This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp

. 4

# STUDY

# of .

# BASE METAL MINING AND PROCESSING IN MINNESOTA

PRELIMINARY DRAFT

DECEMBER 27, 1972

# PRELIMINARY - SUBJECT TO REVISION

PREFACE

On January 27, 1972, the Governor requested Commissioner Robert Herbst of the Department of Natural Resources to consider base metal mining and processing in Minnesota and to research the capacity of the state to deal with the many ramifications of the entire copper-nickel mining process. To accomplish this task the Governor requested that an Inter-Agency Task Force of representatives from the Department of Natural Resources, Pollution Control Agency, State Planning Agency, Department of Economic Development, Bepartment of Health, and Department of Labor and Industry be established. He also requested that the Mineral Subcommittee of the Natural Resources Advisory Council; plus representatives of citizens groups, mining companies and local government be organized to act as advisory to the Task Force. The Governor further directed that the findings be made available prior to the 1973 Legislative Session for consideration by the Environmental Quality Council.

This study is designed to provide background information concerning discovery, mining and processing of base metals within the state. Subject matter includes mineral potential, exploration techniques, state-owned mineral leasing program, methods and processes used in mining, concentrating and extraction, environmental considerations during the various mining phases and the potential economic impact.

Using the available data, the study summarizes existing statutory and regulatory powers of the state, the various agencies responsible and considers the adequacy of these existing controls. Specific areas of consideration include environmental protection, mine safety, mine reclamation and mineral leasing procedures. From this the controls can be evaluated so reccommendations for improvements can be made prior to the 1973 Legislative session. The scope of the study intends to give an overall perspective on the current status of a potential base metal industry in the State of Minnesota and to outline the capability of the state agencies to comprehensively plan for the legal, environmental, social and economic effects of such an industry.

Furthermore, included in this study is a discussion on the problems of severed mineral ownership. Two major problems exist in this regard: (1) recording of mineral ownerships, and (2) a property taxation loophole. The study presents recommendations as to the resolution of these problems.

The text is subdivided into three major parts: The first part presents summaries, conclusions and recommendations; the second part includes supporting background material from which the conclusions were based; and the final part contains appendicies to further detail certain chapters that required extensive data collection.

-2-

# PRELIMINARY - SUBJECT TO REVISION

#### SUMMARY

Minnesota is possibly on the threshold of a new major industry; that of copper-nickel and associated mineral development. The development of such an industry could have a substantial environmental, economic and social impact on this state and its citizens for this and many future generations.

The potential for such a development does exist in the state and exploration to determine the mineral resource availability is presently being conducted by the industry on both public and private lands throughout significant areas of Northern Minnesota. No base metal mineral development has been announced to date as a result of this exploration but it would appear that the potential definitely exists. Therefore, now is the time to make an assessment of these potential impacts, both positive and negative, to determine the appropriate course of action for the state.

Recognizing the importance of this matter and the concern expressed by some of the citizens of the state (particularly the concern of potential environmental impacts), Governor Anderson requested the creation of an Inter-Agency Task Force and an expansion of the Minerals Subcommittee of the Natural Resources Advisory Council to "research the capacity of the State of Minnesota to deal with the many ramifications of the entire copper-nickel mining process: exploration, mining, concentration, extraction and product transportation."

In the conduct of this study, the two groups have accumulated a vast amount of data, has attempted to assess and evaluate this data, and has attempted to briefly appraise the rapidly changing technology associated with the industry. However, due to the significant magnitude of the study, the vast number of variables and unknown data considerations, the request of a comprehensive study within the available time frame cannot be completed in the necessary detail to evaluate all implications of a base-metal industry in Minnesota. This study should only be considered as a preliminary report in that it has only taken a superficial look at the major impact parameters and it is the conclusion of the Task Force and Subcommittee that there is a need for a continuing evaluation of potential impacts (environmental, social, economic) of a base metal industry including a more detailed analysis of the current and expanding technology to control these impacts. RECONMENDATION: The present Inter-Agency Task Force and the Minerals Subcommittee of the Natural Resources Advisory Council should be re-

tained to continue to appraise and assess impacts associated with a base-metal industry.

#### State Mineral Policy

An important consideration of possible base metal development is a review of the legislative direction provided to date in our laws. The existing state policy, which is contained in the numerous laws associated with mineral resources, provides for the exploration, development, mining and processing of copper-nickel ores. There are numerous laws to effectuate this policy which generally provide a basis for regulating the impacts associated with the industry (some inadequacies exist which are noted later in the report).

Policy statements contained in the Mineland Reclamation Act of 1969 give consideration to most of the elements of policy set forth elsewhere in the statutes in relation to more specialized subjects. This Act recognizes not only natural environment considerations, but also considerations relating to employment, the development of state-owned minerals and the economic benefits to mineral operators, land owners, local communities and the state.

-2-

The legislature, through the political process, has developed a direction for the state which generally encourages the development of a mining industry in the state under appropriate regulation to minimize any negative impacts. There is considerable special legislation unique to this industry which has been enacted from time to time in such diverse areas as water supply, eminent domain powers, taxation, highways, etc. which should be continuously re-evaluated in light of today's needs and concerns.

One policy area of particular concern to many citizens of the state is the relationship of mining and the Boundary Waters Canoe Area (BWCA). A state policy has clearly evolved from a series of legislative actions which would preclude any mineral exploitation in the BWCA except in times of a national emergency.

#### Potential for Base Metal Deposits

There are two geologic formations in Northern Minnesota that are considered favorable host rocks for potential base metal deposits, the Duluth Gabbro Complex and an ancient series of metavolcanic rock commonly referred to as "Greenstone" or "Greenstone Belts". The generalized location of these two formations is shown in Figure S-1.

The Duluth Complex is a mafic intrusive formation containing low grade copper and nickel sulfides. The most intensely mineralized area presently known is located in a zone, near the base of the Complex extending from Hoyt Lakes almost to the edge of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area (See Figure S-2). Based on preliminary data, this formation is considered to be the largest known nickel sulfide resource in the United States.\* Although no plans have been received to date, it is apparent that one or more mining companies will ultimately request permits required for developing mining operations in this area.

The Greenstone Belts are in many cases continuous belts extending down into Minnesota from Canada. As shown in Figure S-3, this formation is a major source of Canada's mineral wealth. From this data and knowledge of the similar geologic environments, j it can be asserted that economic ore deposits will eventually be discovered. These deposits can be expected to contain one or more of the following base metals and precious metals: copper, zinc, lead, nickel, gold and silver.

It must be emphasized that these two formations are distinctly different in geologic character and thus have to be evaluated independently in attempting to assess potential impacts associated with their development.

<sup>\*</sup> Kingston, G. A., et. al., <u>Availability of U. S. Primary Nickel Resources</u>, Information Circular 8469, U. S. Bureau of Mines, 1970.







-

alla eos allerenas**teristad**ella eta estatelatutenen

#### Base Metal Markets

At the present time, there is an oversupply of copper, nickel, and zinc on the world market. However, as demand is expected to exceed supply over the long term, this surplus will be consumed.

As derived from the U.S.B.M., Figure S-4\* shows present and projected supply-demand relationships for base metals in the United States if the historical rate of growth in demand continues. There is a growing concern for the nation's reliance on imports for materials vital to the economy. As can be seen from the table, our self-sufficiency for these strategic base metals is in jeopardy for many years to come. In the near future, it is anticipated that base metal extraction facility capacities will lag behind production and will be the limiting factor in meeting domestic demands. This problem should be alleviated as technology improves.

Recycling has often been cited as a solution to the potential shortage. U. S. Bureau of Mines statistics indicate that approximately 25% of the annual domestic consumption of copper is obtained from old or obsolete scrap. Oak Ridge National Laboratory estimates that potentially 75% of copper produced is recyclable; this figure includes industrial scrap and obsolete scrap, all of which cannot be entirely reclaimed with the present level of technology. Even with total recycling, it is anticipated that future demands could not be met. The gap between domestic supply and demand could be narrowed even more substantially through more efficient and less wasteful use of metals. In the absence of a policy of thrift in the use of minerals and energy which slows the rate of growth in demand, we conclude that the U. S. will have to accelerate its current exploration and mining program in order to remain even partially self-sufficient.

 Various other agencies have projected figures that may differ significantly from those shown here. However, most all studies derive similar results -domestic supplies will continue to lag behind domestic demands.

•		PRIMARY	PRIMARY
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YEAR	DEMAND	RESOURCES*
		Million Short Tons	Million Short Tons
	1970	1.6	1.7
COPPER	1985	2.9	N.A.
	2000	5.4	2.4
	1970-2000 Inclusively	91.3	81.0**
		Million Pounds	Million Pounds
NICKEL	1970	311.4	30.6
	1985	492.2	60.0
	2000	770.0	84.9
	1970-2000 Inclusively	15,058.0	400.0**
		Million Short Tons	Million Short Tons
ZINC	1970	1.3	0.5
	1985	1.8	0.5
	2000	3.1	0.5
	1970-2000 Inclusively	61.0	30.0**

SUMMARY OF U.S. SUPPLY-DEMAND RELATIONSHIPS

Figure 5-4

\* Expected production based on historical trends.

\*\* Domestic reserves based on 1970 prices.

RECOMMENDATION: More efficient and less wasteful use of metals including recycling should be encouraged to slow the rate of growth in demand.

RECO'MENDATION: The domestic mineral policy encouraging the exploration and development of mineral resources should be continued for the present.

#### Models of Potential Mineral Development

Current information pertaining to potential mineral operations in Minnesota is very limited and has not been delineated in sufficient detail to allow complete evaluation of their possible implications. Therefore, in order to make preliminary evaluations, two models have been prepared. Tables 1 and 2 outline the basic assumptions for the Gabbro and Greenstone Models respectively. Although these models will probably not fit any specific operation, they provide a first approximation of future development.

Based upon the knowledge of the geologic formations to date, several conclusions can be drawn concerning future development. Due to the location of the known mineralized zones in the Gabbro, operations will in all probability be underground except for the possibility of limited open pit mining along the contact. The majority of the greenstone operations (if and when any ore bodies are discovered) will also be underground, unless a deposit is discovered in an area where the overburden is shallow.

Due to the rapidly increasing costs of mining and processing, existing technology is in a period of rapid change. It is impossible at this time to identify specific techniques that could be used for developing Minnesota resources.

Extraction and refining facilites are only slightly resource oriented. At the outset of development, such facilities probably would not be located

#### Table 1

Summary of Gabbro Mine Model

1. Time from discovery to production

When known existing ore deposits become economic

1% combined Cu-Ni (.8% Cu & .2% Ni)

2. Ore Grade

 Current value of contained metal per ton of crude ore\* \$14.00/ton

- 4. Mine Production
- 5. Number of Employees
- 6. Mining
- 7. Mine Method

8. Individual Mine Life

9. District Life

10. Concentration

11. Extraction

20,000 tons/day 7,300,000 tons/year

2,800 (data from White Pine) (for a mine, concentrator, smelter and refinery)

Predominantly underground with some open pit near the contact

Block caving or room & pillar

25 to 50 years

Greater than 100 years

Selective Flotation

If no copper smelting capacity is available, a copper smelter (traditional, continuous, or flash) would have to be built. Nickel concentrate shipped to custom smelter.

#### Assumptions Necessary for Construction

- 1. Large low-grade deposits
- 2. Large-tonnage operation
- 3. Could require extraction facility
- 4. Pyrometallurgy only presently feasible method
- 5. Minimum size extraction facility - 300,000 tons per year
- 6. Grade of concentrate 25% Cu
- 7. Grade of ore 1% combined Cu-Ni (.8% Cu & .2% Ni)

\*The most current metal prices are used: Cu \$0.505/1b. Ni \$1.53/1b. Table 2

		Summary of Greenstone Mine Model
1.	Time from discovery to production	Ave. 10 yrs. Range 3 - 36 yrs.
2.	Ore Grade**	Ave. $\frac{Cu(\%)}{1.93}$ $\frac{Ni(\%)}{.14}$ $\frac{Pb(\%)}{.04}$ $\frac{Zn(\%)}{3.85}$ $\frac{Ag(oz/ton)}{.88}$ $\frac{Au(oz/ton)}{.04}$ Range0-4.30-2.70840-14.110-4.850186
3.	Current value of contaned metal per ton of crude ore**	Ave. \$41.86/ton Range \$20.33/ton - \$101.20/ton
4.	Mine Production	Ave.Tons per day 1,365Tons per year 498,000Range150 - 9,00054,500 - 3,000,000
5.	Number of Employees	Ave. 280 Range 132 – 985
6.	Mining	Underground with possible open pit where overburden is shallow.
7.	Major Mining Method	Cut and fill
8.	Individual Mine Life	20 yrs.
9.	District Life	50 yrs.
10.	Concentration	Selective flotation
11.	Extraction	Concentrates shipped to custom smelters

\* To simplify calculations, the following values are used Cu 1.95% Zn 3.85% Others are considered to be only a trace.

\*\*The most current metal prices are used Cu \$0.505/1b. Pb \$ 0.15/1b. Ag \$ 1.75/oz. Ni \$1.53/1b: Au \$64.20/oz. Zn \$0.18/1b.

within the State. As the number of discoveries and operations increase, the probability of a company desiring to locate a facility of this type in Minnesota would also increase. At this time, a site could be selected many miles from existing operations. For example, Magma Copper Company in Arizona is presently shipping copper concentrates to White Pine in Michigan.

RECOMMENDATION: The Department of Natural Resources should continue its efforts to assess potential mineral development so that environmental, social and economic implications can be evaluated and updated by the Inter-Agency Task Force.

## Potential Environmental Impacts of Base Metal Mining

Known mineral resources located in the Gabbro formation when compared with other deposits being mined throughout the United States and the world, are not of unusually low grade. The reasons they have not been developed in the past are related to the potentially higher mining costs associated with underground mining and the metallurgical problems in separating the contained metals. Presently, undiscovered deposits in the Greenstone would be expected to be higher grade and thus more capable of supporting an underground mining operation. In addition, it is not unusual that large quantities of waste materials are associated with base metal operation. In Minnesota and throughout the world, particularly porphery copper deposits, 99% of more of the material mined could ultimately end up as waste products of various forms.

It is important to note that a balance must be maintained between environmental control and the resources required to provide this control.

The potential environmental impacts associated with future base metal mining and processing in Minnesota will vary substantially from the present iron ore mining in Minnesota. Depending on the geologic formation (Greenstone or Gabbro) and the specific natural environment involved, each individual operation will also result in significantly varied environmental impacts. Because of this potential for tremendously varied environmental impacts, there is a need to establish a strong pre-operational monitoring in each area as deposits are discovered.

RECOMMENDATION: A pre-operational monitoring program should be established in the immediate future for the area southeast of Ely in the Gabbro formation.

## Environmental Impacts

Although the following is not a complete list and is very general in scope, the <u>primary</u> environmental considerations of a potential base metal industry are as follows:

Exploration

In general, there are no lasting or irreversible impacts associated with this phase. Only about one in one to two thousand prospects would be expected to develop into an operating mine.

Underground Mining-

- 1. Surface and groundwater discharge and fluctuations resulting from mine dewatering throughout the life of the mine.
- 2. Potential subsidence considerations both during and after the mine has been closed.
- Health and safety of the miner during both the developmental and operational phases.

Erosion, sedimentation and runoff from the various stockpiles.
Open Pit Mining

- The open pit mine is considered an irreversible impact, as such this specific land use must be a major consideration.
- Surface and groundwater discharge and fluctuation resulting from mine dewatering throughout the life of the mine.
- 3. Slope stability in regards to safety during and after the mining operation.

4. Erosion, sedimentation and runoff from the various stockpiles. Beneficiation

1. Land use in terms of tailings disposal sites.

2. Tailings disposal during actual operations.

3. Water discharges throughout the mine life and runoff after the operation has ceased.

4. Appropriation of water during operations.

5. Alteration of natural water drainage system.

Extraction

1. Water pollution for hydrometallurgical processes.

2. Air pollution for pyrometallurgical processes.

Refining

No major environmental problems are expected.

From preliminary evaluations, power demands associated with a base metal operation will be relatively small and presumably will not require a new power facility.

In most areas of potential new operations, no new townsites will be required in that present townsites exist in relatively close proximity.

In many specific cases there are other impacts that would require major consideration, however, these are unpredictable without a specific proposed project.

The existing statutory authority for environmental protection falls into four catagories. Water resources includes water use and appropriation, water quality, alteration of water course, drainage and diversion for mining purposes, Shipstead-Newton-Nolan Act and Little Shipstead-Newton-Nolan Act. Air quality includes authority to adopt "standards of air quality" and provides regulations "for the prevention, abatement, or control of air pollution." Solid waste includes authority that provides for the disposal of materials that might give rise to water or air pollution and land reclamation. Finally, land use is provided for primarily through local county zoning ordinances. There are some additional provisions for specific types of land use. These statutes are concerned with land reclamation, floodplain and shoreland development and mineral leasing.

In general, with the exception of land use and land reclamtion, sufficient statutory authority presently exists to control environmental impacts of mining. This is not to mean that sufficient rules and regulations have been developed to control a potential industry of this magnitude. Because of time limitations existing regulations have not been reviewed in detail.

RECOMMENDATION: Existing regulations should be reviewed in detail as an on going function of the Minerals Subcommittee and Task Force. RECOMMENDATION: Efforts should be made to provide for better coordination throughout the State agencies so that overall environmental impacts of potential industries can be evaluated completely rather than on a piecemeal basis.

RECOMMENDATION: The State should initiate an overall land use program. RECOMMENDATION: A siting authority should be established, possibly in conjunction with a power plant siting authority, that will consider locations for a future smelter if and when one is contemplated. Recommendations involving land reclamation are made in the following section which deals specifically with this subject.

#### MINELAND RECLAMATION

Land reclamation is a procedure which must be initiated at the onset of an operation to plan for appropriate land use and resource protection during and after completion of mining. The current statutory authority, enacted in 1969, is inadequate in that it does not provide for a comprehensive program capable of reclaiming and restoring an area disturbed by mining, nor is it compatible with Federal legislation expected for passage in 1973.

Most of the proposed Federal legislation provides for establishment of Federal guidelines for mine reclamation and the opportunity for States to establish and implement State plans. Effective control would be provided through a permit system which requires that a reclamation plan be submitted with each permit application.

The existing State regulatory authority for mineland reclamation provides control only for specific practices in areas close to certain highways and built-up portions of established communities, or in cases of possible pollution problems.

To be adequate, regulatory authorization must provide for (1) planning for reclamation prior to mine development, (2) the ability of the regulating agency to hold the operator financially responsible for inadequate reclamation efforts, (3) a research program directed towards the development of compatible landscaping techniques and the re-establishing of biological productivity on mined lands, and (4) an effective program for reclaiming previously exhausted mine properties.

#### RECOMMENDATION

A good mineland reclamation effort must be planned prior to operations and must be carried out as part of the business of mining. Legislation should be prepared and enacted that will provide more effective guidelines for reclamation of metal mines; provide for evaluation to determine the need for and possible inclusion of industrial mineral mining (gravel, quarrying, etc.) under land reclamation regulations; and finally, serve as an enabling act for preparing a "State Plan" when and if federal legislation is passed.

#### Potential Economic Impact of a Base Metal Mining Industry

Besides contributing to the national economy, base metal mining is a significant asset to all levels of the economic environment. Studies of base metal operations in other States and in Canada show that substantial economic benefit is particularly derived by the local mining community.

Greenstone and gabbro areas of Minnesota occur in or close to an area of the state that already supports mining operations. Thus many of the supplies necessary to support a new copper mining operation should be available from local manufacturers and distributors. Unemployment rates of 9.7% and 13% for St. Louis County and Ely respectively, indicate that the area already has a population complex capable of providing the necessary labor force. Ely is especially hard pressed and, it must be recognized, that the Ely area will probably be the first to develop a copper-nickel mining operation. Aside from administrative personnel and perhaps a temporary training crew there is little reason to doubt that local residents will provide most of the work force necessary.

In addition to a number of already existing establishments the region would be an ideal location for new companies engaged in the manufacture of mining equipment and machinery and satellite industries to serve the needs of the mining industry and mining community. Furthermore, if and when an extraction facility is constructed in Northern Minnesota, the opportunity would present itself for the introduction of various fabricating industries which would utilize the final refined metal product.

It is doubtful that any new townsites will be developed as a result of new mining operations because existing townsites are located in relatively, close proximity. Although the state (primarily its trust funds and local units of government) has received substantial monetary benefit to date from rentals derived from the leasing of state owned mineral lands for exploration, the amount is minor compared to the revenue that will be obtained through royalties and taxes once mining is initiated. The same situation also exists for the federal and privately-owned mineral rights, although this public benefit might not be as direct.

#### Socio-Economic Attitudes as Related to Base Metal Mining

A recent opinion survey concerning economic and environmental issues in Northern Minnesota was taken in selected cities in Northern Minnesota, Southern Minnesota, and the Twin Cities area. As may be expected, some of the opinions varied significantly between Northern and Southern Minnesota.

Of the residents polled in Northern Minnesota, a clear majority, particularly in the Ely area, was in favor of promoting the exploration for and mining of base metals. A majority of the populace contended that unemployment, welfare, and other economic problems should be considered prior to environmental impacts. The local residents indicated approval of current environmental regulations and are generally <u>opposed</u> to relaxing these controls strictly for the purpose of encouraging industry and employment. In summary, the people are environmentally oriented but do not intend to let tight pollution controls inhibit their economic advancement.

It is interesting to note that in Southern Minnesota and the Twin Cities, the respondents also favored expansion of the mining industry though only by a very slight margin. Southern Minnesota residents (including Twin Cities) for the most part, recognize the economic plight of Northern Minnesota but feel that the long range preservation of natural and wilderness areas and other environmental concerns are more important than the current economic pro-

lems.

Overall, the people responded in agreement to the contention that it is possible to have more industry in Northern Minnesota in conjunction with the necessary environmental protection regulations.

#### Base Metal Leasing Procedures

The State of Minnesota has been endowed with vast holdings of mineral rights acquired by various means. The responsibility of managing these mineral rights is vested with the Department of Natural Resources. The authority and guidelines for this management are set forth in Chapter 93 of Minnesota Statutes. Mineral rights are not sold but development is permitted under a leasing system. Rental and royalty payments are defined with the state's trust funds and the local taxing districts as the principal benefactors.

Final approval of the adoption of rules and regulations authorizing prospecting, leasing and mining of non-ferrous minerals is vested with the Executive Council as well as the awarding of any leases. On July 15, 1966, the Department of Natural Resources held a public hearing on "Rules and Regulations Covering Permits to Prospect For and Leases to Mine Copper, Nickel and Associated Minerals". The Executive Council approved the rules and regulations on November 8, 1966. The major items included are:

provisions for public and negotiated lease sales, bidding procedures and the actual lease form. The lease form contains the basis for royalty and rental payments; safety provisions; environmental considerations; reserves the state's right to lease iron ore, taconite and sell timber; requires the lessee to submit monthly and annual reports, exploration data and mine samples; provides for state inspection; requires the lessee to pay damages and taxes; the lessee's right to terminate and the lessor's right to cancel.

Under the copper-nickel rules and regulations adopted, the following procedures have been developed in connection with the public sale of leases as follows:

A. Proposed & requested sites evaluated

B. Tentative boundaries set

C. Historic, Scientific, Recreation sites identified and omitted

2. Sale Area Reviewed

A. All agencies of DNR

B. Other interested state agencies

C. Other interested groups

D. Boards of involved counties

3. Sale Developed

A. Unit book & maps prepared

B. Legal notice published

C. Press releases

D. Prospective bidders informed

4. Sale Conducted

- A. Bid opening with Executive Council
- B. Recess to study & evaluate high bids
- C. Reconvene & awarding, rejecting or tabling of leases by Executive Council

The first copper-nickel lease sale was held on December 20, 1966. Subsequent sales were held on August 15, 1968, December 11, 1968, July 30, 1970 and December 14, 1971. Of the 1.9 million acres of state-owned lands offered for lease, 908 leases covering 362,909 acres have been leased. Of this total, four leases covering 840 acres were negotiated. All leases were awarded with the Executive Council's approval.

Although the public is informed through means of legal published notices and press releases of the lease sales, and their comments are invited at the Executive Council meetings, the only formal public involvement occurred prior to initial lease sale and prior to and during the 1971 sale. In this most recent instance certain interest groups objected to the lack of public involvement prior to the selection of mining units to be offered, the need for additional time between the opening of bids and the awarding of leases, too large an area offered for lease and what they feel is a lack of departmental concern and study of the recreational and environmental impacts on the area involved.

Criticism also came from the industry in that they would prefer a royalty based on net smelter return . Also, the concern was expressed about the excluding of lands other than those in recognized wilderness and recreation areas such as the BWCA, State Parks, etc., particularly when the lands have anomalous portions.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Continue the basic procedures for Base Metal Leasing with the following modifications:

- DNR publicly announce its intent to hold a copper-nickel lease sale at least 90 days prior to the sale.
- 2) DNR to request the Executive Council to recess for a period of not less than 15 days between the opening of bids and the awarding of leases.

- 3 -

### Mine Health and Safety

Mineral mining, quarrying and processing in Minnesota include granite quartzite, clay, pure silica sand, sand and gravel and peat as well as iron. But, in Minnesota, mining generally has meant iron mining. Iron mining (relatively speaking) has had an enviable health and safety record. In the not too distant future copper-nickel ores may be mined in Minnesota. The prospects are that this will involve underground operations, operations which tend to be more hazardous from a health and safety standpoint than aboveground or open pit operations. Minnesota should accept responsibility for the occupational health and safety of workers in its mineral industries.

An Occupational Safety and Health Plan for Minnesota proposes legislation which will coordinate the State program with that of the U. S. Department of Labor as mandated by the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970. The proposed plan excludes those occupational activities that fall under the jursidiction of the U. S. Department of Interior. However, the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act of 1966 encourages cooperative action on the part of the states. The State Occupational Safety and Health Plan calls for a developmental program, and at an appropriate time in that development, responsibility for employees in Minnesota's mineral industries should be considered for inclusion. Meanwhile, a study project should be undertaken to investigate the conditions whereby Minnesota can become an agreement state with the U. S. Department of Interior, with the findings and recommendations of the study project serving as a guide for the timing and establishment of a program to provide for the occupational safety and health of workers in the mineral industries.

RECOMMENDATION: Legislation based on the State Occupational Safety and Health Plan should be passed and supported to give protection to Minnesota's work force and to serve as a nucleus for a program to protect the safety and health of workers in the mineral industries. RECOMMENDATION: A study project should be undertaken to investigate the conditions for Minnesota to become an agreement state as specified by the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act.

## Problems of Severed Mineral Ownership in Minnesota

The obscure and often fractionalized ownership of severed minerals is both a result and a cause of the absence of real property taxation of these interests. Without adequate records, sound taxation is virtually impossible. Without taxation of these property interests, there is little incentive to maintain current ownership records. Both the record keeping and the taxing problems need attention.

RECOMMENDATION: For the purpose of providing adequate and current records of ownership of severed minerals, much of which is already highly fractionalized, the mineral registration law of 1969 (Minnesota Statutes 1971, Sections 93.52 to 93.58) should be amended as provided in S.F. 2649 and H.F. 3166 of the 1971 regular legislative session, to compel registration within certain time limits.

RECOMMENDATION: For the purpose of eliminating the inequity in the real property tax laws of the state which exempts severed mineral estates from taxation except where the property has been drilled and a marketable mineral reserve discovered, Minnesota Statutes 1971, Chapter 272, should be amended as provided in S.F. 2649 and H.F. 3166 of the 1971 regular legislative session, to impose a minimum tax on severed minerals.

# PRELIMINARY - SUBJECT TO REVISION

## CHAPTER I

## STATE MINERAL POLICY

In considering the many implications of possible base metal exploration, development and mining in Minnesota, it is important to explore and assess legislative directions which have been set forth for the State. While the time frame for preparation of this report precludes a complete examination of legislative history relative to our mineral resources, we have briefly examined our current laws. State mineral policies are set forth in a series of statutes but, as is the case with many policies, they have never been consolidated into a single comprehensive state mineral policy. The majority of these laws relating to mineral resources deal with specific aspects such as the administration of minerals related to public lands, mineral taxes, water resources, powers of eminent domain, highways, etc.

