This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Laws of Minnesota 2014 Final Report

Date: November 14, 2018

Program or Project Title: Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014 v1

Funds Recommended: \$4,000,000

Manager's Name: Jessica Lee Organization: MN DNR Address: 500 Lafayette Road

Address 2: Box 20 City: St. Paul, MN 55155 Office Number: 651-259-5233 Email: jessica.lee@state.mn.us

Legislative Citation: ML 2014, Ch. 256, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd. 5(n)



Appropriation Language: \$4,000,000 in the second year is to the commissioner of natural resources for a program to provide competitive, matching grants of up to \$400,000 to local, regional, state, and national organizations for enhancing, restoring, or protecting forests, wetlands, prairies, or habitat for fish, game, or wildlife in the seven-county metropolitan area and cities with a population of 50,000 or greater. Grants shall not be made for activities required to fulfill the duties of owners of lands subject to conservation easements. Grants shall not be made from the appropriation in this paragraph for projects that have a total project cost exceeding \$575,000. Of this appropriation, \$250,000 ** may be spent for personnel costs and other ** direct and necessary administrative costs. Grantees may acquire land or interests in land. Easements must be permanent. Grants may not be used to establish easement stewardship accounts. Land acquired in fee must be open to hunting and fishing during the open season unless otherwise provided by law. Lands acquired or lands with easements acquired with this appropriation may not be used for emergency having and grazing in response to federal or state disaster declarations. Conservation grazing under a management plan that is already being implemented may continue. The program shall require a match of at least ten percent from nonstate sources for all grants. The match may be cash or in-kind resources. For grant applications of \$25,000 or less, the commissioner shall provide a separate, simplified application process. Subject to Minnesota Statutes, the commissioner of natural resources shall, when evaluating projects of equal value, give priority to organizations that have a history of receiving or charter to receive private contributions for local conservation or habitat projects. If acquiring land or a conservation easement, priority shall be given to projects associated with or within one mile of existing wildlife management areas under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 8; scientific and natural areas under Minnesota Statutes, sections 84.033 and 86A.05, subdivision 5; or aquatic management areas under Minnesota Statutes, sections 86A.05, subdivision 14, and 97C.02. All restoration or enhancement projects must be on land permanently protected by a permanent covenant ensuring perpetual maintenance and protection of restored and enhanced habitat, by a conservation easement, or by public ownership or in public waters as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 103G.005, subdivision 15. Priority shall be given to restoration and enhancement projects on public lands. Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.056, subdivision 13, applies to grants awarded under this paragraph. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2018. No less than five percent of the amount of each grant must be held back from reimbursement until the grant recipient has completed a grant accomplishment report by the deadline and in the form prescribed by and satisfactory to the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. The commissioner shall provide notice of the grant program in the game and fish law summary prepared under Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.051, subdivision 2. * * ML 2015, Ch. 91, Art. 1, Sec. 9 was amended

County Locations: Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Olmsted, Ramsey, Scott, Stearns, St. Louis, and Washington.

Eco regions in which work was completed:

- Northern Forest
- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Southeast Forest
- Metro / Urban

Activity types:

• Protect in Easement

- Restore
- Enhance
- Protect in Fee

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Forest
- Prairie
- Habitat

Summary of Accomplishments:

The Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program is managed by the Department of Natural Resources to provide competitive matching grants of up to \$400,000 to local, regional, state, and national non-profit organizations and government entities.

Process & Methods:

The CPL program fulfills MS 97a.056 Subd. 3a, directing LSOHC to establish a conservation partner's grant program encouraging/supporting local conservation efforts. \$3,930,000 of the requested \$4,000,000 will be available for grants. The CPL metro grant program will depend on support and technical advice from public land managers, habitat and acquisition specialists, and support staff. Stakeholders involved in this program include applicants, reviewers, and land managers. No opposition is known.

Grant activities include enhancement, restoration, and protection of forests, wetlands, prairies, and habitat for fish, game, or wildlife in Minnesota's municipalities and metro areas. Applicants will describe the project location, activity type and habitat, benefit to habitat, fish, game and wildlife, and duration of benefits. A 10% match from nonstate sources is required for all grants. Match may be cash or inkind, and must be identified at time of application.

The metro grant program will be managed alongside the traditional Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program. All personnel costs will be provided through the traditional CPL admin budget. CPL Program Staff will develop a Request for Proposal (RFP)/ Program Manual incorporating LSOHC priorities. Staff will also solicit applications, work with applicants to submit scoreable applications, oversee grant selection, prepare/execute grant documents, review expenditure documentation ensuring financial integrity, make payments, monitor grant work, assist recipients with closing out agreements, and prepare required reports. CPL staff complies with the Department of Administration-Office of Grants Management policies.

Application Process

The RFP/Program Manual will be posted on the CPL website in August 2014. The metro CPL grant cycle will have one guaranteed grant round and a second round if more than \$500,000 remains. Applications will be accepted online through mid-September for Round 1. The traditional CPL grant application system will be modified to include the metro cycle. Projects under \$25,000 will have a simplified application. After two grant rounds, the Council may recommend the transfer of remaining funds to the Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014. DNR may choose to make additional awards under this announcement, consistent with DNR and OHF policy and guidance, if additional funding becomes available or if a grantee cannot complete a project as planned.

