# 2012 Study of the Water Quality of 168 Metropolitan Area Lakes



# The Council's mission is to foster efficient and economic growth for a prosperous metropolitan region.

### **Metropolitan Council Members**

Susan Haigh	Chair	Edward Reynoso	District 9
Katie Rodriguez	District 1	Marie McCarthy	District 10
Lona Schreiber	District 2	Sandy Rummel	District 11
Jennifer Munt	District 3	Harry Melander	District 12
Gary Van Eyll	District 4	Richard Kramer	District 13
Steve Elkins	District 5	Jon Commers	District 14
James Brimeyer	District 6	Steven T. Chávez	District 15
Gary L. Cunningham	District 7	Wendy Wulff	District 16
Adam Duininck	District 8		



The Metropolitan Council is the regional planning organization for the seven-county Twin Cities area. The Council operates the regional bus and rail system, collects and treats wastewater, coordinates regional water resources, plans and helps fund regional parks, and administers federal funds that provide housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income individuals and families. The 17-member Council board is appointed by and serves at the pleasure of the governor.

This publication printed on recycled paper.

On request, this publication will be made available in alternative formats to people with disabilities. Call Metropolitan Council information at 651-602-1140 or TTY 651-291-0904.



390 Robert Street North St. Paul, MN 55101–1805

651.602.1000 TTY 651.291.0904 public.info@metc.state.mn.us metrocouncil.org

# 2012 Study of the Water Quality of Metropolitan Area Lakes

Report by

Brian Johnson
Senior Environmental Scientist
Metropolitan Council Environmental Services

March 2014

# **Executive Summary**

This report is the latest in a continuing series of reports summarizing results of the annual lake monitoring program of the Metropolitan Council (METC) in the Twin Cities seven-county metropolitan area (TCMA). The METC has collected water quality data on area lakes since 1980. This report contains data from a total of 177 lake sites on 168 lakes monitored in 2012. This year's monitoring program included 4 new lake sites on 3 lakes never before monitored by the Council.

To date, the METC's lake monitoring program (including monitoring by METC staff and volunteers) has provided an important tool for making informed lake management decisions. Data from our regional lake monitoring program are frequently used to determine possible trends in lake water quality, estimate expected ranges in water quality of non-monitored lakes, examine intra-and inter-regional differences, determine potential water quality impairments, and investigate the relationships between land use and water quality.


The objectives of this program are:

- 1. Provide lake water quality data to lake, watershed and water resource managers.
- 2. Advise managers of known or suspected threats to lake water quality.
- 3. Continue to compile a water quality database on the five area lakes that support a trout fishery.

The year 2012 marked the twentieth year that the Citizen-Assisted Monitoring Program (CAMP) was used to increase our knowledge of the water quality of TCMA lakes. CAMP volunteers visited their assigned lake on a biweekly basis from mid April to mid October. The volunteers measured surface water temperature and water transparency, documented lake and weather conditions, and collected surface water samples. The samples were analyzed for total phosphorus, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, and chlorophyll-a by the METC's analytical laboratory located at the Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant in St. Paul, MN. CAMP volunteers are sponsored by a local partner. In 2012, there were 25 sponsors who consisted of a mix of municipalities, watershed management organizations (WMOs), watershed districts (WDs), and counties.

Most lakes were given a lake grade which was calculated on the basis of three parameters: total phosphorus, chlorophyll-a (trichromatic), and Secchi depth (water clarity). Not all lake sites received a lake grade because of an insufficient quantity of data during the summer-time period of May through September. The distribution of lake grades for all the lake sites monitored in 2012 is shown in the following figure.

For those lakes with sufficient data to calculate a lake grade, approximately one third of the lakes (31%) received a lake grade of C. The water quality of these sites is considered average as compared to other lakes in the TCMA. Approximately one third of the lakes (34%) were above average (A and B grades), and approximately one third of the lakes (35%) were below average (D and F grades).



**Lake Grades for the 2012 Monitoring Season** 

Since 1980, 372 TCMA lakes have been monitored through the METC's lake monitoring program. Since some of these lakes have multiple monitoring sites, a total of 407 lake sites have been monitored. The data from the METC's lake monitoring program are stored in the METC's Environmental Information Mangement System (EIMS), the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's Environmental Quality Information System (EQuIS), and the U.S. EPA's national water quality data repository, called STORET (STOrage and RETrieval). Data for all METC lake monitoring sites can be conveniently retreived via the METC's web-based EIMS, at: <a href="http://es.metc.state.mn.us/eims/">http://es.metc.state.mn.us/eims/</a>. While the METC has done its best to enhance and expand the region's lake water quality database, it is apparent that one of the most economical and efficient methods to expand knowledge of our lakes has been with the assistance of volunteers and the cooperation and financial support of local partners via the CAMP.

If you have questions pertaining to the lake data or descriptions contained in this report, inquiries about CAMP, or suggestions of lakes the METC should consider monitoring in the future, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.

# Acknowledgments

This report represents the coordinated efforts of many individuals. The author would like to acknowledge the following people for their technical and supportive contributions to the preparation of this report:

#### **CAMP Volunteers and Local Partners**

The enthusiastic participation of local sponsors and volunteers help make the CAMP successful. A list of sponsors and volunteers is shown in Appendix C. The following volunteers are given added appreciation for their multiple years of service:

### 20 years of service

Diane Coderre - Sunset Lake

### 19 years of service

Washington CD – multiple lakes

### 18 years of service

Bill Aamodt – Wilmes Lake Carver Co. Env. Services – multiple lakes

### 17 years of service

John Ritter – Lake Alimagnet Wargo Nature Center – George Watch

### 16 years of service

Anoka Co. Parks – multiple lakes

### 15 years of service

Glen Gramse – Keller Lake Wally Shaver – Lac Lavon Lake

### 14 years of service

Lakeville – multiple lakes John Ryski – Bavaria Lake Westwood Nature Center – Westwood Lake

### 13 years of service

Dave Hanson – Sweeney Lake

### 12 years of service

Gene Berwald – Pine Tree Lake Kevin Bjork – Cloverdale Lake Tom & Dorothy Goodwin – Orchard Lake Wally Potter – Marion Lake

### 11 years of service

Bonnie Juran – Klawitter Lake Tom and Peggy Sletta – Cates Lake

### 10 years of service

Walt Burris – Lower Prior Lake Jim Kellogg – Cobblecrest Lake Kitty Francy-Payton – Long Lake

### 9 years service

Bill Feely — Long Lake David Florenzano – Riley Lake Wayne Hubin – Swede Lake Sue Morgan & Linda Scott – St. Joe Lake Gordan & Fran Warner – Mitchell Lake

### 8 years of service

Jeff Keene – O'Connor Lake

### 7 years of service

David Bluhm – White Rock Lake Carolyn Dindorf — Magda Lake Minnesota DOT – Rest Area Pond Dan Wallace – Sunset Pond Joe Williamson – McMahon Lake

### 6 years of service

Sandy & Mike Boyce – Lake O'Dowd John Burton – Wing Lake Dan Freeman – Twin Lake south Gary Gerding – Karth Lake Jon and Teresa Hafner – Bone Lake Jim & Tricia Hafner – Loch Ness Doug Hennes - Rogers Lake Tam & Dick McGehee – Langton Lake Lynne McMullen – Reitz Lake Boe Meier — Olson Lake Mendota Heights staff — Lemay Lake Jim Nayes – Horseshoe Lake Steve Schreiber — Little Comfort Lake Curt Sparks – Sylvan Lake Dan Stanek – Scout Lake Robert White — Northwood Lake

### 5 years of service

Dan Carlson – Clear, Mays, Terrapin Lakes
David Dixen – Priebe Lake
Fred Fox – Little Johanna Lake
Lori Fredlund – Reshanau Lake
Todd Heruth – Armstrong Lake
Steve Iverson – DeMontreville Lake
Christy McGlocklin – Long Lake
Jeff Sluiter – Cobblestone Lake
John & Maressia Twele – Minnetoga Lake
Warner Nature Center – Clear, Mays, Terrapin Lakes

### 4 years service

Jeff Christianson – Farquar Lake Tim and Sharon McCotter – Lucy Lake Wally Ostlie – Comfort Lake Joe Reithmeyer – Lake Edith Steve Schmaltz – Forest Lake, west basin Jeff Thayer – Earley Lake Tim Weber – La Lake Jim Weninger – Spring Lake

### 3 years of service

Steve Aldritt – Lake Minnewashta
Jeff Berg – Lake Elmo
Paul Bolstad – Oneka Lake
Wendy Griffin – Lake Elmo
Jake McGlocklin – Long Lake
Mark McMullen – Reitz Lake
Mock Family – Wood Lake
David and Josie Nelson – Medicine Lake
Carol Pierce – Priebe Lake
Mary Quinn – Wing Lake
Peter Riehle – Loon Lake
James Stowell – Sunfish Lake
Douglas Toavs – Moody Lake

### **Metropolitan Council Staff**

- The MCES Laboratory Services Section, for laboratory analysis of the lake samples.
- Craig Skone for creation of the lake maps.
- The MCES Electronic Lake Monitoring Report Team for the development and implementation of the automation of the annual lake report.

# **Contents**

Executive Summary	1
Acknowledgments	iii
Introduction	1
METC Staff Monitoring Program	5
Methods	6
Results	8
Ann Lake (10–0012) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	9
Christmas Lake (27–0137) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	
Crystal Lake [Burnsville] (19–0027) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	
Eagle Lake (27–0111–01) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	
Holland Lake (19–0065) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	
Little Long Lake (27–0179–01) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	22
Maria Lake (10–0058) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	25
Riley Lake [Site 1] (10—0002) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	
Riley Lake [Site 4] (10—0002) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	
Sunfish Lake (19–0050) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services	
Citizen-Assisted Monitoring Program (CAMP)	
CAMP Overview	
Acknowledgments	
CAMP Methods	
Recruiting Volunteers.	36
Training Volunteers	36
Monitoring Methods	
Laboratory Analytical Methods	39
Data Management	39
Quality Assurance	39
Lake Quality Report Card	43
2012 Lake Grades	
Monitoring Results for CAMP Lakes 2012	47
Alimagnet Lake (19–0021) City of Apple Valley	48
Anderson Pond (19–0094) City of South St. Paul	51
Armstrong Lake (82–0116) South Washington Watershed District	
Bass Lake [May Township] (82–0035) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	57
Bass Lake [West] (82–0123) Browns Creek Watershed District	
Bass Lake [East] (82–0124) Browns Creek Watershed District	
Bavaria Lake (10–0019) Carver County Environmental Services	
Bay Pond (82–0011) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Benton Lake (10–0069) Carver County Environmental Services	72
Benz Lake (82–0120) Browns Creek Watershed District	75
Big Carnelian Lake (82–0049) Carnelian — Marine Watershed District	
Big Comfort Lake (13–0053) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District	
Bone Lake (82–0054) Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District	
Brick Pond (82–0308) Middle St. Croix Watershed Management Organization	
Brickyard Clayhole Lake (10–0225) Carver County Environmental Services	
Bryant Lake (27–0067)	93
Bush Lake (27–0047) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District	
Carol Lake (82–0017) Carnelian-Marine-St. Croix Watershed District	99
Cates Lake (70–0018) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District	
Cedar Lake (70–0091), site 1 Scott County Watershed Management Organization	
Cedar Lake (70–0091), site 2 Scott County Watershed Management Organization	
Cenaiko Lake (2–0654) Anoka County Parks	
Clear Lake (82–0045) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
Cloverdale Lake (82–0009) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Cobblecrest Lake (27–0053) City of St. Louis Park	120

Cobblestone Lake (19–0456) City of Apple Valley	123
Colby Lake (82–0094) City of Woodbury	
Courthouse Lake (10–0005) Carver County Environmental Services	129
Crystal Lake [Burnsville] (19–0027) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	132
DeMontreville Lake (82–0101) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Downs Lake (82–0110) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Dubay Lake (27–0129) Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission	141
Eagle Lake (10–0121) Carver County Environmental Services	
Eagle Point Lake (82–0109) Valley Branch Watershed District	147
Earley Lake (19–0033) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	150
East Lake (19–0349) City of Lakeville	153
East Boot Lake (82–0034) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	156
Echo Lake (82–0135) Valley Branch Watershed District	159
Edith Lake (82–0004) Valley Branch Watershed District	162
Lake Elmo (82–0106) Valley Branch Watershed District	165
Farquar Lake (19–0023) City of Apple Valley	168
Fireman's Clayhole Lake (10–0226) Carver County Environmental Services	
Fish Lake [Spring Lake] (70–0069) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District	
Fish Lake [Woodbury] (82–0093) Washington Conservation District	
Fish Lake [Grant Township] (82–0137) Rice Creek Watershed District	180
Forest Lake [East Basin] (82–0159) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District	
Forest Lake [Middle Basin] (82–0159) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District	186
Forest Lake [West Basin] (82–0159) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District	
George Watch Lake (2–0005) Rice Creek Watershed District	192
German Lake (82–0056) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
Goggins Lake (82–0077) Browns Creek Watershed District	
Goose Lake [Waconia] (10–0089) Carver County Environmental Services	
Goose Lake [Washington County] (82–0059) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
Goose Lake [North Basin] (82–0113–01) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Goose Lake [South Basin] (82–0113–02) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Grace Lake (10–0218) Carver County Environmental Services	
Hazeltine Lake (10–0014) Carver County Environmental Services	
Horseshoe Lake [Sunfish Lake] (19–0051) City of Sunfish Lake	
Horseshoe Lake [Site 3] (82–0074) Washington Conservation District	
Hydes Lake (10–0088) Carver County Environmental Services	
Island Lake (2–0022) Anoka County Parks	
Jackson Wildlife Management Area Wetland (82–0305) Washington Conservation District	
Jane Lake (82–0104) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Jonathan Lake (10–0217) Carver County Environmental Services	
July Lake (10–0217) Carver County Environmental Services	
Karth Lake (62–0072) Rice Creek Watershed District	
Keller Lake [Burnsville] (19–0025) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	
Kingsley Lake (19–0030) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	
Kismet Lake (82–0333) Browns Creek Watershed District	
Klawitter Pond (82–0368) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Kramer Pond (82–0117) Valley Branch Watershed District	
La Lake (82–0097) City of Woodbury	
Lac Lavon Lake (19–0446) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	
Langton Lake [North Basin Site-1] (62–0049–01) Rice Creek Watershed District.	
Lee Lake (19–0029) City of Lakeville	
LeMay Lake (19–0082) City of Mendota Heights	
LeVander Pond (19–0088) City of South St. Paul	
Lily Lake (82–0023) City of Stillwater	
Little Carnelian Lake (82–0014) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
Little Comfort Lake (13–0054) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District	
Little Johanna Lake (62–0058) Rice Creek Watershed District	288

Lochness Lake (2–0585) Rice Creek Watershed District	291
Long Lake [Apple Valley] (19–0022) City of Apple Valley	294
Long Lake [Site 1, North Basin] [Stillwater] (82–0021) Browns Creek Watershed District	
Long Lake [Site 2, Middle Basin] [Stillwater] (82–0021) Browns Creek Watershed District	300
Long Lake [Site 3, South Basin] [Stillwater] (82–0021) Browns Creek Watershed District	303
Long Lake [Pine Springs] (82–0118) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Long Lake [Mahtomedi] (82–0130) Rice Creek Watershed District	309
Loon Lake (82–0015–02) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	312
Lotus Lake (10–0006) City of Chanhassen	315
Lucy Lake (10–0006) City of Chanhassen	
Lynch Lake [Site 1, North Basin] (82–0042) Browns Creek Watershed District	321
Lynch Lake [Site 2, South Basin] (82–0042) Browns Creek Watershed District	324
Magda Lake (27–0065) Shingle Creek Watershed Management Commission	327
Marcott (Ohmans) Lake [east basin] (19–0042) City of Inver Grove Heights	330
Marcott (Ohmans) Lake [west basin] (19–0042) City of Inver Grove Heights	333
Marcott (Rosenberg) Lake (19–0041) City of Inver Grove Heights	
Marion Lake (19–0026) City of Lakeville	
Markgrafs Lake (82–0089) City of Woodbury.	
Masterman Lake (82–0126) Browns Creek Watershed District	345
Mays Lake (82–0033) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
McDonald Lake (10–0010) Valley Branch Watershed District	
McKnight Lake (10–0216) Carver County Environmental Services	
McKusick Lake (82–0020) Middle St. Croix Watershed Management Organization	
McMahon Lake (70–0050) Scott County Watershed Management Organization	
Medicine Lake [Site 1, Southwest Bay] (27–0104) Bassett Creek Watershed Management	
Commission	363
Medicine Lake [Site 2, Main Lake] (27-0104) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission	366
Medina Lake (27–0146) Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission	
Miller Lake (10–0029) Carver County Environmental Services.	
Minnetoga Lake (10–0009) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District	
Minnewashta Lake [Site-2, South Bay] (10–0009) City of Chanhassen	
Mitchell Lake (27–0070) City of Eden Prairie	
Moody Lake (13–0023) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District	
Normandale Lake (27–1045) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District	
North Twin Lake (82–0018) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
Northwood Lake (27–0627) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Organization	
O'Connor Lake (82–0002) Washington Conservation District	
O'Dowd Lake (70–0095) City of Shakopee	399
Olson Lake (82–0103) Valley Branch Watershed District	402
Oneka Lake (82–0140) Rice Creek Watershed District	
Orchard Lake (19–0031) Black Dog Lake Watershed Management Organization	
Parkers Lake (27–0107) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Organization	
Pat Lake (82–0125) Browns Creek Watershed District	
Penn Lake (27–0004) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District.	
Pine Tree Lake (87–0122) Rice Creek Watershed District	
Plaisted Lake (82–0148) Washington Conservation District	
Powers Lake (82–0092) City of Woodbury.	
Priebe Lake (62–0036) Rice Creek Watershed District	
Prior Lake [Lower Basin, Site 1] (70–0026) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District	
Prior Lake [Upper Basin, Site 1] (70–0072) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District	
Regional Park Lake (82–0087) South Washington Watershed District	
Reitz Lake (10–0052) Carver County Environmental Services	
Reshanau Lake (02–0009) Rice Creek Watershed District	
Rest Area Pond (82–0514) Valley Branch Watershed District	
Riley Lake (10—0002) City of Chanhassen/City of Eden Prairie	
Rogers Lake (19–0080) Lower Mississippi River Watershed Management Organization	
-1,	

Ryan Lake (27–0058) Shingle Creek Watershed Management Commission	456
Scout Lake (19-0198) City of Apple Valley	
Seidl Lake (19-0095) Cities of Inver Grove Heights and South St. Paul	462
Shields Lake (82–0162) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District	465
Simley Lake (19–0037) City of Inver Grove Heights	468
South Oak Lake (27-0661) City of St. Louis Park	471
South School Section Lake (82–0151) Browns Creek Watershed District	474
Spring Lake (70–0054) Prior Lake - Spring Lake Watershed District	477
Square Lake (82-0046) Marine on St. Croix Watershed Management Organization	480
St. Joe Lake (10–0011) City of Chanhassen	483
Sunfish Lake (19–0050) City of Sunfish Lake	486
Sunfish Lake [Lake Elmo] (82–0107) Valley Branch Watershed District	489
Sunnybrook Lake (82-0133) Valley Branch Watershed District	492
Sunset Lake (82-0153) Rice Creek Watershed District	495
Sunset Pond (19–0451) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	498
Susan Lake (10–0013) City of Chanhassen	501
Swede Lake (10–0095) Carver County Environmental Services	504
Sweeney Lake [Site-1, South Site] (27-0035-01) Bassett Creek Watershed Management	
Commission	
Sylvan Lake (27–0171) Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission	510
Sylvan Lake [Half Breed Lake] (82—0080) Comfort Lake – Forest Lake Watershed District	513
Terrapin Lake (82—0031) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
Turtle Lake (82—0036) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	
Twin Lake [Burnsville] (19–0028) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	
Twin Lake [St. Louis Park] (27–0656) City of St. Louis Park	
Twin Lake [May Township] (82–0048) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District	528
Valley Lake (19–0348) City of Lakeville	531
Waconia Lake (10–0059) Carver County Environmental Services	534
West Boot Lake (82–0044) Carnelian — Marine Watershed District	537
Westwood Lake (27–0711) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Organization	540
White Rock Lake (82–0072) Rice Creek Watershed District	543
Wilmes Lake (82–0090) City of Woodbury	
Wing Lake (27–0091) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District	
Wood Lake (19–0024) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission	
Woodpile Lake (82–0123) Browns Creek Watershed District	555
References	
Appendices	561

# Introduction

This 2012 report continues a series of annual lake reports from 1980 to present. Since 1980, 372 Twin Cities Metropolitan Area (TCMA) lakes have been monitored through the Metropolitan Council's (METC) lake monitoring program. Since some of these lakes have multiple monitoring sites, a total of 407 lake sites have been monitored. This report contains data from 177 lake sites on 168 lakes that were monitored in 2012, including 3 lakes that have not been previously monitored by the METC lake monitoring program. Figure 1 shows the location of the lakes monitored in 2012 by MCES staff and by volunteers of the Citizen-Assisted Monitoring Program. A list of lakes that have been monitored by the METC's monitoring program is shown in Appendix A. Refer to Appendix B for morphometry and other lake characteristic data.

METC lake monitoring data are available via:

- the METC's Environmental Information Management System (EIMS), at http://es.metc.state.mn.us/eims/,
- the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) Environmental Data Access (EDA) system, at http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-home.html,
- the STORET Data Warehouse, which is the U.S. EPA's national water quality data repository, at http://www.epa.gov/storet/dbtop.html.

The objectives of the METC lake monitoring program are:

- 1. Provide lake water quality data to lake, watershed and water resource managers.
- 2. Advise managers of known or suspected threats to lake water quality.
- 3. Continue to compile a water quality database on the five area lakes that support a trout fishery.

The long-term goal of the METC lake monitoring program is to provide a comprehensive database to enable cities, counties, watershed management organizations (WMOs), and watershed districts (WDs) to better manage TCMA lakes. The Council believes that without such comprehensive lake data, the foundation of lake and watershed management plans is weakened. While the METC has provided a commendable lake monitoring program, monitoring by other organizations is also encouraged (Osgood 1989a).

To date, the METC lake monitoring program has been an important tool for making informed lake management decisions. The majority of the lakes have been visited on a rotating schedule over the past 30 years, so as to develop an historical database to help lake and watershed managers in decision making. Data from the METC lake monitoring program are frequently used to determine possible trends in lake water quality, estimate expected ranges in water quality of unmonitored lakes, examine intra-and interregional differences, and investigate the relationships between land use and water quality. A comprehensive regional lake monitoring program should ensure adequate spatial and temporal representation of water quality. However, due to cost and logistical problems, ground-based monitoring programs usually sacrifice spatial coverage (fewer lakes) in favor of more frequent sampling.


As is the case throughout the United States, the majority of lakes in the TCMA suffer from this lack of water quality data. Area lakes and watershed managers need a broad, comprehensive water quality database for regulatory and decision-making purposes. Because of the lack of public funding and the high ratio of area lakes to monitoring staff, very little data exist for the majority of TCMA lakes, and local decision-makers are forced to make management decisions lacking adequate information.

The METC addressed this lack of adequate lake water quality data by initiating a citizen-assisted monitoring program (CAMP) in 1993. The purpose of the CAMP is to provide a more complete and improved water quality database for TCMA lakes. This database gives local decision makers a better idea of the water quality of their lakes, thereby assisting them in decision making on water quality issues. The METC's goal for the CAMP is to provide a means to gather as much information on TCMA lakes as is economically possible.

The METC lake monitoring program, especially the use of volunteer monitors through the CAMP, has played a key role in the METC's recent efforts to use satellite images to assess annual lake water clarity for the entire TCMA. The monitoring program provides the "ground-based" measurements used to calibrate mathematical models, which in turn are used to interpret the satellite images. The use of satellite technology provides a cost-effective way to extend the analysis of the TCMA's lake water quality from just the lakes involved in our ground-based programs to all the lakes in the region. Over

time, the satellite—based information can be used to detect how lake trophic conditions (especially water clarity) have changed over time and space in relation to changes in land-use and land-cover conditions.

The METC lake monitoring program began a volunteer annual ice-monitoring program in the winter of 2009 -2010. The purpose of this program is to monitor the duration of ice cover on the TCMA lakes over a long time period.



# **METC Staff Monitoring Program**

A description of the methods and results of monitoring conducted by METC staff during 2012 is provided in the following section.

### **Methods**

Metropolitan Council staff monitored 10 lake sites on 9 lakes during 2012 (Figure 1). The staff monitoring program consisted of two projects in 2012. One project consisted of monitoring 4 lakes for trophic and chloride conditions. The monitoring occurred during the open water season of May through October. The four lakes were:

- Ann Lake (Carver County)
- Eagle Lake (Hennepin County)
- Little Long Lake (Hennepin County)
- Maria Lake (Carver County)

The other project involved monitoring 5 specific lakes in cooperation with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency as part of their Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project. The monitoring for this project started in November 2010. The lakes were monitored in late fall just before freeze up, in the winter during freeze up, early spring just after ice-out, and in mid-summer. The 5 lakes for this project were:

- Christmas Lake (Hennepin County)
- Crystal Lake (Dakota County)
- Holland Lake (Dakota County)
- Riley Lake, sites 1 and 4 (Carver County)
- Sunfish Lake (Dakota County)

The lake monitoring sites were located generally over the deepest spot of the lake basin or sub-basin (Figures 2 and 3). A hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver was used to determine the coordinates of a lake site, and to aid in relocating lake sites during subsequent monitoring events. Time, water surface and weather conditions, water depth, and water transparency were recorded on a field data sheet. Water transparency was measured using a 20 cm black-and-white Secchi disk. Temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, specific conductivity, turbidity, and oxidation reduction potential (Redox) were measured at one-meter intervals throughout the water column. For depths below 10 m, the sampling interval was reduced to every 2 m. These parameters were measured using a YSI 6920 multi-parameter sonde that was connected to a YSI 650 data logger.

The sonde probes for DO and pH were calibrated before each field trip. These probes were also calibrated again the same day after returning from the field, to check for calibration drift. The conductivity probe was calibrated on a weekly schedule. The turbidity and Redox probes were calibrated on a monthly schedule.

Water was collected from the lake surface (0-2 m) using a two-meter PVC pipe with a two-liter capacity. Two such samples were mixed in a 4-liter plastic jug. Subsurface samples were collected using a 2-liter Van Dorn sampler. All water samples were transported on ice in a dark cooler and processed and preserved within 12 hours of collection.

The surface and subsurface samples were analyzed for the standard parameters as shown in Table 1. Chlorophyll was not analyzed in the subsurface samples. Samples that were analyzed for total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) were filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter and then analyzed for TP. All chemical analyses were performed at the Metropolitan Council Environmental Services - Environmental Quality Assurance Department (MCES-EQA) laboratory.

The chlorophyll analysis results are reported by the laboratory according to two different equations: the trichromatic equation and the monochromatic equation. The trichromatic equation gives the following chlorophyll parameters:

- chlorophyll-a (CLA),
- · chlorophyll-b,
- · chlorophyll-c.

The monochromatic equation gives the following parameters:

- chlorophyll-a corrected for pheophytin,
- pheophytin-a.

The chlorophyll data in this annual report are reported as trichromatic CLA. However all the analytical results from the trichromatic and monochromatic equations can be accessed via the monitoring data databases as provided in the Introduction section.

**Table 1: Summary of Analytical Methods** 

Parameters	Analytical Method
Alkalinity <sup>1,2</sup>	U.S. EPA Method 310.2 Rev. 1974
Calcium, Iron, Magnesium; total <sup>1,2</sup>	U.S. EPA, Method 200.8, Revision 5.4, 1994 as modified
Chloride <sup>1,2</sup>	Method 4500-Cl-E, (APHA 1998)
Chlorophyll <sup>1</sup>	ASTM Method D3731–87
Hardness <sup>1,2</sup>	Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Method 2340 C, Online Edition
Kjeldahl Nitrogen, total (TKN) <sup>1</sup>	U.S. EPA Method 351.2, Rev. 2.0
Phosphorous, total (TP) <sup>1</sup>	U.S. EPA Method 365.4
Phosphorus, dissolved (TDP) <sup>1</sup>	U.S. EPA Method 365.4
Sulfate <sup>1,2</sup>	U.S. EPA Method 300.0

- 1. Trophic and Chloride Conditions Project
- 2. Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project

# **Results**

The water quality of each staff-monitored lake is discussed in the following section. Each lake report includes a description of the lake's water quality condition, the year's water quality data, shown in tables and figures, and the water quality grades from 1980 through 2012.

For data of samples collected at depth and of depth profile measurements, please refer to the METC's Environmental Information Management System (EIMS) at <a href="http://es.metc.state.mn.us/eims/">http://es.metc.state.mn.us/eims/</a> to access this additional data.

All of the monitoring data for both projects were sent to the MPCA for inclusion in their EQuIS database, which can be accessed via their Environmental Data Access System.

Any questions about the 2012 METC lake monitoring data should be directed to Brian Johnson at (651) 602-8743 or brian. johnson@metc.state.mn.us.

# Ann Lake (10-0012) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services

Ann Lake is located in the city of Chanhassen (Carver County). The lake is considered a priority lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreational value (METC 2007). The lake is listed as infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) (MnDNR 2013). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	26	15	37	В
CLA (µg/l)	8.4	3.7	13	A
Secchi (m)	3.1	2.3	3.6	A
TKN (mg/l)	1.15	0.99	1.50	
			Lake Grade	A

The lake received a lake grade of A, which is consistent with its limited historical water quality database. The lake has varied between A and B lake grades since 1985. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.




### 2012 Data


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/25	19.6	9.1	9.2	37	3.2	3	2
6/7	23.8	10.1	4.3	24	3.5	2	1
7/16	28.1	8.5	3.7	22	3.6	1	1
7/31	27.6	8.3	7.3	25	2.8	2	1
8/31	24.4	9.1	13	15	2.3	2	2
9/28	16.2	9.0	13	34	3.2	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP						В				В		
CLA						A				В		
Secchi						A				В		
Lake Grade						A				В		
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP											A	
CLA											A	
Secchi											В	
Lake Grade											A	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP								С	С		В	
CLA								A	A		A	
Secchi								В	A		A	
Lake Grade	2							В	A		A	

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Christmas Lake (27–0137) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services

Christmas Lake is located in the cities of Chanhassen and Shorewood (Carver and Hennepin counties). The lake is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). According to the Minnesota DNR, "the lake is unique because it is one of the few lakes in the Metro Region that can support a two-story fishery. This means sufficient oxygen levels and cool water temperatures in deeper portions of the lake allow the over-summer survival of cold-water species, while warm-water species inhabit the warmer water above the thermocline." The lake has been stocked with rainbow trout, and occasionally brown trout, by the Mn DNR since 1986 (MnDNR 2007).


The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) (MnDNR 2013). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue).

The monitoring of Christmas Lake by MCES staff was part of the MPCA's Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project. The monitoring schedule for this project was four monitoring events per year: during winter ice-cover, early spring (just after ice-out), summer, and late fall (just before ice-in). Total phosphorus and chlorophyll samples were not collected as part of the Chloride Monitoring project. Therefore grades were not calculated for 2012.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for chloride, alkalinity, hardness, sulfate, metals (calcium, iron, magnesium), and Secchi transparency. MCES staff also noted their perception of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability. Some of the data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages. For the full set of data, including at depth results, refer to Appendix E.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.





### 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	SURF CI- (mg/L)	SURF Sulfate (mg/L)	Secchi (m)	SURF Alk. (mg/L)*	SURF Hard. (mg/L)*
1/30	1.2	14.2	40	4.30		135	174
3/28	5.9	12.5	33	3.28	4.7	130	164
8/21	23.5	9.1	35	3.01	6.0	111	140
11/20	7.0	10.2	34	2.93	3.7	133	1148

\* = mg/L as calcium carbonate

Refer to Appendix E for the full set of 2012 data, including at depth results.





- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

# Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

**	1000	1001	1000	1002	1004	1005	1000	100-	1000	1000	1000	1001
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	В	A				A						
CLA	A	A				A						
Secchi	A	A				A						
Lake Grade	A	A				A						
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP						A	A	A			A	A
CLA						A	A	A			A	A
Secchi						A	A	A			A	A
Lake Grade						A	A	A			A	A
Year	2	:004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade	e											

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Crystal Lake [Burnsville] (19–0027) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services


Crystal Lake is located mainly in the City of Burnsville (Dakota County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 292 acres. The lake is listed as infested with Eurasion water milfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum) (MnDNR 2013). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue) and aquatic recreation (excessive nutrients/eutrophication).


The monitoring of Crystal Lake by MCES staff was part of the MPCA's Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project. The monitoring schedule for this project was four monitoring events per year: during winter ice-cover, early spring (just after ice-out), summer, and late fall (just before ice-in). Total phosphorus and chlorophyll samples were not collected as part of the Chloride Monitoring project. Therefore grades were not calculated for 2012.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for chloride, alkalinity, hardness, sulfate, metals (calcium, iron, magnesium), and Secchi transparency. MCES staff also noted their perception of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability. Some of the data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages. For the full set of data, including at depth results, refer to Appendix E.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/.

If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.





#### 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	SURF CI- (mg/L)	SURF Sulfate (mg/L)	Secchi (m)	SURF Alk. (mg/L)*	SURF Hard. (mg/L)*
2/1	2.1	16.3	113	7.09		143	196
3/26	12.8	11.2	91	5.82	3.0	124	168
8/14	24.7	8.8	99	2.43	1.4	103	138
12/3	2.6	13.9	95	4.42	3.5	125	166

<sup>\* =</sup> mg/L as calcium carbonate

Refer to Appendix E for the full set of 2012 data, including at depth results.



1 = Beautiful

2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

4 = No Swimming; Boating OK 5 = No Aesthetics Possible

3 = Swimming Impaired

### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

											1	
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	С		С						В		
CLA	С			В				С		В		
Secchi	С	С	С	В	С	В	В	С	С	В	С	В
Lake Grade	C			В						В		
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С	С
CLA			В	С	С	С	С	В	С	В	В	С
Secchi	В		С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade			C	C	C	C	C	С	С	В	C	C
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	С	(	C	В	В	Α		
CLA		В	С	С	С	(	C	В	С	В		
Secchi		С	С	С	С	(	C	С	С	С		
Lake Grade	9	С	C	C	С	(		В	C	В		

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Eagle Lake (27–0111–01) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services

Eagle Lake is located in the city of Maple Grove (Hennepin County). The lake is considered a priority lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreational value (METC 2007). Curly leaf pond weed exists in dense stands in the lake. The lake is listed as infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) (MnDNR 2013). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue) and aquatic recreation (excessive nutrients/eutrophication).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	111	22	446	D
CLA (µg/l)	29	4.1	50	С
Secchi (m)	2.0	0.8	5.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.52	0.97	3.20	
			Lake Grade	С

The lake received a lake grade of C. The lake typically received C lake grades in the 1980s, and then B lake grades in the 1990s and early 2000s. The lake received a D grade for TP in 2012, which is the worst TP grade received since the Metropolitan Council started monitoring the lake in 1980. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if there is a potential degrading water quality condition for this priority lake, as demonstrated by the poorer water quality observed in 2012.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.



### 2012 Data


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/27	13.7	11.7	6.9	27	3.5	2	1
5/10	16.6	11.0	4.1	22	5.0	2	1
5/22	18.9	9.1	9.3	37	3.6	2	1
6/6	22.7	11.0	8.0	59	2.6	3	3
6/18	22.3	9.3	18	41	2.0	3	3
7/10	28.5	9.3	34	153	1.3	3	3
7/26	27.4	8.7	44	42	1.0	3	3
8/7	26.0	8.8	50	446	0.8	4	3
8/24	22.3	8.7	44	82	1.0	3	3
9/19	17.9	8.3	49	119	0.9	3	3
10/18	10.7	9.9	38	38	2.6	3	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С			С			С	С				С
CLA	D			С			В	С				С
Secchi	D			С			С	С				С
Lake Grade	D			С			C	С				C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		В			В	В	В		С		С	
CLA		В			В	A	В		A		A	
Secchi		В			С	С	С		В		D	
Lake Grade		В			В	В	В		В		C	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			С					В			D	
CLA			В					В			С	
Secchi			В					A			С	
Lake Grade	e		В					В			C	

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Holland Lake (19-0065) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services


Holland Lake is located in the city of Eagan (Dakota County). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) (MnDNR 2013).

The monitoring of Holland Lake by MCES staff was part of the MPCA's Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project. The monitoring schedule for this project was four monitoring events per year: during winter ice-cover, early spring (just after ice-out), summer, and late fall (just before ice-in). Total phosphorus and chlorophyll samples were not collected as part of the Chloride Monitoring project. Therefore grades were not calculated for 2012.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for chloride, alkalinity, hardness, sulfate, metals (calcium, iron, magnesium), and Secchi transparency. MCES staff also noted their perception of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability. Some of the data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages. For the full set of data, including at depth results, refer to Appendix E.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	SURF CI- (mg/L)	SURF Sulfate (mg/L)	Secchi (m)	SURF Alk. (mg/L)*	SURF Hard. (mg/L)*
2/6	1.7	8.3	38	0.54		87	126
4/2	11.6	10.7	34	0.41	4.9	76	106
8/13	24.4	7.1	35	< 0.40	5.9	56	94
11/15	5.7	10.5	37	0.59	2.6	68	96

<sup>\* =</sup> mg/L as calcium carbonate

Refer to Appendix E for the full set of 2012 data, including at depth results.



5/11

6/20

10/18 11/27

4 = No Swimming; Boating OK

5 = No Aesthetics Possible

1/12 2/21

1 = Beautiful

2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

3 = Swimming Impaired

# Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

	ī		_	, ,							T	T
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP				С	С	С			В			
CLA				C	С	С			A			
Secchi				В	С	С			В			
Lake Grade				С	C	C			В			
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		A						A				
CLA		A						A				
Secchi		Α						A				
Lake Grade		A						A				
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade	e											

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Little Long Lake (27–0179–01) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services

Little Long Lake is located in the city of Minnetrista (Hennepin County). The lake is considered a priority lake by the Metropolitan Council for its exceptional water clarity, which means it has a typical summer average Secchi transparency greater than 3 m (METC 2007). The lake is listed as infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) (MnDNR 2013). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	15	3	33	A
CLA (µg/l)	3.0	1.2	6.0	A
Secchi (m)	5.4	2.9	7.0	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.51	0.48	0.54	
			Lake Grade	A

The lake received a lake grade of A, which is consistent with its historical water quality database.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.




#### 2012 Data


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/1	12.9	11.2	6.0	15	3.4	2	1
5/16	19.7	9.9	1.2	8	5.6	1	1
6/1	20.0	9.6	3.0	24	6.3	2	1
6/15	21.4	8.6	2.0	13	4.6	1	1
7/11	28.7	8.6	3.4	18	2.9	2	1
7/30	27.5	7.2	3.0	14	5.5	2	1
8/17	23.1	7.7	2.2	3	7.0	1	1
8/27	23.4	8.5	3.2	33	6.3	1	1
9/26	16.2	8.4	3.1	9	6.9	1	1
10/23	11.6	10.9	4.6	11	5.8	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	e			В	A			A	A		A	
Secchi				A				A	A		A	
CLA				A	A			A	A		A	
TP				C	A			A	A		A	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade							A			A		A
Secchi							A			A		A
CLA							A			A		A
TP							A			A		A
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade	A				A							
Secchi	A				A						A	
CLA	A				A						A	
TP	A				A							
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Maria Lake (10-0058) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services

Maria Lake is located in Benton Township (Carver County). The lake is shallow, with a maximum depth of approximately 1.0 m. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the area of the lake typically dominated by aquatic vegetation. The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairment to aquatic recreation (excessive nutrients/eutrophication). The lake is considered a priority lake by the Metropolitan Council because of its high regional recreational value (METC 2007).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	351	162	629	F
CLA (µg/l)	300	70	560	F
Secchi (m)	0.2	0.1	0.3	F
TKN (mg/l)	6.66	3.60	10.00	
			Lake Grade	F

The lake received a lake grade of F, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




#### 2012 Data


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/25	19.6	14.6	130	265	0.3	4	4
6/13	20.2	12.3	70	162	0.2	4	4
7/18	26.9	8.3	560	376	0.1	4	4
8/22	21.7	15.9	350	322	0.1	4	4
9/24	13.5	11.9	390	629	0.1	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									F	F		
CLA									F	С		
Secchi									F	D		
Lake Grade									F	D		
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			F								F	
CLA			F								F	
Secchi			D								F	
Lake Grade	2		F								F	

# Riley Lake [Site 1] (10—0002) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services


Riley Lake is located with the cities of Chanhassen and Eden Prairie (Carver and Hennepin counties). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The maximum and mean depths are 15.0 m and 6.6 m, respectively. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) (MnDNR 2013). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue) and aquatic recreation (excessive nutrients/eutrophication).

The monitoring of Riley Lake by MCES staff was part of the MPCA's Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project. The monitoring schedule for this project was four monitoring events per year: during winter ice-cover, early spring (just after ice-out), summer, and late fall (just before ice-in). Total phosphorus and chlorophyll samples were not collected as part of the Chloride Monitoring project. Therefore grades were not calculated for 2012.

Site 1 was not monitored during the winter period sampling event because Site 1 had very thin ice at the time (or possibly open water based on recent reports). The weather was foggy at the time of the visit, so a visual confirmation of open water (or not) could not be made. Site 4 was monitored instead for the winter period sampling event.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for chloride, alkalinity, hardness, sulfate, metals (calcium, iron, magnesium), and Secchi transparency. MCES staff also noted their perception of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability. Some of the data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages. For the full set of data, including at depth results, refer to Appendix E.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




#### 10000200-01


	SURF- TEMP	SURF DO	SURF CI-	SURF Sulfate	Secchi	SURF Alk.	SURF Hard.
Date	(° C)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(m)	(mg/L)*	(mg/L)*
3/28	8.6	11.7	110	4.84	1.8	122	176
8/6	26.0	8.9	111	2.80	0.5	77	128
12/3	3.3	13.1	133	2.92	1.9	113	164

<sup>\* =</sup> mg/L as calcium carbonate

Refer to Appendix E for the full set of 2012 data, including at depth results.



3 = Definite Algal Presence



1 = Beautiful

4 = No Swimming; Boating OK

2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

5 = No Aesthetics Possible

3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	В	С	С	С	С	С	С				С
CLA	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	D			С	С
Secchi	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С		С	С
Lake Grade	C	C	С	C	C	C	C	C				C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С				С			С		С	С
CLA		С				С			С		С	D
Secchi		С				С			С		С	С
Lake Grade		С				C			С		C	C
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	В	(	2	С	С	С		
CLA		С	С	В	В	I	3	В	С	С		
Secchi		В	С	В	С	(	2	С	С	В		
Lake Grade	e	С	C	В	В	(	7	С	C	С		


# Riley Lake [Site 4] (10—0002) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services


Riley Lake is located with the cities of Chanhassen and Eden Prairie (Carver and Hennepin counties). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The maximum and mean depths are 15.0 m and 6.6 m, respectively. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum) (MnDNR 2013). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue) and aquatic recreation (excessive nutrients/eutrophication).

The monitoring of Riley Lake, site 4, was part of the MPCA's Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project. Site 4 was monitored instead of Site 1 for the winter period sampling event because Site 1 had very thin ice at the time (or possibly open water based on recent reports). The weather was foggy at the time of the visit, so a visual confirmation of open water (or not) could not be made.

The site was monitored for chloride, alkalinity, hardness, sulfate, metals (calcium, iron, magnesium), and Secchi transparency. MCES staff also noted their perception of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability. Some of the data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages. For the full set of data, including at depth results, refer to Appendix E.

If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.






#### 10000200-04

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	SURF CI- (mg/L)	SURF Sulfate (mg/L)	Secchi (m)	SURF Alk. (mg/L)*	SURF Hard. (mg/L)*
2/1	1.6	19.6	126	6.03		129	200

<sup>\* =</sup> mg/L as calcium carbonate

Refer to Appendix E for the full set of 2012 data, including at depth results.



2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

5 = No Aesthetics Possible

3 = Swimming Impaired


# Sunfish Lake (19-0050) Metropolitan Council Environmental Services


Sunfish Lake is located in the City of Sunfish Lake (Dakota County). The lake has a surface area of 49 acres and a maximum depth of 9.8 m (32 ft).

The monitoring of Sunfish Lake by MCES staff was part of the MPCA's Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project. The monitoring schedule for this project was four monitoring events per year: during winter ice-cover, early spring (just after ice-out), summer, and late fall (just before ice-in). Total phosphorus and chlorophyll samples were not collected as part of the Chloride Monitoring project. Therefore grades were not calculated for 2012.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for chloride, alkalinity, hardness, sulfate, metals (calcium, iron, magnesium), and Secchi transparency. MCES staff also noted their perception of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability. Some of the data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages. For the full set of data, including at depth results, refer to Appendix E.

If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.






#### 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	SURF CI- (mg/L)	SURF Sulfate (mg/L)	Secchi (m)	SURF Alk. (mg/L)*	SURF Hard. (mg/L)*
2/6	2.4	11.8	24	5.43		81	112
4/4	11.9	12.4	20	4.32	5.4	65	94
8/8	26.5	12.3	21	1.70	0.4	52	82
11/15	4.9	10.0	22	3.02	4.4	85	96

<sup>\* =</sup> mg/L as calcium carbonate

Refer to Appendix E for the full set of 2012 data, including at depth results.



Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi					С	С	С					С
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			2000	C	C	(		В	C	В	201	_
CLA				С	С	(	C	В	С	В		
Secchi				D	С	(	C	В	В	A		
Lake Grade	<u> </u>			С	С		7	R	С	R		

# **Citizen-Assisted Monitoring Program (CAMP)**

#### **Topics Covered in this Chapter**

- **♦** CAMP Overview
- ♦ Acknowledgments
- ◆ CAMP Methods

The following section describes an overview of the CAMP and methods.

#### **CAMP Overview**

The year 2012 marked the twentieth year of the CAMP since the program began in 1993. The CAMP monitored 171 lake-sites on 162 lakes in 2012, including 3 lakes that have not been previously monitored by the METC (Figure 1). The CAMP is jointly funded by the METC and local sponsors such as WDs, WMOs, counties, and cities.

The main purpose of the CAMP is to provide lake and watershed managers with water quality data that can support them in properly managing water resources, and also provide much needed historical data to help document water quality changes and trends. Previous volunteer monitoring programs conducted throughout the United States have shown that, with proper equipment and instructions, volunteers can be trained to produce credible water quality data. Because most of the volunteers live near the lakes they are monitoring, they are very interested in determining any trends and/or changes in local water quality (Nichols 1992). An additional benefit of the monitoring program is the volunteer's increased awareness of the lake's condition and workings throughout the summer, which may foster grass-roots initiatives to protect lakes and promote support for lake management.

Prior to the inception of the CAMP in 1993, the METC conducted a pilot study in 1991 to assure that the data collection methods used by citizen volunteers would be credible. Results of the pilot study showed that the volunteer monitoring methods, as used in the CAMP, yielded results comparable to monitoring methods used by METC staff (Hartsoe and Osgood 1991).

CAMP volunteers collect surface water samples that are analyzed for total phosphorus (TP), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and chlorophyll-a (CLA). In addition, they measure surface water temperature and water transparency, and record user perceptions. Some lakes are monitored for dissolved oxygen. Most lakes are visited biweekly from April through October (fourteen sampling dates) and are sampled over the lake's deepest open-water location. In 2012, some of the lakes were not monitored on each of the desired 14 sampling weeks. The reasons for the missed sampling dates varied. However, the majority of the lakes, even with the missed sampling dates, were sampled adequately and often enough to provide an annual overview of the water quality of each lake. Water samples were submitted to METC staff and then analyzed at the MCES-EQA laboratory in St. Paul, MN.

# Acknowledgments

The successful performance of the 2012 CAMP would not have been possible without the greatly appreciated work performed by volunteer monitors, and the support of the organizations that enrolled lakes in the program. The enrolling organizations, which included 12 cities, 9 watershed management organizations and watershed districts, 3 counties, and 1 conservation district were involved in volunteer recruitment, training, and occasional follow up on the progress of their volunteer lake monitors. Without this help, the program would not have been as successful.

Those deserving the greatest appreciation are the volunteers themselves. Their efforts have made this program successful. A list of the 2012 CAMP volunteers is shown in Appendix C. The METC and the local sponsors thank them for their sustained efforts, including their quality work.

#### **CAMP Methods**

## **Recruiting Volunteers**

Active recruitment of lakes and interested volunteers for the CAMP began in the winter months prior to the monitoring season. Potential sponsors were solicited for their list of lakes that they wished to enroll in the CAMP. The sponsors were encouraged to recruit volunteers for each lake they enrolled in the program. If there were problems finding willing volunteers, the METC assisted with the search; however, the belief was that the supervising organization would benefit in the long run by having direct contact with the volunteers it recruited. This contact would hopefully open a two-way communication line between concerned citizens and the local partners.

## **Training Volunteers**

Volunteer training was conducted by METC staff at various locations throughout the TCMA. Volunteer training was scheduled between early March and early April. At each training session, volunteers were given a handbook describing the program, outlining the basics in the biology and ecology of lake systems, and containing detailed written instructions for the lake monitoring and data form completion procedures (Anhorn 2003a).

At each training session, volunteers received the necessary equipment for lake monitoring. This equipment was purchased by the sponsor through the METC, and then loaned to the volunteers. At the end of the monitoring season, equipment was returned to the sponsor for use in future years. Each lake's volunteer received:

- Hand pump, flask, and filters for chlorophyll sampling
- Digital thermometer
- Map of the lake with sampling site(s)
- Field data sheets
- Sample jug
- Sample vials, Petri dishes, and labels
- Secchi disk
- Aluminum foil
- Tweezers (forceps)

During the training session, volunteers were given a brief description of limnology and lake ecology as described in their handbook, instructed on proper lake monitoring procedures, and shown how each piece of sampling equipment works. After this discussion, the volunteers received a package containing the equipment, and the proper use of each piece of equipment was again described and practiced. Finally, the volunteers were asked to sign a waiver of liability stating that they were not an employee of either the METC or the local partner enrolling the lake in the program.

# **Monitoring Methods**

Volunteers were instructed to monitor their designated lake site(s) on a biweekly basis from mid-April to mid-October, including 14 possible sampling periods. The monitoring methods are detailed in the following paragraphs.

First, during pre-arranged sampling weeks, volunteers located and anchored their boat at pre-determined monitoring locations (typically the deep open-water area of the lake). Once at the monitoring location, lake and weather conditions were recorded on a field data sheet (Figure 2). The form also provides space to record natural and cultural observations which may have influenced what was happening in the lake (e.g. heavy rains prior to monitoring, application of herbicide), and includes an area to document general perceptions of the lake's physical condition and suitability for recreation.

The volunteers measured water transparency (also called water clarity) by lowering a Secchi disk on the shady side of the boat to the point at which it disappeared. After the disk disappeared, the disk was slowly raised until at the point where the

disk reappeared. The point at which the disk reappeared was defined as the Secchi depth (also called the Secchi transparency). The Secchi depth was recorded on the field data sheet.

The next lake monitoring step involved the collection of the surface water sample. The surface water sample was collected in a clean one-gallon plastic (HDPE) jug. The volunteer pre-rinsed the jug three times with lake water. After rinsing, the jug was filled with lake water by submerging it upside down to forearm depth and turning it upright while submerged. The filled jug was returned to the boat, wherein immediately the volunteer measured the water temperature in the jug. After the temperature was measured, aliquots were poured from the jug for laboratory analysis. These aliquots were decanted either while the volunteer was in the boat, or the jug was taken to shore where the aliquots were decanted. The collection methods for each parameter are given as follows:

- **Temperature**: Surface water temperature was measured in the volunteer's sampling jug using a digital thermometer that reads to 0.1°C. The temperature was measured immediately following sample collection. Special care was taken to keep the sample out of direct sunlight in order to minimize temperature change.
- Total Phosphorus (TP) and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN): Duplicate samples were decanted from the volunteer's jug into their respective triple pre-rinsed, pre-labeled 50 milliliter (ml) vials. These samples were then immediately placed in the volunteer's freezer. The samples were stored there until they were picked up and delivered to the laboratory for analysis.
- Chlorophyll. Chlorophyll samples from the volunteer's jug were filtered in the field, out of direct sunlight, using a field filtration apparatus (called a filter holder) and a hand pump. Water from the sampling jug was measured using a graduated cylinder, and then poured into the reservoir of the filter holder. The reservoir holds approximately 250 ml. By squeezing the handle of the pump, the sample water was forced through a 1 micrometer (µm) glass-fiber filter, and the suspended planktonic algae were trapped on the filter. The filtered water was then returned to the lake. If possible, this process was repeated until a total of 1,000 ml of sample water was allowed to pass through the filter. However, if the water sample contained much suspended material, and the filter became clogged without allowing more water to pass through, the amount of water that did pass through the filter was recorded on the field data sheet and the sample label. The filter was then removed from the filter holder with a tweezers, and placed in a Petri dish. The Petri dish was then labeled, wrapped in aluminum foil to keep the sample in the dark, and frozen until pick-up and delivery to the laboratory for analysis.

The frozen samples were typically picked up by METC staff within approximately 15-75 days from sample collection, and were delivered to the MCES laboratory for analysis. For some CAMP lakes, sub-surface samples were also collected for analysis of TP, TKN, chloride, orthophosphate, and/or total iron. These sub-surface samples were usually collected near the bottom of the lake using a Van Dorn sampler. Vertical profiles of dissolved oxygen and temperature measurements were also obtained on some lakes.

