STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT

MINNEAPOLIS PARK AND RECREATION BOARD (A COMPONENT UNIT OF THE CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA)

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 150 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 700 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

MINNEAPOLIS PARK AND RECREATION BOARD (A COMPONENT UNIT OF THE CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA)

Year Ended December 31, 2014



Management and Compliance Report

Audit Practice Division Office of the State Auditor State of Minnesota



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 1 |
| Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> | 7 |
| Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133 | 10 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 13 |
| Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 14 |



SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? Yes
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? No

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: **Unmodified**

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? **No**

The major program is:

Highway Planning and Construction

CFDA #20.205

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$300,000.

The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board qualified as a low-risk auditee? No

II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

ITEMS ARISING THIS YEAR

Finding 2014-001

Audit Adjustment

Criteria: A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements of the financial statements on a timely basis. Auditing standards define a material weakness as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Condition: During our audit, a material audit adjustment was identified; this was reviewed and approved by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board staff and is reflected in the financial statements. By definition, however, independent external auditors cannot be considered part of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's internal control.

Context: Under modified accrual accounting, revenues are recognized when they become measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's policy considers revenues to be available if collected within 60 days after the current fiscal year-end.

Effect: The following material misstatement detected as a result of audit procedures was corrected by management: in the Permanent Improvement Fund, \$903,370 was reclassified from revenue to deferred inflow of resources - unavailable revenue as it was not received within 60 days of year-end.

Cause: Oversight. Finance staff recorded revenue that was received by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board outside of the 60-day threshold.

Recommendation: We recommend the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board review internal controls currently in place and design and implement procedures to improve internal controls over financial reporting which will prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements in the financial statements on a timely basis. The updated controls should include review of the balances and supporting documentation by a qualified individual to identify potential misstatements.

Client's Response:

Finance staff will be trained on revenue recognition under the modified accrual basis of accounting for all governmental funds. Only revenues that are collected within the 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period will be examined to determine susceptibility to accrual. The major revenues that will be considered for accrual will be property taxes, special assessments, grants-in-aid earned and other intergovernmental revenues, rents, intrafund charges and interest on investments.

Finding 2014-002

Recording of Capital Assets

Criteria: Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments*, and generally accepted accounting principles require the proper recording of capital assets. Capital assets should be recorded when the related expenses occur or when another entity contributes the assets. A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements of the financial statements on a timely basis.

Condition: During our audit of capital assets, several discrepancies were identified. In two instances, assets were found to have been recorded twice; in one instance, amounts were capitalized that were not yet the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's assets; and in one instance, a project that was finalized was not reclassified from work in progress to infrastructure.

Context: In 2010, the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board purchased land and entered into a mortgage for a portion of the purchase price. At the time of purchase, the full value of the land was capitalized. However, the mortgage payment was also capitalized each year as it was paid (2011 to 2014), resulting in the land being capitalized twice. Also, from 2012 to 2014, the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board capitalized infrastructure assets that were contributed from the City of Minneapolis; however, it was determined that a portion of these assets were paid for by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board and had been previously capitalized as work in progress. This resulted in this portion of the infrastructure being capitalized twice. The portion of assets paid for by the City of Minneapolis were capitalized by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board as infrastructure, but the projects had not been finalized, and therefore, the City of Minneapolis had not transferred the assets to the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board yet.

Effect: Extensive audit analysis was required, resulting in several adjustments to capital assets including a restatement of prior years' net position and corrections to the current year activity. A prior period adjustment was made to restate (reduce) the January 1, 2014, net position of the governmental activities by \$5,740,619; nondepreciable capital assets were reduced by \$4,314,176, and depreciable capital assets were reduced by \$1,426,443. Current year adjustments were also made to reduce nondepreciable capital assets by \$1,346,023; reduce depreciable capital assets by \$1,632,846; reclassify \$581,813 from nondepreciable capital assets to depreciable capital assets; and to capitalize \$354,232 as the City of Minneapolis' contribution to depreciable capital assets. Total adjustments of \$9,655,533 were made to capital assets.

Cause: Oversight. Finance staff inadvertently recorded both a capital asset and work in progress for the same expenditures, causing a duplication.

Recommendation: We recommend the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board review internal controls currently in place and design and implement procedures to improve internal controls over capital asset recording which will prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements in the financial statements on a timely basis. The updated controls should include review of the balances and supporting documentation by a qualified individual to identify potential misstatements.

<u>Client's Response</u>:

Finance staff met with City of Minneapolis staff in order to coordinate the capital asset accounting between the Park Board and the City. It was agreed that Park staff will report as Work in Progress any payments made to the City for the Park Board's portion of a project in the year of the payment. The City will track all of the expenses of the projects and report a final project total when the project is completed and finalized. The Park Board will then record the City's expenses as a contribution, move the project from WIP on the Park Board side, and record the asset as infrastructure. This will reduce the possibility of erroneously reporting a project.

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM RESOLVED

Prior Period Adjustments (2011-002)

A prior period adjustment was made to restate beginning net position of the Park Operating Enterprise Fund to correct an understatement of accounts receivable and an understatement of accounts payable.

