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# TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM <br> 1996-1998 <br> SUMMARY 

The Twin Cities Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 1996 through 1998 responds to new procedures required by the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). The new legislation requires that all federally funded transportation projects within the entire seven county area be included in the regional TIP. The TIP must be consistent with the projections of federal funds and local matching funds. All major transportation projects in the federally defined carbon-monoxide nonattainment area must be evaluated for their conformity with the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990. This analysis must also include regionally significant non-federally funded projects. The 1996-1998 TIP is fiscally constrained and is in conformity with the CAAA of 1990 and was prepared through a process that gave adequate opportunity for public involvement.

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for 1996 through 1998 is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bicycle, pedestrian and transportation enhancement projects proposed for federal funding for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. Federal regulations require that a TIP be developed at least every two years. The region has chosen to revise its TIP every year. While two federal agencies, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration must "accept the program to be in conformance with ISTEA and CAAA", most of the federal funds have already been earmarked for the Twin Cities Area and the specific projects have appeared in the previous (1995-1997) TIP.

The region developed separate processes to solicit projects utilizing Surface Transportation Program (STP), Urban Guarantee funds, Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Funds (CMAQ), and Transportation Enhancement Funds. A cooperative process was followed to prioritize the remaining Title I, Title III, and to a limited degree, state highway funds.

The 1996-1998 TIP for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area includes Title I type projects valued at over $\$ 540$ million or highway, transit, enhancement, bike and walk projects, of which approximately $\$ 400$ million is requested of the federal government in addition to Demonstration funds allocated to regional projects.

The 1996 capital projects funded under Title III total approximately $\$ 9$ million, of which $\$ 7$ million are federal funds. The region will receive approximately $\$ 23$ million Section 9 Capital Funds over the 1996-98 period. The region will receive $\$ 13,000,000$ in Section 9 operating assistance. Title 1 funds approved exclusively for transit capital projects and new service operating costs over the three year period totals approximately $\$ 13$ million.

All projects selected are consistent with the regional transportation plan. In many cases, the major projects are specifically identified in the regional plan. All other projects other than those that are small and can be grouped, are specifically identified in either Appendix O or P of the Transportation Policy Plan.

The TAB held two public information meetings and one public hearing on the TIP prior to adoption. Over 300 groups were mailed notices of these meetings, in addition to the various public notifications carried out in accordance with Council requirements. The TAB considered and responded to all comments received on the draft TIP.

The TIP adopted by the Transportation Advisory Board and approved by the Metropolitan Council, is based on, implements and is consistent with the regional Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan (TPP).

Identified projects are subject to the approvals of various agencies. The inclusion of a specific project as part of the TIP does not imply an endorsement of the specific design alternative and engineering details. Inclusion in the TIP is a funding commitment assuming the specific project development process has addressed all requirements.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The 1996-98 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area (shown in Figure 1) is a multi-modal program of highway, transit, bike, walk and transportation enhancement projects and programs proposed for federal funding throughout the seven-county metropolitan area in the next three years. The TIP is prepared by the Metropolitan Council in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MN/DOT). The projects contained in the TIP are consistent with and implement the region's transportation plan and priorities.

## FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

Federal regulations ${ }^{1}$ require that a Transportation Improvement Program:

- Be developed and updated every two years.
- Must cover a period of at least three years.
- Be a product of a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative (3C) planning process.
- Be consistent with regional land use and transportation plans as well as the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality.
- Identify transportation improvements proposed in the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan and recommended for federal funding during the program period.
- Be developed from a conforming regional metropolitan transportation plan that is fiscally constrained.
- Be initiated by locally elected officials of general purpose governments.
- Include both highway and transit projects.
- Allow opportunities for public participation in preparation of the TIP.

Afford an opportunity for participation of private transit providers in preparation of the TIP.
Be fiscally constrained.

- Indicate the priorities in the seven-county metropolitan area;
- Indicate year in which initial contracts will be let;
- Indicate appropriate source of federal funds;
- Include realistic estimates of total costs and revenues for the program period;
- Contain rpojects that are from a transportation plan approved by the Federal Highway Administration.

The 1996-98 TIP for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area meets all these requirements and will be submitted to $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ to be included in the STIP to be approved by the Governor's designee.
${ }^{1}$ Federal regulations ISTEA, 23 USC 134.
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Note: Areas are shown as of May, 1988. A precise location of the urban service area for any community is available from the Metropolitan Council Data Center, 612 291-8140. The line between the developing area and the rural area is referred to as the metropolitan urban service area boundary.

The following detailed information on each project that willuse federal funds is provided in Appendix A:

- Identification of the project;
- Description of the scope of project;
- Estimated total cost and the amount of federal funds proposed to be obligated during each of the program years;
- Proposed source of federal and nonfederal funds; and
- Identification of the regional or state local agencies that are the recipients responsible for carrying out the project.
- Air Quality Analysis Category
- Identify projects from ADA implementation plans


## REGIONAL PLANNING PROCESS

The transportation planning process in the Twin Cities region is based on Minnesota Statutes and requirements of federal rules and regulations on urban transportation planning that first became effective June 30, 1983 when they were published in the Federal Register. The Metropolitan Council is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and is responsible for continuing, comprehensive and cooperative transportation planning in the Metropolitan Area. Since transportation planning cannot be separated from land use and development planning, the transportation planning process is integrated with the total comprehensive planning program of the Metropolitan Council.

The Twin Cities' transportation planning process is defined in the Prospectus for the Transportation Planning Process in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area._Administered and coordinated by the Metropolitan Council, this process is a continuing, comprehensive and cooperative effort, involving municipal and county governments, the Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC), the Metropolitan Council Transit Operations (MCTO), the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT), and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (PCA). Elected local government officials are ensured participation in the process through the Metropolitan Council's Transportation Advisory Board (TAB). The TAB provides a forum for the cooperative deliberation of state, regional and local officials, and private citizens.

The Minnesota Legislature in May, 1994, passed legislation incorporating the Metropolitan Transit Commission (MTC), and Regional Transit Board (RTB) into the Metropolitan Council. The MTC became an operating division of the Council on July 1, 1994. The RTB incorporation took place on Oct. 1, 1994. This restructuring changes the roles and responsibilities for transit planning and service provision significantly throughout the region.

Private transit operators are informed of transit projects and competitive bidding opportunities, and participate in the planning process through the Providers Advisory Committee and quarterly providers meetings. (See Twin Cities Area's private operator participation process, Appendix D.)

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATIONOPPORTUNITIES IN PREPARATIONOF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

A concerted effort has been made to insure all interested and concerned parties were offered opportunity to participate in the preparation of the TIP. Three meetings were held by the Transportation Advisory Board to provide information and to get public reaction to the TIP.

An informational meeting was held on April 19, 1995 to explain and answer questions about the TIP preparation and approval process.

An information meeting was held on May 31, 1995 to explain the content of the draft TIP.
A public meeting was held on June 21, 1995 to hear comments' on the draft TIP.
In preparation for these meetings, 300 mailings were sent, notification was made in the State Register, press announcements were sent to the media, and the schedule was published in the Metropolitan Digest which is mailed to 600 local elected officials and legislators.

A significant effort was also made to solicit projects for inclusion into the TIP. In December 1994 solicitation for projects to be funded by Enhancement funds were mailed to 700 cities, counties, agencies and special interest groups. A forum was held to discuss the solicition process and answer questions in Jan. 1995. By Feb. 3, 1995, 59 projects were submitted. There were 19 Enhancement projects selected representing over $\$ 6$ million in federal funds.

In addition, the presentations identified the meetings of the Transportation Advisory Board's TAC, TAB, Metropolitan Council's Transportation Committee and Council meetings when actions were taken, were noticed and open to the public.

## DEVELOPMENT AND CONTENT OF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Transportation Improvement Program process is shown in Figure 2. The TIP is an integral part of the overall transportation planning process, a cooperative effort among local units of government and metropolitan and state agencies. This cooperative process uses technical skills and resources of the various agencies, and minimizes duplication by the participants.

The planning base for the TIP comes from the following planning documents:
The Metropolitan Development and Investment Framework sets the overall priorities for regional facilities and services in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.

The Metropolitan Council's 2015 Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan sets overall regional transportation policy and details major long-range transportation plans. This plan was amended in 1995. Requirements and considerations from ISTEA have been addressed.

## Figure 2

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROCESS

## Steps Actions

1. Council/TAB and $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ notifies project sponsors of available resources and select projects.
2. Council staff notifies $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ to submit TIP projects.
3. Agency staff develop TIP projects; Mn/DOT in cooperation with Capital Improvement Committee selects and submits projects.*
4. Council staff prepares draft TIP and a conformity analysis section in consultation with Mn/DOT and MPCA.
5. Funding and Programming Committee (F\&PC) of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) reviews and comments on draft TIP and submits to Mn/DOT for approval of funding level.
6. Council staff revises (or amends) TIP based on F\&PC comments and funding level agreed to by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$.
7. TAC review.
8. TAB holds a public hearing.
9. Council staff responds to public comments; TAB adopts.
10. Transportation Committee of the Metropolitan Council reviews and recommends to the Council.
11. Council approval** and Air Quality Conformity Finding.
12. Council publishes TIP and forwards to Mn/DOT and MPCA.
13. Mn /DOT prepares state TIP, secures governor's approval, and forwards to U.S. DOT for acceptance to be in conformance with ISTEA and CAAA and to U.S. EPA for review.

* Metropolitan Council solicits private transit operator input on transit projects prior to approval.
** Although final approval rests with the Metropolitan Council, the TAB's action will be changed only if the Council finds it inconsistent with Council policy.
- The Transportation Air Quality Control Plan, prepared by the Metropolitan Council, sets objectives and implementation strategies for transportation improvements to address air quality problems.
- Local comprehensive plans and transportation programs contain transportation elements that must be consistent with the Metropolitan Council's plans for transportation.

The TPP and the Air Quality Control Plan provide a framework for the development of specific projects by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$, MCTO, MC, the county and local governmental units and agencies which are responsible for planning, construction and operation of transportation facilities and services. All projects contained in this TIP must be consistent with the Transportation Development Guide/Policy Plan and the transportation Air Quality Control Plan.

The Council and MCTO identify transit service needs and objectives, planned transit service and capital improvements, and costs and funding sources that help implement the TPP.

Many of the highway construction projects included in this TIP are under Mn/DOT jurisdiction. They originate from ongoing $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ programming activities and respond to the region's transportation plan. The projects that lead to the completion of the metropolitan highway system, along with the projects on other major arterials, are based on the Council's TPP and on Mn/DOT's transportation planning and programming process.

The TPP is further refined through alternative corridor and location studies. These studies and environmental impact statements lead to specific project recommendations that are included in implementation programs. Other projects, such as those concerned with resurfacing, bridge improvements and safety, arise from continual monitoring and evaluation of existing highway facilities through $\mathrm{Mn} /$ DOT's pavement and bridge management plans.

City and county federal aid projects are products of local comprehensive and transportation planning programs, and reflect local and regional priorities. These projects have been determined to be consistent with regional plans before being included in the TIP. Such plans must be consistent with the TPP.

## PROGRAM AREAS IN THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The ISTEA of 1991 establishes a number of highway funding programs. In most cases, transit projects can also be funded through these programs. ISTEA utilizes a number of transit funding programs which are the same as those used in the past.

These program areas are described below.
National Highway System (NHS). The NHS will consist of 155,000 miles (plus or minus 15 percent) of major roads in the United States. Congress must act to formally establish the system by September 30, 1995. Included will be all interstates and a large percentage of urban and rural principal arterials, the defense strategic highway network, and strategic highway connectors. The state has submitted its candidate system to FHWA. Until Congress designates the NHS, all principal arterials are eligible
to use NHS funds.
Interstate Maintenance (IM). These funds will finance projects to rehabilitation, restore, and resurface the interstate system. Reconstruction is also eligible, if it does not add capacity. However, high occupancy vehicles (HOV) and auxiliary lanes can be added.

The Surface Transportation Program (STP). STP is a block grant type program that may be used for any roads (including NHS) that are not functionally classified as local or rural minor collectors. These roads are now collectively referred to as federal-aid roads. Bridge projects paid for with STP funds are not restricted to federal-aid roads but may be on any public road. Transit capital projects are also eligible under this program. Transportation Enhancement Projects are funded as part of this program.

The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program. CMAQ directs funds toward transportation projects in non-attainment areas for ozone and carbon monoxide (CO). These projects contribute to meeting the attainment of national ambient air quality standards.

Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program. The Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program is continued to provide assistance for any bridge on a public road. The program is basically unchanged from previous years in its formula and requirements.

Hazard Elimination Safety Program. Is continued but has changed in focus to safety at railroad crossings.

FTA Title III Section 5309 (formerly 3) and 5307 (formerly 9) Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Programs. These programs provide assistance with capital and operating costs.

FTA Title III Section 5310 (formerly 16) Program. This program funds the purchase of lift-equipped vehicles by nonprofit organizations which provide transportation for the elderly and handicapped.

FTA Title IIII Section 5311 (formerly 18) Program. This program is available for operating and capital assistance to areas with less than 50,000 population (small urban and rural programs).

Mn /DOT has divided the programmed projects into five types for the 1996-1998 TIP. They are:

1. Preservation. Activities required to preserve existing infrastructure, including concrete joint repair, mill and/or overlay, sign replacement, etc. Replacement or revitalization of existing infrastructure, may include minimal capacity/operational improvements.
2. System Management. Projects to improve efficiency, and/or operations as well as safety, capacity or air quality.
3. Agreements. Projects entered into by the department and a local unit. The projects vary in nature but benefit both $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ and the local juristiction.
4. Expansion. Major capital improvements which result in new or greatly expanded capabilities of corridors, i.e., new facility on new alignment, land additions in excess of auxiliary lanes, bridge at a new location, widened bridge to include more travel lanes.
5. Intelligent Vehicle Highway System Operational Tests. Projects to illustrate the effectiveness of IVHS technology to improve the efficiency, operations, safety, capacity and air quality.

## 2. SUMMARY OF REGIONAL PLANS AND PRIORITIES

All projects in the TIP are reviewed by the Transportation Advisory Board and the Metropolitan Council for consistency with the Transportation Policy Plan/Development Guide (TPP) and the Air Quality Control Plan. This chapter summarizes the TPP, indicates Council priorities and identifies air quality control measures undertaken in the region. The Council amended the 1993 TPP in May, 1995. This amendment was prepared at the direction of U.S. DOT. The Council has prepared the amendment which is in balance with forecasted revenues over the 20 -year planning period and which is in conformity with the CAAA of 1990. The Council held the TPP public hearing on the document on April 20, 1995 and adopted the amendment on May 25, 1995. The material below describes the amended plan.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT GUIDE/POLICYPLAN

By state law, the Metropolitan Council is responsible for preparing a comprehensive development guide for the Twin Cities Area which includes a multimodal surface transportation chapter and an aviation chapter. The Regional Blueprint (formally the Metropolitan Development and Investment Framework) is the plan that sets a general direction for future development patterns in the region and establishes guidelines for making decisions about major regional facilities, the sewers and highways, that are needed to support the commercial, industrial and residential development of the area.

The focus of the Council's strategy on directing growth in the region is to encourage development to occur within the urban service area. The Council's first priority is to maintain and upgrade existing regional systems throughout the urban service area. The Council will also assign a high priority to maintenance projects that support planned economic development. The Council, local government, and the metropolitan agencies are expected to act jointly to protect the capacity of regional facilities by protecting them from premature and excessive use.

The TPP provides policy direction for planning by government agencies, counties, municipalities and private sector participants involved in the construction and operation of transportation facilities and services in the region. This plan guides metropolitan transportation investments between now and 2015.

The Council uses the TPP to review referrals and development proposals submitted to the Council. The TPP provides direction to the Minnesota Department of Transportation to be used as regional input into the statewide transportation project programming. The TPP includes a 2015 Metropolitan Highway Systems Plan, a 2015 Metropolitan Transit System Plan, (which appear as Figures 3 and 4 in this document), and policies and priorities for regional facilities and services.

The region's transportation plan refers to the broad spectrum of surface transportation modes, i.e., highways, transit, rail, water, bicycle and pedestrian. "Transit" is viewed as a service provided for people traveling as passengers to their destinations, regardless of the type of vehicle (fixed route public bus and light rail, minibus, shared ride, taxi, etc.) or of who provides the service (public or private sector). Major highways and thoroughfares are viewed as travel routes rather than auto and truck routes. These routes are to be designed and managed to encourage people to ride together rather than drive individually to their destinations.

The TPP conforms to the requirements of the 1990 Clean Air Act amendments. A description of the air quality analysis used by the Council to determine conformity is in Appendix B.

FIGURE 3


Figure 4
REORGANIZED TRANSIT SYSTEM


The Metropolitan Area's transportation system of highways and transit is key to the region's social and economic vitality. It provides ready access to virtually any location in the region. The transportation system makes it possible for the region's residents to take advantage of a broad range of opportunities for employment, education, shopping, recreation, health care, housing and cultural activities. As a means of conveying goods, services and workers, the transportation system plays a crucial role in supporting the region's economy.

## THE HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT SYSTEMS

The metropolitan highway system consists of 657 miles of roadways that include the interstate highway network and other principal arterials, which are the heaviest traveled transportation corridors. These highways match closely the proposed National Highway System in the region submitted by Mn/DOT. The term "transit" applies to all forms of riding together, regardless of whether the service is provided by public or private operators, whether by organizations or individual vehicle owners, or whether the ridesharing arrangements are structured or informal. Consequently, the metropolitan transit system is seen as comprising a broad range of services that are provided by, among others, MCTO's bus system; private bus companies on regular, scheduled routes; providers that make up the Regional Transit Board's Metro Mobility program for elderly and disabled people; car and van pools; and informal ridesharing arrangements.

## HIGHWAY CONGESTION

Compared to other major metropolitan areas, the Twin Cities transportation system suffers from fewer critical problems. But it's clear that if nothing is done to increase the people-moving capacity of the system over the next 20 years, the resulting problems could impair the region's highly prized quality of life and hamper its economic growth.

The greatest demands on the highway system are made by people traveling to and from work. As a result, the system's capacity for moving people from one place to another is largely defined by its ability to handle work trips during peak traffic periods in the morning and afternoon. And because the work trip is essential to the operation of the region's economy, it is an important factor in defining the region's current and future travel needs. Figure 5 illustrates where congestion exists today.

It is already clear that the highway system's current congestion problems will worsen unless steps are taken to deal with them. For example between 1970 and 1992 the number of miles of freeway and severe traffic congestion quadrupled--from 24 miles to 106 miles. If nothing is done to increase the people-moving capacity of the system, the number of miles experiencing severe congestion will nearly double again between now and the year 2015, to 200 miles. At the same time, many regional highways are reaching the end of their design life, so that by the year 2015 most of the metropolitan highway system will require major rebuilding.

## THE CHALLENGE FOR TRANSIT

While the highway system struggles with too many vehicles, the transit system faces the problem of too few passengers and people who are willing to share rides. The region's bus system has experienced a steadily declining ridership between 1980 and 1991, only beginning to increase in 1992 and 1993, and fewer commuters are sharing rides in cars or vans. The key challenge facing transit--all forms of sharing rides--is to offer an attractive alternative to driving alone. That means it must better serve suburban areas, where most new jobs are being created. It must be able to expand its capacity to serve commuters, which it does well now, to downtown Minneapolis and St. Paul so these metropolitan centers will continue to be economically viable. It must accommodate the growing

demand for transit services by elderly and disabled people, and the needs of people who don't have a car. It must provide high-quality, convenient service with attractive facilities and equipment, and offer travel times that compare favorably with driving alone. And it must do all this at reasonable cost.

## GOALS AND STRATEGIES

In its TPP, the Council approaches these challenges with several important considerations in mind.
First, the guide seeks to maintain the good access to regional opportunities that the transportation system affords now, even with a large growth in demand expected in the future. That means congestion would not be permitted to worsen to levels that other metropolitan areas are now experiencing. The key is to increase the number of people the system can carry without greatly increasing the number of vehicles to move them.

The guide recognizes that the region cannot meet growing travel demands by simply building new roadways or adding lanes to existing ones. In short, the region cannot build its way out of congestion. Demand is growing much faster than the amount of available funds. The 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory found that vehicle trips increased from 5 million in 1970 to 8.86 million in 1990, an increase of 74 percent. During the same time, the population of the region increased only 19 percent. Even if the money were available to build all the highway facilities needed to meet future demand, such projects would severely disrupt established residential neighborhoods and deprive cities of much-needed property tax base.

The metropolitan highway system represents a huge dollar investment that is costly to rebuild and expand. Consequently, the region needs to manage the highway system to make it last as long as possible, and to get the most out of its people-carrying capacity.

The region's transit system (including ridesharing) must be strengthened. The TPP seeks to make sharing rides, including transit modes like buses, circulators, and light rail transit, more competitive with the single-occupant auto. The TPP emphasizes the use of ridesharing, conventional transit and other travel demand management approaches to reduce the need for building additional freeway lanes and to reduce traffic congestion during rush hour.

Increasing the number of people who use all transit services will require the involvement of local governments and the private sector to create incentives for sharing rides. Examples of such incentives include preferential parking for car poolers and taxing employers for each parking space reserved for a single-occupant auto.

Future development projects will need to be managed so they do not overload the metropolitan highway system. Coordination of land use with available transportation capacity is also needed along parts of the system that experience congestion now. This effort will require close cooperation among local governments, developers, major employers, and regional and state agencies responsible for transportation planning.

## Role of Transit

The Council's transit system plan based upon the 1992 Regional Transit Facilities Plan reaffirms the importance of transit in satisfying the overall transportation needs of the region. This commitment includes both service improvements and reorganization of the bus system, and capital investments to enhance transit's attractiveness and maximize the people-carrying capacity of the transportation system. The system will be strengthened by adding four additional high occupant vehicle lanes by the year 2015.

Transit is important because it serves people who don't have other means of transportation. It also reduces dependence on the single-occupant automobile and helps protect the region from unforeseen contingencies, such as fuel shortages. It helps support higher-density land uses such as those found in downtown Minneapolis and St. Paul and in other major business concentrations. These areas can't be served only by single-occupant cars because of the capacity limitations of highways, streets and parking facilities, and because of environmental constraints, such as air quality limits. Transit reduces the need for additional highway capacity particularly in areas where expanding roadways or building new ones would be difficult and expensive. Transit supports the environment by helping reduce trips and resultant automobile emissions.

Different types of transit services are needed for different geographic areas and different groups of transit riders. Ridesharing should be used regionwide, with an emphasis on travel demand management incentives in congested corridors and areas where regular-route service is minimal. It will continue to be the most common form of multi-occupant travel as population and employment continue to disperse, and as congestion levels increase.

## Reorganized Transit System

The transit plan envisions an improved, reorganized system to meet the changing travel needs of the region. It calls for a hub-and-spoke system, where local transit routes would link with express buses, suburban circulators, carpools and services for elderly and disabled persons. All types of services would be expanded and enhanced. The transit plan provides for increased suburb-to-suburb, reverse-commute, paratransit, and frequently operating local and express service needs to and within the inner part of the region. (Figure 4)

## HOV Lanes

The plan recommends the building of four high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes in the the following corridors: I-35W south of Minneapolis, I-94 north of Minneapolis, I-94 east of St. Paul, and I-494 from the airport to I-394. Additional park/ride and HOV bypasses of meters and bottlenecks are recommended. (Figure 6)

## Mobility for Elderly and Disabled People

- A variety of service-delivery methods are necessary to meet the transportation needs of elderly and disabled people. They include lift-equipped buses and vans, taxis and volunteer drivers. Services are provided by Metro Mobility within the urban service area and by local programs and social services throughout the region. A combination of higher travel demand and increasing numbers of elderly people over the next 23 years will require increased commitment to transportation for elderly and disabled people.


## Circulator Transit

The transit system plan also supports maintenance of the existing transit services provided in freestanding growth centers, community based circulators, and rural (county) programs. The transit plan advocates increases in community-based services in small urban and suburban communities and envisions addition of circulator services within regional business concentrations, downtown Minneapolis, and downtown St. Paul.

## Pedestrian and Bicyclist Needs

The needs of pedestrians and bicyclists are emphasized as important to a multimodal regional transportation system. Development patterns, transportation infrastructure, and urban design

should respect the need for communities that emphasize people, and begin to de-emphasize automobile orientation.

## The Highway System

The region needs to address four major challenges in maintaining good regional transportation access through 2015 via the metropolitan highway system. They are 1) significant increases in travel demand; 2) increasing costs associated with maintenance of the aging highway system; 3) social, physical and political impacts of adding capacity; and 4) insufficient funding. The metropolitan highway system plan calls for a variety of actions to address these challenges.

The metropolitan highway system plan calls for managing the system and travel demand, and providing additional facilities that will provide enough additional capacity to optimize the people-carrying capacity of the system. (Figure 3)

To accomplish this, the following strategies need to be put in place:

1. The Minnesota Department of Transportation is encouraged to meter freeway entrance ramps on a system-wide basis. This can increase roadway capacity by about 11 percent and can regulate traffic flow at locations generating excessive traffic. Freeway entrance ramps for exclusive use by high-occupancy vehicles (buses, car pools, van pools) are recommended so they bypass the metering systems. Ramp meters and high-occupancy vehicle bypasses will increase capacity, improve safety, provide incentives for people to share rides and use buses, and should protect the metropolitan highway system from additional demand brought about by unforecasted development. As discussed in the policy section and the highway system plan, eventually all access-controlled highways in the urban service area should be fully metered. Mainline metering also needs to be considered.
2. High-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes should be provided where additional lane capacity is needed. Any additional lanes that are built on highways of four lanes or more should be HOV lanes, not lanes for mixed traffic. HOV lanes are especially critical in corridors where high travel demand exists and where significant development has occurred adjacent to the highway. Conversion of existing lanes to HOV lanes should also be considered. Four corridors have been recommended for HOV lanes.
3. Local governments should work with the Council to protect the metropolitan highway system. Communities should evaluate the impact of land use decisions on the transportation system and on adjacent communities. The metropolitan highway system should be protected from traffic generated by unplanned development that exceed the system's capacity. In comprehensive plans, local governments should address the need to create an environment favorable to pooling and bus use and to encourage travel during off peak, instead of peak, hours. Comprehensive plans should conform to the Council's development forecasts and highway design requirements. The Council will issue systems statements to local units of government indicating what local governments need to address in comprehensive plans.

## ADEQUACY OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR MAINTAINING AND OPERATING THE EXISTING AND PLANNED HIGHWAYAND TRANSIT SYSTEM

Federal regulations require that the TIP demonstrate the consistency of proposed transportation investments with already available and projected sources of revenue. The estimated revenue from
existing and proposed funding sources that can reasonably be expected to be available for transportation uses must be adequate to meet the estimated costs of constructing, maintaining and operating the total (existing plus planned) transportation system. The highway system is discussed first.

This section focuses on the adequacy of financial resources for maintaining the existing and planned highway system and to maintain and operate the transit component.

The approach taken for this section was to 1) define the highway system included in the transportation plan, 2) determine the current costs of maintaining that system and 3) comparing those costs with currently available financial resources. The highway system in the TPP is the existing metropolitan highway system comprised of principal arterials, and "A" minor arterials designated by the TAB. These two systems are eligible for federal transportation funding.

Estimates of the 1995 cost for routine maintenance and lifecycle treatments included here were obtained by updating cost estimates developed in the Phase II Final Report of the Highway Jurisdiction Task Force adopted by the TAB in September, 1984. That report developed costs per mile for routine maintenance and lifecycle treatments by functional class (principal arterial, minor arterial, collector, local) and by location inside or outside the 1976 Federal Aid Urban boundary. Routine maintenance includes patching, joint and crack filling, slope repair, drainage structure clearing, cutting and clearing vegetation, sweeping and clearing debris, striping, snow and ice control and pavement repairs of less than 500 continuous feet. Lifecycle treatments include periodic application of bituminous overlays, seal treatments, milling, crack routing and filling and base repair of 500 or more continuous feet. The frequency of these treatments is related to the volume and type of vehicles using a roadway (wear) and the impact of the elements (time).

Lifecycle treatment costs were updated to 1995 using the Minnesota construction cost index for surfacing tabulated by MnDOT. Based on this index, the costs per mile in the 1984 report were inflated by 9.5 percent ( 0.76 percent per year). Routine maintenance costs were updated to 1995 using the Consumer Price Index, since labor is such a large component of these costs. Routine maintenance costs per mile in the 1984 report were inflated by 44.8 percent ( 3.14 percent per year).

Estimates of available financial resources focus on state highway user tax distribution fund revenues available to the metro district of MnDOT from the trunk highway fund for maintenance of state highways in the seven-county metropolitan area and available to the seven counties through county state aid apportionments for county state aid highways. County State Aid Highway funding provides base funding to maintain county highways, but these allocations are not the only financial resources available to counties. Counties spend significant amounts of their own source of funds on county highways. In addition, revenues are available to the twelve municipalities with "A" minor arterial segments through municipal state aid apportionments, but because the portion of the "A" minor arterial system under the jurisdiction of these municipalities is minor, these financial resources are not considered in the comparison.

Recorded in Table 1 are the mileage cost and resource information. From this data it is evident that MnDOT and the counties financial resources are adequate to maintain the highway system.

Table 1

| Comparison of 1995 Routine Maintenance and Lifecycle Treatment Costs for Principal Arterials and "A" Minor Arterials with Financial Resources Available to MnDOT and Counties in the Seven-County Metropolitan Area |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mileage | Routine <br> Maintenance | Lifecycle <br> Treatment | Combined |
| Estimated 1995 Cost per Mile: |  |  |  |  |
| Urban Principal Arterial |  | \$28,100 | \$20,000 | \$48,000 |
| Urban Minor Arterial |  | 10,300 | 10,000 | 20,300 |
| State Highways (MnDOT) |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Need: |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Arterials | 568 | \$15,961,000 | \$11,360,000 | \$27,321,000 |
| "A" Minor Arterials | 476 | 4,903,000 | 4,760,000 | 9,963,000 |
| Total | 1,044 | 20,864,000 | 16,120,000 | 36,984,000 |
| Estimated Resources |  | 29,159,000 ${ }^{2}$ | 17,450,000 ${ }^{3}$ | 46,609,000 |
| Resources/Need |  | 140\% | 108\% | 126\% |
| County Highways |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Need: |  |  |  |  |
| Principal Arterials | 45 | \$1,265,000 | \$900,000 | \$2,165,000 |
| "A" Minor Arterials | 1,136 | 11,701,000 | 11,360,000 | 23,061,000 |
| Total | 1,181 | 12,966,000 | 12,260,000 | 25,226,000 |
| Estimated Resources - CSAH |  | 10,591,485 ${ }^{4}$ | 3,000,000 | 13,591,485 ${ }^{5}$ |
| Estimated Resource - Property Tax |  | 2,374,515 | 9,260,000 | 11,634,515 |
| Resources/Need |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Total County State Aid allocations to the seven metro area counties in 1995 are listed below.
Table 1 assumes that a portion of the total allocations is available for routine maintenance and lifecycle treatments on principal and "A" minor arterials, based on the proportion of the mileage for those highways to total CSAH mileage. This is a conservative assumption, since counties are likely to spend more per mile on the principal and "A" minor arterials than on other minor arterials and collectors on their CSAH system.

[^0]Table 2
1995 CSAH ALLOCATIONS

| County | 1995 CSAH Allocation |
| :--- | ---: |
| Anoka | $\$ 4,228,364$ |
| Carver | $2,319,404$ |
| Dakota | $5,101,976$ |
| Hennepin | $16,984,685$ |
| Ramsey | $8,057,535$ |
| Scott | $2,677,111$ |
| Washington | $3,338,526$ |
| Total CSAH Allocation | $\$ 42,707,601$ |
| Assumed Percent Available <br> for Principal/"A" Minor <br> Arterials | $62 \%$ |
| Amount Available for <br> Principal/"A" Minor Arterials | $\$ 26,478,714^{6}$ |

## Transit System Operating Costs and Funding

This section presents the cost of operating current levels of transit service and the resources available to fund these costs. General service categories for the regional transit system include:

- Regular Route Services. Included in this category are routes provided by MCTO, replacement service (opt-out) programs, and private operators under contract to the Council.
- Metro Mobility Service. The regional paratransit service for persons with disabilities.
- Community Based Programs. These are paratransit services provided by counties and cities which receive funding assistance from the Council.

Travel Demand Management Services (TDM). Included in this category are rideshare and other programs aimed at reducing single occupant vehicle trips.

| ${ }^{6}$ Distribution: | Routine Maintenance 40\% | $=$ | $10,591,485$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Life Cycle Cost (Estimate) | $=$ | $3,000,000$ |
|  | Expansion, Reconstruction, Local Match | $=$ | $12,887,229$ |

The costs to operate these services for 1995 are recorded below.

Table 3
1995 Transit System Operating Costs
(\$ millions)

Regular Route
Metro Mobility
Community Based Programs
TDM Programs
Total
\$ 145.7
18.1*
3.2*
1.1
\$ 168.1

* Only the subsidy level is shown here.

Funding for transit system operating costs is received from regional, state, and federal sources (Table 4). The following describes assumptions concern level of funding from these sources.

Fare Revenue. Nearly all system-wide fare revenue is collected on regular routes. Significant increases in regular route fares occurred in 1991 and again in 1993. Together, these increases resulted in a doubling of the base fare from $\$ .50$ to $\$ 1.00$. No additional regular route fare increases are planned in the short term.

Property Tax. The Metropolitan Council levies a transit property tax for transit operations. The amount of this levy is set by statute. In the past two years, the total levy has grown by less than two percent annually. Future annual increases are projected in the range of two to three percent.

State Funding. Projections of future levels of state assistance are based on funding proposed in the Governor's budget for the 1996-1997 biennium.

Federal Funding. Federal operating assistance is obtained from formula funding programs and ISTEA grants. Although uncertainties exist about future levels of federal transit assistance, it is assumed that funding will continue at current levels.

Table 4
1995 Transit System Funding (\$ millions)

| Fare Revenue | $\$ 42.3$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Tax | 66.3 |
| State | 40.2 |
| Federal | 7.6 |
| Interest/Misc. | 8.5 |
| Fund Balance | $\underline{3.2}$ |
| Total | $\$ 168.1$ |

As in the case with all large public transit systems, operation must be subsidized and therefore there is a constant pressure to find additional revenues. The Council is strongly committed to providing a viable transit service and has begun a transit redesign study to improve the efficiency of operations.

## FINANCIAL PLAN

ISTEA requires the regional plan includes a financial plan that illustrates the recommended improvements and services are in line with the resonably expected financial resources. In turn, the TIP needs to reflect and be consistent with the financial plan. The region, working closely with $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$, prepared an estimate of the financial resources available to preserve, manage, and enhance the region's transit and highway system over the next 20 years. The 20 year average is made up of two separate estimates. One for the years 1996 to 1998 and the other 1999 to 2015. The 1996 to 1998 figures are those used to develop this TIP for this period and closely reflects the present level of funds available to the region from a variety of sources. The 1999 to 2015 figures parallel this estimate of funding levels, but reflects some what different assumptions which are described below and recorded in Table 5.

The Title I ISTEA funds and state trunk highway funds have been established by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ as the target levels the region should use as it prepares the 1996-1998 TIP. In addition, the federal funds will generate a local match of over $\$ 7$ million annually. Due to the definition of region, Mn/DOT uses to establish targets, a deduction is required to reflect the funds available to only the seven metropolitan area counties. The resulting annual total is $\$ 169$ million.

The same sources of revenue for 1999 to 2015 will generate approximately $\$ 195$ million annually. These estimates are based on $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ analysis. A key assumption is that ISTEA will be funded at $100 \%$ of the authorization level and that certain "hold backs" Mn/DOT has imposed in the past, would be removed. These include, for example, hold backs for the cost of engineering and project contingencies.

The transit funds from ISTEA Title III are also recorded next in Table 5. The three year estimates are held constant for the 1999 to 2015 period in all cases except for Section 9. The 1996 figure used as the basis for the 1996-1998 period has been established by federal authorization at 9.6 million. This level was reduced for the 1995-2015 period in light of the congressional debate presently taking place.

The last category of funds reflect the region's funding for transit capital available from bonding paid back from a regional property tax levy. Both historical and future budgets were analyzed to estimate an appropriate level. The five year historical average for the bond principle was approximately $\$ 13,340,000$. The five year annual projection now being used in developing the budget is $\$ 25,000,000$. The ten year average that results using these two figures is $\$ 19$ million. Because the budget now being prepared for presentation to the Legislature will extend to 1998, the higher figure was used, but only for the next three years. The ten year average was used for the period 1999 to 2015.

In accordance with ISTEA direction $\mathrm{Mn} /$ DOT has closely analyzed the future potential of federal and state funds that will be available to the state and in turn the region. The conclusion of that analysis is that the historic levels of increases projected into the future will only keep pace with inflation and compensate for improved fuel efficiency of new cars and trucks. Therefore, the funding levels shown for the 20 year period indicate no gain in real purchasing power.

## COMPARISON OF CAPITAL RESOURCES WITH REGIONAL CAPITAL PRIORITIES

The main focus of the 20 -year amended regional plan is to match the available resources with an appropriate set of priority projects. In turn, these projects have been used over the next 20 years to evaluate air quality conformity for the region.

The major projects and project categories to be funded have been described in the plan. These are summarized in Table 6. Specific short term projects are identified in Appendix O of the TPP which was taken from the 1995-1997 TIP. Projects to be funded for 1998-2000 are found in Appendix P of the TPP. The projects included in this TIP are consistent with the projects specifically identified in the plan. The comparison of the annual revenues available for 1996 to 2015 period (as recorded in Table 6 to the average capital requirements from Table 5) illustrates that capital resources are under spent by approximately $\$ 20$ million per year or approximately $\$ 400$ million for the 20 year planning horizon. Clearly the Plan is in fiscal balance with reasonable expected resources.

The Council has deliberately restricted major capacity expansions of both the transit and highway system to achieve this balance. This does not mean some or all of these capacity increases are not needed at some time in the future. The Council will under take a complete revision to the transportation plan to be completed by the end of 1996 to respond to the new Regional Blueprint. That effort will once again visit the capacity the region needs for both transit and highways. The non-allocated $\$ 400$ million capital funds are available to implement additional priorities that may be defined during that exercise. Should these funds not be adequate then the Council will have to once again examine the categories and project priorities reflected in this amendment and summarized in Table 6.

In conclusion, the region has provided itself with some flexibility to provide for additional capital expenditures, but there are a number of reasons to believe these excess funds will not be adequate to address all the additional needs. If this is true, then the region will reexamine the commitments it has made in the TPP and it will continue to seek added funds.

