# State of Minnesota



# Office of the State Auditor

Julie Blaha State Auditor

# Grant County Elbow Lake, Minnesota

Year Ended December 31, 2020

# **Description of the Office of the State Auditor**

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 100 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice – conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

**Government Information** – collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

**Legal/Special Investigations** – provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

**Pension** – monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for Minnesota's local public pension funds; and

**Tax Increment Financing** – promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us www.osa.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.osa.state.mn.us.

# Grant County Elbow Lake, Minnesota

Year Ended December 31, 2020



Office of the State Auditor

Audit Practice Division Office of the State Auditor State of Minnesota

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Section Organization Schedule1Financial Section Independent Auditor's Report2Management's Discussion and Analysis5Basic Financial Statements Government-Wide Financial Statements Statement of Net Position1	Exhibit Page
Organization Schedule1Financial Section2Independent Auditor's Report2Management's Discussion and Analysis5Basic Financial Statements5Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Independent Auditor's Report2Management's Discussion and Analysis5Basic Financial Statements5Government-Wide Financial Statements5	1
Independent Auditor's Report2Management's Discussion and Analysis5Basic Financial Statements5Government-Wide Financial Statements5	
Management's Discussion and Analysis5Basic Financial Statements5Government-Wide Financial Statements5	2
Basic Financial Statements Government-Wide Financial Statements	
	5
Statement of Net Position 1 14	Statements
	1 14
Statement of Activities 2 16	
Fund Financial Statements	
Governmental Funds	
Balance Sheet 3 18	3 18
Reconciliation of Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the	
Government-Wide Statement of Net Position—Governmental	
Activities 4 22	
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund	
Balance 5 23	
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures,	
and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the	-
Government-Wide Statement of Activities—Governmental	
Activities 6 25	
Fiduciary Funds	·
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position 7 27	t Position 7 27
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position 8 28	
Notes to the Financial Statements 29	
Required Supplementary Information	rmation
Budgetary Comparison Schedules	
General Fund A-1 90	
Road and Bridge Special Revenue FundA-292	
Human Services Special Revenue FundA-393	
Schedule of Changes in Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios –	
Other Postemployment Benefits A-4 94	
PERA General Employees Retirement Plan	
Schedule of Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability A-5 95	
Schedule of Contributions A-6 96	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Exhibit	Page
Financial Section		
Required Supplementary Information (Continued)		
PERA Public Employees Police and Fire Plan		
Schedule of Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability	A-7	97
Schedule of Contributions Notes to the Required Supplementary Information	A-8	98 99
Notes to the Required Supprementary information		77
Supplementary Information		
Combining and Individual Fund Financial Statements		
Nonmajor Governmental Funds		108
Combining Balance Sheet	B-1	109
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	<b>B-2</b>	110
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Solid Waste Special Revenue	D-2	110
Fund	B-3	111
Fiduciary Funds – Custodial Funds	20	112
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	C-1	113
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	C-2	114
Other Schedules		
Balance Sheet – By Ditch – Ditch Special Revenue Fund	D-1	115
Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue	D-2	116
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	D-3	118
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards		120
Management and Compliance Section		
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on		
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial		
Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing		
Standards		122
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and		
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance		125
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs		128
Corrective Action Plan		139
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings		142

**Introductory Section** 

## ORGANIZATION SCHEDULE DECEMBER 31, 2020

Office	Name Te	
Commissioners		
1st District	Troy Johnson	January 2021
2nd District	Dwight Walvatne	January 2023
3rd District	Keith Swanson	January 2021
4th District	Bill LaValley	January 2023
5th District	Doyle Sperr*	January 2021
Officers		
Elected		
Attorney	Justin R. Anderson	January 2023
Auditor	Chad Van Santen	January 2023
County Recorder	Diann Giese	January 2023
Sheriff	Mark Haberer	January 2023
Treasurer	Amanda Lustila	January 2023
Appointed		
Assessor	Karl Lindquist	January 2021
Highway Engineer	Tracey Von Bargen	May 2024
Veterans Service Officer	Robert Larsen	Indefinite
Coroner	Dr. Gregory Smith	January 2021
Social Services Board		
Member	Troy Johnson	January 2021
Member	Bill LaValley	January 2023
Member	Keith Swanson	January 2021
Chair	Dwight Walvatne	January 2023
Member	Doyle Sperr	January 2021
Director	Stacy Hennen	Indefinite

\*Chair

**Financial Section** 

# **STATE OF MINNESOTA**



Suite 500 525 Park Street Saint Paul, MN 55103

## **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Board of County Commissioners Grant County Elbow Lake, Minnesota

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Grant County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) of Grant County, the discretely presented component unit. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the component unit, is based solely on the report of the other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the County's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to



design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## **Opinions**

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of the other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Grant County, Minnesota, as of December 31, 2020, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Emphasis of Matter – Change in Accounting Principle**

As discussed in Note 1.E to the financial statements, in 2020, the County adopted new accounting guidance by implementing the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, which represents a change in accounting principles. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

## **Other Matters**

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis and Required Supplementary Information as listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not part of the basic financial statements, is required by the GASB, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Grant County's basic financial statements. The Supplementary Information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The Supplementary Information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other

records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Supplementary Information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 17, 2021, on our consideration of Grant County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Grant County's internal control over financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Grant County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. It does not include the HRA of Grant County component unit, which was audited by other auditors.

## Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is presented for purposes of additional analysis, as required by Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

/s/Julie Blaha

JULIE BLAHA STATE AUDITOR /s/Dianne Syverson

DIANNE SYVERSON, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

December 17, 2021

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS DECEMBER 31, 2020 (Unaudited)

## INTRODUCTION

Grant County's Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) provides an overview of the County's financial activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with Grant County's financial statements and the notes to the financial statements.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- Governmental activities' total net position is \$53,761,154, of which \$40,213,623 is the net investment in capital assets and \$5,683,588 is restricted to specific purposes/uses by the County.
- The net revenue of Grant County's governmental activities for the year ended December 31, 2020, was \$3,433,523; the net revenue was supplemented by general revenues totaling \$8,527,968.

## **OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Grant County's MD&A serves as an introduction to the basic financial statements. The County's basic financial statements consist of three parts: government-wide financial statements, fund financial statements, and notes to the financial statements. The MD&A (this section) and certain budgetary comparison schedules are required to accompany the basic financial statements and, therefore, are included as required supplementary information. The following chart demonstrates how the different pieces are inter-related.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)

Government-Wide Financial Statements

**Fund Financial Statements** 

Notes to the Financial Statements

**Required Supplementary Information** (Other than Management's Discussion and Analysis) Grant County presents two government-wide financial statements: the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities. These statements provide information about the activities of the County as a whole and present a longer-term view of Grant County's finances. The County's fund financial statements follow the government-wide financial statements. For governmental funds, these statements tell how Grant County financed services in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the County's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by providing information about the County's most significant/major funds. The remaining statement provides financial information about activities for which the County acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of those outside of the government.

# Government-Wide Financial Statements—The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities report information about Grant County as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps the reader determine whether Grant County's financial condition has improved or declined as a result of the current year's activities. These statements include all assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies.

These two statements consider all of Grant County's current year revenues and expenses, regardless of when the County receives the revenue or pays the expense, and reports the County's net position and changes in them. You can think of the County's net position—the difference between assets plus deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities plus deferred inflows of resources—as one way to measure Grant County's financial health or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the County's net position is one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other nonfinancial factors, however, such as changes in the County's property tax base and the general economic conditions of the state and County, to assess the overall health of Grant County.

- Governmental activities—Grant County reports its basic services in the "Governmental Activities" column of these reports. The activities reported by the County include general government, public safety, highways and streets, sanitation, human services, health, culture and recreation, conservation of natural resources, and economic development. Grant County finances the majority of these activities with local property taxes, state-paid aids, fees, charges for services, and federal and state grants.
- Component unit—Grant County includes a separate legal entity in its report, the Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Grant County. This entity is presented in a separate column. Although legally separate, the component unit is important because the County is financially accountable for it.

The government-wide statements can be found as Exhibits 1 and 2 of this report.

(Unaudited)

## **Fund Financial Statements**

Grant County's fund financial statements provide detailed information about the significant funds, not the County as a whole. Significant governmental and fiduciary funds may be established by the County to meet requirements of a specific state law; to help control and manage money for a particular purpose/project; or to show that it is meeting specific legal responsibilities and obligations when expending property tax revenues, grants, and/or other funds designated for a specific purpose.

• Governmental funds—Most of Grant County's basic services are reported in governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end available for spending. These funds are reported in our financial statements using the modified accrual method of accounting, which measures cash and other financial assets that the County can readily convert to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the County's general government operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund information helps determine whether there are financial resources available that can be spent in the near future to finance various programs within Grant County. We describe the relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) and governmental funds in a reconciliation statement following each governmental fund financial statement.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found as Exhibits 3 through 6 of this report.

• Fiduciary funds—Grant County is the trustee, or fiduciary, over assets that can be used only for the trust beneficiaries based on a trust agreement. The County is also an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments or other funds. The County reports its fiduciary activities in a separate Statement of Fiduciary Net Position and Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position. These activities have been excluded from the County's other financial statements because the County cannot use these assets to finance its operations. Grant County is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes.

The fiduciary funds financial statements can be found as Exhibits 7 and 8 of this report.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

Notes to the financial statements provide additional information essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found beginning on page 29 of this report.

# THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE

The following analysis focuses on the net position (Table 1) and changes in net position (Table 2) of the County's governmental activities.

	Governmer	ntal Activities
	2020	2019
Assets Current and other assets Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 21,380,739 48,236,620	\$ 15,776,008 41,986,793
Total Assets	\$ 69,617,359	\$ 57,762,801
Deferred Outflows of Resources Deferred OPEB outflows Deferred pension outflows	\$ 35,276 823,871	\$ 45,373 1,146,549
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$ 859,147	\$ 1,191,922
Liabilities Current liabilities Long-term liabilities	\$ 1,236,254 14,596,666	\$ 933,728 14,633,443
Total Liabilities	\$ 15,832,920	\$ 15,567,171
Deferred Inflows of Resources Deferred OPEB inflows Deferred pension inflows	\$ 144,412 738,020	\$
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$ 882,432	\$ 1,587,889
Net Position Net investment in capital assets Restricted Unrestricted	\$ 40,213,623 5,683,588 7,863,943	\$ 34,125,284 8,214,529 (540,150)
Total Net Position	\$ 53,761,154	\$ 41,799,663

### Table 1 Net Position

The County's total net position for the year ended December 31, 2020, totals \$53,761,154. The governmental activities' unrestricted net position, totaling \$7,863,943, is available to finance the day-to-day operations of the governmental activities of Grant County.

		Governme	ntal A	ctiv	ities
		2020	-		2019
Revenues					
Program revenues	\$	2 525 149		¢	2672746
Fees, charges, fines, and other	Ф	2,535,148 15,086,064		\$	2,673,746
Operating grants and contributions Capital grants and contributions		500,000			5,824,419 984,900
General revenues		500,000			984,900
Property taxes		7,046,082			6,796,442
Other taxes		292,885			244,601
Payments in lieu of tax		69,119			31,984
Grants and contributions not restricted to specific programs		996,855			1,005,611
Unrestricted investment earnings		80,027			178,258
Gain on sale of capital assets		43,000			-
Sum on sure of cupitur assess		15,000	-		
Total Revenues	\$	26,649,180	-	\$	17,739,961
Expenses	¢	4 (17 220		¢	2 575 900
General government	\$	4,617,229		\$	3,575,800
Public safety		2,202,561			2,195,969
Highways and streets		2,473,089			5,449,056
Sanitation		693,022			651,992
Human services		3,618,138			3,919,569
Health		43,363			45,611
Culture and recreation Conservation of natural resources		104,122			109,878
		579,330			449,139
Economic development Interest		50,000			50,000
Interest		306,835	-		245,630
Total Expenses	\$	14,687,689	-	\$	16,692,644
Change in Net Position	\$	11,961,491		\$	1,047,317
Net Position – January 1		41,799,663	-		40,752,346
Net Position – December 31	\$	53,761,154	=	\$	41,799,663

# Table 2Changes in Net Position

## **Governmental Activities**

Revenues for Grant County's governmental activities for the year ended December 31, 2020, were \$26,649,180. The County's cost for all governmental activities for the year ended December 31, 2020, was \$14,687,689. Net position for the County's governmental activities increased by \$11,961,491 in 2020, an increase of 28.6 percent.

As shown in the Statement of Activities, the amount that Grant County taxpayers ultimately financed for these governmental activities through local property taxation was \$7,046,082, because \$18,121,212 of the costs were paid by grants and contributions received for those programs and by those who directly benefited from the programs, and \$996,855 was paid by other governments and organizations that provided additional grants and contributions. Grant County paid for the remaining "public benefit" portion of governmental activities with \$485,031 in other revenues, such as investment income, mortgage registry tax, state deed tax, wind tax, and payments in lieu of tax.



Table 3 presents the cost of each of Grant County's five largest program functions, as well as each function's net cost (total cost, less revenues generated by the activities). The net cost shows the financial burden placed on Grant County taxpayers by each of these functions.

# Table 3Governmental Activities

	Total Cost of Services	Net Cost of Services
Program expenses		
General government	\$ 4,617,229	\$ 3,302,476
Human services	3,618,138	880,766
Highways and streets	2,473,089	(10,145,419)
Public safety	2,202,561	1,799,801
Sanitation	693,022	(20,206)
All others	1,083,650	749,059
Total Program Expenses	\$ 14,687,689	\$ (3,433,523)



# THE COUNTY'S FUNDS

As Grant County completed the year, its governmental funds, as presented in the Balance Sheet, reported a combined fund balance of \$15,897,216.

## General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The Grant County Board of Commissioners, over the course of a budget year, may amend/revise the County's General Fund budget; in 2020, no budget amendments were made.

In the General Fund, the actual revenues were \$1,342,446 more than expected revenues, and actual expenditures were \$730,059 more than budgeted expenditures. The increases were primarily due to non-budgeted revenues and expenditures due to the federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act grant.

# CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

## Capital Assets

At the end of 2020, Grant County had \$48,236,620 invested in a broad range of capital assets, net of depreciation. This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings, highways and streets, and equipment (see Table 4).

#### Table 4 Capital Assets at Year-End (Net of Depreciation)

	2020	2019
Land and right-of-way	\$ 1,119,564	\$ 1,172,702
Construction in progress	4,889,977	616,539
Buildings	11,336,199	12,219,180
Office furniture and equipment	226,287	233,473
Machinery and automotive	1,360,649	1,577,657
Infrastructure	29,303,944	26,167,242
Totals	\$ 48,236,620	\$ 41,986,793

## **Long-Term Debt**

As of December 31, 2020, Grant County had \$3,855,106 in bonds outstanding, compared with \$4,151,509 as of December 31, 2019, a decrease of 7.1 percent.

#### Table 5 Outstanding Debt at Year-End

	2020	2019
Bonds payable		
General obligation bonds	\$ 225,000	\$ 525,000
Taxable general obligation capital improvement bonds	2,000,000	2,000,000
General obligation special assessment bonds	-	1,640,000
General obligation refunding bonds	1,595,000	-
Unamortized premiums	45,193	-
Less: unamortized discounts	(10,087)	(13,491)
Totals	\$ 3,855,106	\$ 4,151,509

Other long-term obligations include the capital lease, loans payable, compensated absences, other postemployment benefits, and the net pension liability. Grant County's notes to the financial statements provide detailed information about the County's long-term liabilities.

## ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES

The County's elected and appointed officials considered many factors when setting the fiscal year 2021 budget and tax rates. These factors include federal and state aid, increasing input costs and maintaining appropriate fund balances while being mindful of the burden on County taxpayers and a need to provide a certain level of services to Grant County residents/taxpayers.

- Major revenue sources for the County are state-paid aids, credits, and grants. Should the State of Minnesota make significant changes to these revenues, it would have a significant impact on next year's budget.
- Reviewing revenue sources and considering cost-effective and efficient means for the delivery of Grant County programs and services will influence the development of future budgets.

## CONTACTING THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Grant County's financial report provides citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of Grant County's finances and shows the County's accountability for the money it receives and spends. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact Chad Van Santen, Grant County Auditor, (218-685-8236), Grant County Courthouse, 10 Second Street Northeast, Elbow Lake, Minnesota 56531-4400.

**BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** 

**GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** 

EXHIBIT 1

#### STATEMENT OF NET POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2020

		Primary Government Governmental Activities		nponent Unit ousing and levelopment uthority of rant County
Assets				
Cash and pooled investments	\$	15,811,170	\$	742,293
Taxes receivable – net		153,020		-
Special assessments receivable				
Delinquent		3,966		-
Noncurrent		1,508,235		-
Accounts receivable – net		117,601		6,834
Rent receivable – net		-		6,669
Accrued interest receivable		747		-
Due from other governments		3,575,738		-
Prepaid items		7,000		24,962
Inventories		203,262		-
Restricted assets				
Cash and pooled investments		-		27,863
Capital assets				
Non-depreciable		6,009,541		188,204
Depreciable – net of accumulated depreciation		42,227,079		2,197,765
Total Assets	\$	69,617,359	\$	3,194,590
Deferred Outflows of Resources				
Deferred other postemployment benefits outflows	\$	35,276	\$	-
Deferred pension outflows		823,871	·	-
-				
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$	859,147	\$	-
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	314,017	\$	83,737
Salaries payable		156,891		-
Contracts payable		608,083		-
Due to other governments		85,711		-
Accrued interest payable		68,742		-
Unearned revenue		2,810		14,587
Long-term liabilities				
Due within one year		429,502		68,809
Due in more than one year		9,186,243		1,144,792
Net pension liability		4,288,552		-
Other postemployment benefits liability		692,369		-
Total Liabilities	<u>\$</u>	15,832,920	\$	1,311,925

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### EXHIBIT 1 (Continued)

#### STATEMENT OF NET POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Primary Government Governmental Activities		nponent Unit ousing and development .uthority of rant County
Deferred Inflows of Resources			
Deferred other postemployment benefits inflows Deferred pension inflows	\$ 144,412 738,020	\$	-
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$ 882,432	\$	
Net Position			
Net investment in capital assets Restricted for	\$ 40,213,623	\$	1,187,212
Debt service	2,124,353		-
General government	165,026		-
Public safety	393,399		-
Highways and streets	2,287,883		-
Conservation of natural resources	710,457		-
Held in trust for other purposes	2,470		-
Unrestricted	 7,863,943		695,453
Total Net Position	\$ 53,761,154	\$	1,882,665

#### STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	 Expenses	Fees, Charges, Fines, and Other	
unctions/Programs			
Primary government			
Governmental activities			
General government	\$ 4,617,229	\$	447,230
Public safety	2,202,561		192,456
Highways and streets	2,473,089		76,974
Sanitation	693,022		643,53
Human services	3,618,138		905,179
Health	43,363		-
Culture and recreation	104,122		-
Conservation of natural resources	579,330		269,773
Economic development	50,000		-
Interest	 306,835		-
Total Primary Government	\$ 14,687,689	\$	2,535,148
Component unit			
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Grant County	\$ 1,081,402	S	537,94

#### **General Revenues**

Property taxes, levied for general purposes Mortgage registry and deed tax Wind production tax Payments in lieu of tax Grants and contributions not restricted to specific programs Unrestricted investment earnings Gain on sale of capital assets

#### **Total general revenues**

#### Change in net position

#### Net Position – Beginning

Net Position – Ending

Component Unit Housing and					<b>Program Revenues</b>	
Redevelopment	ry Government	Prima	Capital		Operating	
Authority of	Governmental		ants and		Grants and	
Grant County	Activities		tributions	<u> </u>	Contributions	
	(3,302,476) (1,799,801) 10,145,419	\$	- - 500,000	\$	867,523 210,304 12,041,534	
	20,206		-		69,692	
	(880,766)		-		1,832,193	
	(43,363)		-		-	
	(104,122) (244,739)		-		- 64,818	
	(50,000)		-		-	
	(306,835)		-		-	
	3,433,523	\$	500,000	\$	15,086,064	
\$ 31,62			292,902	<u>\$</u>	282,182	
\$ - - 2,900	7,046,082 239,998 52,887 69,119 996,855 80,027 43,000	\$				
\$ 2,900	8,527,968	\$				
\$ 34,53	11,961,491	\$				
1,848,13	41,799,663					
\$ 1,882,665	53,761,154	\$				

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## **GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**

#### BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2020

		General		Road and Bridge		
Assets						
Cash and pooled investments	\$	4,007,815	\$	7,262,628		
Taxes receivable – net		93,274		28,608		
Special assessments						
Delinquent		-		-		
Noncurrent		-		-		
Accounts receivable – net		96,238		438		
Accrued interest receivable		687		-		
Due from other funds		336		-		
Due from other governments		43,348		2,613,550		
Prepaid expense		-		-		
Inventories		-		203,262		
Total Assets	<u>\$</u>	4,241,698	\$	10,108,486		
Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	49,512	\$	172,224		
Salaries payable		62,711		36,554		
Contracts payable		-		608,083		
Due to other funds		-		2,027		
Due to other governments		49,980		11,083		
Unearned revenue				-		
Total Liabilities	<u>\$</u>	162,203	\$	829,971		
Deferred Inflows of Resources						
Unavailable revenues	\$	74,761	\$	2,628,348		

### EXHIBIT 3

 Human Services	unty Ditch 29 bebt Service	 Nonmajor Funds	 Total
\$ 2,922,622 22,979	\$ 231,234	\$ 1,386,871 8,159	\$ 15,811,170 153,020
7,282	1,508,235	3,966 - 13,643	3,966 1,508,235 117,601
1,691 883,257 7,000	35 - -	25 - 35,583 -	747 2,027 3,575,738 7,000
\$ 3,844,831	\$ 1,739,504	\$ 1,448,247	\$ 203,262 21,382,766
\$ 63,957 56,879 - - 4,810 2,810	\$ - - - - -	\$ 28,324 747 - 19,838 -	\$ 314,017 156,891 608,083 2,027 85,711 2,810
\$ 128,456	\$ 	\$ 48,909	\$ 1,169,539
\$ 95,351	\$ 1,508,235	\$ 9,316	\$ 4,316,011

#### BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2020

	 General	 Road and Bridge
<u>Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of</u> <u>Resources, and Fund Balances</u> (Continued)		
Fund Balances		
Nonspendable		
Trust principal	\$ -	\$ -
Inventories	-	203,263
Missing heirs	2,470	-
Restricted		
Law library	21,750	-
Debt service	-	-
Recorder's technology equipment	52,326	-
Election equipment	22,584	-
E-911	364,306	-
Recorder's compliance	68,366	-
DARE	3,028	-
Forfeitures	26,065	-
County state-aid highway system	-	854,522
Ditch maintenance and construction	-	-
Committed		
Sheriff's contingencies	5,000	-
Assigned		
Highways and streets	-	5,592,382
Human services	-	-
Sanitation	-	-
Sheriff improvement	30,674	-
Unassigned	 3,408,165	 -
Total Fund Balances	\$ 4,004,734	\$ 6,650,167
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances	\$ 4,241,698	\$ 10,108,486

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

## EXHIBIT 3 (Continued)

HumanCounty Ditch 29ServicesDebt Service			1	Nonmajor Funds	Total		
\$ -	\$	-	\$	118,205	\$	118,205	
-		-		-		203,263 2,470	
-		-		-		21,750	
-		-		616,118		616,118 52,326	
-		-		-		22,584	
-		-		-		364,306	
-		-		-		68,366	
-		-		-		3,028	
-		-		-		26,065	
-		-		-		854,522	
-		231,269		360,983		592,252	
-		-		-		5,000	
-		-		-		5,592,382	
3,621,024		-		-		3,621,024	
-		-		297,322		297,322	
-		-		-		30,674	
 				(2,606)		3,405,559	
\$ 3,621,024	\$	231,269	\$	1,390,022	\$	15,897,216	
\$ 3,844,831	\$	1,739,504	\$	1,448,247	\$	21,382,766	

EXHIBIT 4

#### RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION—GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES DECEMBER 31, 2020

Fund balances – total governmental funds (Exhibit 3)		\$ 15,897,216
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:		
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.		48,236,620
Deferred outflows of resources are not available resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.		
Deferred other postemployment benefits outflows Deferred pension outflows	\$ 35,276 823,871	859,147
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported in the governmental funds.		4,316,011
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.		
General obligation bonds	\$ (3,820,000)	
Bond discounts	10,087	
Bond premiums	(45,193)	
Capital lease payable	(5,200,000)	
Loans payable	(27,663)	
Other postemployment benefits liability	(692,369)	
Compensated absences	(532,976)	
Net pension liability	(4,288,552)	
Accrued interest payable	 (68,742)	(14,665,408)
Deferred inflows of resources are created as a result of various differences related to pensions that are not recognized in the governmental funds.		
Deferred other postemployment benefits inflows	\$ (144,412)	
Deferred pension inflows	 (738,020)	 (882,432)
Net Position of Governmental Activities (Exhibit 1)		\$ 53,761,154

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	General		Road and Bridge		
Revenues					
Taxes	\$	4,614,199	\$	1,275,017	
Special assessments	Ψ	-	φ	-	
Licenses and permits		14,030		_	
Intergovernmental		1,779,627		14,715,071	
Charges for services		557,406		66,983	
Fines and forfeits		1,964		-	
Gifts and contributions		11,975			
Investment earnings		77,241		_	
Miscellaneous		142,811		9,991	
Total Revenues	<u>\$</u>	7,199,253	\$	16,067,062	
Expenditures					
Current					
General government	\$	3,696,649	\$	-	
Public safety		2,121,868		-	
Highways and streets		-		9,696,657	
Sanitation		-		-	
Human services		-		-	
Health		43,363		-	
Culture and recreation		104,122		-	
Conservation of natural resources		530,795		-	
Economic development		50,000		-	
Debt service					
Principal		20,147		-	
Interest		21,705		-	
Total Expenditures	\$	6,588,649	\$	9,696,657	
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$	610,604	\$	6,370,405	
Other Financing Sources (Uses)					
Proceeds (Loss) on sale of capital assets	\$	58,000	\$	-	
Premium (Discount)		-		-	
Bonds issued				-	
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$	58,000	\$		
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$	668,604	\$	6,370,405	
Fund Balance – January 1		3,336,130		236,345	
Increase (decrease) in inventories		-,		43,417	
Fund Balance – December 31	\$	4,004,734	\$	6,650,167	

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

	Human Services		unty Ditch 29 Debt Service	I 	Nonmajor Funds		Total
\$	1,033,401	\$	201,153	\$	396,571 165,679	\$	7,319,188 366,832
	- 1,905,531		-		- 183,011		14,030 18,583,240
	879,496		-		512,317		2,016,202
	-		-		-		1,964
	-		-		-		11,975
	-		425		2,361		80,027
	27,552						180,354
\$	3,845,980	<u>\$</u>	201,578	<u></u> \$	1,259,939	<u>\$</u>	28,573,812
\$		\$		\$		\$	3,696,649
Ф	-	3	-	Ф	-	Φ	2,121,868
	-		-		-		9,696,657
	-		-		737,708		737,708
	3,482,568		-		-		3,482,568
	-		-		-		43,363
	-		-		-		104,122
	-		-		50,846		581,641 50,000
							20,000
	133,500		1,640,000		300,000		2,093,647
	170,691		126,531		123,020		441,947
\$	3,786,759	\$	1,766,531	\$	1,211,574	\$	23,050,170
\$	59,221	<u>\$</u>	(1,564,953)	\$	48,365	\$	5,523,642
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	58,000
	-		45,959		-		45,959
			1,595,000				1,595,000
\$		\$	1,640,959	\$		\$	1,698,959
\$	59,221	\$	76,006	\$	48,365	\$	7,222,601
	3,561,803		155,263		1,341,657		8,631,198 43,417
\$	3,621,024	\$	231,269	\$	1,390,022	\$	15,897,216
EXHIBIT 6

#### RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES—GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Net change in fund balances – total governmental funds (Exhibit 5)		\$ 7,222,601
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:		
In the funds, under the modified accrual basis, receivables not available for expenditure are deferred. In the statement of activities, those revenues are recognized when earned. The adjustment to revenue between the fund statements and the statement of activities is the increase or decrease in unavailable revenue.		
Unavailable revenue – December 31 Unavailable revenue – January 1	\$ 4,316,011 (6,284,074)	(1,968,063)
Governmental funds report capital outlay as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. Also, in the statement of activities, only the gain or loss on the disposal of assets is reported; whereas, in the governmental funds, the proceeds from sales increase financial resources. Therefore, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balance by the net book value of the assets sold.		
Expenditures for general capital assets and infrastructure Net book value of assets sold Current year depreciation	\$ 8,567,026 (406,392) (1,910,807)	6,249,827
Issuing long-term debt provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of debt consumes current financial resources. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the net effect of premiumns, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued; whereas, those amounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the debt in the statement of activities.		
Debt issued General obligation bonds Premium on bonds issued Principal repayments	\$ (1,595,000) (45,959)	
General obligation bonds Loans	1,940,000 3,647	
Current year amortization of discounts/premiums	 (2,638)	300,050

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

EXHIBIT 6 (Continued)

#### RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES—GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

Change in accrued interest payable	\$ 4,250	
Change in capital lease payable	150,000	
Change in compensated absences	(54,812)	
Change in other postemployment benefits	70,451	
Change in inventories	43,417	
Change in deferred other postemployment benefits outflows	(10,097)	
Change in deferred other postemployment benefits inflows	(124,588)	
Change in deferred pension outflows	(322,678)	
Change in deferred pension inflows	830,045	
Change in net pension liability	(428,912)	157,076

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

FIDUCIARY FUNDS

EXHIBIT 7

#### STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2020

	 Custodial Funds
Assets	
Cash and investments Taxes receivable for other governments Accounts receivable Due from other governments Rent deposit	\$ 774,958 187,762 92,226 31,930 2,624
Total Assets	\$ 1,089,500
<u>Liabilities</u>	
Accounts payable Due to other governments	\$ 35,912 483,583
Total Liabilities	\$ 519,495
Net Position	
Restricted for: Individuals, organizations, and other governments	\$ 570,005

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

EXHIBIT 8

#### STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

		Custodial Funds
Additions		
Property tax collections for other governments	\$	6,603,801
License and fees collected for state		26,613
Payments from state		1,039,839
Payments from other entities and individuals		1,052,533
Total Additions	<u>\$</u>	8,722,786
<b>Deductions</b>		
Payments of property tax to other governments	\$	6,526,110
Payments to state		26,613
Payments to other entities and individuals		1,323,826
Administrative expense		920,972
Total Deductions	<u> </u>	8,797,521
Change in Net Position	\$	(74,735)
Net Position – January 1, as restated (Note 1.E)		644,740
Net Position – December 31	<u>\$</u>	570,005

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

# NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

### 1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

The County's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements (statements and interpretations). The more significant accounting policies established by GAAP and used by the County are discussed below.

#### A. <u>Financial Reporting Entity</u>

Grant County was established March 6, 1868, and is an organized county having the powers, duties, and privileges granted counties by Minn. Stat. ch. 373. As required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these financial statements present Grant County (primary government) and its component unit for which the County is financially accountable. The County is governed by a five-member Board of Commissioners elected from districts within the County. The Board is organized with a chair and vice chair elected at the annual meeting in January of each year.

#### Discretely Presented Component Unit

The Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) of Grant County is a component unit of Grant County and is reported in a separate column in the County's government-wide financial statements to emphasize that the HRA is legally separate from Grant County. The HRA operates as a local governmental unit for the purpose of providing housing and redevelopment services to Grant County. The governing body consists of a five-member Board of Commissioners appointed by the Grant County Board of Commissioners to serve five-year terms. The financial statements included are as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020.

Component Unit	Component Unit Included in Reporting Entity Because	Separate Financial Statements
The HRA of Grant County	The County appoints members,	Grant County Coordinator's Office
provides services pursuant to	and the HRA is a financial	10 Second Street Northeast
Minn. Stat. §§ 469.001047	burden.	Elbow Lake, Minnesota 56531

### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Financial Reporting Entity (Continued)

#### Joint Ventures and Jointly-Governed Organizations

The County participates in several joint ventures described in Note 5.C. The County also participates in jointly-governed organizations described in Note 5.D.

B. Basic Financial Statements

#### 1. Government-Wide Statements

The government-wide financial statements (the statement of net position and the statement of activities) display information about the primary government and its component unit. These statements include the financial activities of the overall County government, except for fiduciary activities. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double counting of internal activities.

In the government-wide statement of net position, the governmental activities are reported on a full accrual, economic resources basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations and deferred inflows and outflows of resources. The County's net position is reported in three parts: (1) net investment in capital assets, (2) restricted net position, and (3) unrestricted net position. The County first utilizes restricted resources to finance qualifying activities.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of each function of the County's governmental activities are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those clearly identifiable with a specific function or activity. Program revenues include: (1) fees, fines, and charges paid by the recipients of goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or activity; and (2) grants and contributions restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or activity. Revenues not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

# 2. Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide information about the County's funds, including its fiduciary funds. Separate statements for each fund category—governmental and fiduciary—are presented.

### 1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

### B. <u>Basic Financial Statements</u>

2. <u>Fund Financial Statements</u> (Continued)

The emphasis of governmental fund financial statements is on major individual governmental funds, with each displayed as separate columns in the fund financial statements. All remaining governmental funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

The County reports the following major governmental funds:

The <u>General Fund</u> is the County's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those accounted for in another fund.

The <u>Road and Bridge Special Revenue Fund</u> is used to account for revenues and expenditures of the County Highway Department, which is responsible for the construction and maintenance of roads, bridges, and other projects affecting County roadways.

The <u>Human Services Special Revenue Fund</u> is used to account for economic assistance and community social services programs.

The <u>County Ditch 29 Debt Service Fund</u> is used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the repayment of, principal, interest and related costs of drainage bonds related to County Ditch 29.

Additionally, the County reports the following fund types:

The <u>Trust Payment Permanent Fund</u> accounts for resources legally restricted to the extent that only earnings and not principal from the Trust Payment Permanent Fund may be used for County purposes.

<u>Custodial funds</u> are used to account for assets held by the County for fiduciary activities, for individuals or other governments.

# 1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> (Continued)

#### C. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The government-wide and fiduciary funds financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the full accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Grant County considers all revenues as available if collected within 60 days after the end of the current period. Property and other taxes, licenses, and interest are all considered susceptible to accrual. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on long-term debt, compensated absences, and claims and judgments, which are recognized as expenditures to the extent that they have matured. Proceeds of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the County's policy to use restricted resources first and then unrestricted resources as needed.

#### D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

1. <u>Deposits and Investments</u>

The cash balances of substantially all funds are pooled and invested by the County Treasurer for the purpose of increasing earnings through investment activities. Investments are reported at their fair value at December 31, 2020. A market approach is used to value all investments other than external investment pools, which are measured at the net asset value or fair value per share. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 385.07, investment earnings on cash and pooled investments are credited to the General Fund.

Grant County invests in an external investment pool, the Minnesota Association of Governments Investing for Counties (MAGIC) Fund, which is created under a joint powers agreement pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 471.59. The investment in the pool is measured at the net asset value per share provided by the pool.

# 1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

### D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

1. <u>Deposits and Investments</u> (Continued)

Other funds received investment earnings based on other state statutes, grant agreements, contracts, and bond covenants. Pooled investment earnings for 2020 were \$77,241.

### 2. <u>Receivables and Payables</u>

Activities between funds representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as either "due to/from other funds" (the current portion of interfund loans) or "advances to/from other funds" (the noncurrent portion of interfund loans).

All receivables, including those of the discretely presented component unit, are shown net of an allowance for uncollectibles.

Property taxes are levied as of January 1 on property values assessed as of the same date. The tax levy notice is mailed in March with the first half payment due May 15 and the second half payment due October 15. Unpaid taxes at December 31 become liens on the respective property and are classified in the financial statements as delinquent taxes receivable.

3. Special Assessments Receivable

Special assessments receivable consist of delinquent special assessments payable in the years 2015 through 2020, and noncurrent special assessments payable in 2021 and after. No allowance for special assessments are shown because such amounts are not expected to be material. The receivable includes special assessments on solid waste fees, septic loans, and ditches.

4. Inventories and Prepaid Items

All inventories are valued at cost using the first in/first out method. Inventories in governmental funds are recorded as expenditures when purchased rather than when consumed. Inventories at the government-wide level are recorded as expenses when consumed.

### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

# D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

4. <u>Inventories and Prepaid Items</u> (Continued)

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### 5. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (for example roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the County as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at acquisition value.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets' lives are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

Property, plant, and equipment of the County, as well as its component unit, are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Assets	Years
Buildings and building improvements	30 - 40
Office furniture and equipment	3 - 15
Machinery and automotive	3 - 20
Infrastructure	25 - 100

#### 6. <u>Unearned Revenue</u>

All County governmental funds and the government-wide financial statements report unearned revenue for resources that have been received, but not yet earned. In the current year, all unearned revenue was the result of grants received prior to revenue recognition criteria being met.

# 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

- D. <u>Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity</u> (Continued)
  - 7. <u>Compensated Absences</u>

The liability for compensated absences reported in the financial statements consists of unpaid, accumulated annual vacation, sick leave, and compensatory time balances.

The liability has been calculated using the vesting method, in which leave amounts for both employees who currently are eligible to receive termination payments and other employees who are expected to become eligible in the future to receive such payments upon termination are included. Compensated absences are accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in the governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements.

The government-wide statement of net position reports both current and noncurrent portions of compensated absences. The current portion consists of compensatory time and an amount based on a trend analysis of current usage of vacation and sick leave. The noncurrent portion consists of the remaining amount of vacation and sick leave. The compensated absences liability is liquidated through the General Fund and other governmental funds that have personal services.

8. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expenditure/expense) until then. The County reports deferred outflows of resources only under the full accrual basis of accounting associated with pension plans and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) and, accordingly, are reported only in the statement of net position.

# 1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

- D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity
  - 8. <u>Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources</u> (Continued)

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The County has three types of deferred inflows. The governmental funds report unavailable revenue from delinquent taxes receivable, delinquent and noncurrent special assessments receivable, and for amounts that are not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Unavailable revenue arises only under the modified accrual basis of accounting and, accordingly, is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. Unavailable revenue is deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available. The County also reports deferred inflows of resources associated with pension benefits and OPEB. These inflows arise only under the full accrual basis of accounting and, accordingly, are reported only in the statement of net position.

#### 9. <u>Long-Term Obligations</u>

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities statement of net position.

Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of the debt issued is reported as an other financing source.

Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources, while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

# 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

D. <u>Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity</u> (Continued)

### 10. Pension Plan

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows/inflows of resources, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) and additions to/deductions from PERA's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERA, except that PERA's fiscal year-end is June 30. For this purpose, plan contributions are recognized as of employer payroll paid dates and benefit payments and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Plan investments are reported at fair value. The net pension liability is liquidated through the General Fund and other governmental funds that have personal services.

### 11. Classification of Net Position

Net position in the government-wide financial statements is classified in the following categories:

<u>Net investment in capital assets</u> – the portion of net position representing capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, and reduced by outstanding debt attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of the assets.

<u>Restricted</u> – the portion of net position for which external restrictions have been imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

<u>Unrestricted</u> – the portion of net position that does not meet the definition of restricted or net investment in capital assets.

# 12. Classification of Fund Balances

Fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the County is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources in the governmental funds. The classifications are as follows:

### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

### 12. Classification of Fund Balances (Continued)

<u>Nonspendable</u> – amounts that cannot be spent because they are not in spendable form, or are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The "not in spendable form" criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash.

<u>Restricted</u> – amounts in which constraints that have been placed on the use of resources are either externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or are imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

<u>Committed</u> – amounts that can be used for the specific purposes imposed by formal action (resolution) of the County Board. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Board removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action (resolution) it employed to previously commit those amounts.

<u>Assigned</u> – amounts the County intends to use for specific purposes that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. In governmental funds other than the General Fund, assigned fund balance represents the remaining amount not restricted or committed. In the General Fund, assigned amounts represent intended uses established by the County Board or the County Auditor, who has been delegated that authority by Board resolution.

<u>Unassigned</u> – the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other fund balance classifications. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification is used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted or committed.

The County applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

# 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

D. <u>Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity</u> (Continued)

### 13. Minimum Fund Balance

The County has adopted a minimum fund balance policy for the General Fund in order to provide protection against the need to reduce services due to a lack of resources resulting from temporary revenue shortfalls or unpredicted expenditures. Therefore, the County Board has determined it needs to maintain a minimum level of unrestricted fund balance (committed, assigned, and unassigned) of \$800,000. The fund balance policy was adopted by the County Board on December 20, 2011.

