This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp

# MINNESOTA OFFICE OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(A component unit of the State of Minnesota)
Saint Paul, Minnesota

REPORT ON FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

# TABLE OF CONTENTS June 30, 2009

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial	
Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1 – 2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3 – 4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5 – 6
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	·
Notes to confedure of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8



Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP 225 S Sixth St, Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4661 tel 612 876 4500 fax 612 238 8900 bakertilly.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED
IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Director
Minnesota Office of Higher Education
Saint Paul, Minnesota

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Minnesota Office of Higher Education (the "agency"), a component unit of the State of Minnesota, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the agency's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 14, 2009. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the agency's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the agency's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the agency's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the agency's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the agency's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the agency's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the agency's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in the agency's internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.



Director
Minnesota Office of Higher Education

### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the agency's basic financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the director, management, federal awarding agencies, state funding agencies, and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Minneapolis, Minnesota

lly Virchow Kranze, LLP

October 14, 2009



Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP 225 S Sixth St, Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4661 tel 612 876 4500 fax 612 238 8900 bakertilly.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133 AND SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Director
Minnesota Office of Higher Education
Saint Paul, Minnesota

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Minnesota Office of Higher Education (the "agency"), a component unit of the State of Minnesota, with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009. The agency's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the agency's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the agency's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the agency's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the agency's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the agency complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009.



Director
Minnesota Office of Higher Education

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the agency's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the agency's internal control over compliance.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Bahus Tilly Brichow Kromer, 42

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the remaining aggregate fund information of the Minnesota Office of Higher Education (the "agency") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the agency's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 14, 2009. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the agency's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the director, management, federal awarding agencies, state funding agencies, and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Minneapolis, Minnesota October 14, 2009

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS			
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS			
Type of auditors' report issued: unqualified			
Internal control over financial reporting:			
> Material weakness(es) identified?	yes <u>X</u> No		
> Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	yesX_ none reported		
Noncompliance material to basic financial statements noted?	yes <u>X</u> No		
FEDERAL AWARDS			
Internal control over major programs:			
> Material weakness(es) identified?	yesX No		
> Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	yesX_ none reported		
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for	major programs: unqualified		
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133?	yes <u>X</u> No		
Identification of major federal programs:			
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program		
84.334S	Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs		
84.367 84.378A	Improving Teacher Quality State Grants College Access Challenge Grant Program		
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$ 300,000		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	X yes no		

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (cont.) For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

CECTION B. Favores Communication	
SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS	
None.	
SECTION III – FEDERAL FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
None.	

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Grantor Agency / Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
U. S. Department of Education			
Leveraging Education Assistance Partnership	84.069A	\$ 581,461	\$ 581,461
Special Leveraging Education Assistance Partnership	84.069A	654,259	654,259
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs	84.334S	2,934,851	495,172
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	84.367	1,094,232	1,044,299
College Access Challenge Grant Program	84.378A	373,755	, . -
Total U.S. Department of Education		5,638,558	2,775,191
TOTAL FEDERAL PROGRAMS		\$ 5,638,558	\$ 2,775,191

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

#### **NOTE 1 - REPORTING ENTITY**

This report on Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal financial assistance of the Minnesota Office of Higher Education (the "agency"), a component unit of the State of Minnesota. The reporting entity of the agency is based upon criteria established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

#### NOTE 2 - Basis of Presentation

The accounting records for some grant programs are maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred. The accounting records for the other grant programs are maintained on the accrual basis, i.e., when the revenue has been earned and the liability is incurred.

The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.*