Grant Selection Process

Metro CPL applications will be scored and ranked using a revised evaluation criteria based on the list below. CPL Grant Program Staff will review applications for completeness. Technical Review Committees, selected by the Commissioner of Natural Resources, will evaluate applications and a final score will be given to all applications. Committees may include representatives from local or county park districts, DNR, BWSR, the University of MN, state universities or private colleges, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, or other appropriate members from government, non-profit and business organizations. A final ranking committee comprised of directors of the DNR Divisions of Fish and Wildlife, Ecological and Water Resources, and Forestry will consider the TRC, Division and Regional DNR comments and recommend projects to the Commissioner. The Commissioner will make final funding decisions. CPL Grant Program staff work with grantees to complete financial reviews, grant agreements, and other paperwork. Work may not begin until grant is executed.

Application Criteria
Applications will be evaluated on the following criteria:
Amount/quality of habitat restored, enhanced, or protected
Local support
Degree of collaboration
Urgency
Multiple benefits
Habitat benefits

Consistency with current conservation science
Adjacent to protected lands
Full funding of project
Budget/cost effectiveness
Public access
Use of native plant materials
Applicants' capacity to successfully complete, sustain work

Project Reviews and Reporting

Grantees submit annual accomplishment reports on forms provided by CPL staff, based on L-SOHC report forms. Reports account for the use of grant/match funds, and outcomes in measures of wetlands, prairies, forests, and fish, game, and wildlife habitat restored, enhanced, and protected. The report must include an evaluation of these results. A final report is required by all grantees 30 days after project completion.

CPL Grant staff will submit accomplishment reports to L-SOHC as required and post reports on CPL website.

CPL Administration Budget

Grant administration costs of \$70,000 will be billed using actual costs. Costs include travel, equipment, supplies, and professional services for outreach, monitoring, application and database maintenance, and advertising. An internal Service Level Agreement (SLA) will be developed with DNR's Management Information Systems to update/manage the online grant application system. Direct Support Services costs will be minimal as all salary costs for this program will come out of the Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014 admin funds. The admin budget from the traditional CPL grant program will fund the three FTEs necessary to run this new program along with the ongoing CPL grant program with a cumulative budget of over \$20 million. As of May 2013, there are 148 active CPL grants, and an additional 42 grants have recently been completed and closed. Having 3 FTEs will ensure that the CPL program is able to effectively promote the program, monitor grants, and meet all program requirements.

DNR Land Acquisition Costs

Applicants are required to budget for DNR Land Acquisition costs that are necessary to support the land acquisition process for parcels to be conveyed to the DNR. These costs are billed to awarded grants on a professional services basis.

DNR Technical Support

The Division of Fish and Wildlife provides ongoing technical guidance, helping applicants prepare grant proposals and meet requirements for working on state lands. Project development and oversight is provided by area managers and additional guidance is provided for land acquisitions.

Grantee Payment

Grantees are paid on a reimbursement or "for services rendered" basis, meaning payment is made to the grantee after work has been performed or materials have been purchased, but before the vendor is paid by the grantee. Grantees must provide proof that work has been completed or a purchase has been made in order to receive payment. Proof that the vendor was paid must be submitted to CPL staff before additional grant payments are made. Funds may be advanced to acquisition projects to accommodate cash flow.

Explain Partners, Supporters, & Opposition:

CPL works with partners from all over the state, including nonprofit organizations (local and national) and local, county, and federal government. No opposition is known.

Additional Comments:

Exceptional challenges, expectations, failures, opportunities, or unique aspects of program

This program is unique in that it works with over 100 partners around the state and provides local investment

Other Funds Received:

- Arts and Cultural Heritage Fund
- Environmental and Natural Resource Trust Fund
- Clean Water Fund
- Parks and Trails Fund

How were the funds used to advanced the program:

The CPL grant program has the potential to complement all of these other programs and projects supported with constitutional funds by allowing organizations to access Outdoor Heritage Funds for smaller, local projects. Lack of funding is consistently listed in many plans as one of the largest issues limiting the amount of habitat work and protection that is completed each year. Specific benefits are

dependent upon projects submitted by applicants.

What is the plan to sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:

Successful applicants include long-term maintenance plans in their applications, which are considered greatly by the technical review committees.

Outcomes:

The original accomplishment plan stated the program would

Programs in the northern forest region:

• All outcomes are dependent on grantee's project choices.

How will the outcomes be measured and evaluated?

Outcomes are measured and evaluated by the grantee's final report and a monitoring visit

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

• All outcomes are dependent on grantee's project choices.

How will the outcomes be measured and evaluated?

Outcomes are measured and evaluated by the grantee's final report and a monitoring visit

Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

• All outcomes are dependent on grantee's project choices.

How will the outcomes be measured and evaluated?

Outcomes are measured and evaluated by the grantee's final report and a monitoring visit

Programs in southeast forest region:

• All outcomes are dependent on grantee's project choices.

How will the outcomes be measured and evaluated?