Table 5
ESTIMATE OF REVENUES AVAILABLE FOR CAPITAL INVESTMENTS 1996-2015

|  | 1996-1998 Funding | Estimate | 1999-2015 Fundin (Revision Base Mn/DOT Analysis | Level on $\left./ 16 / 95^{*}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historic Capital Funds for Highways |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds available to 8-county region according to $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ STIP Guidance (Title I) |  | \$ 99m |  | \$ 116.1m |
| State trunk highway funds available to 8 -county region according to Mn/DOT STIP Guidance |  | 65m |  | 73.1 m |
| Local funds to match federal funds. |  | \$ 7.45** |  | \$8.6m** |
|  |  | \$ 171.45 |  | \$ 197.8m |
| Reduction of funds to reflect 7 county region. <br> - Chisago Co. represents $1.4 \%$ of 8 -county population in 1994 | SUBTOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} -2.4 \mathrm{~m} \\ \$ 169.05 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | SUBTOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} -2.77 \mathrm{~m} \\ \$ 195.03 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ |
| Historic Transit Capital Funds <br> Federal Transit Funds (Title III) <br> Section 3 (10-year average) <br> Section 9 (1996 funds) <br> Section 16 (same level as $1996,1997)$ <br> - Section 26 (same as 1995 level) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ 2.5 m |  | \$ 2.5 m |
|  |  | 9.6 m |  | 5.0 m |
|  |  | 0.135 |  | 0.135 |
|  | SUBTOTAL | $\frac{0.5 \mathrm{~m}}{\$ 12.735 \mathrm{~m}}$ | SUBTOTAL | $\frac{0.5 \mathrm{~m}}{8.135 \mathrm{~m}}$ |
| State Funds <br> None, Title III Section 16 funds are administered by State |  | -- |  | -- |
| Local/Regional Transit Capital Funds <br> - Regional Bonding (5-year historic average of Principal excluding interest and 5 year projection of principal) | TOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 25.0 \mathrm{~m} \\ \$ 206.785 \mathrm{~m} \\ \frac{\mathrm{x} 3}{620.355} \end{array}$ | TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} \$ 19.170 \mathrm{~m} \\ \$ 222.335 \mathrm{~m} \\ \frac{\mathrm{x} \mathrm{17}}{3779.695} \end{gathered}$ |
| 20-YEAR TOTAL |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} +620.355 \\ \hline 4400.05 \end{array}$ |
| AVERAGE ANNUAL LEVEL |  |  |  | \$ 220.0 m |

* The basis of these estimates are explained in memorandum from Jon Bloom, Mn/DOT. 2/16/95
** The local share would be contributed by cities, counties and other sponsors of projects that receive federal funds.

TABLE 6
TPP FINANCIAL COMMITMENTS 1996 to 2015

| Trunk Highway (TH) System-wide Life Cycle <br> Preservation | $\$ 1,038,000,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Special Preservation Needs Required due to Major <br> Project Delays, will Meet Existing Design Standards | $\$ 1,081,000,000$ |
| Special Management Needs Required input due to <br> Major Project Delays | $\$ 138,000,000$ |
| TH System-wide Management | $\$ 200,000,000$ |
| Major Capacity Projects | $\$ 461,000,000$ |
| "A" Minor Arterial Improvements | $\$ 324,000,000$ |
| Transit Improvements | $\$ 522,000,000$ |
| Enhancements and Alternative Modes | $\$ 200,000,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 3,964,000,000$ |
| 20-Year Average | $\$ 198,200,000$ |

## TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

The Metropolitan Council's Transportation Air Quality Control Plan (TAQCP) sets forth three principal objectives: to attain National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone; to implement transportation systems management (TSM) strategies that effectively contribute to air quality attainment and maintenance; and to meet federal and state air quality standards in the most economical and equitable manner. Planning for control of carbon monoxide pollution caused by transportation sources in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area is the responsibility of the Metropolitan Council as the Metropolitan Planning Organiztion (MPO). The TAQCP specifies strategies to improve the management of the region's transportation system, based on an analysis of the air quality problems in the seven-county Twin Cities area.

The 1977 Clean Air Act Amendment (CAAA) requires a State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality for all areas that have not attained the NAAQS. The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment (CAAA) retained this requirement. The SIP is a planning document prepared by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), and submitted by the Commissioner as the Governor's representative. The SIP contains the programs and plans that will result in achievement of the NAAQS in areas currently not meeting standards ("nonattainment") for any pollutant covered by the NAAQS. The SIP serves as the state's legally binding commitment to actions that will reduce or eliminate air quality problems.

The TAQCP and the SIP contain the same measures to control CO. All federally approved or financially funded functions must "conform" to the SIP, and be consistent with the TPP and other officially adopted transportation plans of the MPOs under the 1977 and 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. MPOs can only legally approve projects, plans, or programs that conform to the SIP.

## CONFORMITY TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMIENDMENTS

## Conformity Determination Based on November 1993 Final Rule

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in accordance with requirements of the CAAA, issued a final transportation conformity rule in November, 1993. As described in the rule, the MPO must make a conformity determination on transportation plans and programs for nonattainment areas, including federally funded or approved projects, as well as non-federal projects which are regionally significant. The MPO prepared the 1996-98 TIP following the requirements of the final conformity rule. A consultation process was followed, involving the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), Mn/DOT, U.S.DOT and the Council, as described in the provision of the interagency consultation process.

## Projects Included in TIP Conformity Analysis

The TIP conformity analysis involves review of all federally funded or approved highway and transit projects, all state trunk highway projects, and all projects which meet the federal definition of regionally significant (see Appendix B) in the Twin Cities nonattainment area. Certain project types will not have regional or local emissions impact. The TIP project tables annotate the projects "exempt" from regional emission analysis with a code under the column "AQ," corresponding to the appropriate category listed in Appendix C. Certain types of exempt projects may require a hotspot analysis. Those projects which are not exempt and can be included in the regional network used for computer modeling, are included in the regional emissions analysis for the TIP. In addition, those projects in the portion of Wright County and New Prague within the nonattainment area are also included as appropriate in the analysis as documented in Appendix B.

## Conformity of the TIP

The TIP has been found to conform to the broad intentions of the CAAA and to the specific requirements of the final transportation conformity rules. The TIP emissions analysis, using the latest available planning assumptions, traffic forecast models and EPA emission analysis software, shows that the TIP continues to meet the carbon monoxide emissions reduction test of comparing the emissions between the baseline and action scenario. The TIP is fiscally constrained, and comes from the conforming metropolitan long range transportation plan. Interagency consultation and public participation processes were followed in the development of the TIP and the conformity analysis. A detailed description of the conformity analysis is found in Appendix B.

## Original and New SIP Measures

The region has implemented all of the adopted transportation control measures in the SIP strategies contained in the original Air Quality Control Plan. A list of the plan amendments, strategies, their status, and how they have changed with new improvements, is in Appendix B.

## 3. PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS WITH THE REGIONAL PLAN AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES

ISTEA requirements have changed the project selection process and the content of the TIP. This chapter describes how projects were selected for inclusion in the TIP. In addition, the progress made on major projects will be discussed. Consistency with the regional plan and with financial resources is be described.

The detailed description of projects approved for Title I and III funds are recorded in Appendix A. Also included are descriptions of projects being requested for additional Title III funds. The Title I funded projects are recorded in tables A-1 through A-11 identified by funding sources. Also included are state funded projects. A table of projects with letting dates in 1994 are also included. Technically, these need not be in the TIP since they will have funds obligated but they are included if for some reason a delay occurs. All Title I projects are also recorded in Table A-20 but identified by route number. When a project cannot be identified by route number, a project code has been provided instead.

## PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS AND CRITERIA

The region is moving toward a process by which most federal Title I and III funds will be selected in a comprehensive and consistent manner. Mn/DOT is committed to a statewide regional project selection process for all Federal Title I funds. A competitive regional process was used to select projects funded with STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ, Enhancement, bridge improvement/replacement railraod surface and signals, and hazard elimination/safety funds. Projects funded through other Title I categories such as NHS and Interstate Maintenance, were selected through a cooperative process having representatives of the MPO, Mn/DOT and the TAC involved, including local, county and regional government staff. The decisions on investments were made based on jointly agreed upon regional and $\mathrm{Mn} /$ DOT priorities. The specifics of the two processes are discussed below.

## Competitive project selection process for STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ AND Enhancements

A competitive process was developed by the region to select projects to be funded with STP Urban Guarantee, CMAQ and TEP. This process has been used by the region to prioritize projects for use of these funds.

The regional partners designed the process to insure federal Title I funds would help the region implement its plan and high priority projects and programs. Those priorities focus first on safety and preservation of the transportation system, second on management, and third on expansion.

## Projects have been solicited in the following categories:

- Principal Arterials
- "A" Minor Arterials (A category of minor arterials with regional importance, see

Figure 7.)

- Relievers
- Augmenters
- Expanders
- Connectors
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- Transit
- Bikeway
- Walkway
- Non-Standard Bikeway and Walkway Projects
- CMAQ
- Enhancements
- Bridge Improvement/Replacement
- Hazrd Elimination/Safety
- Railroad Surface and Signals

Separate qualifying and prioritizing criteria were used for each category. A final numerical rating of each project was completed for each of the categories. Only the non-standard bikeway and walkway projects were not given a numerical score. The evaluation process for these projects is less formal to encourage new and untested ideas.

The ranking of all categories of projects was done by subcommittees of the TAC's Funding and Programming Committee. Using these rankings, the Funding and Programming Committee recommended the projects to be funded to the TAC. There was no predetermined distributing of funds by category or geographic subarea.

The qualifying and prioritizing criteria used were selected to be consistent with and implement regional priorities and plans. Recorded below are the most commonly used qualifying criteria. These are followed by the subject matter of the prioritizing criteria used. The complete solicitation package is available.

## Examples of Qualifying Criteria

- The project must be consistent with the policies of the Metropolitan Council's officially adopted Metropolitan Development Guide, which includes the TPP.
- The project must implement a solution to a transportation problem discussed within the local or county comprehensive plan and/or in a locally approved Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
- The proposer must include with the submittal a letter from the agency with jurisdiction over the road indicating it is aware of and understands the project being submitted and that it commits to operate and maintain the facility for its design life.
- The proposer must show that the project has been coordinated with all affected communities, the Regional Transit Board and other levels of government.
- The proposer must demonstrate that the proposed bikeway project implements a portion of a locally adopted comprehensive or bikeway plan.


## Categories of Prioritizing Criteria

- Demonstrated Need for Facility - Present and Future.
- Service Provided.
- Characteristics of Area or Population Served.
- Consistency with Regional Plans.

Access to Regional Activity Centers

- Accident Prevention and Control.
- Personal Safety
- Cost Effectiveness
- Air Quality
- Integration of Modes
- Innovation

Recorded in Table 7 is a summary of the project types selected through the competitive process in the region in 1995. The selection process covered the letting years 1998 and 1999. Only the 1998 projects appear in this TIP. The projects had to be placed in either of the two years; first priority was given to the project sponsor wishes and second, by the need to financially balance the TIP.

## PROJECT SELECTION FOR ADDITIONAL TITLE I FUNDS

The Council and Mn/DOT have cooperatively identified priorities to be used to direct the inclusion of projects into the TIP. In large part, the projects in the 1996-1998 TIP are the same projects (less those implemented) that were found in the 1995-97 TIP.

The partners have agreed to follow the priorities below, many of which have been taken directly from the TPP.

- The first step in the process was to determine if the project in the TIP could be implemented in accordance with the scheduled letting date. If not, the project was either moved to a later year or moved out of the TIP.
- The second strategy was to follow the three broad regional priorities recorded in the order of importance:
- Preserve
- Manage
- Expand
- The "preserve" and "manage" letting dates of projects were considered highest priority and those "needs" were attempted to be met within the available funds. With the remaining funds, "expansion" projects were selected. The following criteria were used to establish priorities:


## Table 7

SUMMARY OF PROJECTS SELECTED COMPETITIVELY IN SPRING 1995

| Program Category | Program Year <br> Fiscal 1998 | Program Year <br> Fiscal 199** | FY '98 \& '99 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hazard <br> Elimination/Safety <br> (HES) | $\$ 1,461,600$ | $\$ 1,388,000$ | $\$ 2,849,600$ |
|  <br> Signals <br> (RRSS) | $\$ 1,107,000$ | $\$ 918,000$ | $\$ 2,025,000$ |
| BridgeImprovement <br> /Replacement (BIR) | $\$ 10,564,000$ | $\$ 2,660,000$ | $\$ 13,224,000$ |
| Enhancements (EN) | $\$ 3,198,000$ | $\$ 3,111,630$ | $\$ 6,309,630$ |
| TOTALS | $\$ 16,330,600$ | $\$ 8,077,630$ | $\$ 24,408,230$ |

${ }^{k}$ Not included for funding in the 1996-1998 period.

1. Complete projects which are currently under construction. This included projects such as:

- TH 101 - Shakopee Bypass
- TH 10 - North Suburbs

2. Implement Demonstration projects. The region assumed that Demonstration funds were available until year 2000. This included projects such as:
```
- TH 55
- TH }21
- TH }61
```

3. Fund other expansion projects as money permitted.

The results of this process are reflected in the projects selected and in the major projects which are discussed below.

## Status of Major Projects

Federal TIP guidance requires the progress made on major projects, or lack there of, to be recorded in the TIP. Over the past seven years the region has included a list of major projects in the TIP. Separate tables have been prepared on major highway and transit projects. The highway projects are found in Table 8. For each project a summary has been provided. The letting year in the previous TIP, the current letting year, and comments on the status of the project are included.

Most of the projects continue to move toward completion. TH 3 has been completed. The CR 18 Bridge, approaches and expressway to I-494 are under construction. TH 101 from Elk River to I-94, TH 101 the Shakopee Bypass and TH 55 Hiawatha are under construction. Changes to letting years are indicated in Table 2. The I-35W HOV lane north of I-494 stays in the TIP, but letting has been moved back to 1998 for the first $\$ 10$ million. TH 10 has been advanced. Stages $2 / 3 / 4$ will all be started within the 1996 to 1998 period. TH 55 has been extended one year to 1998.

The status of major transit projects appears in Table 9. Bus replacement contracts have been regularly let. For the most part, transit projects have been moving toward completion on schedule. There are three major projects that are on hold pending funding; the purchase of 60 articulated buses, relocation of the Snelling Garage, and the Nicollet Mall Shuttle.

Table 8
STATUS OF MAJOR HIGHWAY PROJECTS

| Project | Total Funds | Federal <br> Participation | Program Year 1995-1997 TIP | Program Year 1996-1998 TIP | Status/Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway and Bridge <br> 1. TH 3, Lafayette | \$ 8,200 | \$ 6,600 | -- | -- | Complete |
| 2. TH 10, Anoka County (Stage 2/3) | 48,000 | 38,750 | 1997 | 96,97,98 | All stages will be let by 1998 |
| 3. I-35W, Temporary (HOV) Lane and Preservation work from I35E to Minneapolis | 26,000 | 21,000 | 1996 \& 1997 | 96,97,98 | HOV south of I-494 complete - HOV north of I494 \$10M in 1998. |
| 4. TH 36, Stillwater Bridge | 78,000 | 39,000 | 1997 | 1996,1997 | ROW purchase \$6 million in 1996, \$4M in 1997. Const.in 1996 \& 1997. $\$ 25.5 \mathrm{M}$ will be paid by Wisconsin. |
| 5. TH 55, Mendota Interchange \& Bridge | 16,400 | 13,100 | -- | -- | Construction Complete. |
| 6. TH 55, Hiawatha Avenue | 12,000 | 9,600 | 1995,96,97 | 1996-98 | Total project costs have increased to $\$ 57.3 \mathrm{M}$, extended to 1998. |
| 7. I-94 Dartmouth Bridge/U of M Interchange | 23,500 | 18,800 | 1995 | -- | Under construction. |
| 8. I-94, CSAH 152 to I-494 in Brooklyn Center HOV lane | 10,000 | 8,000 | -- | -- | Moved out of TIP in 1994. Not now programmed. |
| 9. TH 101, Rogers to Elk River | 17,000 | 13,600 | 1997 | 1996 | 1st stage of project under const. - 2nd stage moved into 1996. |
| 10. TH 101, Shakopee Bypass | 20,200 | 16,100 | 1995, 96, 97 | 1996,1997 | Under construction. |
| 11. TH 169, Osseo Bypass | 6,000 | 4,800 | 1995 | -- | Under construction. |
| 12. TH 212, Eden Prairie to Cologne - Prelim. Eng. \& R/W Aquisition | 18,000 | 14,400 | 1995 | 1996-98 | Construction to Mitchell Rd., contracts let by 1998. |
| 13. TH 610 , TH 10 to I-94 - first phase | 40,000 | 38,400 | $\begin{gathered} 1995,1996, \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1996-98 | All contracts let by 1998. |
| 14. CR 18, Bridge \& Approaches, Reconstruct S. of I-494 | 31,500 | 18,000 | 1995 | -- | Bridge, approaches, expressway, under construction. |

Table 9
STATUS OF MAJOR TRANSIT PROJECTS

| Project | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total \$ } \\ (\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | Federal Participation (\$1,000s) | Grant <br> Application | Type | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Bus replacement <br> - Purchase 60 artic. buses <br> - Purchase 96 ( 40 ft .) buses | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000 \\ & \$ 21,013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12,000 \\ & \$ 16,403 \end{aligned}$ | -- | Sec. 9 \& 3 | On hold, pending funding Begin delivery fall 1995 |
| 2. Bus stop lighting | \$249 | \$199 | 1994/95 | CMAQ | Being implemented |
| 3. Bus stop signs | \$1,529 | \$1,223 | 1994/95 | CMAQ | Being implemented |
| 4. Transit hubs <br> - Burnsville <br> - Northtown <br> - Eden Prairie <br> - Hennepin/Lagoon <br> - Hillcrest <br> - Robbinsdale <br> - Highland | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,265 \\ \$ 2,500 \\ \$ 5,040 \\ \$ 4,000 \\ \$ 200 \\ \$ 300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,950 \\ \$ 2,000 \\ \$ 3,528 \\ \$ 3,200 \\ \$ 200 \\ \$ 160 \\ \$ 240 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1996 \\ & 1994 \\ & 1995 \\ & 1997 \\ & 1996 \\ & 1996 \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | STP STP STP/CMAQ STP STP STP STP | Under construction <br> To be constructed in 1995 <br> Construction 1995 <br> In planning stage <br> Construction 1995 <br> In planning stage <br> In planning stage |
| 5. Speedlites | \$160 | \$128 | 1995/96 | STP | Operational test underway |
| 6. Snelling Garage Relocation | \$60,000 | \$45,000 | 1995/96/97 | -- | On hold, pending funding |
| 7. Team transit (Phase 3) | \$500 | \$500 | 1995/96 | Sec. 26 | Scheduled for completion 3/96. |
| 8. I-35W transit service | \$8,227 | \$6,582 | 1997/98 | Sec.9/CMAQ | Implementation scheduled 12/96 |
| 9. Bus purchase and construction of N. terminal/Nicollet Mall Shuttle | \$10,000 | \$8,000 | -- | -- | On hold, pending funding |
| 10.Priority vehicle control system for buses | \$691 | \$400 | 1996 | CMAQ | Under design |
| 11.Travel Demand Management | \$1,420 | \$1,136 | 1996 | CMAQ | -- |
| 12.HOV Ramp Meter Bypasses, TH55/I-494 | \$1,000 | \$800 | 1996 | CMAQ | In planning stage |
| 13.Travel Demand Management | \$1,375 | \$1,100 | 1997 | CMAQ | -- |
| 14.HOV Ramp Meter Bypasses | \$1,000 | \$800 | 1997 | CMAQ | In planning stage |

## CONSISTENCY WITH THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN (TPP)

All projects contained in this TIP are consistent with the TPP. It is worth noting a number of the projects and types of projects are specifically prioritized in the TPP. The top priority identified in the TPP was to maintain all 1,200 miles of trunk highways in the region. There is no need to attempt to point out the projects that are consistent with this priority. The majority of projects focus either wholly or in part on the rehabilitation and preservation of trunk highways. (See Table 10) Approximately $\$ 200$ million of the funds are assigned to preservation projects. There are two categories of preservation distinguishing the more routine activities such as road repair and bridge improvement from the periodic major investment needed such as reconstruction and bridge replacement. This represents 44 percent of total federal and state funds available to the region.

The region's second highest priority for the highway system is to manage the transportation system. Management projects are advanced by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ and other agencies. Approximately $\$ 75$ million or $17 \%$ are traffic management. The detailed project descriptions are found in Appendix A. A number of these projects put in place the facilities and equipment needed by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ to manage all freeways in the urban area to ensure they are used effectively. In large part, these projects also address management objectives. These projects include ramp meters and HOV bypasses of meters.

Many of the projects selected for STP and CMAQ are in part management projects. This is due to the criteria used to select the projects (see discussion above). This is especially true of the principal arterial and "A" minor arterial projects. In large part, the content of these categories were to promote traffic management activities.

The third priority for funding is the expansion category. All of the major projects identified on Table 8 are consistent with and in many cases, specifically identified in the TPP. The combined federal and state funds allocated to expansion projects represent approximately $31 \%$ or $\$ 145$ million. The region also has access to $\$ 86$ million for federal demonstration projects for 1996 to 1998. These expansion projects are included in the TPP priority list, even though the allocation of funds to these projects was made outside the regional process described here. Therefore, they have not been included in Table 10.

The "A" minor arterial system is intended to provide for a more than local need. The "A" minor arterial system was adopted and is included in the regional transportation plan. The funding of the "A" minor arterial system addresses this need.

The TPP also includes a transit plan. Many projects selected for funding can be found in the transit plan. For example, all transit hubs are included in the region's transit implementation plan (Figure 8). The other projects, while not necessarily found specifically in the TPP, are consistent with adopted policies. This has come about in part due to the criteria used to select the projects.

The TPP emphasizes the need for bike and walk projects. Specific facilities are not identified relative to bike, walk or enhancement projects. There are policies that address needs in these areas. The criteria used to select projects are intended to encourage projects that fulfill these policies.

Table 10
1996-1998 PROJECTS BY WORK TYPE
(in millions)

|  | 96 | 97 | 98 | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preservation <br> (RX, RD, RS, BI) | $\$ 33$ | $\$ 29$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$ 85 / 18 \%$ |
| Preservation <br> (RC, BR) | 24 | 60 | 37 | $121 / 26 \%$ |
| Manage <br> (TM, SH, SC, SR, TR, CB, BT, <br> ITS0 | 36 | 25 | 17 | $78 / 17 \%$ |
| Other <br> (AM, EN, TR) | 15 | 13 | 10 | $38 / 8 \%$ |
| Expansion <br> (MC) | 36 | 41 | 68 | $145 / 31 \%$ |
| TARGET TOTALS | $\$ 144$ | $\$ 168$ | $\$ 155$ | $\$ 467$ |

AM - agreements
BR - bridge replacement
RC - reconstruction
RS - resurfacing
SC - safety-capacity improvements
SR - railroad safety projects
EN - enhancements
TR, CB, BT - transit subcategories

BI - bridge improvement
MC - major construction
RD - reconditioning
RX - road repair
SH - safety-hazard elimination
TM - traffic management
IVHS - intelligent vehicle highway system
ITS - transportation

## BALANCE WITH FINANCIAL RESOURCES

ISTEA requires that the region's TIP must be consistent with funding reasonably expected to be available. This means the forecasted revenues must be in balance with the obligations as recorded in the TIP. The $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ and the Metropolitan Council have agreed to use the figures that are discussed in this section of the TIP.
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ has developed and follows a process of fund allocation to the regions in the state that insures the regional project commitments and the STIP are in balance with the funds available. $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ set funding targets for each of the regions to use as they developed their draft TIPs. The draft TIPs submitted to Mn/DOT can be over programmed by the regions as a means to request additional federal and state funds for 1995. Mn/DOT sets the final funding levels which are in balance for the state. Through this process, the region received $\$ 21.5$ million in additional funding.

In accordance with federal guidance, no overage of Title III federal funds are assumed for 1996. For 1997 and 1998, the federal grants are in line with the latest direction provided by FTA. The requests for additional funding have been separated from the approved funds.

The initial regional funding targets provided by $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ for Title I funds for 1996-98 were approximately $\$ 99$ million annually. State funds allocated to the region were $\$ 65$ million annually for a total of $\$ 134$ million. (See Table 11.) This figure was reduced by approximately $\$ 20$ million annually to provide for right-of-way costs, cost overruns and supplemental agreements. Therefore, the region could expect to receive an average $\$ 143$ million annually of Title I and state funds.

In the case of Title III, Federal Transit Act, it is assumed $\$ 7,600,000$ of federal funds will be available for capital projects in 1996, 1997 and 1998 (Table 12). Additional federal funds are being made available from Title I, CMAQ and STP programs for transit. Over the three year TIP, approximately $\$ 13,000,000$ of federal funds will be made available to transit projects.

The region assumes it will receive $\$ 4.3$ million in operating assistance for the MCTO each year for the next three years. This represents approximately 5 percent of the annual operating costs of MCTO.

Figure 8
Proposed Short-Term Improvements: Transit Hubs/Intermodal Facilities


Table 11
TITLE 1 AND STATE HIGHWAY FUNDS ALLOCATED 1996-1998 (millions)

|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Title I <br> Funds | $\$ 99$ | $\$ 99$ | $\$ 99$ | $\$ 297$ |
| State Funds | 65 | 65 | 65 | 195 |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 492$ |
| Reduction due <br> to right-of-way <br> cost, cost <br> overruns and <br> supplemental <br> agreements (SF) | $(\$ 21)$ | $(\$ 24)$ | $(\$ 20)$ | $(\$ 65)$ |
| Target for <br> Region | $\$ 143$ | $\$ 140$ | $\$ 144$ | $\$ 427$ |
| Additional <br> Mn/DOT <br> Allocations | 0 | +23 | +8 | +31 |
| Demonstration <br> Funds | +45 | $\$ 185$ | $\$ 171$ | $\$ 544$ |
| TOTAL <br> FUNDS | $\$ 188$ |  |  |  |

Table 12
FEDERAL TRANSIT FUNDING SUMMARY

| Title III, Section 9 <br> Capital assistance available to region 1996- <br> 1998 | $\$ 22,800,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Title III, Section 3 Approved projects - 1996 | $\$ 7,800,000$ |
| Title I, Approved Projects - 1996, 1997, 1998 | $\$ 13,000,000$ |

# APPENDIX A <br> DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION 

Title I, Title III and
State Funded Projects
Title I Funded Projects
Title I Submittal Key ..... A-3
1996-98 "Parent" Projects ..... A-4
A-1 Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects ..... A-5
A-2 Enhancement Projects ..... A-6
A-3 STP Urban Guarantee Projects ..... A-8
A-4 STP Non-Urban Guarantee Projects ..... A-10
A-5 Mn/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects ..... A-13
A-6 Demonstration Projects ..... A-14
A-7 Mn/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects ..... A-15
A-8 ITS Projects ..... A-17
A-9 NHS Projects ..... A-19
A-10 100\% State Funded Projects ..... A-21
A-11 Previous Year Projects ..... A-27

## Title III Funded Projects

A-12 Section 3 Approved Funds ..... A-34
A-13 Section 9 Approved Annual Capital and Operating Assistance ..... A-35
A-14 Section 5310 (formerly Section 16) ..... A-36
A-15 Section 5311 (formerly Section 18) Approved Operating Assistance ..... A-37
Title I Projects Identified by Route Number of Project Code
A-20 Repeats all Title I funded and state funded projects by route number or a project code. ..... A-38
A-21 Federal Scenic Byway Projects ..... A-57

## KEY TO TABLES A-1 THROUGH A-11 AND A-20

The tables are broken into the various "most likely" funding categories and are sorted by: Local/Mn/DOT, Agency, Trunk Highway, State Project Number. The description of each column is shown below.

Year The Federal Fiscal year the project is scheduled to be let.
PRT
The major project this project is a part of - see attached list.
The highway the project is located on. A "999"means multiple routes or a location has yet to be determined.
The Mn/DOT project number.
The location and work to be accomplished by the project.
The Agency with jurisdiction over the project.
The project type: Preservation, Replacement, Management, Expansion, Transit, Trails or Other.

PRG Mn/DOT Program categories
AM Agreements
BI Bridge Improvement BT Bike Trails, Trails
BR Bridge Replacement
MC Major Construction
RC Reconstruction
RD Reconditioning
RS Resurfacing
SC Safety-Capacity
TM Traffic Management

RX Road Repair
SH Safety Hazard Elimination
TR Transit

TIP air quality category. See Appendix C for description of codes.
Total \$
Total estimated cost of project.
Fed \$

DEMO \$
Federal funding for the project. In some instances the federal funding is greater than the funding allocated by the STP selection process. This was necessary to completely fund the larger projects.

State \$
Local \$
$\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ state funding for the project.
Total contribution from the local agency involved in the project.

## MN/DOT Metro Division Construction Projects 1996-1998 PARENT Projects

| Parent <br> Number | Highway | Location | Description | Expahsion | Lanes Before | Lanes <br> After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TH 3 | Lafayette Freeway | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 2 | TH 10 | New TH 10 in Anoka County | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 3 | I-35W | Junction I-35E to Minneapolis | Preservation + Temporary HOV Lanes | Yes | Varies | Varies |
| 4 | TH 36/TH 5 | Stillwater/Houghton River Crossing | Construct New River Crossing | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 5 | TH 55 | Mendota Bridge and Interchanges | Reconstruct Bridge, Construct Interchange | Yes | 4 | 4 |
| 6 | TH 55 | Hiawatha Avenue | Reconstruct Road | Yes | 4 | 4 |
| 7 | 1-94 | TH 280 to 1-35W | Reconstruct Interchange, Rehab Dartmouth Bridge | Yes | 6 | 8 |
| 8 | 1-94 | St. Croix River Bridge | Replace Eastbound Bridge, Redeck Westbound | Yes | 5 | 6 |
| 9 | TH 100 | 1-394 to Indiana Avenue | Upgrade Per EIS Recommendation | To Be Determined |  |  |
| 10 | TH 101 | Rogers to Elk River | Upgrade to 4-Lane Expressway | Yes | 2 | 4 |
| 11 | TH 101 | Shakopee Bypass | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 12 | TH 169 | Osseo Bypass | Construct Freeway | Yes | 2 | 4 |
| 13 | TH 212 | 1-494 to Cologne | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |
| 14 | TH 610 | TH 252 to TH 169 | Construct Freeway | Yes | NA | 4 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program

## TABLE A-1

Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 90-071-02 | TM | 1,420,000 | 1,136,000 | 0 | 284,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | MCTO | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-07 | TR | 691,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 291,000 | IN MPLS; PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM FOR TRANSIT BUSES - SIG REV IN MANY LOCATIONS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | T3 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 141-071-02 | TR | 459,000 | 275,000 | 0 | 184,000 | DOWNTOWN TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | AQ1 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 164-070-05 | TM | 970,000 | 680,000 | 0 | 290,000 | TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS | STPAUL | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-100 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 55 TO SB \& NB 1494-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | 90-071-02A | TM | 1,375,000 | 1,100,000 | 0 | 275,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | MCTO | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 90-071-01 | TR | 3,875,000 | 3,100,000 | 0 | 775,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION/REORGANIZATION | MCTO | Transit | T1 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | 141-071-04 | TM | 596,000 | 451,000 | 0 | 145,000 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS LYNDALE/CEDAR | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | 57 |
| 1997 |  | TH 169 | 2772-19 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 0 | AT BREN RD TO SB TH 169, BREN RD TO NB TH 169 AND EXCELSIOR BLVD TO NB TH 169-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH212 | 2763-36 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 0 | AT VALLEY VIEW RD TO EB TH 212, EB TH 5 TO EB 1-494 \& AT TH 62 TO WB I-494-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 90-071-01A | TR | 4,350,000 | 3,480,000 | 0 | 870,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION | MCTO | Transit | T1 |

## TABLE A-2

Enhancement Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total $\$$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | EN | 179-090-01 | EN | 180,000 | 144,000 | 0 | 36,000 | CLIFF ROAD TO BLACK DOG ROAD TRAIL CONNECTION | BURNSVILLE | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 194-090-03 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | PEDESTRIAN UNDERPASS AT TH 5 SOUTH FRONTAGE ROAD | CHANHASSEN | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 195-090-03 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAILS | EAGAN | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 130-090-01 | EN | 198,000 | 158,400 | 0 | 39,600 | CITY OF HASTINGSMMINNESOTA VETERANS HOME BIKEWAY SEGMENT | HASTINGS | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 27-600-07 | EN | 391,000 | 312,800 | 0 | 78,200 | EXCELSIOR HISTORIC STREECAR | HENNEPIN CO | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 107-090-02 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | LONG MEADOW CROSSING | MCWS | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-18 | EN | 610,000 | 488,000 | 0 | 122,000 | FREIGHT HEAD HOUSE PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | NC |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-19 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 125,000 | MILWAUKEE DEPOT PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | NC |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-20 | EN | 343,750 | 275,000 | 0 | 68,750 | MINNEHAHA PARK LONGFELLOW HOUSE INTERPRETIVE CENTER RESTORATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-21 | EN | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | COMO-HARRIET STREETCAR LINE IMPROVEMENTS | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 142-080-03 | EN | 380,000 | 304,000 | 0 | 76,000 | CHAR LES H BURWELL PROPERTY RESTORATION PROJECT | MINNETONKA | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 146-020-07 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE ACROSS HWY 10 | MOUNDS VIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 70-600-03 | EN | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 70,000 | HISTORIC SITES AND TRANSPORTATION OF THE MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAIL | SCOTT CO | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 167-090-02 | EN | 178,000 | 142,400 | 0 | 35,600 | RICE CREEK OPEN SPACE TRAIL | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 167-090-03 | EN | 447,000 | 357,600 | 0 | 89,400 | 1-694 PED/BIKE OVERPASS | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 167-090-04 | EN | 434,000 | 347,200 | 0 | 86,800 | SNAIL LAKE OPEN SPACE TRAIL AND UNDERPASS | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 168-090-02 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | HARDMAN REGIONAL PEDESTRIAN TRAIL IN SOUTH ST PAUL, DAKOTA COUNTY | SOUTH ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 164-080-05 | EN | 580,000 | 464,000 | 0 | 116,000 | ST PAUL RIVER BLUFF ACQUISTION AND PRESERVATION PROJECT | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 91-110-07 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | SCHMID FARMSTEAD - LAKE MINNETONKA REGIONAL PARK | SUB HENN REGIONAL PARK | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 62-600-04 | EN | 326,500 | 261,200 | 0 | 65,300 | JACKSON STREET ROUNDHOUSE | RAMSEY CO | Other | NC |
| 1997 |  | EN | 109-020-08 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 125,000 | BROOKLYN BLVD STREETSCAPE AMENITIES PROJECT | BROOKLYN CENTER | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 94-100-17 | EN | 516,000 | 413,000 | 0 | 103,000 | HISTORIC FORT SNELLING/GREAT RIVER ROAD | MN HISTORICAL SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 145-080-01 | EN | 879,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 379,000 | LOST LAKE HISTORIC CANAL RESTORIATION | MOUND | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 62-590-06 | EN | 425,000 | 340,000 | 0 | 85,000 | BATTLE CREEK BIKEWAY | RAMSEYCO | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 82-590-01 | EN | 475,000 | 380,000 | 0 | 95,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD | WASHINGTON CO | Other | 09 |

TABLE A-2
Enhancement Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | EN | 110-090-01 | EN | 634,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 134,000 | WEST RIVER ROAD CORRIDOR ENHANCEMENTS-73RD AVE TO TH 252 | BROOKLYN PARK | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 92-090-05 | EN | 493,000 | 394,000 | 0 | 99,000 | GATEWAY TRAIL PHASE II EXTENSION-CAYUGA ST TO PENNSYLVANIA | DNR | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | ENH-2 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | SIBLEY HISTORIC SITE-BLDG REHAB \& ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORK | MN HISTORIC SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 62-090-01 | EN | 450,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 90,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL-JOHNSON PKWY TO FROST AVE | RAMSEY CO | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 163-090-01 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 125,000 | SOUTHWEST REGIONAL TRAIL-CEDAR LAKE PARK TO HOPKINS TRAILHEAD OF HENN PARKS REG TRAIL | ST LOUIS PARK | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 164-090-04 | EN | 420,000 | 336,000 | 0 | 84,000 | MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRAIL-WARNER RD SEGMENT | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | ENH-6 | EN | 680,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 180,000 | COMO PARK STREETCAR STATION RENOVATION | ST PAUL | Other | NC |
| 1998 |  | EN | 209-090-01 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | CENTERVILLE ROAD TRAIL-CSAH 96 TO VADNAIS BLVD | VADNAIS HEIGHTS | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  |  | ENS-4 | EN | 110,000 | 88,000 | 22,000 | 0 | STATE ENTRYWAYS BEAUTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Other | 09 |

