STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT PREPARED AS A RESULT OF THE AUDIT OF

BLUE EARTH COUNTY MANKATO, MINNESOTA

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@state.mn.us www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

Year Ended December 31, 2010



Management and Compliance Report

Audit Practice Division Office of the State Auditor State of Minnesota



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | _ Page |
|---|--------|
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 1 |
| Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> | 6 |
| Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 | 9 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 12 |
| Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 15 |



SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

- A. Our report expresses unqualified opinions on the basic financial statements of Blue Earth County.
- B. No matters involving internal control over financial reporting were reported in the "Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*."
- C. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of Blue Earth County were disclosed during the audit.
- D. No matters involving internal control over compliance relating to the audit of the major federal award programs were reported in the "Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133."
- E. The Auditor's Report on Compliance for the major federal award programs for Blue Earth County expresses an unqualified opinion.
- F. No findings were disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.
- G. The major programs are:

| Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant | |
|--|--------------|
| Program | CFDA #11.555 |
| Highway Planning and Construction Cluster | |
| Highway Planning and Construction | CFDA #20.205 |
| Highway Planning and Construction - ARRA | CFDA #20.205 |

- H. The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$345,129.
- I. Blue Earth County was not determined to be a low-risk auditee.

II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM RESOLVED

Audit Adjustments (07-1)

Adjustments were proposed that resulted in significant changes to the County's financial statements.

Resolution

Proposed adjustments were made to the County's financial statements.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

None.

IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. <u>MANAGEMENT PRACTICES</u>

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEMS NOT RESOLVED

97-8 <u>Ditch Deficit Fund Balances</u>

As of December 31, 2010, 16 of the 137 individual ditch systems had deficit fund balances totaling \$851,177.

We recommend that the County eliminate the individual ditch deficit fund balances by levying assessments pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 103E.735, which permits the accumulation of a surplus balance for the repair and maintenance costs of a ditch system.

Client's Response:

We concur with the finding.

07-2 Public Works Deficit Fund Balance

As of December 31, 2010, the Public Works Special Revenue Fund had a deficit fund balance of \$1,043,515. A fund with a deficit fund balance is, in effect, borrowing from the funds with positive fund balances.

We recommend that the County monitor fund balances and eliminate the deficit fund balances by increasing revenues or appropriating sufficient funds to cover expenditures.

Client's Response:

We concur with the finding.

B. <u>OTHER ITEM FOR CONSIDERATION</u>

GASB Statement 54

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board's (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, is effective for Blue Earth County for the year ending December 31, 2011. The standard's objectives are to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information included in the financial report through clearer fund balance classifications that can be consistently applied and to clarify existing governmental fund type definitions.

Fund Balance Reporting

Statement 54 establishes new fund balance classifications based on constraints imposed on how resources can be spent. The existing components of fund balance are reserved, unreserved-designated, and unreserved-undesignated. Statement 54 replaces these components with nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned as defined below:

- Nonspendable amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not
 in spendable form (for example, inventory or prepaid items) or legally or
 contractually required to be maintained intact (such as the corpus of a
 permanent fund).
- Restricted amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation.
- *Committed* amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of a government's highest level of decision-making authority.
- Assigned amounts a government intends to use for a specific purpose that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed.
- *Unassigned* spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications.

The County should begin the process for implementing the new fund balance classifications. A key step in successfully implementing the new fund balance requirements is to plan ahead. The County can start with the following steps:

- Review the requirements of GASB Statement 54;
- Review current fund balances and compare to the new classifications;
- Reclassify January 1, 2011, fund balance using the new classifications;
- Review/update/prepare a comprehensive fund balance policy;
- Prepare appropriate board resolutions to commit fund balance; and
- If the County Board intends to delegate authority to assign fund balance, prepare the resolutions delegating that authority.

Governmental Fund Type Definitions

The definitions of the general fund, special revenue fund type, capital projects fund type, debt service fund type, and permanent fund type are clarified in the new standard. The new definition for a special revenue fund could have significant impact on the County's current fund classifications.

GASB Statement 54 provides a new and clearer description of when it is appropriate to account for an activity using a special revenue fund. Special revenue funds are used to report specific revenue sources restricted or committed to specified purposes other than debt service and capital projects, where the restricted or committed revenue sources comprise a substantial portion of the fund's resources, and are expected to continue to do so in the future. The standard does not define substantial portion; however, most recommendations are generally that the restricted or committed revenues should comprise at least 35 to 50 percent of total fund revenues. Under this definition, it is possible that some current special revenue funds will no longer meet the requirements for special revenue fund treatment. The County's management should review the County's special revenue funds to ensure these funds continue to warrant treatment as special revenue funds.