## CAMP Monitoring Form Metropolitan Council Environmental Services

DNR ID#:		Site #:						
Sampling Date:		Time:(Use the sa	(milita ime time o	ary time) on the samp	le labels.)			
Name(s) of Volunteer(s):		Quantity samples	of collected:		ent:			
SECCHI DISK DEPTH:  Check the box if the disk is  Check the circle if the visib	visible on the lake		ation: O	,				
SURFACE TEMPERATURE	°C							
VOLUME OF FILTERED LA	KE WATER (CLA	A):	m1					
G	ENERAL OBSEF (Circle the one bes							
* Water Color	* Odor of Water	k	* Wind Conditions					
Clear Yellow Green Gray Brown Blue-Green Comment:	None Rotten Fishy Septic- Musty Other: Comment:	like :	North So Choose <u>one</u>	reezy Strouth East  e principal discussion of the communication of the c	rection that			
* Water Surface	* Cloud Cover	*	Lake Lev	rel				
Calm Moderate Waves Ripple Whitecaps Small Waves Comment:	0% 75% 25% 100% 50%	- - -	Above Nor. Normal Below Nori Staff Gage					
* Amount of Aquatic Plants	* Air Temperatu	re (°F)		nusual Con				
None Moderate Minimal Substantial Slight	< 40 81-90 41-60 > 90 61-80		(s	n the past w storms, high temp. extrem	winds,			
* Physical Condition	* Suitabi	ility for Recreatio	n					
Crystal Clear (1) Some Algae Present (2) Definite Algae Present (3) High Algal Color (4) Severe Bloom (Odor, Scum) (5)	Minor A Swimmi No Swin	Beautiful (1) Minor Aesthetic Problem (2) Swimming Slightly Impaired (3) No Swimming / Boating OK (4) No Aesthetics Possible (5)						

Figure 2. CAMP Field Data Sheet

#### **Laboratory Analytical Methods**

The chemical analyses of CAMP water samples were performed at the MCES-EQA laboratory, according to the methods shown in Table 1. Chlorophyll samples collected by the CAMP volunteers according to the method shown in Table 1, except the samples were not preserved with magnesium carbonate (MgCO3). The CAMP chlorophyll samples were preserved by freezing. Samples that were analyzed for TDP were filtered through a  $0.45~\mu m$  membrane filter and then analyzed for TP.

## **Data Management**

The field data from the volunteers' field data sheets and the analytical results from the MCES laboratory were entered into the Council's Environmental Information Management System (EIMS). The EIMS is a system for providing timely and reliable information for environmental planning and decision-making. The EIMS can be accessed via the internet at <a href="http://es.metc.state.mn.us/eims/">http://es.metc.state.mn.us/eims/</a>. If there were questions concerning the data and lake observations, METC staff contacted the volunteer. The METC maintained contact with most volunteers throughout the season by telephone, in person during sample pick-up, or through their sponsor's CAMP coordinator.

## **Quality Assurance**

CAMP uses a quality assurance (QA) program which includes quality control (QC) activities. The purpose of the QA program is to assure that CAMP produces and reports scientifically credible water quality data. The MCES laboratory follows its own internal QA program, which employs an extensive internal and external check and balance system to ensure credible data. Documentation of their QA program and QC procedures can be obtained from the laboratory.

The CAMP QA program has several components. One important component is training, which ensures that the volunteers are familiar with the CAMP monitoring methods prior to their first monitoring season. The training also ensures that the same monitoring methods are used by all the volunteers. Another component is that the volunteers' samples are checked by METC staff prior to submitting the samples to the MCES laboratory. The samples are checked for legible and correct labeling and sample integrity (e.g. cracked vials, missing caps, torn filters, etc.). Samples with poor integrity are discarded to avoid producing potentially erroneous data.

The CAMP sample data are reviewed after receipt from the MCES laboratory. The data are reviewed for outliers and other inconsistencies. Data that are determined to be suspect are flagged as such in the database. Data determined to be erroneous are censored and excluded from the database.

QC monitoring is another important component of the CAMP QA program. The purposes of QC monitoring are:


- To verify that the monitoring methods are producing reproducible data.
- To verify the monitoring performance of the volunteers with respect to professional staff.

A METC staff member performs QC monitoring throughout the monitoring season by visiting a volunteer's lake site during a scheduled monitoring week, but not necessarily on the same day as the volunteer's visit. The METC staff member monitors the lake site using the same methods and identical type of equipment as the volunteer. After the QC samples are collected, they are handled, stored, and submitted to the laboratory in the same manner as the volunteers' samples. Occasionally, an METC staff member accompanies a volunteer in the field during the monitoring season as a check on their monitoring methods. This latter method is used less commonly than the former method. Accompanying a volunteer in the field is usually prompted by noting potential problems during the sample checking process, or if the volunteer expresses that they need further assistance or explanation.

If a problem is discovered during the course of the sample checking or QC monitoring processes, the volunteer is contacted to discuss the cause of the problem. If needed, a METC staff member visits with the volunteer to observe his/her monitoring activities, in an effort to help identify the cause of the problem. Once the cause is identified, the volunteer is given instructions on how to correct the situation. If the problem resulted in erroneous data, then the data are censored and excluded from the database.

The 2012 CAMP QC data are provided in Appendix D. The QC data for TP, CLA, and Secchi depth are shown in Figures 3, 4, and 5. TP and CLA showed relatively good agreement between METC staff-collected data and volunteer-collected data, with the exception of samples collected from Medicine Lake, site 2, which is located in the main basin of the lake. The METC staff-collected data showed much higher values for TP and CLA than the volunteer collected data for this site. Also, the Secchi depth measured by METC staff was noticeably lower than the volunteer's measurement. However, METC staff monitored site 1 three days after the volunteer's monitoring event. The METC data seems to indicate that an algal bloom occurred at the time when METC staff did the monitoring (high CLA, low Secchi depth, and high TP). During the volunteer's monitoring event, the algal bloom had not yet developed (lower CLA, greater Secchi depth, lower TP). A well-timed algal bloom may explain the difference between the METC and CAMP data rather than problems with collection methods and/or the analyses. Note that there were no indications of an algal bloom at site 1 of Medicine Lake on the same date when site 2 was monitored by METC staff. The volunteer data, collected two days before the METC data, also did not indicate a bloom. Site 1 is located in the southwest bay of the lake, and is somewhat separated from the main lake by a narrows. The data seem to indicate that the southwest bay responds separately in time than the main basin of the lake, possibly caused by the reduced exchange of their waters by the narrows separating the two basins.

The R<sup>2</sup> values for the QC data trend lines are lower this year as compared to the QC trend lines received in previous years. Fewer QC data points were collected in 2012 than were collected in previous years, and this was the likely cause of the lower than usual R<sup>2</sup> values. There were 6 QC data points in 2012compared to 11 to 30 data points collected per year in previous years. Also, METC staff typically collect QC samples on a different day and time than the volunteer (but within no more than a 5 days difference), therefore it should be expected that there will be some variation between the METC staff-and volunteer collected data.



Figures 3, 4, and 5: CAMP Quality Control Data

# Lake Quality Report Card

The Metropolitan Council, following its 1989 lake survey (Osgood 1989b), developed the lake quality report card. The idea is simply that lake water quality characteristics can be ranked by comparing measured values to those of other Metro Area lakes. In this way, technical information, which in the past had required professional analysis, can more easily be used by a less technical audience to visualize the water quality of their lake relative to other TCMA lakes. The lake grading curve (Table 2) represents percentile ranges for three water quality indicators: the summertime (May - September) average values for total phosphorus, chlorophyll-a, and Secchi depth. These percentiles use ranked data from 120 lakes that were monitored from 1980 – 1988:

**Table 2: Lake Grading Curve** 

Grade	Percentile	TP (μg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	Secchi (m)
A	< 10	< 23	< 10	> 3.0
В	10 —30	23 — 32	10 — 20	2.2 — 3.0
С	30 — 70	32 — 68	20 — 48	1.2 — 2.2
D	70 — 90	68 — 152	48 — 77	0.7 — 1.2
F	> 90	> 152	> 77	< 0.7

The three variables used in the grading system (TP, CLA, Secchi depth) give an indication of the trophic status of the lake (Carlson 1977, Osgood 1982). The trophic status is the condition of the biological productivity of the lake ecosystem. The trophic status is strongly related to open-water nuisance-aspects of a lake (e.g. algal blooms, excess vegetation growth, poor water clarity), which can indicate accelerated aging (cultural eutrophication). For example, lake phosphorus concentration has been related to increased algal abundance, increased frequency of algal blooms, and to the increased abundance of blue-green algae (Osgood 1988). Chlorophyll-a, which is a pigment in plants (including algae) essential in the photosynthesis process, is used to estimate the algal abundance of a lake. Secchi depth relates to the appearance of a lake (generally the fewer algae, the better the transparency of a lake). TKN concentration was not included in the grading process because most lake nuisances in the area are related to the phosphorus concentration of the lake (Osgood 1988).

These water quality grades, however, only characterize the open-water quality of lakes. Other nuisances, such as the abundance of aquatic macrophytes, are not indicated in these grades.

The percentile curve can be used to assign individual grades for TP, CLA and Secchi depth to the monitored lakes. For example, a lake having a mean summertime Secchi depth of 1.7 m would receive a "C" grade for Secchi depth. A grade of C is considered average for TCMA lakes. Lakes were also assigned a single, overall grade, called a lake grade. Lake grades were determined by averaging the individual parameter grades. A lake grade generally corresponds to descriptive rankings and recreational use conditions of the lake. Lakes receiving an "A" grade (upper 10 percentile) can be deemed as having full recreational use capability. A lake receiving a "B" lake grade is considered to have very good water quality and some recreational use impairment. Lakes receiving a "C" lake grade are considered to have average water quality but are recreationally impaired. A "D" grade lake translates to a very poor ranking with severely impaired recreational use. Lakes receiving an "F" lake grade have extremely poor water quality with little to no possible recreational use.

In 2000, the percentiles determined from the 1980-1988 water quality database of 120 lakes were compared to calculated percentiles from a more current and expanded 1980-1999 water quality database of 230 lakes. It was found that the percentiles from the expanded database were very similar to those determined from the 1980-1988 database. For this reason, and in an attempt to maintain consistency, the original 1980-1988 percentiles continued to be used for lake quality grading purposes (Anhorn 2003b).

## 2012 Lake Grades

Each lake monitoring site was given a lake grade if there were sufficient data to calculate the grade. At least 5 monitoring events are required to calculate a lake grade, and these 5 events must occur during the May-September (summer) period. Some lakes were not monitored sufficiently, so they did not receive a lake grade. Lakes that had more than one monitored lake site in 2011 received a single grade based on the average of the lake site grades. The distribution of lake grades for lake sites monitored in 2012 is shown below.




Figure 6. Distribution of 2012 Lake Grades

The greatest percentage of the lake sites (31%) received a lake grade of C. The water quality of these sites is considered average as compared to other lake sites in the TCMA. More lake sites (34%) were above average (A and B lakes) than lakes below average (D and F lakes, 35%).

Similar to past years, there is no distinct pattern as to where lakes with specific water quality were located. The lakes with below average lake grades (D's and F's) were not area specific. They were located in all seven of the TCMA counties. Common similarities between the majority of lakes with D and F grades are their size and mean depth. These lakes are generally shallow with small surface areas. Shallow lakes typically do not stratify during the summer months, allowing the potential release of phosphorus from sediments to mix through the water column and become available for plant growth during the summer season. Also, smaller lakes generally have higher watershed-to-lake ratios. Smaller lakes with high watershed-to-lake ratios have a more difficult time handling larger pollutant loads than larger lakes in watersheds of similar size and land-use.

Similarly, the lake sites with above-average grades (A's and B's) were not area specific. They were located in the seven TCMA counties. Common characteristics of the above-average lakes were deeper maximum and mean depths, development of a thermocline, and small contributing watersheds relative to the lake's surface area.

If there are questions pertaining to the lake data or descriptions contained in this report, inquiries about CAMP, or suggestions of lakes that the METC should consider monitoring in the future, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us.

# **Monitoring Results for CAMP Lakes 2012**

The water quality of each volunteer-monitored lake is discussed in the following section. Each lake report includes a description of the lake's water quality condition, the year's water quality data, shown in tables and figures, and the water quality grades from 1980 through 2012.

# Alimagnet Lake (19–0021) City of Apple Valley

Volunteer: John Ritter

Approximately half of Alimagnet Lake's 109-acre surface area is located within the City of Apple Valley, the other half in the City of Burnsville (Dakota County). The lake has maximum and mean depths of 3.0 and 1.5 m, respectively. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake has a 1,094-acre watershed and a watershed-to-lake area ratio of 10:1 (Blue Water Science 2005). The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	77	26	157	D
CLA (µg/l)	31	3.6	100	С
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.5	3.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.43	0.76	2.40	
			Lake Grade	С

The 2012 lake grade was a C. The lake's historic lake grades indicate that the lake fluctuates between a C and D. More recently the lake's lake grade has consistently been a D (1999-2008 excluding 2006). But this year's lake grade is the third C grade in a row since 1998. The mean secchi depth grade continues as a C, which is a welcome sign. Continued monitoring is recommended to determine if the recent improvement in the lake grade is a consistent trend towards improving water quality conditions.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/.




## 2012 Data


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/14	14.0		1.9	36	3.0	1	2
5/5	16.0		3.6	26	3.0	1	2
5/28	23.0		5.2	40	2.0	1	2
6/22	26.0		7.0	43	2.0	3	4
7/9	29.0		11	60	1.0	2	4
7/21	28.6		21	62	1.0	3	3
8/11	25.0		73	157	0.5	4	4
8/30	29.0		100	136	0.5	5	4
9/20	17.0		27	93	0.5	2	2
10/7	8.9		22	63	0.8	2	2
10/21	10.0		18	42	1.2	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	F	D									F	
CLA											D	
Secchi	F	F	D	D	С	D	F	F	F	F	D	С
Lake Grade											D	

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				D	D	С	D	F	D	D	D	D
CLA				В	С	C	C	D	D	C	C	C
Secchi	D	C	C	C	D	C	С	D	F	D	F	F
Lake Grade				C	D	C	C	D	D	D	D	D

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	D	D	F	D	D	D	С	С	D
CLA	D	D	D	D	D	С	С	C	С
Secchi	F	F	F	F	F	F	D	С	С
Lake Grade	D	D	F	D	D	D	C	C	C

# Anderson Pond (19-0094) City of South St. Paul

Volunteer: City of South St. Paul staff

Anderson Pond is a small waterbody located in the City of South St. Paul (Dakota County). There are no bathymetric data available for the pond. This was the first year the pond was monitored via the CAMP. No known historical monitoring data area available for the pond.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	129	110	166	
CLA (µg/l)	46	25	66	
Secchi (m)	0.8	0.7	0.9	
TKN (mg/l)	1.16	0.79	1.40	
			Lake Grade	


There were insufficient data to calculate a grade for 2012. It is recommended that monitoring be continued to build a water quality database for this pond.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




#### 2012 Data


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/16	23.8		66	166	0.7	3	4
6/18	27.3		25	110	0.9	2	4
7/20	25.9		47	111	0.9	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	5 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP									С	D		
CLA									В	С		
Secchi									D	С		
Lake Grade	e								C	C		

# Armstrong Lake (82–0116) South Washington Watershed District

Volunteer: Todd Heruth

Armstrong Lake has been monitored through CAMP since 1998. The lake is located within the cities of Lake Elmo and Oakdale (Washington County). The lake has a surface area of 39 acres, and it has a mean and maximum depth of 1.0 m and 1.5 m, respectively. Because of the shallowness of the lake, its entire area is considered littoral, which is the shallow depth zone (0-15 feet) dominated by aquatic vegetation. It does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	58	27	112	С
CLA (µg/l)	4.1	2.3	7.0	A
Secchi (m)	1.0	0.8	1.3	D
TKN (mg/l)	0.96	0.64	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С

The 2012 water quality lake grade was consistent with the historical water quality database. The lake water quality over the past decade has fluctuated between C and D, with a C being more frequent. The summer-time average water clarity remains in the D category, as it has been since 2000. The average summer-time concentration of CLA remains relatively low, giving an CLA grade of A.

According to the lake's historic database of TP, CLA, and water clarity grades, it is apparent that the TP and Secchi grades are worse than the CLA grade. The better than expected CLA grade indicates that water clarity is not as affected by algal abundance, but may be affected by suspended matter such as from surface runoff or the resuspension of lake sediments from mixing events.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The volunteer did not record the recreational suitability ranking.



#### 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	11.4		1.6	31	1.1	2	
5/20	23.3		7.0	27	1.1	2	
6/17	27.1		2.3	33	1.3	3	
7/15	29.1		3.7	112	1.1	4	
8/12	23.3		2.7	81	0.8	5	
9/9	21.9		4.9	38	0.8	5	
10/7	8.1		1.6	19	0.7	2	





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 9/8 4 = High Algal Color

8/19


2 = Some Algae Present

5/11 5/31 6/20

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

9/28 10/18

3 = Definite Algal Presence



7/10 7/30

- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP							D		F	С	D	D	D
CLA							D		С	С	С	В	В
Secchi							D		F	D	D	D	D
Lake Grade							D		D	C	D	C	C
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	1	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	D	D		C		С	С	С	С	
CLA		A	A	В	С		4		В	A	A	A	
Secchi		D	D	D	D	]	)		D	D	D	D	
Lake Grade	2	C	C	C	D	(	C		C	C	C	C	

# Bass Lake [May Township] (82–0035) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Bass Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). The maximum depth of the lake is 4.3 m. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic vegetation. The lake does not maintain a thermocline during the warm water season because the lake's shallowness. A thermocline is a density gradient caused by varying temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	29	21	38	В	
CLA (µg/l)	6.7	3.4	14	A	
Secchi (m)	3.2	2.1	3.8	A	
TKN (mg/l)	0.86	0.60	1.20		
			Lake Grade	A	

The lake received a lake grade of A, which is the best lake grade the lake has received since monitoring began in 1991. The lake has typically has received Cs and Bs in the past. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if water quality is trending towards improved conditions.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



# 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	13.8	10.4	2.6	31	3.8	2	1
5/22	21.4	8.4	3.4	21	3.8	2	2
7/3	28.3	7.9	3.6	22	3.7	1	1
7/31	29.6	10.1	14	36	2.1	2	2
8/28	24.0	9.4	5.6	27	2.9	2	1
9/25	14.8	9.3	6.8	38	3.4	2	1
10/22	10.6	10.3	7.6	31	2.6	2	2





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- **9/8 10/18 11** 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom


11/27

3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												С
CLA												В
Secchi												C
Lake Grade												C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP	В				С	C	C	С	С	С		С
CLA	В				С	С	В	В	В	В		В
Secchi	С	С	С	С	С	C	С	С	С	С	В	С
Lake Grade	В					C	C	С	C	C		C
		•	•									
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	2012	2

C C TP В В В CLA В В В A Α В C В В В Secchi В A Lake Grade В B C В A

# Bass Lake [West] (82–0123) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Bass Lake (west) is located west of Joliet Lane in Grant Township. There are few known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	47	16	182	С
CLA (µg/l)	9.8	3.1	38	A
Secchi (m)	2.2	1.7	2.6	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.94	0.70	1.30	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012. The lake grades have fluctuated between A and C the since CAMP monitoring began in 2006.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.6	11.8	4.2	18		2	2
5/1	13.4	10.9	3.6	16		2	2
5/15	20.7	10.9	3.1	20	2.6	2	2
5/30	20.6	6.6	4.7	37	2.1	2	2
6/13	22.2	7.0	6.7	49	1.8	2	4
6/26	24.3	8.4	4.3		2.4	2	2
7/10	28.5	6.4	5.9	31	2.1	2	2
7/25	27.3	6.0	38	43		2	2
8/7	26.4	7.2	12	28		2	2
8/20	22.4	8.4	12	182	2.4	1	1
9/6	23.9	6.4	13	35	1.7	2	2
9/18	17.7	9.2	4.8	27		1	1
10/2	15.2	11.1	5.0	37		2	2
10/18	10.7	11.6	11	31		1	1





3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				В	В	F	3		С	С	С	С	
CLA				A	Α	F	3		В	В	A	A	
Secchi				A	В	F	3		С	С	В	С	
Lake Grade	e			A	В	I	3		C	C	В	В	

# Bass Lake [East] (82-0124) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Bass Lake (east) is located east of Joliet Lane in Grant Township. There are few known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	37	23	85	С
CLA (µg/l)	6.2	3.0	13	A
Secchi (m)	2.6	2.4	2.7	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.86	0.64	1.10	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with previous years' grades. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the database for determining water quality trends.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.2	11.5	2.4	20	3.0	2	1
5/1	14.2	10.5	3.1	23	2.6	2	2
5/15	21.2	9.5	3.7	26	2.7	2	2
5/30	20.8	7.4	6.6	32	2.4	3	3
6/13	23.1	8.4	4.2	36	2.4	2	4
6/26	24.8	8.1	3.0		2.7	2	2
7/10	29.3	7.6	3.3	32	2.4	2	2
7/25	28.0	6.2	13	40	2.4	2	2
8/7	27.2	8.6	9.4	27	2.7	3	3
8/20	23.0	8.4	7.2	85		1	1
9/6	24.3	6.1	9.1	39	2.7	2	2
9/18	17.8	7.5	5.7	25		1	1
10/2	15.7	8.7	4.7	38		2	1
10/18	11.1	10.8	3.6	19	3.4	1	1





- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				С	С	(	C		С	С	С	С	
CLA				В	В	(	C		A	A	В	A	
Secchi				С	В	(	C		В	В	В	В	
Lake Grade	e			С	В	(	7		В	В	В	В	

# Bavaria Lake (10-0019) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: John Ryski

Lake Bavaria is located in the City of Chaska (Carver County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The 200-acre lake has a mean and maximum depth of 5.6 m (18 ft) and 18.3 m (60 ft), respectively. The lake has a surface area of 200 acres and a watershed area of 711 acres, giving a watershed-to-lake area ratio of 3.6:1, which is relatively low. The larger the ratio the greater the potential stress put on the lake from surface runoff. The DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for mercury content in fish.

The lake has been enrolled in the CAMP for 14 years. The lake also has been monitored by Council staff in the past, and it has been involved in the MPCA's volunteer Secchi transparency program. Additionally, the lake was included within the MPCA's Lake Assessment Program (LAP) in 2001.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	38	17	56	С
CLA (µg/l)	13	2.7	23	В
Secchi (m)	1.7	0.5	4.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.50	1.20	2.00	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a water quality lake grade of C for 2012 which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The historical lake grades for Bavaria Lake show that the lake water quality has fluctuated in the C to A range.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.0	16.4	3.6	82	3.5	1	1
5/1	10.0		2.7	39	4.5	2	2
5/26	16.0		7.5	56	2.0	2	3
6/1	19.0		17	49	2.0	4	4
6/12	20.0		14	52	1.5	3	3
6/30	25.0		23	47	0.5	4	3
7/11	28.0		16	37	0.5	2	3
7/26	25.0		20	32	1.0	2	2
8/7	26.0		11	19		2	3
8/24	22.0		11	17	1.5	2	2
9/3	23.0		9.2	50	1.8	2	2
9/18	18.0		9.7	23	2.0	1	2
10/1	15.0		20	75	1.5	1	2
10/12	9.0		17	103	2.0	1	2





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP				С			С	С				
CLA				С			C	С				
Secchi				С			С	С				
Lake Grade				C			C	C				

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			В		С	A	В	В	С	В	В	С
CLA			A		A	A	A	В	В	В	В	A
Secchi			В	В	С	A	A	В	В	В	С	В
Lake Grade			В		В	A	A	В	В	В	В	В

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	В	С	В	С	С	С	В	В	С
CLA	В	С	A	A	В	A	В	В	В
Secchi	С	С	В	В	С	В	С	В	С
Lake Grade	В	C	В	В	C	В	В	В	C

# Bay Pond (82–0011) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Bay Pond Lake is a 10-acre landlocked lake located within Baytown Township (Washington County). The mean and maximum depth of the lake is approximately 1.0 m (roughly 3.3 feet). Because of the shallowness of the lake, its entire area is considered littoral (the shallow [0-15 feet depth] area dominated by aquatic vegetation), and it never maintains a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column, through the summer months.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	519	287	1,030	F
CLA (µg/l)	222	130	280	F
Secchi (m)	0.3	0.1	0.6	F
TKN (mg/l)	6.58	3.10	17.00	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012 and in the previous years of CAMP monitoring.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/9	18.7	15.6	210	289	0.3	3	4
6/4	27.5	14.3	190	676	0.1	4	4
6/20	24.7	9.0	240	1,030	0.2	4	4
7/19	26.7	5.9	280	287	0.6	3	4
8/14	26.7	13.7	130	305	0.5	4	4
9/11	18.8	7.1	280	526	0.2	4	4







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence

		ī	1	Т			1		-	ı	ı	
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				F	F	I	7	F	F		F	
CLA				F	F	I	7	F	F		F	
Secchi				F	D	I	7	F	F		F	
Lake Grade				F	F	1	7	F	F		F	

# Benton Lake (10-0069) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Jacob Steinbauer

Benton Lake is located within Benton Township (Carver County). The maximum depth of the lake is 2.0 m (roughly 6.5 feet). Because of the shallowness of the lake, the entire area is considered littoral zone (area of aquatic plant dominance) and it does not maintain a thermocline (a density gradient owed to changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column).

The lake has a surface area of 115 acres and a watershed of 322 acres, which gives a watershed-to-lake area ratio of 2.8:1. The larger the ratio the greater the potential stress put on the lake from surface runoff.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	231	99	330	F
CLA (µg/l)	73	27	110	D
Secchi (m)	0.2	0.1	0.4	F
TKN (mg/l)	3.37	1.80	5.10	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is consistent with its historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




# Meters

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	18.0		110	224	0.3	3	4
5/2	21.0		46	166	0.3	4	4
5/16	23.0		90	197	0.3	4	4
5/30	21.0		73	248	0.4	3	4
6/12	22.0		79	233	0.2	5	4
6/30	26.0		27	99	0.4	4	4
7/11	29.0			253	0.2	4	
7/26	30.0		110	297	0.1	5	
8/17	26.0		79	304	0.1	4	4
8/26	24.0		91	239	0.2	4	4
9/5	26.0		56	177	0.2	4	4
9/23	14.0		77	330	0.2	4	4
10/8	9.0		40	208	0.3	3	
10/21	9.0		82	176	0.2	4	4





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP								F	F	F		F
CLA								F	F	F		F
Secchi			С					F	F	F		F
Lake Grade								F	F	F		F
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			F		F	F		F	D	F	F	
CLA			F		F	I	7	F	D	F	D	
Secchi			F		F	I	7	F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade	a .		F		F	-	7	F	D	F	F	

# Benz Lake (82–0120) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District

Benz Lake is a 36-acre lake located in Grant Township (Washington County) with a maximum depth of approximately 2.7 m (about 9 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	118	37	260	D
CLA (µg/l)	44	2.1	110	С
Secchi (m)	0.9	0.5	1.2	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.70	0.77	2.90	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D in 2012 which is a return to water quality conditions similar to 2009. The lake grades have varied from Cs to Fs over the past 8 years. Additional years of monitoring are recommended to determine long term water quality trends.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.1	11.8	8.0	47	1.7	3	3
4/30	14.1	11.4	3.7	47		3	4
5/15	20.2	11.4	2.1	37		2	3
5/31	18.9	9.3	5.3	57		2	4
6/13	21.8	7.8	6.1	97		2	4
6/26	24.4	7.4	11			2	3
7/9	31.1	11.6	72	83	0.6	3	4
7/25	27.4	5.8	110	133	1.2	3	3
8/7	27.3	12.3	37	101	0.9	3	4
8/20	24.5	13.8	110	260	0.5	3	4
9/5	24.4	8.8	21	162	0.9	3	4
9/17	19.7	11.1	64	128	1.1	3	4
10/2	16.8	10.3	16	108		3	3
10/18	10.5	11.4	15	60	1.5	1	2







0 └ 4/1

9/8 4 = High Algal Color

- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom


10/18

3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade			F	D	F			D	D	С	D	
Secchi			F	D	F	(	C	D	D	С	D	
CLA			F	D	F	F	3	С	D	В	С	
TP			F	F	F	Ι	)	D	D	C	D	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade												
Secchi							F					
CLA												
TP												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 198′	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Big Carnelian Lake (82–0049) Carnelian — Marine Watershed District

Volunteer: Katie Wigen

Big Carnelian Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value and exceptional water clarity. Roughly 28 percent of the lake's area is considered littoral, the shallow (0-15 foot depth) area dominated by aquatic vegetation.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	18	8	23	A
CLA (µg/l)	3.2	1.6	5.5	A
Secchi (m)	4.9	3.8	6.4	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.57	0.51	0.60	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012, which is consistent with the historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/16	19.7		1.6	22	5.0	2	2
7/2	27.0	8.8	2.7	8	5.2	1	1
7/31	27.2	8.0	1.8	22	6.4	1	1
8/28	24.4	9.2	4.2	23	4.3	1	1
9/25	16.8	8.7	5.5	15	3.8	1	1
10/23	11.9	9.0	13	30	3.7	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	A				В					A		A
CLA	A				В					A		A
Secchi	A				В					A		В
Lake Grade	A				В					A		A
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			A		A	A	A	A	A	A	В	A
CLA			A		A	A	A	В	A	A	A	A
Secchi	В	В	В	В	В	A	A	В	A	A	A	В
Lake Grade			A		A	A	A	В	A	A	A	В
Year	2	.004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	В	A				A		A	
CLA		A	A	A	A				A		A	
Secchi		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A		A	
Lake Grade	2	A	A	A	A				A		A	

# Big Comfort Lake (13-0053) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Wally Ostlie

Big Comfort Lake is located northeast of the City of Forest Lake in Chisago County. The lake has a maximum depth of 14.3 m (47 feet). A lake assessment was performed on the lake by the MPCA in 1994, and a lake and watershed diagnostic/feasibility study was completed by BlueWater Science in the early-2000's.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	36	10	76	С
CLA (µg/l)	20	9.2	32	В
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.9	2.1	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.39	0.25	3.40	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C this year, which is similar to grades received in the early and mid 2000s. The lake typically receives a Secchi grade of C. Additional monitoring is recommended to determine the direction of potential trends in the water quality of the lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/29	12.1		13	41	2.0	2	
5/4	16.7		9.2	33	2.1	2	1
5/23	18.8		12	21		2	2
5/31	20.7		20	76	1.6	2	3
6/20	23.7		21	10	1.2	2	2
6/27	25.0		24	39	1.3	3	2
7/13	27.0		32	59	1.0	2	2
7/26	27.5		27	27	0.9	2	2
8/8	25.0		32	12	1.0	2	2
8/26	25.6		10	62	1.5	2	3
9/7	23.1		9.8	17	1.6	2	2
10/3	15.3		21	117	2.0	1	2
10/12	11.7		8.2	36	2.0	1	2
10/22	11.0		14	37	1.9	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi								В	В	В		
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			D						С	В	С	С
CLA			В						С	В	С	С
Secchi			С	С		С	С		С	С	С	С
Lake Grade			C						C	В	C	C
								,				
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2

C TP C В C Α В В В  $\mathbf{C}$ CLA В В В В В В В Α A C C C C C C C C C Secchi  $\mathbf{C}$ C C  $\mathbf{C}$ Lake Grade B В В В В

# Bone Lake (82–0054) Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Jon and Teresa Hafner

Bone Lake is located in the City of Scandia (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a maximum and mean depth of 9.8 m and 3.7 m (32 ft and 12 ft), respectively. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	61	22	333	С
CLA (µg/l)	14	3.2	30	В
Secchi (m)	1.2	0.8	1.8	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.21	0.96	1.60	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C this year, which is consistent with its historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	20.0		5.9	65	1.8	2	2
5/25	19.6		12	29	1.6		
6/2	21.8		3.2	30	1.3		2
6/15	20.3		13	38	1.4	2	2
6/24	25.3		13	23	1.2		2
7/12	31.1		12	26	1.0	4	2
7/21	29.5		27	41	0.9	3	3
8/4	27.4		11	28	0.8	3	
8/14	25.7		15	49	1.0	3	3
8/20	25.2		14	333	1.1	3	2
9/6	25.0			22	1.6	2	1
9/25	16.4		30	47	1.1	3	3
10/23	11.7		18	61	1.2	3	







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP					D			С	C	С		D
CLA					C			В	C	С		С
Secchi					C		D	C	D	C	С	С
Lake Grade					C			С	C	С		С

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С				С	С	С		С	С	D
CLA		C				В	В	С		С	С	C
Secchi		С	D	С		С	С	D		С	D	C
Lake Grade		C				C	C	C		C	C	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С
CLA	С	В	В	В	В	В	В	A	В
Secchi	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	В	C

# Brick Pond (82-0308) Middle St. Croix Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Brick Pond is located in the City of Stillwater (Washington County). The maximum depth of the lake is 1.5 m (5.0 ft). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	115	29	184	D
CLA (µg/l)	16	2.9	47	В
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	0.79	0.69	0.87	
			Lake Grade	

The lake did not receive a lake grade in 2012 because there was no Secchi grade. During most of the monitoring visits in 2012 the Secchi disk was visible on the lake bottom. Additional years of data collection are needed to determine water quality trends.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


# **Brick Pond**

Stillwater, Washington Co.


Lake ID: 820308-00


Sampling site
 Contours in meters







Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/8	18.1	9.3	47	142		2	4
6/5	28.0	10.5	2.9	184		2	4
6/21	22.7	7.9	14			2	4
7/19	28.0	5.8	11	100		2	4
8/15	21.9	3.2	17	121		3	4
9/12	18.0	7.5	4.4	29		3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						I	)	D	С	D	D	
CLA						A	A	A	A	В	В	
Secchi						I	)	D	F	F		
Lake Grade	e							С	С	D		

# Brickyard Clayhole Lake (10–0225) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Brickyard Lake is a 17-acre lake located in the City of Chaska (Carver County). The maximum depth of the lake is 13.1 m (43 feet).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	21	7	40	A
CLA (µg/l)	3.9	1.6	12	A
Secchi (m)	3.6	1.8	6.0	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.51	0.28	0.82	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012. The lake's water quality is well represented by a lake grade of A according to its historical water quality database. Continued monitoring is recommended to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.6	16.6	2.3	19		1	1
5/2	15.0	11.8	2.6	16	6.0	1	1
5/15	20.6	12.4	2.0	16	4.0	2	2
5/29	21.2	9.4	4.1	21	3.6	1	1
6/13	23.0	9.5	2.3	11	4.3	2	1
6/26	24.7	12.1	3.1	19	4.1	2	2
7/9	28.9	7.5	1.6	7	4.6	2	2
7/26	28.2	6.3	3.4	20	3.3	3	2
8/7	26.9	10.1	3.8	17	3.3	3	3
8/21	24.1	10.7	5.5	40	2.6	3	3
9/7	22.8	11.7	2.6	26	1.8	3	3
9/24	17.4	6.7	12	33	2.1	3	3
10/3	17.6	7.7	5.5	28	2.8	2	1
10/16	12.8	12.0	6.6	35	2.5	3	3





- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 19	87 1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 19	99 2000	2001	2002	2003
TP											A	A
CLA											A	A
Secchi											A	A
Lake Grade											A	A
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	В	A	I	3	A	A	A	A	
CLA		A	A	A	A	I	A	A	A	A	A	
Secchi		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	e	A	A	A	A	A	1	A	A	A	A	

# **Bryant Lake (27–0067)**

Volunteer: Nelson Anderson

Bryant Lake is located in the City of Eden Prairie (Hennepin County). The maximum depth of the lake is 13.7 m (45 feet).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)				
CLA (µg/l)				
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)				
			Lake Grade	


The lake was monitored just two times in 2013 via the CAMP. There was insufficient data to calculate grades.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/30	19.5		4.0	24	3.0	1	1
6/16	21.4		12	60	2.7	1	1







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	200	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2.
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade	e											

# Bush Lake (27–0047) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Liz Boeser, Paul Erdmann

Bush Lake is located in the City of Bloomington (Hennepin County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value and exceptional water clarity (METC2007). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	36	18	66	С
CLA (µg/l)	3.4	2.2	4.8	A
Secchi (m)	3.1	2.4	4.0	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.71	0.65	0.81	
			Lake Grade	В


For 2012, the lake received a lake grade of B. The lake grades appear to fluctuate between A and B on the basis of the historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	12.9		1.8	19	4.6	2	2
5/9	19.0		3.2	61	3.4	2	1
5/20	20.5		2.8	22	3.7	2	2
6/3	21.9		3.4	66	4.0	2	3
6/16	24.5		2.2	53	3.4	2	3
7/1	28.7		3.4	18	3.4	2	3
7/10	30.4		3.0	19	2.4	2	3
7/29	28.8		4.8	19	2.4	2	2
8/13	25.8		3.0	18	2.7	2	1
8/26	26.3		3.3	44	3.4	2	2
9/9	23.0		4.2	57	2.7	2	1
9/23	17.0		4.1	20	2.9	1	1
10/7	13.4		2.2	10	4.0	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP				В	A							
CLA				В	A							
Secchi				В	A	В	A	В	С			
Lake Grade				В	A							
**	1000	1002	1001	1005	1006	1005	1000	1000	2000	2001	2002	2002

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		A	A					В		A		
CLA		A	A					В		В		
Secchi		A	В					В		A		
Lake Grade		A	A					В		A		

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	A		A	A	A	A	A	A	С
CLA	В		A	В	A	A	A	A	A
Secchi	В		В	В	A	A	В	В	A
Lake Grade	В		A	В	A	A	A	A	В

# Carol Lake (82-0017) Carnelian-Marine-St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Carol Lake is located in Stillwater Township (Washington County). There is little bathymetric information for this shallow lake (maximum depth of approximately 2.0 m). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow depth zone (0-15 feet) that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. The lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by a gradient in water temperature throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	36	29	43	
CLA (µg/l)	6.0	2.5	8.7	
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	0.74	0.67	0.81	
			Lake Grade	


There were insufficient data to calculate grades in 2012. Secchi depths were not obtainable on most occasions because of interference with aquatic vegetation or limited by the lake bottom. The TP mean for 2012 appears higher than the means observed in the late 1990s and 2000.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	14.1	11.5	15	48	1.2	3	4
5/23	20.3	6.8	6.0	35		3	4
7/6	29.7	6.3	8.7	38		4	4
8/1	26.4	8.5	2.5	29		3	4
8/27	27.5	12.6	6.9	43		3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 19	87	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 19	99	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					В	A	A	I	A	A	В		С
CLA					В	С	С	(	2	A	A		В
Secchi					В	В	В	I	3	С	С	D	D
Lake Grade					В	В	В	I	3	В	В		C
V		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	2009		2010	2011	201	
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	108	2009		2010	2011	201	2
TP		C	C	C	В								
CLA		В	В	A	A								
Secchi		D	D	D	D	]	D	С		<u> </u>			

В

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Lake Grade

# Cates Lake (70–0018) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Tom and Peggy Sletta

Cates Lake is a 27-acre lake located in the City of Savage (Scott County). The maximum depth of the lake is 4.0 m (13 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	39	16	87	С
CLA (µg/l)	6.0	2.1	12	A
Secchi (m)	1.6	1.3	1.8	
TKN (mg/l)	0.86	0.78	0.94	
			Lake Grade	


There were insufficient Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and likewise no lake grade was calculated. The summer time mean for TP appears to greater than observed in the past. This was mainly driven by the two high TP values observed in June 2012. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if long term water clarity and TP are changing in the lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	14.0		2.3	27	1.8	2	4
5/7	17.0		12	33	1.3	2	4
5/16	22.0		4.5	24	1.7		
6/1	19.0		5.8	87	1.7	2	4
6/18	22.0		5.6	75	1.8	3	4
6/30	26.4		4.3	16		3	4
7/9	28.6		2.1	23		4	4
7/30	26.1		5.2	27		3	4
8/13	24.1		8.1	28		4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	<u>.</u>	В	В	В	В	H	3	В	A	В		
Secchi		С	C	С	С	(	C	C	В	С		
CLA		A	A	A	A	A	Α	A	A	A	A	
TP		В	A	В	A	A	A	A	A	В	С	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade											В	В
Secchi											С	С
CLA											A	A
TP											A	В
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Cedar Lake (70-0091), site 1 Scott County Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Jerry Edberg

Cedar Lake is located in Cedar Lake Township (Scott County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a maximum depth of 4.7 m (15 ft) and a mean depth of 2.1 m (6.9 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 – 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake has a surface area of 742 acres and watershed area of 11,104 acres, giving a watershed to lake area ratio of 15:1. The larger the ratio the greater the potential effects of runoff on the water quality of the lake.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	248	78	342	F
CLA (µg/l)	43	6.4	84	С
Secchi (m)	1.0	0.5	2.0	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.70	0.95	2.60	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with the lake's historic database. The lake's water quality seems to be best represented by a lake grade of D according to the historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.7		7.1	53	1.1	2	2
5/2	13.6		6.4	78	2.0	2	2
5/18	17.1		14	108	1.5	2	2
6/1	20.7		13	254	1.4	2	2
6/15	20.2		32	277	1.0	2	2
6/29	26.4		27	234	0.9	2	2
7/14	27.2		72	277	0.8	2	2
7/27	26.7		41	342	0.9	2	2
8/10	25.0		54	318	0.6	3	2
8/24	23.1		84	263	0.5	3	2
9/7	23.1			302	0.5	3	2
9/21	16.3		84	274	0.5	3	2
10/7	10.6		57	212	0.5	3	2
10/16	10.4		65	200	0.5	3	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	F	F			F							
CLA	F	D			D						D	
Secchi	С	C	С	С	C	С				F	D	D
Lake Grade	F	D			D							
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		F					F			F		
CLA		C					D			F		
Secchi	D	C					D			D		
Lake Grade		D					D			F		
		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP		D	F	F	F		F	F	F
CLA		С	D	D	D		D	D	С
Secchi		С	D	D	D		D	D	D
Lake Grade		C	D	D	D		D	D	D

# Cedar Lake (70–0091), site 2 Scott County Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Lowell Mohn

Cedar Lake is located in Cedar Lake Township (Scott County). Site 2 is located in the northeast bay of the lake, and this is the first year the site has been monitored via the CAMP. The lake is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a maximum depth of 4.7 m (15 ft) and a mean depth of 2.1 m (6.9 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake has a surface area of 742 acres and watershed area of 11,104 acres, giving a watershed to lake area ratio of 15:1. The larger the ratio the greater the potential effects of runoff on the water quality of the lake.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	256	49	396	F
CLA (µg/l)	34	1.0	82	С
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.3	0.9	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.03	0.90	3.20	
			Lake Grade	D


Site 2 received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with the grade received for site 1. The mean Secchi depth at site 2 appears to be slightly less than site 1 for 2013. Continued monitoring is suggested to build an historical database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/19	11.5		3.2	60	0.9		1
5/6	17.2		1.4	49			2
5/19			1.0	172			1
6/3	21.0		12	210	0.9		1
7/1	20.8		18	225	0.8	1	2
7/15	26.0		44	299	0.7	1	1
7/29	28.0		55	311	0.7		2
8/13	25.0		48	352	0.6	2	2
8/26	26.0		63	396	0.3	3	2
9/9	22.0		82	288	0.4	2	1
9/25	12.0		11	261	0.4	3	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP											F	
CLA											С	
Secchi											F	
Lake Grade	e										D	

# Cenaiko Lake (2-0654) Anoka County Parks

Volunteer: Anoka County Parks staff

Cenaiko Lake is located within Coon Rapids Dam Regional Park in the City of Coon Rapids (Anoka County). The lake is maintained by groundwater and has a very small watershed that is completely publicly owned (MDNR 1996). The lake is stocked with trout (brook and rainbow). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	20	9	54	A
CLA (µg/l)	6.7	1.6	30	A
Secchi (m)	1.9	1.1	2.7	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.56	0.40	0.88	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a water quality lake grade of B for 2012. The lake has received A grades for CLA since 1997. The TP grade returned to an A after last year's B TP grade. The annual mean summer-time water clarity grade has varied in the range of A to C.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	9.3		5.3	37	1.3	1	1
4/30	12.2		3.6	63	1.3	1	1
5/18	19.2		3.5	20	1.4	2	1
5/29	19.8		3.7	20	1.7	1	1
6/13	21.5		3.1	9	1.9	1	1
6/27	25.1		1.6	11	2.4	2	1
7/11	29.2		8.6	11	2.7	1	1
7/26	27.4		2.2	22	2.3	2	1
8/8	25.2		30	11	2.0	2	1
8/24	23.8		8.9	29	1.1	2	1
9/7	22.9		2.2	16	1.7	2	1
9/17	18.5		3.0	54	1.7	2	1
10/1	15.9		3.1	22	1.8	2	1
10/18	10.4		28	48	1.3	2	1





- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

CLA		A	Α	A	A	Α		A	A	A	A	
TP		A	A	A	A	Α		A	A	В	A	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade						В	A	A	A	В	A	A
Secchi						С	A	A	В	С	A	A
CLA						A	A	A	A	A	A	A
TP						A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Clear Lake (82-0045) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Dan Carlson, Warner Nature Center

Clear Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). The maximum depth of the lake is 8.2 m (27 ft). Approximately 94 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral (the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic vegetation dominance).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)				
CLA (µg/l)				
Secchi (m)	5.6	4.7	7.2	A
TKN (mg/l)				
			Lake Grade	


The lake received a Secchi grade of A for 2012. TP, TKN, and CLA were not monitored in 2012. To better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential trends, additional years of data collection are needed.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	12.1				7.1	1	1
5/18	20.6				7.2	1	2
6/11	25.7				6.7	1	2
7/9	29.8				4.8	2	2
8/6	26.8				4.7	2	2
9/3	25.1				4.7	2	2
10/1	15.6				5.3	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						A	Λ	A	A			
CLA						A	1	A	A			
Secchi						A	١	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	e					A	\	A	A			

# Cloverdale Lake (82–0009) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Dr. Kevin Bjork

Cloverdale Lake is located in Baytown Township (Washington County). The mean and maximum depth of the lake is 3.0 m (10 ft) and 8.5 m (28 ft), respectively. The lake has a surface area of 45 acres, and a watershed area of 819 acre as, giving a large watershed to lake area ratio of 18:1. Generally the larger the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	39	21	85	С
CLA (µg/l)	4.4	1.2	6.8	A
Secchi (m)	2.7	2.1	3.6	В
TKN (mg/l)	1.04	0.66	1.60	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with its historical database. According to its historical database, the lake has received mainly lake grades of B with the occasional C and A.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	15.9		2.5	24	2.6	1	1
5/13	16.4		1.2	29	3.6	1	1
6/5	24.5		6.2	26	3.1	2	2
7/5	31.4		1.2	25	2.6	2	2
7/29	27.3		3.5	21	2.8	2	2
8/19	26.4		6.8	37	2.4	2	2
9/6	25.0		6.7	85	2.1	2	3
9/18	19.2		5.1	50	2.3	2	2
10/24	11.8		1.0	122	1.6	2	3







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP											С	С	С
CLA											В	В	В
Secchi											С	В	В
Lake Grade											C	В	В
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	В	В	В	]	3	(	C	С	В	C	
CLA		В	A	В	A	]	3	A	A	В	A	A	
Secchi		A	A	A	В	]	3	I	В	В	A	В	
Lake Grade	e	В	A	В	В	]	В	I	В	В	A	В	

# Cobblecrest Lake (27–0053) City of St. Louis Park

Volunteer: Jim Kellogg

Cobblecrest Lake is a small shallow lake located within City of St. Louis Park (Hennepin County). There is very little known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	102	91	121	
CLA (µg/l)	77	24	170	
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.2	1.0	
TKN (mg/l)	1.83	1.10	2.90	
			Lake Grade	


Grades were not calculated for 2012 because of an insufficient quantity of data. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




### 180 160 140 100 80 80 60 40 20 4/21 5/11 5/31 6/20 7/10 7/30 8/19 9/8 9/28 10/18 11/7

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
6/3	21.6		24	91	1.0	2	3
6/22	22.9		36	93	0.7	1	4
8/31	22.7		170	121	0.2	4	4
10/25	10.6		140	169	0.3	3	4





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
		•											
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												C	
CLA												С	
Secchi												С	
Lake Grade												C	
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	F	D	F		F		F	D	D		
CLA		F	F	F	F		F		F	F	С		
Secchi		F	F	F	F		F		F	F	F		

F

F

F

F

D

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

F

F

Lake Grade

# Cobblestone Lake (19–0456) City of Apple Valley

Volunteer: Jeff Sluiter

Cobblestone Lake is located in the City of Apple Valley (Dakota County). The lake has a surface area of 37 acres, and a maximum depth of 6 meters.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	50	22	120	С
CLA (µg/l)	22	4.5	47	С
Secchi (m)	1.1	0.8	1.9	D
TKN (mg/l)	0.98	0.77	1.30	
			Lake Grade	С

The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012 which is similar to the lake grades received for the previous 6years. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	11.0		6.4	67	0.9	2	2
5/6	14.6		4.5	58	0.8	2	2
5/30	19.6		13	120	1.8	2	1
6/13	22.6		12	22	1.0	3	3
7/1	26.4		19	34	0.9	4	3
7/14	25.1		11	25	0.8	4	3
7/28	29.3		47	44	0.9	3	
8/10	24.6		16	29	1.9	2	2
8/26	22.0		40	67	0.9	4	4
9/9	19.5		22	46	0.9	4	4
9/23	13.9		36	58	0.8	4	4
10/2	16.2		8.6	22	2.3	2	2
10/21	13.3		20	45	1.2	2	3



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			D	С	С	(	C	С	С	С	С	
CLA			D	С	С	(	C	В	С	С	С	
Secchi			F	D	D	I	)	D	D	D	D	
Lake Grade	e		D	С	С	(	7	С	С	С	C	

# Colby Lake (82–0094) City of Woodbury

Volunteer: Bob Callery

Colby Lake is located in the City of Woodbury in Washington County. The lake has a surface area of 71 acres and a maximum depth of 3.4 m (11 ft). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake has a watershed area of 8,088 acres which gives a large watershed to lake area ratio of 114:1. Generally the larger the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	130	56	183	D
CLA (µg/l)	50	6.0	100	D
Secchi (m)	0.7	0.4	1.6	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.63	0.91	2.20	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a water quality lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with the historical database. The lake's water quality seems well represented by an overall water quality grade of D or F.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	12.8		13	76	0.9	3	2
5/4	18.4		6.0	56	1.5	2	1
5/16	20.3		11	88	1.6	2	3
5/30	20.4		24	83	1.0	3	
6/12	24.0		56		0.7	3	3
6/25	24.5		46	120	0.7	4	3
7/10	28.1		28	183	0.6	4	3
7/24	27.1		54	175	0.5	4	4
8/7	26.0		43	177	0.5	4	4
8/21	22.0		100	145	0.6	4	4
9/4	24.9		76	129	0.5	4	4
9/21	16.0		100	144	0.4	4	4
10/3	15.6		44	113	0.5	4	4
10/16	10.7		17	35	0.7	3	3







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
	ı		1	1		1	T				ı	1
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			D	D	F	F	F	D	D	F	F	F
CLA			D	F	F	С	F	F	D	F	С	D
Secchi			F	F	F	F	F	D	D	D	F	F
Lake Grade			D	F	F	D	F	D	D	F	D	F
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	D	F	D	I	3		D	D	D	
CLA		С	F	F	D	Ι	)		С	С	D	
					1					1	_	

D

F

F

D

D

D

D

D

D

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

F

D

D

D

Secchi

Lake Grade

# Courthouse Lake (10–0005) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Courthouse Lake, located in the City of Chaska (Carver County) is a trout lake that is stocked with rainbow trout. The 10-acre lake has a maximum depth of 17.4 m (57 feet). The lake's level is maintained by groundwater. It has a very small watershed that is completely publicly owned (MDNR 1996).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	25	12	92	В	
CLA (µg/l)	4.0	1.4	13	A	
Secchi (m)	4.2	2.4	7.3	A	
TKN (mg/l)	0.69	0.45	1.00		
			Lake Grade	A	

The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012, which is consistent with the historical water quality database. The lake's water quality seems well represented by a lake grade of A.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

# Courthouse Lake Chaska, Carver Co.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.0	17.8	2.2	38	3.3	2	1
5/2	15.2	10.0	1.4	19	7.3	2	1
5/15	21.6	12.5	1.4	16	5.5	2	2
5/29	21.2	9.7	5.6	22	4.5	1	1
6/13	23.4	9.8	4.2	18	4.6	1	1
6/26	25.5	13.8	2.8	19	3.4	2	2
7/9	29.8	8.2	3.0	15	4.0	2	2
7/26	28.8	6.3	3.1	26	4.2	2	2
8/7	27.3	10.2	2.1	15	2.4	3	3
8/21	24.5	10.4	1.7	18	3.6	4	3
9/7	24.5	11.0	5.2	12	4.1	2	2
9/24	15.2	3.9	13	92	3.0	2	2
10/3	15.5	5.1	9.1	104	3.0	2	2
10/16	12.8	8.7	2.4	81	6.2	1	1







<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>4 =</sup> High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

												ı	
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					A	A	A		A	A	A	В	A
CLA					A	A	A		A	A	A	A	A
Secchi					A	С	A		В	A	A	В	A
Lake Grade					A	В	A		A	A	A	В	A
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	200	09	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	A	A		A	Α	١	В	A	В	
CLA		A	A	A	A		A	A	١	A	A	A	
Secchi		В	A	A	A		A	A	١	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	e	A	A	A	A		A	A	1	A	A	A	

# Crystal Lake [Burnsville] (19–0027) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Joe Tranchilla

Crystal Lake is located mainly in the City of Burnsville (Dakota County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 292 acres. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) (MnDNR 2013). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for aquatic consumption (mercury content in fish).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	33	7	86	С
CLA (µg/l)	12	1.4	20	В
Secchi (m)	1.9	1.1	3.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.74	0.47	1.10	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The lake typically receives a C lake grade, or the occasional B, according to its historical water quality database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.7		4.4	23	3.1	1	1
4/30	14.3		3.5	18	2.9	1	1
5/14	18.4		1.4	7	3.5	1	1
5/30	19.0		3.1	25	3.0	1	1
6/12	22.4		5.4	14	2.5	2	2
6/25	24.6		9.0	27	2.1	3	3
7/9	29.0		14	22	1.7	3	3
7/24	27.6		17	31	1.3	3	3
8/6	25.4		20	27	1.2	3	3
8/20	23.9		17	47	1.2	4	3
9/3	25.1		16	40	1.5	4	3
9/17	19.0		14	86	1.1	4	3
10/1	16.6		14	89	1.2	4	3
10/14	9.3		11	28	1.9	4	3







