Projects Summary

(\$ in thousands)

			-	t Reques		Gov's Rec	Gov's Planning Estimates	
Project Title	Rank	Fund	2020	2022	2024	2020	2022	2024
Rosemount Readiness Center Design	1	GO	1,100	10,300	0	1,100	0	0
Moorhead Readiness Center	2	GO	5,345	0	0	5,345	0	0
Marshall Readiness Center	3	GO	3,250	0	0	3,250	0	0
Fergus Falls Readiness Center	4	GO	2,200	0	0	2,200	0	0
Total Project Requests			11,895	10,300	0	11,895	0	0
General Obligation Bonds (GO) Tota	ı		11,895	10,300	0	11,895	0	0

minnesotanationalguard.org/

AT A GLANCE

- Serve the 13,140 members of the Minnesota Army (10,856) and Air (2,284) National Guard
- Since 9/11, the Minnesota National Guard has deployed more than 29,768 Army and Air Guard members to more than 37 countries worldwide
- Responsible for approximately \$370 \$490 million per year from the federal government
- 361.9 full-time equivalents (FTEs) across the state only 37.27 are 100% state-funded
- Provided assistance to over 50 state active duty missions in response to floods, fire, blizzards and other natural disasters or other emergencies since 2005
- Provided more than 33,164 state active duty work days by service members since 2005

PURPOSE

Federal: As a federal entity, military members of the Minnesota National Guard serve as a reserve force for the United States Army and Air Force. They are subject to be called to federal active duty for extended periods of time by the President.

State: As a state entity, the Minnesota National Guard provides support to local law enforcement agencies during natural disasters and other emergencies at the direction of the Governor.

Community: The Minnesota National Guard is also involved in community support projects throughout the state. These projects give our soldiers a chance to "give back to the community."

We are comprised of and include the military forces of the state, the Office of the Adjutant General, all military reservations, military installations, armories, air bases, facilities owned or controlled by the state for military purposes, and civilians employed by the state for the administration of the military department.

We support the following statewide outcome: **People in Minnesota are safe**.

STRATEGIES

We integrate federal and state resources to pursue strategies in two lines of effort. The first is **Provide Ready Units** which includes actions that provide a competent ready force, sustain optimal force structure and provide support response to any cyber events. The second is **Relationship Integration** which includes actions that maintain and enhance suitable infrastructure and facilities, sustain the "Beyond the Yellow Ribbon" activities, and diversify the force.

We have four core programs that support the Minnesota National Guard and implement these two lines of effort:

- The Maintenance of Military Training Facilities Program maintains the state's facilities used to train and house the members of the Minnesota National Guard and to protect the state's investment in facilities including the MN State Armory Building Commission (MSABC) facilities. Each Air National Guard base has a civil engineering function responsible for the maintenance of the federal facilities that are supported with state and federal dollars.
- The **Enlistment Incentives Program** supports and manages the department's enlistment and retention incentives and tuition reimbursement programs. These programs provide incentives to the men and women who enlist and maintain their memberships in the Army and Air National Guard.
- **Emergency Services** funds emergency response activities at the order of the Governor when the National Guard is activated in response to state emergencies.

 General Support provides the general administrative, financial, accounting, budgeting, project management, strategic planning, and human resource support necessary for the operation of the department.
MDMA's legal authority is provided from M.S. 190 – 195 (https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=190).

AT A GLANCE

- Upgrade or replace major building components before they fail, and minimize building disruption by conducting "batch projects" for building restoration.
- Replace or upgrade the major systems in a building (i.e., roof, brick tuck pointing or siding, HVAC, doors, windows, and electrical systems) not less than every 25 years. This equates to approximately five "batch projects" per biennium.
- Maintain the health and safety of the users of our facilities by funding: Americans with Disability Act
 (ADA) projects; facility fire and smoke alarms, heat detectors, and emergency lighting; and emergency
 response/emergency housing for citizens.
- Seek funding from various sources to provide facilities for newly acquired units, and to replace those facilities that can no longer be maintained to federal standards in a cost-effective way.
- Invest in the infrastructure necessary to maximize the capability of Camp Ripley, and our other training facilities, to add the most benefit for the people of Minnesota.

