This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp

# MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES

#### Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 2010-2011

**STAFF REPORT 51** 

2011

# Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 2010-2011

Ву

Marilyn Danks Aquatic Biologist

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

**Division of Ecological and Water Resources** 

2011

#### **Table of Contents**

F	Page
Introduction	1
Aeration Equipment	1
Program Administration	2
Regulations	3
Discussion	3
Regional Aeration Summary	4
Questionnaire Results	6
Literature Cited	8
Tables	
Table 1. Aerated Acres 2010-11	10
Table 2. 2010-11 Aerated Lakes/Permits	11
Table 3. Region I lakes with public access aerated to prevent winterkill,   2010-11	12
Table 4. Summary by county of protected waters in Region I, under aerationpermit issued to private hatchery operators in 2010-11	13
Table 5. Region II lakes with public access aerated to prevent winterkill,   2010-11	14
Table 6. Region III lakes with public access aerated to prevent winterkill,   2010-11	15
Table 7. Region IV lakes with public access aerated to prevent winterkill,   2010-11	16
Table 8. Operational Characteristics of Some Aeration Systems, Winter   2010-11	17

# Table of Contents (Continued)

# Figures

Figure 1.	Number of lakes opened to "liberalized" fishing, by county, for the winter of 2010-11	18
Figure 2.	Trends in lake aeration permits issued 1978-2010	19
Figure 3.	Aeration permits issued by DNR region, 1978-2010	20
Figure 4.	Number of lakes with public access, by county, issued aeration permits in 2010-11	21
Figure 5.	Distribution by County of ponds aerated under permits issued to Private hatchery operators in 2010-11	22
Appendices		
Appendix	1	24
Appendix	2	25

#### INTRODUCTION

Minnesota has many lakes with a history of winterkill due to oxygen depletion. However, more significant than the number of lakes that winterkill is their location. The majority of Minnesota's winterkill lakes are in the southern half of the state, an area with the "fewest number of fishing lakes and the majority of the population" (Scidmore 1970). Aeration systems have been used in Minnesota to prevent winterkill for many years. More recently, the uses for aeration have expanded to include shoreline property protection, providing open water for captive waterfowl and water quality improvement.

The Department of Natural Resources has regulated the use of aeration in public waters since 1974 due to the potential for user conflicts and the open water hazard created by winter operation of aeration systems. The two major objectives of the aeration permit program are:

- 1. To ensure the safe winter operation of aeration systems; and
- 2. To ensure the appropriate use of aeration technology.

This report summarizes work done under the Aeration Permit Program of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources during the 2009-10 permit year (1 October 2009– 30 September 2010). Work was partially funded under Federal Aid Project FW-9-T.

For a more detailed explanation of winterkill and the history of aeration in Minnesota, see Enger (1988). Pederson (1982) provides a comprehensive review of the program through 1978-81. Annual staff reports detailing the aeration program are also available (Danks 2011; Danks 2010; Danks 2010; Danks 2009; Danks 2007; Danks 2006; Danks 2005; Danks 1999; Danks 1998; Danks 1996; Danks 1995; Danks 1994; Danks 1992; Danks, 1992; Enger-Danks 1992).

## **AERATION EQUIPMENT**

Aeration equipment, originally designed for wastewater treatment facilities, has proven to be an effective method of winterkill prevention. The four methods of aeration described below are commonly used in Minnesota:

1. <u>Sub-surface bubblers</u>: Sub-surface bubblers consist of a diffuser(s), weighted air lines and a compressor or high volume, low pressure blower. The diffuser is placed on the lake bottom, near the deepest part of the lake. Air is pumped from the shore-housed compressor or blower through air lines to the diffuser. The diffuser breaks the air stream into small bubbles that rise, lifting warm bottom water to the surface. This warmer water melts the ice cover, exposing a portion of the lake surface to the atmosphere. Oxygen is added to the lake from wind and wave action and photosynthesis. The most efficient and effective method of operation is to group the diffusers so that one open water area is created during normal winter weather (MN Rules Chapter 6116.0020, subp. 3). Sub-surface bubbler systems are best suited to lakes that winterkill frequently. To sustain a game fish population in these lakes, the aeration system will probably require annual operation for extended periods.

- 2. <u>Air injection systems</u>: Air injection aeration systems function similarly to subsurface bubblers. However, the pontoon-mounted injection system introduces air just beneath the surface of the lake. Again, the oxygen is provided by removing ice cover and exposing the surface of the lake to the atmosphere and sunlight. Air injection systems are also well suited to lakes, which winterkill frequently, where annual and lengthy operation is likely.
- 3. <u>Mechanical surface agitators</u>: Mechanical surface agitators are basically submersible or floating pumps which spray water into the air, producing a fountain-like effect. Oxygen is added to the water sprayed into the air, some oxygen is added as the droplets agitate the lake surface, as well as from the open water area created. These systems affect rather small areas and are best suited to small bodies of water.
- 4. <u>Pump and baffle systems</u>: Pump and baffle aeration systems usually consist of a pontoon-mounted high-volume pump, about 150 feet of hose and a chute or flume. The pump is placed in the lake as far from the chute as possible. Lake water is pumped to the top of the chute where it cascades over a series of baffles, absorbing oxygen before returning to the lake. This type of aeration system does not create, nor does it require, a large open water area to prevent winterkill. Aeration takes place in the chute and the aerated water is returned to the lake.

Pump and baffle systems are more energy intensive to operate than air pumping systems, but they do not have to be started as early in the winter. Pump and baffle systems are generally best suited to lakes which winterkill infrequently.

All of these systems function by creating a refuge area with adequate dissolved oxygen where fish can survive until ice out in the spring. They do not, nor are they intended to, aerate the entire lake basin.

#### **PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION**

The Division of Ecological and Water Resources (MNDNR) has primary responsibility for administration of the Aeration Permit Program. This program allows individuals, organizations and units of government to operate aeration systems on public waters for winterkill prevention, water quality improvement, shoreline property protection and wintering captive waterfowl. An aquatic biologist in St. Paul reviews permit applications, prepares permits for signature and serves as liaison between groups and individuals involved in lake aeration and the department. Regional and area fisheries personnel are often the initial contacts for people interested in lake aeration. Applicants send completed applications to the Regional Fisheries Manager for initial review, the Regional Wildlife Manager, and the Regional Parks and Trails Manager also review aeration permit applications. Upon completion of regional review, the application is sent to St. Paul with recommendation for issuance or denial. After final review by central office staff, the application is reviewed by the Director of the Division of Ecological and Water Resources and either approved or denied.

#### REGULATIONS

Aeration system operation in public waters is regulated by Minnesota Statutes Section 103G.611 and Minnesota Rules 1988 parts 6116.0010 to 6116.0070. The statute describes permittee responsibility to post warning signs at access points to the lake, post signs around areas of open water and thin ice, and publish notice of the commencement of operation. The rule describes when permits are required, application procedures, and criteria for permit issuance, permit conditions and other related items.

The aeration rule, which went into effect November 30, 1988, replaced Commissioners' Orders 2194 and 2258. An operational order outlining departmental procedures to ensure rule requirements are met was developed and became effective August 1989 (MN Rules 6116). The Statute, 103G.611 was revised in 2003 to include an annual permit fee for winter time aeration. The Statute was again revised in 2006 to clarify operation of a system on protected waters without public access.

Aeration systems are inspected for compliance with safety regulations by area fisheries personnel and conservation officers. This involves the inspection of all aeration systems, including those operated by private hatchery operators.

#### DISCUSSION

Area fisheries supervisors monitor the dissolved oxygen concentration of lakes in their areas throughout the winter. When winterkill of fish appears to be imminent, a lake may be opened to "liberalized fishing". Under "liberalized fishing" status, regulations regarding limits and methods of capture are relaxed to allow fish that would probably die due to oxygen depletion to be taken by anglers. The number of lakes opened to "liberalized fishing" is a rough indicator of winter severity. During the worst winterkill season of record (1955-56), 308 lakes were opened to "liberalized fishing" (Scidmore 1970). Due to a recent series of mild winters, on average of five lakes statewide are opened to "liberalized fishing" each year. Last winter (2010-11), twenty lakes were opened to "liberalized fishing", of which two were permitted for aeration (Figure 1).

A total of 319 aeration permits were issued during the 2010-11 season. This includes 295 renewals (92% of the permits issued) and thirteen (13) new permits. Eleven permits were renewed after having lapsed.

The overall trend has been a steady increase in the number of permits issued in the last twenty-five years (Figure 2). The same trend is true for the regions as well (Figure 3). Regions I and III experienced an 8 and 9% increase in permits issued, respectively.