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

3 = Definite Algal Presence

- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	С		С						В		
CLA	С			В				С		В		
Secchi	С	С	С	В	С	В	В	С	С	В	С	В
Lake Grade	C			В						В		
	1	1	1				ı		1		1	
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С	С
CLA			В	C	С	С	С	В	С	В	В	С
Secchi	В		С	C	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade			C	C	C	C	C	C	С	В	С	С
	1				1					1		
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	C	С	(	C	В	В	A	C	
CLA		В	С	С	С	(	C	В	С	В	В	
Secchi		С	С	С	С	(		С	С	С	С	
Lake Grad	Δ .	С	C	C	С		7	В	С	В	С	

# DeMontreville Lake (82-0101) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Steve Iverson

Lake DeMontreville is located in Lake Elmo (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value and exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). The 160-acre lake has a mean and maximum depth of 2.4 m (~8 feet) and 7.3 m (24 feet). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	24	12	59	В
CLA (µg/l)	8.0	1.9	22	A
Secchi (m)	3.4	1.5	7.0	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.79	0.48	1.40	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012. Historically, the lake grades for the years 1980 through 2010 show that the quality of the lake has improved over the past 30 years (see lake information sheet on the following page). The lake has been fluctuating between an A and B grades since the early 1990s, except for 2007.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	16.8		1.8	17	5.7	1	1
5/7	17.6		2.2	16	4.8	1	1
5/19	20.2		1.9	12	7.0	1	1
6/3	22.5		2.0	12	4.0	2	1
6/18	24.9		3.6	19	4.0	2	1
7/2	29.6		13	20	4.0	2	1
7/15	30.7		19	24	2.9	2	1
7/29	28.2		22	29	2.2	2	1
8/13	26.0		3.5	25	1.5	4	1
8/26	25.4		5.9	59	1.9	2	1
9/9	23.3		7.9	27	2.0	3	1
9/24	17.1		6.8	21	2.6	2	1
10/8	13.0		7.6	15	2.5	1	1
10/22	12.1	_	9.4	16	3.0	1	1








0 └ 4/1

11/27

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

**<sup>9/8</sup> 10/18 11**4 = High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С				С							В
CLA	C				С							C
Secchi	C				C	С	C		C	D		C
Lake Grade	C				C							C

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		В		С					A			A
CLA		A		В					A			В
Secchi		В		В					A			A
Lake Grade		В		В					A			A

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	A	В	С	В	A	В	С	A	В
CLA	A	В	В	С	A	A	В	A	A
Secchi	В	A	В	С	A	В	A	A	A
Lake Grade	A	В	В	C	A	В	В	A	A

# Downs Lake (82–0110) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Downs Lake is located in Lake Elmo (Washington County). The mean and maximum depths of the 35-acre lake are 1.5 m (5 feet) and 2.1 m (7 feet), respectively. The lake's size and mean depth results in an approximate lake volume of 175 ac-ft. Because of the shallowness of the lake, the entire lake is considered littoral zone (area of aquatic plant dominance) and it does not maintain a thermocline (a density gradient owed to changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column). The lake's 2,400-acre watershed translates to a large watershed-to-lake size ratio of 69:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	272	142	567	F
CLA (µg/l)	131	72	210	F
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.2	0.6	F
TKN (mg/l)	3.50	2.10	6.20	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012 which is consistent with its historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/9	19.4	11.0	110	160	0.5	3	4
6/4	25.8	14.3	72	142	0.6	3	4
6/20	24.9	8.1	170		0.3	4	4
7/19	26.4	3.8	95	184	0.5	3	4
8/13	25.6	15.5	130	307	0.2	3	4
9/12	20.0	5.6	210	567	0.2	4	4
10/9	8.8	10.2	130	397	0.3	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

F

### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									D		D	F	D
CLA									D		F	F	C
Secchi									D		F	F	F
Lake Grade									D		F	F	D
	•		•		-					•			•
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		F	D	F	F				F			F	
CLA		D	D	F	F				D			F	
Secchi		F	F	F	F				F			F	

F

F

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

D

F

F

Lake Grade

# Dubay Lake (27-0129) Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Doug Baines

Dubay Lake is located in the city of Dayton (Hennepin County). There is little bathymetric information available for this lake. It is surrounded by private property.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	42	27	66	С
CLA (µg/l)	8.5	1.7	45	A
Secchi (m)	1.9	0.9	2.7	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.87	0.58	1.50	
			Lake Grade	В


This year was the first year that the lake has been part of the CAMP. Continued monitoring is recommended to build the water quality database for this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	13.3		2.0	28		1	1
5/11	16.1		5.0	29		1	1
5/19	23.6		2.5	27	1.9	2	
6/3	21.7		5.9	41	2.1	2	1
6/17	22.0		3.0	41	2.1	2	1
7/1	29.4		45	66	0.9	2	2
7/15	30.6		3.1	38	1.6	2	3
7/30	28.3		17	39	2.0	2	1
8/11	21.7		2.5	40	2.1	2	1
8/25	22.7		1.7	44	2.0	1	1
9/9	21.0		4.4	47	2.0	2	1
9/23	15.0		3.3	53	2.7	1	1
10/3	17.2		3.0	43		1	1
10/20	20.1		25	50	2.5	1	1







<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>4 =</sup> High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

Lake Grade	Δ										В	
Secchi											С	
CLA											A	
TP											С	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Eagle Lake (10-0121) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Eagle Lake is located in Young America Township in Carver County. The lake is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 186 acres and a maximum 4.0 m (14 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 – 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian Water Milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	188	69	268	F
CLA (µg/l)	80	16	130	F
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.3	0.9	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.75	1.50	6.20	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012. The lake grades have fluctuated between D and F since 1980. The frequency of F grades appears to have increased since 2006 however. Continued monitoring is recommended to help determine if this apparent change of frequency of F grades is an indicator of potential worsening of water quality conditions.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



	SURF- TEMP	SURF DO	CLA	SURF TP	Secchi	PC	RS
Date	(° C)	(mg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(m)	(1-5)	(1-5)
4/17	10.7	15.6	57	128	0.5	3	3
5/1	12.7	14.0	16	69	0.9	4	3
5/15	18.6	12.5	36	85	0.8	3	3
5/31	18.5	13.9	110	196	0.7	4	4
6/20	23.2	9.4	120	210	0.4	4	4
6/25	23.9	14.1	100	268	0.6	3	3
7/10	28.3	9.8	25	148	0.7	4	4
7/27	26.3	6.3	100	217	0.4	4	4
8/9	25.4	9.8	90	233	0.4	5	4
8/21	22.5	15.7	62	179	0.5	4	4
9/5	24.7	15.8	90	248	0.3	4	4
9/19	17.1	10.2	130	213	0.4	3	4
10/2	15.6	8.4	71	236	0.4	3	3
10/16	10.3	13.9	60	232	0.3	4	4







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

3 = Definite Algal Presence

- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	F	F				F						
CLA	D	С				F						
Secchi	С	С				F						
Lake Grade	D	D				F						
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					F		F	F	F	F	F	F
CLA					С		С	С	С	D	D	С
Secchi					R		С	R	C	D	F	D

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	F	D	F	F	F	F	D	F	F
CLA	С	С	F	F	F	F	D	D	F
Secchi	D	С	D	F	F	F	F	F	F
Lake Grade	D	C	F	F	F	F	D	F	F

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

Lake Grade

# Eagle Point Lake (82–0109) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Eagle Point Lake is located within the City of Lake Elmo (Washington County). It has a surface area of approximately 120-acres. The mean and maximum depths of the lake are 0.9 m (3 feet) and 1.8 m (roughly 6 feet), respectively. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake's 11,502-acre watershed translates to a large watershed-to-lake size ratio of 96:1, which is a large ratio. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	342	69	856	F
CLA (µg/l)	124	15	280	F
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.0	1.2	F
TKN (mg/l)	3.91	0.87	11.00	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012. There are insufficient data to determine trends in the lake's water quality. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/10	17.7	15.0		69		2	4
6/4	24.6	8.1	15	219	1.2	3	4
6/18	24.5	9.8	27	189	0.0	3	4
7/19	26.0	2.5	280	278	0.2	4	4
8/13	23.6	11.4	260	856	0.3	3	4
9/12	19.1	5.5	39	441	0.2	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		F										
CLA												
Secchi		F										
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	2012	2
TP				F	F					D	F	
CLA				F	A					D	F	
Secchi				F	D					D	F	
Lake Grade	e			F	C					D	F	

# Earley Lake (19-0033) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Jeff Thayer

Earley Lake is located within the City of Burnsville in Dakota County. The 29-acre lake receives flow from Crystal Lake (Burnsville) and the Earley Lake watershed. Most of its 1,629-acre watershed is either parkland or open space. The watershed-to-lake size ratio is a rather large 56:1. Generally, the larger the ratio the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff. Earley Lake outlets at its west end to Sunset Pond. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	56	29	159	С
CLA (µg/l)	8.0	3.6	25	A
Secchi (m)	1.9	1.2	2.3	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.65	0.44	1.00	
			Lake Grade	В

The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with the lake's water quality database since 2006.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


#### Earley Lake Burnsville, Dakota Co.



#### 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/29	13.2		9.4	78	2.0	3	2
5/6	15.9		13	50	1.2	3	4
5/19	22.3		3.6	30	2.2	4	4
6/3	20.9		7.0	29	2.1	4	
6/17	22.0		5.3	40	1.6	2	4
7/1	34.5		6.8	46	1.4	3	4
7/15	28.7		25	52	1.4	5	5
8/12	29.9		3.7	46	2.3	3	4
8/27	25.7		7.6	70	2.3	3	4
9/8	21.4		4.0	159	2.3	3	
9/23	18.3		4.3	40	2.2	3	4
10/13	12.4	_	4.8	29	2.0	2	





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 9/8 4 = High Algal Color

8/19


2 = Some Algae Present

5/11 5/31 6/20

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

9/28 10/18

3 = Definite Algal Presence



7/10 7/30

- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	С	С	С	С		С	С	С	С	С
CLA			В	В	В	В	В		В	В	В	В	В
Secchi			С	С	С	С	С		С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade			C	C	C	C	C		C	C	C	C	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	С	(	C		C	С	В	С	
CLA		В	В	A	В	,	4		A	В	A	A	
Secchi		С	С	С	С	(	C		С	С	С	С	
Lake Grade	9	С	C	В	С	]	В		В	C	В	В	

# East Lake (19-0349) City of Lakeville

City of Lakeville staff

East Lake is a small lake located in Lakeville (Dakota County). There is very little morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	120	59	276	D
CLA (µg/l)	94	28	390	F
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.4	0.9	F
TKN (mg/l)	1.40	1.00	1.70	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	14.0		100	218	0.3	2	2
5/4			65	126	0.8	3	4
5/14	22.0		39	276	0.5	3	4
5/31	17.0		54	107	0.9	4	4
6/13	21.0		58	143	0.4	4	4
6/28	25.0			113	0.7	3	4
7/9	28.0		65	98	0.5	3	4
7/26	27.0		100	126	0.4	3	4
8/8	23.0		95	133	0.5	3	4
8/20	23.0		42	65	0.6	3	4
9/6	24.0		28	59	0.6	4	4
9/21	14.0		390	68	0.7	3	4
10/2	16.0		36	58	0.6	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			F		F	I	)		D	F	D	
CLA			F		F	I	7		D	F	F	
Secchi			F		F	Ι	)		F	F	F	
Lake Grade	e.		F		F	I	,		D	F	F	

# East Boot Lake (82-0034) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

East Boot Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). The mean and maximum depths of the 47-acre lake are 8.2 m (27 feet) and 0.9 m (3 feet), respectively.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	33	21	67	С
CLA (µg/l)	6.8	2.9	11	A
Secchi (m)	3.8	2.7	4.3	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.80	0.69	0.89	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with recent years in its historical database. The lake continues to achieve better water quality than it used to receive in the period from the mid 1990s and early 2000s. Additional monitoring is suggested to help determine if the lake continues to improve.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	13.7	12.0	2.5	38	7.3	1	1
5/23	20.0	7.5	2.9	21	4.3	2	2
7/3	28.5	10.1	5.2	24	4.3	2	2
7/31	27.8	8.0	7.6	28	3.7	2	2
8/28	24.4	10.0	7.1	67	4.3	2	2
9/25	15.6	8.6	11	24	2.7	3	3
10/22	11.2	10.0	8.5	40	3.2	3	4





1 = Crystal Clear

0 └ 4/1

9/8 10/18 11 4 = High Algal Color


11/27

- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

A

В

B

### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					В	В	В		С	С	С	С	С
CLA					В	С	С		С	С	С	С	С
Secchi					В	A	В		С	С	С	В	В
Lake Grade					В	В	В		C	C	C	C	C
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		C	C	C	C		C		В	В	С	C	
CLA		В	В	С	В	]	В		A	A	A	A	
Secchi		A	A	A	A		A		A	A	A	A	

В

В

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

В

Lake Grade

В

В

# Echo Lake (82–0135) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Echo Lake is a 41-acre lake located within the City of Mahtomedi (Washington County). The mean and maximum depth of the lake is 0.8 m (2.6 feet) and 1.8 m (6 feet), respectively. Because of the shallowness of the lake, its entire area is considered littoral (the shallow [0-15 foot depth] area dominated by aquatic vegetation), and it never maintains a thermocline (a density gradient owed to changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column) through the summer months. The lake's surface area and mean depth translate to a volume of roughly 107 ac-ft. There is no public access to the lake.

The lake's surface area and watershed size (194 acres) translates to a 4.7:1 watershed-to-lake size ratio. Generally the larger the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	91	37	156	D
CLA (µg/l)	12	6.5	23	В
Secchi (m)	1.6	1.2	2.3	
TKN (mg/l)	1.18	1.00	1.50	
			Lake Grade	


There was an insufficient quantity of data to determine a Secchi grade for 2012. At least 5 sampling dates are needed in within the summer-time period to calculate letter grades. The visibility of the Secchi disk was visible on the lake bottom and blocked by aquatic vegetation on several occasions. So only 4 valid Secchi depth measurements were made during the summer time period. There is an insufficient quantity of data to determine water quality trends for this lake, therefore, to better understand the lake's water quality and where it may be heading, additional years of data collection are needed.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/8	17.4	7.8	16	46	1.2	3	3
6/5	24.0	10.9	6.5	156	1.5	2	3
6/19	23.8	9.8	23	114	1.5	3	3
7/18	28.3	6.5	8.0	80	2.3	2	2
8/13	23.6	6.3	8.4	37		2	2
9/11	19.1	6.0	9.0	115		2	2
10/9	9.2	9.0	6.5	45		1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				D	D				D		D	
CLA				С	F				С		В	
Secchi			F	F	D				D			
Lake Grade	e			D	D				D			

# Edith Lake (82–0004) Valley Branch Watershed District

Joseph Reithmeyer; Washington Conservation District staff

Edith Lake is a 81-acre lake located within Afton (Washington County). The lake has a maximum depth of approximately 13.0 m (43 feet). Roughly 42 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone (the 0-15 foot depth area of aquatic plant dominance). The lake has a watershed of 1,576 acres, which gives a watershed-to-lake area ratio of 19:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	23	11	83	В
CLA (µg/l)	4.6	1.9	9.0	A
Secchi (m)	2.5	1.4	3.7	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.66	0.43	1.00	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with its limited historical database. For the years that the lake has been monitored via the CAMP, the lake has fluctuated between a lake grade of A and B. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	14.3		7.6	17	1.4	2	2
5/9	16.7	11.3	6.9	15	2.1	2	3
5/21	22.4		1.9	14	1.9	2	2
6/2	21.2		2.9	16	1.4	2	2
6/5	23.1	10.0	6.0	29	1.5	3	3
6/18	25.8		3.1	16	2.4	2	2
6/19	23.1	9.3	3.7	83	2.7	2	2
7/18	29.0	8.4	3.7	11	3.0	2	2
7/23	30.5		3.7	15	3.3	2	2
8/14	24.1	11.5	9.0	15	2.4	3	2
8/25			5.3	19	2.0	2	2
9/12	21.0	8.6	4.3	25	3.7	2	2
9/17	19.8		4.4	19	3.4	2	2
10/11	10.7	7.7	4.4	28	3.8	1	1
10/23			2.9	19	4.5	2	2





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 10/18 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom


11/27

3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP	1774	1773	1774	1993	1990	177/	1790	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
			•	•	1			•				
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			Α	В	A	F	3	В		В	В	
CLA			A	A	A	A	Λ	A		A	A	
Secchi			В	С	В	(	C	С		В	В	
Lake Grade			A	В	A	I	3	В		В	В	

# Lake Elmo (82–0106) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Wendy Griffin, Jeff Berg

Lake Elmo is located in Lake Elmo (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value and exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). The 284-acre lake has a maximum depth of 41.7 m (137 ft) which is the deepest lake in the TCMA. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (Myriophyllumspicatum). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for perfluorooctane (PFO) content in fish.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Parameter Mean		Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	20	14	25	A	
CLA (µg/l)	2.0	1.3	2.7	A	
Secchi (m)	5.8	4.0	7.0	A	
TKN (mg/l)	0.60	0.39	0.70		
			Lake Grade	A	


The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012. The lake has typically received A lake grades since the late 1980s. The mean TP concentration in 2013 returned to historical levels, in contrast to last year's higher mean TP concentration. Continued monitoring is suggested to continue to watch potential TP changes in the lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/30	11.0		1.7	19	4.2	1	1
6/3	20.7		1.7	21	5.0	2	2
6/6	22.0		2.6	14	5.3	2	1
6/19			2.7	25	7.0	1	1
7/10	28.2		1.6	19	7.0	2	2
8/11	24.4		2.1	22	4.0	2	2
8/27	24.0		1.3	21	6.5	2	2
10/2			1.0	27	7.0	1	1
10/21	12.6		3.3	15	4.2	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	В	A	В		В				В			A
CLA	В	A	В		A				A			A
Secchi	С	В	С		В	A	В	В	A	A	A	A
Lake Grade	В	A	В		В				A			A
		1					1			1	Ī	
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			A									
CLA			A									
Secchi	A	A	A									
Lake Grade			A									
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			A	A	A	A	Λ.	A	A	С	A	
CLA			A	A	A	A	1	A	A	A	A	
Secchi			A	A	A	A	Λ	A	A	Α	A	
Lake Grade	e		A	A	A	A	<b>\</b>	A	A	В	A	

# Farquar Lake (19–0023) City of Apple Valley

Volunteer: Jeff Christianson

Farquhar Lake is located in the City of Apple Valley (Dakota County). The lake covers an area of 67 acres and has a maximum depth of 3.0 m (10 feet). The lake's mean depth of 1.4 m (4.6 feet) and surface area translates to an approximate lake volume of 290 ac-ft. Because the maximum depth is only 3.0 m, the entire lake area is considered littoral (the area of aquatic plant dominance), and it does not maintain a thermocline (a density gradient owed to changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	188	37	316	F
CLA (µg/l)	93	22	180	F
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.3	1.1	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.78	0.58	4.20	
			Lake Grade	F

The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is consistent with the lake grades received for the past 13 years.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	14.1		20	25	1.0	2	1
5/6	18.1		55	316	0.7	2	2
5/20	20.0		22	77	1.1	2	3
6/3	22.1		40	135	0.9	2	2
6/17	22.2		65	37	0.7	3	2
6/30	31.6			98	0.8	3	2
7/14	31.0		180	183	0.4	4	3
7/29	28.5		160	280	0.4	5	3
8/12	23.4		100	264	0.3	5	3
8/26	24.2		140	249	0.4	5	3
9/3	28.9		44	146	0.7	4	3
9/23	14.5		120	284	0.3	4	3
10/2	17.0		41	136	0.6	4	3
10/20	10.6		7.9	90	0.6	4	3



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	D	D	D			F	F	F	F	D
CLA			В	С	С	D			F	F	F	F	F
Secchi			С	D	С	D			F	F	F	F	F
Lake Grade			C	D	C	D			F	F	F	F	F
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	2	008	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		F	F	F	F		D		F	D	D	F	
CLA		F	D	С	D		F		F	D	F	F	
Secchi		F	F	F	F		D		F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade		F	F	D	F		D		F	D	F	F	

# Fireman's Clayhole Lake (10–0226) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Fireman's Lake is located within the City of Chaska (Carver County). This lake has an area of 8 acres and a maximum depth of 7.0 m (23 feet). Roughly 88 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone (area of aquatic plant dominance). The DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian Water Milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	27	14	44	В
CLA (µg/l)	4.6	1.5	11	A
Secchi (m)	2.5	1.4	3.5	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.55	0.35	0.82	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is the lake has received in previous years on occasion. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



2012 D	ata						
Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.6	14.7	4.4	32	1.5	2	1
5/2	16.2	10.0	2.7	14	2.9	2	1
5/15	21.1	10.2	3.0	21	2.7	2	2
5/29	21.8	10.6	11	44	1.4	2	2
6/13	23.7	11.8	2.0	20	3.3	2	1
6/26	25.2	11.2	1.5	28	2.9	2	2
7/9	29.6	8.5	2.6	15	3.5	2	3
7/26	28.9	4.7	4.8	30	1.6	3	3
8/7	27.5	7.3	6.3	16	1.8	3	3
8/21	25.3	9.3	2.8	23	2.4	3	3
9/7	24.3	8.8	4.3	39	2.2	3	3
9/24	16.5	6.4	9.7	41	2.3	3	3
10/3	17.5	10.0	12		1.7	2	2
10/16	12.0	16.0	46	59	1.4	3	3








0 └ 4/1

10/18

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

**<sup>0</sup>** 9/8 **10**4 = High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP										A	A	В
CLA										A	A	A
Secchi										В	A	A
Lake Grade										A	A	A
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	В	В	A	A	Α	С	В	Α	В	
CLA		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Secchi		A	A	В	В	I	A	A	В	В	В	
Lake Grade	:	A	A	В	A	A	<b>\</b>	В	В	A	В	

# Fish Lake [Spring Lake] (70–0069) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Jon Haferman, Abby Haferman

Fish Lake is located in Spring Lake Township (Scott County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 171 acres. The lake has a mean and a maximum depth of 4.4 m (14 feet) and 8.5 m (28 feet). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for mercury content in fish

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	47	13	152	С
CLA (µg/l)	18	5.8	39	В
Secchi (m)	1.4	0.7	2.6	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.33	0.92	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The lake appears to be represented overall by a lake grade of C given the historical water quality database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	11.8		5.1	87	3.1	1	1
5/6	15.8		5.8	83	2.6	1	1
5/20	19.4		21	152	1.7	1	1
6/3	21.3		20	25	1.0	4	3
6/17	22.2		32	52	1.1	1	1
7/1	29.0		15	25	1.3	3	2
7/15	29.5		16	33	1.3	2	2
7/29	27.5		39	34	0.7	2	2
8/14	25.8		15	23	1.0	3	2
8/26	25.2		17	23	1.4	2	1
9/9	23.2		8.1	13	1.9	2	2
9/23	16.6		10	55	1.7	2	1
10/7	13.0		40	56	1.2	5	4
10/21	11.5		54	66	1.0	3	4








<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

				1									1
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	86	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	C				D								
CLA	С				D							С	
Secchi	D				D							С	
Lake Grade	C				D								
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				С		С	C	2	С	С	С	D	С
CLA				С		С	C	2	С	С	В	С	С
Secchi				D		С	C	2	С	В	В	D	В
Lake Grade				C		C	(	7	C	C	В	D	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	С		C		С	С	В	С	
CLA		С	С	В	С		В		С	В	В	В	
Secchi		С	С	С	С		С		С	С	С	С	
Lake Grade	2	C	C	C	C		C		C	C	В	C	

# Fish Lake [Woodbury] (82–0093) Washington Conservation District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Fish Lake is located in the City of Woodbury (Washington County). It has a surface area of approximately 5 acres. Little morphological information is available for the lake. No historical water quality data for the lake was available in the STORET nationwide water quality database.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	198	85	633	F
CLA (µg/l)	101	27	440	F
Secchi (m)	0.7	0.3	1.4	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.97	1.00	5.60	
			Lake Grade	F

The lake received a lake grade of F in 2012. Additional monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database of this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


### Fish Lake Woodbury, Washington Co.



### 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	12.8	10.0	21	157	0.8	3	4
5/8	16.5	8.3	42	150	0.5	3	4
5/22	19.2	8.1	50	197	0.6	3	4
6/4	23.0	10.4	28	132	1.4	3	4
6/19	23.2	8.0	27	139	0.9	3	4
7/2	30.1	9.8	36	138	1.2	3	4
7/18	27.3	9.4	140	633	0.6	3	4
7/30	24.6	6.5	440	267	0.3	3	4
8/14	23.6	11.9	120	167	0.5	3	4
8/27	22.3	6.0	110	158	0.5	3	4
9/10	18.9	10.2	93	109	0.9	3	4
9/24	12.9	9.7	27	85		3	4
10/11	8.3	9.8	2.1	34	1.4	2	4
10/22	10.9	7.7	19	52		3	4






1 = Crystal Clear

450

- 4 = High Algal Color 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

		1	T	1 1			I	T		l I		
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP									F	D	F	
CLA									F	D	F	
Secchi									F	D	D	
Lake Grade	e								F	D	F	

# Fish Lake [Grant Township] (82–0137) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Fish Lake is located in the Township of Grant (Washington County). It has a surface area of 21 acres and a maximum depth of 10.4 meters.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	76	33	181	D
CLA (µg/l)	13	4.1	22	В
Secchi (m)	1.9	1.3	2.7	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.38	1.10	2.00	
			Lake Grade	С

The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	15.8	10.0	8.1	85	5.5	2	2
5/8	17.1	8.5	8.9	80	2.6	2	3
5/22	21.3	9.6	4.1	56	2.7	2	3
6/5	25.3	12.3	16	76	2.6	3	3
6/19	24.3	10.4	15	34	1.8	3	3
7/2	30.5	9.1	5.0	174	2.1	3	3
7/17	30.4	9.2	8.2	33	1.5	3	3
7/31	29.2	9.8	12	36	1.5	3	3
8/13	23.4	8.4	22	40	1.4	3	4
8/27	24.8	10.7	14	47	1.7	3	3
9/10	21.6	9.5	19	181	1.7	3	3
9/25	16.0	8.4	18	80	1.3	3	3
10/9	10.9	4.8	13	200	1.7	3	3
10/23	11.1	8.9	42	226	1.2	4	4



<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>4 =</sup> High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

V	1000	1001	1002	1002	1004	1005	100/	1007	1000	1000	1000	1001
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP											F	C
CLA											С	С
Secchi											D	С
Lake Grade											D	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP										С	D	
CLA										В	В	
Secchi										В	С	
Lake Grade	2									В	С	

# Forest Lake [East Basin] (82–0159) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Jim Spetsman, Judy Weninger

Forest Lake is located in the City of Forest Lake (Washington County). It is divided into three distinct basins. The entire lake is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Flowering rush (*Butomus umbellatus*). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) content in fish.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	35	12	142	С
CLA (µg/l)	11	1.5	33	В
Secchi (m)	2.4	1.1	4.5	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.78	0.51	1.20	
			Lake Grade	В


The east basin received a lake grade of B for 2012. The east typically basin has received a C grade since 1980...


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/19	11.7		2.8	7	3.8	1	1
5/2	16.6		4.5	12	3.2	1	1
5/14	18.3		1.5	12	4.5	2	1
6/4	23.3		4.4	29	3.3	2	1
6/12	24.3		3.6	25	3.1	2	1
6/21	22.0		3.5	142	2.9	2	1
6/24	24.8		4.1	30	2.7	2	1
7/5	29.8		3.7	19	2.6	2	1
7/13	27.1		4.1	21	2.4	2	1
7/25	28.0		9.0	15	2.0	2	1
8/10	22.5		7.5	15	2.1	2	2
8/19	23.4		33	54	1.1	5	4
9/6	24.4		25	34	1.1	4	4
9/23	12.6		21	39	1.1	4	4
9/27	17.8		27	49	1.1	4	4
10/16	10.9		18	28	1.5	2	4





<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С				С		D		C		В		В
CLA	D				С		С				В	В	С
Secchi	С				C		С		C	С	C	С	C
Lake Grade	C				C		C				В		C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С			С							В	
CLA		В			В							В	
Secchi		С			C							С	
Lake Grade		С			C							В	
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			С	С							С	С	

C C CLA В C C C C D В Secchi  $\mathbf{C}$  $\mathbf{C}$ C В Lake Grade

# Forest Lake [Middle Basin] (82–0159) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Jim Hannon

Forest Lake is located in the City of Forest Lake (Washington County). It is divided into three distinct basins. The entire lake is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Flowering rush (*Butomus umbellatus*). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) content in fish.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	45	17	147	С
CLA (µg/l)	16	1.4	36	В
Secchi (m)	1.8	1.0	2.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.89	0.60	1.40	
			Lake Grade	С


The middle basin received a lake grade of C for 2012. The middle basin typically has received a C grade since 1984, with the occasional B.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	11.9		4.4	31	2.5	1	1
5/2	17.0		3.2	29	2.5	2	1
5/16	20.7		1.4	17	2.5	2	1
6/1	21.6		5.7	28	1.9	2	1
6/15	22.6		5.5	147	2.3	2	1
6/29	27.0		5.2	22	2.0	2	1
7/12	29.3		6.7	19	2.0	2	1
7/27	27.3		15	32	1.7	2	1
8/6	27.9		36	31	1.3	2	1
8/20	24.7		26	56	1.0	2	1
9/3	25.2		34	46	1.0	3	2
9/23	16.2		35	62	1.2	3	2
10/7	12.4		11	38	1.6	2	1
10/20	11.7		20	42	1.8	1	1









- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP					С		С	С	С	В		С
CLA					С		С		С	В	В	В
Secchi					С		С	C	С	C	С	С
Lake Grade					C		C		C	В		C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		C		В							A	
CLA		В		В							В	
Secchi		С		С							С	
Lake Grade		C		В							В	
Year	200	)4	2005	2006	2007	7	2008	2009	2010	20	11	2012
TP			С	С						(	C	С
CLA			С	В						]	В	В
Secchi			С	С				В		(	C	С
Lake Grade			C	C							C	C

# Forest Lake [West Basin] (82–0159) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Steve Schmaltz

Forest Lake is located in the City of Forest Lake (Washington County). It is divided into three distinct basins. The entire lake is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Flowering rush (*Butomus umbellatus*). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) content in fish.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	35	5	89	С
CLA (µg/l)	18	2.5	47	В
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.5	3.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.93	0.59	1.40	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The water quality of the west basin has fluctuated between lake grades of B and C according to its historical water quality database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/19	10.3		2.8	130	2.6	1	1
5/6	14.7		3.3	29	3.0	1	1
5/14	18.2		2.5	5	2.7	1	1
6/3	19.8		3.7	20	1.9	2	1
6/12	22.5		6.4	89	1.4	2	1
6/22	22.6		13	25	1.2	2	1
6/30	26.6		5.7	20	1.3	2	1
7/13	27.3		14	28	1.1	2	1
7/27	25.4		36	40	0.8	3	4
8/7	25.5		47	47	0.8	3	4
8/22	22.3		41	44	0.7	4	4
9/6	23.9		16	32	0.6	4	4
9/23	14.1		25	39	0.5	2	2
10/3	16.1		16	47	0.8	2	2
10/16	9.9		7.1	37	1.0	1	1





- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	1,00	1,01	1,02	1,00	С		C	C	C	В	1770	С
CLA					С		С		C	В	С	В
Secchi					С		С	С	С	C	C	С
Lake Grade					C		C		С	В		C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С			С	В	В	С	С	В	С	С
CLA		В			В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
Secchi		С			С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade		C			C	В	В	C	C	В	C	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		В	С	С	С	(	C	В	В	С	С	
CLA		A	С	В	С	1	A	A	В	В	В	
Secchi		В	С	С	С	(	C	С	С	С	C	
Lake Grade	2	В	С	С	C	]	3	В	В	С	C	

# George Watch Lake (2–0005) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Wargo Nature Center

George Watch Lake is located in the City of Lino Lakes (Anoka County). The 528-acre lake has a mean and maximum depth of 1.5 m (5 feet) and 2.0 m (6.5 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The major land uses within the lake's immediate watershed are undeveloped and park land.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	267	114	675	F
CLA (µg/l)	115	22	440	F
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.1	0.8	F
TKN (mg/l)	3.64	1.30	9.50	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is consistent with previous lake grades received in the past. The historical lake grades seem to indicate that the lake water quality has fluctuated between an F and D lake grade throughout the 20+ years of data. The TP and Secchi grades have remained fairly consistent throughout the monitoring years with respect to the more variable CLA grades.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/2	20.5		24	114		3	4
5/21	18.1		36	168	0.6	4	4
6/1	24.4		63	288	0.7	4	4
6/15	25.0		42	149	0.2	4	4
6/29	28.8		22	176	0.8	3	4
7/13	27.7		67	166	0.4	2	4
7/23	33.5		330	286	0.2	4	4
8/7	28.4		440	317	0.1	5	4
8/23	23.5		84	403		4	4
9/6	24.0		130	675	0.2	5	4
9/24	13.1		30	199	0.3	4	4
10/2	18.7		65	225	0.2	5	5





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP		F	F	F		F		F	F	F	F	F
CLA		F	С	В		В		С	В	D	С	F
Secchi		F	D	F		F		F	F	F	D	F
Lake Grade		F	D	D		D		D	D	F	D	F

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					F	D	F	D	D	F	D	F
CLA					D	C	D	C	C	F	D	C
Secchi					F	F	F	D	F	D	F	D
Lake Grade					F	D	F	D	D	F	D	D

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	F	F	F	F	F	D	D	D	F
CLA	D	С	F	D	С	В	С	С	F
Secchi	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	D	F
Lake Grade	F	D	F	F	D	D	D	D	F

# German Lake (82-0056) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District

German Lake is located in the city of Scandi (Washington County). It has an area of 109 acres. There is little known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	26	13	51	В
CLA (µg/l)	2.2	1.6	2.8	A
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	0.78	0.70	0.85	
			Lake Grade	


The Secchi disk was visible on the lake bottom or its visibility was blocked by aquatic vegetation during monitoring visits in 2012. Therefore no Secchi grade and no lake grade were calculated. The 2012 TP and CLA grades were typical of those received in its historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	13.0	11.9	4.5	21		2	1
5/22	20.5	9.1	2.8	19		2	2
7/3	27.8	9.0	1.6	13		1	1
8/1	27.1	8.7	2.0	28		1	1
8/27	25.4	9.5	2.4	51		1	1
9/24	13.9	11.1	2.3	19		1	1
10/23	11.3	11.5	7.9	18		3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
			i	· ·		ı	1	i	i	ı		1
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP											В	В
CLA											A	A
Secchi											С	В
Lake Grade											В	В
				1								
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		В	В	С	A						В	
CLA		A	A	A	A						A	
Secchi		В	В	В	С	(	C	С				
										1		

В

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

В

В

В

Lake Grade

# Goggins Lake (82–0077) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Goggins Lake is located within May Township (Washington County). It has a surface area of a 11 acres. Little bathymetric information is available for the lake but the maximum depth is approximately 4.0 m (13 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Parameter Mean		Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	123	52	248	D
CLA (µg/l)	31	4.6	78	С
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.8	2.3	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.81	1.50	2.20	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012 which is consistent with those received in some previous years. The lake's water quality seems to be represented by a lake grade of C or D, depending on the year. To better understand the quality of the lake and what direction it may be heading, continued monitoring is suggested.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.6	10.7	8.9	51	2.1	3	3
4/30	12.8	10.7	7.3	75	2.4	2	3
5/14	19.2	9.9	4.6	52	2.3	2	3
5/30	18.8	7.3	15	104	1.5	2	3
6/12	23.2	8.4	23		1.2	3	4
6/25	23.6	9.6	21		1.4	3	3
7/9	27.4	9.0	21	122	1.2	3	3
7/23	27.7	7.9	19	73	1.4	3	4
8/6	25.2	4.9	37	82	1.1	3	4
8/20	22.1	8.1	78	248	1.2	3	3
9/4	24.9	8.5	30	190	0.8	3	4
9/17	19.8	8.1	57	113	0.9	3	3
10/1	15.6	10.1	27	67	1.2	2	2
10/15	9.5	10.6	43	103	1.1	2	3





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
		1	1	1 1	1				ı	1	1		ı
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									D	D	D	D	С
CLA									С	С	С	С	С
Secchi									С	D	D	D	С
Lake Grade									C	D	D	D	C
					1	1					1		
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	D	D	D	]	D		D	D	D	D	
CLA		С	С	С	D		С		С	D	С	С	

D

D

D

D

D

D

D

D

C

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

D

D

D

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Secchi

Lake Grade

# Goose Lake [Waconia] (10–0089) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Goose Lake is located in Waconia Township (Carver County). It has a surface area of 407-acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 3.0 m; therefore the entire lake area is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth area of the lake dominated by aquatic vegetation. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	141	105	222	D	
CLA (µg/l)	122	80	200	F	
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.3	0.6	F	
TKN (mg/l)	3.32	2.70	4.40		
			Lake Grade	F	


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012 which is consistent with its historical database. The lake has experienced variability in water quality over the long term (i.e. grades ranging from C to F), with F grades being predominant for the past 9 years. To better understand the quality of the lake and what direction it may be heading, continued monitoring is suggested.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




	SURF- TEMP	SURF DO	CLA	SURF TP	Secchi	PC	RS
Date	(° C)	(mg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(m)	(1-5)	(1-5)
4/17	10.5	14.2	90	120	0.6	4	4
5/1	12.8	13.9	100	150	0.4	4	4
5/15	19.9	11.5	200	164	0.3	2	3
5/31	18.6	11.0	110	151	0.4	3	3
6/20	23.6	7.5	100	113	0.4	3	3
6/25	24.1	7.8	96	115	0.6	3	3
7/10	29.2	10.0	80	105	0.5	3	4
7/27	26.6	6.4	140	222	0.4	4	4
8/9	25.2	9.6	140	130	0.4	4	4
8/21	22.7	12.5	96	114	0.4	3	3
9/5	25.5	13.6	120	138	0.3	4	4
9/19	16.8	10.0	160	143	0.4	3	4
10/2	15.9	9.9	150	150	0.4	3	3
10/16	10.2	16.0	120	152	0.4	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				D	С	F	D	D	F	D	D	F
CLA				С	С	D	С	D	F	С	С	F
Secchi				F	С	F	С	F	F	D	F	F
Lake Grade				D	C	F	C	D	F	D	D	F
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			D	D	D	]	D	D	D	D	D	
CLA			F	F	F	,	F	F	F	F	F	
Secchi			F	F	F		F	F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade	e		F	F	F		F	F	F	F	F	

# Goose Lake [Washington County] (82–0059) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Washington Conservation District staff

Goose Lake is located in the City of Scandia (Washington County). The lake has a surface area of 83 acres. The lake has a maximum and mean depth of 7.6 m (25 feet) and 2.4 m (8 feet), respectively.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	54	32	76	С
CLA (µg/l)	30	6.6	68	С
Secchi (m)	1.5	0.8	2.1	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.58	1.30	1.90	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is similar to the lake grades received in the past. The lake's overall water quality seems to be represented by a lake grade of C given the historical water quality database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	12.4	10.8	8.0	36	4.3	2	2
5/22	19.9	8.9	12	35	2.1	2	3
7/3	27.8	8.2	6.6	32	2.1	3	3
8/1	26.9	11.3	68	76	0.8	3	3
8/27	24.3	9.8	15	67	1.2	3	3
9/24	15.3	9.3	48	61	1.4	3	3
10/23	10.7	7.5	22	49	2.0	2	3





1 = Crystal Clear

0 └ 4/1

- 10/18 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present

5/11


6/20

7/30

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

11/27

3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

W	1000	1001	1002	1002	1004	1005	100	c 1	207	1000	1000	1000	1001
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1	987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 19	999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	D	С	С	С						
CLA			С	В	С	С	С						
Secchi			D	C	C	С	С						
Lake Grade			C	C	C	C	C						
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	2	008	2009		2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	D	С		С	С		С	С	С	
CLA		С	С	С	С		С	С		В	С	С	
Secchi		В	С	С	С		С	C		С	С	С	
Lake Grade	e	C	C	С	C		C	C		С	C	C	

# Goose Lake [North Basin] (82–0113–01) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Goose Lake is located in the City of Lake Elmo (Washington County). The lake is split into two basins by county highway 10. The north basin is Site #1 of Goose Lake. The depth of the north basin at the sampling location is 1.8 m (6 ft). There is no other bathymetric information available for the lake. A search via STORET revealed no historical monitoring data prior to 2008.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	321	139	638	F
CLA (µg/l)	144	27	280	F
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.2	0.6	F
TKN (mg/l)	3.56	1.70	6.20	
			Lake Grade	F


The north basin received a lake grade of F for 2012. Continued monitoring is suggested to build an historical water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/10	17.1	10.0	78	151	0.6	3	4
6/5	22.9	9.1	27	139	0.6	3	4
6/20	24.9	9.6	110		0.5	4	4
7/19	26.4	3.6	120	278	0.3	4	4
8/15	22.1	8.3	250	400	0.2	4	4
9/12	19.7	5.6	280	638	0.2	4	4
10/11	9.6	12.2	210	422	0.3	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

		1	T	T I						1		
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 198	7 1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 199	9 2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						I	7	F	F		F	
CLA						I	7	F	F		F	
Secchi						I	7	F	F		F	
Lake Grade	e					1	7	F	F		F	

# Goose Lake [South Basin] (82–0113–02) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Goose Lake is located in the City of Lake Elmo (Washington County). The lake is split into two basins by county highway 10. The south basin is Site #2 of Goose Lake. The depth of the south basin at the sampling location is 2.1 m (7 ft). There is no other bathymetric information available for the lake. A search via STORET revealed no historical monitoring data prior to 2008.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	183	114	267	F
CLA (µg/l)	98	60	150	F
Secchi (m)	0.3	0.2	0.6	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.83	1.80	4.20	
			Lake Grade	F


The south basin received a lake grade of F for 2012. Continued monitoring is suggested to build an historical water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/10	17.7	11.0	60	114	0.6	3	4
6/5	23.5	11.7	70	136	0.2	4	4
6/20	25.0	9.4	99	178	0.3	3	4
7/19	26.6	4.8	110	195	0.5	4	4
8/15	22.8	11.6	150	207	0.3	4	4
9/12	19.8	8.7		267	0.2	4	4
10/11	8.9	11.2	130	216	0.3	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

-												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						I	7	F	F		F	
CLA						I	7	F	F		F	
Secchi						I	7	F	F		F	
Lake Grade						I	7	F	F		F	

# Grace Lake (10-0218) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Grace Lake is a 22-acre lake located near the City of Chaska (Carver County). The lake has a maximum depth of 6.7 m (22 feet). A search through the STORET nationwide water quality database for historical data provided no data other than CAMP data.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	125	49	301	D
CLA (µg/l)	34	7.1	60	С
Secchi (m)	1.2	0.6	2.4	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.80	1.20	2.30	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012 which is consistent with its historical database. Further monitoring is suggested for this lake to develop an historical water quality database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.1	13.3	5.1	96	1.1	3	4
5/2	16.0	9.7	7.2	74	2.4	2	3
5/16	20.6	13.4	7.1	49	1.9	3	3
5/29	21.0	10.8	57	152	0.6	3	3
6/13	22.6	7.2	14	60	1.7	4	3
6/26	24.4	15.4	60	143	0.7	3	3
7/9	28.6	7.9	44	114	0.9	4	4
7/26	29.2	8.4	48	144	0.7	4	3
8/7	26.7	11.0	39	141	0.7	4	4
8/22	22.9	15.8	50	108	0.9	3	3
9/7	23.5		22	92	1.2	4	4
9/24	16.0	9.5	24	301	1.6	5	4
10/3	16.3	9.7	16	499	2.3	3	3
10/15	11.4	12.0	3.8	240	1.9	2	3





- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

D

D

D

# Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
		1,000	1		1006	100=						
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												F
CLA												C
Secchi												D
Lake Grade												D
					1							
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	D	•	D	I	)	D	D	D	D	
CLA		С	В		С	(	C	D	D	D	С	
Secchi		D	D		D	Ι	)	D	С	D	D	
					i e				ì	1		

D

D

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

D

Lake Grade

# Hazeltine Lake (10–0014) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Hazeltine Lake is located in the City of Chaska (Carver County). The lake has a surface area of 236 acres, and a maximum depth of 2.0 m (6.6 ft). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 to 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	205	112	300	F
CLA (µg/l)	260	41	470	F
Secchi (m)	0.3	0.2	0.6	F
TKN (mg/l)	4.99	2.30	9.50	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is consistent with its limited historical database. Continued monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.9	16.1	56	188	0.4	4	4
5/2	19.3	14.1	59	112	0.5	3	4
5/15	21.5	11.3	41	124	0.6	4	4
5/29	20.5	10.5	94	176	0.4	4	4
6/13	21.6	10.9	140	183	0.3	4	4
6/26	23.7	14.6	160	282	0.3	4	4
7/9	28.7	10.5	240	213	0.2	4	4
7/26	27.9	8.5	430	214	0.2	4	4
8/7	27.0	18.0	400	205	0.2	4	4
8/22	22.9	16.4	450	211	0.2	3	3
9/7	22.8		470	300	0.2	4	5
9/24	13.3	8.2	380	230	0.2	4	4
10/3	15.7	8.6	180	216	0.2	4	4
10/15	10.1	11.2	130	207	0.3	4	5







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									F	F		
CLA									F	F		
Secchi									F	F		
Lake Grade									F	F		
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			F	F				F	D	F	F	
CLA			F	F				F	F	F	F	
Secchi			F	F				F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade			F	F				F	F	F	F	

# Horseshoe Lake [Sunfish Lake] (19–0051) City of Sunfish Lake

Volunteer: Jim Nayes

Horseshoe Lake is a 16-acre lake located within the City of Sunfish Lake (Dakota County). There is very little morphological information available for the lake.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	35	17	62	С
CLA (µg/l)	13	3.2	43	В
Secchi (m)	+ 2.8	+ 1.9	+ 3.1	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.69	0.58	0.94	
			Lake Grade	В

<sup>(+</sup> means that the true Secchi transparency was greater than indicated in the table because either the Secchi disk was visible on the bottom of the lake or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by light attenuation in the water column.)


Either the Secchi disk was visible on the lake bottom during monitoring events or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by light attenuation in the water column. Although true Secchi transparencies were not attained, the mean Secchi depth for the summer time period was greater than 2.8 m, and likely about 3.0 m or greater. A Secchi depth grade of A was therefore given for 2012. The TP mean was greater in 2012 than in 2011. The annual TP mean has varied noticeably since 2006, resulting in variations of TP grades in the range of A to C. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
6/3	22.6		43	37	+ 3.0	2	2
6/18	24.2		6.7	62	+ 3.1	2	1
6/28	28.4		16	32	> 1.9	3	2
7/14	30.6		5.6	31	> 2.4	1	1
7/28	28.6		4.7	49	> 3.0	1	1
8/13	26.1		25	39	> 2.2	2	1
8/27	23.7		3.8	32	+ 3.1	1	1
9/15	21.2		3.2	17	+ 3.1	1	1
9/23	15.8		6.0	20	+ 3.1	1	1
10/6	11.9		3.2	17	+ 3.1	1	1
10/19	11.3		2.1	11	+ 3.1	1	1


- + means the Secchi disk was visible on the bottom of the lake at the depth indicated.
- > means the visibility of the Secchi disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation at the depth indicated.







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	200	)9	2010	2011	201	2
TP				С	С	A	1	В	1	С	A	С	
CLA				A	A	A	١	A		A	A	В	
Secchi				С	С	(	2	В		В	A	A	
Lake Grade	2			В	В	F	3	В	3	В	A	В	

# Horseshoe Lake [Site 3] (82–0074) Washington Conservation District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Horseshoe Lake is located in the City of Lake Elmo and West Lakeland Township (Washington County). The lake has a surface area of 53 acres. The lake has a surface area of 53 acres and a maximum depth 3.4m (11 ft).


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	76	32	149	D
CLA (µg/l)	19	6.5	43	В
Secchi (m)	1.6	0.8	2.3	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.14	0.71	1.90	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake site received a lake grade of C for 2012. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/9	18.3	8.9	8.1	32	2.3	2	3
6/4	24.7	9.0	6.5	50	2.0	3	3
6/18	25.7	8.8	9.7	49	2.1	3	3
7/19	27.8	6.2	17	41	1.8	3	3
8/13	26.3	11.5	43	133	0.9	3	4
9/12	21.1	7.8	29	149	0.8	3	4
10/10	9.9	11.7	65	63	1.2	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

	1		_									
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 198	7 1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 199	9 2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP								С	С	С	D	
CLA								В	С	В	В	
Secchi								С	D	С	С	
Lake Grade	2							C	С	C	C	

# Hydes Lake (10-0088) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Hydes Lake is located within Waconia Township (Carver County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 215 acres. The mean and maximum depth of the lake is 3.0 (10 feet) and 5.5 m (18 feet). Most of the lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	92	31	273	D
CLA (µg/l)	27	9.8	61	С
Secchi (m)	1.2	0.7	1.6	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.47	0.85	2.30	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is consistent with its historical database. The lake seems to fluctuate between C and F grades.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	10.6	13.9	5.4	71	1.7	2	2
5/1	12.8	15.4	9.8	36	1.5	2	2
5/15	18.0	11.3	11	273	1.4	2	2
5/31	18.7	10.5	32	75	1.5	3	2
6/20	23.1	8.6	26	75	0.9	3	3
6/25	24.0	9.2	12	31	1.6	3	3
7/10	28.5	8.1	14	44	1.6	4	4
7/27	26.4	4.2	34	94	1.2	3	3
8/9	25.7	8.0	51	69	0.9	4	3
8/21	22.9	18.8	61	118	0.7	3	3
9/5	25.4	13.9		120	1.1	4	3
9/25	15.6	8.6	19	71	1.3	3	3
10/2	16.1	8.1	15	78	1.6	2	2
10/16	10.4	15.7	16	74	1.8	3	2





<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP						F						F
CLA						D						D
Secchi						D						D
Lake Grade						D						D

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		F			F			F	F	D	D	D
CLA		C			C			C	C	C	C	C
Secchi		C			C			C	C	C	F	C
Lake Grade		D			D			D	D	C	D	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	D	F	F	D	F	F	D	D	D
CLA	D	D	С	D	F	С	С	В	С
Secchi	D	С	С	С	D	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade	D	D	D	D	F	D	C	C	C

# Island Lake (2–0022) Anoka County Parks

Volunteer: Anoka County Parks staff

Island Lake is located in Linwood Township (Anoka County). The lake has a surface area of 67 acres and a maximum depth of 6.7 m (22 feet). Roughly 87 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the zone of aquatic plant dominance.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	31	25	45	В
CLA (µg/l)	11	1.3	37	В
Secchi (m)	1.3	1.0	1.6	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.05	0.99	1.20	
			Lake Grade	В

The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with its historical database. The annual lake grades have varied among B's and C's. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


# Island Lake Linwood Twp., Anoka Co. Lake ID: 20022-00 Sampling site Contours in meters

# 2012 Data


Meters

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	9.5		7.3	26	1.3	1	1
4/30	12.8		6.6	24	1.3	1	1
5/18	18.3		4.0	26	1.4	1	1
5/29	19.9		16	31	1.4	1	1
6/13	21.3		11	42	1.2	2	1
6/27	23.3		10	27	1.1	2	1
7/12	27.1		37	32	1.4	2	1
7/26	26.4		1.3	45	1.3	1	1
8/10	21.7		1.4		1.0	1	1
8/24			2.1	27	1.6	3	
9/7	21.6		16	25	1.2	1	1
9/17	17.3		9.1	28	1.6	1	1
10/1	14.9		8.7	45	1.8	1	1
10/18	9.8		28	34	1.1	2	2





- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP				С									
CLA				С									
Secchi				D									
Lake Grade				C									
			-	-									
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													В
CLA													В
Secchi													С
Lake Grade													В
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	80	2	.009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	В	I	3		В	С	С	В	
CLA		A	В	В	В	I	3		В	В	В	В	
Secchi		С	С	С	С	(	C		D	С	С	С	

В

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

В

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

Lake Grade

# Jackson Wildlife Management Area Wetland (82–0305) Washington Conservation District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

The Jackson Wildlife Management Area (WMA) wetland is located in the City of Stillwater (Washington County). The wetland has a surface area of 14.3 acres. The entire surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the zone of aquatic plant dominance. A search through the MPCA's EDA system provided no historical monitoring information for the wetland.