At December 31, 2020, unrestricted fund balance for the General Fund was above the minimum fund balance level.

### 14. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### E. Change in Accounting Principle

During the year ended December 31, 2020, Grant County adopted new accounting guidance by implementing the provisions of GASB Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, which establishes criteria for identifying and reporting fiduciary activities. The implementation of this statement has resulted in changing the presentation of the financial statements by including accruals and ending net position to custodial funds not previously required. Beginning net position has been restated to reflect this change.

### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### E. <u>Change in Accounting Principle</u> (Continued)

	 Custodial Funds
Net Position January 1, 2020, as previously reported Change in accounting principles	\$ - 664,740
Net Position, January 1, 2020, as restated	\$ 664,740

### 2. <u>Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability</u>

#### A. Deficit Fund Equity

The Ditch Special Revenue Fund had a positive fund balance of \$358,377 as of December 31, 2020, although one individual ditch system had a deficit fund balance. This deficit will be eliminated with future special assessment levies against the benefited properties. The following is a summary of the individual ditch systems:

19 ditches with positive fund balances 1 ditch with negative fund balance	\$ 360,984 (2,607)
Total Fund Balance	\$ 358,377

In addition, the Adult Mental Health Initiative Custodial Fund had a deficit net position of \$485,997 as of December 31, 2020. This deficit will be eliminated with future collections from the Adult Mental Health Initiative.

#### B. Excess of Expenditures Over Budget

The Solid Waste Special Revenue Fund, a nonmajor governmental fund, had expenditures in excess of the final budget for the year ended December 31, 2020, in the amount of \$47,008.

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### A. Assets

#### 1. Deposits and Investments

Reconciliation of the County's total cash and investments to the basic financial statements follows:

Government-wide statement of net position Governmental activities	
Cash and pooled investments	\$ 15,811,170
Statement of fiduciary net position	
Cash and pooled investments	 774,958
Total Cash and Investments	\$ 16,586,128

#### a. Deposits

The County is authorized by Minn. Stat. §§ 118A.02 and 118A.04 to designate a depository for public funds and to invest in certificates of deposit. The County is required by Minn. Stat. § 118A.03 to protect deposits with insurance, surety bond, or collateral. The market value of collateral pledged shall be at least ten percent more than the amount on deposit at the close of the financial institution's banking day, not covered by insurance or bonds.

Authorized collateral includes treasury bills, notes and bonds; issues of U.S. government agencies; general obligations rated "A" or better and revenue obligations rated "AA" or better; irrevocable standby letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank; and certificates of deposit. Minnesota statutes require that securities pledged as collateral be held in safekeeping in a restricted account at the Federal Reserve Bank or in an account at a trust department of a commercial bank or other financial institution not owned or controlled by the financial institution furnishing the collateral.

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a financial institution failure, the County's deposits may not be returned to it. The County does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. The County's deposits in banks at December 31, 2020, were entirely covered by federal depository insurance and collateral in accordance with Minnesota statutes.

# 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### A. Assets

- 1. <u>Deposits and Investments (Continued)</u>
  - b. <u>Investments</u>

The County may invest in the following types of investments as authorized by Minn. Stat. §§ 118A.04 and 118A.05:

- (1) securities which are direct obligations or are guaranteed or insured issues of the United States, its agencies, its instrumentalities, or organizations created by an act of Congress, except mortgage-backed securities defined as "high risk" by Minn. Stat. § 118A.04, subd. 6;
- (2) mutual funds through shares of registered investment companies provided the mutual fund receives certain ratings depending on its investments;
- (3) general obligations of the State of Minnesota and its municipalities, and in certain state agency and local obligations of Minnesota and other states provided such obligations have certain specified bond ratings by a national bond rating service;
- (4) bankers' acceptances of United States banks;
- (5) commercial paper issued by United States corporations or their Canadian subsidiaries that is rated in the highest quality category by two nationally recognized rating agencies and matures in 270 days or less; and
- (6) with certain restrictions, in repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements, joint powers investment trusts, and guaranteed investment contracts.

#### Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in the market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The County does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

# 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### A. Assets

- 1. Deposits and Investments
  - b. <u>Investments</u> (Continued)

#### Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. It is the County's policy to invest only in securities that meet the ratings requirements set by state statute. None of the County's investments at December 31, 2020, were rated.

### Custodial Credit Risk

The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of investment or collateral securities in the possession of an outside party. The County does not have a policy on custodial credit risk. As of December 31, 2020, the County's investments were not exposed to custodial credit risk.

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

The concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss that may be caused by the County's investment in a single issuer. The County does not have a policy on concentration of credit risk.

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### A. Assets

- 1. Deposits and Investments
  - b. <u>Investments</u>

#### Concentration of Credit Risk (Continued)

The following table presents the County's deposit and investment balances at December 31, 2020, and information relating to potential investment risk:

Investment Type	Concentration of Credit Risk Over 5 Percent of Portfolio	of Credit RiskRiskOver 5 PercentMaturity		Carrying (Fair) Value
Negotiable certificates of deposit				
Comenity Bank DE US	<5%	04/23/2023	\$	210,304
Barclays Bank DE US	<5%	11/14/2023		262,846
Total negotiable certificates of deposit			\$	473,150
Investment pools				
MAGIC Fund	86.11%			6,478,772
Money market accounts with broker	7.60%			571,975
Total investments			\$	7,523,897
Deposits				9,060,656
Petty cash				1,575
i cuy cash				1,575
Total Cash and Investments			\$	16,586,128

#### Fair Value Measurement

The County measures and records its investments using fair value measurement guidelines established by generally accepted accounting principles. These guidelines recognize a three-tiered fair value hierarchy, as follows:

- Level 1: Quoted prices for identical investments in active markets;
- Level 2: Observable inputs other than quoted market prices; and
- *Level 3*: Unobservable inputs.

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### A. Assets

- 1. Deposits and Investments
  - b. <u>Investments</u>

#### Fair Value Measurement (Continued)

At December 31, 2020, the County had the following recurring fair value measurements:

			Fair Value Measurements Using					
	December 31, 2020		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		O	gnificant Other bservable Inputs Level 2)	Unob Ir	nificant servable uputs evel 3)
Investments by fair value level								
Negotiable certificates of deposit	\$	473,150	\$	-	\$	473,150	\$	-
Investments measured at the net asset value (NAV)								
MAGIC Portfolio	\$	6,478,772						
Money market mutual funds		571,975						
Total investments measured at the								
NAV	\$	7,050,747						
Total Investments	\$	7,523,897						

All Level 2 debt securities are valued using a matrix pricing technique based on the securities' relationship to benchmark quoted prices.

MAGIC is a local government investment pool which is quoted at a net asset value (NAV). The County invests in this pool for the purpose of the joint investment of the County's money with those of other counties to enhance the investment earnings accruing to each member. The MAGIC Fund currently consists of the MAGIC Portfolio and the MAGIC Term Series.

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### A. Assets

- 1. Deposits and Investments
  - b. <u>Investments</u>

Fair Value Measurement (Continued)

MAGIC Portfolio is valued using amortized cost. Shares of the MAGIC Portfolio are available to be redeemed upon proper notice without restrictions under normal operating conditions.

There are no limits to the number of redemptions that can be made as long as the County has a sufficient number of shares to meet their redemption request. The Fund's Board of Trustees can suspend the right of withdrawal or postpone the date of payment if the Trustees determine that there is an emergency that makes the sale of a Portfolio's securities or determination of its net asset value not reasonably practical.

Shares of MAGIC Term Series are purchased to mature upon pre-determined maturity dates selected by the County at the time of purchase. Should the County need to redeem shares in a MAGIC Term Series prematurely, they must provide notice at least seven days prior to premature redemption date. The value of a premature redemption is equal to the original price for such share, plus dividends thereon, at the projected yield, less such share's allocation of any losses incurred by the series, less a premature redemption penalty, if any.

The County invests in money market funds for the benefit of liquid investments that can be readily re-invested. Money market funds held by the County seek a constant NAV of \$1.00 per share.

# 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

# A. <u>Assets</u> (Continued)

### 2. <u>Receivables</u>

Receivables as of December 31, 2020, for the County's governmental activities, net of the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts, are as follows:

	<u></u> R	Total Receivables		mounts Not heduled for Collection During the sequent Year
Governmental Activities				
Taxes	\$	153,020	\$	-
Special assessments		1,512,201		1,508,235
Accounts		117,601		-
Accrued interest		747		-
Due from other governments		3,575,738		-
Total Governmental Activities	\$	5,359,307	\$	1,508,235

### 3. Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2020, was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	 Increase	I	Decrease	 Ending Balance
Capital assets not depreciated					
Land	\$ 244,383	\$ -	\$	118,798	\$ 125,585
Right-of-way	928,319	65,660		-	993,979
Construction in progress	 616,539	 4,273,438			 4,889,977
Total capital assets not depreciated	\$ 1,789,241	\$ 4,339,098	\$	118,798	\$ 6,009,541
Capital assets depreciated					
Buildings	\$ 15,592,181	\$ 36,685	\$	821,833	\$ 14,807,033
Office furniture and equipment	1.031.738	81,362		18,423	1.094.677
Machinery and automotive	4,154,648	54,926		205,039	4,004,535
Infrastructure	 44,819,931	 4,054,955		-	 48,874,886
Total capital assets depreciated	\$ 65,598,498	\$ 4,227,928	\$	1,045,295	\$ 68,781,131

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

### A. Assets

# 3. <u>Capital Assets</u> (Continued)

		Beginning Balance		Increase	I	Decrease		Ending Balance
Less: accumulated depreciation for Buildings	\$	3.373.001	\$	632,072	\$	534.239	\$	3,470,834
Office furniture and equipment	φ	798.265	φ	88,548	φ	18.423	φ	868,390
Machinery and automotive		2,576,991		271,934		205,039		2,643,886
Infrastructure		18,652,689		918,253				19,570,942
Total accumulated depreciation	\$	25,400,946	\$	1,910,807	\$	757,701	\$	26,554,052
Total capital assets depreciated, net	\$	40,197,552	\$	2,317,121	\$	287,594	\$	42,227,079
Governmental Activities Capital Assets, Net	\$	41,986,793	\$	6,656,219	\$	406,392	\$	48,236,620

Depreciation expense was charged to functions/programs of the primary government as follows:

Governmental Activities	
General government	\$ 614,880
Public safety	78,958
Highways and streets, including depreciation of infrastructure	1,214,087
Sanitation	2,533
Conservation of natural resources	 349
Total Depreciation Expense	\$ 1,910,807

### B. Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers

The composition of interfund balances as of December 31, 2020, is as follows:

# Due To/From Other Funds

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	A	mount	Description
General Fund Human Services Special Revenue Fund	Road and Bridge Special Revenue Fund Road and Bridge Special Revenue Fund	\$	336 1,691	Charges for services Charges for services
Total Due To/From Other Funds		\$	2,027	

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds (Continued)

### C. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

### 1. <u>Payables</u>

Payables at December 31, 2020, were as follows:

	Governmental Activities
Accounts	\$ 314,017
Salaries	156,891
Contracts	608,083
Due to other governments	85,711
Accrued interest	68,742
Total Payables	\$ 1,233,444

#### 2. Construction Commitments

The County has active construction projects as of December 31, 2020. The projects include the following:

	Spen	t-to-Date	emaining mmitment
Highways and streets Roads and bridges	\$	4,758,625	\$ 381,126

#### 3. Operating Lease Commitments

The County has operating leases for vehicles with varying expiration dates, the latest of which expires October 31, 2022. The lease terms range from 36 to 48 months. Including usage charges, the total cost for these leases in 2020 was \$87,318.

# 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

# C. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

3. Operating Lease Commitments (Continued)

Following are the future minimum operating lease payments:

	Future	
	Minimum	
Year	Lease Payments	
2021	32,123	
2022	32,123 7,664	
		-
Total	\$ 39,787	
		=

# 4. Long-Term Debt

# Bonds Payable

Type of Indebtedness	Final Maturity	Installment Amounts	Interest Rate (%)	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding Balance December 31, 2020
General obligation bonds 2011A Bonds	2022	\$110,000 - \$300,000	1.00 - 3.20	\$ 2,480,000	\$ 225,000
Taxable general obligation capital improvement plan bonds 2011B Bonds	2026	\$2,000,000	5.50	2,000,000	2,000,000
General obligation drainage refunding bonds 2020B Bonds	2035	\$85,000- \$120,000	1.00- 2.00	1,595,000	1,595,000
Total General Obligation Bonds				\$ 6,075,000	\$ 3,820,000

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

# C. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

### 4. <u>Long-Term Debt</u> (Continued)

#### Loans Payable

Type of Indebtedness	Final Maturity			Driginal Issue Amount	Outstanding Balance December 31, 2020		
SRF0280 State of Minnesota Septic System Replacement Loans	2027	\$3,505 - \$4,192	2.00	\$ 38,388	\$	27,663	

In 2014, the County entered into a loan agreement with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency for financing of the Minnesota Clean Water Partnership Project. These loans are secured by special assessments placed on the individual parcels requesting repair of a failing septic system. Loan payments are reported in the General Fund.

#### 5. Debt Service Requirements

Debt service requirements at December 31, 2020, were as follows:

Year Ending		General Obli	gation Bo	nds	Ca	Taxable Generapital Improver	
December 31	Р	rincipal	I1	terest Pr		rincipal	 Interest
2021	\$	110,000	\$	5,330	\$	-	\$ 110,000
2022		115,000		1,840		-	110,000
2023		-		-		-	110,000
2024		-		-		-	110,000
2025		-		-		-	110,000
2026		-				2,000,000	 55,000
Total	\$	225,000	\$	7,170	\$	2,000,000	\$ 605,000

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

# C. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

### 5. <u>Debt Service Requirements</u> (Continued)

		General C	Obligation					
Year Ending		Drainage Ref	unding Bo	onds	_	Loans I	Payable	
December 31			nterest	P	rincipal	Ir	nterest	
2021	\$	85,000	\$	20,093	\$	3,720	\$	535
2022		95,000		22,995		3,795		460
2023		95,000		21,095		3,871		384
2024		100,000		19,145		3,949		306
2025		100,000		17,145		4,028		226
2026 - 2030		540,000		56,225		8,300		209
2031 - 2035		580,000		19,865		-		-
Total	\$	1,595,000	\$	176,563	\$	27,663	\$	2,120

# 6. Capital Lease

In 2017, Grant County entered into a capital lease agreement with the Economic Development Authority (EDA) of the City of Elbow Lake for the construction of a building to house the County's Human Services Department. This agreement qualifies as a capital lease for accounting purposes. The building is recorded by the County as a capital asset at the amount of the bond issued by the EDA of the City of Elbow Lake.

Lease	Maturity	Installment	Original	Payment Amount	Outstanding Balance December 31, 2020
Building	2043	\$150,000 - \$335,000	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 5,200,000

The future lease obligations equal the bond principal and interest payments of the bond issued by the EDA. The scheduled lease payments as of December 31, 2020, were as follows:

# 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

# C. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

# 6. <u>Capital Lease</u> (Continued)

Year Ending December 31	Principal			Interest	Total		
2021	\$	155,000	\$	192,711	\$	347,711	
2022	Ψ	160,000	Ψ	189,030	Ψ	349,030	
2023		165,000		185,230		350,230	
2024		165,000		180,899		345,899	
2025		170,000		176,568		346,568	
2026 - 2030		940,000		799,613		1,739,613	
2031 - 2035		1,120,000		618,950		1,738,950	
2036 - 2040		1,360,000		377,300		1,737,300	
2041 - 2043		965,000		82,110		1,047,110	
Total	\$	5,200,000	\$	2,802,411	\$	8,002,411	

# 7. <u>Changes in Long-Term Liabilities</u>

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2020, was as follows:

	Beginning Balance Add		Additions	ons Reductions		Ending Balance		Due Within One Year		
General obligation bonds	\$	525,000	\$	-	\$	300,000	\$	225,000	\$	110,000
Taxable general obligation capital improvement plan bonds General obligation special		2,000,000		-		-		2,000,000		-
assessment bonds General obligation refunding		1,640,000		-		1,640,000		-		-
bonds		-		1,595,000		-		1,595,000		85,000
Less: unamortized discount Add: unamortized premium		(13,491)		45,959		(3,404) 766		(10,087) 45,193		-
Total general obligation bonds	\$	4,151,509	\$	1,640,959	\$	1,937,362	\$	3,855,106	\$	195,000
Loans payable		31,310		-		3,647		27,663		3,720
Capital lease payable		5,350,000		-		150,000		5,200,000		155,000
Compensated absences		478,164		331,197		276,385		532,976		75,782
Total Long-Term Liabilities	\$	10,010,983	\$	1,972,156	\$	2,367,394	\$	9,615,745	\$	429,502

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### C. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

7. <u>Changes in Long-Term Liabilities</u> (Continued)

Bonded debt is paid by the Courthouse Improvement and County Ditch 29 Debt Service Funds.

During 2020, the County issued \$1,595,000 in General Obligation Drainage Refunding Bonds, Series 2020B. The County will use the net proceeds to currently refund the General Obligation Drainage Bonds, Series 2015A, maturing in 2036, and to finance the drainage improvement project. The refunding bond proceeds were placed in an escrow account to be liquidated on the redemption date of February 1, 2021. The total cash flow savings to the County attributable to the refunding of these bonds was \$506,613.

### 8. Deferred Inflows of Resources

Deferred inflows of resources – unavailable revenue consists of taxes and special assessments receivable, state and federal grants not collected soon enough after year-end to pay liabilities of the current period, money from state-aid highway allotments, and other receivables not collected soon enough after year-end to pay liabilities of the current period. Unavailable revenue at December 31, 2020, is summarized by fund:

	Faxes and Special ssessments	 Grants	Hig	te-Aid ghway otments	(	Other	 Total
Major governmental funds							
General	\$ 74,761	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 74,761
Special Revenue							
Road and Bridge	22,992	401,532	2	,203,824		-	2,628,348
Human Services	18,461	74,706		-		2,184	95,351
County Ditch 29 Debt Service	1,508,235	-		-		-	1,508,235
Nonmajor governmental funds							
Ditch Special Revenue	-	-		-		-	-
Solid Waste Special Revenue	2,817	-		-		-	2,817
Courthouse Improvement Debt	,						,
Service	 6,499	 				-	 6,499
Total	\$ 1,633,765	\$ 476,238	\$ 2	,203,824	\$	2,184	\$ 4,316,011

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds (Continued)

### D. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

### Plan Description

Grant County provides a single-employer defined benefit health care plan to eligible retirees and their spouses. The plan offers medical insurance benefits. The County provides benefits for retirees as required by Minn. Stat. § 471.61, subd. 2b.

No assets have been accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph four of GASB 75. The OPEB plan does not issue a stand-alone financial report.

As of the January 1, 2020, actuarial valuation, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefit	
payments	1
Active plan participants	83
Total	84

# Total OPEB Liability

The County's total OPEB liability of \$692,369 was measured as of January 1, 2020, and was determined by an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2020. The total OPEB liability is liquidated through the General Fund and other governmental funds that have personal services.

The total OPEB liability in the fiscal year-end December 31, 2020, actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions and other inputs, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Actuarial cost method	Entry Age, level percentage of pay
Inflation	2.50 percent
Salary increases	Service graded table
Medical trend	6.50 percent in 2020, grading to 5.00 percent over six years and then to
	4.00 percent over the next 48 years

The current year discount rate is 2.90 percent which is a change from the prior year rate of 3.80 percent. The discount rate is based on the 20-Year AA-rated municipal bond yield.

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### D. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

#### Total OPEB Liability (Continued)

Mortality rates used are based on Society of Actuaries Pub-2010 Public Retirement Plans Headcount-Weighted Mortality Tables (General, Safety) with MP-2019 Generational Improvement Scale.

Retirement and withdrawal assumptions used are similar to those used to value pension liabilities for Minnesota public employees. The state pension plans base their assumptions on periodic experience studies.

#### Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

	Total OPEB Liability	
Balance at January 1, 2020	\$	762,820
Changes for the year		
Service cost	\$	81,753
Interest		31,240
Differences between expected and actual experience		(149,208)
Changes of assumption or other inputs		11,137
Benefit payments		(45,373)
Net change	\$	(70,451)
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$	692,369

#### OPEB Liability Sensitivity

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the County, calculated using the discount rate previously disclosed, as well as what the County's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1.00 percentage point lower or 1.00 percentage point higher than the current discount rate:

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### D. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

#### **OPEB Liability Sensitivity (Continued)**

	Discount Rate	Total OPEB Liability				
1% Decrease	1.90%	\$	744,652			
Current	2.90		692,369			
1% Increase	3.90		642,985			

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the County, calculated using the health care cost trend previously disclosed, as well as what the County's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using health care cost trend rates that are 1.00 percentage point lower or 1.00 percentage point higher than the current health care cost trend rate:

	Health Care Trend Rate	Total OPEB Liability			
1% Decrease Current	5.50% Decreasing to 4.00% 6.50% Decreasing to 5.00%	\$	625,156 692,369		
1% Increase	7.50% Decreasing to 6.00%		772,420		

### OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the year ended December 31, 2020, the County recognized OPEB expense of \$64,234. The County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Out	eferred tflows of sources	Deferred Inflows of Resources		
Liability gains Changes in actuarial assumptions Contributions made subsequent to the measurement	\$	- 9,546	\$	127,892 16,520	
date		25,730			
Total	\$	35,276	\$	144,412	

### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

# D. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

# <u>OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources</u> <u>Related to OPEB</u> (Continued)

The \$25,730 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the OPEB liability in the year ended December 31, 2021. Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

	OPEB			
Year Ended	Expense			
December 31	Amount			
2021	\$	(23,029)		
2022		(23,029)		
2023		(23,029)		
2024		(23,029)		
2025		(23,029)		
Thereafter		(19,721)		

#### Changes in Actuarial Assumptions

The following changes in actuarial assumptions occurred in 2020:

- The health care trend rates were changed to better anticipate short-term and long-term medical increases.
- The mortality tables were updated from the RP-2014 Mortality Tables (Blue Collar for Public Safety, White Collar for Others) with MP-2017 Generational Improvement Scale to the Pub-2010 Public Retirement Plans Headcount-Weighted Mortality Tables (General, Safety) with MP-2019 Generational Improvement Scale.
- The salary increase rates were changed from a flat 3.00 percent per year for all employees to rates which vary by service and employee classification.
- The discount rate was changed from 3.80 percent to 2.90 percent.

# 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds (Continued)

# E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

# 1. <u>Plan Description</u>

All full-time and certain part-time employees of Grant County are covered by defined benefit pension plans administered by the Public Employees Retirement Association of Minnesota (PERA). PERA administers the General Employees Retirement Plan (the General Employees Plan) and the Public Employees Police and Fire Plan (the Police and Fire Plan), which are cost-sharing, multiple-employer retirement plans. These plans are established and administered in accordance with Minn. Stat. chs. 353 and 356. PERA's defined benefit pension plans are tax qualified plans under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The General Employees Plan (accounted for in the General Employees Fund) has multiple benefit structures with members belonging to the Coordinated Plan, the Basic Plan, or the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund. Coordinated Plan members are covered by Social Security, and Basic Plan and Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund members are not. The Basic Plan was closed to new members in 1967. The Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund was closed to new members during 1978 and merged into the General Employees Plan in 2015. All new members must participate in the Coordinated Plan, for which benefits vest after five years of credited service. No Grant County employees belong to either the Basic Plan or the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund.

Police officers, firefighters, and peace officers who qualify for membership by statute are covered by the Police and Fire Plan (accounted for in the Police and Fire Fund). For members first hired after June 30, 2010, but before July 1, 2014, benefits vest on a prorated basis starting with 50 percent after five years and increasing ten percent for each year of service until fully vested after ten years. Benefits for members first hired after June 30, 2014, vest on a prorated basis from 50 percent after ten years and increasing five percent for each year of service until fully vested after 20 years.

# 2. <u>Benefits Provided</u>

PERA provides retirement benefits as well as disability benefits to members and benefits to survivors upon death of eligible members. Benefit provisions are established by state statute and can be modified only by the state legislature. Benefit increases are provided to benefit recipients each January.
## 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

## E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

## 2. <u>Benefits Provided</u> (Continued)

General Employees Plan benefit recipients will receive a post-retirement increase equal to 50 percent of the cost of living adjustment announced by the Social Security Administration, with a minimum increase of at least 1.00 percent and maximum of 1.50 percent. Recipients that have been receiving the annuity or benefit for at least a full year as of the June 30 before the effective date of the increase will receive the full increase. Recipients receiving the annuity or benefit for at least one month but less than a full year as of the June 30 before the effective date of the increase will receive a reduced prorated increase. For members retiring on January 1, 2024, or later, the increase will be delayed until normal retirement age (age 65 if hired prior to July 1, 1989, or age 66 for individuals hired on or after July 1, 1989). Members retiring under the Rule of 90 are exempt from the delay to normal retirement.

Police and Fire Plan benefit recipients will receive a 1.00 percent post-retirement increase. Recipients that have been receiving the annuity or benefit for at least 36 months as of the June 30 before the effective date of the increase will receive the full increase. Recipients receiving the annuity or benefit for at least 25 months but less than 36 months as of the June 30 before the effective date of the increase will receive a reduced prorated increase.

The benefit provisions stated in the following paragraph of this section are current provisions and apply to active plan participants. Vested, terminated employees who are entitled to benefits, but are not yet receiving them, are bound by the provisions in effect at the time they last terminated their public service.

Benefits are based on a member's highest average salary for any 60 consecutive months of allowable service, age, and years of credit at termination of service. In the General Employees Plan, two methods are used to compute benefits for Coordinated Plan members. Members hired prior to July 1, 1989, receive the higher of a step-rate benefit accrual formula (Method 1) or a level accrual formula (Method 2). Under Method 1, the annuity accrual rate for a Coordinated Plan member is 1.20 percent of average salary for each of the first ten years of service and 1.70 percent of average salary for each remaining year. Under Method 2, the annuity accrual rate is 1.70 percent for Coordinated Plan members for each year of

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

## E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

2. <u>Benefits Provided</u> (Continued)

service. Only Method 2 is used for members hired after June 30, 1989. For Police and Fire Plan members, the annuity accrual rate is 3.00 percent of average salary for each year of service.

For General Employees Plan members hired prior to July 1, 1989, a full annuity is available when age plus years of service equal 90, and normal retirement age is 65. For members hired on or after July 1, 1989, normal retirement age is the age for unreduced Social Security benefits capped at 66. For Police and Fire Plan members, normal retirement age is 55, and for members who were hired prior to July 1, 1989, a full annuity is available when age plus years of service equal 90. Disability benefits are available for vested members and are based on years of service and average high-five salary.

#### 3. Contributions

Pension benefits are funded from member and employer contributions and income from the investment of fund assets. Rates for employer and employee contributions are set by Minn. Stat. ch. 353. These statutes are established and amended by the state legislature. General Employees Plan members were required to contribute 6.50 percent of their annual covered salary in 2020. Police and Fire Plan members were required to contribute 11.80 percent of their annual covered salary in 2020.

In 2020, the County was required to contribute the following percentages of annual covered salary:

General Employees Plan – Coordinated Plan members	7.50%
Police and Fire Plan	17.70

The Police and Fire Plan member and employer contribution rates increased 0.50 percent and 0.75 percent, respectively, from 2019.

The County's contributions for the year ended December 31, 2020, to the pension plans were:

General Employees Plan Police and Fire Plan	\$ 304,076 138,871

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

## E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

3. <u>Contributions</u> (Continued)

The contributions are equal to the contractually required contributions as set by state statute.

4. <u>Pension Costs</u>

## General Employees Plan

At December 31, 2020, the County reported a liability of \$3,405,420 for its proportionate share of the General Employees Plan's net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2020, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The County's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the County's contributions received by PERA during the measurement period for employer payroll paid dates from July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020, relative to the total employer contributions received from all of PERA's participating employers. At June 30, 2020, the County's proportion was 0.0568 percent. It was 0.0561 percent measured as of June 30, 2019. The County recognized pension expense of \$312,087 for its proportionate share of the General Employees Plan's pension expense.

The County also recognized \$9,147 as revenue, which results in a reduction of the net pension liability, for its proportionate share of the State of Minnesota's contribution to the General Employees Plan, which qualifies as a special funding situation. Legislation requires the State of Minnesota to contribute \$16 million to the General Employees Plan annually until September 15, 2031.

The County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 3,405,420
State of Minnesota's proportionate share of the net pension	
liability associated with the County	 105,098
Total	\$ 3,510,518

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

#### 4. Pension Costs

#### General Employees Plan (Continued)

The County reported its proportionate share of the General Employees Plan's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Ou	Deferred utflows of esources	Ir	Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual					
economic experience	\$	30,709	\$	12,885	
Changes in actuarial assumptions		-		126,619	
Difference between projected and actual					
investment earnings		60,200		-	
Changes in proportion		73,714		52,702	
Contributions paid to PERA subsequent to				-	
the measurement date		150,762	. <u> </u>		
Total	\$	315,385	\$	192,206	

The \$150,762 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2021. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

			Pension	
	Year Ended	Expense		
_	December 31	 Amount		
	2021 2022 2023 2024	\$	(162,931) (21,285) 74,357 82,276	

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

## E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

4. <u>Pension Costs</u> (Continued)

## Police and Fire Plan

At December 31, 2020, the County reported a liability of \$883,132 for its proportionate share of the Police and Fire Plan's net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2020, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The County's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the County's contributions received by PERA during the measurement period for employer payroll paid dates from July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020, relative to the total employer contributions received from all of PERA's participating employers. At June 30, 2020, the County's proportion was 0.0670 percent. It was 0.0712 percent measured as of June 30, 2019. The County recognized pension expense of \$145,216 for its proportionate share of the Police and Fire Plan's pension expense.

The State of Minnesota also contributed \$13.5 million to the Police and Fire Plan in the plan fiscal year ended June 30, 2020. The contribution consisted of \$4.5 million in direct state aid that meets the definition of a special funding situation and \$9 million in supplemental state aid that does not meet the definition of a special funding situation.

Legislation required the State of Minnesota to pay direct state aid of \$4.5 million on October 1, 2019, and to pay \$9 million by October 1 of each subsequent year until full funding is reached, or July 1, 2048, whichever is earlier. The County recognized an additional \$6,403 as pension expense (and grant revenue) for its proportionate share of the State of Minnesota's pension expense.

The County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 883,132
State of Minnesota's proportionate share of the net pension	
liability associated with the County	 20,812
Total	\$ 903,944

## 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

## E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

4. <u>Pension Costs</u>

## Police and Fire Plan (Continued)

Legislation also requires the State of Minnesota to contribute \$9 million to the Police and Fire Plan each year, starting in fiscal year 2014, until the plan is 90 percent funded, or until the State Patrol Plan is 90 percent funded, whichever occurs later. The County also recognized \$6,030 as revenue, which results in a reduction of the net pension liability, for its proportionate share of the State of Minnesota's on-behalf contribution to the Police and Fire Plan.

The County reported its proportionate share of the Police and Fire Plan's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual				
economic experience	\$	38,316	\$	35,054
Changes in actuarial assumptions		247,366		460,076
Difference between projected and actual				
investment earnings		36,910		-
Changes in proportion		111,504		50,684
Contributions paid to PERA subsequent to		,		
the measurement date		74,390		-
Total	\$	508,486	\$	545,814

The \$74,390 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2021. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

4. Pension Costs

#### Police and Fire Plan (Continued)

Year Ended December 31	Pension Expense Amount
2021	\$ (24,247)
2022	(179,544)
2023	66,907
2024	32,006
2025	(6,840)

#### **Total Pension Expense**

The total pension expense for all plans recognized by the County for the year ended December 31, 2020, was \$457,303.

#### 5. Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability in the June 30, 2020, actuarial valuation was determined using the individual entry-age normal actuarial cost method and the following additional actuarial assumptions:

	General Employees Fund	Police and Fire Fund
Inflation	2.25% per year	2.50% per year
Active Member Payroll Growth	3.00% per year	3.25% per year
Investment Rate of Return	7.50%	7.50%

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for active members, retirees, survivors, and disabilitants were based on the Pub-2010 General Employee Mortality Table for the General Employees Plan and the RP-2014 mortality tables for the Police and Fire Plan, with slight adjustments. Cost of living benefit increases for retirees are assumed to be 1.25 percent for the General Employees Plan. For the Police and Fire Plan, cost of living benefit increases for retirees are 1.00 percent as set by state statute.

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

## E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

## 5. Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

Actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2020, valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The experience study for the General Employees Plan was dated June 27, 2019. The experience study for the Police and Fire Plan was dated August 30, 2016. Inflation and investment assumptions for both plans were reviewed in the experience study report for the General Employees Plan.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.50 percent. The State Board of Investment, which manages the investments of PERA, prepares an analysis of the reasonableness of the long-term expected rate of return on a regular basis using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future rates of return are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce an expected long-term rate of return by weighting the expected future rates of return by the target asset allocation percentages. The target allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

ocation Real Rate of Return
9% 5.10%
5.30
0 0.75
0 5.90
0.00
)

#### 6. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50 percent in 2020, which remained consistent with 2019. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee and employer contributions will be made at the rate specified in statute. Based on that assumption, the fiduciary net positions of the General Employees Plan and the Police and Fire Plan were projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

## 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

- E. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans</u> (Continued)
  - 7. Changes in Actuarial Assumptions

The following changes in actuarial assumptions occurred in 2020:

#### General Employees Plan

- The price inflation assumption was decreased from 2.50 percent to 2.25 percent.
- The payroll growth assumption was decreased from 3.25 percent to 3.00 percent.
- Assumed salary increase rates were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The net effect is assumed rates that average 0.25 percent less than previous rates.
- Assumed rates of retirement were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The changes result in more unreduced (normal) retirements and slightly fewer Rule of 90 and early retirements.
- Assumed rates of termination were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The new rates are based on service and are generally lower than the previous rates for years two to five and slightly higher thereafter.
- Assumed rates of disability were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The changes result in fewer predicted disability retirements for males and females.
- The base mortality table for healthy annuitants and employees was changed from the RP-2014 table to the Pub-2010 General Employee Mortality table, with adjustments. The base mortality table for disabled annuitants was changed from the RP-2014 Disabled Annuitant Mortality table to the Pub-2010 General/Teacher Disabled Retiree Mortality table, with adjustments.
- The mortality improvement scale was changed from Scale MP-2018 to Scale MP-2019.

## 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

## E. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

7. Changes in Actuarial Assumptions

#### General Employees Plan (Continued)

- The assumed spouse age difference was changed from two years older for females to one year older.
- The assumed number of married male new retirees electing the 100 percent Joint and Survivor option changed from 35 percent to 45 percent. The assumed number of married female new retirees electing the 100 percent Joint and Survivor option changed from 15 percent to 30 percent. The corresponding number of married new retirees electing the Life annuity option was adjusted accordingly.
- Augmentation for current privatized members was reduced to 2.00 percent for the period July 1, 2020, through December 31, 2023, and 0.00 percent thereafter. Augmentation was eliminated for privatizations occurring after June 30, 2020.

Police and Fire Plan

- The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2018 to MP-2019.
- 8. <u>Pension Liability Sensitivity</u>

The following presents the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate previously disclosed, as well as what the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate 1.00 percentage point lower or 1.00 percentage point higher than the current discount rate:

	Proportionate Share of the			
	General Employees Plan		Police a	and Fire Plan
	Discount	Net Pension	Discount	Net Pension
	Rate	Liability	Rate	Liability
1% Decrease	6.50%	\$ 5,457,706	6.50%	\$ 1,760,209
Current	7.50	3,405,420	7.50	883,132
1% Increase	8.50	1,712,488	8.50	157,504

#### 3. Detailed Notes on All Funds

- E. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans</u> (Continued)
  - 9. Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in a separately issued PERA financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained on the internet at www.mnpera.org; by writing to PERA at 60 Empire Drive, Suite 200, St. Paul, Minnesota 55103-2088; or by calling 651-296-7460 or 1-800-652-9026.

## F. Defined Contribution Plan

Five employees of Grant County are covered by the Public Employees Defined Contribution Plan, a multiple-employer deferred compensation plan administered by PERA. The plan is established and administered in accordance with Minn. Stat. ch. 353D, which may be amended by the state legislature. The plan is a tax qualified plan under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, and all contributions by or on behalf of employees are tax deferred until time of withdrawal.

Plan benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings, less administrative expenses. For those qualified personnel who elect to participate, Minn. Stat. § 353D.03 specifies plan provisions, including the employee and employer contribution rates. An eligible elected official who decides to participate contributes five percent of salary, which is matched by the employer. Employee and employer contributions are combined and used to purchase shares in one or more of the seven accounts of the Minnesota Supplemental Investment Fund. For administering the plan, PERA receives two percent of employer contributions and 0.25 percent of the assets in each member account annually.

Total contributions by dollar amount and percentage of covered payroll made by Grant County during the year ended December 31, 2020, were:

	Employee		E	Employer	
Contribution amount	\$	7,357	\$	7,357	
Percentage of covered payroll		5.00%		5.00%	

#### 4. <u>Risk Management</u>

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors or omissions; injuries to employees; or natural disasters for which the County carries commercial insurance. The County has entered into a joint powers agreement with other Minnesota counties to form the Minnesota Counties Intergovernmental Trust (MCIT). The County is a member of both the MCIT Workers' Compensation and Property and Casualty Divisions. For employee group health insurance benefits, the County is a member of the Lakes Country Service Cooperative (Service Cooperative). For other risks, the County carries commercial insurance. There were no significant reductions in insurance from the prior year. The amount of settlements did not exceed insurance coverage for the past three fiscal years.

The Workers' Compensation Division of MCIT is self-sustaining based on the contributions charged, so that total contributions plus compounded earnings on these contributions will equal the amount needed to satisfy claims liabilities and other expenses. MCIT participates in the Workers' Compensation Reinsurance Association with coverage at \$500,000 per claim in 2020 and 2021. Should the MCIT Workers' Compensation Division liabilities exceed assets, MCIT may assess the County in a method and amount to be determined by MCIT.

The Property and Casualty Division of MCIT is self-sustaining, and the County pays an annual premium to cover current and future losses. MCIT carries reinsurance for its property lines to protect against catastrophic losses. Should the MCIT Property and Casualty Division liabilities exceed assets, MCIT may assess the County in a method and amount to be determined by MCIT.

The Lakes Country Service Cooperative is a joint powers entity which sponsors a plan to provide group employee health benefits to its participating members. All members pool premiums and losses; however, a particular member may receive increases or decreases depending on a good or bad year of claims experience. Premiums are determined annually by the Service Cooperative and are based partially on the experience of the County and partially on the experience of the group. The Service Cooperative solicits proposals from carriers and negotiates the contracts.

## 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

## A. Contingent Liabilities

Amounts received or receivable from grant agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. The amount, if any, of the expenditures that may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time, although the County expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

The County is a defendant in various lawsuits. Although the outcome of these lawsuits is not presently determinable, in the opinion of the County Attorney, the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County.

## B. Conduit Debt

In 2020, the County issued \$21,000,000 of Industrial Development Revenue Bonds to provide financial assistance to Riverview LLP, located in North Ottawa Township, Minnesota. The project will consist of the construction, acquisition, and installation of facilities and equipment to be used in connection with the storage and disposal of manure including site preparation, concreted flushing and scraping lanes, flushing equipment, separators, storage pit, and functionally related facilities to be used in the owner's dairy facilities. The notes are secured by the property financed and are payable solely from revenues of the partnership. Neither the County, the State, nor any political subdivision thereof, is obligated in any manner for repayment of the notes. Accordingly, the notes are not reported as liabilities in the accompanying financial statements.

#### C. Joint Ventures

## Horizon Public Health

Grant, Pope, Stevens, and Traverse Counties entered into a joint powers agreement creating and operating the Mid-State Community Health Services, pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 471.59. During 1994, Stevens Traverse Grant Public Health Nursing Service began receiving and administering the grant money for Stevens, Traverse, and Grant Counties. Mid-State Community Health Services was renamed to Horizon Community Health Board when Douglas County was added as a member on January 1, 2011. Horizon

#### 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

#### C. Joint Ventures

## Horizon Public Health (Continued)

Community Health Board was renamed to Horizon Public Health on January 1, 2015, when it became a fiscally independent entity. The budget is now approved by the five-county Board.

Control is vested in Horizon's Board, which consists of 13 members comprised of 11 County Commissioners and two community representatives. Each member of the Board is appointed by the County Commissioners of the county they represent.

Financing is provided by state and federal grants and contributions from the five member counties. During 2020, Grant County contributed \$64,801 in funds to Horizon Public Health.

Complete financial statements for Horizon Public Health can be obtained from: Horizon Public Health, 809 Elm Street, Suite 1200, Alexandria, Minnesota 56308.

