

Minnesota Family Investment Program

MFIP Performance Measures by Racial/Ethnic or Immigrant Group and County

July through September of 2010

Published January 2011
Minnesota Department of Human Services
Program Assessment & Integrity Division, 651-431-3936
444 Lafayette Road North
St. Paul, MN 55155

http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/Economic_support/documents/pub/DHS_id_004113.hcsp

MFIP Self-support Index and Participation Rate by Racial/Ethnic or Immigrant Group and County

The Three-year Self-support Index

This measure tracks whether adults are either (1) working an average of 30 or more hours per week or (2) no longer receiving MFIP cash, three years from a baseline quarter. Adults who are "timed-off" the program after 60 months are only counted as a success on this measure if they were working 30 or more hours per week or were converted to SSI during the last month for which their eligibility was determined using reliable employment information. For further information on this measure, see Evaluation Note #15, *Updated information on the MFIP Self-support Index*, located at: http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064O-ENG.pdf

The rates reported here are based on adults who were eligible for MFIP during the quarter of July through September of 2007. Participants are grouped by eight racial/ethnic or immigrant subgroups. Participants served by the five tribal providers are reported separately from the county data.

Cells highlighted by shading indicate a potential problem -- the particular racial/ethnic or immigrant subgroup has a Three-year Self-support Index five percent or more below the rate of the White subgroup.

Cells associated with racial/ethnic or immigrant subgroups with fewer than 30 adults are not reported for due to data privacy restrictions.

The TANF Work Participation Rate

This measure identifies the percentage of MFIP cases that are fully engaged in employment or employment-related activities in a quarter, per federal activity requirements. More information on this measure and it's history can be found in Evaluation Note #18, *The TANF Work Participation Rate*, located at: http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DM-0157J-ENG.pdf.

The rates reported here are based on MFIP cases with eligible, non-exempt adults during from the quarter of July through September of 2010. Under legislation effective July 1, 2005, participants who were formerly exempt from Employment Services were included in the in the MFIP Work Participation Rate. Participants are grouped by eight racial/ethnic or immigrant subgroups. Participants served by the four tribal MFIP providers are reported separately from the county data (the Mille Lacs tribal program is a TANF program, therefore the TANF Participation Rate does not apply).

Cells highlighted by shading indicate a potential problem -- the particular racial/ethnic or immigrant subgroup has a TANF Work Participation Rate five percent or more below the rate of the White subgroup.

Cells associated with racial/ethnic or immigrant subgroups with fewer than 30 adults are not reported for due to data privacy restrictions.

