This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp



2014 Health Equity of Care Report

Stratification of Health Care Performance Results in Minnesota by Race,

Hispanic Ethnicity, Preferred Language and Country of Origin



To download the report and find more information, visit: mncm.org

Searchable results are available at our consumer-friendly website: MNHealthScores.org



January 2015

Minnesota is one of the nation's healthiest states; however, below the surface we are also home to some of the largest inequities in health status and incidence of chronic disease between populations. Those inequities are unacceptable for our community, and pose a threat to the economic and social fabric of our state.

Health inequities have historically been a complex and difficult thing to measure due to the relative lack and inconsistent collection of data. This inaugural *Health Equity of Care Report: Stratification of Health Care Performance Results in Minnesota by Race, Hispanic Ethnicity, Preferred Language and Country of Origin* provides, for the first time, clear benchmarks for our community to understand where inequity exists and its scope. This information is critical to effectively targeting efforts to reduce and eliminate it.

With this report, we are identifying, measuring and illuminating areas for improvement that exist in health care in Minnesota. Our success relies on a multi-stakeholder, collaborative model. When we began the journey in 2008 to collect and report data on health inequities, MNCM was in a unique position to collect and validate the information. We carried out this work at a deliberate, gradual pace designed to build trust in the process and credibility in the results. Both are critical elements to our continued ability to collect and report this data over time.

Moreover, our health care community should be commended and take great pride in its dogged pursuit of and support for the standard collection and reporting of race, Hispanic ethnicity, language and country of origin (REL) data. The clinics and medical groups in Minnesota surmounted technical, process and organizational barriers to provide the data that this report is based on. And they have done so willingly and enthusiastically.

This landmark report and its impact on our community are only possible because Minnesota's health care leaders and their teams rallied around and prioritized this goal.

Minnesota is well positioned to be a national leader in the testing and use of socio-demographic factors, including REL, to improve outcomes for patients. Our community collects more data and follows best practices more widely than any other state, which allows us to target disparities in more meaningful ways.

However, data alone will not reduce disparities or achieve health equity goals. The real achievement will come when we begin to see reductions in disparities and the elimination of health inequities in Minnesota and our nation.

We are excited to continue working together toward our shared goal of improving the health and health care of all Minnesotans.

Thank you,

Vm Clare

Jim Chase President, MNCM



2014 Health Equity of Care Report



Report Preparation Direction

Anne M. Snowden, MPH, CPHQ Director of Performance Measurement & Reporting

Key Contributors

Rachel Mlodzik, MPH Data Analyst/Project Specialist

Erin Ghere, MPP Manager of Communications & Engagement

Other Contributors

Martha Burton Santibanez, MPA AF4Q & Consumer Engagement Project Manager

Direct questions or comments to:

Anne M. Snowden (612) 454-4811 snowden@mncm.org

Introduction	6
Executive Summary	11
Summary of Results	14
MEASURES	
Optimal Diabetes Care	
Statewide Care	20
Regional Care	26
Optimal Vascular Care	
Statewide Care	37
Regional Care	43
Optimal Asthma Care - Adults	
Statewide Care	54
Regional Care	59
Optimal Asthma Care - Children	
Statewide Care	70
Regional Care	76
Colorectal Cancer Screening	
Statewide Care	87
Regional Care	96
HOW TO USE THIS REPORT AND RESOURCES	111
FUTURE PLANS	113
APPENDIX	
Methodology	116

Contents

"The real challenge lies not in debating whether disparities exist, the evidence is overwhelming, but in developing and implementing strategies to reduce and eliminate them." – Alan Nelson, MD, Chairman IOM Committee: *Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care*, 2003

Health inequities affecting medically-underserved and historically-underrepresented populations in the United States and Minnesota are well documented and persistent. Despite decades of documentation, and while health care quality in general has improved, the gaps in care are not closing.^{1,2}

Health equity is "the absence of avoidable or remediable differences among groups of people," and specifically "access to the resources needed to improve and maintain health or health outcomes," according to the World Health Organization.³

Racial and ethnic minorities represent about one-third of the United States population today and will become the majority by 2043.⁴ Minnesota is following a similar, although unique, trajectory.

- Minnesota's foreign-born population is increasing faster than the national average, tripling since 1990 while the national average has only doubled.⁵
- Only about one-third of Minnesota's immigrants were born in Latin America, compared to more than half of immigrants nationally. Similarly, one in five Minnesota immigrants were born in Africa, compared to only 4% nationally.⁶
- The Twin Cities, in particular, is home to a relatively large American Indian population, including members of the Little Earth of United Tribes and Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux communities.⁷

As the diversity in our community increases, disparities in care become clearer while the reasons behind them are still very complex. "The sources of [racial and ethnic health] disparities are complex, are rooted in historic and contemporary inequalities, and involve many participants at several levels, including health systems, their administrative and bureaucratic processes, utilization managers, health care professionals and patients," according to the Institute of Medicine's landmark 2002 report *Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Healthcare*.

In addition to health systems' impact on inequities, it is also important to acknowledge that social determinants of health – such as income, education, pollution and neighborhood conditions – have a significant impact. Only about half of a person's health can be affected by the health care system and their own individual behaviors (over which health care providers have some influence), according to a widely-used formula developed by the University of Wisconsin's Population Health Institute.⁸ "When groups face serious social, economic and environmental disadvantages, such as structural racism and a widespread lack of economic and educational opportunities, health inequities are the result," according to the Minnesota Department of Health's report, *Advancing Health Equity in Minnesota* (2014).

While health care inequities cannot be addressed solely by health care providers, significant room for improvement exists within the health care system to address disparities those improvements will have a considerable impact on the health of both individuals and the population at large.

¹ National Healthcare Disparities Report. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2013.

² Health Care Disparities Report. MN Community Measurement. 2014.

³ Health Systems: Equity. World Health Organization. <u>http://www.who.int/healthsystems/topics/equity/en/</u>.

⁴ US Census Bureau Projections Show a Slower Growing, Older, More Diverse Nation a Half Century from Now. United States Census Bureau. December 12, 2012.

⁵ *Immigration in Minnesota: 5 things you should know.* Minnesota Compass. July 2013.

⁶ Immigration in Minnesota: 5 things you should know. Minnesota Compass. July 2013.

⁷ The Unequal Distribution of Health in the Twin Cities. Amherst H. Wilder Foundation and Blue Cross and Blue Shield of MN Foundation. October 2010. Page 14.

⁸ University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute. <u>https://uwphi.pophealth.wisc.edu/index.htm.</u>



Introduction

Minnesota is one of the healthiest states in the nation. On a variety of indicators, from insurance status to life expectancy to the overall quality of health care, Minnesota ranks at or near the top among all states. But Minnesota also has some of the largest inequities in health status and incidence of chronic disease between populations. Communities of color in Minnesota are less likely to receive preventive care; more likely to suffer from serious illnesses and have less desirable health outcomes; and less likely to receive clinically-necessary procedures and services.⁹ These inequities pose a threat to the health and economic stability of all Minnesotans. A recent study indicated racial and ethnic disparities accounted for an estimated \$60 billion in excess health care costs in 2009.¹⁰

A significant obstacle to developing and evaluating programs to address and eliminate health inequities has been the relative lack and inconsistent collection of data, both locally and nationally.¹¹ More recently, this has been recognized and the collection and reporting of health care disparities data has been prioritized as an essential tool to closing the gaps. The Affordable Care Act in 2009 included numerous provisions related to health inequity and, in particular, the importance of data collection and reporting to inform those efforts. "Consistent methods for collecting and reporting health data by race, ethnicity, and language are essential to informing evidence-based disparity reduction initiatives."¹²

MN Community Measurement's Role in Advancing Health Equity

This inaugural *Health Equity of Care Report: Stratification of Health Care Performance Results in Minnesota by Race, Hispanic Ethnicity, Preferred Language and Country of Origin* presents data collected by medical groups using best practices and shines the spotlight on areas for improvement that exist in Minnesota. It features information on health care outcomes in five areas: Optimal Diabetes Care; Optimal Vascular Care; Optimal Asthma Care for Adults; Optimal Asthma Care for Children; and Colorectal Cancer Screening. Results for these measures are stratified by race, Hispanic ethnicity, preferred language and country of origin (REL) and reported at statewide and regional levels.

This report tells a compelling story: it not only supports other findings that much work remains to eliminate racial and ethnic disparities in health care, but it goes a step further and pinpoints results by regions within Minnesota. This will be vital to focusing and evaluating population health improvement opportunities and driving public policy and resource allocation to the geographic areas and populations most in need.

The foundation to advance accountability for health care outcomes among diverse populations is ongoing measurement.¹³ To reduce and eliminate health inequity, we must understand where it exists and its scope, so we can target effectively. Identification and increased awareness of disparities is a critical first step toward closing the gaps. Never before has data on performance results by race, Hispanic ethnicity, country of origin and language been available at a regional level in Minnesota – making it actionable for advocates, policymakers, public health professionals, community leaders and medical groups.

MNCM is a trusted source for health care measurement and public reporting in our community and nationally. Our mission is to accelerate the improvement of health through public reporting. With this report, we are identifying, measuring and illuminating health inequities to drive improvement and reduce gaps in care for Minnesota's medically-underserved and historically-underrepresented patients.

⁹ Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Healthcare. Institute of Medicine. 2002.

¹⁰ The State of Urban Health: Eliminating Health Disparities to Save Lives and Cut Costs. Washington DC: National Urban League Policy Institute. 2012.

¹¹ Race, Ethnicity and Language Data: Standardization for Health Care Quality Improvement. Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine. 2009. Page 1.

¹² Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010: Advancing Health Equity for Racially and Ethnically Diverse Populations. Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies. July 2010.

¹³ Race, Ethnicity and Language Data: Standardization for Health Care Quality Improvement. Institute of Medicine. August 2009. Page ix.

As the old adage goes, 'what gets measured gets managed.' Without specific measurement, disparities can go unnoticed by health care organizations, public health organizations, policymakers and others – even as they seek to improve the quality of care for all patients and citizens. "Standardized data collection can improve quality and effectiveness of the care received by targeting resources for populations (geographic populations or a patient population) at risk for specific conditions, crucial support services such as interpreters, or preventive screenings for specific populations. [This] data is essential for medical groups to plan their care and to understand cultural and economic barriers or complications to successful treatment."¹⁴

As noted, the lack of adequate, standardized data has been identified as a key barrier to recognizing that patient populations within the same medical group or clinic may not be attaining the same health outcomes.¹⁵ In 2008, MNCM set out to identify a common set of data elements that, if collected in a standard way and married with clinical results, could aid in the evaluation of health inequities in Minnesota.

MNCM was in a unique position to collect and validate REL data as a result of our existing Direct Data Submission (DDS) process, which was already being used by medical groups to submit patient-level data for quality measures. Between July 2008 and April 2009, MNCM convened an Early Adopters Work Group (EAWG) that was made up of representatives from local medical groups with already-established systems to collect REL data who were willing to share best practices. Using the national Institute of Medicine standards as its basis, the work group established local standards for data elements and best practices, as well as shared their expertise on critical steps other medical groups would need to undertake to implement similar systems. In 2009, the *Handbook on the Collection of Race/Ethnicity/ Language Data in Medical Groups* was produced and released by MNCM. The handbook established a standard set of data elements for medical groups to collect REL data from patients using best practices. The effort was focused on those medical groups and clinics that participated in MNCM's DDS process. This standardized collection and reporting would eventually allow comparison across organizations and regions.

One of the most important elements of the Handbook was crystalizing the definition of best practice for collection of race, Hispanic ethnicity, preferred language and country of origin from patients. The first critical element is that patients must be given the ability to <u>self-report</u> their information. "There is a general consensus that self-reported race/ethnicity data are considerably more accurate than observational reporting of race/ethnicity by health care staff. A body of empirical evidence supports this."¹⁶ The second critical element is that clinics' electronic health records (EHR) must be able to capture and report the selection of more than one race category.

In 2010, MNCM asked medical groups to voluntarily submit REL data with their quality measure data for 2009 dates of service. One year later, MNCM required REL data be submitted with quality data for 2010 dates of service. In June 2011, MNCM's Measurement and Reporting Committee (MARC) approved public reporting of statewide REL results once 60 percent of medical groups were using the best practices outlined in the Handbook. In 2011 and 2012, MNCM conducted audits to affirm best practices were being followed by groups. These included validation of medical group's processes and on-site audits.

In 2012, as MNCM approached the threshold for public reporting, a critical new challenge was discovered. One large medical group alerted MNCM that while it was allowing patients to select multiple race categories, its EHR was only capturing and reporting one race per patient. After analysis, MNCM identified many other medical groups in a similar

¹⁴ Handbook on the Collection of Race/Ethnicity/Language Data in Medical Groups. MN Community Measurement. 2009. Page 7.

¹⁵ Gallagher MP, Cass A, Craig JC. 2010. Applying evidence into routine clinical care at a unit level: The exemplar of renal anemia management. Nephrology 15: 429-433.

¹⁶ Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010: Advancing Health Equity for Racially and Ethnically Diverse Populations. Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies. July 2010.

situation. Once taken into account, the percentage of groups that met best practice requirements dropped below the threshold for public reporting. The following year, MNCM conducted additional best practice audits of all groups with that EHR concern to ensure their systems were reporting all race categories identified by a patient.

