

Minnesota Family Investment Program and the Diversionary Work Program: Characteristics of December 2009 Cases and Eligible Adults

July 2010

Program Assessment and Integrity Division Minnesota Department of Human Services

Prepared by:
Dana DeMaster, MPP
Senior Research Analysis Specialist
(651) 431-3963
dana.demaster@state.mn.us

Table of Contents

WORK PROGRAM: CHARACTERISTICS OF DECEMBER 2009 CASES AND ELIGIBLE ADULTS	1
STATEWIDE DATA	1
Caseload Data	2
Table 1. December 2009 Counts and Percentages of MFIP, DWP, and Tribal TANF	
Paid Cases and Eligible Persons	2
Figure 1. December MFIP and DWP Caseloads, December 2000 to December 2009.	3
Demographic Characteristics	
Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on December 2009 MFIP	
and DWP Paid Cases	5
Table 3. Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges of December 2009 MFIP	
and DWP Paid Cases	9
Economic Characteristics	
Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2009 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases 1	
MFIP Policies	
Table 5. MFIP Policies and December 2009 Paid Cases2	0
COUNTY AND REGIONAL DATA2	3
Table 6. December 2009 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases by County and Region2	4

Minnesota Family Investment Program and the Diversionary Work Program: Characteristics of December 2009 Cases and Eligible Adults

This is the thirteenth annual report in this series¹ that provides a snapshot of the universe of cases and participants in Minnesota's economic assistance programs for low-income families. This report describes the characteristics of eligible adults and active cases receiving grants from the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or the Diversionary Work Program (DWP) in December 2009. Information is provided on the demographics of the eligible adult and teenage caregivers; family composition, residence, and challenges; economic status of cases; and MFIP policy impacts. Economic status and MFIP policy data had previously been presented in a single table. These were separated into Tables 4 and 5 in December 2008 report.

Federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) provides funds for MFIP cases except those with two eligible caregivers and participants in the Family Stabilization Services (FSS) program. FSS enrolled its first participants in February 2008 and provides case management support and services to families that are not making significant progress on MFIP or DWP due to specific barriers to employment.² TANF, first enacted in 1996, was reauthorized as part of the federal Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) of 2005. The original TANF legislation set program requirements such as the five-year limit on cash assistance receipt, a work-first emphasis, work participation requirements, and other rules. DRA retained these program requirements and, among other changes, narrowed the definition of work activities and broadened the definition of which participants are required to work or engage in work activities to include some MFIP-ineligible caregivers.

For the first time this report now includes the number and percent of cases that had at least one child eligible for the MFIP Child Care Assistance Program (CCAP). These data are included in Table 4.

Findings that parallel this report for five major racial/ethnic groups and black and Asian subgroups will follow as a report in the DHS series on *Welfare Reform Outcomes of Racial/Ethnic and Immigrant Groups in Minnesota*. Input from readers is invited, both reactions to this report and suggestions for data to include in future reports.

Statewide Data

For reporting purposes, the MFIP population was divided into three categories: child-only cases in which the grant includes children but not their caregivers, cases with one eligible adult, and cases with two eligible adults. The DWP population, which cannot include child-only cases, is divided into cases with one or two eligible adults. The Mille Lacs American Indian Tribal Council administers MFIP-eligible cases in its jurisdiction, including cases for

1

¹ This series was previously titled *Characteristics of December Minnesota Family Cash Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults.*

² For more information about FSS, please see DHS Bulletins #07-11-07 and #08-11-01.

tribal members that reside in Hennepin, Ramsey, or Anoka Counties. Their Tribal TANF program is a separate program from MFIP. Tribal TANF cases are only included in Table 1 of this report.

Table 1 (page 2) provides the distributions of MFIP, DWP, and Tribal TANF cases, eligible adults, and eligible children. Figure 1 (page 3) shows the history of MFIP and DWP caseloads since 1999.³ Table 2 (pages 5 - 6) gives the demographic characteristics of eligible caregivers: age, gender, education, marital status, race/ethnicity, and citizenship status. Table 3 (pages 9 - 10) reports case-level statistics on family composition, residence, and challenges. Table 4 (pages 14 - 16) quantifies economic characteristics of cases and Table 5 (pages 20 - 21) details cases impacted by MFIP policies such as extensions beyond the time limit, exemptions from the time limit, the family cap, employment services sanctions, grant deductions due to receipt of a housing subsidy, and FSS eligibility. The Data Definitions and Policy Information sections that follow each table are essential for interpreting the data.⁴

Caseload Data

Caseload Trends. The MFIP caseload increased by 9 percent and the DWP caseload increased by 4 percent since December 2008. While all case types increased, two-eligible-adult MFIP cases saw the largest increase at 15 percent since last December. Although the MFIP and DWP caseloads increased, the Tribal TANF caseload actually decreased by 10 percent from 270 cases in December 2008 to 243 cases in December 2009. The combined MFIP and DWP caseload is nearing the levels of the early 2000s, but is not as high as the decade's peak year in 2002. (Figure 1)

Table 1. December 2009 Counts and Percentages of MFIP, DWP, and Tribal TANF Paid Cases and Eligible Persons

		MFIP and Tribal TANF Paid Cases							DWP Paid Cases			
		All		MFIP Case	es with Eligi	ble Adults	DWP Cases with Eligible Adults					
Counts of Cases	Tribal	MFIP	Child-	One	Two		One	Two				
	TANF	Paid	Only	Eligible	Eligible	Total	Eligible	Eligible	All			
	Cases	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults*	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases			
Paid Cases	243	35,689	11,392	20,916	3,381	24,297	3,203	1,006	4,209			
	100.0%	100%	31.9%	58.6%	9.5%	68.1%	76.1%	23.9%	100%			
Eligible	306	27,678	0	20,915	6,763	27,678	3,203	2,012	5,215			
Adults	100.0%	100%	0.0%	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%			
Eligible	446	72,830	23,602	40,312	8,916	49,228	5,386	2,532	7,918			
Children	100.0%	100%	32.4%	55.4%	12.2%	67.6%	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%			

^{*} One case had three eligible caregivers and was included in the two-eligible-adult cases throughout this report.

⁴ Public assistance data were extracted from MAXIS, medical data were extracted from MMIS, child care assistance from the Minnesota Electronic Child Care Information System (MEC²), and Child Protective Services data were extracted from SSIS, all in the DHS Data Warehouse. Child support data were provided by the DHS Child Support Enforcement Division (CSED).

³ DWP began enrolling its first participants in July 2004.

50,000 40,000 30,000 20,000 10,000 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 1,054 886 784 839 970 1,006 ■ DWP 2-Eligible Adult ■ DWP 1-Eligible Adult 2,831 3,162 2,925 2,894 3,085 3,203 10,533 11,392 ■ MFIP Child-only 8,298 8,829 9,482 9,678 9,894 10,165 10,244 10,353 ■ MFIP 2-Eligible Adult 4,624 5,457 5,673 5,498 3,797 3,771 3,181 2,862 2,937 3,381 29,366 29,748 28,997 23,288 21,112 19,285 ■ MFIP 1-Eligible Adult 27,731 19,195 19,048 20,916

Figure 1. December MFIP and DWP Caseloads, December 2000 to December 2009

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 1 and Figure 1

"Eligible adult" refers to an adult caregiver or minor parent. Cases with two caregivers in which one caregiver was ineligible for MFIP or DWP are included in the one-eligible-adult cases column. Cases receiving MFIP cash or food grants or DWP cash grants are known as "paid cases"; this excludes active cases suspended for the month because they have income great enough to cancel out the cash grant. This typically occurs in months with five weekly or three biweekly pay periods. Suspended cases are not included in this report.

Percentages summed across subgroups sometimes do not equal 100 percent due to rounding.

Demographic Characteristics

All Minnesota Families with Minor Children. The 2007 American Community Survey (ACS) provides this context for comparison: the average age of a Minnesotan adult, aged 18 or older, that had at least one minor child living in the household was 39 years and 13 percent were between the ages of 20 and 29. Ninety-one percent of all Minnesotan adults have a high school diploma or General Education Development (GED) certificate. Eight percent had never married and 82 percent were married and living with a spouse. Eighty-six percent of Minnesotan adults with children were white, 5 percent were black, 4 percent Hispanic, 4 percent Asian, and 1 percent American Indian.

MFIP-eligible Caregivers. As shown in Table 2, the average age of a MFIP caregiver was 30 years with nearly half between the ages of 20 and 29 years. Eighty-one percent were female and 61 percent had at least a high school diploma or GED. Fifteen percent of MFIP-eligible adults were married and currently living with a spouse, including 46 percent of adults in two-eligible-adult cases. Two-thirds of MFIP-eligible adults had never married. The percentage of black and white adults had been equal in the previous two reports, but in December 2009, white adults made up 40 percent and black adults 37 percent of MFIP-eligible adults. Eighty-seven percent of MFIP-eligible adults were U.S. citizens. The percentage of Asian MFIP-eligible adults that were not U.S. citizens decreased from 70 percent in December 2008 to 63 percent in December 2009, this decrease was even larger in Asian cases with two-eligible caregivers (85 percent to 76 percent).