Factors Impacting Facilities or Capital Programs

The State of Minnesota has a significant inventory of facilities used by the Minnesota Army National Guard. These include: readiness centers (armories), logistical facilities, and various other training facilities located throughout the state. Although state owned, most of these facilities were constructed with some level of federal support and many of them receive federal support for operations. The current inventory consists of 1,408 facilities with almost 5.0 million square feet of space. The agency's asset preservation program has been developed as an ongoing, long-range program covering a certain number of facilities each capital bonding period.

Self-Assessment of Agency Facilities and Assets

Readiness Centers – The Minnesota Army National Guard's mission requires a significant investment in training and administrative facilities. The most recognizable of these facilities are the readiness centers. Also known as National Guard Training and Community Centers, readiness centers serve as the home stations for the over 11,000 members of the Army National Guard. These facilities, located in 63 communities around the state are also made available to local government, community organizations, and individuals for a wide variety of activities. The readiness centers provide the department with a total of over 1.8 million square feet of space.

Minnesota does not have any active military installations. Consequently, when members of the Minnesota National Guard are mobilized and deployed, the local readiness center becomes the installation for the family members and their support programs. Additionally, readiness centers provide the operating space for the National Guard to readily respond to state emergencies when directed by the Governor.

The department does not anticipate a reduction in the demand for state military support of emergencies and natural disasters. As evidenced by the fire, flood, tornado, and missing person search support requested since 2002, the demand remains high. This military support is dependent upon the ability of the department to maintain clean, safe, and functional facilities to train and house the Soldiers called to state service by the governor and to house citizens and emergency responders during emergencies.

The federal government provides 75 percent of the construction costs for the typical readiness center. The remaining 25 percent is funded cooperatively by the state and the municipality within which the readiness center is located. The state share (approximately 12½ percent) is funded via a lease payment to the Minnesota State Armory Building Commission that sells bonds to finance the nonfederal share of the construction costs.

Over the last several years the amount of federal funds available nationwide for replacement of our aging inventory of readiness center facilities has not been adequate. Requirements for security measures and other capabilities have increased the acquisition and construction costs to build a readiness center. Due to competition for scarce federal funds, the programmed number of new readiness centers is about one per state every six years, even if the matching state funds are available. This limited availability of federal funds makes the investment in maintaining readiness center facilities critical.

The Department of Defense, through the National Guard Bureau, regularly adjusts the unit manning authorizations between the states as some states are more successful in providing manning to fill additional units. Because of Minnesota's success in recruiting and retaining Soldiers, the Minnesota Army National Guard is continually seeking additional force structure that provides for mission accomplishment. These authorizations bring federal funds for fulltime employees and traditional Soldiers and their supporting equipment into the state. However, without permanent facilities for the units and their equipment, we will be unable to acquire additional force structure.

Logistical Facilities – The maintenance and repair support for Army National Guard training and logistical facilities (non-readiness center) remains fairly static. Many of the facilities located on the Camp Ripley reservation, although state-owned, are 100% federally supported. Other logistical support facilities (Field Maintenance Shops) are also state-owned and supported federally. The Army National Guard has nine of these facilities located throughout the state that are 100 percent federally supported.

The Air National Guard will continue to be a major part of the overall Air Force mission support. As the size of the active Air Force continues to be reduced, the missions of the Air National Guard have increased proportionately. The Air Force continues to be confident that the Air National Guard can absorb some of the missions previously accomplished by the active component.

All of the Air National Guard facilities are federally owned. The state is required to provide financial support for the operational costs of these facilities located at Minneapolis and Duluth. This support is generally provided through the state's operating budget.

