

# METROPOLITAN COUNCIL 2017 ANNUAL REPORT TO THE MINNESOTA LEGISLATURE



# The Council's mission is to foster efficient and economic growth for a prosperous metropolitan region

---

## Metropolitan Council Members

Alene Tchourumoff	Chair	Edward Reynoso	District 9
Katie Rodriguez	District 1	Marie McCarthy	District 10
Lona Schreiber	District 2	Sandy Rummel	District 11
Jennifer Munt	District 3	Harry Melander	District 12
Deb Barber	District 4	Richard Kramer	District 13
Steve Elkins	District 5	Jon Commers	District 14
Gail Dorfman	District 6	Steven T. Chávez	District 15
Gary L. Cunningham	District 7	Wendy Wulff	District 16
Cara Letofsky	District 8		



The Metropolitan Council is the regional planning organization for the seven-county Twin Cities area. The Council operates the regional bus and rail system, collects and treats wastewater, coordinates regional water resources, plans and helps fund regional parks, and administers federal funds that provide housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income individuals and families. The 17-member Council board is appointed by and serves at the pleasure of the governor.

On request, this publication will be made available in alternative formats to people with disabilities. Call Metropolitan Council information at 651.602.1140 or TTY 651.291.0904.

## Contents

Amended Budget .....	2
2017 Budget Expenditures .....	2
2017 Budget Revenue.....	2
2018 Adopted Budget .....	3
2018 Budget Expenditures .....	3
2018 Budget Revenue.....	3
Policy Plans and Amendments Adopted in 2017 .....	4
Major Study/Report Summaries and Recommendations .....	4
Wastewater Reuse Task Force Draft Report .....	4
SAC Task Force Recommendations .....	5
Change the SAC determination process.....	5
Keep outdoor seating policy, but increase free seats.....	5
Eliminate SAC for remodels with no change of use .....	5
Update the SAC credit process.....	6
Continue grants for manufactured homes.....	6
Water Supply Now and for the Future .....	6
Water Levels and Groundwater and Surface-water Exchanges in Lakes of the Northeast Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.....	7
Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study.....	7
Goals of the study .....	8
Study results .....	8
Regional Truck Highway Corridor Study.....	8
West Broadway Transit Study10	
Metropolitan Housing and Redevelopment Authority Activities .....	11
2018 Legislative Program .....	11
Plans, Projects and Applications Submitted to the Metropolitan Council, 2017 .....	12

## Amended Budget

The Metropolitan Council submitted its 2017 Unified Operating Budget to the Minnesota Legislature in January 2017. That budget contains detailed budget information and a general description of the Council's 2017 work program. Following is a summary of the 2017 amended operating budget.

### 2017 Budget Expenditures

Transportation	\$507,431,000
Debt Service	\$173,419,000
Environmental Services	\$131,043,000
Pass-through Funds	\$114,838,000
Planning and Administration	\$90,664,000
Total	\$1,017,395,000

### 2017 Budget Revenue

State Funds	\$378,037,000
Wastewater Fees & SAC	\$265,162,000
Transit fares	\$107,327,000
Federal Funds	\$90,744,000
Property Taxes	\$83,621,000
Other	\$63,354,000
Total	\$988,245,000

*Note: Revenues and expenditures for pass-through and debt-service funds rarely match on an annual basis. In 2017, the Council used reserves to account for the difference in revenues and expenditures.*

## 2018 Adopted Budget

The Metropolitan Council's 2018 Unified Budget was submitted to the Minnesota Legislature in January 2018. It contains detailed budget information and a general description of the Council's work program in 2018, and satisfies state budget reporting requirements. Here is a summary of the 2018 adopted budget:

### **2018 Budget Expenditures**

Transportation	\$528,172,000
Debt Service	\$176,464,000
Environmental Services	\$135,473,000
Pass-through Funds	\$122,742,000
Planning and Administration	\$94,522,000
Total	\$1,057,373,000

### **2018 Budget Revenue**

State Funds	\$406,633,000
Wastewater Fees & SAC	\$275,359,000
Transit fares	\$113,361,000
Federal Funds	\$101,078,000
Property Taxes	\$85,293,000
Other	\$62,998,000
Total	\$1,044,722,000

## Policy Plans and Amendments Adopted in 2017

No policy plan or policy plan amendments came before the Council in 2017.

## Major Study/Report Summaries and Recommendations

### Wastewater Reuse Task Force Draft Report

The Council appointed a Wastewater Reuse Task Force in March 2017. The group was charged with reviewing the Council's existing wastewater reuse policies and recommending clarifications needed to respond to opportunities for wastewater reuse.

Wastewater reuse is a potential strategy to sustain the region's groundwater supplies and support economic development as the metro area continues to grow. It entails using treated wastewater for nonpotable functions like irrigation, industrial cooling and toilet flushing.

Task force members had experience in public works, regional policy, and city management. Members came from diverse communities in terms of size, location in the metro area, and history of interest in or experience with wastewater reuse.

