this document is made available electrony the Minnesota Legislative Reference as part of an ongoing digital archiving p http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl/asp

2018

ANNUAL REPORT Interstate Commission For Juveniles

Serving Juveniles While Protecting Communities





Anne Connor (ID) Chair



Traci Marchand (NC) Immediate Past Chair



Natalie Dalton (VA) Vice Chair



Peter Sprengelmeyer (OR), Treasurer

LETTER FROM THE CHAIR

Having been a part of the Interstate Commission for Juveniles for eight years and the Executive Committee for six years, I've been blessed to have a bird's-eye view of our growth, challenges and accomplishments. It has been an honor to serve as the Commission's Chair this year. Working with Commissioners, Designees and ICJ Compact Staff from across the country has deepened my commitment to the Commission's vision of promoting public safety, victims' rights and juvenile accountability.

Through the work, passion, and commitment of many, we have produced and sustained uncommon results. The Commission and its members worked diligently to provide training throughout the country, presenting at a record number of conferences and doubling the numbers trained using web-based opportunities. To support the implementation of 18 ICJ Rules amendments that took effect March 1, 2018, the Commission conducted an extensive review of published resources. Both printed and web-based materials were updated to reflect recently revised ICJ Rules and several new resources were developed, including two new Bench Cards; *ICJ Rule Proposal Guide; and Toolkit on State Councils for Interstate Juvenile Supervision.*

In the area of technology, the Commission made significant progress on several fronts. JIDS, the web-based system used by ICJ offices throughout the nation, was improved by two significant enhancement bundles. To ensure continued progress, the Commission partnered with SEARCH (The National Consortium for Justice Information and Statistics) to explore major technological upgrades, which may include a new data system.

To fulfill its duty to monitor compliance, the Commission completed its second major performance measurement assessment (PMA) and adopted a Sanctions Guidelines Policy. The Commission also worked to refine its PMA Policies and Standards, and adopted three overarching compliance priorities: safe and successful supervision; effective returns; and compact office operations.

Unfortunately, human trafficking frequently impacts juveniles who are subject to the Compact. To support state ICJ offices in responding to these complex cases, the Commission formed an Ad Hoc Human Trafficking Committee charged with promoting promising practices. An extensive survey was conducted to gather information about current practices and a "human trafficking victim" field was added to JIDS to allow for better identification and tracking.

Throughout the year, the constant guiding force for the ICJ has been promoting the best interest of the juveniles we serve, balanced with the safety of the communities in which they reside. Our accomplishments and continued success are direct results of the dedication and hard work of ICJ professionals across the country. I offer my sincere thanks to each of you for being a part of the magic that is the ICJ.

Sincerely,

Anne Connor

Anne Connor, Chair

ANNUAL MEETING PROMOTES COLLABORATION



The Commission's Annual Business Meeting provides opportunities for Commissioners, staff, and key allies to collaborate, learn, and take care of business. In September 2017, the Annual Business Meeting was held in San Diego, CA, where members were welcomed by Steven Sentman, Orange County's Chief Probation Officer.

Scenario-based training sessions were provided on the first day, to encourage members to work together to resolve challenging issues, including overlaps between juvenile and adult cases. The theme of collaboration extended into day two, culminating in a Judicial Discussion Panel featuring judges from throughout the United States.

ICJ also honored one of its greatest collaborators by presenting the Third Annual Leadership Award to Cathlyn Smith, Tennessee Commissioner and current ICJ Training Committee Chair.

During the General Session, the Commission adopted 18 amendments to the ICJ Rules, which became effective March 1, 2018. The meeting concluded with the elections new officers: Chairperson Anne Connor (ID), Vice Chairperson Natalie Dalton (VA), and Treasurer Shelley Hagan (WI).

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Chair: Anne Connor (ID)

Immediate Past Chair: Traci Marchand (NC)

Vice Chair: Natalie Dalton (VA)

Treasurer: Shelley Hagan (WI) / Peter Sprengelmeyer (OR)*

Compliance Committee Chair: Jacey Rader (NE)

Finance Committee Chair: David Barrett (ME) / Jeff Cowger (KS)*

Information Technology Committee Chair: Tony DeJesus (CA)

Rules Committee Chair: Gary Hartman (WY)

Training Committee Chair: Cathlyn Smith (TN)

East Region Representative: Maria Genca (CT) / Becki Moore (MA)*

Midwest Region Representative: Nina Belli (OH) / Charles Frieberg (SD)*

South Region Representative: Mia Pressley (SC)

West Region Representative: Dale Dodd (NM)

Ex Officio Victims Representative: Trudy Gregorie

*following retirement or resignation



Image top left from left to right: Rick Masters, Michael Lacy, Steve Sentman, Traci Marchand, MaryLee Underwood, and Jeff Cowger. Above: Traci Marchand and Cathlyn Smith

IMPROVED COMPLIANCE MONITORING

When the revised Compact became effective in 2008, the newly created Commission was charged with monitoring compliance with the Compact and ICJ Rules. Early in FY 18, the Commission completed its second major Performance Measurement Assessment (PMA) of all members states and territories, with an 82% average overall compliance score. The next PMA will be conducted in 2019.