Folicy statements contained in the Mineland Reclamation Act of 1969 give consideration to most of the elements of policy set forth elsewhere in the statutes in relation to more specialized subjects. The 1969 Mineland Reclamation Act (Minnesota Statutes 1971, Sections 93.44 to 93.51), contains the following provisions:

"93.44 DECLARATION OF POLICY. In recognition of the effects of mining upon the environment, it is hereby declared to be the policy of this state to provide for the reclamation of certain lands hereafter subjected to the mining of metallic minerals where such reclamation is necessary, both in the interest of the general welfare and as an exercise of the police power of the state, to control possible adverse environmental effects of mining, to preserve the natural resources, and to encourage the planning of future land utilization, while at the same time promoting the orderly development of mining, the encourage ment of good mining practices, and the recognition and identification of the beneficial aspects of mining." **\*93.47**, Subd. 2. In determining the extent and type of regulation required, the commissioner shall give due consideration to the effects of mining upon the following: (a) environment; (b) the future utilization of the land upon completion of mining; and (c) the wise utilization and protection of the natural resources including but not limited to the control of erosion, the prevention of land or rock slides, and air and water pollution. The commissioner also shall give due consideration to (a) the future and economic effect of such regulations upon the mine operators and land owners, the surrounding communities, and the state of Minnesota; (b) the effect upon employment in the state; (c) the effect upon the future mining and development of metallic minerals owned by the state of Minnesota and others, and the revenues received therefrom; and (d) the practical problems of the mine operators and mineral owners."

Notice that the reclamation act recognizes not only natural environment considerations, but also considerations relating to employment, the development of state-owned minerals and the economic benefits to mineral operators, landowners, local communities, and the state.

Considerable legislative action has occurred regarding the administration and regulation of publicly-owned minerals.

The laws relating to minerals on public lands in the state date back as early as statehood. The majority of these laws are now contained in Chapter 93 of the Minnesota Statutes and is the basis for the Department of Natural Resources current Copper-Nickel Rules and Regulations for the leasing of state-owned minerals for exploration and mining.

In 1866 the legislature, in an act relating to certain public lands in the state, authorized mines and inhabitants to form mining districts, fix the boundaries, adopt a name and pass rules and regulations for the district necessary for the location, holding, recording, and working of mines or mining claims. The act applied to the mining of "gold, silver and other minerals". This law, along with an amendment to it in 1867, authorized limited staking of mineral claims on certain public lands in Minnesota until the enactment of an 1889 law, which established the basis for much of our present iron ore mining laws. Numerous changes to that basic 1889 law have occurred, usually in response to changes in demand for the resource, new mineral finds, changes in mining technology, legal reasons, and changes in public attitudes.

Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 93, contains the present basis for the administration of state-owned or administered mineral lands, and embodies the numerous elements necessary for such administration such as the reservation of minerals to the state, mineral leasing provisions and procedures, the rights and duties of leaseholders, use of state lands for auxiliary land needs, rentals and royalties disposition and distribution, and the specific administrative procedures for state mineral lands. The chapter is sprinkled with various citations of policy--all basically providing for the encouragement of exploring, mining and developing publicly-owned minerals but tempered by supporting statutory sections controlling their actions as lessee. In addition to the statutory controls imposed under this chapter, a lessee is also required to conform to all other statutory requirements, the same as on private lands, regarding water permit requirements, water and air pollution control requirements, taxes, land use controls, etc.

One specific area of mineral policy that has been the expressed concern of numerous citizens is the policy regarding the Boundary Waters Canoe Area (BWCA) and possible mineral exploitation. Based upon a review of the many laws which have been enacted by the legislature regarding the BWCA and their historical development, it is clearly the intent of the legislature that this unique area be managed for its wilderness characteristics and free of mining except in times of a national emergency. This basic policy is consistent with the actions of the federal government, which has been expressly set forth by Congress in the establishment of the BWCA. This state policy is presently being challenged by private individuals owning severed minerals within the BWCA. Court action on this matter is currently pending in U. S. District Court. (Izaak Walton League of America vs. George W. St. Clair, et al). The Department of Natural Resources, through the Attorney General's office, has successfully defended this state policy to date, although the final court decision has not yet been rendered.

While not as clear or as specifically delineated, the public policy and attitude towards mineral resource development on privat\_ely-owned lands is similar to that set forth for public lands. However, the control and regulation on private lands is less extensive.

The various laws that are applicable to and affect the mining industry are numerous and also reveal a similar expression of public policy. These various laws relate to such diverse subjects as mine safety, highways, land and land rights, including eminent domain power, building restrictions and construction practices, employment, water resources, including mining relationships to lakes and streams and their alteration, inspection, etc. Considerable special legislation unique to the industry has been enacted from time to time in these diverse areas which is generally designed to accommodate the industry subject to the controls deemed necessary by the legislature to regulate the industry.

- 4 -

#### GEOLOGIC SETTING FOR BASE METAL DEPOSITS IN MINNESOTA

Northern Minnesota possesses one of this nation's greatest potentials for base metal deposits. Base metals composed of copper, nickel, and zinc combine with sulfur to produce the following minerals:

Chalcopyrite (Cu Fe S2) - Copper sulfide

Pentlandite (Ni, Fe) S - Nickel sulfide

Sphalerite (Zn S) - Zinc sulfide

These minerals are found in various concentrations in many types of rocks throughout the world. In Minnesota, a number of companies are exploring two geologic formations in an attempt to locate a sizeable concentration of these minerals. One of these geological formations is the area that is essentially north and west of the Mesabi Range, which is underlain by very ancient early Precambrian volcanic rocks, including lava flows, sedimentary rocks and granitic rocks. The volcanic and associated sedimentary rocks are commonly called "greenstone belts" because of the distinctive green color of most of the rocks. The other area is referred to as the Duluth Gabbro Complex, a large body of basic rocks of late Precambrian age that extends from Duluth in a great arc to the Arrowhead Country.

A closer look at the area containing the greenstone belts reveals three major rock types: (1) volcanic rocks, (2) sedimentary rocks, and (3) granitic rocks (see Figure 1). The granitic rocks are essentially barren and contain no known mineral deposits. The volcanic and sedimentary rocks are known by analogy with similar rocks in Ontario, Quebec, Manitoba and Wisconsin to be favorable for the occurrence of deposits containing copper, zinc, lead, nickel, silver and gold. Figure 2 illustrates the active mining areas in the Canadian greenstone belts and the continuity





Geologic Map of Northern Minnesota


of these belts across the international border. To date, no significant mineral deposits have been discovered in Minnesota's greenstone belts, but exploration is continuing, and it is probable that one or more valuable deposits will be found eventually. In a recent study it was determined statistically that one ore discovery should be made in Minnesota's greenstones every ten years. However, because Minnesota greenstone belts have only recently and for the first time been explored, it is reasonable to assume that the discovery rate in these areas may be twice this amount for the next ten years.\* Figure 3 illustrates the areas believed to be explorable and their rated potential in regards to the greatest probability of containing economic mineral deposits.

In the Duluth Gabbro Complex (Figures 1 and 4) copper-nickel mineralization was discovered about 25 years ago in an area adjacent to the South Kawishiwi River southeast of Ely and since 1965, a massive exploration effort has been carried out by a dozen major companies. Large volumes of low-grade and marginal material have been discovered; it is felt that eventually it will be economically feasible to mine these deposits. Based on exploration to date, the United States Bureau of Mines lists the Duluth Gabbro Complex as this country's largest nickel sulfide resource as well as a significant domestic copper resource.<sup>3</sup>

The copper-nickel deposits that have been discovered are in a zone

\*These results are based on a comparison study with Ontario which was selected because of the similarity in geological environments. In order to use the data derived from Ontario for projection into Minnesota, the rate of discovery in Ontario was adjusted by a correction factor. This factor was determined by comparison of the intensity of exploration in both areas, which was based on the acres prospected since 1966. Results indicate the intensity of exploration in Minnesota to be 10% of that in Ontario. Since 1966, it was determined that an average of one economic deposit per year is discovered in Ontario. By taking 10% of Ontario's discovery rate would give Minnesota a 0.1 greenstone discovery rate per year, or one discovery every ten years.

-2-





Explorable Potential - Base Metal Areas of Minnesota

.

at or near the base, or the western edge, of the Duluth Complex. Deposits are known to be located on the northern limb of the complex in Cook County; in the area southeast of Ely, extending from Hoyt Lakes to the edge of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area; and in the area between Duluth and Hoyt Lakes. The segment between Hoyt Lakes and the B.W.C.A. (Figure 4) apparently contains higher grade mineralization and would almost certainly be considered for development first. Copper and nickel mineralization in this area occurs discontinuously along the basal part of the complex as lenses and layers that dip moderately to the southeast. The sulfide minerals are disseminiated irregularly in the rocks and local concentrations of these minerals constitute a potential ore body. The following discussion is a preliminary estimate of the copper-nickel resources in the Hoyt Lakes to B.W.C.A. segment of the Duluth Complex.

Using a cut-off grade, or lower mining limit, of 0.50 percent combined copper and nickel and including only units of rock having a minimum thickness of 50 feet, the Minnesota Geological Survey estimates a minimum of 6.5 billion tons of crude ore that has an average grade of 0.85 percent combined copper and nickel. Assuming 100 percent recovery of the metals (copper and nickel) and a price of 50 cents per pound for the metals (which is a gross under-estimate), this material would have a value of about \$55 billion. Expressed in other terms, at the current rate of consumption of nickel, the nickel resources in the Duluth Complex would supply the world's needs for at least 20 years. Also, at the current rate of consumption of of copper, the copper resources in the Duluth Complex would supply the world's needs for at least 5 years and the United States' needs for about 20 years.<sup>1</sup>

If a lower cut-off of 0.25 percent combined copper and nickel is used

-3-

1



as the basis for estimating the resources (and a minimum thickness of 100 feet is used), the Minnesota Geological Survey estimates about 14 billion tons of mineralized material having an average grade of about 0.58 percent combined copper and nickel. Using the same assumptions for recovery as above, this material would have a value of about \$80 billion.<sup>1</sup>

#### FOOTNOTES

- Sims, P. K., "The Geology and Potential for Copper-Nickel Deposits in Northern Minnesota", Minnesota Geological Survey, from paper presented at Copper-Nickel Symposium, August 26, 1972.
- 2. Brice, W. C., Possible Environmental Impact of Base Metal Mining in Minnesota, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, June, 1972.
- 3. Kingston, G. A., et. al., Availability of U. S. Primary Nickel Resources, Information Circular 8469, U. S. Bureau of Mines, 1970.



#### BASE METAL MARKET POTENTIAL

An economic appraisal of the outlook for base metals must first be considered before any mining operations are initiated. The most vital factors are supply-demand relationships, price fluctuations, and production capacities. With this in mind, a review was made as to the market potential of copper, nickel, zinc and sulfur as related to both domestic and world situations. Although several variations of supply-demand figures may be found in in the literature, data and projections from 1970 Bureau of Mines reports were selected for use in the following discussion.

#### Copper

Copper is utilized as a pure metal, alloyed with zinc to form brass, and alloyed with tin to form bronze. Copper as a pure metal is used: as electrical wiring for motors, transformers, generators and instruments; as copper and brass tubing for plumbing and heat transfer; as sheet for roofing, gutters, decoratice applications, ordinance, and coinage; in copper and alloy castings and roginge for bearings, bushings, jewelry and mechanical parts; and in chemicals for insecticides, pigments, and agriculture. The domestic demand for copper is distributed among the following industries: electrical equipment and supplies, 53%; construction, 16%; industrial machinery, 12%; transportation, 8%; ordinance, 6%; and miscellaneous uses, 5%.<sup>1</sup>

World copper production for 1970 was 6.6 million tons. U. S. production for the decade 1961-1970 increased 48% with a pronounced drop in 1967 and 1968 due to the prolonged strike. However, domestic demand for primary copper during the last decade increased only 27%.

Inputs into the U. S. copper supply for 1970 include 70% from primary mine production, 23% from old scrap and 7% from imports. Figure 1a shows supplydemand relationships from 1970 and Table 1a shows figures for the decade 1961-1970.



	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
World production - primary										
Mine production:										
United States	1,165	1,228	1,213	1,247	1,352	1,429	954	1,205	1,545	1,720
Rest of World	3,575	3,647	3,736	3,865	3,967	4,056	4,270	4,436	4,668	4,847
Total	4,740	4,875	4,949	5,112	5,319	5,485	5,224	5,641	6,213	6,567
Components of U.S. supply (primary										
and old scrap)										
Refined production:										
Domestic mines	1,181	1,214	1,219	1,259	1,336	1,353	847	1,161	1,469	1,521
Old scrap	164	172	163	186	214	242	190	231	284	278
Imports of ore, blister, etc	369	398	377	39 <i>€</i>	-376	358	286	276	274	244
Government stockpile releases	5	8	11	27	120	4()()	149			ar. 200 ma
Imports of refined	67	99	119	140	137	164	331	400	131	132
Old scrap (unrefined)	247	244	259	288	299	293	293	290	291	226
Industry stocks, Jan. 1	554	510	537	527	467	498	602	507	563	541
Total U.S. supply	2,587	2,645	2,685	2,823	2,949	3,308	2,698	2,865	3,012	2,942
Distribution of U.S. supply										
Industry stocks, Dec. 31	510	537	527	467	498	602	507	563	- 541	645
Exports (refined)	429	337	311	316	325	273	159	241	200	221
Industrial demand	1,648	1,771	1,847	2,040	2,126	2,433	2,032	2,061	2,271	2,076
U.S. demand pattern										
Electrical equipment	733	773	804	941	1,028	1,178	1,113	1,046	1,193	1,101
Construction materials	320	363	390	420	415	410	277	316	341	328
Industrial machinery	264	281	304	312	305	316	.208	239	254	251
Transportation equipment	177	196	211	222	227	226	145	193	198	173
Ordnance	72	70	46	43	45	182	188	164	172	119
Other	82	88	92	102	106	121	101	103	113	104
U.S. primary demand (industrial								,		
demand less old scrap)	1,237	1,355	1,425	1,566	1,613	1,898	1,549	1,540	1,696	1,572

# Table 1a - Copper Supply-Demand Relationships, 1961-1970<sup>2</sup> (thousand short tons)

.

11.3 million short tons. The most probable demand figure is 7.1 million tons. Gravitation toward the low of the forecast range could be effected through the increasing prevalence of economically and technologically preferred substitutes.

Copper demands for the rest of the world are expected to range from 16.8 million tons to 34.9 million tons in 2000. A summary of forecasted U. S. and World demand is included in Table 2a.

Based on the average 1970 price of copper (\$0.582/lb.) the projected domestic reserves total to 81 million tons of recoverable copper. Arizona, Montana, Utah, New Mexico and Michigan accounted for over 90% of the total reserves at an average grade of 0.86 percent copper.

For the rest of the world, the copper reserves at 1970 prices are estimated to be 259 million tons. Seventy-three percent of this total maybe accounted for by Chile, U.S.S.R., Zambia, Peru, the Congo and Canada. The remaining 27% is divided among Australia, Peoples Republic of China, Finland, Iran, Japan, Mexico, the Philippines, Poland, Republic of South Africa, Sweden and Yugoslavia. Table 3a shows an assessment of world copper resources recoverable at various prices.

1970 estimates of mine, smelter, and refinery capacites compared to production for principal producing countries are included in Table 46. It is readily discernable that in most all instances, production closely approached capacities to the point of practical maximum limits of operation.

Projected world copper mine, smelter, and refinery capacities through 1975 are shown in Table 5a. Smelter capacities after 1971 are shown to be noticeably below mine capacity.

Copper prices have been subjected to severe fluctuation on the London

	1970	Foreca Low	00 st range High	Pro 1985	bable 2000	Probable average annual growth-rate - 1970-2000 (percent)
United States						
Primary	1.572	4.2	8.6	2.9	5.4	4.2
Secondary	.504	1.3	2.7	.9	1.7	4.2
Total	2.076	5.5	11.3	3.8	7.1	4.2
Cumulative (primary)		78.1	122.3	32.0	91.3	
Rest of World						
Primary	4.88	12.6	26.2	9.5	18.3	4.5
Secondary	1.62	4.2	8.7	3.1	6.1	4.5
Total	6.50	16.8	34.9	12.6	24.4	1,5
Cumulative (primary)		239.2	379.3	101.7	298.0	
World						
Primary	6.452	16.8	34,8	12.4	23.7	4.4
Secondary	2.124	5.5	11.4	4.0	7.8	4.4
Total	8.576	22.3	46.2	16.4	31.5	4.4
Cumulative (primary)		317.3	501.6	133.7	389.3	

# Table 2a - Summary of Forecasted U. S. and World Copper Demand, 1970-2000<sup>2</sup> (million short tons)

\$

	Price,	constant 1970 dollars refined copper	per pound
	0.582	/ 0.70	0.80
North America:			
Canada	30	35	41
United States	81	93	108
Other	11	13	15
Total	122	141	164
South America:			
Chile	56	64	75
Peru	22	25	30
Other	1	1	2
Total	79	90	107
Europe:			
U.S.S.R	35	40	46
• Other	15	17	20
Total	50	57	66
Africa:		•	
Congo (Kinshasa)	20	23	27
Zambia	27	31	36
Other	7	8	10
Total	54	62	73
Asia: Total	24	27	32
Oceania: Total	11	13	15
Total for World	340	390	457

### Table 3a - Assessment of World Copper Resources Recoverable at Various Prices<sup>2</sup> (million short tons of copper)

1/ Average U.S. delivered price in 1970.

	Mine		Sme1	ter	Refinery		
	Capacity	Production	Capacity	Production	Capacity	Production	
North America:		•					
Canada	770	676	550	544	550	543	
United States	1,850	1,720	1,900	1,641	2,720 1	/ 2,216	
Other	90	77	70	65	80	60	
Total	2,710	2,473	2,520	2,250	3,350	2,819	
South America:		, -					
Chile	880	756	900	726	880	509	
Peru	250	234	210	194	50	40	
Other	20	16	4	4	4	4	
Total	1,150	1,006	1,114	924	934	553	
Europe:							
U.S.S.R	660	630	660	630	750	700	
Other	400	377	670	605	1,760	1,660	
Total	1,060	1,007	1,330	1,235	2,510	2,360	
Africa:							
Congo (Kinshasa)	. 430	425	430	425	340	210	
Zambia	840	754	860	754	700	636	
Other	280	241	240	224	110	99	
Tota]	1,550	1,420	1,530	1,403	1,150	945	
Asis: Total	520	500	980	943	1,050	945	
Oceania: Total	180	161	150	122	165	158	
Grand Total	7,170	6,567	7,624	6,877	9,159	7,780	

# Table 4a - World Copper Capacity and Production, 1970<sup>2</sup> (thousand short tons (opper)

1/ Production at primary refineries consisting of 1,765 from primary material and 451 from secondary material.

	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
North America:						
United States						
Mine	1,850	1,900	2,000	2,100	2,150	2,150
Smelter	1,900	1,930	1,940	2,000	2,Q50	2,100
Refinery	2,720	2,860	2,860	2,900	2,900	2,950
Other North America						
Mine	860	930	1,200	1,250	1,250	1,250
Smelter	620	620	670	760	770	770
Refinery	630	630	660	720	730	730
South America:			,			
Mine	1,150	1,300	1,400	1,450	1,450	1,450
Smelter	1,114	1,160	1,210	1,300	1,300	1,300
Refinery	934	940	970	1,000	1,000	1,000
Europe:						
Mine	1,060	1,110	1,200	1,300	1,400	1,450
Smelter	1,330	1,380	1,430	1,570	1,650	1,740
Refinery	2,510	2,670	2,760	2,900	2,980	3,030
Africa:			•			
Mine	1,550	1,600	1,690	1,840	1,920	2,120
Smelter	1,530	1,620	1,640	1,690	1,770	1,810
Refinery	1,150	1,260	1,260	1,260	1,300	1,300
Asia:						
Mine	520	550	640	700	890	900
Smelter	980	1,020	1,020	1,020	1,200	1,200
Refinery	1,050	1,060	1,090	1,160	1,390	1,590
Oceania:						
Mine	180	190	340	360	400	420
Smelter	150	155	160	160	. 200	200
Refinery	165	165	165	170	210	210
World Totals:						
Mine	7,170	7,580	8,470	9,000	9,460	9,740
Smelter	7,624	7,885	8,070	8,500	8,940	9,120
Refinery	9,159	9,585	9,765	10.,110	10,510	10,810

# Table 5a - Projected World Copper Capacity, 1970-1975<sup>2</sup> (thousand short tons copper)

Metal Exchange (LME) and domestic markets. The table below shows price comparisons in cents per pound:<sup>1</sup>

	High		Low		Average		
· ·	Domestic	LME	Domestic	LME	Domestic	LME	
1967 1968 1969 1970 1971	38.1 42.1 52.1 60.1	75.8 87.3 79.8 81.5	36.0 38.1 42.1 53.1	43.3 46.3 54.5 45.9	38.6 42.2 47.9 58.2	51,2 56.1 66.2 63.0	

A breakdown showing the cost components of producing copper at the market price for an open-pit operation is as follows:

50% - initial mining operations

- 10% ore beneficiation
- 10% smelting
- 5% refining
- 25% markets and overhead (including profit)

An underground operation should closely approach this breakdown except for an adjustment increasing the percentage relating to initial mining operations.

Most economists predict that copper prices will continue to decline gradually but will not go much below 50 cents per pound. It has been estimated that 45 cents per pound is the absolute minimum price that could maintain acceptable profitability for the industry. Economists feel that this is due to production costs which have increased rapidly in the past few years.

The current lag in copper prices is indicative of the surplus of copper. This surplus is predicted for the remainder of the 70's and early 80's, however, as the surplus is consumed, it is speculated that current domestic reserves will be inadequate to compensate this nations' demands.

#### Nickel

Approximately 35% of the nickel consumed in the U. S. is in the form of alloyed metal. Most of the remaining is used in electroplating. The principal alloy forms in order of descending importance, measured by weight, are: stainless steel, high-nickel alloys, alloy steel, heat resistant castings, electrical resistance alloys, grey iron castings, cupro-nickel, iron-nickel alloys, corrosion resistant alloys, cast bronzes and brasses, alloy steel castings, nodular iron castings, nickel, silver, and permanent magnets. Major end uses in 1970 were: Consumer products, 16%; machinery and transportation, 14%; automotive products, 12%; electronic equipment, 9%; chemical processing plants, 8%; petroleum processing plants, 8%; other processing plants, 7%; aircraft, 6%; and energy conversion, architecture, marine applications, and coinage most of the remainder.<sup>1</sup>

World mine production for nickel in 1970 totaled over 685,000 short tons. Of this total, Canada produced 44%. International Nickel Co. (INCO), by far the world's largest nickel producer, turned out 85% of the total Canadian nickel. During 1970, domestic nickel mine production totaled approximately 16,000 tons; only 2% of the world total. The sole domestic producer of primary nickel is The Hanna Mining Co. at Riddle, Oregon. In 1970, nearly two-thirds of the world nickel production came from underground sulfide deposits, the other third came from open-pit oxide deposits.

Secondary nickel derived from obsolete consumer goods, and from industrial scrap, proved to be a significant element of supply.

Inputs into domestic nickel consumption for 1970 include 75% from imports, 24% from scrap and 1% from mine output. Figure 1b shows supply-demand relation-ships for 1970 and Table 1b shows figures for the decade 1961-1970.

Domestic demand for nickel in the year 2000 is predicted to reach 1100 million pounds. A further breakdown shows the components to be 770 million



.

	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
World mine production		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	******		****					
United States	22.4	22.4	22.9	24.4	27.0	26.5	29.2	30.3	31.2	30.6
Rest of World	773.6	765.6	725.1	793.5	909.5	853.7	960.5	1,065.6	1,033.9	1,339.7
l'otal	796.0	788.0	748.0	817.9	936.5	880.2	989.7	1,095.9	1,065.1	1,370.3
Components of U.S. supply										
Domestic mines	22.4	22.4	22.9	24.4	27.0	26.5	29.2	30.3	31.2	30.6
Secondary	58.4	62.5	83.3	101.8	102.8	126.1	104.6	73.1	142.0	129.4 1
Net Covernment release	20.1	(6.3)	б.7	5.7	32.6	207.2	46.6	6.3	8.6	4.2
Imports	254.0	246.0	238.0	258.0	326.0	282.0	285.2	287.4	251.5	305.1
Industry stock, Jan. 31	22.7	36.6	26.9	. 34.4	31.4	28.1	89.0	79.3	74.5	63.9
Total U.S. supply	377.6	361.2	377.8	424.3	522.8	669.9	554.6	476.4	507.8	533.2
Distribution of U.S. supply										
Industry stock, Dec. 31	36.6	26.9	34.4	34.4	28.1	62.6	69.1	74.5	63.9	112.0
Exports	14.3	16.0	20.0	23.9	11.1	23.6	16.0	13.0	2.7	12.5
Industrial demand	326.7	318.3	323.4	366.0	483.6	583.7	469.5	388.9	441.2	408.7
U.S. demand pattern										
Industrial chemicals &										
petroleum refining	. 29.6	30.8	27.7	32.5	51.7	66.0	80.0	67.6	101.6	94.0
> Fabricated metal products	25.9	25.8	25.1	31.6	45.0	57.4	80.6	49.0	36.7	39.0
Transportation										
Aircraft and parts	28.2	29.2	25.6	28.9	47.8	60.8	33.0	48.5	29.6	24.6
Motor vehicles & equipment	48.5	53.8	50.9	54.4	76.7	72.9	47.7	44.0	46.6	49.1
Ship & Boat building &										
repairs	10.7	11.2	9.5	10.7	18.2	23.4	14.7	18.2	17.0	12.2
Total	87.4	94.2	86.0	94.0	142.7	157.1	95.4	110.7	93.2	85.9
Electrical equipment	34.5	37.2	31.7	34.0	56.9	69.3	40.3	40.4	59.4	53.1
Household appliances &									*	
equipment	30.0	31.8	29.6	33.7	49.8	57.9	36.7	36.3	26.8	26.5
Industrial machinery	38.0	41.3	37.4	43.2	67.2	85.3	25.7	25.1	32.0	30.3
Construction materials	10.9	. 10.2	9.9	13.5	19.6	27.5	22.0	20.6	31.7	39.0
Other	70.4	47.0	76.0	83.5	50.7	63.2	88.8	39.2	59.8	40.9
U.S. primary demand	268.3	255.8	240.1	264.2	380.8	457.6	364.9	315.8	299.2	311.4

### Table 1a - Nickel Supply Demand Relationships, 1961-1970<sup>3</sup> (million pounds of nickel)

1/ 9.8 million pounds exported; 22.3 million pound increase in stocks.

pounds from primary production and 330 million pounds from secondary sources. The rest of world demand in 2000 is forecast to range between 1,500 to 2,175 million pounds. Forecasts for domestic and world nickel demands for 1985 and 2000 are summarized in Table 2b.

An assessment of nickel reserves recoverable at prices ranging from the 1970 price of \$1.33 a pound to \$2.00 a pound is given in Table 3b. A study by the U. S. Bureau of Mines indicated that the nickeliferous laterite deposits at Riddle, Oregon will be exhausted in 15 years at the present rate of production. However, a Hanna Co. representative indicated that sufficient low-grade economic resources have been developed and will substantially extend the life of the operation. Furthermore, the Bureau of Mines lists Minnesota as having the largest potential nickel sulfide resources in the United States.

Several low-grade laterite deposits in the tropical and subtropical areas of the world are not included in Table 3b but could prove to be significant.

Similarly, the occurrence of nickel-bearing manganiferous nodules has been reported to exist on the ocean floors in many areas throughout the world. Research has revealed a large quantity of these nodules, and their economic potential as a nickel supply for the long term could prove significant.

Tables 4b and 5b show production capacities for 1970 and the period 1970-1975 respectively. For 1970 nickel production pushed the capacity limits in all parts of the world. In Canada, capacity is limited by mine output which at present is equalled by smelter capacity, however, planned expansions of smelter and refining capacity will lead and surpass scheduled increases in mine capacity.

Other expansion plans are in the offing in Greece, U.S.S.R., Australia, Philippines, Indonesia, Columbia, and Brazil.

## Table 2b - Summary of Forecasted U. S. and World Nickel Demand, 1970-2000<sup>3</sup> (million pounds)

						Probable
		2	000			average annual
		Foreca	st range	Pro	bable	growth-rate
		Low	lli gh	1985	2000	1970-2000
						(percent)
United States				in an		anna an fhair ann ann ann an an Ann an Ann ann ann an
Primary	311.4	640.0	910.0	492.2	770.0	3.1
Secondary	97.3	255.0	385.0	180.4	330.0	4.2
Total	408.7	895.0	1,295.0	672.6	1,100.0	3,4
Cumulative (primary)		13,523.0	16,455.0	5,835.0	15,058.0	
Rest of World						
Primary	923.6	1,150.0	1,660.0	1,224,9	1,600.0	1.9
Secondary	190.0	350.0	515.0	279.2	400.0	2.5
Total	1,113.6	1,500.0	2,175.0	1,504.1	2,000.0	2.0
Cumulative (primary)		30,702.0	37,469.0	15,858.0	36,896.0	
World						
Primary	1.235.0	1,790,0	2,570.0	1,711.7	2,370,0	2.2
Secondary	287.3	605.0	900.0	460.8	730.0	3.2
Total	1,522.3	2,395.0	3,470.0	2,172.5	3,100.0	2.4
Cumulative (primary)		44,225.0	53,924.0	21,693.0	51,954.0	

1.1

÷ .