MFIP Self-Support Index by County by Race July - September 2010

	า-S		

												Non-Somali						
	Coun	ty	White		African Ar	merican	American	Indian	Soma	ali	Black Imr	nigrant	Hmo	ng	Non-Hmon	g Asian	Hispan	ic
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success
Aitkin	113	77.9%	106	77.4%			3	66.7%									1	100.0%
Anoka	2,062	68.6%	1,294	70.5%	423	58.9%	56	64.3%	57	86.0%	100	74.0%	14	78.6%	30	76.7%	54	66.7%
Becker	209	68.4%	125	72.0%	6	66.7%	65	60.0%			1	100.0%					6	66.7%
Beltrami	481	65.5%	251	67.7%	13	69.2%	207	62.8%									4	75.0%
Benton	214	72.4%	166	75.3%	22	50.0%	5	60.0%	3	66.7%	3	66.7%			4	100.0%	5	60.0%
Big Stone	20	70.0%	20	70.0%														
Blue Earth	331	75.5%	193	76.2%	39	87.2%	4	75.0%	27	55.6%	40	80.0%	1	0.0%	6	50.0%	19	78.9%
Brown	98	82.7%	81	82.7%	1	100.0%	1	0.0%		00.070			•			55.575	14	92.9%
Carlton	149	79.2%	128	78.9%	1	0.0%	12	91.7%									4	50.0%
Carver	158	78.5%	98	79.6%	24	70.8%	6	50.0%	5	100.0%	1	100.0%			8	100.0%	14	78.6%
Cass	165	72.1%	110	78.2%		7 0.070	51	58.8%		.00.070	<u></u>						2	50.0%
Chippewa	56	71.4%	35	74.3%	2	100.0%	8	75.0%									10	60.0%
Chisago	217	85.3%	205	85.4%	4	100.0%	J	70.070			1	100.0%			1	100.0%	6	66.7%
Clay	396	72.7%	245	79.6%	21	61.9%	42	47.6%	6	83.3%	4	50.0%			8	50.0%	68	69.1%
Clearwater	60	75.0%	38	78.9%	3	100.0%	16	62.5%	O	03.570	-	30.070			0	30.070	1	0.0%
Cook	16	75.0%	13	84.6%		100.070	10	0.0%										0.070
Cottonwood	61	70.5%	42	66.7%			2	50.0%	1	0.0%					6	83.3%	9	88.9%
Crow Wing	379	77.8%	350	77.7%	7	71.4%	8	75.0%		0.078					1	100.0%	8	75.0%
Dakota	1,571	68.9%	726	71.5%	385	64.4%	30	73.3%	161	67.1%	42	73.8%	4	100.0%	32	75.0%	139	67.6%
Dodge	79	81.0%	58	82.8%	3	33.3%	1	0.0%	101	07.176	42	73.070	4	100.076	1	0.0%	14	92.9%
······································	130	83.1%	109	84.4%			4				2	EO 00/			<u>'</u>	100.0%	5	
Douglas					5 1	80.0%	4	75.0%			2	50.0%			1	100.0%		60.0%
Faribault Fillmore	59 77	72.9% 76.6%	49 74	73.5% 79.7%	'	0.0%											8	75.0% 0.0%
						00.00/	4	400.00/			4	400.00/			4	400.00/	-	
Freeborn	207	74.4%	150	72.7%	6	83.3%	1	100.0%			1	100.0%			4	100.0%	44 7	75.0%
Goodhue	210	68.6%	166	69.3%	20	55.0%	14	78.6%			1	100.0%			1	0.0%		85.7%
