## Summary of 2000

## Actuarial Valuations

# MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC. 

Actuaries \& Consultants
Internationally WOODROW MILLIMAN
Suite 400, 15800 Bluemound Road, Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005-6069
Telephone: 262/784-2250
Fax: 262/784-7287

January 5, 2001
Minnesota Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement
55 State Office Building
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-1201
ATTENTION: Mr. Lawrence A. Martin
Commission Members:
We have completed all of the July 1, 2000 Actuarial Valuations pursuant to the terms of our Actuarial Services Contract. This report summarizes the results of these actuarial valuations, with particular emphasis on changes occurring since the prior year's actuarial valuations.

This report covers commentary on the 2000-2001 funding levels, as well as summaries of significant plan changes and actuarial assumptions used. The analysis of purchased service credits, required by Minnesota Statutes Chapter 390, Article 4, is included as Table I-E.

We hope that you will find this summary report informative as a supplement to the more detailed reports for each of the funds.

Respectfully submitted,
MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

Thomas K. Custis, F.S.A.
Consulting Actuary

William V. Hogan, F.S.A.
Consulting Actuary
TKC/WVH/bh

# STATE OF MINNESOTA SUMMARY OF 2000 ACTUARIAL VALUATIONS 

## Table of Contents

Page
I. 2000-2001 FUNDING LEVELS ..... 1
TABLE I-A: 2000-2001 Funding Levels ..... 6
TABLE I-B: Pattern of Sufficiency (Deficiency): 1998-2000 ..... 7
TABLE I-C: Accrued Benefit Funding Ratios: 1998-2000 ..... 8
TABLE I-D: Summary of PERA Consolidation Accounts ..... 9Prior to Merger
TABLE I-E: Analysis of Service Credit Purchases ..... 11
II. PLAN PROVISIONS ..... 23
III. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS ..... 24
TABLE III-A: Actuarial Assumptions - Category 1 ..... 26
TABLE III-B: Actuarial Assumptions - Category 2 ..... 27
TABLE III-C: Actuarial Assumptions - Category 3 ..... 28

## I. 2000-2001 FUNDING LEVELS

(Tables 1-A, 1-B, 1-C and I-D)

As the Commission Actuary, we have determined the actuarial funding requirements in accordance with the requirements of Section 356.215, Minnesota Statutes, for each of the Funds covered by those statutes. Each employer contributes to their respective Fund on the basis of statutory requirements set by statutes for the individual Fund.

In Table I-A, we provide a detailed comparison of the requirements under Section 356.215 and the statutory employer contribution. It is this comparison which allows an analysis of the Fund's ability to meet its long-term commitments. Table I-B provides a three-year history of the sufficiency determination. The pattern of these results gives a more complete picture of emerging concerns as to the adequacy of statutory requirements.

Another measure of funding adequacy is the ratio of plan assets to the present value of accrued benefits. These ratios are summarized for the last three valuations in Table I-C. Since this is more of a termination measure of adequacy, it is generally considered a less important measure for public plans than the sufficiency determination summarized in Tables I-A and I-B. Nonetheless, it does give a somewhat different and useful perspective when viewed in conjunction with other factors. If proper funding progress is made, these numbers should move toward a ratio of slightly over $100 \%$.

Effective with the July 1, 2000 actuarial valuations, the following funding method changes have been incorporated:
> Asset Valuation Method is changed to employ a more effective asset smoothing technique which is market-value based and which eliminates artificial bias related to manager style.
$>$ The actuarial funding method was modified to reflect a 30-year amortization credit of the surplus of the Actuarial Value of Assets over the Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Below we comment by plan on our analysis of the actuarial valuations.

## PERA

1. An entire new set of actuarial assumptions based on the 1992-96 experience study was adopted for use in this valuation. These new assumptions significantly increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The revised asset valuation method further increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount.

The Public Employees plan experienced a modest decrease in participation. There was approximately $1.4 \%$ less active members in our July 1, 2000 valuation than in our July 1, 1999 valuation. Plan assets generated a significant gain over this twelve-month period. However, this plan now shows a substantial deficiency as statutory contribution rates are
significantly lower than required contributions. We recommend that corrective action be taken by the legislature to deal with this deficit situation.
2. The Police and Fire plan continues to be in a well-funded position. The revised asset valuation method increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount. Statutory contributions are substantially below ongoing normal costs. However, the revised actuarial methods should help to alleviate the possibility of dramatic swings in required contributions over the next several years.
3. The Police and Fire Consolidation Fund was terminated and merged into the PERA Police and Fire Fund effective July 1, 1999. Tables 1-D1 and 1-D2 on pages 9 and 10 summarize the funded status of each account as of June 30, 2000.
4. The Local Government Correctional plan is a new plan that was first effective July $1,1999$. The modest sufficiency is due mainly to gains occurring because salary increases were less than assumed.

## MSRS

5. An entire new set of actuarial assumptions based on the 1992-96 experience study was adopted for use in this valuation. These new assumptions modestly increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The revised asset valuation method further increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount. The improvement in the sufficiency measure is due primarily to the change in actuarial methods to recognize the negative unfunded accrued liability. The State General Employees plan shows an adequate contribution sufficiency after all these changes were made.
6. While the revised asset valuation method increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount, favorable asset experience more than offset modest liability losses in the State Patrol plan. All funding ratios declined modestly as did the sufficiency measure, which is driven in large part by the revised method of recognizing the negative unfunded actuarial liability. Consideration of modest reductions in statutory contribution rates may be warranted.
7. While the Correctional Employees plan enjoyed favorable asset experience like the other plans, changes on the liability side created modest deterioration in funding ratios and in the sufficiency measure. In fact, the plan has a slight deficiency in the current valuation. New job classifications continue to be allowed to transfer into the Correctional plan (we saw a $7.5 \%$ increase in active membership in this plan). In most cases, the assets transferred in from MSRS General were not adequate to fully cover the actuarial accrued liability of these new participants. The revised asset valuation method increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount. Despite this increase, the plan still has assets in excess of its actuarial accrued liability. The sufficiency measure needs to be monitored carefully, however, since the statutory contribution rates are less than ongoing normal costs of the plan.
8. The Legislators plan is funded on a terminal funding basis. This funding basis means that the State (as employer) does not pre-fund for benefits earned while service is being performed. Rather, at the time of retirement of one of these participants, the State must fund that portion of the retirement benefit not covered by member contributions. This funding approach has several disadvantages:
a. It can lead to substantial fluctuations in year-to-year funding requirements;
b. Due to lack of investment income, it means ultimate State costs are higher; and
c. It defers funding obligations from one generation of taxpayers to the next.

The Elective State Officers plan is handled on a pay-as-you-go basis. This funding basis means there is no accumulated funding (other than Member contributions held by the State's general fund). Actual retirement benefits are paid from the general funds via direct disbursements to the retirees (or beneficiaries). There are no longer any active employees in this plan.

Not surprisingly, Table I-C continues to show low funding ratios for these plans year-afteryear. Since both of these plans have been closed to new members, it is probably not prudent to consider pre-funding at this time.
9. We note modest increases in the funding ratios and a modest decrease in the sufficiency measure for the Judges plan. The revised asset valuation method and the change in plan provisions each increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount. Continued funding at the current statutory rates has driven the funding ratios higher and has substantially diminished any ongoing concern relating to short-term cash shortages.

## TEACHERS

10. An entire new set of actuarial assumptions based on the 1992-96 experience study was adopted for use in this valuation of the Teacher Retirement Association. These new assumptions modestly increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The revised asset valuation method further increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount. After these changes, the fund has a negative unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The improvement in the sufficiency measure is due primarily to the change in actuarial methods to recognize the negative unfunded accrued liability.
11. The Duluth Teachers plan showed further improvement in funding ratios and funding status. The revised asset valuation method increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by a modest amount. Favorable asset experience more than offset small liability losses.
12. The revised asset valuation method decreased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability in the St. Paul Teachers plan. This change is the primary reason for the improvement in the
funding ratios and the change from a deficiency to a sufficiency. This favorable assessment is contingent, of course, on the current level of State supplemental contributions.
13. The revised asset valuation method decreased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability in the Minneapolis Teachers plan. Significant liability losses led to deterioration in all funding ratios and an increase in the deficiency percentage. The deficiency percentage is large; contribution increases are warranted. Absent significant State supplemental contributions, this plan would be even more substantially deficient.