Resolution

A material prior period adjustment was not identified this year.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM RESOLVED

Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters): Identification of Federal Expenditures; Cash Management; and Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment (CFDA No. 97.036) (2013-001)

The Park Board provided project costs on an overall basis and did not provide which costs were reimbursable with federal funding. In addition, the Park Board requested reimbursement for costs which had not yet been paid by the Park Board. Finally, the Park Board entered into contracts with vendors for projects that were federally funded; however, two contracts did not include suspension and debarment language, nor was verification performed to determine these vendors were not suspended or debarred at the time the contract was awarded.

Resolution

The Park Board did not receive federal funding for this grant in 2014. Testing was performed over the direct and material compliance requirements for the Park Board's major federal program in 2014, and no similar issues were noted.

IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. <u>MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE</u>

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM RESOLVED

Prompt Payment of Invoices (2012-002)

Payment of invoices was not being made within 35 days of the completed delivery of goods or services or the receipt of the invoice, whichever is later, in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 471.425.

Resolution

Results from testing of current year payments did not identify significant instances of payments of invoices not being made in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 471.425.

B. OTHER ITEM FOR CONSIDERATION

GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the independent organization that establishes standards of accounting and financial reporting for state and local governments. Effective for your calendar year 2015 financial statements, the GASB changed those standards as they apply to employers that provide pension benefits.

GASB Statement 68 significantly changes pension accounting and financial reporting for governmental employers that prepare financial statements on the accrual basis by separating pension accounting methodology from pension funding methodology. Statement 68 requires employers to include a portion of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) total employers' unfunded liability, called the "net pension liability" on the face of the Park Board's government-wide statement of financial position. The Park Board's financial position will be immediately impacted by its unfunded share of the pension liability.

Statement 68 changes the amount employers report as pension expense and defers some allocations of expenses to future years—deferred outflows or inflows of resources. It requires pension costs to be calculated by an actuary; whereas, in the past pension costs were equal to the amount of employer contributions sent to PERA during the year. Additional footnote disclosures and required supplementary information schedules are also required by Statement 68.

The net pension liability that will be reported in the Park Board's financial statements is an accounting estimate of the proportionate share of PERA's unfunded liability at a specific point in time. That number will change from year to year and is based on assumptions about the probability of the occurrence of events far into the future. Those assumptions include how long people will live, how long they will continue to work, projected salary increases, and how well pension trust investments will do. PERA has been proactive in taking steps toward implementation and will be providing most of the information needed by employers to report the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources.



STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of Commissioners Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board Minneapolis, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board, a component unit of the City of Minneapolis, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Park Board's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 16, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Park Board's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Park Board's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness and an item that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Park Board's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2014-001 to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2014-002 to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Park Board's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains six categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of the Park Board's financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, and miscellaneous provisions. Our audit considered all of the listed categories; however, they were tested in conjunction with the audit of the financial statements of the City of Minneapolis.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Park Board failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Park Board's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

Other Matters

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs is an other item for consideration. We believe this information to be of benefit to the Park Board, and we are reporting it for that purpose.

Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's Response to Findings

The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's responses to the internal control findings identified in our audit have been included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The Park Board's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Park Board's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Park Board's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 16, 2015





STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of Commissioners Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board Minneapolis, Minnesota

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the Park Board's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board is a component unit of the City of Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's major federal program is identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to

above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Park Board's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Park Board's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Park Board's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Park Board's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated June 16, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 16, 2015



SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

| Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency | Federal CFDA | | ••• |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----|-------------|
| Grant Program Title | Number | EX | xpenditures |
| U.S. Department of the Interior | | | |
| Passed Through Twin Cities Clean Coalition | | | |
| Mississippi National River and Recreation Area State and Local Assistance | 15.941 | \$ | 17,520 |
| Wississippi National River and Recreation Area State and Local Assistance | 13.741 | φ | 17,320 |
| U.S. Department of Justice | | | |
| Passed Through City of Minneapolis | | | |
| National Forum on Youth Violence Prevention | 16.819 | \$ | 20,000 |
| | | | <u> </u> |
| U.S. Department of Transportation | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation | | | |
| Highway Planning and Construction | 20.205 | \$ | 1,267,766 |
| | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety | | | |
| Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated | 20.608 | | 8,011 |
| | | | |
| Total U.S. Department of Transportation | | \$ | 1,275,777 |
| 77.0 D | | | |
| U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | | |
| Passed Through City of Minneapolis | | | |
| PPHF - Community Transformation Grants and National Dissemination and | | | |
| Support for Community Transformation Grants - financed solely by Prevention | | | |
| and Public Health Funds | 93.531 | \$ | 7,000 |
| Total Federal Awards | | \$ | 1,320,297 |
| I OMI I CUCI MI I I WAL MO | | Ψ | 1,020,271 |



NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

1. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board, a discretely presented component unit of the City of Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Park Board's reporting entity is defined in Note 2 to the financial statements.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2014. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the basis of accounting used by the individual funds of the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board. Governmental funds use the modified accrual basis of accounting. Proprietary funds use the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through grant numbers were not assigned by the pass-through agencies.

4. Subrecipients

The Park Board did not pass any federal awards through to subrecipients during the year ended December 31, 2014.