

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto
State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT FOR

SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

Year Ended December 31, 2010



Management and Compliance Report

**Audit Practice Division
Office of the State Auditor
State of Minnesota**

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	1
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	7
Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	10
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	13
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	16

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

- A. Our report expresses unqualified opinions on the basic financial statements of Scott County.
- B. A significant deficiency in internal control was disclosed by the audit of financial statements of Scott County and is reported in the "Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*." It was not a material weakness.
- C. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of Scott County were disclosed during the audit.
- D. No matters involving internal control over compliance relating to the audit of the major federal award programs were reported in the "Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133."
- E. The Auditor's Report on Compliance for the major federal award programs for Scott County expresses an unqualified opinion.
- F. No findings were disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.

G. The major programs are:

Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Cluster	
WIA National Emergency Grants	CFDA #17.277
WIA Adult Program	CFDA #17.258
WIA Adult Program - ARRA	CFDA #17.258
WIA Youth Activities	CFDA #17.259
WIA Youth Activities - ARRA	CFDA #17.259
WIA Dislocated Workers	CFDA #17.260
WIA Dislocated Workers - ARRA	CFDA #17.260

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	
Highway Planning and Construction	CFDA #20.205
Highway Planning and Construction - ARRA	CFDA #20.205
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG) - ARRA	CFDA #81.128

- H. The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$445,663.
- I. Scott County was determined to be a low-risk auditee.

II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

INTERNAL CONTROL

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM NOT RESOLVED

07-2 Documenting and Monitoring Internal Control

The overall financial reporting objective is for management to prepare reliable financial statements that do not contain material misstatements. Although internal control has always been a critical management function, the recent changes in audit reporting requirements underscore the importance management should place on designing and implementing an effective internal control system. The effective internal control system should be documented and monitored on a regular basis.

Currently, Scott County has controls relating to its various transaction cycles and certain account balances. The County has begun to document and monitor its control system, but has not fully implemented documentation, risk assessment, and monitoring of its internal controls.

We recommend that Scott County continue to document its internal controls and risk assessment, along with fully implementing monitoring over its internal controls. Further, the County should monitor its internal control system on a regular basis.

Client's Response:

Scott County invested significant effort in 2010 to making improvements in internal controls and, respectfully, feel we are further along than the auditor's statement above. We developed and executed an improvement work plan in 2010, for internal controls; completing most of the planned steps.

Accomplishments include:

- *development of a website to include plans, audits and resource materials*
- *quarterly audits of accounts payable and purchasing card claims including following up on any findings*
- *auditing cash handling annually*
- *review of all county policies; recommended policy ownership and assessment of which ones need updates--developed recommended timelines for those updates*
- *developed a form for internal control assessment of policies, used for new and updated policies*
- *regular reminders to staff about the important role they play in internal controls and fraud prevention both in divisional meetings and email reminders*
- *presented internal control progress to division and senior county management*
- *in early 2010, developed two risk assessment forms with different focus areas and completed the first one in early 2011.*

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

None.

IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM NOT RESOLVED

97-5 Business Continuity Plan - Disaster Recovery Plan

The County continues to make progress on fully implementing its business continuity plan, which includes a disaster recovery plan specifically for electronic disasters to direct its response if a disaster or major computer breakdown were to occur. Currently, the County has completed its written business continuity plan and has updated it to reflect the dimension of staff unavailability, but the plan had not been fully tested.

We recommend that the County test the business continuity plan and disaster recovery plan.

Client's Response:

Scott County has planned a full-scale disaster recovery exercise for the Fall of 2011. This exercise will include the actual fail-over and restore of the electronic environment. This should be the final step for the Scott County business continuity plan.

B. OTHER ITEM FOR CONSIDERATION

GASB Statement 54

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board's (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, is effective for Scott County for the year ending December 31, 2011. The standard's objectives are to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information included in the financial report through clearer fund balance classifications that can be consistently applied and to clarify existing governmental fund type definitions.

