



Minnesota Department of **Human Services**

**Minnesota Family Investment Program
Annualized Self-support Index and
Work Participation Rate for 2012
(For Determination of 2013 Performance-Based Funds)**

Published September 2012

Minnesota Department of Human Services

Transition Support Quality Services Division, 651-431-3936 and

Transition to Economic Stability Division, 651-431-4000

444 Lafayette Road North

St. Paul, MN 55155

http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/economic_support/documents/pub/DHS_id_004113.hcsp#MFIP

This information is available in other forms to people with disabilities by contacting us at 651-431- 3936 (voice) or through the Minnesota Relay Service at 711 or 1-800-627-3529 (TDD), or 1-877-627-3848 (speech to speech relay service).

About This Information

The information reported here is used to award performance-based funds for the 2013 calendar year. For more information on the performance-based funds, see the following section of the Minnesota Statutes: <http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/stats/256J/626.html>.

The Annualized Three-year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Counties and Tribes for the period of April 2011 through March 2012

This measure tracks whether adults are either (1) working an average of 30 or more hours per week or (2) no longer receiving MFIP cash, in the quarter three years from a baseline quarter in which they were eligible for MFIP or DWP. Adults who are “timed-off” the program after 60 months are only counted as a success on this measure if they were working 30 or more hours per week or were converted to SSI during the last month for which their eligibility was determined using verified employment information. For further information on this measure, see Evaluation Note #15, *Updated Information on the MFIP Self-Support Index* on the DHS website located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064O-ENG>.

The annualized three-year Self-support Index rate reported is based on adults who were eligible for MFIP or DWP any month during the period of April 2008 through March 2009. Also included is a county or tribe’s range of expected performance which determines whether or not that county or tribe qualifies for performance-based funds. The range of expected performance is determined from a regression, a statistical technique to control (or account) for demographic and economic differences among counties. It “levels the playing field” among counties. For further information see Evaluation Note #19, “Leveling the Playing Field: A Regression Model for Comparing the Effectiveness of TANF Employment Services Across Minnesota Counties and Tribal Programs” at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064U-ENG>. The annualized values are weighted averages of the quarterly values found in the MFIP Management Indicators Report in Indicator 6d.

The final column on the spreadsheet details whether a county or tribe performed above, within, or below the range of expected performance for that county or tribe. Counties and tribes that performed below their range of expected performance will not receive an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. Counties and tribes that performed within or above their range of expected performance earned an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation.

The Annualized TANF Work Participation Rate for Counties and Tribes for the period of April 2011 through March 2012

This measure identifies the percentage of federal TANF cases that were fully engaged in employment or employment-related activities from April 2011 through March 2012, per federal work activity requirements. More information on the TANF Work Participation Rate can be found in Evaluation Note #18, located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064T-ENG>.

The federal TANF Work Participation Rate (WPR) target is 50%. In determining the TANF WPR target for counties, the department uses the definition in Minnesota Statutes section 256J.626, subdivision 7. Federal law allows states to reduce their WPR target by the percentage points that a state reduced its overall caseload in the prior fiscal year compared to its caseload in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2005. There is no reduction to this year’s county rate from the Caseload Reduction Credit (CRC) because there was no overall caseload reduction from FFY 2005 compared to FFY 2011. Therefore, the TANF WPR target for each county is 50%.

Counties that either achieved a 50.0% or higher TANF Work Participation Rate for the year or had a five percentage point increase from the 2011 measure earned an additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation. Counties that did not meet either of these thresholds will not receive the additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. The last column on the spreadsheet identifies those counties or tribes that qualify for performance-based funds. It also identifies those counties or tribes that must complete a PIP and have it approved by the department before they qualify for the performance-based funds.