The 319 permits issued in 2010-11 authorized aeration in 283 lakes totaling 134,665 acres, of which 181 permits were issued for public waters with access for winterkill prevention (see MN Rules 1988, part 6116.0010, subpart 6 for definition of public access), for a total of 73,621 acres (Table 1; Figure 4). All acreages listed are from "An inventory of Minnesota Lakes" MN DNR Bulletin 25 (Div. of Waters 1968). Pump and baffle systems were operated in 26 of these lakes, AireO<sub>2</sub> units were operated in 67

lakes, mechanical surface agitators operated in 19 lakes, a combination of system types was used in 8 lakes, and diffuser systems operated in 54 lakes. Bait dealers and commercial hatchery operations were permitted to operate in 22 public water bodies totaling 1,327 acres. Sixty-seven (67) other public waters were aerated for other purposes including: shoreline protection; providing open water for captive waterfowl; and preventing winterkill and improving water quality combined. Table 2 provides a detailed analysis of permit issuance for 2010-11.

Winter inspections of aeration systems were conducted by inspectors from the divisions of Enforcement and Fish and Wildlife (Fisheries). A total of 911 inspections were made in 2010-11. Of these, Enforcement inspectors conducted 374 inspections and Fisheries inspectors conducted 537. The inspectors found a total of 55 discrepancies (6%) out of the 911 inspections completed, a 4% decrease from the previous year. Discrepancies included fallen or missing thin ice or warning signs, signs too far apart, open water extending beyond the thin ice signs, or malfunctioning aeration equipment. A total of 155 inspections were completed in Region I of which 9 (6%) showed discrepancies. There were fifteen (15) inspections completed in Region II with five (33%) discrepancies. Inspectors conducted 119 inspections in Region III of which 8% showed discrepancies, and 622 inspections were conducted in Region IV with 5% discrepancies.

There have been seven fatalities at aeration system sites, the last occurring in 1999. No deaths resulted from accidents at aeration system sites in 2010-11.

## **REGIONAL AERATION SUMMARY**

#### **REGION I (Bemidji)**

There were 77 aeration permits issued in Region 1 during the 2010-11 season, 24% of the total number of permits issued. Of the 77 permits issued, 68 (88%) were renewals and nine were new permits.

The 77 permits issued in Region I authorized aeration in 64 public waters, or 22.6% of the total public waters aerated statewide. Private hatchery operators accounted for 33% of the aeration permitted water bodies in Region I. Private hatchery operators received five permits for 21 (1,250 acres) public waters (7.3% of the statewide total lakes permitted or 0.9% of the total acres permitted) (Figure 5). Appendix 1 lists water bodies under aeration permit issued to private hatchery operators. Private organizations and municipalities were issued 16 aeration permits to prevent winterkill in 16 lakes (8,176 acres) with public access. Forty-seven aeration permits were issued to private individuals on 12 lakes (31,195 acres) to prevent shoreline property damage due to ice expansion. Two permits were issued to the State covering 1,245 acres. Five other aeration permits were issued to private groups to prevent winterkill in public waters (339 acres) without public access. Two aerated lakes were reported to have experienced winterkill according to questionnaire results. For more details, including acreage of water under aeration permit, permittee, and purpose of operation see Tables 3 and 4.

#### **REGION II (Grand Rapids)**

Lakes in Region II are generally deeper and less fertile than in other areas of the state and very few winterkill. The abundance of lakes in this region, which do not winterkill greatly outnumber those lakes that do.

The reorganization of the regions from six to four in 2002 lead to a redistribution of aeration permits between the regions. Region II increased from zero permits in 2001 to ten in 2002 to seven in 2005. There were nine (9) permits issued for the 2010-11 season.

Of these nine permits, which represent 2.8% of the total number of permits issued, eight were operated on lakes with access and one was operated on a lake without access. One aerated lake reported winterkill according to questionnaire results. For more information, see Table 5.

#### **REGION III (St. Paul)**

There were 129 aeration permits issued for 121 lakes/ponds (20,470 acres) in Region III last season (40% of the total number of permits issued). Four were new permits. Pine Tree and Alexander lakes have two and three permits respectively.

Region III, the Metropolitan area, is the most densely populated region of the state. Lakes and ponds receive nutrient run-off from a variety of sources. As a result, many lakes are hypereutrophic. Aeration has been employed to serve a variety of purposes in Region III. Seventy-two permits were issued to municipalities for operation of aeration systems in 72 lakes (8,791 acres) with public access. Five permits (150 acres) were issued to municipalities for lakes without public access. Sixteen permits (4,221 acres) were issued to clubs for lakes with public access, and eight permits (536 acres) were issued to clubs operating aeration systems in lakes without public access. Twenty-six permits for 23 lakes (6,678 acres) were issued to private individuals. The Minnesota Zoological Garden received one permit to operate three aeration systems (17 acres) for waterfowl and water quality. One permit was issued to Fort Snelling State Park for prevention of winterkill in Snelling Lake. One permit was issued to a private hatchery operator to aerate one (77 acres) public water. Eight lakes experienced winterkill in Region III according to questionnaire results. For a more detailed breakdown of permit issuance in Region III, see Table 6.

#### **REGION IV (New Ulm)**

Region IV has 33% of the permits issued statewide. Last season, 104 permits (68,018 acres) were issued in Region IV; 104 were renewals (100%). The 104 aeration permits issued in Region IV authorized the aeration of 98 public waters. Lakes are less common in this area of the state and many are small and shallow. Soils are fertile and agriculture is extensive. Erosion deposits large amounts of soil, fertilizer and agricultural chemicals into lakes, accelerating eutrophication and creating high oxygen demand. These conditions are typical of Midwestern lakes (Schneberger, 1970). Many anglers reside in this area of the state and winterkill lakes are an important fisheries resource. Ninety-four permits were issued to private organizations and municipalities to

prevent winterkill of fish in 89 lakes (51,627 acres) with public access. Two permits were issued to prevent winterkill in two protected waters without public access. Four permits were issued to municipalities and clubs to improve water quality. Albert Lea and Hanska lakes have two permits each.

According to the questionnaires returned, nine aerated lakes experienced winterkill last season in Region IV. For a detailed breakdown of permit issuance in Region IV including acreages, purpose of operation, permittees (private, clubs, municipalities) and lake location (county), see Table 7.

#### **QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS**

Completed questionnaires were received from 204 of 319 permittees, a 64% return. Operational information is summarized in Table 8, whereas, Appendix 2 lists operational information for individual aerated lakes. Questionnaire information is incomplete and subjective, making it difficult to determine specific system efficiency in preventing winterkill. Twenty-one (21) respondents indicated their aeration system was not operated last winter.

The average cost for insurance (n=52) was \$568.47. This figure includes all permittees operating an aeration system in lakes with or without public access. The range of insurance premiums for the 2010-11 season was \$23.00-\$6,038.00. No respondents indicated there was difficulty in acquiring the required insurance.

One hundred eighty-three (183) of the respondents indicated their aeration system was operated last winter and 44 of those indicated that waterfowl over wintered on the lake. Of these, eleven respondents are located in Region I, 26 in Region III, and seven respondents are in Region IV. An estimated 3,800 waterfowl used the open water areas provided by aeration systems (range 5-500 per aerated lake). Most of the birds were mallards and Canada geese.

Of the 183 permittees that responded and operated their systems last winter, 174 (95%) indicated they were satisfied with system performance. Of these, 12% were Helixor systems, 9% were Clean-Flo systems, 11% were pump and baffles, 24% were AireO2 and Aeromix systems, 26% were other types of bubbler systems, and 13% were mechanical surface agitators. Complaints ranged from mechanical failures to undersized and ineffective equipment. Two respondents indicated safety problems with their aeration systems.

Some aerated lakes experienced partial winterkill last season. Twenty of the 183 respondents that operated their aeration systems last winter reported some evidence of winterkill at ice out. Of these, two were Helixor systems, four were Clean-Flo systems, two were other bubbler systems, eight were AireO2's, two were pump and baffles, one was a sprayer system, and one was a mechanical surface agitator systems.

