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# TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM <br> 1995-1997 <br> SUMMARY 

The Twin Cities Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 1995 through 1997 responds to new procedures required by the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1992 (ISTEA). The new legislation requires that all federally funded transportation projects within the entire seven county area be included in the regional TIP. The TIP must be consistent with the projections of federal funds and local matching funds. All major transportation projects in the federally defined carbon-monoxide nonattainment area must be evaluated for their conformity with the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990. This analysis must also include regionally significant non-federally funded projects.

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 1995 through 1997 is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bicycle, pedestrian and transportation enhancement projects proposed for federal funding for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. Federal regulations require that a TIP be developed at least every two years. The region has chosen to revise its TIP every year. While two federal agencies, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration must "accept the program to be in conformance with ISTEA and CAAA", most of the federal funds have already been earmarked for the Twin Cities Area and have appeared in the previous (1994-1996) TIP.

The region developed separate processes to solicit projects utilizing Surface Transportation Program (STP), Urban Guarantee funds, Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Funds (CMAQ), and Transportation Enhancement Funds. A cooperative process was followed to prioritize the remaining Title I, Title III, and to a limited degree, state highway funds.

The 1995-1997 TIP for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area includes Title I projects valued at $\$ 500$ million for highway, transit, enhancement, bike and walk projects, of which approximately $\$ 300$ million is requested of the federal government.

The 1995 capital projects funded under Title III total approximately $\$ 33$ million, of which $\$ 26$ million are federal funds. The region will receive approximately $\$ 36$ million Section 9 Capital Funds over the 1995-97 period. The region will receive $\$ 21,600,000$ in Section 9 operating assistance. Title 1 funds approved exclusively for transit capital projects and new service operating costs over the three year period totals approximately $\$ 13$ million. Including local funds, this represents $\$ 16$ million.

All projects selected are consistent with the regional transportation plan. In many cases, the major projects are specifically identified in the regional plan. In other instances, the projects help to implement various regional policies.

The TAB held two public information meetings and one public hearing on the TIP prior to adoption. Over 300 groups were mailed notices of these meetings, in addition to the various public notifications carried out in accordance with Council requirements. The TAB considered and responded to all comments received on the draft TIP.

The TIP adopted by the Transportation Advisory Board and approved by the Metropolitan Council, is based on the regional Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan, the Transportation Air Quality Plan, the Regional Transit Board's (RTB) Five-Year Implementation Plan and the Minnesota Department of Transportation's Highway Improvement Work Program.

Identified projects are subject to the approval of various agencies. The approval of a specific project as part of the TIP does not imply an endorsement of the specific design alternative and details.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The 1995-97 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area (shown in Figure 1) is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bike, walk and transportation enhancement projects and programs proposed for federal funding throughout the seven-county metropolitan area in the next three years. The TIP is prepared by the Metropolitan Council in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MN/DOT), and the Regional Transit Board (RTB). The projects contained in the TIP are consistent with and implement the region's transportation plan and priorities.

## FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

Federal regulations ${ }^{1}$ require that a Transportation Improvement Program be developed and updated every two years. The TIP must cover a period of at least three years. The TIP is required to:

- Be a product of a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative (3C) planning process.
- Be consistent with regional land use and transportation plans as well as the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality.
- Be initiated by locally elected officials of general purpose governments.
- Identify transportation improvements proposed in the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan and recommended for federal funding during the program period.
- Include both highway and transit projects.
- Allow opportunities for public participation in preparation of the TIP.
- Afford an opportunity for participation of private transit providers in preparation of the TIP.
- Fiscally constrained
- Indicate the priorities in the seven-county metropolitan area;
- Indicate year in which initial contract will be let;
- Indicate appropriate source of federal funds;
- Include realistic estimates of total costs and revenues for the program period.
- Be included in the statewide TIP to be prepared by Mn/DOT, and approved by the Governor.

[^0]GENERALIZED GEOGRAPHIC POLICY AREAS
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Note: Areas are shown as of Mav, 1988. A precise location of tie urban service area ior any community is availabie from the Metropolitan Councii Data Center, $612291-8140$. The line between the developing area and the rura area is referrect to as the metropolitan urban senvice area boundan:

The following information is provided in Appendix A for each project.

- Identification of the project, .
- Estimated total cost and the amount of federal funds proposed to be obligated during the program year;
- Proposed source of federal and nonfederal funds; and
- Identification of the recipient state and local agencies responsible for carrying out the project.
- Air Quality Analysis Category.


## REGIONAL PLANNING PROCESS

The transportation planning process in the Twin Cities region is based on Minnesota Statutes and requirements of federal rules and regulations on urban transportation planning that first became effective June 30,1983 when they were published in the Federal Register. The Metropolitan Council is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and is responsible for continuing, comprehensive and cooperative transportation planning in the Metropolitan Area. Since transportation planning cannot be separated from land use and development planning, the transportation planning process is integrated with the total comprehensive planning program of the Metropolitan Council.

The Twin Cities' transportation planning process is defined in the Prospectus for the Transportation Planning Process in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. Administered and coordinated by the Metropolitan Council, this process is a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative effort, involving municipal and county governments, the Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC), the Metropolitan Transit Commission (MTC), the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT), the Regional Transit Board (RTB) and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (PCA). Elected local government officials are ensured participation in the process through the Metropolitan Council's Transportation Advisory Board (TAB). The TAB provides a forum for the cooperative deliberation of state, regional and local officials, and private citizens.

The Minnesota Legislature in May passed legislation which will incorporate the MTC, and RTB into the Metropolitan Council. The MTC will become an operating division of the Council on July 1, 1994. The RTB incorporation will take place on Oct. 1, 1994. This restructuring will change the roles and responsibilities for transit planning and service provision significantly throughout the region. At this time, the details of the relationship have not been defined. While the TIP preparation process will need to be changed next year to reflect these changes, at this time no changes have been made in this TIP.

Private transit operators are informed of transit projects and competitive bidding opportunities, and participate in the planning process through the RTB Providers Advisory Committee and quarterly providers meetings. (See Twin Cities Area's private operator participation process, Appendix D.)

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATIONOPPORTUNITIES IN PREPARATIONOF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

A concerted effort has been made to insure all interested and concerned parties were offered opportunity to participate in the preparation of the TIP. Three meetings were held by the Transportation Advisory Board to provide information and to get public reaction to the TIP.

An informational meeting was held on May 18 to explain and answer questions about the TIP preparation and approval process.

An information meeting was held on June 15 to explain the content of the draft TIP.
A public meeting was held on July 20, 1994 to hear comments on the draft TIP.
In preparation for these meetings, 300 mailings were made in addition to notification in the State Register and press announcements.

A significant effort was also made to solicit projects for inclusion into the TIP. The February 1994 solicitation for projects to be funded by STP, CMAQ, and Enhancement funds were mailed to 700 cities, counties, agencies and special interest groups. A forum was held in March to discuss the solicition process and answer questions. By April 1, 155 projects were submitted requesting $\$ 224$ million in federal funds. The funds available were approximately $\$ 82$ million. There were 45 Enhancement projects submitted.

In addition, the presentations identified the meetings of the Transportation Advisory Board's TAC, TAB, Metropolitan Council's Committee of the Whole and Council meetings when actions were taken, were noticed and open to the public.

## DEVELOPMENT AND CONTENT OF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Transportation Improvement Program process is shown in Figure 2. The TIP is an integral part of the overall transportation planning process, a cooperative effort among local units of government and metropolitan and state agencies. This cooperative process uses technical skills and resources of the various agencies, and minimizes duplication by the participants.

The planning base for the TIP comes from the following planning documents:

- The Metropolitan Development and Investment Framework sets the overall priorities for regional facilities and services in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.
- The Metropolitan Council's 2015 Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan sets overall regional transportation policy and details major long-range transportation plans. This plan was adopted in 1993. Requirements and considerations from ISTEA have been addressed.
- RTB's Five Year Implementation Plan (1993-1997), is a program required by state law to implement the transit and paratransit elements of the Metropolitan Council's Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan.

Agency staffs develop TIP projects (or proposed amendment) and submit for agency approval*

Council staff prepares draft TIP (or proposed amendment)

Funding \& Programming committee (F\&PC) reviews and comments on draft TIP (or TIP amendment)

Council staff revises (or amends) TIP based on F\&PC comments and agency input

Air conformancy analysis to MPCA for review

## TAC review

TAB adoption

Transportation Committee of the Metropolitan Council

Metropolitan Council approval** and Air Conformity Finding

Council publishes TIP (or amends TIP) and forwards to Mn/DOT and MPCA

Mn/DOT prepares state TIP, secures governor's approval, and forwards to U.S. DOT for acceptance to be in conformance with ISTEA and CAAA and to U.S. EPA for review

* $\quad$ RTB solicits private transit operator input on transit annual element prior to Board approval.
** Although final approval rests with the Metropolitan Council, the TAB's action will be changed only if the Council finds it inconsistent with Council policy.
- The Transportation Air Quality Control Plan, prepared by the Metropolitan Council, sets objectives and implementation strategies for transportation improvements to address air quality problems.
- Local comprehensive plans and transportation programs contain transportation elements that must be consistent with the Metropolitan Council's plans for transportation.
- Mn/DOT's Highway Improvement Work Program.

The Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan and the Air Quality Control Plan provide a framework for the development of specific projects by Mn/DOT, RTB, the county and local governmental units and agencies which are responsible for planning, construction and operation of transportation facilities and services. All projects contained in this TIP must be consistent with the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan and the transportation Air Quality Control Plan.

The RTB's Five Year Implementation Plan identifies transit service needs and objectives, planned transit service and capital improvements, and costs and funding sources that help implement the TPP.
Many of the highway construction projects included in this TIP are under Mn/DOT jurisdiction. They originate from ongoing Mn/DOT programming activities and respond to the region's transportation plan. The projects that lead to the completion of the metropolitan highway system, along with the projects on other major arterials, are based on the Metropolitan Council's longrange plan and on Mn/DOT's transportation planning and programming process.

The regional plan is further refined through alternative corridor and location studies. These studies and environmental impact statements lead to specific project recommendations that are included in implementation programs. Other projects, such as those concerned with resurfacing, bridge improvements and safety, arise from continual monitoring and evaluation of existing highway facilities through $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ 's pavement and bridge management plans.

City and county federal aid projects are products of local comprehensive and transportation planning programs, and reflect local and regional priorities. These projects have been determined to be consistent with regional plans before being included in the TIP.

While detailed project planning and programming is undertaken by the implementing agencies, conformance with the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan is achieved through Metropolitan Council review and approval of the TIP, review of Mn/DOT's Highway Improvement Program, review of plans for controlled-access highways, review and approval of RTB's Five Year Implementation Plan for transit and the RTB's capital budget. In addition, under the provisions of Minnesota's Metropolitan Land Planning Act, the Metropolitan Council reviews city and county comprehensive plans, including transportation elements, which are prepared by each local unit of government on the basis of "metropolitan system statements" prepared by the Council. Such plans must be consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan.

## PROGRAM AREAS IN THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The ISTEA of 1991 establishes a number of highway funding programs. In most cases, transit projects can also be funded through these programs. ISTEA utilizes a number of transit funding programs which are the same as those used in the past.

These program areas are described below.

National Highway System (NHS). The NHS will consist of 155,000 miles (plus or minus 15 percent) of major roads in the United States. Congress must act to formally establish the system by September 30, 1995. Included will be all interstates and a large percentage of urban and rural principal arterials, the defense strategic highway network, and strategic highway connectors. The state has submitted its candidate system to FHWA. Until Congress designates the NHS, all principal arterials are eligible to use NHS funds.

Interstate Maintenance (IM). These funds will finance projects to rehabilitation, restore, and resurface the interstate system. Reconstruction is also eligible, if it does not add capacity. However, high occupancy vehicles (HOV) and auxiliary lanes can be added.

The Surface Transportation Program (STP). STP is a block grant type program that may be used for any roads (including NHS) that are not functionally classified as local or rural minor collectors. These roads are now collectively referred to as federal-aid roads. Bridge projects paid for with STP funds are not restricted to federalaid roads but may be on any public road. Transit capital projects are also eligible under this program. Transportation Enhancement Projects are funded as part of this program.

The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program. CMAQ directs funds toward transportation projects in non-attainment areas for ozone and carbon monoxide (CO). These projects will contribute to meeting the attainment of national ambient air quality standards.

Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program. The Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program is continued to provide assistance for any bridge on a public road. The program is basically unchanged from previous years in its formula and requirements.

Hazard Elimination Safety Program. Is continued but has changed in focus to safety at railroad crossings.
FTA Title III Section 3,6,9 and 9A Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Programs. These programs provide assistance with capital and operating costs.

FTA Title III Section 16 Program. This program funds the purchase of lift-equipped vehicles by nonprofit organizations which provide transportation for the elderly and handicapped.

FTA Title III Section 18 Program. This program is available for operating and capital assistance to areas with less than 50,000 population (small urban and rural programs).
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ has divided the programmed projects into five types for the 1995-1997 TIP. They are:

1. Preservation. Activities required to preserve existing infrastructure, including concrete joint repair, mill and/or overlay, sign replacement, etc. Replacement or revitalization of existing infrastructure, may include minimal capacity/operational improvements.
2. System Management. Projects to improve efficiency, and/or operations as well as safety, capacity or air quality.
3. Agreements. Projects entered into by the department and a local unit. The projects vary in nature but benefit both Mn/DOT and the local juristiction.
4. Expansion. Major capital improvements which result in new or greatly expanded capabilities of corridors, i.e., new facility on new alignment, land additions in excess of auxiliary lanes, bridge at a new location, widened bridge to include more travel lanes.
5. Intelligent Vehicle Highway System Operational Tests. Projects to illustrate the effectiveness of IVHS technology to improve the efficiency, operations, safety, capacity and air quality.

## 2. SUMMARY OF REGIONAL PLANS AND PRIORITIES

All projects in the TIP are reviewed by the Transportation Advisory Board and the Metropolitan Council for consistency with the Transportation Policy Plan/Development Guide (TPP) and the Air Quality Control Plan. This chapter summarizes the TPP, indicates Council priorities in the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan, and identifies air quality control measures undertaken in the region.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT GUIDE/POLICYPLAN

By state law, the Metropolitan Council is responsible for preparing a comprehensive development guide for the Twin Cities Area which includes a multimodal surface transportation chapter and an aviation chapter. The Metropolitan Development and Investment Framework is the plan that sets a general direction for future development patterns in the region and establishes guidelines for making decisions about major regional facilities, the sewers and highways, that are needed to support the commercial, industrial and residential development of the area. The MDIF emphasizes managing regional resources in the form of existing regional facilities and public dollars used to maintain and expand them.

The focus of the Council's strategy on directing growth in the region is to encourage development to occur within the urban service area. The Council's first priority is to maintain and upgrade existing regional systems throughout the urban service area. The Council will also assign a high priority to maintenance projects that support planned economic development . The MDIF calls for the Council, local government, and the metropolitan agencies to act jointly to protect the capacity of regional facilities by protecting them from premature use.

The transportation chapter, the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan, provides policy direction for planning by government agencies, counties, municipalities and private sector participants involved in the construction and operation of transportation facilities and services in the region. This plan guides metropolitan transportation investments between now and 2015.

The Metropolitan Council uses the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan to review referrals and development proposals submitted to the Council. The transportation plan provides direction to the Regional Transit Board (RTB) in the preparation of the Five Year Implementation Plan and to the Minnesota Department of Transportation to be used as regional input into the statewide transportation project programming. The Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan includes a 2015 Metropolitan Highway Systems Plan, a 2015 Metropolitan Transit System Plan, (which appear as Figures 3 and 4 in this document), and policies and priorities for regional facilities and services.

In the Metropolitan Development Guide, the "transportation" refers to the broad spectrum of surface transportation modes, i.e., highways, transit, rail, water, bicycle and pedestrian. "Transit" is viewed as a service provided for people traveling as passengers to their destinations, regardless of the type of vehicle (fixed route public bus and light rail, minibus, shared ride, taxi, etc.) or of who provides the service (public or private sector). Major highways and thoroughfares are viewed as travel routes rather than auto and truck routes. These routes are to be designed and managed to encourage people to ride together rather than drive individually to their destinations.

## FIGURE 3

## RECOMMENDED METROPOLITAN HIGHWAY SYSTEM, 2015



## REORGANIZED TRANSIT SYSTEM



The Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan conforms to the requirements of the 1990 Clean Air Act amendments. A description of the air quality analysis used by the Council to determine conformity is in the appendix.

The Metropolitan Area's transportation system of highways and transit is key to the region's social and economic vitality. It provides ready access to virtually any location in the region, the transportation system makes it possible for the region's residents to take advantage of a broad range of opportunities for employment, education, shopping, recreation, health care, housing and cultural activities. As a means of conveying goods, services and workers, the transportation system plays a crucial role in supporting the region's economy.

## THE HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT SYSTEMS

The metropolitan highway system consists of 657 miles of roadways that include the interstate highway network and other principal arterials, which are the heaviest traveled transportation corridors. The term "transit" applies to all forms of riding together, regardless of whether the service is provided by public or private operators, whether by organizations or individual vehicle owners, or whether the ridesharing arrangements are structured or informal. Consequently, the metropolitan transit system is seen as comprising a broad range of services that are provided by, among others, the Metropolitan Transit Commission's bus system, private bus companies on regular, scheduled routes, providers that make up the Regional Transit Board's Metro Mobility program for elderly and disabled people, car and van pools, and informal ridesharing arrangements.

## HIGHWAY CONGESTION

Compared to other major metropolitan areas, the Twin Cities transportation system suffers from fewer critical problems. But it's clear that if nothing is done to increase the people-moving capacity of the system over the next 20 years, the resulting problems could impair the region's highly prized quality of life and hamper its economic growth.

The greatest demands on the highway system are made by people traveling to and from work. As a result, the system's capacity for moving people from one place to another is largely defined by its ability to handle work trips during peak traffic periods in the morning and afternoon. And because the work trip is essential to the operation of the region's economy, it is an important factor in defining the region's current and future travel needs. Figure 5 illustrates where congestion exists today.

It is already clear that the highway system's current congestion problems will worsen unless steps are taken to deal with them. For example between 1970 and 1992 the number of miles of freeway and severe traffic congestion quadrupled--from 24 miles to 106 miles. If nothing is done to increase the people-moving capacity of the system, the number of miles experiencing severe congestion will nearly double again between now and the year 2015, to 200 miles. At the same time, many regional highways are reaching the end of their design life, so that by the year 2015 most of the metropolitan highway system will require major rebuilding.


While the highway system struggles with too many vehicles, the transit system faces the problem of too few passengers and people who are willing to share rides. The region's bus system has experienced a steadily declining ridership between 1980 and 1991, only beginning to increase in 1992 and 1993, and fewer commuters are sharing rides in cars or vans. The key challenge facing transit--all forms of sharing rides-is to offer an attractive alternative to driving alone. That means it must better serve suburban areas, where most new jobs are being created. It must be able to expand its capacity to serve commuters, which it does well now, to downtown Minneapolis and St. Paul so these metropolitan centers will continue to be economically viable. It must accommodate the growing demand for transit services by elderly and disabled people, and the needs of people who don't have a car. It must provide high-quality, convenient service with attractive facilities and equipment, and offer travel times that compare favorably with driving alone. And it must do all this at reasonable cost.

## GOALS AND STRATEGIES

In its transportation guide, the Metropolitan Council approaches these challenges with several important considerations in mind.

First, the guide seeks to maintain the good access to regional opportunities that the transportation system affords now, even with a large growth in demand expected in the future. That means congestion would not be permitted to worsen to levels that other metropolitan areas are now experiencing. The key is to increase the number of people the system can carry without greatly increasing the number of vehicles to move them.

The guide recognizes that the region cannot meet growing travel demands by simply building new roadways or adding lanes to existing ones. In short, the region cannot build its way out of congestion. Demand is growing much faster than the amount of available funds. The 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory found that vehicle trips increased from 5 million in 1970 to 8.86 million in 1990, an increase of 74 percent. During the same time, the population of the region increased only 19 percent. Even if the money were available to build all the highway facilities needed to meet future demand, such projects would severely disrupt established residential neighborhoods and deprive cities of much-needed property tax base.

The metropolitan highway system represents a huge dollar investment that is costly to rebuild and expand. Consequently, the region needs to manage the highway system to make it last as long as possible, and to get the most out of its people-carrying capacity.

The region's transit system (including ridesharing) must be strengthened. The guide seeks to make sharing rides, including transit modes like buses, circulators, and light rail transit, more competitive with the single-occupant auto. The guide emphasizes the use of ridesharing, conventional transit and other travel demand management approaches to reduce the need for building additional freeway lanes and to reduce traffic congestion during rush hour.

Increasing the number of people who use all transit services will require the involvement of local governments and the private sector to create incentives for sharing rides. Examples of such incentives include preferential parking for car poolers and taxing employers for each parking space reserved for a single-occupant auto.

Future development projects will need to be managed so they do not overload the metropolitan highway system. Coordination of land use with available transportation capacity is also needed along parts of the system that experience congestion now. This
effort will require close cooperation among local governments, developers, major employers, and regional and state agencies responsible for transportation planning.

## Role of Transit

The Council's transit system plan based upon the 1992 Regional Transit Facilities Plan reaffirms the importance of transit in satisfying the overall transportation needs of the region. This commitment includes both service improvements and reorganization of the bus system, and capital investments to enhance transit's attractiveness and maximize the peoplecarrying capacity of the transportation system. The system will be strengthened by adding light rail transit (LRT) in two corridors, and five additional high occupant vehicle lanes by the year 2015. (Figure 6)

Transit is important because it serves people who don't have other means of transportation. It also reduces dependence on the single-occupant automobile and helps protect the region from unforeseen contingencies, such as fuel shortages. It helps support higher-density land uses such as those found in downtown Minneapolis and St. Paul and in other major business concentrations. These areas can't be served only by single-occupant cars because of the capacity limitations of highways, streets and parking facilities, and because of environmental constraints, such as air quality limits. Transit reduces the need for additional highway capacity particularly in areas where expanding roadways or building new ones would be difficult and expensive. Transit supports the environment by helping reduce trips and resultant automobile emissions.

Different types of transit services are needed for different geographic areas and different groups of transit riders. Ridesharing should be used regionwide, with an emphasis on travel demand management incentives in congested corridors and areas where regular-route service is minimal. It will continue to be the most common form of multi-occupant travel as population and employment continue to disperse, and as congestion levels increase.

## Reorganized Transit System

- The transit plan envisions an improved, reorganized system to meet the changing travel needs of the region. It calls for a hub-and-spoke system, where local transit routes would link with express buses, suburban circulators, carpools and services for elderly and disabled persons. All types of services would be expanded and enhanced. The transit plan provides for increased suburb-to-suburb, reverse-commute, paratransit, and frequently operating local and express service needs to and within the inner part of the region. (Figure 4)


## Light Rail Transit

The guide considers light rail transit as one of many transit strategies. The guide sees light rail transit as a way of 1) providing people-carrying capacity in a high-quality manner while decreasing overall transit operating costs in a corridor, 2) providing better service to people who are dependent on transit to get around and 3) allowing for intensified development along light rail lines and in downtown Minneapolis and St. Paul.

The guide identifies two corridors in the region where light rail transit could work well. They are the corridors between the Minneapolis and St. Paul downtowns, and a corridor radiating from downtown Minneapolis to the south. (Figure 6)

## EXISTING AND RECOMMENDED HIGH CAPACITY TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT BY 2015



The plan recommends the building of five high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes in the the following corridors: I-35W south of Minneapolis, I-94 north of Minneapolis, I-94 east of St. Paul, Hwy. 36 from Minneapolis to I-694 and I-494 from the airport to I-394. Additional park/ride and HOV bypasses of meters and bottlenecks are recommended. (Figure 6)

## Mobility for Elderly and Disabled People

A variety of service-delivery methods are necessary to meet the transportation needs of elderly and disabled people. They include lift-equipped buses and vans, taxis and volunteer drivers. Services are provided by Metro Mobility within the urban service area and by local programs and social services throughout the region. A combination of higher travel demand and increasing numbers of elderly people over the next 23 years will require increased commitment to transportation for elderly and disabled people.

## Circulator Transit

The transit system plan also supports maintenance of the existing transit services provided in freestanding growth centers, community based circulators, and rural (county) programs. The transit plan advocates increases in community-based services in small urban and suburban communities and envisions addition of circulator services within regional business concentrations, downtown Minneapolis, and downtown St. Paul.

## Pedestrian and Bicyclist Needs

The needs of pedestrians and bicyclists are emphasized as important to a multimodal regional transportation system. Development patterns, transportation infrastructure, and urban design should respect the need for communities that emphasize people, and begin to de-emphasize automobile orientation.

## The Highway System

The region needs to address four major challenges in maintaining good regional transportation access through 2015 via the metropolitan highway system. They are 1) significant increases in travel demand; 2) increasing costs associated with maintenance of the aging highway system; 3) social, physical and political impacts of adding capacity; and 4) insufficient funding. The metropolitan highway system plan calls for a variety of actions to address these challenges.

The metropolitan highway system plan calls for managing the system and travel demand, and providing additional facilities that will provide enough additional capacity to optimize the people-carrying capacity of the system. (Figure 3)

To accomplish this, the following strategies need to be put in place:

1. The Minnesota Department of Transportation is encouraged to meter freeway entrance ramps on a system-wide basis. This can increase roadway capacity by about 11 percent and can regulate traffic flow at locations generating excessive traffic. Freeway entrance ramps for exclusive use by high-occupancy vehicles (buses, car pools, van pools) are recommended so they bypass the metering systems. Ramp meters and high-occupancy vehicle bypasses will increase capacity, improve safety, provide incentives for people to share rides and use
buses, and should protect the metropolitan highway system from additional demand brought about by unforecasted development. As discussed in the policy section and the highway system plan, eventually all access-controlled highways in the urban service area should be fully metered. Mainline metering also needs to be considered.
2. High-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes should be provided where additional lane capacity is needed. Any additional lanes that are built on highways of four lanes or more should be HOV lanes, not lanes for mixed traffic. HOV lanes are especially critical in corridors where high travel demand exists and where significant development has occurred adjacent to the highway. Conversion of existing lanes to HOV lanes should also be considered. Five corridors have been recommended for HOV lanes.
3. Local governments should work with the Council to protect the metropolitan highway system. Communities should evaluate the impact of land use decisions on the transportation system and on adjacent communities. The metropolitan highway system should be protected from traffic generated by unplanned development that exceed the system's capacity. In comprehensive plans, local governments should address the need to create an environment favorable to pooling and bus use and to encourage travel during off peak, instead of peak, hours. Comprehensive plans should conform to the Council's development forecasts and highway design requirements. The Council will issue systems statements to local units of government indicating what local governments need to address in comprehensive plans.

Funding
The Council will pursue increased funding for both transit and highways. Both the highway and the transit systems will require a substantial amount of additional funds, besides those already allocated to transportation projects in the region. The Council estimates that the additional funding required for highways and transit, beyond what funding is presently allocated to the region, will amount to about \$ 123 million annually by the year 2015.

The Council estimates that the annual capital cost for all parts of the transit system will average about $\$ 63$ million between now and the year 2015. That figure includes the cost of building and equipping two light rail lines, plus buses and other facilities used in regional transit service. Annual operating costs for transit are expected to be about $\$ 192$ million, or about $\$ 58$ million more than current operating costs. Highways are expected to require 276 million annually in capital funding, about $\$ 77$ million more than is expected to be available in the region.

The future needs of the highway and transit system will require more funding than the region expects to get from sources outside the region-that is, from federal and state governments. Although increases in such funding are likely, in terms of inflation-adjusted dollars they will only match current funding levels. Obtaining the funding necessary to preserve and reconstruct the highway system and to improve transit services is a major issue the region will need to resolve in future years.

The Minnesota legislature in 1994 past two pieces of legislation which may address the funding shortages facing the region. First, a state advisory council was established to provide a forum for education, discussion and advise to the legislature on financing major transportation projects. The Council, by February 1, 1995, has to submit a report and recommendations for a preferred plan to
finance significant highway and transit projects.
The second piece of legislation, addressing transportation funding, directs the Commissioner of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council to jointly conduct a study of road pricing options. The study must include the option of replacing the present highway user taxes on motor fuel and motor vehicle license with a highway user revenue system based on a charge for miles traveled. The study has to also include charges based on time of day and the level of congestion on the roads used. Finally, the study must also include a detailed study design schedule and cost estimate for a draft environmental impact statement. The study must be presented to the legislature by Jan. 15, 1996.

## TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

The Metropolitan Council's Transportation Air Quality Control Plan sets forth three principal objectives: to attain National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone; to implement transportation systems management (TSM) strategies that effectively contribute to air quality attainment and maintenance; and to meet federal and state air quality standards in the most economical and equitable manner. Planning for control of carbon monoxide pollution caused by transportation sources in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area is the responsibility of the Metropolitan Council as the MPO. The Plan specifies strategies to improve the management of the region's transportation system, based on an analysis of the air quality problems in the seven-county Twin Cities area.

The 1977 Clean Air Act Amendment (CAAA) requires a State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality for all areas that have not attained the NAAQS. The 1990 CAAA retained this requirement. The SIP is a planning document prepared by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), and submitted by the Commissioner as the Governor's representative. The SIP contains the programs and plans that will result in achievement of the NAAQS in areas currently not meeting standards ("nonattainment") for any pollutant covered by the NAAQS. The SIP serves as the state's legally binding commitment to actions that will reduce or eliminate air quality problems.

The Plan and the SIP contain the same measures to control CO. All federally approved or financially funded functions must "conform" to the SIP, and be consistent with the Plan and other officially adopted transportation plans of the MPOs under the 1977 and 1990 CAAA. MPOs can only legally approve projects, plans, or programs that conform to the SIP.

## CONFORMITY TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS

## Conformity Determination Based on November 1993 Final Rule

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in accordance with requirements of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA), issued a final transportation conformity rule in November, 1993. As described in the rule, the MPO must make a conformity determination on transportation plans and programs for nonattainment areas, including federally funded or approved projects, as well as non-federal projects which are regionally significant. The MPO prepared the 1995-97 TIP following the requirements of the final conformity rule. A consultation process was followed, involving the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), Mn/DOT, U.S.DOT and the MPO, as described in the "1990 CAAA Planning Procedures" submitted to the EPA in November, 1992. Further refinement of the consultation process is currently being developed, and will be submitted to EPA as part of the state conformity procedures due to EPA by November, 1994.

## Projects Included in TIP Conformity Analysis

The TIP conformity analysis involves review of all federally funded or approved highway and transit projects, all state trunk highway projects, and all projects which meet the federal definition of regionally significant (see Table B-7 in Appendix B) in the Twin Cities nonattainment area. Certain project types will not have regional or local emissions impact. The TIP project tables annotate the "neutral" projects with a code under the column "AQ," corresponding to the appropriate category found in Appendix C. Those projects which are not exempt and can be included in the regional network are included in the regional emissions analysis for the TIP. In addition, those projects in the portion of Wright County within the nonattainment area are also included in the analysis as documented in Appendix B.

## Conformity of the TIP

The TIP has been found to conform to the broad intentions of the CAAA and to the specific requirements of the final transportation conformity rules. The TIP emissions analysis, using the latest available planning assumptions, traffic forecast models and EPA emission analysis software, shows that the TIP continues to meet the carbon monoxide emissions reduction test of build vs. no-build. The TIP is fiscally constrained, and comes from the currently conforming regional long range transportation plan. Interagency consultation and public participation processes were followed in the development of the TIP and the conformity analysis. A detailed description of the conformity analysis is found in Appendix $B$.

## Original and New SIP Measures

The region has implemented all of the adopted TSM strategies contained in the original Air Quality Control Plan. A list of the plan amendments, TSM strategies, their status, and how they have changed with new improvements is in Appendix B.

## 3. PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS WITH THE REGIONAL PLAN AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES

ISTEA requirements have changed the project selection process and the content of the TIP. This chapter describes how projects were selected for inclusion in the TIP. In addition, the progress made on major projects will be discussed. Consistency with the regional plan and with financial resources is be described.

The detailed description of projects approved for Title I and III funds are recorded in Appendix A. Also included are descriptions of projects being requested for additional Title III funds. The Title I funded projects are recorded in tables A-1 through A-11 identified by funding sources. Also included are state funded projects. A table of projects with letting dates in 1994 are also included. Technically, these need not be in the TIP since they will have funds obligated but they are included if for some reason a delay occurs. All Title I projects are also recorded in Table A20 but identified by route number. When a project cannot be identified by route number, a project code has been provided instead.

## PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CRITERIA

The region is moving toward a process by which most federal Title I and III funds will be selected in a comprehensive and consistent manner. The Minnesota DOT is committed to a statewide regional project selection process for all Federal Title I funds. This year a competitive regional process was used to select projects funded with STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ and Enhancement money. Projects funded through other Title I categories such as NHS, Interstate Maintenance, and Safety were selected through a cooperative process having representatives of the MPO and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$. The MPO participants included local, county and regional government staff. The decisions on investments were made based on jointly agreed upon regional and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ priorities. The specifics of the two processes are discussed below.

## Competitive project selection process for STP Urban Guarantee, CMAO AND Enhancements

A competitive process was developed by the region to select projects to be funded with STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ and. TEP. (Detailed description of these projects are recorded in Appendix A.) This was the second time the process has been used by the region to prioritize use of the STP and CMAQ funds. The Enhancement process is modeled after the process developed by the state and used in 1993.