After several months exploring and discussing issues related to wastewater reuse, the task force reached consensus in November 2017 on key issues:

- Rates for providing reclaimed water should be tied to the cost of the service for each individual project.
- The Council will evaluate the potential regional benefit of a potential wastewater reuse opportunity, based on whether it:
  - Advances wastewater reuse capability.
  - Provides an environmental benefit to the region, e.g., supplements groundwater, mitigates contamination, restores habitat, etc.
  - Fosters the region's economy, e.g., through job creation, development that wouldn't otherwise happen, etc.
- The Council should pursue sources of non-Council funding, such as Clean Water Legacy Funds, state bond funds, or other grant programs, for the cost of MCES' wastewater reuse facilities.

The task force did not reach consensus on whether a regional cost share is warranted if a regional benefit is shown to exist for a reuse project, but instead left it up to the Council to decide if and how to proceed with a regional cost share. However, the group agreed that if the Council proceeds with a regional cost-sharing plan, that it implement a pilot program with the following elements:

- The cumulative regional cost share for external wastewater reuse projects would not exceed 0.75% of the annual municipal wastewater charge (approximately \$1 annually per residence).
- A public hearing would be required to obtain input before implementing a regional cost share for any wastewater reuse project.

- The Council's governing body would make the final decision about regional benefit and any regional cost share.
- The Council's decision on cost share, and the reclaimed water rate, would be incorporated into the user agreement for the service.
- The Council's Environmental Services division would report annually or as needed about the pilot program's performance in meeting regional goals for wastewater reuse.

The Council's Environment Committee heard the task force's recommendations in December and decided to hold further discussion at a Committee of the Whole meeting in January 2018. If the Council decides to adopt the task force report, it would then authorize a public hearing regarding an amendment to the *2040 Water Resources Policy Plan* to incorporate recommended policy changes.

## **SAC Task Force Recommendations**

The Metropolitan Council formed a community task force in 2016 to study the SAC (sewer availability charge) program and recommend needed changes. The group included 13 city representatives and two business representatives. In late 2017, the task force issued a group of recommendations to bring to cities and the business community for feedback.

### ***Change the SAC determination process***

Currently, the Council make determinations by usage or category (for example, restaurant or warehouse). This will continue, but the task force recommends utilizing gross square feet of tenant space versus net square feet of individual uses for calculating SAC.

This change would primarily impact categories that are currently based on square-foot criteria. For example, an office space that previously had a "meeting area" square-foot rate and an "office area" square-foot rate would instead have one new gross-square-foot rate. This would typically result in the same SAC unit determination but would not require detailed usage information. In addition, some categories would be combined (e.g., school/learn centers; vehicle services; salons; food and drink establishments).

### ***Keep outdoor seating policy, but increase free seats***

Outdoor seating at restaurants currently receives a 75% discount. The task force agreed the current policy is appropriate. However, in simplifying how SAC is determined, restaurants will receive twice the amount of "free" outdoor capacity—up to 593 gross square feet (typically around 39 seats)—a real benefit to small businesses.

### ***Eliminate SAC for remodels with no change of use***

The task force also recommended eliminating SAC collection for remodels that result in no change of use unless the size of the floorplan grows. While MCES will need to verify that no change of use occurred, no collection will be required for a same-size business remodel, which should make renovations easier to move forward.

### ***Update the SAC credit process***

The task force also recommended updating the SAC credit process by changing the “grandparent date” from Jan. 1, 1973 to Jan. 1, 2009. What’s the result? If a business requests a determination and there is no record of a prior SAC determination, the business will only need to provide proof of usage as of Jan. 1, 2009, to receive SAC credits.

### ***Continue grants for manufactured homes***

As manufactured homes convert from local septic systems to the metro sewer system, each home is required to pay a SAC unit, which can be a financial burden for low-income households. The task force agreed the Council should continue monitoring this situation and provide grants to mitigate financial burden, rather than changing policy to provide a discount.

The Council will consider these recommendations in early 2018 following completion of the public outreach process.

SAC is a critical revenue source for MCES. SAC collects about \$40 million annually, and pays 35% to 40% of the debt service for wastewater infrastructure. SAC allows the Council to build infrastructure large enough to handle wastewater from current and future users, and then collect as demand for additional capacity occurs.

### **Water Supply Now and for the Future**

The Metropolitan Area Water Supply Advisory Committee (MAWSAC) produced this report to the Minnesota Legislature. In six graphics-intensive pages, the report outlines the source of regional drinking water (groundwater, rivers); how it is used (residential, business, agriculture); and how much is used (350 million gallons per day – more than the average daily flow of the St. Croix River at 320 million gallons per day).

Among the key water-related challenges outlined in the report are a potential 30% increase in water demand by 2040, decreasing water levels, and groundwater contamination in some parts of the seven-county region.

The report also provides a framework for planning ahead to assure clean water indefinitely, including a five-year plan for water activities in four focus areas:

- Provide necessary funds to plan and collaborate for conserving, protecting our water supply
- Boost efficiency and wise use of water so the region can grow
- Support work that leads to workable solutions
- Collaborate to produce better results by working together

MAWSAC is staffed by the Metropolitan Council and is chaired by Council Member Sandy Rummel. The 18-member committee has members from state agencies, counties, cities and special districts.