1.2

***************************************	Price, c	onstant 1970	) dollars pe:	r pound			
	of primary metal						
•	1.33 1/	1.50	1.75	2.00			
North America							
United States	400	450	1,000	1,100			
Canada	12,600	16,000	20,000	25,000			
Total	13,000	16,450	21,000	26,100			
Central America and							
Caribbean Islands							
Cuba	8,400	20,000	32,000	36,000			
Dominican Republic	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800			
Guatemala	1,000	1,800	1,900	2,000			
Puerto Rico			100	200			
Total	11,200	23,600	35,800	40,000			
Europe							
U.Š.S.R	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000			
Asja							
Indonesia	7,400	10,000	13,000	16,000			
Philippines	9,000	18,000	30,000	60,000			
Total	16,400	28,000	43,000	76,000			
Oceania							
Australia	1,000	2,000	4,000	4,000			
New Caledonia	30,800	31,000	32,000	33,000			
Total	31,800	33,000	36,000	37,000			
Total for World 2/	92,400	121,050	155,800	199,100			

### Table 3b - Assessment of World Nickel Resources Recoverable at Various Prices<sup>3</sup> (million pounds of nickel)

1/ Yearend U.S. price in 1970

Z/ Excludes small quantities of reserves in Brail, Rhodesia, Republic of South Africa, and Burma, and an unknown quantity of low-grade laterites that exist in tropical and semitropical areas of the world. Also excludes nickel associated with copper deposits in Botswana.

		Nickel
	Capacity	Production
North America		
United States	30,638	30,638
Canada	620,000	610,592
. Total	650,638	641,230
Central America & Caribbean Islands		
Cuba	77,600	77,600
Europe		
Poland	3,300	3,300
U.S.S.R	242,000	242,000
Other 1/	30,000	29,920
Total	275,300	275,220
Oceania		
Australia	62,000	62,000
New Caledonia	240,000	232,286
Total	302,000	294,286
Other 2/	82,000	82,036
Grand total	1,387,538	1,370,372
1/ Western Europe, principally Gree 2/ Includes Brazil, Morocco, Rhodes	ce. ia, Republi	c of South Africa,

# Table 4b - World Nickel Production and Capacity - 19703 (thousand pounds - nickel content)

4

Includes Brazil, Morocco, Rhodesia, Republic of South Africa, Burma, and Indonesia.

1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
30 638	30.000	30,000	30 000	30.000	30.000
620,000	670,000	700,000	700,000	710,000	720,000
77,600	60,000	50,000	40,000	40,000	40,000
	24,000	50,000	50,000	60,000	60,000
				30,000	60,000
275,300	295,000	313,000	323,000	333,000	343,000
302,000	330,000	350,000	410,000	430,000	430,000
82,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	100,000
1,387,538	1,499,000	1,583,000	1,643,000	1,723,000	1,783,000
	1970 30,638 620,000 77,600  275,300 302,000 82,000 1,387,538	1970 1971   30,638 30,000   620,000 670,000   77,600 60,000    24,000    24,000    275,300 295,000   302,000 330,000   82,000 90,000   1,387,538 1,499,000	1970 $1971$ $1972$ $30,638$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $620,000$ $670,000$ $700,000$ $77,600$ $60,000$ $50,000$ $$ $24,000$ $50,000$ $$ $$ $$ $275,300$ $295,000$ $313,000$ $302,000$ $330,000$ $350,000$ $82,000$ $90,000$ $90,000$ $1,387,538$ $1,499,000$ $1,583,000$	1970 $1971$ $1972$ $1973$ $30,638$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $620,000$ $670,000$ $700,000$ $700,000$ $77,600$ $60,000$ $50,000$ $40,000$ $$ $24,000$ $50,000$ $50,000$ $$ $24,000$ $50,000$ $50,000$ $$ $275,300$ $295,000$ $313,000$ $323,000$ $302,000$ $330,000$ $350,000$ $410,000$ $82,000$ $90,000$ $90,000$ $90,000$ $1,387,538$ $1,499,000$ $1,583,000$ $1,643,000$	1970 $1971$ $1972$ $1973$ $1974$ $30,638$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $30,000$ $620,000$ $670,000$ $700,000$ $700,000$ $710,000$ $77,600$ $60,000$ $50,000$ $40,000$ $40,000$ $$ $24,000$ $50,000$ $50,000$ $60,000$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $30,000$ $275,300$ $295,000$ $313,000$ $323,000$ $333,000$ $302,000$ $330,000$ $350,000$ $410,000$ $430,000$ $82,000$ $90,000$ $90,000$ $90,000$ $90,000$ $1,387,538$ $1,499,000$ $1,583,000$ $1,643,000$ $1,723,000$

## Table 5b - Projected World Nickel Production Capacity, 1970-1975<sup>3</sup> (thousand pounds - nickel content)

•

Nickel prices have been characterized by remarkable stability over the past 50 years, however, the real price was raised about 20 percent in the early 1950's, and again raised 10 percent late in the 1960's. The quoted price was again raised 4% in 1970 to \$1.33 per pound. Many economists predicted a price stabilization or even a decline due to the projected oversupply. However, on September 4, 1972, INCO announced an increase of 20 cents a pound in its price of electrolytic nickel. INCO said that the increase to \$1.53 per pound was necessitated by rising production costs including wages and higher costs of all supplies and services. The table below shows producer prices per pound for the years 1967-1971.

		Domestic and F	oreign
	High	Low	Average
1967	\$0.94	\$0.85 1/4	\$0.87
1968	1.03	0.94	0.94
1969	1.28	1.03	1.05
1970	1.33	1.28	1.29
1971	1.33	1.33	1.33

Investment opportunities, to develop nickel deposits in Canada, Australia and New Caledonia are enhanced by the stability of their governments. Conversely, the governments of many of the countries in tropical and subtropical regions where the laterites occur are characterized by instability and inhibit long term investment in mineral deposits.

Domestic uses for zinc are delineated as follows: zinc-base alloys (52%) principally for die castings; in galvanizing (27%) for corrosion protection of iron and steel; in brass and bronze alloys (21%) for sheets, rods, and strips; as rolled (5%) for battery cases, lithographic plates, and architectural applications; in zinc oxide (12%) principally for rubber, pigment, sensitizing paper for photocopying and chemicals; and miscellaneous (5%) for zinc dust, other alloys, plant and animal nutrion, rayon, wood treating, and fungicides.<sup>1</sup>

World and domestic mine production figures for the decade 1961-1970 are shown in Table 1c. Furthermore, domestic zinc supply-demand relationships for 1970 are shown on Figure 1c.

Total zinc supply for the United States in 1970 consisted of: domestic mine production, 53%; secondary zinc recovered from old scrap, 7%; imports of metal and compounds, 18%; zinc produced from imported ores, 32%; and industry stocks, 10%.

The probable demand for zinc in the U. S. is forecasted to be 3.3 million tons in the year 2000. Of course, the demand for zinc will depend largely on the price relationship to alternate materials. Aluminum and plastics are competitive with zinc in many applications.

For the rest of the world, the most probable predicted demand for 2000 is 10 million tons. Table 2c illustrates the forecasts for the U.S. and the world.

Based on the 1970 prices of zinc, U. S. reserves are estimated to be 30 million tons. This figure has dropped nearly 4 million tons in the past two years due largely to the closure of a number of mines in 1970 and 1971.

Zinc



.

Table 1c - Zinc Supply Demand Relationships, 1961-1965<sup>4</sup> (thousand short tons - zinc content)

	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965
World production	•				
United States	464	505	529	575	611
Rest of World	3,381 -	3,425	3,507	3,865	4,130
. Total	3,845	3,930	4,036	4,440	4,741
Components of U.S. supply	,	,	2		
Domestic mines	464	505	529	575	611
From scrap - old	59	62	63	68	82
Net Government release				76	192
Imports, metal	128	142	145	118	153
Imports orespondence	416	467	373	357	428
Imports compounds	9	12	12	8	11
Industry stocks Ian las	256	244	225	145	140
Total U.S. supply	1.330	1 432	1 3.17	1 3.17	1 617
Distribution of U.S. supply	1,00-	1,402	1,047	1,047	1,017
Induction of 0.5, Supply	2.14	225	145	140	170
Thaustry stocks, Dec. 31-	244	223	243	27.	173
Exports, metal	5U 2	30	34 2	21	0
Exports, compounds	1 070	1 1(0	1 2 ( (	1 170	1 470
Industrial demand	1,030	1,109	1,100	1,178	1,430
U.S. demand pattern					
Metal					
Construction materials	00.5	0.7.0	0.00	071	220
Galvanized products	20.5	2.30	229	231	280
Plumbing & heating	- / -				
fixtures	142	160	160	161	196
Total	345	390	389	392	476
Transportation equip-					
ment	244	275	274	277	336
Electrical equipment	122	138	137	138	168
Industrial machinery	91	103	-103	104	126
Other	112	126	126	126	154
Total metal	914	1,032	1,029	1,037	1,260
Nonmetal (zinc oxide,					
Cl,SC <sub>4</sub> )	122	137	137	141	170
Construction materials,					
(paints, ceramics)	34	37	37	34	36
Transportation equipment					
(rubber)	61	71	70	75	93
Industrial chemicals					
(chemicals & photocopy)	2	3	° 3	3	12
Other	25	26	27	29	29
Total nonmetal	122	137	137	141	170
U.S. primary demand	977	1.107	1.103	1.110	1.348
U.S. demand for primary		.,	,	• · · ·	,
metal	855	970	966	969	1.178

Table 1c - Zinc Supply-Demand Relationships, 1966-1970<sup>4</sup> (thousand short tons - zinc content)

	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
World production					
United States	573	549	529	553	534
Rest of World	4.388.	4,769	4,970	5,274	5,527
Total	1,961	5,318	5,499	5,827	6,061
Components of U.S. supply		-			
Domestic mines	573	549	529	553	534
From scrap - old	86	80	08	82	72
Net Government release	101	14	38	18	1
Imports, metal	278	222	305	325	270
Imports, ore	521	534	543	602	526
Imports, compounds	13	13	15	15	14
Industry stocks, Jan. 1	179	194	184	167	168
Total U.S. supply	1,751	1,606	1,694	1,762	1,585
Distribution of U.S. supply					
Industry stocks, Dec. 31-	194	184	167	168	205
Exports, metal	1	17	33	9 .	0
Exports, compounds	3	3	3	3	6
Industrial demand	1,553	1,402	1,491	1,582	1,374
U.S. demand pattern					
Metal					
Construction materials	5.0 F	0.54	202	<b>71</b> 0	0.4 5
Galvanized products	305	276	292	310	267
Plumbing & heating	017	100	004	217	100
IlXTURES	- 213	192	204	216	186
Tuesenteties	518	468	490	520	455
ment	766	770	751	770	7 2 1
Pleatricel equipment	200	330	351 175	372	361
Industrial machinem:	127	105	175	130	120
Othersessessesses	167	124	161	135	147
Total metal	1 371	1 238	1 314	1 39/	1 201
Nonmetal (zinc oxide	2 ، 0 , 2	1,200	1,014	1,004	۲ ۵۰۰ و ۲
$(1 SC_4) = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =$	182	164	177	188	173
Construction materials		107	211		210
(paints, ceramics)	56	.31	30	30	28
Transportation equipment		01		00	
(rubber)	98	85	92	98	90
Industrial chemicals				• •	
(chemicals & photocopy)	22	30	° 37	43	39
Other	26	18	18	17	16
Total nonmetal	182	164	177	188	173
U.S. primary demand	1,467	1,322	1,411	1,500	1,302
U.S. demand for primary	-	-	-	-	•
metal	1,285	1,158	1,234	1,312	1,129

.

# Table 2c - Summary of Forecasted U. S. and World Line Demand, 1970-20004 (thousand short tons)

/		200 Forecast	Prob	able	Probable average-ann. growth-rate	
	1970	Low	High	1985	2000	1970-2000 (percent)
United States						
Metal						
Primary	1,129	1,800	3,400	1,600	2,700	2.9
Secondary	72	100	300	100	200	3.5
Total	1,201	1,900	3,700	1,700	2,900	3.0
Nonmetal						
Primary	173	. 200	500	220	400	2.8
Total U.S.						
Primary	1,302	2,020	3,900	1,820	3,100	2.9
Secondary	72	100	300	100	200	3.5
Total	1,374	2,120	4,200	1,920	3,300	3.0
Cumulative (primary)		49,000	69,500	24,000	61,000	
Rest of World		·		-		
Metal					•	
Primary	3,442	5,800	8,800	5,200	7,900	2.8
Secondary	400	400	1,000	.560	<sup>1</sup> 800	2.3
Total	5,842	6,200	9,800	5,760	8,700	2.8
Nonmetal *	Ē	·		-	,	
Primarv	500	700	1,700	1,080	1,300	3.2 ·
Total Rest of World			,		,	6
Primary	3,942	6,500	10,500	6,280	9,200	2.9
Secondary	400	400	1,000	560	800	2.3
Total	4,342	6,900	11,500	6,840	10,000	2,8
Cumulative (primary)		152,600	196,900	72,500	186,000	
World			ŗ	-		
Metal						
Primary	4,571	7,600	12,200	6;800	10,600	2,8
Secondary	472	500	1,300	660	1,000	2.5
Total	5,043	8,100	13,500	7,460	11,600	2.8
Nonmetal						
Primary	673	920	2,200	1,300	1,700	3.1
Total World			,	-		
Primary	5,244	8,520	14,400	8,100	12,300	2.9
Secondary	472	500	1,300	660	1,000	2.5
Total	5,716	9,020	15,700	8,760	13,300	2,9
Cumulative (primary)		201,600	266,400	96,500	247,000	na <b>ya</b> kwa

.

ŧ

Extensive potential reserves exist in the zinc producing areas of the U.S. and would surely be developed under the incentive of a growing demand accompanied by price increases.

World reserves outside of the U. S. are estimated to be 101 million tons. Potential areas for the development of additional reserves are in Australia, Canada, Peoples Republic of China, Ireland, Mexico, Morocco, Peru, Territory of South-West Africa, U.S.S.R., and Yugoslavia. Table 3c shows an assessment of world zinc resources estimated to be recoverable at various prices.

Projection of world zinc production capacities through 1975 for the major producing countries are shown in Table 4c. In 1970 the world zinc industry operated at near capacity and increased production over the last decade reflected development of new resources and the enlargement of existing operations. In the U. S. mine and metal producing capacities are depressed due to the closing of mines, smelters, and refineries. Existing plants have the problem of outdated processes and equipment, sharply rising costs, and more costly raw material.

The table below shows price comparisons in cents per pound for the domestic market and the London Metal Exchange (LME)<sup>1</sup>:

	High		Low		Average	9
	Domestic	LME	Domestic	LME	Domestic	LME
1967	14.50	12.80	13.50	11.88	13.85	12.37
1968	13.50	12.17	13.50	11.67	13.50	11.99
1969	15.50	14.04	13.84	12.10	14,65	12,96
1970	15.50	13.72	15.00	13.00	15.32	13.42
1971	17.00	16.60	15.00	12.33	16.14	14.01

The greatest uses for zinc, galvanizing and die casting, are subjected to substitutions by improved paint, aluminum and plastics when favored by a price advantage.

Table	3c -	Ass	sessmer	nt of	5	Vorld	Zinc	Reso	urces
	Re	cove	erable	at V	'aı	rious	Price	es4	
(	(mi11	ion	short	tons	,	zinc	conte	∋nt)	

	Price, constant 1970 cents per pound prime Western zinc, E. St. Louis Illinois					
	15 1/	20	25			
North America	anderen militer militeren de senseten de de militere senseten anno en estan andre a	an da analysissing dagan dan da mining da ang d				
United States						
States east of Mississippi River	20.00	23.0	28.0			
🐘 Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri						
Oklahoma, Texas	5,00	6.0	8.0			
Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico,						
South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming	3.00	5.0	6.0			
California, Nevada	, 32	1.0	2,0			
Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington-	1.65	4.0	5.0			
Alaska	.03	1.0	1.0			
` Total United States	30.00	40.0	50.0			
Canada	34.00	55.0	75.0			
Mexico	4.00	5.0	7.0			
Total North America	68.00	100.0	132.0			
Central America	2.00	3.0	3.0			
South America	8,00	10.0	15.0			
Europe (free world)	14.00	20.0	25.0			
Europe (communist)	14.00	20.0	30.0			
Africa	6.00	10.0	15.0			
Asia (free world)	` 7.00	8.0	10.0	,		
Asia (communist)	3.00	4.0	5.0			
Oceania	9,00	18.0	25.0			
Total outside North America	63.00	93.0	128.0			
Total World	131.00	193.0	260.0	,		

1/ Average U.S. price was 15.32 cents per pound in 1970.

Table 4c - Projected World Linc Production Capacity, 1970-1975<sup>4</sup> (thousand short tons - zinc content)

фармуна, так так филосолоф, фСуут, ураат ну тексерикан феска фолофия (урат такор такор такор так	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
United States						
Mine	650	600	600	600	600	600
Metal	1,160	900	775	700	700	700
Ganada						
Nine	1,500	1,600	1,600	1,700	1,700	1,700
Metal	525	525	635	655	655	635
Mexico						
Mine	300	. 300	300	300	300	300
Hetal	90	90	90	200	200	200
Other North America						
Mine	25	25	25	25	25	25
South America						
Mine	510	510	520	520	550	550
Metal	138	140	140	160	160	160
West Europe						
Minê	795	800	800	800	800	800
Metal	1,775	1,800	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
East Europe						
Mine	1,280	1,250	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
Metal	1,410	1,450	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Africa						
· Mine	406	450	450	500	500	500
Metal	190	200	240	240	240	240
Asia (free world)						
Mine	520	400	400	450	450	450
Metal	880	1,000	1,100	1,200	1,200	1,200
Asia (communist)						
Mine	280	260	300	300	300	300
Metal	240	170	200	200	200	200
Australia				t.		
Mine	600	650	650	670	670	670
Metal	300	320	380	380	380	380
World totals						
Mine	6,866	6,843	6,945	7,165	7,195	7,195
Netal	6,708	6,595	7,060	7,215	7,215	7,215

#### Sulfur

Sulfur markets would not appear to be an important concern in base metal mining. However, sulfur is an important marketable component of the ore minerals as well as the gangue or nonvaluable associated minerals such as pyrite and pyrrhotite. The following table lists the average percentage by weight of sulfur in the minerals that may be mined in Minnesota:

Mineral	% Sulfur
Chalcopyrite (Copper-Iron Sulfide)	35.0%
Pentlandite (Nickel Iron Sulfide)	36.0%
Sphalerite (Zinc sulfide)	33.0%
Pyrite (Iron sulfide)	53.4%
Pyrrhotite (Iron sulfide)	39.6%

This sulfur would be produced as by product sulfuric acid as a result of the treatment of smelter stack gas should a smelter be erected.

Most sulfur (90 percent) is converted to sulfuric acid prior to end use. Agricultural chemicals account for 50 percent of demand. Together, plastic and synthetic products, paper products, paints, nonferrous metals production, and explosives account for an additional 24 percent of demand. Other uses are very widespread, as most products produced by industry require sulfur in one form or another during some stage of their manufacture.<sup>1</sup>

In 1970, domestic sulfur was produced at 149 operations; as native (Frasch)\*, 74 percent; recovered elemental, 15 percent; smelter acid, 6 percent; and pyrites and other forms, 5 percent.

Figure 1d shows supply-demand relationships for 1970.

\*A process for mining sulfur in which super heated water is forced into the sulfur deposits for the purpose of melting the sulfur. The molten sulfur is then pumped to the surface.



Domestic demand is forecast to increase at an annual rate of 4 percent. Table 1d shows a summary of domestic and world demand projected to the year. 2000.

An assessment of commercial sulfur resources in the U. S. and the rest of the world which would be available, with present technology, and at various price levels, is shown in Table 2d. With the many new sources of sulfur anticipated including recovery of all sulfur from coal, increases in production from high sulfur Canadian natural gas and projected increases from environmental-related sources, the current oversupply, both domestic and worldwide is expected to continue for a long period. If anticipated environmental-related production materializes, it will inevitably become the major source of supply, or even create an oversupply in itself. Table 3d illustrates the current and projected production of sulfur from various sources.

The table below shows average delivered prices of elemental sulfur per long ton for 1967-1971:

1967	\$33
1968	\$40
1969	\$27
1970	\$23
1971	S18*

#### \*preliminary

Unless pricing and production restraints are initiated, the price of sulfur should continue to drop.

The sale of sulfuric acid is not only limited by the demand, but also by the distance to market. Sulfuric acid is expensive to ship and store, so unless a nearby market is available, it would have to be produced and stored as elemental sulfur.

In Minnesota, the demand for sulfur and sulfuric acid is quite limited.
				·.		Probable
			2000			average annual
	1970	Forec	ast range	Pro	bab1e	growth-rate
		Low	lligh	1985	2000	1970-2000 (percent)
United States						
Total	9.1	23.0	37.0	16.5	30,0	4.1
Cumulative		456.8	611.1	190.5	536.4	
Rest of World						
Total	27.9	85.0	125.0	54.1	105.0	4.5
Cumulative	-	1,565.5	1,989.1	607.0	1,785.0	
World						
Total	37.0	108.0	162.0	70.6	135.0	4.4
Cumulative		2,022.3	2,600.2	797.5	2,321.4	

## Table 1d - Summary of Forecasted U. S. and World Sulfur Demand, 1970-2000 (million long tons)

1



# Table 2d - Assessment of World Sulfur Resources Recoverable at Various Prices (million long tons)

				Price,	constan	t 1970 d	ollars p	er long	ton of s	ulfur		
	Mined 1/					Recov	ered 2/				Total	•-
	25 <u>3</u> /	35	45	55	25	35	45	55	25	35	45	55
North America					1. oo dha - baa arga, an tha baa ar an ar ar ar ar							
United States	40	140	710	1,770	35	95	155	155	75	235	865	1,925
Canada	5	10	365	1,075	380	780	1,175	1,175	385	790	1,540	2,250
Mexico	10	40	130	305	5	15	20	25	15	55	150	330
Other		-	20	65		~		-	-		20	65
Total	55	190	1,225	3,215	420	890	1,350	1,355	475	1,080	2,575	4,570
South America	10	20	135	370	20	55	90	90	30	75	225	460
Europe												
U.S.S.R	15	35	150	365	15	35	50	60	30	70	200	425
Poland	15	35	75	125	·	5	15	20	15	40	90	145
France		-	95	290	20	60	65	65	20	60	160	355
Spain	10	20	105	275		-	-		10	20	105	275
Jtaly	5	10	75	205	-	5	5	5	5	15	80	210
Germany	-	-	35	95	5	10	15	20	5	10	50	115
Finland	5	10	15	20	-			-	5	10	15	20
Other	10	20	160	455	5	15	30	35	15	35	190	490
'lotal	60	130	710	. 1,830	45	130	180	205	105	260	890	2,035
Africa	5	10	140	400	10	20	30	30	15	30	170	430
Asia												
()) indeeded	5	10	75	200	30	45	55	60	35	55	130	260
Japan	10	20	55	130	30	50	65	80	40	70	120	210
Near Hast	5	10	85	230	460	680	765	770	465	690	850	1,000
Other	-	-	70	205	30	50	60	65	30	50	130	270
Total	20	40	285	765	550	825	945	975	570	865	1,230	1,740
Oceania	-		50	155	5	15	20	25	5	15	70	180
Total for World	150	390	2,545	6,735	1,050	1,935	2,615	2,680	1,200	2,325	5,160	9,415
1/ Includes Frasch, pyrites	, nativ	e ore:	s, and g	ypsum.	3/ Av	erage 19	70 price	of elem	ental su	lfur (Fr	asch and	

2/ Includes petroleum, natural gas, and nonferrous recovered) was \$23.15 per long ton f.o.b. mine/plant. smelters.

.

-	19	70	2000					
	Production	Potential Capability	Forecast Production	Potential Capability				
Coal		9,233	10,700	21,430				
Petroleum	921	4,535	6,000	10,273				
Natural gas	659	700	3,300	3,500				
Copper	533	2,009	4,630	5,191				
Zinc	291	391	160	179				
Lead	27	. 90	90	104				
Other metal sulfurs	36	60	70	80				
Total	2,467	17,018	25,000	40,757				

# Table 3d - Coproduct Sulfur Production and Potential for 1970 and 2000

However, exploration has shown substantial reserves of titaniferous magnetite, and more recently during Copper-nickel exploration, titanium has been found north of Duluth in the Duluth Gabbro Complex, If these deposits were to be mined, sulfuric acid would be required for processing.

#### FOOTNOTES

- 1. , First Annual Report of the Secretary of the Interior the Mining and Minerals Policy Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-651), U. S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S.G.P.O., March 1972.
- Schroeder, H. J., "Copper", <u>Mineral Facts and Problems</u>, interim report, U.S. Bureau of Mines, January 12, 1972.
- Reno, Aorace T., "Nickel", Mineral Facts and Problems, interim report, U.S. Bureau of Mines, February 10, 1972.
- 4. McMahon, Albert D., "Zinc", Mineral Facts and Problems, interim report, U.S. Bureau of Mines, February 1, 1972.
- Merwin, Roland W., "Sulfur", Mineral Facts and Problems, interim report, U.S. Bureau of Mines, December 7, 1971.



POSSIBLE BASE METAL OPERATIONS - EXPLORATION THROUGH TERMINATION

The lack of specific projects, in the case of the Gabbro Formation, and ore discoveries, in the case of the Greenstone Formation, makes it difficult to take more than a cursory look at the potential environmental social and economic implications of a possible base metal industry to Minnesota. To provide a study base, it was decided to consider each mining phase from exploration through termination in general. Then by establishing two models, one for the greenstone and one for the gabbro, an attempt would be made to evaluate some of the major environmental and economic considerations in more detail.

• Table 1, although specifically designed to outline environmental concerns, lists many of the possible phases that could be involved in a possible operation. Basic steps involved in any mining operation are: (1) exploration, to discover an ore body; (2) development, delineation and preparation of the deposit for mining; (3) operations, which includes mining, beneficiation, extraction and refining and auxiliary facilities such as a water reservoir and waste disposal areas; (4) termination; and (5) ancillary operations. The numbers in the column, "Order of Development" present a possible sequence of events. For example, number 1 is the first step, if this is successful and target areas are outlined, an exploration program will continue into step 2 and so on. If step 1 is not successful then all efforts will terminate. When step 5 is reached all those steps labeled 5 must be considered as a part of this stage. The portions of the 5th stage prefaced by "A" denotes an optional or unnecessary facility such as extraction and refining. Those steps prefaced by a"B"are alternatives, either open pit mining, underground mining or both could be involved. The environmental portion of the table will be considered in the next two chapters.

#### Exploration

This step involves the prospecting for an ore occurrence and the initial steps of evaluation; the ore body is surveyed as to size, grade, and orientation. Exploration can be subdivided into 4 stages as follows:

1. Regional Appraisal (selection of favorable regions)

2. Detailed Reconnaissance (preliminary regional evaluation with selection of target areas)

3. Detailed Surface Appraisal of a Target Area (geologic, geochemical and geophysical surveys)

4. Detailed 3-Dimensional Sampling and Target Evaluation (includes initial evaluation work)

Figure 1 shows the relations between these stages, and basically the sequence through which an exploration program proceeds. The philosophy of exploration is to start with a large area of ten thousands of square miles, having a potential for mineralization, then as the result of a step by step exploration program large tracts of land are eliminated until a few specific target areas remain that can be explored thoroughly to determine the presence or absence of ore. It is important to point out that at any point in the exploration stage the project can be terminated. The reasons for halting a project can range from problems involving economics, politics, the absence of anomalous target areas or simply the lack of a mineral deposit.

