## LEGISLATIVE—CITIZEN COMMISSION ON MINNESOTA RESOURCES

#### 2005 Project Abstract

For the Period Ending June 30, 2008

Project Title: Minnesota ReLeaf Community Forest Development & Protection
Project Manager: Ken Holman
Affiliation: Minnesota Dept. of Natural Resources, Forestry Division
Mailing Address: 500 Lafayette Rd
City / State / Zip: St. Paul, MN 55155
Phone: 651-259-5269
E-mail: ken.holman@dnr.state.mn.us
Fax: 651-296-5954
Website: www.dnr.state.mn.us/fad/forestmgmt/releaf.html
Funding Source:
Legal Citation: ML 2005, First Special Session [Chap.1], [Artcl 2], Sec.[11], Subd. 5 F.

Total Biennial LCMR Project Budget:	Appropriation Amount:	\$500,000
	Minus Amount Spent:	<u>\$417,710</u>
	Balance:	\$ 82,290

#### **Overall Project Outcome and Results**

Since 1991, Minnesota ReLeaf Community Forestry Grants have helped over 350 communities to build sustainable tree care programs. The 2005-2007 program provided assistance to 57 projects statewide through matching grants and technical assistance to support community efforts.

The overall emphasis was to address current and potential community forest health problems by enabling communities to build their capacity to develop and sustain forest management programs that increase tree diversity and improve tree vigor.

Local matching grants were provided in three areas. Forest health protection projects focused on enhancing forest resilience against insects and disease. Tree planting projects focused on increasing the diversity of tree species and increasing forest canopy. Community forestry assessment projects conducted inventorying and assessment of existing forest resources to support better planning.

Grantees received technical assistance in the form of maps, workshops, in field training sessions, and printed resources.

Nearly one third of the projects included an assessment of public trees, resulting in management plans to guide planting a greater diversity of species, use of native trees and improved vigor of existing trees through proper maintenance. These activities provide valuable examples for residents and neighboring towns to emulate, thus multiplying and maximizing the many benefits healthy trees provide. Continued coordination and co-

promotion with DNR, PCA and other grant programs would help provide one-stop assistance for local environmental management needs.

# **Project Results and Dissemination**

Experience gained will improve:

- A web portal to offer tree care information to communities and homeowners: <u>www.MNtrees.org</u>
- Oak wilt control practice, community programs, and policies. Decreased Federal Oak Wilt Suppression dollars results in a move to demonstration projects in place of generally available matching grants.
- The Inventory Decision Model to guide cities considering this vital step toward management, and Inventory/Management plan guidelines being developed with private contractors.
- Use of I-Tree, a USDA Forest Service software suite of urban and community forestry analysis and benefits assessment tools.

All of these new tools are available via the DNR web page, <u>www.dnr.state.mn.us</u> or <u>www.MNtrees.org</u>

# LCCMR 2005 Work Program Final Report Date of Report: August 15, 2008 LCCMR 2005 Work Program Final Report

## I. PROJECT TITLE: Minnesota ReLeaf Community Forest Development & Protection

Project Manager: Ken Holman
Affiliation: Minnesota Dept. of Natural Resources, Forestry Division
Mailing Address: 500 Lafayette Rd
City / State / Zip : St. Paul, MN 55155
Telephone Number: 651-259-5269
E-mail Address: ken.holman@dnr.state.mn.us
FAX Number: 651-296-5954
Web Page address: www.dnr.state.mn.us/grants/forestmgmt/releaf.html

Total Biennial Project Budget:	LCMR Appropriation:	\$500,000
	Minus Amount Spent:	<u>\$417,710</u>
	Balance:	\$ 82,290

Legal Citation: ML 2005, First Special Session [Chap.1], [Article 2], Sec.[11], Subd. 5 F.

Appropriation Language: \$250,000 the first year and \$250,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for acceleration of the agency program and a cooperative agreement with Tree Trust to protect forest resources, develop inventory-based management plans and provide matching grants to communities to plant native trees. At least \$350,000 of this appropriation must be used for grants to communities. For the purposes of this paragraph, the match must be a non-state contribution, but may be either cash or qualifying in-kind. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2008, at which time the project must be completed and final projects delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

#### **II. and III. FINAL PROJECT SUMMARY**

Minnesota ReLeaf Community Forestry Grants have helped over 350 communities to build sustainable tree care programs since 1990. The 2005 to 2007 program funded 57 projects statewide. Nearly 23% of these projects involved more than one of the three eligible activities under Result 1: Local Matching Grants. Promotional activities were improved by working with the DNR Local Grants Program staff on statewide mailings, web site content, and co-promotion via the League of Minnesota Cities.