#### Pomme de Terre River Association

The Pomme de Terre River Association Joint Powers Board was established August 11, 1981, by an agreement between Grant County and five other counties and their respective soil and water conservation districts. The agreement was made to develop and implement plans to protect property from damage of flooding; control erosion of land; protect streams and lakes from sedimentation and pollution; and maintain or improve the quality of water in the streams, lakes, and ground water lying within the boundaries of the watershed of the Pomme de Terre River. Administrative costs are apportioned equally to the soil and water conservation districts based on actual costs. An amended and restated joint powers agreement was approved on March 19, 2013.

Control is vested in a Joint Powers Board, comprised of one representative of each Board of County Commissioners and one representative from each soil and water conservation district board of supervisors included within the agreement. Grant County did not make any contributions to Pomme de Terre River Association in 2020.

Complete financial information can be obtained from: Pomme de Terre River Association Joint Powers Board, 12 Highway 28 East, Suite 2, Morris, Minnesota 56267.

#### 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

## C. Joint Ventures (Continued)

#### PrimeWest Health

The PrimeWest Central County-Based Purchasing Initiative (since renamed PrimeWest Health) was established in December 1998 by a joint powers agreement with Big Stone, Douglas, Grant, McLeod, Meeker, Pipestone, Pope, Renville, Stevens, and Traverse Counties under the authority of Minn. Stat. § 471.59. Beltrami, Clearwater, and Hubbard Counties were later added to PrimeWest Health. Pipestone County has since joined Southwest Health and Human Services for public health and human services functions. The partnership is organized to directly purchase health care services for county residents who are eligible for Medical Assistance and General Assistance Medical Care as authorized by Minn. Stat. § 256B.692. County-based purchasing is the local control alternative favored for improved coordination of services to prepaid Medical Assistance programs in complying with Minnesota Department of Health requirements as set forth in Minn. Stat. chs. 62D and 62N.

Control of PrimeWest Health is vested in a Joint Powers Board of Directors, composed of two Commissioners from each member county (one active and one alternate). Each member of the Joint Powers Board of Directors is appointed by the County Commissioners of the county represented.

In the event of termination of the joint powers agreement, all assets owned pursuant to this agreement shall be sold, and the proceeds, together with monies on hand, will be distributed to the current members based on their proportional share of each member's county-based purchasing eligible population.

Financing is provided by Medical Assistance and General Assistance Medical Care payments from the Minnesota Department of Human Services, initial start-up loans from the member counties, and by proportional contributions from member counties, if necessary, to cover operational costs. Grant County did not make any contributions to PrimeWest Health in 2020.

Complete financial information can be obtained from: PrimeWest Health, 3905 Dakota Street, Suite 101, Alexandria, Minnesota 56308.

## 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

## C. Joint Ventures (Continued)

#### Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board

The Central Minnesota Regional Radio Board was established in 2007, under the authority conferred upon the member parties by Minn. Stat. §§ 471.59 and 403.39. As of June 1, 2011, the Central Minnesota Regional Radio Board changed its name to the Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board. Members include the City of St. Cloud and the Counties of Benton, Big Stone, Douglas, Grant, Kandiyohi, Meeker, Mille Lacs, Morrison, Otter Tail, Pope, Sherburne, Stearns, Stevens, Swift, Todd, Traverse, Wadena, Wilkin, and Wright.

The purpose of the Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board is to provide for regional administration of enhancements to the Statewide Public Safety Radio and Communication System (ARMER) owned and operated by the State of Minnesota.

The Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board is composed of one Commissioner of each county appointed by their respective County Board and one City Council member from the City appointed by the City Council, as provided in the Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board's by-laws.

In the event of dissolution of the Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board, all property, assets, and funds of the Board shall be distributed to the parties of the agreement upon termination in direct proportion to their participation and contribution. Any city or county that has withdrawn from the agreement prior to termination of the Board shall share in the distribution of property, assets, and funds of the Board only to the extent they shared in the original expense.

The Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board has no long-term debt. Financing is provided by appropriations from member parties and by state and federal grants. During 2020, Grant County did not contribute any funds to the Board.

Complete financial information can be obtained from: Central Minnesota Emergency Services Board, City of St. Cloud, Office of the Mayor, City Hall, 400 Second Street South, St. Cloud, Minnesota 56303.

## 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

## C. Joint Ventures (Continued)

#### Region 4 South Adult Mental Health Consortium

Douglas, Grant, Pope, Stevens, and Traverse Counties entered into a joint powers agreement creating and operating Region 4 South Adult Mental Health Consortium, pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 471.59, to provide a system of care that will serve the needs of adults with serious and persistent mental illness for the mutual benefit of each of the joint participants.

Control of the Consortium is vested in a Governing Board, which consists of each participating county's Director of Social Services, Family Services or Human Services, as the case may be, two County Commissioners from the Executive Commissioner Board, three local providers and three consumers. The Governing Board operates under the ultimate authority of the Executive Commissioner Board. The Executive Commissioner Board is composed of one Commissioner of each county appointed by their respective County Board.

Any county may withdraw by providing notice to the chair of the Board 90 days prior to the date of the proposed withdrawal. Withdrawal does not act to discharge any liability incurred or chargeable to any county before the effective date of the withdrawal.

Dissolution of the Consortium shall occur by unanimous vote of the counties, or when the membership in the Consortium is reduced to less than two counties. Upon dissolution of the Consortium, the member counties shall share in the current liabilities and current financial assets, including real property, of the Consortium equally if no county has contributed during the term of the Consortium or based upon their percentage of contribution to the Consortium's budget during the period applicable to such liabilities and assets.

Financing is predominantly provided by state grants. Grant County, in a fiscal host capacity, reports activity of the Consortium as custodial funds on its financial statements.

Complete financial information can be obtained from: Region 4 South Adult Mental Health Consortium, Region 4 South Consortium, 507 North Nokomis Northeast, Suite 203, Alexandria, Minnesota 56308.

## 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

## C. Joint Ventures (Continued)

## Rainbow Rider Transit Board

Douglas, Grant, Pope, Stevens, and Traverse Counties entered into a joint powers agreement to establish the West Central Multi-County Joint Powers Transit Board effective December 1, 1994, and empowered under Minn. Stat. § 471.59. Effective January 13, 2000, the Board changed its name from West Central Multi-County Joint Powers Transit Board to Rainbow Rider Transit Board. The purpose of the Board is to provide coordinated service delivery and a funding source for public transportation. Grant County terminated its membership in Rainbow Rider on May 31, 1999. Grant County rejoined, and Todd County became a member county effective January 1, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

The Board consists of two members appointed by each member county from its County Board for terms of one year each. Rainbow Rider is a joint venture with no county having control over the Board. Each county has an ongoing responsibility to provide funding for the operating costs of Rainbow Rider allocated in accordance with the actual expenses incurred by representatives of the respective counties on the Board. Grant County did not make any contributions during 2020.

The joint powers agreement remains in force until any single county notifies the other parties of its intentions to withdraw, at least 90 days before the termination takes effect. The remaining counties may agree to continue the agreement with the remaining counties as members.

Complete financial information can be obtained from: Rainbow Rider, 249 Poplar Avenue, Lowry, Minnesota 56349.

#### Counties Providing Technology

Counties Providing Technology (CPT) was established in 2018, under the authority conferred upon by member parties by Minn. Stat. § 471.59 for the purpose of purchasing the former software vendor, Computer Professionals Unlimited, Inc., (CPUI) and to provide for the development, operation, and maintenance of technology applications and systems. Grant County and 22 other counties are members of CPT. Each member county provided an initial contribution to start up CPT and provide funds for the purchase of CPUI. CPT purchased CPUI in September 2018 for a purchase price of \$3,600,000.

## 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

#### C. Joint Ventures

## Counties Providing Technology (Continued)

Control is vested in the CPT Board, which consists of one individual appointed by each member county's Board of Commissioners. The joint powers agreement provides that initial operating capital contributed by each member is to be repaid from any excess in fund balance at the end of the fiscal year, in proportion to the initial contribution. Once the initial contribution is repaid, there is no remaining equity interest for the member counties.

Financing is primarily from county member contributions. During 2020, Grant County contributed \$83,785 to CPT.

Current financial information can be obtained from: Stevens County Auditor/Treasurer, 400 Colorado Avenue, Suite 303, Morris, Minnesota 56267.

#### Viking Library System

Grant County, along with ten cities and five other counties, participates in the Viking Library System in order to establish continue, strengthen, and improve library services in the participating cities and counties. The Viking Library System was created as a public library service in 1975, by Douglas, Grant, Otter Tail, and Stevens Counties along with the cities of Alexandria, Elbow Lake, Fergus Falls, Hancock, and Morris. Additions to the Library system included Browns Valley, Glenwood, New York Mills, Perham, and Wheaton in 1976, Pope County in 1981, Traverse County in 1983, and Pelican Rapids in 1988. In 1992, the Alexandria Library became the Douglas County library.

The Viking Library System is governed by a governing board which consists of 19 members. Each County board appoints a resident of the County, each member library board appoints a representative, and any libraries with a service area population over 15,000 have an additional representative. Currently, Fergus Falls and Douglas County have additional representatives. During 2020, Grant County provided \$74,122 to the Viking Library System.

Complete financial information can be obtained from the Viking Library System, 1915 Fir Avenue West, Fergus Falls, Minnesota 56537.

## 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u> (Continued)

#### D. Jointly-Governed Organizations

Grant County, in conjunction with other governmental entities and various private organizations, formed the jointly-governed organizations listed below:

#### District IV Transportation Planning

Grant County and 13 other cities and counties entered into a joint powers agreement to establish the District IV Transportation Planning Joint Powers Board, effective December 11, 1996, and empowered under Minn. Stat. § 471.59. The purpose of the Board is to develop a multi-modal transportation plan for the geographical jurisdiction of the member cities and counties. The Board is composed of 14 members, with one member appointed by each member city and county.

## <u>Region Four – West Central Minnesota Homeland Security Emergency Management</u> <u>Organization</u>

The Region Four – West Central Minnesota Homeland Security Emergency Management Organization was established to provide for regional coordination of planning, training, purchase of equipment, and allocating emergency services and staff in order to better respond to emergencies and natural or other disasters within the region. Control is vested in the Board, which is composed of representatives appointed by each Board of County Commissioners. Grant County's responsibility does not extend beyond making this appointment.

#### Lakeland Mental Health Center

Lakeland Mental Health Center was formed pursuant to Minn. Stat. ch. 317A, as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation on February 10, 1961, and includes Becker, Clay, Douglas, Grant, Otter Tail, and Pope Counties. The purpose of Lakeland Mental Health Center is to promote healthy individuals, families, and communities by providing high quality accessible mental health services.

The management of Lakeland Mental Health Center is vested in a Board of Directors consisting of one Commissioner and one community-at-large representative from each member county, plus one human service director, or equivalent position, rotated between the member counties.

## 5. <u>Summary of Significant Contingencies and Other Items</u>

#### D. Jointly-Governed Organizations

## Lakeland Mental Health Center (Continued)

Services are provided to the member counties through purchase of service agreements. A member county may lose its membership, by action of the Board of Directors, if it fails to have a signed contract with Lakeland Mental Health Center. Grant County paid \$189,629 in 2020 for services purchased through Lakeland Mental Health Center.

#### Minnesota Criminal Justice Data Communications Network

The Minnesota Criminal Justice Data Communications Network Joint Powers Agreement exists to create access for the County Sheriff and County Attorney to systems and tools available from the State of Minnesota, Department of Public Safety, and the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension to carry out criminal justice. During the year, Grant County made no payments to the joint powers.

#### Minnesota Rural Counties

The Minnesota Rural Counties (formerly Minnesota Rural Counties Caucus) was established in 1997 and includes Aitkin, Becker, Big Stone, Clay, Cottonwood, Douglas, Grant, Kittson, Koochiching, Lake of the Woods, Mahnomen, Marshall, McLeod, Mille Lacs, Mower, Murray, Norman, Pennington, Pine, Polk, Pope, Red Lake, Redwood, Roseau, Stevens, Todd, Traverse, Wadena, Watonwan, Wilkin, and Wright Counties. Control of the Caucus is vested in the Minnesota Rural Counties Executive Committee, which is composed of twelve appointees, each with an alternate, who are appointed annually by each respective County Board they represent. Each County also appoints a delegate and alternate to the Board of Directors. The County's responsibility does not extend beyond making these appointments.

#### 6. <u>Subsequent Event</u>

On March 11, 2021, the President of the United States signed an amended version of the COVID Relief Package, the American Rescue Plan, which includes \$65.1 billion in direct, flexible aid for counties in America. The U.S. Department of the Treasury will oversee and administer payments of the State and Local Coronavirus Recovery Funds to state and local governments, for which every county is eligible to receive a direct allocation from the Treasury. Counties will receive funds in two tranches—50 percent in 2021, and the remaining

#### 6. <u>Subsequent Event</u> (Continued)

50 percent no earlier than 12 months from the first payment. The U.S. Treasury is required to pay the first tranche to counties no later than 60-days after enactment. Grant County's projected allocation of the State and Local Coronavirus Recovery Funds is \$1,159,990. Grant County received \$579,995 on July 22, 2021.

#### 7. Component Unit Disclosures

## A. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

1. <u>Reporting Entity</u>

The Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) of Grant County is a component unit of Grant County and is reported in a separate column in the County's financial statements to emphasize that the HRA is a legally separate entity from Grant County. The HRA operates as a public agency created by Grant County under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended. The primary purpose is to provide housing and redevelopment services to the County. The governing body consists of a five-member Board of Commissioners appointed by the Grant County Board of Commissioners to serve five-year terms. The financial statements included are as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020.

## 2. Basis of Accounting

The HRA is reported and accounted for using the full accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are earned, and expenses are recognized when they are incurred.

#### 3. <u>Rent Receivable</u>

Rent is due on the first of the month for the current month. Rent which remains uncollected is accrued as a receivable. Management represents all rent receivables are collectible either through normal collection procedures or through revenue recapture through the State of Minnesota. Management has elected to record bad debts using the direct write-off method. Generally accepted accounting principles require that the allowance method be used to reflect bad debts. However, the effect of the use of the direct write-off method is not materially different from the results that would have been obtained had the allowance method been followed. The collection loss for December 31, 2020, was \$6,858.

## 7. <u>Component Unit Disclosures</u>

## A. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> (Continued)

#### 4. Capital Assets

Property and equipment are stated at historical cost or estimated historical cost, and are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Buildings	30 - 40 years
Improvements	10 - 15 years
Equipment	3 - 7 years

#### 5. Capitalized Interest

In determining the cost of capital projects, the HRA capitalizes that portion of the interest cost which could have been avoided if the capital project had not been undertaken. No interest was capitalized for the year ended December 31, 2020.

#### 6. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## B. Detailed Notes on All Funds

1. Deposits

Reconciliation of the HRA's total cash as reported in the basic financial statements to deposits, cash on hand, and investments follows:

#### 7. Component Unit Disclosures

#### B. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### 1. <u>Deposits</u> (Continued)

Cash and pooled investments Deposits Certificates of deposit	\$ 553,702 188,591
Total cash and pooled investments	\$ 742,293
Restricted cash Tenant security deposits	 27,863
Total Cash and Investments	\$ 770,156

In accordance with Minnesota statutes, the HRA maintains deposits at those depository banks authorized by the Board of Directors. Minnesota statutes require that all HRA deposits be protected by insurance, surety bond, or collateral. The market value of collateral pledged must equal 110 percent of the deposits not covered by insurance or bonds.

Authorized collateral includes treasury bills, notes and bonds; issues of U.S. government agencies; general obligations rated "A" or better and revenue obligations rated "AA" or better; irrevocable standby letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank; and certificates of deposit. Minnesota statutes require that securities pledged as collateral be held in safekeeping in a restricted account at the Federal Reserve Bank or in an account at a trust department of a commercial bank or other financial institution that is not owned or controlled by the financial institution furnishing the collateral.

At December 31, 2020, the HRA's deposits had a carrying amount of \$770,156 and a bank balance of \$803,606. The bank balances were covered by federal depository insurance.

#### 7. <u>Component Unit Disclosures</u>

## B. <u>Detailed Notes on All Funds</u> (Continued)

2. <u>Investments</u>

Minnesota statutes generally authorize the same types of investments for the HRA as for the County. See Note 3.A.1.b.

During the year ended December 31, 2020, the HRA had no investments that required disclosure regarding interest rate risk, credit risk, custodial credit risk, or concentration of credit risk.

#### 3. Capital Assets

The HRA's capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2020, follows:

	Beginning Balance		 Additions	Deletions		Ending Balance	
Capital assets not depreciated Land	\$	188,204	\$ -	\$	-	\$	188,204
Capital assets depreciated Buildings Equipment, furniture, and fixtures	\$	6,834,201 376,101	\$ 8,880 2,287	\$	-	\$	6,843,081 378,388
Total capital assets depreciated	\$	7,210,302	\$ 11,167	\$	-	\$	7,221,469
Less: accumulated depreciation		4,798,165	 225,539				5,023,704
Total capital assets depreciated, net	\$	2,412,137	\$ (214,372)	\$		\$	2,197,765
Total	\$	2,600,341	\$ (214,372)	\$	_	\$	2,385,969

## 7. <u>Component Unit Disclosures</u>

# B. <u>Detailed Notes on All Funds</u> (Continued)

# 4. Long-Term Debt

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2020, was as follows:

Type of Indebtedness	Beginning Balance		Additions		R	Reductions		Ending Balance		Due Within One Year	
2002 GMHF Loan	\$	101,500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	101,500	\$	-	
MHFA Loan – AA1		165,966		-		-		165,966		-	
MHFA Loan – AA2		110,389		-		-		110,389		-	
USDA Loan		57,475		-		4,212		53,263		4,467	
MHFA-POPHP Loan – Vart											
Hjem		-		106,740		-		106,740		-	
MHFA-POPHP Loan – NS											
Manor		-		42,800		-		42,800		-	
MHFA-POPHP Loan – LFD		-		63,099		-		63,099		-	
2009 Housing Development				,				,			
Bonds		605,000		-		605,000		-		-	
2020A Housing Development		,				,					
Refunding Bond		-		613,000		58,000		555,000		56,000	
Compensated absences		13,824		8,801		7,781		14,844		8,342	
Total Long-Term Debt	\$	1,054,154	\$	834,440	\$	674,993	\$	1,213,601	\$	68,809	

# Bonds and loans payable at December 31, 2020, consisted of the following issues:

	Or	iginal Issue Amount	Final Maturity	Interest Rate (%)	utstanding Balance ecember 31, 2020
2002 GMHF Loan	\$	101,500	2027	-	\$ 101,500
MHFA Loan – AA1		165,966	2030	-	165,966
MHFA Loan – AA2		110,389	2030	-	110,389
USDA Loan		59,521	2030	4.875	53,263
MHFA-POPHP Loan – Vart Hjem		106,740	2055	-	106,740
MHFA-POPHP Loan – NS Manor		42,800	2055	-	42,800
MHFA-POPHP Loan – LFD		63,099	2055	-	63,099
2020A Housing Development					
Refunding Bonds		613,000	2029	1.25 - 4.50	 555,000
Total Long-Term Debt	\$	1,263,015			\$ 1,198,757

#### 7. <u>Component Unit Disclosures</u>

#### B. <u>Detailed Notes on All Funds</u>

4. <u>Long-Term Debt</u> (Continued)

The 2002 GMHF Loan matures on April 2, 2027. The loan is noninterest-bearing, unsecured, and requires no periodic payments.

The MHFA Loan – AA1 matures on March 12, 2030. The loan is noninterest-bearing, unsecured, and requires no periodic payments.

The MHFA Loan – AA2 matures March 1, 2030. The loan is non-interest bearing, unsecured, and requires no periodic payments.

The USDA Loan matures July 7, 2030. The loan bears an interest rate of 4.875 percent in monthly interest and principle payments.

The MHFA POHP loans consist of three separate notes all of which are non-interest bearing, unsecured notes which will be forgiven in 2055 if no events of default occur as described in the loan agreements.

The 2009 Housing Development Bonds mature on December 1, 2029. The bonds bear an interest rate of 1.25 percent to 4.50 percent in semi-annual interest payments and annual principal payments. The bond is secured by all real and personal property as well as by all revenues of the housing project.

On September 1, 2020, the HRA issued Housing Development Refunding Bonds, Series 2020A, in the amount of \$613,000. The bond proceeds refinanced the Housing Development Bonds of 2009. The bond bears an interest rate of 1.40 percent in semi-annual interest payments and annual principal payments. The bond is secured by all real and personal property as well as by all revenues of the housing project.