This was the first year the wetland was monitored as part of the CAMP.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	78	31	167	D
CLA (µg/l)	12	3.4	17	В
Secchi (m)	1.6	0.8	2.3	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.17	0.86	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. Continued monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.7	9.0	9.7	49	2.1	3	3
4/30	14.7	10.1	9.0	57	2.0	2	3
5/14	20.2	9.7	4.9	46	2.3	2	3
5/30	20.1	7.9	13	57	1.8	2	3
6/13	22.9	7.1	14	49	1.4	2	4
6/25	26.7	8.7	13		1.4	3	4
7/9	29.1	9.0	13	167	1.5	3	4
7/23	29.1	10.0	17	160	1.4	3	4
8/6	27.5	8.1	12	69	0.8	3	4
8/20	23.5	11.8	11	85	1.4	2	3
9/5	24.8	10.1	3.4	35	2.0	2	3
9/17	19.0	8.5	17	31	2.1	2	3
10/1	15.8	10.6	3.2	24	1.8	2	2
10/15	10.4	10.0	4.4	40	2.1	1	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP									С	С	D	
CLA									В	В	В	
Secchi									С	С	C	
Lake Grade	2								C	C	C	

# Jane Lake (82–0104) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Justin Bloyer

Lake Jane is located in the northwest corner of the City of Lake Elmo (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value and exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). The MPCA has listed the lake as impaired for mercury content in fish.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	15	12	20	
CLA (µg/l)	3.2	2.4	4.0	
Secchi (m)	5.5	5.0	6.0	
TKN (mg/l)	0.67	0.54	0.96	
			Lake Grade	


There were insufficient quantity of data to calculate grades in 2012. At least 5 data points are needed over the summer time period.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


# Lake Jane Lake Elmo, Washington Co.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	11.2		1.7	17	5.0	1	1
5/6	15.7		3.0	14	6.0	2	1
6/3			2.4	13	6.0	2	2
6/11			4.0	12	5.0	2	2
6/18			3.4	20	5.0	3	2





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	В	В			С		В	В				В
CLA					С		В	В				В
Secchi	A	A	A	A	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
Lake Grade					C		В	В				В
		1	1			1		1	1	1	1	1

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			A						A			
CLA			A						A			
Secchi	C	В	В						A			
Lake Grade			A						A			

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
CLA	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Secchi	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	

# Jonathan Lake (10–0217) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Jonathan Lake is a small lake located in Carver County. There are few known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	149	71	244	D	
CLA (µg/l)	56	6.8	97	D	
Secchi (m)	0.5	0.4	0.6	F	
TKN (mg/l)	1.87	1.20	2.70		
			Lake Grade	D	


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is better than the F grades received over the past decade. Additional monitoring is suggested to develop a historical water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.9	12.3	9.2	153	0.6	3	4
5/2	17.1	9.7	6.8	71	0.6	3	3
5/16	20.6	14.0	36	108	0.6	3	3
5/29	20.6	9.6	50	150	0.4	4	4
6/13	21.9	9.8	69	147	0.5	3	4
6/26	23.8	14.4	78	176	0.5	3	3
7/9	28.7	7.1	79	96	0.5	4	4
7/26	28.8	9.2	97	244	0.4	4	4
8/7	26.6	12.2	80	161	0.4	4	4
8/22	22.4	13.1	34	138	0.5	3	3
9/7	23.0		42	155	0.4	4	4
9/24	14.0	9.2	43	190	0.4	5	4
10/3	15.8	6.6	14	140	0.4	4	4
10/15	10.9	13.3	14	106	0.6	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
	1980	1901	1962	1963	1904	1903	190		1907	1900	1909	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												F	
CLA												С	
Secchi												F	
Lake Grade												D	
Year	2	:004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				F			F		F	D	F	D	
CLA				D		]	D		F	F	D	D	
Secchi				F			F		F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade	e			F			F		F	F	F	D	

#### July Lake (10–0217) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

July Lake is a small lake located in Washington County. There are few known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	112	69	157	D
CLA (µg/l)	51	15	93	D
Secchi (m)	0.9	0.6	1.4	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.71	1.30	2.40	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with its limited database. The lake has received lake grades ranging from C to F since 2006. Additional monitoring is suggested to develop the historical water quality database for this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	13.2	9.4	14	25	1.5	2	2
4/30	14.0	9.7	15	62	1.5	3	3
5/15	21.1	8.7	20	69	1.2	2	3
5/30	18.7	8.3	78	86	0.9	2	3
6/13	21.9	9.2	18	90		2	4
6/25	24.5	10.1	20		1.4	2	4
7/9	31.8	10.1	15	84		2	4
7/23	28.6	8.1	59	104	1.2	2	4
8/6	26.6	8.5	87	106	0.8	3	4
8/20	22.3	10.8	33	152	0.6	3	3
9/5	24.4	6.3	93	157	0.6	3	4
9/17	18.6	7.5	87	157	0.6	3	4
10/1	16.6	8.7	12	213	0.8	3	3
10/15	9.3	9.1	54	112	0.9	3	4







0 └ 4/1


9/8

10/18

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>4 =</sup> High Algal Color

<sup>1 =</sup> Crystal Clear 2 = Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				F	F	(	2			D	D	
CLA				F	F	I	3			D	D	
Secchi				F	F	(	2			С	D	
Lake Grade				F	F		7			D	D	

#### Karth Lake (62–0072) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Gary Gerding

Karth Lake is located in the City of Arden Hills. There is very little physical information available for this lake. A search in STORET showed that the lake was monitored for a variety of parameters on three different dates. Monitoring occurred on one day in July in each of the following years: 1988, 1990, and 1991.

This was the third year that Karth Lake was monitored in the CAMP.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	47	25	102	С
CLA (µg/l)	9.3	3.3	20	A
Secchi (m)	1.9	1.3	2.8	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.80	0.66	1.10	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	12.2		6.5	50	1.6	2	2
5/2	17.0		4.7	102	2.0	3	3
5/19	22.0		6.0	37	1.8	3	3
6/3	23.0		5.3	59	1.6	3	3
6/17	23.4		8.3	40	1.6	3	3
6/30	28.4		3.8	42	2.3	4	3
7/10	30.6		3.3	29	2.5	4	3
7/28	27.9		5.6	25	2.8	3	3
8/12	25.2		13	35	1.9	3	3
8/25	24.6		15	31	1.7	3	3
9/8	23.0		20	53	1.3	4	3
9/21	17.3		17	63	1.8	4	3
10/3	17.1		39	42	1.1	5	4
10/16	11.5		47	55	1.2	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 19	87	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 19	99	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009		2010	2011	2012	2
TP					С	(	C	С		С	С	С	
CLA					С	(	C	С		С	В	A	
Secchi					D	(	2	D		С	В	С	
Lake Grade	e				С	(		С		С	В	В	

## Keller Lake [Burnsville] (19–0025) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Glen Gramse

Keller Lake is located in the cities of Apple Valley and Burnsville (Dakota County). The surface area of the lake is 55 acres. It has a maximum depth of 3.0 m (10 feet) and a mean depth of 1.1 m (3.7 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The MNDNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	99	54	146	D
CLA (µg/l)	59	11	140	D
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.3	1.5	F
TKN (mg/l)	1.53	1.00	2.30	
			Lake Grade	D

The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012. The water quality of 2012 continues the trend of poorer water quality that started in 2009. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if the shifting water quality may be a continuing trend.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	15.9		39	82	0.7	2	1
4/30	13.3		6.8	65	1.4	1	4
5/14	19.8		11	132	1.2	2	4
5/28	22.4		16	55	1.5	2	4
6/15	21.7		42	89	0.7	3	4
6/24	26.3		78	115	0.5	5	4
7/11	29.1		120	134	0.4	4	4
7/22	28.0		140	146	0.3	4	4
8/6	26.3		70	127	0.5	3	4
8/19	23.5		50	107	0.4	3	4
9/2	24.8		42	59	0.6	3	4
9/16	18.8		52	69	0.5	3	4
9/28	17.8		29	54	0.4	3	4
10/14	8.8		21	50	0.7	2	4



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					D	D	С		D	D	D	С	D
CLA					F	С	A		С	С	С	В	С
Secchi					D	D	С		D	D	D	D	D
Lake Grade					D	D	В		D	D	D	C	D
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	D	D	(	C		D	D	D	D	
CLA		В	В	D	В	1	A		F	D	D	D	
Secchi		С	С	D	С	(	C		D	F	D	F	
Lake Grade	2	С	С	D	С	]	3		D	D	D	D	

#### Kingsley Lake (19-0030) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: City of Lakeville staff

Kingsley Lake is located in the northwestern corner of the City of Lakeville in Dakota County. The lake has a surface area of 44 acres, and a maximum depth of 4.0 m (13 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 – 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	23	6	59	A
CLA (µg/l)	2.7	1.9	3.7	A
Secchi (m)	+ 2.6	+ 2.3	+ 3.0	A (estimated)
TKN (mg/l)	0.57	0.42	0.75	
			Lake Grade	A (estimated)

(+ means that the true Secchi transparency was greater than indicated in the table because either the Secchi disk was visible on the bottom of the lake or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by light attenuation in the water column.)


Similar to past years, either the lake's excessive submergent macrophyte growth obscured the Secchi disk, or the disk was visible while resting on the lake bottom. According to the monitoring personnel's judgement, the Secchi depths in these instances would have likely been in excess of 3 meters. Also, the other two water quality parameter received A grades. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that Kingsley Lake falls within the A grade category.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.0		3.1	26	+ 2.6	1	1
5/4			3.2	24	+ 2.7	1	1
5/14	19.0		2.1	34	+ 2.3	1	1
5/30	18.0		3.7	15	+ 2.7	1	1
6/13	22.0		2.6	59	> 2.4	1	1
6/28	25.0		3.0	15	+ 2.5	2	1
7/9	28.0		2.8	18	> 2.7	2	1
7/26	26.0		2.9	28	> 2.8	2	1
8/7	27.0		2.2	19	> 3.0	2	1
8/20	22.0		2.2	12	> 2.8	2	1
9/5	22.0		1.9	19	+ 2.7	2	1
9/18	17.0		3.5	6	+ 2.3	2	1
10/1	17.0		4.3		+ 2.2	1	1

<sup>+</sup> means the Secchi disk was visible on the bottom of the lake at the depth indicated.





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>&</sup>gt; means the visibility of the Secchi disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation at the depth indicated.

Secchi		В	В	В	В	F	3	A	A	A		
CLA		A	A	A	A	A		A	A	A	A	
TP		A	A	В	A	A		A	A	A	A	
Year		004	2005	2006	2007	20		2009	2010	2011	201	
Lake Grade		A		В	A	A			A	В	A	В
Secchi		A		В	В	В			В	C	В	В
CLA		A		A	A	A			A	A	A	A
TP		В		В	A	A			A	A	A	В
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

#### Kismet Lake (82–0333) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Kismet Lake is located in Washington County. This relatively small lake has a maximum depth of approximately 3.7 m (12 feet). Because of the shallowness of the lake the whole lake is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	35	25	50	С
CLA (µg/l)	10	1.8	29	В
Secchi (m)	1.8	1.4	2.1	
TKN (mg/l)	0.79	0.61	1.10	
			Lake Grade	


Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because either the disk was visible on the lake bottom or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There were insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The water quality with respect to TP and CLA appears similar to 2011.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.7	11.6	3.8	25		2	2
5/1	14.6	11.3	4.6	28		3	5
5/15	21.5	12.2	2.3	25		2	2
5/31	19.5	8.1	1.8	28	1.8	2	4
6/14	20.2	6.1	2.5	26		2	4
6/26	23.8	7.7	2.4		2.1	2	2
7/10	28.8	2.8	15	48	1.8	2	3
7/25	27.2	3.7	25	50	1.4	2	3
8/7	26.8	6.4	14	41		2	2
8/20	23.3	6.6	29	37		2	1
9/5	23.4	4.6	10	40		2	3
9/18	16.8	5.3	5.8	28		2	1
10/2	14.9	8.0	4.5	28		2	2
10/18	10.8	9.7	13	36		1	1







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

C

C

В

#### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

В

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

C

C

Year	1980	19	31	1982	1983	1984	198	85	1986	19	87	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP															
CLA															
Secchi															
Lake Grade															
Year	1992	19	93	1994	1995	1996	199	97	1998	19	99	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									C	(	C	D	С	С	В
CLA									C	(	C	С	В	В	В
Secchi									C	(	C	С	C	C	В
Lake Grade									C	(	C	C	C	C	В
Year		2004		2005	2006	2007		200	08	2009		2010	2011	201	2
TP		В		С	С	С		С		С		С	С	С	

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

D

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

A

D

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

A

В

B

CLA

Secchi

Lake Grade

#### Klawitter Pond (82–0368) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Bonnie Juran, Pat Barrett

Klawitter Pond is a 4.5-acre lake located within the City of Lake Elmo (Washington County). Because of the shallowness of the lake, it is considered entirely littoral, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation. The lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column. The lake's surface area and watershed area of 168 acres translate to a 37:1 watershed-to-lake area ratio. Generally the larger the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	77	48	109	D
CLA (µg/l)	25	5.8	56	С
Secchi (m)	0.9	0.1	1.7	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.78	1.30	2.70	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is similar to previous years' lake grades. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	12.2		65	184	0.8	3	2
5/8	20.1		14	109	1.0	3	3
5/20	22.5		55	105	0.8	3	3
6/2	27.8		15	72	0.9	3	3
6/29	25.6		56	102	0.6	3	2
7/15	27.7		26	65	0.7	4	4
7/30	29.4		5.8		0.1	4	4
8/12	23.4		19	48	1.0	3	4
8/26	26.1		6.1	56	1.7	3	4
9/5	26.0		13	57	1.5	3	2
9/23	16.2		38	76	0.6	4	4
10/3	16.9		36	105	0.4	5	4
10/15	11.9	_	1.0	85	0.6	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

D

D

D

#### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP											D	D
CLA											В	С
Secchi											D	F
Lake Grade											C	D
			-	-								
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	D	D	D	Ι	)	D	D	D	D	
CLA		С	C	С	С	(	C	D	D	С	С	
Secchi		D	D	F	F	I	7	F	F	D	D	
					t				†	1		

D

D

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

D

D

Lake Grade

#### Kramer Pond (82-0117) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Kramer Pond is located within the City of Lake Elmo (Washington County). Little morphological information is available for the lake. The maximum depth at the sampling point is 1.8 m (6.0 feet). Because of the shallowness of the lake, the entire surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation. The lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column.

No water quality data for the lake was available in the STORET nationwide water quality database.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	726	420	970	F
CLA (µg/l)	330	130	510	F
Secchi (m)	0.2	0.2	0.2	F
TKN (mg/l)	7.40	5.70	9.00	
			Lake Grade	F

The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012. Additional years of monitoring are suggested to build a water quality database so to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/10	16.9	13.9	310	420	0.2	4	4
6/5	22.6	8.5	130	546	0.2	4	4
6/20	24.6	6.7	310		0.2	4	4
7/19	25.8	2.2	510	879	0.2	4	4
8/15	22.1	8.0	360	816	0.2	4	4
9/12	18.8	5.8	360	970	0.2	3	4
10/11	8.4	11.7	190	699	0.2	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	5 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						F	7	F	F		F	
CLA						F	7	F	F		F	
Secchi						I	7	F	F		F	
Lake Grade	e					I	7	F	F		F	

#### La Lake (82–0097) City of Woodbury

Volunteer: Tim Weber

La Lake is located in the City of Woodbury (Washington County). The lake has a surface area of approximately 35 acres and a maximum depth of 3.5 m (11 feet). Because of the shallowness of the lake, it is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of the lake dominated by aquatic vegetation. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	107	60	154	D
CLA (µg/l)	24	6.0	61	С
Secchi (m)	1.4	0.6	2.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.19	0.74	1.50	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is consistent with its historical database. Water quality for the lake has experienced intra-annual variability in which the lake grades have varied from Bs and Cs.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	16.8		7.0	67	2.5	2	4
5/28	26.5		6.0	102	2.5	2	4
6/17	21.7		61	134	1.3	2	4
7/7	28.7		18	60	1.5	2	4
7/14	28.0		30	105	1.4	2	4
7/27	28.6		38	97	0.7	2	4
8/12	23.5		23	125	0.6	2	4
8/25	23.5		24	77	0.7	3	4
9/13	19.7		8.7	154	1.6	3	4
9/23	14.3		23	153	1.5	3	4
10/14	10.1		8.3	34	2.1	2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

В

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

C

C

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
	1					1	1			1	ı	1
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	С	D	D	С	D	D	D	D	С
CLA			В	A	В	С	В	С	С	С	В	С
Secchi			С	В	С	С	В	С	С	С	С	В
Lake Grade			C	В	C	C	В	C	C	C	C	C
	1	1				T			T		T	
Year	:	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			С	D	D	I	)	D	D	D	D	

D

D

D

В

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

В

C

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

CLA

Secchi

Lake Grade

#### Lac Lavon Lake (19-0446) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Wally Shaver

Lac Lavon is located within the City of Apple Valley (Dakota County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). The lake is an abandoned gravel pit maintained by groundwater (MDNR 1996). The lake has been designated by the Minnesota DNR as being infested with the aquatic plants Eurasian Water Milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) and Brittle Naiad(*Najas minor*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	21	10	48	A
CLA (µg/l)	3.6	2.0	7.0	A
Secchi (m)	3.9	2.4	5.0	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.69	0.44	1.00	
			Lake Grade	A

The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012, which is consistent with its overall historical water quality database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

### Lac Lavon Apple Valley/Burnsville, Dakota Co. Sampling site Contours in meters Lake ID: 190446-00 100 200


# Meters

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	12.2		5.9	103	2.6	1	1
5/12	20.0		2.1	21	5.0	1	1
5/20	19.4		3.6	48	4.0	1	1
6/3	23.1		2.0	45	4.3	1	1
6/17	22.2		2.6	13	4.8	1	1
7/1	29.0		2.7	18	4.5	1	1
7/16	27.4		4.1	14	3.6	1	1
7/28	27.1		3.1	18	3.8	1	1
8/12	24.3		3.5	10	3.0	1	1
8/26	24.4		4.8	13	3.7	1	1
9/9	23.1		4.6	17	3.4	1	1
9/23	17.0		7.0	17	2.4	1	1
10/7	11.1		11	14	2.3	1	1
10/21	11.2		14	15	2.5	1	1





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	e	A	A	A	A	H	3	A	A	В	A	
Secchi		A	A	A	A	A	1	A	A	Α	A	
CLA		A	A	A	Α	A	1	A	A	A	A	
TP		A	A	A	A	(		A	A	С	A	
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade						A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Secchi						A	A	A	A	A	A	A
CLA						A	A	A	A	A	A	A
TP						A	A	A	A	В	A	A
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi										A	A	A
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

#### Langton Lake [North Basin Site-1] (62–0049–01) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Tam McGehee, Dick McGehee

Langton Lake is divided into two basins. This report discusses the monitoring results for Site 1. The entire 30-acre lake is located within the City of Roseville (Ramsey County). The maximum depth of the lake is 1.5 m (4.9 ft). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	49	22	89	С
CLA (µg/l)	7.4	3.7	14	A
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	1.21	0.88	1.60	
			Lake Grade	


Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because either the disk was visible on the lake bottom or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There were insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. The TP and CLA grades received in 2012 were consistent with grades received in some previous years. TP grades typically are Cs, whereas CLA grades have varied from As to Cs. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	18.3		4.5	43			
5/27	20.5		3.7	57	1.4		
6/10	26.6		13	89			
6/28	26.3		14	43			
7/17	28.1		4.1	66			
7/30	30.2		7.0	36			
8/12	22.3		6.0	46			
8/25	22.7		7.5	22		5	
9/9	24.5		6.0	54			
9/23	16.0		7.9	38			
10/7	9.2		6.4	40			
10/21	11.4		13	56			






1 = Crystal Clear

4 = High Algal Color

2 = Some Algae Present

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

3 = Definite Algal Presence



1 = Beautiful

4 = No Swimming; Boating OK

2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

5 = No Aesthetics Possible

3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	0 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			С	С	С	(	2	С	С	С	С	
CLA			С	В	A	(	2	A	С	В	A	
Secchi			D	D	D	Ι	)	D	D	D		
Lake Grade	e		C	С	C	(	7	С	С	C		

#### Lee Lake (19–0029) City of Lakeville

Volunteer: City of Lakeville staff

Lee Lake is located in Lakeville (Dakota County). The lake has a surface area of 25 acres with a maximum depth of 5.2 m (17 ft). The lake is landlocked with no natural outlet. Curlyleaf pond weed has been a continuing problem in the lake (McComas and Stuckert 2008). Not only is it an aesthetic and recreational problem, but the decaying of these plants in late-summer contributes to algal blooms.

Barley straw has been added to this lake in the past to study the potential inhibition of algal populations within the lake (McComas and Stuckert 2009a).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	45	29	100	С
CLA (µg/l)	12	5.3	27	В
Secchi (m)	1.7	1.1	2.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.01	0.59	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С

The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is similar to water quality conditions last observed in 2008. The summer TP mean appears higher this year compared to 2009 and 2010. The TP grade of C received in 2012 is a return to the type of grades received in years prior to 2009. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine the trend direction, if any, of the varying water quality of this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




# 100 Total Phosphorus (µg/I) 0 4/1 4/21 5/11 5/31 6/20 7/10 7/30 8/19 9/8 9/28 10/18

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.0		6.0	19	2.9	2	1
5/4			5.3	34	2.5	2	2
5/14	21.0		6.1	48	2.2	2	4
5/30	18.0		12	50	1.9	2	2
6/13	25.0		27	38	1.1	3	3
6/28	26.0		15	52	1.5	2	1
7/9	28.0		8.6	44	1.3	3	3
7/26	27.0		14	100	1.5	3	2
8/7	28.0		13	29	1.4	3	4
8/20	24.0		9.0	29	1.9	3	3
9/7	23.0		17	36	1.5	3	3
9/20	17.0		9.7	37	2.1	1	1
10/1	17.0		9.5	52	2.3	1	1



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

В

A

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

#### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	С	С	С				D	С	С	С
CLA			С	В	В	В				С	В	В	С
Secchi			С	С	С	С				D	С	С	С
Lake Grade			С	C	С	C				D	C	C	C
		•	•				•	'		•			
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	2	008		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	D	D	С		С		A	В	С	С	
CLA		С	В	В	С		В		A	A	A	В	
Secchi		D	С	С	С		С		A	A	В	С	

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

A

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Lake Grade

#### LeMay Lake (19–0082) City of Mendota Heights

Volunteer: City of Mendota Heights staff

LeMay Lake is located in the City of Mendota Heights. It has a surface area of 34 acres and an average depth of 1.6 m (5.1 ft). The maximum depth is 4.0 m (13 ft). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	60	22	121	С
CLA (µg/l)	3.8	2.6	4.6	A
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	1.14	0.82	1.60	
			Lake Grade	


Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because either the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There were insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/21	21.4		3.5	121		2	4
6/1	23.3		4.6	87		3	4
6/19	24.6		4.2	42		3	4
7/10			3.9	22		5	5
8/7	27.3		2.6	28		5	5





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi							F					
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP					С	I	3	С	В	С	С	
CLA					В	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Secchi					D	(	C	С	С	С		
Lake Grade					С	1	2	В	В	В		

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# LeVander Pond (19–0088) City of South St. Paul

Volunteer: City of South St. Paul staff

LeVander pond is located in the City of St. Paul. There is no known morphological information for the pond. A search through the MPCA's EDA system provided no historical information for the pond.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	225	119	343	
CLA (µg/l)	11	4.3	21	
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	1.67	0.61	3.20	
			Lake Grade	


There were insufficient quantity of data to calculate grades for 2012. Continued monitoring is suggested to continue to build the historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/16	21.1		6.2	343		3	4
6/18	25.0		4.3	119		1	4
7/20	23.3		21	214		1	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP									F	F		
CLA									D	D		
Secchi									F	F		
Lake Grade									F	F		

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Lily Lake (82–0023) City of Stillwater

Volunteer: Katie Wigen

Lily Lake is located in the City of Stillwater in Washington County. The lake has a surface area of 52 acres, and a maximum depth of 17.4 m (57 feet). It has public access located on the lake's northern shore, and a fishing pier on its southern shore.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	55	23	178	С
CLA (µg/l)	13	1.8	33	В
Secchi (m)	1.9	1.0	3.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.08	0.56	1.40	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is similar to the lake grades it has received in previous years. On the basis of the historical water quality database, the lake appears represented by a lake grade of C. However, there appears to be more variation in the historical CLA and water clarity grades. But the historical TP grades have been a constant C grade for the past 16 years.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/18	20.1		5.7	28	2.5	2	2
5/31	20.9		4.8	178	3.0	2	2
6/18	21.9		13	27	2.0	2	2
6/26	23.4		13	87	2.0	3	2
7/10	28.7		2.4	23	2.0	3	2
7/26			1.8	42	2.3	3	2
8/7			8.2	43	1.0	3	2
8/23	22.6		33	46	1.0	3	2
9/6	24.4		27	24	1.2	3	3
9/18	18.2		20	56	1.6	2	2
10/2	16.8		15	24	1.6	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

## Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi						D			С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	08	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				С	С	C	C		С	С	С	С	С
CLA				В	С	В	С		С	С	A	В	В
Secchi	В			A	В	C	С		С	С	В	С	С
Lake Grade				В	C	C	C		C	С	В	C	C
					1	1			1		1	1	i
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	С	(	C		В	В		С	
CLA		В	В	С	С	(	C		A	В		В	
Secchi		С	С	С	С	(	C		В	С		С	

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

В

В

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

Lake Grade

# Little Carnelian Lake (82–0014) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Katie Wigen

Little Carnelian Lake is located in Stillwater Township (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its exceptional water clarity. The lake has a surface area of 162 acres, and has a shoreline length of 1.7 miles. It has a mean and maximum depth of 10.7 m (35 feet) and 21.3 m (70 feet), respectively. The mean depth of the lake and its surface area translate to an approximate lake volume of 5,686 ac-ft. The lake's watershed has an area of 565 acres which translates to a watershed-to-lake area ratio of 3.5:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)				
CLA (µg/l)				
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)				
			Lake Grade	


The lake was sampled just one time via the CAMP. Therefore no grades can be issued for 2012.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/16	19.7		2.9	12	8.0	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												A
CLA												A
Secchi												A
Lake Grade												A
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP	A				A	A			A	В	A	A
CLA	A				A	A			A	A	Α	A
Secchi	A	A	A	Α	A	A	A		A	A	Α	A
Lake Grade	A				A	A			A	A	A	A
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	A	A							
CLA		A	A	A	A							
Secchi		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A			
Lake Grade	e	A	A	A	A							

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Little Comfort Lake (13–0054) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Steve Schreiber

Little Comfort Lake is located near the City of Wyoming (Chisago County). The lake has a maximum depth of 17.0 m (56 feet).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	55	28	85	С
CLA (µg/l)	15	3.1	38	В
Secchi (m)	1.5	1.0	2.2	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.18	0.81	1.60	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012 which is consistent with its varying historical water quality database. Additional annual monitoring is recommended to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/5	19.0		3.1	28	2.1	2	2
5/16	21.0		6.4		1.9	2	2
6/3	25.0		16	61	1.0	3	4
6/14	25.0		17	45	1.1	3	4
7/4	29.0		22	43	1.0	3	3
7/15	28.0		38	45	1.1	3	3
7/27	27.0		29	85	1.1	3	3
8/10	26.0		9.1	52	1.5	2	3
8/24	25.0		9.1	75	1.9	2	3
9/13	20.0		5.6	51	2.0	2	2
9/27	16.2		8.1	63	2.2	2	







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

## Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	)	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 198	7 1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
	ı			1	1			I	1	1	1	1	ı
Year	1992	2	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				C									
CLA				С									
Secchi				С									
Lake Grade				C									
						1							
Year		20	04	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP					D	С	(	C	A	В	С	C	
CLA					С	A	I	3	A	В	В	В	
Secchi					С	С	(	C	С	С	С	С	
										1			

В

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Lake Grade

# Little Johanna Lake (62–0058) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Fred Fox

Little Johanna Lake is located on the boundary between the cities of Arden Hills and Roseville (Ramsey County). The lake has a surface area of 18 acres and a maximum depth of 12.0 m (39 feet).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	64	42	95	С
CLA (µg/l)	11	3.0	23	В
Secchi (m)	1.6	1.0	2.2	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.86	0.62	1.10	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The lake appears well represented by a lake grade of C. Continued monitoring is recommended to increase the power for determining trends, and to continue to build the water quality database for this


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	12.9		19	54	1.4	4	3
5/5	17.7		3.4	56	1.7	3	2
5/19	21.7		6.3	49	2.2	2	2
6/3	22.1		3.0	95	2.1	2	2
6/18	25.2		12	79	1.4	2	2
7/1	30.2		8.2	49	1.1	4	3
7/15	29.4		15	57	1.2	4	3
7/28	27.7		11	67	1.0	4	4
8/11	26.3		22	69		2	4
8/26	24.1		23	42	1.4	3	3
9/5	26.2		6.6	71	1.7	3	3
9/23	15.8		9.9	72	1.8		2
10/7	10.0		3.4	25	2.3	1	2
10/20	11.0		2.6	40	3.5	1	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

C

D

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

C

C

В

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

## Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

С

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

C

C

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 198	7 1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 199	9 2000	2001	2002	2003
TP										С	D	D
CLA										С	С	С
Secchi										С	С	С
Lake Grade										C	C	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	2	800	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	D			С	С	С	С	C	

В

C

C

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

В

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

CLA

Secchi

Lake Grade

# Lochness Lake (2–0585) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Jim and Tricia Hafner

Lochness Lake is located in the City of Blaine (Anoka County). It has a surface area of 5.3 acres. There are few known morphological data available for the lake other than it has a maximum depth of 4.9 m (16ft). Because of the shallowness of the lake, the entire area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Also the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	75	23	242	D
CLA (µg/l)	21	1.4	42	С
Secchi (m)	1.6	1.0	2.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.41	1.10	1.70	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. Water quality with respect to TP and CLA seems to indicated the worse annual water quality since CAMP monitoring began in 2007. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine the trend direction, if any, of the varying water quality of this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/26	15.6		13	41	1.6	2	4
5/10	16.6			41	1.5	2	4
5/31	19.1		14	121	1.5	2	4
6/15	20.1		17	242	1.9	3	4
6/29	25.5		42	33	2.0	3	4
7/12	27.3		13	27	1.9	4	4
7/26	27.0		1.4	31	1.4	4	4
8/9	24.2		16	46	1.0	4	4
8/23	22.2		41	27	1.7	3	4
9/6	23.7		11	23	1.7	3	4
9/20	16.5		31	155	1.4	2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP					A	I	3	С	В	С	D	
CLA					Α	A	١	В	A	В	С	
Secchi					В	I	3	С	В	С	С	
Lake Grade					A	1	≀	С	В	С	С	

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Long Lake [Apple Valley] (19–0022) City of Apple Valley

Volunteer: Christy McGlocklin, Jake McGlocklin, Ann Reinecke

Long Lake, which has a surface area of roughly 36 acres, is located within the City of Apple Valley (Dakota County). The maximum depth of the lake is approximately 1.5 m (5 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	177	77	389	F
CLA (µg/l)	112	14	370	F
Secchi (m)	0.3	0.1	0.7	F
TKN (mg/l)	3.02	0.84	6.70	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is similar to those recorded in the past decade.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	16.9		15	166	0.3	2	
5/22	24.0		23	125	0.4	3	4
6/9	26.6		14	77	0.7	3	5
6/17	22.9		51	135	0.4	4	5
6/26	25.0		40	89	0.4	3	5
7/11	29.7		49	88	0.2	4	5
7/17	28.1		96	149	0.2		5
8/5	27.5		180	265	0.2	2	
8/19	25.3		98	218	0.2	2	5
9/9	23.3		370	250	0.2	4	5
9/22	13.3		290	389	0.1	4	
10/3	19.3		160	390	0.1		
10/17	11.2		180	393	1.0	2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

F

F

## Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	198	80	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36 1	987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP														
CLA														
Secchi														
Lake Grade														
	1													
Year	199	92	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	19	999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP							D						F	F
CLA							D						F	F
Secchi							F						F	F
Lake Grade							D						F	F
						1	ī					1	ī	
Year		20	004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2009		2010	2011	201	2
TP			F	F	F	F		F	F		F	F	F	
CLA			F	F	F	F		F	F		D	F	F	
Secchi			F	F	F	F		F	F		F	F	F	

F

F

F

F

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

F

F

F

Lake Grade

# Long Lake [Site 1, North Basin] [Stillwater] (82–0021) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Long Lake is located on the western boundary of the City of Stillwater (Washington County). It has a surface area of 96 acres, and its maximum depth is 6.7 m (22 feet). Approximately 95 percent of the surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Parameter Mean		Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	66	44	83	С
CLA (µg/l)	22	1.9	40	С
Secchi (m)	1.5	0.9	3.2	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.35	1.10	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С

The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The lake has experienced varying lake grades from D to B since 2004. Prior to 2004 the lake grades were constant Ds and Fs. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if the improved water quality that started around 2004 is sustained into the future.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or <a href="mailto:brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us">brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	12.0	9.4	7.5	80	2.7	3	2
5/1	14.0	9.5	6.5	83	2.3	2	2
5/14	20.0	8.5	7.0	52	3.2	2	2
5/30	20.3	8.6	17	68	1.5	2	3
6/13	22.8	7.7	20	65	1.4	3	4
6/25	26.2	9.5	35		0.9	3	3
7/9	28.8	9.5	38	80	1.2	3	3
7/26	27.4	8.0	27	79	1.2	3	3
8/6	25.7	6.8	40	51	0.9	3	3
8/23	23.2	7.8	18	72	1.2	3	3
9/4	25.5	8.2	1.9	44	1.7	3	3
9/18	18.6	7.1	27	66	1.2	3	3
10/1	15.8	8.0	18	70	1.7	2	2
10/15	11.0	7.2	8.5	77	2.1	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi									F		D		F
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				D	D		D	)	D	F	D	D	D
CLA				D	D		F		F	F	F	D	D
Secchi	F	F	F	F	D		F		F	F	F	F	F
Lake Grade				D	D		F	'	F	F	F	D	D
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	2	800		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	D	D	С		С		С	С	С	C	
CLA		С	D	С	С		В		В	В	С	С	

D

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

В

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

D

D

D

D

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Secchi

Lake Grade

# Long Lake [Site 2, Middle Basin] [Stillwater] (82–0021) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Long Lake is located on the western boundary of the City of Stillwater (Washington County). It has a surface area of 96 acres, and its maximum depth is 6.7 m (22 feet). Approximately 95 percent of the surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Parameter Mean		Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	71	42	91	
CLA (µg/l)	33	5.5	68	
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	1.23	1.10	1.40	
			Lake Grade	

There was an insufficient quantity of data to calculate grades for this monitoring site in 2012. There were 4 monitoring events in 2014; at least 5 monitoring events during the summer-time period (May –September) are needed to calculate grades.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or <a href="mailto:brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us">brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
6/25	26.2	11.3	68		0.6	3	4
7/26	26.0	7.5	30	91		3	4
8/23	22.0	12.0	30	80	0.9	3	4
9/18	17.3	9.2	5.5	42		2	3







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	200	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade	2											

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Long Lake [Site 3, South Basin] [Stillwater] (82–0021) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Long Lake is located on the western boundary of the City of Stillwater (Washington County). It has a surface area of 96 acres, and its maximum depth is 6.7 m (22 feet). Approximately 95 percent of the surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Parameter Mean		Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	79	73	85	
CLA (µg/l)	29	24	31	
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	0.94	0.85	1.00	
			Lake Grade	

There was an insufficient quantity of data to calculate grades for this monitoring site in 2012. There were 4 monitoring events in 2012; at least 5 monitoring events during the summer-time period (May –September) are needed to calculate grades.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


If you notice any errors in the lake's data or physical information, or are aware of any additional or missing information, please contact Brian Johnson of the Metropolitan Council at (651) 602-8743 or <a href="mailto:brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us">brian.johnson@metc.state.mn.us</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
6/25	25.3	7.3	31		1.1	2	3
7/26	25.5	5.2	29	79		2	4
8/23	21.8	11.1	30	85		2	4
9/18	16.5	8.1	24	73		2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

1		ı	T	1			1	ı	1	1		I
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade	2											

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Long Lake [Pine Springs] (82–0118) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Bill Feely

Long Lake is located in Pine Springs Township (Washington County). It has a surface area of 62 acres. The mean and maximum depths of the lake are 3.6 m (12 feet) and 10.4 m (34 feet), respectively. The lake's surface area and watershed area of 2,060 acres translates to a 33:1 watershed-to-lake area ratio. Generally the larger the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	30	9	131	В
CLA (µg/l)	4.6	2.5	7.2	A
Secchi (m)	2.8	1.6	3.7	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.65	0.49	0.93	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is similar to grades received in 2007 and 2008, but an indication of reduced open water quality compared to the year 2009. The good water quality year of 2009 follows an alum treatment that occurred in 2008. To better understand the quality of the lake and what direction it may be heading, continued monitoring is suggested.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.5		2.1	18	5.2	1	1
4/30	13.9		2.1	12	4.5	1	1
5/14	19.0		6.8	14	1.6	2	1
5/30	19.8		5.3	52	1.7		
6/12	23.3		4.7	29	3.7	2	1
6/25	24.0		4.1	13	2.5	2	1
7/9	28.7		3.2	9	3.3	1	1
7/23	30.0		4.2	14	2.6	2	1
8/6	27.7		3.3	11	3.1	1	1
8/20	23.4		2.5	14	3.4	1	1
9/3	25.0		4.5	11	3.1	1	1
9/19	19.2		7.2	131	2.7	1	1
10/1	17.0		4.0	26	3.0	1	1
10/15	12.4	·	2.1	10	3.4	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	9	C	C	C	В		В		A		В	В	
Secchi		С	C	С	В		В		A		В	В	
CLA		В	В	C	A		A		A	·	A	A	
TP		С	C	С	В		В		A		В	В	
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade		В											В
Secchi		C											В
CLA		В											A
TP		В											В
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade					C								
Secchi					С								
CLA					В								
TP					C								
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Long Lake [Mahtomedi] (82–0130) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Kitty Francy-Payton

Long Lake is located within the City of Mahtomedi (Washington County). It has a surface area of 48 acres and a maximum depth of 7.7 m (25 feet). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	31	18	50	В
CLA (µg/l)	22	2.1	81	С
Secchi (m)	2.1	0.5	3.8	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.81	0.54	1.30	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is the first C lake grade received by this lake in the 10 years of CAMP monitoring. The lake grades for the past 9 years have varied between B's and A's. Additional years of monitoring are suggested to continue to build the water quality database so as to increase statistical power in determining potential water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/14	20.5		2.1	18	3.8	2	2
6/1	19.8		3.0	49	2.9	2	2
6/17	22.1		4.9	27	2.4	2	2
7/11	28.6		6.5	22	2.1	2	3
8/7	25.8		38	24	1.1	3	3
8/21	26.1		81	50	0.5	4	4
9/5	24.0		15	26	1.8	2	2
10/3	15.0		3.8	27	3.0	1	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

В

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

# Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP	1772	1773	1774	1775	1770	1,,,,	177	0 1777	2000	2001	2002	В
CLA												A
Secchi												В
Lake Grade												В
				1					1	1		
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	С	В	С	Α	1	A	A	В	В	
CLA		A	A	A	A	Α	1	A	A	A	С	
Secchi		В	В	В	В	F	3	A	A	В	С	
					•				1	•		

В

A

A

A

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

В

В

A

Lake Grade

# Loon Lake (82-0015-02) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Peter Riehle

Loon Lake is located in Stillwater Township (Washington County). The surface area of the lake is 64 acres. It has a mean and maximum depth of 2.4 m (eight feet) and 4.9 m (16 feet), respectively. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	68	51	102	
CLA (µg/l)	34	16	53	
Secchi (m)	0.7	0.5	1.2	
TKN (mg/l)	1.75	1.40	2.00	
			Lake Grade	


There was an insufficient quantity of data collected in 2012 to calculate grades. The summer-time means for TP, CLA, and Secchi depth indicate that the water quality for this lake remain poor.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/28	18.4		30	56	1.5	2	2
5/19	13.8		16	61	1.2	2	3
6/19	27.1		41	102	0.5	3	3
7/15	29.4		53	56	0.5	4	4
8/24	26.5		27	51	0.6	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
	1		1						1	1		1
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					F	F	F	F	D	D	D	D
CLA					D	D	D	D	D	D	D	F
Secchi					F	F	F	F	D	D	F	F
Lake Grade					F	F	F	F	D	D	D	F
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	D	F	D	I	D	F	D			
CLA		F	F	F	F	]	F	F	F			
Secchi		F	F	F	F	]	F	F	F			
					-				+	+		

F

F

F

F

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

F

F

F

Lake Grade

# Lotus Lake (10-0006) City of Chanhassen

Volunteer: Laurie Susla

Lotus Lake is located within the City of Chanhassen (Carver County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). It has a surface area of 246 acres. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (Myriophyllumspicatum).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)				
CLA (µg/l)				
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)				
			Lake Grade	


There was an insufficient quantity of data collected in 2012 to calculate grades.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
9/8	22.8		65	100	0.6	4	3
9/28	17.8		32	78	0.8	3	3







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP						С						
CLA						C					C	
Secchi	D					С			D	С	С	С
Lake Grade						C						

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP								С	С			D
CLA								C	C			C
Secchi								C	C			D
Lake Grade								C	C			D

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С	
CLA	С	С	С	С	С	В	C	С	
Secchi	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	
Lake Grade	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	

# Lucy Lake (10–0006) City of Chanhassen

Volunteer: Tim and Sharon McCotter

Lucy Lake is located within the City of Chanhassen (Carver County). It has a surface area of 87 acres and a maximum depth of 6.4 m (21 ft). Ninety nine percent of the lake's surface area is considered a littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. The lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the lake's water column. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil(*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	82	34	180	D
CLA (µg/l)	22	1.9	53	С
Secchi (m)	1.4	0.5	3.8	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.50	0.78	2.00	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	15.7		1.7	61	5.0	1	1
5/6	17.1		3.7	34	3.8	2	1
5/19	22.8		2.8	180	2.5	2	1
6/3	22.2		1.9	159	2.5	2	1
6/17	22.7		22	73	1.3	3	2
6/30	29.5		24	54	1.0	2	2
7/15	30.4		22	50	0.9	2	2
7/29	27.5		53	66	0.5	2	2
8/14	25.4		29	54	0.5	2	2
8/26	25.6		29	67	0.7	2	2
9/9	22.5		29	85	0.7	2	2
10/21	10.9	_	6.4	25	1.8	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

# Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1	987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP						С							
CLA						С							
Secchi						С						С	С
Lake Grade						C							
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1	999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi	С	С	С	С	С	С	D		С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade													
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009		2010	2011	201	2
TP								С		С	С	D	
CLA								С		С	В	С	
Secchi		D	D	С	С	T	)	С		С	С	С	

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

Lake Grade

# Lynch Lake [Site 1, North Basin] (82–0042) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Lynch Lake is located in Washington County. It has a surface area of 43 acres. The depth of the lake at the north basin site was approximately 0-2 m. There are few known morphological data available for the lake. Note that some previous Annual lake reports (2006-2009) erroneously placed site #1 in the south basin. The monitoring actually took place in the north basin during the 2006-2009 monitoring seasons.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	121	87	201	D
CLA (µg/l)	33	14	67	С
Secchi (m)	0.7	0.6	1.1	D
TKN (mg/l)	2.23	1.90	2.70	
			Lake Grade	D


The north basin received a lake grade of D for 2012, which appears to be an improvement in water quality compared to recent years. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.7	11.2	27	111	0.9	3	4
4/30	12.3	10.3	24	102	0.9	2	3
5/14	19.8	10.0	14	90	0.9	3	4
5/30	18.5	6.8	26	127	0.8	3	3
6/13	21.4	7.6	27	118	0.8	3	4
6/26	23.9	7.3	28		0.6	3	4
7/9	27.4	8.0	38	139	0.6	3	4
7/23	28.0	8.9	50	201	0.6	3	4
8/6		10.0	67	96	0.6	3	4
8/20	21.2	8.9	31	112	0.6	3	4
9/4	24.7	5.1	19	122	0.9	3	4
9/17	17.7	7.0	25	87	1.1	2	3
10/1	16.3	7.9	15	117		3	3
10/18	11.1	9.4	12	83		2	3







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP					·							
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				F	F	I	7	F	F	F	D	
CLA				F	F	I	7	F	F	D	С	
Secchi				F	F	I	7	F	F	F	D	
Lake Grade	e			F	F	1	7	F	F	F	D	

# Lynch Lake [Site 2, South Basin] (82–0042) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Lynch Lake is located in Washington County. It has a surface area of 43 acres. The depth of the lake at the south site was approximately 5 to 6 m. There are little known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	100	55	200	D
CLA (µg/l)	92	25	180	F
Secchi (m)	0.8	0.6	1.2	D
TKN (mg/l)	2.20	1.50	5.70	
			Lake Grade	D


The south site received a lake grade of D for 2012. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.5	12.0	220	83	0.9	3	3
4/30	13.0	10.8	190	80	0.9	2	2
5/15	22.0	9.9	180	90	0.8	3	3
5/30	21.2	8.6	160	94	0.6	3	2
6/14	19.9	5.7	160	200	0.6	2	4
6/26	23.6	7.7	180		0.6	3	4
7/10	28.3	7.8	38	69	0.8	3	4
7/24	27.8	5.9	25	62	0.9	3	3
8/7	26.6	7.9	29	55	1.2	3	3
8/23	21.8	7.1	48	145	0.9	3	3
9/6	23.6	5.7	48	77	0.9	3	3
9/18	17.5	7.8	51	107	0.8	3	3
10/2	16.2	10.0	43	95	1.1	3	3
10/18	10.3	10.3	130	70	1.2	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	e l								F	D	D	
Secchi									F	D	D	
CLA									F	D	F	
TP									D	D	D	
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Magda Lake (27–0065) Shingle Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Carolyn Dindorf

Magda Lake is located in the City of Brooklyn Park (Hennepin County). Little morphological data are available for the lake.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	120	71	182	D
CLA (µg/l)	79	41	110	F
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.3	0.6	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.23	1.80	2.70	
			Lake Grade	F


Teh lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

# Lake Magda Brooklyn Park, Hennepin Co. Lake ID: 270065-00 • Sampling site Contours in meters Bathymetry Unknown 200 50 150 100 Meters


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	13.0		110	111	0.4	2	4
5/3	23.1		82	114	0.3	2	4
5/20	20.3		62	176	0.6	2	4
6/3	21.4		41	71	0.4	4	4
6/17	22.0		60	82	0.6	4	4
6/27	23.7			119	0.5	4	4
7/15	30.6		66	82	0.5	4	4
7/28	26.8		110	119	0.4	4	4
8/8	25.4		99	128	0.4	4	4
8/25	23.4		94	126	0.4	4	4
9/21	14.0		97	182	0.4	4	4
10/4	14.3		100	197	0.4	4	4
10/21	11.0		100	168	0.4	3	4







<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>4 =</sup> High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

1	1	ı	1	т т	1		1			T .	1		
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									D	D			F
CLA									D	С			F
Secchi									F	F			F
Lake Grade									D	D			F
Year	2	.004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				F				]	D			D	
CLA				F				]	D			F	
Secchi				F				]	F			F	
Lake Grade	e			F				]	D			F	

# Marcott (Ohmans) Lake [east basin] (19–0042) City of Inver Grove Heights

Volunteer: Dakota Soil & Water Conservation District staff

Marcott Lake, also known as Ohmans Lake, is a part of several nearby lakes called Marcott Lakes. The lake has a surface area of about 34 acres, and a maximum depth of about 10 meters in the east basin. The west basin is shallower and dominated by aquatic vegetation. The year 2012 was the first year the lake has been part of the Metropolitan Council's lake monitoring program.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	14	9	21	A
CLA (µg/l)	4.8	2.6	6.9	A
Secchi (m)	3.4	1.8	4.8	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.72	0.63	0.85	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake water quality is very good in the east basin, as indicated by the A lake grade. No historical water quality data were located in the MPCA's EQuIS database. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	11.5		2.7	20	5.3	1	1
5/15	19.8		4.4	13	2.8	1	1
6/12	22.8		3.3	9	4.8	1	1
7/10	28.1		2.6	10	4.4	1	1
8/9	25.9		6.7	21	1.8	1	1
9/14	20.4		6.9	19	3.3	1	1
10/2	15.6		6.1	13	4.0	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP											A	
CLA											A	
Secchi											A	
Lake Grade	e										A	

# Marcott (Ohmans) Lake [west basin] (19–0042) City of Inver Grove Heights

Volunteer: Dakota Soil & Water Conservation District staff

Marcott Lake, also known as Ohmans Lake, is a part of several nearby lakes called Marcott Lakes. The lake has a surface area of about 34 acres, and a maximum depth of about 10 meters in the east basin. The west basin is shallower and dominated by aquatic vegetation. The year 2012 was the first year the lake has been part of the Metropolitan Council's lake monitoring program.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	28	18	57	В
CLA (µg/l)	10	4.1	27	В
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	1.32	1.20	1.40	
			Lake Grade	

The lake water quality is good in the west basin, as indicated by the B grades for TP and CLA. Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because either the disk was visible on the lake bottom or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There was an insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated.