DWP-eligible Caregivers. The demographic make-up of DWP-eligible adults did not look like that of MFIP-eligible adults. Black and American Indian adults were under-represented in DWP cases as compared to MFIP cases. While 37 percent of MFIP-eligible adults were black, 25 percent of DWP-eligible adults were and while 9 percent of MFIP-eligible adults were American Indian, 4 percent of DWP-eligible adults were. White adults were 56 percent of DWP-eligible adults compared to 40 percent of MFIP-eligible adults. DWP-eligible adults were more likely to have at least a high school diploma or GED (74 percent compared to 61 percent of MFIP-eligible adults) and to have been married now or in the past (47 percent compared to 33 percent of MFIP-eligible adults).

These demographic differences can be attributed to differences between the programs. DWP is a short-term program lasting up to four months while MFIP provides longer-term assistance that is usually limited to 60 months of participation, but potentially lasting as long as minor children reside in the home for cases that are extended beyond the limit due to certain documented criteria. Long-term MFIP participants are more likely to face significant barriers to employment, whereas DWP participants are considered likely to gain employment quickly and, regardless of employment status, must exit the program after four months. Those without employment are likely to enroll in MFIP upon leaving DWP.

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on December 2009 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

			MFIP Cases	5		DWP Cases	ì
		One	Two	All	One	Two	All
Α	dult Characteristics	Eligible	Eligible	MFIP	Eligible	Eligible	DWP
		Adult	Adults	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
Eligible Adults	Count	20,916	6,763	27,679	3,203	2,012	5,215
	Percent of All Eligible Adults	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%
Age of Adults	Mean	29.6	30.4	29.9	30.2	31.4	30.7
	Median	27	28	28	29	30	29
	Minimum	14	14	14	17	16	16
	Maximum	80	68	80	58	64	64
Frequency	< 18	460	47	507	2	8	10
	40.40	2.2%	0.7%	1.8%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%
	18 - 19	1,659	446	2,105	116	65	181
	00.00	7.9%	6.6%	7.6%	3.6%	3.2%	3.5%
	20 - 29	10,132	3,223	13,355	1,622	885	2,507
	20 20	48.4%	47.7%	48.2%	50.6%	44.0%	48.1%
	30 - 39	5,372	1,891	7,263	997	692	1,689
	40 - 49	25.7%	28.0%	26.2%	31.1% 412	34.4% 292	32.4% 704
	40 - 49	2,553	889	3,442 12.4%	12.9%	14.5%	
	50 - 59	12.2% 650	13.1% 235	884	12.9% 54	64	13.5% 118
	30 - 39	3.1%	3.5%	3.2%	1.7%	3.2%	2.3%
	60 and over	90	3.3 %	122	0	6	6
	oo and over	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
Gender	Female	18,991	3,382	22,375	2,816	1,006	3,822
Gender	i emale	90.8%	50.0%	80.8%	87.9%	50.0%	73.3%
	Male	1,925	3,381	5,303	387	1,006	1,393
	maio	9.2%	50.0%	19.2%	12.1%	50.0%	26.7%
Education	None or Pre-1st Grade	1,010	698	1,708	121	152	273
	or Unknown	4.8%	10.3%	6.2%	3.8%	7.6%	5.2%
	Grade School	352	192	544	34	39	73
		1.7%	2.8%	2.0%	1.1%	1.9%	1.4%
	Some High School	6,668	2,026	8,694	626	410	1,036
	-	31.9%	30.0%	31.4%	19.5%	20.4%	19.9%
	High School Graduate	10,981	3,397	14,377	1,970	1,192	3,162
		52.5%	50.2%	51.9%	61.5%	59.2%	60.6%
	Some Post-Secondary	1,630	357	1,987	365	166	531
		7.8%	5.3%	7.2%	11.4%	8.3%	10.2%
	College Graduate	151	43	194	48	23	71
		0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	1.5%	1.1%	1.4%
	Graduate Degree	124	50	174	39	30	69
		0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	1.2%	1.5%	1.3%
	High School Graduate or	12,886	3,847	16,732	2,422	1,411	3,833
NA '' 10' '	Higher	61.6%	56.9%	60.5%	75.6%	70.1%	73.5%
Marital Status	Divorced	1,594	171	1,765	371	50	421
	Lagally Concreted	7.6%	2.5%	6.4%	11.6%	2.5%	8.1%
	Legally Separated	64	4	68	16	2	18
	Married, Living with Spouse	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%
	marrieu, Living with Spouse	899 4.3%	3,118 46.1%	4,016 14.5%	94 2.9%	1,187	1,281 24.6%
	Never Married	15,279	3,335	18,614	2,9%	59.0% 733	2,784
	NOVEL MAINEU	73.0%	49.3%	67.2%	64.0%	36.4%	53.4%
	Married, Living Apart	2,893	130	3,023	655	40	695
		13.8%	1.9%	10.9%	20.4%	2.0%	13.3%
	Widowed	187	5	192	16	0	16
		0.9%	0.1%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%

Table 2 - Page 2

			MFIP Cases	;		DWP Cases	
		One	Two	All	One	Two	All
Α	dult Characteristics	Eligible	Eligible	MFIP	Eligible	Eligible	DWP
		Adult	Adults	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
Race/Ethnicity	Asian	889	871	1,759	110	159	269
		4.3%	12.9%	6.4%	3.4%	7.9%	5.2%
	Black	8,352	1,874	10,226	942	379	1,321
		39.9%	27.7%	36.9%	29.4%	18.8%	25.3%
	Hispanic	1,153	368	1,521	245	168	413
		5.5%	5.4%	5.5%	7.6%	8.3%	7.9%
	White	8,241	2,851	11,092	1,737	1,189	2,926
		39.4%	42.2%	40.1%	54.2%	59.1%	56.1%
	American Indian	1,869	699	2,568	117	76	193
		8.9%	10.3%	9.3%	3.7%	3.8%	3.7%
	Multiple	376	78	454	26	20	46
		1.8%	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%	1.0%	0.9%
Citizenship	Non-U.S.	2,077	1,503	3,580	253	304	557
		9.9%	22.2%	12.9%	7.9%	15.1%	10.7%
	U.S.	18,839	5,260	24,098	2,950	1,708	4,658
		90.1%	77.8%	87.1%	92.1%	84.9%	89.3%
Noncitizens	Asian	455	658	1,113	34	76	110
	Percent of All Asians	51.2%	75.5%	63.3%	30.9%	47.8%	40.9%
	Black	1,400	588	1,988	165	142	307
	Percent of All Blacks	16.8%	31.4%	19.4%	17.5%	37.5%	23.2%
	Hispanic	150	45	195	37	39	76
	Percent of All Hispanics	13.0%	12.2%	12.8%	15.1%	23.2%	18.4%
	White	62	208	270	14	44	58
	Percent of All White	0.8%	7.3%	2.4%	0.8%	3.7%	2.0%
	American Indian	5	1	6	0	0	0
	Percent of All American Indians	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 2

Characteristics with no bearing on program eligibility may not be routinely updated after the initial application, including education, marital status, and citizenship, and, therefore, may be underreported.

The coding method for race/ethnicity follows the 2000 U.S. Census methodology, with participants asked their racial choice (yes or no) of each of five racial categories (American Indian, Asian, black, Pacific Islander, and white) and ethnicity (Hispanic or non-Hispanic). Hispanics of all races were listed as Hispanic. Pacific Islanders and Asian groups were combined due to very small numbers of Pacific Islanders.

Race/ethnicity data were missing for 58 MFIP-eligible adults and 47 DWP-eligible adults.

Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges

Family Composition. The typical eligible-adult family that participated in MFIP or DWP in December 2009 was a parent caring for two children that were her own biological, adopted, or step children. The average age of the youngest child was 4 years in MFIP cases and 5 years in DWP cases. According to the 2007 ACS, the average age of the youngest child in all Minnesotan households with a minor child was 8 years and the households had an average of 2 children.

Thirty percent of MFIP families lived in Hennepin County and 34 percent lived in Greater Minnesota. Families that participated in DWP were more likely than MFIP participants to reside in Greater Minnesota (43 percent compared to 34 percent) and the Metropolitan Suburban counties (22 percent compared to 14 percent).

Nearly two-thirds (60 percent) of families in MFIP child-only cases were parents caring for their own biological, adopted, or step children, 38 percent were caregivers caring for their relatives' children, and 2 percent were caring for both their own children and related children. In previous reports cases with both their own children and related children were reported with families caring for their own children. Twenty-four percent of child-only cases had two caregivers, a decrease of 7 percentage points from December 2008. While child-only cases averaged the same number of children as eligible-adult cases, the youngest child was older. The average age of the youngest child was 8 years and 28 percent of children in child-only cases were aged 13 or older compared to 8 percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases. Like eligible-adult cases, about one-third lived in Hennepin County and one-third in Greater Minnesota.

