2.02	1.61	0.99	0.24	0.36	1.08	1.48
1.15										
	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01										
Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
		0 00	0 00	0 00	0.00	0 00				
Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
Rstinc	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00	,									
	~~						0 66	0 55	- 2-	10 70
Exhst	CO :	8.35	12.78	17.09	14.10	15.34	0.66	0.75	5.37	10.72
9.94										
Exhst	NOX:	1.73	2.08	2.86	2.32	5.59	0.99	1.19	8.50	1.41
	11021.	2.75	2.00	2.00	2.52	3.33	0.55	1.15	0.50	~
2.51										
SPEED	<b>= 48</b> .	. 0								
VOC	HC:	0.90	1.38	1.94	1.55	0.94	0.23	0.34	1.03	1.45
1.10										
					3 54	0 00	0 00	0 24	1 03	1 15
Exhst	HC:	0.90	1.37	1.93	1.54	0.93	0.23	0.34	1.03	1.45
1.09										
Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
_	110.	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01										
Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
Runing	uc.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
	nc:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
Rsting	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00			•							
										10.00
Exhst	CO:	7.53	11.85	15.90	13.09	15.54	0.65	0.75	5.32	10.23
9.16										
Exhst	NOY ·	1.74	2.08	2.87	2.32	5.72	1.04	1.25	8.98	1.44
	NOA.	1./1	2,00	2.07	2.52	3.74	1.01	1.20	0.50	1.11
2.55										
SPEED	= 51.	0								
VOC	HC:	0.90	1.38	1.94	1.55	0.90	0.22	0.33	1.00	1.45
		0.50								
1.09										
Exhst	HC:	0.90	1.37	1.93	1.54	0.89	0.22	0.33	1.00	1.45
1.09										
	uс.	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01										
Refuel	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
	***					0 00				
Runing	HC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
Rsting	HC.	0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
-	110.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.55				0.00
0.00										
Exhst	CO:	7.53	11.85	15.90	13.09	16.06	0.65	0.75	5.35	10.23
9.18										
	MOV	1 00	2 22	2 7 0	2 50	E 04	7 11	1 24	0 60	1 50
	XOX:	1.89	2.32	3.19	2.58	5.84	1.11	1.34	9.60	1.58
2.77										
SPEED	= 54	Ω								
			1 20	1 04	1 60	0.00	0 22	0.22	0.07	7 4 5
VOC	HC:	0.90	1.38	1.94	1.55	0.88	0.22	0.32	0.97	1.45
1.09										
Exhst	HC:	0.90	1.37	1.93	1.54	0.87	0.22	0.32	0.97	1.45
						- , - ,	<del></del>			
1.08										
Evap.	HC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01										

Refuel HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00 Exhst CO	: 7.53	11.85	15.90	13.09	16.92	0.67	0.77	5.47	10.23
9.22 Exhst NOX	: 2.04	2.55	3.52	2.85	5.96	1.20	1.45	10.39	1.72
3.00									
SPEED = 5 VOC HC		1.47	2.07	1.65	0.86	0.21	0.32	0.96	1.66
1.16 Exhst HC	: 0.96	1.46	2.06	1.64	0.85	0.21	0.32	0.96	1.66
1.15 Evap. HC	: 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01 Refuel HC 0.00	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00 Exhst CO	: 8.91	14.13	19.12	15.66	18.19	0.69	0.80	5.69	15.15
10.85 Exhst NOX	: 2.18	2.79	3.85	3.11	6.08	1.32	1.59	11.40	1.86
3.24									
SPEED = 6 VOC HC		1.60	2.27	1.80	0.86	0.21	0.31	0.95	1.97
1.26 Exhst HC	: 1.06	1.59	2.26	1.80	0.85	0.21	0.31	0.95	1.97
1.25 Evap. HC 0.01	: 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Runing HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting HC 0.00	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
	: 10.98	17.54	23.94	19.50	19.94	0.73	0.85	6.01	22.54
Exhst NOX	: 2.33	3.02	4.18	3.38	6.20	1.47	1.77	12.67	2.00
SPEED = 6	3 0								
VOC HC		1.73	2.46	1.96	0.88	0.21	0.31	0.94	2.28
Exhst HC	: 1.15	1.72	2.45	1.95	0.87	0.21	0.31	0.94	2.28
Evap. HC	: 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
Refuel HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				•
Runing HC 0.00	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Rsting HC	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
	: 13.05	20.95	28.77	23.34	22.31	0.79	0.91	6.46	29.93
Exhst NOX	: 2.48	3.26	4.50	3.64	6.32	1.65	1.99	14.25	2.14

3.79										
SPEED =	65.	0								
VOC I	HC:	1.23	1.82	2.59	2.06	0.89	0.21	0.31	0.95	2.49
1.43										
Exhst H	IC:	1.22	1.81	2.58	2.05	0.88	0.21	0.31	0.95	2.49
1.42										
•	łC:	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.00
0.01										
Refuel H	IC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
Runing F	IC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0.00										
Rsting F	IC:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
0.00										
·	20:	14.43	23.22	31.98	25.91	24.30	0.84	0.96	6.84	34.85
17.45	NV.	2 50	2 42	4 70	2 02	c 40	1 00	o 15	15 50	
Exhst NO	)A:	2.58	3.42	4.72	3.82	6.40	1.80	2.17	15.53	2.24
4.00_										

#### EMIS Output File for 2005 Forecast Model Year for the AM Peak Hour (6:30 to 7:30 AM)

FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE --EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93 - RUN TIME: 09:27:52 21May01