Led by the Compliance Committee, the Commission launched a significant endeavor to refine its system for monitoring and promoting compliance. To ensure consistent focus and ability to measure progress over time, the Commission revised its PMA Standards and adopted three overarching priorities:

- Safe & Successful Supervision
- Effective Returns
- Compact Office Operations

ICJ Compliance Policy 02-2014 was revised to provide a mechanism for states to dispute PMA findings and require Corrective Action Plans for states who do not achieve a rate of 70% or better on any assessed standard. The Commission also adopted ICJ Compliance Policy 02-2017 to define Sanctioning Guidelines for addressing substantial or persistent violations of the Compact and/or ICJ Rules.

TECHNOLOGICAL Advances

The Juvenile Interstate Data System (JIDS) is ICJ's web-based system that facilitates the supervision, transfer, acceptance, tracking and return of juveniles from one state to another. In FY 18, JIDS was improved by two significant enhancements bundles. Major changes included edits to reflect amended rules, modification to the waiver section of the Form IA/VI, and addition of a human trafficking field. The Commission's website was also upgraded, with a focus on increased accessibility and reliability.

RESPONDING TO HUMAN TRAFFICKING

Unfortunately, human trafficking is a problem frequently encountered by ICJ personnel throughout the United States. Runaways are at an extremely high risk of being subjected to trafficking. Furthermore, traffickers often relocate victims across state lines. In 2018, the Ad Hoc Human Trafficking Committee conducted a survey to determine how state ICJ offices are addressing human trafficking. Next, the Committee will focus on sharing promising practices.



BY THE NUMBERS



Individuals completed one of the 31 live instructor-led ICJ Rules and/or JIDS Trainings via WebEx



Individuals completed selfpaced On Demand training module(s)



Individuals trained through 11 Training & Technical Assistance requests



Individuals received intra-state training across 20 states

There are over 1,000 active registered website users. Over 47,000 people visited the ICJ website in F Y 2018, with nearly 285,000 total page views, up 180 percent from the previous year. Mobile access also increased by 130 percent.

NATIONAL OFFICE STAFF

MaryLee Underwood Executive Director 859.721.1062

Jennifer Adkins MIS Project Manager 859.721.1063

Emma Goode *Training & Administrative Specialist* 859.721.1061

Leslie Anderson *Logistics and Administrative Coordinator* 859.721.1062

LEGAL COUNSEL

Rick Masters

MISSION STATEMENT:

The Interstate Commission for Juveniles, the governing body of the Interstate Compact for Juveniles, through means of joint and cooperative action among the compacting states, preserves child welfare and promotes public safety interests of citizens, including victims of juvenile offenders, by providing enhanced accountability, enforcement, visibility, and communication in the return of juveniles who have left their state of residence without permission and in the cooperative supervision of delinquent juveniles who travel or relocate across state lines.



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Executive Committee Interstate Commission for Juveniles Lexington, Kentucky

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Interstate Commission for Juveniles ("Organization"), which comprise the statement of assets, liabilities, and net assets - modified accrual basis as of June 30, 2018, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets - modified accrual basis and cash flows - modified accrual basis for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the modified accrual basis of accounting as described in Note A; this includes determining that the modified accrual basis of accounting is an acceptable basis for the preparation of the financial statements in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditors consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

FINANCIAL OUTLOOK

The Commission's fiscal outlook is very strong. The long-term investment portfolio has generated an increased rate of return on the Commission's funds since beginning the investment opportunity in 2014. For Fiscal Year 2018, the rate of return was 9%. Because of prudent financial decisions, the Commission finished the year at 19% under budget. Under the leadership of Commissioner Jeff Cowger (KS), the Special Projects Ad Hoc Committee recommended partnering with SEARCH (The National Consortium for Justice Information and Statistics) to explore investment in major technological upgrades, which may include a new data system.

\$1,172,337
\$1,274,721
\$1,001,734
\$700,667

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets, liabilities, and net assets of the Organization as of June 30, 2018, and its revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets and cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with the modified accrual basis of accounting as described in Note A.

з

Basis of Accounting

We draw attention to Note A of the financial statements, which describes the basis of accounting. The financial statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Hicks & Associates CPAs

August 17, 2018

NEW & UPDATED RESOURCES

This year, the Commission conducted an extensive review of published resources. Both printed and web-based materials were updated to reflect recently revised ICJ Rules (effective March 1, 2018). New resources were developed to help address the needs of state ICJ offices, judges, and others working to implement the Compact throughout the U.S. Materials are available at www.juvenilecompact.org.

Judicial/Legal Resources (New and Revised)

Bench Book for Judges & Court Personnel (revised)


This revision included a review of 27 Advisory Opinions published since 2009. Thirteen were revised for consistency with current rules. Six were deemed "superseded" and removed from circulation.