TABLE A-3
STP Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | STP-BR | 88-600-05 | BI | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 | REGION WIDE BRIDGE SCOUR STUDY - FY 96 | ATP | Preserve | 02 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 11 | 10-611-02 | MC | 2,381,000 | 1,904,800 | 0 | 476,200 | CSAH 11 | CARVER CO | Expand | E2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 4 | 27-604-12 | RC | 1,451,000 | 1,161,000 | 0 | 290,000 | HENNEPIN CO; FROM CSAH 1 TO TERREY PINE DR RECONSTRUCT CSAH 4 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 53 | 27-653-12 | RC | 692,000 | 553,600 | 0 | 138,400 | CSAH 53 (66TH ST) - CSAH 17 TO CSAH 31 - RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 62 | 27-662-57 | RC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 | CSAH 627419 - CSAH 62 AND TH 101 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | E2 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 179-070-01 | TR | 5,265,000 | 2,950,000 | 0 | 2,315,000 | BURNSVILLE TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-030-01 | TR | 1,570,000 | 1,256,000 | 0 | 314,000 | BUS STOP SHELTERS | MCTO ${ }^{\circ}$ | Transit | 77 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-080-02 | TR | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | ROBBINSDALE TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-080-03 | TR | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | HILLCREST TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-080-04 | TR | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | HIGHLAND TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | BIKE/WALK | 141-090-03 | BT | 1,270,000 | 1,016,000 | 0 | 254,000 | MIDTOWN GREENWAY - PHASE I | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENVALK | 141-090-04 | BT | 1,382,700 | 1,106,160 | 0 | 276,540 | BASSETTS CREEK TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Tralls | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-06 | BT | 674,000 | 539,200 | 0 | 134,800 | BIKENALK, CEDAR LAKE PARK TRAIL - PHASE 3 | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails. | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | XX | 141-080-16 | CB | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | IN MPLS; PED TUNNEL UNDER 4TH ST BTWN 3TD \& 4TH AVE FROM CITY HALL TO NEW FED COURTS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 51 | 62-651-34 | RC | 1,445,000 | 1,156,000 | 0 | 289,000 | CSAH 51 (LEX. AVE) - CSAH 30 (LARP. AVE) TO CSAH 15 (CR E) - MILLIOVERLAY, TURN LANES, SIGNAL REV. | RAMSEY CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 65 | 62-665-36 | SC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 | CSAH 65 (WHITE BEAR AVE) - CSAH 23 (CR C) TO 1 -694GEOMETRIC/SIGNAL REVISIONS | RAMSEY CO | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 21 | 70-621-09 | MC | 2,775,000 | 2,220,000 | 0 | 555,000 | SCOTT CO; CSAH 21 NEW ALIGNMENT FROM $2000^{\circ}$ E OF CSAH 39 TO 1300' E OF CSAH 27 | SCOTT CO | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | TH212 | 181-010-08 | CB | 5,040,000 | 3,528,000 | 0 | 1,512,000 | SW METRO TRANSIT COMM; EDEN PRAIRIE TRANSIT HUB - SW QUAD, TH 5, 212, PR. CENT. DR. | SW TRANSIT COMM. | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | xx | 97-090-01 | BT | 546,000 | 436,800 | 0 | 109,200 | U OF M - TRANSITWAY BIKEWAY - FROM ENERGY PK DR TO CENTRAL AVE | UOFM | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 16 | 82-616-12 | RC | 1,300,000 | 1,040,000 | 0 | 260,000 | CASH 16 - INTERLACHEN DR TO CSAH 19-RECONSTRUCT FROM 2 LANE RURAL TO 4 LANE URBAN | WASHINGTON CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENWALK | 174-090-01 | BT | 775,000 | 620,000 | 0 | 155,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0203-77 | SH | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 |  | FROM W. RAMPS TH 47 TO ABLE - INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02033 | MC | 2,300,000 | 1,840,000 | 460,000 |  | TH 10 UNDER CSAH 11 (FOLEY BLVD) - BR 02033 - STAGE | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 021427 | MC | 6,500,000 | 5,200,000 | 1,300,00 |  | TH 10 STAGE 2A, RECONSTRUCT FOLEY BLVD INTERCHANGE, INCLUDING NOISE WALLS | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-3
STP Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-89 | SH | 600,000 | 480,000 | 120,000 | 0 | AT VICKSBURG, NIAGARA, BOONE, RHODE ISLAND \& MEADOW LANE-SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-90 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 | 30,000 |  | FROM VICKSBURG LANE TO QUAKER LANE \& FROM BOONE AVE. THRU THEO. WIRTH PKWAY INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-97 | SH | 90,000 | 72,000 | 18,000 | 0 | AT INDUSTRIAL PARK BLVD. - TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-57 | MC | 5,500,000 | 4,400,000 | 1,100,00 | 0 | TH 169 TO 0.4 MI W OF CSAH 17 - GRADE, SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-69 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 60,000 | 0 | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13-SIGNING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70011 | MC | 1,380,000 | 1,104,000 | 276,000 | 0 | CSAH 15 OVER SHAK BYPASS - BR 70011 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70012 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | CO RD 77 OVER SHAK BYPASS - BR 70012 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70013 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | CO RD 79 OVER SHAK BYPASS - BR 70013 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-71 | MC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 1,200,00 | 0 | TH 169 TO JCT OLD TH 101 - SURFACE | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 |  | 80TH STREET | 107-399-16 | RC | 4,721,000 | 3,776,800 | 0 | 944,200 | 79TH/80TH STREET RECONSTRUCT FROM BLAISDELL AVE TO PORTLAND AVE | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | E2 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-27 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 | 0 | 780,000 | CSAH 1/9320-TH 169 TO W OF CSAH 18 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | A-00 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 152 | 27-752-07 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | HENNEPIN CSAH 152 FROM 64TH AVE TO 71ST AVE N- RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1997 |  | BB | 90-080-01 | TR | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | 800,000 | HENNEPIN/LAGOON TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1997 |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-05 | BT | 606,000 | 485,000 | 0 | 121,000 | KENILWORTH TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1997 |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-07 | BT | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | DINKYTOWN BIKEWAY CONNECTION TO DOWNTOWN | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 30 | 62-630-42 | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | CSAH 30 (LARPENTEUR AVE) - TH 280 TO CSAH 53 (DALE ST) - RECONSTRUCT | RAMSEY CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 3 | 82-603-05 | RC | 2,440,000 | 1,950,000 | 0 | 490,000 | CSAH 3 CORRIDOR FROM CSAH 4 TO NORTH COUNTY LINE - GEOMETRIC AND LOAD CAPACITY IMPROVMENTS | WASHINGTON CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 7 | 2706-164 | SH | 950,000 | 760,000 | 190,000 | 0 | CHRISTMAS LK RD - REVISE INTERSECTION \& SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8204-37 | MC | 6,200,000 | 4,960,000 | 1,240,00 | 0 | FROM 0.6 MI W OF TO 0.4 MI E OF TH 5-RECONSTRUCT, RELOCATE FRONTAGE ROAD | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 |  | TH 47 | 2726-60 | BR | 7,200,000 | 5,760,000 | 1,440,00 | 0 | UNIV. AVE. OV ST. ANTHONY, SOO LINE, \& BNRR - REPL. 3 BRIDGES | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-67 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 | 40,000 | 0 | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13-LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-68 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 60,000 | 0 | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO JCT. OLD TH 101 - FENCING | MN/DOT | Expand | S13 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 1 | AE-9 | RC | 2,600,000 | 2,080,000 | 0 | 520,000 | E RIVER RD FROM RICKARD RD TO 84TH AVE-RECONSTRUCT FROM 4LANE UNDIVIDED TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | ANOKA CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 80TH ST | AR-3 | RC | 3,588,000 | 2,870,400 | 0 | 717,600 | 79TH/80TH ST FROM CHICAGO TO CEDAR-RECONSTRUCT | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | E3 |

## TABLE A-4

STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 5 | 27-605-18 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 5 AT LOUISIANA AVE S - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 81 | 27-681-06 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 81 AT CSAH 130/CSAH 152 -REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 109 | 27-709-14 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 109 AT JEFFERSON HWY - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 23 | 27-00214 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | CSAH 23, MINNEAPOLIS - UPGRADE SIGNALS | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S1 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 25 | 62-00163 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 25, MAPLEWOOD - INSTALL SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | S1 |
| 1996 |  | CR C | 62-623-39 | SH | 323,000 | 258,400 | 0 | 64,600 | CR C-HAMLINE AVE TO LITTLE CANADA RD - STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | RAMSEY CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | CR B | 62-625-22 | SH | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 70,000 | RAMSEY CR B-HAMLINE AVE TO DALE ST - STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | RAMSEY CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH3 | 1920-29 | RD | 1,200,000 | 960,000 | 240,000 | 0 | RICE-DAKOTA CO LINE TO 1.3 MI N OF N JCT TH 50 IN FARMINGTON-MILL \& OVERLAY; GUARDRAIL | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-57 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 | 40,000 | 0 | CSAH 17 TO CSAH 4 IN CHAN. \& EDEN P.- LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 518 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-62 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 0 | AT TH 284 - SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0202-67 | SH | 245,000 | 196,000 | 49,000 | 0 | AT THURSTON AVE IN ANOKA-REBUILD SIG, \& CHANNEL. AND AT FAIROAK AVE.- REFURB.SIG.; FAIROAK TO CSAH 56 - INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0202-74 | SH | 90,000 | 72,000 | 18,000 | 0 | AT ARMSTRONG BLVD - SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0215-48 | SH | 160,000 | 128,000 | 32,000 | 0 | AT HANSON BLVD. RAMPS - SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 41 | 1008-48 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 0 | AT TH 212 - TURN LANE AND SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 49 | 0204-13 | RS | 590,000 | 472,000 | 118,000 | 0 | TH 96 TO THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 50 | 1904-14 | RD | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 | 0 | $\qquad$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 56 | 1912-51 | SC | 150,000 | 120,000 | 30,000 | 0 | FROM 1494 S RAMP TO WENTWORTH AVE-SIGNAL REVISIONS \& INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 65 | 0207-63 | SH | 255,000 | 204,000 | 51,000 | 0 | W MOORE LK DR TO TH 118 - SKID CORRECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 100 | 2755-72 | SH | 140,000 | 112,000 | 28,000 | 0 | CSAH 10 RAMPS - REFURBISH 2 SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-10 | MC | 4,365,000 | 3,492,000 | 873,000 | 0 | TH 94 TO CSAH 42- G \& S, SIGNING, LIGHTING, SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-27945 | MC | 350,000 | 280,000 | 70,000 | 0 | TH 101 SB OVER TH 94 - WIDEN BR. 27945 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | TH 149 | 1916-19 | SC | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 0 | AT YANKEE DOODLE ROAD-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2744-49 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 | 0 | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. TO CSAH 4 - NB AUX. LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-17 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 63RD AVE.N. TO RAMP TO EB 194 - NB AUX.LA. | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-79 | SH | 70,000 | 56,000 | 14,000 | 0 | DISTRICTWIDE ADVANCE WARNING FLASHERS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 35 | 02-00127 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | CSAH 35, FRIDLEY - INSTALL SURFACE | ANOKA CO | Manage | S1 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 9 | 19-00116 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 9, LAKEVILLE - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKOTA CO | Manage | S1 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 32 | 19-00117 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 32, EAGAN - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKOTA CO | Manage | S1 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 3 | 27-603-24 | SH | 520,000 | 416,000 | 0 | 104,000 | CSAH 3 - WOODALE TO FRANCE - REBUILD 4 SIGNALS WICOORDINATION | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | 519 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 67 | 62-00164 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 67, WHITE BEAR LAKE - UPGRADE SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | S8 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-84 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 | 0 | AT 85TH AVE NE-REVISE INTERSECTION \& SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-93 | SH | 110,000 | 88,000 | 22,000 | 0 | X-TOWN BLVD, SIGNAL REBUILD, MEDIAN CLOSURE AT 177TH | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 101 | 1010-8 | RS | 330,000 | 264,000 | 66,000 | 0 | 0.3 MI W OF TH 5 TO 0.4 MI S OF TH 7 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 1 | HES-2 | SH | 325,000 | 260,000 | 0 | 65,000 | CSAH 1(COON RAPIDS BLVD) AT CSAH 78(HANSON BLVD)-SIGNAL REVISION \& CHANNELIZATION | ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 14 | HES-3 | SH | 20,000 | 16,000 | 0 | 4,000 | CSAH 14(MAIN ST) AT CSAH 23(LAKE DRIVE)-OVERHEAD FLASHER | ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 156 | HES-32 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | WINNETKA AVE AT 49TH AVE N-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 1 | HES-26 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | AT CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE)-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 32 | HES-27 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 32(PENN AVE) AT 98TH ST-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 35 | HES-29 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 3'(PORTLAND AVE) AT 86TH ST-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 52 | HES-30 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | AT 86TH STREET-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 152 | HES-31 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 152(BROOKLYN BLVD) AT REGENT AVE/73RD AVE-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 0206-SR | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | MNTH 47, FERRY ST IN ANOKA-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 10-00112 | SR | 130,000 | 104,000 | 0 | 26,000 | CSAH 10, CHASKA-UPGRADE SIGNALS, INSTALL GATES \& RUBBER SURFACE | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19-00119 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CO RD 58, 170TH ST, ROSEMOUNT-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19-00120 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 108, BISCAYNE AVE, ROSEMOUNT-INSTALL CANTILEVER SIGNALS \& GATES | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19-00121 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 105, HOLYOKE AVE, LAKEVILLE-INSTALL SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 27-00215 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | MUN 459, TALMAGE AVE, MPLS-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 27-00218 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | MUN 1629,CEDAR LAKE BLVD,MPLS-UPGRADE SIGNALS \& SURFACE | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00165 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | MSAS 232, COMO AVE, ST PAUL-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00166 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | MUN 516, COMO PLACE, ST PAUL-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00167 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 60, OTTER LAKE RD,RAMSEY CO-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00168 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | MSAS 219, TERMINAL RD, ROSEVILLE-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00169 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 44, SILVER LAKE RD, NEW BRIGHTON(RAMSEY CO)-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |

TABLE A-4
STP Non Urban Guarantee Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | RR | 6227-SR | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 15,000 | 0 | MNTH 120, CENTURY AVE, MAPLEWOOD-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& $12^{2}$ LENSES | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 82-00119 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | MUN 43, 12TH ST, NEWPORT-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | TH 5 | 8214120 | SH | 110,000 | 88,000 | 22,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 15 IN LAKE ELMO-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MNDDOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 1901-131 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 40,000 | 0 | CSAH 5 TO LYNN AVENUE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 7001-77 | SH | 35,000 | 28,000 | 7,000 | 0 | DULUTH AVE TO CO RD 44-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 0206-43 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | FROM CO RD 116 TO 180TH WAY-LIGHTING, TURN LANE \& BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 61 | 6222-130 | SH | 60,000 | 48,000 | 12,000 | 0 | TH 244 TO CO RD F-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 65 | 0208-98 | SH | 510,000 | 88,000 | 422,000 | 0 | AT BUNKER LAKE RD(CO RD 116)-SIGNAL REBUILD(HES) \& CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION(SF) | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 88 | 6202-42 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 0 | AT CO RD C2-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 110 | 1918-95 | SH | 40,000 | 32,000 | 8,000 | 0 | DELAWARE TO MENDOTA RD-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 120 | 6227-54 | SH | 67,000 | 53,600 | 13,400 | 0 | MINNEHAHA TO S JCT TH 5 \& LARPENTEUR TO N JCT TH 5-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 274450 | SH | 135,000 | 108,000 | 27,000 | 0 | AT REGIONAL CENTER RD IN EDEN PRAIRIE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 212 | 1013-67 | SH | 25,000 | 20,000 | 5,000 | 0 | FAXON ROAD TO CSAH 33 IN NORWOOD-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-694 | 6285-116 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 | 30,000 | 0 | AT HAMLINE AVE(CO RD F)-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& LEFT TURN MODIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-694 | 8286-52 | SH | 225,000 | 100,000 | 125,000 | 0 | AT TH 5 RAMPS IN OAKDALE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION(EAST RAMP-HES;WEST RAMP-SF) | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-5
MN/DOT and State Aid Bridge Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description. | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | CR 63 | 70-598-02 | BR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | REPL BR L-3046 OVER SAND CREEK, 1 MI N OF JORDAN | SCOTT CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | CITY | 164-235-09 | BR | 15,000,000 | 11,900,000 | 0 | 3,100,000 | WABASHA STREET BRIDGE REPLACEMENT IN ST PAUL | ST PAUL | Replace | S19 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8214113 | MC | 600,000 | 480,000 | 120,000 | 0 | WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER-DEMOLITION, UTILITY RELOCATION, BYPASSES, ETC | MN/DOT | Expand | A-00 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8217-12 | BR | 43,000,000 | 17,200,000 | 4,300,00 | 21,500,000 | OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT STILLWATER-BR 82011(REPLACE BR 4654), RIVER SPANS \& EAST ABUTMENT | MN/DOT | Replace | A-00 |
| 1997 |  | TH 55 | 2723-85 | BR | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 400,000 |  | OVER SOO LINE R/R 0.3 MI W OF TH 100 - REPLACE BRS. 6344 \& 6 | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1997 |  | TH 169 | 0209-19 | BR | 6,800,000 | 5,440,000 | 1,360,00 |  | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN ANOKA-REPL BR 4380 \& APPROACHES, SIGNAL,LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 37 | BIR-10 | BR | 3,100,000 | 2,480,000 | 0 | 620,000 | 4TH ST \& 15TH AVE SE OVER BN RR-REPLACE BR 92354 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 58 | BIR-18 | BR | 1,950,000 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 450,000 | EDGERTON OVER BUSH ST \& CNW RR IN ST PAUL-REP BR 90412 | RAMSEY CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 42/46 | BIR-19 | BR | 7,500,000 | 6,000,000 | 0 | 1,500,000 | FORD PKWY OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REP BR 3575(PHASE 1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAMSEY/HENNEPIN } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 12 | 2713-66 | BR | 106,500 | 85,200 | 21,300 |  | UNDER LUCE LINE TRAIL 4.5 MI W OF TH 494-REPLACE BR 4643 | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 41 | 7010-18 | BR | 843,000 | 674,400 | 168,600 |  | OVER MN RIVER OVERFLOW 0.8 MI N OF TH 169 - REPL BR 6763 \& A | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 0206-711 | BR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 0 | OVER FORD BROOK, 6.1.MI N OF TH 10-REPLACE BR 711 | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 101 | 2736-27017 | BR | 1,300,000 | 584,000 | 716,000 |  | AT GRAYS BAY 2.8 MI N OF TH 7-BR 27017(REP BR 3334) \& APPROACHES | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |

Twin Clties Metropolitan Area
1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-6
Demo Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | 77TH ST | 157-108-17 | MC | 515,000 |  | 412,000 | 103,000 | 0 | WOOD LAKE STORM SEWER-CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING | RICHFIELD | Expand | 02 |
| 1996 |  | 77TH ST | 157-108-20 | MC | 400,000 |  | 320,000 | 60,000 | 20,000 | PORTLAND AVE TO CEDAR AVE-LANDSCAPING(CONSTRUCTION \& CE) | RICHFIELD | Expand | 06 |
| 1996 |  | 77TH ST | 157-108-XX | MC | 1,250,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 250,000 | $17 T H$ AVE TO 24TH AVE-PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING | RICHFIELD | Expand | 02 |
| 1996 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-103 | MC | 28,245,000 | 0 | 21,460,500 | 2,384,500 | 4,400,000 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) AT LAKE ST; OVERPASS, BYPASS ROADS, UTILITY RELOCATION | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-96-RO | RW | 4,000,000 | 0 | 3,600,000 | 400,000 | 0 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) I-94 TO TH 62: PURCHASE OF RIGHT OF WAY - FY 1996 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1996 | 13 | TH 212 | 2762-96RW | RW | 3,000,000 | 0 | 2,400,000 | 600,000 | 0 | 1-494 TO COLOGNE-RNW ACQUISITION FOR FY96 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1996 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771 | MC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TH 610: TH 252 TO TH 169 - PRELIM ENGINEERING | MN/DOT | Expand | 02 |
| 1996 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771-96-RO | RW | 8,000,000 | 0 | 6,400,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | TH 610-TH 252 TO 1-94-RN ACQUISITION FY 96 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1997 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-105 | MC | 10,500,000 | 0 | 7,380,000 | 820,000 | 2,300,000 | 1-94 TO E 29TH ST - GR, SURF, UTIL, RET WALLS, SIGS, LIGHTS, | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-97-RO | RW | 5,000,000 | 0 | 4,500,000 | 500,000 | 0 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) I-94 TO TH 62: PURCHASE OF RIGHT OF WAY - FY 1997 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1997 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771-12 | MC | 7,000,000 | 0 | 5,600,000 | 1,400,000 | 0 | REGENT AVE TO 0.25 MI E OF FRANCE AVE (INC REGENT) - GRADE, SURF, 2 BRS, SIGNALS STAGE 2 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771-11 | MC | 17,000,000 | 0 | 13,600,000 | 3,400,000 | 0 | 0.25 MI E OF FRANCE AVE TOW END OF BR OVER MISS RIVER-GRADING, SURFACING, 3 BRS,SIGNALS, PED BR | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771-15 | MC | 16,000,000 | 8,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | TH 169 TO HAMPSHIRE AVE-GRADING,SURFACING,3 BRS,SIGNALS-STAGE 4 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |

MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | 1-35 | 1980-57 | RC | 4,390,000 | 3,951,000 | 439,000 | 0 | TH 50 TO S JCT I35E/35W - RECONSTRUCT NB \& SB -REMOVE WEIGH STATION | MN/DOT | Replace | S10 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 0282-02802 | BI | 315,000 | 283,500 | 31,500 |  | UNDER 8OTH ST IN LINO LAKES, CO RD J, CO RD H2, \& EDGERTON - MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS. 02802, 62836, 62835, 9561 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-45 | BI | 800,000 | 720,000 | 80,000 |  | UNDER SB ON RAMP FROM LAKE DRIVE REDECKWIDEN BR 9607, WIDEN RAMP, LIGHTING, GUARDRAILBARRIER | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-9779 | BI | 720,000 | 648,000 | 72,000 | 0 | UNDER TH13 - REPL DECK, WIDEN \& PAINT BRS WB 9779 \& EB 9780 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-255 | RS | 6,300,000 | 5,670,000 | 630,000 | 0 | 76 TH ST TO 31ST ST-MILL \& OVERLAY, CONC.REPAIR \& RESEAL | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-27867 | BI | 770,000 | 693,000 | 77,000 |  | OVER SOO LINE RR, 1.3 MI S OF I94-REPL DECK BR 27867 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9039 | BI | 2,815,000 | 2,533,500 | 281,500 | 0 | 90TH ST TO 26TH ST-REDECK BRS $9039,9041,9213,9615,9617,27869,27870$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9053 | BI | 300,000 | 270,000 | 30,000 | 0 | UNDER 94TH ST, DIAMOND LAKE RD, \& 76TH ST-OVERLAY BRS 9053, 9611, 9796 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9088 | BI | 300,000 | 270,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 1-35W OVER 66TH ST - OVERLAY BR 9088 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9731 | BI | 525,000 | 472,500 | 52,500 | 0 | OVER 31ST ST, $1.5 \mathrm{MI} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{1-94}$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9733 | BI | 675,000 | 607,500 | 67,500 | 0 | OVER LAKE ST, 1.4 MI S OF 194-REPLACE DECK BR 9733 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 6284-9570 | BI | 450,000 | 405,000 | 45,000 | 0 | UNDER CR E2 \& UNDER TH 96, OVER CR I-MILL \& OVERLAY BRS 9570,9577, \& 9603 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | $1-94$ | 2781-27843 | BI | 580,000 | 522,000 | 58,000 | 0 | UNDER TH 65 IN MPLS. - REPLACE DECK BR. 27843 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 2786-88 | BI | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 400,000 | 0 | UND.TH169 (OLD CSAH 18)-REPLACE BRS. 27979 \& 27980, SIGNING \& LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-99 | RS | 710,000 | 639,000 | 71,000 |  | 0.7 MI E OF I-494 TO 0.2 MI W OF CSAH 81 (LAKELAND AVE) - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 | 8 | 1-94 | 8282-85 | MC | 40,000 | 32,000 | 8,000 | 0 | CSAH 21 TO ST CROIX RIVER-SIGNING | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-280 | SC | 140,000 | 126,000 | 14,000 |  | AT E. BUSH LAKE ROAD - NEW SIGNALS AT RAMP TERMINALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-881 | BR | 1,200,000 | 0 | 1,200,00 | 0 | VICTORIA ST INTERCHANGE-BR REPLACEMENT(PAYBACK TO RAMSEY COUNTY | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9389 | BI | 250,000 | 225,000 | 25,000 | 0 | UNDER 5TH AVE NW, \& TH 51 RAMPS-OVERLAY BRS. $9389,9447,9448$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 8286-82804 | BI | 375,000 | 300,000 | 75,000 | 0 | UNDER 40TH ST,STILLWATER RD,4TH ST N-OVERLAY BRS $82816,82804,82817$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-72 | TM | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 800,000 |  | ON I35E FROM MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO 194 ECT, -TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-7
MN/DOT Interstate Maintenance Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-73 | TM | 900,000 | 810,000 | 90,000 | 0 | ON 194 FROM HURON TO I35E, TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35 | 0283-20 | RS | 1,536,000 | 1,382,400 | 153,600 | 0 | N JCT I35E \& 135W TO TH 8-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 6284-117 | RS | 480,000 | 432,000 | 48,000 | 0 | 1.0 MI S OF TO 0.2 MI N OF IG94-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-337 | RD | 1,800,000 | 1,620,000 | 180,000 | 0 | LOWRY HILL TUNNEL-TUNNEL EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION | MN/DOT | Preserve | 06 |
| 1997 |  | 1-94 | 2781-382 | RS | 1,300,000 | 1,170,000 | 130,000 |  | TH694 TO 0.5 MI.N.OF LOWRY TUNNEL-MINOR CONC. REPAIR \& RESEAL JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 | 8 | $1-94$ | 8281-9400B | BI | 1,750,000 | 1,575,000 | 175,000 | 0 | PAINT WB BR OVER ST CROIX RIVER | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-290 | RC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 1,200,00 | 0 | AT TH 169-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC | MN/DOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9755 | BI | 5,000,000 | 4,500,000 | 500,000 | 0 | OVER CSAH 5, CREEK, TRAIL - REPL SUPERST \& WIDEN BRS 9755,9756 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9759 | BI | 3,000,000 | 2,700,000 | 300,000 | 0 | OVER BN INC \& STONE RD - REPL SUPERST \& WIDEN BRS 9759 \& 9760 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-71 | TM | 3,100,000 | 2,480,000 | 620,000 | 0 | 1-694 FROM I-35W TO TH 36 \& i-35E FROM TH 36 TO TH 96-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-74 | TM | 2,500,000 | 2,250,000 | 250,000 |  | ON I35W FROM CRYSTAL LAKE RD TO MINN RIVER, ON I35E FROM S JCT I35W TO YANKEE DOODLE RD, \& ON TH 77 FROM I35E TO MINN | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35 | 1980-56 | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 1,000,00 |  | OLD TH 50 TO SCOTT CSAH 2(SB ONLY)-REPLACE PAVEMENT, GRADE CORRECTION, BR REMOVALS,ETC | MN/DOT | Replace | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9607 | BI | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 |  | UNDER SB RAMP AT OLD TH 8,SUUNSET,CO RD J-PAINT BRS $9607,9831,9606$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-255A | RC | 10,000,000 | 9,000,000 | 1,000,00 | 0 | TH 494 TO MPLS.-INTERIM HOV LANES (STRUCTURES) | MN/DOT | Replace | A-00 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 2783-9340 | BI | 700,000 | 560,000 | 140,000 | 0 | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REPLACE JOINTS \& RAILING BR 9340 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S9 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27842 | BI | 175,000 | 140,000 | 35,000 | 0 | UNDER RAMP TO WB AT TH 65 \& ST ANTHONY OVER FAIRVIEW-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BR 27842,62839 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27956 | BI | 230,000 | 184,000 | 46,000 | 0 | UNDER RR AT 27TH AVE \& UNDER SEYMOUR PEDESTRIAN BR-PARTIAL PAINT BR 27956 \& PAINT BR 27958 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 6283-159 | RS | 1,215,000 | 972,000 | 243,000 | 0 | MCKNIGHT RD TO W OF TH 95-CONCRETE REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 1985-120 | RS | 1,070,000 | 856,000 | 214,000 | 0 | ROBERT ST TO I-35E-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9741 | BI | 2,400,000 | 2,160,000 | 240,000 | 0 | OVER TH 5-REHAB BRS 9741,9742 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 8285-9883 | BI | 1,100,000 | 880,000 | 220,000 |  | UNDER TH 120 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BR 9883;OVERLAY \& JOINTS ON BR 82017 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-163 | TM | 600,000 | 480,000 | 120,000 | 0 | ON I-94 FROM TMC TO 1-694 \& ON I-694 FROM I-94 TO I-35W-UPGRADE TMS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-75 | TM | 3,000,000 | 2,400,000 | 600,000 |  | ON I-494 FROM PILOT KNOB TO MISS RIVER, AND ON TH 52 FROM TH 55 TO I-94TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program
'TABLE A-8
Intelligent Transportation Systems Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State'\$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ADVPARK ( | TM | 480,000 |  | 390,000 |  | 90,000 | ADVANCED PARKING SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | AMWZTS (9 | TM | 250,000 |  | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AUTOMATED MOBILE WORKZONE | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ARTIC (96) | TM | 771,000 |  | 455,000 |  | 316,000 | ADVANCED RURAL TRAFFIC INFO \& COORD. | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | AUSCI (96) | TM | 1,202,000 |  | 0 | 866,000 | 336,000 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTRTOL | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | BENEFITS ( | TM | 50,000 |  | 0 |  | 50,000 | ITS BENEFIT ASSESSMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | CVOPROJ | TM | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 0 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | DEPLSTUD | TM | 400,000 |  | 320,000 |  | 80,000 | EARLY DEPLOYMENT STUDY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ETAK (96) | TM | 1,741,000 |  |  | 441,000 | 1,300,000 | ETAKIDELCO MAPPING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | GENESIS (9 | TM | 1,032,000 |  | 396,000 |  | 636,000 | GENESIS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ICTM (96) | TM | 1,845,000 |  |  | 1,391,000 | 454,000 | INTEGRATED CORRIDOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ITMS OPS(9 | TM | 50,000 |  | 30,000 |  | 20,000 | ITMS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | LIDAR (96) | TM | 247,000 |  | 163,000 |  | 84,000 | AIR QUALITY(LIDAR) | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | MAGGUIDE( | TM | 530,000 |  | 46,000 |  | 484,000 | MAGNETIC LATERAL CONTROL-MN/ROAD | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | MAYDAY (96 | TM | 532,000 |  | 304,000 |  | 228,000 | MAYDAYIAUTO ACCIDENT NOTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | NON-INTRU | TM | 638,000 |  | 440,000 |  | 198,000 | NON-INTRUSIVE TECHNOLOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ONE-STOP ( | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ONE-STOP SHOPPING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | POLARIS (9 | TM | 1,513,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 513,000 | POLARIS-ARCHITECTURE | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | PORTTMS ( | TM | 334,000 |  |  | 190,000 | 144,000 | PORTABLE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | R\&D(96). | TM | 1,394,000 |  | 1,394,000 |  | 0 | MISC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | SMARTDAR | TM | 137,000 |  | 65,000 |  | 72,000 | SMART DARTS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | SPIM (96) | TM | 457,000 |  | 327,000 |  | 130,000 | ST PAUL INCIDENT MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TELEWORK | TM | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 0 | TELEWORK CENTERS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TRANSITW | TM | 315,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 165,000 | U OFM TRANSITWAY | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TRAVLINK | TM | 2,738,000 |  | 1,649,000 | 375,000 | 714,000 | TRAVLINK | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TRILOGY (9 | TM | 1,915,000 |  | 1,172,000 |  | 743,000 | TRILOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | VEHSIGN (9 | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | IN-VEHICLE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | WIND (96) | TM | 125,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 25,000 | WEATHER INFO NETWORK DEMONSTRATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | WIREL. 911 | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | WIRELESS 911 | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ADVPARK | TM | 182,000 |  | 122,000 |  | 60,000 | ADVANCED PARKING SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-8
Intelligent Transportation Systems Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | ITS | AMWZTS (9 | TM | 1,000,000 |  | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | AUTOMATED MOBILE WORKZONE | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ARTIC (97) | TM | 454,000 |  | 267,000 |  | 187,000 | ADVANCED RURAL TRAFFIC INFO \& COORD. | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | AUSCl (97) | TM | 1,441,000 |  | 557,000 | 500,000 | 384,000 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTRTOL | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | CVOPROJ | TM | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 0 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | GENESIS (9 | TM | 168,000 |  | 44,000 |  | 124,000 | GENESIS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ICTM (97) | TM | 2,480,000 |  |  | 1,515,000 | 965,000 | INTEGRATED CORRIDOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | MAYDAY (97 | TM | 1,400,000 |  | 800,000 |  | 600,000 | MAYDAYIAUTO ACCIDENT NOTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ONE-STOP ( | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ONE-STOP SHOPPING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | POLARIS 19 | TM | 1,210,000 |  | 800,000 |  | 410,000 | POLARIS-ARCHITECTURE | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | SMARTDAR | TM | 425,000 |  | 207,000 |  | 218,000 | SMART DARTS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | SPIM (97) | TM | 210,000 |  | 120,000 |  | 90,000 | ST PAUL INCIDENT MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | TRAVLINK( | TM | 165,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 40,000 | TRAVLINK | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | TRILOGY (9 | TM | 1,684,000 |  | 1,041,000 |  | 643,000 | TRILOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | WIND (97) | TM | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 0 | WEATHER INFO NETWORK DEMONSTRATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | AUSCI (98) | TM | 180,000 |  | 135,000 |  | 45,000 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTRTOL | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | CVO PROJ ( | TM | 500,000 |  |  | 500,000 | 0 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | ICTM (98) | TM | 1,465,000 |  |  | 979,000 | 486,000 | INTEGRATED CORRIDOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | MAYDAY (98 | TM | 868,000 |  | 496,000 |  | 372,000 | MAYDAYIAUTO ACCIDENT NOTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | POLARIS 19 | TM | 1,815,000 |  | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 615,000 | POLARIS-ARCHITECTURE | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | TRILOGY ${ }^{9}$ | TM | 314,000 |  | 251,000 |  | 63,000 | TRILOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-9
NHS Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 1 | TH 3 | 1928-43 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 75TH ST TO TH 52-LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 021428 | MC | 15,000 | 12,000 | 3,000 | 0 | FOLEY BLVD INTERCHANGE-SIGNING | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-29 | MC | 210,000 | 168,000 | 42,000 | 0 | FOLEY BLVD INTERCHANGE-LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Expand | 518 |
| 1996 | 5 | TH 13 | 1901-130 | MC | 475,000 | 380,000 | 95,000 | 0 | MENDOTA INTERCHANGE - LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 36 | 6212-62006 | BI | 390,000 | 312,000 | 78,000 |  | UNDER EDGERTON, ARCADE, VICTORIA, \& HAMLINE AVES - MILL \& LS OVERLAY BRS 62006, 62007, 62035, 62069 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-96 | RS | 2,250,000 | 1,800,000 | 450,000 | 0 | 1494 TO THOMAS AVE. - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-5 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 1-394 TO 1-94 - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH212 | 1013-63 | SC | 375,000 | 300,000 | 75,000 | 0 | AT TH 101 - SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-154 | TM | 35,000 | 28,000 | 7,000 | 0 | HIGHWAY ADVISORY RADIO SIGNS | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-155 | TM | 225,000 | 180,000 | 45,000 | 0 | RAMP METERS ON TH 10, 1494, 1-94 AND TH 169 | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-156 | TM | 160,000 | 128,000 | 32,000 | 0 | CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 7 | 100422 | RS | 2,100,000 | 1,680,000 | 420,000 | 0 | O.6 MI E OF E LIM OF ST. BONI TO 0.1 MI E OF TH 41 - RECONDITION: AND SIGNAL AT TH 41 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S7 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402027 | MC | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 | 0 | TH 610 WB OVER COON RAPIDS BLVD-BR 02027(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402031 | MC | 800,000 | 640,000 | 160,000 | 0 | TH 10 UNDER EGRET BLVD-BR 02031(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02034 | MC | 1,700,000 | 1,360,000 | 340,000 | 0 | SE CSAH 11(FOLEY BLVD) RAMP OVER TH 47 SB-BR 02034(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02035 | MC | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 800,000 | 0 | TH 10 EB \& WB OVER TH 47 NB-BR 02035(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02037 | MC | 4,700,000 | 3,760,000 | 940,000 | 0 | TH EB \& WB OVER TH 610 WB \& CO RD 51-BR 02037(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02039 | MC | 800,000 | 640,000 | 160,000 | 0 | TH 610 WB OVER CO RD 51 (UNIV AVE)-BR 02039(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02040 | MC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 610 EB OVER CO RD 51(UNIV AVE)-BR 02040(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02041 | MC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 610 WB OVER TH 47-BR 02041 (STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02042 | MC | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 280,000 | 0 | TH 610 EB OVER TH 47-BR 02042(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02044 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | PEDESTRIAN BR OVER TH 10-BR 02044(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-11 | MC | 5,650,000 | 4,520,000 | 1,130,00 | 0 | $900^{\prime}$ S OF TH 610 TO 2200' NW OF EGRET BLVD-GRADING, SURFACING, SIGNALS(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-12 | MC | 8,600,000 | 6,880,000 | 1,720,00 | 0 | TH 10, TH 47, TH 610 \& CSAH 51 INTERCHANGE-GRADE, SURFACE(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-9
NHS Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021416 | MC | 385,000 | 308,000 | 77,000 |  | FROM $900^{\circ}$ S OF TH 610 TO 2200' NW OF EGRET BLVD-SIGNING(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-17 | MC | 140,000 | 112,000 | 28,000 | 0 | 900' S OF TH 610 TO 2200' NW OF EGRET BLVD-LIGHTING(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-18 | MC | 25,000 | 20,000 | 5,000 | 0 | TH 10, TH 47, TH 610 \& CSAH 51 INTERCHANGE-SIGNING(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-19 | MC | 75,000 | 60,000 | 15,000 | 0 | TH 10, TH 47, TH $610 \&$ CSAH 51 INTERCHANGE-LIGHTING(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214.22 | MC | 225,000 | 180,000 | 45,000 | 0 | 0.5 MI W OF I-35W TO TH 65-LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8204-44 | RC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | NE QUADRANT FR RD AT TH 5-GRADE \& SURFACE (ADVANCE FUNDING) | MN/DOT | Replace | B-00 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1905-24 | RS | 760,000 | 608,000 | 152,000 | 0 | CO RD 86 IN HAMPTON TO TH 50-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 62 | 2763-34 | BI | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 280,000 |  | OVER MN\&S R/R - 0.6 MI W OF TH 100 -REPL DECK BR.S 27085 \& 27086 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-157 | TM | 56,000 | 45,000 | 11,000 | 0 | LOOP DETECTOR REPLACEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02043 | MC | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 280,000 | 0 | POLK ST OVER TH 10-BR 02043(STAGE 4) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 021413 | MC | 12,400,000 | 9,920,000 | 2,480,00 | 0 | UNIVERSITY AVE TO TH 65-GRADE,SURFACE,SIGNALS,NOISE WALLS,ETC | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 021420 | MC | 600,000 | 480,000 | 120,000 | 0 | CO RD 51 (UNIVERSITY AVE) TO TH 65-SIGNING(STAGE 4) | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 021421 | MC | 250,000 | 200,000 | 50,000 |  |  | MN/DOT | Expand | 518 |
| 1998 |  | TH 36 | 6212-141 | BR | 3,800,000 | 3,040,000 | 760,000 |  | AT DALE ST INTERCHANGE-BR 62073(WB),62074(EB);REPLACE BR 6724 \& RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE,SIGNING,LIGHTING,SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1998 | 4 | TH 36 | 8214-114 | MC | 25,000,000 | 16,800,000 | 4,200,00 | 4,000,000 | FROM WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER -GRADING, SURFACING, LIGHTING,SIGNING,LAND SPANS TO BR 82011,ETC | MN/DOT | Expand | A-00 |
| 1998 | 13 | TH 212 | 2762-11 | MC | 12,575,000 | 10,060,000 | 2,515,00 | 0 | 0.5 MI E OF MITCHELL RD TO 1-494-GRADING, SURFACING OF STAGE 1 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 13 | TH 212 | 2762-27148 | MC | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 500,000 | 0 | PRAIRIE CENTER DRIVE OVER TH 212-BR 27148 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |

Twin Cities Metropolitan Area
1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6280-300 | SC | 125,000 | 0 | 125,000 | 0 | ON I-35E FROM W TO E JCT I-694 \& ON I-694 FROM I-35W TO W JCT I-35E-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 6208-8801 | AM | 270,000 | 0 | 270,000 |  | ON UNIVERSITY AVE IN ST PAUL-STORM SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 47 | 0206-47 | AM | 605,000 | 0 | 605,000 | 0 | $1000^{\prime}$ S TO $1000^{\prime}$ N OF CO RD 116 -INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL | ANOKA CO | Other | E3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 7008-38 | AM | 135,000 | 0 | 135,000 | 0 | AT EAST STREET IN BELLE PLAINE-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | BELLE PLAINE | Other | E3 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-95 | AM | 130,000 | 0 | 130,000 |  | W. FRONTAGE RD(BUCKHILL) AT SOUTHCRESS DR \& 150TH ST-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | BURNSVILLE | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-60 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 19(GALPIN) IN CHANHASSEN-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS \& TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | CHANHASSEN | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 2736-41 | AM | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 62(TOWN LINE RD)-RECONSTRUCT CONNECTION | HENNEPIN CO | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-102 | AM | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 61(HEMLOCK LANE) RAMPS-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MAPLE GROVE | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-294 | AM | 45,000 | 0 | 45,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 5(MINNETONKA BLVD) EAST RAMP-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MINNETONKA | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP96 | RB | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 1996 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 5 | 6201-882 | AM | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | DAVERN OUTLET-SEWER SEPARATION | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 5 | 6201-886 | AM | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | MAYNARD/STEWART-SEWER SEPARATION | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 6204-44 | RS | 773,600 | 0 | 773,600 | 0 | FROM CR H TO 1694, CONCRETE REHAB | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35 | 1980-19841 | BI | 230,000 | 0 | 230,000 | 0 | UNDER 195TH ST, CSAH 29, CR 62 - MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS 19841, 70802, 70805 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6280-291 | SC | 180,000 | 0 | 180,000 | 0 | AT MARYLAND AVE-REBUILD SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6281-36 | BR | 2,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,00 | 0 | 1694 TO CO RD E - BR 62895 - REPLACE BR 9838 ; RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT CO RD E; AUXILIARY LANE ON I35E (LET BY CITY 1992-P | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9830 | BI | 160,000 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | UNDER CSAH 14 \& UNDER CSAH 21-MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS $9830 \& 02801$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-94 | SC | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | S JCT I-35E/35 TO TH 13-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-27871 | BI | 800,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | SB 35W OVER NB TH 65 - OVERLAY \& REPAIR BR.27871, ALSO BRS. $27930,31,33,34,35,36,39,41,9088$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | TH 36 | 6212-143 | SC | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | 1-35W TO ENGLISH ST-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 | 4 | TH 36 | 8214-96RW | RW | 6,000,000 | 0 | 6,000,00 | 0 | RNW ACQUISITION FOR STILLWATER BRIDGE PROJECT | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1996 |  | TH 49 | 6214-81 | RS | 175,000 | 0 | 175,000 | 0 | O.3 MI N OF CO RD B2 TO WOODLYN AVE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 51 | 6216-111 | RS | 523,500 | 0 | 523,500 | 0 | N LIMITS OF ROSEVILLE TO N OF I694, CONCRETE | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 1907-55 | RS | 1,350,000 | 0 | 1,350,00 | 0 | S JCT TO N JCT TH 52/55/56-CONCRETE REHABILITATION BRIDGE REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 1909-74 | SC | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | AT S JCT TH 149-CONSTRUCT DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 1910-37 | RS | 747,100 | 0 | 747,100 | 0 | S JCT OF TH 56 TO HASTINGS, MILL AND OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1996 |  | TH 61 | 6221-38 | RS | 170,000 | 0 | 170,000 | 0 | W JCT 194 TO W JCT TH 5/61-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 61 | 6222-127 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT BEAM AVE IN MAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL AND INTERSECTION REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 27743 | SH | 55,000 | 0 | 55,000 | 0 | TH 62 UNDER TH 100 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2774-4 | SH | 180,000 | 0 | 180,000 | 0 | AT FRANCE AVE. - SIGNAL RECONSTRUCTION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2775-7 | RS | 190,000 | 0 | 190,000 | 0 | FROM W. OF TH 77 TO 0.2 MI.W. OF 28TH AVE. - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2781-385 | SC | 220,000 | 0 | 220,000 | 0 | LOWRY HILL TUNNEL. TO I-694-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | 1.94 | 2781-387 | RC | 270,000 | 0 | 270,000 | 0 | DARTMOUTH BR/U OF M INTERCHANGE AREA - LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Replace | 06 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 2786-100 | SC | 160,000 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 81 - REBUILD SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-101 | SH | 55,000 | 0 | 55,000 | 0 | 194 UNDER TH 169 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-62845A | BI | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | UNDER PRIOR-OVERLAY BRIDGE 62845 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 6283-157 | SC | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | ON TH 94 RAMP TERMINI WITH TH 120-SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1996 |  | TH 100 | 2733-76 | SC | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 100 UNDER TH 494 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 120 | 6227-53 | SC | 110,000 | 0 | 110,000 | 0 | AT 194 NO FR RD-GEOMETRIC \& SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 | 12 | TH 169 | 2750-50 | MC | 80,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | FROM 93RD AVE N TO HAYDEN LK RD (OSSEO BYPASS) LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-18 | SC | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | AT 77TH AVE N - 2 TEMP SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-27534 | BI | 675,000 | 0 | 675,000 | 0 | UNDER MEDICINE LAKE ROAD, ROCKFORD ROAD, 36 TH N AND 63RD N, LS OVERLAY BRS 27536,27551,27550 AND REDECK BR 27534 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-6 | SC | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | VALLEY VIEW RD. RAMPS-INSTALL 2 SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 212 | 1013-56 | SC | 450,000 | 0 | 450,000 | 0 | FROM E.OF WALNUT AVE. THRU CO.RD.17-CONTINUE LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-118 | SC | 220,000 | 0 | 220,000 | 0 | EB AT HARDMAN AVE - RESTRIPE, OVERLAY, RAMP METER, ETC | MN/DOT | Manage | S10 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-119 | SC | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | EB EXIT TO TH 149 - RAMP MODIFICATIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | 56 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-276 | SH | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 1494 UNDER TH 7 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 8285-6617 | BI | 595,000 | 0 | 595,000 | 0 | OVER TH 61, BN AND SOO LINE RR, MAXWELL AVE - LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS ON BR 9293,9291,6617 | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-80 | SC | 305,000 | 0 | 305,000 |  | ON TH 13,35E,55,61,77,96,110-DISTRICTWIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-96 | AM | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 |  | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS IN FY96 | MN/DOT | Other | 01 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454B | RX | 960,000 | 0 | 960,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY 96 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-96-OV | SA | 5,000,000 | 0 | 5,000,00 | 0 | COST OVERRUN/SUPP. AGREEMENT SETASIDE FOR METRO - FY 96 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-96-R | RW | 7,500,000 | 0 | 7,500,00 | 0 | RIGHT OF WAY SETASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY 96 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-ENT9 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FY96 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-PF96 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FY96 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-TRAF | SC | 490,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FY96 | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-293 | AM | 35,000 | 0 | 35,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 9(ROCKFORD RD) WEST RAMP-SIGNAL REVISION | PLYMOUTH | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH7 | 2706-190 | AM | 85,000 | 0 | 85,000 | 0 | FRONTAGE RD AT TEXAS AVE-MILL \& OVERLAY | ST LOUIS PARK | Other | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-20 | AM | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | AT 22ND ST FRONTAGE RD IN ST LOUIS PARK-MILL \& OVERLAY | ST LOUIS PARK | Other | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 51 | 6215-83 | AM | 55,000 | 0 | 55,000 | 0 | AT ENERGY PARK DRIVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | ST PAUL | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 6208-37 | AM | 130,000 | 0 | 130,000 | 0 | AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN THE MIDWAY AREA-SIGNAL REVISIONS | STPAUL | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 5 | 1002-64 | AM | 175,000 | 0 | 175,000 |  | 1000' E TO $1000^{\prime}$ W OF CSAH 11 (VICTORIA DR)-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL,OVERLAY | VICTORIA | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 8282-84 | AM | 55,000 | 0 | 55,000 | 0 | 194 AT CSAH 13 IN WASHINGTON CO, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | WASHINGTON CO | Other | E2 |
| 1997 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP97 | RB | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 1997 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH5 | 1002-63 | RS | 1,961,300 | 0 | 1,709,30 | 252,000 | FROM TH 25 TO W OF TH 41, MILL AND OVERLAY, SIGNALS AT CSAH 13(ROLLING ACRES) | MN/DOT | Preserve | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 5 | 6201-62066 | BI | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | SOO LINE RR AND ROAD - LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 7 | 2706-5323 | BI | 260,000 | 0 | 260,000 | 0 | OVER RECREATIONAL TRAIL IN EXCELSIOR, REPLACE BR 5323 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | TH 13 | 7001-73 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 12 IN PRIOR LAKE - SIGNAL, CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 13 | 7001-76 | SC | 400,000 | 0 | 260,000 | 140,000 | CSAH 16/MCCOLL AVE, SIGNAL SYSTEM; RAISED CHANNELIZATION; ENTER LEFT AND RIGHT TURN LANES | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35E | 6280-9330 | BI | 850,000 | 0 | 850,000 | 0 | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER - PARTIAL PAINT \& RAILING REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 2783-27850 | BI | 370,000 | 0 | 370,000 | 0 | UNDER TH 55 RAMP TO TH 94 WB - REDECK BR 27850 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | TH 36 | 82149115 | BI | 110,000 | 0 | 110,000 | 0 | EB OVER TH 95 - LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8214-97RW | RW | 4,000,000 | 0 | 4,000,00 | 0 | STILLWATER BRIDGE - RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISTION | MN/DOT |  | A-00 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1906-40 | RS | 2,804,300 | 0 | 2,804,30 | 0 | S JCT OF TH 55 TO TH 50, MILL AND OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1907-9107 | AM | 2,010,000 | 0 | 2,010,00 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB TH } 52 \text { OVER SB TH } 56 \text { - REMOVE BRIDGE - PART OF } \\ & \text { TH } 56 \text { TURN BACK } \end{aligned}$ | MN/DOT | Other | B-00 |

## TABLE A-10

## 100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed $\$$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-92 | RS | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | FROM 2.4 MI S OF N ANOKA CO LINE (226TH AVE NE) TO CSAH 24-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-94 | RS | 382,000 | 0 | 282,000 | 100,000 | 217TH AVE (NB) TO 229TH AVE, MILL AND OVERLAY. SIGNALS AT CSAH $24(237 T H)$ AND CR 86 (SIMS ROAD) | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-95 | SC | 400,000 | 0 | 350,000 | 50,000 | CLOVERLEAF/93RD AVE, SIGNAL REBUILD; AUX LANE; DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | E1 |
| 1997 |  | $1-94$ | 2786-97 | SC | 160,000 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | CSAH 152 RAMPS-REBUILD 2 SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1997 | 8 | 1-94 | 8282-8801 | BR | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | O.6 MI WEST OF TO THE ST CROIX RIVER-LANDSCAPING OF EB | MN/DOT | Replace | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH 97 | 8212-17 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 50,000 | GOODVIEW AVE/BTH ST, SIGNAL SYSTEM AND CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 101 | 1009-11 | RS | 330,000 | 0 | 330,000 | 0 | TH 212 TO 0.1 MI S OF TH 5 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 101 | 2736-40 | RS | 290,000 | 0 | 290,000 | 0 | 0.1 MI N OF LAKE ST TO CSAH 101 WB (OLD TH 12)-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1997 |  | TH 169 | 2772-16 | SC | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | AT LONDONDERRY RD - WIDEN NB EXIT RAMP AND SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 212 | 2763-35 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | CSAH 61 (SHADY OAK ROAD), SIGNAL SYSTEM; CHANNELIZATION REMOVAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 1985-19825 | BI | 380,000 | 0 | 380,000 | 0 | OVER TH 13 \& C\&NW RR - L.S. OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9079 | BI | 295,000 | 0 | 295,000 | 0 | UNDER PORTLAND AVE, REDECK BR 9079 | MN/DOT | Preserve | 519 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-150 | SC | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | METRO WIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454C | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,00 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY97 | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-97-OV | SA | 5,000,000 | 0 | 5,000,00 | 0 | COST OVERRUN/SUPP. AGREEMENT SETASIDE FOR METRO - FY 97 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-97-R | RW | 14,500,000 | 0 | 14,500,0 | 0 | RIGHT OF WAY SETASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY 97 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-AM97 | AM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,00 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FY97 | MN/DOT | Other | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-ENT9 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FY97 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-PF97 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FY97 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-TRAF | SC | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,00 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FY97 | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP98 | RB | 75,000 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 1998 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH7 | 1003-25 | RS | 855,000 | 0 | 855,000 | 0 | TH 25 TO ST BONIFACIOUS-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH7 | 2706-191 | RS | 2,140,000 | 0 | 2,140,00 | 0 | E OF TH 41 TO TH 100-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35E | 1982-125 | SC | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | AT CO RD 11 NORTH RAMP-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35E | 1982-126 | SC | 80,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 26(LONE OAK RD) IN EAGAN-SIGNAL REVISION \& DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 36 | 6211-62070 | BI | 165,000 | 0 | 165,000 | 0 | OVER TH 61-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BR 62070 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 0206-392 | BI | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | OVER FORD BROOK(2 LOCATIONS)-REPLACE BRS 392 \& 393 WITH BOX CULVERTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 61 | 6220-63 | RS | 1,210,000 | 0 | 1,210,00 | 0 | N OF I-494 TO N OF BURNS AVENUE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects


TABLE A-10
100\% State Funded Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-98BI | BI | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | METROWIDE SET ASIDE TO RETROFIT PEDESTRIAN FENCES ON BRIDGES | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-98RD | RD | 2,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,00 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR RECONDITIONING FY98 | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-98SC | SC | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | METROWIDE-SIGNAL PRESERVATION SET ASIDE FOR FY 98 | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-ENT9 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRWWAYS FY98 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-PF98 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FY98 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-RS98 | RS | 2,380,000 | 0 | 2,380,00 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR ADDITIONAL RESURFACING FY 98 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-TRAF | SC | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,00 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FY98 | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |

'TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | EN | 103-080-01 | EN | 226,488 | 113,244 | 0 | 113,244 | ANOKA \& RAMSEY CITIES: CONSTRUCT LIGHTING \& FACILITIES FOR PATH | ANOKA | Other | S18 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 02-590-02 | EN | 213,334 | 160,000 | 0 | 53,334 | ANOKA CO PARKS: E RIVER RD TO CAMDEN BR PED/BIKEWAY | ANOKA CO | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 1 | 02-601-35 | RC | 1,994,000 | 1,595,000 | 0 | 399,000 | ANOKA CSAH 1 (E RIVER RD) FROM TH 610 TO MISS BLVD; RECONSTR | ANOKA CO | Replace | E2 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 9 | 02-609-04 | BR | 160,000 | 128,000 | 0 | 32,000 | REPL BR ${ }^{\text {\% } 7157 ~ O V E R ~ C E D A R ~ C R E E K ~}$ | ANOKA CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | BB | 02-600-11 | TR | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 | NORTHTOWN TRANSIT HUB | ANOKA REGIONAL RAIL | Transit | E6 |
| 1995 |  | COUNTY | 10-653-05 | BR | 226,000 | 114,000 | 0 | 112,000 | CARVER CSAH 53 OVER BEVENS CREEK-REPLACE BRIDGE | CARVER CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 19-590-05 | EN | 220,000 | 176,000 | 0 | 44,000 | BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL - PHASE II | DAKOTA CO | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 19-590-06 | EN | 495,000 | 396,000 | 0 | 99,000 | BIG RIVERS REGIONAL TRAIL - PHASE III | DAKOTA CO | Other | 09 |
| 1995 |  | XX | 19-642-33 | BT | 613,225 | 490,580 | 0 | 122,645 | GREAT RIVER ROAD - HASTINGS/DAKOTA CO BIKE \& PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES | DAKOTA CO | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | CR 46 | 19-600-17 | RC | 4,675,000 | 3,740,000 | 0 | 935,000 | CR 46 - JOPLIN AV.TO I-35-RECONSTRUCT FROM 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED AND BUILD NEW BRIDGE OVER I35 | DAKOTA CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 68 | 19-668-02 | BR | 920,000 | 736,000 | 0 | 184,000 | REPL BR OVER VERMILLION RIVER ON CSAH 68 | DAKOTA CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 127-090-03 | EN | 120,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | CITY OF FRIDLEY: UNIVERSITY AVE BIKE/PED PROJECT | FRIDLEY | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 27-612-07 | EN | 100,000 | 75,000 | 0 | 25,000 | CSAH 12 - CLOQUET ISLAND SCENIC OVERLOOK | HENNEPIN CO | Other | 09 |
| 1995 |  | CR 18 | 27-618-67 | RC | 26,934,800 | 5,500,000 | 0 | 8,714,800 | CSAH 18 - CSAH 1 (102ND ST) TO 1-494-RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 36 | 27-636-04 | BR | 2,900,000 | 2,320,000 | 0 | 580,000 | UNIVERSITY (CSAH 36) AND 14TH AVE SE OVER BNRR REPLACE BR 90422 \& 92353 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-100-06 | EN | 158,500 | 110,950 | 0 | 47,550 | ST. ALBANS BAY BIKEWAY BR IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | HENNEPIN PARKS | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-110-04 | EN | 300,000 | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | NORTH MISSISSIPPI REGIONAL TRAIL IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | HENNEPIN PARKS | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 91-110-05 | EN | 150,000 | 105,000 | 0 | 45,000 | VALLEY VIEW ROAD BIKE/PEDESTRIAN BR IN HENNEPIN COUNTY | HENNEPIN PARKS | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-03A | TM | 95,800 | 79,262 | 0 | 16,538 | 1-494 CORRIDOR COMMISSION - TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 1-494 CORR. COMM. | Manage | 01 |
| 1995 |  | NS BIKENWALK | 8800-TRED | BT | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | TRANSIT EDUCATION | MCTO | Trails | 01 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 90-070-02 | TR | 2,250,000 | 1,800,000 | 0 | 450,000 | RTB; FUNDING OF TRANSIT SERVICE EXPANSION ADDITIONAL BUS SERVICE | MCTO | Transit | T1 |
| 1995 |  | BB | 90-070-06 | TR | 160,000 | 128,000 | 0 | 32,000 | SPEEDLITE | MCTO | Transit | S7 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-05 | TR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | IN MPLS; THIRD AVE DISTRIBUTOR AREA - INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS NEAR PARKING AREAS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | S7 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-06 | TR | 520,000 | 416,000 | 0 | 104,000 | CONVERT SOV TO HOV PARKING AT 2 MPLS PARKING FACILITIES | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | AQ1 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-071-01 | TM | 1,190,000 | 952,000 | 0 | 238,000 | COORDINATED TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S7 |
| 1995 |  | CMAQ | 141-071-03 | TM | 563,000 | 423,000 | 0 | 140,000 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS - LAKE I NICOLLET | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S7 |
| 1995 |  | CITY | 141-080-15 | BR | 1,168,000 | 934,400 | 0 | 233,600 | REPL NICOLLET ST BR L-8924 WITH BR *27695 | MINNEAPOLIS | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | XX | 92-090-01 | BT | 1,200,000 | 950,000 | 0 | 250,000 | GATEWAY BIKEWAY TRAIL - ALONG 35E FROM ARLINGTON AVE TO CAYUGA ST | MN DNR | Tralls | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 102 | 27-00212 | SR | 145,665 | 118,425 | 0 | 27,240 | SOO RR AT CSAH 102 - CANITILEVERS | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 44 | 62-644-13 | RC | 2,935,000 | 2,348,000 | 0 | 587,000 | RAMSEY CSAH 44 (SILVER LAKE RD) SILVER LANE TO I-694; RECONSTR | RAMSEY CO | Replace | E2 |
| 1995 |  | 77TH St | 157-108-15 | MC | 10,350,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,070,000 | RICHFIELD; 77TH ST FROM PORTLAND AVE TO CEDAR AVE | RICHFIELD | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 167-080-01 | EN | 154,700 | 77,350 | 0 | 77,350 | COUNTY ROAD J TRAIL IN SHOREVIEW | SHOREVIEW | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | EN | 164-080-06 | EN | 380,000 | 304,000 | 0 | 76,000 | BRICK STREET PAVING - ST PAUL | STPAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1995 |  | XX | 97-100-07 | TR | 148,000 | 118,400 | 0 | 29,600 | U OF M; INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND MAPS (CAMPUS-WIDE STUDY OF INTERMODAL TRANS \& DEVEL | UOFM | Transit | 02 |
| 1995 |  | CSAH 2 | 82-602-09 | RD | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | CSAH 2 CORRIDOR PAVEMENT REHAB FROM I-36 TO TH61 AND SIGNAL AT 12TH ST | WASHINGTON CO | Preserve | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 47 | 0205-68 | AM | 65,000 | 0 | 65,000 |  | AT CSAH 8 (OSBORNE RD) IN ANOKA CO INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | ANOKA CO | Other | S7 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 1982-123 | AM | 115,000 | 0 | 115,000 | 0 | AT CR 11 (PALIMINO DR IN APPLE VALLEY), FRONTAGE ROAD IMPROVMENTS | APPLE VALLEY | Other. | S7 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-44 | AM | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | TH 252 PED BRIDGE IN BROOKLYN PARK | BROOKLYN PARK | Other | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 41 | 1008-50 | AM | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | AT HUNDERTMARK IN CHASKA, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | CHASKA | Other | 57 |
| 1995 |  | TH3 | 1921-64 | AM | 380,000 | 0 | 380,000 | 0 | AT RED PINE LANE-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | EAGAN | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH3 | 1921-63 | AM | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  | AT TH 50 IN FARMINGTON, STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENT | FARMINGTON | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH 61 | 8207-53 | AM | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | FROM TH 97 TO BROADWAY IN FOREST LAKE, EMERGENCY VEHICLE PREEMPTION | FOREST LAKE | Other | S7 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2780-44 | AM | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | 194 AT CSAH 30 IN MAPLE GROVE, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | HENNEPIN | Other | S7 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 1902-46 | AM | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | AT LEXINGTON AVE IN LILLYDALE, DRAINAGE IMPROVMENTS | LILYDALE | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH 61 | 6222-129 | AM | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | TH 61 AT TH 36 IN MAPLEWOOD, FRONTAGE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS | MAPLEWOOD | Other | S7 |
| 1995 |  | TH 149 | 1917-32 | AM | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | TH 149 AT MENDOTA HEIGHTS ROAD; SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MENDOTA HEIGHTS | Other | S7 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 62-00162 | SR | 52,577 | 42,062 | 10,515 | 0 | OTTER LAKE ROAD IN WHITE BEAR LAKE - SURFACE | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-112 | SR | 187,462 | 168,716 | 18,746 | 0 | BN RR METRO | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-113 | SR | 20,857 | 18,771 | 2,086 | 0 | MN TRANSPORTATION MUSEUM - STILLWATER AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |

Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-114 | SR | 792,385 | 712,246 | 80,138 | 0 | SOO RR METRO | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-120 | RS | 57,110 | 0 | 57,110 | 0 | EASTERLY PORTION OF ST PAUL METRO AREA-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MN/DOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-121 | RS | 47,795 | 0 | 47,795 | 0 | WESTERLY PORTION OF ST PAUL METRO AREA-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MN/DOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-139 | RS | 93,265 | 0 | 93,265 |  | IN ANOKA \& NORTHWEST HENNEPIN COUNTY - PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MN/DOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | DA | 8809-140 | RS | 30,425 | 0 | 30,425 | 0 | IN ANOKA COUNTY-PEDESTRIAN CURB RAMPS | MN/DOT | Preserve | AQ2 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-54 | SR | 222,864 | 200,218 | 22,646 |  | DAKOTA RAIL, SIGNING AND MARKING AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS; HUTCHINSON TO WAYZATA - SHARED FUNDING WITH DISTRICT 8 | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |
| 1995 |  | RR | 8809-63 | SR | 89,031 | 79,925 | 9,106 |  | WC RR - WITHROW TO MARINE ON ST. CROIX, WITHROW TO WISCONSIN BORDER | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |
| 1995 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP95 | RB | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 1995 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH3 | 1921-60 | SC | 521,188 | 89,671 | 431,517 |  | AT CSAH 32 (CLIFF RD) - TRAFFIC SIGNAL \& PAINTED CHANNELIZATION, RAILROAD CROSSING | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 2701-41 | SH | 30,300 | 24,240 | 6,060 | 0 | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. - PRAIRIE CENTER DR. (78TH ST.)-COORD. SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-65 | RS | 385,176 | 307,501 | 77,675 | 0 | KELLOGG BLVD TO MINNEHAHA AVE IN ST PAUL - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 5 | 6201-70 | RS | 811,146 | 648,917 | 162,229 | 0 | WHEELER AVE TO KELLOGG BLVD-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2704-22 | SR | 580,000 | 140,000 | 440,000 | 0 | IN MINNETRISTA, CANTILEVER AND RUBBER CROSSING | MN/DOT | Manage | S1 |
| 1995 |  | TH7 | 2706-178 | SH | 460,000 | 368,000 | 92,000 | 0 | INTERCONNECT FROM SHADY OAK RD. TO LOUSIANA; REBUILD SIGS. AT 12TH AVE., BLAKE RD., TEXAS AVE., WILLISTON, 5TH ST. \& TH 1 | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 7 | 2706-181 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 | 30,000 | 0 | FROM TH41 THRU WILLISTON RD. - INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 10 | 0202-73 | RS | 1,021,552 | 0 | 1,021,55 | 0 | E. OF FAIROAK TO 0.5 MI S OF TH 242 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 12 | 2713-64 | SC | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | 300,000 | 0 | FROM MARTHA LANE TO OLD CRYSTAL BAY RD CONTINOUS REGRADE, CHANNELIZE \& SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 1902-47 | MC | 12,530 | 0 | 12,530 | 0 | FROM D ST TO THE HISTORIC MONUMENT IN MENDOTA - LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | 1980-19531 | AM | 2,067,000 | 0 | 2,067,00 | 0 | AT CO RD 46-CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, BR 19802, ETC | MN/DOT | Other | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | 8280-34 | AM | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 2 IN FOREST LAKE - TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION AT RAMP TERMINI | MN/DOT | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35 | 8280-82801 | BI | 187,511 | 0 | 187,511 | 0 | UNDER CSAH 2 IN FOREST LAKE-OVERLAY BR 82801 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 1982-118 | RS | 800,000 | 720,000 | 80,000 | 0 | S JCT I35E \& 135W TO TH 77-JOINT REHABILITATION | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 1982-119 | RS | 594,000 | 534,600 | 59,400 | 0 | CSAH 26 TO TH 110-BITUMINOUS OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 1982-120 | RS | 400,000 | 360,000 | 40,000 | 0 | TH 110 TO TH 5-SAW \& SEAL CONCRETE JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 1982-122 | SH | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | WB TH 110 TO NB I35E-RIGHT TURN MODIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 6280-293 | TM | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 160,000 | WB TH 36 TO SB I35E - HOV BYPASS LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other $\$$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | 1-35E | 6280-294 | AM | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | AT GRAND AVE-SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Other | S7 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9608 | BI | 469,682 | 0 | 469,682 | 0 | UNDER LEXINGTON AVE,TC ARSENAL ENTRANCE,LOVELL RD,SUNSET AVENUE-OVERLAY BR 9608,9582,9829 \& 9831. | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35W | 2782-257 | BI | 2,840,754 | 2,556,678 | 284,075 | 0 | SB BR 9613 \& NB BR 9614 OVER MINNHAHA PKWY-REPLACE SUPERSTRUCTURE \& WIDEN | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35W | 2783-97 | CB | 279,086 | 0 | 0 | 279,086 | WB TH 122 TO SB I-35W LOOP IN MPLS - HOV BYPASS LANE | MN/DOT | Transit | S7 |
| 1995 |  | TH36 | 8204-42 | SC. | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT HILTON TRAIL \& AT MANNING AVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& TURN LANE EXTENSIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 36 | 8214-115 | SC | 167,900 | 0 | 159,505 | 8,395 | AT WASHINGTON ST. IN STILLWATER - SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 41 | 1008-47 | RS | 239,300 | 0 | 239,300 | 0 | 0.2 MI.N. OF TH 5 TO TH 7 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 47 | 0205-8812 | AM | 110,000 | 0 | 110,000 | 0 | AT CR 116 - SIGNAL \& INTERSECTION | MN/DOT | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 49 | 0204-12 | AM | 650,000 | 0 | 650,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 23-RECONSTRUCTION | MN/DOT | Other | 510 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6216-109 | RS | 259,291 | 0 | 259,291 | 0 | O.3 MI S OF CO RD C2 TO N LIMITS OF ROSEVILLE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6216-62010 | BI | 103,644 | 0 | 103,644 | 0 | UNDER CO RD E IN ROSEVILLE-OVERLAY BR 62010 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-54 | RC | 7,044,980 | 0 | 7,015,38 | 29,598 | JULY AWARD-AT TH 3,52,55 IN INVER GROVE-BR 19045 (REP BR 5820),RECONST <br> INTERCHANGE,LIGHTING,SIGNING | MN/DOT | Replace | 519 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-56 | AM | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | AT 117TH ST IN INVER GROVE HTS-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-57 | RC | 59,271 | 0 | 59,271 | 0 | TH 52/3 INTERCHANGE - LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Replace | S18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 1907-58 | RC | 64,677 | 0 | 64,677 | 0 | TH 52/3 INTERCHANGE - SIGNING | MN/DOT | Replace | 08 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6208-33 | RS | 860,000 | 0 | 860,000 | 0 | RICE ST TO W LIMITS ST PAUL-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6208-34 | AM | 140,000 | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | EUSTIS/PRIOR-TRAFFIC SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6217-37 | RS | 125,000 | 0 | 125,000 | 0 | KELLOGG BLVD TO RICE ST-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 52 | 6217-40 | BI | 400,000 | 320,000 | 80,000 | 0 | ROBERT ST OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-SCOUR PROTECTION ON BR 9036 | MN/DOT | Preserve | NC |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2722-52 | SC | 83,444 | 0 | 41,722 | 41,722 | AT HENNEPIN CSAH 101 - TEMPORARY SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-93 | SC | 50,000 | 40,000 | 10,000 | 0 | AT 18TH AVE. N. IN PLYMOUTH-CHANNEL. \& CLOSE CROSSOVER | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-94 | SH | 976,460 | 781,168 | 195,292 | 0 | FERNBROOK LA.TO IND.BLVD.(INCL.XENIUM LA.)-G\&S AUX.\& TURN LANES,CHANNEL.\& SIG.REV. | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2723-99 | AM | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 24 - SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-104 | MC | 1,000,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | EAST 26TH ST TO CEDAR AVE. - PED BRIDGE 27202 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-27063 | MC | 1,040,000 | 0 | 104,000 | 0 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE.) OVER CEDAR AVE. CONST.BR. 27063 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 272427071 | MC | 1,810,000 | 0 | 181,000 | 0 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE.) OVER FRANKLIN AVE. CONST.BR. 27071 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-27177 | BI | 132,591 | 0 | 13,259 | 0 | SB TH 55 OVER FRANKLIN AVE AND OVER CEDAR AVE REHAB BRS 27177 \& 27178 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2724-95-ROW | RW | 5,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 |  | TH 55 (HIAWATHA) ' $1-94$ TO TH 62: PURCHASE OF RIGHT OF WAY - FY 1995 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1995 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724.99 | MC | 4,122,180 | 0 | 415,514 | 0 | LAKE ST. INTERCHANGE TO T.H. 94 IN MPLS.-GRADE, SURFACE AND LIGHTING-PHASE 1B | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2752-34 | SH | 820,000 | 576,000 | 144,000 | 100,000 | AT OTTAWA AVE.IN GOLDEN VALLEY - CONST. FR. RD., CHANNEL. \& SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 55 | 2752-37 | SH | 146,552 | 104,202 | 42,350 | 0 | AT THEO.WIRTH PKWY. - REFURBISH SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 77 | 1929-881 | AM | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | AT GALAXIE AVE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-27856 | BR | 1,018,123 | 916,311 | 101,812 | 0 | TH 94 UNDER 27TH AVE SE-BR 27856(REP BR 27954)\& APPROACHES | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-27860 | MC | 1,450,711 | 1,305,640 | 145,071 |  | LOV BR-RAMP D OVER TH 94 AT U OFM INTERCHANGE-BR 27860 | MN/DOT | Expand | S19 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-27981 | BR | 222,437 | 109,093 | 113,344 | 0 | EAST RIVER RD. OVER TH 94 - BR 27981 (REP BR 27951) | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27998 | BR | 930,851 | 837,766 | 93,085 |  | EB TH 94 TO U OF M RAMP OVER TH 94-BR 27998(REP BR 27953) | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-289 | MC | 9,810,940 | 8,332,547 | 1,478,39 | 0 | RIVERSIDE TO $1000^{\prime} E$ OF FRANKLIN AVE.-GR,SURF,LT,TM,SIGNING | MN/DOT | Expand | S10 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-9350 | BR | 11,672,968 | 9,338,374 | 2,334,59 |  | TH 94 OVER W RIVER RD/MISS R - REPL SUPERST゚RUCTURE ON BR 9350 | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2781-9420 | BI | 953,472 | 855,064 | 98,407 |  | UNDER PORTLAND, PARK, CHICAGO, 25TH ST, RIVERSIDE-OVERLAY BRS. 27851, 27852, 27853, 9420, 9421 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1995 | 7 | 1-94 | 2781-9893 | BI | 435,918 | 348,735 | 87,184 |  | TH 94 OVER FRANKLIN TERRACE - REDECK, WIDEN BR 9893 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 2786-96 | TM | 460,000 | 414,000 | 46,000 | 0 | 1-494 TO TH 169 ---TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 6282-172 | TM | 150,277 | 0 | 0 | 150,277 | TH 51 TO WB 194 - HOV BYPASS LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 6282-9379 | BI | 750,000 | 675,000 | 75,000 |  | UNDER PASCAL, VICTORIA-REDECK BRS. 9379,9663 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 6283-9147 | BI | 202,436 | 0 | 202,436 |  | UNDER RUTH ST \& UNDER WHITE BEAR AVE IN ST PAUL-OVERLAY BR 9147,9148 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 8281-82800 | BR | 8,873,000 | 7,098,400 | 1,774,60 | 0 | OVER ST CROIX AT WISC STATE LINE-BR 82800(REP BR 5999) \& APPROACHES (WISCONSIN LET) | MN/DOT | Replace | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 8282-454 | BI | 750,000 | 0 | 375,000 | 375,000 | W OF TH 95 TO HUDSON - TRUCK LANE | MN/DOT | Preserve | B-00 |
| 1995 | 8 | 1-94 | 8282-82 | BR | 2,100,000 | 1,680,000 | 420,000 |  | ST CROIX RIVER BR. EB APPROACHNB REDECK | MN/DOT | Replace | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | 1-94 | 8282-83 | SC | 200,000 | 180,000 | 20,000 |  | AT TH 95 NORTH \& SOUTH RAMPS-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 96 | 6224-50 | RS | 777,000 | 0 | 777,000 |  | CSAH 77 (OLD TH 8) TO 2000' E OF JCT TH 49 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 97 | 8201-454 | RX | 194,248 | 0 | 194,248 | 0 | FROM 135 TO TH 61-MILL \& OVERLAY-RUTTING-ROAD REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-53 | MC | 6,530,157 | 4,565,108 | 1,146,77 | 818,271 | 0.4 MI W OF CSAH 17 TO JCT OLD TH 101-GRADING | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-11
Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70008 | MC | 486,340 | 389,072 | 97,268 | 0 | CR 18 OVER SHAK. BYPASS - BR \%70008 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70037 | MC | 756,805 | 605,444 | 151,361 | 0 | EB SHAK. BYPASS OVER CSAH 16 - BR ${ }^{\text {m }} 70037$ | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70038 | MC | 768,740 | 614,992 | 153,748 | 0 | WB SHAK. BYPASS OVER CSAH 16-BR ${ }^{\text {W }} 70038$ | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 | 12 | TH 169 | 2750-42 | MC | 5,365,213 | 3,784,362 | 960,090 | 620,761 | O.1 MIN OF 93RD AVE N TO 0.1 MI N OF HAYDEN LK RD- STAGE 3 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | TH 169 | 2772-14 | SC | 755,263 | 0 | 755,263 | 0 | AT BETTY CROCKER DR., AT CSAH 9 (ROCKFORD RD.) AND AT CSAH 10 (BASS LK.RD.)-MODIFY WEAVE AREAS | MN/DOT | Manage | S19 |
| 1995 |  | TH212 | 1013-454 | RX | 540,000 | 0 | 540,000 | 0 | NORWOOD TO COLOGNE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH212 | 1013-64 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 15 - INTERSECTION RELOCATION \& SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH212 | 2762-14 | MC | 3,450,000 | 0 | 456,000 | 1,170,000 | TECHNOLOGY DRIVE FROM PRAIRIE CENT.DR. TO 2000' W. OF PRAIRIE CENT.DR. - SURCHARGE - CITY LETTING | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | TH212 | 2762-ROW | RW | 8,000,000 | 0 | 1,600,00 | 0 | NEW 212 1-494 TO COLOGNE ** RWW PURCHASE ONLY | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1995 |  | TH 242 | 0212-36 | RS | 572,127 | 0 | 572,127 | 0 | W. RAMPS TH 10 TO 0.3 MI.W. OF UNIVERSITY - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-40 | SC | 246,450 | 0 | 238,598 | 7,852 | FROM 73RD AVE N TO 1000' N OF BROOKDALE DR-EXTEND NB 3RD LN. AND DROP RIGHT | MN/DOT | Manage | S4 |
| 1995 |  | TH 252 | 2748-43 | SH | 371,628 | 296,102 | 61,586 | 13,939 | AT 85TH AVE N-NB DOUBLE LT TURN LN AND SB FREE RT TURN | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 280 | 6242-61 | MC | 1,500,000 | 0 | 1,500,00 | 0 | NOISE BARRIERS ALONG TH 280 | MN/DOT | Expand | 03 |
| 1995 |  | 1-394 | 2789-105 | CB | 169,229 | 0 | 0 | 169,229 | ON RAMP FROM WB TH 394 TO NB TH 169 - CONST HOV BYPASS - TEAM TRANSIT | MN/DOT | Transit | S7 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 1985-454 | RX | 387,841 | 0 | 387,841 | 0 | EB FROM ROBERT ST. TO CONCORD - RUTTING IN ALL LANES-ROAD REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 1986-29 | RS | 927,900 | 0 | 927,900 | 0 | 0.5 MI E OF TH 149 TO MINNESOTA RIVER - BIT OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-272 | TM | 1,600,000 | 1,440,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 1-394 TO I-94-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-281 | SC | 430,000 | 387,000 | 43,000 | 0 | AT NIC.AVE. \& AT LYN.AVE.-REM./REPL. SIGS.@ RAMP TERMINALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-284 | RC | 1,350,000 | 1,215,000 | 135,000 | 0 | TH 494 OVER TH 35W - CONSTRUCT TEMP BYPASS AND TEMP BR 99161 | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 2785-6850 | BI | 720,000 | 648,000 | 72,000 | 0 | TH 494 OVER TH 35W - REDECK BR 6850 \& 6851 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-494 | 8285-9344 | BI | 96,813 | 0 | 96,813 | 0 | UNDER BAILEY RD-OVERLAY BR 9344 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 610 | 2771-95-ROW | RW | 5,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,00 | 0 | TH 610-TH 252 TO I-94-RW ACQUISITION FY 95 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1995 |  | 1-694 | 6286-9827 | BI | 450,000 | 360,000 | 90,000 | 0 | UNDER LABORE RD,TH 120,MCKNIGHT RD-OVERLAY BRS 9827, 9828, 62827, 62837 | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1995 |  | 1-694 | 8286-454A | RX | 52,815 | 0 | 52,815 | 0 | OVER TH 5, 3.4 MI N OF JCT TH 169 AND I-694, BR ${ }^{\text {\% }} 82807$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1995 |  | 1-694 | 8286-51 | AM | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 10 IN OAKDALE-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Other | E2 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-148 | RX | 110,665 | 0 | 110,665 | 0 | DISTRICTWIDE RELAMPING | MN/DOT | Preserve | S18 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-454C | RX | 295,000 | 0 | 295,000 | 0 | BITUMINOUS CRACK SEALING AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN RAMSEY, HENNEPIN, DAKOTA, \& WASHINGTON COUNTIES | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |

Previous Fiscal Year Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | 8809-454D | RX | 43,000 | 0 | 43,000 | 0 | DISTRICTWIDE SIGNAL LOOP REPLACEMENT | MN/DOT | Preserve | S7 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454A | RX | 375,000 | 0 | 375,000 | 0 | METRO SET•ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY 95 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-95-OV | SA | 5,000,000 | 0 | 5,000,00 | 0 | COST OVERRUN/SUPP. AGREEMENT SETASIDE FOR METRO - FY 95 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-95-R | RW | 11,900,000 | 0 | 11,900,0 | 0 | RIGHT OF WAY SETASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY 95 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1995 |  | TH 999 | IVHS95 | TM | 13,750,000 | 0 | 2,750,00 | 0 | INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (ITS) PROJECTS NOT SHOWN IN METRO TIP - RESEARCH, STUDIES, AND/OR OPERATIONAL TESTS | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1995 | 4 | TH 36 | 8204-46 | AM | 230,000 | 0 | 230,000 | 0 | SOUTH FRONTAGE RD(58TH ST)-GRADE \& SURFACE | OAK PARK HEIGHTS | Other | B-00 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 7001-74 | AM | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | AT CANDY COVE TRAIL IN PRIOR LK, DRAINAGE IMPROVMENTS | PRIOR LAKE | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH 13 | 7001-75 | AM | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | TH 13 AT FIVE HAWKS IN PRIOR LK, SIGNAL INSTALLATION | PRIOR LAKE | Other | 57 |
| 1995 |  | 1-35W | 2782-261 | AM | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | ADJACENT TO 1-35W AT RICHFIELD LAKE-STORM SEWER | RICHFIELD | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6216-110 | AM | 250,000 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | TH 51 AT CR C2 IN ROSEVILLE, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | ROSEVILLE | Other | E1 |
| 1995 |  | TH 7 | 2706-187 | AM | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | TH 7 AT ST ALBANS RD IN SHOREWOOD, STORM SEWER IMPROVMENTS | SHOREWOOD | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH5 | 6201-71 | AM | 415,000 | 0 | 415,000 | 0 | AT CEDAR IN ST PAUL, SEWER SEPARATION | STPAUL | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH51 | 6215-81 | AM | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | TH 51 AT RANDOLPH, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH 51 | 6215-82 | AM | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | TH 51 AT THOMAS, MINN, HEWIT - SIGNAL REVISIONS | ST PAUL | Other | 57 |
| 1995 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-174 | AM | 236,000 | 0 | 236,000 | 0 | 194 AT BATES/MCLEAN, SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | 06 |
| 1995 |  | TH 61 | 6222-128 | AM | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | AT WOLTERS BLVD IN VADNAIS HEIGHTS, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | VADNAIS HEIGHTS | Other | E1 |

Table A-12

APPROVED TITLE III SECTION 3 FUNDS

|  | SECTION 31996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recipient | Local Project Number | Contract <br> Letting/Years <br> in Service | Project Description | Grant I.D. | Federal Share $(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Federal Share plus <br> Local Match (\$1,000s) | Grant Status | CAA Code |
|  | STUDY/PRELIMINARYENGINEERING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mn/DOT | to be assigned | 1996 | Central <br> Corridor FEIS <br> and <br> Preliminary <br> Engineering | Sec. 3 (FTA) | \$2,800 | \$3,500 | To be applied | 0-2 |
| $\underset{f}{\omega}$ | Mn/DOT | to be assigned | 1996 | Central <br> Corridor Final Design | Sec. 3 (FTA) | \$4,920 | \$6,200 | To be applied | 0-2 |

Table A-13
APPROVED FOR TITLE III, SECTION 9 CAPITAL AND OPERATING ASSISTANCE

| 1996 SECTION 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recipient | Local Project number | Contract Letting/Years in Service | Project Description | Grant I.D. | Federal Share $(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Federal Share plus Local Match (\$1,000s) | Grant Status | CAA Code |
| Met Council |  | 1996 | Buses | Sec. 9 | \$7,600 | \$9,500 | To be applied | T-10 |
| Met Council | -- | 1996 | Operating Assistance | Sec. 9 | 4,300 | 78,000 | To be applied | T-1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1996 \\ & \text { TOTALS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | \$11,900 | \$87,500 |  |  |
| 1997 SECTION 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Met Council | 3511 | 1997 | Buses | Sec. 9 | \$7,600 | \$9,500 | To be applied | T-10 |
| Met Council | -- | 1997 | Operating Assistance | Sec. 9 | 4,300 | 80,000 | To be applied | T-1 |
| $1997$ <br> TOTALS |  |  |  |  | \$11,900 | \$89,500 |  |  |
| 1998 SECTION 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Met Council | -- | 1998 | Buses | Sec. 9 | \$7,600 | \$9,500 | To be applied | T-10 |
| Met Council | -- | 1998 | Operating Assistance | Sec. 9 | \$4,300 | \$82,400 | To be applied | T-1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1998 \\ & \text { TOTALS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | \$11,900 | \$91,900 |  |  |

Table A-14

## TITLE III SECTION 16 <br> 1995 <br> APPROVED PROJECTS

|  | Organization | \$ Federal | \$ Local | \$ Total | Vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | Dakota Inc., Eagan | \$ 33,440 | \$ 8,610 | \$ 43,050 | Mid-size bus |
| M | Human Services, Inc., Oakdale | 31,500 | 7,875 | 39,375 | Small bus |
| M | Indian Family Services, Mpls. | 34,440 | 8,610 | 43,050 | Mid-size bus |
| M | Senior Outreach Services, New Hope | 34,440 | 8,610 | 43,050 | Mid-size bus |
| M | St. Olafs Residence, Inc. Mpls. | 32,025 | 8,006 | 40,031 | Small bus |
| M | Sojourn Adult Day <br> Progra, Spring Lake Park | 28,818 | 7,204 | 36,022 | Mid-size bus |
| M | Martin Luther Manor, Bloomington | 28,818 | 7,204 | 36,022 | Mid-size bus |
| M | Ramsey Action Programs, lic., St. Paul | 28,132 | 7.033 | 35, 165 | Small bus |
|  | CY 95 PROIECT Total | \$252,613 | \$63.152 | \$315,765 |  |

TABLE A-1:5
TITLE III SECTION 5311 (Formerly Section 18) APPROVED OPERATING COSTS

| TRANSIT <br> SYSTEM NAME | DESCRIPTION | FUNDING <br> SOURCE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6280-300 | SC | 125,000 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | 0 | ON I-35E FROM W TO E JCT I-694 \& ON I-694 FROM I-35W TO W JCT I-35E-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 1 | AE-9 | RC | 2,600,000 | 2,080,000 | 0 | 0 | 520,000 | E RIVER RD FROM RICKARD RD TO 84TH AVE-RECONSTRUCT FROM 4LANE UNDIVIDED TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | ANOKA CO | Replace | 510 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 1 | HES-2 | SH | 325,000 | 260,000 | 0 | 0 | 65,000 | CSAH 1(COON RAPIDS BLVD) AT CSAH 78(HANSON BLVD)-SIGNAL REVISION \& CHANNELIZATION | ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 14 | HES-3 | SH | 20,000 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | CSAH 14(MAIN ST) AT CSAH 23(LAKE DRIVE)-OVERHEAD FLASHER | ANOKA CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 35 | 02-00127 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | CSAH 35, FRIDLEY - INSTALL SURFACE | ANOKA CO | Manage | S1 |
| 1996 |  | STP-BR | 88-600-05 | BI | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | REGION WIDE BRIDGE SCOUR STUDY - FY 96 | ATP | Preserve | 02 |
| 1998 |  | 80TH ST | AR-3 | RC | 3,588,000 | 2,870,400 | 0 | 0 | 717,600 | 79TH/80TH ST FROM CHICAGO TO CEDAR-RECONSTRUCT | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | E3 |
| 1997. |  | 80TH STREET | 107-399-16 | RC | 4,721,000 | 3,776,800 | 0 | 0 | 944,200 | 79TH/80TH STREET RECONSTRUCT FROM BLAISDELL AVE TO PORTLAND AVE | BLOOMINGTON | Replace | E2 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 109-020-08 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | BROOKLYN BLVD STREETSCAPE AMENITIES PROJECT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BROOKLYN } \\ & \text { CENTER } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 110-090-01 | EN | 634,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 134,000 | WEST RIVER ROAD CORRIDOR ENHANCEMENTS-73RD AVE TO TH 252 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BROOKLYN } \\ & \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 179-090-01 | EN | 180,000 | 144,000 | 0 | 0 | 36,000 | CLIFF ROAD TO BLACK DOG ROAD TRAIL CONNECTION | BURNSVILLE | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 11 | 10-611-02 | MC | 2,381,000 | 1,904,800 | 0 | 0 | 476,200 | CSAH 11 | CARVER CO | Expand | E2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 194-090-03 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | PEDESTRIAN UNDERPASS AT TH 5 SOUTH FRONTAGE ROAD | CHANHASSEN | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 9 | 19-00116 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 9, LAKEVILLE - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKOTA CO | Manage | S1 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 32 | 19-00117 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 32, EAGAN - INSTALL SIGNALS | DAKOTA CO | Manage | S1 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 92-090-05 | EN | 493,000 | 394,000 | 0 | 0 | 99,000 | GATEWAY TRAIL PHASE II EXTENSION-CAYUGA ST TO PENNSYLVANIA | DNR | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 195-090-03 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 | MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAILS | EAGAN | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 130-090-01 | EN | 198,000 | 158,400 | 0 | 0 | 39,600 | CITY OF HASTINGS/MINNESOTA VETERANS HOME BIKEWAY SEGMENT | HAStings | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 156 | HES-32 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | WINNETKA AVE AT 49TH AVE N-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 27-600-07 | EN | 391,000 | 312,800 | 0 | 0 | 78,200 | EXCELSIOR HISTORIC STREECAR | HENNEPIN CO | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 1 | 27-601-27 | RC | 3,900,000 | 3,120,000 | 0 | 0 | 780,000 | CSAH 1/9320-TH 169 TO W OF CSAH 18 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | A-00 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 1 | HES-26 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | AT CSAH 35(PORTLAND AVE)-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |


| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 3 | 27-603-24 | SH | 520,000 | 416,000 | 0 | 0 | 104,000 | CSAH 3 - WOODALE TO FRANCE - REBUILD 4 SIGNALS WICOORDINATION | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S19 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 4 | 27-604-12 | RC | 1,451,000 | 1,161,000 | 0 | 0 | 290,000 | HENNEPIN CO; FROM CSAH 1 TO TERREY PINE DR - RECONSTRUCT CSAH 4 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 5 | 27-605-18 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 5 AT LOUISIANA AVE S - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 32 | HES-27 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 32(PENN AVE) AT 98TH ST-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 35 | HES-29 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 35 (PORTLAND AVE) AT 86 TH ST-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 37 | BIR-10 | BR | 3,100,000 | 2,480,000 | 0 | 0 | 620,000 | 4TH ST \& 15TH AVE SE OVER BN RR-REPLACE BR | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 52 | HES-30 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | AT 86TH STREET-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 53 | 27-653-12 | RC | 692,000 | 553,600 | 0 | 0 | 138,400 | CSAH 53 (66TH ST) - CSAH 17 TO CSAH 31 RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 62 | 27-662-57 | RC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | CSAH 627419 - CSAH 62 AND TH 101 | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | E2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 81 | 27-681-06 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 81 AT CSAH 130/CSAH 152 - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 109 | 27-709-14 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 109 AT JEFFERSON HWY - REBUILD SIGNAL | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 152 | 27-752-07 | RC | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | HENNEPIN CSAH 152 FROM 64TH AVE TO 71ST AVE N - RECONSTRUCT | HENNEPIN CO | Replace | B-00 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 152 | HES-31 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 152(BROOKLYN BLVD) AT REGENT AVEIT3RD AVE-SIGNAL REBUILD | HENNEPIN CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 179-070-01 | TR | 5,265,000 | 2,950,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,315,000 | BURNSVILLE TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-030-01 | TR | 1,570,000 | 1,256,000 | 0 | 0 | 314,000 | BUS STOP SHELTERS | MCTO | Transit | 17 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-080-02 | TR | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | ROBBINSDALE TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-080-03 | TR | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | HILLCREST TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | BB | 90-080-04 | TR | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | HIGHLAND TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1997 |  | BB | 90-080-01 | TR | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | HENNEPIN/LAGOON TRANSIT HUB | MCTO | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 90-071-02 | TM | 1,420,000 | 1,136,000 | 0 | 0 | 284,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | MCTO | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | 90-071-02A | TM | 1,375,000 | 1,100,000 | 0 | 0 | 275,000 | TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | MCTO | Manage | AQ1 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35E | 1982-127 | TM | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | ON NB I-35E FROM DIFFLEY RD TO TH 13-SHOULDER BUS LANE | MCTO | Manage | S4 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-46 | TM | 70,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70,000 | LAKE DR TO SB I-35W-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MCTO | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2783-98 | TM | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450,000 | ON NB I-35W FROM 4TH ST TO LAKE DRIVE-SHOULDER BUS LANE | MCTO | Manage | S4 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 2783-99 | TM | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450,000 | ON SB I-35W FROM LAKE DRIVE TO 4TH ST-SHOULDER BUS LANE | МСто | Manage | S4 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 90-071-01 | TR | 3,875,000 | 3,100,000 | 0 | 0 | 775,000 | I-35W SERVICE EXPANSION/REORGANIZATION | MCTO | Transit | T1 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 90-071-01A | TR | 4,350,000 | 3,480,000 | 0 | 0 | 870,000 | 1-35W SERVICE EXPANSION | MCTO | Transit | T1 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 36 | 6212-144 | SC | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | ROSEDALE PARK \& RIDE TO RAMP FROM SB TH 51 TO WB TH 36-CONSTRUCT BUS RAMP METER BYPAS'S LANE | MCTO | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0207-64 | TM | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | ON TH 65 FROM TH 10 TO 45TH AVE NE-SHOULDER BUS LANES | MCTO | Manage | S4 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 2781-388 | TM | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | ON EB I-94 FROM 25TH AVE S TO RIVERSIDE-SHOULDER BUS LANE | МСТО | Manage | 54 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 6282-176 | TM | 300,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | SNELLING/PASCAL TO EB I-94-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MCTO | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 6282-177 | TM | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | ON WB I-94 FROM FAIRVIEW TO CRETIN-SHOULDER BUS LANE | MCTO | Manage | S4 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-24 | TM | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | ON TH 169 FROM TH 55 TO 36TH AVE N-SHOULDER BUS LANE | MCTO | Manage | S4 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-296 | TM | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | SB 24TH AVE TO WB 1-494-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MCTO | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 610 | 0217-15 | TM | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | COON RAPIDS BLVD TO WB TH 610-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MCTO | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 107-090-02 | EN | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | LONG MEADOW CROSSING | MCWS | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-03 | BT | 1,270,000 | 1,016,000 | 0 | 0 | 254,000 | MIDTOWN GREENWAY - PHASE I | MINNEAPOLIS | Tralls | AQ2 |
| 1996. |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-04 | BT | 1,382,700 | 1,106,160 | 0 | 0 | 276,540 | BASSETTS CREEK TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | BIKEM WALK | 141-090-06 | BT | 674,000 | 539,200 | 0 | 0 | 134,800 | BIKENALK, CEDAR LAKE PARK TRAIL - PHASE 3 | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1997 |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-05 | BT | 606,000 | 485,000 | 0 | 0 | 121,000 | KENILWORTH TRAIL | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1997 |  | BIKENWALK | 141-090-07 | BT | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | DINKYTOWN BIKEWAY CONNECTION TO DOWNTOWN | MINNEAPOLIS | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 141-070-07 | TR | 691,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 291,000 | IN MPLS; PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEM FOR TRANSIT BUSES - SIG REV IN MANY LOCATIONS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | T3 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 141-071-02 | TR | 459,000 | 275,000 | 0 | 0 | 184,000 | DOWNTOWN TMO | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | AQ1 |
| 1997 |  | CMAQ | 141-071-04 | TM | 596,000 | 451,000 | 0 | 0 | 145,000 | PRIORITY VEHICLE CONTROL SYSTEMS - LYNDALE/CEDAR | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-18 | EN | 610,000 | 488,000 | 0 | 0 | 122,000 | FREIGHT HEAD HOUSE PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | NC |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-19 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | MILWAUKEE DEPOT PRESERVATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | NC |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-20 | EN | 343,750 | 275,000 | 0 | 0 | 68,750 | MINNEHAHA PARK LONGFELLOW HOUSE INTERPRETIVE CENTER RESTORATION | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 141-080-21 | EN | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | COMO-HARRIET STREETCAR LINE IMPROVEMENTS | MINNEAPOLIS | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | XX | 141-080-16 | CB | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | IN MPLS; PED TUNNEL UNDER 4TH ST BTWN 3TD \& 4TH AVE FROM CITY HALL TO NEW FED COURTS | MINNEAPOLIS | Transit | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 23 | 27-00214 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | CSAH 23, MINNEAPOLIS - UPGRADE SIGNALS | MINNEAPOLIS | Manage | S1 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 142-080-03 | EN | 380,000 | 304,000 | 0 | 0 | 76,000 | CHARLES H BURWELL PROPERTY RESTORATION PROJECT | MINNETONKA | Other | 09 |

All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | EN | ENH-2 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | SIBLEY HISTORIC SITE-BLDG REHAB \& ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORK | MN HISTORIC SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 94-100-17 | EN | 516,000 | 413,000 | 0 | 0 | 103,000 | HISTORIC FORT SNELLING/GREAT RIVER ROAD | MN HISTORICAL SOCIETY | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 145-080-01 | EN | 879,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 379,000 | LOST LAKE HISTORIC CANAL RESTORIATION | MOUND | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 146-020-07 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE ACROSS HWY 10 | MOUNDS VIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 25 | 62-00163 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 25, MAPLEWOOD - INSTALL SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | S1 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 67 | 62-00164 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 67, WHITE BEAR LAKE - UPGRADE SIGNALS | RAMSEY | Manage | S8 |
| 1996 |  | CR B | 62-625-22 | SH | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 0 | 70,000 | RAMSEY CR B-HAMLINE AVE TO DALE ST STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | RAMSEY CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | CR C | 62-623-39 | SH | 323,000 | 258,400 | 0 | 0 | 64,600 | CR C-HAMLINE AVE TO LITTLE CANADA RD STRIPING AND SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS | RAMSEY CO | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 62-590-06 | EN | 425,000 | 340,000 | 0 | 0 | 85,000 | BATTLE CREEK BIKEWAY | RAMSEYCO | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 62-090-01 | EN | 450,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL-JOHNSON PKWY TO FROST AVE | RAMSEY CO | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | CSAH 30 | 62-630-42 | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 | CSAH 30 (LARPENTEUR AVE) - TH 280 TO CSAH 53 (DALE ST) - RECONSTRUCT | RAMSEY CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 51 | 62-651-34 | RC | 1,445,000 | 1,156,000 | 0 | 0 | 289,000 | CSAH 51 (LEX. AVE) - CSAH 30 (LARP. AVE) TO CSAH 1.5 (CR E) - MILLOVERLAY, TURN LANES, SIGNAL REV. | RAMSEY CO | Replace | S10 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 58 | BIR-18 | BR | 1,950,000 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 450,000 | EDGERTON OVER BUSH ST \& CNW RRIN ST PAUL-REP BR 90412 | RAMSEY CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 65 | 62-665-36 | SC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | CSAH 65 (WHITE BEAR AVE) - CSAH 23 (CR C) TO 1-694-GEOMETRIC/SIGNAL REVISIONS | RAMSEY CO | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | CSAH 42/46 | BIR-19 | BR | 7,500,000 | 6,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 | FORD PKWY OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REP BR 3575(PHASE 1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAMSEYIHENN } \\ & \text { EPIN CO } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 77TH ST | 157-108-17 | MC | 515,000 |  | 412,000 | 103,000 | 0 | WOOD LAKE STORM SEWER-CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING | RICHFIELD | Expand | 02 |
| 1996 |  | 77TH ST | 157-108-20 | MC | 400,000 |  | 320,000 | 60,000 | 20,000 | PORTLAND AVE TO CEDAR AVE-LANDSCAPING(CONSTRUCTION \& CE) | RICHFIELD | Expand | 06 |
| 1996 |  | 77TH ST | 157-108-XX | MC | 1,250,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 250,000 | 17TH AVE TO 24TH AVE-PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING | RICHFIELD | Expand | 02 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 70-600-03 | EN | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 0 | 70,000 | HISTORIC SITES AND TRANSPORTATION OF THE MINNESOTA RIVER VALLEY TRAIL | SCOTT CO | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 21 | 70-621-09 | MC | 2,775,000 | 2,220,000 | 0 | 0 | 555,000 | SCOTT CO; CSAH 21 NEW ALIGNMENT FROM 2000' E OF CSAH 39 TO $1300^{\prime}$ E OF CSAH 27 | SCOTT CO | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | CR 63 | 70-598-02 | BR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | REPL BR L-3046 OVER SAND CREEK, 1 MI N OF JORDAN | SCOTT CO | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 167-090-02 | EN | 178,000 | 142,400 | 0 | 0 | 35,600 | RICE CREEK OPEN SPACE TRAIL | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 167-090-03 | EN | 447,000 | 357,600 | 0 | 0 | 89,400 | 1-694 PED/BIKE OVERPASS | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 167-090-04 | EN | 434,000 | 347,200 | 0 | 0 | 86,800 | SNAIL LAKE OPEN SPACE TRAIL AND UNDERPASS | SHOREVIEW | Other | 09 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | EN | 168-090-02 | EN | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | HARDMAN REGIONAL PEDESTRIAN TRAIL IN SOUTH ST PAUL, DAKOTA COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOUTH ST } \\ & \text { PAUL } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 163-090-01 | EN | 625,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | SOUTHWEST REGIONAL TRAIL-CEDAR LAKE PARK TO HOPKINS TRAILHEAD OF HENN PARKS REG TRAIL | ST LOUIS PARK | Other | 09 |
| 1906 |  | CITY | 164-235-09 | BR | 15,000,000 | 11,900,00 | 0 | 0 | 3,100,000 | WABASHA STREET BRIDGE REPLACEMENT IN ST PAUL | ST PAUL | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | CMAQ | 164-070-05 | TM | 970,000 | 680,000 | 0 | 0 | 290,000 | TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS | ST PAUL | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 164-080-05 | EN | 580,000 | 464,000 | 0 | 0 | 116,000 | ST PAUL RIVER BLUFF ACQUISTION AND PRESERVATION PROJECT | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 164-090-04 | EN | 420,000 | 336,000 | 0 | 0 | 84,000 | MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRAIL-WARNER RD SEGMENT | ST PAUL | Other | 09 |
| 1998 |  | EN | ENH-6 | EN | 680,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 180,000 | COMO PARK STREETCAR STATION RENOVATION | ST PAUL | Other | NC |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 6208-8801 | AM | 270,000 | 0 | 0 | 270,000 |  | ON UNIVERSITY AVE IN ST PAUL-STORM SEWER SEPARATION | ST PAUL | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | EN | 91-110-07 | EN | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | SCHMID FARMSTEAD - LAKE MINNETONKA REGIONAL PARK | SUB HENN REGIONAL PARK | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | TH 212 | 181-010-08 | CB | 5,040,000 | 3,528,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,512,000 | SW METRO TRANSIT COMM; EDEN PRAIRIE TRANSIT HUB - SW QUAD, TH 5, 212, PR. CENT. DR. | SW TRANSIT COMM. | Transit | E6 |
| 1996 |  | XX | 97-090-01 | BT | 546,000 | 436,800 | 0 | 0 | 109,200 | U OF M - TRANSITWAY BIKEWAY - FROM ENERGY PK DR TO CENTRAL AVE | UOFM | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1998 |  | EN | 209-090-01 | EN | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 | CENTERVILLE ROAD TRAIL-CSAH 96 TO VADNAIS BLVD | VADNAIS HEIGHTS | Other | 09 |
| 1997 |  | EN | 82-590-01 | EN | 475,000 | 380,000 | 0 | 0 | 95,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Other | 09 |
| $1997$ |  | CSAH 3 | 82-603-05 | RC | 2,440,000 | 1,950,000 | 0 | 0 | 490,000 | CSAH 3 CORRIDOR FROM CSAH 4 TO NORTH COUNTY LINE - GEOMETRIC AND LOAD CAPACITY IMPROVMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | S10 |
| 1996 |  | CSAH 16 | 82-616-12 | RC | 1,300,000 | 1,040,000 | 0 | 0 | 260,000 | CASH 16 - INTERLACHEN DR TO CSAH 19-RECONSTRUCT FROM 2 LANE RURAL TO 4 LANE URBAN LANE URBAN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { CO } \end{aligned}$ | Replace | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | BIKENWALK | 174-090-01 | BT | 775,000 | 620,000 | 0 | 0 | 155,000 | BURLINGTON NORTHERN REGIONAL TRAIL | WHITE BEAR LAKE | Trails | AQ2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 47 | 0206-47 | AM | 605,000 | 0 | 0 | 605,000 | 0 | 1000' S TO 1000' N OF CO RD 116-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL | ANOKA CO | Other | E3 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 7008-38 | AM | 135,000 | 0 | 0 | 135,000 | 0 | AT EAST STREET IN BELLE PLAINE-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | BELLE PLAINE | Other | E3 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-95 | AM | 130,000 | 0 | 0 | 130,000 | 0 | W. FRONTAGE RD(BUCKHILL) AT SOUTHCRESS DR \& 150TH ST-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | BURNSVILLE | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH5 | 1002-60 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 |  | AT CSAH 19(GALPIN) IN CHANHASSEN-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS \& TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | CHANHASSEN | Manage | E2 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State S | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 101 | 2736-41 | AM | 300,000 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 62(TOWN LINE RD)-RECONSTRUCT CONNECTION | HENNEPIN CO | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-102 | AM | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 61(HEMLOCK LANE) RAMPS-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MAPLE GROVE | Other | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-294 | AM | 45,000 | 0 | 0 | 45,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 5(MINNETONKA BLVD) EAST RAMP-TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MINNETONKA | Other | E2 |
| 1998 |  |  | ENS-4 | EN | 110,000 | 88,000 | 0 | 22,000 | 0 | STATE ENTRYWAYS BEAUTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Other | 09 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ADVPARK( | TM | 480,000 |  | 390,000 |  | 90,000 | ADVANCED PARKING SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ANWZTS (9 | TM | 250,000 |  | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AUTOMATED MOBILE WORKZONE | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ARTIC (96) | TM | 771,000 |  | 455,000 |  | 316,000 | ADVANCED RURAL TRAFFIC INFO \& COORD. | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | AUSCI (96) | TM | 1,202,000 |  | 0 | 866,000 | 336,000 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTRTOL | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | BENEFITS ( | TM | 50,000 |  | 0 |  | 50,000 | ITS BENEFIT ASSESSMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | CVOPROJ ( | TM | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 0 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | DEPLSTUD | TM | 400,000 |  | 320,000 |  | 80,000 | EARLY DEPLOYMENT STUDY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ETAK (96) | TM | 1,741,000 |  |  | 441,000 | 1,300,000 | ETAKJDELCO MAPPING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | GENESIS (9 | TM | 1,032,000 |  | 396,000 |  | 636,000 | GENESIS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ICTM (96) | TM | 1,845,000 |  |  | 1,391,000 | 454,000 | INTEGRATED CORRIDOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ITMS OPS(9 | TM | 50,000 |  | 30,000 |  | 20,000 | ITMS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | LIDAR (96) | TM | 247,000 |  | 163,000 |  | 84,000 | AIR QUALITY(LIDAR) | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | MAGGUIDE( | TM | 530,000 |  | 46,000 |  | 484,000 | MAGNETIC LATERAL CONTROL-MN/ROAD | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | MAYDAY (96 | TM | 532,000 |  | 304,000 |  | 228,000 | MAYDAYIAUTO ACCIDENT NOTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | NON-INTRU | TM | 638,000 |  | 440,000 |  | 198,000 | NON-INTRUSIVE TECHNOLOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | ONE-STOP ( | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ONE-STOP SHOPPING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | POLARIS (9 | TM | 1,513,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 513,000 | POLARIS-ARCHITECTURE | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | PORTTMS ( | TM | 334,000 |  |  | 190,000 | 144,000 | PORTABLE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | R\&D(96) | TM | 1,394,000 |  | 1,394,000 |  | 0 | MISC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | SMARTDAR | TM | 137,000 |  | 65,000 |  | 72,000 | SMART DARTS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | SPIM (96) | TM | 457,000 |  | 327,000 |  | 130,000 | ST PAUL INCIDENT MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TELEWORK | TM | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 0 | TELEWORK CENTERS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TRANSITW | TM | 315,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 165,000 | U OF M TRANSITWAY | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TRAVLINK ( | TM | 2,738,000 |  | 1,649,000 | 375,000 | 714,000 | TRAVLINK | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | TRILOGY 19 | TM | 1,915,000 |  | 1,172,000 |  | 743,000 | TRILOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | VEHSIGN (9 | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | IN-VEHICLE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | ITS | WIND (96) | TM | 125,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 25,000 | WEATHER INFO NETWORK DEMONSTRATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | ITS | WIREL. 911( | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | WIRELESS 911 | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ADVPARK ( | TM | 182,000 |  | 122,000 |  | 60,000 | ADVANCED PARKING SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | AMWZTS (9 | TM | 1,000,000 |  | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | AUTOMATED MOBILE WORKZONE | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ARTIC (97) | TM | 454,000 |  | 267,000 |  | 187,000 | ADVANCED RURAL TRAFFIC INFO \& COORD. | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | AUSCI (97) | TM | 1,441,000 |  | 557,000 | 500,000 | 384,000 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTRTOL | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | CVO PROJ | TM | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 0 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | GENESIS (9 | TM | 168,000 |  | 44,000 |  | 124,000 | GENESIS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ICTM (97) | TM | 2,480,000 |  |  | 1,515,000 | 965,000 | INTEGRATED CORRIDOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | MAYDAY (97 | TM | 1,400,000 |  | 800,000 |  | 600,000 | MAYDAY/AUTO ACCIDENT NOTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | ONE-STOP ( | TM | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ONE-STOP SHOPPING | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | POLARIS (9 | TM | 1,210,000 |  | 800,000 |  | 410,000 | POLARIS-ARCHITECTURE | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | SMARTDAR | TM | 425,000 |  | 207,000 |  | 218,000 | SMART DARTS | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | SPIM (97) | TM | 210,000 |  | 120,000 |  | 90,000 | ST PAUL INCIDENT MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | TRAVLINK ( | TM | 165,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 40,000 | TRAVLINK | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | TRILOGY (9 | TM | 1,684,000 |  | 1,041,000 |  | 643,000 | TRILOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | ITS | WIND (97) | TM | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 0 | WEATHER INFO NETWORK DEMONSTRATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | AUSCI (98) | TM | 180,000 |  | 135,000 |  | 45,000 | AUTOMATED URBAN SIGNAL CONTRTOL | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | CVO PROJ ( | TM | 500,000 |  |  | 500,000 | 0 | COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS BUS PLAN | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | ICTM (98) | TM | 1,465,000 |  |  | 979,000 | 486,000 | INTEGRATED CORRIDOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | MAYDAY (98 | TM | 868,000 |  | 496,000 |  | 372,000 | MAYDAYIAUTO ACCIDENT NOTIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | POLARIS (9 | TM | 1,815,000 |  | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 615,000 | POLARIS-ARCHITECTURE | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | ITS | TRILOGY 19 | TM | 314,000 |  | 251,000 |  | 63,000 | TRILOGY | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1996 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP9 | RB | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 1996 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1997 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP9 | RB | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 1997 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | LANDSCAPE | DISTM-LSP9 | RB | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 1998 LANDSCAPE PARTNERSHIP | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 0206-SR | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | MNTH 47, FERRY ST IN ANOKA-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 10-00112 | SR | 130,000 | 104,000 | 0 | 0 | 26,000 | CSAH 10, CHASKA-UPGRADE SIGNALS, INSTALL GATES \& RUBBER SURFACE | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19-00119 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CO RD 58, 170TH ST, ROSEMOUNT-INSTALL SIGNALS \& GATES | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19-00120 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 108, BISCAYNE AVE, ROSEMOUNT-INSTALL CANTILEVER SIGNALS \& GATES | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 19-00121 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | MSAS 105, HOLYOKE AVE, LAKEVILLE-INSTALL SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |


| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | RR | 27-00215 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | MUN 459, TALMAGE AVE, MPLS-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 27-00218 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | MUN 1629,CEDAR LAKE BLVD,MPLS-UPGRADE SIGNALS \& SURFACE | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00165 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | MSAS 232, COMO AVE, ST PAUL-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00166 | SR | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | MUN 516, COMO PLACE, ST PAUL-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00167 | SR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | CSAH 60, OTTER LAKE RD,RAMSEY CO-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00168 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | MSAS 219, TERMINAL RD, ROSEVILLE-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 62-00169 | SR | 80,000 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | CSAH 44, SILVER LAKE RD, NEW BRIGHTON(RAMSEY CO)-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 6227-SR | SR | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | MNTH 120, CENTURY AVE, MAPLEWOOD-UPGRADE CIRCUITRY \& $12^{\prime \prime}$ LENSES | MN/DOT | Manage | 58 |
| 1998 |  | RR | 82-00119 | SR | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | MUN 43, 12TH ST, NEWPORT-UPGRADE SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S8 |
| 1996 |  | TH 3 | 1920-29 | RD | 1,200,000 | 960,000 | 0 | 240,000 | 0 | RICE-DAKOTA CO LINE TO 1.3 MIN OF N JCT TH 50 IN FARMINGTON-MILL \& OVERLAY; GUARDRAIL | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 | 1 | TH 3 | 1928-43 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | 75TH ST TO TH 52-LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 5 | 1002-57 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | - | CSAH 17 TO CSAH 4 IN CHAN. \& EDEN P.LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 5 | 1002-62 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | AT TH 284 - SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 5 | 6201-882 | AM | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | DAVERN OUTLET-SEWER SEPARATION | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 5 | 6201-886 | AM | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | MAYNARD/STEWART-SEWER SEPARATION | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH 5 | 1002-63 | RS | 1,961,300 | 0 | 0 | 1,709,300 | 252,000 | FROM TH 25 TO W OF TH 41, MILL AND OVERLAY, SIGNALS AT CSAH 13(ROLLING ACRES) | MN/DOT | Preserve | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 5 | 6201-62066 | BI | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | SOO LINE RR AND ROAD - LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 5 | $8214-120$ | SH | 110,000 | 88,000 | 0 | 22,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 15 IN LAKE ELMO-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 7 | 1004-22 | RS | 2,100,000 | 1,680,000 | 0 | 420,000 | 0 | 0.6 MI E OF E LIM OF ST. BONI TO 0.1 MIE OF TH 41 - RECONDITION; AND SIGNAL AT TH 41 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH7 | 2706-164 | SH | 950,000 | 760,000 | 0 | 190,000 | 0 | CHRISTMAS LK RD - REVISE INTERSECTION \& SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 7 | 2706-5323 | BI | 260,000 | 0 | 0 | 260,000 | 0 | OVER RECREATIONAL TRAIL IN EXCELSIOR, REPLACE BR 5323 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH7 | 1003-25 | RS | 855,000 | 0 | 0 | 855,000 | 0 | TH 25 TO ST BONIFACIOUS-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH7 | 2706-191 | RS | 2,140,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,140,000 | 0 | E OF TH 41 TO TH 100-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0202-67 | SH | 245,000 | 196,000 | 0 | 49,000 | 0 | AT THURSTON AVE IN ANOKA-REBUILD SIG, \& CHANNEL. AND AT FAIROAK AVE.- REFURB.SIG.; FAIROAK TO CSAH 56-INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0202-74 | SH | 90,000 | 72,000 | 0 | 18,000 | 0 | AT ARMSTRONG BLVD - SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0202-75 | RB | 403,000 | 322,000 | 0 | 81,000 | 0 | DAYTONPORT: GREAT RIVER ROAD, SCENIC BYWAY REST AREA | MN/DOT | Other | S15 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0203-77 | SH | 50,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | FROM W. RAMPS TH 47 TO ABLE - INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402033 | MC | 2,300,000 | 1,840,000 | 0 | 460,000 |  | TH 10 UNDER CSAH 11 (FOLEY BLVD) - BR 02033- STAGE 2A | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 021427 | MC | 6,500,000 | 5,200,000 | 0 | 1,300,000 | 0 | TH 10 STAGE 2A, RECONSTRUCT FOLEY BLVD INTERCHANGE, INCLUDING NOISE WALLS | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-28 | MC | 15,000 | 12,000 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | FOLEY BLVD INTERCHANGE-SIGNING | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1996 | 2 | TH 10 | 021429 | MC | 210,000 | 168,000 | 0 | 42,000 | 0 | FOLEY BLVD INTERCHANGE-LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0215-48 | SH | 160,000 | 128,000 | 0 | 32,000 | 0 | AT HANSON BLVD. RAMPS - SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 6204-44 | RS | 773,600 | 0 | 0 | 773,600 | 0 | FROM CR H TO 1694, CONCRETE REHAB | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402027 | MC | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | TH 610 WB OVER COON RAPIDS BLVD-BR 02027(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402031 | MC | 800,000 | 640,000 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | TH 10 UNDER EGRET BLVD-BR 02031(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | $0214-02034$ | MC | 1,700,000 | 1,360,000 | 0 | 340,000 | 0 | SE CSAH 11 (FOLEY BLVD) RAMP OVER TH 47 SB-BR 02034(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | 8-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02035 | MC | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | TH 10 EB \& WB OVER TH 47 NB-BR 02035(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402037 | MC | 4,700,000 | 3,760,000 | 0 | 940,000 | 0 | TH EB \& WB OVER TH 610 WB \& CO RD 51-BR 02037(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402039 | MC | 800,000 | 640,000 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | TH 610 WB OVER CO RD 51 (UNIV AVE)-BR 02039(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402040 | MC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 610 EB OVER CO RD 51 (UNIV AVE)-BR 02040(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214.02041 | MC | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 610 WB OVER TH 47-BR 02041 (STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021402042 | MC | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 0 | 280,000 | 0 | TH 610 EB OVER TH 47-BR 02042(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214.02044 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | PEDESTRIAN BR OVER TH 10-BR 02044(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-11 | MC | 5,650,000 | 4,520,000 | 0 | 1,130,000 | 0 | $900^{\prime}$ S OF TH 610 TO $2200{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF EGRET BLVD-GRADING, SURFACING, SIGNALS(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-12 | MC | 8,600,000 | 6,880,000 | 0 | 1,720,000 | 0 | TH 10, TH 47, TH $610 \&$ CSAH 51 INTERCHANGE-GRADE, SURFACE(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021416 | MC | 385,000 | 308,000 | 0 | 77,000 | 0 | FROM $900^{\prime}$ S OF TH 610 TO 2200' NW OF EGRET BLVD-SIGNING(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-17 | MC | 140,000 | 112,000 | 0 | 28,000 | 0 | 900 ' S OF TH 610 TO 2200' NW OF EGRET BLVD-LIGHTING(STAGE 2) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-18 | MC | 25,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | TH 10, TH 47, TH $610 \&$ CSAH 51 INTERCHANGE-SIGNING(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-19 | MC | 75,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | TH 10, TH 47, TH 610 \& CSAH 51 INTERCHANGE-LIGHTING(STAGE 3) | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1997 | 2 | TH 10 | 021422 | MC | 225,000 | 180,000 | 0 | 45,000 | 0 | 0.5 MI W OF l-35W TO TH 65-LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-02043 | MC | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 0 | 280,000 | 0 | POLK ST OVER TH 10-BR 02043(STAGE 4) | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-13 | MC | 12,400,000 | 9,920,000 | 0 | 2,480,000 | 0 | UNIVERSITY AVE TO TH 65-GRADE,SURFACE,SIGNALS,NOISE WALLS,ETC | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 0214-20 | MC | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | CO RD 51 (UNIVERSITY AVE) TO TH 65-SIGNING(STAGE 4) | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1998 | 2 | TH 10 | 021421 | MC | 250,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | CO RD 51 (UNIVERSITY AVE) TO TH 65-LIGHTING(STAGE 4) | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1998 |  | TH 12 | 2713-66 | BR | 106,500 | 85,200 | 0 | 21,300 | 0 | UNDER LUCE LINE TRAIL 4.5 MI W OF TH 494-REPLACE BR 4643 | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 | 5 | TH 13 | 1901-130 | MC | 475,000 | 380,000 | 0 | 95,000 | 0 | MENDOTA INTERCHANGE - LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH 13 | 7001-73 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 12 IN PRIOR LAKE - SIGNAL, CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 13 | 7001-76 | SC | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 260,000 | 140,000 | CSAH 16/MCCOLL AVE, SIGNAL SYSTEM; RAISED CHANNELIZATION; ENTER LEFT AND RIGHT TURN LANES | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 1901-131 | SH | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | CSAH 5 TO LYNN AVENUE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 13 | 7001-77 | SH | 35,000 | 28,000 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | DULUTH AVE TO CO RD 44SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35 | 1980-19841 | BI | 230,000 | 0 | 0 | 230,000 | 0 | UNDER 195TH ST, CSAH 29, CR 62 - MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS 19841, 70802, 70805 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35 | 1980-57 | RC | 4,390,000 | 3,951,000 | 0 | 439,000 | 0 | TH 50 TO S JCT I35E/35W - RECONSTRUCT NB \& SB -REMOVE WEIGH STATION | MN/DOT | Replace | S10 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35 | 0283-20 | RS | 1,536,000 | 1,382,400 | 0 | 153,600 | 0 | N JCT I35E \& 135W TO TH 8-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35 | 1980-56 | RC | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | OLD TH 50 TO SCOTT CSAH 2(SB ONLY)-REPLACE PAVEMENT, GRADE CORRECTION, BR REMOVALS,ETC | MN/DOT | Replace | S10 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 0282-02802 | BI | 315,000 | 283,500 | 0 | 31,500 | 0 | UNDER 80TH ST IN LINO LAKES, CO RD J, CO RD H2, \& EDGERTON - MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS. 02802, 62836, 62835, 9561 | MN/DOT | Preseive | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6280-291 | SC | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 180,000 | 0 | AT MARYLAND AVE-REBUILD SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35E | 6281-36 | BR | 2,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 1694 TO CO RD E - BR 62895 - REPLACE BR 9838; RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT CO RD E; AUXILIARY LANE ON I35E LLET BY CITY 1992-P | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35E | 6280-9330 | BI | 850,000 | 0 | 0 | 850,000 | 0 | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER - PARTIAL PAINT \& RAILING REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35E | 1982-125 | SC | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | AT CO RD 11 NORTH RAMP-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35E | 1982-126 | SC | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 26(LONE OAK RD) IN EAGAN-SIGNAL REVISION \& DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-45 | BI | 800,000 | 720,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | UNDER SB ON RAMP FROM LAKE DRIVE REDECKWIDEN BR 9607, WIDEN RAMP, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIUBARRIER | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9830 | BI | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | UNDER CSAH 14 \& UNDER CSAH 21-MILL \& L.S. OVERLAY BRS 9830 \& 02801 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-94 | SC | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | S JCT 1-35E/35 TO TH 13-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 1981-9779 | BI | 720,000 | 648,000 | 0 | 72,000 | 0 | UNDER TH13 - REPL DECK, WIDEN \& PAINT BRS WB 9779 \& EB 9780 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-255 | RS | 6,300,000 | 5,670,000 | 0 | 630,000 | 0 | 76TH ST TO 31ST ST-MILL \& OVERLAY, CONC.REPAIR \& RESEAL | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-27867 | BI | 770,000 | 693,000 | 0 | 77,000 | 0 | OVER SOO LINE RR, 1.3 MI S OF 194-REPL DECK BR 27867 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 2782-27871 | BI | 800,000 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | SB 35W OVER NB TH 65 - OVERLAY \& REPAIR BR.27871, ALSO <br> BRS. $27930,31,33,34,35,36,39,41,9088$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | 519 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9039 | BI | 2,815,000 | 2,533,500 | 0 | 281,500 | 0 | 90TH ST TO 26TH ST-REDECK BRS $9039,9041,9213,9615,9617,27869,27870$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9053 | BI | 300,000 | 270,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | UNDER 94TH ST, DIAMOND LAKE RD, \& 76TH ST-OVERLAY BRS 9053, 9611, 9796 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9088 | BI | 300,000 | 270,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | 1-35W OVER 66TH ST - OVERLAY BR 9088 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9731 | BI | 525,000 | 472,500 | 0 | 52,500 | 0 | OVER 31ST ST, $1.5 \mathrm{MII} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{I-94}$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-9733 | BI | 675,000 | 607,500 | 0 | 67,500 | 0 | OVER LAKE ST, 1.4 MI S OF I94-REPLACE DECK BR 9733 | MN/DOT | Preserve | 519 |
| 1996 |  | 1-35W | 6284-9570 | BI | 450,000 | 405,000 | 0 | 45,000 | 0 | UNDER CR E2 \& UNDER TH 96, OVER CR I-MILL \& OVERLAY BRS 9570,9577, \& 9603 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 2783-27850 | BI | 370,000 | 0 | 0 | 370,000 | 0 | UNDER TH 55 RAMP TO TH 94 WB - REDECK BR 27850 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | 1-35W | 6284117 | RS | 480,000 | 432,000 | 0 | 48,000 | 0 | 1.0 MI S OF TO 0.2 MI N OF I694MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 0280-9607 | BI | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | UNDER SB RAMP AT OLD TH 8,SUNSET,CO RD J-PAINT BRS 9607,9831,9606 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 | 3 | 1-35W | 2782-255A | RC | 10,000,000 | 9,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | TH 494 TO MPLS.-INTERIM HOV LANES (STRUCTURES) | MN/DOT | Replace | A-00 |
| 1998 |  | 1-35W | 2783-9340 | BI | 700,000 | 560,000 | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-REPLACE JOINTS \& RAILING BR 9340 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S9 |
| 1996 |  | TH 36 | 6212-143 | SC | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | I-35W TO ENGLISH ST-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | TH 36 | 6212-62006 | BI | 390,000 | 312,000 | 0 | 78,000 | 0 | UNDER EDGERTON, ARCADE, VICTORIA, \& HAMLINE AVES - MILL \& LS OVERLAY BRS 62006, 62007, 62035, 62069 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 | 4 | TH 36 | 8214-96RW | RW | 6,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 6,000,000 | 0 | RNW ACQUISITION FOR STILLWATER BRIDGE PROJECT | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8204-37 | MC | 6,200,000 | 4,960,000 | 0 | 1,240,000 | 0 | FROM 0.6 MI W OF TO 0.4 MIE OF TH 5-RECONSTRUCT, RELOCATE FRONTAGE ROAD | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8204-44 | RC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | NE QUADRANT FR RD AT TH 5-GRADE \& SURFACE (ADVANCE FUNDING) | MN/DOT | Replace | B-00 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8214113 | MC | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER-DEMOLITION, UTILITY RELOCATION, BYPASSES, ETC | MN/DOT | Expand | A-00 |
| 1997 |  | TH 36 | 82149115 | BI | 110,000 | 0 | 0 | 110,000 | 0 | EB OVER TH 95-LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 821497RW | RW | 4,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,000,000 | 0 | STILLWATER BRIDGE - RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISTION | MN/DOT |  | A-00 |
| 1997 | 4 | TH 36 | 8217-12 | BR | 43,000,000 | 17,200,00 | 0 | 4,300,000 | 21,500,000 | OVER ST CROIX RIVER AT STILLWATER-BR 82011(REPLACE BR 4654), RIVER SPANS \& EAST ABUTMENT | MN/DOT | Replace | A-00 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | TH 36 | 6211-62070 | BI | 165,000 | 0 | 0 | 165,000 | 0 | OVER TH 61-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BR 62070 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 36 | 6212-141 | BR | 3,800,000 | 3,040,000 | 0 | 760,000 |  | AT DALE ST INTERCHANGE-BR 62073(WB),62074(EB);REPLACE BR 6724 \& RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE,SIGNING,LIGHTING,SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1998 | 4 | TH 36 | 8214114 | MC | 25,000,000 | 16,800,00 | 0 | 4,200,000 | 4,000,000 | FROM WASHINGTON AVE TO ST CROIX RIVER -GRADING, SURFACING, LIGHTING,SIGNING,LAND SPANS TO BR 82011,ETC | MN/DOT | Expand | A-00 |
| 1996 |  | TH 41 | 1008-48 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | AT TH 212 - TURN LANE AND SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 41 | 7010-18 | BR | 843,000 | 674,400 | 0 | 168,600 | 0 | OVER MN RIVER OVERFLOW 0.8 MI N OF TH 169 - REPL BR $6763 \& A$ | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1997 |  | TH 47 | 2726-60 | BR | 7,200,000 | 5,760,000 | 0 | 1,440,000 | 0 | UNIV. AVE. OV ST. ANTHONY, SOO LINE, \& BNRR REPL. 3 BRIDGES | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 0206-392 | BI | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | OVER FORD BROOK(2 LOCATIONS)-REPLACE BRS 392 \& 393 WITH BOX CULVERTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 0206-43 | SH | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | FROM CO RD 116 TO 180TH WAY-LIGHTING, TURN LANE \& BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 47 | 0206-711 | BR | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | OVER FORD BROOK, 6.1.MI N OF TH 10-REPLACE BR 711 | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | TH 49 | 0204-13 | RS | 590,000 | 472,000 | 0 | 118,000 | 0 | TH 96 TO THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 49 | 621481 | RS | 175,000 | 0 | 0 | 175,000 |  | 0.3 MI N OF CO RD B2 TO WOODLYN AVE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1996 |  | TH 50 | 1904-14 | RD | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | E OF VERMILLION RIVER TO HAMPTON-MILL,WIDEN, \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 51 | 6216-111 | RS | 523,500 | 0 | 0 | 523,500 | 0 | N LIMITS OF ROSEVILLE TO N OF 1694, CONCRETE REHAB | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 52 | 1907-55 | RS | 1,350,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,350,000 | 0 | S JCT TO N JCT TH 52/55/56-CONCRETE REHABILITATION, BRIDGE REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1905-24 | RS | 760,000 | 608,000 | 0 | 152,000 | 0 | CO RD 86 IN HAMPTON TO TH 50-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1906-40 | RS | 2,804,300 | 0 | 0 | 2,804,300 | 0 | S JCT OF TH 55 TO TH 50, MILL AND OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 52 | 1907-9107 | AM | 2,010,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,010,000 | 0 | NB TH 52 OVER SB TH 56 - REMOVE BRIDGE - PART OF TH 56 TURN BACK | MN/DOT | Other | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 1909-74 | SC | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | AT S JCT TH 149-CONSTRUCT DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | 56 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 1910-37 | RS | 747,100 | 0 | 0 | 747,100 | 0 | S JCT OF TH 56 TO HASTINGS, MILL AND OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-100 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 55 TO SB \& NB 1494-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-89 | SH | 600,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | AT VICKSBURG, NIAGARA, BOONE, RHODE ISLAND \& MEADOW LANE-SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-90 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | FROM VICKSBURG LANE TO QUAKER LANE \& FROM BOONE AVE. THRU THEO. WIRTH PKWAY INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-96 | RS | 2,250,000 | 1,800,000 | 0 | 450,000 | 0 | 1494 TO THOMAS AVE. - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 55 | 2723-97 | SH | 90,000 | 72,000 | 0 | 18,000 | 0 | AT INDUSTRIAL PARK BLVD. - TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-103 | MC | 28,245,000 | 0 | 21,460,500 | 2,384,500 | 4,400,000 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) AT LAKE ST; OVERPASS, BYPASS ROADS, UTILITY RELOCATION | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-96-RO | RW | 4,000,000 | 0 | 3,600,000 | 400,000 |  | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) I-94 TO TH 62: PURCHASE OF RIGHT OF WAY - FY 1996 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1997 |  | TH 55 | 2723-85 | BR | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | OVER SOO LINE R/R 0.3 MI W OF TH 100 - REPLACE BRS. $6344 \& 6$ | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1997 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724105 | MC | 10,500,000 | 0 | 7,380,000 | 820,000 | 2,300,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-94 TO E 29TH ST - GR, SURF, UTIL, RET WALLS, } \\ & \text { SIGS, LIGHTS, } \end{aligned}$ | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 | 6 | TH 55 | 2724-97-RO | RW | 5,000,000 | 0 | 4,500,000 | 500,000 | 0 | TH 55 (HIAWATHA AVE) I-94 TO TH 62: PURCHASE OF RIGHT OF WAY - FY 1997 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1996 |  | TH 56 | 1912-51 | SC | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 |  | FROM 1494 S RAMP TO WENTWORTH AVE-SIGNAL REVISIONS \& INTERCONNECT | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1996 |  | TH 61 | 6221-38 | RS | 170,000 | 0 | 0 | 170,000 | 0 | W JCT 194 TO W JCT TH 5/61-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH61 | 6222-127 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT BEAM AVE IN MAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL AND INTERSECTION REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | 56 |
| 1998 |  | TH 61 | 6220-63 | RS | 1,210,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,210,000 | 0 | N OF 1-494 TO N OF BURNS AVENUE-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 61 | 6222-130 | SH | 60,000 | 48,000 | 0 | 12,000 | 0 | TH 244 TO CO RD F-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | 52 |
| 1998 |  | TH 61 | 6222-131 | SC | 130,000 | 0 | 0 | 130,000 | थ | AT ROSELAWN AVE IN MAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 27743 | SH | 55,000 | 0 | 0 | 55,000 | 0 | TH 62 UNDER TH 100 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2774-4 | SH | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 180,000 | 0 | AT FRANCE AVE. - SIGNAL RECONSTRUCTION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 62 | 2775-7 | RS | 190,000 | 0 | 0 | 190,000 | 0 | FROM W. OF TH 77 TO 0.2 MI.W. OF 28TH AVE. MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 62 | 2763-34 | BI | 1,400,000 | 1,120,000 | 0 | 280,000 | 0 | OVER MN\&S R/R - 0.6 MI W OF TH 100 - REPL DECK BR.S 27085 \& 27086 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 62 | 2774-27931 | BI | 290,000 | 0 | 0 | 290,000 |  | OVER TH 121, UNDER 43RD AVE S \& UNDER BLOOMINGTON AVE-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BR 27931,27524,27525 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 65 | 0207-63 | SH | 255,000 | 204,000 | 0 | 51,000 | 0 | W MOORE LK DR TO TH 118 - SKID CORRECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-84 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | AT 85TH AVE NE-REVISE INTERSECTION \& SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-92 | RS | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | FROM 2.4 MI S OF N ANOKA CO LINE (226TH AVE NE) TO CSAH 24-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-93 | SH | 110,000 | 88,000 | 0 | 22,000 | 0 | X-TOWN BLVD, SIGNAL REBUILD, MEDIAN CLOSURE AT 177TH | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-94 | RS | 382,000 | 0 | 0 | 282,000 | 100,000 | 217TH AVE (NB) TO 229TH AVE, MILL AND OVERLAY. SIGNALS AT CSAH 24(237TH) AND CR 86 (SIMS ROAD) | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 65 | 0208-95 | SC | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 350,000 | 50,000 | CLOVERLEAF/93RD AVE, SIGNAL REBUILD; AUX LANE; DUAL LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | E1 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | TH 65 | 0208-98 | SH | 510,000 | 88,000 | 0 | 422,000 |  | AT BUNKER LAKE RD(CO RD 116)-SIGNAL REBUILD(HES) \& CROSS STREET CHANNELIZATION(SF) | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 88 | 6202-42 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | AT CO RD C2-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1-94 | 2781-27843 | BI | 580,000 | 522,000 | 0 | 58,000 | 0 | UNDER TH 65 IN MPLS. - REPLACE DECK BR. 27843 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1986 |  | 1-94 | 2781-385 | SC | 220,000 | 0 | 0 | 220,000 | 0 | LOWRY HILL TUNNEL TO I-G94-REPLACE SIGNING | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2781-387 | RC | 270,000 | 0 | 0 | 270,000 | 0 | DARTMOUTH BR/U OF M INTERCHANGE AREA - LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Replace | 06 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-100 | SC | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 81 - REBUILD SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-101 | SH | 55,000 | 0 | 0 | 55,000 | 0 | 194 UNDER TH 169 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 2786-88 | BI | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 |  | UND.TH169 (OLD CSAH 18)-REPLACE BRS. 27979 \& 27980, SIGNING \& LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | $1-94$ | 2786-99 | RS | 710,000 | 639,000 | 0 | 71,000 |  | 0.7 MI E OF I-494 TO 0.2 MI W OF CSAH 81 (LAKELAND AVE) - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 6282-62845A | BI | 120,000 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | UNDER PRIOR-OVERLAY BRIDGE 62845 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-94 | 6283-157 | SC | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 |  | ON TH 94 RAMP TERMINI WITH TH 120-SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 | 8 | 1-94 | 8282-85 | MC | 40,000 | 32,000 | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | CSAH 21 TO ST CROIX RIVER-SIGNING | MN/DOT | Expand | 08 |
| 1997 |  | 1-94 | 2781-337 | RD | 1,800,000 | 1,620,000 | 0 | 180,000 |  | LOWRY HILL TUNNEL-TUNNEL EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION | MN/DOT | Preserve | 06 |
| 1997 |  | 1-94 | 2781-382 | RS | 1,300,000 | 1,170,000 | 0 | 130,000 |  | TH694 TO 0.5 MI.N.OF LOWRY TUNNEL-MINOR CONC.REPAIR \& RESEAL JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | 1-94 | 2786-97 | SC | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | CSAH 152 RAMPS--REBUILD 2 SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 | 8 | 1-94 | 8281-9400B | BI | 1,750,000 | 1,575,000 | 0 | 175,000 | 0 | PAINT WB BR OVER ST CROIX RIVER | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 | 8 | 1-94 | 8282-8801 | BR | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | 0.6 MI WEST OF TO THE ST CROIX RIVER-LANDSCAPING OF EB | MN/DOT | Replace | 06 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27842 | BI | 175,000 | 140,000 | 0 | 35,000 |  | UNDER RAMP TO WB AT TH 65 \& ST ANTHONY OVER FAIRVIEW-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BR 27842,62839 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2781-27956 | BI | 230,000 | 184,000 | 0 | 46,000 |  | UNDER RR AT 27TH AVE \& UNDER SEYMOUR PEDESTRIAN BR-PARTIAL PAINT BR 27956 \& PAINT BR 27958 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 2781-386 | TM | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | 1-394 TO 1-694CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | 1-94 | 6283-159 | RS | 1,215,000 | 972,000 | 0 | 243,000 | 0 | MCKNIGHT RD TO W OF TH 95-CONCRETE REPAIR | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 97 | 8212-17 | SC | 300,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 50,000 | GOODVIEW AVE/BTH ST, SIGNAL SYSTEM AND CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 100 | 2733-76 | SC | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | TH 100 UNDER TH 494 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 100 | 2755-72 | SH | 140,000 | 112,000 | 0 | 28,000 | 0 | CSAH 10 RAMPS - REFURBISH 2 SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 100 | 2735-27002 | BI | 310,000 | 0 | 0 | 310,000 | 0 | OVER DULUTH ST \& TH 55 OVER RR E OF TH 100-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS ON BRS 27002,5891 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | TH 100 | 2763-9500 | BI | 40,000 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | OVER TH 62-REP EXPANSION JOINTS BR 9500 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-10 | MC | 4,365,000 | 3,492,000 | 0 | 873,000 | 0 | TH 94 TO CSAH 42- G \& S, SIGNING, LIGHTING, SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 10 | TH 101 | 2738-27945 | MC | 350,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 70,000 | 0 | TH 101 SB OVER TH 94 - WIDEN BR. 27945 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-57 | MC | 5,500,000 | 4,400,000 | 0 | 1,100,000 | 0 | TH 169 TO 0.4 MI W OF CSAH 17 - GRADE, SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-69 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13 - SIGNING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70011 | MC | 1,380,000 | 1,104,000 | 0 | 276,000 | 0 | CSAH 15 OVER SHAK BYPASS - BR 70011 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70012 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | CO RD 77 OVER SHAK BYPASS - BR 70012 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-70013 | MC | 500,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | CO RD 79 OVER SHAK BYPASS - BR 70013 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-71 | MC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 0 | 1,200,000 | 0 | TH 169 TO JCT OLD TH 101 - SURFACE | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1997 |  | TH 101 | 1009-11 | RS | 330,000 | 0 | 0 | 330,000 | 0 | TH 212 TO 0.1 MI S OF TH 5 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 101 | 1010-8 | RS | 330,000 | 264,000 | 0 | 66,000 | 0 | 0.3 MI W OF TH 5 TO 0.4 MI S OF TH 7 - MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 101 | 2736-40 | RS | 290,000 | 0 | 0 | 290,000 | 0 | 0.1 MI N OF LAKE ST TO CSAH 101 WB (OLD TH 12)-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-67 | MC | 200,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO TH 13-LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Expand | 518 |
| 1997 | 11 | TH 101 | 7005-68 | MC | 300,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | SHAKOPEE BYPASS, TH 169 TO JCT. OLD TH 101 - FENCING | MN/DOT | Expand | S13 |
| 1998 |  | TH 101 | 2736-27017 | BR | 1,300,000 | 584,000 | 0 | 716,000 | 0 | AT GRAYS BAY 2.8 MI N OF TH 7-BR 27017(REP BR 3334) \& APPROACHES | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1998 |  | TH 101 | 2738-15 | MC | 165,000 | 132,000 | 0 | 33,000 | 0 | 1-94 TO TH 10(ROGERS TO ELK RIVER)-LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | 06 |
| 1998 |  | TH 110 | 1918-95 | SH | 40,000 | 32,000 | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | DELAWARE TO MENDOTA RD-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 110 | 1918-96 | RS | 730,000 | 0 | 0 | 730,000 | 0 | 1-35E TO I-494-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 120 | 6227-53 | SC | 110,000 | 0 | 0 | 110,000 | 0 | AT 194 NO FR RD-GEOMETRIC \& SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 120 | 6227-54 | SH | 67,000 | 53,600 | 0 | 13,400 | 0 | MINNEHAHA TO S JCT TH 5 \& LARPENTEUR TO N JCT TH 5-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 120 | 8220-11 | SC | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 | 0 | AT LOWER AFTON RD IN WOODBURYMMAPLEWOOD-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 122 | 2759-9360 | BI | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | WASHINGTON AVE OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-PARTIAL PAINT BR 9360 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 149 | 1916-19 | SC | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | AT YANKEE DOODLE ROAD-INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2744-49 | SH | 400,000 | 320,000 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | EDEN PRAIRIE RD. TO CSAH 4-NB AUX. LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 | 12 | TH 169 | 2750-50 | MC | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | FROM 93RD AVE N TO HAYDEN LK RD (OSSEO BYPASS) LANDSCAPING | MN/DOT | Expand | S18 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-17 | SH | 100,000 | 80,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 63RD AVE.N. TO RAMP TO EBI 94 - NB AUX.LA. | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-18 | SC | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | AT 77TH AVE N-2 TEMP SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |


| Year | Prt | Route | Pri Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-27534 | BI | 675,000 | 0 | 0 | 675,000 |  | UNDER MEDICINE LAKE ROAD, ROCKFORD ROAD, 36TH N AND 63RD N, LS OVERLAY BRS 27536,27551,27550 AND REDECK BR 27534 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-5 | TM | 2,000,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | 1-394 TO 1-94-- TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 169 | 2772-6 | SC | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | VALLEY VIEW RD. RAMPS-INSTALL 2 SIGNALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 169 | 0209-19 | BR | 6,800,000 | 5,440,000 | 0 | 1,360,000 |  | OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN ANOKA-REPL BR 4380 \& APPROACHES, SIGNAL,LIGHTING | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1997 |  | TH 169 | 2772-16 | SC | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 |  | AT LONDONDERRY RD - WIDEN NB EXIT RAMP AND SIGNAL REVISION | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 169 | 2772-19 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 |  | AT BREN RD TO SB TH 169, BREN RD TO NB TH 169 AND EXCELSIOR BLVD TO NB TH 169-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2744-50 | SH | 135,000 | 108,000 | 0 | 27,000 |  | AT REGIONAL CENTER RD IN EDEN PRAIRIE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-21 | RS | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | 1-494 TO TH 62-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | 510 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-22 | SC | 230,000 | 0 | 0 | 230,000 | 0 | AT 49TH AVE RAMPS-SIGNAL INSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-23 | SC | 110,000 | 0 | 0 | 110,000 |  | AT MEDICINE LAKE ROAD EAST RAMP-SIGNAL NSTALLATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 169 | 2772-27523 | BI | 465,000 | 0 | 0 | 465,000 |  | UNDER BASS LAKE RD,49TH AVE,LONDONDERRY RD,\& 7TH ST S-OVERLAY \& REP JOINTS BRS 27523,27555,27566,27567 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10. |
| 1996 |  | TH 212 | 1013-56 | SC | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 450,000 | 0 | FROM E.OF WALNUT AVE. THRU CO.RD.17-CONTINUE LEFT TURN LANE | MN/DOT | Manage | 519 |
| 1996 |  | TH 212 | 1013-63 | SC | 375,000 | 300,000 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | AT TH 101 - SIGNAL \& CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 | 13 | TH 212 | 2762-96RW | RW | 3,000,000 | 0 | 2,400,000 | 600,000 | 0 | 1-494 TO COLOGNE-RM ACQUISITION FOR FY96 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1997 |  | TH 212 | 2763-35 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | CSAH 61 (SHADY OAK ROAD), SIGNAL SYSTEM; CHANNELIZATION REMOVAL | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 212 | 2763-36 | TM | 1,000,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 200,000 |  | AT VALLEY VIEW RD TO EB TH 212, EB TH 5 TO EB I-494 \& AT TH 62 TO WB I-494-HOV RAMP METER BYPASS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 212 | 1013-67 | SH | 25,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 5,000 |  | FAXON ROAD TO CSAH 33 IN NORWOOD-SIGNAL INTERCONNECTION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 | 13 | TH 212 | 2762-11 | MC | 12,575,000 | 10,060,00 | 0 | 2,515,000 | 0 | 0.5 MI E OF MITCHELL RD TO 1-494-GRADING, SURFACING OF STAGE 1 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 13 | TH 212 | 2762-27148 | MC | 2,500,000 | 2,000,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | PRAIRIE CENTER DRIVE OVER TH 212-BR 27148 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 |  | TH 244 | 8219-18 | SC | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | AT CSAH 12 IN MAHTOMEDI-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1998 |  | TH 280 | 6241-45 | MC | 2,250,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,250,000 | 0 | FROM I-35W TO LARPENTEUR-NOISE WALL AND INTERSECTION REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Expand | 03 |
| 1998 |  | TH 280 | 6241-62821 | BI | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 180,000 |  | SB 280 UNDER RAMP(BR 62821) \& UNDER WABASH AVE(BR 62843)-OVERLAY \& JOINT REPLACEMENT | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | Staxt \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | TH 288 | 0213-08 | SC | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | AT CO RD 79-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& CHANNELIZATION | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-118 | SC | 220,000 | 0 | 0 | 220,000 |  | EB AT HARDMAN AVE - RESTRIPE, OVERLAY, RAMP METER, ETC | MN/DOT | Manage | S10 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 1985-119 | SC | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | EB EXIT TO TH 149 - RAMP MODIFICATIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | 56 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-276 | SH | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 1494 UNDER TH7 - MODIFY WEAVE AREA | MN/DOT | Manage | S6 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 2785-280 | SC | 140,000 | 126,000 | 0 | 14,000 | 0 | AT E. BUSH LAKE ROAD - NEW SIGNALS AT RAMP TERMINALS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | 1-494 | 8285-6617 | BI | 595,000 | 0 | 0 | 595,000 | 0 | OVER TH 61, BN AND SOO LINE RR, MAXWELL AVE -LS OVERLAY AND JOINTS ON BR $9293,9291,6617$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 1985-19825 | BI | 380,000 | 0 | 0 | 380,000 | 0 | OVER TH 13 \& C\&NW RR - L.S. OVERLAY AND JOINTS | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-290 | RC | 6,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 0 | 1,200,000 | 0 | AT TH 169-RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE, ETC | MN/DOT | Replace | E3 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9079 | BI | 295,000 | 0 | 0 | 295,000 | 0 | UNDER PORTLAND AVE, REDECK BR 9079 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9755 | BI | 5,000,000 | 4,500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | OVER CSAH 5, CREEK, TRAIL - REPL SUPERST \& WIDEN BRS 9755, 9756 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1997 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9759 | BI | 3,000,000 | 2,700,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | OVER BN INC \& STONE RD - REPL SUPERST \& WIDEN BRS 9759 \& 9760 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 1985-120 | RS | 1,070,000 | 856,000 | 0 | 214,000 | 0 | ROBERT ST TO I-35E-MILL \& OVERLAY | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 2785-9741 | BI | 2,400,000 | 2,160,000 | 0 | 240,000 | 0 | OVER TH 5-REHAB BRS 9741,9742 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-494 | 8285-9883 | BI | 1,100,000 | 880,000 | 0 | 220,000 | 0 | UNDER TH 120 IN WOODBURY-REHAB BR 9883;OVERLAY \& JOINTS ON BR 82017 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771 | MC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TH 610: TH 252 TO TH 169 - PRELIM ENGINEERING | MN/DOT | Expand | 02 |
| 1996 | 14 | TH610 | 2771-96-RO | RW | 8,000,000 | 0 | 6,400,000 | 1,600,000 | 0 | TH 610-TH 252 TO 1-94-RW ACQUISITION FY 96 | MN/DOT |  | 04 |
| 1997 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771-12 | MC | 7,000,000 | 0 | 5,600,000 | 1,400,000 | 0 | REGENT AVE TO 0.25 MI E OF FRANCE AVE INC REGENT) - GRADE, SURF, 2 BRS, SIGNALS STAGE 2 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771-11 | MC | 17,000,000 | 0 | 13,600,000 | 3,400,000 | 0 | 0.25 MI E OF FRANCE AVE TO W END OF BR OVER MISS RIVER-GRADING, SURFACING,3 BRS,SIGNALS, PED BR | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1998 | 14 | TH 610 | 2771-15 | MC | 16,000,000 | 8,000,000 | 4,800,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | TH 169 TO HAMPSHIRE AVE-GRADING,SURFACING,3 BRS,SIGNALS-STAGE 4 | MN/DOT | Expand | B-00 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-881 | BR | 1,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,200,000 | 0 | VICTORIA ST INTERCHANGE-BR REPLACEMENT(PAYBACK TO RAMSEY COUNTM | MN/DOT | Replace | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 6285-9389 | BI | 250,000 | 225,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | UNDER 5TH AVE NW, \& TH 51 RAMPS-OVERLAY BRS. $9389,9447,9448$ | MN/DOT | Preserve | S19 |
| 1996 |  | 1-694 | 8286-82804 | BI | 375,000 | 300,000 | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | UNDER 40TH ST,STILLWATER RD,4TH ST N-OVERLAY BRS 82816,82804,82817 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1998 |  | 1-694 | 6285-116 | SH | 150,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | AT HAMLINE AVE(CO RD F)-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& LEFT TURN MODIFICATION | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |
| 1998 |  | 1-694 | 8286-52 | SH | 225,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 125,000 | 0 | AT TH 5 RAMPS IN OAKDALE-SIGNAL INSTALLATION \& INTERCONNECTION(EAST RAMP-HES;WEST RAMP-SF) | MN/DOT | Manage | S2 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed \$ | Demo \$ | State \$ | Other \$ | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-154 | TM | 35,000 | 28,000 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | HIGHWAY ADVISORY RADIO SIGNS | MN/DOT | Manage | 08 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-155 | TM | 225,000 | 180,000 | 0 | 45,000 | 0 | RAMP METERS ON TH 10, 1494, 1-94 AND TH 169 | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-156 | TM | 160,000 | 128,000 | 0 | 32,000 | 0 | CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-72 | TM | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 0 | ON I35E FROM MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO 194 ECT, -TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-73 | TM | 900,000 | 810,000 | 0 | 90,000 | 0 | ON 194 FROM HURON TO I35E, TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-79 | SH | 70,000 | 56,000 | 0 | 14,000 | 0 | DISTRICTWIDE ADVANCE WARNING FLASHERS | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 8809-80 | SC | 305,000 | 0 | 0 | 305,000 | 0 | ON TH 13,35E,55,61,77,96,110-DISTRICTWIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | 880M-AM-96 | AM | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS IN FY96 | MN/DOT | Other | 01 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454 | RX | 960,000 | 0 | 0 | 960,000 | 0 | METRO SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY 96 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-96- | SA | 5,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 5,000,000 | 0 | COST OVERRUN/SUPP. AGREEMENT SETASIDE FOR METRO - FY 96 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-96- | RW | 7,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 7,500,000 | 0 | RIGHT OF WAY SETASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY 96 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-ENT | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FY96 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-PF9 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | - 0 | SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FY96 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1996 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-TRA | SC | 490,000 | 0 | 0 | 490,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FY96 | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-150 | SC | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 500,000 | 0 | METRO WIDE SIGNAL REVISIONS | MN/DOT | Manage | E2 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-157 | TM | 56,000 | 45,000 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | LOOP DETECTOR REPLACEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-71 | TM | 3,100,000 | 2,480,000 | 0 | 620,000 | 0 | 1-694 FROM 1-35W TO TH 36 \& 1-35E FROM TH 36 TO TH 96-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | MN/DOT | Manage | 57 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | 8809-74 | TM | 2,500,000 | 2,250,000 | 0 | 250,000 |  | ON I35W FROM CRYSTAL LAKE RD TO MINN RIVER, ON I35E FROM S JCT I35W TO YANKEE DOODLE RD, \& ON TH 77 FROM I35E TO MINN | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-454 | RX | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR ROAD REPAIR FY97 | MN/DOT | Preserve | S10 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-97- | SA | 5,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 5,000,000 | 0 | COST OVERRUN/SUPP. AGREEMENT SETASIDE FOR METRO - FY 97 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-97- | RW | 14,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 14,500,00 | 0 | RIGHT OF WAY SETASIDE FOR METRO DIVISION FY 97 | MN/DOT |  | 01 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-AM9 | AM | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS FY97 | MN/DOT | Other | 57 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-ENT | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR STATE ENTRYWAYS FY97 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-PF9 | RB | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR PRAIRIE TO FOREST FY97 | MN/DOT | Other | 06 |
| 1997 |  | TH 999 | DIST-M-TRA | SC | 1,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 | SET ASIDE FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING PRESERVATION FY97 | MN/DOT | Manage | 01 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-159 | TM | 300,000 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | EXPAND VIDEO ROUTING SWITCHER AT TMC | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |
| 1998 |  | TH 999 | 8809-160 | TM | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | METROWIDE-LOOP DETECTOR REPLACEMENT | MN/DOT | Manage | S7 |

TABLE A-20
All Projects By Route Number


1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program
TABLE A-21
Federal Scenic Byway Projects

| Year | Prt | Route | Prj Number | Prg | Total \$ | Fed ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | State \$ | Other S | Description | Agency | Category | AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  | TH 10 | 0202-75 | RB | 403,000 | 322,000 | 81,000 | 0 | DAYTONPORT: GREAT RIVER ROAD, SCENIC BYWAY REST AREA . | MN/DOT | Other | S15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL<br>Mears Park Centre, 230 E. Fifth St., St. Paul, MN 55101

## APPENDIX B

## CONFORMITY DOCUMENTATION

## OF THE 1996-1998 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TO THE 1990 CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENT


#### Abstract

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) 40 CFR PART51 Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act (Conformity Rule), requires the Metropolitan Council to prepare a conformity analysis of the region's Transportation Plans and Transportation Improvement Program. Based on the air quality analysis, the Council must determine the conformity of the transportation plan to meet the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) schedule to attain carbon monoxide (CO) standards. This appendix describes the procedures used to perform the analysis, and lists the findings and conclusions to support the Metropolitan Council's determination that the 1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) conforms to the requirements of the CAAA.
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## I. CONFORMITY OF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Pursuant to Section 51.410 of the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the TIP document and certifies that it conforms to the recent estimates of mobile source emissions based on the most current transportation models population, employment, travel and congestion forecasts:
A. The Council is required by Minnesota statute to prepare regional population and employment forecasts for the Seven County Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The air quality analysis of CO emissions for Wright County is prepared under the guidance of the Council as part of an intergovernmental agreement with the county, MN/DOT and the Council.
B. The published source of socioeconomic data is in the Metropolitan Council's Regional Blueprint. This is the planning document adopted in 1994, that provides the Council with a framework to develop long range forecasts of regional highway and transit facilities needs.
C. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) reviewed the TIP document for acceptability to meet the federal conformity requirements and was consulted during the preparation of the TIP and the conformity review documentation.
D. The Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) was routinely consulted during the preparation of the TIP and the conformity review documentation.
E. A quantitative analysis of the emissions impact was prepared using the TIP projects listed in Tables 2 through 4. The analysis was conducted using the MOBILE5A and EMIS mobile source emissions models. The analysis estimates annual reduction of 16,942 tons/year of CO in the analysis year of 2000 and 15,600 tons/year in the analysis year 2005, if the "action scenario" is implemented.
F. The CO reductions are estimated to be sustained for a reasonable period beyond the analysis year 2000. The emission reductions shown in Table 1 includes an estimate of emissions from Wright County projects to be constructed that are added to the Twin Cities CO nonattainment area emission totals. No regionally significant projects are planned or programmed for the City of New Prague, which is also in the nonattainment area, but is outside the Council jurisdiction.
G. Exempt projects not included in the regional air quality analysis were identified and classified in accordance with the EPA guidance in Section 51.460 of the Conformity Rule.
H. The quantitative analysis includes all known regionally significant projects as defined in Section 51.392 of the Conformity Rule.
I. The TIP addresses the requirements of the ISTEA metropolitan planning rule Section 450.322 , the Conformity Rule, and is fiscally constrained. Section 3 of the TIP demonstrates the consistency of proposed transportation investments with already available and projected sources of revenue.
J. The public involvement process implemented complies with the ISTEA Metropolitan Planning

Rule, Section 450.316 and Section 51.402(e) of the Conformity Rule.
K. The Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that the TIP does not conflict with the implementation of the SIP, and conforms to the requirement to expedite implementation of Transportation System Management Strategies which are the adopted Transportation Control Measures for the region.
L. The TIP is from a conforming long rage transportation plan.