Outcomes are measured and evaluated by the grantee's final report and a monitoring visit

Budget Spreadsheet

Final Budget line item reallocations are allowed up to 10% and do not need require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan

Total Amount: \$4,000,000

Budget and Cash Leverage

BudgetName	Request	Spent	Cash Leverage (anticipated)	Cash Leverage (received)	Leverage Source	Total (original)	Total (final)
Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Contracts	\$3,930,000	\$3,453,000	\$393,000		local partners provide at least 10% match	\$4,323,000	\$4,730,600
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Easement Stewardship	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Travel	\$10,000	\$200	\$0	\$0		\$10,000	\$200
Professional Services	\$50,000	\$29,000	\$0	\$0		\$50,000	\$29,000
Direct Support Services	\$6,000	\$11,000	\$0	\$0		\$6,000	\$11,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$2,000	\$0
Supplies/Materials	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$2,000	\$0
DNR IDP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Total	\$4,000,000	\$3,493,200	\$393,000	\$1,277,600		\$4,393,000	\$4,770,800

Explain any budget challenges or successes:

Not Listed

All revenues received by the recipient that have been generated from activities on land with money from the OHF:

Total Revenue: \$0
Revenue Spent: \$0
Revenue Balance: \$0

Output Tables

Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands (original)	Wetlands (final)	Prairies (original)	Prairies (final)	Forest (original)	Forest (final)	Habitats (original)	Habitats (final)	Total (original)	Total (final)
Restore	0	81	0	466	0	710	0	1,051	0	2,308
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	58	0	229	0	9	0	296
Total	0	81	0	524	0	939	0	1,060	0	2,604

Table 1b. How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie?

Туре	Native Prairie (original)	Native Prairie (final)
Restore	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0
Enhance	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands (original)	Wetlands (final)	Prairies (original)	Prairies (final)	Forest (original)	Forest (final)	Habitats (original)	Habitats (final)	Total (original)	Total (final)
Restore	\$0	\$237,900	\$0	\$803,600	\$0	\$1,052,900	\$0	\$653,800	\$0	\$2,748,200
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$119,300	\$0	\$185,500	\$0	\$400,000	\$0	\$704,800
Total	\$0	\$237,900	\$0	\$922,900	\$0	\$1,238,400	\$0	\$1,053,800	\$0	\$3,453,000

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro Urban (original)	Metro Urban (final)	Forest Prairie (original)	Forest Prairie (final)	SE Forest (original)		Prairie (original)	Prairie (final)	N Forest (original)		Total (original)	Total (final)
Restore	0	2,040	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	178	0	2,308
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	243	0	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	296
Total	0	2,283	0	90	0	53	0	0	0	178	0	2,604

Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro Urban (original)	Metro Urban (final)	ForestPrairie (original)	Forest Prairie (final)	SEForest (original)		Prairie (original)	Prairie (final)	N Forest (original)	N Forest (final)	Total (original)	Total (final)
Restore	\$0	\$2,069,800	\$0	\$278,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$399,500	\$0	\$2,748,200
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$257,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$447,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$704,800
Total	\$0	\$2,327,500	\$0	\$278,900	\$0	\$447,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$399,500	\$0	\$3,453,000

Automatic system calculation / not entered by managers

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles (original)

0

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles (final)

0

Explain the success/shortage of acre goals:

Not Listed

Parcel List

Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

Carver Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
Carver Soil and Water South Fork Crow River Bank and Habitat Restoration		2	\$140,883		The Carver County Soil and Water Conservation District proposes to restore and improve stream habitat on a 790 stretch of the South Fork Crow River while also stabilizing an eroding streambank. The South Fork Crow River is showing impairment for aquatic recreation, impairments for fish and macroinvertebrate communities, and turbidity which arise from insufficient in- stream habitat, streambank erosion, and a lack of native riparian vegetation. The Carver SWCD proposes to 1) install in-stream habitat features (such as toe wood and root wads) that protect the streambank and provide refuge areas for fish and other aquatic species; 2) Stabilize a rapidly eroding streambank to prevent further erosion, decrease downstream sediment delivery, and increase resilience to intense storm events; and 3) vegetate the streambank with native plants to filter runoff, reducing the delivery of pollutants to the steam that adversely affect dissolved oxygen, and provide new pollinator and upland wildlife habitat. The restoration will consist of a multitude of different features that will provide new and improved habitats and stabilize the eroding banks. Those features include creating a more gradual meander of the channel, and using toe-wood, root wads and sod mats to provide bank stability. Furthermore, the channel at the project location will be narrowed during the channel work which will combine with the habitat features to increase floodplain access, improve habitat and maintain a channel substrate with significantly less sand and fine sediment. The Arboretum is proposing to restore five
Minnesota Landscape Arboretum Lake Tamarack Habitat Restoration	11623207	24	\$81,677	Yes	native ecosystems along the shores of vulnerable Lake Tamarack in Carver County. Restored habitats will include: Prairie - A 6.2 acre field that was previously in row crops will be converted to native prairie. Woodland-Approximately 4.3 acres of bigwoods will be restored. Visitors will have an opportunity to explore majestic stands of oaks, maple and basswood once all buckthorn has been removed. Pollinator Meadow - A 1.5 acre depression will be planted with a seed mix that will attract bees, butterflies, and other pollinators. Wetlands - The edges of the wetlands surrounding Lake Tamarack and the edge of the wetlands along the entrance road (4.8 acres total) will be treated for reed canary grass and planted with native wetland species. Old Pasture Area - This 4.7 acres will be controlled for invasives and provide habitat for ground-nesting birds.
Three Rivers Park District Carver Co .Rd. 11 Prairie	11624203	165	\$198,360	Yes	The Carver Park Reserve Prairie is the first phase of a 430 acre prairie/savanna complex. The existing oldfield will be restored to mesic prairie with a diversity of native grasses and forbs. The cluster of large bur oaks in the east unit will be managed as savanna with additional grass and forb species added. A planned bike trail will eventually form the firebreak for the east unit. The restored prairie will increase the habitat for numerous SGCN listed grassland birds. The diverse forb component in the prairie will benefit a number of native pollinators.