## **Water Levels and Groundwater and Surface-water Exchanges in Lakes of the Northeast Twin Cities Metropolitan Area**

The State Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Clean Water Fund appropriation identified the northeast metro as an area where potential solutions are needed to address emerging water supply issues. For example, water levels in White Bear Lake and other lakes in the northeast Twin Cities metro area have generally decreased since 2003, limiting access and recreational use.

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the Metropolitan Council, and the Minnesota Department of Health undertook a cooperative study to assess groundwater and surface-water interactions in the lakes in the northeast metro. In 2017, the USGS completed the second and final phase of the study.

The USGS and its partners studied groundwater and lake-water exchanges in White Bear Lake, Big Marine Lake, Lake Elmo and Snail Lake during 2003 through 2013, a period of increasing urbanization and declining water levels for some lakes in northeast metro. They found that long-term declines in lake-water levels can be caused by increasing groundwater withdrawals or decreases in precipitation, and that increases in groundwater withdrawals during dry periods exacerbate water-level declines.

The study confirmed that lake water seeps into underlying aquifers in the northeast metro area. Scientists developed a groundwater-flow model to examine how significantly this seepage affects long-term water levels in the four lakes. The model showed that closed-basin lakes, which are lakes not connected to other lakes and streams such as White Bear Lake, Big Marine Lake and Snail Lake, might be more vulnerable to changes in precipitation and groundwater withdrawals.

The study also showed that evaporation from lake surfaces and flow of lake water to underlying aquifers are the largest losses of water from the four lakes.

Study results are being used to inform discussions of about potential actions to address water supply issues in the northeast metro.

## **Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study**

The Council and the Minnesota Department of Transportation worked with regional highways partners to analyze intersections on the non-freeway principal arterial system to identify and prioritize intersections that may be good candidates for conversion to grade-separated facilities—these include designs such as overpasses, interchanges, and other improvements to enhance safety and performance.

Freeways with grade-separated facilities carry traffic faster and in most cases are safer than non-freeway facilities with signalized intersections. Many local agencies and other transportation stakeholders have expressed a desire to pursue state and federal funding to convert some intersections on regional roadways (categorized as principal arterials) to grade-separated facilities to move people and freight more safely.

### **Goals of the study**

- Identify high priority intersections for potential conversion.
- Use this assignment of priority to assist in project selection for special funding programs such as the federal funds allocated through the Regional Solicitation. There is currently no additional funding specifically set aside for this study's recommendations.
- Begin to form short and long-term visions of non-freeway principal arterial corridors and intersections.
- Assist in better understanding at a high level the costs associated with these projects to help in prioritizing investments for these types of project in the future transportation plans.

### **Study results**

- Of the 91 intersections studied in the project's second phase, 34 were categorized as high-priority for grade-separation. The high-priority intersections often exhibit needs that can justify high-capacity at-grade improvements or grade-separation. These intersection locations (and the corridors they are within) should be addressed in more detail to determine the right-sized investments.
- 27 intersections studied in the second phase were categorized as medium-priority for grade-separation. The medium-priority intersections typically do not need grade-separation projects based on current demand. However, additional studies at these locations could show needs for high-capacity at-grade improvements or limited/emerging needs for grade-separated elements.
- The remaining 30 intersections studied were categorized as low-priority for grade-separation. These locations generally do not need major changes or projects based on current demand and any problems can most likely be addressed with at-grade projects. However, some low-priority intersections are located on corridors near medium- and high-priority intersections or may be in growth areas.

## **Regional Truck Highway Corridor Study**

The efficient movement of freight is vital to the economic competitiveness of the Twin Cities metropolitan area, and truck highway corridors comprise a key component of the regional freight transportation system, along with rail and intermodal facilities, riverport barge terminals, and air cargo facilities.

This study sought to identify and prioritize the most significant regional truck highway corridors. It was conducted using a highly quantitative approach that took advantage of the best available data on truck transportation. These data were supplemented through interviews with industry professionals and ongoing input from a Technical Advisory Group (TAG). The TAG was comprised of representatives from the seven metropolitan counties, MnDOT, several municipalities, and private-sector practitioners and met four times during the study process.

Quantitative analysis of truck GPS data from study team member American Transportation Research Institute (ATRI), supported the finding that interstate highways, especially I-94, I-694,

I-494, and I-35W, are the most highly used highways of all truck corridors in the metropolitan area. The interstate highway system is supported by a critical network of principal and minor arterials that serve to provide redundancy to the interstate system, as well as providing door-to-door access to manufacturing facilities, distribution centers, intermodal freight hubs, and ultimately, retailers and customers. The ATRI data facilitated the analysis of a much more comprehensive road network than what would have been possible using traditional truck counts.