## MERF

14. The Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund also had favorable asset experience in the 1999-2000 year which was partially offset by liability losses. The State's portion of the supplemental contribution remains below its statutory maximum at about the same level as last year. Meanwhile required contribution rates for contributing employers increased approximately $2.0 \%$ of pay since amortization amounts decreased less than $5 \%$ while covered payroll decreased over $15 \%$.

## ANALYSIS OF PURCHASED SERVICE CREDITS

Provisions under Minnesota Statutes Chapter 390, Article 4, provide the methodology for determining the amounts required to purchase prior service credits under certain circumstances. Those provisions also require the Commission Actuary to provide an analysis by individual and by plan of the impact on the plan's funded status of the service credits actually purchased during the 12 months preceding the valuation. Accordingly, we included Table I-E for all the funds with actual purchases executed. The methodology used to complete this analysis was to calculate the actuarial accrued liability for each individual using our valuation routine (based on status as of July 1,2000 ) first reflecting the additional service and then with service adjusted to remove the added service. Table I-E compares the difference in calculated actuarial accrued liability to the amounts paid for the added service. Since many of the purchases involve fractional years of service (and our valuation routine deals with projected benefits using whole years), the results by individual can look strange. We see some participants with no change in calculated liability and others with increases much greater than the purchase amount. In total, however, the service credits purchase amounts in the 1999-2000 year were less than the increase in liability, thus generating a small aggregate loss to the funded status of the plans in total.

Plans that need close scrutiny by the LCPR in the short term are PERA and Minneapolis Teachers. We are aware that hearings have already begun on dealing with PERA's funding deficit. We are supportive of the constructive suggestions that have surfaced and are willing to assist in any way desired to see this issue addressed. While favorable asset returns may help their situation, and subsequent experience may not be as bad as what was observed in 1992-96, it would be imprudent to totally defer corrective action based on either of these expectations.

The Minneapolis Teachers funding problem is compounded by several factors that make it likely for their deficiency measurement to worsen even further in the years to come:
$>$ Current statutory rates being less than required mean that the unfunded actuarial liability is expected to increase.
> Since the current amortization requirement is to a fixed date, this increased amount, funded over a shorter period, will create significantly higher amortization requirements.
> For the most part, the Supplemental Contributions of the 1993, 1996 and 1997 legislative packages are fixed amounts; these amounts will provide a decreasing percentage when expressed as a percent of payroll.
> The mechanics of the post-retirement increase calculation have a built-in bias to contribute a loss to the plan. First of all, retirees get full credit (or nearly full credit) for excess earnings even though the plan is only $67 \%$ funded. Secondly, the excess is determined on a percentage basis not a dollar basis meaning that more dollars are granted as increases then are actually earned. The combined effect of these two factors is that the funding status of the plan is likely to be adversely impacted unless the fund earnings are exactly the assumed $8.5 \%$.

The combination of all of these factors creates a dim picture indeed for this fund. We urge the LCPR to explore corrective actions as soon as possible.

On a broader perspective, results of this year's valuations confirm that the future funded status of the major public employee retirement plans in the State of Minnesota will be driven primarily by future returns on fund assets. Both changes made this year to the actuarial methods being employed should help to keep required contribution rates from becoming overly volatile. Nonetheless, issues which may arise include:
$>$ What level of plan assets vs. accrued liabilities is truly "excessive"?
> What principles should be established for consideration of decreases in statutory contribution rates?
$>$ What are the statutory mechanisms for dealing with a previously "overfunded" plan which becomes underfunded due to future asset or liability experience?

As Commission Actuary, we stand ready to assist the Commission with these and other issues.

TABLE 1-A: 2000-2001 FUNDING LEVELS (PERCENTAGES)

| Fund | Section 356.215 Requirements |  |  |  | Statutory Requirements |  |  | Sufficiency/ <br> Deficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Normal Cost | Supplemental Cost | Expense | Total | Employee | Employer | Total |  |
| Public Employees (Chapter 353) | 9.33\% | 2.38\% | 0.23\% | 11.94\% | 4.77\% | 5.21\% | 9.98\% | (1.96\%) |
| Police and Fire (Chapter 353) | 19.92\% | (7.38\%) | 0.14\% | 12.68\% | 6.20\% | 9.30\% | 15.50\% | 2.82\% |
| Local Correctional (Chapter 353E) | 14.26\% | (0.05\%) | 0.16\% | 14.37\% | 5.83\% | 8.75\% | 14.58\% | 0.21\% |
| State Employees (Chapter 352) | 8.72\% | (1.81\%) | 0.21\% | 7.12\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% | 0.88\% |
| State Patrol (Chapter 352B) | 22.55\% | (7.27\%) | 0.20\% | 15.48\% | 8.40\% | 12.60\% | 21.00\% | 5.52\% |
| Correctional (Chapter 352) | 14.64\% | (1.14\%) | 0.22\% | 13.72\% | 5.69\% | 7.98\% | 13.67\% | (0.05\%) |
| Legislators (Chapter 3A) | 18.15\% | 37.22\% | 0.51\% | 55.88\% | 9.00\% | Terminal <br> Funding | N/A | N/A |
| Elective State Officers (Chapter 352C)** | 0 | 338 | 2 | 340 |  | Paygo <br> Funding | N/A | N/A |
| Judges (Chapter 490) | 16.30\% | 10.58\% | 0.15\% | 27.03\% | 8.00\% | 20.50\% | 28.50\% | 1.47\% |
| Teachers (Chapter 354) | 9.09\% | (1.47\%) | 0.30\% | 7.92\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 10.00\% | 2.08\% |
| Duluth Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 8.68\% | (0.92\%) | 0.75\% | 8.51\% | 5.50\% | 6.71\% ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 12.21\% | 3.70\% |
| St. Paul Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 9.35\% | 6.98\% | 0.24\% | 16.57\% | 6.05\% | 11.24\% ${ }^{*}$ | 17.29\% | 0.72\% |
| Minneapolis Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 10.76\% | 14.25\% | 0.24\% | 25.25\% | 6.10\% | 17.15\% * | 23.25\% | (2.00\%) |
| Minneapolis Employees (Chapter 422A) | 18.11\% | 17.00\% | 1.74\% | 36.85\% | 9.75\% | 27.09\% * | 36.84\% | (0.01\%) |

* Includes State contributions of $0.92 \%$ for Duluth Teachers, $8.20 \%$ for Minneapolis Teachers, $2.17 \%$ for St. Paul Teachers, and $5.73 \%$ for Minneapolis Employees.
** Amounts in thousands of dollars

TABLE 1-B: PATTERN OF SUFFICIENCY/DEFICIENCY: 1998-2000

| Fund | Actuarial Requirements |  |  | Statutory Requirements |  |  | Sufficiency/(Deficiency) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| Public Employees | 9.84\% | 9.44\% | 11.94\% | 10.03\% | 10.00\% | 9.98\% | 0.19\% | 0.56\% | (1.96)\% |
| Police and Fire | 11.40\% | 11.68\% | 12.68\% | 19.00\% | 15.50\% | 15.50\% | 7.60\% | 3.82\% | 2.82\% |
| Local Correctional | N/A | 15.03\% | 14.37\% | N/A | 14.58\% | 14.58\% | N/A | (0.45)\% | 0.21\% |
| State Employees | 7.73\% | 7.67\% | 7.12\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 0.27\% | 0.33\% | 0.88\% |
| State Patrol | 14.14\% | 13.21\% | 15.48\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 6.86\% | 7.79\% | 5.52\% |
| Correctional | 12.99\% | 13.31\% | 13.72\% | 13.20\% | 13.67\% | 13.67\% | 0.21\% | 0.36\% | (0.05)\% |
| Legislators | 47.19\% | 52.72\% | 55.88\% | T.F. | T.F. | T.F. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Elective State Officers | 51.66\% | 321* | 340* | P.G. | P.G. | P.G. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Judges | 27.32\% | 26.75\% | 27.03\% | 28.28\% | 28.50\% | 28.50\% | 0.96\% | 1.75\% | 1.47\% |
| Teachers | 9.82\% | 9.86\% | 7.92\% | 10.00\% | 10.00\% | 10.00\% | 0.18\% | 0.14\% | 2.08\% |
| Duluth Teachers | 10.24\% | 9.16\% | 8.51\% | 12.30\% | 12.20\% | 12.21\% | 2.06\% | 3.04\% | 3.70\% |
| St. Paul Teachers | 18.82\% | 18.09\% | 16.57\% | 17.59\% | 17.52\% | 17.29\% | (1.23)\% | (0.57)\% | 0.72\% |
| Minneapolis Teachers | 25.80\% | 23.88\% | 25.25\% | 25.39\% | 23.79\% | 23.25\% | (0.41)\% | (0.09)\% | (2.00)\% |
| Minneapolis Employees | 36.80\% | 34.65\% | 36.85\% | 36.50\% | 34.65\% | 36.84\% | (0.30)\% | 0.00\% | (0.01)\% |