Grantees were contacted periodically by phone to assess technical assistance needs. Over 35 of the projects received help conducting workshops, in field training sessions and with printed resources, provided by DNR or Tree Trust staff. However, many grantees over-

estimated their fiscal needs, and turnover among project managers and local financial staff resulted in 10% of the available grant funds not being used (\$35,829).

A ReLeaf Storm Recovery Contingency Fund of \$21,417, set aside by the ReLeaf Steering Committee, was largely unused (one \$3,000 grant awarded), due to a lack of available matching funds from towns facing substantial storm clean up costs. The decision to limit the Natural Resources Maps service (Result 2) to Oak Wilt grantees accounted for another \$25,900 of unused funds from the contract with DNR Resource Assessment. The Tree Trust contract also had a small balance of \$2,144.

Nearly one third of the projects included an assessment of public trees, resulting in management plans to guide planting a greater diversity of species, use of native trees and improved vigor of existing trees through proper maintenance. These activities provide valuable examples for residents and neighboring towns to emulate, thus multiplying and maximizing the many benefits healthy trees provide. Continued coordination and co-promotion with DNR, PCA and other grant programs would help provide one-stop assistance for local environmental management needs.

**IV. OUTLINE OF PROJECT RESULTS:** The overall emphasis of the grant projects was to address current and potential community forest health problems by enabling communities to build their capacity to develop and sustain forest management programs that increase tree diversity and improve tree vigor. The DNR measures local program level based on a set of criteria established by the USDA Forest Service. Since 1991, ReLeaf grants have helped nearly 350 communities initiate or expand their tree management programs. Additional assistance and grants for tree inventories and management plans have enabled 64 of these towns to become self-sufficient, as evidenced by their level of routine maintenance, monitoring, funding, citizen support and public education activities. The number of grantee communities moving to a higher level on the continuum will be the measure of overall program success. This analysis will be completed as part of a comprehensive survey of local tree programs now being developed.

#### **A. Result 1:** Local Matching Community Forestry Grant Projects Part (A) Forest Health Projects

The Minnesota DNR, with support from Tree Trust and a statewide Steering Committee, reviewed applications based on a set of criteria. The Minnesota DNR was the fiscal agent for all Mn Releaf grants and administered the grants through ReLeaf contracts that spelled out the scope of the programs and specific outcomes. Approximately 15 communities were able to complete forest health protection projects. To avoid overlapping other grant programs (i.e. conservation reserve and stewardship incentive) these programs were:

- Protecting the resource as a whole, rather than those that solely benefit individual landowners
- Limited to landowners with 20 acres or less

Eligible practices included, but were not limited to:

- Insect and diseases, such as oak wilt, gypsy moth, conifer bark beetles and defoliators, and key exotic forest pests
- Practice including sanitation, mechanical root disruption, herbicides and/or biological pesticides, and silviculture.
- Silviculture practices for timber stand improvement and partial harvests
- Restoration, when advised, to enhance pest resistance and maintain forest cover.

To become eligible, communities had to demonstrate their need (i.e. likelihood of tree loss) through a tree inventory, pest occurrence map, life stage survey (for example, MDA trapping results), or risk map (DNR gypsy moth risk map). Communities were encouraged to assess the health status of their forest resources through a cost-shared tree inventory. Federal oak wilt suppression funds, in the amount of \$433,000, helped cover oak wilt management strategies so that ReLeaf funding could be redirected to other plant health activities.