Four freight-related sectors were identified on the basis of North American Industry Classification Standard (NAICS) codes: these were manufacturing, consumer goods, natural resources, and transportation and logistics. Analysis of highly granular business establishment data (from Infogroup USA, Inc.), illuminated clusters of freight-generating businesses (by annual sales value). Many of the top clusters are located in the older metro core, with others following the I-494/I-694 ring, and still others further afield in growing freight clusters such as Shakopee.

Corridors were evaluated across four factors including average annual truck volume, truck percentage of total traffic, proximity to identified freight clusters, and proximity to regional freight terminals (i.e., rail intermodal yards, riverport terminals and MSP airport). These variables were weighted according to a custom weighting scheme developed in consultation with the TAG. The results were validated with staff from each of the Counties and input on truck issues was also solicited through interviews with private-sector stakeholders.

Corridors were assigned to one of three tiers, using a data-driven scoring procedure. Tier One includes more than 200 miles of interstate highways, reflecting the high significance of these corridors. However, the list of Tier 1 corridors is sufficiently expansive to also include more than 300 miles of principal and minor arterials, many of which may serve as the important “last mile” connection to freight destinations.

The Twin Cities region is not dominated by a single commodity or supply chain, as the industries served by shippers and carriers span the range from manufacturing to consumer goods distribution, and from natural resources to transportation logistics. Some supply chains are highly localized, while others more broadly include regional, state, national, or international sources and export destinations.

The Twin Cities metro area serves as a distribution and agricultural consolidation hub for much of the Upper Midwest, and is home to a number of significant manufacturers. What these companies share is that their economic success in a competitive landscape depends on fast, efficient, safe, and reliable truck transportation.

The study draws attention to a number of locations on the Tier One corridors where performance issues such as truck delay or safety (i.e., truck crashes) have been identified as a concern in previous studies, but also focuses on off-interstate corridors that have not been the subject of recent analyses. Although this study was not intended to be a comprehensive analysis of truck freight performance issues and solutions, it proposes a simple toolkit of technical and policy solutions that should be considered in the development and implementation of projects to improve truck freight mobility and will, in turn, support the sustainability and competitiveness of the region’s economy.

## West Broadway Transit Study

The West Broadway Transit Study was a collaborative planning process with Metro Transit, the City of Minneapolis, Hennepin County, and community and agency partners to identify and evaluate potential streetcar and arterial bus rapid transit (BRT) improvements along Washington Avenue North and West Broadway Avenue in Minneapolis, and potential connections to planned METRO Blue Line Extension (Bottineau) LRT stations in Golden Valley and Robbinsdale.

The purpose of the West Broadway transitway is to provide transit service that meets current and future travel needs, attracts new riders, connects users with job centers and key destinations, and supports transit-oriented development and economic growth in the corridor. Project goals that address the purpose and need for a transit investment in the West Broadway corridor were established at the beginning of the study:

- Catalyze and support housing and economic development along the corridor
- Improve local and regional mobility with improved access to jobs and activities
- Address equity issues in the West Broadway corridor to ensure that corridor residents as well as patrons of area businesses and institutions have access to opportunities for success, prosperity, and quality of life
- Build upon the vibrancy and diversity of the corridor by supporting healthy, active communities and the environment
- Improve upon existing transit service in the corridor
- Increase transit use among corridor residents, employees, and visitors
- Engage in an inclusive public outreach process

### ***Study Alternatives***

The West Broadway Transit Study initially considered five alternatives. A collaborative process was used to narrow the initial set of transit alternatives to those with the most potential. Of the five initially-considered alternatives, the two alternatives with the highest scores were recommended for detailed study. These were arterial BRT from downtown Minneapolis to Robbinsdale Transit Station and streetcar from Nicollet Mall to North Memorial Hospital. The study analyzed the benefits, costs, and impacts of these two alternatives.

Following completion of the study, the Policy Advisory Committee in the corridor voted to recommend the streetcar alternative. The West Broadway Transitway is identified under the “Additional Transitways under Increased Revenue Scenario” in the *2040 Transportation Policy Plan*. Funding would need to be identified before any next steps would be taken.

**Readers may visit [www.metrocouncil.org](http://www.metrocouncil.org) to find studies summarized in this section of the 2017 Annual Report.**

## **Metropolitan Housing and Redevelopment Authority Activities**

In 2017, the Council amended the 2016 Metro HRA Administrative Plan to establish and maintain a separate waiting list for the Council-owned housing units known as the Family Affordable Housing Program. The Council owns 150 single-family homes and townhomes in 11 cities throughout Anoka, Hennepin and Ramsey counties. These units are operated under the project-based voucher program.

Housing authorities have the discretion to establish one or more waiting lists for different programs. Prior to the change, the Council had one merged waiting list for its tenant-based (Housing Choice) and project-based voucher programs. Challenges filling the Council-owned units from the larger waiting list, due to limited locational choice for the prospective renter, led to the change. A separate waiting list allows for targeted marketing, and the list can be opened and closed independently when units with specific bedroom sizes open up.

The Council also awarded a total of 16 new project-based vouchers to four housing developments in the cities of Roseville, Minnetonka, Waconia and Wayzata.