Bench Card: Transfer of Supervision (revised)

Bench Card: Return of Runaways, Probation/ Parole Absconders, Escapees & Accused Delinquents (new)

Toolkit for Judges (revised – online only)

Compact Operations Quick Reference Guide (revised)



Training Materials (New & Revised)

ICJ Rules Training [2-day instructor led and On Demand modules] (revised)

2018 ICJ Rules Amendments Training [instructor led and On Demand modules] (new)

JIDS Train-the-Trainer (new)

State Council Training (revised)

Going Home: Collaboration is Key to Ensuring the Safe Return of Human Trafficking Victims (revised)

ICJ: A Recommended Approach to Handling Juvenile Victims of Human Trafficking (new)

ICJ: What it Means for Runaway Youth [webinar presented in conjunction with Coalition for Juvenile Justice]

Youth REACT when Community & Compacts INTERACT: Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children (ICPC) and Interstate Compact for Juveniles (ICJ) [new, produced in collaboration with AAICPC]

JIDS for Kids: Tracking Interstate Movement with the Juvenile Interstate Data System (new)

ICJ: Issues for Judges, Prosecutors & Defense Attorneys (revised)

ICJ 101: Runaways, Returns & More (new)

State ICJ Office Resources (New and Revised)

Toolkit on State Councils for Interstate Juvenile Supervision (new – online only)

Online State Council Reporting Template (new)

Developing a State Council: Tips & Tactics for the First Year (new)

Operating a State Council: Ongoing Tips & Tactics (new)

ICJ Rule Proposal Guide (new)

Best Practice: Return of a Juvenile Serving a Correctional Sentence in Another State (new)

Best Practice: States in Transition (revised)

New Advisory Opinions											
AO 02-2017	Out-of-state juvenile sentenced to incarceration										
AO 01-2018	Is a sending state required to transfer supervision of a juvenile adjudicated there for an offense but who resides with a parent in the receiving state in a case where the parent may be homeless? If so, can enforcement action be taken if the sending state refuses to implement the transfer under the ICJ?										
AO 02-2018	Return of Juvenile Serving a Sentence for New Offense in a Receiving State										



To request training or technical assistance, contact your state's ICJ office or submit a request at:

https://www.juvenilecompact.org/ training/request-training

TRAINING & AWARENESS

Throughout the United States, the Commission and its members work diligently to provide training and raise awareness regarding the ICJ. This year, the Commission participated in a record number of national and state-sponsored conferences and meetings, including:

APPA 42nd Annual Training Institute in New York City, NY
APPA Winter Training Institute in Houston, TX
CJJ live Webinar on the Interstate Commissioner for Juveniles
CJJ Annual Conference in Washington, DC
CSG Conference in Las Vegas, NV
Hawaii Judiciary Symposium - Honolulu, HI
ICAOS 2017 ABM - Pittsburgh, PA
ICJ 2017 Annual Business Meeting in San Diego, CA
NCJFCJ 80th Annual Conference in Washington, DC
NCJFCJ – National Conference on Juvenile Justice in Coronado, CA
New Mexico Children's Law Institute in Albuquerque, NM
Tennessee Court Services Association in Nashville, TN
NCJFCJ and OJJDP Juvenile Delinquency Booklet Rewrite Committee

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS

American Parole and Probation Association (APPA)

Association of Administrators of the Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children (AAICPC)

Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators (CJCA)

Conference of Chief Justices (CCJ)

Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA)

International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)

Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision (ICAOS)

National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG)

National Children's Advocacy Center (NCAC)

National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL)

National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ)

National Governors Association (NGA)

National Juvenile Detention Association (NJDA)

National Runaway Safeline (NRS)

National Sheriffs' Association (NSA)

National Center for Victims of Crime (NCVC) Justice Solutions

STAFF RECOGNITION

The Commission believes in recognizing those individuals doing the day-to-day work of the Compact who surpass expectations to provide assistance. The following were recognized in Fiscal Year 2018:

Robert Anderson, Probation Officer (WY)

Jen Baer, Compact Office Staff (ID)

Tracy Cassell, Deputy Compact Administrator (GA)

Abbie Christian, Deputy Compact Administrator (NE)

Corrie Copeland, Deputy Compact Administrator (TN)

Kimberly Dickerson, Deputy Compact Administrator (LA)

Roberta Eitner, Deputy Probation Officer (CA)

Destiny Hernandez, Interstate Coordinator (NV)

Stephen Horton, Deputy Compact Administrator (NC)

Rachel Johnson, Compact Office Staff (NC)

Gladys Olivares, Deputy Compact Administrator (NV)

John Pacheco, Probation Officer (NM)

Natalie Primak, Compact Administrator (PA)

Marisa Ruiz-Sabater, ICJ Supervisor (CT)

Brandon Schimelpfenig, ICJ Coordinator (WY)

"Teamwork is the ability to work together toward a common vision. The ability to direct individual accomplishments toward organizational objectives. It is the fuel that allows common people to attain uncommon results." – Andrew Carnegie



ANNUAL STATISTICS


Statistics on juvenile movement gathered for this report were attained from the Juvenile Interstate Data System (JIDS). A comprehensive and accurate review of returns and transfers is only possible if JIDS is used to document each juvenile case correctly. In fiscal year 2018, states returned 2,066 runaways, escapees, absconders and juveniles charged delinquent. Additionally, 5,185 juveniles transferred supervision to another state. In fiscal year 2018, states sent 103 expedited transfer requests.


