

Minnesota Family Investment Program Caseload and Participant Characteristics

Characteristics of December 2001 MFIP Cases and Eligible Adults

Data for the month of **December 2001**

Published May 2002

Revised October 24, 2002 Minnesota Department of Human Services Program Assessment & Integrity Division, 651-296-3198 444 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155 http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/live/DM-0061-Eng.pdf

This information is available in other forms to people with disabilities by contacting us at 651-296-4410 (voice) or through the Minnesota Relay Service at 711 or 1-800-627-3529 (TDD), or 1-877-627-3848 (speech to speech relay service).

Prepared by Leslie I. Crichton, Ph.D. Senior Research Analysis Specialist (651) 215-9520 / leslie.crichton@state.mn.us.

Revised October 24, 2002: Sanction data on page 8 and Tables 4, 10, and 11.

Characteristics of December 2001 Minnesota Family Investment Program Cases and Eligible Adults

This is the fifth in a series of annual reports providing a snapshot of the universe of participants in Minnesota's public assistance programs for families. The first caseload characteristics report described eligible recipients and paid cases in December 1997, the month before the start of the program change statewide from Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) to the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP). Subsequent reports described MFIP participants and cases in December 1998, December 1999, and December 2000.

This report describes characteristics of eligible adults and active cases receiving family public assistance through MFIP in December 2001.¹ There is information on the demographics of adult recipients, family composition and residence, and economic status for December family assistance cases for the state, counties, and regions.² Tables with the data can be found in the appendix. The extensive footnotes that accompany the tables are essential for their interpretation.

The next report in this series will describe the family assistance caseload in December 2002. Input from readers is invited, both reactions to this report and suggestions for indicators in future reports.

Findings that parallel the state statistics in this report for racial/ethnic groups and the major immigrant groups in Minnesota will be released for the second year this summer as part of the Department of Human Service's series on *Welfare Reform Outcomes for Racial/Ethnic and Immigrant Groups in Minnesota*.

Statewide Data

For purposes of this report, the MFIP population has been divided into three segments: child-only assistance (cases in which children but not their adult caregivers are eligible for assistance), cases with one eligible adult, and cases with two eligible adults. The Mille Lacs American Indian Tribal Council took over administration of cases in their jurisdiction in January 1999, and their Tribal TANF is a separate program. Federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funds all family assistance cases except MFIP cases with two eligible adults which have been state-funded since October

¹ Cases receiving a grant are known as "paid cases"; excluded are cases suspended for the month and cases recouped to zero (entire grant applied to a debt to the state, for example for an overpayment in a previous month). Typically adults on suspended cases are working and earning too much to be eligible for a grant.

² Whole percentages for caseload subgroups sometimes add to 99% or 101% in the text due to rounding.

2001. These cases are referred to as two-parent cases although they could be headed by two relative caregivers. Also, some one-eligible adult cases were headed by two parents, one ineligible for MFIP.

There were 43,755 cases receiving family assistance payments from MFIP or Tribal TANF in December 2001,³ compared with 43,749 cases in December 1998, 41,534 cases in December 1999, and 40,761 cases in December 2000. Decreases in total assistance cases in previous years have been erased by the overall 7 percent increase during 2001. A recession occurred in 2001.

Table 1 gives the numbers of each type of MFIP case and Tribal TANF. The number of cases with one eligible adult increased by 6 percent (from 27,731) in one year and the number of cases with two eligible adults advanced by 18 percent from 4,624. The number of child-only cases increased by 6 percent over the December 2000 figure of 8,298.

Table 1. MFIP family assistance paid cases in December 2001

	Cases with Eligible Adults					All
December 2001	One Eligible	Two Eligible	Total	Child Only	Tribal TANF	Family Assistance
	Adult	Adults	Cases	Assistance	Cases	Cases
Paid Cases	29,366	5,457	34,823	8,829	103	43,755
	67.1%	12.5%	79.6%	20.2%	0.2%	100%
Eligible Adults	29,366	10,914	40,280	0	126	40,406
	72.7%	27.0%	99.7%	0.0%	0.3%	100%
Eligible	56,747	14,720	71,467	16,580	231	88,278
Children	64.3%	16.7%	81.0%	18.8%	0.3%	100%

The year 2001 caseload figures continued the post-AFDC trends of increasing numbers of child-only cases (up 24 percent since December 1998, the end of the year in which AFDC cases were converted to MFIP). However, the picture for cases with eligible adults was more complicated. While the total number of cases with eligible adults was 5 percent less than in December 1998, the number of cases with one eligible adult was down 8 percent while the number of cases with two eligible adults was up 13 percent over the four-year period.

Table 1 also gives the numbers of eligible adults and eligible children in MFIP and Tribal TANF. The total number of adults increased from December 2000 by 3,294 to 40,406, and total number of children increased by 3,991 to 88,278.

The remaining tables in this report will describe MFIP cases – child-only assistance cases and cases with eligible adults – and not Tribal TANF cases.

2

³ Data for this report were extracted from the DHS data warehouse in February 2001 after data through January 2001 had been uploaded.

Demographic Characteristics

Table 2 – which can be found in the appendix – gives demographic characteristics⁴ of all eligible adults and also groups these adults according to whether there were one or two eligible adults in the case. Characteristics reported include age, gender, education, marital status, ethnicity, and citizenship. This table shows the diversity of the caseload. It also shows demographic patterns similar to those reported in the earlier profiles for age, gender, education, and marital status. In December 2001, there were relatively fewer high school graduates in the caseload than in earlier Decembers and the racial/ethnic and citizen distributions have shifted. Demographic highlights include:

- Most caregivers were in their twenties (45 percent) or thirties (30 percent), while nearly a tenth were teens and fewer than 200 were 60 years old or older.
- Most eligible adults were women; fewer than one-fifth were men. However, 92 percent of adults on one-eligible adult cases were female.
- Lack of education was an issue for many, with 49 percent lacking high school credentials, up from 44 percent in December 2000 and 42 percent in December 1998.
- More than half of all eligible adults (59 percent) were listed as never having been married, up from 56 percent in the previous December.
- Among adults eligible for MFIP, whites were the largest racial/ethnic group (47 percent) and blacks the second largest (32 percent), while the other three groups – Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian⁵ – each accounted for fewer than 10 percent of the caseload. Only 0.3 percent of eligible adults were not of Hispanic ethnicity and identified themselves with more than one race.⁶ This is very close to the December 2000 distribution. Comparing absolute numbers of eligible adults in December 2001 with those in December 1998, the numbers were down greatly for Asians (-33 percent), down more moderately for American Indians (-8 percent) and whites (-7 percent), and up for Hispanics (10 percent) and blacks (13 percent).

⁴ Note that characteristics with no bearing on eligibility may not have been routinely updated after application. This includes education, marital status, and citizenship so, for example, high school graduation and citizenship may be underreported. DHS has been reminding line workers of the importance of the data they enter for purposes beyond eligibility.

⁵ American Indian counts are for MFIP participants only, not Tribal TANF recipients.

⁶ DHS administrative data for race and ethnicity now follow the racial/ethnic questions and coding used in the 2000 U.S. census. Respondents could choose one or more races (American Indian, Asian, Black, Pacific Islander, White) and answer yes or no to Hispanic ethnicity. For analysis, all people who said they were Hispanic were included in this category regardless of which race or races they selected. Everyone else who chose one race was categorized in that race. For this report, the very few people identified as Pacific Islanders were included in the Asian category, as previously. Anyone who chose more than one race was placed in the multiple race category.

• Sixteen percent of all eligible adults lacked U.S. citizenship (at least at the time of application for assistance), ranging from 75 percent of Asian adult participants to 3 percent of Hispanics and 0.3 percent of American Indians. The biggest change in percent of non-citizens over the three-year period from December 1998 to December 2001 was among blacks; eligible black non-citizens increased from 14 percent to 25 percent of black participants. Asian non-citizen participants decreased slightly (from 81 percent to 75 percent) and the percentages of non-citizens in the other racial/ethnic groups were steady. In absolute numbers of non-citizens eligible for MFIP, the biggest immigrant groups were blacks (3,176) and Asians (2,036). Blacks and Hispanics were the two groups with the largest increases overall (as noted in the previous point). Increases in the number of cases over the last year have been greater for immigrants than citizens among Blacks (15 percent versus 7 percent gains for 9 percent overall) and greater for citizens than immigrants for Hispanics (13 percent versus 7 percent for 12 percent overall).

Family Composition and Residence

Table 3 in the appendix reports separate statistics on characteristics for families receiving child-only assistance and those with adults eligible for MFIP. Cases were divided into family types according to whether adults cared for their own children (including natural, adopted, and step children), adults cared for other relative children only, or the adult applicant was a pregnant woman responsible for no other children in the household.

Sixty percent of child-only assistance cases were headed by the children's own parents (ineligible for MFIP for reasons explained below) and 40 percent were headed by relative caregivers, unchanged from December 2000. Most cases with eligible adults were headed by parents, with about 3 percent headed by a pregnant woman (and sometimes including a spouse), and a few by relatives, again very similar to last year.

A second caregiver is an adult who lives in the household and is either a second parent (i.e., has a child in common with the applicant and the child lives with them), or the spouse of the caregiver who applied for assistance, or fills both roles. Some cases with one eligible adult have both parents in the home, with one parent ineligible for MFIP. Two-caregiver families accounted for 19 percent of child-only cases, 5 percent of MFIP families with one eligible adult and, of course, all families with two eligible adults.

As mentioned above, the percentage caseload increase for cases with two eligible adults was three times larger than the percentage increase for cases with one eligible adult (18 percent versus 6 percent). The policy regarding marriage did not change during this time; second parents could be eligible on a case regardless of whether the parents were married. In 60 percent of cases with two caregivers in which both were eligible, these parents were married to each other. Spouses living together headed 4 percent of families with one eligible adult.

Table 3 also describes adult caregivers who were not eligible for assistance in December 2001. Forty-two percent of all caregivers in child-only cases (all ineligible for assistance) were relative caregivers; the rest were parents. Forty-four percent of the ineligible adult caregivers were parents receiving Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payments, 11 percent were undocumented non-citizens, and 2 percent had been disqualified for fraud or some other reason. Among the 29,366 MFIP cases with one eligible adult, there were 1,585 families with a second parent in the household. Eighty-nine percent of these ineligible parents were receiving SSI, nearly 9 percent were undocumented non-citizens, and under 3 percent had been disqualified for fraud.

The number of children in the family who were living in the applicant's household is given for eligible children, ineligible children (most receiving SSI payments), and all minor children. MFIP families tended to be small, with two children on the average. Seventy-eight percent of child-only assistance families and 68 percent of the families with eligible adults had only one or two children. Families with no children included pregnant women with no other children in the household and also some cases that were transitioning on or off assistance. Fewer than 2 percent of all families had more than six children. Families with two eligible adults tended to have more children, on the average, than the other families (2.8 versus 2.0). Five percent of both child-only assistance cases and families with eligible adults had one or more children receiving SSI payments.

The age of the youngest child is given for all minor children living in the family. Families with eligible adults tended to have young children. Two-thirds of these families included a child under the age of six. The median age of the youngest child was 3 years. Families with two eligible adults tended to have younger children than families with one eligible adult. The youngest children on child-only assistance cases were older, had a median age of 9 years, with only one-third under six and more than one-fourth teens.

Finally, Table 3 reports where families receiving assistance lived by region: Hennepin County, Ramsey County, Twin Cities metro suburban counties (Anoka, Carver, Chisago, Dakota, Isanti, Scott, Sherburne, Washington, and Wright), or greater Minnesota (the remaining 76 counties). Residential patterns were similar for the child-only cases and cases with eligible adults, with roughly one-third of each in Hennepin County and in greater Minnesota, a fifth in Ramsey County, and a tenth in the metro suburban counties. As noted in previous years, relatively more families with two eligible adults lived outside the Twin Cities metro area and relatively fewer lived in Hennepin County.

The urban counties, Hennepin and Ramsey, had a greater share of the welfare population than they did of the general population, and the other regions a smaller share than their population percentages. The 2000 census found 23 percent of Minnesotans lived in Hennepin County, 10 percent in Ramsey County, 25 percent in the metropolitan area suburbs, and 42 percent in the rest of the state.

Economic Characteristics

Table 4 in the appendix reports the following economic characteristics of MFIP paid cases in December 2001:

- the number of months the case was active in Minnesota on either AFDC, Family General Assistance (FGA), or MFIP in the nine-year period between January 1993 and December 2001,⁷
- the number of counted months (i.e., months of MFIP assistance and assistance in other states counting toward the 60-month lifetime limit) for the eligible adult on the case who had the greater number of counted months, 8
- number of MFIP food-only cases (i.e., cases that received food assistance but no cash assistance),
- the number of December 2001 cases that were new to welfare in Minnesota in the year 2001 and how many applicants on these cases had moved to Minnesota during the year,
- earned income in the case budget that produced the grant for December 2001 based on verified income earned in October if available, otherwise on prospective earnings for December (prospective earnings are estimates used for new and migrant cases),
- total household income earned by adults financially responsible for the children in the month of December 2001,
- the number of hours worked by the adults financially responsible for the children in the month,
- employment services exemptions from work and work requirements,
- sanctions reducing grants, and
- child support disbursements, both current and arrears.

⁷ Administrative records on the current system (MAXIS) go back to 1991, but the nine-year period was used to provide a comparison with the welfare-length results in the December 1999 and December 2000 reports. Prior MFIP months would include months in child-only cases, cases with eligible adults, and tribal TANF cases.

⁸ Minnesota and most other states started counting months toward the time limit in July 1997; some states started counting as early as September 1996.

Welfare use. Twenty percent of cases with two eligible adults, 30 percent of cases with one eligible adult, and 46 percent of child-only assistance cases had been active cases in Minnesota for more than 60 months total in the previous nine years. Compared with December 2000, the percentage of long-term cases in the caseload dropped from 33 percent to 29 percent for all MFIP cases with eligible adults, but stayed close to the same for child-only cases.

Time limit. Ten percent of the MFIP cases with eligible adults had already used at least four years of the 60 months allowed under the TANF legislation by December 2001. Eighteen percent of active cases in December 2000 had used at least three years by that date. June 2002 will be the 60th month since Minnesota started counting months of assistance toward the 60-month limit. An unknown portion of the 3,396 cases with 49 to 54 months will be applying for extensions to take effect in the second half of the year 2002. Because some states issued TANF assistance – and started counting months toward the limit – as early as September 1996, some December 2001 cases that came to Minnesota from other states had already reached the time limit. Thirty-four of these cases had qualified for an extension.

New cases. Twenty-three percent of the cases with eligible adults and 15 percent of the child-only cases were new cases⁹ in 2001, similar to previous years. Twenty-eight percent of new cases with eligible adults and 18 percent of new child-only cases had moved into the state during the year 2001 (some of these were families returning to Minnesota). These proportions of in-migrants have been dropping over the past two years, with a 6 percent difference for cases with eligible adults (dropping from 34 percent to 28 percent) and a 5 percent difference for child-only cases (23 percent to 18 percent).

Food-only cases. MFIP grants include both a cash grant to be spent at the family's discretion and funds that can be spent only for food. As families work their way toward leaving assistance, their cash grant is decreased first, so that some families receive only the food portion. If only the food portion is received, the month is not counted toward the lifetime limit. Sometimes cases with small cash grants opt out of the cash portion so the month will not be counted; they still receive the food portion. Eleven percent of MFIP cases in December 2001 were food-only cases.

Working. Budgetable counted earnings exclude certain kinds and percentages of earnings and are used, along with family size, to determine the size of the MFIP grant. Thirty-three percent of cases with eligible adults had earnings budgeted to reduce the MFIP grant in December 2001. Thirty percent of cases with one eligible adult and 53 percent of cases with two eligible adults had an adult working in December 2001 (down from 39 percent and 62 percent, respectively, in December 2000). Five percent of cases with one eligible adult and 19 percent of cases with two eligible adults reported 160 or more hours worked that month.

⁹ Defined as cases that were not active in Minnesota at any time from 1992 through 2000.

Exemptions. Eleven percent of adults eligible for MFIP had a non-employment related exemption from employment services activities in December 2001. The most frequent reasons were to care for a child under the age of one (5 percent of all eligible adults) or because the eligible adults were ill or incapacitated (3 percent) or cared for an ill or incapacitated family member (2 percent). These rates of exemption were virtually the same as reported in December 1999 and December 2000. This section of the table is in terms of numbers of eligible adults, except for the last row that consolidates adults into cases required to participate in work or in employment-related activities. Ninety percent of MFIP cases fell into this category and could be sanctioned for not cooperating.

Sanctions. Ten percent of all MFIP cases with eligible adults were in sanction status in December 2001; this was11 percent of cases that were required to participate with employment services. These percentages were the same as the December 2000 levels. Sanctions occurred in 12 percent of cases with two eligible adults that were required to participate and 10 percent of such cases with one eligible adult. Child-only cases are not required to participate.

Child support. In December 2001, \$1.8 million collected as child support was disbursed on behalf of MFIP families with eligible adults, an increase of more than \$400,000 over December 2000. Seventy-two percent of this amount was disbursed for current child support and the rest for arrears. All current support was passed through to custodial families and counted against MFIP grants. In December 2001, current child support was paid directly to 16 percent of MFIP families with eligible adults receiving MFIP grants and 15 percent of child-only assistance families receiving MFIP grants. Current child support paid to MFIP families with eligible adults was \$100 or less for 17 percent of the cases with payments, between \$100 and \$500 for 77 percent of these cases, and over \$500 for 6 percent of these cases, a very modest improvement over December 2000. For child-only cases, the distribution was very similar, with 20 percent of those getting a current child support payment receiving \$100 or less and 5 percent receiving \$500 or more.

County and Regional Data

The rest of the tables in this report break the state results down by county and by geographic region. Table 5 in the appendix gives the total number of MFIP paid cases and numbers of each type of case by county and economic region. For example, Dakota County had 1,117 MFIP cases with eligible adults, 87 percent with one and 13 percent

¹⁰Employment-related exemptions were included in earlier reports, but are not here because data on employment – earnings and work hours – are more reliable indicators of work effort. Employment-related exemptions are granted based on prospective work hours; if those hours are not actually worked, the case can be sanctioned.

¹¹ Prior to January 1, 2001, current support for months in which the custodial family received assistance was retained by the state as reimbursement for the assistance; arrears owed government were still retained in 2001.

with two eligible adults; these cases represented 3.2 percent of all state MFIP cases. This county also had 254 child-only cases, 2.9 percent of the state's child-only cases.

Table 6 through Table 10¹² contain selected summary data for cases with one eligible adult (a group including 84 percent of MFIP cases with eligible adults). Table 11 gives economic data for cases with two eligible adults. The reader should refer to footnotes in the related state tables¹³ for information needed to interpret findings in these tables. Percentages and means will be less stable from year to year for counties with small caseloads; extreme values are often found for small counties. There is less variability for regional findings.

Demographic Characteristics

Table 6 in the appendix gives data on age, gender, education, and marital status of MFIP adult participants who were the only eligible adult on their case for all counties and economic regions. The percentages of teens varied across counties from a low of none to a high of 24 percent (versus between 9 percent and 15 percent for the regions and 10 percent statewide). Percentages of the adults age 30 or older ranged from 23 percent to 67 percent for counties (between 37 percent and 47 percent regionally and 45 percent statewide). Most caregivers (92 percent) were mothers (or grandmothers, aunts, older sisters, or cousin), and the range for percentage of females was between 78 percent and 100 percent in the counties. While 48 percent of the eligible adults in these cases did not have high school credentials, counties had caseloads with between 16 percent and 71 percent lacking both a high school diploma and a GED. Statewide, two-thirds were recorded as never having been married (66 percent); this figure was as low as 29 percent and as high as 76 percent in the counties.