During this several-year journey, Minnesota providers steadily improved their collection and reporting of REL data. As they surmounted technical, process and organizational barriers, the percentage of groups reporting data using best practices gradually increased. MNCM undertook this work at a deliberate, gradual pace in order to build trust with medical groups on the process and build credibility in the data. This trust is critical to ensure our ability to collect and report this data over time, and affirm the engagement of our community in this important goal.

In 2014, more than 70 percent of medical groups submitting REL data to MNCM were confirmed as using best practices. The remaining groups submit REL data but have not successfully passed an audit or provided evidence of using best practices.

We know it's possible for providers to achieve optimal health outcomes for all patients, regardless of race, Hispanic ethnicity, preferred language or country of origin, because it's happening in pockets within our community. Some of those stories are highlighted in this report. Effectively addressing health inequities on a large scale requires consistent, actionable data to provide a window into disparities in care, and allow all of us to gain a deeper understanding of their underlying causes and how to address them.

Community-driven initiative

Health inequity has historically been a complex and difficult thing to measure. MNCM has a unique ability to highlight where health care disparities exist in our state, then partner with stakeholders locally and nationally who are developing initiatives to address them.

An example of this is our role leading Minnesota's Aligning Forces for Quality (AF4Q) Alliance, one of 16 alliances nationwide that are focused on lifting the quality of health care and reducing health inequities. The AF4Q initiative is funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. A central goal of the AF4Q initiative is to stratify quality performance data by race, ethnicity or language to provide meaningful, actionable information with which to target interventions that will improve health equity. With this report, Minnesota becomes the first AF4Q alliance to comprehensively attain this goal by publishing findings for patients covered by all insurance types and across our entire state.

MNCM's success relies on a multi-stakeholder, consensus-based, collaborative effort. Our Board of Directors includes physicians; health plans; hospitals and state government representatives; employers; and consumers. We partner with a wide variety of local and national organizations through initiatives such as AF4Q, the RARE Campaign and measure development workgroups. We regularly work with over 300 medical groups, representing more than 1,600 clinics, who submit data to MNCM each year.

We highly value the work of others in our community who are also focused on this common goal and who have shared their expertise with us over the years. One partnership that has been critical over the past seven years is with the Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS). Since 2007, MNCM and DHS have worked together to produce the *Health Care Disparities Report for Minnesota Health Care Programs*. The report evaluates socioeconomic disparities by comparing care received by patients insured through Minnesota Health Care Programs (MHCP) and patients covered by other payers; additionally, for the past four years, it has included some statewide race and ethnicity information for MHCP patients.

Before the release of MNCM's first *Health Care Disparities Report*, national and state reports of health inequities were dismissed as being the result of patient factors and issues outside medical groups' control, or because of the perception that gaps in care might exist elsewhere but not in their own medical groups. "Providers often underestimate the magnitude of disparities in their own patient panel, and staff may not notice barriers patients face during the course of usual care". ¹⁷

As more providers adopted best practices for collection and reporting of REL data, MNCM also worked to provide those medical groups with REL data on their own patients to inform their quality improvement efforts. Beginning in 2013, MNCM worked with medical groups to develop reports that would allow them to see optimal care results stratified by REL for their patients. In early 2014, the reports were made available to all medical groups collecting, reporting and submitting data using best practices. This medical group-level report supplies objective, repeatable data so medical groups can reflect on inequities in their own patient population and identify areas for improvement. MNCM hopes to make medical group-level information publicly available in the future, after evaluation of the most appropriate way to report it since small numbers may prevent reporting all data elements for all medical groups.

We cannot underscore enough the enormous contribution by medical groups who submit REL data to MNCM. We recognize it's not always easy to ask patients for this information; however, medical groups have persevered and set the wheels in motion to reduce health care disparities and increase health equity for all Minnesotans.

Moreover, our health care community should be extremely proud to not only have identified, prioritized and championed the standardized collection and reporting of REL data; but also to have "walked the talk" by willingly and enthusiastically implementing this voluntarily, without a state mandate. This landmark report and its impact on our community are only possible because Minnesota's health care leaders and their teams rallied around this goal; they should be commended.

New perspective on an ongoing conversation

This report should serve as a call to action to our community to examine and use this data to build a foundation for understanding and reducing health inequity in our state and communities.

Eliminating disparities will improve our population's health and create a more productive society by reducing the economic and personal costs of health care. Our state and country benefits when everyone has the opportunity to live healthy, productive lives.

Medical groups, policymakers, public health professionals, advocates, communities of color and others can utilize this information to guide the development of smart policies and priorities, and to ground future decisions in common, standardized and validated data. Our community can focus on identifying and implementing solutions, knowing the results of those efforts will be evaluated on an ongoing basis by MNCM to guide future enhancements and iterations. We look forward to working closely with communities of color in Minnesota on this critical work.

Data alone will not achieve health equity goals – the real achievement will come when we start to see reductions in disparities and the elimination of health inequities in Minnesota and our nation.

¹⁷ Using Data to Reducing Disparities and Improve Quality: A Guide for Health Care Organizations. Aligning Forces for Quality, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. April 2014. Page 2.

Executive Summary

The 2014 Health Equity of Care Report pinpoints distinct differences in health care outcomes between diverse groups and geographic regions in Minnesota. It lays unmistakably clear the fact that some patient groups have consistently lower health care outcomes than others.

Across multiple measures and geographic regions, White and Asian patients generally had higher health care outcome rates, and American Indian or Alaskan Native and Black or African American patients generally had the lowest rates both statewide and across regions. Similarly, Hispanics generally had significantly lower health care outcome rates than non-Hispanics across every quality measure and most geographic regions.

Patients who were born in or preferred speaking the native languages of Asian countries tended to have higher outcome rates across multiple measures and geographic regions. In contrast, patients born in or speaking native languages of African countries had lower health care outcome rates, most notably Somali patients.

It's also noteworthy what's <u>not</u> in this report. Many geographic areas, particularly those in Greater Minnesota, had too few patients to report results for many race, ethnicity and language groups – particularly for the Optimal Vascular Care and Optimal Asthma Care (both adults and children) measures. While we cannot assign a cause, it could be a reflection of gaps in access, unfamiliarity with available services, or difficulty navigating medical care systems by some communities, or it could be simply reflective of a less diverse patient population in those areas.

Themes by Racial and Hispanic Ethnicity Group

Patients who identified as White generally had higher health care outcome rates across most measures and most geographic areas. The metro area, and in particular the West Metro region, tended to have the highest rates of optimal care for White patients. The Asian racial group also generally had higher rates of the measures reported. However, there was wide variation throughout Minnesota, even in the measures where Asian patients had the highest rates. For instance, Optimal Diabetes Care rates ranged from 25 percent in the Southwest region to 57 percent in the Southeast region. Colorectal Cancer Screening was the only measure where Asian patients had rates lower than the statewide average. Notably, the trend of Asian patients having high rates has been seen in national data as well.¹

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander patients had a mix of low and high rates. They had the highest rate of any racial group for asthma care for adults, but had rates lower than the statewide average in all four other measures - although not all were significantly lower. And due to the relatively small population of Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander patients in Minnesota, regional rates were not available for most measures. The challenge of fully assessing health care disparities for this racial group is due to its small numbers and this is also noted in national reports.²

The American Indian or Alaskan Native and Black or African American racial groups had the lowest health care outcome rates across all geographic regions. Both groups had rates below the statewide average in all five measures evaluated by this report. This is similar to national trends, as documented in the 2013 National Healthcare Disparities Report.

Generally, the highest health care outcome rates for Black or African American patients were found in the East Metro region across multiple measures. The group's lowest rates were generally found in the Southern portion of Minnesota, both in the Southwest and Southeast regions. Interestingly, there was no regional consistency in the highest rates for American Indian and Alaska Native patients; however, this group's lowest rates were generally in the Northeast region across multiple measures.

¹2013 National Healthcare Disparities Report. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. May 2014. ²2013 National Healthcare Disparities Report. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. May 2014.

Executive Summary

From a statewide perspective, Hispanics had lower health care outcome rates than Non-Hispanics in every measure, which follows national trends.³ However, this pattern was not consistent across regions. In three of nine regions, Hispanics had higher health care outcome rates than Non-Hispanics for both vascular care and asthma care for adults. In particular, Hispanics had higher rates in the East Metro and St. Paul regions for both measures.

Themes by Preferred Language and Country of Origin

Patients who were born in Vietnam and preferred speaking Vietnamese had higher rates of health care outcomes across several measures and multiple regions. This was consistent with a trend in this report of patients who originated from countries in Asia having better health outcomes than patients from other global regions, in addition to Vietnam, this was particularly true for patients born in India, Philippines and South Korea.

An exception to this pattern was patients born in Laos and/or who preferred speaking Hmong. Laotian-born patients had low statewide health care outcome rates for the three measures where they could be reported. Similarly, Hmong-speaking patients had rates lower than the statewide average on the three measures where the group could be reported. Many geographic regions did not have reportable Hmong or Laotian patient populations; however, both Hmong speaking and Laotian patients had the lowest rates of colorectal cancer screenings in the Southwest region.

While patients who prefer to speak a language other than English have varying levels English proficiency, only 56 percent of Hmong and 50 percent of Laotian speakers reported speaking English "very well," according to the U.S. Census Bureau.⁴ Variation in English proficiency can add to the challenges of health care access and the attainment of better health care outcomes.

Spanish-speaking patients had health care outcome rates below the statewide average across most measures and geographic areas. Likewise, patients born in Mexico had low rates particularly in three measures. And, similar to Hmong and Laotian patients, English proficiency is a challenge for these patients: only 56 percent of Spanish speakers reported speaking English "very well" and 9 percent reported not speaking English at all.⁵

Patients who preferred speaking Somali and/or were born in Somalia had the lowest health care outcome rates overall. Patients from these groups had the lowest rates across all five measures, and often had the lowest rate in every geographic area where they were reportable.

Since Minnesota is home to the largest population of Somali immigrants in North America, there is little national data for specific comparison; however, the results in this report are consistent with a national trend of patients born in Africa having poorer health outcomes.

Themes by Geographic Region

The health care outcome rates in each geographic area vary considerably across the measures evaluated by this report. However, some general trends could be identified.

The East and West Metro regions generally had higher health care outcome rates across multiple measures for most racial and ethnic groups compared to other regions. The East Metro region had particularly high rates of optimal diabetes care and colorectal cancer screening across all racial groups. The West Metro region had high rates of optimal vascular care and optimal asthma care for children across all racial groups, as well as optimal care for diabetes across all country of origin groups.

³2013 National Healthcare Disparities Report. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. May 2014.

⁴Language Use in the United States: 2011. US Census Bureau. August 2013.

Executive Summary

Minnesota's Central region had high rates of optimal asthma care, for both adults and children, across all racial groups.

Generally, the Southwest and Northeast regions had lower health care outcome rates across multiple measures for most racial, ethnic and language categories. In particular, the Southwest region had the lowest rates of optimal diabetes care for most racial groups and for colorectal cancer screening across most racial, ethnic and preferred language groups.

The 2013 National Healthcare Disparities Report noted health inequities for residents of rural areas, regardless of race, ethnicity, language or country of origin. It noted that rural residents are less likely to receive recommended preventive services and more likely to defer other types of health care due to costs and transportation challenges. They also face greater language access barriers. These and other factors could contribute to the lower health care outcome rates in Greater Minnesota regions.

To reduce and eliminate health inequities, we must understand where they exist and their scope. However, measuring inequities has historically been complex and difficult. The granular information contained in this report should aid advocates, policymakers, public health professionals, communities of color and medical groups in pinpointing where their efforts to reduce health disparities can be most impactful.



Summary of Results

This is MN Community Measurement's first annual *Health Equity of Care Report*, which includes health care performance results stratified at statewide and regional levels by race, Hispanic ethnicity, preferred language and country of origin in Minnesota. Five measures that are collected using MNCM's Direct Data Submission (DDS) process are featured in this report: Optimal Diabetes Care, Optimal Vascular Care, Optimal Asthma Care – Adults, Optimal Asthma Care – Children and Colorectal Cancer Screening.

Summary of Statewide Rates by Race

American Indian or Alaskan Native

The American Indian or Alaskan Native racial group had the lowest rate for two measures: Optimal Vascular Care and Optimal Asthma Care - Children.

The American Indian or Alaskan Native racial group's rate was significantly lower than the statewide average for all five measures. There were no measures where this racial group had the highest rate.

Asian

The Asian racial group had the highest rate for two measures: Optimal Diabetes Care and Optimal Asthma Care - Children. This racial group did not have the lowest rate for any measure.

For three measures (Optimal Diabetes Care, Optimal Vascular Care and Optimal Asthma Care – Children), this racial group had a performance rate significantly higher than the statewide average. For one measure (Colorectal Cancer Screening), this racial group had a performance rate significantly lower than the statewide average.

Black or African American

There were no measures where the Black or African American racial group had the highest or lowest rate. For all five measures, this racial group had a performance rate significantly lower than the statewide average.

Multi-racial

The Multi-racial group did not have the highest or lowest rate for any measure.

This racial group did not have a significantly higher rate

than the statewide average for any measure. There were three measures (Optimal Diabetes Care, Optimal Vascular Care and Colorectal Cancer Screening) where the Multiracial group had a performance rate significantly lower than the statewide average.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

The Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander racial group had the highest rate for one measure (Optimal Asthma Care – Adults); however, this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average. This racial group did not have the lowest rate for any measure.

For one measure (Colorectal Cancer Screening), this racial group had a performance rate significantly lower than the statewide average.

