

This annual report summarizes local tools and incentives that promote new affordable housing in the Twin Cities area. This information was gathered through a survey that Metropolitan Council staff sent to every municipality under Council jurisdiction in the seven-county Twin Cities area. The response rate for this survey was 85 percent (154 out of 182 communities responded). The responses to this survey help the Metropolitan Council determine local housing performance scores through the *Guidelines for Priority Funding for Housing Performance* (<http://metro council.org/Housing/Publications-Resources.aspx>)

In accordance with the 1995 Livable Communities Act (Minnesota Statutes, section 473.254, subdivision 10), the Metropolitan Council is responsible for producing an annual report that includes information on government, non-profit and marketplace efforts in producing affordable and life-cycle housing.

The goal of the Livable Communities Act (LCA) is to stimulate housing and economic development in the seven-county metropolitan area. The LCA authorizes the Metropolitan Council to levy funds to create affordable housing, promote redevelopment through the clean-up of polluted sites, and develop neighborhoods that are pedestrian and transit-friendly. Metro-area municipalities participate in the Livable Communities Act program voluntarily. The requirements for eligibility to receive LCA funding are: (1) that communities choose to participate in the program, (2) that they negotiate affordable and life-cycle housing goals with the Metropolitan Council, and (3) that they agree to invest local funds in implementing their local housing goals.

For more detailed information, visit www.metro council.org/data-maps.aspx

For more information, contact:
Joel Nyhus
joel.nyhus@metc.state.mn.us
651-602-1634

Publication No. 74-13-016

Highlights

Twin Cities area municipalities use a variety of fiscal tools to assist or facilitate the development or preservation of affordable or life-cycle housing:

- 66 municipalities, or 43 percent of survey respondents, used tax-increment financing (TIF) for affordable or life-cycle housing in 2011.
- 52 municipalities, or 34 percent of survey respondents, were using federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for affordable or life-cycle housing.
- 45 municipalities, or 29 percent of survey respondents, collaborated and participated with a community land trust or other non-profit organizations to preserve long-term housing affordability.
- 34 municipalities, or 22 percent of survey respondents, were using Livable Communities grants to promote affordable and life-cycle housing.

Many Twin Cities municipalities conduct housing preservation or housing maintenance programs to maintain or improve their existing housing stock:

- 49 percent of municipalities returning a survey reported a rental housing maintenance code and enforcement program/initiative in 2011.
- 44 percent of municipalities returning a survey reported an owner-occupied housing maintenance code and enforcement program/initiative in 2011.

56 municipalities reported reducing, adjusting, eliminating, waiving or flexibly implementing a local official control, development, or building requirement in order to reduce development costs for affordable or life-cycle housing. The most common adjustments to local controls reported in this year's survey were:

- Setback reductions, used by 39 municipalities, or 25 percent of survey respondents;
- Reduction in lot sizes and widths, used by 30 municipalities, or 19 percent of survey respondents; and
- Parking variances, used by 22 municipalities, or 14 percent of survey respondents.

Other tools municipalities used to promote affordable family or senior housing included:

- 19 municipalities or 12 percent of survey respondents, reported approving the development or local financial participation in a proposed development of new affordable or senior (exclusively 55+) housing in 2011.
- 10 municipalities or 6 percent of survey respondents approved the preservation and reinvestment in affordable or senior housing which has not been undertaken for reasons beyond the municipality's control.
- 6 municipalities or 4 percent of survey respondents reported acquiring land in 2011 to be held specifically for development or redevelopment as affordable or senior housing (exclusively 55+).

The following pages list how survey respondents report using fiscal tools and incentives to promote and preserve affordable and life-cycle housing in their communities.

Criterion #6: Please identify local fiscal tools or initiatives that were available from the city in 2011 to assist/facilitate the development or preservation of affordable or life-cycle housing. The identification of state and/or federal dollars is only applicable if the community could have used the dollars for activities other than affordable housing development or preservation.

	Anoka County											Carver County																									
	Andover	Anoka	Bethel	Blaine (part)	Centerville	Circle Pines	Columbia Heights	Columbus	Coon Rapids	East Bethel	Fridley	Ham Lake	Hilltop	Lexington	Lino Lakes	Linwood Township	Nowthen	Oak Grove	Ramsey	Spring Lake Park (part)	St. Francis	Benton Township	Camden Township	Carver	Chanhassen (part)	Chaska	Cologne	Dahlgren Township	Hamburg	Hancock Township	Hollywood Township	Laketown Township	Mayer	New Germany	Norwood Young America		
Collaboration for long-term affordability ¹				X	X	X		X										X							X												
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	X	X		X						X			X				X	X		X					X												
Credit enhancements																																					
General obligation bonds	X										X			X																					X		
Housing revenue bonds					X																															X	
Land write-down or sale	X	X				X	X				X								X						X												
Livable Communities grants															X				X														X		X		
Local fee waivers or reductions						X																			X								X		X		
Local property tax levy		X									X																						X				
Local tax abatement		X			X	X	X																											X			
Tax Increment Financing (TIF)	X	X			X	X	X		X		X				X				X		X				X	X							X				
Taxable revenue bonds																																					
Other							X																													X	

¹Collaboration and participation with a community land trust or other nonprofit organization to preserve long-term affordability.