The regional partners designed the process to insure federal Title I funds would help the region implement its plan and high priority projects and programs. Those priorities focus first on safety and preservation of the transportation system, second on management, and third on expansion.

## Projects were solicited in the following categories:

- Principal Arterials
- "A" Minor Arterials (A category of minor arterials with regional importance, see Figure 7.)
- Relievers
- Augmenters
- Expanders
- Connectors
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- Transit
- Bikeway
- Walkway
- Non-Standard Bikeway and Walkway Projects
- CMAQ
- Enhancements

Separate qualifying and prioritizing criteria were used for each category. A final numerical rating of each project was completed for each of the categories. Only the non-standard bikeway and walkway projects were not given a numerical score. The evaluation process for these projects is less formal to encourage new and untested ideas.

The ranking of all categories of projects was done by subcommittees of the TAC's Funding and Programming Committee. Using these rankings, the Funding and Programming Committee recommended the projects to be funded to the TAC. There was no predetermined distributing of funds by category or geographic subarea.

The qualifying and prioritizing criteria used were selected to be consistent with and implement regional priorities and plans. Recorded below are the most commonly used qualifying criteria. These are followed by the subject matter of the prioritizing criteria used. The complete solicitation package is available.

## Examples of Qualifying Criteria

The project must be consistent with the policies of the Metropolitan Council's officially adopted Metropolitan Development Guide, which includes the Transportation Policy Plan (TPP).

The project must implement a solution to a transportation problem discussed within the local or county comprehensive plan and/or in a locally approved Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

The proposer must include with the submittal a letter from the agency with jurisdiction over the road indicating it is aware of and understands the project being submitted and that it commits to operate and maintain the facility for its design life.

The proposer must show that the project has been coordinated with all affected communities, the Regional Transit Board and other levels of government.

The proposer must demonstrate that the proposed bikeway project implements a portion of a locally adopted comprehensive or bikeway plan.

## Categories of Prioritizing Criteria

- Demonstrated Need for Facility - Present and Future.
- Service Provided.
- Characteristics of Area or Population Served.
- Consistency with Regional Plans.
- Access to Regional Activity Centers
- Accident Prevention and Control.
- Personal Safety
- Cost Effectiveness
- Air Quality
- Integration of Modes
- Innovation

Recorded in Table 1 is a summary of the project types selected through the competitive process. This process generated three conditions the cooperative process (discussed below) had to address. First, the selection process allowed the applicant to determine the letting year-1995, 1996 or 1997. Since criteria did not focus on the letting year, an unbalance in projects by year resulted. Second, the participants in the competitive process chose to overprogram by almost $\$ 10,000,000$. This meant the cooperative process had to be restricted by an equal amount. Third, due to the cap on the competitive funds of $\$ 5,500,000$ per project, some $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ projects, while winning in the competitive process, could not by programmed because they required a significant amount of additional funds. These three issues proved to be problems in putting together a financially balanced TIP.

## PROJECT SELECTION FOR ADDITIONAL TITLE I FUNDS

The MPO and Mn/DOT have cooperatively identified priorities to be used to direct the inclusion of projects into the TIP. In large part, the projects in the 1995-1997 TIP are the same projects (less those implemented) that were found in the 1994-96 TIP. Due to a variety of reasons, the funds anticipated by the region when the 1994-1996 TIP was prepared were significantly less than are now available. Because of this development, the preparation of the TIP focused on funding the projects previously selected.

An agreement was reached, last year when a significant reduction was required of programmed projects, identifying strategies for prioritizing major projects in the region. This agreement was still valid to use this year because most of these projects have yet to be completed. The partners have agreed to follow the priorities below, many of which have been taken directly from the regional plan.

The first step in the process was to determine if the project in the TIP could be implemented in accordance with the scheduled letting date. If not, the project was either moved to a later year or moved out of the TIP.

The second strategy was to follow the three broad regional priorities recorded in the order of importance:

- Preserve
- Manage
- Expand

While not all "preserve" and "manage" letting dates were held, these types of projects were considered highest priority and those "needs" were attempted to be met within the available funds. With the remaining $20 \%+/$-funds, "expansion" projects were selected. The following criteria were used to establish priorities:

Table 1
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED STP/CMAQ/TEP FUNDING BY YEAR REQUESTED

| Category | No. of projects | Total Federal Funds | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Arterials | 7 | 22,216,000 | 5,916,000 | 8,030,000 | 8,270,000 |
| "A" Minor Arterials | 13 | 29,455,600 | 4,076,000 | 11,041,600 | 14,338,000 |
| Transit | 8 | 10,134,000 | 6,944,000 | 3,190,000 | -- |
| Bikeways | 6 | 4,246,256 | 1,852,026 | 1,223,043 | 1,171,187 |
| Non-Standard Bike/Walk | 1 | 80,000 | 80,000 | - | - |
| Bridges scour | 1 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | - | -- |
| STP SUBTOTAL | 36 | 67,131,856 | 19,868,026 | 23,404,643 | 23,779,187 |
| CMAQ | 10 | 14,000,000 | 613,900 | 10,217,100 | 3,169,000 |
| ENHANCEMENTS | 30 | 10,024,260 | 6,041,200 | 3,103,040 | 880,000 |
| TOTAL | 76 | 91,156,116 | 26,523,146 | 36,804,783 | 27,828,187 |

1. Complete projects which are currently under construction. This included projects such as:

- TH 101 - Shakopee Bypass
- TH 10 - North Suburbs

2. Implement Demonstration projects. The region assumed that Demonstration funds were available until year 2000. This included projects such as:
```
- TH 55
- TH 212
- TH 610
```

3. Fund other expansion projects as money permitted.

The results of this process are reflected in the projects selected and in the major projects which are discussed below.

## Status of Major Projects

Federal TIP guidance requires the progress made on major projects, or lack there of, to be recorded in the TIP. Over the past six years the region has included a list of major projects in the TIP. Separate tables have been prepared on major highway and transit projects. The highway projects are found in Table 2. For each project a summary has been provided that records the estimated cost that was recorded in the previous TIP. The letting year in the previous TIP, the current letting year, and comments on the status of the project.

While most of the projects continue to move toward completion, others have been delayed due to funding or other problems. TH 3 has been completed. The joint Mendota Bridge/interchange at TH 55, TH 13 and TH 5, the CR 18 Bridge and approaches, TH 101 from Elk River to I-94, TH 101 the Shakopee Bypass and TH 55 Hiawatha are under construction. Letting of CR 18 north of 102 street has been moved to 1995 from 1994. Changes to letting years are indicated in Table 2. One major project has been moved out of the TIP. The I-94 HOV has been moved out due to lack of progress on planning and design. The I-35W HOV lane north of I-494 stays in the TIP, but the funds have been reduced significantly from $\$ 30$ million to $\$ 5$ million and the letting has been moved back to 1997.

The status of major transit projects appears in Table 3. Bus replacement contracts are regularly let. Therefore, two different projects appear in the Table. For the most part, transit projects have been moving toward completion on schedule. The implementation of systemwide bus stop signs is temporarily on hold. A number of transit projects have been added to the TIP including four transit hubs, Snelling Garage relocation and I-35W transit service.

Table 2
STATUS OF MAJOR HIGHWAY PROJECTS

| Project | Total Funds in 1994-96 TIP | Federal <br> Patticipation | Program Year 1994/96 TIP | Program Year 1995-1997 TIP | Status/Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway and Bridge <br> 1. TH 3, Lafayette | \$8,200 | \$ 6,600 | 1994 | -- | Complete |
| 2. TH 10, Anoka County (Stage 2/3) | 38,800 | 31,000 | 1995 \& 1996 | 1997 | Stage 2 A will be let, $\$ 8.5 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3. I-35W, Temporary (HOV) Lane and Preservation work from I35E to Minneapolis | 59,900 | 47,800 | 1993, 94, 95 | 1996 \& 1997 | HOV south of I-494 let. - \$13M preservation project for 1996. HOV north of $1-494 \$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ in 1997 |
| 4. TH 36, Stillwater Bridge | 27,000 | 21,600 | 1996 \& 1997 | 1997 | Right-of-Way purchase \$4 million in 1997. |
| 5. TH 55, Mendota Interchange \& Bridge | 16,400 | 13,100 | 1994 | -- | Under Construction |
| 6. TH 55, Hiawatha Avenue | 12,000 | 9,600 | 1994 \& 1995 | 1995, 1996,1997 | Total project costs have increased to $\$ 57.3 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 7. I-94 Dartmouth Bridge/U of M Interchange | 23,500 | 18,800 | 1995 | 1995 | No change. |
| 8. I-94, CSAH 152 to I-494 in Brooklyn Center HOV lane | 10,000 | 8,000 | 1995 | -- | Moved out of TIP. |
| 9. TH 101, Rogers to Elk River | 17,000 | 13,600 | 1993, 94 \& 95 | 1997 | 1st stage of project under const. - 2nd stage moved to 1997. |
| 10. TH 101, Shakopee Bypass | 20,200 | 16,100 | 1994, 1995 | 1995, 1996, 1997 | Stage 1 under const.- const. extended into 1997. |
| 11. TH 169, Osseo Bypass | 6,000 | 4,800 | 1994 | 1995 | Moved out 1 year. |
| 12. TH 212, Eden Prairie to Cologne - Prelim. Eng. \& R/W Aquisition | 5,000 | 4,000 | 1996 | 1995 | Moved ahead 1 year. $\$ 8$ million for right-of-way. |
| 13. TH 610 , TH 10 to I-94 - first phase | 5,000 | 4,000 | 1996 | 1995, 1996, 1997 | \$15M for right-of-way 95 \& 96. \$7M for construction 1997. |
| 14. CR 18, Bridge \& Approaches, Reconstruct S. of I-494 | 31,500 | 18,000 | 1994 | 1995 | Bridge and approaches under construction. Exp. from 102 to I-494 |

Table 3
STATUS OF MAJOR TRANSIT PROJECTS


## CONSISTENCY WITH THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN

All projects contained in this TIP are consistent with the regional transportation plan. It is worth noting a number of the projects and types of projects are specifically prioritized in the Transportation Policy Plan adopted in 1993 (Figure 8). The top priority identified in the TPP was to maintain all 1,200 miles of trunk highways in the region. There is no need to attempt to point out the projects that are consistent with this priority. The majority of projects focus either wholly or in part on the rehabilitation and preservation of trunk highways. (See Table 4) Approximately $\$ 193$ million of the funds are assigned to preservation projects. There are two categories of preservation distinguishing from the more routine activities such as road repair and bridge improvement from the periodic major investment needed such as reconstruction and bridge replacement. This represents 52 percent of total federal and state funds available to the region.

The region's second highest priority for the highway system is to manage the transportation system. Management projects are advanced by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ and other agencies. Approximately $\$ 24$ million or $6 \%$ are traffic management. The detailed project descriptions are found in Appendix A. A number of these projects put in place the facilities and equipment needed by Mn/DOT to manage all freeways in the urban area to ensure they are used effectively. An additional $\$ 9$ million are identified as IVHS projects. In large part, these projects also address management objectives. These projects include ramp meters and HOV bypasses of meters.

Many of the projects selected for STP and CMAQ are in part management projects. This is due to the criteria used to select the projects (see discussion above). This is especially true of the principal arterial and "A" minor arterial projects. In large part, the content of these categories were to promote traffic management activities.

The third priority for funding is the expansion category. All of the major projects identified on Table 2 are consistent with and in many cases, specifically identified in the regional transportation plan. (These priorities are recorded graphically in Figure 8.) Only $19 \%$ of the combined federal and state funds are allocated to expansion projects. The region also has access to $\$ 127$ million for federal demonstration projects for 1995 to 1997. These all are expansion projects which are included in the regional transportation plan priority list, even though the allocation of funds to these projects was made outside the regional process described here. Therefore, they have not been included in Table 4. If they were added to the table, they would increase the expansion category to $\$ 199$ million which would represent 40 percent of the funds. The federal demonstration funds represent $\$ 93$ million of the total $\$ 127$ million required for these projects.

The " A " minor arterial system is intended to provide for a more than local need. The " A " minor arterial system was adopted and is included in the regional transportation plan. The funding of the " A " minor arterial system addresses this need. Table 1 records a summary of STP/CMAQ/TEP projects, some of which are allocated to the "A"minor arterials.

The regional plan also includes a transit plan. Many projects selected for funding can be found in the plan. For example, all four newly funded transit hubs are included in the RTB's Five Year Implementation Plan (Figure 9). The other projects, while not necessarily found specifically in the plan, are consistent with adopted policies. This has come about in part due to the criteria used to select the projects.

The plan emphasizes the need for bike and walk projects. Specific facilities are not identified relative to bike, walk or enhancement projects. There are policies that address needs in these areas. The criteria used to select projects are intended to encourage projects that fulfill these policies.

FIGURE
8

## METROPOLITAN HIGHWAY SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES


*See pages 55-62 of the Transportation Policy Plan for full explanation of highway project ranking. Many of these projects include meters
and HOV bypasses of meters.

Table 4
1995-1997 PROJECTS BY WORK TYPE
(in millions)

|  | 95 | 96 | 97 | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preservation <br> (RX, RD, RS, BI) | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 49$ | $\$ 24$ | $\$ 88 / 24 \%$ |
| Preservation <br> (RC, BR) | 50 | 20 | 35 | $105 / 28 \%$ |
| Other <br> (AM, TM, SC, SH, SR, EN, TR, <br> CB, BT, IVHS) | 36 | 47 | 25 | $108 / 29 \%$ |
| Expansion <br> (MC) | 31 | 5 | 36 | $72 / 19 \%$ |
| TARGET TOTALS | $\$ 132$ | $\$ 121$ | $\$ 120$ | $\$ 373$ |

AM - agreements
BR - bridge replacement
RC - reconstruction
RS - resurfacing
SC - safety-capacity improvements
SR - railroad safety projects
EN - enhancements
TR, CB, BT - transit subcategories

BI - bridge improvement
MC - major construction
RD - reconditioning
RX - road repair
SH - safety-hazard elimination
TM - traffic management
IVHS - intelligent vehicle highway system

## balance with financial resources

ISTEA requires that the region's TIP must be consistent with funding reasonably expected to be available. This means the forecasted revenues must be in balance with the obligations as recorded in the TIP. The Mn/DOT, the Metropolitan Council and the RTB have agreed to use the figures that are discussed in this section of the TIP.
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ has chosen to develop a process of fund allocation that insures the regional project commitments and the STIP are in balance with the funds available. Mn/DOT set funding targets for each of the regions to use as they developed their draft TIPs. The draft TIPs submitted to $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ could be over programmed by the regions as a means to request additional federal and state funds. Mn/DOT sets the final funding levels which are in balance for the state. Through this process, the region received $\$ 21.5$ million in additional funding.

For the RTB, in accordance with federal guidance, no overage of Title-III federal funds were allowed for 1995. In 1996 and 1997, the RTB is allowed to assume additional federal grants in line with historic levels of discretionary grants received by the region. The requests for additional funding have been separated from the approved funds.

The initial regional funding targets provided by Mn /DOT for Title I funds for 1995-97 were approximately $\$ 69$ million annually. State funds allocated to the region were $\$ 65$ million annually for a total of $\$ 134$ million. (See Table 5.) This figure was reduced by $\$ 20$ million annually to provide for right-of-way costs, cost overruns and supplemental agreements. Therefore, the region could expect to receive $\$ 114$ million annually of Title I and state funds.

In the case of Title III, Federal Transit Act, it is assumed $\$ 26,000,000$ of federal funds will be available for capital projects in 1995. In 1995, 1996, and 1997 dedicated Section 9 capital funds for the region are estimated to be $\$ 36$ million. Additional federal funds are being made available from Title I, CMAQ and STP programs for transit. Over the three year TIP, $\$ 13,000,000$ of federal funds will be made available to transit projects.

The region is assured to receive $\$ 7.2$ million in operating assistance for the MTC each year for the next three years. This represents approximately less than 10 percent of the annual operating costs of MTC. The region estimates it will receive approximately $\$ 485,000$ annually in small area operating costs for the 1995 to 1997 period.

FIGURE 9

Proposed Short-Term Improvements: Transit Hubs/Intermodal Facilities


Table 5
TITLE 1 AND STATE HIGHWAY FUNDS ALLOCATED 1995-1997
(millions)

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Title I <br> Funds | $\$ 69$ | $\$ 69$ | $\$ 69$ | $\$ 207$ |
| State Funds | 65 | 65 | 65 | 195 |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$ 134$ | $\$ 134$ | $\$ 134$ | $\$ 402$ |
| Reduction due <br> to right-of-way <br> cost, cost <br> overruns and <br> supplemental <br> agreements | $(\$ 20)$ | $(\$ 20)$ | $(\$ 20)$ | $(\$ 60)$ |
| Target for <br> Region | $\$ 114$ | $\$ 114$ | $\$ 114$ | $\$ 342$ |
| Additional <br> Mn/DOT <br> Allocations | +11 | +1.5 | +9 | +21.5 |
| Demonstration <br> Funds | +61 | $\$ 186$ | +23 | +127 |
| TOTAL <br> FUNDS | $\$ 146$ | $\$ 490.5$ |  |  |

Table 6
FEDERAL TRANSIT FUNDING SUMMARY

| Title III, Section 9 <br> Capital assistance available to region | $\$ 36,000,000$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Title III, Approved projects - 1995 | $\$ 26,000,000$ |
| Title III, Requested Project Funding 1995, <br> 1996, 1997 | $\$ 91,000,000$ |
| Title I, Approved Projects - 1995, 1996, 1997 | $\$ 13,000,000$ |

# APPENDIX A <br> DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION 

Title I, Title III and<br>State Funded Projects<br>Title I Funded Projects

Title I Submittal Key ..... 38
1995-97 "Parent" Projects ..... 39
A-1 Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects ..... A- 1
A-2 Enhancement Projects ..... A-2
A-3 STP Urban Guarantee Projects ..... A-4
A-4 STP Non-Urban Guarantee Projects ..... A-7
A-5 Mn/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects ..... A-9
A-6 Demonstration Projects ..... A-10
A-7 Mn/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects ..... A-11
A-8 IVHS Projects ..... A-13
A-9 NHS Projects ..... A-14
A-10 100\% State Funded Projects ..... A-15
A-11 All 1994 Projects ..... A-21

## Title III Funded Projects

A-12 Section 3 Approved Projects ..... A-29
A-13 Section 9 Approved Projects ..... A-30
A-14 Section 16 Approved Projects ..... A-31
A-15 Section 9 Approved Annual Capital and Operating Assistance ..... A-32
A-16 Section 18 Approved Projects ..... A-33
A-17 Section 26 Approved Projects ..... A-34
Title III Requested Funding
A-18 Section 3 Requested Projects ..... A-35
A-19 Section 9 Requested project ..... A-36
Title I Projects Identified by Route Number of Project Code
A-20 Repeats all Title I funded and state funded projects
by route number or a project code. ..... A-37
A-21 Federal Scenic Byway Projects ..... A-54

## Key to tables A-1 through A-11 and A-20

The tables are broken into the various "most likely" funding categories and are sorted by: Local / MNDOT , Agency, Trunk Highway, State Project Number. The description of each column is shown below.

Year The Federal Fiscal year the project is scheduled to be let.
PRT The major project this project is a part of - see attached list.
Route The highway the project is located on. A "999" means multiple routes or a location has yet to be determined.
Prj Number The MN/DOT project number.
Description The location and work to be accomplished by the project.
Agency The Agency with jurisdiction over the project.
Category The project type: Preservation, Replacement, Management, Expansion, Transit, Trails or Other.

PRG MN/DOT Program categories
AM Agreements
BI Bridge Improvement BT Bike Trails, Trails
BR Bridge Replacement MC Major Construction
RC Reconstruction RD Reconditioning
RS Resurfacing
SC Safety - Capacity
RX Road Repair
TM Traffic Management TR Transit

AQ TIP air quality category. NO $=$ not excluded from air quality analysis. All others are applicable air quality exclusions.

Total\$ Total estimated cost of project.
Fed \$ Federal funding for the project. In some instances the federal funding is greater than the funding allocated by the STP selection process. This was necessary to completely fund the larger projects.
DEMO \$ Total federal demonstration funding for the project.
State $\$ \quad$ MN/DOT state funding for the project.
Local \$ Total contribution from the local agency involved in the project.

## MN/DOT Metro Division Construction Projects 1995-1997 PARENT Projects

| ParentNumber | Highway | Location | Description | Expansion | Lanes Lanes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Before | Atter |
| 1 | TH 3 | Lafayette Freeway | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 2 | TH 10 | New TH 10 in Anoka County | Construet Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 3 | H-35W | Junction 1-35E to Minneapolis | Preservation + Temporary Hov Lanes | Yes | Varies | Varies |
| 4 | TH 36/TH 5 | Stilwater / Houghton River Crossing | Construct New River Crossing | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 5 | TH 55 | Mendota Bridge and Interchanges | Reconstruct Bridge, Construct Interchange | Yes | 4 | 4 |
| 6 | TH 55 | Hiawatha Avence | Reconstruct Road | Yes | 4 | 4 |
| 7 | 194 | TH 280 to 1-35W | Reconstruct interchange, Rehab Dartmouth Bridge | Yes | 6 | 8 |
| 8 | 1.94 | ST. Croox River Bridge | Replace Eastbound Bridge, Redeck Westbourd | Yes | 5 | 6 |
| 9 | TH 100 | 1-394 to Indiana Avenue | Upgrade Per EIS Recommendation | To Be | Determin |  |
| 10 | TH 101 | Rogers to Elk River | Upgrade To 4-Lane Expressway | Yes | 2 | 4 |
| 11 | TH 101 | Shakopee Bypass | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 12 | TH 169 | Osseo Bypass | Upgrade To 4-Lane Expressway | Yes | 2 | 4 |
| 13 | TH 610 | TH 252 to TH 169 | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area

## 1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program

TABLE A-1
Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects

| Year | PH | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | x $x$ X-xxx-xc | TR | 95,800 | 79,262 |  | 16,538 | 1-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION - TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORR. COMM. | Transit | D-1 |
| 1995 |  | CMÁa | 141-070-05 | TR | 150,000 | 120,000 |  | 30,000 | IN MPLS; THIRD AVE DISTRIBUTOR AREA - INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS NEAR PARKING AREAS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | A18 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-06 | TR | 520,000 | 416,000 |  | 104,000 | CONVERT SOV TO HOV PARKING AT 2 MPLS PARKING FACILITIES | MINNEAPOLLIS | Transit | 01 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-07 | TR | 691,000 | 400,000 |  | 291,000 | IN MPLS; PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM FOR TRANSIT BUSES - SIG REV IN MANY LOCATIONS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | C3 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-02 | TR | 2,250,000 | 1,800,000 |  | 450,000 | RTB; FUNDING OF TRANSIT SERVICE EXPANNSION ADDITIONAL BUS SERVICE | MTC | Transit | C4 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-11 | TM | 562,600 | 423,000 |  | 139,600 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS - LAKE I NICOLLET | MINNEAPOLİS | Manage | C3 |
| 1996 |  | CMAA | CM-13 | TR | 459,200 | 275,000 |  | 184,200 | DOWNTOWN TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | Di |
| 1996 |  | CMAO | CM-9 | TM | 1,190,000 | 952,000 |  | 238,000 | COORDINATTED TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-8A | TM | 1,420,000 | 1,136,000 |  | 284,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | RTB | Manage | D1 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-5 | TM | 970,000 | 680,000 |  | 290,000 | TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS | ST PAUUL | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-8801 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 |  | HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | CM-12 | TM | 596,200 | 451,000 |  | 145,200 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS - LYNDALE / CEDAR | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | C3 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | CM7A | TR | 3,875,000 | 3,100,000 |  | 775,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION/REORGANIZATION | MTC | Transit | C4 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | CM-8B | TM | 1,375,000 | 1,100,000 |  | 275,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | RTB | Manage | D1 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-81 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 0 | HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-8802 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 0 | HÓV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |


| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | State $\$$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | EN | 103-080-01 | EN | 228,488 | 113,244 |  | 113,244 | ANOKA \& RAMSEY CITIES: CONSTRUCT LIGHTING \& FACILITIES FOR PATH | ANOKA | Other | A-20 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 02-590.02 | EN | 213,334 | 160,000 |  | 53,334 | ANOKA CO PARKS: E RIVER RD TO CAMDEN BR - PED/BIKEWAY | ȦNOKA CO | Other | D-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | EP. 19 | EN | 220,000 | 176,000 |  | 44,000 | BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL - PHASE II | DAKOTA CO | Other | D2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 127-090-04 | EN | 120,000 | 60,000 |  | 60,000 | CITY OF FRIDLEY: UNIVERSITY AVE BIKEIPED PROJECT | FRIOLEY | Other | D-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 27-600-07 | EN | 100,000 | 75,000 |  | 25,000 | CSAH $12-C L O Q U E T$ ISLAND SCENIC OVERLOOK | HENNEPIN CO | Other | F-3 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-100-08 | EN | 158,500 | 110,950 |  | 47,550 | ST. ALBANS BAY BIKEWAY BR IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | HENNEPIN PARKS | Other | D-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-110-04 | EN | 300,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 | NORTH MISSISSIPPI REGIONAL TRAIL IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | HENNEPIN PARKS | Other | D-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-110-05 | EN | 150,000 | 105,000 |  | 45,000 | VALLEY VIEW ROAD BIKE/PEDESTRIAN BR IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | HENNEPIN PARKK | Other | 0.2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | E'H-13 | EN | 343,750 | 275,000 |  | 68,750 | MINNEHAHA PARK LONGFELLOW HOUSE INTERPRETIVE CENTER RESTORATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | EN | EH-14 | EN | 380,000 | 304,000 |  | 76,000 | CHARLES H BURWELL PROPERTY RESTORIATIÓN PROJECT | MINNETONKA | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 92-100-26 | EN | 120,000 | 95,000 |  | 25,000 | INTERSTATE STATE PARK TRÄL DEV AND SCENIC BEAUT - MN DNR | MN DNR | Other | 0-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 167-080-01 | EN | 154,700 | 77,350 |  | 77,350 | COUNTY ROAD J TRAIL IN SHOREVIEW | SHOREVIEW | Other | D-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | EH-8 | EN | 380,275 | 304,220 |  | 76,055 | BRICK STREET PAVING | ST PAUL | Other | A12 |
| 1995 |  | EN | ES-4 | EN | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | DETENTION PONO IN THE VICINITY OF TH 100 | MNDOT | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | ES-8 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 |  | 125,000 | BROOKLYN BLVD STREETSCAPE AMENITIES PROJECT | BROOKLYN CENTER | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-10 | EN | 180,000 | 144,000 |  | 36,000 | CLIFF ROAD TO BLACK DOG ROAD TRAIL CONNECTION | BURNSVILLE | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-21 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 | PEDESTRIAN UNDERPASS AT TH5 SOUTH FRONTAGE ROAD | CHANHASSEN | Other | 03 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-20 | EN | 495,000 | 396,000 |  | 99,000 | BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL - PHASE III | DAKOTACO | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-2 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 |  | 80,000 | MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAILS | EAGAN | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-18 | EN | 198,000 | 158,400 |  | 39,600 | CITY OF HASTINGSMIINNESOTA VETERANS HOME BIKEWAY SEGMENT | HASTINGS | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-1 | EN | 391,000 | 312,800 |  | 78,200 | EXCELSIOR HISTORIC STREECAR | HENNEPIN CO | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-10 | EN | 450,000 | 120,000 |  | 30,000 | COMO-HARRIET STREETCAR LINE IMPROVEMENTS | MINNEȦPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-11 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 |  | 125,000 | MILWAUKEE DEPOTT PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-9 | EN | 610,000 | 488,000 |  | 12,000 | FREIGHT HEAD HOUSE PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-4 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 |  | 120,000 | PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE ACROSS HWY 10 | MOUNDS VIEW | Other | D3 |

TABLE A-2

## Enhancement Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | EN | EP-2 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 | LONG MEADOW CROSSING | MWWC | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-6 | EN | 350,000 | 280,000 |  | 70,000 | HISTORIC SITES AND TRANSPORTATION OF THE MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAIL | SCOTT CO | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-6 | EN | 447,000 | 357,600 |  | 89,400 | 1-694 PED/BIKE OVERPASS | SHOREVEW | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-7 | EN | 178,000 | 142,400 | 0 | 35,600 | RICE CREEK OPEN SPACE TRALL | SHOREVIEW | Other | 02 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP.8 | EN | 434,000 | 347,200 |  | 86,800 | SNAIL LAKE OPEN SPACE TRAIL AND UNDERPASS | SHOREVIEW | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-9 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 |  | 120,000 | HARDMAN REGIONAL PEDESTRIAN TRAIL IN SOUTH ST PAUL, DAKOTA COUNTY | SOUTH ST PAUL | Other | D3 |
| 1996 |  | EN | ES-6 | EN | 580,000 | 464,000 |  | 116,000 | ST PAUL RIVER BLUFF ACQUISTION AND PRESERVATION PROJECT | STPAUL | Other | F3 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-7 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 |  | 50,000 | SCHMID FARMSTEAD - LAKE MINNETÓNKA REGIONAL PARK | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB HENN REGIONAL } \\ & \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-5 | EN | 326,500 | 261,200 |  | 65,300 | JACKSON STREET ROUNDHOUSE | MNDDOT | Other | F4 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EH-3 | EN | 516,800 | 413,440 |  | 103,360 | HISTORIC FORT SNELLING/GREAT RIVER ROAD | MN HISTORICAL SOCIETY | Other | F4 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EH-16 | EN | 879,000 | 500,000 |  | 379,000 | LOST LAKE HISTORIC CANAL RESTORIATION | MOUND | Other | F4 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EP-11 | EN | 425,000 | 340,000 |  | 85,000 | BATTHLE CREEK BIKEWAY | RAMSEY CO | Other | D2 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EP. 5 | EN | 650,000 | 500,000 |  | 150,000 | REGIONAL BIKE/PED TRAIL - SHAKOPEE TO PRIOR LAKE | SHAKKOPEE | Other | D2 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EP-14 | EN | 475,000 | 380,000 |  | 95,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD | WASHINGTON CO | Other | D2 |

STP Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Pr) Number | Prg | Totel \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TR | TR-1 | TR | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 |  | 500,000 | NORTHTOWN TRANSIT HUB | ANOKA REGIONAL RAIL | Transit | NO |
| 1995 |  | CR 46 | AE-20 | RC | 4,675,000 | 3,740,000 |  | 935,000 | CR 46 - JOPLIN AV TO 1-35-RECONSTRUCT FROM 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED AND BUILD NEW BRIDGE OVER I35 | DAKOTÁ CO | Replace | NO |
| 1995 |  | XX | 92-090-01 | BT | 1,200,000 | 950,000 |  | 250,000 | GATEWAY BIKEWAY TRAIL - ALONG $35 E$ FROM ARLINGTON AVE TO CAYUGA ST | DNR | Trails | D2 |
| 1995 |  | BIKENWALK | BK-3 | BT | 674,000 | 539,200 |  | 134,800 | CEDAR LAKE PARK TRAIL - PHASE III | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | 02 |
| 1995 |  | XX | 141-080-16 | CB | 600,000 | 480,000 |  | 120,000 | IN MPLS; PED TUNNEL UNDER 4TH ST BTWN 3TD \& 4TH AVE FROM CITY HALL TO NEW FED COURTS | MINNEAPOLIS | Trans\% | 03 |
| 1995 |  | NS BIKENVALK | NS-1 | BT | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 | TRANSITT EDUCATION | MTC | Trails | D3 |
| 1995 |  | TR | TR-4 | TR | 160,000 | 128,000 |  | 32,000 | SPEEDLITE | MTC | Transit | A18 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 51 | 62-651-34 | RC | 1,445,000 | 1,156,000 |  | 289,000 | CSAH 51 (LEX. AVE) - CSAH 30 (LARP. AVE) TO CSAH 15 (CR E) - MIL LOVERLAY, TURN LANES, SIGNAL REV. | RAMSEY CO | Replace | A12 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 21 | 70-621.09 | MC | 2,775,000 | 2,220,000 |  | 555,000 | SCOTT CO; CSAH 21 NEW ALIGNMENT FROM $2000^{\circ}$ E OF CSAH 39 TO 1300' E OF CSAH 27 | SCOTT 0 | Expand | NO |
| 1995 |  | TH 212 | 90-070-04 | OB | 5,040,000 | 3,528,000 |  | 1,512,000 | SWM METRO TRANSIT COMM; EDEN PRAIRIE TRANSIT HIUB - SW QUAD, TH 5, 212, PR. CENT. DR. | SW TRANSIT COMM. |  | NO |
| 1995 |  | XX | 97-090-01 | BT | 547,000 | 437,600 |  | 109,400 | U OFM - TRANSITWAY BIKEWAY - FROM ENERGY PK DR TO CENTRAL AVE | UOFM | Tralls | 02 |
| 1995 |  | x ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 97-100-07 | TR | 148,000 | 199,000 |  | 29,000 | U OF M: INTERMÓDAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND MAPS (CAMPUS-WIDE STUDY OF INTERMODAL TRANS \& DEVEL | UOFM | Transit | F1 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 2 | AA-2 | RD | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 | CSAF' 2 CORRIDOR PAVEMENT REHAB FROM 1-36 TO TH61 AND SIGNAL AT 12TH ST | WASHINGTON CO | Preserve | A12 |
| 1995 |  | TH'S | 1002-60 | SH | 120,000 | 96,000 | 24,000 |  | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. - PRAIRIE CENTER DR. (78TH ST.).COORD. SIGNALS | MNDDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2706-178 | SH | 460,000 | 368,000 | 92,000 |  | INTERCONNECT FROM SHADY OAKZ RD. TO LOUSIANA: REBUILD SIGS. AT 12TH AVE., BLAKE RD., TEXAS AVE., WILLISTON, STH ST. \& TH 1 | MNDOT | Manage | A.3 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2706-181 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 | 30,000 |  | FROM TH41 THRU WILLISTON RD. - $N$ NTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH 10 | 0203-77 | SH | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 |  | FROM W. RAMPS TH 47 TO ABLE - INTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-93 | SC | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT 18TH AVE. N. IN PLYMOUTH-CHANNEL. \& CLOSE } \\ & \text { CROSSOVER } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH55 | 2723-94 | SH | 620,000 | 496,000 | 124,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FERNBROOK LA.TO IND.BLVD.(INCL.XENIUM LA.)-G\&S } \\ & \text { AUX.\& TURN LANES,CHANNEL.\& SIG.REV. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2752-34 | SH | 820,000 | 576,000 | 144,000 | 100,000 | AT OTTAWA AVE.IN GOLDEN VALLEY - CONST. FR. RD., CHANNEL. \& SIGNAL | MNNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2752-37 | SH | 80,000 | 64,000 | 16,000 |  | AT THEO.WIRTH PKWY.- REFURBISH SIGNALS | MNDOOT | Manage | A-3 |