INPUT CARD ECHO SCENARIO 1

MOBILE TEM

THE FOLLOWING IS A MATRIX WHICH ASSIGNS A SCENARIO TO EACH FT/AT COMBINATION AT=> 1 2 3 4 5

FT					
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1
6	1 1	1	1	1	1

INPUT COORDINATE SCALE (UNITS) FROM PROFILE.MAS IS 99

### FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

#### EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

FT	ΤД	TOTAL VOC	EXHAUST EVA	APORATE REFU HC	JELING RUI HC	N LOSS HC	EXHAUST CO	EXHAUST NOx
	<u></u>			110				NOX
_								
1	1	381069.	379181.	2326.	0.	0.	3811398.	575573
1	2	321115.	319252.	1988.	0.	0.	3262355.	506214
1	3	488806.	486584.	3687.	0.	0.	4560375.	981771
1	4	256026.	254739.	1874.	0.	0.	2399736.	470573
1	5	146901.	146219.	977.	0.	0.	1430383.	245655
2	1	343535.	341321.	2904.	0.	0.	3121590.	820548
2	2	387934.	385147.	3082.	0.	0.	3739010.	925292
2	3	467283.	465456.	4106.	0.	0.	4013460.	1102771
2	4	96961.	96387.	799.	0.	0.	858311.	201305
2	5	97403.	97003.	674.	0.	0.	903496.	171614
3	1	19850.	19758.	97.	0.	0.	214555.	23356
3	2	2244.	2231.	13.	0.	0.	22816.	3244
3	3	17783.	17693.	91.	0.	0.	190615.	21815
3	4	10637.	10588.	51.	0.	0.	114484.	12461
3	5	5173.	5146.	26.	0.	0.	55538.	6313
4	1	36149.	35969.	183.	0.	0.	387637.	44135
4	2	16447.	16348.	99.	0.	0.	167258.	23752
4	3	45691.	45457.	234.	0.	0.	489102.	56288
4	4	28938.	28803.	139.	0.	0.	314348.	33497
4	5	16126.	16047.	81.	0.	0.	173265.	19546
5	1	349645.	347742.	2776.	0.	0.	3158282.	710820
5	2	402781.	400758.	3334.	0.	0.	3562329.	833586
5	3	165822.	164570.	1258.	0.	0.	1526934.	309246
5	4	59551.	59144.	407.	0.	0.	575626.	98846
5	5	83401.	82830.	573 <i>.</i>	0.	0.	804347.	139051
6	1	588926.	584644.	5001.	0.	0.	5117757.	1265118
6	2	517010.	513115.	3901.	0.	0.	4782743.	957718
6	3	245354.	243653.	1725.	0.	Ö.	2337252.	420597
6	4	110011.	109502.	517.	0.	0.	1201973.	124788
6	5	79102.	78735.	372.	0.	0.	863841.	89885
-	OTAL	5787684.	5754028.	43294.	0.	0.	54160904.	11195366
	NS)	6.37	6.34	.05	.00	.00	59.65	12.33

- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

#### EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

(TONS)

ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS TOTAL EXHAUST EVAPORATE REFUELING RUN LOSS EXHAUST **EXHAUST** VOC HC HC HC HC co NOx1 1 381069. 379181. 2326. 0. 0. 38811398. 575573. 1 2 321115. 319252. 1988. 0. 0. 3262355. 506214. 1 3 488806. 486584. 3687. 0. 0. 4560375. 981771. 1 4 256026. 254739. 1874. 0. 0. 2399736. 470573. 1 5 146901. 146219. 977. 0. 0. 1430383. 245655. 2 1 343535. 341321. 2904. 0. 0. 3121590. 820548. 2 2 2 387934. 385147. 3082. 0. 0. 3739010. 925292. 2 3 467283. 465456. 4106. 0. 0. 4013460. 1102771. 2 4 96961. 96387. 799. 0. 0. 858311. 201305. 2 5 97403. 97003. 674. 0. 0. 903496. 171614. 3 1 19850. 19758. 97. 0. 0. 214555. 23356. 3 2 2244. 2231. 13. 0. 0. 22816. 3244. 33 3 17783. 17693. 91. 0. 0. 190615. 21815. 3 4 10637. 10588. 51. 0. 0. 190615. 21815. 3 4 10637. 10588. 51. 0. 0. 114484. 12461. 3 5 5173. 5146. 26. 0. 0. 55538. 6313. 4 1 36149. 35969. 183. 0. 0. 387637. 44135. 4 2 16447. 16348. 99. 0. 0. 167258. 23752. 4 3 45691. 45457. 234. 0. 0. 489102. 56288. 4 4 28938. 28803. 139. 0. 0. 387637. 44135. 4 2 16447. 16348. 99. 0. 0. 167258. 23752. 4 3 45691. 45457. 234. 0. 0. 489102. 56288. 4 4 28938. 28803. 139. 0. 0. 314348. 33497. 4 5 16126. 16047. 81. 0. 0. 173265. 19546. 5 1 349645. 347742. 27766. 0. 0. 3158282. 710820. 5 2 402781. 400758. 3334. 0. 0. 3862329. 833586. 5 3 165822. 164570. 1258. 0. 0. 1526934. 309246. 5 4 59551. 59144. 407. 0. 0. 575626. 98846. 5 5 83401. 82830. 573. 0. 0. 804347. 139051. 6 1 588926. 584644. 5001. 0. 0. 1712652. 98846. 5 5 5 83401. 82830. 573. 0. 0. 804347. 139051. 6 1 588926. 584644. 5001. 0. 0. 5117757. 1265118. 6 5 79102. 78735. 372. 0. 0. 863841. 89855. SUM 5787684. 5754028. 43294. 0. 0. 54160904. 11195366. TONS) 6.37 6.34 0.05 0.0 59.65 12.33 FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

#### EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

FACILITY TYPE	TOTAL VOC	EXHAUST E HC	VAPORATE HC	REFUELING HC	RUN	LOSS HC	EXHAUST CO	EXHAUST NOx
1	1593918.	1585975.	10851	. 0		0.	15464292.	2779783.
2	1393117.						12635866.	
3	55688.	55417.		. 0		0.	598008.	67189.
4	143351.	142624.		. 0		0.	1531608.	177218
5	1061201.	1055044.	8349	. 0		0.	9627530.	2091552.
6	1540402.	1529646.	11515	. 0		0.	14303559.	2858103.
SUM	5787684.	5754028.	43294	. 0		0.	54160904.	11195366.
(TONS)	6.37	6.34	. 05	5 .0	0	.00	59.65	12.33
AREA	TOTAL	EXHAUST E	VAPORATE F	REFUELING	RUN	LOSS	EXHAUST	EXHAUST
TYPE	VOC	HC	HC	HC		HC	CO	NOx
1 2 3 4 5 SUM (TONS)	1719174. 1647533. 1430737. 562126. 428105. 5787684. 6.37	1636850. 1423416. 559163. 425980. 5754028.	11100. 3788. 2703. 43294.	. 0 . 0 . 0	•	0. 0. 0.	15811208. 15536526. 13117723. 5464491. 4230867. 54160904.	3249812. 2892485. 941474. 672064.
				<b></b>				<del>-</del>
NUMBER LANES	TOTAL VOC	EXHAUST E HC	VAPORATE F HC	REFUELING HC	RUN	LOSS HC	EXHAUST CO	EXHAUST NOx
1	2181178.	2167593.					21325066.	3565994.
2	2241205.	2228318.				-	20727386.	
3	986579.	981406.					8854026.	
4	299089.	297571.					2578620.	701870.
5	79622.	79132.					675796.	187392.
SUM	5787684.						54160904.	
(TONS)	6.37	6.34	.05	5 .0		.00	59.65	12.33

FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93 -- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

#### DAILY VEHICLE MILES

DAILY VMT	- GEOGRAF	HIC LOCATI		1		
FT	1	2	AREA TYPES	4	5	
	•					
1	232582.	199100.	368656.	187423.	97673.	
2	290413.	308178.	410647.	79938.	67411.	
3	9659.	1347.	9059.	5138.	2622.	
4	18311.	9863.	23378.	13862.	8106.	
5	277630.	333364.	125823.	40748.	57309.	
6	506800.	390110.	172718.	51662.	37218.	
GL TOTAL	1335396.	1241966.	1110282.	378772.	270339.	

```
DAILY VEHICLE MILES
DAILY VMT - ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS
                         ----- AREA TYPES ------
                              1 2 3 4 5

      1
      232582.
      199100.
      368656.
      187423.
      97673.

      2
      290413.
      308178.
      410647.
      79938.
      67411.

      3
      9659.
      1347.
      9059.
      5138.
      2622.

      4
      18311.
      9863.
      23378.
      13862.
      8106.

      5
      277630.
      333364.
      125823.
      40748.
      57309.

      6
      506800.
      390110.
      172718.
      51662.
      37218.

      TOTAL
      1335396.
      1241966.
      1110282.
      378772.
      270339.

 DAILY VMT
FACILITY
       TYPE
                1085438.
          1
                 1156588.
          2
                   27826.
          3
          4
                      73521.
          5
                    834874.
 6 1158504.
TOTAL 4336762.
  DAILY VMT
      AREA
        TYPE
         1 1335396.
2 1241966.
          3
                 1110282.
                   378772.
        5
                    270339.
  TOTAL 4336762.
 DAILY VMT
   NUMBER
     LANES
          1 1451257.
2 1729502.
                  820640.
          3
                   263934.
71423.
          4
  5 71423.
TOTAL 4336762.
```

FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93 - RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

#### DAILY VEHICLE HOURS

DAIDI VAI		HIC LOCATIO	REA TYPES			
FT	1	2	3	4	5	
<del></del>						
1	7972.	6389.	9369.	5118.	3000.	
2	6028.	6475.	8677.	1881.	2112.	
3	421.	46.	371.	229.	108.	
4	757.	337.	952.	612.	338.	
5	6779.	7827.	3291.	1198.	1677.	
6	34882.	10234.	5074.	2326.	1672.	
GL TOTAL	56838.	31309.	27734.	11363.	8908.	

### FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

#### DAILY VEHICLE HOURS

•	
DATEM MID	ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS

			AREA TYPES				
FT	1	2	3	4	5		
_							
1	7972.	6389.	9369.	5118.	3000.		
2				1881.	2112.		
3	421.		371.	229.	108.		
4	757.	46. 337.	952.	612.	338.		
5	6779.	7827.	3291.	1198.	1677.		
6	34882.			2326.			
TOTAL	56838.			11363.			
DAILY VH' ACILITY TYPE	r	_					
1	31849.						
2	25173.						
3	1174.						
4	2996.						
5	20771.						
6	54187.						
TOTAL	136151.						
DAILY VH' AREA TYPE	r						
1	56838.	•					
2	31309.						
3	27734.						
4	11363.						
5	8908.						
TOTAL	136151.						
DAILY VH' NUMBER	 r						
LANES							
		-					
1	67933.						
2	43059.						
3	18154.						
4	5524.						
5	1481.						
TOTAL	136151.						

FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

AVERAGE CONGESTED SPEED (mph)

- AVERAGE SPE	ED - GEOGI		ATION NO REA TYPES :	1		
FT	1	2	3	4	5	
1	29.18	31.16	39.35	36.62	32.55	
2	48.17	47.60	47.33	42.50	31.91	
3	22.96	29.31	24.41	22.47	24.27	
4	24.20	29.25	24.54	22.66	23.97	
5	40.96	42.59	38.23	34.02	34.17	
6	14.53	38.12	34.04	22.21	22.27	
GL TOTAL	23.49	39.67	40.03	33.33	30.35	

FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE -- EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:02 21May01

FT 	1	2	3	4	5
_			_	•	•
1	29.18	31.16	39.35	36.62	32.55
2	48.17	47.60	47.33	42.50	31.91
3	22.96	29.31	24.41	22.47	24.27
4		29.25	24.54	22.66	23.97
5	40.96	42.59	38.23	34.02	34.17
6	14.53	38.12	34.04	22.21	22.27
TOTAL	23.49	39.67	40.03	33.33	30.35
AVERAGE S	PEED				
FACILITY					
TYPĒ					
,	24.00				
1 2	34.08 45.95				
3	23.69				
4	24.54				
5	40.19				
6	21.38				
TOTAL	31.85				
AVERAGE S	PEED				
AREA					
TYPE					
	22.42				
1 2	23.49 39.67				
3	40.03				
4	33.33				
5	30.35				
TOTAL	31.85				
AVERAGE S	PEED				
NUMBER					
LANES					
1	21.36				
2	40.17				
	45.21				
3					
3 4	47.78				
3					

#### **EXHIBIT B-3**

## PROJECTS THAT DO NOT IMPACT REGIONAL EMISSIONS, AND PROJECTS THAT ALSO DO NOT REQUIRE LOCAL CARBON MONOXIDE IMPACT ANALYSIS

Certain transportation projects eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Urban Mass Transportation Act have no impact on regional emissions. These are "exempt" projects that, because of their nature, will not affect the outcome of any regional emissions analyses and add no substance to those analyses. These projects (as listed in Section 93.126 of conformity rules) are excluded from the regional emissions analyses required in order to determine conformity of the TPP and TIPs.