Table 7 gives racial/ethnic distributions for the seven largest counties and regions. ¹⁴ Percentages of non-white people in county caseloads ranged from none to 87 percent compared to 55 percent statewide. Percentages of non-citizens in the individual county caseloads ranged from none to 40 percent compared to 13 percent statewide.

Family Composition and Residence

Table 8 in the appendix shows the distribution of types of families. Most MFIP families with one eligible adult were caring for their own children (95 percent). Cases with a pregnant woman and no other children, which made up 3 percent of these cases statewide, ranged from none to 19 percent in the counties. The relative care cases

¹² Means and medians for groups fewer than five are not reported.

¹³ See Table 2 for notes relating to Tables 6 and 7, Table 3 for Tables 8 and 9, and Table 4 for Tables 10 and 11.

¹⁴ Only data for the largest counties are given because of the small numbers of non-whites in some counties.

represented between none and 5 percent in the counties, compared to 1 percent statewide. Two-caregiver MFIP families with only one adult eligible were 5 percent of cases statewide, and between none and 17 percent in the separate counties.

Table 9 gives statistics on children living in MFIP families headed by one eligible adult, including both eligible and ineligible minor children in the family. Percentages of families with one child, two children, three children, and four or more children are given in the left section of the table. The largest families represented between 0 percent and 22 percent of county cases (12 percent statewide). The right section of the table gives data on the age of the youngest child in the family, with between 4 percent and 40 percent of families in counties having a child under one year of age (19 percent statewide).

Economic Characteristics

Table 10 gives the following economic measures for welfare use, work and income, exemptions, sanctions, and child support for cases with one eligible adult. Table 11 gives the same information for cases with two eligible adults:

- the average number of months of family assistance between January 1993 and December 2001 and the number and percentage of cases with more than 60 months during that time,
- the average number of months counted toward the 60-month time limit and the number and percentage of cases with more than 48 months accumulated as of December 2001,
- the number and percentage of all cases that were new to Minnesota family assistance in 2001 and the number and percentage of all cases that were both new and had moved to Minnesota during 2001,
- the number and percentage of food-only cases,
- the number and percentage of cases with earnings counted toward determining the size of the MFIP grant and the average of these budgeted earnings,
- the number and percentage of cases with an adult worker and the average amount of total income for these cases.
- the number and percentage of cases with reported work hours and the average number of hours worked by one or both employed adults,
- the number and percentage of persons (not cases) with employment services nonemployment related exemptions (identical to the percentage of cases for cases with one eligible adult, but equal to the number of exempt persons divided by the total number of eligible adults – two times the number of cases – for cases with two eligible adults),

- the number and percentage of all cases with sanctions, and
- the number and percentage of cases with current child support disbursements and the median payment.

As observed for demographic and family composition measures, there was considerable variability in these measures across counties and less variability across regions. For example, the percentage of cases with more than four years of counted months was 11 percent statewide and ranged between 0 percent and 17 percent for the counties and between 4 percent and 15 percent for the economic regions. Also, while 34 percent of eligible adults on cases with one eligible adult were working statewide, the counties varied between 28 percent and 65 percent and regions varied between 29 percent and 46 percent.

Appendix: Statewide and County/Regional Tables

Table 2. Demographic characteristics of eligible adults on MFIP paid cases in December 2001
Table 3. Family composition and residence of MFIP paid cases in December 200115
Table 4. Economic characteristics of MFIP paid cases in December 2001
Table 5. December 2001 MFIP paid cases by county and region
Table 6. County and regional demographics of eligible adults on December 2001 MFIP paid cases with one eligible adult: age, gender, education, and marital status
Table 7. Large county and regional demographics of eligible adults on December 2001 MFIP paid cases with one eligible adult: race/ethnicity and citizenship30
Table 8. Family types of December 2001 MFIP families with one eligible adult by county and region
Table 9. All children in December 2001 MFIP families with one eligible adult by county and region
Table 10. Economic characteristics of December 2001 MFIP paid cases with one eligible adult by county and region
Table 11. Economic characteristics of December 2001 MFIP paid cases with two eligible adults by county and region

Table 2. Demographic characteristics of eligible adults on MFIP paid cases in December 2001

		MFIP Paid Cases				
Adult Characteristics		One	Two	All		
		Eligible	Eligible	MFIP		
		Adult	Adults	Cases		
Eligible Adults	Count	29,366	10,914	40,280		
_	Percent of All Eligible Adults	72.9%	27.1%	100.0%		
Age of Adults	Mean	29.8	30.5	30.0		
	Median	28	29	28		
	Minimum	13	14	13		
	Maximum	84	78	84		
Frequency	< 18	627	95	722		
		2.1%	0.9%	1.8%		
	18 - 19	2,330	604	2,934		
		7.9%	5.5%	7.3%		
	20 - 29	13,280	4,976	18,256		
		45.2%	45.6%	45.3%		
	30 - 39	8,414	3,472	11,886		
		28.7%	31.8%	29.5%		
	40 - 49	3,744	1,446	5,190		
		12.7%	13.3%	12.9%		
	50 - 59	818	275	1,093		
		2.8%	2.5%	2.7%		
	60 and over	153	45	198		
		0.5%	0.4%	0.5%		
Gender	Female	27,085	5,457	32,542		
		92.2%	50.0%	80.8%		
	Male	2,281	5,457	7,738		
		7.8%	50.0%	19.2%		
Education	None or Pre-1st Grade	2,606	1,533	4,139		
	or Unknown	8.9%	14.0%	10.3%		
	Grade School	2,592	1045	3,637		
		8.8%	9.6%	9.0%		
	Some High School	8,937	3,084	12,021		
	•	30.4%	28.3%	29.8%		
	High School Graduate	12,786	4527	17,313		
		43.5%	41.5%	43.0%		
	Some Post-Secondary	2,092	587	2,679		
	·	7.1%	5.4%	6.7%		
	College Graduate	209	77	286		
		0.7%	0.7%	0.7%		
	Graduate Degree	144	61	205		
		0.5%	0.6%	0.5%		
	High School Graduate or	15,231	5,252	20,483		
	Higher	51.9%	48.1%	50.9%		

		MFIP Paid Cases				
Adult Characteristics		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	AII MFIP Cases		
Marital Status	Divorced	2,599	261	2,860		
Marital Status	Bivoloca	8.9%	2.4%	7.1%		
	Legally Separated	84	12	96		
	Legally Separated	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%		
	Married, Living with Spouse	2,311	5,147	7,458		
	Married, Erving With opouse	7.9%	47.5%	18.6%		
	Never Married	19,168	4,518	23,686		
	Wever Married	65.6%	41.7%	59.1%		
	Married, Separated	4,714	899	5,613		
	Married, Separated	16.1%	8.3%	14.0%		
	Widowed	346	5	351		
	masusu	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%		
Race/Ethnicity	Asian/Pacific Islander	1,572	1,145	2,717		
		5.4%	10.6%	6.8%		
	Black	10,296	2,476	12,772		
		35.2%	22.8%	31.8%		
	Hispanic	1,496	730	2,226		
		5.1%	6.7%	5.5%		
	American Indian	2,511	1,007	3,518		
		8.6%	9.3%	8.8%		
	White	13,296	5,455	18,751		
		45.4%	50.3%	46.7%		
	Mixed	109	29	138		
		0.4%	0.3%	0.3%		
Citizenship	Non-U.S.	3,696	2,665	6,361		
•		12.7%	24.7%	15.9%		
	U.S.	25,475	8,118	33,593		
		87.3%	75.3%	84.1%		
Non-citizens	Asian/Pacific Islander	1,121	915	2,036		
	Percent of All Asian/Pacific	71.3%	79.9%	74.9%		
	Black	2,094	1,082	3,176		
	Percent of All Blacks	20.3%	43.7%	24.9%		
	Hispanic	294	139	433		
	Percent of All Hispanics	19.7%	19.0%	19.5%		
	White	161	497	658		
	Percent of All Whites	1.2%	9.1%	3.5%		

Notes: Education: This information may not be reliably entered or updated on MAXIS. Some persons with the code for no education/pre-first grade/unknown at application later have that code changed. Increases in education may not be recorded unless they affect eligibility or MFIP requirements (e.g., high school completion for teens). Post-secondary includes some college and/or vocational/technical/business training.

Marital status: This information may not be reliably updated on MAXIS after application. Missing for 216 persons.

Race/Ethnicity: Ethnicity was missing for 158 eligible adults. The Asian and Pacific Islander categories were combined because of the low number of the latter and the historical combining of these groups.

The racial/ethnic coding method that follows the 2000 U.S. Census methodology was initiated for new applicants to MAXIS in December 2000. Ongoing recipients were asked to update their racial choice (yes or no for each of the five racial categories: American Indian, Asian, black, Pacific Islander, white) and ethnicity (Hispanic or not) within one year Tribal TANF recipients (126 eligible adults, 94% of whom were American Indian) were not included.

Citizenship: Attainment of U.S. citizenship may not be recorded unless it affects eligibility (e.g., an undocumented non-citizen becoming a U.S. citizen) so the number of non-citizens may include some current citizens.

Non-citizens: Percent of all people in the racial/ethnic group. Only 0.3% of American Indians were non-citizens and 0.7% of recipients identified themselves with multiple races so these two groups were not included here.

Table 3. Family composition of MFIP paid cases in December 2001

Table 6. Falli	ly composition of MFIP pa			ith Eligible	
		Child	One	Two	
Fan	nily Composition	Only	Eligible	Eligible	Total
		Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
Cases	Count	8,829	29,366	5,457	34,823
Percent	of All MFIP & Child-Only Cases	20.2%	67.3%	12.5%	79.8%
Family Type	Natural/Adopted/Step Children	5,303	28,025	5,402	33,427
Count of Cases		60.1%	95.4%	99.0%	96.0%
	Pregnant Only	0	950	41	991
		0.0%	3.2%	0.8%	2.8%
	Relative Care	3,526	391	14	405
		39.9%	1.3%	0.3%	1.2%
Two-caregiver	Count of Cases	1,654	1,585	5,457	7,042
Families	Percent of Cases in Column	18.7%	5.4%	100.0%	20.2%
Caregivers Married	Count of Cases	1,535	1,278	3,286	4,564
	Percent of Cases in Column	17.4%	4.4%	60.2%	13.1%
Ineligible	All Relative Caregivers	4,449	0		0
Caregivers:		42.4%	0.0%		0.0%
Count of Persons	SSI	4,647	1,402		1,402
Percent of Ineligible		44.3%	88.5%		88.5%
Caregivers	Undocumented Non-citizens	1,138	140		140
	Dia	10.9%	8.8%		8.8%
	Disqualified	222	40		40
	Others	2.1%	2.5%		2.5%
	Others	27	3		0.20/
	Count of Inclinible Coronivers	0.3% 10,483	0.2% 1,585		0.2% 1,585
Number of	Count of Ineligible Caregivers Mean	10,463	1,363	2.7	2.1
Eligible Children in	Count of Cases	8,829	29,366	5,457	34,823
Family &	Median	0,029	29,300		34,023
Household	Minimum		0	2 0	0
liousellolu	Maximum	11	16	15	16
Frequency of Cases	0	30	1,402	69	1,471
l requeriey or ouses		0.3%	4.8%	1.3%	4.2%
	1	4,695	12,684	1,473	14,157
		53.2%		27.0%	40.7%
	2	2,214	7,871	1,497	9,368
	_	25.1%	26.8%	27.4%	26.9%
	3	1,002	4,142	1,078	5,220
		11.3%	14.1%	19.8%	15.0%
	4 - 6	762	2,908	1,102	4,010
		8.6%	9.9%	20.2%	11.5%
	7 - 9	117	333	211	544
		1.3%	1.1%	3.9%	1.6%
	10 or More	9	26	27	53
		0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%
	Count of Eligible Children	16,580	56,747	14,720	71,467

			Cases v	ses with Eligible Adults		
Fan	nily Composition	Child Only Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	
Number of	Frequency of Cases 0	8,277	27,672	5,165	32,837	
Ineligible		93.7%	94.2%	94.6%	94.3%	
Children in	1	472	1,513	261	1,774	
Family & Household	2	5.3%	5.2% 160	4.8% 26	5.1%	
	2	64 0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	186 0.5%	
	3 or More	16	21	5	26	
	o or more	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	
	Count of Ineligible Children	656	1,903	329	2,232	
	Percent of All Children in Column	3.8%	3.2%	2.2%	3.0%	
Number of	Mean	2.0	2.0	2.8	2.1	
Children in	Count of Cases	8,829	29,366	5,457	34,823	
Family &	Median	1	2	2	2	
Household	Minimum	0	0	0	0	
(All Children)	Maximum	11	16	15	16	
Frequency of Cases	0	28	1,039	47	1,086	
	1	0.3%	3.5%	0.9%	3.1%	
	1	4,444 50.3%	12,511 42.6%	1,433 26.3%	13,944 40.0%	
	2	2,280	7,961	1,469	9,430	
	2	25.8%	27.1%	26.9%	27.1%	
	3	1,088		1,112	5,414	
		12.3%	14.6%	20.4%	15.5%	
	4 - 6	849	3,165	1,139	4,304	
		9.6%	10.8%	20.9%	12.4%	
	7 - 9	127	354	227	581	
		1.4%	1.2%	4.2%	1.7%	
	10 or more	13	34	30	64	
	Count of All Children	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	
Age of	Mean	17,236 8.5	58,650 4.8	15,049 2.9	73,699 4.5	
Youngest Child	Count of Cases	8,803		5,435	33,838	
(All Children)	Median	9	3	0, 1 00	3	
(All Official)	Minimum	0		0	0	
	Maximum	19	19	18	19	
Frequency of Cases	< 1 year old	602	5,267	1,820	7,087	
	-	6.8%	18.5%	33.5%	20.9%	
	1-5 years old	2,381	13,199	2,593	15,792	
		27.0%	46.5%	47.7%	46.7%	
	6-10 years old	2,301	5,490	614	6,104	
	44.40	26.1%		11.3%	18.0%	
	11-12 years old	990	1,700	164	1,864	
		11.2%	6.0%	3.0%	5.5%	

			Cases with Eligible A		
	Family Composition	Child Only Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases
	13-15 years old	1,429	1,735	167	1,902
		16.2%	6.1%	3.1%	5.6%
	>15 years old	1,100	1,012	77	1,089
		12.5%	3.6%	1.4%	3.2%
Region	Hennepin County	2,972	9,411	1,232	10,643
		33.7%	32.0%	22.6%	30.6%
	Ramsey County	1,888	5,566	820	6,386
		21.4%	19.0%	15.0%	18.3%
	Metro Suburban	1,025	3,721	634	4,355
		11.6%	12.7%	11.6%	12.5%
	Greater Minnesota	2,944	10,668	2,771	13,439
		33.3%	36.3%	50.8%	38.6%

Notes:

Data in table reflect the number and percentage of cases except as noted for counts of ineligible caregivers and counts of ineligible children; these entries are counts of people.

Caregivers: Included (1) all eligible adults including parents, pregnant women and their spouses with no other children in the family, and relative caregivers who were included in the grant, (2) parents linked to eligible children and in the household according to administrative records who were themselves receiving SSI, were undocumented non-citizens, or were disqualified (e.g., for a fraud conviction), and (3) relative caregivers and their resident spouses who were not included in the MFIP case. Thus, among the cases with one eligible adult, there were 1,585 two-caregiver families; 89% had one parent eligible for MFIP and the other receiving disability payments. Among the 4,449 ineligible relative caregivers, 251 were receiving SSI and one was an undocumented non-citizen. Two-caregiver families were classified as married if the second parent had an adult relationship code indicating spouse.

Child-only two-caregiver families. Ineligible adults (caregivers or not) associated with child-only cases are coded as not being unit members. Thus, while the applicant caregiver is known to be in the household, records concerning spouses of the applicant (both marital status and absence) may not always be updated so that the number of two-relative caregiver families is less reliable than comparable numbers for other MFIP cases.

Ineligible children: These were children not included on the MFIP grant, primarily children receiving SSI payments, but also including 48 foster care children. There were 387 cases with ineligible children only.

No children: For some cases, there was no link in the administrative data between a caregiver and any child on the case. The 28 child-only cases with no children were situations in which all children left the household during December (or their absence was discovered that month). These children switched homes between relative and parent or between parents, entered foster care, left home, or changed cases along with the second caregiver after the case applicant left the household. In some cases, a claim was made against the case applicant for return of an overpayment. Among the 1,086 cases with eligible adults, there were 95 cases like those just described and 991 cases with a pregnant woman and no children in the household. Parents of minor parents or of minor pregnant applicants can also be eligible on their own case even if they have no other children.

Missing cases: Cases with a pregnant woman and no children were missing for age of youngest child. The 123 cases with no administrative link to a child or pregnancy were missing for all child variables.

Duplicate cases: There were 35 children who were eligible and included on two cases, typically during a transition between cases.