## II. TIP CONTRIBUTION TO EMISSION REDUCTIONS IN THE TWIN CITIES CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREA

The results of the emission reduction calculations for the TIP are shown in Table 1. A description of the methods and models used to prepare these calculations is in Section III.

| TIIP SCENARIOS ANNUAL CARB FOR ANALYSIS YEARS, 1990, 200 | NOXIDE <br> 2005 (T | CO) EMI SS\YEAR | SIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NETWORK | 1990 | 2000 | 2005 |
| BASELINE TIP SCENARIO | 553,968 | 326,722 | 307,228 |
| ACTION TIP SCENARIO | -- | 309,780 | 291,628 |
| TIP CO REDUCTIONS | -- | 16,942 | 15,600 |

## III. DESCRIPTION OF EMISSION ESTIMATION MODEL AND ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY, ASSUMPTIONS

## A. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM ANALYSIS

Pursuant to Sections 51.412 and 51.414 of the Conformity Rule, the Council has reviewed the TIP document. Based on this review, the Council finds that the TIP contributes to annual emissions reductions consistent with section 51.436 . The following is the description of the scenarios used in the emissions analysis as required by the Conformity Rule.

The Baseline TIP Scenario, as described in Section 51.436(b), is the future transportation system that would result from current programs, composed of all in-place regionally significant highway and transit facilities, services and activities, all ongoing Transportation Demand Management (TDM) or TSM activities, and completion of all regionally significant projects regardless of funding source, which are currently under construction or are undergoing right-of-way acquisition, that come from the first three years of a previously conforming TIP or have completed the NEPA process.

The Action TIP Scenario as described in Section 51.436(d), is the future transportation system that would result from the implementation of the TIP and other regionally significant projects in the time frame of the transportation plan. It includes all facilities, services and activities in the "baseline" scenario, completion of all TCMs and regionally significant projects included in the TIP, and all TDM and TSM activities known to the Council, but not included in the TIP. The regionally significant highway projects for Twin Cities Seven-County Metropolitan Area, included in the Action TIP Scenario, are listed in Tables 2 through 4.

The Council has estimated that the "Action TIP Scenario" contributes to emissions reductions by 16,942 tons/year more than the "baseline" scenario for the 2000 analysis year. The Council believes that CO reductions shown for the remaining analysis years are likely to continue to occur for the following reasons:

1. Continued improvement in auto emissions controls systems and the implementation of an oxygenated gasoline program as required by the CAAA.
2. A regional commitment to continue capital investments to improve the operational efficiencies of the highway and transit systems.
3. A regional commitment to seek alternative methods to reduce congestion and the rate of growth of vehicle miles traveled such as the use of road pricing and other techniques.
4. The continued involvement of local governmental units in the regional 3C transportation planning process to address local congestion problems.

All the TIP highway projects that would add single occupancy vehicle capacity were reviewed as to whether significant single occupancy vehicle capacity would be added if the project was constructed, or whether the project had completed a NEPA process.

A nonattainment area for PM-10 is located in the City of St. Paul. The nonattainment designation is not due to transportation sources. The EPA has approved of MPCA's plan to bring this area in attainment. However, because of recent monitored violations in this area, MPCA is working with EPA to revise this plan. The violations were not caused by transportation sources.

## B. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM HIGHWAYPROJECTS

## Exempt Projects

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the projects in the TIP were reviewed and categorized using the following determinations to identify projects that are exempt from a regional air quality analysis, or are regionally significant projects and must be included in the analysis. The classification process used to identify exempt and regionally significant projects was developed through a consultation process involving the MPCA, the Council and Mn/DOT. The exempt air quality classification codes used are in Appendix C. Projects which are classified as exempt must meet the following requirements:

1. The project does not interfere with the implmentation of transportation control measures (TCMs).
2. The project is segmented for purposes of funding or construction and received all required environmental approvals from the lead agency under the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA), including:
a. A determination of categorical exclusion: or
b. A finding of no significant impact: or
c. A final Environmental Impact Statement for which a record of decision has been issued.
3. The project is exempt as defined in Section 51.460 in the Conformity Rules. Projects identified as exempt by their nature do not affect the outcome of the regional emissions analyses and add no substance to the analyses. These projects are determined to be within the four major categories described in the conformity rules.
a. Safety projects that eliminated hazards or improved traffic flows.
b. Mass Transit projects that maintained or improved the efficiency of transit operations.
c. Air quality related projects that provided opportunities to use alternative modes of transportation such as ride-sharing, van-pooling, bicycling, and pedestrian facilities.
d. Other projects such as environmental reviews, engineering, land acquisition and highway beautification.

## C. REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS

Regionally significant projects, as defined in Section 51.392 of the Conformity Rules, were identified and assigned to the appropriate scenario and analysis year for the TIP air quality analysis.

Table 2 lists the TIP projects included in the air quality analysis as part of the "Baseline Scenario." These are projects scheduled to be completed by the 2000 analysis year. The TIP action scenario projects are listed in Tables 2 and 4.

## D. WRIGHT COUNTY AND THE CITY OF NEW PRAGUE PROJECTS

A significant portion of Wright County and the City of New Prague are included in the Twin Cities CO nonattainment area as identified in the November 6, 1991, Federal Register. However, since the county or the city are not part of the Seven County Metropolitan Area, Wright County and city projects are not considered in the selection of projects for federal funding through the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) and Council processes. However, Wright County and City projects are evaluated for air quality analysis purposes, and the emissions associated with the regionally significant county projects identified are added to the Seven-County region's emissions total.

No regionally significant projects are planned or programmed for the City of New Prague. The Wright County project analyzed for CO emissions is the T.H. 101 from the Hennepin/Wright County line to the Sherburne/Wright County line. The project is to construct a 4-lane arterial facility with the addition of signalized intersections. The emissions calculated were added to the Twin Cities Seven-County baseline totals as shown in Table 1.

The Wright County CO emissions were calculated using the following method:

1. Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) for each of the analysis years was calculated by the following six functional classifications: rural interstate, rural principal arterial, rural minor arterial, rural major collector, rural minor collector, rural local, urban principal arterial, urban minor arterial, urban collector, urban local. VMT data were complied using a Mn/DOT-maintained annual traffic count data base.
2. Total vehicle speeds were calculated by using the volume-to-capacity ratios based on SAPOLLUT tables (see Exhibit 1 ).
3. The emission factors based on MOBILE5A input values were multiplied by VMT derived CO emissions for each of the functional classifications.

The County CO emission values were than derived by adding the total emissions from all the functional classifications.

## E. AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY DETERMINATIONS FOR TRANSIT PROJECTS

The Transit projects listed in Appendix A support ongoing regional and local operations and maintenance of transit systems, and do not require National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) reviews. Exempt projects fall within the "Mass Transit" category listed in the Conformity Rules. The type of exemption is indicated from the codes listed in Appendix C. The U.S. EPA does not provide guidance on the preparation of an air quality analysis for park-and-ride facilities. If an analysis is required, a hotspot analysis of intersections potentially affected by the facility, will be prepared by the project applicant.

Table 2
REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TIP PROJECTS
INCLUDED IN THE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS
IN THE YEAR 2000 BASELINE SCENARIO

| Route | Project \# | Year | Description | Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CR 46 | AE-20 | 95 | Reconstruct; Joplin Ave. to I-35 | Dakota Co. |
| TH-10 | 0214- | 97 | Major Construction-Stage 2A; Foley Blvd. Interchange | MnDOT |
| TH 101 | 7005-57, etc. | 97 | Shakopee Bypass | MnDOT |
| TH 101 | 2738-10 | 97 | Rogers to Elk River | MnDOT |
| I-94 | 8281-82800 | 95 | Replace St. Croix River Bridge (east bound); 2 lanes to 3 lanes | MnDOT |
| 77th St. | 157-108-15 | 95 | Reconstruct from Portland Ave. to Cedar Ave. | Richfield |
| Th 212 | 2762-14 | 95 | Technology Dr. from Prairie Ctr. Dr. to 2000' west | MnDOT |
| TH 212 | 2762-27148 | 98 | Prairie Ctr. Dr. over TH 212 | MnDOT |
| TH 212 | 2762-12 | 98 | . 05 Mile East Mitchell Dr. to TH 494 | MnDOT |
| TH 55 | 2724-105 | 97 | Hiawatha Ave. - I-94 to E. 29th St. | MnDOT |
| TH 610 | 2771-12 | 97 | Regent Ave. to . 25 Mile East of France Ave. | MnDOT |
| TH 610 | 2771-11 | 98 | . 25 Mile East to West End of Bridge Over Mississippi River | MnDOT |
| TH 610 | 2771-15 | 98 | TH 169 to Hampshire Ave. | MnDOT |
| TH 610 | 2771-14 | 99 | Hampshire Ave. to Regent Ave. | MnDOT |
| TH 55 | - | 2000 | From I-94 Southeast to I-494 Build 4-Lane Expressway | MnDOT |
| CSAH 4 | 27-604-12 | 96 | Reconstruct from CSAH 1 to Terrey Pine Dr. | Henn. Co. |
| CSAH 16 | AE-7 | 96 | Reconstruct; Interlachen Dr. to CSAH 19 | Wash. Co. |
| CSAH 21 | 70-621-09 | 95 | New alignment from $2000^{\prime}$ E. of CSAH 39 to $1300^{\prime}$ E. of CSAH 27 | Scott Co. |
| TH 212 | 2762 | 95 | New TH $212 \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{W}$ | MnDOT |
| TH 36 | 8204-37 | 97 | From 0.6 mile west to 0.4 mile east of TH 5 , reconstruct, relocate frontage road | MnDOT |
| I-35 | 1980-19531A | 95 | At County Rd. 46 - New Interchange | Mn/DOT |
| TH 55 | 2724-102 | 99 | Hiawatha Ave. from 6 mile south of E. 59th St. to E. 46th St. | Mn/DOT |
| TH 610 | 2771-8802 | 97 | Regent Ave. to .25 mil. E. of France Ave. | MnDOT |
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Table 2
REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT TIP PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS

IN THE YEAR 2000 BASELINE SCENARIO

| Route | Project \# | Year | Description | Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| TH 169 | $2750-42$ | 95 | 0.1 mi. n. of 93rd Ave. N. to 0.1 mi. n. of Hayden <br> Lake Rd. - Stage 3 | MnDOT |
| CR 18 | $70-618-18$ | 94 | Bloomington Ferry Bridge - Stage 5 | Scott Co. |
| I-494/Lake Rd. | $192-108-03$ <br> $192-010-04$ | 95 | Construct Interchange | Woodbury |
| TH 52/55 | $1907-53$ | 95 | Remove partial interchange, construct full <br> interchange | Inver Grove <br> Hts. |
| TH 212 | $2762-27138$ | 2000 | CSAH 4 Over TH 212 | MnDOT |
| TH 212 | $2762-12$ | 2000 | CSAH 4 to .25 Mile West of Wallace Rd. | MnDOT |
| TH 55 | - | 95 | Construct 4-Lane Expressway from 1.2 Miles <br> Northwest to 2.6 Miles Southeast of Th 25 | MnDOT |
| TH 152 | $27-757-07$ | 96 | Reconstruct from 64th Ave. to 71st Ave. N. | Hennepin |
| TH 10 | - | 2000 | From TH 169 Southeast to TH 610 | MnDOT |


| Table 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| YEAR 2000 ACTION SCENARIO PROJECTS |  |  |
| Route | Description | County |
| TH 36 | Stillwater/Houghton River crossing over the St. Croix | Washington |
| CSAH 1 | Reconstruct; T.H. 169 to W. of CSAH 18 | Hennepin |
| I-35W | From I-94 common section south to TH 62 to I-494 - <br> add HOV lane | Hennepin |
| I-35W | From I-694 south to I-94 common section, meter, bypass <br> ramps | Hennepin |
| I-94 | I-494 to CSAH 152 | Hennepin |
| I-494 | From I-494 to Minneapolis CBD, complete meter bypass <br> ramps, add HOV lanes | Hennepin |
| TH 7 | From junction with I-94 south to I-394, meter, bypass <br> ramps | Hennepin |
| From TH 101 to the western boundary of Chanhassen, | Hennepin |  |
| select capacity and safety improvements based on |  |  |
| corridor study in plan's App. 2 |  |  |

Table 4
YEAR 2005 ACTION YEAR SCENARIO PROJECTS

| Route | Description | County |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| I-494 | From I-394 south to TH 169, bypass ramps | Hennepin |
| TH 77 | From TH 13 to I-35E, meter, bypass ramps | Dakota |
| TH 280 | From I-35W south to I-94, spot improvements | Ramsey |
| TH 169 | From I-94 south to I-494, meter, bypass ramps | Hennepin |

## F. 1990 HIGHWAYNETWORK AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT DOCUMENTATION

Traffic assignment zones (TAZ's) are used in the traffic modeling process as the common geographic unit for data summary. The system of TAZ's covers the entire seven-county, Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. All home-interview data and selected other trip and socioeconomic data were compiled by TAZ. In additions, the TAZ system forms the geographic framework for coding highway and transit networks. Each TAZ is linked to all others by the highway network. Most are linked to one another by the transit network.

The most significant application of the TAZ is as the geographic unit used by the models to predict attractions and productions of person-trips. An example of a TAZ is a shopping mall. A mall has a homogeneous commercial land use that attracts people to work or shop. Another type of TAZ, produces person-trips generated in proportion to the number of households, type of household ,size of household, and an income variable such as the number of automobiles that each household has available on a daily basis for trip-making.

The 1990 zone system consists of 1,165 internal zones and 35 external stations. Internal zone boundaries most often lie along major highways or arterials streets or on any other significant physical boundary that shapes and directs trip movements, such as a large lake or major river. County boundaries also form edges of zones where appropriate. An external station is a point at the edge of the seven-county area where vehicle trips leave or enter the metro system without being associated with the local land use. In other words, one end of the trip is outside the seven-county area.

The rebuilding of the 1990 highway network was completed by Mn/DOT with assistance from the Council, and the transportation departments of counties and cities. The rebuilt network is based on data from the 1990 regional Travel Behavior Inventory (TBI).

To reflect some key parameters for transportation modeling, such as typical speeds by location in the region, the network links are relate to geographical area types of Rural, Developing, Developed, Center City (described as Minneapolis and St. Paul), Central Business District (CBD) which are the Minneapolis and St. Paul CBD's and outlying Business Area.

Rural is defined as areas with population density less than one-person-per-acre. The Developing area defined as an area with population greater than one-person-per-acre and outside the Interstate 694/Interstate 494 (I-694/I-494) ring. Inside the I-694/I-494 ring is the Developed area the CBD and Center City. The Outlying Business Areas are freestanding areas some distance from Minneapolis and St. Paul which operate like a CBD.

Areatypes are used to create a matrix by facility types. Facility types are categories of roads which operate in a similar manner. These facility types are:

| 1. Metered Freeway | 6. Undivided Arterial |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Unmetered Freeway | 7. Collector |
| 3. Metered Ramp | 8. HOV |
| 4. Unmetered Ramp | 9. Centroid Connector |
| 5. Divided Arterial | 10. HOV Ramp |

The GIS software used in the modeling, creates default speed based on 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory (TBI) highway speed survey data and capacity values for all the network links. In this process, areatype polygons are created that automatically identify all the links inside of the polygon. The areatype value is automatically assigned to the link. The relational database software, ORACLE, is used to assign or update speed and capacity of links based on their areatype/facility type. Figure 1 illustrates the flow of the trip demand models used in the trip distribution model.

## The Trip Generation Model

The Trip Generation Model produces productions and attractions for each transportation analysis zone based on the population, number of households, employment level and socio-economic characteristics of each zone. The model was calibrated through the use of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey, Establishment Survey, and Special Generator Surveys which
provided several databases of observed daily trips.

## Trip Distribution Model

The trip distribution model uses the trip ends from the trip generation model, and information on the time and travel cost of traveling to estimate the zone to zone movements for the region. The distribution model for the Twin Cities area is a standard gravity model.

The model generates the number of person trips that are anticipated to be made between any two zones in the regional model on an average weekday, regardless of mode. The model was calibrated through the use of the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey which provided a database of observed daily trips.

## Mode Choice Model

The Mode Choice Model applies a logit model to home-based work, home-base other and non-home based trips. In addition, non-home based trips are further divided into work-related and non-work related. Home-based university trips are dealt with separately, using the work model. The mode choice models use the travel times and costs of the highway and transit systems to estimate the proportion of trips which will use the transit system, be automobile drivers, or be automobile passengers. Two surveys provided data for calibrating the mode choice model, the 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Home Interview Survey and the 1990 transit onboard survey.

## Temporal Distribution Model

The Temporal Distribution Model splits the daily trip tables into time segments to replicate the peak hours, peak period and off-peak travel periods.

## Assignment Model

The Assignment model distributes vehicle trips onto the highway system through a capacity restrained equilibrium method. Capacity on the highway system, in proportion to the volume of travel assigned to each link in an iteration, result in a decrease in speed on the link. The relationship between volume and capacity was adjusted for certain facility types based on 1990 Travel Behavior Inventory Highway Speed Survey data, rather than solely using the default Bureau of Public Roads ratios.

FIGURE 1
GENERAL FLOW DESCRIPTION OF THE TRIP GENERATION MODELS


## G. AIR QUALITY MODELING

A regional air quality analysis was prepared using the MOBILE5A and EMIS air quality analysis models. The MOBILE5A model is used to produce carbon monoxide emission factors from mobile sources for the region. A sample input file for MOBILE5A is in Exhibit 2, along with the output emission factors. EMIS is used to calculate the daily mobile source air pollution. The calculation is based on emission factors from MOBILE5A (in grams per vehicle mile), vehicle miles of travel (VMT), and congested speed from a highway assignment. Travel on centroid connectors, and intrazonal travel also are accounted for by the model. EMIS summarizes daily pollutant emissions from calculations performed on the model, on a link-by-link basis. Major steps within EMIS are as follows:

- Read the capacity-restrained link loadings, speeds, area types, facility types, and number of lanes.
- Read the intrazonal vehicle trips, and allocate them to centroid connectors in proportion to interzonal trip loading on the centroid connectors.
- For each link, pick the CO emission rate from the MOBILE 5A run. Rates are picked on the basis of area type, facility type, and capacity restrained speed. Linear interpolation is used to calculate emission rates that fall between the speed increments developed by MOBILE 5A
- Multiply the link distance by the loading to obtain VMT for the link.
- Accumulate VMT, VHT and emissions by geographic area, facility type, area type and number of lanes.

Outside of EMIS, the emissions for each time period of the regional forecast are aggregated to a daily total and converted to tons per year.

The series of models currently used are not capable of analyzing individual transportation demand management strategies. This type of analysis must be performed "off-model" by applying CO reduction estimate techniques developed to analyze the benefits of CMAQ types of projects.

## IV. CONSULTATION

## A. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

A proactive public involvement process was used in the development and adoption of the TIP as required by the Council's Citizen Participation Plan. The plan and administrative procedures contains goals, strategies and procedures for public communication and involvement, public notices of meetings held by the Council and the conduct of hearings to formally solicit comments on the plan document. These documents were adopted after extensive public involvement in the preparation and review. A public hearing is to be held by the Council on the TIP with a 45 -day public comment period provided. During the comment period, copies of the plan are available at over 20 public libraries throughout the metropolitan area. The record of these comments and the Council's responses prior to adoption will become part of the conformity documentation. The public involvement process to be implemented complies with the ISTEA Metropolitan Planning Rules, Section 450.316 and Section 51.402(e) of the Conformity Rule.

## B. INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION PROCESS

An interagency consultation process was used to develop and the TIP amendment. Consultation will be continued through the public comment period to respond to comments and concerns raised by the agencies prior to final adoption by the Council. The process followed will comply with Section 51.416 of the Conformity Rule and Section 450.31 of the ISTEA Metropolitan Planning Rules.

The Council, MPCA and Mn/DOT conferred on the application of the latest air quality emission models, the review and selection of projects exempted from a conformity air quality analysis, and regionally significant projects that must be included in the conformity analysis of the TIP. The following is a list of interagency meetings held to develop consultation procedures and to consult during the preparation of the TIP document, and its conformity review.

## DATE

## ACTIVITY

Oct-Nov 1994 Series of meetings with a transportation/air quality task force by the Council, MPCA, Mn /DOT and other metropolitan planning organizations to develop consultation procedures as part of a SIP amendment required by the Conformity Rule. A public comment period was conducted by the MPCA. The Council and Mn/DOT submitted formal comments, as did the other MPO's in the state.

11/16/94

12/1/94

12/5/94

2/2/95

2/15/95

3/2/95

4/16/95

5/31/95

6/21/95

7/19/95

8/10/95

Regional solicitation for 1996-1998 TIP projects approved by the Transportation Advisory Board.

Metropolitan Council concurrance with the solicitation of the Transportation Advisory Board.

Solicitation publicly announced.

Joint meeting with U.S.DOT, EPA, Council, MPCA, Mn/DOT and other MPOs to resolve Plan and TIP conformity review issues.

Council, $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$, MPCA staff meetings to coordinate air quality analysis modeling and respond to U.S. DOT and EPA comments on conformity determination of the 1993 Plan Amendment and 1995-97 TIP.

Council, MPCA, Mn/DOT staff meeting to identify and classify exempt projects, and to concur on the regionally significant projects to be in the Conformity Air Quality Analysis.

TAB selects projects for inclusion in the TIP - holds public meeting on schedule/process for approving the TIP

TAB approves TIP for the purposes of initiating a public comment period.

TAB conducts public hearing.

TAB reviews responses from public comments, and if issues are addressed, adopts TIP and forward it along with responses to comments received, to the Metropolitan Council.

Metropolitan Council approves TIP, conformity determination and sends TIP to $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT}$ for inclusion in the state TIP.

The TAB and its Technical Advisory Committee were involved in the TIP development and public review processes. The TAB provides a forum for the deliberation of regional transportation issues among state, regional and local elected officials, together with private citizens appointed by the Council. The MPCA and Mn/DOT are represented on the TAB. The TAB's comments on the TIP
and the Council's response, will be part of the public hearing record attached to the conformity determination documentation when submitted along with the TIP to $\mathrm{Mn} /$ DOT for inclusion in the state TIP, and submitted to the U.S. Department of Transportation.

## V. CONFORMITYTO THE SIP AND TIMELYIMPLEMENTATIONOF TRANSPORTATION CONTROL MEASURES (TCM'S)

Pursuant to the Conformity Rule, the Council reviewed the TIP and certifies that the TIP does not conflict with the implementation of the SIP, and conforms to the requirement to expedite implementation of Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies which were the adopted TCM's for the region. Table 5 is a summary and status of the TSM's found in the Transportation Air Quality Control Plan that describes the status of each TSM. Except for TSM's not completed for the reasons cited in Table 5, the majority of the TSM's are completed or in the final stages of completion. Implementation of the TIP will not affect the schedules for completing the remaining TSM projects. It is anticipated that the Transportation Air Quality Control Plan will be revised in 1995 as part of a request to the U.S. EPA to designate the Twin Cities Area as an attainment area for CO.

There are no fully adopted regulatory new TCM's or fully funded nonregulatory TCMS that will be implemented during the programming period of the TIP. There are no prior TCMS that were adopted since November 15, 1990, nor any prior TCM's that have been amended since that date.

As part of the process to redesignate the Twin Cities Area as a CO attainment area, the SIP will be amended. During this redesignation process, it is anticipated that TSM's will be removed that are outdated, or no longer appropriate. This process is to be initiated by the MPCA in 1995.

Table 5 lists two TCM's that are traffic flow amendments to the SIP. The MPCA added them to the SIP since its original adoption. These include one-way pair in Minneapolis to address air quality problems at a permanent monitoring site at Hennepin Avenue and Lake Street, and in St. Paul at the Snelling and University Avenue monitoring site. While not control measures, the MPCA added two additional revisions to the SIP which reduce CO: a vehicle emissions inspection/maintenance program, implemented in 1991, to correct the region-wide carbon monoxide problem, and a mandated four-month oxygenated gasoline program implemented in November 1992.

The MPCA has requested that the U.S. EPA add a third revision to the SIP, a contingency measure consisting of a year-round oxygenated gasoline program if the CO standards were violated after 1995. The U.S. EPA has not yet ruled on this proposal. If current state law remains in effect, however,
the Twin Cities area will have a year-round program starting in 1995, regardless of any U.S. EPA rulemaking. The law provides for the program to go state-wide in 1997.

Table 5
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATEGIES
STATUS

| Vehicle Inspection/Maintenance <br> (listed in Transportation Control Plan as a TSM Strategy) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Establish VIM Program | - Program became operational in July 1991 |
| Exclusive Bus/Carpool Lane |  |
| - I-35W Bus/Metered Freeway Project | - Metered freeway access locations have bus and carpool bypass lanes at strategic intersections on I35W and I-394 |
| - Reserved transit lanes in 3rd Ave. distributor in Minneapolis | - 3rd Ave. distributor project including exclusive bus/carpool lanes was completed in 1992 |
| Alternative Fuels or Engines |  |
| - Gasohol demonstration project | - Council is implementing alternatives fuel testing program for buses initiated in 1992; Mpls. is testing its vehicles |
| Cold Start Emissions Reductions |  |
| - Auto plug-in program for cold-start reductions | - Not an adopted strategy after a study of its feasiblility. |
| Staggered Work Hours |  |
| - Variable work hours implemented by various agencies | - City, county and state employees have flex time programs available. Other employers allow flextime and help support van and carpooling programs. <br> These programs are actively promoted and financially supported by employers. |
| Improved Public Transit |  |
| - Reduced MCTO fares | - Special marketing concepts continue to be introduced and tested by the Council to increase ridership. |
| - MTC Downtown Fare Zone | - Special reduced fares for Mpls. and St. Paul downtowns implemented and ongoing. |
| - Community Centered Transit | - "Opt-out" provisions now allow communities to develop local service. Several community-focused transit hubs are being developed. |
| - Flexible Transit | - Alternative modes introduced to provide specialized transit service. |


| Table 5 <br> TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES <br> LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TWIN CITIES AREA ISM STRATEGIES | STATUS |
| - Total Community Service Demonstration (elderly, | - An accessible route service implemented in addition |
| handicapped service) <br> - Responsibleness in Routing and Scheduling | to Metro Mobility service. <br> - Transit agencies have active planning and communication programs with communities. |
| - CBD Parking Shuttle | - Shuttle service incorporated with the CBD regular route special fare zone. |
| - Simplified Fare Structure | - Council implemented a simplified fare structure that consists of a base rate with a rush hour and express service supplemental rates. |
| - Bus Shelters | - Established ongoing program of installing and maintaining bus shelters. |
| - Rider Information | - Region-wide transit information is available through CBD Transit Stores and a computerized phone system. |
| - Transit Marketing | - Transit marketing remains an integral part of transit planning and the provision of services by the Council. |
| - Cost Accounting Transit Performance Funding | - Proceed into operation computer models to assess transit costs and establish performance measures. |
| - Transit Maintenance Program | - Construction of new maintenance garages and bus overhaul facilities. |
| - "Real-time" Monitoring | - ITS "real time" programs implemented on I-394 corridor. |
| - Park and Ride | - Joint Council-Mn/DOT program or the planning and construction of park-and-ride facilities throughout the region is onging. |
| Area-wide Carpool Programs |  |
| - Expand Existing Area-wide Shared-ride Programs | - Minnesota Rideshare program is actively marketed by the Council and was redesigned and expanded in 1994. |
| On-street Parking Controls |  |
| - Enforcement of Parking Idling and Traffic Ordinances | - Ongoing enforcement aggressively pursued by Mpls. and St. Paul. |
| Park and Ride/Fringe Parking |  |
| - CBD Fringe Parking Programs in Mpls. and St. Paul | - Mpls. and St. Paul developed and are implementing ongoing programs for fringe parking and incentives. to encourage carpooling. |
| Pedestrian Malls |  |
| - Nicollet Mall (Mpls.) | - Nicollet Mall renovations and extension completed. |
| - Pedestrian Facilities/skywaySystems | - Extension of Mpls. skyway system to the fringe parking in the 3rd Ave. distributor is completed. |
| - CBD Housing and Related Pedestrian Way | - Mpls. and St. Paul continue to promote the expansion of their skyway systems as part of the CBD development process. |

## Table 5

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LISTED IN THE TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

## TWIN CITIES AREA TSM STRATIEGIES

## STATUS

| Employer Programs for Transit, Paratransit and Bicycles |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Shared-ride Programs Implemented and Underway in the Metropolitan Area | - A number of Twin Cities employers have van and carpool programs and participate in Minnesota Rideshare program. Technical assistance is provided by the Council. |
|  | - Transportation Management Organizations established in downtown Minneapolis and I-494 Strip in Bloomington continue to operate. |
| Bicycle Lanes and Storage |  |
| - Bicycle Facilities Implemented by Various Cities in Metropolitan Area | - Provisions for bicycle parking are included in fringe parking facilities for downtown Minneapolis. ISTEA funds are being used to develop bicycle facilities. |
| Traffic Flow Improvements |  |
| - Minneapolis Computerized Traffic Management System | - Minneapolis system installed. New hardware and software installation completed in 1992. |
| - St. Paul Computerized Traffic Management System | - St. Paul system completed in 1991. |
| - New Construction - Minneapolis; 3rd Ave. Distributor, I-35E, St. Paul | - 3rd Ave. distributor with computerized signals completed. |
| - University and Snelling Avenues, St. Paul; traffic flow improvements | - Improvements completed in 1990 and became fully operational in 1991. |

## Table 6 <br> MOBILE5A INPUT VALUES

The EPA-MOBILE5A model produced the vehicular CO emissions for the inventory using the following input values:

| Auto Registration | 1990 7-county area |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline volatility | . 13.4 RVP |
| Ambient Temperature | 31 degrees F . |
| Minimum temperature | 16 degrees F . |
| Maximum temperature | 38 degrees F . |
| Coldstarts | 20.6\% (default) |
| Hotstarts | 27.3\% (default) |
| Altitude | . low altitude |
| Vehicle mix | light duty vehicles |
| Inspection/Maintenance - anti tam |  |
| Start year | 1991 |
| Pre-1981 stringency | 23\% |
| First model year covered | . 1976 |
| Waiver rates | 11\% |
| Compliance rates | 96\% |
| Inspection types covered | centralized |
| Vehicle types covered | , LDGT1, LDGT2 |
| Frequency | . annual |
| Anti-tampering inspection - Cataly |  |
| Oxygenated Fuels Factors |  |
| Oxygen content | 2.7\% |
| Market share | 90\% |
| Alcohol blend RVP waive | . . Yes |
| Note that the MOBILE5A defaul | remaining input facto |

Exhibit 1
AVERAGESPEED BASED ON VOLUME TO CAPACITYRATIOS (V/C BY FACILITYTYPES AND BY AREA TYPE) AVERAGESPEED (MPH)

| V/C | FREEWAYS |  | ARTERIALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CBD/CC | Sub/Rural | CBD | CC | Sub/Rural |
| 0.0 | 50.0 | 65.0 | 21.8 | 29.8 | 32.2 |
| 0.1 | 48.0 | 62.5 | 21.3 | 29.5 | 32.0 |
| 0.2 | 46.0 | 60.0 | 20.8 | 29.2 | 31.8 |
| 0.3 | 44.0 | 57.5 | 20.3 | 28.8 | 31.6 |
| 0.4 | 42.0 | 55.0 | 19.8 | 28.5 | 31.4 |
| 0.5 | 40.0 | 52.5 | 19.3 | 28.2 | 31.2 |
| 0.6 | 38.0 | 50.5 | 18.8 | 27.8 | 31.0 |
| 0.7 | 36.0 | 47.5 | 18.3 | 27.5 | 30.8 |
| 0.8 | 34.0 | 44.5 | 17.8 | 27.2 | 30.6 |
| 0.9 | 32.0 | 41.0 | 16.4 | 21.1 | 22.8 |
| 1.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| 1.1 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 1.2 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| 1.3 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 1.4 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 1.5 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 1.6 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |

Source: Special Area Analysis Manual, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1973.

# Exhibit 2 <br> SAMPLES OF MOBILE 5A AND EMIS OUTPUT FILES 

## MOBILE 5A Input File for 1990 Model Year



## MOBILE 5A Output for 1990 Model Year



| SPEED $=24.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOC | HC: 3.04 | 4.15 | 5.80 | 4.70 | 8.01 | . 60 | . 88 | 2.91 | 2.92 | 3.56 |
| Exhst | HC: 3.03 | 4.11 | 5.64 | 4.62 | 7.62 | . 60 | . 88 | 2.91 | 2.92 | 3.52 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: 3.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 31.58 | 39.75 | 52.53 | 44.05 | 106.79 | 1.34 | 1.59 | 11.22 | 22.01 | 35.39 |
| Exhst | NOX: 2.41 | 2.84 | 3.67 | 3.12 | 7.72 | 1.51 | 1.79 | 20.17 | 1.14 | 3.63 |
| SPEED $=27.0$ 24 3.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: 2.74 | 3.76 | 5.25 | 4.26 | 6.86 | . 55 | . 80 | 2.64 | 2.68 | 3.20 |
| Exhst | HC: 2.72 | 3.72 | 5.09 | 4.18 | 6.47 | . 55 | . 80 | 2.64 | 2.68 | 3.16 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 28.72 | 36.19 | 47.40 | 39.96 | 94.18 | 1.18 | 1.40 | 9.90 | 19.41 | 32.05 |
| SPEED $=30.0$ 2.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: 2.49 | 3.44 | 4.79 | 3.90 | 5.97 | . 50 | . 73 | 2.41 | 2.48 | 2.91 |
| Exhst | HC: 2.47 | 3.40 | 4.63 | 3.81 | 5.57 | . 50 | . 73 | 2.41 | 2.48 | 2.86 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 26.36 | 33.16 | 43.15 | 36.52 | 84.71 | 1.06 | 1.26 | 8.89 | 17.23 | 29.32 |
| Exhst | NOX: 2.46 | 2.99 | 3.82 | 3.27 | 8.11 | 1.43 | 1.69 | 19.03 | 1.26 | 3.65 |
| SPEED $=33.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voc | HC: 2.28 | 3.17 | 4.41 | 3.59 | 5.27 | . 46 | . 67 | 2.22 | 2.30 | 2.66 |
| Exhst | HC: 2.27 | 3.13 | 4.25 | 3.51 | 4.87 | . 46 | . 67 | 2.22 | 2.30 | 2.62 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: $\quad .00$ | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 24.42 | 30.63 | 39.61 | 33.66 | 77.72 | . 97 | 1.15 | 8.10 | 15.41 | 27.08 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exhst | HC: 2.10 | 2.91 | 3.93 | 3.25 | 4.33 | . 43 | . 62 | 2.07 | 2.15 | 2.42 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: ${ }^{\text {a }} 000$ | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 22.83 | 28.60 | 36.72 | 31.33 | 72.74 | . 90 | 1.07 | 7.51 | 13.90 | 25.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: 1.97 | 2.78 | 3.83 | 3.13 | 4.29 | . 40 | . 58 | 1.93 | 2.03 | 2.30 |
| Exhst | HC: 1.96 | 2.73 | 3.68 | 3.05 | 3.90 | . 40 | . 58 | 1.93 | 2.03 | 2.26 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: $\quad .00$ | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 21.54 | 27.03 | 34.40 | 29.51 | 69.43 | . 84 | 1.00 | 7.08 | 12.71 | 23.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: 1.86 | 2.64 | 3.63 | 2.97 | 3.96 | . 38 | . 55 | 1.82 | 1.94 | 2.17 |
| Exhst | HC: 1.84 | 2.60 | 3.47 | 2.89 | 3.57 | . 38 | . 55 | 1.82 | 1.94 | 2.13 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 20.51 | 25.89 | 32.60 | 28.15 | 67.60 | . 81 | . 96 | 6.78 | 11.79 | 22.78 |
| EXhst NOX:          <br> SPEED $=45.0$ 3.56 3.17 4.05 3.47 8.90 1.48 1.75 19.76 1.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: 1.76 | 2.54 | 3.47 | 2.85 | 3.70 | . 36 | . 52 | 1.74 | 1.88 | 2.07 |
| Exhst | HC: 1.75 | 2.49 | 3.31 | 2.77 | 3.31 | . 36 | . 52 | 1.74 | 1.88 | 2.03 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: 0.00 |  | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 19.69 | 25.10 | 31.24 | 27.17 | 67.14 | . 79 | . 94 | 6.61 | 11.10 | 21.97 |
| Exhst | NOX: 2.59 | 3.21 | 4.11 | 3.51 | 9.10 | 1.54 | 1.83 | 20.59 | 1.43 | 3.91 |
| SPEED $=48.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: 1.69 | 2.45 | 3.35 | 2.75 | 3.51 | . 34 | . 50 | 1.66 | 1.84 | 1.98 |
| Exhst | HC: 1.67 | 2.41 | 3.19 | 2.67 | 3.12 | . 34 | . 50 | 1.66 | 1.84 | 1.94 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: 0.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: 19.01 | 24.55 | 30.19 | 26.45 | 68.01 |  |  | 6.54 | 10.58 | 21.37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: 1.69 | 2.45 | 3.35 | 2.75 | 3.37 | . 33 | . 49 | 1.61 | 1.84 | 1.97 |
| Exhst | HC: 1.67 | 2.41 | 3.19 | 2.67 | 2.98 | . 33 | . 49 | 1.61 | 1.84 | 1.93 |
| Evap. | HC: . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |


| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 19.01 | 24.55 | 30.19 | 26.45 | 70.27 | . 78 | . 93 | 6.58 | 10.58 | 21.44 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 2.98 | 3.65 | 4.73 | 4.02 | 9.49 | 1.74 | 2.06 | 23.24 | 1.60 | 4.43 |
| SPEED $=54.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.69 | 2.45 | 3.35 | 2.75 | 3.29 | . 32 | . 47 | 1.57 | 1.84 | 1.97 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.67 | 2.41 | 3.19 | 2.67 | 2.90 | . 32 | . 47 | 1.57 | 1.84 | 1.93 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 19.01 | 24.55 | 30.19 | 26.45 | 74.06 | . 80 | . 95 | 6.73 | 10.58 | 21.56 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 3.33 | 4.06 | $5: 29$ | 4.47 | 9.69 | 1.89 | 2.23 | 25.17 | 1.74 | 4.88 |
| SPEED $=57.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 1.92 | 2.81 | 3.85 | 3.16 | 3.25 | . 32 | . 46 | 1.54 | 2.11 | 2.22 |
| Exhst | HC: | 1.90 | 2.77 | 3.69 | 3.08 | 2.85 | . 32 | . 46 | 1.54 | 2.11 | 2.18 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 26.01 | 35.10 | 43.98 | 38.09 | 79.61 | . 83 | . 99 | 7.00 | 15.68 | 29.19 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 3.68 | 4.46 | 5.84 | 4.92 | 9.89 | 2.07 | 2.45 | 27.61 | 1.88 | 5.35 |
| SPEED $=60.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.27 | 3.34 | 4.60 | 3.77 | 3.25 | . 32 | . 46 | 1.52 | 2.51 | 2.60 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.25 | 3.30 | 4.44 | 3.69 | 2.86 | . 32 | . 46 | 1.52 | 2.51 | 2.56 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 36.50 | 50.93 | 64.68 | 55.55 | 87.29 | . 88 | 1.05 | 7.40 | 23.32 | 40.62 |
| Exhst NOX:SPEED $=63.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.61 | 3.88 | 5.35 | 4.37 | 3.29 | . 31 | . 46 | 1.52 | 2.91 | 2.98 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.60 | 3.84 | 5.20 | 4.29 | 2.90 | . 31 | . 46 | 1.52 | 2.91 | 2.94 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 47.00 | 66.75 | 85.37 | 73.02 | 97.63 | . 95 | 1.13 | 7.95 | 30.96 | 52.13 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 4.38 | 5.26 | 6.95 | 5.83 | 10.28 | 2.58 | 3.06 | 34.51 | 2.17 | 6.40 |
| SPEED $=65.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOC | HC: | 2.85 | 4.23 | 5.85 | 4.78 | 3.34 | . 32 | . 46 | 1.52 | 3.17 | 3.23 |
| Exhst | HC: | 2.83 | 4.19 | 5.70 | 4.70 | 2.95 | . 32 | . 46 | 1.52 | 3.17 | 3.19 |
| Evap. | HC: | . 02 | . 04 | . 16 | . 08 | . 39 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 04 |
| Refuel | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Runing | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 00 |
| Rsting | HC: | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |  | . 00 | . 00 |
| Exhst | CO: | 54.00 | 77.30 | 99.16 | 84.66 | 106.35 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 8.42 | 36.06 | 59.87 |
| Exhst | NOX: | 4.61 | 5.53 | 7.32 | 6.14 | 10.41 | 2.82 | 3.34 | 37.60 | 2.26 | 6.79 |