Agency Process for Determining Capital Requests

The Facilities Management Office at Camp Ripley manages the agency's facility maintenance and repair program. That office is staffed with facility planners, architectural and design specialists, environmental specialists, physical plant management staff, building maintenance coordinators, and other support staff.

The asset preservation and facility improvement portions of the budget request are based on our ongoing facility inspections by our facilities management staff and input from the National Guard unit administrators. This facilities status data is referred to the Adjutant General's Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Board where other issues such as future stationing and force structure changes are factored into the list of requirements. In developing this plan, high priority is given to those projects necessary to comply with laws and codes, where major improvements are required to protect the state's investment in facilities, and where improvements are required to make the facilities more useable by tenant organizations.

The plan for new construction is based on ongoing evaluations of the facility inventory with respect to functional space requirements of the military organizations assigned to the state. Other factors include: the current structural state of the facility, costs of renovation and/or remodeling, the extent of repairs required which may also require compliance with current code, the ability of the current site to meet the increased demands for space, the opportunities for joint construction projects that meet the capital needs of the department and local communities, and the need to replace the current leased space with space specifically designed for military use.

Senior members of the Adjutant General's staff give broad guidance for the facilities management process through a Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Working Group. The Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Working Group is structured into a tiered-board system that provides the senior leadership a methodology to prioritize projects out over an extended timeline. Each service (Army and Air Guard) conducts a quarterly board that addresses their respective priorities. Each service board is chaired by the Assistant Adjutant General for the service. Both boards provide their highest projects prioritized by the fiscal year they are desired to the Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Board. This board provides recommendations to The Adjutant General, as the Chair, for decision and action as to facility priorities for each fiscal year.

Members of our Design and Construction Operations Section staff estimate the construction costs that are then reviewed by our staff architect.

Major Capital Projects Authorized in 2018 and 2019

2018

Saint Cloud Readiness Center: \$4,450,000 Wadena Readiness Center: \$2,157,000 Brainerd Readiness Center: \$4,143,000 Grand Rapids Readiness Center: \$2,126,000

Project Narrative

(\$ in thousands)

Rosemount Readiness Center Design

AT A GLANCE

2020 Request Amount: \$1,100

Priority Ranking: 1

Project Summary: \$1.1 million in state funds are requested to design a major renovation of

the 99,522 SF Rosemount Readiness Center, with construction ready to start in 2022. The project will improve the functionality, better accommodate the units assigned and sustain the life of the facility into the

future.

Project Description

Building Interior

Replace windows and exterior doors to force protection standards.

Install bottle filling drinking fountains.

Replace/repair wall, ceiling and floor finishes.

Replace interior doors and hardware.

Install fire protection system and mass notification system.

Update electrical system to current code.

Update/expand kitchen.

Improve internet connectivity throughout the building.

Reconfigure interior walls as required.

Energy Efficiency

Replace HVAC with energy efficient system.

Install programmable HVAC controls.

Install insulation as required to meet current standards.

Replace existing lighting with LED.

Replace water heater(s).

Replace plumbing fixtures with low flow.

Remove all through-wall and window air conditioning units.

Construction

Make building ADA compliant (access, latrines).

Expand locker rooms and latrines to meet occupancy requirements.

Construct section storage areas.

Expand vault to 1,800 SF.

Increase unit storage to current allocation.

Construct multi-purpose training area/classroom.

Building Exterior

Improve drainage around the facility.

Upgrade exterior lighting with LED.

Replace sidewalks as needed.

Install led lighting in military vehicle storage compound.

Tuckpoint and replace brick as required.

