

Military Affairs

Projects Summary

(\$ in thousands)

Project Title	Rank Fund		Project Requests for State Funds			Gov's Rec	Gov's Planning Estimates	
			2016	2018	2020	2016	2018	2020
West St. Paul	1	GO	4,500	0	0	4,500	0	0
St Cloud Facility Batch and Construction	2	GO	3,500	0	0	3,500	0	0
Asset Preservation	3	GO	7,185	0	0	2,500	2,500	2,500
Total Project Requests			15,185	0	0	10,500	2,500	2,500
General Obligation Bonds (GO) Total			15,185	0	0	10,500	2,500	2,500

<http://www.minnesotanationalguard.org/>

AT A GLANCE

- Serve the 13,307 members of the Minnesota Army (11,074) and Air (2,233) National Guard, local governments, and the citizens of Minnesota.
- Since 9/11, the Minnesota National Guard has deployed more than 26,000 Army and Air Guard members to more than 33 countries worldwide.
- Responsible for approximately \$350 - \$425 million per year from the federal government.
- 307 FTEs (full-time equivalents) cross the state - only 34 are 100% state-funded
- Provided assistance to over 22 state active duty missions in response to floods, [fires](#), blizzards and other natural disasters as well as other emergencies
- Provided more than 23,000 state active duty work days by service members since 2005

PURPOSE

Federal: As a federal entity, military members of the Minnesota National Guard serve as a reserve force for the United States Army and Air Force. They are subject to be called to federal active duty for extended periods of time by the President.

State: As a state entity, the Minnesota National Guard provides support to local law enforcement agencies during natural disasters and other emergencies at the direction of the Governor.

Community: The Minnesota National Guard is also involved in community support projects throughout the state. These projects give our soldiers a chance to “give back to the community.”

We are comprised of and include the military forces of the state, The Office of The Adjutant General, all military reservations, military installations, armories, air bases, and facilities owned or controlled by the state for military purposes, and civilians employed by the state for the administration of the military department.

We support the following statewide outcome:
People in Minnesota are safe

STRATEGIES

We integrate federal and state resources to pursue strategies in two lines of effort. The first is **Provide Ready Units** which includes actions that provide a competent ready force, sustain optimal force structure and provide support response to any cyber events. The second is **Relationship Integration** which includes actions that maintain and enhance suitable infrastructure and facilities, sustain the “Beyond the Yellow Ribbon” activities, and diversify the force.

We have four core programs that support the Minnesota National Guard and implement these two lines of effort:

- The **Maintenance of Military Training Facilities Program** maintains the state’s facilities used to train and house the members of the Minnesota National Guard and to protect the state’s investment in facilities including the MN State Armory Building Commission (MSABC) facilities. Each Air National Guard base has a civil engineering function responsible for the maintenance of the federal facilities that are supported with state and federal dollars.
- The **Enlistment Incentives Program** supports and manages the department’s enlistment incentives and tuition reimbursement programs. These programs provide incentives to the men and women who enlist and maintain their memberships in the Army and Air National Guard.

- **Emergency Services** funds emergency response activities at the order of the Governor when the National Guard is activated in response to state emergencies.
- **General Support** provides the general administrative, financial, accounting, budgeting, project management, strategic planning, and human resource support necessary for the operation of the department.

MNDMA's legal authority is provided from M.S. 190 – 195
(<https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?view=part&start=190&close=195>).

At A Glance

- Upgrade or replace major building components before they fail, and minimize building disruption by conducting “batch project” for building restoration.
- Replace or upgrade the major systems in a building (i.e., roof, brick tuck pointing or siding, HVAC, doors, windows, and electrical systems) not less than every 25 years. This equates to approximately five “batch projects” per biennium.
- Maintain the health and safety of the users of our facilities by funding: Americans with Disability Act (ADA) projects; facility fire and smoke alarms, heat detectors, and emergency lighting; and emergency response/emergency housing for citizens.
- Seek funding from various sources to provide facilities for newly acquired units, and to replace those facilities that can no longer be maintained to federal standards in a cost-effective way.
- Invest in the infrastructure necessary to maximize the capability of Camp Ripley, and our other training facilities, to add the most benefit for the people of Minnesota.

