STATE OF MINNESOTA Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT PREPARED AS A RESULT OF THE AUDIT OF

BLUE EARTH COUNTY MANKATO, MINNESOTA

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

Year Ended December 31, 2012



Management and Compliance Report

Audit Practice Division Office of the State Auditor State of Minnesota

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	1
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	5
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance	8
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	14

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? No
- Significant deficiencies identified? No

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? **No**

The major programs are:

Highway Planning and Construction	CFDA #20.205
Child Support Enforcement	CFDA #93.563
Homeland Security Grant Program	CFDA #97.067

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$399,273.

Blue Earth County qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes

II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

ITEM ARISING THIS YEAR

12-1 Audit Adjustments

Criteria: A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements of the financial statements on a timely basis. Statement on Auditing Standards 115 defines a material weakness as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Condition: During our audit, we proposed audit adjustments which were reviewed and approved by the appropriate staff and are reflected in the financial statements. By definition, however, independent external auditors cannot be considered part of the County's internal control.

Context: The inability to detect material misstatements in the financial statements increases the likelihood that the financial statements would not be fairly presented.

Effect: Audit adjustments were necessary in the Public Works Special Revenue Fund to record an advance from other governments to agree with Minnesota Department of Transportation reports and adjust the Public Works Special Revenue Fund balance; and in the County Ditch Special Revenue Fund to adjust the ditch account balances to agree with the individual ditch balance sheet.

Cause: Blue Earth County staff did not fully understand the calculation of the advance from other governments and omitted several ditches from the restricted fund balance calculation.

Recommendation: We recommend the County establish internal controls necessary to determine that all adjusting entries are made to ensure the County's annual financial statements are reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Client's Response:

We concur.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

None.

IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEMS NOT RESOLVED

97-8 County Ditch Fund Deficits

Criteria: Assets should exceed liabilities in order for the County to meet its obligations and maintain a positive fund balance. Through the levying of assessments, Minn. Stat. § 103E.735, subd. 1, permits the accumulation of a surplus balance for the repair costs of a ditch system not to exceed 20 percent of the assessed benefits of the ditch system or \$100,000, whichever is greater.

Condition: As of December 31, 2012, the County had individual ditch systems where liabilities exceeded assets, resulting in individual deficit fund balance amounts.

Context: Seventeen of the 130 individual ditch systems had deficit fund balances as of December 31, 2012, totaling \$371,716, which is a decrease from the \$405,877 deficit reported in the prior year.

Effect: Allowing a ditch system to maintain a deficit fund balance, in effect, constitutes an interest-free loan from other individual ditch systems.

Cause: Ditch expenditures were necessary, and the ditch levies were not sufficient to cover all costs.

Recommendation: We recommend the County eliminate the ditch fund balance deficits by levying assessments pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 103E.735, subd. 1, which permits the accumulation of a surplus balance to provide for the repair costs of a ditch system.

Client's Response:

We concur.

07-2 Public Works Special Revenue Deficit Fund Balance

Criteria: Assets should exceed liabilities in order for the County to meet its obligations and maintain a positive fund balance.

Condition: As of December 31, 2012, the assets in the County's Public Works Special Revenue Fund did not exceed liabilities, resulting in a deficit fund balance amount.

Context: As of December 31, 2012, the Public Works Special Revenue Fund had a deficit fund balance of \$4,995,127, which is an increase from the \$4,580,972 deficit reported in the prior year.

Effect: A fund with a deficit fund balance is, in effect, borrowing from County funds with positive fund balances.

Cause: The County did not levy sufficient taxes nor transfer sufficient funds to cover the expenditures in the Public Works Special Revenue Fund.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County monitor fund balances and eliminate the deficit fund balances by increasing revenues or appropriating sufficient funds to cover expenditures.

Client's Response:

We concur.



STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Blue Earth County

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Blue Earth County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 23, 2013. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Economic Development Authority Enterprise Fund, as described in our report on Blue Earth County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Blue Earth County's internal controls over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial control over financial reporting.

Page 5

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 12-1, that we considered to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Blue Earth County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our study included all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for tax increment financing because the County has no tax increment financing.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that Blue Earth County failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the County's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

Other Matters

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs are management practices comments. We believe these recommendations to be of benefit to the County, and they are reported for that purpose.