Project Rationale

This 28 year old facility has never received a comprehensive rehabilitation. Currently, this facility has 560 soldiers assigned (MN Army National Guard Command Plan). The facility is not compliant with ADA requirements, fire suppression and asbestos remediation. There are no long term plans to replace or abandon it in the next 20 years. The facility has a 'Poor' rating from a 2017 Facility Condition Assessment (FCA). Utilizing this information, Joint Forces Minnesota Facility Management Office staff, in conjunction with the current and future users, conducted an in depth facility analysis to identify the improvements that would extend/enhance facility life and value and have the most favorable impact on the 'quality of life' of the assigned Soldiers and to the community in which the Readiness Center resides. This project will address the estimated \$15.45 million in deferred maintenance for this building.

Project Timeline

The Rosemount Readiness Center remodel is scheduled to be designed in 2021, beginning in October. Funds for the construction phase of the project will be requested in the 2022 bonding cycle.

Other Considerations

Project will include federal cost sharing at 50/50.

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets

The requested funding will not affect state operating dollars.

Description of Previous Appropriations

N/A

Project Contact Person

Donald Kerr Executive Director 651-268-8913 Donald.j.kerr2.nfg@mail.mil

Governor's Recommendation

The Governor recommends \$1.1 million in general obligation bonds for this request.

Military Affairs Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

Rosemount Readiness Center Design

PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Y	Prior Years FY 2020		FY 2022		FY 2024		
State Funds Requested								
General Obligation Bonds	\$	0	\$	1,100	\$	10,300	\$	0
Funds Already Committed								
Pending Contributions								
Federal Funds	\$	0	\$	1,100	\$	10,300	\$	0
ТО	TAL \$	0	\$	2,200	\$	20,600	\$	0

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior	Years	F	Y 2020	FY 2022		FY 2024	
Property Acquisition	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Predesign Fees	\$	0	\$	355	\$	0	\$	0
Design Fees	\$	0	\$	1,845	\$	0	\$	0
Project Management	\$	0	\$	0	\$	298	\$	0
Construction	\$	0	\$	0	\$	19,934	\$	0
Relocation Expenses	\$	0	\$	0	\$	64	\$	0
One Percent for Art	\$	0	\$	0	\$	138	\$	0
Occupancy Costs	\$	0	\$	0	\$	166	\$	0
Inflationary Adjustment*	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
TC	TAL \$	0	\$	2,200	\$	20,600	\$	0

^{*}Inflation is already included in project costs.

IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category		FY 2020		FY 2022		2024
IT Costs	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)		0.0		0.0		0.0

SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 1,100	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

December 31, 2024?

M.S. 473.4485: Guideway Project

Is this project exempt from legislative review under M.S. 16B.335 subd. 1a? No Predesign Review (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 3): Does this request include funding for predesign? Yes Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration? No Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration? No Will the project design meet the Sustainable Building Guidelines under M.S. 16B.325? Yes Will the project designs meet applicable requirements and guidelines for energy Yes conservation and alternative energy sources (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 4 and 16B.32)? Have Information Technology Review Preconditions been met (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 5 & Yes 6 and 16E.05 subd. 3)? Will the project comply with the targeted group purchasing requirement (M.S. 16C.16 Yes subd. 13)?

Will the project meet public ownership requirements (M.S. 16A.695)?

Will the matching funds requirements be met (M.S. 16A.86 subd. 4)?

Will program funding be reviewed and ensured (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 5)?

Will the project be fully encumbered prior to the Cancellation Deadline (M.S. 16A.642):

Will a use agreement be required (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 2)?

M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

Yes No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Is this a Guideway Project? N/A

Is the required information included in this request? N/A

Project Narrative

(\$ in thousands)

Moorhead Readiness Center

AT A GLANCE

2020 Request Amount: \$5,345

Priority Ranking: 2

Project Summary: \$5.3 million in state funds are requested to design and construct a major

renovation and addition to the Moorhead Readiness Center. The project will improve functionality and efficiency, better accommodate the units

assigned, and sustain the life of the facility into the future.

Project Description

Building Interior

Replace windows and exterior doors to force protection standards.

Install bottle filling drinking fountains.

Replace/repair wall, ceiling and floor finishes.

Replace interior doors and hardware.