No historical water quality data were located in the MPCA's EQuIS database. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	11.8		1.4	22		1	1
5/15	20.9		5.7	25	2.1	1	1
6/12	22.2		8.9	23		2	3
7/10	26.7		27	57		2	5
8/9	23.3		4.1	18		3	5
9/14	18.5		5.4	18		2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP											В	
CLA											В	
Secchi												
Lake Grade	e											

# Marcott (Rosenberg) Lake (19-0041) City of Inver Grove Heights

Volunteer: Dakota Soil & Water Conservation District staff

Marcott Lake, also known as Rosenberg Lake, is a part of several nearby lakes called Marcott Lakes. The lake has a surface area of about 19 acres. About 95 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 — 15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	42	19	92	С
CLA (µg/l)	6.1	5.2	7.2	A
Secchi (m)	2.3	1.6	3.2	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.88	0.68	1.00	
			Lake Grade	В

The lake received a B for 2012, which is lower than the A grades received in the 1990s. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database after a decade of no monitoring.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

### Marcott Lake, Basin 2 Inver Grove Heights, Dakota Co.


Lake ID: 190041-00

Sampling site
 Contours in meters







Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	12.1		5.8	25	2.0	2	2
5/15	20.4		6.6	19	1.9	1	1
6/12	23.3		6.3	19	2.0	2	2
7/10	28.7		7.2	48	1.6	1	2
8/9	24.7		5.2	34	2.7	1	2
9/14	19.5		5.4	92	3.2	1	2
10/2	15.0		3.7	14	3.6	1	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		A		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	В	
CLA		A		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Secchi		В		A	В	В	В	A	В	A	С	
Lake Grade		A		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	В	
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP											С	
CLA											A	
Secchi											В	
Lake Grade	e										В	

# Marion Lake (19–0026) City of Lakeville

Volunteer: Wally Potter

Marion Lake is located in the City of Lakeville (Dakota County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). It has a surface area of approximately 560 acres, and has a maximum depth of 6.4 m (21 feet). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*). The lake gets heavy use by area fishermen and other lake users during the winter and summer months.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	28	10	49	В
CLA (µg/l)	14	1.1	36	В
Secchi (m)	2.0	1.0	3.1	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.91	0.57	1.20	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012. On the basis of the historical water quality database, the surface water quality of the lake has varied from Bs to a D, with Cs being most common. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	12.0		4.1	17	2.0	2	1
5/2	16.0		3.9	10	2.0	2	1
5/16	19.7		1.1	14	3.1	1	1
6/1	18.7		4.7	16	2.8	2	1
6/17	21.6		4.8	14	2.5	2	1
6/28	25.3		4.6	19	2.3	2	1
7/12	28.2		3.6	28	2.8	2	1
7/26	27.0		22	35	1.5	2	1
8/10	25.4		36	48	1.0	3	1
8/26	23.6		28	45	1.5	3	1
9/7			24	49	1.5	1	1
9/23	23.0		24	30	1.5	2	2
10/7	11.0		23	32	1.3	1	1
10/18	10.1		22	43	1.8	1	1







0 └ 4/1

9/8 4 = High Algal Color


10/18

- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	С		С				С		С		
CLA	С	D		C				C		С		
Secchi	С	D		В				C		C	C	C
Lake Grade	C	D		C				C		C		

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			В					В	В	В	С	В
CLA			A					В	A	В	В	C
Secchi			В					С	В	В	С	С
Lake Grade			В					В	В	В	C	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	В
CLA	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	В
Secchi	С	С	С	С	В	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	В	В

# Markgrafs Lake (82–0089) City of Woodbury

Volunteer: City of Woodbury staff

Markgrafs Lake is located within the City of Woodbury (Washington County). It has a surface area of approximately 46 acres, and a maximum depth of 2.4 m (8 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake has a piped outlet on the southern end. Downstream from the outlet is a valve that can direct the overflow to either Powers or Wilmes lakes. The lake is used by the MDNR Fisheries as a rearing pond for walleyes.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	153	112	204	F
CLA (µg/l)	80	50	120	F
Secchi (m)	0.3	0.3	0.4	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.51	1.90	3.50	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012. Over the past decade, the lake grades have varied back and forth in the D to F range.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	10.9		75	178	0.4	4	4
5/4	17.0		55	166	0.3	4	4
6/1	18.3		50	121	0.4	4	4
6/15	20.0		81	171	0.4	4	4
6/29	26.9		59	112	0.4	4	4
7/26	26.3		93	114	0.3	4	4
8/10	22.8		96	183	0.4	4	4
8/24	21.7		120	154	0.3	4	4
9/21	14.8		87	204	0.3	3	4
10/3	14.8		36	91	0.5	4	4
10/19	9.6		29	136	0.4	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 19	987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 19	999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			D	C	D	D	F	]	D	D	F	F	D
CLA			С	В	В	С	F	(	С	С	С	С	С
Secchi			D	С	С	D	F	]	D	С	D	F	D
Lake Grade			D	C	C	D	F	]	D	C	D	D	D
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009		2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	D	F	D	I	)	F		F	D	F	
CLA		D	С	D	D	I	)	F		F	D	F	

F

D

F

D

F

F

F

F

F

D

F

F

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

F

D

F

D

F

F

Secchi

Lake Grade

# Masterman Lake (82–0126) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Masterman Lake is located in Grant Township (Washington County). It has a surface area of 45 acres. There is very little known morphological data available for the lake.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	62	29	154	С	
CLA (µg/l)	18	5.1	41	В	
Secchi (m)	1.5	1.5	1.5		
TKN (mg/l)	1.05	0.73	1.30		
			Lake Grade		


Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There was an insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. The 2012 TP and CLA grades re consistent with the lakes historical water quality database. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database so as to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

# **Masterman Lake** Grant, Washington Co. Bathymetry Unknown Lake ID: 820126-00 Wetland Sampling site Contours in meters N


# 300 Meters

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.5	9.7	3.5	29		1	2
5/1	14.0	10.1	5.1	29		2	2
5/15	21.0	8.9	7.0	43		2	3
5/30	20.8	7.0	16	68		2	2
6/13	23.2	8.6	12	154		2	4
6/25	24.8	7.9	13			2	2
7/10	28.5	7.3	20	35	1.5	3	3
7/25	27.4	6.1	41	58	1.5	3	3
8/7	26.6	7.4	19	35		2	3
8/23	22.5	7.5	27	74	1.5	2	2
9/6	24.0	4.8	13	69	1.5	2	2
9/17	19.8	7.1	25	55		2	2
10/2	15.2	9.1	21	49		2	2
10/15	10.2	8.9	6.5	21		1	1





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				С	С	(	C	С	С	С	С	
CLA				В	В	F	3	В	С	В	В	
Secchi				С	С	(	C	С	С	С		
Lake Grade	е.			С	С	(	7	С	С	С		

## Mays Lake (82-0033) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Dan Carlson, Warner Nature Center

Mays Lake is located in Mays Township (Washington County). The lake has a surface area of 25 acres, and a maximum depth of 7.6 m (25 ft). Approximately 92 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)				
CLA (µg/l)				
Secchi (m)	6.0	5.3	6.9	A
TKN (mg/l)				
			Lake Grade	


The lake received a Secchi grade of A for 2012. TP, TKN, and CLA were not monitored in 2012.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.9				7.4	1	1
5/18	20.1				6.9	2	2
6/11	25.3				6.6	2	2
7/9	29.5				5.3	2	2
8/6	26.2				5.5	2	2
9/3	24.8				5.7	2	2
10/1	15.5				5.4	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

		1	1	1 1			T		1	1		1
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						F	Α	A	A			
CLA						A	A	A	A			
Secchi						A	A	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	e					A	1	A	A			

## McDonald Lake (10-0010) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

McDonald Lake is a 54-acre land-locked (no outlet) lake located within Baytown Township (Washington County). The mean and maximum depth of the lake is 1.8 m (nearly 6 feet) and 3.7 m (roughly 12 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	87	58	153	D
CLA (µg/l)	28	12	57	С
Secchi (m)	1.7	1.1	2.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.28	1.00	1.50	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The lake's water quality has been typically represented by a lake grade of C, with some variation from year to year.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/9	19.5	8.7	12	58	1.8	2	3
6/5	26.7	8.0	13	90	2.0	2	3
6/20	24.5	7.8	24	62	1.8	2	2
7/19	27.3	6.0	57	90	1.8	2	2
8/15	22.8	1.9	38	153	1.1	2	2
9/9			25	66			
9/12	20.3	4.1			1.5	2	2
10/10	10.1	9.9	32	68	1.4	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP								С		С	С	С
CLA								В		C	C	С
Secchi							С	С	С	C	C	С
Lake Grade								C		C	C	С
				•								
* 7		004	2005	2006	2007	20	00	2000	2010	2011	201	_

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	С	С	С	С	С	С		D	D
CLA	В	В	С	F	С	В		С	С
Secchi	В	С	С	С	С	С		D	С
Lake Grade	В	C	C	D	C	C		D	C

## McKnight Lake (10-0216) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

McKnight Lake is a small lake located in Carver County. There is very little known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	173	77	233	F
CLA (µg/l)	62	15	110	D
Secchi (m)	0.5	0.4	0.9	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.04	1.20	2.60	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.9	10.4	17	175	0.4	3	3
5/2	18.6	10.5	15	77	0.9	3	3
5/15	20.5	10.3	41	88	0.6	3	3
5/29	19.4	6.0	34	158	0.4	3	3
6/13	22.4	8.8	58	133	0.5	4	4
6/26	23.8	12.9			0.5	3	3
7/9	28.9	8.7	95	233		4	4
7/26	29.2	8.2	98	233	0.5	4	4
8/7	27.0	13.7	110	229	0.4	4	3
8/22	23.0	11.5	49	210	0.5	3	3
9/7	23.2		95	214	0.4	4	4
9/24	14.7	6.9	23	157	0.4	4	4
10/3	15.9	7.7	40	150	0.5	3	4
10/15	10.0	15.4	33	107	0.7	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				F		I	7	F	D	F	F	
CLA				D		I	7	F	F	D	D	
Secchi				F		I	7	F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade	е.			F		1	7	F	F	F	F	

# McKusick Lake (82–0020) Middle St. Croix Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Lake McKusick is located in the City of Stillwater (Washington County). The lake has surface area of 46 acres, and a maximum depth of 4.7 m (15 ft). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	58	33	119	С
CLA (µg/l)	7.1	3.7	14	A
Secchi (m)	2.3	1.5	3.0	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.99	0.83	1.20	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with its historical database. The lake grades over the past 18 years have varied in the B to D range. The historical water quality database suggests that the lake has been represented by a lake grade of C or B for the past 10 years. The lake has not received a D lake grade since 1999.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	13.8	10.7	5.0	43	3.5	2	2
5/9	17.1	8.1	7.3	47	1.5	3	3
5/22	19.5	8.5	5.2	49	2.7	2	2
6/5	25.3	9.3	3.9	119	3.0	2	2
6/19	22.1	7.8	6.9	59	3.0	2	2
7/3	28.9	7.5	8.8	56	2.1	2	3
7/18	27.2	6.1	12	51	1.7	3	3
7/31	26.1	4.4	3.7	48	2.1	2	3
8/14	22.2	3.1	8.0	53	2.4	2	3
8/28	22.8	6.8	14	52		2	3
9/10	19.5	5.0	4.0	69	2.4	3	3
9/24	13.7	9.0	4.6	33		3	3
10/10	8.6	11.5	3.0	42	3.2	1	1
10/22	10.7	11.5	1.0	56		4	4







<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	86	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			D	D	D	C	L	)	D	С	С	С	С
CLA			D	С	С	С	Г	)	D	В	В	С	В
Secchi			D	D	D	C	Г	)	D	В	В	D	С
Lake Grade			D	D	D	C	Г	)	D	В	В	C	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	С		С		С	В	С	С	
CLA		A	В	В	В		В		A	A	С	A	
Secchi		В	С	С	С		С		В	В	В	В	
Lake Grade	2	В	C	С	C		C		В	В	С	В	

## McMahon Lake (70-0050) Scott County Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Joe and Diane Williamson

McMahon Lake, also known as Carl's Lake, is located in Spring Lake Township (Scott County). The lake has a surface area of 110 acres and a maximum depth of 4.5 m (14 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	62	26	122	С
CLA (µg/l)	33	4.0	72	С
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.6	3.3	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.47	0.78	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is the fourth year in a row that the lake received a C grade. The lake historically has been characterized as a D lake. But recent monitoring has shown improvements to the C grade on occasion. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if there is an improving trend in the lake's water quality.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/30	14.0		2.1	31	3.0	1	1
5/21	21.0		4.0	26	3.3	1	1
6/9	24.1		16	122	1.8	3	1
7/11	29.0		35	66	0.6	3	2
8/2	28.9		4.7	40	0.8	3	3
8/29	24.5		65	83	0.6	3	2
9/16	20.5		72	59	0.8	3	2
9/29	15.1		36	40	1.1	3	2
10/12	11.0		26	89	1.6		2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	F				D							
CLA	F				D							
Secchi	C				D							
Lake Grade	D				D							
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Year TP	1992	1993	1994	1995 D	1996	1997	1998 D	1999	2000	2001 D	2002	2003
	1992	1993	1994		1996	1997		1999	2000		2002	2003
TP	1992	1993	1994	D	1996	1997	D	1999	2000	D	2002	2003

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP		D	С	С	D	С	С	D	С
CLA		F	D	C	C	С	В	С	C
Secchi		D	D	D	D	D	С	С	C
Lake Grade		D	D	C	D	C	C	C	C

## Medicine Lake [Site 1, Southwest Bay] (27–0104) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: David, Josie, and Karl Nelson

Medicine Lake is located mainly in the City of Plymouth (Hennepin County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 886 acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 14.9 m (49 ft). Approximately 45 percent of the surface area of the lake is littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	44	26	93	С
CLA (µg/l)	25	3.3	51	С
Secchi (m)	1.8	1.0	3.1	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.09	0.77	1.40	
			Lake Grade	С


This lake site received a lake grade of C for 2012. This lake site has received C grades for the individual parameter grades since the early 1980s. Additional monitoring is recommended to continue to build the water quality database for this lake site.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	11.2		8.1	46	3.0	1	1
5/4	18.0		4.6	26	3.1	1	1
5/19	18.9		3.3	29	2.8		1
5/31	18.8		9.9	42	2.5	3	1
6/13	21.3		9.8	44	2.6	2	1
6/27	23.6		14	48	2.0	3	1
7/11	27.7		30	41	1.0	3	1
7/23	28.0		28	93	1.4	3	1
8/11	25.2		51	35	1.0	4	1
8/22	22.8		40	36	1.1	2	1
9/5	24.5		38	36	1.0	3	1
9/21	18.0		49	57	1.1	2	1
10/1	16.4		48	62	1.0	3	
10/16	10.1		39	64	1.3	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	5 198′	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA				С								
Secchi				C								
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С					С				
CLA												
Secchi			С					С				
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				С		(	2		С	С	С	
CLA									С	С	С	
Secchi				С		(	2		С	С	С	
Lake Grade	2								С	С	С	

# Medicine Lake [Site 2, Main Lake] (27–0104) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Ryan Atwell, Richard and Bridget Emery

Medicine Lake is located mainly in the City of Plymouth (Hennepin County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 886 acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 14.9 m (49 ft). Approximately 45 percent of the surface area of the lake is littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	35	13	62	С
CLA (µg/l)	18	2.3	33	В
Secchi (m)	1.8	0.9	4.1	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.92	0.58	1.20	
			Lake Grade	С


This lake site received a lake grade of C for 2012. This lake site has received C grades for the individual parameter grades since the early 1980s. Additional monitoring is recommended to continue to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	13.0		4.1	21	3.2	2	2
5/6	15.4		3.2	13	4.1	2	1
5/19	19.3		2.3	19	3.0	1	1
6/2	20.2		4.2	29	2.3	2	1
6/25	24.1		13	55	1.6	2	1
7/16	27.6		17	32	1.2	4	2
7/27	25.2		25	31	1.0	4	3
8/10	23.3		21	33	0.9	4	2
8/21	23.5		26	62	1.2	3	2
9/6	23.5		32	30	1.1	4	2
9/17	17.8		33	45	1.2	4	3
10/21	13.5		29	56	1.2	2	2







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP		С		С								С	С
CLA		D		С								D	С
Secchi		C		C								С	С
Lake Grade		C		C								C	C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	18	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	С					С				
CLA													
Secchi			С	С					С				
Lake Grade													
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	:	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С		С	С		C		С	С	С	С	
CLA											С	В	
Secchi		С		С	С	(	C		С	С	С	С	
Lake Grade	2										С	C	

## Medina Lake (27–0146) Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Caroline Ampuero

Medina Lake is located in the City of Medina (Hennepin County). It is a shallow lake with little known bathymetric information. It has a surface area of about 28 acres, with 100 percent being the littoral zone, which is area of the lake dominated by aquatic vegetation.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	139	104	161	D
CLA (µg/l)	63	24	160	
Secchi (m)	0.5	0.4	0.7	F
TKN (mg/l)	1.76	1.20	2.50	
			Lake Grade	


The water quality of the lake is on the poorer side as demonstrated by the TP grade of D, the Secchi grade F, and the CLA summer-time mean of 63 ug/L. There were 4 CLA results during the summer-time period. A minimum of 5 are needed to calculate a grade. Therefore there is no Secchi grade for 2012, and therefore no lake grade may be calculated. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database for this lake.


The year 2012 was the first year the lake was part of the Metropolitan Council's lake monitoring program. A search of the MPCA's EQuIS database indicated no historical water quality data for this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	17.5		37	139	0.6	1	4
5/1	14.5		38	119	0.5	1	4
5/17	19.8		28	155	0.4	2	4
5/31	18.8		24	104	0.7	1	4
7/25	26.5		160	154	0.5	5	5
8/29	26.0			161	0.4	5	5
10/21	12.8		43	152	0.3	3	4





1 = Crystal Clear

0 └ 4/1

- **9/8 10/18 11**4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom


11/27

3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP											D	
CLA												
Secchi											F	
Lake Grade	e											

## Miller Lake (10–0029) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Miller Lake is located within Dahlgren Township (Carver County). It has a surface area of 145 acres. The mean and maximum depths of the lake are 3.1 m (10 feet) and 4.3 m (roughly 14 feet), respectively. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 - 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

The lake has a 16,701-acre immediate watershed, which translates to a large watershed-to-lake area ratio of 115:1 (Carver County Planning 1999). The larger the ratio the greater the potential stress put on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	228	117	336	F
CLA (µg/l)	63	16	130	D
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.3	1.2	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.09	1.50	2.70	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012 which is consistent with its historical database. The historical lake grades typically fall in the range of D to F.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	11.4	16.2	41	216			
5/3	19.9	11.4	16	117	0.5	3	3
5/16	19.0	15.8	75	191	0.7	3	3
6/5	21.5	10.9	37	198	0.8	3	4
6/13	21.5	8.7	77	228	0.6	3	3
6/25	24.6	6.5	20	336	1.2	3	3
7/9	29.3	9.1	48	249	0.8	3	3
7/26	27.2	6.9	130	289	0.4	4	4
8/7	27.7	17.9	55	233	0.5	4	3
8/29	23.9	12.1	53	208	0.6	4	4
9/13	20.7	9.6	100	220	0.3	3	3
9/27	15.2	11.3	79	233	0.3	4	4
10/4	14.9	8.8	48	215	0.4	3	3
10/15	9.4	13.0	22	143	0.6	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
				т т			T			T			1
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				F	F	F			F	F	F	F	F
CLA				F	F	D			D	С	C	С	D
Secchi				F	F	D			D	D	С	С	F
Lake Grade				F	F	D			D	D	D	D	F
			-										
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	2	800	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		F	D	F	F		F		F	F	F	F	
CLA		D	D	D	F		D		F	D	D	D	

F

F

D

D

F

F

D

D

D

D

F

F

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

F

F

D

D

F

F

Secchi

Lake Grade

## Minnetoga Lake (10–0009) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: John and Maressia Twele

Lake Minnetoga is located in Minnetonka, Hennepin County. The lake has a surface area of 14.4 acres, and an average depth of 3.9 m. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	31	19	65	В
CLA (µg/l)	8.5	4.1	27	A
Secchi (m)	2.2	1.2	4.0	В
TKN (mg/l)	1.28	0.90	1.70	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012. The lake grades have varied in the B to C range since 2007. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/27	10.9		8.0	56	2.7		
5/7	18.1		8.9	39	2.7	1	1
5/21	20.8		6.2	27	4.0	1	1
6/4	26.3		4.1	32	2.4	2	1
6/15	22.5		6.9	65	2.3	2	2
7/2	30.3		5.0	32	2.1	3	
7/15	29.5		5.3	21	1.9	3	3
7/28	26.2		4.1	22	2.1	2	2
8/11	25.5		5.3	20	2.1	2	2
8/26	26.0		7.1	30	2.0	2	2
9/9	22.8		14	19	1.5	3	3
9/28	16.9		27	29	1.2	4	3
10/21	10.6		19	55	1.7	3	3





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color


9/8

- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom

9/28 10/18 11/7

3 = Definite Algal Presence

0 4/21 5/11 5/31 6/20 7/10 7/30 8/19



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP					С	F	3		В	С	В	
CLA					С	A	١		A	В	A	
Secchi					С	F	3		В	С	В	
Lake Grade					С	F	3		В	С	В	

## Minnewashta Lake [Site-2, South Bay] (10–0009) City of Chanhassen

Volunteer: Steve Aldritt

Minnewashta Lake is located in the City of Chanhassen (Carver County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value. It is a relatively large lake with a surface area of 677 acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 21.3 m (70 feet). The Minnesota DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	46	22	101	С
CLA (µg/l)	9.3	2.1	17	A
Secchi (m)	2.2	1.4	3.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.98	0.75	1.40	
			Lake Grade	В

The south bay received a lake grade of B for 2012. Additional monitoring is recommended to continue to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	22.6		2.1	23	3.2	2	1
5/17	23.4		5.1	44	3.5	1	1
6/3	22.0		3.8	91	2.9	3	2
6/15	22.2		4.8	24	2.5	2	1
6/30	28.1		4.3	28	2.4	2	1
7/13	28.6		12	22	1.6	3	1
7/26	28.3		15	40	1.5	3	2
8/12	23.6		17	23	1.5	3	2
8/25	22.7		14	77	1.6	2	2
9/9	23.3		11	36	1.6	3	2
9/25	17.0		13	101	1.4	3	2
10/11	11.5		11	45	2.2	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi											В	В
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi	A	В	A	В	A	A	В	A	A	A		A
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP									A	A	С	
CLA									В	Α	A	
Secchi				A					В	A	С	
Lake Grad	e								В	A	В	
Year		004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2000	2010	2011	201	
TP		004	2003	2000	2007	20	00	2009	2010 A	A	C C	
CLA									B	A	A	
Secchi				A					В	A	C	
Lake Grade	e			Α					В	A	В	

## Mitchell Lake (27–0070) City of Eden Prairie

Volunteer: Gordon and Fran Warner

Mitchell Lake is located in the City of Eden Prairie (Hennepin County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). It has a surface area of 112 acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 5.8 m (19 feet). Approximately 97 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a substantial thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The Minnesota DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	64	11	183	С	
CLA (µg/l)	12	2.9	26	В	
Secchi (m)	1.7	1.2	2.1	С	
TKN (mg/l)	1.17	0.66	1.40		
			Lake Grade	С	


The lake received a lake grade of C which is consistent with its historical database. The lake's water quality seems represented by lake grades between C and D. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing statistical power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/5	17.3		8.9	89	1.8	1	1
5/15	20.6		4.7	66	2.0	1	1
5/29	20.0		6.7	183	2.0	1	1
6/11	24.9		2.9	98	2.1	1	1
6/25	25.1		23	37	1.5	1	1
7/12	28.0		12	37	1.8	1	1
7/29	27.8		7.2	30	1.5	1	1
8/9							
8/25	23.2		26	11	1.2	1	1
9/8	21.9		15	50	1.2	1	4
9/21	16.9		17	42	1.5	1	1
10/13	8.9		13	22	2.5	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												D
CLA												С
Secchi												С
Lake Grade												C
•												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				С				D	D			D
CLA				С				D	D			D
Secchi				С				D	С			С
Lake Grade				C				D	D			D

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	С	D	D	С	С	С	С	С	С
CLA	С	С	С	С	В	С	С	В	В
Secchi	С	С	D	С	С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade	C	C	D	C	C	C	C	C	C

# Moody Lake (13-0023) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Douglas Toavs

Moody Lake is a 35-acre lake located near Chisago City (Chisago County). The lake has a maximum depth of approximately 14.6 m (48 feet). Roughly 63 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 – 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	141	45	230	D
CLA (µg/l)	73	3.7	180	D
Secchi (m)	1.0	0.4	3.0	D
TKN (mg/l)	2.04	1.10	3.00	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a D grade for 2012, which is consistent with its limited historical water quality database. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/21	16.9		5.2	83	1.8	1	1
5/10	18.1		3.7	45	3.0	1	1
6/1	22.6		5.4	101	1.9	2	3
6/12	23.0		81	181	0.6	5	5
6/26	25.0		74	170	0.6	4	5
7/24	27.9		180	230	0.4	5	4
8/7	28.0		110	151	0.4	4	4
8/22	25.8		96	167	0.5	3	4
9/21	15.9		31	84	0.8	2	3
10/24	11.2		33	94	1.7	1	1





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			D	D					D	D	D	
CLA			D	С					D	F	D	
Secchi			D	D					D	D	D	
Lake Grade			D	D					D	D	D	

# Normandale Lake (27–1045) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Steve Magiera

Normandale Lake is located in the City of Bloomington (Hennepin County). The lake is considered a METC Priority Lake for its high regional recreational value (METC 2007). It has a surface area of 103 acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 3.7 m (12 feet). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	112	20	438	D
CLA (µg/l)	10	1.5	19	В
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.9	2.1	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.10	0.62	3.00	
			Lake Grade	С

The lake received a lake grade of C in 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

# Normandale Lake (Nordmyr Lake) Bloomington, Hennepin Co.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	12.4		17	49	1.1	2	4
5/1	13.2		3.4	20	2.1	2	4
5/15	19.1		1.5	85	1.8	2	4
5/30	19.5		6.3	66	1.7	2	4
6/15	19.6		5.8	85	1.8	2	4
6/25	22.6		6.7	62	1.2	2	4
7/10	26.0		19	85	1.2	3	4
7/27	24.5		19	89	0.9	3	4
8/7	24.7		14	87	0.9	3	4
8/20	23.9		15	438	1.0	5	5
9/5	22.5		11	73	1.1	4	5
9/19	14.3		11	146	0.9	3	5
10/1	16.3		29	61	1.0	3	4
10/16	10.8		22	43	0.9	3	4





- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				С	С			С	С	С	D	
CLA				В	A			A	В	В	В	
Secchi				D	С			В	С	С	С	
Lake Grade				С	В			В	С	С	С	

# North Twin Lake (82–0018) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

North Twin Lake is located in Stillwater Township (Washington County). It has a surface area of 69 acres. The maximum and mean depths of the lake are 1.8 m (5.9 ft) and 0.9 m (2.9 ft), respectively. The volume of the lake is approximately 207 ac-ft. The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake's 187-acre immediate watershed translates to a watershed-to-lake size ratio of 3:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	33	8	94	С
CLA (µg/l)	4.6	2.4	8.9	A
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	0.73	0.63	0.83	
			Lake Grade	


Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There were insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. The TP and CLA grades for 2012 are similar to those received about 5 years ago.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	18.0	13.3	4.6	10		1	3
5/22	20.2	9.3	4.3	18		1	3
7/2	33.1	9.4	3.6	15		2	4
8/1	24.6	3.0	8.9	28		2	4
8/28	23.5	8.6	2.4	94		1	4
9/25	15.7	13.8	4.0	8		1	3
10/23	12.4	10.9	9.5	36		2	2





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	e	В	В	В	C							
Secchi		С	D	C	D	I	)	D	C			
CLA		A	A	A	A						A	
TP		A	В	C	С						C	
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade					C	В	C	В	В	В		В
Secchi					В	В	В	В	C	С	C	С
CLA					D	C	D	В	A	В		A
TP					С	В	В	A	В	В		В
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Northwood Lake (27–0627) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Robert White

Northwood Lake is a 15-acre lake located within the City of New Hope (Hennepin County). The mean and maximum depths of the lake are 0.8 m (2.5 ft) and 1.5 m (4.9 ft), respectively. The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 – 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake's 1,341-acre immediate watershed translates to a large watershed-to-lake area ratio of 89:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	218	93	525	F
CLA (µg/l)	39	6.4	130	С
Secchi (m)	0.8	0.5	1.2	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.22	0.61	2.00	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D in 2012 which is consistent with its historical database. Over the past 10 years, the lake grades have varied in the D and C range. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect potential water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	15.3		72	238	0.7	1	4
5/12	19.0		44	111	0.6	1	4
5/21	25.4		12	164	0.9	1	4
6/8	28.7		6.4	282	1.2	2	5
6/28	28.8		8.8	139	1.0	2	5
7/16	31.8		8.3	93	0.8	3	5
7/27	25.7		130	525	0.5	3	5
8/17	22.4		25	139	0.8	3	5
9/5	25.3		27	259	0.7	2	5
9/24	13.4		92	246	0.5	1	5
10/16	13.2		92	210	0.5	1	5







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP										F	F	D	F
CLA										В	С	В	С
Secchi										D	D	D	D
Lake Grade										D	D	C	D
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	D	F	F	]	D		F	D	F	F	
CLA		В	В	В	С	(	С		В	С	С	С	
Secchi		D	D	D	D	1	D		D	D	D	D	
Lake Grade	2	С	С	D	D	1	D		D	D	D	D	

# O'Connor Lake (82–0002) Washington Conservation District

Volunteer: Jeff Keene

O'Connor Lake is a 38-acre lake located within Denmark Township (Washington County). There are few known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	53	23	88	
CLA (µg/l)	19	13	26	
Secchi (m)	1.7	1.3	2.2	
TKN (mg/l)	0.93	0.64	1.20	
			Lake Grade	


There was an insufficient quantity of data to calculate grades for 2012. At least 5 monitoring events during the summer time period are required to calculate a grade. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	17.3		12	50	2.4	3	4
5/22	24.5		20	88	1.9	3	4
6/15	21.5		26	70	1.5	2	4
7/25	26.5		15	32	2.2	2	4
8/19	22.1		13	23	1.3	4	4
10/14	8.5		4.0	19	2.3	2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			С	С	С	(	2		D	D	В		
CLA			В	A	A	I	3		D	С	A		
Secchi			С	С	F	(	2		D	D	С		
Lake Grade	e		C	В	С	(			D	D	В		

# O'Dowd Lake (70–0095) City of Shakopee

Volunteer: Sandy and Mike Boyce

O'Dowd Lake is located in both Louisville Township and the City of Shakopee (Scott County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC2007). The lake's surface area is 258 acres and has a maximum depth of 6.7 m (roughly 22 feet). Approximately 63 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	38	21	64	С
CLA (µg/l)	25	2.6	73	С
Secchi (m)	1.7	0.6	3.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.23	0.75	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The lake's water quality seems to be represented by a lake grade of C with the occasional D according to its historical CAMP database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	14.1		5.2	23	3.1	1	1
5/5	17.5		4.5	37	2.9	1	1
5/19	20.8		2.6	21	2.9	1	1
5/28	22.4		3.5	27	3.0	1	1
6/17	22.3		7.1		2.6	1	2
6/30	29.6		11	24	1.7	3	2
7/14	22.0		27	32	1.1	2	2
7/28	27.3		41	53	0.8	2	2
8/8	26.3		73	60	0.6	2	1
8/21	24.7		45	64	0.6	2	2
9/3	26.4		27	32	0.9	2	2
9/22	16.3		36	30	1.1	1	1
10/2	17.3		31	34	0.9	2	1
10/15	10.5		25	34	1.2	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP					С							
CLA					C							
Secchi					C							
Lake Grade					C							

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			C			С			С		D	
CLA			D			C			C		D	
Secchi			C			С			С		С	
Lake Grade			C			C			C		D	

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP		С	D	С	С	С	С	С	С
CLA		D	С	D	С	С	C	С	C
Secchi		С	D	С	С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade		C	D	C	C	C	C	C	C

# Olson Lake (82–0103) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Bob Meier

Olson Lake is located in the City of Lake Elmo (Washingon County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 89 acres and a mean and maximum depth of 2.1 (6.9 feet) and 4.5 m (14.8 feet). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	21	11	41	A
CLA (µg/l)	10	1.6	42	В
Secchi (m)	2.9	1.2	5.5	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.87	0.63	1.40	
			Lake Grade	В

The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012. This grade is consistent with much of its recent historical water quality database. Also, the historical water quality database indicates that the lake grades have improved since the 1980's. The lake received a lake grade of C in 1984, as well as receiving Secchi grades of C in 1984-1986, and 1988-1990. Lake Grades of B were received in 1991, 1993, and 1995. For the past decade, the lake has recorded lake grades varying between A and B.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

# Lake Olson Lake Elmo, Washington Co.


Lake ID: 820103-00


Sampling siteContours in meters







Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	13.8		4.3	21	4.0	1	1
5/6	20.5		2.4	11	5.5	1	1
5/27	22.0		4.2	19	3.8	1	1
6/3	21.9		2.7	19	3.8	1	1
6/19	25.3		4.1	13	3.5	1	1
7/2	31.6		1.6	29		2	1
7/15	30.6		4.1	16	3.2	1	2
7/29	29.1		12	17	2.6	2	2
8/12	25.6		42	29	1.2	3	2
8/26	27.3		5.4	41	1.9	2	2
9/9	23.8		21		1.4	3	1
9/23	17.4		15	17	1.9	3	2
10/12	11.6		15	15	3.0	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP					С							В
CLA					C							В
Secchi					C	C	C		C	C	C	В
Lake Grade					C							В

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		В		С					A			A
CLA		A		В					A			В
Secchi		В		В					A			A
Lake Grade		В		В					A			A

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	A	В	С	В	A	A	В	A	A
CLA	A	В	В	A	A	A	В	A	В
Secchi	A	В	В	В	A	A	В	A	В
Lake Grade	A	В	В	В	A	A	В	A	В

# Oneka Lake (82-0140) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Paul Bolstad

Oneka Lake is located in the City of Hugo (Washingon County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its recreational value. The lake has a surface area of 381 acres, and a maximum depth of 2.1 (6.9 feet). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	31	18	58	В
CLA (µg/l)	2.6	1.0	4.4	A
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	1.36	0.91	1.70	
			Lake Grade	


Secchi depth measurements were not made in 2012 mainly due to the heavy macrophyte density which blocked the view of the Secchi disk. The grades for TP and CLA are consistent with the grades received for the past decade. TP has varied between A and C for the past 14 years.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

# Oneka Lake Hugo, Washington Co. Lake ID: 820140-00 Sampling site Contours in meters O 200 400 600 Meters


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	16.9		1.0	25		1	1
5/16	22.0		1.0	20		3	2
5/30	19.2		2.4	38		2	1
6/11	23.3		1.1	43		2	2
7/1	31.6		4.4	29		3	3
7/29	29.4		4.1	58			
8/11	23.1		3.7	22			
8/26	27.0		1.6	18		3	3
9/18	23.8		3.8	22		3	4
10/1	19.8		1.0	19		3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP		С	D	D		С		В			D	D
CLA		A	A	A		A		A			В	В
Secchi		C		C		C		C			C	С
Lake Grade		В		C		В		В			C	C

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP	С							A	В	С	С	A
CLA	A							A	A	В	В	A
Secchi	С							С	С	С	С	C
Lake Grade	В							В	В	C	C	В

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	A						A	С	В
CLA	A						A	A	A
Secchi	С								
Lake Grade	В								

# Orchard Lake (19-0031) Black Dog Lake Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Tom Goodwin

Orchard Lake is located in the City of Lakeville (Dakota County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). It has a surface area of 250 acres.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	23	14	35	В
CLA (µg/l)	4.7	1.2	8.2	A
Secchi (m)	2.8	1.9	4.2	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.89	0.60	1.10	
			Lake Grade	В

The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012. The lake continues to have lower summer-time chlorophyll in comparison to 10 years ago and earlier, as demonstrated by the recent streak of CLA grades of A.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/21	13.0		1.1	20	4.0	2	1
5/6	17.0		1.2	17	4.2	2	1
5/18	20.4		1.7		4.2	2	2
6/9			3.8	22	3.0	2	2
6/19	25.0		5.8	29	2.9	1	1
6/28	24.0		8.2	35	2.8	2	1
7/9	29.2		3.8	24	2.9	2	2
7/28	27.3		6.2	20	2.1	2	2
8/13	24.5		6.4	32	1.9	2	1
8/23	22.5		4.7	18	2.3	1	1
9/9	22.9		5.1	14	2.1	1	1
10/2	16.5		3.8	14	4.1	1	1
10/16	11.0		3.9	10	4.5	1	1



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



0 4/1 4/21 5/11 5/31 6/20 7/10 7/30 8/19 9/8 9/28 10/18

1 = Beautiful

- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	В		В						В		
CLA	В	В		В						В		
Secchi	C	В		В				C	C	C	D	C
Lake Grade	C	В		В	_					В		

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С					С	С	С	В		C
CLA		В					C	C	C	В		C
Secchi		С					С	С	С	В		C
Lake Grade		C					C	C	C	В		C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	С	В	С	С	A	A	В	В	В
CLA	В	В	В	С	В	A	A	A	A
Secchi	В	В	В	С	A	A	A	В	В
Lake Grade	В	В	В	C	A	A	A	В	В

# Parkers Lake (27-0107) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Ben Chapin

Parkers Lake is located in the City of Plymouth (Hennepin County). It has a surface area of 97 acres. The mean and maximum depths of the lake are 3.7 m (12 ft) and 11.3 m (37 ft), respectively. The lake's size and mean depth result in an approximate lake volume of 1,164 ac-ft. Approximately 70 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. The lake's 950-acre immediate watershed translates to a moderate watershed-to-lake area ratio of 10:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	33	12	91	С
CLA (µg/l)	7.6	2.9	15	A
Secchi (m)	2.5	1.8	3.6	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.74	0.49	1.10	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is similar to some previous years' annual lake grades. The lake has received lake grades varying from C to A to B over the past 29 years as indicated by the historical water quality database, but the lake has not experienced a C lake grade since 1999. The lake has received only A and B lake grades from 2000 through 2012. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine potential trends in the lake's water quality.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/5	17.8		6.1	21	1.9	2	2
5/12	19.3		3.7	24	3.5	2	2
6/2			2.9	59	3.6	2	2
6/20	24.3		14	91	2.1	2	2
6/26	24.7		14	42	1.9	2	2
7/22			4.7	12	2.6	2	2
8/2	29.2		7.6	21	2.0	3	3
8/19	23.3		15	17	1.8	2	2
8/26	23.5		4.0	23	3.1	2	2
9/30	16.8		4.4	17	2.9	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	e l	В	В	В	В	]	В	В		A		В	
Secchi		С	В	A	В	]	3	В		В		В	
CLA		A	В	A	A	1	4	A		A		A	
TP		В	C	C	В	]	3	C		A		C	
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	80	200	9	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade				C					С	A		A	В
Secchi				С					C	В		A	В
CLA				В					В	A		A	В
TP				C					C	A		A	В
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade	C												
Secchi	С											В	
CLA	С											В	
TP	С												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Pat Lake (82–0125) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Pat Lake is a small 13-acre lake located in Washington County. There are few known morphological data available for the lake.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	54	28	93	С
CLA (µg/l)	20	5.1	67	В
Secchi (m)	2.3	1.1	4.0	В
TKN (mg/l)	1.06	0.70	1.40	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

# Pat Lake Grant, Washington Co. Lake ID: 820125-00 Bathymetry Unknown N 0 50 100 150 200 Meters


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	12.4	10.8	3.9	35		1	1
5/1	14.8	11.2	6.2	28	4.0	2	2
5/15	21.7	10.3	5.2	59	3.4	2	2
5/31	19.2	7.8	5.1	47	2.7	2	2
6/14	20.7	6.5	67	93	1.1	3	4
6/26	24.3	8.8	18		1.4	3	4
7/10	28.8	10.0	28	57	1.5	3	3
7/25	27.1	7.0	36	63	1.4	3	3
8/7	27.8	9.1	15	46	2.1	2	3
8/20	24.2	8.5	10	34	2.3	2	3
9/6	23.4	4.6	18	74	2.1	3	3
9/18	17.8	8.0	11	43	3.0	1	1
10/2	15.1	10.3	12	38	3.0	2	1
10/18	10.9	11.1	6.5	21		1	1







- 4 = High Algal Color 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	5 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				D	С	(	C	С	С	С	С	
CLA				С	A	I	3	В	В	В	В	
Secchi				С	С	(	2	С	С	В	В	
Lake Grade	a .			C	В		7	C	С	В	В	

# Penn Lake (27–0004) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Lisa McIntire

Penn Lake is located in the City of Bloomington (Hennepin County). It has a maximum depth of 2.1 m (7.0 ft). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	180	112	277	F
CLA (µg/l)	76	7.1	210	D
Secchi (m)	0.3	0.2	0.4	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.35	1.10	4.50	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012, which is consistent with its limited historical water quality database. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	12.2		20	75	0.4	2	4
5/6	16.0		25	180	0.4	2	4
5/20	20.2		12	137	0.3	2	4
6/2	22.9		7.1	135	0.3	2	4
6/17	22.6		9.2	136	0.3	2	4
6/27	30.0		49	112	0.3	2	4
7/8	29.2		74	162	0.3	2	4
7/21	31.0		90	231	0.2	2	4
8/5	27.6		98	146	0.3	2	4
8/18	24.3		88	222	0.2	2	4
9/2	26.8		160	216	0.2	3	4
9/16	22.4		210	277	0.2	3	4
9/30	21.4		87	208	0.3	3	4
10/14	15.2		53	420	0.3	2	5







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

						_						
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP								F	F	D	F	
CLA								F	F		D	
Secchi		F						F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade								F	F		F	

## Pine Tree Lake (87–0122) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Gene Berwald, Bill Berwald

Pine Tree Lake, located on the eastern edge of the City of Dellwood (Washington County), covers an area of 174 acres. It has a maximum depth of 7.9 m (26 feet), and a mean depth of 3.0 m (10 feet).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	20	10	29	A
CLA (µg/l)	6.8	2.3	28	A
Secchi (m)	2.7	2.0	3.3	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.79	0.66	1.00	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received a lake grade of A, which is consistent with grades received in more recent years. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine the trend direction, if any, of the varying water quality of this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	12.0		1.9	25	4.4	1	2
5/6	16.5		2.8			1	2
5/28	21.3		3.6	24	3.1	1	3
6/17	21.8		3.5	29	3.0	3	2
7/1	29.0		2.3	22	3.3	2	3
7/21	28.3		3.6	20	2.3	2	3
7/29	28.0		3.8	21	2.6	2	3
8/12	23.5		11	20	2.2	2	2
8/26	25.1		4.2	10	2.3	2	3
9/9	22.3		28	19	2.0	2	2
9/30	17.8		5.4	11	3.3	1	2
10/20	9.3		5.2	21	4.3	1	1









- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

В

В

A

## Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP						С						
CLA						D						
Secchi						D						
Lake Grade						D						
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		В	В	С	С	В	В	В	С	С	С	С
CLA		Α	A	С	В	A	В	В	A	A	В	С
Secchi		С	В	С	C	В	С	С	A	В	С	С
Lake Grade		В	В	C	С	В	В	В	В	В	C	С
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		В	В	C	В	I	3	A	A	В	A	
CLA		A	В	A	A	I	3	A	A	A	A	

В

В

В

В

A

 $\mathbf{A}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

В

В

В

В

В

В

Secchi

Lake Grade

## Plaisted Lake (82–0148) Washington Conservation District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Plaisted Lake is located in the City of Hugo (Washington County). Little morphological data is available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	116	37	398	D
CLA (µg/l)	25	5.4	43	С
Secchi (m)	1.4	1.1	1.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.56	1.00	1.90	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C, which is consistent with its limited water quality database. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	12.4	10.5	4.9	35	2.1	2	2
4/30	13.0	10.4	6.6	32		2	2
5/14	19.3	10.6	5.4	37		3	3
5/30	18.9	6.3	16	74	1.5	3	3
6/12	23.5	9.5	33	122	1.5	2	4
6/25	24.3	10.8	24		1.4	3	3
7/9	28.5	9.6	25	48	1.2	3	3
7/23	27.9	9.1	26	77	1.1	3	4
8/6	27.0	4.1	26	71	1.2	3	4
8/20	22.0	9.0	43	398	1.5	3	4
9/4	24.9	8.5	27	156	1.4	4	3
9/17	18.7	7.7	25	62	1.5	3	3
10/1		9.6		102	2.3	2	3
10/18	10.7	10.1	7.7	45	2.4	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

V	1000	1001	1002	1002	1004	1005	1007	1007	1000	1000	1000	1001
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						I	)	D	D	D	D	
CLA						(	C	С	С	С	С	
Secchi						(	2	С	С	С	С	
Lake Grade							7	С	С	С	С	

## Powers Lake (82–0092) City of Woodbury

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Powers Lake is located within the City of Woodbury (Washington County). It has a surface area of approximately 57 acres and a maximum depth of 12.5 m (41.0 feet). The lake has no surface outlet. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	27	13	66	В
CLA (µg/l)	5.6	2.1	20	A
Secchi (m)	4.7	2.6	5.8	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.84	0.70	1.10	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received a lake grade of A. This was the first A lake grade received since 1999. The lake seems to vary in range of A to C grades. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if this year's improved water quality is a developing trend.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	12.1	10.7	1.7	47	6.7	2	1
5/8	16.2	8.2	4.1	25	4.6	2	2
5/22	19.5	9.0	2.1	20	5.6	2	2
6/4	21.7	9.6	2.5	43	5.8	2	1
6/19	23.5	8.8	6.0	19	4.3	2	2
7/2	28.5	8.2	2.3	20	4.6	2	1
7/18	28.7	7.9	2.6	13	5.2	2	2
7/30	27.0	8.1	4.0	17	5.2	2	2
8/14	25.6	8.2	4.0	13	5.5	2	2
8/27	24.0	8.8	5.5	22	4.6	2	2
9/10	22.1	9.1	8.3	66	4.1	2	3
9/24	16.4	8.2	20	42	2.6	2	2
10/11	12.0	7.4	13	53	2.3	2	2
10/22	11.4	9.9	2.4	54	2.6	3	3





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	5 198	7 1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	3 199	9 2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			В	В	A	A	С	A	В	С	В	С
CLA			A	В	A	В	С	В	В	С	С	В
Secchi			A	В	A	С	С	A	В	С	С	В
Lake Grade			A	В	A	В	C	A	В	C	C	В
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	С	I	3	В	С	С	В	
CLA		С	С	С	В	I	3	С	С	С	A	
Secchi		С	С	С	С	I	3	В	С	В	A	
Lake Grade		С	С	С	С	ı	3	В	С	С	A	

## Priebe Lake (62–0036) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: David Dixon, Carol Pierce

Priebe Lake is located in the City of White Bear Lake (Ramsey County). The maximum depth of the lake is 1.5 m (5.0 ft). Other morphological data is unavailable for the lake. The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	359	89	657	F
CLA (µg/l)	199	26	490	F
Secchi (m)	0.2	0.2	0.4	F
TKN (mg/l)	4.21	1.40	8.10	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F, which is the worst water quality observed in this lake since CAMP monitoring began in 2008. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


## Priebe Lake




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/22	20.9		46	165		4	4
6/7	27.0					4	4
6/10			140	262			
6/21	25.9		39	204	0.4	4	4
7/10	30.9		26	89	0.2	4	
7/17	30.8		260	562	0.2	4	4
8/8	28.2		130	382	0.2	4	4
8/24	27.2		490	553	0.2	4	4
9/16	18.9		460	657	0.2	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi											F	F	F
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi						F	F		F				
Lake Grade													
Year	2	.004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	200	)9	2010	2011	201	2
TP						I	)	D		D	D	F	
CLA						I	7	D			D	F	
Secchi						I	7	F		F	F	F	
Lake Grade	e					I	7	D			D	F	

# Prior Lake [Lower Basin, Site 1] (70–0026) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Walt Burris

Prior Lake (lower basin) is located in the City of Prior Lake (Scott County). The lower basin is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lower basin has a surface area of 957 acres. The maximum and mean depths of the basin are 18.3 and 4.1m (60 and 13 feet), respectively. The lower basin has one inlet, which is the outlet from the upper basin of Prior Lake. The lower basin has one outlet. The outlet structure, located at the southwestern portion of the basin, was installed to regulate surface water elevations.

The MN DNR has designated the lower basin as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) and Zebra mussels (*Dreissena spp.*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	26	5	106	В
CLA (µg/l)	5.3	1.9	11	A
Secchi (m)	3.4	2.8	5.2	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.95	0.78	1.10	
			Lake Grade	A

The lower basin received a lake grade of A. The historical lake grades appear to vary from A's to C's, although there has not been a C lake grade observed since 2002. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine the trend direction, if any, of the varying water quality of this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




## 

#### 2012 Data

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	13.9		1.2	59	8.1	1	1
4/30	13.2		1.4	37	7.6	1	1
5/22	20.9		1.9	106	3.3	2	1
5/30	19.2		4.0	38	4.0	2	1
6/12	22.8		4.1	9	5.2	2	1
6/25	24.3		4.2	5	3.9	2	1
7/9			3.1	13	3.3	2	1
7/25	28.0		8.2	23	2.9	2	2
8/7	27.0		4.8	8	3.1	2	1
8/20	24.0		4.1	8	3.0	2	1
9/3	26.0		7.9	13	2.9	2	1
9/23	18.0		11	34	2.8	2	1
10/2	19.0		6.2	18	3.8	2	1
10/16	14.0		7.0	33	4.1	2	1





- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

3 = Definite Algal Presence

- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	C	A	1702	1703	В	1703	170		1707	1700	1707	1770	1771
CLA	В				В						A	В	
Secchi	С	С	В	С	В	С	В		С	С	В	В	С
Lake Grade	C				В								
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP	С				С	A	A		A	В	A	В	С
CLA	В				A	A	В		A	В	В	В	A
Secchi	С	В	В	В	В	В	С		В	В	В	В	A
Lake Grade	C				В	A	В		A	В	В	В	В
Year	2	:004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		В	A	С	A	A	A		В	В	A	В	
CLA		В	A	В	В	I	3		A	A	A	A	
Secchi		В	A	В	В	I	3		A	В	A	A	
Lake Grade	e	В	A	В	В	1	3		A	В	A	A	

# Prior Lake [Upper Basin, Site 1] (70–0072) Prior Lake — Spring Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Kim Silvernagel

Prior Lake (upper basin) is located in the City of Prior Lake (Scott County). The upper basin is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The upper basin has a surface area of 386 acres. The maximum and mean depths of the upper basin of Prior Lake are 15.2 and 3.1 m (50 and 10 feet), respectively. The upper basin of Prior Lake has two natural inlets, inflow from Spring Lake and the inlet from Rice and Crystal Lake drainage.

The MN DNR has designated the upper basin as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) and Zebra mussels (*Dreissena spp.*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	96	45	198	D
CLA (µg/l)	47	8.6	98	С
Secchi (m)	0.8	0.4	1.9	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.61	1.10	2.10	
			Lake Grade	D

The lake received a lake grade of D. Historical data for the upper basin indicate that the water quality of the basin has varied between lake grades of C and D. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine the trend direction, if any, of the varying water quality of this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	15.0		2.9	19	2.1	1	1
5/7	16.9		8.6	198	1.9	2	1
5/28	22.7		37	111	1.2	3	2
6/13	22.4		11	45	0.9	3	2
7/2	29.7		65	60	0.7	3	2
7/9	28.8			68	0.6	3	2
7/27	27.2			60	0.6	3	2
8/11	25.7		98	88	0.4	3	2
8/22	23.1		75	77	0.5	3	2
9/8	22.5		37	64	0.7	3	2
9/29	19.3		42	193	0.8		1





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	С			D						D		
CLA	D	D			D						С	С	
Secchi	D	С	D	F	D	D	D		F	F	D	С	D
Lake Grade	D	D			D						D		
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					С	С	С		D	D	D	D	С
CLA					С	С	D		D	D	F	D	D
Secchi		D	D	С	С	D	D		D	С	D	D	С
Lake Grade					C	C	D		D	D	D	D	C
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D	С	D	С		С		С	С	В	D	
CLA		D	С	D	D		D		С	D	С	С	
Secchi		D	С	С	D		С		В	С	С	D	
Lake Grade	e	D	C	D	D		C		С	C	С	D	

## Regional Park Lake (82–0087) South Washington Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Regional Park Lake is a 16-acre lake located within the City of Cottage Grove (Washington County). The maximum depth of the lake is 5.8 m. Most of the area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	93	30	192	D
CLA (µg/l)	91	2.4	260	F
Secchi (m)	1.5	0.3	3.4	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.46	0.77	2.30	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012. A lake grade of D has not been observed since 2003 as the lake has experience B and C grades for the previous 8 years. The lower grade is being driven by a much higher mean value of chlorophyll observed in 2012, which is the worst chlorophyll grade received during the 15 years of CAMP monitoring. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if this lake is experiencing a potential change in its algal population.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/8	17.3	8.3	2.4	30	3.4	2	3
6/4	21.5	13.1	36	85	1.8	2	3
6/19	23.8	16.8	260	192	0.3	3	4
7/18	28.6	11.2	69	94	0.9	3	4
8/14	23.2	8.0	150	79	1.4	3	4
9/10	19.8	8.9	27	80	1.1	3	4
10/11	9.6	8.5	3.5	76	4.1	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

C

D

## Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1	987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
		•	-										
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1	999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP							F		C	D	D	D	D
CLA							В		В	С	С	D	С
Secchi							F		D	F	F	F	F
Lake Grade							D		C	D	D	D	D
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	800	2009	)	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	D	С	I	)	C		С	С	D	
CLA		С	С	С	В	(	C	В		С	С	F	
Secchi		D	С	С	С	(	C	В		С	В	С	

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Lake Grade

## Reitz Lake (10–0052) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Lynne and Mark McMullen

Reitz Lake is located in Laketown Township (Carver County). The lake has a surface area of 79 acres and a watershed area of 3,711 acres, which gives a large watershed-to-lake area ratio of 47:1. The larger the ratio the greater the potential stress put on the lake from surface runoff. The DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion Water Milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	98	70	175	D
CLA (µg/l)	49	4.6	120	D
Secchi (m)	1.2	0.7	2.2	D
TKN (mg/l)	2.14	1.60	2.80	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D, which indicates a lower water quality in 2012 compared to the previous 10 years. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if this shift in water quality is an anomaly or an indication of a potential trend in changing water quality.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/19	11.0		17	162	2.8	2	2
5/6	16.0		4.6	142	2.2	2	1
5/19	20.0		57	102	1.6	2	1
5/26	20.0		61	107	1.2	3	3
6/3	20.0		35	98	1.7	2	2
6/23	22.0		17	101	1.1	2	2
7/7	26.0		55	83	0.7	2	2
7/21	26.0			85	0.8	2	2
7/28	27.0		39	77	1.0	2	2
8/11			47	73		2	2
8/24	22.0		60	89	0.9	2	1
9/2	24.0		53	70	1.0	2	2
9/16	20.0		42	74	1.0	2	2
9/30	17.0		120	175	0.9	3	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP						D						D
CLA						F						D
Secchi						D						С
Lake Grade						D						D

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		D						C	C	D	D	D
CLA		C						В	C	D	С	D
Secchi		D						С	С	F	C	В
Lake Grade		D						C	C	D	C	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	D	D	D	С	С	С	С	D	D
CLA	С	С	С	A	В	В	В	С	D
Secchi	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С	D
Lake Grade	C	C	C	В	C	C	В	C	D

## Reshanau Lake (02-0009) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Lori Fredlund

Reshanau Lake is located in the City of Lino Lakes (Anoka County). The 336-acre lake has a mean and maximum depth of 3.2 m (10.5 feet) and 4.9 m (16 feet). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	112	70	176	D
CLA (µg/l)	38	21	56	С
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.3	0.5	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.08	1.80	2.50	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. Continued monitoring is recommended to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/30	13.8		39	78	0.7	2	2
5/14	21.0		56	80	0.4	3	2
5/27	21.8		49	70	0.4	3	2
6/16	24.1		22		0.5	3	3
6/25	24.4		21	73	0.5	5	4
7/15	26.4		30	176	0.3	3	2
7/27	28.3		50	95	0.3	2	2
8/6	27.4		53	104	0.3	2	2
8/20	20.2		28	120	0.3	2	2
9/3	24.5		36	175	0.3	2	2





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	,			D	D	T	)	D	D	D	D	
Secchi				F	F	I	7	F	D	F	F	
CLA				C	C	Ι	)	C	C	D	C	
TP				D	D	Ι	)	D	D	D	D	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	2012	2
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

## Rest Area Pond (82-0514) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Minnesota Department of Transportation staff

Rest Area Pond is a 12.6-acre lake located within West Lakeland Township (Washington County). There are few morphological information for the pond. The pond's surface area and watershed area (17,781 acres) translates to a large 157:1 watershed-to-pond area ratio. Generally the larger the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the pond from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	209	117	397	F
CLA (µg/l)	47	2.8	130	С
Secchi (m)	0.7	0.5	1.3	D
TKN (mg/l)	2.56	1.50	3.50	
			Lake Grade	D


The pond received a lake grade of D, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The pond has received lake grades ranging from C to F since 2006. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this pond.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

## Rest Area Pond West Lakeland Twp., Washington Co.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/25	14.8		21	151	0.5	2	4
5/1	12.9		27	169	0.8	2	2
5/17	19.6		73	157	0.5	2	2
5/29	20.8		2.8	203	1.2	3	2
6/15	20.7		29	188	0.7	3	3
6/27	24.7		54	226	0.5	3	4
7/10	28.9		34	117	0.6	3	4
7/23	28.2		43	133	0.6	4	4
8/8	26.2		46	201	0.7	3	4
8/21	21.9		130	290	0.5	4	5
9/4	26.3		70	219	0.6	3	1
9/17	19.8		6.4	397	1.3	3	5
10/1	15.9		150	565	0.6	3	
10/16	10.5		140	376	0.5	2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1980	5 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	2012	2
TP				D	F	F	7	F	F	D	F	
CLA				D	С	I	7	F	С	В	С	
Secchi				D	F	F	7	F	F	D	D	
Lake Grade	ρ			D	D	ı	7	F	D	С	D	

## Riley Lake (10—0002) City of Chanhassen/City of Eden Prairie

#### David Florenzano

Riley Lake is located with the cities of Chanhassen and Eden Prairie (Carver and Hennepin counties). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC2007). The maximum and mean depths are 15.0 m and 6.6 m, respectively. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*). The lake is listed on the MPCA's 2012 Impaired Waters List for impairments to aquatic consumption (mercury in fish tissue) and aquatic recreation (excessive nutrients/eutrophication).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	60	25	238	С
CLA (µg/l)	22	3.9	47	С
Secchi (m)	2.1	0.7	5.8	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.54	0.92	3.20	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is consistent with most years of monitoring dating back to 1980. The lake appears to be characterized as a C lake grade.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.6		2.0	28	5.4	1	1
5/2	15.8		8.1	37	5.8	2	1
5/17	19.7		4.7	38	3.3	4	4
6/6	24.4		12	62	2.2	3	3
6/15	22.2		20	31	1.9	3	2
6/29	27.8		29	56	1.1	4	3
7/13	28.0		43	48	1.0	4	3
7/25	29.8		47	51	0.7	4	3
8/14	27.8		40	41	0.7	4	2
8/27	24.0		20	238	0.9	4	2
9/6	25.1		3.9	25	3.3	3	2
9/21	18.5		16	33	2.2	2	1
10/2	17.5		44	52	1.3	5	3
10/11	12.2	_	52	126	1.1	4	3





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

## Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	В	С	С	С	С	С		С				С
CLA	С	С	С	С	С	С	С		D			С	С
Secchi	С	С	С	С	С	С	С		С	С		С	С
Lake Grade	C	C	C	С	C	C	C		C				C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С				С				С		С	С
CLA		С				С				С		С	D
Secchi		С				С				С		С	С
Lake Grade		C				C				C		C	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	20	009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	С	В	(	C	(	С	С	С	С	
CLA		С	С	В	В	I	3	]	В	С	С	С	
Secchi		В	С	В	С	(	C	(	С	С	В	С	
Lake Grade	e	С	C	В	В	(			C	С	С	С	

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

# Rogers Lake (19–0080) Lower Mississippi River Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Doug Hennes

Rogers Lake lies within the City of Mendota Heights. The lake has a surface area of 94 acres and a maximum depth of 2.4 m (7.9 ft). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	33	15	53	С	
CLA (µg/l)	5.1	2.1	11	A	
Secchi (m)					
TKN (mg/l)	1.10	0.81	1.40		
			Lake Grade		


Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There was an insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. The TP and CLA grades are consistent with the grades received since 2007. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database for this lake site.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/20	21.9		7.1	38		2	2
6/3	26.0		4.4	29		2	2
6/17	22.0		11	25		1	1
6/30	28.7		2.7	41		2	2
7/26	27.4		8.1	27		1	1
8/12	23.4		4.5	22		1	1
8/26	25.5		3.0	15		1	1
9/9	22.4		2.1	44		1	1
9/23	14.9		2.6	53		1	1
10/7	10.4		2.8	39		1	1
10/22	11.4		2.9	79		1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP					С	F	3	С	С	С	С	
CLA					A	A	1	A	A	A	A	
Secchi					D	(	C	С	С	С		
Lake Grade	e.				С	I	3	В	В	В		

#### Ryan Lake (27-0058) Shingle Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Alyssa Murphy

Ryan Lake is located in the City of Robbinsdale (Hennepin County). The 35-acre lake has a maximum depth of approximately 10.7 m (35 ft). The watershed for the lake has an area of 5,510 acres. The surface area of the watershed and lake translate to a watershed-to-lake area ratio of 157:1. The larger the ratio the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	45	37	53	
CLA (µg/l)	7.4	1.9	12	
Secchi (m)	2.9	1.3	4.3	
TKN (mg/l)	0.80	0.62	0.99	
			Lake Grade	

There were 4 monitoring visits during the summer-time period. A minimum of 5 are needed to calculate a grade. Therefore there are no parameter grades for 2012, and therefore no lake grade may be calculated. The summer-time means for TP and CLA are similar in magnitude to those received in 2010. Continued monitoring is suggested to continue to build the historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

## Ryan Lake Brooklyn Park/Robbinsdale, Hennepin Co. Lake ID: 270058-00 Sampling site Contours in meters 10.1 10.1




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/25	20.6		1.9	53	4.3	2	4
6/6	25.0		7.5	49	3.2	3	2
6/23	25.5		8.0	40	2.9	2	1
7/27	27.0		12	37	1.3	5	4





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					С		С		D		С	С
CLA					В		В		С		A	A
Secchi			С	С	С		В		D		С	В
Lake Grade					C		В		D		В	В
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						(	C		С			
CLA						1	A		A			
Secchi						(	С		С			
Lake Grade	e					]	В		В			

#### Scout Lake (19-0198) City of Apple Valley

Volunteer: Dan Stanek

Scout Lake is a small lake located in Apple Valley. Little information is available on the morphology of the lake. The maximum depth of the lake is 2.9 m (9.5 feet). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	108	66	200	D
CLA (µg/l)	69	38	110	D
Secchi (m)	0.6	0.4	0.8	F
TKN (mg/l)	2.45	1.50	3.70	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D, which is consistent with its limited historical water quality database. The Secchi grade was an F for 2012 which continues the very poor water clarity observed in 2011. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database so as to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




	utu						
Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	13.9		20	126	0.5	1	
5/4	22.0		49	78	0.6		
5/20	20.3		42	104	0.6		
6/3	22.4		39	200	0.8		
6/17	22.0		38	91	0.7		
7/1	31.1		53	66	0.7		
7/9	30.4		75	74	0.6		
7/29	27.3		99	103	0.5		
8/12	25.9		100	83	0.5		
8/26	26.2		84	155	0.4	3	
9/9	23.0		71	90	0.5		
9/20	17.1		110	141	0.5		
10/5	13.0		140	123	0.5		
10/21	11.7		96	123	0.5		







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1	987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1	999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	2009		2010	2011	2012	2
TP					D	(	C	D		D	F	D	
CLA					С	(	C	С		D	F	D	
Secchi					F	(	C	D		D	F	F	
Lake Grade	e				D			D		D	F	D	

#### Seidl Lake (19-0095) Cities of Inver Grove Heights and South St. Paul

Volunteer: City of South St. Paul staff

Seidl Lake is a 14-acre lake located in the City of Inver Grove Heights (Dakota County) which receives inflow from five inlets. The maximum depth of the lake is approximately 5.0 m (17 feet). There are little known morphological data available.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	92	72	123	
CLA (µg/l)	34	26	44	
Secchi (m)	0.7	0.5	0.8	
TKN (mg/l)	1.13	0.89	1.30	
			Lake Grade	


There was an insufficient quantity of data to calculate grades for 2012.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/16	21.1		44	123	0.5	2	2
6/18	26.8		33	72	0.8	3	4
7/20	26.1		26	82	0.8	2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												C
CLA												С
Secchi												D
Lake Grade												C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				С	С	С	С	D	С	С	D	С
CLA				A	В	В	С	С	С	С	С	В
Secchi		D	D	В	В	С	D	D	C	C	D	D
Lake Grade				В	В	C	C	D	C	C	D	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	D	С	D	D			С	D	
CLA	В	С	С	С			С	D	
Secchi	С	D	F	F			D	D	
Lake Grade	C	C	D	D			C	D	

#### Shields Lake (82-0162) Comfort Lake — Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Bob Roethke

Shields Lake is located in the city of Forest Lake (Washington County). It has a surface area of 27 acres, with 85 percent of the area considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 — 15 feet depth zone dominated by aquatic vegetation.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	184	141	291	F
CLA (µg/l)	26	3.5	70	С
Secchi (m)	1.6	0.8	2.6	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.35	0.45	2.20	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	16.0		3.5	141	2.6	2	2
6/3	20.3		8.2	141	2.1	3	3
6/17	22.9		13	291	1.8	3	3
8/26	25.8		34	148	0.8	2	2
9/8	22.4		70	199	0.8	2	2
10/7	18.0		35	247	1.0	2	2
10/19	10.0		36	241	1.4	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

C

C

D

#### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP									F	D		D
CLA									D	D		С
Secchi											F	С
Lake Grade												C
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		F	D	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
CLA		С	С	С	В	A	С	С	С	С	С	С
Secchi		С	С	В	В	В	С	С	С	С	С	С
Lake Grade		D	C	C	С	С	D	D	D	D	D	D
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		F	F	F	F						F	

C

C

D

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

C

C

D

D

D

D

D

C

D

CLA

Secchi

Lake Grade

#### Simley Lake (19–0037) City of Inver Grove Heights

Volunteer: Dakota Soil & Water Conservation District staff

Simley Lake is located in the city of Inver Grove Heights (Dakota County). It is small lake at a surface area of 14 acres. The maximum depth is 5.2 m. The lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a temperature gradient caused by changing water temperature through the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	43	21	84	С
CLA (µg/l)	23	4.5	51	С
Secchi (m)	1.6	0.7	2.4	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.19	0.58	1.80	
			Lake Grade	С

The water quality was characterized by a lake grade of C for 2012, which is similar to water quality observed in the early 2000s and 1993. Continued monitoring is suggested to continue to the build the water quality database after a 10 year break.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




## 


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/25	14.6		3.0	50	2.1	1	1
5/15	19.9		5.5	30	2.2	1	1
6/12	23.0		4.5	29	2.4	1	1
7/10	29.2		9.8	21	1.8	1	1
8/9	26.2		44	51	0.8	4	4
9/14	19.5		51	84	0.7	4	4
10/2	16.5		25	44	1.1	3	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

			1						1			
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С		С	С	С	C	С	С	С	С	
CLA		С		В	A	A	A	С	В	С	С	
Secchi		С		С	С	С	С	D	D	С	C	
Lake Grade		C		C	В	В	В	С	C	C	С	
	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	00	2000	2010	2011	201	_
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP											C	
CLA											C	
Secchi											С	
Lake Grade	9										C	

#### South Oak Lake (27-0661) City of St. Louis Park

Volunteer: John Graff

South Oak is a small shallow lake located within City of St. Louis Park (Hennepin County). There are few known morphological data available for the lake.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	111	77	197	D
CLA (µg/l)	29	10	66	С
Secchi (m)	0.5	0.2	0.8	F
TKN (mg/l)	1.41	0.92	2.00	
			Lake Grade	D

The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The lake grades have varied in the C to F range over time.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


#### **South Oak Lake**

St. Louis Park, Hennepin Co.