TABLE A-3
STP Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-53 | MC | 8,200,000 | 6,560,000 | 1,640,00 |  | 0.4 MI W OF CSAH 17 TO JCT OLD TH 101-GRADING | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70008 | MC | 520,000 | 416,000 | 104,000 |  | CR 18 OVER SHAKK. BYPASS - BR \%70008 | MNDOT | Expend | NO |
| 4995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70037 | MC | 600,000 | 480,000 | 120,000 |  | EB SHAK. BYPASS OVER CSAH 16-BR 770037 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005.70038 | MC | 650,000 | 520,000 | 130,000 |  | WB SHAK. BYPASS OVER CSAH 16 - BR ${ }^{\text {W70038 }}$ | MNDOT | Expend | NO |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 1 | 02-601-35 | RC | 1,994,000 | 1,595,000 |  | 399,000 | ANOKA CSAH 1 (E RIVER RD) FROM TH 610 TO MISS BLVD; RECONSTR | ANOKA CO | Replace | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | STP-BR | DISTM-BRSC |  | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  | 200,000 | REGION WIDE BRIDGE SCOUR STUDY | ATP |  | F1 |
| 1996 |  | CASH 11 | AC-8 |  | 2,381,000 | 1,904,800 |  | 476,200 | CSAH 11 | CARVER CO |  | T2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 4 | 27-604-12 | RC | 1,451,000 | 1,161,000 |  | 290,000 | HENNEPIN CO; FROM CSAH 1 TO TERREY PINE DR RECONSTRUCT CSAH 4 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 53 | 27-653-12 | RC | 692,000 | 553,600 |  | 138,400 | CSAH 53 (66TH ST) - CSAH 17 TO CSAH 31 - RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | A12 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 62 | AE-5 | RC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  | 200,000 | CSAH 627419 - CSAH 62 ANO TH 101 | HENNEPINCO | Replace | T2 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENALK | BK-4 | BT | 1,270,470 | 1,016,376 |  | 254,094 | MIDTOWN GREENWAY - PHASE I | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | 02 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENVALK | BK-8 | BT | 1,382,700 | 1,106,160 |  | 276,540 | BASSETTS CREEK TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | D2 |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-10 | TR | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 | HIGHLAND TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transik | NO |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-11 | TR | 250,000 | 200,000 |  | 50,000 | HILLCREST TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transit | NO |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-5 | TR | 1,570,000 | 1,256,000 |  | 314,000 | BUS STOP SHELTERS | MTC | Transit | C6 |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-7 | TR | 200,000 | 160,000 |  | 40,000 | ROBBINSDALE TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transit | NO |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-8 | TR | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 |  | 800,000 | HENNIPEN/LAGOON TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transit | NO |
| 1996 |  | CSALH 44 | 62-644-13 | RC | 2,935,000 | 2,348,000 |  | 587,000 | RAMSE Y CSAH 44 (SILVER LAKE RD) SILVER LANE TO 1-694; RECONSTR | RAMSEY CO | Replace | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 65 | 62-665-36 | SC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  | 200,000 | CSAH 65 (WHITE BEAR AVE) - CSAH 23 (CR C) TO I-694GEOMETRIC/SIGNAL REVISIONS | RAMSEYCO | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-19 | TR | 5,265,000 | 2,950,000 |  | 2,315,000 | BURNSVILLE TRANSIT HUB | RTB | Transit | NO |
| 1996 |  | CSA' 16 | AE-7 | RC | 1,300,000 | 1,040,000 |  | 260,000 | CASH 16 - INTERLACHEN DR TO CSAH T9-RECONSTRUCT FROM 2 LANE RURAL TO 4 LANE URBAN | WASHINGTON CO | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | BIKENAALK | BK-12 | BT | 775,000 | 620,000 |  | 155,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Trails | D2 |
| 1996 |  | TH7 | 2706-164 | SH | 950,000 | 760,000 | 190,000 |  | CHRISTMAS LK.RD.- REVISE INTERSECTION \& SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-8808 | SH | 480,000 | 384,000 | 96,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT FERNBROOK, CSAH 6, CSAH 154, CSAH } 73 \text { \& } \\ & \text { GLENWOOD-REBULD SIGNALS } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-89 | SH | 120,000 | 96,000 | 24,000 |  | AT VICKSBURG, NIAGARA, BOONE, RHODE ISLAND \& MEADOW LANE-SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-90 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 | 30,000 |  | FROM VICKSBURG LANE TO QÜÁKER LANE \& FROM BOONE AVE. THRU THEO. WIRTH PKWAYINTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-97 | SH | 90,000 | 72,000 | 18,000 |  | AT INDUSTRIAL PARK BLVD. - TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 7005-881 | MC | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 800,000 |  | 0.4 MI W OF CSAH 17 TO JCT OLD TH 101-SURFACE | MNDOT | Expand | NO |

TABLE A-3

## STP Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | 80TH STREET | AR-6 | RC | 4,721,000 | 3,776,800 |  | 944,200 | 79TH/80TH STREET RECONSTRUCT FROM BLAISDELL AVE TO PORTLAND AVE | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | 02 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 1 | AE-1 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 |  | 780,000 | CSAH 1/9320-TH 169 TOW OF CSAH 18 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | NO |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 152 | 27-752-07 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 |  | 400,000 | HENNEPIN CSAH 152 FROM 64TH ÄVE TO 71ST AVE N. RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPINCO | Replace | NO |
| 1997 |  | BIKENALK | BK.7 | BT | 600,000 | 480,000 |  | 120,000 | DINKYTOWN BIKEWAY CONNECTION TO DOWNTOWN | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | D2 |
| 1997 |  | BIKENALK | BK-9 | BT | 605,650 | 484,520 |  | 121,130 | KENILWORTH TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | 02 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 30 | 62-630-42 | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 | CSAH 30 (LARPENTEUR AVE) - TH 280 TO CSAH 53 (DALE ST) - RECONSTRUCT | RAMSEYCO | Replace | A12 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 3 | AC-3 | RC | 2,440,000 | 1,950,000 |  | 490,000 | CSAH 3 CORRIDOR FROM CSAH 4 TO NORTH COUNTY LINE - GEOMETRIC AND LOAD CAPACITY IMPROVMENTS | WASHINGTONCO | Replace | A12 |
| 1997 |  | TH 10 | 0214 | MC | 8,800,000 | 7,040,000 | 1,760,00 |  | TH 10 STAGE 2A, TO BE DETERMINED | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 4 | TH36 | 8204-37 | MC | 6,200,000 | 4,960,000 | 1,240,00 | 0 | FROM 0.6MIW OF TO 0.4 MIE OF TH 5-RECONSTRUCT. RELOCATE FRONTAGE ROAD | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 |  | TH 47 | 2726-60 | BR | 5,500,000 | 4,400,000 | 1,100,00 | 0 | UNIV. AVE.OV.ST.ANTHONY,SOO LINE, 8 BNRR - REPL. 3 BRIDGES | MNDOT | Replace | A 43 |
| 1997 | 14 | TH 101 | 7005-57 | MC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 1,200,00 |  | TH169 TO 0.4 MI.W.OF CSAH 17-GRADE, SURFACE, SIGNAL | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-67 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 | 40,000 |  | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13-LIGHTING | MNDOT | Expand | A-20 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-68 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 60,000 |  | SHAKOPEE BYPASS TH 169 TO JCT. OLD TH 101 - FENCING | MNDOT | Expand | A-15 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-69 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 60,000 |  | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13 - SIGNING | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 501 | 7005.70011 | MC | 1,380,000 | 1,104,000 | 276,000 |  | CSAH 15 OVER SHAK.BYPASS - BR.70011 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70012 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | CO.RD. 77 OVER SHAKBYPASS - BR. 70012 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 19 | TH 101 | 7005-70013 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | CO.RD. 79 OVER SHAK.BYPASS - BR. 70013 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Pri Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Catogory | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 102 | 27-00212 | SR | 145,665 | 118,425 |  | 27,240 | SOO RR AT CSAH 102 - CANITILEVERS | MNDOT RR | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 62.00162 | SR | 27,000 | 21,600 | 5,400 |  | OTTER LAKE RÓd IN WHITE BEAR LȦKE | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-112 | SR | 300,000 | 240,000 | 60,000 |  | BN RR METRO | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-113 | $\overline{\text { SR }}$ | 25,000 | 20,000 | 5,000 |  | MN TRANSPORTATION MUSEUM - STILLWATER AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-114 | SR | 875,000 | 700,000 | 175,000 |  | SOO RR METRO | MNDOT | Manage | A. 1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-54 | SR | 190,000 | 91,200 |  | 22,800 | DAKOTA RAIL, SIGNING AND MARKING AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS; HUTCHINSON TO WAYZATA - SHARED FUNDING WITH DISTRICT 8 | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-63 | SR | 40,000 | 32,000 | 8,000 |  | WC RR - WITHROW TO MARINE ON ST. CROIX, WITHROW TO WISCONSIN BORDER | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | TH 3 | 1921-60 | SC | 362,000 | 289,600 | 72,400 |  | AT CSAH 32 (CLIFF RD) - TRAFFIC SIGNAL, RR X-ING, $\overline{8}$ PAINTED CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-65 | RS | 375,000 | 300,000 | 75,000 |  | KELLOGG BLVD TO MINNEHAHA AVE IN STT PAUL - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2704-22 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 | 35,000 |  | IN MINNETRISTA, CANTILEVER AND RUBBER CROSSING | M M D ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | TH 10 | 0202-67 | SH | 245,000 | 196,000 | 49,000 |  | AT THURSTON AVE IN ANOKA-REBUILO SIG, \& CHANNEL. AND AT FAIROAK AVE.- REFURB.SIG.; FAIROAK TO CSAH 56 - INTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH 96 | 622450 | RS | 747,000 | 597,600 | 149,400 |  | CSAH 77 (OLD TH 8) TO 2000 E OF JCT TH 49 -MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 100 | 2755-72 | SH | 140,000 | 112,000 | 28,000 |  | CSAH 10 RAMPS - REFURBISH 2 SIGNALS | M MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH 242 | 0212-36 | RS | 570,000 | 456,000 | 114,000 |  | W. RAMPS TH 10 TO 0.3 MI.W. OF UNIVERSITY - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-43 | SH | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 |  | AT 85TH AVE N-NB OOUBLE LT TURN LN AND SB FREE RT TURN | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-79 | SH | 70,000 |  | 70,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE ADVANCE WARNING FLASHERS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 27-00210 | $\overline{\mathrm{SR}}$ | 83,985 | 66,920 |  | 17,065 | BN RR AT ZACHERY LANE - CANTILEVERS | MNDOOT RR | Manage | A-1 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 3 | 27-603-24 | SH | 520,000 | 416,000 |  | 104,000 | CSAH 3 - WOODALE TO FRANCE - REBUILD 4 SIGNALS WICOORDINATION | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A13 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 5 | 27-605-18 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 | CSAH 5 AT LOUISIANA AVE S - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 81 | 27-681-06 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 | CSAH 81 AT CSAH 130/CSAH 152 - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 109 | 27-709-14 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 | CSAH 109 AT JEFFERSON HWY - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | RAIL | 27-00214 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 |  | 30,000 | CSAH 23, MINNEAPOLIS - UPGRADE SIGNALS | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | A9 |
| 1996 |  | RAIL | 62-00163 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  | 16,000 | CSAH 25, MAPLEWOOD - INSTALL SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | A9 |
| 1996 |  | CR C | 62-623-39 | SH | 323,000 | 258,400 |  | 64,600 | CR C-HAMLINE AVE TO LITTLE CANADA RD. STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | RAMSEY COUNTY | Manage | A18 |

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Crategory | $A Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | CR B | 62-625-22 | SH | 350,000 | 280,000 |  | 70,000 | RAMSEY CR B-HAMLINE AVE TO DALE ST - STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | RAMSEY COUNTY | Manage | A18 |
| 1998 |  | TH3 | 1920-29 | RD | 2,455,000 | 1,560,000 | 895,000 |  | RICE-DAKOTA CO LINE TO 1.3 MIN OF N JCT TH 50 IN FARMINGTON-MILL \& OVERLAY; EXTEND CULVERTS, RECONST BRS, REMOVE CATTLE PASSES | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-57 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 | 40,000 |  | CSAH 17 TO CSAH 4 IN CHAN. \& EDEN P.-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | A-20 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-62 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 |  | AT TH 284 - SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0202.74 | SH | 90,000 | 72,000 | 18,000 |  | AT ARMSTRONG BLVD - SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0215-48 | SH | 160,000 | 128,000 | 32,000 |  | AT HANSON BLVD. RAMPS - SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | A.3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 41 | 1008-48 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 |  | AT TH 212 - TURN LANE AND SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 49 | 020413 | RS | 590,000 | 472,000 | 118,000 |  | TH 96 TO THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH50 | 1904.14 | RD | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 |  | E OF VERMILLION RIVER TO HAMPTON-MILL,WIDEN, \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 56 | 1912-51 | SC | 150,000 | 120,000 | 30,000 |  | FROM I494 S RAMP TO WENTWORTH AVE-SIGNAL REVISIONS \& INTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH65 | 0207-63 | SH | 255,000 | 204,000 | 51,000 |  | W MOORE LK DR TO TH 118 - SKID CORRECTION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 65 | 0208-84 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 |  | AT 85TH AVE NE-REVISE INTERSECTION \& SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 109 | 1010-8 | RS | 330,000 | 264,000 | 66,000 |  | 0.3 MI.W. OF TH 5 TO 0.4 MI.S. OF TH 7 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 149 | 1916-19 | SC | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 |  | AT YANKEE DOODLE ROAD-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2744.49 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 |  | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. TO CSAAH 4-NB AUX. LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-17 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 |  | 63RD AVE.N. TO RAMP TO EB 194-NB AUX.LA. | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1997 |  | RAIL | 02-00127 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 |  | 10,000 | CSAH 35, FRIDLEY - INSTALL SIGNALS | ANOKA CO | Manage | A1 |
| 1997 |  | RAIL | 19-00116 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  | 16,000 | CSAH 23, LAKEVILLE - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKKOTA | Manage | A1 |
| 1997 |  | RAIL | 19-00117 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  | 16,000 | CSAḢ 32, EAGAN - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKOTA | Manage | A1 |
| 1997 |  | RAIL | 62-99164 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  | 16,000 | CSAH 67, WHITE BEAR LAKE - UPGRADE SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | A9 |
| 1997 |  | TH65 | 0208-93 | SH | 190,000 | 88,000 | 22,000 |  | X-TOWN BLVD, SIGNAL REBUILD, MEOIAN CLOSURE AT $177 T H$ | MNDOT | Manage | $\bar{A} 18$ |
| 1997 | 10 | TH 109 | 2738-10 | MC | 4,365,000 | 3,492,000 | 873,000 | 0 | TH94 TO CSAH 42-G \& S,SIGNING,LIGHTING,SIGNALS | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-27945 | MC | 350,000 | 280,000 | 70,000 | 0 | TH 101 S.B. OVER TH 94 -WIDEN BR. 27945 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-5
MN/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects

| Year | Prt | Roule | Prj Number | Prg | Toten \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1095 |  | CSAH 9 | 02-609-04 | BR | 160,000 | 128,000 |  | 32,000 | REPL BR \% 7157 OVER CEDAR CREEK | ANOKA CO | Replace | A-13 |
| 1695 |  | CSAH 68 | 19-668-02 | BR | 920,000 | 736,000 |  | 184,000 | REPL BR OVER VERMILLION RIVER ON CSAH 68 | dakota CO | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 36 | 27-636-04 | BR | 2,900,000 | 2,320,000 |  | 580,000 | UNIVERSITY (CSAH 36) AND 14TH AVE SE OVER BNRR REPLACE BR 90422 \& 92353 | HENNEPIN COUNTTY | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | CITY | 141-080-15 | BR | 1,168,000 | 934,400 |  | 233,600 | REPL NICOLLET ST BR L-8924 WITH BR *27695 | MINNEAPOLIS | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | CR 63 | 70-598-02 | BR | 150,000 | 120,000 |  | 30,000 | REPL BR L-3046 OVER SAND CREEK, 1 MİN OF JORDAN | SCOTTCO | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-54 | RC | 6,800,000 | 5,320,000 | 1,330,00 | 150,000 | JULY AWARD-AT TH 3,52,55 IN INVER GROVE-BR 19045 (REP BR 5820), RECONST INTERCHANGE,LIGHTING,SIGNING | MNDOOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH52 | 6217-9036 | BI | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 |  | ROBERT ST OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-SCOUR PROTECTION ON BR 9036 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | $1-94$ | 2781-9350 | BR | 13,100,000 | 10,480,000 | 2,620,00 |  | TH 94 OVER W RIVER RDMISS R - REPL SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BR 9350 | MMNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-9893 | B1 | 720,000 | 576,000 | 144,000 |  | TH 94 OVER FRANKLIN TERRACE - REDECK, WIDEN BR 9893 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 8281-82800 | BR | 7,635,000 | 6,108,000 | 1,527,00 |  | OVER ST CROIX AT WISC STATE LINE-BR 82800IREP BR 5999) \& APPROACHES(WISCONSIN LET) | MNDOT | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | COUNTY | 10-653-05 | BR | 226,000 | 114,000 | 28,000 | 84,000 | CARVER COUNTY BRIDGE - INFORMATION ONLY | CARVER COUNTY | Replace | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | CITY | 164-235-09 | BR | 0 |  |  | 0 | WABASHA STREET BRIDGE REPLACEMENT IN ST PAUL INFORMATION ONLY | ST PAUL. | Replace | A-13 |
| 1997 |  | TH 41 | 7010-18 | BR | 843,000 | 674,400 | 168,600 | O | OVER MN.RIVER OVERFLOW 0.8 MI.N.OF TH 169 REPL.BR. 6763 \& A | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 2720-35 | BR | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 400,000 | 0 | WASH.AVE.OVER BN-BR. 27167 (REPL.BR.6992) \& APPRS.,LIGHTS, SI | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1997 |  | TH 55 | 2723-85 | BR | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 400,000 | 0 | OVER SOO LINE R/R 0.3 MI.W. OF T.H. $100-$ REPLACE BRS.6344 \& 6 | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1997 | '9 | TH 100 | 2735-134 | BR | 2,900,000 | 1,600,000 | 1,300,00 | 0 | FR.RD.\& MAINLINE OVER C.\& N.W.R.R. O.1MI.N.OF JCT.TH55,BR,54 | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-6
Demo Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | CR 18 | 27-618-67 | RC | 26,934,800 | 5,500,000 | 12,720,000 |  | 8,714,800 | CSAH 18 - CSAH 1 (102ND ST) TO I-494RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | NO |
| 1995 |  | 77TH St | 157-100-15 | MC | 10,350,000 |  | 8,280,000 | 0 | 2,070,000 | RICHFIELD; 7TTH ST FROM PORTLAND AVE TO CEDAR AVE | RICHFIELD | Expand | NO |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-104 | MC | 100,000 |  | 90,000 | 10,000 |  | EAST 26TH ST TO CEDAR AVE. - PED BRIDGE 27202 | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-27063 | MC | 460,000 |  | 414,000 | 46,000 |  | TH 55 (HIAWATH AVE.) OVER CEDAR AVE. - CONST. BR 27063 | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-27071 | MC | 1,100,000 |  | 990,000 | 110,000 |  | TH 55 (HIAWATHAVE.) OVER FRANKLIN AVE. - CONST.BR. 27071 | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-27177 | B1 | 150,000 |  | 120.000 | 30,000 |  | SB TH 55 OVER FRANKLIN AVE AND OVER CEDAR AVE - REHAB BRS 27177 \& 27178 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-95RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,500,000 | 500,000 |  | HIAWATHA AVE RW-1995 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-99 | MC | 1,725,000 |  | 1,552,500 | 172,500 |  | LAKE ST. INTERCHANGE TO T.H. 94 IN MPLS. GRADE, SURFACE AND LIGHTING-PHASE $1 B$ | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1995 |  | TH 212 | 2762- | MC | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  |  | NEW 212 RN** RNW PURCHASE ONLY | MNDOT | Expand | F2 |
| 1995 |  | TH212 | 2762-14 | MC | 2,000,000 | 0 | 1,380,000 | 345,000 | 275,000 | TECHNOLOGY DRIVE FROM PRAIRIE CENT.DR. TO $2000^{\circ}$ W. OF PRAIRIE CENT.DR. - SURCHARGE CITY LETTING | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 |  | TH 610 | 2771-95RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | TH 610 RW-1995 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2724-103 | MC | 28,245,000 |  | 21,460,500 | 2,384,500 | 4,400,000 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) AT LAKE ST; OVERPASS, BYPASS ROADS, UTILITY RELOCATION | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2724-96RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,500,000 | 500,000 |  | HIAWATHA RW-1996 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 610 | 2771 | MC | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | TH 610-TH 252 TO TH $169 \cdot$ PRELIM ENGR AND RMN ACQUISITION | MNDOT | Expand | F-1 |
| 1996 |  | TH 610 | 2771-96RW | MC | 10,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | TH 610 RW-1996 | MNDOOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 55 | 2724-105 | MC | 10,500,000 |  | 7,380,000 | 820,000 | 2,300,000 | 194 TO E.29TH ST.-GR.,SURF.,UTLL.,RET.WALLS.,SIGS.,LIGHTS., | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 |  | TH 55 | 2724-97RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,500,000 | 500,000 |  | HIAWATHA RW-1997 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1997 | 13 | TH 610 | 2771-8802 | MC | 7,000,000 |  | 5,600,000 | 1,400,000 |  | REGENTAVE TO 0.25 MIE OF FRANCE AVE (INC REGENT) - GRADE, SURF, 2 BRS, SIGNALS - STAGE 2 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |

TABLE A-7
MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | 1-35W | 2783-97 | CB | 275,000 |  |  | 275,000 | WB TH 122 TO SB I-35W LOOP IN MPLS -. HOV BYPASS LANE | MNDOT | Transit | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | $6280-8802$ | TM | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 | W8 TH 36 TO S8 I35E - HOV BYPASS LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27856 | BR | 1,370,000 | 1,233,000 | 137,000 |  | TH 94 UNDER 27TH AVE SE-BR 27856(REP BR 27954)\& APPROACHES | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-27860 | MC | 1,630,000 | 1,467,000 | 163,000 |  | LOV BR-RAMP D OVER TH 94 AT U OF M INTERCHANGE-BR 27860 | MNDOTT | Expand | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-27981 | BR | 1,150,000 | 1,035,000 | 115,000 |  | EAST RIVER RD. OVER TH 94-BR 27981 (REP BR 27951) | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | I-94 | 2781-27998 | BR | 1,100,000 | 990,000 | 110,000 |  | EB TH 94 TO Ü OF M RAMP OVER TH 94-BR 27998(REP BR 27953) | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-289 | MC | 9,240,000 | 8,316,000 | 924,000 |  | RIVERSIDE TO $1000^{\circ}$ E OF FRANKLIN AVE.-GR,SURF,LT,TM,SIGNING | MNDOT | Expand | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2786-96 | TM | 500,000 | 450,000 | 50,000 |  | 1-494 TO TH 169 -TRAFFIC MȦNAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-172 | TM | 150,000 |  |  | 150,000 | TH 51 TOWB 194-HOV BYPASS LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-9379 | Bi | 920,000 | 736,000 | 184,000 |  | UNDER PASCAL, VICTORIA-REDECK BRS. 9379,9663 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | 1-394 | 2789-105 |  | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 | ON RAMP FROM WB TH 394 TO NB TH 169 -- CONST HOV BYPASS - TEAM TRANSIT | MNDOT |  | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-272 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,800,000 | 200,000 |  | I-394 TO I-94-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-281 | SC | 280,000 | 252,000 | 28,000 |  | AT NIC.AVE. \& AT LYN.AVE.-REM./REPL. SIGS.@ RAMP TERMINALS | MNDDOT | Manage | Á18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-282 | SC | 280,000 | 252,000 | 28,000 |  | AT 12TH AVE.S.\& AT PORT.AVE.-REM.IREPL.SIGS @ RAMP TERMINALS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 2700-39 | CB | 1,000,000 |  |  | 1,000,000 | OAK ST TO 13TH AVE - EXTEND TRANSITWAY | MNDOT | Transit | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-45 | BI | 800,000 | 640,000 | 160,000 |  | UNDER SB ON RAMP FROM LȦKE DZRIVE-REDECKNIDEN BR 9607, WIDEN RAMP, LIGHTING,GUARD́RAIL/BARRIER | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 0282-02802 | BI | 315,000 | 283,500 | 31,500 |  | UNDER 8OTH ST IN LINO LAKES, CO RD J, CO RD H2, \& EDGERTON - MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS. 02802, 62836, 62835, 9561 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35 | 0283-20 | RS | 1,536,000 | 1,382,400 | 153,600 |  | N JCT I35E \& 135W TO ṪH 8-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35 | 1980-57 | RC | 4,390,000 | 3,951,000 | 439,000 |  | TH 50 TO S JCT $135 \mathrm{E} / 35 \mathrm{~W}$ - RECONSTRUCT NB \& SB -REMOVE WEIGH STATION | MNDOT | Replace | $\overline{\text { A-12 }}$ |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-9779 | BI | 720,000 | 648,000 | 72,000 |  | UNDER TH13 -REPL.DECK,WIDEN \& PAINT BRS.W.B. 9779 \& E.B. 9780 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 1982-118 | RS | 800,000 | 720,000 | 80,000 |  | S JCT I35E \& 135W TO TH 77-JOINT REHABILITATION | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 1982-919 | RS | 594,000 | 534,600 | 59,400 |  | CSAH 26 TO TH 110-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 1982-120 | RS | 400,000 | 360,000 | 40,000 |  | TH 110 TO TH 5-SAW \& SEAL CONCRETE JOINTS | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |

TABLE A-7
MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Pri Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-255 | RS | 7,300,000 | 6,570,000 | 730,000 |  | 66TH ST TO 31 ST ST-MILL \& OVERLAY, CONC.REPAIR \& RESEAL | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-257 | Bi | 3,000,000 | 2,700,000 | 300,000 |  | SB BR 9613 \& NB BR 9614 OVER MINNHAHA PKWY-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE \& WIDEN | MNDDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | $1-35 \mathrm{~W}$ | 2782-27867 | B1 | 770,000 | 693,000 | 77,000 |  | OVER SOO LINE RR, 1.3 MI S OF 194-REPL DECK BR 27867 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782.9088 | Bi | 300,000 | 270,000 | 30,000 |  | 1-35W OVER 66TH ST - OVERLAY BR 9088 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782.9615 | Bi | 1,380,000 | 1,242,000 | 138,000 |  | 1-35W OVER 50TH ST, 28 TH ST \& 26TH ST - REDECK BRS 9615, 27869, 27870 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-9731 | Bi | 525,000 | 472,500 | 52,500 |  | OVER 31ST ST, 7.5 MII S OF 1-94 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-9733 | BI | 675,000 | 607,500 | 67,500 |  | OVER LAKE ST, 1.4 MI S Of 194-REPLACE DECK BR 9733 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 6284-9570 | BI | 450,000 | 405,000 | 45,000 |  | UNDER CR E2 \& UNDER TH 96, OVER CR I-MILL \& OVERLAY BRS $9570,9577, \& 9603$ | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-27843 | BI | 580,000 | 522,000 | 58,000 |  | UNDER TH 65 IN MPLS. - REPLACE DECK BR. 27843 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-88 | B | 844,000 | 759,600 | 84,400 |  | UND.TH169 (OLD CSAH 18)-WIDEN \& REPLACE DECKS BRS. 27979 \& 27980 , SIGNING \& LIGHTING | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-99 | RS | 575,000 | 517,500 | 57,500 |  | 0.7 MI E OF I-494 TO 0.2 MI W OF CSAH 81 (LAKELAND AVE) -MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 8282-83 | SC | 200,000 | 180,000 | 20,000 |  | AT TH 95 NORTH \& SOUTTH RAMPS-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-280 | SC | 140,000 | 126,000 | 14,000 |  | ATE.BUSH LAKE ROAD - NEW SIGNALS AT RAMP TERMINALS | MNDOT | Manage | T2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-284 | RC | 1,200,000 | 1,080,000 | 120,000 |  | TH 494 OVER TH 35W - CONSTRUCT TEMP BYPASS AND TEMP BR 99161 | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-6850 | B1 | 540,000 | 486,000 | 54,000 |  | TH 494 OVER TH 35W - REEDECK BR 6850 \& 6851 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { A13 }}$ |
| 1996 |  | $1-494$ | 2785-9137 | BI | 1,300,000 | 1,170,000 | 130,000 |  | AT TH 169 - REDECK BRS. 9137,9138,27568 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9755 | BI | 3,300,000 | 2,640,000 | 660,000 | 0 | OVER CSAH 5,CREEK,TRALL-RÉPL.SUPERST.\& WIDEN BRS. 9755,9756 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9759 | Bi | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 400,000 | 0 | OVER BN INC.\& STONE RD.-REPL.SUPERST.\& WIDEN BRS. 9759 \& 9760 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-881 | BR | 1,200,000 | 1,080,000 | 120,000 |  | VICTORIA ST INTERCHANGE-BR REPLACEMENT(PAYBACK TO RAMSEY COUNTY | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9196 | B | 1,075,000 | 967,500 | 107,500 |  | UNDER STH ST NW,TH 51 RAMPS, OVER BN RR,UNDER LABORE RD,MCKNIGHT,TH 120 ,HARVESTER, \& 4TH ST-MILL \& OVERLAY BRS. 9389,94 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 2782-255A | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,500,000 | 500,000 |  | TH 494 TO MPLS.INTERIM HOV LANES (STRUCTURES) | MNDOT | Replace | NO |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 2782-9039 | BI | 700,000 | 630,000 | 70,000 |  | 94TH ST. TO 26TH ST. - OVERLAY BRS. 9039, 9053, 9213, 9611, 9617: REDECK BRS. 6850, 6851, 9041, 9615, 27869, 27870 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 6284-117 | RS | 480,000 | 432,000 | 48,000 |  | 1.0 MI S OF TO 0.2 MIN OF 1694-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1997 |  | 1-94 | 2781-382 | RS | 1,300,000 | 1,170,000 | 130,000 |  | TH694 TO 0.5 MI.N.OF LOWRY TUNNEL-MINOR CONC. REPAIR \& RESEAL JOINTS | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1997 |  | 1-94 | 8281-9400B | BI | 1,750,000 | 1,575,000 | 175,000 |  | PAINT WB BR OVER ST CROIX RIVER | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |


| Year | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | State $\$$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | TH 169 | 2772-5 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 400,000 |  | 1-394 TO 1-94-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 4996 | TH 999 | 8809-72 | TM | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 800,000 |  | ON I35E FROM MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO I94 ECT, -TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1997 | TH 999 | 8809-73 | TM | 900,000 | 720,000 | 180,000 | 0 | ON 194 FROM HURON TO I35E, TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MNDOT | Manage |  |
| 1997 | TH 999 | 8809-74 | TM | 3,500,000 | 2,800,000 | 700,000 |  | ON I35W FROM CRYSTAL LAKE RD TO MINN RIVER, ON 135E FROM S JCT I35W TO YANKEE DOODLE RD, \& ON TH 77 FROM 135E TO MINN | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |

MN GUIDESTAR - INTELLAGENT VEHICLE HIGIWAY SYSTEM OPERATION TASKS

| Prupet | Stale Profect Number | Comaty | Letlity Date | Year Operational | Objectue | Esalmated Cost (000s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Federal | State | Other Local | Privale | Newtry Project |
| TMMS Scopiag Slucly |  | Seven- <br> County <br> Region | 1993 | 1994 | To build conseasus on the Twin Cisica integrated Troffic Management (5TMS) derign and to develop preliminary engineering details for the resommended options | 500 | 400 | 100 | 0 | 0 | F-1 |
| THMS Operntions and Mainleasoce Stuly |  | Seven- <br> County <br> Region | 1993 | 1994 | To develop an Operations and Maintenance Program for the Twin Cities' Integrated Traftic Mapagement System (TMS) | 50 | 35 | 10 | 5 | 0 | F-1 |
| Adrenced Parking Information Syalem |  | Ramey | 1993 | 1994 | To carmine the ceasibility of an automated real-time parting information and guidance system | 750 | 600 | 75 | 75 | 0 | F-1 |
| Rovedale |  | Ramscy | 1993 | 1995 | To evaluate the use of ATMS \& ATIS technologica to improve access to and from a major activity center thes reducing congestion | 549 | 269 | 140 | 140 | 0 | F-1 |
| Tritogy |  | Sever. <br> Country <br> Region | 1992 | 1993 | To develop and evaluate so advacoed Irsveler information service using the Radio Data Syuea - Trafic Mesege Channel (RDS.TMC) | 280 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 44 | A-18 |
| Smant Darts |  | Dakon | 1993 | 1994 | To improve existing urnasportation sysuems for seniors and persons with disabilitien | 562 | 272 | 20 | 244 | 26 | F. 1 |
| ICPM |  | Severa- <br> County <br> Region | 1993 | 1994 | To demonstrate that more efficient corridor transportation movement can be achieved through cooperative juriedictional efforts, Ireeway and arterial integration, real-time adsptive control streteqien, adrancod rochnolopier sad a comprebentive motoriat inforrnation | 7.250 | 3.750 | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | F-1 |
| Thind Avesue Dissributer (IAD) |  | Hennepin | 1990 | 1994 | To define and develop uratcices for cocrdianted corridor-besed tretfic arangement and to craluate these artefies in a real world environment. | 2895 | 1,090 | 30 | 1,600 | 175 | F-1 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-9
NHS Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH5 | 6201-70 | RS | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | WHEELER AVE TO KELLOGG BLVD-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 10 | 0202.73 | RS | 1,370,000 | 1,096,000 | 274,000 |  | E. OF FAIROAK TO 0.5 MIS OF TH 242 - MILL 8 OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 12 | 2713-64 | SC | 1,050,000 | 840,000 | 210,000 |  | FROM MARTHA LANE TO OLO CRYSTAL BAY RD. CONTINOUS REGRADE, CHANNELIZE \& SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-57 | RC | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 |  | TH 52/3INTERCHANGE - LIGHTING | MNDOT | Replace | A20 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-58 | RC | 90,000 | 72,000 | 18,000 |  | TH S2ß3 INTERCHANGE - SIGNING | MNDOT | Replace | F5 |
| 1995 | 12 | TH 169 | 2750-42 | MC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 1,200,00 |  | O.1 MIN NOF 93RD AVE N TO 0.1 MIN OF HAYDEN LK RD - STAGE 3 | MNOOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-901 | TM | 35,000 | 28,000 | 7,000 |  | HIGHWAY ADVISORY RADIO SIGNS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH3 | 1928-43 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 60,000 |  | 75TH ST TO TH 52-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 74 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 2732-40 | RS | 415,000 | 332,000 | 83,000 |  | 1.7 MI NE OF 1494 (NEAR POST RD) TO W OF JCT TH 55MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 36 | 6212-62006 | BI | 390,000 | 312,000 | 78,000 |  | UNDER EDGERTON,ARCADE,VICTORIA, \& HAMLINE AVES.-MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS. 62006,62007,62035,62069 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 1905-24 | RS | 760,000 | 608,000 | 152,000 |  | CO RD 86 IN HAMPTON TO TH 50-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 1907-55 | RS | 785,000 | 628,000 | 157,000 |  | S JCT TO N JCT TH 52/55/56-CONCRETE REEAABILITATION | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 1909-8801 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | MENDOTA INTERCHANGE - LANDDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-96 | RS | 2,250,000 | 1,800,000 | 450,000 |  | 1494 TO THOMȦS AVE. - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 | 8 | 1-94 | 8282-82 | BR | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 500,000 | . | ST CROIX RIVER BR. EB APPROACHMWB REDECK | MNDOT | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | TH 212 | 1013-63 | SC | 375,000 | 300,000 | 75,000 |  | AT TH 101 - SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZȦTION | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-903 | TM | 80,000 | 35,776 | 44,224 |  | CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-904 | TM | 225,000 | 180,000 | 45,000 |  | RAMP METERS ON TH 10, 1494, 1-94 AND TH 169 | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH7 | 1004-22 | RS | 2,100,000 | 1,680,000 | 420,000 |  | 0.6MIE OF ELIM OF ST. BONI TO O.1 MI E OF TH 41 RECONDITION; AND SIGNAL AT TH 41 | MMNDOT | Preserve | A-18 |
| 1997 |  | TH36 | 6212-141 | BR | 2,200,000 | 1,760,000 | 440,000 | 0 | AT DALE ST INTERCHANGE-BR 62073(WB),62074(EB)REPL BR 6724AN | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1997 |  | TH36 | 8204-44 | RC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | NE QUADRANT FR RO AT TH 5-GRADE \& SURFACE(ADVANCE FUNDING) | MNDOT | Replace | NO |
| 1997 |  | TH 62 | 2763-27085 | BI | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 280,000 |  | OVER MNAS R/R-0.6MI. W. OF TH 100-REPL. DECK BR.S 27085 \& 27086 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1997 | 9 | TH 100 | 2735-5399 | BR | 1,250,000 | 1,000,000 | 250,000 | 0 | OVER SOO LINE RR \& CITY ST. 0.9 MII . NW OF JCT.TH 12-RECONSTR | MNDOT | Replace | A ${ }^{\text {13 }}$ |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-902 | TM | 56,000 | 44,800 | 11,200 |  | LOOP DETECTOR REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-905 | TM | 60,000 | 48,000 | 12,000 |  | TMS REVISIONS ( 1494 FROM 1393 TO TH 212) | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH 47 | 0205-68 | AM | 65,000 |  | 65,000 |  | TH 47 AT CSAH 8, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | ANOKA CO | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | 1982-123 | AM | 115,000 |  | 115,000 |  | 135 AT CR $11 /$ PALIMINO DR, FRONTAGE ROAD | APPLE VALLEY | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-44 | AM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | TH 252 PED BRIDGE IN BROOKLYN PARK | BROOKLYN PARK | Other | 03 |
| 1995 |  | TH 41 | 1008-50 | AM | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  | TH 41 ÃT HÜNDERTMARK, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | CHASKA | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH3 | 1921-63 | AM | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | TH 3 AT TH 50, STORM SEWER IMPROV | FARMINGTON | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 61 | 8207-53 | AM | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  | TH 61 FROM TH 97 TO BROADWAY, EMERGENCY | FOREST LAKE | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1.94 | 2780-44 | $\overline{A M}$ | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  | 194 Ã CSAH 30, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | HENNEPIN | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 1902-46 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  | TH 13 AT LEXINGTON, DRAINAGE IMPROVMENTS | LILLYDȦLE | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 61 | 6222-129 | $\overline{A M}$ | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | TH 61 ATT TH 36, FRONTAGE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS | MAPLEWOOD | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 149 | 1917-32 | AM | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  | TH 149 AT MENDOTA HEIGHTS ROAD, SIGNAL installation | MENDOTA HEIGHTS | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 7001-74 | AM | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |  | TH 13 AT CANDY COVE TRAIL, DRAINAGE IMPROVMENTS | PRIOR LAKE | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 7001-75 | AM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | TH 13 AT FIVE HAWKS, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | PRIOR LAKE | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH77 | 2758-57 | AM | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | TH 77 ÁT diÁGonal BLVD, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS | RICHFIELD | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6216-110 | AM | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  | TH 51 AT CR C2, INTERSECTIO | ROSEVILLE | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2706-187 | AM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | TH7 7 AT ST ALBANS RD,STORM SEWER IMPROVMENTS | SHOREWOOD | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-71 | AM | 280,000 |  | 280,000 |  | TH 5 AT CEDAR, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6215-81 | AM | 65,000 |  | 65,000 |  | TH 51 AT RANDOL.PH, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL. | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH51 | 6215-82 | AM | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  | TH 51 AT THOMAS, MINN, HEWIT - SIGNAL REVISIONS | STP PAUL | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-173 | AM | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 194 AT LEXINGTON PARKWAY, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-84 | AM | 190,000 |  | 190,000 |  | 194 AT BATESMMCLEAN, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 61 | 6222-128 | AM | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | TH 61 AT WOLTERS BLVD,INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | VADNAIS HEIGHTS | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1.94 | 8282-84 | AM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | I94 ĀT CSAH 13, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | WASHINGTON CO | Other | T2 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-120 | RS | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | EASTERLY PORTION OF ST PAUL METRO AREA-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | 0-3 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-121 | RS | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | WESTERLY PORTION OF ST PAUL METRO AREA-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | D-3 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-139 | RS | 156,500 |  | 156,500 |  | IN ANOKA \& NORTHWEST HENNEPIN COUNTYPEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | D3 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-140 | RS | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | IN ANSOKA COUNTY-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | $\overline{\text { Preserve }}$ | D3 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Pt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total s | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local\$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4995 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP95 |  | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 1995 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MNDOT |  | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-882 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |  | DAVERN OUTLET-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1995 |  | TH5 | 6201-886 | AM | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  | MAYNARD/STEWART-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 7 | 270424 | SC | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |  | AT CSAH 92 IN SṪ. BONIFACIUS - PEDESTAL MOUNTED WARNING FLASHERS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 10 | 0215-44 | SC | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | TH 969 (MAIN ST) TO S JCT Ti 47 - GUARDRRAIL | MNDOT | Manage | A-11 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 1902-47 | MC | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  | D STREETIN MENDOTA TO HISTORIC MONUMENT | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9608 | Bi | 375,000 |  | 375,000 |  | UNDER LEXINGTON AVE,TC ARSENAL ENTRANCE,LOVELL RD,SUNSET AVENUE-OVERLAY BR 9608,9582,9829 \& 9831. | MNOOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | 1980-19531 | AM | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,00 |  | AT CO RD 46-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, BR 19802, ETC | MNOOT | Other | NO |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 6280-881 | AM | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  | AT GRAND AVE-SIGNAL | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | 8280-34 | AM | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | AT CSAH 2 IN FOREST LAKE - TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION AT RAMP TERMIN: | MMNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | $8280-82801$ | B1 | 135,000 |  | 135,000 |  | UNDER CSAH 2 IN FOREST LAKE-OVERLAY BR 82801 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 36 | 8204-42 | SC | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  | ĀT HILTON TRAIL \& AT MANNING AVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& TURN LANE EXTENSIONS | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 36 | 8214-8801 | AM | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | AT WASHINGTON ST. IN STILLWATER - NEW SIGNAL | MNDOT | Other | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 41 | 1008-47 | RS | 290,000 |  | 290,000 |  | 0.2 MI.N. OF TH 5 TO TH 7 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 47 | 0205-8812 | AM | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | AT CR 116 - SIGNAL \& INTERSECTION | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 49 | 020412 | $\overline{A M}$ | 650,000 |  | 650,000 |  | AT CSAH 23-RECONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Other | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 49 | 6214881 | AM | 120,000 |  | 120,000 |  | AT TH 96-FR RD IMPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Other | A-B |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6216-109 | RS | 275,000 |  | 275,000 |  | 0.3 MI S OF CO RD C2 TO N LIMITS OF ROSEVILLE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6216-62010 | BI | 70,000 |  | 70,000 |  | UNDER CO RD E IN ROSEVILLE-OVERLAY BR 62010 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-56 | SH | 120,000 |  | 120,000 |  | AT 117TH ST IN INVER GROVE HTS-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6200-33 | RS | 860,000 | 688,000 | 172,000 |  | RICE ST TO W LIMITS ST PAUL-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNEOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6200-34 | AM | 140,000 |  | 140,000 |  | EUSTIS/PRIOR-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Other | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6217-37 | RS | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | KELLOGG BLVD TO RICE ST-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2722-454A | RX | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | ROCKFORD TO FERNBROOK LANE - REPAIR CULVERTS \& SEWERS. (CAT-1) | MNDOT | Preserve | F-4 |
| 1895 |  | TH 55 | 2723-8805 | AM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | AT CSAH 24 - SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-98 | SC | 70,000 |  | 70,000 |  | AT HENNEPIN CSAH 101-TEMPORARY SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 77 | 1929-881 | AM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | AT GALAXIE AVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNOOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2786-97 | SC | 160,000 |  | 160,000 |  | CSAH 152 RAMPS-REBUILD 2 SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 6283-9147 | BI | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | UNDER RUTH ST \& UNDER WHITE BEAR AVE IN ST PAUL-OVERLAY BR 9147,9148 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prij Number | Prg | Total \$ | Feds | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH 94 | 8282- | RS | 750,000 |  | 375,000 | 375,000 | HUDSON BRIDGE TRUCK BYPASS LANE | MNDOT | Preserve | A-19 |
| 1995 |  | TH97 | 8201-454 | RX | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | FROM 135 TO TH 61 -MILL \& OVERLAY-RUTTING-ROAD REPAIR | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 020991 | SC | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | AT MAIN ST. IN ANOKA - REBUILD SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 2772-14 | SC | 540,000 |  | 540,000 |  | AT BETTY CROCKER DR., AT CSAH 9 (ROCKFORD RD.) AND AT CSAH 10 (BASS LK.RD.)-MODIFY WEAVE AREAS | MNDOT | Manage | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 2772-18 | SC | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | AT 77TH AVE N-2 TEMP SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 2772-6 | SC | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | VALLEY VIEW RD. RAMPS-INSTALL 2 SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 212 | 1013-56 | SC | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | FROM E.OF WALNUT AVE. THRU CO.RD.17-CONTINUE LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH212 | 1013-64 | $\overline{A M}$ | 240,000 |  | 240,000 |  | AT CSAH 15 - INTERSECTION RELOCATION \& SIGNAL | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-40 | SC | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | FROM 73RD AVE N TO 1000' N OF BROOKDALE OR-EXTEND NB 3 RD LN. AND DROP RIGHT | MNDOT | Manage | A-6 |
| 1995 |  | 1.494 | 1985-115 | RS | 860,000 |  | 860,000 |  | TH 149 TO MINNESOTA RIVER-BIT OVERLAY,OVERLAY BR 19825(OVER TH 13,ETC) | M MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 1985-454 | RX | 215,000 |  | 215,000 |  | EB FROM ROBERT ST. TO CONCORD - RUTTING IN ALL LANES-ROAD REPAIR | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 8285-9344 | $\overline{B 1}$ | 90,000 |  | 90,000 |  | UNDER BAILEY RD-OVERLAY BR 9344 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | $1-694$ | 8286-51 | AM | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | AT CSAH 10 IN OAKDALEE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNOOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | 999 | 8809-148 | RX | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE RELAMPING | MNDOOT | Preserve | A20 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-454C | RX | 295,000 |  | 295,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE-BITUMINOUS CRACK SEALING | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-454D | RX | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE-SIGNAL LOOP REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Preserve | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-80 | SC | 305,000 |  | 305,000 |  | OU TH 13,35E,55,61,77,96,110-DISTRICTWIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454A | $\overline{R X}$ | 375,000 |  | 375,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY 95 | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | IVHS95 | TM | 2,750,000 |  | 2,750,00 |  | STATE MATCH FOR IVHS PROJECTS NOT SHOWWN IN METRO TIP | MNDOOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP96 |  | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 1996 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MNDOT |  | F4 |
| 1996 |  | TH 7 | 270423 | SC | 375,000 |  | 375,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT CSAH 92 IN ST. BONIFACIOUS - SIGNAL, } \\ & \text { CHANNELIZATION } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 13 | 7001.73 | SC | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  | AT CSAH 12 IN PRIOR LAKE - SIGNAL, CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | $1-35 \mathrm{~W}$ | 0280-9830 | ¢ ${ }^{1}$ | 160,000 |  | 160,000 |  | UNDER CSAH 14 \& UNDER CSAH 21-MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS 9830 \& 02801 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1.35 | [1980-19841 | B | 230,000 |  | 230,000 |  | UNDER 195TH ST, CSAH 29, CR 62-MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS 19841, 70802, 70805 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 1982-122 | SH | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | WB TH 110 TO NB I35E-RIGHT TURN MODIFICATION | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-27871 | B1 | 800,000 |  | 800,000 |  | SB 35W OVER NB TH 65 - OVERLAY \& REPAIR BR.27871, ALSO BRS. $27930,31,33,34,35,36,39,44,9088$ | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6280-291 | SC | 180,000 |  | 180,000 |  | AT MARYLAND AVE-REBUILD SIGNALS | MNDOOT | Manage | A-18 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6281-36 | BR | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,00 |  | 1694 TO CO RD E-BR 62895-REPLACE BR 9838;RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT CO RD E; AUXILIARY LANE ON 135E(LET BY CITY 1992-P | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | TH 49 | 6214-81 | RS | 175,000 |  | 175,000 |  | O.3 MIN OF CO RD 82 TO WOODLYN AVE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH55 | 1909-74 | SC | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | ȦT S JCT TH $149-C O N S T R U C T$ OUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | TH61 | 6221-38 | RS | 170,000 |  | 170,000 |  | W JCT 194 TOW JCT TH 5/61-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 61 | 6222-127 | SC | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  | AT BEAM AVE IN MAPLEWOOO-SIGNAL AND INTERSECTION REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 27743 | SH | 55,000 |  | 55,000 |  | TH 62 UNDER TH 100 MODFFY WEAVE AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2774-4 | SH | 180,000 |  | 180,000 |  | AT FRANCE AVE. - SIGNAL RECONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2775-7 | RS | 190,000 |  | 190,000 |  | FROMW. OF TH 77 TO 0.2 MI.W. OF 28TH AVE. - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNOOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2781-8804 | RC | 270,000 |  | 270,000 |  | DARTMOUTH BRIU OF M INTERCHANGE AREA - LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Replace | F4 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-100 | SC | 160,000 |  | 160,000 |  | AT CSAH 81 - REBUILD SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 2786-101 | SH | 55,000 |  | 55,000 |  | 194 UNDER TH 169 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-62845 | Bi | 825,000 |  | 825,000 |  | UNOER PRIOR-REDECK BRIDGE 62845 | MNOOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 6283-157 | SC | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  | ON TH 94 RAMP TERMINI WITH TH 120-SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 100 | 2733-76 | SC | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  | TH 100 UNDER TH 494 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 1009-11 | RS | 330,000 |  | 330,000 |  | TH 212 TO 0.1 MI.S. OF TH 5 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 2736-40 | RS | 290,000 |  | 290,000 |  | 0.1 MI.N. OF LAKE ST.TO CSAR 101 WB (OLD TH 12)-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 120 | 6227-53 | SC | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | AT 194 NO FR RD-GEOMETRIC \& SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 149 | 1917-31 | RS | 135,000 |  | 135,000 |  | MENDOTA HTS RD TO TH 110-MIL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 | 12 | TH 169 | 2750-50 | MC | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  | FROM 93RD AVE.N. TO HAYDEN LKRO.(OSSEO BYPASS) LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | A-20 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-16 | SC | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | AT LONDONDERRY RD.-WIDEN NB EXIT RAMP AND SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 280 | 6241- | MC | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,00 |  | FROM 194 TO I35W-NOISE BARRIER CONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Expand | A-14 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-118 | SC | 220,000 |  | 220,000 |  | EB AT HARDMAN AVE-RESTRIPE,OVERLAY,RAMP METER,ETC | MNDOT | Manage | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-119 | SC | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | EB EXIT TO TH 149-RAMP MODIFICATIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-276 | SH | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | 1494 UNDER TH 7 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MnNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-96 | AM | 3,000,000 |  | 3,000,00 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FY 96 | MNDOT | Other | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 880M-Bl-96 | B | 0 |  | 0 |  | SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS - FY 96 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-4548 | RX | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,00 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY 96 | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | IVHS96 | TM | 3,500,000 |  | 3,500,00 |  | STATE MATCH FOR IVHS PROJECTS NOT SHOWN IN METRO TIP | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |

TABLE A-10

## 100\% State Funded Projects



| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Local | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-150 | SC | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | METRO WIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-11
All 1994 Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | CSAH 1 | 02-601-36 | RC | 1,460,000 | 1,170,000 |  | 290,000 | ANOKA CSAH 1 (E RIVER RD) FROM HARTMAN CIRCLE TO GLEN CREEK RD; RECONSTR | ANOKA CO | Replace | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | CSAH 14 | 02-614-20 | RS | 80,000 | 69,093 |  | 20,907 | ANOKA CSAH 14: CSAH 21 TO E ANOKA COLINE RESURF | ANOKA CO | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | CR 15 | 02-600-10 | RS | 60,000 | 46,062 |  | 13,938 | ANOKKA CR 15: FROM 213TH AV NE TO 229TH AV NE, RESURF | ANOKA CO | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | CSAH 22 | 02-622-24 | RS | 225,000 | 172,733 |  | 52,267 | ANOKA CSAH 22: TH 65 TO É LIM OF E BETHEL; RESURF | ANOKA CO | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | CSAH 22 | 02-622-25 | RS | 335,000 | 257,180 |  | 77,820 | ANOKA CSAH 22: E LIM E BETHEL TO E ANOKA CO LINE; RESURF | ANOKA CO | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | $\operatorname{CSAH} 74$ | 02-600-09 | RS | 30,000 | 23,000 |  | 7,000 | ANOKA CSAH 74: E BETHEL BLVD TO DURANT ST; RESURF | ANOKA CO | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | XX | 107-090-01 | AM | 219,000 | 174,000 |  | 45,000 | BLOOMINGTON BIKE \& RIDE SYSTEM TO AND AT MALL OF AMERICA TRANSIT HUB | BLOOMINGTON | Other | 0-2 |
| 1994 |  | MSAS 110 | 196-110-05 | SC | 190,000 | 145,863 |  | 44,137 | AT PIONEER TRAIL (MSAS 101 ) \& TH 41 IN CHASKA, CHANNELIZATION \& SIG SYS | CARVER CO | Manage | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | EN | 194-090-02 | EN | 400,000 | 280,000 |  | 120,000 | TH 5 PED/BIKE BR IN CHANHASSEN | CHANHAASSEN | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | XX | 19-590-04 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 65,100 | 52,080 |  | 13,020 | DAKOTA CO: INSTALL DIRECTIONAL \& INFO SIGNS THROUGHOUT BIKEWAY SYSTEM | DAKOTA CO | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | CSAH 42 | 19-642-27 | RS | 243,346 | 194,677 |  | 48,669 | DAKOTA CSAH 42: CSAH 71 TO 145TH ST IN ROSEMOUUNT, RESURF | DAKOTA CO | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-03 | TR | 96,000 | 79,000 |  | 17,000 | 1-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION - FUNDING OF 1494 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORR ${ }^{\text {Com }}$ COMM. | Transit | D. 1 |
| 1994 |  | EN | 189-080-02 | EN | 591,000 | 295,500 |  | 295,500 | E FISTH LAKE ROAD PEDESTRIAN BR IN MAPLE GROVE | MAPLE GROVE | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | EN | 138-080-01 | EN | 189,280 | 94,640 |  | 94,640 | CITY HALUICOMMUNITY CENTER TRAIL IN MAPLEWOOD | MAPLEWOOD | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-04 | TR | 170,000 | 118,000 |  | 52,000 | IN MINNEAPOLIS; FUND THE OPERATION OF THE DOWNTOWN TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | XX | 141-090-01 | AM | 1,000,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 | MINNEAAPOLIS; CEDAR LAKE PARK TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | BIKE | 141-090-02 | TR | 500,000 | 400,000 |  | 100,000 | IN MPLS; CEDAR LAKE BIKE TRAIL - FROM LYNDALE AVE TO 7TH ST PARKING GARAGE | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | $\overline{\chi x}$ | 142-090-03 | AM | 209,663 | 167,731 |  | 41,933 | OVER EURLINGTON/NORTHERN RR @ 1-494 | MINNETONKA | Other | A-13 |
| 1994 |  | TH 77 | 1925-34 | CB | 185,334 |  |  | 185,334 | FROM TH 35E TO OLD SHAK RD IN BLOOM \& EGAN-SHLD RECONST \& RESTRIPING OF BR 9600 | MNDOT | Transit | A-6 |
| 1994 |  | TH 999 | 2700-27004 | EN | 2,707,201 | 2,111,617 |  | 595,584 | OVER MISS.R.APPROX. $2,000^{\circ}$ E.OF 3RD AVE.BR.-REHAB.ABANDONED RR.BR.27004(STONE ARCH BR.) | MNDOT | Other | D-1 |
| 1994 |  | RR | 27-00213 | SR | 145,665 | 118,425 |  | 27,240 | MC R R AT BROADWAY ST NE - CANTILEVERS | MNDOT RR | Manage | A-1 |
| 1994 |  | RR | 62-00161 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 | SOO RR AT OTTO AVE IN ST PAUL - GATES | MNDOTTRR | Manage | A-1 |

TABLE A- 11 All 1994 Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1894 |  | CITY | 152-102-10 | BR | 440,000 | 352,000 |  | 88,000 | REPL BR 27680, OLD CRYSTAL BAY RD NORTH OVER BNRR | ORONO | Replace | A-13 |
| 1994 |  | XX | 62-590-05 | AM | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 | BURLINTONINORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL CORR: BEAM AVE TO WILLARD MUNGER STATE TRAIL | RAMSEY CO | Other | 0-2 |
| 1994 |  | EN | 160-080-01 | EN | 375,000 | 300,000 |  | 75,000 | COUNTY ROAD C PATHWAY ENHACEMENT IN ROSEVILLE | ROSEVILLE | Other | D-2 |
| 4994 |  | XX | 160-090-04 | AM | 573,000 | 458,000 |  | 115,000 | ROSEVILLE NON-MOTORIZED PATHWAY ALONG CR C FROM FAIRVIEW TO RICE | ROSEVILLE | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-01 | TR | 1,062,000 | 850,000 |  | 212,000 | RTB; FUNDING OF TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM INCLUDING RIDESHARE \& TDM GRANTS | RTB | Transit | D-1 |
| 1994 |  | CSAH 15 | 70-615-06 | RC | 530,000 | 406,881 |  | 123,119 | SCOTTT CSAH 15: TH 101 TO TH 300 IN SHAKOPEE, RECONSTRUCTION | SCOTT CO | Replace | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | CR 18 | 70-618-18 | RC | 13,394,633 |  |  | 2,678,927 | BLOOMINGTON FERRY BR. - STAGE 5-SHAKOPEE BYPASS TO 0.18 MI S OF TH 101 | SCOTT CO | Replace | NO |
| 1994 |  | XX | 167-090-01 | AM | 45,000 | 36,000 |  | 9,000 | 4 BUS \& BIKE SHELTERS ALONG TH 49 IN SHOREVIEW | SHOREVIEW | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | EN | 164-080-04 | EN | 742,000 | 593,600 |  | 148,400 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAILWAY IN ST.PAUL. | STPAUL | Other | D-2 |
| 1994 |  | XX | 164-090-02 | AM | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 | DOWNTOWN ST PAUL: PURCHASE/PLACE 100 BIKE LOCKERS | STPAUL | Other | D.2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 5 | 6201-72 | AM | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  | TH 5 AT KELLOGG, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL. | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 36 | 8214-112 | AM | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  | TH 36 AT TH 5, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | STILLWATER | Other | F4 |
| 1994 |  | CR 64 | 82-600-10 | RC | 1,500,000 | 1,151,550 |  | 348,450 | WASHINGTON CR 64: CSAH 15 TO CSAH 5 IN STILLWATER: RECONSTRUCTION | WASHINGTON CO | Replace | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | RR | 6222-125 | SR | 47,250 | 37,800 | 9,450 |  | TH 61 IN WHITE BEAR LAKE | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1994 |  | DA | 8809-115 | RS | 82,463 |  | 82,463 |  | IN SOUTHEAST PORTION OF METRO AREA-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS(JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Preserve | D-3 |
| 1994 |  | DA | 8809-116 | RS | 80,333 |  | 80,333 |  | SOUTHEAST PORTIONS OF METRO DIVISION-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Preserve | D.3 |
| 1994 |  | DA | 8809-117 | RS | 116,238 |  | 116,238 |  | NORTHEASTERN PORTION OF METRO DIVISION-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | 0-3 |
| 1994 |  | DA | 8809-118 | RS | 118,756 |  | 198,756 |  | IN HENNEPIN COUNTY-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | D-3 |
| 1994 |  | DA | 8809-119 | RS | 70,564 |  | 70,564 |  | IN CARVER AND SCOTT COUNTIES-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | D-3 |
| 1994 |  | DA | 8809-147 | AM | 168,500 |  | 168,500 |  | IN NORTHERN HENNEPIN/SOUTHERN ANOKA COUNTIES-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Other | D-3 |
| 1994 |  | RR | 8809-62 | SR | 199,350 | 179,415 | 19,935 |  | MINNESOTA COMMERCIAL RAILROAD (METRO) (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1994 |  | RR | 8809-88 | $\overline{\mathbf{S} R}$ | 314,089 | 281,456 | 32,633 |  | RAIL CROSSINGS METRÖ AREA - CNW RR | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1994 |  | RR | 8809-90 | SR | 345,320 | 310,788 | 34,532 |  | ST CLOUD TO COLD SPRING \& TWIN CITIES TO MONTICELLO - BN RR(JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1994 |  | TH3 | 1921-58 | RS | 457,756 |  | 457,756 |  | CONNEMARA TRAIL TO JCT TH 149-MILL \& OVERLAY. TURN LANES, GUARDRAIL | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH3 | 1921-61 | AM | 381,502 |  | 381,502 |  | CONNEMARA TRAIL TO CAMBRIAN AVE. RECONSTRUCTION, MILL AND OVERLAY (AGREEMENT "70974) | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |

TABLE A-11
All 1994 Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 1 | TH3 | 1928-35 | MC | 6,562,721 | 4,807,790 | 1,208,24 | 546,689 | TH 52 \& TH 55 TO CSAH 28-GRADING \& SURFACING | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1994 | 1 | TH3 | 1928-40 | MC | 127,430 | 127,430 |  |  | CSAH 28 TO TH 52 \& TH 55-LIGHTING | MNDOT | Expand | A-20 |
| 1994 | $\overline{1}$ | TH3 | 1928-41 | $\overline{M C}$ | 217,565 | 217,065 | 500 |  | CSAH 28 TO TH 52 \& TH $55-$ SIGNING | MNDOT | Expand | A-18 |
| 1994 | 1 | TH3 | 1928-42 | $\overline{M C}$ | 224,250 | 179,400 | 44,850 |  | 75TH ST TO $0.3 \mathrm{MII} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{CSAH} \mathrm{18-LANDSECAPING}$ | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH5 | 6201-64 | AM | 120,000 |  | 120,000 |  | EDGECUMBE/BAYARD-SEWER SEPARATION (AGREEMENT \# 68180) | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH5 | 6201-67 | AM | 346,436 |  | 346,436 |  | PALACEETTORONTO-7TH,WATSON/JEFFERSON. SEWER SEPARATON | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 5 | 6201-68 | AM | 146,905 |  | 146,905 |  | EDGECUMBE/ST. DENNIS-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH5 | 6201-69 | AM | 402,459 |  | 402,459 |  | MAY STREET TO TUSKARARARA AVE. (SEWER | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 5 | 6201-888 | AM | 85,000 |  | 85,000 |  | EDGECUMBE/BAY-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 5 | 6229-881 | AM | 70,000 |  | 70,000 |  | AT KENNARD/BEACH-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH5 | 6229-882 | AM | 24,000 |  | 24,000 |  | MINNEHAHAWHITE BEAR-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH7 | 2704-20 | AM | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  | ATC CSAH 44 -INTERSECTION REALIGNMENT | MNDOT | Other | A-10 |
| 1994 |  | TH7 | 2706-175 | SH | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | TH7 @ VINEHILL RD.- NEW SIGNAL ANO CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 10 | 0215-45 | RS | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | O. 2 MI E OF FOLEY BLVD TO E JCT TH 47 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 10 | 6204-8801 | SC | 88,500 | 70,800 | 17,700 |  | TH 65 TO TH 694-ALL WEATHER PAVEMENT MARKING STUDY. | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 12 | 2714-133 | TM | 102,177 |  | 102,177 |  | AAT CO. RD. 15 IN WAYZZATTA - RAMP METER BYPASS TO E.B. TH 12 | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 12 | 2714134 | AM | 292,142 |  | 292,142 |  | GLEASON CREEK AREA-DRAINAGE | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 13 | 1901-127 | SH | 223,159 | 178,527 | 44,632 | , | FROM CSAH 5 TO RAMP FROM SB TH 35W-NEW CONN. TO N.FR.RD. | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1994 |  | TH 13 | 7001.71 | AM | 160,441 |  | 160,441 |  | LYNN TO GLENHURST (S.SIDE) - FR.RD.DETACHMENT | MNDOT | Other | A-11 |
| 1994 |  | I-35W | 0280-44 | TM | 3,179,376 | 3,179,376 |  |  | ON I35W FROM TH 36 TOLEXINGTON AVE-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 0282-02803 | BI | 90,000 |  | 90,000 |  | UNDER CSÄH 14 IN LINO LAKES - OVERLAY BR 02803 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 0282-24 | RS | 4,328,739 | 3,818,033 | 510,706 |  | FROM 0.5 MI S OF CO RD E TO JCT 135WII35E -BITUMINOUS OVERLAY \& EDGE DRAINS | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1 1-35 | 1980-882 | AM | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | BUCKHLLLCRYSTAL LAKE RD-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATIONS | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35W | 1981-6583 | B1 | 723,317 | 578,654 | 144,663 |  | OVER C \& NW RY \& CLIFF RD-REDECK,WIDEN,APPROACH TO BR 6583 \& HEAT. | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1994 | 3 | 1-35W | 1981-88 | MC | 6,765,941 | 6,071,491 | 694,450 |  | TH 13 TO MINN RIVER-BIT.OVERLAY \& ADD TEMP 3RD LANE,SIGNING,LIGHTING;S JCT 135E/I35W TO MINN RIVER-TMS INSTALLATION--(H | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 |  | I-35W | 1981-90 | RS | 724,000 | 651,600 | 72,400 |  | S JCT I35/35E TO SB EXIT RAMP TO BURNSVILLE PKWY-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |

TABLE A-11 All 1994 Projects

| Year | Pr | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total S | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | 1-35W | 1981-91 | SC | 414,090 |  | 368,495 | 45,595 | 135W UNDER BURNSVILLE PARKWAY-SIGNAL REVISIONS, TURN LANES, OVERLAY BR 19863 (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1894 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-250 | MC | 7,700,000 | 8,930,000 | 770,000 |  | MINN RIVER TO I-494-BIT OVERLAY, SIGN, LIGHT \& ADD INTERMEDIATE 3RD LANE-(HOV) | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 |  | 1-35W | 2782-27932 | BI | 350,711 | 315,640 | 35,071 |  | 60TH ST TO TH 121-O'LAY BRS $27932,37,38,41$, ALSO GUARD RAIL \& JOINT WORK | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1.35W | 2783-95 | SC | 120,000 | 108,000 | 12,000 |  | TH 122 TO RAMSEY-ANOKA CO LINE-REPLACE SIGN LIGHTING | MNDOT | Manage | A-20 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35W | 2783-96 | RS | 1,150,000 | 1,035,000 | 115,000 |  | UNIV AVE TO HENN CO LINE - CONCRETE REPAIR \& JT | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 6280.288 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 489,191 |  | 489,191 |  | TROUT BROOK PHÁSE B.SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1594 |  | 1-35E | 6280-289 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 997,225 |  | 997,225 |  | I35E/UNIVERSITY-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 6280-290 | AM | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |  | $135 E$ RAMPS AT SHEPARD ROAD İ ST PAUL-OVERLAY | MNDOT | Other | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 6280-292 | AM | 873,961 |  | 873,961 |  | BTWN LAFAYETTE RD \& PAYNE AVE FROM 7TH ST TO THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER (STORM SEWER SEPARATION) | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 6280-890 | AM | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  | GRANDIST ALBANS-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F. 4 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 6281-62834 | BI | 170,000 |  | 170,000 |  | UNDER TH 96 IN WHITE BEAR LAKE-OVERLAY BR 62834 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35E | 6281-9567 | BI | 365,000 | 328,500 | 36,500 |  | AT GOOSE LAKE ROAD-OVERLAY BRS 9567 \& 9568 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-35W | 6284116 | RS | 950,000 | 855,000 | 95,000 |  | W RAMSEY CO LINE TO CÖ RD C-JOINT REHABILITATION | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 36 | 6211-75 | $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}$ | 366,556 | 366,556 |  |  | 135E TO MCKNIGHT RD-LIGHTING | MNDOT | Manage | $\bar{A}-20$ |
| 1994 |  | TH36 | 6211.76 | SH | 270,000 | 270,000 |  |  | MCKNIGHT RD TO 1694-LIGHTING | MNDOT | Manage | A-20 |
| 1994 |  | TH36 | 6212.138 | RS | 1,850,000 | 1,480,000 | 370,000 |  | 135W TO 0.2 MIE E OF EOGERTON-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH36 | 6212-140 | SH | 348,894 | 348,894 |  |  | HAMLINE AVE TO I35E-LIGHTING | MNDOT | Manage | A-20 |
| 1994 |  | TH 36 | 6212-882 | AM | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | TH 36/49 VIKING DRIVE-FR RD IMPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Other | A-8 |
| 1994 |  | TH3 36 | 8214111 | AM | 632,355 |  | 632,355 |  | AT NORELL ROAD - FRONTAGE ROAD CONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Other | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 41 | 1008-49 | AM | 35,000 |  | 35,000 |  | AT CO.RD. 18 - TEMP. SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Other | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 47 | 0205-454A | R'X | 17,066 |  | 17,066 |  | 35TH AVE. TO 53RD AVE. N.E. - BIT. CRACK SEAL. (CAT-3. FY 94). (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 47 | 0205-67 | RS | 257,917 |  | 257,917 |  | FROM 0.1 MI S OF 73RD AVE TO N OF 79TH AVE IN FRIDLEY-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 49 | 6213-38 | RS | 367,000 |  | 367,000 |  | UNIVERSITY AVE(TH 52) TO HOYT AVE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 49 | 6243-40 | AM | 178,771 |  | 178,771 |  | ACKER TO JESSAMINE. (SEWER SEPARATION) | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 49 | 6213-881 | AM | 79,579 |  | 79,579 |  | SYLVAN/ACKER-SEWER SÉPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F.4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 49 | 6213-884 | AM | 140,000 |  | 140,000 |  | AT ALBEMARLE/NEBRASKA-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 49 | 6214-454 | RX | 223,966 |  | 187,306 |  | MARIE ST TO TH 96-MILL AND OVERLAY. (CAT-1. FY 94) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1894 |  | TH 50 | 1904-454 | RX | 14,071 |  | 14,071 |  | ON TH 50 FROM 2.1 MIE OF TH 3 TO 2.1 MIW OF VERMILLION RIVER AND ON BUCK HILL RD FROM CRYSTAL LAKE RD TO CSAH 42-BIT | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |

TABLE A-11
All 1994 Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | TH 50 | 191441 | AM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | AT CSAH 9 IN LAKEVILLE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Other | T.2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 51 | 6215-74 | SH | 436,750 | 349,400 | 87,350 |  | ON SNELLING AVE FROM TAYLOR AVE TO COMMONWEALTH AVE-INSTALL MEDIAN BARRIER | MNDOT | Manage | A-11 |
| 1994 |  | TH 51 | 6215-76 | RS | 408,854 |  | 408,854 |  | MONTREAL AVE TO DAYTON AVE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 51 | 6215-77 | $\overline{A M}$ | 31,543 |  | 31,543 |  | SYNDICATE/FAIRMONT-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 51 | 6215-78 | AM | 105,564 |  | 105,564 |  | AT Portilandialodine-séwer separation | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 51 | 6215-79 | AM | 53,813 |  | 53,813 |  | CLEVELAND/PORTLAND-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 51 | 6215-80 | AM | 357,260 |  | 357,260 |  | ALBERT/ELEANOR-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 52 | 1907-53 | MC | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,00 |  | AT CAHILL RD IN INVER GROVE HTS-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE,BR,ETC | MNDOT | Expand | A-13 |
| 1994 |  | TH 52 | 1907-882 | RS | 73,492 |  | 73,492 |  | BTWN $1 / 4$ MI E OF COLLEGE TRAIL $\& 300$ FT W OF COURTHOUSE BLVD - NEG CONTRACT | MNDOT | Preserve | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 52 | 6208-32 | $\overline{\text { AM }}$ | 120,000 |  | 60,000 | 60,000 | ON UNIVERSITY AVE FROM PARK ST TO VICTORIA STIIN ST PAUL-SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Other | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 52 | 6200-881 | AM | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |  | UNIVERSITYMISSISSIPPI-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 52 | 6208-900 | AM | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | HAMPDEN/UNIVERSITY-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 52 | 6217-883 | AM | 208,428 |  | 208,428 |  | STARKEY/PLATO-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 52 | 6217-885 | AM | 210,000 |  | 210,000 |  | AT CONCORD/CONGRESS-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 55 | 1909-19087 | BR | 1,182,193 | 945,754 | 236,439 |  | OVER SOO LINE RR \& RELOCATED TH 13-BR 19087 \& 19088(REP 19029 \& 19030) (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1994 | 5 | TH 55 | 1909-19089 | MC | 689,299 | 551,439 | 137,860 |  | WB TH 55 OVER EB TH 110-BR 19089 (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 | 5 | TH 55 | 1909-19090 | MC | 600,498 | 480,398 | 120,100 |  | CSAH 31 OVER TH 55-BR 19090 (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 | 5 | TH 55 | 1909-65 | MC | 15,966,044 | 11,347,115 | 2,851,16 | 1,767,766 | ÄT INTERSECTION OF TH'S 13,55,110-MENDOTA INTERCHANGE \& BIKETRAIL ENHANCEMENT (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 | 5 | TH 55 | 1909-71 | RC | 578,439 | 578,439 |  |  | MENDOTA INTERCHANGE-SIGNING | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1994 | 5 | TH 55 | 1909-72 | RC | 128,027 | 102,372 | 25,655 |  | MENDOTA BR-LIGHTING | MNDOT | Replace | A-20 |
| 1994 |  | TH 55 | 2722-51 | SH | 135,078 | 108,062 | 13,657 | 13,359 | AT CSAH $50-$ SIGNAL (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Manage | T.2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 55 | 2724-4548 | MC | 100,000 |  | 20,000 |  | RELOCATE "LONGFELLOW HOUSE" IN MINNEHAHAA PARK IN MINNEAPOLIS | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 55 | 8607-46 | AM | 173,472 |  | 173,472 |  | AT AUTUMN OAKS DRIVE - INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 56 | 1912-454 | RX | 121,360 |  | 421,360 |  | COURTHOUSE BLVD TO 66TH ST-MILL ĀND OVERLAY.(CAT-1 FY 94). (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 56 | 6219-05 | AM | 253,000 |  | 253,000 |  | ON CONCORD ST. FROM GEORGE ST. TO STARKEY. (SEWER SEPARATION) | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 61 | 6222-122 | RD | 2,750,000 | 2,200,000 | 550,000 |  | N JCT TH 96 TO N JCT TH 97 - 8ITUMINOUS OVERLAY, TURN LANES, RR X-OVER, ETC | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 61 | 6222-124 | RS | 271,000 |  | 271,000 |  | $800^{\circ}$ S OF WHITE BEAR AVE TO N JCT TH 96 -MILL $\&$ overlay | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |

TABLE A-11 All 1994 Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Feds | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | TH61 | 6222-881 | AM | 120,000 |  | 120,000 |  | SHADY TO 4TH-INTERSECTION/MEDIAN IMPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Other | A-11 |
| 1994 |  | TH61 | 8205-96 | RS | 1,840,000 |  | 1,640,00 |  | CR 19 TO 12TH(NB)\& 80TH ST TO 12 TH ST(SB)-CONCRETE REHABILITATION - JULY AWARD | MNDOT | Preserve | $\bar{A}-12$ |
| 1994 |  | TH61 | 8205-97 | RS | 180,000 |  | 180,000 |  | 12TH ST IN NEWPORT TO 0.3 MI S OF 1494-MILL \& OVERLAY - JULY AWARD | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 61 | 8206-27 | SC | 70,000 |  | 70,000 |  | AT CSAH 8 IN HUGO - TEMPORARY SIGNAL | MNOOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1894 |  | TH62 | 27742 | SC | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 280,000 |  | BTWN.T.H. 121 \& PENN-INTERCHANGE MOD.TEMP.BR. 99147 , CD RO. FOR ACCESS TO W.B.TH 62 | MNDOT | Manage | A-13 |
| 4994 |  | TH65 | 0208-91 | RS | 1,400,000 |  | 1,400,00 |  | SB FROM 0.1 MI N OF ANDOVER BLVD TO 0.2 MI S OF CR 60 \& NB FROM 0.1 MI S OF CR 61 TO N.OF 217TH AVE.NE MILL \& O'LAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH77 | 2716-66 | RX | 153,082 |  | 153,082 |  | FROM TH 494 TO G3RD ST - REMOVE AND REPLACE BIT SHLD - ROAD REPAIR | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-94 | 2780-8804 | AMM | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | AT WEAVER LK.RD. IN MAPLE GROVE - ADO SW TO BR. 27950 | MNDOT | Other | D-3 |
| 1994 |  | 1.94 | 2781371 | RD | 742,872 | 667,685 | 75,187 |  | TH 35W SB TO TH 94 WB - RAMP MOD, RETAN WALL, SIGN, LIGHT | MNDOT | Preserve | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-373 | SC | 1,092,403 | 983,163 | 109,240 |  | UPGRADE LIGHTING INLOWRY HILL TUNNEL. | MNDOT | Manage | A-20 |
| 1994 |  | 1-94 | 2781-375 | RS | 5,215,797 | 4,633,286 | 582,511 |  | 11TH AVE IN MPLS TO WESTERN IN ST PAUL-MILL \& OVERLAY 11 TH TO SNELLING;OVERLAY FROM SNELLING TO WESTERN-LOW SLUMP OVER | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-94 | 2781-379 | SC | 45,849 |  | 45,849 |  | FROM LASALLE TO 11 TH IN MPLS-SIGN LIGHTING (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | 1-94 | 2781-9420 | 81 | 850,000 | 680,000 | 170,000 |  | UNDER PORTLAND, PARK, CHICAGO, 25TH ST, RIVERSIDE-OVERLAY BRS. 27851, 27852, 27853, 9420, 9421 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1994 |  | 1.94 | 6282-885 | AM | 255,000 |  | 255,000 |  | MARSHALLUAMLINE-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | 1-94 | 6282-9381 | B) | 950,000 | 760,000 | 190,000 |  | UNDER HAMLINE \& CLEVELANDIN ST PAUL-REDECK BRS 9381,9457 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 95 | 8208-27 | AM | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |  | ON TH 95 ATT 15TH ST S IN LAKE ST CROIX BEACH-CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 95 | 8208-454 | RX | 219,714 |  | 201,380 |  | 194 TO AFTON-MILL AND OVERLAY. (CAT-1. FY 94) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 96 | 622451 | RS | 300,000 |  | 300,000 |  | I35E TO $200^{\circ}$ W OF HEDMAN WAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 100 | 2734-8803 | AM | 140,000 |  | 140,000 |  | AT EXCELSIOR BLVO. IN ST. LOUIS PK-REBUILD 2 SIGNALS AT RAMP TERMINI-(CO TO LET) | MNDOT | Other | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 100 | 2735-158 | MC | 190,000 | 152,000 | 38,000 |  | MTKA.BLVD.TO GLENWOOD AVE.-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 100 | 2735-162 | BR | 249,761 |  | 249,761 |  | W.FR.RD. OVER C \& NW RR - RECONSTRUCT BR. 90667 \& OVERLAY FR RD(JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1994 |  | TH 100 | 2735-163 | TM | 98,622 |  | 98,622 |  | ATMTKA. BLVD. IN ST.LOUIS PK-RAMP METER BYPASS FROMMTKA.BLVD. TO N.B.TH 100 | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 100 | 2735-8802 | AM | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  | DUULUTH ST. TO RR BR. - FRONTAGE RD. MPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Other | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 1009-454 | RX | 22,236 |  | 22,236 |  | 0.7 M.S.S. OF TH 5 - CULVERT REPLACEMENT. (CAT-1) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 2736-37 | RS | 407,485 |  | 407,485 |  | FROM O.4MI S OF TH7 TO 0.1 MIN OF LK ST EXTENSION MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |

TABLE A- 11
All 1994 Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | State $\$$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 2736-38 | SC | 13,136 |  | 13,136 |  | AT MCGINTY RD. - INSTALL OVERHEAD FLASHER | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 2736-454B | RX | 48,610 |  | 48,610 |  | AT GRAYS BAY BR TO 150' NORTH - EROSION REPAIR. (CAT-1). | MNDOT | Preserve |  |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 2736-454c | RX | 51,640 |  | 51,640 |  | AT GRAY'S BAY BR TO $150^{\circ}$ NORTH IN MINNETONKA. (CAT-1). | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-27019 | MC | 587,249 | 469,799 | 117,450 |  | TH 101 S.B. OVER CROW RIVER-CONSTRUCT BR. 27019 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 7005-62 | AM | 1,433,683 |  | 1,433,68 |  | SHAK BYPASS-UPPER V. DRAINAGE-STORM SEWER CONN.-STAGE III (CITY LET) | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 | 10 | TH 101 | 8608-13 | MC | 414,511 | 331,609 | 82,902 |  | AT CROW R. 8 AT MISS.R. - BR APPROACH GRADING | MNDOT | Expand | No |
| 1994 | 10 | TH 101 | 8608-14 | $\overline{M C}$ | 2,735,000 | 1,880,000 | 470,000 | 385,000 | AT TH 10 IN ELK RIVER - GR. \& SURF. INTERCHANGE, SIGN,LIGHT, SIGNAL | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 | 10 | TH 101 | 8608-15 | $\overline{M C}$ | 3,010,000 | 2,408,000 | 602,000 |  | CSAH 42 TO MISS.R. IN OTSEGO-G\&S,SIGN,LIGHT,SIG. | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 8608-17 | MC | 231,277 | 184,573 | 46,705 |  | AT TH 10 INTERCHANGE IN ELK RIVER - SIGNING | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 |  | TH 101 | 8608-71002 | MC | 345,000 | 276,000 | 69,000 |  | TH 101 NB OVER TH 10 - WIDEN BR. 71002 | MNDOT | Expand | No |
| 1994 | 10 | TH 101 | 8608-86005 | MC | 2,637,405 | 2,109,924 | 527,481 |  | TH 101 S.B. OVER MIISS.RIVER-CONSTRUCT BR. 86005 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 |  | TH 149 | 1917-30 | AM | 50,877 |  | 50,877 |  | 0.25 MI N OF N JCT TH 55 TO 1494 - CHANNELIZE, ETC (AGREEMENT \#71102) | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | TH 169 | 2750-46 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 |  | AT 85TH AVE - - INSTALL TURN LANE \& SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1994 |  | TH 169 | 2772-12 | TM | 117,030 |  | 117,030 |  | AT 36TH AVE N IN PLYMOUTH-RAMP METER BYPASS FROM 36 TH AVE TO SB TH 169 | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | TH212 | 1013-58 | RD | 2,900,000 |  | 2,900,00 |  | 1.2 MI W TH 284 (COLOGNE BYPASS) TO 2.2 MI E TH 284-RECONDITION | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 212 | 1013-60 | RS | 689,652 | 551,722 | 137,930 |  | FROM 2.2 MI E OF TH 284 TO 0.4 MI W OF TH 41-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 212 | 1013-62 | AM | 85,000 |  | 85,000 |  | AT CSAH 33 IN NORWOOD - NEW SIGNAL | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | TH212 | 2762-15 | MC | 375,000 | 300,000 | 75,000 |  | ON TECHNOLOGY DRIVE FROM WALLACE RD. TO 0.4 MII.E. GRADE \& SURFACE | MNDDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1994 |  | TH 212 | 6228-56 | AM | 51,000 |  | 51,000 |  | CLEVELAND/PORTLAND-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F.4 |
| 1994 |  | TH212 | 6228-882 | $\overline{A M}$ | 45,000 |  | 45,000 |  | AT MARSHALLOTIS-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F. 4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 244 | 8219-454 | RX | 104,686 |  | 104,686 |  | CSAH 12 IN MAHTOMEDI TO TH 96-MILL AND OVERLAY. (CAT-1. FY 94) (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 280 | 6241-881 | AM | 190,000 |  | 190,000 |  | EUSTIS/FRANKLIN-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 282 | 7011-16 | AM | 181,289 |  | 181,289 |  | ĀT TH 169-CHANNELIZE ON TH 282 | MNDOT | Other | A-8 |
| 1994 |  | TH 284 | 1014-8802 | AM | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  | TH 5 TO 10TH - DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | ṪH 288 | 0213-7 | RS | 45,000 |  | 45,000 |  | S. RAMP TH 10 TO ANOKA STATE HOSPITAL - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH 291 | 1924-19010 | BI | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | OVER VERMILLION RIVER 0.6 MI E OF TH 61 IN HASTINGS-OVERLAY \& SLOPE REPAIR ON BR 19010 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | TH316 | 1826-881 | AM | 3,000 |  | 3,000 |  | AT CSAH 91-INTERSECTION REVISIONS | MNDDOT | Other | A-8 |

TABLE A-11
All 1994 Projects

| Year | Pt | Route | Pij Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local\$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | 1-394 | 2789-103 | RS | 109,784 |  | 109,784 |  | AT LOUISIANA AVE.(SE QUAD.)IN ST.LOUIS PARK-PARK \& RIDE LOT | MNDOT | Preserve | T-2 |
| 1994 |  | 1-394 | 2789-94 | MC | 345,000 | 276,000 | 69,000 |  | G.M.BLVD. TO 0.3MI.W. TH100-LANDSCAPING | MNNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | 1-394 | 2789-95 | MC | 280,000 | 224,000 | 56,000 |  | 0.3 MIW TH 100 TO W LIM MPLS-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | 1-394 | 2789.96 | MC | 330,000 | 264,000 | 66,000 |  | DUNWOODY BLVD TO WASHINGTON AVE (INCLUUDES TAD AND AT BASILICA)-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1894 |  | 1-494 | 2785-275 | SC | 160,000 |  | 160,000 |  | PENN AVE RAMPS - REBUILD 2 SIGNALS (JULY AWARD) | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | 1-494 | 2785-278 | AM | 3,502,127 |  | 3,502,12 |  | 135W TO TH 77 ON 7TTH STIN RICHFIELD - RNW ACQUISITION AND CLEARANCE, PRE-ENGR, AND UTILITIES - AGREE $\$ 71398$ | MNDOT | Other | NO |
| 1994 |  | 1-494 | 2785-999 | $\overline{\text { sc }}$ | 74,534 |  | 74,534 |  | FRONTAGE RD- 24TH AVE TO CEDAR AVE \& 24TH AVE TO 34TH AVE (PAVEMENT MARKINGS) (NEGOTIATED CONTRACT) | MNDOT | Manage | A-2 |
| 1994 |  | $1-694$ | 8286-454 | RX | 107,048 |  | 107,048 |  | AT TH 5 IN OAKDALE-REPL WATERPROOF JTS ON BRS 82807,82808(CAT-1 FY 94) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-694 | 8286-49 | RS | 106,500 |  | 106,500 |  | ON TH 694 (FOUR LANES) 0.6 MI E OF TH 120 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1994 |  | 1-694 | 8286-50 | AM | 52,223 |  | 52,223 |  | UNDER 15TH ST IN OAKDALE-WIDEN, OVERLAY, ETC BR | MNDOT | Other | A-13 |
| 1994 |  | TH 999 | 8809-127 | SC | 149,072 |  | 149,072 |  | ON TH 62 FROM TH 169 TO TH 100; ON TH 77 FROM TH 62 TO 66TH ST; ON TH 100 FROM 1494 TO 36TH AVE; ON I35W FROM LAKE ST | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 999 | 8809-128 | SC | 109,940 |  | 109,940 |  | HIGH INTENSITY SIGN REPLACEMENT-CHISAGO COUNTY | MNDOT | Manage | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 999 | 8809-145 | TM | 325,000 | 260,000 | 65,000 |  | METROWIDE COMMUNICATION LINK (IVHS) | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1994 |  | TH 999 | 8809-31 | SC | 447,289 |  | 447,289 |  | IN RAMSEY COUNTY-HIGH INTENSITY SIGN REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Manage | F-4 |
| 1994 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454 | RX | 685,000 |  | 685,000 | , | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY 94 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{A-12}$ |
| 1994 |  | TH 999 | IVHS94 | TM | 2,250,000 |  | 2,250,00 |  | STATE MATCH FOR IVHS PROJECTS NOT SHOWN IN METRO TIP | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |

TABLE A-12
1994-1995
APPROVED PROJECTS (Section 3)

table A-13

## 1994-1995 <br> APPROVED PROJECTS (Section 9)

SECTION 9


* Also recorded as receiving CMAQ funds.

Table A-14
TITLE III SECTION 16
1995
APPROVED PROJECTS

|  | Organization | \$ Federal | \$ Local | \$ Total | Vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | Dakota Inc., Eagan | \$33,440 | \$8,610 | \$43,050 | Mid-size bus |
| M | Human Services, Inc., Oakdale | \$31,500 | \$7,875 | \$39,375 | Small bus |
| M | Indian Family Services, Minneapolis | \$34,440 | \$8,610 | \$43,050 | Mid-size bus |
| - M | Senior Outreach Services, New Hope | \$34,440 | \$8,610 | \$43,050 | Mid-size bus |
|  | CY 95 PROJECT TOTAL | \$134,820 | \$33,705 | \$168,525 |  |

TABLE A-15
1995-1997 MULTI-YEAR ELEMENT FTA SECTION 9 CAPITAL AND OPERATING ASSISTANCE

## Operating Assistance

| Recipient | Description | Total <br> $(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Requested <br> Federal <br> $(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Funds | Grant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| MTC | Operating Assistance <br> FFY 1995 <br> (MTC CY 1994) | $\$ 75,500$ | $\$ 7,200$ | FTA <br> Section 9 | Fall 1994 <br> Application <br> to FTA |
| MTC | Operating Assistance <br> FFY 1996 <br> (MTC CY 1995) | $\$ 76,500$ | $\$ 7,200$ | FTA <br> Section 9 | Fall 1995 <br> Application <br> to FTA |
| MTC | Operating Assistance <br> FFY 1997 <br> (MTC CY 1996) | $\$ 77,500$ | $\$ 7,200$ | FTA <br> Section 9 | Fall 1996 <br> Application <br> to FTA |

The above consists of operating assistance for the bus system owned and operated by the Metropolitan Transit Commission, the designated recipient of Section 9 funds. The purpose of the project is to provide financial assistance to allow the MTC to continue the present quality of bus service.

## Capital Assistance

| Recipient | Description | Total <br> $(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Requested <br> Federal <br> $(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Funds | Grant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| MTC | Capital Assistance <br> FFY 1995 <br> (MTC CY 1995) | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 12,000$ | FTA <br> Section 9 | Fall 1994 <br> Application <br> to FTA |
| MTC | Capital Assistance <br> FFY 1996 <br> (MTC CY 1996) | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 12,000$ | FTA <br> Section 9 | Fall 1995 <br> Application <br> to FTA |
| MTC | Capital Assistance <br> FFY 1997 <br> (MTC CY 1997) | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 12,000$ | FTA <br> Section 9 | Fall 1996 <br> Application <br> to FTA |

Capital assistance will be used to invest in capital items.

Table A-16
TITLE III SECTION 18 APPROVED OPERATING ASSISTANCE

| TRANSIT SYSTEM NAME | DESCRIPTION | FUNDING SOURCES | PROJECTED OPERATING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 95 | 96 | 97 |
| Carver County | Transit Operating Assistance | FEDERAL | 63,873 | 66,428 | 69,005 |
|  |  | STATE (RTB) | 134,478 | 134,478 | 134,478 |
|  |  | LOCAL | 87,548 | 87,548 | 87,548 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 285,899 | 288,454 | 291,111 |
| Hastings | Transit Operating Assistance | FEDERAL | 35,182 | 36,589 | 38,053 |
|  |  | STATE (RTB) | 87,741 | 87,741 | 87,741 |
|  |  | LOCAL | 66,240 | 66,240 | 66,240 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 189,163 | 190,570 | 192,034 |
| Scott County | Transit Operating Assistance | FEDERAL | 57,124 | 59,409 | 61,785 |
|  |  | STATE (RTB) | 129,272 | 129,272 | 129,272 |
|  |  | LOCAL | 76,433 | 76,433 | 76,433 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 262,829 | 265,114 | 267,490 |
|  |  | FEDERAL | 156,178 | 162,426 | 168,923 |
|  |  | STATE | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | STATE (RTB) | 351,491 | 351,491 | 351.491 |
|  |  | LOCAL | 230,221 | 230,221 | 230,221 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 737,890 | 744,138 | 750,635 |

## TRANSIT PROJECTS BY SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS

## SECTION 26 FUNDING



Table A-18
1995/1996/1997
FUNDING APPLIED FOR BY PROJECT

SECTION 3

| Recipient | Local Project <br> Number | Contract Letting/Years in Service | Project Description | Grant I.D. | Federal Share $(\$ 1,000 s)$ | Federal Share plus Local Match ( $\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s}$ ) | Grant Status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAA } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLEET IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MTC | To be assigned | 1995/1996 | Purchase up to $9140-\mathrm{ft}$. buses | Sec. 3 (FTA) - 1995 | \$8,000 | \$10,667 | To be applied | C-11 |
| MTC | To be assigned | 1996/1997 | Purchase up to 96 40-ft. buses | Sect. 3 (FTA) - 1995 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { Subtotal } \$ 10,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,666 \\ \text { Subtotal } \\ \$ 13,333 \end{array}$ | To be applied |  |
| FACILITYIMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MTC | To be assigned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1995/1996/ } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | Snelling Garage <br> Relocation | Sec. 3 (FTA) | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 45,000 \\ \text { Subtotal } \$ 45,000 \\ \text { Totals } \$ 55,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 60,000 \\ \text { Subtotal } \$ 60,000 \\ \text { Totals } \$ 73,333 \end{array}$ | To be applied |  |
| STUDY/PRELIMINARYENGINEERING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MN/DOT | To be assigned | 1995/1996 | Central Corridor FEIS and Preliminary Engineering | Sec. 3 (FTA) | \$2,300 | \$3,500 | To be applied | F-1 |