Install fire protection system.

Update electrical system to current code.

Update/expand kitchen.

Improve internet connectivity throughout the building.

Install sound attenuation in the drill hall.

Energy Efficiency

Replace HVAC with energy efficient system.

Install programmable HVAC controls.

Install insulation as required to meet current standards.

Replace existing lighting with LED.

Replace water heater(s).

Replace plumbing fixtures with low flow.

Remove all through-wall and window air conditioning units.

Construction

Make building ADA compliant (access, latrines).

Expand locker rooms and latrines to meet occupancy requirements, construct female locker room.

Construct section storage areas.

Expand vault to a minimum of 600SF.

Increase unit storage to current allocation.

Construct multi-purpose training area/classroom.

Provide a physical fitness training room.

Construct a vestibule at the main entrance.

Building Exterior

Improve drainage around the facility.

Upgrade exterior lighting with LED.

Replace sidewalks as needed.

Install led lighting in military vehicle storage compound.

Tuckpoint and replace brick as required.

Project Rationale

This 32 year old, 41,218 square foot Armory has never received a comprehensive rehabilitation. The indoor firing range was converted to storage and the roof was replaced in 2008. The mechanical components are at end of life, in some cases failing, and are very inefficient by today's standards. The facility is deficient in administrative, supply, arms vault, and toilet/shower space. The facility is not compliant with force protection standards for windows and doors, or current life safety and accessibility code. There are no long term plans to replace or abandon it in the next 50 years.

The ISR consistently rates this building "Red" for both mission and quality. This facility is short 28% of authorized space for the assigned unit. This project would rectify code and force protection deficiencies, and address the estimated \$2.7 million in deferred maintenance for this building. The project will provide insulation, replace deteriorating interior and exterior finishes, and extend the life of the facility by 30-50 years.

Project Timeline

This project will be designed in 2020 with construction beginning in fiscal year 2021.

Other Considerations

This project will be funded 50/50 with federal funds.

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets

This project will not affect state operating budgets.

Description of Previous Appropriations

N/A

Project Contact Person

Donald Kerr Executive Director 651-268-8913 donald.j.kerr.nfg@mail.mil

Governor's Recommendation

The Governor recommends \$5.345 million in general obligation bonds for this request.

Military Affairs Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

Moorhead Readiness Center

PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source		Prior `	Years	F	Y 2020	FY	2022	F	Y 2024
State Funds Requested									
General Obligation Bonds		\$	0	\$	5,345	\$	0	\$	0
Funds Already Committed									
Pending Contributions									
Federal Funds		\$	0	\$	5,345	\$	0	\$	0
	TOTAL	\$	0	\$	10,690	\$	0	\$	0

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category		Prior	Years	F	Y 2020	FY 2022		FY 2024	
Property Acquisition		\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Predesign Fees		\$	0	\$	155	\$	0	\$	0
Design Fees		\$	0	\$	795	\$	0	\$	0
Project Management		\$	0	\$	155	\$	0	\$	0
Construction		\$	0	\$	9,405	\$	0	\$	0
Relocation Expenses		\$	0	\$	33	\$	0	\$	0
One Percent for Art		\$	0	\$	71	\$	0	\$	0
Occupancy Costs		\$	0	\$	76	\$	0	\$	0
Inflationary Adjustment*		\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
	TOTAL	\$	0	\$	10,690	\$	0	\$	0

^{*}Inflation is already included in project costs.

IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category		FY 2020		FY 2022		FY 2024	
IT Costs	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)		0.0		0.0		0.0	

SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 5,345	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

December 31, 2024?