Table 4. Economic characteristics of MFIP paid cases in December 2001

		1	Cases with Eligible Adults			
Economic Characteristics of Cases			One	Two		
			Eligible	Eligible	Total	
		Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	
Cases	Count	8,829	29,366	5,457	34,823	
	Percent of Total Assistance Cases	20.2%	67.1%	12.5%	79.6%	
Welfare in Minnesota (Months):	Mean	56.7	42.5	33.8	41.2	
1993 - 2001	Median	54	32	24	31	
Frequency of Cases	1 - 12 Months	1,490	7,578	1,715	9,293	
		16.9%	25.8%	31.4%	26.7%	
	13 - 24 Months	1,012	4,677	1,062	5,739	
		11.5%	15.9%	19.5%	16.5%	
	25 - 36 Months	877	3,483	696	4,179	
		9.9%	11.9%	12.8%	12.0%	
	37- 48 Months	745	2,725	502	3,227	
		8.4%	9.3%	9.2%	9.3%	
	49 - 60 Months	613	2,041	401	2,442	
		6.9%	7.0%	7.3%	7.0%	
	Over 60 Months	4,092	8,862	1,081	9,943	
		46.3%	30.2%	19.8%	28.6%	
Counted Months	Mean		22.5	19.7	22.1	
Maximum for Eligible Adult	Median		19	15	19	
Sept. 1996 to Dec. 2001	Maximum		64	63	64	
Frequency of Cases	No Counted Months		1,955	119	2,074	
			6.7%	2.2%	6.0%	
	1 - 12 Months		9,260	2,236	11,496	
			31.5%	41.0%	33.0%	
	13 - 24 Months		5,782	1,231	7,013	
	05 07 M		19.7%	22.6%	20.1%	
	25 - 36 Months		4,653	844	5,497	
	27 42 M		15.8%	15.5%	15.8%	
	37 - 42 Months		2,509	361	2,870	
	42 - 48 Months		8.5%	6.6% 310	8.2%	
	42 - 40 MOHUIS		2,052 7.0%	5.7%	2,362 6.8%	
	49 - 54 Months		3,059	337	3,396	
	49 - 54 MOHUIS		10.4%	6.2%	9.8%	
	55 - 60 Months		68	13	81	
	55 - 00 MONUS		0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	
	61 - 64 Months		28	6	34	
	or - or monuis		0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	
New Welfare Cases in 2001	Minnesota Residents	1,088	4,787	917	5,704	
THEM WESTIGIC CASES III ZUUT	Percent of New Cases	82.5%	73.4%	63.2%	71.6%	
	Moved into State	231	1,731	535	2,266	
	Percent of New Cases	17.5%	26.6%	36.8%	28.4%	
	Total of New Cases	1,319	6,518	1,452	7,970	
	Percent of All Cases	14.9%	22.2%	26.6%	22.9%	

			Cases with Eligible Adu		Adults
		Child	One	Two	
Economic Chara	Only	Eligible	Eligible	Total	
	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	
MFIP Payments	Food-Only Cases		3,001	942	3,943
	Percent of All Cases		10.2%	17.3%	11.3%
December Budgeted Earnings	Mean		\$395	\$540	\$432
	Median		\$352	\$494	\$383
	Count of Cases		8,474	2,911	11,385
	Percent of All Cases		28.9%	53.3%	32.7%
December Total Income	Mean		\$765	\$1,070	\$839
	Median		\$685	\$992	\$737
Income / Working	Count of Cases		10,081	3,231	13,312
	Percent of All Cases		34.3%	59.2%	38.2%
December Monthly Work Hours	Mean		92	125	100
	Median		90	123	98
	1 - 79 Hours		4,140	877	5,017
			14.1%	16.1%	14.4%
	80 - 119 Hours		2,330	544	2,874
			7.9%	10.0%	8.3%
	120 - 159 Hours		1,895	667	2,562
			6.5%	12.2%	7.4%
	160 Hours or More		1,411	1,033	2,444
			4.8%	18.9%	7.0%
Employment Services Exemptions	Non-employment Related		3,379	1,220	4,599
Count of Adults	Percent of Eligible Adults		11.5%	11.2%	11.4%
	Age 60 or Older		150	45	195
			0.5%	0.4%	0.5%
	Pregnancy / Incapacitated		113	22	135
			0.4%	0.2%	0.3%
	III / Incapacitated More than		773	358	1,131
	30 Days		2.6%	3.3%	2.8%
	Care of III/Incapacitated Family		532	142	674
	Member		1.8%	1.3%	1.7%
	Personal/Family Crisis		227	59	286
			0.8%	0.5%	0.7%
	Care of Child under 1 Year Old		1,446	582	2,028
	Familia Wialas as Water		4.9%	5.3%	5.0%
	Family Violence Waiver		78	1	79
Franksins and Combines 5	Consider Madical Outland		0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Employment Services Exemptions	Special Medical Criteria		60	11	71
	Cooo Domilia d to Double back		0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
	Cases Required to Participate Percent of All Cases	0	25,987	5,357	31,344
Employment Carriage Consticut		0.0%	88.5%	98.2%	90.0%
Employment Services Sanctions	Cases with ES Sanctions Percent of All Cases		2,682 9.1%	627 11.5%	3,309
	Percent of All Cases		10.3%	11.5% 11.7%	9.5% 10.6%
	Percent of Nonexempt Cases 10 % ES Sanction		980	11.7% 182	1,162
	Percent of All Cases		3.3%	3.3%	3.3%
	30% ES Sanction		1,702	3.3% 445	2,147
	Percent of All Cases		5.8%	8.2%	6.2%
	Leiceill of All Cases		J.0 /0	0.2 /0	0.2 /0

			Cases	with Eligible	Adults
		Child	One	Two	
Economic Charac	Only	Eligible	Eligible	Total	
		Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases
Child Support Disbursements	Current Mean	\$213	\$243	\$211	\$241
	Median	\$179	\$206	\$190	\$205
	Count	1,355	5,145	329	5,474
	Percent of Cases	15.3%	17.5%	6.0%	15.7%
	Sum	\$288,982	\$1,248,196	\$69,580	\$1,317,776
	Arrears Mean	\$119	\$141	\$116	\$139
	Median	\$65	\$75	\$67	\$75
	Count	881	3,441	214	3,655
	Percent of Cases	10.0%	11.7%	3.9%	10.5%
	Sum	\$104,496	\$483,803	\$24,929	\$508,732
	Total Mean	\$274	\$318	\$268	\$315
	Median	\$213	\$255	\$219	\$254
	Count	1,435	5,452	352	5,804
	Percent of Cases	16.3%	18.6%	6.5%	16.7%
	Sum	\$393,478	\$1,732,000	\$94,509	\$1,826,508

Notes:

Welfare: The total length of time on MFIP, AFDC, and FGA in Minnesota during the years 1993 through 2001. This was the number of months the case was active in a family cash assistance program (FGA, AFDC, or MFIP) from January 1993 to December 2001. A nine-year period was chosen for comparison with the table in the two previous years' reports. Record-keeping on the MAXIS system started in 1991.

TANF time: Maximum number of months of counted eligibility for TANF between September 1996 and December 2001 of any adult eligible on the case; the lifetime limit of TANF eligibility as of December 2001 was 60 months. Minnesota started counting TANF time in July 1997; several other states started counting sooner, as early as September 1996. Totals include months counted in other states.

New welfare cases: New welfare cases for 2001 were December 2001 cases active in a family cash assistance program in Minnesota for one or more months in 2001 but active for zero months in 1992 through 2000. Cases new to the state had a former state with a 2001 state entry date in MAXIS; Minnesota residents were either lifelong residents or had moved into the state before 2001. (This definition is consistent with definitions in the December 1999 and December 2000 reports, but different from that used in the previous two reports.) The 2,497 persons on new welfare cases who were also new to Minnesota in 2001 came from all 49 other states, two territories, and the District of Columbia; 398 came directly from a foreign country. States with the most such in-migrants on MFIP in the December 2000 caseload included Illinois (432), California (197), Wisconsin (164), and Texas (162), historically the main sources of new cases moving into the state.

Budgeted earnings: The case budget excludes some income in setting the level of the grant. These budgeted earnings are the amount on the December budget for the case, whether retrospective (based on income reported as earned in October) or prospective (based on projected income for December for cases in the first two months on MFIP or with a significant change in income and for most migrants). Budgeted earnings equal the actual or projected earnings less child support payments and other allocations, less expenses for self-employment income, less work study earnings, less earnings of students under age 20 attending school at least half-time, and then less a 38% disregard. Budgeted earnings are deducted from the grant level which is based on the number of family members eligible. Mean budgeted earnings were computed for cases which had budgeted earnings greater than zero. Earnings for the child-only cases came from deemed income - income earned by adults who were not eligible for MFIP but were responsible for the children.

Total income: Total income was either actual or projected December 2001 income of eligible adults and other adults whose earnings were deemed for the case. The amount used was either verified retrospective earnings in February 2002 or zero for cases still active in February 2002 with no income reported for December 2001 or as prospective December 2001 total income otherwise and for all migrant cases (only 7 in December). Total income was gross income except for the self-employed where it was gross income less expenses, with a minimum of zero. Mean total income excluded cases with no verified or expected income from eligible or deeming adults in December 2001. Working is defined as having income in the month. Some cases were known to have income but the amount was missing (176 one-eligible adult cases and 61 2-eligible adult cases).

Work hours: The hours for eligible and other deemed adults corresponding to total income: February 2002 retrospective hours or December 2001 prospective hours, as for total income. The hours are totals for the case. Some cases with income reported were missing hours, and some cases with hours were missing income. Mean hours included cases with any hours reported for eligible or deemed adults. The number of hours worked was missing for some working cases (305 one-eligible adult cases and 110 two-eligible adult cases).

Employment Services (ES) exemptions: A person with a non-work related ES exemption in a month is not required to work or do other work-related activities during that month. (Note that the ES exemption does not stop the month counting toward use of TANF time.) The rest of the eligible persons were either mandated to participate in ES activities or work the required number of hours. For this variable, the percentages are of eligible adults rather than cases because exemptions are granted to individuals, not cases (although this is the same thing for cases with one eligible adult). Adults with child-only cases are not subject to ES requirements, so do not need exemptions. The last line in this section gives the number of cases which were required to participate; this includes cases with at least one eligible adult mandated to participate in ES or work the required number of hours. Excluded cases include those which only have persons with the exemptions listed above. Sanctions may be applied in cases where the person does not perform the ES activities or work the required number of hours.

Sanctions: For one eligible adult not complying in 2001, the first ES sanction was 10%, while the first sanction for two eligible adults both not complying was 30%. If not fixed, the sanction was increased to the maximum of 30%. Nineteen of the cases listed as having a 10% ES sanction also had a child support sanction, bringing their sanction for the month to 25%. Before the percentage of sanctionable cases which had sanctions was computed, cases with a person having both sanction and exemption recorded in the same month (37 cases with one eligible adult and no cases with two eligible adults) were subtracted from the number of sanctions because these cases were not included in the number of sanctionable cases.

Child Support: Disbursements made in December 2001 from payments made to Child Support Enforcement Division on behalf of children in MFIP families. Includes both current payments and payments made for arrears on past months. Before 2001, current payments were retained by the state for current MFIP expenses and arears were retained if arears were owed to the state. Starting January 1, 2001, current payments are passed through to the non-custodial parent and counted dollar-for-dollar against the MFIP grant.

Table 5. December 2001 MFIP paid cases by county and region

	Child-Only	Assistance					
County/Region	Count of	Percent of State	One Eligible	Two Eligible	Total	Percent of State	
	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases	
Aitkin	26		96	32	128		
		0.3%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.4%	
Anoka	354		1,245	218	1,463		
		4.0%	85.1%	14.9%	100.0%	4.2%	
Becker	68		252	71	323		
		0.8%	78.0%	22.0%	100.0%	0.9%	
Beltrami	295		816	215	1,031		
		3.3%	79.1%	20.9%	100.0%	3.0%	
Benton	29		165	29	194		
		0.3%	85.1%	14.9%	100.0%	0.6%	
Big Stone	2		30	6	36		
		0.02%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.1%	
Blue Earth	55		254	78	332		
		0.6%	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%	1.0%	
Brown	16		91	19	110		
		0.2%	82.7%	17.3%	100.0%	0.3%	
Carlton	64		192	43	235		
		0.7%	81.7%	18.3%	100.0%	0.7%	
Carver	52		109	19	128		
		0.6%	85.2%	14.8%	100.0%	0.4%	
Cass	94		247	81	328		
		1.1%	75.3%	24.7%	100.0%	0.9%	
Chippewa	15		50	9	59		
		0.2%	84.7%	15.3%	100.0%	0.2%	
Chisago	38		144	29	173		
J		0.4%	83.2%	16.8%	100.0%	0.5%	
Clay	95		387	127	514		
•		1.1%	75.3%	24.7%	100.0%	1.5%	
Clearwater	26		65	32	97		
		0.3%	67.0%	33.0%	100.0%	0.3%	
Cook	2		10	0	10		
		0.02%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.03%	
Cottonwood	14		47	14	61		
		0.2%	77.0%	23.0%	100.0%	0.2%	
Crow Wing	95		300	66	366		
J		1.1%	82.0%	18.0%	100.0%	1.1%	
Dakota	254		973	144	1,117		
		2.9%	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%	3.2%	
Dodge	17		58	5	63		
		0.2%	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%	0.2%	
Douglas	20		109	22	131		
U -		0.2%	83.2%	16.8%	100.0%	0.4%	
Faribault	18		52	15	67	2.170	
		0.2%	77.6%	22.4%	100.0%	0.2%	
Fillmore	11	0.270	33	15	48	J.270	
	11	0.1%	68.8%	31.3%	100.0%	0.1%	
Freeborn	44	3.170	190	39	229	0.170	
	77	0.5%	83.0%	17.0%	100.0%	0.7%	

	Child-Only	Assistance	Cases with Eligible Adults				
	Count	Percent	One	Two		Percent	
County/Region	of	of State	Eligible	Eligible	Total	of State	
	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases	
Goodhue	18		144	22	166		
		0.2%	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%	0.5%	
Grant	4		24	14	38		
		0.05%	63.2%	36.8%	100.0%	0.1%	
Hennepin	2,972	0.0070	9,411	1232	10,643	01170	
,	_,	33.7%	88.4%	11.6%	100.0%	30.6%	
Houston	12		56	19	75		
		0.1%	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%	0.2%	
Hubbard	46	0.170	99	31	130	0.270	
	10	0.5%	76.2%	23.8%	100.0%	0.4%	
Isanti	32	0.070	124	31	155	0.470	
lounti	UZ.	0.4%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.4%	
Itasca	93	0.470	221	66	287	0.470	
	33	1.1%	77.0%	23.0%	100.0%	0.8%	
Jackson	10	1.170	34	10	44	0.070	
Jackson	10	0.1%	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%	0.1%	
Kanabec	28	0.170	93	28	121	0.170	
Nanabec	20	0.3%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	0.3%	
Kandiyohi	50	0.5%				0.3%	
Kandiyoni	58	0.70/	263	89	352	4.00/	
Vitta a n	_	0.7%	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%	1.0%	
Kittson	5	0.40/	12	4	16	0.050/	
IZ It's It's		0.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.05%	
Koochiching	22	0.00/	81	38	119	0.00/	
Land Dark		0.2%	68.1%	31.9%	100.0%	0.3%	
Lac Qui Parle	3		18	4	22		
	_	0.03%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	0.1%	
Lake	6		36	12	48		
		0.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.1%	
Lake of Woods	2		13	2	15		
		0.02%	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%	0.0%	
Le Sueur	19		89	26	115		
		0.2%	77.4%	22.6%	100.0%	0.3%	
Lincoln	4		12	6	18		
		0.05%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.1%	
Lyon	37		105	37	142		
		0.4%	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%	0.4%	
McLeod	21		102	45	147		
		0.2%	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%	0.4%	
Mahnomen	38		87	16	103		
		0.4%	84.5%	15.5%	100.0%	0.3%	
Marshall	8		19	9	28		
		0.1%	67.9%	32.1%	100.0%	0.1%	
Martin	29		92	27	119		
		0.3%	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%	0.3%	
Meeker	25		101	28	129		
		0.3%	78.3%	21.7%	100.0%	0.4%	
Mille Lacs	60		135	27	162		
		0.7%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.5%	
Morrison	38		126	29	155		
		0.4%	81.3%	18.7%	100.0%	0.4%	

	Child-Only	Assistance	С	ases with E	ligible Adul	ts
	Count	Percent	One	Two		Percent
County/Region	of	of State	Eligible	Eligible	Total	of State
3 3	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases
Mower	55		221	37	258	
		0.6%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.7%
Murray	2		22	10	32	
•		0.02%	68.8%	31.3%	100.0%	0.1%
Nicollet	25		139	26	165	
		0.3%	84.2%	15.8%	100.0%	0.5%
Nobles	33		99	21	120	
		0.4%	82.5%	17.5%	100.0%	0.3%
Norman	12		21	9	30	
		0.1%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Olmsted	151		565	126	691	
		1.7%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	2.0%
Otter Tail	42		204	56	260	
		0.5%	78.5%	21.5%	100.0%	0.7%
Pennington	16	5.5.0	65	13	78	
g		0.2%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.2%
Pine	45	51275	150	65	215	5.275
		0.5%	69.8%	30.2%	100.0%	0.6%
Pipestone	7	0.070	36	11	47	0.070
i ipootono		0.1%	76.6%	23.4%	100.0%	0.1%
Polk	43	0.170	218	78	296	0.170
I OIK	43	0.5%	73.6%	26.4%	100.0%	0.9%
Pope	7	0.570	25	7	32	0.570
ТОРЕ	1	0.1%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	0.1%
Ramsey	1,888	0.170	5,566	820	6,386	0.170
ramscy	1,000	21.4%	87.2%	12.8%	100.0%	18.3%
Red Lake	0	21.470	12	3	15	10.370
Neu Lake	U	0.00%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.04%
Redwood	16	0.0070	58	16	74	0.0470
Neuwoou	10	0.2%	78.4%	21.6%	100.0%	0.2%
Renville	13	0.276	70.470	28	98	0.2 /0
Kenvine	13	0.1%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	0.3%
Rice	63	0.170	218	43	261	0.570
Mice	03	0.7%	83.5%	16.5%	100.0%	0.7%
Rock	8	0.1 /0	24	5	29	0.1 /0
NOCK	o o	0.1%	82.8%	17.2%	100.0%	0.1%
Roseau	12	0.170	24	2	26	0.170
1.03044	12	0.1%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	0.1%
St. Louis	412	J. 1 /0	1,594	361	1,955	0.170
o Louis	712	4.7%	81.5%	18.5%	100.0%	5.6%
Scott	72	+.1 /0	165	37	202	3.070
	12	0.8%	81.7%	18.3%	100.0%	0.6%
Sherburne	41	0.070	161	34	195	0.070
S.IGI DUI IIG	71	0.5%	82.6%	17.4%	100.0%	0.6%
Sibley	14	0.070	57	16	73	0.070
olbiey .	14	0.2%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	0.2%
Stearns	120	0.2 /0	76.1% 520	99	619	0.2 /0
oteai ii s	120	1.4%	84.0%	16.0%	100.0%	1.8%
Steele	26	1.4%				1.0%
Olegie	36	0.40/	191	36 15.9%	227	0.70/
		0.4%	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%	0.7%

	Child-Only	Assistance	С	ases with E	ligible Adul	ts
	Count	Percent	One	Two		Percent
County/Region	of	of State	Eligible	Eligible	Total	of State
	Cases	Cases	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases
Stevens	4		23	6	29	
		0.05%	79.3%	20.7%	100.0%	0.1%
Swift	9		39	8	47	
		0.1%	83.0%	17.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Todd	37		120	39	159	
		0.4%	75.5%	24.5%	100.0%	0.5%
Traverse	4		17	6	23	
		0.05%	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Wabasha	16		51	9	60	
		0.2%	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	0.2%
Wadena	27		89	36	125	
		0.3%	71.2%	28.8%	100.0%	0.4%
Waseca	29		99	30	129	
		0.3%	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%	0.4%
Washington	129		544	78	622	
		1.5%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	1.8%
Watonwan	23		59	14	73	
		0.3%	80.8%	19.2%	100.0%	0.2%
Wilkin	6		34	9	43	
		0.1%	79.1%	20.9%	100.0%	0.1%
Winona	58		169	29	198	
		0.7%	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%	0.6%
Wright	53		256	44	300	
		0.6%	85.3%	14.7%	100.0%	0.9%
Yellow Medicine	7		29	10	39	
		0.1%	74.4%	25.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Northwest	96		371	118	489	
		1.1%	75.9%	24.1%	100.0%	1.4%
West Central	948		3,037	865	3,902	
		10.7%	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%	11.2%
Northeast	625		2,230	552	2,782	
		7.1%	80.2%	19.8%	100.0%	8.0%
Central	563		2,284	576	2,860	
		6.4%	79.9%	20.1%	100.0%	8.2%
Southwest	167	4.00/	603	167	770	0.00/
0 (1 . 0 ()		1.9%	78.3%	21.7%	100.0%	2.2%
South Central	228	2.22/	932	251	1,183	2 (2)
Cauthaaat	404	2.6%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%	3.4%
Southeast	481	F 40/	1,896	380	2,276	C 50/
Motro Cubumban	004	5.4%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	6.5%
Metro Suburban	861	0.00/	3,036	496	3,532	10.40/
Coro Motro	4.000	9.8%	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%	10.1%
Core Metro	4,860	55.00/	14,977	2,052	17,029	49.00/
Minnocot	0.000	55.0%	87.9%	12.1%	100.0%	48.9%
Minnesota	8,829	400.00/	29,366	5,457	34,823	400.00/
		100.0%	84.3%	15.7%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 6. County and regional demographics of eligible adults on December 2001 MFIP paid cases with one eligible adult: age, gender, education, and marital status