Ineligible Caregivers. Six percent of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases also included a second, ineligible caregiver, 66 percent of whom were parents ineligible for MFIP due to receipt of SSI for a disability. Seventeen percent were ineligible because they reached the 60-month limit and opted out of the grant to allow the other caregiver and children to continue receiving MFIP. Caregivers in child-only cases were most commonly relative caregivers (40 percent) or parents eligible for SSI (39 percent). Twenty percent of caregivers in child-only cases were non-citizens without documents to confirm their residency status, an increase of 6 percentage points since December 2008.

Serious Mental Health and Chemical Dependency Diagnoses. Forty-four percent of MFIP-eligible-adult cases had a caregiver who was diagnosed with a serious mental health condition in the past three years. Twenty-six percent of MFIP-eligible-adult cases had a caregiver who was diagnosed with chemical dependency over the same period. Caregivers in child-only cases had lower rates of both serious mental health and chemical dependency diagnoses than eligible caregivers. Fewer caregivers in DWP cases had these diagnoses, but this may not be due to lower incidence so much as fewer DWP caregivers receiving publicly provided health care in the past three years than MFIP caregivers.

According to the federal office of Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) National Survey of Drug Use and Health 2005 - 2006, about 11 percent of Minnesotan adults experienced serious psychological distress within one year of their survey and 10 percent had been diagnosed with either alcohol or illicit drug dependency or abuse within one year of being surveyed.

Child Protection Involvement. Eighteen percent of MFIP-eligible adult cases and 10 percent of DWP cases had one or more caregivers that had a Child Protection Family Assessment or a Family Investigation during 2006 to 2008. Four percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases and 2 percent of DWP cases had at least one caregiver where the Family Investigation resulted in a maltreatment determination in the last three years.

Statewide, 18.8 children per 1,000 were alleged victims of child maltreatment in 2007.⁵ The rates for MFIP-eligible and DWP-eligible children were much higher – 87.8 per 1,000 MFIP-eligible children and 64.1 per 1,000 DWP-eligible children had a caregiver that had a Family Assessment or Family Investigation in response to an accepted child maltreatment report.

⁵ Source: *Minnesota's Child Welfare* Report, 2007: Report to the 2008 Minnesota Legislature (page 15). DHS Children and Family Services. This report can be found at http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-5408-ENG.

Table 3. Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges of December 2009 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

		Obilet seeks	MFIP Cas	es with Eligi	ble Caregivers		DWP Cases	1
Family Compo	sition, Residence, and Challenges	Child-only MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Cases	Count	11,392	20,916	3,381	24,297	3,203	1,006	4,209
Percent of All Cases		31.9%	58.6%	9.5%	68.1%	76.1%	23.9%	100.0%
Family Type	Natural/Adopted/Step Children	6,803	19,920	3,323	23,243	2,876	999	3,875
Count of Cases	Deletive Com	59.7%	95.2%	98.3%	95.7%	89.8%	99.3%	92.1%
	Relative Care	4,374	282 1.3%	5 0.1%	287 1.2%	22 0.7%	0.0%	22 0.5%
	Natural/Adopted/Step and Relative Care	38.4% 215	284	49	333	22	6	28
	Natural/Adopted/Step and Relative Care	1.9%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%
	Pregnant Only	0	430	4	434	283	1	284
	r rognam omy	0.0%	2.1%	0.1%	1.8%	8.8%	0.1%	6.7%
Two-caregiver	Count of Cases	2,673	1,287	3,381	4,668	84	1,006	1,090
Families	Percent of Cases in Column	23.5%	6.2%	100.0%	19.2%	2.6%	100.0%	25.9%
Two Caregivers	Count of Cases	2,017	772	1,555	2,327	47	591	638
Married	Percent of Cases in Column	17.7%	3.7%	46.0%	9.6%	1.5%	58.7%	15.2%
Ineligible	Relative Caregivers	5,596	7	11111111	HIHIHI	7	HHHH	11111111
Caregivers:	-	39.8%	0.5%			8.3%		
Count of Persons &	SSI Parents	5,469	854			31		
Percent of Ineligible		38.9%	66.4%			36.9%		
Caregivers	Undocumented Noncitizen Parents	2,853	166	11111111.		43		
		20.3%	12.9%			51.2%		
	MFIP Disqualified Parents	129	44			2		
		0.9%	3.4%			0.0%		
	Reached 60th Month And Opted Out	8	215	.111111111.		-		
		0.1%	16.7%					
	Other Ineligible Parents	11	1			1		
	Count of Inclinible Counting	0.1%	0.1%			1.2%		
N f	Count of Ineligible Caregivers	14,066	1,287	111111111		84	.11111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111
Number of	Mean Median	2.1	1.9 2	2.6 2	2.0 2	1.7	2.5 2	1.9 2
Eligible Children in Family &	Minimum	2 0	0	0	0	1 0	0	0
Household	Maximum	16	11	16	11	10	11	11
nousellolu	Frequency of Cases 0	7	825	32	857	317	5	322
	rrequency or oases	0.1%	3.9%	0.9%	3.5%	9.9%	0.5%	7.7%
	1	4,876	9,184	1,047	10,231	1,375	295	1,670
	-	42.8%	43.9%	31.0%	42.1%	42.9%	29.3%	39.7%
	2	3,528	5,849	901	6,750	904	316	1,220
		31.0%	28.0%	26.6%	27.8%	28.2%	31.4%	29.0%
	3	1,481	2,763	573	3,336	373	176	549
		13.0%	13.2%	16.9%	13.7%	11.6%	17.5%	13.0%
	4 - 6	1,373	2,080	678	2,758	219	184	403
		12.1%	9.9%	20.1%	11.4%	6.8%	18.3%	9.6%
	7 - 9	113	194	127	321	13	25	38
		1.0%	0.9%	3.8%	1.3%	0.4%	2.5%	0.9%
	10 or more	15	20	23	43	2	5	7
	Count of Eligible Children	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%
Ineligible Children:	Count of Eligible Children SSI Children	23,602	40,312	8,916	49,228	5,386	2,532	7,918
•	991 CHIIULEU	1,016	2,022 95.5%	328 97.0%	2,350 95.7%	144	37 97.4%	181 90.0%
				21 170	90.1%	88.3%	37.470	90.0%
Count of Children &	Undocumented Noncitizans	64.8%				2		
Percent of Ineligible	Undocumented Noncitizens	489	18	0	18	2	0	2
		489 31.2%	18 0.8%	0 0.0%	18 0.7%	1.2%	0 0.0%	2 1.0%
Percent of Ineligible	Undocumented Noncitizens Other Ineligible Children	489 31.2% 62	18 0.8% 78	0 0.0% 10	18 0.7% 88	1.2% 17	0 0.0% 1	2 1.0% 18
Percent of Ineligible		489 31.2%	18 0.8%	0 0.0%	18 0.7%	1.2%	0 0.0%	2 1.0%