Based on the responses to the questionnaire as summarized in Table 8, mechanical surface agitator systems were on average the least expensive to operate per acre, with the Aire- $0_2$  or the Aeromix tornado a close second. Whereas, pump and baffle systems

were the most expensive to operate per acre and had the most horsepower per acre. Helixors were the least expensive to operate based on the horsepower of the system and the length of time they were operated. Helixor systems were on average used on larger sized lakes, up to 2,000 acres, and had the highest average horsepower per system. Clean Flo systems were used on smaller lakes up to 250 acres in size. Mechanical surface aerators, on average, were the smallest systems based on total average horsepower, but were used on larger area lakes. Air injector systems and mechanical surface agitators were used on lakes up to 1,500 acres in size. To maximize efficiency and reduce operating costs, it is important to size the aerator to the size of the lake and the intended purpose.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Danks, M.A. 2011. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report, 2009-10. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Ecol. & Water Res. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 2010. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report, 2008-09. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Ecol. Res. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 2010. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report, 2007-08. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Ecol. Res. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 2009. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report, 2006-07. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Ecol. Res. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 2007. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report, 2005-06. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Ecol. Serv. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 2006. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report, 2004-05. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Ecol. Serv. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 2005. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report, 2003-04. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wild., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1999. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1997-98. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1998. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1996-97. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1996. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1995-96. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1995. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1994-95. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1994. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1993-94. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1994. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1992-93. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1992. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1991-92. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Danks, M.A. 1992. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1990-1991. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.
- Division of Waters, Soils and Minerals. 1968. An Inventory of Minnesota Lakes. Minnesota Conservation Department, Bulletin No. 25.
- Enger, S.M. 1988. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1987-88. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report 1.
- Enger, S.M. and M.A. Danks, 1992. Aeration Permit Program Annual Report 1989-1990. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish. Wildl., Ecol. Serv. Sec. Staff Report.

#### LITERATURE CITED (Continued)

- Pederson, D.W. 1982. Aeration and mixing systems in Minnesota lakes. Minn. Dept. Nat. Res., Div. Fish Wildl., Spec. Pub. No.133.
- Schneberger, E., ed. 1970. A symposium on the management of Midwestern winterkill lakes. Special Publication, North Central Division, American Fisheries Society.
- Scidmore, W.J. 1970. Using winterkill to advantage. Pages 47-51 in: E. Schneberger, ed. A symposium on the management of Midwestern winterkill lakes. Am. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ., North Central Div.

Table 1. Aerated Acres 2010-11.

ACRES	REGION 1	<b>REGION 2</b>	REGION 3	REGION 4	OVERALL
Lakes with public access	43,023	1,820	19,161	66,013	130,017
Lakes without public access	1,074	260	1,309	2,005	4,648
TOTAL	44,097	2,080	20,470	68,018	134,665

	Lakes		Winte Perm			Bait [	Dealers	Sho	reline	Ot	her	Total
Region	w/access	С	M	S	Р	Ponds	Permits	Lakes	Permit	Lakes	Permit	Permits
I	18	12	4	2	0	21	5	12	47	8	7	77 (24%)
II	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	1*	2	2	3	9 (2.8%)
III	65	11	52	0	2	1	1	2	4	53	59	129 (40.4%)
IV	89	42	51	0	1	0	0	1	1	6	10	104 (33%)
Totals	176	68	107	2	4	22	6	16	54	69	79	319
								L	.akes	Ac	res	Permits
Protected	waters wit	h acc	ess foi	win	terki	ll prevent	ion =		177	73,6	631	182
	waters und		ermit to	o Bai	t De	alers	=		22		327	6
	Protection	*					=		16	36,6		55
Other**							=		<u>67</u> 283	<u>23,0</u> 134,6		<u>76</u> 319
									203	134,0	000	515
	ber of perror	nits fo	or prote	ecteo	l wat	ters for	=		204			
	ber of perr for winterl				l wat	ters withc	out =		22			
	permits, ne						=		13			
	d permits r	oiceu	od				=		11			

Table 2. 2010-11 Aerated Lakes/Permits.

her includes – Protected waters with no public access. Protected waters with public access for water quality improvement. Summer only systems.

\* = Marinas along Lake Superior

C = Clubs; M = Municipalities; S = State; P = Privately Operated

County	C	Permittee M		Total No. of lakes	Total Acres	Average Size
County	U	IVI	3	TOLATINO. OF TAKES	Total Acres	(acres)
Becker	4	0	0	4	2,700	675
Clay	1	1	0	2	139	69.5
Clearwater	0	1	0	1	1,465	1465
Douglas	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marshall	0	1	0	1	42	42
Otter Tail	2	1	0	3	1,165	388
Polk	3	0	0	3	1,821	607
Роре	0	0	2	2	1,245	622.5
Stevens	1	0	0	1	488	488
Wadena	1	0	0	1	356	356
Totals	12	4	2	18	9,421	N/A
Permits issued to	Municipalitie	s for lakes		= 4 (	1,767 acres)	
Permits issued to Permits issued to			ccess		(6,409 acres) (1,245 acres)	
Permits issued to					(1,245 acres) (14 lakes; 31,195	acres)
Melissa Lake -	- 1,827 acres	s – 7 perm			h Lake – 284 acre	
Lida Lake – 7,					g Cormorant Lake -	- 3,380 acres –
Big McDonald Eunice Lake –			m		ermits lican – 4,314 acres	s – 11 permits
Lizzie Lake – 4			S		arion Lake – 1,610	
Island Lake –						06 acres – 1 permi
West McDona Paul Lake – 33			nits	Sa	llie – 12,406 acres	– 1 permit
Permits issued to					(21 ponds, 1,250	acres)
Permits issued to for lakes witho	ut access				(339 acres)	
Permits issued to					(0 acres)	
Permits issued to quality for lake	•		inprove water	= 2	(1,892 acres)	
Total Permits issu		~		= 77	(44,097 acres) in	64 lakes and ponds
*C = Club; M = M	unicipality; S	= State				

Table 3. Region I lakes with	public access aerated to	prevent winterkill, 2010-11.

County	Total No. of Ponds	Total Acres	Average Size Pond (Acres) Per County
Becker	1	242	242.0
Douglas	3	47	15.6
Grant	3	168	56.0
Otter Tail	10	556	55.6
Polk	7	296	42.3
Pope	2	90	45.0
Stevens	1	78	39.0
Todd	1	69	69.0
Totals	21	1,250	N/A

Table 4. Summary by county of protected waters in Region I, under aeration permit issued to private hatchery operators in 2010-11.

#### Averages:

Bait dealers permitted	=	5 (5 permits)
Average number of ponds/permit Average size of ponds	=	4.2 59.5 acres (range 6 to 242 acres)
Average number of acres/permit	=	250

		Permittee	)			Average Size
County	С	М	Р	Total No. of lakes	Total Acres	(acres)
Aitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cass	3	0	1	4	1,093	273
Crow Wing	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	3	0	1	4	1,093	N/A
	Ave		otal Acreaç e size (acre			
Permits issued to I Permits issued to I Permits issued to 0 Permits issued to 0 Privately operated (2 permits for Niss Privately operated	Municipalitie Clubs for lak Clubs for lak systems for wa Lake) systems for	s for lakes es with ac es without lakes with lakes with	with acces cess access access access	$\begin{array}{rcrcrcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50 acres) ) acres) 5 acres) cres)	
Permits issued to S		Cess		= 2 = 9 (2.0)	80 total acres in	8 lakes/nonds)

Table 5. Region II lakes with public access aerated to prevent winterkill, 2010-11.

C = Club; M = Municipality; P = Privately Operated, S = State

		Perm			Total No. of		Average Size
County	С	М	Р	S	lakes	Total Acres	(acres)
Anoka	0	8	0	0	8	3,082	385.3
Carver	0	2	0	0	2	323	161.5
Crow Wing/Morrison	0	0	1	0	1	1,486	1,486
Dakota	0	19	0	0	19	1,198	63
Hennepin	1	7	0	0	8	869	108.6
Kanabec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ramsey	0	7	0	0	7	806	115.1
Scott	4	5	0	0	9	1,545	171.7
Sherburne	1	1	1	0	3	841	280
Stearns	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	3	0	0	3	213	71.0
Wright	5	0	0	0	5	1,117	223.4
Totals	11	52	2	1	65	11,480	N/A
Lakes with public acces	s aerated	to preve	nt winterk	cill	= 65		
	Avera	Tot age lake s	al Acreag size (acre		= 11,4 = 176		
Permits issued to Munic Permits issued to Munic	•				·	150 acres) 8,791 acres)	
Permits issued to Clubs Permits issued to Clubs						4,221 acres) 536 acres)	
Privately operated syste (Shoreline protection - (2 permits on Lake Ale	– 3 permi				= 9 (6	6,149 acres)	
Privately operated syste (2 permits in Pine Tree	ems for la	kes witho	ut access	6	= 17 (	529 acres)	
Private Hatchery Opera Permits issued to State	tor permit		s with ac	cess		77 acres) 0 acres)	
Permits issued to State						17 acres)	
Total Permits issued					= 129	(20,470 total acres	s in 121 lakes/po

Table 6. Region III lakes with public access aerated to prevent winterkill, 2010-11.