### Part (B) Local Matching Grant Tree Planting Projects

Community tree planting projects invest in the diversification of tree species and increase the tree canopy, thus increasing the investment of the tree resource and their benefits. Tree planting also unites community residents to come together to plan and implement a project in their community to increase the quality of life. Trees enhance tourism, reduce energy costs, restore native forest communities, restore habitats, mitigate storm water runoff, clean the air, and increase property values. Communities completed approximately 17 tree planting projects statewide using primarily native trees. The tree planting grant was supported by technical assistance and education by DNR, Tree Trust, and University of Minnesota Tree Care Advisors. These groups provided:

- Educational workshops that stress the value of trees, proper planting and maintenance, species selection and other important information needed to care for the resource
- Links for communities to the MNTREES.org website, which provides extra resources for additional support
- A "toolbox" to all grantees with a list of assistance options that develop sustainable programs (increase Tree City USA designations, PMAS values, etc.)
- Direct technical assistance to communities, which helped them formalize and integrate their program into the community infrastructure with policy and budget
- Resource materials to support educational outreach
- Field inspections to ensure grant compliance

The statewide steering committee refined its priorities and upon receiving applications, selected projects for funding. On-site field inspections by DNR and Tree Trust helped ensure compliance.

Part (C) Local Matching Grant Community Forestry Assessment Projects To ensure a sustainable forest and to build capacity, an inventory and assessment of existing resources is needed. This provides the basis for better management plans and comprehensive planning. Plans accomplished the following:

• Identify existing species diversity and condition

- Provide a basis for natural resource protection with their public infrastructure, zoning, and comprehensive plans
- Identify existing insect and disease problems
- Accelerate technical assistance to ensure communities use inventory and assessment methods appropriate to their needs and information is routinely updated.

Technical assistance provided support to:

- Train volunteers, when needed, to input data for inventory
- Provide workshops and direct assistance for guidance of developing and implementing an inventory and assessment
- Provide information about technology to input data for reporting

Two projects received special recognition. Mountain Lake's citizen-led inventory and tree risk management project was feature in the *Shade Tree Advocate* newsletter (<u>http://www.mnstac.org/STA/2006/fall-draft.pdf</u>), and Red Wing's Urban Forest Asset Management Program received an innovation award from the Minnesota Shade Tree Advisory Committee (<u>http://www.mnstac.org/WH/mnstac\_awards\_forms.htm</u>).

Budget	LCMR Request	Other Funding
Grant Administration		\$15,000 (DNR)
Education/Tech Assistance		\$105,000 (DNR)
Contractual:		
Tree Trust	\$35,500	
Tree Trust Operating	\$4,500	
Printing:		
RFP, Ed. Materials	\$7,000	
Local Match	n einen einen hie er eine General Menne Menne einen	\$440,000
Grants to Communities	\$376,583	
Grant for Storm Response	\$21,417	
Subtotal	\$445,000	\$560,000
Total Spent	\$388,610	
Balance	\$56,390	

# Summary Budget Information for Result 1 <u>(includes parts A, B, C)</u>: LCMR Budget \$445,000 Balance \$56,390

#### B. Result 2: Community Natural Resources Composite Maps

DNR Forestry's Resource Assessment staff produced and provided approximately 50 grantees with Community Natural Resource Composite Maps. In addition to the oak wilt control data grantees have updated in the past, these maps include other significant natural and cultural features in each grantee's neighborhood, community, or county. By

1- - - <u>2</u>

providing DNR with data about management activities, we are able to update the maps for the grantees each year. Grantees are encouraged to share this information with other staff and elected officials and use this information to update comprehensive plans in making other local land use decisions. Technical assistance was provided to:

- Link to inventory and assessment results
- Coordinate and implement workshops about the importance of mapping and mapping resources
- Provide individual assistance to incorporate mapping into the management plans

# Summary Budget Information for Result 2: LCMR Budget \$55,000 Balance \$25,900

Budget	LCMR Request	Other Funding
Contract Administration		\$2,000 (DNR)
Education/Tech Assistance		\$20,000 (DNR)
Contractual:		
Resource Assessment	\$55,000	
Subtotal	\$55,000	\$22,000
Total Spent	29,100	
Balance	25,900	

# V. TOTAL LCMR PROJECT BUDGET

All Results: Personnel:	\$90,500
All Results: Equipment:	\$0
All Results: Development:	\$398,000
All Results: Acquisition:	\$0
All Results: Other (direct operating costs):	<u>\$11,500</u>
TOTAL LCMR PROJECT BUDGET:	\$500,000
Total Amount Spent:	<u>\$417,710</u>
Balance:	\$82,290

# Explanation of Capital Expenditures Greater Than \$3,500: Does not apply.

### VI. PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE SPENDING

A. Past Spending

For a more in-depth budget summary see Attachment D: Funding Summary 1990-2007

MN ReLeaf Community Oak Wilt Suppression Program

- LCCMR Budget: \$ 380,000
- Non-LCCMR Budget: <u>\$3,049,500</u>\* Total: \$3,429,500

\*These do not include local or state agency in-kind and staff.