The methods and techniques used in exploration are detailed in Figure 2. Geologic methods are utilized early in the program. These consist mainly of office work; studying published maps and geologic reports, observing aerial

- 2 -



	Detection* Capability for Non Ferrous							
)	Use	ahle	Sta	ves	Metallic Deposits			
		T			Direct Detection Indirect Detection			
METHODS	ed sa]	pg .	Poo	rd d				
AND	116	E E	IC D	ti "	High** Low			
TECHNIQUES	raj	[a]	fe	di al	Discrimination Discrimination			
	Je1	Se Se	Suj	Sti Jet 3-L	Good Questionable Capability Capability			
GEOLOGIC								
Office compilation	X				│			
Photogeologic study	x	X						
Aerial observation	x				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Outcrop examination		x	X					
Geologic mapping &								
investigations	X	x	X	X				
Geologic logging				X	<b>├</b> ────┥			
Boulder tracking		X	X					
GEOCHEMICAL								
Stream sediment sampling	X	X						
Water sampling	X				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Rock sampling	X	X	X					
Specialized sampling		X	X					
Assaying	X	X	X	X				
GEOPHYSICS - AIRBORNE								
Aeromagnetic surveys	X	X						
Electromagnetic	X	X						
Radiometric surveys	X							
Remote sensing surveys	X -							
GEOPHYSICS - GROUND								
Gravity	· .	X	X		<u>↓</u>			
Magnetic		X	X					
Radiometric		X	X					
Seismic		X	X					
Resistivity		X	X					
Self-Potential		X	X					
Induced polarization		X	X					
Down-hole electrical				X				
THREE-DIMENSIONAL SAMPLING	ĺ							
AND EVALUATION					· ·			
Trenching	1		X	X				
Rotary drilling			1	X				
Core drilling				X				
Tunnel/Shaft work	l	1		X				
Mineral dressing tests				X	Not'a detection method			
Economic evaluation				X	Not a detection method			

\* Detection refers to the ability to detect a deposit if it is there. Indirect detection refers to a geological, chemical or physical response showing a deposit may be the cause of the response; this is in opposition to direct evidence of the presence of a deposit.

\*\* Discrimination with regard to indirect methods refers to the ability to determine if a certain response (anomaly) is due to a deposit or to another cause. photographs, and usually a look at some non-geologic items, such as, potential markets, economics, geography, weather conditions, and perhaps political situations which may effect exploration or mining. At this point a large area with a potential for mineralization (based on the above studies) is chosen.

Regional appraisal is usually followed by a reconnaissance program of airborne geophysical exploration in which the physical characteristics of the rock are measured (usually electrical and magnetic methods are used to search for metallic minerals). By studying such data, scientists can locate target areas thus eliminating large tracts of land from futher consideration.

Target areas are further delineated by using geologic, geophysical (detailed airborne or ground reconnaissance), and geochemical survey techniques. Once again, areas with little or no potential are eliminated. Geochemical analysis of rock, soil, stream sediments and sometimes plants often yields trace quantities of metals. If anomalous or unusual quantities of these elements are present, it is possible that they were derived from the underlying rock, thus increasing the probability of mineralization.

If an exploration program reaches this stage the area of interest has usually been reduced from several thousand square miles to perhaps a square mile or two. At this point exploration costs can jump drastically because the rock itself must be probed and analyzed to determine the presence of metal. The methods used to do this are drilling, trenching and digging exploration or test pits. Drilling is used to gain knowledge of the mineralogy of a formation plus some idea of its extent. Both trenching and test pits give an idea of the character of the rocks near the surface but,

- 3 -

when used, generally preceeds drilling.

In some instance shafts and underground workings are constructed in the rock, often as part of a combined exploration and development program to obtain bulk samples for metallurgical tests. The evaluation work mainly consists of detailed drilling; where knowledge of the size, shape, grade and orientation of the mineral occurrence is determined. Initial exploration ends when enough ore reserves are proven to warrant consideration of mine development. The search for ore continues throughout the life of a mine; as new reserves are constantly being sought.

#### Development

This stage primarily involves the preparation of an ore body for production. Before development can be initiated a feasibility study is usually conducted. Such a study takes into account the specific characteristics of the ore body and makes recommendations on the best method of mining, the size, location, the optimum and capacity of a mill, concentrator, work shops, stockpiles, tailings basins, reservoirs, pollution abatement etc. In general a complete plan, or blueprint, of the operation is made including possible alternatives before any development work proceeds.

Once this feasibility study is completed and approved, the actual work of development can begin. If the decision is to mine underground, the shafts and other necessary underground openings to reach the ore are begun. An underground haulage system is developed, and equipment to hoist men, supplies, and ore to and from the surface is installed. Other necessary development steps include providing fresh air ventilation systems, work shop areas, explosive magazines, and ore crushing facilities, all of which can be underground. When open pit methods are used the soil and rock which overlies the ore body must be removed and stockpiled and the development of a haulage road network is required.

In general it can be assumed that if two ore bodies of comparable size are mined, one open pit and the other underground, the only major difference will be that a greater amount of waste rock (overburden and lean ore) will be generated by the open pit mine. Depending on the underground method employed, some of the tailings generated at the concentrator could be returned to the mine, thus requiring a smaller tailings basin than the open pit.

• The development of operations other than those directly involved with the mining, such as construction of the beneficiation facilities, tailings basins, stockpiles, water reservoirs, railroads, access roads, administration offices, and shops proceed in the same fashion whether the mine is open pit or underground. The only differences, as already indicated, would be in the size of some of these facilities.

Development of tailings basins and water reservoirs usually require the construction of dams, and perhaps in some specific cases the diversion of small rivers or streams. The construction of buildings (mills, shops, and offices), access roads, and railway lines may require the clearing of trees and brush, plus some earthmoving. Stockpiles usually require little development work other than the construction of haulage roads which link them to the mine.

- 5 -

#### Mining

This stage primarily involves the removal of ore from the ground and haulage to the primary crusher where concentration begins. The most commonly used methods for mining base metals are open pit and underground. In Minnesota the majority of the mines would probably be underground due to the characteristics of the formations. Deposits in the greenstone may dictate rather small underground operations unless the ore zone is close to the surface permitting limited open pit mining during initial stages of development. Whereas deposits in the Duluth Gabbro formation may support larger scale underground mines and possibly some open pit mines near the base of the formation where the rock is shallow in depth and the stripping ratio small.

6

Open pit mining consists of removal of overburden, drilling and blasting of the rock formations, loading by electric shovel and haulage to a primary crusher or waste dump. Methods used for underground mining are open to considerable speculation at this time. Each mine usually employes a method adapted to resolve the peculiarities of a particular ore body. Some of the methods or variations of these methods that might be considered for utilization in Minnesota include: block caving, room and pillar and cut and fill.

1. Block Caving

This method requires the removal of a layer of rock from beneath a large block of ore. With its support removed the ore block begins, under its own weight, to crumble and cave. The ore is removed periodically thus allowing caving to proceed. Prior to the removal of the supporting rock layer, several large funnel-shaped cuts are made in the rock below each block, these will collect the broken ore and allow it to flow downwards. Ore cars catch the broken material and remove it. Once caving is initiated only periodic removal of broken ore is required thus only a small work force is actually necessary during mining. Development work however is quite extensive; tunnels for ore removal are driven, drawn down points (funnel-shaped cuts) are prepared and the ore block must be undercut. Preparation times of up to six years may be required before any ore can be removed. Depending on the size of the ore body, this development work usually continues for many years; for while caving is occuring in one part of the mine, development must proceed elsewhere. Mining is usually accomplished by caving successive blocks of ore. Starting near the surface then proceeding to depth.

#### 2. Room and Pillar

This method is used to best advantage in horizontal (or nearly horizontal) ore bodies in which the thickness is relatively narrow; usually where the ore is confined to a particular layer of rock in a bedded formation. The method is to remove ore in such a way as to form a regular pattern of rooms or lanes between which ore is left intact to provide roof support. These roof supports, or pillars, are sometimes removed when mining has progressed to a different area within the mine, and the roof is allowed to cave. Room and Pillar is probably one of the most mechanized of all types of mining, a great deal of new equipment has recently been designed to provide for rapid excavation of larger volumes of ore.

-7-

#### 3. Cut and Fill

This method is often used in narrow ore bodies which are vertical, or inclined to a high degree. Mining progresses in an upward direction; as the ore is blasted down from the roof. The broken ore is removed, and fill, usually the coarse tailings produced during beneficiation, is placed (usually hydraulically) in the mining area in order to build up the floor. In this manner the working area between the floor and roof is kept to a point where drilling can be easily accomplished. In order to provide for ore removal a chute around which fill is placed must be built after each layer of ore is removed.

#### Beneficiation of Sulfide Ores

. Copper and nickel beneficiation consists of a series of mechanical steps that concentrate the contained metals by separating them from the unwanted gangue minerals. Most ores being mined today are low grade disseminated deposits in which metallic sulfides occur as small grains or as inter-growths with other minerals. To extract the desired mineral grains, they first must be released from the surrounding gangue minerals by crushing and grinding, after which the sulfides are separated by various concentration processes. The gangue minerals are disposed of as a thin slurry of powdered rock in water called tailings, and the concentrate is dewatered in preparation for metal extraction.

1. Crushing and Grinding

The usual crushing procedure is as follows: The ore is crushed at the mine in jaw or gyratory crushers (Jaw crushers are often used in underground operations because they can be installed underground. Gyratory crushers are usually used in conjunction with open pit mines.) to a size suitable

- 8 -

for transportation and mill handling. At the mill, the ore is crushed further by gyratory type cone crushers, followed by roll crushers. The final stage is achieved by grinding. One of two methods can be used: (a) sequential grinding in rod and ball mills, or (b) autogeneous grinding. If at any time in the crushing or grinding steps the ore is not fine enough, the coarse portion is returned to a previous step. Screens are used to size the ore in the coarse stages, but are not effective in separating the material after fine grinding. Classifiers are used for separating the fine material. The ground ore is sized by the speed with which it settles through a liquid medium. There are two types of classifier commonly in use, the hydraulic cyclone and the mechanical classifier.

#### 2. Concentrating

Following crushing and grinding, the ore is ready for concentration. In copper and nickel metallurgy, these operations are normally based on the surface characteristics or the magnetic susceptibility of the particles. The most widely used method is froth flotation.

The operating principle in flotation is the lifting action of soapy air bubbles rising through a column of pulp. Depending on the reagents added to the pulp, certain mineral particles adhere to the bubbles, and the remaining particles settle by gravity. The minerals that the bubbles "float" to the surface are skimmed off in a froth, and the minerals that sink are rejected as underflow. The process takes place progressively through a bank of cells to provide adequate opportunity for the floatable particles to contact bubbles. Both copper and nickel can be separated from the silicate gangue by this process.

- 9 -

A second method used for concentrating nickel sulfides is magnetic separation. This method is based on the fact that minerals differ in the degree to which they are attracted by a magnetic field.

3. Dewatering

Concentrates come from the flotation machines as a dilute slurry. Some or all this water must be removed before further treatment. Dewatering is usually done in two stages. Thickening settles the solids by gravity so that the overlying liquid can be decanted. Filtration then removes most of the remaining water. A thickener works on the same principle as a settling basin; it is a circular tank with a central feed well, a peripheral overflow rim, and a bottom-raking mechanism to remove sludge concentrate. In the second step, filtration, solids are separated from fluid by causing the fluid to pass through a fine septrum (fabric) that will not allow the solids to pass through.

Traditional Pyrometallurical Extraction

Most of the world's base metals are extracted from their ores and concentrates by pyrometallurgical treatment. This process traditionally includes three sequential operations: roasting, smelting, and converting. The operations are briefly summarized as follows:

- A. Roasting: Sulfur is driven off as sulfur dioxide and the iron is oxidized. This step is necessary only when excessive amounts of sulfur are present.
- B. Smelting: The roaster product is melted with a siliceous flux, this combines with the gangue minerals and the oxidized iron to form a molten silicate slag. The major metals combine with sulfur to form the valuable matte.

- 10 -

C. Converting: The sulfur from the metallic sulfides is driven off and the remaining iron is oxidized and fluxed. The silicate

slag is removed, leaving only the nearly pure metals.

1. Roasting

Roasting is a process where sulfide concentrate containing an above average sulfur content is heated in air (which may be enriched with oxygen) to a temperature at which oxygen combines with; the sulfur to form sulfur dioxide and with the metal to form metallic oxides. The amount of sulfur removed is regulated by the amount of oxygen and the furnace temperature. This must be controlled because sufficient sulfur must remain to produce the desired grade of matte. There are three types of roasters. The <u>multi-hearth roaster</u>, the oldest, requires a relatively long roasting time, because of this it has largely been replaced by <u>fluid</u> <u>bed roasters</u>. The third type, the <u>sintering machine roaster</u>, is used to agglomerate (bake fine particles to form larger lumps) the concentrate for smelting in a blast furnace.

#### 2. Smelting

Sulfide concentrates and ores are smelted either in blast furnaces or reverberatory furnaces. In the blast furnace, the ore is mixed with the fuel and burned by blowing air through the mass. Traditionally, this type of furnace was used for massive sulfide lump ore. Flotation concentrate replaced direct smelting ores as the rich deposits became exhausted. If used in the blast furnace, these concentrates must first be agglomerated to eliminate excessive dust losses.

- 11 -

In reverberatory smelting, the ore and fuel are kept separate, and the ore is melted by hot gases which pass over it. With this furnace, fine concentrates can be used as feed without sintering. Consequently, the reverberatory furnace has almost totally replaced the blast furnace.

At the high temperature of a smelting furnace, copper, nickel and other precious metals have an affinity for sulfur. Therefore, these metals will combine with enough sulfur to convert all the copper and nickel to sulfides. Any sulfur remaining will combine with other metals, particularly iron, or be lost to the atmosphere. If there is a large excess of sulfur in the ore, some will have to be roasted off prior to smelting. This is done to eliminate dilution of the matte by large quantities of iron sulfide. If the matte is made too rich (not enough sulfur is retained), some of the copper will be lost to the slag. Generally, a matte of 45% to 60% metal is sought.

Both blast and reverberatory furnaces are used to produce a molten mass consisting of two distinct layers; matte and slag. Matte is that portion of the melt which contains metallic sulfides of copper, iron, lead, nickel, and small amounts of other secondary metals. Slag is the portion which contains the valueless rock, or gangue, portion of the concentrate feed. Because the metallic sulfides are much heavier, they sink to the bottom of the furnace, entering the matte layer. Both the matte and the slag must be removed periodically during the smelting to make room for the addition of new concentrate.

- 12 -

#### 3. Converting

A major objective is achieved in the reverberatory and blast furnaces: All of the rock and most of the iron is separated and removed from the metallic sulfides matte; the complex homogeneous melt containing nickel, copper, iron, and sulfur, and small amounts of other base elements, must be further processed in a converter. The converting process consists of oxidizing the sulfur and iron by blowing a strong blast of air through the molten matte. This procudes metallic copper, nickel, and other precious metals. The sulfur is given off as sulfur dioxide, and the ferrous oxide combines with a silica flux to form a slag. When the last of the slag (which forms as a layer above the molten metals) is skimmed off nearly pure metal is left. The final impurities must then be removed by refining. Unlike the roasters and smelting furnaces the converting stage is a noncontinuous or "batch" process. A certain amount of matte from the smelter is charged into the converter, where the remaining sulfur is driven off. When the process is complete the converter must be completely emptied and then be refilled with a fresh charge of matte. Thus the converting process is probably the most inefficient of the stages.

#### Alternative Extraction Methods

A number of alternative extraction processes have been proposed to replace the traditional smelter. Several of these are very close to being available for operation on a commercial scale. These methods are discussed in detail in the environmental chapter. If an extraction facility was to be built in Minnesota, it would probably include one or more of these alternative steps.

- 13 -

#### Refining

To improve the quality of the metal, any remaining impurities must be removed by refining.

Three general methods of refining are utilized:

- A. Fire Refining: This process produces reactions similar to those which occur in the converter. Iron, lead, zinc, etc. are removed as a silicate slag, and sulfur, antimony, and arsenic are oxidized.
- B. Hydrometallurgical Refining: Commonly called electrolytic refining, it is divided into two types: Electrorefining and electrowinning. The basic difference between the two processes is: electrowinning has a net cell reaction (Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow$ 3Ni+2S), whereas, electrorefining has none (Cathode reaction Ni<sup>++</sup>+2e<sup>-</sup>).
- C. Vapometallurgical Refining: This process is based on a reaction of the metals with carbon monoxide at atmospheric pressure.

In several cases, refining has already been discussed as part of a specific extraction method. If a refinery was required in Minnesota it would probably be an electrolytic type facility.

#### Ancillary Operations

These could include expansion of an existing plant or possible one small new power plant, natural gas and oil pipelines, railroad spurs, access roads, expansion of existing or new townsites and satellite industries (equipment

- 14 -

and supplies). The main purpose of these operations is to directly support the mining industries. While many of these may already exist in Northern Minnesota (supporting the iron ore and taconite industries) it is likely that some expansion of old, and possibly additional new facilities will be required.

#### Termination

This is the final step in the mining process. It includes the removal of buildings, railroads, power lines, roadways, etc. which were built to aid in the mining activities. Also included in the termination is the reclamation of the mine site. These activities include revegetating tailings basins, lean ore and overburden stockpiles, roadways, building sites, etc. In the case of open pit mining reclamation usually includes pit slope stabilization, while termination activities in underground mines involve the filling of workings to avoid caving and surface subsidence.

- 15 -



#### MODELS OF POTENTIAL MINERAL DEVELOPMENT

Information pertaining to potential mineral operations in Minnesota is very limited and has not been sufficiently delineated to allow more than a first approximation of the possible implications. In order to interpret these impacts a general model was prepared.

As discussed in the previous chapter there are two distinct geologic environments favorable for base metal deposits, greenstone and gabbro. Thus two models were developed. The models were constructed using hypothetical ore bodies and impacts were considered for all operational phases from exploration through refining.

#### Greenstone Model

In order to establish the models for base metal mining in Minnesota, an assessment was made of base metal-precious metal operation in Canada. Since the so called 'greenstone belts" have been demonstrated to be continuous across the Minnesota-Ontario border, selected Canadian metal mines in Ontario, Quebec and Manitoba were studied in order to draw a parallel to Minnesota geologic environment.

The Canadian greenstone survey is summarized in Table 1. The results indicate that a "typical" ore body is nonexistant; each mine is a unique situation. Therefore, the various parometers from the table were averaged to create a hypothetical ore body.

Table 2 presents a summary of the greenstone mine model. From the table it can be seen that the ore body is fairly small but rather high in grade. Mining should produce rather small daily tonnages, and if the Canadian mines are any indication, mining will be underground. Some open pits could exist in the initial phase providing the ore body is amenable to this mining method.

TABLE 1

## GREENSTONE OPERATIONS OF CANADA

QUEBEC	EMPLOYMENT	TONS PER DAY	TONS PER YEAR	<u>Cu</u>	<u>GRAD</u> <u>Ni</u>	<u>E - %</u> <u>Pb</u>	<u>OR OZ.</u> Zn	AR	<u>Au</u>	REPORTED RESERVES	TIME FROM DESCOVERY TO PRODUCTION
Mattagami Lake M. L.		3,920	1,430,864	.66			9.2	1.08	.012	16,696,232	
Orchan M. L.	240	1,301	475,786	1.1			9.7	1.02	.01	2,501,495	
Joutel Copper M. L.	80	676	246,760	2.35						387,000	
Joutel Copper M. L.				.2			12.17			200,000	
Kerr Addison M. L.				a contra cont	·.						
Quemont Mine	317	821	299,636	.79			2.16	.84	.123	174,000	▲
Normetal Mine	499	954	339,694	1.94	•		6.0			706,000	
Lake Dufault M. L.	163	1,300	419,171	3.0			2.9	•9	.016	2,714,000	
Manitou - Barvue M. L.		600	273,200	in the second		.38	2.3	4.27	.019	1,021,340	
Manitou - Barvue M. L.		200 <sup>°</sup>	88,970	1.11				.13	.01	99,262	
Cambell Chibougamau M. L.	845	4,000	1,258,345	1.88					.043	10,736,704	Dev. 55, Pro. 58
Icon Sullivan Venture		670	219,764	2.35						662,000	Pro. 67
Patino Mining Corp.	685	2,307	837,187	2.17					.038	5,396,000	
Opemiska Copper Mine (Quebec) Ltd.	680	2,290	835,942	2.71						7,063,000	
Noranda M. L.		1,800	654,000	2.38					.186	1,648,000	
ONTARIO											
Mattabi Mines Ltd.	730	3,000	1,000,000	.91		.84	7.6	3.13		12,900,000	Dis. 68, Pro. 72, 4 yr.
Kam-Kotia Porcupine Mines Ltd.	326	2,317	846,000	1.03			3.0			1,830,000	

TABLE 1 (cont'd.)

ONTARIO (cont'd.)	EMPLOYMENT	TONS PER <u>DAY</u>	TONS PER YEAR	Cu	<u>GRA</u> Ni	<u>DE - %</u> <u>Pb</u>	OR OZ. Zn	Ag	Au	REPORTED <u>RESERVES</u>	TIME FROM DISCOVERY TO PRODUCTION
Ecstall Mining Ltd. (Kidd Creek Mine)	935	9,000	3,000,000	1.33			7.08	4.85		90,000,000	Dis. 63, Pro. 67, 4yrs.
Noranda Mines Lt. (Geco Mine)		4,000	1,320,000	2.03			4.63	1.9		29,000,000	
Willroy Mines Ltd.	-	1,300	477,000	1.25		.12	3.6	1.62		1,052,000	
South Bay Mine Ltd.	1.70	500	180,000	2.24			14.11	3.64		No Report	Dis. 68, Pro. 71,3yrs.
Jameland Mines Ltd.		700	260,000	1.20			2.28			392,000	Dis. 59, Pro. 69,10yrs.
Internation Nickel (Shebandowan)		3,000	1,000,000	1. K. 4. 17 10. 1. 1. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 1							Dis. 36, Pro. 72,36yrs.
Copperfields Mining Corp. Ltd.	132	150	54,500	1.04	0.47					770,000	2. 
MANITOBA			-								
Hudson Bay Mining & Smelting Co. Ltd.	2,700										
Flin Flon		1,740	622,300	1.8			3.8	.08	.05		Dis. 15, Pro. 30,15yrs.
Schist Lake		300	100,700	4.3			5.9	1.0	.03		Pro. 54
Chisel Lake		- 780	281,500	.8			10.3	.9	.03		Dis.56, Dev.57, Pro.60
Stall Lake		500	179,200	4.3			.7	6	.06		Dis.56, Dev.57, Pro.64
Anderson Lake		1,000	365,000	3.8				.3	.03		Dev. 64, Pro. 70,6yrs.
Flexar Mine		330	120,700	3.9			•4	• .2	.04		Pro. 69
Osborne Lake		900	319,400	4.0			1.6				Pro. 68
White Lake		450	164,250	2.22			6.2	1.12		353,000	Dis.63, Dev.70, Pro.72
Ghost Lake			•	1.42			11.6	1.14		261,000	Dis.56, Dev.70, Pro.72
Dickstone Mine		600	225,000	2.1	•		3.7	.4	.02	734,000	Dis.56, Dev.66, Pro.70
										2010 2011	

 $\{ [a] \}$ 

TABLE 1 (cont'd.)

. .

MANITOBA (cont'd.)	EMPLOYMENT	TONS PER DAY	TONS PER YEAR	<u>Cu</u>	<u>GRA</u> <u>Ni</u>	<u>DE - %</u> <u>Pb</u>	OR OZ. Zn	Ag	<u>Au</u>	REPORTED <u>RFSERVES</u>	TIME FROM DISCOVERY TO PRODUCTION
Sherritt Gordon Mines Ltd.											
Lynn Lake	985	2,986	1,090,000	.38	.77					12,600,000	
For Mine		3,000	1,100,000	1.84	2.7					13,100,000	
POX PLINE		700	260,000	.28	.87					1,240,630	
Dumbarton Mines Ltd.			~~~,~~~								
		4	3	8. 9							4.1)

The required employment for the mine and mill complex is estimated to be approximately 280 men. A graph (Figure 1) was compiled to show how the hypothetical greenstone model compares with the producing Canadian mines. By plotting employment versus mill capacities a dominant zone showing the probable required employment is apparent. The greenstone model seems to compare favorably with the Canadian mines; as it fits well in this probable employment zone.

Little data is available on the mine life of a greenstone operation. However, from experience a reasonable mine life of 20 years can be assumed. After the first discovery in an area exploration intensity generally increases and more deposits are usually discovered. Thus a district life of about 50 years may be assumed. Table 3 outlines the Flin Flon mining district from its original discovery in 1915 to the present. The district now has 42 years of production and employs 2,700 men.

It should be kept in mind that this model is not necessarily a projection of what may occur in Minnesota, but is merely a means by which the impacts could be studied.

#### Gabbro Model

The problem of constructing a mine model for the Duluth Gabbro, is that no comparable ore formation exists in which mining has occurred. Since there were no factual statistics available for construction, many more assumptions, than were made for the greenstone model, were required. The first assumption made was that the indicated mineral resources is an accurate evaluation of the Duluth Gabbro. Preliminary investigations by the Minnesota Geological Survey reveals that the deposits associated with the gabbro, are large and low grade. A second assumption made was that a large-tonnage operation (something on the order of 20,000 to 30,000 tons per day) would be necessary

- 2 -



Underground Mine and Concentrator

Tons per Year - Feed Capacity to Concentrator

### TABLE 3.

## HISTORY OF HUDSON BAY MINING AND SMELTING CO. LTD.

### FLIN FLON MINING DISTRICT

	DISCOVERY	DEVELOPMENT	PRODUCTION	TERMINATION
Flin Flon	1915		1930	
Schist Lake			1954	•
Northstar Mine				1958
Birch Lake			1957	1960
Coronation			1960	1965
Chisel Lake	1956	1957	1960	
Stoll Lake	1956	1957	1964	
Osborne Lake			1968	
Flexar Lake		·	1969	
Anderson Lake		1964	1970	
Ghost Lake	1956	1965	1972	
Dickstone	1965	1966	1970	
White Lake	1963	1970	Planned for 1972	
Wim	1968	Under development		•
Centennial Mine	1970			
Rail Lake	1970			
Reed Lake	1970			

and that sometime in the future an extraction facility might be required to handle these concentrates. The minimum size 300,000 tons per year, was assumed by preparing a list of existing extraction facilities (See Table 4) and their annual concentrate feed capacity. Assuming a concentrate grade of 25% copper and an ore grade of 1%, one or more gabbro mines would be required to produce 20,000 tons of crude ore per ton to meet the smelter requirements.

Mining methods which might be considered for possible utilization underground include room and pillar, block caving, cut and fill or some variation of these methods. Most of the deposits in the gabbro would have to be mined by underground methods. However, in some areas near the base of the formation some open pit mining might be expected. Employment could vary greatly depending on the mining method, the mine life and district life and the extent ore processing (is an extraction facility included in proposed complex).

Table 5 summarizes the gabbro model including the assumption necessary for its construction. Like the model for the greenstones, the gabbro model was not created with the idea that it is a projection of what will occur in Minnesota. It is felt though, that the model can be used to explore the impacts that mining could create.

- 3 -

## TABLE 4

## COPPER AND COPPER-NICKEL SMELTERS

## United States and Canada

Facility	Location	Feed Capacity Tons/Yr.
Falconbridge Nickel	Ontario	650,000
Gaspe Copper	Quebec	300,000
Hudson Bay Mining & Smelting	Manitoba	<b>5</b> 75,000
International Nickel Copper Cliff Coniston Thompson	Ontario Ontario Manitoba	4,000,000 800,000
Noranda Mines	Quebec	1,700,000
Kennecott Copper Corp. Nevada Mines Chino Mines Ray.Mines Utah Copper	Nevada New Mexico Arizona Utah	400,000 400,000 420,000 1,000,000
Asarco Tocoma Washington Hayden Arizona El Paso Texas	Washington Arizona Texas	600,000 960,000 576,000
Phelps Dodge Douglas Smelter Morenci Branch New Cornelia Branch	Arizona Arizona Arizona	860,000 900,000 300,000
Anaconda	Montana	1,000,000
Magma	Arizona	403,000
Copper Range	Michigan	300,000
Inspiration	Arizona 🔹	450,000
Cities Service	Tennessee	90,000



## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF BASE METAL MINING

At Present the

Chapter is incomplete.

A portion, however, is enclosed.

The complete Chapter

will be handed out and

discussed at the

WEDNESDAY MEETING!!

#### REGULATORY AUTHORITY FOR STATE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

There are two State Agencies, Pollution Control Agency and Department of Natural Resources, that share the primary authority for environmental control in Minnesota. In addition the Counties are primarily responsible for land use, through their respective zoning ordinances.

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) was created in 1967 "to meet the variety and complexity of problems relating to water, air and land pollution in the areas of the state affected thereby, and to achieve a reasonable degree of purity of water, air and land resources of the state consistent with the maximum enjoyment and use thereof, in furtherance of the welfare of the people of the state".<sup>1</sup>

The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) was orginally established in 1931 as the Department of Conservation. The Department of Natural Resources through its commissioner has been given "general charge and control over the waters of the state and of their use, sale, leasing or other deposition."