TRANSFER DATA COMPARISON: FISCAL YEAR 2017 TO FISCAL YEAR 2018

Following a trend in recent years, transfer of supervision cases are in decline. In fiscal year 18, probation transfers decreased 7.4 percent from the previous fiscal year, for a total of 4,491 probation transfers sent/received. Parole transfers decreased 1.4 percent from fiscal year 2017, with 694 cases referred. Overall, 10 percent of all incoming parole or probation transfers were for sex-offenders, or 528 juveniles out of 5,185 total transfer requests submitted.



Transfer of Supervision by Type – Fiscal Year 2018





INTERSTATE MOVEMENT OF JUVENILES: JULY 1, 2017 – JUNE 30, 2018

This chart details the return of runaways, escapees, absconders, and juveniles charged delinquent. It includes the number of juveniles sent back to the home/demanding state ("From Your State Returned") along with the number of incoming juveniles where the state listed is the holding state ("From Other States Returned"). The total returns are categorized as either voluntary or non-voluntary.

KEY Run = Runaway Esc = Escapee Absc = Absconder Acc Del = Accused Delinquent Airport Sup Req Met = Airport Supervision Request Met Vol = Voluntary

	Fro	m Your S	State Ret	urned (H	ome/Dem	anding	State)	F	From Other States Returned (Holding State)								
STATE	Run	Esc	Absc	Acc Del	Total	Total Vol	Total Non Vol	Run	Esc	Absc	Acc Del	Total	Total Vol	Total Non Vol	Airport Sup Req Met		
Alabama	8	0	6	0	14	14	0	7	0	20	2	29	26	3	0		
Alaska	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		
Arizona	17	0	15	3	35	32	3	17	1	22	11	51	46	5	5		
Arkansas	18	0	10	1	29	27	2	26	0	11	5	42	37	5	0		
California	59	0	55	9	123	117	6	39	1	27	9	76	71	5	4		
Colorado	21	0	9	14	44	40	4	15	0	13	7	35	33	2	23		
Connecticut	2	0	11	5	18	9	9	5	0	4	5	14	13	1	0		
Delaware	2	1	6	0	9	8	1	1	0	3	10	14	12	2	0		
District of Columbia	7	0	50	15	72	71	1	0	0	7	50	57	56	1	1		
Florida	50	0	45	33	128	117	11	40	3	19	13	75	68	7	0		
Georgia	32	0	23	13	68	64	4	31	0	19	19	69	64	5	63		
Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0		
Idaho	5	2	44	12	63	54	9	12	1	9	0	22	21	1	0		
Illinois	21	0	22	10	53	48	5	13	0	37	32	82	77	5	20		
Indiana	28	0	22	8	58	56	2	28	9	14	11	62	58	4	0		
Iowa	15	0	18	10	43	40	3	15	0	18	4	37	36	1	0		
Kansas	27	1	49	7	84	82	2	40	0	6	0	46	40	6	0		
Kentucky	21	14	3	11	49	49	0	7	0	21	11	39	39	0	0		
Louisiana	10	2	4	1	17	17	0	12	0	6	1	19	19	0	0		
Maine	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	7	0	2	0	9	9	0	0		
Maryland	11	1	12	35	59	58	1	3	1	72	6	82	80	2	0		

