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## SUMMARY

The Twin Cities Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 1999 through 2002 responds to procedures required by the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). The legislation requires that all federally funded transportation projects within the entire seven county area be included in the regional TIP. The TIP must be consistent with the projections of federal funds and local matching funds. All major transportation projects in the federally defined carbon-monoxide nonattainment area must be evaluated for their conformity with the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990. This analysis must also include regionally significant non-federally funded projects. The 1999-2002 TIP is fiscally constrained and is in conformity with the CAAA of 1990 and was prepared through a process that gave adequate opportunity for public involvement.

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 1999 through 2002 is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bicycle, pedestrian and transportation enhancement projects proposed for federal funding for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. Federal regulations require that a TIP be developed at least every two years. The region has chosen to revise its TIP every year. Last year the region developed a TIP that covered three years, 1998-2000. This year two complete years of projects and funds are added (2001-2002). Projects that have had contracts let or in some manner have been authorized have been deleted.

The region developed separate processes to solicit projects utilizing Surface Transportation Program Urban Guarantee funds (STP), Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Funds (CMAQ), and Transportation Enhancement Funds (TEP). Mn/DOT, working with the region, solicited for and prioritized projects for Bridge Improvement/Replacement, Hazard Elimination and Rail Safety. A cooperative process was followed to prioritize the remaining "highway funds" (Title I), and to a limited degree, state highway funds.

The 1999-2002 TIP for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area includes Title I type projects valued at over $\$ 1,000$ million for highway, transit, enhancement, bike and walk projects, of which approximately $\$ 500$ million is requested of the federal government including Demonstration funds allocated to regional projects.

The region has assumed it will receive approximately $\$ 211$ million in federal transit funds (Title III) over the 1999-2002 period. The region will receive $\$ 43.6$ million in Title III, Sections 5307 and 5309 in 1999. The region will receive $\$ 2,750,000$ annually in Section 5307 funds which may be used for operating and maintenance activities. Title I funds approved for transit capital projects, new service operating costs, and transportation demand management projects over the four year period total to approximately $\$ 63$ million.

All projects selected are consistent with the regional transportation plan. In many cases, the major projects are specifically identified in the regional plan.

The TAB held two public information meetings, an open house and a public hearing on the TIP prior to adoption. Over 300 groups were mailed notices of these meetings, in addition to the various public notifications carried out in accordance with Council requirements. The TAB considered and responded to all comments received on the draft TIP prior to adopting the final TIP.

The TIP, adopted by the Transportation Advisory Board and approved by the Metropolitan Council, is based on, implements and is consistent with the regional Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan (TPP) adopted on Dec. 19, 1996. Identified projects are subject to the approvals of various agencies.

The inclusion of a specific project as part of the TIP does not imply an endorsement of the specific design alternative and engineering details. Inclusion in the TIP is a funding commitment assuming the specific project development process has addressed all requirements.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The 1999-2002 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area (shown in Figure 1) is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bike, walk and transportation enhancement projects and programs proposed for federal funding throughout the seven-county metropolitan area in the next four years. The TIP is prepared by the Metropolitan Council in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MN/DOT). The projects contained in the TIP are consistent with and implement the region's transportation plan and priorities.

## EEDERAL REOUIREMENTS

Federal regulations require that a Transportation Improvement Program:

- Be developed and updated every two years.
- Must cover a period of at least three years.
- Be a product of a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative (3C) planning process.
- Be consistent with regional land use and transportation plans as well as the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality.
- Fulfill requirements of the Aug. 15, 1997 final order as required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Transportation Conformity Rule.
- Identify transportation improvements proposed in the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan and recommended for federal funding during the program period.
- Contain projects that are from a transportation plan approved by the Federal Highway Administration.
- Be developed from a conforming regional metropolitan transportation plan that is fiscally constrained.
- Be fiscally constrained.
- Be initiated by locally elected officials of general purpose governments.
- Include both highway and transit projects.
- Allow opportunities for public participation in preparation of the TIP.
- Afford an opportunity for participation of private transit providers in preparation of the TIP.
- Indicate the priorities in the seven-county metropolitan area.
- Indicate year in which initial contracts will be let.
- Indicate appropriate source of federal funds.
- Include realistic estimates of total costs and revenues for the program period.
- Fulfill requirements of the final order on Environmental Justice.

The 1999-2002 TIP for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area meets all these requirements and will be submitted to $\mathrm{Mn} /$ DOT for inclusion in the STIP to be approved by the Governor's designee.


Fig. Twin Cities Metropolitan Area Political Boundaries, 1997

| 1 SPRHNG PARK | 9 MOUND | 17 FALCONHEEGMS | 25 ceanlake |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 OROMO | 10 ROBbMSDALE | 18 MENDOTA | 26 Earchwoon |
| 3 MmNETONKABEACH | 11 SPRWGLLAKEPARK | 19 LLYDALE | 27 WHITE BEAR |
| 4 TONKA BAY | 12 U.S. GOVERNAEENT | 20 GREY CLOUD | 28 BAYPORT |
| 5 EXCELSTOR | 43 Fllitop | 21 LANDFALL | 29 MallerjaE |
| 6 GREENMMOOD | 14 COLIMBEA HEGEHTS | 22 DELIWOOD | 30 OAK PARKKEGATS |
| 7 WOODLAND | 15 ST. ANTHONY | 23 PINE SPRINGS | 31 LAKELANDSHORES |
| 8 MEDICNE LAKE | 16 LAUDERDALE | 24 MAHTOHED | 32 ST. MARY'S POUNT |


|  | County Boundary |
| :---: | :---: |
| ORONO | Municipal Boundary |
| CAMDEN | - Township Boundary |

The following detailed information on each project that will use federal funds is provided in Appendix A:

- Identification of the project;
- Description of the scope of project;
- Estimated total cost and the amount of federal funds proposed to be obligated during each of the program years;
- Proposed source of federal and nonfederal funds; and
- Identification of the regional or state local agencies that are the recipients responsible for carrying out the project.
- Air Quality Analysis Category
- Identification of projects from ADA implementation plans


## REGIONAL PLANNING PROCESS

The transportation planning process in the Twin Cities region is based on Minnesota Statutes and requirements of federal rules and regulations on urban transportation planning that first became effective June 30, 1983 when they were published in the Federal Register. The Metropolitan Council is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and is responsible for continuing, comprehensive and cooperative transportation planning in the Metropolitan Area. Since transportation planning cannot be separated from land use and development planning, the transportation planning process is integrated with the total comprehensive planning program of the Metropolitan Council.

The Twin Cities regional transportation planning process is defined in the Prospectus revised in 1996. Administered and coordinated by the Metropolitan Council, this process is a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative effort, involving municipal and county governments, the Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC), the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT), the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), transit operations and FHWA and FTA. Elected local government officials are ensured participation in the process through the Metropolitan Council's Transportation Advisory Board (TAB). The TAB provides a forum for the cooperative deliberation of state, regional and local officials, intermodal interests and private citizens.

The Metropolitan Reorganization Act of 1994 merged the Metropolitan Transit Commission (MTC), the Metropolitan Waste Control Commission (MWCC) and the Regional Transit Board (RTB) into the Metropolitan Council, transferring the duties, functions, property and obligations of the abolished agencies to the Council. This restructuring changes the roles and responsibilities for transit planning and service provision significantly throughout the region.

Private transit operators are informed of transit projects and competitive bidding opportunities, and participate in the planning process through the Transit Providers Advisory Committee (TPAC) and quarterly providers meetings. A representative of the TPAC is a member of the TAB's TAC.

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION OPPORTUNLTIES IN PREPARATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION MMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

A concerted effort was made to insure all interested and concerned parties were offered opportunities to participate in the preparation of the TIP. Three meetings and an open house were held by the Transportation Advisory Board to provide information and to get public reaction to the TIP.

- A public meeting was held on April 15 1998, to explain and answer questions about the TIP on schedule and approval process.
- A public meeting was held on May 27, 1998, to initiate public comment on the draft TIP.
- An open house was held on June 10, 1998 to provide opportunity for interested public to review TIP document.
- A public hearing was heid on June 17, 1998 to hear comments on the draft TIP.

In preparation for these meetings, 300 mailings were sent, notification was made in the State Register, press announcements were sent to the media, and the schedule was published in the Metropolitan Digest which is mailed to 600 local elected officials and legislators. Notification of adoption of final TIP 1999 2002 by the Metropolitan Council was also made in the State Register.

In May, 1997 solicitation for projects to be funded by Enhancement, STP and CMAQ funds were mailed to 700 cities, counties, agencies and special interest groups. Mn/DOT solicited projects for Bridge Improvement/Replacement (BIR) Hazard Elimination Safety (HES) and Highway Grade Crossing Safety (RRC). A forum was held to discuss the solicitation process and answer questions in June, 1997. The 102 projects were approved for a total of $\$ 104,500,000$ of which $\$ 83,000,000$ are federal funds.

In addition, the presentations identified the meetings of the Transportation Advisory Board's TAC, TAB, Metropolitan Council's Transportation Committee and Council meetings when actions were taken, were noticed and open to the public.

The public participation procedure for the preparation of the TIP are being modified to comply with the consultation section of the EPA's Final Conformity Rule.

## DEVELOPMENT AND CONTENT OF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Transportation Improvement Program process is shown in Figure 2. The TIP is a federally required three year program. The Metropolitan Council and TAB have chosen to prepare a four year document with a major amendment in alternating years. Last year a three year TIP was adopted, 1998-2000. This year a four-year 1999-2002 TIP was prepared. The TIP is an integral part of the overall transportation planning and implementing process, a cooperative effort among local units of government and metropolitan and state agencies. This cooperative process uses technical skills and resources of the various agencies, and minimizes duplication by the participants.

The planning base for the TIP comes from the following planning documents:

- The Blueprint sets the overall priorities for regional facilities and services in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.


## FIGURE 2
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- The Metropolitan Council's 2020 Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan (TPP) sets overall regional transportation policy and details major long-range transportation plans. This plan was adopted in 1996 and addresses ISTEA requirements and considerations.
- The Transportation Air Quality Control Plan, prepared by the Metropolitan Council, sets objectives and implementation strategies for transportation improvements to address air quality problems.
- Local comprehensive plans and transportation programs contain transportation elements that must be consistent with the Metropolitan Council's plans for transportation.

The TPP and the Air Quality Control Plan provide a framework for the development of specific projects by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}, \mathrm{MCTO}, \mathrm{MC}$, the county and local governmental units and agencies which are responsible for planning, construction and operation of transportation facilities and services. All projects contained in this TIP must be consistent with the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan and the transportation Air Quality Control Plan.

The Metropolitan Council identifies transit service needs and objectives, planned transit service and capital improvements, and costs and funding sources that help implement the TPP with input from the TPAC.

Many of the highway construction projects included in this TIP are under Mn/DOT jurisdiction. They originate from ongoing $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ planning and programming activities and respond to the region's transportation plan. The projects that lead to the completion of the metropolitan highway system, along with the projects on other major arterials, are based on the Council's TPP and on Mn/DOT's Transportation System Plan and programming process.

The TPP is further refined through Major Investment Studies (MIS) and corridor and location studies. These studies lead to specific project recommendations that are included in implementation programs. Other projects, such as those concerned with resurfacing, bridge improvements and safety, arise from continual monitoring and evaluation of existing highway facilities through Mn/DOT's pavement and bridge management plans.

City and county federal aid projects are products of local comprehensive and transportation planning programs, and reflect local and regional priorities. These projects have been determined to be consistent with regional plans before being included in the TIP. Such plans must be consistent with the TPP.

## PROGRAM AREAS IN THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The ISTEA of 1991 establishes a number of highway funding programs. In most cases, transit projects can also be funded through these programs. ISTEA utilizes a number of transit funding programs which are the same as those used in the past.

These program areas are described below.

National Highway System (NHS). The NHS, signed into law on Nov. 28, 1995, consists of 161,000 miles of major roads in the United States. Included are all interstates and a large percentage of urban and rural principal arterials, the defense strategic highway network, and strategic highway connectors. All NHS routes in the Region are eligible to use NHS funds.

Interstate Maintenance (IM). These funds will finance projects to rehabilitate, restore, and resurface the interstate system. Reconstruction is also eligible if it does not add capacity. However, high occupancy vehicles (HOV) and auxiliary lanes can be added.

Surface Transportation Program (STP). STP is a block grant type program that may be used for any roads (including NHS) that are not functionally classified as local or rural minor collectors. These roads are now collectively referred to as federal-aid roads. Bridge projects paid for with STP funds are not restricted to federal-aid roads but may be on any public road. Transit capital projects are also eligible under this program. Transportation Enhancement Projects are funded as part of this program.

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program. CMAQ directs funds toward transportation projects in nonattainment areas for ozone and carbon monoxide (CO). These projects contribute to meeting the attainment of national ambient air quality standards.

Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program. The Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program is continued to provide assistance for any bridge on a public road. The program is basically unchanged from previous years in its formula and requirements.

Hazard Elimination Safety Program. Is continued but has changed in focus to safety at railroad crossings.

FTA Title III Section 5309 and 5307 Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Programs. These programs provide assistance with capital and operating costs.

FTA Title III Section 5310 Program. This program funds the purchase of lift-equipped vehicles by nonprofit organizations which provide transportation for the elderly and handicapped.

FTA Title III Section 5311 Program. This program is available for operating and capital assistance to areas with less than 50,000 population (small urban and rural programs).

## 2. SUMMARY OF REGIONAL PLANS AND PRIORITIES

All projects in the TIP are reviewed by the Transportation Advisory Board and the Metropolitan Council for consistency with the Transportation Development Guide Chapter/Policy Plan (TPP) and the Air Quality Control Plan. This chapter summarizes the TPP, indicates Council priorities and identifies air quality control measures undertaken in the region. The Council adopted a new TPP on Dec. 19, 1996. The Plan is in balance with forecasted revenues over the 23 -year planning period and is in conformity with the CAAA of 1990. The Council held four public hearings on the TPP on Nov. 19 and 20, 1996 and adopted the TPP on Dec. 19, 1996. The material below describes the plan. The Regional Transportation Financial Plan is provided in Appendix E.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT GUIDE CHAPTER/POLICY PLAN

## Purpose and Authority

The Metropolitan Council is directed by Minnesota Statutes Sec. 473.145 to prepare a comprehensive development guide for the metropolitan area. The development guide, as currently implemented, consists of the Regional Blueprint and four "chapters," dealing with transportation, aviation, wastewater and regional recreation open space. Minn. Stat. Sec. 473.146 provides direction to the Council to adopt these comprehensive policy plans for transportation, airports, and wastewater treatment as chapters of the metropolitan development guide.

Legislation related to the Metropolitan Council and metropolitan land use planning states that the Metropolitan Council shall review and comment on the apparent consistency of the local comprehensive plans and capital improvement programs with adopted plans of the Council and that the Council may require a local government to modify any comprehensive plan or part thereof which may have a substantial impact on or contain a substantial departure from metropolitan system plans (Minn. Stat. Sec. 473.175). Further, local governments may not adopt any fiscal device or official control which permits activity in conflict with metropolitan system plans (Minn. Stat. Sec. 473.858).

The Regional Blueprint presents the overall priorities for regional facilities and services in the Twin Cities metropolitan area. The Transportation Development Guide/System Plan incorporates the transportation policies and plans that support the Metropolitan Council's Regional Blueprint and describes the Council's approach to investments between now and 2020. This is the eighth update of the Transportation Development Guide first adopted by the Council in 1971. It replaces the 1995 version and represents the fifth decade of coordinated effort in planning and implementing this region's metropolitan urban transportation system.

The Transportation System Plan has been prepared pursuant to Federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) requirements and to Minnesota Statutes 473,145 and 146. Minnesota Statutes require the Council to review and revise the transportation guide at least every five years; ISTEA requires an update every three years. The plan preparation process includes the involvement of local elected officials through the Council's Transportation Advisory Board and the participation of citizens. The roles and responsibilities of all participants in the regional transportation planning process is fully described the Prospectus.

The Transportation Policy Plan conforms to ISTEA and the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA). ISTEA requires the consideration of 16 factors in the regional planning process for all metropolitan areas. The regional transportation planning process generates the development of various planning documents in addition to this Transportation Policy Plan. These documents are listed in Appendix A. The conformity of regional transportation plans and programs to CAAA requirements is determined by the air quality analysis methods as discussed in Appendix K.

The metropolitan systems plans are defined in Minn. Stat. Sec. 473.852, Subd. 8, as "the airports and transportation portions of the metropolitan development guide, the policy plans, and capital budgets for metropolitan wastewater service, transportation and regional recreation open space." The system plan for transportation consists of this entire Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan.

The Metropolitan Council's regional growth strategy was adopted as part of its Regional Blueprint. To ensure that this regional growth strategy is implemented, the Council's regional growth strategy is hereby incorporated into the Council's system plan for transportation. Local government plans will be reviewed by the Council for their consistency with the Council's metropolitan systems plans. The Council's metropolitan system plans, including the regional growth strategy, will serve as the basis for the Council's determination to require a local plan modification if a local plan or any part of a local plan has a substantial impact on or contains a substantial departure from the Council's metropolitan system plans.

## Multi-Year Regional Planning Process

The revised Blueprint defines the regional vision and goals incorporating the preferred urban form. The four revised development guide chapters provide policies and strategies intended to implement the Blueprint vision, describing the roles and responsibilities of the various levels of government and the public sector. The adoption of these documents on Dec. 19, 1996 concluded the first phase of the region's planning processes.

Local governments are required to respond to this regional vision in their local comprehensive plans. While some units of government may conclude their plans are up to date and consistent with regional plans, many more will soon begin the process of revising or creating new documents that interpret the regional direction, respond to the new directions and provide for implementation within the local context. The development of the plans is seen as an opportunity for dialogue between the Council and the local units of government, where problems can be discussed and an mutually agreeable approach can be developed for incorporation into the local plans.

After the local plans have been completed, analyzed and reviewed by the Council, the Council will determine how the Blueprint, the guide chapters and the forecasts may need to be changed.

## Relationship to Regional Growth Management Strategy

The regional growth management strategy selects an urban growth and development pattern for the region, supported by guiding principles of incentives and pricing mechanism rather than government regulation to carry it out.

The strategy is rooted in several goals in the Regional Blueprint, including:

- Planning and actions for regional economic growth
- Enhancing the region's overall quality of life
- Fostering reinvestment in distressed areas and preserving the natural environment and open space

Other related, but more specific goals represent the direction of the growth management strategy:

- Maintain and enhance the region's high level of quality of life;
- Contribute to economic development, job creation and the overall economic vitality of the region;
- Revitalize the urban core, with Council policies contributing to revitalization
- Spend public funds for infrastructure wisely and efficiently;
- Enhance the opportunity for individual home ownership and provide an adequate supply of various types of affordable housing;
- Avoid excessive consumption of open land, requiring an achievable development density; and;
- Encourage local governments to adopt plans that recognize their responsibility to contribute to regional solutions.

Figure 3 embodies the major concepts of the growth management strategy, showing an urban service area and a rural area, and areas within these categories.

- The emphasis in the permanent agricultural area and the permanent rural area is on preservation and permanence. The areas will not be developed for urban uses.
- In the permanent agricultural area (the area with the best land for agricultural purposes), the standard will be no more than one dwelling unit per 40 acres.
- The permanent rural area will have a mix of farm and nonfarm uses. The standard will be up to (a maximum of one dwelling unit per 10 acres. Clustered housing will be encouraged to protect the rural character, natural resources and open space. Clustered housing involves locating rural housing in close proximity so most of the land in the development remains in open space. The area will be planned so it will not need urban services.
- The "urban reserve" is a new concept added to the Blueprint. It is a reservoir of land, established to accommodate the region's need for urbanization to the year 2040.
- The urban reserve will ring today's urban area in all parts of the region. Its outer edge will become the Twin Cities area's urban growth boundary. The boundary is based on watersheds, which allows the area to be served by more economical gravity sewers. Gravity sewers carry wastewater "downhill," reducing pumping costs.
- The Council will plan its regional sewer and transportation services and facilities based on the map. The Council plans and builds the large intercommunity sewer pipes; operates the public transit system; and in partnership with other units of government, plans the regional highway network. The Council will size new wastewater facilities for the entire urban growth area. Communities at the growing edge of the region will define and stage their 2020 Metropolitan Urban Service Area, or MUSA, within the urban reserve, in collaboration with the Council. The MUSA is the part of the region with urban-scale development and services. The area in the urban reserve, but outside the new 2020 MUSA will be planned so short-term development decisions are consistent with eventual full urbanization.
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- The official 2020 MUSA will be determined by local govermments and the Council as part of the 1997-98 Comprehensive Planning process.

- There is a policy emphasis on increasing the housing density in the newly urbanizing areas as well as in current urban areas so the urban reserve can meet housing needs for 40 years or beyond. The desired density will be closer to historic trends, which are higher than today's typical density in the newly developing areas of the region.
- In the urban area, the focus will be on jobs and economic development activities within and around the Interstate Hwy. 494/694 beltway, with particular emphasis on the urban core (see Figure 3) and the nodes and corridors connected to it. The transportation system, especially transit, will be used to help bring about job concentrations. High levels of transportation services will be maintained in and around the major concentrations. The Council will offer transit service and other incentives will be used to encourage higher-density housing and business concentrations in the corridors.
- Redevelopment of housing and business properties throughout the area will be encouraged. Ways to accomplish this include Livable Communities grants and polluted site cleanup.
- The urban core of the region will be a major focus of reinvestment and redevelopment. The core area is limited to the areas in and adjacent to the two downtowns and in the corridor along University Avenue between them.
- Job concentrations and development nodes will be encouraged in the urban core area and brownfield sites (polluted former industrial sites) in the urban core will be prime targets for reinvestment and taxbase development. Access to job opportunities for core residents throughout the region will be increased.
- The urban core will be a priority for Council investments and incentives. The programs will aim at improving economic opportunities for residents and to improve the area's physical characteristics. The Council will use all of the tools at its disposal (such as Livable Communities grants and transit) to improve conditions in the core area, recognizing that its tools are limited.
- In the counties adjacent to the Twin Cities, the proposed policies support requiring long-range planning in communities with a population of over 5,000 people or where 50 percent of the residents commute to the Twin Cities to work. The policies support growth management and transportation planning, as well as steps toward economic self sufficiency. The adjacent counties are encouraged to coordinate their planning with the Council's planning.
- The emphasis in the permanent agricultural area and the permanent rural area is on preservation and permanence. The areas will not be developed for urban uses.


## SUMMARY OF TPP

Substantial growth and new economic development are forecasted for the Twin Cities metropolitan area over the next 25 years. Nearly 650,000 new residents, about 400,000 new jobs and almost 350,000 households are projected. The Metropolitan Council's objective in accommodating this growth is to revitalize and promote economic development in the core area while encouraging orderly suburban development. The Council also wants to encourage higher densities, particularly along established transportation corridors.

The large amount of growth forecasted for the next 25 years will have a significant impact on the regional transportation system since little roadway expansion is planned. If current transportation investment levels and priorities are projected to 2020, congestion on major metropolitan roadways, a barometer of the ability of the system to meet travel demand, is expected to increase from 100 miles in 1995 to 220 miles in the year 2020 .

Regional accessibility to various destinations (for example, work, business, education, recreation) will deteriorate significantly. Today, it is possible to access almost any point within the region in less than 60 minutes during the peak hour. This makes it possible for the region to function as a well interconnected economic entity. In 2020 , only 60 to 70 percent of the metropolitan area will be accessible within 60 minutes from any point in the region. This constraint in the movement of people and goods will result in lost economic productivity, higher overall cost of doing business and decreased regional competitiveness in the world economy.

## Key Transportation Policy Directions

The transportation policy direction provided in this plan will help implement the Regional Blueprint. The plan proposes five major transportation strategies to mitigate some of the negative consequences of a severely constrained transportation system and to preserve, to the greatest extent possible, current levels of regional accessibility with the limited resources available. The plan, however, acknowledges that the region cannot build its way out of congestion. The environmental, social, financial and political impacts would be too severe.

## 1. Reduce Travel Demand

The main objective of this strategy is to encourage behavioral and land use changes that will result in fewer vehicle trips, particularly during rush hours. Examples of initiatives that may help reduce travel demand are:

- Promote a better balance of jobs and housing
- Promote transportation modes other than the single-occupant vehicle (for example, transit, ridesharing, bicycles, walking)
- Promote pedestrian- and transit-friendly land uses
- Use pricing incentives/disincentives
- Increase telecommuting opportunities
- Encourage staggered work hours

Societal and technological changes and proactive planning by the private sector and the development community are critical in implementing this strategy.

## 2. Increase Transportation Capacity Through Better System Management

The main objective of this strategy is to better utilize the existing capacity of the transportation system and improve traffic flow. Examples of initiatives in this category are:

- Better traffic signal timing
- More ramp meter bypasses for vehicles with two or more occupants
- Increased enforcement of high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) facility use
- Faster removal of stalled vehicles and accidents
- Enhanced traveler information systems about alternate routes
- Better roadway access control

Most of these initiatives will increasingly rely on advanced Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) technology.

## 3. Replace and Improve the Existing Highway System

The main objective of this strategy is to replace and improve the existing system without a major corridor capacity expansion. (Table 1 and Fig. 4) Examples of projects included under this strategy are:

- Removal of bottlenecks
- Bridge replacement
- Pavement reconstruction
- Intersection and interchange construction/reconstruction
- Safety improvements


## 4. Improve the Transit System

The main objectives of this strategy are to alleviate growing traffic congestion, provide better accessibility to jobs, promote higher-density development and revitalize the core area of the region. (See Figures 5 and 6)

Key components of this strategy are:

- Develop a network of dedicated transitways to support an effective express transit route system
- Redesign and restructure existing services to provide a broad range of transit service options that better match land use and socioeconomic conditions
- Promote competition in the delivery of transit services
- Enhance coordination of services
- Encourage cities to create more pedestrian- and transit- oriented land uses
- Encourage more local involvement in transit decisions
- Improve safety and security for passengers and transit employees
- Implement transit related Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) technologies


## 5. Expand Highway Capacity

The objective of this strategy is to provide some additional capacity on the Metropolitan Highway System, a 657-mile network of freeways and expressways. This system (See Figure 4) carries the majority of vehicle travel in the region, the longest trips at higher speeds and accommodates both the movement of people and goods. Examples of projects included in this strategy are:

- Building some of the unfinished segments of the metropolitan highway system (See Table 2.)
- Rebuilding some expressways to freeway design
- Add one or more traffic lanes (mixed traffic use, HOV, or transitway) to better serve redevelopment of the core and intensification of employment nodes

Table 1
METROPOLITAN HIGHWAY SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS 2001-2020*

| Highway | From | To | Length (miles) | Status-Study Type | Subarea or MIS alternatives | Preserve | Manage | Improve | Right-ofWay | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-94 | McKnight Rd. | TH 120 | 1.7 | East Metro Subarea Study | HOV,Transitway, Mixed | \$6.0 | \$1.0 | \$8.0 | \$2.0 | \$ 17.0 |
| I-35W ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 46th Street | W. 1-94 | 5.3 |  |  | 19.0 | 3.0 |  | 9.0 | 55.0 |
| I-35W | TH 36 | Ramsey Co. Line | 8.0 | North Metro Subarea Study | HOV,Transitway,Mixed | 27.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 63.0 |
| I-694 | TH36 | TH36 | 5.5 | North Metro Subarea Study | HOV,Transitway,Mixed | 16.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 30.0 |
| TH 52 | Concord BIvd | I-94 Lafayette | 2.8 | Select Interchange Improv.sAccess Control |  | 41.0 | -- | 10.0 | 10.0 | 61.0 |
| TH 61 | Hastings Bridge |  | 0.6 |  |  | 8.0 | -- |  | 11.0 | 35.0 |
| TH 169 | 1-494 | 1.94 | 15.8 | NW MIS | HOV,Transitway,Mixed | 27.0 | 3.0 | 32.0 | 12.0 | 75.0 |
| TH 169 | I-94 | TH 610 | 2.8 | $!$ |  | 3.0 | 1.0 | 14.0 | 4.0 | 21.0 |
| TH 169 | Mississippi River | TH 10 | 0.9 |  |  | 1.0 | -- | 5.0 | 2.0 | 8.0 |
| TH 36 | 1-35E | 1-694 | 6.7 | North Metro Subarea Study | HOV,Transitway,Mixed | 8.0 | 1.0 |  | 3.0 | 18.0 |
| TH 62 | 1-494 | 1-35W | 8.1 |  |  | 23.0 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 53.0 |
| TH 62 | 1-35W | TH 55 | 3.9 |  |  | 13.0 | 1.0 |  | 6.0 | 27.0 |
| TH 100 | Indiana Av. | BrooklynBlvd | 1.0 | EIS Underway |  | 1.0 | . 0 |  | 3.0 | 14.0 |
| TH 100 | Golden Valley | 29th St. | 0.5 | EIS Underway |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 6.0 | 2.0 | 8.0 |
| TH 100 | 36th | Cedar Lk. Rd. | 1.2 |  |  | 3.0 | . 0 |  | 5.0 | 20.0 |
| TH 280 | Como | TH 36 | 2.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 2.0 |  | 4.0 | 17.0 |
| Isolated Improvements |  |  |  |  |  | 34.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 |  | 68.0 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 66.8 |  |  | \$ 231.0 | \$33.0 | \$232.0 | \$ 94.0 | \$ 589.0 |

[^0]
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Table 2
METROPOLITAN HIGHWAY SYSTEM EXPANSION PROJECTS 2001-2020*

| Highway | From | To | Length (miles) | Status-Study Type | Subarea or MIS Alternative | Preserve | Manage | Expand | Right-ofWay | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-94 | Weaver Lk. Rd. | I-694 | 8.7 | NW MIS | HOV,Transitway, Mixed | \$ 27.0 | \$ 4.0 | \$ 14.0 | \$ 5.0 | \$ 50.0 |
| [-35E | TH 110 | TH 5 | 2.3 | Corridor improvement needs to be defined | HOV/Mixed | 30.0 | 1.0 | 25.0 | 6.0 | 61.0 |
| [-35E | 1-94 | 1-694 | 5.6 | North Metro Subarea Study | HOV,Transitway,Mixed | 45.0 | 3.0 | 56.0 | 21.0 | 125.0 |
| I-35W | 66th St. | 46th St. | 1.4 | Continuation of TIP Project | HOV | 11.0 | 2.0 | 49.0 | 3.0 | 65.0 |
| 1-35W | Washington Av | TH 36 | 4.2 | North Metro Subarea Study | HOV,Transitway,Mixed | 14.0 | 3.0 | 37.0 | 11.0 | 65.0 |
| 1-494 | 1-394 | I-94 | 5.5 | NW MIS | HOV,Transitway,Mixed | 10.0 | 3.0 | 28.0 | 4.0 | 45.0 |
| I-494 | TH 212 | I-394 | 7.9 | MIS/FEIS Completed 1/97 | Add HOV, Stage Implementation | 24.0 | 6.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 55.0 |
| I-494 | TH 61 | TH 56 | 1.6 | MIS Underway |  | 31.0 | 4.0 | 46.0 | 6.0 | 87.0 |
| [-494 | TH 77 | TH 100 | 5.1 | MIS/FEIS complete 1/97 | Add HOV, Stage Implementation | 8.0 | 4.0 | 87.0 | 20.0 | 119.0 |
| 1-694 | I-35W | W. Jct. I-35E | 5.6 | North Metro Subarea Study | HOV,Transitway, Mixed | 17.0 | 3.0 | 28.0 | 5.0 | 53.0 |
| TH 12 | Wayzata Blvd. | CR 6 | 4.3 | Corridor Proposal Study Underway |  | 2.0 | -- | 37.0 | 4.0 | 43.0 |
| TH36 | I-35W | I-35E | 5.3 | North Metro Subarea Study | HOV, Transitway,Mixed | 15.0 | -- | 32.0 | 9.0 | 56.0 |
| TH41 | TH 169 | TH217 | 3.0 | Right-of-Way Preservation |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| TH 61 | 60th Street | 1-494 | 1.0 | MIS Underway |  | 3.0 | -- | 23.0 | 5.0 | 31.0 |
| TH 212 | CSAH 4 | To old align. | 10.0 | Right-of-way Preservation |  | -- | -- | -- | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| TH 252 | 73rd Av. | TH610 | 2.9 | Corridor needs unclear-transit enhancement required |  | 3.0 | - | 9.0 | 1.0 | 13.0 |
| TH 610 | TH169 | J-94 | 5.0 | Right-of-way Preservation |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| TH610 | TH 252 | TH 10 | 2.4 | EISs may need supplement. Future HOV important |  | -- | 1.0 | 13.0 | 1.0 | 15.0 |
| Transit Expansion (2.5\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85.0 |  | 85.0 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 78.8 |  |  | \$ 240.0 | \$34.0 | \$589.0 | \$132.0 | \$994.0 |

*COST IN MILLIONS

## ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

On April 15, 1997 U. S. DOT issued the Final Order On Environmental Justice.
This policy is intended to protect low income persons and minorities from experiencing disproportionately high and adverse impacts to human health and environmental effects of federal policies, programs and activities.

The key document and processes that will be involved in evaluation of the environmental justice provisions will be the Regional Transportation Plan and the individual project development reports. The TIP records decisions consistent with the directions given in the plan and the selection of projects that result from the project development process. Therefore, the TIP does not play a significant role in this issue.

The TPP was adopted in Dec. 1997, and did not address the environmental justice issue specifically. Nevertheless, in review of the analysis and evaluation of regional issues and solutions that were incorporated into the Blueprint, it is clear the intent of environmental justice was a key element of the Blueprint strategies and therefore the TPP.

The problems of the low income and minority communities in the region are the focus of many of the policies and action steps in the Blueprint. The location of low income persons in the region is shown on Figure 7. This same map appears in the Regional Blueprint and is provided here as an example of the region's policy direction concerning low income persons..

Action Step 2G of the Blueprint states the Council will support action to improve conditions in areas where poverty is concentrated, especially efforts to broaden economic and housing opportunities inside and outside those areas and to improve accessibility to jobs, housing and training opportunities.

The region has attempted to direct federal, state and regional resources, programs and activities to positively address the physical, social and environmental problems of the communities of low income and minorities. From a transportation perspective, this means the region will focus investments on the transit system to provide mobility for those seeking jobs that do not have automobiles available. The region has also directed resources and programs to improve street and highways to help retain and attract new businesses that provide jobs and tax base required to support social services and schools in the urban area.

Transitways, transit stations and hubs, and meter bypass ramps need to be built in the developed area to help improve transit services. Highway, interchanges or bridges may need to be reconstructed or expanded to provide the access necessary to support development and redevelopment. While these projects may result in some negative environmental impacts, especially during construction, the overall impact is generally positive. In addition, if these projects are of a significant size, the impacts to low income and minorities will be analyzed in detail in the project development process.

The region is also committed to involve the low income and minorities in the decision-making process. The Council continually reviews its public participation process to insure the involvement of these and other non-traditional partners. When the Council revises its Regional Transportation Plan, it will address the issue of Environmental Justice in accord with U.S. DOT's Final Order.

## Twin Cities Metropolitan Area Percent of Persons Below Poverty Level, 1989

(Census Tracts Above Metropolitan Average)


## TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

The Metropolitan Council's Transportation Air Quality Control Plan (TAQCP), a supplement to the TPP, sets forth three principal objectives: to attain National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone; to implement transportation systems management (TSM) strategies that effectively contribute to air quality attainment and maintenance; and to meet federal and state air quality standards in the most economical and equitable manner. The Twin Cities area meets the ozone standard but is still designated as a nonattainment area for CO . A redesignation request has been submitted by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) to the EPA to redesignate the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area as a CO containment area. Planning for control of carbon monoxide pollution caused by transportation sources in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area is the responsibility of the Metropolitan Council as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The TAQCP specifies strategies to improve the management of the region's transportation system, based on an analysis of the air quality problems in the seven-county Twin Cities area.

The 1977 Clean Air Act Amendment (CAAA) requires a State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality for all areas that have not attained the NAAQS. The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment (CAAA) retained this requirement. The SIP is a planning document prepared by the MPCA, and submitted by the its Commissioner as the Governor's representative. The SIP contains the programs and plans that will result in achievement of the NAAQS in areas currently not meeting standards ("nonattainment") for any pollutant covered by the NAAQS. The SIP serves as the state's legally binding commitment to actions that will reduce or eliminate air quality problems.

The TAQCP and the SIP contain the same measures to control CO but the SIP contains additional measures, including a mandated oxygenated gasoline program and a vehicle emissions and inspection program. All federally approved or financially funded functions must "conform" to the SIP, and be consistent with the TPP and other officially adopted transportation plans of the MPOs under the 1977 and 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. MPOs can only legally approve projects, plans, or programs that conform to the SIP.

## CONFORMITY TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS

## Conformity Determination Based on August 1997 Final Rule

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in accordance with requirements of the CAAA, issued a final transportation conformity rule in August 1997. As described in the rule, the MPO must make a conformity determination on transportation plans and programs for nonattainment areas, including federally funded or approved projects, as well as non-federal projects which are regionally significant. The MPO prepared the 1999-2002 TIP following the requirements of the final conformity rule. A consultation process was followed, involving the MPCA, Mn/DOT, U.S.DOT and the Council, as described in the provision of the interagency consultation process and in Appendix B.

## Projects Included in TIP Conformity Analysis

The TIP conformity analysis involves review of all federally funded or approved highway and transit projects, all state trunk highway projects, and all projects which meet the federal definition of regionally
significant (see Appendix B) in the Twin Cities nonattainment area. Certain project types will not have regional or local emissions impact. The TIP project tables annotate the projects "exempt" from regional emission analysis with a code under the column "AQ," corresponding to the appropriate category listed in Exhibit 3. Certain types of exempt projects may require a hotspot analysis. Those projects which are not exempt and can be modeled in the regional network used for computer modeling, are included in the regional emissions analysis for the TIP. In addition, those projects in the portion of Wright County and New Prague within the nonattainment area are also included as appropriate in the analysis as documented in Appendix B.

## Conformity of the TIP

The Metropolitan Council and TAB have determined that the TIP conforms to the broad intentions of the CAAA and to the specific requirements of the final transportation conformity rules (EPA's 40 CFR PARTS 51 and 93). The TIP emissions analysis, using the latest available planning assumptions, traffic forecast models and EPA emission analysis approved models, shows that the TIP continues to meet the carbon monoxide emissions reduction test of comparing the emissions between the baseline and action scenario. The TIP is fiscally constrained, and comes from the conforming metropolitan long range transportation plan. Interagency consultation and public participation processes specified in the EPA rule and in the Transportation Policy Plan were followed in the development of the TIP and the conformity analysis. A detailed description of the conformity analysis is found in Appendix B.

## Original and New SIP Measures

The region has implemented all of the adopted transportation control measures in the SIP strategies contained in the original Air Quality Control Plan. A list of the plan amendments, strategies, their status, and how they have changed with new improvements, is in Appendix B.

## 3. PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS WITH THE REGIONAL PLAN AND FINANCLAL RESOURCES

ISTEA requirements have changed the project selection process and the content of the TIP. This chapter discusses the sources and level of federal and state transportation funds available to the region, the process used to select projects for inclusion in the TIP, and the consistency of selected projects with the region's transportation plan. The balance between selected projects and the financial resources and status of major projects are key elements in this chapter.

The detailed description of projects approved for Federal Highway (Title I) and transit (Title III) funds and State Trunk Highway projects are recorded in Appendix A. A table of projects with letting dates in 1998 is also included. Technically, these need not be in the TIP since funds are scheduled to be obligated in FY 1998. They are included if for some reason a delay occurs. All Title I projects are also recorded in Table A-20 but identified by route number for the convenience of the TIP users. When a project cannot be identified by route number, a project code has been provided instead.

## RESOURCES AVAILABLE 1999-2002

The Region receives federal Title I and III funds, state trunk highway funds and regional transit capital funds from bond sales. All federally funded projects require a local match provided by the sponsoring agency. These could be from state trunk highway funds, regional bond funds, city of county funds or other groups such as the DNR. These add to the value of projects in the TIP.

Transportation resources available to the region for highway, transit, and alternative mode projects are approximately $\$ 440$ million/year. (See Figure 8.) These funds include capital investments for highway, transit and alternative modes and some operating funds for the metropolitan transit system. Annually, Federal Title I and State Trunk Highway funds represent over 52 percent of the funds available, while Federal Title III and other state and local taxes represent the remaining 48\%. A major portion of these funds, approximately $\$ 80$ million, come from property taxes that help operate the regional transit system.

Recorded in Table 3 is the region's "target" for Federal Title I and state trunk highway funds. These targets set out the parameters that are used in the regional and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ process for project selection. The region can also request additional state allocations for unique priority projects. Depending on the requested needs from the other $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ Districts, the region may or may not receive funds. In this year's TIP, the additional allocations includes some programmed overage not allocated to specific projects. The total funds available from these sources over four years are $\$ 968$ million.

When these federal funds are allocated to projects through the various processes described below, they must be matched with non-federal funds. Many of the projects on the trunk highway system are matched by trunk highway funds included in the targets. In other cases, the federal funds are matched by city or county funds, regional transit capital or operating funds or funds from other agencies such as the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. In most cases, these funds represent 20 percent of the project cost although this can be significantly higher. In addition, Mn/DOT Central Office has instructed the Metro Division to overprogram a limited amount. This represents $\$ 43$ million over four years. The local match required for the federal funds, excluding Mn/DOT contributions, was $\$ 86,000,000$. The total funds allocated in response to the regional target of $\$ 968$ million is $\$ 1,054,021,000$.

# FIGURE 8 <br> TWIN CITIES TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM SOURCE OF FUNDS <br> (Average Annual Dollars) <br> TOTAL $=\$ 440 \mathrm{M}$ 
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Table 3
FEDERAL TITLE 1 AND STATE HIGHWAY FUNDS AVAILABLE TO REGION - 1999-2002 (millions)

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal Title I Funds | $\$ 115$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 466$ |
| State Funds | 91 | 97 | 97 | 106 | 391 |
| Target for Region | 206 | 214 | 214 | 223 | 857 |
| Additional Mn/DOT <br> Allocations | 22 | 27 | 24 | 11 | 84 |
| Demonstration Projects | 27 | -- | -- | -- | 27 |
| TOTAL TARGET FUNDS | $\$ 255$ | $\$ 241$ | $\$ 238$ | $\$ 234$ | $\$ 968$ |
| Local Match |  |  |  |  | 86 |
| Total Target Related Funds |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1,054$ |

Federal Title III transit funds available to the region in 1999-2002 are recorded in Table 4. The establishment of the level of funds available for use by the region is done in a completely different manner than the Title I Funds. There are four different Title III section funds that come to the region and are recorded in Table 4 and discussed in this document.

Table 4
FEDERAL TITLE III TRANSIT FUNDS AVAILABLE TO THE REGION1999-2002

| Title III, 5307 and 5309 funded projects - 1999 | $\$ 43,600,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Title III, 5307 AND 5309 Funds available to region <br> 2000-2002 | $\$ 166,590,000$ |
| Title III, 5310 AND 5311 |  |
| Total Title III Funds | $\$ 1,227,292$ |

Section 5307 are capital formula funds provided to Metro Transit as the region's major transit provider. The region has estimated these funds will total about $\$ 20$ million annually. Section 5309 are discretionary funds that are allocated to metropolitan transit on request or are allocated by Congress within the appropriation bills. Sections 5310 and 5311 funds are provided to Mn/DOT as the state's agent. The Section 5310 provides capital funds for lift-equipped vehicles to non-profit agencies providing transit services for elderly and handicapped. The Section 5311 funds provide operating assistance for small city operators.

The region generates transit capital and operator funds from four principal sources: fares, regional property tax for operations, regional property taxes that are dedicated to repay bonds that fund capital projects, and state general funds that are directed to the region's ADA service or the regular transit
service or to repay state bonds for transit projects. The transit opt-out providers may also use local general funds to subsidize operating cost or to match federal funds.

The TIP records the Federal Title III funds allocated to the region. Regional funds used to match these federal funds are also recorded. There are other transit funds not recorded in the TIP. In the future, it is the intent of the region to record all funds that are used for transit capital in the TIP.

## PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CRITERIA

The processes followed for selection of projects vary depending on the type of funds. Summarized below are the various sources of transportation funds that come to the region and the processes followed for project selection.

## Funding Category

## Project Selection Process Followed

## Title I Federal Funds

- STP Urban Guarantees, Enhancement, Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality, Bridge Improvement/Replacement, Railroad Surface and Signals, and Hazard Elimination/Safety funds
- National Highway System Interstate Maintenance, STP, Non-Urban Guarantee, Intelligent Transportation System

Competitive Regional Solicitation Process

## Federal Title III Funds

- Sections 5307 and 5309 Metropolitan Transit Selected
- Section 5310
- Section 5311

Mn/DOT Office of Transit/Statewide Competitive Process
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ Office of Transit/Categorical Allocation
State Trunk Highway Funds
Mn/DOT Metro Division/CIC Assisted Process
Regional Capital Transit Funds
Regional Transit Capital Selection Process

## COMPETITIVE REGIONAL SELECTION PROCESS

A competitive process was developed by the region to select projects for use of Title I federal funds. STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ, TEP, Bridge Improvement/Replacement, Hazard Elimination and Rail Safety projects are selected through this process. This process prioritizes approximately 25 percent of the funds that are available to the region. (See Figure 8.)

The regional partners designed the process to insure federal Title I funds would help the region implement its plan and high priority projects and programs. The priorities are based on the goals and policies in the Regional Blueprint and Transportation Plan. Specifics of the process are described below.

## Projects have been solicited in the following categories:

- Principal Arterials
- "A" Minor Arterials (A category of minor arterials with regional importance)
- Reliever
- Augmenters
- Expanders
- Connectors
- Transit
- Bikeway
- Walkway
- CMAQ
- Enhancements
- Bridge Improvement/Replacement
- Hazard Elimination/Safety
- Railroad Surface and Signals

The ranking of all categories of projects was done by subcommittees of the TAC's Funding and Programming Committee. Using these rankings, the Funding and Programming Committee recommended the projects to be funded to the TAC. There was no predetermined distribution of funds by category or geographic subarea other than the level of funding suggested for enhancements and CMAQ.