Criterion #6: Please identify local fiscal tools or initiatives that were available from the city in 2011 to assist/facilitate the development or preservation of affordable or life-cycle housing. The identification of state and/or federal dollars is only applicable if the community could have used the dollars for activities other than affordable housing development or preservation.

	San Francisco Township	Victoria	Waconia	Waconia Township	Watertown	Watertown Township	Young America Township	Dakota County	Apple Valley	Burnsville	Castle Rock Township	Coates	Douglas Township	Eagan	Empire Township	Eureka Township	Farmington	Greenvale Township	Hampton	Hampton Township	Hastings (part)	Inver Grove Heights	Lakeville	Lilydale	Marshall Township	Mendota	Mendota Heights	Miesville	New Trier	Nininger Township	Randolph	Randolph Township	Ravenna Township	Rosemount	Sciota Township	South St. Paul	Sunfish Lake	
Collaboration for long-term affordability		X	X						X	X																								X				
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)					X				X	X			X			X				X		X			X								X		X			
Credit enhancements			X																																			
General obligation bonds																						X				X												
Housing revenue bonds													Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey							X	X		Community did not complete survey										Community did not complete survey	X		
Land write-down or sale		X	X						X					X								X													X			
Livable Communities grants		X		X					X																								X					
Local fee waivers or reductions			X	X						X			Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey																		X			X		Community did not complete survey
Local property tax levy														X								X	X	X		X												
Local tax abatement		X								X																												
Tax Increment Financing (TIF)	X	X		X				X	X					X								X	X	X								X		X				
Taxable revenue bonds										X																												
Other														X								X																

*Collaboration and participation with a community land trust or other nonprofit organization to preserve long-term affordability.

Criterion #6: Please identify local fiscal tools or initiatives that were available from the city in 2011 to assist/facilitate the development or preservation of affordable or life-cycle housing. The identification of state and/or federal dollars is only applicable if the community could have used the dollars for activities other than affordable housing development or preservation.

	Vermillion	Vermillion Township	Waterford Township	West St. Paul	Hennepin County																			Osseo											
					Bloomington	Brooklyn Center	Brooklyn Park	Champlin	Chanhausen (part)	Corcoran	Crystal	Dayton (part)	Deephaven	Eden Prairie	Edina	Excelsior	Golden Valley	Greenfield	Greenwood	Hassan Township	Hopkins	Independence	Long Lake	Loretto	Maple Grove	Maple Plain	Medicine Lake	Medina	Minneapolis	Minnetonka	Minnetonka Beach	Minnetrista	Mound	New Hope	Orono
Collaboration for long-term affordability			X				X	X			X		X	X		X					X			X		X	X	X		X		X	X	X	
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)			X		X	X	X	X					X	X		X					X	X			X		X	X	X			X	X	X	
Credit enhancements																																			
General obligation bonds													X											X											
Housing revenue bonds	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey																					Community did not complete survey					X	X						
Land write-down or sale	Community did not complete survey	X			X	X					X										X		Community did not complete survey									X	X		
Livable Communities grants	Community did not complete survey						X						X	X							X		Community did not complete survey	X		X					X		X		
Local fee waivers or reductions	Community did not complete survey						X															Community did not complete survey	X		X						X		X		
Local property tax levy					X						X												Community did not complete survey	X				X							
Local tax abatement											X												Community did not complete survey												
Tax Increment Financing (TIF)			X		X	X	X			X			X	X							X		Community did not complete survey	X			X	X			X	X			
Taxable revenue bonds			X		X																		Community did not complete survey	X									X		
Other															X													X							

*Collaboration and participation with a community land trust or other nonprofit organization to preserve long-term affordability.

Criterion #6: Please identify local fiscal tools or initiatives that were available from the city in 2011 to assist/facilitate the development or preservation of affordable or life-cycle housing. The identification of state and/or federal dollars is only applicable if the community could have used the dollars for activities other than affordable housing development or preservation.

