This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp



## April 7, 2011

HF 210 DE2 (Kiffmeyer et al.) Voter picture identification required before receiving a ballot, identification cards provided at no charge, provisional ballot procedures established, election administration procedures specified, electronic polling place required, and recount procedures enacted.

Local Fiscal	Impact							
Net Expenditure Increase/Revenue Loss or (Expenditure Decrease/Revenue Gain)								
Dollars in Thous	Dollars in Thousands, State Fiscal Years							
	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	<u>FY 2015</u>				
Statewide	\$0	\$8,298	\$4,055	\$4,393				

**Local Governments Participating:** City of Elk River, City of Eden Prairie, City of Edina, Washington County, Cass County, Blue Earth County, Hennepin County. Detailed survey responses are available upon request. Three other local units of government that were sent a survey did not return a response.

### Explanation of the Bill

HF 210 makes numerous changes to election law including requiring photo identification in the polling place, eliminating the use of vouching as a mechanism for voter registration in most cases, instituting a system of provisional balloting, specifying reconciliation procedures, requiring use of electronic polling place rosters, and codifying certain recount procedures in statute.

#### Local Impact Analysis Methodology

To estimate the statewide local government impact of the changes included in HF 210, MMB surveyed a sampling of local governments to determine a per voter impact of the bill *if it had been current law for elections held in 2008 - 2010*. The per voter costs were then used to calculate a statewide estimated cost using statewide vote totals for 2008 - 2010. To then estimate aggregate statewide local government costs related to HF 210, MMB used population growth projections to estimate voter turnout for FY 2012 - 2015.

# Local Impact Analysis of SF 2708 / HF 2995:

MMB worked with the Secretary of State's office to identify provisions in HF 210 that could result in additional costs to local units of Government. These provisions were then used to develop a survey that was sent to selected local units of government contacts provided to MMB by the League of Minnesota Cities and the Minnesota Inter-County Association. The complete survey is included in appendix 1.

Local governments were asked to provide cost information on the following provisions in the bill:

- The costs related to electronic pollbooks<sup>1</sup> including maintenance, storage, insurance, pre-election testing, any additional electronic pollbooks considered necessary to efficiently carry out the requirements of the bill, IT staff to trouble shoot on election day, and costs related to a written security, contingency and backup and data encryption policy and procedure for electronic pollbooks. Survey recipients were told to assume that additional electronic pollbooks would cost \$4,293 each (cost information provided by Secretary of State's office).
- The costs related to provisional balloting requirement included in the bill including staff and resources needed for monitoring of provisional voters and ballots, separate storage of provisional ballots, posting provisional ballot data into the Statewide Voter Registration System (SRVS), entering election day registrations for provisional voters the day after the election, access for voters to present a valid ID for 5 days after the election, and mailing of notices to provisional voters whose ballots were not counted. Local governments were told to assume that 2.5% of voters would cast a provisional ballot and that 50 percent of those voters would return to have their vote counted within 5 days.<sup>2</sup>
- The costs related to extending the retention period of election materials to 36 months from 22 months.
- The costs related to absentee boards meeting every day during the balloting period.
- The costs related to additional training for election employees.
- The savings related to automated voter information data entry.
- The costs for smaller jurisdictions that would have to comply with the requirements in the bill while not being provided with an electronic pollbook by the state. Jurisdictions were specifically asked to consider costs related to entering all Election Day registrations within 42 days, counting voter signatures instead of receipts and printing and checking the ineligible voter list.
- Any other costs that a jurisdiction sees as resulting from the bill.
- Local governments were asked to note if a given cost would be carried by another jurisdiction.

Local governments responding to the survey were then asked to provide cost estimates for each survey question if HF 210 had been current law in 2008, 2009 and 2010. Local governments were also asked to list any start-up (one time) costs related to the bill. Table 1 below shows the aggregated costs of the local governments that responded to the survey:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An electronic pollbook is defined as an electronic roster workstation used to process voter data on Election Day. When referring to an electronic pollbook in this note a computer, voter receipt printer, cables and software is included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To arrive at the assumption that 2.5% of voters would cast a provisional ballot, MMB used the following information from the Secretary of State's office:

<sup>1.</sup> In 2008, 17% of voters were election-day registrants.

<sup>2.</sup> In 2008, 28% of election-day registrants used a proof of residence other than a driver's license with their current address. Assume that the education campaign reaches 2/3 of this group, but 1/3 come to the polling place without an authorized photo ID with their current address.

<sup>3.</sup> Assume that 0.5% of all voters would be challenged and thus would cast provisional ballots.