Table 3 – Page 3

		i abie 3					DIMB 5	
		Child-only			ole Caregivers		DWP Cases	
Family Compos	sition, Residence, and Challenges	MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Number of All Minor	Mean	2.2	2.0	2.6	2.1	1.7	2.6	1.9
Children in	Median	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
Family &	Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Household	Maximum	16	11	16	11	10	11	11
	Frequency of Cases 0	7	429	0	429	284	0	284
	1	0.1%	2.1%	0.0%	1.8%	8.9%	0.0%	6.7%
	1	4,412	8,976	1,024	10,000	1,352	292	1,644
	2	38.7% 3,500	42.9% 5,982	30.3% 887	41.2% 6,869	42.2% 922	29.0% 311	39.1%
	2	30.7%	28.6%	26.2%	28.3%	28.8%	30.9%	1,233 29.3%
	3	1,660	2,921	592	3,513	395	182	577
	ů	14.6%	14.0%	17.5%	14.5%	12.3%	18.1%	13.7%
	4 - 6	1,646	2,353	707	3,060	234	190	424
	- ·	14.4%	11.2%	20.9%	12.6%	7.3%	18.9%	10.1%
	7 - 9	148	225	141	366	13	25	38
		1.3%	1.1%	4.2%	1.5%	0.4%	2.5%	0.9%
	10 or more	20	29	30	59	3	6	9
		0.2%	0.1%	0.9%	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%
	Count of All Children	25,169	42,430	9,254	51,684	5,549	2,570	8,119
Age of	Mean	8.1	4.3	2.7	4.1	5.4	3.3	4.9
Youngest Child	Median	8	3	1	2	4	2	3
(All Children)	Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maximum	19	19	19	19	19	18	19
	Frequency of Cases < 1 year old	699	4,116	1,134	5,250	271	291	562
		6.1%	19.7%	33.5%	21.6%	8.5%	28.9%	13.4%
	1-5 years old	3,747	10,450	1,714	12,164	1,511	499	2,010
	6.40	32.9%	50.0%	50.7%	50.1%	47.2%	49.6%	47.8%
	6-10 years old	2,756	3,145	314	3,459	618	137	755
	11-12 years old	24.2% 1,017	15.0% 862	9.3% 84	14.2% 946	19.3% 175	13.6% 23	17.9% 198
	11-12 years old	8.9%	4.1%	2.5%	3.9%	5.5%	2.3%	4.7%
	13-15 years old	1,671	1,077	70	1,147	205	30	235
	, , , , , , , ,	14.7%	5.1%	2.1%	4.7%	6.4%	3.0%	5.6%
	>15 years old	1,496	836	65	901	139	26	165
	•	13.1%	4.0%	1.9%	3.7%	4.3%	2.6%	3.9%
Region	Hennepin County	3,781	6,447	733	7,180	724	166	890
	-	33.2%	30.8%	21.7%	29.6%	22.6%	16.5%	21.1%
	Ramsey County	2,249	4,610	752	5,362	481	129	610
		19.7%	22.0%	22.2%	22.1%	15.0%	12.8%	14.5%
	Metro Suburban	1,581	2,952	508	3,460	668	239	907
	0 (11)	13.9%	14.1%	15.0%	14.2%	20.9%	23.8%	21.5%
	Greater Minnesota	3,782	6,906	1,388	8,294	1,330	472	1,802
Family Vial	MEID Frametica or Federation	33.2%	33.0%	41.1%	34.1%	41.5%	46.9%	42.8%
Family Violence	MFIP Exemption or Extension		1,691	79	1,770	48	10	58
Adult Serious Montal	During 2000 - 2009	1 064	8.1% 9,167	2.3% 1,463	7.3%	1.5% 789	1.0% 293	1.4% 1,082
Adult Serious Mental Health Diagnosis	During 2007 - 2009	4,064 35.7%	43.8%	43.3%	10,630 43.8%	24.6%	29.1%	25.7%
(All Caregivers)	During 2009	3,064	7,062	1,135	43.8% 8,197	539	193	732
, oarogriois,	g 2000	26.9%	33.8%	33.6%	33.7%	16.8%	19.2%	17.4%
Adult Chemical	During 2007 - 2009	1,549	5,166	1,044	6,210	453	169	622
Dependency	. y	13.6%	24.7%	30.9%	25.6%	14.1%	16.8%	14.8%
Diagnosis	During 2009	921	3,131	642	3,773	238	96	334
(All Caregivers)	•	8.1%	15.0%	19.0%	15.5%	7.4%	9.5%	7.9%
Child Protection	Family Assessment	867	2,342	395	2,737	213	88	301
2007 - 2009	·	7.6%	11.2%	11.7%	11.3%	6.7%	8.7%	7.2%
(All Caregivers)	Family Investigation	197	460	66	526	27	12	39
- ,	-	1.7%	2.2%	2.0%	2.2%	0.8%	1.2%	0.9%
	Child Maltreatment Determination	319	929	100	1,029	59	15	74
		2.8%	4.4%	3.0%	4.2%	1.8%	1.5%	1.8%

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 3

Table 3 provides case-level statistics, except for person counts in the sections on ineligible caregivers and counts of children.

Ineligible Caregivers. Ineligible caregivers may be parents that receive SSI for a disability, have relative caregiver status, lack documentation of their non-U.S. citizenship status, are disqualified due to fraud, or have reached their 60th month of MFIP eligibility and opted out of the case. A caregiver may not opt out once they reach 60 months for the purpose of continued eligibility for their children, but when caregivers in a two-caregiver household have different numbers of counted months the caregiver with 60 months may opt out and the other caregiver and children continue to receive a grant. Children in MFIP or DWP cases may also be ineligible. Children may be ineligible due to receipt of SSI, lack of documentation of their non-U.S. citizenship status, lack of documentation of a Social Security number, or coming in or out of foster care.

Region. The counties where households lived were grouped into the following regions: Hennepin County, Ramsey County, Twin Cities metropolitan suburbs (Anoka, Carver, Chisago, Dakota, Isanti, Scott, Sherburne, Washington, and Wright Counties), and Greater Minnesota (the remaining 76 counties).

Family Challenges. Family violence was indicated by whether a case had a time limit exemption or extension for a family violence waiver at any time between 2000 and 2009. These numbers greatly underestimate the occurrence of family violence because they only include cases where the caregiver reported the abuse and had an alternative employment plan while receiving MFIP.

A serious mental health diagnosis identifies caregivers who were known to have been diagnosed with psychosis, depression, personality disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, or anxiety-state during 2009 or during the three-year period 2007 to 2009. Chemical dependency diagnosis identifies caregivers who received that diagnosis (not including tobacco) during 2009 or during the three-year period 2007 to 2009. Both of these measures may underestimate the actual number of adults with these diagnoses because they only include cases that receive publicly paid health care recorded in administrative records. On the other hand, these diagnoses may be a secondary diagnosis and do not indicate whether treatment services were received.

Child Protection data are from the DHS Social Services Information System (SSIS). Family Assessment (FA) (previously called Alternative Response) is an alternative to traditional child protection investigation and services for families where the child is not in imminent danger and allegations do not include egregious harm, sexual abuse, or maltreatment/abuse in a day care or foster home. In a FA no determination of maltreatment is made. County workers meet with the family to assess and discuss child safety concerns and provide services to help the family meet their child's safety needs. In a Family Investigation (FI) county workers interview persons involved with the report and investigate the allegation. If there is a preponderance of evidence that a child has been a victim of maltreatment and the harm was caused by an act, or failure to act, by a person responsible for the child's care, the county or tribal child protection worker makes a determination that maltreatment has occurred. All caregivers, regardless of MFIP eligibility, were included. For caregivers with multiple allegations within the three-year period, only the most serious incident was counted. All categories are mutually exclusive and are consistent with reporting by the DHS Child Safety and Permanency Division.

Economic Characteristics

MFIP Time Limit. Except under specific circumstances, a MFIP participant may only use 60 months of cash assistance between the ages of 18 and 60. In December 2009, eligible-adult MFIP cases averaged 25 months counted toward the limit. Nearly 60 percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases had 24 or fewer counted months and 21 percent were within 12 months of the lifetime limit. Forty-four percent of DWP cases had at least one MFIP counted month from previous time on MFIP.

New Cases. Of the total caseload, 20 percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases and 52 percent of DWP cases were new to family cash assistance in Minnesota. The proportion of new eligible-adult MFIP cases that were also new or returning Minnesota residents has remained stable in recent years at about 20 percent, but the proportion of DWP cases that were new residents has decreased each year since 2004, the first year that DWP started enrolling participants. In December 2009, 29 percent of new DWP cases had moved to Minnesota during 2009, which was a decrease from a high of 49 percent in December 2004.

The most frequent states from which new residents in both programs had moved from were Illinois (383 cases), Wisconsin (260 cases), and Texas (213 cases); 269 cases moved directly from a foreign country. New residents moved to Minnesota from all 49 other states and Puerto Rico.

Earned Income and Work Hours. The percentage of MFIP eligible-adult and DWP cases with earned income reported for December 2009 did not change much from December 2008. Thirty-three percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases and 41 percent of DWP cases had at least one working caregiver compared to 35 percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases and 42 percent of DWP cases in December 2009. The average monthly income reported for MFIP eligible-adult cases, however, did increase since last year from an average of \$872 to \$911. Average reported income in DWP cases decreased overall from \$934 to \$920 although reported income in one-eligible-adult DWP cases increased from \$833 to \$852. The average number of work hours did not change from December 2008 except for DWP two-eligible-adult cases. MFIP eligible adult cases averaged 99 work hours in December 2009 and DWP cases averaged 94 hours.

The percentage of cases with wages and the average amount of quarterly wages reported to the Unemployment Insurance (UI) system for April to June 2009 decreased for all cases and case types. These wages predate DWP eligibility and may, for some MFIP cases, predate MFIP eligibility. In December 2008, 48 percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases and 61 percent of DWP cases had at least one caregiver with UI reported wages while in December 2009, 42 percent of MFIP eligible-adult and 53 percent of DWP cases had UI reported wages. The average amount of quarterly wages also decreased from \$2,917 to \$2,807 for MFIP eligible-adult and \$5,096 to \$4,876 for DWP cases.

Child Care Assistance Program. For the first time Child Care Assistance Program (CCAP) eligibility data are available in this report. Thirty percent of MFIP eligible-adult and 27 percent of DWP cases had at least one child eligible for CCAP in December 2009. CCAP eligibility does not mean that a child was in childcare for which a payment was made;

it means a child was eligible and payments would be made if a caregiver had a child in care while he or she was working or engaging in work activities such as looking for a job or participating in required training. Two percent of MFIP child-only cases were eligible for Basic Sliding Fee childcare.

Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2009 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

		Child-	MFIP Cases	with Eligible	e Caregivers		DWP Cases	
Economic Char	racteristics of Cases	only	One	Two		One	Two	All
		MFIP	Eligible	Eligible	Total	Eligible	Eligible	DWP
		Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
Cases	Count	11,392	20,916	3,381	24,296	3,203	1,006	4,209
	Percent of All Cases	31.9%	58.6%	9.5%	68.1%	76.1%	23.9%	100.0%
Counted MFIP Months	Mean	13.9	26.0	20.7	25.3	9.0	7.8	8.7
Sept. 1996 to Dec. 2009	Median	0	21	15	20	0	0	0
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case	No Counted Months	6,521	1,750	214	1,964	1,755	602	2,357
Frequency of Cases		57.2%	8.4%	6.3%	8.1%	54.8%	59.8%	56.0%
	1 - 12 Months	1,278	5,876	1,303	7,179	603	177	780
		11.2%	28.1%	38.5%	29.5%	18.8%	17.6%	18.5%
	13 - 24 Months	796	3,933	742	4,675	384	96	480
		7.0%	18.8%	21.9%	19.2%	12.0%	9.5%	11.4%
	25 - 36 Months	630	2,706	400	3,106	216	67	283
		5.5%	12.9%	11.8%	12.8%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%
	37 - 42 Months	294	1,081	154	1,235	70	21	91
		2.6%	5.2%	4.6%	5.1%	2.2%	2.1%	2.2%
	43 - 48 Months	281	889	162	1,051	76	15	91
		2.5%	4.3%	4.8%	4.3%	2.4%	1.5%	2.2%
	49 - 54 Months	284	838	113	951	56	12	68
		2.5%	4.0%	3.3%	3.9%	1.7%	1.2%	1.6%
	55 - 60 Months	1,308	3,841	293	4,134	43	16	59
		11.5%	18.4%	8.7%	17.0%	1.3%	1.6%	1.4%
Months since Start of DWP Eligibility	1st Month					789	279	1,068
						24.6%	27.7%	25.4%
	2nd Month					858	271	1,129
						26.8%	26.9%	26.8%
	3rd Month					834	245	1,079
						26.0%	24.4%	25.6%
	4th Month					722	211	933
						22.5%	21.0%	22.2%
New Cases in 2009	Minnesota Resident before 2009	1,541	3,292	580	3,872	1,164	403	1,567
	Percent of New Cases	90.1%	83.0%	69.2%	80.6%	70.6%	73.4%	71.3%
	Moved into State in 2009	171	671	258	929	485	146	631
	Percent of New Cases	10.0%	16.9%	30.8%	19.3%	29.4%	26.6%	28.7%
	Total of New Cases	1,711	3,964	838	4,802	1,649	549	2,198
	Percent of All Cases	15.0%	19.0%	24.8%	19.8%	51.5%	54.6%	52.2%

Table 4 – Page 2

		Child-	MFIP Cases	with Eligible	e Caregivers		DWP Cases	i
Economic Charac	teristics of Cases	only	One	Two		One	Two	All
Leonomic Gharac	densites of cases	MFIP	Eligible	Eligible	Total	Eligible	Eligible	DWP
		Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
MFIP or DWP Cash Grants	Mean of All Cases in Column	\$306	\$344	\$391	\$351	\$331	\$467	\$364
MFIP Food Portion	Mean of All Cases in Column	\$287	\$392	\$548	\$414			
Food Support- "Uncle Harry" Cases	Mean of Cases Receiving	\$157	\$143	\$148	\$144			
	Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	47.4%	13.9%	8.9%	9.5%			
Food Support - Other Cases	Mean of Cases Receiving	\$279	\$413	\$598	\$442	\$397	\$569	\$439
	Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	2.2%	5.0%	5.8%	5.1%	92.5%	94.3%	93.0%
MFIP Food-Only Assistance	Mean	\$192	\$315	\$417	\$335			
	Count of Cases	566	2,453	602	3,055			
	Percent of All Cases	5.0%	11.7%	17.8%	12.6%			
Income / Working	Count of Cases	1,029	6,298	1,798	8,096	1,184	534	1,718
	Percent of All Cases	9.0%	30.1%	53.2%	33.3%	37.0%	53.1%	40.8%
December Total Earned Income	Mean of Working Cases	\$819	\$848	\$1,133	\$911	\$852	\$1,043	\$920
	Median	\$563	\$739	\$965	\$785	\$731	\$932	\$793
December Budgeted Earnings	Mean of Working Cases		\$482	\$624	\$517	\$551	\$760	\$620
	Median		\$441	\$548	\$466	\$468	\$610	\$502
December Monthly Work Hours	Mean of Working Cases	87.4	93.1	121.7	99.4	86.0	108.6	94.0
	Median	78	89	114	93	80	99	83
Percent of All Cases	1 - 79 Hours	499	2,673	560	3,233	558	196	754
		4.4%	12.8%	16.6%	13.3%	17.4%	19.5%	17.9%
	80 - 119 Hours	189	1,643	360	2,003	262	110	372
		1.7%	7.9%	10.6%	8.2%	8.2%	10.9%	8.8%
	120 - 159 Hours	129	1,056	363	1,419	191	77	268
		1.1%	5.0%	10.7%	5.8%	6.0%	7.7%	6.4%
	160 Hours or More	168	846	492	1,338	143	144	287
		1.5%	4.0%	14.6%	5.5%	4.5%	14.3%	6.8%
Wages Reported for 2nd Quarter 2009 for	Mean of Cases with UI Wages	\$7,795	\$2,765	\$3,003	\$2,807	\$4,711	\$5,305	\$4,876
Jobs Covered by Unemployment Insurance	Median	\$6,424	\$2,035	\$2,359	\$2,089	\$4,130	\$4,505	\$4,257
	Count of Cases	3,381	8,318	1,776	10,094	1,602	619	2,221
	Percent of All Cases	29.7%	39.8%	52.5%	41.5%	50.0%	61.5%	52.8%

Table 4 – Page 3

	Table 4	Child-	MFIP Cases	with Eligible	e Caregivers		DWP Cases	
Farmania Okanasi	aniation of Occasi	only	One	Two		One	Two	All
Economic Characte	MFIP	Eligible	Eligible	Total	Eligible	Eligible	DWP	
	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	
Cases with at Least One Child Eligible	Youngest Child Less than Age 6	200	6,090	814	6,904	788	219	1,007
for the Child Care Assistance Program	Percent of Cases	1.8%	29.1%	24.1%	28.4%	24.6%	21.8%	23.9%
	Youngest Child Age 6 to 12 Years	33	425	17	442	120	9	129
		0.3%	2.0%	0.5%	1.8%	3.7%	0.9%	3.1%
	Any Eligible Child	233	6,515	831	7,346	908	228	1,136
	· -	2.0%	31.1%	24.6%	30.2%	28.3%	22.7%	27.0%
Cases with Adult and/or Child	Count of Cases	5,134	2,430	228	2,658	149	32	181
Receiving SSI	Percent of Cases	45.1%	11.6%	6.7%	10.9%	4.7%	3.2%	4.3%
Child Support Disbursements:	Current Mean	\$298	\$304	\$503	\$313	\$344	\$325	\$342
Average of Payments > \$0	Median	\$217	\$223	\$454	\$232	\$306	\$296	\$304
	Count	1,593	2,928	137	3,065	596	71	667
	Percent of Cases	14.0%	14.0%	4.1%	12.6%	18.6%	7.1%	15.8%
	Sum	\$474,280	\$890,439	\$68,860	\$959,299	\$205,110	\$23,102	\$228,211
	Arrears Mean	\$108	\$125	\$119	\$124	\$133	\$213	\$142
	Median	\$44	\$51	\$50	\$51	\$73	\$129	\$78
	Count	1,052	1,971	114	2,085	302	38	340
	Percent of Cases	9.2%	9.4%	3.4%	8.6%	9.4%	3.8%	8.1%
	Sum	\$113,812	\$245,963	\$13,580	\$259,544	\$40,046	\$8,083	\$48,129
	Total Mean	\$325	\$333	\$474	\$340	\$398	\$395	\$398
	Median	\$224	\$227	\$366	\$231	\$355	\$329	\$342
	Count	1,809	3,413	174	3,587	616	79	695
	Percent of Cases	15.9%	16.3%	5.1%	14.8%	19.2%	7.9%	16.5%
	Sum	\$587,895	\$1,135,447	\$82,440	\$1,217,887	\$245,103	\$31,185	\$276,288

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 4

Months of Welfare Use. Counted MFIP months were defined as the maximum number of months of eligibility for TANF and/or MFIP counted toward the 60-month time limit between September 1996 and December 2008 of any eligible adult on the case. This includes Minnesota paid and federally paid months in Minnesota and federally paid months in other states, but excludes months exempt or excepted from the time limit.

DWP is a short-term, work-focused program lasting four months. Months on DWP do not count toward the MFIP lifetime limit. A case is eligible for DWP if the applicant has not received MFIP in the previous twelve months and is deemed likely to benefit from intensive employment services. An applicant is ineligible for DWP if he or she has 60 MFIP counted months.

New Cases. New welfare cases in 2009 were December 2009 cases active in either MFIP or DWP in Minnesota for one or more months in 2009 but active zero months in 1992 to 2008 (the period covered by the state administrative database). Cases new to the state had a 2009 state entry date. Minnesota residents were either lifelong residents or had moved to the state before 2009.