C = Club; M = Municipality; P = Privately Operated, S = State

		Perm			Total No.		Average Size
County	С	М	Р	S	lakes	Total Acres	(acres)
Big Stone	0	1	0	0	1	440	440
Blue Earth	5	0	0	Ö	5	2,834	566.8
Brown	2	2	0	0	3	2,459	819.7
Cottonwood	3	0	0	0	2	368	184
Faribault	1	0	0	0	1	268	268.0
Freeborn	0	4	0	Ő	3	3,230	1,076.7
Jackson	6	0	Õ	Ő	6	2,948	491.3
Kandiyohi	0	9	0	Ő	8	7,627	953.4
Le Sueur	4	Ő	Õ	Õ	4	1,768	442.0
_incoln	5	0	0	0	5	6,327	1,265.4
Lyon	0	9	0	0	9	2,518	279.8
Martin	4	3	0	0	5 7	1,884	269.1
VicLeod	2	1	0	0	3	1,505	501.7
Veeker	1	0	1	0	2	774	387.0
Nurray	1	12	0	0	12	6,689	557.4
Nobles	1	5	0	0	6	3,903	650.5
Pipestone	0	1	0	0	1	3,903 80	80.0
Rice	2	0	0	0	2	1,233	616.5
Sibley	1	0	0	0	1	697	697
Steele	0	1	0	0	1	11	11.0
Waseca	1	1	0	0	2	2,581	1,290.5
Watonwan	3	0	0	0	3	819	273
Yellow Medicine	0	2	0	0	2	664	332.0
	0	2	0	0	2	004	552.0
Fotals	42	51	1	0	89	51,627	N/A
_akes with public ac			otal Acrea	ge	= 89 = 51,627 = 580	7	
Permits issued to Mu	unicipalities	s for lakes	with acces	ss		7,971 acres)	0 M/1 1 1
Permits issued to Clu	ubs for lake	es with acc	cess			permits for Albert L 3,709 acres)	_ea & Wilson lake
Permits issued to Clu	ubs for lake	es without	access			permits for Double 0 acres)	& Hanska lakes)
Private Hatchery Op					= 0		
Privately Owned Sys		public acc	ess		-	239 acres)	
Privately Owned Sys						acres)	
Permits issued to Sta	ate for lake	es with pub	lic access	5	= 1 (13	3,094 acres)	
Permits issued to Mu Permits issued to Sta					•	acres) 867 acres)	
Total Permits Issued	I				= 104 (6	8,018 acres; 98 lal	kes)
C=Club; M=Municipa	ality; P=Pri <sup>,</sup>	vately Ope	erated, S=	State			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		i alca, e	otato			

Table 7. Region IV lakes with public access aerated to prevent winterkill, 2010-11.
---

		Total hp	Lake Area (A)	hp/A	\$/A/mo	\$/hp/mo	KWH/hp/mo	KWH/hp/A
	Range	5-30	82-1,844	0.01-0.12	0.30-9.22	\$ 13.79-151.18	296.57-756.18	0.56-15.09
Helixor	Mean (x)	14.2	684.3	0.04	\$ 1.99	\$ 57.17	474.94	4.61
	n	22	21	21	20	20	13	13
<b></b>	1	1			1			
Clean-Flo	Range	0.5-4.25	13-257	0.008-0.182	\$ 0.074-28.31	\$ 7.90-491.15	7.11-3,481.8	0.31-92.44
	Mean (x)	2.1	89.1	0.048	\$ 5.90	\$ 114.91	764.6	21.14
	N	15	15	15	11	11	6	6
r	1	1			1		1	
Aire-0 <sub>2</sub>	Range	2.0-18.0	20-2,462	0.001-0.100	\$ 0.09-3.67	\$ 3.89-238.52	1.0-1,601.67	0.27-44.58
Ane-02	Mean (x)	4.6	406.6	0.025	\$ 1.44	\$ 77.55	542.53	9.16
	Ν	43	43	42	31	30	24	24
	1	1			<u>т</u>			
Pump & Baffle	Range	3.0-30.0	3-1,445	0.020-1.67	\$ 0.27-133.33	\$ 4.47-96.88	27.81-781.63	0.35-106.67
Barrie	Mean (x)	11.25	195.8	0.19	\$ 13.87	\$ 48.65	389.47	27.21
	N	20	20	20	16	16	13	13
					1			
Mechanical Surface	Range	0.75-4.5	17-2,875	0.001-0.117	\$ 0.081-6.44	\$ 9.38-145.98	307.97-1,526.88	1.26-219.69
Agitators	Mean (x)	1.9	534.4	0.016	\$ 0.91	\$ 70.75	896.40	35.25
	n	24	21	20	13	12	9	9

<b>T</b> I I A			<b>( )</b>	A	<b>•</b> •	
l able 8.	Operational Cr	naracteristics of	of Some	Aeration	Systems,	Winter 2010-11.




Figure 1. Number of lakes opened to "liberalized" fishing, by county, for the winter of 2010-11.




Figure 2. Trends in lake aeration permits issued 1978-2010.




Figure 3. Aeration permits issued by DNR region, 1978-2010.




Figure 4. Number of lakes with public access, by county, issued aeration permits in 2010-11.




Figure 5. Distribution by County of ponds aerated under permits issued to private hatchery operators in 2010-11.

APPENDICES

Permit #	Last Name	County	D.O.W.	Acres
Region 1				
F1011032	P. Koep	Douglas	21-74	17
			21-116	24
		Otter Tail	56-136	34
			56-155	21
F1011038	Jeff Koep	Douglas	Gravel Pit	6
		Grant	26-8	31
			26-33	44
		Otter Tail	56-1183	10
			56-23	87
			56-25	73
			56-29	53
			56-49	43
			56-858	43
			56-1182	12
		Pope	61-63	28
			61-22	62
		Todd	77-52	69
F1011092	Joe Koep	Otter Tail	56-149	180
F1011103	Goeden	Becker	3-269	242
		Grant	26-114	93
F1011199	Tanner	Stevens	75-25	28
			75-26	50
Region 3				
F1013100	McDonald	Sherburne	71-129	77

Appendix 1. Private hatchery operators and protected waters under permit for 2010-11.

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Polcon Helixo	ors							
Artichoke (6-2)	Big Stone	2,011	Save A Lake Aeration	2-15 HP motor/blowers 12 diffusers	di	id not return qu	lestionnaire	
Clear (8-11)	Brown	325	New Ulm Area Sport fisherman	1-10 HP motor/blower 7 diffusers	di	id not return qu	uestionnaire	
Hanska (8-26)	Brown	1,844	Brown Co. Park Dept.	1-15 HP blower 6 diffusers	di	id not return qu	lestionnaire	
Hanska (8-26)	Brown	1,844	Lake Hanska Area Association	1-15 HP Helixor	15,545	2,192.30	2.9	Ν
Sleepy Eye (8-45)	Brown	290	City of Sleepy Eye	2-5 HP motor/blowers 4 diffusers	6,821	624.58	2.3	Ν
Bingham (17-7)	Cottonwood	274	Cottonwood County Game & Fish League	1-5 HP blower 4 diffusers	di	id not return qu	uestionnaire	
Cottonwood (17-22)	Cottonwood	146	Cottonwood County Game & Fish League	1-5 HP motor/blower 3 diffusers	di	id not return qu	uestionnaire	
Rebecca (19-3)	Dakota	35	City of Hastings	1-5 HP blower 2 diffusers	di	id not return qu	uestionnaire	
Fountain (24-18)	Freeborn	555	City of Albert Lea	2-7.5 HP blowers 6 diffusers	di	id not return qu	uestionnaire	
Morin (24-43)	Freeborn	21	City of Alden	1-3 HP blower 1 diffuser	di	id not return qu	uestionnaire	
Round (27-71)	Hennepin	34	City of Eden Prairie	1-7.5 HP blower 1 diffuser	did not operate			
Loon (32-20)	Jackson	738	Jackson County Conservation League	2-7.5 HP motor/blowers 9 diffusers	19,580	1,652.00	2.8	Ν

Appendix 2. Questionnaire results of aeration systems operating in winter in lakes with or without public access, 2010-2011.