County/Region/			30 or			HS or			Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married	Married	Others
Aitkin	8	43	45	86	41	44	11	8	51	37
	8.3%	44.8%	46.9%	89.6%	42.7%	45.8%	11.5%	8.3%	53.1%	38.5%
Anoka	107	608	530	1,159	525	606	114	87	817	325
	8.6%	48.8%	42.6%	93.1%	42.2%	48.7%	9.2%	7.1%	66.5%	26.4%
Becker	25	105	122	219	109	123	20	16	159	77
	9.9%	41.7%	48.4%	86.9%	43.3%	48.8%	7.9%	6.3%	63.1%	30.6%
Beltrami	76	352	388	721	392	370	54	38	620	158
	9.3%	43.1%	47.5%	88.4%	48.0%	45.3%	6.6%	4.7%	76.0%	19.4%
Benton	16	85	64	152	67	79	19	9	105	51
	9.7%	51.5%	38.8%	92.1%	40.6%	47.9%	11.5%	5.5%	63.6%	30.9%
Big Stone	5	11	14	25	14	13	3	2	18	10
	16.7%	36.7%	46.7%	83.3%	46.7%	43.3%	10.0%	6.7%	60.0%	33.3%
Blue Earth	21	136	97	239	114	109	31	29	148	76
	8.3%	53.5%	38.2%	94.1%	44.9%	42.9%	12.2%	11.5%	58.5%	30.0%
Brown	21	47	23	86	39	41	11	7	57	25
	23.1%	51.6%	25.3%	94.5%	42.9%	45.1%	12.1%	7.9%	64.0%	28.1%
Carlton	19	80	93	172	71	94	27	20	100	70
	9.9%	41.7%	48.4%	89.6%	37.0%	49.0%	14.1%	10.5%	52.6%	36.8%
Carver	11	54	44	104	50	50	9	6	68	35
	10.1%	49.5%	40.4%	95.4%	45.9%	45.9%	8.3%	5.5%	62.4%	32.1%
Cass	22	97	128	216	121	111	15	12	171	63
	8.9%	39.3%	51.8%	87.4%	49.0%	44.9%	6.1%	4.9%	69.5%	25.6%
Chippewa	7	26	17	49	22	25	3	6	32	12
	14.0%	52.0%	34.0%	98.0%	44.0%	50.0%	6.0%	12.0%	64.0%	24.0%
Chisago	11	77	56	133	72	70	2	18	99	27
	7.6%	53.5%	38.9%	92.4%	50.0%	48.6%	1.4%	12.5%	68.8%	18.8%
Clay	37	183	167	348	187	158	42	43	224	119
	9.6%	47.3%	43.2%	89.9%	48.3%	40.8%	10.9%	11.1%	58.0%	30.8%
Clearwater	4	28	33	60	27	35	3	2	39	24
	6.2%	43.1%	50.8%	92.3%	41.5%	53.8%	4.6%	3.1%	60.0%	36.9%
Cook	0	5	5	8	5	5	0	0	6	4
	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	80.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%
Cottonwood	6	22	19	40	24	16	7	5	22	18
_	12.8%	46.8%	40.4%	85.1%	51.1%	34.0%	14.9%	11.1%	48.9%	40.0%
Crow Wing	24	150	126	276	117	150	33	36	158	104
	8.0%	50.0%	42.0%	92.0%	39.0%	50.0%	11.0%	12.1%	53.0%	34.9%
Dakota	86	475	412	932	389	446	138	48	653	269
	8.8%	48.8%	42.3%	95.8%	40.0%	45.8%	14.2%	4.9%	67.3%	27.7%
Dodge	11	27	20	50	32	21	5	8	36	12
	19.0%	46.6%	34.5%	86.2%	55.2%	36.2%	8.6%	14.3%	64.3%	21.4%
Douglas	11	60	38	100	37	60	12	12	59	38
F 14	10.1%	55.0%	34.9%	91.7%	33.9%	55.0%	11.0%	11.0%	54.1%	34.9%
Faribault	6	27	19	45	24	24	4	6	32	13
	11.5%	51.9%	36.5%	86.5%	46.2%	46.2%	7.7%	11.8%	62.7%	25.5%
Fillmore	5	19	9	31	17	15	1	3	20	10
	15.2%	57.6%	27.3%	93.9%	51.5%	45.5%	3.0%	9.1%	60.6%	30.3%
Freeborn	19	86	85	178	90	87	13	25	98	67
	10.0%	45.3%	44.7%	93.7%	47.4%	45.8%	6.8%	13.2%	51.6%	35.3%

County/Region/			30 or			HS or			Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married		Others
Goodhue	13	65	66	133	54	81	9	8	85	50
	9.0%	45.1%	45.8%	92.4%	37.5%	56.3%	6.3%	5.6%	59.4%	35.0%
Grant	3	5	16	22	6	14	4	3	7	14
	12.5%	20.8%	66.7%	91.7%	25.0%	58.3%	16.7%	12.5%	29.2%	58.3%
Hennepin	953	4110	4,348	8,734	4,875	3,824	712	532	6,711	2,137
-	10.1%	43.7%	46.2%	92.8%	51.8%	40.6%	7.6%	5.7%	71.5%	22.8%
Houston	3	28	25	51	21	27	8	7	29	19
	5.4%	50.0%	44.6%	91.1%	37.5%	48.2%	14.3%	12.7%	52.7%	34.5%
Hubbard	10	36	53	92	31	53	15	9	53	37
	10.1%	36.4%	53.5%	92.9%	31.3%	53.5%	15.2%	9.1%	53.5%	37.4%
Isanti	12	73	39	119	60	56	8	11	72	39
	9.7%	58.9%	31.5%	96.0%	48.4%	45.2%	6.5%	9.0%	59.0%	32.0%
Itasca	19	105	97	197	80	118	23	24	120	75
	8.6%	47.5%	43.9%	89.1%	36.2%	53.4%	10.4%	11.0%	54.8%	34.2%
Jackson	8	18	8	32	16	14	4	2	20	11
	23.5%	52.9%	23.5%	94.1%	47.1%	41.2%	11.8%	6.1%	60.6%	33.3%
Kanabec	10	36	47	82	38	51	4	11	44	37
	10.8%	38.7%	50.5%	88.2%	40.9%	54.8%	4.3%	12.0%	47.8%	40.2%
Kandiyohi	37	127	99	243	155	91	17	23	148	91
	14.1%	48.3%	37.6%	92.4%	58.9%	34.6%	6.5%	8.8%	56.5%	34.7%
Kittson	0	5	7	11	4	7	1	2	7	2
	0.0%	41.7%	58.3%	91.7%	33.3%	58.3%	8.3%	18.2%	63.6%	18.2%
Koochiching	2	39	40	77	28	50	3	12	37	31
	2.5%	48.1%	49.4%	95.1%	34.6%	61.7%	3.7%	15.0%	46.3%	38.8%
Lac Qui Parle	1	7	10	14	6	8	4	3	9	6
	5.6%	38.9%	55.6%	77.8%	33.3%	44.4%	22.2%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%
Lake	2	17	17	33	8	21	7	3	17	16
	5.6%	47.2%	47.2%	91.7%	22.2%	58.3%	19.4%	8.3%	47.2%	44.4%
Lake of Woods	1	4	8	13	4	9	0	1	4	8
	7.7%	30.8%	61.5%	100.0%	30.8%	69.2%	0.0%	7.7%	30.8%	61.5%
Le Sueur	11	49	29	85	53	33	3	8	48	32
	12.4%	55.1%	32.6%	95.5%	59.6%	37.1%	3.4%	9.1%	54.5%	36.4%
Lincoln	2	5	5	10	4	6	2	3	6	3
	16.7%	41.7%	41.7%	83.3%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%
Lyon	19	48	38	100	63	29	13	12	55	37
	18.1%	45.7%	36.2%	95.2%	60.0%	27.6%	12.4%	11.5%	52.9%	35.6%
McLeod	14	57	31	94	61	34	7	9	67	25
	13.7%	55.9%	30.4%	92.2%	59.8%	33.3%	6.9%	8.9%	66.3%	24.8%
Mahnomen	12	39	36	80	38	42	7	2	65	20
	13.8%	44.8%	41.4%	92.0%	43.7%	48.3%	8.0%	2.3%	74.7%	23.0%
Marshall	0	8	11	16	3	13	3	3	9	7
	0.0%	42.1%	57.9%	84.2%	15.8%	68.4%	15.8%	15.8%	47.4%	36.8%
Martin	14	41	37	85	45	36	11	11	50	30
	15.2%	44.6%	40.2%	92.4%	48.9%	39.1%	12.0%	12.1%	54.9%	33.0%
Meeker	5	51	45	93	36	56	9	12	53	36
	5.0%	50.5%	44.6%	92.1%	35.6%	55.4%	8.9%	11.9%	52.5%	35.6%
Mille Lacs	5	59	71	127	59	67	9	16	72	46
	3.7%	43.7%	52.6%	94.1%	43.7%	49.6%	6.7%	11.9%	53.7%	34.3%
Morrison	14	54	58	114	52	69	5	10	70	45
	11.1%	42.9%	46.0%	90.5%	41.3%	54.8%	4.0%	8.0%	56.0%	36.0%

County/Region/			30 or			HS or			Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married		Others
Mower	30	101	90	198	106	105	10	19	129	72
	13.6%	45.7%	40.7%	89.6%	48.0%	47.5%	4.5%	8.6%	58.6%	32.7%
Murray	3	14	5	20	11	10	1	2	15	4
	13.6%	63.6%	22.7%	90.9%	50.0%	45.5%	4.5%	9.5%	71.4%	19.0%
Nicollet	12	79	48	131	64	60	15	18	84	34
	8.6%	56.8%	34.5%	94.2%	46.0%	43.2%	10.8%	13.2%	61.8%	25.0%
Nobles	16	38	45	90	70	24	5	18	49	32
	16.2%	38.4%	45.5%	90.9%	70.7%	24.2%	5.1%	18.2%	49.5%	32.3%
Norman	0	13	8	20	13	8	0	2	13	6
	0.0%	61.9%	38.1%	95.2%	61.9%	38.1%	0.0%	9.5%	61.9%	28.6%
Olmsted	54	245	266	529	324	212	29	67	274	223
	9.6%	43.4%	47.1%	93.6%	57.3%	37.5%	5.1%	11.9%	48.6%	39.5%
Otter Tail	19	87	98	185	82	92	30	18	108	77
	9.3%	42.6%	48.0%	90.7%	40.2%	45.1%	14.7%	8.9%	53.2%	37.9%
Pennington	6	27	32	56	32	29	4	5	36	24
	9.2%	41.5%	49.2%	86.2%	49.2%	44.6%	6.2%	7.7%	55.4%	36.9%
Pine	14	63	73	137	64	79	7	25	66	58
	9.3%	42.0%	48.7%	91.3%	42.7%	52.7%	4.7%	16.8%	44.3%	38.9%
Pipestone	4	21	11	33	13	19	4.770	7	17	12
i ipootoiio	11.1%	58.3%	30.6%	91.7%	36.1%	52.8%	11.1%	19.4%	47.2%	33.3%
Polk	25	95	98	202	87	112	19	19.470	124	80
I OIK	11.5%	43.6%	45.0%	92.7%	39.9%	51.4%	8.7%	6.4%	56.9%	36.7%
Pope	11.570	10	14	22	9	13	3	1	12	12
Горе	4.0%	40.0%	56.0%	88.0%	36.0%	52.0%	12.0%	4.0%	48.0%	48.0%
Ramsey	556	2,384	2,626	5,082	2,955	2,175	436	561	3,780	
Railisey	10.0%	42.8%	47.2%	91.3%	53.1%	39.1%	7.8%	10.1%	68.1%	1,207 21.8%
Red Lake	0	7	5	11	33.1%	6	2	2	5	5
Neu Lake	0.0%	58.3%	41.7%	91.7%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	41.7%
Redwood	5	24	29	53	23	30.0%	5	10.7 %	31	16
Reuwoou	8.6%	41.4%	50.0%	91.4%	39.7%	51.7%	8.6%	19.0%	53.4%	27.6%
Renville	10	38	22	63	35.7%	29	6.0%	19.0%	44	18
I CHIVIII C	14.3%	54.3%	31.4%	90.0%	50.0%	41.4%	8.6%	11.4%	62.9%	25.7%
Rice	20	107	91	199	126	78	14		120	73
NICE				91.3%				21		
Rock	9.2% 5	49.1% 13	41.7%		57.8%	35.8%	6.4%	9.8%	56.1% 17	34.1% 7
NOCK	20.8%	54.2%	6 25.0%	23 95.8%	11 45.8%	11 45.8%	8.3%	0.0%	70.8%	29.2%
Roseau	20.6%	15	7	23	12	45.6%	1	3	14	7
Noseau	8.3%	62.5%	29.2%	95.8%	50.0%	45.8%	4.2%	12.5%	58.3%	29.2%
St. Louis		780	659	1,467	549	893				459
St. Louis	155						152	117	1,002	
Soott	9.7%	48.9%	41.3%	92.0%	34.4%	56.0%	9.5%	7.4% 9	63.5%	29.1%
Scott	15	75 45 50/	75 45 50/	154	72	75 45 50/	18		109	46 28.0%
Charburna	9.1%	45.5%	45.5%	93.3%	43.6%	45.5%	10.9%	5.5%	66.5%	
Sherburne	18	76	67	153	65	76	20	13	88	58
Ciblou	11.2%	47.2%	41.6%	95.0%	40.4%	47.2%	12.4%	8.2%	55.3%	36.5%
Sibley	7	33	17	55	26	28	3	6	36	15
Cto own -	12.3%	57.9%	29.8%	96.5%	45.6%	49.1%	5.3%	10.5%	63.2%	26.3%
Stearns	67	223	230	486	231	234	55	38	312	166
	12.9%	42.9%	44.2%	93.5%	44.4%	45.0%	10.6%	7.4%	60.5%	32.2%
Steele	24	71	96	175	115	67	9	24	81	84
	12.6%	37.2%	50.3%	91.6%	60.2%	35.1%	4.7%	12.7%	42.9%	44.4%

County/Region/			30 or			HS or			Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married	Married	Others
Stevens	1	13	9	23	8	13	2	1	15	7
	4.3%	56.5%	39.1%	100.0%	34.8%	56.5%	8.7%	4.3%	65.2%	30.4%
Swift	5	17	17	36	21	15	3	6	19	14
	12.8%	43.6%	43.6%	92.3%	53.8%	38.5%	7.7%	15.4%	48.7%	35.9%
Todd	6	63	51	113	43	65	12	13	61	45
	5.0%	52.5%	42.5%	94.2%	35.8%	54.2%	10.0%	10.9%	51.3%	37.8%
Traverse	0	7	10	15	4	11	2	4	7	6
	0.0%	41.2%	58.8%	88.2%	23.5%	64.7%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	35.3%
Wabasha	8	20	23	43	27	21	3	3	31	17
	15.7%	39.2%	45.1%	84.3%	52.9%	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%	60.8%	33.3%
Wadena	8	49	32	87	32	49	8	10	50	28
	9.0%	55.1%	36.0%	97.8%	36.0%	55.1%	9.0%	11.4%	56.8%	31.8%
Waseca	16	42	41	96	51	46	2	4	56	39
	16.2%	42.4%	41.4%	97.0%	51.5%	46.5%	2.0%	4.0%	56.6%	39.4%
Washington	60	273	211	516	223	275	46	18	389	132
	11.0%	50.2%	38.8%	94.9%	41.0%	50.6%	8.5%	3.3%	72.2%	24.5%
Watonwan	2	27	30	54	28	24	7	5	25	29
	3.4%	45.8%	50.8%	91.5%	47.5%	40.7%	11.9%	8.5%	42.4%	49.2%
Wilkin	3	14	17	32	16	14	4	4	15	15
	8.8%	41.2%	50.0%	94.1%	47.1%	41.2%	11.8%	11.8%	44.1%	44.1%
Winona	19	83	67	149	81	68	20	26	100	42
	11.2%	49.1%	39.6%	88.2%	47.9%	40.2%	11.8%	15.5%	59.5%	25.0%
Wright	30	132	94	241	103	136	17	20	170	66
	11.7%	51.6%	36.7%	94.1%	40.2%	53.1%	6.6%	7.8%	66.4%	25.8%
Yellow Medicine	3	12	14	27	13	12	4	5	14	10
	10.3%	41.4%	48.3%	93.1%	44.8%	41.4%	13.8%	17.2%	48.3%	34.5%
Northwest	33	170	168	339	155	186	30	31	208	131
	8.9%	45.8%	45.3%	91.4%	41.8%	50.1%	8.1%	8.4%	56.2%	35.4%
West Central	277	1,356	1,404	2,738	1,315	1,451	271	235	1,897	897
	9.1%	44.6%	46.2%	90.2%	43.3%	47.8%	8.9%	7.8%	62.6%	29.6%
Northeast	205	1,069	956	2,040	782	1,225	223	184	1,333	692
	9.2%	47.9%	42.9%	91.5%	35.1%	54.9%	10.0%	8.3%	60.3%	31.3%
Central	249	1,097	938	2,123	1,046	1,058	180	213	1,340	718
	10.9%	48.0%	41.1%	93.0%	45.8%	46.3%	7.9%	9.4%	59.0%	31.6%
Southwest	89	276	238	552	311	232	60	82	324	192
	14.8%	45.8%	39.5%	91.5%	51.6%	38.5%	10.0%	13.7%	54.2%	32.1%
South Central	110	481	341	876	444	401	87	94	536	293
	11.8%	51.6%	36.6%	94.0%	47.6%	43.0%	9.3%	10.2%	58.1%	31.7%
Southeast	206	852	838	1,736	993	782	121	211	1,003	669
	10.9%	44.9%	44.2%	91.6%	52.4%	41.2%	6.4%	11.2%	53.3%	35.5%
Metro Suburban	279	1,485	1,272	2,865	1,259	1,452	325	168	2,036	807
	9.2%	48.9%	41.9%	94.4%	41.5%	47.8%	10.7%	5.6%	67.6%	26.8%
Core Metro	1,509	6,494	6,974	13,816	7,830	5,999	1,148	1,093	10,491	3,344
	10.1%	43.4%	46.6%	92.2%	52.3%	40.1%	7.7%	7.3%	70.3%	22.4%
Minnesota	2,957	13,280	13,129	27,085	14,135	12,786	2,445	2,311	19,168	7,743
	10.1%	45.2%	44.7%	92.2%	48.1%	43.5%	8.3%	7.9%	65.6%	26.5%

Table 7. Large county and regional demographics of eligible adults on December 2001 MFIP paid cases with one eligible adult: race/ethnicity and citizenship