Metro HRA hired its first outreach coordinator and program ombudsman to ensure good relations between tenants and landlords, and to boost tenant financial literacy. In its first six months, the program helped place 30 families in affordable housing, and is working with 30 more.

The Minnesota Legislature created the Metro HRA in 1974. The agency administers rent assistance programs for 7,200 households with low incomes in 96 communities. Of its 6,500 housing vouchers, nearly 700 are project-based. The HRA distributes \$57 million in federal rent subsidies annually to 2,200 private landlords.

## **2018 Legislative Program**

The Council's legislative proposals for the 2018 session are still under development as of January 15, 2018. The Council is working with the governor's office and the state departments on proposals regarding appropriations, bonding, policy issues, and statutory housekeeping matters.

## Plans, Projects and Applications Submitted to the Metropolitan Council, 2017

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Airport Capital Improvement Prgm.	21654-1	MAC	2017-2023 Capital Improvement Program
Airport Long Term Comp. Plan	21742-1	MAC	Crystal Airport 2035 LTCP
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	18655-5	Bloomington	South Loop District AUAR Update
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	19028-2	Chanhassen	Metropolitan Urban Service Area AUAR Update
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	19651-6	Minnetrista	Woodland Cove AUAR Update 2017
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	18640-7	Hugo	Everton Avenue AUAR Update
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	21811-1	Cottage Grove	2017 Cottage Grove Business Park Draft AUAR
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	19357-4	Maple Grove	2017 Update of the Maple Grove Gravel Mining Area AUAR
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	21811-2	Cottage Grove	2017 Cottage Grove Business Park Final AUAR
Alternative Urban Areawide Review	19357-5	Maple Grove	Maple Grove Gravel Mining Area Final AUAR
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21730-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Matt Herbst Sand and Gravel Project
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21498-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Issuance of Modified Regional General Permit
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21646-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Jordan Farms Mitigation Bank Project
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21664-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Special Public Notice: Proposed Issuance of RGP-15-R
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21674-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Preliminary Intent of the Saint Paul District to accept & expend funds from Utility Partners in Wis.
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21696-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Kraemer Mining and Materials (KMM) Project
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21697-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Level 7 Development, LLC Project
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21704-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	McKnight Wetland Mitigation Bank Project
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21713-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Murray Ball Wetland Delineation Bank Project
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21718-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Suspension & Proposed Revocation of Portions of Regional General Permit RGP-003-MN in Minn.
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21753-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Revocation of Portions of Regional General Permit RGP-003-MN in Minn.
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21754-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Final & Corrected Regional Conditions for 2017 Nationwide Permits in Minn. and Wis.
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21755-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Asphalt Plant Project in city of Columbus - Bituminous Roadways

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Army Corps of Engineers Permit	21805-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	2018 Proposed Regional General Permits (Unclassified)
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20472-11	Richfield	Cedar Avenue Corridor - 2016 Amendment
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20599-12	Lake Elmo	New Rural Single-Family Housing - Sewered Land Use and Village MUSA expansion
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20444-20	Anoka	Wellness Center
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20473-7	Savage	Louisiana Lofts
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20543-5	Minnetonka	Crest Ridge Senior Housing
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20619-12	St. Louis Park	Beltline Blvd Station Redevelopment Area
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20606-21	Eagan	Yankee Doodle Self Storage
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20438-4	Mound	Serenity Hills Land Use Amendment.
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20227-25	Coon Rapids	Pederson Floral
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20652-7	Ramsey	Woodlands 4th Addition
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20652-8	Ramsey	Brookfield 8th Addition
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20550-3	Nowthen	Wegner Property
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20554-7	West St. Paul	Land Use Map Amendment
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20591-19	Apple Valley	Menard's Redevelopment of Hanson Concrete Pipe Manufacturing Site
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20595-4	Newport	Newport Potential Urban Services Area Rezoning
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20476-6	Arden Hills	Arden Hills 2016 TCAAP Comprehensive Plan Amendment
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20501-6	Blaine	Revised Sanitary Sewer Map and MUSA Change
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20653-5	Lino Lakes	Erickson Estates
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20550-4	Nowthen	Eng Property
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20432-9	Medina	CPA2030-7 - Woodridge
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20473-8	Savage	Ryley Ridge Estates
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20606-22	Eagan	Red Pine Crossing
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20620-6	Rogers	Lennar 2
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20620-5	Rogers	Lennar
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20034-3	Belle Plaine	Tatiana Fields
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20423-8	Waconia	Koch Meuwissen