Dakota

Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
Dakota County Buck Pond Prairie and Woodland Restoration	02723234	175	\$351,495	Yes	Lebanon Hills is the largest park in the Dakota County system and offers opportunities for fishing, hunting, recreation, and environmental education. The work will consist of restoring degraded fields and a wetland by removing exotic invasive species and replacing them with over 100 species of native forbs, sedges, and grasses. The small wetland restoration will provide an oasis to small numbers of waterfowl, deer, amphibians, and shoreline birds. With the removal of invasive shrubs in the woodlands, native woody plants and forbs will thrive once again in the sunlight. Habitat and bio diversity will improve for game and non-game wildlife such as deer, birds, and pollinators.
Dako ta County Plateau Prairie and Woodland Restoration	11518227	49	\$105,149	Yes	This project will restore approximately 49 acres within Spring Lake Park Reserve which is a 1500 acre park that is contained within the Mississippi River Flyway Corridor and provides hunting, recreation, and wildlife protection. This project will transform nearly 18 acres of agricultural land and degraded fields into native prairie with over 100 species of native forbs and grasses; and it will remove invasive species throughout a 31 acre forest that will allownative woody plants to thrive once again with the increased sunlight. This project will not only improve hunting land, but it will also create a wildlife habitat corridor that will connect to the Mississippi River Flyway Project site which is currently being restored with CPL Grant funding from 2013.
Minneso ta Zoological Garden Restoration for Wildlife	11520215	21	\$99,442	Yes	Best known as one of Minnesota's premier conservation, education and recreational institutions with 1.2 million guests visiting its exhibits annually, the Minnesota Zoo manages a 485 acre campus that includes 282 acres of natural land of tremendous biological importance in the Twin Cities metropolitan area. Located within an officially designated regionally significant conservation corridor, this natural land currently has 16 different land cover types ranging from oak forest and woodlands to rare wetland communities such as vernal pools and northern rich fens. While these lands show signs of their agricultural past, the Zoos vision is to re-establish the full spectrum of habitats indigenous to the region—many of which are extremely rare in the metropolitan area—and manage the restored lands to preserve their ecological value for native plants and wildlife.

Hennepin

Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board Bluff St Park Bedrock Bluff Prairie Restoration	02924225	2	\$27,504	Yes	Bluff Street Park provides a unique opportunity to restore a landscape type that is rare in the state and all but no nexistent in the metro area. Due to urban development on river bluff tops, bedrock bluff prairie is often absent from the interconnected habitats found within the Mississippi River gorge.
Three Rivers Park District Restoring a remnant Big Woods Forest	11924232	327	\$108,916	Yes	Lake Rebecca Park Reserve is located near the center of what was once a large expanse of mesic hardwood forest. The term Big Woods was given to this area by early European explorers and described the tall, straight, and shady forests found at that time. Over the ensuing 150+ years these forests would be so extensively manipulated that the remaining forests would represent a mere fraction of their original quality and extent. In Hennepin County alone only about 1% of the original Big Woods forest acreage remains. Phase I of this project will address some of the major structural issues that are affecting the long-term viability of these forests. Target forest cover type will be a southern mesic maplebasswood forest (MHs39 as per the MN DNR ECS). This long-term project will control invasive species over a roughly 275 acre area. It will also attempt to address the lack of native species regeneration found in much of the understory of these forests via stand manipulations & plantings.
Wood Lake Nature Center Wood Lake Prairie Restoration Project	02824233	12	\$44,483	Yes	The Wood Lake Prairie Restoration and Enhancement Project will bring the health back to the prairie and adjacent savanna area. The project would include treatments of prescribe burning, cutting, seeding of native prairie seed, mo wing, and intergrated plant management. Wood Lake hosts over 70,000 visitors annually, this proposal would aid in the management and further restoration of a showcase prairie with oak savanna. Visitors would be able to experience a flourishing native Minnesota prairie in an urban setting. Also, the proposal would encourage the conservation of both animal and plant species such and Eastern Bluebirds, Monarch Butterflies, Five-lined Skinks, Red Fox, Prairie Smoke, Leadplant, Butterfly Weed, and Prairie Rose.