M.S. 473.4485: Guideway Project

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill. Is this project exempt from legislative review under M.S. 16B.335 subd. 1a? No Predesign Review (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 3): Does this request include funding for predesign? Yes Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration? No Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration? No Will the project design meet the Sustainable Building Guidelines under M.S. 16B.325? Yes Will the project designs meet applicable requirements and guidelines for energy Yes conservation and alternative energy sources (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 4 and 16B.32)? Have Information Technology Review Preconditions been met (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 5 & Yes 6 and 16E.05 subd. 3)? Will the project comply with the targeted group purchasing requirement (M.S. 16C.16 Yes subd. 13)? Will the project meet public ownership requirements (M.S. 16A.695)? Yes No Will a use agreement be required (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 2)? Will program funding be reviewed and ensured (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 5)? No

Will the matching funds requirements be met (M.S. 16A.86 subd. 4)?

M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required

Will the project be fully encumbered prior to the Cancellation Deadline (M.S. 16A.642):

Yes

Yes

Yes

Is this a Guideway Project? N/A

Is the required information included in this request? N/A

Project Narrative

(\$ in thousands)

Marshall Readiness Center

AT A GLANCE

2020 Request Amount: \$3,250

Priority Ranking: 3

Project Summary: \$3.25 million in state funds are requested to design and construct a major

renovation and addition to the Marshall Readiness Center, as well as relocate the facility vehicle storage compound. The project will improve functionality and efficiency, better accommodate the units assigned, and

sustain the life of the facility into the future.

Project Description

Building Interior

Replace windows and exterior doors to force protection standards.

Install bottle filling drinking fountains.

Replace/repair wall, ceiling and floor finishes.

Replace interior doors and hardware.

Install fire protection system.

Update electrical system to current code.

Update/expand kitchen.

Improve internet connectivity throughout the building.

Energy Efficiency

Replace HVAC with energy efficient system.

Install programmable HVAC controls.

Install insulation as required to meet current standards.

Replace existing lighting with LED.

Replace water heater(s).

Replace plumbing fixtures with low flow.

Remove all through-wall and window air conditioning units.

Construction

Make building ADA compliant (access, latrines).

Expand locker rooms and latrines to meet occupancy requirements, construct female locker room.

Construct section storage areas.

Expand vault to 600SF.

Increase unit storage to current allocation.

Construct multi-purpose training area/classroom.

Provide a physical fitness training room.

Construct a vestibule at the main entrance.

Construct an addition of approximately 13,000 SF to provide the unit with a minimum of 90% required criteria space.

Building Exterior

Improve drainage around the facility.

Upgrade exterior lighting with LED.

Replace sidewalks as needed.

Install led lighting in military vehicle storage compound.

Tuckpoint and replace brick as required.

Project Rationale

This 64 year old, 15,438 square foot Armory has never received a comprehensive rehabilitation. The facility is un-insulated, has single pane windows, and failing exterior doors. There are no fire alarm or fire suppression systems installed in the facility. Multiple mechanical systems in the facility have failed, and the entire HVAC system is at end of life. The arms vault is inadequate size. The facility requires asbestos remediation. There are no long term plans to replace or abandon it in the next 20 years

This facility has a 'Poor' rating from a 2017 Facility Condition Assessment (FCA). Additionally, the Installation Status Report (ISR) is a federal report that ranks facilities. The ISR consistently rates this building "Red" for both mission and quality; ISR color rates from best to worst are green, amber, red, and black. This project would address life safety and force protection shortfalls by installing a fire alarm system, mass notification, and blast resistant glazing. This facility is short 57% of the authorized space for the assigned unit. This project will address the estimated \$1.6 million in deferred maintenance for this building. The project will provide insulation, replace deteriorating interior and exterior finishes, and extend the life of the facility by 20-30 years.

Project Timeline

The renovation of the readiness center will be designed in fiscal year 2020 with construction in 2021.

Other Considerations

Project will include federal funding for 50/50 cost sharing.

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets

This project will not affect state operating dollars.

Description of Previous Appropriations

N/A

Project Contact Person

Donald Kerr

Governor's Recommendation

The Governor recommends \$3.25 million in general obligation bonds for this request.