Blue Earth County's responses to internal control and management practices findings identified in our audit have been included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The County's responses were not subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions* and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR /s/Greg Hierlinger

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

May 23, 2013



STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Blue Earth County

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Blue Earth County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012. Blue Earth County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Blue Earth County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Blue Earth County Economic Development Authority (EDA) component unit, which expended \$694,601 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2012, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the EDA because the EDA had a separate single audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Blue Earth County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted out audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in

Page 8

Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Blue Earth County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with these requirements.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Blue Earth County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Blue Earth County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or combination of ver compliance is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies and corrected, or a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance of deficiencies in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Blue Earth County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated May 23, 2013, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. We did not audit the EDA, which was audited by other auditors. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR /s/Greg Hierlinger

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

May 23, 2013

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency	Federal CFDA		
Grant Program Title	Number	Ex	penditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health			
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	\$	269,767
		·	,
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services			
State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance			
Program	10.561		351,706
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Agriculture			
WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program (FMNP)	10.572		700
wie ramers warker warmon riogram (rwivi)	10.572		700
Direct			
Emergency Watershed Protection Program	10.923		190,860
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture		\$	813,033
Total 0.5. Department of Agriculture		ψ	013,033
U.S. Department of Commerce			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety			
Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program	11.555	\$	247,891
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development			
Community Development Block Grants/State's Program and Non-Entitlement			
Grants in Hawaii	14.228	\$	17,647
Direct			
Shelter Plus Care	14.238		113,358
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		\$	131,005
U.S. Department of Justice			
Passed Through Minnesota Trial Courts			
Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	16.585	\$	8,017
Justice Assistance Grant Program Cluster			
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738		25,295
Direct			
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606		8,835
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		1,477
Passed Through City of Mankato			
Passed Through City of Mankato Justice Assistance Grant Program Cluster			
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to			
States and Territories - ARRA	16.803		2,869
		<i>.</i>	
Total U.S. Department of Justice		\$	46,493
The notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are an integral part of this sche	dule.		Page 11

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency	Federal CFDA	_	
Grant Program Title	Number	E	xpenditures
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	\$	6,469,548
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety			
Highway Safety Cluster			
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600		41,251
Occupant Protection Incentive Grants	20.602		5,673
Safety Belt Performance Grants	20.609		21,292
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608		33,564
Total U.S. Department of Transportation		\$	6,571,328
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development			
Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements	66.818	\$	195,000
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health			
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	\$	39,042
Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268		28,186
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster			
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558		65,311
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994		58,784
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services			
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556		21,144
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster			
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558		657,955
(Total Temporary Assistance for Needy Families CFDA No. 93.558, \$723,266)			
Emergency Contingency Fund for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families			
(TANF) State Program - ARRA	93.714		32,494
Child Support Enforcement	93.563		761,611
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State-Administered Programs	93.566		702
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	93.590		27,731
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development			
Fund	93.596		40,393
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	93.645		2,848
Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658		295,229
Social Services Block Grant	93.667		389,000
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	93.674		3,943
Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767		118
Medical Assistance Program	93.778		1,313,362
nieden i istonice i istini	20.110		1,010,002

The notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are an integral part of this schedule.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	E	xpenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)			
Passed Through Minnesota Secretary of State			
Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities - Grants to States	93.617		10,082
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		\$	3,747,935
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Natural Resources			
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012	\$	41,536
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety			
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036		320,905
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039		73,163
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042		34,291
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067		892,536
Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)	97.078		194,000
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security		\$	1,556,431
Total Federal Awards		\$	13,309,116

The notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are an integral part of this schedule.

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. <u>Reporting Entity</u>

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by Blue Earth County. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements. The Economic Development Authority (EDA) blended component unit is not included on the County's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards because it has its own single audit. The EDA expended \$694,601 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2012.

2. <u>Basis of Presentation</u>

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Blue Earth County under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2012. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Blue Earth County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of Blue Earth County.

3. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through grant numbers were not assigned by the pass-through agencies.

4. <u>Clusters</u>

Clusters of programs are groupings of closely related programs that share common compliance requirements. Total expenditures by cluster are:

Justice Assistance Grant Program Cluster	\$ 28,164
Highway Safety Cluster	68,216
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	755,760

5. <u>Reconciliation to Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenues</u>

Federal grant revenue per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenues Grants received more than 60 days after year-end, deferred in 2012	\$ 14,841,990
Highway Planning and Construction	719.418
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	488,848
Grants reported as loan proceeds in 2012	
Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements	195,000
Deferred in 2011, recognized as revenue in 2012	
Highway Planning and Construction	(1,917,642)
Occupant Protection Incentive Grants	(11,327)
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	(10,736)
Immunization Cooperative Agreements	(3,960)
Medical Assistance Program	(7,496)
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	 (984,979)
Expenditures Per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	\$ 13,309,116

6. Subrecipients

Of the expenditures presented in the schedule, Blue Earth County provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

CFDA Number	Program Name	* *	Int Provided
11.555	Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program	\$	114,582
14.228	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program and		
	Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii		17,647
14.238	Shelter Plus Care		113,358
20.600	State and Community Highway Safety		29,659
20.602	Occupant Protection Incentive Grants		5,673
20.608	Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated		25,086
20.609	Safety Belt Performance Grants		8,343
97.067	Homeland Security Grant Program		218,500
	Total	\$	532,848

7. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires recipients to clearly distinguish ARRA funds from non-ARRA funding. In the schedule, ARRA funds are denoted by the addition of ARRA to the program name.