* Amount in thousands of dollars

TABLE 1-C: ACCRUED BENEFIT FUNDING RATIOS: 1998-2000
(Dollars in Millions)

| Fund | Current Assets |  |  | P.V. of Accrued Benefit |  |  | A.B. Funding Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| Public Employees | \$7,637 | \$8,489 | \$9,609 | \$7,956 | \$8,607 | \$10,348 | 95.98\% | 98.63\% | 92.86\% |
| Police and Fire | \$2,337 | \$3,680 | \$4,145 | \$1,638 | \$2,878 | \$3,250 | 142.68\% | 127.86\% | 127.55\% |
| Local Correctional | N/A | \$0 | \$11 | N/A | \$0 | \$10 | N/A | 0.00\% | 107.54\% |
| State Employees | \$5,391 | \$5,969 | \$6,744 | \$4,513 | \$4,951 | \$5,659 | 119.45\% | 120.56\% | 119.18\% |
| State Patrol | \$430 | \$473 | \$529 | \$360 | \$395 | \$445 | 119.32\% | 119.65\% | 118.89\% |
| Correctional | \$295 | \$335 | \$387 | \$235 | \$277 | \$326 | 125.86\% | 120.96\% | 118.83\% |
| Legislators | \$31 | \$33 | \$37 | \$60 | \$64 | \$67 | 51.70\% | 52.35\% | 55.67\% |
| Elective State Officers | \$0.5 | \$0.2 | \$0.2 | \$3.2 | \$3.4 | \$3.5 | 15.52\% | 5.87\% | 5.63\% |
| Judges | \$87 | \$98 | \$111 | \$125 | \$133 | \$147 | 69.41\% | 73.25\% | 75.63\% |
| Teachers | \$12,728 | \$14,011 | \$15,573 | \$11,332 | \$12,534 | \$14,010 | 112.31\% | 111.79\% | 111.16\% |
| Duluth Teachers | \$187 | \$219 | \$251 | \$187 | \$210 | \$231 | 100.30\% | 104.25\% | 108.59\% |
| St. Paul Teachers | \$625 | \$704 | \$801 | \$810 | \$888 | \$947 | 77.17\% | 79.26\% | 84.69\% |
| Minneapolis Teachers | \$810 | \$939 | \$1,028 | \$1,217 | \$1,343 | \$1,489 | 66.55\% | 69.97\% | 69.01\% |
| Minneapolis Employees | \$1,207 | \$1,328 | \$1,416 | \$1,319 | \$1,404 | \$1,491 | 91.53\% | 94.53\% | 95.00\% |

# Table 1-D1: Summary of Accounts with Positive Amortizable Bases at June 30, 2000 

Account
Anoka Police
Columbia Heights Police
Crookston Fire
Crookston Police
Duluth Fire
Duluth Police
Faribault Fire
Faribault Police
Hibbing Fire
Hibbing Police
Mankato Fire
St. Cloud Fire
St. Paul Fire
South St. Paul Fire
South St. Paul Police
Winona Fire
Winona Police

Total
Net
Amortizable
Base
at June 30, 1999
\$96,702
\$387,560
\$26,276
\$216,647
\$20,526,006
\$5,610,532
\$1,615,265
\$191,233
\$3,124,146
\$1,368,173
\$918,827
\$2,896,905
\$279,992
\$1,532,328
\$726,244
\$2,936,286
\$1,868,983
\$44,322,105

January 1, 2000
Amortization
Payment
\$14,149
\$56,706
\$3,845
\$31,699
\$3,003,285
\$820,911
\$236,339
\$27,980
\$457,113
\$200,186
\$134,439
\$423,864
$\$ 40,967$
\$224,204
\$106,261
\$429,626
\$273,462
\$6,485,036

Net
Amortizable Base
at June 30, 2000
\$90,184
\$361,436
\$24,504
\$202,043
\$19,142,395
\$5,232,339
\$1,506,384
\$178,343
\$2,913,554
\$1,275,947
\$856,891
\$2,701,631
\$261,119
\$1,429,038
\$677,290
\$2,738,358
\$1,742,999
\$41,334,455

Table 1-D2: Summary of Accounts with Negative Amortizable Bases at June 30, 2000

|  | Residual | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asset | Credited in |
| Account | Amount (RAA) | Fiscal Year Ending |
|  | at June 30, 1999 | June 30, 2000 |

Amount Paid
in Fiscal Year
Ending
June 30, 2000

Amount
Payable at
June 30, 2000

| Albert Lea Fire | $7,064,580$ | 228,764 | $7,293,344$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Albert Lea Police | $2,977,254$ | 96,409 | $3,073,663$ | - |
| Austin Fire | $1,163,009$ | 26,340 | $1,189,349$ | - |
| Austin Police | $1,190,608$ | 26,965 | $1,217,573$ | - |
| Bloomington Police | $26,046,223$ | 589,891 | $26,636,114$ | - |
| Brainerd Police | 491,371 | 11,128 | 502,499 | - |
| Buhl Police | 817,856 | 22,466 | 840,322 | - |
| Chisholm Fire | 178,470 | 10,255 | - | 188,725 |
| Chisholm Police | 17,764 | 1,021 | - | 18,785 |
| Columbia Heights Fire | 639,601 | 36,751 | - | 676,352 |
| Crystal Police | $2,883,846$ | 65,313 | $2,949,159$ | - |
| Fridley Police | $2,151,912$ | 90,674 | $2,242,586$ | - |
| Mankato Police | $1,225,781$ | 70,432 | - | $1,296,213$ |
| New Ulm Police $*$ | $1,936,992$ | 111,297 | - | $2,048,289$ |
| Red Wing Fire | $2,039,368$ | 46,187 | $2,085,555$ | - |
| Red Wing Police | $6,413,503$ | 145,252 | $6,558,755$ | - |
| Richfield Fire | $2,229,254$ | 50,488 | $2,279,742$ | - |
| Richfield Police | $5,223,284$ | 118,296 | $5,341,580$ | - |
| Rochester Fire | $3,616,916$ | 99,353 | $3,716,269$ | - |
| Rochester Police | 367,420 | 10,093 | 377,513 | - |
| St. Cloud Police | $2,276,508$ | 62,533 | $2,339,041$ | - |
| St. Louis Park Fire | $3,176,624$ | 117,995 | $3,294,619$ | - |
| St. Louis Park Police | $3,849,017$ | 142,970 | $3,991,987$ | - |
| St. Paul Police | $5,812,934$ | 159,675 | $5,972,609$ | - |
| Virginia Police | $4,268,221$ | 96,666 | $4,364,887$ | - |
| West St. Paul Fire | $2,081,793$ | 57,185 | $2,138,978$ | - |
| West St. Paul Police | $1,070,705$ | 29,411 | $1,100,116$ | - |
|  |  |  |  | - |
| Total | $\$ 9,210,814$ | $\$ 2,523,810$ | $\$ 89,506,260$ | $\$ 4,228,364$ |