Following is a list of "exempt" projects and their corresponding codes used in column "AQ" of the 2002-2004 TIP. The coding system is revised from previous TIPs to be consistent with the coding system for exempt projects in the proposed Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) revision to the State Implementation Plan for Air Quality for Transportation Conformity.

Except for projects given an "A" code or a "B" code, the categories listed under Air Quality should be viewed as advisory in nature, and relate to project specific requirements rather than to the TIP air quality conformity requirements. They are intended for project applicants to use in the preparation of any required federal documents. Ultimate responsibility for determining the need for a hot-spot analysis for a project under 40 CFR Pt. 51, Subp. T (The transportation conformity rule) rests with the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Council has provided the categorization as a guide to project applicants of possible conformity requirements, if the applicants decide to pursue federal funding for the project.

<u>SAFETY</u>	
Railroad/highway crossing	S-1
Hazard elimination program	S-2
Safer non-federal-aid system roads	S-3
Shoulder improvements	
Increasing sight distance	S-3
Safety improvement program	
Traffic control devices and operating assistance other	
than signalization projects	S-?
Railroad/highway crossing warning devices	S-8
Guardrails, median barriers, crash cushions	
Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation	
Pavement marking demonstration	
Emergency relief (23 U.S.C. 125)	
Fencing	
Skid treatments	
Safety roadside rest areas	
Adding medians	
Truck climbing lanes outside the urbanized area	
Lighting improvements	
Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges	
(no additional travel lanes)	S-19
Emergency truck pullovers	
MASS TRANSIT	
Operating assistance to transit agencies	T-1

Purchase of support vehicles T-2

Rehabilitation of transit vehicles	T-3
Purchase of office, shop, and operating equipment	
for existing facilities	T-4
Purchase of operating equipment for vehicles	
(e.g., radios, fareboxes, lifts, etc.)	T-5
Construction or renovation of power, signal, and	
communications systems.	T-6
Construction of small passenger shelters and information kiosks	T-7
Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures	
(e.g., rail or bus buildings, storage and maintenance facilities,	
stations, terminals, and ancillary structures)	T-8
Rehabilitation or reconstruction of track structures, track	
and trackbed in existing rights-of-way	T-9
Purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing	
vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet	T-10
Construction of any busy or mil store as/maintenance facilities	
categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771	T-11
AIR QUALITY	
Continuation of ride-sharing and van-pooling promotion	
activities at current levels	
Bicycle and pedestrian facilities	AQ-2
<u>OTHER</u>	
Specific activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as:	
Planning and technical studies	
Grants for training and research programs	
Planning activities conducted pursuant to titles 23 and 49 U.S.C.	
Federal-aid systems revisions	O-1
Engineering to assess social, economic and environmental effects	
of the proposed action or alternatives to that action	O-2
Noise attenuation	O-3
Advance land acquisitions (23 CFR 712 or 23 CRF 771)	O-4
Acquisition of scenic easements	O-5
Plantings, landscaping, etc.	O-6
Sign removal	O-7
Directional and informational signs	O-8
Transportation enhancement activities (except	
rehabilitation and operation of historic	
transportation buildings, structures, or facilities)	0-9
Repair of damage caused by natural disasters, civil unrest,	
or terrorist acts, except projects involving	
substantial functional, locational, or capacity changes	O-10

### Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses that may Require Further Air Quality Analysis

The local effects of these projects with respect to carbon monoxide concentrations must be considered to determine if a "hot-spot" type of an analysis is required prior to making a project-level conformity determination. These projects may then proceed to the project development process even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and TIP. A particular action of the type listed below is not exempt from regional emissions analysis if the MPO in consultation with other state agencies MPCA, Mn/DOT, the EPA, and the FHWA (in the case of a highway project) or the FTA (in the case of a transit project) concur that it has potential regional impacts for any reason.

Channelization projects include left and right turn lanes and continuous left-turn lanes as well as those turn movements that are physically separated. Signalization projects include reconstruction of existing signals as well as installation of new signals. Signal preemption projects are exempt from hotspot analysis. Final determination of which intersections require an intersection analysis by the project applicant rests with the U.S.DOT as part of its conformity determination for an individual project.

#### Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses

Intersection channelization projects	E-1
Intersection signalization projects at	
individual intersections	E-2
Interchange reconfiguration projects	E-3
Changes in vertical and horizontal alignment	
Truck size and weight inspection stations	
Bus terminals and transfer points	
·	
75 1 17 1 10 10 1 10 1	

#### Regionally significant projects

The following codes identify the projects included in the "action" scenarios of the TIP air quality analysis:

Baseline -	- Year 2000	B-00
Action -	Year 2000	A-00
Action -	Year 2005	A-05
	Year 2010	

#### Non-Classifiable Projects

Certain unique projects cannot be classified as denoted by a "NC." These projects were evaluated through an interagency consultation process and determined not to fit into any exempt nor intersection-level analysis category, but they are clearly not of a nature which would require inclusion in a regional air quality analysis.

#### Traffic Signal Synchronization

Traffic signal synchronization projects (Sec. 83.128 of the Conformity Rules, Federal. Register, August 15, 1997) may be approved, funded, and implemented without satisfying the requirements of this suhpart. However, all subsequent regional emissions analysis required by subparts 93.118 and 93.119 for transportation plans, TIPS, or projects not from a conforming plan and TIP must include such regionally significant traffic signal synchronization projects.

## Appendix C.

## Private Transit Providers Involvement in the Preparation Of the Transportation Improvement Program

As requested by the Federal Transit Act (Sec. 3012) and Circular 7005.1, the following describes the process by which private transit providers were involved in developing the 2003-2006 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The Metropolitan Council is legislatively authorized to enter into and administer financial assistance agreements with transit providers in the metropolitan area. These transit service programs are classified as small urban, rural, replacement (opt-out) and regular route. The Council distributes state appropriations and/or regional property tax funds to these programs.

The Metropolitan Council identifies the anticipated capital needs of the regional public transit provider (Metro Transit). Private and public sector providers, numbering twenty-five, who operate regular route, dial-a-ride, paratransit and ADA services also require capital assistance. Transit projects which are proposed for inclusion in the TIP are reviewed and recommended for approval by the Metropolitan Council's Transit Providers' Advisory Committee.