The County's management should perform the following steps prior to December 31, 2011:

- Prepare a list of the County's special revenue funds;
- Determine the sources of revenues for each of those funds:

- Identify whether any of those revenues are restricted or committed;
- Determine if these restricted or committed revenues represent a substantial portion of the fund's revenues and are expected to continue to be a substantial source of revenues;
- If yes, the fund may continue to be classified as a special revenue fund;
- If not, determine whether the County will combine that fund with the general fund or with a similar purpose special revenue fund that meets the new definition;
- Code revenues in the general ledger by source constraints--restricted, committed, assigned, or unassigned; and
- Determine if there needs to be a restatement of beginning fund balances.

Additional implementation steps could include: informing any component units that they also will need to meet the requirements; deciding on how fund balance will be presented in the financials, for example, detailed vs. aggregate methods; and developing the potential note disclosures. Additional guidance on GASB Statement 54 can be found on the Office of the State Auditor's website at: http://www.auditor.state.mn.us/other/Statements/fundbalances postGASB54_101_2_statement.pdf





STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of County Commissioners Blue Earth County

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Blue Earth County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 8, 2011. Our report includes a reference to other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Economic Development Authority Enterprise Fund, as described in our report on Blue Earth County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditor's testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Blue Earth County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Blue Earth County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65. Accordingly, the audit included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions* contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our study included all of the listed categories.

The results of our tests indicate that, for the items tested, Blue Earth County complied with the material terms and conditions of applicable legal provisions.

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs are management practices comments and an other item for consideration. We believe these recommendations and information to be of benefit to the County, and they are reported for that purpose.

Blue Earth County's written responses to management practices findings identified in our audit have been included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Commissioners, management, others within Blue Earth County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 8, 2011





STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of County Commissioners Blue Earth County

Compliance

We have audited Blue Earth County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2010. Blue Earth County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

Blue Earth County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Blue Earth County Economic Development Authority (EDA) component unit, which expended \$1,876,379 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2010, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the EDA because the EDA had a separate single audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Blue Earth County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Blue Earth County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Blue Earth County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Blue Earth County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated June 6, 2011. We did not audit the EDA, which was audited by other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Blue Earth County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The SEFA is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The SEFA has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Commissioners, management and others within the County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 8, 2011



SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

| Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title | Federal CFDA Number | Ex | penditures |
|--|---------------------------|----|------------|
| U.S. Department of Agriculture | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children | 10.557 | \$ | 252,313 |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services State Administrative Matching Grants Cluster | | | |
| State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition | | | |
| Assistance Program (SNAP) | 10.561 | | 347,433 |
| SNAP ARRA | 10.561 | | 11,060 |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Agriculture | | | |
| WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program (FMNP) | 10.572 | | 700 |
| Direct | | | |
| Emergency Watershed Protection Program | 10.923 | | 75,757 |
| Total U.S. Department of Agriculture | | \$ | 687,263 |
| U.S. Department of Commerce | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety | | | |
| Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program | 11.555 | \$ | 624,796 |
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development | | | |
| Community Development Block Grants | 14.228 | \$ | 50,773 |
| Direct | | | |
| Shelter Plus Care | 14.238 | | 103,256 |
| Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development | | \$ | 154,029 |
| U.S. Department of Justice | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety | | | |
| Law Enforcement Assistance - Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Training | 16.004 | \$ | 95 |
| Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program | 16.727 | | 975 |
| Passed Through Minnesota Trial Courts | | | |
| Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program | 16.585 | | 92,741 |
| Total U.S. Department of Justice | | \$ | 93,811 |

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

(Continued)

| Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title | Federal CFDA Number | E | Expenditures | |
|---|---------------------------|----|--------------|--|
| U.S. Donoutmont of Trong or outstion | | | | |
| U.S. Department of Transportation Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation | | | | |
| Highway Planning and Construction Cluster | | | | |
| Highway Planning and Construction Highway Planning and Construction | 20.205 | \$ | 1,563,143 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction ARRA | 20.205 | Ψ | 3,812,616 | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety | | | | |
| Highway Safety Cluster | | | | |
| State and Community Highway Safety | 20.600 | | 26,593 | |
| Occupant Protection Incentive Grants | 20.602 | | 10,010 | |
| Safety Belt Performance Grants | 20.609 | | 10,505 | |
| Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated | 20.608 | | 29,798 | |
| Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training and Planning Grants | 20.703 | | 875 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Transportation | | \$ | 5,453,540 | |
| U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health | | | | |
| Public Health Emergency Preparedness | 93.069 | \$ | 112,602 | |
| Immunization Grants | 93.268 | | 50,330 | |
| Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical | | | | |
| Assistance | 93.283 | | 28,116 | |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families | 93.558 | | 70,100 | |
| Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States | 93.994 | | 59,886 | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services | | | | |
| Promoting Safe and Stable Families | 93.556 | | 1,062 | |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families | 93.558 | | 816,363 | |
| Child Support Enforcement Cluster | | | | |
| Child Support Enforcement | 93.563 | | 984,856 | |
| Child Support Enforcement ARRA | 93.563 | | 72,046 | |
| Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs | 93.566 | | 397 | |
| Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development | | | | |
| Fund | 93.596 | | 60,582 | |
| Child Welfare Services - State Grants | 93.645 | | 23,999 | |
| Foster Care - Title IV-E | 93.658 | | 325,938 | |
| Social Services Block Grant | 93.667 | | 390,047 | |
| Chafee Foster Care Independence Program | 93.674 | | 5,050 | |
| Children's Health Insurance Program | 93.767 | | 461 | |
| Medical Assistance Program | 93.778 | | 958,266 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | \$ | 3,960,101 | |

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

(Continued)

| Federal Grantor | Federal CFDA | | |
|---|-----------------|----|-------------|
| Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title | Number | E | xpenditures |
| | | | |
| U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | | |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety | | | |
| Special Projects - Homeland Security Emergency Management Interoperable | | | |
| Emergency Communications | 97.001 | \$ | 27,043 |
| Disaster Grant - Public Assistance | 97.036 | | 278,652 |
| Hazard Mitigation Grant | 97.039 | | 1,619 |
| Emergency Management Performance Grants | 97.042 | | 37,118 |
| Homeland Security Grant Program | 97.067 | | 181,015 |
| Passed Through Minnesota Department of Natural Resources | | | |
| Boating Safety Financial Assistance | 97.012 | | 5,312 |
| Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | \$ | 530,759 |
| Total Federal Awards | | \$ | 11,504,299 |



NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

1. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by Blue Earth County. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements. The Economic Development Authority (EDA) blended component unit is not included on the County's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards because it has its own single audit. The EDA expended \$1,876,379 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2010.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Blue Earth County under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2010. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Blue Earth County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of Blue Earth County.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through grant numbers were not assigned by the pass-through agencies.

4. Reconciliation to Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenues

| Federal grant revenue per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenues Grants received more than 60 days after year-end, deferred in 2010 State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition | \$ 10,683,650 |
|---|------------------|
| State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program | 5,244 |
| Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program | 35,852 |
| Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program | 16,128 |
| Highway Planning and Construction | 575,902 |
| Highway Planning and Construction - ARRA | 171,920 |
| Immunization Grants | 171,920 |
| Immunication Grants | 1,000 |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Child Core Mondatory and Matching Funds of the Child Core and | 1,000 |
| Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and | 5 009 |
| Development Fund | 5,998 |
| Foster Care - Title IV-E | 105 |
| Disaster Grants - Public Assistance | 245,980 |
| Deferred in 2009, recognized as revenue in 2010 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction | (29,924) |
| Highway Planning and Construction - ARRA | (58,843) |
| Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated | (10,983) |
| Child Support Enforcement | (33,600) |
| Foster Care - Title IV-E | (13,933) |
| Foster Care - Title IV-E - ARRA | (1,964) |
| Medical Assistance Program | (104,193) |
| | |
| Expenditures Per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | \$ 11,504,299 |

5. Subrecipients

Of the expenditures presented in the schedule, Blue Earth County provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

| CFDA Number | Program Name | | Amount Provided to Subrecipients | |
|----------------|--|----|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | |
| 11.555 | Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program | \$ | 570,440 | |
| 14.228 | Community Development Block Grants | | 50,773 | |
| 14.238 | Shelter Plus Care | | 103,256 | |
| 20.600 | State and Community Highway Safety | | 16,269 | |
| 20.602 | Occupant Protection Incentive Grants | | 6,757 | |
| 20.608 | Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated | | 19,321 | |
| 97.001 | Special Projects - Homeland Security Emergency Management | | | |
| | Interoperable Emergency Communications | | 6,294 | |
| 97.067 | Homeland Security Grant Program | | 133,869 | |
| Total | | \$ | 906,979 | |

6. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires recipients to clearly distinguish ARRA funds from non-ARRA funding. In the schedule, ARRA funds are denoted by the addition of ARRA to the program name.