 Table 1: Aggregated HF 210 Local Government Survey Responses:

	*Sta	nt up costs	2008	2009	2010
1. Number of Voters:		-	1,061,135	51,842	857,730
2. Costs related to pollbook					
storage, maintenance,					
insurance/additional pollbook					
procurement.	\$	1,195,360	\$ 736,128	\$ 704,363	\$ 734,204
3. Costs related to provisional					
voting:	\$	69,181	\$ 605,395	\$ 125,282	\$ 526,453
4. Costs related to increased					
record retention	\$	-	\$ 65,752	\$ 64,408	\$ 65,752
5. Costs related to absentee ballot					
board requirements.	\$	-	\$ 85,820	\$ 35,260	\$ 85,820
6. Costs related to employee					
training	\$	55,550	\$ 33,399	\$ 5,777	\$ 33,614
7. Savings related to pollbook	\$	-	\$ (317,196)	\$ (36,504)	\$ (171,180)
8. Costs related to complying with					
requirements in the bill without					
new technology.	\$	500	\$ 4,000	\$ 600	\$ 4,000
9. Please list any other					
costs/savings	\$	-	\$ 27,860	\$ 6,180	\$ 25,860
Total Costs:	\$	1,320,591	\$ 1,241,157	\$ 905,366	\$ 1,304,523

Survey respondents noted the following as other costs/savings:

- Posting voter history
- Overtime for the 42 day limit and recounting 1 precinct at a time
- Savings from eliminating need to stamp rosters for absentee voters.

Using this information in the table above, MMB then calculated a per voter cost for each year:

	Table 2: HF 210 Local	Government Per	Voter Costs:
--	-----------------------	----------------	--------------

	2008	2009	2010
Per Voter Costs	\$ 1.17	\$ 17.46	\$ 1.52

The Secretary of State provided the following voter turnout information for 2008 – 2010:

Table 3: 2008 – 2010 Statewide Voter Turnout:

	2008	2009	2010
Voters Primary	419,474	18,492	606,394
Voters General	2,921,498	200,567	2,123,369
Total Voters	3,340,972	219,059	2,729,763

Using the above information, the costs to local governments if HF 210 had been law from 2008 - 2010 are as follows:

Table 4: Estimated HF 210 Local Government Costs (2008 – 2010):

	2008	2009	2010
Local Government Costs	\$ 3,907,769	\$ 3,825,636	\$ 4,151,700

To estimate the local government costs related to HF 2010 for FY 2012 – 2015, MMB made the following assumptions for "like" election years:

- Presidential election years: 2008 and FY 2013<sup>3</sup>
- Statewide election years: 2010 and FY 2015
- Odd year/local election years: 2009 and FY 2014

Additionally MMB adjusted the above statewide costs estimate by an annual population growth factor of 0.9 percent.<sup>4</sup> Using the above assumptions, the following preliminary estimates for FY 2012 - 2015 were calculated:

Table 5: Estimated HF 210 Ongoing Local Government Costs (FY 2012 – 2015):<sup>5</sup>

	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
On Going Local Government Costs	\$ -	\$ 4,050,359	\$ 3,965,230	\$ 4,303,191

Surveyed local government also provided estimates for start-up costs (see survey results on previous page) for items such as purchasing additional electronic pollbooks, purchase of Election Day materials, and preparation of pollbook policies. Across the local governments surveyed, these costs totaled \$1,320,591. Assuming these one-time costs would occur during the first year HF 210 is law (FY 2013), MMB used 2008 voter data reported in the survey (1,061,135 voters) and calculated a per voter one-time cost of \$1.24 per voter. A one-time statewide cost of \$4,157,866 was then calculated using the statewide turnout for 2008 (3,340,972).

Internet connectivity costs required for some jurisdictions in HF 210 was calculated centrally by MMB with the assistance of the Secretary of State's office. For this provision it was assumed that 665 polling stations would be required by the bill to use electronic polling stations. Of these polling stations it is assumed that 10 percent would already have internet access and that 90 percent would need to acquire internet connectivity to be in compliance with the bill. Additionally, it is assumed that internet connectivity would have an annual cost of \$150. Using the above assumptions an additional aggregated statewide local government costs related to this provision would be \$89,775 annually.

Considering the above information, MMB calculated the aggregate statewide local government costs resulting from HF 210 to be:

	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
On Going Local Government Costs	\$ -	\$ 4,050,359	\$ 3,965,230	\$ 4,303,191
One time Local Government Costs	\$ -	\$ 4,157,866	\$ -	\$ -
Internet Connectivity	\$ -	\$ 89,775	\$ 89,775	\$ 89,775
Total Local Government Costs	\$ -	\$ 8,298,001	\$ 4,055,005	\$ 4,392,966

# Statewide local cost estimate of HF 210 for FY 2012 – FY 2015:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fiscal years run July through June, thus the November 2012 election would occur during FY 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Population growth calculation provided in appendix 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Most provisions in the bill will not be effective until FY 2013, thus there will be no local government costs in FY 2012.