In 1994, the *Guidelines for Procurement of Service* was revised. The guidelines provide uniform standards and procedures permitting public transit services to be procured consistently and equitably in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area, and they are applied whenever services are contracted.

\*\*\*

## Appendix D.

## Regional Transportation Financial Plano

## **Need for Additional Resources**

This financial plan describes the transportation investments that can be supported by existing and proposed transportation funding sources reasonably expected during the planning period. It acknowledges that projected funding levels will not be sufficient to adequately serve the travel increases projected from significant regional population and economic growth.

Without additional capital investments, regional accessibility to opportunities (such as work, business, education and recreation), as measured by travel time, will deteriorate significantly. A significant expansion of transit services, which the Council considers essential to meet future transportation challenges, will not be possible unless new or additional revenue becomes available. This, in turn, will severely constrain the movement of goods and people throughout the region.

Transit spending per capita in the Twin Cities region as compared to other similar metropolitan areas is very low. This low level of spending results in a substantially lower level of transit services than other cities in the country. This exacerbates congestion, limits citizen's accessibility to opportunities, and reduces the region's competitiveness in the national and international markets.

Because of this low level of transit service, the Council has set a goal of doubling the transit system and building a new set of transit corridors with new transit modes. Meeting this goal, however, will require new or additional revenues for service and bus/facilities fleet expansion, and substantial participation by the State of Minnesota and the federal government in funding a system of dedicated transitways.

# Adequacy of Financial Resources for Maintaining Existing Highway System

The approach taken to determine the adequacy of the financial resources for maintaining the existing highway system was to:

- Define the highway system eligible for receiving federal funds;
- Determine the current costs of maintaining that system; and
- Compare those costs with currently available financial resources.

The highways eligible for federal funds as determined by the region consist of the metropolitan highway system, comprising the principal arterials and the "A" minor arterials designated by the Transportation Advisory Board.

1 (Taken from Chapter 5, 2000 Transportation Policy Plan)

Estimates of the 1999 costs for routine maintenance and life-cycle treatments were obtained by updating cost estimates developed in the *Phase II Final Report of the Highway Jurisdiction Task Force* adopted by the TAB in September 1984. That report developed costs per mile for routine maintenance and life-cycle treatments by functional class (principal arterial, minor arterial, collector, and local).

Routine maintenance includes patching, joint and crack filling, slope repair, drainage structure clearing, cutting and clearing vegetation, sweeping and clearing debris, striping, snow and ice control and pavement repairs of less than 500 continuous feet.

Life-cycle treatments include periodic application of bituminous overlays, seal treatments, milling, crack routing and filling, and base repair of 500 or more continuous feet. The frequency of these treatments is related to the volume and type of vehicles using a roadway (wear) and the impact of the elements (time).

Estimates of available financial resources focus on revenues from the state highway user-tax distribution fund available to the Metro District of Mn/DOT for maintenance of state highways in the seven-county metropolitan area and available to the seven counties through County State Aid apportionment for County State Aid Highways.

County State Aid Highway funding provides base funding to maintain county highways, but these allocations are not the only financial resources available to counties. Counties spend significant amounts of their own funds on county highways.

In addition, revenues are available to the 12 municipalities with "A" minor arterial segments through municipal state aid apportionment, but because the portion of the "A" minor arterial system under the jurisdiction of these municipalities is minor, these financial resources are not considered in the comparison.

The data recorded in Table D-1 illustrate that Mn/DOT and the counties' financial resources are adequate to maintain the existing highway system.

Mn/DOT funds available for routine maintenance exceed the estimated cost. This is due to changes in the definition of routine maintenance since 1984 to include activities such as Highway Helper and additional equipment in place such as meters and video cameras that require routine maintenance.

Total County State Aid allocations to the seven metropolitan area counties in 2000 are listed in Table D-2. Table D-1 assumes that a portion of the total allocation is available for routine maintenance and life-cycle treatments on principal and "A" minor arterials, based on the proportion of the mileage for those highways to total CSAH mileage.

This is a conservative assumption, since counties are likely to spend more per mile on the principal and "A" minor arterials than on other minor arterials and collectors on their CSAH system.

Table D-1.

Comparison of 1999 Maintenance and Life-Cycle Treatment Costs and Resources,

Principal and "A" Minor Arterials

	i imolpai and A limot Artonalo			
	Mileage	Routine Maintenance	Life-Cycle Treatment	Combined
Estimated 1999 Cost per Mile				
Urban Principal Arterials	····	\$33,720	\$24,000	\$57,720
Urban Minor Arterials		12,360	12,000	24,360
State Highways (Mn/DOT)				
Estimated Need	·			
Principal Arterials	568	\$19,153,000	\$13,632,000	\$32,785,000
"A" Minor Arterials	476	5,883,000	5,712,000	11,595,000
Total	1, 044	25,036,000	19,344,000	44,380,000
Estimated Resources		37,624,000*	19,400,000**	57,024,000
Resources/Need		150%	100%	128%
County Highways				
Estimated Need				
Principal Arterials	45	\$1,517,000	\$1,080,000	\$2,597,000
"A" Minor Arterials	1, 136	14,041,000	13,632,000	27,673,000
Total	1, 181	15,558,000	14,712,000	30,270,000
Estimated Resources – CSAH		14,301,735	4,000,000	18,301,735
Estimated Resources – Property Tax		1,256,265	10,712,000	11,968,265
Resources/Need		100%	100%	100%

<sup>\*1999</sup> Mn/DOT eight-country metro district maintenance budget (\$43.5 million) adjusted to reflect seven-county area and principal and "A" minor arterial proportion of total state mileage.

<sup>\*\*</sup>One-third of estimated federal and state funds available for preservation of the metro highway system (\$58.2 million per year).