Military Affairs Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

Marshall Readiness Center

PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Y	'ears	F۱	Y 2020	FY	2022	F۱	Y 2024
State Funds Requested	·							
General Obligation Bonds	\$	0	\$	3,250	\$	0	\$	0
Funds Already Committed								
Pending Contributions	·							
Federal Funds	\$	0	\$	3,250	\$	0	\$	0
TO	ΓAL \$	0	\$	6,500	\$	0	\$	0

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior	Years	F'	Y 2020	FY 2022		FY 2024	
Property Acquisition	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Predesign Fees	\$	0	\$	94	\$	0	\$	0
Design Fees	\$	0	\$	487	\$	0	\$	0
Project Management	\$	0	\$	94	\$	0	\$	0
Construction	\$	0	\$	5,739	\$	0	\$	0
Relocation Expenses	\$	0	\$	20	\$	0	\$	0
One Percent for Art	\$	0	\$	43	\$	0	\$	0
Occupancy Costs	\$	0	\$	23	\$	0	\$	0
Inflationary Adjustment*	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
ТО	TAL \$	0	\$	6,500	\$	0	\$	0

^{*}Inflation is already included in project costs.

IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2020		FY 2022		FY 2024	
IT Costs	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)		0.0		0.0		0.0

SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 3,250	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

December 31, 2024?

M.S. 473.4485: Guideway Project

Is this project exempt from legislative review under M.S. 16B.335 subd. 1a? No Predesign Review (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 3): Does this request include funding for predesign? Yes Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration? No Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration? No Will the project design meet the Sustainable Building Guidelines under M.S. 16B.325? Yes Will the project designs meet applicable requirements and guidelines for energy Yes conservation and alternative energy sources (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 4 and 16B.32)? Have Information Technology Review Preconditions been met (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 5 & Yes 6 and 16E.05 subd. 3)? Will the project comply with the targeted group purchasing requirement (M.S. 16C.16 Yes subd. 13)?

Will the project meet public ownership requirements (M.S. 16A.695)?

Will the matching funds requirements be met (M.S. 16A.86 subd. 4)?

Will program funding be reviewed and ensured (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 5)?

Will the project be fully encumbered prior to the Cancellation Deadline (M.S. 16A.642):

Will a use agreement be required (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 2)?

M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

Yes No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Is this a Guideway Project? N/A

Is the required information included in this request? N/A

Project Narrative

(\$ in thousands)

Fergus Falls Readiness Center

AT A GLANCE

2020 Request Amount: \$2,200

Priority Ranking: 4

Project Summary: \$2.2 million in state funds are requested to design/construct a major

renovation and addition to the 20,490 SF Fergus Falls Readiness Center. The project will improve the functionality of the facility, better accommodate the units assigned and sustain the life of the facility into the

future.

Project Description

Facility Construction

- Facility upgrade to meet existing life safety code regulations; fire/smoke alarm and sprinkler building system with a year of monitoring.
- Update building to meet accessibility (ADA) code requirements.
- Install caged storage for Soldier issued equipment.
- Install Army Metering System for both electrical and gas services.
- Install carbon monoxide (CO) monitoring system in the assembly hall and maintenance bay areas.
- Install a mass notification system in the assembly hall.
- Reconfigure walls as required.
- Construct an addition to improve the functionality of the Readiness Center.
- Install solar PV system if feasible.
- · Increase vault space to 600 SF

Facility Maintenance & Repair

- Replace interior and exterior lighting including parking areas with LED lighting, security vault lighting and building voice/data (conduit, trays, devices).
- Rehab entire facility to include floor covering, wall painting, ceiling tile and lighting. Also included is the refinishing of the wood structure/ceiling in the assembly hall.
- Replace boiler system, HVAC control system, install assembly hall destratification turbines, install
 instantaneous heater on domestic hot water system, install water softener, water heaters and install
 low flow plumbing fixtures.
- Replace exterior doors, door hardware and door frames, refinish interior doors, add larger kick plates, signage as required.
- Replace all hard ceilings, repair assembly hall floor with refinish/stripping as required.
- Insulate duct and pipes as required.
- Re-grade the site to drain surface water away from the Readiness Center, provide new splash blocks below all roof downspouts.