White

The White racial group had the highest rate for one measure: Colorectal Cancer Screening. This racial group did not have the lowest rate for any measure. For all measures, this racial group had a performance rate that was significantly above the statewide average.

Unknown

The Unknown racial group had the lowest performance rate for three measures (Optimal Diabetes Care, Colorectal Cancer Screening and Optimal Asthma Care – Adults).

For four measures (Optimal Diabetes Care, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Optimal Asthma Care – Children and Optimal Asthma Care – Adults), this racial group had a performance rate that was significantly below the statewide average.

Some Other Race

The Some Other Race racial group had the highest performance rate for one measure: Optimal Vascular Care. This racial group did not have the lowest rate for any measure. However for three measures (Optimal Asthma Care - Adults, Optimal Asthma Care - Children and Colorectal Cancer Screening), this racial group had a performance rate that was significantly below the statewide average.



Summary of Statewide Rates by Hispanic Ethnicity

Hispanic

For all measures, Hispanics had lower rates compared to Non-Hispanics. For four measures (Optimal Diabetes Care, Optimal Asthma Care – Adults, Optimal Asthma Care - Children and Colorectal Cancer Screening), the rates for Hispanics were significantly below the statewide average.

Non-Hispanic

For all measures, Non-Hispanics had higher rates compared to Hispanics. Non-Hispanics had a rate significantly above the statewide average for Optimal Diabetes Care, Optimal Asthma Care – Adults and Colorectal Cancer Screening.

Summary of Statewide Rates by Preferred Language

For Optimal Diabetes Care and Optimal Vascular Care, patients who indicated they preferred speaking **Vietnamese** had the highest rate compared to other preferred language groups; however, both rates were not significantly above the statewide averages for those measures.

Patients who indicated they preferred speaking **English** had the highest rate for both Asthma measures; however, their Optimal Asthma Care – Children rate was not significantly above the statewide average.

For all measures, patients who indicated they preferred speaking **Somali** had the lowest rate, and all rates were significantly below the statewide average.

Patients who preferred speaking **Spanish** had a rate significantly below the statewide average in four out of the five measures.

Patients who preferred speaking **Hmong** had a rate significantly below the statewide average for all measures this group was reportable.

Summary of Statewide Rates by Country of Origin

Generally speaking, patients born in **Asian** countries had the highest rates. Notably, patients born in India, Laos and the Philippines had higher rates compared to other country of origin groups.

Patients born in **African** countries had the lowest rates. Notably, patients born in Somalia had a lower rate compared to other country of origin groups.

The **United States** country of origin group had a rate significantly higher than the statewide average for two measures (Optimal Asthma Care – Adults and Colorectal Cancer Screening); however, this groups's rate was significantly below the statewide average for Optimal Vascular Care.

Summary of Statewide Rates by Measure

Optimal Diabetes Care

Asians had the highest rate, and patients who indicated they did not know their race had the lowest rate. Non-Hispanic patients had a higher rate than Hispanics and the statewide average. Patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest rate, while patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate. Patients born in Vietnam had the highest rate, and the lowest rate was held by patients born in Iraq.

Optimal Vascular Care

Patients who indicated they are of Some Other Race had the highest rate, and American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate. Non-Hispanics patients had a higher rate than Hispanics, but it was not significantly above the statewide average. Similar to diabetes care, patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest rate, while patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate. Patients born in India had the highest rate, and the lowest rate was held by patients born in Somalia.

Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

Native Hawaiians or Other Pacific Islanders had the highest rate, but it was not significantly above the statewide average. Patients who indicated they did not know their race had the lowest rate. Non-Hispanics had a higher rate than Hispanics and the statewide average. Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate, while patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate. Patients born in South Korea had the highest rate, and the lowest rate was held by patients born in Somalia.

Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Asians had the highest rate, and American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate. Non-Hispanics had a higher rate than Hispanics, but it was not significantly above the statewide average. Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate; however, it was not significantly above the statewide average. Patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate. Patients born in the United States had the highest rate, although it was not significantly above the statewide average. The lowest rate was held by patients born in Somalia.

Colorectal Cancer Screening

Whites had the highest screening rate, and patients who indicated they did not know their race had the lowest screening rate. Non-Hispanics had a higher screening rate than Hispanics and the statewide average. Patients who preferred speaking Tibetan had the highest screening rate, while patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate. Patients born in Argentina had the highest screening rate, and the lowest screening rate was held by patients born in Somalia.

Summary of Regional Rates by Measure

Optimal Diabetes Care

- Race Almost all racial groups' highest rate were found in the East Metro region. Lower rates were generally in the Southwest region for most racial groups. The Asian racial group had the highest rate in five regions, tying the Multi-racial group for the highest rate in the Northwest region. In six regions, the American Indian or Alaskan Native racial group had the lowest rate.
- Hispanic Ethnicity In eight regions, Non-Hispanics had a higher rate than Hispanics. Both ethnic populations had their highest rate in the East Metro region.
- Preferred Language In eight regions, either patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese or patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate. Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest rate in three regions.
- **Country of Origin** Patients born in the United States had the highest rate in three regions. In four regions, patients born in Somalia had the lowest rate. St. Paul and the West Metro region generally had higher rates for each country of origin group.

Optimal Vascular Care

- **Race** For almost all racial groups, their highest rate was found in the West Metro region. The White racial group had the highest rate in six regions. In four regions, the Black or African American racial group had the lowest rate.
- Hispanic Ethnicity In four regions, Non-Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Hispanics. Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Non-Hispanics in three regions. There were two regions where the Hispanics did not meet the minimum reporting threshold.

- **Preferred Language** In four regions, the only reportable preferred language group was English; this group's highest rate was found in the West Metro region. Notably, patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate in the Southeast region and the lowest rate in the St. Paul region.
- Country of Origin In five regions, the only reportable country of origin group was the United States; this group's highest rate was found in the West Metro region. Notably, patients born in Canada had the highest rate in the Northeast region and the lowest rate in the Central region.

Optimal Asthma Care - Adults -

- Race The Northern regions of Minnesota (Northeast and Northwest) generally had lower rates compared to other regions. The White racial group had the highest rate in six regions. The White racial group was the only reportable group in the Southwest region. Both the Black or African American and American Indian or Alaskan Native racial groups had the lowest rate in three regions.
- Hispanic Ethnicity Non-Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Hispanics in five regions. Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Non-Hispanics in three regions. Rates were very similar for the two ethnic groups in the East Metro region.
- **Preferred Language** Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate in all of the nine regions. The English preferred language group was the only reportable group in six of the regions. Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate in the Minneapolis region and their lowest rate in the Northwest region.
- **Country of Origin** The United States country of origin group was the only reportable group in seven regions, with this group's highest rate found in the East Metro region.

Optimal Asthma Care - Children -

- Race The West Metro region generally had higher rates compared to other regions. The border regions of Minnesota (Northeast, Northwest, Southeast and Southwest) generally had lower rates compared to more inner Minnesota regions. The White racial group had the highest rate in three regions. Both the Black or African American and the American Indian or Alaskan Native racial groups had the lowest rate in three regions.
- Hispanic Ethnicity Non-Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Hispanics in four regions.
 Hispanics and Non-Hispanics had the same rate in the Minneapolis region. Both ethnic groups had their highest rate in the West Metro region.
- Preferred Language Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate in four regions. The English preferred language group was the only reportable group for the Northwest and Northeast region. Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate in four regions. Patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate in three regions.
- **Country of Origin** The United States country of origin group was the only reportable group in seven regions, with this group's highest rate found in the West Metro region.

Colorectal Cancer Screening

• Race – The St. Paul and East Metro regions generally had higher screening rates than other regions. The Southwest region generally had lower screening rates than other regions. The White racial group had the highest screening rate in eight regions; the American Indian or Alaskan Native racial group had a higher screening rate than the White racial group in the Southeast region. The Black or African American racial group had the lowest screening rate in four regions.

- Hispanic Ethnicity Non-Hispanics had a higher screening rate compared to Hispanics in all nine regions. Both ethnic groups' lowest rate were found in the Southwest region.
- Preferred Language Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest screening rate in four regions. Patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate in six regions. The lowest screening rates were found more often in the Southwest region for all preferred language groups compared to other regions.
- Country of Origin Patients born in Canada had the highest screening rate in two regions and had the lowest screening rate in one region. The United States and Germany country of origin groups each had the highest rate in one region. Patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate in seven regions.



Please see next page.

statewide results Optimal Diabetes Care

PERSPECTIVES ON HEALTH EQUITY ANGELA NATHAN QUALITY DATA ANALYST, CENTRACARE HEALTH

During 2011 and 2012, CentraCare Health in St. Cloud contracted with Critical Measures, a national consulting firm specializing in diversity and cross-cultural health care, to complete several diversity-related assessments. They included:

- Organizational assessment comparing CentraCare's cultural competence systems, policies and practices to national standards;
- Audit of CentraCare's language access services, comparing them to federal/state laws and emerging national best practices;
- Physician and advanced practice professional cultural competence assessment analyzing their preparedness to care for culturally- and linguistically-diverse populations; and,
- Electronic diversity workforce assessment and subsequent focus groups.

The assessment's results and recommendations culminated into a health equity work plan for the organization. CentraCare also created a Cultural Competency/Health Literacy Steering Committee to oversee organization-wide efforts around health equity. Three subcommittees report to the steering committee; they focus on health diversity & inclusion, language access, and cultural competency.

The steering committee utilizes MNCM REL data, among other data sources, to compare internal data to State of Minnesota data, and assist in focusing improvement work. Additionally, CentraCare provides multiple interpreter options in its clinics, including staff that are multilingual, in-person interpreters, telephone options and video remote Interpreting. Its internal internet, CentraNet, also offers staff many multilingual tools, such as videos for patients on use of emergency room, breast feeding, and patient rights; links to materials in numerous languages; and the ability to submit requests for translation of materials.

CentraCare has also developed programs targeted at specific patient populations. For example, Veggie Rx offers gift certificates for fresh produce at local grocery stores. The goal of the program, which is focused on CentraCare's Hispanic diabetic patients, is to involve the patients' entire house in healthier eating habits. This measures the percentage of patients with diabetes (Type 1 and Type 2) ages 18-75 who reached all five of the following treatment goals to reduce cardiovascular risk:

- Blood pressure less than 140/90 mmHg
- LDL-C less than 100 mg/dl
- Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) less than 8
- Daily aspirin use for diabetes patients with a comorbidity of ischemic vascular disease unless contraindicated
- Documented tobacco-free status

The statewide rate for Optimal Diabetes Care is 39%.

Credit is given for achieving this composite rate when all five measure components are met. Data collected for this measure are submitted directly to MNCM by medical groups/clinics from electronic health records or paper-based medical charts.



statewide results by race Optimal Diabetes Care

Figure 1 shows statewide Optimal Diabetes Care rates by race. The Asian racial group had the highest rate of optimal care at 44%, which is significantly above the statewide average (39%). It was also significantly above the White racial group, which was the only other racial group significantly above the statewide average at 41%. The Unknown racial group had the lowest rate of optimal care at 24%, and this was significantly below the statewide average rate. Additionally, the Multi-racial, Black or African American, and American Indian or Alaskan Native racial groups had rates significantly below the statewide average as well.



FIGURE 1: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL DIABETES CARE BY RACE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY Optimal Diabetes Care

Figure 2 shows statewide Optimal Diabetes Care rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Non-Hispanics had a significantly higher rate of optimal care (40%) than both the statewide average (39%) and Hispanics (32%). Hispanics had a rate of optimal care that was lower than the statewide average.



FIGURE 2: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL DIABETES CARE BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE

Figure 3 shows statewide Optimal Diabetes Care rates by preferred language. Patients who indicated Vietnamese was their preferred language had the highest rate of optimal care (52%), and that rate was significantly higher than the statewide average (39%) and the English rate (39%). Patients who indicated Cambodian and English were their preferred languages also had optimal care rates higher than the statewide average. The lowest rate of optimal care was held by patients that indicated Somali as their preferred language at 21%; this rate is significantly below the statewide average. Notably, patients that selected Spanish and Hmong as their preferred languages also had optimal care rates below the statewide average.



FIGURE 3: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL DIABETES CARE BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN Optimal Diabetes Care

Figure 4 shows statewide Optimal Diabetes Care rates by country of origin. Patients born in Vietnam had the highest rate of optimal care at 54%, which was significantly above the statewide average (39%) and the rate of patients born in the United States (39%). Other countries of origin that had optimal rates above the statewide average were China, Cambodia, Philippines, Egypt and India. Patients born in Iraq had the lowest optimal rate at 22%, which was significantly lower than the statewide average. Notably, patients born in Laos, Mexico and Somalia also had rates of optimal care below the statewide average and the United States-born optimal rate.



FIGURE 4: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL DIABETES CARE BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN



Please see next page.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Diabetes Care

Statewide results showed variation in performance among the different geographic regions in Minnesota. Boundaries of the nine regions were determined by synthesizing health care and geopolitical data, including from the Metropolitan Council and State of Minnesota. The nine regions in alphabetical order are: Central, East Metro, Minneapolis, Northeast, Northwest, St. Paul, Southeast, Southwest and West Metro.

Regional Results by Race

Statewide rates for each racial group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. For Optimal Diabetes Care, the East Metro region had the highest rate for almost all of the racial groups. The Southwest region produced lower rates for almost all racial groups.