	Plymouth	Richfield	Robbinsdale	Rogers	Shorewood	Spring Park	St. Anthony (part)	St. Bonifacius	St. Louis Park	Tonka Bay	Wayzata	Woodland	Ramsey County	Arden Hills	Blaine (part)	Falcon Heights	Gem Lake	Lauderdale	Little Canada	Maplewood	Mounds View	New Brighton	North Oaks	North St. Paul	Roseville	Shoreview	Spring Lake Park (part)	St. Anthony (part)	St. Paul	Vadnais Heights	White Bear Lake (part)	White Bear Township	Scott County	Belle Plaine	Belle Plaine Township	Blakeley Township		
Collaboration for long-term affordability	X	X	X						X		X					X									X	X	X	X										
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	X	X									X					X								X	X	X		X		X								
Credit enhancements																																						
General obligation bonds	X																				X		X															
Housing revenue bonds					Community did not complete survey				X		Community did not complete survey													X		Community did not complete survey			X	X	X							
Land write-down or sale		X	X						X					X					X				X			Community did not complete survey			X		X							
Livable Communities grants									X							X				X		X					Community did not complete survey					X						
Local fee waivers or reductions	X				Community did not complete survey																X						Community did not complete survey											
Local property tax levy	X	X																																	X			
Local tax abatement																X					X																	
Tax Increment Financing (TIF)	X	X		X					X		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X		X								
Taxable revenue bonds																																						
Other	X													X														X										

*Collaboration and participation with a community land trust or other nonprofit organization to preserve long-term affordability.

Criterion #6: Please identify local fiscal tools or initiatives that were available from the city in 2011 to assist/facilitate the development or preservation of affordable or life-cycle housing. The identification of state and/or federal dollars is only applicable if the community could have used the dollars for activities other than affordable housing development or preservation.

	Cedar Lake Township	Credit River Township	Elko New Market	Helena Township	Jackson Township	Jordan	Louisville Township	New Market Township	Prior Lake	Sand Creek Township	Savage	Shakopee	Spring Lake Township	St. Lawrence Township	Washington County	Afton	Bayport	Baytown Township	Birchwood Village	Cottage Grove	Dellwood	Denmark Township	Forest Lake	Grant	Grey Cloud Township	Hastings (part)	Hugo	Lake Elmo	Lake St. Croix Beach	Lakeland	Lakeland Shores	Landfall	Mahtomedi	Marine on St. Croix	May Township	Newport	Oak Park Heights
Collaboration for long-term affordability										X	X								X			X															
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)												X										X			X												X
Credit enhancements																																					
General obligation bonds		X								X																											
Housing revenue bonds						Community did not complete survey										Community did not complete survey						X						Community did not complete survey									
Land write-down or sale		X																							X												
Livable Communities grants		X								X													X		X				X				X				X
Local fee waivers or reductions		X				Community did not complete survey		X		X												X						Community did not complete survey		X			X				
Local property tax levy												X										X							X								X
Local tax abatement											X															X											
Tax Increment Financing (TIF)		X						X		X												X				X		X					X				X
Taxable revenue bonds																																		X			
Other	X							X			X											X						X									X

*Collaboration and participation with a community land trust or other nonprofit organization to preserve long-term affordability.

Criterion #6: Please identify local fiscal tools or initiatives that were available from the city in 2011 to assist/facilitate the development or preservation of affordable or life-cycle housing. The identification of state and/or federal dollars is only applicable if the community could have used the dollars for activities other than affordable housing development or preservation.

	Oakdale	Pine Springs	Scandia	St. Mary's Point	St. Paul Park	Stillwater	Stillwater Township	West Lakeland Township	White Bear Lake (part)	Willernie	Woodbury	Totals
Collaboration for long-term affordability	X				X				X		X	45
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)					X				X		X	52
Credit enhancements						X						2
General obligation bonds												13
Housing revenue bonds									X			14
Land write-down or sale	X								X			32
Livable Communities grants					X	X			X			34
Local fee waivers or reductions						X					X	24
Local property tax levy											X	21
Local tax abatement	X					X						14
Tax Increment Financing (TIF)	X				X	X			X		X	66
Taxable revenue bonds												6
Other												16

*Collaboration and participation with a community land trust or other nonprofit organization to preserve long-term affordability.

Criterion #7: Please identify examples during 2011 in which the municipality reduced, adjusted, eliminated, waived, or in some fashion was flexible in the implementation of a local official control, development, or building requirement; OR for which it is the municipality's policy and practice to reduce, adjust or eliminate such requirement, when requested to do so, to reduce development costs for the development of affordable or life-cycle housing.