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Polcon Helixor	r <u>s</u> (Con't.)							
Pearl (32-33)	Jackson	117	Jackson County Conservation League	1-7.5 HP blower 3 diffusers	13,240	1,170.00	2.8	Ν
Round (32-69)	Jackson	947	Round Lake Sportsmen's Club	2-7.5 HP motor/blowers 9 diffusers	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
East Solomon (34-246)	Kandiyohi	733	Kandiyohi County	1-10 HP motor 6 diffusers	-	2,378.00	2.5	Ν
Foot (34-181)	Kandiyohi	576	Willmar Parks Department	1-25 HP motor/blower 6 diffusers	37,423	3,299.11	3.1	Ν
Long (34-192)	Kandiyohi	1,715	Kandiyohi County	2-10 HP motors 12 diffusers	-	6,010.00	2.5	Ν
Mud (Monongalia) M Fk Crow R. (34-158)	Kandiyohi	2,516	Kandiyohi County	1-15 HP motor 6 diffusers	did not return questionnaire			
Ringo (34-172)	Kandiyohi	774	Kandiyohi County	1-10 HP motor 9 diffusers	-	2,619.00	2.5	Ν
Swenson (34-321)	Kandiyohi	123	Kandiyohi County	1-7.5 HP motor 5 diffusers	-	3,061.32	2.7	Ν
Wakanda (34-169)	Kandiyohi	1,792	Kandiyohi County	2-15 HP blowers 12 diffusers	-	1,182.00	1.6	Y
Willmar (34-180)	Kandiyohi	761	Willmar Public Works	1-15 HP blower 6 diffusers	23,991	2,156.40	3.0	Ν
Clear (40-79)	LeSueur	282	Lexington Sportsmen's Club	1-7.5 HP motor 3 diffusers	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Polcon Helixo	ors (Con't.)							
Gorman (40-32)	LeSueur	590	LeCenter Sportsman's Club	1-7.5 HP compressor 3 diffusers	8,507	925.64	1.5	Y
Greenleaf (40-20)	LeSueur	306	Montgomery Sportsmen's Club	1-5 HP compressors 3 diffusers	di	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Cottonwood (42-14)	Lyon	383	Lyon County	1-15 HP compressor 6 diffusers	-	-	2.7	Ν
George (46-24)	Martin	82	City of Fairmont	1-5 HP blower 2 diffusers	4,326	500.00	2.1	Ν
Sisseton (46-25)	Martin	139	City of Fairmont	1-15 HP blower 2 diffusers	-	1,000.00	2.1	Ν
Swan (43-41)	McLeod	482	Silver Lake Sportsmen's Club	1-7 HP blower 3 diffusers	di	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Bloody (51-40)	Murray	248	Murray County	1-7.5 HP blower 2 diffusers	8,769	623.85	3.1	Ν
First Fulda (South) (51-21)	Murray	122	Murray County	2-7.5 HP motor/blowers 4 diffusers	24,690	2,446.55	3.0	Ν
Sarah (51-83)	Murray	1,176	Murray County	1-7.5 HP motor/blower 4 diffusers	9,669	1,109.16	3.1	Ν
Indian (53-7)	Nobles	204	Round Lake Sportsmen's Club	1-10 HP blower 4 diffusers	di	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Okabena (53-28)	Nobles	785	City of Worthington	2-7.5 HP blowers 9 diffusers	23,647	2,204.41	3.1	Ν

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Polcon Helixo	ors (Con't.)							
Cedar (70-91)	Scott	749	New Prague Sportsmen's Club	1-20 HP pump 12 Helixor diffusers	-	800.00	2.9	Ν
Becker (73-156)	Stearns	222	Sauk River Watershed District	1-15 HP blower 9 diffusers	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
<u>Clean-Flo Sys</u>	stems_							
Shack Eddy (2-109)	Anoka	22	Armstrong Kennels	1-0.5 HP blower 1 diffuser	-	-	2.0	Y
Crystal (7-98)	Blue Earth	396	Crystal and Look Lake Rec., Inc.	2-0.75 HP compressors 4 diffusers	did not return questionnaire			
Ida (7-90)	Blue Earth	120	Lura Lake Aeration Corp.	1-5 HP compressor 8 diffusers	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Loon (7-96)	Blue Earth	818	Crystal and Loon Lake Rec., Inc.	4-0.75 HP compressors 8 diffusers	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Lura (7-79)	Blue Earth	1,263	Lura Lake Aeration Corp.	1-5 HP & 1-4 HP compressor, 12 diffusers	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Rice Marsh (10-01)	Carver	130	Riley Purgatory Bluff Creek Watershed District	1-2 HP and 1-1.5 HP 7 diffusers	5,758	670.00	4.5	Ν
Alimagnet (19-21)	Dakota	113	City of Apple Valley	1-2 HP compressor 6 diffusers	20,891	2,946.91	3.0	Ν
Arrowhead (27-45)	Hennepin	23	City of Edina	1-1.5 HP compressor 3 diffusers	-	2,025.26	4.5	Ν
Crystal (27-34)	Hennepin	74	City of Robbinsdale	8-0.5 HP compressors 16 diffusers	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
<u>Clean-Flo Syst</u>	<u>tems</u> (Con't.)							
Indianhead (27-44)	Hennepin	13	City of Edina	4-0.5 HP compressors 4 diffusers	-	1,656.39	4.5	Y
Gleason (27-95)	Hennepin	167	Gleason Lake Improvement Assn	4-0.5 HP compressors 16 diffusers	-	-	2.2	Y
Hadley (27-109)	Hennepin	39	Hadley Lake Improvement Assn	6-0.5 HP compressors 7 diffusers	-	-	3.6	Ν
Sweeny-Twin (27-35)	Hennepin	96	Sweeny Lake Assn	2-0.75 HP compressors 2 vent diffusers	136	151.00	4.5	Ν
Unnamed (Upper) (34-28)	Kandiyohi	22	City of Atwater	2-2 HP compressors 4 diffusers	1,066	226.48	3.8	Y
Unnamed (Tadd) (34-376)	Kandiyohi	10	City of Atwater	2-2 HP compressors 4 diffusers		did not op	erate	
Mabel (40-11)	LeSueur	103	Lucky 13 Sportsmen's Club	2-0.5 compressors 4 diffusers	-	210.00	3.2	Ν
Unnamed (40-58)	LeSueur	18		1-0.75 compressor 2 diffusers	-	150.00	3.6	Y
Unnamed (58-141)	Pine	23		1-0.75 compressor 2 diffusers	-	-	2.8	Ν
Bich (62-24)	Ramsey	127	Birch Lake Improvement Assn	1-1 HP compressor 3 diffusers	-	100.00	4.4	Ν
Willow (62-40)	Ramsey	75	Natural Preserve Foundation	3-0.5 compressors 6 diffusers		did not op	erate	

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Clean-Flo Syste	<u>ems</u> (Con't.)							
Cody (66-61)	Rice	257	Wheatland Twin Lakes Sportsmen's Club	4-0.5 and 2-0.75 HP Compressors, 12 diffusers	7,860	864.23	3.5	Ν
Krenz (Sunset) (70-09)	Scott	15		1-HP compressor 2 diffusers	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Unnamed (Fawn) (71-110)	Sherburne	33	Carefree Country Club	2-0.5 HP – 4 diffusers 1-0.75 HP – 2 diffusers	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Loon (81-15)	Waseca	119	City of Waseca	1-5 HP compressor 9 diffusers		did not op	erate	
Benz (82-120)	Washington	36	Benz Lake Homeowners Association	3-0.75 HP, 1-0.33 HP 8 diffusers	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Pine Tree (82-122)	Washington	174		1-0.5 HP compressor 2 diffusers	160	67.50	5.0	Ν
Other Bubblers	<u>.</u>							
Bijou (3-638)	Becker	229	Cormorant Lake Sportsmen's Club	4-Wifle Webber diffusers 2-pumps	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Ellison (3-484)	Becker	79	Cormorant Lake Sportsmen's Club	1-1.0 HP pump 2 diffusers	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Little Cormorant (3-506)	Becker	939	Cormorant Lake Sportsmen's Club	3-1 HP pumps 6 ceramic brick diffusers	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Ewert's (4-205)	Beltrami	34		2-2 HP compressors 4 diffusers	-	-	2.5	Ν

Lake		Lake Area		System description	Electrical Consumption	Electrical costs	Number Months	Winterkill
(DOW #)	County	(A)	Permittee	(No. of units, rating)	(KWH)	(\$)	operated	(Y or N)
Other Bubbler	<u>s</u> (Con't.)							
Mills (7-97)	Blue Earth	237	Crystal and Loon Lake Restoration	2-0.75 HP compressors 4 diffusers	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Courthouse (10-05)	Carver	10	Carver County	1-1.5 HP compressor 1 diffuser	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Oak (10-93)	Carver	185		4-1 HP compressors 8 diffusers	-	-	4.0	Ν
Eagle (11-342)	Cass	110	Eagle Lake Association	1-0.5 HP pump 2 diffusers	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Meadow (11-419)	Cass	43	Wilderness Park Assn.	1-1.0 HP pump 2 diffusers	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Blue Eagle (14-93)	Clay	11	City of Barnesville	2-1/2 HP pumps 4 diffusers	-	-	3.8	Ν
Lake Fifteen (14-30)	Clay	128	Cormorant Lake Sportsmen's Club	2-1 HP motor 4 ceramic diffusers	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Pine (15-149)	Clearwater	1,465	Red Lake Watershed District	Bubbler	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Rice (22-7)	Faribault	268	Faribault County	2-0.75 compressors 9 diffusers	-	-	3.5	Ν
Albert Lea (24-14)	Freeborn	2,654	Faribault County	1 HP compressors Diffuser tubing	2,300	450.00	3.5	Ν
Pottery Pond (25-38)	Goodhue	8	City of Red Wing	1-0.75 HP Vane compressor 2 diffusers	-	-	2.9	Ν