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20599-13	Lake Elmo	Royal Golf Club at Lake Elmo
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20325-9	Carver	Trinity
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20326-9	Andover	Rural Reserve Density
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20599-14	Lake Elmo	Olson Lake Trail 2
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20652-9	Ramsey	Regency Commons
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	19744-2	East Bethel	Viking Preserve
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	19744-3	East Bethel	Low/Medium-Density Residential Land Use Category
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20584-12	Rosemount	Rosewood Commons Apartments
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20416-9	Maple Grove	Donegal South
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20511-3	White Bear Lake	White Bear Animal Hospital Expansion
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20619-13	St. Louis Park	PLACE
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20417-9	Shoreview	Shoreview Business Campus
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20361-19	Plymouth	Land Use Plan Appendix C
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20516-5	Roseville	Roseville Armory
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20427-6	Bloomington	Adjoining Lands
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20720-4	Mendota Heights	Larson Garden Center/Mendota Motel Redevelopment
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20652-10	Ramsey	Riverstone Addition
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20466-4	Loretto	Loretto - Land Use Plan
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20444-21	Anoka	2nd and Monroe
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20413-10	Edina	Mixed Use Center
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20535-18	Saint Paul	River Balcony Master Plan
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20535-19	Saint Paul	Central Station Block Design Guidelines
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20811-4	Vadnais Heights	131 County Road F East - Land Use Plan
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20601-5	Corcoran	Bass Lake Crossing
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20385-4	Farmington	True Value/Giles Property Inc.
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20418-5	New Brighton	256 Cleveland Ave
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20361-20	Plymouth	West Plymouth Property
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20401-17	Eden Prairie	Transit Oriented Development



Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20227-26	Coon Rapids	Shamrock
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20607-6	Woodbury	Stonemill Farms 16th Addition
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20361-21	Plymouth	Beacon Ridge
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20652-11	Ramsey	Estates of Silver Oaks 2nd Addition
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20607-7	Woodbury	The Glen at Valley Creek
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20501-7	Blaine	Emberwood
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20516-6	Roseville	2315 North Chatsworth Street
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20348-14	Minneapolis	East Side Storage and Maintenance Facility
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20227-27	Coon Rapids	3551 Coon Rapids Boulevard
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20413-11	Edina	Mixed Use Center Districts
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20438-5	Mound	Eva and Abbie Addition
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20445-15	Lakeville	Bethlehem First Addition
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20472-12	Richfield	Chamberlain
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20501-8	Blaine	Lexington Meadows Senior Housing
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20637-8	Orono	Senior Housing
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20585-8	Golden Valley	Right-of-Way: 5509 Lindsay St. and 1611 Lilac Dr.
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20609-5	Hastings	Hastings Industrial Park Expansion
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20601-6	Corcoran	Bass Lake Estates
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20445-16	Lakeville	Cedar Crossings
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20427-7	Bloomington	Thunderbird
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	20377-4	Forest Lake	Timber Ridge
Controlled Access Highway	21779-1	Ramsey Co.	County Road I Roundabout
Environmental Assessment	21102-2	Minn. Dept. of Transp.	Tier 2 Level: Northern Lights Express Passenger Rail Project
Environmental Assessment	21757-1	Army Corps of Engrs.	Draft Lower Pool 2 Channel Management Study
Environmental Assessment	21634-2	Fed. Energy Regulatory Commission	Xcel Energy Renewable Development Fund Annual Report
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21641-1	St. Anthony	The Village Redevelopment
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21655-1	MPCA	Jordan Southwest Interceptor
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21660-1	MPCA	McNamara Bulk Storage Facility

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21667-1	Dayton	Brayburn Trails Development
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21673-1	Grant	Mahtomedi Ice Arena
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21676-1	Woodbury	Bridlewood Farms
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21680-1	Mpls. Park & Rec. Bd.	Scherer Site and Hall's Island Reconstruction
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21689-1	Hugo	Adelaide Landing
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21693-1	St. Louis Park	PLACE St. Louis Park
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21695-1	Saint Paul	36 S. Chatsworth
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21699-1	Fridley	Columbia Arena Area Redevelopment Project
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21708-1	Woodbury	Woodbury Lakes Theatre
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21714-1	Afton	Afton Creek Preserve
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21715-1	Minn. Dept. of Transp.	Intersection Improvements: TH 169/TH 41/CSAH 78/CSAH 14
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21716-1	Ramsey	Riverstone Addition
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21726-1	Inver Grove Heights	Inver Grove Apartments
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21727-1	Saint Paul	Fillmore West Apartments
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21736-1	Champlin	Mill Pond Shoreland and Aquatic Habitat Restoration
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21748-1	MAC	MSP Terminal 1 Passenger Service and Cargo Handling Enhancements
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21751-1	Shakopee	Canterbury Commons
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21760-1	Anoka Co.	Anoka County State-Aid Hwy. 54 (W. Freeway Dr.) Realignment
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21759-1	Minn. Dept. of Natural Resources	Lower Pool 2 Channel Management Study: Boulanger Bend to Lock and Dam No. 2 Maintenance Project
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21762-1	Woodbury	Wozniak Property
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21768-1	Shoreview	Shoreview Ridge
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21793-1	Dayton	Sundance Development
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21812-1	Minn. Dept. of Transp.	TH 10 Auxiliary Lane Addition
Environ. Assessment Worksheet	21813-1	Dayton	Scoping Environmental Assessment Worksheet: North Dayton Development
Housing Bond Program	21662-1	Spring Lake Park	Spring Lake Park Affordable Housing Development Project
Housing Bond Program	21665-1	Saint Paul	Hanover Townhomes Project