Cash and Food Grant Amounts. MFIP includes a cash grant and a food portion that can only be spent on food. As families work their way toward leaving assistance, their cash grant is decreased first so that some families only receive a food portion. A family can opt out of the cash portion, receiving only the food portion, and those months do not count toward their lifetime limit. If a household member is not eligible for MFIP, that person may be eligible to receive Food Support, Minnesota's name for the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (previously known as Food Stamps). These cases are referred to as "Uncle Harry" cases in Minnesota. DWP provides only a cash grant, but families are categorically eligible to receive Food Support as well. MFIP cases that received Food Support that were not Uncle Harry cases were most often "expedited" cases, which meant that the household met the criteria for immediate Food Support assistance but their MFIP case was not opened until later in the month.

The means for MFIP and DWP cash grants and MFIP food portions were calculated using the grant amounts for all cases, including zero cash portion for some MFIP cases. The means for all other Food Support amounts include only cases with benefit amounts greater than zero.

Income, Earnings, and Work Hours. Total income was either actual or projected December 2009 income of eligible adults and other adults whose earnings were deemed for the case. The amount used was either verified earnings for December 2009 or zero for cases still active in February 2010 with no income reported for December 2009 (required to be reported by February 2010) or prospective December 2009 income for new cases with retrospective data missing and for all migrant cases. Total income was gross income except for the self-employed where it was gross less expenses, with a minimum of zero. Mean total income excluded cases with no verified or expected income from eligible or deeming adults in December 2009.

The work hours reported for eligible and other deeming adults with earned income are defined in the same manner as earned income. The hours are totals for the case. The percentages of all cases with hours reported are slightly smaller than the percentages reported working because of hours that were not entered into the administrative database. Mean hours only included cases with any reported hours.

Budgeted earnings are total earnings with certain kinds and percentages of earnings subtracted to yield an amount that is then deducted from the MFIP grant level for work status and family size to determine the size of the MFIP grant paid. The budgeted earnings used to calculate the December 2009 grants were based on verified income earned in October 2009 or, for new cases and migrants, prospective earnings for December 2008. Mean budgeted earnings in the table were computed for cases which had budgeted earnings greater than zero.

Employers covered by the Unemployment Insurance (UI) system must report wages to the state. Some employers are exempt from this requirement and include federal government, other state government, religious, seasonal, sheltered work in a facility, work relief or training financed by a federal agency, National Guard, as an elected official, some domestic employment, inmates, some student workers at their school or college,

commissioned insurance salespeople, commissioned real estate agents, newspaper delivery, or temporary workers. (MN Statute §268.035) The table provides second quarter 2009 wages reported for participants' jobs covered by UI in Minnesota as a measure of recent work history and earnings. The second quarter predates DWP application (the earliest any of these DWP cases started was September), so for many or most DWP cases the second quarter was before the occurrence of whatever caused them to apply, often the loss of a job. They had either never been on MFIP or had been off for at least one year before starting DWP. Some MFIP participants were on DWP in the second quarter; many were on MFIP. Therefore, it is not surprising that there were differences between average incomes of participants when making program comparisons.

Child Care Assistance Program. Reported CCAP eligibility means that an eligibility determination has been made; the child may not have a service authorization (payment) made for the month. Data exclude children ages 13 and older with special needs that continue to receive CCAP. Data are reported by age of youngest child. Cases may have CCAP-eligible children in both age groups. MFIP-ineligible and DWP-ineligible children (for example, SSI-eligible children) are excluded. Children must be both CCAP and MFIP or DWP eligible. Children's eligibility for MFIP CCAP is determined based on parent's eligibility for MFIP or DWP. Data reported for MFIP child-only cases are eligible for Basic Sliding Fee child care. Data are reported from the Minnesota Electronic Child Care Information System (MEC²).

Child Support. Child support disbursements were paid to families receiving MFIP or DWP in December 2009 from support payments on behalf of children on the cases. This included both current payments and payments made for arrears on past months. All current support was passed through to custodial families and counted dollar-for-dollar against the grants.

MFIP Policies

Table 5⁶ provides information on MFIP extensions and exemptions from the time limit, sanctions, housing subsidy amounts and grant deductions, the family cap, and Family Stabilization Services (FSS). All text describing Table 5 refer to eligible-adult cases.

Time Limit Exemptions and Extensions. Ten percent of cases had a caregiver for whom December 2009 was not a MFIP counted month due to an exemption from the time limit. The most common exemption was for being an 18 or 19-year old parent complying with high school education requirements (40 percent of exempted cases), living on an American Indian reservation with a not-employed rate of at least 50 percent (22 percent of exempt cases), and being a minor caregiver (19 percent). The percentage of caregivers that were exempt due to being a minor increased by 10 percentage points since December 2008. This is due to changes in the state's administrative database tracking of these caregivers rather than an increase in minor caregivers.

Twelve percent of cases were extended beyond the time limit due to a documented hardship or because the caregiver was working but not earning enough to leave MFIP. The most common extension reasons were that the caregiver was ill or incapacitated for more than 30 days (32 percent of exempt cases), the caregiver was mentally ill (20 percent), and for Special Medical Criteria (17 percent). Extended cases averaged 33 extended months, with 29 percent having 12 or fewer months and 34 percent having more than 36 months in extension.

Family Cap. Fourteen percent of families had at least one child subject to the family cap, a policy where the MFIP grant does not include children born after 10 consecutive months of MFIP participation. The proportion of cases affected by the family cap has remained at about 14 percent since December 2006.

Family Stabilization Services. About one-third (35 percent) of cases were identified as having a caregiver eligible for FSS, an MFIP service track that assists families who are not making significant progress toward self-sufficiency due to specific barriers to employment. There was no change in the percentage of cases that were FSS-eligible since December 2008.

-

⁶ Data in this section were found in Table 4 previous to December 2008.

Table 5. MFIP Policies and December 2009 Paid Cases

		Child-	MFIP Cases	s with Eligible	e Caregivers
MFIP	Policy and Cases	only	One	Two	
	oney and bases	MFIP	Eligible	Eligible	Total
		Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
Cases	Count	11,392	20,916	3,381	24,296
	Percent of All Cases	31.9%	58.6%	9.5%	68.1%
MFIP Exemptions	Count of Cases	11	2,203	328	2,531
from Time Limit	Percent of All Cases	0.1%	10.5%	9.7%	10.4%
Exemption Reason	18 - 19, Attending High School	8	829	169	1,006
•	Percent of Exempted Cases	72.7%	37.6%	51.5%	39.7%
	Caregiver on Indian Reservation	1	469	98	568
	· ·	9.1%	21.3%	29.9%	22.4%
	Minor Caregiver	2	441	26	470
		18.2%	20.0%	7.9%	18.6%
	Family Violence Waiver	0	395	13	408
	, ,	0.0%	17.9%	4.0%	16.1%
	Caregiver 60 or Older	0	69	22	91
	caregiver of or class	0.0%	3.1%	6.7%	3.6%
MFIP Extensions	Count	1111111	2,724	171	2,895
IIII II Extensions	Percent of MFIP Cases		13.0%	5.1%	11.9%
Total Extension Months	Mean		32.8	29.0	32.6
Total Extension Months	Median		27	25.0	27
	Minimum		1	1	1
	Maximum		97	88	97
	1 - 12 Months		779	73	852
	Percent of Extended Cases				29.4%
	13 - 24 Months		28.6%	42.7% 49	
	13 - 24 MOTHUS		516		565
	05 00 Mandha		18.9%	28.7%	19.5%
	25 - 36 Months		420	30	450
			15.4%	17.5%	15.5%
	More than 36 Months		923	56	979
			33.9%	32.7%	33.8%
Extension Reason	III or Incapacitated		864	55	921
	Percent of Extended Cases		31.7%	32.2%	31.8%
	Mentally III		529	38	568
			19.4%	22.2%	19.6%
	Special Medical Criteria		477	23	500
			17.5%	13.5%	17.3%
	IQ Between 70 and 80		237	22	260
			8.7%	12.9%	9.0%
	Care of III or Incapacitated		264	20	285
			9.7%	11.7%	9.8%
	Employment Required Hours		141	8	149
			5.2%	4.7%	5.1%
	Unemployable		36	2	38
			1.3%	1.2%	1.3%
	Family Violence Waiver		104	0	104
			3.8%	0.0%	3.6%
	Learning Disabled		56	4	61
	-		2.1%	2.3%	2.1%
	IQ Below 70		24	1	25
			0.9%	0.6%	0.9%
	Appeal		2	0	2
			0.1%	0.0%	0.1%