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Other Bubble	e <u>rs</u> (Con't.)							
Marion (43-84)	McLeod	616	Brownton Rod and Gun Club	1-5 HP blower 3 mat diffusers	14,035	1,768.00	3.5	Ν
Perch (56-95)	Otter Tail	57		1-0.75 HP compressor 1 diffuser	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Unnamed (56-549)	Otter Tail	17		1-0.25 HP motor and diffuser hose	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Cable (60-293)	Polk	129	Cable Lake Association	3-0.25 HP pump	2,412	190.55	2.2	Y
Gilfillan (62-27)	Ramsey	86	Lake Gilfillan Assn.	1-1 HP bubbler	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Pleasant (62-46)	Ramsey	585	St. Paul Regional Water Utility	2-30 HP compressors 2 diffusers	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Ann (71-69)	Sherburne	226	Ann Lake Improvement Club, Inc.	15 HP compressor 2 copper diffusers	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Kohlmeier (74-19)	Steele	11	City of Owatonna	2-0.75 HP compressors 3 diffusers	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Jacobs (77-37)	Todd	28		1-0.75 HP compressor 1 diffuser	2,000	200.00	3.5	Ν
Unnamed (77-230)	Todd	15		2-0.75 HP compressor 2 diffusers	4,500	450.00	3.5	Ν
Stocking (80-37)	Wadena	356	Stocking Lake Boosters, Inc.	2 Gast compressors 5 diffusers	-	375.00	5.5	Ν

Appendix	2. (	(Con't.)
----------	------	----------

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Other Bubbler	<u>s</u> (Con't.)							
Mud (Battle Creek) (82-91)	Washington	103	City of Woodbury	2-1 HP compressors 6 diffusers	-	505.48	2.8	Y
Unnamed Pond (82-257)	Washington	7		0.25 HP blower 2 diffusers	-	-	4.5	Ν
Pump and Baf	fle							
Centerville (2-6)	Anoka	464	Anoka County Parks and Recreation Dept.	1-20 HP pump and baffle		did not op	erate	
Crooked (2-84)	Anoka	130	City of Coon Rapids	1-10 HP pump and baffle	-	-	2.3	Ν
Golden (2-45)	Anoka	50	City of Circle Pines	1-7.5 HP permanent pump and baffle	29,311	3,633.11	5.0	Ν
Martin (2-34)	Anoka	218	Anoka County Parks and Recreation	1-10 HP pump and baffle	-	-	2.4	Ν
Susan (10-13)	Carver	93	City of Chanhassen	1-7.5 HP pump and baffle		did not op	erate	
Marion (19-26)	Dakota	489	City of Lakeville	1-20 HP pump and baffle		did not op	erate	
Roger's (19-80)	Dakota	116	City of Mendota Heights	1-10 HP pump and baffle	16,665	1,450.00	3.2	Ν
Penn (27-4)	Hennepin	47	City of Bloomington	15 HP pump and baffle	6,445	806.95	3.0	Ν

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Pump and Baf	<u>fle</u> (Con't.)							
Red Rock (27-76)	Hennepin	83	City of Eden Prairie	1-7.5 HP pump and baffle	-	547.85	1.7	Ν
Wirth (7-37)	Hennepin	37	Mpls. Park and Recr. Board	1-5.0 HP pump and baffle	di	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Wolfe (27-664)	Hennepin	3	City of St. Louis Park	Built in waterfall – 5 HP	1,600	2,000.00	5.0	Ν
Wolf (29-81)	Hubbard	274		1-5 HP pump and baffle	di	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Unnamed (Florian Res.) (45-119)	Marshall	42	Marshall County Park Board	1-9 HP pump and baffle	-	-	3.0	Ν
Wilson (51-81)	Murray	164	Murray County	1-10 HP pump and baffle	862	138.46	3.1	Ν
Adley (56-31)	Otter Tail	249	Parker's Prairie Sportsmen's Club	1-15 HP pump and baffle	-	1,600.00	2.1	Ν
Fish (56-66)	Otter Tail	500	Parker's Prairie Sportsmen's Club	1-10 HP pump and baffle	-	1,800.00	3.4	Ν
Maple (60-305)	Polk	1,445	Maple Lake Improvement District	3-5 HP pump and baffle	15,312	2,430.42	1.8	Ν
Beaver (62-16)	Ramsey	65	Ramsey County Public Works Dept.	1-7.5 HP pump and baffle	16,000	1,500.00	3.2	Y
Island (62-75)	Ramsey	63	Ramsey County Public Works Dept.	1-20 HP pump and baffle	39,612	4,200.00	3.2	Ν

```
Appendix 2. (Con't.)
```

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Pump and Bat	<u>ffle</u> (Con't.)							
Loeb (62-231)	Ramsey	10	City of St. Paul	1-5 HP pump and baffle	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Owasso (62-56)	Ramsey	360	Ramsey County Public Works Dept.	1-20 HP pump and baffle	9,171	902.00	1.3	Ν
Silver (East) (62-1)	Ramsey	68	Ramsey County Public Works Dept.	1-15 HP pump and baffle	31,805	3,200.00	3.1	Ν
Silver (62-83)	Ramsey	67	City of Columbia Heights	1-10 HP pump and baffle	10,272	1,138.76	3.0	Ν
Cleary (70-22)	Scott	137	Three Rivers Park District	1-7.5 HP pump and baffle	-	-	2.6	Y
McMahon (Carls) (70-50)	Scott	136	New Market Sportsmen's Club	1-10 HP pump and baffle	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Hattie (75-200)	Stevens	488	Save A Lake Aeration, Inc.	1-10 HP pump and baffle	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Goose (82-59)	Washington	83	Town of New Scandia	1-3 HP pump and baffle	3,268	381.52	2.6	Ν
Shields (82-162)	Washington	27	City of Forest Lake	CORE pump and baffle 3 HP	1,568	233.46	3.3	Ν
Subsurface A	spirating System	s (Aire-02,	Aeromix Tornado)					
Cedar (1-165)	Aitkin	260	Cedar Lake Assn	3-2 HP Aeromix tornado	12,480	1,533.00	3.7	Ν
Coon (2-42)	Anoka	1,507	Anoka County Parks	3-2 HP Aeromix tornado	-	-	2.5	Ν

```
Appendix 2. (Con't.)
```

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Subsurface A	spirating System	ns (Aire-02,	Aeromix Tornado (Con't.)					
Ham (2-53)	Anoka	193	City of Ham Lake	3-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	8,930	1,068.00	1.8	Ν
Peltier (2-4)	Anoka	483	Anoka Co. Parks	2-2 HP Aeromix	-	-	1.7	Ν
Spring (2-71)	Anoka	37	City of Spring Lake Park	1-2 HP Aeromix	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
East Toqua (6-138)	Big Stone	440	City of Graceville	2-2.5 HP Aeromix	-	3,220.00	2.7	Y
Long Tom (6-29)	Big Stone	110	Save A Lake Aeration	2-2 HP Aqua tornadoes	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Eagle (10-121)	Carver	230	Carver County Public Works Dept.	4-2 HP Aire-02 aerators	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
George (11-101)	Cass	720	Lake George Association	1-Aire 02	3,463	650.00	3.0	Y
Loon (11-226)	Cass	220	Loon Lake Property Owners	2-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	6,180	740.00	2.2	Ν
Platte (18-88)	Crow Wing	1,486	Platte Lake Association	1-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	1,776	400.00	1.6	Ν
Bald (19-61)	Dakota	10	City of Eagan	1-2 HP Neptune air injector	di	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Birch Pond (19-202)	Dakota	3	School of Environmental Studies	Neptune air injection system		did not op	erate	
Blackhawk (19-59)	Dakota	39	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air injection system	di	id not return qu	lestionnaire	

```
Appendix 2. (Con't.)
```