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Determination of 2013 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2011 through March 2012)**

County	Actual Three Year Self- support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Above, Within, or Below Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Aitkin	0.817	0.730	0.812	Above
Anoka	0.685	0.673	0.726	Within
Becker	0.754	0.668	0.749	Above
Beltrami	0.670	0.608	0.663	Above
Benton	0.723	0.653	0.715	Above
Big Stone	0.633	0.693	0.860	Below
Blue Earth	0.726	0.664	0.748	Within
Brown	0.868	0.783	0.850	Above
Carlton	0.764	0.697	0.784	Within
Carver	0.767	0.681	0.762	Above
Cass	0.767	0.637	0.754	Above
Chippewa	0.762	0.709	0.801	Within
Chisago	0.864	0.713	0.800	Above
Clay	0.739	0.671	0.733	Above
Clearwater	0.752	0.668	0.808	Within
Cook	0.859	0.709	0.887	Within
Cottonwood	0.807	0.743	0.831	Within
Crow Wing	0.752	0.699	0.771	Within
Dakota	0.678	0.669	0.715	Within
Dodge	0.749	0.715	0.804	Within
Douglas	0.777	0.745	0.812	Within
Faribault-Martin	0.814	0.749	0.799	Above
Fillmore	0.824	0.800	0.878	Within
Freeborn	0.778	0.753	0.801	Within
Goodhue	0.740	0.721	0.794	Within
Grant	0.802	0.677	0.873	Within
Hennepin	0.600	0.596	0.619	Within
Houston	0.761	0.752	0.825	Within
Hubbard	0.760	0.687	0.770	Within
Isanti	0.835	0.672	0.764	Above
Itasca	0.716	0.728	0.779	Below
Jackson	0.819	0.710	0.843	Within
Kanabec	0.706	0.689	0.807	Within
Kandiyohi	0.781	0.709	0.777	Above
Kittson	0.844	0.620	0.855	Within
Koochiching	0.804	0.692	0.784	Above
Lac Qui Parle	0.716	0.715	0.887	Within
Lake	0.825	0.739	0.835	Within
Lake of the Woods	0.803	0.688	0.864	Within
Le Sueur	0.807	0.759	0.842	Within
Lincoln-Lyon-Murray	0.837	0.750	0.821	Above
Mcleod	0.836	0.776	0.830	Above
Mahnomen	0.639	0.497	0.640	Within
Marshall	0.831	0.784	0.901	Within
Meeker	0.799	0.759	0.829	Within
Mille Lacs	0.776	0.683	0.779	Within
Morrison	0.746	0.715	0.793	Within
Mower	0.762	0.709	0.773	Within

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Determination of 2013 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2011 through March 2012)**

County	Actual Three Year Self- support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Above, Within, or Below Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Nicollet	0.666	0.665	0.754	Within
Nobles	0.785	0.769	0.836	Within
Norman	0.714	0.731	0.841	Below
Olmsted	0.730	0.740	0.780	Below
Otter Tail	0.759	0.712	0.783	Within
Pennington	0.832	0.708	0.802	Above
Pine	0.746	0.716	0.788	Within
Pipestone	0.803	0.681	0.821	Within
Polk	0.756	0.672	0.726	Above
Pope	0.750	0.686	0.828	Within
Ramsey	0.563	0.549	0.581	Within
Red Lake	0.748	0.714	0.845	Within
Redwood	0.773	0.721	0.822	Within
Renville	0.697	0.719	0.811	Below
Rice	0.766	0.719	0.822	Within
Rock	0.835	0.762	0.878	Within
Roseau	0.764	0.700	0.836	Within
St Louis	0.662	0.634	0.687	Within
Scott	0.741	0.697	0.761	Within
Sherburne	0.762	0.681	0.753	Above
Sibley	0.854	0.749	0.833	Above
Stearns	0.710	0.694	0.744	Within
Steele	0.709	0.703	0.772	Within
Stevens	0.813	0.644	0.847	Within
Swift	0.801	0.715	0.806	Within
Todd	0.851	0.673	0.787	Above
Traverse	0.707	0.609	0.827	Within
Wabasha	0.783	0.669	0.784	Within
Wadena	0.747	0.713	0.778	Within
Waseca	0.781	0.729	0.798	Within
Washington	0.705	0.668	0.719	Within
Watsonwan	0.704	0.698	0.814	Within
Wilkin	0.688	0.671	0.854	Within
Winona	0.688	0.696	0.772	Below
Wright	0.806	0.724	0.783	Above
Yellow Medicine	0.670	0.658	0.832	Within
Leech Lake Band	0.542	0.548	0.621	Below
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	0.561	0.526	0.590	Within
Red Lake Band	0.526	0.549	0.607	Below
White Earth Band	0.564	0.535	0.616	Within

**TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2013 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2011 through March 2012)**