The highest and lowest rates for **each racial group** were found in the following regions:

- American Indian or Alaskan Native: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the East Metro region at 38%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 11%.
- Asian: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Southeast region at 57%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 25%.
- Black or African American: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the St. Paul region at 33%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 14%.
- **Multi-racial**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the East Metro region at 45%; the lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 14%.
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: This racial group was only reportable in the Minneapolis region with a rate of 35%.
- **Some Other Race**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the East Metro region at 47%; the lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 30%.
- White: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the West Metro region at 49%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 32%.

The Asian racial group had the highest rate in five out of the nine regions (tied for highest with the Multi-racial group for the Northwest region). The American Indian or Alaskan Native racial group had the lowest rate in six out of the nine regions.

The highest and lowest rates were held by the following racial groups in **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: White patients had the highest rate at 45%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 23%.
- West Metro: Asian patients had the highest rate at 50%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 25%.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Diabetes Care

- **St. Paul**: White patients had the highest rate at 47%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 32%.
- East Metro: Asian patients had the highest rate at 50%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 32%.
- Northwest: Asian and Multi-racial patients had the highest rate at 35%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 16%.
- **Central**: Asian patients had the highest rate at 46%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 22%.
- Northeast: Patients with Some Other Race had the highest rate at 43%; Multi-racial patients had the lowest rate at 14%.
- **Southeast**: Asian patients had the highest rate at 57%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 25%.
- **Southwest**: White patients had the highest rate at 32%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 11%.

The following pages display graphics comparing Optimal Diabetes Care regional rates by race. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Diabetes Care Rates by Race





Optimal Diabetes Care Rates by Race





N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Diabetes Care

Regional Results by Hispanic Ethnicity

Statewide rates for each ethnic group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. Non-Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Hispanics in eight regions; Non-Hispanics had a lower rate (32%) compared to Hispanics (34%) in the Northwest region.

Hispanics had the highest rate in the East Metro region at 44%, and the lowest rate in the Southwest region at 22%.

Similar to Hispanics, Non-Hispanics had the highest rate in the East Metro region at 47%. The lowest rate for Non-Hispanics was found in the Northwest region at 32%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Diabetes Care regional rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Rates are not displayed for ethnic groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Diabetes Care Rates by Hispanic Ethnicity

HispanicNon-Hispanic



Northeast		24%	N=34		34%	N=15,190
Northwest		34%	N=183		32%	N=9,353
Central		29%	N=334	37%		N=27,363
Minneapolis		31%	N=2,450	40%		N=47,302
St. Paul	39%		N=365	43%		N=13,535
East Metro	44%	6	N=353	47%		N=18,773
West Metro		35%	N=331	45%		N=13,713
Southeast		29%	N=277	39%		N=29,221
Southwest	2	22%	N=341		35%	N=12,361

Hispanic

Non-Hispanic

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.

31



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Diabetes Care

Regional Results by Preferred Language

Statewide rates for each language group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following preferred language groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients who preferred speaking Cantonese had the highest rate at 73%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 18%.
- West Metro: Patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest rate at 64%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 29%.
- **St. Paul**: Patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest rate at 52%; patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest rate at 22%.
- East Metro: Patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest rate at 62%; patients who preferred speaking Hmong had the lowest rate at 30%.
- Northwest: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 31%; patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest rate at 27%.
- **Central**: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 38%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 21%.
- Northeast: There was only one reportable preferred language group for this region.
- **Southeast**: Patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest rate at 44%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 22%.
- **Southwest**: The highest rate was held by two preferred language groups, English and Somali, with rates at 35%; patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest rate at 25%.

Patients who preferred speaking **Vietnamese** had the highest rate in four out of the five regions the group was reportable for; the highest rate was in the West Metro region at 64%.

Patients who preferred speaking **Spanish** had the lowest rate in three out of the eight regions the group was reportable for; the lowest rate was in the St. Paul region at 22%.

Patients who preferred speaking **English** had the highest rate for four out of the nine regions the group was reportable for; the highest rate was in the East Metro region at 47%.

Patients who preferred speaking **Somali** had the lowest rate for four out of the six regions the group was reportable for; the lowest rate was found in the Minneapolis region at 18%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Diabetes Care regional rates by preferred language. Rates are not displayed for language groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Diabetes Care Rates by Preferred Language







N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care

33



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Diabetes Care

Regional Results by Country of Origin

Statewide rates for each country of origin group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following country of origin groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients born in Vietnam had the highest rate at 57%; the lowest rate was held by three country of origin groups (El Salvador, Kenya and Somalia) with rates at 19%.
- West Metro: Patients born in Vietnam had the highest rate at 64%; patients born in Guyana had the lowest rate at 20%.
- **St. Paul**: Patients born in China had the highest rate at 61%; patients born in Thailand had the lowest rate at 17%.
- East Metro: Patients born in India had the highest rate at 64%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest rate at 20%.
- Northwest: Patients born in Mexico had the highest rate at 39%; patients born in the United States had the lowest rate at 32%.
- **Central**: Patients born in the Philippines had the highest rate at 50%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest rate at 23%.
- Northeast: Patients born in the United States had the highest rate at 35%; patients born in Germany had the lowest rate at 17%.
- **Southeast**: Patients born in the United States had the highest rate at 41%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest rate at 15%.
- **Southwest**: Patients born in the United States had the highest rate at 35%; patients born in Laos had the lowest rate at 24%.

Patients born in the **United States** had the highest rate in three out of the nine regions they were reportable for; the highest rate was found in the East Metro region at 46%. Similarly, patients born in Mexico and India had their highest rates in the East Metro region at 46% and 64%, respectively.

Somalian born patients had the lowest rate in four out of the seven regions the group was reportable for; their lowest rate was found in the Southeast region at 15%.

Patients born in **Laos** had their highest rate in the West Metro region at 38%, and their lowest rate in the Southwest region at 24%.

Patients born in **Vietnam** had generally higher rates for all regions this group was reportable. This group's highest rate was found in the West Metro Region at 64%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Diabetes Care regional rates by country of origin. Rates are not displayed for countries that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Diabetes Care Rates by Country of Origin







N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



Please see next page.
statewide results Optimal Vascular Care

PERSPECTIVES ON HEALTH EQUITY TIM HERNANDEZ, MD ENTIRA FAMILY CLINICS

"Patients feel more comfortable with staff who share their heritage, and clinics that are flexible enough to include family," notes Dr. Tim Hernandez of Entira Family Clinics. Appropriate and effective translation services, along with written information in the patient's native language, are keys to ensuring optimal care for patients who do not speak English as a first language or were born in another country, he says.

"There are many challenges ahead of us in reducing health care disparities," he says. "First and foremost is identification of patients of various races and ethnicities. Secondly, we need to have a deeper understanding of the drivers of the disparities that we find. This cannot be done solely with analytic tools, but may require meeting patients and their families 'where they live.' The third, and perhaps most daunting challenge is that many of the barriers are embedded in the social determinants of health that the health care system has no ability to control."

Hernandez explains that as our communities continually become more diverse, the gaps become more obvious. The tools developed to improve care for various subsets of the community will allow providers to be more effective into the future, he says.

He cites an Entira clinic that serves a large Hmong and Laotian population in St. Paul. Many of the clinical assistants working at this clinic speak native Hmong and Laotian languages, and the clinic has made an active effort to become more culturally competent to effectively treat its community.

"They have had some grant funding to hire a Hmong social worker who has helped them serve this community much more effectively," Hernandez explains. "The work of this individual is not only the traditional work performed by a social worker, but has also included reaching out to community leaders to aid in their work. The social worker has educated the providers and staff at this site on some of the key cultural mores of the Hmong culture." This measures the percentage of patients ages 18-75 with vascular disease who reached these four treatment goals to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease:

- Blood pressure less than140/90 mmHg
- LDL-C less than 100 mg/dl
- · Daily aspirin use unless contraindicated
- Documented tobacco-free status

The statewide rate for Optimal Vascular Care is 50%.

Credit is given for achieving this composite rate when all four measure components are met. Data collected for this measure are submitted directly to MNCM by medical groups/clinics from electronic health records or paper-based medical charts.





statewide results by race Optimal Vascular Care

Figure 5 shows statewide Optimal Vascular Care rates by race. Patients that reported being Some Other Race had the highest optimal care rate at 55%, but this rate was not significantly above the statewide average (50%). The Asian (54%) and White (51%) racial groups were significantly above the statewide average. American Indians or Alaskan Natives had the lowest rate of optimal care at 35%, which was significantly below the statewide average. Additionally the Multi-racial and Black or African American racial groups had optimal care rates significantly below the statewide average as well.

Comparing across racial categories, statewide rates for Some Other Race, Asian and White were significantly higher than statewide rates for Multi-racial, Black or African American and American Indian or Alaskan Natives.



FIGURE 5: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL VASCULAR CARE BY RACE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY Optimal Vascular Care

Figure 6 shows statewide Optimal Vascular Care rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Non-Hispanics had the highest optimal care rate (50%), but it was not significantly higher than the statewide average (50%) or the Hispanic optimal care rate (48%).



FIGURE 6: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL VASCULAR CARE BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE

Figure 7 shows statewide Optimal Vascular Care rates by preferred language. Patients who indicated Vietnamese was their preferred language had the highest rate of optimal care (53%), but that rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (50%). There were no preferred language groups with rates of optimal care significantly above the statewide average. Patients who indicated Somali was their preferred language had the lowest optimal care rate at 37%; this rate was significantly below the statewide average. Notably, patients that noted Spanish and Hmong were their preferred languages also had rates of optimal care below the statewide average. Statewide rates for patients who preferred Vietnamese and English as their languages. The statewide rate for patients who indicated Hmong and Somali as their preferred languages. The statewide rate for patients who preferred English and Spanish as their languages were not significantly different from each other.



FIGURE 7: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL VASCULAR CARE BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE

STATEWIDE RESULTS BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN Optimal Vascular Care

Figure 8 shows statewide Optimal Vascular Care rates by country of origin. Patients born in India had the highest rate of optimal care at 66%, which was significantly higher than the statewide average (50%). No other countries of origin had optimal care rates above the statewide average. Patients born in Somalia had the lowest rate of optimal care at 40%, which was significantly lower than the statewide average. Patients born in the United States and Laos also had an average optimal care rate below the statewide average. The statewide rate for patients born in India was the only rate significantly higher than the rate for United States-born patients. In contrast, the statewide rates for patients born in Laos and Somalia were significantly lower than the statewide rate of optimal care for United States-born patients.



FIGURE 8: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL VASCULAR CARE BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN



Please see next page.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Vascular Care

Statewide results showed variation in performance among the different geographic regions in Minnesota. Boundaries of the nine regions were determined by synthesizing health care and geopolitical data, including from the Metropolitan Council and State of Minnesota. The nine regions in alphabetical order are: Central, East Metro, Minneapolis, Northeast, Northwest, St. Paul, Southeast, Southwest and West Metro.

Regional Results by Race

Statewide rates for each racial group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. For Optimal Vascular Care, the West Metro region had the highest rate for most racial groups.

The highest and lowest rates for **each racial group** were found in the following regions:

- American Indian or Alaskan Native: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the St. Paul region at 41%; the lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 25%.
- Asian: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the West Metro region at 63%; the lowest rate was found in the St. Paul region at 52%.
- Black or African American: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the East Metro region at 42%; the lowest rate was found in the Minneapolis region at 33%.
- **Multi-racial**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the West Metro region at 56%; the lowest rate was found in the Central region at 33%.
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: This racial group was not reportable for any of the regions.
- **Some Other Race**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the St. Paul region at 70%; the lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 50%.
- White: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the West Metro region at 59%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 46%.

The White racial group had the highest rate in six out of the nine regions (this racial group was the only reportable group for the Southeast and Southwest regions). The Black or African American racial group had the lowest rate in four out of the nine regions.

The highest and lowest rates were held by the following racial groups in **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Three racial groups tied for the highest rate at 53%: Asians, patients with Some Other Race and Whites; Multi-racial patients had the lowest rate at 33%.
- West Metro: Asian patients had the highest rate at 63%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 40%.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Vascular Care

- **St. Paul**: Patients with Some Other Race had the highest rate at 70%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 37%.
- East Metro: White patients had the highest rate at 56%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 42%.
- Northwest: White patients had the highest rate at 46%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 31%.
- **Central**: Asian patients had the highest rate at 55%; both American Indian or Alaskan Native patients and Multi-racial patients had the lowest rate at 33%.
- Northeast: Patients with Some Other Race had the highest rate at 50%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 25%.
- Southeast: This region only contained one reportable racial group.
- Southwest: This region only contained one reportable racial group.

The following pages display graphics comparing Optimal Vascular Care regional rates by race. Rates are not displayed for racial groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Vascular Care Rates by Race



Optimal Vascular Care Rates by Race





Race

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Vascular Care

Regional Results by Hispanic Ethnicity

Statewide rates for each ethnic group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. Non-Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Hispanics in four regions (Central, Minneapolis, West Metro and Southwest). Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Non-Hispanics in three regions (Northwest, St. Paul and East Metro). There were two regions where the Hispanic population did not meet the minimum reporting threshold; no comparison could be made.

Hispanics had the highest rate in the East Metro region at 57%, and the lowest rate in the Southwest region at 42%.