Lake		Lake Area	5	System description	Electrical Consumption		Number Months	Winterkill
(DOW #)	County	(A)	Permittee	(No. of units, rating)	(KWH)	(\$)	operated	(Y or N)
Subsurface As	spirating Syster	ns (Aire-02,	<u>Aeromix Tornado</u> (Con't.)					
Burr Oaks (19-259)	Dakota	19	City of Eagan	1-2 HP pump	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
Cliff (19-68)	Dakota	16	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air injection system	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
Farquar (19-23)	Dakota	74	City of Apple Valley	1-2 HP air injection system	6,598	787.68	2.9	Ν
Fish (19-57)	Dakota	28	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air injection system	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
Gun Club (19-245)	Dakota	8	City of Inver Grove Heights	1-2 HP Aeromix tornado	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
Hay (19-62)	Dakota	20	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air pump	c	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
Heine (19-153)	Dakota	7	City of Eagan	1-2 HP pump	c	lid not return qu	lestionnaire	
Holland (19-65)	Dakota	33	Dakota Co. Parks	1-2 HP Aire 02	c	lid not return qu	lestionnaire	
LeMay (19-55)	Dakota	44	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air injection system	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
Manor (19-64)	Dakota	14	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air injection system	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
Pickerel (19-79)	Dakota	51	City of St. Paul	1-2 HP Neptune pump	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	
East Thomas (19-161)	Dakota	39	City of Eagan	1-0.1 HP solar powered pump	C	did not return qu	lestionnaire	

```
Appendix 2. (Con't.)
```

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Subsurface A	spirating Syster	ns (Aire-02	<u>Aeromix Tonadao</u> (Con't.)					
Thomas (19-67)	Dakota	56	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air injection pump	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Thompson (19-48)	Dakota	10	Dakota County Parks	1-2 HP Neptune pump	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Unnamed (Schwartz) (19-63)	Dakota	13	City of Eagan	1-2 HP air injection pump	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Aldrich (21-222)	Douglas	173		2-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Albert Lea (24-14)	Freeborn	2,654	Shellrock River Watershed District	2-7.5 HP Aeromix systems	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Frontenac Pond (25-3)	Goodhue	34	Frontenac Sportsman's Club	1-2 HP Aire-02	2,183	172.00	1.9	Ν
Bass (27-98)	Hennepin	175	Bass Lake Improvement Assn	2-2 HP Aire-02	660	738.36	2.2	Ν
Crystal (27-34)	Hennepin	74	City of Robbinsdale	2-2 HP Aire-02	2,661	316.48	2.0	Ν
Hyland (27-48)	Hennepin	87	Three Rivers Park District	2-2 HP Aeromix Tornado	-	-	2.6	Y
Mitchell (27-70)	Hennepin	116	City of Eden Prairie	2-2 HP Aire 0-2's	-	551.53	1.7	Y
Rebecca	Hennepin	290	Three Rivers Park District	3-2 HP Aire-02 aerators	-	-	2.3	Ν
(27-192)								

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Subsurface As	spirating Syster	ns (Aire-02	<u>Aeromix Tonadao</u> (Con't.)					
Petite (29-147)	Hubbard	58	Wonewok Conference Center	1-2 HP air injection system	-	-	5.0	Ν
Crow River (34-158)	Kandiyohi	2,516	City of New London	2-2 HP Aeromix systems	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Elizabeth (34-22)	Kandiyohi	1,153	Kandiyohi County	2-2 HP Aeromix systems	-	1,158.86	1.5	Ν
Dead Coon (41-21)	Lincoln	555	Tyler Rod & Gun Club	2-2 HP Aire-02		did not op	erate	
Hendricks (41-110)	Lincoln	1,634	Lake Hendricks Improvement Assn	4-2 HP Aire-02 aerators	6,171	636.30	3.2	Ν
Stay (41-34)	Lincoln	220	Arco Sportsmen's Club	2-2 HP Aqua tornadoes	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Clear (42-55)	Lyon	68	Lyon County	1-2 HP Aire-02	4,120	404.00	2.6	Ν
East Goose (42-93)	Lyon	151	Lyon County	2-2 HP Aire-02	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Lady Slipper (42-20)	Lyon	262	Lyon County	2-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Rock (42-52)	Lyon	422	Lyon County	2-2 HP Aire-02	4,521	440.72	2.4	Ν
School Grove (42-2)	Lyon	333	Lyon County	2-3 HP Aire-02	-	-	1.9	Ν
Yankton (42-27)	Lyon	382	Lyon County	3-3 HP Aire-02	938	112.00	3.2	Y

Lake		Lake Area		System description	Electrical Consumption	Electrical costs	Number Months	Winterkill
(DOW #)	County	(A)	Permittee	(No. of units, rating)	(KWĤ)	(\$)	operated	(Y or N)
Subsurface A	Aspirating System	ns (Aire-02,	<u>Aeromix Tornado)</u> (Con't.)					
Big Twin (46-133)	Martin	457	Trimont Area Conservation Club	2-1 HP Aire-02	die	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Buffalo (46-146)	Martin	116	Mt. Lake-Odin-Ornsby Sportsmen's Club	1-3 HP Aire-02	3,600	320.00	2.5	Ν
Fish (46-145)	Martin	156	Watonwan Game and Fish	1-2 HP Aire-02		did not op	erate	
Winsted (43-12)	McLeod	407	City of Winsted	2-15 HP Aire-02	die	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Star (47-129)	Meeker	554	Star Lake Association	3-2 HP Aire-02	7,713	921.21	2.8	Ν
Corabelle (51-54)	Murray	99	Murray County	1-2 HP Aire-02	-	-	3.2	Y
Kinbrae (53-16)	Nobles	87	Nobles County Park	2-1 HP Aeromix tornado	-	-	3.0	Ν
Tamarac (59-931)	Otter Tail	416	Tamarac Lake Association	2-2 HP aspirating aerators	6,833	757.38	2.7	Ν
Split Rock (59-1)	Pipestone	80	Split Rock Creek State Park	2-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	-	-	2.3	Ν
Johanna (61-6)	Роре	1,204	DNR Fisheries	2-5 HP Aire-02's	die	d not return qu	estionnaire	
Signalness (61-149)	Роре	41	Glacial Lakes State Park	1-2 HP Aire-02		did not op	erate	
Unnamed (61-71)	Роре	21		1-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	die	d not return qu	estionnaire	

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Subsurface A	spirating System	ns (Aire-02,	Aeromix Tornado) (Con't.)					
Otter (2-3)	Ramsey/ Anoka	173	Ramsey County Public Works	2-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	7,850	891.00	2.8	Ν
Circle (66-27)	Rice	976	Tri-Lakes Sportsmen's Club	3-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	10,344	971.00	2.7	Ν
O'Dowd (70-95)	Scott	256	O'Dowd Lakes Chain Assn	3-2 HP Aire-02	5,040	478.00	2.0	Ν
Thole (70-120)	Scott	131	O'Dowd Lakes Chain Assn	1-2 HP Aire-02	2,490	278.00	2.0	Ν
McColl (70-17)	Scott	20	City of Savage	2-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	-	-	3.4	Ν
Murphy (70-10)	Scott	70	Three Rivers Park District	2-2 HP Aeromix tornadoes	-	-	2.6	Y
Birch (71-57)	Sherburne	149	Birch Lake Association	1-2 HP Aire-02		did not op	erate	
Fremont (71-16)	Sherburne	466	City of Zimmerman	2-2 HP Aire-02's	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Masford (71-126)	Sherburne	90		1-2 HP Aeromix	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Silver (72-13)	Sibley	697	Silver Lake Conservation Club	3-2 HP Aire-02		did not op	erate	
Black Oak (73-241)	Stearns	121	Green Grove Sportsmen's Club	1-2 HP Aire-02	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Elysian (81-95)	Waseca	2,462	So. Lakes Chain Dark House Angles Association	2 sets of 3-3 HP Aire-02's	-	1,600.00	3.0	Y

```
Appendix 2. (Con't.)
```

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Subsurface As	spirating System	s (Aire-02,	<u>Aeromix Tornado)</u> (Con't.)					
Unnamed (Cloverdale) (82-9)	Washington	39	Cloverdale Farm Homeowner's Assn	1-2 HP Aeromix systems	-	230.40	2.1	Ν
McDonald (82-10)	Washington	3.7	Cloverdale Farm Homeowner's Assn	1-2 HP Aeromix tornado	-	385.20	3.5	Ν
Sand (82-67)	Washington	46	Sand Lake Lakeshore Association	1-2 HP Aeromix tornado	di	d not return qu	lestionnaire	
Kansas (83-36)	Watonwan	388	Watonwan Game and Fish Club	3-2 HP Aire-02	6,007	719.83	2.6	Ν
St. James (83-43)	Watonwan	252	Watonwan Game and Fish Club	2-2 HP Aire-02	10,353	924.99	2.9	Ν
Crawford (86-46)	Wright	117	Crawford Lake Improvement Assn	2-2 HP Aire-02	4,300	493.44	2.8	Ν
Dean (86-41)	Wright	204	Dean Lake Assn	2-2 HP Aire-02	di	d not return qu	iestionnaire	
Little Waverly (86-106)	Wright	336	Little Waverly Lake Association	1-2 HP Propeller aspirator	7,688	909.00	2.4	Ν
Mink (86-229)	Wright	304	Assn of Mink & Somers Lakes	1-2 HP Aire-02		did not op	erate	
Somers (86-230)	Wright	156	Assn of Mink & Somers Lakes	1-2 HP Aire-02		did not op	erate	
Tyson (87-19)	Yellow Medicine	180	Yellow Medicine County	2-2 HP Aire-02	-	678.00	2.8	Ν

```
Appendix 2. (Con't.)
```

