

# Report on Public Defender Reimbursements Fiscal Year 2022

# **Public Defender Reimbursements**

# Citation

Minnesota Statutes 611.20 requires the State of Minnesota Board of Public Defense to report annually on the collection and disbursement of public defender reimbursements. After consultation with the staff at the Minnesota Supreme Court it was determined that some of the variables outlined for reporting in M.S. 611.20 are not available. Minnesota Statues 3.197 requires the reporting of the cost of report preparation. Staff time was minimal in preparing this report and as a result there were no production costs.

## Background

Minnesota Statutes 611.20 Subd. 2 authorizes the trial court to direct those public defender clients who are able to pay at least part of their defense costs to do so.

Prior to July 1, 1999 the first \$180,000 of public defender reimbursements were deposited in the general fund of the State of Minnesota, as a non-dedicated receipt. The Board of Public Defense retained receipts in excess of \$180,000.

In the 1998 Legislative Session M.S. 611.20 was amended to direct all reimbursements to the Board of Public Defense. Funds retained under this provision are to be used to offset the overhead costs of part time assistant public defenders. The board receives the reimbursements ordered and collected by the Court in each Judicial District. Reimbursement payments are made to part time assistant public defenders on a quarterly basis. The amount that each attorney receives depends on the amount collected, and the number of part time defenders in the Judicial District.

### Caseloads and Reimbursements

During fiscal year 2022 employees of the Board of Public Defense reported opening approximately 127,000 cases. In that same time period, the State of Minnesota Department of Management and Budget reported receiving \$330,000 in reimbursements from public defender clients.

While the Court does not keep data on the number of cases where reimbursement is ordered, some inter-district comparisons can be made. To compare workloads across district lines the board uses a "case unit" measure. The "case unit" is defined by the relative difficulty of the different types of cases as determined by the Board of Public Defense Weighted Caseload Study. A "case unit" equals the defense service that goes into the average misdemeanor case. The "case unit" is then applied to each case type to arrive at the total case units for each district. Reimbursement totals are divided by the number of case units in each Judicial District Public Defender Office to arrive at a reimbursement amount "per case unit".

Table 1 outlines for fiscal year 2022 the total reimbursements by district, amount per case unit, and the amount distributed to each category of part-time public defender. Table 2 outlines the reimbursements by county for fiscal year 2022.

Table 1 Fiscal Year 2022 Public Defender Reimbursements by Judicial District

District	Cases Opened	Case Units	Reimbursements		Per Defender	
			Total	Per Unit	75% Time	50% Time
First	14,059	24,849	\$74,159	\$2.98	\$2,455	n/a (1)
Second (2	11,014	19,240	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0
Third	9,207	16,234	\$26,246	\$1.62	\$2,314	\$1,544
Fourth	26,406	43,945	\$172	\$0.00	\$159	n/a
Fifth	6,687	12,306	\$80,845	\$6.57	\$14,933	\$9,962
Sixth	8,692	13,244	\$682	\$0.05	\$39	\$26
Seventh	14,451	25,246	\$82,574	\$3.27	\$2,794	n/a
Eighth	4,111	7,607	\$24,188	\$3.18	\$3,872	\$2,583
Ninth	13,185	22,880	\$18,503	\$0.81	\$1,251	n/a
Tenth	18,952	31,765	\$23,397	\$0.74	\$556	\$371
Total	126,764	217,317	\$330,766	\$1.92	\$2,837	\$2,414

n/a defenders in these job classes.
 Criminal Defense Services Inc. appears on behalf of some low income clients.

Table 2 Fiscal Year 2022 Reimbursement Amounts by County

County	Dist.	Amount	County	Dist.	Amount
Carver	1	\$7,210	Morrison	7	\$7,687
Dakota	1	\$12,985	Otter Tail	7	\$12,771
Goodhue	1	\$4,140	Stearns	7	\$21,553
Le Sueur	1	\$1,226	Todd	7	\$5,234
McLeod	1	\$3,663	Wadena	7	\$567
Scott	1	\$40,541	Big Stone	8	\$200
Sibley	1	\$4,395	Chippewa	8	\$1,552
Ramsey	2	\$0	Grant	8	\$435
Dodge	3	\$377	Kandiyohi	8	\$8,179
Fillmore	3	\$677	Lac Qui Parle	8	\$461
Freeborn	3	\$26	Meeker	8	\$4,040
Houston	3	\$0	Pope	8	\$250
Mower	3	\$1,727	Renville	8	\$3,089
Olmsted	3	\$7,140	Stevens	8	\$582
Rice	3	\$7,987	Swift	8	\$1,065
Steele	3	\$3,942	Traverse	8	\$194
Wabasha	3	\$2,919	Wilkin	8	\$971
Waseca	3	\$75	Yellow Medicine	8	\$3,169
Winona	3	\$1,377	Aitkin	9	\$1,594
Hennepin	4	\$172	Beltrami	9	\$956
Blue Earth	5	\$18,416	Cass	9	\$843
Brown	5	\$7,544	Clearwater	9	\$47
Cottonwood	5	\$4,174	Crow Wing	9	\$2,032
Faribault	5	\$356	Hubbard	9	\$353
Jackson	5	\$2,503	Itasca	9	\$577
Lincoln	5	\$1,047	Kittson	9	\$1,133
Lyon	5	\$5,728	Koochiching	9	\$0
Martin	5	\$3,791	Lake of the Woods	9	\$275
Murray	5	\$2,090	Mahnomen	9	\$153
Nicollet	5	\$5,378	Marshall	9	\$0
Nobles	5	\$11,022	Norman	9	\$581
Pipestone	5	\$4,376	Pennington	9	\$1,762
Redwood	5	\$9,851	Polk	9	\$3,395
Rock	5	\$930	Red Lake	9	\$33
Watonwan	5	\$3,638	Roseau	9	\$4,770
Carlton	6	\$0	Anoka	10	\$175
Cook	6	\$0	Chisago	10	\$50
Lake	6	\$0	Isanti	10	\$845
St. Louis	6	\$682	Kanabec	10	\$614
Becker	7	\$2,754	Pine	10	\$689
Benton	7	\$1,405	Sherburne	10	\$75
Clay	7	\$6,472	Washington	10	\$17,711
Douglas	7	\$20,264	Wright	10	\$3,237
Mille Lacs	7	\$3,867	Total		\$330,766