Lake ID: 270661-00


Sampling site
 Contours in meters






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	16.0		25	100	0.4	1	4
5/1	16.4		10	77	0.5	2	4
5/15	25.8		17	122	0.2	2	5
6/1	20.3		19	98	0.7	2	4
6/16	23.4		23	77	0.8	2	4
7/9	30.7		66	197	0.4	2	4
7/25	28.9		43	126	0.5	2	4
8/11	22.1		44	117	0.6	2	4
8/24	26.8		24	83	0.6	2	4
9/18	16.7		15	99	0.5	2	4
10/2	15.9		40	96	0.4	2	4
10/16	12.3		1.0	52	0.6	2	4





- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

D

#### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												D	D
CLA												D	С
Secchi												D	F
Lake Grade												D	D
				_									
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				D	F		F		С	С	С	D	
CLA				С	F		F		С	В	В	С	
Secchi				D	F		F		С		D	F	

F

F

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

Lake Grade

#### South School Section Lake (82-0151) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

South School Section Lake is located in southeastern Hugo Township in Washington County. The 125-acre lake has a maximum depth of 8.0 m (26 feet).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	60	22	108	С
CLA (µg/l)	14	3.0	29	В
Secchi (m)	2.1	0.8	4.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.95	0.40	1.30	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The lake has typically received C lake grades with the occasional B grade.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.4	10.6	5.8	41	2.7	2	2
4/30	12.7	10.0	4.9	24	3.4	2	2
5/14	18.2	9.2	3.0	28	3.4	2	2
5/30	18.9	6.7		30	2.7	2	3
6/12	22.8	7.5	8.2	22	4.0	2	3
6/25	23.7	9.3	10		2.7	2	2
7/9	28.0	8.6	16	62	1.5	3	3
7/23	27.6	5.8	7.5	63	2.0	2	3
8/6	25.3	6.4	29	86	0.8	3	3
8/20	22.1	8.0	8.9	98	1.5	2	3
9/4	24.7	7.5	20	108	1.4	3	3
9/17	18.9	8.0	22	45	1.2	2	2
10/1	15.7	8.7	10	37	2.3	2	2
10/15	9.2	8.5	13	33	3.0	2	1







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

#### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	198	30	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP														
CLA														
Secchi														
Lake Grade														
Year	199	92	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					С	С		(	:					
CLA					С	С		(	2					
Secchi					С	С		(	:					
Lake Grade					C	C		(	7					
	•			•										
Year		20	004	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				С	С	С		С		С	С	С	С	
CLA				С	С	С		В		В	С	С	В	
Secchi				В	С	С		С		В	С	С	С	

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Lake Grade

#### Spring Lake (70–0054) Prior Lake - Spring Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Jim Weninger

Spring Lake is located in Spring Lake Township (Scott County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 630 acres. The maximum and mean depths of the lake are 11.3 and 5.6 m (37 and 18 feet), respectively.

In an attempt to improve the lake's water quality, a ferric chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>) addition system was constructed at the outlet of the Highway 13 wetland in 1998. Continuous operation started in 1999. The system was designed to enhance phosphorus (P) removal from the discharge of the wetland prior to entering the lake. The system consists of a dosing station at the outlet of the wetland, followed by a settling basin. The dosing station meters FeCl<sub>3</sub> into the wetland outlet. The FeCl<sub>3</sub> dissassociates into free iron (Fe) where it combines with P to form an insoluble Fe-P complex called floc. The desiltation basin then provides an area where the floc can settle out and be removed. The watershed district continues to monitor the effectiveness of the system.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	113	66	200	D
CLA (µg/l)	94	35	190	F
Secchi (m)	0.8	0.5	1.0	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.92	1.60	2.20	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D in 2012. The lake grades have varied from Cs to Ds since 1980. Continued monitoring is suggested to provide water quality data for supporting the PLSLWD's efforts in managing Spring Lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

# Spring Lake Prior Lake/Spring Lake Twp., Scott Co. Lake ID: 700054-00 Sampling site Contours in meters


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
7/4	30.7		160	70	0.8	3	2
7/21			42	73	1.0	2	1
8/4	26.3		35	66	1.0	2	2
8/18	24.4		79	157	0.5	4	3
8/30	24.5		56	113	0.8	3	3
9/16	20.3		190	200	0.6	3	2
9/30	18.7				0.9	2	2
10/6			90	218	0.7	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	F	D	D		D							
CLA	С	С	С		D						С	
Secchi	С	В	С	С	С	D	D	D	D	С	В	D
Lake Grade	D	C	C		D							

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					D	D			F	D	D	D
CLA					С	С			D	D	F	C
Secchi	C	C	C	C	D	D			C	D	F	C
Lake Grade					D	D			D	D	F	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	D	D	D	С	С	D	D	D	D
CLA	D	С	С		D	С	С	D	F
Secchi	D	С	С	D	D	D	D	D	D
Lake Grade	D	C	C	C	D	D	D	D	D

## Square Lake (82-0046) Marine on St. Croix Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Square Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value and exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). The lake has a surface area of 193 acres, and a maximum and mean depth of 20.7 and 9.0 m, respectively. The lake has a trout fishery (MDNR 1996).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	13	6	30	A
CLA (µg/l)	2.9	1.7	4.4	A
Secchi (m)	5.5	4.6	7.0	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.51	0.41	0.65	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake continues to receive A lake grades. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends for this outstanding water resource.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	12.1	11.7	4.1	16	6.1	1	1
5/10	16.2	10.6	4.4	13	4.6	1	1
5/23	19.4	8.4	3.0	8	5.0	1	1
6/4	20.7	9.7	2.5	15	5.8	2	1
6/18	22.8		2.3	6	5.8	2	1
7/2	27.2	9.0	1.7	10	5.2	1	1
7/17	29.7	8.6	1.9	9	4.9	2	1
7/31	27.3	8.0	3.2	11	5.5	1	1
8/13	24.6	9.1	3.4	9	5.2	2	1
8/27	24.1	8.7	3.1	30	5.2	2	2
9/12	21.7	8.3	3.4	27	6.1	1	1
9/25	16.5	8.8	3.0	9	7.0	1	1
10/12	11.9	8.7	5.7	31	4.1	1	1
10/23	11.6	8.6	5.8	27	5.8	1	1







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	В	Α	A	A	A	A				A		
CLA	A	A	A	A	A	A				A		
Secchi	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	A	A	A	A	A	A				A		
			ı	1			ı			I		I
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α
CLA		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Secchi		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Lake Grade		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	A	A				A	A	A	
CLA		A	A	A	A				A	A	A	
Secchi		A	A	A	A	A	١	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	e	A	A	A	A				A	A	A	

#### St. Joe Lake (10-0011) City of Chanhassen

Volunteer: Sue Morgan, Linda Scott

St. Joe Lake is a 14-acre lake located within the City of Chanhassen (Carver County). It has a maximum depth of 15.9 m (52 ft).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	17	11	22	A
CLA (µg/l)	3.9	2.4	5.9	A
Secchi (m)	2.7	2.5	2.9	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.82	0.74	0.87	
			Lake Grade	A

The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The lake has varied in the A to B lake grade range. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
6/16	23.6		4.7	14	2.9	3	1
7/15	29.2		2.4	19	2.8	3	1
8/12	23.5		5.9	18	2.7	3	1
8/25	25.0		2.4	11	2.8	2	1
9/23	15.4		3.9	22	2.5	1	1
10/7	11.7		5.1	17	2.7	1	1
10/21	10.4		13	13	2.4	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1980	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi			С		В							
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	С	A	A	A	С	A	A	A	
CLA		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Secchi		В	A	В	A	I	3	A	В	В	В	
Lake Grade	:	A	A	В	A	A	<b>\</b>	В	A	A	A	

#### Sunfish Lake (19–0050) City of Sunfish Lake

Volunteer: James Stowell

Sunfish Lake is located in the City of Sunfish Lake (Dakota County). The lake has a surface area of 49 acres and a maximum depth of 9.8 m (32 ft).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	40	14	101	С
CLA (µg/l)	19	1.3	62	В
Secchi (m)	2.7	0.4	5.0	В
TKN (mg/l)	1.12	0.58	2.30	
			Lake Grade	В

The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012. The water clarity average of 2.7 m in 2012 continues to be better than in previous years, although not as high as 2011's 3.3 m, which was the highest seasonal mean in the lake's CAMP historical database. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database so as to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

#### **Sunfish Lake** Sunfish Lake, Dakota Co.


Lake ID: 190050-00



### 120 Total Phosphorus (µg/I) 100 5/11 5/31 6/20 7/10 7/30 8/19 9/28 10/18

#### 2012 Data


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	11.5		1.6	9	6.0	1	1
5/3	19.3		1.3	15	5.0	1	2
5/14	18.6		2.3	14	4.5	2	2
6/2	20.5		4.5	17	3.5	2	2
6/11	23.7		3.5	16	4.0	2	2
6/29	25.3		1.3	23	3.7	3	3
7/10	28.2		3.6	42	3.0	2	2
7/27	29.9		21	43	1.1	4	3
8/25	27.1		62	62	0.4	4	4
9/5	24.0		49	71	0.7	4	4
9/23	17.2		37	101	0.9	2	4
10/2	15.6		29	60	1.0	4	4
10/16	10.0		19	65	1.6	3	4



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color

8/19

- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi					С	С	С					С
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				С	С	(	C	В	С	В	С	
CLA				С	С	(	C	В	С	В	В	
Secchi				D	С	(	2	В	В	A	В	
Lake Grade	ρ.			C	С		7	В	С	В	В	

#### Sunfish Lake [Lake Elmo] (82-0107) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Sunfish Lake is a 50-acre lake located in the City of Lake Elmo (Washington County). The lake has a maximum depth of approximately 3.4 m (11 ft). The lake has a 526-acre immediate drainage area, which results in a watershed-to-lake area ratio of approximately 11:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade	
TP (µg/l)	61	47	79	С	
CLA (µg/l)	22	5.1	60	С	
Secchi (m)	1.1	0.8	1.5	D	
TKN (mg/l)	1.67	1.40	2.00		
			Lake Grade	С	


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The lake has received lake grades varying from C's to D's since 2000. Continued monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for this lake.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/9	19.7	10.9	14	58	1.2	2	3
6/4	26.2	11.1	5.1	74	1.5	2	3
6/19	23.4	9.0	17	47	1.2	3	3
7/19	28.7	6.7	14	53	1.2	2	3
8/13	25.8	8.7	19	54	0.9	3	4
9/12	20.3	8.1	60	79	0.8	3	4
10/9	9.8	11.2	50	116	0.8	3	3







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1983	1980	1987	1900	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									С			
CLA									С			
Secchi									D			
Lake Grade									C			
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			С	С		Ι	)			С	С	
CLA			С	С		(	C			С	С	
Secchi			F	F		I	7			С	D	
Lake Grade	2		D	D		I	)			C	C	

# Sunnybrook Lake (82-0133) Valley Branch Watershed District

Volunteer: Bob Kisch

Sunnybrook Lake is a 16-acre lake located within Grant Township (Washington County). The maximum and mean depths of the lake are 6.1 and 2.0 m (20.0 and 6.5 feet), respectively. The majority of the lake's area is considered littoral zone (the area of aquatic vegetation dominance).


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	21	16	28	A
CLA (µg/l)	4.8	2.0	15	A
Secchi (m)	2.8	1.9	3.4	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.68	0.47	0.87	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012. The lake grades have varied between A and B for the past 11 years. Continued monitoring is suggested to help determine the trend direction, if any, of the varying water quality of this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	11.5		3.5	31	3.9	1	2
5/2	17.8		2.0	21	3.4	1	1
5/16	22.4		2.0	17	3.4		1
5/31	21.5		3.4	27	2.8	1	1
6/15	21.3		2.6	19	3.1	1	1
6/24	25.9		5.1	28	2.8	2	1
7/9			2.5	19	2.7	2	1
7/27			5.6	26	2.8	1	2
8/8	26.7		4.2	18	2.8	1	2
8/24			6.9	16	2.7	2	2
9/3	26.8		3.6	17	2.4	1	1
9/19	17.5		15	18	1.9		1
10/7	10.8		11	41	2.3	1	2
10/22	11.5		9.2	32	3.0		1







4 = High Algal Color

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

10/18


11/27

3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									С		В	В	С
CLA									В		A	A	A
Secchi									С		В	В	С
Lake Grade									C		В	В	В
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		В	С	В	В	1	Λ		A	A	С	A	
CLA		A	В	A	A	1	A.		A	A	A	A	
Secchi		В	В	В	В	l	3		В	В	В	В	
Lake Grade	2	В	В	В	В	A	<b>\</b>		A	A	В	A	

# Sunset Lake (82-0153) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Dianne Coderre

Sunset Lake is located in the southern portion of the City of Hugo (Washington County). It has a surface area of 124 acres and a maximum depth of 5.2 m (17 ft). Nearly the entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	25	14	38	В
CLA (µg/l)	6.5	2.5	15	A
Secchi (m)	3.2	3.0	3.4	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.68	0.53	0.77	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012, which is a return to similar water quality observed in recent years. According to the historical water quality database, the water quality of the lake has improved over the past 25 years, as demonstrated by the shift from mostly C lake grades received in the period 1993-1999 to A lake grades in the period 2001-2010. Furthermore, Secchi depths were measured throughout the mid- to late-1980's as part of the MPCA's volunteer program. Secchi grades in the 1980s were in the C to D range.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/9	18.9		15	31	3.2	2	1
5/25	21.4		3.8	35	3.3	2	1
6/8	25.9		6.0	16	3.3	3	3
6/24	26.1		10	25	3.4	2	3
7/9	30.1		4.9	14	3.0	2	3
7/14			5.0			2	3
7/29	29.4		4.5	19	3.0	2	3
9/3	27.8		2.5	38	3.0	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

A

В

В

A

A

A

A

# Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP					D	_						
CLA					С							
Secchi					С	D	C	D	D	С	С	
Lake Grade					C							
			_									
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		С	В	C	С	С	С	С	В	A	A	A
CLA		В	В	В	С	С	В	В	A	A	A	A
Secchi		С	В	С	В	C	C	С	В	A	A	A
Lake Grade		C	В	C	C	C	C	C	В	A	A	A
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	A	A	A	Α	A	A	В	В	

Α

В

 $\mathbf{A}$ 

A

A

A

A

В

A

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

A

A

A

A

A

A

A

A

A

CLA

Secchi

Lake Grade

# Sunset Pond (19-0451) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Dan Wallace

Sunset Pond, a 60-acre man-made lake, is located in the City of Burnsville (Dakota County). It has been involved in CAMP since 1994 (with an omission in 1999). The pond has a normal maximum depth of 3.7m (12 ft). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The pond collects drainage from a portion of the cities of Burnsville's and Savage's storm water conveyance systems, including outflow from Crystal and Earley lakes. Because the lake was created to detain storm water, the pond can experience extreme bounce in its water level during runoff conditions. The pond has been designated by the MN DNR as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	60	42	80	С
CLA (µg/l)	6.1	3.6	12	A
Secchi (m)	2.0	1.5	2.3	С
TKN (mg/l)	0.93	0.75	1.20	
			Lake Grade	В


The pond received a lake grade of B for 20121, which is consistent with lake grades received since 2007. The pond experiences variability in its water quality as demonstrated by the variation in the historical lake grades. The lake typically receives a B or C lake grade. The Secchi grade of C does not correlate well with the CLA grade of A. One possible explanation for this incongruency may be that the water clarity may be affected by higher levels of total suspended solids from surface runoff via the surrounding urbanized watershed. In this scenario, higher loadings of suspended solids could cause a decrease in water clarity which would decrease light penetration, thereby inhibiting algal growth. In other words, the algal population may be light-limited rather than nutrient-limited.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23	11.8		12	131	2.0	3	3
5/4	20.5		7.8	64	1.5	3	3
5/21	18.2		5.3	57	2.0	2	3
6/3	21.8		5.4	61	2.1	3	4
6/17	22.1		4.8	54	2.1	2	3
7/1	28.5		5.9	80	2.2	3	4
7/15	27.7		5.8	63	2.1	5	4
7/31	30.2		3.9	61	1.6	5	5
8/11	25.1		7.9	64	2.0	5	5
8/26	22.6		12	62	2.0	4	4
9/9	22.9		3.6	42	2.1	4	4
9/25	16.7		5.1	46	2.3	3	4
10/7	8.0		1.3	33	2.4	2	2
10/16	12.2		1.3	56	2.5	2	2







4 = High Algal Color

8/19


5/11

5/31 6/20

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

9/28 10/18

<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



7/10 7/30

- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	С	С	С	С		С	С	С	D
CLA			A	В	В	В	A		A	A	A	В
Secchi			C	C	C	C	С		С	В	В	C
Lake Grade			В	C	C	C	В		В	В	В	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		D		D	С	(	C	С	С	С	С	
CLA		A		В	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Secchi		В		С	С	(	C	С	С	С	C	
Lake Grade		В		С	В	I	3	В	В	В	В	

# Susan Lake (10-0013) City of Chanhassen

Volunteer: The Schultz Family

Susan Lake, located in the City of Chanhassen (Carver County), covers an area of 93 acres and has a maximum depth of 5.2 m (17 feet). Approximately 81 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

Susan Lake is involved in a study on the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), which is an invasive, nonnative fish species, originally from central Asia. The study is being lead by Dr. Peter Sorensen of the the University of Minnesota. The purpose of the study is to develop an integrated management plan for the Riley chain-of-lakes (including Susan Lake) so as to improve the water quality of the lake chain. The activity and feeding behavior of the common carp can wreak havoc on the water quality and ecology of lakes by causing a litany of problems including reduced water clarity, decreased abundance of rooted aquatic vegetation, increase in algal populations, resuspension of sediment, increased internal loading of phosphorus, and negative changes in native fish populations. The long-term goal of the study is to develop a carp management strategy that can be applied to other lakes beyond the study lakes. For more information on this project, please refer to Dr. Sorensen's website at: http://sorensenlab.cfans.umn.edu/home/research/

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	86	29	152	D
CLA (µg/l)	39	4.1	73	С
Secchi (m)	1.0	0.5	3.2	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.71	0.91	2.30	
			Lake Grade	D

The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is similar to the lake grade received in 2008. The lake grades have varied between C and D since 2006. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database so as to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.


# Lake Susan




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/25	16.1		4.1	74	3.0	1	1
5/6	16.0		8.7	56	1.2	2	1
5/18	20.3		4.1	29	3.2	2	1
6/3	21.0		38	77	1.2	3	2
6/23	23.9		36	96	1.0	3	2
6/30	29.9		32	67	0.7	3	3
7/14	27.8		19	65	0.8	2	2
7/28	26.3		53	74	0.7	3	2
8/11	26.0		73	108	0.5	4	3
8/25	23.6		69	102	0.6	3	2
9/9	21.0		42	152	0.8	2	2
9/23	15.4		54	119	0.7	2	1
10/3	17.5		72	119	0.8	2	1
10/15	9.9		24	92	1.0	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				D	С	F	7	D	С	С	D	
CLA				С	С	Ι	)	С	С	С	C	
Secchi				С	С	Ι	)	С	С	С	D	
Lake Grade	е.			С	С	I	)	С	С	С	D	

# Swede Lake (10-0095) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Wayne Hubin

Swede Lake is a 376-acre lake located in Watertown Township (Carver County) with a maximum depth of approximately 4.0 m (13.1 feet). Because of the shallowness of the lake, its entire surface area is considered littoral (the shallow [0-15 foot depth] area dominated by aquatic vegetation). The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion Water Milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	358	189	517	F
CLA (µg/l)	97	1.2	230	F
Secchi (m)	0.3	0.3	0.5	F
TKN (mg/l)	4.27	3.00	6.60	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F. The lake receives typically F lake grades with the occasional D grade. The lake's water quality seems well represented by a lake grade of F with occasional variation.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

# Swede Lake Watertown Twp., Carver Co. Lake ID: 100095-00 Sampling site Contours in meters Sampling site Contours in meters Sampling site Contours in meters


Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/21	12.0		37	325	0.5	2	4
5/6	19.0		39	233	0.4	2	4
5/16	21.0		39	189	0.3	2	4
5/30	20.0		54	250	0.4	2	4
6/12	24.0		28	247	0.3	2	4
6/25	27.0		1.2	256	0.3	3	4
7/15	29.0		120	247	0.3	3	4
7/27	28.0		230	517	0.3	3	4
8/9	26.0		170	463	0.3	3	4
8/25	25.0		170	516	0.5	2	4
9/8	24.0		120	514	0.3	3	4
9/23	17.0		92	506	0.3	3	4
10/7	13.0		68	465	0.3	3	4
10/21	14.0		61	415	0.3	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 1 = Crystal Clear 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					D						D	F	F
CLA					F						D	С	F
Secchi					F						D	С	F
Lake Grade					F						D	D	F
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		F	F	F	F		F		F	F	F	F	
CLA		D	D	F	F		F		F	F	D	F	
Secchi		F	D	F	F		F		F	F	F	F	
Lake Grade	e	F	D	F	F		F		F	F	F	F	

# Sweeney Lake [Site-1, South Site] (27-0035-01) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Dave Hanson

Sweeney Lake is located in the City of Golden Valley (Hennepin County). The lake has a surface area of 66 acres and mean and maximum depths of 3.6 m (12 ft) and 8.0 m (26 ft), respectively. The lake's surface area and a watershed area of 2,400 acres give a large watershed-to-lake area ratio of 36:1. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

The Sweeney Lake branch of Bassett Creek flows into the lake on the south end and discharges at the north end over a dam. Sweeny Lake is connected to Twin Lake during periods of high water levels by a channel. The surface elevations of the two lakes are about the same.

The lake has a hypolimnetic aeration system which generally operates year round. The aeration system keeps the lake well mixed, so it does not develop a thermocline when the system is operational. A thermocline is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

The aeration system was turned off during the monitoring seasons of 2007 and 2008 as part of a total maximum daily load (TMDL) study. The TMDL study was initiated in response to the lake being listed asimpaired in 2004 by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. The impaired listing is due to excessive nutrients.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (μg/l)	47	26	86	С
CLA (µg/l)	14	7.6	28	В
Secchi (m)	1.2	1.0	1.3	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.01	0.71	1.40	
			Lake Grade	С

The south site received a lake grade of C, which is consistent with its historical database. Over the period of the monitoring database, the water quality of the lake seems represented by a lake grade of C. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/1	13.4		8.7	86	1.2	2	1
5/14	18.8		7.6	26	1.3	2	1
5/30	20.0		10	59	1.3	2	2
6/19	23.9		8.4	35	1.3	2	2
6/30	26.0		7.9	28	1.3	3	2
7/12	28.0		18	47	1.2	2	2
8/1	28.2		16	46	1.1	2	2
8/23	24.0		19	38	1.0	2	2
9/7	24.5		28	61	1.0	2	2







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									С	С	С	С
CLA									С	В	В	В
Secchi									D	С	С	С
Lake Grade									C	C	C	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	С	D	С	(		С	С	С	С	
CLA		В	С	С	В	F	3	С	В	В	В	
Secchi		С	С	D	D	(		С	С	С	D	
Lake Grade		С	С	D	С	(	7	С	С	С	С	

# Sylvan Lake (27–0171) Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Gene Wipf

Sylvan Lake is located in the city of Rogers (Hennepin County). The lake has a maximum depth of approximately 4 m and a surface area of about 134 acres. The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0 — 15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic vegetation.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	328	166	501	F
CLA (µg/l)	66	23	160	D
Secchi (m)	0.4	0.3	0.5	F
TKN (mg/l)	3.10	1.80	4.70	
			Lake Grade	F


The lake received a lake grade of F for 2012. The mean Secchi depth for 2012 was notably worse than last measured in 2008. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database for this lake.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	16.0		15	100	0.5	3	4
5/6	22.0		23	166	0.5	3	4
5/20	21.8		45	263	0.5	2	4
6/1	19.2		40	289	0.5	2	4
6/16	21.5		160	501	0.3	4	4
7/1	27.0		77	404	0.3	4	4
7/15	27.1		85	422	0.3	4	4
7/29	26.0		67	378	0.3	4	4
8/12	22.7		46	259	0.3	4	4
8/26	24.0		46	258	0.3	4	4
9/9	20.6		79	384	0.3	3	4
9/23	16.0		56	286	0.5	4	4
10/1	17.6		44	191	0.5	4	4
10/15	14.0		36	198	0.5	4	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi						F						
Lake Grade												
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						F	7				F	
CLA						(	C				D	
Secchi						(					F	
Lake Grade	e					Ι	)				F	

# Sylvan Lake [Half Breed Lake] (82—0080) Comfort Lake – Forest Lake Watershed District

Volunteer: Curt Sparks

Sylvan Lake (also known as Half Breed Lake) is a 75-acre lake located in the city of Forest Lake (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its exceptional water clarity (METC 2007).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	19	7	47	A
CLA (µg/l)	2.8	1.7	5.2	A
Secchi (m)	4.5	3.4	6.2	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.65	0.52	0.91	
			Lake Grade	A

The lake received a lake grade of A for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The historic water quality database indicates that the lake has maintained its high quality over the past 20+ years.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.

### Sylvan Lake (Halfbreed Lake) Forest Lake/Scandia, Washington Co.


LAKE ID: 820080-00


Sampling station
 Contours in meters







Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/3	19.3		2.3	21	5.8	1	1
5/18	19.0		2.9	24	4.0	1	1
5/25	19.1		5.2	14	3.5	1	1
6/15	21.9		2.9	19	4.0	1	1
6/21	23.7		3.0	15	4.4	1	1
7/1	27.0		1.7	7	5.0	1	1
7/9	29.0		2.7	13	3.4	1	1
7/23	28.8		2.7	21	3.9	1	1
7/31	27.3		3.3	15	4.3	1	1
8/24	24.6		2.6	18	4.9	1	1
9/14	20.6		2.0	47	5.1	1	1
9/25	15.2		2.1	11	6.2	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	В	A					С	В	A	A		A
CLA							В	A	A	A		A
Secchi	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Lake Grade							В	A	A	A		A
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP		A			A		A	A	A	A	A	A
CLA		A			A		A	A	A	A	A	A
Secchi	A	A			A		A	A	A	A	A	A
Lake Grade		A			A		A	A	A	A	A	A
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
CLA		A	A		A	A	4	A	A	A	A	
Secchi		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade		A	A		Α	A	4	A	A	A	A	

# Terrapin Lake (82—0031) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Dan Carlson, Warner Nature Center

Terrapin Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). It has a surface area of 86 acres and a maximum depth of 4.6 m (15 ft). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)				
CLA (µg/l)				
Secchi (m)	+ 3.7	+ 3.5	+ 4.1	A
TKN (mg/l)				
			Lake Grade	

(+ means that the true Secchi transparency was greater than indicated in the table because either the Secchi disk was visible on the bottom of the lake or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by light attenuation in the water column.)

No TP, TKN, and CLA samples were collected in 2012. The Secchi disk was visible while resting on the lake bottom or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation during the monitoring events. However, the Secchi depths were greater than 3.0 m in these cases, which translates into an A Secchi grade. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	12.5				+ 4.0	1	2
5/18	21.2				> 4.1	2	3
6/11	25.3				> 3.8	2	3
7/9	29.6				> 3.5	2	3
8/6	27.0				> 3.6	2	3
9/3	25.2				> 3.5	2	3
10/1	15.3				+ 3.7	2	3

<sup>+</sup> means the Secchi disk was visible on the bottom of the lake at the depth indicated.

<sup>&</sup>gt; means the visibility of the Secchi disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation at the depth indicated.



4 = No Swimming; Boating OK

5 = No Aesthetics Possible

1 = Beautiful

2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

3 = Swimming Impaired

Lake Grade	2	A	A	В	В				A			
Secchi		A	A	A	В	A	1	A	A	A	A	
CLA		A	A	A	A				A			
TP		В	A	C	В				A			
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991

# Turtle Lake (82—0036) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Turtle Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). The lake has a surface area of 44 acres, and has a maximum and mean depth of 2.4 m (7.9 ft) and 1.2 m (3.9 ft), respectively. It has an approximate volume of 172 ac-ft. The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake's watershed area is approximately 699 acres. The lake has 16:1 watershed-to-lake area ratio. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	58	20	90	С
CLA (µg/l)	13	3.4	26	В
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	0.97	0.76	1.10	
			Lake Grade	


Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because either the disk was visible on the lake bottom or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There were no Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. Continued monitoring is suggested to build the water quality database after a 10 year break in monitoring nutrients and chlorophyll.


A review of the historical water quality database shows that lake grades and individual parameter grades (particulary chlorophyll-a and secchi depth grades) seem to have improved during the period from 1991-2008. However, a lake grade has not been able to be determined since 2003. To better understand the lake's water quality and where it may be heading, continued monitoring is suggested particulary for adding the two other trophic indicator parameters, TP and CLA, so as to determine an up to date lake grade.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SU- RFT- EMP (° C)	SU- RF DO (mg/ L)	CLA (µg/ L)	SU- RF TP (µg/ L)	Sec- chi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/23			5.5	32			
4/24	13.4	11.4				2	3
5/23	19.2	5.6	25	62		2	4
7/3	27.5	5.3	3.6	56		2	4
7/31	30.9	12.7	26	90		3	4
8/27	23.8	14.7	7.3	62		3	4
9/24	13.8	13.2	3.4	20		2	4
10/23	11.6	10.7	8.7	29		2	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

							T .			1		
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												F
CLA												F
Secchi												F
Lake Grade												F
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP	F				С	С	С	В	D	С		D
CLA	F				D	D	D	С	В	В		В
Secchi	F	D	С	D	D	D	D	C	С	С	С	C
Lake Grade	F				D	D	D	C	С	C		C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP											С	
CLA											В	
Secchi		С	С	С	С	(	C	С	С			
Lake Grade	e											

# Twin Lake [Burnsville] (19–0028) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: Dan Freeman

Twin Lake is an 11-acre lake located in the City of Burnsville (Dakota County). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Furthermore, the lake does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The lake has been designated by the MN DNR has being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	30	19	51	В
CLA (µg/l)	7.0	2.9	12	A
Secchi (m)				
TKN (mg/l)	0.77	0.47	1.20	
			Lake Grade	


Most of the Secchi depth measurements were not attainable because either the disk was visible on the lake bottom or the visibility of the disk was blocked by aquatic vegetation rather than by water clarity. There were insufficient quantity of Secchi depth data to calculate a Secchi grade, and therefore no lake grade was calculated. The summer-time means for TP and CLA were similar in 2012 as they were in 2011.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	13.5		4.7	22	2.5	3	
5/6	16.7		5.6	26	1.6	2	4
5/20	20.5		5.0	51		3	4
6/3	22.2		5.0	19		4	4
6/17	21.4		2.9	50		3	
7/9	29.8		12	24		4	
7/15	29.8		9.4	28		3	4
7/29	24.4		5.2	29		3	
8/12	21.8		12	28		4	
8/26							
9/8	23.0		8.4	23		3	4
9/23	15.1		4.1	21		3	4
10/7	11.0		4.8	18		2	4
10/21	11.8		12	35			








11/27

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>9/8 10/18 11</sup> 4 = High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence

# Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP									D		С	С	С
CLA									В		A	A	A
Secchi									D		С	С	С
Lake Grade									C		В	В	В
Year	2	.004	2005	2006	2007	- 2	2008	:	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP			С	D	С		С		С	С	В	В	
CLA			A	С	A		В		В	С	A	A	
Secchi			С	С	С		С		С	С	В		
Lake Grade	2		В	C	В		С		C	C	В		

# Twin Lake [St. Louis Park] (27–0656) City of St. Louis Park

Volunteer: Paul O'Brien

Twin Lake is a small shallow lake located within the city of St. Louis Park (Hennepin County). Bathymetric information is unknown for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

# 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	197	123	341	F
CLA (µg/l)	67	25	160	D
Secchi (m)	0.8	0.4	2.6	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.99	0.99	3.80	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with its water quality database over the past 6 years. The Secchi grade remains poor with a grade of a low D. Secchi grades in 2002-2004 were Ds, but since then water clarity grades degressed to Fs. Also, the CLA grades have reduced from a B grade in 2002; to C grades in 2003, 2005, and 2006; to D grades in 2007, 2008, and 2010; and to F grades in 2009 and 2011. These observations seem to indicate that the water quality for Twin Lake has degraded since 2002. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to observe if this trend continues or not.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/21	13.0		76	98	0.9	2	2
4/30	14.0		36	98	0.9	2	2
5/14	20.0		25	123	0.9	2	2
5/29	18.7		34	183	2.6	2	2
6/11	24.0		34	276	0.7	3	2
6/30	30.0		74	226	0.5	4	4
7/9	28.5		120	341	0.4	5	4
7/30	25.0		160	174	0.6	4	4
8/9	24.5		78	135	0.6	4	4
8/26	27.0		57	133	0.7		4
9/9	22.0		36	208	0.5	4	4
9/23	14.7		55	169	0.4	4	4
10/8	9.4		65	117	0.5	4	4
10/15	9.0		35	152	0.4	5	4







<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>4 =</sup> High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 198	7 1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
		•	-									
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 199	9 2000	2001	2002	2003
TP											F	F
CLA											В	С
Secchi											D	D
Lake Grade											D	D
		•	-									
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		F	F	D	F	I	)	F	D	F	F	
CLA		D	С	С	D	I	)	F	D	F	D	
Secchi		D	F	F	F	I	7	F	F	F	D	

F

D

F

D

F

D

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

D

Lake Grade

D

D

# Twin Lake [May Township] (82–0048) Carnelian — Marine — St. Croix Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Twin Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). The lake is considered an METC Priority Lake for its exceptional water clarity (METC 2007). The south basin has a maximum depth of 10 m (33ft). Other bathymetric information is unknown for this lake. The lake's inflow receives water from Square Lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)				
CLA (µg/l)				
Secchi (m)	4.8	3.7	6.1	A
TKN (mg/l)				
			Lake Grade	


The lake received a Secchi grade of A for 2012, which is consistent with its limited historical database. A lake grade was not determined because TP and CLA were not monitored. Further monitoring is suggested to continue to build the water quality database for increasing power to detect water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	15.6	11.4			4.9	1	1
5/23	20.0	8.6			5.3	1	1
7/2	27.9	8.4			6.1	1	1
7/31	28.0	7.5			3.7	1	1
8/28	25.0	8.3			4.7	1	1
9/25	16.8	8.8			4.4	1	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

				T I								
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP						A	A					
CLA						A	A					
Secchi						A	A					
Lake Grade						A	A					
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP						A	١	A				
CLA						A	1	A				
Secchi						A	1	A	A	A	A	
Lake Grade	e					A	1	A				

### Valley Lake (19–0348) City of Lakeville

Volunteer: City of Lakeville staff

Valley Lake is located in the City of Lakeville (Dakota County). The surface area of the lake is 8 acres, and it has a maximum depth of 3.2 m (10 ft). The entire lake is considered littoral zone, which is the shallow 0-15 feet depth zone that is typically dominated by aquatic plants. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

The lake has been involved in a project in which barley straw or crushed corn was added to the lake in an attempt to inhibit algal populations. CAMP data were used to evaluate the effectiveness of these additions. Refer to McComas and Stuckert (2009b) for details on the project.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Parameter Mean		Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	87	54	132	D
CLA (µg/l)	28	11	42	С
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.9	1.8	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.22	1.00	1.50	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012. The lake grades have varied in the range of B to D for the past 18 years.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.


The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/18	13.0		14	46	1.5	2	1
5/4			11	76	1.7	2	1
5/14	20.0		15	54	1.8	2	3
5/31	17.0		33	89	1.7	2	2
6/13	22.0		34	96	1.5	3	3
6/28	26.0		42	79	1.5	3	2
7/9	28.0		27	97	1.2	3	4
7/26	28.0		39	115	1.1	4	4
8/7	28.0		34	132	1.1	2	4
8/20	23.0		30	56	1.1	3	4
9/6	24.0		11	84	0.9	3	4
9/20	16.0		34	79	1.0	3	3
10/2	16.0		9.7	67	2.0	2	1







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

D

### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	36	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP													
CLA													
Secchi													
Lake Grade													
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	98	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP				D	D	С				С	С	С	С
CLA				С	С	С			С	В	A	A	В
Secchi				D	D	D			D	С	С	В	В
Lake Grade				D	D	C				С	В	В	В
					T							1	
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	2	800	2	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		C	С	D	D		С		С	D	D	D	
CLA		С	С	D	С		С		A	D	С	С	
Secchi		С	С	D	С		С		В	С	С	С	

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Lake Grade

C

### Waconia Lake (10-0059) Carver County Environmental Services

Volunteer: Carver County staff

Lake Waconia is located near the City of Waconia (Carver County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its high regional recreation value (METC 2007). The lake is one of the largest bodies of water in the region with a surface area of approximately 3,000 acres. It has mean and maximum depths of 4.0 m and 11.3 m (13 ft and 47 ft), respectively. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	35	14	59	С
CLA (µg/l)	15	2.3	35	В
Secchi (m)	2.5	1.3	5.1	В
TKN (mg/l)	0.90	0.48	1.30	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with its historical database. The lake grades fluctuate from year to year, but generally the lake receives either a B or C lake grade.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	10.6	13.0	1.9	154	4.9	1	1
5/3	15.8	11.9	2.4	14	5.0	1	1
5/16	19.2	8.7	2.3	16	5.1	2	2
5/31	18.6	9.6	7.9	25	2.6	2	1
6/20	22.4	7.9	6.3	19	2.3	2	2
6/28	24.1	6.5	5.0	18	2.6	2	2
7/10	28.5	9.0	6.7	31			
7/27	26.4	5.7	24	46	1.6	3	2
8/9	25.4	9.2	20	46	1.5	3	3
8/21	23.0	15.5	34	58	1.4	2	2
9/5	24.8	13.1	35	59	1.3	3	3
9/25	15.6	7.8	20	47	1.7	3	2
10/2	16.1	7.8	15	52	2.7	1	1
10/16	10.8	14.3	6.7	34	4.9	1	1







<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible

5 = Severe Algal Bloom

3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP	С	В				В						
CLA	C	В				В					C	
Secchi	C	C	C	C	D	С	C	С	D	C	C	C
Lake Grade	C	В		·	_	В					_	

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			A	A	В	В	C	C	С	С	В	С
CLA			A	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
Secchi	С	С	A	В	С	С	C	C	С	В	В	С
Lake Grade			A	В	В	В	C	C	C	В	В	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	В	В	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
CLA	В	В	С	В	С	A	С	С	В
Secchi	С	A	В	С	В	A	С	В	В
Lake Grade	В	В	C	C	C	В	C	C	В

### West Boot Lake (82–0044) Carnelian — Marine Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

West Boot Lake is located in May Township (Washington County). It is considered a Priority Lake by the Metropolitan Council for its exceptional water clarity. The 110-acre lake has a mean and maximum depth of 5.9 m (19 feet) and 11.9 m (39 feet), respectively. The mean depth of the lake and its surface area translate to an approximate lake volume of 2,090 ac-ft. Approximately 56 percent of the lake's surface area is considered littoral zone, which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. The lake's 209-acre immediate watershed translates to a 2:1 watershed-to-lake area ratio. The greater the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake from surface runoff.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	24	16	33	В
CLA (µg/l)	3.2	2.1	5.4	A
Secchi (m)	4.4	3.2	6.7	A
TKN (mg/l)	0.70	0.65	0.74	
			Lake Grade	A


The lake received parameter and lake grades in 2012 that were consistent with its historical database.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/24	14.1	10.6	3.7	17	6.7	1	1
5/23	20.3	7.7	5.4	33	3.7	2	1
7/3	27.3	9.0	3.2	18	4.0	1	1
7/31	26.8	5.1	2.1	16	4.3	1	2
8/28	23.1	6.9	2.6	28	3.2	2	1
9/25	14.9	6.8	2.7	24	6.7	1	1
10/22	10.6	9.2	8.2	21	4.9	2	2





1 = Crystal Clear

0 └─ 4/1

- 9/8 10/18 11 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom


11/27

3 = Definite Algal Presence

5/11

6/20

7/30



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												С
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					В	С	A	A	A	A	A	В
CLA					A	В	C	A	A	A	A	A
Secchi					В	С	В	A	A	A	A	A
Lake Grade					В	C	В	A	A	A	A	A
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		A	A	A	В						В	
CLA		A	A	A	A						A	
Secchi		A	Α	A	A	A	A	A	Α		A	
Lake Grade	e	A	A	A	A						A	

### Westwood Lake (27–0711) Bassett Creek Watershed Management Organization

Volunteer: Westwood Nature Center

Westwood Lake is located in the City of St. Louis Park (Hennepin County). The lake has a surface are of 41 acres and a maximum depth of 2.0 m (6.6 ft). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	116	14	356	D
CLA (µg/l)	3.7	1.5	7.4	A
Secchi (m)	1.2	1.0	1.4	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.21	0.87	1.50	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C in 2012, which is consistent with its historical database. The lake grades have varied mainly in the Cs and Bs.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (μg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	13.0		13	28	1.5	2	3
5/20	20.0		7.4	167	1.4	1	1
6/11	27.5		1.5	356	1.3	2	3
6/22	24.8		2.6	14	1.3	1	1
7/6	31.4		2.4	18	1.0	2	3
8/3	27.8		4.6	24	1.3	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
		F									
		C									
		D									
		D									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	С							В	В	С	С
	C							В	C	В	A
	C							C	C	С	С
	C							В	C	C	В
		1992 1993 C C C C	F C D D D D D C C C C C C C C C C C C C	F C D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	F C D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	С	D	D	С	В	С	A	С	D
CLA	A	С	В	В	A	В	A	A	A
Secchi	С	С	С	С	D	D	С	D	С
Lake Grade	В	C	C	C	В	C	В	C	C

### White Rock Lake (82–0072) Rice Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: David Bluhm

White Rock Lake is a 65-acre lake located in Washington County. There is no other known morphological data for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	82	37	194	D
CLA (µg/l)	40	5.8	100	С
Secchi (m)	1.2	0.6	2.0	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.72	1.10	2.80	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C in 2012, which is the third year in a row where a C lake grade was received. Recent years' water quality appears to be an improvement compared to water quality observed about 5 to 6 years ago. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database so as to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	10.7		3.6	29	2.4	1	1
5/4	18.0		5.8	38	1.7	1	1
5/17	18.7		6.5	120	1.6	1	1
5/28	20.5		9.8	41	1.5	1	1
6/14	19.2		8.6	42	1.8	1	1
6/28	24.6		11	37	2.0	1	1
7/10	28.3		15	51	1.5	2	2
7/26	27.3		83	194	0.7	3	3
8/11	24.2		95	96	0.6	4	4
8/25	23.5		100	95	0.6	4	4
9/3	24.1		67	107	0.7	3	3
9/17	17.9				0.9	2	2
10/1	15.3				1.5	1	1
10/15	9.4		4.8	50	2.2	1	1







<sup>3 =</sup> Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

<sup>4 =</sup> High Algal Color

<sup>2 =</sup> Some Algae Present

<sup>5 =</sup> Severe Algal Bloom

Lake Grade	、 l			D	D	T	•	D	C	C		
Secchi				F	F	Ι	)	D	D	C	C	
CLA				С	C	(		C	C	С	C	
TP				D	D	Ι	)	D	C	С	D	
Year	2	004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 19	99 200	0 2001	2002	2003
Lake Grade												
Secchi												
CLA												
TP												
Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	198	6 19	37 198	8 1989	1990	1991

### Wilmes Lake (82–0090) City of Woodbury

Volunteer: Bill Aamodt

Wilmes Lake is located in the City of Woodbury (Washington County). The lake has a surface area of 41 acres and a maximum depth of 5.5 m (18 feet). The lake has a watershed area of 2,247 acres which gives a large watershed-to-lake area ratio of 55:1. The larger the ratio, the greater the potential stress on the lake quality from surface runoff. The MN DNR has designated the lake as being infested with Eurasion water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*).

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	79	38	184	D
CLA (µg/l)	25	6.7	67	С
Secchi (m)	1.3	0.8	2.5	С
TKN (mg/l)	1.51	0.91	1.90	
			Lake Grade	С


The lake received a lake grade of C for 2012, which is consistent with its historical water quality database. The water quality of the lake varies between a lake grade of C and D, with C's dominating since 2006.


The 1994 and 1995 CAMP monitoring was performed in the northern basin of Wilmes Lake, while the 1996-2012 monitoring was performed in the lake's south basin.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.



Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/22	12.7		8.2	68	1.7	1	1
5/9	17.9		23	98	1.4	2	2
5/22	21.3		6.7	42	2.5	3	3
6/3	22.7		53	74	1.0	5	4
6/29	27.1		9.3	41	1.8	3	3
7/11	29.8		19	38	1.4	3	3
7/26	27.9		31	173	1.2	4	3
8/10	25.5		19	50	1.3	4	3
8/24	23.6		8.8	51	1.0	4	3
9/9	22.7		14	42	1.0	3	3
9/23	15.8		67	184	0.8	4	3
10/7	12.0		60	187	0.8	3	3
10/21	10.5		33	155	1.0	3	3







- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem

3 = Definite Algal Presence

- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

С

D

D

C

C

C

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP			С	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
CLA			В	В	С	С	С	C	С	С	D	С
Secchi			В	C	С	D	D	C	С	D	D	С
Lake Grade			В	C	C	D	D	C	C	D	D	C
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP		С	D	D	D	(	C	С	D	С	D	

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

С

D

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

C

C

C

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

В

C

C

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

C

C

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

CLA

Secchi

Lake Grade

### Wing Lake (27–0091) Nine Mile Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: John Burton, Mary Quinn

Wing Lake is located within the City of Minnetonka (Hennepin County). It has a surface area of 11 acres. There are few known morphological data available for the lake.


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.

#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary


Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	178	89	340	F
CLA (µg/l)	42	14	90	С
Secchi (m)	0.8	0.6	1.0	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.24	0.89	1.50	
			Lake Grade	D


The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is consistent with its limited historical database. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database so as to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.




Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
5/6	17.0		37	298	0.8	2	4
5/17	16.0		43	98	0.7	3	4
6/15	23.0		37	89	0.9	3	4
6/30	26.0		14	340	1.0	3	4
7/15	26.0		36	142	0.8		
8/18	22.0		31	190	0.6	3	4
9/2	21.0		46	174	0.6	3	4
9/25	20.0		90	94	0.7	3	4
10/16	24.0		19	84	1.0	3	4







- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

D

D

D

### Lake Water Quality Grades Based on Summertime Averages

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	5 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	199	8 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year		2004	2005	2006	2007	20	008	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				D	D		D	D	D	D	F	
CLA				С	С		С	С	D	С	C	
Secchi				D	D		D	D	D	D	D	

Source: Metropolitan Council and STORET data

Lake Grade

D

D

D

D

### Wood Lake (19-0024) Black Dog Watershed Management Commission

Volunteer: The Mock Family

Wood Lake is located in the City of Burnsville (Dakota County). The lake has a surface area of 9 acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 4.5 m (14.8 feet). The entire area of the lake is considered littoral zone which is the 0-15 feet depth zone of aquatic plant dominance. Since the lake is relatively shallow, it does not maintain a thermocline, which is a density gradient caused by changing water temperatures throughout the water column.