Separate qualifying and prioritizing criteria were used for each category. A numerical rating was completed for each project in each category. The qualifying and prioritizing criteria used were selected to be consistent with and implement regional priorities and plans. Recorded below are the most commonly used qualifying criteria. These are followed by the subject matter of the prioritizing criteria used. (The complete solicitation package is available upon request.)

## Examples of Qualifying Criteria

- The project must be consistent with the policies of the Metropolitan Council's officially adopted Regional Blueprint which includes the TPP.
- The project must implement a solution to a transportation problem discussed within the local or county comprehensive plan and/or in a locally approved Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
- The proposer must include with the submittal a letter from the agency with jurisdiction over the road indicating it is aware of and understands the project being submitted and that it commits to operate and maintain the facility for its design life.
- The proposer must show that the project has been coordinated with all affected communities, the appropriate transit operator, and other levels of government.


## Categories of Prioritizing Criteria

- Demonstrated Need for Facility - Present and Future.
- Service Provided.
- Characteristics of Area or Population Served.
- Access to Regional Activity Centers
- Reduction of congestion on principal or minor arterials from Congestion Management System (CMS)
- Increase in hourly person through put (from CMS)
- Accident Prevention and Control.
- Personal Safety
- Cost Effectiveness
- Air Quality
- Integration of Modes
- Community life cycle housing object
- Regional Blueprint, Growth Strategy

Recorded in Table 5 is a summary of the project types selected through the regional competitive process in 1997. The selection process covered the letting years 2001 and 2002. The projects had to be placed in either of the two years; first priority was given to the project sponsor wishes and second, by the need to financially balance the TIP.

Mn/DOT solicited projects for Hazard Elimination/Safety, Railroad Surface and Signals and Bridge Improvement and Replacement. The criteria for project evaluation were reviewed and approved by the Funding and Programming Committee of the TAC. Once the projects were evaluated by Mn/DOT staff, the Funding and Programming Committee selected the projects to be funded.

## PROJECT SELECTION FOR ADDITIONAL TITLE I FUNDS BYMN/DOT METRO DIVISION WITH ADVISE EROM THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE PROCESS

The Mn/DOT Metro Division with the advise of the Capital Improvement Committee (CIC) identifies $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ projects for inclusion in the TIP. (See Figure 2.) Metro Division selects projects on the state trunk highway system that use National Highway System, Interstate Maintenance, Non-Urban Area Guarantee, and Intelligent Transportation System funds. The Capital Improvement Committee assists in developing investment strategies for $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ programs and prioritizes projects across program categories; it identifies and carries major programming issues to $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ Metro Division management and to the TAC Funding and Programming Committee. Participation on the committee includes staff of Mn/DOT Metro Division functional areas, Transportation Advisory Board, The Metropolitan Council and four members of the Technical Advisory Committee.

The Council and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ have cooperatively identified priorities to be used to direct the inclusion of major projects into the TIP. In large part, the priorities and projects are drawn from the regional plans of the Council and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$. Projects are identified to follow the four broad regional plan priorities recorded in the order of importance: preserve, manage, improve, and expand. The "preserve" and "manage" projects are considered the highest priority and those "needs" are attempted to be met first within the available funds. With the remaining funds, improvement and than expansion projects were selected.

## METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SELECTION OF SECTIONS 5307 AND 5309 PROJECTS

The federal funds come to Metropolitan Transit as the principal transit provider in the region. The agency uses the federal funds for bus purchase, bus rebuilding, shelters, guideway improvements such as, shoulder/bus lanes, maintenance and operations. These projects are identified in the Metropolitan Transit 5-year Capital Improvement Program. This is developed as a tool to implement the regional transportation plan.

## SELECTION PROCESS FOR REGIONAL CAPITAL TRANSIT PROJECTS FROM BONDS

The selection process for projects to be funded with regional capital bond funds is in a transition at this time. The region is moving from a process where Metropolitan Transit selected all projects for regional bond funds to a process which will allow use of the region's competitive process for selecting projects. In the 1999 solicitation of projects, the region hopes to have merged the two processes. The TAC's Funding and Programming Committee will appoint members to a committee to develop the common process. This will require review and approval of TAC, TAB and Metropolitan Council.

## MN/DOT OFFICE OF TRANSIT

The Title III Section 5310 and 5311 are allocated by Mn/DOT's Office of Transit. The Section 5310 funds are competitively allocated to non-profit agencies for vehicles. This is a statewide process. The projects selected in the region are recorded in the TIP. Projects are selected annually so each year the TIP is revised or amended and a new table of projects is included for the next fiscal year.

Section 5311 allocates operating funds for small city transit service. The amount is determined based on formula. There are three transit services in the region that receive funds.

Table 5
SUMMARY OF PROJECTS SELECTED COMPETITIVELY IN 1997
(Total Funds)

| PROGRAM CATEGORY | PROGRAM YEAR <br> FISCAL 2001 | PROGRAM YEAR FISCAL <br> 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hazard Elimination/Safety (HES) | $\$ 3,650,000$ | $\$ 1,668,000$ |
| Railroad Surface \& Signals (RRSS) | $2,525,000$ | $2,435,000$ |
| Bridge Improvement/Replacement (BIR) | $5,834,000$ | $6,661,000$ |
| Enhancements (EN) | $5,646,000$ | $5,857,000$ |
| Congestion Mitigation Air Quality <br> (CMAQ) | $4,430,000$ | $6,731,000$ |
| Surface Transportation Program (STP) | $29,895,000$ | $29,172,000$ |
| TOTALS | $\$ 51,980,000$ | $\$ 52,524,000$ |

## BALANCE OF SELECTED PROJECTS WITH AVAILABLE FINANCIAL RESOURCES

ISTEA requires that the region's TIP must be consistent with funds reasonably expected to be available. This means the projects recorded in the TIP cannot exceed the forecasted revenues. The project costs identified for 1999 to 2002 closely match the funds available for all four years of the TIP. The TIP is in balance with resources available to the region.
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ has developed and follows a process of fund allocation to the Area Transportation Partnership regions in the state that insures the regional project commitments and the STIP are in balance with the funds available from Title I and State Trunk Highways. Mn/DOT sets funding targets for each of the regions to use as they developed their draft TIPs. The draft TIPs submitted to Mn/DOT can be over programmed by the regions as a means to request additional federal and state funds. $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ sets the final regional funding levels which are in balance for the state. This year MnDOT Office of Investment Management instructed the Metro Division to over program the TIP by $3 \%$ in the first two years of the TIP and $6 \%$ in the later years. This was done because of a concern that major projects may not proceed as scheduled and that more federal funds would be available than had been anticipated. This level of funding is consistent with federal guidance.

The total Federal Title I and state highway funds allocated to the region are recorded in Table 3. The initial regional funding target provided by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ for Title I funds for 1999-2002 are $\$ 115$ million in 1999 and $\$ 117$ million from 2000, 2001 and 2002. State funds allocated to the region for four years are $\$ 391$ million. Comparing the sources available to the region from Table 3, the allocation of resources from Table 6 can be seen a balance exists. The Title I allocated resources of $\$ 968$ does not included the local match for federal projects and contributions to some state and local projects. In addition, the targets include the overage $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ recommends be programmed. This overage averages about 4.5\% per year or about $\$ 43$ million over the length of the TIP. In total, the projects to be funded with Title I, State Trunk Highway Funds and the local match total to be $\$ 1,054,021,000$.

In accordance with federal guidance, no overage of Title III federal funds are assumed for 1999. The region has identified $\$ 43.6$ million for 1999 projects from Title III Section 5307 and 5309. The requests for additional funding have been separated from the approved funds.

The region assumes approximately $\$ 20,000,000$ of Section 5309 funds will be made available annually for capital projects for 1999-2002. Additional federal funds are requested from Sections 5307 and 5309 and have been made available from Title I, CMAQ and STP programs for transit. Over the four year TIP, approximately $\$ 63,000,000$ of federal funds will be made available to transit or transit related projects such as travel demand management projects from STP Urban Guarantee and CMAQ. In total, approximately $\$ 646$ million of funds are shown to be allocated to transit purposes in the next 4 years. These include approximately $\$ 320$ million of local operational funds.

Table 6
DISTRIBUTION OF TITLE 1, STATE TRUNK HIGHWAY* AND MATCHING FUNDS (000S)

1999-2002

|  | TOTAL | FEDERAL | STATE | OTHER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMAQ | \$ 28,731 | \$ 19,706 | \$ 555 | \$8,471 |
| Enhancements | 23,379 | 17,434 | 40 | 5,905 |
| STP Urban Guarantee | 132,642 | 99,228 | 1,890 | 31,524 |
| STP Non-Urban | 31,745 | 25,396 | 3,661 | 2,688 |
| Mn/DOT \& State Aid Bridge | 94,796 | 52,258 | 8,738 | 33,800 |
| Demo | 45,200 | 34,360 | 10,840 | 0 |
| MN Interstate Maintenance | 190,510 | 160,048 | 30,462 | -- |
| ITS | 9,488 | 463 | 7,500 | 1,525 |
| NHS | 142,035 | 110,428 | 27,607 | 4,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100 \% \text { State } \\ & \text { Funded } \end{aligned}$ | 355,495 | 0 | 354,856 | 639 |
| TOTAL | \$1,054,021** | \$519,321 | \$446,149 | \$88,552 |

*The detailed project costs by category are found in Appendix A.
**Includes $\$ 968$ million from Regional Target and $\$ 86$ million in local match.

Table 7
SUMMARY OF TRANSIT AND TDM INVESTMENTS BY FUNDING SOURCE BY YEAR

| Year | CMAQ | STP Urban <br> Guarantee | Section <br> 5307 | Section <br> 5309 | Section <br> 5310 | Section <br> 5311 | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1999 | $\$ 12,345,250$ | $\$ 8,000,000$ | $\$ 115,775,000$ | $\$ 59,975,000$ | $\$ 570,000$ | $\$ 1,107,321$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 7 , 2 0 3 , 1 4 0}$ |
| 2000 | $5,676,391$ | $6,875,000$ | $110,475,000$ | $37,762,500$ | - | $1,140,275$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1 , 9 2 9 , 1 7 0}$ |
| 2001 | $3,528,000$ | $8,665,000$ | $106,875,000$ | $20,000,000$ | - | $1,180,046$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 2 4 8 , 0 5 0}$ |
| 2002 | $6,754,764$ | $11,000,000$ | $108,125,000$ | $20,000,000$ | - | $1,209,493$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 , 0 8 9 , 2 6 0}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8 , 3 0 4 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 5 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 1 , 2 5 0 , 0 0 0 ^ { * }}$ | $137,737,500$ | $\mathbf{5 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 3 7 , 1 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 6 , 4 6 9 , 6 6 0}$ |

*Includes $\$ 320,000,000$ for transit operations from local funds.

## CONSISTENCY WITH THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN (TPP) AND PRIORITIES

All projects in the TIP must be consistent with the TPP. The priorities of the TPP are recorded in Chapter 1, Summary of the TPP. The region's priorities for the trunk highways are to maintain and preserve all 1200 miles of the system in the region. The region has stated the order of priority which is: to preserve, to manage, to reconstruct, and to expand as funds are available. The significant investment in the later three categories are recorded in the TPP. The region also identifies transit priorities as recorded in the plan summary in Chapter 1. (See page 15.) The priorities for transit are to serve four primary transit markets which are to alleviate congestion, provide better accessibility to jobs, promote higher density development and revitalize the core area of the region.

There is no need to attempt to point out the projects that are consistent with the priority to maintain the trunk highways. The majority of projects focus either wholly or in part on the rehabilitation and preservation of trunk highways. (See Table 8) Approximately $\$ 309$ million of the funds are assigned to preservation projects. Preservation distinguishes the more routine activities such as road resurfacing and bridge improvement from the periodic major investment needed such as reconstruction. This represents 32 percent of total federal and state funds available to the region.

The region's second highest priority for the highway system is to manage the transportation system. Management projects are advanced by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ and other agencies. Approximately $\$ 130$ million or $13 \%$ will be spent on traffic management. The detailed project descriptions are found in Appendix A. A number of these projects put in place the facilities and equipment needed by Mn/DOT to manage all freeways in the urban area to ensure these highway segments are used effectively. These projects include ramp meters and HOV bypasses of meters. Many of the projects selected for STP and CMAQ are in part management projects. This is due to the criteria used to select the projects (see discussion above). This is especially true of the principal arterial and " A " minor arterial projects. In large part, these categories were developed to promote traffic management activities.

The fourth priority for funding is the expansion category. All of the major projects identified in Table 10 are consistent with and in many cases, specifically identified in the TPP. The combined federal and state funds allocated to expansion projects represent approximately $31 \%$ or $\$ 294$ million of the four year target. This includes $\$ 27$ million of federal demonstration funds. A significant part of these funds are used to reconstruct an existing highway as the expansion project is carried out but it is difficult to separate one part of the work from another. New HOV lanes on I-35W are inciuded.

The " A " minor arterial system is intended to provide for a more than local need. The " A " minor arterial system was adopted and is included in the regional transportation plan.. The funding for "A" minor arterials are contained in the three categories discussed above depending on the particular project.

The "other" category in Table 8 includes agreements with local governments, enhancements and transit projects. These projects represent 8 percent or $\$ 76$ million. Local agreements cover work in $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ right-of-way and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ is contributing to the cost of the project. These projects are difficult to characterize due to the variety of activities that are included. The enhancement funds are allocated through the regional process. Finally, transit project are included. Many projects selected for funding can be found in the TPP transit plan or are consistent with adopted policies. This has come about in part due to the criteria used to select the projects.

The TPP emphasizes the need for bike and walk projects. Specific facilities are not identified relative to bike, walk or enhancement projects in the plan. There are policies that define needs in these areas. The criteria used to select projects are intended to encourage projects that fulfill these policies. Therefore, the projects selected are consistent with the TPP.

The TIP contains a number of "set-asides" that reserve funds for certain activities that are difficult to identify in advance. These include right-of-way needed for projects which varies significantly by locale or based on court decisions. Also included in the $\$ 159$ million are supplemental agreements. These funds are set aside to cover contract changes due to unforeseen costs, such as poor or polluted soils or for cost overruns.

In Table 7 all the funds for transit and TDM projects are recorded. The region is committed to providing regional transit service consistent with the regional Blueprint and TPP. All Title I and Title III transit projects sponsored by Metro Transit have been developed with this end in mind.

Table 8
1999-2002 ALLOCATION OF FEDERAL TITLE I AND STATE TRUNK HIGHWAY FUNDS BY WORK TYPE (in millions)

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | TOTAL |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Preservation | $\$ 62$ | $\$ 118$ | $\$ 56$ | $\$ 73$ | $\$ 309$ | $32 \%$ |
| Manage | 40 | 24 | 30 | 36 | 130 | $13 \%$ |
| Expansion | 93 | 42 | 92 | 67 | 294 | $31 \%$ |
| Set Asides for R/W, <br> Cost Overruns, <br> Supplement Agreements | 39 | 40 | 41 | 39 | $\$ 159$ | $16 \%$ |
| Other (agreements, <br> enhancements, transit) | 21 | 17 | 19 | 19 | $\$ 76$ | $8 \%$ |
| TARGET TOTALS | $\$ 255$ | $\$ 241$ | $\$ 238$ | $\$ 234$ | $\$ 968$ | $100 \%$ |
| Local Match |  |  |  |  | $\$ 86$ |  |
| Total Target and Match <br> Funds |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1,054$ |  |

## STATUS OE MAJOR PROJECTS

Federal TIP guidance requires the progress made on major projects, or lack there of, to be recorded in the TIP. Over the past seven years the region has included a list of major projects in the TIP. Separate tables have been prepared on major highway and transit projects. The highway projects are found in Table 9. For each project a summary has been provided. The current letting year, cost and comments on the status of the project are included. Table 10 records the major transit projects.

All of the major projects are included in the TPP as recorded in Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 4. These tables and maps also show major projects not yet programmed. In the coming years, these projects can be expected to move into the TIP as the projects now under construction are completed.

No major highway projects were completed in 1997/98. Work continues on the projects as described in Table 9. A number of new projects have now been brought into the TIP. The I-35E Mississippi Bridge represents one of a number of major bridges that will require replacement due to structural flaws resulting from the design popular when these bridges were built. Other bridge projects will need to be moved into the TIP in the coming year.

Also now included are the lane add on I-494, the replacement of the Wakota Bridge, reconstruction of the I-494 and TH 61 interchange, and local access improvements to TH 61.

The only project which faces extended delay is the TH 36 bridge crossing the St. Croix River. This project has been delayed due to National Park Service order to withhold the necessary federal permits. The lawsuit brought by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ and Wisconsin to reverse this decision was found in favor of the National Park Service. The key participants in this dispute have entered into a negotiation process that is intended to reach a consensus on the design and location of the bridge. Based on $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ 's request, the program years for the Bridge have been delayed to 2000/2001 versus the 1999/2000 that appeared in the Draft TIP.

The status of major transit projects appears in Table 10. This table records Federal Title I and Title III funded projects which exceed $\$ 1,000,000$. Not all funds have been appropriated. Replacement bus contracts have been regularly let. Other major projects include the replacement of the Snelling Garage, operating assistance on I-35W, various bus facilities and park and ride locations. The central corridor bus and bus facilities project was funded from preliminary engineering funds set aside for LRT in the central corridor.

This is clearly an aggressive program of capital and new services expansion. While not all funds are available, the 1999 projects are fully funded in accordance with federal regulations.

Table 9
STATUS OF MAJOR HIGHWAY PROJECTS

| Project Highway and Bridge | Cost Estimates (000s) | Current program years | Program YearLast TIP | Assumed year open to traffic | Project status/comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. TH 10, Anoka County, I-35 to Egret Blvd. | \$48,000 | 1998 | No change | 1999 | New 4/6 lane freeway from I-35W to Egret Blvd. All stages will be let by 1998. |
| 2. TH 12 | \$42,000 | 2002 | New | 2006 | Construct new limited access 2-lane highway between Wayzata Blvd. to CR 6 in Orono. Parallel to existing TH 12. |
| 3. I-35E, TH 13 to Shepard Rd. | \$28,000 | 2001, 2002 | New | 2003 | Replace and Expand Miss. River Bridge |
| 4. 1-35W, HOV lane from I-494 to Minneapolis | \$80,000 | 1999-2002 | No change | 2003 | Project will reconstruct TH 62 and I-35W and add the HOV lane. HOV north of I-494, \$9m in $1999, \$ 61.6 \mathrm{~m}$ in $2001, \$ 8.3 \mathrm{~m}$ in 2002. HOV south of I-494 complete. |
| 5. TH 36, St. Croix Bridge | \$100,000 | 2000,2001 | 1999, 2000 |  | New 4-lane bridge and approaches. Negotiation process underway. $\$ 40 \mathrm{M}$ will be paid by Wis.. |
| 6. TH 55, Hiawatha Av. | \$84,500 | 1998, 1999 | No change | 2000 | Reconstruct the 4-lane arterial from Crosstown to I-94. Extended to 1999. First stage of Hiawatha Transitway will be included in 1999 contract letting. |
| 7. TH 100, Glenwood Av. to CSAH 152 | \$87,500 | 1999-2002 | No change | 2003 | First project phase to be let in 1998. Construct 4/6 lane freeway. |
| 8. TH 212, Eden Prairie to CSAH 4 | \$18,000 | 1999 | 2000 | 2000 | Construct $4 / 6$ lane freeway from TH 5 to Mitchell Rd., contracts let by 1998. <br> Construction to CSAH 4. Stage 3 to be advanced to 1999. |
| 9. I-494/TH 61 interchange, TH 61/local access | \$87,000 | 2002 | New | 2009 | Replace and widen I-494 bridge, reconstruct interchange, reconstruct TH 61. Provide local access. |
| 10. I-494 | \$30,000 | 2002 | New | 2003 | Add 3rd lane from TH 212 to TH 100. This would be a managed corridor demo. to illustrate how this would promote added HOV and transit use. |
| 11. TH 610, TH 10 to I-94 | \$56,000 | 1998, 1999 | No change | 2000 | All contracts are to be let by 1999. |

Table 10
STATUS OF MAJOR TRANSIT CAPITAL PROJECTS

| Project | Project Title | Total Project Cost | Federal Participation | Grant Application | Type | Project Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3530 | East Metro Garage - Snelling Replacement | \$28,000,000 | \$3,120,000 | 1996 | 1996-5307 | Planning and design, site selection in progress |
| 3652 | Uptown Transit Hub | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 1996 | STP | Design bid to be awarded by April 1998 |
| 3653 | Foley Park \& Ride Lot Expansion | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 1999 | STP | Design in spring/summer 1998, construction beginning fall 1998 |
| 3714 | Gillig Engine Purchase/Rebuild | 2,449,000 | 1,845,000 | 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1996,1997- } \\ & 5307 \end{aligned}$ | Continuing through 1998 |
| 3772 | Bus Stop Shelters | 1,570,000 | 1,256,000 | 1994 | STP | Site selection underway, construction will go into 1999 |
| 3810 | Purchase 67-40 ft. buses | 17,500,000 | 13,500,000 |  | 1998-5307 | To begin mid-1998 |
| 3812 | Engines/Transmissions/Lifts/Tire Leases | 3,000,000 | 1,200,000 | to be applied | 1998-5307 | To begin mid-1998 |
| 3882 | Orion | 7,500,000 | 7,500,000 | 1997 | STP, 5307 | Contract has been signed, will begin installation in June 1998 |
| 4401 | Operating Assistance for I-35W South Corridor | 4,353,000 | 3,482,000 | To be applied | CMAQ | Planned for 1999 |
| 4401 | Operating Assistance for I-35W South Corridor | 3,875,000 | 3,100,000 | To be applied | CMAQ | Planned for 1998 |
| not assigned | 800 Mhz Communication System | 16,000,000 | 12,800,000 | To be applied | 5307/5309 | Ongoing in 1998 |
| not assigned | 1-35W North Corridor Operating Assistance | 4,216,014 | 3,372,811 | to be applied | CMAQ | Program Year 2002 |
| not assigned | 1-35W North Corridor Facility Improvements | 8,000,000 | 6,000,000 | To be applied | 5307/5309 | Planned for 2000 |
| not assigned | I-35W South Corridor (include. 42nd or 46th St. Stations) | 18,750,000 | 15,000,000 | To be applied | 5307/5309 | Planned for 2000 |
| not assigned | Co. Rd. 73/I-394 Joint use Park/Ride Expansion | 6,875,000 | 5,500,000 | To be applied | 5307/5309 | Planned for 2000 |
| not assigned | New Bus Purchases | 25,000,000 | 20,000,000 | To be applied | 5307/5309 | Annual Expense |
| not assigned | Engines, Transmissions, Lifts, Tire Leases | 4,000,000 | 3,000,000 | To be applied | 5307/5309 | Annual Expense |


| Project | Project Title | Total Project <br> Cost | Federal <br> Participation | Grant <br> Application | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to be <br> assigned | Central Corridor - Bus and Bus <br> Facility Projects | $5,487,500$ | $4,390,000$ |  | 5309 |
| to be <br> assigned | SMTC Reverse Commute <br> Management Team <br> Implementation | $1,353,766$ | $1,083,000$ |  | Project Status |
| to be <br> assigned | Employer Fare Match Incentive <br> Program | $2,000,000$ | $1,600,000$ |  | Program Year 2000 |
| to be <br> assigned | Purchase 26, 40-Foot Buses | $6,875,000$ | $5,500,000$ |  | CMAQ |
| to be <br> assigned | St. Paul, West End Area <br> Downtown Multi-Modal Hub | $11,000,000$ | $5,500,000$ |  | Program Year 1999 |
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## APPENDIX A

## KEY TO TABLES

The tables are broken into the various "most likely" funding categories and are sorted by: Local/Mn/DOT, Agency, Trunk Highway, State Project Number. The description of each column is shown below.

| Year | The Federal Fiscal year the project is scheduled to be let. |
| :---: | :---: |
| PRT | The major project this project is a part of - see attached list. |
| Route | The highway the project is located on. A "999" means multiple routes or a location has yet to be determined. |
| Project Number | The Mn/DOT project number. |
| Description | The location and work to be accomplished by the project. |
| Agency | The agency with jurisdiction over the project. |
| Category | The project type: Preservation, Replacement, Management, Expansion, Transit, |
| PRG | Mn/DOT Program categories |
|  | AM Agreements SR Safety Rail |
|  | BI Bridge Improvement BT Bike Trails, Trails |
|  | BR Bridge Replacement MC Major Construction |
|  | RC Reconstruction RD Reconditioning |
|  | RS Resurfacing RX Road Repair |
|  | SC Safety-Capacity SH Safety Hazard Elimination |
|  | TM Traffic Management TR Transit |
| AQ | TIP air quality category. See Appendix C for description of codes. |
| Total \$ | Total estimated cost of project. |
| Fed \$ | Federal funding for the project. In some instances the federal funding is greater than the funding allocated by the STP selection process. This was necessary to completely fund the larger projects. |
| DEMO \$ | Total federal demonstration funding for the project. |
| State \$ | $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ state funding for the project. |
| Local \$ | Total contribution from the local agency involved in the project. |

## MN/DOT Metro Division Construction Projects PARENT Projects

| Parent Number | Highway | Location | Description | Expansion | Lanes Before | Lanes Atter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TH 10 | New TH 10 in Anoka County | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 2 | 1-35W | Junction 1-35E to Minneapolis | Preservation + Temporary HOV Lanes | Yes | Varies | $V$ aries |
| 3 | TH 36/TH 5 | St. Croix River Crossing | Construct New River Crossing | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 4 | TH 55 | Hiswatha Avenue | Reconstruct Road | Yes | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | TH 100 | 1-394 to Indiana Avenue | Upgrade Per EIS Recommendation | To Be Determined |  |  |
| 6 | TH 212 | 1-494 to Cologne | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 7 | TH 610 | TH 10 to TH 169 | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |

These are significant projects that will be constructed over a number of years and divided into numerous small projects. The Parent number is provided in a separate column on the tables in Appendix $A$ to help the reader identify these projects.

TABLE A-1
Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 190-070-09 | TM | 106,000 | 84,200 | 0 | 21,800 | 1-494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORR COMM | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 90-071-01 | TR | 3,075,000 | 1,100,000 | 0 | 1,975,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION /REORGANIZATION | MCTO | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 90-071-01A | TR | 3,550,000 | 1,480,000 | 0 | 2,070,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION | MCTO | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-08 | TM | 1,625,000 | 1,300,000 | 0 | 325,000 | REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT | MET COUNCIL | Manage | AQI |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-14 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | EMPLOYER FARE MATCH INCENTIVE PROGRAMMETRO TRANSIT | METRO TRANSIT | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-11 | TM | 248,750 | 199,000 | 0 | 49,750 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-12 | TM | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 70,000 | VARIABLE MESSAGE SIGNS IN DOWNTOWN minneapolis | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-13 | TM | 890,500 | 562,600 | 0 | 327,900 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS ON NICOLLET AVE AND LAKE ST | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 8809-180 | TM | 518,750 | 415,000 | 103,750 |  | CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SPECIAL EVENT ACTIVITY INFO SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1999 |  | TH 77 | 1925-36 | TM | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | DIFFELY ROAD \& SB TH 13 TO NB TH 77-HOV RAMP METER BYPASSES | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1999 |  | TH 77 | 1925-38 | TM | 500,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 | 250,000 | 127TH ST TO NB TH 77 \& CLIFF RD TO NB TH 77 HOV RAMP METER BYPASSES | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-28 | TM | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 |  | SB TH 169 EXIT LOOP TO EB TH 62-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 90.070-10 | TM | 109,625 | 87,700 | 0 | 21.925 | 1-494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORR COMM | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 90.070-11 | TM | 1,875,000 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 375,000 | REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MAANAGEMENT PROGRAM | MET COUNCL | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-10 | TM | 1,072,000 | 680,600 | 0 | 391,400 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM ON CHICAGO AVE \& CENTRAL AVE | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | 57 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-14 | TM | 266,000 | 212.750 | 0 | 53,250 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-12 | TM | 1,353,766 | 1,083,013 | 0 | 270,753 | SMTC REVERSE-COMMUUTE MANAGEMENT TEAM IMPLEMENTATION | SMTC | Manage | T1 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 8809-181 | TM | 256,250 | 205,000 | 51,250 |  | CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCEISTPECIAL EVENT ACTIVITY INFO SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 2000 |  | 1-35E | 1982-130 | TM | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | AT PILOT KNOB RD AND AT LONE OAK RD TO NB I-35EHOV RAMP METER BYPASSES | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | 1-94 | 2786-106 | TM | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 | 0 | CO RD 81 TO EB 1-94-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | 1.94 | 6283-164 | TM | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 | 0 | RUTH ST TO WB I-94-HOV RAMP MEETER BYPȦSS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2001 |  | CMAQ | CM-12-97 | TM | 120,000 | 96,000 | 0 | 24.000 | I-494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-494 CORRIDOR } \\ & \text { COMM } \end{aligned}$ | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2001 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-15 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM | MET COUNCIL | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2001 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-14A | TM | 310,000 | 232,000 | 0 | 78,000 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | AQ1 |

TABLE A-1
Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects

| Year | Pri | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | CM-12-97A | TM | 120,000 | 96,000 | 0 | 24,000 | 1-494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | I-494 CORRIDOR COMM | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-15A | TM | 2,093,750 | 1,675,000 | 0 | 418,750 | TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM | MET COUNCIL | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-13 | TM | 4.216,014 | 3,372,811 | 0 | 843,203 | I-35W NORTH CORRIDOR-TRANSIT SERVICE EXPANSION PLAN | METRO TRANSIT | Manage | T1 |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-148 | TM | 325,000 | 244,000 | 0 | 81,000 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | AQ1 |

$\mathbf{2 8 , 7 3 1 , 4 0 5} \quad 19,705,674 \quad 555,000 \quad 8,470,731$

TABLE A-2
Enhancement Projects

| Year | Prt | Roule | Pri Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | Staie \$ | Other 5 | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | EN | 109-020-08 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | O | 125,000 | BROOKLYN BLVD STREETSCAPE AMENITIES PROJECT | BROOKLYN CENTER | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 110-090-01 | EN | 634.000 | 500,000 | 0 | 134,000 | WEST RIVER ROAD CORRIDOR ENHANCEMENTS-73RD AVE TO TH 252 | BROOKLY'N PARK | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 130.080-02 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | HASTINGS MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION CENTER | HASTİGGS | Oiher | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 27-612-08 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | CLOQUET ISLANO SCENIC OVERLOOK | HENINEPIN CO | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 94-080-01 | EN | 102,000 | 81,600 | 0 | 20.400 | MARINE MILL TRAILS \& RUİN ṠTȦBALIİATION | MN HISTORIC SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 94-080-02 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | SIBLEY HISTORIC SITE-BLOG REHAB \& ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORK | MN HISTORIC SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 90.080 .07 | EN | 240,000 | 192,000 | 0 | 48,000 | RAIL PASSENGER CAR RESTORATION | MN TRANS MUSEUM | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 179-090-02 | EN | 493,075 | 394,460 | 0 | 98,615 | BURNSUVILLE TRANSIT BIKEWAY | MVTA | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 185-090-01 | EN | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | HADLEY AVE, 10 TH ST, 50TH ST, STILLWATER BLVDBIKE TRAILS | OAKDALE | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 155-020-07 | EN | 359,000 | 269,250 | 0 | 89,750 | 1-494/CO RD 9 PED/BIKE BRIDGE | PLYMOUTH | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 62-090.01 | EN | 450,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 90,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL-JOHNSON PKWY TO FROST AVE | RAMMSEY CO | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 96 | 91-090-08 | EN. | 94,000 | 75,200 | 0 | 18,800 | BRAMBLEWOOD TO CENTERVILLE RD-BIKEIPED TRAIL | RAMSEY COUNTY | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 96 | 91-090-09 | EN | 135,000 | 108,000 | 0 | 27,000 | RICE ST TO MCMENEMY-BIKERPED TRAIL. | RAMSEY COUNTY | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 167-090-05 | EN | 332,900 | 266,320 | 0 | 66,580 | TH 49 TRAIL-CO RD ITO CSAH 96 | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 163-090-01 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 125,000 | SOUTHWEST REGIONAL TRAIL-CEDAR LAKE PARK TO HOPKINS TRAILHEAD OF HENN PARKS REG TRAIL | St LOUIS PARK | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164-080-07 | EN | 152,500 | 122,000 | 0 | 30,500 | JACKSON STREET ROUNDHOUSE | ST PAUL | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164-080-08 | EN | 680,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 180,000 | COMO PARK STREETCAR STATION RENOVATION | ST PAUL | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164-090-03 | EN | 620,000 | 496,000 | 0 | 124,000 | COMO AVENUE BIKEWAY PROJECT | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164-090-04 | EN | 420,000 | 336,000 | 0 | 84,000 | MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRAIL-WARNER RD SEGMENT | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 209-090-01 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | CENTERVILLE ROAD TRÄll.CSÄH 96 TO VADNAIS BLVD | VADNAIS HEIGHTTS | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-164 | EN | 200.000 | 160,000 | 40,000 | 0 | STATE ENTRYWAYS BEAUTIFICATION | MNDOT | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 141-080-22 | EN | 725.000 | 580,000 | 0 | 145,000 | MAIN STT \& 6TH AVE SURFACE TREATMENT | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 91-090-01 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | STONE ARCH BRIDGE TO BRIDGE 9-WEST RIVER PARKWAY TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 91-090-03 | EN | 875,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 175,000 | MINNEHAHA PKWY TRAIL FROM LAKE HARRIET TO MINNEHAHA PARK | MINNEAPOLIS PARKS | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 91-080-03 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 | JACKSON ST ROUNDHOUSE RESTORATION | MN TRANS MUSEUM | Other | NC |

TABLE A-2
Enhancement Projects


Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
TABLE A-3
STP Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Pt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | BIKENALK | 106-090-02 | BT | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | CONSTRUCT BIKEWAYNALKWAY ON CSAH 32 FROM TH 65 TO I-35W | BLAINE | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 23 | 19-623-19 | RC | 5,375,000 | 4,300,000 | 0 | 1,075,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 23 FROM CSAH 9 TO CSAH 70 | dákota CO | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | CR 46 | 19-596-01 | RC | 5,900,000 | 4,720,000 | 0 | 1,180,000 | RECONSTRUCT CR 46 FROM CSAH 31 TO TH 52 | DAKOTA CO | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 61 | 27-661-28 | RC | 4,800,000 | 3,840,000 | 0 | 960,000 | ${ }_{94}$ RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 61 FROM CSAH 10 TO | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 152 | 27-752-07 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | HENNEPIN CSAH 152 FROM 64TH AVE TO 71STA ÄVE N. RECONSTRUCT | HeNNEPIN CO | Replace | 8 -0 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-27 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 | 0 | 780,000 | FROM TH 169(CSAH 18) TO TH 212-RECONSTRUCT. BIKE TRAIL, ETC | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | 8.00 |
| 1999 |  | B8 | 90.080 .01 | TR | 3,000,000 | 2,400,000 | 0 | 600,000 | HENNEPIN/LAGOON TRANSIT HUB | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | E6 |
| 1999 |  | XX | 90-080-05 | TR | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | EXPAND THE FOLEY PARKIRIDE FACILITYYIN COON RAPIDS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | E6 |
| 1999 |  | BIKENALK | 141-090-04 | BT | 1,382,700 | 1.106,160 | 0 | 276,540 | BASSETTS CREEK TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1999 |  | BIKENALK | 174-090-01 | BT | 775,000 | 620,000 | 0 | 155,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL. TRAIL | Whilite bear lake | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 10 | 8202-24 | MC | 6,600,000 | 5,280,000 | 1,320,000 | 0 | TH 61 TO THE ST CROIX RIVER - RECONSTRUCT | MNDOT | Expand | E1 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 78 | 02-678-11 | RC | 2,700,000 | 2,160,000 | 0 | 540,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 78(HANSON ELVD) FROM COON RAPIDS BLVD TO ROBINSON DRIVE | ANOKA CO | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 130 | 189-020-06 | RC | 2,800,000 | 2,240,000 | 0 | 560,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 130 FROM HEMLOCK LANE TO TH 169 | MAPLE GROVE | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | 1.394 | 90-080-06 | TR | 6,875,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 1,375,000 | 1-394/CR 73 Joint USE PARK AND RIDE EXPANSİION | METRÖ TRANSIT | Transii | E6 |
| 2000 |  | BIKENALK | 141-090-07 | BT | 956,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 256,000 | DINKYTOWN BIKEWAY CONNECTION | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2000 |  | BIKENALK | 141-090-09 | BT | 1,482,400 | 1,185,920 | 0 | 296,840 | MIDTOWN GREENWAY-PHASE II | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 199-010-03 | RC | 2,850,000 | 2,280,000 | 0 | 570,000 | FROM 142ND TO 153RD IN RAMSEY-3-LANE SECTION, SIGNAL, TRAIL, ETC | RAMSEY | Replace | E1 |
| 2000 |  | CR B | 62-625-22 | SC | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | 0 | 300,000 | ON CO RD B FROM HAMLINE AVE TO DALE STGEOMETRIC \& SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS | Ramsey Co | Manage | E2 |
| 2000 |  | BIKENALK | 164-090-05 | BT | 1,880,000 | 1,504,000 | 0 | 376,000 | CONSTRUCT BICYCLE/PED BR OVER BNRRN OF ENERGY PARK | St PAUL | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 19 | 82-619-11 | $\overline{R C}$ | 3,500.000 | 2,800,000 | 0 | 700,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 19 FROM HUDSON RD TO CSAH 16 | WASHINGTONCO | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | TH7 | 2706-188 | RC | 1,850,000 | 1,280,000 | 570,000 |  | RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT CO RD $82 \&$ MILL \& OVERLAY FROM TH 41 TO CHRISTMAS LAKE RD | MNOOT | Replace | E3 |
| 2001 |  | CITY | 107-399-26 | RC | 6,900,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 1,400,000 | 79TH/80TH ST OVER 1-35W-CONSTRUCT BRIDGE | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | A05 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 19 | 27-619-17 | RC | 4,980,000 | 3,984,000 | 0 | 996,000 | FROM TH 55 TO CO RD 117-RECONSTRUCTION | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | BB | 90-080-08 | TR | 6,875,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 1,375,000 | METRO TRANSIT PURCHASE OF 26 40-FOOT BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 2001 |  | CR C | 62-623-41 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | FROM SNELLING AVE TO OXFORD STRECONSTRUCTION | RAMSEY COUNTY | Replace | E1 |

TABLE A-3
STP Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Stale $\$$ | Olher \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 3 | 163-020-31 | BI | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | CSAH 3(EXCELSIOR BLVD) OVER TH 100-BRIDGE WIDENING, TURN LANES, SIDEWALK, ETC | ST LOUIS PARK | Preserve | E1 |
| 2001 |  | PED/BIKE | 164-090-06 | BT | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500.000 | FROM SIBLEY TO RAÑDOLPPH-EASTT BANK MISSISSSIPPI RIVER REGIONAL TRAIL | ST PAULL | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2004 |  | BB | 90-080-09 | TR | 1,790,000 | 1,432,000 | 0 | 358,000 | SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT PURCHASE OF 4 ARTICULATED TRANSIT VEHICLES | SWMT | Transit | T10 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 107-399-25 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 | 0 | 780,000 | ONE 79TH ST FROM CEDAR TO 24TH AVE-GRAD. SURF, SIGNALS, ETC | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | A05 |
| 2002 |  | PED/BIKE | 141-090-13 | BT | 1.112,200 | 889,760 | 0 | 222.440 | FROM HIAWATHA TO W RIVER RD-MIDTOWN GREENWAY TRAIL(PHASE III) | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2002 |  | PEDIBIKE | 141-090-14 | BT | 1,369,000 | 1,093,200 | 0 | 273,800 | LORING PARK BICYCLEIPED CONNECTION FOR UPTOWN TO DOWNTOWN | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2002 |  | CR C | 62-623-40 | RC | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | 800,000 | I-35W TO SNELLING AVE-RECONSTRUCT, ADD TURN LANES, INTERCONNECTED SIGNALS, ETC | RAMSEY COUNTY | Replace | E1 |
| 2002 |  | PED/BIKE | 160-090-05 | BT | 791,000 | 632,800 | 0 | 158,200 | WATERWORKS/DALE STREET TRAILS IN ROSEVILLE | ROSEVILLE | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 164-080-09 | TR | 11,000,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 5,500,000 | WEST END AREA OF DOWNTOWN ST PAUL-MULTIMODAL HUB | ST PAUL | Transit | E6 |
| 2002 |  | CR | 82-613-07 | MC | 2,600,000 | 2,080,000 | 0 | 520,000 | ON HINTON/TOWER DRIVE FROM 65TH IN COTTAGE GROVE TO MLLITARY RD IN WOODBURY-4-LANE RDWY, TRAIL,SIGNALS,ETC | WASHINGTON COUNTY | Expand | A05 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 177-102-05 | MC | 4,400,000 | 3,520,000 | 0 | 880,000 | TAMARACK RD INTERCHANGE WITH I-494 IN WOODBURY | WOODEURY | Expand | A05 |
| 2002 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-167 | MC | 11,000,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 5.500,000 | INDIANA AVENUE TO 50 TH AVE N-GRAD, SURF, UPGRADE TO FREEWAY | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Pri | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed\$ | State $\$$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 10 | 02-610-10 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 10(BIRCH ST) AT TH 49(HODGSON RD)-SIGNAL INSTALLATION ADD LEFT TURN LANE | ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 35 | 27-635-18 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE) AT 90TH ST-SIGNAL REBULD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27.00211 | SR | 85,000 | 68,000 | 0 | 17,000 | CSAH 52,HENNEPIN AVE,MPLS.INSTALL RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00216 | $\overline{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{R}$ | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | MSAS 261, E 42ND ST, MPLS-UPGRADE SIGNALS AND INSTALL RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00217 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | CSAH 121,FERNBROOOK LANE. MAPLE GROVVE-INSTALL SIGNALS \& RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00219 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | CSAH 9,42ND ÁVE N,ROBBINSOALE.UPGRADE SIGNALS \& INSTALL RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00220 | SR | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | HIAWATHA AVE CORRIDOR,MPLS(PHASE 1)-CORRIDOR SAFETY AT SOO LINE CROSSINGS | MNDOOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00221 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | VALLEY VIEW RD, EDEN PRAIRIE-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MNDOOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00225 | SR | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60.000 | HIAWATHA CORRIDOR IN MPLS. E 32 ND \& 3 IRD STSINSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& NEW HIGH TYPE SURFACE | M MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 62-00170 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | CSAH 23.CO RD C,ROSEVILLE-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& 12" LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 62.00171 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | CSAH 19,CO RD D.ROSEVILLE-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& 12* LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | TH 10 | 0215.48 | SH | 160,000 | 128,000 | 32,000 | 0 | AT HANSON BLVD. RAMPS - SIGNAL REVISION | MNNOOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 13 | 1901-131 | SH | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 | 0 | CSAH 5 TO LYNN AVENUE-INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 51 | 6216-113 | SH | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 | 0 | AT CORD E2 EAST RAMPS-REMOVE FREE RIGHT \& SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNODOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 1909.77 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 | 0 | AT ARGENTA TRAIL-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION | M M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 61 | 6222-130 | SH | 60,000 | 48,000 | 12,000 | 0 | TH 244 TO CO RD F-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOTT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 0207-65 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 | 0 | TH 65 IN FRIDLEY-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& 12"LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-100 | SH | 680,000 | 544,000 | 88,000 | 48,000 | AT CONSTANCE AND AT BUNKER LAKE RD-SIGNAL REBUILD, CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208.99 | SH | 520,000 | 416.000 | 80,000 | 24,000 | AT VIKING BLVD(CO RD 22).SIGNAL REBUILD \& CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION | MNDOOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 100 | 2755-72 | SH | 140,000 | 112,000 | 28,000 | 0 | CSAH 10 RAMPS - REFURBISH 2 SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 104 | 2738-15 | MC | 290,000 | 232,000 | 58.000 | 0 | $1-94$ TO TH 10(ROGERS TO ELK RIVER).LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 212 | 2744-50 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | AT REGIONAL CENTER RO IN EDEN PRAIRIE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | 1-694 | 6285-116 | SH | 350,000 | 280,000 | 70,000 | - 0 | AT HAMLINE AVE(CO RD F)-SIGNAL INSTALLATATION \& LEFT TURN MODIFICATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 35 | 02-635-09 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | REALIGN CSAH 35 AT TH 10 ANO INSTALL SIGNAL AT pLeasant view drive | ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prit | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Stale $\$$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 31 | 195-020-02 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | DUCKWOOD DR TO YANKEE DOODLE RD-ADD THRU LANE, OUAL LEFT TURN LANE \& REVISE SIGNALS | EAGAN | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-31 | SH | 94,000 | 75,200 | 0 | 18,800 | CSAH 1 AT CSAH 17-SIGNAL REVISION \& RIGHT TURN LANE | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-32 | SH | 415,000 | 332,000 | 0 | 83,000 | CSAH 1 AT CSAH 34-ADD DUAL LEFT TURN LANES \& REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 10-00113 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 33, MORSE ST IN NORWOOD-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 10-00114 | SR | 80.000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16.000 | MUN 4. UNION ST IN NORWOOD-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 10-00115 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | MUN 18, FAXON RD IN NORWÖOD-INSTALL. SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 19-00122 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 133, 10TH ST IN HASTINGS-INSTALL SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 19-00126 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | ON CSAH 32 IN BURNSVILL-ADD GATES TO EXISTING SIGNALS, \& INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 19-00127 | SR | 100.000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20.000 | MSAS 107, 117TH ST IN INVER GROVE HTS-SIGNAL MODERNIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 19-00128 | $\overline{\text { SR }}$ | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MUN 193, DUPONT AVENUE IN BURNSVILLE-SIGNAL MODERNIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00222 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | HIAWATHA CORRIDOR IN MPLS AT 35TH ST-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00223 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MUN 16,LAKE SARAH HTS DR IN GREENFIELD-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00224 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 | CSAH 1, OLD SHAKOPEE RD IN BLOOMINGTON. INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& NEW HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00226 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MUN 56, TOWN LINE RD IN MEDINA-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00227 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35.000 | MSAS 107. 49TH ÄVE N IN NEW HOPE-SIGNAL MODERNIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00228 | SR | 80,000 | 64.000 | 0 | 16,000. | MUN 554, TAFT ST IN MPLS-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDÖT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00229 | SR | 15,000 | 12,000 | 0 | 3,000 | CSAH 92, DOGWOOD ST IN ROCKFORD-INSTALL NEW LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00230 | SR | 15,000 | 12,000 | 0 | 3,000 | CSAH 50, REBECCA LAKE DR IN ROCKFORD-INSTALL NEW LENSES | MNDDOT | Manage | 58 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 27-00231 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MUN 20. WILLOW DR IN MEDINA-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 62-00172 | SR | 40,000 | 32,000 | 0 | 8,000 | MSAS 157. KASOTAAVE IN ST PAUL-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 62-00173 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 15,000 | CSAH 36, RANDOLPH RD IN ST PAUL-INSTALL NEW CIRCUITRY | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 62-00175 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 12.CO RD FiN VADNAIS HTS-INSTALL NEW CANTILEVER SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 62-00176 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 245, PLATO BLVD IN ST PAUL-SIGNAL. MODERNIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | $882-00120$ | SR | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | MUN 77, $21 S T$ ST IN NEWPORT-SIGNAL MODERNIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | TH 5 | 1002-61 | MC | 8,000,000 | 6,400,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | TH 41 TO CSAH 17-GRADING, SURFACING, 4 LANES | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 1003-26 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 40,000 | 0 | AT TH 25-LEFT TURN LANES | MNDOT | Manage | 56 |