Massachusetts	14	0	12	2	28	27	1	5	0	9	3	17	9	8	0
Michigan	16	0	18	6	40	37	3	9	1	6	4	20	17	3	16
Minnesota	12	2	18	13	45	42	3	10	0	15	9	34	29	5	1
Mississippi	9	0	4	1	14	13	1	9	1	10	3	23	21	2	0
Missouri	18	0	12	6	36	33	3	22	1	46	12	81	78	3	1
Montana	6	1	6	1	14	14	0	2	0	3	4	9	8	1	0
Nebraska	16	0	25	4	45	42	3	8	0	13	4	25	22	3	0
Nevada	20	0	11	5	36	35	1	25	0	40	8	73	72	1	0
New Hampshire	7	3	2	1	13	13	0	4	0	1	0	5	5	0	0
New Jersey	8	1	8	7	24	21	3	6	0	17	4	27	25	2	1
New Mexico	3	0	15	5	23	21	2	12	0	8	4	24	21	3	0
New York	27	1	5	5	38	34	4	5	0	24	4	33	24	9	7
North Carolina	25	0	16	14	55	48	7	35	1	13	13	62	53	9	44
North Dakota	8	0	3	8	19	17	2	6	1	13	4	24	24	0	0
Ohio	19	1	41	21	82	78	4	41	6	22	18	87	77	10	0
Oklahoma	19	0	11	1	31	27	4	23	0	20	4	47	43	4	0
Oregon	14	1	39	6	60	56	4	27	0	38	8	73	70	3	0
Pennsylvania	15	0	21	17	53	44	9	25	2	17	5	49	42	7	4
Rhode Island	6	0	4	1	11	3	8	2	0	1	1	4	3	1	0
South Carolina	18	0	10	5	33	30	3	12	1	22	7	42	40	2	0
South Dakota	7	0	12	1	20	20	0	12	0	3	3	18	17	1	0
Tennessee	33	3	14	10	60	55	5	33	2	18	16	69	66	3	0
Texas	52	0	32	18	102	92	10	61	1	38	11	111	105	6	40
Utah	13	0	8	1	22	22	0	18	0	16	7	41	36	5	3
Vermont	3	0	0	0	3	3	0	4	0	0	0	4	2	2	0
Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Virginia	18	0	36	39	93	86	7	25	0	10	34	69	68	1	0
Washington	19	0	21	5	45	41	4	12	1	39	6	58	54	4	4
West Virginia	7	0	5	5	17	17	0	8	0	14	2	24	24	0	0
Wisconsin	12	0	10	7	29	25	4	7	0	17	5	29	25	4	0
Wyoming	2	0	2	1	5	5	0	12	0	4	5	21	20	1	0
Total	801	34	827	404	2066	1907	159	801	34	827	404	2066	1907	159	237

INTERSTATE MOVEMENT OF JUVENILES: JULY 1, 2017 – JUNE 30, 2018

This chart illustrates the movement of juveniles under supervision. This includes the number of cases terminated, whether it was a sex-offender related case, failed supervisions, and failed supervisions due to violations. KEY Inc = Incoming Sex Off = Sex Offender Inc Term = Incoming Terminated Out = Outgoing Out Term = Outgoing Terminated Failed Sprvsn = Return for Failed Supervision, as home/sending state Fail Sprvsn Vio = Returned for Failed Supervision due to violation, as home/sending state

1				Parole	Supervi	sion		Probation Supervision									
STATE	Inc	Sex Off	Inc Term	Out	Sex Off	Out Term	Fail Svsn S	Fail Ivsn Vio	Inc	Sex Off	Inc Term	Out	Sex Off	Out Term	Fail Svsn S	Fail Svsn Vio	
Alabama	19	3	22	5	5	4	0	0	92	15	84	48	2	47	0	0	
Alaska	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	2	15	8	0	8	0	0	
Arizona	15	3	14	8	0	3	0	0	129	20	141	92	9	81	1	0	
Arkansas	9	3	8	19	2	21	0	0	55	10	59	49	5	50	0	0	
California	28	4	31	17	4	13	0	0	220	15	236	326	27	307	4	3	
Colorado	7	2	7	24	4	15	1	0	78	13	71	152	19	170	2	1	
Connecticut	8	1	6	10	0	3	0	0	38	4	28	10	1	12	0	0	
Delaware	2	1	5	20	0	15	0	0	57	4	59	55	2	52	0	0	
District of																	
Columbia	13	3	15	24	0	22	0	0	81	1	70	17	0	16	0	0	
Florida	43	2	42	64	13	52	1	1	267	21	248	381	34	360	1	0	
Georgia	46	5	47	67	5	71	0	0	207	16	231	200	11	213	2	2	
Hawaii	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	5	2	0	4	0	0	
Idaho	4	2	8	8	1	10	1	1	47	10	39	131	8	125	4	2	
Illinois	17	2	20	44	1	48	0	0	116	11	105	329	22	335	4	3	
Indiana	28	4	20	4	2	7	0	0	126	10	131	77	8	67	1	0	
lowa	10	0	11	1	0	1	0	0	102	9	82	42	4	33	0	0	
Kansas	3	0	8	22	4	28	0	0	52	6	49	73	5	65	1	1	
Kentucky	15	2	15	15	2	16	0	0	73	9	74	20	0	24	0	0	
Louisiana	10	2	10	3	1	2	0	0	72	5	76	82	17	60	0	0	
Maine	2	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	10	0	15	10	1	8	0	0	
Maryland	35	0	32	39	6	38	0	0	121	14	106	167	11	146	3	3	
Massachusett	s 3	0	4	14	0	16	0	0	46	7	33	28	6	26	1	1	