Non-Hispanics had the highest rate in the West Metro region at 58%. The lowest rate for Non-Hispanics was found in the Northwest region at 46%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Vascular Care regional rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Rates are not displayed for ethnic groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Vascular Care Rates by Hispanic Ethnicity



Northeast			47%	N=7,270
Northwest	55%	N=33	46%	N=4,125
Central	45%	N=80	47%	N=12,646
Minneapolis	47%	N=242	51%	N=24,264
St. Paul	51%	N=205	49%	N=13,572
East Metro	57%	N=44	55%	N=6,784
West Metro	53%	N=32	58%	N=4,907
Southeast			54%	N=11,210
Southwest	42%	N=33	47%	N=3,771
	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic	

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.

48



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Vascular Care

Regional Results by Preferred Language

Statewide rates for each language group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following preferred language groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients who preferred speaking Laotian had the highest rate at 60%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 35%.
- West Metro: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 58%; patients who preferred speaking Russian had the lowest rate at 47%.
- **St. Paul**: Patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest rate at 55%; patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest rate at 37%.
- East Metro: There was only one reportable preferred language group for this region.
- Northwest: There was only one reportable preferred language group for this region.
- Central: There was only one reportable preferred language group for this region.
- Northeast: There was only one reportable preferred language group for this region.
- **Southeast**: Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate at 57%; patients who preferred speaking Arabic had the lowest rate at 53%.
- Southwest: There were only two reportable preferred language groups and both had rates at 47%.

For four regions, the only reportable preferred language group was **English**. The highest rate for this group was found in the West Metro region at 58%; the lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 46%.

The highest rate for patients who preferred speaking **Spanish** was found in the Southeast region at 57%; the lowest rate was found in the St. Paul region at 37%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Vascular Care regional rates by preferred language. Rates are not displayed for language groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Vascular Care Rates by Preferred Language



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Vascular Care

Regional Results by Country of Origin

Statewide rates for each country of origin group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following country of origin groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients born in India had the highest rate at 63%; patients born in the Philippines had the lowest rate at 36%.
- West Metro: There was only one reportable country of origin group for this region.
- **St. Paul**: Patients born in India had the highest rate at 69%; patients born in Russia had the lowest rate at 34%.
- East Metro: There was only one reportable country of origin group for this region.
- Northwest: There was only one reportable country of origin group for this region.
- **Central**: Patients born in the United States had the highest rate at 47%; patients born in Canada had the lowest rate at 31%.
- Northeast: Patients born in Canada had the highest rate at 60%; patients born in the United States had the lowest rate at 47%.
- Southeast: There was only one reportable country of origin group for this region.
- Southwest: There was only one reportable country of origin group for this region.

For five regions, the only reportable country of origin group was the **United States** (West Metro, East Metro, Northwest, Southeast and Southwest). The highest rate for this group was found in the West Metro region at 57%; the lowest rate was found in five regions at 47% (St. Paul, Northwest, Central, Northeast and Southwest).

Patients born in **Canada** had the highest rate in the Northeast region at 60%, and the lowest rate in the Central region at 31%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Vascular Care regional rates by country of origin. Rates are not displayed for countries that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Vascular Care Rates by Country of Origin



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



Please see next page.

statewide results Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

This measures the percentage of patients ages 18-50 with persistent asthma who have reached the following three targets to control their asthma:

- Evidence of well-controlled asthma determined through the use of an asthma control tool (e.g. Asthma Control Test (ACT); Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT); Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ); or Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ))
- Not at risk for elevated exacerbation as evidenced by patient-reported emergency department visits and hospitalizations
- Patient has been educated about his/her asthma and self-management of the condition and has received a written asthma management plan

The statewide rate for Optimal Asthma Care - Adults is 47%.

Data collected for this measure are submitted directly to MNCM by medical groups and clinics from

electronic health records or paper-based medical charts.

Figure 9 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care -Adults by race. The Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander racial group had the highest rate of optimal care at 55%; however, this rate was not significantly above the statewide average (47%) or the White racial group optimal rate (51%). The White racial group was the only racial group significantly above the statewide average at 51%. The Unknown racial group had the lowest rate of optimal care at 16%, and this was significantly below the statewide average. Additionally the Some Other Race, American Indian or Alaskan Native and the Black or African American groups had rates significantly below the statewide average as well. Notably, the Black or African American racial group and American Indian or Alaska Native group had significantly lower optimal rates than the Asian and White racial groups.



FIGURE 9: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - ADULTS BY RACE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

Figure 10 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care - Adults rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Non-Hispanics had a significantly higher rate of optimal care (49%) than Hispanics (42%) and the statewide average (47%). Hispanics had rate of optimal care that was significantly lower than the statewide average.



FIGURE 10: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - ADULTS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY



statewide results by preferred language Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

Figure 11 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care - Adults rates by preferred language. Patients who indicated English was their preferred language had the highest rate of optimal care (47%), and this rate was significantly higher than the statewide average (47%). The lowest rate of optimal care was held by patients that indicated Somali as their preferred language at 25%; this rate was significantly below the statewide average. The English preferred language optimal rate was significantly higher than both the Somali and Spanish preferred language optimal rates.



FIGURE 11: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - ADULTS BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

Figure 12 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care - Adults rates by country of origin. Patients born in South Korea had the highest rate of optimal care at 61%, and this rate was significantly higher than the statewide average (56%) and the optimal rate for patients born in the United States (47%). Additionally, two other country of origin optimal rates were significantly higher than the statewide average: India and the United States. Patients born in Somalia had the lowest optimal rate at 30%, which was significantly lower than the statewide average. The optimal rate for patients born in Mexico (44%) was also significantly lower than the statewide average. Notably, patients born in Mexico and Somalia had rates of optimal care below the statewide average and the United States.



FIGURE 12: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - ADULTS BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN



Please see next page.

REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

PERSPECTIVES ON HEALTH EQUITY RAHSHANA PRICE-ISUK, MD MEDICAL DIRECTOR NEIGHBORHOOD HEALTHSOURCE

Dr. Rahshana Price-Isuk understands the value of providing patients with access to quality interpreter services and of including patients' family in health care discussions.

"It's very important to many cultures to include – and not unintentionally exclude – family members," Price-Isuk says. "Some cultures rely on elders or other family members to have input on key decisions related to health. They can serve as valuable sources of information and understanding of cultural perspectives of an individual's health."

Price-Isuk recommends taking time to listen, ask questions and gain as much understanding as possible on a patient's perspective of their health, medicine and cultural practices that may impact health. Educating patients about why certain care is recommended also improves results. Knowing a patient's race is also important to provide great care. "For example, we know that certain medications—such as HCTZ and calcium channel blockers for blood pressure control—are more effective or better tolerated by African Americans," Price-Isuk says.

She acknowledges challenges in treating patients for whom English is not their first language or who were born in other countries. "Community mistrust of the health care system and lack of trust in provider/physician-patient relationship can lead to disparities in care," she says. "We need to ensure we place a high priority on cultural competency training, spending adequate time with patients and increasing staffing for care coordination."

Neighborhood Healthsource employs multiple strategies to reduce disparities, including hiring multi-lingual staff, including front desk/scheduling, billing, medical assistance and providers. They have community outreach staff that go into communities to provide screenings and education on health topics such as mammograms, diabetes and high blood pressure. They also conduct cultural competency assessments and training for all staff. Closing disparity gaps will improve the health of individuals, families and our entire population, says Price-Isuk, as well as decrease financial burden and health care costs – creating a more productive society overall. Statewide results showed variation in performance among the different geographic regions in Minnesota. Boundaries of the nine regions were determined by synthesizing health care and geopolitical data, including from the Metropolitan Council and State of Minnesota. The nine regions in alphabetical order are: Central, East Metro, Minneapolis, Northeast, Northwest, St. Paul, Southeast, Southwest and West Metro.

Regional Results by Race

Statewide rates for each racial group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. For Optimal Asthma Care - Adults, the Northern regions of Minnesota (Northeast and Northwest) generally had lower rates compared to other regions.

The highest and lowest rates for **each racial group** were found in the following regions:

- American Indian or Alaskan Native: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Central region at 57%; the lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 16%.
- **Asian**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Central region at 65%; the lowest rate was found in the West Metro region at 49%.
- Black or African American: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the East Metro region at 45%; the lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 19%.
- **Multi-racial**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Southeast region at 75%; the lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 15%.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: This racial group did not meet the minimum reporting threshold for any of the nine regions.
- **Some Other Race**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the St. Paul region at 46%; the lowest rate was found in the Minneapolis region at 30%.
- White: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the East Metro region at 60%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 18%.

The White racial group had the highest rate in six out of the nine regions. The White racial group was the only reportable group for the Southwest region. Both the Black or African American and American Indian or Alaskan Native racial groups had the lowest rate in three out of the nine regions.

The highest and lowest rates were held by the following racial groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: White patients had the highest rate at 57%; patients with Some Other Race had the lowest rate at 30%.
- West Metro: Multi-racial patients had the highest rate at 65%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 39%.
- **St. Paul**: White patients had the highest rate at 57%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 31%.
- East Metro: White patients had the highest rate at 60%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 42%.
- Northwest: White patients had the highest rate at 35%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 16%.
- **Central**: Asian patients had the highest rate at 65%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 36%.
- Northeast: White patients had the highest rate at 35%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 16%.
- **Southeast**: Multi-racial patients had the highest rate at 75%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 38%.
- Southwest: There was only one reportable racial group for this region.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Adult regional rates by race. Rates are not displayed for racial groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Asthma Care - Adult Rates by Race



56%

75%

31%

43%

57%

30%

Optimal Asthma Care - Adult Rates by Race



or Alaskan Native

African American



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

Regional Results by Hispanic Ethnicity

Statewide rates for each ethnic group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. Non-Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Hispanics in five out of the eight regions in which both ethnic groups met the minimum reporting threshold. Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Non-Hispanics for three regions: St. Paul, East Metro, and Southeast. Rates were very similar for the two ethnic groups in the East Metro region; Hispanics were only one percentage point higher than Non-Hispanics. The largest difference in rates between the two ethnic groups was found in the Southeast region where Hispanics had a rate 15 percentage points higher than Non-Hispanics.

Hispanics had the highest rate in the Southeast region at 61%, and the lowest rate in the Southwest region at 14%.

Non-Hispanics had the highest rate in the East Metro region at 57%. The lowest rate for Non-Hispanics was found in the Northwest region at 26%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Adults regional rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Rates are not displayed for ethnic groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Asthma Care - Adult Rates by Hispanic Ethnicity



Northeast			34%	N=2,819
Northwest	16%	N=38	26%	N=1,399
Central	37%	N=63	50%	N=7,257
Minneapolis	44%	N=553	49%	N=16,205
St. Paul	51%	N=292	49%	N=8,980
East Metro	58%	N=136	57%	N=6,906
West Metro	51%	N=124	54%	N=6,948
Southeast	61%	N=41	46%	N=4,954
Southwest	14%	N=35	27%	N=2,277
	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic	

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.

64



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

Regional Results by Preferred Language

Statewide rates for each language group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following preferred language groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 49%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 20%.
- West Metro: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **St. Paul**: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 50%; patients who preferred speaking Hmong had the lowest rate at 16%.
- East Metro: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- Northwest: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Central**: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- Northeast: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Southeast**: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Southwest**: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 27%; patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest rate at 13%.

Patients who preferred speaking **English** had the highest rate in all of the nine regions. The English preferred language group was the only reportable group for six of the regions. The group's highest rate was found in the East Metro region at 57%, and their lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 26%. This is a difference of 31 percentage points.

The highest rate for patients who preferred speaking **Spanish** was found in the Minneapolis region at 41%, and the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 13%. This is a difference of 28 percentage points.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Adults regional rates by preferred language. Rates are not displayed for language groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Asthma Care - Adult Rates by Preferred Language



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Adults

Regional Results by Country of Origin

Statewide rates for each country of origin group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following country of origin groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients born in South Korea had the highest rate at 53%; patients born in Laos had the lowest rate at 16%.
- West Metro: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **St. Paul**: Patients born in the United States had the highest rate at 50%; patients born in Laos had the lowest rate at 20%.
- East Metro: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- Northwest: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Central**: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- Northeast: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Southeast**: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Southwest**: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.

The **United States** country of origin group was the only reportable group in seven regions. The group's highest rate was found in the East Metro region at 59%, and their lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 27%. This is a difference of 32 percentage points.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Adults regional rates by country of origin. Rates are not displayed for country of origin groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Asthma Care - Adult Rates by Country of Origin



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



Please see next page.

statewide Results Optimal Asthma Care - Children

PERSPECTIVES ON HEALTH EQUITY ZOBEIDA BONILLA, PHD, MPH ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF MN PUBLIC HEALTH

Dr. Zobeida Bonilla has learned communication and compassionate care strongly influence whether people return to an organization for services and follow care recommendations they've been given. "Compassionate care is care that makes people feel comfortable, welcomed and respected regardless of language," explains Bonilla. "It is a critical aspect of providing culturally competent care."

Bonilla adds that the collection of race, ethnicity, and language (REL) data is important to identify gaps in care; identify factors that may affect access to services; and develop mechanisms to address specific factors adversely impacting access to and the provision of care.

"Identifying and demonstrating the magnitude of a problem is the beginning," she says. "This will lead to targeted efforts to inform and educate those who have the ability to create policy and mobilize resources to address the disparities."