	Anoka County														Carver County																			
	Andover	Anoka	Bethel	Blaine (part)	Centerville	Circle Pines	Columbia Heights	Columbus	Coon Rapids	East Bethel	Fridley	Ham Lake	Hilltop	Lexington	Lino Lakes	Linwood Township	Nowthen	Oak Grove	Ramsey	Spring Lake Park (part)	St. Francis	Benton Township	Camden Township	Carver	Chanhassen (part)	Chaska	Cologne	Dahlgren Township	Hamburg	Hancock Township	Hollywood Township	Laketown Township		
Allow alternative construction methods		X							X	X														X										
Accessory dwelling units																																		
Density bonus system		X							X															X										
Density transfers									X																									
Floor area ratio waiver									X														X											
Inclusionary housing requirement																																		
Increased building height flexibility									X																									
Mixed-use development									X	X			Community did not complete survey	X					X					X										
Parking variances									X	X			Community did not complete survey						X				X											
Private street allowances																			X				X											
Reduction in lot sizes and widths				X							X		Community did not complete survey						X				X	X										
Reduction in public improvement and development costs																								X										
Reduction in residential street width, right-of-way or surfacing widths																							X											
Setback reductions	X		X						X	X									X				X	X										
Service availability charge (SAC) credits	X								X										X															
Sewer or water service line size reduction																																		
Soil correction variance																																		
Special or conditional use permits											X													X										
Other																																		

Criterion #7: Please identify examples during 2011 in which the municipality reduced, adjusted, eliminated, waived, or in some fashion was flexible in the implementation of a local official control, development, or building requirement; OR for which it is the municipality's policy and practice to reduce, adjust or eliminate such requirement, when requested to do so, to reduce development costs for the development of affordable or life-cycle housing.

	Mayer	New Germany	Norwood Young America	San Francisco Township	Victoria	Waconia	Waconia Township	Watertown	Watertown Township	Young America Township	Dakota County	Apple Valley	Burnsville	Castle Rock Township	Coates	Douglas Township	Eagan	Empire Township	Eureka Township	Farmington	Greenvale Township	Hampton	Hampton Township	Hastings (part)	Inver Grove Heights	Lakeville	Lilydale	Marshan Township	Mendota	Mendota Heights	Miesville	New Trier	Nininger Township	Randolph	Randolph Township	
Allow alternative construction methods																									X											
Accessory dwelling units								X																												
Density bonus system																										X										
Density transfers																																				
Floor area ratio waiver																																				
Inclusionary housing requirement																																				
Increased building height flexibility								X																			X									
Mixed-use development							X	X			X	X			Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey					Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey		X											Community did not complete survey
Parking variances						X	X	X																X	X		X									
Private street allowances							X					X											X	X												
Reduction in lot sizes and widths							X				X				Community did not complete survey	X						Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	X	X	X										
Reduction in public improvement and development costs					X	X					X																									
Reduction in residential street width, right-of-way or surfacing widths							X				X	X													X											
Setback reductions					X	X					X	X				X							X	X												
Service availability charge (SAC) credits							X																													
Sewer or water service line size reduction																																				
Soil correction variance																																				
Special or conditional use permits							X																													
Other							X																	X												

Criterion #7: Please identify examples during 2011 in which the municipality reduced, adjusted, eliminated, waived, or in some fashion was flexible in the implementation of a local official control, development, or building requirement; OR for which it is the municipality's policy and practice to reduce, adjust or eliminate such requirement, when requested to do so, to reduce development costs for the development of affordable or life-cycle housing.

	Ravenna Township	Rosemount	Sciota Township	South St. Paul	Sunfish Lake	Vermillion	Vermillion Township	Waterford Township	West St. Paul	Hennepin County	Bloomington	Brooklyn Center	Brooklyn Park	Champlin	Chanhassen (part)	Corcoran	Crystal	Dayton (part)	Deephaven	Eden Prairie	Edina	Excelsior	Golden Valley	Greenfield	Greenwood	Hassan Township	Hopkins	Independence	Long Lake	Loretto	Maple Grove	Maple Plain	Medicine Lake	Medina	Minneapolis	
Allow alternative construction methods		X																																		
Accessory dwelling units											X																								X	
Density bonus system																						X									X			X		
Density transfers																																		X		
Floor area ratio waiver																																				
Inclusionary housing requirement		X									X																									
Increased building height flexibility																						X								X		X	X	X		
Mixed-use development			Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey				X										X					X					X	X	X		
Parking variances											X	X																					X	X		
Private street allowances												X																					X			
Reduction in lot sizes and widths		X	Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey			X									X											X		X			
Reduction in public improvement and development costs			Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey			X																									
Reduction in residential street width, right-of-way or surfacing widths			Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey		Community did not complete survey				X																						X		
Setback reductions		X	X								X	X						X	X	X										X		X	X			
Service availability charge (SAC) credits																																				
Sewer or water service line size reduction																																				
Soil correction variance																																				
Special or conditional use permits																																			X	
Other		X										X																								

Criterion #7: Please identify examples during 2011 in which the municipality reduced, adjusted, eliminated, waived, or in some fashion was flexible in the implementation of a local official control, development, or building requirement; OR for which it is the municipality's policy and practice to reduce, adjust or eliminate such requirement, when requested to do so, to reduce development costs for the development of affordable or life-cycle housing.