He is given the power to devise and develop a general water resources conservation program for the state, which program shall contemplate the conservation, allocation and development of all the waters of the state, surface and groundwater, for the best interests of the people.<sup>2</sup>

The Department has also been given jurisdiction and responsibility to promote and regulate the exploration and extraction of state-owned minerals. The Minerals Section of the Division of Waters, Soils and Minerals acts as agent for the public schools, the University and the Department in leasing and administering of state-owned mineral rights. They also act as agents for the counties and local taxing districts in leasing and administering the Minnesota Statutes 84.027

<sup>2</sup>Minnesota Statutes 105.39
millions of acres of mineral rights acquired through tax forfeiture. This policy of promotion and regulation has been summed up in the 1969 Mineland Reclamation Act<sup>1</sup> which covers all lands within the state as follows:

## DECLARATION OF POLICY

In recognition of the effects of mining upon the environment, it is hereby declared to be the policy of this state to provide for the reclamation of certain lands hereafter subjected to the mining of metallic minerals where such reclamation is necessary, both in the interest of the general welfare and as an exercise of the police power of the state, to control possible adverse environmental effects of mining, to preserve the natural resources, and to encourage the planning of future land utilization, while at the same time promoting the orderly development of mining, the encouragement of good mining practices, and the recognition and identification of the beneficial aspects of mining.<sup>2</sup>

## WATER RESOURCES

Department of Natural Resources

The primary objective of Water Resources Management is to provide for the wise use and development of the water resources of the state in the best interests of the people of the state and to protect the public health, safety and welfare. In furtherance of these objectives, the legislature declared that the state shall control the appropriation and use of waters of the state, both surface and underground.<sup>3</sup>

Supplementory and complementory to this policy is the policy relating to control of activities in public waters which provides that all waters in streams and lakes within the state, which are capable of substantial beneficial public use, are public water subject to control by the state. This policy further states that the public character of the waters shall <sup>1</sup>Minnesota Statutes 1971, Sections 93.44-93.51 <sup>2</sup>Minnesota Statutes 1971, Section 93.44

<sup>3</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.38

not be determined by the ownership of underlying, overlying or surrounding land or on the case law test of navigability of the lake or stream.

Within this policy framework, the Commissioner of Natural Resources is authorized to administer a regulatory program to control the appropriation and use of waters of the state<sup>2</sup> and any changes in the course, current or cross-section of public waters.<sup>3</sup>

#### WATER APPROPRIATION AND USE

The present law provides that "It shall be unlawful for the state, any person, partnership or association, private or public corporation, county, municipality or political subdivision of the state, to appropriate or use, any waters of the state, surface or underground without a written permit from the Commissioner."<sup>4</sup> Other provisions relating to water appropriation and use require measuring and submission of water use records and general information on the location, type and characteristics of any water use system.<sup>5</sup>

## CHANGES IN THE COURSE, CURRENT OR CROSS-SECTION OF PUBLIC WATERS

Present laws provide that it shall be unlawful to construct, reconstruct, remove or abandon, or make any change in any reservoir, dam or waterway obstruction in any public water or to change or diminish the course, current, or cross-section of any public waters, wholly or partly within the state, without a written permit from the Commissioner of Natural Resources.<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.38 <sup>2</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.41 <sup>3</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.42 <sup>4</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.41 <sup>5</sup>Ibid

Minnesota Statutes Section 105.42

#### PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS

The statutes provide specific procedures for; applications for permits,<sup>1</sup> issuing permits and order relating to the permits,<sup>2</sup> time limits of permits,<sup>3</sup> appeals from Commissioner's determinations, violations, and enforcement.<sup>6</sup>

There are several portions of the statutes which contain specific considerations and provisions relating to mining.

Permits granted in connection with mining, transporting and concentration of taconite and the mining, production and benefication of copper, coppernickel and nickel shall be irrevocable for the term specified in the permit when issued unless the permittee consents or unless there is a breach or non-performance of any conditions of the permit.<sup>7</sup>

One section of the permit was specifically designed to establish procedures and guidelines for relating the general permit laws to the drainage, diversion or control of water resources to facilitate mining.

## DRAINAGE DIVERSION OR CONTROL OF WATER TO FACILITATE MINING

The commissioner of conservation is permitted by statute to grant permits for the drainage, diversion, control or use of waters when necessary for mining. In 1949, the legislature granted the commissioner such powers as they related to the mining of iron ore and taconite. In 1967, the legislature expanded this permit power to copper, copper-nickel, and nickel mining. Permits may be granted under this statute upon the following determination by the commissioner:

> That the proposed drainage, diversion, control or use will be necessary for the mining of substantial deposits, and that no

other feasible and economical method therefore is reasonable available.

<sup>1</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.44 <sup>2</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.45 <sup>3</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.46 <sup>4</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.47

<sup>5</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.54 <sup>6</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.55 <sup>7</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.46 <sup>8</sup>Bulletin 11

- 2. That the proposed drainage, diversion, etc. will not substantially impair the interests of the public in lands or waters except as authorized in the permit.
- That the proposed mining operations will be in the public interest.<sup>1</sup>

Other provisions of this statute relate to acquistion of rights and easements, terms of permits, and specific conditions relating to the modification, cancellation or suspension of permits. All of the provisions in this section amplify the law as it relates to mining and compliment or supplement the existing basic permit laws.<sup>2</sup>

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS OF PERMITS

The various mining activities require that large amounts of water must be handled and used ranging from drainage of mining areas to transporting of materials to actual processing. The State of Minnesota policy is to conserve water resources of the state through a program of wise use and development which will seek to preserve these resources from loss, injury and violations. The state should ensure, insofar as is reasonable and practical, that any proposed mining activity will be adjusted to conform to the resource and its capabilities and will minimize encroachment, change and damage to the resources. Since many mining activities require major changes in the resources there must be provision for making adjustments or compensations which will alleviate the detrimental aspects.

Activities which might significantly affect the quality of the environment must be carefully assessed in regard to:

- The changes in the water and adjacent land environment which could be anticipated as a result of the proposed activity.
- 2. An analysis of all possible detrimental or unavoidable effects which would most likely occur if the activity were permitted.

Minnesota Statutes 105.64

<sup>2</sup>Minnesota Statutes Section 105.41-105.55

- 3. A comparison of immediate benefits or detriments with possible future (long term) benefits or detriments.
- 4. The irreversible and irretrievable committments of water resources which would be involved.

5. Consideration of all possible alternatives to the proposed action. The state agencies have many existing programs which must also be considered in the evaluation process. For example, in considering permit applications for mining activities the Commissioner of Natural Resources will be guided by assuring that the proposed activities are reasonably compatible with the goals and objectives of other existing natural resources management programs including:

- 1. Flood Plain Management
- 2. Shoreland Management
- 3. Water Surface Use Management
- 4. Game and Fish Management
- 5. Forestry and Land Management
- 6. Recreation Management

In granting permits for mining activities the Commissioner of Natural Resources may impose any conditions he deems necessary to protect the public interest. In general the Department of Natural Resources recommends maximum development and utilization of underground water supplies from existing mine pits and sumps in preference to creation of surface reservoirs. A recent permit issued for a taconite processing operation contained the following specific conditions:

- 1. Conserve, recover, and reuse as much water as possible.
- Reclaim for use any water from open pits located in the area of the plant.
- Provide a minimum (specified) water flow below any impounded water supply.

- 4. Prepare and carry out a management plan for present and proposed future use of the area involving fish, wildlife, and the environment.
- 5. Reimburse the State for stocking with fish any disrupted lakes.
- 6. Provide and maintain public access to natural lakes and proposed reservoirs.
- 7. Make available, where practical, land for public hunting and fishing.
- 8. Revegetate tailings basins to minimize wind and water erosion.
- 9. Restore the watershed, in those areas where tailings basins become permanently inactive, to the condition (similar rate and directon of water flow) which existed prior to the basin's construction.
- 10. Post a performance bond for assurance of tailings basin restoration.
- 11. Comply with all Federal and State laws and regulations.
- 12. Construct facilities (dams, water ways, pumping stations, tailings basins, etc.) to the specifications listed in the company's application for permit.

## SHIPSTEAD NEWTON NOLAND LAW

In 1930 the Congress of United States passed the Shipstead Newton Noland Act to "promote the better protection and highest public use of lands" located in an area of Northern Minnesota as shown in Figure 1. The act provides for the preservation of shorelines, rapids, beaches and other natural features of the region by not allowing any further alteration of the natural water levels without the specific authority for granting authorization from the Congress of the United States.<sup>1</sup> Act of Congress of July 10, 1930 (Chapter 880)

## LITTLE SHIPSTEAD NEWTON NOLAN ACT

The Little Shipstead Newton Noland Act<sup>1</sup> was passed by the State legislature in 1933 and encompasses the same lands as those described in the Federal Act. It provides for essentially the same types of preservation as the Federal Act although in some details it seems to be more specific. In 1967 the Little Shipstead Newton Noland Act was amended to allow for the use of waters in a limited portion of the area for the mining, and processing of copper, copper-nickel, or nickel ores under the following conditions and restrictions:

- A permit or permits for the use of such waters be first obtained from commissioner of conservation (now Commissioner of Natural Resources) under Minnesota Statutes 1965, Chapter 105, so far as applicable, and under any other applicable laws;
- 2. All water withdrawn from said lake and said river in connection with said operations except such as may be lost by evaporation or as is contained in the concentrates produced shall be returned to the drainage basin from which taken in conformity with the water quality standards for the affected water systems which shall have been established by the water pollution control commission or other properly constituted state pollution control agency (now Minnesota Pollution Control Agency) having jurisdiction thereof;
- 3. A permit shall have first been obtained from the water pollution control commission (now Minnesota Pollution Control Agency) under Minnesota Statutes 1965, Chapter 115, insofar as applicable and under other applicable laws for the construction, operation and maintenance of disposal systems in connection with such operations; and

Minnesota Statutes Section 110,13

4. No lands owned by the state shall be flooded or otherwise affected thereby without permit, license, or lease for such purpose having first been obtained from the commissioner. The granting of such permits, licenses, and leases is hereby authorized.

The following is a listing of local, state and federal agencies and possible permits that may be required for the exploration, development, and operation of a base metal mine, mill, extraction-refinery complex.

## Agency

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

## Description

Prospect Permit (covered under lease provisions)

Surface Water Appropriation (river or lake water for plant operation)

- Ground Water Appropriation
- mine dewatering
- possible plant use
- domestic service water
- stabilization of fine tailings

Work in Beds of Public Waters
- intake and discharge structures
including dredging

- diversion of natural watershed
- dam and water reservoir
- tailings disposal, dikes, levees

Utility Crossing Permit (crossing public water and lands)

Zoning Guidelines - Proposed Mineland Reclamation Act (land use, reclamation, solid waste disposal)

Liquid Waste Disposal Permit (mine water, plant water, runoff)

Certificate of Compliance (assurance of compliance with water quality standards)

Gaseous Waste Disposal Permit (installation and operational permit for SO<sub>2</sub>, particulates and other gaseous emissions and odors)

## Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

## Agency

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (con't)

# Minnesota Department of Health

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry

Minnesota Department of Highways

State Fire Marshall's Office

FAA and Minnesota Department of Aeronautics

## Description

Solid Waste Disposal Permit (construction wastes - land fill)

Solid Waste Disposal Permit (tailings, stockpiles, lean ore piles, slag, sulfur disposal, overburden)

Liquid Storage Permit (oil and chemical storage)

Approval of Sewage Disposal Plans (sanitary sewage disposal system)

Approval of Plumbing Plans (building and plant plumbing)

Approval of Potable Water Supply (potable water supply)

Water Well Construction Standards

Approval of Industrial Waste Disposal (mine water, runoff, plant water)

Approval of Occupational Disease Requirements

Approval of Structures, Equipment and Facilities (during construction and operation)

Approval of Industrial Health and Safety Requirements (during construction and operation)

Proposed State Plan under Metals and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act (1966) Occupational Safety and Health Act (1970) (approval of mining industry regulations)

Utility Permit (power lines, pipelines, railways, etc. which cross trunk highways right of way)

Approvals of Plans (buildings, storage of combustibles and flammables)

Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration (chimney elevation authorization lighting and marking requirements)

## Agency

United States Bureau of Mines

U. S. Army Corp. of Engineers

County

Township

Description

Approval of Health and Safety Requirements

Controls of Explosives (regulation of transfer, storage, use)

Dredging Permit (intake and discharge structures and dredging)

Construction Permit (power lines, pipelines, piers, etc. which are on, over, or under waters within jurisdiction of the corp)

Building Permit (temporary and permanent buildings)

Land Use Permit (re-zoning, special use, or conditional use)

Approval of County Mine Inspector

Burning Permit (site preparation clearing)

## MINELAND RECLAMATION

Mineral resources often occur in environments of unique significance because of the combination of geologic events required for their deposition. In some cases, these areas must be preserved and mining prohibited, however, in many cases where the lands natural significance is not overwhelming, a compromise can be reached through multiple land use planning. In many portions of Northern Minnesota this second case should apply. For example, in areas such as the B.W.C.A. where the land has effectively been zoned because of its unique esthetic and recreational values, mining should be prohibited except in the case of a national emergency.

Mining operations generally result in intensive land use. In some phases such as mining, underground and open pit, the resource itself dictates the exact location and quantity of land required (resource oriented) whereas some leeway is available in locating such facilities as concentrators and offices, and disposal areas such as stockpiles and tailings basins. Finally, some mining phases such as refineries and extraction facilities are only slightly resource oriented and depending on such variables as markets and transportation, these facilities can be located many miles from the mineral resource.

Mineland reclamation means the reconditioning or restoration of an area of land, water or both that has been disturbed or effected by mining. A good reclamation effort must be planned prior to operation and must be carried out as part of the business of mining. Although plans may need to be amended as the operation progresses, they provide a foundation from which to base an effective reclamation program. This program should include any reclamation that might be needed during and after an unsuccessful exploration stage.

## Existing Regulatory Authority

In late 1967, the Department of Natural Resources initiated studies concerned with the problems of mineland reclamation. Following a visit to the Iron Ranges, the Commissioner announced the formation of a joint industry-department committee for the purpose of determining the need for reclamation, possible future uses of exhausted mine lands, and regulations that would effectively control the impact of mining. Partly as a result of this effort, the 1969 Minnesota Legislature passed Chapter 774, an act which recognized the effects of mining upon the environment, delegates certain duties and grants specific powers to the Commissioner of Natural Resources in regard to lands hereafter subjected to the mining of metallic minerals, provides penalties for non-compliance, and establishes the Iron Range Trail.

One of the Commissioner's major duties under the 1969 Act was to conduct a comprehensive study to determine the extent of regulations necessary. After preliminary studies were completed by the Department's consultant, it was concluded that additional legislation was needed because of the extremely restrictive scope and authority under the 1969 Law. This legislation was prepared and introduced into the 1971 Legislature but was not passed. The Commissioner's regulatory authority under the existing Act provides for the adoption of rules and regulations governing metallic mining operations subsequent to the effective date of such rules and regulation for the following specific purposes:

- 2'-

- (a) "The regulation of those tailings basins which are located in close proximity to the built-up portions of established communities and which will or might cause nuisance conditions.
- (b) The vegetation or other practical treatment of tailings basins upon becoming permanently inactive where substantial natural vegetation is not expected within five years and where research reveals that vegetation can reasonably be accomplished within practical limitations;
- (c) The regulation of those stockpiles where land or rock slides are occurring or are likely to occur which might injure persons or cause damage to adjacent property not used or intended for use in a mining operation;
- (d) The regulation of those stockpiles where erosion is occurring or is likely to occur which results or may result in injury or damage to fish and wildlife, the pollution of public waters, or which is causing or might cause injury to the property or person of others;
- (e) The vegetation, sloping, terracing or other practical treatment of the exposed surface of any stockpile which is hereafter placed at a site then in close proximity to any state trunk highway or county state-aid road or to the built-up portion of any community;
- (f) The stabilization of the surface overburden banks of taconite open pits where such banks are located along the footwall side of said pits;

- 3 -

- (g) The control of surface overburden stockpiles; and
- (h) The clean up of plantsite and mining areas and the removal of

debris therefrom upon the termination of the mining operation." As can be seen this authority is extremely restrictive and is based only on the iron mining experience in Minnesota. Possible future base metal mining will be different in many aspects and thus reclamation regulations should be capable of meeting a wide variety of specific circumstances. Furthermore the existing authority and framework established by the 1969 Act does not meet the requirements for a "state plan" under any of the proposed Federal Legislation.

An adequate reclamation program must be based on (1) planning for reclamation in the early stages of mine development, (2) the ability of the regulating agency to hold the operator financially responsible for inadequate reclamation efforts, (3) a research program directed towards reestablishing biological productivity on mined lands, and (4) a workable program for reclaiming previously exhausted mine properties.

## Proposed Federal Legislation

Many mined land reclamation bills have been considered on the Federal level. Although none have been passed to date, it appears that it will only be a matter of time before one of these is enacted. As is the case with the Federal Metal and Non-Metallic Mine Safety Act of 1966 and the Clean Air Act of 1970 many of these bills provide for the establishment of Federal guidelines for mine reclamation and the opportunity for States to establish and implement State plans. If an acceptable plan is not prepared, implemented, and enforced, then the Federal Government will provide its own plan for regulation of mining within the State. Although each of the proposed bills vary significantly the majority of the following items would probably be included in any Federal legislation that might be passed:

- 1. Defines reclamation to include exploration in addition to development, mining, and termination.
- 2. Defines surface mining to include all minerals (including industrial) other than those minerals in a liquid or gaseous state.
- Provides regulatory control over all surface mining and surface facilities for underground mines.
- 4. Provides for a permit system to regulate the initiation and conduct of any new or previously mined and abandoned site and requires that a permit be obtained by an existing operator. No permit will be issued except where adequately demonstrated technology exists to reclaim the surface area.
- 5. Requires that a reclamation plan be submitted with each permit application.
- 6. Requires at least a biannual inspection of the property under permit.
- 7. Provides penalties for non-compliance.
- 8. Authorizes annual grants for the purpose of assisting States in developing, administering and enforcing State plans.
- Authorized matching funds to cover acquisition and reclamation of abandoned and unreclaimed mine lands.
- 10. Provides for research programs and grants to conduct and promote the coordination and acceleration of research programs.

- 5 -

## Interstate Mining Compact

The recently established Interstate Mining Commission is an organization of states committed to protecting land, water and other resources through improved mining techniques. It's broad purpose is "to foster desirable state mining assistance and regulatory patterns that are as uniform as regional differences, physiography, climate, population and other circumstances permit." The Commission's interest extends to any mining activites that have a demonstratable effect on the surface. Several of the programs that the Commission would like to initiate include: (1) persuade other states to enact the Interstate Mining Compact and join the Commission; (2) analyze proposed or pending Federal mine legislation, particularly surface mining; (3) attempt to establish an early warning system through which to obtain advance notice of relevant Washington hearings; (4) analyze state laws and regulatory actions that effect surface mining; (5) collect a library of reports on state, federal and private studies of surface mining and related matters; (6) a public information drive to appraise the American people of the contributions the mining industry has made to their standard of living; and (7) establish working relations with allied public and private organizations.

The Compact hopes to assist member states in developing programs to deal with environmental problems and to promote an efficient and productive mining industry. Member states are obligated to formulate and establish programs for the conservation and use of mined lands. Funding is apportioned among the members states using the following formula: 50% in equal shares from each state and the remainder in proportion to the value of minerals, ores and other solid matter mined.

Steps to organize the Compact were begun in the early 1960's and it

-6-

appears that it was initially designed to circumvent Federal legislation. Some of it's programs still appear to be strongly industry oriented, such as number six above: a public information drive to appraise the American people in the contributions of the mining industry.

Four states: North Carolina, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania and Kentucky are members of the Commission. Three of these are major coal producers with . industrial minerals predominantly stone and sand and gravel providing most of their remaining mineral production. The fourth state produces industrial minerals mainly stone, sand and gravel and feldspar. Because of the lack of representation on the Commission of state's with mining problems similar to Minnesota and because of it's apparent industry orientation, it does not appear that the state would be greatly benefited by becoming a member of the Compact at this time. However, this recommendation should be periodically reviewed as the Compact matures into a functioning organization.

-7-



## POTENTIAL ECONOMIC IMPACT OF A BASE METAL MINING INDUSTRY

A study was made to determine the possible economic effects of a base metal mining industry in Northern Minnesota. Data used to develop the models for comparison were derived from domestic and Canadian copper and/or nickel operations.

The data used in the development of the following model is based on the 1967 Census figures for underground copper mining operations in the United States. This industry represents the composite of those establishments primarily engaged in the mining, milling or recovering of copper concentrates by precipitation and leaching from crude ores. Smelting and other refining processes are not included. The statistics used by the Bureau of the Census may differ from those used by the Bureau of the Mines, but the difference is a matter of definition, not contradiction.

Since the likelihood is that Minnesota operations would be underground, this model will deal specifically with those operations and the latest available detailed information will be used - the 1967 U.S. Census of Business. It must be noted that the statistics presented are heavily weighed by production and cost figures from the Mountain Division States, Arizona, Colorado, and Idaho. Consequently the observations made here are not to be understood as a precise, Minnesota model, but as a first approximation of a possible Minnesota operation. During 1967, 7 of the nation's 27 operations were underground. The total crude ore mined tonnage from all operations was 127.5 million short tons, of which 17.0 or 13% came from underground operations. The total value of shipments and receipts was \$310.6 million dollars. Of this total, \$82.7 million or 26.8% was realized from underground mining. Shipments include all products physically shipped from the establishments, including withdrawals from stockpiles and products shipped on consignment. The average annual employment for the 27 mines was 10,900. It is important to note that although underground operations realized only 26.8% of the Value of Shipments, they required 40% of the employment. Other major economic factors which follow show this same relationship of higher production cost to Value of Shipments and Receipts:

Item	Total	Open Pit	Underground -	% of Total
Payroll (all employees) (million dollars)	86.3	55.2	31,1	36%
Promotion, development, and exploration workers average for year (X 1000)	8.2	5.0	3.2	39%
Supplemental labor costs not included in payroll (million dollars)	14.5	10.1	. 4.4	36%
Cost of supplies, etc. (million dollars)	129.5	96.7	32.8	40%
Value added in mining (million dollars)	292.8	220.0	72.8	25%
Capital expenditures except land and mineral rights (million dollars)	61.9	39.0	22.9	37%
Mineral development and exploration expenditures (million dollars)	17.1	8.1	9.0	53%
Man-hours worked by production, development, exploration workers (millions of hours)	18.3 and	11.8	6.5	36%

EMPLOYMENT - EXPENDITURE STATISTICS OF SELECTED COPPER MINES

The above table leaves little doubt that the underground mining process is both relatively and significantly more expensive than the open pit. The value added in mining of 25% re-enforces the value of shipment figures in relation to the share of other cost items.

-2-

If a single underground operation were derived from the above statistics, the economic model would be as follows:

Item	Single Underground Operation
Value of Shipments and Receipts	\$11,800,000
Crude Ore Mined1,000,000 short tons	2,400,000
All Employees (average for year)	630
Payroll (all employees) Production, Development and Exploration Workers	\$ 4,400,000
(average for year)	500
Supplemental Labor Cost Not Including Payroll	\$ 600,000
Cost of Supplies, etc	\$ 4.700.000
Value Added in Mining	\$10,100,000
Capital Expenditures Except Land and Mineral Rights	\$ 3,300,000
Gross Book Value of Fixed Assets	\$23,000,000
Mineral Development and Exploration Expenditures Man-hours worked by Production, Development, and	1,300,000
Exploration Workers	900,000

#### THEORETICAL SINGLE UNDERGROUND COPPER OPERATION

Based on both the gabbro mine model assumptions and on figures obtained from a Canadian operation similar to the gabbro, an employment figure of about 2,000 can be obtained for mining and milling activities. The Canadian operation presently pays a weighed average wage of \$4.06 per hour to mine workers and \$3.88 per hour to mill workers. The weighed average includes shift and Sunday premiums, but excludes benefit items such as medical and dental plans that the company also supplies.

The greenstone and gabbro areas of Minnesota occur in or close to an area of the State that already supports mining operations, and that already has a population complex able to provide labor. Thus, many of the supplies necessary to support a new copper mining operation should be obtainable from local manufacturers and distributors, creating more income and more jobs. Aside from administrative personnel and perhaps a temporary training crew, there is little reason to doubt that local residents will provide most of the work force necessary. An underground 1,000 TPD copper mine at Blue Hill, Maine, is about to go into operation with a work force of 130 persons, 124 of whom are local residents. Table gives an indication of the job shortage in the area that would be affected by a copper mining operation in Minnesota.

It is readily discernable from the table that Ely is especially hard pressed and, it must be noted, that it is the Ely area that will probably be the first to develop a copper nickel mining operation. Further indications of the positive local economic impacts of a mining operation can be seen in the projected payroll and local purchase figures from the Blue Hill, Maine, mine mentioned above. There the payroll is expected to be \$1.5 million dollars, with another \$1.5 million to be spent locally on supplies and materials.

A 20,000 TPD production figure has been estimated for the gabbro model operation. Since mining underground can continue 365 days a year the annual crude production would be 7.3 million short tons. One Canadian operation with a 4.5 million TPY production made available a cost breakdown of supplies it obtained from local sources. From this breakdown, a set of figures for a gabbro operation can be projected as follows in Table .

As can be seen from the employment and payroll figures given above, the economic impacts on a mining area would be both substantial and beneficial. The following distributors of national products are located in one Canadian community to serve a local underground mining and milling operation with a yearly output of 4.5 million short tons:

Bearings, V-belts, Seals, Material Handling Equipment Builders Supplies Welders Supplies Safety Equipment Wholesale Hardware Gasoline and Petroleum Products (lubricants)

-4-

Drill Steel and Bits Poopane for Mine Air Heaters Tires and Tire Repairs Electrical Wire and Fittings Shop Tools and Supplies Underground Equipment Parts Suppliers

According to the 1970-1971 Minnesota Directory of Manufacturers, there are at least 60 manufacturing sources in the State which could provide supplies in 21 of the categories. Certain categories such as builders supplies were too vague to assign a specific SIC code number. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, there are several distributors, vendors and service centers, presently located in the iron range that are capable of expanding and/or branching out to serve a base metal mining industry.

In addition to the number of already existing establishments that could serve a copper operation in Northern Minnesota, the region would be an ideal location for new companies engaged in the manufacture of mining equipment and machinery. According to a report by Northern Natural Gas entitled "The Manufacture of Mining Machinery and Equipment", Minnesota compares favorably with both traditional centers of such manufacture and other possible locations in the Northern Plains region. Duluth and Minneapolis rank third and fourth lowest respectively on a table of total annual variable costs for operation in alternative locations. Other indicators such as the availability of an educated, reliable, and stable work force also reflect well on Minnesota. Furthermore, the U.S. Department of Commerce forecasts a bright future for the mining machinery and equipment industry because of current and planned expansion in the minerals industries. A Minnesota location for such an industry, possibly in Duluth, would seem even more likely if copper mining in this area became a reality.

-5-

	State		S	St. Louis Co.		Duluth		Ely
	1969	1970	1969	1970	1972*	1969	1972	1972
1970 Census Population	, ••	3,805,069	-	120,150	. =	<b>5</b> 7	100,578	4848+
Work Force	1,647,300	1,676,000	43,613	44,957	42,586	49,700	50,300	1920++
Total Employment	1,598,900	1,600,000	41,264	42,306	38,471	47,900	47,400	. 1670++
Unemployment	48,100	70,100	2,349	2,656	4,115	1,800	2,900	250
Unemployment Rate	2.9	4.2	5.4	5.9	9.7**	3.6	7.4	13

## EMPLOYMENT COMPARISON - STATE WIDE/ST. LOUIS COUNTY

\*Duluth not included.

\*\*Not adjusted for seasonal employment.

+1970 Census

++Estimated from unemployment.

## POSSIBLE PURCHASES FROM LOCAL SUPPLIERS

Commodity	Canadian Operation	Gabbro
Rock Bolts & Accessories	*891,000	1,490,000
Mine Timbers	941,000	1,570,000
Mine Ladders	56,000	93,000
Explosives (amex, slurry stick, accessories)	887,000	1,480,000
Burlap (plastic material as substitute)	131,000	218,000
Oxygen & Acetylene	17,000	28,000
Loading Sticks	14,000	23,000
Wire Mesh 4" x 4" - 50' rolls	291,000	485,000
Tire Repairs - recapping	89,000	148,000
Grinding Bells	342,000	570,000
Grinding Rods	355,000	590,000
*Fabricated Steel Work - New Material	300,000	500,000
Corn Dextrine	57,000	95,000
Nails - Ardox 5", 8", & 10"	30,000	50,000
Rock Bolt Plates	137,000	228,000
Steel Chute Segments	287,000	478,000
Total	4,825,000	8,046,000

## \* 1971 Dollars

\*\* Repairs to equipment are generally carried out in own shop because of geographic location. A Gabbro operation would probably contract these services out.

The following is an evaluation of the impact of copper mining in Michigan's Upper Peninsula as a result of the White Pine Copper Mine, White Pine, Michigan.

## MINING COSTS

White Pine is presently mining a chalcocite ore running a little over 1% copper, at a daily production rate of about 23,000 tons. Mine, mill and smelter operations are on a 24 hour/day, 7 days/week basis.