Table D-2.
County State Aid Highway Allocations, 2000\*

Jounty State Alu righway A	niocations, 2000
Anoka	\$6,552,875
Carver	3,407,387
Dakota	6,797,550
Hennepin	21,111,779
Ramsey	10,155,620
Scott	4,236,633
Washington	5,406,443
Metro Area Total	\$57,668,287
Assumed Percent Available	62%
for Principal/"A" Minor	
Arterials	
Amount Available for	\$35,754,338**
Principal/"A" Minor Arterials	
*Year 2000 allocations based o	n 1999 data.
**Distribution:	
Routine Maintenance 40% =	\$14,301,735
Life-Cycle Cost (Estimate) =	4,000,000
Expansion, Reconstruction,	
Local Match =	17,452,603
Total =	\$35,754,338

## Adequacy of Transit Funding System - Operating Cost Funding

This section presents the cost of operating current levels of transit service and the resources available to fund these costs. Transit service in the Twin Cities is made up of five programs:

- Metro Transit: Provides regular route, primarily large bus service within the Transit Taxing District.
- Contracted Regular-Route Services: Provide regular-route bus service on approximately
  45 routes through contracts with private companies with a variety of bus sizes within the
  Transit Taxing District.
- Opt-Out Communities: Provide regular route and dial-a-ride services in 12 communities
  that have opted out of transit service managed by the Metropolitan Council. These
  communities include: Chaska, Chanhassen, Eden Prairie, Apple Valley, Burnsville, Eagan,
  Prior Lake, Savage, Rosemount, Shakopee, Plymouth, and Maple Grove.
- Metro Mobility/ADA: Provides paratransit services for persons with disabilities throughout the Transit Taxing District.
- **Rural/Small Urban Programs**: Provide dial-a-ride service in smaller cities and outside the Transit Taxing District but within the seven county metropolitan area.

The costs to operate these programs are found in Figure D-1. Funding for the transit system operating costs comes from a variety of regional, state, and federal sources also illustrated in Figure D-2.

Figure D-1. 1999 Transit System Operating Costs (Total \$214.7 million)





Figure D-2.
1999 Transit System Operating Revenues
(Total \$214.6 million)



The following are the assumptions for changes in funding from these sources for the next five years.

## **Property Taxes:**

- Within the Transit Taxing District: The Metropolitan Council levies a property tax to fund transit operations. This levy is capped by the Legislature. Projections are that the tax base will grow at 7.8% from 2000 to 2001, and then continue between 4.5% to 5.5% per year for the next five years. It is assumed that the Council will levy the maximum amount annually.
- Outside the Transit Taxing District: Taxes are levied outside the Transit Taxing District but within the seven-county metro area. This levy is projected to grow 8% to 9% per year for the next five years. It is assumed that the Council will levy the maximum amount annually.
- Opt-Out communities: Growth in property taxes for these communities varies from community to community but ranges between 8% and 17% per year. Typically, communities levy less than the maximum permitted, however. Because of this, projections are 6% per year over the next five years.

**State Appropriations:** Funds come from the State General Fund for transit operations on a biennial basis. Funding levels are projected to increase 11% from the 2000-2001 biennium to the 2002-2003 biennium. Beyond this, funding is dependent upon legislative action.

**Federal Funding:** Use of federal funding for operating costs is restricted to capitalized maintenance and new startup service. Currently, all eligible capitalized maintenance is funded with federal funds. Because of this, it is expected that federal funding will increase with inflation.

**Fares:** The last significant fare increase occurred in 1996. Because it is desirable to have a gradual fare increase, it is expected that a fare increase will be needed in the next five years.

Other Sources: Other sources are projected to continue at the rate of inflation.

## Funding Levels

Funding for transit is very low by comparison with other regions. Table D-3 shows per capita spending on the major transit system in 10 peer cities. The Twin Cities per capita spending is \$67.69, or 9<sup>th</sup> of the 10 cities surveyed. Transit spending in the Twin Cities would have to almost double to meet the peer average and would have to almost triple to meet Seattle.

The Twin Cities are the highest in the percentage of the budget recovered from fares. This negatively affects ridership, as ridership is sensitive to the price of fares.

Table D-3.
Comparison of Per Capita Transit Spending

*	Per Capita Spending on Transit	Percentage from State and Local Funds	Percentage of Budget From Fares
Seattle	\$188.87	72%	22%
Portland	\$167.88	71%	24%
Pittsburgh	\$164.67	35%	25%
Cleveland	\$142.87 <sub>[</sub>	75%	23%
Houston	\$127.69	85%	15%
Baltimore	\$125.36	63%	36%
Denver	\$88.95	65%	24%
Cincinnati	\$83.54	42%	31%
TWIN CITIES	\$67.69	42%	37%
St. Louis	\$65.65	66%	23%
Average	\$122.32	62%	26%

## Cost-Efficiency

Cost efficiency can be assessed using the measure operating costs per revenue service hour. Between 1996 and 1998, the region's operating costs per revenue service hour increased 13.2%, from \$63.86 to \$72.26. This is equal to an average annual increase of 6.4% – a rate moderately higher than the inflation rate for these years (Figure D-3).

The average for 1998, similar to 1996, remained lower for the Twin Cities than for the 12-system peer average, which was \$76.11 in 1996 and \$79.08 in 1998.

The measure of net government cost per passenger, or subsidy, is the cost made up by government subsidies after user revenues are deducted (Figure D-4). Between 1996 and 1998 the net cost for the region increased 6.1% or an average annual rate of 3.0%, or at the rate of inflation.

Figure D-3.
Transit Operating Cost per Revenue Hour
Twin Cities Area





Figure D-4.
Net Government Cost (Subsidy) per Passenger
Twin Cities Area



## Need for New Transit Operating Funding Sources

Meeting the goals of doubling the transit system and adding dedicated transitways will require a substantial increase in operating funding or a new funding source. The Council has set the goal of doubling the bus system by 2020 and tripling the system by 2040. In addition, the Council has set the goal of adding a substantial number of commuter rail, light rail and dedicated bus transitways.

Current revenue sources continued at current levels will not fund the operating cost of either the expanded bus system or the new transitways (Table D-4). A new funding source or expansion of an existing funding source would be needed to meet these goals.

Table D-4.

Projected Additional Annual Operating Costs by 2025
(in 1999 millions of dollars unadjusted for inflation)

	Expand Bus System	Busways	LRT	Commuter Rail	TOTAL
2025 Operating Cost	\$175	\$30	\$36	\$21	\$262

## Adequacy of Transit Funding System - Capital Cost Funding

The 2025 plan for the metropolitan area transit system contains a three-tiered capital program:

- Maintain the existing transit system;
- Double the bus system by 2020 and continue expansion thereafter to triple the system by 2040;
- Construct transitways throughout the region.