Factors Impacting Facilities or Capital Programs

The State of Minnesota has a significant inventory of facilities used by the Minnesota Army National Guard. These include: armories, logistical facilities, and various other training facilities located throughout the state. Although state owned, most of these facilities were constructed with some level of federal support and many of them receive federal support for operations. The current inventory consists of 1,408 facilities with almost 5.0 million square feet of space. The agency’s asset preservation program has been developed as an ongoing, long-range program covering a certain number of facilities each capital bonding period.

Armories – The Minnesota Army National Guard’s mission requires a significant investment in training and administrative facilities. The most recognizable of these facilities are the armories. Also known as National

Guard Training and Community Centers, armories serve as the home stations for the over 11,000 members of the Army National Guard. These facilities, located in 63 communities around the state are also made available to local government, community organizations, and individuals for a wide variety of activities. The armories provide the department with a total of over 1.8 million square feet of space.

Minnesota does not have any active military installations. Consequently, when members of the Minnesota National Guard are mobilized and deployed, the local armory becomes the installation for the family members and their support programs. Additionally, armories provide the operating space for the National Guard to readily respond to state emergencies when directed by the Governor.

The department does not anticipate a reduction in the demand for state military support of emergencies and natural disasters. As evidenced by the fire, flood, tornado, and missing person search support requested since

2002, the demand remains high. This military support is dependent upon the ability of the department to maintain clean, safe, and functional facilities to train and house the Soldiers called to state service by the governor and to house citizens and emergency responders during emergencies.

The federal government provides 75 percent of the construction costs for the typical armory. The remaining 25 percent is funded cooperatively by the state and the municipality within which the armory is located. The state share (approximately 12½ percent) is funded via a lease payment to the Minnesota State Armory Building Commission that sells bonds to finance the nonfederal share of the construction costs.

Over the last several years the amount of federal funds available nationwide for replacement of our aging inventory of armory facilities has not been adequate. Requirements for security measures and other capabilities have increased the acquisition and construction costs to build an armory. Due to competition for scarce federal funds, the programmed number of new armories is about one per state every six years, even if the matching state funds are available. This limited availability of federal funds makes the investment in maintaining armory facilities critical.

The Department of Defense, through the National Guard Bureau, regularly adjusts the unit manning authorizations between the states as some states are more successful in providing manning to fill additional units. Because of Minnesota's success in recruiting and retaining Soldiers, the Minnesota Army National Guard is continually seeking additional force structure that provides for mission accomplishment. These authorizations bring federal funds for fulltime employees and traditional Soldiers and their supporting equipment into the state. However, without permanent facilities for the units and their equipment, we will be unable to acquire additional force structure.

Logistical Facilities – The maintenance and repair support for Army National Guard training and logistical facilities (non-armory) remains fairly static. Many of the facilities located on the Camp Ripley reservation, although state-owned, are 100% federally supported. Other logistical support facilities (Field Maintenance Shops) are also state-owned and supported federally. The Army National Guard has nine of these facilities located throughout the state that are 100 percent federally supported.

The Air National Guard will continue to be a major part of the overall Air Force mission support. As the size of the active Air Force continues to be reduced, the missions of the Air National Guard have increased proportionately. The Air Force continues to be confident that the Air National Guard can absorb some of the missions previously accomplished by the active component.

All of the Air National Guard facilities are federally owned. The state is required to provide financial support for the operational costs of these facilities located at Minneapolis and Duluth. This support is generally provided through the state's operating budget.

Agency Process for Determining Capital Requests

The Facilities Management Office at Camp Ripley manages the agency's facility maintenance and repair program. That office is staffed with facility planners, architectural and design specialists, environmental specialists, physical plant management staff, building maintenance coordinators, and other support staff.

The asset preservation and facility improvement portions of the budget request are based on our ongoing facility inspections by our facilities management staff and input from the National Guard unit administrators. This facilities status data is referred to the Adjutant General's Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Board where other issues such as future stationing and force structure changes are factored into the list of requirements. In developing this plan, high priority is given to those projects necessary to comply with laws and codes, where major improvements are required to protect the state's investment in facilities, and where improvements are required to make the facilities more useable by tenant organizations.