Ore reserves cannot be specifically fixed because they fluctuate with changes in mining economics and the price of copper and are stated in terms of present production rates and methods. All factors considered, reserves are sufficient for many more years of operations, barring unforeseen changes.

Development of the White Pine project began in the mid 1940's with exploration and beneficiation tests. Construction began in March, 1952, and mining got under way in March, 1953. The mill began handling ore in October of 1954 and the first copper was produced in January, 1955. White Pine has now produced 100 million tons of ore, yielding nearly two billion pounds of copper.

Through 1949, Copper Range Company had invested \$2 million in exploration and metallurgical studies aimed at opening White Pine as a major new mine. The present capital investment is approximately \$133 million.

Investment in pollution control devices, including tailings and waste disposal systems, is approximately \$16 million, with operating costs of over \$500,000 per year. In terms of 1972 dollars, planned investment over the next 17 years will be at the rate of \$1.2 million per year, or \$20.4 million.

Transportation costs on inbound and outbound freight are about \$3 million annually.

A pump station at Lake Superior brings the 31 million gallons of water needed daily for operating and treatment purposes.

Industrial power is generated in the company's power station, with a peak load of about 52,000 kilowatts - enough for 20,000 average homes. Total 1972 consumption of coal and natural gas will be about 134,000 tons and 3,762,000 mcf respectively. Annual expenditures for these fuels amount to \$3.8 million.

#### EMPLOYMENT

White Pine employs 2,882 people, including 16 temporary and part-time, as of September 30, 1972. There are 527 salaried personnel and 2,355 hourly with the latter represented for bargaining purposes by Local 5024 of the United Steelworkers of America. There are 1,893 in the mine department, 161 in the mill, 228 in the smelter, and 600 in service departments.

Geographical breakdown of the work force is as follows, by county:

Ontonagon	1,207
Gogebic	970
Houghton	404
Iron (Wis)	188
Other (6)	113

In terms of road miles from White Pine, 29% of the work force lives within 25 miles of the plant, 52% live between 26 and 50 miles, and 19% live more than 50 miles, up to a maximum of 90. The average round trip for non-resident employees is 86 miles. Only 11.6% of all employees live in White Pine.

No compilation is made on previous training or skills of employees, however, a large number of employees formerly worked at iron mines on the Gogebic and Menominee Ranges, and about 200 once worked at the Calumet and Hecla copper properties in Houghton County.

The average age of the employees is approximately 46 years. The average

-7-

seniority (median figure) is just over six years.

Unless specifically assigned elsewhere because of prior experience or other circumstances, new mine employees are assigned to a special training unit where they receive up to 45 days training in mine procedures and operation of mine equipment. Other departments have their own training programs. Occasionally, White Pine hires graduates of Manpower Retraining Programs in their specialized fields.

Among the skills represented on the payroll are various engineering disciplines, doctors, nurses, technicians, machinists, electricians, welders, heavy equipment operators, accountants, EDP operators, electronic technicians, repairmen, mechanics, stationary engineers, printers, clerks, secretaries, supervisors, administrators, guards, etc.

As of August figures, the average weekly gross wage for individual hourly employees was \$190.00. The annual payroll, at the current rate of pay for all employees, is approximately \$30 million. The cost of fringe benefits adds approximately 30% to payroll costs.

## LOCAL ECONOMIC IMPACT

There are, in addition to White Pine Copper Company facilities, the following related organizations in White Pine: offices of the parent Copper Range Company: company-operated hospital; an explosives supplier; concrete supplier; general contractor; credit union; railroad agent; union headquarters; bank; and post office.

White Pine's population prior to beginning of the main construction project in 1952 was approximately 30. Current population is about 1,600. Almost all are directly related to the presence of the mining operation, either as employees of the company, members of their families, or related to the businesses,

-8-

schools, etc., which contribute to a well-rounded, self-sufficient community. Facilities include two new, large commercial shopping buildings.

Close to one-third of the employed people in Ontonagon County work for White Pine Copper Company.

Total purchases and taxes annually are about \$40 million. Approximately 50% of the expenditures for materials, supplies, and outside contractors goes to vendors in Michigan's Upper Peninsula and Northern Wisconsin. In regard to taxes, White Pine and Copper Range Company pay about 74% of township taxes and 36% of county taxes.

From the above discussion, one can clearly see that the presence of White Pine Copper Mine has been a definite asset, socially and economically, to a relatively depressed area.



## SOCIO-ECONOMIC ATTITUDES TOWARDS ISSUES RELATING TO NORTHERN MINNESOTA

During the summer and fall of 1972, a questionnaire was developed and distributed in pre-selected areas in Minnesota. The areas included in the survey are: the Iron Range cities of Hibbing, Virginia, and Eveleth; Ely and Duluth; the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area; and Rochester, Mankato, Marshall, Albert Lea, Worthington, and Willmar in Southern Minnesota. Generally, this survey concerned people's attitudes towards various economic and environmental issues involving Northern Minnesota.

The purpose of this paper is to summarize very briefly the results of the survey, and to point out the differences in attitudes between the residents of the three major sample areas, and in some cases, between the residents of the various cities in Northern Minnesota sample areas. A detailed discussion on methodology and results is included in Appendix

The first set of questions concerned are expansion of the mining industry and the development of a base metal industry and were for Northern Minnesota in general. 79% (vs. 14%) of Northern Minnesota residents favor an expansion of the mining industry as compared to 46% (vs. 41%) of Southern Minnesota residents and 39% (vs. 38%) of Twin Cities Area residents. The development of light manufacturing industries in Northern Minnesota received substantially greater support in all three areas, particularly Southern Minnesota and the Twin Cities. A sizeable majority of Northern Minnesota residents, and a very slim majority of Southern Minnesota and Twin City residents favored the exploration and mining of copper and nickel in Northern Minnesota. Southern Minnesota and Twin Cities residents overwhelmingly rejected exploration, mining and smelting of base metals in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area. Northern Minnesota residents gave moderate support to exploration in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area and were about evenly divided regarding mining operations in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area. Ely residents, in particular, gave enthusiastic support to exploration and mining in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area. However, smelting facility operations in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area was rejected overall.

Residents of all three sample areas overwhelmingly agreed that it is possible to have more industry and environmental protection in Northern Minnesota. A moderate majority of Northern Minnesota residents, and a somewhat small majority of Southern Minnesota and Twin City residents felt that industries in Northern Minnesota have a concern with the welfare of the residents and the quality of the environment. An overwhelming majority of residents of all sample areas felt that a mining company should be required to carry out reclamation procedures. 27% of Northern Minnesota residents, 62% of Southern Minnesota residents and 67% of Twin City residents had no opinion towards the adequacy of mine safety regulations in Minnesota indicating that many people, particularly those who reside outside of mining regions, are largely unaware of current authority. However, in Hibbing, where mining is the primary industry, the majority felt that such regulations need revising.

A substantial majority of residents of all sample areas, particularly those of Northern Minnesota, felt that the economy of Northern Minnesota is not a desirable one. In regards to the question of which is more important for Northern Minnesota, more jobs or environmental protection, 64% of Northern Minnesota residents, 29% of Southern Minnesota residents and 30% of the Twin City residents felt that more jobs was more important. At the same time, 28% of Northern Minnesota residents, 61% of Southern Minnesota residents, and 54% of Twin City residents felt that environmental protection was more important.

-2-

However, it is noteworthy that residents of all sample areas were overwhelmingly against a relaxation of present environmental controls. It was emphasized by Northern Minnesota residents that the regions economic goal should be to provide jobs for the present population, rather than 'economic growth. Southern Minnesota residents were evenly split on this question, while a slight majority of Twin City residents favored economic growth for Northern Minnesota, A slight majority of residents of all sample areas agreed with the premise that the mining timber industry in Northern Minnesota has no effect on the outmigration of young people; however, a substantial minority felt that this industry does encourage the the outmigration of young people. As alternatives, manufacturing, light industry and electronics, in order of response, were felt by Northern Minnesota residents as being attractive to a young labor force. Southern Minnesota residents felt that manufacturing, jobs related to the environment, and also electronics, were fields which would attract a young labor force, while Twin City residents felt that manufacturing, tourist-and recreation-related industries, and forestry would attract young people to Northern Minnesota.

To the questions regarding their attitudes towards "environmental groups", 47% of Northern Minnesota residents had a favorable impression and 33% had an unfavorable impression: 52% of Southern Minnesota residents had a favorable impression and 17% had an unfavorable impression; 51% of Twin City residents had a favorable impression while 10% had an unfavorable impression. Many responses were too ambiguous to be recorded as being favorable or unfavorable.

According to Northern Minnesota residents, the major economic problem in Northern Minnesota is in order or frequency of response: 1) Unemployment, 2) Taxes, 3) The seasonality of the economy, 4) Reliance on ore industry for

-3-

an economic base, and 5) No jobs for young people. Southern Minnesota residents felt the major economic problem in Northern Minnesota is: 1) Unemployment, 2) The seasonality of the economy, 3) The lack of diversity in the economic base, and 4) Low wages. Twin City residents felt the major problem is: 1) Unemployment, 2) Lack of diversity in the economic base, 3) The seasonality of the economy, and 4) Low wages.

When asked to identify the major social problem of Northern Minnesota, Northern Minnesota residents felt that the major problem is: 1) Unemployment and welfare, 2) No opportunities for young people in regard to recreation, entertainment, and employment. Southern Minnesota residents felt the major social problem to be: 1) Poverty and welfare, 2) Indian-related problems (such as indian-white relations, or "the indians are treated unfairly"), and 3) Unemployment. Twin City residents felt the major social problem in Northern Minnesota is: 1) Indian-related problems, 2) Low income, 3) Welfare, 4) Lack of young people in the region, and 5) Unemployment.

To the question regarding the major environmental problem in Northern Minnesota, the results of the Northern Minnesota sample area reflected locallyoriented issues. Range cities residents felt that tailings disposal and mineland reclamation are the major problems. Ely residents indicated problems in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area regarding misuse and overuse. Duluth residents specified pollution of Lake Superior and the St. Louis River. Southern Minnesota and Twin City residents indicated that water pollution, pollution in general, and the mining timber industry are the major environmental problems in Northern Minnesota. Additionally, many Twin City residents felt that the discharge of taconite tailings into Lake Superior by Reserve Mining Company is the major environmental problem in Northern Minnesota. This may be accounted for by the fact that this specific case has received extensive media coverage

-4-
in the Twin Cities.

When asked to evaluate which of the above three questions was most important, 66% of Northern Minnesota residents felt the economic problem was most important, 18% felt the environmental problem was more important, and 16% felt the social problem was of prime importance. On the other hand, 37% of Southern Minnesota residents and 26% of Twin City residents felt the environmental problem was most important. 15% of Southern Minnesota residents and 19% of Twin City residents felt the economic problem was most important. Finally 10% of Southern Minnesota residents and 8% of Twin City residents felt the social problem was most important. As can be seen above, more often than not, the social problem identified was either a purely econonomic problem, or directly related to an economic issue.

-5-.



#### BASE METAL LEASING PROCEDURES

The administration of all state-owned minerals and mineral rights is the responsibility of the Department of Natural Resources under Chapter 93 of Minnesota Statutes. This includes mineral rights received with Federal land grants, mineral rights acquired through tax-forfeitures and held in trust for the taxing districts, and other mineral rights acquired by purchase, gift or transfer. However, it must be recognized that while the basic responsibility for mineral administration is vested with the Commissioner of Natural Resources, the ultimate authority regarding the issuance of mineral leases, approval of appropriate rules and regulations, etc. is vested with the State Executive Council composed of the Governor, Attorney General, Secretary of State, State Treasurer and State Auditor. Therefore, the ultimate mineral lease administration body in the executive branch of government is the State Executive Council. The Department of Natural Resources serves as the technical arm of that body and prepares recommendations for their action and subsequently carries out the necessary implementation.

In order to understand the historical background, development, and current status of the state's mineral leasing program, it is necessary to discuss the public land ownership, the statutory provisions of leasing, stateowned minerals and the historical development of the state's copper-nickel rules and regulations.

### PUBLIC OWNERSHIP

The Department of Natural Resources is vested with the responsibility of administering the vast resource of land the state has acquired through various means. The types of lands can basically be categorized into Trust Fund Lands, Acquired Lands, and Tax Forfeited Lands. The first lands acquired by the state were as a result of congressional action and are commonly known as Trust Fund Lands. Under the 1857 act authorizing a state government, Congress granted to the new State of Minnesota, millions of acres of land to be used for the support of public schools, a state university, for erecting public buildings, constructing public roads and other internal improvements. Congress in 1860 further granted to the state all swamp and overflowed lands that had not already been conveyed; and in 1862 and 1870 granted additional lands for an agricultural college and for the university. In all, these congressional grants amounted to some  $8\frac{1}{2}$  million acres. Part of the Swamp land grants were subsequently conveyed to railroad companies, but the trust fund lands that were conveyed by the original State Constitution and the Swamp Land Amendment of 1881 established permanent Trust Fund Lands has reduced this total to approximately 2.6 million acres.

The initial change from a policy of disposal to a policy of partial reservation of state lands came in 1889 and was in relation to mineral rights. An act passed that year provided that whenever state lands located in St. Louis, Lake or Cook Counties were sold, the State Land Commissioner could, in his discretion, reserve all mineral rights to the state. In 1901 this mineral reservation act was made mandatory and state-wide. Today these mineral reservations apply to all state lands that are sold, including tax forfeited lands. In addition, mineral rights are reserved on lands disposed of by exchange.

Consequently, the state has retained mineral rights on over 900,000 acres of trust fund lands that have been sold or exchanged since the passage of these acts. The amount of mineral rights administered by the department, therefore, is greater than the surface ownership. It includes  $3\frac{1}{2}$  million acres of trust fund mineral rights — lands on which the title to the minerals is certain.

- 2 -

The revenues derived from the use of these lands must be credited to the appropriate trust fund for the benefit of our public schools and university. The Constitution prohibits the expenditure of any of this income. It is therefore invested by the State Investment Board and the university, and the return on the investment is distributed to the university and school districts.

Upon becoming a state in 1858, Minnesota also was vested with title, below the ordinary low water mark, to the beds of all navigable bodies of water within its boundaries. These lake and stream beds are also under the jurisdiction of the Department of Natural Resources, and any revenues derived from them are credited to the School Trust Fund.

The Department of Natural Resources periodically acquires certain lands in fulfilling its management responsibility. With legislative approval, over 900,000 acres of land have been acquired for parks, forestry, fish and wildlife management, public accesses and other public purposes. This includes some 159,000 acres for parks, over 400,000 acres in connection with state forests, and approximately 360,000 acres in connection with the state's fish and wildlife management programs. These lands have been acquired by purchase, gift or through transfer of tax forfeited land from the counties to the department for management purposes. Title to the minerals varies with the means of acquisition and in many cases is unknown. Revenues derived are credited to the proper fund.

The state began to take title to tax forfeited lands in 1936 under the first effective tax forfeiture law enacted in 1935 (Chapter 278). During the next two years, the forfeiture of lands delinquent on the 1927 to 1930 tax rolls brought approximately 4.2 million acres into state ownership, in trust for the taxing districts having an interest in them. The amount of tax forfeited land constantly fluctuates due to continued tax forfeiture, land sales, land exchanges and transfers. The present amount of tax forfeited surface lands totals approximately  $3\frac{1}{2}$  million acres. However, minerals are reserved when tax forfeited

- 3 -

lands are disposed of, and, consequently, these mineral rights cover approximately 5 million acres. In 1943, the department was authorized to lease tax forfeited minerals with 80% of any revenues received being returned to the counties for distribution to the taxing districts.

Another category is known as Consolidated Conservation Area Lands. These lands, totaling over  $l\frac{1}{2}$  million acres, were acquired through tax forfeiture. However, through legislative action, title to these lands lies directly in fee with the state, rather than in trust for the taxing districts. The state took this action to prevent default on certain drainage bonds issued by seven counties in the northern part of the state. In return for the state's paying off these ditch bonds, tax forfeited lands in certain parts of these counties forfeited in fee to the state with 50% of any revenues resulting from the sale or management of these lands being returned to the counties. Title to the minerals of tax forfeited lands is somewhat obscure, due to the fact that the minerals could have been reserved prior to forfeiture and not have been recorded. (See Chapter on Severed Mineral Ownership for further discussion on this subject.)

Two other types of lands known as Volstead Lands and LUP Lands are administered by the Department of Natural Resources. The Volstead Lands were federal public domain lands that have been subject to Volstead liens for drainage projects in northwestern Minnesota. In 1963 the state received 33,200 acres of these lands by purchase from the federal government. The LUP Lands (Land Utilization Project Lands) resulted through the purchase by the federal government of submarginal agricultural lands from private individuals as authorized under the National Industrial Recovery Act of 1933. Certain of these lands have subsequently been deeded to the State of Minnesota and are administered by the Department of Natural Resources. Title to the minerals of Volstead Lands were acquired with the surface but may not have been acquired on LUP Lands. The federal government reserved an undivided three-fourths interest in all minerals

- 4 -

not of record in third parties and reserved the remaining one-fourth interest in all fissionable materials on LUP Lands deeded to the state. Mineral revenue received from these lands is credited to the state forest fund which appropriates 50% to the county where the land is situated and 50% to the general revenue fund.

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS FOR LEASING STATE-OWNED MINERALS

The first mineral lease law was passed by the legislature in 1889 and has been substantially modified and expanded since that time. The authority and guidelines for the Department of Natural Resources' management of state-owned mineral rights are set forth in Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 93. Under state mineral laws, mineral rights are not sold, but state lands believed to have mineral potential are leased at public sale or under certain cases are negotiated. The mineral leases provide for payments to the state of annual minimum royalty or ground rental when no ore is mined, and royalty for each ton of ore mined and/or shipped.

Most of the laws governing the leasing of state-owned minerals have naturally been directed at iron ore and taconite. However, the following laws relate to the leasing of non-ferrous minerals:

- Minn. Stat., Sec. 84.027, empowers the Commissioner of Natural Resources to have charge and control over public minerals of the state and their leasing.
- Minn. Stat., Ch. 93, basic law relating to state mineral ownership and leasing.

93.01 - 93.04 Reserves minerals in state-owned lands.

93.05 Requires compensation to be paid by a state lessee to any surface owner damaged by the lessee's mining operations.

93.06 Reserves minerals under navigable lakes and rivers.

- 5 -

- 93.08 Authorizes prospecting, leasing and mining of non-ferrous minerals, such as gold, silver and copper under the waters of public lakes or streams pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Commissioner of Natural Resources and approved by the State Executive Council.
- 93.24 Authorizes the mining of ores, such as gold, copper and silver, by a lessee having an iron ore lease only pursuant to a supplemental written agreement entered into between the state and the lessee.
- 93.25 Authorizes prospecting; leasing and mining of non-ferrous minerals, such as gold, silver and copper, upon any lands owned by the state (including tax-forfeited lands) and the beds of adjacent waters, pursuant to rules promulgated by the Commissioner of Natural Resources. These permits and leases must be approved by the State Executive Council.
- 93.335, Subd. 4 Provides for the apportionment of rents and royalites derived from leasing of tax-forfeited minerals: 20% to the state general fund; 80% to local taxing districts to be apportioned 3/9ths to the county, 2/9ths to the town, village or city, and 4/9ths to the school district.
- 93.34 Makes it unlawful to mine under public lakes without proper authorization from the state or without the consent of the State Executive Council.
- 93.43 Authorizes the Commissioner of Natural Resources to give permits or licenses across state-owned land to businesses engaged in copper-nickel mining for pipelines, pole lines, sluiceways, roads, flowage, etc.

### HISTORY OF THE PROMULGATION OF THE STATE'S COPPER-NICKEL RULES

During the 1950's, industry and local government officials interested in copper-nickel mining and its possible benefits urged the Commissioner of Conservation (now Natural Resources) to establish rules and regulations governing the prospecting for and mining of copper-nickel and associated minerals on land under State control. After the required public notice, and after all interested persons had received a draft of the preliminary version of the proposed rules and regulations and after the Commissioner had received written suggestions from some of those notified, a public hearing was held on January 15, 1959, at which time all interested persons were heard and testimony taken. However, no action was taken by the Executive Council to approve these rules and regulations and, as a consequence, they never became effective. For various reasons interest waned at this time and no further action was taken until the mid 1960's.

In 1965 interest revived and in February, 1966, the Commissioner of Conservation again proposed rules and regulations. Written suggestions in response were again submitted to the Commissioner, who then fixed a date for another public hearing. After the required public notice was given and after the Commissioner again received written suggestions in response to the notice, a public hearing was held on July 15, 1966, attended by representatives of government, industry and groups concerned with the environment, such as the Izaak Walton League. The Deputy Commissioner of Conservation then formally recommended the rules and regulations to the Executive Council. After proper notice of their meeting, on November 8, 1966, the Executive Council unanimously approved the rules and regulations, after making one change, the addition of a provision authorizing the Executive Council to reject any and all bids. The rules and regulations were approved as to form and execution by the Attorney

- 7 -

General and filed with both the secretary of State and the Commissioner of Administration on November 18, 1966.

### RULES AND REGULATIONS

The rules and regulations adopted by the Executive Council in 1966 provide a leasing program for copper, nickel and other associated minerals. Major items contained in the rules include: provisions for public and negotiated lease sales, bidding procedures, and the actual lease form. The lease form contains the basis for royalty and rental payments; safety provisions; environmental considerations; reserves the state's right to lease iron ore, taconite and sell timber; requires the lessee to submit monthly and annual reports, exploration data and mine samples; provides for state inspection; requires the lessee to pay damages and taxes; the lessee's right to terminate and the lessor's right to cancel.

1. The basis for royalty and rental payment is essentially as follows:

a) Annual Rental Payments

\$1.00/acre/year for the first 5 years
\$5.00/acre/year for the next succeeding five years
\$25.00/acre/year for the remainder of the term

b) Royalties on Metals and Mineral Products Recovered Rentals are always paid, but under certain circumstances can be credited toward earned royalties on ore produced. Royalties are based on the market value of metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate for each ton of dried crude ore. The royalty is a base percentage rate plus an additional percentage that is bid to obtain the lease.

- 8 --

- 2. Safety Provisions
  - a) Paragraph 23: Lease provisions are subject to all state and federal statutes, orders, rules and regulations, and all operations under the lease shall be conducted in conformity therewith. Thus, for example, the operation is subject to the 1966 Federal Mine Safety Act, the authority of the County Mine Inspector, and other state and federal controls.
  - b) Paragraph 24: The lessee shall conduct operations as is usual and customary in skillful and proper copper-nickel mining operations.
  - c) Paragraph 30: During the termination period the lessee shall make preparations to leave the premises in a safe and orderly condition to protect against injury or damage to persons or property.
- 3. Environmental Considerations
  - a) Paragraph 3: A lease does not grant the absolute right to construct a smelter on State land: "...but such right to mill and concentrate shall not include the right to reduce or smelt ore upon said mining unit without an agreement between the lessee and the commissioner, authorizing such use of the surface of the land and providing for the necessary protection of life and property."
  - b) Paragraphs 20 and 24: Lease does not authorize use of surface except as approved by the Commissioner: "Surface lands owned by the state in said mining unit are not to be cleared or used for construction or stockpiling purposes unless and until the plan for such use has been approved by the Commissioner. The surface use of said mining unit shall be conducted in such manner as to prevent or reduce scarring and erosion of the land and pollution of air and water."

"Stockpiled materials . . . shall be stockpiled only in such manner and on such sites as may be authorized by the commissioner in writing." If surface is not owned by the State, the lessee must satisfy the surface owner prior to proceeding in accordance with para. 5.

- c) Prior to proceeding under the lease the lessee must secure all necessary permits, etc., and comply with all state and federal laws and regulations in compliance with para 23: "The provisions of this lease are subject to all applicable state and federal statutes, orders, rules and regulations, and all operations under this lease shall be conducted in conformity therewith. No interference, diversion, use of appropriation of any waters over which the commissioner or any other state agency has jurisdiction, shall be undertaken unless authorized in writing by the commissioner or the said state agency." Therefore, before proceeding the lessee will have to secure numerous permits after the necessary public hearings.
- d) The lessee is required to restore the area in accordance with para. 30:
  ". . the lessee shall do all other work which the commissioner deems necessary to leave the premises in a safe and orderly condition to protect against injury or damage to persons or property, and shall restore the premises as nearly as the commissioner deems practicable to the natural conditions of the surrounding area."
  This paragraph is general to give the Commissioner greater latitude in environmental protection. The type of mining methods, means of concentration and refining which might be utilized under any given operation are not known at this time and therefore, the type of restoration which will be required must be general and flexible.
- 4. Paragraphs 4 and 5 reserve the states right to lease iron ore, taconite, and surface; and to sell the timber.

- 10 -

5. Monthly and Annual Reports, Exploration Data and Samples.

a) Paragraph 12: The lessee must transmit with each royalty payment a statement of the tonnage and the value of the ore mined and removed.

- 11 -

- b) Paragraph 15: Monthly reports covering tonnages and analysis of all material mined, milled, concentrates produced, materials stockpiled and commingled materials are required.
- c) Paragraph 16: Lessee must make monthly reports giving copies of all exploration data, maps, and development plans. A portion of all exploration and operating samples must be made available when requested by the Commissioner. All exploration information is classified until termination of the lease.
- 6. State Inspection

Paragraph 18: The Commissioner has the right to inspect the operations and conduct any necessary engineering or sampling procedures.

- 7. Lessee's Obligation
  - a) Paragraph 25: The lessee must satisfy all other owners having an interest in the lands and/or minerals within the mining unit prior to commencing work.
  - b) Paragraph 26: The lessee is responsible for all taxes, general or specific which are assessed while the lease is active.
- 8. Right to Terminate
  - a) Paragraph 28: The lessee may at any time give written notice of intent to terminate, termination occurs sixty (60) days after delivery of notice.
  - b) Paragraph 29: Lessor may give notice to terminate should lessee not perform all conditions of the lease. Termination becomes effective sixty (60) days after notice is delivered. Such termination does not relieve lessee from any liability incurred prior to termination.

Attached is a copy of the Rules and Regulations (Appendix I) which contains the basic lease form.

### PUBLIC SALE OF LEASES

Section 5 of the Rules and Regulations provides for a public sale of leases to prospect and mine copper, nickel and associated minerals. Other provisions are the legal notice, a \$25.00 mining unit book fee and the bid opening and awarding subject to Executive Council approval.

Section 4 provides for a 50 year lease and allows the Commissioner to designate the lands contained in a mining unit. Therefore, all lands administered by the department in a given governmental section have been included in a mining unit subject to deletions for conflicting management use. The beds of public waters have been included only in the sales covering the Duluth Gabbro Complex. Although the state owns 93,000 acres of mineral lands in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area, none of these mineral rights have ever been designated as mining units or offered for lease.

A description of the current procedure involved in holding a public lease sale is as follows:

- 1. Department of Natural Resources reviews indicated interest with available data including geologic, geophysical, general ownerships, etc.
- 2. Department of Natural Resources asks prospective bidders to submit general areas of interest.
- 3. An evaluation is made to determine if there is sufficient interest to have competitive bidding.
- 4. Tentative lease sale area boundaries are set, in conjunction with an evaluation of natural resource values, identified historic and archeological sites, outstanding natural or scientific areas and other possible conflicts and environmental concerns. The following groups

- 12 -

and agencies review the tentative lease sale areas prior to designating mining units to be included in the lease sale: involved counties, various divisions of DNR, Historical Society, Pollution Control Agency, Natural and Scientific Area Advisory Committee, Natural Resources Advisory Council and other interested groups.

- 5. DNR reviews comments of above agencies and groups for possible conflict areas and finalizes lease sale areas.
- Detailed ownership data is accumulated and mining units are established, (mining unit description).
- 7. Mining Unit Books (specific parcels are identified) and maps showing the lands being offered are prepared.
- 8. Legal notification of the lease sale is made in the counties involved as required in the Rules and Regulations. Prior to the 1971 lease sale, the entire program and the specific planned lease sale was reviewed with the press to provide public information.
- 9. Prospective bidders and other interested parties are notified and provided bidding materials.
- 10.. Bidders prepare sealed bids and submit them to DNR.
- 11. Bids are opened and recorded at a meeting of the Executive Council.
- 12. High bids are reviewed in regard to the potential environmental effects and the prospective lessee's ability to comply with the lease. During the 1971 lease sale, before submitting recommendations to the council, DNR held meetings with representatives of numerous groups and interested parties to consider their concerns in regard to specific mining units that were bid on.
- 13. DNR submits recommendations to the Executive Council for action on lease awards.

14. The Executive Council awards or denies leases to the high bidders. It may also table certain bids pending receipt of more detailed information.