## Capital Needs

This program assumes the following capital needs (in constant 1999 dollars):

- Maintain existing bus system: Maintenance of the existing (1999) bus fleet of approximately 900 Metro Transit and 325 other provider vehicles at a cost of approximately \$70 million per year.
- Double bus system by 2020 and continue expansion: The Council has set an initial goal of doubling the bus fleet by 2020 and then expanding the fleet at a rate of 3.5% per year. Funding this goal requires approximately \$44 million per year to expand the fleet through 2012. As this fleet requires replacement, an additional \$21 million per year will be needed after 2013 for fleet replacement for a total of \$65 million per year from 2013 to 2025 (Table D-5).

#### • Construct Transitways:

- **-Dedicated Busways:** It is assumed that one dedicated busway will be built every five years. The cost is projected to be \$100 million to \$120 million per busway.
- -Light Rail Transit: It is assumed that one light rail transit line will be built every 10 years at a cost of \$500 million per line.
- -Commuter Rail: It is assumed that one commuter rail line will be built every seven years at a cost of \$200 to \$225 million each.
- -Shoulder Bus Lanes: It is assumed that 160 miles of bus-only shoulders will be built in the next 25 years. It is projected that this will cost \$10.4 million per year for 10 years (104 miles), then \$2 million per year for 15 years (36 miles) as highways are reconstructed.

## Table D-5. Projected Transit Capital Costs, 2000-2025

4	'in milliona	1000 dellere	اممؤمر بنامممما	far inflation\
1	JITI TITIMIONS,	1999 dollars	unadiusted	ioi iiiiiation)

	Existing Bus system	Expand Bus System	Busway	LRT	Commuter Rail	Shoulder Bus Lanes	TOTAL
Total Capital	\$1,750	\$1,415	\$540	\$1,250	\$725	\$134	\$5,814
Annual Capital	\$70	\$56	\$22	\$50	\$29	\$5	\$232
Capital cost in 2025 of maintaining system	\$70	42	*	*	*		\$112

<sup>\*</sup> These systems will not need capital money for maintenance in 2025 but capital maintenance costs will be incurred in other years.

## Sources of Capital Funds

Sources of funds for this program include:

**Existing Bus System:** The existing bus system requires approximately \$70 million per year. Of this, approximately 60% can be funded from federal sources and 40% from Metropolitan Council property tax-supported bonds.

**Expanding the Bus System:** Approximately 45% of the cost of expanding the bus system is projected to come from federal sources, 6% from state sources and 14% from Council bonding. The balance of this program, 35%, requires a new funding source.

**Transitways:** At this time, the region has assembled a funding package for the Hiawatha LRT line, \$44 million for the Riverview busway and funds to implement bus-only shoulders from federal Title I and trunk highway funds. Typical make-up of the future funding packages will be as follows:

- **Dedicated busways:** 50% of this program is projected to come from federal sources, with 40% coming from state sources, and 10% from local sources.
- **Light Rail Transit:** 50% of this program is projected to come from federal sources, 40% from state sources and 10% from local sources.
- Commuter Rail: 50% of this program is projected to come from federal sources, 40% from state sources and 10% from local sources.
- Bus-only shoulders: 100% of this funding is projected to come from Trunk Highway funds.

The total transit capital cost of the proposed 2025 plan, \$5.8 billion (shown on Table D-5) is much larger than the anticipated revenues (shown on Table D-6) of about \$2 billion. Unlike highway money, which is almost all formula driven and, therefore, easy to project years in advance, most transit capital money comes from discretionary sources that are difficult to predict.

Table D-6 does not include revenue from Federal New Starts money nor special state appropriations for LRT or busways. In preparing the transit plan, the assumption was made that the region would continue to receive these special appropriations at the same rate we have gotten them in recent years. In addition, a new dedicated funding source is needed at the state or regional level to fully implement the plan.

## Allocation of Capital Resources with Regional Capital Priorities

The region has allocated all regional, state and federal transportation funds for the 2001 to 2004 period. The specific capital and some program costs are recorded in Appendix B of the 2000 Transportation Policy Plan. The level of capital resources expected to be available for investments in the region's transit and highway system over the next 21 years are recorded in Table D-6.

The estimate assumes the region will receive 87.5% of 2003 Title I funds and 100% of Title III funds authorized in TEA-21. The level of funds is assumed to increase to keep up with inflation. State trunk highway funds represent a level somewhat above that used for programming now; future levels will keep up with inflation and grow by 1.17% annually.

Table D-7 records the allocation of resources to major project categories. These categories include funds specifically allocated to projects and funding levels that will be allocated through a variety of processes over the next 25 years. Specific allocations of funds to projects are noted for major projects funded in the 2001-2004 Transportation Improvement Program, system improvements/bottleneck removal and expansion. These projects are identified in Section 5 of the 2000 Transportation Policy Plan in Tables 8, 9, and 10.

The remaining funding categories recorded in Table D-7 have not been allocated to specific projects. This is necessary since the projects or activities are selected through a number of processes that take place regularly and assign funds competitively. These processes are briefly described below

Competitive regional processes are used to allocate the fund categories of "selected" regional projects using Surface Transportation Program regional guarantee funds and funds from the Enhancement and Congestion Management/Air Quality programs. The Council and TAB conduct this selection process annually or semi-annually.

Project types selected include: principal arterial/nonfreeway, "A" minor arterials, transit, pedestrian, bicycle, transportation demand management, air quality, and historic and scenic enhancements to the transportation system. Mn/DOT, in cooperation with the TAB and Council, select projects for safety-hazard elimination, rail safety and bridge safety.

The region's congestion management system plan is used as a tool to define criteria and projects in this process. The criteria now used to prioritize these funds are regularly modified. Changes are needed to reflect new Smart Growth policy direction consistent with the *Blueprint* and this *Transportation Policy Plan*.

Mn/DOT uses a number of different methods to identify specific projects for funding. The bridge, pavement, safety and congestion management systems are the principal technical tools used for identifying preservation and management projects. (As noted above, specific projects have been identified for most of the replacement and improvement and the expansion funds.)