MN ReLeaf Planting, Assessment, and Non-Oak Wilt Forest Health Program

- LCCMR Budget: \$3,306,568
- Non-LCCMR Budget: <u>\$ 300,000</u>\* Total: \$3,606,568

\*These do not include local or state agency in-kind and staff.

# B. Current Spending

Federal Oak Wilt Suppression Funds in the amount of \$433,267 were used for matching grants, technical assistance, and assessment of the extent of oak wilt in Minnesota. This allowed LCMR funds to concentrate on other forest health threats, tree planting, inventory/management plans, and the comprehensive natural resource maps. Federal Oak Wilt Suppression funds are part of the overall project, but they were not used to match LCMR funds. Federal U&CF funds in the amount of \$37,000 supplemented the technical assistance for statewide programming.

# C. Required Match

The programmatic intent was to attain an overall match, including both cash and in-kind contribution value, averaging 1.28 local dollars per state dollar. Communities and NGOs that receive sub-grants through Mn ReLeaf were required to match the grant with at least 50% of the total project cost in local cash or in-kind contributions (staff time, equipment, etc.).

D. Future Spending

# VII. PROJECT PARTNERS

A. Project Partners:

DNR contributed staff time valued at approximately \$137,000 at no cost to the project. The following staff contributed time:

- Ken Holman, DNR Program Liaison, Regions 1 and 2
- Don Mueller, DNR Program Liaison, Regions 3 and 4
- Susan Burks, Forest Health Liaison
- Ed Hayes, Forest Health Liaison
- Renee Hendricks, Contract Administrator
- DNR Forestry Area staff statewide

Tree Trust staff provided technical assistance and educational services to communities. Federal U&CF program funds contributed \$80,000 to compliment LCMR funds for contractual/technical assistance and administrative assistance. Staff personnel:

- Janette Monear-Director of Urban and Community Forestry
- Gail Nozal Program Manager
- Kirsten Andenas Aligada Coordinator
- Paul Wierzbecki Program Coordinator
- Barbara Spears Program Coordinator

DNR Forestry Resource Assessment staff also provided GIS analysis.

The 15 member Minnesota ReLeaf Steering Committee volunteered time to direct the project. They represent the Minnesota Shade Tree Advisory Committee, Minnesota Department of Agriculture, Board of Soil and Water Resources, various county and municipal governments, and private consultants.

**VIII. DISSEMINATION:** Brochures and other public information produced through this project are disseminated through the DNR Information Center, local DNR offices, local and state educational events, and through local project sponsors. The final report and its appendices detail project accomplishments, organizations and groups who participated, project highlights, and recommendations for future programs. All new publications and management tools are available via the DNR web page (www.dnr.state.mn.us) and with links available through www.mntrees.org.

#### **IX. LOCATION**

Inventory, Management Plans, Planting and General Forest Health Projects: Communities in all ECS subsections are eligible.

#### X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Periodic work program progress reports were submitted April 29, 2005, July 28,2006, and May 2, 2008. The final program report and associated products were submitted on August 15, 2008.

XI. RESEARCH PROJECTS: Not applicable

Attachment A: Budget Detail for 2005 Project Final Report--8/15/2008 Minnesota ReLeaf Community Forest Development and Protection

Project Manager Name: Ken Holman

LCMR Requested Dollars: \$500,000

2005 LCMR Proposal Budget	<u>Result 1 Budget:</u>	Amount Spent	Balance	Result 2 Budget:	Amount Spent	Balance	LCMR Total For Budget
BUDGET ITEM	Local Matching Community Forestry Grant Projects			Community Natural Resources Composite Map			
PERSONNEL:						I	
Tree Trust Staff Positions	\$35,500	\$34,000	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$35,500
Professional/technical: DNR Forestry Resource	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,000	\$29,100	\$25,900	\$55,000
Assessment UnitCreate Digital community Natural							
Resources Maps							
Other direct operating costs:							
Tree Trust Travel	\$2,700	\$2,056	\$644	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,700
Tree Trust Office Expense	\$500	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500
Tree Trust Communication Expense	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,300
Printing	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,000
Matching Grants to Communities	\$376,583	\$340,754	\$35,829	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$376,583
Storm Contingency Grants	\$21,417	\$3,000	\$18,417	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,417
COLUMN TOTAL	\$445,000	\$388,610	\$56,390	\$55,000	\$29,100	\$25,900	\$500,000