County/Region/	Asian/		<u> </u>	American			Non-US
State	Pacific	Black	Hispanic	Indian	White	Multiple	Citizens
Anoka	26	227	31	39	907	7	88
	2.1%	18.4%	2.5%	3.2%	73.3%	0.6%	7.2%
Beltrami	2	4	1	631	173	4	1
	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%	77.4%	21.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Dakota	28	285	53	26	576	3	104
	2.9%	29.4%	5.5%	2.7%	59.3%	0.3%	10.8%
Hennepin	559	6,089	198	672	1,831	26	1,781
	6.0%	64.9%	2.1%	7.2%	19.5%	0.3%	19.0%
Olmsted	42	264	22	4	232	0	224
	7.4%	46.8%	3.9%	0.7%	41.1%	0.0%	39.6%
Ramsey	788	2,635	346	181	1,574	31	981
	14.2%	47.4%	6.2%	3.3%	28.3%	0.6%	17.7%
St. Louis	12	134	18	197	1,221	9	16
	0.8%	8.4%	1.1%	12.4%	76.7%	0.6%	1.0%
Northwest	2	5	63	36	264	1	8
	0.5%	1.3%	17.0%	9.7%	71.2%	0.3%	2.2%
West Central	13	57	106	1,118	1,729	7	35
	0.4%	1.9%	3.5%	36.9%	57.1%	0.2%	1.2%
Northeast	15	138	21	299	1,742	11	22
	0.7%	6.2%	0.9%	13.4%	78.3%	0.5%	1.0%
Central	24	146	206	45	1,853	6	87
	1.1%	6.4%	9.0%	2.0%	81.3%	0.3%	3.8%
Southwest	25	40	83	27	422	4	78
	4.2%	6.7%	13.8%	4.5%	70.2%	0.7%	13.1%
South Central	7	110	143	20	645	5	93
	0.8%	11.8%	15.4%	2.2%	69.4%	0.5%	10.1%
Southeast	71	407	214	21	1,174	3	375
	3.8%	21.5%	11.3%	1.1%	62.1%	0.2%	19.9%
Metro Suburban	68	669	116	92	2,062	15	236
	2.3%	22.1%	3.8%	3.0%	68.2%	0.5%	7.9%
Core Metro	1,347	8,724	544	853	3,405	57	2,762
	9.0%	58.4%	3.6%	5.7%	22.8%	0.4%	18.5%
Minnesota	1,572	10,296	1,496	2,511	13,296	109	3,696
	5.4%	35.2%	5.1%	8.6%	45.4%	0.4%	12.7%

Table 8. Family types in December 2001 MFIP households with one eligible adult by county and region

Family Type Two County/Region Own Pregnant Relative Care givers	
State Children Only Care giver Aitkin 88 5 3 9 91.7% 5.2% 3.1% 9.4% Anoka 1,196 42 7 47 96.1% 3.4% 0.6% 3.8% Becker 239 5 8 11 94.8% 2.0% 3.2% 4.4% Beltrami 772 20 24 18 94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% Benton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% 3ig Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
Aitkin 88 5 3 9 91.7% 5.2% 3.1% 9.4% Anoka 1,196 42 7 47 96.1% 3.4% 0.6% 3.8% Becker 239 5 8 11 94.8% 2.0% 3.2% 4.4% Beltrami 772 20 24 18 94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% Benton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% Big Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
91.7% 5.2% 3.1% 9.4% 1,196 42 7 47 96.1% 3.4% 0.6% 3.8% 3.2% 4.4% 3.2% 4.4% 3.2% 4.4% 3.2% 4.4% 3.2% 3.2% 4.4% 3.2%	
Anoka 1,196 42 7 47 96.1% 3.4% 0.6% 3.8% Becker 239 5 8 11 94.8% 2.0% 3.2% 4.4% Beltrami 772 20 24 18 94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% Benton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% Big Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
96.1% 3.4% 0.6% 3.8% 239 5 8 11 94.8% 2.0% 3.2% 4.4% 3eltrami 772 20 24 18 94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% 3enton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% 3ig Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% 3lue Earth 238 14 2 15	
Becker 239 5 8 11 94.8% 2.0% 3.2% 4.4% Beltrami 772 20 24 18 94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% Benton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% 3ig Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
94.8% 2.0% 3.2% 4.4% 772 20 24 18 94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% Benton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% Big Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
3eltrami 772 20 24 18 94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% 3enton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% 3ig Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% 3lue Earth 238 14 2 15	
94.6% 2.5% 2.9% 2.2% 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% 3ig Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% 3lue Earth 238 14 2 15	
3enton 155 6 4 7 93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% 3ig Stone 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% 3lue Earth 238 14 2 15	
93.9% 3.6% 2.4% 4.2% 27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
27 3 0 2 90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4 2 15	
90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 6.7% Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
Blue Earth 238 14 2 15	
95.7% 5.5% 0.8% 5.9%	
3 moure 0 0 0	
Brown 80 11 0 3	
87.9% 12.1% 0.0% 3.3%	
Carlton 183 9 0 13	
95.3% 4.7% 0.0% 6.8%	
104 5 0 4	
95.4% 4.6% 0.0% 3.7%	
Cass 233 9 5 7	
94.3% 3.6% 2.0% 2.8%	
Chippewa 45 5 0 4	
90.0% 10.0% 0.0% 8.0%	
Chisago 140 4 0 7	
97.2% 2.8% 0.0% 4.9%	
Clay 373 11 3 22	
96.4% 2.8% 0.8% 5.7%	
Clearwater 61 2 2 0	
93.8% 3.1% 3.1% 0.0%	
Cook 10 0 0 0	
100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	
Cottonwood 44 2 1 5	
93.6% 4.3% 2.1% 10.6%	
Crow Wing 290 10 0 16	
96.7% 3.3% 0.0% 5.3%	
Dakota 952 14 7 31	
97.8% 1.4% 0.7% 3.2%	
Dodge 54 4 0 5	
93.1% 6.9% 0.0% 8.6%	
Douglas 106 3 0 5	
97.2% 2.8% 0.0% 4.6%	
Faribault 51 1 0 5	
98.1% 1.9% 0.0% 9.6%	
Fillmore 32 1 0 1	
97.0% 3.0% 0.0% 3.0%	
Freeborn 181 7 2 14	
95.3% 3.7% 1.1% 7.4%	

	F	Family Type	•	Two
County/Region/	Own	Pregnant		Care-
State	Children	Only	Care	givers
Goodhue	136	8	0	5
	94.4%	5.6%	0.0%	3.5%
Grant	22	1	1	1
	91.7%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%
Hennepin	8,972	257	182	419
	95.3%	2.7%	1.9%	4.5%
Houston	51	4	1	4
	91.1%	7.1%	1.8%	7.1%
Hubbard	92	7	0	3
	92.9%	7.1%	0.0%	3.0%
Isanti	118	6	0	0
	95.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Itasca	205	16	0	10
	92.8%	7.2%	0.0%	4.5%
Jackson	29	5	0	2
	85.3%	14.7%	0.0%	5.9%
Kanabec	87	6	0	4
	93.5%	6.5%	0.0%	4.3%
Kandiyohi	251	12	0	17
	95.4%	4.6%	0.0%	6.5%
Kittson	11	1	0	0
	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Koochiching	76	5	0	7
	93.8%	6.2%	0.0%	8.6%
Lac Qui Parle	18	0	0	2
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Lake	32	3	1	2
	88.9%	8.3%	2.8%	5.6%
Lake of Woods	13	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Le Sueur	82	7	0	5
	92.1%	7.9%	0.0%	5.6%
Lincoln	11	1	0	2
	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	16.7%
Lyon	95	8	2	10
	90.5%	7.6%	1.9%	9.5%
McLeod	98	4	0	5
	96.1%	3.9%	0.0%	4.9%
Mahnomen	82	1	4	0
	94.3%	1.1%	4.6%	0.0%
Marshall	19	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Martin	83	8	1	9
	90.2%	8.7%	1.1%	9.8%
Meeker	99	2	0	2
	98.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Mille Lacs	130	4	1	3
	96.3%	3.0%	0.7%	2.2%
Morrison	119	7	0	2
	94.4%	5.6%	0.0%	1.6%

	F	amily Type	9	Two
County/Region/ State	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	Care- givers
Mower	210	9	2	15
linowo.	95.0%	4.1%	0.9%	6.8%
Murray	19	1	2	2
marray	86.4%	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%
Nicollet	134	2	3	5
	96.4%	1.4%	2.2%	3.6%
Nobles	97	2	0	13
	98.0%	2.0%	0.0%	13.1%
Norman	17	4	0	2
	81.0%	19.0%	0.0%	9.5%
Olmsted	528	23	14	43
	93.5%	4.1%	2.5%	7.6%
Otter Tail	192	11	1	10
	94.1%	5.4%	0.5%	4.9%
Pennington	59	6	0	5
. cg.c	90.8%	9.2%	0.0%	7.7%
Pine	137	11	2	12
	91.3%	7.3%	1.3%	8.0%
Pipestone	36	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
Polk	206	11	1	8
	94.5%	5.0%	0.5%	3.7%
Pope	25	0	0.070	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Ramsey	5,367	126	73	490
	96.4%	2.3%	1.3%	8.8%
Red Lake	12	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%
Redwood	57	1	0	5
	98.3%	1 7%	0.0%	8.6%
Renville	64	5	1	4
	91.4%	7.1%	1.4%	5.7%
Rice	206	10	2	11
	94.5%	4.6%	0.9%	5.0%
Rock	24	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Roseau	20	4	0	0
	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Louis	1,520	62	12	67
	95.4%	3.9%	0.8%	4.2%
Scott	163	1	1	9
	98.8%	0.6%	0.6%	5.5%
Sherburne	153	7	1	3
	95.0%	4.3%	0.6%	1.9%
Sibley	53	3	1	4
	93.0%	5.3%	1.8%	7.0%
Stearns	493	25	2	22
	94.8%	4.8%	0.4%	4.2%
Steele	180	6	5	17
	94.2%	3.1%	2.6%	8.9%
	J 70	5.170	2.370	0.070

	F	amily Type	9	Two
County/Region/	Own	Pregnant	Relative	Care-
State	Children	Only	Care	givers
Stevens	23	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Swift	36	3	0	3
	92.3%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%
Todd	111	9	0	12
	92.5%	7.5%	0.0%	10.0%
Traverse	17	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Wabasha	48	3	0	1
	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%	2.0%
Wadena	81	6	2	4
	91.0%	6.7%	2.2%	4.5%
Waseca	93	4	2	1
	93.9%	4.0%	2.0%	1.0%
Washington	528	14	2	8
	97.1%	2.6%	0.4%	1.5%
Watonwan	59	0	0	6
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%
Wilkin	33	0	1	1
	97.1%	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%
Winona	166	3	0	20
	98.2%	1.8%	0.0%	11.8%
Wright	244	12	0	8
	95.3%	4.7%	0.0%	3.1%
Yellow Medicine	29	0	0	4
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%
Northwest	344	26	1	16
	92.7%	7.0%	0.3%	4.3%
West Central	2,884	102	51	115
	95.0%	3.4%	1.7%	3.8%
Northeast	2,114	100	16	108
	94.8%	4.5%	0.7%	4.8%
Central	2,169	104	11	94
	95.0%	4.6%	0.5%	4.1%
Southwest	567	31	5	55
	94.0%	5.1%	0.8%	9.1%
South Central	873	50	9	53
	93.7%	5.4%	1.0%	5.7%
Southeast	1,792	78	26	136
	94.5%	4.1%	1.4%	7.2%
Metro Suburban	2,943	76	17	99
	96.9%	2.5%	0.6%	3.3%
Core Metro	14,339	383	255	909
	95.7%	2.6%	1.7%	6.1%
Minnesota	28,025	950	391	1,585
	95.4%	3.2%	1.3%	5.4%

Table 9. All children in December 2001 MFIP households with one eligible adult by county and region

County/Region/		Numl	er of Chi	ldren		Αç	ge of You	ngest Chi	ld
State	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Aitkin	6	46	28	9	7	12	36	29	13
	6.3%	47.9%	29.2%	9.4%	7.3%	13.3%	40.0%	32.2%	14.4%
Anoka	49	596	356	159	85	229	569	294	104
	3.9%	47.9%	28.6%	12.8%	6.8%	19.1%	47.6%	24.6%	8.7%
Becker	7	107	76	43	19	45	111	65	24
	2.8%	42.5%	30.2%	17.1%	7.5%	18.4%	45.3%	26.5%	9.8%
Beltrami	26	348	211	137	94	126	371	205	88
	3.2%	42.6%	25.9%	16.8%	11.5%	15.9%	47.0%	25.9%	11.1%
Benton	7	78	51	20	9	43	69	32	14
	4.2%	47.3%	30.9%	12.1%	5.5%	27.2%	43.7%	20.3%	8.9%
Big Stone	3	12	6	5	4	5	10	8	4
	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	16.7%	13.3%	18.5%	37.0%	29.6%	14.8%
Blue Earth	14	114	70	26	30	43	131	50	16
	5.5%	44.9%	27.6%	10.2%	11.8%	17.9%	54.6%	20.8%	6.7%
Brown	11	53	15	8	4	17	44	14	5
	12.1%	58.2%	16.5%	8.8%	4.4%	21.3%	55.0%	17.5%	6.3%
Carlton	11	90	56	25	10	33	76	53	19
	5.7%	46.9%	29.2%	13.0%	5.2%	18.2%	42.0%	29.3%	10.5%
Carver	6	52	30	14	7	23	45	25	10
	5.5%	47.7%	27.5%	12.8%	6.4%	22.3%	43.7%	24.3%	9.7%
Cass	10	108	67	40	22	43	106	58	30
	4.0%	43.7%	27.1%	16.2%	8.9%	18.1%	44.7%	24.5%	12.7%
Chippewa	5	20	13	7	5	9	26	5	5
	10.0%	40.0%	26.0%	14.0%	10.0%	20.0%	57.8%	11.1%	11.1%
Chisago	5	63	41	22	13	21	77	26	15
	3.5%	43.8%	28.5%	15.3%	9.0%	15.1%	55.4%	18.7%	10.8%
Clay	14	181	99	53	40	73	171	92	37
	3.6%	46.8%	25.6%	13.7%	10.3%	19.6%	45.8%	24.7%	9.9%
Clearwater	2	35	12	7	9	14	26	18	5
	3.1%	53.8%	18.5%	10.8%	13.8%	22.2%	41.3%	28.6%	7.9%
Cook	0	5	1	2	2	1	7	2	0
	0.0%	50.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	70.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	3	22	11	6	5	16	15	6	7
	6.4%	46.8%	23.4%	12.8%	10.6%	36.4%	34.1%	13.6%	15.9%
Crow Wing	11	133	93	43	20	55	137	73	24
	3.7%	44.3%	31.0%	14.3%	6.7%	19.0%	47.4%	25.3%	8.3%
Dakota	15	437	288	146	87	194	453	228	83
	1.5%	44.9%	29.6%	15.0%	8.9%	20.3%	47.3%	23.8%	8.7%
Dodge	4	29	17	8	0	11	24	15	4
	6.9%	50.0%	29.3%	13.8%	0.0%	20.4%	44.4%	27.8%	7.4%
Douglas	3	54	30	15	7	26	46	23	11
	2.8%	49.5%	27.5%	13.8%	6.4%	24.5%	43.4%	21.7%	10.4%
Faribault	1	22	18	8	3	14	17	15	5
	1.9%	42.3%	34.6%	15.4%	5.8%	27.5%	33.3%	29.4%	9.8%
Fillmore	1	21	6	1	4	7	19	5	1
	3.0%	63.6%	18.2%	3.0%	12.1%	21.9%	59.4%	15.6%	3.1%
Freeborn	7	80	61	24	18	32	87	41	23
	3.7%	42.1%	32.1%	12.6%	9.5%	17.5%	47.5%	22.4%	12.6%

County/Region/		Numb	er of Chi	ldren		Α	ge of You	ngest Chi	ld
State	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Goodhue	8	59	36	28	13	26	59	36	15
	5.6%	41.0%	25.0%	19.4%	9.0%	19.1%	43.4%	26.5%	11.0%
Grant	1	13	8	1	1	1	10	9	3
	4.2%	54.2%	33.3%	4.2%	4.2%	4.3%	43.5%	39.1%	13.0%
Hennepin	285	3,888	2,466	1,430	1,342	1,719	4,112	2,345	950
	3.0%	41.3%	26.2%	15.2%	14.3%	18.8%	45.1%	25.7%	10.4%
Houston	5	20	14	15	2	12	20	16	3
	8.9%	35.7%	25.0%	26.8%	3.6%	23.5%	39.2%	31.4%	5.9%
Hubbard	7	45	27	15	5	13	36	31	12
	7.1%	45.5%	27.3%	15.2%	5.1%	14.1%	39.1%	33.7%	13.0%
Isanti	7	67	35	10	5	21	64	22	10
	5.6%	54.0%	28.2%	8.1%	4.0%	17.9%	54.7%	18.8%	8.5%
Itasca	16	87	70	30	18	24	97	62	22
	7.2%	39.4%	31.7%	13.6%	8.1%	11.7%	47.3%	30.2%	10.7%
Jackson	5	17	7	5	0	10	13	2	4
	14.7%	50.0%	20.6%	14.7%	0.0%	34.5%	44.8%	6.9%	13.8%
Kanabec	6	33	31	17	6	12	36	27	12
	6.5%	35.5%	33.3%	18.3%	6.5%	13.8%	41.4%	31.0%	13.8%
Kandiyohi	12	111	73	38	29	51	114	66	20
,	4.6%	42.2%	27.8%	14.4%	11.0%	20.3%	45.4%	26.3%	8.0%
Kittson	1	2	7	1	1	2	3	5	1
	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	8.3%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%
Koochiching	5	35	23	15	3	9	34	23	10
	6.2%	43.2%	28.4%	18.5%	3.7%	11.8%	44.7%	30.3%	13.2%
Lac Qui Parle	0	9	5	0	4	1	7	8	2
	0.0%	50.0%	27.8%	0.0%	22.2%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%	11.1%
Lake	3	16	13	3	1	10	10	12	1
	8.3%	44.4%	36.1%	8.3%	2.8%	30.3%	30.3%	36.4%	3.0%
Lake of Woods	0	6	3	4	0	1	6	5	1
	0.0%	46.2%	23.1%	30.8%	0.0%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%	7.7%
Le Sueur	8	36	23	17	5	16	37	21	7
	9.0%	40.4%	25.8%	19.1%	5.6%	19.8%	45.7%	25.9%	8.6%
Lincoln	1	5	4	2	0	2	6	2	1
	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	18.2%	54.5%	18.2%	9.1%
Lyon	8	53	23	11	10	23	51	18	5
,	7.6%	50.5%	21.9%	10.5%	9.5%	23.7%	52.6%	18.6%	5.2%
McLeod	5	47	23	20	7	31	44	19	3
	4.9%	46.1%	22.5%	19.6%	6.9%	32.0%	45.4%	19.6%	3.1%
Mahnomen	1	43	25	8	10	19	39	21	7
	1.1%	49.4%	28.7%	9.2%	11.5%	22.1%	45.3%	24.4%	8.1%
Marshall	0	8	8	1	2	3	3	9	4
	0.0%	42.1%	42.1%	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	15.8%	47.4%	21.1%
Martin	8	41	22	17	4	21	36	18	9
	8.7%	44.6%	23.9%	18.5%	4.3%	25.0%	42.9%	21.4%	10.7%
Meeker	2	60	23.370	9	7.570	18	43	29	9
	2.0%	59.4%	22.8%	8.9%	6.9%	18.2%	43.4%	29.3%	9.1%
Mille Lacs	4	57	48	19	7	15.276	58	46	12
minie Lacs	3.0%	42.2%	35.6%	14.1%	5.2%	11.5%	44.3%	35.1%	9.2%
Morrison	7	56	35.0%	19	9	20	57	29	13
191113011									
	5.6%	44.4%	27.8%	15.1%	7.1%	16.8%	47.9%	24.4%	10.9%

