STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT

SCOTT COUNTY SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 150 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 650 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

Year Ended December 31, 2016



Management and Compliance Report

Audit Practice Division Office of the State Auditor State of Minnesota



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	9
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	12





STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Scott County Shakopee, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated July 12, 2017. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Scott County Community Development Agency, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Scott County's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Scott County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

The Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of the County's financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for tax increment financing because Scott County does not administer any tax increment financing districts.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that Scott County failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the County's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

July 12, 2017





STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Scott County Shakopee, Minnesota

Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program

We have audited Scott County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the County's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016. Scott County's major federal program is identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Scott County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Scott County Community Development Agency (CDA) component unit, which expended \$4,360,173 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2016, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the Scott County CDA because other auditors were engaged to perform a single audit in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for Scott County's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government*

Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Scott County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Scott County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Scott County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated July 12, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. We did not audit the financial statements of the Scott County CDA, the discretely presented component unit. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the discretely presented component unit, is based solely on the report of the other auditors. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Scott County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The SEFA is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

July 12, 2017



SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles: **Unmodified**

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? No
- Significant deficiencies identified? None reported

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? **No**

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major federal programs: **Unmodified**

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? **No**

The major federal program is:

Highway Planning and Construction

CFDA No. 20.205

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$750,000.

Scott County qualified as a low-risk auditee? Yes

II.	FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN
	ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS
	None.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS
None.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Ex	Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Agriculture					
Passed through Minnesota Department of Education					
Child Nutrition Cluster					
School Breakfast Program	10.553	1000006830	\$	8,304	
National School Lunch Program	10.555	1000006831		15,265	
(Total expenditures for Child Nutrition Cluster \$23,569)					
Passed through Minnesota Department of Human Services					
State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental					
Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561	15152MN127Q7503		605,871	
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$	629,440	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development					
Direct	14.220		ф	1.42.000	
Shelter Plus Care	14.238		\$	143,080	
U.S. Department of Justice					
Direct					
Crime Victim Compensation	16.576		\$	862	
Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	16.585			19,873	
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606			10,113	
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$	30,848	
U.S. Department of Labor					
Passed through Minnesota Department of Employment					
and Economic Development					
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	Not Provided	\$	39,530	
Passed through Dakota-Scott Service Delivery Area #14					
WIA/WIOA Cluster					
WIA/WIOA Adult Program	17.258	Not Provided		97,906	
WIA/WIOA Youth Activities	17.259	Not Provided		110,976	
WIA/WIOA Dislocated Worker Formula Grants	17.278	Not Provided		95,013	
(Total expenditures for WIA/WIOA Cluster \$303,895)					
Total U.S. Department of Labor			\$	343,425	

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 (Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	<u>E</u> :	xpenditures
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Passed through Minnesota Department of Transportation				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	84165	\$	6,093,870
Formula Grants for Rural Areas	20.509	Not Provided		250
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities	20.513	Not Provided		290,371
Passed through City of Shakopee, Minnesota				
Highway Safety Cluster				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	16-04-04		3,719
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	16-02-05		2,704
(Total expenditures for Highway Safety Cluster \$6,423)				
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving	• • • • • •	4 4 0 0 0 4		44.050
While Intoxicated	20.608	16-03-06		11,858
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$	6,402,772
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				
Passed through Minnesota Pollution Control Agency				
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	66.460	69592	\$	97,014
U.S. Department of Education				
Passed through Minnesota Department of Health				
Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families	84.181	12-7000-000097	\$	1,933
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				
Passed through Minnesota Department of Health				
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	U90TP000529	\$	147,594
Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	93.251	Not Provided		800
Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268	5NH231P000707		6,240
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations				
and Technical Assistance	93.283	Not Provided		825
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	1601MNTANF		76,566
(Total Temporary Assistance for Needy Families 93.558 \$623,603)				
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994	Not Provided		87,313

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 (Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Ez	xpenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)				
Passed through Minnesota Department of Human Services				
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	G-1601MNFPSS		163,442
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	1601MNTANF		547,037
(Total Temporary Assistance for Needy Families 93.558 \$623,603)				
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	1604MNCEST		1,640,001
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State-Administered Programs	93.566	1601MNRCMA		499
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	G1601MNRCCDF		138,936
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	93.590	G-1502MNFRPG		59,485
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	93.645	G-1601MNCWSS		17,173
Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658	1601MNFOST		416,831
Social Services Block Grant	93.667	16-01MNSOSR		409,344
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	93.674	G-1601MNCILP		3,258
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	05-1605MN5ADM		2,828,987
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			\$	6,544,331
U.S. Department of Homeland Security				
Passed through Minnesota Department of Natural Resources				
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012	R29G4CGSFY15	\$	8,875
Passed through Minnesota Department of Public Safety				
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared				
Disasters)	97.036	Not Provided		1,112,455
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039	SCOTTCO-0014		406,738
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	SCOTTCO-0072		66,286
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	SCOTTCO-0010		50,016
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$	1,644,370
Total Federal Awards			\$	15,837,213

Scott County did not pass any federal awards to subrecipients in 2016.



NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

1. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by Scott County. Scott County's financial statements include the operations of the Scott County Community Development Agency (CDA) component unit, which expended \$4,360,173 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2016, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The Scott County CDA had a separate single audit. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Scott County under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2016. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Scott County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of Scott County.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles contained in Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*, or the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Scott County has elected to not use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

4. Reconciliation to Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue

Federal grant revenue per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 15,752,134
Grants received more than 60 days after year-end, unavailable in 2016	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	115,084
Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families	483
Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	400
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	1,894
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	35,825
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	649
Unavailable in 2015, recognized as revenue in 2016	
State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition	
Assistance Program	(2,046)
Child Support Enforcement	(63,600)
Homeland Security Grant Program	 (3,610)
Expenditures Per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	\$ 15,837,213