Organization	LCCMR \$	LCCMR \$ Paid	Balance	Fed. Oak Wilt \$	Status
Andover	\$3,500	\$3,326	\$174	\$20,000	Complete
Apple Valley	\$27,498	\$27,498	\$0		Complete
Baldwin Township	\$500	\$457	\$43		Complete
Birnamwood Golf Course	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0		Complete
Blue Earth	\$5,000	\$2,028	\$2,972	\$0	Complete
Brainerd Independent School	***	<b>6</b> 4 070		•••	
District Chisago County SWCD	\$2,000	\$1,079	\$921		Complete
Columbus Township	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0		Complete Complete
Crystal	\$28,300	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$20,000 \$0	Complete
East Bethel	\$20,300	\$28,300	\$0 \$0	<del>پ</del> و \$10,500	Complete
East Central Woodland Owners	ψυ	ψυ	φυ	\$10,500	Complete
Council (for Mille Lacs County)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2.000	Complete
Falcon Heights	\$7,500	\$6,900	\$600		Complete
Fergus Falls	\$6,000		\$6,000		Dropped
Fulton Neighborhood Association	\$10,000		\$0		Complete
Ham Lake	\$2,000		\$0		Complete
Hendricks	\$11,000		\$0		Complete
nver Grove Heights	\$14,000	\$13,547	\$453	\$10,000	Complete
santi County	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65,000	Complete
Kingsfield Neighborhood					
Association	\$10,000		\$0	\$0	Complete
_ake Elmo	\$0		\$0		Complete
akeville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,000	Complete
Linden Hills Neighborhood Council	\$10,000		\$0		Complete
Lino Lakes	\$0		\$0		Complete
Linwood Township	\$0		\$0	\$5,000	Complete
Livonia Township	\$500				Complete
Madison	\$12,000				Complete
Mahtomedi May Township	\$4,000				Dropped
Minnesota Forestry Association	\$0 \$5,000				Complete Complete
Minnesota Landscape Arboretum	\$10,000				Dropped
Mounds View	\$2,000				Complete
Mountain Lake Public School	\$7,500				Complete
New Brighton	\$3,000				Complete
Nokomis East Neighborhood	+0,000	+0,000		+,	
Association	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$(	\$0	Complete
North Oaks	\$0			\$20,000	Complete
North St. Paul	\$7,200				Complete
Oak Grove	\$0				Complete
Paynesville	\$6,017				Complete
Proctor	\$5,000				Complete
Ramsey	\$0		\$0	\$20,000	Complete
Ramsey County Public Works	\$400				Dropped
Red Wing	\$13,000				) Complete
Richfield	\$19,000				) Complete
Robbinsdale	\$33,000				Complete
Roseville	\$8,500				Complete
Shakopee	\$0				Complete
Sherburne County	\$1,200				Complete
Sleepy Eye	\$4,170				Complete
St. Cloud	\$15,000				Complete
St. Francis	\$0				Complete
St. Louis Park	\$50,000				Complete
Stearns County SWCD White Bear Township	\$0				Complete Complete
Windom Park Citizens in Action	\$15,148				Complete
Woodbury	\$15,148				Complete
Worthington	\$4,650				Complete
Storm Grant: Waterville	\$4,050				Complete
	ψ1,41/	\$3,000	φ10, <del>4</del> 1	φ(	- compicto
TOTALS	\$398,000	\$343,754	\$54,24	5 \$433,26	7