	Minnetonka	Minnetonka Beach	Minnetrista	Mound	New Hope	Orono	Osseo	Plymouth	Richfield	Robbinsdale	Rogers	Shorewood	Spring Park	St. Anthony (part)	St. Bonifacius	St. Louis Park	Tonka Bay	Wayzata	Woodland	Ramsey County	Arden Hills	Blaine (part)	Falcon Heights	Gem Lake	Lauderdale	Little Canada	Maplewood	Mounds View	New Brighton	North Oaks	North St. Paul	Roseville	Shoreview	Spring Lake Park (part)	St. Anthony (part)		
Allow alternative construction methods																		X										X									
Accessory dwelling units																																X					
Density bonus system																																					
Density transfers																																					
Floor area ratio waiver																													X								
Inclusionary housing requirement																																					
Increased building height flexibility			X																		X								X					X			
Mixed-use development		Community did not complete survey	X	X				X				Community did not complete survey				X												X									
Parking variances	X	Community did not complete survey	X	X					X							X												X									
Private street allowances		Community did not complete survey	X	X												X												X									
Reduction in lot sizes and widths	X	Community did not complete survey	X	X														X										X		X			X				
Reduction in public improvement and development costs	X	Community did not complete survey	X	X																								X									
Reduction in residential street width, right-of-way or surfacing widths	X	Community did not complete survey														X												X									
Setback reductions	X	Community did not complete survey	X	X						X																		X	X		X	X					
Service availability charge (SAC) credits		Community did not complete survey	X	X																																	
Sewer or water service line size reduction																																					
Soil correction variance																																					
Special or conditional use permits				X						X																		X	X								
Other	X																	X																			

Criterion #7: Please identify examples during 2011 in which the municipality reduced, adjusted, eliminated, waived, or in some fashion was flexible in the implementation of a local official control, development, or building requirement; OR for which it is the municipality's policy and practice to reduce, adjust or eliminate such requirement, when requested to do so, to reduce development costs for the development of affordable or life-cycle housing.

	St. Paul	Vadnais Heights	White Bear Lake (part)	White Bear Township	Scott County	Belle Plaine	Belle Plaine Township	Blakeley Township	Cedar Lake Township	Credit River Township	Elko New Market	Helena Township	Jackson Township	Jordan	Louisville Township	New Market Township	Prior Lake	Sand Creek Township	Savage	Shakopee	Spring Lake Township	St. Lawrence Township	Washington County	Afton	Bayport	Baytown Township	Birchwood Village	Cottage Grove	Dellwood	Denmark Township	Forest Lake	Grant	Grey Cloud Township	Hastings (part)		
Allow alternative construction methods																																				
Accessory dwelling units			X																																	
Density bonus system																			X																	
Density transfers																																				
Floor area ratio waiver																																				
Inclusionary housing requirement																																			X	
Increased building height flexibility																																				
Mixed-use development			X																X																	
Parking variances	X	X	X		X														X																	
Private street allowances																																				
Reduction in lot sizes and widths	X	X															X		X																	
Reduction in public improvement and development costs																																				
Reduction in residential street width, right-of-way or surfacing widths																																				
Setback reductions	X				X												X		X																X	
Service availability charge (SAC) credits																																				
Sewer or water service line size reduction																																				
Soil correction variance																																				
Special or conditional use permits			X		X																															
Other	X																																			

Criterion #7: Please identify examples during 2011 in which the municipality reduced, adjusted, eliminated, waived, or in some fashion was flexible in the implementation of a local official control, development, or building requirement; OR for which it is the municipality's policy and practice to reduce, adjust or eliminate such requirement, when requested to do so, to reduce development costs for the development of affordable or life-cycle housing.

	Hugo	Lake Elmo	Lake St. Croix Beach	Lakeland	Lakeland Shores	Landfall	Mahtomedi	Marine on St. Croix	May Township	Newport	Oak Park Heights	Oakdale	Pine Springs	Scandia	St. Mary's Point	St. Paul Park	Stillwater	Stillwater Township	West Lakeland Township	White Bear Lake (part)	Willernie	Woodbury	Totals	
Allow alternative construction methods																						X	9	
Accessory dwelling units																								5
Density bonus system																							X	9
Density transfers																							X	3
Floor area ratio waiver																								3
Inclusionary housing requirement																							X	4
Increased building height flexibility										X	X													13
Mixed-use development		Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey															21
Parking variances						X																		22
Private street allowances																							X	13
Reduction in lot sizes and widths		Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey			X					X						X	30
Reduction in public improvement and development costs																							X	10
Reduction in residential street width, right-of-way or surfacing widths		Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey			X											X	12
Setback reductions																	X						X	39
Service availability charge (SAC) credits																								6
Sewer or water service line size reduction																								0
Soil correction variance																								0
Special or conditional use permits										X	X						X						X	14
Other																								8

Criterion #8: Please identify the housing preservation/maintenance activities your municipality conducted or that were available in your community in 2011 to maintain or improve your existing housing stock. County-administered programs are applicable.