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Housing Bond Program	21702-1	Brooklyn Park	Pass-through: Amorice I Limited Partnership Project
Housing Bond Program	21706-1	Saint Paul	Pioneer Press Building Project
Housing Bond Program	21710-1	St. Louis Park	PLACE St. Louis Park Multifamily Housing Project
Housing Bond Program	21712-1	Hennepin Co.	East Town Apartments Limited Partnership
Housing Bond Program	21720-1	St. Paul Park	Norris Square Project
Housing Bond Program	21721-1	Woodbury	PHS/Woodbury, Inc. Project
Housing Bond Program	21732-1	Saint Paul	Union Flats Apartments Project
Housing Bond Program	21734-1	Woodbury	Legends of Woodbury Project
Housing Bond Program	21739-1	Minneapolis	East Town Apartments
Housing Bond Program	21740-1	Columbia Heights	Leased Housing Associates III Project
Housing Bond Program	21749-1	Maplewood	Maple Pond Apartments Project
Housing Bond Program	21761-1	New Hope	Community Asset Foundation Obligated Group Projects
Housing Bond Program	21769-1	Minneapolis	1500 Nicollet Apartments
Housing Bond Program	21770-1	Saint Paul	Thomas Avenue Flats Apartments Project
Housing Bond Program	21771-1	Saint Paul	Euclid View Flats Project
Housing Bond Program	21772-1	Saint Paul	Dorothy Day Center Project
Housing Bond Program	21787-1	Minnetonka	Elmbrooke and Golden Valley Townhomes
Housing Bond Program	21789-1	Lauderdale	Catholic Eldercare Project: Joint Housing Program in Mpls.
Housing Bond Program	21801-1	Independence	Augustana Chapel View Homes Project
Housing Bond Program	21802-1	West St. Paul	Walker Westwood Ridge Campus Project
Housing Bond Program	21809-1	Coon Rapids	Drake Apartments Project
Housing Bond Program	21815-1	Coon Rapids	Cottages of Coon Creek Apartment Project
Housing Bond Program	21816-1	Blaine	Legends of Blaine Project
Housing Bond Program	21822-1	Saint Paul	West Side Flats Phase III Apartment Projects
Housing Bond Program	21823-1	Saint Paul	700 Emerald Street Apartment Project – Workforce
Housing Bond Program	21824-1	Saint Paul	700 Emerald Street Apartment Project – Senior
Housing Bond Program	21825-1	Minneapolis	Albright Townhomes
Housing Bond Program	21826-1	Lexington	Lexington Apartments Project

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Housing Bond Program	21828-1	Dakota Co.	Glen at Valley Creek Project
Housing Bond Program	21829-1	Maplewood	Seasons at Apple Valley
Housing Bond Program	21837-1	Minneapolis	Riverside Homes
Housing Bond Program	21670-1	Dakota Co.	2017 Housing Finance Program
NPDES Permit	21645-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue NPDES and SDS Permit: Crystal Lake Flocculation Treatment Facility
NPDES Permit	21707-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue NPDES and SDS Permit: Taft Lake Flocculation Treatment Facility
NPDES Permit	21709-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue NPDES and SDS General Permit: Nonmetallic Mining
NPDES Permit	21752-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue NPDES and SDS Permit: Loretto Wastewater Treatment Facility
NPDES Permit	21764-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue NPDES and SDS Permit: Delta Airlines Inc
NPDES Permit	21776-1	Bloomington	Intent to Reissue Wastewater NPDES Permit: Skywater Technology Foundry
NPDES Permit	21832-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue NPDES and SDS Permit: Miller Farms Cluster Development
NPDES Permit	21835-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue NPDES and SDS Permit: Tii Gavo on Big Marine Lake WWTF
Power Utility Environ. Review	21642-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue Air Emission Permit: Silgan Containers
Power Utility Environ. Review	21644-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Twin City Concrete Products Co.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21649-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Rexam Beverage Can Co.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21672-1	MPCA	Intent to Modify Air Emission Permit: St. Paul Park Refining Co.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21675-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue State Disposal System Permit: ISD #11 Rum River Elementary
Power Utility Environ. Review	21692-1	MPCA	Draft State Disposal System Permit: DaVinci Academy - Ham Lake
Power Utility Environ. Review	21698-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Hood Packaging Corp.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21717-1	MPCA	Intent to Modify Air Emission Permit: Northern Natural Gas Co.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21719-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: McNamara Contracting Inc.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21725-1	MPCA	Public Notice: Liberty Tire Services of Ohio - Savage Solid Waste