County/Region/		Numb	er of Chi	ldren		Ą	ge of You	ngest Chi	ld
State	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Mower	9	98	72	30	12	45	99	52	16
	4.1%	44.3%	32.6%	13.6%	5.4%	21.2%	46.7%	24.5%	7.5%
Murray	1	11	6	3	1	5	10	5	1
	4.5%	50.0%	27.3%	13.6%	4.5%	23.8%	47.6%	23.8%	4.8%
Nicollet	3	70	37	20	9	25	69	29	13
	2.2%	50.4%	26.6%	14.4%	6.5%	18.4%	50.7%	21.3%	9.6%
Nobles	2	36	28	18	15	24	50	14	9
	2.0%	36.4%	28.3%	18.2%	15.2%	24.7%	51.5%	14.4%	9.3%
Norman	4	7	5	1	4	3	9	4	1
	19.0%	33.3%	23.8%	4.8%	19.0%	17.6%	52.9%	23.5%	5.9%
Olmsted	24	212	149	72	108	103	247	143	48
	4.2%	37.5%	26.4%	12.7%	19.1%	19.0%	45.7%	26.4%	8.9%
Otter Tail	11	94	63	20	16	38	83	50	22
	5.4%	46.1%	30.9%	9.8%	7.8%	19.7%	43.0%	25.9%	11.4%
Pennington	6	30	13	10	6	9	25	16	9
	9.2%	46.2%	20.0%	15.4%	9.2%	15.3%	42.4%	27.1%	15.3%
Pine	11	64	42	22	11	13	67	38	21
	7.3%	42.7%	28.0%	14.7%	7.3%	9.4%	48.2%	27.3%	15.1%
Pipestone	0	16	12	5	3	10	16	5	5
	0.0%	44.4%	33.3%	13.9%	8.3%	27.8%	44.4%	13.9%	13.9%
Polk	11	100	60	23	24	38	92	48	29
	5.0%	45.9%	27.5%	10.6%	11.0%	18.4%	44.4%	23.2%	14.0%
Pope	0	12	10	3	0	3	11	7	4
	0.0%	48.0%	40.0%	12.0%	0.0%	12.0%	44.0%	28.0%	16.0%
Ramsey	145	2,105	1,487	869	960	927	2,587	1,433	474
	2.6%	37.8%	26.7%	15.6%	17.2%	17.1%	47.7%	26.4%	8.7%
Red Lake	0	6	3	2	1	2	6	4	0
	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Redwood	1	20	18	8	11	12	25	17	3
	1.7%	34.5%	31.0%	13.8%	19.0%	21.1%	43.9%	29.8%	5.3%
Renville	5	26	19	12	8	13	36	13	3
	7.1%	37.1%	27.1%	17.1%	11.4%	20.0%	55.4%	20.0%	4.6%
Rice	11	99	56	31	21	39	105	47	16
	5.0%	45.4%	25.7%	14.2%	9.6%	18.8%	50.7%	22.7%	7.7%
Rock	0	19	3	2	0	9	8	5	2
	0.0%	79.2%	12.5%	8.3%	0.0%	37.5%	33.3%	20.8%	8.3%
Roseau	4	8	8	2	2	4	14	1	1
	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	20.0%	70.0%	5.0%	5.0%
St. Louis	64	765	462	196	107	268	732	380	150
	4.0%	48.0%	29.0%	12.3%	6.7%	17.5%	47.8%	24.8%	9.8%
Scott	1	71	52	23	18	26	71	45	22
	0.6%	43.0%	31.5%	13.9%	10.9%	15.9%	43.3%	27.4%	13.4%
Sherburne	8	72	44	31	6	29	75	34	15
	5.0%	44.7%	27.3%	19.3%	3.7%	19.0%	49.0%	22.2%	9.8%
Sibley	3	22	19	8	5	11	28	12	3
-	5.3%	38.6%	33.3%	14.0%	8.8%	20.4%	51.9%	22.2%	5.6%
Stearns	26	228	140	71	55	96	236	122	40
	5.0%	43.8%	26.9%	13.7%	10.6%	19.4%	47.8%	24.7%	8.1%
Steele	6	62	50	36	37	38	80	44	23
	3.1%	32.5%	26.2%	18.8%	19.4%	20.5%	43.2%	23.8%	12.4%

County/Region/		Numl	er of Chi	ldren		Αç	ge of You	ngest Chi	ld
State	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Stevens	0	13	7	2	1	4	12	6	1
	0.0%	56.5%	30.4%	8.7%	4.3%	17.4%	52.2%	26.1%	4.3%
Swift	3	16	8	7	5	6	20	7	3
	7.7%	41.0%	20.5%	17.9%	12.8%	16.7%	55.6%	19.4%	8.3%
Todd	9	52	32	19	8	20	59	26	6
	7.5%	43.3%	26.7%	15.8%	6.7%	18.0%	53.2%	23.4%	5.4%
Traverse	0	2	6	6	3	4	7	4	2
	0.0%	11.8%	35.3%	35.3%	17.6%	23.5%	41.2%	23.5%	11.8%
Wabasha	3	30	8	7	3	19	12	10	7
	5.9%	58.8%	15.7%	13.7%	5.9%	39.6%	25.0%	20.8%	14.6%
Wadena	6	39	25	10	9	14	39	24	6
	6.7%	43.8%	28.1%	11.2%	10.1%	16.9%	47.0%	28.9%	7.2%
Waseca	4	39	33	10	13	25	43	18	9
	4.0%	39.4%	33.3%	10.1%	13.1%	26.3%	45.3%	18.9%	9.5%
Washington	15	260	150	84	35	96	274	118	41
	2.8%	47.8%	27.6%	15.4%	6.4%	18.1%	51.8%	22.3%	7.8%
Watonwan	1	20	15	15	8	11	26	18	3
	1.7%	33.9%	25.4%	25.4%	13.6%	19.0%	44.8%	31.0%	5.2%
Wilkin	0	19	9	2	4	5	16	8	5
	0.0%	55.9%	26.5%	5.9%	11.8%	14.7%	47.1%	23.5%	14.7%
Winona	3	95	36	18	17	32	74	44	16
	1.8%	56.2%	21.3%	10.7%	10.1%	19.3%	44.6%	26.5%	9.6%
Wright	13	137	61	35	10	51	117	57	18
	5.1%	53.5%	23.8%	13.7%	3.9%	21.0%	48.1%	23.5%	7.4%
Yellow Medicine	0	16	5	6	2	5	16	4	4
	0.0%	55.2%	17.2%	20.7%	6.9%	17.2%	55.2%	13.8%	13.8%
Northwest	26	161	104	40	40	61	152	87	45
	7.0%	43.4%	28.0%	10.8%	10.8%	17.7%	44.1%	25.2%	13.0%
West Central	115	1,360	838	447	277	524	1,343	754	301
	3.8%	44.8%	27.6%	14.7%	9.1%	17.9%	46.0%	25.8%	10.3%
Northeast	105	1,044	653	280	148	357	992	561	215
	4.7%	46.8%	29.3%	12.6%	6.6%	16.8%	46.7%	26.4%	10.1%
Central	111	1,043	631	326	173	414	1036	531	192
	4.9%	45.7%	27.6%	14.3%	7.6%	19.1%	47.7%	24.4%	8.8%
Southwest	32	272	149	85	65	137	273	106	55
	5.3%	45.1%	24.7%	14.1%	10.8%	24.0%	47.8%	18.6%	9.6%
South Central	53	417	252	129	81	183	431	195	70
	5.7%	44.7%	27.0%	13.8%	8.7%	20.8%	49.0%	22.2%	8.0%
Southeast	81	805	505	270	235	364	826	453	172
	4.3%	42.5%	26.6%	14.2%	12.4%	20.1%	45.5%	25.0%	9.5%
Metro Suburban	86	1,416	876	426	232	568	1,412	710	260
	2.8%	46.6%	28.9%	14.0%	7.6%	19.3%	47.9%	24.1%	8.8%
Core Metro	430	5,993	3,953	2,299	2,302	2,646	6,699	3,778	1,424
	2.9%	40.0%	26.4%	15.4%	15.4%	18.2%	46.1%	26.0%	9.8%
Minnesota	1,039	12,511	7,961	4,302	3,553	5,254	13,164	7,175	2,734
	3.5%	42.6%	27.1%	14.6%	12.1%	18.5%	46.5%	25.3%	9.7%

Table 10. Economic characteristics of December 2001 MFIP paid cases with one eligible adult by county and region

						Welfa	re				
County/Region/	Number	Active N	Months 19	993-2001	Cou	inted Mor		Ne	ew Cases	in 2001	
State	of	7100.701	Over 60	Months		Over 48			tal	Out-of	
	Cases	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Aitkin	96	36	24	25%	17	4	4%	26	27%	8	8%
Anoka	1,245	39	330	27%	20	86	7%	311	25%	58	5%
Becker	252	47	87	35%	21	9	4%	41	16%	8	3%
Beltrami	816	54	344	42%	16	49	6%	111	14%	10	1%
Benton	165	31	30	18%	17	7	4%	48	29%	7	4%
Big Stone	30	37	7	23%	21	3	10%	8	27%	4	13%
Blue Earth	254	34	55	22%	20	15	6%	70	28%	13	5%
Brown	91	20	6	7%	11	2	2%	43	47%	8	9%
Carlton	192	38	43	22%	19	14	7%	44	23%	2	1%
Carver	109	30	18	17%	17	8	7%	25	23%	4	4%
Cass	247	49	96	39%	23	25	10%	47	19%	2	1%
Chippewa	50	38	12	24%	20	3	6%	13	26%	1	2%
Chisago	144	38	40	28%	20	8	6%	36	25%	2	1%
Clay	387	36	86	22%	19	33	9%	98	25%	34	9%
Clearwater	65	49	25	38%	24	8	12%	12	18%	2	3%
Cook	10	25	1	10%	10	0	0%	4	40%	2	20%
Cottonwood	47	28	6	13%	14	1	2%	12	26%	2	4%
Crow Wing	300	36	73	24%	18	18	6%	89	30%	14	5%
Dakota	973	39	260	27%	21	70	7%	211	22%	50	5%
Dodge	58	23	9	16%	13	2	3%	27	47%	4	7%
Douglas	109	35	26	24%	19	4	4%	28	26%	4	4%
Faribault	52	33	12	23%	20	5	10%	15	29%	6	12%
Fillmore	33	25	4	12%	12	0	0%	11	33%	2	6%
Freeborn	190	35	37	19%	18	14	7%	45	24%	16	8%
Goodhue	144	33	30	21%	17	5	3%	42	29%	9	6%
Grant	24	38	5	21%	20	3	13%	5	21%	2	8%
Hennepin	9,411	46	3,230	34%	25	1,277	14%	1,970	21%	688	7%
Houston	56	28	9	16%	17	2	4%	19	34%	8	14%
Hubbard	99	38	25	25%	18	9	9%	21	21%	4	4%
Isanti	124	31	19	15%	18	4	3%	28	23%	1	1%
Itasca	221	39	56	25%	21	19	9%	56	25%	8	4%
Jackson	34	25	6	18%	13	2	6%	14	41%	0	0%
Kanabec	93	36	23	25%	17	4	4%	24	26%	3	3%
Kandiyohi	263	31	48	18%	17	10	4%	76	29%	29	11%
Kittson	12	26	2	17%	21	1	8%	5	42%	1	8%
Koochiching	81	40	22	27%	23	3	4%	18	22%	4	5%
Lac Qui Parle	18	33	5	28%	16	1	6%	8	44%	1	6%
Lake	36	33	7	19%	17	2	6%	11	31%	1	3%
Lake of the Woods	13	37	3	23%	16	0	0%	2	15%	0	0%
Le Sueur	89	26	14	16%	13	2	2%	34	38%	10	11%
Lincoln	12	31	2	17%	14	0	0%	3	25%	1	8%
Lyon	105	25	11	10%	16	5	5%	39	37%	16	15%
McLeod	102	28	13	13%	16	6	6%	38	37%	8	8%
Mahnomen	87	46	28	32%	21	0	0%	17	20%	1	1%
Marshall	19	40	4	21%	21	1	5%	3	16%	0	0%
Martin	92	34	19	21%	18	3	3%	24	26%	6	7%
Meeker	101	31	17	17%	17	2	2%	28	28%	5	5%
Mille Lacs	135	39	37	27%	18	2	1%	32	24%	5	4%

						Welfa	re				
County/Region/	Number	Active I	Months 19	993-2001	Cou	ınted Mor	nths	Ne	ew Cases	in 2001	
State	of		Over 60	Months		Over 48	Months	То	tal	Out-of	-State
	Cases	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Morrison	126	37	29	23%	16	3	2%	25	20%	2	2%
Mower	221	31	37	17%	16	5	2%	60	27%	14	6%
Murray	22	29	4	18%	18	1	5%	8	36%	2	9%
Nicollet	139	27	17	12%	17	6	4%	45	32%	9	6%
Nobles	99	34	20	20%	18	6	6%	33	33%	13	13%
Norman	21	32	5	24%	20	3	14%	9	43%	4	19%
Olmsted	565	32	103	18%	20	40	7%	165	29%	78	14%
Otter Tail	204	33	36	18%	18	12	6%	55	27%	16	8%
Pennington	65	33	13	20%	18	4	6%	15	23%	3	5%
Pine	150	36	37	25%	18	7	5%	42	28%	9	6%
Pipestone	36	24	3	8%	15	1	3%	12	33%	3	8%
Polk	218	36	49	22%	19	16	7%	61	28%	20	9%
Pope	25	29	4	16%	16	0	0%	8	32%	0	0%
Ramsey	5,566	51	2,176	39%	27	952	17%	901	16%	264	5%
Red Lake	12	35	2	17%	18	0	0%	3	25%	1	8%
Redwood	58	35	14	24%	20	8	14%	14	24%	5	9%
Renville	70	19	3	4%	13	1	1%	25	36%	3	4%
Rice	218	28	29	13%	16	4	2%	72	33%	11	5%
Rock	24	20	4	17%	11	0	0%	14	58%	6	25%
Roseau	24	16	2	8%	8	0	0%	14	58%	2	8%
St. Louis	1,594	43	469	29%	23	165	10%	324	20%	50	3%
Scott	165	40	44	27%	21	11	7%	40	24%	11	7%
Sherburne	161	35	37	23%	16	5	3%	41	25%	6	4%
Sibley	57	25	9	16%	13	2	4%	21	37%	6	11%
Stearns	520	35	103	20%	19	39	8%	134	26%	26	5%
Steele	191	28	23	12%	17	13	7%	55	29%	21	11%
Stevens	23	30	5	22%	15	0	0%	9	39%	1	4%
Swift	39	35	9	23%	18	0	0%	12	31%	4	10%
Todd	120	36	31	26%	15	0	0%	32	27%	4	3%
Traverse	17	31	4	24%	15	1	6%	5	29%	3	18%
Wabasha	51	21	5	10%	12	0	0%	21	41%	3	6%
Wadena	89	36	21	24%	19	4	4%	23	26%	5	6%
Waseca	99	27	14	14%	16	5	5%	37	37%	14	14%
Washington	544	38	129	24%	21	54	10%	140	26%	18	3%
Watonwan	59	34	11	19%	20	7	12%	13	22%	4	7%
Wilkin	34	28	5	15%	15	4	12%	15	44%	4	12%
Winona	169	35	37	22%	19	16	9%	37	22%	4	2%
Wright	256	30	49	19%	15	7	3%	80	31%	6	2%
Yellow Medicine	29	32	4	14%	17	2	7%	6	21%	2	7%
Northwest	371	34	77	21%	18	25	7%	110	30%	31	8%
West Central	3,037	43	933	31%	18	182	6%	643	21%	116	4%
Northeast	2,230	41	622	28%	22	207	9%	483	22%	75	3%
Central	2,284	33	456	20%	17	102	4%	632	28%	110	5%
Southwest	603	30	107	18%	17	33	5%	196	33%	60	10%
South Central	932	30	157	17%	17	47	5%	302	32%	76	8%
Southeast	1,896	31	323	17%	18	101	5%	554	29%	170	9%
Metro Suburban	3,036	39	781	26%	21	229	8%	727	24%	141	5%
Core Metro	14,977	48	5,406	36%	26	2,229	15%	2,871	19%	952	6%
Minnesota	29,366	43	8,862	30%	22	3,155	11%	6,518	22%	1,731	6%

Count Percent Count Mean Count Percent Mean	Mean 80 86 101 105 91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Count Percent Count Mean Count Percent Mean	80 86 101 105 91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Aitkin 8 8% 31 \$264 34 35% \$579 Anoka 110 9% 318 \$375 426 34% \$766 Becker 32 13% 94 \$376 100 40% \$762 Beltrami 83 10% 267 \$406 314 38% \$760 Benton 16 10% 55 \$324 68 41% \$685 Big Stone 4 13% 16 \$349 17 57% \$622 Blue Earth 36 14% 99 \$387 114 45% \$615 Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Carlton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 <th< th=""><th>80 86 101 105 91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79</th></th<>	80 86 101 105 91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Anoka 110 9% 318 \$375 426 34% \$766 Becker 32 13% 94 \$376 100 40% \$762 Beltrami 83 10% 267 \$406 314 38% \$760 Benton 16 10% 55 \$324 68 41% \$685 Big Stone 4 13% 16 \$349 17 57% \$622 Blue Earth 36 14% 99 \$387 114 45% \$615 Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Cariton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24	86 101 105 91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Becker 32 13% 94 \$376 100 40% \$762 Beltrami 83 10% 267 \$406 314 38% \$760 Benton 16 10% 55 \$324 68 41% \$685 Big Stone 4 13% 16 \$349 17 57% \$622 Blue Earth 36 14% 99 \$387 114 45% \$615 Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Cariton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 172	101 105 91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Beltrami 83 10% 267 \$406 314 38% \$760 Benton 16 10% 55 \$324 68 41% \$685 Big Stone 4 13% 16 \$349 17 57% \$622 Blue Earth 36 14% 99 \$387 114 45% \$615 Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Carlton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 <	105 91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Benton 16 10% 55 \$324 68 41% \$685 Big Stone 4 13% 16 \$349 17 57% \$622 Blue Earth 36 14% 99 \$387 114 45% \$615 Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Carlton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 4	91 86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Big Stone 4 13% 16 \$349 17 57% \$622 Blue Earth 36 14% 99 \$387 114 45% \$615 Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Carlton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% <th>86 85 95 92 86 96 79</th>	86 85 95 92 86 96 79
Blue Earth 36 14% 99 \$387 114 45% \$615 Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Carlton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% <th>85 95 92 86 96 79</th>	85 95 92 86 96 79
Brown 17 19% 43 \$351 51 56% \$693 Carlton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% <th>95 92 86 96 79</th>	95 92 86 96 79
Carlton 24 13% 48 \$377 67 35% \$695 Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35	92 86 96 79
Carver 9 8% 33 \$387 40 37% \$831 Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% <th>86 96 79</th>	86 96 79
Cass 27 11% 76 \$376 72 29% \$745 Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41%<	96 79
Chippewa 8 16% 20 \$380 24 48% \$573 Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18	79
Chisago 17 12% 51 \$371 58 40% \$679 Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 5	
Clay 43 11% 144 \$371 172 44% \$686 Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91	
Clearwater 9 14% 20 \$419 27 42% \$746 Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 <td< th=""><th>80</th></td<>	80
Cook 1 10% 2 * 5 50% \$792 Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63%<	95
Cottonwood 4 9% 18 \$363 22 47% \$777 Crow Wing 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611	100
Crow Wing Dakota 43 14% 110 \$380 136 45% \$669 Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 </th <th>121</th>	121
Dakota 130 13% 285 \$403 337 35% \$794 Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45	92
Dodge 5 9% 14 \$361 20 34% \$686 Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	84
Douglas 10 9% 35 \$250 45 41% \$564 Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	86
Faribault 5 10% 18 \$283 18 35% \$610 Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	81
Fillmore 3 9% 15 \$305 17 52% \$651 Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	81
Freeborn 22 12% 72 \$354 91 48% \$672 Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	82
Goodhue 15 10% 41 \$377 50 35% \$654 Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	89
Grant 4 17% 13 \$373 15 63% \$770 Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	81
Hennepin 788 8% 2,138 \$424 2,611 28% \$814 Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	80
Houston 5 9% 17 \$285 25 45% \$489 Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	97
Hubbard 16 16% 45 \$351 45 45% \$710	93
	72
l I I I I	99
Isanti 16 13% 44 \$321 50 40% \$635	76
Itasca 33 15% 81 \$348 87 39% \$629	94
Jackson 2 6% 8 \$418 15 44% \$544	73
Kanabec 9 10% 32 \$368 39 42% \$669	93
Kandiyohi 43 16% 110 \$369 122 46% \$743	109
Kittson 2 17% 5 \$306 5 42% \$828	94
Koochiching 9 11% 38 \$345 37 46% \$683	101
Lac Qui Parle 2 11% 8 \$249 10 56% \$379	64
Lake 2 6% 13 \$271 12 33% \$393	61
Lake of the Woods 1 8% 7 \$301 8 62% \$442	75
Le Sueur 9 10% 25 \$268 34 38% \$583	74
Lincoln 2 17% 5 \$430 4 33% \$727	93
Lyon 11 10% 35 \$288 46 44% \$576	78
McLeod 12 12% 37 \$378 41 40% \$730	101
Mahnomen 8 9% 26 \$393 33 38% \$1,075	138
Marshall 3 16% 11 \$367 10 53% \$672	103
Martin 10 11% 40 \$365 42 46% \$718	87
Meeker 15 15% 33 \$334 38 38% \$694	82
Mille Lacs 17 13% 43 \$409 63 47% \$744	90

^{*} Means and medians for groups of less than five are not reported.