		Anoka County																	Carver County																
		Andover	Anoka	Bethel	Blaine (part)	Centerville	Circle Pines	Columbia Heights	Columbus	Coon Rapids	East Bethel	Fridley	Ham Lake	Hilltop	Lexington	Lino Lakes	Linwood Township	Nowthen	Oak Grove	Ramsey	Spring Lake Park (part)	St. Francis	Benton Township	Camden Township	Carver	Chanassen (part)	Chaska	Cologne	Dahlgren Township	Hamburg	Hancock Township	Hollywood Township	Laketown Township		
Owner	Housing maintenance code and enforcement	X	X		X			X		X		X					X		X	X						X	X								
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X	X		X	X		X		X		X	X														X								
Rental	Housing maintenance code and enforcement	X	X	Community did not complete survey		X	X	X	X	X		X		Community did not complete survey		X		Community did not complete survey		X					X	X	Community did not complete survey								
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X	X	Community did not complete survey			X			X		X		Community did not complete survey				Community did not complete survey									Community did not complete survey								
Other	Local tool sharing center or program		X	Community did not complete survey		X	X			X		X	X	Community did not complete survey				Community did not complete survey									Community did not complete survey								
	Home improvement loan or grant program		X		X			X		X		X	X																						
	improvement resource center		X		X					X			X																						
	Other										X		X							X															

Criterion #8: Please identify the housing preservation/maintenance activities your municipality conducted or that were available in your community in 2011 to maintain or improve your existing housing stock. County-administered programs are applicable.

	Mayer	New Germany	Norwood Young America	San Francisco Township	Victoria	Waconia	Waconia Township	Watertown	Watertown Township	Young American Township	Dakota County	Apple Valley	Burnsville	Castle Rock Township	Coates	Douglas Township	Eagan	Empire Township	Eureka Township	Farmington	Greenvale Township	Hampton	Hampton Township	Hastings (part)	Inver Grove Heights	Lakeville	Lilydale	Marshall Township	Mendota	Mendota Heights	Miesville	New Trier	Nininger Township	Randolph
Owner	Housing maintenance code and enforcement	X			X		X	X				X	X	X		X									X	X						X		
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program		X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X				X			X				X	X	X				X				
Rental	Housing maintenance code and enforcement		X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X	X		X								X	X	X	X							
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program					X	X	X				X	X			Community did not complete survey	X						Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	X	X	X				X			
Other	Local tool sharing center or program					X	X				X	X			Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey				Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	X	X			Community did not complete survey						Community did not complete survey
	Home improvement loan or grant program					X	X				X						X			X			X	X	X									
	improvement resource center							X															X	X										
	Other											X	X				X								X	X								

Criterion #8: Please identify the housing preservation/maintenance activities your municipality conducted or that were available in your community in 2011 to maintain or improve your existing housing stock. County-administered programs are applicable.

	Randolph Township	Ravenna Township	Rosemount	Sciota Township	South St. Paul	Sunfish Lake	Vermillion	Vermillion Township	Waterford Township	West St. Paul	Hennepin County	Bloomington	Brooklyn Center	Brooklyn Park	Champlin	Chanhassen (part)	Corcoran	Crystal	Dayton (part)	Deephaven	Eden Prairie	Edina	Excelsior	Golden Valley	Greenfield	Greenwood	Hassan Township	Hopkins	Independence	Long Lake	Loretto	Maple Grove	Maple Plain	Medicine Lake
Owner	Housing maintenance code and enforcement		X	X					X			X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X					X		X	X			
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program				X				X			X	X	X	X			X		X	X							X		X	X			
Rental	Housing maintenance code and enforcement		X	Community did not complete survey	X	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	X			X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X				X	X			X	Community did not complete survey	X	X			
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program				X	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	X					X							X								Community did not complete survey	X	X			
Other	Local tool sharing center or program			Community did not complete survey	X	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	X				X															X	Community did not complete survey					
	Home improvement loan or grant program				X				X			X	X	X				X				X												
	improvement resource center								X				X					X																
	Other				X				X			X	X											X								X		

Criterion #8: Please identify the housing preservation/maintenance activities your municipality conducted or that were available in your community in 2011 to maintain or improve your existing housing stock. County-administered programs are applicable.