The plan for new construction is based on ongoing evaluations of the facility inventory with respect to functional space requirements of the military organizations assigned to the state. Other factors include: the current structural state of the facility, costs of renovation and/or remodeling, the extent of repairs required which may also require compliance with current code, the ability of the current site to meet the

increased demands for space, the opportunities for joint construction projects that meet the capital needs of the department and local communities, and the need to replace the current leased space with space specifically designed for military use.

Senior members of the Adjutant General's staff give broad guidance for the facilities management process through a Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Working Group. The Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Working Group is structured into a tiered-board system that provides the senior leadership a methodology to prioritize projects out over an extended timeline. Each service (Army and Air Guard) conducts a quarterly board that addresses their respective priorities. Each service board is chaired by the Assistant Adjutant General for the service. Both boards provide their highest projects prioritized by the fiscal year they are desired to the Force Integration, Facilities and Environmental Board. This board provides recommendations to The Adjutant General, as the Chair, for decision and action as to facility priorities for each fiscal year. Members of our Design and Construction Operations Section staff estimate the construction costs that are then reviewed by our staff architect.

Major Capital Projects Authorized in 2014 & 2015

2014 Asset Preservation	\$2 million
2014 Brooklyn Park Addition/Renovation	\$1.244 million

West St. Paul**AT A GLANCE****2016 Request Amount:** \$4,500**Priority Ranking:** 1**Project Summary:** \$4.5 million in state funds are requested to construct, furnish and equip the Dept of Military Affairs portion of a new building built in conjunction with the City of West St Paul.**Project Description**

To construct a 15,604 SQFT National Guard Readiness Center that supports training, administrative and logistical requirements for the MNARNG. This facility will be built on State Land.

This will be a specially designed facility of a National Guard Readiness Center of permanent construction. The addition will provide classrooms, showers, latrines, locker rooms, administrative rooms and storage. This facility will be designed to meet Industry Standards as well as all local, State, and Federal building codes and as per Public Law 90-480 and 900-400.

Construction will include all utility services, information systems, fire detection and alarm systems, storm drainage, and site improvements.

Facilities will be designed to a minimum life of 50 years in accordance with DoD's Unified Facilities Code (UFC 1-200-02) including energy efficiencies, building envelope and integrated building systems performance. Access for individuals with disabilities will be provided.

Antiterrorism measures in accordance with the DoD Minimum Antiterrorism for building standards will be provided.

This project will comply with the Army 1 SQFT for 1 SQFT disposal policy through the disposal of 17,686 SQFT.

Project Rationale

The existing readiness center in West St Paul is 56 years old and no longer meets the requirements of the MNARNG. The age of the building also results in higher annual maintenance and operating costs. The partnership with the city of West St Paul will provide a cost savings that will benefit both DMA and the city on future operating costs.

Other Considerations

N/A

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets

N/A

Description of Previous Appropriations

N/A

Project Contact Person

Donald Kerr

Executive Director

651-268-8913

donald.j.kerr2.nfg@mail.mil

Governor's Recommendation

The Governor recommends \$4.5 million in general obligation bonds for this request.

Military Affairs

Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

West St. Paul

PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
State Funds Requested				
General Obligation Bonds	\$ 0	\$ 4,500	\$ 0	\$ 0
Funds Already Committed				
Pending Contributions				
City Funds	\$ 0	\$ 2,100	\$ 0	\$ 0
Other Funding	\$ 0	\$ 1,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 7,600	\$ 0	\$ 0

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
Property Acquisition	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Predesign Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Design Fees	\$ 0	\$ 530	\$ 0	\$ 0
Project Management	\$ 0	\$ 200	\$ 0	\$ 0
Construction	\$ 0	\$ 6,257	\$ 0	\$ 0
Relocation Expenses	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
One Percent for Art	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Occupancy Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Inflationary Adjustment	\$ 0	\$ 613	\$ 0	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 7,600	\$ 0	\$ 0

IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
IT Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 4,500	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