TABLE A-19

## 1905/1996/1997 FUNDING AIPLIED FOR BY PROJECT

## SECTION 9



[^1]All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo $\$$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | EN | 103-080-01 | EN | 226,488 | 113,244 |  |  | 113,244 | ANOKA \& RAMSEY CITIES: CONSTRUCT LIGHTING \& FACILITIES FOR PATH | ANOKA | Other | A-20 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 02-590-02 | EN | 213,334 | 160,000 |  |  | 53,334 | ANOKA CO PARKS: E RIVER RD TO CAMDEN BR PED/BIKEWAY | ȦNOKA CO | Other | D-2 |
| 1997 |  | RAIL | 02-00127 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 10,000 | CSAH 35, FRIDLEY - INSTALL SIGNALS | ANOKA CO | Manage | A1 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 1 | 02-601-35 | RC | 1,994,000 | 1,595,000 |  |  | 399,000 | ANOKA CSAH 1 (E RIVER RD) FROM TH 610 TO MISS BLVD; RECONSTR | ANOKKA CO | Replace | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 9 | 02-609-04 | BR | 160,000 | 128,000 |  |  | 32,000 | REPL BR ${ }^{\text {\% } 7157}$ OVER CEDAR CREEK | ANOKA CO | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 47 | 0205-68 | AM | 65,000 |  |  | 65,000 |  | TH 47 AT CSAH 8, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | ANOKKÁCO | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TR | TR-1 | TR | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 |  |  | 500,000 | NORTHTOWN TRANSIT HUB | ĀNOKA REGIONAL RAIL | Transit | NO |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | 1982-123 | $\bar{A} M$ | 115,000 |  |  | 115,000 |  | 135 AT CR 11/PALIMINO DR, FRONTAGE ROAD IMPROVMENTS | APPLE VALLEY | Other | A18 |
| 1996 |  | STP-BR | DISTM-BRS |  | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  |  | 200,000 | REGION WIDE BRIDGE SCOUR STUUDY | ATP |  | F1 |
| 1997 |  | 80TH STREET | AR-6 | RC | 4,721,000 | 3,776,800 |  |  | 944,200 | 79TH/80TH STREET RECONSTRUCT FROM BLAISDELL AVE TO PORTLAND AVE | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | 02 |
| 1996 |  | EN | ES-8 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 |  |  | 125,000 | BROOKLYN BLVD STREETSCAPE AMENITIES PROJECT | BROOKLYN CENTER | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-44 | AM | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | TH 252 PED BRIDGE IN BROOKLYN PARK | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BROOKKLYN } \\ & \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | Other | D3 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-10 | EN | 180,000 | 144,000 |  |  | 36,000 | CLIF R ROAD TO BLACK DOG ROAD TRAIL CONNECTION | BURNSVILLE | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | CASH 11 | AC-8 |  | 2,381,000 | 1,904,800 |  |  | 476,200 | CSAH 11 | CARVER CO |  | T2 |
| 1996 |  | COUNTY | 10-653-05 | BR | 226,000 | 114,000 |  | 28,000 | 84,000 | CARVER COUNTY BRIDGE - INFORMATION ONLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARVER } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | $\overline{\text { A-13 }}$ |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-21 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 |  |  | 60,000 | PEDESTRIAN UNDERPASS AT THS SOUTH FRONTAGE ROAD | CHANHASSEN | Other | D3 |
| 1995 |  | TH 41 | 1008-50 | AM | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 |  | TH 41 AT HUNDERTMARK, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | CHASKA | Other | A18 |
| 1997 |  | RAIL | 19-00116 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  |  | 16,000 | CSAH 23, LAKEVILLE - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKOTA | Manage | A1 |
| 1997 |  | RAIL | 19-00117 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  |  | 16,000 | CSAH 32, EAGAN - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKOTȦ | Manage | A1 |
| 1995 |  | EN | EP-19 | EN | 220,000 | 176,000 |  |  | 44,000 | BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL - PHASE II | dAKOTA CO | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-20 | EN | 495,000 | 396,000 |  |  | 99,000 | BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL - PHASE III | DAKOTA CO | Other | D2 |
| 1995 |  | CR 46 | AE-20 | RC | 4,675,000 | 3,740,000 |  |  | 935,000 | CR 46 - JOPLIN AV TO I-35-RECONSTRUCT FROM 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED AND BUILD NEW BRIDGE OVER 135 | dAKOTACO | Replace | NO |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Pr) Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 68 | 19-660-02 | BR | 920,000 | 736,000 |  |  | 184,000 | REPL BR OVER VERMILLION RIVER ON CSAH 68 | DAKOTA CO | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | XX | 92-090-01 | BT | 1,200,000 | 950,000 |  |  | 250,000 | GÃTEWAY BIKEWĀ TRAIL - ALONG $35 E$ FROM ARLINGTON AVE TO CAYUGA ST | DNR | Tralls | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-2 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 |  |  | 80,000 | MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAILS | EAGAN | Other | 02 |
| 1995 |  | TH3 | 1921-63 | AM | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | TH 3 AT TH 50, STORM SEWER IMPROV | FARMINGTON | Other | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 1995 |  | TH61 | 8207.53 | $\overline{A M}$ | 20,000 |  |  | 20,000 |  | TH 61 FROM TH 97 TO BROADWAY, EMERGENCY VEHICLE PREEMPTION | FORESTLAKE | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 127-090-04 | EN | 120,000 | 60,000 |  |  | 60.000 | CITY OF FRIDLEY: UNIVERSITY AVE BIKE/PED PROJECT | FRIDLEY | Other | 0-2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-18 | EN | 198,000 | 158,400 |  |  | 39,600 | CITY OF HASTINGSMININESOTA VETERANS HOME BIKEWAY SEGMENT | HAStings | Other | D2 |
| 1995 |  | 1.94 | 2780-44 | AM | 60,000 |  |  | 60,000 |  | 194 AT CSALH 30, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | HENNEPIN | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 27-600-07 | EN | 100,000 | 75,000 |  |  | 25,000 | CSAH 12-CLOQUET ISLAND SCENIC OVERLOOK | HENNEPIN CO | Other | F-3 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-1 | EN | 391,000 | 312,800 |  |  | 78,200 | EXCELSIOR HISTORIC STREECAR | HENNEPIN CO | Other | F4 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 1 | AE-1 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 |  |  | 780,000 | CSAH 1/9320-TH 169 TOW OF CSAH 18 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 4 | 27-604-12 | RC | 1,451,000 | 1,161,000 |  |  | 290,000 | HENNEPIN CO; FROM CSAH 1 TO TERREY PINE DR - RECONSTRUCT CSAH 4 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | NO |
| 1995 |  | CR 18 | 27-618-67 | RC | 26,934,800 | 5,500,000 | 12,720,000 |  | 8,714,800 | CSAH 18 - CSAH 1 (102ND ST) TO 1-494RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIIN CO | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 53 | 27-653-12 | RC | 692,000 | 553,600 |  |  | 138,400 | CSAH 53 (66TH ST) - CSAH 17 TO CSAA 31 - RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | A12 |
| 1996 |  | CSAA 62 | AE-5 | RC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  |  | 200,000 | CSAH 627419 - CSAH 62 AND TH 101 | HENNEPINCO | Replace | T2 |
| 1997 |  | CSAAH 152 | 27-752-07 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 |  |  | 400,000 | HENNEPIN CSAH 152 FROM 64TH AVE TO 71ST AVE N - RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 3 | 27-603-24 | SH | 520,000 | 436,000 |  |  | 104,000 | CSAH 3 - WOODALE TO FRANCE - REBUILD 4 SIGNALS WICOORDINATION | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A13 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 5 | 27-605-18 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  |  | 20,000 | CSAH 5 AT LOUISIANA AVE S - REBUHLD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | CSAA 36 | 27-636-04 | BR | 2,900,000 | 2,320,000 |  |  | 580,000 | UNIVERSITY (CSAH 36) AND 14TH AVE SE OVER BNRR - REPLACE BR 90422 \& 92353 | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Replace | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 81 | 27-681-06 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  |  | 20,000 | CSAH 81 AT CSAH 130/CSAH 152 - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 109 | 27-709-14 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  |  | 20,000 | CSAH 109 AT JEFFERSON HWY - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN COUNTY | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-100-06 | EN | 158,500 | 110,950 |  |  | 47,550 | ST. ALBANS BAY BIKEWAY BR IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HENNEPIN } \\ & \text { PARKS } \end{aligned}$ | Other | D-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-110-04 | EN | 300,000 | 150,000 |  |  | 150,000 | NORTH MISSISSIPPI REGIONAL TRAIL IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HENNEPIN } \\ & \text { PARKS } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 0-2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-110-05 | EN | 150,000 | 105,000 |  |  | 45,000 | VALLEY VIEW ROAD BIKE/PEDESTRIAN BR IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | HENNEPIN PARKS | Other | D-2 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | x $\mathrm{x} \times$ - $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{xc}$ | TR | 95,800 | 79,262 |  |  | 16,538 | 1-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION - TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORR. COMM. | Transit | D-1 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 1902-46 | AM | 20,000 |  |  | 20,000 |  | TH 13 AT LEXINGTON, DRAINAGE IMPROVMENTS | LİLIYDALE | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 61 | 6222-129 | AM | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | TH 61 AT TH 36, FRONTAGE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS | MAPLEWOOD | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 149 | 1917-32 | AM | 60,000 |  |  | 60,000 |  | TH 149 AT MENDOTA HEIGHTS ROAD, SIGNAL Installation | MENDOTA HEIGHTS | Other | At8 |
| 1995 |  | BİEENALK | BK-3 | BT | 674,000. | 539,200 |  |  | 134,800 | CEDAR LAKE PARK TRAIL - PHASE III | MINNEAPOLIS | Tralts | D2 |
| 1996 |  | BIKEVNALK | BK-4 | BT | 1,270,470 | 1,016,376 |  |  | 254,094 | MIDTOWN GREENWAY - PHASEI | MINNEAPOLIS | Tralls | D2 |
| 1996 |  | BIKE,NALK | BK-8 | BT | 1,382,700 | 1,106,160 |  |  | 276,540 | BASSETTS CREEK TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Tralls | D2 |
| 1997 |  | BIKENALK | BK-7 | BT | 600,000 | 460,000 |  |  | 120,000 | DINKYTOWN BIKEWAY CONNECTION TO DOWNTOWN | MINNEAPOLİS | Tralls | D2 |
| 1997 |  | BIKENALK | BK-9 | BT | 605,650 | 484,520 |  |  | 121,130 | KENILWORTH TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | D2 |
| 1995 |  | Citr | 141-080-15 | BR | 1,168,000 | 934,400 |  |  | 233,600 | REPL NICOLLET ST BR L-8924 WITH BR *27695 | MINNEAPOLIS | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141.070-05 | TR | 150,000 | 120,000 |  |  | 30,000 | IN MPLS; THIRD AVE DISTRIBUTOR AREA - INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS NEAR PARKING AREAS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | A18 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-06 | TR | 520,000 | 416,000 |  |  | 104,000 | CONVERT SOV TO HOV PARKING AT 2 MPLS PARKING FACIITIES | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | D1 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-07 | TR | 691,000 | 400,000 |  |  | 291,000 | IN MPLS: PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM FOR TRANSIT BUSES - SIG REV IN MANY LOCATIONS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | C3 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-11 | TM | 562,600 | 423,000 |  |  | 139,600 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS - LAKE I NICOLLET | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | C3 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-13 | TR | 459,200 | 275,000 |  |  | 184,200 | DOWNTOWN TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | D1 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-9 | TM | 1,190,000 | 952,000 |  |  | 238,000 | COORDINATEED YRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | CM-12 | TM | 596,200 | 451,000 |  |  | 145,200 | PRIORITY VELHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS - LYNDALE I CEDAR | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | C3 |
| 1995 |  | EN | EH-13 | EN | 343,750 | 275,000 |  |  | 68,750 | MINNEHAHA PARK LONGFELLOW HOUSE INTERPRETIVE CENTER RESTORATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-10 | EN | 150,000 | 120,000 |  |  | 30,000 | COMO-HARRIET STREETCAR LINE IMPROVEMENTS | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-11 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 |  |  | 125,000 | MILWAUKEE DEPOT PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-9 | EN | 610,000 | 488.000 |  |  | 122,000 | FREIGHT HEAD HOUSE PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | RAIL | 27-00214 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 |  |  | 30,000 | CSAH 23, MINNEAPOLIS - UPGRADE SIGNALS | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | A9 |
| 1995 |  | XX | 141-080-16 | CB | 600,000 | 480,000 |  |  | 120,000 | IN MPLS; PED TUNNEL UNDER 4TH ST BTWN 3TD \& 4TH AVE FROM CITY HALL TO NEW FED COURTS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | D3 |
| 1995 |  | EN | EH-14 | EN | 380,000 | 304,000 |  |  | 76,000 | CHARLES HBURWELL PROPERTY RESTORIATION PROJECT | MINNETONKA | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 92-100-26 | EN | 120,000 | 95,000 |  |  | 25,000 | INTERSTATE STATE PARK TRALL DEV AND SCENIC BEAUT - MN DNR | MN DNR | Other | D-2 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | EN | EH-3 | EN | 516,800 | 413,440 |  |  | 103,360 | HISTORIC FORT SNELLING/GREAT RIVER ROAD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MN } \\ & \text { HISTORICAL } \\ & \text { SOCIETY } \end{aligned}$ | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 102 | 27-00212 | SR | 145,665 | 118,425 |  |  | 27,240 | SOO RR AT CSAH 102-CANITILEVERS | MNDOT RR | Manage | A-1 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EH-16 | EN | 879,000 | 500,000 |  |  | 379,000 | LOST LAKE HISTORIC CÃNAL RESTORIATION | MOUND | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-4 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 |  |  | 120,000 | PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE ACROSS HWY 10 | MOUNDS VIEW | Other | D3 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-02 | TR | 2,250,000 | 4,800,000 |  |  | 450,000 | RTB; FUNDING OF TRANSITT SERVICE EXPANSION ADOITIONAL BUS SERVICE | MTC | Transh | C4 |
| 1895 |  | NS 8IKENWALK | NS-1 | BT | 100,000 | 80,000 |  |  | 20,000 | TRANSIT EDUCATION | MTC | Trails | 03 |
| 1995 |  | TR | TR-4 | TR | 160,000 | 128,000 |  |  | 32,000 | SPEEDLITE | MTC | Transit | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-10 | TR | 300,000 | 240,000 |  |  | 60,000 | HIGHLAND TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transif | NO |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-11 | TR | 250,000 | 200,000 |  |  | 50,000 | HILLCREST TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transit | NO |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-5 | TR | 1,570,000 | 1,256,000 |  |  | 314,000 | BUS STOP SHELTERS | MTC | Transit | C6 |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-7 | TR | 200,000 | 160,000 |  |  | 40,000 | ROBBINSDALE TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transit | NO |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-8 | TR | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 |  |  | 800,000 | HENNIPEN/LAGOON TRANSIT HUB | MTC | Transit | NO |
| 1997 |  | i-35W | CM7A | TR | 3,875,000 | 3,100,000 |  |  | 775,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION/REORGANIZATION | MTC | Transt | C4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-2 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 |  |  | 60,000 | LONG MEADOW CROSSING | MWWC | Other | 02 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 7001.74 | AM | 15,000 |  |  | 15,000 |  | TH 13 AT CANDY COVE TRAIL, DRAINAGE IMPROVMENTS | PRIOR LAKE | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 7001.75 | AM | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | TH 13 AT FIVE HAWKS, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | PRIOR LAKE | Other | A18 |
| 1996 |  | RAIL | 62-00163 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  |  | 16,000 | CSAH 25, MAPLEWOOD - INSTALL SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | A9 |
| 1997 |  | RAILI | 62-99164 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 |  |  | 16,000 | CSAH 67, WHITE BEAR LAKE - UPGRADE SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | A9 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EP-11 | EN | 425,000 | 340,000 |  |  | 85,000 | BATTLE CREEK BIKEWAY | RAMSEYCO | Other | $\overline{0}$ |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 30 | 62-630-42 | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 |  |  | 1,000,000 | CSAH 30 (LARPENTEUR AVE) - TH 280 TO CSAH 53 (DALE ST)-RECONSTRUCT | RAMSEY CO | Replace | A12 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 44 | 62-644-13 | R C | 2,935,000 | 2,348,000 |  |  | 587,000 | RAMSEY CSAH 44 (SILVER LAKE RD) SILVER LANE TO 1-694; RECONSTR | RAMSEY CO | Replace | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 51 | 62-651-34 | RC | 1,445,000 | 1,156,000 |  |  | 289,000 | CSAR 51 (LEX. AVE) - CSAH 30 (LARP. AVE) TO CSAH 15 (CR E) - MILUOVERLAY, TURN LANES, SIGNAL REV. | RAMSEYCO | Replace | A12 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 65 | 62-665-36 | SC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  |  | 200,000 | CSAH 65 (WHITE BEAR AVE) - CSAH 23 (CR C) TO 1-694-GEOMETRIC/SIGNAL REVISIONS | RAMSEY CO | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | CR B | 62-625-22 | SH | 350,000 | 280,000 |  |  | 70,000 | RAMSE Y CR B.HAMLINE AVE TO DALE ST STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAMSEY } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | CR C | 62-623-39 | SH | 323,000 | 258,400 |  |  | 64,600 | CR C-HAMLINE AVE TOLITTLE CANADA RD STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAMSEY } \\ & \text { COUNTY } \end{aligned}$ | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 77TH St | 157-108-15 | MC | 10,350,000 |  | 8,280,000 | 0 | 2,070,000 | RICHFIELD; 77TH ST FROM PORTLANO AVE TÓ CEDAR AVE | RICHFIELD | Expand | NO |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Pri Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH 77 | 2758-57 | AM | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | TH 77 AT DIAGONAL BLVD, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS | RICHFIELD | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6216-110 | AM | 250,000 |  |  | 250,000 |  | TH 51 AT CR C2, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | ROSEVILLE | Other | A18 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-8A | TM | 1,420,000 | 1,136,000 |  |  | 284,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | RTB | Manage | D1 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | CM-8B | TM | 1,375,000 | 1,100,000 |  |  | 275,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | RTB | Manage | D1 |
| 1996 |  | TR | TR-19 | TR | 5,265,000 | 2,950,000 |  |  | 2,315,000 | BURNSVILLE TRANSIT HUB | RTB | Transit | NO |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-6 | EN | 350,000 | 280,000 |  |  | 70,000 | HISTORIC SITES AND TRANSPORTATION OF THE MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAIL | SCOTTCO | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 21 | 70-621-09 | MC | 2,775,000 | 2,220,000 |  |  | 555,000 | SCOTT CO; CSAH 21 NEW ALIGNMENT FROM 2000 E OF CSAH 39 TO 1300' E OF CSAH 27 | SCOTTCO | Expand | NO |
| 1995 |  | CR 63 | 70-598-02 | BR | 150,000 | 120,000 |  |  | 30,000 | REPL BR L-3046 OVER SAND CREEK, 1 MIN OF JORDAN | SCOTT CO | Replace | A-13 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EP-5 | EN | 650,000 | 500,000 |  |  | 150,000 | REGIONAL BIKEIPED TRAIL - SHAKOPEE TO PRIOR LAKE | SHAKOPEE | Other | D2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 167-080-01 | EN | 154,700 | 77,350 |  |  | 77,350 | COUNTY ROAD J TRAIL ${ }^{\text {IN S SHOREVIEW }}$ | SHOREVIEW | Other | D-2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-6 | EN | 447,000 | 357,600 |  |  | 89,400 | 1-694 PED/BIKE OVERPASS | SHOREVIEW | Other | 02 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-7 | EN | 178,000 | 142,400 |  | 0 | 35,600 | RICE CREEK OPEN SPACE TRAIL | SHOREVIEW | Other | D2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-8 | EN | 434,000 | 347,200 |  |  | 86,800 | SNAIL LAKE OPEN SPACE TRAIL AND UNDERPASS | SHOREVIEW | Other | D2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 7 | 2706-187 | AM | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | TH 7 AT ST ALBANS RD,STORM SEWER IMPROVMENTS | SHOREWOOD | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EP-9 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 |  |  | 120,000 | HARDMAN REGIONAL PEDESTRIAN TRAIL IN SOUTH ST PAUL, DAKOTA COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOUTH ST } \\ & \text { PAUL. } \end{aligned}$ | Other | D3 |
| 1996 |  | CITY | 164-235-09 | BR | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | WABASHA STREET BRIDGE REPLACEMENT IN ST PAUL - INFORMATION ONLY | ST PAUL | Replace | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | CM-5 | TM | 970,000 | 680,000 |  |  | 290,000 | TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS | ST PAUUL | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | EN | EH-8 | EN | 380,275 | 304,220 |  |  | 76,055 | BRICK STREET PAVING | ST PAUL | Other | A12 |
| 1996 |  | EN | Es-6 | EN | 580,000 | 464,000 |  |  | 116,000 | ST PAUL RIVER BLUFF ACQUISTION AND PRESERVATION PROJECT | ST PAUL | Other | F3 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-71 | AM | 280,000 |  |  | 280,000 |  | TH 5 AT CEDAR, SEWER SEPARȦTION | ST PAUL | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6215-81 | $\overline{A M}$ | 65,000 |  |  | 65,000 |  | TH 51 AT RANDOLPH, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH51 | 6215-82 | AM | 60,000 |  |  | 60,000 |  | TH 51 AT THOMAS, MINN, HEWIT - SIGNAL REVISIONS | ST PAULL | Other | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 6282-173 | AM | 25,000 |  |  | 25,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I94 AT LEXINGTON PARKWAY, SEWER } \\ & \text { SEPARATION } \end{aligned}$ | ST PAUL | Other | F4 |
| 9995 |  | 1-94 | 6282-84 | AM | 190,000 |  |  | 190,000. |  | 194 AT BATESAMCLEAN, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUUL | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-7 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 |  |  | 50,000 | SCHMID FARMSTEAD - LAKE MINNETONKA REGIONAL PARK | SUB HENN REGIONAL PARK | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 212 | 90-070-04 | 08 | 5,040,000 | 3,528,000 |  |  | 1,512,000 | SW METRO TRANSIT COMM ; EDEN PRAIRIE TRANSIT HUB - SW QUAD, TH 5, 212, PR. CENT. DR. | SW TRANSIT COMM. |  | NO |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Pr | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed $\$$ | Demo \$ | State S | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | xx | 97-090-01 | BT | 547,000 | 437,600 |  |  | 109,400 | U OF M - TRANSITWAY BIKEWAY - FROM ENERGY PK DR TO CENTRAL AVE | U OFM | Trails | D2 |
| 1995 |  | DX | 97-100-07 | TR | 148,000 | 119,000 |  |  | 29,000 | U OF M I INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND MAPS (CAMPUS-WIDE STUDY OF intermodal. TRANS \& DEVEL | UOFM | Transit | F1 |
| 1995 |  | TH61 | 6222-128 | AM | 200,000 |  |  | 200,000 |  | TH61 AT WOLTERS BLVD,INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VADNAIS } \\ & \text { HEIGHTS } \end{aligned}$ | Other | A18 |
| 1997 |  | EN | EP-14 | EN | 475,000 | 380,000 |  |  | 95,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Other | D2 |
| 1995 |  | CSÄH 2 | AA-2 | RD | 300,000 | 240,000 |  |  | 60,000 | CSAH 2 CORRIDOR PAVEMENT REHAB FROM $1-36$ TO TH61 AND SIGNAL AT 12TH ST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Preserve | A12 |
| 1997 |  | CSAA 3 | AC-3 | RC | 2,440,000 | 1,950,000 |  |  | 490,000 | CSÄH 3 CORRIDOR FROM CSÄH 4 TO NORTH COUNTY LINE - GEOMETRIC AND LOAD CAPACITY IMPROVMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | A12 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 16 | AE-7 | RC | 1,300,000 | 1,040,000 |  |  | 260,000 | CASH 16 - INTERLACHEN DR TO CSAH 19-RECONSTRUCT FROM 2 LANE RURAL TO 4 LANE URBAN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | NO |
| 1995 |  | 1.94 | 8282-84 | AM | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | 194 AT CSAH 13, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Other | T2 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENWALK | BK-12 | BT | 775,000 | 620,000 |  |  | 155,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Trails | D2 |
| 1997 |  | 999 | DIST-M-454 | RX | 1,500,000 |  |  | 1,500,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY97 | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1997 |  | 999 | DIST-M-AM9 | AM | 3,000,000 |  |  | 3,000,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FY97 | MNDOT | Other | A18 |
| 1997 |  | 999 | DIST-M-E197 | BI | 2,465,000 |  |  | 2,465,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS FY97 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1997 |  | 999 | DIST-M-IV97 | TM | 3,000,000 |  |  | 3,000,000 |  | SET ASIDE FOR IVHS FY97 | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809.120 | $\overline{\mathrm{RS}}$ | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | EASTERIY PORTION OF ST PAUL METRO AREA-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | D-3 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809.121 | RS | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | WESTERLY PORTION OF ST PAUL METRO AREA-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | D-3 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-139 | RS | 156,500 |  |  | 156,500 |  | IN ANOKA \& NORTHWEST HENNEPIN COUNTY- PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | D3 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-140 | RS | 125,000 |  |  | 125,000 |  | IN ANOKA COUNTY-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MNDOT | Preserve | 03 |
| 1995 |  | EN | ES-4 | EN | 500,000 | 400,000 |  | 100,000 |  | DETENTION POND ${ }^{\text {N }}$ THE VICINITY OF TH 100 | MNDOT | Other | F4 |
| 1996 |  | EN | EH-5 | EN | 326,500 | 261,200 |  |  | 65,300 | JACKSON STREET ROUNDHOUSE | MNDOT | Other | F4 |
| 1995 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP9 |  | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | 1995 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MNDOT |  | F4 |
| 1996 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP9 |  | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | 1996 LANDSCÁPE PARTNERSHIP | MNDOT |  | F4 |
| 1897 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP9 |  | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | 1997 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MNDOT |  | F4 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 62-00162 | SR | 27,000 | 21,600 |  | 5,400 |  | OTTER LAKE ROAD IN WHITE BEAR LAKE | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-112 | SR | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 |  | BN RR METRO | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed $\$$ | Demo $\$$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-113 | SR | 25,000 | 20,000 |  | 5,000 |  | MN TRANSPORTATION MUSEUM - STILLWATER AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-114 | SR | 875,000 | 700,000 |  | 175,000 |  | SOO RR METRO | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809.54 | $\mathbf{S R}$ | 190,000 | 91,200 |  |  | 22,800 | DAKOTA RAIL, SIGNING ÁND MARKING AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS; HUTCHINSON TO WAYZATA - SHARED FUNDING WITH DISTRICT 8 | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-63 | SR | 40,000 | 32,000 |  | 8,000 |  | WC RR - WITHROW TO MARINE ON ST. CROIX WITHROW TO WISCONSIN BORDER | MNDDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | TH3 | 1921-60 | SC | 362,000 | 289,600 |  | 72,400 |  | AT CSAH 32 (CLIFF RD) - TRAFFIC SIGNAL, RR X-ING, \& PAINTED CHANNELIZATION | MNDDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH3 | 1920-29 | RD | 2,455,000 | 1,560,000 |  | 895,000 |  | RICE-DAKOTA CO LINE TO 1.3 MIN OF N JCTT TH 50 IN FARMINGTON-MILL \& OVERLAY; EXTEND CULVERTS, RECONST BRS, REMOVE CATTLE PASSES | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH3 | 1928-43 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 |  | 75TH ST TO TH 52-LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | 74 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 1002-60 | SH | 120,000 | 96,000 |  | 24,000 |  | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. - PRAIRIE CENTER DR. (78TH ST.).COORD. SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH5 | 6201-65 | RS | 375,000 | 300,000 |  | 75,000 |  | KELLOGG BLVD TO MINNEHAHA AVEIN ST PAULMILL \& OVERLAY | MNDÖT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-70 | RS | 500,000 | 400,000 |  | 100,000 |  | WHEELER AVE TO KELLOGG BLVD-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH5 | $6201-882$ | AM | 15,000 |  |  | 15,000 |  | DAVERN OUTLET-SEWER SEPARATION | MNDOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-886 | AM | 400,000 |  |  | 400,000 |  | MAYNARDISTEWART-SEWER SEPARATION | MNOOT | Other | F-4 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-57 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 |  | 40,000 |  | CSAH 17 TO CSAH 4 IN CHAN. \& EDEN P.LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | A-20 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-62 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 |  | AT TH 284 - SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 2732-40 | RS | 415,000 | 332,000 |  | 83,000 |  | 1.7 MI NE OF 494 (NEAR POST RD) TO W OF JCT TH 55 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1997 |  | TH 5 | 1002-63 | RS | 2,331,300 |  |  | 2,079,300 | 252,000 | FROM TH 25 TO W OF TH 41, MILL AND OVERLAY. SIGNALS AT CSAH 19(GALPIN) AND CSAH 13(ROLLING ACRES) | MNDOT | Preserve | T2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 5 | 6201-62066 | BI | 150,000 |  |  | 150,000 |  | SOO LINE RR AND ROAD -LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { A12 }}$ |
| 4995 |  | TH7 | 2704-22 | SR | 175,000 | 140,000 |  | 35,000 |  | IN MINNETRISTA, CANTILEVER AND RUBBER CROSSING | MNDOT | Manage | A-1 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 270424 | SC | 15,000 |  |  | 15,000 |  | AT CSAH 92 IN ST. BONIFACIUS - PEDESTAL MOUNTED WARNING FLASHERS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2706-178 | SH | 460,000 | 368,000 |  | 92,000 |  | INTERCONNECT FROM SHADY OAK RD. TO LOUSIANA; REBUILD SIGS. AT 12TH AVE., BLAKE RD. TEXAS AVE., WILLISTON, 5TH ST. \& TH 1 | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2706-181 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 |  | 30,000 |  | FROM TH41 THRU WILLISTON RO.-- INTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH7 | 270423 | SC | 375,000 |  |  | 375,000 |  | AT CSAH 82 IN ST. BONIFACIOUS - SIGNAL, CHANNELIZATION Channelization | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
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| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 0282-02802 | BI | 315,000 | 283,500 |  | 31,500 |  | UNDER BOTH ST IN LINO LAKES, CO RD J, CO RD H2, \& EDGERTON - MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS. 02802, 62836, 62835, 9561 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 135E | 1982-118 | RS | 800,000 | 720,000 |  | 80,000 |  | S JCT I3SE \& I3SW TO TH 77-JOINT REHABILITATION | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 1982-119 | RS | 594,000 | 534,600 |  | 59,400 |  | CSAH 26 TO TH 110-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 1982-120 | RS | 400,000 | 360,000 |  | 40,000 |  | TH 110 TO TH 5-SAW \& SEAL CONCRETE JOINTS | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 1982-122 | SH | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | WB TH 110 TO NB I35E-RIGHT TURṄ MODIFICATION | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6280-291 | SC | 180,000 |  |  | 180,000 |  | AT MARYLAND AVE-REBUILID SIGNALS | MNOOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6281-36 | BR | 2,000,000 |  |  | 2,000,000 |  | 1694 TO CO RD E-BR 62895-REPLACE BR 9838;RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT CO RD E: AUXILIARY LANE ON I35E(LET BY CITY 1992-P | MNOOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1997 |  | $1-35 \mathrm{E}$ | 6280-9330 | BI | 700,000 |  |  | 700,000 |  | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER - PARTIAL PAINT | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9608 | BI | 375,000 |  |  | 375,000 |  | UNDER LEXINGTON AVE,TC ARSENAL ENTRANCE,LOVELL RD,SUNSET AVENUE-OVERLAY BR 9608,9582,9829 \& 9831 . | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35w | 2783-97 | CB | 275,000 |  |  |  | 275,000 | WB TH 122 TO SB I-35W LOOP IN MPLS - HOV BYPASS LANE | MNDOT | Transit | A18 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-45 | Bi | 800,000 | 640,000 |  | 160,000 |  | UNDER SB ON RAMP FROM LAKE DRIVE-REDECKWIDEN BR 9607, WIDEN RAMP. LIGHTING,GUARDRAILBARRIER | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9830 | 81 | 160,000 |  |  | 160,000 |  | UNDER CSAH 14 \& UNDER CSAH 21-MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS $9830 \& 02801$ | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-9779 | Bi | 720,000 | 648,000 |  | 72,000 |  | UNDER TH13 - REPL.DECK,WIDEN \& PAINT BRS.W.B. 9779 \& E.B. 9780 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-255 | RS | 7,300,000 | 6,570,000 |  | 730,000 |  | 66TH ST TO 31ST ST-MILL \& OVERLAY, CONC.REPAIR \& RESEAL | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-257 | Bi | 3,000,000 | 2,700,000 |  | 300,000 |  | SB BR 9613 \& NB BR 9614 OVER MINNHÄHA PKWY-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE \& WIDEN | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-27867 | Bi | 770,000 | 693,000 |  | 77,000 |  | OVER SOO LINE RR, 1.3 MI S OF I94-REPL DECK BR 27867 | MNOOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-27871 | BI | 800,000 |  |  | 800,000 |  | SB 35W OVER NB TH 65 - OVERLAY \& REPAIR BR. 27871 , ALSO BRS. $27930,31,33,34,35,36,39,41,9088$ | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-9088 | B1 | 300,000 | 270,000 |  | 30,000 |  | 1-35W OVER 66TH ST-OVERLAY BR 9088 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-9615 | BI | 1,380,000 | 1,242,00 |  | 138,000 |  | -35W OVER 50TH ST, 28TH ST \& 26TH ST - REDECK BRS 9615, 27869, 27870 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-9731 | BI | 525,000 | 472,500 |  | 52,500 |  | OVER 31ST ST, 1.5 MI S OF l-94 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-9733 | Bi | 675,000 | 607,500 |  | 67,500 |  | OVER LÁKE ST, 1.4 MI S OF I94-REPLACE DECK BR 9733 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 6284-9570 | B1 | 450,000 | 405,000 |  | 45,000 |  | UNDER CR E2 \& UNDER TH 96, OVER CR I-MILL \& OVERLAY BRS 9570,9577, \& 9603 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 2782-255A | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | TH 494 TO MPLS. INTERIM HOV LANES (STRUCTURES) | MNDOT | Replace | NO |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number


TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-54 | RC | 6,800,000 | 5,320,000 |  | 1,330,000 | 150,000 | JULY AWARD-AT TH 3,52,55 IN INVER GROVE-BR 19045 (REP BR 5820),RECONST INTERCHANGE,LIGHTING,SIGNING | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907.56 | SH | 120,000 |  |  | 120,000 |  | AT 117TH ST IN INVER GRQVE HTS-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-57 | RC | 50,000 | 40,000 |  | 10,000 |  | TH $52 / 3$ INTERCHANGE -LIGHTING | MNDOT | Replace | A20 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-58 | RC | 90,000 | 72,000 |  | 18,000 |  | TH $52 / 3$ INTERCHANGE - SIGNING | MNDOT | Replace | F5 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6208-33 | RS | 860,000 | 688,000 |  | 172,000 |  | RICE ST TO W LIMITS STT PAUL-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6208-34 | AM | 140,000 |  |  | 140.000 |  | EUSTIS/PRIOR-TRAFFIC SIIGNAL REVISIONS | MNOOT | Other | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6217-37 | RS | 125,000 |  |  | 125,000 |  | KELLOGG BLVD TO RICE ST-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6217-9036 | B | 400,000 | 320,000 |  | 80,000 |  | ROBERT ST OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-SCOUR PROTECTION ON BR 9036 | MNDOOT | Preserve | A. 13 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 1905-24 | RS | 760,000 | 608,000 |  | 152,000 |  | CORD 86 IN HAMPTON TO TH 50-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 1907-55 | RS | 785,000 | 628,000 |  | 157,000 |  | S JCT TO N JCT TH 52/55/56-CONCRETE REHABILITATION | MNDDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1906-40 | RS | 2,804,300 |  |  | 2,804,300 |  | S JCT OF TH 55 TO TH 50, MILL AND OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1907-9107 | AM | 1,800,000. | 0 |  | 1,800,000 | 0 | NB TH 52 OVER SB TH 56-REMOVE BRIDGE-PART Of TH 56 TURN BACK | MNDOT | Other | NO |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 2720-35 | BR | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 |  | 400,000 | 0 | WASH.AVE.OVER BN-BR. 27167 (REPL.BR.6992) \& APPRS.,LIGHTS, SI | MNDOT | Replace | $\overline{\text { A13 }}$ |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2722-454A | RX | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROCKFORD TO FERNBROOK LANE - REPAIR } \\ & \text { CULVERTS \& SEWERS. (CAT-1) } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Presenve | F-4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-8805 | AM | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | AT CSAH 24 - SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-93 | SC | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | AT 18TH AVE. N. IN PLYMOUTH-CHANNEL. \& CLOSE CROSSOVER | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-94 | SH | 620,000 | 496,000 |  | 124,000 |  | FERNBROOK LA.TO IND.BLVD.(INCL.XENIUM LA.).G\&S AUX.\& TURN LANES,CHANNEL. \& SIG.REV. | MNDOTT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-98 | SC | 70,000 |  |  | 70,000 |  | AT HENNEPIN CSAH 101 - TEMPORARY SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-104 | MC | 100,000 |  | 90,000. | 10,000 |  | EAST 26TH ST TO CEDAR AVE. - PED BRIDGE 27202 | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-27063 | MC | 460,000 |  | 414,000 | 46,000 |  | TH 55 (HIAWATH AVE.) OVER CEDAR AVE. CONST.AR. 27063 | MNDOT | Expand | $\overline{\mathrm{GR}}$ |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-27071 | MC | 1,100,000 |  | 990,000 | 110,000 |  | TH 55 (HIAWATH AVE.) OVER FRANKLIN AVE. CONST.BR. 27071 | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-27177 | B | 150,000 |  | 120,000 | 30,000 |  | SB TH 55 OVER FRANKLIN AVE AND OVER CEDAR AVE - REHAB BRS $27177 \& 27178$ | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-95RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,500,000 | 500,000 |  | HIAWATHA AVE RW-1995 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1995 | 6 | TH55 | 2724.99 | MC | 1,725,000 |  | 1,552,500 | 172,500 |  | LAKE ST. INTERCHANGE TO T.H. 94 IN MPLS.-GRADE, SURFACE AND LIGHTING-PHASE $1 B$ | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2752-34 | SH | 820,000 | 576,000 |  | 144,000 | 100,000 | Át OTTAWA AVE.IN GOLDEN VALLEY - CONST. FR. RD. CHANNEL. \& SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2752-37 | SH | 80,000 | 64,000 |  | 16,000 |  | AT THĖO.WIRTH PKWY. - REFURBISH SIGNALS | MNDÖT | Manage | A-3 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Dermo \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 1909-74 | SC | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | AT S JCT TH 149-CONSTRUCT DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 1909-8801 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 |  | 100,000 |  | MENDOTTA INTERCHANGE - LANOSCÁPING | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-8808 | SH | 480,000 | 384,000 |  | 96,000 |  | ĀT FERNBROOK, CSAH 6, CSAH 154, CSAH 73 \& GLENWOOD-REBUILD SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-89 | SH | 120,000 | 96,000 |  | 24,000 |  | AT VICKSBURG, NIAGARA, BOONE, RHODE ISLAND \& MEADOW LANE-SIGNAL REVISION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-90 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 |  | 30,000 |  | FROM VICKSBURG LANE TO QUAKER LANE \& FROM BOONE AVE. THRU THEO. WIRTH PKWAY INTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-96 | RS | 2,250,000 | 1,800,000 |  | 450,000 |  | 1494 TO THOMAS AVE. - MILL \& OVERLĀ | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-97 | SH | 90,000 | 72,000 |  | 18,000 |  | AT INDUSTRIAL PARK BLVD. - TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2724-103 | MC | 28,245,000 |  | 21,460,500 | 2,384,500 | 4,400,000 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) AT LAKE ST; OVERPASS, BYPASS ROADS, UTLLITY RELOCATION | MNDOT | Expand | GR |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2724-96RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,500,000 | 500,000 |  | HIAWATHA RW-1996 | MNOOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 55 | 1910-37 | RS | 747, 00 |  |  | 747,100 |  | S JCT OF TH 56 TO HÄSTINGS, MILL AND OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1997 |  | TH55 | 2723-85 | BR | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 |  | 400,000 | 0 | OVER SOO LINE RIR 0.3 MI.W. OF T.H. 100 --REPLACE BRS. $6344 \& 6$ | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1997 |  | TH55 | 2724-105 | MC | 10,500,000 |  | 7,380,000 | 820,000 | 2,300,000 | 194 TO E.29TH | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 |  | TH 55 | 2724-97RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,500,000 | 500,000 |  | HIAWATHA RW-1997 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 56 | 1912-51 | SC | 150,000 | 120,000 |  | 30,000 |  | FROM 1494 S RAMP TO WENTWORTH AVE-SIGNAL REVISIONS \& INTERCONNECT | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH61 | 6221-38 | RS | 170,000 |  |  | 170,000 |  | W JCT 194 TO W JCT TH 5/61-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH69 | 6222-127 | SC | 250,000 |  |  | 250,000 | $\cdots$ | AT BEAM AVE IN MAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL AND INTERSECTION REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-B |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2774-3 | SH | 55,000 |  |  | 55,000 |  | TH 62 UNDER TH 100 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2774-4 | SH | 180,000 |  |  | 180,000 |  | ÁT FRANCE AVE. - SIGNAL RECONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2775-7 | RS | 190,000 |  |  | 190,000 |  | FROM W. OF TH 77 TO 0.2 MI.W. OF 28TH AVE. MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1997 |  | TH 62 | 2763-27085 | BI | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 |  | 280,000 |  | OVER MN\&S R/R-0.6MI. W. OF TH 100-REPL. DECK BR.S 27085 \& 27086 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | TH 65 | 0207-63 | SH | 255,000 | 204,000 |  | 51,000 |  | W MÖORE LK DR TO TH 118-SKID CORRECTION | M MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 65 | 0208-84 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 |  | 80,000 |  | AT 85TH AVE NE- REVISE INTERSECTION \& SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-92 | RS | 195,000 |  |  | 195,000 |  | FROM 2.4 MI S OF N ANOKA CO LINE (226TH AVE NE) TO CSAH 24-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-93 | $\overline{\mathbf{S H}}$ | 110,000 | 88,000 |  | 22,000 |  | X-TOWN BLVD, SIGNAL REBUILD, MEDIAN CLOSURE AT 17TTH | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-94 | $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ | 381,900 |  |  | 281,900 | 100,000 | 217THH AVE (NB) TO 229TH AVE, MILL AND OVERLAY. SIGNALS AT CSAH 24(237TH) AND CR 86 (SIMS ROAD) | MNDOT |  | A12 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State $\$$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0200-95 | SC | 400,000 |  |  | 350,000 | 50,000 | CLOVERLEAF/93RD AVE, SIGNAL REBUILD; AUX LANE; DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 77 | 1929-881 | AM | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | AT GALAXIE AVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-27856 | BR | 1,370,000 | 1,233,000 |  | 137,000 |  | TH 94 UNDER 27TH AVE SE-BR 27856(REP BR 27954)\& APPROACHES | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-27860 | MC | 1,630,000 | 1,467,000 |  | 163,000 |  | LOV BR-RAMP D OVER TH 94 AT U' OF M INTERCHANGE-BR 27860 | MNDOT | Expand | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-27981 | 8R | 1,150,000 | 1,035,000 |  | 115,000 |  | EAST RIVER RD. OVER TH 94 -BR 27981(REP BR 27951) | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27998 | BR | 1,100,000 | 990,000 |  | 110,000 |  | EB TH 94 TOU OF MRAMP OVER TH 94-8R 27998(REP BR 27953) | MNDOT | Replece | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | $1-94$ | 2781-289 | MC | 9,240,000 | 8,316,000 |  | 924,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIVERSIDE TO 100'E OF FRANKLIN } \\ & \text { AVE.-GR,SURFLTTM,SIGNING } \end{aligned}$ | MNDOT | Expand | A-12 |
| 1995 | 7 | $1-94$ | 2781-9350 | BR | 13,100,000 | 10,480,00 |  | 2,620,000 |  | TH 94 OVER W RIVER RDMISS R - REPL. SUPERSTRUCTURE ON BR 9350 | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-9893 | BI | 720,000 | 576,000 |  | 144,000 |  | TH 94 OVER FRANKLIN TERRACE - REDECK,WIDEN BR 9893 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | 1 1-94 | 2786-96 | TM | 500,000 | 450,000 |  | 50,000 |  | $1-494$ TO TH 169 ---TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 2786-97 | SC | 160,000 |  |  | 160,000 |  | CSAH 152 RAMPS-REBUILD 2 SIGNALS | MNDOOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 6282-172 | TM | 150,000, |  |  |  | 150,000 | THS1 TOWB 194 - HOV BYPASS LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 6282-9379 | BI | 920,000 | 736.000 |  | 184,000 |  | UNDER PASCAL, VICTORIA-REDECK BRS. 9379,9663 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 6283-9147 | BI | 200,000 |  |  | 200,000 |  | UNDER RUTH ST \& UNDER WHITE BEAR AVE IN ST PAUL-OVERLAY BR 9147,9148 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 8281-82800 | BR | 7,635,000 | 6,108,000 |  | 1,527,000 |  | OVER ST CROIX AT WISC STATE LINE-BR 82800(REP BR 5999) \& APPROACHES(WISCONSIN LET) | MNDOT | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27843 | BI | 580,000 | 522,000 |  | 58,000 |  | UNDER TH 65 IN MPLS. - REPLACE DECK BR. 27843 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2781-8804 | RC | 270,000 |  |  | 270,000 |  | DARTMOUTH BR/U OF M INTERCHANGE AREA LANDSCAPING | MNOOT | Replace | F4 |
| 1996 |  | 1.94 | 2786-100 | SC | 160,000 |  |  | 160,000 |  | AT CSAH 81 - REBUILD SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-101 | SH | 55,000 |  |  | 55,000 |  | 194 ÜNDER TH 169 - MÖDIFY WEAVE AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A-8 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-88 | BI | 844,000 | 759,600 |  | 84,400 |  | UND.TH169 (OLD CSAH 18)-WIDEN \& REPLACE DECKS BRS. 27979 \& 27980 , SIGNING \& LIGHTING | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-99 | RS | 575,000 | 517,500 |  | 57,500 |  | 0.7 MI E OF $1-494$ TO 0.2 MI W OF CSAH 81 (LAKELAND AVE) - MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1.94 | 6282-62845 | BI | 825,000 |  |  | 825,000 |  | UNDER PRIOR-REDECK BRIDGE 62845 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 6283-157 | SC | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 |  | ON TH 94 RAMP TERMINI WITH TH 120-SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 | 8 | 1.94 | 8282-82 | BR | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 |  | 500,000 |  | ST CROIX RIVER BR. EB APPROACHNB REDECK | MNDOT | Replace | NO |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 8282-83 | SC | 200,000 | 180,000 |  | 20,000 |  | AT TH 95 NORTH \& SOUTH RAMPS-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1997 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-382 | RS | 1,300,000 | 1.170,000 |  | 430,000 |  | TH694 TO 0.5 MI.N.OF LOWRY TUNNEL-MINOR CONC.REPAIR \& RESEAL JOINTS | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State 5 | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | $A Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | 1-94 | 8281-9400B | BI | 1,750,000 | 1,575,000 |  | 175,000 |  | PAINT WB BR OVER ST CROIX RIVER | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 94 | $8282-$ | RS | 750,000 |  |  | 375,000 | 375,000 | HUDSON BRIDGE TRUCK BYPASS LANE | MNDOT | Preserve | A-19 |
| 1995 |  | TH 96 | 622450 | RS | 747,000 | 597,600 |  | 149,400 |  | CSAH 77 (OLD TH 8) TO $2000^{\circ}$ E OF JCT TH 49 - MILL $\&$ OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 97 | 8201-454 | RX | 150,000 |  |  | 150,000 |  | FROM 135 TO TH $61-M L L L \&$ OVERLAY-RUTTING-ROAD REPAIR | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { A12 }}$ |
| 1997 |  | TH 97 | 8212-17 | SC | 300,000 |  |  | 250,000 | 50,000 | GOODVIEW AVE/BTH ST, SIGNAL SYSTEM AND CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | T2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 100 | 2755-72 | SH | 140,000 | 112,000 |  | 28,000 |  | CSAH 10 RAMPS - REFURBISH 2 SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 100 | 2733-76 | SC | 80,000 |  |  | 80,000 |  | TH 100 UNDER TH 494 -MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MNDOT | Manage | $\overline{\text { A12 }}$ |
| 1997 | 9 | TH 100 | 2735-134 | BR | 2,900,000 | 1,600,000 |  | 1,300,000 | 0 | FR.RD.\& MAINLINE OVER C.\& N.W.R.R. O.TMI.N.OF JCT.TH55,BR,54 | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1997 | 9 | TH 100 | 2735-5399 | BR | 1,250,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 250,000 | 0 | OVER SOO LINE RR \& CITY ST. 0.9 MI. NW OF JCT.TH 12-RECONSTR | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 104 | 7005-53 | MC | 8,200,000 | 6,560,000 |  | 1,640,000 |  | 0.4 MI W OF CSAH 17 TO JCT OLD TH 101-GRADING | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70008 | MC | 520,000 | 416,000 |  | 104,000 |  | CR 18 OVER SHAKK. BYPASS - ER \#70008 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70037 | MC | 600,000 | 480,000 |  | 120,000 |  | EB SHAK. BYPASS OVER CSAH 16 - BR *70037 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70038 | MC | 650,000 | 520,000 |  | 130,000 |  | WB SHAK BYPASS OVER CSAH 16-BR \#70038 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 1009-11 | RS | 330,000 |  |  | 330,000 |  | TH 212 TO 0.1 MI.S. OF TH 5-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 1010-8 | RS | 330,000 | 264,000 |  | 66,000 |  | 0.3 MI.W. OF TH 5 TO 0.4 MI.S. OF TH 7 - MILL \& overiay | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 2736-40 | RS | 290,000 |  |  | 290,000 |  | 0.1 MII.N. OF LAKE ST.TO CSAR 101 WB (OLD TH 12)-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 7005-881 | MC | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 |  | 800,000 |  | 0.4 MIW OF CSAH 17 TO JCT OLD TH 101-SURFACE | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-10 | MC | 4,365,000 | 3,492,000 |  | 873,000 | 0 | TH94 TO CSAH 42- G \& S,SIGNING,LIGHTING,SIGNALS | MNOOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-27945 | MC | 350,000 | 280,000 |  | 70,000 | 0 | TH 101 S.B. OVER TH 94 - WIDEN BR. 27945 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 104 | 7005-57 | MC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | TH169 TO 0.4MI.W.OF CSAH 17-GRADE, SURFACE, SIGNAL | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-67 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 |  | 40,000 |  | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13-LIGHTING | MNDOT | Expand | A-20 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-68 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 |  | SHAKKOPEE BYPASS TH 169 TO JCT. OLD TH 101 FENCING | MNDOT | Expand | A-15 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-69 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 |  | 60,000 |  | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13 - SIGNING | MNDOT | Expand | F-4 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70011 | MC | 1,380,000 | 1,104,000 |  | 276,000 |  | CSAH 15 OVER SHAK.BYPASS - BR. 70011 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70012 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 |  | 100,000 |  | CO.RD. 77 OVER SHAK BYPASS - BR.70012 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70013 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 |  | 100,000 |  |  | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1996 |  | TH 120 | 6227-53 | SC | 110,000 |  |  | 110,000 |  | AT 194 NO FR RD-GEOMETRIC \& SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 149 | 1916-19 | SC | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 |  | AT YANKEE DOODLE ROAD-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local 5 | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 149 | 1917-31 | RS | 135,000 |  |  | 135,000 |  | MENDOTA HTS RD TO TH 110-MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 0209-91 | SC | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | AT MAIN ST. IN ANOKA - REBUILD SIGNAL | MNOOT | Manage | $\overline{A-18}$ |
| 1995 | 12 | TH 169 | 2750-42 | MC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 0.1 MIN OF 93RD AVE N TO 0.1 MI N OF HAYDEN LK RD - STAGE 3 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 2772-14 | SC | 540,000 |  |  | 540,000 |  | AT BETTY CROCKER DR., AT CSAH 9 (ROCKFORD RD.) AND AT CSAH 10 (BASS LK.RD.)-MODIFY WEAVE AREAS | MNDOT | Marage | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 2772-18 | SC | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | AT $77 T$ H AVE N - 2 TEMP SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 2772-6 | SC | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | VALLEY VIEW RD. RAMPS-INSTALL 2 SIGNALS | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2744-49 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 |  | 80,000 |  | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. TO CSAH 4 - NB AUX. LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 | 12 | TH 169 | 2750-50 | MC | 80,000 |  |  | 80,000 |  | FROM 93RD AVE.N. TO HAYDEN LK.RD.(OSSEO BYPASS) LANDSCAPING | MNDOT | Expand | A-20 |
| 1996 |  | TH169 | 2772-16 | SC | 150,000 |  |  | 150,000 |  | Á LONDONDERRY RO.-WIDEN NB EXIT RAMP ÁND SIGNAL REVISION | MNDDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-17 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 63RD AVE.N. TO RAMP TO EB I94-NB AUX.LA. | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-5 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 |  | 400,000 |  | 1-394 TO I-94 - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 169 | 2772-27534 | B1 | 675,000 |  |  | 675,000 |  | UNDER MEDICINE LAKE ROAD, ROCKFORD ROÁD, 36TH N AND G3RD N, LS OVERLAY BRS 27536,27551,27550 AND REDECK BR 27534 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 212 | 1013-56 | SC | 150,000 |  |  | 150,000 |  | FROM E.OF WALNUT AVE. THRU CO.RD. 17 -CONTINUE LEFT TURN LANE | MNDOT | Manage | A-13 |
| 1995 |  | TH 212 | 1013-64 | AM | 240,000 |  |  | 240,000 |  | AT CSAH 45 - INTERSECTION RELOCATION \& SIGNAL | MNDOT | Other | T.2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 212 | 2762. | MC | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  |  | NEW 212 RNW* RNM PURCHASE ONLY | MNDOT | Expand | F2 |
| 1995 |  | TH212 | 2762-14 | MC | 2,000,000 | 0 | 1,380,000 | 345,000 | 275,000 | TECHNOLOGY DRIVE FROM PRAIRIE CENT.DR. TO $2000^{\prime}$ W. OF PRAIRIE CENT.DR. - SURCHARGE CITY LETTING | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1996 |  | TH212 | 1013-63 | SC | 375,000 | 300,000 |  | 75,000 |  | AT TH 101 - SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 212 | 2763.35 | SC | 250,000 |  |  | 250,000 |  | CSAH 61(SHADY OAK ROAD), SIGNAL SYSTEM; INPLACE CHANNELIZATION REMOVAL | MNDOT | Manage | T2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 242 | 0212-36 | RS | 570,000 | 456,000 |  | 114,000 |  | W. RAMPS TH 10 TO 0.3 MI.W. OF UNIVERSITY MILL \& OVERLAY | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-40 | SC | 200,000 |  |  | 200,000 |  | FROM 73RD AVE N TO 1000' N OF BROOKDALE DR-EXTEND NB 3RD LN. AND DROP RIGHT | MNDOT | Manage | A-6 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-43 | SH | 250,000 | 200,000 |  | 50,000 |  | AT 85 TH AVE N-NB DOUBLE LT TURN LN ANO SB <br> FREE RT TURN | MNDOT | Manage | A-3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 280 | 6241 - | MC | 1,500,000 |  |  | 1,500,000 |  | FROM 194 TO I 35 W -NOISE BARRIER CONSTRUCTION | MNDOT | Expand |  |
| 1995 |  | 1.394 | 2789-105 |  | 100,000 |  |  |  | 100,000 | ON RAMP FROM WB TH 394 TO NB TH 169-CONST HOV BYPASS - TEAM TRANSIT | MNDOT |  | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 1985-115 | RS | 860,000 |  |  | 860,000 |  | TH 149 TO MINNESOTA RIVER-BIT OVERLAY,OVERLAY BR 19825(OVER TH 13,ETC) | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demos | State \$ | Local | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 1985-454 | RX | 215,000 |  |  | 245,000 |  | EB FROM ROBERT ST. TO CONCORD - RUTTING IN ALI LANES-ROAD REPAIR | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-272 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,800,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 1-394 TO 1-94-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-281 | SC | 280,000 | 252,000 |  | 28,000 |  | AT NIC.AVE. \& AT LYN.AVE.-REM./REPL. SIGS.e RAMP TERMINALS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-282 | SC | 280,000 | 252,000 |  | 28,000 |  | AT 12TH AVE.S.\& AT PORT.AVE.-REM.REPL.SIGS © RAMP TERMINALS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 8285-9344 | 81 | 90,000 |  |  | 90,000 |  | UNDER BAILEY RD-OVERLAY BR 9344 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-118 | SC | 220,000 |  |  | 220,000 |  | EB AT HARDMAN AVE-RESTRIPE,OVERLAY,RAMP METER,ETC | MNDOT | Manage | A-12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-119 | $\overline{\text { SC }}$ | 200,000 |  |  | 200,000 |  | EB EXIT TO TH 149-RAMP MODIFICATIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-B |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-276 | SH | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | 1494 UNDER TH 7 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MNDOT | Manage | A-B |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-280 | SC | 140.000 | 126,000 |  | 14,000 |  | ĀT E.BUSH LAKE ROAD - NEW SIGNALS AT RAMP TERMINALS | MNDOT | Manage | 12 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-284 | RC | 1,200,000 | 1,080,000 |  | 120,000 |  | TH 494 OVER TH 35W-CONSTRUCT TEMP BYPASS AND TEMP BR 99161 | MNDOT | Replace | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-6850 | B! | 540,000 | 486,000 |  | 54,000 |  | TH 494 OVER TH 35W - REDECK BR 6850 \& 6851 | MNDDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9137 | B1 | 1,300,000 | 1,170,000 |  | 130,000 |  | AT TH 169 - REDECK BRS. 9137,9138,27568 | MNDOOT | Preserve | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9755 | BI | 3,300,000 | 2,640,000 |  | 660,000 |  | OVER CSAH 5,CREEK, TRAIL-REPL.SUPERST. $\&$ WIDEN BRS 9755,9756 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9759 | Bi | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 |  | 400,000 | 0 | OVER BN INC. $\&$ STONE RD.-REPL.SUPERST. \& WIDEN BRS. 975989760 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 1985-19825 | Bi | 380,000 |  |  | 380,000 |  | OVER TH 13 \& C\&NW RR - L.S. OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9079 | BI | 295,000 |  |  | 295,000 |  | UNDER PORTLAND AVE, REDECK BR 9079 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 8285-6617 | BI | 595,000 |  |  | 595,000 |  | OVER TH61, BN AND SOO LINE RR, MAXWÉLL AVE - LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS ON BR 9293,9291,6617 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\overline{\text { A12 }}$ |
| 1995 |  | TH 610 | 2771-95RW | MC | 5,000,000 |  | 4,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | TH 610 RW-1995 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 610 | 2771 | MC | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | TH 610 -TH 252 TO TH 169 - PRELIM ENGR AND RMN ACQUISITION | MNDOT | Expand | F-1 |
| 1996 |  | TH 610 | 2771-96RW | MC | 10,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | TH 610 RW-1996 | MNDOT | Expand | F-2 |
| 1997 | 13 | TH 610 | 2771-8802 | MC | 7,000,000 |  | 5,600,000 | 1,400,000 |  | REGENT AVE TO 0.25 MIE OF FRANCE ĀVE (INC REGENT) - GRADE, SURF, 2 BRS, SIGNALS - STAGE 2 | MNDOT | Expand | NO |
| 1995 |  | 1-694 | 8286-51 | AM | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  | AT CSAH 10 IN OAKDALE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDOT | Other | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-881 | BR | 1,200,000 | 1,080,000 |  | 120,000 |  | VICTORIA ST INTERCHAANGE-BR REPLACEMENT(PAYBACK TO RAMSEY COUNTM | MNDOT | Replace | A-13 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9196 | B) | 1,075,000 | 967,500 |  | 107,500 |  | UNDER STH ST NW, TH 51 RAMPS, OVER BN RR,UNDER LABORE RD,MCKNIGHT,TH 120,HARVESTER, \& 4TH ST-MILL \& OVERLAY BRS. 9369,94 | MNDOT | Preserve | A-13 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Local \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | 999 | 8809-148 | RX | 200,000 |  |  | 200,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE RELAMPING | MNDOT | Preserve | A20 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 2700-39 | CB | 1,000,000 |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | OAK ST TO 13TH AVE - EXTEND TRANSITWAY | MNDOT | Transit | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-454C | RX | 295,000 |  |  | 295,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE-BITUMINOUS CRACK SEALING | MNDOT | Preserve | A-12 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-454D | RX | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE-SIGNAL LOOP REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Preserve | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 880979 | SH | 70,000 |  |  | 70,000 |  | DISTRICTWIDE ADVANCE WARNING FLASHERS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 880980 | SC | 305,000 |  |  | 305,000 |  | ON TH 13,35E,55,61,77,96,110-DISTRICTWIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-901 | TM | 35,000 | 28,000 |  | 7,000 |  | HIGHWAY ADVISORY RADIO SIGNS | MNDDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | TH999 | DIST-M-454 | RX | 375,000 |  |  | 375,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RÖAD REPAIR FY 95 | MNDOT | Preserve | $\bar{A} 12$ |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | IVHS95 | TM | 2,750,000 |  |  | 2,750,000 |  | STATE MATCH FOR IVHS PROJECTS NOT SHOWN IN METRO TIP | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-72 | TM | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 |  | 800,000 |  | ON İ $35 E$ FROM MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO 194 ECT, -TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-8801 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  | 200,000 |  | HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | T-2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-903 | TM | 80,000 | 35,776 |  | 44,224 |  | CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-904 | TM | 225,000 | 180,000 |  | 45,000 |  | RAMP METERS ON TH 10, 1494, 1-94 AND TH 169 | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-96 | AM | 3,000,000 |  |  | 3,000,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FY 96 | MNDOT | Other | A18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 880M-B1-96 | 81 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | SET ASIDE FOR BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS - FY 96 | MNDOT | Preserve | A13 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454 | RX | 1,500,000 |  |  | 1,500,000 |  | METRO SET ÁSIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY 96 | MNDOT | Preserve | A12 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | IVHS96 | TM | 3,500,000 |  |  | 3,500,000 |  | STATE MATCH FOR IVHS PROJECTS NOT SHOWN IN METRO TIP | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-150 | SC | 500,000 |  |  | 500,000 |  | METRO WIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 880973 | TM | 900,000 | 720,000 |  | 180,000 | 0 | ON 194 FROM HURON TO I35E, TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-74 | TM | 3,500,000 | 2,800,000 |  | 700,000 |  | ON I35W FROM CRYSTAL LAKE RD TO MINN RIVER, ON I35E FROM S JCT I35W TO YANKEE DOODLE RD, \& ON TH 77 FROM I35E TO MINN | MNDOT | Manage | A-18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-81 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  | 200,000 | 0 | HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-8802 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 |  | 200,000 | 0 | HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-902 | TM | 56,000 | 44,800 |  | 11,200 |  | LOOP DETECTOR REPLACEMENT | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-905 | TM | 60,000 | 48,000 |  | 12,000 |  | TMS REVISIONS ( 1494 FROM 1393 TO TH 212) | MNDOT | Manage | A18 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 27-00210 | SR | 83,985 | 66,920 |  |  | 17,065 | BN RR AT ZACHERY LANE - CANTILEVERS | MNDOT RR | Manage | A-1 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program

## TABLE A-21

## Federal Scenic Byway Projects

| Yeer | Pt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Local $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH 10 | SB-MN-94-03 | RB | 613,225 | 490,580 | 122,645 |  | GRR-HASTINGS, DAKOTA CO;BIKE \& PED FACILITIES | MNDOT | Other | A-17 |
| 1995 |  | TH 10 | SB-MN-94-15 | RB | 403,000 | 322,400 | 80,600 |  | DAYTON POR'T REST ARĖA | MNDOT | Other | A-17 |

## APPENDIX B

## CONFORMITY OF THE 1995-97 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM WITH THE 1990 CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS


#### Abstract

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) 40 CFR Part 51, Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act (Conformity Rule), requires the Metropolitan Council as the Twin Cities Metropolitan Planning Organization, to prepare a conformity analysis of the Transportation Plans and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Based on the air quality analysis, the Council must determine the conformity of these plans to meet the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) schedule to attain carbon monoxide (CO) standards. This appendix describes the procedures used to perform the analysis, lists the findings and conclusions, and contains the statements of conformity.
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## I. LIST OF PLANS

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the Metropolitan Council used the following adopted transportation plans in making a finding of conformity:

- Metropolitan Investment Framework Policy Plan
- Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan
- Transportation Air Quality Control Plan (supplement to the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan
- 1992 Regional Transit Facilities Plan

A description of the plans is in Section 2 of the 1995-97 Transportation Improvement Program. These plans were developed with the cooperation of the Minnesota Department of Transportation ( $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ ), the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), and local officials.

## II. EXPEDITIOUS IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION CONTROL PLAN

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the 1995-97 TIP and certifies that the TIP conforms to the requirement to expedite the implementation of Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies which were the adopted Transportation Control Measures (TCM) for the region. Table B1 is a summary and status of the TSMs found in the Transportation Air Quality Control Plan that describes the status of each TSM. Except for TSM's not completed for the reasons cited, the majority of the TSMs are completed or in the final stages of completion. Implementation of the TIP will not affect the schedules for completing the remaining TSM projects.

There are no fully adopted regulatory new TCMs or fully funded nonregulatory TCMs that will be implemented as part of the TIP over the course of the TIP period. There are no prior TCMs that were adopted since November 15, 1990, nor any prior TCMs that have been amended since that date.

As part of the process to redesignate the Twin Cities Area as a CO attainment area, the SIP will be amended. During this redesignation process, it is anticipated that TSMs will be removed that are completed, outdated, or no longer appropriate. This process is expected to be initiated by the MPCA in 1995.

Table B1 lists two traffic flow amendments to the SIP that the MPCA added to the SIP since the original adoption. These include a one-way pair in Minneapolis, and changes to an intersection in St. Paul. While not Transportation Control Measures, the MPCA added two additional initiatives to the SIP: a vehicle emissions inspection/maintenance program, implemented in 1991, to correct the region-wide carbon monoxide problem, and a mandated four-month oxygenated gasoline program implemented in November 1992.

The MPCA has requested that the U.S. EPA add a third revision to the SIP, a contingency measure consisting of a year-round oxygenated gasoline program if the CO standards were violated. The U.S. EPA has not yet ruled on this proposal. If current state law remains in effect, however, the Twin Cities area will have a year-round program starting in October of 1995. The law provides for the program to go state-wide in 1997.

Table B1
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

| WhIMCHIES AREA ISM SITRAMEGIES | srame |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Inspection/Maintenance <br> (listed in Transportation Control Plan as a TSM Strategy) |  |
| - Establish VIM Program | - Program became operational in July 1991 |
| Exclusive Bus/Carpool Lane |  |
| - I-35W Bus/Metered Freeway Project | - Metered freeway access locations have bus and carpool bypass lanes at strategic intersections on I35W and I-394 |
| - Reserved transit lanes in 3rd Ave. distributor in Minneapolis | - 3rd Ave. distributor project includes exclusive bus/carpool lanes were completed in 1992 |
| Alternative Fuels or Engines |  |
| - Gasohol demonstration project | - MTC is implementing alternatives fuel testing program for buses initiated in 1992; Mpls. is testing its vehicles |
| Cold Start Emissions Reductions |  |
| - Auto plug-in program for cold-start reductions | - Strategy found not to be feasible |
| Staggered Work Hours |  |
| - Variable work hours implemented by various agencies | - City, county and state employees have flex time programs available. Other employers allow flextime and belp support van and carpooling programs. These programs are actively promoted and financialy supported. |
| Improved Public Transit |  |
| - Reduced MTC fares | - Special marketing concepts continue to be introduced and tested by the MTC to increase ridership. |
| - MTC Downtown Fare Zone | - Special reduced fares for Mpls. and St. Paul downtowns implemented. |
| - Community Centered Transit | - "Opt-out" provisions now allow communities to develop local service. Several community-focused transit hubs are being developed. |
| - Flexible Transit | - Alternative modes introduced to provide specialized transit service. |
| - Total Community Service Demonstration (elderly, handicapped service) | - Implementing accessible route service in addition to Metro Mobility service. |
| - Responsibleness in Routing and Scheduling | - Transit agencies have active planning and communication programs with communities. |
| - CBD Parking Shuttle | - Parking shuttles found not feasible. |


| Table B1 <br> TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES <br> LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | \% STA Y L |
| - Simplified Fare Structure | - Difficult to implement due to changing economic conditions. |
| - Bus Shelters | - Established ongoing program of installing and maintaining bus shelters. |
| - Rider Information | - Region-wide transit information is available through CBD Transit Stores and a computerized phone system. |
| - Transit Marketing | - Transit marketing remains an integral part of transit planning and the provision of services. |
| - Cost Accounting Transit Performance Funding | - Developed computer models to assess transit costs and establish performance measures. |
| - Transit Maintenance Program | - Construction of new maintenance garages and bus overhaul facilities. |
| - "Real-time" Monitoring | - IVHS "real time" programs implemented. |
| - Park and Ride | - Joint program with Mn/DOT for the planning and construction of park-and-ride facilities throughout the region. |
| Area-wide Carpool Programs |  |
| - Expand Existing Area-wide Shared-ride Programs | - Minnesota Rideshare program is actively marketed and expanded and redesigned in 1994. |
| On-street Parking Controls |  |
| - Enforcement of Parking Idling and Traffic Ordinances | - Ongoing enforcement aggressively pursued by Mpls. and St . Paul. |
| Park and Ride/Fringe Parking |  |
| - CBD Fringe Parking Programs in Mpls. and St. Paul | - Mpls. and St. Paul developed and are implementing ongoing programs for fringe parking and incentives to encourage carpooling. |
| Pedestrian Malls |  |
| - Nicollet Mall (Mpls.) | - Nicollet Mall renovations and extension completed. |
| - Pedestrian Facilities/skyway Systems | - Extension of Mpls. skyway system to the fringe parking in the 3rd Ave. distributor is completed. |
| - CBD Housing and Related Pedestrian Way | - Mpls. and St. Paul promotes the expansion of their skyway systems as part of the CBD development process. |
| Employer Programs for Transit, Paratransit and Bicycles |  |
| - Shared-ride Programs Implemented and Underway in the Metropolitan Area | - A number of Twin Cities employers have van and carpool programs and participate in Minnesota Rideshare program. |
|  | - Transportation Management Organizations established in downtown Minneapolis and I-494 Strip in Bloomington. |
| Bicycle Lanes and Storage |  |


| Table B1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES |
| LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN |

## III. CONFORMITY OF 1995-97 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Pursuant to Section 51.438 of the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the 1995-97 TIP document and certifies that the TIP conforms to the most recent estimates of mobile source emissions based on current transportation models and regional population, employment, travel, and congestion forecasts:
A. The Council is required by Minnesota statute to prepare regional population and employment forecasts for the Seven County Twin Cities Metropolitan Area, and the air quality analysis of CO emissions for Wright County is prepared under the guidance of the Metropolitan Council as part of an intergovernmental agreement between the county and the Council.
B. The published source of socioeconomic data is the Metropolitan Investment Framework Policy Plan. This is the adopted planning document used by the Council to develop long range forecasts of highway and transit facilities needs.
C. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency reviewed the 1995-1997 TIP document for acceptability to meet the state and federal conformity requirements
D. A quantitative analysis of the emissions impact of the TIP projects listed in Table B6 and B7 to account for the emissions impact of all transportation projects, was conducted using the MOBILE 5a and EMIS mobile source emissions models. The analysis estimates annual reduction of 655 tons/year of CO in 2000, the CO milestone year and 3,162 tons/year in the 2015 milestone year. The results of the analysis by milestone years is in Table B2.
E. Further CO reductions are estimated to be sustained for a reasonable period beyond the 1995 attaintment milestone year. Estimates of CO emissions for the years 2000, 2005 and 2015, were included in the analysis, and the results are shown in Table B2. The data used in the analysis, includes the estimate of CO emissions from a Wright County project.
F. Projects that are exempt from the conformity determination were identified and classified in accordance with Section 51.460 of the Conformity Rules and are listed in Appendix C. The listing includes the coding used to identify these projects in the TIP tables.
G. The quantitative analysis includes all known regionally significant projects as defined in Section 51.392 of the Conformity Rules. The listings of federal and state funded projects included in the 2000 milestone year "Action Scenario" (in Table B3). Other regionally significant projects that are locally funded are listed in Table B5.
H. That the funding of the 1995-1997 TIP is consistent with funding that is reasonably expected to be available.