# **Attachment C: Tree Trust Accomplishments**

# 2005-2007 Minnesota ReLeaf Community Forest Development & Protection Program

2005

- Organized Minnesota ReLeaf Steering Committee to review applications and select grantees for 2005-07
- Reviewed 2005-07 assessment and tree planting applications
- Provided photos to the MN DNR Volunteer Publications for April 2006 issue on the Value of Trees
- Coordinated FH meeting at Bunker Hills (confirm speakers, send invitation letter to 2003-07 FH Grantees, arrange for ISA CEUs)
- Contacted Dave Hanson about 2005 Tree Inspector workshops to see if ReLEaf could be included. Agenda already set by the time he was contacted.
- Took minutes at the MN OW Planning meeting with the USDA Forest Service
- Assisted with 6 Month LCMR for the 2003-05 biennium
- Provided grantee list to MnSTAC Awards committee for mailing of MnSTAC Awards nomination form.
- Worked with the USDA Forest Service and DNR to secure \$25,000 for tree planting education

Technical Assistance-Contracted Projects

- Provided technical assistance to the NENA Neighborhood under contract with the neighborhood organization for a tree planting project
- Provided technical assistance in tree planting assessment for the City of Hendricks under contract with the city.
- Provided technical assistance in the way of volunteer inventory training to the Northside Residents Redevelopment Council under contract with NRRC
- Provided technical assistance in tree planting and assessment for Braham schools under contract with the school district
- Completed assessment for Little Earth Housing Community under contract with Little Earth.

2006

- Called 37 grantees to remind them to submit reimbursements and determine if any communities would be returning unused grant funds.
- Met with Lind-Bohnannon Neighborhood (2003-05 grantee) to provide technical assistance in finishing project for 2006.
- Contacted Mountain Lake about writing an article about their ReLeaf project for the MN Shade Tree Advocate

		Attachmen	t D: Minne	esota ReL	eaf Com	nunity Fo	prestry Gran	nt	Program:	Funding \$	Summary	1990 to 200	7		
		Tree Planting		Oak Wilt				Π	For. Health	Inventories	5		Totals by S	ource	
								H						Lottery in	
		LCMR	Gen. Fund	LCMR	Gen. Fund	Lottery in Lieu	Federal	11	LCMR	LCMR	Federal	LCMR	Gen. Fund	- 1	Federal
FY 06-07	Allocated	\$171,108					\$433,267	$\square$	\$146,998	\$82,894		\$401,000	\$0	\$0	\$433,267
	Expended	\$138,207						Ŧ	\$141,970	\$66,578		\$346,755	\$0	\$0	\$0
FY 04-05	Allocated	\$228,426					\$390,000	+	\$219,000	\$110,000		\$557,426	\$0	\$0	\$390,000
	Expended	\$77,000						T	\$37,562	\$67,426		\$181,988	\$0	\$0	\$0
FY 02-03	I					\$449,500						\$0	\$0	\$449,500	\$0
FY 00-01		\$475,000		\$300,000	1	[]		+		\$75,000		\$850,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
EV 00.00			£200.000	<b>#</b> 80.000	L \$250.000			1			£25.000	¢200.000	£450.000	\$0	¢25.000
FY 98-99		\$210,000	\$200,000	\$80,000	\$250,000			+		l	\$25,000	\$290,000	\$450,000	\$0]	\$25,000
FY 96-97		\$400,000					\$560,000	‡			\$25,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$0	\$585,000
FY 94-95	1	\$400,000					\$560,000	$\pm$		I	\$25,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$0	\$585,000
FY 92-93	1						\$560,000	╈			\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$585,000
FY 90-91	1	\$959,250					\$280,000	+				\$959,250	\$0	\$0	\$280,000
	1							1				4 7 6 7 6 7	**		**
Total Expended Total Allocated		\$215,207 \$2,843,784	\$0 \$200,000	\$0 \$380,000			\$0 \$2.783.267	+	\$179,532 \$365,998	\$134,004 \$267,894	\$0 \$100,000	\$528,743 \$3,857,676	\$0 \$450,000	\$0 \$449,500	\$0 \$2,883,267

Total Allocated FY90-FY07	
Tree Planting	\$3,043,784
Oak Wilt	\$3,862,767
Forest Health	\$365,998
Inventory	\$367,894
Grand Total	\$7,640,443

Total Allocated	d by Source
LCMR	\$3,857,676
Gen. Fund	\$450,000
Lottery in Lieu	\$449,500
Federal	\$2,883,267
Grand Total	\$7,640,443

LCMR includes Oil Overcharge, FRF, ETF \*LCMR FY 00-01 includes \$200,000 designated for replanting following tornados in St. Peter and Comfrey