On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	72	29	109	D
CLA (µg/l)	32	2.5	93	С
Secchi (m)	1.2	0.2	2.0	D
TKN (mg/l)	1.35	0.89	2.40	
			Lake Grade	D

The lake received a lake grade of D for 2012, which is the first D lake grade received according to its historical water quality database. The lake typically has received a lake grade of C. Continued monitoring is suggested to determine if the water quality of 2012 is an anomaly or an indication of a trend of changing water quality conditions.


Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.

The Fisheries Section of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has conducted a fisheries survey on the lake. Information on the survey can be obtained through the MDNR Fisheries Section by calling (651) 259-5831 or by downloading the information off the Internet at <a href="http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/">http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/</a>.




### 160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 4/1 4/21 5/11 5/31 6/20 7/10 7/30 8/19 9/8 9/28 10/18

Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/20	13.0		3.0	45	1.7	3	4
5/4	20.1		4.6	106	2.0	4	4
5/16	22.0		2.5	68	1.8	3	4
6/4	25.3		8.3	54	1.6	3	4
6/19	24.2		6.9	29	1.1	3	4
6/26			23	73	1.0	3	2
7/14			44	64	1.0	3	2
7/29	27.0		49	65	1.2	3	3
8/7	26.2		62	78	0.6	4	2
9/3	28.0		93	109	0.2	5	3
9/22	16.0		22	70	1.0	3	2
10/7	11.6		16	152	1.3	2	2
10/16	12.4		17	84	2.1	2	3



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												

Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP					С	С	В	С	С	С	С	С
CLA					В	В	В	В	В	C	C	В
Secchi					C	C	C	C	C	C	C	С
Lake Grade					C	C	В	C	C	C	C	C

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TP	C	C	D	С	C	C	C	C	D
CLA	В	C	C	В	В	В	C	A	С
Secchi	C	C	C	C	C	С	В	C	D
Lake Grade	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	В	D

### Woodpile Lake (82–0123) Browns Creek Watershed District

Volunteer: Washington Conservation District staff

Woodpile Lake is located in Washington County. It has a surface area of 19 acres. The maximum depth of the lake is 8.2 m (27 ft).


On each sampling day the lake was monitored for total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a (CLA), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and secchi transparency, as well as the lake's perceived physical condition and recreational suitability. The resulting data are summarized in tables and figures on the following pages.


#### 2012 summer (May - September) data summary

Parameter	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Grade
TP (µg/l)	40	25	72	С
CLA (µg/l)	8.8	1.0	26	A
Secchi (m)	3.6	1.7	6.1	A
TKN (mg/l)	1.01	0.80	1.40	
			Lake Grade	В


The lake received a lake grade of B for 2012, which is consistent with its limited historical database. This year was the second year in a row that the lake received an A grade for Secchi depth. Additional years of monitoring are suggested for continuing to build the water quality database so as to better understand the lake's water quality and determine potential water quality trends.

Throughout the monitoring period, the volunteer's opinions of the lake's physical condition and recreational suitability were ranked on a 1-to-5 scale. These user perception rankings are shown on the following page.






Date	SURF- TEMP (° C)	SURF DO (mg/L)	CLA (µg/L)	SURF TP (µg/L)	Secchi (m)	PC (1-5)	RS (1-5)
4/17	11.3	11.9	1.0	14	6.6	1	1
5/1	13.6	9.5	1.0	26	5.0	1	2
5/15	20.1	8.7	1.8	37	6.1	2	2
5/30	20.5	6.8	6.8	34	3.4	1	1
6/13	23.0	8.7	5.1	25	3.7	2	3
6/25	24.7	9.1	3.4		4.0	2	2
7/10	28.8	7.7	4.4	30	4.3	2	2
7/24	28.5	10.0	26	45	1.7	2	2
8/7	26.5	8.3	15	35	2.1	2	3
8/23	22.7	8.7	16	65	2.7	2	2
9/5	25.0	8.9	9.1	72	3.4	2	2
9/17	19.8	8.3	7.7	31	3.7	2	2
10/2	15.4	10.8	6.1	33	3.8	2	2
10/15	10.8	9.4	5.8	36	4.9	1	1



- 1 = Crystal Clear
- 4 = High Algal Color
- 2 = Some Algae Present
- 5 = Severe Algal Bloom
- 3 = Definite Algal Presence



- 1 = Beautiful
- 4 = No Swimming; Boating OK
- 2 = Minor Aesthetic Problem
- 5 = No Aesthetics Possible
- 3 = Swimming Impaired

Year	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
TP												
CLA												
Secchi												
Lake Grade												
Year	2	2004	2005	2006	2007	20	08	2009	2010	2011	201	2
TP				D	С	(	C	С	С	С	С	
CLA				В	В	(	C	В	С	С	A	
Secchi				С	В	(	2	В	С	A	A	
Lake Grade				С	В	(	7	В	С	В	В	

### References

APHA 1998. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 20th ed.

Anhorn, R.J. 2003a. *Handbook for the Citizen-Assisted Lake Monitoring Program*. Metropolitan Council. St. Paul, MN.

Anhorn, R.J. 2003b. A 2002 Study of the Water Quality of 137 Metropolitan Area Lakes. Metropolitan Council. Pub. no. 32-03-019.

Blue Water Science and Bonestroo, Rosene, Anderlik and Assoc. 2005. *Lake Management Plan for Alimagnet Lake, Dakota County, Minnesota*. Blue Water Science, St. Paul, MN.

Carlson, R.E. 1977. Trophic Status Index Indicator of Lakes. Limnology Oceanography 22:361-369.

Hartsoe, J.A. and R.A Osgood. 1991. *A 1991 Study of the Water Quality of 17 Metropolitan Area Lakes*. Metropolitan Council Publ. 590-92-006.

McComas, S. and Stuckert, J. 2008. Aquatic Plant Surveys for Lee Lake, Lakeville, Minnesota, 2008. Blue Water Science. St. Paul, MN.

McComas, S. and Stuckert, J. 2009a. Barley Straw Installation and Water Quality Conditions in Lee Lake, Lakeville, Minnesota, 2008. Blue Water Science. St. Paul, MN.

McComas, S. and Stuckert, J. 2009b. Barley Straw Installation and Water Quality Conditions in Valley Lake, Lakeville, Minnesota, 2008. Blue Water Science. St. Paul, MN.

METC 2007. 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan. Metropolitan Council. Publ. no. 32-04-065

MDNR 1996. *Report on the Status of the DNR Metro Region Trout Resources*. A Metro Region Trout Committee Report. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. St. Paul, MN.

MnDNR 2007. Fishery Status Report. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources Lake Finder website. www.dnr.state. mn.us/lakefind . Jun. 2007.

MnDNR 2013. Department of Natural Resources Designation of Infested Waters. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. Dec. 2013.

Nichols, A.B. 1992. Citizens Monitor Water Quality. Water Environment and Technology. March, 1993. pp.55-59.

Osgood, R.A. 1982. Using Carlson's Trophic State Indices in Regional Water Quality Assessment. Water Resources Bulletin 18:67-74.

Osgood, R.A. 1988. *The Limnology, Ecology and Management of Twin Cities Metropolitan Area Lakes*. Metropolitan Council Publ. No. 590-88-123.

Osgood, R.A. 1989a. *An Evaluation of Lake and Stream Monitoring Programs in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.* Metropolitan Council Publ. 590-89-128.

Osgood, R.A. 1989b. A 1989 Study of the Water Quality of 20 Metropolitan Area Lakes. Metropolitan Council Publ. No. 590-89-129.

USGS 2002. Response of the St. Croix River Pools, Wisconsin and Minnesota, to Various PhosphorusLoading Scenarios. Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4181. U.S. Geological Survey.

## **Appendices**

#### APPENDIX A

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

(Numbers indicate monitoring events per year, A "v" indicates monitoring performed by volunteers.)

			(	ınumı	bers ir	ndica	e mo	nitorir	ig eve	ents p	er yea	ar. A "	v" ind	icates	mon	itorin	g peri	orme	a by v	/olunt	eers.)			ı					,						
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	80	09	10	11	12
Acorn Lake	82010200	)																											v14		v 6	v 6	v 7		
Alimagnet Lake	19002100	)																v 12	v10	v10	v10	v10	v10	v8	v9	v12	v10	v10	v8	v10	v 12	v 10	v 13	v 12	v 11
Anderson Pond	19009400	)																															v 12	v 9	v 3
Ann Lake	10001200	)						5				13													13										6
Ardmore Lake	27015300	)																												v4	v 11	v 14	v 12		
Armstrong Lake	82011602	south basin																			v15	v10	v13	v14	v15	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v 7	v 14	v 7	v 7	v 7
Assumption Lake	10006300	)																				v1											$oxed{oxed}$		
Auburn Lake	10004401	west				10			17	18				12			13																$oxed{oxed}$		
Auburn Lake	10004402	east				10																													
Aue Lake	10002800	)																				v1													
Bald Eagle Lake	62000200	site 1	4	5		5																					13	13							
Bald Eagle Lake	62000200	site 2																									13	13							
Baldwin Lake	2001300	)																																v 2	
Barker Lake	82007600	)																					v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7			
Barnes Lake	10010900	)																				v1													
Bass Lake	27001500	St. Louis Park																							v12			v12	v2						
Bass Lake	27009800	Plymouth	4														v16			v15		v15		v13		v9		v15		v14		v 12		v 14	
Bass Lake	82003500	May Township																					v14	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7			v 7
Bass Lake	82012300	west [Grant Twnshp]																											v7	v8	v 7	v 7	v 14	v 14	v 14
Bass Lake	82012400	east [Grant Twnshp]																											v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 14	v 14	v 14
Battle Creek Lake	82009100	)														v14	v13	v11	v13																
Bavaria Lake	10001900	)				5			17	18							13		v11	v12	v15	v12	v14	v14	v14	v19	v16	v18	v16	v14	v 14	v 14	v 15	v 15	v 14
Bay Pond	82001100	)																											v14	v14	v 11	v 7	v 7		v 6
Benton Lake	10006900	)																				v13	v14	v14		v15		v14		v13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Benz Lake	82012000	)																			v8							v14	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 15	v 14
Berliner Lake	10010300	)																				v1													
Beutel Pond	82039900	)																													v 7	v 5	v 3		
Big Carnelian Lake	82004900	)					5					13					13			13	;		v14	v7	v14	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v 6	v 7	v 7		v 6
Big Comfort Lake	13005300	)																		v3			v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v14	v14	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 13	v 14
																																	4 & v	12	
Big Marine Lake	82005200		4	5			5					13					13			13	3		v14	v7	v14	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v 7	v 7		$\dashv$	_
Big Marine Lake	82005200																																4	11	_
Birch Lake	13004200																			-	-							v10	v7	v7				$\dashv$	_
Birch Lake	62002400	1	2								<b>!</b>								<u> </u>									v14	<u> </u>					$\dashv$	_
Bluebill Bay Lake	19044900	T i									<b>!</b>								<u> </u>	v8									<u> </u>					$\dashv$	$\dashv$
Bone Lake	82005400						5				<u> </u>	13				v7		v14	<u> </u>	v14	v14	v14		v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	13	v10	v 15	v 12	v 11	v 15	v 13
Brand Lake	10011000	1									<u> </u>								<u> </u>			v1							<u> </u>					$\dashv$	_
Braunworth Lake	10010700	)									<u> </u>								<u> </u>	-		v1							<u> </u>					$\rightarrow$	
Brick Pond	82030800	)																													v 7	v 6	v 7	v 7	v 6

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

		1	1	I	1		ī	1	1	1	1	ar. A "			1		т —	T	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1			_	$\overline{}$	-
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	80	09	10	11	12
Brickyard Clayhole Lake	10022500																<u> </u>								v14	v13	v14	v14	v14	v13	v 14	v 15	v 14	v 14	v 14
Bryant Lake	27006700		2	5	16		5					13	13	12																			<u> </u>		v 2
Burandt Lake	10008400																					ν7	v13	v9			v18	v22				v 4	v 14	v 14	v 14
Bush Lake	27004700						5	i								13	3 13					13	1	13			13	3	v13	v15	v 13	v 13	v 13	v 12	v 13
Byllesby Lake	19000600															v14	v14	v13															<u> </u>		
Calhoun Lake	27003100			5			5	;																									<u> </u>		
Campbell Lake	10012700	ı																				v2	v14		v10			v14	v14				<u> </u>		
Capaul Pond	82036500	east basin																													v 7	v 3	v 7		
Capaul Pond	82036500	west basin																													v 7	v 1	<u></u>		
Carol Lake	82001700																						v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 6			v 5
Carver Lake	82016600										20					v15	v15	v16	v9																
Cates Lake	70001800																								v14	v13	v15	v13	v14	v13	v 12	v 13	v 13	v 12	v 9
Cedar Island Lake	27011900																	v13						v13		v11			v9			v 11			
Cedar Lake	27003900	Minneapolis					5																												
Cedar Lake	70009100	Scott Co.	4	5			5	;					13			14	1				13			13				13	3 v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Cedar Lake	70009100	Scott Co.																																	v 11
Cenaiko Lake	2065400																			v12	v11	v13	v11	v13	v12	v12	v14	v14	v14	v12	v 13	v 13	v 13	v 13	v 14
Centerville Lake	2000600		4	5		5																	13	13/v4	v1	13	13	3				2			
Charley Lake	62006200							5																											
Christmas Lake	27013700		4	5				5												13	13	13			13	13	3						1	4	4
Chub Lake	19002000		2													v14	v14	v11															10	10	
Clear Lake		May Township																													v 14	v 14	v 7	v 8	v 7
Clear Lake	82009900	north lobe [Lake Elmo]																														v 4			
Clear Lake	82009900	south lobe [Lake Elmo]																														v 6			
Clear Lake	82016300	Forest Lake	4				5						13			v11	v12	v12	v11	v10	v11	v10	v9	v12	v12	v12	v6		13			3			
Cleary Lake	70002200						5																												
Cloverdale Lake	82000900																							v10	v10	v11	v13	v12	v11	v10	v 9	v 11	v 10	v 9	v 9
Cobblecrest Lake	27005300																								v4		v14	v16	v13	v13	v 13	v 10	v 9	v 6	v 4
Cobblestone Lake	19045600																											v14	v14	v12	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 13
Cody Lake	66006100																													v3					
Colby Lake	82009400																v13	v14	v13	v13	v12	v12	v9	v10	v10	v10	v10	v6	v7	v7	v 9	v 3	v 9	v 14	v 14
Coon Lake	2004200		4				5										13			13										Ì		2			
Cornelia Lake	27002800																Ī							İ		v7		v11	v14	v14	v 13	v 14			
Courthouse Lake	10000500	Chaska															İ		v2	v14	v13	v13	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v13	v 14	v 14		v 14	v 14
Cowley Lake	27016900										İ						Ì		v12				İ	Ì					v10	v1		v 4			
Crane Lake	27073400															v9	1		1										1	i i					
Crooked Lake	2008400					5						13				v15	v15	v14	v14	v12	v14	v14		l				1		l				$\vdash$	
																7.10							İ	l		İ	t	<b>†</b>	<b>†</b>	İ				4 & v	4 & v
Crystal Lake	19002700	Burnsville	2		<u> </u>	5	<u> </u>					13					13	13	3 13	13	13	v12	v10	v14	v15	v15	v15	v16	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	14	14	14

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

			i i				I		1		_				s mon		Ť		T -	1	1						1	1	1		1	1		$\overline{}$	_
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	80	09	10	11	12
Crystal Lake	27003400	Robbinsdale							17	19	19						v15			v11				v8				v7			v 7		v 8	<u> </u>	Ш
Crystal Lake	70006100	Spring Lake																		v12		v11											<u> </u>		$\vdash$
Cynthia Lake	70005200	)	2																														<u> </u>		$\vdash$
Dan Patch Lake	70001600	)																		v15													<u> </u>	igsqcut	ш
Dean Lake	70007400	)																							v7	v7	v6	v7	v8	v9	v 10	v 12	v 8	v 3	ш
Deeg Lake	19011700	)																						v12									Ь	Ш	
Deep Lake	62001800	)						5																									<u> </u>		
Demontreville Lake	82010100	)	4				5							12		v15		14	ı				13			13	v14	v7	v7	v11	v 20	v 12	v 14	v 20	v 14
Diamond Lake	27012500	Dayton	2														v13										13	3					<u> </u>		
Downs Lake	82011000	)																				v14		v9	v9	v6	v7	v9	v7	v5	v 2	v 9	v 1		v 7
Dubay Lake	27012900	)																																	v 14
Dutch Lake	27018100	)					5																												
Eagle Lake	10012100	Young America	4	5				5											12		v15	v14	v14	v12	v14	v14	13	v14	v14	v13	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Eagle Lake	27011101	Maple Grove	4			5			17	18				11		v15			v14	v14	v14		v6		v4			v6				v 6			11
Eagle Point Lake	82010900				2											v14													v5	v2	v 2	v 2		v 7	v 6
Earley Lake	19003300	)															v10	v11	v9	v10	v10	v9	v8	v6	v10	v9	v6	v7	v9	v12	v 9	v 10	v 11	v 8	v 12
East Boot Lake	82003400	)																					v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 7
East Lake	19034900	)																										v13	v6	v14	v 13		v 14	v 11	v 13
East Twin Lake	2013300	)	2	5		5						13						13	3		13											3	6		1
Echo Lake	82013500	)																											v10	v8	v 4		v 7		v 7
Edina Lake	27002900	)																									v10	v10							
Edith Lake	82000400	)																										v6	v12	v12	v 15	v 17		v 15	v 15
Egg Lake	82014700	)																						v3											
Elmo Lake	82010600	)	4	5	16		5				19			12			v11											v9	v8	v8	v 18	v 9	v 19	v 9	v 9
Fahlstrom Pond	82000500	east basin																													v 3	v 8	v 4		
Fahlstrom Pond	82000500	west basin																													v 5	v 5	v 5		1
Farquhar Lake	19002300	)	4														v15	v16	v14	v15		v15	v13	v11	v13	v14	v14	v15	v13	v13	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Fireman's Clayhole Lake	10022600	)																						v12	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Fish Lake	19005700	Eagan										13																							1
Fish Lake	27011800	Maple Grove	4	5	16			5					13																						
Fish Lake	70006900	Scott Co.	4				5						13					13	3	v2	v13	v8	v12	v9	v14	v13	v11	v13	v11	v13	v 11	v 12	v 11	v 10	v 14
Fish Lake	82006400	Washington Co.																					v5	v14	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 8	v 7	v 7	
Fish Lake		) Woodbury																															v 14	v 14	v 14
Fish Lake	82013700	Grant Township																							v5	v5	v4							v 13	v 14
Forest Lake		west basin					5						13			v7			v12	v14	v15	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	13	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 13	v 15
Forest Lake		middle basin					5						13			v7			v12						13			13	13	;		v 11			v 14
Forest Lake	82015900		4				5						13			v7			v12						13			13	13			v 8			
French Lake	27012700																		Ì					v11	v10	v7	v7			İ	İ	Ì			

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

	1			(INUIIII	neis i	liuica	e iiio	HILOHI	ig evi	ento p	ei yea	ai. A	v IIIu	icales	s mon	ILOTTI	g pen	UIIIIe	u by v	Olulli	eeis. <i>)</i>				ī										
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12
Friedrich's Pond	82010800	)																											v13	v14	v 11	v 1	ш		
Gables Lake	82008200	)																			v8	v5											ш		
Gaystock Lake	10003100	)																				v2	v14	v14				v14	v14				ш		
George Lake	2009100	)	4	5	16		5					13					13				13											v 14	ш		
George Watch Lake	2000500	)																	v14	v12	v11	v11	v6	v7	v8	v9	v10	v12	v7	v8	v 12	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 12
German Lake	82005600	)																							v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	ш		v 7
Gervais Lake	62000700	)						5																									ш		
Glen Lake	27009300	)																											v13	v7	v 4		ш		
Goetschel Lake	82031300	)																							v11	v9	v4	v15	v9	v5	v 7	v 7	v 7		
Goggins Lake	82007700	)																				v13	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Golden Lake	2004500	)	2	2										12		14			v13	v11	v15	v13	v13	v12	v11	v11	v10	v11	v11	v10	v 9	v 13	v 12		
Goose Lake	10008900	Waconia																v9	v7	v15	v15	v14	v11	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Goose Lake	19036000	Lakeville																v13	v13																
Goose Lake	82005900	New Scandia															v15	v15	v13	v13	v15						v7	v7	v7	v7	v 14	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 7
Goose Lake	82011300	north basin [Lake Elmo]																													v 7	v 7	v 7		v 7
Goose Lake	82011300	south basin [Lake Elmo]																													v 7	v 7	v 7		v 7
Grace Lake	10021800	)																							v11	v14	v14		v14		v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Grass Lake	27068100	)																		v12													ш		
Hafften Lake	27019900	)																					13	13			13	v15	v13				v 13		
Ham Lake	2005300	)					5									v15	v13		v13	v9	v14														
Harriet Lake	27001600	)					5																												
Hart Lake	2008100	)																									v6	v4	v8						
Harvey Lake	27067000	)																									v10								
Haughey Lake	27018700	)																							v4										
Hay Lake	82006500	)																			v14	v13	v14	v14	v4	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 14	v 7	v 7	v 7	
Hazeltine Lake	10001400																					v1	v14	v14				v14	v14			v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Heims Lake	13005600																															v 10			
Henry Lake	27017500	)																v10										v11	v11	v6	v 7	v 7	v 5	v 10	
Herber Pond	82001501																										v14	v14	v7	v7					
Hidden Lake	27069300	)																															ш	v 9	
Highland Lake	2007900	)																				v13	v11	v13	v12	v12	v14	v14	v14	v12					
Holland Lake	19006500	)				10	16	15			20					13						13											1	4	4
Hornbeam Lake	19004700	)																											v11	v8	v 7	v 5	v 2		
Horseshoe Lake	19003200	Dakota Co.																v11	v10												v 1		ш		
Horseshoe Lake	19005100	Sunfish Lake																											v11	v11	v 8	v 14	v 13	v 10	v 11
Horseshoe Lake	82007400	center																				v1											▃▋		
Horseshoe Lake	82007400	west basin																														v 8			
Horseshoe Lake	82007400	east basin																														v 7	v 7	v 7	v 7

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

				1	1	_	т —	_	_		r -				_		g pen	_		_			1	1		1	1	1		1			$\overline{}$	П	$\neg$
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	1	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	80	09		11	12
Hydes Lake	10008800							5						12		13	3		12			v11	v4	v9	v14	v15	v14	v14	v14	v13	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Independence Lake	27017600	)	4	5		5							13			v14	v15																ļ		
Isabelle Lake	19000400																v14																ļ!		
Island Lake	2002200	Linwood				7																				v12	v14	v14	v14	v13	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Jackson WMA	82030500	)																															v 14	v 14	v 14
Jane Lake	82010400	)					5		17	18				12			v12						13				v15	v13	v10	v12	v 16	v 11	v 9	v 9	v 5
Jellums Lake	82005202	Site 1																					v14	v14	v12	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 7	
Jellums Lake	82005202	Site 2																							v11	v11							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Johanna Lake	62007800	)		5				5				13																							
Jonathan Lake	10021700	)																							v13				v14		v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Josephine Lake	62005700	)						5				13																							
Jubert Lake	27016500	)																					v11											1	
July Lake	82031800																												v7	v7	v 7	v 5		v 14	v 14
Karth Lake	62007200																													v11	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 13	v 14
Keller Lake	19002500	Burnsville																	13	13	v13	v15	v14	v12	v13	v15	v15	v14	\14	v12	v 8	v 12	v 14	v 13	v 14
Keller Lake	62001000	Maplewood						5																										ı	
Kingsley Lake	19003000	)														5	5	v11	v10	v9			v14	v14	v15	v14	v15	v16	v14	v14	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 12	v 13
Kismet Lake	82033400	)																			v14	v13	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Klawitter Pond	82036800	)																							v13	v13	v14	v13	v12	v12	v 13	v 14	v 11	v 12	v 13
Kohlman Lake	62000600	)						5																										l	
Kramer Pond	82011700																														v 7	v 7	v 7	1	v 7
La Lake	82009700	)															v13	v11	v13	v11	v10	v10	v8	v6	v5	v6	v3	v13	v12	v14	v 11	v 12	v 10	v 10	v 11
Lac Lavon Lake	19044600																			v11	v10	v10	v9	v2	v7	v12	v12	v12	v12	v13	v 12	v 14	v 13	v 13	v 14
Laddie Lake	2007200		4													v13	v14	v12					v13	v13	v14	v10									
Lake Forest	82018700																														v 12	v 11			
Lake of the Isles	27004000						5																												
Lake Minnetonka	27013302	lower	4	5																														1	
Lake Minnetonka	27013305	upper	2	5																														1	
Langdon Lake	27018200						5																											1	
Langton Lake		north site																										v14	v7	v13	v 13	v 13	v 13	v 13	v 12
Langton Lake		south site																										v14	v13	v13	v 13				
Langton Lake	62020400																											v14							
Lee Lake	19002900																v14	v15	v14	v13			v12	v13	v11	v9	v15	v9	v14	v14	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 12	v 13
Legion Pond	82046200																									_	<u> </u>	v14	v10		v 7	v 2			
Lemay Lake	19008200																													v11	v 11	v 9		v 10	v 5
LeVander Pond	19008800																																v 11	v 9	v 3
Libbs Lake	27008500																1										v10						* ' '	- , ,	- 10
Lily Lake	82002300																1	v15	v14	v14	v15	v13	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 14	v 12	v 9		v 11
Lily Lake	02002300	4													1	1	1	V 10	V 17	v 17	¥ IU	¥ IO	¥ 1 T	V 17	V 1 T	v /	V /	V /	V /	V /	V 17	V 12	v 9		V 11

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

_		, ,		(INUIIII	DEI2 II	luica	.6 1110	IIIOIII	ig eve	ento p	ei yea	al. A	v IIIu	icates	STHOT	IIIOIIII	g perf	onne	u by v	/Olul III	eeis.)	1													
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12
Linwood Lake	2002600		4	5		7						13					13			13											v 13		Ш		
Lippert Lake	10010400																					v1													
Little Carnelian Lake	82001400																						v14	v7	v14	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7		v 1
Little Comfort Lake	13005400																												v14	v13	v 12	v 12	v 12	v 13	v 11
Little Johanna Lake	62005800																							v12	v16	v15	v8	v6	v3		v 14	v 13	v 12	v 10	v 14
Little Long Lake	27017901		4				5						13								13			13		13	1		v11	v2		v 13	v 14		10
Lochness Lake	2058500																													v12	v 11	v 13	v 10	v 7	v 11
Lone Lake	27009400																															v 15	v 13	v 11	
Long Lake	10001600	Carver Co.																				v2		v13		v5									
Long Lake	19002200	Apple Valley																		v16					v11	v13	v12	v15	v14	v13	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 13
Long Lake	27016000	Orono				5																													
Long Lake	62006700	north site [New Brighton]						5																											
Long Lake	62006700	south site [New Brighton]						5																											
Long Lake	82002100	north basin [Stillwater]																v14	v7		v14	v13	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Long Lake	82002100	middle basin [Stillwater]																														v 4	v 4	v 4	v 4
Long Lake	82002100	south basin [Stillwater]																														v 4	v 4	v 4	v 4
Long Lake	82003000	May Township														v14	v14	v14	v13	v14		v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 7	
Long Lake	82006800	Scandia																					v5	v14	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 8	v 6	v 7	v 7	
Long Lake	82011800	Pine Springs														v14										13	v15	v14	v14	v14	v 14	v 14		v 21	v 14
Long Lake	82013000	Mahtomedi																								v11	v9	v12	v10	v10	v 10	v 10	v 9	v 9	v 8
Loon Lake	82001502									2	18												v14	v14	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 14	v 7	v 12	v 1	v 5
Lost Lake	27010300															v13																	Ш		
Lost Lake	82013401	north basin																											v13	v13	v 11		Ш		
Lotus Lake	10000600							5					13									13	13			v5	v10	v8	v11	v9	v 11	v 10	v 11	v 8	v 2
Louise Lake	82002500																						v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 14	v 7	v 7	v 7	
Lucy Lake	10000700							5																								v 13	v 12	v 13	v 12
Lynch Lake	82004200	north																												v7	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 14
Lynch Lake	82004200	south																															v 14	v 14	v 14
MacDonald Lake	82006200																										v14	v14	v7	v7			Ш		
Magda Lake	27006500																					v14	v13			v11			v12			v 9	Ш		v 13
Maple Marsh Lake	82003800																						v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7			Ш		
Marcott (Rosenberg) Lake	19004100																	v15	v13	v10	v10	v12	v10	v6	v5								Ш		v 7
Marcott (Ohmans) Lake	19004200	east basin																															Ш		v 7
Marcott (Ohmans) Lake	19004200	west basin																															Ш		v 6
Marcott Lake	19026300																	v15																	
Maria Lake	10005800																					v2	v14	v14				v13							5
Marion Lake	19002601		2	5		5						13					v15					v15	v14	v13	v14	v14	v15	v16	v15	v14	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 13	v 14
Markgraf Lake	82008900																v15	v11	v12	v10	v15	v10	v10	v9	v13	v14	v14	v14	v15	v14	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 13	v 11

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

	T	Г	ī	1	1	r	I		I	1	1				s mon		1	1	1	1	1				1	1		1	1						
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	80	09	10	11	12
Markley Lake	70002100	)																		v11	v13	v12	v14	v13	v9	v6	v4		v10	v7			igsqcup	<u> </u>	
Marsh Lake	10005400	)																				v1											igsqcup	<u> </u>	
Marshan Lake	2000700	)																	v10	v13	v10	v9	v8	v7									$\bigsqcup$	<u> </u>	
Martin Lake	2003400	)				7															13										v 13		$\bigsqcup^!$	<u> </u>	
Masterman Lake	82012600	)																											v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Mays Lake	82003300	)																													v 14	v 14	v 7	v 8	v 7
McCarrons Lake	62005400	)					12	20	17	18	19	13	13	12		14	13	16	13			18	13	13	13		13	3 13					$\bigsqcup^!$	<u> </u>	
McDonald Lake	82001000	)																				v11		v14	v9	v12	v12	v14	v10	v9	v 15	v 7	$\square'$	v 8	v 7
McDonough Lake	19007600	)						5														13											$\square'$	<u> </u>	
McKnight Lake	10021600	)																											v14		v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 13
McKusick Lake	82002000	)															v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v 15	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
McMahon Lake	70005000	)	2				5											13			13			13				13	v14	v10	v 11	v 10	v 11	v 9	v 9
Meadow Lake	27005700	)																	v12			v12			v9			v10			v 14			v 13	
Medicine Lake	27010400	main lake		5		10							13	12																				v 10	v 12
Medicine Lake	27010400	southwest bay	4			9																											v 13	v 15	v 14
Medina Lake	27014600																																		v 7
Mergens Pond	82048200																						v10			v3	v2	v6			v 6	v 1			
Meuwissen Lake	10007000																					v1									v 11				
Miller Lake	10002900																		v6	v13		v12	v14	v13	v13	v14	v14	v14	v12	v13	v 14	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 14
Minnetoga Lake	27008800	)																												v14	v 12		v 14	v 13	v 13
Minnewashta Lake	10000900	main lake					5						13			13				13	13	13			13	13	3								
Minnewashta Lake	10000900	south bay																															v 13	v 11	v 12
Mitchell Lake	27007000	)																13				13	13			13	v14	v14	v14	v13	v 13	v 14	v 13	v 13	v 11
Moody Lake	13002300	)																										v14	v14	v14			v 12	v 10	v 10
Mooney Lake	27013400	)														v14	v10																		
Moore Lake	2007502																					v14													
Mud Lake	82002602																						v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7			v 14	v 7	
Myers Lake	10006800	)																				v1													
Nokomis Lake	27001900		4				5																												
Normandale Lake	27104500																												v5	v3		v 11	v 13	v 9	v 14
North Twin Lake	82001800		Ì														Ì		Ì				v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7			v 7
Northwood Lake	27062700																						v12	v10	v13	v12	v12	v10	v10	v10	v 9	v 11		v 12	v 11
Oak Lake	10009300		Ì														İ		Ì			v2		v14	v13	v12	v14	v14	v14	İ	v 15		П		$\exists$
Oak Lake	10009300		Ì														Ì		Ì									1	v10	Ì			П		$\exists$
Oak Lake	10009300		Ì														İ		Ì										v10	İ			П		$\exists$
O'Connor Lake	82000200																											v8	v15	v12	v 15	v 10	v 9	v 7	v 6
O'dowd Lake	70009500						5										13		1	13			13		13				v12	v13	v 14	v 14			v 14
Olson Lake	82010300		1											12		v15	'3	14		,,,			13		- 13		v14	v7	v7	v13	v 19	v 13			v 13

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

	T	1	1		T		1		ī	1	1	1	v" ind	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1						$\overline{}$
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12
Oneka Lake	82014000				ļ																	v13	v11	v11	v9	v6	v5	<u> </u>					v 13	v 10	v 10
Orchard Lake	19003100		4	5		5						13				13	3				13	v15	v13	v13		v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v 12	v 14	v 13	v 13	v 13
Otter Lake	2000300		2		ļ	5																						<u> </u>						ш	ш
Owasso Lake	62005600		4			5																												igsquare	ш
Ox Yoke Lake	27017800																														v 1			igsquare	ш
Pamela Lake	27067500																											v10						Ш	
Parkers Lake	27010700		4										13					13	3			13	v12		v14	v15	v15	v15	v14	v14	v 13	v 14	v 13	Ш	v 10
Parley Lake	10004200						5		17	18	3			12					12			13	3	13		13	3		13					Ш	
Pat Lake	82012500																												v7	v7	v 8	v 7	v 14	v 14	v 14
Patterson Lake	10008600																					v2													
Peltier Lake	2000400					5										v14	v16	v15	v14	v14	v13	v13	v14	v13	v17	v15	v15	v16	v17	v16					
Penn Lake	27000400																															v 14	v 14	v 12	v 14
Pepin Lake	40002800																													v13					
Peter Lake	27014702	north bay																														v 13	v 6	v 2	ı
Phalen Lake	62001300		4	5				5																											ı
Pickerel Lake	2013000		2															13	3														6	7	ı
Pierson Lake	10005300		2	5		5						13						13	3					13	13	13	3		13						ı
Pike Lake	27011102	Maple Grove																	v14	v15	v13		v13							v4		v 8		v 10	
Pike Lake	62006900	Ramsey Co.																				v14	v10	v14	v14	v14	v15	v15	v11	v14	v 13				
Pike Lake	70007600	site 1 [Scott Co.]																		v9		v10	v9	v9	v11	v15	v15	v13							
Pike Lake	70007600	site 2 [Scott Co.]																							v11										
Pine Tree Lake	82012200							5								v14	v14	v16	v14	v15	v15	v13	v14	v9	v12	v7	v8	v12	v10	v9	v 7	v 12	v 8	v 12	v 12
Plaisted Lake	82014800																														v 7	v 8	v 14	v 14	v 14
Pleasant Lake	62004600	North Oaks						5																											
Pleasant Lake	70009800	New Prague														13	3																5		
Pomerleau Lake	27010000																		v9			v10		v6		v3									1
Powers Lake	82009200																v12	v13	v13	v12	v9	v10	v8	v5	v7	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Priebe Lake	62003600																														v 13	v 10	v 9	v 7	v 8
Prior Lake - Lower	70002600	Site 1					5						13						13	v15	v14	v13	v9	v14	v16	v13	v12	v12	v12	v12	v 12	v 14	v 14	v 12	v 14
Prior Lake - Lower	70002600																				v14	v13	v9	v14	v15										
Prior Lake - Upper	70007200		4	5			5						13						13	v15	v14	v13	v9	v14	v12	v13	v10	v9	v9	v5	v 11	v 14	v 14	v 13	v 11
Prior Lake - Upper	70007200	Site 2																							v12										$\Box$
Raven Lake	19036900																	v13	v6	v8															
Rebecca Lake	27019200					10	12	12																											
Red Rock Lake	27007600																					12	13			13	13	3	13					v 2	
Regional Park Lake	82008700				İ																v12	v14	v12	v13	v14		v15	v14	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7		v 7
Reitz Lake	10005200				İ			E						12		13	3					v15	v13	v7	v13	v14	v14		v14	v14	v 11	v 11			v 14
Reshanau Lake	2000900		2					Ì														v7	v1	v6					v13	v9	v 7	v 9			

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

				(INGIII	Deisi	liuica	ie iiio	IIII	ig ev	JIIIO P	er ye	1	v" ind		3 11101	IIIOIIII	y pen	OIIIIC	u by v	Olulli	5613.)						1								
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12
Rest Area Pond	82051400	)																											v13	v10	v 13	v 12	v 10	v 9	v 14
Rice Lake	10007800	Carver Co.	2	2																		v1												Ш	
Rice Lake	27011600	Maple Grove																												v10	v 10	v 12	v 14	v 12	
Riley Lake	10000200	,	2	5	16			5	17	18	;		13	12		13				13			13		13	v14	v15	v14	v10	v15	v 12	v 14	v 13	& v 11	4 & v 14
Rogers Lake	19008000																													v12	v 9	v 11	v 11	v 9	v 11
Rose Lake	27009200	Minnetonka																											v14	v13	v 13				
Rose Lake	82011200	north basin [Lake Elmo]																													v 7	v 7	v 7		
Rose Lake	82011200	south basin [Lake Elmo]																													v 7	v 7	v 7	ı	
Rutz Lake	10008000	)																				v1	v14	v14	v14				v14	v7	v 5	v 8	v 5	v 7	
Ryan Lake	27005800	)																	v14		v5		v9		v4	v6					v 13		v 10	i	v 4
Sanborn Lake	40002700	)																												v2				ı	
Sand Lake	82006700	)														v7	v14	v14	v13						v14	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 14	v 7	v 7	v 7	
Sarah Lake	27019100	)	4	ı		5																												l	
Scheuble Lake	10008500	)																				v1												l	
Schmidt Lake	27010200	)																v14			v12		v12	v9			v14	v9		v9				v 9	
School Lake	13005700	)																										v14	v7	v7		v 6		l	
Schroeder Pond	82030100	)																									v14	v14	v7	v7				l .	
Schultz Lake	19007500	)					5	5														13												l	
Schutz Lake	10001800	)					5																v6	v10	v6	v8	v9	v11						l	
Scout Lake	19019800	)																												v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Sea Lake	82005300	)																													v 12	v 7		l	
Seidl Lake	19009500	)																v15	v14	v14	v15	v16	v14	v14	v15	v8	v14	v14	v14	v8	v 4	v 2	v 12	v 9	v 3
Shady Oak Lake	27008902	middle bay																														v 12	v 11	Ш	
Shavers Lake	27008600	east basin																										v14	v13					Ш	
Shavers Lake	27008600	west basin																											v6					Ш	
Shields Lake	82016200	)														v6	v14	v14	v13	v13	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v7				Ш	v 7
Silver Lake	62000100	North St. Paul																											v12					Ш	
Silver Lake	82001600	Washington Co.																					v14	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7	Ш	
Simley Lake	19003700	)																v10	v16	v14	v15	v16	v14	v12	v14									Ш	v 7
Snail Lake	62007300		4	ı				5																										Ш	
South Oak Lake	27066100	)																							v12	v15			v9	v8	v 5	v 7	v 13	v 14	v 12
South Rice Lake	27064500	)																					v9	v14	v15	v14	v14	v15	v14	v12	v 6			Ш	
South School Section Lake	82015100	)																v14	v7		v14							v14	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
South Twin Lake	82001900	)									<u> </u>								ļ				v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 7	
Spring Lake	2007100	Anoka Co.									<u> </u>								<u> </u>					v11			<u> </u>							ш	
Spring Lake	70005400	Prior Lake	4	5	16		5				ļ		13						13	v12			v6	v11	v13	v14	v14	v13	v9	v8	v 5	v 10	v 15	v 8	v 8
Square Lake	82004600		4	5	16	6	7	7			<u> </u>	13				v11	v14	v14	v13	v14	19	v14	v14	v15	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v 7	v 7	v 14	v 14	v 14
St. Croix Lake	82000100	site 1, Bayport Pool																											v2				v 12	v 11	

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

_	1		i -					Ē		Ė						g pen	1	1	Т	T	1	1		1	_	Т	т —	1	1	T	1	$\overline{}$	-	_
Lake	DNR ID Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12
St. Croix Lake	82000100 site 2, Bayport Pool																										v10	v10	v9	v 9		v 12	v 11	
St. Croix Lake	82000100 site 3, Troy Beach Pool																										v11	v9	v9	v 10		v 12	v 15	
St. Croix Lake	82000100 site 4, Troy Beach Pool																															v 6	v 6	
St. Croix Lake	82000100 site 5, Troy Beach Pool																										v8	v10	v7	v 8		v 15	v 10	
St. Croix Lake	82000100 site 6, Black Bass Pool																										v11	v10	v10	v 9		v 16	v 16	
St. Croix Lake	82000100 site 7, Kinnickinnic Pool																										v8	v8	v10	v 5		v 13	v 6	
St. Joe Lake	10001100																									v17	v8	v9	v9	v 9	v 5	v 7	v 9	v 7
Staples Lake	82002800																					v14	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	ш		
Staring Lake	27007800	4					5										13	3			13		13			13	3	13	:			ш		
Stieger Lake	10004500					12					13						13	3														ш		
Success Lake	27063400																	v10							v11			v11		v 10		ш	v 14	
Sucker Lake	62002800						5																									ш		
Sullivan Lake	2008000														v14	v14	v15		v15	v14	v13	v11	v11	v12	v12							ш		
Sunfish Lake	19005000 Sunfish Lake																											v13	v13	v 13	v 14	1 & v 15	4 & v 14	4 & v 13
Sunfish Lake	82010700 Lake Elmo																					v10					v13	v11		v 7			v 7	v 7
Sunnybrook Lake	82013300																				v14		v13	v10	v12	v10	v16	v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 14
Sunset Lake	82015300					5									v14	v14	v12	v13	v16	v12	v10	v13	v13	v18	v20	v15	v17	v12	v10	v 9	v 7	v 8	v 10	v 8
Sunset Pond	19045100															v14	v14	v14	v12	v10		v13	v11	v10	v12	v11		v14	v14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Susan Lake	10001300																											v7	v11	v 12	v 13	v 14	v 13	v 14
Swan Lake	10008200																				v1													
Swede Lake	10009500	2	!															13					13	v14	v16	v13	v14	v14	v13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Sweeney Lake	27003501 south basin																					v11	v9	v14	v13	v14	v11	v10	v15	v 12	v 13	v 14	v 12	v 9
Sweeney Lake	27003501 north basin																					v11	v9									v 10	v 9	
Sylvan Lake	27017100 Hennepin Co.																													v 10				v 14
Sylvan Lake	82008000 Washington Co.														v7			v14		v15	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14		v11	v 9	v 9	v 9	v 11	v 12
Tamarack Lake	10001000																						v10	v11	v12	v11	v11	v13	v14	v 11	v 13			
Tanners Lake	82011500	2	!							20					v14	v13	v12	v14																
Terrapin Lake	82003100																									v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 8	v 7
Thole Lake	70012001					5										13			13			13		13			13	v14			2	7	9	
Thomas Lake	19006700	2	!																															
Tiger Lake	10010800																				v1													
Turtle Lake	62006100 Ramsey Co.	4	. 5		5																													
Turtle Lake	82003600 Washington Co.																					v5	v5	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7		v 7
Twin Lake	19002800 Burnsville																				v6		v13	v11	v6	v2	v11	v8	v8	v 14	v 14	v 13	v 14	v 13
Twin Lake	27003502 Golden Valley																															v 9	v 9	
Twin Lake	27004201 upper [Br. Center]												12		v14			11		v15		v11		v13		v14		v13		v 12		v 12		
Twin Lake	27004202 middle [Crystal]						5						12					13	v11		v13	13			v13		v8			v 13		v 13		
Twin Lake	27004203 lower [Robbinsdale]												12		v14			13		v5		13			v13		v8					v 9		

#### Lakes Sampled by Metropolitan Council Staff and the CAMP, 1980 - 2012

		,		(		raioai			.g 0	J	, o. , o			.ou.o.	5 111011		9 00			O.G.II	00.0.,											,			
Lake	DNR ID	Location	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12
Twin Lake	27065600	St. Louis Park																							v12	v14	v14	v11	v14	v10	v 10	v 11	v 13	v 11	v 14
Twin Lake	82004800	south [May Twnshp]																		v13	v13										v 14	v 7	v 7	v 7	v 6
Vadnais Lake	6200380°	1						5																											
Valentine Lake	62007100																							v14	v13	v12	v12	v9	v10	v12	v 13				
Valley Lake	19034800																	v15	v14	v11		v8	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v14	v14	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 12	v 13
Virginia Lake	10001500																						v11	v12	v14	v12	v15	v13							
Wabasso Lake	62008200		4	. 5		5						12																							
Waconia Lake	10005900	)	4	. 5				5					13				v16	v13	v15	v17	v15	v14	v14	v14	v15	v14	12	v14	v14	v13	v 13	v 14	v 14	v 14	v 14
Wasserman Lake	10004800	)				5			17	18	3						13			13	13	13			13	13			13						
Weaver Lake	27011700	)				5			17	18	3																								
Weber Lake	82011900	)																											v12		v 7	v 7	v 7		
West Boot Lake	82004400																						v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v14	v7	v7	v 7	v 7	v 7		v 7
West Lakeland Basin		) south basin																													v 3				
West Lakeland Basin		north basin																					v2								v 7	v 7	v 7		
Westwood Lake	27071100															v13							v15	v14	v10	v9	v7	v7	v8	v8	v 7	v 7	v 10	v 9	v 6
Whaletail Lake		north basin																									13					3			
Whaletail Lake		) south basin	4				5														13			13			13					3			
White Bear Lake	82016700		4	. 5			5																												
White Rock Lake	82007200																												v11	v14	v 13	v 15	v 14	v 15	v 14
Wilmes Lake	82009000																v14	v15	v14	v15	v15	v14	v13	v13	v10	v12	v12	v10	v12		v 11				
Windsor Lake	27008200																		1	*.0	*.0		*.0	*.0	*		v12								
Wing Lake	2700910			1															1								712	V 1-7	v14	v14	v 12	v 9	v 14	v 11	v 9
Winkler Lake	10006600			1															1			v8	v6	v6		v13		v14	7.1-7	v13	v 13	,,,	v 13	v 13	- 1
Wolsfeld Lake	27015700		1								1	l							1							. 10	l	V 1-7	l	. 10			V 13	7.15	_
Wood Lake	19002400																		v10	v14	v15	v15	v14	v13	v14	v14	v14	v14	v13	v13	v 12	v 9	v 13	v 12	v 13
Wood Lake Woodpile Lake	82013200			1															710	7.1-7	V 10	¥10	V 1-7	V 1 3	V 1-4	V 1-7	717	717	v13	v7	v 15	v 14		v 14	
Young America Lake	10010500										1											v1							V /	v /	V 13	V 14	v 14	V 14	V 14
_				<u> </u>							1		10									VI			10										-
Zumbra Lake	10004100	וע					5				1	1	13						1						13		1		1						

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Acorn 82-102	44	296	6.7	3.0	0.7	101	100	0	N	N		
Alimagnet 19-21	109	1,094	10.0	3.0	1.5	545	100	12	N	С	3.2	
Anderson Pond 19-94	2											
Ardmore 27-0153	10.1			6.1	2.4	78	89			N		
Armstrong 82-116-02	39			1.5	1	128	100		N	N		
Baldwin 2-13	220			1.5			100		N	N		
Barker 82-76	45	823	18.3	9.0	4.4	648			Y	N		
Bass (St. Louis Park) 27-15	95											
Bass (Hennepin) 27-98	194	3,100	16.0	9.4	3.1	1,979	82		Y	N	2.3	
Bass (Washington) 82-35	81			4.3			100		N	N		
Bass, west (Wash) 82-123							100		N	N		
Bass, east (Wash) 82-124							100		N	N		
Bavaria 10-19	200	711	3.6	18.3	5.6	3,674	40		Y	Y		Centrarchid
Bay Pond 82-11	10.2	849	83.2	1.1								
Benton 10-69	115	322	2.8	2.0			100		N	N		
Benz 82-120	36			2.7			100		N	N		
Beutel Pond 82-399				1.1					N			
Big Carnelian 82-49	455	1,900	4.2	20.0	9.8	14,560	28		Y	Y		
Big Comfort 13-53	219			14.3			41		Y	Y		
Big Marine 82-52	1,706	2,659	1.6	15.2	7.6	42,527	67		Y	Y		
Birch 13-42	65											
Bone 82-54	212	5,177	24.4	9.8	3.7	2,820	59	3	Y	Y		
Brick Pond 82-308				1.5					N			

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Brickyard 10-225	17			13.1			35		Y	N		
Bryant 27-67	176			13.7			36		Y	Y		
Burandt 10-84	96			7.3			70		Y	N		
Bush 27-47	172			8.5			64		Y	Y		
Campbell 10-127	72			2.0			100		N	N		
Carol 82-17	63	375	6.0	1.8	0.9	186	100		N	N		
Cates 70-18	27			4.0			100		N	N		
Cedar (Scott) 70-91	742	11,104	15.0	4.7	2.1	5,194	100		N	Y		
Cedar Island 27-119	80	800	10.0	2.1	1.4	368	100		N	N		
Cenaiko 2-654	29			9.1			40		Y	N	0.6	Stocked w/Trout - Fishing Pier
Clear 82-45	31			8.2			94		Y	N		
Clear 82-99												
Clear 82-163	400			8.5	3.7	4,800	67		Y	Y	3.9	Walleye
Cloverdale 82-9	45	819	18.2	8.5	3	450	86		Y	N		
Cobblecrest 27-53	10									N		
Cobblestone 19-456	37			6.0								
Cody 66-61	256			3.7	2.4	78						
Colby 82-94	71	8,088	113.9	3.4			100		N	N		
Cornelia 27-28	52			2.0						N		
Courthouse 10-5	10			17.4			30		Y	N	0.6	Stocked w/Trout
Cowley 27-169												
Crystal (Burnsville) 19-27	292	2,001	6.9	11.3	3.1	2,920	72		Y	Y		Panfish - Fishing Pier
Crystal (Robbinsdale) 27-34	76	1,272	16.7	10.4	3.7	917	68		Y	Y	1.4	Centrarchid - Fishing Pier

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	( <b>m</b> )	(m)						(miles)	
Dean 70-74	128						100		N	N		
DeMontreville 82-101	160	1,108	6.9	7.3	2.4	1,280	90		Y	Y		
Downs 82-110	35	2,400	68.6	2.1	1.5	175	100		N	N		
Dubay 27-129	16.6									N		
Eagle (Carver) 10-121	186	1,050	5.6	4.3	2.5	1,500	100		N	Y		
Eagle (Maple Grove) 27-111-01	291	3,220	11.1	10.4	3.8	3,667	68		Y	Y	3.2	Centrarchid
Eagle Point 82-109	120	11,502	95.9	1.8	1	360	100		N	N		
Earley 19-33	29	1,629	56.2							N		
East 19-349	40											
East Boot 82-34	47	93	2.0	8.2	0.9	282	84		Y	Y		
Echo 82-135	41	194	4.7	1.8	0.8	107	100		N	N		
Edina 27-29				1.0			100		N	N		
Edith 82-4	81	1,576	19.5	13.0					Y			
Elmo 82-106	284	1,191	4.2	41.7			22		Y			
Farquar 19-23	63	353	5.6	3.0	1.4	290	100		N	N		
Fireman's 10-226	8			7.0			88		Y			
Fish (Scott) 70-69	171	660	3.9	8.5	4.4	2,468	43		Y	Y		Centrarchid
Fish (Washington) 82-64	72	683	9.5	3.0	1.5	360	100		N	N		
Fish (Woodbury) 82-93	5.2											
Fish (Grant) 82-137	21			10.4			67		Y			
Forest 82-159	2,249	4,285	1.9	11.5	3.4	24,986	68	14	Y	Y		
French 27-127	352	870	2.5	1.0					N	Y		
Friedrich's 82-108	14.5	360	24.8									

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area (ac)	Watershed Area (ac)	Watershed to Surface Area Ratio	Max Depth (m)	Mean Depth (m)	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length (miles)	DNR Classification
Gaystock 10-31	105			5.0			100		N	N		
George 2-91	488			9.8			80		Y			
George Watch 2-5	528			2.0	1.5	2,587	100		N	Y		
German 82-56	109											
Glen 27-93	98			7.6			91			N		
Goetschel 82-313	22	2,812	127.8	4.2	1.2	88	100		N	N		
Goggins 82-77	11						100		N	N		
Golden 2-45	57	7,680	134.7	7.3	2.5	463	90	1	Y	Y	1.5	
Goose (Scandia) 82-59	83			7.6	2.4	664	55			Y		
Goose (Waconia) 10-89	407	1,100	2.7	3.0	1.5	2,035	100		N	C		Natural Environment
Grace 10-218	22			6.7			79					
Hafften 27-199	43						60		Y	Y		
Half Breed 82-80	75	303	4.0	10.3	1.7	420	67		Y	N		
Hart 2-81	8						100		N	N		
Harvey 27-??				0.7			100		N	N		
Hay 82-65	33									N		
Hazeltine 10-14	236			2.0			100		N	N		
Heims 13-56												
Henry 10-175	77			1.5			100		N	N		
Herbers Pond (south bay of Loon Lake) 82-15-01				2.0			100		N	N		
Hidden 27-693	9			8.5			56		Y	N		
Highland 2-79	22			1.0			100		N	N		
Hornbean 19-47	22											