[^0]TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

Public Employees Retirement Association

|  | PERA <br> Number | PERA <br> Employer | Service <br> Purchased | Employee Payment | Employer <br> Payment | Change in Accrued Liability | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gain/(Loss) to } \\ \text { Plan } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members: | 580251 | 9614-0004 | 3.417 | \$19,582 | \$0 | \$26,747 | $(\$ 7,165)$ |
|  | 080863 | 2162-0003 | 4.583 | \$35,780 | \$0 | \$41,475 | $(\$ 5,695)$ |
|  | 399010 | 0046-0002 | 0.667 | \$956 | \$2,064 | \$4,405 | $(\$ 1,385)$ |
|  | 127106 | 7370-0001 | 0.833 | \$15,288 | \$0 | \$10,887 | \$4,401 |
| Active subtotal: |  |  |  | \$71,606 | \$2,064 | \$83,514 | $(\$ 9,844)$ |
| Deferred Vested Members: | none |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Vested subtotal: |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Retired Members: | 613755 | 0400- | 1.083 | \$0 | \$4,918 | \$20,962 | $(\$ 16,044)$ |
| Retired subtotal: |  |  |  | \$0 | \$4,918 | \$20,962 | (\$16,044) |
| TOTAL (PERA) |  |  |  | \$71,606 | \$6,982 | \$104,476 | (\$25,888) |

## TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

State Employees Retirement Association

|  | Member <br> Number | Service <br> Purchased | Employee <br> Payment | Employer <br> Payment | Change in Accrued Liability | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain/(Loss) to } \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members: | 470-46-6668 | 2.000 | \$23,690 | \$0 | \$14,058 | \$9,632 |
|  | 477-46-9763 | 1.500 | \$11,288 | \$0 | \$12,194 | (\$906) |
| Active subtotal: |  |  | \$34,978 | \$0 | \$26,252 | \$8,726 |
| Deferred Vested Members: none |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Vested subtotal: |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Retired Members: none |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retired subtotal: |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| TOTAL (SERA) |  |  | \$34,978 | \$0 | \$26,252 | \$8,726 |

# TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000 

## Teachers Retirement Plan
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TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## Teachers Retirement Plan

| TRA Number | TRA Employer Unit | Service <br> Purchased | Employee <br> Payment | Employer <br> Payment | Change in Accrued Liability | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain/(Loss) to } \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116398 | 014-02164 | 0.700 | \$20,031 | \$0 | \$10,246 | \$9,785 |
| 116968 | 050-00500 | 0.130 | \$2,987 | \$0 | \$1,552 | \$1,435 |
| 118314 | 086-00877 | 0.510 | \$7,155 | \$0 | \$5,892 | \$1,263 |
| 118745 | 058-02580 | 0.229 | \$3,581 | \$0 | \$1,517 | \$2,064 |
| 119352 | 004-00032 | 0.760 | \$9,923 | \$0 | \$7,703 | \$2,220 |
| 119592 | 021-00206 | 1.000 | \$18,751 | \$0 | \$21,302 | $(\$ 2,551)$ |
| 119925 | 002-00014 | 1.220 | \$20,552 | \$0 | \$20,465 | \$87 |
| 119932 | 005-00051 | 1.390 | \$20,818 | \$0 | \$17,776 | \$3,042 |
| 119965 | 027-00277 | 1.000 | \$16,506 | \$0 | \$10,798 | \$5,708 |
| 120108 | 040-00394 | 1.070 | \$14,114 | \$0 | \$17,243 | $(\$ 3,129)$ |
| 120182 | 012-00129 | 0.380 | \$4,541 | \$0 | \$2,571 | \$1,970 |
| 120719 | 065-03001 | 0.150 | \$2,030 | \$0 | \$986 | \$1,044 |
| 121245 | 015-00162 | 1.030 | \$17,464 | \$0 | \$11,784 | \$5,680 |
| 122166 | 027-00273 | 0.040 | \$1,110 | \$0 | \$579 | \$531 |
| 122430 | 046-02752 | 0.220 | \$7,071 | \$0 | \$1,549 | \$5,522 |
| 122972 | 002-00014 | 0.080 | \$1,293 | \$0 | \$679 | \$614 |
| 122997 | 069-00701 | 0.060 | \$993 | \$0 | \$571 | \$422 |
| 123037 | 049-00482 | 1.750 | \$30,637 | \$0 | \$14,760 | \$15,877 |
| 123443 | 018-00181 | 0.240 | \$3,824 | \$0 | \$2,011 | \$1,813 |
| 123799 | 080-02155 | 1.000 | \$13,403 | \$0 | \$16,093 | $(\$ 2,690)$ |
| 123830 | 027-00281 | 5.000 | \$52,511 | \$0 | \$41,129 | \$11,382 |
| 124051 | 060-00593 | 0.290 | \$3,773 | \$0 | \$1,813 | \$1,960 |
| 124273 | 065-02534 | 0.110 | \$1,467 | \$0 | \$780 | \$687 |
| 124825 | 033-00332 | 4.940 | \$32,871 | \$0 | \$21,956 | \$10,915 |
| 125663 | 042-00413 | 0.250 | \$3,166 | \$0 | \$2,873 | \$293 |
| 125786 | 073-00742 | 0.250 | \$3,990 | \$0 | \$3,492 | \$498 |
| 126636 | 027-00279 | 0.150 | \$3,270 | \$0 | \$1,627 | \$1,643 |
| 127293 | 005-00047 | 0.150 | \$1,980 | \$0 | \$867 | \$1,113 |
| 127874 | 019-00191 | 0.610 | \$15,230 | \$0 | \$7,463 | \$7,767 |
| 128945 | 027-00286 | 3.000 | \$48,848 | \$0 | \$48,115 | \$733 |
| 129140 | 068-00690 | 2.800 | \$8,508 | \$64,973 | \$49,948 | \$23,533 |

MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## Teachers Retirement Plan

|  | TRA Employer | Service <br> Purchased | Employee <br> Payment |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRA Number | Unit | 1.000 | $\$ 20,640$ |
| 129652 | $027-00277$ | 1.270 | $\$ 17,411$ |
| 130882 | $048-00477$ | 1.000 | $\$ 19,019$ |
| 131400 | $038-00381$ | 0.700 | $\$ 11,724$ |
| 132387 | $073-00742$ | 1.000 | $\$ 23,154$ |
| 132612 | $027-00284$ | 0.640 | $\$ 11,441$ |
| 133582 | $005-00051$ | 1.450 | $\$ 19,998$ |
| 134236 | $019-00197$ | 0.410 | $\$ 5,780$ |
| 134272 | $030-00911$ | 0.230 | $\$ 3,156$ |
| 134343 | $099-00003$ | 0.260 | $\$ 4,305$ |
| 135022 | $002-00011$ | 2.490 | $\$ 28,982$ |
| 137170 | $002-00012$ | 0.040 | $\$ 1,441$ |
| 138004 | $082-00831$ | 0.280 | $\$ 3,421$ |
| 138007 | $007-02135$ | 1.140 | $\$ 17,215$ |
| 138078 | $071-00728$ | 1.000 | $\$ 10,955$ |
| 138709 | $023-00238$ | 1.000 | $\$ 13,816$ |
| 139045 | $002-00013$ | 1.230 | $\$ 15,992$ |
| 140241 | $030-00911$ | 0.450 | $\$ 7,109$ |
| 140457 | $013-00138$ | 0.710 | $\$ 10,409$ |
| 140932 | $077-02170$ | 2.000 | $\$ 25,175$ |
| 142307 | $073-00742$ | 2.460 | $\$ 33,659$ |
| 142557 | $082-00833$ | 1.520 | $\$ 18,308$ |
| 143311 | $077-02170$ | 3.880 | $\$ 49,045$ |
| 143339 | $082-00831$ | 4.500 | $\$ 59,572$ |
| 144828 | $082-00834$ | 2.000 | $\$ 22,734$ |
| 146127 | $015-00162$ | 0.480 | $\$ 7,704$ |
| 147008 | $034-00347$ | 0.770 | $\$ 11,111$ |
| 147071 | $060-00595$ | 0.350 | $\$ 5,726$ |
| 147497 | $099-00009$ | 0.670 | $\$ 7,344$ |
| 149666 | $073-00742$ | 1.000 | $\$ 14,506$ |
| 149977 | $019-00200$ | 3.860 | $\$ 54,656$ |
| 151167 | $072-02310$ |  |  |