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects


TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Pri Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00246 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 | GREENHAVEN DRIVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARK-NEW SIGNALS \& INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00177 | SR | 125,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 25,000 | OWASSO BLVD AT CP RR IN SHOREVIEW-NEW SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00178 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | COUNTY ROAD F AT CP RRIN VADNAIS HEIGHTSINSTALL CANTILEVER SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00179 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | DIVISION AVE AT CP RR IN WHITE EEAR LAKE-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNOOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00180 | SR | 125,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 25,000 | LITTLE CANADA RD AT CP RR IN LITTLE CANADAINSTALL. NEW SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 82-00122 | SR | 225,000 | 180,000 | 0 | 45,000 | MANNING TRAIL AT WC RRIN MAY TWP-INSTALL SIGNALS, GATES, HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 82-00123 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | MANNING TRAIL AT WC RR IN MAY TOWNSHIP-INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 21 | 82-621-23 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | ON CSĀH 21 AT DODGE'S CORNER-CURVE FLATTENING | WASHINGTON COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 7 | 1003-27 | SH | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 33 IN NORWOOD-LEFT TURN LANES, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 65 | 0207-71 | SH | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 | 0 | AT 51ST STREET IN FRIDLEY-CLOSE MEDIAN | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 65 | 0208-102 | SH | 1,800,000 | 1,440,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 89TH AVE TO 93RD AVE IN BLAINE-AUXILIARY LANE;SIGNAL REBUILD WICROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION AT B9TH | MNOOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 65 | 0208-107 | SH | 450,000 | 360,000 | 90,000 | 0 | AT 117TH ST IN BLAINE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 97 | 8201-12 | SH | 450,000 | 360,000 | 90,000 | 0 | AT RAMP TERMINI WITH I-35-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 280 | 6241-47 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 40,000 | 0 | HENNEPIN AVE TO I-35W-INSTALL LIGHTING AND CONTINUOUS MEDIAN | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 282 | 7011-19 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 17 IN SPRING LAKE TWP-TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 7 | 02-607-17 | SH | 364,000 | 291,200 | 0 | 72,800 | 157TH TO 159TH IN ANOOVER-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | ANOKA COUSTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 9 | 02-609-11 | SH | 170,000 | 136,000 | 0 | 34,000 | AT CSAH 20-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION \& LANE ADDITION | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 11 | 02-611-28 | SH | 435,000 | 348,000 | 0 | 87,000 | CSAH 11 AT EGRET BLVD-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& MINOR CAPACITY REVISIONS | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 78 | 02-678-13 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | AT CO RD 18-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIḠNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 1 | 107-442-03 | SH | 199,000 | 159,200 | 0 | 39,800 | AT OLD CEDAR AVENUE-SEPARATE RIGHT TURN LANE IN NE CORNER | BLOOMINGTON | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 02-00131 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 | WARD LAKE DR AT BNSF RR IN ÁNDOVER-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 19-00123 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 | WESCOTT RD AT CP RR IN EAGAN-INSTALL SIGNALS \& SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 19.00129 | SR | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | E 117TH ST AT UP RR IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTSINSTALL CANTILEVERS \& RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 19.00130 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | E 66TH ST AT UP RR IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTSINSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 19-00133 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | NiCOLS ROAD AT UP RR IN EAGAN-ADD GÄTES TÓO EXISTING SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Ptt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed 5 | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00232 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | PENN AVE AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGTON-INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00247 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | TAMARACK RD AT CP RR IN MEDINA-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00248 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | PIONEER TRALL AT CP RR IN MEDINA-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00249 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | N SHORE DRIVE AT CP RRIIN GREENFIELD-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S 1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00250 | $\overline{\text { SR }}$ | 175,000 | 140,000 | $\overline{0}$ | 35,000 | VALLEY RD Át BNSF RR IN INDEPENDENCE.INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00251 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | PEONY LANE AT CP RR IN PLYMOUTH-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00252 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | HOLLY LANE N AT CP RR IN PLYMOUTH-INSTALLL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00253 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 | E BUSH LLAKE RD AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGGOÓN-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | St |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00254 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 | WINNETKA AVE AT UP RR IN GOLDEN VALLEY-SIGNAL MODERNIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00255 | $\overline{\text { SR }}$ | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | N SHORE DRIVE AT CP RRIIN GREENFIELD.INSTALI. SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ | 62-00174 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | TRANSFER RO AT MC RR IN STT PAULIINSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 62-00181 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | BIRCH LAKKE BLVD AT CP RR IN NORTH OAKS-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | CSAAH 44 | 62-644-21 | S'H | 445,440 | 356,352 | 0 | 89,088 | AT 14TH ST IN NEW BRIGHTÓN-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION \& CHANNELIZATION | RAMSEY COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | TH316 | 1926.16 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 | 0 | AT 190TH STREET IN RAVENNA TWP-REALIGN INTERSECTION \& ADD TURN LANES | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1999-2002 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-5
MN/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects

| Year | Pr | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 152 | 27.752-09 | BR | 825,000 | 660,000 | 0 | 165,000 | WASH AVE OVER BN - BR 27167 (REPL BR 6992) \& APPRS, | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | CR 63 | 70-598-02 | BR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | REPL BR L-3046 OVER SAND CREEK, 1 MI N OF JORDAN | SCOTT CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH 101 | 2736-27017 | $\overline{B R}$ | 1,300,000 | 584,000 | 716.000 |  | AT GRAYS BAY 2.8 MI N OF TH 7-BR 27017(REP BR 3334) \& APPROACHES | MNDOOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 66 | 27-666-14 | BR | 1,100,000 | 880.000 | 0 | 220,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOLDEN VALLEY RO OVER BN RR-RECONSTRUCT BR } \\ & 90604 \end{aligned}$ | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 44 | 62-644-16 | BR | 2,295.000 | 804,000 | 0 | 1,491,000 | SILVER LAKE ROAD(CSAH 44) OVER SOO LINE RR- REPLACE BR 6631 | RAMSEY Co | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 60 | 62-660.03 | BR | 306,000 | 169,000 | 0 | 137,000 | ON ARCADE ST BETWEEN TH 36 \& KELLER PKWYREPLACE BR 90413 | RAMSEY COMMAPLEWOOD | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 42/46 | 62-642-03 | BR | 10,000,000 | 8,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | FORD PKWY OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REP BR 3575 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAMSEYMENNEPIN } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | CSAM 9 | 70-609-07 | $\overline{B R}$ | 2,130,000 | 1,344,000 | 0 | 786.000 | CSAH 9 SO OF THE MINNE SOTA RIVER TO 0.8 MI NO OF THE MINNESOTA RIVER-REPLACE BR 5364 | SCOTT CO | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 21 | 82-621-21 | BR | 325,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 205,000 | CSAH 21 OVER TROUT BROOK-REPLACE BR 4611 | WASA ${ }^{\text {Wing }}$ | Replace | $\overline{\text { s }} 19$ |
| 2000 |  | TH7 | 2706-5323 | BR | 230,000 | 184,000 | 46,000 |  | OVER RECREATIONAL TRAILI IN EXCELSIOR, REPLACE BR 5323 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | 1-35E | 6280.62902 | BR | 1.700,000 | 1,360,000 | 340,000 |  | 1-35E SB UNDER 1-35E NB OFF RAMP TO WB 1-694- REPLACE BR 9096 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 | 3 | TH36 | 8214.113 | MC | 6,840,000 | 5,472,000 | 1,368,000 | 0 | WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER-DEMOLITION. UTILITY RELOCATION, BYPASSES, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2000 | 3 | TH 36 | 8214-129 | BR | 620,000 | 496,000 | 124,000 | 0 | ST CROIX RIVER BRIDGE DECK DRAINAGE-STORM WATER POND | MNOOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 | 3 | TH 36 | 8217-12 | BR | 48,600,000 | 19,440,000 | 4,860,000 | 24,300,000 | OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT STILLWATER-8R 82011(REPLACE BR 4654), RIVER SPANS \& EAST ABUTMENT | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206.711 | BR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 711 OVER FORD BROOK, 6.1.MI N OF TH 10-REPLACE BR | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 61 | 6221-5514 | BR | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 500,000 | 0 | ARCADE ST OVER C\&NW RY-RECONSTRUCT BR 5514 | MNOOT | Replace | S 19 |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-5974 | BR | 2,100,000 | 1,680,000 | 420,000 | 0 | TH 100 OVER TH 55-REPLACE BR 5974 | MNDOT | Replace | $\overline{519}$ |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 10 | 10.610-29 | BR | 715,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 315,000 | CSAH 10 OVER LUCE LINE TRAIL.REPLACE BR 5883 | CÁRVER COUNTY | Replace | $\overline{519}$ |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 116 | 27.716-03 | BR | 1,250,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250,000 | CSAH 116 OVER CROW RIVER-REPLACE $\overline{\text { BR }} 6273$ | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | CITY | 141-080-25 | BR | 2,464,000 | 1,339,000 | 0 | 1,125,000 | CEDAR LAKE PARKWAY OVER BN RR \& CANALREPLACE BR 90470 | MiNNEAPOLIS | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 46 | 62-646-15 | BR | 770,000 | 344,000 | 0 | 426,000 | ON CLEVELAND AVE BETWEEN CO RDD \& CÓRD E2REPLACE BR 92251 OVER CP RAIL | RAMSEY COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 | 3 | TH36 | 8214-122 | BR | 180,000 | 144,000 | 36,000 |  | BRIDGE 82011 OVER ST CROIX RIVER-HISTORICAL MITIGATION | MṄDOT | Replace | 01 |
| 2001 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-143 | BR | 1,635,000 | 1,148,000 | 287,000 | 200,000 | UNDER CSAH 8(BROADWÄY AVE)-BR 27170(REPLACE BR 5885) | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |

TABLE A-5
MN/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 98-080-01 | BR | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | 0 | 300,000 | ON MINNETONKA BLVD BETWEEN VINEHILL RD \& COTTAGEWOOD RD-REPLACE BR 90610(CARSONS BAY BR) | DEEPHAVEN | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 33 | 27-633-01 | BR | 850,000 | 680,000 | 0 | 170,000 | PARK AVENUE OVER SOO LINE-REPLACE BR 90491 | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | St9 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 141-165.15 | BR | 1,855,000 | 805,000 | 0 | 1,050,000 | CHICAGO AVE OVER HCRRA RR-REPLACE BR 92349 | MINNEAPOLIS | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 16 | 70-616-20 | $\overline{8 R}$ | 550,000 | 440,000 | 0 | 110,000 | OVER CREDIT RIVER IN SAVAGE-REPLACE BR 3464(BOX CULVERT) | SCOTT COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | MSAS 128 | 164-128-06 | BR | 1,800,000 | 1,280,000 | 0 | 520.000 | EARL. STREET OVER 7TH ST \& CNW RR-REPLLACE BR 90420 | ST PAUL | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | TH 12 | 2713-66 | BR | 106,500 | 85,200 | 21,300 |  | UNDER LUCE LINE TRAIL 4.5 MI W OF TH 494-REPLACE BR 4643 | MNDOT | Replace | $\overline{519}$ |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1999-2002 Transportation Improvement Program

## TABLE A-6

Demo Projects

| Year | Pr | Route | Pri Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-102 | MC | 14,740,000 | 0 | 9,392,000 | 5,348,000 | 0 | HIAWATHA AVE FROM 60M S OF E 54TH ST TOE 46TH ST-GRADING, SURFACING, ETC | MNQOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-105A | MC | 6,000,000 | 0 | 5,400,000 | 600,000 |  | 1-94 TO LAKE St-RELOCATE CP RÁll Yard | MNDOT | Expand | NC |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-27191 | MC | 9,500,000 | 0 | 7,600,000 | 1,900,000 |  | MINNEHAHA PKWY \& PARK OVER TH 55 \& TRANSITWAY-BR 27191 | MNDOT | Expand | B. 00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-27192 | MC | 340,000 | 0 | 272,000 | 68,000 |  | MINNEHAHA PKWY OVER MINNEHAHA CREEK-BR | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-27×03 | MC | 420,000 | 0 | 336,000 | 84,000 |  | TH 55 \& TRANSITWAY OVER MINNEHAHA CREEK- BR 27X03 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2725-27R02 | MC | 2,400,000 | 0 | 1,920,000 | 480,000 |  | OVER TH 62-BR 27R02 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2725-52 | MC | 11,800,000 | 7,360,000 | 2,080,000 | 2,360,000 |  | HIAWATHA AVE FROM TH 62 TO E. 54TH STGRADING, SURFACING, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | B.00 |

TABLE A-7
MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Stale \$ | Other ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 0280-49 | RS | 4.800,000 | 3,840,000 | 960,000 | 0 | TH 118 TO N JCT I-35E-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-268 | RC | 8,435,000 | 7,591,500 | 843.500 |  | TH 494 TO $66 T H$ ST-GRADING. SURFACING, ETC \& HOV LANE | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V11 | RC | 2,215,000 | 1,993,500 | 221,500 |  | OVER 66TH ST-TEMP WIDEN BR 9088 \& REPLACE BR $9088-B R 27 \mathrm{~V} 11$ | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | 1-494 | 2785-309 | B1 | 4,500,000 | 3,600,000 | 900,000 |  | OVER TH 5-BRS 27V09 \& 27V10(REPLACE BRS 9741. 9742) \& APPROACHES | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | 1.494 | 2785 -314 | RC | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 |  | NB ON RAMP AT MINNETONKA BLVD-RECONSTRUCT, ETC | MNDOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-163 | TM | 4,500,000 | 3,600,000 | 900,000 |  | ON 1-94 FROM TMC TO 1.694 \& ON I-694 FROM I-94 TOI-35W-UPGRADE TMS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | 1-35E | 6280-9097 | Bi | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | NB OFF RAMP TO 1-694 WB-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BR 9097 | MNOOT | Preserve | $\overline{\mathrm{S} 19}$ |
| 2000 |  | 1.35 W | 2782-27868 | B1 | 710.000 | 568,000 | 142,000 |  | UNDER PED BRIDGE, 28TH ST, 26 TH ST, \& FRANKLIN AVE-PAINT BRS 27868, 27869, 27870, 27872 | MNOOT | Preserve | $\overline{\mathrm{S} 10}$ |
| 2000 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27851 | 81 | 1,250,000 | 1,000,000 | 250,000 |  | UNDER PORTLANO \& UNDER GROVELAND.PAINT BRS 27851 \& 27966 | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 2000 |  | 1-94 | 2781-337 | RD | 1,800,000 | 1,440,000 | 360,000 |  | LOWRY HILL TUNNEL-TUNNEL EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION \& CAMERAS | MNDOT | Preserve | 06 |
| 2000 |  | 1-694 | 6285-120 | RC | 8,000,000 | 6.400.000 | 1,600,000 |  | AT W JGT I35E-RECONSTRUCTION WITH BRIDGE REPLACEMENTS | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9196 | BI | 1,060,000 | 848,000 | 212,000 |  | OVER RR AT W JCT I-35E-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BRS $9196 \& 9197$ | MNDOT | $\overline{\text { Preserve }}$ | 519 |
| 2000 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9301 | BI | 800,000 | 640,000 | 160,000 |  | EB OVER NB TH 51 \& OVER SB TH 51 RAMP-REHAB DECK ON BRS 9301,9302 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | 1-35E | 1982-129 | BR | 9,000,000 | 7.200,000 | 1,800,000 |  | TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE(STAGE 1) | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-266 | MC | 21,700,000 | 19,530,000 | 2,170,000 |  | SOO LINE RAILROAD TO MINNEHAHA CREEKGRADING, SURFACING, ETC \& HOV LANE | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-267 | MC | 15,800,000 | 14.220.000 | 1,580,000 |  | 66TH ST TO SOO LINE RAILROAD-GRADING. SURFACING, ETC \& HOV LANE | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V12 | MC | 1,180,000 | 1,062,000 | 118,000 |  | NB 1-35W TO WB TH 62 OVER I-35W-BR 27V12(REPLACE BR 27930) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V13 | MC | 1,100.000 | 990,000 | 110,000 |  | NB I-35W TO EB TH 62 OVER 66TH ST RAMP-BR 27 V 13 | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V14 | MC | 2,050,000 | 1,845,000 | 205,000 |  | EB TH 62 OVER I-35W-BR 27V14(REPLACE BR 27932) | MNOOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V15 | MC | 1,160,000 | 1.044.000 | 116,000 |  | EB TH 62 OVER LYNDALE AVE RAMP-BR 27V15 | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V16 | MC | 1,650,000 | 1,485,000 | 165,000 |  | 1-35W OVER LYNDALE AVE-BR 27V16(REPLACE BR 27933) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V17 | $\overline{M C}$ | 1,105,000 | 994,500 | 110,500 |  | 1-35W OVER SOO LINE RAILROAD-BR 27V17(REPLACE BR 27934) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1 1-35W | 2782-27V18 | MC | 325,000 | 292,500 | 32,500 |  | SB I-35WE TO WB TH 62 OVER NICOLLET AVE-BR 27 V 18 | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |

TABLE A-7
MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V19 | MC | 2,985,000 | 2.686,500 | 298.500 | 0 | WB TH 62 OVER I-35W \& NICOLLET AVE-BR 27V19(REPLACE BR 27937) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V20 | MC | 2,045,000 | 1,840,500 | 204,500 | 0 | 1-35W OVER NICOLLET AVE-BR 27V20(REPLACE BR 27935 \& 27939) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V21 | MC | 6,950,000 | 6,255,000 | 695,000 | 0 | SB I-35W TO EB TH 62 OVER I-35W-ER 27V21(REPLACE BR 27938) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1.35W | 2782-27V22 | MC | 1,445,000 | 1,300,500 | 144.500 | 0 | 1-35W OVER 60TH ST-BR 27V22(REPLACE BR 27939 \& 27940) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V23 | MC | 1,155,000 | 1.039,500 | 115,500 | 0 | OVER I-35W AT 58TH STT-PEDESTRIAN BR 27V23(REPLACE BR 9622) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V24 | MC | 740,000 | 666,000 | 74,000 | 0 | DIAMOND LAKE RD OVER I-35W-BR 27V24(REPLACE BR 9611) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-99171 | MC | 210,000 | 189,000 | 21,000 | 0 | 1-35W OVER 60TH ST-TEMPORARY BR 99171 | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-27862 | 81 | 1,260,000 | 1,008,000 | 252,000 | 0 | ON RAMP TO EB 94-REDECK BR 27862; 6TH ST RAMP TO 94 OVER 1-35W-REDECK BR 27876 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | I-494 | 2785-317 | RS | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 34TH AVE TO TH 100-OVERLAY, GUARDRAIIL, MEDIAN BARRIER, CULVERTS, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9209 | BI | 830,000 | 664,000 | 166,000 | 0 | OVER ISLAND LAKE CHAIN-WIDEN \& REDECK BRS 9209 \& 9210 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2002 |  | 1-35 | $8280-35$ | RB | 1,700,000 | 1,360,000 | 340,000 | 0 | ON SOUTHBOUND I-35-RECONSTRUCT FOREST LAKE REST AREA | MNDOT | Other | S15 |
| 2002 |  | 1-35E | 1982-129A | BR | 19,000,000 | 15,200,000 | 3,800,000 | 0 | TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD.REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE(STAGE 2) | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2002 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-265 | MC | 4,150,000 | 3,735,000 | 415,000 | 0 | MINNEHAHA CREEK TO 42ND ST-GRAD, SURF. ETC \& INTERIM HOV LANE | MNDOT | Expand | $\overline{\text { A05 }}$ |
| 2002 |  | 1-494 | 2785-301 | MC | 30,000,000 | 24,000,000 | 6,000,000 | 0 | TH 100 TO TH 212-GRADING, SURFACING, 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2002 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9130 | BR | 3,000,000 | 2,400,000 | 600,000 | 0 | OVER TH 100-REPLACE BRS 9130 \& 9131 | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2002 |  | 1-494 | 8285-79 | MC | 11,000,000 | 8,800,000 | 2,200,000 | 0 | VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-CONSTRUCT NORTH RING ROAD-STAGE 1 | MNDOT | Expand | A10 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 8809-75 | TM | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | ON I-494 FROM PILOT KNOB TO MISS RIVER, AND ON TH 52 FROM TH 55 TO I-94-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |

$190,510,000 \quad 160,048,000 \quad 30,462,0000$

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1999-2002 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-8

## Intelligent Transportation Systems Projects



TABLE A-9
NHS Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | TH 36 | 6212-141 | BR | 3,800,000 | 3,040,000 | 760,000 | 0 | AT DALE ST INTERCHANGE-GR 62073(WB). 62074(EB);REPLACE BR 6724 \& RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE,SIGNING,LIGHTING,SIGNALS | MNDOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-27 | SC | 1,300,000 | 1,040,000 | 260,000 | 0 | FROM CEDAR LAKE RD TÖ CSAAH 5-ADD AUUXILLARY LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-5805 | BI | 780,000 | 624,000 | 156,000 | 0 | SB OVER BN RR 1.1 MI N OF TH 7-MAJOR REHAB BR 5805 \& ADD AUXILLARY LANE | MNDOT | Preserve | E1 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-12 | MC | 8.100,000 | 6,480,000 | 1,620,000 | 0 | CSAH 4 TO 0.25 MI W OF WALLACE RD-GRADING, SURFACING(STAGE 3) | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | ${ }^{6}$ | TH 212 | 2762-13 | MC | 15,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0.25 MI W OF WALLACE RD TO 0.5 MI E OF MITCHELL RD-GRADING, SURFACING, ETC(STAGE 2) | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27138 | MC | 1,700,000 | 1,360,000 | 340,000 | 0 | CSAH 4 OVER TH 212-BR 27138 | MNDOT | Expand | B.00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH212 | 2762-27144 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | W.B. TH 5 OVER MARTIN DRIVE-GR 27144 | $\overline{M N D O T}$ | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH212 | 2762-27145 | MC | 410,000 | 328,000 | 82,000 | 0 | W.B. TH212 OVER WALLACE RD-BR 27145 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27146 | MC | 410,000 | 328.000 | 82,000 | 0 | E.B. TH 212 OVER WALLACE RD-BR 27146 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27147 | MC | 1,980,000 | 1.584,000 | 396,000 | 0 | MıTCHELL ROAD OVER TH 212-BR 27147 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27150 | MC | 380,000 | 304,000 | 76,000 | 0 | E.B. TH 5 OVER WALLACE RD-BR 27150 | MNDOT | Expand | B.00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27194 | MC | 2,300.000 | 1,840,000 | 460,000, | 0 | E.B. TH 212 OVER WALLACE RD-BR 27146 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-14 | MC | 6.800 .000 <br> 1.400 .000 | 5,440,000 | 1,360,000 | 0 | HAMPSHRE AVE TO REGENT AVE(INCLUDES HAMPSHIRE)-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | 8-00 |
| 1999 | 7 | TH610 | 2771-27223 | MC | 1.400,000 | 1,120,000 | 280,000 | 0 | TH 610 UNDER ZANE AVE-BR 27223 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-27224 | $\overline{\mathrm{MC}}$ | 630,000 | 504.000 | 126,000 | 0 | TH 610 UNDER HAMPSHIRE AVE-BR 27224 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 2000 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-23 | MC | 200,000 | 160.000 | 40,000 | 0 | FROM EGRET BLVD TO THE N JCT TH 47,10,610LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2000 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-24 | MC | 350,000 | 280,000 | 70,000 | 0 | FROM N JCT TH 47, 10,610 TO 0.2 MI E OF TH 65LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2000 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-31 | TM | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 800,000 | 0 | I-35W TO TH 169-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 | 3 | TH 36 | 8214-125 | 8R | 600,000 | 480,000 | 120,000 | 0 | ST CROIX RIVER BR-WETLAND MITIGATION | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-108 | MC | 9,000,000 | 7,200,000 | 1.800,000 | 0 | NEAR THE METRODOME TO 46TH ST-HIAWATHA TRANSITWAY | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-134 | RC | 16,125,000 | 12,900,000 | 3,225,000 | 0 | GLENWOOD AVE TO GOLDEN VALLEY RD-GRADING. SURFACING, ETC | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-160 | MC | 13,800,000 | 11,040,000 | 2,760,000 | 0 | 29TH AVE N TO 39TH AVE N(36TH AVE INTERCHANGE)GRADING, SURFACING, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-5399 | BR | 1,875,000 | 1,500,000 | 375,000 | 0 | OVER SOO LINE RR \& CITY ST. 0.9 MI . NW OF JCT.TH 12-RECONSTR | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 169 | 0209-22 | RC | 2,600,000 | 2,080,000 | 520,000 | 0 | MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO TH 10 IN ANOKKARECONSTRUCT, WIDEN, ETC | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 169 | 7007-23 | RC | 2,700,000 | 2,160,000 | 540,000 | 0 | S OF BELLE PLAINE AND NEAR JORDANRECONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |

TABLE A-9
NHS Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prf Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-24 | MC | 175,000 | 140,000 | 35,000 | 0 | E OF NOBLE AVE TO W OF REGENT AVE IN BROOKLYN PARK-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2001 | 3 | TH 36 | 8214-114 | MC | 19,660,000 | 12,528,000 | 3,132,000 | 4,000,000 | FROM WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER GRADING, SURFACING, LIGHTING,SIGNING,LAND SPANS TO BR 82011,ETC | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 2001 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-159 | MC | 14.230,000 | 11,384,000 | 2,846,000 |  | 39TH AVE $N$ TO INDIANA AVE-RECONSTRUCT EXPRESSWAY, NEW INTERCHANGE AT CSAH 81, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | E ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2001 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762.22 | MC | 230,000 | 184,000 | 46.000 | 0 | MLTCHELL RD TO 1-494-LANOSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 12 | 2713-75 | $\overline{M C}$ | 11,000,000 | 8,800,000 | 2,200,000 |  | LUCE LINE TRAIL TO OLD CRYSTAL BAY RD-RELOCATE RR TRACK AND CONSTRUCT BRS AT WILLOW DR \& LUCE LINE TRAIL | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Total\$ | Fed S | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | TH 10 | 0215-50 | SC | 255,000 | 0 | 255,000 |  | AT HANSON BLVD IN COON RAPIDS-RAMP \& SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | 1.35 | 1980-62 | AM | 61,000 | 0 | 61,000 | 0 | NEAR BUCK HILL IN BURNSVILLE-NURP POND | BURNSVILLE | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH41 | 1008-56 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 108,000 | 0 | 108,000 | 0 | ATT TH 212 IN CHASKKA.CHANNELIZATION \& SIGNAL REVISION | CHASKA | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH3 | 1921-67 | AM | 216,000 | 0 | 216,000 | 0 | AT CORD 46 IN DAKÓTA COUNTY-REALIGNMENT OF ROADWAY | DAKOTA COUNTY | Other | E4 |
| 1999 |  | TH 52 | 1928.45 | AM | 150.000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 14(SOUTHVIEW BIVD)-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | DAKOTA COUNTY | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 13 | 1901-137 | AM | 375,000 |  | 375,000 |  | Át BLÁCKHAWK RD in EAGAN-WIDENING,TURNN LANE, SIGNAL | EAGAN | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8825-27 | AM | 167,000 | 0 | 167,000 |  | AT 11 LOCATIONS IN EDEN PRAIRIE-EVP INSTALLATION | EDEN PRAIRIE | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-33 | AM | 162,000 | 0 | 162,000 | 0 | AT PLYMOUTH AVE IN GOLDEN VALLEY-FRONTAGE ROAD WIDENING | GOLDEN VALLEY | Other | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 2722-57 | AM | 540,000 | 0 | 540,000 | 0 | NEAR CSAH 50 IN GREENFIELD-NEW FRONTAGE ROAD | GREENFIELO | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-108 | AM | 81,000 | 0 | 81,000 | 0 | AT BUNKER LAKE RD IN HAMM LAKE-FRONTAGE ROAD REALIGNMENT | HAM LAKE | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH65 | 0208-109 | AM | 92,000 | 0 | 92,000 | 0 | AT 133 Ro AVE IN HAM LAKE-FRONTAGE ROAD | HAM LAKE | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH55 | 2722-56 | $\overline{A M}$ | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 115.CHANNELIZATIOON ANND SIGNAL MODIFICATION | heninepin county | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH21 | 7002-34 | AM | 27,000 | 0 | 27,000 | 0 | AT TH 282 IN JORDAN-EVP INSTALLATION | JORDAN | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH12 | 2713.78 | $\overline{A M}$ | 162,000 | 0 | 162,000 |  | AT CSAH 83 IN MAPLE PLAIN-CHANNELIZATION \& ACCESS CLOSURES | MAPLE PLAIN | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH7 | 2706-197 | AM | 140,000 | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | IN MINNETONKA-FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUUCTION | MINNETONKA | Oither | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-26 | AM | 54,000 | 0 | 54,000 | 0 | AT BREN RD IN MINNETONKA ON SB EXIT RAMP-RIGHT TURN LANE | MINNETONKA | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | ITs | MODEL DEPL | TM | 16,500,000 | 0 | 16,500,000 | 0 | MODEL DEPLOYMENT - ORION PROJECTS | MNEDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 3 | 1921.65 | SC | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | AT ÁNN MARIE TRAIL-TURN LANE IMPROVEMENTTS | MNDDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 21 | 7002-33 | RS | 1,860,000 | 0 | 1,860,000 | 0 | TH 19 TTO JOROAN-MILL \& OVERLAY 6 MILÉS:REPLACE PAVEMENT 2.2 MILES | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1999 |  | TH 25 | 1007.16 | BR | 320,000 | 0 | 320,000 | 0 | OVER STREAM 0.5 MI W OF WATERTOWN-REPLACE BR 130 | MNDOT | Replace | 519 |
| 1999 |  | $1 \cdot 35$ | 0283-02806 | 81 | 505,000 | 0 | 505,000 | 0 | UNDER TH 97, WASH CSAH $2, \overline{\&}$ TH 8.PAINT BRS 02806, $82801, \& 82 B 15$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | 1.35 | 1980-19531A | $\overline{M C}$ | 606,000 | 0 | 606,000 | 0 | AT CO RD 46-NEW INTERCHANGE PAYBACK TO DAKOTA COUNTY (DEBT MANAGEMENT) | MNDOT | Expand | NC |
| 1999 |  | 1-35E | 1982.126 | SC | 80.000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 2 (LONE OAK RD) IN EAGAN-SIGNAL REVISION $\&$ DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35E | $6280 \cdot 9832$ | Bi | 80,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | UNDER MONTREAL AVE IN ST PAUL-OVERLAY, JOINTS. RAIL REPAIR ON BR 9832 | MNDOT | $\overline{\text { Preserve }}$ | 510 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 2782-272 | RC | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 40TH ST TO 35TH ST IN MINNEAPOLIS-NOISE WALLS | MNDOT | Replace | 03 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 2783-9340A | BI | 2,300,000 | 0 | 2,300,000 | 0 | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER 1.0 MI NE OF I-94-PAINT BR 9340 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 36 | 6211-78 | B1 | 165,000 | 0 | 165,000 | 0 | OVER TH 61-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS 8R 62070 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 36 | 8204.41 | RB | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | AT TH 5-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 36 | 8214-134 | MC | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  | AT BEACH RDIN OAK PARK HEIGHTS-EXCAVATE \& CAP DISPOSAL FACILITY | MNDOT | Expand | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 41 | 1008-51 | RS | 750,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | TH 212 TO TH 5-MILL \& OVERLAY, OVERLAY SHOULDERS | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 47 | 2726-63 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | UNIV. AVE, ST ANTHONY, SOO LINE AREA. LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH49 | 6214-82 | SC | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | AT SOUTH OWASSO BLVD-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH52 | 1906-9675 | BI | 650,000 | 0 | 650,000 | 0 | NB OVER VERMILLION RIVER \&OVER CO RD 420.2 Mi S OF TH 55-REDECK \& SUPERSTRUCTURE OF BRS 9675 , 19001, \& 19002 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH55 | 1907-60 | RD | 600,000 |  | 600,000 |  | AT INTERCHANGE WITH TH 3 IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-SLOPE CORRECTION | MNDOT | Preserve | S4 |
| 1999 |  | TH55 | 2722-53A | AM | 509,000 | 0 | 509,000 |  | ARROWHEAD DRIVE TO CSAH 116-RECONSTRUCT, WIDEN, ETC | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH55 | 2723-106 | BI | 800,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | EB OVER RR 1.4 MI E OF I-494-REPLACE BR 27013 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH55 | 2752-5891 | BI | 300,000 |  | 300,000 |  | TH 55 OVER RRE OF TH 100-OVERLAY \& REPLACE JOINTS ON BR 5891 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH61 | 6222-131 | SC | 190,000 | 0 | 95,000 | 95,000 | AT ROSELAWN AVE IN MÁPLEWOOD-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH61 | 8205-102 | SC | 160,000 |  | 160,000 | 0 | AT TH 95-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-104 | $\overline{\mathrm{RS}}$ | 1,385,000 | 0 | 1,385,000 | 0 | TH 10 TO 153RD AVE NE-MILL \& OVERLAY, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-95 | SC | 650,000 | 0 | 600,000 | 50,000 | CLOVERLEAF/93RD AVE, SIGNAI. REBUILD: AUX LANE: DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | 1.94 | 2780-42 | RC | 760,000 | 0 | 760,000 | 0 | AT WEAVER LAKE RD IN MAPLE GROVE-EXTEND RAMP | MNDOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1999 |  | 1.94 | 2780-49 | RB | 600,000 | 0 | 600,000 | 0 | AT ELM CREEK REST AREA-REHABILITATE SITE. RECONSTRUCT TO ADA SPECS | MNDOT | Other | S15 |
| 1999 |  | 1.94 | 6282-9452 | Bi | 1,240,000 | 0 | 1,240,000 | 0 | FROM PELHAM TO FAIRVIEW IN ST PAUL-PAINT BRS $9452,9457,62813,62814,62845,62846,62848$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | 1.94 | 8282-88 | SC | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | AT ST CROIX WEIGH STATION-RELOCATE BRAKE TESTING AND CONSTRUCT BUILDING | MNDOT | Manage | E5 |
| 1999 |  | 1-94 | 8282-91 | RB | 400.000 |  | 400,000 |  | ON WB I-94-REHABILITATE ST CROIX T.I.C. AND ADD STATE PATROL OFFICE | MNDOT | Other | S15 |
| 1999 |  | TH 97 | 8212-17 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 50,000 | GOODVIEW AVE/BTH STT, SIGNAL SYSTEM AND CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 101 | 2738-17 | AM | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  | FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN ROGERS | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 120 | 8220-11 | SC | 750,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | AT LOWER AFTON RD IN WOODBURY/MAPLEWOODSIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CHANNEL.IZATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 149 | 1917-34 | RS | 720,000 | 0 | 720,000 | 0 | MENDOTA HTS RD TO HIGH BRIDGE( 62090 )-MILL \& OVERLAY, GUARDRAIL | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-22 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 150,000 | AT 49 TH AVE RAMPS-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-23 | SC | 182,000 | 0 | 88,000 | 94,000 | AT MEDICINE LAKE ROAD EAST RAMP-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 244 | 8219-18 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 12 IN MAHTOMEDI-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | 1-494 | 2785-305 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 200,000 | AT VALLE SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | 1-494 | 2785-306 | TM | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | UPGRADE TMS ON 1494 FROM I35W TO BUSH LAKE RD \& ON TH 100 AT 494/77TH ST | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-175 | TM | 60.000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS | MNDOT | Manage | \$7 |
| 1999 |  | TH999 | 8809-176 | TM | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE RAMP CONTROL SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-177 | TM | 550,000 | 0 | 550,000 | 0 | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE DRUMTYPE CMS WITH LED | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-178 | TM | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | DIVISIONWIDE-BOND/GROUND/SHIELD OLDER CABINETS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-99 | AMM | 450,000 | 0 | 450,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL. AGREEMENTS FOR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-ENT-99 | RB | 25.000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-P/R-99 | TM | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANASIT/RIDESHARE ENHANCEMENTS FOR FY. 99 | MNDOTT | Manage | E6 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-PF-99 | RB | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FÖR PRAIRE TO FOREST FOR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RE-99 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 | METRO SET ĀSIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS IN FY 1999 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RW-99 | RW | 30,000,000 | 0 | 30,000,000 | 0 | RIGHT OF WAYIACCESS CONTROL SETASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY99 | MNDOT | Olher | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RX-99 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FÖR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SA-99 | SA | 9,000,000 | 0 | 9,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS \& OVERRUNS FOR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Olher | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SC-99 | SC | 1,900,000 | 0 | 1,900,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR TURN LANES, PMPACT ATTENTUATORS, \& LIGHT STANDARDS | MNDOT | Manage | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH999 | 8825-33 | RX | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | ON I-35. 135E, \& I35W FROM CSAA 2 IN SCOTT COUNTY TO RUSH CITY-REPLACE C \& D SIGNS | MNDOOT | Preserve | 08 |
| 1999 |  | 1-494 | 2785-319 | AM | 27.000 | 0 | 27,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 9 IN PLYMOUTH-PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE | PLYMOUTH | Other | AQ2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 13 | 7001-84 | AM | 17.000 | 0 | 17,000 | 0 | AT 6 LOCATIONS IN SAVAGE-EVP INSTALLATION | SAVAGE | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 19 | 4003-17 | AM | 54,000 | 0 | 54,000 | 0 | AT TH 13, TH 198 CSAH 17.CHANNELIZATION | SCOTT COUNTY | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 7005-77 | AM | 49,000 | 0 | 49,000 | 0 | UNDER CO RD 18 \& UNDER CO RD 79-FENCING ON BRIDGES 70008 \& 70013 | SCOTT COUNTY | Other | S13 |
| 1999 |  | TH 7 | 1004-25 | AM | 378,000 | 0 | 378,000 | 0 | AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN SHOREWOOD.FRONTAGGE ROAD AND ACCESS CLOSURES | SHOREWOOD | Olher | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH244 | 6232-25 | AM | 86,000 | 0 | 86,000 | 0 | AT PROPOSED LINDEN IN WHITE BEAR LAKK-NEW SIGNAL \& ACCESS CLOSURES | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8825-28 | AM | 91,000 | 0 | 91,000 | 0 | AT 12 LOCATIONS IN WHITE BEAR LAKE.EVP INSTALLATIONS | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Other | E2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206-50 | AM | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | 142ND ST TO CSAH 5 IN RAMSEY-WIDENING, TURN LANES, SIGNAL | CITY OF RAMSEY | Other | E2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 55 | 2722-58 | AM | 335,000 | 0 | 335,000 | 0 | AT ARROWHEAD DRIVE IN MEDINA-FRONTAGE ROAD | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Other | E1 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | TH 52 | 1907-61 | AM | 540,000 | 0 | 540,000 |  | AT 117TH STE EIN INVER GROVE HTS-NEW FRONTAGE ROAD | INVER GROVE HEIGHTS | Other | E1 |
| 2000 |  | ITS | MD OPSIMAIN | TM | 1,800,000 | 0 | 1,800,000 |  | MODEL DEPLOYMENT OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE | MNOOT | Manage | 57 |
| 2000 |  | TH 5 | 1002-6654 | B) | 800,000 | 0 | 800,000 |  | OVER RECREATIONAL TRAIL IN VICTORIA-MA.JOR REHAB ON BR 6654 | MNOOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 1004-24 | RS | 3,000,000 | 0 | 3.000,000 |  | CO RD 92 TO TH 41-SHOULDER IMPROVEMENTS, TURN LANES, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | E1 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 2704-6714 | BI | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 |  | OVER SIX MILE CREEK IN ST BONIFACIUS-WIDEN \& REDECK BR 6714 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH7 | 2706-195 | RS | 1,925,000 | 0 | 1,925,000 |  | 0.2KM W OF SHADY OAK RD TO TH $100-\mathrm{MLLL}$ \& OVERLAY, MEDIAN BARRIER, BUS STOPS, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 2706-196 | RS | 820,000 | 0 | 820,000 |  | E OF CHRISTMAS LAKE RD TO TH 101-OVERLAY. GUARDRAIL, MEDIAN BARRIER | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 10 | 0215-9715 | Bi | 130.000 | 0 | 130,000 |  | UNDER 4TH AVE(CSAH 31)-OVERLAY, REPLACE JOINTS \& RAIL ON BR 9715 | Mnoot | Preserve | 510 |
| 2000 |  | TH 19 | 4003-16 | RS | 1,825,000 | 0 | 1,825,000 | 0 | TH 13 TO NEW PRAGUE-MILL AND OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 41 | 1008-58 | AM | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 |  | AT TH 7 IN SHOREWOOD \& CHANHASSEN- CHANNELIZATION, WIDENING, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC | MNDOT | Other | E1 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206-392 | B1 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  | OVER FORD BROOK-REPLACE BR 392 WITH BOX CULVERT | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206-393 | BR | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 |  | ${ }_{393}{ }^{\text {OVER FORD BROOK } 7.9 \mathrm{MI}} \mathrm{N}$ OF TH 10-REPLACE BR | MNDOT | Replace | $\overline{\text { S19 }}$ |
| 2000 |  | TH 50 | 1904-19011 | B1 | 900,000 | 0 | 900,000 |  | OVER TH 52 IN HAMPTON-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BR 19011 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 55 | 2722-53 | AM | 1,481,000 | 0 | 1.481,000 |  | DEBT MANAGEMENT WITH HENNEPIN COUNTY FOR TH 55 IMPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH 55 | 2725-54 | MC | 4,000,000 | 0 | 4,000,000 |  | ON TH 55 FROM GSA BLDG TO 52ND ST-GRAD, SURF. OVERLAY OF TRANSITWAY | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2000 |  | 1.94 | 2780-27944 | BI | 180,000 | 0 | 180,000 |  | UNDER CSAH 144-OVERLAY \& REPLACE JOINTS ON BR 27944 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | 1.94 | 2780-27959 | BI | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 |  | UNDER 101ST AVE N-OVERLAY \& REPLACE JOINTS ON BR 27959 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 95 | 8209-41 | RS | 715,000 | 0 | 715,000 | 0 | N JCT TH 36 TO 7TH AVE IN BAYPORT-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 100 | 2733-77 | RS | 1,850,000 | 0 | 1,850,000 |  | FROM I-494 TO EXCELSIOR BLVD-CONCRETE REHABHITATION | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 100 | 2735-27002 | BI | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | OVER DULUTH ST-REDECK BR 27002 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 100 | 2763-9500 | BI | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 |  | OVER TH 62-REP EXPANSION JOINTS BR 9500 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 169 | 1013-70 | RS | 1,860,000 | 0 | 1,860,000 |  | MINNESOTA RIVER BRIDGE IN SHAKOPEE TO CSAH 1 IN EDEN PRAIRIE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 2000 |  | $1-494$ | 2785-311 | RC | 155,000 | 0 | 155,000 |  | AT TH 169 INTERCHANGE IN BLOOMINGTON/EDINA- LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Replace | 06 |
| 2000 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9878 | B1 | 130.000 | 0 | 130,000 |  |  <br> RAIL ON BR 9878 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | 1-494 | 8285-9883 | BI | 900,000 | 0 | 900,000 |  | UNDER TH 120 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BR 9883;OVERLAY \& JOLNTS ON BR 82017 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { S } 10}$ |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809-182 | TM | 60.000 |  | 60,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Pril | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | Slate \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809-183 | TM | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE RAMP CONTROL SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809.184 | TM | 550,000 | 0 | 550,000 | 0 | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE DRUMTYPE CMS WITH LED | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809-185 | TM | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-BOND/GROUND/SHIELD OLDER CABINETS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809-187 | TM | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-UPGRADE TWISTEO PAIR MAIN TRUNKICABINET CONNECTIONS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-00 | AM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH999 | 880M-BI-00 | 81 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR } \\ & \text { FY } 2000 \end{aligned}$ | MNDOOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | B80M-ENT-00 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 |  | $\int_{2000} \mathbf{M E T R O}$ SET ÁSIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY | MNOOT | Other | 06 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-NA-00 | NA | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | ${ }_{2}{ }_{2}$ METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE ABATEMENT FOR FY | MNDOT | Other | 03 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-P/R-00 | TM | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE ENHANCEMENTS FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Manage | E6 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-PF-00 | RB | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY <br> 2000 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RB-00 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSUIPS IN FY 2000 | MNDOT | Öher | 06 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RS-00 | RS | 3,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 |  | METRO SETASIDE FOR ADDITIONAL FY 2000 RESURFACING PROJECTS | MNOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH999 | 880M-RW-00 | RW | 30,000,000 | 0 | 30,000,000 |  | RIGHT OF WAYIACCESS CONTROL SETASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY 2000 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RX-00 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { S10 }}$ |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SA-00 | SA | 10,000,000 | 0 | 10,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS \& OVERRUNS FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SC-00 | SC | 1,900,000 | 0 | 1,900,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR TURN LANES, IMPACT ATTENTUATORS, \& LIGHT STANDARDS | MNDOOT | Manage | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 5 | 2732-9155 | Bi | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 |  | UNDER TOWER AVE AND TH 5 TUNNEL-REPLACE TILE ON BR $9155 \& 27027$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH 10 | 0203-80 | RS | 600,000 | 0 | 600,000 | 0 | TH 47 TO CO RD H-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH 25 | 1006-0086 | BI | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  | $\int_{86}^{2.0 ~ M I N ~ O F ~ Y O U N G ~ A M E R I C A-R E P L A C E ~ B O X ~ C U L V E R T ~}$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | 1-35W | 2782-273 | RS | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 |  | LAKE ST TO WASHINGTON AVE-MILL \& BITUMINOUUS overlay | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | 1-35W | 2783-27849 | Bi | 2,410,000 | 0 | 2,410,000 |  | AT I-94, TH 55, WASHINGTON AVE, ETC-PAINT 9 BRIDGES | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH36 | $6211-79$ | RS | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 |  | 1694 TO I35E-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{510}$ |
| 2001 |  | TH 47 | 0205-02017 | BI | 90,000 | 0 | 90,000 |  | AT 42ND AVE-REPLACE STAIRWAY ON PEDESTRIAN BR 02017 | MNDOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 47 | 0206-6156 | BR | 330,000 | 0 | 330,000 |  | OVER SEELYE BROOK 13.0 MIN OF TH 10-REPLACE BR | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 51 | 6216.114 | Sc | 285,000 | 0 | 285,000 |  | AT CO RD C-NORTHBOUND DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2001 |  | TH 61 | 6222-134 | SC | 340,000 | 0 | 340,000 | 0 | AT CO RO J-T̈URN LANES \& TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2001 |  | TH 62 | 2774-07 | RS | 600,000 | 0 | 600,000 |  | TH 100 TOI-35W-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-10
$100 \%$ State Funded Projects
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| Year | Pr | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | 1.94 | 2780-27967 | B1 | 2,350,000 | 0 | 2,350,000 | 0 | OVER ELM CREEK \& RICE LAKE-WIDEN \& REDECK BRS 27967, 27968, 27969 \& 27970 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | 1.94 | 2781-392 | RS | 650,000 | 0 | 650,000 | O | I.35W TO SNELLING AVE-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | 1.94 | 6283-62869 | B1 | 80,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | Ā HAZEL WOOD-REPLACE STAIRWAY ON PEDESTRIAN BR 62869 | MNOOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 2001 |  | $1-94$ | 8282-92 | RS | 1,440,000 | 0 | 1,440,000 | 0 | TH 120 TO ST CROIX RIVER-CONCRETE RETROFIT | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH212 | 2745-28 | RS | 900,000 | 0 | 900,000 | 0 | 1-494 TO TH 62-CONCRETE REHABILITATION | MNOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH244 | 8219-19 | RS | 710,000 | 0 | 710,000 | 0 | TH 61 TO ÁSH ST(CO RD 79)-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH 280 | 6242-62844 | BI | 750,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | NB OVER 2 RAMPS AT JCT i-94-REDECK BR 62844 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 282 | 7011.19A | SC | 540,000 | 0 | 540,000 | 0 | AT CO RD 17-TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC | MNDŌT | Manage | E2 |
| 2001 |  | TH316 | 1926-15 | SC | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | AT 200TH ST-TURN LANES \& FRONTAGE ROAD | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2001 |  | 1-494 | 2785-316 | $R S$ | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,250,000 | 0 | TH 212 TO TH 55-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | $1-694$ | 6285-119 | RS | 650.000 | 0 | 650,000 | 0 | 1-35W TO TH 49-MILLING \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-01 | $\overline{A M}$ | 3,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ĀSIDE FOR MUNICIPÁL ÁGREEMENTS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Oither | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-B1-01 | BI | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-ENT-01 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Ölher | 06 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-NA-01 | NA | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 |  | MNDOT | Other | 03 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-PF-01 | RB | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDË FÖR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RB-01 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | OTher | 06 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RS-01 | RS | 1,700,000 | 0 | 1,700,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2003 |  | TH 999 | $880 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{RW}-01$ | RW | 30,500,000 | 0 | 30,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ÁSIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAYIACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | $880 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{RX}$-01 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FÓR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH999 | 880M-SA-01 | SA | 10,000,000 | 0 | 10,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH999 | 880M-SC-01 | SC | 8,500,000 | 0 | 8,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FOR FY 2001 | MNDȮ | Manage | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TM-01 | TM | 7,000,000 | 0 | 7,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ÁSIDE FOR TRAFFIC MÁNAGEMENT FOR FY 2001 INCLUDING REGIONAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TR-01 | TR | 2,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Transit | $\overline{A Q 1}$ |
| 2002 |  | TH 12 | 2713-77 | SC | 415,000 | 0 | 415,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 29(TOWNLINE RD) IN MAPLE PLAINCHANNELIZE, SIGNAL, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2002 |  | TH 36 | 8214-127 | RB | 230,000 | 0 | 230,000 | 0 | WASHINGTON AVE TO OSGOOD-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH36 | 8214-128 | RB | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | OSGOOO TO ST CROIX RIVER AND ALONG TH 95 N \& S OF TH 36-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | $\overline{06}$ |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | TH 41 | 7010-20 | SC | 550,000 | 0 | 550,000 | 0 | AT TH 169-SIGNAL REVISION, ACCESS CLOSURES. FRONTAGE RD. ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2002 |  | TH 61 | 8207-54 | SC | 340,000 | 0 | 340,000 | 0 | IN FOREST LAKE-ADD 12 TURN LANES | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2002 |  | TH 120 | 6227.56 | SC | 580,000 | 0 | 580,000 | 0 | AT I-694 \& AT JOY ROAD-TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, WIDEN ROADWAY, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E. 1 |
| 2002 |  | TH 120 | 6227-57 | SC | 1,300,000 | 0 | 1,300,000 | 0 | I-94 TO CONWAY AVE IN MAPLEWOOD-FRONTAGE RD EXTENSION, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2002 |  | TH 169 | 7008-42 | SC | 750,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | AT CORD 64 IN BELLE PLAINE-MEDIAN CLOSURE, FRONTAGE ROAD, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2002 |  | TH 242 | 0212-3656 | 81 | 4,500,000 | 0 | 4,500,000 | 0 | OVER COON CREEK \& OVER TH 10-MAJOR REHAB ON BRS 3656802011 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2002 |  | TH 610 | 2771-25 | RB | 340.000 | 0 | 340,000 | 0 | W RIVER RD TO E OF NOBLE AVE IN BROOKLYN PARKLANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 610 | 2771-26 | RB | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | W OF REGENT AVE TO W OF W BROADWAYLANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH610 | 2771-27 | RB | 175,000 | 0 | 175,000 | 0 | W OF W BROADWAY TO JEFFERSON IN BROOKLYN PARK-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-02 | AM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | $880 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Bl}-02$ | BI | 13,000,000 | 0 | 13,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR F7 2002 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2002 |  | TH999 | 880M-ENT-02 | RB | 25.000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO SET ÄSIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-NA-02 | NA | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500.000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE ABATEMENT FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | 03 |
| 2002 |  | TH999 | 880M-PF-02 | RB | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TÓ FOREST FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RB-02 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH999 | 880M-RS-02 | RS | 19,500,000 | 0 | 19,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RW-02 | RW | 28,500,000 | 0 | 28,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RX-02 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SA-02 | SA | 10,000,000 | 0 | 10,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2002 | MNOOT | Other | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | $880 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SC}-02$ | SC | 8.500.000 | 0 | 8,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Manage | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TM-02 | TM | 6,000,000 | 0 | 6,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2002 INCLUDING REGIONAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TR-02 | TR | 2,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Transit | AQ1 |