M.S. 16B.335 (1a): Construction/Major Remodeling Review (by Legislature)	Yes
M.S. 16B.335(3): Predesign Review Required (by Dept. of Administration)	
Does this request include funding for predesign?	No
Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration?	No
Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration?	No
M.S. 16B.325(1): Sustainable Building Guidelines Met	No
M.S. 16B.325(2) and M.S. 16B.335(4): Energy Conservation Guidelines	
Do the project designs meet the guidelines?	Yes
Does the project demonstrate compliance with the standards?	Yes
M.S. 16B.335(5 & 6): Information Technology Review (by MN.IT)	No
M.S. 16A.695: Public Ownership Required	Yes
M.S. 16A.695(2): Use Agreement Required	N/A
M.S. 16A.695(5): Program Funding Review Required (by granting agency)	N/A
M.S. 16A.86 (4b): Matching Funds Required	N/A
M.S. 16A. 642: Project Cancellation in 2021	Yes
M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required	Yes
M.S. 174.93: Guideway Project	
Is this a Guideway Project?	No
Is the required information included in this request?	No

St Cloud Facility Batch and Construction

AT A GLANCE

2016 Request Amount: \$3,500

Priority Ranking: 2

Project Summary: \$3.5 million in state funds are requested to design and construct a major renovation and expansion of the St Cloud Readiness Center. Project will improve the functionality and energy efficiency of the facility as well as better accomodate the units assigned.

Project Description

Facility Construction

- Facility upgrade to meet existing life safety code regulations; fire/smoke alarm and sprinkler building system with a year of monitoring.
- Update building to meet accessibility (ADA) code requirements.
- Construct; install individual caged storage cubes for each soldier.
- Install Army Metering System for both electrical and gas services.
- Install carbon monoxide (CO) monitoring system in the assembly hall and maintenance bay areas.
- Install a mass notification system in the assembly hall.
- Reconfigure walls as required.
- Construct an approximately 1,500 SF in-fill for additional classroom and administrative space.

Facility Maintenance & Repair

- Replace interior and exterior lighting including parking areas with LED lighting, security vault lighting and building voice/data (conduit, trays, devices).
- Step drill hall lights.
- Modify a classroom with data ports to accomodate power projection systems and smart boards.
- Rehab entire facility to include floor covering, wall painting, ceiling tile and lighting. Also included is the refinishing of the wood structure/ceiling in the assembly hall. Install solar tubes in drill hall roof.
- Replace boiler system, HVAC control system, install assembly hall destratification turbines, install instantaneous heater on domestic hot water system, install water softener, water heaters and install low flow plumbing fixtures.
- Replace exterior doors, door hardware and door frames, refinish interior doors, add larger kick plates, signage as required. Install constant pressure operator on all overhead doors. Replace toilet partitions.

- Provide assembly hall acoustical panels and building mass notification system.
- Replace all hard ceilings, interior painting of building, replacement of various floor finishes including repair of assembly hall floor with refinish/stripping as required.
- Refinish assembly hall wood ceiling.
- Professionally clean entire interior and exterior of facility of all construction and non construction related dust, dirt and debris; trim/remove existing trees/shrubbery.
- Inspect roof to determine if replacement/repair is required.
- Provide dedicated vending machine space, install larger display case.
- Insulate duct and pipes as required. Install new condensate pans at mechanical units.
- Verify emergency boiler shut-off location ensure an emergency cover. Provide emergency HVAC shut off in public lobby.
- Replace bathroom fixtures with low flow.
- Telephoto (scope) sewer to public sewer connection.
- Re-grade the site to drain surface water away from the Readiness Center, provide new splash blocks below all roof downspouts.
- Inspect sidewalks and replace as-needed.

Project Rationale

This 55 year old facility has never received a comprehensive rehabilitation. Currently, this facility has 234 soldiers assigned and will have an additional battalion headquarters (156 personnel) assigned in 2016 (MNARNG Command Plan). There is a 40% space shortfall according to current criteria standards based on the units assigned to this facility. The indoor firing range was converted to storage in 2007 and the HVAC system is heat based only with cooling coming from window, energy inefficient units that have short lifecycles. The facility is not compliant with ADA requirements, fire suppression and asbestos remediation. There are no long term plans to replace or abandon it in the next 5 to 10 years. The facility has a 'Poor' rating from a 2014 Facility Condition Assessment (FCA). Utilizing this information, JFMN-FMO staff in conjunction with the current and future users, conducted an in depth facility analysis to identify the improvements that would extend/enhance facility life and value and have the most favorable impact on the 'quality of life' of the assigned Soldiers and to the community in which the Readiness Center resides.