### Appendix 1: Local Government Survey

- 1) In our attempt to aggregate statewide local government costs if HF 210 were to become law, we will need to examine costs on a per voter basis. For each election year listed (2008 2010) please list the total number of votes cast in your jurisdiction across all elections.
- 2) Applicable to cities receiving pollbooks: For this question, please include the costs related to maintenance, insurance, storage, pre-election testing, any additional pollbooks that you would purchase (\$4,293 each), IT staff to troubleshoot on election day and the costs related to establishing a written security, contingency and backup and data encryption policy and procedure for electronic pollbooks.\* Please use the following information to determine the number of pollbooks provided:
  - a. The State will buy the following numbers of pollbooks (with required printers, and other equipment):

equipment).	
Precincts with 1 to 249 voters:	1
Precincts with 250 to 499 voters:	2
Precincts with 501 to 700 voters:	3
Precincts with 701 to 900 voters:	4
Precincts with 901 to 1099 voters:	5
Precincts with 1100 or more voters:	6
*note the cost for precincts to establish an	internet connection will be calculated centrally

- 3) HF 210, if passed into law would allow provisional balloting if a voter's lawful ability to vote is questioned. This would require monitoring of provisional voters and ballots on election day, separate storage of provisional ballots after election day, posting of data about provisional ballots into the Statewide Voter Registration System (SVRS), entering election day registrations for provisional voters on the day after the election, access for voters to present a valid ID for 5 days after the election, and mailing of notices for provisional voters whose ballots were not counted. Please estimate the cost of additional staff, storage facilities and materials needed to administer these requirements with the following assumptions:
  - a. 2.5% of voters will cast a provisional ballot
  - *b.* <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of those voters that cast a provisional ballot will return with a photo ID within 5 days to have their vote counted.
  - c. Provisional ballots will require separate secrecy envelopes, ballot box, transfer case and storage after the election.
- 4) For this question, please estimate the costs of storing all election materials for an additional 14 months (36 months instead of 22).
- 5) *HF 210 would require absentee ballot boards to meet every day during the absentee balloting period. Please estimate the additional costs associated with this requirement.*
- 6) *HF 210 would require additional training for election judges and municipal clerks to administer changes in election law. Please assume an additional 1 hour per election judge or municipal clerk.*
- 7) HF 210 would require voter education outreach to explain new ID requirements administered by local governments if the first election under the new law occurred in an odd year. For this question, please estimate costs associated with voter education if you expect to hold an odd year election in your jurisdiction. Please list these costs under 2009.<sup>6</sup>
- 8) For those receiving pollbooks, HF 210 will automate voter information data entry currently practiced by local governments. Please estimate the **savings** with this task no longer being required.
- 9) For cities with fewer than 25,000 registered voters in counties with fewer than 75,000 registered voters, electronic pollbooks will not be provided but these jurisdictions will still be expected to comply with election law changes in this bill. Please estimate the staff and supply costs related to:
  - a. Entering all election day registrations within 42 days
  - b. Counting voter signatures instead of receipts
  - c. Printing and checking ineligible voter list

10) Please list any other costs or saving that you see resulting from the bill. If included, please include an explanation of these costs/savings.

\*\*If the costs associated with a given question are carried out by another jurisdiction please note this in column F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The costs resulting from this question were removed from the aggregated survey results due to the fact that the first election occurring under this law will occur in 2012, a statewide election year.

Appendix 2: Population Growth Rates<sup>7</sup>

Time Period (year. Quarter)	Minnesota Total Resident Population (in Millions)	Growth Rate (year/year)
1990.4	4.409	
1991.4	4.461	1.2%
1992.4	4.517	1.3%
1993.4	4.577	1.3%
1994.4	4.630	1.1%
1995.4	4.679	1.1%
1996.4	4.732	1.1%
1997.4	4.782	1.1%
1998.4	4.834	1.1%
1999.4	4.896	1.3%
2000.4	4.954	1.2%
2001.4	4.998	0.9%
2002.4	5.030	0.6%
2003.4	5.059	0.6%
2004.4	5.090	0.6%
2005.4	5.120	0.6%
2006.4	5.164	0.9%
2007.4	5.207	0.8%
2008.4	5.245	0.7%
2009.4	5.281	0.7%
2010.4	5.322	0.8%
Average Ann	ual Growth	0.9%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Data provided by MMB economic analysis division and the State Demographer's office.