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Horseshoe 19-51	16											
Horseshoe (Wash) 82-74	53			3.4					N			
Hydes 10-88	215	430	2.0	5.5	3	2,150	88		Y	Y		
Island 2-22	67			6.7			87		Y	N		
Jackson WMA 82-305	14.3											
Jane 82-104	155	1,402	9.0	12.0	3.7	1,860	72		Y	Y		
Jellum's 82-52-02	72	333	4.6	4.9	2.4	569	100		N	N		
Jonathon 10-217												
July 82-318												
Karth 62-0072												
Keller (Burnsville) 19-25	51	1,387	27.2	3.0	1.8	300	100		N	N		
Kingsley 19-30	44	193	4.4	4.0			100		N	N	1.7	
Kismet 82-333										N		
Klawitter 82-368	4.5	168	37.3				100					
Kramer Pond 82-117												
La 82-97	35			3.5			100		N	N	1.3	
Lac Lavon 19-446	55	306	5.6	9.8			47		Y	N	2.3	Stocked w/Trout - Fishing Pier
Lake of the Isles 27-40	114			9.5			79		Y	Y		
Langton 62-49	30	257	8.6	1.5	1.2	120	100		N			
Lee 19-29	25	324	13.0	5.2			100		N	N	1	
Legion Pond 82-462	16	224	14.0									
LeMay 27-85	34			4.0	1.6	173						
Levander Pond 19-88	2.5											

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Libbs 27-85	23			2.1			100		N	N		
Lily 82-23	52			17.4			73		Y	Y		Centrarchid - Fishing Pier
Little Carnelian 82-14	162	565	3.5	21.3	10.7	5,686			Y	N	1.7	
Little Comfort 13-54	36			17.0			44		Y	N		
Little Johanna 62-58	35			12.0			67		N	N		
Little Long 27-179	108			23.2			49		Y	Y		
Lochness 2-0584	5.3			4.9								
Lone 27-94	22			8.2			18		Y	Y		
Long (Apple Valley) 19-22	36			1.5			100		N	N		
Long (Stillwater) 82-21	71			6.7			96		N	N		
Long (May) 82-30	88			3.7			100		N	Y		
Long (Wash) 82-68	35	381	10.9	2.1	1.1	126	100		N	N		
Long (Pine Springs) 82-118	62	2,060	33.2	10.4	3.6	744	55		Y	N		
Long (Mahtomedi) 82-130	48			7.7			92		Y	N		
Loon 82-15-02	64	407	6.4	4.9	2.4	206	100		N	N		
Lost 82-134	9.1			7.9			82					
Lotus 10-6	246	1,033	4.2	8.8	4.3	3,500	74		Y	Y		
Louise 82-25	48	616	12.8	3.7	1.8	283	100		N	N		
Lucy 10-7	87			6.4			99		N	N		
Lynch 82-42	43											
MacDonald Pond 82-62	12			2.7			100		N	N		
Magda 27-65	15											
Maple Marsh 82-38	38	148	3.9	3.4	1.7	212	100		N	N		

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Marcott (Rosenberg) Lake 19-41	20			8.2			90		Y	N		
Marcott (Ohmans) Lake 19-42	34			10.1					Y	N		
Maria 10-58	169			1.0			100		N	Y		
Marion 19-26	560			6.4			81		Y	Y		
Markgrafs 82-89	46	413	9.0	2.4			100		N	N	2.6	Rearing
Markley 70-21	27			3.7			100		N	N		
Masterman 82-126	45											
McDonald 82-10	54	1,051	19.5	3.7	1.8	324	100		N	N		
McKnight 10-216												
McKusick 82-20	46			4.7			100		N	N	1.6	
McMahon 70-50	110			4.5			100		N	Y		
Meadow 27-57	11	121	11.0	1.2			100		N	N	0.7	
Medicine 27-104	886			14.9			45		Y	Y		
Medina 27-146	28						100		N	N		
Mergen's 82-482	12	1,383	115.3	1.3			100		N	N		
Miller 10-29	145	16,701	115.2	4.3	3.1	1,479	100		N	N		
Minnewashta 10-9	677			21.3			55		Y	Y		
Minnetoga 27-88	14.4			8.2	3.9	183						
Mitchell 27-70	112			5.8			97		N	Y		
Moody 13-23	35			14.6			63		Y	N		
Mud 82-26-02	62	899	14.5	2.1	1.1	224	100		N	N		
Normandale 21-1045	103			3.7			100		N			
North Twin 82-18	69	187	2.7	1.8	0.9	207	100		N	N		

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Northwood 27-627	15	1,341	89.4	1.5	0.8	41	100		N	N		
O'Connor 82-2	38									N		
O'Dowd 70-95	258			6.7			91		Y	Y		
Oak 10-93	339			3.4			100		N	N		
Olson 82-103	89	200	2.2	4.5	2.1	623	100		N	Y		
Oneka 82-140	381			2.1	1.2	1,524	100		N	N		Wildlife
Orchard 19-31	250	2,012	8.0	10.0	3	2,500	75		Y	Y		Centrarchid
Pamela 27-675	18			1.5			100		N	N		
Parkers 27-107	97	950	9.8	11.3	3.7	1,164	70		Y	Y		
Pat 82-125	13											
Peltier 2-4	174	68,082	391.3	4.9	2.1	3,255	100		N	Y		Gamefish
Penn 27-4	31			2.1			100		N	Y		
Pepin 40-28	326			3.4	1.1	1,150				Y		
Peter 27-147	46			20.7			35		Y	N		
Pike (Maple Grove) 27-111-02	59	919	15.6	6.7	2	395	95		Y	Y	1.5	Centrarchid
Pike (Ramsey) 62-69	35			4.9	2.1	252	100		N	N		Gamefish
Pike (Scott) 70-76	57	1,991	34.9	2.7			100		N	N		
Pine Tree 82-122	174			7.9	3	1,740	91		Y	N		Centrarchid
Pleasant 70-98	300			1.5			100		N	Y		
Powers 82-92	57	1,238	21.7	12.5			57	2	Y	N	1.8	Centrarchid
Priebe 62-36				1.5			100		N	N		
Prior (Lower) 70-26	827	19,560	23.7	18.3	4.1	11,120	46	1	Y	Y		Centrarchid
Prior (Upper) 70-72	340	16,460	48.4	15.2	3.1	3,460	93	2	Y	Y		Centrarchid

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Red Rock 27-76	96.9			4.9			94		N	Y		
Region Park 82-87	16	600	37.5	5.8			100		N	N		
Reitz 10-52	79	3,711	47.0	11.0	4	1,027	58		Y	Y		
Reshnanau 2-9												
Rest Area 82-0514	12.6	17,781	1411.2									
Rice 27-116	252			3.4	1.9	1,570				Y		
Riley 10-2	297	4,796	16.1	15.0	6.6	6,429	34		Y	Y	2.9	
Rogers 19-80	94			2.4	1.3	393				Y		
Rose 27-92	17											
Ryan 27-58	20	5,510	275.5	10.7	64.8	312	56		Y	N	0.6	
S. School Section 82-151	125			8.0			41					
Sanborn 40-27				1.2	0.9					Y		
Sand 82-67	46			5.5	2.4	368	46	2		N	1.8	
Schmidt 27-102	37	190	5.1	9.1	1.5	207	92		Y	N	1.6	
School 13-57	48											
Schroeder Pond 82-301				3.0			100		N	N		
Schutz 10-18	105	943	9.0	15.0	6	2,100	27		Y	N		
Scout 19-198				2.9								
Seidl's 19-95	14	415	29.6	5.0			100	5	N	N		Rearing
Shady Oak 27-89	85			10.7			66		Y	Y		
Shaver 27-86	11									N		
Shields 82-162	27			8.2			85		Y	N	0.8	
Silver 82-16	98	455	4.6	3.4	1.7	549	100		N	N		

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area	Watershed Area	Watershed to Surface	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo-cline?	Public Access	Shore Length	DNR Classification
	(ac)	(ac)	Area Ratio	(m)	(m)						(miles)	
Silver (Ramsey) 62-1	72			5.5			99			Y		
Simley 19-37	14			5.2					N	Y		
South Oak 27-661										N		
South Rice 27-645	3.2	63	19.7	2.5	0.5	5	100		N	N		
South Twin 82-19	54	63	1.2	4.0	2	356	100		N	N		
Spring (Scott) 70-54	630	13,500	21.4	11.3	5.6	11,500	50	2	Y	Y	5	
Square 82-46	193	782	4.1	20.7	9	5,694	65	5	Y	Y	2.2	Stocked w/Trout
St. Croix 82-1	8,600	4,918,790	572.0	23.8					Y	Y		
St. Joe 10-11	14			15.9			46		Y	Y		
Staples 82-28	24	127	5.3	4.3	2.1	165	100		N	N		
Success 27-634												
Sunfish 19-50	49			9.8					Y	N		
Sunfish 82-107	50	526	10.5							N		
Sunnybrook 82-133	16	630	39.4	6.1	2	104			Y	N		
Sunset 82-153	124			5.2			100		N	N	2.3	Gamefish
Sunset Pond 19-451	60			3.7			100		N	N	1.9	
Susan 10-13	93			5.2			81			Y		
Swede 10-95	376			4.0			100		N	Y		
Sweeney 27-35-01	66	2,400	36.4	8.0	3.6	790	52		Y	N		Panfish
Sylvan 27-171	134			4.0			100		N	N		
Tamarack 10-10	24			20.0			41		Y	N		
Terrapin 82-31	86			4.6			100		N	N		
Thole 70-120	105			3.7			100		N	Y		

APPENDIX B
Lake Characteristics

Lake Name & DNR ID#	Surface Area (ac)	Watershed Area (ac)	Watershed to Surface Area Ratio	Max Depth (m)	Mean Depth (m)	Volume (ac-ft)	% Littoral	# Inlets	Thermo- cline?	Public Access	Shore Length (miles)	DNR Classification
Turtle 82-36	(ac)	(ac) 699		, ,	1.2	172	100		N	N	(IIIIes)	
Twin (Burnsville) 19-28	11	099	13.9	2.4	1.2	172	100		IN.	11		
Twin (Golden Valley) 27-35-02	19			17.0			42		Y	N		
Twin (Lower) (Rob) 27-42	46	5,322	115.7	6.7	2.3	340			Y	Y	1.2	Centrarchid
Twin (Middle) (Cry) 27-42	69	4,053	58.7	14.0	4.9	918			Y	Y	1.4	Centrarchid
Twin (Upper) (Br.P) 27-42	137	3,657	26.7	2.4	0.9	397	100		Y	N	2.8	Centrarchid
Twin (St. Louis Pk) 27-656		,								N		
Valentine 62-71	60	2,237	37.3	4.0	1.5	300	100		N			
Valley 19-348	8	117	14.6	3.2			100	1	N	N		
Virginia 10-18	110	772	7.0	10.4	3.3	1,210	88		Y	Y		
Waconia 10-59	3,000	7,880	2.6	11.3	4	38,632	53		Y	Y	6.8	Centrarchid
Weber 82-119	7.5	1	0.2	1.5			100		N	N		
West Boot 82-44	110	209	1.9	11.9	5.9	2,090	56		Y	Y		
West Lakeland 82-488	27	1,139	42.2						N	N		
Westwood 27-711	41			2.0			100		N	N		
White Rock 82-72	65											
Wilmes 82-90	41	2,247	54.8	5.5						Y	1.3	
Windsor 27-82	14									N		
Wing 27-91	11											
Winkler 10-66	129	2,758	21.4									
Wood (Burnsville) 19-24	9	157	17.4	4.5			100	1	N	N		Panfish
Woodpile 82-132	19											

Anoka County Parks Island Anoka County staff Anoka County staff Anoka County staff Anoka County staff Anoka County staff Anoka County staff Apple Valley, City of Cobblestone 19002300 Jeff Christianson Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Long 19002300 Jeff Christianson Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Long 19002300 Jeff Christianson Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Long 19002300 Jeff Christianson Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Scout 19019800 Jen Stanek Apple Valley, City of Alignagnet Apple Valley, City of Alignagnet 19002700 Jeac Tranchilla Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Apple Valley, City of Alignagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Alignagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Alignagnet 19002400 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Alignagnet 19002400 John Ryale Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, Apple Valley, Apple Apple V	Sponsor	Lake	DNR ID	Volunteer Name(s)
Apple Valley, City of Cobblestone 19045600 Jeff Sluiter Apple Valley, City of Farquar 19002200 Jeff Christianson Apple Valley, City of Long 19002200 Christy McGlocklin, Jake McGlocklin, Ann Reinecke Apple Valley, City of Scout 19019800 Dan Stanek Apple Valley, City of Medicine, site 1 27010400 David Nelson, Karl Nelson Basset Creek WMO Medicine, site 2 27010400 Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery Basset Creek WMO Medicine, site 2 27010400 Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery Basset Creek WMO Northwood 27062700 Robert White Basset Creek WMO Parkers 27010700 Ben Chapin Basset Creek WMO Sweeney 27003501 Dave Hanson Basset Creek WMO Westwood 27071100 Westwood Nature Center  Black Dog WMO Crystal 19002700 Joe Tranchilla Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Crystal 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Ear Standard 19003100 Tom Goodwin  Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19004500 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer  Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Firemans 10022500 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022500 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022500 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 1002000 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County McKnight 10006900 Wangh Hubin Carver County Swede 10000500 Steve Hubin Carver County McKnight 10000500 Steve Hubin Carver County Swede 10000500 Steve Hubin Carver County McKnight 10000500 Steve Hubin Carver County Miller 10000500 Steve Hubin Carver County McKnight 10000500 Steve Hubin Carver C	Anoka County Parks	Cenaiko	2065400	Anoka County staff
Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Scout         19002200         Christy McGlocklin, Jake McGlocklin, Ann Reinecke Apple Valley, City of Scout         19019800         Dan Stlanek           Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 1         27010400         David Nelson, Karl Nelson           Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 2         27010400         Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery           Basset Creek WMO         Northwood         270627070         Robert White           Basset Creek WMO         Parkers         27010700         Ben Chapin           Basset Creek WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Keller         19002700         Joe Tranchilla           Black Dog WMO         Kingsley         19003000         Lakeville staff           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19044600         Wally Shaver           Black Dog WMO         Aligmagnet         19002100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Aligmagnet         19002100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Sunset Pond         19045100         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Wood P	Anoka County Parks	Island	2002200	Anoka County staff
Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Scout         19002200         Christy McGlocklin, Jake McGlocklin, Ann Reinecke Apple Valley, City of Scout         19019800         Dan Stlanek           Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 1         27010400         David Nelson, Karl Nelson           Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 2         27010400         Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery           Basset Creek WMO         Northwood         270627070         Robert White           Basset Creek WMO         Parkers         27010700         Ben Chapin           Basset Creek WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Keller         19002700         Joe Tranchilla           Black Dog WMO         Kingsley         19003000         Lakeville staff           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19044600         Wally Shaver           Black Dog WMO         Aligmagnet         19002100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Aligmagnet         19002100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Sunset Pond         19045100         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Wood P				
Apple Valley, City of Apple Valley, City of Scout         19002200         Christy McGlocklin, Jake McGlocklin, Ann Reinecke Apple Valley, City of Scout         19019800         Dan Stanek           Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 1         27010400         David Nelson, Karl Nelson           Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 2         27010400         Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery           Basset Creek WMO         Northwood         27062700         Robert White           Basset Creek WMO         Parkers         27010700         Ben Chapin           Basset Creek WMO         Sweeney         27003501         Dave Hanson           Basset Creek WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Keller         19002700         Joe Tranchilla           Black Dog WMO         Keller         19003000         Lakeville staff           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19044600         Wally Shaver           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19044600         Wally Shaver           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19003100         Tom Goodwin           Burnsville, City of         Aligmagnet         19002100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Earley         19003300 <td< td=""><td>Apple Valley, City of</td><td>Cobblestone</td><td>19045600</td><td>Jeff Sluiter</td></td<>	Apple Valley, City of	Cobblestone	19045600	Jeff Sluiter
Apple Valley, City of Scout 19019800 Dan Stanek  Basset Creek WMO Medicine, site 1 27010400 David Nelson, Karl Nelson Basset Creek WMO Medicine, site 2 27010400 Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery Basset Creek WMO Northwood 27062700 Robert White Basset Creek WMO Parkers 27010700 Ben Chapin Basset Creek WMO Sweeney 27003301 Dave Hanson Basset Creek WMO Westwood 27071100 Westwood Nature Center  Black Dog WMO Westwood 27071100 Westwood Nature Center  Black Dog WMO Keller 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Orchard 19003100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Aligmagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10026900 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10026900 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10026900 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10026900 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Minnewshta, site 2 10000000 David Florenzano	Apple Valley, City of	Farquar	19002300	Jeff Christianson
Basset Creek WMO Medicine, site 1 27010400 David Nelson, Karl Nelson Basset Creek WMO Medicine, site 2 27010400 Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery Basset Creek WMO Northwood 27062700 Robert White Basset Creek WMO Parkers 27010700 Ben Chapin Basset Creek WMO Sweeney 27003501 Dave Hanson Basset Creek WMO Westwood 27071100 Westwood Nature Center  Black Dog WMO Crystal 19002700 Joe Tranchilla Black Dog WMO Keller 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Orchard 190044000 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Orchard 190044000 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Tranchilla Staff Shaver S	Apple Valley, City of	Long	19002200	Christy McGlocklin, Jake McGlocklin, Ann Reinecke
Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 2         27010400         Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery           Basset Creek WMO         Northwood         27052700         Robert White           Basset Creek WMO         Parkers         27010700         Ben Chapin           Basset Creek WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Crystal         19002700         Joe Tranchilla           Black Dog WMO         Keller         190022500         Glenn Gramse           Black Dog WMO         Kingsley         19003000         Lakeville staff           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19044600         Wally Shaver           Black Dog WMO         Aligmagnet         19003100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Aligmagnet         19003300         Jeff Thayer           Burnsville, City of         Earley         19003300         Jaff Thayer           Burnsville, City of         Sunset Pond         19045100         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Twin Lake south         19002800         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Wood Pond         19002400         Mock Family           Carver County         Benton         10006900	Apple Valley, City of	Scout	19019800	Dan Stanek
Basset Creek WMO         Medicine, site 2         27010400         Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery           Basset Creek WMO         Northwood         27052700         Robert White           Basset Creek WMO         Parkers         27010700         Ben Chapin           Basset Creek WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Crystal         19002700         Joe Tranchilla           Black Dog WMO         Keller         190022500         Glenn Gramse           Black Dog WMO         Kingsley         19003000         Lakeville staff           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19044600         Wally Shaver           Black Dog WMO         Aligmagnet         19003100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Aligmagnet         19003300         Jeff Thayer           Burnsville, City of         Earley         19003300         Jaff Thayer           Burnsville, City of         Sunset Pond         19045100         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Twin Lake south         19002800         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Wood Pond         19002400         Mock Family           Carver County         Benton         10006900				
Basset Creek WMO Parkers 27062700 Robert White Basset Creek WMO Parkers 27010700 Ben Chapin Basset Creek WMO Westwood 27071100 Westwood Nature Center  Black Dog WMO Crystal 19002700 Joe Tranchilla Black Dog WMO Keller 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Orchard 19003100 Tom Goodwin  Burnsville, City of Aligmagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19002400 Mock Family Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bararia 10001900 John Ryki Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10011400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10011400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10001800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10001800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10001800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10001800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10001800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10001800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10001800 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Wayne Hubin Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Ghanbassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000000 David Florenzano	Basset Creek WMO	Medicine, site 1	27010400	David Nelson, Karl Nelson
Basset Creek WMO Sweeney 27003501 Dave Hanson Basset Creek WMO Sweeney 27003501 Dave Hanson Basset Creek WMO Westwood 27071100 Westwood Nature Center  Black Dog WMO Crystal 19002700 Joe Tranchilla Black Dog WMO Keller 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Corchard 19003100 Tom Goodwin Burnsville, City of Aligmagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10006900 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10002600 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10022600 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10022600 Carver County Carver County Fireman 10022600 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008900 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008900 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Swede 10009900 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 1000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000000 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000000 Steve Aldritt	Basset Creek WMO	Medicine, site 2	27010400	Ryan Atwell, Richard Emery, Bridget Emery
Basset Creek WMO         Sweeney         27003501         Dave Hanson           Basset Creek WMO         Westwood         27071100         Westwood Nature Center           Black Dog WMO         Crystal         19002700         Joe Tranchilla           Black Dog WMO         Keller         19002500         Blenn Gramse           Black Dog WMO         Kingsley         19003000         Lakeville staff           Black Dog WMO         Lac Lavon         19044600         Wally Shaver           Black Dog WMO         Orchard         19003100         Tom Goodwin           Burnsville, City of         Aligmagnet         19002100         John Ritter           Burnsville, City of         Earley         19003300         Jeff Thayer           Burnsville, City of         Sunset Pond         19045100         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Twin Lake south         19002800         Dan Freeman           Burnsville, City of         Wood Pond         19002400         Mock Family           Carver County         Bavaria         10001900         John Ryski           Carver County         Benton         10004900         Jacob Steinbauer           Carver County         Breaton         10001900         Carver County				
Basset Creek WMO  Crystal  Black Dog WMO  Keller  19002700  Joe Tranchilla  Black Dog WMO  Keller  19002500  Glenn Gramse  Black Dog WMO  Kingsley  19003000  Lakeville staff  Black Dog WMO  Lac Lavon  19044600  Wally Shaver  Black Dog WMO  Drohard  19003100  Burnsville, City of  Burnsville, City of  Burnsville, City of  Sunset Pond  19002800  Burnsville, City of  Burnsville, City of  Wood Pond  19002400  Dan Wallace  Burnsville, City of  Burnsville, City of  Wood Pond  19002400  Dan Freeman  Burnsville, City of  Wood Pond  19002400  Dan Freeman  Burnsville, City of  Wood Pond  19002400  Dan Freeman  Burnsville, City of  Wood Pond  19002400  Dan Freeman  Burnsville, City of  Wood Pond  19002400  Dan Freeman  Dan Wallace  Carver County  Bavaria  10001900  John Ryski  Carver County  Benton  10006900  Jacob Steinbauer  Carver County  Carver County  Carver County  Carver County  Carver County  Eagle  10012100  Carver County  Carver County  Firemans  10022600  Carver County  Carver County  Firemans  10022600  Carver County  Carver County  Goose  10003900  Carver County  Carver County  Grace  10021800  Carver County  Carver County  Hazeltine  10001400  Carver County  Carver County  Carver County  McKnight  10021700  Carver County  Carver County  McKnight  10021600  Carver County  Carver County  McKnight  10021600  Carver County  Carver County  McKnight  10021600  Carver County  Carver County  Miller  10002500  Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen  Carver County  Waconia  10005900  Carver County  Carver County  Carver County  Waconia  10005900  Carver County  Carver County  Carver County  Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen  Carver County  Carver County  Carver County  Maconia  10005900  Steve Aldritt  Chanhassen, City of  Minewashta, site 2  10000200  David Florenzano		Parkers		•
Black Dog WMO Crystal 19002700 Joe Tranchilla Black Dog WMO Keller 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Crchard 19003100 Tom Goodwin  Burnsville, City of Aligmagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 100021800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 100021800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 100021800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 100021800 Carver County Carver County Hodes 100021800 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10002500 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000000 David Florenzano				
Black Dog WMO Keller 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Orchard 19003100 Tom Goodwin  Burnsville, City of Aligmagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022500 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10002800 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Macker Industrial Sustain McCotter Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000000 Tom McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000000 David Florenzano	Basset Creek WMO	Westwood	27071100	Westwood Nature Center
Black Dog WMO Keller 19002500 Glenn Gramse Black Dog WMO Kingsley 19003000 Lakeville staff Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon 19044600 Wally Shaver Black Dog WMO Orchard 19003100 Tom Goodwin  Burnsville, City of Aligmagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022500 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10002800 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Macker Industrial Sustain McCotter Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000000 Tom McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000000 David Florenzano				
Black Dog WMO Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon Black Dog WMO Lac Lavon Black Dog WMO Crchard Black Dog WMO Orchard Black Dog WMO Orchard Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Wood Pond Burnsville, City of Burnsville, Carver County Burnsville, City of Burnsville, City of Burnsville, Carver County Burnsville, City of Burnsville, Carver County Burnsville, City of Burnsville,		-		
Black Dog WMO Drchard Black Dog WMO Orchard Black Dog WMO Orchard Drch				
Black Dog WMO Orchard 19003100 Tom Goodwin  Burnsville, City of Aligmagnet 19002100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 100022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10001400 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Holden 10008800 Carver County Carver County Miller 10008800 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 David Florenzano				
Burnsville, City of Earley 19003100 John Ritter Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Courthouse 10000500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				
Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 David Florenzano	Black Dog WMO	Orchard	19003100	Tom Goodwin
Burnsville, City of Earley 19003300 Jeff Thayer Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 David Florenzano	<del></del>			
Burnsville, City of Sunset Pond 19045100 Dan Wallace Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Courthouse 10000500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 100021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021700 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lotus 1000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000200 David Florenzano				
Burnsville, City of Twin Lake south 19002800 Dan Freeman Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Bavaria 10001900 John Ryski  Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer  Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County  Carver County Courthouse 1000500 Carver County  Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County  Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County  Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County  Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County  Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 100021700 Carver County  Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County  Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County  Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen  Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin  Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter  Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 David Florenzano				<u> </u>
Burnsville, City of Wood Pond 19002400 Mock Family  Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer  Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County  Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County  Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County  Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County  Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County  Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County  Carver County McKnight 10021700 Carver County  Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County  Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen  Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin  Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 1000600 Laurie Susla  Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt  Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				
Carver County Benton 10001900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Courthouse 10000500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10002600 Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				
Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Courthouse 10000500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021700 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Miller 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Carver County Carver County Tomation Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Tomation Carver County Carver County Tomation Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Tomation Carver County Carver	Burnsville, City of	Wood Pond	19002400	Mock Family
Carver County Benton 10006900 Jacob Steinbauer Carver County Brickyard 10022500 Carver County Carver County Courthouse 10000500 Carver County Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021700 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Miller 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Carver County Carver County Tomation Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Tomation Carver County Carver County Tomation Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Tomation Carver County Carver	Carver County	Ravaria	10001900	John Ryski
Carver County Miller Miller Moo2900 Carver County Carver C				
Carver County Carver County Eagle 1000500 Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Carver County Carver County Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000200 David Florenzano				
Carver County Eagle 10012100 Carver County Firemans 10022600 Carver County Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County C		<del>-</del>		•
Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				•
Carver County Goose 10008900 Carver County Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				•
Carver County Grace 10021800 Carver County Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Carver County Carver County Carver County Swede 10009500 Carver County Carver				
Carver County Hazeltine 10001400 Carver County Carver County Hydes 10008800 Carver County Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 1000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				,
Carver County  Carver County  Jonathan  Jonath				
Carver County Jonathan 10021700 Carver County Carver County McKnight 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				
Carver County Miller 10021600 Carver County Carver County Miller 10002900 Carver County Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				•
Carver County Carver County Reitz 10002900 Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				•
Carver County Reitz 10005200 Mark McMullen, Lynne McMullen Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				•
Carver County Swede 10009500 Wayne Hubin Carver County Waconia 10005900 Carver County  Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				
Carver County  Waconia  10005900  Carver County  Chanhassen, City of  Chanhassen, City of  Lucy  10000700  Lim McCotter, Sharon McCotter  Chanhassen, City of  Minnewashta, site 2  10000900  Steve Aldritt  Chanhassen, City of  Riley  10000200  David Florenzano				• •
Chanhassen, City of Lotus 10000600 Laurie Susla Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				
Chanhassen, City of Lucy 10000700 Tim McCotter, Sharon McCotter Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano				•
Chanhassen, City of Minnewashta, site 2 10000900 Steve Aldritt Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano	Chanhassen, City of	Lotus	10000600	Laurie Susla
Chanhassen, City of Riley 10000200 David Florenzano	Chanhassen, City of	Lucy	10000700	
	Chanhassen, City of	Minnewashta, site 2	10000900	
Chanhassen, City of St. Joe 10001100 Sue Morgan, Linda Scott	Chanhassen, City of	Riley	10000200	David Florenzano
	Chanhassen, City of	St. Joe	10001100	Sue Morgan, Linda Scott

Sponsor	Lake	DNR ID	Volunteer Name(s)
Chanhassen, City of	Susan	10001300	Gary Schultz, Noah Schultz, Stefan Schultz
			·
CLFLWD	Bone	82005400	Jon Hafner, Teresa Hafner
CLFLWD	Comfort	13005300	Wally Ostlie
CLFLWD	Forest Lake east	82015900	Jim Spetsman, Judy Weninger
CLFLWD	Forest Lake middle	82015900	Jim Hannon
CLFLWD	Forest Lake west	82015900	Steve Schmaltz
CLFLWD	Little Comfort	13005400	Steve Schreiber
CLFLWD	Moody	13002300	Douglas Toavs
CLFLWD	Shields	82016200	Bob Roethke
CLFLWD	Sylvan	82008000	Curt Sparks
Eden Prairie, City of	Mitchell	27007000	Gordon & Fran Warner
-			
Elm Creek WMC	Dubay	27012900	Doug Baines
Elm Creek WMC	Medina	27014600	Caroline Ampuero
Elm Creek WMC	Sylvan	27017100	Gene Wipf
Inver Grove Heights, City of	Marcott (Ohmans)	19004200	Dakota SWCD staff
Inver Grove Heights, City of	Marcott (Rosenberg)	19004100	Dakota SWCD staff
Inver Grove Heights, City of	Simley	19003700	Dakota SWCD staff
	·		
Lakeville, City of	East	19034900	Lakeville staff
Lakeville, City of	Lee	19002900	Lakeville staff
Lakeville, City of	Marion	19002601	Wally Potter
Lakeville, City of	Valley	19034800	Lakeville staff
Mendota Heights, City of	Lemay	19008200	Mendota Heights staff
Mendota Heights, City of	Rogers	19008000	Doug Hennes
Nine Mile Creek WD	Bryant	27006700	Nelson Anderson
Nine Mile Creek WD	Bush	27004700	Paul Erdmann, Liz Boeser
Nine Mile Creek WD	Minnetoga	27008800	John Twele, Maressia Twele
Nine Mile Creek WD	Normandale	27104500	Steve Magiera
Nine Mile Creek WD	Penn (lower)	27000400	Lisa McIntire
Nine Mile Creek WD	Wing	27009100	John Burton, Mary Quinn
Prior Lake Spring Lake WD	Cates	70001800	Tom Sletta, Peggy Sletta
Prior Lake Spring Lake WD	Fish	70006900	Jon Haferman, Abby Haferman
Prior Lake Spring Lake WD	Prior, lower	70002600	Walt Burris
Prior Lake Spring Lake WD	Prior, upper	70007200	Kim Silvernagel
Prior Lake Spring Lake WD	Spring	70005400	Jim Weninger
Rice Cr WD	George Watch	2000500	Wargo Nature Ctr.
Rice Cr WD	Karth	62007200	Gary Gerding, Andrew Elmquist, Aisha Elmquist
Rice Cr WD	Langton	62004901	Tam McGehee, Dick McGehee
Rice Cr WD	Little Johanna	62005800	Fred Fox
Rice Cr WD	Lochness	2058500	Jim Hafner, Tricia Hafner
Rice Cr WD	Long	82013000	Kitty Francy-Payton

Sponsor	Lake	DNR ID	Volunteer Name(s)
Rice Cr WD	Oneka	82014000	Paul Bolstad
Rice Cr WD	Pine Tree	82012200	Gene Berwald, Bill Berwald
Rice Cr WD	Priebe	62003600	David Dixen, Carol Pierce
Rice Cr WD	Reshanau	2000900	Lori Fredlund
Rice Cr WD	Sunset	82015300	Dianne Coderre
Rice Cr WD	White Rock	82007200	David Bluhm
Saint Louis Park, City of	Cobblecrest	27005300	Jim Kellogg
Saint Louis Park, City of	South Oak	27066100	John Graff
Saint Louis Park, City of	Twin	27065600	Paul O'Brien
Scott County	Cedar, site 1	70009100	Jerry Edberg
Scott County	Cedar, site 2	70009100	Lowell Mohn
Scott County	McMahon	70000500	Joe Williamson, Diane Williamson
Shakopee, City of	O'Dowd	70009500	Sandy Boyce, Mike Boyce
Shingle Creek WMC	Magda	27006500	Carolyn Dindorf
Shingle Creek WMC	Ryan	27005800	Alyssa Murphy
South St. Paul, City of	Anderson Pond	19009400	S St. Paul Staff
South St. Paul, City of	LeVander Pond	19008800	S St. Paul Staff
South St. Paul, City of	Seidl	19009500	S St. Paul Staff
Sunfish Lake, City of	Horseshoe	19005100	Jim Nayes
Sunfish Lake, City of	Sunfish	19005000	James Stowell
VBWD	Cloverdale	82000900	Dr. Kevin Bjork
VBWD	DeMontreville	82010100	Steve Iverson
VBWD	Edith	82000400	Joseph Reithmeyer
VBWD	Elmo	82010600	Wendy Griffin, Jeff Berg
VBWD	Jane	82010400	Justin Bloyer
VBWD	Klawitter	82036800	Bonnie Juran, Pat Barrett
VBWD	Long	82011800	Bill Feely
VBWD	Olson	82010300	Bob Meier
VBWD	Rest Area Pond	82051400	MnDOT
VBWD	Sunnybrook	82013300	Bob Kisch
Washington CD	Armetrona Lake	02044600	Todd Heruth
Washington CD	Armstrong Lake	82011600	
Washington CD	Bass	82003500	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Bass East	82012400	WCD Staff WCD Staff
Washington CD	Bass West	82012300	
Washington CD	Bay	82001100	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Benz Big Cornolion	82012000	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Big Carnelian	82004900	Katie Wigen
Washington CD	Brick Pond	82030800	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Claar	82001700	WCD Staff  Pan Carloon, Warner Nature Center
Washington CD	Clear Crove Borle	82004500	Dan Carlson, Warner Nature Center
Washington CD	Cottage Grove Park	82008700	WCD Staff

Sponsor	Lake	DNR ID	Volunteer Name(s)
Washington CD	Downs	82011000	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Eagle Point	82010900	WCD Staff
Washington CD	East Boot	82003400	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Echo	82013500	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Edith	82000400	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Fish Lake	82009300	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Fish Lake	82013700	WCD Staff
Washington CD	German	82005600	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Goggins	82007700	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Goose	82005900	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Goose	82011300	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Horseshoe, east basin	82007400	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Jackson WMA	82030500	WCD Staff
Washington CD	July Avenue	82031800	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Kismet Basin	82033400	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Kramer	82011700	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Lily	82002300	Katie Wigen
Washington CD	Little Carnelian	82001400	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Long	82002100	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Loon	82001502	Peter Riehle, Matt Riehle
Washington CD	Lynch	82004200	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Masterman	82012600	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Mays	82003300	Dan Carlson, Warner Nature Center
Washington CD	McDonald	82001000	WCD Staff
Washington CD	McKusick	82002000	WCD Staff
Washington CD	North Twin	82001800	WCD Staff
Washington CD	O'Conners Lake	82000200	Jeff Keene
Washington CD	Pat Lake	82012500	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Plaisted	82014800	WCD Staff
Washington CD	South School Section	82015100	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Square	82004600	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Sunfish	82010700	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Terrapin	82003100	Dan Carlson, Warner Nature Center
Washington CD	Turtle	82003600	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Twin	82004800	WCD Staff
Washington CD	West Boot	82004400	WCD Staff
Washington CD	Woodpile	82013200	WCD Staff
Woodbury, City of	Colby	82009400	Bob Callery
Woodbury, City of	La	82009700	Tim Weber
Woodbury, City of	Markgrafs	82008900	Woodbury staff
Woodbury, City of	Powers	82009200	WCD Staff
Woodbury, City of	Wilmes	82009200	Bill Aamodt
vvoodbury, Oity Oi	VVIIIIIGS	02003000	DIII MATIOUL

# Appendix D CAMP Quality Control Data 2012

Lake Name	DNR ID#	Da	te	TP, ι	ıg/L	CLA,	ug/L	Secc	hi, m
		METC	CAMP	METC	CAMP	METC	CAMP	METC	CAMP
DeMontreville Lake	82010100	8/10/12	8/13/12	25	25	13	3.5	2.6	1.5
Elmo Lake	82010600	8/10/12	8/11/12	20	22	2.0	2.1	4.9	4.0
Medicine Lake, site 1	27010400	8/24/12	8/22/12	37	36	12	40	2.0	1.1
Medicine Lake, site 2	27010400	8/24/12	8/21/12	122	62	110	26	8.0	1.2
Olson Lake	82010300	8/10/12	8/12/12	39	29	25	42	1.6	1.2
Parkers Lake	27010700	8/24/12	8/26/12	20	23	5.6	4.0	4.2	3.1

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

					Secchi											
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	TEMP	DO	SP COND	рН	Alkalinity	Ca	Cl	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO <sub>4</sub>
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm		mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	0.0		1.23	14.2	402	7.89	135	34.9	40	174	< 0.2	18.0	4.30
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	1.0		1.89	13.5	388	7.87							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	2.0		2.03	13.1	388	7.93							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	3.0		2.00	13.1	386	7.92							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	4.0		2.00	13.1	386	7.93							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	5.0		2.02	13.0	384	7.96							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	6.0		2.01	13.0	388	7.97							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	7.0		2.02	13.0	385	7.98							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	8.0		2.02	13.0	388	8.00							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	9.0		2.01	13.0	385	7.99							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	10.0		2.02	12.9	387	7.99							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	12.0		2.06	12.8	387	8.01							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	14.0		2.11	12.6	388	8.00							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	16.0		2.15	12.2	389	8.00							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	18.0		2.19	12.0	391	7.96							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	20.0		2.26	11.5	391	7.93							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	22.0		2.32	11.1	392	7.92							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	23.0		2.34	10.8	394	7.89							
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	23.5						138	35.6	47	172	< 0.2	18.1	4.16
Christmas Lake	27013700	1/30/2012	1	24.1		2.41	9.3	394	7.82							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	0.0	4.70	5.90	12.5	373	7.80	130	33.6	33	164	< 0.2	18.6	3.28
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	1.0		5.90	12.4	373	7.87							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	2.0		5.85	12.4	373	7.93							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	3.0		5.82	12.4	374	7.96							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	4.1		5.79	12.4	372	7.98							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	6.0		5.76	12.3	373	8.00							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	7.0		5.66	12.3	373	8.01							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	8.0		5.65	12.3	374	8.02							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	9.0		5.64	12.2	374	8.04							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	10.0		5.62	12.2	375	8.05							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	12.0		5.61	12.2	375	8.06							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	14.0		5.55	12.2	373	8.06							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	16.0		5.30	12.0	376	8.03							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	18.0		5.10	11.9	377	8.02							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	20.0		4.79	11.6	378	7.98							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	22.0		4.68	11.5	380	7.97							
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	24.0						131	33.1	33	150	< 0.2	18.3	3.25

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

					Secchi		ĺ	itoring Proje								
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	ТЕМР	DO	SP COND	рН	Alkalinity	Ca	CI	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO <sub>4</sub>
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm		mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Christmas Lake	27013700	3/28/2012	1	24.0		4.69	11.5	379	7.96							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	0.0	6.00	23.53	9.1	353	8.56	111	27.4	35	140	< 0.02	16.4	3.01
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	1.0		23.48	9.2	353	8.64							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	2.0		23.36	9.2	352	8.66							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	3.0		23.32	9.2	351	8.67							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	4.0		23.29	9.2	352	8.68							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	5.0		23.23	9.1	351	8.67							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	6.0		22.91	8.8	353	8.62							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	7.0		22.42	8.5	356	8.55							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	8.0		18.22	7.6	384	8.01							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	9.0		14.03	5.4	386	7.81							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	10.0		11.37	3.3	385	7.57							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	12.0		8.80	0.7	388	7.43							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	14.0		7.42	0.2	387	7.35							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	16.0		6.92	0.1	387	7.36							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	18.0		6.63	0.1	389	7.33							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	20.0		6.44	0.1	391	7.31							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	22.0		6.37	0.1	393	7.30							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	24.0		6.28	0.1	394	7.27							
Christmas Lake	27013700	8/21/2012	1	24.0						125	32.1	35	156	~ 0.19	15.9	2.29
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	0.0	3.70	6.96	10.2	375	7.48	133	33	34	148	~ 0.03	17.6	2.93
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	1.0		6.95	10.1	375	7.45							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	2.0		6.94	10.1	376	7.42							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	3.0		6.91	10.0	375	7.41							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	4.0		6.89	10.0	376	7.40							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	5.0		6.88	9.9	375	7.41							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	6.0		6.87	9.9	374	7.41							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	7.0		6.87	9.9	375	7.41							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	8.0		6.87	9.9	375	7.41							
Christmas Lake		11/20/2012	1	9.0		6.85	9.9	375	7.42							
Christmas Lake			1	10.0		6.85	9.9	376	7.44							
Christmas Lake		11/20/2012	1	12.0		6.84	9.9	375	7.45							
Christmas Lake		11/20/2012	1	14.0		6.85	9.8	373	7.46							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	16.0		6.85	9.9	375	7.47							
Christmas Lake		11/20/2012	1	18.1		6.84	9.9	373	7.47							
Christmas Lake		11/20/2012	1	20.0		6.83	9.8	375	7.49							
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	22.0		6.83	9.8	376	7.50							

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

	1			1	Secchi		1	itoring Proje								
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	TEMP	DO	SP COND	рН	Alkalinity	Ca	CI	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO₄
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm	-	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	24.0						130	32.7	34	148	~ 0.04	17.5	3.43
Christmas Lake	27013700	11/20/2012	1	24.0		6.83	9.1	374	7.49							
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	0.0		2.11	16.3	663	8.47	143	48.5	113	196	< 0.2	18.7	7.09
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	1.0		3.62	15.9	661	8.44							
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	2.0		3.67	15.9	661	8.44							
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	3.0		3.67	16.0	661	8.44							
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	4.0		4.10	13.2	660	8.21							
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	5.0		4.18	11.6	669	8.04							
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	6.0		4.62	7.5	682	7.80							
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	7.0						165	53.2	119	210	< 0.2	19.8	7.46
Crystal Lake	19002700	2/1/2012	1	7.0		5.01	7.3	703	7.72							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	0.0	3.00	12.76	11.2	586	8.05	124	38	91	168	< 0.2	16.2	5.82
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	1.0		12.77	11.1	585	8.26							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	1.9		12.76	11.1	586	8.26							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	3.2		12.67	11.1	589	8.35							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	4.0		12.30	11.1	596	8.30							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	5.0		11.69	11.1	607	8.23							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	6.1		6.50	6.4	702	7.77							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	6.9		5.95	2.3	744	7.51							
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	7.0						196	55.2	114	222	< 0.2	21.7	5.59
Crystal Lake	19002700	3/26/2012	1	7.6		5.90	1.4	762	7.45							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	0.0	1.35	24.71	8.8	535	8.55	103	28.4	99	138	~ 0.04	13.1	2.43
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	1.0		24.58	9.0	533	8.63							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	2.0		23.89	8.4	533	8.58							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	3.0		23.77	7.5	534	8.47							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	4.0		23.56	4.9	537	8.07							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	5.0		23.15	3.0	542	7.76							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	6.0		19.92	0.2	587	7.43							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	7.0		16.12	0.2	625	7.34							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	7.5						150	39.5	97	174	3.4	16.2	< 0.40
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	7.5		15.18	0.1	645	7.25							
Crystal Lake	19002700	8/14/2012	1	8.0		14.27	0.1	668	7.12							i l
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	0.0	3.50	2.63	13.9	595	7.80	125	37.9	95	166	~ 0.02	15.6	4.42
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	1.0		2.63	13.8	595	7.81							i l
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	2.0		2.61	13.8	595	7.83							i l
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	3.0		2.61	13.8	594	7.85							i l
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	4.0		2.61	13.8	595	7.87							i

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

					Secchi											
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	ТЕМР	DO	SP COND	рН	Alkalinity	Ca	CI	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO <sub>4</sub>
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm		mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	5.0		2.60	13.7	594	7.88							
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	6.0		2.59	13.7	595	7.88							
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	7.0						119	34.6	106	164	~ 0.02	14.1	3.34
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	7.0		2.59	13.7	596	7.89							
Crystal Lake	19002700	12/3/2012	1	7.5		2.59	13.7	596	7.90							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	0.0		1.71	8.3	313	7.69	87	28.1	38	126	< 0.2	10.1	0.54
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	1.0		3.76	7.4	311	7.52							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	2.0		3.92	7.2	311	7.47							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	3.0		4.13	6.5	311	7.43							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	4.0		4.17	5.5	312	7.38							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	5.0		4.16	4.8	314	7.35							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	6.0		4.14	4.2	317	7.33							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	7.1		4.14	3.9	317	7.30							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	8.0		4.15	3.8	318	7.30							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	9.0		4.17	3.5	320	7.30							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	10.0		4.16	2.3	324	7.26							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	12.0		4.29	0.3	331	7.21							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	14.0		5.05	0.1	513	7.21							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	15.0		5.15	0.1	546	7.23							
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	16.0						132	34.9	36	176	51.3	11.2	< 0.40
Holland Lake	19006500	2/6/2012	1	16.0		5.22	0.1	568	7.26							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	0.0	4.85	11.56	10.7	269	7.59	76	24.2	34	106	< 0.2	~ 9.2	0.41
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	1.0		11.49	10.7	269	7.69							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	2.1		11.33	10.7	269	7.78							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	3.0		9.48	11.0	289	7.69							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	4.0		6.49	10.8	312	7.70							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	5.1		5.69	8.1	320	7.48							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	6.0		5.16	6.2	321	7.42							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	7.1		4.81	4.5	323	7.36							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	7.9		4.64	2.9	324	7.27							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	9.0		4.48	1.4	325	7.22							i l
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	10.0		4.46	0.2	328	7.16							i l
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	11.1		4.45	0.1	330	7.16							i l
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	12.0		4.55	0.1	338	7.12							i l
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	13.1		4.78	0.1	468	7.14							i l
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	14.0		5.00	0.1	521	7.22							i l
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	15.0						128	33.2	38	172	47.6	11.4	< 0.40

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

					Secchi			tornig Proje								
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	ТЕМР	DO	SP COND	рН	Alkalinity	Ca	CI	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO <sub>4</sub>
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm		mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	15.0		5.17	0.1	559	7.26							
Holland Lake	19006500	4/2/2012	1	16.0		5.24	0.1	585	7.29							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	0.0	5.85	24.42	7.1	238	8.63	56	17.1	35	94	~ 0.08	8.3	< 0.40
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	1.0		24.23	7.2	238	8.67							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	2.0		24.13	7.1	243	8.67							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	3.0		24.07	7.2	242	8.71							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	4.0		23.46	6.3	242	8.51							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	5.0		18.22	6.3	323	7.70							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	6.0		13.74	4.9	328	7.57							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	7.0		10.57	5.9	325	7.49							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	8.0		8.48	0.9	328	7.32							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	9.0		7.03	0.3	330	7.28							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	10.0		6.19	0.1	335	7.23							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	12.0		5.38	0.1	408	7.08							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	13.0		5.19	0.1	473	7.14							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	14.0		5.14	0.1	529	7.20							
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	15.0						139	35.1	41	134	44	11.1	< 0.40
Holland Lake	19006500	8/13/2012	1	15.0		5.17	0.1	570	7.22							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	0.0	2.60	5.67	10.5	277	7.16	68	23.4	37	96	~ 0.14	10.1	0.59
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	1.0		5.67	10.0	278	7.10							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	2.0		5.60	9.9	278	7.04							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	3.0		5.54	9.7	278	7.02							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	4.0		5.54	9.7	278	7.01							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	5.0		5.51	9.6	278	7.01							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	6.0		5.45	9.5	279	6.98							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	7.1		5.32	9.7	278	6.98							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	8.0		5.27	9.7	280	6.99							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	9.0		5.23	9.6	281	6.98							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	10.0		5.21	9.5	281	7.00							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	11.0		5.41	7.0	297	6.91							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	12.0		5.79	0.3	439	6.80							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	13.1		5.50	0.2	500	6.75							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	14.0		5.38	0.2	555	6.82							
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	15.0		5.33	0.2	585	6.83							
Holland Lake		11/15/2012	1	16.0						141	35.7	38	152	58.1	11.3	< 0.40
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	16.0		5.32	0.1	595								
Holland Lake	19006500	11/15/2012	1	17.0		5.31	0.1	603	6.89							

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

					Secchi											
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	ТЕМР	DO	SP COND	рН	Alkalinity	Ca	CI	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO <sub>4</sub>
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm		mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	0.0		1.58	19.6	693	8.62	129	43.8	126	200	< 0.2	17.2	6.03
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	1.0		3.03	14.8	682	8.59							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	2.0		3.12	13.8	682	8.52							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	3.0		3.14	13.5	682	8.50							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	4.0		3.14	13.7	682	8.53							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	5.1		3.19	13.1	685	8.49							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	6.0		3.25	12.9	686	8.48							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	7.0		3.27	12.7	689	8.47							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	8.0		3.33	12.4	690	8.44							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	9.0		3.37	11.8	691	8.38							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	10.0		3.40	10.9	693	8.29							
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	11.0						135	48.4	134	204	< 0.2	19.0	6.00
Riley Lake	10000200	2/1/2012	4	11.0		3.50	9.4	697	8.13							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	0.0	1.80	8.58	11.7	631	8.46	122	40.3	110	176	< 0.2	16.7	4.84
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	1.0		8.52	11.7	631	8.54							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	2.0		8.48	11.6	632	8.56							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	3.0		8.36	11.5	631	8.56							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	4.0		8.24	11.4	634	8.57							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	5.0		8.17	11.3	635	8.57							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	6.0		8.12	11.2	636	8.57							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	7.0		8.10	11.2	637	8.57							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	8.0		8.08	11.1	638	8.57							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	9.0		7.85	10.6	641	8.51							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	10.0		6.77	8.8	661	8.20							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	11.0		6.18	7.5	670	8.03							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	12.0		5.69	6.5	675	7.91							
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	13.0						135	45.2	116	192	< 0.2	18.8	5.04
Riley Lake	10000200	3/28/2012	1	13.0		5.01	4.9	688	7.75							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	0.0	0.50	25.97	8.9	548	9.03	77	26	111	128	~ 0.02	14.3	2.80
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	1.0		25.58	8.9	548	9.02							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	2.0		25.36	7.8	547	8.92							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	3.0		25.27	7.7	548	8.90							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	4.0		25.21	6.3	553	8.74							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	5.0		20.14	0.3	638	7.87							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	6.0		16.84	0.2	649	7.67							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	7.0		13.60	0.2	666	7.41							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	8.0		12.31	0.1	675	7.29							

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

					Secchi			itoring Proje								
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	TEMP	DO	SP COND	рΗ	Alkalinity	Ca	Cl	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO₄
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm		mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	9.0		11.43	0.1	684	7.20							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	10.0		10.90	0.1	690	7.16							
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	12.0						146	42.4	134	208	~ 0.19	15.0	2.38
Riley Lake	10000200	8/6/2012	1	12.1		10.55	0.1	696	7.12							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	0.0	1.85	3.26	13.1	630	7.95	113	33	133	164	< 0.02	13.6	2.92
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	1.0		3.25	13.1	631	7.89							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	2.0		3.22	13.0	630	7.86							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	3.0		3.22	13.0	632	7.83							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	4.0		3.22	13.0	630	7.82							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	5.0		3.21	12.9	632	7.81							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	6.0		3.21	12.9	632	7.81							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	7.0		3.21	12.8	632	7.80							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	8.0		3.21	12.8	632	7.80							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	9.0		3.23	12.6	634	7.80							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	10.0		3.26	12.5	634	7.80							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	12.0		3.28	12.4	634	7.79							
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	13.0						119	32.6	115	176	< 0.02	13.5	3.01
Riley Lake	10000200	12/3/2012	1	13.0		3.31	12.2	634	7.78							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	0.0		2.39	11.8	269	7.83	81	26	24	112	< 0.2	~ 9.9	5.43
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	1.0		3.74	10.9	264	7.77							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	2.0		3.95	10.7	263	7.75							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	3.0		3.95	10.7	263	7.73							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	4.0		3.96	10.7	263	7.71							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	5.0		3.92	10.5	263	7.69							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	6.0		3.92	10.5	265	7.68							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	7.0		3.93	10.5	264	7.67							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	8.0		4.05	9.3	263	7.57							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	9.0						77	25.1	23	110	< 0.2	~ 9.5	5.42
Sunfish Lake	19005000	2/6/2012	1	9.0		4.35	3.9	268	7.30							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	0.0	5.40	11.94	12.4	223	8.72	65	21.1	20	94	< 0.2	~ 8.7	4.32
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	1.0		11.90	12.4	225	8.80							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	2.0		11.87	12.4	226	8.81							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	3.0		11.80	12.4	226	8.82							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	4.0		11.72	12.3	226	8.83							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	5.0		10.95	11.8	228	8.70							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	6.0		9.92	11.5	232	8.56							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	7.0		5.28	9.4	266	7.87							

APPENDIX E
Metro Area Chloride Monitoring Project Data: 2012

					Secchi											
LAKE	DNR ID	DATE	SITE	DEPTH	Depth	TEMP	DO	SP COND	рН	Alkalinity	Ca	CI	Hardness	Fe	Mg	SO <sub>4</sub>
				m	m	С	mg/L	μmho/cm		mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	8.0		4.52	8.9	267	7.71							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	9.0		4.57	3.1	271	7.45							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	4/4/2012	1	9.0						85	23.6	22	112	~ 0.2	~ 9.6	4.53
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	0.0	0.40	26.49	12.3	209	9.51	52	16.9	21	82	~ 0.16	7.7	1.70
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	1.0		26.34	11.3	210	9.43							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	2.0		25.90	8.0	215	9.19							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	3.0		25.34	0.7	227	8.16							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	4.0		23.04	0.3	261	7.35							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	5.0		18.60	0.2	235	7.31							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	6.0		14.45	0.1	234	7.36							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	7.0		11.38	0.1	260	7.15							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	8.0		9.36	0.1	280	7.05							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	9.0		7.97	0.1	332	6.85							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	8/8/2012	1	9.0						110	29.9	25	112	3.5	10.4	1.87
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	0.0	4.35	4.90	10.0	254	7.20	85	26.4	22	96	~ 0.29	9.7	3.02
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	1.0		4.85	9.9	254	7.19							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	2.0		4.81	9.8	254	7.16							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	3.0		4.75	9.8	255	7.18							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	4.0		4.73	9.8	254	7.17							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	5.0		4.71	9.8	256	7.16							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	6.0		4.71	9.7	256	7.17							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	7.0		4.71	9.6	256	7.15							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	8.0		4.64	9.5	256	7.17							
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	8.5						81	25.2	22	100	~ 0.29	9.3	3.11
Sunfish Lake	19005000	11/15/2012	1	9.0		4.61	9.3	255	7.13							