Fund Balance Reporting

Statement 54 establishes new fund balance classifications based on constraints imposed on how resources can be spent. The existing components of fund balance are reserved, unreserved-designated, and unreserved-undesignated. Statement 54 replaces these components with nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned as defined below:

- *Nonspendable* - amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form (for example, inventory or prepaid items) or legally or contractually required to be maintained intact (such as the corpus of a permanent fund).
- *Restricted* - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation.
- *Committed* - amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of a government's highest level of decision-making authority.
- *Assigned* - amounts a government intends to use for a specific purpose that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed.
- *Unassigned* - spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications.

The County should begin the process for implementing the new fund balance classifications. A key step in successfully implementing the new fund balance requirements is to plan ahead. The County can start with the following steps:

- review the requirements of GASB Statement 54;
- review current fund balances and compare to the new classifications;
- reclassify January 1, 2011, fund balance using the new classifications;
- review/update/prepare a comprehensive fund balance policy;
- prepare appropriate Board resolutions to commit fund balance; and
- if the County Board intends to delegate authority to assign fund balance, prepare the resolutions delegating that authority.

Governmental Fund Type Definitions

The definitions of the general fund, special revenue fund type, capital projects fund type, debt service fund type, and permanent fund type are clarified in the new standard. The new definition for a special revenue fund could have significant impact on the County's current fund classifications.

GASB Statement 54 provides a new and clearer description of when it is appropriate to account for an activity using a special revenue fund. Special revenue funds are used to report specific revenue sources restricted or committed to specified purposes other than debt service and capital projects, where the restricted or committed revenue sources comprise a substantial portion of the fund's resources, and are expected to continue to do so in the future. The standard does not define substantial portion; however, most recommendations are generally that the restricted or committed revenues should comprise at least 35 to 50 percent of total fund revenues. Under this definition, it is possible that some current special revenue funds will no longer meet the requirements for special revenue fund treatment. The County's management should review the County's special revenue funds to ensure these funds continue to warrant treatment as special revenue funds.

The County's management should perform the following steps prior to December 31, 2011:

- prepare a list of the County's special revenue funds;
- determine the sources of revenues for each of those funds;
- identify whether any of those revenues are restricted or committed;
- determine if these restricted or committed revenues represent a substantial portion of the fund's revenues and are expected to continue to be a substantial source of revenues;
 - if yes, the fund may continue to be classified as a special revenue fund;
 - if not, determine whether the County will combine that fund with the general fund or with a similar purpose special revenue fund that meets the new definition;
- code revenues in the general ledger by source constraints--restricted, committed, assigned, or unassigned; and
- determine if there needs to be a restatement of beginning fund balances.

Additional implementation steps could include: informing any component units that they also will need to meet the requirements; deciding on how fund balance will be presented in the financials, such as detailed vs. aggregate methods; and developing the potential note disclosures. Additional guidance on GASB Statement 54 can be found on the Office of the State Auditor's website at: http://www.auditor.state.mn.us/other/Statements/fundbalances_postGASB54_1012_statement.pdf.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Board of County Commissioners
Scott County

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 29, 2011. Our report includes a reference to other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Scott County Community Development Agency, as described in our report on Scott County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditor's testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Scott County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 07-2, that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Scott County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65. Accordingly, the audit included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions* contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our study included all of the listed categories, except tax increment financing, because Scott County does not use tax increment financing.

The results of our tests indicate that, for the items tested, Scott County complied with the material terms and conditions of applicable legal provisions.

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs is a management practices comment and an other item for consideration. We believe this recommendation and information to be of benefit to the County, and they are reported for that purpose.

Scott County's written responses to the internal control and management practices findings identified in our audit have been included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Commissioners, management, others within Scott County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

/s/Greg Hierlinger

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

August 29, 2011

This page was left blank intentionally.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of County Commissioners
Scott County

Compliance

We have audited Scott County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2010. Scott County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

Scott County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Scott County Community Development Agency (CDA) component unit, which expended \$4,848,893 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2010, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the Scott County CDA because it was audited by other auditors.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program

occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Scott County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Scott County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Scott County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 29, 2011. Our report was modified to include a reference to other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on Scott County's financial statements that collectively comprise the County's

basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The SEFA is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The SEFA has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Commissioners, management and others within the County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