	Medina	Minneapolis	Minnetonka	Minnetonka Beach	Minnetrista	Mound	New Hope	Orono	Osseo	Plymouth	Richfield	Robbinsdale	Rogers	Shorewood	Spring Park	St. Anthony (part)	St. Bonifacius	St. Louis Park	Tonka Bay	Wayzata	Woodland	Ramsey County	Arden Hills	Blaine (part)	Falcon Heights	Gem Lake	Lauderdale	Little Canada	Maplewood	Mounds View	New Brighton	North Oaks	North St. Paul	Roseville		
Owner	Housing maintenance code and enforcement	X	X			X	X			X	X	X						X		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X	X			X	X			X	X							X		X					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		
Rental	Housing maintenance code and enforcement	X	X	Community did not complete survey		X	X			X	X	X		Community did not complete survey			X	X		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X		Community did not complete survey		X	X							Community did not complete survey				X								X			X	X				X		
Other	Local tool sharing center or program			Community did not complete survey						X	X			Community did not complete survey				X										X	X					X		
	Home improvement loan or grant program	X	X			X	X			X	X							X								X	X		X					X		
	improvement resource center	X				X	X				X	X														X			X						X	
	Other	X	X										X	X					X																	

Criterion #8: Please identify the housing preservation/maintenance activities your municipality conducted or that were available in your community in 2011 to maintain or improve your existing housing stock. County-administered programs are applicable.

	Shoreview	Spring Lake Park (part)	St. Anthony (part)	St. Paul	Vadnais Heights	White Bear Lake (part)	White Bear Township	Scott County	Belle Plaine	Belle Plaine Township	Blakeley Township	Cedar Lake Township	Credit River Township	Elko New Market	Helena Township	Jackson Township	Jordan	Louisville Township	New Market Township	Prior Lake	Sand Creek Township	Savage	Shakopee	Spring Lake Township	St. Lawrence Township	Washington County	Afton	Bayport	Baytown Township	Birchwood Village	Cottage Grove	Dellwood	Denmark Township
Owner	Housing maintenance code and enforcement	X	X	X	X	X			X																								
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X	X	X		X														X													
Rental	Housing maintenance code and enforcement	X	Community did not complete survey		X	X	X	X	X					X																			
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X	Community did not complete survey			X								X																			
Other	Local tool sharing center or program		Community did not complete survey			X																											
	Home improvement loan or grant program	X			X									X																			
	improvement resource center	X																															
	Other	X					X														X												

Criterion #8: Please identify the housing preservation/maintenance activities your municipality conducted or that were available in your community in 2011 to maintain or improve your existing housing stock. County-administered programs are applicable.

	Forest Lake	Grant	Grey Cloud Township	Hastings (part)	Hugo	Lake Elmo	Lake St. Croix Beach	Lakeland	Lakeland Shores	Landfall	Mahtomedi	Marine on St. Croix	May Township	Newport	Oak Park Heights	Oakdale	Pine Springs	Scandia	St. Mary's Point	St. Paul Park	Stillwater	Stillwater Township	West Lakeland Township	White Bear Lake (part)	Willermie	Woodbury	Totals
Owner	Housing maintenance code and enforcement			X						X	X				X	X								X	X		68
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X		X				X	X						X	X					X			X	X		62
Rental	Housing maintenance code and enforcement			X		Community did not complete survey					X	Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey	X	X	X					X			X	X		75
	Housing rehabilitation loan or grant program	X		X		Community did not complete survey		X	Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey		X	X								X	X		38
Other	Local tool sharing center or program	X				Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey			Community did not complete survey	Community did not complete survey			X											26
	Home improvement loan or grant program	X						X							X	X					X	X			X		42
	improvement resource center													X		X					X				X		23
	Other																										22

Criterion #10:

In 2011, did your community acquire land to be held specifically for development or redevelopment as affordable or senior housing (exclusively 55+)?

In 2011, did your community approve the development or local financial participation in a proposed development of new affordable or senior (exclusively 55+) housing?

In 2011, did your community approve the involvement of the municipality in the preservation and reinvestment in affordable or senior housing which has not yet been undertaken for reasons beyond the municipality's control?

Anoka County

Andover			
Anoka	X	X	
Bethel		Community did not complete survey	
Blaine (part)		X	
Centerville			
Circle Pines			
Columbia Heights			X
Columbus			
Coon Rapids		X	X
East Bethel			
Fridley			
Ham Lake			
Hilltop		Community did not complete survey	
Lexington			
Lino Lakes			
Linwood Township			
Nowthen		Community did not complete survey	
Oak Grove			
Ramsey		X	
Spring Lake Park (part)		Community did not complete survey	
St. Francis			

Carver County

Benton Township			
Camden Township			
Carver			
Chanhassen (part)			
Chaska	X		X
Cologne		Community did not complete survey	
Dahlgren Township			
Hamburg		Community did not complete survey	
Hancock Township			
Hollywood Township			
Laketown Township			
Mayer			
New Germany			
Norwood Young America			
San Francisco Township			
Victoria			
Waconia			
Waconia Township			
Watertown			X
Watertown Township			
Young America Township			