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2010 - March 2011	Annual Rate April 2011 - March 2012	Eligible for 2013 Performance-Based Funds
Aitkin	43.8%	55.8%	Yes
Anoka	48.4%	49.6%	No
Becker	48.2%	51.9%	Yes
Beltrami	38.2%	33.0%	No
Benton	42.3%	52.4%	Yes
Big Stone	12.6%	31.0%	Yes
Blue Earth	49.7%	49.3%	No
Brown	63.7%	66.3%	Yes
Carlton	35.7%	44.3%	Yes
Carver	47.4%	52.8%	Yes
Cass	44.7%	49.8%	Yes
Chippewa	33.0%	42.8%	Yes
Chisago	53.6%	55.3%	Yes
Clay	41.7%	45.1%	No
Clearwater	45.0%	35.8%	No
Cook	61.8%	49.3%	No
Cottonwood	36.4%	49.6%	Yes
Crow Wing	37.8%	36.2%	No
Dakota	52.9%	53.8%	Yes
Dodge	48.7%	48.4%	No
Douglas	56.1%	66.1%	Yes
Faribault-Martin	55.6%	69.3%	Yes
Fillmore	54.8%	58.1%	Yes
Freeborn	47.6%	41.1%	No
Goodhue	44.0%	55.9%	Yes
Grant	36.2%	60.0%	Yes
Hennepin	37.5%	38.3%	No
Houston	36.4%	44.3%	Yes
Hubbard	41.3%	54.7%	Yes
Isanti	51.1%	62.9%	Yes
Itasca	38.6%	41.8%	No
Jackson	68.8%	62.2%	Yes
Kanabec	39.9%	50.6%	Yes
Kandiyohi	58.4%	53.8%	Yes
Kittson	13.9%	50.0%	Yes
Koochiching	48.1%	40.1%	No
Lac Qui Parle	45.1%	35.6%	No
Lake	66.5%	43.3%	No
Lake of the Woods	77.0%	71.3%	Yes
Le Sueur	48.5%	55.8%	Yes
Lincoln-Lyon-Murray	46.5%	51.4%	Yes
Mcleod	46.4%	43.5%	No
Mahnomen	41.4%	57.5%	Yes
Marshall	62.8%	44.0%	No
Meeker	49.3%	57.4%	Yes
Mille Lacs	52.4%	49.8%	No
Morrison	41.8%	35.9%	No
Mower	43.1%	48.2%	Yes
Nicollet	39.7%	41.9%	No
Nobles	53.8%	64.0%	Yes

**TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2013 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2011 through March 2012)**

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2010 - March 2011	Annual Rate April 2011 - March 2012	Eligible for 2013 Performance-Based Funds
Norman	44.3%	43.8%	No
Olmsted	47.4%	45.6%	No
Otter Tail	50.2%	52.3%	Yes
Pennington	49.8%	47.0%	No
Pine	52.8%	53.2%	Yes
Pipestone	39.1%	48.0%	Yes
Polk	39.1%	35.1%	No
Pope	39.3%	59.4%	Yes
Ramsey	42.3%	46.5%	No
Red Lake	61.8%	59.2%	Yes
Redwood	41.6%	46.4%	No
Renville	34.4%	34.1%	No
Rice	40.4%	32.1%	No
Rock	57.2%	62.0%	Yes
Roseau	59.2%	66.1%	Yes
St Louis	39.5%	36.8%	No
Scott	53.0%	57.1%	Yes
Sherburne	33.9%	38.7%	No
Sibley	48.1%	62.0%	Yes
Stearns	40.0%	43.7%	No
Steele	35.6%	41.9%	Yes
Stevens	41.4%	72.2%	Yes
Swift	50.0%	56.4%	Yes
Todd	40.0%	38.3%	No
Traverse	55.7%	50.3%	Yes
Wabasha	43.6%	41.9%	No
Wadena	45.7%	53.6%	Yes
Waseca	54.5%	47.1%	No
Washington	49.0%	51.9%	Yes
Watonwan	42.7%	38.9%	No
Wilkin	63.1%	45.8%	No
Winona	30.0%	32.9%	No
Wright	35.5%	35.1%	No
Yellow Medicine	24.5%	43.2%	Yes
Leech Lake	24.4%	18.5%	No
MCT	18.1%	15.3%	No
Red Lake	21.7%	13.1%	No
White Earth	23.1%	18.4%	No