| Employer Payment | Change in Accrued Liability | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain/(Loss) to } \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0 | \$14,884 | \$5,756 |
| \$0 | \$14,304 | \$3,107 |
| \$0 | \$16,814 | \$2,205 |
| \$0 | \$8,149 | \$3,575 |
| \$0 | \$21,528 | \$1,626 |
| \$0 | \$8,088 | \$3,353 |
| \$0 | \$19,086 | \$912 |
| \$0 | \$2,988 | \$2,792 |
| \$0 | \$1,506 | \$1,650 |
| \$0 | \$2,253 | \$2,052 |
| \$0 | \$21,671 | \$7,311 |
| \$0 | \$718 | \$723 |
| \$0 | \$1,798 | \$1,623 |
| \$0 | \$21,703 | $(\$ 4,488)$ |
| \$0 | \$12,050 | (\$1,095) |
| \$0 | \$9,410 | \$4,406 |
| \$0 | \$10,248 | \$5,744 |
| \$0 | \$3,523 | \$3,586 |
| \$0 | \$6,369 | \$4,040 |
| \$0 | \$22,155 | \$3,020 |
| \$0 | \$31,797 | \$1,862 |
| \$0 | \$11,748 | \$6,560 |
| \$0 | \$42,057 | \$6,988 |
| \$0 | \$35,470 | \$24,102 |
| \$0 | \$19,224 | \$3,510 |
| \$0 | \$3,906 | \$3,798 |
| \$0 | \$7,377 | \$3,734 |
| \$0 | \$2,299 | \$3,427 |
| \$0 | \$6,845 | \$499 |
| \$0 | \$15,296 | (\$790) |
| \$0 | \$37,803 | \$16,853 |

MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## Teachers Retirement Plan

|  | TRA Employer | Service <br> Purchased | Employee <br> Payment |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRA Number | Unit | 0.250 | $\$ 2,893$ |
| 151618 | $018-00182$ | 4.000 | $\$ 50,258$ |
| 151827 | $074-00761$ | 2.000 | $\$ 29,231$ |
| 151930 | $082-00833$ | 0.180 | $\$ 2,377$ |
| 152377 | $055-00535$ | 1.000 | $\$ 5,413$ |
| 155221 | $003-00022$ | 0.060 | $\$ 675$ |
| 155256 | $014-00146$ | 1.000 | $\$ 16,038$ |
| 156059 | $062-00623$ | 0.900 | $\$ 14,344$ |
| 156536 | $002-00011$ | 1.029 | $\$ 14,751$ |
| 156971 | $019-00196$ | 3.820 | $\$ 54,925$ |
| 157137 | $055-00531$ | 0.600 | $\$ 7,232$ |
| 157847 | $049-00484$ | 2.000 | $\$ 30,263$ |
| 158575 | $010-00112$ | 2.000 | $\$ 44,945$ |
| 159168 | $090-00904$ | 5.610 | $\$ 57,121$ |
| 162552 | $055-00535$ | 6.000 | $\$ 66,604$ |
| 164007 | $027-00279$ | 4.000 | $\$ 57,377$ |
| 164312 | $034-00347$ | 0.700 | $\$ 3,955$ |
| 165016 | $064-00641$ | 3.360 | $\$ 35,278$ |
| 166697 | $052-00508$ | 0.720 | $\$ 6,629$ |
| 167436 | $052-00507$ | 5.000 | $\$ 49,860$ |
| 170918 | $080-02155$ | 4.350 | $\$ 54,178$ |
| 173694 | $028-00300$ | 2.400 | $\$ 31,928$ |
| 174003 | $069-02142$ | 0.550 | $\$ 4,953$ |
| 175054 | $081-00829$ | 0.360 | $\$ 4,182$ |
| 175074 | $014-00152$ | 0.270 | $\$ 3,740$ |
| 175154 | $027-00270$ | 1.810 | $\$ 20,791$ |
| 175320 | $018-00181$ | 3.000 | $\$ 18,028$ |
| 176233 | $027-00279$ | 0.130 | $\$ 1,702$ |
| 179321 | $027-00279$ | 0.330 | $\$ 3,186$ |
| 181886 | $069-00695$ | 2.080 | $\$ 23,484$ |
| 182119 | $048-00477$ | 0.050 | $\$ 693$ |
| 184694 | $019-00192$ |  |  |



MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## Teachers Retirement Plan

|  |  | TRA Employer | Service | Employee | Employer | Change in | Gain/(Loss) to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TRA Number | Unit | Purchased | Payment | Payment | Accrued Liability | Plan |
|  | 185463 | 099-00010 | 3.252 | \$17,984 | \$0 | \$10,097 | \$7,887 |
|  | 187760 | 071-00727 | 0.250 | \$3,592 | \$0 | \$5,785 | $(\$ 2,193)$ |
|  | 194848 | 027-00284 | 1.000 | \$12,404 | \$0 | \$12,236 | \$168 |
|  | 197379 | 082-00916 | 6.600 | \$95,858 | \$0 | \$39,681 | \$56,177 |
|  | 204970 | 027-00279 | 0.390 | \$4,236 | \$0 | \$1,769 | \$2,467 |
|  | 208929 | 040-02143 | 3.030 | \$17,227 | \$0 | \$18,636 | $(\$ 1,409)$ |
|  | 213662 | 003-00022 | 0.590 | \$3,952 | \$0 | \$3,842 | \$110 |
|  | 217971 | 027-00272 | 1.000 | \$5,848 | \$0 | \$6,450 | (\$602) |
|  | 220517 | 056-00542 | 1.000 | \$6,683 | \$0 | \$4,585 | \$2,098 |
|  | 228292 | 027-00272 | 0.740 | \$3,537 | \$0 | \$3,280 | \$257 |
|  | 228337 | 074-00761 | 0.140 | \$1,463 | \$0 | (\$60) | \$1,523 |
|  | 232327 | 019-00196 | 2.623 | \$23,593 | \$0 | \$12,800 | \$10,793 |
|  | 235421 | 027-00278 | 1.000 | \$3,001 | \$0 | \$2,562 | \$439 |
|  | 236165 | 019-00196 | 0.080 | \$265 | \$0 | \$50 | \$215 |
|  | 238420 | 047-00465 | 2.000 | \$6,258 | \$0 | \$5,854 | \$404 |
|  | 238610 | 004-00038 | 3.000 | \$11,344 | \$0 | \$13,901 | $(\$ 2,557)$ |
|  | 245070 | 002-00015 | 3.000 | \$9,208 | \$0 | \$8,507 | \$701 |
|  | 252813 | 027-00281 | 10.000 | \$54,312 | \$0 | \$67,945 | $(\$ 13,633)$ |
|  | 253360 | 019-00192 | 4.000 | \$12,602 | \$0 | \$11,943 | \$659 |
|  | 254312 | 027-00272 | 0.340 | \$905 | \$0 | \$2,009 | (\$1,104) |
| Active subtotal: |  |  |  | \$2,374,925 | \$64,973 | \$1,817,984 | \$621,914 |
| Deferred Vested Members: | 257547 | 027-00276 | 4.000 | \$15.316 | \$0 | \$2,881 | \$12.435 |
| Deferred Vested | subtotal: |  |  | \$15,316 | \$0 | \$2,881 | \$12,435 |
| Retired Members: | 070339 | 027-00279 | 0.550 | \$13,401 | \$0 | \$6,218 | \$7,183 |
|  | 082824 | 099-00007 | 0.550 | \$9,749 | \$0 | \$41,158 | $(\$ 31,409)$ |
|  | 082842 | 027-00276 | 0.120 | \$7,283 | \$0 | \$55,518 | $(\$ 48,235)$ |
|  | 091129 | 002-00011 | 0.330 | \$7,086 | \$0 | \$57,437 | $(\$ 50,351)$ |
|  | 092296 | 010-00112 | 0.380 | \$12,198 | \$0 | \$41,781 | $(\$ 29,583)$ |
|  | 092351 | 069-00706 | 0.959 | \$26,331 | \$0 | \$10,609 | \$15,723 |
|  | 092728 | 078-00803 | 0.220 | \$7,323 | \$0 | \$58,500 | (\$51,177) |

MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

## TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES

 MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000
## Teachers Retirement Plan

| TRA Number | TRA Employer Unit | Service <br> Purchased | Employee Payment | Employer <br> Payment | Change in Accrued Liability | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain/(Loss) to } \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 094019 | 027-00272 | 0.640 | \$12,966 | \$0 | \$65,348 | (\$52,383) |
| 094471 | 069-00706 | 0.330 | \$4,438 | \$0 | \$3,331 | \$1,106 |
| 096058 | 027-00271 | 1.500 | \$20,347 | \$0 | \$68,858 | $(\$ 48,511)$ |
| 099192 | 002-00011 | 0.460 | \$10,269 | \$0 | \$73,492 | $(\$ 63,223)$ |
| 100058 | 027-00270 | 1.000 | \$25,665 | \$0 | \$73,345 | $(\$ 47,680)$ |
| 102079 | 060-00593 | 0.420 | \$6,019 | \$0 | $(\$ 3,982)$ | \$10,001 |
| 106100 | 027-00273 | 0.180 | \$3,273 | \$0 | \$18,496 | $(\$ 15,223)$ |
| 106878 | 028-00299 | 0.500 | \$6,292 | \$0 | \$3,526 | \$2,766 |
| 107751 | 063-00630 | 0.490 | \$9,931 | \$0 | \$38,431 | $(\$ 28,501)$ |
| 108068 | 027-00279 | 1.020 | \$18,003 | \$0 | \$33,508 | $(\$ 15,505)$ |
| 108134 | 060-00601 | 0.300 | \$4,184 | \$0 | \$3,641 | \$544 |
| 108439 | 050-00492 | 0.240 | \$4,358 | \$0 | \$2,169 | \$2,189 |
| 108539 | 062-00621 | 0.310 | \$13,306 | \$0 | \$57,571 | $(\$ 44,265)$ |
| 109134 | 072-02310 | 1.000 | \$14,394 | \$0 | \$857 | \$13,537 |
| 109670 | 021-00206 | 0.710 | \$16,007 | \$0 | \$36,467 | $(\$ 20,461)$ |
| 112006 | 018-00181 | 0.300 | \$4,690 | \$0 | $(\$ 5,395)$ | \$10,085 |
| 112963 | 062-00621 | 0.150 | \$6,864 | \$0 | \$35,331 | $(\$ 28,467)$ |
| 113411 | 050-00492 | 1.240 | \$26,386 | \$0 | \$51,718 | (\$25,331) |
| 113524 | 082-00834 | 0.040 | \$931 | \$0 | \$34,489 | (\$33,558) |
| 114975 | 027-00270 | 0.450 | \$8,702 | \$0 | \$59,644 | $(\$ 50,943)$ |
| 115568 | 043-00423 | 0.010 | \$212 | \$0 | $(\$ 4,497)$ | \$4,709 |
| 117838 | 062-00622 | 0.540 | \$13,432 | \$0 | \$7,529 | \$5,903 |
| 118401 | 027-00281 | 0.660 | \$6,438 | \$0 | \$7,345 | (\$907) |
| 119126 | 049-00484 | 0.160 | \$2,045 | \$0 | $(\$ 8,251)$ | \$10,296 |
| 119559 | 060-00595 | 0.220 | \$8,860 | \$0 | \$38,260 | $(\$ 29,400)$ |
| 119618 | 027-00279 | 0.360 | \$10,226 | \$0 | \$36,030 | $(\$ 25,804)$ |
| 120292 | 077-02753 | 0.620 | \$12,115 | \$0 | \$32,789 | $(\$ 20,675)$ |
| 122142 | 082-00833 | 1.000 | \$20,856 | \$0 | \$11,093 | \$9,763 |
| 124718 | 002-00011 | 0.450 | \$8,122 | \$0 | \$4,320 | \$3,802 |
| 132435 | 073-00742 | 0.830 | \$17,473 | \$0 | \$52,144 | $(\$ 34,671)$ |
| 132556 | 029-00309 | 0.550 | \$11,633 | \$0 | \$40,082 | $(\$ 28,449)$ |
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## TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES

 MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000Teachers Retirement Plan


TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## Duluth Teachers Retirement Plan

|  | Employee <br> Number | Employer Unit | Amount of Service Purchased | Employee <br> Purchase Paymen | Employer t Purchase Payment | Change in Actuarial Accrued Liability | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gain/(Loss) to } \\ \text { Plan } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members: | 5850 | Duluth | 1.00000 | \$13,592 | - \$0 | \$16,887 | $(\$ 3,295)$ |
|  | 6440 | Duluth | 1.00000 | \$8,983 | \$ \$0 | \$10,115 | $(\$ 1,132)$ |
|  | 5123 | Duluth | 0.13000 | \$5,138 | \$ \$0 | \$0 | \$5,138 |
| Active subtotal: |  |  |  | \$27,713 | \$0 | \$27,002 | \$711 |
| Deferred Vested Members: None Deferred Vested subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 | 0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Retired Members: | 9158 | Duluth | 2.50000 | \$8,690 | - \$0 | \$9,165 | (\$475) |
|  | 5222 | Duluth | 2.00000 | \$18,758 | - \$0 | \$17,755 | \$1,003 |
|  | 5046 | Duluth | 1.59000 | \$32,166 | (\$0 | \$56,079 | $(\$ 23,913)$ |
|  | 6307 | Duluth | 3.00000 | \$18,586 | 6 \$0 | \$21,728 | (\$3,142) |
|  |  |  |  | \$78,200 | - \$0 | \$104,727 | $(\$ 26,527)$ |
| Retired subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$105,913 | 3 \$0 | \$131,729 | (\$25,816) |
| TOTAL (DTRA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## St. Paul Teachers Retirement Plan

|  | Employee Number | Employer Unit | Amount of Service Purchased | Employee <br> Purchase Payment | Employer <br> chase Payment | Change in Actuarial Accrued Liability | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain/(Loss) to } \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members: | 134085 | St. Paul | 7.40000 | \$103,436 | \$0 | \$99,762 | \$3,674 |
|  | 137781 | St. Paul | 0.10000 | \$1,661 | \$0 | \$1,384 | \$277 |
|  | 145713 | St. Paul | 2.30000 | \$15,971 | \$0 | \$12,289 | \$3,682 |
|  | 159476 | St. Paul | 0.11000 | \$2,148 | \$0 | \$678 | \$1,470 |
|  | 311628 | St. Paul | 0.10000 | \$189 | \$0 | \$39 | \$150 |
|  | 159351 | St. Paul | 0.10000 | \$278 | \$0 | \$138 | \$140 |
|  | 160635 | St. Paul | 1.74483 | \$24,372 | \$0 | \$26,326 | (\$1,954) |
|  | 161361 | St. Paul | 0.20000 | \$5,736 | \$0 | \$2,545 | \$3,191 |
|  | 424000 | St. Paul | 1.91111 | \$4,279 | \$0 | \$206 | \$4,073 |
|  | 166962 | St. Paul | 0.20000 | \$4,180 | \$0 | \$1,800 | \$2,380 |
|  | 170168 | St. Paul | 0.33333 | \$7,500 | \$0 | \$3,824 | \$3,676 |
|  | 176750 | St. Paul | 0.40000 | \$7,591 | \$0 | \$4,291 | \$3,300 |
|  | 178631 | St. Paul | 1.00000 | \$6,481 | \$0 | \$548 | \$5,933 |
|  | 323880 | St. Paul | 10.00000 | \$111,875 | \$0 | \$45,809 | \$66,066 |
|  | 188671 | St. Paul | 3.00000 | \$35,642 | \$0 | \$32,569 | \$3,073 |
|  | 189078 | St. Paul | 5.00000 | \$71,634 | \$0 | \$50,900 | \$20,734 |
|  | 194669 | St. Paul | 0.60000 | \$5,051 | \$0 | \$2,021 | \$3,030 |
|  | 196374 | St. Paul | 2.00000 | \$29,769 | \$0 | \$21,035 | \$8,734 |
|  | 201992 | St. Paul | 3.00000 | \$30,254 | \$0 | \$17,823 | \$12,431 |
|  | 204080 | St. Paul | 2.00000 | \$28,795 | \$0 | \$19,741 | \$9,054 |
| Active |  |  |  | \$496,842 | \$0 | \$343,728 | \$153,114 |

Deferred Vested Members: None
Deferred Vested subtotal:
\$0

MILLIMAN \& ROBERTSON, INC.

TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## St. Paul Teachers Retirement Plan

| Retired Members: | 3090 | St. Paul | 0.02778 | \$975 | \$0 | \$456 | \$519 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3043 | St. Paul | 3.00000 | \$43,210 | \$0 | \$43,051 | \$159 |
|  | 3088 | St. Paul | 1.30000 | \$16,953 | \$0 | \$18,901 | $(\$ 1,948)$ |
|  | 3046 | St. Paul | 3.00000 | \$20,036 | \$0 | \$41,472 | $(\$ 21,436)$ |
|  | 60209 | St. Paul | 1.00000 | \$12,427 | \$0 | \$12.794 | (\$367) |
| Retired subtotal: |  |  |  | \$93,600 | \$0 | \$116,674 | $(\$ 23,073)$ |
| TOTAL (SPTRA) |  |  |  | \$590,442 | \$0 | \$460,402 | \$130,041 |

## TABLE 1-E: ANALYSIS OF SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES <br> MADE IN PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2000

## Minneapolis Teachers' Retirement Fund Association

|  | Employee Number | Employer Unit | Amount of Service Purchased | Employee <br> Purclase Payment | Employer Purchase Payment | Change in Actuarial Accrued Liability | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain/Loss) to } \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members: | 15765 | Minneapolis | 1.00000 | \$43,789 | \$0 | \$15,972 | \$27,817 |
|  | 16811 | Minneapolis | 0.07200 | \$1,247 | \$0 | \$1 | \$1,246 |
|  | 18251 | Minneapolis | 1.00000 | \$10,123 | \$0 | \$11,953 | (\$1,830) |
|  | 20101 | Minneapolis | 8.00000 | \$68,509 | \$0 | \$73,097 | (\$4.588) |
| Active subtotal: |  |  |  | \$123,668 | \$0 | \$101,023 | \$22,645 |
| Deferred Vested Members: None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Vested subtotal: |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Retired Members: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 21 |
|  | 15556 | Minneapolis | 1.00000 | \$20,299 | \$0 | \$19,731 | \$568 |
|  | 15608 | Minneapolis | 2.00000 | \$85,697 | \$0 | \$138,247 | (\$52,550) |
| Retired subtotals |  |  |  | \$105,996 | \$0 | \$157,978 | $(\$ 51,982)$ |
| TOTAL (MTRFA) |  |  |  | \$229,664 | \$0 | \$259,001 | $(\$ 29,337)$ |

## II. PLAN PROVISIONS

This section of our summary presents a brief summary of those changes made to the statutes since last year's report that had an impact on the actuarial funding of a plan. This section is not designed to provide a comprehensive summary of all changes that were made. For a more detailed description of the plan provisions, please refer to the individual report for each Fund.

For the July 1, 2000 Actuarial Valuation, we highlight the following:
Public Employees (Chapter 353): None
Police and Fire (Chapter 353): None
Local Government Correctional Service (Chapter 353E): None
State Employees (Chapter 352): None
State Patrol (Chapter 352B): None
Correctional Employees (Chapter 352): None
Legislators (Chapter 3A): None
Elective State Officers (Chapter 352C): None

## Judges (Chapter 490):

Benefit cap changed from $70 \%$ of salary in final 12 months preceding retirement to $76.8 \%$ of average salary for high five years preceding retirement. Employee contributions are directed to the Unclassified Plan after the maximum benefit is reached.

Teachers Retirement Association (Chapter 354): None
Duluth Teachers (Chapter 354A): None
St. Paul Teachers (Chapter 354A): None
Minneapolis Teachers (Chapter 354A): None
Minneapolis Employees (Chapter 422A): None

## III. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS (Tables III-A, III-B and III-C)

In projecting costs to be incurred by a pension plan in future years, it is necessary to provide actuarial assumptions relating to the future events which trigger those costs. To provide for all significant events, a wide range of assumptions must be utilized. These assumptions may be classified into three different categories.

The first category involves the economic assumptions. These assumptions include assumed investment return, salary increases, social security increases and cost-of-living increases on plan benefits. These assumptions are characterized as economic because they generally tend to be affected by interrelated factors that also affect economic growth.

The second category relates to assumptions which affect the expected working lifetime (and retired lifetime) of a member. These assumptions include mortality rates, disability rates and rates of separation due to other causes. Within a particular group classification (such as teachers or policemen), year-to-year mortality and disability rates may be reasonably represented by standard published tables. Separation due to other causes may vary considerably and should be reviewed and monitored on an individual group basis. In particular, where a subsidized benefit exists (such as for early retirement), extra care must be provided with respect to the rate of separation which is assumed to occur (such as the rate of early retirement).

The third category relates to miscellaneous assumptions which are needed to accommodate special plan provisions which are not adequately covered in the first two categories. These would include (but are not limited to) items such as assumed family composition, plan expenses, election to specific benefit forms, etc. These assumptions need to be monitored so that they remain consistent with the plan provisions which are in effect.

In Tables III-A, III-B and III-C, we have prepared a summary of some of the assumptions being used by each plan in all three categories. For a comprehensive review of all assumptions being used for a particular plan, please refer to the July 1, 2000 Actuarial Valuation for that Fund.

In our opinion the assumptions used for July 1, 2000 valuations are reasonable and well within the mainstream of current actuarial practice. Experience during the 1992-96 period has been analyzed for the three large statewide plans and the approved changes in the assumptions for these plans have been included in the July 1, 2000 actuarial valuations.

## CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL METHODS

## Asset Valuation Method

Effective with the July 1, 2000 actuarial valuation, Minnesota Statutes require that the asset value used for actuarial purposes spread differences between actual return (measured on a market-value basis) and expected return on non-MPRIF (non-RBF assets for MERF) assets over five years, in a manner similar to that already being used within the MPRIF. The previous method required under Minnesota Statutes recognized one third of the unrealized gains and losses. An Asset Valuation Method requirement exists because market values (which include all unrealized gains and losses) are typically volatile and can produce erratic changes in the contribution requirements from year to year. The intent of the change to the current method is to employ a more effective asset smoothing technique which is market-value based and which eliminates artificial bias related to manager style. The effective date of this requirement is July 1, 2000 with full transition to be accomplished as of July 1, 2003.
The calculation of the Actuarial Value of Assets for each fund is determined as:
Market Value of Assets at June 30, 2000, less
80\% of the current year Unrecognized Asset Return at July 1, 2000 (the difference between actual net return on Market Value of Assets between 06/30/99 and 06/30/2000 and the asset return expected during that period based on the assumed interest rate employed in the July 1, 1999 Actuarial Valuation); less

60\% of the Unrecognized Asset Return at July 1, 1999 (the difference between Market Value of Assets on 06/30/99 and the Actuarial Value of Assets used in the July 1, 1999 Actuarial Valuation).

The term "Actuarial Value of Assets" is used to indicate that the value was determined for use in the actuarial valuations. Minnesota Statutes refer to this value as "Current Assets."

## Payment on the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

Effective with the July 1, 2000 actuarial valuations, if the Current assets exceed the Actuarial Accrued Liability for any fund, the surplus amount shall be amortized over 30 years as a level percentage of payroll. Prior to July 1, 2000, some of the funds did not amortize the surplus amount, while others amortized to a fixed amortization date.