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Subsurface As	pirating System	s (Aire-02,	<u>Aeromix Tornado)</u> (Con't.)					
Wood (87-30)	Yellow Medicine	484	Yellow Medicine County	2-2 HP Aire-02	-	-	3.2	Ν
<u>Sprayers</u>								
Crystal (70-61)	Scott	33	City of Prior Lake	1-3 HP Otterbine	6,751	796.33	2.8	Ν
Lakefront Park Pond (70-169)	Scott	13	City of Prior Lake	1-3 HP Otterbine	359	108.21	3.3	Ν
Dullinger (73-103)	Stearns	21		1-1 HP Kallep floating aerator	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Mixed Systems	<u>6</u>							
Mountain (17-3)	Cottonwood	241	City of Mountain Lake	5-0.5 HP compressors 4-2 HP Aeromix Tornadoes	150	838.70	2.8	Ν
Carlson (19-66)	Dakota	14	City of Eagan	1-3 HP lift station Air injection pump	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Powerhorn (27-14)	Hennepin	11	Mpls Park and Rec. Board	Pump and baffle, 4 HP motor w/5 lines	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Snelling (27-1)	Hennepin	110	Fort Snelling State Park	2-5 HP sump pumps	-	170.16	2.1	Ν
Clear (32-22)	Jackson	415	Jackson County Conservation League	2-5 HP motor/blowers, 6 Helixor diffusers, 3-3 HP Ice Eaters	6,478	558.00	2.5	Ν
Independence (32-17)	Jackson	97	Jackson County Conservation League	1-5 HP Helixor 3-3 HP Ice Eater	11,405	974.00	2.9	Ν

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Mixed System	<u>s</u> (Con't.)							
Little Spirit (32-24)	Jackson	634	Jackson County Conservation League	2-7.5 HP motors 6 diffusers; 3-3 HP Ice Eaters	25,218	2,115.00	2.7	Ν
Scotch (40-109)	LeSueur	590	German-Jefferson Sportsmen's Club	2-0.75 compressors, 1-10 HP Helixor, 9 diffusers	-	1,050.00	3.0	Ν
Cedar (46-121)	Martin	710	Trimont Area Conservation Club	1-2 HP Aire-02, 1-0.75 HP Ice Eater	d	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Thompson (47-159)	Meeker	220	Meeker County Parks	1-20 HP pump and baffle 2-2 HP Tornadoes	d	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Shetek (51-63)	Murary	3,596	Murray County	3-7.5 HP motor/blowers 12 diffusers, 2 Ice Eaters	17,539	1,247.72	3.1	Ν
Bennett (62-48)	Ramsey	41	Roseville Parks and Recr.	3-0.5 HP blower and 6 diffusers, baffle system	did not return questionnaire			
Hypolimnetic	Aerators							
Moore (East) (2-75)	Anoka	110	City of Fridley	1-7.5 HP Palatek Compressor	-	-	5.0	Ν
Como (62-55)	Ramsey	69	Ramsey County Public Works Dept.	1-10 HP Hypo system	23,904	2,400.00	4.1	Ν
Vadnais (62-38)	Ramsey	477	City of St. Paul Water Utility	2-30.0 HP Atlas Copco	d	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Marie (Maria) (73-14)	Stearns	145	Clearwater River Watershed District	1-20 HP Atlas Copco	d	id not return qu	estionnaire	
Augusta (86-284)	Wright	186	Clearwater River Watershed District	1-20 HP Atlas Copco	d	id not return qu	estionnaire	

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
Hypolimnetic A	<u>Aerators</u> (Con't.)							
Louisa (86-282)	Wright	183	Clearwater River Watershed District	1-10 HP Atlas Copco	did not return questionnaire			
Other (Mechan	iical Surface Agi	tators, hon	nemade, etc.)					
Wolf (3-101)	Becker	1,453	Wolf Lake Sportsmen's Club	3-1 HP Ice Eaters	5,500	800.00	2.8	Ν
Bean (17-54)	Cottonwood	141	Red Rock Sportsmen's Club	2-1 HP Ice Eaters	-	-	3.5	Ν
Double (17-56)	Cottonwood	227	Red Rock Sportsmen's Club	2-1 HP Ice Eaters	1,971	228.00	3.2	Ν
South Double (17-56)	Cottonwood	227	Red Rock Sportsmen's Club	2-1 HP Ice Eaters	did not return questionnaire			
Talcott (17-60)	Cottonwood	928	Red Rock Sportsmen's Club	1-5 HP Ice Eater	did not return questionnaire			
Nisswa (18-399)	Crow Wing	213		25-3/4 HP Ice Eaters	di	d not return qu	uestionnaire	
Knife (33-28)	Kanabec	1,127	Knife Lake Improvement District	4-2 HP floating aspirators	did not return questionnaire			
Nest (34-154)	Kandiyohi	1,019	North Shore Estates	Morgan Winds Windmill	did not return questionnaire			
Silver (40-48)	LeSueur	17	N. Elysian Silver Lakers Sportsmen's Club	1-0.75 HP motored propeller	2,801	306.55	2.8	Y
Benton (41-43)	Lincoln	2,875	Lake Benton Sportsmen's Club	5-0.25 HP Ice Eaters	-	1,018.00	3.0	Ν

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
<u>Other</u> (Con't.)								
Shaokotan (41-89)	Lincoln	1,043	Shaokotan Sportsmen's Club	4-0.75 HP Ice Eaters	did not return questionnaire			
East Twin (42-70)	Lyon	280	Lyon County	3-0.5 HP Ice Eaters	4,886	415.32	3.2	Ν
West Twin (42-74)	Lyon	237	Lyon County	2-0.5 HP Ice Eaters	4,886	415.32	3.2	Ν
Budd (46-30)	Martin	224	City of Fairmont	Water plant pumps		did not op	erate	
Buffalo (51-18)	Murray	124	Murray County	2-0.75 HP Ice Eaters	-	53.44	3.8	Ν
Currant (51-82)	Murray	394	Murray County	3-0.75 HP Ice Eaters	5,352	562.41	3.0	Ν
Fox (51-43)	Murray	174	Murray County	2-0.75 HP Ice Eaters	3,320	328.89	2.7	Ν
Lime (51-24)	Murray	316	Murray County	2-0.75 HP Ice Eaters	-	101.83	3.1	Ν
Louisa (51-6)	Murray	211	Murray County	2-0.75 HP Ice Eaters	-	53.44	2.9	Ν
Second Fulda (51-20)	Murray	65	Murray County	2-0.75 HP Ice Eaters	3,214	359.52	3.0	Ν
Wilson (South) (51-81)	Murray	164	Murray County	1-0.75 HP Ice Eater	did not return questionnaire			
East Graham (53-20)	Nobles	523	Nobles County Parks Department	3-0.75 HP Powerhouse	-	-	3.5	Ν

Lake (DOW #)	County	Lake Area (A)	Permittee	System description (No. of units, rating)	Electrical Consumption (KWH)	Electrical costs (\$)	Number Months operated	Winterkill (Y or N)
<u>Other</u> (Con't.)								
West Graham (53-21)	Nobles	526	Nobles County Parks Department	3-0.75 HP Powerhouse	-	-	3.5	Ν
Ocheda (53-24)	Nobles	1,778	Nobles County Parks Department	2-0.75 HP portable Powerhouse motors	-	-	3.0	Ν
Badger (60-214)	Polk	247	City of Erskine	2-0.75 HP Kasco agitators	2,968	224.02	2.2	Ν
Community Center Pond (62-63)	Ramsey	32	City of Shoreview	1-0.75 HP Kasco agitators 1-2 HP	-	-	5.0	Ν
Legends (70-287)	Scott	29	Legends Club	1-HP Aqua control surface pump	-	-	4.4	Ν
Fedji (83-21)	Watonwan	179	Madelia Sportsmen's Club	3-0.75 HP Powerhouse Systems	did not operate			
White Bear Lake (82-167)	Washington	1,255	City of White Bear Lake	6-0.75 HP Kasco marine de-icers	-	-	0.6	Ν
Winona (85-11)	Winona	318	City of Winona	3-3 HP Neptune aspirating units with propellers	-	-	5.0	Ν