Grant	20	95.0%	20	95.0%	4.050	E0.00/	400	40.40/	4 000	74.00/	5.40	75 70/	070	70.70/	455	70.00/	000	00.40/
Hennepin	9,755	60.6%	1,758	64.8%	4,653	52.8%	490	48.4%	1,322	74.3%	548	75.7%	370	79.7%	155	70.3%	262	66.4%
Houston	115	76.5%	102	76.5%	10	80.0%		50.00 /									3	66.7%
Hubbard	104	73.1%	95	74.7%	1	100.0%	4	50.0%						0.00/			2	100.0%
Isanti	232	77.6%	219	78.1%	1	100.0%	6	66.7%					1	0.0%		400.00/	3	66.7%
Itasca	286	69.6%	255	69.4%		0.0%	23	73.9%							1	100.0%	2	100.0%
Jackson	49	75.5%	35	85.7%	4	25.0%	1	0.0%							1	100.0%	6	50.0%
Kanabec	118	77.1%	112	75.9%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%		=0 =0/							1	100.0%
Kandiyohi	354	83.1%	157	84.1%	3	66.7%	3	100.0%	39	79.5%							148	83.1%
Kittson	11	81.8%	11	81.8%				70.00/										400.00/
Koochiching	84	82.1%	68	82.4%			14	78.6%									1	100.0%
Lac qui Parle	15	80.0%	14	78.6%				400.00/									1	100.0%
Lake	41	80.5%	38	78.9%		50.00 /	2	100.0%										
Lake of the Woods	12	83.3%	10	90.0%	2	50.0%									,	400.007	00	04.007
LeSueur	124	81.5%	96	81.3%	4	75.0%		***************************************							1	100.0%	22	81.8%
Lincoln	8	87.5%	8	87.5%		F7 461		0.001	اندر	120.10		E0.001	_	00.001	_	05.70	00	70.00/
Lyon	162	75.3%	85	80.0%	14	57.1%	1	0.0%	14	64.3%	4	50.0%	9	88.9%	7	85.7%	26	76.9%
Mcleod	126	73.8%	93	67.7%	1	100.0%	3	66.7%							1	100.0%	27	92.6%
Mahnomen	80	67.5%	18	83.3%	1	100.0%	53	60.4%									2	100.0%
Marshall	24	87.5%	18	88.9%													6	83.3%
Martin	76	77.6%	64	76.6%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%							1	100.0%	8	87.5%
Meeker	93	69.9%	74	74.3%	4	50.0%	_	00.007								6 667	12	58.3%
Mille Lacs	124	71.8%	107	71.0%		46.55	9	88.9%							1	0.0%	3	66.7%
Morrison	128	77.3%	112	78.6%	5	40.0%	2	100.0%		405		44.15			2	100.0%	4	100.0%
Mower	234	79.1%	153	79.7%	17	88.2%			1	100.0%	9	44.4%			8	75.0%	43	79.1%
Murray	22	86.4%	17	82.4%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%									2	100.0%
Nicollet	210	67.1%	142	71.1%	24	58.3%	2	100.0%	10	50.0%	2	50.0%			6	66.7%	24	58.3%
Nobles	145	81.4%	68	88.2%	2	50.0%	5	80.0%	2	100.0%	14	57.1%			16	87.5%	35	80.0%
Norman	48	81.3%	37	81.1%	1	0.0%	2	100.0%									6	83.3%
Olmsted	786	74.2%	354	73.7%	141	53.9%	5	80.0%	131	83.2%	61	86.9%	3	100.0%	37	83.8%	49	85.7%