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Power Utility Environ. Review	21728-1	MPCA	Intent to Amend Air Emission Permit: Spectro Alloys Corp.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21733-1	MPCA	Air General Permit: Aviation and Aircraft Facilities
Power Utility Environ. Review	21738-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air General Permit: Aviation and Aircraft Facilities
Power Utility Environ. Review	21747-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: MMPA-Minn. River Station
Power Utility Environ. Review	21750-1	MPCA	Public Notice: Empire MSW Processing & SSOM Facility
Power Utility Environ. Review	21780-1	Chaska	Intent to Reissue Air Permit: Super Radiator Coils
Power Utility Environ. Review	21797-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Despatch Industries
Power Utility Environ. Review	21798-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue Air Emission Permit: Magellan Pipeline Co.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21799-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Tiller Corp.
Power Utility Environ. Review	21807-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Flint Hills Resources
Power Utility Environ. Review	21827-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Central Sandblasting
Power Utility Environ. Review	21836-1	MPCA	Intent to Issue Air Emission Permit: Tiller Corp.
Preliminary Plan Review	21746-0	Baytown Twp.	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21758-0	Minnetrستا	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21788-0	Sunfish Lake	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21795-0	Stillwater Twp.	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21796-0	North Oaks	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21800-0	Landfall	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21804-0	Loretto	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21810-0	Chanhassen	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
Preliminary Plan Review	21821-0	Mahtomedi	2040 Preliminary Comprehensive Plan
State Disposal System Permit	21700-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue State Disposal System Permit: West Lake George WWTF
State Disposal System Permit	21694-1	MPCA	State Disposal System Draft Reissued Permit: ISD #15 Cedar Creek Community School
State Disposal System Permit	21782-1	St. Francis	Intent to Reissue Hazardous Waste Permit: Alliant Techsystems
State Disposal System Permit	21806-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue State Disposal System Permit: The Ponds WWTF

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
State Disposal System Permit	21817-1	MPCA	Intent to Reissue State Disposal System Permit: Jackson Meadow WWTF
Surface Water Management Plan	21666-1	Loretto	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21723-1	Medina	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21724-1	Mahtomedi	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21737-1	Burnsville	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21790-1	Minnetrissa	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21774-1	Falcon Heights	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21775-1	Roseville	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21781-1	Mendota Heights	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21791-1	Saint Paul	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21794-1	Gem Lake	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21792-1	Vadnais Heights	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21803-1	Hugo	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21808-1	West St. Paul	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21814-1	Columbia Heights	Surface Water Management Plan
Surface Water Management Plan	21818-1	Stillwater	Surface Water Management Plan
Water Supply Plan	21656-1	Cottage Grove	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21661-1	Mahtomedi	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21669-1	Carver	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21677-1	Hugo	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21679-1	Chaska	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21682-1	Stillwater	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21686-1	Forest Lake	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21683-1	Mayer	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21681-1	Lakeland	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21691-1	Oak Park Heights	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21731-1	Ramsey	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21777-1	White Bear Twp.	Water Supply Plan

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Water Supply Plan	21778-1	Norwood Young America	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21785-1	Roseville	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21783-1	St. Paul Park	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21786-1	Anoka	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21838-1	Eden Prairie	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21651-1	Minneapolis	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21652-1	Savage	Water Supply Plan Template
Water Supply Plan	21663-1	North St. Paul	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21671-1	New Brighton	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21687-1	White Bear Lake	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21690-1	Vadnais Heights	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21735-1	Newport	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21743-1	St. Anthony	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21745-1	Saint Paul	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21763-1	Lakeville	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21773-1	East Bethel	Water Supply Plan
Water Supply Plan	21784-1	Oakdale	Water Supply Plan
Watershed Plan	21657-1	Upper Rum R. Watershed Mngt. Org.	Watershed Management Plan
Watershed Plan	21703-1	Nine Mile Cr. Watershed Dist.	Watershed Management Plan
Watershed Plan	21705-1	Richfield-Bloomington Watershed Mngt. Org.	Watershed Management Plan
Watershed Plan	21306-2	Bassett Cr. Watershed Mngt. Commission	Watershed Mgmt. Plan Amendment
Watershed Plan	21765-1	Lower Minn. R. Watershed Dist.	Watershed Management Plan
Watershed Plan	21766-1	Minnehaha Cr. Watershed Dist.	Watershed Management Plan

Review Type	Rev. No.	Applicant	Description
Watershed Plan	20832-4	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed Dist.	Watershed Mgmt. Plan Amendment
Watershed Plan	20746-8	Riley Purgatory Bluff Cr. Watershed Dist.	Bluff Creek Southwest Branch
Well Permit	21266-2	Cimarron Park	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21486-2	Oakdale	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21457-2	Andover	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21678-1	Jordan	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 1
Well Permit	21711-1	Lake Elmo	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 1
Well Permit	21722-1	Circle Pines	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 1
Well Permit	21590-2	Hopkins	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21767-1	East Bethel	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 1
Well Permit	21548-2	Farmington	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21558-2	Coon Rapids	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21632-2	Prior Lake	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21648-2	Blaine	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2
Well Permit	21601-2	Chaska	Wellhead Protection Plan Part 2







**METROPOLITAN**  
C O U N C I L

390 Robert Street North  
St. Paul, MN 55101-1805

651.602.1000

TTY 651.291.0904

[public.info@metc.state.mn.us](mailto:public.info@metc.state.mn.us)

[metro council.org](http://metro council.org)