355,495,000
$0354,856,000639,000$

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Pr | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | Stale \$ | Olher \$ | Descriplion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 14 | 02-614-22 | SH | 20,000 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 | 4.000 | CSAH 14(MAIN ST) AT CSAH 23(LAKE DRIVE)OVERHEAD FLASHER |
| 1998 |  | 80TH ST | 107-399-17 | RC | 3,588,000 | 2.870.400 | 0 | 0 | 717,600 | 79TH/80TH ST FROM CHICAGO TO CEDARRECONSTRUCT |
| 1998 |  | EN | 92-090-05 | EN | 493.000 | 394,000 | 0 | 0 | 99.000 | GATEWAY TRAIL PHASE II EXTENSION-CAYUGA ST TO PENNSYLVANIA |
| 1998 |  | EN | 130-090-01 | EN | 198.000 | 158.400 | 0 | 0 | 39,600 | CITY OF HASTINGS/MINNESOTA VETERANS HOME BIKEWAY SEGMENT |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 156 | 27-756-16 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | WINNETKA AVE AT 49TH AVE N-SIGNAL REBUILD |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-30 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20.000 | AT CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE)-SIGNAL REBUILD |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 32 | 27-632-21 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSÄH 32(PENN ÃVE) AT 98TH ST-SIGNAL REBUILD |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 35 | 27-635-17 | $\overline{\text { SH }}$ | 100,000 | 80.000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE) AT 86TH ST-SIGNAL REBUILD |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 52 | 27-652-29 | SH | 100,000 | 80.000 | 0 | 0 | 20.000 | AT 86TH STREET-SIGNAL REBUILO |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 152 | 27-752-10 | $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}$ | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20.000 | CSAH 152(BROOKLYN BLVD) AT REGENT AVEIT3RD AVE-SIGNAL REBUILD |
| 1998 |  | CITY | 141.080-23 | BR | 579,000 | 421,500 | 0 | 0 | 157.500 | ST ANTHONY PKWY OVER BN RR |
| 1998 |  | BIKENALK | 141-090-03 | BT | 1,270,000 | 1,016,000 | 0 | 0 | 254.000 | MIDTOWN GREENWAY - PHASEI |
| 1998 |  | EN | 145-080-01 | EN | 879.000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 379,000 | LOST LÁKE HISTORIC Cóñal Restoration |
| 1998 |  | TH252 | 2748-47 | AM | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | TH 252 AT 73RD \& 1-94 AT BOONE AVE.EVP INSTALLATION |
| 1998 |  | TH65 | 0207-69 | AM | 54,000 | 0 |  | 54,000 |  | AT 9 LOCATIONS IN COLUMBIA HTS-EVP \& SIGNAL REVISIONS |
| 1998 |  | TH242 | 0212-39 | AM | 250,000 | 0 |  | 250,000 |  | AT SHENANDOAH BLVD-RECONSTRUCTION \& SIGNAL INSTALLATION |
| 1998 |  | TH 100 | 2735-171 | AM | 150,000 | 0 |  | 150,000 |  | ON WELCOME AVE FROM 34 TH AVE TO $36 T H$ AVE IN CRYSTAL-RECONSTRUCT |
| 1998 |  | TH 55 | 1909.79 | AM | 124.000 | 0 |  | 124,000 |  | AT CSAH 26(LONE OAK RD)-INTERSECTION RECONSTRUCTION, SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS |
| 1998 |  | TH 952A | 1908-68 | AM | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | AT MENDOTA RD(CSAH 14) IN INVER GROVE HTS 8 W ST PAUL-SIGNAL REVISION |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8825-17 | AM | 133,355 | 0 |  | 133,355 |  | ON VARIOUS HIGHWAYS IN EAGAN-EVP INSTALLATION |
| 1998 |  | TH62 | 2774-6 | AM | 315,000 | 0 |  | 315,000 |  | AT FRANCE AVE IN EDINA-INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS |
| 1998 |  | TH 100 | 2733-80 | $\overline{\mathrm{AM}}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | AT W 77TH ST IN EDINA-INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2780-51 | AM | 74,000 | 0 |  | 74,000 |  | AT CSAH 109(WEAVER LAKE RD. TURN LANES \& SIGNAL MODIFICATION |


| Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |
| BLOOMINGTON | Replace | E3 |
| DNR | Olher | 09 |
| HASTINGS | Other | 09 |
| HENNEPIN | Manage | S2 |
| HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| MINNEAPOLIS | Replace | S19 |
| MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | $\overline{\text { AQ2 }}$ |
| MOUND | Other | 09 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BROOKLYN } \\ & \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | Other | E2 |
| COLUMBIA HEIGHTS | Other | E2 |
| COON RAPIOS | Other | E2 |
| CRYSTAL | Other | NC |
| DAKOTA CO | Other | E2 |
| DAKOTA COUNTY | Olher | E2 |
| EAGAN | Other | E2 |
| EDINA | Other | E3 |
| EDINA | Other | E3 |
| HENNEPIN CO | Other | E2 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State $\$$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 2783-99 | TM | 203.477 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203.477 | ON I-35W FROM LAKE DRIVE TO 4TH STSHOULDER BUS LANE | MCTO | Manage | S4 |
| 1998 |  | TH 7 | 2706-194 | AM | 205,000 | 0 |  | 205,000 |  | IN MINNETONKA.FRONTAGE RD CONSTRUCTION \& ACCESS CLOSURES NEAR TONKA \& SPARROW | MINNETONKA | Other | NC |
| 1998 |  | RR | 0206-48 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | MNTH 47, FERRY ST IN ÄNOKA.UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MNOOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 10-00112 | SR | 130,000 | 104,000 | 0 | 0 | 26,000 | CSAH 10, CHASKA-UPGRADE SIGNALS, INSTALL GATES \& RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19.00120 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 108, BISCAYNE AVE, ROSEMOUNT-INSTALLL CANTILEVER SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19-00121 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 105, HOLYOKE AVE, LAKEVILLE.INSTALL. SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19.00125 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | CSAH 50, ELM ST IN FARMINGTON-ADD GATES TO EXISTING SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | \$8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 27-00218 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | MUN 1629,CEDAR LAKE BLVD,MPLS-UPGRADE SIGNALS \& SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00167 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 60, OTTER LAKE RD,RAMSEY COUUPGRADE SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00168 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | MSAS 219, TERMINAL RD, RŌSEVILLE-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00169 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 44, SILVER LAKE RD, NEW BRIGHTON(RAMSEY CO)UPGRADE SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 6227-55 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | MNTH 120, CENTURY AVE, MAPLEWOODUPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& $12^{\prime \prime}$ LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 82-00119 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | MUN 43, 12TH ST, NEWPORT-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 82.00121 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | MUN 153, INMAN AVE SIN COTTAGE GROVEINSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | LANDSCAPE | 880M-RE-98 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 1998 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | ARTIC (98) | TM | 117,000 | 57,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 30,000 | ADVANCED RURAL TRAFFIC INFO \& COORD. | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | AUSCI-2 (98) | TM | 913,860 | 704,260 | 0 | 28,750 | 180,850 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTROL-PHASE 2 | MNOOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | CVOPROJ 0 | TM | 500,000 | 300,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MADDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | ICTM (98) | TM | 1,115,439 | 609,751 | 0 | 138,688 | 367,000 | INTEGRATED CORRIDOR TRAFFIĊ MANAGEMENT | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | ITS (98) | TM | 1,874,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,874,000 | 0 | NEW ITS PROJECTS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | MANAGE (98) | TM | 1,650,000 | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,400,000 | MANAGEMENT 1998 | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | MD OPS/MA | TM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,300,000 | 700,000 | MODEL DEPLOYMENT OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | MODEL DEP | TM | 11,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 7,700,000 | 3,300,000 | MODEL. DEPLOYMENT PHASE 1 | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | ONE-STOP ( | TM | 39,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | 4.000 | ONE-STOP SHOPPING | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | POLARIS (96) | TM | 250,750 | 128,000 | 0 | 122,750 | 0 | POLARIS-ARCHITECTURE | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | SMARTOAR | TM | 18,500 | 0 | 0 | 18.500 | 0 | SMART OARTS PHȦSE 2 | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | TRILOGY (98) | TM | 1,104,353 | 683,482 | 0 | 170,871 | 250,000 | TRILOGY | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | UM (322) (98) | TM | 10,000 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | U OF M AGREEMENT (322) (98) | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prl | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | ITS | UM (327) (98) | TM | 300.000 | 240.000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | U OF M AGREEMENT (327) (98) | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | UM 73952 (9. | TM | 20,000 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | U OF M AGREEMENT 73952 (98) | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | UM 74580 (9. | TM | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10.000 | U OF M AGREEMENT 74580 (98) | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 5 | 1002-66 | AM | 660,000 | 0 | 0 | 660,000 | 0 | CSAH 17 TO LAKE ANN PARK ENTRANCECONSTRUCT FRONTAGE ROAD | $\begin{gathered} \text { MNDOT } \\ : \end{gathered}$ | Other | NC |
| 1998 |  | TH5 | 2732-41 | SC | 1,777,249 | 0 |  | 1,777,249 |  | FROM S OF POST RD TO N OF AIRPORT ENTRANCE-LIGHTING REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Manage | S18 |
| 1998 |  | TH5 | 8214-120 | SH | 528,241 | 276.138 | 0 | 48,366 | 203,737 | AT CSAH 15 IN LAKE ELMO-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 5 | 8214-124 | SH | 641,051 | 102.568 | 0 | 538.483 |  | AT I-694 RAMPS IN OAKDALE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION(EAST RAMP-HES;WEST RAMP-SF) | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH7 | 1003-25 | RS | 1,143,408 | 0 | 0 | 1,143,408 | 0 | TH 25 TO ST BONIFACIOUS-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH7 | 2706-191 | RS | 1,218,672 | 972,538 | 0 | 246,134 | 0 | TH 101 TO I-494-MILL $\&$ OVERLAY, MEDIAN BARRIER, GUARDRAIL, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH7 | 2706-193 | RX | 849,492 | 0 |  | 849,492 |  | VINEHILL RD TO E OF 1-494-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-02043 | MC | 1,216,167 | 972,934 | 0 | 243,233 | 0 | POLK ST OVER TH 10-BR 02043(STAGE 4) | MNOOT | Expand | $\overline{A 00}$ |
| 1998 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-13 | MC | 8,890,747 | 7,105,465 | 0 | 1.785,282 | 0 | UNIVERSITY AVE TO TH 6 6-GRADE,SURFACE, SIGNALS,NOISE WALLS,ETC | M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Expand | A00 |
| 1998 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-20 | MC | 325,631 | 260,305 | 0 | 65,326 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO RD 51(UNIVERSITY AVE) TO TH } 65- \\ & \text { SIGNING(STAGE 4) } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1998 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-21 | MC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | CORD 51 (UNIVERSITY AVE) TO TH 65LIGHTING(STAGE 4) | MNDOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1998 |  | TH 10 | 0215-52 | RX | 360,144 | 0 |  | 360,144 |  | HANSON BLVD TO BN RR-MILL \& OVERLAY EB ONLY | MNDOT | Preserve | 58 |
| 1998 |  | TH 12 | 2713-73A | SH | 426.522 | 290,693 | 0 | 135,829 | 0 | AT WRIGHT/HENNEPIN CO LINE-SIGNAL \& GEOMETRIC IMPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Manage | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 12 | 2714-136 | TM | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | AT CSAH 101 SE QUADRANT-CONSTRUCT PARK \& RIDE | MNDOT | Manage | E6 |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 7001.73 | SC | 57,682 | 0 | 0 | 57,682 | 0 | AT CSAH 12 IN PRIOR LAKE - SIGNAL. CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 7001-76 | SC | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 610,000 | 140.000 | CSAH 16/MCCOLL AVE, SIGNAL. SYSTEM: RAISED CHANNELIZATION: ENTER LEFT AND RIGHT TURN LANES | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 7001.77 | SH | 32.400 | 25,920 | 0 | 6.480 | 0 | DULUTH AVE TO CO RD 44-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 25 | 1007-15 | BR | 768,318 | 0 | 0 | 768,318 | 0 | OVER S FORK CROW RIVER 1.6 MI S OF WATERTOWN-REPLACE BR 5184 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35E | 1982-125 | SC | 240,100 | 0 | 0 | 120.050 | 120,050 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT CO RD } 11 \text { NORTH RAMP-SIGNAL } \\ & \text { INSTALLATION } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35E | 6280-305 | RS | 4,404,029 | 3,402,034 |  | 676,995 | 325,000 | 1-94 TO 1-694-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9831 | Bl | 187,000 | 149,600 | 0 | 37,400 | 0 | UNDER SUNSET \& CO RD J-PAINT BRS 9831,9606 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 2783-9340 | Bl | 1,808,426 | 1,434,741 | 0 | 373.685 | 0 | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REPLACE JOINTS \& RAILING BR 9340 | MNDOT | Preserve | S9 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prf Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State $\$$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 6284-123 | RC | 5,287,291 | 4,757,662 |  | 529,629 |  | TH 118 TO TH 10-ADD AUXILIARY LANE, MEDIAN BARRIER, BITUMINOUS OVERLAY, ETC | MNDOT | Replace | A00 |
| 1998 |  | TH 36 | 8217-4654 | Bi | 343,540 | 0 |  | 343,540 |  | OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT STILL WATERREPLACE SIDEWALK ON BR 4654 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH41 | 1008-54 | RS | 423,554 | 0 |  | 423.554 |  | TH 169 TO TH 212-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH41 | 7010-19 | BR | 1,313,116 | 1,050,493 | 0 | 262,623 |  | OVER MNRIVER OVERFLOW 0.8 MI N OF TH $169-$ REPL BR 6763 \& A REPLBR 6763 \& A | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 0206-46 | JY | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | SALVAGE YARD CLEANUP.ST FRANCIS AUTO PARTS | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 2726-27055 | BR | 766,432 | 613,146 |  | 153,286 |  | UNIVERSITY AVE UNDER ST ANTHONY PARKWAY-REPLACE BR 5585 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 2726-27055A | BR | 1,359,124 | 0 |  | 1,217,775 | 141.349 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEEL GIRDER DELIVERY FOR BRS. 27055, 27072, } \\ & 27074 \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 2726-27059 | BR | 1,332,421 | 1,065,937 |  | 266,484 |  | UNIVERSITY AVE OVER CANADIAN PACIFIC RR- REPLACE BR 5586 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 2726-27072 | BR | 1,713,829 | 1,371,063 |  | 342,766 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIVERSITY AVE OVER BN, INC-REPLACE BR } \\ & 5588 \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 2726-27074 | BR | 740,829 | 550,664 |  | 52,500 | 137,666 | ST ANTHONY PARKWAY OVER SOO LINE RRREPLACE BR 90662 | MNOOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 2726-61 | BR | 4,004,677 | 3,196,492 | 0 | 727,516 | 80.669 | 27 TiH AVE TO 35 TH AVE NE-RECONSTRUCT RDWY, BRIDGE APPROACHES AND REMOVALS | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| ${ }^{1998}$ |  | TH 47 | ${ }^{2726-64}$ | ER | 689,724 | 455,779 |  | 113,945 | 120,000 | FRONTAGE RD FROM 3OTH AVE TO ST ANTHONY BLVD \& APPROACH TO BR 27059-GRADING, SURFACING | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| ${ }^{1998}$ |  | TH 50 | 1904.14 | RD | 332,116 | 0 | 0 | 332,116 | 0 | E OF VERMILLLION RIVER TO HAMPTON-MILL, WIDEN, \& OVERLAY | MNDOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 52 | 6244-9800C | BI | 437,103 | 0 |  | 437,103 |  | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER \& RR(LAFAYETTE BR): OVERLAY PORTION NB BR 9800 | MNDỌT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 55 | ${ }_{272724-105}$ | AM | 3,290,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,290,000 |  | ARROWHEAD TO HUNTER DR-CONSTRUCT 4. LANE ROADWAY | MNDOT | Other | A00 |
| ${ }^{1998}$ | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-105 | MC | 16,000,000 | 0 | 10,800,000 | 1,200,000 | 4,000,000 | 1-94 TO E 29TH ST - GR, SURF, UTIL, RET WALLIS. SIGS, LIGHTS, | MNDOT | Expand | A00 |
| 1998 |  | TH 55 | 2724-110 | MC | 465,280 | 0 |  | 465,280 |  | FROM FRANCE AVE TO 1 -394 NEAR CEDAR LAKEREHAB HCRRA RAILWAY | MNDOT | Expand | 19 |
| 1998 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-27202 | MC | 810,000 | 0 | 729,000 | 81,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OVER TH 55, RR, AND RAMP D-PEDESTRIAN BR } \\ & 27202 \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Expand | AQ2 |
| 1998 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-98RW | RW | 500.000 | 0 | 450,000 | 50,000 |  | $1-94$ TO TH 62-TH 55 RIGHT OF WAY FOR FY 1998 | MNDOT | Other | A00 |
| 1998 |  | TH 61 | 1913-54 | RS | 350,000 | 0 | 0 | 350,000 |  | TH 316 TO MISSISSIPPI RIVER-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 62 | 2763-34 | BI | 2,223,731 | 1.742,985 | 0 | 480,746 |  | OVER MN\&S RIR - 0.6 MII W OF TH 100 - REPL DECK BR.S 27085 \& 27086 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| ${ }^{1998}$ |  | TH62 | ${ }^{2774-3}$ | SH | ${ }^{0}$ |  | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 |  | TH 62 UNDER TH 100 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA \& MHLL AND OVERLAY | MNDOT | Manage | S6 |
| ${ }^{1998}$ |  | TH 62 | 2775-27524 | ${ }^{\text {BI }}$ | 173,882 | - ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 173,882 |  | UNDER 43RD AVE S \& UNDER BLOOMINGTON AVE-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BR 27524,27525 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 65 | 0207-66 | SH | 3,000,000 | 2,400,000 |  | 600,000 |  | AT WEST MOORE LAKKE DRJCSAH 35-SIGNAL REBUILD \& GRADE CORRECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Pij Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | TH 65 | 0208-98 | SH | 456,518 | 365,094 | 0 | 48,586 | 42,838 | AT CO RD 86 AND AT CSAH 24 IN E BETHEL. SIGNAL INSTALLATION AND TURN LANES | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27865 | Bi | 79,947 | 0 |  | 79,947 |  | UNDER 2OTH AVE-OVERLAY AND REPLACE JOINTS ON BR 27865 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1.94 | 2781-382 | RS | 1,715,015 | 1,542,434 | 0 | 172,581 |  | TH694 TO O.5 MI.N.OF LOWRY TUNNEL-MINOR CONC. REPAIR \& RESEAL JOINTS | MNDOT | $\overline{\text { Preserve }}$ | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1.94 | 2781-386 | TM | 349,845 | 0 | 0 | 349.845 | 0 | 1-394 TO 1-694-CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS | MNDOOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | 1.94 | 2781-391 | B1 | 210,885 | 0 |  | 210,885 |  | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN MINNEAPOLISGRIND DECK SURFACE | MNOOT | Preserve | 03 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2786-104 | SC | 187.416 | 0 |  | 93,708 | 93,708 | HEMLOCK LANE TO EB 1.94-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | 1.94 | 2786-97 | AM | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | CSAH 152 RAMPS-REBUILD 2 SIGNALS | MNDOT | Other | S7 |
| 1998 |  | 1.94 | 6282-62839 | 81 | 70,647 | 63,582 | 0 | 7.065 | 0 | ST ANTHONY OVER FAIRVIEW-OVERLAY \& REEP JOINTS BR 62839 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\mathrm{S} 10}$ |
| 1998 |  | TH 100 | 2733-78 | SC | 292,000 | 0 | 0 | 146,000 | 146,000 | AT 77TH ST RAMP TERMINI IN EDINA-SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 101 | 7005-74 | MC | 271.595 | 0 | 0 | 271,595 | 0 | TH 13 TO VALLEY PARK DRIVE-LANDSCAAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 101 | 7005.75 | MC | 273,714 | 218,971 | 0 | 54,743 | 0 | CORD 79 TO JCTT OLD TH 169-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 101 | 7005.76 | MC | 228.263 | 182.610 | 0 | 45,653 | 0 | VALLEY PARK DRIVE TO CO RD 79-LANOSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 110 | 1918.95 | SH | 20,732 | 16,586 | 0 | 4.146 |  | DELAWARE TO MENOOTA RD-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 120 | 6227-54 | SH | 56,350 | 44,760 | 0 | 11,590 | 0 | MINNEHAHA TO S JCT TH 5 \& LARPENTEUR̈ TON JCT TH 5-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | 52 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2750-53 | SC | 451.836 | 0 |  | 451,836 |  | ON TH 169 FROM I-394 TO CSAH 81 AND ON TH 100 FROM FRANCE AVE TO I-694-SIGNING REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-16 | SC | 340,333 | 270,666 | 0 | 62,133 | 7.534 | AT LONDONDERRY RD - WIDEN NB EXIT RAMP AND SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-19 | TM | 475,468 | 380,374 | 0 | 95,094 |  | AT BREN RD TO SB TH 169, BREN RD TO NB TH 169 AND EXCELSIOR BLVD TO NB TH $669-\mathrm{HOV}$ RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-21 | RS | 738,573 | 0 | 0 | 738,573 | 0 | 1-494 TO TH 62-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-24 | RS | 5,733,098 | 4.586.478 | 0 | 1.146,620 | - | 1-394 TO 1-94-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S4 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-27523 | BI | 668,245 | 0 | 0 | 668,245 |  | UNDER BASS LAKE RD, 49TH AVE,LONDONDERRY RD, \& 7TH ST S-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BRS 27523,27555,27566,27567 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-6 | SC | 206,271 | 0 | 0 | 103,136 | 103,135 | VALLEY VIEW RD. RAMPS-INSTALL 2 SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH212 | 1013-67 | SH | 13,500 | 10,800 | 0 | 2,700 |  | FAXON ROAD TO CSAH 33 IN NORWOOD-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH212 | 2744-49 | SH | 436,431 | 349,145 | 0 | 87,286 | 0 | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. TO CSAH 4 - NB AUUX. LANE | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 | 6 | TH212 | 2762-11 | MC | 14,851,416 | 11,697,116 | 0 | 3,015,607 | 138,693 | 0.5 MIE OF MITCHELL RD TO I-494-GRADING, SURFACING OF STAGE 1 | MNDOT | Expand | 8.00 |
| 1998 |  | TH 212 | 2762-25 | MC | 797,375 | 637,900 |  | 159,475 |  | AT PRAIRIE CENTER DRIVE-3 SIGNAL INSTALLATIONS \& 7 TEMPORARY SIGNALS | MNDOT | Expand | E2 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27141 | MC | 304,388 | 243,510 |  | 60,878 |  | RAMP A OVER BUS CONNECTION-BR 27141 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27148 | MC | 1,939,225 | 1,551,380 | 0 | 387,845 | 0 | PRAIRIE CENTER DRIVE OVER TH 212 -BR 27148 | MNDOT | Expand | B.00 |
| 1998 |  | TH212 | 2763-35 | SC | 824.029 | 0 | 0 | 166,279 | 657,750 | C̄AH 61 (SHADDY OAK ROAD), SIGNAL SYSTEM: CHANNELIZATION REMOVAL. | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH212 | 2763-36 | TM | 1,027,461 | 821,969 | 0 | 205,492 |  | At Valley view ro to eb th 212, eb th 5 TO EB $1-494 \&$ AT TH 62 TO WB I-494-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNḊOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 252 | 2748-45 | RS | 799,807 | 638.926 | 0 | 159,73i | 0 | TH 94 TO TH 610-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH280 | 6241.62821 | B | 174,201 | 0 |  | 174,201 |  | SB 280 UNDER RAMP(BR 62821) \& UNDER WABASH AVE(BR 62843).OVERLAY \& JOINT REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 280 | 6241-6630 | BI | 213,816 | 0 | 0 | 213,816 |  | UNDER LARPENTEUR \& LARPENTTEUR OVER RRREPLACE JOINTS \& OVERLAY BRS $6630 \& 6738$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH288 | 0213-08 | SC | 140,000 | 0 |  | 140,000 |  | AT CO RD 79-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-394 | 2789-110 | TM | 357,165 | 0 |  | 357,165 |  | NB TH 169 TO EB I-394-CONSTRUCT HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | 1-394 | 2789-111 | MC | 34,303 | 0 |  | 34.303 |  | DUNWOODY AVE TO TH 100-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 2785-276 | SH | 0 | 0 | 0 | Of |  | 1494 UNDER TH7 7 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA \& MILL AND OVERLAY | MNDOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1998 |  | 1.494 | 2785-27V05 | BI | 2,627,069 | 2,101,655 | 0 | 525,414 | 0 | OVER CSAH 5, CREEK, TRAIL -BRS 27 VIV 0 \& 27V06(REPLACE BRS 9755, 9756) | MNDȮT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | 1.494 | 2785-27V07 | BI | 1,899,816 | 1,519,853 | 0 | 379,963 | 0 | OVER BN INC \& STONE RD -BRS 27V07 \& 27V08(REPLACE BRS 9759 \& 9760) | MNDOT | Preserve | 519 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | ${ }^{2785-297}$ | RS | 1,786,703 | 0 | 0 | 1,786,703 |  | 34TH AVENUE TO TH 100-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY, MEDIAN BARRIER, GUARDRAIL | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1.494 | 2785-307 | RC | 5,432,192 | 4,345,754 |  | 1,086,438 |  | CSAH 5(MINNETONKA BLVD) TO STONE RDGRADING,SURFACING,ETC | MNDET | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 2785-313 | SC | 567.655 | 454, 24 |  | 113.531 |  | 1.1 KM E OF TH 100 TO E BUSH LAKE RD. REPLACE LIGHTING | MNDOT | Manage | S18 |
| 1998 |  | 1.494 | 8285-82017 | BI | 115,784 | 0 |  | 115,784 |  | WB TH 120 OVER I-494 IN WOOODBURY-OVERLÄY \& JOINTS ON BR 82017 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-11 | MC | 11,827.371 | 0 | 9,440,293 | 2,387,078 |  | 0.25 MIE OF FRANCE AVE TO W END OF $\bar{B}$ OVER MISS RIVER-GRADING, SURFACING, SIGNALS,ETC-STAGE 2 | MNDOT | Expand | $\overline{8}-00$ |
| 1998 | 7 | TH 610 | $\frac{2771-15}{2771.27214}$ | MC | 11,163,237 | 8.760,173 |  | 2,203,576 | 199,488 | TH 169 TO HAMPSHIRE AVE AVE-GRADING, SURFACING, SIGNALS, ETC-STAGE 4 | MNOOT | Expand | 8-00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-27214 | MC | 369,365 | 0 | 295,492 | 73,873 |  | RAMP A OVER MCES SEWER-BR 27214 | MNDOT | Expand | 8-00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH610 | 2771-27217 | MC | 1,041,104 | 0 | 832,883 | 208,221 | 0 | TH 610 UNDER TH 252 NB RAMP B-BR 27217 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH610 | 2771-27218 | MC | 1,671,662 | 0 | 1,337,330 | 334,332 | 0 | TH 610 UNDER TH 252 NB RAMP C-BR 27218 | MNDOT | Expand | 8-00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-27219 | MC | 1,618,079 | 0 | 1,294,463 | 323,616 | 0 | RAMP B UNDER TH 252 SB RAMP C-BR 27219 | MNOOT | Expand | 8-00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771.27220 | MC | 632,590 | 0 | 506,072 | 126.518 | 0 | PED BR OVER TH 610 WEST OF TH 252-BR 27220 | MNDOT | Expand | 8.00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH610 | 2771-27225 | MC | 1,209,987 | 1,068,924 |  | 141,063 | 0 | TH 610 UNDER WEST BROADUWAY AVE-BR 27225 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-27233 | MC | 743,649 | 594,919 |  | 148,730 | 0 | TH 610 WB OVER TH 169-8R 27233 | MNEOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | $A Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-27234 | MC | 705,314 | 564,251 |  | 141,063 | 0 | TH 610 EB OVER TH 169-8R 27234 | MNOOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 7 | TH610 | 2771-28 | MC | 1,826,170 | 0 | 1,460,936 | 365,234 |  | APPROACH FILLS FOR BRS 27214, 27217, 27218, 27219-GRADING | MNDOT | Expand | $\overline{\text { A }} \mathbf{0}$ |
| 1998 | 7 | TH610 | 2771-988W | RW | 4,000,000 | 0 | 3,200,000 | 800,000 |  | TH 252 TO TH 169-TH 610 RIGHT OF WAY FOR FY 1998 | MNDOT | Other | A00 |
| 1998 |  | TH 952 | 1908-67 | RS | 857,054 | 0 | 0 | 857,054 | 0 | TH 110 TO MISSISSIPPI RIVER-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNOOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { S10 }}$ |
| 1998 |  | TH999 | $1900 \cdot 6$ | RD | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | IN HASTINGS-WETLAND MITIGATION | MNOOT | Preserve | NC |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-160 | TM | 121.123 | 0 | 0 | 121,123 |  | METROWIDE-LOOP DETECTOR REPLACEMENT | MNOOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH999 | 8809-161 | TM | 499,438 | 0 | 0 | 499,438 |  | METROWIDE-CABINET MODIFICATIONS ATHOV METER BYPASSES | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | THi 999 | 8809.162 | TM | 87,376 | 0 | 0 | 87,376 |  | METROWIDE-REFURBISH RAMP CONTROL SIGNALS | MNOOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-172 | TM | 102,261 | 0 | 0 | 102,261 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-INSTALL TRAFFIC COUNTING STATIONS | MNDOT | Manage | S 7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-174 | TM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | UPGRADE 170 CONTROLLERS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809.74 | TM | 2,542,323 | 2,033,858 | 0 | 508,465 |  | ON I35W FROM CRYYSTAL LAKE RD TO MINN RIVER, ON I35E FROM S JCT I35W TO YANKEE DOODLE RD, \& ON TH 77 FROM I35E TO MINN | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-79 | SH | 190,050 | 140,400 | 0 | 49,650 |  | DISTRICTWIDE ADVANCE WARNING FLASHERS | MNOOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 880M-BI-98 | Bi | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 |  | METROWIDE SET ASIDE TORETROFIT PEDESTRIAN FENCES ON BRIDGES | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 880M-P/R-98 | TM | 900,000 | 0 | 0 | 900,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE ENHANCEMENTS FOR FY 98 | MNOOT | Manage | E6 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RW-98 | RW | 30,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000,000 |  | RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS CONTROL SET ASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY9B | MNDOT | Other | 01 |
| 1998 |  | TH999 | 8825-19 | SC | 53,430 | 0 |  | 53,430 |  | TH 3 AT LONE OAK RD,TH 282 AT CSAH 17,TH 96 at Jamaca and at norel. rd-Install FLASHERS | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8825-20 | RX | 531,319 | 0 |  | 531,319 |  | ON VARIOUS HIGHWAYS IN MINNEAPOLIS-MILL \& \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8825-22 | TM | 400,000 | 360,000 |  | 40,000 |  | PURCHASE OF TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM CABINETS-DIVISIONWIDE | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8825-24 | RX | 120,261 | 0 |  | 120,261 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-GUARDRAIL REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Preserve | 59 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454[ | RX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY98 | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-98-C | SA | 9,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 9,000,000 |  | COST OVERRUN/SUPP. AGREEMENT SET ASIDE FOR METRO-FY98 | MNDOT | Other | 01 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-ENT | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FY98 | MNOOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-PF9t | RB | 40.000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | SET ASEDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FY98 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-TRA | SC | 600,000 | 0 | 0 | 600,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FY98 | MNOOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | TH5 | 8214-132 | AM | 102,813 | 0 |  | 102.813 |  | HADLEY AVE TOIDEAL AVE-INTERCONNECTION \& SIGNAL INSTALLATION | OAKDALE | Other | E2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-694 | 8286-54 | AM | 215,000 |  |  | 215,000 |  | CSAH 6(STILLWATER BLVD) OVER 1-694-WIDEN BR 82804 FOR TRAIL | OAKDALE | Other | S19 |

TABLE A-11

## Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 7001-82 | AM | 2,585,000 | 0 |  | 2,585,000 |  | AT TH 101 IN SAVAGE-RECONSTRUCTION, TURN LANES, MEDIAN X-OVER CLOSURE | SAVAGE | Other | E1 |
| 1998 |  | TH5 | 6201-77 | AM | 97,000 | 0 |  | 97,000 |  | ST PETERISHEPARD RD IN ST PAUL-REPLACE STORM SEWER OUTLET | ST PAUL | Other | NC |
| 1998 |  | TH 5 | 6201-78 | AM | 76,000 | 0 |  | 76,000 |  | AT WHEELER/MUNSTER AVE IN ST PAUL-ACCESS MANAGEMENT | ST PAUL | Other | NC |
| 1998 |  | TH 5 | 6228-58 | AM | 40,000 | 0 |  | 40,000 |  | AT KITTSON ST IN ST PAUL-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | ST PAUL | Other | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 51 | 6215-83 | AM | 184,000 | 0 | 0 | 184,000 | 0 | AT ENERGY PARK DRIVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | ST PAUL | Other | E2 |

$237,942,725 \quad 87,588,162 \quad 30,346,469 \quad 104,759,301 \quad 15,247,644$

TABLE A-12
Transit Section 5309

| Year | Prl | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | FTAS | State \$ | Olher \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-HCW-98 | B3 | 1,250,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 250,000 | SECT 5309: HENNEPIN COMMUNITY WORKS | HENN COMM WORKS WORKS | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-98E | $\overline{B 3}$ | 5,000,000 |  | 4,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-800 MHZ RADIO SYSTEMIAVL | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | B8 | TRF-TCMT-98F | B3 | 7.500,000 |  | 6,000,000 |  | 1,500,000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE 40-FT BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-98G | B3 | 1,875,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 375.000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITTREPLACE SNELLING GARAGE FACILITY | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | 78 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-NCDA-98 | B3 | 437.500 |  | 350,000 |  | 87,500 | SECT 5309: NORTHSTAR CORRIDOR-PLANNING, ANALYSIS, ENGINEERING, AND MINOR TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS | NORTHSTAR CORR DEV AUTH | Transil | 02 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-RVW-98 | B3 | 1,437,500 |  | 1,150,000 |  | 287,500 | SECT 5309: RIVERVIEW TRANSITWAY-PLANNING. ENVIRONMENTAL, AND ENGINEERING STUDIES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RCRAA, } \\ & \text { HCRRAA } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | 01 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-98J | B3 | 13,125,000 |  | 10,500,000 |  | 2,625,000 | SECT 5309: HIAZWATHA TRÄNSITWAY LLIGHT RAİ TRANSIT)-DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | $\overline{\text { A } 0}$ |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99E | B3 | 7,500,000 | 0 | 6,000,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSİT-800 MHZ RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | 710 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99G | B3 | 25,000,000 | 0 | 20,000,000 | 0 | 5,000,000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE 40-FT BUSES(REQUESTED ONLY) | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99H | B3 | 3,750.000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 750.000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-BUS STATION FACILITIES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transil | T8 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99J | B3 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,200,000 | 0 | 300,000 | SECT 5309: CENTRAL CORRIOOR-BUS AND BUS FACILITY PROJECTS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transil | E6 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99K | B3 | 1,875,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 375,000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-EAST METRO GARAGE-SNELLING GARAGE REPLACEMENT | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | 78 |
| 1999 |  | B8 | TRF-TCMT-99L | 83 | 15,000,000 | 0 | 12,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT135W/42ND OR 46TH ST STATION(REQUESTED ONLY) | METRO <br> TRANSIT | Transit | E6 |
| 1999 |  | 8B | TRF-TCMT-99M | B3 | 3,750,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | SECT 5309: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-I35W CORRIDOR FACILITIES AT LAKE ST \& 82ND ST STATIONS(REQUESTED ONLY) | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | E6 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99S | B3 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 | ON WASHINGTON AVE AT COFFMAN UNION-6 BUS SHELTERS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | 77 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99T | B3 | 100,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | ALONG ROUTE 16-IMPROVE LIGHTING AT BUS STOPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transil | T8 |
| 1999 |  | 1-94 | 6282-180 | B3 | 500,000 |  | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | AT SNELLING \& 1-94-BUS STTOP IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T8 |

TABLE A-12
Transit Section 5309


TABLE A-13
Transit Section 5307

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | FTA \$ | State \$ | Other 5 | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | B8 | TRF-TCMT-970 | B9 | 6,250,000 |  | 5,000,000 |  | 1,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES MCTO -SNELLING GARAGE REPLACEMENT | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-98 | B9 | 76,000,000 |  | 3,375,000 |  | 72,625,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | Ti |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-98A | B9 | 11.250,000 |  | 9,000,000 |  | 2,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT. PURCHASE BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-988 | B9 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 500,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT. PURCHASEREBUILD BUS ENGINES. TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS, ETC | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-98C | B9 | 1,467,000 |  | 1,100.000 |  | 367,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-FIXED GUHEWAY IMPROVEMENTS-TRANSIT HUBS-135W CORRIDOR | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-980 | B9 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 500.000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITCAPITALIZE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T3 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99 | B9 | 80,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | $\overline{0}$ | 79,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT. OPERATING ASSISTANCE | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF.TCMT-99A | B9 | 16,250,000 | 0 | 13,000,000 | $\overline{0}$ | 3,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-998 | B9 | 3,750,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE/REBUILD BUS ENGINES, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS, ETC | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99C | B9 | 1,875,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 375,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-FIXED GUIDEWAY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | 19 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF.TCMT-99D | 89 | 2,500,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITCAPITALIZE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | 13 |
| 1999 |  | $\bar{B} \bar{B}$ | TRF.TCMT-99N | B9 | 5,000,000 | 0 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT- 800MHZ COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AVL(PHASED) | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T8 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99P | B9 | 3,900,000 | 0 | 3,120,000 | 0 | 780,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-EAST METRO GARAGE-SNELLING GARAGE REPLACEMENT | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | 78 |
| 1999 |  | $\bar{B}$ | TRF-TCMT-99Q | B9 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT. PUBLIC FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T8 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-99R | B9 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT. SUPPORT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T8 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-00 | 89 | 80,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | 79,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITOPERATING ASSISTANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | T1 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-00A | $\overline{B 9}$ | 16,250,000 | 0 | 13,000,000 | 0 | 3,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE 40-FT BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |

TABLE A-13
Transit Section 5307

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | FTA | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-00B | B9 | 3.750.000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 750.000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE/REBUILD BUS ENGINES, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS, ETC | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | T10 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-00C | B9 | 1,875,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 375,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-FIXED GUIDEWAY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 2000 |  | B8 | TRF-TCMT-00D | B9 | 2,500,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITCAPITALIZE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T3 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-00K | B9 | 3,600,000 | 0 | 2,880,000 | 0 | 720,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-EAST METRO GARAGE-SNELLING GARAGE REPLACEMENT | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T8 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-00L | B9 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPUBLIC FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | 18 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-00M | 69 | 1.250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITSUPPORT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | 18 |
| 2001 |  | B8 | TRF-TCMT-01 | B9 | 16,250,000 | 0 | 13,000,000 | 0 | 3,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT. PURCHASE 40-FOOT BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 2001 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-01A | 89 | 3,750,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE/REBUILD BUS ENGINES, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS, ETC | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 2001 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-01B | B9 | 2,500,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITCAPITALIZE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T3 |
| 2001 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-01C | B9 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250,000 | SEC̈T 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPUBLIC FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | 18 |
| 2001 |  | B8 | TRF-TCMT-01D | 89 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250.000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITSUPPORT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T8 |
| 2001 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-01E | 89 | 80,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | 79,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITOPERATING ASSISTANCE | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | 11 |
| 2001 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-01F | 89 | 1,875,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 375,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-FIXED GUIDEWAY IMPROVEMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | T9 |
| 2002 |  | B8 | TRF-TCMT-02 | 89 | 11,250,000 | 0 | 9,000,000 | 0 | 2,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE 40-FOOT BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-02A | 89 | 6,250,000 | 0 | 5,000,000 | 0 | 1,250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE ARTIC BUSES | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T10 |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-02B | B9 | 3,750,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPURCHASE/REBUILD BUS ENGINES, TRANSMISSIONS, LIFTS, ETC | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | T10 |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-02C | 89 | 2,500,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITCAPITALIZE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | T3 |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-02D | B9 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250.000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITPUBLIC FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T8 |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-02E | 89 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0. | 250,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITSUPPORT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transil | T8 |

TABLE A-13
Transit Section 5307

| Year | Prl | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | FTA \$ | Slate \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-02F | B9 | 80,000,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | 79,250.000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSITOPERATING ASSISTANCE | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T1 |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-TCMT-02G | B9 | 1,875,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 375,000 | SECT 5307: TWIN CITIES METRO TRANSIT-FIXED GUIDEWAY IMPROVEMENTS | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | T9 |

TABLE A-14
Transit Section 5310

| Year | Pr1 | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | FTA \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-2151-98 | NB | 57,750 |  | 46,200 |  | 11.550 | SECT 5310: AMERICAN RED CROSS OF ST PAULSMALL BUS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMER RED } \\ & \text { CROSS } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-3264-98 | NB | 57,750 |  | 46,200 |  | 11,550 | SECT 5310: CHICANÓ LATINO, ST PAUL-SMALL BUS | clues | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-0659-98 | NB | 72,450 |  | 57,960 |  | 14,490 | SECT 5310: EAST SIDE NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES. MINNEAPOLIS-MEDIUM BUS | EASt Side | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-2918-98 | NB | 72,450 |  | 57,960 |  | 14,490 | SECT 5310: HUMAN SERVICES, INC, OAKDALEMEDIUM BUS | HUMAN SERVICES | Transil | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-0514-98 | NB | 72,450 |  | 57,960 |  | 14,490 | SECT 5310 : LIFEWORKS SERVICES, EAGANMEDIUM BUS | LIFEWORKS | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-7222-98 | NB | 93,450 |  | 74,760 |  | 18,690 | SECT 5310: OWOBOPTE INDUSTRIES, INC IN EAGAN-LARGE BUS | OWOBOPTE | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-3098-98 | NB | 57.750 |  | 46,200 |  | 11,550 | SECT 5310: SENIOR RESOURCES, MINNEAPOLIS. SMALL BUS | SENIOR RESOURCES | Transit | T10 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-6859-98 | NB | 57,750 |  | 46,200 |  | 11,550 | SECT 5310: WOMEN'S ÁSS'N OF HMONG \& LAO. INC, ST PAUL-SMALL BUS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WOMEN'S } \\ & \text { ASS'N } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-0659-99 | NB | 54,000 | 0 | 43,200 | 0 | 10,800 | SECT 5310: EAST SIDE NEIGHBORHODD-MEDIUM BUS | EASt Side | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | $\bar{B}$ | TRF-7219-99 | NB | 48,000 | 0 | 38,400 | 0 | 9,600 | SECT 5310: FAIRVIEW SENIOR SERVICES-SMALL BUS | FAIRVIEW SENIOR SERVICES | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | 88 | TRF-1023-99 | NB | 48.000 | 0 | 38,400 | 0 | 9,600 | SECT 5310: INDIAN FAMIL Y SERVICES-SMALL BUS | INDIAN FAMILY SERVICES | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-1630-99 | NB | 48,000 | 0 | 38,400 | 0 | 9,600 | SECT 5310: OPPORTUNITY PARTNERSS-SMALL BUS | OPPORTUNITYY PARTNERS | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF.7222-99 | NB | 54,000 | 0 | 43,200 | 0 | 10,800 | SECT 5310: OWOBOPTE INDUSTRIES-MEDIUM BUS | OWOBOPTE | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-1699-99 | NB | 54,000 | 0 | 43,200 | 0 | 10,800 | SECT 5310: PILLSBURY NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES-MEDIUM BUS | PIELSBURY NEIGHBOR | Transil | Ti0 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-3250-99 | NB | 48,000 | 0 | 38,400 | 0 | 9.600 | SECT 5310: PRISM-SMALL BUS | PRISM | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-2151-99 | NB | 54,000 | 0 | 43,200 | 0 | 10,800 | SECT 5310: RED CROSS OF ST PAUL-MEDIUM BUS | RED CROŚS | Transil | Ti0 |
| 1999 |  | B | TRF-1919-99 | NB | 54,000 | 0 | 43,200 | 0 | 10,800 | SECT 5310: RISE, INC-MEDIUM BUS | RISE, INC | Transil | 710 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-1545-99 | NB | 54,000 | 0 | 43,200 | 0 | 10,800 | SECT 5310: SENIOR OUTREACH SERVICESMEDIUM BUS | SENIOR OUTREACH | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-1767-99 | NB | 54,000 | 0 | 43,200 | 0 | 10,800 | SECT 5310: UNIVERSITY GOOD SAMARITANMEDIUM BUS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIV GOOD } \\ & \text { SAMARITAN } \end{aligned}$ | Transil | T10 |

TABLE A-15
Transit Section 5311

| Year | Prl | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | FTA \$ | Siale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | B8 | TRF-0009-98 | OB | 350.429 |  | 79,150 |  | 271,279 | SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transil | T1 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-0051-98 | OB | 509.423 |  | 75,000 |  | 434,423 | SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transit | T1 |
| 1998 |  | BB | TRF-3703-98 | OB | 194,740 |  | 38,673 |  | 156.067 | SECT 5311: HASTIINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-0009-99 | OB | 367,950 | 0 | 79,150 | 0 | 288,800 | SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERTAING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | BB | TRF-0051-99 | OB | 534,894 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 459,894 | SECT 5311: SCOTTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNOOT | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | B8 | TRF-3703-99 | OB | 204,477 | 0 | 38,673 | 0 | 165,804 | SECT 5311: HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transil | T1 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-0009-00 | OB | 375,380 | 0 | 79,150 | 0 | 296,230 | SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transil | T1 |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-0051-00 | OB | 556,290 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 481,290 | SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transit | Ti |
| 2000 |  | BB | TRF-3703-00 | OB | 208,605 | 0 | 38.673 | 0 | 169,932 | SECT 5311: HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | MNDOT | Transit | T1 |
| 2001 |  | B8 | TRF-0009-01 | OB | 386,641 | 0 | 79,150 | 0 | 307,491 | SECT 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | CARVER COUNTY | Transit | T1 |
| 2001 |  | BB | TRF-3703-01 | OB | 214,863 | 0 | 38,673 | 0 | 176,190 | ```SECT 5311: HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASISTANCE``` | HASTINGS | Transil | T1 |
| 2001 |  | $\overline{88}$ | TRF-0051-01 | $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ | 578.542 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 503,542 | SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCOTT } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | T1 |
| 2002 |  | $\bar{B} \mathbf{B}$ | TRF-0009-02 | 08 | 394,411 | 0 | 79.150 | 0 | 315,261 | SCET 5311: CARVER COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | CARVER COUNTY | Transit | Ti |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-3703-02 | OB | 219,184 | 0 | 38,673 | 0 | 180,511 | SECT 5311: HASTINGS TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | HASTINGS | Transit | T1 |
| 2002 |  | BB | TRF-0051-02 | OB | 595,898 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 520,898 | SECT 5311: SCOTT COUNTY TRANSIT OPERATING ASSISTANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCOTT } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | T1 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total 5 | Fed $\$$ | Demo \$ | State 5 | Olher \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 10 | 02-610-10 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 10(BIRCH ST) AT TH 49(HODGSON RD)SIGNAL INSTALLATION, ADD LEFT TURN LANE | ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 35 | 02-635-09 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | REALIGN CSAH 35 AT TH 10 AND INSTALL SIGNAL AT PLEASANT VIEW DRIVE | AnOKA CO | Manage | $\overline{5}$ |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 78 | 02-678-11 | RC | 2,700,000 | 2,160,000 | 0 | 0 | 540,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 78(HANSON BLVD) FROM COON RAPIDS BLVO TO ROBINSON DRIVE | ANOKA CO | Replace | $\overline{\text { A05 }}$ |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 7 | 02-607-17 | SH | 364,000 | 291,200 | 0 | 0 | 72,800 | 157TH TO 159TH IN ANDOVER-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSÄ 9 | 02-609-11 | SH | 170,000 | 136,000 | 0 | 0 | 34,000 | AT CSAH 20-TRAFFFIC SIGNAL REVISION \& LANE ADDITION | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 11 | 02-611-28 | SH | 435,000 | 348,000 | 0 | 0 | 87,000 | CSAH 11 ÄT EGRET BLVD-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& MINOR CAPACITY REVISIONS | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 78 | 02-678-13 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | AT CO RD 18-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | ANOKA COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | BIKENALLK | 106.090.02 | BT | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | CONSTRUCT BIKEWAYNUALKWAY ON CSAH 32 FROM TH 65 TO $1-35 W$ | blaine | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2001 |  | CITY | 107.399.26 | $\overline{R C}$ | 6,900,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,400,000 | 79TH/80TH ST OVER I-35W-CONSTRUCTT BRIDGE | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | $\bar{A} 05$ |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 107-399-25 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 | 0 | 0 | 780,000 | ONE E9TH ST FROM CEDAR TO 24TH AVE-GRAD. SURF, SIGNALS, ETC | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | A05 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 1 | 107-442-03 | SH | 199,000 | 159,200 | 0 | 0 | 39,800 | AT OLD CEDAR AVENUE-SEPARATE RIGHT TURN LANE IN NE CORNER | BLOOMINGTON | Manage | 52 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 109.020-08 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | BROOKLYN BLVD STREETSCAPE AMENITIES PROJECT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BROOKLYN } \\ & \text { CENTER } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 110-090-01 | EN | 634,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 134,000 | WEST RIVER ROAD CORRIDOR ENHANCEMENTS. 73RD AVE TO TH 252 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BROOKLYN } \\ & \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 10 | 10-610-29 | $\overline{B R}$ | 715,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 315,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CSAH } 10 \text { OVER LUCE LINE TRAIL-REPLACE BR } \\ & 5883 \end{aligned}$ | CARVER COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 23 | 19-623-19 | $\overline{\mathrm{RC}}$ | 5,375,000 | 4,300,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,075,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 23 FROM CSAHH 9 TO CSAH 70 | dákota có | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | CR 46 | 19-596.01 | RC | 5,900,000 | 4,720,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,180,000 | RECONSTRUCT CR 46 FROM CSAH 31 TO TH 52 | dAKOtá Co | Replace | $\overline{\text { A05 }}$ |
| 2002 |  | EN | 19-090-01 | EN | 750,000 | 600,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | NORTH URBAN REGIONAL TRAIL-THOMPSON KOPOSIA SEGMENT | DAKOTA COUNTY | Other | 09 |
| 2002 |  | EN | 19-090.02 | EN | 916,924 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 216,924 | BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL EXTENSION | DAKOTÁ COUNTY | Other | 09 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 98-080.01 | BR | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | ON MINNETONKA BLVD BETWEEN VINEHILL RO \& COTTAGEWOOD RD-REPLACE BR 90610(CARSONS BAY BR) | DEEPHAVEN | Replace | S19 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Pr | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | EN | 92-090-14 | EN | 800,975 | 640,780 | 0 | 0 | 160,195 | BLOOMINGTON FERRY BRIDGE TO SHAKOPEEMINNESOTA VALLEY TRAIL | DNR | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 31 | 195-020-02 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | DUCKWOOD DR TO YANKEE DOODLE RD-ADD THRU LANE, DUAL LEFT TURN LANE \& REVISE SIGNALS | EAGAN | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | EN | 216.080-01 | EN | 960.928 | 688,742 | 0 | 0 | 272,186 | COMPLETION OF EXCELSIOR STREETCAR LINE | EXCELSIOR | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | EN | 130-080-02 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | HÁSTINGS MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION CENTER | HASTINGS | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 27-612-08 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 0. | 80,000 | CLOQUET ISLAND SCENIC OVERLOOK | HENNEPIN CO | Oiner | 09 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-31 | SH | 94,000 | 75,200 | 0 | 0 | 18,800 | CSAH 1 AT CSAH 17.SIGNAL REVISION \& RIGHT TURN LANE | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-32 | SH | 415,000 | 332,000 | 0 | 0 | 83,000 | CSAH 1 AT CSAH 34-ADD DUAL LEFT TURN LANES \& REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 35 | 27-635-18 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE) AT 90TH STT-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 61 | 27-661-28 | RC | 4,800,000 | 3,840,000 | 0 | 0 | 960,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH } 61 \text { FROM CSAH } \\ & \text { 10 TO 1-94 } \end{aligned}$ | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 66 | 27-666-14 | BR | 1,100,000 | 880,000 | 0 | 0 | 220,000 | GOLDEN VALLEY RD OVER BN RRRECONSTRUCT BR 90604 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 152 | 27-752-07 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | HENNEPIN CSAH 152 FROM 64 TH AVE TO 71 ST AVEN - RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 152 | 27.752-09 | BR | 825,000 | 660,000 | 0 | 0 | 165,000 | WASH AVE OVER BN. BR 27167 (REPL BR 6992) \& APPRS, | MENNEPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 116 | 27-716-03 | BR | 1,250,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | CSAH 116 OVER CROW RIVER-REPLACE BR 6273 | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-27 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 | 0 | 0 | 780,000 | FROM TH 169(CSAH 18) TO TH 212RECONSTRUCT, BIKE TRAIL, ETC | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | B-00 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 19 | 27-619-17 | RC | 4,980,000 | 3,984,000 | 0 | 0 | 996,000 | FROM TH 55 TO CORD 117-RECONSTRUCTION | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | CSÄH 33 | 27-633-01 | BR | 850,000 | 680,000 | 0 | 0 | 170,000 | PARK AVENUE OVER SOO LINE-REPLACE 8R 90491 | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 81 | 27-681-10 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | AT CO RD 49 -INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-09 | TM | 106,000 | 84,200 | 0 | 0 | 21.800 | 1.494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-494 CORR } \\ & \text { COMM } \end{aligned}$ | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-10 | TM | 109,625 | 87,700 | 0 | 0 | 21,925 | $1-494$ TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORR COMM | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2001 |  | CMAQ | CM-12-97 | TM | 120,000 | 96,000 | 0 | 0 | 24,000 | 1-494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | $1-494$ CORRIDOR COMM | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | CM-12-97A | TM | 120,000 | 96,000 | 0 | 0 | 24,000 | 1.494 TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORRIDOR COMM | Manage | AQ1 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Descriplion | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | CSAM 130 | 189-020-06 | RC | 2,800,000 | 2,240,000 | 0 | 0 | 560,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 130 FROM HEMLOCK LANE TO TH 169 | MAPLE GROVE | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 90-071-01 | TR | 3,075,000 | 1,100,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,975,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION/REORGANIZATION | МСто | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 90.071 .01 A | TR | 3,550,000 | 1,480,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,070,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION | Мсто | Transit | T1 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 90.070-08 | TM | 1,625,000 | 1,300,000 | 0 | 0 | 325.000 | REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION DEMANO MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | MET COUNCIL | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-11 | TM | 1,875,000 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 375,000 | REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | MET COUNCIL | Manage | $\overline{A Q 1}$ |
| 2001 |  | CMAQ | 90.070-15 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM | MET COUNCIL | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-15A | TM | 2,093,750 | 1,675.000 | 0 | 0 | 418,750 | TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND COMMUTER ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM | MET COUNCIL | Manage | $\overline{\text { AQ1 }}$ |
| 1999 |  | BB | 90-080-01 | TR | 3,000,000 | 2,40,000 | 0 | 0 | 600,000 | HENNEPIN/LAGOON TRANSIT HUB | METRO TRANSIT | Transit | E6 |
| 2001 |  | BB | 90-080-08 | TR | 6,875,000 | 5.500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,375,000 | METRO TRANSIT PURCHASE OF 26 40-FOOT BUSES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-14 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 400.000 | EMPLOYER FARE MATCH INCENTIVE PROGRAMMMETRO TRANSIT | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { METRON } \\ \text { TRANSIT } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Manage | AQ1 |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-13 | TM | 4,216.014 | 3,372,811 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 843.203 | I-35W NORTH CORRIIDOR-TRANSIT SERVICE EXPANSION PLAN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Manage | T1 |
| 1999 |  | xX | 90-080-05 | TR | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 | EXPAND THE FOLEY PARKJRIDE FACILITY IN COON RAPIDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | E6 |
| 2000 |  | 1-394 | 90-080-06 | TR | 6.875,000 | 5.500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,375,000 | 1-394/CR 73 JOINT USE PARKK AND RIDE EXPANSION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO } \\ & \text { TRANSIT } \end{aligned}$ | Transit | E6 |
| 1999 |  | BIKENVALK | 141-090-04 | Br | 1,382.700 | 1,106,160 | 0 | 0 | 276,540 | BASSETTS CREEK TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2000 |  | BIKEMALK | 141.090-07 | BT | 956,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 256,000 | DINKYTOWN BIKEWAY CONNECTION | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2000 |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-09 | BT | 1,482.400 | 1,185,920 | 0 | 0 | 296,840 | MIDTOWN GREENWAY-PHASE II | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2001 |  | CITY | 141-080-25 | BR | 2,464,000 | 1,339,000 | 0 | 0 | \$.125,000 | CEDAR LAKE PARKWAY OVER BN RR \& CANAL- REPLACE BR 90470 | MINNEAPOLIS | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 141-165-15 | BR | 1,855,000 | 805,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,050,000 | CHICAGO AVE OVER HCRRA RR-REPLACE BR 92349 | MINNEAPOLIS | Replace | 519 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-11 | TM | 248,750 | 199.000 | 0 | 0 | 49.750 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 141.070-12 | TM | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 0 | 70,000 | VARIABLE MESSAGE SIGNS IN DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-13 | TM | 890.500 | 562,600 | 0 | 0 | 327,900 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS ON NICOLLET AVE AND LAKE ST | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | 57 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-10 | TM | 1,072,000 | 680.600 | 0 | 0 | 391,400 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM ON CHICAGO AVE \& CENTRAL AVE | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | s7 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 141.070-14 | TM | 266,000 | 212,750 | 0 | 0 | 53,250 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | AQI |
| 2001 |  | CMAQ | 141.070.14A | TM | 310,000 | 232,000 | 0 | 0 | 78,000 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | $\overline{A Q 1}$ |
| 2002 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-14B | TM | 325,000 | 244,000 | 0 | 0 | 81.000 | DOWNTOWN MINNEAPOLIS TMO | MINNEAPOLİS | Manage | $\overline{A Q 1}$ |
| 2000 |  | EN | 141-080-22 | EN | 725,000 | 580,000 | 0 | 0 | 145.000 | MAIN ST \& 6TH AVE SURFACE TREATMENT | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |


| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | Demo \$ | Slate \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | EN | 91-090.01 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | STONE ARCH BRIDGE TO BRIDGE 9.WEST RIVER PARKWAY TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 2001 |  | EN | 91-090.13 | EN | 325,000 | 260,000 | 0 | 0 | 65,000 | FRANKLIN AVE TO EMERALD ST̈-EAST RIVER PARKWAY BIKE TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 2002 |  | EN | 91-090-14 | EN | 450,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 | WEST RIVER PARKWAY NEAR THE WASHINGTON AVE BRIDGE-RIVERWALL CONSTRUCTION | MINNEAPÓLİS | Other | NC |
| 2002 |  | EN | 91-090-15 | EN | 615,000 | 492,000 | 0 | 0 | 123,000 | THEODORE WIRTH PARK BIKE TRAIL-REPAVING | MINNEAPOULIS | Other | 09 |
| 2002 |  | PED/BIKE | 141-090-13 | BT | 1,112,200 | 889,760 | 0 | 0 | 222,440 | FROM HIAWATHA TO W RIVER RD.MIDTOWN GREENWAY TRAIL(PHASE III) | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2002 |  | PED/BIKE | 141.090-14 | BT | 1,369,000 | 4,093,200 | 0 | 0 | 273,800 | LORING PARK BICYCLE/PED CONNECTION FOR UPTOWN TO DOWNTOWN | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 91-090-03 | EN | 875,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 175,000 | MINNEHAHA PKWY TRAIL FROM LAKE HARRIET TO MINNEHAHA PARK | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MINNEAPOLIS } \\ & \text { PARKS } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 94.080-01 | EN | 102,000 | 81,600 | 0 | 0 | 20,400 | MARINE MILL TRAILS \& RUİN STABALIZATIÓN | MN HISTORIC SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 94-080.02 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | SIBLEY HISTORIC SITE-BLDG REHAB \& ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORK | MN HISTORIC SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 90.080 .07 | EN | 240,000 | 192,000 | 0 | 0 | 48,000 | Rrail pässenger car restoration | MN TRANS MUSEUM | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 91-080.03 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | JACKSON ST ROUNOHOUSE RESTORATION | MN TRANS MUSEUM | Other | NC |
| 2001 |  | $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ | 02.00128 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | SUNFISHं LAKE RD AT BNSF RRIN RAMMSEYINSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 02-00129 | $\overline{S R}$ | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | BUNKER LAKE RD AT BNSF RRIN ANDOVERINSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 02-00130 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | 206 TH AVE NW AT BNS F RR IN OAK GROVEINSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 19-00132 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | ĀSH ST AT CP RAIL IN FARMIINGTON-INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDEOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00234 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | G3RD AVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARKTRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00235 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | JEFFERSON HWY Át BNSF RAILROAD IN OSSEOTRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00236 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 77TH AVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARK. TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00237 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | BASS LAKE ROAD AT BNSF RR IN CRYSTALTRAFFIC SIGNAL. INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00238 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 93RD AVE AT BNSF RR IN MAPLE GROVETRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | Si |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00239 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | ZACHARY LANE AT BNSF RR IN MAPLE GROVE. TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNOOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27.00240 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | STUBES BAY RO AT BNSF RRIN ORONO-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00241 | SR | 75,000 |  | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | BROADWAY AVE AT BNS F RR IN BROOKLYN PARK-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Pri | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal $\$$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | Slate \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00242 | SR | 75,000 | 60.000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 73RD AVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARKTRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00243 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | COUNTY ROAD 90 AT BNSF RR IN INDEPENDENCE-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27-00244 | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | W 98TH ST AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGTON-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | $\bar{R}$ | 27-00245 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | PINTO DRIVE AT CP RAILROAD IN MEDINA-ADD GATES TO EXISTING SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 27.00246 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | GREENHAVEN DRIVE AT BNSF RR IN BROOKLYN PARK-NEW SIGNALS \& INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00177 | SR | 125,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | OWASSO BLVD AT CP RR IN SHÖREVIEW-NEW SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00178 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | COUNTY ROAD F AT CP RR IN VADNAIS HEIGHTSINSTALL CANTILEVER SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00179 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | DIVISION AVE AT CP RR IN WHITE BEAR LAKEINSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 62-00180 | SR | 125,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | little canada rd at cp rr in little cannada. INSTALL NEW SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | $8{ }^{82-00122}$ | SR | 225,000 | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 45,000 | MANNING TRAIL AT WC RR IN MAY TWP-INSTALL SIGNALS, GATES, HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2001 |  | RR | 82-00123 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | MANNING TRAIL AT WC RR IN MAY TOWNSHIPINSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 02.00131 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | WARD LAKE DR AT BNSF RR IN ANDOVERWSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | $\overline{R R}$ | 19.00123 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | WESCOTT RD AT CP RR IN EAGAN-INSTALL SIGNALS \& SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 19-00129 | SR | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | E 117TH ST AT UP RR IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS. INSTALL CANTILEVERS \& RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 19.00130 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | E 66 TH ST AT UP RR IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS. INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 19-00133 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | NICOLS ROAD AT UP RR IN EAGAN-ADD GATES TO EXISTING SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | 51 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00232 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | PENN AVE AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGTON-INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00247 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | TAMMARACK RO ÄT CP RR IN MEDINA-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00248 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PIONEER TRAIL AT CP RR IN MEDINA-INSTALL } \\ & \text { SIGNALS \& GATES } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | S 1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00249 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | N SHORE DRIVE AT CPRRIN GREENFIELDINSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00250 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | VALLEEY RD AT BNSF RR IN INDEPENDENCEINSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00251 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | PEONY LANE AT CP RR IN PLYMOUTH-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00252 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | HOLLY LANE N AT CP RR IN PLYMOUTH-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00253 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | E BUSH LAKE RD AT CP RR IN BLOOMINGTON. INSTALLL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S 1 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Pij Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demos | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00254 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | WINNETKA AVE AT UP RR IN GOLDEN VALLEY. SIGNAL MODERNIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 27-00255 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | N SHORE DRIVE AT CP RR IN GREENFIELO. INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 62-00174 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | TRANSFER RD AT MC RR IN ST PAUL-INSTALL HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2002 |  | RR | 62-00181 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | BIRCH LAKE BLVD AT CP RR IN NORTH OAKS. INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S1 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 145-090-01 | EN | 638,000 | 497,640 | 0 | 0 | 140,360 | LOST LAKE MULTI-MODAL TRANSIT FACILITY | MOUND | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 179-090-02 | EN | 493.075 | 394,460 | 0 | 0 | 98,615 | BURNSUVILE TRANSIT BIKEWAY | MVTA | Other | 09 |
| 2002 |  | TH36 | 151-090-01 | EN | 875,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 175,000 | OVER TH 36 BETWEEN 3RD ST AND MARGARETPEDESTRIAN BRIDGE | NO STPAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 185-090-01 | EN | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 100.000 | HADLEY AVE, 10 TH ST, 50 TH ST, STILLWATER BLVD.BIKE TRAILS | OAKDALE | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 155-020-07 | EN | 359,000 | 269,250 | 0 | 0 | 89.750 | 1-494/CO RD 9 PED/BIKE BRIDGE | PLYMOUTH | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 199.010.03 | RC | 2,850,000 | 2,280,000 | 0 | 0 | 570,000 | FROM 142NO TO I53RD IN RAMSEY-3-LANE SECTION, SIGNAL, TRAIL,ETC | RAMSEY | Replace | E1 |
| 2000 |  | $\overline{C R B}$ | 62-625-22 | SC | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | ON CO RD B FROM HAMLINE AVE TO DALE ST. GEOMETRIC \& SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS | RAMSEYCO | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 62-090.01 | EN | 450,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL- JOHNSON PKWY TO FROST AVE | RAMSEYCO | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 44 | 62-644-16 | BR | 2,295,000 | 804,000 | 0 | 0 | 1.491,000 | SIIVER LAKE ROAD(CSAH 44) OVER SOO LINE RR-REPLACE BR 6631 | RAMSEY CO | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 60 | 62.660-03 | BR | 306,000 | 169.000 | 0 | 0 | 137,000 | ON ARCADE ST BETWEEN TH 36 \& KELLER PKWY-REPLACE BR 90413 | RAMSEY COMMAPLEWO OD | Replace | Si9 |
| 2001 |  | CR C | 62-623-41 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | FROM SNELLING AVE TO OXFORD STTRECONSTRUCTION | RAMSEY COUNTY | Replace | E1 |
| 2002 |  | CR C | 62-623-40 | RC | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | i-35W TO SNELLING AVE-RECONSTRUCT, ADD TURN LANES, INTERCONNECTED SIGNALS. ETC | RAMSEY COUNTY | Replace | E1 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 44 | 62-644-21 | SH | 445,440 | 356,352 | 0 | 0 | 89,088 | AT 14 TH ST IN NEW BRIGHTON-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISION \& CHANNELIZATION | RAMSEY COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 46 | 62-646-15 | $\overline{B R}$ | 770,000 | 344.000 | 0 | 0 | 426,000 | ON CLEVELAND AVE BETWEEN CORD D \& CORD E2-REPLACE BR 92251 OVER CP RAIL | RAMSEY COUNTY | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 96 | 91-090.08 | EN | 94,000 | 75,200 | 0 | 0 | 18,800 | BRAMBLEWOOOD TO CENTERVILLE RD-BIKEIPED TRAIL | RAMSEY COUNTY | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | CSAH 96 | 91-090.09 | EN | 135,000 | 108,000 | 0 | 0 | 27.000 | RICE ST TO MCMENEMY-BIKE/PED TRAIL | RAMSEY COUNTY | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 96 | 91-090-10 | EN | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | TH 10 TO LEXINGTON AVE-BIKE/PED TRAL | RAMSEY COUNTY | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 42/46 | 62-642.03 | BR | 10,000,000 | 8,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,000,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FORD PKWY OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REP BR } \\ & 3575 \end{aligned}$ | RAMSEYMENN EPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | EN | 160-020-13 | EN | 1,360,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 660,000 | LARPENTEUR AVENUE STREETSCAPE | ROSEVILLE | Other | 09 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | PED/BIKE | 160-090-05 | BT | 791,000 | 632.800 | 0 | O | 158,200 | WATERWORKS/DALE STREET TRAILS IN ROSEVILLE | ROSEVILLE | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 9 | 70-609-07 | BR | 2,130,000 | 1.344,000 | 0 | 0 | 786,000 | CSAH 9 SO OF THE MINNESOTA RIVER TO $0 . \overline{8} \mathrm{MI}$ NO OF THE MINNESOTA RIVER-REPLACE BR 5364 | SCOTT CO | Replace | 519 |
| 1999 |  | CR 63 | 70-598.02 | BR | 150,000 | 120.000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | REPL BR L-3046 OVER SAND CREEK, 1 MI N OF JORDAN | SCOTT CO | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | CSAH 16 | 70.616-20 | BR | 550,000 | 440,000 | 0 | 0 | 110,000 | OVER CREDIT RIVER IN SAVAGE-REPLACE BR 3464(BOX CULVERT) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCOTT } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 167-090-05 | EN | 332,900 | 266,320 | 0 | 0 | 66.580 | TH 49 TRAIL-CO RDI 1 TO CSAH 96 | SHOREVIEW | Oiher | 09 |
| 2002 |  | TH 49 | 167.090-06 | EN | 168,000 | 134.400 | 0 | 0 | 33,600 | CORDJTO CO RDIIN SHOREVIEW-CONSTRUCT TRAIL | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-12 | TM | 1,353,766 | 1,083,013 | 0 | 0 | 270,753 | SMTC REVERSE-COMMUTE MANAGEMENT TEAM IMPLEMENTATION | SMTC | Manage | Ti |
| 2002 |  | EN | 168-090-03 | EN | 881,660 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 181,660 | HAROMAN TO CONCORD ST. BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN TRAIL | SO STPAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 163-090-01 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | SOUTHWEST REGIONAL TRAIL-CEDAR LAKE PARK TO HOPKINS TRAILHEAD OF HENN PARKS REG TRAIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STLOUIS } \\ & \hline \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 3 | 163-020-31 | 81 | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | CSAH 3(EXCELSIOR BLVD) OVER TH 100-BRIDGE WIDENING, TURN LANES, SIDEWALK, ETC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ST LOUIS } \\ & \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | Preserve | E1 |
| 2000 |  | BIKE.WALK | 164-090-05 | ET | 1,880,000 | 1,504,000 | 0 | 0 | 376,000 | CONSTRUCT BICYCLE/PED BR OVER BN RR N OF ENERGY PARK | St PAUL | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 164-080-09 | TR | 11,000,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 5,500,000 | WEST END AREA OF DOWNTOWN ST PAUL. MULTI-MODAL HUB | St Paul | Transit | E6 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164-080-07 | EN | 152,500 | 122,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,500 | JACKSON STREET ROUNDHOUSE | St Paul | Oiher | NC |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164-080-08 | EN | 680,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 180,000 | COMO PARK STREETCAR STATION RENOVATION | ST PAUL | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164.090-03 | EN | 620,000 | 496,000 | 0 | 0 | 124,000 | COMO AVENIUE BIKEWAY PROJECT | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 164-090-04 | EN | 420,000 | 336,000 | 0 | 0 | 84,000 | MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRAIL-WARNER RD SEGMENT | St PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 2001 |  | EN | 164.090-07 | EN | 800,000 | 640,000 | 0 | 0 | 160,000 | WARNER RD TO 5TH ST-SİBLEY STREET PEDESTRIAN WAY | St paúl | Other | 09 |
| 2001 |  | EN | 164-158-19 | EN | 1,400,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 700,000 | DOWNTOWN ST PAUL STREET RECONSTRUCTION-PHASE 4 | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 2001 |  | PED/BIKE | 164-090-06 | BT | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 500,000 | FROM SIBLEY TO RANDOLPH-EAST BANK MISSISSIPPI RIVER REGIONAL TRAIL | ST PAUUL | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2002 |  | TH 5 | 164-010-54 | EN | 1,200,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 | 500,000 | FORT SNELLING STATE PARK TO MUNSTER STLANDSCAPE, LIGHTING, ETC | ST PAUL. | Other | 09 |
| 2002 |  | MSAS 128 | 164-128-06 | BR | 1,800,000 | 1,280,000 | 0 | 0 | 520,000 | EARL STREET OVER 7TH ST \& CNW RR-REPLACE BR 90420 | St pál | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | EN | 91-090-02 | EN | 575,000 | 460,000 | 0 | 0 | 115,000 | TH 7 OVERPASS ON THE SOUTHWEST LRT REGIONAL TRAIL | SUB HENN REG PARK OIST | Other | 09 |
| 2001 |  | BB | 90-080-09 | TR | 1,790,000 | 1,432,000 | 0 | 0 | 358,000 | SOUTHWEST METRO TRANSIT PURCHASE OF 4 ARTICULATED TRANSIT VEHICLES | SWMT | Transit | T10 |
| 1999 |  | EN | 209-090-01 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 | CENTERVILLE ROAD TRAIL-CSAH 96 TO VADNAIS BLVD | VADNAIS HEIGHTS | Other | 09 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State S | Other $\$$ | Descriplion | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 19 | 82-619-11 | RC | 3,500,000 | 2,800,000 | 0 | 0 | 700,000 | RECONSTRUCT \& WIDEN CSAH 19 FROM HUDSON RD TO CSAH 16 | WASHINGTON CO | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | CSAH 21 | 82-621-21 | BR | 325,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 205,000 | CSAH 21 OVER TROUT BROOK-REPLACE BR $46 \overline{11}$ | WASHINGTON CO | Replace | 519 |
| 2002 |  | CR | 82-613-07 | MC | 2.600,000 | 2.080,000 | 0 | 0 | 520,000 | ON HINTON/TOWER DRIVE FROM 65TH IN COTTAGE GROVE TO MILITARY RD IN WOODBURY-4-LANE RDWY.TRAIL,SIGNALS,ETC | WASHINGTON COUNTY | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 |  | CSAH 21 | 82-621-23 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | ON CSAH 21 AT DODGE'S CORNER-CURVE FLATTENing | WASHINGTON COUNTY | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | BIKENALK | 174-090-01 | BT | 775,000 | 620,000 | 0 | 0 | 155,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Trails | AQ2 |
| 2002 |  | CITY | 177-102-05 | MC | 4,400,000 | 3,520,000 | 0 | 0 | 880,000 | TAMARACK RDI INTERCHANGE WITH I-494 IN WOODBURY | WOODBURY | Expand | A05 |
| 1999 |  | TH 10 | 0215-50 | SC | 255,000 | 0 | 0 | 255,000 |  | AT HANSON BLVD IN COON RÁPIDS-RAMP \& SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANOKA } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35 | 1980.62 | AM | 61,000 | 0 | 0 | 61,000 |  | NEAR BUCK HILL IN BURNSVILLE-NURP POND | BURNSVILLE | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 41 | 1008-56 | AM | 108,000 | 0 | 0 | 108,000 |  | AT TH 212 IN CHASKA-CHANNELIZATION \& SIGNAL REVISION | CHASKA | Other | E1 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206-50 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 500,000 |  | 142ND ST TO CSAH 5 IN RAMSE Y-WIDENING, TURN LANES, SIGNAL. | CITY OF RAMSEY | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH3 | 1921-67 | $\bar{A} M$ | 216,000 | 0 | 0 | 216.000 |  | AT CO RD 46 IN DAKOTA COUNTY-REALIGNMENT OF ROADWAY OF ROADWAY | DAKOTA COUNTY | Other | E4 |
| 1999 |  | TH 52 | 1928-45 | AM | 150.000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 |  | AT CSAH 14(SOUTHVIEW BLVD)-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | DAKOTA COUNTY | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 13 | 1901-137 | AM | 375,000 | 0 |  | 375,000 |  | AT BLACKHAWK RD IN EAGAN-WIDENING,TURN LANE, SIGNAL | EAGAN | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8825-27 | AM | 167,000 | 0 | 0 | 167,000 |  | AT 11 LOCATIONS IN EDEN PRAIRIE-EVP INSTALLATION | EDEN PRAIRIE | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-33 | AM | 162,000 | 0 | 0 | 162,000 |  | AT PLYMOUTH AVE IN GOLDEN VALLEYFRONTAGE ROAD WIDENING | GOLDEN \|vaLLEY | Other | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 2722.57 | AM | 540,000 | 0 | 0 | 540,000 |  | NEAR CSAH 50 IN GREENFIELD-NEW FRONTAGE ROAD | GREENFIELD | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH65 | 0208-108 | AM | 81,000 | 0 | 0 | 81,000 |  | AT BUNKER LAKE RD IN HAM LAKE-FRONTAGE ROAD REALIGNMENT | HAM LAKE | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-109 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 92,000 | 0 | 0 | 92,000 |  | AT 133RD AVE IN HAM LAKE-FRONTAGE ROAD | HAM LAKE | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 2722-56 | AM | 60.000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 |  | AT CSÄH 115-CHANNELIZATION AND SIGNAL MODIFICATION | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Other | E. 1 |
| 2000 |  | TH 55 | 2722-58 | $\overline{A M}$ | 335,000 | 0 |  | 335,000 |  | AT ARROWHEAD DRIVE IN MEDINA-FRONTAGE ROAD | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Other | E1 |
| 2000 |  | TH 52 | 1907-61 | AM | 540,000 |  |  | 540,000 |  | AT 117TH ST E IN INVER GROVE HTS-NEW FRONTAGE ROAD | inver grove HEIGHTS | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH21 | 7002-34 | AM | 27,000 |  |  | 27,000 |  | AT TH 282 IN JORDAN-EVP INSTALLATION | JORDAN | Other | E2 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | Demo $\$$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | TH 12 | 2713-78 | AM | 162,000 | 0 | 0 | 162,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 83 IN MAPLE PLAIN-CHANNELIZATION \& ACCESS CLOSURES | MAPLE PLAIN | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 7 | 2706-197 | AM | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | IN MINNETONKA-FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION | MINNETONKA | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-26 | $\bar{A} M$ | 54,000 | 0 | 0 | 54,000 | 0 | AT BREN RD IN MINNETONKA ON SB EXIT RAMP. RIGHT TURN LANE | MINNETONKA | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | CMAQ | 8809-180 | TM | 518,750. | 415,000 | 0 | 103.750 | 0 | CONSTRUCTTION/MAINTENANCE/SPECIAL EVENT ACTIVITY INFO SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 2000 |  | CMAQ | 8809-181 | TM | 256,250 | 205,000 | 0 | 51,250 | 0 | CONSTRUĆCTON/MAINTENANCE/SPECIAL EVENT ACTIVITY INFO SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1999 |  | ITS | AUSCI-2 (99) | TM | 184,100 | 153,100 | 0 | 6,250 | 24,750 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTROL.PHASE 2 | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | ITS | CVOPROJ (c | TM | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1999 |  | ITS | ITS (99) | TM | 1,878,750 | 0 | OH | 1,878,750 | 0 | NEW ITS PROJECTS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | ITS | MANAGE (99 | TM | 1,650,000 | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,400,000 | MANAGEMENT 1999 | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | ITS | MODEL DEP | TM | 16,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,500,000 | 0 | MODEL DEPLOYMENT - ORION PROJECTS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | 1 TS | TRILOGY (9) | TM | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | TRILOGY | MNDOT | Manage | 01 |
| 2000 |  | ITS | ITS (00) | TM | 2,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | NEW ITS PROJECTS | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 2000 |  | ITS | MD OPS/MA | TM | 1,800,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,800,000 | 0 | MODEL DEPLOYMENT OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2001 |  | ITS | DIST-M-ITS-1 | TM | 1,750,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,750,000 | 0 | NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00211 | SR | 85,000 | 68,000 | 0 | 0 | 17,000 | CSÄ 52,HENNEPIN AVE,MPLS-INSTALL RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00216 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | MSAS 261, E 42ND ST, MPLS-UPGRADE SIGNALS AND INSTALL RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00217 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | CSAH 121,FERNBROOK LANE, MAPLE GROVEINSTALL SIGNALS \& RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00219 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | CSAH 9,42ND AVE N,ROBBINSDALE-UPGRADE SIGNALS \& INSTALL RUBBER SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00220 | SR | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 | HIAWATHA AVE CORRIDOR,MPLS(PHASE 1)CORRIDOR SAFETY AT SOO LINE CROSSINGS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00221 | SR | 50,000 | 40.000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | VALLEY VIEW RD, EDEN PRAIRIE.UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 27-00225 | SR | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | HIAWATHA CORRIDOR IN MPLS, E 32ND \& 33RD STS-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& NEW HIGH TYPE SURFACE | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 62-00170 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | CSAH 23,CO RD C.ROSEVILLE-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& $12^{\prime \prime}$ LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 62-00171 | SR | 50,000 | 40.000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | CSAH 19,CO RD D,ROSEVILLE-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& 12" LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 10-00113 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 33, MORSE ST IN NORWOŌ-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 10-00114 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | MUN 4, UNION ST IN NORWOOD-INSTALL NEW SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | 58 |
| 2000 |  | RR | 10-00115 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | MUN 18, FAXON RD IN NORWOOD-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number


TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Tolal \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 1004-24 | RS | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | CO RD 92 TO TH 41-SHOULDER IMPROVEMENTS, TURN LANES, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | E1 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 2704-6714 | 81 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | OVER SIX MILE CREEK IN ST BONIFACIUS-WIDEN \& REDECK BR 6714 | MNDOT | Preserve | S 19 |
| 2000 |  | TH7 | 2706-188 | RC | 1,850,000 | 1,280,000 | $\overline{0}$ | 570.000 |  | RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT CO RD 82 \& MILL \& OVERLAY FROM TH 41 TO CHRISTMAS LAKE RD | MNDOT | Replace | E3 |
| 2000 |  | TH7 | 2706-192 | $\overline{\text { SH }}$ | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | AT WATER ST/CHASKA RD-RAISED MEDIAN CONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 2706-195 | RS | 1,925,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,925,000 | 0 | 0.2 KM W OF SHADY OAK RD TO TH $100-\mathrm{MILL}$ \& OVERLAY, MEDIAN BARRIER, BUS STOPS, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | -2706-196 | RS | 820,000 | 0 | 0 | 820,000 | 0 | E OF CHRISTMAS LAKE RD TO TH 101-OVERLAY. GUARDRAIL, MEDIAN BARRIER | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 7 | 2706-5323 | BR | 230,000 | 184,000 | 0 | 46,000 | 0 | OVER RECREATIONAL TRAIL IN EXCELSIOR, REPLACE BR 5323 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 7 | 1003-27 | SH | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 33 IN NORWOOD-LEFT TURN LANES. ETC | MNOOT | Manage | \$2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 10 | 0215-48 | SH | 160,000 | 128,000 | 0 | 32,000 | 0 | AT HANSON BLVD. RAMPS - SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 10 | 8202-24 | MC | 6,600,000 | 5,280,000 | 0 | 1,320,000 | 0 | TH 61 TO THE ST CROIX RIVER -RECONSTRUCT | MNDOT | Expand | E1 |
| 2000 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-23 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000. | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | FROM EGRET BLVD TO THE N JCT TH 47, 10,610LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2000 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-24 | MC | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 70,000 | 0 | FROM N JCT TH 47, 10,610 TO 0.2 MI E OF TH 65LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2000 | 1 | TH 10 | 0214-31 | TM | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-35W TO TH } 169 \text {-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT } \\ & \text { SYSTEM } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| $2000 \mid$ |  | TH 10 | 0215-9715 | ${ }^{\text {B1 }}$ | 130,000 | 0 | 0 | 130,000 | 0 | UNDER 4TH AVE (CSAH 31)-OVERLAY, REPLACE JOINTS \& RAIL ON BR 9715 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH 10 | 0203-80 | RS | 600,000 | 0 | 0 | 600,000 | 0 | TH 47 TO CO RD H-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S 10 |
| 2002 |  | TH 12 | 2713-66 | BR | 106,500 | 85,200 | 0 | 21,300 | 0 | UNDER LUCE LINE TRAIL 4.5 MI W OF TH 494REPLACE BR 4643 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | TH 12 | 2713-75 | MC | 11,000,000 | 8,800,000 | ${ }^{0}$ | 2,200,000 | 0 | LUCE LINE TRAIL TO OLD CRYSTAL BAY RDRELOCATE RR TRACK AND CONSTRUCT BRS AT WILLOW DR \& LUCE LINE TRAIL. | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2002 |  | TH 12 | $\frac{2713-77}{}$ | SC | 415,000 | 0\% | 0 | 415,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 29(TOWNLINE RD) IN MAPLE PLAINCHANNELIZE, SIGNAL, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 13 | 1901-131 | SH | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | CSAH 5 TO LYNN AVENUE-INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 13 | 1901-134 | SH | 220.000 | 176,000 | 0 | 44,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 5 IN BURNSVILLE-SIGNAL REBUILD \& EXTEND WB DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 13 | 7001-79 | SH | 38,000 | 30,400 | 0 | 7,600 | 0 | FISH POINT RD TO CSAH 44-INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 19 | 4003-16 | RS | 1,825,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,825,000 | 0 | TH 13 TO NEW PRAGUE-MILL AND OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S 10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 21 | 7002-33 | RS | 1,860,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,860,000 | 0 | TH 19 TO JORDAN-MILL \& OVERLAY 6 MILES;REPLACE PAVEMENT 2.2 MILES | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 25 | 1007-16 | BR | 320,000 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 320,000 | 0 | OVER STREAM 0.5 MI W OF WATERTOWNREPLACE BR 130 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 25 | 1006-0086 | BI | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 2.0 MI N OF YOUNG AMERICA-REPLACE BOX CULVERT 86 | MNDOT | Preserve | 519 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Pt | Roule | Pif Number | Prg | Tolal $\$$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | 1-35 | 0283-02806 | BI | 505,000 | 0 | 0 | 505,000 |  | UNDER TH 97, WASH CSAH 2, \& TH 8-PAINT BRS 02806, 82801, \& 82815 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35 | 1980-19531A | MC | 606,000 | 0 | 0 | 606,000 |  | AT CORD 46-NEW INTERCHANGE PAYBACK TO DAKOTA COUNTY(DEBT MANAGEMENT) | MNDOT | Expand | NC |
| 2002 |  | $1-35$ | 8280-35 | RB | 1,700,000 | 1,360,000 | 0 | 340,000 |  | ON SOUTHBOUND 1-35-RECONSTRUCT FOREST LAKE REST AREA | MNDOT | Other | S15 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35E | 1982-126 | SC | 80.000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 |  | AT CSAH 26 (LONE OAK RD) IN EAGAN-SIGNAL REVISION \& DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | 1.35E | 6280-9832 | 81 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 |  | UNDER MONTREAL AVE IN ST PAUL-OVERLAY. JOINTS, RAIL REPAIR ON BR 9832 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | 1-35E | 1982-130 | TM | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  | AT PILOT KNOB RD AND AT LONE OAK RD TO NB 1-35E-HOV RAMP METER BYPASSES | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | 1-35E | 6280-62902 | BR | 1,700,000 | 1,360,000 | 0 | 340,000 |  | 1-35E SB UNDER 1 -35E NB OFF RAMP TO WB 1-694- REPLACE BR 9096 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | 1-35E | 6280-9097 | BI | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  | NB OFF RAMP TO I-694 WB-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BR 9097 | MNDOT | Preserve | 519 |
| 2001 |  | 1-35E | 1982-129 | BR | 9,000,000 | 7,200,000 | 0 | 1,800,000 |  | TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE(STAGE 1) | MNDOT | Replace | Ā05 |
| 2002 |  | 1-35E | 1982-129A | BR | 19,000,000 | 15,200.000 | 0 | 3,800,000 |  | TH 13 TO SHEPARD RD-REPLACE MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE(STAGE 2) | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | 1-35W | 0280-49 | RS | 4,800,000 | 3,840,000 | 0 | 960,000 |  | TH 118 TON JCT I-35E-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY. | MNDOT | Preserve | ST19 |
| 1999 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-268 | RC | 8,435,000 | 7,591,500 | 0 | 843,500 |  | TH 494 TO 6 GTH ST.GRADING, SURFACING, ETC 8 \& HOV LANE | MNOOT | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | i-35W | 2782-272 | RC | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | 4OTH ST TO $35 T H$ ST IN MINNEAPOLIS-NOISE WALLS | MNDOT | Replace | 03 |
| 1999 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V11 | RC | 2,215,000 | 1,993,500 | 0 | 221,500 |  | OVER 66TH ST-TEMP WIDEN 8 R 9088 \& REPLACE BR 9088 -BR 27 V 11 | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 1999 |  | I-35W | 2783-9340A | BI | 2,300,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,300,000 |  | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER 1.0 MI NE OF 1.94-PAINT BR 9340 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | 1-35W | 2782-27868 | 81 | 710,000 | 568,000 | 0 | 142,000 |  | UNDER PED BRIDGE, 28TH ST, 26TH ST, \& FRANKLIN AVE-PAINT BRS 27868, 27869, 27870. 27872. | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-266 | $\overline{M C}$ | 21,700,000 | 19,530,000 | 0 | 2,170,000 |  | SOO LINE RAILROAD TO MINNEHAHA CREEK- GRADING, SURFACING, ETC \& HOV LANE | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-267 | MC | 15,800,000 | 14.220.000 | 0 | 1,580,000 |  | 66TH ST TO SOO LINE RAILROAD-GRADING, SURFACING, ETC \& HOV LANE | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 |  | 1-35W | 2782-273 | RS | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 |  | LAKE ST TO WASHINGTON AVE-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1.35W | 2782-27V12 | MC | 1,180,000 | 1,062,000 | 0 | 118,000 |  | NB I-35W TO WB TH 62 OVER I-35W-BR 27V12(REPLACE BR 27930) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V13 | $\overline{M C}$ | 1,100,000 | 990,000 | 0 | 110,000 |  | NB I-35W TO EB TH 62 OVER 66TH ST RAMP-BR 2TV13 | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | i-35W | 2782-27V14 | MC | 2,050,000 | 1,845,000 | 0 | 205,000 |  | EB TH 62 OVER I-35W-BR 27V14(REPLACE BR 27932) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V15 | MC | 1,160,000 | 1,044,000 | 0 | 116,000 |  | EB TH 62 OVER LYNDALE AVE RAMP.BR 27V15 | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V16 | MC | 1,650,000 | 1,485,000 | 0 | 165,000 |  | I-35W OVER LYNOALE AVE-BR 27V16(REPLACE BR 27933) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V17 | MC | 1,105,000 | 994.500 | 0 | 110,500 | 0 | I-35W OVER SOO LINE RAILROAD-BR 27V17(REPLACE BR 27934) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V18 | MC | 325,000 | 292,500 | 0 | 32,500 | 0 | SB I-35WE TO WB TH 62 OVER NICOLLET AVE-BR 27V18 | MiNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V19 | MC | 2,985,000 | 2,686,500 | 0 | 298,500 | 0 | WB TH 62 OVER I-35W \& NICOLLET AVE-BR 27V19(REPLACE BR 27937) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | $1-35 \mathrm{~W}$ | 2782-27V20 | MC | 2,045,000 | 1,840,500 | 0 | 204,500 | 0 | 1-35W OVER NICOLLET AVE-BR 27V20(REPLACE BR 27935 \& 27939) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V21 | MC | 6,950,000 | 6,255,000 | 0 | 695,000 | 0 | SB I-35W TO EB TH 62 OVERI-35W-BR 27V21(REPLACE BR 27938) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-27V22 | MC | 1,445,000 | 1,300,500 | 0 | 144,500 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-35W OVER 60TH ST-BR 27V22(REPLACE BR } \\ & 27939 \text { \& 27940) } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1.35W | 2782-27V23 | $\overline{M C}$ | 1,155,000 | 1,039,500 | 0 | 115,500 | 0 | OVER 1.35W AT 58TH ST-PEDESTRIAN BR 27V23(REPLACE BR 9622) | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 | 2 | 1.35 W | 2782-27V24 | MC | 740.000 | 666,000 | 0 | 74,000 | 0 | DIAMOND LAKE RD OVER I-35W-BR 27V24(REPLACE BR 9611) | MNDOT | Expand | $\overline{\text { A05 }}$ |
| 2001 | 2 | 1-35W | 2782-99171 | MC | 210,000 | 189,000 | 0 | 21,000 | 0 | 1-35W OVER 60TH ST-TEMPORARY BR 99171 | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2001 |  | 1-35W | 2783-27849 | BI | 2,410,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,410,000 | 0 | AT 1.94, TH 55, WASHINGTON AVE, ETC-PAINT 9 BRIDGES | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2002 | $\overline{2}$ | 1-35W | 2782-265 | MC | 4,150,000 | 3,735,000 | 0 | 415,000 | 0 | MINNEHAHA CREEK TO 42ND ST-GRAD, SURF, ETC \& INTERIM HOV LANE | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 1999 |  | TH 36 | $6211-78$ | BI | 165,000 | 0 | 0 | 165,000 | 0 | OVER TH 61-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BR 62070 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 36 | 6212-141 | BR | 3,800,000 | 3,040,000 | 0 | 760,000 | 0 | AT DALE ST NTERCHANGE-BR 62073 (WB), 62074(EB):REPLACE BR 6724 \& RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE,SIGNING,LIGHTING,SIGNALS | MNDOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1999 |  | TH36 | 8204.41 | RB | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | AT TH 5-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 36 | 8214-134 | MC | 250,000 | 0 |  | 250,000 |  | AT BEACH RD IN OÁK PARK HEIGHTS-EXCAVATE \& CAP DISPOSAL FACILITY | MNDOT | Expand | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH36 | 8204-48 | SH | 125,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 17 IN LAKE ELMO-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 | $\overline{3}$ | TH 36 | 8214-113 | MC | 6,840,000 | 5,472,000 | 0 | 1,368,000 | 0 | WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER- DEMOLITION, UTILITY RELOCATION, BYPASSES, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2000 | 3 | TH 36 | 8214-125 | BR | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | ST CROIX RIVER BR-WETLAND MITIGATION | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 | 3 | TH 36 | 8214-129 | BR | 620,000 | 496,000 | 0 | 124,000 | 0 | ST CROIX RIVER BRIDGE DECK DRAINAGESTORM WATER POND | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 | 3 | TH 36 | 8217-12 | ER | 48,600,000 | 19,440,000 | 0 | 4,860,000 | 24,300,000 | OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT STILLWATER-BR 82011(REPLACE BR 4654), RIVER SPANS \& EAST ABUTMENT | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2001 |  | TH 36 | 6211.79 | $\bar{R} \mathbf{S}$ | 1,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1694 TO I35E-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 2001 | 3 | TH36 | 8214-114 | MC | 19,660,000 | 12,528,000 | 0 | 3,132,000 | 4,000,000 | FROM WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER GRADING, SURFACING, LIGHTING,SIGNING,LAND SPANS TO BR 82011,ETC | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 2001 | 3 | TH 36 | 8214-122 | BR | 180,000 | 144,000 | 0 | 36.000 | 0 | BRIDGE 82011 OVER ST CROIX RIVERHISTORICAL MITIGATION | MNDOT | Replace | 01 |
| 2002 |  | TH36 | 8214-127 | RB | 230,000 | 0 | 0 | 230,000 | 0 | WASHINGTON AVE TO OSGOOD-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | PII | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State S | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | TH 36 | 8214-128 | RB | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 |  | OSGOOD TO ST CROIX RIVER AND ALONG TH 95 N\& S OF TH 36-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 41 | 1008-51 | RS | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | TH 212 TO TH 5-MILL \& OVERLAY, OVERLAY SHOULDERS | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH41 | 1008-58 | AM | 1,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 |  | AT TH7 IN SHOREWOOD \& CHANHÄS̄SENCHANNELIZATION, WIDENING, TRAFFIC SIGNAL. ETC | MNDOT | Other | E1 |
| 2002 |  | TH41 | 7010-20 | SC | 550.000 | 0 | 0 | 550,000 | 0 | AT TH 169-SIGNAL REVISION, ACCESS CLOSURES, FRONTAGE RD, ETC | MNDOOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 47 | 2726-63 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | UNIV. AVE, ST ANTHONY, SOO LINE AREALANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206-392 | B1 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | OVER FORD BROOK-REPLACE BR 392 WITH BOX CULVERT | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206-393 | BR | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | OVER FORD BROOK 7.9 MI N OF TH 10-REPLACE BR 393 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206-43 | SH | 775,000 | 620,000 | 0 | 155,000 |  | FROM CO RD 116 TO 180TH WAY-LIGHTING, TURN LANE \& BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 47 | 0206.711 | BR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | OVER FORD BROOK, 6.1.MI N OF TH 10-REPLACE BR 711 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 47 | 0205-02017 | $B 1$ | 90.000 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 | 0 | AT 42ND AVE-REPLACE STAIRWAY ON PEDESTRIAN BR 02017 | MNDOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 47 | 0206-6156 | BR | 330,000 | 0 | 0 | 330,000 |  | OVER SEELYE BROOK 13.0 MI N OF TH 10 REPLACE BR 6156 | MNDOT | Replace | 519 |
| 1999 |  | TH 49 | 6214-82 | SC | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | Ȧ SOUTH OWASSO BLVD-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 50 | 1904-19011 | B1 | 900,000 | 0 | 0 | 900,000 | 0 | OVER TH 52 IN HAMPTON-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BR 19011 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH51 | 6216-113 | SH | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 |  | AT CO RD B2 EAST RAMPS-REMOVE FREE RIGHT \& SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH51 | 6216-114 | SC | 285,000 | 0 | 0 | 285,000 | 0 | AT CORD C-NORTHBOUND DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 52 | 1906-9675 | B1 | 650,000 | 0 | 0 | 650,000 |  | NB OVER VERMILLION RIVER \&OVER CO RD 420. 2 MI S OF TH 55-REDECK \& SUPERSTRUCTURE OF BRS $9675,19001, \& 19002$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 52 | 1905-24 | RS | 1,600,000 | 1,280,000 | 0 | 320,000 | 0 | CO RD 86 IN HAMPTON TO TH 50 -MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 1907.60 | RD | 600,000 | 0 |  | 600,000 |  | AT INTERCHANGE WITH TH 3 IN INVER GROVE HEIGHTS-SLOPE CORRECTION | MNDOT | Preserve | S4 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 1909-77 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | AT ARGENTA TRAIL-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 2722-53A | AM | 509,000 | 0 | 0 | 509,000 | 0 | ARROWHEAD DRIVE TO CSAH 116. RECONSTRUCT, WIDEN, ETC | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 2723.106 | Bl | 800,000 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | EB OVER RR 1.4 MIE OF 1-494-REPLACE BR 27013 | MNDOT | Preserve | 519 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-102 | MC | 14,740,000 | 0 | 9,392,000 | 5,348,000 | 0 | HIAWATHA AVE FROM 6OM S OF E 54TH ST TOE 46TH ST-GRADING, SURFACING, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-105A | MC | 6,000,000 | 0 | 5,400,000 | 600,000 |  | 1-94 TO LAKKE ST-RELOCATE CP RAIL YARD | MNOOT | Expand | NC |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-27191 | MC | 9,500,000 | 0 | 7.600,000 | 1,900,000 | 0 | MINNEHAHA PKWY \& PARK OVER TH 55 \& TRANSITWAY-BR 27191 | MNDOOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-27192 | MC | 340,000 | 0 | 272,000 | 68.000 |  | MINNEHAHA PKWY OVER MINNEHAHA CREEK-BR 27192 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-27×03 | MC | 420,000 | 0 | 336,000 | 84,000 |  | TH 55 \& TRANSITWAY OVER MINNEHAHA CREEK- BR $27 \times 03$ | MNDOT | Expand | $\overline{\mathrm{B}-00}$ |
| 1999 | 4 | TH 55 | 2725-27R02 | MC | 2,400,000 | 0 | 1,920,000 | 480,000 | 0 | OVER TH 62-BR 27R02 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 4 | TH55 | 2725-52 | MC | 11,800,000 | 7,360,000 | 2,080,000 | 2,360,000 | 0 | HIÄWATHA AVE FROM TH 62 TO E. 54TH ST. GRADING, SURFACING, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 |  | TH 55 | 2752-5891 | B | 300,000 | 0 |  | 300,000 |  | TH 55 OVER RRE OF TH 100-OVERLAY \& REPLACE JOINTS ON BR 5891 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { S19 }}$ |
| 2000 |  | TH 55 | 2722-53 | AM | 1,481,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,481,000 |  | DEBT MANAGEMENT WITH HENNEPIN COUNTY FOR TH 55 IMPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 | 4 | TH 55 | 2724-108 | MC | 9,000,000 | 7,200,000 | 0 | 1,800,000 |  | NEAR THE METRODOME TO 46TH ST-HIAWATHA TRANSITWAY | MNDOT | Expand | B.00 |
| 2000 |  | TH 55 | 2725-54 | MC | 4,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,000,000 | 0 | ON TH 55 FROM GSA BLDG TO $52 N D$ ST-GRAD. SURF, OVERLAY OF TRANSITWAY | MNOOT | Expand | A05 |
| 1999 |  | TH61 | 6222-130 | SH | 60.000 | 48,000 | 0 | 12,000 | 0 | TH 244 TO CO RD F-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH64 | 6222-131 | SC | 190,000 | 0 | 0 | 95,000 | 95,000 | AT ROSELAWN AVE IN MAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL installation | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 61 | 8205-102 | SC | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | AT TH 95-traffic signal installation | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2000 |  | TH61 | 6221-5514 | BR | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | ARCADE ST OVER C\& 5514 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 61 | 6222-134 | SC | 340,000 | 0 | 0 | 340,000 | 0 | AT CORD J-TURN LANES \& TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2002 |  | TH 61 | 8207-54 | SC | 340,000 | 0 | 0 | 340,000 | 0 | IN FOREST LAKE-ADD 12 TURN LANES | MNDOOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2001 |  | TH 62 | 2774-07 | RS | 600,000 | 0 | 0 | 600,000 | 0 | TH 100 TO I-35W-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | RR | 0207-65 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10.000 |  | TH 65 IN FRIDLEY-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& $12^{n}$ LENSES | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-100 | SH | 680,000 | 544,000 | 0 | 88,000 | 48,000 | AT CONSTANCE AND AT BUNKER LAKE RDSIGNAL REBUILD, CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-104 | RS | 1,385,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,385,000 | 0 | TH 10 TO 153RD AVE NE-MILL \& OVERLAY, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-95 | SC | 650,000 | 0 | 0 | 600,000 | 50,000 | CLOVERLEAF/93RD AVE, SIGNAL REBUILD; AUX LANE: DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 65 | 0208-99 | SH | 520,000 | 416,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 24,000 | AT VIKING BLVD(CO RD 22)-SIGNAL REBUILD \& CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 65 | 0207-67 | SH | 355.000 | 284,000 | 0 | 71,000 |  | AT BIST AVENUE-SIGNAL REBUILO \& GRADE CORRECTION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 65 | 0207-71 | SH | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | AT 51ST STREET IN FRIDLEY-CLOSE MEDIAN | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 65 | 0208-102 | SH | 1,800,000 | 1,440,000 | 0 | 360,000 |  | 89TH AVE TO 93RD AVE IN BLAINE-AUXILIARY LANE;SIGNAL REBUILD W/CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION AT 89TH | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 65 | 0208-107 | SH | 450,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 90,000 |  | AT 117TH ST IN BLAINE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | MNOOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 77 | 1925-36 | TM | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | DIFFELY ROAD \& SB TH 13 TO NB TH 77-HOV RAMP METER BYPASSES | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 77 | 1925-38 | TM | 500,000 | 200,000 |  | 50,000 | 250,000 | 127TH ST TO NB TH 77 \& CLIFF RD TO NB TH 77. HOV RAMP METER BYPASSES | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total 5 | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | 1-94 | 2780-42 | RC | 760,000 | 0 | 0 | 760.000 |  | AT WEAVER LAKE RD IN MAPLE GROVE-EXTEND RAMP | MNDOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1999 |  | 1-94 | 2780-49 | RB | 600,000 | 0 | 0 | 600,000 |  | AT ELM CREEK REST AREA-REHABILITATATE SITE, RECONSTRUCT TO ADA SPECS | MNDOT | Other | S15 |
| 1999 |  | 1-94 | 6282-9452 | B1 | 1,240,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,240,000 |  | FROM PELHAM TO FAIRVIEW IN ST PAUUL-PAINT BRS 9452,9457,62813,62814,62845,62846,62848 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | 1-94 | 8282-88 | SC | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | AT ST CROIX WEIGH STATION-RELOCATE BRAKE TESTING AND CONSTRUCT BUILDING | MNDOT | Manage | E5 |
| 1999 |  | 1-94 | 8282-91 | RB | 400,000 | 0 |  | 400,000 |  | ON WB I-94-REHABILITATE ST CROIX T.I.C. ANO ADD STATE PATROL OFFICE | MNDOT | Other | S15 |
| 2000 |  | 1.94 | 2780-27944 | BI | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 180,000 |  | UNDER CSAH 144-OVERLAY \& REPLACE JOINTS ON BR 27944 | MNOOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 2000 |  | 1-94 | 2780-27959 | BI | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 |  | UNDER 101ST AVE N-OVERLAY \& REPLACE JOINTS ON BR 27959 | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 2000 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27851 | BI | 1,250,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 250,000 |  | UNDER PORTLAND \& UNDER GROVELAND-PAINT BRS 27851 \& 27966 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | 1-94 | 2781-337 | RD | 1,800,000 | 1,440,000 | 0 | 360,000 |  | LOWRY HILL TUNNEL-TUNNEL EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION \& CAMERAS | M M ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Preserve | 06 |
| 2000 |  | 1.94 | 2786-106 | TM | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 |  | CO RO 81 TO EB 1-94-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | 1-94 | 6283-164 | TM | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 |  | RUTH ST TO WB I-94-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 2001 |  | 1.94 | 2780-27967 | Bi | 2,350,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,350,000 |  | OVER ELM CREEK \& RICE LAKE-WIDEN \& REDECK BRS 27967, 27968, 27969 \& 27970 | MNDOT | Preserve | 519 |
| 2001 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27862 | BI | 1,260,000 | 1,008,000 | 0 | 252,000 |  | ON RAMP TO EB $94-$ REDECK BR 27862; 6TH ST RAMP TO 94 OVER I-35W-REDECK BR 27876 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | 1.94 | 2781-392 | RS | 650,000 | 0 | 0 | 650,000 |  | 1-35W TO SNELLING AVE-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY |  | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | 1.94 | 6283-62869 | B | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 |  | AT HAZELWOOD-REPLACE STAIRWAY ON PEDESTRIAN BR 62869 | MNDOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 2001 |  | 1.94 | 8282-92 | RS | 1,440,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,440,000 |  | TH 120 TO ST CROIX RIVER-CONCRETE RETROFIT | MNOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 95 | 8209-41 | RS | 715,000 | 0 |  | 715,000 |  | N JCT TH 36 TO 7TH AVE IN BAYPORT-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 97 | 8212-17 | SC | 300,000 | 0 |  | 250,000 | 50,000 | GOODVIEW AVE/8TH ST, SIGNAL SYSTEM AND CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2001 |  | TH97 | 8201-12 | SH | 450,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 90,000 |  | AT RAMP TERMINII WITH I-35-TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 100 | 2755-72 | SH | 140,000 | 112,000 | 0 | 28,000 | 0 | CSAH 10 RAMPS - REFURBISH 2 SIGNALS | MNOOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | TH 100 | 2733-77 | RS | 1,850,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,850,000 |  | FROM 1-494 TO EXCELSIOR BLVD-CONCRETE REHABILITATION | MNOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-134 | RC | 16,125,000 | 12,900.000 | 0 | 3,225,000 |  | GLENWOOD AVE TO GOLDEN VALLEY RDGRADING, SURFACING, ETC | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-160 | MC | 13,800,000 | 11,040,000 | 0 | 2,760,000 | 0 | 29TH AVE N TO 39TH AVE N(36TH AVE INTERCHANGE)-GRADING, SURFACING, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2000 |  | TH 100 | 2735-27002 | BI | 1,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | OVER DULUTH ST-REDECK BR 27002 | MNDOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-5399 | BR | 1,875,000 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 375,000 |  | OVER SOO LINE RR \& CITY ST. 0.9 MI. NW OF JCT.TH 12-RECONSTR | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-5974 | BR | 2,100,000 | 1,680,000 | 0 | 420,000 | 0 | TH 100 OVER TH 55-REPLACE BR 5974 | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH 100 | 2763-9500 | BI | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | OVER TH 62-REP EXPANSION JOINTS BR 9500 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-143 | BR | 1,635,000 | 1,148,000 | 0 | 287,000 | 200.000 | UNDER CSAH B(BROADWAY AVE)-BR 27170(REPLACE BR 58B5) | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2001 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735-159 | MC | 14,230,000 | 11,384,000 | 0 | 2,846,000 |  | 39TH AVE N TO INDIANA AVE-RECONSTRUCT EXPRESSWAY, NEW INTERCHANGE AT CSAH 81. ETC | MNDOTT | Expand | E3 |
| 2002 | 5 | TH 100 | 2735.167 | MC | 11,000,000 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 5,500,000 | INDIANA AVENUE TO 50TH AVE N-GRAD, SURF. UPGRADE TO FREEWAY | Mindot | Expand | A05 |
| 1999 |  | TH 101 | 2736-27017 | BR | 1,300,000 | 584,000 | 0 | 716,000 |  | AT GRAYS BAY 2.8 MI N OF TH 7-BR 27017 (REP BR 3334) \& APPROACHES | MNDOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH 101 | 2738.15 | MC | 290,000 | 232,000 | 0 | 58,000 |  | 1-94 TÖ TH 10(ROGERS TO ELK RIVER)LANDSCAPING | MNOOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 101 | 2738-17 | AM | 400,000 | 0 |  | 400,000 |  | FRONTAGE ROÁO CONSTRUCTION IN ROGERS | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 120 | 8220-11 | SC | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 |  | AT LOWER AFTÓN RO IN WOODBURYMAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CHANNELIZATION | MNOOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2002 |  | TH 120 | 6227-56 | SC | 580.000 | 0 | 0 | 580,000 |  | AT 1-694 \& AT JOY ROAD-TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, WIDEN ROADWAY, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2002 |  | TH 120 | 6227-57 | SC | 1,300,000 |  | 0 | 1,300,000 |  | 1-94 TO CONWAY AVE IN MAPLEWOOD. FRONTAGE RD EXTENSION, SIGNAL REVISION, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 149 | 1917-34 | RS | 720,000 | 0 | 0 | 720,000 |  | MENDOTAA HTS RD TO HIGH BRIDGE(62090)-MILL $\&$ OVERLAY, GUARDRAIL | MNDOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-22 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | 150,000 | AT 49TH AVE RAMPS-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-23 | SC | 182,000 | 0 | 0 | 88,000 | 94.000 | AT MEDICINE LAKE ROAD EAST RAMP-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-27 | SC | 1,300,000 | 1,040,000 | 0 | 260,000 |  | FROM CEDAR LAKE RD TO CSAH 5-ADD AUXILLARY LANE | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-28 | TM | 250,000 | 200,000 | $\overline{0}$ | 50,000 |  | SB TH 169 EXIT LOOP TO EB TH 62 HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 2772-5805 | B1 | 780,000 | 624,000 | 0 | 156.000 |  | SB OVER BN RR 1.1 MI N̄ OF TH 7-MAJOR REHAB BR 5805 \& ADD AUXILLARY LANE | MNDOT | Preserve | E1 |
| 2000 |  | TH 169 | 0209-22 | RC | 2,600,000 | 2,080,000 | 0 | 520,000 |  | MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO TH 10 IN ANOKARECONSTRUCT, WIDEN, ETC | MNDOT | Replace | 519 |
| 2000 |  | TH 169 | 1013-70 | RS | 1.860.000 | 0 | 0 | 1.860,000 |  | MINNESOTA RIVER BRIDGE IN SHAKOOPEE TO CSAH 1 IN EDEN PRAIRIE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 169 | 7007-23 | RC | 2,700,000 | 2,160,000 | 0 | 540,000 |  | S OF BELLE PLAINE AND NEAR JORDAN- RECONSTRUCTION | MNDOOT | Replace | S19 |
| 2002 |  | TH 169 | 7008.42 | SC | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 |  | AT CORD 64 IN BELLE PLAINE-MEDIAN CLOSURE, FRONTAGE ROAD, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 212 | 2744-50 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 20.000 | AT REGIONAL CENTER RD IN EDEN PRAIRIESIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION | MNDOTT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH212 | 2762-12 | MC | 8,100,000 | 6,480,000 | 0 | 1,620,000 |  | CSAH 4 TO 0.25 MI W O OF WALLACE RD-GRADING. SURFACING(STAGE 3) | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Tolai \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Calegory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 6 | TH212 | 2762-13 | MC | 15,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 |  | 0.25 MI W OF WALLACE RO TO O.5 MIE OF MITCHELL RD-GRADING, SURFACING, ETC(STAGE 2) | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH212 | 2762-27138 | MC | 1,700,000 | 1,360,000 | 0 | 340,000 | 0 | CSAH 4 OVER TH 212-BR 27138 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH212 | 2762-27144 | $\overline{M C}$ | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | W.B. TH 5 OVER MARTIN ORIVE-BR 27144 | MNDOT | Expand | B.00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762.27145 | MC | 410,000 | 328,000 | 0 | 82,000 | 0 | W.B. TH 212 OVER WALLACE R R-BR 27145 | MNDOT | Expand | $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \cdot 00$ |
| 1999 | 6 | TH212 | 2762.27146 | MC | 410,000 | 328,000 | 0 | 82,000 | 0 | E.B. TH 212 OVER WALLACE RD-BR 27146 | MNDOT | Expand | B. 00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762.27147 | MC | 1,980,000 | 1,584,000 | 0 | 396,000 | 0 | MITCHELL ROAD OVER TH 212-BR 27147 | MNDOT | Expand | B. 00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762.27150 | MC | 380,000 | 304,000 | 0 | 76,000 | 0 | E.B. TH 5 OVER WALLACE RO-BR 27150 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-27194 | MC | 2,300,000 | 1,840,000 | 0 | 460,000 | 0 | E.B. TH212 OVER WALLACE RD-BR 27146 | MNDOT | Expand | B.00 |
| 2001 |  | TH 212 | 2745-28 | RS | 900.000 | 0 | 0 | 900,000 | 0 | 1. 494 TO TH 62-CONCRETE REHABBILITATION | MNOOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 | 6 | TH 212 | 2762-22 | MC | 230,000 | 184,000 | 0 | 46,000 | 0 | MITCHELL RD TO $1-494$-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 242 | 0212-3656 | B1 | 4,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,500,000 | 0 | OVER COON CREEK \& OVER TH 10-MAJOR REHAB ON BRS $3656 \& 02011$ A | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | TH 244 | 8219-18 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 12 IN MAHTOMEDI.SIGNAL. INSTALLATION \& CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 244 | 8219-19 | RS | 710,000 | 0 | 0 | 710,000 | 0 | TH 61 TO ASH ST(CO RD 79)-MILL \& BITUMINÖUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH280 | 6241-47 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | HENNEPIN AVE TO I-35W-INSTALL LIGHTING AND CONTINUOUS MEDIAN | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 280 | 6242.62844 | BI | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | NB OVER 2 RAMPS AT JCT 1-94-REDECK BR 62844 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | TH282 | 7011-18 | $\overline{\mathrm{SR}}$ | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | ON TH 282 IN JORDAN-INSTALL NEW CANTILEVER SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | S8 |
| 2001 |  | TH 282 | 7011-19 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | O | AT CSAL 17 IN SPRING LAKE TWP.TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2001 |  | TH 282 | 7011-19A | SC | 540,000 | 0 | 0 | 540,000 | 0 | AT CO RD 17-TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ETC | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 2001 |  | TH316 | 1926-15 | SC | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | AT 200TH ST-TURN LANES \& FRONTAGE ROAD | MNDOT | Manage | E1 |
| 2002 |  | TH316 | 1926-16 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | AT 190TH STREET IN RAVENNA TWP-REALIGN INTERSECTION \& ADD TURN LANES | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1999 |  | 1.494 | 2785-305 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 200,000 | AT VALLEY VIEW RD EAST \& WEST RAMPSTRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1999 |  | 1-494 | 2785-306 | TM | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | UPGRADE TMS ON 1494 FROM I35W TO BUSH LAKE RD \& ON TH 100 AT $494 / 77$ TH ST | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | 1-494 | 2785-309 | B1 | 4,500,000 | 3,600,000 | 0 | 900,000 | 0 | OVER TH 5-BRS 27 V09 \& 27VIO(REPLACE BRS 9741,9742 \& APPROACHES | MNDOAT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1999 |  | 1.494 | 2785-314 | RC | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | NB ON RAMP AT MINNETONKA BLVDRECONSTRUCT, ETC | MNEOT | Replace | E3 |
| 2000 |  | 1-494 | 2785-311 | RC | 155,000 | 0 | 0 | 155,000 | 0 | AT TH 169 INTERCHANGE IN BLOOMINGTON/EDINA-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Replace | 06 |
| 2000 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9878 | 81 | 130,000 | 0 | 0 | 130,000 | 0 | UNDER ORCHARD RD-OVERLAY, REPLACE JOINTS \& RAIL ON BR 9878 | MNOOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | 1-494 | 8285-9883 | BI | 900,000 | 0 | 0 | 900,000 |  | UNDER TH 120 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BR 9883;OVERLAY \& JONTS ON BR 82017 | MNDOT | Preserve | Sto |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State $\$$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 |  | 1-494 | 2785-316 | RS | 1,250,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,250,000 | 0 | TH 212 TO TH 55-MILL \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | 1-494 | 2785-317 | RS | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 |  | 34TH AVE TO TH 100 OVERLAY, GUARDRAIL. MEDIAN BARRIER, CULVERTS, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | S 19 |
| 2002 |  | 1-494 | 2785-301 | MC | 30,000,000 | 24,000,000 | 0 | 6,000,000 |  | TH 100 TO TH 212 -GRADING, SURFACING, 3RD LANE EACH DIRECTION | MNDOT | Expand | A05 |
| 2002 |  | $1-494$ | 2785-9130 | BR | $3.000,000$ | 2,400,000 | 0 | 600,000 |  | OVER TH 100-REPLACE BRS 9130 \& 9131 | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2002 |  | 1-494 | $8285-79$ | MC | 11,000,000 | 8,800,000 | 0 | 2,200,000 |  | VICINITY OF WAKOTA BRIDGE-CONSTRUCT NORTH RING ROAD-STAGE 1 | MNDOT | Expand | A10 |
| 1999 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771-14 | MC | 6,800,000 | 5,440,000 | 0 | 1,360,000 |  | HAMPSSHIRE AVE TO REGENT AVEIINCLUDES HAMPSHIRE)-GRADING, SURFACING, BRS, ETC | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1999 | 7 | TH610 | 2771-27223 | MC | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 0 | 280,000 |  | TH 610 UNOER ZANE AVE-BR 27223 | MNOOT | Expand | B.00 |
| 1999 | 7 | TH610 | 2771.27224 | MC | 630,000 | 504,000 | 0 | 126,000 | 0 | TH 610 UNDER HAMPSHIRE ȦVE-BR 27224 | MNDOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 2000 | 7 | TH 610 | 2771.24 | MC | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 |  | E OF NOBLE AVE TO W OF REGENTAVE IN BROOKLYN PARK-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 670 | 2771-25 | RB | 340,000 | 0 | 0 | 340,000 |  | W RIVER RD TOE OF NOBLE AVE IN BROOKLYN PARK-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 610 | 2771-26 | RB | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 |  | W OF REGENT AVE TO W ÓF W BROADWAY. LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 610 | ${ }^{2771-27}$ | RB | 175,000 | 0 | 0 | 175,000 |  | W OF W BROADCWAY TO JEFFERSON IN BROOKLYN PARK-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | 1.694 | 6285-116 | SH | 350,000 | 280,000 | $\overline{0}$ | 70.000 |  | AT HAMMLINE AVE (CO RD F)-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& LEFT TURN MODIFICATION | MNDOT | Manage | S2 |
| 2000 |  | 1.694 | 6285-120 | RC | 8,000,000 | 6,400,000 | 0 | 1,600,000 |  | AT W JCT I $35 E-R E C O N S T R U C T I O N ~ W I T H ~ B R I D G E ~$ REPLACEMENTS | MNDOT | Replace | A05 |
| 2000 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9196 | B1 | 1,060,000 | 848,000 | 0 | 212,000 |  | OVER RR AT W JCT 1-35E-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BRS 9196 \& 9197 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2000 |  | 1-694 | $\frac{6285-9301}{}$ | $8{ }^{81}$ | 800,000 | 640,000 | $\overline{0}$ | 160,000 |  | EB OVER NB TH 51 \& OVER SB TH 51 RAMP. REHABDECK ON BRS 9301,9302 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | 1.694 | 6285-119 | RS | 650,000 | O | 0 | 650,000 |  | 1-35W TO TH 49-MILLING \& BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | 1.694 | 6285-9209 | BI | 830,000 | 664.000 | 0 | 166,000 |  | OVER ISLAND LAKE CHAIN-WIDEN \& REDECK BRS 9209 \& 9210 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2002 |  | ITS | DIST-M.ITS-1 | TM | 1,750,000 | 08 | 0 | 1,750,000 |  | NEW ITS PROJECTS FOR 2002 | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | $8_{8809-163}$ | TM | 4,500,000 | 3,600,000 | 0 | 900,000 |  | ON 1.94 FROM TMC TO 1 -694 \& ON 1-694 FROM 1.94 TO I-35W-UPGRADE TMS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809.164 | EN | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 |  | STATE ENTRYWAYS BEAUTIFICATION | MNOOT | Other | 09 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-175 | TM | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-176 | TM | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 |  | DIVIISIONWIDE-REPLACE RAMP CONTROL SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-177 | TM | 550,000 | 0 | 0 | 550,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE DRUMTYPE CMS WITH } \\ & \text { LED } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8809-178 | TM | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-BOND/GROUND/SHIELD OLDER CABINETS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-99 | AM | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 450,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Other | NC |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-ENT-9: | RB | 25,000 | 0 |  | 25,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY 1999 | MNOOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-P/R-99 | TM | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ÁSIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE ENHANCEMENTS FOR FY 99 | MNDOT | Manage | E6 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-PF-99 | RB | 40,000 | 0 |  | 40,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRE TÖ FOREST FOR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RB-99 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 |  | METRO SET ÁSIDE FOR LANADSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS IN FY 1999 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 1999 |  | TH999 | 880M-RW-99 | RW | 30,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000,000 |  | RIGHT OF WAYIACCESS CONTROL SETÁSIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY99 | MNOOT | Other | NT |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RX-99 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 1999 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SA-99 | SA | 9,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 9,000,000 |  | METRO SET ÁSIOE FOR SUUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS \& OVERRUNS FOR FY 1999 | MNNDOT | Other | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SC-99 | $\overline{\text { S }}$ | 1,900,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,900,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR TURN LANES, IMPACT ATTENTUATORS, \& LIGHT STANDARDS | MNDOT | Manage | NC |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8825-33 | RX | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 |  | ON I-35, 135E, \& 135 W FROM CSAH 2 IN SCOTT COUNTY TO RUSH CITY-REPLACE C \& D SIGNS | MNDOT | Preserve | 08 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809.182 | TM | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE LOOP DETECTORS | MNOOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809.183 | TM | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE RAMP CONTROL SIGNALS | MNOOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809 -184 | TM | 550,000 | 0 | 0 | 550,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIVISIONWIDE-REPLACE DRUMTYPE CMS WITH } \\ & \text { LED } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809.185 | TM | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE-BOND/GROUND/SHIELD OLDER CABINETS | MNDOT | Manage | S7 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 8809-187 | TM | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 |  | DIVISIONWIDE.UPGRADE TWISTED PAIR MAIN TRUNKICABINET CONNECTIONS | MNDOT | Manage | 57 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-00 | AM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR FY 2000 | MNOOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-B1-00 | 81 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | MĖTRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Preserve | St9 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-ENT-0\| | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-NA-00 | NA | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE E ABATEMENT FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Other | 03 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-P/R-00 | TM | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ÁSIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE ENHANCEMENTS FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Manage | E6 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-PF-00 | RB | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FORR PRAIRIE TO FÓREST FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RB-00 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS IN FY 2000 | MNDOT | Oiher | 06 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-R5-00 | RS | 3,000,000 | 아 | 0 | 3,000,000 |  | METRO SETASIDE FOR ADDITIONAL FY 2000 RESURFACING PROJECTS | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RW-00 | RW | 30,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000,000 | 0 | RIGHT OF WAYIACCESS CONTROL SETAASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY 2000 | MNOOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RX-00 | RX | 1.500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Toial ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | Stale \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SA-00 | SA | 10,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASICE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS \& OVERRUNS FOR FY 2000 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2000 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SC-00 | SC | 1,900,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,900,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR TURN LANES. IMPACT ATTENTUATORS, \& LIGHT STANDARDS | MNDOT | Manage | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-01 | AM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Öther | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-B1-01 | BI | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FÖR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-ENT-0 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25.000 |  | METRO SET ÁSIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-NA-01 | NA | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR NOISE ABATEMENT FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | 03 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M.PF-01 | RB | 40.000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RE-01 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RS-01 | RS | 1,700,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,700,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 8B0M-RW-01 | RW | 30,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHTT OF WAY/ACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RX-01 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2001 |  | TH999 | 880M-SA.01 | SA | 10,000,000 | 0 |  | 10,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2001 | MNDDOT | Other | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SC-01 | SC | 8,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FOR FY 2001 | MNOOT | Manage | NC |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TM-01 | TM | 7,000,000 | 0 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FORR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2001 INCLUDING REGIONAL. TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER | MNDÖT | Manage | 57 |
| 2001 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TR-01 | TR | 2,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIOE FOR TRÄNSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2001 | MNDOT | Transit | $A Q 1$ |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 8809.75 | TM | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 |  | ON 1-494 FROM PILOT KNOB TO MISS RIVER, AND ON TH 52 FROM TH 55 TO I-94-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | $\overline{5}$ |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-02 | AM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-B1-02 | BI | 13,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 13,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENENTS FOR F7 2002 | MNDOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-ENT-0. | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-NA-02 | NA | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FÖR NOISE ABATEMENT FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | 03 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-PF-02 | RB | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Öher | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RB-02 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100.000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIPS FOR FY 2002 | MNDOOT | Other | 06 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RS-02 | RS | 19,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 19,500,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METRO SET ASIDE FOR RESURFACING FOR FY } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-20

## All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | Dermo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RW-02 | RW | 28,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 28,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RIGHT OF WAY/ACCESS MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-RX-02 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SA-02 | SA | 10,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS/OVERRUNS FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Other | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-SC-02 | SC | 8,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,500,000 |  | METRÖ SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEEERING PRESERVATION FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Manage | NC |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TM-02 | TM | 6,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 6,000,000 |  | METRÓ SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FOR FY 2002 INCLUDING REGIONAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER | MNDOT | Manage | $\overline{5}$ |
| 2002 |  | TH 999 | 880M-TR-02 | TR | 2,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR TRANSIT/RIDESHARE FOR FY 2002 | MNDOT | Transit | AQ1 |
| 1999 |  | 1.494 | 2785-319 | AM | 27,000 | 0 | 0 | 27,000 |  | AT CSAH 9 IN PLYMOUṪH-PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE | PLYMOUTTH | Other | $\overline{\text { AQ2 }}$ |
| 1999 |  | TH 13 | 7001-84 | AM | 17.000 | 0 | 0 | 17,000 |  | Ȧt 6 LOCATIONS IN SAAVAGE-EVP INSTALLATION | SAVAGE | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 19 | 4003.17 | AM | 54,000 | 0 | 0 | 54,000 |  | AT TH 13, TH 19 \& CSAH 17-CHANNELIZATION | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{S C O T T} \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 169 | 7005-77 | $\overline{A M}$ | 49,000 | 0 | 0 | 49,000 |  | UNDER CO RD 18 \& UNDER CO RD 79-FENCING ON BRIDGES 70008 \& 70013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCOTT } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Other | S13 |
| 1999 |  | TH7 | 1004-25 | AM | 378,000 | 0 | 0 | 378,000 |  | AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN SHOREWOOD. FRONTAGE ROAD AND ACCESS CLOSURES | SHOREWOOD | Other | E1 |
| 1999 |  | TH 244 | 6232-25 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 86,000 | 0 | 0 | 86,000 |  | AT PROPOSED LINDEN IN WHITE BEAR LAKENEW SIGNAL \& ACCESS CLOSURES | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Other | E2 |
| 1999 |  | TH 999 | 8825-28 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 91,000 | 0 | 0 | 91.000 |  | AT 12 LOCATIONS IN WHITE BEAR LAKE-EVP INSTALLATIONS | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Other | E2 |

1,054,023,457 492,321,55 27,000,000 446,148,900 88,551,359

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL Mears Park Centre, 230 E. Fifth St., St. Paul, MN 55101

## APPENDIX B

## CONFORMITY DOCUMENTATION

OF THE 1999-2002 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) TO THE 1990 CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS


#### Abstract

The United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) 40 CFR PARTS 51 and 93 Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Flexibility and Streamlining; Final Rules for determining conformity to state or federal implementation plans of transportation plans, programs, and projects funded or approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act (Conformity Rule), requires the Metropolitan Council to prepare a conformity analysis of the region's Transportation Plans and Transportation Improvement Program. Based on the air quality analysis, the Council must determine the conformity of the transportation plan to meet the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) schedule to attain carbon monoxide (CO) standards. This appendix describes the procedures used to perform the analysis on the Transportation Improvement Program, and lists the findings and conclusions to support the Metropolitan Council's (Council) determination that the 1999-2002 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) conforms to the requirements of the CAAA.
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## I. CONFORMITY OF THE 1999-2002 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM - FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

A. Pursuant to Section 93.110 of the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that it conforms to the recent estimates of mobile source emissions based on the most current transportation models using population, employment, travel and congestion forecasts:

1. The Council is required by Minnesota statute to prepare regional population and employment forecasts for the Seven County Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The air quality analysis of CO emissions for Wright County is prepared under the guidance of the Council as part of an intergovernmental agreement with the county, MN/DOT and the Council.
2. The published source of socioeconomic data is in the Metropolitan Council's Regional Blueprint. The planning document adopted, in December 1996, provides the Council with the latest socio-economic data (planning assumptions) to develop long range forecasts of regional highway and transit facilities needs.
B. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), Minnesota Department of Transportation ( $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ ) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) were consulted during the preparation of the TIP and its conformity review and documentation.
C. Two separate quantitative analyses of CO emissions impact using the latest emission estimation models were prepared using the TIP projects listed in Tables 3 through 6. An emissions budget analysis was conducted using the MOBILE5A and EMIS mobile source emissions models. The analysis shows daily reductions of CO in tons/day in the analysis years of 2005, 2010 and 2020 are less than the CO emission budget if the Action" (build) scenario of the TIP is implemented (see Table 1). The CO reductions are estimated to be sustained for a reasonable period beyond the analysis year 2005. A build/no-build analysis was also prepared using the same emission models. This analysis shows that for the build scenario, CO daily emissions reductions (see Table 2) in tons/day would remain below the no-build scenario.
D. No regionally significant projects are planned or programmed for the City of New Prague, or Wright County which are also in the non-attainment area, but are outside the Council jurisdiction.
E. Exempt projects not included in the regional air quality analysis were identified and classified in accordance with the EPA guidance in Section 93.126 of the Conformity Rule.
F. The quantitative analysis includes all known federal and nonfederal regionally significant projects as defined in Section 93.101 of the Conformity Rule.
G. The TIP addresses the requirements of the ISTEA metropolitan planning rule 23CFR part 450, Section 450.324 and Section 93.108 of the Conformity Rule, to be fiscally constrained. Section 3 of the TIP document demonstrates the consistency of proposed transportation investments with already available and projected sources of revenue.
H. The Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that the TIP does not conflict with the implementation of the SIP, and conforms to the requirement to implement the Transportation System Management Strategies which are the adopted Transportation Control Measures for the region.
I. The TIP projects that are not specifically listed in the Transportation Policy/Guide Plan are explained in Section III ( E ) of this Appendix.
J. The TIP includes all Title 23 (FHWA) and Transit Act(Federal Transit Administration ) projects programmed for funding in the time frame of the 1999-2002 TIP.
K. There are no projects in the TIP which have received National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) approval and have not progressed within three years of approval.
L. Although a small portion of the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area is a nonattainment area for PM10 , the designation is due to non-transportation services.