· Replace sidewalks as-needed.

Project Rationale

This 67 year old facility has never received a comprehensive rehabilitation. Currently, this facility has 75 soldiers assigned (MN Army National Guard Command Plan). The facility is not compliant with ADA requirements, fire suppression and asbestos remediation. There are no long term plans to replace or abandon it in the next 20 years. The facility has a 'Poor' rating from a 2017 Facility Condition Assessment (FCA). Utilizing this information, Joint Forces Minnesota Facility Management Office staff, in conjunction with the current and future users, conducted an in depth facility analysis to identify the improvements that would extend/enhance facility life and value and have the most favorable impact on the 'quality of life' of the assigned Soldiers and to the community in which the Readiness Center resides. This project will address the estimated \$2.75 million in deferred maintenance for this building.

Project Timeline

This project will be designed in 2020 with construction beginning in fiscal year 2021.

Other Considerations

Project will include federal cost sharing at 50/50.

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets

The requested funding will not affect state operating dollars.

Description of Previous Appropriations

N/A

Project Contact Person

Donald Kerr
Executive Director
651-268-8913
Donald.j.kerr2.nfg@mail.mil

Governor's Recommendation

The Governor recommends \$2.2 million in general obligation bonds for this request.

Military Affairs Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

Fergus Falls Readiness Center

PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source		Prior Ye	ears	F۱	Y 2020	FY	2022	F۱	Y 2024
State Funds Requested									
General Obligation Bonds		\$	0	\$	2,200	\$	0	\$	0
Funds Already Committed									
Pending Contributions									
Federal Funds		\$	0	\$	2,700	\$	0	\$	0
Т	OTAL	\$	0	\$	4,900	\$	0	\$	0

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category		Prior	Years	F'	Y 2020	FY	2022	FY	2024
Property Acquisition		\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Predesign Fees		\$	0	\$	85	\$	0	\$	0
Design Fees		\$	0	\$	341	\$	0	\$	0
Project Management		\$	0	\$	85	\$	0	\$	0
Construction		\$	0	\$	4,329	\$	0	\$	0
Relocation Expenses		\$	0	\$	14	\$	0	\$	0
One Percent for Art		\$	0	\$	30	\$	0	\$	0
Occupancy Costs		\$	0	\$	16	\$	0	\$	0
Inflationary Adjustment*		\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
	TOTAL	\$	0	\$	4,900	\$	0	\$	0

^{*}Inflation is already included in project costs.

IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2020		FY 2022		FY 2024	
IT Costs	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)		0.0		0.0		0.0

SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 2,200	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required

M.S. 473.4485: Guideway Project

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill. Is this project exempt from legislative review under M.S. 16B.335 subd. 1a? No Predesign Review (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 3): Does this request include funding for predesign? Yes Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration? No Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration? No Will the project design meet the Sustainable Building Guidelines under M.S. 16B.325? Yes Will the project designs meet applicable requirements and guidelines for energy Yes conservation and alternative energy sources (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 4 and 16B.32)? Have Information Technology Review Preconditions been met (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 5 & Yes 6 and 16E.05 subd. 3)? Will the project comply with the targeted group purchasing requirement (M.S. 16C.16 Yes subd. 13)? Will the project meet public ownership requirements (M.S. 16A.695)? Yes No Will a use agreement be required (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 2)? Will program funding be reviewed and ensured (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 5)? Yes Will the matching funds requirements be met (M.S. 16A.86 subd. 4)? Yes Will the project be fully encumbered prior to the Cancellation Deadline (M.S. 16A.642): Yes December 31, 2024?

Yes

Is this a Guideway Project? N/A

Is the required information included in this request? N/A