Mississippi 12 0 8 5 0 4 0 0 74 8 57 42 0 38 0 0 Missouri 24 2 25 26 0 32 0 0 122 9 124 30 4 18 0 0 Montana 0 0 1 5 0 7 2 2 28 0 37 19 4 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 31 6 38 90 5 77 1 1 Newada 22 1 20 19 0 25 0 0 135 9 113 131 12 140 2 2 New Mexico 4 3 5 0 4 0 0 44 4 43 38 2 55 0 0 0 161 15 166 1 1 1 0 0 0 14 14 15 1	Michigan	10	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	64	9	80	16	5	17	0	0
Missouri 24 2 25 26 0 32 0 0 122 9 124 30 4 18 0 0 Montana 0 0 1 5 0 7 2 28 28 0 37 19 4 11 0 0 Nebraska 6 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 31 6 38 90 5 77 1 1 Nevada 22 1 0 25 0 0 135 9 113 112 140 0 0 New Mexico 4 3 3 5 0 4 0 0 72 67 72 22 141 0 New York 18 3 13 26 0 16 0 141 14 14 5 66 18 89 1 1 New York 18 3 19 3 8 0 115 14 <	Minnesota	12	2	11	1	0	2	0	0	97	7	94	91	12	64	0	0
Montana 0 1 5 0 7 2 2 28 0 37 19 4 11 0 0 Nebraska 6 2 4 0 0 0 0 315 9 113 131 12 140 2 2 New Hampshire 1 3 1 0 3 1 0 20 4 12 20 3 13 0 0 New Hampshire 4 1 3 1 0 0 79 2 67 172 22 141 0 0 New Mexico 4 3 3 5 0 4 0 0 161 15 145 61 5 56 1 1 North Calcita 2 0 2 7 1 9 0 0 46 3 0 46 0 0 0 0	Mississippi	12	0	8	5	0	4	0	0	74	8	57	42	0	38	0	0
Nebraska 6 2 4 0 0 0 0 31 6 38 90 5 77 1 1 Nevada 22 1 20 19 0 25 0 0 135 9 113 131 12 140 2 2 New Hampshire 4 1 3 1 0 3 1 0 20 4 12 20 3 13 0 0 New Jersey 9 0 4 20 2 10 0 74 4 43 38 2 35 0 0 New Mexico 4 3 3 26 0 161 15 145 61 5 56 1 1 North Carolina 29 3 19 5 1 20 0 46 3 30 44 0 9 15 16 0	Missouri	24	2	25	26	0	32	0	0	122	9	124	30	4	18	0	0
Nevada 22 1 20 19 0 25 0 0 135 9 113 131 12 140 2 2 New Hampshire 4 1 3 1 0 3 1 0 20 4 12 20 3 13 0 0 New Jersey 9 0 4 20 2 141 0 0 New Mexico 4 3 3 5 0 4 0 0 44 43 38 2 35 0 0 New York 18 3 12 0 0 141 144 57 9 57 0 0 North Carolina 29 3 19 5 1 2 0 0 146 3 30 44 0 0 0 14 14 12 14 12 14 12 0 12 </td <td>Montana</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>28</td> <td>0</td> <td>37</td> <td>19</td> <td>4</td> <td>11</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	Montana	0	0	1	5	0	7	2	2	28	0	37	19	4	11	0	0
New Hampshire 1 3 1 0 3 1 0 20 4 12 20 3 13 0 0 New Jersey 9 0 4 20 2 10 0 79 2 67 172 22 141 0 0 New Maxico 4 3 3 5 0 4 0 044 4 43 38 2 35 0 0 New York 18 3 13 26 0 16 0 161 15 145 61 55 1 1 North Dakta 2 0 2 7 1 9 0 0 46 3 30 44 5 76 7 88 1 1 Ordio 17 2 18 19 3 8 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75	Nebraska	6	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	31	6	38	90	5	77	1	1
New Jersey 9 0 4 20 2 10 0 0 79 2 67 172 22 141 0 0 New Mexico 4 3 3 5 0 4 0 0 44 4 43 38 2 35 0 0 New Mexico 4 3 13 26 0 16 0 161 15 145 61 5 56 1 1 North Carolina 29 3 19 5 1 2 0 0 140 14 144 57 9 57 0 0 North Dakota 2 0 2 7 1 9 0 46 3 30 4 0 95 11 97 67 7 88 1 1 Oklahoma 14 0 13 3 0 0 103 12<	Nevada	22	1	20	19	0	25	0	0	135	9	113	131	12	140	2	2
New Mexico 4 3 3 5 0 4 0 0 44 4 43 38 2 35 0 0 New York 18 3 13 26 0 16 0 161 15 145 61 5 56 1 1 North Carolina 29 3 19 5 1 2 0 0 140 14 144 57 9 57 0 0 North Dakota 2 0 2 7 1 9 0 0 16 3 30 44 5 46 0 0 0 10 14 14 12 2 13 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75 1 1 1 14 12 0 12 0 0 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75	New Hampshi	re 4	1	3	1	0	3	1	0	20	4	12	20	3	13	0	0
New York 18 3 13 26 0 16 0 0 161 15 145 61 5 56 1 1 North Carolina 29 3 19 5 1 2 0 0 140 14 144 57 9 57 0 0 North Dakota 2 0 2 7 1 9 0 0 46 3 30 44 5 46 0 0 Ohio 17 2 18 19 3 8 0 0 115 10 95 86 18 89 1 1 Oregon 14 2 14 12 2 13 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75 1 1 Pennsylvania 26 2 16 0 0 10 2 14 12 0 12 </td <td>New Jersey</td> <td>9</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>20</td> <td>2</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>79</td> <td>2</td> <td>67</td> <td>172</td> <td>22</td> <td>141</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	New Jersey	9	0	4	20	2	10	0	0	79	2	67	172	22	141	0	0