Mn/DOT also uses the ATP process (described in the *Prospectus*) to identify specific projects and their timing. Competitive selection is used for some of the safety-hazard elimination, bridge, rail safety and cooperative agreement funds.

Table D-6. Estimate of Revenues Available for Capital Investments, 2005-2025 (in millions)

	Annual Allocation	2005-2025 Funding Level
Historical Capital Funds for Highways		
Federal funds available to eight-county region according to Mn/DOT Official Investment Management (OIM)	\$1,75.0	\$3,675.0
State Trunk Highway funds available to eight-county region according to Mn/DOT (OIM)	135.0	2,835.0
Federal Highway Priority funds	10.0	210.0
District C funds captured by region/Title I funds distributed by Mn/DOT's Central Office	5.0	105.0
2000 Legislature one-time special funding not allocated in TIP		87.0
Local funds to match federal funds based on \$50 federal funds (excluding TH funds)*	10.0	210.0
Reduction of funds to reflect seven-county region (reduction based on Mn/DOT formula for Chisago County)	(2.85)	(60.0)
Highway Total	\$332.15	\$7,062.0
Historical Capital Funds for Transit		
Federal Transit Funds (Title III)		·
Section 5307**Formula	35.0	735.0
Section 5307 Fixed-Guideway	8.4	176.4
Section 5309 Discretionary	9.0	189.0
Section 5310 Nonprofit – Elderly and Handicapped Service	.6	12.6
Section 5311 Operating Assistance to Small Systems	.25	5.25
State Funds		
None (Title III Section 5310, 5311 funds are administered by state.)		
Local/Regional Transit Capital Funds		
<ul> <li>Regional bonding (five-year historic average of principal excluding interest and five-year project of principal)</li> </ul>	40.0	840.0
Transit Total	\$93.25	\$1,958.25
Highway and Transit Total	\$421.1	\$9,020.25

\*STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ, Enhancement, Bridge, Safety-Hazard Elimination, Rail Safety.

\*\*Due to adjustments for contracted service, including Opt Outs, \$2.8 million has been added.

Table D-7.

Transportation Policy Plan Financial Allocations, 2005-2025 (in millions)

Transportation Folicy Fight Financial Anocations, 2003-2023	, (iii iiiiiiioiio)
Major Projects in 2001-2004 TIP: additional funds required	\$230.0
Trunk Highway System-wide Life-Cycle Preservation	\$1,430.0
Trunk Highway Improvements/Bottleneck Removal*	\$943.0
Trunk Highway System-wide Management	\$510.0
Trunk Highway Expansion Projects *	\$1,490.0
Selected Regional Projects (Reduced by \$250M Mn/DOT Applications	\$735.0
Transit Improvements (Title III Funds)	\$1,958.25
Enhancements (federally defined category)	\$126.0
Congestion Management/Air Quality	\$352.8
Set Asides (right-of-way, supplemental agreements, Cooperative agreements)	\$565.0
Subtotal	\$8,340.05
Unallocated Title I and State Trunk Highway funds to be invested to implement the revised <i>Blueprint</i> (2002) Interregional Corridors, or other priorities over next 25 years	\$680.2
TOTAL:	\$9,020.25
* Includes cost of needed right-of-way.	<u>-</u>

The set-asides for right-of-way, supplement agreements and cooperative agreements recognize the need to have funds to address these needs or to take advantage of opportunities. The transit improvements are selected in a number of ways—from the development of the Metro Transit capital budget and from the regional selection process. The investments recorded in this plan will cost \$8,340 million. This does not include the cost of fixed guideways, transitways or busways. The region has and will continue to pursue special state and federal funds for these facilities as was done for the Hiawatha LRT line and for elements of the Riverview Corridor Busway.

Over the 21 years from 2004 to 2025, Title I and State Trunk Highway revenues would exceed the allocated costs by approximately \$680 million. This reserve has not been allocated, so various unmet needs might be implemented by 2025. There is a long list of unmet needs. These could draw on this reserve. Some examples follow. The region will update the *Regional Blueprint* in the next two years. This may require additional transportation investments. Mn/DOT will undertake studies of seven Interregional Corridors in the next year. The recommended improvements may require resources beyond those already allocated. The legislature has set aside \$177 million for such investments. This is over and above the \$680 million reserve identified here. The comprehensive plans of local communities may note various unfunded needs.

The comparison of the annual revenues available for the 2005-2025 period (as shown in Table D-6) to the average capital requirements (from Table D-7) illustrates that capital resources approximate the allocations for the 25 year planning horizon. The plan is in fiscal balance with reasonable expected resources.

The Council has deliberately restricted major capacity expansions of the highway system to achieve this balance. This does not mean that additional capacity increases are not needed but that time is required to define these needs, working closely with TAB, Mn/DOT and local and county governments.

## Strategies to Increase Available Resources

The region is working to secure additional funds for transportation investments.

These activities focus on the passage of bills that will increase the traditional sources of state revenue available to transit operations and capital projects. The Council continues to work with Mn/DOT on the study and implementation of additional traditional and alternative funding sources..

The Council has identified \$9 billion in funds projected to be available to implement the plan over the period 2005-2025. This amount will fall short of the funding requirements needed to adequately satisfy regional transportation needs. These unmet needs include, among others, projects to implement the adopted Regional Growth Strategy, LRT, busways, commuter rail, improvements to interregional corridors and replacement and expansion of the trunk highway "A" minor arterials.

The expansion of the transit system will require additional operating funds. These cannot be obtained from fares or from inflationary growth in existing sources. The Council has and continues to pursue new sources with the following characteristics:

- Stable and reliable enough to allow long-range planning.
- Dedicated to transit.
- Grow both with the economy and with the population being served.
- Are broad-based.
- Utilize for both operating and capital needs.
- Provide diversity in revenue sources.

In conclusion, the region has developed a plan that respects the federal guidance for balance of resources with expenditures but the investments are not adequate to meet the mobility needs of the region. The region will continue to seek additional revenue sources.

\*\*\*

HE310.T85 M47ax 2003/2006 Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area. Transportation improvement

HE310.T85 M47ax 2003/2006 Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area. Transportation improvement

DATE	155060 10

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIGHARY

8:5 State Criscs Building
Sciet Paul Nieuseche 19158

DEMCO