Table 5 - Page 2

		Child-	MFIP Cases	with Eligible	e Caregivers
MFIP Policy and	Casas	only	One	Two	
With Folicy and	Cases	MFIP	Eligible	Eligible	Total
		Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
Employment Services Sanctions	10 % ES Sanction	0	296	55	351
	30 % ES Sanction	1	752	170	922
	All Cases with ES Sanctions	0	1,048	225	1,273
	Percent of All Cases	0.0%	5.0%	6.7%	5.2%
	Six Counted Sanction Months	1	163	24	188
	Percent of All Cases	0.0%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%
Housing Subsidy	Mean Subsidy Amount > \$0	\$614	\$689	\$712	\$691
	Count of Cases	3,001	6,209	700	6,909
	Percent of All Cases	26.3%	29.7%	20.7%	28.4%
Deductions to MFIP Grant	Count of Cases	43	3,559	489	4,048
For Housing Subsidy	Percent of All Cases	0.4%	17.0%	14.5%	16.7%
(\$50 Maximum Per Case)	Mean Deduction > \$0	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50
Family Cap	Count of Cases with One Child	687	2,224	481	2,705
	Two Children	135	537	125	662
	Three or More Children	32	98	35	133
	Percentage of Cases	7.5%	13.7%	19.0%	14.4%
Family Stabilization Services	Count of Cases	39	7,298	1,200	8,537
Cases with One or More FSS-eligible Caregiver	Percent of All Cases	0.3%	34.9%	35.5%	35.1%

Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 5

Extensions beyond the Time Limit. An MFIP case can be extended beyond the 60-month lifetime limit for certain documented criteria that affect the caregiver's ability to obtain or retain employment or if the caregiver is working but does not earn enough to leave assistance. Extensions may be granted for cases that have an ill or incapacitated caregiver, a family member or caregiver that meets special medical criteria, a caregiver with an IQ score below 80, a caregiver that is needed in the home to care for an ill or incapacitated family member, a single parent working at least 30 hours or two parents working a total of 55 hours, and other reasons. A case sanctioned for non-compliance with Employment Services (ES) or child support requirements in month 60 can never be extended.

Exemptions and Exceptions from the Time Limit. Cases can receive an exception from the time limit for special medical criteria. Counted months before the time limit of 60 months was reached in which special medical criteria were present can be "banked" and used to extend eligibility beyond 60 months after the time limit has been reached. The following cases are exempt from the time limit (neither counted nor banked): living on a reservation with a not-employed rate of at least 50 percent, family violence cases cooperating with an alternative employment plan, caregivers aged 60 or older, a minor parent, or an 18 or 19-year old parent complying with an education plan.

Sanctions. MFIP cases not complying with Employment Services (ES) requirements can be sanctioned with a reduction of the grant amount. For one eligible adult not in compliance, the first sanction was 10 percent and for two eligible adults, both of whom are not in compliance, the first sanction is 30 percent. If not resolved, the sanction is increased the next month to 30 percent. In July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature instituted a policy that after the sixth occurrence of non-compliance a case may face closure, or 100 percent sanction. Table 5 reports the number of cases with 10 percent and 30 percent sanctions in December 2008 and the number of cases with six unresolved sanction months in December 2008.

Deductions. In July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature mandated deductions from MFIP grants for cases including a family member who receives SSI (\$125 maximum deduction per SSI-eligible person) and cases with housing subsidies (\$50 maximum deduction per case). The SSI deduction to the MFIP grant ended February 1, 2008.

Family Cap. In July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature instituted the family cap, which does not allow a cash grant increase for cases with children conceived when the family was receiving MFIP. Any births after 10 consecutive months of eligibility do not result in a grant increase as they would have previously.

Family Stabilization Services. FSS is a MFIP service track that enrolled its first participants in February 2008. The purpose is to provide counties more flexibility in development of employment plans by removing FSS cases from the participation rate calculation. FSS is designed to help struggling families achieve the greatest degree of self-sufficiency possible. FSS eligibility is limited to caregivers who have an illness or injury lasting more than 30 days that prevents them from obtaining employment, are required to remain in the home to care for a household member with an illness or injury lasting more than 30 days, are a legal non-citizen in the United States for fewer than 12 months, have a developmental disability or mental illness that prevents them from obtaining employment, are unemployable, have a learning disability that prevents them from obtaining employment, have an IQ less than 80, have a family violence waiver, or have made an application for SSI or RSDI. Two caregiver cases are FSS-eligible if one caregiver meets the FSS criteria. Extended cases, except those extended as working, are also eligible for FSS.

County and Regional Data

Due to data privacy concerns, the tables in this section except for Table 6, which provides case load counts for county and region, will no longer be published online. If your organization would like county or regional data, please contact the report author to discuss your needs.

Table 6. December 2009 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases by County and Region

	Child-o	nly MFIP	MFI	P Cases witl	n Eligible Ad	dults	DW	P Cases wit	h Eligible A	dults
County/Region/ State	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Aitkin	23	Guddo	50	18	68	94555	7	4	11	Guese
	20	0.2%	73.5%	26.5%	100.0%	0.6%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	0.3%
Anoka	538		1,023	213	1,236		242	82	324	
		4.7%	82.8%	17.2%	100.0%	10.8%	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%	7.7%
Becker	105		161	44	205		26	7	33	
		0.9%	78.5%	21.5%	100.0%	1.8%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%	0.8%
Beltrami	421		836	185	1,021		33	13	46	
		3.7%	81.9%	18.1%	100.0%	9.0%	71.7%	28.3%	100.0%	1.1%
Benton	47		122	18	140		25	13	38	
		0.4%	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%	1.2%	65.8%	34.2%	100.0%	0.9%
Big Stone	3		11	4	15		4	0	4	
_		0.0%	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Blue Earth	61		166	41	207		33	11	44	
		0.5%	80.2%	19.8%	100.0%	1.8%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	1.0%
Brown	18		40	7	47		22	4	26	
		0.2%	85.1%	14.9%	100.0%	0.4%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	0.6%
Carlton	66		65	13	78		22	10	32	
		0.6%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.7%	68.8%	31.3%	100.0%	0.8%
Carver	42		78	5	83		25	7	32	
		0.4%	94.0%	6.0%	100.0%	0.7%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	0.8%
Cass	136		180	45	225		31	4	35	
		1.2%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	2.0%	88.6%	11.4%	100.0%	0.8%
Chippewa	17		32	8	40		3	0	3	
		0.1%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.4%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Chisago	36		62	18	80		20	6	26	
		0.3%	77.5%	22.5%	100.0%	0.7%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	0.6%
Clay	83		162	41	203		33	18	51	
		0.7%	79.8%	20.2%	100.0%	1.8%	64.7%	35.3%	100.0%	1.2%
Clearwater	26		46	6	52		7	3	10	
		0.2%	88.5%	11.5%	100.0%	0.5%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%	0.2%
Cook	3		14	3	17		3	2	5	
		0.0%	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%	0.1%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.1%

Table 6 – Page 2

	Child-o	nly MFIP	MFI	P Cases witl	h Eligible Ad	dults	DW	P Cases wit	h Eligible Ad	dults
	Count	Percent of	One	Two		Percent of	One	Two		Percent of
	of	State	Eligible	Eligible	Total	State	Eligible	Eligible	Total	State
County/Region/ State	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases
Cottonwood	13		24	4	28		13	4	17	
		0.1%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.1%	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%	0.4%
Crow Wing	86		167	30	197		38	17	55	
		0.8%	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%	0.8%	69.1%	30.9%	100.0%	1.3%
Dakota	489		850	127	977		145	52	197	
		4.3%	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%	4.0%	73.6%	26.4%	100.0%	4.7%
Dodge	23		33	15	48		8	7	15	
		0.2%	68.8%	31.3%	100.0%	0.2%	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%	0.4%
Douglas	37		58	10	68		21	4	25	
		0.3%	85.3%	14.7%	100.0%	0.3%	84.0%	16.0%	100.0%	0.6%
Faribault	23		20	6	26		6	4	10	
		0.2%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	0.1%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.2%
Fillmore	16		33	9	42		2	9	11	
		0.1%	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%	0.2%	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%	0.3%
Freeborn	76		109	22	131		28	7	35	
		0.7%	83.2%	16.8%	100.0%	0.5%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.8%
Goodhue	25		106	19	125		26	5	31	
		0.2%	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%	0.5%	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%	0.7%
Grant	9		14	1	15		1	1	2	
		0.1%	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	0.1%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Hennepin	3,781		6,447	733	7,180		724	166	890	
-		33.2%	89.8%	10.2%	100.0%	29.6%	81.3%	18.7%	100.0%	21.1%
Houston	19		50	15	65		10	1	11	
		0.2%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	0.3%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	0.3%
Hubbard	42		49	19	68		12	4	16	
		0.4%	72.1%	27.9%	100.0%	0.3%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Isanti	43		74	17	91		32	13	45	
		0.4%	81.3%	18.7%	100.0%	0.4%	71.1%	28.9%	100.0%	1.1%
Itasca	109		185	39	224		30	14	44	
		1.0%	82.6%	17.4%	100.0%	0.9%	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%	1.0%
Jackson	12		17	4	21		9	1	10	
		0.1%	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%	0.1%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	0.2%