The annual minimum payment requirements for bonds and loans outstanding as of December 31, 2020, are as follows:

#### 7. Component Unit Disclosures

#### B. Detailed Notes on All Funds

#### 4. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

Year Ending		Boi	nds		No	otes from Dir	rrowings			
December 31	F	Principal	I	nterest	Р	Principal		Interest		Total
2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 - 2030	\$	56,000 60,000 59,000 62,000 65,000 253,000	\$	7,770 6,986 6,146 5,320 4,452 9,044	\$	4,467 4,689 4,923 5,168 5,426 406,445	\$	2,495 2,273 2,039 1,794 1,536 3,364	\$	70,732 73,948 72,108 74,282 76,414 671,853
Forgivable debt Totals	\$ \$	555,000	\$	39,718 - 39,718	\$ \$	431,118 212,639 643,757	\$ \$	13,501 - 13,501	\$ \$	1,039,337 212,639 1,251,976

#### C. Defined Contribution Pension Plan

#### Plan Description

The Definiti, LLC Trust for Certain Governmental Plans (Plan) is a defined contribution retirement plan covering essentially all employees of the various participating employers. Since the participating employers are all government units, the Plan is not subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, except for the contribution limitations of Section 415. The payroll for employees covered by the Plan for the year ended December 31, 2020, was \$154,706; the HRA's total payroll was \$154,706.

The Plan and Trust are qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, and their income is exempt from taxation under Section 501(a) of the Code.

The Plan is funded by employer contributions only. The rates of contributions are determined by the various adoption agreements of the participating employers. Terminating or retiring participants are entitled to certain benefits including the full amount of their contributions to the Plan as well as earnings on their contributions.

#### 7. Component Unit Disclosures

## C. Defined Contribution Pension Plan

## Plan Description (Continued)

In addition to the amount of their contribution, each participant is entitled to the portion of the employer's contributions in which he or she has a vested interest. Vesting provisions are determined in accordance with the participating employers' adoption agreement. If a participating employee should die prior to retirement, then the employee or their designated beneficiary shall be entitled to the full value of the participant's account.

Benefits are payable in the form of lump sum cash settlements or purchased annuities, depending upon the election of the participant and the nature of their termination or retirement. If the Plan is terminated or contributions under the Plan are discontinued, the participating employees are entitled to benefits accrued to the date of such termination or discontinuance to the extent funded and/or to the amounts credited to the employees' accounts.

#### Contributions Required and Contributions Made

Covered employees may elect to contribute a percentage of their gross earnings to the Plan. The HRA makes monthly contributions to the pension plan. Current contribution rates are as follows:

Employee	-
Employer	14.00%

Total contributions made during the fiscal years ending December 31, 2020, 2019, and 2018, were \$21,186, \$19,844, and \$22,220, respectively.

#### D. Risk Management

The HRA is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; business interruption; errors or omissions; job-related illnesses or injuries to employees; and natural disasters for which the HRA carries commercial insurance. The various insurance policies are subject to deductible amounts and maximum coverages. If the deductibles and maximum coverages are exceeded, this could cause the HRA to suffer losses if a loss is incurred from such incidents. The

#### 7. <u>Component Unit Disclosures</u>

## D. <u>Risk Management</u> (Continued)

ultimate outcome of uninsured losses cannot presently be determined, and no provision for any liability that may result, if any, has been made in the financial statements. Settled claims to date have not exceeded coverage levels, and insurance coverage, by major categories of risk, is consistent with coverage in the prior year.

## E. Contingencies

The HRA receives grant funds, principally from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Vouchers Choice program, the Public Housing Operating Subsidy, and Capital Fund. Monies from HUD are received directly from the federal agency. Certain expenditures are subject to audit by HUD, and the HRA is contingently liable to refund amounts received in excess of allowable expenditures. In the opinion of the HRA, no material refunds will be required as a result of expenditures disallowed by HUD.

## F. Subsequent Event

On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 to be a global pandemic. The COVID-19 outbreak in the United States has caused significant disruptions throughout the national, state, and local economy. The potential financial impact to the Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Grant County cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** 

EXHIBIT A-1

#### BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

		Budgetee	unts		Actual	Variance with		
		Original		Final		Amounts	Fi	inal Budget
Revenues								
Taxes	\$	4,403,760	\$	4,403,760	\$	4,614,199	\$	210,439
Licenses and permits		90		90		14,030		13,940
Intergovernmental		780,634		780,634		1,779,627		998,993
Charges for services		533,817		533,817		557,406		23,589
Fines and forfeits		-		-		1,964		1,964
Gifts and contributions		7,500		7,500		11,975		4,475
Investment earnings		83,150		83,150		77,241		(5,909)
Miscellaneous		47,856		47,856		142,811		94,955
Total Revenues	\$	5,856,807	\$	5,856,807	\$	7,199,253	\$	1,342,446
Expenditures								
Current								
General government	÷	000 100	¢	000 10-	¢	<b>.</b>	¢	0.0.55
Commissioners	\$	209,129	\$	209,129	\$	200,277	\$	8,852
Retiree insurance		21,000		21,000		47,287		(26,287)
Law library		-		-		2,589		(2,589)
County auditor		305,536		305,536		328,579		(23,043)
County treasurer		211,778		211,778		189,409		22,369
Blue Cross/Blue Shield		-		-		14,349		(14,349)
Human resources		106,152		106,152		103,166		2,986
Public examiners		52,000		52,000		56,565		(4,565)
Elections		30,000		30,000		93,117		(63,117)
Accounting and auditing		254,222		254,222		217,864		36,358
County recorder		260,855		260,855		236,090		24,765
County assessor		342,527		342,527		297,965		44,562
County buildings		291,694		291,694		292,916		(1,222)
County fair		25,000		25,000		25,000		-
Veterans service officer		72,164		72,164		67,560		4,604
Coordinator		164,982		164,982		135,388		29,594
License bureau		147,755		147,755		143,842		3,913
GIS services		87,000		87,000		54,950		32,050
Collections		-		-		238,323		(238,323)
Other general government		330,179		330,179		951,413		(621,234)
Total general government	\$	2,911,973	\$	2,911,973	\$	3,696,649	\$	(784,676)
Public safety								
Sheriff	\$	1,836,988	\$	1,836,988	\$	1,811,397	\$	25,591
Coroner		20,000		20,000		6,959		13,041
Sheriff's contingent fund		-		-		1,948		(1,948)
Water enforcement		5,000		5,000		8		4,992
Corrections and jails		174,273		174,273		114,622		59,651
E-911 program		-		-		91,978		(91,978)
Emergency management program		101,997		101,997		94,956		7,041
Total public safety	\$	2,138,258	\$	2,138,258	\$	2,121,868	\$	16,390

The notes to the required supplementary information are an integral part of this schedule.

EXHIBIT A-1 (Continued)

#### BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Budgeted Amounts					Actual	Variance with		
		Original		Final		Amounts	Fi	nal Budget	
Expenditures									
Current (Continued)									
Health									
Public health	\$	45,626	\$	45,626	\$	43,363	\$	2,263	
Culture and recreation									
Historical society	\$	30,000	\$	30,000	\$	30,000	\$	-	
Viking library system		74,122		74,122		74,122		-	
Total culture and recreation	<u>\$</u>	104,122	\$	104,122	\$	104,122	\$	-	
Conservation of natural resources									
County extension	\$	79,894	\$	79,894	\$	65,492	\$	14,402	
Nutrition education		90,779		90,779		63,615		27,164	
Soil and water conservation		121,179		121,179		143,929		(22,750)	
Office of land management		316,759		316,759		206,375		110,384	
Water plan		-		-		51,384		(51,384)	
Total conservation of natural									
resources	\$	608,611	\$	608,611	\$	530,795	\$	77,816	
Economic development									
HRA	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	-	
Debt service									
Principal	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,147	\$	(20,147)	
Interest		-		-		21,705		(21,705)	
Total debt service	\$	-	\$	-	\$	41,852	\$	(41,852)	
Total Expenditures	<u>\$</u>	5,858,590	\$	5,858,590	\$	6,588,649	\$	(730,059)	
Excess of Revenues Over (Under)									
Expenditures	\$	(1,783)	\$	(1,783)	\$	610,604	\$	612,387	
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b> Proceeds (Loss) on sale of capital									
assets	\$	-	\$		\$	58,000	\$	58,000	
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$	(1,783)	\$	(1,783)	\$	668,604	\$	670,387	
Fund Balance – January 1		3,336,130		3,336,130		3,336,130			
Fund Balance – December 31	<u>\$</u>	3,334,347	\$	3,334,347	\$	4,004,734	\$	670,387	
runu Dalance – December 31	3	3,334,34/	ð	3,334,34/	ð	4,004,734	3	0/0,38/	

The notes to the required supplementary information are an integral part of this schedule.

EXHIBIT A-2

#### BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE ROAD AND BRIDGE SPECIAL REVENUE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	 Budgetee	d Amou	ints	Actual	Variance with		
	 Original		Final	 Amounts	F	inal Budget	
Revenues							
Taxes	\$ 1,275,000	\$	1,275,000	\$ 1,275,017	\$	17	
Intergovernmental	7,650,867		7,650,867	14,715,071		7,064,204	
Charges for services	80,000		80,000	66,983		(13,017)	
Miscellaneous	 5,000		5,000	 9,991		4,991	
Total Revenues	\$ 9,010,867	\$	9,010,867	\$ 16,067,062	\$	7,056,195	
Expenditures							
Current							
Highways and streets							
Administration	\$ 373,995	\$	373,995	\$ 730,924	\$	(356,929)	
Engineering	75,942		75,942	54,779		21,163	
Authorized work contribution	1,500		1,500	-		1,500	
Construction	6,335,330		6,335,330	6,568,845		(233,515)	
Maintenance	1,395,150		1,395,150	1,466,239		(71,089)	
Shops	109,118		109,118	140,888		(31,770)	
Equipment	 719,832		719,832	 734,982		(15,150)	
Total Expenditures	\$ 9,010,867	\$	9,010,867	\$ 9,696,657	\$	(685,790)	
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 6,370,405	\$	6,370,405	
Fund Balance – January 1	236,345		236,345	236,345		-	
Increase (decrease) in inventories	 -		-	 43,417		43,417	
Fund Balance – December 31	\$ 236,345	\$	236,345	\$ 6,650,167	\$	6,413,822	

EXHIBIT A-3

#### BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE HUMAN SERVICES SPECIAL REVENUE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Budgetee	d Amou	ints	Actual	Variance with		
	 Original		Final	 Amounts	Final Budget		
Revenues							
Taxes	\$ 1,029,550	\$	1,029,550	\$ 1,033,401	\$	3,851	
Intergovernmental	1,834,900		1,834,900	1,905,531		70,631	
Charges for services	880,241		880,241	879,496		(745)	
Miscellaneous	 102,800		102,800	 27,552		(75,248)	
Total Revenues	\$ 3,847,491	\$	3,847,491	\$ 3,845,980	\$	(1,511)	
Expenditures							
Current							
Human services							
Income maintenance	\$ 1,169,044	\$	1,169,044	\$ 960,041	\$	209,003	
Social services	 2,678,447		2,678,447	 2,522,527		155,920	
Total human services	\$ 3,847,491	\$	3,847,491	\$ 3,482,568	\$	364,923	
Debt service							
Principal	-		-	133,500		(133,500)	
Interest	 -		-	 170,691		(170,691)	
Total Expenditures	\$ 3,847,491	\$	3,847,491	\$ 3,786,759	\$	60,732	
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 59,221	\$	59,221	
Fund Balance – January 1	 3,561,803		3,561,803	 3,561,803			
Fund Balance – December 31	\$ 3,561,803	\$	3,561,803	\$ 3,621,024	\$	59,221	

EXHIBIT A-4

#### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN TOTAL OPEB LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS DECEMBER 31, 2020

	2020			2019		2018
Total OPEB Liability						
Service cost	\$	81,753	\$	84,154	\$	88,313
Interest		31,240		25,570		23,981
Differences between expected and actual experience		(149,208)		-		-
Changes of assumption or other inputs		11,137		(23,128)		-
Benefit payments		(45,373)		(28,686)		(90,816)
Net change in total OPEB liability	\$	(70,451)	\$	57,910	\$	21,478
Total OPEB Liability – Beginning		762,820		704,910		683,432
Total OPEB Liability – Ending	\$	692,369	\$	762,820	\$	704,910
Covered-employee payroll	\$	4,609,041	\$	4,613,308	\$	4,478,940
Total OPEB liability (asset) as a percentage of covered-employee payro	11	15.02%		16.54%		15.74%

This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.
**EXHIBIT A-5** 

#### SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF NET PENSION LIABILITY PERA GENERAL EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT PLAN DECEMBER 31, 2020

Measurement Date	Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	ProportionShare of theof the NetNet PensionPensionLiabilityLiability(Asset)		Sh No I A W	State's portionate are of the et Pension Liability ssociated ith Grant County (b)	Pr S N L S	Employer's oportionate hare of the let Pension iability and the State's Related hare of the let Pension Liability (Asset) (a + b)	 Covered Payroll (c)	Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (a/c)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability
2020	0.0568 %	\$	3,405,420	\$	105,098	\$	3,510,518	\$ 4,010,998	84.90 %	79.06 %
2019	0.0561		3,101,643		96,496		3,198,139	3,971,480	78.10	80.23
2018	0.0580		3,217,602		105,539		3,323,141	3,889,797	82.72	79.53
2017	0.0552		3,523,932		44,294		3,568,226	3,554,744	99.13	75.90
2016	0.0514		4,173,425		54,537		4,227,962	3,034,606	137.53	68.91
2015	0.0507		2,627,537		N/A		2,627,537	2,810,449	93.49	78.19

This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. The measurement date for each year is June 30.

N/A – Not Applicable

The notes to the required supplementary information are an integral part of this schedule.

EXHIBIT A-6

#### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS PERA GENERAL EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT PLAN DECEMBER 31, 2020

Year Ending	1	tatutorily Required ntributions (a)	in	Actual ontributions Relation to Statutorily Required ontributions (b)	Contribution (Deficiency) Excess (b - a)	 Covered Payroll (c)	Actual Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (b/c)
2020	\$	304,076	\$	304,076	\$ -	\$ 4,054,330	7.50 %
2019		297,625		297,625	-	3,968,327	7.50
2018		291,577		291,577	-	3,887,678	7.50
2017		277,316		277,316	-	3,697,540	7.50
2016		239,010		239,010	-	3,186,794	7.50
2015		217,945		231,921	13,976	2,905,932	7.98

This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. The County's year-end is December 31.

EXHIBIT A-7

#### SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF NET PENSION LIABILITY PERA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES POLICE AND FIRE PLAN DECEMBER 31, 2020

Measurement Date	Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	Pı S	Employer's coportionate hare of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) (a)	Sh No I A W	State's oportionate nare of the et Pension Liability .ssociated ith Grant County (b)	Pr Sl N Li t Sl	mployer's oportionate hare of the et Pension ability and he State's Related hare of the et Pension Liability (Asset) (a + b)	Covered Payroll (c)	Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (a/c)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability
2020	0.0670 %	\$	883,132	\$	20,812	\$	903,944	\$ 757,242	116.62 %	87.19 %
2019	0.0710		757,997		N/A		757,997	752,046	100.79	89.26
2018	0.0705		751,457		N/A		751,457	743,327	101.09	88.84
2017	0.0550		742,565		N/A		742,565	560,023	132.60	85.43
2016	0.0560		2,247,377		N/A		2,247,377	501,532	448.10	63.88
2015	0.0550		624,929		N/A		624,929	490,276	127.46	86.61

This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. The measurement date for each year is June 30.

EXHIBIT A-8

#### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS PERA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES POLICE AND FIRE PLAN DECEMBER 31, 2020

Year Ending	1	tatutorily Required ntributions (a)	in	Actual ontributions Relation to Statutorily Required ontributions (b)	-	Contribution (Deficiency) Excess (b - a)	 Covered Payroll (c)	Actual Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (b/c)
2020	\$	138,871	\$	138,871	\$	-	\$ 784,583	17.70 %
2019		125,693		125,693		-	741,554	16.95
2018		124,345		124,345		-	767,561	16.20
2017		103,045		103,045		-	636,083	16.20
2016		83,446		83,446		-	515,101	16.20
2015		81,683		85,392		3,709	504,214	16.94

This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. The County's year-end is December 31.

The notes to the required supplementary information are an integral part of this schedule.

# NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

## 1. <u>Budgetary Information</u>

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all governmental funds, except the Ditch Special Revenue Fund, the Courthouse Improvement and County Ditch 29 Debt Service Funds, and the Trust Payment Permanent Fund. A budget was not adopted for the Ditch Special Revenue Fund because it is based on taxing and special assessments which cannot be determined on an annual basis. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end unless specifically carried over to the next budget year by Board action.

On or before mid-June of each year, all departments and agencies submit requests for appropriations to the Grant County Auditor so that a budget can be prepared. Before October 31, the proposed budget is presented to the County Board for review. The Board holds public hearings, and a final budget must be prepared and adopted no later than December 31.

The appropriated budget is prepared by fund, function, and department. The County's department heads may make transfers of appropriations within a department. Transfers of appropriations between departments require approval of the County Board. The legal level of budgetary control (the level at which expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations) is the fund level.

## 2. Excess of Expenditures Over Appropriations

	E	xpenditures	Fi	nal Budget	 Excess
General Fund Road and Bridge Special Revenue Fund	\$	6,588,649 9,696,657	\$	5,858,590 9,010,867	\$ 730,059 685,790

## 3. Other Postemployment Benefits Funded Status

See Note 3.D in the notes to the financial statements for additional information regarding the County's other postemployment benefits.

# 4. <u>Employer Contributions to Other Postemployment Benefits</u>

Assets have not been accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph four of GASB Statement 75 to pay related benefits.

# 5. <u>Other Postemployment Benefits – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

The following changes in actuarial assumptions occurred:

<u>2020</u>

- The health care trend rates were changed to better anticipate short-term and long-term medical increases.
- The mortality tables were updated from the RP-2014 Mortality Tables (Blue Collar for Public Safety, White Collar for Others) with MP-2017 Generational Improvement Scale to the Pub-2010 Public Retirement Plans Headcount-Weighted Mortality Tables (General, Safety) with MP-2019 Generational Improvement Scale.
- The salary increase rates were changed from a flat 3.00 percent per year for all employees to rates which vary by service and employee classification.
- The discount rate was changed from 3.80 percent to 2.90 percent.

# <u>2019</u>

• The discount rate was changed from 3.30 percent to 3.80 percent.

- The mortality tables were updated from the RP-2014 White Collar Mortality Tables with MP-2015 Generational Improvement Scale (with Blue Collar adjustment for Police and Fire personnel) to the RP-2014 White Collar Mortality Tables with MP-2017 Generational Improvement Scale (with Blue Collar adjustment for Police and Fire personnel).
- The retirement and withdrawal tables for all employees were updated.

# 5. <u>Other Postemployment Benefits – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

# <u>2018</u> (Continued)

- The retiree plan participation assumption was changed from 50 percent to 25 percent for participants not eligible for a pre-65 subsidy, and from 100 percent to 75 percent for participants eligible for a pre-65 subsidy.
- The percent of married retirees electing spouse coverage was changed from 25 percent to 15 percent.
- The discount rate was changed from 4.00 percent to 3.30 percent.

# 6. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

The following changes were reflected in the valuation performed on behalf of the Public Employees Retirement Association for the fiscal year June 30:

# General Employees Retirement Plan

- The price inflation assumption was decreased from 2.50 percent to 2.25 percent.
- The payroll growth assumption was decreased from 3.25 percent to 3.00 percent.
- Assumed salary increase rates were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The net effect is assumed rates that average 0.25 percent less than previous rates.
- Assumed rates of retirement were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The changes result in more unreduced (normal) retirements and slightly fewer Rule of 90 and early retirements.
- Assumed rates of termination were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The new rates are based on service and are generally lower than the previous rates for years two to five and slightly higher thereafter.