North Carolina 29 3 19 5 1 2 0 0 140 14 144 57 9 57 0 0 North Dakota 2 0 2 7 1 9 0 0 46 3 30 44 5 46 0 0 Ohio 17 2 18 19 3 8 0 0 115 10 95 86 18 89 1 1 Oklahoma 14 0 13 3 0 4 0 0 95 11 97 67 7 88 1 1 Oregon 14 2 14 12 2 13 0 0 104 2 93 76 11 75 1 1 Pennsylvania 26 2 16 0 0 0 0 2 2 38 26	New Mexico	4	3	3	5	0	4	0	0	44	4	43	38	2	35	0	0
North Dakota 2 0 2 7 1 9 0 0 46 3 30 44 5 46 0 0 Ohio 17 2 18 19 3 8 0 0 115 10 95 86 18 89 1 1 Oklahoma 14 0 13 3 0 4 0 0 95 11 97 67 7 88 1 1 Oregon 14 2 14 12 2 13 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75 1 1 Pennsylvania 26 2 16 0 0 10 0 10 2 14 12 0 12 0 0 South Carolina 17 2 7 7 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26	New York	18	3	13	26	0	16	0	0	161	15	145	61	5	56	1	1
Ohio 17 2 18 19 3 8 0 0 115 10 95 86 18 89 1 1 Oklahoma 14 0 13 3 0 4 0 0 95 11 97 67 7 88 1 1 Oregon 14 2 14 12 2 13 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75 1 1 Pennsylvaria 26 2 16 0 0 1 0 124 9 115 246 22 228 0 0 South Carolina 17 2 7 7 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 South Carolina 1 0 1 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 <td>North Carolina</td> <td>ı 29</td> <td>3</td> <td>19</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>140</td> <td>14</td> <td>144</td> <td>57</td> <td>9</td> <td>57</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	North Carolina	ı 29	3	19	5	1	2	0	0	140	14	144	57	9	57	0	0
Oklahoma 14 0 13 3 0 4 0 0 95 11 97 67 7 88 1 1 Oregon 14 2 14 12 2 13 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75 1 1 Pennsylvaria 26 2 16 0 0 1 0 0 124 9 115 246 22 228 0 0 Rhode Island 2 0 3 0 0 0 0 10 2 14 12 0 12 0 0 South Carolina 17 2 7 7 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 South Dakota 1 0 1 0 258 34 253 288 35 271 1 <t< td=""><td>North Dakota</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>7</td><td>1</td><td>9</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>46</td><td>3</td><td>30</td><td>44</td><td>5</td><td>46</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	North Dakota	2	0	2	7	1	9	0	0	46	3	30	44	5	46	0	0
Oregon 14 2 14 12 2 13 0 0 103 12 93 76 11 75 1 1 Pennsylvania 26 2 16 0 0 1 0 0 124 9 115 246 22 228 0 0 Rhode Island 2 0 3 0 0 0 0 10 2 14 12 0 12 0 0 South Carolina 17 2 7 7 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 South Dakota 1 0 10 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 Texas 33 1 39 15 3 13 0 0 268 34 253 288 35 271 1 1 Utah 3 1 7 4 0	Ohio	17	2	18	19	3	8	0	0	115	10	95	86	18	89	1	1
Pensylvania 26 2 16 0 0 1 0 0 124 9 115 246 22 228 0 0 Rhode Island 2 0 3 0 0 0 0 10 2 14 12 0 12 0 0 South Carolina 17 2 7 7 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 South Dakota 1 0 1 10 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 Tennessee 21 3 23 40 9 28 0 0 157 12 133 70 5 78 0 0 Texas 33 1 77 4 0 4 1 43 5 49 38 15 <td>Oklahoma</td> <td>14</td> <td>0</td> <td>13</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>95</td> <td>11</td> <td>97</td> <td>67</td> <td>7</td> <td>88</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>	Oklahoma	14	0	13	3	0	4	0	0	95	11	97	67	7	88	1	1
Rhode Island 2 0 3 0 0 0 0 10 2 14 12 0 12 0 0 South Carolina 17 2 7 7 0 5 0 0 92 12 59 24 0 40 0 0 South Dakota 1 0 1 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 Tennessee 21 3 23 40 9 28 0 0 157 12 133 70 5 78 0 0 Texas 33 1 39 15 3 13 0 0 268 34 253 288 35 271 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Oregon	14	2	14	12	2	13	0	0	103	12	93	76	11	75	1	1