## II. 1999-2002 TIP CONTRIBUTION TO EMISSION REDUCTIONS IN THE TWIN CITIES CARBON MONOXIDE NON-ATTAINMENT AREA

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) has submitted to the EPA a request to redesignate the Twin Cites seven-county Metropolitan Area and Wright County as in attainment for CO. Since the EPA review of the request will occur during the adoption and submittal of the TIP (as part of the state TIP) to the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Council elected to prepare separate "build vs. No-build" and emissions budget conformity tests until the EPA declares the 1996 motor vehicle emissions budget submitted in the redesignation request as adequate for transportation conformity determination. Further, the final August 1997 EPA Transportation Conformity Rule changed the procedures relative to performing the TIP conformity tests. The results of these conformity tests are shown in Tables 1 and 2. A description of the methods and models used to prepare these CO calculations is in Section III of this appendix.

TABLE 1
CO EMISSION BUDGET CONFORMITY TEST TIP ACTION SCENARIOS DAILY CO EMISSIONS FOR ANALYSIS YEARS 2005, 2010, 2020 (Tons/day)

| NETWORK | 2005 | 2010 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 BASELINE EMISSIONS BUDGET (MPCA redesignation request to EPA) | 1,114 | 1,114 | 1,114 |
| ACTION (BUILD) SCENARIO | 825 | 850 | 964 |
| CO EMISSIONS BELOW THE EMISSIONS BUDGET | 289 | 264 | 150 |

Table 2

## CO EMISSION BUILD/NO-BUILD COMFORMITY TEST TIP ACTION SCENARIOS DAILY CO EMISSION REDUCTIONS FOR ANALYSIS YEARS 2005, 2010, 2020 (Tons/day)

| NETWORK | 2005 | 2010 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASELINE (NO-BUILD) PLAN SCENARIO | 833 | 866 | 989 |
| ACTION (BUILD) SCENARIO | 824 | 850 | 964 |
| TIP CO EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS | 9 | 16 | 25 |

## III. DESCRIPTION OF EMISSION ESTIMATION MODEL AND ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY, ASSUMPTIONS

## A. 1999-2002 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Pursuant to Sections 93.118 and 93.119 of the Conformity Rule, the Council has reviewed the TIP document. Based on this review, the Council finds that the TIP related CO emissions are below the 1996 motor vehicle emissions budget and contribute to daily emissions reductions consistent with Sections 93.118 and 93.119 for the analysis years 2005, 2010 and 2020. The following are the descriptions of the emissions budget and build/no-build tests used in the emissions analysis to comply with the Conformity Rule.

The Baseline Networks used in the computer analysis described in Section IV (F) of this Appendix are the future transportation systems for each analysis year. They are developed from all:

- in-place regionally significant highway or transit facilities, services, and activities;
- ongoing Transportation Demand Management (TDM) activities;
- ongoing Transportation System Management (TSM) activities;
- regionally significant projects (regardless of funding sources) which are currently:
- under construction, or;
- undergoing right-of-way acquisition, or;
- come from the first year of a previously conforming TIP (1998-2000), or;
- have completed the National Environmental Protection Act process.

Projects used in the year 2000 baseline network (Table 3) build/no-build test, are from the first year of the 1998-2000 TIP. The first Action (build) Scenario is for the year 2005 (Table 4). The network used in the Action Scenarios for the years 2010 and 2020 networks are the same used in the Transportation Policy Plan (Plan) and are listed in Tables 5 and 6 with the addition of projects noted in Subsection E of this section. Given the long -term nature of the projects listed in the Plan, no major studies have been prepared to evaluate their alternatives. For air quality modeling purposes only, a worst case build alternative was identified and unless otherwise noted, applied to each project. This alternative is the addition of one mixed use lane for vehicle traffic in each direction.

The networks for the 2005,2010 and 2020 analysis years were developed by adding the projects listed in the tables to the Year 2000 baseline highway network.

Conformity Emissions Budget Test: The new conformity test as defined in Section 93.118 requires the CO emissions calculated in the conformity analysis for the Transportation Policy Plan and the TIP must be equal to or less than the CO emissions budget established for the region. MPCA's submittal to the EPA for redesignation established a conformity daily emissions budget of 1,114 tons/day. The budget remains constant throughout the programming period of the TIP and the 20 year planning period of the Plan.

The results of the emissions budget conformity test for the TIP are shown in Table 1. CO emissions for the analysis years 2005,2010 and 2020 remain below the emissions budget.

The Action Scenario as described in Section 93.119(g) and referenced in Section 93.122(a)(5), is the future transportation system that would result from the implementation of the Plan and other regionally significant projects in the time frame of the TIP. It includes all facilities, services and activities in the "baseline" networks[ Section 93.119(f)], completion of all Transportation Control Measures (TCM) and regionally significant projects included in the TIP, and all TDM and TSM activities known to the Council, but not included in the Plan. The regionally significant highway projects for the Twin Cities Seven-County Metropolitan Area, included in the Action Plan Scenario, are listed in Tables 3 through 6. The projects were added to year 2005, 2010 and 2020 highway networks used in the conformity analysis for the Transportation Policy Plan and the 1998-2000 TIP.

Conformity Build / No-build Test: Pursuant to Section 93.119 of the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the TIP document. Based on this review, the Council finds that the TIP contributes to daily emission reductions consistent with Section 93.119 for the analysis years [Section 93.119(e)] 2005, 2010 and 2020. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 2. The following is the description of the scenarios used in the emissions analysis.

The Baseline Scenario, [Section 93.119(f)] the year 2000 baseline network for each analysis year. Projects added to the baseline from the first year (1998) of the current TIP are listed in Table 3.

The Action Scenario, as described in Section 93.119 (g), is the future transportation system that would result from the implementation of the Plan, and other regionally significant projects in the time frame of the TIP. It includes all facilities, services, and activities in the "baseline" scenario, completion of all Transportation Control Measures (TCM) and regionally significant projects included in the TIP, and all TDM and TSM activities known to the Council, but not included in the Plan. The regionally significant highway projects for the Twin Cities SevenCounty Metropolitan Area, included in the Action Scenarios are listed in Tables 4 through 6.

The Council has estimated that the "Action Scenarios" contribute to emissions remaining below the emissions budget conformity test and are less than the no-build scenario for each analysis year and this can be reasonably expected to be true in the periods between the analysis years. The Council believes that CO reductions shown for the analysis years occur for the following reasons:

1. Continued improvement in auto emissions controls systems and the implementation of an oxygenated gasoline program as required by the CAAA.
2. A regional commitment to continue capital investments to maintain and improve the operational efficiencies of the highway and transit systems.
3. A regional commitment to provide customer oriented transit service, seek alternative methods to reduce congestion and the rate of growth of vehicle miles traveled such as the use of congestion pricing, promoting higher density and mixed use development through the Council's authority to periodically review local comprehensive plans, and capital investment for the regional sewer collection and distribution system .
4. Adoption of a regional long-term (year 2040) growth management strategy to contain growth in the urban fringe, limit growth in the rural areas while promoting higher densities in the urban core, and;
5. The continued involvement of local governmental units in the regional 3C transportation planning process to address local congestion and land use density problems.

All the highway projects that would add single occupancy vehicle capacity were reviewed as to whether significant single occupancy vehicle capacity would be added if the project was constructed. The Transportation Policy Plan examined all the principal arterials in the region and determined where capacity expansion was needed during the 20 year planning period of the plan, and where some alternative investments could be made in lieu of additional SOV capacity. Projects listed in the TIP which add additional lane capacity are consistent with those listed in the Transportation Policy Plan and the "Congestion Management System for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area" (congestion management plan).

A non-attainment area for PM-10 is located in the City of St. Paul. The non-attainment designation is not due to transportation sources. The EPA has approved of MPCA's plan to bring this area in attainment.

## B. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) HIGHWAY PROJECTS

## EPA Transportation Exempt Projects

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the projects in the TIP were reviewed and categorized using the following determinations to identify projects that are exempt from a regional air quality analysis, or are regionally significant projects and must be included in the analysis. The classification process used to identify exempt and regionally significant projects was developed through a consultation process involving the MPCA, the Council and $\mathrm{Mn} /$ DOT. The exempt air quality classification codes used in the "AQ" column of project tables of the TIP are listed in Exhibit 3. Projects which are classified as exempt must meet the following requirements:

1. The project does not interfere with the implementation of transportation control measures.
2. The project is segmented for purposes of funding or construction and received all required environmental approvals from the lead agency under the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA), including:
a. A determination of categorical exclusion: or
b. A finding of no significant impact: or
c. A final Environmental Impact Statement for which a record of decision has been issued.
3. The project is exempt if it falls within one of the categories listed in Section 93.126 in the Conformity Rule. Projects identified as exempt by their nature do not affect the
outcome of the regional emissions analyses and add no substance to the analyses. These projects are determined to be within the four major categories described in the conformity rule.
a. Safety projects that eliminated hazards or improved traffic flows.
b. Mass transit projects that maintained or improved the efficiency of transit operations.
c. Air quality related projects that provided opportunities to use alternative modes of transportation such as ride-sharing, van-pooling, bicycling, and pedestrian facilities.
d. Other projects such as environmental reviews, engineering, land acquisition and highway beautification.

## C. REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS

Regionally significant projects, as defined in Section 93.101 of the Conformity Rules, were identified and assigned to the appropriate analysis year for the TIP air quality analysis. Projects assigned to each scenario analysis year are assumed to be completed and open for operation by the analysis year indicated.

Tables 4 through 6 lists the TIP projects included in the air quality analysis as part of the "Action Scenario" for the analysis years 2005, 2010 and 2020.

Estimate of CO emissions for the Hiawatha Transitway_An analysis of the CO emissions was prepared as part to the conformity analysis for the 1998-2000 TIP. CO calculations were based on a busway to become operational in the year 2000. A busway alternative for the transitway was chosen as the worst case option for the purposes of calculating CO emissions. However it should be noted that bus emissions, due to the operating characteristics of diesel engines, do not have a high percentage of CO emissions as part of their total emissions. The daily CO emissions was 0.119 Tons/day reduction. The emissions were not added to the emission totals in Table 1 of the 1998-2000 TIP since a different method was necessary to calculate the transitway emissions.

## D. WRIGET COUNTY AND THE CITY OF NEW PRAGUE PROJECTS

A significant portion of Wright County and the City of New Prague are included in the Twin Cities CO non-attainment area as identified in the November 6, 1991, Federal Register. However, since the county or the city are not part of the Seven County Metropolitan Area, Wright County and New Prague projects are not considered in the selection of projects for federal funding through the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) and Council processes. However, Wright County and New Prague projects are evaluated for air quality analysis purposes, and the emissions associated with the regionally significant county projects identified are added to the Seven-County region's emissions total.

No regionally significant projects are planned or programmed for the City of New Prague nor programmed for Wright County during the time period of this TIP.

Table 3
REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE AIR QUALTTY ANALYSIS FOR THE BUILD/NO-BUILD YEAR 2000 BASELINE SCENARIO (projects from Table 2 of the 1998-2000 TIP)

| N |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |


| Table 4 <br> REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TIP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS IN THE YEAR 2005 ACTION SCENARIO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sasese | 4est. | bustivisin | stensy: |
| CR13A | 02 | Hinton Avenue/Tower Drive: 4 Lane Divided Arterial | Washington |
| TH 100 | 02 | Upgrade to Freeway from Indiana Ave. to 50th Ave. N. | Mn/DOT |
| I-494 | 02 | Tamarack Road//-494 Construct new interchange | Woodbury |
| I-35W | 99 | Add HOV lane from I-494 to 66th St. | MnDOt |
| I-35W | 01 | Add HOV lane from 66th St. To Minnehaha Creek | MnDOT |
| I-35W | 02 | Add HOV lane from Minnehaha Creek to 46th St. |  |
| - 494 | 02 | Add 3rd Lane from TH 100 to TH 212 | Mn/DOT |
| TH 12 | 02,04 | CR6 to Wayzata Blvd. - Construct new 2-lane freeway | Mn/DOT |
| I-35E | $\begin{gathered} 2000, \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | Weave Correction from west Junction I-694 to east junction with I694 - add auxillary lane. | Mn/DOT |
| I-35E | 04 | 1-94 to Maryland; One lane added in each direction. | Mn/DOT |
| I-35E | 01, 02 | TH 13 to Sheppard Rd.; Add auxillary third lane - Replace Mississippi River Bridge (Stage 2). | MnDOT |
| 79th St. | 2001 | 79TH/80TH over I-35W - Construct bridge | MnDOT |
| 79th St. | 2002 | On E. 79th St. From Cedar to 24th Ave. -Grading, surfacing, signals | MnDOT |
| CSAH 61 | 99 | From CSAH 10 to I-94, reconstruct and widen | Hennepin |
| CR23 | 99 | From CSAH 9 to CSAH 70, reconstruct and widen | Dakota |
| TH 36 | 2000 | Stillwater/Holton - New river crossing over the St. Croix River (replace bridge 6724 river spans and east abuttment) | MnDOT |
| CR 46 | 99 | From CSAH 31 to TH 52, reconstruct and widen CR 46 (160th St.) | Dakota |
| CSAH 78 | 02 | Reconstruct and widen Hanson Blvd. From Coon Rapids Blvd. To Robinson Dr. | MnDOT |
| CSAH 130 | 2000 | Reconstruct and widen CSAH 130 from Hemlock Lane to TH 169 | MnDOT |
| CSAH 19 | 2000 | Reconstruct and widen CSAH 19 from Hudson Rd. To CSAH 16 | MnDOT |
| TH 5 | 2000 | From Th 41 to CSAH 17 - Grading, surfacing, widen to 4-lanes | MnDOT |
| TH 100 | 2000 | 29th Ave. north to 39th Ave.North, contruct interchange | MnDOT |

## REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PLAN PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS IN THE YEAR 2010 ACTION SCENARIO

|  | Xear | Bescribtion | Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}-94$ | -- | From Weaver Lake Road to I-694 | MnDOT |
| I-3.5E | -- | From I-94 to I-694 add lane in each direction | MnDOT |
| I-494 | -- | From TH 212 to I-394 add lane in each direction | MnDOT |
| I-494 | -- | Wakota Bridge from TH 61 to TH 56replace bridge and add lane in each direction | MnDOT |
| TH 61 | - | From 60th St. to I-494 - reconstruction and add interchange | MnDOT |
| I-94 | -- | From Mcknight Road to TH 120 add lane in each direction | MnDOT |
| I-35W | -- | From TH 36 to Ramsey County Line Metered freeway. | MnDOT |
| TH 52 | -- | From Ramsey County Line to University Ave. Replace Lafayette bridge | MnDOT |
| TH 61 | -- | Hastings Bridge replacement | MnDOT |
| TH 169 | -- | From I-494 to I-94 | MnDOT |
| TH 169 | -- | From I-94 to TH 610 | MnDOT |
| TH62 | -- | From I-494 to I-35W | MnDOT |
| TH 100 | -- | From 36th St. to Cedar Lake Rd. | MnDOT |
| TH 252 | -- | From Brooklyn Center to TH 610 | MnDOT |
| TH 280 | -- | From Como Ave. To TH 36 | MnDOT |
| TH 610 | -- | From TH 252 to TH 10 | MnDOT |
| Phalen Blvd. | 2004 | From I-35E to Maryland Ave. construct new urban arterial | City of St. Paul |

## Table 6 <br> REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PLAN PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS IN THE YEAR 2020 ACTION SCENARIO

| Route | \%ear | Mescription | «spucy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-35W | -- | From Washington Ave. to TH 36 | MnDOT |
| I-494 | -- | From I-394 to I-94 | MnDOT |
| I-494 | -- | From TH 77 to TH 100 | MnDOT |
| TH 36 | -- | From I-35W to I-35E | MnDOT |
| TH 610 | -- | From TH 169 to County Rd. 130 | MnDOT |
| I-694 | -- | From east of junction with I-35E to TH 36 | MnDOT |
| TH 36 | -- | From I-35E to I-694 | MnDOT |
| TH 62 | -- | From I-35W to TH 55 | MnDOT |

## E. PROJECTS NOT LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION POLICY PLAN

The I-494 interchange and a 4 lane extension of Tower Drive to Hinton Avenue (listed in Tables 5 and 6) in the City of Woodbury. are not identified in the Transportation Policy Plan, but are consistent with the policies and purposes of the Transportation Policy Plan and will not interfere with other projects specifically included in the Transportation Policy Plan.

## F. HIGHWAY NETWORK AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT DOCUMENTATION

The traffic forecasts used to calculate the CO emissions listed in Tables 1 and 2 are based on the most recent socio-economic data prepared by the Council for the Regional Blueprint. The following provides a summary of the traffic forecast models used in the air quality analysis. Detailed technical information on the models are found in technical memorandums 1-11 as part of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory. The information is available through the Council's Data Center.

Traffic assignment zones (TAZ's) are used in the traffic modeling process as the common geographic unit for data summary. The system of TAZ's covers the entire seven-county, Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. All home-interview data and selected other trip and socioeconomic data were compiled by TAZ. In additions, the TAZ system forms the geographic framework for coding highway and transit networks. Each TAZ is linked to all others by the highway network. Most are linked to one another by the transit network.

The most significant application of the TAZ is as the geographic unit used by the models to predict attractions and productions of person-trips. An example of a TAZ is a shopping mall. A mall has a homogeneous commercial land use that attracts people to work or shop. Another type of TAZ produces
person-trips generated in proportion to the number of households, type of household, size of household, and an income variable such as the number of automobiles that each household has available on a daily basis for trip-making.

The 1990 zone system consists of 1,165 internal zones and 35 external stations. Internal zone boundaries most often lie along major highways or arterials streets or on any other significant physical boundary that shapes and directs trip movements, such as a large lake or major river. County boundaries also form edges of zones where appropriate. An external station is a point at the edge of the seven-county area where vehicle trips leave or enter the metro system without being associated with the local land use. In other words, one end of the trip is outside the seven-county area.

The rebuilding of the 1990 highway network was completed by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ with assistance from the Council, and the transportation departments of counties and cities. The rebuilt network is based on data from the 1990 regional Travel Behavior Inventory (TBI).

To reflect some key parameters for related transportation modeling, such as typical speeds by location in the region, the network links are relate to geographical area types of Rural, Developing, Developed, Center City (described as Minneapolis and St. Paul), Central Business District (CBD) which are the Minneapolis and St. Paul CBD's and outlying Business Area.

Rural is defined as areas with population density less than one-person-per-acre. The Developing area is defined as an area with population greater than one-person-per-acre and outside the Interstate 694/Interstate 494 (I-694/I-494) ring. Inside the 1-694/I-494 ring is the Developed area the CBD and Center City. The Outlying Business Areas are freestanding areas some distance from Minneapolis and St. Paul which operate like a CBD.

Area types are used to create a matrix by facility types. Facility types are categories of roads which operate in a similar manner. These facility types are:

| 1. Metered Freeway | 6. Undivided Arterial |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Unmetered Freeway | 7. Collector |
| 3. Metered Ramp | 8. HOV |
| 4. Unmetered Ramp | 9. Centroid Connector |
| 5. Divided Arterial | 10. HOV Ramp |

The Geographic Information System (GIS) software was used to assign default speed based on 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory (TBI) highway speed survey data and capacity values for all the network links. In this process, area type polygons are created that automatically identify all the links inside of the polygon. The area type value is automatically assigned to the link. The relational database software, ORACLE, is used to assign or update speed and capacity of links based on their area type/facility type. Figure 1 illustrates the flow of the trip demand models used in the trip distribution model.

## The Trip Generation Model

The Trip Generation Model produces productions and attractions for each transportation analysis zone based on the population, number of households, employment level and socio-economic characteristics of each zone. The model was calibrated through the use of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey, Establishment Survey, and Special Generator Surveys for the University of Minnesota, major regional shopping centers, the Central Business Districts of Minneapolis and St. Paul and MSP Airport, which provided several databases of observed daily trips.

## Trip Distribution Model

The trip distribution model uses the trip ends from the trip generation model, and information on the time and travel cost of traveling to estimate the zone to zone movements for the region. The distribution model for the Twin Cities area is a standard gravity model.

The model generates the number of person trips that are anticipated to be made between any two zones in the regional model on an average weekday, regardless of mode. The model was calibrated through the use of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey which provided a database of observed daily trips.

## Mode Choice Model

The Mode Choice Model applies a logit model to home-based work, home-base other and non-home based trips. In addition, non-home based trips are further divided into work-related and non-work related. Home-based university trips are dealt with separately, using the work model. The mode choice models use the travel times and costs of the highway and transit systems to estimate the proportion of trips which are allocated to the transit system, single occupancy vehicle trips and high occupancy vehicle trips. Two surveys prepared by the Council provided data for calibrating the mode choice model, the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey and the 1990 Transit Onboard Survey.

## Temporal Distribution Model

The Temporal Distribution Model splits the daily trip tables into time segments to replicate the peak hours, peak period and off-peak travel periods.

## Assignment Model

The Assignment model distributes vehicle trips onto the highway system through a capacity restrained equilibrium method. Capacity on the highway system, in proportion to the volume of travel assigned to each link in an iteration, results in a decrease in speed on the link. The relationship between volume and capacity was adjusted for certain facility types based on 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Highway Speed Survey data, rather than solely using the default Bureau of Public Roads ratios.

FIGURE 1
GENERAL FLOW DESCRIPTION OF THE TRIP GENERATION MODELS


## G. AIR QUALITY MODELING

A regional air quality analysis was prepared using the MOBILE5A and EMIS air quality analysis models. Average speed factor table and sample input files are in exhibit 2 of Section VI. The MOBILE5A model is used to produce carbon monoxide emission factors from mobile sources for the region. Sample input files for MOBILE5A and EMIS are in Exhibit 2, along with the output emission factors. EMIS is used to calculate the daily mobile source air pollution. The calculation is based on emission factors from MOBILE5A (in grams per vehicle mile), vehicle miles of travel (VMT), and congested speed from a highway assignment. Travel on Centroid connectors, and intrazonal travel also are accounted for by the model. EMIS summarizes daily pollutant emissions from calculations performed on the model, on a link-by-link basis. Major steps within EMIS are as follows:

- Read the capacity-restrained link loadings, speeds, area types, facility types, and number of lanes.
- Read the intrazonal vehicle trips, and allocate them to Centroid connectors in proportion to interzonal trip loading on the Centroid connectors.
- For each link, pick the CO emission rate from the MOBILE 5A run. Rates are picked on the basis of area type, facility type, and capacity restrained speed. Linear interpolation is used to calculate emission rates that fall between the speed increments developed by MOBILE 5A
- Multiply the link distance by the loading to obtain VMT for the link.
- Accumulate VMT, VHT and emissions by geographic area, facility type, area type and number of 1 Outside of EMIS, the emissions for each time period of the regional forecast are aggregated to a daily total and in tons per day.

The series of models currently used are not capable of analyzing individual transportation demand management strategies. This type of analysis must be performed "off-model" by applying CO reduction estimate techniques developed to analyze the benefits of CMAQ types of projects.

## Table 7 <br> MOBILE5A INPUT VALUES

The EPA-MOBILE5A model produced the vehicular CO emissions for the inventory using the following input values:


## IV. CONSULTATION

## A. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

A proactive public involvement process was used in the development and adoption of the Plan as required by the Council's Citizen Participation Plan. The plan and administrative procedures contains goals, strategies and procedures for public communication and involvement, public notices of meetings held by the Council and the conduct of hearings to formally solicit comments on the plan document. These documents were adopted after extensive public involvement in the preparation and review. A public hearing was held by the Council on the Plan with a 45 -day public comment period provided. During the comment period, copies of the plan were available at over 20 public libraries throughout the metropolitan area. The draft document for public comment and technical information are available free to the public through requests to the Council's Data Center. The record of these comments and TAB and Council's responses prior to adoption is part of the conformity documentation. The procedures for public comment process were reviewed through an interagency consultation process and revised to comply with the changes in Section 93.105 of the Conformity Rule.

## B. INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION PROCESS

An interagency consultation process was used to develop the TIP. Consultation will be continued through the public comment period to respond to comments and concerns raised by the agencies prior to final adoption by the TAB and concurrence by the Council.

The Council, MPCA and Mn/DOT confer on the application of the latest air quality emission models, the review and selection of projects exempted from a conformity air quality analysis, and regionally significant projects that must be included in the conformity analysis of the TIP. In response to concerns raised by the MPCA and to improve the interagency consultative process relative to the conformity determination of the plan and the TIP, an interagency conformity work group was formed. The work group has representatives from the Council, MPCA, Mn/DOT and FHWA. The following is a list of
interagency meetings held and scheduled to consult during the preparation and adoption of the TIP document.

## DATE

January-February, 1998

February 19, 1998
March, 1998
May, 1998

## ACTIVITY

Interagency conformity group (Council, MPCA, Mn/DOT and FHWA) work sessions to develop conformity review schedule and TIP revision guidelines for public review process.
TIP revision guidelines and conformity schedule memorandum presented to TAB's Technical Advisory Committee Funding and Programming Committee. MPCA reviews initial draft of the conformity section of draft TIP and provides comments to the Council.
TIP public review documents is reviewed by MPCA the and the agency's comments are added to public review document.

The TAB and its Technical Advisory Committee are involved in the TIP development and public review processes. The TAB membership provides a forum for the deliberation of regional transportation issues among state, regional and local elected officials, together with private citizens appointed by the Council. The MPCA and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ are represented on the TAB. The TAB's comments on the TIP and the Council's response, will be part of the public hearing record attached to the conformity determination documentation when submitted along with the TIP to Mn/DOT and submitted to the U.S. Department of Transportation.

## V. CONFORMITY TO THE SIP AND TIMELY IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANSPORTATION CONTROL MEASURES (TCM's)

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that the TIP does not conflict with the implementation of the SIP. All Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies which were the adopted TCM's for the region have been implemented or ongoing and funded. Table 8 is a summary and status of the TSM's found in the Transportation Air Quality Control Plan that describes the status of each TSM. Implementation of the TIP will not affect the schedules for completing the remaining TSM projects. It is anticipated that the Transportation Air Quality Control Plan will be revised in the near future.

There are no fully adopted regulatory new TCM's nor fully funded non-regulatory TCM's that will be implemented during the programming period of the TIP. There are no prior TCM's that were adopted since November 15, 1990, nor any prior TCM's that have been amended since that date.

Table 8 lists two TCM's that are traffic flow amendments to the SIP. The MPCA added them to the SIP since its original adoption. These include a one-way pair in Minneapolis to address air quality problems at a permanent monitoring site at Hennepin Avenue and Lake Street, and in St. Paul, a CO Traffic Management System at the Snelling and University Avenue monitoring site. While not control measures, the MPCA added two additional revisions to the SIP which reduce CO: a vehicle emissions inspection/maintenance program, implemented in 1991, to correct the region-wide carbon monoxide problem, and a federally mandated four-month oxygenated gasoline program implemented in November 1992.

The MPCA requested that the U.S. EPA add a third revision to the SIP, a contingency measure consisting of a year-round oxygenated gasoline program if the CO standards were violated after 1995. The U.S. EPA has approved this proposal. Because of current state law which remains in effect, however, the Twin Cities area has had a year-round program starting in 1995, regardless of any U.S. EPA rulemaking.

Table 8

## TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

## TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATEGIES

## STATUS

## Vehicle Inspection/Maintenance <br> (listed in Transportation Control Plan as a TSM Strategy)

| - Establish VIM Program | - Program became operational in July 1991. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exclusive Bus/Carpool Lane |  |
| - I-35W Bus/Metered Freeway Project | - Metered freeway access locations have bus and carpool bypass lanes at strategic intersections on 1-35W and I394. |
| - Reserved transit lanes in 3rd Ave. distributor in Minneapolis | - 3rd Ave. distributor project including exclusive bus/carpool lanes was completed in 1992. |
| Alternative Fuels or Engines |  |
| - Gasohol demonstration project | - Council implemented an alternatives fuel testing program for buses initiated in 1992; completed in 1996. |

## Cold Start Emissions Reductions

| - Auto plug-in program for cold-start reductions | - Not an adopted strategy after a study of its feasibility. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Staggered Work Hours |  |
| - Variable work hours implemented by various agencies | - City, county and state employees have flex time programs available. Other employers allow flextime and help support van and carpooling programs. These programs are actively promoted and financially supported by employers. |
| Improved Public Transit |  |
| - Reduced Metro Transit fares | - Special marketing concepts continue to be introduced and tested by Metro Transit to increase ridership. |
| - Metro Transit Downtown Fare Zone | - Special reduced fares for Mpls. and St. Paul downtowns implemented and ongoing. |
| - Community Centered Transit | - "Opt-out" provisions now allow communities to develop local service. Several community-focused transit hubs are being developed. |
| - Flexible Transit | - Alternative modes introduced to provide specialized transit service. |
| - Total Community Service Demonstration (elderly, persons with disabilities service) | - An accessible route service implemented in addition to Metro Mobility service. |
| - Responsibleness in Routing and Scheduling | - Transit agencies have active planning and communication programs with communities. |
| - CBD Parking Shuttle | - Shuttle service incorporated with the CBD regular route special fare zone. |

Table 8
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

| TWIN CITEES AREA TSM STRATEGIES. | STATUS |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Simplified Fare Structure | - Council implemented a simplified fare structure that consists of a base rate with a rush hour and express service supplemental rates. Structure further revised in 1996. |
| - Bus Shelters | - Established ongoing program of installing and maintaining bus shelters. |
| - Rider Information | - Region-wide transit information is available through CBD Transit Stores and a computerized phone system. |
| - Transit Marketing | - Transit marketing remains an integral part of transit planning and the provision of services by the Council. |
| - Cost Accounting Transit Performance Funding | - Operation computer models developed to assess transit costs and establish performance measures. |
| - Transit Maintenance Program | - Construction of new maintenance garages and bus overhaul facilities. |
| - "Real-time" Monitoring | - ITS "real time" programs implemented on I-394 corridor. |
| - Park and Ride | - Joint Metro Transitl-Mn/DOT program for the planning and construction of park-and-ride facilities throughout the region is ongoing. |
| Area-wide Carpool Programs |  |
| - Expand Existing Area-wide Shared-ride Programs | - Commuter Services (rideshare) program is actively marketed by the Council and was redesigned and expanded in 1994. |
| On-street Parking Controls |  |
| - Enforcement of Parking Idling and Traffic Ordinances | - Ongoing enforcement aggressively pursued by Mpls. and St. Paul. |
| Park and Ride/Fringe Parking |  |
| - CBD Fringe Parking Programs in Mpls. and St. Paul | - Mpls. and St. Paul developed and are implementing ongoing programs for fringe parking and incentives to encourage carpooling. |
| Pedestrian Malls |  |
| - Nicollet Mall (Mpls.) | - Nicollet Mall renovations and extension completed. |
| - Pedestrian Facilities/skyway Systems | - Extension of Mpls. skyway system to the fringe parking in the 3rd Ave. distributor is completed. |
| - CBD Housing and Related Pedestrian Way | - Mpls. and St. Paul continue to promote the expansion of their skyway systems as part of this CBD development process. |
| Employer Programs for Transit, Paratransit and Bicycles |  |

Table 8
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

| TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATEGIES | STATUS |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Shared-ride Programs Implemented and Underway in the Metropolitan Area | - A number of Twin Cities employers have van and carpool programs and participate in Minnesota Rideshare program. Technical assistance is provided by the Council. |
|  | - Transportation Management Organizations established in downtown Minneapolis, St. Paul and I-494 Strip in Bloomington. |
| Bicycle Lanes and Storage |  |
| - Bicycle Facilities Implemented by Various Cities in Metropolitan Area | - Provisions for bicycle parking are included in fringe parking facilities for downtown Minneapolis. ISTEA funds are being used to develop bicycle facilities. |
| Traffic Flow Improvements |  |
| - Minneapolis Computerized Traffic Management | - Minneapolis system installed. New hardware and software installation completed in 1992. |
| - St. Paul Computerized Traffic Management System | - St. Paul system completed in 1991. |
| - New Construction - Minneapolis; 3rd Ave. Distributor, I-35E, St. Paul | - 3rd Ave. distributor with computerized signals completed. I-35E through the downtown St. Paut reconstructed. |
| - University and Snelling Avenues, St. Paul; traffic flow improvements | - Improvements completed in 1990 and became fully operational in 1991. |

## V. EXHIBITS

This section contains the exhibits referenced in Sections IV(G) and III(B) of this appendix.

Exhibit 1
AVERAGE SPEED BASED ON VOLUME TO CAPACITY RATIOS (V/C BY FACILITY TYPES AND BY AREA TYPE)

AVERAGE SPEED (MPH)

| V/C | FREEWAYS |  | ARTIERIALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CBD/CC | Sub/Rural | CBD | CC. | Sub/Rural |
| 0.0 | 50.0 | 65.0 | 21.8 | 29.8 | 32.2 |
| 0.1 | 48.0 | 62.5 | 21.3 | 29.5 | 32.0 |
| 0.2 | 46.0 | 60.0 | 20.8 | 29.2 | 31.8 |
| 0.3 | 44.0 | 57.5 | 20.3 | 28.8 | 31.6 |
| 0.4 | 42.0 | 55.0 | 19.8 | 28.5 | 31.4 |
| 0.5 | 40.0 | 52.5 | 19.3 | 28.2 | 31.2 |
| 0.6 | 38.0 | 50.5 | 18.8 | 27.8 | 31.0 |
| 0.7 | 36.0 | 47.5 | 18.3 | 27.5 | 30.8 |
| 0.8 | 34.0 | 44.5 | 17.8 | 27.2 | 30.6 |
| 0.9 | 32.0 | 41.0 | 16.4 | 21.1 | 22.8 |
| 1.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| 1.1 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 1.2 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| 1.3 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 1.4 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 1.5 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 1.6 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |

Source: Special Area Analysis Manual, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1973.

## Exhibit 2

Sample of Mobile 5A Input and Output Files for the Year 2000


Mobile 5A Output for the 2000 Model Year

| $\begin{array}{lc} \text { SCENARIO } & 1 \\ \text { SPEED }= & 3.0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Voc | HC: | 7.91 | 10.88 | 15.60 | 12.34 | 14.58 | 1.13 | 1.84 | 4.72 | 12.02 | 9.12 |
| Exhst | HC: | 7.90 | 10.87 | 15.59 | 12.34 | 14.57 | 1.13 | 1.84 | 4.72 | 12.02 | 9.11 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | g HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 93.25 | 116.98 | 157.28 | 129.50 | 175.98 | 4.47 | 5.40 | 35.46 | 169.50 | 102.08 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.54 | 2.94 | 4.00 | 3.27 | 4.56 | 1.87 | 2.56 | 18.16 | 1.14 | 3.83 |
| SPEED $=6.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voc | HC: | 4.38 | 5.97 | 8.49 | 6.75 | 11.15 | . 97 | 1.58 | 4.05 | 7.14 | 5.22 |
| Exhst | HC: | 4.37 | 5.96 | 8.49 | 6.75 | 11.13 | . 97 * | 1.58 | 4.05 | 7.14 | 5.21 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | ( HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 52.08 | 64.94 | 86.07 | 71.50 | 135.10 | 3.52 | 4.25 | 27.91 | 92.13 | 58.44 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.10 | 2.45 | 3.34 | 2.72 | 4.70 | 1.65 | 2.26 | 16.03 | 1.02 | 3.27 |
| SPEED $=9.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 3.20 | 4.31 | 6.06 | 4.85 | 8.66 | . 84 | 1.36 | 3.50 | 4.96 | 3.84 |
| Exhst | HC: | 3.19 | 4.30 | 6.06 | 4.85 | 8.64 | . 84 | 1.36 | 3.50 | 4.96 | 3.84 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | H HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 38.36 | 47.49 | 61.86 | 51.95 | 105.80 | 2.81 | 3.40 | 22.33 | 59.57 | 43.11 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.96 | 2.28 | 3.12 | 2.54 | 4.84 | 1.48 | 2.02 | 14.33 | . 96 | 3.02 |
| SPEED $=12.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.61 | 3.48 | 4.85 | 3.91 | 6.82 | . 73 | 1.19 | 3.05 | 3.84 | 3.13 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.60 | 3.47 | 4.85 | 3.90 | 6.81 | . 73 | 1.19 | 3.05 | 3.84 | 3.12 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 31.50 | 38.81 | 49.84 | 42.24 | 84.51 | 2.29 | 2.76 | 18.16 | 43.50 | 35.17 |
| Exhst | nox: | 1.89 | 2.20 | 3.02 | 2.45 | 4.98 | 1.34 | 1.83 | 12.98 | . 95 | 2.87 |
| SPEED $=15.0$ 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.26 | 2.98 | 4.13 | 3.34 | 5.46 | . 64 | 1.04 | 2.68 | 3.20 | 2.68 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.25 | 2.98 | 4.13 | 3.33 | 5.44 | . 64 | 1.04 | 2.68 | 3.20 | 2.68 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | ( HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HS HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 27.38 | 33.63 | 42.70 | 36.45 | 68.85 | 1.89 | 2.29 | 15.01 | 34.45 | 30.28 |
| Exhst | nox: | 1.84 | 2.15 | 2.95 | 2.40 | 5.12 | 1.23 | 1.68 | 11.90 | . 97 | 2.76 |
| SPEED $=18.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.02 | 2.65 | 3.66 | 2.96 | 4.43 | . 57 | . 92 | 2.37 | 2.80 | 2.38 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.02 | 2.65 | 3.65 | 2.96 | 4.42 | . 57 | . 92 | 2.37 | 2.80 | 2.37 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | ( HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 24.63 | 30.18 | 37.97 | 32.60 | 57.22 | 1.59 | 1.92 | 12.62 | 28.72 | 26.97 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.81 | 2.12 | 2.91 | 2.37 | 5.27 | 1.14 | 1.56 | 11.06 | 1.02 | 2.68 |
| SPEED $=21.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.81 | 2.38 | 3.28 | 2.66 | 3.65 | . 51 | . 83 | 2.12 | 2.51 | 2.12 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.80 | 2.37 | 3.27 | 2.65 | 3.64 | . 51 | . 83 | 2.12 | 2.51 | 2.11 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | g HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 21.78 | 26.93 | 33.84 | 29.08 | 48.50 | 1.36 | 1.64 | 10.78 | 24.67 | 23.83 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.82 | 2.12 | 2.91 | 2.36 | 5.41 | 1.07 | 1.47 | 10.40 | 1.08 | 2.64 |


| SPEED $=$ | $=24.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOC | HC: | 1.61 | 2.14 | 2.94 | 2.39 | 3.06 | . 46 | . 74 | 1.91 | 2.29 | 1.89 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.60 | 2.13 | 2.94 | 2.38 | 3.04 | . 46 | . 74 | 1.91 | 2.29 | 1.88 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | C0: | 18.87 | 23.65 | 29.84 | 25.57 | 41.93 | 1.18 | 1.42 | 9.36 | 21.55 | 20.74 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.85 | 2.14 | 2.95 | 2.39 | 5.55 | 1.02 | 1.40 | 9.91 | 1.15 | 2.64 |
| SPEED $=27.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| voc | HC: | 1.45 | 1.95 | 2.68 | 2.17 | 2.60 | . 42 | . 67 | 1.73 | 2.11 | 1.70 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.44 | 1.94 | 2.67 | 2.17 | 2.58 | . 42 | . 67 | 1.73 | 2.11 | 1.70 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 16.60 | 21.07 | 26.70 | 22.82 | 36.98 | 1.04 | 1.26 | 8.26 | 19.00 | 18.34 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.87 | 2.16 | 2.98 | 2.41 | 5.69 | . 99 | 1.35 | 9.57 | 1.21 | 2.64 |
| SPEED $=30.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| voc | HC: | 1.33 | 1.79 | 2.47 | 2.00 | 2.24 | . 38 | . 62 | 1.58 | 1.95 | 1.56 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.32 | 1.79 | 2.46 | 2.00 | 2.22 | . 38 | . 62 | 1.58 | 1.95 | 1.55 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 14.78 | 19.00 | 24.19 | 20.61 | 33.26 | . 93 | 1.13 | 7.41 | 16.85 | 16.43 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.89 | 2.18 | 3.00 | 2.43 | 5.83 | . 97 | 1.32 | 9.35 | 1.27 | 2.65 |
| SPEED $=33.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| voc | HC: | 1.22 | 1.67 | 2.30 | 1.86 | 1.96 | . 35 | . 57 | 1.46 | 1.81 | 1.44 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.22 | 1.66 | 2.29 | 1.86 | 1.94 | . 35 | . 57 | 1.46 | 1.81 | 1.43 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 13.30 | 17.30 | 22.13 | 18.80 | 30.52 | . 85 | 1.03 | 6.76 | 15.06 | 14.87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.14 | 1.56 | 2.15 | 1.75 | 1.74 | . 33 | . 53 | 1.35 | 1.70 | 1.34 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.13 | 1.56 | 2.14 | 1.74 | 1.73 | . 33 | . 53 | 1.35 | 1.70 | 1.33 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 12.06 | 15.89 | 20.41 | 17.29 | 28.56 | . 79 | . 95 | 6.27 | 13.58 | 13.59 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.91 | 2.20 | 3.03 | 2.46 | 6.12 | . 96 | 1.31 | 9.29 | 1.36 | 2.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.07 | 1.47 | 2.03 | 1.65 | 1.57 | . 30 | . 49 | 1.27 | 1.61 | 1.26 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.06 | 1.47 | 2.02 | 1.64 | 1.56 | . 30 | . 49 | 1.27 | 1.61 | 1.25 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 11.02 | 14.71 | 18.97 | 16.03 | 27.26 | . 74 | . 90 | 5.91 | 12.41 | 12.53 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.92 | 2.21 | 3.05 | 2.47 | 6.26 | . 97 | 1.33 | 9.44 | 1.39 | 2.70 |
| SPEED $=42.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.01 | 1.40 | 1.93 | 1.56 | 1.44 | . 29 | . 47 | 1.19 | 1.54 | 1.19 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.92 | 1.56 | 1.42 | . 29 | . 47 | 1.19 | 1.54 | 1.18 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Ruming | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 10.12 | 13.71 | 17.76 | 14.97 | 26.55 | . 71 | . 86 | 5.66 | 11.52 | 11.63 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.93 | 2.22 | 3.06 | 2.48 | 6.40 | 1.00 | 1.37 | 9.71 | 1.42 | 2.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | . 95 | 1.34 | 1.84 | 1.49 | 1.34 | . 27 | . 44 | 1.14 | 1.49 | 1.13 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 94 | 1.33 | 1.83 | 1.49 | 1.32 | . 27 | . 44 | 1.14 | 1.49 | 1.12 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 9.34 | 12.86 | 16.71 | 14.06 | 26.36 | . 69 | . 84 | 5.51 | 10.85 | 10.88 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.94 | 2.23 | 3.07 | 2.49 | 6.54 | 1.04 | 1.43 | 10.12 | 1.44 | 2.77 |


| SPEED | 48.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOC | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.77 | 1.43 | 1.26 | . 26 | . 42 | 1.09 | 1.47 | 1.07 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.76 | 1.43 | 1.24 | . 26 | . 42 | 1.09 | 1.47 | 1.07 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 8.66 | 12.13 | 15.81 | 13.27 | 26.70 | . 69 | . 83 | 5.46 | 10.35 | 10.25 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.95 | 2.24 | 3.09 | 2.50 | 6.68 | 1.10 | 1.51 | 10.68 | 1.47 | 2.82 |
| SPEED $=51.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.77 | 1.43 | 1.21 | . 25 | . 41 | 1.05 | 1.47 | 1.07 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.76 | 1.43 | 1.19 | . 25 | .41 | 1.05 | 1.47 | 1.06 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 8.66 | 12.13 | 15.81 | 13.27 | 27.59 | . 69 | . 84 | 5.49 | 10.35 | 10.28 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.14 | 2.50 | 3.46 | 2.80 | 6.82 | 1.18 | 1.61 | 11.42 | 1.61 | 3.07 |
| SPEED $=54.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.77 | 1.43 | 1.17 | . 25 | . 40 | 1.03 | 1.47 | 1.07 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.76 | 1.43 | 1.16 | . 25 | . 40 | 1.03 | 1.47 | 1.06 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 8.66 | 12.13 | 15.81 | 13.27 | 29.08 | . 71 | . 85 | 5.62 | 10.35 | 10.33 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.33 | 2.76 | 3.83 | 3.09 | 6.96 | 1.28 | 1.75 | 12.37 | 1.76 | 3.34 |
| SPEED $=57.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | . 97 | 1.39 | 1.93 | 1.56 | 1.15 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.01 | 1.68 | 1.14 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 96 | 1.39 | 1.92 | 1.55 | 1.14 | . 24 | . 39 | 9.01 | 1.68 | 1.14 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Ruming | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 10.43 | 15.16 | 20.06 | 16.68 | 31.26 | . 74 | . 89 | 5.84 | 15.33 | 12.47 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.51 | 3.02 | 4.20 | 3.39 | 7.11 | 1.40 | 1.92 | 13.57 | 1.90 | 3.63 |
| SPEED $=60.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.07 | 1.55 | 2.17 | 1.74 | 1.16 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.00 | 1.99 | 1.26 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.06 | 1.55 | 2.16 | 1.74 | 1.14 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.00 | 1.99 | 1.25 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 13.07 | 19.70 | 26.44 | 21.79 | 34.28 | . 78 | . 94 | 6.17 | 22.81 | 15.67 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.70 | 3.29 | 4.57 | 3.69 | 7.25 | 1.55 | 2.13 | 15.07 | 2.04 | 3.93 |
| SPEED $=63.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.17 | 1.72 | 2.40 | 1.93 | 1.17 | . 24 | . 39 | . 99 | 2.30 | 1.37 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.16 | 1.71 | 2.40 | 1.92 | 1.16 | . 24 | . 39 | . 99 | 2.30 | 1.36 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 15.72 | 24.25 | 32.81 | 26.91 | 38.33 | . 84 | 1.01 | 6.63 | 30.28 | 18.91 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.89 | 3.55 | 4.94 | 3.98 | 7.39 | 1.75 | 2.39 | 16.96 | 2.19 | 4.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.24 | 1.82 | 2.56 | 2.05 | 1.19 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.00 | 2.51 | 1.45 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.23 | 1.82 | 2.56 | 2.05 | 1.18 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.00 | 2.51 | 1.44 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 09 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refue! | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 17.48 | 27.28 | 37.06 | 30.32 | 41.76 | . 88 | 1.07 | 7.02 | 35.26 | 21.10 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 3.02 | 3.73 | 5.19 | 4.18 | 7.48 | 1.91 | 2.61 | 18.48 | 2.28 | 4.50 |