# 6. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

# General Employees Retirement Plan

# <u>2020</u> (Continued)

- Assumed rates of disability were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019, experience study. The changes result in fewer predicted disability retirements for males and females.
- The base mortality table for healthy annuitants and employees was changed from the RP-2014 table to the Pub-2010 General Employee Mortality table, with adjustments. The base mortality table for disabled annuitants was changed from the RP-2014 Disabled Annuitant Mortality table to the Pub-2010 General/Teacher Disabled Retiree Mortality table, with adjustments.
- The mortality improvement scale was changed from Scale MP-2018 to Scale MP-2019.
- The assumed spouse age difference was changed from two years older for females to one year older.
- The assumed number of married male new retirees electing the 100 percent Joint and Survivor option changed from 35 percent to 45 percent. The assumed number of married female new retirees electing the 100 percent Joint and Survivor option changed from 15 percent to 30 percent. The corresponding number of married new retirees electing the Life annuity option was adjusted accordingly.
- Augmentation for current privatized members was reduced to 2.00 percent for the period July 1, 2020, through December 31, 2023, and 0.00 percent thereafter. Augmentation was eliminated for privatizations occurring after June 30, 2020.

# <u>2019</u>

• The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2017 to MP-2018.

# 6. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

# General Employees Retirement Plan (Continued)

- The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2015 to MP-2017.
- The assumed benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent per year through 2044 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter, to 1.25 percent per year.
- The augmentation adjustment in early retirement factors is eliminated over a five-year period starting July 1, 2019, resulting in actuarial equivalence after June 30, 2024.
- Interest credited on member contributions decreased from 4.00 percent to 3.00 percent, beginning July 1, 2018.
- Deferred augmentation was changed to 0.00 percent, effective January 1, 2019. Augmentation that has already accrued for deferred members will still apply.
- Contribution stabilizer provisions were repealed.
- Post-retirement benefit increases were changed from 1.00 percent per year with a provision to increase to 2.50 percent upon attainment of 90 percent funding to 50 percent of the Social Security cost of living adjustment, not less than 1.00 percent and not more than 1.50 percent, beginning January 1, 2019.
- For retirements on or after January 1, 2024, the first benefit increase is delayed until the retiree reaches normal retirement age; does not apply to the Rule of 90 retirees, disability benefit recipients, or survivors.
- Actuarial equivalent factors were updated to reflect revised mortality and interest assumptions.

# 6. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

# General Employees Retirement Plan (Continued)

# <u>2017</u>

- The Combined Service Annuity (CSA) loads were changed from 0.80 percent for active members and 60 percent for vested and non-vested deferred members (30 percent for deferred Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund members). The revised CSA loads are now 0.00 percent for active member liability, 15 percent for vested deferred member liability, and 3.00 percent for non-vested deferred member liability.
- The assumed post-retirement benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent per year for all years to 1.00 percent per year through 2044 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter.
- Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund plan provisions change the employer supplemental contribution to \$21 million in calendar years 2017 and 2018 and returns to \$31 million through calendar year 2031. The state's required contribution is \$16 million in PERA's fiscal years 2018 and 2019 and returns to \$6 million annually through calendar year 2031.

# <u>2016</u>

- The assumed post-retirement benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent per year through 2035 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter, to 1.00 percent for all future years.
- The assumed investment rate was changed from 7.90 percent to 7.50 percent. The single discount rate was also changed from 7.90 percent to 7.50 percent.
- Other assumptions were changed pursuant to the experience study dated June 30, 2015. The assumed payroll growth and inflation were decreased by 0.25 percent. Payroll growth was reduced from 3.50 percent to 3.25 percent. Inflation was reduced from 2.75 percent to 2.50 percent.

# 6. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u> (Continued)

# Public Employees Police and Fire Plan

<u>2020</u>

• The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2018 to MP-2019.

<u>2019</u>

• The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2017 to MP-2018.

- The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2016 to MP-2017.
- Post-retirement benefit increases changed to 1.00 percent for all years, with no trigger.
- An end date of July 1, 2048, was added to the existing \$9.0 million state contribution. Additionally, annual state aid will equal \$4.5 million in fiscal years 2019 and 2020, and \$9.0 million thereafter, until the plan reaches 100 percent funding, or July 1, 2048, if earlier.
- Member contributions were changed effective January 1, 2019, and January 1, 2020, from 10.80 percent to 11.30 and 11.80 percent of pay, respectively. Employer contributions were changed effective January 1, 2019, and January 1, 2020, from 16.20 percent to 16.95 and 17.70 percent of pay, respectively. Interest credited on member contributions decreased from 4.00 percent to 3.00 percent, beginning July 1, 2018.
- Deferred augmentation was changed to 0.00 percent, effective January 1, 2019. Augmentation that has already accrued for deferred members will still apply.
- Actuarial equivalent factors were updated to reflect revised mortality and interest assumptions.

# 6. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

# Public Employees Police and Fire Plan (Continued)

- The assumed salary increases were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2016, experience study. The net effect is proposed rates that average 0.34 percent lower than the previous rates.
- The assumed rates of retirement were changed, resulting in fewer retirements.
- The CSA load was 30 percent for vested and non-vested, deferred members. The CSA load has been changed to 33 percent for vested members and 2.00 percent for non-vested members.
- The base mortality table for healthy annuitants was changed from the RP-2000 fully generational table to the RP-2014 fully generational table (with a base year of 2006), with male rates adjusted by a factor of 0.96. The mortality improvement scale was changed from Scale AA to Scale MP-2016. The base mortality table for disabled annuitants was changed from the RP-2000 disabled mortality table to the mortality table assumed for healthy retirees.
- The assumed termination rates were decreased to 3.00 percent for the first three years of service. Rates beyond the select period of three years were adjusted, resulting in more expected terminations overall.
- The assumed percentage of married female members was decreased from 65 percent to 60 percent.
- The assumed age difference was changed from separate assumptions for male members (wives assumed to be three years younger) and female members (husbands assumed to be four years older) to the assumption that males are two years older than females.
- The assumed percentage of female members electing joint and survivor annuities was increased.

# 6. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plans – Changes in Significant Plan Provisions, Actuarial</u> <u>Methods, and Assumptions</u>

# Public Employees Police and Fire Plan

# <u>2017</u> (Continued)

- The assumed post-retirement benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent for all years to 1.00 percent per year through 2064 and 2.50 percent thereafter.
- The single discount rate was changed from 5.60 percent per annum to 7.50 percent per annum.

- The assumed post-retirement benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent per year through 2037 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter, to 1.00 percent for all future years.
- The assumed investment rate was changed from 7.90 percent to 7.50 percent. The single discount rate was changed from 7.90 percent to 5.60 percent.
- The assumed payroll growth and inflation were decreased by 0.25 percent. Payroll growth was reduced from 3.50 percent to 3.25 percent. Inflation was reduced from 2.75 percent to 2.50 percent.

COMBINING AND INDIVIDUAL FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

# SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

The <u>Ditch Fund</u> accounts for the financing and related costs of all County ditches.

The <u>Solid Waste Fund</u> accounts for the financing and costs related to the collection and disposal of solid waste and the County recycling activities.

# DEBT SERVICE FUND

The <u>Courthouse Improvement Fund</u> accounts for the retirement of bonds issued for the Courthouse improvement.

# PERMANENT FUND

The <u>Trust Payment Fund</u> accounts for resources legally restricted to the extent that only earnings and not principal from the Trust Payment Permanent Fund may be used for County purposes.

EXHIBIT B-1

#### COMBINING BALANCE SHEET NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2020

		Special	Rever	ue	С	ourthouse				
		Ditch		Solid Waste		provement ebt Service		st Payment ermanent		Total
Assets										
Cash and pooled investments Taxes receivable – net Special assessments receivable	\$	374,850 -	\$	314,941 -	\$	578,875 8,159	\$	118,205 -	\$	1,386,871 8,159
Delinquent		-		3,966		-		-		3,966
Accounts receivable - net		-		13,643		-		-		13,643
Accrued interest receivable		25		-		-		-		25
Due from other governments		-				35,583				35,583
Total Assets	\$	374,875	\$	332,550	\$	622,617	\$	118,205	\$	1,448,247
<u>Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of</u> <u>Resources, and Fund Balances</u> Liabilities			Â							
Accounts payable	\$	16,498	\$	11,826	\$	-	\$	-	\$	28,324
Salaries payable		-		747 19,838		-		-		747
Due to other governments		-		19,838		-		-		19,838
Total Liabilities	\$	16,498	\$	32,411	\$		\$		\$	48,909
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>										
Unavailable revenues	\$	-	\$	2,817	\$	6,499	\$	-	\$	9,316
Fund Balances Nonspendable										
Trust principal	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	118,205	\$	118,205
Restricted	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	110,205	Ψ	110,200
Debt service		-		-		616,118		-		616,118
Ditch maintenance and construction		360,983		-		-		-		360,983
Assigned										
Sanitation		-		297,322		-		-		297,322
Unassigned		(2,606)		-		-		-		(2,606)
Total Fund Balances	\$	358,377	\$	297,322	\$	616,118	\$	118,205	\$	1,390,022
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances	\$	374,875	\$	332,550	\$	622,617	\$	118,205	¢	1,448,247
or resources, and Fund Dalances	φ	3/4,0/3	Φ	332,330	φ	022,017	φ	110,203	φ	1,770,27/

EXHIBIT B-2

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Special	Reve	nue	С	ourthouse		
	 Ditch		Solid Waste		provement ebt Service	st Payment ermanent	 Total
Revenues							
Taxes	\$ -	\$	-	\$	396,571	\$ -	\$ 396,571
Special assessments	33,571		132,108		-	-	165,679
Intergovernmental	-		69,692		113,319	-	183,011
Charges for services	-		512,317		-	-	512,317
Investment earnings	 2,361		-		-	 -	 2,361
Total Revenues	\$ 35,932	\$	714,117	\$	509,890	\$ -	\$ 1,259,939
Expenditures							
Current							
Sanitation	\$ -	\$	737,708	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 737,708
Conservation of natural resources	50,846		-		-	-	50,846
Debt service							
Principal	-		-		300,000	-	300,000
Interest	 -		-		123,020	 -	 123,020
Total Expenditures	\$ 50,846	\$	737,708	\$	423,020	\$ -	\$ 1,211,574
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ (14,914)	\$	(23,591)	\$	86,870	\$ -	\$ 48,365
Fund Balance – January 1	 373,291		320,913		529,248	 118,205	 1,341,657
Fund Balance – December 31	\$ 358,377	\$	297,322	\$	616,118	\$ 118,205	\$ 1,390,022

EXHIBIT B-3

#### BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE SOLID WASTE SPECIAL REVENUE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Budgeted		d Amou	nts		Actual	Variance with		
		Original		Final	/	Amounts	Fir	al Budget	
Revenues									
Special assessments	\$	128,264	\$	128,264	\$	132,108	\$	3,844	
Intergovernmental		69,692		69,692		69,692		-	
Charges for services		500,020		500,020		512,317		12,297	
Total Revenues	\$	697,976	\$	697,976	\$	714,117	\$	16,141	
Expenditures									
Current									
Sanitation									
Waste collection	\$	421,800	\$	421,800	\$	442,822	\$	(21,022)	
Recycling		248,900		248,900		288,484		(39,584)	
Household hazardous waste		20,000		20,000		6,402		13,598	
Total Expenditures	\$	690,700	\$	690,700	\$	737,708	\$	(47,008)	
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$	7,276	\$	7,276	\$	(23,591)	\$	(30,867)	
Fund Balance – January 1		320,913		320,913		320,913			
Fund Balance – December 31	\$	328,189	\$	328,189	\$	297,322	\$	(30,867)	

# FIDUCIARY FUNDS

# CUSTODIAL FUNDS

The <u>State Revenue Fund</u> accounts for the collection and payment of money due to the State of Minnesota.

The <u>Taxes and Penalties Fund</u> is used to account for collection of taxes and penalties and their payment to the various taxing districts.

The <u>Assertive Community Treatment Fund</u> accounts for the collection and payment of money related to assertive community treatment services provided by the Region 4 South Adult Mental Health Consortium.

The <u>Adult Mental Health Initiative Fund</u> accounts for the collection and payment of money related to adult mental health initiative services provided by the Region 4 South Adult Mental Health Consortium.

EXHIBIT C-1

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION CUSTODIAL FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2020

	State Revenue		Taxes and Penalties		Assertive Community Treatment		Adult Mental Health Initiative		Total Custodial Funds	
Assets										
Cash and investments Taxes receivable for other governments Accounts receivable Due from other governments Rent deposit	\$	2,549	\$	151,562 187,762 - -	\$	623,396 - 78,491 28,969 2,099	\$	11,186 2,961 525	\$	774,958 187,762 92,226 31,930 2,624
Total Assets <u>Liabilities</u>	<u>\$</u>	2,549	<u>\$</u>	339,324	<u>\$</u>	732,955	<u>\$</u>	14,672	<u>\$</u>	1,089,500
Accounts payable Due to other governments	\$	2,549	\$	6,259	\$	10,018	\$	25,894 474,775	\$	35,912 483,583
Total Liabilities	\$	2,549	\$	6,259	\$	10,018	\$	500,669	\$	519,495
Net Position										
Restricted for individuals, organizations, and other governments	<u>\$</u>	-	\$	333,065	<u>\$</u>	722,937	\$	(485,997)	\$	570,005

EXHIBIT C-2

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION CUSTODIAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	F	State Revenue	Taxes and Penalties	Assertive Community Treatment	Adult ental Health Initiative	 Total Custodial Funds
Additions						
Property tax collections for other governments License and fees collected for state Payments from state Payments from other entities and individuals	\$	- 26,613 -	\$ 6,603,801 - -	\$ - 255,119 983,365	\$ - 784,720 69,168	\$ 6,603,801 26,613 1,039,839 1,052,533
Total Additions	\$	26,613	\$ 6,603,801	\$ 1,238,484	\$ 853,888	\$ 8,722,786
Deductions						
Payments of property tax to other governments Payments to state Payments to other entities and individuals Administrative expense	\$	26,613	\$ 6,526,110 - -	\$ - - 277,604 749,720	\$ - 1,046,222 171,252	\$ 6,526,110 26,613 1,323,826 920,972
Total Deductions	\$	26,613	\$ 6,526,110	\$ 1,027,324	\$ 1,217,474	\$ 8,797,521
Change in Net Position	\$	-	\$ 77,691	\$ 211,160	\$ (363,586)	\$ (74,735)
Net Position – January 1, as restated (Note 1.E)			 255,374	 511,777	 (122,411)	 644,740
Net Position – December 31	\$		\$ 333,065	\$ 722,937	\$ (485,997)	\$ 570,005

**OTHER SCHEDULES** 

EXHIBIT D-1

#### BALANCE SHEET – BY DITCH DITCH SPECIAL REVENUE FUND DECEMBER 31, 2020

			Assets								Total abilities,
	 I		Accrued Interest Receivable Total		Total	Liabilities Accounts Payable		Fund Balances Restricted (Unassigned)		Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances	
<b>County Ditches</b>											
#1	\$ 18,419	\$	1	\$	18,420	\$	-	\$	18,420	\$	18,420
#3	28,017		2		28,019		325		27,694		28,019
#5	4,384		-		4,384		-		4,384		4,384
#6	7,567		1		7,568		-		7,568		7,568
#8	60,631		5		60,636		-		60,636		60,636
#9	48,170		4		48,174		-		48,174		48,174
#11	4,781		-		4,781		7,388		(2,607)		4,781
#13	2,126		-		2,126		-		2,126		2,126
#15	9,551		1		9,552		-		9,552		9,552
#21	25,026		2		25,028		8,330		16,698		25,028
#22	4,447		-		4,447		-		4,447		4,447
#23	8,076		1		8,077		-		8,077		8,077
#29	27,060		2		27,062		-		27,062		27,062
#30	9,470		1		9,471		-		9,471		9,471
#31	3,373		-		3,373		-		3,373		3,373
#32	8,959		1		8,960		-		8,960		8,960
#33	9,087		1		9,088		455		8,633		9,088
Consolidated											
#2	34,895		3		34,898		-		34,898		34,898
Judicial Ditches											
#1	5,990		-		5,990		-		5,990		5,990
#2	54,443		-		54,443		-		54,443		54,443
Admin Fees	 378		-		378		-		378		378
Total	\$ 374,850	\$	25	\$	374,875	\$	16,498	\$	358,377	\$	374,875

EXHIBIT D-2

#### SCHEDULE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Appropriations and Shared Revenue State		
Highway users tax	\$	13,924,025
County program aid	Ψ	511,439
Market value credit		159,299
PERA		21,148
Disparity reduction aid		6,128
Aquatic invasive species aid		52,905
Police aid		94,510
Riparian protection aid		98,807
E-911		76,072
SCORE		69,692
Total appropriations and shared revenue	<u>\$</u>	15,014,025
Reimbursement for services		
Minnesota Department of Human Services	\$	333,559
Payments		
Local		
Payments in lieu of taxes	\$	69,119
Local contributions		54,234
Qualified energy conservation payments		70,626
Total payments	<u>\$</u>	193,979
Grants		
State		
Minnesota Department/Board of		
Corrections	\$	8,621
Public Safety		41,771
Transportation		475,000
Natural Resources		4,742
Human Services		558,729
Historical Society		24,000
Veterans Affairs		7,500
Peace Officers Standards and Training Board		-
Total state	<u> </u>	1,203,781

## EXHIBIT D-2 (Continued)

#### SCHEDULE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Grants	
Federal	
Department of	
Agriculture	\$ 96,760
Transportation	14,678
Treasury	774,088
Health and Human Services	826,308
Homeland Security	 126,062
Total federal	\$ 1,837,896
Total state and federal grants	\$ 3,041,677
Total Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 18,583,240

EXHIBIT D-3

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Expenditures				assed Through Subrecipients	
U.S. Department of Agriculture Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services								
SNAP Cluster								
State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561	202MN101S2514	\$	96,760	\$	-		
U.S. Department of Transportation Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety Highway Safety Cluster								
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	A-ENFRC20-2020- GRANTSD-008	\$	4,000	\$	900		
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	A-ENFRC20-2020- GRANTSD-008		5,267		168		
Futional Profile Survey Programs	20.010			5,207		100		
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	A-ENFRC20-2020- GRANTSD-008		5,411		937		
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$	14,678	\$	2,005		
U.S. Department of Treasury								
Passed Through Minnesota Management and Budget								
COVID-19 – Coronavirus Relief Fund	21.019	SLT0016	\$	774,088	\$	424,651		
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Passed Through Land of the Dancing Sky Area Agency on Aging Aging Cluster Special Programs for the Aging – Title III, Part B – Grants for Supportive								
Services and Senior Centers	93.044	314-20-003B	\$	21,093	\$	-		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services								
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	2001MNFPSS		3,437		-		
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	2001MNTANF		63,464		-		
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	2001MNCSES		28,092		-		
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	2001MNCEST		164,044		-		
(Total Child Support Enforcement 93.563 \$192,136)								
Refugee and Entrant Assistance – State Administered Programs	93.566	2001MNRCMA		91		-		
CCDF Cluster								
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	2001MNCCDF		2,063		-		
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	93.590	1901MNBCAP		4,606		-		
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	93.645	2001MNCWSS		2,586		-		
Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	2001MNFOST		64,521		-		
Social Services Block Grant	93.667	2001MNSOSR		68,329		-		
Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767	2005MN5021		209		-		
Medicaid Cluster	02 779			201 242				
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	2005MN5ADM		391,342		-		
Medical Assistance Program (Total Medical Assistance Program 93.778 \$396,149)	93.778	2005MN5MAP		4,807		-		
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			\$	818,684	\$	-		
•				· · · · ·				

The notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are an integral part of this schedule.

EXHIBIT D-3 (Continued)

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Expenditures		Passed Through to Subrecipients	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security						
Passed Through United Way Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program	97.024	485920-007	\$	750	\$	_
Energency rood and Shelici National Board Program	57.024	403920-007	ψ	750	Ψ	
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety						
Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	4442-DR		409,349		-
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$	410,099	\$	
Total Federal Awards			\$	2,114,309	\$	426,656
Totals by Cluster						
Total expenditures for SNAP Cluster			\$	96,760		
Total expenditures for Highway Safety Cluster				9,267		
Total expenditures for Aging Cluster				21,093		
Total expenditures for CCDF Cluster				2,063		
Total expenditures for Medicaid Cluster				396,149		

The notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are an integral part of this schedule.

# NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

# 1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

## A. <u>Reporting Entity</u>

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by Grant County. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements. The Schedule does not include \$575,084 in federal awards expended by the Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Grant County component unit, which had a separate audit performed by other auditors.

## B. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Grant County under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2020. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Grant County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position or changes in net position of Grant County.