	We	elfare		1	Work an	d Incom	е	
County/Region/	Foo	d-Only	Budg	jeted				
State	M	IFIP	Earn	ings	Wor	king	Income	Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Morrison	23	18%	49	\$386	55	44%	\$631	75
Mower	20	9%	82	\$310	99	45%	\$677	91
Murray	0	0%	6	\$250	7	32%	\$879	111
Nicollet	9	6%	44	\$330	55	40%	\$597	77
Nobles	6	6%	42	\$312	47	47%	\$728	100
Norman	1	5%	6	\$368	6	29%	\$500	78
Olmsted	93	16%	213	\$423	262	46%	\$898	100
Otter Tail	31	15%	78	\$355	91	45%	\$613	83
Pennington	10	15%	34	\$382	34	52%	\$693	96
Pine	13	9%	51	\$334	58	39%	\$590	82
Pipestone	4	11%	15	\$264	12	33%	\$727	103
Polk	36	17%	91	\$397	96	44%	\$779	105
Pope	1	4%	10	\$300	13	52%	\$616	78
Ramsey	542	10%	1,454	\$445	1,685	30%	\$881	97
Red Lake	4	33%	8	\$516	7	58%	\$1,019	139
Redwood	10	17%	19	\$445	26	45%	\$703	92
Renville	11	16%	27	\$435	27	39%	\$827	115
Rice	26	12%	71	\$384	87	40%	\$766	91
Rock	1	4%	12	\$247	11	46%	\$615	88
Roseau	3	13%	11	\$345	12	50%	\$626	101
St. Louis	161	10%	544	\$337	614	39%	\$638	86
Scott	18	11%	52	\$372	63	38%	\$727	87
Sherburne	19	12%	55	\$318	60	37%	\$590	76
Sibley	9	16%	24	\$344	30	53%	\$864	104
Stearns	55	11%	178	\$359	217	42%	\$701	88
Steele	23	12%	80	\$406	87	46%	\$880	109
Stevens	3	13%	12	\$340	15	65%	\$630	84
Swift	3	8%	14	\$310	18	46%	\$556	78
Todd -	18	15%	48	\$352	59	49%	\$558	77
Traverse	5	29%	11	\$400	12	71%	\$755	103
Wabasha	9	18%	23	\$286	26	51%	\$567	84
Wadena	12	13%	36	\$329	42	47%	\$628	89
Waseca	11	11%	28	\$376	43	43%	\$605	74
Washington	49	9%	135	\$419	177	33%	\$784 \$740	91
Watonwan Wilkin	9	15%	30	\$375 \$373	30	51% 50%	\$713 \$427	97 61
Winona	4 12	12% 7%	13 55	\$372 \$370	17 59	50% 35%	\$437 \$703	61 94
Wright	31	7% 12%	55 67	\$379 \$393	94	35%	\$703 \$673	86
Yellow Medicine	6	21%	7	\$396	11	38%	\$595	78
Northwest	59	16%	166	\$390	170	46%	\$746	103
West Central	373	12%	1,094	\$390 \$374	1,271	40%	\$746 \$700	94
Northeast	238	12%	757	\$374 \$337	856	38%	\$700 \$639	9 4 88
Central	236 274	12%	783	\$361	935	41%	\$690	90
Southwest	63	10%	763 225	\$331	270	45%	\$690 \$641	86
South Central	115	12%	351	\$355	417	45%	\$653	86
Southeast	233	12%	683	\$378	823	43%	\$653 \$769	93
Metro Suburban	233 316	10%	823	\$378 \$392	1,043	43% 34%	\$769 \$778	93 87
Core Metro	1,330	9%	3,592	\$392 \$432		34% 29%	\$778 \$840	95
					4,296			
Minnesota	3,001	10%	8,474	\$395	10,081	34%	\$765	92

	Exem	ptions	Sanc	tions	Ch	ild Supp	ort
County/Region/		n-		Percent		ent Payn	
State	Emplo	yment		of All		•	
	Count	Percent	Count	Cases	Count	Percent	Median
Aitkin	13	14%	11	11%	31	32%	\$252
Anoka	191	15%	66	5%	241	19%	\$208
Becker	42	17%	19	8%	56	22%	\$196
Beltrami	55	7%	60	7%	81	10%	\$204
Benton	26	16%	17	10%	38	23%	\$290
Big Stone	5	17%	3	10%	7	23%	\$259
Blue Earth	25	10%	9	4%	64	25%	\$216
Brown	8	9%	6	7%	21	23%	\$169
Carlton	37	19%	15	8%	59	31%	\$200
Carver	30	28%	13	12%	19	17%	\$270
Cass	30	12%	30	12%	38	15%	\$191
Chippewa	6	12%	9	18%	14	28%	\$223
Chisago	13	9%	10	7%	41	28%	\$250
Clay	67	17%	37	10%	75	19%	\$220
Clearwater	7	11%	7	11%	16	25%	\$179
Cook	1	10%	0	0%	2	20%	*
Cottonwood	6	13%	6	13%	12	26%	\$252
Crow Wing	36	12%	24	8%	70	23%	\$189
Dakota	121	12%	145	15%	218	22%	\$229
Dodge	8	14%	4	7%	15	26%	\$268
Douglas	16	15%	27	25%	32	29%	\$218
Faribault	9	17%	0	0%	13	25%	\$155
Fillmore	5	15%	4	12%	9	27%	\$157
Freeborn	26	14%	44	23%	52	27%	\$192
Goodhue	28	19%	12	8%	42	29%	\$271
Grant	3	13%	1	4%	3	13%	*
Hennepin	839	9%	849	9%	1,199	13%	\$196
Houston	5	9%	3	5%	15	27%	\$252
Hubbard	15	15%	7	7%	19	19%	\$231
Isanti	18	15%	19	15%	32	26%	\$240
Itasca	38	17%	36	16%	55	25%	\$178
Jackson	4	12%	2	6%	6	18%	\$320
Kanabec	15	16%	7	8%	23	25%	\$250
Kandiyohi	35	13%	47	18%	58	22%	\$200
Kittson	4	33%	2	17%	3	25%	*
Koochiching	12	15%	1	1%	16	20%	\$146
Lac Qui Parle	2	11%	1	6%	3	17%	*
Lake	11	31%	3	8%	13	36%	\$169
Lake of the Woods	1	8%	2	15%	5	38%	\$127
Le Sueur	8	9%	2	2%	19	21%	\$278
Lincoln	3	25%	0	0%	4	33%	*
Lyon	15	14%	16	15%	23	22%	\$294
McLeod	22	22%	17	17%	19	19%	\$180
Mahnomen	11	13%	15	17%	6	7%	\$152
Marshall	3	16%	3	16%	6	32%	\$192
Martin	18	20%	7	8%	26	28%	\$237
Meeker	18	18%	13	13%	36	36%	\$234
Mille Lacs	28	21%	11	8%	33	24%	\$204

	Exem	ptions	Sanc	tions	Ch	ild Supp	ort
County/Region/	No	n-		Percent		ent Paym	
State		yment		of All			
	Count	Percent	Count	Cases	Count	Percent	Median
Morrison	12	10%	32	25%	39	31%	\$225
Mower	21	10%	50	23%	49	22%	\$150
Murray	8	36%	2	9%	8	36%	\$128
Nicollet	19	14%	6	4%	30	22%	\$257
Nobles	20	20%	8	8%	21	21%	\$284
Norman	5	24%	4	19%	2	10%	*
Olmsted	55	10%	11	2%	91	16%	\$203
Otter Tail	30	15%	22	11%	58	28%	\$218
Pennington	14	22%	10	15%	8	12%	\$209
Pine	22	15%	14	9%	35	23%	\$218
Pipestone	8	22%	1	3%	11	31%	\$192
Polk	34	16%	24	11%	51	23%	\$168
Pope	3	12%	4	16%	7	28%	\$191
Ramsey	574	10%	400	7%	832	15%	\$195
Red Lake	2	17%	3	25%	2	17%	*
Redwood	6	10%	3	5%	16	28%	\$245
Renville	10	14%	9	13%	9	13%	\$240
Rice	21	10%	22	10%	48	22%	\$237
Rock	2	8%	1	4%	5	21%	\$198 *
Roseau	2	8%	0	0%	4	17%	
St. Louis	207	13%	136	9%	356	22%	\$183
Scott	33	20%	36	22%	34	21%	\$224
Sherburne	16	10%	25	16%	47	29%	\$267
Sibley	5	9%	4	7%	16	28%	\$340
Stearns	57	11%	39	8%	99	19%	\$197
Steele	33	17%	14	7%	34	18%	\$257
Stevens	2	9%	2	9%	8	35%	\$222
Swift	13	33%	7	5% 6%	14	36%	\$233
Todd Traverse	22	18%		6%	37	31%	\$252 *
Wabasha	5 8	29%	3 10	18%	4 12	24%	
Wadena	14	16%	6	20%	28	24% 31%	\$174 \$101
Waseca	21	16% 21%	9	7% 9%	24	24%	\$181 \$317
Washington	63	12%	43	8%	95	17%	\$232
Watonwan	5	8%	11	19%	10	17%	\$370
Wilkin	12	35%	3	9%	13	38%	\$370 \$279
Winona	26	15%	13	8%	38	22%	\$227
Wright	27	11%	47	18%	58	23%	\$263
Yellow Medicine	3	10%	4	14%	4	14%	*
Northwest	64	17%	46	12%	76	20%	\$192
West Central	383	13%	308	10%	595	20%	\$201
Northeast	319	14%	202	9%	532	24%	\$185
Central	307	13%	275	12%	528	23%	\$232
Southwest	101	17%	58	10%	148	25%	\$234
South Central	118	13%	54	6%	223	24%	\$250
Southeast	236	12%	187	10%	405	21%	\$217
Metro Suburban	438	14%	303	10%	607	20%	\$226
Core Metro	1,413	9%	1,249	8%	2,031	14%	\$196
Minnesota	3,379	12%	2,682	9%	5,145	18%	\$206

Table 11. Economic characteristics of December 2001 MFIP paid cases with two eligible adults by county and region

	with two	l	ic addi	to by c	ounty (Welfa					
County/Region/	Number	Active N	Nonths 19	93-2001	Cou	inted Mor		No	ew Cases	in 2001	
State	of	7.00.10		Months		Over 48			tal	Out-of	
	Cases	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Aitkin	32	28	4	13%	17	1	3%	9	28%	2	6%
Anoka	218	29	33	15%	18	5	2%	67	31%	31	14%
Becker	71	39	18	25%	22	3	4%	19	27%	5	7%
Beltrami	215	44	68	32%	14	7	3%	38	18%	5	2%
Benton	29	28	3	10%	14	2	7%	9	31%	2	7%
Big Stone	6	21	0	0%	8	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%
Blue Earth	78	25	7	9%	18	4	5%	25	32%	7	9%
Brown	19	24	2	11%	13	0	0%	2	11%	1	5%
Carlton	43	35	7	16%	18	1	2%	7	16%	1	2%
Carver	19	28	3	16%	18	1	5%	6	32%	5	26%
Cass	81	48	31	38%	24	6	7%	9	11%	0	0%
Chippewa	9	39	3	33%	20	1	11%	3	33%	1	11%
Chisago	29	29	4	14%	16	2	7%	9	31%	2	7%
Clay	127	29	16	13%	18	7	6%	38	30%	23	18%
Clearwater	32	47	12	38%	26	3	9%	8	25%	2	6%
Cook	0										
Cottonwood	14	19	0	0%	8	0	0%	6	43%	2	14%
Crow Wing	66	35	15	23%	17	2	3%	16	24%	1	2%
Dakota	144	26	13	9%	18	7	5%	46	32%	17	12%
Dodge	5	17	0	0%	9	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%
Douglas	22	36	6	27%	17	0	0%	6	27%	3	14%
Faribault	15	35	4	27%	24	3	20%	1	7%	1	7%
Fillmore	15	22	0	0%	11	0	0%	6	40%	1	7%
Freeborn	39	33	6	15%	20	0	0%	6	15%	1	3%
Goodhue	22	26	2	9%	15	1	5%	6	27%	0	0%
Grant	14	19	0	0%	13	0	0%	6	43%	1	7%
Hennepin	1,232	38	315	26%	24	127	10%	328	27%	180	15%
Houston	19	16	1	5%	11	1	5%	10	53%	4	21%
Hubbard	31	35	6	19%	21	1	3%	7	23%	3	10%
Isanti	31	21	2	6%	11	0	0%	6	19%	0	0%
Itasca	66	43	20	30%	21	5	8%	15	23%	3	5%
Jackson	10	24	0	0%	18	0	0%	4	40%	1	10%
Kanabec	28	20	2	7%	13	0	0%	9	32%	2	7%
Kandiyohi	89	31	14	16%	16	1	1%	24	27%	7	8%
Kittson	4	35	0	0%	17	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%
Koochiching	38	32	4	11%	22	1	3%	9	24%	1	3%
Lac Qui Parle	4	20	0	0%	10	0	0%	2	50%	1	25%
Lake	12	22	1	8%	14	1	8%	5	42%	1	8%
Lake of the Woods	2	7	0	0%	9	0	0%	2	100%	1	50%
Le Sueur	26	16	2	8%	9	0	0%	13	50%	4	15%
Lincoln	6	9	0	0%	9	0	0%	3	50%	1	17%
Lyon	37	14	2	5%	11	1	3%	17	46%	7	19%
McLeod	45 46	19	3	7%	12	0	0%	21	47%	4	9%
Mahnomen	16	34	3	19%	23	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%
Marshall	9	18	0	0%	13	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%
Martin	27	19	2	7%	10	1	4%	12	44%	4	15%
Meeker	28	17	1	4%	11	0	0%	12	43%	2	7%
Mille Lacs	27	28	3	11%	13	0	0%	10	37%	1	4%

		Welfare									
County/Region/	Number	Ac	tive Mon		Cou	ınted Mor			ew Cases	in 2000)
State	of		Over 60	Months		Over 36	Months	То	tal	Out-of	-State
	Cases	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Morrison	29	32	5	17%	16	1	3%	6	21%	1	3%
Mower	37	28	4	11%	17	2	5%	8	22%	4	11%
Murray	10	23	2	20%	11	0	0%	5	50%	3	30%
Nicollet	26	16	0	0%	14	1	4%	11	42%	2	8%
Nobles	21	31	4	19%	17	0	0%	5	24%	2	10%
Norman	9	40	4	44%	24	0	0%	2	22%	2	22%
Olmsted	126	24	15	12%	15	2	2%	51	40%	31	25%
Otter Tail	56	30	7	13%	19	4	7%	18	32%	8	14%
Pennington	13	24	0	0%	13	0	0%	3	23%	0	0%
Pine	65	31	9	14%	16	1	2%	15	23%	2	3%
Pipestone	11	14	0	0%	11	0	0%	3	27%	2	18%
Polk	78	27	6	8%	18	4	5%	20	26%	2	3%
Pope	7	37	1	14%	18	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%
Ramsey	820	42	232	28%	25	95	12%	153	19%	60	7%
Red Lake	3	14	0	0%	7	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%
Redwood	16	27	1	6%	19	1	6%	4	25%	0	0%
Renville	28	22	3	11%	12	0	0%	12	43%	6	21%
Rice	43	23	2	5%	14	2	5%	15	35%	9	21%
Rock	5	32	1	20%	19	0	0%	1	20%	1	20%
Roseau	2	11	0	0%	8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
St. Louis	361	35	74	20%	21	31	9%	84	23%	17	5%
Scott	37	24	2	5%	15	2	5%	17	46%	6	16%
Sherburne	34	28	7	21%	11	0	0%	13	38%	4	12%
Sibley	16	32	3	19%	17	1	6%	3	19%	0	0%
Stearns	99	27	16	16%	17	2	2%	36	36%	9	9%
Steele	36 6	22 11	1	3% 0%	14 9	1 0	3% 0%	10	28%	3	8%
Stevens Swift			0	13%	13		0%	3 4	50%	1	17%
Todd	8 39	24 29	1 5	13%	12	0	0%	12	50% 31%		3%
		29 24		0%			0%		33%	0	0%
Traverse Wabasha	6 9	23	0 1	11%	14 14	0	0%	2 5	56%	0	0%
Wadena	36	23 27	4	11%	15	2	6%	13	36%	2	6%
Waseca	30	29	3	10%	17	1	3%	6	20%	5	17%
Washington	78	36	18	23%	19	3	4%	21	27%	6	8%
Watonwan	14	24	10	7%	14	0	0%	4	29%	1	7%
Wilkin	9	23	0	0%	19	0	0%	3	33%	1	11%
Winona	29	30	5	17%	19	3	10%	7	24%	1 1	3%
Wright	44	22	3	7%	13	1	2%	10	23%	1	2%
Yellow Medicine	10	43	3	30%	20	0	0%	1	10%	Ö	0%
Northwest	118	26	10	8%	17	4	3%	28	24%	4	3%
West Central	865	37	197	23%	18	37	4%	208	24%	58	7%
Northeast	552	35	110	20%	20	40	7%	129	23%	25	5%
Central	576	26	70	12%	14	9	2%	186	32%	42	7%
Southwest	167	23	17	10%	14	3	2%	60	36%	22	13%
South Central	251	24	24	10%	15	11	4%	77	31%	25	10%
Southeast	380	25	37	10%	15	12	3%	126	33%	54	14%
Metro Suburban	496	29	69	14%	18	18	4%	157	32%	65	13%
Core Metro	2,052	40	547	27%	24	222	11%	481	23%	240	12%
Minnesota	5,457	34	1,081	20%	20	356	7%	1,452	27%	535	10%