South Carolina 17 2 7 7 0 5 0 0 92 12 59 24 0 40 0 0 South Dakota 1 0 1 10 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 Tennessee 21 3 23 40 9 28 0 0 157 12 133 70 5 78 0 0 Texas 33 1 39 15 3 13 0 0 268 34 253 288 35 271 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td< td=""><td>Pennsylvania</td><td>26</td><td>2</td><td>16</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>124</td><td>9</td><td>115</td><td>246</td><td>22</td><td>228</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>	Pennsylvania	26	2	16	0	0	1	0	0	124	9	115	246	22	228	0	0
South Dakota 1 0 1 10 0 5 0 0 32 2 38 26 0 17 0 0 Tennessee 21 3 23 40 9 28 0 0 157 12 133 70 5 78 0 0 Texas 33 1 39 15 3 13 0 0 268 34 253 288 35 271 1 1 Utah 3 1 7 4 0 4 1 143 5 49 38 15 36 0 0 Vermont 1 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Rhode Island	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	14	12	0	12	0	0
Tennessee 21 3 23 40 9 28 0 0 157 12 133 70 5 78 0 0 Texas 33 1 39 15 3 13 0 0 268 34 253 288 35 271 1 1 Utah 3 1 7 4 0 4 1 1 43 5 49 38 15 36 0 0 Vermont 1 0 3 0 0 0 0 4 1 1 43 5 49 38 15 36 0 0 Vermont 1 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 20 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	South Carolina	a 17	2	7	7	0	5	0	0	92	12	59	24	0	40	0	0
Texas331391531300268342532883527111Utah317404114354938153600Vermont1030000413513000Virgin Islands111100000020100Virginia2962022129008710871421312600Washington23319271132001281213515418151111West Virginia101900001019931031610600Wyoming3220000275272132111	South Dakota	1	0	1	10	0	5	0	0	32	2	38	26	0	17	0	0
Utah317404114354938153600Vermont103000041351300Virgin Islands111100000020100Virginia2962022129008710871421312600Vashington2331927113200128121351541815111West Virginia10190000443482101600Wisconsin808509001019931031610600Wyoming3220000275272132111	Tennessee	21	3	23	40	9	28	0	0	157	12	133	70	5	78	0	0
Vermont1030000041351300Virgin Islands111100000020100Virginia2962022129008710871421312600Washington2331927113200128121351541815111West Virginia10190000443482101600Wisconsin808509001019931031610600Wyoming3220000275272132111	Texas	33	1	39	15	3	13	0	0	268	34	253	288	35	271	1	1
Virgin Islands111100000020100Virginia2962022129008710871421312600Washington2331927113200128121351541815111West Virginia10190000443482101600Wisconsin808509001019931031610600Wyoming3220000275272132111	Utah	3	1	7	4	0	4	1	1	43	5	49	38	15	36	0	0
Virginia2962022129008710871421312600Washington2331927113200128121351541815111West Virginia10190000443482101600Wisconsin808509001019931031610600Wyoming3220000275272132111	Vermont	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	3	5	1	3	0	0
Washington 23 3 19 27 11 32 0 0 12 135 154 18 151 1 1 West Virginia 10 1 9 0 0 0 0 44 3 48 21 0 16 0 0 Wisconsin 8 0 8 5 0 9 0 0 101 9 93 103 16 106 0 0 Wyoming 3 2 2 0 0 0 0 27 5 27 21 3 21 1 1	Virgin Islands	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0		0					0	0
West Virginia 10 1 9 0 0 0 0 44 3 48 21 0 16 0 0 Wisconsin 8 0 8 5 0 9 0 0 101 9 93 103 16 106 0 0 Wyoming 3 2 2 0 0 0 0 27 5 27 21 3 21 1 1	Virginia	29	6	20	22	1	29	0	0	87	10	87	142	13	126	0	0
Wisconsin 8 0 8 5 0 9 0 101 9 93 103 16 106 0 0 Wyoming 3 2 2 0 0 0 0 27 5 27 21 3 21 1 1	Washington	23	3	19	27	11	32	0	0	128	12	135	154	18	151	1	1
Wyoming 3 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 27 5 27 21 3 21 1 1	West Virginia	10	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	44	3	48	21	0	16	0	0
	Wisconsin	8	0	8	5	0	9	0	0	101	9	93	103	16	106	0	0
TOTAL 694 84 653 694 84 653 7 5 4491 444 4280 4491 444 4280 34 26	Wyoming												21			-	
	TOTAL	694	84	653	694	84	653	7	5	4491	444	4280	4491	444	4280	34	26

VISION STATEMENT:

The Interstate Commission for Juveniles will promote public safety, victims' rights, and juvenile accountability that is balanced with safeguarding those juveniles.



www.juvenilecompact.org

836 Euclid Avenue, Suite 322 Lexington, KY 40502 859–721–1062