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

# 2. De Minimis Cost Rate

Grant County has elected to not use the ten percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

# 3. <u>Reconciliation to Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue</u>

Federal grant revenue per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 1,837,896
Grants received more than 60 days after year-end, unavailable in 2020	
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	14,691
Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	284,037
Grants unavailable in 2019, recognized as revenue in 2020	-
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	(201)
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	(13, 107)
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and	
Development Fund	(28)
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	(4,021)
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	(603)
Foster Care Title IV-E	 (4,355)
Expenditures Per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	\$ 2,114,309

Management and Compliance Section STATE OF MINNESOTA

Suite 500 525 Park Street Saint Paul, MN 55103

## REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Grant County Elbow Lake, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Grant County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 17, 2021. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Grant County, the discretely presented component unit, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Grant County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, we did identify a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness and deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

Page 122



A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings Questioned Costs as item 2020-004 to be a material weakness and items 2020-001, 2020-002, and 2020-003 to be significant deficiencies.

# **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Grant County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

# Minnesota Legal Compliance

In connection with our audit, we noted that Grant County failed to comply with the provisions of the miscellaneous provisions section of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, insofar as they relate to accounting matters as described in the Schedule of Findings Questioned Costs as item 2020-007. Also, in connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that Grant County failed to comply with the provisions of the contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, and claims and disbursements sections of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the County's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

# **Grant County's Response to Findings**

Grant County's responses to the internal control and legal compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the Corrective Action Plan. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

## **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Julie Blaha

/s/Dianne Syverson

JULIE BLAHA STATE AUDITOR DIANNE SYVERSON, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

December 17, 2021





Suite 500 525 Park Street Saint Paul, MN 55103

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

## Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Grant County Elbow Lake, Minnesota

# **Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited Grant County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2020. Grant County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Grant County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) of Grant County component unit, which expended \$575,084 in federal awards, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards during the year ended December 31, 2020. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the HRA of Grant County because other auditors were engaged to perform the audit.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Grant County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred.

Page 125



An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Grant County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

# Basis for Qualified Opinions on COVID-19 – Coronavirus Relief Fund (CFDA No. 21.019) and Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036)

As described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Grant County did not comply with requirements regarding CFDA No. 21.019, COVID-19 – Coronavirus Relief Fund, as described in Finding No. 2020-005 for Subrecipient Monitoring; and CFDA No. 97.036, Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters), as described in Finding No. 2020-006 for Reporting. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the County to comply with the requirements applicable to these programs.

# Qualified Opinions on COVID-19 – Coronavirus Relief Fund (CFDA No. 21.019) and Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036)

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinions paragraph, Grant County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the COVID-19 – Coronavirus Relief Fund and Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) for the year ended December 31, 2020.

## **Other Matters**

Grant County's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

# **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of Grant County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or combination of ver compliance is a deficiency or combination of over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2020-005 and 2020-006, that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Grant County's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Julie Blaha

JULIE BLAHA STATE AUDITOR DIANNE SYVERSON, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

/s/Dianne Syverson

December 17, 2021
# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

# I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

#### **Financial Statements**

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP: **Unmodified** 

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? Yes
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

# Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? Yes
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Qualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? Yes

The major federal programs are:

COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund	CFDA No. 21.019
Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially	
Declared Disasters)	CFDA No. 97.036

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$750,000.

Grant County qualified as a low-risk auditee? No

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

# II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

#### **INTERNAL CONTROL**

Finding Number: 2020-001

Prior Year Finding Number: 2019-001

Repeat Finding Since: 1996

Departmental Internal Accounting Controls

**Criteria:** Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control. Adequate segregation of duties is a key internal control in preventing and detecting errors or irregularities. To protect County assets, proper segregation of the record-keeping, custody, and authorization functions should be in place, and where management decides segregation of duties may not be cost effective, compensating controls should be in place.

**Condition:** The limited number of personnel within several Grant County offices results in a lack of segregation of accounting duties necessary to ensure adequate internal accounting control. The smaller offices that collect fees generally have one staff person who is responsible for billing, collecting, recording, and depositing receipts as well as reconciling bank accounts. Also, staff who authorize the payment of bills have access to add new vendors.

**Context:** This is not unusual in operations the size of Grant County; however, the County's management should constantly be aware of this condition and realize that the concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desirable from an internal control point of view.

**Effect:** Inadequate segregation of duties could adversely affect the County's ability to detect misstatements in a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

**Cause:** Due to limited economic resources, the County has informed us that it is impractical for it to hire enough staff to achieve a desirable level of segregation of duties in every department.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

**Recommendation:** We recommend Grant County's elected officials and management be mindful that limited staffing increases the risks in safeguarding the County's assets and the proper recording of its financial activity and, where possible, implement oversight procedures to ensure that internal control policies and procedures are being followed by staff.

#### View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

Finding Number: 2020-002

Prior Year Finding Number: 2019-002

Repeat Finding Since: 2012

#### Documenting and Monitoring Internal Controls

**Criteria:** County management is responsible for the County's internal control over financial reporting. This responsibility requires performing an assessment of existing controls over significant functions used to produce financial information for the Board, management, and for external financial reporting. The risk assessment is intended to determine if the internal controls that have been established by County management are still effective or if changes are needed to maintain a sound internal control structure. Changes may be necessary due to such things as organizational restructuring, updates to information systems, or changes to services being provided.

**Condition:** Grant County maintains narratives to document the controls in place over its significant transaction cycles. However, there is no formal risk assessment process in place to determine if the internal controls that have been established by County management are still effective or if changes are needed to maintain a sound internal control structure.

**Context:** Local governments tend to establish controls but sometimes fail to periodically review those controls to ensure they are appropriate for all of the changes that take place over time.

**Effect:** The internal control environment is constantly changing with changes in staffing, information systems, processes, and the services provided. Changes may have taken place that reduce or negate the effectiveness of internal controls, which may go unnoticed without a formal and timely risk assessment process in place.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

**Cause:** The County has informed us that it lacks resources dedicated to establish a formal process for assessing risks, documenting the internal controls established to reduce those risks, and monitoring of those controls.

**Recommendation:** Grant County management should document the significant internal controls in its accounting system, including an assessment of risk and the processes used to minimize the risks. A formal plan should be developed that calls for monitoring the internal control structure on a regular basis, no less than annually. The monitoring activity should also be documented to show the results of the review, any changes required, and who performed the work.

#### View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

Finding Number: 2020-003

Prior Year Finding Number: N/A

Repeat Finding Since: N/A

#### Inventory Controls

**Criteria:** Management is responsible for designing, implementing, establishing, and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance errors will be prevented, or detected and corrected, in a timely manner. This includes internal controls over the valuation of inventory.

**Condition:** The Highway Department uses a computerized perpetual inventory system (Costrite). This system was not consistently updated during 2020. In addition, the Department staff completed a year-end inventory, but the records could not be located at the time of the audit.

**Context:** The Highway Accountant met with department heads of the Highway Department and representatives from the Minnesota Department of Transportation to review the inventory records of the County to determine the year-end balances.

**Effect:** The inventory system did not reflect an accurate value of the inventory on hand at December 31, 2020.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

**Cause:** The Highway Department experienced significant staff turnover and it took time to hire new staff and assign responsibilities.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the Highway Department resume updating the perpetual inventory system so accurate inventory balances can be obtained. We also recommend an annual inventory count be completed and the documentation be retained.

#### View of Responsible Official: Concur

Finding Number: 2020-004

Prior Year Finding Number: 2019-003

Repeat Finding Since: 2019

#### Audit Adjustments

**Criteria:** A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements of the financial statements on a timely basis. Auditing standards define a material weakness as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

**Condition:** Audit adjustments were identified that resulted in significant changes to the County's financial statements. These adjustments were reviewed and approved by the appropriate County staff and are properly reflected in the financial statements.

**Context:** The inability to detect material misstatements in the financial statements increases the likelihood that the financial statements would not be fairly presented. The adjustments were found in the audit; however, independent external auditors cannot be considered part of the County's internal control.

**Effect:** The following audit adjustments were reviewed and approved by the appropriate Grant County staff and are reflected in the financial statements:

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

#### Governmental Activities

• The County had three construction-in-progress projects valued at \$4,273,438 and one infrastructure project valued at \$1,172,864 that were not included in the capital asset records.

County Ditch 29 Debt Service Fund

• In 2020, the County issued \$1,595,000 in General Obligation Drainage Refunding Bonds, Series 2020B, and paid off the outstanding balance of the General Obligation Drainage Bonds, Series 2015A, totaling \$1,565,000. The only activity recorded by the County relating to these bond issues was the receipt of \$794 to the Ditch Special Revenue Fund. The bond proceeds and the related premium and issuance costs, as well as the retirement of the refunded debt, should have been recorded in the County Ditch 29 Debt Service Fund.

**Cause:** This activity was overlooked or incorrectly recorded when financial statement information was prepared by County staff.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the County implement additional procedures over the reconciliation of capital assets to ensure they are recorded at the correct value, and debt to ensure new issuances are properly recorded.

View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

#### III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

Finding Number: 2020-005

Prior Year Finding Number: N/A

Repeat Finding Since: N/A

Subrecipient Monitoring

**Program:** U.S. Department of the Treasury's Coronavirus Relief Fund (CFDA No. 21.019), Award No. SLT0016, 2020

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

#### Pass-Through Agency: Minnesota Management and Budget

**Criteria:** Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 200.303 states that the auditee must establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. Also, the County must comply with the requirements for pass-through entities as identified in Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 200.332, such as clearly identifying the award to the subrecipient; evaluating the subrecipient's risk of noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award; and monitoring the activities of the subrecipient.

**Condition:** The following was noted during a review of documentation for four subrecipients:

- The documentation did not show that all required award information, such as the federal CFDA number and the name of the federal awarding agency, were provided to the subrecipient.
- Risk assessment procedures were not documented over the subrecipients.
- Monitoring documentation was not in sufficient detail to determine if the subrecipient expenditures occurred during the period of performance.
- Verification of audit requirements for subrecipients was not documented.
- The County has no documented policies and procedures for subrecipient monitoring.

#### Questioned Costs: None

**Context:** Grant County passed funds to local governments the County is familiar with and who have been operating for many years.

The sample size was based on guidance from chapter 11 of the AICPA Audit Guide, *Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits*.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

**Effect:** The County is not meeting federal regulations pertaining to subrecipient monitoring. Also, the County cannot be assured that its subrecipients are administering federal awards in compliance with all applicable federal requirements.

**Cause:** We were informed that Grant County does not generally provide federal awards to subrecipients and, therefore, did not have policies and procedures in place for subrecipient monitoring activities. Additionally, the County was not aware of the full extent of requirements for subrecipient monitoring.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the County establish procedures for completing risk assessments and monitoring procedures over federal programs passed through to subrecipients, as well as creating and maintaining proper documentation to meet the requirements of federal programs. This would include obtaining more detailed information from the subrecipients so the County could determine if the costs were allowable and within the period of performance. This would also include providing all of the required information such as the CFDA number and federal awarding agency to the subrecipients and documenting a risk assessment of the subrecipients. Additionally, we recommend the County develop and document policies and procedures for monitoring all federal awards passed through to subrecipients.

#### View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

Finding Number: 2020-006

Prior Year Finding Number: N/A

Repeat Finding Since: N/A

Reporting

**Program:** U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036), Award No. 4442-DR, 2020

Pass-Through Agency: Minnesota Department of Public Safety

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

**Criteria:** Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 200.303 states that the auditee must establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. Also, the County must comply with the requirements for progress reports as identified in Title 44 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 206.204(f), which states that, for federal disaster assistance, progress reports are to be submitted by the recipient quarterly. These reports are to describe the status of those projects on which a final payment of the federal share has not been made to the recipient and outline any problems or circumstances expected to result in noncompliance with the approved grant conditions.

**Condition:** No quarterly progress reports were submitted for any Large Projects in either 2019 or 2020 to Minnesota Homeland Security and Emergency Management (HSEM), a division of the Minnesota Department of Public Safety.

#### Questioned Costs: None

**Context:** Quarterly progress reports are due from recipients to HSEM on all open Large Projects 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter.

Effect: The County is not meeting requirements pertaining to reporting.

**Cause:** We were informed that the County does not receive Federal Emergency Management Agency funding very often and was not aware that progress reports were to be submitted for each uncompleted Large Project on a quarterly basis until the State requested the reports from the County.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the County implement additional procedures over the reporting requirements related to this program.

View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

# IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

Finding Number: 2020-007

Prior Year Finding Number: N/A

Repeat Finding Since: N/A

#### Publication of Board Minutes

**Criteria:** Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 375.12, within 30 days of each meeting, the County Board must have the official proceedings of its sessions or a summary published in a qualified newspaper of general circulation in the County.

**Condition:** Based on review of the affidavits of publication related to the publishing of a summary of Board minutes for 2020, not all of the summaries were published in the County's official newspaper within the 30-day requirement.

**Context:** Of the 39 published summaries reviewed, seven were not published within the 30-day requirement.

Effect: Noncompliance with Minn. Stat. § 375.12.

**Cause:** The County indicated the Board minutes were submitted for publishing to the newspaper within the timeframe required. However, the newspaper delayed the publication, which extended the time beyond the 30-day requirement.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the County publish its summaries of the County Board minutes in compliance with Minn. Stat. § 375.12.

View of Responsible Official: Concur

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

# V. PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM RESOLVED

2019-004 Contracting and Bidding

# **GRANT COUNTY AUDITOR'S OFFICE**



10 Second Street N.E. Elbow Lake, Minnesota 56531-4400 Ph: 218-685-8236 • Fax: 218-685-4521 auditor@co.grant.mn.us

Chad Van Santen Auditor

# REPRESENTATION OF GRANT COUNTY ELBOW LAKE, MINNESOTA

# CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

# Finding Number: 2020-001 Finding Title: Departmental Internal Accounting Controls

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Chad Van Santen, County Auditor

Corrective Action Planned:

Grant County will continue to monitor departmental internal accounting controls with the limited number of staff in certain offices. Management will work on drafting and implementing formal policies and procedures.

Anticipated Completion Date:

December 31, 2022

#### Finding Number: 2020-002 Finding Title: Documenting and Monitoring Internal Controls

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Chad Van Santen, County Auditor

Corrective Action Planned:

Grant County will attempt to establish a formal plan of monitoring internal controls; until such time, management will continue to maintain narratives to document the controls in place.

Anticipated Completion Date:

December 31, 2022

Page 139

# Finding Number: 2020-003 Finding Title: Inventory Controls

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Shane McFarland, Highway Accountant

#### Corrective Action Planned:

Grant County Highway Department will do a physical inventory and make adjustments as needed to the sign, oil, and gravel inventory by the end of 2021. Starting in 2022 we will record inventory ins as they are purchased and input withdrawals on a monthly basis. Also starting in 2022, we will no longer inventory oil, antifreeze, and diesel exhaust fluid as these will now be expensed out to all eligible equipment as it is purchased.

Anticipated Completion Date:

January 31, 2022

#### Finding Number: 2020-004 Finding Title: Audit Adjustments

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Chad Van Santen, County Auditor

Corrective Action Planned:

Grant County will establish a process to ensure the accuracy of audit entries in conjunction with the internal controls plan. Until this plan is met, journal entries being made by other departments will be reviewed by the County Auditor prior to posting.

Anticipated Completion Date:

December 31, 2021

Finding Number: 2020-005 Finding Title: Subrecipient Monitoring Program: Coronavirus Relief Fund (CFDA No. 21.019)

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Chad Van Santen, County Auditor

Corrective Action Planned:

Grant County will establish a process to ensure compliance monitoring of sub-recipient funding for all federal awards.

Anticipated Completion Date:

December 31, 2021

#### Finding Number: 2020-006 Finding Title: Reporting Program: Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036)

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Shane McFarland, Highway Accountant

Corrective Action Planned:

Grant County was unaware of the required reporting requirement for the specific grant and has been completing the reporting on a timely basis upon being notified of the requirement as of September 2021

Anticipated Completion Date:

September 1, 2021

#### Finding Number: 2020-007 Finding Title: Publication of Board Minutes

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Chad Van Santen, County Auditor

Corrective Action Planned:

The Grant County Auditor work will develop a process to ensure that approved board minutes are published within the required 30 days and work with the publishing vendor to review they are published in compliance with MN Statute 375.12.

Anticipated Completion Date:

December 31, 2021

# **GRANT COUNTY AUDITOR'S OFFICE**



10 Second Street N.E. Elbow Lake, Minnesota 56531-4400 Ph: 218-685-8236 • Fax: 218-685-4521 auditor@co.grant.mn.us

Chad Van Santen Auditor

# REPRESENTATION OF GRANT COUNTY ELBOW LAKE, MINNESOTA

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

#### Finding Number: 2019-001 Repeat Finding Since: 1996 Finding Title: Departmental Internal Accounting Controls

**Summary of Condition:** The limited number of personnel within several Grant County offices results in a lack of segregation of accounting duties necessary to ensure adequate internal accounting control. The smaller offices that collect fees generally have one staff person who is responsible for billing, collecting, recording, and depositing receipts as well as reconciling bank accounts. Also, staff who authorize the payment of bills have access to add new vendors.

**Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported:** Grant County will continue to monitor departmental internal accounting controls with the limited number of staff in certain offices. Management will work on drafting and implementing formal policies and procedures.

**Status:** Not Corrected. Grant County management is aware of the risks associated with the absence of an adopted procedure policy over departmental internal accounting controls but was unable to correct due to the limited resources and personnel. Management will continue to monitor the condition and work on drafting formal policies and procedures. Revenues collected by departments are brought to the Auditors office for receipt processing and then directed to the Treasurer's office for deposit. Monthly reports are available to department heads on a monthly basis or as requested for review and accuracy.

Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_X\_\_\_

Finding Number: 2019-002 Repeat Finding Since: 2012 Finding Title: Documenting and Monitoring Internal Controls

**Summary of Condition:** Grant County maintains narratives to document the controls in place over its significant transaction cycles. However, there is no formal risk assessment process in place to determine if the internal controls that have been established by County management are still effective or if changes are needed to maintain a sound internal control structure.

Page 142

**Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported:** Grant County will attempt to establish a formal plan of monitoring internal controls; until such time, management will continue to maintain narratives to document the controls in place.

**Status:** Not Corrected. Grant County management is aware of the need to establish a formal plan for monitoring internal controls and will continue to work on development of such in the future but was unable to correct due to the limited resources and personnel. Until such time that a plan is formalized, management will continue to maintain narratives to document controls currently in place.

Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported?YesNoX

#### Finding Number: 2019-003 Repeat Finding Since: N/A Finding Title: Audit Adjustments

**Summary of Condition:** Audit adjustments were identified that resulted in significant changes to the County's financial statements. These adjustments were reviewed and approved by the appropriate County staff and are properly reflected in the financial statements.

**Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported:** Grant County will establish a process to ensure the accuracy of audit entries in conjunction with the internal control plan. Until this plan is met, journal entries being made by other departments will be reviewed by the County Auditor prior to posting.

**Status:** Not Corrected. Grant County will establish a process to ensure the accuracy of audit entries in conjunction with the internal controls plan. Until this plan is met, journal entries being made by other departments will be reviewed by the County Auditor prior to posting.

Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_X\_\_\_

#### Finding Number: 2019-004 Repeat Finding Since: N/A Finding Title: Contracting and Bidding

**Summary of Condition:** During testing of contract compliance, it was noted in two out of the three contracts selected for testing that the lowest bid or quote was not selected for the project (bid) and equipment (quote). The reasoning for the selection of a higher bid/quote was not maintained in the files. In addition, for the equipment purchased based on quotes, the County did not advertise for bids as required due to the value of the item.

**Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported:** Grant County will advertise for bids on projects or equipment that have the potential to exceed the \$175,000 statutory threshold. If a low bid or quote is not selected, the reason for the selection will be documented and maintained on file by the County.

 Status:
 Fully Corrected. Corrective action was taken.

 Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported?

 Yes
 No

#### Finding Number: 2017-002 Finding Title: Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment Program: Medical Assistance Program (CFDA No. 93.778)

**Summary of Condition:** One of the two procurement transactions selected for testing did not have sufficient documentation to detail the history of the procurement, nor that there was full and open competition. In addition, there was no documentation that verification procedures were performed to determine that the vendor was not suspended or debarred, or that other exclusions applied.

**Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported:** Review procurement policy and create procedures to make the process uniform County-wide, which will include verifying the suspension and/or debarment status. Social Services and the County Attorney are in the process of establishing/updating contracts with current vendors providing services.

**Status:** Partially Corrected. Social Services continues to work on the procurement procedure and following the policy guidelines. There are shared costs in the building that Social Services is located in and we are continuing to streamline the purchasing for shared costs to ensure that we are meeting the necessary measures. There are still contracts needing updating and the County Attorney's Office is working on this.

Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_X\_\_\_