	Welfare				Work and Income			
County/Region/	Food-Only		Budgeted					
State	MFIP		Earnings		Working		Income	Hours
	Count	Prcnt	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Aitkin	7	22%	18	\$506	19	59%	\$917	131
Anoka	26	12%	102	\$481	117	54%	\$1,027	112
Becker	7	10%	32	\$463	38	54%	\$814	109
Beltrami	36	17%	113	\$536	121	56%	\$1,020	134
Benton	3	10%	11	\$518	18	62%	\$1,102	124
Big Stone	1	17%	2	*	2	33%	*	*
Blue Earth	13	17%	44	\$494	48	62%	\$1,004	126
Brown	4	21%	15	\$479	15	79%	\$922	131
Carlton	6	14%	20	\$527	22	51%	\$956	120
Carver	5	26%	12	\$784	14	74%	\$1,102	122
Cass	10	12%	43	\$435	39	48%	\$891	126
Chippewa	1	11%	6	\$399	4	44%	*	*
Chisago	3	10%	12	\$584	16	55%	\$1,434	138
Clay	21	17%	71	\$477	80	63%	\$978	129
Clearwater	2	6%	17	\$476	19	59%	\$763	105
Cook								
Cottonwood	5	36%	10	\$594	11	79%	\$1,294	135
Crow Wing	8	12%	31	\$490	44	67%	\$864	109
Dakota	30	21%	78	\$542	94	65%	\$1,142	122
Dodge	1	20%	4	*	4	80%	*	*
Douglas	2	9%	12	\$338	13	59%	\$554	76
Faribault	4	27%	9	\$610	11	73%	\$1,241	131
Fillmore	1	7%	11	\$305	10	67%	\$904	122
Freeborn	4	10%	24	\$432	24	62%	\$1,162	122
Goodhue	4	18%	9	\$498	14	64%	\$1,008	139
Grant	3	21%	10	\$529	10	71%	\$1,208	142
Hennepin	238	19%	594	\$637	649	53%	\$1,178	133
Houston	1	5%	9	\$457	13	68%	\$1,061	135
Hubbard	3	10%	18	\$388	21	68%	\$1,107	125
Isanti	4	13%	15	\$520	19	61%	\$941	99
Itasca	14	21%	34	\$508	37	56%	\$880	125
Jackson	1	10%	6	\$572	7	70%	\$969	121
Kanabec	3	11%	19	\$406	20	71%	\$1,001	126
Kandiyohi	17	19%	55	\$509	69	78%	\$1,115	137
Kittson	2	50%	4	*	4	100%	*	*
Koochiching	6	16%	19	\$414	17	45%	\$1,020	129
Lac Qui Parle	1	25%	2	*	3	75%	*	*
Lake	2	17%	6	\$528	8	67%	\$929	114
Lake of the Woods	0	0%	2	*	2	100%	*	*
Le Sueur	3	12%	12	\$570	13	50%	\$1,132	111
Lincoln	1	17%	4	*	4	67%	*	*
Lyon	8	22%	22	\$521	25	68%	\$1,011	115
McLeod	11	24%	28	\$475	28	62%	\$1,160	135
Mahnomen	0	0%	6	\$346	12	75%	\$1,287	175
Marshall	1	11%	4	*	4	44%	*	*
Martin	4	15%	14	\$399	19	70%	\$767	93
Meeker	5	18%	17	\$442	17	61%	\$616	82
Mille Lacs	2	7%	17	\$384	14	52%	\$943	115
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		. 70		Ψ551		0270	ΨΟΙΟ	

^{*} Means and medians for groups of less than five are not reported.

	We	lfare	Work and Income						
County/Region/	Food-Only MFIP		Budg						
State			Earnings		Working		Income	Hours	
	Count	Prcnt	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean	
Morrison	4	14%	20	\$424	26	90%	\$964	123	
Mower	7	19%	25	\$521	27	73%	\$1,099	143	
Murray	2	20%	5	\$269	7	70%	\$807	89	
Nicollet	3	12%	14	\$261	17	65%	\$492	59	
Nobles	6	29%	14	\$734	16	76%	\$1,471	168	
Norman	2	22%	5	\$506	6	67%	\$1,309	131	
Olmsted	41	33%	71	\$626	80	63%	\$1,390	148	
Otter Tail	11	20%	36	\$517	40	71%	\$1,021	131	
Pennington	2	15%	9	\$414	9	69%	\$765	88	
Pine	4	6%	31	\$383	38	58%	\$967	117	
Pipestone	1	9%	4	*	2	18%	*	*	
Polk	15	19%	49	\$508	58	74%	\$1,051	132	
Pope	2	29%	5	\$359	3	43%	*	*	
Ramsey	149	18%	418	\$631	458	56%	\$1,191	128	
Red Lake	0	0%	3	*	3	100%	*	*	
Redwood	4	25%	9	\$640	9	56%	\$1,077	143	
Renville	13	46%	18	\$722	18	64%	\$1,291	162	
Rice	9	21%	25	\$556	25	58%	\$1,157	125	
Rock	0	0%	3	*	4	80%	*	*	
Roseau	1	50%	1	*	2	100%	*	*	
St. Louis	50	14%	217	\$445	223	62%	\$863	114	
Scott	2	5%	12	\$477	22	59%	\$911	112	
Sherburne	3	9%	17	\$362	17	50%	\$838	101	
Sibley	2	13%	9	\$518	10	63%	\$1,313	135	
Stearns	11	11%	59	\$455	66	67%	\$1,107	123	
Steele	8	22%	21	\$668	26	72%	\$1,255	137	
Stevens	2	33%	3	*	3	50%	*	*	
Swift	1	13%	6	\$428	6	75%	\$844	100	
Todd	8	21%	25	\$494	28	72%	\$922	117	
Traverse	0	0%	4	*	3	50%	*	*	
Wabasha	2	22%	5	\$316	5	56%	\$742	104	
Wadena	7	19%	24	\$474	26	72%	\$997	148	
Waseca	7	23%	20	\$531	21	70%	\$1,139	117	
Washington	11	14%	38	\$460	45	58%	\$993	100	
Watonwan	5	36%	10	\$622	11	79%	\$1,119	137	
Wilkin	2	22%	6	\$616	6	67%	\$1,019	180	
Winona	7	24%	20	\$584	22	76%	\$970	127	
Wright	3	7%	24	\$347	25	57%	\$865	106	
Yellow Medicine	1	10%	3	*	6	60%	\$817	104	
Northwest	23	19%	75 1 7 0	\$482	86	73%	\$995	122	
West Central	128	15%	478	\$480	534	62%	\$956	126	
Northeast	85	15%	314	\$460	326	59%	\$884	117	
Central	82	14%	323	\$464	365	63%	\$1,050	123	
Southwest	33	20%	96	\$528	106	63%	\$1,046	122	
South Central	45	18%	147	\$490	165	66%	\$989	115	
Southeast	85	22%	224	\$546	250	66%	\$1,190	136	
Metro Suburban	74	15%	242	\$512	292	59%	\$1,052	113	
Core Metro	387	19%	1,012	\$634	1,107	54%	\$1,184	131	
Minnesota	942	17%	2,911	\$540	3,231	59%	\$1,070	125	

	Exen	nptions	Sanctions		Child Support		
County/Region/	Non-Employment		Percent		Current Payments		
State	Person Percent of		of All		-		
	Count	Persons	Count	Cases	Count	Percent	Median
Aitkin	8	13%	5	16%	3	9%	*
Anoka	54	12%	12	6%	14	6%	\$196
Becker	22	15%	13	18%	5	7%	\$190
Beltrami	35	8%	24	11%	6	3%	\$183
Benton	5	9%	3	10%	2	7%	*
Big Stone	1	8%	1	17%	1	17%	*
Blue Earth	14	9%	3	4%	4	5%	*
Brown	3	8%	3	16%	1	5%	*
Carlton	17	20%	3	7%	5	12%	\$122
Carver	1	3%	2	11%	2	11%	*
Cass	13	8%	19	23%	6	7%	\$271
Chippewa	1	6%	6	67%	0	0%	
Chisago	4	7%	4	14%	3	10%	*
Clay	38	15%	30	24%	3	2%	*
Clearwater	8	13%	8	25%	3	9%	*
Cook			0				
Cottonwood	6	21%	3	21%	3	21%	*
Crow Wing	24	18%	10	15%	6	9%	\$229
Dakota	32	11%	17	12%	5	3%	\$87
Dodge	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	*
Douglas	8	18%	8	36%	2	9%	*
Faribault	3	10%	1	7%	1	7%	*
Fillmore	3	10%	2	13%	1	7%	*
Freeborn	14	18%	16	41%	7	18%	\$181
Goodhue	5	11%	2	9%	4	18%	*
Grant	3	11%	1	7%	3	21%	*
Hennepin	197	8%	102	8%	40	3%	\$135
Houston	5	13%	1	5%	3	16%	*
Hubbard	11	18%	1	3%	1	3%	*
Isanti	5	8%	8	26%	5	16%	\$246
Itasca	18	14%	10	15%	6	9%	\$338
Jackson	2	10%	1	10%	0	0%	\$555
Kanabec	17	30%	3	11%	2	7%	*
Kandiyohi	34	19%	17	19%	10	11%	\$295
Kittson	2	25%	1	25%	0	0%	Ψ 2 00
Koochiching	8	11%	2	5%	4	11%	*
Lac Qui Parle	1	13%	0	0%	1	25%	*
Lake	7	29%	1	8%	4	33%	*
Lake of the Woods	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Le Sueur	6	12%	0	0%	0	0%	
Lincoln	1	8%	2	33%	1	17%	*
Lyon	10	14%	3	8%	0	0%	
McLeod	15	17%	8	18%	2	4%	*
Mahnomen	4	17%	1	6%	3	19%	*
Marshall	2	13%	2	22%	3 1	11%	*
Martin	12		2		2		*
		22% 16%		7% 20%		7% 7%	*
Meeker	9	16%	8	29%	2	7%	*
Mille Lacs	8	15%	4	15%	1	4%	, °

County/Region/ State Non-Employment Person Count Percent Count Count Cases Count Cases Count Percent Percent Median Morrison 9 16% 5 17% 3 10% * Mower 5 7% 11 30% 1 3% * Micollet 6 12% 2 20% 0 0% * Nobles 6 14% 7 33% 0 0% * Nobles 6 14% 7 33% 0 0% * Norman 3 17% 2 22% 4 44% * Olmsted 25 10% 2 22% 5 4% \$131 Otter Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 18 14% 6 9% 9 12% \$178 Pipe 4 29% 0 0%		Exen	nptions	Sanc	tions	Child Support			
Norrison	County/Region/	•							
Count		· · ·			of All	ourient ayınd			
Mower 5 7% 11 30% 1 3% * Murray 6 30% 2 20% 0 0% * Nicollet 6 12% 2 20% 0 0% * Noman 3 17% 2 22% 4 44% * Olmsted 25 10% 2 22% 5 4% \$131 Otter Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Polk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$178 Pipestone 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% Red Lake 1 <t< th=""><th>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</th><th></th><th>Percent</th><th>Count</th><th>-</th><th>Count</th><th>Percent</th><th>Median</th></t<>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Percent	Count	-	Count	Percent	Median	
Murray 6 30% 2 20% 0 0% Nicollet 6 12% 2 8% 2 8% * Norman 3 17% 2 22% 4 44% * Olmsted 25 10% 2 22% 5 4% \$131 Otter Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Popluk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% 0% Red Lake 1 <	Morrison	9	16%	5		3	10%	*	
Murray 6 30% 2 20% 0 0% Nicollet 6 12% 2 8% 2 8% * Norman 3 17% 2 22% 4 44% * Olmsted 25 10% 2 22% 5 4% \$131 Otter Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Popluk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% 0% Red Lake 1 <	Mower	5	7%	11	30%	1	3%	*	
Nobles 6 14% 7 33% 0 0% * Norman 3 17% 2 22% 4 44% * Oltrer Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Polk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% \$131 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% \$263 Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% \$263 Renville	Murray	6	30%	2		0	0%		
Norman 3 17% 2 22% 4 44% * Olmsted Otter Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Polk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% 0 0% Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% 8 186 8 9 12% \$130 \$186 \$86 9 12% \$130 \$186 \$86 9 12% \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130	Nicollet	6	12%	2	8%	2	8%	*	
Olmsted 25 10% 2 2% 5 4% \$131 Otter Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Polk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% Ramsey 132 8% 59 7% 27 3% \$186 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% 8 186 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 5 31% \$263 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 5 31% \$263 Red Lake	Nobles	6	14%	7	33%	0	0%		
Otter Tail 17 15% 6 11% 9 16% \$168 Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Polk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% 8 186 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% 8 186 8 186 8 186 8 186 8 186 8 186 186 180 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% <th>Norman</th> <th>3</th> <th>17%</th> <th>2</th> <th>22%</th> <th>4</th> <th>44%</th> <th>*</th>	Norman	3	17%	2	22%	4	44%	*	
Pennington 3 12% 3 23% 1 8% * Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Pople 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% Redusod 132 8% 59 7% 27 3% \$186 Redwood 5 16% 0 0% 5 31% \$263 Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% * Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 2% * Rocka 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% 8 159 * \$159 \$2 * * \$263 * * \$159 * \$159 * \$159 *	Olmsted	25	10%	2	2%	5	4%	\$131	
Pine 18 14% 6 9% 8 12% \$178 Pipestone 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% Poly 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% Poly 2 29% 0 0 0% Poly 2 29% 0 0 0% Poly 27 3% \$130 Poly 28 29% 0 0 0% 0 0% 8 186 99 12% \$130 Poly 8 186 99 12% \$130 Poly 8 186 99 12% \$130 Poly 8 186 99 186 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% 0 0% 1 10% \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 \$150 </th <th>Otter Tail</th> <th>17</th> <th>15%</th> <th>6</th> <th>11%</th> <th>9</th> <th>16%</th> <th>\$168</th>	Otter Tail	17	15%	6	11%	9	16%	\$168	
Pipestone Polk 2 9% 0 0% 0 0% Polk \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% Rad \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$130 \$186 \$130 \$130 \$186 \$130 \$186 <td< th=""><th>Pennington</th><th>3</th><th>12%</th><th>3</th><th>23%</th><th>1</th><th>8%</th><th>*</th></td<>	Pennington	3	12%	3	23%	1	8%	*	
Polk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% Ramsey 132 8% 59 7% 27 3% \$186 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 5 31% \$263 Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% * Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 2% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Stcott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10%	Pine	18	14%	6	9%	8	12%	\$178	
Polk 31 20% 6 8% 9 12% \$130 Pope 4 29% 2 29% 0 0% Ramsey 132 8% 59 7% 27 3% \$186 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 5 31% \$263 Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% * Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 2% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Stcott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10%	Pipestone	2	9%	0	0%		0%		
Pope	Polk	31		6	8%			\$130	
Ramsey 132 8% 59 7% 27 3% \$186 Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% Redwood 5 16% 0 0% 5 31% \$263 Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% * Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 29% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% 0 0% Roseau 1 25% 0 0% 0 0% 159 \$159 \$ \$ \$159 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$159 \$	Pope	4							
Red Lake 1 17% 0 0% 0 0% Redwood \$263 Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% * Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 2% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% 8 1 2% *	Ramsey	132		59		27		\$186	
Redwood 5 16% 0 0% 5 31% \$263 Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% * Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 2% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Roseau 1 25% 0 0% 0 0% 1 2% * Stcott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * * Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3%	Red Lake								
Renville 5 9% 10 36% 3 11% * Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 2% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Roseau 1 25% 0 0% 0 0% St. Louis 97 13% 39 11% 24 7% \$159 Scott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Sibley 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Swift 0 0%	Redwood							\$263	
Rice 11 13% 8 19% 1 2% * Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% * Roseau 1 25% 0 0% 0 0% St. Louis 97 13% 39 11% 24 7% \$159 Scott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Sherburne 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Sherburne 3 1 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Sterburns 3 1 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 233% 1 17% *	Renville			10					
Rock 1 10% 2 40% 0 0% Roseau 1 25% 0 0% 0 0% St. Louis 97 13% 39 11% 24 7% \$159 Scott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Sibley 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2	Rice	11		8			2%	*	
St. Louis 97 13% 39 11% 24 7% \$159 Scott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Sibley 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Waseca 6 10% 2	Rock	1				0			
St. Louis 97 13% 39 11% 24 7% \$159 Scott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Sibley 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Waseca 6 10% 2	Roseau	1		0					
Scott 10 14% 2 5% 1 3% * Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Sibley 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% * Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 1 1	St. Louis	97		39				\$159	
Sherburne 7 10% 7 21% 3 9% * Sibley 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5	Scott								
Sibley 3 9% 1 6% 1 6% * Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% * Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 1 17% \$278 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sherburne			7		3		*	
Stearns 31 16% 9 9% 11 11% \$210 Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>*</th>								*	
Steele 12 17% 5 14% 1 3% * Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% * Wright 12 14% 12	Stearns			9		11		\$210	
Stevens 0 0% 2 33% 1 17% * Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% * Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0	Steele							*	
Swift 0 0% 2 25% 0 0% Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% W Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>*</th></t<>								*	
Todd 13 17% 6 15% 3 8% * Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% W Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43	Swift								
Traverse 1 8% 2 33% 0 0% Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% * Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central	Todd	13						*	
Wabasha 3 17% 0 0% 0 0% Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast	Traverse								
Wadena 7 10% 8 22% 6 17% \$278 Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170	Wabasha								
Waseca 6 10% 2 7% 1 3% * Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% * Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219<								\$278	
Washington 21 13% 13 17% 8 10% \$185 Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280								*	
Watonwan 1 4% 5 36% 2 14% * Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% * Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6%	Washington					8		\$185	
Wilkin 1 6% 3 33% 0 0% Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184<	Watonwan							*	
Winona 6 10% 3 10% 6 21% \$194 Wright 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30	Wilkin								
Wright Yellow Medicine 12 14% 12 27% 3 7% * Northwest Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central Northeast 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central Southwest 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 South Central South Central South Central Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	Winona							\$194	
Yellow Medicine 2 10% 0 0% 1 10% * Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	Wright								
Northwest 43 18% 14 12% 15 13% \$186 West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	Yellow Medicine							*	
West Central 218 13% 149 17% 60 7% \$189 Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	Northwest			14		15		\$186	
Northeast 155 14% 60 11% 46 8% \$170 Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	West Central								
Central 170 15% 99 17% 55 10% \$219 Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	Northeast								
Southwest 44 13% 29 17% 12 7% \$280 South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	Central								
South Central 54 11% 19 8% 14 6% \$245 Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	Southwest								
Southeast 89 12% 50 13% 30 8% \$184 Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196	South Central								
Metro Suburban 118 12% 46 9% 30 6% \$196									
σ,σ σ,σ σ,σ φ1σσ									
Minnesota 1,220 11% 627 11% 329 6% \$190	Minnesota								