Bonilla acknowledges a variety of different types of gaps exist. "There is an avoidable and unnecessary difference in the number of people affected by certain conditions, such as depression, asthma and cervical cancer. There also are significant differences in access to health services that are creating a gap in both the provision of care (e.g., screenings) and in enabling services (e.g., transportation or interpretation services) among others."

She also points to a number of different resources for providers who want to learn more about culturally competent care. These include National CLAS Standards and Think Cultural Health (<u>https://www.thinkculturalhealth.hhs.gov/index.asp</u>); national and state advocacy organizations; state and federal offices of the Office of Minority Health; and professional societies.

"States will also have these resources based on the demographics of their state," says Bonilla. "These might be housed under the office of diversity and/or minority health; however, other offices such as the state Bureau of Maternal and Child Health might have resources on culturally competent care tailored to the population or group with which they work." This measures the percentage of patients ages 5-17 with persistent asthma who have reached the following three targets to control their asthma:

- Evidence of well-controlled asthma determined through the use of an asthma control tool (e.g. Asthma Control Test (ACT); Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT); Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ); or Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ))
- Not at risk for elevated exacerbation as evidenced by patient-reported emergency department visits and hospitalizations
- Patient has been educated about his/her asthma and self-management of the condition and has received a written asthma management plan

The statewide rate for Optimal Asthma Care - Children is 56%.

Data collected for this measure are submitted directly to MNCM by medical groups and clinics from electronic health records or paper-based medical charts.



statewide results by race Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Figure 13 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care - Children rates by race. The Asian racial group had the highest rate of optimal care at 61%, which is significantly above the statewide average (56%). However, it was not significantly above the White racial group, which was the only other racial group significantly above the statewide average at 58%. The American Indian or Alaskan Native racial group had the lowest rate of optimal care at 34%, and this was significantly below the statewide average. Additionally, the Black or African American, Some Other Race and the Unknown groups had rates significantly below the statewide average as well. Notably, the Black or African American racial group had a significantly lower optimal rate than the Asian and White racial groups.



FIGURE 13: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - CHILDREN BY RACE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Figure 14 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care - Children rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Non-Hispanics had a significantly higher rate of optimal care (56%) than Hispanics (53%), but this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (56%). Hispanics had rate of optimal care that was significantly lower than the statewide average.



FIGURE 14: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - CHILDREN BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY


STATEWIDE RESULTS BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Figure 15 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care - Children rates by preferred language. Patients who indicated English was their preferred language had the highest rate of optimal care (56%), but this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (56%). There were no optimal care rates for preferred language that were significantly higher than the statewide average. The lowest rate of optimal care was held by patients that indicated Somali as their preferred language at 52%; this rate was significantly below the statewide average. The English preferred language optimal rate was significantly higher than the Somali preferred language at rate.



FIGURE 15: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - CHILDREN BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Figure 16 shows statewide Optimal Asthma Care - Children rates by country of origin. Patients born in United States had the highest rate of optimal care at 56%; however, this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (56%). Patients born in Somalia had the lowest optimal rate at 42%, which was significantly lower than the statewide average as well as the optimal rate for patients born in Mexico (44%). Notably, patients born in Mexico and Somalia had rates of optimal care below the statewide average and the United States-born optimal rate.



FIGURE 16: STATEWIDE RATES FOR OPTIMAL ASTHMA CARE - CHILDREN BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN



Please see next page.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Statewide results showed variation in performance among the different geographic regions in Minnesota. Boundaries of the nine regions were determined by synthesizing health care and geopolitical data, including from the Metropolitan Council and State of Minnesota. The nine regions in alphabetical order are: Central, East Metro, Minneapolis, Northeast, Northwest, St. Paul, Southeast, Southwest and West Metro.

Regional Results by Race

Statewide rates for each racial group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. For Optimal Asthma Care - Children, the West Metro region generally had higher rates than other regions. The border regions of Minnesota (Northeast, Northwest, Southeast and Southwest) generally had lower rates compared to more inner Minnesota regions.

The highest and lowest rates for **each racial group** were found in the following regions:

- American Indian or Alaskan Native: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Minneapolis region at 47%; the lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 25%.
- Asian: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Central region at 77%; the lowest rate was found in the Minneapolis region at 58%.
- Black or African American: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Central region at 60%; the lowest rate was found in the Southeast region at 30%.
- **Multi-racial**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the West Metro region at 67%; the lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 15%.
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: This racial group did not meet the minimum reporting threshold for any of the nine regions.
- **Some Other Race**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the St. Paul region at 59%; the lowest rate was found in two regions, Northeast and Minneapolis, at 48%.
- White: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the West Metro region at 70%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 22%.

The White racial group had the highest rate in three out of the nine regions. Both the Black or African American and American Indian or Alaskan Native racial groups had the lowest rate in three out of the nine regions.

The highest and lowest rates were held by the following racial groups for **each region**:

• **Minneapolis**: White patients had the highest rate at 67%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 47%.



REGIONAL RESULTS

Optimal Asthma Care - Children

- West Metro: White patients had the highest rate at 70%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 58%.
- **St. Paul**: Asian patients had the highest rate at 60%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 44%.
- East Metro: Asian patients had the highest rate at 71%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 34%.
- Northwest: American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the highest rate at 36%; Multi-racial patients had the lowest rate at 15%.
- **Central**: Asian patients had the highest rate at 77%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 43%.
- Northeast: Patients with Some Other Race had the highest rate at 48%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 25%.
- **Southeast**: Multi-racial patients had the highest rate at 66%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 30%.
- Southwest: White patients had the highest rate at 22%; Multi-racial patients had the lowest rate at 21%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Children regional rates by race. Rates are not displayed for racial groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Asthma Care - Children Rates by Race



67%



Optimal Asthma Care - Children Rates by Race



or Alaskan Native

African American



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Regional Results by Hispanic Ethnicity

Statewide rates for each ethnic group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. Non-Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Hispanics in four out of the seven regions in which both ethnic groups met the minimum reporting threshold. Hispanics and Non-Hispanics had the same rate of 59% for the Minneapolis region. Hispanics had a higher rate compared to Non-Hispanics in the St. Paul and Southeast regions. The largest difference in rates between the two ethnic groups was found in the Southwest region where Non-Hispanics had a rate 13 percentage points higher than Hispanics.

Hispanics had the highest rate in the West Metro region at 65%, and the lowest rate in the Southwest region at 19%.

Non-Hispanics had the highest rate in the West Metro region at 68%. The lowest rate for Non-Hispanics was found in the Northwest region at 23%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Children regional rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Rates are not displayed for ethnic groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Asthma Care - Children Rates by Hispanic Ethnicity



Northeast				45%	6	N=1,550
Northwest					23%	N=606
Central	57%		N=70	60%	6	N=4,216
Minneapolis	59%		N=916	59%	6	N=9,132
St. Paul	54%		N=266	53%	b	N=6,288
East Metro	56%		N=156	66%	6	N=3,734
West Metro	65%		N=165	68%	6	N=4,728
Southeast	61%	• • • • • • • •	N=56	58%	6	N=3,352
Southwest	19%		N=67		32%	N=1,377
	Hispa	nic		Non	-Hispanic	

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.

81



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Regional Results by Preferred Language

Statewide rates for each language group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following preferred language groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate at 60%; patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the lowest rate at 42%.
- West Metro: Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate at 71%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 63%.
- **St. Paul**: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 54%; patients who preferred speaking Hmong had the lowest rate at 31%.
- East Metro: Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate at 68%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 50%.
- Northwest: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Central**: Patients who preferred speaking Somali had the highest rate at 64%; patients who preferred speaking English had the lowest rate at 60%.
- Northeast: There was only one preferred language group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Southeast**: Patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the highest rate at 83%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest rate at 42%.
- **Southwest**: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest rate at 31%; patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest rate at 17%.

Patients who preferred speaking **English** had the highest rate in four out of the nine regions: the English preferred language group was the only reportable group for the Northwest and Northeast region. The group's highest rate was found in the West Metro region at 69%, and their lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 24%; this is a difference of 45 percentage points.

Patients who preferred speaking **Spanish** had the highest rate for four out of the nine regions. The highest rate for patients who preferred speaking Spanish was found in the West Metro region at 71%, and the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 17%. This is a difference of 54 percentage points.

Patients who preferred speaking **Somali** had the lowest rate in three out of the nine regions. The highest rate for patients who preferred speaking Somali was found in the Central region at 64%, and the lowest rate was found in the Southeast region at 42%. This is a difference of 22 percentage points.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Children regional rates by preferred language. Rates are not displayed for language groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Asthma Care - Children Rates by Preferred Language



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



REGIONAL RESULTS Optimal Asthma Care - Children

Regional Results by Country of Origin

Statewide rates for each language group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest rates were held by the following country of origin groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients born in the United States had the highest rate at 57%; patients who born in Somalia had the lowest rate at 48%.
- West Metro: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **St. Paul**: Patients born in the United States had the highest rate at 54%; patients born in Thailand had the lowest rate at 34%.
- East Metro: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- Northwest: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Central**: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- Northeast: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Southeast**: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.
- **Southwest**: There was only one country of origin group that met the minimum reporting threshold for this measure.

The **United States** country of origin group was the only reportable group for seven regions. The group's highest rate was found in the West Metro region at 69%, and their lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 25%. This is a difference of 44 percentage points.

The following page displays graphics comparing Optimal Asthma Care - Children regional rates by country of origin. Rates are not displayed for country of origin groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Optimal Childhood Asthma Care Rates by Country of Origin



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage received optimal care.



Please see next page.

STATEWIDE RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

PERSPECTIVES ON HEALTH EQUITY BETH AVERBECK, MD ASSOCIATE MEDICAL DIRECTOR, PRIMARY CARE HEALTHPARTNERS

HealthPartners has been at the forefront of tracking race, ethnicity, language and country of origin (REL) among patients since they began collecting the data in 2004.

"We reached out to community members to seek their advice on how we should be asking our patients," explains Beth Averbeck, Associate Medical Director of Primary Care at HealthPartners. "They helped us with the context we use. We ask preferred language when a patient schedules an appointment, and then when the care team works with the patient, race and country of origin are self identified. The patients' answers are stored in our electronic health record so they don't need to be asked again."

Averbeck says tracking REL data has improved patient care and outcomes. "It allows us to have trained interpreters available for our patients," she says. "We track the languages and type of interpreters used, and if we have quite a few patients with a particular language, we may add a full-time interpreter at that clinic."

In addition, Averbeck says REL data helps identify disparities in the populations they serve. "Each of our clinics gets their own REL data so they can reach out to their patients to close any disparity gaps," she says.

"In Minnesota, we have a growing population of citizens from communities of color, and it's in the best interest of our state population to identify and close any gaps. We know there are also gaps based on socioeconomic status, and we can work with our communities and their resources to help with some of the gaps that affect health, such as food and shelter."

Averbeck notes HealthPartners has had success closing the gap in colorectal cancer screening and breast cancer screening rates, as well as patients with diabetes. "HealthPartners is currently focused on reducing disparities in preventive services, diabetes and childhood immunizations," she says. "The goal is to improve the care for all while closing any gaps." This measures the percentage of adults ages 51-75 who are up-to-date with the appropriate screening for colorectal cancer. Appropriate screenings for purposes of this measure include one of the following:

- Fecal occult blood test (FOBT) during the measurement year; or,
- Flexible sigmoidoscopy during the measurement year or the four years prior; or,
- Colonoscopy during the measurement year or the nine years prior.

The statewide rate for Colorectal Cancer Screening is 70%.

Data collected for this measure are submitted directly to MNCM by medical groups/clinics from electronic health records or paper-based medical charts.



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY RACE Colorectal Cancer Screening

Figure 17 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by race. The White racial group had the highest rate of optimal care at 71%, and this rate was the only rate significantly above the statewide average (70%). The Unknown racial group had the lowest rate of optimal care at 51% and this was significantly below the statewide average. Notably, with the exception of the White racial group, all racial groups' optimal rates were significantly below the statewide average.



FIGURE 17: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING BY RACE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY Colorectal Cancer Screening

Figure 18 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Non-Hispanics had a significantly higher rate of screening (70%) than Hispanics (58%) and the statewide average (70%). Hispanics had a screening rate that was significantly lower than the statewide average.



FIGURE 18: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY

STATEWIDE RESULTS BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE

Figure 19 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by preferred language. Patients who indicated Tibetan as their preferred language had the highest rate of screening (75%); however, this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (70%). Three preferred language screening rates were significantly higher than the statewide average: Sign Language (75%), Cantonese (75%) and English (71%). The lowest rate of screening was held by patients that indicated Somali as their preferred language at 21%; this rate was significantly below the statewide average and all other preferred language rates. Notably, patients who preferred the Spanish and Hmong languages had significantly lower screening rates than patients who preferred the English language.



FIGURE 19: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING BY PREFERRED LANGUAGE

STATEWIDE RESULTS BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

Figure 20 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by country of origin. Patients born in Argentina had the highest screening rate at 82%, which was significantly higher than the statewide average (70%). The only other country of origin that had a screening rate significantly above the statewide average was the United States. Patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 22%, which was significantly lower than the statewide average include average. Other notable countries of origin with screening rates significantly below the statewide average include the Phillipines, Ethiopia, and Mexico.



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY GLOBAL REGION & COUNTRY OF ORIGIN Colorectal Cancer Screening

Figure 21 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by country of origin for **African countries**. Patients born in United Arab Emirates had the highest screening rate at 63% but this rate was significantly lower than the statewide average (70%). In fact, all rates for African countries of origin are significantly below the statewide average. Patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 22%.