Olmsted

Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
City of Rochester Park and Recreation Department Cascade Lake Habitat Enhancement	10714233	9	\$400,000	Yes	The Cascade Lake Habitat Enhancement project (9ac) will restore 4 wetland communities (2.1ac), enhance aquatic habitat (4.2ac) and improve angling opportunities for 108,992 residents within Rochester city limits. Several reclaimed gravel pits have transformed Cascade Lake into a 100-acre basin. However, typical shorelines of reclaimed pits are too steep to form natural transitions from upland to aquatic habitats and do not provide safe access for angling or recreation. This project will successfully restore 1.7ac of natural riparian buffer, stabilize 1.0ac of shoreline and transform littoral areas to gradual (~10:1) slopes to create habitat. Aquatic habitat for various bird and fish species will be enhanced by planting local source native plants, while also preventing erosion, protecting water quality, and providing critical habitat for wildlife. In addition, 3 fishing access points and 9 fish attraction structures will be installed to provide fish cover and improve angling success.
RNeighbors, Rochester Neighborhood Resource Center Indian Heights Park- Zumbro River Urban Corridor	10714226	44	\$47,139	Yes	This Indian Heights-Zumbro River Urban corridor project consists of restoring native flora and fauna communities on undeveloped public lands. Currently these lands are used for passive recreation, (e.g., walking, bird watching, nature appreciation, fishing) all less than one mile from downtown. Minimal disturbance is expected as the project will kill only non-native species such as buckthorn, honeysuckle, garlic mustard, reed canary grass and tree species that are non-typical in the respective natural environments. Invasive species will be cut and chemically treated by professionals [e.g., Conservation Corps(CCM) of MN & IA] and volunteers. Power tools will not be used due to the nature of these areas and the volunteers doing the work. As the native environments regenerate prescribed burns will be scheduled.

Ramsey

Ramsey Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
City of Roseville Roseville Parks Wildlife Habitat Restoration	02923212	282	\$113,369	Yes	Roseville proposes to restore 106 acres of diverse wildlife habitat at two City-owned, open space Discovery Parks, including oak forest, savanna, prairie, emergent wetland and shallow lake shoreline. These habitats support remnant plant communities rare for an urban core area (within 3.5 miles of downtown St. Paul). Habitat will be improved for game species (especially wood duck), nongame species (birds and pollinators), as well as improve shoreline and near-shore habitat in Bennett Lake (a MN DNR kids Fishing In the Neighborhood lake).
City of Saint Paul, Dept of Parks and Recreation Hidden Falls Floodplain Forest Enhancement	02823220	59	\$116,367	Yes	This program will enhance and manage approximately 59 acres of disturbed floodplain forest along the Mississippi River, within Hidden Falls-Crosby Farm Regional Park, through reforestation efforts and invasive species removal and control. The project will improve tree canopy diversity, increase connectedness of high-quality forests, increase the probability of a self-sustaining forest community, reduce sedimentation of impaired waterbodies, and improve habitat for fish and wildlife. This program will focus on a significant land parcel in the Mississippi River Critical Area, within the Mississippi National River and Recreation Area (MNRRA) and the Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife Refuge Important Bird Area. Work will be guided by the Great River Passage Master Plan (July 2012) and modeled off of recommendations for floodplain forest enhancement as suggested by the Crosby Farm Regional Park Ecological Inventory and Restoration Management Plan (Great River Greening, 2005).
Ramsey County Parks & Recreation Lower Fish Creek Restoration Expansion Project	02822223	84	\$184,013	Yes	Fish Creek Open Space is located within the Mississippi River Corridor in the southern section Maplewood, MN. The land consists of steep terrain and is covered with a mix of native old growth oaks and maples. The steep terrain hosts varying understory species ranging from maiden hair ferns on the north facing slopes to porcupine grass on the south facing slopes. An 80 acre plateau above the Fish Creek open space, owned by the City of Maplewood, is currently being restored through grant funding provided by A Natural and Scenic Area grant from Department of Natural Resources, a grant from the Minnesota Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund, and bonding funds from the State of Minnesota. In accordance with the Fish Creek master plan that was drafted in 2012, the objective is to continue restoration efforts throughout the entire Lower Fish Creek open space to preserve and protect these unique blufflands.
Ramsey County Parks & Recreation Northwest Bluffs Wildlife Habitat Project	02822203	72	\$255,353	Yes	The Ramsey County bluff lands within the north west section of Battle Creek park consist of interlinking prairie, oak savanna, oak forests and shrub swamp seep wetlands. This eclectic mix of habitats provides shelter and food for upland game birds, nesting birds, waterfowl, and white-tailed deer. Pursuant to the Ramsey County Parks and Recreation Natural Resources Management Plan and the Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare action plan the goal of this project is to restore this 72 acre critical bluff land habitat site along the Mississippi River Corridor within Ramsey County.