MFIP Self-Support Index by County by Race July - September 2010

Non-Somali

	County		White				American	Indian	Soma	ali	Black Imr	nigrant	Hmong		Non-Hmong Asian		Hispanic	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success	of Adults	Success
		== 00/		== 00/		00.00/	-1	10.00/		00.00/						100.00/		400.00/
Otter Tail	230	77.8%	184	77.2%	15	80.0%	7	42.9%	13	92.3%		400.00/			4	100.0%	4	100.0%
Pennington	74	70.3%	51	72.5%	3	33.3%	12	66.7%			1	100.0%			4	0.00/	5 6	80.0%
Pine Binastana	224 52	75.0% 82.7%	200 36	74.5% 88.9%	3	66.7% 25.0%	11 6	81.8% 100.0%							1	0.0%	6	83.3% 66.7%
Pipestone Polk	212	73.1%	126	80.2%	3	66.7%	14	50.0%	2	50.0%	1	100.0%			1	100.0%	63	63.5%
Pope	41	70.7%	37	70.3%	1	100.0%	14	0.0%	۷	30.076	I	100.076			1	100.0%	03	03.5 /6
Ramsey	7,845	57.7%	1,669	55.5%	2,936	45.2%	184	41.3%	429	66.9%	239	68.2%	1,337	79.6%	463	82.7%	448	50.4%
Red Lake	23	82.6%	1,003	84.6%	2,330	100.0%	5	80.0%	423	00.376	239	00.276	1,557	13.076	403	02.7 /0	2	50.0%
Redwood	93	83.9%	52	84.6%	3	100.070	12	83.3%					10	90.0%	6	83.3%	11	72.7%
Renville	76	76.3%	48	70.8%	4	50.0%	2	50.0%					10	00.070	1	100.0%	21	95.2%
Rice	294	75.9%	201	76.6%	11	90.9%	3	33.3%	19	84.2%	9	66.7%			2	100.0%	44	72.7%
Rock	49	73.5%	37	67.6%	3	100.0%	2	100.0%		01.270	3	66.7%			_	100.070	2	100.0%
Roseau	43	74.4%	38	73.7%			4	75.0%										
St. Louis	1,378	66.7%	1,067	69.1%	156	59.6%	97	52.6%			1	100.0%	2	0.0%	9	77.8%	18	66.7%
Scott	310	71.3%	163	73.0%	60	70.0%	14	64.3%	23	60.9%	8	75.0%			12	66.7%	19	68.4%
Sherburne	267	74.2%	217	76.5%	23	56.5%	9	100.0%	3	33.3%	1	0.0%			2	100.0%	7	28.6%
Sibley	67	77.6%	37	70.3%	4	25.0%											25	96.0%
Stearns	731	68.3%	451	68.5%	79	57.0%	11	63.6%	120	77.5%	24	50.0%			5	80.0%	33	69.7%
Steele	150	78.0%	86	77.9%	6	83.3%	1	0.0%	15	73.3%	8	100.0%					34	76.5%
Stevens	20	75.0%	14	71.4%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%									2	100.0%
Swift	27	81.5%	20	85.0%	1	0.0%	3	66.7%									2	100.0%
Todd	114	84.2%	108	83.3%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%					1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Traverse	17	64.7%	13	69.2%	1	0.0%	3	66.7%									_	
Wabasha	47	87.2%	40	87.5%	2	100.0%											4	75.0%
Wadena	137	75.9%	124	76.6%	6	50.0%	4	75.0%							1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Waseca	114	78.1%	81	75.3%	7	85.7%	3	100.0%	4	75.0%							17	82.4%
Washington	693	67.2%	428	68.7%	134	56.7%	10	70.0%	12	66.7%	18	83.3%	24	79.2%	13	61.5%	40	70.0%
Watonwan	44	77.3%	27	70.4%	2	100.0%	- 1										14	85.7%
Wilkin	26	76.9%	19	84.2%		00.00/	3	66.7%				400.00/		= 0.00/			4	50.0%
Winona	173	67.6%	128	68.0%	22	68.2%		00.70/		400.00/	2	100.0%	15	73.3%		0.00/	6	33.3%
Wright	272	80.9%	237	82.7%	11	45.5%	9	66.7%	1	100.0%					1	0.0%	9	100.0%
Yellow Medicine	21	57.1%	15	66.7%	1	0.0%	3	33.3%									2	50.0%
White Earth Tribe	235	60.4%	4	50.0%	0	E0.00/	229	60.3%									1	100.0%
MN Chippewa Tribe Leech Lake Tribe	201	56.7%	8 2	100.0% 100.0%	2	50.0%	183 333	55.7% 59.2%									1	0.0%
Red Lake Tribe	340 798	59.7% 47.7%	2	50.0%	3	66.7%	787	59.2% 47.6%									4	50.0%
Mille Lacs Tribe	389	52.7%	19	57.9%	3 7	42.9%	348	51.7%							1	0.0%	6	83.3%
WITHE LACS TIBE	369	J∠.1 %	19	57.9%	/	42.9%	348	31.1%							1	0.0%	б	03.3%
State	36,931	65.3%	15,174	71.2%	9,395	52.2%	3,481	54.6%	2,421	73.2%	1,149	73.7%	1,790	79.6%	864	79.1%	2,005	68.4%

[&]quot;Number of Adults" refers to adults that were eligible for MFIP in July, August, or September 2010. Self support Index rates that are more than 5 percentage points below the White average are highlighted.

TANF Participation by County by Race (Adjusted for 30% education cap)