Table 6 – Page 3

	Child-o	nly MFIP	MFI	P Cases with	h Eligible Ad	dults	DW	P Cases wit	h Eligible Ad	dults
	Count of	Percent of State	One Eligible	Two Eligible	Total	Percent of State	One Eligible	Two Eligible	Total	Percent of State
County/Region/ State	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases
Kanabec	42		56	15	71		15	8	23	
		0.4%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%	0.3%	65.2%	34.8%	100.0%	0.5%
Kandiyohi	101		153	29	182		21	12	33	
		0.9%	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%	0.7%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	0.8%
Kittson	3		2	1	3		1	0	1	
		0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Koochiching	19		33	4	37		7	4	11	
		0.2%	89.2%	10.8%	100.0%	0.2%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	0.3%
Lac Qui Parle	7		5	5	10		1	0	1	
		0.1%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Lake	12		26	5	31		4	1	5	
		0.1%	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%	0.1%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Lake of Woods	3		6	1	7		1	2	3	
		0.0%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Le Sueur	22		39	14	53		10	9	19	
		0.2%	73.6%	26.4%	100.0%	0.2%	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%	0.5%
Lincoln	5		2	1	3		0	0	0	
		0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%				0.0%
Lyon	45		67	13	80		9	6	15	
		0.4%	83.8%	16.3%	100.0%	0.3%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.4%
McLeod	31		73	15	88		24	7	31	
		0.3%	83.0%	17.0%	100.0%	0.4%	77.4%	22.6%	100.0%	0.7%
Mahnomen	65		104	23	127		8	4	12	
		0.6%	81.9%	18.1%	100.0%	0.5%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.3%
Marshall	13		9	3	12		2	0	2	
		0.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Martin	26		41	12	53		15	1	16	
		0.2%	77.4%	22.6%	100.0%	0.2%	93.8%	6.3%	100.0%	0.4%
Meeker	27		49	11	60		14	3	17	
		0.2%	81.7%	18.3%	100.0%	0.2%	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%	0.4%
Mille Lacs	59		63	10	73		18	2	20	
		0.5%	86.3%	13.7%	100.0%	0.3%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	0.5%

Table 6 – Page 4

	Child-o	nly MFIP	MFI	P Cases witl	h Eligible Ad	dults	DW	P Cases wit	h Eligible Ad	dults
County/Pagion/ State	Count	Percent of State	Eligible	Two Eligible	Total	Percent of State	Eligible	Two Eligible	Total	Percent of State
County/Region/ State	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases
Morrison	33		72	18	90		13	3	16	
l.,		0.3%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.4%	81.3%	18.8%	100.0%	0.4%
Mower	103		122	24	146		43	12	55	
l		0.9%	83.6%	16.4%	100.0%	0.6%	78.2%	21.8%	100.0%	1.3%
Murray	7		12	1	13		1	0	1	
		0.1%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Nicollet	32		114	20	134		19	9	28	
		0.3%	85.1%	14.9%	100.0%	0.6%	67.9%	32.1%	100.0%	0.7%
Nobles	95		55	22	77		19	8	27	
		0.8%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	0.3%	70.4%	29.6%	100.0%	0.6%
Norman	13		27	4	31		9	3	12	
		0.1%	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%	0.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.3%
Olmsted	231		442	63	505		109	36	145	
		2.0%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	2.1%	75.2%	24.8%	100.0%	3.4%
Otter Tail	56		119	26	145		30	13	43	
		0.5%	82.1%	17.9%	100.0%	0.6%	69.8%	30.2%	100.0%	1.0%
Pennington	20		38	6	44		10	2	12	
		0.2%	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%	0.2%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.3%
Pine	57		100	32	132		33	20	53	
		0.5%	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%	0.5%	62.3%	37.7%	100.0%	1.3%
Pipestone	17		30	5	35		10	2	12	
		0.1%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.1%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.3%
Polk	42		113	22	135		26	13	39	
		0.4%	83.7%	16.3%	100.0%	0.6%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.9%
Pope	9		23	3	26		3	2	5	
l .		0.1%	88.5%	11.5%	100.0%	0.1%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Ramsey	2,249		4,610	752	5,362		481	129	610	
	,= 13	19.7%	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%	22.1%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%	14.5%
Red Lake	2	1011 /0	10	1	11		8	0	8	,
	_	0.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.2%
Redwood	16	0.070	35	12	47	0.070	9	1	100.070	3.270
		0.1%	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%	0.2%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	0.2%

Table 6 – Page 5

	Child-o	nly MFIP	MFI	P Cases witl	h Eligible A	dults	DWP Cases with Eligible Adults				
County/Region/ State	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	
Renville	28		27	5	32		9	7	16		
		0.2%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%	0.1%	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%	0.4%	
Rice	120		164	31	195		53	15	68		
		1.1%	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%	0.8%	77.9%	22.1%	100.0%	1.6%	
Rock	11		21	1	22		4	0	4		
		0.1%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%	
Roseau	11		17	2	19		5	0	5		
		0.1%	89.5%	10.5%	100.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%	
St. Louis	457		908	130	1,038		115	39	154		
		4.0%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	4.3%	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%	3.7%	
Scott	128		164	26	190		47	23	70		
		1.1%	86.3%	13.7%	100.0%	0.8%	67.1%	32.9%	100.0%	1.7%	
Sherburne	73		144	25	169		32	24	56		
		0.6%	85.2%	14.8%	100.0%	0.7%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	1.3%	
Sibley	16		32	2	34		7	0	7		
-		0.1%	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.2%	
Stearns	179		463	74	537		85	31	116		
		1.6%	86.2%	13.8%	100.0%	2.2%	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%	2.8%	
Steele	42		101	22	123		19	3	22		
		0.4%	82.1%	17.9%	100.0%	0.5%	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%	0.5%	
Stevens	2		13	3	16		4	2	6		
		0.0%	81.3%	18.8%	100.0%	0.1%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	
Swift	8		23	4	27		6	1	7		
		0.1%	85.2%	14.8%	100.0%	0.1%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	
Todd	30		57	13	70		13	4	17		
		0.3%	81.4%	18.6%	100.0%	0.3%	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%	0.4%	
Traverse	2		7	3	10		1	1	2		
		0.0%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
Wabasha	22		29	3	32		9	3	12		
		0.2%	90.6%	9.4%	100.0%	0.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.3%	
Wadena	32		68	16	84		13	6	19		
		0.3%	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%	0.3%	68.4%	31.6%	100.0%	0.5%	

Table 6 – Page 6

	Child-o	nly MFIP	MFI	P Cases with		dults	DW	P Cases wit	h Eligible Ad	dults
County/Region/ State	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Waseca	24		43	6	49		7	1	8	
		0.2%	87.8%	12.2%	100.0%	0.2%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	0.2%
Washington	157		402	51	453		82	23	105	
		1.4%	88.7%	11.3%	100.0%	1.9%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	2.5%
Watonwan	15		22	7	29		11	2	13	
		0.1%	75.9%	24.1%	100.0%	0.1%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	0.3%
Wilkin	3		12	1	13		5	1	6	
		0.0%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	0.1%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.1%
Winona	55		94	14	108		16	4	20	
		0.5%	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%	0.4%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.5%
Wright	75		155	26	181		43	9	52	
_		0.7%	85.6%	14.4%	100.0%	0.7%	82.7%	17.3%	100.0%	1.2%
Yellow Medicine	13		15	4	19		3	2	5	
		0.1%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%	0.1%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Northwest	104		216	39	255		61	18	79	
		0.9%	84.7%	15.3%	100.0%	1.0%	77.2%	22.8%	100.0%	1.9%
West Central	1,180		2,154	488	2,642		293	109	402	
		10.4%	81.5%	18.5%	100.0%	10.9%	72.9%	27.1%	100.0%	9.6%
Northeast	689		1,281	212	1,493		188	74	262	
		6.0%	85.8%	14.2%	100.0%	6.1%	71.8%	28.2%	100.0%	6.2%
Central	614		1,180	226	1,406		276	116	392	
		5.4%	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%	5.8%	70.4%	29.6%	100.0%	9.3%
Southwest	269		349	88	437		91	25	116	
		2.4%	79.9%	20.1%	100.0%	1.8%	78.4%	21.6%	100.0%	2.8%
South Central	237		517	115	632		130	41	171	
		2.1%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	2.6%	76.0%	24.0%	100.0%	4.1%
Southeast	732		1,283	237	1,520		323	102	425	
		6.4%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%	6.3%	76.0%	24.0%	100.0%	10.1%
Metro Suburban	1,538		2,878	491	3,369		636	226	862	
		13.5%	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%	13.9%	73.8%	26.2%	100.0%	20.5%
Core Metro	6,030		11,057	1,485	12,542		1,205	295	1,500	
		52.9%	88.2%	11.8%	100.0%	51.6%	80.3%	19.7%	100.0%	35.6%
Minnesota	11,393		20,915	3,381	24,296		3,203	1,006	4,209	
		100.0%	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%	100.0%	76.1%	23.9%	100.0%	100.0%