MOBILE 5A Output for the 2000 Model Year

| $\begin{array}{ll} 10 & 1 \\ = & 3.0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOC | HC: | 7.92 | 10.90 | 15.63 | 12.37 | 14.58 | 1.13 | 1.84 | 4.72 | 12.02 | 9.13 |
| Exhst | HC: | 7.91 | 10.89 | 15.62 | 12.36 | 14.57 | 1.13 | 1.84 | 4.72 | 12.02 | 9.13 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 93.38 | 117.17 | 157.63 | 129.73 | 175.98 | 4.47 | 5.40 | 35.46 | 169.50 | 102.22 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.54 | 2.94 | 4.00 | 3.27 | 4.56 | 1.87 | 2.56 | 18.16 | 1.14 | 3.83 |
| SPEED $=6.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 4.38 | 5.98 | 8.51 | 6.76 | 11.15 | . 97 | 1.58 | 4.05 | 7.14 | 5.23 |
| Exhst | HC: | 4.38 | 5.97 | 8.50 | 6.76 | 11.13 | . 97 | 1.58 | 4.05 | 7.14 | 5.22 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | g HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 52.15 | 65.04 | 86.25 | 71.63 | 135.10 | 3.52 | 4.25 | 27.91 | 92.13 | 58.52 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.10 | 2.45 | 3.34 | 2.72 | 4.70 | 1.65 | 2.26 | 16.03 | 1.02 | 3.27 |
| SPEED $=9.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 3.21 | 4.31 | 6.08 | 4.86 | 8.66 | . 84 | 1.36 | 3.50 | 4.96 | 3.85 |
| Exhst | HC: | 3.20 | 4.31 | 6.07 | 4.86 | 8.64 | . 84 | 1.36 | 3.50 | 4.96 | 3.84 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | g HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | g HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 38.41 | 47.56 | 61.99 | 52.04 | 105.80 | 2.81 | 3.40 | 22.33 | 59.57 | 43.17 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.96 | 2.28 | 3.12 | 2.54 | 4.84 | 1.48 | 2.02 | 14.33 | . 96 | 3.02 |
| SPEED $=12.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.62 | 3.48 | 4.86 | 3.91 | 6.82 | . 73 | 1.19 | 3.05 | 3.84 | 3.13 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.61 | 3.48 | 4.86 | 3.91 | 6.81 | . 73 | 1.19 | 3.05 | 3.84 | 3.12 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | L HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | g HC : | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 33.54 | 38.87 | 49.94 | 42.31 | 84.51 | 2.29 | 2.76 | 18.16 | 43.50 | 35.21 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.89 | 2.20 | 3.02 | 2.45 | 4.98 | 1.34 | 1.83 | 12.98 | . 95 | 2.87 |
| SPEED $=15.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.26 | 2.99 | 4.14 | 3.35 | 5.46 | . 64 | 1.04 | 2.68 | 3.20 | 2.69 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.26 | 2.98 | 4.13 | 3.34 | 5.44 | . 64 | 1.04 | 2.68 | 3.20 | 2.68 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | ( HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | g HC : | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | H HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 27.42 | 33.68 | 42.78 | 36.51 | 68.85 | 1.89 | 2.29 | 15.01 | 34.45 | 30.32 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.84 | 2.15 | 2.95 | 2.40 | 5.12 | 1.23 | 1.68 | 11.90 | . 97 | 2.76 |
| SPEED $=18.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voc | HC: | 2.03 | 2.66 | 3.66 | 2.97 | 4.43 | . 57 | . 92 | 2.37 | 2.80 | 2.38 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.02 | 2.65 | 3.66 | 2.96 | 4.42 | . 57 | . 92 | 2.37 | 2.80 | 2.38 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | g HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | ( HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 24.67 | 30.22 | 38.04 | 32.65 | 57.22 | 1.59 | 1.92 | 12.62 | 28.72 | 27.01 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.81 | 2.12 | 2.91 | 2.37 | 5.27 | 1.14 | 1.56 | 11.06 | 1.02 | 2.68 |
| SPEED $=21.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.81 | 2.38 | 3.28 | 2.66 | 3.65 | . 51 | . 83 | 2.12 | 2.51 | 2.12 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.80 | 2.38 | 3.28 | 2.66 | 3.64 | . 51 | . 83 | 2.12 | 2.51 | 2.11 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | Hg HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | ng HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 21.81 | 26.97 | 33.91 | 29.12 | 48.50 | 1.36 | 1.64 | 10.78 | 24.67 | 23.86 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.82 | 2.12 | 2.91 | 2.36 | 5.41 | 1.07 | 1.47 | 10.40 | 1.08 | 2.64 |



| SPEED | 45.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOC | HC: | . 95 | 1.34 | 1.85 | 1.50 | 1.34 | . 27 | . 44 | 1.14 | 1.49 | 1.13 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 95 | 1.33 | 1.84 | 1.49 | 1.32 | . 27 | . 44 | 1.14 | 1.49 | 1.12 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 9.36 | 12.88 | 16.75 | 14.08 | 26.36 | . 69 | . 84 | 5.51 | 10.85 | 10.90 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 1.94 | 2.23 | 3.07 | 2.49 | 6.54 | 1.04 | 1.43 | 10.12 | 1.44 | 2.77 |
| SPEED $=48.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| voc | HC: | . 91 | 1.29 | 1.77 | 1.44 | 1.26 | . 26 | . 42 | 1.09 | 1.47 | 1.08 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.76 | 1.43 | 1.24 | . 26 | . 42 | 1.09 | 1.47 | 1.07 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | co: | 8.67 | 12.15 | 15.85 | 13.30 | 26.70 | . 69 | . 83 | 5.46 | 10.35 | 10.26 |
| Exhst | nox: | 1.95 | 2.23 | 3.09 | 2.50 | 6.68 | 1.10 | 1.51 | 10.68 | 1.47 | 2.82 |
| SPEED $=51.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | . 91 | 1.29 | 1.77 | 1.44 | 1.21 | . 25 | . 41 | 1.05 | 1.47 | 1.07 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.76 | 1.43 | 1.19 | . 25 | . 41 | 1.05 | 1.47 | 1.06 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 8.67 | 12.15 | 15.85 | 13.30 | 27.59 | . 69 | . 84 | 5.49 | 10.35 | 10.29 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.14 | 2.50 | 3.46 | 2.80 | 6.82 | 1.18 | 1.61 | 11.42 | 1.61 | 3.07 |
| SPEED $=54.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | . 91 | 1.29 | 1.77 | 1.44 | 1.17 | . 25 | . 40 | 1.03 | 1.47 | 1.07 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 90 | 1.28 | 1.76 | 1.43 | 1.16 | . 25 | . 40 | 1.03 | 1.47 | 1.06 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 8.67 | 12.15 | 15.85 | 13.30 | 29.08 | . 71 | . 85 | 5.62 | 10.35 | 10.35 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.33 | 2.76 | 3.83 | 3.09 | 6.96 | 1.28 | 1.75 | 12.37 | 1.76 | 3.34 |
| SPEED $=57.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | . 97 | 1.39 | 1.93 | 1.56 | 1.15 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.01 | 1.68 | 1.14 |
| Exhst | HC: | . 97 | 1.39 | 1.92 | 1.55 | 1.14 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.01 | 1.68 | 1.14 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 10.44 | 15.18 | 20.11 | 16.71 | 31.26 | . 74 | . 89 | 5.84 | 15.33 | 12.49 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { SPEED }=60.0 & 3.02 & 4.20 & 3.39 & 7.11 & 1.40 & 1.92 & 13.57 & 1.90 & 3.63\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.07 | 1.56 | 2.17 | 1.75 | 1.16 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.00 | 1.99 | 1.26 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.07 | 1.55 | 2.16 | 1.74 | 1.14 | . 24 | . 39 | 1.00 | 1.99 | 1.25 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 13.09 | 19.74 | 26.49 | 21.84 | 34.28 | . 78 | . 94 | 6.17 | 22.81 | 15.69 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.70 | 3.29 | 4.57 | 3.69 | 7.25 | 1.55 | 2.13 | 15.07 | 2.04 | 3.93 |
| SPEED $=63.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.17 | 1.72 | 2.41 | 1.93 | 1.17 | . 24 | . 39 | . 99 | 2.30 | 1.37 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.17 | 1.71 | 2.40 | 1.93 | 1.16 | . 24 | . 39 | . 99 | 2.30 | 1.37 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 01 |
| Refuel | ( HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 15.74 | 24.30 | 32.88 | 26.96 | 38.33 | . 84 | 1.01 | 6.63 | 30.28 | 18.94 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.89 | 3.55 | 4.94 | 3.98 | 7.39 | 1.75 | 2.39 | 16.96 | 2.19 | 4.26 |


| SPEED = 65.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VOC | HC: | 1.24 | 1.83 | 2.57 | 2.06 | 1.19 | .24 | .39 | 1.00 | 2.51 |
| Exhst HC: | 1.23 | 1.82 | 2.56 | 2.05 | 1.18 | .24 | .39 | 1.00 | 2.51 | 1.44 |
| Evap. HC: | .01 | .01 | .01 | .01 | .02 |  |  |  | .00 | .01 |
| Refuel HC: | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |  |  |  |  | .00 |
| Runing HC: | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |  |  |  |  | .00 |
| Rsting HC: | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |  |  |  | .00 | .00 |
| Exhst CO: | 17.51 | 27.33 | 37.14 | 30.38 | 41.76 | .88 | 1.07 | 7.02 | 35.26 | 21.13 |
| Exhst NOX: | 3.02 | 3.73 | 5.19 | 4.18 | 7.48 | 1.91 | 2.61 | 18.48 | 2.28 | 4.50 |

## EMIS Output for 2000 Model Year

 for the AM Peak Hour (6:30 to 7:30 AM)FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE --
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 2GMAR93
- RUN TIME: 08:30:56 3Mar98
INPUT CARD ECHO
SCENARIO 1 MOBILE.TEM
THE FOLLOWING IS A MATRIX WHICH ASSIGNS A SCENARIO TO EACH FT/AT COMBINATION
AT=> $1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5$

| FT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

INPUT COORDINATE SCALE(UNITS) FROM PROFILE.MAS IS ..... 99

| florida standard urban transportation modeling structure -Emission model for mobile 5.a -- program date: 2gmar93 <br> - RUN TIME: 08:31:05 3Mar98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEOGRAPHIC | LOCATION NO 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | total | EXhaUSt E | EVAPORATE RE | REFUELING | RUN | LOSS | EXHAUST | EXHAUST |
| FT AT | VOC | HC | HC | HC |  | HC | CO | NOX |
| 11 | 433960. | 431690. | . 2655. | 0 |  | 0. | 4596791. | 719032. |
| 12 | 381845. | 380419. | 2387. | . 0 |  | 0. | 4101405. | 658198. |
| 13 | 403101. | 400706. | 2913. | 0 |  | 0. | 4156927. | 833604. |
| 14 | 263123. | 261481. | . 1934. | . 0 |  | 0. | 2682209. | 530961. |
| 15 | 134728. | 134041. | . 881. | . 0 |  | 0. | 1414047. | 242316. |
| 21 | 387422. | 385236. | 3230. | 0 |  | 0. | 4005880. | 991429. |
| 22 | 474145. | 471556. | 3397. | - 0 |  | 0. | 5215777. | 1102231. |
| 23 | 633493. | 629311. | . 5387. | . 0 |  | 0. | 6218216. | 1569196. |
| 24 | 123765. | 123087. | . 994. | . 0 |  | 0. | 1233516. | 274346. |
| 25 | 120605. | 119998. | . 892. | . 0 |  | 0. | 1222479. | 247047. |
| 31 | 21925. | 21846. | . 107. | . 0 |  | 0. | 242253. | 28565. |
| 32 | 2055. | 2045. | . 13. | 0 |  | 0. | 21797. | 3403. |
| 33 | 19828. | 19742. | 104. | . 0 |  | 0. | 218092. | 27530. |
| 34 | 11105. | 11061. | . 56. | . 0 |  | 0. | 122154. | 14982. |
| 35 | 5498. | 5472. | 29. | . 0 |  | 0. | 60326. | 7714. |
| 41 | 39518. | 39361. | . 209. | 0 |  | 0. | 433850. | 55187. |
| 42 | 18051. | 17957. | . 113. | 0 |  | 0. | 191312. | 2994. |
| 43 | 47452. | 47263. | . 256. | 0 |  | 0. | 519542. | 67514. |
| 44 | 31030. | 30912. | 1 153. | . 0 |  | 0. | 343614. | 40710. |
| 45 | 18317. | 18238. | 95. | - 0 |  | 0. | 201860. | 25144. |
| 51 | 395479. | 393305. | . 3125. | . 0 |  | 0. | 3970351. | 878840. |
| 52 | 496786. | 493983. | . 3799. | . 0 |  | 0. | 5001143. | 1042792. |
| 53 | 212957. | 211428. | . 1585. | . 0 |  | 0. | 2156562. | 427534. |
| 54 | 69209. | 68776. | 476. | . 0 |  | 0. | 717336. | 127162. |
| 55 | 92444. | 91855. | 627. | . 0 |  | 0. | 959926. | 167600. |
| 61 | 712672. | 710367. | . 6034. | - 0 |  | 0. | 7010820. | 1679215. |
| 62 | 737648. | 732824. | . 5124. | - 0 |  | 0 | 7576634. | 1385108. |
| 63 | 312813. | 310771. | . 2107. | . 0 |  | 0 | 3223349. | 568291. |
| 64 | 120578. | 119996. | . 593. | . 0 |  | 0 | 1339956. | 156903. |
| 65 | 95878. | 95414. | 468. | . 0 |  | 0. | 1066051. | 123985. |
| gl total | 6817431. | 6780115. | 49743. | 0 |  | 0. | 70224320. | 14026534. |
| (TONS) | 7.51 | 7.47 | 77 | 5 |  | . 00 | 77.34 | 15.45 |

FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE .EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: ZGMAR93 RUN TIME: 08:31:05 3Mar98

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

| all geographic locations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | EXHAUST E | EVAPORATE R | REFUELING | RUN | LOSS | EXhaUst | EXHAUST |
| FT | AT | voc | HC | HC | HC |  | HC | co | NOX |
| 11 433960. 431690. 2655. 0. 0. 4596791. 719032. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 381845. | 380419. | . 2387. | 7 0 |  | 0 | 4101405. | 658198. |
| 1 | 3 | 403101. | 400706. | . 2913. | - 0 |  | 0 | 4156927. | 833604. |
| 1 | 4 | 263123. | 261481. | . 1934. | . 0 |  | 0. | 2682209. | 530961. |
| 1 | 5 | 134728. | 134041. | . 881. | . 0 |  | 0 | 1414047. | 242316. |
| 2 | 1 | 387422. | 385236. | . 3230. | . 0 |  | 0. | 4005880. | 991429. |
| 2 | 2 | 474145. | 471556. | . 3397. | 7 0 |  | 0 | 5215777. | 1102231. |
| 2 | 3 | 633493. | 629311. | . 5387. | 7 0 |  | 0 | 6218216. | 1569196. |
| 2 | 4 | 123765. | 123087. | . 994. | . 0 |  | 0. | 1233516. | 274346. |
| 2 | 5 | 120605. | 119998. | . 892. | 2 0 |  | 0. | 1222479. | 247047. |
| 3 | 1 | 21925. | 21846. | . 107. | 7 0 |  | 0. | 242253. | 28565. |
| 3 | 2 | 2055. | 2045. | . 13. | 3 0 |  | 0. | 21797. | 3403. |
| 3 | 3 | 19828. | 19742. | 104. | . 0 |  | 0. | 218092. | 27530. |
| 3 | 4 | 11105. | 11061. | . 56. | . 0 |  | 0. | 122154. | 14982. |
| 3 | 5 | 5498. | 5472. | - 29. | . 0 |  | 0. | 60326. | 7714. |
| 4 | 1 | 39518. | 39361. | . 209. | . 0 |  | 0. | 433850. | 55187. |
| 4 | 2 | 18051. | 17957. | . 113. | . 0 |  | 0. | 191312. | 29941. |
| 4 | 3 | 47452. | 47263. | . 256. | . 0 |  | 0. | 519542. | 67514. |
| 4 | 4 | 31030. | 30912. | . 153. | . 0 |  | 0. | 343614. | 40710. |
| 4 | 5 | 18317. | 18238. | . 95. | . 0 |  | 0. | 201860. | 25144. |
| 5 | 1 | 395479. | 393305. | . 3125. | . 0 |  | 0. | 3970351. | 878840. |
| 5 | 2 | 496786. | 493983. | . 3799. | . 0 |  | 0. | 5001143. | 1042792. |
| 5 | 3 | 212957. | 211428. | . 1585. | . 0 |  | 0. | 2156562. | 427534. |
| 5 | 4 | 69209. | 68776. | . 476. | . 0 |  | 0 | 717336. | 127162. |
| 5 | 5 | 92444. | 91855. | 627. | . 0 |  | 0 | 959926. | 167600. |
| 6 | 1 | 712672. | 710367. | . 6034. | . 0 |  | 0. | 7010820. | 1679215. |
| 6 | 2 | 737648. | 732824. | . 5124. | . 0 |  | 0. | 7576634. | 1385108. |
| 6 | 3 | 312813. | 310771. | . 2107. | 7 0 |  | 0. | 3223349. | 568291. |
| 6 | 4 | 120578. | 119996. | . 593. | . 0 |  | 0. | 1339956. | 156903. |
| 6 | 5 | 95878. | 95414. | . 468. | . 0 |  | 0. | 1066051. | 123985. |
| sum |  | 6817431. | 6780115. | . 49743. | . 0 |  | 0. | 70224320. | 14026534. |
| (TONS |  | 7.51 | 7.47 | 7 . 05 | 5 . 0 |  | . 00 | 77.34 | 15.45 |


florida standard urban transportation modeling structure .EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 08:31:05 3Mar98


## daily vehicle miles

| DAILY VMT | GEOGR | lOCA | N No | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FT | 1 | 2 | AREA TYPES 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 265560. | 239118. | 291256. | 193428. | 88112. |
| 2 | 322970. | 339706. | 538716. | 99396. | 89244. |
| 3 | 10711. | 1285. | 10424. | 5637. | 2922. |
| 4 | 20873. | 11308. | 25572. | 15322. | 9516. |
| 5 | 312458. | 379898. | 158457. | 47618. | 62728. |
| 6 | 611656. | 512408. | 211211. | 59265. | 46812. |
| GL TOTAL | 1544227. | 1483724. | 1235632. | 420667. | 299335. |



| FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93 <br> - RUN TIME: 08:31:05 3Mar98 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| daily vehicle hours |  |  |  |  |  |
| daily vht - geographic location no 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FT | 1 | 2 | TYPE 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 9539. | 8054. | 8230. | 5483. | 2892. |
| 2 | 7152. | 8834. | 12451. | 2507. | 2546. |
| 3 | 501. | 44. | 437. | 251. | 121. |
| 4 | 873. | 387. | 1042. | 699. | 405. |
| 5 | 7995. | 10306. | 4421. | 1459. | 1960. |
| 6 | 50058. | 15764. | 7286. | 2700. | 2154. |
| GL total | 76119. | 43390. | 33867. | 13099. | 10078. |



FLORIDA STANDARD URBAN TRANSPORTATION MODELING STRUCTURE --

## EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -. PROGRAM DATE: 26 MAR93

RUN TIME: 08:31:05 3Mar98
AVERAGE CONGESTED SPEED (mph)

| AVERAGE SPEED | GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION NO | 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| 1 | 27.84 | 29.69 | 35.39 | 35.28 | 30.47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.16 | 38.46 | 43.27 | 39.65 | 35.06 |
| 3 | 21.39 | 29.14 | 23.83 | 22.50 | 24.14 |
| 4 | 23.90 | 29.19 | 24.54 | 21.91 | 23.48 |
| 5 | 39.08 | 36.86 | 35.84 | 32.64 | 32.00 |
| 6 | 12.22 | 32.51 | 28.99 | 21.95 | 21.73 |
| GL TOTAL | 20.29 | 34.20 | 36.49 | 32.12 | 29.70 |



## EXHIBIT 3

## PROJECTS THAT DO NOT IMPACT REGIONAL EMISSIONS, AND PROJECTS THAT ALSO DO NOT REQUIRE LOCAL CARBON MONOXIDE IMPACT ANALYSIS


#### Abstract

Certain transportation projects eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Urban Mass Transportation Act have no impact on regional emissions. These are "exempt" projects that, because of their nature, will not affect the outcome of any regional emissions analyses and add no substance to those analyses. These projects (as listed in Section 93.126 of conformity rules) are excluded from the regional emissions analyses required in order to determine conformity of TIPs.


Following is a list of "exempt" projects and their corresponding codes used in column "AQ" of the 19992002 TIP. The coding system is revised from previous TIPs to be consistent with the coding system for exempt projects in the proposed Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) revision to the State Implementation Plan for Air Quality for Transportation Conformity.

Except for projects given an "A" code or a "B" code, the categories listed under Air Quality should be viewed as advisory in nature, and relate to project specific requirements rather than to the TIP air quality conformity requirements. They are intended for project applicants to use in the preparation of any required federal documents. Ultimate responsibility for determining the need for a hot-spot analysis for a project under 40 CFR Pt. 51, Subp. T (The transportation conformity rule) rests with the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Council has provided the categorization as a guide to project applicants of possible conformity requirements, if the applicants decide to pursue federal funding for the project.
SAFETY
Railroad/highway crossing ..... S-1
Hazard elimination program ..... S-2
Safer non-federal-aid system roads ..... S-3
Shoulder improvements ..... S-4
Increasing sight distance ..... S-5
Safety improvement program ..... S-6
Traffic control devices and operating assistance other than signalization projects ..... S-7
Railroad/highway crossing warning devices ..... S-8
Guardrails, median barriers, crash cushions ..... S-9
Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation ..... S-10
Pavement marking demonstration ..... S-11
Emergency relief (23 U.S.C. 125) ..... S-12
Fencing ..... S-13
Skid treatments ..... S-14
Safety roadside rest areas ..... S-15
Adding medians ..... S-16
Truck climbing lanes outside the urbanized area ..... S-17
Lighting improvements ..... S-18
Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges
(no additional travel lanes) ..... S-19
Emergency truck pullovers ..... S-20
MASS TRANSIT
Operating assistance to transit agencies ..... T-1
Purchase of support vehicles ..... T-2
Rehabilitation of transit vehicles ..... T-3
Purchase of office, shop, and operating equipment
for existing facilities ..... T-4
Purchase of operating equipment for vehicles
(e.g., radios, fareboxes, lifts, etc.) ..... T-5
Construction or renovation of power, signal, and communications systems ..... T-6
Construction of small passenger shelters and information kiosks ..... T-7
Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures
(e.g., rail or bus buildings, storage and maintenance facilities, stations, terminals, and ancillary structures) ..... T-8
Rehabilitation or reconstruction of track structures, track and trackbed in existing rights-of-way ..... T-9
Purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet ..... T-10
Construction of new bus or rail storage/maintenance facilities categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771 ..... T-11
AIR OUALITYContinuation of ride-sharing and van-pooling promotionactivities at current levels.AQ-1
Bicycle and pedestrian facilities ..... AQ-2
OTHER
Specific activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as:
Planning and technical studies
Grants for training and research programs
Planning activities conducted pursuant to titles 23 and 49 U.S.C. Federal-aid systems revisions ..... O-1
Engineering to assess social, economic and environmental effects of the proposed action or alternatives to that action ..... O-2
Noise attenuation ..... O-3
Advance land acquisitions (23 CFR 712 or 23 CRF 771) ..... O-4
Acquisition of scenic easements ..... O-5
Plantings, landscaping, etc. ..... O-6
Sign removal ..... 0.7
Directional and informational signs ..... O-8
Transportation enhancement activities (except rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities) ..... 0-9
Repair of damage caused by natural disasters, civil unrest, or terrorist acts, except projects involving substantial functional, locational, or capacity changes ..... O-10
Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses that may Require Further Air Ouality Analysis
The local effects of these projects with respect to carbon monoxide concentrations must be considered to determine if a "hot-spot" type of an analysis is required prior to making a project-level conformity determination. These projects may then proceed to the project development process even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and TIP. A particular action of the type listed below is not exempt from regional emissions analysis if the MPO in consultation with other state agencies MPCA, Mn/DOT, the EPA, and the FHWA (in the case of a highway project) or the FTA (in the case of a transit project) concur that it has potential regional impacts for any reason.
Channelization projects include left and right turn lanes and continuous left-turn lanes as well as those turn movements that are physically separated. Signalization projects include reconstruction of existing signals as well as installation of new signals. Signal preemption projects are exempt from hotspotanalysis. Final determination of which intersections require an intersection analysis by the projectapplicant rests with the U.S.DOT as part of its conformity determination for an individual project.
Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses
Intersection channelization projects ..... E-1
Intersection signalization projects at individual intersections ..... E-2
Interchange reconfiguration projects ..... E-3
Changes in vertical and horizontal alignment ..... E-4
Truck size and weight inspection stations ..... E-5
Bus terminals and transfer points ..... E-6
Regionally significant projects
The following codes identify the projects included in the "action" scenarios of the TIP air quality analysis:
Baseline - Year 2000 ..... B-00
Action - Year 2000 ..... A-00
Action - Year 2005 ..... A-05
Action - Year 2010 ..... A-10
Non-Classifiable_Projects
Certain unique projects cannot be classified as denoted by a "NC." These projects were evaluated through an interagency consultation process and determined not to fit into any exempt nor intersectionlevel analysis category, but they are clearly not of a nature which would require inclusion in a regional air quality analysis.

## APPENDIX C

## PRIVATE TRANSIT PROVIDERS INVOLVEMENT IN THE PREPARATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

As requested by the Federal Transit Act (Sec. 3012) and Circular 7005.1, the following describes the process by which private transit providers were involved in developing the 1999-2002 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The Metropolitan Council is legislatively authorized to enter into and administer financial assistance agreements with transit providers in the metropolitan area. These transit service programs are classified as small urban, rural, replacement (opt-out) and regular route. The Council distributes state appropriations and/or regional property tax funds to these programs.

The Metropolitan Council identifies the anticipated capital needs of the regional public transit provider (Metro Transit). Private and public sector providers, numbering twenty-five, who operate regular route, dial-a-ride, paratransit and ADA services also require capital assistance. Transit projects which are proposed for inclusion in the TIP are reviewed and recommended for approval by the Metropolitan Council's Transit Providers' Advisory Committee.

In 1994, the Guidelines for Procurement of Service was revised. The guidelines provide uniform standards and procedures permitting public transit services to be procured consistently and equitably in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area, and they are applied whenever services are contracted.

## APPENDIX D

## REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION FINANCIAL PLAN

## Financial Outlook

This plan acknowledges the need for additional transportation resources to adequately address regional transportation needs. Existing and currently projected transportation funding levels will not be sufficient to adequately serve the travel needs of the future regional growth, even with aggressive implementation of the strategies described earlier. The transportation impacts caused by additional development will be mitigated but not eliminated. Current levels of regional accessibility will not be preserved, even if significant behavioral changes and maximum use of technological advances occur.

The existing system can be preserved and maintained adequately, but the expansion of transit and highway capacity will be very limited unless additional transportation resources are made available. Less than 15 percent of the total projected transportation investment is identified for highway capacity expansion. For over 30 years, the federal government provided funds for the construction of the Interstate Highway System. Federal funding levels no longer provide for major system expansion now that the Interstate System has been completed. In addition, state highway funding sources have not been increased since 1988.

The transit system desperately needs a stable, dedicated funding source. Transit funding is overly dependent on regional property tax levies for both operations and capital investments. Federal funding for transit operations has been drastically reduced and is expected to be eliminated. A great deal of pressure is placed on general fund appropriations and passenger fares just to preserve the existing system.

The financial plan recognizes that alternative funding sources must be pursued in addition to increases in traditional sources of transportation revenues. The financial package for any highway project estimated to cost at least $\$ 10$ million must use good faith efforts to include alternative funding sources. Toll roads, congestion pricing and parking surcharges are examples of alternative funding sources generated by users who directly benefit from the service or facility provided. The Council will work with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) to develop regional policies for use of alternative financing mechanisms and criteria in selecting pilot projects.

## REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION FINANCIAL PLAN

This financial plan describes the transportation investments that can be met with existing and proposed transportation funding sources reasonably expected during the planning period, as required by federal regulations. It acknowledges that projected funding levels will not be sufficient to adequately serve the travel increases projected due to significant regional population and economic growth. Without additional investments, regional accessibility to opportunities (work, business, education, recreation...), as measured by travel times, will deteriorate significantly. This, in turn, will severely constrain the movement of goods and people throughout the region.

Transit is especially in dire need of a stable, dedicated commitment of adequate funding to preserve and improve the system. Even to maintain the level of transit services in operation today will require increases in operating funds of three to four percent per year to keep up with inflation. These increases
need to come from a combination of fare increases and increases in state and local funds since federal funds are forecasted to be limited.

## ADEQUACY OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR MAINTAINING EXISTING HIGHWAY SYSTEM

The approach taken to determine the adequacy of the financial resources for maintaining the existing highway system was to: 1) define the highway system eligible for receiving federal funds, 2) determine the current costs of maintaining that system, and 3) compare those costs with currently available financial resources. The highways eligible for federal funds as determined by the region are the metropolitan highway system (Figure 1) comprised of principal and " $A$ " minor arterials designated by the TAB.

Estimates of the 1995 cost for routine maintenance and lifecycle treatments were obtained by updating cost estimates developed in the Phase II Final Report of the Highway Jurisdiction Task Force adopted by the TAB in September, 1984. That report developed costs per mile for routine maintenance and lifecycle treatments by functional class (principal arterial, minor arterial, collector, and local). Routine maintenance includes patching, joint and crack filling, slope repair, drainage structure clearing, cutting and clearing vegetation, sweeping and clearing debris, striping, snow and ice control and pavement repairs of less than 500 continuous feet. Lifecycle treatments include periodic application of bituminous overlays, seal treatments, milling, crack routing and filling and base repair of 500 or more continuous feet. The frequency of these treatments is related to the volume and type of vehicles using a roadway (wear) and the impact of the elements (time).

Estimates of available financial resources focus on state highway user tax distribution fund revenues available to the metro district of $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ for maintenance of state highways in the seven-county metropolitan area and available to the seven counties through county state aid apportionments for county state aid highways. County State Aid Highway funding provides base funding to maintain county highways, but these allocations are not the only financial resources available to counties. Counties spend significant amounts of their own funds on county highways. In addition, revenues are available to the twelve municipalities with " A " minor arterial segments through municipal state aid apportionments, but because the portion of the " A " minor arterial system under the jurisdiction of these municipalities is minor, these financial resources are not considered in the comparison.

The data recorded in Table 1 illustrates Mn /DOT and the counties financial resources are adequate to maintain the existing highway system.
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ funds available for routine maintenance exceed the estimated cost. This is due to changes in the definition of routine maintenance since 1984 to include activities such as Highway Helper and additional equipment in place such as meters and video cameras that require routine maintenance.

Total County State Aid allocations to the seven metro area counties in 1995 are listed below in Table 2. Table 1 assumes that a portion of the total allocation is available for routine maintenance and lifecycle treatments on principal and "A" minor arterials, based on the proportion of the mileage for those highways to total CSAH mileage. This is a conservative assumption, since counties are likely to spend more per mile on the principal and " A " minor arterials than on other minor arterials and collectors on their CSAH system.

Table 1

Comparison of 1995 Routine Maintenance and Lifecycle Treatment Costs for Principal Arterials and "A" Minor Arterials with Financial Resources Available to Mn/DOT and Counties in the Seven-County Metropolitan Area

|  | Mileage | Routine <br> Maintenance | Lifecycle Treatment | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated 1995 Cost per Mile: |  |  |  |  |
| Urban Principal Arterial |  | \$28,100 | \$20,000 | \$48,000 |
| Urban Minor Arterial |  | 10,300 | 10,000 | 20,300 |
| State Highways (Mn/DOT) |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Need: |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Arterials | 568 | \$15,961,000 | \$11,360,000 | \$27,321,000 |
| "A" Minor Arterials | 476 | 4,903,000 | 4,760,000 | 9,963,000 |
| Total | 1,044 | 20,864,000 | 16,120,000 | 36,984,000 |
| Estimated Resources - |  | 29,159,000 ${ }^{1}$ | 17,450,000 ${ }^{2}$ | 46,609,000 |
| Resources/Need |  | 140\% | 108\% | 126\% |
| County Highways |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Need: |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Arterials | 45 | \$1,265,000 | \$900,000 | \$2,165,000 |
| " ${ }^{\text {" }}$ Minor Arterials | 1,136 | 11,701,000 | 11,360,000 | 23,061,000 |
| Total | ¢,181 | 12,966,000 | 12,260,000 | 25,226,000 |
| Estimated Resources - CSAH |  | 10,591,485 | 3,000,000 | 13,591,485 |
| Estimated Resource - Property Tax |  | 2,374,515 | 9,260,000 | 11,634,515 |
| Resources/Need |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

${ }^{1} 1995 \mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT} 8$-county metro district maintenance budget ( $\$ 33.7$ million) adjusted to reflect 7 -county area and principal/"A" minor arterial proportion of total state mileage.
${ }^{2}$ One-third of estimated federal and state funds available for preservation of the metro highway system ( $\$ 52.35$ million per year).

County Total CSAH Allocations 1995

| County | 1995 CSAH Allocation |
| :--- | ---: |
| Anoka | $\$ 4,228,364$ |
| Carver | $2,319,404$ |
| Dakota | $5,101,976$ |
| Hennepin | $16,984,685$ |
| Ramsey | $8,057,535$ |
| Scott | $2,677,111$ |
| Washington | $3,338,526$ |
| Total CSAH Allocation | $\$ 42,707,601$ |
| Assumed Percent Available <br> for Principal/"A" Minor <br> Arterials | $62 \%$ |
| Amount Available for <br> Principal/"A" Minor Arterials | $\$ 26,478,714^{3}$ |

## ADEQUACY OF TRANSIT SYSTEM OPERATING COSTS FUNDING

This section presents the cost of operating current levels of transit service and the resources available to fund these costs. General service categories for the regional transit system include:

- Regular Route Services. Included in this category are routes provided by the Metropolitan Council Transit Operations, replacement service (opt-out) programs, and private operators under contract to the Metropolitan Council.
- Metro Mobility Service. The regional paratransit service for persons with disabilities.
- Community Based Programs. These are paratransit services provided by counties and cities which receive funding assistance from the Metropolitan Council.

[^1]- Travel Demand Management Services (TDM). Included in this category are rideshare and other programs aimed at reducing single occupant vehicle trips.

The costs to operate these services for 1996 are recorded below.
Table 3
1996 Transit System Operating Costs (\$ millions)

| Regular Route/Opt Out Service <br> $(130+10.7)$ | 140.70 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Metro Mobility | $16.2^{*}$ |
| Community Based Programs | $3.3^{*}$ |
| TDM Programs | 1.4 |
| Total | 161.6 |

*Only the subsidy level is shown here.
Funding for transit system operating costs is received from regional, state, and federal sources (Table 4). The following describes assumptions concerm level of funding from these sources.

- Fare Revenue. Nearly all system-wide fare revenue is collected on regular routes. Significant increases in regular route fares occurred in 1991,1993 and again in 1996. Together, these increases resulted in a doubling of the base fare from $\$ .50$ to $\$ 1.00$ and increase in the peak period fares. No additional regular route fare increases are planned in the short term.
- Property Tax. The Metropolitan Council levies a transit property tax for transit operations. The amount of this levy is set by statute. In the past two years, the total levy has grown by less than two percent annually. Annual increases in the next 5 years in the tax levy are expected at three to four percent level, given up turn in the economy which is generating increased construction, which provides for an increase in the property tax levy.
- State Funding. Projections of future levels of state assistance are based on funding proposed in the Governor's budget for the 1997-1998 biennium.
- Federal Funding. Federal operating assistance is obtained from formula funding programs and ISTEA grants. Although uncertainties exist about future levels of federal transit assistance, it is assumed that funding will continue at current levels.

Table 4
1996 Transit System Funding Sources
(\$ millions)

| Fare Revenue | $\$ 42.3$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Property Tax | 69.3 |
| State | 41.2 |
| Federal | 2.4 |
| Interest/Misc. | 8.3 |
| Fund Balance | 2.0 |
| Total | 165.4 |

As in the case with all large public transit systems, operation must be subsidized and therefore there is a constant pressure to find additional revenues. The Council is strongly committed to providing a viable transit service and has recently completed a transit redesign study to improve the efficiency of operations. Recommendations from that study are being implemented now and are being incorporated into this regional transportation plan.

## ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL RESOURCES WITH REGIONAL CAPITAL PRIORITIES

Table 5 depicts the level of capital resources expected to be available for investments in the region's transit and highway system over the next 24 years. The left column of Table 5 records funds available between 1997 and 2000 while the right column records funds estimated to be available between 2001 and 2020. The 1997-2000 funds are consistent with the adopted regional TIP and the regional transit bonding assumed to be authorized for sale.

Table 6 allocated the projected capital resources to major project categories. Specific short term projects are identified in Appendix B which was taken from the 1997-2001 Transportation Improvement Program.

The comparison of the annual revenues available for 2001 to 2020 period (as recorded in Table 6) to the average capital requirements (from Table 5) illustrates that capital resources are under spent by approximately $\$ 9.5$ million per year or approximately $\$ 190$ million for the 2020 planning horizon. Clearly the Plan is in fiscal balance with reasonable expected resources.

The Council has deliberately restricted major capacity expansions of both the transit and highway system to achieve this balance. This does not mean additional capacity increases are not needed but instead time is required to define these needs working closely with TAB, Mn/DOT and local and county governments.

Most of the funding categories recorded in Table 6 have not been allocated to specific projects. This has been necessary since the projects or activities are selected through a number of processes that take place regularly and assign funds competitively. These processes are briefly described below.

Competitive regional processes are used to allocate the fund categories of selected regional projects (using STP regional guarantee funds), Enhancements and CMAQ. The Council and TAB conduct this selection process annually or semi-annually. Project types selected include: principal arterial-non freeway, "A" minor arterials, transit, pedestrian, bicycle, transportation demand management, air quality, and historic and scenic enhancements to the transportation system. The region's congestion management system plan is used as a tool to define criteria and projects in this process. The criteria now used to prioritize these funds are regularly modified. Changes are needed to reflect new regional policy direction record in the Blueprint and this Guide.
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ uses a number of different methods to identify specific projects for funding. The bridge, pavement, safety and congestion management systems are the principal technical tools used for identifying preservation, and management projects. (As noted above, specific projects have been identified for most of the replace and improvement and expansion funds.) The Department also uses the ATP process (described in the Prospectus) to identify specific projects and their timing. Competitive selection is used for some of the safety hazard elimination, bridge, rail safety and cooperative agreement funds.

The transit improvements are selected in two ways, one from the development of the MCTO capital budget and from a regional selection process.

Table 5
ESTIMATE OF REVENUES AVAILABLE FOR CAPITAL INVESTMENTS 1997-2020

|  | 1997-2000 Funding Allocation | 2001-2020 Estimated Funding Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historic Capital Funds for Highways |  |  |
| Federal funds available to 8 -county region according to Mn/DOT STIP Guidance (Title I) | \$99m | \$ 116.1 m |
| State trunk highway funds available to 8 -county region according to $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ STIP Guidance | 82m | 73.1 m |
| Local funds to match federal funds. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7.45^{*} \\ \$ 188.45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8.6 \mathrm{~m}^{*} \\ \$ 197.8 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ |
| Reduction of funds to reflect 7-county region. <br> - Chisago Co. represents $1.4 \%$ of 8 -county population in 1994 | -2.6 SUBTOTAL $\$ 185.85$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.77 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { SUBTOTAL } \$ 195.03 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ |
| Historic Transit Capital Funds <br> Federal Transit Funds (Title III) <br> - Section 3 ( 10 -year average) <br> - Section 5307 (includes fixed guideway funds) <br> - Section 16 (same level as ,1997) <br> - Section 26 (same as 1995 level) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | \$ 2.5 m | \$ 2.5 m |
|  | 14.0 m | 14.0 m |
|  | 0.185 | 0.185 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { SUBTOTAL } \$ 16.685 \end{array}$ |  0.5 m <br> SUBTOTAL  <br> $\$ 16.685$  |
| State Funds <br> - None, Title III Section 16 funds are administered by State | -- | -- |
| Local/Regional Transit Capital Funds <br> - Regional Bonding (5-year historic average of Principal excluding interest and 5 year projection of principal) | \$ 25.0 m | \$ 25.0 m |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL \$ } 227.485 \\ \times 4 \\ 909.94 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { TOTAL } \$ 236.715 \\ \times 20 \\ 4734.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 24 -YEAR TOTAL |  | $\begin{array}{r} +909.94 \\ 5644.24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| AVERAGE ANNUAL LEVEL |  | \$ 235.18 m |

*The local share would be contributed by cities, counties and other sponsors of projects that receive federal funds.

TABLE 6
TRANSPORTATION GUIDE FINANCIAL ALLOCATIONS 2001-2020

| Trunk Highway (TH) System-wide Life Cycle Preservation | $\$ 1,565,000,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| System Improvements | $232,000,000$ |
| TH System-wide Management | $380,000,000$ |
| Expand | $589,000,000$ |
| Selected Regional Projects | $440,000,000$ |
| Transit Improvements | $700,000,000$ |
| Enhancements | $80,000,000$ |
| CMAQ | $80,000,000$ |
| Set Asides (right-of-way, supplemental agreements, <br> cooperative agreements) | $634,000,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 4,700,000,000$ |
| 20 -Year Average | $\$ 235,000,000$ |
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[^0]:    * Cost in Millions

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Distribution: Routine Maintenance $40 \% \quad=10,591,485$
    Life Cycle Cost (Estimate)
    Expansion, Reconstruction, Local Match
    $=3,000,000$
    $=12,887,229$