July - September 2010

	County		County American Indian		African Ame	erican	Non-Som Black Immi		Somali		Non-Hmong	Asian	Hmong		Hispani	С	White	
	Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average	
	Number		Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF
	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	<u>Rate</u>	of Cases	<u>Rate</u>	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate
Aitkin																		
Anoka	557	44.3%			140	49.8%											323	42.7%
Becker	67	50.0%															36	52.5%
Beltrami	171	38.9%	97	28.4%													68	56.1%
Benton	68	37.3%															52	36.5%
Big Stone																		
Blue Earth	73	45.1%															40	44.7%
Brown	33	59.4%															24	04.00/
Carlton Carver	37 47	32.1% 47.5%															31	31.6%
Cass	44	46.9%																
Chippewa	7-7	40.570																
Chisago	35	57.9%															33	60.0%
Clay	83																40	44.8%
Clearwater	-																	
Cook				***************************************						***************************************				***************************************		***************************************		***************************************
Cottonwood																		
Crow Wing	96	39.1%			_										_		81	37.6%
Dakota	446	51.9%			94	46.4%									56	47.1%	225	54.4%
Dodge																		
Douglas	32	49.9%																
Faribault																		
Fillmore																		
Freeborn	45																29	53.5%
Goodhue	58	42.1%															45	43.8%
Grant	2 100	26.20/	155	22.20/	1 740	24.60/	184	40 E0/	239	47 70/	64	20 40/	31	44.00/	147	22.20/	545	25 00/
Hennepin Houston	3,190	36.2%	155	22.2%	1,742	34.6%	104	42.5%	239	47.7%	04	39.4%	31	44.0%	147	33.3%	545	35.8%
Hubbard																		
Isanti	38	48.1%															34	45.8%
Itasca	62			•••••													56	40.5%
Jackson																		
Kanabec																		
Kandiyohi	71	62.2%													36	54.3%		
Kittson																		
Koochiching																		
Lac qui Parle																		
Lake																		
Lake of the Woods																		
LeSueur		·····																
Lincoln	40	47.00/																
Lyon Mcleod		47.2% 42.6%															30	47.6%
Mahnomen	39	42.0%															30	41.0%
Marshall																		
Martin	36	55.3%									***************************************						32	55.7%
Meeker	00	30.070															JE	00 70
Mille Lacs	29	53.1%																
Morrison	39	44.5%															36	46.0%
Mower		42.9%															55	46.9%
Murray	***************************************			•		***************************************									***************************************			
Nicollet	72	29.0%															37	36.5%

Nobles

40 55.0%

TANF Participation by County by Race (Adjusted for 30% education cap)

July - September 2010

-Som	

						Non-Som												
	Count	У	American I	ndian	African American		Black Immi	grant	Somali		Non-Hmong	g Asian	Hmong		Hispanic		White	
	Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average	
	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF	Number	TANF
	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	Rate	of Cases	<u>Rate</u>
Norman																		
Olmsted	295	48.0%			48	36.7%			36	52.4%							155	50.4%
Otter Tail	65	46.3%															56	46.9%
Pennington																		
Pine	54	54.7%															48	58.3%
Pipestone																		
Polk	53	40.2%															32	33.5%
Pope																		
Ramsey	2,028	41.5%	52	17.6%	960	39.9%	73	51.7%	80	50.7%	93	42.1%	122	54.0%	162	39.1%	438	40.0%
Red Lake																		
Redwood																		
Renville																		
Rice	109	40.0%															78	41.2%
Rock																		
Roseau																		
St. Louis	353	40.2%			42	37.8%											255	41.4%
Scott	97	48.5%															47	49.0%
Sherburne	65	37.8%															52	39.8%
Sibley																		
Stearns	243	39.6%							37	24.0%							147	40.9%
Steele	50	32.4%															31	35.2%
Stevens																		
Swift																		
Todd																		
Traverse																		
Wabasha																		
Wadena	30	48.7%																
Waseca																		
Washington	204	48.5%			42	44.6%											123	47.6%
Watonwan																		
Wilkin																		
Winona	50																36	28.2%
Wright	72	41.0%															60	44.6%
Yellow Medicine																		
State	10,013	41.4%	518	30.7%	3,240	37.6%	348	44.9%	480	45.9%	240	43.0%	166	52.7%	730	43.0%	4,075	44.0%

The TANF Work Participation Rate is reported only for case counts greater than ... 29 Participation rates that are more than 5 percentage points below the White average are highlighted.