TABLE III-A: JULY 1, 2000 ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS - CATEGORY 1
(Highlighted box indicates change from prior year.)

| Fund | Interest Rates Pre-retire/Post-retire | Salary Increase \%/ Data Used | Social Security | COLA on Benefits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Employees (Chapter 353) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | (2)/Prior Year <br> Salary Increased | N/A | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| Police and Fire (Chapter 353) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | (1)/ Prior Year Salary Increased | N/A | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| Local Government Correctional Service (Chapter 353E) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | (1)/Prior Year <br> Salary Increased | N/A | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| State Employees (Chapter 352) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | (2)/Prior Year Salary Increased | N/A | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| State Patrol (Chapter 352B) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | (1)/Prior Year <br> Salary Increased | N/A | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| Correctional (Chapter 352) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | (1)/Prior Year <br> Salary Increased | Current Law and 6.0\% Salary Scale | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| Legislators (Chapter 3A) | 8.5\%/5.0\% | Statutory salary, Then 5.0\% | N/A | 3.5\% Implied by 5.0\% Interest Rate |
| Elective State Officers (Chapter 352C) | 8.5\%/5.0\% | Statutory Salary, Then 5.0\% | N/A | 3.5\% Implied by 5.0\% Interest Rate |
| Judges (Chapter 490) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | Statutory Salary, Then 5.0\% | N/A | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| Teachers (Chapter 354) | 8.5\%/6.0\% | (2)/Prior Year <br> Salary Increased | N/A | 2.5\% Implied by 6.0\% Interest Rate |
| Duluth Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 8.5\%/6.5\% | (1)/Reported Salary Increased | N/A | 2\% Implied by 6.5\% Interest Rate |
| St. Paul Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 8.5\%/8.5\% | (I)/Reported Salary Increased | N/A | 2\% Per Annum |
| Minneapolis Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 8.5\%/8.5\% | (1)/Reported Salary Increased | N/A | 2\% Per Annum |
| Minneapolis Employees (Chapter 422A) | 6.0\%/5.0\% | 4.0\%/Reported Pay Increased $1.0198 \%$ | N/A | 1.0\% Implied by 5.0\% Interest Rate |

(1) Graded rates using a 5.0\% base increase plus a merit scale.
(2) Select and ultimate rates using a $5.0 \%$ base increase plus a merit scale plus a 10-year select period.

TABLE III-B: JULY 1, 2000 ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS - CATEGORY 2
(Highlighted box indicates change from prior year.)

| Fund | Mortality Table (male rates shown) | Disability Table(male rates shown) |  | Retirement Age (Coordinated) | Other Separation (male rates shown) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Employees (Chapter 353) | 1983 GAM Male set back 8 years | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .05 \% @ 35 \\ & .49 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 55 and separate graded rates for Rule of 90 | Select | ltimate graded |
| Police and Fire (Chapter 353) | 1983 GAM Male set back 5 years | Graded | $\begin{array}{r} .19 \% @ 35 \\ 1.35 \% @ 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Graded from age 50 | Graded: | $\begin{array}{r} 1.83 \% @ 35 \\ .11 \% @ 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Local Government Correctional Service (Chapter 353E) | 1983 GAM Male set back 1 year | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .11 \% @ 35 \\ & .88 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 50 | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.00 \% @ 35 \\ & 1.40 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| State Employees (Chapter 352) | 1983 GAM Male set back 5 years | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .05 \% @ 35 \\ & .35 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 55 and separate graded rates for Rule of 90 | Select and ultimate graded |  |
| State Patrol (Chapter 352B) | 1983 GAM Male set back 1 year | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .11 \% @ 35 \\ & .88 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 50 | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.70 \% @ 35 \\ & 0.00 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| Correctional (Chapter 352) | 1983 GAM Male set back 1 year | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .11 \% @ 35 \\ & .88 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 50 | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.00 \% @ 35 \\ & 1.40 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| Legislators (Chapter 3A) | 1983 GAM Male set back 4 years | None |  | Age 62 | Varies based upon service; 0\% @ 9 years |  |
| Elective State Officers (Chapter 352C) | 1983 GAM Male set back 4 years | None |  | Age 62 | Varies based upon service; 0\% @ 9 years |  |
| Judges (Chapter 490) | 1983 GAM Male set back 4 years | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .02 \% @ 35 \\ & .34 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 62 | None |  |
| Teachers (Chapter 354) | 1983 GAM Male set back 10 years | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .01 \% @ 35 \\ & .10 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 55 and separate graded rates for Rule of 90 | Select and ultimate graded |  |
| Duluth Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 1983 GAM Male set back 4 years | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .06 \% @ 35 \\ & .36 \% @ 55 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 55 $40 \%$ under Rule of 90 | Graded: | $\begin{array}{r} 4.91 \% @ 35 \\ .13 \% @ 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| St. Paul Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 1983 GAM Male set back 5 years | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & \text {.06\%@35 } \\ & .36 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 55 | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & 4.50 \% @ 35 \\ & 0.50 \% @ 55 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Minneapolis Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 1983 GAM Male set back 6 years | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .05 \% @ 35 \\ & .36 \% @ 55 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Graded from age 55 | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & 4.50 \% @ 35 \\ & 0.50 \% @ 55 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Minneapolis Employees (Chapter 422A) | 1986 Projected Exp. Table set back 1 year | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & .30 \% @ 35 \\ & 1.60 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ | Age 61 | Graded: | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \% @ 35 \\ & 1.00 \% @ 55 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE III-C: JULY 1, 2000 ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS - CATEGORY 3
(Highlighted box indicates change from prior year.)

| Fund | Family Composition (Male/Female) | Expenses (Admin. Only) | Bounceback Annuity Election (Male/Female) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Employees (Chapter 353) | 85\%/65\% married; no children | Prior year as \% of payroll | $30 \% / 15 \%$ for $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $45 \% / 15 \%$ for $100 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ | $2.5 \%$ load on withdrawal and retirement decrements for Combined Service Annuities |
| Police and Fire (Chapter 353) | 85\%/65\% married; no children | Prior year as \% of payroll | $40 \% / 15 \%$ for $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{~S}$ $45 \% / 15 \%$ for $100 \% \mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{~S}$ | None |
| Local Government Correctional Service (Chapter 353E) | 85\%/85\% married; | Prior year as \% of payroll | $25 \% / 5 \%$ for $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $25 \% / 5 \%$ for $100 \% \mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{~S}$ | None |
| State Employees (Chapter 352) | 85\%/85\% married | Prior year as \% of payroll | $25 \% / 10 \%$ for $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $45 \% / 10 \%$ for $100 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ | $1 \%$ load on withdrawal and retirement decrements for Combined Service Annuities |
| State Patrol (Chapter 352B) | $100 \% / 100 \%$ married; two children | Prior year as \% of payroll | $25 \% / 5 \%$ for $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $25 \% / 5 \%$ for $100 \%$ J\&S | None |
| Correctional (Chapter 352) | 85\%/85\% married | Prior year as \% of payroll | $25 \% / 5 \%$ for $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $25 \% / 5 \%$ for $100 \%$ J\&S | None |
| Legislators (Chapter 3A) | 85\%/85\% married; two children | Prior year as \% of payroll | None | \$4,800 per diem income |
| Elective State Officers (Chapter 352C) | 85\%/85\% married; two children | Prior year as \% of payroll | None | No refunds after 8 years |
| Judges (Chapter 490) | Actual data | Prior year as \% of payroll | None | No refunds |
| Teachers (Chapter 354) | 85\%/65\% married; no children | Prior year as \% of payroll | $15 \% / 20 \%$ for $50 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $15 \% / 10 \%$ for $75 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $50 \% / 30 \%$ for $100 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ | $1 \%$ load on withdrawal and retirement decrements for Combined Service Annuities |
| Duluth Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 80\%/80\% married | Prior year as \% of payroll | $30 \% / 30 \%$ for $50 \%$ J\&S $55 \% / 20 \%$ for $100 \%$ J\&S | None |
| St. Paul Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 85\%/60\% married; two children | Prior year as \% of payroll | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% / 10 \% \text { for } 50 \% \text { J\&S } \\ & 50 \% / 10 \% \text { for } 100 \% \text { J\&S } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benefit increase }= \\ & (5 \text { yr. return }-8.50 \%) \mathrm{x} \\ & (1-\text { contribution deficiency }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Minneapolis Teachers (Chapter 354A) | 80\%/60\% married | Prior year as \% of payroll | $15 \% / 15 \%$ for $50 \%$ J\&S $20 \% / 5 \%$ for $75 \% \mathrm{~J} \& S$ $40 \% / 10 \%$ for $100 \%$ J\&S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benefit increase }= \\ & (5 \text { yr. return }-8.50 \%) \mathrm{x} \\ & (1-\text { contribution deficiency }) \end{aligned}$ |
| Minneapolis Employees (Chapter 422A) | 67\%/67\% married | Prior year increased by 4\% as \% of payroll | None | Investment expense amortized to a required date |
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[^0]:    * Residual Asset Amount has been paid after the valuation date.