Scott Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
MN Valley National Wildlife Refuge Trust, Inc. MN Valley NWR - St. Lawrence Unit Restoration	11424228	79	\$59,000	Yes	The proposed project will restore the historic habitat for 60 acres of previously farmed agricultural land in Scott County that was recently acquired by the MN Valley National Wildlife Trust, Inc. (MVT) as an addition to the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge - St. Lawrence Unit (198 acres). Through historical analysis, the Project Area was historically an alluvial, slope wetland. The Rauville soil type that occurs throughout the Project Area is a rare soil found only in one other location in Scott County. The historical ecological community associated to this distinct soil type was unique and rare in Scott County. The proposed activities are to restore alluvial plain and slope wetland habitat by preparing the soil bed for seeding, purchasing native local eco-type seed, broadcasting native seed, and release mowing seeded areas. This proposed project will complement the 30-acre restoration recently completed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in 2011 adjacent to the Project Area. The proposed project will establish native wetland (hydrophytic) plant species, provide habitat for migratory and local birds, native pollinators, and wildlife, and increase the nesting success of migratory and resident birds. The project is located in the Minnesota River valley and, thus, will provide stop-over habitat for many migrating and resident bird species. Restoring the historically native vegetation will benefit ecosystem services, including plant community diversity and species richness, reduction of sedimentation and nutrient loading in the Minnesota River, flood water retention, and habitat suitable for wildlife. In addition, the project will complement the Adjacent 2,800+ acres of habitat along the Minnesota River consisting of the St. Lawrence Unit (MVT / FWS, 445 acres) and the Minnesota Valley State Recreation Area (MN Dept. of Natural Resources, 2,388 acres).
Prior Lake-Spring Lake Watershed District Spring Lake Carp Management Project	11422209	590	\$17,917	Yes	The project site is located across the lake from Spring Lake Regional Park and lies within a metro conservation corridor for wildlife. Bald eagles are a common sight on Spring Lake and Blanding's turtles have been documented across the lake from the project site. Based on estimates from a 2014 electro fishing report, Spring Lake's carp population (343.5 kg/ha) exceeds the minimal threshold of 100 kg/ha for managing carp densities in Minnesota lakes. The feeding habits of common carp disturb the lake bottom and uproot native plants, releasing nutrients and stimulating algal blooms. Carp reproduce in large numbers, dominating local fisheries and destroying habitat for waterfowl, native fish and amphibians. Long-term management of carp will provide a significant ecological improvement for Spring Lake which is currently listed by the MPCA as an impaired water. PLSLWD is requesting funds to support the installation of a temporary, low maintenance barrier at the junction of Spring Lake and an upstream pond to protect Spring Lake from a rebound in carp abundance after a portion of the adult population is removed. This project is part of an overall integrated pest management (IPM) approach to help control common carp populations and bring them below a damaging level.

Scott County Forest Enhancement at Spring Lake Regional Park	11422204	170	\$69,124	The Spring Lake Forest Enhancement project will enhance and manage approximately 140 acres of forest, including 90 acres of remnant native Maple-basswood (MHs39) and 50 acres of degraded forest, in Spring Lake Regional Park located in south central Scott County. This grant request is being made to enhance native forest habitat for the benefit of wildlife particularly for birds and animals associated with large areas of mature deciduous forest, and to protect remnant native forest. This will be done by controlling invasive plants on 140 acres, which will reduce pressure from woody invasive plant species on site; and through reforestation and planting of 11 acres of forest, resulting in an expanded native forest cover, improved connectedness of native forest habitat, and an increase in the sites resiliency as a forest habitat. An important additional benefit is the reduction of potential sedimentation and pollutant loading to an adjacent wetland and nearby lake.
---	----------	-----	----------	--

Stearns

Stearns Name	TRDS	Acres	TotalCost	Existing Protection?	Description
City of St. Cloud River Bluffs Restoration	12428236	59	\$65,262		Proposal to Restore and Manage Prairie Areas at River Bluffs Regional Park in St. Cloud, MN For a Five Year Period (for the sake of this application the five year plan will be condenced into three years). Fully restore 12 Acre Campbell prairie remnant an environmentally sensitive site located at River Bluffs Park. Maintaining 30 acre (Lower Field) and 14 acre (Upper Field) of year 2010 reconstructed prairies at River Bluffs Park. Management Principles to Include: o Mechanical removal of non desirable woody tree species o Prescribed Burns (Years 1, 2, 4, and 5) o Integrated Plant Management (Years 1-5) o Primary target species observed on site: Canada thistle, Canada goldenrod, Siberian elm, common mullein, sweetclover, reed canary grass, quack grass, and others o Primarily mechanical control of annuals and biennials, with chemical control of perennial species The City would desire to hire contracted services to accomplish the full restoration and maintenance.
City of St. Cloud River Bluffs Restoration - Phase #2	12428236	32	\$34,792	Yes	Forest Restoration – The project intends to sanitize and remove a 2.0 acre Oak Wilt pocket located within the park. The project also intends to perform buckthorn removal in a key 30 acre section located in the southern part of the park near scenic overlooks of the Mississippi River. Restoration of forested ecosystems has multiple benefits to birds, small game, mammals and forest health. Primarily mechanical control of annuals and biennials, with chemical control of perennial species. Oak Wilt sanitation of diseased oak's to be removed and chipped onsite. Buckthorn removal will consist of cut, slash and burn as well as herbicide application on smaller seedlings. If burning is not applicable, material will be chipped onsite. All stumps will intend to be treated with herbicide to prevent any regro wth.
City of St. Cloud Sauk River Dam Removal and Streambank Restoration	12428203	2	\$178,873	Yes	This project proposal consists of removing the dam, restoring the Sauk River channel and riparian bank. In addition, riffles and pools will be reestablished in this section of the water resource to restore the natural flow conditions. This will result in improvement to the quality of in stream habitat, reconnecting the native fish, mussel species, as well as invertebretes and macroinvertibretes. This will have a positive impact on the Sauk River and do wnstream Mississippi River. In addition the degraded upstream and downstream riparian corridors will be re-established. This will be accomplished by the use of natural streambank techniques and planting of many native plant species adjacent to the river. The result will be a fully connected stream and riparian corridor for fish and wildlife to thrive.

