State of Minnesota



Julie Blaha State Auditor

Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area

Management and Compliance Report

Year Ended December 31, 2021

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 100 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice – conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information – collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations – provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension – monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for Minnesota's local public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing – promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us www.osa.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.osa.state.mn.us.

Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area

Year Ended December 31, 2021



Management and Compliance Report

Audit Practice Division
Office of the State Auditor
State of Minnesota

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	9
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	10
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	12

STATE OF MINNESOTA



Julie Blaha State Auditor Suite 500 525 Park Street Saint Paul, MN 55103

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the Council and Audit Committee Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area Saint Paul, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area, a component unit of the State of Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Metropolitan Council's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2022.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Metropolitan Council's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Metropolitan Council's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Metropolitan Council's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Metropolitan Council's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Metropolitan Council failed to comply with the provisions of the contracting - bid laws, depositories of public funds and public investments, conflicts of interest, claims and disbursements, and miscellaneous provisions sections of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Metropolitan Council's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. Additionally, we tested for compliance with the authority to issue public debt.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Metropolitan Council's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Metropolitan Council's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Julie Blaha

/s/Dianne Syverson

JULIE BLAHA STATE AUDITOR DIANNE SYVERSON, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 27, 2022

STATE OF MINNESOTA



Julie Blaha State Auditor Suite 500 525 Park Street Saint Paul, MN 55103

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the Council and Audit Committee Metropolitan Council Saint Paul, Minnesota

Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program

Opinion on the Major Federal Program

We have audited the Metropolitan Council's compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the Metropolitan Council's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2021. The Metropolitan Council's major federal program is identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

In our opinion, the Metropolitan Council complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2021.

Basis for Opinion on the Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Metropolitan Council and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Metropolitan Council's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Metropolitan Council's federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Metropolitan Council's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Metropolitan Council's compliance with the requirements of the major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we

- exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit;
- identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Metropolitan Council's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances; and
- obtain an understanding of the Metropolitan Council's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Metropolitan Council's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the Metropolitan Council as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2022, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and

certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

/s/Julie Blaha

/s/Dianne Syverson

JULIE BLAHA STATE AUDITOR DIANNE SYVERSON, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 27, 2022

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP: **Unmodified**

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? None reported

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over the major program:

- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for the major federal program: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? No

The major federal program is:

Assistance Listing Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
20.500	
20.507	Federal Transit Cluster
20.525	
20.526	

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$3,000,000.

The Metropolitan Council qualified as a low-risk auditee? Yes

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021

II.	FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN
	ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

None.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

None.



REPRESENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN COUNCIL OF THE TWIN CITIES AREA

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021

Finding Number: 2020-001

Year of Finding Origination: 2019

Finding Title: Access to Computer Systems

Summary of Condition: Access was reviewed for 25 employees who left employment with the Metropolitan Council during 2020. Of those tested, network access was not revoked for two individuals until 14 days or more after that individual's departure date. Additionally, there were five instances where the manager did not submit a termination request to notify Information Technology (IT) of an individual's end of employment.

Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported: Human Resources have the following items in place. The leave of service form required for managers to fill out will be audited by Human Resources to ensure mangers are filling out the form per the Council's procedure. Human Resources will research and document each delay and take corrective actions.

Information Systems team will disable accounts based on the separation data entered on the leave of service form from the managers. This process will transfer the last affected date from PeopleSoft (also known as the separation date) into the account expiration field in Active Directory. The account will then be disabled at midnight of the separation date. This will adjust workflows/technology to eliminate the need for the second step that managers needed to take and will disable the account from the leave of service form.

Status:	Fully Correcte	ed. Com	ective	action was ta	ıken.			
	Was corrective	e action	taken s	significantly	different tha	an the actio	n previously	reported?
	Yes	No	X					

Page 9

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct				
Housing Voucher Cluster				
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871		\$ 82,770,279	\$ -
COVID-19 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871		1,829,586	<u> </u>
Total Assistance Listing Number 14.871			\$ 84,599,865	\$ -
Mainstream Vouchers	14.879		\$ 2,494,674	\$ -
Passed through City of Minneapolis, Minnesota				
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	14.241	C-01208	\$ 219,054	\$ -
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	14.241	C-02454	300,897	
Total Assistance Listing Number 14.241			\$ 519,951	\$ -
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			\$ 87,614,490	<u>\$</u> -
U.S. Department of Labor Passed through Minnesota Department of Employment and				
Economic Development H-1B Job Training Grants	17.268	METRO02018MAI #144526	\$ 160,000	\$ -
U.S. Department of Transportation Direct				
Federal Transit Administration Federal Transit Cluster				
Federal Transit – Capital Investment Grants	20.500		\$ 297,524,153	\$ -
COVID-19 Federal Transit – Capital Investment Grants	20.500		41,497,803	<u> </u>
Total Assistance Listing Number 20.500			\$ 339,021,956	\$ -
Federal Transit – Formula Grants	20.507		\$ 91,668,284	\$ 1,422,324
COVID-19 Federal Transit – Formula Grants	20.507		32,760,539	9,956,310
Total Assistance Listing Number 20.507			\$ 124,428,823	\$ 11,378,634
State of Good Repair Grants Program	20.525		\$ 15,597,216	\$ -
Buses and Bus Facilities Formula, Competitive, and Low or No Emissions Programs	20.526		\$ 1,766,187	\$ -

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021 (Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients	
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued) Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction Cluster					
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	1045121	\$ 4,477,475	\$ 11,879	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	1031849	(53)	-	
Highway Planning and Construction Highway Planning and Construction	20.205 20.205	1035526 1029618	(5,775) 1,115,550	-	
Total Assistance Listing Number 20.205	20.203	1027016	\$ 5,587,197	\$ 11,879	
3			,,		
Formula Grants for Rural Areas and Tribal Transit Program	20.509	1035608	\$ 733,568	\$ -	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$ 487,134,947	\$ 11,390,513	
U.S. Department of Treasury Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety					
COVID-19 – Coronavirus Relief Funds	21.019	METRANPD-358	\$ 12,923	\$ -	
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Passed Through Minnesota Public Facilities Authority Clean Water State Revolving Fund Cluster Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State					
Revolving Funds Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State	66.458	MPFA-CWRF-L-O39-FY20	\$ 12,106,338	\$ -	
Revolving Funds	66.458	MPFA-CWRF-L-O35-FY21	42,884,968		
Total Assistance Listing Number 66.458			\$ 54,991,306	\$ -	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Direct					
Rail and Transit Security Grant Program	97.075		\$ (8,842)	<u>\$</u> -	
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared					
Disasters)	97.036	MN-EMGP-202-10525-3989	\$ 173,526	\$ -	
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	A-SHSP-2019-METCOUNC-021	\$ 36,076	\$ -	
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$ 200,760	\$ -	
Total Federal Awards			\$ 630,114,426	\$ 11,390,513	
Totals by Cluster					
Total expenditures for Housing Voucher Cluster Total expenditures for Federal Transit Cluster Total expenditures for Highway Planning and Construction Clust Total expenditures for Clean Water State Revolving Fund Cluste			\$ 87,094,539 480,814,182 5,587,197 54,991,306		

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by the Metropolitan Council. The Metropolitan Council's reporting entity is defined in Note 1.A to the financial statements.

B. <u>Basis of Presentation</u>

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the Metropolitan Council under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2021. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Metropolitan Council, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the Metropolitan Council.

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years.

2. De Minimis Cost Rate

The Metropolitan Council has elected not to use the ten percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.