Dakota County

Apple Valley		X	X
Burnsville		X	
Castle Rock Township			
Coates			
Douglas Township		Community did not complete survey	
Eagan	X		X
Empire Township		Community did not complete survey	

Criterion #10:	<i>In 2011, did your community acquire land to be held specifically for development or redevelopment as affordable or senior housing (exclusively 55+)?</i>	<i>In 2011, did your community approve the development or local financial participation in a proposed development of new affordable or senior (exclusively 55+) housing?</i>	<i>In 2011, did your community approve the involvement of the municipality in the preservation and reinvestment in affordable or senior housing which has not yet been undertaken for reasons beyond the municipality's control?</i>
Eureka Township			
Farmington		X	
Greenvale Township			
Hampton		Community did not complete survey	
Hampton Township		Community did not complete survey	
Hastings (part)			
Inver Grove Heights		X	
Lakeville		X	
Lilydale		X	
Marshan Township		Community did not complete survey	
Mendota			
Mendota Heights			
Miesville			
New Trier			
Nininger Township			
Randolph		Community did not complete survey	
Randolph Township			
Ravenna Township			
Rosemount			
Sciota Township		Community did not complete survey	
South St. Paul	X		
Sunfish Lake		Community did not complete survey	
Vermillion		Community did not complete survey	
Vermillion Township			
Waterford Township		Community did not complete survey	
West St. Paul			
Hennepin County			
Bloomington			
Brooklyn Center			
Brooklyn Park			
Champlin			
Chanhassen (part)			
Corcoran			
Crystal			
Dayton			
Deephaven			
Eden Prairie			
Edina			
Excelsior			
Golden Valley			
Greenfield			
Greenwood			
Hassan Township			
Hopkins			
Independence			
Long Lake		Community did not complete survey	
Loretto			
Maple Grove			
Maple Plain			
Medicine Lake			
Medina			
Minneapolis	X	X	X
Minnetonka		X	X

Criterion #10:

In 2011, did your community acquire land to be held specifically for development or redevelopment as affordable or senior housing (exclusively 55+)?

In 2011, did your community approve the development or local financial participation in a proposed development of new affordable or senior (exclusively 55+) housing?

In 2011, did your community approve the involvement of the municipality in the preservation and reinvestment in affordable or senior housing which has not yet been undertaken for reasons beyond the municipality's control?

Minnetonka Beach	Community did not complete survey	
Minnetrista		
Mound		
New Hope		
Orono		
Osseo		
Plymouth		
Richfield	X	
Robbinsdale		
Rogers		
Shorewood	Community did not complete survey	
Spring Park		
St. Anthony (part)		
St. Bonifacius		
St. Louis Park		
Tonka Bay	Community did not complete survey	
Wayzata		
Woodland		
Ramsey County		
Arden Hills	X	
Blaine (part)		
Falcon Heights		
Gem Lake		
Lauderdale		
Little Canada		
Maplewood		
Mounds View		
New Brighton		
North Oaks		
North St. Paul		
Roseville		
Shoreview	X	
Spring Lake Park (part)	Community did not complete survey	
St. Anthony (part)		
St. Paul		X
Vadnais Heights	X	
White Bear Lake (part)		
White Bear Township		
Scott County		
Belle Plaine		
Belle Plaine Township		
Blakeley Township		
Cedar Lake Township		
Credit River Township		
Elko New Market		
Helena Township		
Jackson Township		
Jordan	Community did not complete survey	
Louisville Township		
New Market Township		
Prior Lake		
Sand Creek Township		

Criterion #10:

In 2011, did your community acquire land to be held specifically for development or redevelopment as affordable or senior housing (exclusively 55+)?

In 2011, did your community approve the development or local financial participation in a proposed development of new affordable or senior (exclusively 55+) housing?

In 2011, did your community approve the involvement of the municipality in the preservation and reinvestment in affordable or senior housing which has not yet been undertaken for reasons beyond the municipality's control?

Savage		X	
Shakopee			
Spring Lake Township			
St. Lawrence Township			
Washington County			
Afton		Community did not complete survey	
Bayport			
Baytown Township			
Birchwood Village			
Cottage Grove			
Dellwood		Community did not complete survey	
Denmark Township			
Forest Lake			
Grant			
Grey Cloud Township			
Hastings (part)			
Hugo			
Lake Elmo		Community did not complete survey	
Lake St. Croix Beach			
Lakeland			
Lakeland Shores		Community did not complete survey	
Landfall			
Mahtomedi			
Marine on St. Croix		Community did not complete survey	
May Township		Community did not complete survey	
Newport			
Oak Park Heights		X	
Oakdale	X		X
Pine Springs			
Scandia			
St. Mary's Point		Community did not complete survey	
St. Paul Park			
Stillwater			
Stillwater Township			
West Lakeland Township			
White Bear Lake (part)			
Willernie			
Woodbury		X	
Totals	6	19	10