## EMIS Output for 1990 Model Year

TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 1 ( $6: 30-7: 30$ AM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:23:34 3Mar95

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY
ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS

| FT AT | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST HC | EVAPORATE R HC | REFUELING HC | RUN | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LOSS } \\ \text { HC } \end{array}$ |  | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 488682. | 481639. | . 6782. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 5018266. | 664917. |
| 12 | 397152. | 391664. | . 5437. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 4105152. | 518989. |
| 13 | 575989. | 566516. | . 9237. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 6022466. | 952908. |
| 14 | 423277. | 416678. | 6592. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 4390724. | 640017. |
| 15 | 216052. | 212954. | . 3095. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 2223400. | 300305. |
| 21 | 485190. | 476294. | . 8893. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 6042235. | 1049372. |
| 22 | 474389. | 465964. | . 8425. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 6330790. | 1107780. |
| 23 | 839718. | 823268. | . 16447. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 9339251. | 1821523. |
| 24 | 179183. | 175836. | . 3343. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 1895529. | 327743. |
| 25 | 229902. | 226753. | . 3138. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 2432005. | 311521. |
| 31 | 14961. | 14773. | . 187. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 150297. | 17207. |
| 32 | 2761. | 2725. | . 36. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 27787. | 3313. |
| 33 | 13659. | 13479. | . 180. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 137498. | 16467. |
| 34 | 12091. | 11941. | . 150 |  | 0. |  | 0. | 121190. | 13735. |
| 35 | 6487. | 6405. | - 82. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 65080. | 7487. |
| 41 | 64102. | 63232. | . 867. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 648240. | 80223. |
| 42 | 23770. | 23445. | . 321. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 239725. | 29359. |
| 43 | 79803. | 78692. | . 1108. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 809279. | 103290. |
| 44 | 53919. | 53237. | . 674. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 541484. | 61973. |
| 45 | 28956. | 28590. | . 361. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 290115. | 32942. |
| 51 | 432690. | 424748. | . 7887. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 4574660. | 794904. |
| 52 | 463694. | 454912. | . 8759. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 4912067. | 856551. |
| 53 | 275703. | 271062. | . 4613. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 2854403. | 434544. |
| 54 | 91824. | 90456. | . 1352. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 934756. | 124960. |
| 55 | 116480. | 114552. | . 1905. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 1201227. | 179187. |
| 61 | 741078. | 727407. | . 13671. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 7918462. | 1362421. |
| 62 | 704456. | 692254. | . 12163. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 7320830. | 1149193. |
| 63 | 337102. | 331677. | - 5401. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 3468349. | 503837. |
| 64 | 233518. | 230984. | . 2492. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 2322290. | 226872. |
| 65 | 140056. | 138547. | . 1501. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 1392576. | 136538. |
| SUM | 8146628. | 8010694. | - 135099. |  | 0. |  | 0. | 87730352. | 13830089. |
| (TONS) | 8.97 | 8.82 | 2.15 |  | 0 |  | 00 | 96.62 | 15.23 |


| TWIN Cities metropolitan area travel forecast model -1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 1 (6:30-7:30 AM) EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93 - RUN TIME: 09:23:34 3Mar95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FACILITY <br> TYPE | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST HC | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC | RUN | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LOSS } \\ \text { HC } \end{array}$ | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOX |
| (TONS) | $\begin{array}{r} 2101156 . \\ 2208382 . \\ 49959 . \\ 250550 . \\ 1380393 . \\ 2156210 . \\ 8146628 . \\ 8.97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2069449 . \\ 2168114 . \\ 49324 . \\ 247195 . \\ 1355731 . \\ 2120869 . \\ 8010694 . \\ 8.82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 31143 \\ . & 40246 \\ . & 636 \\ \cdot & 3331 \\ \cdot & 34516 \\ \cdot & 135028 \\ . & .1 \end{array}$ | . 0 <br> . 0 <br> . 0 <br> . 0 <br> . 0 <br> 5 0 | 0. 21759994. <br> 0. 26039820. <br> 0.501852. <br> 0. 2528844. <br> 0. 14477117. <br> 0. 22422496. <br> $\begin{array}{r}0.87730352 . \\ 00 \\ \hline 6.62\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3077133 . \\ 4617938 . \\ 58209 . \\ 307787 . \\ 2390146 . \\ 3378867 . \\ 13830089 . \\ 15.23 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AREA TYPE | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST HC | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC | RUN | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LOSS } \\ \mathrm{HC} \end{array}$ | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOX |
| 1 | 2226703. | 2188092. | 2. 38288 |  | . | 0. | 24352140. | 3969044. |
| 2 | 2066223. | 2030963. | 3. 35140 |  | . | 0. | 22936342. | 3665188. |
| 3 | 2121977. | 2084693. | 3. 36985 | 5. 0 | . | 0. | 22631220. | 3832566. |
| 4 | 993814. | 979133. | 34604 | 4. 0 | . | 0. | 10205968. | 1395301. |
| 5 | 737934. | 727801. | 1. 10081 |  | . | 0. | 7604409. | 967980. |
| SUM | 8146628. | 8010694. | 4. 135099 | 9. | . | 0. | 87730352. | 13830089. |
| (TONS) | 8.97 | 8.82 |  | 15 . 0 | 0 | . 00 | 96.62 | 15.23 |
| NUMBER | TOTAL | EXHAUST E | EVAPORATE | REFUELING | RUN | LOSS | EXHAUST | EXHAUST |
| LANES | VOC | HC | HC | HC |  | HC | CO | NOX |
| 1 | 3007889. | 2963098. | 8. 44252 |  | . |  | 30895724. | $4201133 .$ |
| 2 | 3401253. | 3342433. | 3. 58570 |  | 0. |  | $37022368 .$ | $6047167 .$ |
| 3 | 1326696. | 1302325. | 24270 | 0. 0 | . |  | 15268149. | 2737635. |
| 4 | 356118. | 349181. | 1. 6938 |  | . | 0. | 3928223. | 730510. |
| 5 | 54707. | 53638. | 8. 1070 |  | . | 0. | 615606. | 113643. |
| SUM | 8146628. | 8010694. | 4. 135099 | 9.0 | . | 0. | 87730352. | 13830089. |
| (TONS) | 8.97 | 8.82 |  | 15 . 0 | 0 | . 00 | 96.62 | 15.23 |



```
tWin cities metropolitan area travel forecast model --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 2 (6:00 - 6:30 AND 7:00 - 7:30 AM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93
    - RUN TIME: 09:25:42 3Mar95
```

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS
TOTAL EXHAUST EVAPORATE REFUELING RUN LOSS EXHAUST EXHAUST

| 11 | 424728. | 418527. | 5979. | 0. | 0. | 4370740. | 594002. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 339200. | 334486. | 4685. | 0. | 0. | 3529894. | 454127. |
| 13 | 502395. | 494067. | 8125. | 0. | 0. | 5278619. | 855307. |
| 14 | 394494. | 388382. | 6110. | 0. | 0. | 4103751. | 596887. |
| 15 | 195466. | 192653. | 2805. | 0. | 0. | 2016334. | 274494. |
| 21 | 428898. | 421105. | 7791. | 0. | 0. | 5452334. | 929628. |
| 22 | 428904. | 421517. | 7387. | 0. | 0. | 5953650. | 1003493. |
| 23 | 745533. | 730785. | 14748. | 0. | 0. | 8360536. | 1660626. |
| 24 | 168259. | 165085. | 3160. | 0. | 0. | 1781644. | 310178. |
| 25 | 150711. | 147902. | 2794. | 0. | 0. | 1601633. | 276562. |
| 31 | 13446. | 13277. | 170. | 0. | 0. | 135122. | 15604. |
| 32 | 2376. | 2345. | 31. | 0. | 0. | 23910. | 2846. |
| 33 | 12028. | 11868. | 160. | 0. | 0. | 121148. | 14604. |
| 34 | 11934. | 11786. | 146. | 0. | 0. | 119688. | 13354. |
| 35 | 6288. | 6210. | 78. | 0. | 0. | 62995. | 7119. |
| 41 | 55706. | 54944. | 760. | 0. | 0. | 563665. | 70356. |
| 42 | 22031. | 21732. | 297. | 0. | 0. | 222166. | 27169. |
| 43 | 71924. | 70919. | 1004. | 0. | 0. | 729848. | 93586. |
| 44 | 49206. | 48583. | 613. | 0. | 0. | 494830. | 56453. |
| 45 | 27216. | 26872. | 339. | 0. | 0. | 272702. | 30921. |
| 51 | 369633. | 362803. | 6808. | 0. | 0. | 3914716. | 688742. |
| 52 | 403984. | 396198. | 7779. | 0. | 0. | 4296228. | 764419. |
| 53 | 234251. | 230187. | 4035. | 0. | 0. | 2432714. | 380927. |
| 54 | 80984. | 79775. | 1201. | 0. | 0. | 825277. | 111074. |
| 55 | 104707. | 102949. | 1737. | 0. | 0. | 1081688. | 163645. |
| 61 | 603137. | 591604. | 11512. | 0. | 0. | 6451140. | 1147688. |
| 62 | 592840. | 582425. | 10354. | 0. | 0. | 6167760. | 979149. |
| 63 | 277822. | 273298. | 4508. | 0. | 0. | 2859523. | 420732. |
| 64 | 203625. | 201379. | 2191. | 0. | 0. | 2023442. | 199329. |
| 65 | 123490. | 122142. | 1339. | 0. | 0. | 1227097. | 121704. |
| SUM | 7045204. | 6925792. | 118646. | 0. | 0. | 76474952. | 12264761. |
| (TONS) | 7.76 | 7.63 | . 13 | . 00 | . 00 | 84.22 | 13.51 |

TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 2 (6:00-6:30 AND 7:00-7:30 AM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:25:42 3Mar95

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

| FACILITY <br> TYPE | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST HC | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LOSS } \\ \mathrm{HC} \end{array}$ | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1856279. | 1828110. | . 27705 | . | . |  | 19299268. | 2774819. |
| 2 | 1922304. | 1886394. | . 35879 |  | . |  | 23149788. | 4180486. |
| 3 | 46072. | 45487. | . 584 |  | . |  | 462863. | 53527. |
| 4 | 226083. | 223049. | . 3013 | 3. 0 | . |  | 2283210. | 278486. |
| 5 | 1193556. | 1171915. | . 21561 | . | . |  | 12550635. | 2108808. |
| 6 | 1800915. | 1770848. | . 29904 | . 0 | . |  | 18728956. | 2868606. |
| SUM | 7045204. | 6925792. | 2. 118646 | - 0 |  |  | 76474952. | 12264761. |
| (TONS) | 7.76 | 7.63 | 33 . 1 | 3 .00 |  |  | 84.22 | 13.51 |
| AREA TYPE | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST HC | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC | RUN | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LOSS } \\ \text { HC } \end{array}$ | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOx |
| 1 | 1895548. | 1862258. | . 33021 | . | . |  | 20887728. | 3446026. |
| 2 | 1789337. | 1758706. | . 30533 |  |  |  | 20193610. | 3231198. |
| 3 | 1843953. | 1811122. | . 32580 | - 0 | . |  | 19782346. | 3425777. |
| 4 | 908502. | 894993. | . 13421 | 1. 0 | . |  | 9348624. | 1287272. |
| 5 | 607878. | 598728. | . 9091 | 1. 0 |  |  | 6262446. | 874446. |
| SUM | 7045204. | 6925792. | . 118646 | - 0 |  |  | 76474952. | 12264761. |
| (TONS) | 7.76 | 7.63 | 33 . 1 | 3 . 0 |  |  | 84.22 | 13.51 |
| NUMBER LANES | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST HC | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC | RUN | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LOSS } \\ \text { HC } \end{array}$ | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOX |
| 1 | 2544560. | 2506221. | . 37848 |  |  |  | 26132390. |  |
| 2 | 2928599. | 2876696. | . 51716 | - 0 |  |  | 32139868. | 5394826. |
| 3 | 1197051. | 1175211. | . 21743 |  | . |  | . 14043880. | 2496472. |
| 4 | 325066. | 318704. | . 6361 |  | . |  | 3592014. | 675636. |
| 5 | 49944. | 48967. | 7 977 | 70 | . |  | 566576. | 104481. |
| SUM | 7045204. | 6925792. | . 118646 |  | . |  | 76474952. | 12264761. |
| (TONS) | 7.76 | 7.63 | 3 . 1 | 13 .00 |  |  | - 84.22 | 13.51 |

TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL -1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 2 (6:00 - 6:30 AND 7:00-7:30 AM) EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:25:42 3Mar95
DAILY VEHICLE MILES

| DAILY VMT - ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |


| 1 | 149484. | 117118. | 203127. | 152758. | 70126. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 194765. | 184674. | 368706. | 78993. | 69841. |
| 3 | 4246. | 778. | 3989. | 3639. | 1951. |
| 4 | 19007. | 7420. | 25095. | 15331. | 8477. |
| 5 | 170208. | 194475. | 100884. | 30027. | 43419. |
| 6 | 287806. | 258861. | 112710. | 54764. | 33466. |
| TOTAL | 825515. | 763326. | 814511. | 335512. | 227280. |
| DAILY VMT FACILITY TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 692614. |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 896978. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 14604. |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 75330. |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 539014. |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 747607. |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 2966149. |  |  |  |  |
| DAILY VMT AREA TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 825515. |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 763326. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 814511. |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 335512. |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 227280. |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 2966149. |  |  |  |  |
| DAILY VMT NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LANES | . |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 946210. |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1292888. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $543578 .$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $159034 .$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 24435. |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 2966149. |  |  |  |  |

TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 3 ( $3: 40-4: 40$ PM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:03 3Mar95

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY


TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 3 (3:40-4:40 PM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:28:03 3Mar95

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

| FACILITY <br> TYPE | TOTAL VOC | EXhaUSt | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC | RUN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOSS } \\ & \text { HC } \end{aligned}$ | EXHAUST | EXHAUST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1 | 3044950. | 3002175. | 41896. | 0. | 0. | 31123636. | 4039376. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | 2940503. | 2886904. | 53487. | 0. | 0.34217772. | 5962017. |  |
| 3 | 72032. | 71143. | 884. | 0. | 0. | 721986. | 80842. |
| 4 | 355490. | 35085. | 4574. | 0. | 0. | 3581914. | 422088. |
| 5 | 1890526. | 1857383. | 32819. | 0. | 0.19757342. | 3182743. |  |
| 6 | 310650. | 3050932. | 49534. | 0. | 0.12118130. | 4731278. |  |
| SUM | 11404164. | 1121943. | 183193. | 0. | 0.121520704. | 18418238. |  |
| (TONS) | 12.56 | 12.36 | .20 | .00 | .00 | 133.83 | 20.28 |



| 1 | 3120646. | 3068192. | 51851. | 0. | 0.33752156. | 5294430. |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2779819. | 2733082. | 46522. | 0. | 0.3063700. | 4810787. |  |
| 3 | 3059294. | 307712. | 51098. | 0. | 0.32223388. | 5132234. |  |
| 4 | 1480898. | 1460465. | 20287. | 0. | 0. | 15072427. | 1909913. |
| 5 | 963444. | 949953. | 1345. | 0. | 0.983197. | 1270904. |  |
| SUM | 11404164. | 11219433. | 183193. | 0. | 0.121520704. | 18418238. |  |
| (TONS) | 12.56 | 12.36 | .20 | .00 | .00 | 133.83 | 20.28 |


| NUMBER <br> LANES | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST E HC | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOSS } \\ \text { HC } \end{gathered}$ | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4524082. | 4458972. | . 64254. | . 0 |  |  | 0. 46257376. | 6067729. |
| 2 | 4563418. | 4485742. | . 77219. | . 0 |  |  | 0. 49059440. | 7827384. |
| 3 | 1769818. | 1738326. | . 31286. | . 0 |  |  | 0. 20224128. | 3449524. |
| 4 | 476697. | 467602. | . 9067. | - 0 |  |  | O. 5202441. | 933063. |
| 5 | 70117. | 68750. | . 1367. | 0 |  |  | - 777251. | 140583. |
| SUM | 11404164. | 11219433. | . 183193. | . 0 |  |  | 0.121520704. | 18418238. |
| (TONS) | 12.56 | 12.36 | 6 . 20 | 20.0 |  |  | . 133.83 | 20.28 |



TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 4 (4:40-5:40 PM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93
RUN TIME: 09:30:49 3Mar95
EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY


TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 4 ( $4: 40-5: 40 \mathrm{PM}$ )
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:30:49 3Mar95

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 4 (4:40-5:40 PM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:30:49 3Mar95
DAILY VEHICLE MILES

| DAILY VMT - ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |


| 1 | 247937. | 204948. | 309881. | 195926. | 100346. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 301695. | 267592. | 550848. | 134381. | 96086. |
| 3 | 6692. | 965. | 5421. | 6317. | 2899. |
| 4 | 29405. | 10194. | 37352. | 23354. | 13221. |
| 5 | 269682. | 285399. | 158738. | 50829. | 67879. |
| 6 | 465995. | 419925. | 214364. | 99624. | 58871. |
| TOTAL | 1321404. | 1189021. | 1276602. | 510433. | 339303. |

DAILY VMT
FACILITY
TYPE

| 1 | 1059039. |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1350600. |
| 3 | 22292. |
| 4 | 113526. |
| 5 | 832528. |
| 6 | 1258780. |
| TOTAL | 4636772. |
| DAILY VMT <br> AREA <br> TYPE |  |

TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 5 (3:00-3:40 AND 5:40-6:00 PM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26 MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:33:18 3Mar95

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY
ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS

| FT AT | TOTAL VOC | EXHAUST HC | EVAPORATE HC | REFUELING HC |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LOSS } \\ \mathrm{HC} \end{array}$ |  | EXHAUST CO | EXHAUST NOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 638660. | 629859. | . 8448. |  | . |  | 0. | 6521996. | 810692. |
| 12 | 492040. | 485267. | . 6724. |  | . |  | 0. | 5015773. | 642396. |
| 13 | 698093. | 687248. | . 10549. |  | . |  | 0. | 7228948. | 1079210. |
| 14 | 482385. | 475402. | . 6974. |  | . |  | 0. | 4962395. | 672758. |
| 15 | 263016. | 259484. | . 3529. |  | . |  | 0. | 2691851. | 340294. |
| 21 | 549858. | 540034. | . 9813. |  | . |  | 0. | 6929755. | 1158515. |
| 22 | 508176. | 499666. | . 8510. |  | . |  | 0. | 7286056. | 1181112. |
| 23 | 949320. | 930576. | . 18733. |  | . |  | 0. | 10575191. | 2057078. |
| 24 | 257729. | 252984. | . 4724. |  | . |  | 0. | 2713314. | 457390. |
| 25 | 182965. | 179508. | . 3435. |  | . |  | 0. | 1937706. | 335781. |
| 31 | 18919. | 18681. | . 238. |  | . |  | 0. | 189883. | 21800. |
| 32 | 2752. | 2718. | . 34. |  | . |  | 0. | 27550. | 3097. |
| 33 | 14078. | 13897. | 7 181. |  | . |  | 0. | 141404. | 16503. |
| 34 | 17679. | 17463. | 215. |  | . |  | 0. | 177521. | 19790. |
| 35 | 7941. | 7835. | . 99. |  | . |  | 0. | 79546. | 9059. |
| 41 | 75170. | 74161. | . 1007. |  | . |  | 0. | 759078. | 92940. |
| 42 | 25390. | 25043. | . 342. |  | . |  | 0. | 256015. | 31304. |
| 43 | 91993. | 90728. | . 1266. |  | . |  | 0. | 931858. | 117848. |
| 44 | 71440. | 70582. | . 844. |  | . |  | 0. | 719392. | 77958. |
| 45 | 37182. | 36713. | . 458. |  | , |  | 0. | 372161. | 41731. |
| 51 | 477419. | 468739. | . 8595. |  | . |  | 0. | 5035737. | 864172. |
| 52 | 487311. | 477862. | . 9439. |  | . |  | 0. | 5189022. | 929064. |
| 53 | 305652. | 300324. | . 5287. |  | , |  | 0. | 3173438. | 498483. |
| 54 | 113324. | 111583. | . 1722. |  | . |  | 0. | 1157044. | 159266. |
| 55 | 151587. | 149119. | . 2407. |  | . |  | 0. | 1558080. | 225700. |
| 61 | 746682. | 732340. | . 14341. |  | . |  | 0. | 7984128. | 1427132. |
| 62 | 748845. | 735703. | . 13106. |  | . |  | 0. | 7795740. | 1240093. |
| 63 | 428930. | 422105. | . 6791. |  | . |  | 0. | 4409277. | 633354. |
| 64 | 305911. | 302608. | . 3269. |  | . |  | 0. | 3037868. | 297237. |
| 65 | 178710. | 176781. | . 1912. |  |  |  | 0. | 1776054. | 173978. |
| SUM | 9329128. | 9175026. | . 152992. |  | . |  |  | 100634264. | 15615751. |
| (TONS) | 10.27 | 10.10 | $0 \quad .17$ | 7 . 7 | 0 |  | 00 | 110.83 | 17.20 |



TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 5 (3:00-3:40 AND 5:40-6:00 PM)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93 RUN TIME: 09:33:18 3Mar95

DAILY VEHICLE MILES
$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { DAILY VMT - ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS } \\ & -1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \text { FT } & 1 & & & \end{array}$

| 1 | 211210. | 168102. | 263733. | 174356. | 88246. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 245334. | 212749. | 468319. | 118089. | 85879. |
| 3 | 5949. | 849. | 4515. | 5377. | 2485. |
| 4 | 25171. | 8552. | 31639. | 21101. | 11448. |
| 5 | 214875. | 235977. | 132186. | 43039. | 60180. |
| 6 | 358523. | 327661. | 169765. | 81719. | 47811. |
| TOTAL | 1061064. | 953890. | 1070154. | 443680. | 296049. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAILY VMT } \\ & \text { FACILITY } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 905647. |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1130370. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 19176. |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 97911. |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 686256. |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 985478. |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3824853. |  |  |  |  |
| DAILY VMT AREA TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1061064. |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 953890. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1070154. |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 443680. |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 296049. |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3824853. |  |  |  |  |
| DAILY VMT NUMBER LANES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1308328. |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1617014. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 672154. |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 197445. |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 29897. |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3824853. |  |  |  |  |

```
TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 6 (MIDNIGHT - 6:00 AM, 8:00 AM - 3:00 PM, 6:00 PM TO MIDNIGHT)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93
    - RUN TIME: 09:35:51 3Mar95
```

Emissions in grams per day

```
ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS
```



| 11 | 4916964. | 4846915. | 67108. | 0. | 0. 50660648. | 6823986. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 4070171. | 4015912. | 54232. | 0. | 0. 43666988. | 5452556. |
| 13 | 5531151. | 5445864. | 82622. | 0. | 0. 58414964. | 8943388. |
| 14 | 3995217. | 3934564. | 60627. | 0. | 0. 41549320. | 6070671. |
| 15 | 2149299. | 2119242. | 30047. | 0. | 0. 22134122. | 3007072. |
| 21 | 4197138. | 4121708. | 75427. | 0. | 0. 54774944. | 9201292. |
| 22 | 4037946. | 3975533. | 62412. | 0. | 0. 62812948. | 9118074. |
| 23 | 7237031. | 7093220. | 143808. | 0. | 0. 81663720. | 16944122. |
| 24 | 1943404. | 1904741. | 38663. | 0. | 0. 20884330. | 3886904. |
| 25 | 1558848. | 1527719. | 31129. | 0. | 0. 16775361. | 3145876. |
|  | 148677. | 146729. | 1948. | 0. | 0. 1497806. | 179310. |
| 32 | 21270. | 21005. | 265. | 0. | 0. 213145. | 24203. |
| 33 | 104582. | 103225. | 1357. | 0. | 0.1051428. | 124033. |
|  | 132590. | 130905. | 1684. | 0. | 0. 1331810. | 154500. |
| 35 | 64041. | 63217. | 824. | 0. | 0.643364. | 75302. |
|  | 587763. | 579799. | 7960. | 0. | 0.5940092. | 734822. |
| 42 | 194214. | 191535. | 2679. | 0. | 0. 1962913. | 245650. |
| 43 | 699306. | 689714. | 9591. | 0. | 0. 7081378. | 892659. |
| 44 | 515982. | 509070. | 6912. | 0. | 0. 5209611. | 637164. |
|  | 255465. | 252227. | 3238. | 0. | 0. 2563251. | 295576. |
|  | 3594479. | 3528361. | 66040. | 0. | 0. 38009864. | 6658686. |
| 52 | 3717347. | 3643540. | 73736. | 0. | 0. 39869300. | 7354918. |
| 53 | 2389741. | 2347065. | 42551. | 0. | 0. 24926328. | 4032583. |
|  | 734480. | 722697. | 11749. | 0. | 0. 7539012. | 1091888. |
| 55 | 1101978. | 1083268. | 18539. | 0. | 0. 11413524. | 1762354. |
|  | 5598896. | 5490227. | 108668. | 0. | 0. 60009144. | 10855291. |
|  | 5448690. | 5350628. | 98052. | 0. | 0. 56930604. | 9309315. |
| 63 | 3082510. | 3031601. | 50801. | 0. | 0. 31762552. | 4744563. |
|  | 2125555. | 210111. | 23724. | 0. | 0. 21131814. | 2155243. |
| 65 | 1289879. | 1275531. | 14219. | 0. | 0. 12818980. | 1292221. |
| SUM | 71444528. | 70246752. | 1190612. | 0. | 0.785241600. | 125214424. |
| (TONS) | 78.68 | 77.36 | 1.31 | . 00 | . 00864.80 | 137.90 |

TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 6 (MIDNIGHT - 6:00 AM, 8:00 AM - 3:00 PM, 6:00 PM TO MIDNIGHT)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:35:51 3Mar95

EMISSIONS IN GRAMS PER DAY

| FACILITY TOTAL | EXHAUST EVAPORATE REFUELING RUN LOSS | EXHAUST | EXHAUST |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TYPE | VOC | HC | HC | HC | HC | CO |


| 1 | 20662774. | 20362480. | . 294636 | - 0 |  | 0.216426272 .30297648. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 18974374. | 18622930. | . 351439 | 9. 0 | . | 0.236911296. |  | 42296268. |
| 3 | 471160. | 465081. | . 6079 | . | . |  | 4737556. | 557349. |
| 4 | 2252732. | 2222346. | . 30379 |  | . |  | 22757256. | 2805873. |
| 5 | 11538011. | 11324925. | . 212614 |  | . |  | 121758064. | 20900446. |
| 6 | 17545516. | 17249084. | . 295464 |  | . |  | 182652608. | 28356660. |
| SUM | 71444528. | 70246752. | . 1190612 |  | . |  | 785241600. | 125214424. |
| (TONS) | 78.68 | 77.36 | 61.3 | 31 . 0 |  | . 0 | 864.80 | 137.90 |
| AREA | TOTAL | EXHAUST E | EVAPORATE | REFUELING | RUN | LOSS | EXHAUST | EXHAUST |
| TYPE | VOC | HC | HC | HC |  | HC | CO | NOX |


| 1 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 19043904. } & 18713746 . \\ \text { 17489630. } & 17198130 . \\ 19044322 . & 18710722 . \end{array}$ |  | . 327152. |  | . |  | 0.210892816 .34453328. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | . 29137 |  |  |  | 205455712 | 31504746. |
| 3 |  |  | . 33072 |  |  |  | 204900288 | 35681372. |
| 4 | 9447225. | 9303086. | . 14336 | O. |  |  | 97645952 | 13996400. |
| 5 | 6419508. | 6321196. | . 9799 |  |  |  | 66348608 | 9578410. |
| SUM | 71444528. | 70246752. | . 119061 |  |  |  | 785241600 | 125214424. |
| (TONS) | 78.68 | 77.36 | 61. | 31 . 0 |  |  | 864.8 | 137.90 |
| NUMBER | TOTAL | EXHAUST E | EVAPORATE | REFUELING | RUN | LOSS | EXHAUST | EXHAUST |
| LANES | VOC | HC | HC | HC |  | HC | CO | NOX |



TWIN CITIES METROPOLITAN AREA TRAVEL FORECAST MODEL --
1990 BASE YEAR NETWORK AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA
TRAVEL FORECAST PERIOD NUMBER 6 (MIDNIGHT - 6:00 AM, 8:00 AM - 3:00 PM, 6:00 PM TO MIDNIGHT)
EMISSION MODEL FOR MOBILE 5.a -- PROGRAM DATE: 26MAR93

- RUN TIME: 09:35:51 3Mar95

```
DAILY VEHICLE MILES
DAILY VMT - ALL GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS
    FT (c)
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
1 & 1677712. & 1355810. & 2065549. & 1515678. & 751164. \\
2 & 1885683. & 1560297. & 3595200. & 966583. & 778223. \\
3 & 48709. & 6635. & 33920. & 42110. & 20606. \\
4 & 198994. & 66968. & 239769. & 172796. & 80949. \\
5 & 1650993. & 1843399. & 1063764. & 293726. & 463481. \\
6 & 2716696. & 2451294. & 1270017. & 593100. & 355481. \\
TOTAL & 8178788. & 7284408. & 8268202. & 3583988. & 2449904.
\end{tabular}
DAILY VMT
FACILITY
    TYPE
    1 7365918.
    2 8785987.
    3 151981.
        751981.
        5315362.
        7386570.
TOTAL 29765342
------------------
DAILY VMT
    AREA
    TYPE
    1 8178788.
    27284408.
    8268202.
    4 3583988.
    3583988.
TOTAL 29765342.
*----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
DAILY VMT
    NUMBER
        LANES
        10183877.
        2 12582732.
    3184150.
    4 1583963.
    5 230524
TOTAL 29765342
```

CONFORM1.TPP

## APPENDIX C <br> PROJECTS THAT DO NOT IMPACT REGIONAL EMISSIONS, AND PROJECTS THAT ALSO DO NOT REQUIRE LOCAL CARBON MONOXIDE IMPACT ANALYSIS

Certain transportation projects eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Urban Mass Transportation Act have no impact on regional emissions. These are "exempt" projects that, because of their nature, will not affect the outcome of any regional emissions analyses and add no substance to those analyses. These projects (as described in Section 51.460 of conformity rules) are excluded from the regional emissions analyses required in order to determine conformity of TIPs.

Following is a list of "exempt" projects and their corresponding codes used in column "AQ" of the 1996-1998 TIP. The coding system is revised from previous TIPs to be consistent with the coding system for exempt projects in the proposed Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) revision to the State Implementation Plan for Air Quality for Transportation Conformity.

Except for projects given an "A" code or a "B" code, the categories listed under Air Quality should be viewed as advisory in nature, and relate to project spe;cific requirements rather than to the TIP air quality conformity requirements. They are intended for project applicants to use in the preparation of any required federal documents. ultimate responsibility for determining the need for a hot-spot analysis for a porject under 40 CFR Pt. 51, Subp. T (The transportation conformity rule) rests with the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Council has provided the categorization as a guide to project applicants of possible conformity requirements, if the applicants decide to pursue federal funding for the project.

## SAFETY

Railroad/highway crossing ..... S-1
Hazard elimination program ..... S-2
Safer non-federal-aid system roads ..... S-3
Shoulder improvements ..... S-4
Increasing sight distance ..... S-5
Safety improvement program ..... S-6
Traffic control devices and operating assistance other than signalization projects ..... s-\&
Railroad/highway crossing warning devices ..... S-8
Guardrails, median barriers, crash cushions ..... S-9
Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation ..... S-10
Pavement marking demonstration ..... S-11
Emergency relief (23 U.S.C. 125) ..... S-12
Fencing ..... S-13
Skid treatments ..... S-14
Safety roadside rest areas ..... S-15
Adding medians ..... S-16
Truck climbing lanes outside the urbanized area ..... S-17
Lighting improvements ..... S-18
Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges (no additional travel lanes) ..... S-19
Emergency truck pullovers ..... S-20
MASS TRANSIT
Operating assistance to transit agencies ..... T-1
Purchase of support vehicles ..... T-2
Rehabilitation of transit vehicles ..... T-3
Purchase of office, shop, and operating equipment for existing facilities ..... T-4
Purchase of operating equipment for vehicles (e.g., radios, fareboxes, lifts, etc.) ..... T-5
Construction or renovation of power, signal, and communications systems ..... T-6
Construction of small passenger shelters and information kiosks ..... T-7
Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures (e.g., rail or bus buildings, storage and maintenance facilities, stations, terminals, and ancillary structures) ..... T-8
Rehabilitation or reconstruction of track structures, track and trackbed in existing rights-of-way ..... T-9
Purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet ..... T-10
Construction of new bus or rail storage/maintenance facilities categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771 ..... T-11
AIR QUALITY
Continuation of ride-sharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels ..... AQ-1
Bicycle and pedestrian facilities ..... AQ-2
NEW EXEMPT PROJECTS ADDED BY THE CONFORMITY RULES
Specific activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as:
Planning and technical studies
Grants for training and research programs
Planning activities conducted pursuant to titles 23 and 49 U.S.C. Federal-aid systems revisions ..... O-1
Engineering to assess social, economic and environmental effects of the proposed action or alternatives to that action ..... O-2
Noise attenuation ..... O-3
Advance land acquisitions ( 23 CFR 712 or 23 CRF 771) ..... 0-4
Acquisition of scenic easements ..... 0-5
Plantings, landscaping, etc. ..... O-6
Sign removal ..... O-7
Directional and informational signs ..... O-8
Transportation enhancement activities (exceptrehabilitation and operation of historictransportation buildings, structures, or facilities)0-9
Repair of damage caused by natural disasters, civil unrest,
or terrorist acts, except projects involving
substantial functional, locational, or capacity changes

## Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses that may Require Further Air Quality Analysis

The local effects of these projects with respect to carbon monoxide concentrations must be considered to determine if a "hot-spot" type of an analysis is required prior to making a project-level conformity determination. These projects may then proceed to the project development process even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and TIP. A particular action of the type listed below is not exempt from regional emissions analysis if the MPO in consultation with other state agencies MPCA, Mn/DOT, the EPA, and the FHWA (in the case of a highway project) or the FTA (in the case of a transit project) concur that it has potential regional impacts for any reason.

Channelization projects include left and right turn lanes and continuous left-turn lanes as well as those turn movements that are physically separated. Signalization projects include reconstruction of existing signals as well as installation of new signals. Signal preemption projects are exempt from hotspot analysis. Final determination of which intersections require an intersection analysis by the project applicant rests with the U.S.DOT as part of its conformity determination for an individual project.

## Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses

Intersection channelization projects ..... E-1
Intersection signalization projects at individual intersections ..... E-2
Interchange reconfiguration projects ..... E-3
Changes in vertical and horizontal alignment ..... E-4
Truck size and weight inspection stations ..... E-5
Bus terminals and transfer points ..... E-6
Regionally significant projects

The following codes identify the projects included in the "baseline" and "action" scenarios of the transportation plan amendment air quality analysis.
Baseline - Year 200 ..... B-00
Action - Year 2000 ..... A-00
Action - Year 2005 ..... A-05
Non-Classifiable Projects

Certain unique projects cannot be classified as denoted by a "ng." These projects were evaluated through an interagency consultation process and determined not to be exempt or subject to a regional air quality analysis.
appendC

## Appendix D

## PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT IN THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

As requested by the Federal Transit Act (Sec. 3012) and Circular 7005.1, the following describes the process by which private transit providers were involved in developing the 1996-1998 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
a. The capital needs of private providers are examined as part of the Metropolitan Council's capital planning process for transit. The Capital Plan for Transit identifies the anticipated capital needs of all providers and outlines potential funding sources.
b. The service and support functions contained in the annual element are provided by the public operator, the Metropolitan Council's Transit Operations (MCTO). The Metropolitan Council uses state funding to support private regular route operators in the metropolitan area. Subsidy per passenger is the primary standard used to monitor regular route performance; however, four different values monitor different classifications of route types. The four
thresholds are:

Local Radial Routes<br>Local Crosstown Routes<br>Peak-Hour Express Routes<br>All-Day Express Routes

$\$ 3.50$ subsidy per passenger
$\$ 4.30$ subsidy per passenger
$\$ 4.10$ subsidy per passenger
$\$ 3.75$ subsidy per passenger

Since the approval of these new standards, some routes have been restructured, some have been competitively procured, some have been removed from the high subsidy route list, some have been eliminated and some will continue to be monitored or re-evaluated.
c. No capital proposals were received from private sector operators.
d. In 1994 the Guidelines for Procurement of Service document was revised. The guidelines provide uniform standards and procedures that will permit public transit services to be procured in a consistent and equitable manner in the Twin Cities metropolitan area. They are to be applied whenever services are contracted.
e. A list of proposed projects in the TIP was distributed to over 100 area transit providers to provide them with an opportunity to review and comment. Written comments and concerns were solicited. Projects proposed for inclusion in the TIP were also presented to the Metropolitan Council's Providers' Advisory Committee, and they recommended approval of the TIP. Currently, there are no specific private sector complaints.


[^0]:    ${ }^{2} 1995 \mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{DOT} 8$-county metro district maintenance budget ( $\$ 33.7$ million) adjusted to reflect 7 -county area and principal/"A" minor arterial proportion of total state mileage.
    ${ }^{3}$ One-third of estimated federal and state funds available for preservation of the metro highway system (\$52.35 million per year).
    ${ }^{4} 1995$ maintenance allotment for seven metro area counties ( 40 percent of total CSAH allocation). Counties can spend more than this amount of maintenance.
    ${ }^{5} 1995$ CSAH allocation to seven metro area counties, adjusted to reflect the principal/"A" minor arterial mileage as a proportion of total CSAH mileage.