/s/Greg Hierlinger

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

August 29, 2011

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Education		
Child Nutrition Cluster		
School Breakfast Program	10.553	\$ 6,818
National School Lunch Program	10.555	12,187
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services		
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Cluster		
State Administrative Matching Grants for SNAP	10.561	533,626
State Administrative Matching Grants for SNAP - ARRA	10.561	<u>10,530</u>
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture		<u>\$ 563,161</u>
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
Direct		
Shelter Plus Care	14.238	<u>\$ 141,251</u>
U.S. Department of Justice		
Direct		
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606	\$ 40,001
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	6,420
Recovery Act - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Local Government - ARRA	16.804	<u>46,078</u>
Total U.S. Department of Justice		<u>\$ 92,499</u>
U.S. Department of Labor		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development		
Senior Community Service Employment Program Cluster		
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	\$ 121,656
Senior Community Service Employment Program - ARRA	17.235	9,264
Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Cluster		
Passed Through HIRED		
Workforce Investment Act (WIA) National Emergency Grants	17.277	18,631
Passed Through Dakota-Scott Service Delivery Area #14		
Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Cluster		
WIA Adult Program	17.258	77,950
WIA Adult Program - ARRA	17.258	42,025
WIA Youth Activities	17.259	135,640
WIA Youth Activities - ARRA	17.259	41,003
WIA Dislocated Workers	17.260	311,481
WIA Dislocated Workers - ARRA	17.260	<u>136,861</u>
Total U.S. Department of Labor		<u>\$ 894,511</u>

The notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are an integral part of this schedule.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010
(Continued)**

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Transportation		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation		
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster		
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	\$ 4,795,627
Highway Planning and Construction - ARRA	20.205	2,078,188
Passed Through Metropolitan Council		
New Freedom Program	20.521	76,001
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety		
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	3,485
Safety Belt Performance Grants	20.609	14,084
		\$ 6,967,385
U.S. Department of Energy		
Direct		
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG) - ARRA	81.128	\$ 299,931
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health		
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	\$ 186,318
Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	93.251	300
Immunization Grants	93.268	21,640
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283	74,171
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	76,567
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	93.994	66,358
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services		
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	171,533
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	694,663
Child Support Enforcement Cluster		
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	1,755,996
Child Support Enforcement - ARRA	93.563	119,390
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State-Administered Programs	93.566	615
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund	93.596	118,185
Child Welfare Services - State Grants	93.645	10,745
Foster Care - Title IV-E Cluster		
Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658	318,814
Foster Care - Title IV-E - ARRA	93.658	4,099
Social Services Block Grant	93.667	403,817
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	93.674	10,260
Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767	798
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	1,724,570
		\$ 5,758,839

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010
(Continued)**

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Homeland Security		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety		
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012	\$ 34,621
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	17,978
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039	5,961
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	67,066
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	4,446
Passed Through Metropolitan Emergency Services Board		
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	<u>7,793</u>
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security		<u>\$ 137,865</u>
Total Federal Awards		<u>\$ 14,855,442</u>

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE MINNESOTA**

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

1. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by Scott County. Scott County's financial statements include the operations of the Scott County Community Development Agency (CDA) component unit, which expended \$4,848,893 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2010, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The CDA has its own single audit. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Scott County under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2010. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Scott County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of Scott County.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through grant numbers were not assigned by the pass-through agencies.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

4. Reconciliation to Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue

Federal grant revenue per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 14,572,855
Grants received more than 60 days after year-end, deferred in 2010	
Immunization Grants	1,768
Highway Planning and Construction	17,672
Highway Planning and Construction - ARRA	293,719
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	3,993
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund	18,674
Foster Care - Title IV-E	4,893
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	7,122
Deferred in 2009, recognized as revenue in 2010	
Immunization Grants	(1,120)
Child Support Enforcement	(55,800)
Foster Care - Title IV-E	(1,783)
Medical Assistance Program	(6,551)
	<hr/>
Expenditures Per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	<u>\$ 14,855,442</u>

5. Subrecipients

During 2010, the County did not pass any federal money to subrecipients.

6. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires recipients to clearly distinguish ARRA funds from non-ARRA funding. In the schedule, ARRA funds are denoted by the addition of ARRA to the program name.