FIGURE 21: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING BY GLOBAL REGION - AFRICA

Figure 22 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by country of origin for **Asian countries**. Patients born in Afghanistan had the highest screening rate at 73% but this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (70%). Notably, patients born in Russia and India had significantly lower rates than the statewide average. Patients born in Iraq had the lowest screening rate at 46%.





STATEWIDE RESULTS BY GLOBAL REGION & COUNTRY OF ORIGIN Colorectal Cancer Screening

Figure 23 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by country of origin for the **Central America/Carribean**. Patients born in Puerto Rico had the highest screening rate at 63% but this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (70%). All other countries in this region had screening rates significantly below the statewide average. Patients born in Honduras had the lowest screening rate at 45%.

Figure 24 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by country of origin for **European countries**. Patients born in Ireland had the highest screening rate at 75% but this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average. Three European countries of origin had screening rates below the statewide average: Norway at 61%; Ukraine at 57%; and the lowest screening rate in Bosnia Herzegovina at 51%.

FIGURE 23: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING BY GLOBAL REGION - CENTRAL AMERICA/ CARRIBEAN





FIGURE 24: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING BY GLOBAL REGION - EUROPE



STATEWIDE RESULTS BY GLOBAL REGION & COUNTRY OF ORIGIN **Colorectal Cancer Screening**

Figure 25 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by country of origin for Southeast Asian countries. Patients born in Australia had the highest screening rate at 77%, but this rate was not significantly higher than the statewide average (70%). All other countries in this region, excluding Vietnam, had screening rates significantly below the statewide average. Patients born in Laos had the lowest screening rate at 40%.



FIGURE 25: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING BY GLOBAL REGION - SOUTHEAST ASIA

Figure 26 shows statewide Colorectal Cancer Screening rates by country of origin for **South** American countries. Patients born in Argentina had the highest screening rate at 82%, and this rate was significantly higher than the statewide average and all other South American countries. Three South American countries of origin had screening rates below the statewide average: Peru at 60%; Guyana at 60%; and the lowest screening rate in Ecuador at 51%.



FIGURE 26: STATEWIDE RATES FOR COLORECTAL CANCER



Please see next page.



REGIONAL RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

Statewide results showed variation in performance among the different geographic regions in Minnesota. Boundaries of the nine regions were determined by synthesizing health care and geopolitical data, including from the Metropolitan Council and State of Minnesota. The nine regions in alphabetical order are: Central, East Metro, Minneapolis, Northeast, Northwest, St. Paul, Southeast, Southwest and West Metro.

Regional Results by Race

Statewide rates for each racial group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. For Colorectal Cancer Screening, the St. Paul and East Metro regions generally had higher screening rates than other regions. The Southwest region had lower screening rates compared to other regions.

The highest and lowest screening rates for **each racial group** were found in the following regions:

- American Indian or Alaskan Native: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Southeast region at 78%; the lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 48%.
- Asian: The highest rate for this racial group was found in two regions, Central and West Metro, at 68%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 35%.
- Black or African American: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the East Metro region at 68%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 32%.
- **Multi-racial**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Central region at 70%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 47%.
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the St. Paul region at 69%; the lowest rate was found in the Central region at 54%.
- **Some Other Race**: The highest rate for this racial group was found in the Northeast region at 67%; the lowest rate was found in the Northwest region at 41%.
- White: The highest rate for this racial group was found in two regions, St. Paul and East Metro, at 74%; the lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 64%.

The White racial group had the highest screening rate in eight out of the nine regions; the American Indian or Alaskan Native racial group had a higher screening rate (78%) compared to the White racial group (73%) in the Southeast region. The Black or African American racial group had the lowest screening rate in four out of the nine regions.



REGIONAL RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

The highest and lowest screening rates were held by the following racial groups in **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: White patients had the highest rate at 72%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 54%.
- West Metro: White patients had the highest rate at 73%; patients with Some Other Race had the lowest rate at 46%.
- **St. Paul**: Patients with Some Other Race had the highest rate at 70%; Multi-racial patients had the lowest rate at 59%.
- **East Metro**: White patients had the highest rate at 74%; Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander patients and patients with Some Other Race both had the lowest rate at 58%.
- Northwest: White patients had the highest rate at 68%; patients with Some Other Race had the lowest rate at 41%.
- **Central**: White patients had the highest rate at 74%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 45%.
- Northeast: White patients had the highest rate at 69%; American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the lowest rate at 48%.
- **Southeast**: American Indian or Alaskan Native patients had the highest rate at 78%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 43%.
- **Southwest**: White patients had the highest rate at 64%; Black or African American patients had the lowest rate at 32%.

The following pages display graphics comparing Colorectal Cancer Screening regional rates by race. Rates are not displayed for racial groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates by Race



73%

West

Metro

54%

57%

61%

63%

72%

55%

64%

62%

66%

63%

61%

74%

69%

59%

Southwest

35%

47% 64%

32%

67%

56%

Southeast

73%

78%

43%

51%

Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates by Race



American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian

Black or African American Multi Racial

Northeast	••••••		67%	N=475	69%	N=50,373
Northwest	••••••	•••••	41%	N=34	68%	N=41,955
Central	54%	N=52	52%	N=60	74%	N=116,066
Minneapolis	55%	N=188	61%	N=478	72%	N=161,647
St. Paul	69%	N=55	66%	N=427	74%	N=91,664
East Metro	58%	N=62	58%	N=121	74%	N=91,660
West Metro	68%	N=77	46%	N=61	73%	N=78,880
Southeast			••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	73%	N=29,003
Southwest			••••		64%	N=35,985
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		Some Ot Race	her	White	e	

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage were screened.



REGIONAL RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

Regional Results by Hispanic Ethnicity

Statewide rates for each ethnic group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. Non-Hispanics had a higher screening rate compared to Hispanics for all nine regions. The largest difference in screening rates between the two ethnic groups was found in the Southwest region where Non-Hispanics had a screening rate 24 percentage points higher than Hispanics.

Hispanics had the highest screening rate in the Central region at 67%, and the lowest screening rate in the Southwest region at 43%.

Non-Hispanics had the highest screening rate in the Southeast region at 74%. The lowest screening rate for Non-Hispanics was found in the Southwest region at 67%.

The following page displays graphics comparing Colorectal Cancer Screening regional rates by Hispanic ethnicity. Rates are not displayed for ethnic groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates by Hispanic Ethnicity



Northeast	52%	N=86	68%	N=55,532
Northwest	54%	N=140	68%	N=44,153
Central	67%	N=748	72%	N=136,933
Minneapolis	57%	N=3,674	69%	N=211,783
St. Paul	62%	N=2,004	69%	N=147,729
East Metro	63%	N=829	73%	N=102,007
West Metro	60%	N=821	72%	N=95,599
Southeast	53%	N=399	74%	N=142,923
Southwest	43%	N=526	67%	N=60,276
	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic	

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage were screened.

101

HispanicNon-Hispanic

© MN Community Measurement 2015. All rights reserved. May be used by participating provider groups as defined in the Medical Group Data Sharing Agreement.



REGIONAL RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

Regional Results by Preferred Language

Statewide rates for each language group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest screening rates were held by the following preferred language groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients who preferred speaking Cantonese had the highest screening rate at 78%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate at 18%.
- West Metro: Patients who preferred speaking Vietnamese had the highest screening rate at 75%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate at 29%.
- **St. Paul**: Patients who preferred speaking Sign Language had the highest screening rate at 79%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate at 23%.
- East Metro: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest screening rate at 73%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate at 37%.
- Northwest: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest screening rate at 68%; patients who preferred speaking Spanish had the lowest screening rate at 49%.
- **Central**: Patients who preferred speaking Sign Language had the highest screening rate at 77%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate at 19%.
- Northeast: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest screening rate at 68%; patients who preferred speaking a language not listed for MNCM had the lowest screening rate at 56%.
- **Southeast**: Patients who preferred speaking Sign Language had the highest screening rate at 83%; patients who preferred speaking Somali had the lowest screening rate at 36%.
- **Southwest**: Patients who preferred speaking English had the highest screening rate at 67%; patients who preferred speaking Hmong had the lowest screening rate at 20%.

Patients who preferred speaking **English** had the highest screening rate in four out of the nine regions. The group's highest rate was found in the Southeast region at 75%, and their lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 67%. This is a difference of eight percentage points.

Patients who preferred speaking **Laotian** had the highest screening rate in the Southeast region at 60%, and their lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 22%. This is a difference of 38 percentage points.

Patients who preferred speaking **Spanish** had the lowest screening rate in one out of the nine regions. The highest rate for patients who preferred speaking Spanish was found in the East Metro region at 55%, and the lowest rate was found in the St. Paul region at 32%. This is a difference of 23 percentage points.

Patients who preferred speaking **Somali** had the lowest screening rate in six out of the nine regions. The highest rate for patients who preferred speaking Somali was found in the East Metro region at 37%, and the lowest rate was found in the Minneapolis region at 18%. This is a difference of 19 percentage points.



REGIONAL RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

Patients who preferred speaking **Vietnamese** had the highest screening rate in one out of the nine regions. The group's highest rate was found in the West Metro region at 75%, and their lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 47%. This is a difference of 28 percentage points.

The following pages display graphics comparing Colorectal Cancer Screening regional rates by preferred language. Rates are not displayed for language groups that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates by Preferred Language







N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage were screened.



Colorectal Cancer Screening Care Rates by Preferred Language



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage were screened.



REGIONAL RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

Regional Results by Country of Origin

Statewide rates for each country of origin group were stratified by region for comparative analyses. The highest and lowest screening rates were held by the following country of origin groups for **each region**:

- **Minneapolis**: Patients born in France and Norway had the highest screening rate at 77%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 21%.
- West Metro: Patients born in Vietnam had the highest screening rate at 77%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 29%.
- **St. Paul**: Patients born in Iran had the highest screening rate at 84%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 31%.
- East Metro: Patients born in South Korea had the highest screening rate at 80%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 44%.
- Northwest: Patients born in Canada had the highest screening rate at 74%; patients born in Iraq had the lowest screening rate at 40%.
- **Central**: Patients born in Japan had the highest screening rate at 76%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 22%.
- Northeast: Patients born in the United Kingdom had the highest screening rate at 80%; patients born in Canada had the lowest screening rate at 58%.
- **Southeast**: Patients born in the United States, Germany and Canada had the highest screening rate at 72%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 16%.
- **Southwest**: Patients born in the Philippines had the highest screening rate at 71%; patients born in Somalia had the lowest screening rate at 21%.

Patients born in the **United States** had the highest screening rate in one out of the nine regions. The group's highest rate was found in the East Metro region at 74%, and their lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 67%. This is a difference of seven percentage points.

Patients born in **Canada** had the highest screening rate in two out of the nine regions and the lowest screening rate in one out of the nine regions. The group's highest rate was found in the Minneapolis region at 75%, and their lowest rate was found in the Northeast region at 58%. This is a difference of 17 percentage points.

Patients born in **Germany** had the highest screening rate in one out of the nine regions. The group's highest rate was found in the East Metro region at 77%, and their lowest rate was found in the Southwest region at 60%. This is a difference of 17 percentage points.

The highest screening rate for patients born in **Mexico** was found in the East Metro region at 64%, and their lowest rate was found in the St. Paul region at 36%. This is a difference of 28 percentage points.



REGIONAL RESULTS Colorectal Cancer Screening

Patients born in **Somalia** had the lowest screening rate in seven out of the nine regions. The group's highest rate was found in the East Metro region at 44%, and their lowest rate was found in the Southeast region at 16%. This is a difference of 28 percentage points.

The following pages display graphics comparing Colorectal Cancer Screening regional rates by country of origin. Rates are not displayed for countries that have less than 30 patients in a region. Denominator values (N) are displayed for reportable groups.

Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates by Country of Origin

MINNE	APOLIS
	N
United States	71% 151,093
Afghanistan	71% 45
Argentina	61% 33
Australia	74% 34
Belarus	65% 72
Bosnia Herzegovina	57% 129
Brazil	75% 40
Cambodia	59% 1/6
Cameroon	59% 59 7 50/ 267
China	73%
Colombia	60% 68
Cuba	47% 92
Ecuador	52% 291
Egypt	57% 187
El Salvador	56% 127
Eritrea	54% 63
Ethiopia	43% 834
France	77% 71
Germany	65% 373
Ghana	55% 86
Greece	58% 36
Guatemala	51% 74
Guyana	61% 244
Honduras	38% 47
Indid	60% 494 700(156
Iran Inag	10% 156
Trug Ireland	71 %
Israel	52% 46
Istaly	59% 37
Iamaica	60% 63
Japan	67% 112
Kenya	46% 201
Laos	42% 1,123
Lebanon	71% 58
Liberia	50% 747
Luxembourg	64% 45
Mexico	47% 1,608
Moldova	39% 31
Morocco	58% 33
Netherlands Nigoui a	(4%) 31 E70(27E
Ivigeria Norma	77% 3/5
1 voi way Other	69% 701
Pakistan	37% 76
Panama	71% 38
Peru	62% 68
Philippines	63% 350
Poland	68% 94

Щ	
MINNE	APOLIS
Romania	50%
Russia	62% 410
Ciana I aona	60%
Sterra Leone	33
Somana S. J. A.C.L.	21%
South Africa	61% 49
South Korea	71% 188
Spain	68% 31
Sudan	46% 35
Sweden	65% 46
Taiwan	65% 52
Tanzania	69% 35
Thailand	47% 60
Togo	55% 58
Trinidad & Tobago	53% 32
Uganda	67% 36
Ukraine	53% 153
United Arab Emirates	64% 47
United Kingdom	70% 214
Vietnam	72% 1.190
	-
WEST	METRO
	N
United States	73% 55.624
Relarus	71% 78
Cambodia	69% 100
Canada	73% 156
China	70%
Ethiopia	54%
Carro ann	69%
Germany	57 %
Guyana India	57%
Inala	710/ 49
Iran	7 1% 48 5 00(
Laos	58%
Liberia	58% 33
Mexico	51%
Moldova	61% 33
Nigeria	48% 31
Other	61% 57
Philippines	71% 91
Russia	65% 331
Somalia	29% 156
South Korea	
T T1 .	62% 58
Ukraine	62% 58 65% 113
Ukraine United Kingdom	62% 58 65% 113 68% 110
Ukraine United Kingdom Vietnam	62% 58 65% 113 68% 110 77% 225

ST. F	PAUL		
United States	71%		91 450
Bhutan	83%		35
Burma	46%		584
Cambodia	66%		318
Cameroon	48%		58
Canada	73%		187
China	74%		299
Colombia	53%		45
Cuba	46%		39
Egypt	39%		46
El Salvador	36%		137
Eritrea	52%		117
Ethiopia	50%		379
France	60%		35
Germany	64%		242
Ghana	42%		36
Guatemala	32%		38
Guyana	50%		48
Honduras	35%		43
India	64%		286
Iran	84%		4
Ireland	81%		48
Japan V	68%		44
Kenya Laoo	42%		2043
Luos Liberia	50%		2,043
Merico	36%		1.097
Nepal	67%	•	46
Nigeria	59%		219
Other	72%		903
Pakistan	64%		42
Philippines	61%		308
Poland	67%		45
Puerto Rico	53%		36
Russia	62%		229
Somalia	31%		320
South Korea	68%		135
Taiwan	63%		38
Thailand	56%		84
Ukraine	61%		94
United Kingdom	60%		154
Vietnam	72%		81
	6		

N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage were screened.
Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates by Country of Origin



N = The total number of patients within that REL or geographic category (denominator), out of which the percentage were screened.



Please see next page.

How to Use This Report and Resources

"A patient's cultural background influences both their experience of care and their health behavior." – What we're learning: Reducing Disparities in the Quality of Care for Racial and Ethnic Minorities Improves Care, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, June 2014

This report is designed to serve a variety of audiences - from medical groups to community advocates, researchers to policymakers, public health professionals to health plans, and communities of color to consumers. They can utilize this information in myriad ways, including comparing performance between racial or ethnic groups and across regions of the state.

State and regional data can be extremely useful for community advocates, public health professionals, researchers and policymakers seeking to identify gaps or trends, drive policies, or advocate system changes with the goal of reducing and ultimately ending health care disparities. This report can be used to look at the state of Minnesota as a whole, by geographic region or to identify or compare health care outcomes for distinct populations (e.g., immigrants from European or Spanish speaking countries). It also allows organizations and individuals to engage the community in ways not possible without tangible data, and provides a foundation to drive the development of policy and priorities that support health equity.

With most quality information, however, state and regional data is not detailed enough to be actionable for providers to identify and address disparities within their own clinics and medical groups. To make improvements, providers are encouraged to examine the race, ethnicity, preferred language and country of origin (REL) information in this report alongside information about their own clinics and medical group – where more accountability lies and actions to address disparities are possible. Thus, we strongly recommend medical groups pair this information with medical group-specific reports available on the MNCM Data Portal (<u>https://data.mncm.org/login</u>).

By continually seeking to understand the unique needs of their patients, medical groups can implement effective and targeted interventions to address their patient's needs. Health care professionals within a medical group often have the best understanding of their patients and the ability to utilize and maximize systems and processes improve care and reduce gaps.

This data could be used by medical groups to evaluate meaningful differences in care, outcomes and experience across patient populations to identify systematic ways to improve care; plan quality improvement efforts designed to reduce disparities; and engage patients, their families and caregivers in new ways by asking them to provide insights into why health inequities exist and the best ways to address them within an individual clinic or medical group. Groups can also seek information from their own clinic staff who can use practical experiences to illuminate ways to address disparities.

Community advocates, public health professionals, researchers and policymakers can use this information to identify barriers to care for populations that have low performance rates; compare populations across regions to find what's working in areas with higher rates; target interventions at distinct groups that have the biggest need; and inform decision-making around public policy and resourcing.

We hope this report serves as a launching pad from which our community can focus on tailored interventions that will not only eliminate health inequities in Minnesota, but improve the health of our entire community.



Please see next page.



Future Plans

"Disparities even exist in geographic areas noted as having the highest performance on quality of care measures, so there is 'no simple story' to explain patterns of disparities across different regions, health plans, or by type of care." – Future Directions for the National Healthcare Quality and Disparities Reports, Institute of Medicine, 2010

Our community should take pride in our ability to collect and report health care information that can be stratified by race, ethnicity, preferred language and country of origin (REL). Most other states do not have the infrastructure, expertise, collaborative environment or commitment from medical groups to achieve this milestone.

However, we cannot rest on our laurels. There is still much to be done to identify, illuminate and address health equity of care in Minnesota. This report is a foundation for future efforts to provide targeted, useful information that will lead to reductions in disparities for medically-underserved and historically-underrepresented populations.

In particular, we are evaluating and exploring the following ideas:

- Additional Direct Data Submission measures: This report includes information on five measures that use data submitted by medical groups. Our goal is to expand it to include measures on depression, maternity care and pediatric preventive care in the near future.
- **Report trends over time:** As we have in the *Health Care Quality Report* for more than a decade, we expect this report to include year-over-year trends for quality measures by race, Hispanic ethnicity, country of origin and preferred language in future reports.
- **Public reporting by medical group:** Public reporting has the most impact when results are available at a clinic or medical group level, because that is where the most accountability lies. MNCM will evaluate the possibility of reporting REL results by medical group in future reports.
- Use for risk adjustment: To date, MNCM has risk adjusted performance measures by insurance product type (commercial, Medicaid, Medicare) and some other available variables. We will study the impact of REL data variables for use in risk adjustment.
- Additional analysis (e.g., evaluate previously documented "immigrant advantage"): Both local and national studies have documented a so-called "immigrant advantage," which describes the phenomenon that new immigrant groups often have better health outcomes than racial and ethnic minorities born in the United States.
 MNCM will consider analyzing the data in future reports to see if it supports this occurrence.
- Collection of other socio-demographic information: There are other factors that not only have an association with health outcomes (e.g., income, education, disability, granular ethnicity) but can also be studied for their

Future Plans

impact on risk adjustment. Our challenge is achieving the correct balance between the burden associated with the data collection and the additional benefit achieved for the community. MNCM will continue to evaluate appropriate next steps.

MNCM also wants to partner with other organizations to promote collaborative and integrated efforts and evidencebased programs to reduce health disparities. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has noted that the ability to reduce regional health and health care disparities will rely on multi-stakeholder coalitions of consumers, providers, payers and community-based stakeholders working together.

One of those key partners is the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH), which has prioritized the reduction of health disparities amongst its initiatives. We support recommendations made by MDH in its *Advancing Health Equity in Minnesota* report in February 2014; particularly to strengthen community relationships and partnerships in an effort to advance health equity; and to strengthen the collection, analysis and use of data to advance these efforts. Ambulatory clinics throughout Minnesota have risen to the challenge with more than 70 percent collecting race, Hispanic ethnicity, preferred language and country of origin data from the majority of their patients. As a community, we are well positioned to build on this momentum and use the information to achieve local and statewide health equity goals. We have demonstrated that it is possible to acheive this and hope it inspires others across the nation to take up the challenge. Closing gaps in care is critical for our society to be productive by reducing the economic and personal costs of health care. MNCM is proud to partner with others in our community in this imperative work.

"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world. Indeed it is the only thing that ever has." – Margaret Mead



Please see next page.



Methodology

Data Source and Data Collection

The charts displayed in this report provide rate comparisons for each REL data category (race, Hispanic ethnicity, country of origin and preferred language) for MNCM measures at a statewide level. These charts utilize the REL data submitted by medical groups through MNCM's Direct Data Submission (DDS) process. Please refer to MNCM's *Handbook on the Collection of Race/ Ethnicity/Language Data in Medical Groups* for more information about the REL data collection (<u>http://mncm.</u> <u>org/submitting-data/training-and-guidance/</u>).

Reported Measures

The following DDS measures were included in this report:

- Optimal Diabetes Care
- Optimal Vascular Care
- Optimal Asthma Care Adults
- Optimal Asthma Care Children
- Colorectal Cancer Screening

When submitting data using the DDS process, medical groups may submit data for their full population or a sample of at least 60 patients per clinic; however, they are strongly encouraged to submit the total patient population. For medical groups that chose to submit a sample, the DDS Data Collection Guide and technical specifications described accepted methods to systematically select a random sample. The medical group's process to select a sample is reviewed by MNCM staff as part of the denominator certification process which occurs prior to data submission.

Best Practices

REL data collection undergoes a unique validation process to ensure that clinics collect REL data elements from patients using best practices. Best practices are defined as:

- Patients were allowed to self-report their race, Hispanic ethnicity, preferred language and country of origin.
- Patients were allowed to select one or more categories for race (i.e., medical groups/clinics did not collect data using a Multi-racial category).
- 3. Medical groups/clinics are able to capture and report more than one race as reported by the patient.

A medical group/clinic must meet all the criteria for an REL data element to achieve best practice status and to have their data for that element included in the rate calculation. Only validated REL data that has been collected using best practices are used to calculate REL rates for statewide and medical groups, MNCM measures and to generate the REL charts.

Total Population versus Sample Population

For clinics that submitted a sample patient population, REL rates were weighted based on the eligible counts for the measure and on the counts for each REL data element submitted in the sample.

Public Reporting Threshold

Consistent with other MNCM public reporting requirements, each category has a minimum threshold of patients necessary in order to publicly report data. A minimum threshold of 30 patients was required for each race and Hispanic ethnicity category. For the

Methodology

overall statewide rate charts, a minimum threshold of 100 patients was required for the country of origin and preferred language categories to keep the data display manageable. For the regional analysis charts, a minimum threshold of 30 patients was required for all REL categories. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals are calculated for each rate in a measure.

REL Categories

Race

MNCM uses race descriptions as defined by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB):

- American Indian or Alaskan Native: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.
- **Asian**: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia or the Indian subcontinent.
- Black or African American: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa. Terms such as "Haitian" or "Negro" can be used in addition to "Black or African American."
- Multi-racial: A person self-identifying with more than one of the following races: American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian/Pacific Islander/Native Hawaiian, Black/African American and White. People in this category did not select a catch-all "multiracial" or "more than one race" category; instead, it was created for reporting purposes.

- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa or other Pacific Islands.
- Some Other Race: A person who is unable to identify with the race categories provided. (Please note: This is not meant to be used as a "multi-racial" by a clinic. It is meant to be used ONLY if the patient self-reports he/she is unable to identify with a race category listed above.)
- **Unknown**: A person who has not self-reported his or her race during enrollment.
- White: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.

Patients who self-reported:

- More than one race was categorized in a "Multiracial" category for analysis and reporting purposes only. This decision was made because the counts of those selecting more than one race were not large enough to be reportable. Combining these patients into a "Multi-racial" category allows patients who selected more than one race to be reported. It is still important that medical groups are able to allow patients to report as many races as necessary in order to make data driven decisions to improve patient care based on the patient's race.
- No race category was categorized in the "Blank" category.

Hispanic Ethnicity

Hispanic ethnicity descriptions defined by OMB:

• Hispanic or Latino: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American or other

Methodology

Spanish culture or origin. The term "Spanish origin" can be used in addition to "Hispanic or Latino."

• Non-Hispanic: A person who is not of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American or other Spanish culture or origin.

Patients who self-reported:

- No Hispanic ethnicity category was categorized into the "Blank" category.
- One or more race categories plus the Hispanic ethnicity category, was represented once in the appropriate category on the race chart and once on the Hispanic ethnicity chart.
- One or more race category ONLY was represented in the appropriate category on the race chart and as "Not Hispanic" on the ethnicity chart.

Preferred Language

MNCM uses preferred language as defined by OMB. An "Other" category and description are also collected in the situation in which a patient's preferred language is not on the list.

Country of Origin

MNCM uses countries of origin as defined by OMB. An "Other" category and description are also collected in the situation in which a patient's country of origin is not on the list.

Regional Analysis

Boundaries of the nine regions were determined by synthesizing health care and geopolitical data, including from the Metropolitan Council and the state of Minnesota. The two largest regions (East Metro and West Metro) were subdivided to form the Minneapolis and St. Paul regions.

Limitations

The statewide and regional rates in this report do not represent all medical groups and clinics in Minnesota. MNCM established minimum thresholds for public reporting to ensure statistically reliable rates. Only medical groups and clinics that met these thresholds were included in the statewide and regional rates. DDS measures are based on complete census of all patients regardless of payer, so a minimum threshold of 30 patients per REL category was required.





MN Community Measurement Broadway Place East, #455 3433 Broadway Street NE Minneapolis, MN 55413

Email: info@mncm.org Telephone: 612-455-2911