St. Louis

Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
City of Duluth Western Waterfront Restoration	04915213	178	\$399,473	Yes	The St. Louis River and its freshwater estuary are critical to the region's fish and wildlife populations and Lake Superior's biological productivity. The undeveloped, riparian lands within the City of Duluth from Fond du Lac Dam to the Minnesota entry are critical to go als to maintain and improve the area's fish and wildlife populations. Protecting and restoring riparian habitats supports the City's outdoor recreation, environmental education, and redevelopment vision. Duluth has a robust vision for the St. Louis River Corridor: to restore native plant communitie for wildlife, support outdoor recreation, reconnect western Duluth communities to the River, and foster sustainable economic development. Phase I will protect and restore the native plant diversity and habitat structure on 33 acres along a 3.35 mile reach from Tallus Island to Kingsbury Creek. Project partners will eventually rehabilitate native plant communities on 348 acres. A successful restoration project incorporates a number okey tasks: site assessment, project planning, site preparation, species selection, planting specifications, setting species and habitat targets, monitoring, and maintenance. Pollinator Best Management Practices, DNR restoration guidelines and best practices guide the restoration plan (1). Firmly established restoration plan (1). Firmly established restoration sites are stable over the span of the native plant community's successional stage. All restoration is located on publically owned land designated in the Duluth Comprehensive Land Use Plan as preservation, or river front recreation. These land uses limit development to low intensity uses such as trails and water access for fishing, cano eing and kayaking and are expected to remain in perpetuity.

Name	TRDS	Acres	T o tal Cost	Existing Protection?	Description
City of Cottage Grove Cottage Grove Habitat Corridor Restoration	02721208	22	\$50,000		The primary green belt of wildlife habitat in the City of Cottage Grove is the Cottage Grove Greenway Corridor, a natural resources corridor that connects Cottage Grove Ravine Regional Park on the east side of the city with the well-knowlandmark of the Camels Hump on the west side. The Park & Recreation Department has established a long-term goal of connecting remnant prairie, oak woodland, wetlands and the Hidden Valley Kids Fishing In the Neighborhood pond with high quality restored native plant communities. The corridor connects MN DNR Biological Survey high quality communities as well as diverse native habitats. The proposed restoration area is a key habitat identified for high quality restoration by the City and represents one of the last segments to be restored to accomplish that long-term goal. The project location and immediately adjacent remnant native plant communities are known to support two Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) listed in Minnesotas State Wildlife Plan (Tomorrows Habitat for the Wild & Rare, with high potential for supporting an additional 16 species of birds, mammals and other wildlife listed as SGCN. The primary prairie area prposed for restoration is coaligned with an Xcel Energy power transmission corridor. Cottage Grove has successfully partnered with Xcel Energy on similar projects in other prairie restoration projects in the Habitat Corridor. Xcel recognizes the multiple benefits of restoring prairie to the corridor, including how maintaining grasses and flowers (with minimal amounts of tree/brush) not only benefits wildlife and recreation, but is also consistent with their goals of maintaining relatively open habitats co-located with their corridors. Xcel has in the past contributed inkind site preparation services for prairie restoration work completed by the City of Cottage Grove. Xcel has again committed to assisting the City with restoring quality prairie, although we do not know the exact amount at the time of this application - we propose that any in-kind fundin
City of Woodbury Woodbury Core Forest Wildlife Habitat Restoration	02821201	134	\$232,215	Yes	The Woodbury Core Habitat Ecological Restoration Project seeks to restore quality oak woodland/forest habitat at three Cityowned open space sites. Goals of the project are to reduce nonnative plant cover, increase habitat quality for game and nongame species (including pollinators, state-listed species known to occur in the area and Species in Greatest Conservation Need). The project will also be important for improving the buffering capacity of upland areas surrounding La Lake and its fishery, as well as multiple forested wetlands at all three project sites (forested wetlands have exceptionally high wildlife habitat value).
					We plan to enhance 14.5 acres of natural area within a 43-acre park owned by the City of Cottage Grove. A management plan was written for this site in 2012 by FMR. This project represents Phase 2 of a larger restoration project, of which Phase 1 started in 2013. The project area is located at the western end of

Friends of the Mississippi River Gateway North Forest & Prairie Restoration	02721207	14	\$72,183 Yes	the property and contains about 6.4 acres of dry bedrock bluff prairie (UPs13c), an uncommon and vulnerable plant community in the region. Also found within the project are are 2.5 acres of oak woodland and 2.3 acres of oak forest. The focus and priority of the project will be the bluff prairie. The prairie is badly overgrown with woody brush, much of it being exotic. We propose to remove the brush, control the re-sprouts and herbaceous weeds, conduct prescribed burns, and seed with a local ecotype seed mixto enhance and restore the site.
---	----------	----	--------------	--

Section 2 - Protect Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type protect.

Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

No parcels with an activity type protect and has buildings.

Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity

No parcels with an other activity type.