Since the adoption of these regulations in November, 1966, five state lease sales have been held. The first lease sale, held in December, 1966, included lands in the Duluth Gabbro geologic formation lying north of Duluth in St. Louis, Lake and Cook Counties. The next three lease areas included lands in the Greenstone geologic formation lying in St. Louis, Itasca, Koochiching and Lake of the Woods Counties; and the fifth lease sale, held in December, 1971, included certain lands previously offered in both the Gabbro and Greenstone formations, plus additional state lands in Lake, St. Louis, Itasca, Koochiching, Lake of the Woods, Beltrami and Roseau Counties. Of the 1.9 million acres of mineral rights offered by the State to date, 904 leases encompassing over 362,000 acres were awarded to 15 companies. On July 1, 1972, 173 leases covering 75,902 acres were still in effect (See Table I). Appendix II is a summary report on the leases that have been issued and administered under the 1966 regulations and a summary of leases effective as of July 1, 1972.

It is important to recognize that as quickly as the lessee determines an area is adequately prospected and the evaluation is negative, the lease is terminated. Consequently, it is necessary to provide additional areas for leasing in order to keep the exploration companies in Minnesota and to increase the opportunity of making a base metal find.

The lessees have conducted extensive geological, geochemical and geophysical surveys and have also carried out exploration drilling in excess of a quarter-million feet. It is estimated that over \$5,000,000 has been expended to date by these companies in exploring the state lease areas. Rentals paid to the state under the terms of these leases have amounted to \$824,196 as of June 30, 1972. Because of the Tax Forfeited and Consolidated Conservation Area Lands

- 14 -

	0.11.1		0		m ( <b>1</b>				
		pro	Greens No. of	stone	Total No. of				
	Leases	Acreage	Leases	Acreage	Leases	Acreage			
3/1/69	228	73,231	367	146,316	595	219,547			
<b>8/</b> 20/69	197	59,860	368	146,316	565	206,176			
6/2/70	161	49,136	339	134,158	500	183,294			
1/1/71	143	46,037	336	146,823	479	192,860			
4/3/71	101	26,403	308	136,733	409	163,136			
7/1/71	79	18,748	301	134,073	.380	152,821			
1/2/72	63	15,800	261	122 <b>,2</b> 79	324	138,079			
<b>7/</b> 1/72	39	10,181	134	65,721	173	<b>75,9</b> 02			

# Table 1

# STATUS OF LEASES AT VARIOUS TIMES

included in these leases, \$396,245 of this amount is being returned to the counties involved, for distribution to the local taxing districts. See summary of revenue distribution in Appendix III.

### NEGOTIATED LEASES

Negotiated leases are considered in Section 6 of the Copper-Nickel Rules and Regulations. The provision allows the Commissioner to negotiate a lease when it is impractical to hold a public sale on any unit because of its location or size or the extent of the state's interest in the minerals therein. The State Executive Council considers the award of negotiated leases upon the recommendation of the Commissioner.

To date, there have been four negotiated leases issued to one company covering 840 acres of Greenstone Formation in Lake of the Woods and Roseau Counties. Several applications for negotiated leases have been turned down, because they did not meet the requirements, and other applications are pending subject to further review and evaluation.

### PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT IN MINERAL LEASE SALES

Sec. 5 Subd. 1 of the Rules and Regulations outlines the procedure for legal notification of public sale of leases as follows:

The commissioner shall give public notice of each sale by publication for three (3) successive weeks in a legal newspaper printed and published in the county seats of the counties in which the mining units to be leased are located. The first publication shall be at least thirty (30) days before the date of sale. Like notice may be published in not to exceed two (2) additional newspapers and two (2) trade magazines as the commissioner may direct. Each notice shall contain the following information:

- a) Time and place of holding the sale.
- b) The place or places where the list of mining units to be offered

for sale will be available for purchase or inspection, and where application and bid forms may be obtained.

c) Such other information as the commissioner may direct.

The current procedure of the Executive Council at the time of the sale has been to recess for several days to allow the Department of Natural Resources to tabulate and study the bids. Upon reconvening, the Executive Council receives the report from DNR, questions the high bidders, receives comments from any interested individuals or groups and awards the leases according to their determinations. Action on awarding or rejecting a certain lease may be delayed pending requested further information or study.

Members of the press have covered each of the five lease sales and have written other articles from information received from the Department of Natural Resources and other sources, both prior to and after a sale.

The first formal involvement from the public sector on individual lease sales appeared prior to the 1971 sale of leases when the Minerals Subcommittee of the Natural Resources Advisory Council, the Nature Conservancy and Natural and Scientific Area Advisory Committee groups reviewed the proposed sale. Other environmental groups became involved after the department issued a press release concerning the sale.

Certain problem areas regarding leasing procedures were brought to the department's attention as a result of the public involvement. One criticism was the lack of public involvement prior to selection of mining units to be offered. Another cited the need for additional time between the opening of bids and the awarding of leases. Others objected to such a large area being offered on the basis that it was difficult to appraise the recreational and environmental impacts of the area involved.

- 16 -

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES\*

NR 94

### CHAPTER EIGHT:

PERMITS TO PROSPECT FOR AND LEASES TO MINE COPPER, NICKEL AND ASSOCIATED MINERALS

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### NR 94 Permits and Leases, Copper, Nickel and Associated Minerals

(a) Purpose

- (b) Definitions
- (c) Permits
- (d) Leases
- (e) Public Sale of Leases
- (f) Negotiated Leases
- (g) Form of Lease
- (h) Effective Date

NR 95-98 Reserved For Future Use

#### CHAPTER EIGHT:

### PERMITS TO PROSPECT FOR AND LEASES TO MINE COPPER, NICKEL AND ASSOCIATED MINERALS

#### NR 94 Permits and Leases, Copper, Nickel and Associated Minerals

[Note: The original numbering of this rule has been changed to conform to the style required by the State Publication Board. The original section numbers and references thereto are found in brackets [].]

(a) [Section 1.] Purpose. The purpose of these rules and regulations is to promote and regulate prospecting for, mining and removing copper, nickel, and associated minerals, and the rules and regulations hereunder shall be construed to carry out that purpose.

(b) [Section 2.] Definitions.

(1) For purposes of these rules and regulations, the following words shall have the meanings ascribed to them:

(2) "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of Natural Resources of the State of Minnesota, or his designated representative.

(3) "Ton" means 2,000 pounds avoirdupois after removal of all free moisture from the material weighed, by drying at 212 degrees Fahrenheit.

\*(The Department of Conservation was renamed Department of Natural Resources by LAWS 1969, Chapter 1129, Article 3.)

(4) "Mining unit" means the land and water area designated as such by the commissioner, wherein the state owns an interest in the minerals and mineral rights.

(c) [Section 3.] Permits. The first two years of any lease issued pursuant to these regulations shall be deemed the prospecting permit, and no permit to prospect for copper, nickel, and associated minerals shall be issued separately or independently from such lease, provided that nothing in this section shall restrict such mining operations as may be authorized by the lease.

(d) [Section 4.] Leases. The commissioner, with the approval of the state executive council, shall adopt rules and regulations for the issuance of leases to prospect for, mine and remove copper, nickel, and associated minerals on lands wherein an interest in the minerals is owned by the state, including trust fund lands, land forfeited for non-payment of taxes and held in trust by the state, the beds of public waters, and lands otherwise acquired that have been designated by the commissioner as mining units. Each such lease shall cover one mining unit. No such lease shall be issued for a term longer than fifty (50) years.

(e) [Section 5.] Public Sale of Leases.

(1) Time, place, notice. Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, or as otherwise provided in (f) [Section 6], leases to prospect for, mine and remove copper, nickel, and associated minerals owned by the state shall be issued only upon public sale authorized by the commissioner.

The public sale of leases shall be held at such times and places as may be designated by the commissioner. The commissioner shall give public notice of each sale by publication for three (3) successive weeks in a legal newspaper printed and published in the county seats of the counties in which the mining units to be leased are located. The first publication shall be at least thirty (30) days before the date of sale. Like notice may be published in not to exceed two (2) additional newspapers and two (2) trade magazines as the commissioner may direct. Each notice shall contain the following information:

(aa) Time and place of holding the sale.

(bb) The place or places where the list of mining units to be offered for sale will be available for purchase or inspection, and where application and bid forms may be obtained.

(cc) Such other information as the commissioner may direct.

(2) Mining unit books. Those interested in bidding may obtain a COPPER-NICKEL UNIT BOOK by making application to the commissioner, accompanied by a certified check, cashier's check, or bank money order, payable to the state treasurer, in the sum of twenty-five (25) dollars as a fee for such mining unit book. Unit books will be available for inspection at the Hibbing and Saint Paul offices of the Division of Lands and Minerals.

(3) Lease application and bid. Each application and bid shall be submitted on a form obtained from the commissioner and shall cover only one mining unit. as designated in the mining unit book. The royalty rate offered in the bid shall be designated by inserting a figure in the blank space in the following clause of the bid form: "The royalty rates bid herein to be

NR 94

22

paid to the state per ton of crude ore for the copper, nickel, and associated metals and mineral products recovered from the ores mined from the mining unit shall be the base rate per ton of dried crude ore, plus an additional ... per cent of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate." The application and bid, together with a certified check, cashier's check, or bank money order, payable to the state treasurer in the sum of fifty (50) dollars, shall be submitted in a bid envelope obtained from the commissioner. Each sealed bid envelope shall be enclosed in another envelope and shall be delivered in person or by mail to the commissioner at Saint Paul, Minnesota. Bids may be submitted at any time prior to the time specified for the opening of the bids, and no bids submitted after that time shall be considered. Upon receipt, the commissioner shall endorse upon each sealed bid envelope the exact time of presentation and preserve the same, unopened in his office.

At the time specified, the commissioner, together with the state executive council, shall then publicly open the bids and announce the amount of each bid separately. Leases shall be awarded by the commissioner, with the approval of the state executive council, to the highest bidder for the respective mining units, but no bids shall be accepted that do not equal or exceed the base royalty rates set forth in (g) [Section 7.] of these rules and regulations. The right is reserved to the state, through the executive council, to reject any or all bids. Upon the award of a lease, the certified check submitted with the bid shall be deposited with the state treasurer as a fee for the lease. All bids not accepted shall become void, and the checks accompanying the bids shall be returned to the respective bidders.

(f) [Section 6.] Negotiated Leases. Whenever the commissioner shall find that it is impractical to hold a public sale on any mining unit because of its location or size or the extent of the state's interest in the minerals therein, and that the best interests of the state will be served thereby, the commissioner, with the approval of the executive council, may, without holding a public sale, issue a lease to any qualified applicant to prospect for. mine and remove copper, nickel, and associated minerals. Applications shall be in such form and shall contain such information as the commissioner may prescribe. The leases so issued shall be in the form set forth in (g) [Section 7.] hereof, with such additional terms and conditions not inconsistent therewith as may be agreed upon. The rental and royalty rates agreed upon shall be not less than those prescribed in said (g) [Section 7.].

No lease shall be issued under this section for the removal of copper. nickel, and associated minerals from any mining unit for which notice of public sale has been published, until such public sale has been held. No lease shall be issued under this section until at least one public sale has been held under (e) [Section 5.].

(g) [Section 7.] Form of Lease. The form of lease for prospecting for, mining and removing copper, nickel. and associated minerals belonging to the state shall consist of the following provisions, with such insertions, changes, or additions as may be necessary to incorporate the royalty rates and other particulars applicable to each lease as may be authorized under these rules and regulations:

This indenture, made this — day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_, by and between the State of Minnesota, hereinafter called the state, and \_\_\_\_\_, hereinafter called the lessee,

WITNESSETH:

......, 19...., the following-described mining unit, hereinafter called "said mining unit", situated in the county of \_\_\_\_\_\_, in the State of Minnesota, to-wit:

(2) Definitions. For the purposes of this lease, the following words shall have the meanings ascribed to them:

(aa) "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of Natural Resources of the State of Minnesota, or his designated representative.

(bb) "Ton" means 2,000 pounds avoirdupois after removal of all free moisture from the material weighed, by drying at 212 degrees Fahrenheit.

(3) Purpose of lease. The said mining unit is leased to the lessee for the purpose of prospecting for, and the mining and removal of ores containing copper, nickel, and associated minerals found on or in said mining unit, except the iron ore and taconite ore that is a part of the Biwabik iron formation.

The lessee shall have the right to construct or make such buildings, excavations, openings, ditches, drains, railroads, roads, and other improvements thereon as may be necessary or suitable for such purposes. The lessee shall have the right to mill and concentrate the ore so mined, either upon said mining unit or elsewhere in Minnesota, but such right to mill and concentrate shall not include the right to reduce or smelt ore upon said mining unit without an agreement between the lessee and the commissioner, authorizing such use of the surface of the land and providing for the necessary protection of life and property. The lessee may contract with others for doing any work authorized or required hereunder, or for the use of said mining unit or any part thereof for the purposes hereof, but no such contract shall relieve the lessee from any duty, obligation, or liability hereunder. No such contract providing for shipping, handling, or removal of ore-bearing material shall become effective for any purpose until three executed duplicates of such contract have been filed with the commissioner.

(4) State's right to lease iron ore and taconite. The state reserves the right to lease or grant to other persons or corporations the right to explore for, mine, remove, and beneficiate iron ores, including taconite, that are a part of the Biwabik iron formation and located in said mining unit. The state agrees that any permit or lease granted by it to any person or corporation to explore for, develop, mine, or dispose of such iron ores, including taconite, shall contain a provision that the permittee or lessee thereof shall exercise such rights so as not to cause any unnecessary or unreasonable injury or hindrance to the operations of the lessee herein in the exploration for, or the development, mining, or removal of copper, nickel and minerals other than iron ores covered by such permit or lease. Lessee herein agrees that it will exercise any unnecessary or unreasonable

24

injury or hindrance to the operations of any permittee or lessee of the state in the exploration for, or the development, mining, or removal of such iron ores, including taconite.

(5) State's right to lease surface and sell timber. The state reserves the right to sell and dispose of all the timber upon said mining unit without let or hindrance from the lessee and pursuant to the law now or hereafter governing the sale of timber on state lands, and reserves to the state and to the purchaser of such timber, and their agents, the right at all times to enter thereon, and to cut and remove any such timber therefrom according to the terms of the purchaser's contract with the state, provided that such purchaser shall not unduly interfere with the prospecting or mining operations thereon. The state further reserves the right to grant leases, permits, or licenses to any portion of the surface of said mining unit to any person, partnership, corporation, or other association under the authority of Minnesota Statutes. Section 92.50, or other asplicable laws, after consultation with lessee, and provided that such leases, permits or licenses shall not unduly interfere with the prospecting or licenses shall not unduly interfere with the prospecting or licenses shall not unduly interfere with the such leases, permits or licenses shall not unduly interfere with the prospecting or mining operations conducted thereon.

(6) Annual rental. The lessee covenants and agrees to pay to the state rental for said mining unit at the rate of One Dollar (S1.00) per acre of land and water area included in said mining unit, per calendar year, payable in advance, for the unexpired portion of the current calendar year from the effective date hereof and for the next succeeding calendar year; and payable quarterly for the four (4) succeeding calendar years; and thereafter at the rate of Five Dollars (\$5.00) per acre per calendar year, payable quarterly for the five (5) succeeding calendar years; and thereafter at the rate of Twenty-five Dollars (\$25.00) per acre per calendar year, payable quarterly for the remainder of the term hereof: provided that the rate shall not exceed Five Dollars (\$5.00) per acre per calendar year for any calendar year in which the lessee is actively engaged in mining ores containing copper, nickel, and associated minerals from any copper-nickel mine located within the government township in which said mining unit is situated, or from a mine within a government township that has at least one point in common along its boundary line with the government township in which said mining unit is located, and produces within such calendar year from such mine not less than 100,000 tons of such ores; provided further that unless the lessee is actively engaged in mining ores containing copper, nickel, and associated minerals from said mining unit leased hereunder, or from any copper-nickel mine located within the government township in which said mining unit is situated, or from a mine within a government township that has at least one point in common along its boundary line with the government township in which said mining unit is located, and has produced, within one calendar year, not less than 100,000 tons of such ores by the end of the twentieth full calendar year of this lease, then the state may, at its option during the twenty-first calendar year, cancel this lease in the manner hereinafter provided.

Said mining unit may include state-owned minerals under water, in trust fund lands, in acquired lands, and in lands forfeited for taxes. Any amount paid for rental, at the time of such payment, shall be allocated to the proper fund as determined by the mineral ownership.

Any amount paid for rental accrued for any calendar year shall be credited on any royalty that may become due for ore removed hereunder during the same calendar year but no further, and only to the extent that

such rental was paid or deposited into the particular fund to which the royalty for such ore is due, and any amount paid for royalty in excess of such credit during such year shall be credited on rental, if any, subsequently accruing for such year but no further, and only to the extent that such royalty was paid or deposited into the particular fund to which such rental is due; however, any amount paid for rental in excess of five dollars (S5.00) per acre for any previous calendar year may be credited on any royalty that may become due for ore removed hereunder during the current calendar year in excess of any credits for current rental, but only to the extent that such rental was paid or deposited into the particular fund for which such royalty is due.

Rental payments shall be made on the 20th day of May, August, November and February for the previous calendar quarters. The first calendar quarter shall be the first three calendar months of the year, and so on.

Upon surrender of any part or parts of said mining unit by lessee pursuant to the provisions of this lease, the annual rental payment may be discontinued as to such part or parts for all subsequent calendar years; however, the rentals paid on the part or parts surrendered shall not be credited on any royalties due for ore removed from that part of the mining unit which remains under lease.

Where the state owns only a fractional undivided interest in the minerals in any portion of said mining unit, only that fractional part of the rentals and royalties established herein shall be paid for such portion.

If at any time during the term of this lease it is determined in a proper proceeding that the state does not own the minerals in a part of the area included in said mining unit, the commissioner shall delete from the description of said mining unit the part not owned by the state, and only if such determination is made prior to the fifth anniversary date of this lease shall the lessee be entitled to a refund, or in the case of tax forfeited minerals to receive credit on future payments due the same fund, for payments made to the state on said part prior to such determination. If the commissioner deems it necessary, additional time to make such determination may be granted.

(7) Tonnage for royalty purposes. Royalty shall be computed on the dry weight of the crude ore. The dry weight of the crude ore shall be calculated from moisture samples taken at the time the crude ore is weighed.

(8) Royalty rates. The royalty rate to be paid to the state by the lessee for the copper, nickel, and associated metals and mineral products recovered from each ton of ore mined from said mining unit shall be the base rate described hereinafter, plus an additional — per cent of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate from each ton of dried crude ore.

For ores mined by either underground or open pit methods during the unexpired portion of the calendar year in which the lease commences plus the first succeeding ten (10) calendar-year period, the base rate shall be two (2) per cent of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate from each ton of dried crude ore, plus an additional two (2) per cent of that portion of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate that exceeds seventeen (17) dollars per ton of dried crude ore.

2.5

For ores mined by underground methods during the second ten (10) calendar-year period, the base rate shall be two and one-quarter  $(2\frac{1}{4})$  per cent of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate from each ton of dried crude ore, plus an additional two and one-quarter  $(2\frac{1}{4})$  per cent of that portion of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate that exceeds seventeen (17) dollars per ton of dried crude ore; and for the third ten (10) calendar-year period, the base rate shall be two and one-half (21/2) per cent of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate from each ton of dried crude ore, plus an additional two and onehalf (21/2) per cent of that portion of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate that exceeds seventeen (17) dollars per ton of dried crude ore; and for the fourth ten (10) calendaryear period, the base rate shall be two and three-quarters (234) per cent of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate from each ton of dried crude ore, plus an additional two and three-quarters  $(2\frac{3}{4})$  per cent of that portion of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate that exceeds seventeen (17) dollars per ton of dried crude ore; and for the remaining portion of the lease term thereafter, the base rate shall be three (3) per cent of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate from each ton of dried crude ore, plus an additional three (3) per cent of that portion of the value of the metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate that exceeds seventeen (17) dollars per ton of dried crude ore.

For ores mined by open pit mining methods, after the first ten (10) calendar-year period, the base rate shall be thirty-three and one-third  $(33\frac{1}{3})$  per cent greater than those shown above for underground ore.

(9) Value of metal and mineral products. The value of metals and mineral products recovered in the mill concentrate from each ton of dried crude ore shall be determined monthly as follows: Multiply the total pounds respectively of copper, nickel, and each associated metal and mineral product recovered during the month in the mill concentrate from the mining unit, by the average market price per pound respectively for that month of each such fully refined metal and of each such mineral product. The total amount of copper and nickel recovered in any form in the mill concentrate shall be valued for royalty purposes as fully refined metal. For the purpose of this lease, associated mineral products shall mean the mineral products other than those that are principally valuable for their copper or nickel content. When less than firty (50) per cent of any associated metal or mineral product recovered in the mill concentrate is sold or otherwise gainfully disposed of, then only the quantity of such associated metal or mineral product actually sold or otherwise gainfully disposed of shall be multiplied by the market price in determining the value of such metal or mineral product for royalty purposes. Add the values thus obtained for each such metal and each such mineral product for the month, and divide the sum by the total number of tons of dried crude ore from the mining unit concentrated in the mill during the month, to obtain the value of the metals and mineral products recovered from each ton of dried crude ore.

The average market price of copper per pound for each month shall be that quoted for domestic refinery electrolytic copper in carload lots, f.o.b. Atlantic Seaboard Refineries, as reported in the "Metals and Minerals Markets" section of the Engineering and Mining Journal. The average market

price of nickel per pound for each month shall be that quoted for nickel cathodes, in carload lots, f.o.b. Port Colborne, Ontario, Canada, United States import duty (if any) included, as reported in said Journal. The average market price of other metals and of mineral products per pound for each month shall be that quoted for their usual and customary shipping quantities, f.o.b. the usual and customary place of shipment, United States import duty (if any) included, as reported in said Journal. If said Journal or its successors ceases to furnish such quotations, or its quotations cease to be recognized in the trade, or a particular metal or mineral product is not listed, then the quotations of such other source as the parties may agree upon shall govern.

(10) Commingled ores. The lessee shall have the right to commingle ore from said mining unit with other ore, either in the mine, in stockpile, or in the mill, provided, however, that the ores shall be kept entirely separate and distinct until their quantities and metal and mineral contents have been separately measured and determined.

(11) Quarterly payment on ore removed. The lessee covenants and agrees to pay to the state, on or before the 20th day of May, August, November, and February in each year during the period this lease continues in force, royalty at the rates hereinbefore specified for all of the ore removed from said mining unit and milled during the previous calendar quarter.

The lessee shall be liable for payment of royalty when due on all ore removed from said mining unit for concentration elsewhere or for any other purpose, from the actual time of such removal; and if any of such ore is not concentrated, or if the royalty due thereon is not determined and accounted for as herein provided by the next royalty payment date, the commissioner may determine such royalty by such method as he deems appropriate and consistent with the royalty rates set forth in this lease. Any amount paid for royalty shall be allocated to the proper fund as determined by the mineral ownership.

(12) Lessee to transmit statement of ore removed and royalty due. The lessee shall transmit to the commissioner with each royalty payment an exact and truthful statement of the tonnage and royalty value of the ore mined and removed from said mining unit and milled during each of the three months for which such payment is made, and the amount of royalty due thereon, separated as to the various state fund ownerships. The lessee shall provide for all the operations required for such determinations except as otherwise specified.

(13) Weighing. The method or methods of obtaining the weights used to determine tonnage for the calculation of royalty, or to determine other weights required by the state, shall be subject to the approval of the commissioner.

(14) Sampling. Samples for royalty purposes shall be taken of the ores and mill products at places and intervals subject to the approval of the commissioner. A portion of each such sample or composite sample shall be delivered to the commissioner unless, by mutual agreement, it has been decided that certain of such portions are not needed by the state. Except as otherwise permitted by the commissioner, all ore mined from this mining unit shall be sampled and its weight determined before being commingled with any other ores.

Each royalty sample shall be analyzed at the expense of the lessee by competent chemists or assayers approved in writing by the commissioner. The elements in the royalty sample for which analytical determinations will be made, shall be subject to agreement between the commissioner and the lessee.

(15) Monthly reports. Except as otherwise permitted by the commissioner, the lessee shall transmit within thirty (30) days after the end of each calendar month, statements for said calendar month in such form as the commissioner may require, covering the tonnages and analyses of the following: All material mined from said mining unit, all material milled from said mining unit, all material stockpiled from said mining unit, all concentrates produced from said mining unit, all material milled material concentrated, all commingled material stockpiled, all commingled material concentrates produced during the said calendar month, and such other information as may reasonably be required by the commissioner for the purpose of verifying the amount of royalty due.

The weight of ore as set forth in said monthly statements shall prima facie be binding as between the parties, but the state shall have the right to sample the ore, check the analyses, and inspect, review and test the correctness of the methods, books, records and accounts of the lessee in sampling, analyzing, recording, and reporting such weights, and to inspect, review, and test the correctness of the weights and scales and other equipment used in measuring the amount of ore, it being understood that any errors in these reports, when ascertained, shall be corrected.

(16) Additional monthly and annual reports to be furnished by lessee; exploration; mine samples required. Except as otherwise permitted by the commissioner, in addition to other reports or statements required herein, the lessee shall furnish the following:

(aa) Copies of all exploration data, laboratory test data, geophysical survey data, and periodic mine maps, analyses maps, cross-sections, and development plans customarily prepared for permanent record of the operations on said mining unit. Material furnished to the commissioner under this sub-paragraph (aa) and sub-paragraph (bb) below shall be considered confidential during the life of this lease or any extension thereof.

(bb) At least a quarter-portion of all exploration samples, and when requested by the commissioner in writing, a quarter-portion of mine or mill samples. In the event that the lessee requires certain exploration samples in their entirety, the commissioner or his representative may waive the requirement for a quarter-portion of such exploration samples, provided that the lessee grants the state an opportunity to examine and classify such samples before they are crushed or processed.

(cc) A monthly report showing the estimated weights and analyses of all materials stockpiled, including lean ore, waste and tailings, and divided as to property of origin and deposition.

(dd) Copies of smelter statements or receipts from sales involving materials produced from this mining unit.

(ee) Not later than March 1st of each year during said term, a summary statement of the tonnage of all ore mined and all ore milled from the premises and all ore materials placed in or removed from stockpile

3

during the previous calendar year, divided as to the property of origin and the disposition of such ore materials and showing such analyses of the same as the commissioner may require.

(17) How remittances and reports are to be transmitted. All remittances by the lessee hereunder shall be made payable to the state treasurer, and all such remittances and all reports, notices and documents required hereunder shall be transmitted to the commissioner through the director of the division of waters, soils and minerals at Saint Paul, Minnesota.

(18) State inspection; inspectors at plants and mines. The commissioner may at all reasonable times enter said mining unit and any other premises used or operated by the lessee in connection with the operation of said mining unit, inspect the operations conducted hereunder, and conduct such engineering and sampling procedures and other investigations as the commissioner may require, not unreasonably hindering or interrupting the operations of the lessee.

The lessee shall provide. upon written request of the commissioner, a suitable room in the dry or wash house or in some other suitable place on said mining unit or elsewhere when necessary, with water, light and heat, all without cost to the state. for the use of state inspectors. Such room shall be at least equal in size and equipment to that customarily furnished for the use of the mine engineer or captain at comparable operations.

Whenever royalties or rentals due the state are required to be distributed to more than one fund, or when ore from said mining unit is commingled with other ore, or when ore from said mining unit is concentrated at the same plant as other ore, the commissioner may appoint such special inspectors as he deems necessary to insure proper accounting and protect the interests of the state, and the lessee shall reimburse the state monthly for the cost of all such inspection service upon notification by the commissioner.

(19) Removal of ore for experimental purposes. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (11) herein, upon written application of the lessee, the commissioner may authorize the removal of ore from said mining unit for experimental purposes without payment of royalty; and it is further understood that the removal of samples obtained by drilling, trenching, or testpitting, for the purposes of exploration, shall not be subject to the payment of royalty.

(20) Stockpiled materials. All materials mined from said mining unit and not shipped to the concentrating mill, and all mill rejects derived from crude ore from said mining unit, shall remain the property of the state and shall be stockpiled only in such manner and on such sites as may be authorized by the commissioner in writing; provided, however, that when the commissioner agrees that substantially all minerals of value have been extracted from the mill tailings, such material may be used for stope filling on said mining unit or elsewhere, and the tailings material so used shall be deemed to be abandoned, and title to such material shall revert to the mineral owners of the property in which it is deposited.

(21) Reversion of title on land conveyed to the state for stockpiling purposes. When the commissioner determines that it is necessary and that the interests of the state will be fully protected thereby, the lessee may convey land to the state upon the condition that it shall be used for the storage of ore or other materials having present or potential value belonging

#### NR 94

30

to the state, and that the state's interest in the land shall terminate and title shall revert to the lessee when the land is no longer needed or used for that purpose. No consideration shall be paid for such conveyance unless authorized by law.