Other Considerations

Project will include federal cost sharing at 50/50 for sustainment and 75 Fed to 25 State funding of new construction.

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets

Completion of this project should reduce annual operating costs as systems are updated with more efficient models.

Description of Previous Appropriations

N/A

Project Contact Person

Donald Kerr

Executive Director

651-268-8913

Donald.j.kerr2.nfg@mail.mil

Governor's Recommendation

The Governor recommends \$3.5 million in general obligation bonds for this request.

Military Affairs

Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

St Cloud Facility Batch and Construction

PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
State Funds Requested				
General Obligation Bonds	\$ 0	\$ 3,500	\$ 0	\$ 0
Funds Already Committed				
Pending Contributions				
Federal Funds	\$ 0	\$ 4,200	\$ 0	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 7,700	\$ 0	\$ 0

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
Property Acquisition	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Predesign Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Design Fees	\$ 0	\$ 617	\$ 0	\$ 0
Project Management	\$ 0	\$ 206	\$ 0	\$ 0
Construction	\$ 0	\$ 5,773	\$ 0	\$ 0
Relocation Expenses	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
One Percent for Art	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Occupancy Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Inflationary Adjustment	\$ 0	\$ 1,104	\$ 0	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 7,700	\$ 0	\$ 0

IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
IT Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 3,500	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

M.S. 16B.335 (1a): Construction/Major Remodeling Review (by Legislature)	Yes
M.S. 16B.335(3): Predesign Review Required (by Dept. of Administration)	
Does this request include funding for predesign?	No
Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration?	No
Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration?	No
M.S. 16B.325(1): Sustainable Building Guidelines Met	No
M.S. 16B.325(2) and M.S. 16B.335(4): Energy Conservation Guidelines	
Do the project designs meet the guidelines?	Yes
Does the project demonstrate compliance with the standards?	Yes
M.S. 16B.335(5 & 6): Information Technology Review (by MN.IT)	No
M.S. 16A.695: Public Ownership Required	Yes
M.S. 16A.695(2): Use Agreement Required	N/A
M.S. 16A.695(5): Program Funding Review Required (by granting agency)	N/A
M.S. 16A.86 (4b): Matching Funds Required	N/A
M.S. 16A. 642: Project Cancellation in 2021	Yes
M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required	Yes
M.S. 174.93: Guideway Project	
Is this a Guideway Project?	No
Is the required information included in this request?	No

Asset Preservation

AT A GLANCE

2016 Request Amount: \$7,185

Priority Ranking: 3

Project Summary: \$7.185 million in state funds is requested to address the deferred maintenance needs at armory and training buildings throughout the state. The department maintains approximately 1.8 million square feet in armory buildings along with approximately 2.6 million square feet of training and housing buildings at Camp Ripley. The department uses asset preservation funding to address some of the backlog of maintenance work order requests submitted by the users and building maintenance coordinators responsible for the upkeep of these buildings.

Project Description

Since 1995, the Department of Military Affairs has continued to develop in-depth facilities audits with our facility managers to identify deferred maintenance needs. This process helps the department determine how large its portion of the “Capital Iceberg” is. The current operating budget has, at best, been able to keep up with necessary priority repairs, leaving a growing backlog of projects.

Detailed facility audits have revealed a growing backlog of maintenance and renovation requests in excess of \$107 million. Facility aging creates additional maintenance and repair problems. Currently, the average age of the department’s armory facilities is in excess of 48 years. Phasing of asset preservation projects is (in priority order):

- Envelope Protection
- Safety/liability related projects
- Sanitary issues (e.g., toilet facilities)
- Functionality projects (e.g., rehabilitation of training rooms, lighting)
- Aesthetics/comfort projects if funding remains

Some examples of safety/liability issues that are included within the scope of this project are: repairs to curbs, sidewalks and building entrances; and updating electrical service and ventilating systems.