TABLE B2
TIP SCENARIOS (TOTAL TWIN CITIES AND WRIGHT COUNTY) ANNUAL CO EMISSIONS FOR YEARS 1990, 1995, 2000, AND 2005 (TONS/YEAR)

| NEIWORK | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASELINE TIP SCENARIO | 685,398 | 359,169 | 316,092 | 295,060 |
| ACTION TIP SCENARIO | - | - | 315,437 | 291,848 |
| TIP CO Reduction | - | - | 655 | 2,162 |

## IV. 1995-97 TIP CONTRIBUTIONS TO ANNUAL EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS

## A. TIP ANALYSIS

Pursuant to Section 51.438 of the Conformity Rules, the Council has reviewed the 1995-97 TIP document. Based on this review, the Council finds that the TIP contributes to annual emissions reductions. The following is the description of the scenarios used in the emissions impact analysis as required by the Conformity Rules. A description of the methods used to calculate CO emissions is in Section VII.

Baseline TIP Scenario, as described in Section 51.438(c), is the future transportation system that would result from current programs, composed of all in-place regionally significant highway and transit facilities, services and activities, all ongoing Transportation Demand Management (TDM) or TSM activities and completion of all regionally significant projects regardless of funding source, which are currently under construction or are undergoing right-of-way acquisition, that come from the first 3 years of a previously conforming TIP or have completed the NEPA process.

Action TIP Scenario as described in Section 51.438(d), is the future transportation system that would result from the implementation of the TIP and other regionally significant projects in the time-frame of the transportation plan. It includes all facilities, services and activities in the "Baseline" scenario, completion of all TCMs and regionally significant projects included in the TIP, and all TDM and TSM activities known to the Council, but not included in the TIP. The regionally significant highway projects for Twin Cities Seven-County Metropolitan Area included in the Action TIP Scenario, are listed in Table B6. The Wright County project included in the Action Scenario is listed in Table B5.

The Council has determined that the 2000 milestone year Action Scenario, contributes to emissions reductions by 655 tons less than the "baseline" scenario. The Council believes that CO reductions in the intervening years are likely to continue to occur for the following reasons:

1. Continued improvement in auto emissions controls systems and the implementation of an oxygenated fuels program as required by the CAAA.
2. A regional commitment to continue capital investments to improve the operational efficiencies of the highway and transit systems.
3. A regional commitment to seek alternative methods to reduce congestion and the rate of growth of Vehicle mile travel such as the use of road pricing and other techniques.
4. The continued involvement of local governmental units in the regional 3C transportation planning process, to address local congestion problems.

## B. AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY DETERMINATIONS FOR TRANSIT PROJECTS

The Transit projects in the TIP annual element are listed in Tables A-12 through A-20. Projects listed in TIP Tables A-13, A-14 and A-16 support ongoing regional and local operations and maintenance of transit systems, and do not require National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) reviews. Exempt projects fall within the "Mass Transit" category listed in Section 50.460 in the Conformity Rules. The U.S. EPA does not provide guidance on the preparation of an air quality analysis for park-and-ride facilities. If an analysis is required, a T-2 type analysis of intersections potentially affected by the facility, will be prepared. If needed, further "hot-spot" analysis will be prepared for the effected intersections. A determination for each of the transit funding sections is as follows:

Certain projects are specifically exempt from inclusion in the regional emissions analysis in the federal Conformity Rules, Section 51.464, Table B3, "Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses." These projects are listed in Table B4 and further identified with a "NO" under the " AQ " column in the TIP tables since they are not completely exempt from conformity determination. The local effects of these projects with respect to CO concentrations must be considered to determine if a hot-spot analysis is required prior to making a project level conformity determination. (Projects included in the TIP tables with a "T-2" code under the "AQ" column also fit this regional exemption category.)
PROJECTS EXEMPT FROM REGIONAL EMISSION ANALYSIS

| PROJECT \# | YEAR | DESCRIPTION | CATEGORY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PR-1 | 1995 | Northtown Transit Hub | Transit |
| TR- | 1995 | Eden Prairie Transit Hub | Transit |
| $90-070-04$ | 1996 | Highland Transit Hub | Transit |
| TR-10 | 1996 | Hillcrest Transit Hub | Transit |
| TR-11 | 1996 | Robbinsdale Transit Hub | Transit |
| TR-7 | 1996 | Hennepin/Lagoon Transit Hub | Transit |
| TR-8 | 1996 | Burnsville Transit Hub | Transit |
| TR-19 |  |  |  |

TABLE B5
ANNUAL ELEMENT BY FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCES FROM TIP TABLES 3-S AND 3-T (FLEET IMPROVEMENTS, CMAQ FUNDING, FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS)

| GRANT <br> i:D. | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | AIR Qualmy category | COMMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLEET IMPROVEMENT |  |  |  |
| ```FTA 1994-95 Section } (MTC) Section } (MTC)``` | Purchase 9740 -foot buses | C-11 | Mass Transit - <br> Replacement of older buses to reduce average fleet age to six years and equipment to maintain current levels of service. |
| FTA - Sec. 3 City of Mpls. | Purchase of gas powered trolley vehicles for downtown shuttle | C-11 | Replacement of buses on the Hennepin Mall by CNG powered vehicles |
| FTA 1994-95 Section 9 (MTC) | Purchase 9740 -foot buses | C-11 | Same as above |
| CMAQ FUNDING AND FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |
| MN-90-070-02 | Funding of transit service expansion to provide additional I-394 bus service | C-4 |  |
| CM-8A | First year funding of a Regional Travel Demand Management Program | D-1 |  |
| CM-7A | I-35W Service Expansion/Reorganization | C-4 |  |
| CM-8B | Second year funding of a Regional Travel Demand Management Program | D-1 |  |
| FTA-Sec. 6 (City of Mpls.) | Downtown Mpls. Transportation Management Organization (TMO) | D-1 |  |

Table B4
1994-1996 BIENNIAL ELEMENT
FTA SECTION 9 CAPITAL AND OPERATING ASSISTANCE FROM TIP TABLE 6C (OPERATING ASSISTANCE, CAPITAL ASSISTANCE)

| Grant <br> 10. | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | AIR QUAllity CATEGORY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING ASSISTANCE |  |  |  |
| Fall 1994 FTA Application | Operating Assistance FFY <br> 1995 (MTC CY-1994) | C-4 | Operation Assistance for Current Level of Service. |
| Fall 1995 FTA Application | Operating Assistance FFY <br> 1996 (MTC CY-1995) | C-4 | Same as above. |
| Fall 1996 FTA Application | Operating Assistance FFY 1997 (MTC CY-1996) | C-4 | Same as above |
| CAPITAL ASSISTANCE |  |  |  |
| Fall 1994 <br> Application for FTA | Capital Assistance FFY 1995 (MTC CY-1995) | C-11 | Replacement of existing buses |
| Fall 1995 <br> Application for <br> FTA | Capital Assistance FFY 1996 (MTC CY-1996) | C-11 | Same as above |
| Fall 1996 <br> Application to FTA | Capital Assistance FFY 1997 (MTC CY-1997) | C-11 | Same as above |

## OTHER FTA FUNDING

FTA SECTION 18 FY FUNDS AVAILABLE ANNUALLY TO LOCAL TRANSIT PROVIDERS TO ASSIST IN THE COST OF OPERATING SERVICES - TIP TABLE A-16
The projects receiving these funds are neutral with respect to air quality impacts

## FTA SECTION 16 (b)(2) TRANSPORTATION SERVICES FOR THE ELDERLY AND

 HANDICAPPED - TIP TABLE A-14Annual funding required by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ for the purchase of vehicles for providers of transit services to the elderly and disabled. Programs receiving funds are neutral with respect to air quality impacts.

## V. HIGHWAY PROJECTS

## A. ASSIGNING PROJECTS TO TIP CATEGORIES

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the projects in the TIP were reviewed and categorized using the following determinations to identify projects that do not require a TIP conformity analysis:

1. The project is found in a TIP that received the necessary approval by the Federal Highway Administration and/or that the self-certification on conformity by the Council and approval by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ is valid during the period of November 15, 1987 -November 15, 1990; and
2. The project is segmented for purposes of funding or construction and received all required environmental approvals from the lead agency under the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA), including:
a. A determination of categorical exclusion: or
b. A finding of no significant impact: or
c. A final Environmental impact statement for which a record of decision has been issued.
3. The project is exempt as defined in Section 51.460 of the Conformity Rule. Project identified with a code in the "Air Quality Exclusion" column in the 1995-97 TIP tables, by their nature will not affect the outcome of any regional emissions analyses and add no substance to the analyses. A description of the project categories is in the TIP Appendix C. Although "signalization" and "channelization" projects are exempt, a "hotspot" analysis may be required as part of the project design phase. These projects are identified with a "T-2" code. Projects listed as "GR" are "grandfathered" since they were in previously conforming TIPS.
a. Safety projects that eliminated hazards or improved traffic flows.
b. Mass Transit projects that maintained or improved the efficiency of transit operations.
c. Air quality related projects that provided opportunities to use alternative modes of transportation such as ride-sharing, van-pooling, bicycling, and pedestrian facilities.
d. Other projects such as environmental reviews, engineering, land acquisition and highway beautification.
4. The exempt classification given to the TIP projects were developed through consultation process involving the MPCA, the Council and Mn/DOT.

Table B56 lists the TIP highway projects included in the air quality analysis as part of the "Action Scenario".

Table B6
REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT 1995-97 TIP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS IN THE YEAR 2000 ACTION SCENARIO

| Route | Project \# | Year | Description | Agency | In Previous TIP? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CR 46 | AE-20 | 95 | Reconstruct; Joplin Ave. to I-35 | Dakota Co. | NO |
| I-35 | 1980-19531 | 95 | At CR 46-Construct Interchange | MnDOT | NO |
| TH 10 | 0214- | 97 | Major Construction-Stage 2A; Foley Blvd. Interchange | MnDOT | NO |
| TH 101 | $\begin{gathered} 7005-57, \\ \text { etc. } \end{gathered}$ | 97 | Shakopee Bypass | MnDOT | NO |
| TH 101 | 2738-10 | 97 | Rogers to Elk River | MnDOT | YES |
| I-94 | 8281-82800 | 95 | Replace St. Croix River Bridge (eastbound); 2 lanes to 3 lanes | MnDOT | YES |
| 77th Street | 157-108-15 | 95 | Reconstruct from Portland Ave.to Cedar Ave. | Richfield | YES |
| TH 212 | 2762-14 | 95 | Technology Dr. from Prairie Center Dr. to 2000' west | MnDOT | YES |
| TH 55 | 2724-105 | 97 | Hiawatha Ave.- I-94 to E.29th St. | MnDOT | YES |
| TH 610 | 2771-8802 | 97 | Regent Ave. to 25 mi . e. of France Ave. | MnDOT | YES |
| TH 169 | 2750-42 | 95 | 0.1 mi . n. of 93 rd Ave. N. to 0.1 mi . n. of Hayden Lake Rd-Stage 3 | MnDOT | NO |
| CR 18 | 70-618-18 | 94 | Bloomington Ferry Bridge-Stage 5 | Scott Co. | YES |
| I-494/Lake Rd. | $\begin{aligned} & 192-108-03 \\ & 192-010-04 \end{aligned}$ | 95 | Construct Interchange | Woodbury | NO |
| TH 52/55 | 1907.53 | 95 | Remove partial interchange, construct full interchange | Inver Grove Heights | NO |
| CSAH 4 | 27-604-12 | 96 | Reconstruct from CSAH 1 to Terrey Pine Dr. | Henn. Co. | YES |
| CSAH 152 | 27-757-07 | 96 | Reconstruct from 64th Ave. to 71st Ave. N. | Henn. Co. | YES |
| CSAH 16 | AE-7 | 96 | Reconstruct; Interlachen Dr. to CSAH 19 | Wash. Co. | NO |
| CSAH 1 | AE-1 | 97 | Reconstruct; TH 169 to W. of CSAH 18 | Henn. Co. | NO |
| CSAH 21 | 70-621-09 | 95 | New alignment from 2000' E. of CSAH 39 to $1300^{\prime}$ E. of CSAH 27 | Scott Co. | YES |
| TH212 | 2762 | 95 | New TH212 R/W | Mn/DOT | YES |
| TH36 | 8214-97RW | 97 | Stillwater Br.-R/W | Mn/DOT | YES |
| I-35W | 2782-255A | 97 | I-494 to Minneapolis - interim HOV lanes (structures) | Mn/DOT | NO |
| TH36 | 8204-37 | 97 | From 0.6 mile west to 0.4 mile east of TH5, reconstruct, relocate frontage road | Mn/DOT | NO |


| Table B7 <br> REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS (NON-FEDERALLY FUNDED) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route | Project \# | Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { APPROX. } \\ \text { TOTAL } \$ \\ (000 ' S) \end{gathered}$ | Federal | State | Local | Description | Agency | AQ <br> Exclusion |
| I-494/Lake Rd. | $\begin{gathered} 192-108- \\ 03 \\ 192-010- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | 95 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 | 7,000 | Construct I494/Lake Rd. full Interchange | Woodbury | No |
| TH 52/55 | $\begin{gathered} 1907-53 \\ 19-656-01 \end{gathered}$ | 95 | 7,000 | 0 | 4,300 | 2,700 | Remove partial interchange at TH 56/Concord, construct new full interchange at CSAH 56/Concord | Inver <br> Grove <br> Heights | No |

## B. WRIGHT COUNTY PROJECTS

A significant portion of Wright County is included in the Twin Cities CO nonattainment area as identified in the November 6, 1991 Federal Register. However, since the county is not part of the Seven County Metropolitan Area, Wright County projects are not considered in the selection of projects for federal funding through the TAB and Metropolitan Council processes. Wright County projects are evaluated for air quality analysis purposes, and the emissions associated with county projects that meet the regionally significant criteria that are added to the Seven-County region's emissions.

Wright County projects are included in the State TIP prepared by Mn/DOT and listed in Table B8. The project included in the regional analysis is TH101.

## TABLE B8

WRIGHT COUNTY PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR FEDERAL FUNDING

| T\% $\mathbf{H}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STAIE. } \\ & \text { PROJECT } \end{aligned}$ | DESCRIPTION | AIR <br> Qusury <br> CATEGORY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 8601-42 | 1000 ft . west of County Road 110; west of County Road 110 W. at Montrose - grade, surface and bridge replacement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-12 } \\ & \text { A-13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | 8604-26 | First St. South of Buffalo; traffic signal installation | T-2 |
| 25 | 8605-36 | July award - TH55 to CR133 in Buffalo - widen, channelization, permanent signal at Walmart and TH55 | T-2 |
| 101 | $\begin{gathered} 8608-14 \\ 8608-15 \\ 71001 \end{gathered}$ | At TH 10 in Elk River; widen bridges, grade and surface interchange: <br> CASAH 42 to Mississippi River - grade and surface, signage, lighting, signals | NO |
| 55 | 8606-45 | East and North of TH25 in Buffalo, misc. frontage road and intersection improvement at Commercial Drive | A-13 |
| 94 | 8680 | Monticello to Crow River - resurface | A-12 |
| 94 | 8680-126 | 0.12 mile West of junction - CSAH 37 at Albertville; roadway surfacing | A-12 |
| 94 | 8680-127 | 3 mile West to 0.9 mile West of Albertville Eastbound roadway; surfacing | A-12 |
| 94 | 8680-129 | Minnesota Traffic Control Devices for Traffic Switch over | A-18 |
| 94 | 8680-454 | Minnesota Pole Building - Supply | F-4 |
| 94 | 8680-OPTIM-A | Minnesota Road Optim Electronics Contract | A-18 |
| CSAH 9 | 86-609-06 | Bridge replacement and approach work - no additional lanes | A-13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { MSA } \\ 103 \end{gathered}$ | 213-103-01 | 3rd Ave. Northeast from TH 55 to Anderson Ave. in Buffalo; reconstruction | A-13 |
| CR 128 | - | Replace bridge with 86514 at the Northwest county line over the clearwater River - no additional lanes | A-13 |
| $\underset{37}{\mathrm{CSAH}}$ | - | From CSAH 8 to CSAH 11, cold in place recycle, overlay and safety improvements | A-13 |
| N/A | 222-080-129 | Monticello pathway and beautification (enhancements) | D-2 |
| N/A | TRANSIT | Annandale - replace small bus | C-11 |
| N/A | TRANSIT | Annandale operating subsidy for transit service within Annandale service area for 1994 | C-4 |
| N/A | TRANSIT | Monticello operating subsidy for transit service within Monticello's service area for 1994 | C-4 |

## VI. DESCRIPTION OF MOBILE SOURCES EMISSIONS IMPACT ANALYSIS

## A. TWIN CITIES SEVEN COUNTY AREA REGIONAL ANALYSIS

The approach used in the air quality analysis of the TIP is intended for application only to the 1994 calendar year TIP submittal and may be revised for future TIP submittals as required by the EPA.

The emissions analysis was produced using three computer models. The metropolitan network travel demand model jointly developed by the Council and Mn/DOT, the EPA MOBILE5a emissions model, and the new regional emissions model, EMIS. Previous TIP Conformity Analyses used SAPOLLAT.

The FHWA-PLANPAC network travel demand model used in the conformity analysis of previous TIP'S to predict vehicle miles of travel (VMT), is replaced in the 1995-1997 TIP conformity analysis with a TRANPLAN network that is based on regional travel data collected by a 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory (TBI) completed by the Council in cooperation with Mn/DOT. The new highway network provides greater accuracy in the development of travel forecasts on the regional highway system due to the more current highway and transit travel data compiled by the TBI. This network was modified to form a series of networks for the years 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2015 used to establish the Baseline and Action Scenarios for each analysis period. The results of this analysis is in Table B-2. The 1990 network is the highway network completed at the time the CAAA was enacted. The 1995 Baseline Scenario network is the 1990 network plus all TIP and regionally significant projects completed between 1990 and 1995. The Baseline Scenarios for the years 2000 and 2005, are the 1995 Baseline plus the 1995-1997 TIP projects and other regionally significant projects. Action Scenarios developed for the years 2000, 2005 and 2015, include the projects described and scheduled in this plan plus other known regionally significant projects. The 2015 network is the Metropolitan Highway System Plan 2015 that is in the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan.

All the network analyses is based on the latest regional planning assumptions in force at the time the conformity analysis was prepared. The assumptions are derived from the estimate of current and future population, employment, travel and congestion developed by the Council.

The regional CO emissions was done using EMIS. The model calculates the overall quantities of CO emitted from vehicular traffic over the region's highway system. EMIS uses data from the TRANPLAN traffic forecasting model and the emission rates from the MOBILE5a emission factor model to calculate total CO emissions. The model calculates the emissions from each travel link on the regional highway networks based on the traffic volume on each link, the speed on each link (adjusted by TRANPLAN based on traffic volume to capacity ratio) and the length of each link. It also makes adjustments for intra-zonal trips. These emissions are then totaled to provide an area-wide total amount of CO emissions

All the TIP highway projects that would add single occupancy vehicle capacity were reviewed as to whether significant single occupancy vehicle capacity would be added if the project was constructed, or whether the project had completed a NEPA process.

CO emissions from transit sources or estimated reductions in emissions from improvements to transit services were not calculated due to the current capabilities of the region's forecasting model. The model cannot develop the data needed to calculate CO emissions.

A nonattainment area for PM10 is located in the City of St. Paul. The nonattainment designation is not due to transportation sources. The MPCA issued an order for the PM-10 area and the EPA has published a draft notice of intent to accept the order to clean up the PM-10 area.

## Exhibit B1 MOBILE5a INPUT VALUES

## The EPA-MOBILE5A model produced the vehicular CO emissions for the inventory using the following input values:

Auto Registration 1990 7-county area
Gasoline volatility ..... 9.0 RVP
Ambient Temperature ..... $31^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
Minimum temperature ..... $16^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
Maximum temperature ..... $38^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
Cold starts ..... $20.6 \%$ (default)
Hot starts ..... 27.3\% (default)
Altitude ..... Low altitude
Vehicle mix Mobile5a/default for light duty vehicles
Inspection/Maintenance - anti-tampering program factors
Start year1991
Pre-1981 stringency ..... 23\%
First model year covered ..... 1976
Waiver rates ..... $11 \%$
Compliance rates ..... 97\%
Inspection types covered ..... Centralized
Vehicle types covered LDGV, LDGT1, LDGT2
Frequency ..... Annual
Anti-tampering inspection - catalyst, gas cap Oxygenated Fuels Factors Oxygen content ..... 2.7\%
Market share ..... 90\%
Alcohol blend RVP waiver ..... YesNote that the MOBILESa default values were used for the remaining input factors.

## B. WRIGHT COUNTY AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS

The emissions for Wright County are calculated separately from the seven-county emissions analysis and is described below.

The project analyzed for CO emissions is described in Table B7. The project was analyzed as part of the Milestone Year 2000 Action Scenarios The emissions calculated from each of the County's Baseline scenario were added to the Twin Cities Seven-County totals as shown in Exhibit B2. The County project included in the region's Action Scenario is T.H. 101 from the Hennepin/Wright County line to the Wright/Sherburne County Line.

## The County's CO emissions were calculated using the following method:

1. Total vehicles speeds were calculated by using a table derived from a SAPOLLUT mobile source emission model volume to capacity ratios. These values are in Table B9.
2. CO emissions derived from vehicle speeds were calculated based on MOBILE 5a.
3. The CO emission values for the "Action Scenario" and the "Baseline Scenario" for the project were subtracted to identify the improvement in emissions.
4. This improvement was subtracted from the inventory for Wright County based on traffic count data.
5. The county CO emission values were added to the Twin Cities Seven County CO emissions totals for the TIP "Action" scenario.

Table B9
HOURLY DISTRIBUTION OF VEHICLE TYPES BY FACILITY TYPES BASED ON PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL VEHICLES

| Hour | FREEWAYS |  | ARTERIALS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diesel | Non.diesel | Diesel | Non-diesel |
| 0 | 13.1 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 0.4 |
| 1 | 20.7 | 2.5 | 7.9 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 33.2 | 2.4 | 12.2 | 0.9 |
| 3 | 32.0 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 0.5 |
| 4 | 33.1 | 4.4 | 14.0 | 1.9 |
| 5 | 19.2 | 3.2 | 9.4 | 1.7 |
| 6 | 9.2 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 1.2 |
| 7 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| 8 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.4 |
| 9 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 4.1 |
| 10 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 3.7 |
| 11 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.2 |
| 12 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
| 13 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.0 |
| 14 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.0 |
| 15 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| 16 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| 17 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 18 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| 19 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| 20 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| 21 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 0.5 |
| 22 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 23 | 8.9 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

Source: Special Area Analysis Manual, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1973.

## VII. CONSULTATION

## A. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

A proactive public involvement process was followed by the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) in the development and approval of the TIP in accordance with the Council's Citizen Participation Plan and administrative procedures to implement the plan. The plan and administrative procedures, contains goals, strategies and procedures for public communication and involvement, public notices of meetings held by the Council, record keeping of hearing proceedings, and the conduct of hearings to formally solicit comments on proposed regional plans and programs.

The TAB has the lead role in the solicitation, selection, and programming of transportation projects for ISTEA funding. Federal law requires that highway, transit, bikeway and pedestrian projects financed in part with Federal ISTEA funds must be initiated by "principal elected officials of general purpose local governments acting through the MPO. After approval of the TIP by the TAB, the TIP is forwarded to the Council for final approval. All meetings held by the TAB and Council are open to the public and the meeting schedules are routinely announced through the media. The Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) and its technical advisory committee were extensively involved in the TIP development and public review processes. The TAB also provides a forum for the deliberation of regional transportation issues among state, regional and local elected officials, together with private citizens appointed by the Council. The MPCA and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ are represented on the TAB.

A public hearing was held by the TAB on the TIP with a 45 day public comment period provided. During the comment period, copies of the TIP were provided to over 20 public libraries throughout the metropolitan area. A record of the comments received and the TAB's responses prior to approval of the TIP was made. The public involvement process followed complies with the ISTEA Metropolitan Planning Rules, Section 450.316 and Section $51.402(\mathrm{e})$ of the Conformity Rule.

## B. INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION PROCESS

The CAAA required Transportation Planning Procedures for transportation/air quality planning coordination and consultation, was adopted by the Council in November, 1992 and forwarded to the U.S. EPA. These procedures define the interagency consultation procedures to be followed in the development and conformity determinations of regional transportation plans and programs. These procedures were followed in the adoption and conformity review of the TIP. Figure 1 is a chart from the procedures to illustrate the state, regional and local consultation process defined in the planning procedures. These consultation procedures were used to comply with Section 50.402 of the Conformity Rules until final conformity rules are adopted by the MPCA in November, 1994.

The Minnesota Interagency Air Quality/Transportation Task Force was formed to coordinate state and regional responses to the conformity determination requirements of the CAAA. It consists of persons from the federal, state, regional, and local agencies whose work routinely involves addressing air quality and transportation issues. The Council, MPCA and Mn/DOT are represented on the task force. Through the task force the Council, MPCA and Mn/DOT confer on the application of the latest air quality emission models, the review and selection of projects exempted from a conformity air quality analysis, and regionally significant projects that must be included in the conformity analysis of the TIP.
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## APPENDIX C

## PROJECTS THAT DO NOT IMPACT REGIONAL EMISSIONS, AND

## PROJECTS THAT ALSO DO NOT REQUIRE LOCAL CO IMPACT ANALYSIS

Certain transportation projects eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Urban Mass Transportation Act have no impact on regional emissions. These are "exempt" projects that, because of their nature, will not affect the outcome of any regional emissions analyses and add no substance to those analyses. These projects (as described in Section 51.460 of conformity rules) are excluded from the regional emissions analyses required in order to determine conformity of TIPs.

This is a list of "exempt" projects and their corresponding codes used to identify and classify exempt TIP projects.

## SAFETY

Railroad/highway crossing ..... A1
Hazard elimination program ..... A3
Safer non-federal-aid system roads ..... A4
Shoulder improvements ..... A6 ..... A6
Increasing sight distance ..... A10
Safety improvement program ..... A8
Traffic control devices and operating assistance other than signalization projects ..... A18
Railroad/highway crossing warning devices ..... A9
Guardrails, median barriers, crash cushions ..... A11
Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation ..... A12
Pavement marking demonstration ..... A2
Emergency relief (23 U.S.C. 125) ..... A5
Fending ..... A15
Skid treatments ..... A16
Safety roadside rest areas ..... A17
Adding medians ..... A21
Truck climbing lanes outside the urbanized area ..... A19
Lighting improvements ..... A20
Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges (no additional travel lanes) ..... A13
Emergency truck pullovers ..... A22
MASS TRANSIT
Operating assistance to transit agencies ..... C4
Purchase of support vehicles ..... C10
Rehabilitation of transit vehicles ${ }^{1}$ ..... C5
${ }^{1}$ In $\mathrm{PM}_{20}$ nonattainment or maintenance areas, such projects are exempt only if they are in compliance with control measures in the applicable implementation plan.
Purchase of office, shop, and operating equipment for existing facilities ..... C1
Purchase of operating equipment for vehicles (e.g., radios, fareboxes, lifts, etc.) ..... C2
Construction or renovation of power, signal, and communications systems ..... C3
Construction of small passenger shelters and information kiosks ..... C7
Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures
(e.g., rail or bus buildings, storage and maintenance facilities, stations, terminals, and ancillary structures) ..... C6
Rehabilitation or reconstruction of track structures, track and trackbed in existing rights-of-way ..... C8
Purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet ..... C11
Construction of new bus or rail storage/maintenance facilities categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771 ..... C12
AIR QUALITY
Continuation of ride-sharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels ..... D1
Bicycle and pedestrian facilities ..... D2, D3
NEW EXEMPT PROJECTS ADDED BY THE CONFORMITY RULES
Specific activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as:
Planning and technical studies
Grants for training and research programs
Planning activities conducted pursuant to titles 23 and 49 U.S.C. Federal-aid systems revisions ..... F9
Engineering to assess social, economic and environmental effects of the proposed action or alternatives to that action ..... F1
Noise attenuation ..... A14, C9
Advance land acquisitions ( 23 CFR 712 or 23 CRF 771) ..... F2
Acquisition of scenic easements ..... F3
Plantings, landscaping, etc. ..... F4
Sign removal ..... F5
Directional and informational signs ..... F6
Transportation enhancement activities (except rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities) ..... F7
Repair of damage caused by natural disasters, civil unrest, or terrorist acts, except projects involving substantial functional, locational or capacity changes ..... F8
speende

## Appendix D <br> PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT IN THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

As requested by the Federal Transit Act (Sec. 3012) and Circular 7005.1, the following describes the process by which private transit providers were involved in developing the Annual Element of the 1995-1997 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
a. The capital needs of private providers are examined as part of the Regional Transit Board's (RTB) capital planning process. The Capital Plan identifies the anticipated capital needs of all providers and outlines potential funding sources.
b. The service and support functions contained in the annual element are provided by the public operator, the Metropolitan Transit Commission (MTC). The RTB uses state funding to support the private regular route operators in the metropolitan area. The RTB and MTC currently use subsidy per passenger as the primary standard to monitor regular route performance. However, four different values have been established to monitor different classifications of route types. The four thresholds are:

Local Radial Routes<br>Local Crosstown Routes<br>Peak Hour Express Routes<br>All-Day Express Routes

$\$ 3.25$ subsidy per passenger
$\$ 4.00$ subsidy per passenger
$\$ 3.85$ subsidy per passenger
$\$ 3.50$ subsidy per passenger
Since the approval of these new standards, some routes have been restructured, some have been competitively procured, some routes have been removed from the high subsidy route list, some routes have been eliminated, and some routes will continue to be monitored or reevaluated.
c. No capital proposals were received from private sector operators.
d. The RTB conducted a competitive transit demonstration study funded by the FTA Section 6 grant program. One of the project work tasks was the evaluation of barriers to competitively procuring all types of transit services and the identification of solutions to barriers. As part of this study, the RTB developed and adopted a document entitled Standards, Procedures and Guidelines for Competitive Procurement of Public Transit Service.

The guidelines for procurement of service provide uniform standards and procedures that permit public transit services to be procured in a consistent and equitable manner in the Twin Cities metropolitan area. These guidelines represent RTB policy direction and will be applied when the RTB or its funding recipients contract for service.
e. To allow area transit providers an opportunity to review and comment on projects proposed for inclusion in the TIP, a list of the proposed projects was distributed to over 100 area transit providers. Providers were asked to submit comments and concems in writing by July 7 , 1994. Projects proposed for the TIP were also presented to the RTB's Provider Advisory Committee, which recommended approval of the TIP. At the present time, there are no specific private sector complaints.

In the future, discussion of the issues, concerns and complaints will be handled through the Private Sector Participation Process. This process has been approved by the RTB and Metropolitan Council. The key elements of this process are the RTB's Provider Advisory Committee and the dispute resolution process.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Federal regulations ISTEA, 23 USC 134.

[^1]:    * Also reported to have applied for CMAQ funds.