Completed Parcel: Carver Soil and Water South Fork Crow River Bank and Habitat Restoration

# of T otal Acres:	2
County:	Carver
Township:	116
Range:	26
Direction:	2
Section:	18
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$140,883

Completed Parcel: City of Cottage Grove Cottage Grove Habitat Corridor Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	22
County:	Washington
Township:	027
Range:	21
Direction:	2
Section:	08
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$50,000

Completed Parcel: City of Duluth Western Waterfront Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	178
County:	St. Louis
T o wnship:	049
Range:	15
Direction:	2
Section:	13
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Fo rest:	178
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amo unt of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$399,473

Completed Parcel: City of Rochester Park and Recreation Department Cascade Lake Habitat Enhancement

# of T o tal Acres:	9
County:	Olmsted
Township:	107
Range:	14
Direction:	2
Section:	33
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$400,000

Completed Parcel: City of Roseville Roseville Parks Wildlife Habitat Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	282
Co unty:	Ramsey
T o wnship:	029
Range:	23
Direction:	2
Section:	12
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Fo rest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$113,369

Completed Parcel: City of Saint Paul, Dept of Parks and Recreation Hidden Falls Floodplain Forest Enhancement

# of T o tal Acres:	59
County:	Ramsey
Township:	028
Range:	23
Direction:	2
Section:	20
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	59
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$116,367

Completed Parcel: City of St. Cloud River Bluffs Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	59
Co unty:	Stearns
T o wnship:	124
Range:	28
Direction:	2
Section:	36
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	59
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$65,262

Completed Parcel: City of St. Cloud River Bluffs Restoration - Phase #2

# of T o tal Acres:	32
County:	Stearns
Township:	124
Range:	28
Direction:	2
Section:	36
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	32
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$34,792

Completed Parcel: City of St. Cloud Sauk River Dam Removal and Streambank Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	2
County:	Stearns
Township:	124
Range:	28
Direction:	2
Section:	03
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	2
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$178,873

Completed Parcel: City of Woodbury Woodbury Core Forest Wildlife Habitat Restoration

# of T otal Acres:	134
County:	Washington
Township:	028
Range:	21
Direction:	2
Section:	01
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$232,215

Completed Parcel: Dakota County Buck Pond Prairie and Woodland Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	175
Co unty:	Dakota
T o wnship:	027
Range:	23
Direction:	2
Section:	34
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Fo rest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	175
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$351,495

Completed Parcel: Dakota County Plateau Prairie and Woodland Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	49
Co unty:	Dakota
T o wnship:	115
Range:	18
Direction:	2
Section:	27
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Fo rest:	49
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$105,149

Completed Parcel: Friends of the Mississippi River Gateway North Forest & Prairie Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	14	4
Co unty:	M	Vashington
Township:	0:	27
Range:	2	1
Direction:	2	!
Section:	0.	7
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:		
# of Acres: Forest:		
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	14	4
Amount of Shorline:		
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):		
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Y	'es
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$	72,183

Completed Parcel: Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board Bluff St Park Bedrock Bluff Prairie Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	2	
County:	Hennepin	
Township:	029	
Range:	24	
Direction:	2	
Section:	25	
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:		
# of Acres: Fo rest:		
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	2	
Amount of Shorline:		
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):		
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes	
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$27,504	

Completed Parcel: Minnesota Landscape Arboretum Lake Tamarack Habitat Restoration

23.5
Carver
116
23
2
07
23.5
Yes
\$81,677

Completed Parcel: Minnesota Zoological Garden Restoration for Wildlife

# of T o tal Acres:	21
County:	Dakota
Township:	115
Range:	20
Direction:	2
Section:	15
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$99,442

Completed Parcel: MN Valley National Wildlife Refuge Trust, Inc. MN Valley NWR - St. Lawrence Unit Restoration

# of T o tal Acres:	79.3
County:	Scott
Township:	114
Range:	24
Direction:	2
Section:	28
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	79.3
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$59,000

Completed Parcel: Prior Lake-Spring Lake Watershed District Spring Lake Carp Management Project

# - £ T - 4 - 1 A - m - c	500
# of T otal Acres:	590
County:	Scott
T o wnship:	114
Range:	22
Direction:	2
Section:	09
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$17,917

Completed Parcel: Ramsey County Parks & Recreation Lower Fish Creek Restoration Expansion Project

# of T o tal Acres:	84
County:	Ramsey
Township:	028
Range:	22
Direction:	2
Section:	23
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	84
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$184,013

Completed Parcel: Ramsey County Parks & Recreation Northwest Bluffs Wildlife Habitat Project

# of T o tal Acres:	72
County:	Ramsey
Township:	028
Range:	22
Direction:	2
Section:	03
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	72
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$255,353

Completed Parcel: RNeighbors, Rochester Neighborhood Resource Center Indian Heights Park-Zumbro River Urban Corridor

# of T o tal Acres:	44
County:	Olmsted
Township:	107
Range:	14
Direction:	2
Section:	26
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	44
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$47,139

Completed Parcel: Scott County Forest Enhancement at Spring Lake Regional Park

170
Scott
114
22
2
04
170
Yes
\$69,124

Completed Parcel: Three Rivers Park District Carver Co.Rd. 11 Prairie

# of T otal Acres:	165
County:	Carver
Township:	116
Range:	24
Direction:	2
Section:	03
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	165
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$198,360

Completed Parcel: Three Rivers Park District Restoring a remnant Big Woods Forest

# of T otal Acres:	327
County:	Hennepin
Township:	119
Range:	24
Direction:	2
Section:	32
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	327
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
T o tal cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$108,916

Completed Parcel: Wood Lake Nature Center Wood Lake Prairie Restoration Project

# of T o tal Acres:	12
County:	Hennepin
Township:	028
Range:	24
Direction:	2
Section:	33
# of Acres: Wetlands/Upland:	
# of Acres: Forest:	
# of Acres: Prairie/Grassland:	12
Amount of Shorline:	
Name of Adjacent Body of Water (if applicable):	
Has there been signage erected at the site:	Yes
Total cost of Restoration/Enhancement:	\$44,483

Parcel Map