Some other examples of the projects anticipated within this request include the repair, replacement, or renovation of:

- Floors and floor coverings
- Toilet facilities (non ADA)
- Light fixtures and associated wiring
- Pumps and motors

- Ventilating and air conditioning systems
- Interior training rooms
- Shower/locker room facilities
- Other projects which extend the life of the facility

Design costs to execute projects to reduce backlog will be funded through this request. In order to effectively plan and complete these maintenance projects, approximately 10% will be devoted to provide design services for some of the projects below.

Projects identified for Asset Preservation include:

- Rochester Readiness Center facility batch
- Willmar Readiness Center facility batch
- Detroit Lakes Readiness Center facility batch
- St Peter Readiness Center facility batch
- Roof replacement at Rosemount and Minneapolis Readiness Centers
- Tuckpointing at St Paul and Mankato Readiness Centers

Project Rationale

As stated in the agency's Strategic Plan, Military Affairs must focus its attention on maintaining and upgrading existing buildings. With an emphasis on sustaining our current facilities, and with federal grant funding for new buildings greatly reduced, it is imperative the department keep its building assets in good working order and repair to meet the needs of the buildings users.

The department's goal is to minimize or eliminate the agency's backlog of maintenance and repair projects on its Asset Preservation list, while at the same time methodically eliminating the existing "iceberg" of projects. Funding at the levels requested can be efficiently managed by the department personnel and parallels backlog reduction goals identified in the agency performance report.

Other Considerations

N/A

Impact on Agency Operating Budgets

Completion of the identified projects will reduce annual operating costs as aging, high maintenance systems are replaced with more efficient and reliable systems.

Description of Previous Appropriations

The Department of Military Affairs received \$2 million in 2014, \$4 million in 2012 and 2010, and \$3.5 million in 2008.

Project Contact Person

Donald Kerr

Executive Director

651-268-8913

donald.j.kerr2.nfg@mail.mil

Governor's Recommendation

The Governor recommends \$2.5 million in general obligation bonds for this request. Also included are budget estimates of \$2.5 million for each planning period for 2018 and 2020.

Military Affairs

Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

Asset Preservation

PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
State Funds Requested				
General Obligation Bonds	\$ 2,000	\$ 7,185	\$ 0	\$ 0
Funds Already Committed				
Pending Contributions				
Federal Funds	\$ 0	\$ 7,185	\$ 0	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 2,000	\$ 14,370	\$ 0	\$ 0

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
Property Acquisition	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Predesign Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Design Fees	\$ 63	\$ 1,293	\$ 0	\$ 0
Project Management	\$ 0	\$ 431	\$ 0	\$ 0
Construction	\$ 1,937	\$ 12,646	\$ 0	\$ 0
Relocation Expenses	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
One Percent for Art	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Occupancy Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Inflationary Adjustment	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 2,000	\$ 14,370	\$ 0	\$ 0

IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2016	FY 2018	FY 2020
IT Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 7,185	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

M.S. 16B.335 (1a): Construction/Major Remodeling Review (by Legislature)	No
M.S. 16B.335(3): Predesign Review Required (by Dept. of Administration)	
Does this request include funding for predesign?	No
Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration?	No
Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration?	No
M.S. 16B.325(1): Sustainable Building Guidelines Met	No
M.S. 16B.325(2) and M.S. 16B.335(4): Energy Conservation Guidelines	
Do the project designs meet the guidelines?	Yes
Does the project demonstrate compliance with the standards?	Yes
M.S. 16B.335(5 & 6): Information Technology Review (by MN.IT)	No
M.S. 16A.695: Public Ownership Required	Yes
M.S. 16A.695(2): Use Agreement Required	N/A
M.S. 16A.695(5): Program Funding Review Required (by granting agency)	N/A
M.S. 16A.86 (4b): Matching Funds Required	N/A
M.S. 16A. 642: Project Cancellation in 2021	Yes
M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required	Yes
M.S. 174.93: Guideway Project	
Is this a Guideway Project?	No
Is the required information included in this request?	No