(22) Cross-mining rights. The lessee is hereby granted the right to mine and remove any ores from said mining unit through any shafts, openings, or pits that may be made upon adjoining and nearby premises controlled by the lessee; and the lessee may, if it so desires, use said mining unit and any shafts, openings, pits, made thereon for the mining or removal of any ores from any such adjoining or nearby premises, not, however, preventing or interfering with the mining or removal of ore from said mining unit; provided, however, that the ores taken from said mining unit shall at all times be kept entirely separate and distinct from any other ores until measured and sampled as herein provided so that the rights of the lessor shall be at all times preserved and protected; and the lessor agrees hereby to and does hereby recognize the rights and liens of the owners of any nearby or adjoining premises in any ores mined therefrom and transported through said mining unit.

(23) Lessee's obligations under state and federal laws and regulations. The provisions of this lease are subject to all applicable state and federal statutes, orders, rules and regulations, and all operations under this lease shall be conducted in conformity therewith. No interference, diversion, use or appropriation of any waters over which the commissioner or any other state agency has jurisdiction, shall be undertaken unless authorized in writing by the commissioner or the said state agency.

(24) Operations to be conducted in accordance with good mining and metallurgical engineering. The lessee shall advise the commissioner when exploration drilling, trenching, or testpitting on said mining unit is about to begin. The lessee shall open, use, and work the mine or mines on said mining unit and conduct metallurgical operations in such manner only as is usual and customary in skillful and proper copper-nickel mining and milling operations in accordance with the requirements, methods, and practices of good mining and metallurgical engineering, and in such manner as not to cause any unnecessary loss of minerals, or unusual permanent injury to said mining unit. Surface lands owned by the state in said mining unit are not to be cleared or used for construction or stockpiling purposes unless and until the plan for such use has been approved by the commissioner. The surface use of said mining unit shall be conducted in such manner as to prevent or reduce scarring and erosion of the land and pollution of air and water.

(25) Lessee's obligation for damages. It is understood and agreed that in case any interest in the land or minerals covered by this lease is owned by anyone other than the state, this lease shall not be construed as authorizing any invasion of or trespass upon such other interest. The lessee is obligated to save the state harmless from all damages or losses caused directly or indirectly by operations under this lease, whether to land, timber, minerals, growing crops, or buildings, or to any person or other property, including damages suffered by such other owner of the surface or mineral rights, and the state shall not be liable therefor.

(26) Lessee to pay all taxes. The lessee covenants and agrees to pay when due all taxes, general and specific, personal and real that may be assessed against said mining unit and the improvements made thereon, and

the ore materials therein or mined therefrom, and any personal property thereon owned, used or controlled by the lessee. This covenant shall not apply to taxes assessed against any part of said mining unit as a result of any other lease granted by the state to other parties. The cancellation, termination, or expiration of this lease shall not relieve the lessee of the obligation to pay taxes assessed during the continuance of the lease, even though such taxes may be due or payable after such cancellation, termination, or expiration date.

(27) State lien for unpaid sums due. The state reserves and shall at all times have a lien upon all ore mined from said mining unit, all ore concentrated therefrom, smelter returns due the lessee therefor, and all improvements made hereunder for any sums not paid when due.

(28) Lessee's right to terminate lease. The lessee may at any time deliver to the commissioner written notice of intention to terminate this lease, and this lease shall terminate sixty (60) days after such delivery unless such notice is revoked by the lessee by further written notice delivered to the commissioner before the expiration of said sixty (60) days. On December thirty-first (31st) following the tenth anniversary date of this lease, and on any succeeding December thirty-first (31st), the lessee may surrender its rights and privileges herein granted on any governmental descriptions or on beds of public waters included in said mining unit, by giving the lessor written notice of its intention so to do at least sixty (60) days before the date of such surrender. All sums due to the state under this lease up to the effective date of such termination shall be paid by the lessee.

(29) Lessor's right to cancel lease upon default. This lease is granted upon the express condition that, if any sum owing hereunder by the lessee for rental, royalty, or otherwise shall remain unpaid after the time when the same became due as herein provided, or if the lessee or any agent or servant thereof shall knowingly or willfully make any false statement in any report, account, or tabulation submitted to the state or to the commissioner, or any of his agents pertaining to any matter hereunder, or if the lessee shall fail to perform any of the covenants or conditions herein expressed to be performed by said lessee, the commissioner may cancel this lease by mailing or delivering to the lessee sixty (60) days' notice thereof in writing, specifying such nonpayment or other default as the case may be, and this lease shall terminate at the expiration of said sixty (60) days, and the lessee and all persons claiming under the lessee shall be wholly excluded from said mining unit except as hereinafter provided. Such termination shall not relieve the lessee from any liability for payment or other liability incurred hereunder. If the default consists of a nonperformance of an act required hereunder other than payment of royalty or rental, the lessee may perform within said period of sixty (60) days and the lease shall continue in full force and effect, and if the correction of any such default requires more time than sixty (60) days after the notice has been received by the lessee, the commissioner, upon written request of the lessee and for good cause shown, may, at his discretion, grant an extension of such period of sixty (60) days. If the default consists of a nonpayment of royalty or rental and the lessee performs within fifteen (15) days from the mailing or delivery of notice of cancellation, the lease shall continue in full force and effect; and if the lessee performs at any time thereafter within said period of sixty (60) days, the commissioner, at his discretion, may continue the lease in full force and effect.

(30) Rights of lessor and lessee during 180-day period following

32

termination. Upon termination of this lease, whether by expiration of the term hereof or by act of either party, the lessee shall have one hundred eighty (180) days thereafter in which to remove all equipment, materials, railroad tracks, structures and other property placed or erected by the lessee upon said mining unit, and any such property not removed within said time shall become the property of the state. The lessee shall not remove or impair any supports placed in any mine or mines on said mining unit, or any timber or framework necessary to the use or maintenance of shafts or other approaches to such mine or mines or tramways within said mining unit, all of which shall become the property of the state. During said period of one hundred eighty (180) days, the lessee shall, at its own expense, properly and adequately fence all pits, level banks, and refill all test pits and cave-ins that may be deemed dangerous or are likely to cause damage to persons or property, and the lessee shall do all other work which the commissioner deems necessary to leave the premises in a safe and orderly condition to protect against injury or damage to persons or property, and shall restore the premises as nearly as the commissioner deems practicable to the natural conditions of the surrounding area. Subject to the foregoing, upon the termination of this lease, whether by expiration of the term hereof or otherwise, the lessee shall quietly and peaceably surrender possssion of said mining unit to the state. During said period of one hundred eighty (180) days, the lessee shall not be relieved of any obligation or liability resulting from the occupancy of said mining unit unless the lessee has wholly vacated said mining unit prior to the expiration of said period and has notified the commissioner thereof in writing.

(31) Mining of minerals other than copper, nickel, and associated minerals. If any minerals not covered by this lease are found on or in said mining unit, the terms and conditions upon which such minerals may be mined or products recovered therefrom shall be as may be agreed upon by the lessee and the commissioner and approved by the state executive council. This provision does not apply to iron ore and taconite ore that are a part of the Biwabik iron formation.

(32) Agreements, assignments, or contracts. All assignments, agreements, or contracts affecting this lease shall be made in writing and signed by all parties thereto, witnessed by two witnesses, properly acknowledged and shall contain the post office addresses of all parties thereto, and when so executed shall be presented in quadruplicate to the commissioner for record. No such instrument shall be valid until approved in writing by the commissioner and approved as to form and execution by the attorney general. No assignment or other agreement shall relieve the lessee of any obligation or liability imposed by this lease, and all assignees, sublessees, and sub-contractors shall also be liable for all obligations or liabilities imposed by this lease.

(33) Lease binding on assignces and successors. The covenants, terms, and conditions of this lease shall run with the land and shall extend to and bind all assignces and other successors in interest of the lessee.

(34) Notices. For the purposes of this lease, the addresses of the parties shall be as follows, unless changed by written notice to all parties: For the state—Commissioner of Natural Resources, State of Minnesota, Centennial Office Building, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101; for the lessee—

R

(h) [Section 8.] Effective Date. These rules and regulations shall become effective upon filing of same in the offices of the secretary of state and commissioner of administration in accordance with Minnesota Statutes 1965, Section 15.0413, and shall remain in full force and effect until modified, amended, or revoked.

(Rule NR 94 was adopted by the Commissioner of Natural Resources and approved by the State Executive Council on November 8, 1966, under authority of Minnesota Statutes 1965, Sections 93.08 to 93.12, inclusive, and Section 93.25. Rule NR 94 was filed in the office of the Secretary of State on November 18, 1966, and in the office of the Commissioner of Administration on November 18, 1966.)

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES RULES

### CHAPTER EIGHT:

### PERMITS TO PROSPECT FOR AND LEASES TO MINE COPPER, NICKEL AND ASSOCIATED MINERALS

### INDEX

#### NR

Application, leases	
Assignees and successors, lease	
binding upon	
Assignments	
3ids	
Biwabik iron formation94(g) $(3)$ ,94(g) $(4)$	
Cancellation lessor's right	
Commingled ores	
Construction buildings, roads.	
railroads etc	
Contracting work out under lease.	
limitation	
Cross-mining rights	
Damages Jessee's liability for94(g) (25)	
Definitions	
Duration of Term	
leases	
permits	
Effective date	
Form of lease	
Inspection, state's right	
Iron ore, state's right to lease94(g) (4)	
ssuance of permits	
Lands subject to leasing	
Laws and regulations, observance	
by lessee	
Leases	
application94(e)(3)	
assignees aand successors, lease	
binding upon	
assignments $94(g)(32)$	

bids
Biwabik iron
formation
cancellation, lessor's right94(g)(29)
commingled ores94(g) (10)
construction, buildings, roads,
railroads, etc
contracting work out under lease,
limitation
cross mining rights
damages, lessee's liability for94(g) (25)
duration of term, limitation
experimental mining94(g)(19)
executive council approval,
rejection
form of94(g)
iron ore, state's right to lease 94(g) (4)
lands subject to
laws and regulations; observance
by lessee
lien, reserved to state
milling and concentrating of ore,
lessee's right
mining, materials other than nickel
or copper94(g)(31)
mining unit
negotiated leases94(f)
notices
operations, how to be
conducted
payment of royalties
remittances

NR

34

removal of ore for experimental purposes
retunds $(0)$
rentals
reports
monthly
others required
tonnage removed, royalty
value
reversion of title to lessee,
stockpile sites
royalties
sales
samples
94(g)(16)
smelting and reducing of ore on
site, restrictions
statements(See Reports)
stockpiled
materials
surface, state's right to lease94(g) (5)
termination
test mining
taconite, state's right to lease
taxes, payment of
term
termination, lessor's right94(g) (28)
termination, rights of lessor and
lessee following
timber, state's right to lease94(g) (5)
value of metals and mineral
products how determined $$
weighing $94(\sigma)(7) 94(\sigma)(13)$
Milling and concentrations of ore
$\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}$
100000 0 1151110

Operations, how to be conducted ......94(g) (24) Permits issuance separate from lease prohibited ......94(c) 
 pronoticed
 94(c)

 duration
 94(c)

 Payment of royalties
 94(g) (11)

 Remittances
 94(g) (17)

 Refunds
 94(g) (6)

 Removal of ore for experimental
 94(g) (19)

 Panetale
 94(g) (19)
 stockpile sites ......94(g)(21) Solution Sites (g) = (Termination lessor's right ......94(g) (28) rights of lessor and lessee 

NR 94 NR

3 .. )

# APPENDIX II.

SUMMARY

# SALES OF COPPER-NICKEL LEASES

Acreage <u>Offered</u>	Sale Date	Leases <u>Awarded</u>	Lossees	Gross <u>Acreage</u>	Leases and Acreage by County						5-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1									
1					. 0	look	Ī	Lake	<u>st</u> .	Louis	<u>I</u> t	asca	Коос	hiching	Lako	of Woods	<u>.</u> . <u>R</u>	oseau	Bo	ltrami
132,550	12/20/66	267	13	87,635	29	6,115	37	8,955	201	72,565										тана страна 1944 Х
1,21,000	8/15/68	130	2	58,235	-					м. 	•		117	50,455	1.3	7,780				
327,000	12/11/68	238	6	88,082					169	59,680	54	21,470	15	6,932						
230,916@	6/30/70	199	7	92,510	•				18	8,415	82	37,983	14	7,658	85	38,454				
008	9/30/71	3	1.	800								. · .			1	1.60	2	640		
<u></u>	912/14/71	71	5	35,61,7	<del>1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1</del> 996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996		<del></del>		10	2,720		والإركار الرواني ويزر مركزه والمتحوين	<u></u>	2,431		3,186			<u>50</u>	27,310
1,906,665	5 Sales	908	1.5	362,909	29	6,115	37	8,955	398	143,380	136	59,453	1.50	67,476	106	49,580	2	6140	50	27,310
		inte.																	2	
		•	1				LE/	SES IN	EFFECT	лплу 1,	1972	-								
- 1 				•							Long	as and A	oresae	by Cour	nt.vr					

	Formition	No. of Leases	Lessees	Gross <u>Acronge</u>	Co	ok	La	ko	St	. Louis	Itasca	Koochiching	Lake of Woods Roseau	Boltrami
	Gabbro	39	. 5	10,181	0	0	7	2,151	32	8,030		·		. '
	Greenstone	134	6	65,721	<b>-</b>		·***		19	9,795	32 15,51,4	4 2,430	29 10,642 0 0	50 27,310
1	Totals	173	10*	75,902	ο	0	7.5	2,151	51	17,825	32 15,544	4 2,430	29 10,642 0 0	50 27,310

\* Some of the lessees hold both Gabbro and Greenstone leases.

× •

## APPENDIX III

TOTAL ACCUMULATED COPPER-NICKEL ROYALTY (July 1, 1972)

Fiscal Year	Consolidated Conservation	Acquired Forestry	Tax Forfeited	School Trust Fund	University Trust Fund	Total Royalties Received
1967	\$	\$2,778.36	\$ 48,899.31	\$33,156.17	\$303.26	\$ 85,137.10
1968		714.77	14,657.35	12,154.16	85.80	27,612.08
1969	65,876.45	4,968.65	104,435.22	60,248.89	285.25	235,814.46
1970	10,935.07	2,418.53	48,959.20	34,545.62	186.15	97,044.57
1971	86,788.87	2,072.91	106,510.19	74,085.93	184.22	269,642.12
1972	33,327.49	650.51	40,262.72	34,644.90	60.00	108,945.62
Total	\$196,927.88	\$13,603.73	\$363,723.99	\$248,835.67	\$1,104.68	\$824,195.95

### DISTRIBUTION OF COPPER-NICKEL ROYALTY\*

	Consolidated Conservation	Acquired Forestry	Tax Forfeited	School Trust Fund	University Trust Fund	Royalties Received
C. C. A. F.	\$ 98,463.94					\$ 98,463.94
County	98,463.94	\$ 6,801.87	\$290,979.19			396,245.00
General Revenu	10 <sup>-</sup>	6,801.86	72,744.80			79,546.66
Trust Funds				248,835.67	1,104.68	249,940.35
Total						\$824,195.95
## ACCUMULATED COPPER-NICKEL ROYALTY

#### CONSOLIDATED CONSERVATION LAND

(July 1, 1972)

Fiscal Year	Beltrami	Koochiching	Lake of the Woods	Roseau	Total
1969	\$	\$55,268.79	\$10,607.66	\$	\$ 65,876.45
1970		9,572.03	1,363.04		10,935.07
1971		23,708.92	63,079.95		86,788.87
1972	16,361.93	30,000.04	13,165.52	800.00	33,327.49
Total	\$16,361.93	\$91,549.78	\$88,216.17	\$800.00	\$196,927.88

DISTRUBUTION OF CONSOLIDATED CONSERVATION LAND ROYALTIES\*

	Beltrami	Koochiching	Lake of the Woods	Roseau	Total
C.C.A.F.	\$ 8,180.9	6 \$45,774.89	\$44,108.08	\$400.00	\$ 98,463.94
County	8,180.9	7 45,774.89	44,108.09	400.00	98,463.94

\*50% to the Consolidated Conservation Area Fund 50% to the Counties

## ACCUMULATED COPPER-NICKEL ROYALTY

ACQUIRED FORESTRY LAND (July 1, 1972)

1967 \$ \$ \$2,778.36 \$2,778.36   1968 714.77 714.77   1969 37.70 3,080.95 1,850.00 4,968.65   1970 15.13 514.95 1,888.45 2,418.55   1971 249.61 1,745.30 78.00 2,072.91   1972 81.45 569.06 650.51   Total \$383.89 \$5,910.26 \$7,309.58 \$13,603.73	Year	Itasca	Koochiching	St. Louis	Total
1968 $\cdot$ $714.77$ $714.77$ 1969 $37.70$ $3,080.95$ $1,850.00$ $4,968.65$ 1970 $15.13$ $514.95$ $1,888.45$ $2,418.55$ 1971 $249.61$ $1,745.30$ $78.00$ $2,072.91$ 1972 $81.45$ $569.06$ 650.51Total\$383.89\$5,910.26\$7,309.58	1967	\$	\$	\$2,778.36	\$ 2,778.36
196937.703,080.951,850.004,968.65197015.13514.951,888.452,418.551971249.611,745.3078.002,072.95197281.45569.06650.55Total\$383.89\$5,910.26\$7,309.58	1.968		•	714.77	714.77
197015.13514.951,888.452,418.521971249.611,745.3078.002,072.91197281.45569.06650.51Total\$383.89\$5,910.26\$7,309.58	1969	37.70	3,080.95	1,850.00	4,968.65
1971249.611,745.3078.002,072.91197281.45569.06650.51Total\$383.89\$5,910.26\$7,309.58\$13,603.72	1970	15.13	514.95	1,888.45	2,418.53
197281.45569.06650.51Total\$383.89\$5,910.26\$7,309.58\$13,603.75	1971	249.61	1,745.30	78.00	2,072.91
Total \$383.89 \$5,910.26 \$7,309.58 \$13,603.73	1972	81.45	569.06		650.51
	Total	\$383.89	\$5,910.26	\$7,309.58	\$13,603.73

DISTRIBUTION OF ACQUIRED FORESTRY LAND ROYALTY\*

*	Itasca	Koochiching	St. Louis	Total
County	\$191.95	\$2,955.13	\$3,654.79	\$ 6,801.87
Revenue	191.94	2,955.13	3,654.79	6,801.86

\*50% to the County

50% to General Revenue

## ACCUMULATED COPPER-NICKEL ROYALTY

## TAX FORFEITED LAND

## (July 1, 1972)

Year	Beltrami	Cook	Itasca	Koochiching	Lake	St. Louis	Total
1967	\$	\$ 378.62	\$	\$	\$ 974.5 <b>3</b>	\$ 47,546.16	\$ 48,899.31
1968		94.11			484.52	14,078.72	14,657.35
1969		376.58	13,798.24	11,168.42	898.02	78,193.96	104,435.22
1970		329.50	3,318.44	2,078.45	464.48	42,768.33	48,959.20
1971			35,107.52	11,130.23	726.88	59,545.56	106,510.19
1972	11,172.18		7,437.63	1,719.07	1,135.75	18,798.09	40,262.72
Total	\$11,172.18	\$1,178.81	\$59,661.83	\$26,096.17	\$4,684.18	\$260,930.82	\$363,723.99

## DISTRIBUTION OF TAX FORFEITED LAND ROYALTY\*

	Beltrami	Cock	Itasca	Koochiching	Lake	St. Louis	Total
County	\$ 8,937.74	\$ 943.05	\$47,729.46	\$20,876.94	\$3,747.34	\$208,744.66	\$290,979.19
General Revenue	2,234.44	235.76	11,932.37	5,219.23	936.84	52,186.16	72,744.80

\*80% to the Counties (3/9 - Counties; 2/9 - Town Village or City; 4/9 - School District) 20% to General Revenue

-

## Mine Health and Safety

Historically, mining has been one of man's most hazardous occupations. While explosions, fire and cave-ins have been most spectacular, it is the day-to-day accidents which have taken a greater toll in life and limb. Stories of silicosis, lead poisoning and mercury poisoning among miners make dramatic reading and point to the incidious nature of occupational disease. The cost in money, lives and human well-being created by occupational disease among miners may well exceed that of accidents. Black lung among soft coal miners currently illustrates the prospective cost of disability and compensation when control of a health hazard is neglected or ignored.

In Minnesota, granite is processed for monuments and building stone, quartzite is quarried for grinding pebbles and tube mill linings, clay is used for brick and tile, pure silica sand is used for glass production and other purposes, sand and gravel are quarried extensively for construction work and the extensive peat resources wait for a market. However, mining in Minnesota generally has meant iron mining. Geological and technological advances have aided man in providing the State's iron mining industry in developing an enviable health and safety program for mines. Geological techniques have provided iron ore bodies of direct-shipping -grade with a low silica content, limiting the ravages of silicosis associated with many other metal mining operations. Technological advances exemplified by the diesel-powered truck, made it feasible to convert from underground mining to the much less hazardous openpit mining. The mining and processing of taconite, with its high free silica content, pose a potentially serious silicosis hazard, but this hazard should be circumscribed by the engineering and medical control programs adopted by the industry.

Potential Problems of a Base Metal Mining Industry

It is highly probably that the mining of copper-nickel ores in Minnesota will be underground operations. The following table of work injury rates for 1967 indicates the greater frequency and severity of injuries where underground work is dominant (i.e., coal, gold-silver, lead-zinc, and uranium). The iron mining data reflect the Minnesota experience. Table 1

Selected York Injury Rates, 1967

Industry	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Injury Frequency <u>Rates*</u>	Injury Severity Rates**
Coal mining and preparation		41.2	7,047
Netal mining and milling		22.8	4,041
Copper	• •	17.9	4,123
Gold-Silver		36.3	8,881
Iron		13.7	2,089
Lead-Zinc	•	49.3	7,052
Uranium		35.1	4,625
Miscellaneous metals		17.2	3,257
Nonmetals mining and milling	•	22.2	2,361
Primary metals industries		15.1	1,037
Blast furnaces, steel works, basi	c steel products	5.4	821
Non-ferrous primary smelting and	refining	9.2	1,057
Prinary smelting and refinin	g - copper	13.5	1,219
Primary smelting and refinin	g - zinc	16.0	2,493
Primary production - aluminu	n	4.6	462
Manufacturing - All		14.0	709

\*Frequency rate = injuries per million manhours worked \*\*Severity rate = days lost per million manhours worked The 1970 Annual Report of the Secretary of Interior on the Administration of the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act reveals that the nonfatal injury-frequency rates for underground mines has been twice as high as that for surface mines (coal mines excluded) for the past 20 years and that rate for fatal injuries has been more than twice as great in the underground mines. Underground mines have many of the safety hazards encountered in manufacturing or construction but, in addition, have special problems with falls of material from roofs or walls, narrow haulageways, special machinery, gas hazards, use of explosives and electrical hazards. Recently, mandatory regulations have been adopted by the U. S. Bureau of Mines under authority granted by the Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act of 1966.

Underground mining poses some natural health hazards. The elevated temperatures in mines in some parts of the world are not expected in Minnesota mines. The mines of Michigan seldom exceed 80°F. In the wintertime it is only necessary to raise the temperature of the incoming air to prevent freezing of water lines. Generally the ventilation air soon reaches the temperature of the surrounding rock. Rock temperatures tend to increase 7 or 8°F for every 1,000 feet of increased depth. Humidity can be a problem in the summer months when the outside temperatures and humidity are high. In some cases fogging will occur, cooling of men by evaporation of sweat is minimized, and mold growth can develop. Low oxygen content in mine air is an ever-present potential hazard and requires constant vigilance especially in older, inactive parts of a mine. Methane is probably the most common gas naturally present in mines. It is not toxic up to the level where it will explode, but it is extremely dangerous as an explosive gas. It is known to occur in hard rock mines (not as often as in coal mines) from natural rock strata and from old timbers or other organic debris. Hydrogen sulfide gas is flammable and highly toxic. Its presence should be suspected in the Minnesota operations since the metals to be mined are likely to be in the form of sulfides. Radioactivity is not expected in Minnesota mines but its absence should be confirmed.

Health hazards from work processes and procedures arise from the use of explosives (toxic gases), operation of internalcombustion engines(primarily diesel engines), production of dust, the dispersion of oil vapors and mists, application of foaming materials for sealing surfaces, and the creation of noise. Mining, like any other industry, constantly applies new technology to increase production, and with these changes come changes in hazards to the workers, sometimes lessening them and sometimes increasing them.

Control of health hazards depends upon the recognition that a potential hazard exists. Attention must be given to the use of the least hazardous material or method possible, protective clothing and respiratory protective devices. In underground mines major reliance must be placed on ventilation for control of airborne contaminants, both general and local ventilation, and on the use of water to control dust. Then, the key to successful control is monitoring of the work area at suitable intervals to assume that safe conditions exist or to initiate remedial action.

Health and safety hazards extend to surface operations, transportation, beneficiation or processing plants and to the satellite industries that support the basic mining operations.

#### Existing Authority to Regulate, Influence and/or Guide Action

The State Mine Inspectors' Act (MS 180) authorizes the appointment of, and defines the duties and standards of, county mine inspectors. The Department of Labor and Industry has broad responsibility for health and safety in all places of employment. The Department of Health has broad responsibility for the health of all people in the State with some specific responsibility for the investigation and control of occupational disease. The Bureau of Mines of the U. S. Department of Interior had investigative and advisory responsibilities for mining and mineral industries prior to 1966, but with the passage of the Metals and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act of 1966 has both advisory and mandatory regulatory authority. The Act encourages states to coordinate their mine health and safety efforts with those of the Federal government and provides for financial assistance to state programs. The passage of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 gives to the U. S. Department of Labor broad powers over the hazards of the workplace, but its jurisdictional responsibilities regarding mining and the mineral industries are not sharply defined. There are indications that the two Federal programs will at least be well coordinated if not integrated and the regulations adopted by either agency will be compatible with the other. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 also gives the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare responsibility for the investigation of health hazards in the workplace and for the establishment of criteria for occupational health standards. Criteria and standards contained therein could be made applicable in part to the mining industry. This latter act also encourages states to develop effective occupational safety and health programs and provides for financial assistance to state programs which cover identical issues included within the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act.

The safety hazards of mining in northern Minnesota and in the Lake Superior district have been reduced substantially over the past 25 years as measured by injury frequency and severity statistics. A goodly part of this reduction must be attributed to safety departments of the mining companies which, in some cases, are backed up by more sophisticated safety and health organizations at their corporate headquarters. A part of the apparent improvement also reflects the changing nature of mining and processing operations and perhaps to such factors as the generally improved educational level of the miners and workers and the greater attention given by organized labor to health and safety matters. A new base metal mining and processing industry is likely, however, to reverse the trend of injury statistics especially during the mine development and early operational periods.

### Possible Inadequacies to Deal with Mine Health and Safety

While an injury frequency rate of 13.7 may be the envy of other mining industries, it still means that one man in 30 can be expected to lose time for injury each year. If current statistics regarding underground mining remain somewhat static, then one can expect one man in 10 to be injured on the job each year. This health and safety level would be considered unacceptable in Minnesota and obviously Congress has judged that injury rates such as these are unacceptable as indicated by the passage of the Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act and the Occupational Safety and Health Act.

A few states have excellent occupational safety and occupational health programs (relatively speaking). A few states do not have any programs for occupational safety or health. Most states, including Minnesota, have marginal programs. The Federal government also has had a marginal program regarding the hazards of the workplace.

While programs like Minnesota's may well have been a partial reason for congressional action, Minnesota does have the nucleus for an effective program. During the past year an Occupational Safety and Health Project within the State Planning Agency has developed an Occupational Safety and Health Plan for the State of Minnesota that should, in time, meet the criteria of effectiveness proposed by the U. S. Department of Labor and should qualify for Federal funding on a one-for-one basis. Under the plan primary responsibility for administration and enforcement of the State program will be vested in the Department of Labor and Industry. The Department of Health "shall provide such services and information as are reasonably appropriate to effectuate the provision and policies of this program" and will continue its concern with occupational disease hazards. The proposed program with its ties to the U. S. Department of Labor excludes those employees covered by the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act for reasons of Federal jurisdiction. This exclusion includes the actual mining and quarrying operations in the State and also certain initial processing steps. It also excludes railroad workers and longshoring operations.

Anticipating a prospective base metal mining complex in Minnesota, the State should be prepared to assume its jursidictional responsibility for the occupational safety and health of all workers in the State and specifically should investigate the conditions for becoming an agreement state under provisions contained in the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act. Since the proposed Minnesota Occupational Safety and Health Plan is a developmental plan, responsibility for mine safety could and should be added as a developmental step. The timing and requirements of such addition should be dependent upon the findings and recommendations of a study project similar to the Occupational Safety and Health Project which operated within the State Planning Agency.



# SEVERED MINERALS

At Present the Chapter is incomplete. It will, however, be handed out and discussed at the WEDNESDAY MEETING!!